Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.scanner

2001-06 - 2001-09

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2001-06-01 through 2001-09-30

Source text: rec.radio.scanner.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 5,534

Message records with no readable body available in the source text: 11

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: EBAY has NO problem with "FA" postings!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 00:31:52 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15808239f74084da98970d@news>
Source record: 64718
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

In article <1GAR6.395$l8.356415@nntp2.onemain.com>, regor@onemain.com
says...
> I wonder if these complainers write to their local newspapers to complain
> about the advertisments for auctions?
>
>

I just wonder how they survive on their own.

Imagine reading EVERY post in every newsgroup you are subscribed to like
these guys do. Boogles the mind. WOW!

2. Q: Phone Calls in the 1 GHz range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 00:34:31 UTC
From: hijax <hijax@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9f6nv3$96cg$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am accidentally picking up phone calls in the lower 1 GHz area, yet I know standard cellular freqs are down in the 800 MHz range. Are some cell services transmitting up this high now, or is this a skip or something?

hijax

3. Re: Waterworld Usa [Sacramento] freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 01:10:29 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9f6qcj$9ake$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64723

Could you please post those freqs.? I'm planning on going to the state fair and would love to listen in. Thanks in advance. EF

4. Re: FA:Grundig Compact Shortwave Antenna - BNC???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 01:13:20 UTC
From: Joe <Joe1258@excite.com>
Message-ID: <Q_BR6.7811$S2.507934@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64720
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What's with the BNC plug?? They do not come "This item is absolutely brand NEW" like that. NO portable shortwave radio has BNC jacks!!!

"Richard Chyzy" <rjchyzy@home.com> wrote in message news:HBwR6.9971$mG4.4585175@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1242577299
>
>
>

5. Re: Q: Phone Calls in the 1 GHz range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 01:14:12 UTC
From: mangiaf@freewwweb.com
Message-ID: <9f6q93$2gnoh$1@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 64721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

my icom icr3 does that in the 980-1000mhz range. probably just images.

In article <9f6nv3$96cg$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>, hijax@prodigy.net says...
>
>I am accidentally picking up phone calls in the lower 1 GHz area, yet I know
>standard cellular freqs are down in the 800 MHz range. Are some cell
>services transmitting up this high now, or is this a skip or something?
>
>hijax
>
>

6. Re: CB Radio Online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 01:19:58 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <25CR6.4100$gA.1510262@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 64724

> >Does any one know if there are any sites that have live CB's online. Now
> >you that scanners online were interesting. I think this might even be
> >better.
>
> Why would you WANT to???
>
> I really don't understand this. Why would anyone want to hear CB
trash...noise
> toys, foul language, agitators, etc., from across the country?
>
> And if you DO want to hear this nonsense, just turn on the CB! Skip is in
> every day at this point in the sunspot cycle!
>

Or better yet..... check out HF Ham Bands!!!! Same qualities now too!

7. Realistic pro 2027 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 01:20:23 UTC
From: boom <steig@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <r5CR6.948$K71.74801@nnrp1.ptd.net>
Source record: 64722

```
This is a 100 channel (full 800 band)base scanner in excellant condition.
With owners manual. -------------------------recieves full celluar.
best offer
e mail-scott3406@yahoo.com
```

8. Re: Optoelectronics filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 01:27:07 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <W6CR6.1840$lp5.218192@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 64725

The R-10 uses 4.8 to 16V. It comes with a 12V wall wart that
is clearly marked with ICOM. I don't know about the filter.

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

"Tom Stiles" <hamrad45@atlantic.net> wrote in message
news:HqyR6.79$DZ5.166402@news1.atlantic.net...
> I just received and R10 reciver, filter #CF802 and a power cube. The plug
> on the power cube fits both but I am not sure it is made for both. Does
> anyone know if the receiver and/or the filter use 9 volts + polarity?
>
> Thanks,
>
> --
>
> Tom
>
>

9. Re: bc-245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 02:11:53 UTC
From: "George B." <kn6ep@jps.net>
Message-ID: <VOCR6.431$l8.397982@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 64726

One thing to check first is whether the center pin of your antenna is snapped off and is missing, or sitting detached in the scanner's female BNC connector. If so, carefully take a needlenose pliers and extract the pin from the scanner. You'll have to scrap the antenna and get a new one. Don't know any way to fix an antenna with a broken center pin. Hope that's the problem, rather than anything more serious

George
KN6EP

"scandude" <scandude@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:DmAR6.7363$S2.478868@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I have '245xlt and I think the antenna is loose from inside unit. won't pick
> anything up conventional or trunking unless I wiggle the external factory
> antenna. it's @ 1.5 years old. any help appreciated.
> Eric
>
>

10. IC-R3: Must Have or Shouldn't Have?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 02:27:09 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <14DR6.8648$Bn1.1107787@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 64727
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Looking for opinions on the Icom IC-R3...is it a must-have or should this one be passed by (or at least wait until the price drops considerably)?

I did read the StrongSignals review; links to other reviews would be welcome as well.

Thanks,
Doug

11. Re: IC-R3: Must Have or Shouldn't Have?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 02:37:23 UTC
From: John Burns <jburns@ibsgp.NOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <9f6v60$ko0$1@lust.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 64728
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If its anything like the R2, it is a must have for any briefcase or boring boardroom meeting

-John

12. Re: IC-R3: Must Have or Shouldn't Have?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 02:53:18 UTC
From: WarrenG <grenner@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <%pDR6.56268$Rw4.902507@e420r-chi2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 64729
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Unless you can grab one for under $300 I would avoid it. it is a decent scanner and you can watch TV on it. The batteries die quickly with the video screen on. Plus like the R2 and VR120 it does not have a numeric key pad and that makes it a pain to program unless you pay the extra $ for software and cable. The strong signals pretty much says it all. The R3 is not a serious scanner but rather an expensive toy.

GR
"DougSlug" <twinpoppa@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:14DR6.8648$Bn1.1107787@news02.optonline.net...
> Looking for opinions on the Icom IC-R3...is it a must-have or should this
> one be passed by (or at least wait until the price drops considerably)?
>
> I did read the StrongSignals review; links to other reviews would be
welcome
> as well.
>
> Thanks,
> Doug
>
>
>

13. Atlanta Radio Clubs' Hamfest June 2nd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 04:00:44 UTC
From: rychard@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b171328.5365906@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 64730

Hey all,
The Atlanta Radio Club's annual Hamfest is Saturday June 2nd. 9:00 Am
to 3 PM.
It will be at the Jim R. MiIller Park in Marietta Ga. Talk-in 146.82
Admission is $5 , under 16 free.
No matter if you're looking for a new rig ,a used rig,want to swap
rigs.Maybe your looking for antenna supplies: wire,insulators,mast,
towers,etc. It's there.
Maybe your looking to unload all those extra radios in your
shack,this is you chance. Ham gear,Shortwave radios,scanners,
books,its all there.
Don't forget the computers,monitors,software,components.
Don't forget to dig through the Boneyard/Tailgate/Flea Market Areas.
If you live anywhere near Atlanta ,and love the radio hobbies,you
will not want to miss this.

Sponsored by: The Atlanta Radio Club
Gwinnett Amateur Radio Society
Paulding Amateur Radio Club
and the ARRL.

More info at http://www.saf.com/arc/atlfest.htm

Simple Directions: Take I-75 north of Atlanta to Windy Hill.

Go west on Windy Hill to Austell Rd.

Go to your left on Austell Rd a short distance,look
for Callaway Rd on you right.

Turn right on Callaway Rd. and travel about 1 mile

Jim Miller Park will be on your right.

See You there.

Dean
KR4IU

14. PRO-51 Updated Cell Mod?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 04:01:42 UTC
From: mark12085@aol.comSPAMMO
Message-ID: <20010601000142.18192.00001768@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 64731

There is a mod floating around out there for Pro-51 and similar Uniden scanners that sticks a blocked 800 mHz channel into channel 23; however it seems as if that does not work on my newer model (1A6). Is there another mod that will?
THanks.

15. Re: IC-R3: Must Have or Shouldn't Have?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 04:14:43 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25951-3B1716B3-16@storefull-154.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64732

Yeah, and WHY even make ANY scanner nowadays without trunking capabilities?? Boy, would I EVER fork out the cashola for a multitrunk-tracking version of a 1000 channel, unblocked, slightly bigger version of an 'R3. Oops, sorry; just a perfect world fantasy of mine.

16. Re: Waterworld Usa [Sacramento] freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 06:18:44 UTC
From: rapture1@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <419381f6.0105312218.4acbc43f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 64733

"Eric Ferguson" <GEFERG@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:<9f6qcj$9ake$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>...
> Could you please post those freqs.? I'm planning on going to the state fair
> and would love to listen in. Thanks in advance. EF

Ok. This is about two page long if printed from Wordpad.

ANDREW CHEATLE'S CAL EXPO FREQUENCY LIST
Note: These may not necessarily be in use. There may be some innacuracies. Mea Cupla.

Police
453.150 & 474.840 Seem to be the same. These are the most active, on during
major events and sometimes at other times. Most active during the State
Fair, every year since at least 1998.

Maybe these will come up ... only seem to be used near or during the state
fair or other major events:
453.350
453.800
479.850
482.500 (I have only observed this on July 4th, 2000)
471 (or is that 491?).537 I have only observed this at the Sacramento County
Fair, which used police in the uniform of one of the school districts.
172.500 heard in 2000 Fair, a vendor was attacked- is a police freq, or
vendor support?
also check nearby police frequencies, such as 468.350, which I presume is
for the city police stationed in the Arden Fair Mall

Vendor Mic Frequencies: (only heard on grounds of Cal Expo)
Sac County Fair 2001
169.445 kitchenware vendor
171.845 another vendor
CA State Fair 2000
169.440 cookware vendor (maybe two vendors on this freq?), sells the Ginzu
knife
171.940,171.870, &171.905 Ladder Salesman
167.925 "Sweepa" booth
172.990 Another vendor
498.425 ?
171.500 Belender Machine Salesman
494.200 "Wing" ladder sales booth

Vendor Support (not mic frequencies)
486.287 Food Sales support? (1st heard in 2000)
486.325 (1999 at least) Drink Sales support?

Carny mics (Unless noted, only heard in 2000, at the fair itself)
472.350 & 450.650 (only heard in 1998, with excellent range, over twenty miles away!) NBA Basketball basketball dunk game
170.245 Water ballon gun game?
169.505 Another carnie
171.845 Ball tossing game
151.740? Another ball tossing game?

Performers/Non-selling Exhibitors mics (only heard at Calexpo)
CA State Fair 2000
493.750 Sky Shivers, of the Sky Shiver's Goat Show at Goat Mountain (now gone) mic
494.512 "The Iron Horse" show, Clown's mic
494.112 Pontiac/GM exhibit of their vehicles, between Main Gate and WaterWorld main gate- a GM/Pontiac represenative woman's mic
Sacramento County Fair 2001
171.840 "Cook's Racing Pigs" show/exhibit announcer mic, Cook presumably (not the Poker Pavillion show of the CA State Fair that existed before 2000; this is privately funded and organized)

Performer Support
453.750 CA State Fair 1999 at least (or related personnel)
475.500 CA State Fair 1999 at least (various sorts of maintenence, including gates?)

Video Audio?
458.512 This was heard briefly during CA State Fair 1999, sounded like what might accompany one of the video presentations for one of the exhibits.

Paging
482.? (Heard during 1999 CA State Fair)

Amatueur Radio heard at the CA State Fair 2000
467.637 A girl talking to her mom

Probally Amatuer Radio
467.587
467.312
467.712
467.587
475.425 "No one came to get our beatles"- contest or exhibit participant?
484.362

Live TV/Radio remotes (also used for other events)
450.750 KRXQ "98 Rock" live remote at 2000 CA State Fair
455.700 "Cool 101.1" & "Arrow" combined live remote (1999 CA State Fair)

TV/Radio frequencies not used so far at Cal Expo-
171.775 Radio Disney Sacramento events (not on air- a mic for event only, used at least once in 2001)
455.750 "KNCI" 105.1 FM live remote

Also check news crew frequencies for occassional coverage (such as 450.100 KCRA news technical crew), 485.425 KCRA News, 450.850 (KFBK traffic ground

crew), 450.225 ("Commander Bill" KFBK), also 450.612, etc.

WaterWorld USA Sacramento
472.087 Lifeguards? (only heard in 2000 at Cal Expo)

"The Point" amusement center
567.562 Employee (only heard at Cal Expo in 2000) Very interesting talk.

17. Re: Radio Shack pro-2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 07:43:12 UTC
From: Ed Ortiz <eortiz@cmix.net>
Message-ID: <theh8j78mk21fb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64734

Thanks Dev

Dev <.> wrote in message news:9f0ikq0qos@enews3.newsguy.com...
> all depends. I use my pcr-100 as a trucked scanner right now. but you can
> use a CE circuit instead of the OS535 one. it will control the 2045 fine.
> There are many un documented things when you use the reset command. of 2,
> and 9 and power. if you use 2 and 9 and some other button. others things
> happen. there is something else I am trying right now with it. all it has
to
> do with is programming and the headphone jack.
>
> Dev
>
>
> Ed Ortiz <eortiz@cmix.net> wrote in message
> news:th510t41hcin61@corp.supernews.com...
> > Was wondering if there are any other mods for the Pro-2045 other than
the
> > discr tap. I was looking at getting a trunk scanner (the 2045 is not)
and
> I
> > stomped at the OS535 made by optoelectronics which will give computer
aid
> > scanning capabilities to the pro-2035 & pro-2042,
> >
> > Is there such a thing for the pro-2045? I know that I can probably built
a
> > generic data slicer from plans on other sites but I have never tried it
on
> > this model.
> >
> > By the same token, is there any device that added to the unit will give
> > trunk capabilities or should I resort to my original plan of buying a
> trunk
> > scanner?
> >
> > Thanks in advance for your help guys and keep the good work!
> >
> > Ed
> >
> >
>
>

18. Re: Atlanta Radio Clubs' Hamfest June 2nd

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 10:43:47 UTC
From: nickle911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010601064347.06575.00002225@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 64735

What would be directions from Chattanooga Tennessee.Phil

19. Florida Mil-Air Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 11:55:38 UTC
From: av8tor@licensed4fun.com
Message-ID: <9f7vrp$d940$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64736

Does anyone have a list or know where I can get a list of active Mil-Air freqs
for Florida. I have all the NASA ones programmed in and they are coming in
very well with a Discone at 25'.
Thanks,
George
http://www.Licensed4Fun.com
--

I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
----

20. Re: Blue Angles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 13:02:28 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <EnMR6.11501$mG4.5850653@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 64737

If memory serves, they used the following this year at Springfield, IL,
great show:

263.350 (AM)
345.900 (AM)
238.150 (AM)
275.350 (AM)
170.900 Ground FM HT's, carrier squelch
164.900 Ground FM HT's, carrier squelch

Here's my complete list from over the years:

121.900 GROUND FUELS [X]
140.175 POSSIBLE USE
141.560 REPORTED OPERATIONS
142.000 ALPHA - PRIMARY [X]
142.025 GROUND OPERATIONS [X]
142.625 POSSIBLE GROUND RADIOS
143.000 BRAVO - SECONDARY [X]
143.600 MAINTENANCE [X]
164.900 GROUND RADIOS [X]
168.900 GROUND RADIOS [X]
170.000 POSSIBLE GROUND RADIOS
170.800 POSSIBLE GROUND RADIOS
170.900 GROUND RADIOS [X]
227.175 FLORIDA SHOW CHIT CHAT
235.500 AIR TO AIR
236.750 AIR TO AIR
236.450 AIR TO AIR
238.150 FORMATION AIR TO AIR [X]
241.100 AIR TO AIR
241.400 AIR TO AIR [X]
250.800 AIR TO AIR [X]
251.600 SOLOS 5 AND 6 [X]
254.550 SOLOS
263.350 AIR TO AIR [X]
263.500 AIR TO AIR [X]
263.650 REPORTED OPERATIONS
264.550 AIR TO AIR
275.350 SOLOS 1 AND 4 [X]
275.800 AIR TO AIR
302.150 NEW SOLOS CHANNEL [X]
304.400 AIR TO AIR
307.700 REPORTED OPERATIONS
319.800 REPORTED OPERATIONS

345.800 AIR TO AIR
345.900 AIR TO AIR [X]
360.400 AIR TO AIR
383.400 FORWARD CONTROLLER
384.400 AIR TO AIR
391.900 AIR TO AIR
395.900 AIR TO AIR
408.400 MAINTENANCE
418.050 MAINTENANCE

In article <20010531013519.16607.00002150@ng-mf1.aol.com>,
bcolenso@aol.com\nospam (BColenso) wrote:

> Hi all:
>
> I'm new here, and this is my first post.
>
> The Blue Angles will be in western Michigan in July. Can anyone tell me what
> frequencies they use?
>
> Thanks,
> Bob
>
>
> Remove the obvious when responding by e-mail
>
> U of M GO BLUE !!!

21. Introduction to Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 13:03:16 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <9f83qk$838@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 64742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

Last changed: March 21, 2001.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Introduction to Scanning
by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTES: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
http://www.grove-ent.com but views expressed in this
article are his own.

This introduction is intended for people new to the
scanning hobby and is oriented to scanning in the USA.
High level overviews of the following topics are presented:

Why Scanning?
Is Scanning Legal?
What Scanner Should I Buy?
What is a CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature?
How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?
Scanner Antennas
Coaxial Cable Feedlines
Where Can I Buy A Scanner?
Modifying Your Scanner
Scanner Repair Shops
Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?
Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work
How Can I Use Equipment to Uncover New Frequencies?
Scanner Clubs and Mailing Lists

Why Scanning?

Every day and night, scanner hobbyists are entertained by
what they overhear on their radios. Police cars, fire

engines, ambulances, airplanes, armored cars, trains, taxis, and buses are all equipped with radios and you can listen to them. You can monitor the local sheriff and fire departments to hear about events "as they happen," before the news reporters hear about them. Hostage dramas, bank robberies, car crashes, chemical spills, neighbor and domestic disputes, tornado sightings are all fair game. In a single afternoon, you can hear a high speed police chase, Drug Enforcement agents on a sting operation, and undercover FBI agents as they stakeout a suspect.

How about listening to a team of G-men protect the president while transmitting in the 167 MHz range?

Baby monitor intercoms are actually transmitters and you can hear them between 49.67 and 49.99 MHz.

Stay ahead of road conditions by listening to highway road crews, snow plows, and traffic helicopter pilots. Many midwesterners monitor the state police and and county sheriff to learn of approaching tornados long before warnings are broadcast on TV and commercial radio. Take your scanner to sporting events and listen to race car drivers, football coaches, etc., in the 151, 154, and 468 MHz ranges.

Monitor the everyday hustle and bustle of businesses, from cable TV repair crews tracking down pirate descrambler boxes, to security guards at your nuclear power plant or mall security guards chasing a shoplifter.

Is Scanning Legal?

In the United States, scanning from your home or at work is perfectly legal in most situations. The Electronic Communications Privacy Act of 1986 made it illegal to listen to mobile phones, common carrier paging, and a few other types of communication. Public law 103-414, signed Oct. 25, 1994, amended the law (Title 18 USC, Part I, chapter 119, sections 25110 and 2511) and outlaws listening to cordless phones, too, but many scanners cover these frequencies.

It is illegal for companies to sell or import into the USA recently manufactured scanners which cover or can be easily modified to cover the cellular phone frequencies, but sales by private individuals will still be allowed.

The rules define "readily altered by the user" as "clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such as a diode, resistor and/or jumper wire; replacing a plug-in semiconductor chip; or programming a semiconductor chip using special access codes or an external device, such as a

personal computer."

Speaking of privacy, federal law also requires you to keep what you hear to yourself and not use the information you hear on your scanner for personal gain.

Be aware that several states have laws pertaining to scanning while in your car. Indiana restricts some portable scanners. A few states have enacted their own laws against listening to cordless phones. You can find out about these restrictions in a paperback book, Listeners Lawbook, compiled by Frank Terranella, Esq. available for $9.95 + shipping from Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902. http://www.grove-ent.com

What Scanner Should I Buy?

Radio Shack and Uniden (maker of Bearcat, Regency, and Cobra brands) offer a wide choice of scanners. Radio Shack scanners are actually manufactured by both GRE (General Research Electronics) and by Uniden. Personally, I don't recommend Trident nor many of the AOR brand scanners, although the AR8000 and AR3000 have good reputations and the AR5000 works well.

Programmable (synthesized) units have replaced crystal controlled models as they don't require crystals and usually have a keypad that permits you to store frequencies into channels. Programmables are now so cheap it doesn't make sense to buy a crystal unit as your main scanner unless you get it for under $45 or so.

You can get a battery operated hand held scanner, a bigger "base" scanner which is powered from an AC outlet, or a mobile scanner which connects to your auto's electrical system. There are tradeoffs -- base and mobile scanners have larger displays and almost always provide more audio than portables, and some portables are more prone to interference when connected to outdoor antennas than base models. But when severe weather knocks out the power in your home, there's nothing like having a battery operated scanner to monitor the power utility and police frequencies!

Make sure your first scanner has a "search" feature, which allows it to search all the frequencies between two frequency limits of your choosing. Beware of low cost programmables which can't search. Some models, like the early Uniden SportCats and Radio Shack PRO-2046, allow searching between limits, but the limits are factory programmed and cannot be altered.

Get a model which covers the 800 MHz band. Usage of the 800 - 950 MHz band is growing fast and you will miss out on the action unless your scanner covers this band.

If you're not sure whether you'll like scanning, don't want to spend much money, a 50 channel scanner will do. In general, the more channels and banks, the better.

Most of the action takes place on frequencies between 30 and 1000 MHz, so don't be misled by scanner models boasting coverage from 3 to 2000 MHz. There's currently not much to monitor in the 1000 - 2000 MHz range.

If you are interested in receiving short wave, that is, signals in the 3 - 30 MHz range, it's best to get a short wave radio specifically designed for that purpose. Although some scanners receive the short wave band, their performance in that range is often second rate. The $1500 Icom R8500 and $2000 AOR AR5000 models are exceptions.

Deluxe scanners can be controlled and/or downloaded by a personal computer, a feature which appeals to a small but growing number of scanner owners.

Almost all low and mid-priced scanners are prone to receiving images -- receiving the same signal erroneously on two or more frequencies. With a few exceptions, images are unwelcome due to the interference they cause, e.g., hearing aircraft transmissions while the scanner is tuned to the local police frequency. Premium quality scanners use "up conversion" circuitry, a scheme which greatly reduces, but does not eliminate, image reception.

Currently, the more popular scanners include the discontinued Radio Shack PRO-2042 and PRO-2006 base/mobiles, the Uniden/Bearcat BC780XLT, BC895XLT, BC245XLT, SC200, and Radio Shack PRO-92 and PRO-94 portables. A number of owners report problems with the Icom R1 portable and the older Uniden/Bearcat 8500XLT base and 2500XLT portable. The Uniden BC9000XLT base and BC3000XLT portable work very well. The ICOM IC-R2 and Yaesu VR-500 are the two smallest "pocket" scanners which perform. Both are tiny enough to fit comfortably in a shirt pocket so you can monitor without attracting undue attention.

Looking for value? At $170 discount price, the 100 channel Uniden/Bearcat BC278CLT base is a good value and available at most Sears and Best Buy stores. The Uniden Sportcat SC200 portable performs very well is an excellent value at its $220 street price.

CTCSS and DCS Tone Squelch Feature

Upscale scanners can be optioned with a subaudible tone decoding squelch circuit. There are two types: CTCSS (Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System) and DCS (Digital Coded Squelch). In simple terms, this feature lets your scanner ignore signals you don't want to hear.

Most land mobile two way radios, except trunked systems, transmit either CTCSS or DCS signals subaudibly along with the voice information. U.S. military field radios employ wider deviation and a 150 Hz CTCSS tone, not supported by most scanners or CTCSS decoders.

There are over 40 different CTCSS codes and you can program a specific CTCSS or DCS code into each memory channel. Using a CTCSS or DCS decoder permits your scanner to open the squelch only if the transmission has a code which matches the code you program.

CTCSS and DCS decoding offers several advantages:

1. Ignore unwanted signals on shared frequencies: It is common for several users to share the same frequency, e.g., my county sheriff uses the same frequency as Chicago Police, located about 60 miles away. I want to hear my sheriff's transmissions but don't want the scanner to stop on or listen to Chicago Police transmissions. This is especially a problem when radio propagation is good, e.g., during a "band opening," when reception range is temporarily increased.

2. Combat intermodulation interference: Helps keep out intermod and image interference from strong pagers and other signals which are not transmitted with a matching code.

3. Ignore scrambled transmissions: Many federal law enforcement agencies (FBI, Secret Service, etc) and some local police agencies employ digital voice scrambling, which sounds like white noise and is annoying to hear. The CTCSS or DCS code is sent only when the station is transmitting unscrambled, or "in the clear." You can program federal frequencies along with the proper code into your decoder-equipped scanner so you won't have to listen to the scrambled mess and only hear their transmissions when they are unscrambled.

CTCSS also goes by various tradenames: Motorola's PL (Private Line), GE's Channel Guard, and RCA's Quiet Channel. Motorola uses the tradename DPL (Digital Private Line) for its digital coded squelch.

How Do I Determine the Proper CTCSS or DCS Code?

There are a few ways to determine the CTCSS or DCS code transmitted by a station:

1. Use an outboard CTCSS/DCS reader which must be attached to the discriminator output circuitry inside the scanner. The reader has an LCD or LED display which indicates the code when a station transmits. I use a CSI model CD-1 Communications Decoder Unit, an an excellent reader which sells new for about $200. (Connect Systems Inc., Ventura, CA, 800-545-1349, http://www.connectsystems.com).
2. Many of the ScannerMaster guides, as well as scanner club newsletters, print the CTCSS codes used by transmitting stations.
3. On some scanners equipped with the CTCSS squelch, you can program into memory the frequency of interest. Then you "tune" slowly through all the different CTCSS and/or DCS codes until the squelch opens and you hear the dispatcher talking. This is a slow process and the station has to keep transmitting during it.
4. A slow, brute force method is to program the same frequency but a different CTCSS code into several channels and see where your scanner stops.
5. In some cases, I've actually examined the walkie-talkies I want to monitor and found the CTCSS code printed on a label on or inside the radio.

Scanner Antennas

All scanners come with a built in antenna, permitting reception up to about 20 miles or so.

Portable scanners are supplied with a helical (spring shaped) antenna, covered by rubber. The rubberized antennas furnished with current models are too stiff and place stress on the antenna jack. Older scanners to be supplied with more flexible antennas. I prefer these alternative antennas for use with portable scanners:

1. The Icom FA-1433B dual band flex antenna, a thin and very flexible rubber antenna, is slightly longer than a stock heliflex but provides better performance. The Premier (Prime) RD-9 and RD-9SMA are about the same length but even thinner.
2. The Austin Condor is a 12" long rubberized antenna available for about $30. While considerably longer than the stock antenna and the FA-1443B, the Condor is very flexible and provides VHF-high band reception superior to both. It is not designed to cover the VHF-low band, but will allow reception there at least as good as shorter antennas. The Austin Condor is available from Grove Enterprises.
3. The "Thin-Stick" is an 18 inch telescoping antenna for

extended range. It is made in USA by Smiley Antenna Co., Inc. and is designed to cover both the 2 meter and 220 MHz bands, but its variable length means it can be adjusted for optimum performance on other bands. What makes the Thin-Stick different from an ordinary telescoping antenna is the spring between the antenna and the connector portions. The spring absorbs most of the impact if the antenna collides with an object. The street price is about $17 and it is available from Amateur Electronic Supply, Milwaukee and other retailers.

Outdoor antennas, like the Channel Master 5094A (available from Amateur Electronic Supply) or Antenna Specialists AV-801 (recently discontinued), can extend reliable reception to 50 miles or more, depending on terrain. If you do use an outdoor antenna, be sure to disconnect and ground it during storms and when not in use to avoid a lightning hazard. Discone and ground plane type antennas can be more prone to cause scanner damage due to static charge buildup because they are not at "DC ground."

Coaxial Cable Feedlines

If your antenna installation requires more than 50 feet of feedline, use RG213/U or high quality RG8/U coaxial cable. RG213/U, e.g., Belden type 8267, has a non-contaminating jacket and will last longer. Each has an outer diameter of about 13/32". Similarly sized Belden 9913 cable and clones have lower attenuation but are difficult to bend, require special connectors, and can accumulate moisture inside because they are hollow.

If you must use a small diameter cable for long runs, use RG6/U. Avoid RG58/U (7/32" OD) due to its losses at high frequencies. RG8/X (1/4" OD) is suitable for short patch cords.

Where Can I Buy A Scanner?

Almost every community has at least one Radio Shack store, and you can find scanners there. Be sure it is on sale, as Radio Shack list prices are high and their scanners are offered at reduced prices by mail order discounters.

Discount chain stores like Wal-Mart, Best Buy, K-Mart, Service Merchandise, and Circuit City sell scanners, but carry just a few models. Department stores, like Sears Roebuck and Montgomery Wards, sometimes offer low end scanners, although at high prices.

The best buys on new scanners are from reputable mail order radio dealers, for example:

- Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC 28902. For a free catalog, call (828)837-9200. Telephone (orders only): (800)438-8155. Web page is http://www.grove-ent.com

- National Electronics (formerly National Tower Company), PO Box 15417, Shawnee Mission, KS 66215. Telephone (800)762-5049, (913)888-8864. Web page is http://www.sound.net/~ne/

- The Ham Station, 220 N. Fulton Ave., Evansville, IN 47719-0522. Telephone (800)729-4373, (812)422-0231.

- Scanner World (Albany, NY). Telephone (518)436-9606.

- Amateur Electronics Supply (Milwaukee, WI). Telephone (800)558-0411. Web page is http://www.aesham.com

Beware of inflated "shipping and handling" charges and be sure to include these when comparison shopping.

Used scanners may be found at hamfests, flea markets, garage sales, or listed in the classified advertisement section of your newspaper.

Modifying Your Scanner

The term "mod" is often used as shorthand for "modification." If you are handy with a soldering iron, you may be interested in modifying ("modding") your scanner to add features or enhance its performance. Be warned this usually voids your warranty and current models are difficult to service due to the small, delicate surface mount components. Modifying any scanner to receive cellular phone signals is now considered illegal. By federal regulation, new scanner models must be designed to they cannot be easily modified to tune the cellular phone bands.

The term "mod" has been narrowly used by some people to mean a change which permits a scanner to receive cellular phone frequencies. This definition is far too restrictive as there are several ways one could modify a scanner, e.g., changes to improve audio quality, adding an S-meter, expanding the number of channels, changing the earphone jack to accept stereo headphones, etc.

Modification article files can be copied from several ftp sites including the /pub/hamradio/mods directory at:

garfield.catt.ncsu.edu
oak.oakland.edu (IP address 141.210.10.117)

Modification articles posted on Usenet seem to have a life of their own. Frequently, they are plagiarized from the

Internet and compiled by book, CDROM, and magazine publishers, sometimes reworded, then sold. Hobbyists then copy the modifications from the books, CDROMs, and magazines back onto the Internet or BBSs (bulletin board systems)!

Grove Enterprises and other companies advertise a warranted, modification for fee service.

Scanner Repair Shops

Is your scanner broken? Aside from sending the scanner back to the manufacturer for repair, here is one company which repairs scanners:

- G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) - (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com) This family owned company repairs scanners and pagers and stocks parts for several older models. G & G cannot usually repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. G & G sells and sometimes buys old scanners and parts, too. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482.

Repair tips for Bearcat and Radio Shack scanners are discussed in two other FAQ articles.

Where Can I Obtain Frequency Information?

To avoid chaos, the FCC licenses two-way radio users and assigns them specific frequencies. Groups of frequencies are allocated to specific types of users, so you won't usually find fire departments using the same frequencies as taxi drivers, for example.

Scanner enthusiasts can obtain frequency information from several sources, including books, government microfiche records, or other listeners.

Books: The most convenient source of fire, police, and local government frequencies is Gene Hughes' Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and larger book stores. The newest editions contain abbreviated business listings, too, although callsigns and other details are listed only for local government and public safety licensees.

I also recommend the book, Monitor America, published by SMB Publishing (now known as Scanner Master Publishing), and available from Grove Enterprises for about $30. A 1100 page 3rd edition is now available. Monitor America contains several pages of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, federal government, and commercial

broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. It contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Uniden has published several regional directories using the "Betty Bearcat" name, although there are much better directories available from Scanner Master (Newton Highlands, MA, tel. (508)655-6300) for some regions.

The most readily available source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Commercial Magazines: Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times (tel. 828-837-9200, http://www.grove-ent.com) and the sensationalistic Popular Communications, (tel. 516-681-2922). National Scanning (NatScan) is a national scanner magazine published bimonthly and is affiliated with Uniden's Bearcat Radio Club. The best scanner frequency lists are often found in club publications, not commercial magazines, and are discussed later.

Government Records: Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS). The high cost of buying government records limits their appeal to hardcore enthusiasts. You can write for a catalog of FCC Master Frequency Database items to the NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161.

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the

public on CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM. The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for under $29 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. The Spring 1995 edition works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments.

Grove enterprises sells FCC license information on CDROM, too.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country since 1994, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 11" by 17" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)

dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $10.00, the deluxe report includes an extra index sorted by frequency and a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $39.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram and additional index by frequency. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Do Your Own Frequency Detective Work

When you try listening to a frequency for the first time, you'll want to know who you're hearing.

Although FCC rules require radio systems to identify their operations with their assigned call letters either automatically or verbally, most ignore the regulation. This often makes it difficult to know who is transmitting. Moreover, many radios are now being placed in service illegally, without first obtaining the required FCC license.

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and try to determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an

invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

You can examine the FCC license on premise. I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the mall security office or company guard shack. You can examine the labels on radio equipment. Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret. Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement, however.

How Can I Use Equipment
to Uncover New Frequencies?

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. A few models, like the ICOM R7000/R7100, and R1, and older Bearcat 250 and Regency K500, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy, a Radio Shack CTR-82 will do. It's best to use a shielded, attenuating cable to feed the scanner audio into the recorder rather than relying on the recorder's internal microphone.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at

the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

Are There Any Scanner Clubs or Mailing List?

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than any pile of magazines.

Clay Irving <clay@panix.com> administers a scanner electronic mailing list, called "scanning." To subscribe, send an Email message to: majordomo@lists.panix.com

In the body of the Email message, put: subscribe scanning

Other scanner mailing lists include: scanner@onelist.com, scan-l@onelist.com, scanner@qth.net, and sonofrcma@onelist.com.

All Ohio Scanner Club

A smaller club is the All Ohio Scanner Club. Its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio and the Northeastern states, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of good product reviews and scanning tips.

Annual dues are $18.50 and more information is available from:

Dave Marshall, Managing Editor
All Ohio Scanner Club,
50 Villa Road,
Springfield, OH 45503.

Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association

The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association (CARMA) is the foremost club for scanner radio hobbyists in the northern Illinois area. CARMA was formed from the Chicago chapter of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), and we have been meeting since the early 1980s.

Many CARMA members are active in ham radio and GMRS, but the club is devoted to scanning and scanners.

Meetings are held on Saturdays, many at area restaurants, about 6 times a year. Although lunch starts at noon, the meetings begin officially at 1 PM and often run until about

4 PM. You must purchase a lunch since we get the room for free. Meetings consist of a quick review of club business, sometimes a special presentation, and a "round table" discussion/question & answer session. Large quantities of information and frequency lists are often distributed in the form of free handouts. Members often sell radio equipment at the meetings, too.

In addition to regular meetings, there are two CARMA picnic/field days during which members meet at a park, erect antennas, eat, and operate scanners from battery power. CARMA members take organized tours of various communications facilities in the area.

Club meeting dates and times are published on the CARMA email list, carma@qth.net. You can obtain a free electronic subscription to the CARMA email list. For more info, see:

http://www.qth.net

The club's mailing address is:

CARMA
P.O. Box 2681
Glenview, IL 60025

Most meetings are be held on Saturdays at Phillies Pizza, 6300S. Kingery Highway, located in the shopping center at the corner Rt. 83 and 53rd St. in Willowbrook, IL.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

22. How to Find Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 13:03:22 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <9f83qq$839@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 64741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed March 21, 2001

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

HOW DO YOU FIND THESE SCANNER FREQUENCIES?

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and
rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from
the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET
FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner. The author writes a monthly
"Scanner Equipment" column for Monitoring Times magazine,
published by Grove Enterprises, but views expressed in this
article are his own.]

I am often asked, "How do you find these frequencies?"
This article answers the question in various sections:

Books
Magazines
Government Records
Web Sites
Federal Radio Stations
Radio Clubs
Do Your Own Sleuthing
What Makes Station Identification Difficult?
Examine the FCC License on Premise
Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment
Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band
Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage
How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example
Summary
Addresses

Books

The most convenient source of fire and police frequencies
is the Police Call Plus, published each year in 9 regional
volumes by Hollins Radio Data, and sold at Radio Shack and

larger book stores for under $13. Police Call Plus is basically a computer printout of FCC license information in the fire, police, local government, and conservation services in two lists: by licensee name within state, and by frequency. A few pages of local airport and nonsensitive federal government frequencies are included. Selected business frequencies are listed, too, but without callsigns and other details.

I highly recommend Richard Barnett's book, Monitor America, published by Scanner Master Corp. A 3rd edition is available from Grove Enterprises http://www.grove-ent.com for about $30. It is crammed full of police, fire, local government, news media, sports, national park, and commercial broadcast frequencies for all 50 states. The information was compiled mainly from members of the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now defunt. Monitor America contains detailed communications system profiles and precinct maps for major metropolitan areas. Police and fire radio codes and unit identifiers unique to local agencies are listed for several cities. This differs from Police Call Plus, which gives a more sterile, but uniform treatment of licensees, listing even the smallest of towns.

Scanner Master also publishes regional frequency guides for Illinois, Florida, Virginia, Massachusetts, New Jersey, and other states.

Aeronautical frequencies are covered in the Aeronautical Frequency Directory, written by Bob Coburn, W1JJO. Although most of the information is about civilian aviation, Bob included sections on military mid-air refueling and CAP. The 401 page third edition is available from Official Scanner Guides (P.O. Box 525-NS, Londonderry, NH 03053). The same publisher sells the Maritime Frequency Directory and frequency guides for several New England states. Some of these books are available through Radio Shack, too.

The longstanding source of sensitive US government frequencies is still Tom Kneitel's Top Secret Registry of US Government Radio Frequencies. Published by CRB Research, the 8th edition is available from Grove Enterprises for about $22. Kneitel's book contains frequency listings for NASA, military, FBI, Secret Service, DEA, IRS, Border Patrol, arsenals, ammunition plants, missile sites, and others in the 25 to 470 MHz range. Since the US government no longer offers frequency information for its own stations, and has never published sensitive frequencies, most of the information in Kneitel's

book has been collected from listeners over the years. It is certainly not complete, nor 100% accurate, but is a good book for this difficult to obtain information.

Tab Books Master Frequency File, first edition, written by James Tunnell and Robert Kelty, lists federal agencies and frequencies and deserves a read. However, there are no military listings and many pages are devoted to appendices and references which contain no frequency listings. The space would be much better used by a combined federal frequency list sorted by frequency.

Magazines

Although national in circulation, local frequency information is sometimes available in Grove's Monitoring Times and the sensationalistic Popular Communications magazines.

Government Records

Every year, the US Government sells FCC license information, in the form of microfiche, floppy disk, and magnetic tape, to the public through the US Department of Commerce National Technical Information Service (NTIS).

These lists contain license information for the industrial (e.g. Illinois Armored Car, Pinkerton's Security, Joe's Towing, etc.), highway maintenance, commercial broadcast, aviation, common carrier, and maritime services, as well as for police and fire. For more info call NTIS at 703-487-4630.

Back in "the good old days," Grove Enterprises sold copies of some FCC microfiche files, and this was much cheaper than buying directly from NTIS. Grove no longer sells microfiche, but sells information on CDROM instead.

You can query FCC databases directly from this web page: http://www.fcc.gov/searchtools.html

The FCC has an agreement with PerCon (tel. 716-386-6015), a private company, to sell FCC license information to the public on both floppy disks and CDROMs. You can buy the full license information for a multi state region or a less detailed license database covering the the entire USA on CDROM for about $100.

The PerCon Spectrum CDROM sells for under $30 and contains a handful of fields for every FCC license in the US. However, Public Safety license information contained in the Winter 1996 Spectrum doesn't appear as up to date as in the 1997 Police Call Plus.

Spectrum works in DOS, Windows, and Mac environments. One can try restricted sample searches of the Spectrum CD for free via PerCon's web page at http://www.perconcorp.com/db_srch.htm .

Companies have appeared which are "plugged into" the FCC licensing system and they sell computer time allowing on-line file access. They also sell paper copies of FCC information. Washington Radio Reports is one example. A monthly publication, it lists license applications made to the FCC. A few members of my scanner club subscribe and share the information with me.

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country since 1994, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 11" by 17" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $10.00, the deluxe report includes and extra index sorted by frequency and a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $39.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram and additional index by frequency. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

Web Sites

If you have access to the World Wide Web, you can visit dozens of sites with local frequency lists. You can look up civilian aero frequencies for specific airports at: http://www.airnav.com/airports/.

Other frequency web sites include:
http://w5gb.nmsu.edu/kc5kto/,
http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/, and
http://www.scannerway.8m.com.

Federal Radio Stations - Not Licensed by FCC

Since federal government radio stations are not licensed by the FCC, they are not listed in FCC microfiche. In 1981, a group of 60 radio hobbyists split a $1300 fee, and obtained 80 microfiche cards of 'sanitized' information about federal government radio stations under the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA). (See "The Government Giveth, the Government Taketh Away", by Richard Prelinger, in Monitoring Times, July 1982.)

Only 12 of the 21 information fields for each station were furnished. Fields like "Remarks", which indicate the exact usage of a channel (e.g. "Sky Marshall's Net"), and "Bureau", indicating agency subdivision (e.g. TAC within the USAF), were withheld. These 80 pages of microfiche were sold by Grove Enterprises for $25, but are no longer available from that source. Private entrepreneurs have been known to ask $125 or more for a set! In a step backward, the US Government insists it will no longer release this type of information - it is now 'classified'.

(See "AFIO and the FOIA", by Bob Grove, in Monitoring Times, September 1982.)

For a reason unknown to this author, the government released a 1984 vintage set of frequencies allocated to the FAA. Perhaps this was a mistake, because the information is marked 'unclassified', but all fields are furnished, including some which indicate security related usage. Grove sold this set of 33 microfiche cards for about $13.

Two way radio equipment formerly used by the armed forces often appears for sale at hamfests. Less often, Secret Service and FBI radios appear there from time to time, too. When you see such equipment, look over the radio for a frequency label and write down the frequencies stamped on the crystals or channel elements. Make note of any service or property tags which specify the agency or military base which used the equipment.

Radio Clubs

One of the best parts of the hobby is sharing it with other radio buffs. Trading information with other hobbyists about frequencies, communication systems, and receiving equipment is more valuable than a pile of magazines.

Since the early 1960s, I had been an amateur radio operator, and belonged to amateur radio clubs, but I never realized there were any scanner clubs! In 1983, I joined the world's largest scanner club, the Radio Communications Monitoring Association (RCMA), now out of business. There are several regional scanner clubs, spun off from the former RCMA, which hold regular meetings.

Another club which prints sensitive federal frequencies is the All Ohio Scanner Club. I enjoy its bimonthly publication, The American Scannergram, which is about 60 pages long. Although concentrating on Ohio, there is frequency information from other states, and plenty of product reviews and scanning tips.

More information is available on the WWW page http://aosc.rpmdp.com and from:

All Ohio Scanner Club
50 Villa Road
Springfield, OH 45503

Do Your Own Sleuthing

There is a challenge in deriving new spectrum usage information on your own. Sometimes it requires several days of listening, taping, and compiling fragments of

information. Other times, the frequency information is there for the taking - without hassle.

You can approach from two directions:

1. Listen first: Monitor a frequency or frequencies, and determine who's transmitting and what purpose the channel serves. Once you identify the user, log the information.

2. Compile first: Take advantage of opportunities, such as examining the frequency label on a guard's radio, or reading the FCC license hanging on the "radio room" wall, to compile frequency lists, then monitor the listed frequencies to confirm that they are really in use. Readers are urged to abide by the rules of good taste and local laws in the quest for frequency information. Don't trespass, wait for an invitation.

Most listeners use a combination of both approaches.

What Makes Station Identification Difficult?

In most instances, FCC rules require radio users to identify their operations with FCC assigned call letters. Police and fire departments, especially those with trained radio dispatchers, seem particularly conscientious about station identification. Like commercial broadcasters, many of these stations identify on the hour and the half hour.

Some repeater stations have Morse code identification circuits which transmit call letters on a periodic basis, insuring compliance with FCC rules.

On the other hand, over 75% the industrial radio stations monitored within the last year ignore the FCC regulation, making it difficult for a listener to identify a station. Some stations may operate for years using the nondescriptive "base to mobile 2" or "Joe to base" protocol. One rung up the hierarchy are stations that identify using something like "Acme base to 107", giving the listener a clue for his log. If call letters are given, they are often rendered unintelligible by operators who fail to enunciate. The failure to identify is more likely due to sloppiness, rather than any attempt to hide station identity.

While not regulated by the FCC, federal government radio stations vary in the extent to which they identify their transmissions. Some federal stations do not have call letters. A nearby paging transmitter periodically transmits a voice recording announcing, "This is the Army

Joliet Ammunition Plant." What more could a listener ask for?

Aside from a scanner and antenna, the most useful piece of equipment for sleuthing is a voice actuated (VOX) cassette tape recorder. You don't need a high fidelity model or anything fancy. I use two modified Radio Shack CTR-75 recorders, a discontinued model.

VOX recorders allow one to compress a whole day's worth of monitoring onto a single tape. I often leave a recorder "armed" and connected to a scanner at home while I am at the office or doing something else. When call letters are mumbled, I can play and replay the tape until I hear and understand them.

If you don't have a VOX recorder, consider a device like the NiteLogger II tape recorder controller, made by Benjamin Michael Industries. It connects simply between scanner and recorder and contains sound activation circuitry to trigger the recorder.

The following examples illustrate techniques I've used to derive new frequency information.

Examine the FCC License on Premise

I have found the actual FCC radio license, complete with frequency assignments, hanging on the walls of places like the Bell Labs security office and the guard shack at Waste Management's Greene Valley Landfill in Naperville.

Examine the Labels on Radio Equipment

Frequency information is engraved on labels on the back of many walkie-talkies, or inside the battery compartment, like in the Motorola HT220 model. Most pagers have labels on the bottom or inside. Like passwords taped onto terminals, it's not uncommon to find Dymo or P-Touch tape labels embossed with frequencies or call letters glued to the front of base stations.

You can make your own opportunities for eyeing the equipment or take advantage of "open house" events. If information is displayed publicly, then a reasonable person could assume it's not government secret.

- At the annual Glenview Naval Air Station open house, I examined a military manpack radio being used by dispensary paramedics. The radio's tuning dial was set at 34.15 MHz.

- The Illinois Army National Guard displayed two armored personnel carriers at the local county fair, each

equipped with VHF-FM and HF-SSB transceivers.

In addition to a tuning control (VFO), the VHF-FM radio had a set of channel select pushbuttons, much like those in a car radio. I asked a guardsman a few questions about the radio, and he demonstrated the channel preset feature. A panel above the channel pushbuttons was labeled with the frequencies: 32.055, 34.45, 35.35, 40.55, and 40.60 MHz.

- An Army National Guard UH1 helicopter was displayed at the Marseilles armory "open house". The public was permitted to climb aboard, and observe the instrumentation and radio gear. A channel plate on the instrument cluster listed over a dozen frequencies.

Hobbyists are urged to exercise a modicum of restraint and good judgement. In New Jersey, a radio technician/hobbyist called to service a transmitter in a county building, noticed a new unattended repeater installation in the same room. Being curious about what frequency this repeater was on, he opened the access door to copy the frequencies from the radio's crystals. It turns out that this radio belonged to the US Secret Service, and opening the access door could have activated a "tamper alarm"!

The tech was skating on thin ice. He had nobody's permission to tamper with that equipment.

Another source of frequency information is as close as your nearest Radio Shack store. Some Radio Shack stores make a local frequency list available to assist their scanner customers. Be sure to ask. Stores located in shopping malls almost always know the mall security frequencies. I often check the frequencies programmed into the floor model scanners, too.

Examine the Antenna to Determine Frequency Band

You can often determine a transmitter's frequency band by the size and type of antenna used.

The Police Call Plus book contains sketches of antennas. Antenna catalogs are crammed full with antenna pictures and specifications and they are usually free. Contact Antenna Specialists (216-349-8400), Cushcraft (603-627-7877), Tessco (800-472-7373), Maxrad, Larsen Electronics (800-426-1656), and other companies in the land mobile equipment business.

Equipment to Determine Frequency Usage

If you don't know the exact frequency, but have a general idea of the range (e.g. 150 - 152 MHz), use your scanner's "search" mode. Most programmable scanners afford the ability to search between two frequency limits set by the user. Some models, including the Radio Shack PRO-2035, ICOM R7000/R7100, Bearcat 2500XLT, and Bearcat 250, have the ability to automatically store active frequencies found during an unattended search operation.

To find the frequency of a hotel communications system, one fellow installed his Bearcat 250 in his car and parked in the hotel lot, leaving the scanner in the "search and store" mode. He left the antenna disconnected so the scanner would only respond to a transmitter in the immediate vicinity.

Test equipment can aid in the quest for new frequency information. I've used a spectrum analyzer connected to an outside antenna, another spectrum analyzer connected to a receiver IF stage, and a frequency counter for close-in work.

How Can I Determine To Whom I'm Listening? - An Example

While scanning the industrial frequencies in the 150 MHz range, a van driver was overheard communicating with "base" while driving around my town. The stations involved never used FCC call signs -- this would have made life a lot easier for me, and legal for them! (one may use FCC license microfiche, described earlier, to identify stations using call letters.) Transmissions were short and infrequent, so it was decided to tape record all transmissions on this frequency for several days to determine the station's identity.

During daylight hours, a modified Regency K500 scanner was left tuned to the target frequency, connected to a cheap tape recorder through a home built interface. Using a carrier operated relay, the tape interface supplied power to the recorder only during radio transmissions, so a day's worth of traffic could be compressed into a 45 minute tape.

Each day, the tape was played back and notes on names, locations, and activities mentioned during the day's transmissions were taken. The van driver appeared to be making daily stops at a local bank and two shopping malls. A Walgreen's store seemed to be the only stop at one mall. A few times, "base" ordered the van "back to the Training Center." There were frequent references to "guests checking out", "dropping a guest off", and "instructor[s] missing a class". At times, "base" spoke with "security", who must have been using a walkie-talkie as his signals

weren't strong enough to hear.

Was this a hotel? Calls to the three local hotels revealed that none provides shuttle bus service to the shopping malls. A call to the Walgreen's, inquiring about bus service to the store, drew another blank. During my shopping trips, I began to pay closer attention to vans with antennas driving through the parking lots.

I was leaving the mall one day, when a week's effort paid off. A maroon and white van, equipped with a VHF-Hi antenna, was dropping shoppers off at Walgreen's. A sign on the van's door read:

XYZ Central Training Center (XYZ is a pseudonym for the actual licensee name.)
Lisle, Illinois

I watched the driver pick up a microphone, and listened to him on my portable scanner checking back with his "base".

All the pieces fit: the "guests", the "classes", the "instructors". Mystery solved; I had been monitoring the customer training center for a large computer manufacturer. The training center has hotel rooms and dining facilities to accommodate students from out of state. As a convenience, shuttle van service is provided to local shopping malls.

Summary

Through books, government records, and radio clubs, scanner listeners can make use of frequency information compiled by others. Two-way radio users often fail to identify their transmissions properly, making it more difficult for listeners to know who they are monitoring. By examining radio equipment labeling, and monitoring and taping transmissions, scanner enthusiasts can unearth new information.

Addresses

Grove Enterprises
7540 Highway 64 West
Brasstown, NC 28902.
tel (828)837-9200
order line (800)438-8155

Official Scanner Guides
P.O. Box 525-NS
Londonderry, NH 03053.
tel (603)432-2615
order line (800)351-7226

Scan America
430 Garner Drive,
Suffield, OH 44260-1557

Scanner Master,
PO Box 428
Newton Highlands, MA 02161.
telephone 1-800-722-6701.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

23. Buying a Used Scanner Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 13:03:27 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <9f83qv$83a@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 64738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed March 21, 2001

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

BUYING A USED SCANNER RADIO

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article discusses the purchase of used scanner radios
and includes these topics:

1. Motivation for buying a used scanner
2. A used scanner may be a broken scanner - where to get
it fixed
3. Evolution of the scanner
4. Obtaining crystals
5. Keyboard programmable scanners

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises
http://www.grove-ent.com but views expressed in this article
are his own.

Introduction

Anybody with enough money can buy a brand new scanner, but
you can save lots of money if you get a good deal on a used
scanner. Hamfests are probably the best place to find used
radios, but you must be familiar with the equipment.
Hamfests are repleat with older radios you won't see in
today's catalogs.

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. I purchase

most of my receivers at hamfests or horsetrade with other radio hobbyists.

Uniden sells refurbished scanners dn you can check current inventory at their web site:
http://www.uniden.com/docs/store

A Used Scanner May be a Broken Scanner

Getting a bargain is not without some risk. I have had sellers look me square in the eye and tell me their radio worked fine -- when it really didn't.

Buying a used portable scanner is riskier than buying a mobile or base model. Portable scanners are subject to more physical abuse and many have been dropped. If a radio has been dropped, the laws of probability dictate that the first point of impact was probably a corner, so be sure to examine each corner for evidence of trauma.

Scanners used in mobile service are subject to vibration, dust, and temperature extremes. This shouldn't dissuade you from buying a used mobile scanner, but be aware of possible complications.

You should have some recourse if the radio you buy turns out to be defective. For hints on fixing older Bearcat base scanners, see "Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises, http://www.grove-ent.com

If you can't fix the radio yourself, you can pay to have the manufacturer or a service clinic repair it for you. Radio Shack repairs scanners, and Uniden can repair newer Bearcat models but often refuses to service older models, claiming they are "unrepairable" and carving the letter "U" on the cabinet.

G & G Communications (telephone 716-768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts and crystals for several older models. They usually don't repair AOR scanners due to lack of manufacturer support. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation, (317)326-4419, is able to effect repairs on some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. They sell crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea). Electra Corporation is located at 251 N. 300 W. Greenfield, IN 46140-8496.

You may be able to obtain manuals for older Midland scanners from:

Midland Consumer Radio Products
1670, North Topping,
Kansas City,
MO: 64120
Phone: 816-241-8500

Evolution of the Scanner

It helps to understand some scanner history before shopping for a used scanner. You will likely see radios from many vintages at a hamfest, and should avoid buying early units unless you are a scanner collector.

One of the earliest ancestors to the scanner was the converter. Manufactured by Tompkins (Tuneaverter), Petersen, Bearcat (Lil Tiger), Midland, and others, converters were made to operate in conjunction with AM radios. Then came wide band monitor receivers, in both tunable and crystal control models, like the Radio Shack PRO-2B. Truthfully, converters and tuneable FM receivers are interesting but don't work well by today's standards.

While tuneable receivers were in vogue, solid state technologies supplanted tubes. Better performing, narrow band crystal controlled units, like the Sonar FR-105, followed. These units did not scan, rather channel selection was accomplished using a simple rotary switch. Sonar even made a 24 channel unit, model FR-2513, in which crystals were held in a rotary "turret."

The earliest scanners, like the 1968 vintage Bearcat BCH, BCL, and BCU models, did not provide individual channel lockout capability. Electra didn't use the term "scanner" and instead called these innovative radios "business receivers." Other models, like the SBE Sentinel, employed a "Channel 1 Bypass" switch so a user could lockout channel 1.

The first scanners came in single band models, followed by multiband models. As two-way radio users started to populate the 450 - 470 MHz band, consumers were forced to pay a premium for UHF scanner coverage. Some multiband scanners, like the Electra's Bearcat III, required an optional circuit board for each band.

There were scanner mutations, designs which never evolved -- odd combinations of AM broadcast receivers with VHF-FM receive capability, like the flamboyant turquoise Sonar Sentry FR-103 portables, Electra's Jolly Roger, and GE's Surveyor series (see US patent 4,011,515, granted 3/8/77). Lafayette Radio Electronics offered a few CB transceivers with VHF receiver capability. These poor performers didn't

interest consumers of that era and you should avoid these models unless you are a scanner collector.

The first programmable (crystal-less) scanners were difficult to program. Users had to look up frequencies in a code book and tediously program the information into the scanner in binary form. Some models, like the Tennelec MCP-1 (see US patent 3,961,261, granted 6/1/76), Bearcat BC-101 (see US patent 4,179,662, granted 8/4/78), and Radio Shack COMP 100, resembled Altair or PDP-8 computers, with a row of 16 or so toggle switches. Instead of toggle switches, the Regency WHAMO-10 was programmed by breaking teeth from metal combs. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. The GRE-manufactured SBE Optiscan (and its Sears clone) required poking or covering a series of holes in plastic cards which were then inserted into a slot on the front panel.

Keyboard Programmable Scanners

Both Bearcat and Regency, as well as Radio Shack offer some good models. I would avoid the Bearcat 100, and older scanners made by AOR, JIL, Fox, Tennelec, and Robyn.

Scanner features often differ not only by model but by manufacturer. For instance, most Radio Shack and Bearcat programmables allow enable/disable of the delay function on a per-channel basis. Older Regency units permit the delay to be enabled/disabled only globally, that is, for all the channels at one time.

All the older Radio Shack scanners were made by General Research Electronics (GRE). Uniden began making scanner models for Radio Shack in the late 1980s, and now supplies about half the Radio Shack scanners. The older GRE-made models scan a bit slowly and have a higher level of synthesizer noise. Most have too much hysteresis in the operation of the squelch control, but this can be fixed completely by replacing one resistor. Good, detailed shop manuals are available for Radio Shack units for $5 - $12.

In the name of cost cutting, some models have done away with the concept of a "channel bank", i.e. the ability to select/deselect a group of channels at a time. The bank concept was a good one. It may be inconvenient to operate a 30 channel scanner without banks (e.g. Regency MX3000, HX1000) if you operate the way many scanner hobbyists do.

If you want to buy an American-made scanner, you will have to buy an old model. Until the mid 1980s, rivals Regency and Electra (Bearcat) built most of the scanners in their Indiana and Puerto Rican factories. Uniden, a large

Japanese electronics company, purchased the scanner product lines of both competitors and moved production to Asia.

My favorite VHF/UHF receivers are the the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT, the 400 channel Radio Shack PRO-2005 and PRO-2006, and the ICOM R7000, R7100, and R8500. The ICOM models are more "communications receiver" than a conventional scanner.

For portable use, I prefer the ICOM IC-R2, Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT, and older Radio Shack PRO-43.

Obtaining Crystals

If you do purchase a crystal controlled scanner or monitor receiver, you will probably want to buy more crystals to cover local frequencies.

Scanner crystals may be ordered at your local Radio Shack store. Each Radio Shack store has a book containing frequencies and stock numbers. The crystals are mailed to your home within 10 days.

You can also order scanner crystals from one of the companies below. Be sure to specify the operating frequency you want and the brand and model of scanner.

Some companies may ask you to send a schematic of the scanner or require more detailed information, like series or parallel resonance, load capacitance, etc.

Crystals for Bearcat and other models are available from:

American Crystal Co.
1623 Central Ave.
Kansas City, KS 66102
tel. 913-342-5493

Bomar Crystal Company
201 Blackford Ave.
Middlesex, NJ 08846
tel. 908-356-7787

Cal Crystal Lab Inc.
1142 N. Gilbert St.
Anaheim, CA 92801
tel. 714-991-1580

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

G & G Communications
9247 Glenwood Drive
LeRoy, NY 14482.
tel. 716-768-8151

Jan Crystals
Box #-6017
Fort Myers, FL 33911
tel. 941-936-2397

Monitor Crystal Svc
124 W Walnut St Watseka, IL 60970
tel. 815-432-5296

Short product summaries for over 70 scanner models appear in
a separate article, entitled "Scanner Radio Review Briefs."
You may share information with scanner collectors via the
"vintagescanners" mailing list at:

http://www.onelist.com/group/vintagescanners

For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

24. Repair Tips for Bearcat Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 13:03:33 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <9f83r5$83b@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 64740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: March 21, 2001.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: BEARCAT SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1993 - 2001 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises
http://www.grove-ent.com but views expressed in this
article are his own.]

Introduction

The original Bearcat scanner line was manufactured by
Electra Company, a division of Masco Corp of Indiana. In
the mid 1980s, Uniden, a Japanese company, bought out the
Bearcat scanner line and Uniden's first Bearcat scanner was
the BC800XLT model.

Most of the models discussed in this article are
base/mobile units made by Electra during the 1970s and
1980s although some of the capacitor failures occur in the
Uniden BC800XLT, too.

Electra stamped all of its scanners with a manufacturing
date code on the rear of the cabinet. The code is comprised
of a single character (C = Cumberland, Indiana, P = Puerto
Rico), followed by four digits denoting year and week the
radio was built. For example, "P8422" denotes the radio was
made in the Puerto Rico factory during the 22nd week of
1984.

One way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine the
4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated

circuits. The radio must have been made after the most recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

The re-incorporated Electra Corporation sells crystals, antennas, power cords, owner's manuals ($11 ea) for some older (pre-Uniden) Bearcat scanners. Electra Corporation is located at 11915 E. Washington St., Cumberland, IN 46229. Phone 317-894-3229, email: electra@tcon.net,

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. To order a user manual for an Electra/Bearcat scanner from Uniden, call (800)235-3874 extension 2553. You can also download an electronic copy of the user manual for recent Bearcat models from the Uniden web site:

http://www.uniden.com/docs/service/support.htm

Some schematic diagrams may be obtained from Uniden's parts department, (800)297-1023. Uniden currently charges a flat rate of $54 for scanner repairs. They will repair and return "out of warranty" items without an estimate unless the repair charges exceed the flat rate charges.

The phone number for repair is (800)297-1023, too. I recommend you call repair before sending the broken scanner. Items for repair via UPS (include receipt if still under warranty) are usually sent to:

Uniden America Corp.
4700 Amon Carter Blvd.
P.O. Box 95002
Fort Worth, TX 76155

If Uniden doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.samswebsite.com

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

Multec Communications repairs several model Uniden scanners, but not Electra models. (http://www.rfwiz.com or email multeccomm@rfwiz.com)

Common Construction

Most of the classic, metal-cased Bearcat base/mobile models were built using two printed circuit boards:

1. a "radio board" containing the synthesizer, RF, IF, and audio stages, and
2. a "feature board" containing the microprocessor controller and memory circuitry which gave each model its own personality.

Although there are various vintage radios boards, they all have similar circuitry.

Bad Solder Joints Common

Before addressing specific symptoms, circuit boards in the malfunctioning scanner should be inspected for poor solder joints.

The Electra/Bearcat BC350, BC300, BC250, BC220, BC20/20, BC211, BC210, and BC210XL models were hand assembled, and every one I've serviced had several connections that were either soldered poorly, or not soldered at all.

Resoldering joints on the ribbon cable connecting the RF and keyboard logic circuit boards in a BC250 attenuated the microprocessor/synthesizer hash noise noticeably.

A Bearcat 20/20 was experiencing periodic loss of memory on some, but not all channels. When the problem occurred, the frequencies on some channels would be completely changed. On other channels, the frequency would still be intact, but the channel would be locked out, and the delay toggled from "on" to "off". Some channels were not affected.

The 2 "AA" memory backup batteries, and their holder, tested good. Much time was spent tracing logic, heating and cooling components, and making voltage measurements.

One of the secondary leads from the power transformer was connected to the main circuit board through a hole drilled through foil traces on both the top and bottom sides of the board. A close examination revealed that this lead had been soldered only on the top of the board - the bottom side had never been soldered.

Soldering the lead on both sides of the board solved the memory loss problem.

Matt Roberds fixed a BC220 which experienced memory loss if power was removed by resoldering the positive wire to 2-AA battery holder.

As built, the BC220 logic board is grounded only through its mounting screws. In the BC220, Matt recommends adding real wire ground jumpers from the main board to the feature

(logic) board to fix grounding problems.

Symptom: Blank Display

The BC300 scanner, and several other Bearcat models, employ a switching type power supply stage to generate plus and minus voltages in excess of 20 volts DC. When this switcher fails to function, the display goes blank, but the squelch control appears to work, and white noise can be heard in the speaker.

In almost a dozen of the BC300 and BC800XLT scanners I've fixed, C98, a capacitor in series with the primary of the switching transformer failed, causing the output of the supply to drop below the level needed to power the display. The switching transformer is mounted on the RF circuit board, and is much, much smaller than the main power transformer, which is usually fastened to the metal chassis. See March 1996 Monitoring Times magazine for BC800XLT capacitor locations and repair information.

The 22uF/16V capacitor used for C98 in early BC300s was marginal, and was replaced with a 47uF/25V capacitor in later units.

Jim Craig and Karl Klein repaired their BC210XWs by replacing the 22uF/35V C98 capacitor.

Paul Grohe replaced a failed C98 with a low ESR (equivalent series resistance), high frequency, switching regulator grade capacitor, e.g. a Panasonic HF series capacitor. He also recommends adding a 0.1 ceramic capacitor in parallel with C98.

I replaced the 22 uF capacitor in the switching power supply stage of a BC210XL which caused the same symptom. Other capacitors in the switcher stage have failed. C114, a 4.7 uF/35V tantalum capacitor failed in at least one BC250, causing the display to blank.

A more sinister problem affects the switcher in earlier models. The switching supply stage in the BC250 and original BC210 is driven by a clock signal derived from a custom Exar NC57902 divider integrated circuit (designated IC6 in the BC250 scanner). I've seen this divider IC fail in several BC250s, causing a blank display (except for a decimal point in the BC250's rightmost digit). This custom IC is no longer available from Uniden.

Failure of IC9, the 9 volt NJM78M09A regulator in a BC800XLT is another cause of a blank display.

Symptom: Invalid Frequency Displayed

A common Bearcat 250 malady is manifested by an invalid frequency displayed on the readout. This display is temporarily "cured" by unplugging the AC line cord from the wall, then replugging it. This condition is symptomatic of a power supply problem in which Q204, a Texas Instruments TIP-29 located on the feature circuit board, fails.

A Philips ECG291 will work as a substitute for the TIP-29. Don't try a Radio Shack substitute, it hasn't worked. See Martin Toomajian's article, "Bearcat 250 Erratic Display Cure", in January 1987 Monitoring Times.

A similar problem in the Bearcat 20/20 was discussed previously in the section on bad solder joints.

Matt Roberds repaired the Montgomery Wards version of a BC220 and contributed these insights:

"I did add some heat-sinks, but you have to be careful what you do with the TIP29 on the logic board. I added a long, flat heatsink to it and immediately created a whine in the audio. I didn't use an insulator, as this was a temporary first-try. As far as I can tell, the heatsink was radiating noise from the logic board into the front end on the radio board, which ended up being just below the heatsink. Bypassing the TIP29 (a voltage regulator) with disc ceramics didn't work; using a smaller heatsink and an insulator fixed the problem."

"There is also a 220 ohm 1/4 watt resistor on the logic board. It feeds the TIP29 from the main +16v supply. It looked a little crispy. I pulled one end and measured the current through it and it was about 30-35 mA. This works out to right at 0.2-0.25 watt dissipation. I didn't have a higher-wattage resistor, so I substituted 2 470-ohm resistors in parallel."

Symptom: Squelch Won't Eliminate White Noise

Most Uniden/Bearcat base/mobile scanners feature an AUTO squelch position, actuated by rotating the squelch knob fully counter clockwise. The BC350 used a separate pushbutton switch for this purpose. These scanners use a flimsy potentiometer (designated R81 in BC300s) internally mounted on the RF circuit board, to set the level of signal required to open the squelch when in the AUTO position. This pot also has an effect on the squelch action in the non-AUTO mode, and determines at which point the squelch knob must be positioned in order to silence the radio.

Although the potentiometer is adjusted at the factory, changes in component values due to aging often necessitate readjustment of this internal pot. Misadjustment of this pot has been the cause of "no squelch" complaints in two

BC300s and a BC250 I fixed.

Another squelch failure is due to a blown transistor that acts as the electronic switch in the squelch circuit. I replaced this transistor in only one BC300, so I don't know if this is a common problem.

Symptom: Scanner Completely Dead

In Bearcat scanners using an internal power supply (e.g., BC350, BC250, etc.), the main power transformer is connected directly to the AC line. Since the on/off switch is on the secondary side of the transformer, current flows in the primary as long as the AC line cord is plugged into an active AC outlet. These transformers contain an internal circuit breaker, not visible without unwinding (destroying) the transformer. The internal breaker is known to fail prematurely in a batch of Bearcat power transformers.

If your scanner is completely dead, check the primary of this transformer for an open circuit condition.

Symptom: Keyboard Bounce

After much use, the Chromerics keyboards in Bearcat scanners start to wear out. The first sign of trouble is usually keyboard bounce on the most frequently used key, e.g., the MANUAL key. Replacement keyboards are usually available from UNIDEN, but replacement requires dexterity, as one must take care not to tear the flat, flexible strip connecting the keyboard to the logic board.

Symptom: Keyboard Completely Unresponsive

The keyboard matrix is "scanned" by the microprocessor. Another problem is when none of the keys seems to function; the receiver just keeps scanning in spite of key depressions. I found this condition in a BC210XL scanner owned by a heavy smoker. Perhaps nicotine smoke was to blame, as the resistance between two input port pins on the microprocessor was down to about 1000 ohms, fooling the microprocessor into believing that a key was stuck in the "down" position. Scraping the circuit board between the two pins with an X-Acto knife fixed the problem.

Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT Portable
Loss of Audio and Dial Lamp

If you can program frequencies into your BC200XLT but there is no audio and the green backlight no longer functions, a tiny transistor may have failed.

Check for a defective PNP surface mount transistor, Q201 (2SB815B6-YDY). Q201 is used as a switch to furnish 8 VDC to several stages of the BC200XLT. Its main purpose is to switch off power hungry stages of the BC200XLT when the CPU thinks the NiCd voltage has fallen below a threshhold. That's an attempt to limit the current drain on weak NiCds to avoid permanent damage.

Q201 is located on the foil side of the "Micom" board, adjacent to the black multi-pin connector which mates the Micom and main boards together.

Q201 can be destroyed by a few different causes, primarily, by something in the scanner drawing too much current through it. In one case, capacitor C36 shorted. It's a 220 uF 10v electrolytic, located on the component side of the main board, connected from pin 8 of the audio IC (IC2 NJM386SL) to ground. Capacitor C55 shorted in another BC200XLT. Gary Bean reports he substituted a 2SA1298 for Q201 and it worked fine. In a pinch you bypass Q201 by soldering a short piece of bare wire between the collector and emitter, but you must first fix the component which caused Q201 to fail.

BC9000XLT: Loss of Sensitivity

John Ward has fixed two BC9000XLTs which suffered from "low sensitivity." He fixed the solder joint where the antenna jack connects to the circuit board. In both cases the tab from the board was on the opposite side of the jack from where the little blob of solder applied at the factory was located.

It was an intermittent problem that depended upon the position of the antenna cable - if the weight of the cable placed tension on the jack in one direction contact was made and the radio worked fine. If the cable placed tension on the jack in the opposite direction contact was lost and so was reception. The gap was barely noticeable.

Other Problems

Complaints of low audio output and occasional microphonics in three Uniden/Bearcat 800XLTs were caused by a bad 47 ufd electrolytic capacitor in series between the external speaker jack and audio amplifier.

Andy Domonkos reports he often uses a tape recorder connected to a Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. RL-1, the carrier activated relay inside the BC890XLT wore out. Andy found the identical relay sold at Radio Shack (part #275-232) and says the BC9000XLT uses the same relay, too.

Replacement Bulbs for BC760XLT

The Uniden BC760XLT's SCAN, MAN, PRI, and HOLD buttons are illuminated by "grain of wheat" type incandescent bulbs. Gary Saffer reports that the bulbs and buttons are located on a small printed circuit board which sits behind the radio's face plate. Gary unsoldered the burned out bulbs in his radio and replaced them with 12 volt Radio Shack bulbs (#272-1092c). They should last a long time when powered by the 8 VDC supplied by the BC760XLT.

Open Resistor in BC140

Robert Casey fixed his deaf, 16 channel Bearcat BC140 scanner. A 10 ohm resistor had opened that fed a circuit that generates 22V for the varactor diode tuner circuits. Without the 22V, there was no tuning of the local oscillator and front end, and no reception. What he did was compare voltages to a second working BC140.

Sources for Replacement NiCd Battery Packs

Replacement NiCd battery packs and inserts for the BC100XLT, BC200XLT, BC2500XLT, BC3000XLT, and other scanners are available from Uniden. I've purchased them at lower cost from:

1. Mr. NiCd - E. H. Yost and Company, 2211-D Parview Rd., Middleton, WI 53562. tel. (608)831-3443. email ehyost@midplains.net

2. Battery-Tech Central, 2818 Southland Street SW, Cedar Rapids, IA 52404-4141. tel. (800)267-3087 or (319)364-0855.

Batteries Plus has stores across they country and some have rebuilt Uniden NiCd packs for under $20.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

25. FAQ: Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 13:03:38 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <9f83ra$83c@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 64739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.info

Last changed: March 21, 2001

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

Add a Plectron or Motorola Alert
Desktop Monitor Receiver
to Your Scanner Collection

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or publications which charge for service without permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info. It is also available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner. The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises, http://www.grove-ent.com but views expressed in this article are his own.]

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance squad members came to rely on their crystal controlled, transistor Plectron and Motorola desktop monitor receivers. They have now been replaced by battery operated portable pagers, and the desktop models are often available at hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola crystal controlled receivers are excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies -- a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400 channel programmable scanner. They are desktop models which can be powered from 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper mobile cord. The audio quality of a Plectron P1, Plectron 700 series, and Motorola Alert Monitor is far better than any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity, image rejection, and intermod immunity is outstanding when aligned properly.

Models and Frequency Coverage

The Plectron and Motorola Alert monitors are single band receivers: VHF-low, VHF-high, or UHF. No single Plectron or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz band, so there were versions optimized for each portion of the VHF-low band. There were different versions to cover low and high "splits" in the VHF-high band, too. For example, low band R8000s come in 30 - 35, 35 - 39, 39 - 49, and 49 - 54 MHz versions. There are two VHF high band versions of the 700 and R8000: 148 - 158 and 158 - 175 MHz.

VHF-low band Motorola Alert monitors come in two splits: 30 - 42 and 42 - 54 MHz.

Although UHF versions of the 700 series were made, they are somewhat rare and coverage is limited to 470 MHz and below.

Plectron made several models with dozens of different options. Both the original P1 and later 700 series are suitable for restoration, although I prefer the newer 700 models. The 8000 series were even newer and very desireable, but they are difficult to find at hamfests. The Plectron 500 "economy" series is less desirable.

The P1 series have black cabinets with a blue-green and silver color scheme used on the front panel. They were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch), Patrol (carrier squelch only), and Sentry (tone only) models. The Sentry models lack a carrier squelch and therefore are of little use to hobbyists except for scavenging parts. Model number and frequency information appears on a gummed label on the rear panel:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol Sentry

_____
25-54 R19 R15 R17
148-174 R20 R16 R18
450-470 R23 R21 R22

Table 1. Plectron P1 models

The R700 series have black cabinets with brown front panels and are slightly smaller than the P1 receivers. R700s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

_____
25-54 R719 R715
148-174 R720 R716
450-470 R723 R721

Table 2. Plectron 700 models

The R8000 series are much smaller than the P1 and R700 and are housed in metal cabinets of various colors. The R8000s use the same MC3357 IF/detector/squelch IC used in crystal scanners, but their crystals are not interchangeable with ordinary scanners. R8000s were made in the Chief (tone decoder & carrier squelch) and Patrol (carrier squelch only) models:

Band (MHz) Chief Patrol

30-54 R8190 R8150
148-174 R8200 R8160

Table 3. Plectron 8000 models

The Plectron FM Receiver/Recorder is a collector's item. It is essentially a 700 series Chief receiver with a built in cassette tape recorder. The recorder is carrier activated and can tape transmissions while the receiver is unattended. A connector on the rear panel allows for all kinds of remote control possibilities.

The tape recorder inside the Receiver/Recorder employs two rubber belts. The Projector Recorder Belt Company makes replacement belts which work fine, though they may not be the original equipment:

1. PRB SCA8.6 (218 mm)
2. PRB SCQ 2.5 (64 mm)

I use two Plectron P1s and four R700s in my living room, seven R700s down the basement, and several Plectrons and Alert Monitors stored in reserve. The basement Plectrons are turned on automatically when someone walks downstairs. Power to them is controlled by a modified Radio Shack Safe House infrared motion detector, originally sold as an intrusion alarm.

When a rescue squad member from Missouri advertised a number of Plectrons on USENET, I bought them -- all 20+ of them. I cleaned, fixed, and restored each one. After recrystalling them on local frequencies, I distributed them to members of my scanner club who were glad to get them.

Crystals

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency.

The best source for crystals is:

International Crystal Mfg Co.
11 N. Lee Ave
Oklahoma City, OK 73102
tel. 405-236-3741, 800-725-1426

You need not bother with calculating the crystal
fundamental frequency. Merely supply International with
the exact receiver model number, printed on the rear label,
and the frequency you want to monitor. They will perform
the necessary calculations.

Crystals for Plectrons

The Plectron P1 series contain two crystals. The second
local oscillator crystal is 11.155 MHz regardless of band.
On VHF high band (148 - 175 MHz), the P1 series employ this
formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) + 10.7) / 4

or

Crystal frequency (MHz)
= (station freq (MHz) - 10.7) / 4

On VHF low band (25 - 54 MHz), the P1 series employ this
formula for the first local oscillator crystal:

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) + 10.7)

or

Crystal frequency (MHz) = (station freq (MHz) - 10.7)

There are two crystals (Y1, Y2) used in the Plectron R700
series, one for each local oscillator. The crystal
formulas are:

RF Range (MHz) Y1 (MHz) Y2 (MHz)

25-29 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
29-32.6 (f+10.7)/3 11.155
32.5-35 (f-10.7)/2 10.245
35-41 (f-10.7)/2 11.155
41-47 (f-10.7)/2 11.155 (use 10.245 MHz
for Y2 on 44.62 MHz)
47-54 (f-10.7)/3 11.155
148-158 (f-10.7)/9 11.155
158-175 (f-10.7)/9 10.245
450-470 (f-10.7)/18 11.155

The economy Plectron 500 series use this scheme for the
first local oscillator crystal:

RF Range (MHz) Crystal (MHz)

---

25 - 35 (f+10.7)
35 - 54 (f-10.7)
148 - 174 (f-10.7)/4

Most Plectron 500s use an 11.155 MHz second local oscillator crystal. However, a 10.245 MHz crystal is used instead for receivers in these ranges: 33.4 - 33.78, 44.62, and 158.78 - 158.82 MHz.

Power Cords

AC power cords for both types of receivers use unique connectors and are scarce. I published the pinout for both Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor receivers in June 1998 Monitoring Times magazine.

You can buy the proper replacement power cords but it's much cheaper to drill a hole on the rear panel and solder on a permanent a power cord. Make sure you use a grommet in the hole to prevent the metal chassis from chafing the line cord.

Many Plectrons and Alert Monitors were equipped with an internal NiCd battery pack, intended to power the receiver if the AC power fails. It's unusual to find a used monitor receiver with the NiCd pack still capable of holding a charge. More often, the batteries have died and the series charging resistor has overheated and burned. Be sure to remove the dead batteries as they often leak.

Squelch and Minimum Volume Setting

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Both Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers are designed purposely to have a minimum volume setting which is still audible. The intent was to prevent firemen from turning the volume down completely, forgetting it was down, then missing an important call.

This "feature" was usually implemented by having a fixed value resistor in series between one end of the volume control and ground. In the R15X5, R14X5, R15X5, and R16X5, a 47 ohm resistor, designated R624, was inserted in series with the violet wire leading from the volume control.

You could decrease the lowest volume setting by decreasing the value of this fixed resistor. Alternatively, you could replace the resistor with a jumper wire to completely

silence the receiver at minimum setting of the volume control.

Tone Alert Feature

I have not used the Plectron tone alerting features so I cannot address that except to say that the special coils and capacitors for the dual tone decoders vary depending on the tone frequencies and are somewhat difficult to obtain.

Repair and Replacement Parts

Repair service and replacement parts for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Weber Electronics
PO Box 212
5138 Laurel Ln.
Broad Run, VA 20137
telephone (540) 347-7760
email: weber@citizen.infi.net

Alert Monitor parts are available from Motorola. Phone (800)422-4210. The part number on the service manual for my VHF-high band monitor is 68P81048A55-G and my VHF-low band manual bears part number 68P81050A95-D.

John Miller reports the current price is $5.70 for service manuals. Motorola advised John that Alert Monitor parts are scarce, with last stocked being in 1995, Knobs are no longer available, though there are many that would fit.

Plectron SM-series Scanning Receivers

Plectron also sold an SM series of scanners, manufactured in Japan by the same folks who made Craig (division of Pioneer) scanners. The SM series are consumer-grade models and are included in this article for the sake of completeness. They are crystal controlled radios and feature priority scan and a separate trimmer capacitor for each channel to net the crystals on frequency.

Band Frequency Limits

VHF-low 30 - 50
VHF-high 150 - 174
UHF 450 - 470 MHz

Table 4. Plectron SM Model Band Limits

Model UHF VHF-high VHF-low

SM-301 X X X
SM-302 X X

SM-303 X X
SM-304 X X

Table 5. Plectron SM Models

The crystals used in the SM series are quite different from the crystals used in the conventional single channel Plectron monitors. Here are the crystal formulas:

VHF low band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = receive freq + 10.7 MHz

VHF high band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz)/3

UHF band:
crystal 3rd overtone freq = (receive freq - 10.7 MHz) /
10

Other crystal specifications for the SM series are:

CR 25/U holder
3rd overtone
20 pF load capacitance
parallel resonant
max series resistance 40 ohm
max drive 2 mW

Plectron Alternatives

Too bad Plectron is out of business. TCS Communications Corp. sells used Plectron receivers, in working condition, for $75-100. If you require crystals or reeds, TCS may be able to find some types/frequencies. Contact:

Terry Marengi
TCS Communications Corp.
tel. 1-800-TCS-XMIT
email: TERRYTCS@aol.com

If you wish to purchase a new receiver with "Plectron-like" signaling capabilities, consider the receivers made by Reach Electronics. Reach sells tone & voice pagers, Alert monitors, and ENCODERS. They also do contract manufacturing.

Reach Electronics
1311 West Pacific
Lexington, NE 68850
(308) 324-6661
(800) 445-0007
FAX: (308) 324-4985

Another Plectron-like receiver, "The Informer," is made by
Federal Signal and sold by Brinkley Electronics:

Brinkley Electronics
P.O. Box 502
Wallburg, NC 27373
(336)769-2902
FAX: (336)769-4029
email: sales@brinkleyelectronics.com
web page: http://www.brinkleyelectronics.com

I currently have no Plectrons or Motorola Alert Monitors
for sale. I'm hoarding them. :-)

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

26. IL hamfest/computerfest calendar

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 13:03:44 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <9f83rg$83d@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 64743
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.repair,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.shortwave

HAMFEST/COMPUTERFEST CALENDAR 2001 - ILLINOIS
last updated April 4, 2001

o Please report additions or changes to Bob Parnass, AJ9S, office phone: (630)979-5414, electronic mail: parnass@bell-labs.com. Thanks to Dennis Dale, Ralph Zancha, and ARRL for providing some of the information.

JUNE 2001

Jun 3 (Sun): Gurnee, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Ballroom of the Holiday Inn, 6161 W. Grand Avenue.

Jun 3 (Sun): Princeton - IL Starved Rock RC. Bureau County Fairgrounds. Exit 56 from I-80. Admission $7 (ouch!) at gate. Talk-in 146.955, -600, PL103.5 Hz. info: tel. 1-815-856-3773, (Usually an excellent hamfest. Unlimited space outdoor flea market, some indoor space, though few big name commercial vendors. Crude restroom facilities. - AJ9S)

Jun 10 (Sun): Wheaton, IL - Six Meter Club of Chicago. DuPage County Fairgrounds. 2015 Manchester Rd (north of Roosevelt Rd, east of County Farm Rd). Gates open at 7 AM, buildings open to public at 8 AM. Admission $6. VE testing. Talk-in on 146.52 and 146.37/97 (107.2 Hz PL). Info: http://cyberconnect.com/orion/hamfest.htm or contact Joseph Gutwein, WA9RIJ, 7109 Blackburn Avenue, Downers Grove, IL 60516-3925. Tel: 708-442-4961 . Email: wa9rij@mc.net

JULY 2001

Jul 7 (Sat): Oak Creek, WI - South Milwaukee ARC. American Legion Post 434, 9327 South Shepard Ave. Admission $5. Starts at 6 AM. Talk-in 146.52 MHz (WA9TXE). Info, call (414)762-3235.

Jul 8 (Sun): Peotone, IL - Kankakee Area Radio Society, Will County Fairgrounds. I-57 to Peotone exist 327 (East). Free parking. Talk-in 146.94 MHz repeater. http://www.w9az.com. Admission $6. Info: Chip Moore, K9IOC, 289 S. Euclid Ave., Bradley, IL 60915. Email: karsfest@yahoo.com

Jul 15 (Sun): Washington, MO - Zero Beaters ARC Hamfest. Bernie E. Hillerman Park (Washington Fairgrounds) Free parking. Free admission. VE exams. Talk-in on 147.24 MHz

repeater. Info: Keith Wilson K0ZH (636)629-2264, fax (636)629-1196. Bob Goza W0BOB (573)484-3718, w0bob@arrl.net. http://www.yhti.net/~w0bob/zbarc

Jul 22 (Sun): Sugar Grove, IL - Fox River Radio League Hamfest. Waubonsee Community College. Rt 47 at Harter Rd. Admission $5. http://www.frrl.org/hamfest.html (good, small to midsized hamfest. Easy parking. Modern restroom facilities. - AJ9S)

AUGUST 2001

Aug 5 (Sun): Gurnee, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Ballroom of the Holiday Inn, 6161 W. Grand Avenue.

Aug 12 (Sun): Peotone, IL - 67th Annual Hamfesters Hamfest. Will County Fairgrounds. 45 mintues from Downtown Chicago, take I-57 to Peotone Exut 327 (East). 35 acres of free parking. 14,200 square feet of exhibits open at 8 AM. Flea market open at 6 AM to 3 PM. No additional charge for flea market space. Rain or shine -six covered pavilions for Flea Market. Tickets are $5.00 in advance with double stub, $6.00 at gate with single stub. Children under 12 free. Advance ticket sales and information: SASE and check by July 25th, Robert Nelson, WB9FWR, 1720 Vollmer Rd., Flossmoor, IL 60422. (708)756-7984, email WB9FWR@aol.com. Talk in on 146.52 simplex and 146.64-(107.2) Stars Repeater

Aug 26 (Sun): Joliet, IL - Bolingbrook ARS Hamfest. Inwood Recreation Center, 3000 W. Jefferson St (Rt 52). Outdoor flea market setup at 6 AM. Admission $5. VE testing. Talk-in 147.33+ MHz and 224.54- MHz repeaters Info: Tom Ballard N9LJY, (630)739-3740. (Small to midsize hamfest with good restroom facilities - AJ9S)

SEPTEMBER 2001

Sep 2 (Sun): Gurnee, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below). Ballroom of the Holiday Inn, 6161 W. Grand Avenue.

Sep 14 - 16: Peoria, IL - Peoria Superfest 2001, Peoria Area Amateur Radio Club. Exposition Gardens, Peoria, Illinois. Amateur Radio, Computer and Electronics Show. Friday Flea Market Preview--3 PM until dark; Live Music Saturday night in the South Garden Pavilion; FCC Testing Sunday 10 AM until 1 PM; Gates open 3 PM Friday and 6 AM Saturday and Sunday. Commercial buildings open Saturday 8AM until 4:30 PM and Sunday 8 AM until 3 PM. Advance tickets $5 with two stubs; $7 at gate with one stub--all tickets good for the weekend. Outdoor fleamarket space can be reserved in advance for $5 plus $5 for each admission ticket. Space and admission tickets are good for the entire weekend. Setup: Outdoor -- Friday 10 AM and 6 AM Saturday and Sunday. Indoor Commer-

cial Vendors -- on Friday 2PM until 9 PM (setup only), =
Saturday 6:30 AM and Sunday 7:30 AM. Talk-in: 147.075+.
For more info, see http://www.w9uvi.org ; e-mail for tickets
and space reservations to w9uvi@arrl.net ; USPS mail to
Peoria Superfest, PO Box 3508, Peoria, IL. 61612-3508.

Sep 22 & 23 (Sat & Sun): Grayslake, IL - Chicago FM Club
Radio Expo. Lake County Fairgrounds. Admission $8, good
both days. Ladies program - Arts and crafts. Grounds open
Thursday at noon. Flea Market open both days at 6 AM.
Exhibit buildings open Sat 8 AM - 4 PM and Sun 8 AM - 3 PM.
VEC testing. Free camping and parking. Talk-n on 146.16/76
MHz (107.2 Hz PL). Info: http://www.chicagofmclub.org.
Tel: 630-628-1501 (the biggest and best hamfest in northern
IL - AJ9S)

OCTOBER 2001

Oct 7 (Sun): Gurnee, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below).
Ballroom of the Holiday Inn, 6161 W. Grand Avenue.

NOVEMBER 2001

Nov 4 (Sun): Davenport, IA - Davenport Radio Club. Iowa
National Guard Hanger, Mt. Joy Airport. No tailgating.
Talk-in 146.88 MHz repeater. http://www.gwltd.com/hamfest

Nov 4 (Sun): Gurnee, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below).
Ballroom of the Holiday Inn, 6161 W. Grand Avenue.

DECEMBER 2001

Dec 2 (Sun): Gurnee, IL - Computer Country Expo (see below).
Ballroom of the Holiday Inn, 6161 W. Grand Avenue.

COMPUTER SHOW NOTES

Computer Central computer shows are held at the College of
DuPage, Main Arena of the Physical Education Building Park
Blvd & College Road, Glen Ellyn, Il. 847-940-7547. $5
admission with card, normal admission $6.00. Opens 9:30 am
- 3:00 pm. See http://www.computercentralshows.com web
site.

Giant Computer shows are held by Micro Media, Inc. Shows
are usually held at the Tinley Park Civic Center, 185th St.
& Harlem Ave., Tinley Park, IL or at the DuPage County Fair-
grounds, County Farm & Manchester Rds., Wheaton, IL. Admis-
sion is $6. for adults and children under 12 get in free.
Show hours are 9:30 AM - 3 PM. To get on the mailing list
or get more information, call (708)974-3123. See
http://www.giantcomputershow.com

Computer Country Expo is Lake County's largest computer expo. The event is held monthly from 9:30 AM to 4:00 PM. Admission is $6 with free parking. This show features computers, supplies, games, parts, software, accessories, CD-ROM, monitors, diskettes, printers, keyboards, modems, disk drives, cables, expansion boards, services, etc. Held in the Ballroom of the Holiday Inn, 6161 W. Grand Avenue, Gurnee Illinois. For info, visit the web site at http://www.ccxpo.com.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

27. Improve the Squelch in Your Monitor Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 13:03:50 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <9f83rm$83e@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 64745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

last changed March 21, 2001

IMPROVE THE SQUELCH IN YOUR MONITOR RECEIVER

Copyright 1988 - 2001 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: The first version of this article appeared in the
RCMA Newsletter, December 1988. It may not be reproduced
in whole or in part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards,
networks, or publications which charge for service without
permission of the author. It is posted twice monthly on
the USENET groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.]

The squelch control in some FM monitor receivers is
difficult to use because of too much hysteresis. The
American Heritage Dictionary of the English Language
defines hysteresis as the:

o "Failure of a property changed by an external agent
to return to its original value when the cause of the
change is removed."

It's like having play in a car's steering wheel, or
backlash in a gear set. Too much hysteresis in a squelch
circuit forces one to keep the squelch at a tighter
setting, missing weaker signals when scanning or searching.
The squelch hysteresis problem was first addressed in a
1984 article about the Radio Shack PRO-24 crystal
controlled scanner.

Why do engineers design these circuits with any hysteresis?
Basically, hysteresis prevents weak signals from "popping"
the squelch open and closed rapidly, which would be very
annoying to the listener. A squelch circuit with hysteresis
requires a stronger signal to open the squelch than it does
to keep it open.

Models cursed with too much hysteresis include the
Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT and 760XLT, Radio Shack PRO-2003,
PRO-2004, and others. Don't despair, the fix is simple for
most radios. I've successfully reduced the squelch
hysteresis by replacing a single resistor.

Hysteresis is usually implemented by providing feedback from the squelch gate input to output, through a resistor. The higher the resistor value, the less feedback there is, and less hysteresis. My modification involves replacing the "stock" resistor with a higher value resistor, as identified in the table below.

This technique does not apply to some of the newer Uniden models, because the squelch hysteresis is partially determined by firmware inside the processor IC.

This article cannot provide step-by-step instructions for each radio. I always encourage radio hobbyists to purchase the service manuals for their equipment. The most useful sections of the manual are the schematics and printed circuit diagrams. Good manuals will also describe the theory of operation and alignment procedures, and contain a complete parts list.

If your radio has too much hysteresis, and is not listed in the table, study the service manual. Motorola MC3357, MC3359, and MC3361 integrated circuits, which contain IF and squelch circuitry, are popular in scanners. In these receivers, look for the hysteresis resistor to be connected to these ICs.

Notes

1. The author cannot furnish modification information for other radios.

2. Don't attempt this, or any other internal modification, unless you are skilled in electronic servicing.

3. Make sure your receiver is disconnected from any power source before opening the cabinet.

4. If space permits, you can replace the stock resistor with a higher value variable resistor ("trimpot") and adjust to suit.

Table 1. Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers

Squelch Hysteresis Resistor In Selected Receivers		
Receiver Model No.	Res-istor Value	Stock Comments
Radio Shack PRO-24	R35	33K replace with 220K. Located between pins 12 and 14

	of MC3357 (IC1)
Radio Shack PRO-2002	R197 47K try 220K.
Radio Shack PRO-2004	R148 47K remove R148
	or replace with 220K.
	On linear board,
	between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC2)
Radio Shack PRO-2006	R152 33K try 100K or higher.
Radio Shack PRO-2022	R91 33K replace 33K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	on IC-1 MC3361
Radio Shack PRO-2035	100K replace 100K
	with 220K.
	Between pins 12 & 14
	of TK10420 (IC-2)
Electra/Bearcat 220	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 20/20	R75 2.7M may be ok as is
Electra/Bearcat 250	R66 2.2M may be ok as is
Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT	R58 22K replace with 6.8K
	or other value.
	(select value for
	the particular radio.)
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Uniden/Bearcat 800XLT	R91 860K replace with 2.2M.
	Located on pin 14
	of MC3359P IC
Plectron P1	R81 180K may be ok as is
Plectron 700	R96 180K replace with 560K
_____	_____

References

1. "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

2. "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986. Published by Grove Enterprises, http://www.grove-ent.com

3. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

4. US patent 4,947,456, "Scanning Radio Receiver," granted August 7, 1990 to Noel D. Atkinson, William B. Ahlemeyer, and Ben F. McCormick, inventors. Assigned to Uniden America Corporation.

5. "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2035 Scanner --
How Does It Compare with the PRO-2006?," by Bob
Parnass AJ9S, Monitoring Times, January 1995.

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

28. Repair Tips for Radio Shack Scanner Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 13:03:55 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <9f83rr$83f@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 64744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info,sci.electronics.repair

Last changed: March 21, 2001.

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

REPAIR TIPS: RADIO SHACK SCANNER RADIOS

Copyright 1997 - 2001 by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET
groups rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner,
sci.electronics.repair, and rec.radio.info. It is also
available electronically from the rec.radio.scanner ftp
archive on the official USENET FAQ library
ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-group/rec.radio.scanner.
The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
http://www.grove-ent.com but views expressed in this
article are his own.]

Introduction

Radio Shack has been selling scanners and monitor receivers
under the Realistic and Radio Shack labels for over 30
years. Most of them were manufactured by General Research
Electronics of Tokyo, though many newer models are
manufactured by Uniden.

The date of manufacture is usually printed on a gummed
label on the scanner as well as the cardboard box. A code
of 12A5, means the scanner was made in the 12th month of
1975, 1985, or 1995, for example.

There's another way to date Radio Shack scanners
manufactured by Uniden. The first digit of the long serial
number is usually the last digit of the year of
manufacture.

Another way to roughly estimate a radio's age is to examine
the 4 digit date codes often stamped on the integrated
circuits. The radio must have been made after the most
recent date stamp.

Schematics, Owner's Manuals, and Parts Available

I cannot furnish schematics, manuals or parts. Service manuals for most Radio Shack scanners sold through mid-1997 may be ordered through your local Radio Shack store.

If Radio Shack doesn't have a schematic, try obtaining a Photophacts from Howard Sams Publishing division of Prentice-Hall at (800)428-7267, http://www.samswebsite.com

Parts lists for recent and many older models are available on line at: http://support.tandy.com. Parts may be ordered through Tandy National Parts, telephone (800)241-8742, or through your local Radio Shack store. You may be able to obtain some technical assistance from Tandy by calling (800)843-7422, option 2. The RSU (Radio Shack Unlimited) phone number is (800)-433-2024. Grove Enterprises http://www.grove-ent.com occasionally sells manuals, too.

G & G Communications (telephone (716)768-8151) is a family owned company which repairs scanners and stocks parts for several older models, especially Electra/Bearcat and Regency brands, but they don't sell manuals or schematics. They are located at 7825 Black Street Rd., LeRoy, NY 14482. (http://www.iinc.com/ggcomm/ or email ggcomm@iinc.com or ggcomm@aol.com)

GRE-Built Base Models: Dim Backlight

The backlight in many base models, including the PRO-2022, PRO-2004, and PRO-2005/6 is implemented by an electroluminescent panel, which grows dim with use.

The EL panel used in the PRO-2005 and PRO-2006 is designated EL-501, and is identified by Radio Shack part #10800050. A new EL panel can be ordered through your Tandy National parts (see above) or local Radio Shack store and sent to your home. Radio Shack no longer sells replacement EL panels for the PRO-2004, but Robert Cummings has modified an LCD from a Miller Engineering (http://www.microstru.com) experimenter's kit and fitted it to his PRO-2004. See http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/Backlight.html for details.

Replacing the backlight is an operation which requires care and patience. Purchase a service manual, and study the exploded parts diagrams as you read the following steps.

Top and bottom cabinet pieces must be removed, then the speaker unplugged from the main board. The front panel assembly must be removed from the chassis by removing 4 flat head screws, 2 on each side. You need not disconnect all the wiring harnesses, though you might have to unplug one so it won't get in the way of a hot soldering iron.

Unsolder the bare ground wire soldered to a large shield on the back of the front panel, located near the back of the squelch control. Two ground braids must be temporarily disconnected by removing the screws which fasten them to the chassis. After removing the volume and squelch knobs, you must remove 8 small screws which fasten the plastic front panel to the diplay board.

The old EL panel is fastened by a bead of glue and two foil type leads soldered to the display board. It's easier to cut the two leads from the old EL panel before unsoldering. A sharp knife should be used cut away the glue. The old EL panel can then be slid gently out from behind the LCD display.

The new EL panel can be installed by reversing the process, though I found that it is not necessary to glue the new panel. The two foil leads must be soldered using a minimum of heat. Reassemble the pieces in reverse order of disassembly.

GRE-Built Portables: Worn Out Keypads

The keypad on late model GRE-made Radio Shack portables, e.g., PRO-39 and PRO-43, can become unreliable after prolonged use. The best repair is to replace the rubber keypad instead of trying to restore contacts using chemicals. A replacement rubber keypad (PRO-43 keypad is RSU#10789808) may be ordered inexpensively through Tandy National Parts or your local Radio Shack Store.

PRO-43: Loss of Audio

Early PRO-43s were built with a 200 mW speaker (mfr's part #EAS-3P123A). The voice coils in some of these speakers have opened, resulting in lack of audio output, though audio is still available from the earphone jack. A more capable 500 mW speaker is available through Tandy as replacement (EAS-3P127).

PRO-43: Freezup

If you attempt to lock out all 20 channels in a memory bank, then scan only that bank, your PRO-43 will freeze up and be placed into a catatonic state. Use the procedure documented in the PRO-43 user manual to fully reset the scanner. This will "break the trance," but all the memory channels will be cleared.

PRO-2004: Dead

If your PRO-2004 is completely dead, check R235, a 1 ohm, 1 watt resistor mounted vertically on the main board.

PRO-7A: Channels 1 - 4 or 5 - 8 Dead

If the first or last 4 channels in your 8 channel PRO-7A
crystal scanner are not working and the lamps for those
channels won't light, replace IC4 or IC5 (type 7400A).

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

29. FAQ - Scanner Radio Review Briefs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 13:04:01 UTC
From: parnass@bell-labs.com
Message-ID: <9f83s1$83g@ssbunews.ih.lucent.com>
Source record: 64746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.info

last changed June 1, 2001 |

Lines changed since the previous issue are marked with a |
character in the right margin.

SCANNER RADIO REVIEW BRIEFS

by Bob Parnass, AJ9S

[NOTE: This article may not be reproduced in whole or in
part on CDROMS, in bulletin boards, networks, or
publications which charge for service without permission of
the author. It is posted twice monthly on the USENET groups
rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner, and rec.radio.info.
It is also available electronically from the
rec.radio.scanner ftp archive on the official USENET FAQ
library ftp://rtfm.mit.edu/pub/usenet-by-
group/rec.radio.scanner.]

Abstract

This article contains product review summaries for more than
70 old and new scanner radios and monitor receivers.

The author writes a monthly "Scanner Equipment" column for
Monitoring Times magazine, published by Grove Enterprises,
http://www.grove-ent.com but views expressed in this article
are his own.

Introduction

At last count, there were over 140 scanners and monitor
receivers of various brands in my collection. This article
describes a few of the FM receivers in my collection, and
other models I've tested. It is not meant to be complete.

You may share information with scanner collectors via the
"vintagescanners" mailing list at:

http://www.onelist.com/group/vintagescanners

For more information about old scanners, see:

1. "Confessions of a Scanner Collector," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, August 1988.

2. "Scanner Collector Primer," by Bob Parnass,
Monitoring Times, May 1995.

I have published full length reviews and modifications of
these scanner models in Monitoring Times magazine:

Mar 1986 - Uniden/Bearcat BC800XLT
Mar 1987 - Radio Shack PRO-2004
Oct 1990 - Radio Shack PRO-2006
Jan 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2035 vs. Radio Shack PRO-2006
Feb 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-62 Portable
Mar 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Apr 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT Portable
May 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC860XLT
Jun 1995 - Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT & Radio Shack PRO-2036
Jul 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2037
Aug 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT
Sep 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable
Oct 1995 - AOR AR-2700 Portable
Nov 1995 - selected AOR AR-8000 measurements
Dec 1995 - Radio Shack PRO-2040
Jan 1996 - Plectron & Motorola Alert Monitors
Feb 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2042
Mar 1996 - Tips for Fixing Bearcat Scanners
May 1996 - Compendium of Scanner IFs
Apr 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BC220XLT, BC230XLT Portable
Jun 1996 - modifications & tips for the Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT
Jul 1996 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT-10 mobile
Aug 1996 - BMI Nitelogger II tape recorder activator
Sep 1996 - PRO-2022 modifications
PRO-2042 baseband audio tap
Oct 1996 - Radio Shack PRO-2046 mobile
Nov 1996 - Build a wooden mobile radio mount
Dec 1996 - AOR AR5000
Jan 1997 - ICOM R8500
Feb 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-2045
Mar 1997 - ICOM IC-R10
Apr 1997 - RELM HS-200 portable
May 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BCT7 virtual downconversion mod.
Jun 1997 - Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1970s
Jul 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC235XLT portable Trunktracker
Aug 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-64 portable
Sep 1997 - Stridsberg Engineering receiver multicoupler,
G/Wiz board for EDACS trunked scanning
Oct 1997 - Radio Shack PRO-67
Nov 1997 - Sporty's JD-100 Air Scan
Dec 1997 - Uniden/Bearcat BC895XLT Trunktracker
Jan 1998 - Test Equipment Bargains
Feb 1998 - Innovative Scanner Patents of the 1980s and Beyond
Mar 1998 - RELM MS-200 mobile
Apr 1998 - RCA RP-6150 portable
May 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-2050 base Trunktracker
Jun 1998 - Opto DC442 CTCSS/DCS/DTMF display
Jul 1998 - Stub and Par Electronics intermod rejection filters

Aug 1998 - Sony SC1PC portable
Sep 1998 - Orange Book of Scanner Repair
Tone Out Testing (2-tone generators)
Monitoring ACSB (amplitude compandored side band)
Oct 1998 - AOR AR8200 portable
Nov 1998 - Alinco DJ-X10T portable
Dec 1998 - Radio Shack PRO-91 portable Trunktracker
Jan 1999 - AOR AR7000
Feb 1999 - Radio Shack PRO-2066 mobile Trunktracker
Mar 1999 - ICOM RS-8500 software for controlling the IC-R8500
Apr 1999 - ICOM IC-R2 portable scanner
Jun 1999 - Mini-Circuits ZFSC-4-1 4-way passive splitter
Jul 1999 - Racing Electronics RE2000 portable
Aug 1999 - AOR AR16 portable
Sep 1999 - Uniden Bearcat BC245XLT portable
Oct 1999 - Plectron R700 series monitor receivers
Nov 1999 - Uniden Bearcat BC278CLT clock radio scanner
Dec 1999 - Uniden Bearcat BC248CLT clock radio scanner
Jan 2000 - Radio Shack PRO-92 portable scanner
Jan 2000 - Stridsberg Engineering FLT201A FM Notch Filter and
MCA-204 Receiver Multicoupler
Feb 2000 - Yaesu VR-500 portable wide coverage receiver
Mar 2000 - Uniden Sportcat SC-200 portable scanner
Apr 2000 - Understanding Intermod,
(comparison intermod performance of several models)
May 2000 - Radio Shack PRO-94 portable
Jun 2000 - Radio Shack PRO-2052 trunk tracking base scanner
Jul 2000 - Electra Tiger Scan TSA portable
Aug 2000 - Phone patches; Identifying scanner crystals
Sep 2000 - Radio Shack PRO-2067 trunk tracking mobile scanner
Oct 2000 - ICOM IC-R3 portable wide band scanner
Nov 2000 - AOR AR3000A wide band receiver
Dec 2000 - Alinco DJ-X2T portable scanner
Jan 2001 - BUTEL ARC2 Software for the ICOM IC-R2
Feb 2001 - RT Systems ADMS-3 Software for the Yaesu VR-500
Mar 2001 - Uniden BC780XLT Trunk Tracker III
Apr 2001 - AOR AR-8600 Wide Coverage Receiver
May 2001 - Yaesu VR-5000 Receiver |
Jun 2001 - Alinco DJ-X2000T Portable Receiver |

Note: Reprints of Monitoring Times articles are available
for $3.00 each plus a self-addressed stamped envelope from
Grove Enterprises, 7540 Highway 64 West, Brasstown, NC
28902, tel. (800)438-8155. http://www.grove-ent.com I don't
receive any part of this reprint fee.

ALINCO

DJ-X10T: 1998 vintage. Rugged construction - metal back.
Multimode frequency coverage 0.1 to 2000 MHz (minus cellular
bands). 20 selectable step sizes from 0.05 to 500 kHz.

Knob atop the radio clicks when rotated and serves as a VFO knob, channel selector, and menu navigation control. Several battery pack options for NiCd and alkaline battery cases. Furnished with an EBP-37N 4.8 V, 700 mAH NiCd pack and a sturdy 11 hour drop in charging stand. Loaded with firmware features and complicated to use. Two VFOs and 1200 channels in 30 banks of 40 channels each. Memory banks are cryptically designated, though you can scan combinations of banks. Each memory channel can be programmed with the frequency, an 8 character label, attenuator, a skip (lockout) flag, and mode (WFM, NFM, AM, USB, LSB, CW, AUTO). Very slow 6 ch/sec scan rate. Rescan delay is about 1 second and cannot be disabled or changed. Auto Memory Write stores up to 40 frequencies but does not check for dupes. 20 linkable search banks with labels. Up to 50 frequencies per search bank can be locked out. Digital clock with on/off timer. Battery saver can be disabled. Ability to scan or search for signals above a selectable threshold or channels with a specific mode. Band scope 7 or 40 steps wide. In short, 30 - 1000 MHz sensitivity is good, few birdies and little intermod. WFM broadcast reception very good. The DJ-X10T squelch can be set to one of 10 levels. We had to keep the squelch set to SQ3 or higher, otherwise it opens at inappropriate times, especially when the battery saver is active. The DJ-X10T instruction manual does not specify the IF (intermediate frequency) scheme. Filters inside the DJ-X10T imply 0.455, 10.7, and 45 MHz IFs. Our tests indicate a first IF of 736.25 or 275.45 MHz, depending on the frequency to which the DJ-X10T is tuned. Our DJ-X10T hears images of cellular phone signals clearly in the 1419.9 - 1444.9 MHz range, 550.9 MHz above their actual frequency. For a full review, including technical test results, see Monitoring Times, November 1998.

AOR

AR2700: 1995 vintage. 500 channel portable covering 500 kHz to 1300 MHz. Lots of good features, e.g., 10 linkable search banks, lighted keypad, per-channel attenuator, S-meter, battery meter, optional voice recorder and speech inversion descrambler. Global rescan and pause delays, but no auto store, data skip, nor battery saver. Internally generated noise results in broad birdies on AR2700 tested. Insensitive carrier operated (vs. noise operated) squelch stays closed on signals unless they are moderately strong, causing scanner to skip transmissions of interest. IFs are 287.55/749.25, 58.05, 10.7/0.455 MHz. See "The AOR AR-2700 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October and November 1995.

AR5000: 1996 vintage. approx. 30 kHz - 2600 MHz coverage super receiver. Good sensitivity throughout. AM, SSB, CW, FM detectors. Frequency readout to 1 Hz. More features than any other radio. DTMF reader and RS-232 interface included. Multiple settings for IF and AF selectivity, attenuator, AGC, deemphasis, etc. DB9 RS232C connector. Negatives include low contrast LCD display and difficult user interface. NFM squelch closes slowly, causing noise burst at end of each NFM transmission. Electromechanical relays click at various frequency boundaries, including 400 MHz, producing a clickety-clack noise -- annoying while scanning banks of mixed band frequencies. Great radio, otherwise. See "AOR's AR5000 All-in-One Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

AR8200: 1998 vintage. S/N 550004 tested. Portable, high end "super" scanner covers 0.1 - 2040 MHz (minus cellular in USA version). Not a scanner for beginners. Many of the settings are made through the use of menus. Lots of features. 4 AA batteries, steel belt clip, plug-in AM antenna. 1,000 conventional channels in 20 banks, 10 temporary channels, one priority channel. Each memory channel may be programmed with frequency, label, mode, step, step-adjust, offset, attenuator enable, noise limiter, AFC, and write protect. Multi bank scanning. You can enable a 0 - 9 second rescan delay with each scan group, but not per channel. 40 pairs of search limits with labels. Offset capability for monitoring repeater input frequency. LCD display easy to read. Display and keypad backlit using green LEDs. The band scope. Shortwave reception stone deaf on shortwave when fitted with the supplied antenna or a 19" whip. Seriously overloaded on shortwave by a 132 foot long dipole unless we use the built-in attenuator. Supplied "dorsal fin" antenna for AM BCB. Scans slowly (14 channels/s). Requires more current. Audio quality is slightly above other portable scanners. Computer port. One slot for a single plug-in option: voice inversion descrambler, CTCSS search, 256 frequency tone eliminator, voice recorder and playback, or extended (slow) memory. See AOR AR8200 review by Bob Parnass,in Monitoring Times, October 1998, for review with quantitative test results.

ICOM

IC-R10: late 1996 vintage (serial number 01048 tested). Shirt pocket size multimode is powered by 4 AA penlight cells. Covers 0.5 to 1300 MHz (minus cellular). NFM, WFM, USB, LSB, AM, and CW detectors included. IF bandwidths are fixed. No 30 kHz bandwidth for satellite or FM military monitoring. Battery saver circuit, with selectable duty cycle. Single VFO and 1000 memory channels. There are 900

memory channels divided into 18 banks of 50 channels each. Another bank of 100 channels used to hold unique frequencies found during an auto store operation. 20 pairs of search limits. Up to 100 frequencies may be locked out during a search and stored in a final bank of 100 channels which is cleared before subsequent auto store searches. Each channel can be programmed with the detector mode (e.g., AM, USB), a tuning step size, an attenuator enable flag, and an 8 character label. CI-V computer interface requires extra cost hardware. 7 segment S-meter. Noise blanker. Good sensitivity. Lighted keypad and display, but keystroke sequences are cumbersome.

Good radio hardware in a handy sized package, but scanning performance unimpressive. Very slow memory scanning at only 6 channels/second. Searches at about 16 steps/second, with little or no worthwhile improvement using the "Signal Navigator" feature in the R10 I tested. Rescan delay cannot be disabled. Can only scan one memory bank or all banks, not a combination of banks. No squelch for SSB or CW modes. See "ICOM IC-R10 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1997, for review which includes quantitative test results.

R-7000: 1986 vintage. At about $1000, this was once the top VHF/UHF receiver. 99 channel, multi mode coverage from 25-2000 MHz with a small gap at 1000-1025 MHz. Memory can be expanded to 198 channels by adding simple switch to pin 19 of memory IC8. Tuning knob lets you tune through parts of the spectrum much easier than using the SEARCH mode on conventional scanners. Selectable USB/LSB allows reception of new amplitude compandored sideband (ACSB) stations. S-meter doubles as discriminator meter to aid tuning. Useful search and store feature, reminiscent of the the Bearcat 250, searches between 2 limits and automatically stores new frequencies into channels 80-99. Audio and control interface for tape recorder. Noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Searches and scans slowly but can be sped up to about 12 cps by adding a resistor. Too big for permanent mobile use, but too nice to leave alone in the car. If you don't want to spend $1000, get a Radio Shack PRO-2004/5/6 instead.

R-7100: 1992 vintage. At about $1300, this is the Cadillac of VHF/UHF receivers. 900 channel, multi mode continuous coverage from 25-2000 MHz. The radio RF/IF/AF portions of the R7100 are similar to the older R7000, but the R7100 is constructed using surface mount parts. Both the R7000 and R7100 are much more immune to intermod than the Uniden/Bearcat 760XLT and the portable PRO-43. The firmware in the R7100 is much more sophisticated. 9 banks of 100

channels. Further, you can associate each channel with 1 of 10 groups. Many possible ways to scan. 10 pairs of search limits. Search and store ("memory write") scan mode lets you store 100 frequencies instead of the 20 in R7000. Another R7100 improvement is that you can program up to 100 channels for the R7100 to skip while searching. R7100 has 2 VFOs ("windows"). Both the R7000 and R7100 scan slowly compared with PRO-2004 and PRO-2006, and that's a drawback. Both the R7100 and R7000 employ a noisy relay, activated when the receiver is tuned to frequencies above 520 MHz. Scanning a mixture of VHF and UHF frequencies serenades the ICOM user with a very annoying clickety-clack, clickety-clack! For Baseband Audio out, I mounted an RCA jack on the back of the R7100A and connected it via shielded cable to the junction of resistors R228 and R230 on the Main Unit. This point is located in the vicinity of IC15 and X3 on the schematic.

R8500: 1996 vintage. 100 kHz - 2000 MHz coverage. 20 banks of 40 channels although bank sizes can be changed. 100 Auto store and 100 Skip memories but that can be increased by reallocating channels from the conventional scan banks. Fixed and programmable step sizes. Relays clickey-clack while scanning across band boundaries. Triple conversion, First IF is 48.8, 778.7, or 266.7 MHz, depending on band. Second and third IFs are 10.7 and 0.455 MHz. Weak to moderate cellular images starting near 1106 MHz. Rugged construction. External power supply. DB25 RS232C connector. Audio peak filter. Ok scanning and great searching performance. See "ICOM R8500 Receiver," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, January 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

UNIDEN/BEARCAT AND ELECTRA/BEARCAT

Bearcat III (Electra): 1976 vintage. 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Requires optional front-end circuit board for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands, but only 2 boards can be installed within the radio at the same time. Two crystal filters. No aircraft band coverage nor rescan delay. Front mount speaker sounds good. Strong local oscillator radiation often causes interference with other scanners in the same house.

Bearcat IV (Electra): 8 channel crystal controlled scanner. Newer version of Bearcat III but contains front-end circuitry for VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. Better selectivity than Bearcat III or 12 due to having three instead of two 10.8 MHz IF filters. No aircraft band coverage. Front mount speaker sounds good. No rescan delay.

Bearcat 5 (Electra): 1979 vintage. Eight channel economy base model covering VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. No track tuning. Black plastic cabinet.

Bearcat 6 (Electra): Six channel VHF-low and VHF-high bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 8 (Electra): Eight channel VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands. 117 VAC only. Wood covered particle board cabinet.

Bearcat 12 (Electra): 1979 vintage. One of the last decent crystal controlled scanners. 10 channels. Variable scan speed up to 20 ch/sec. Single delay on/off switch. Front mount speaker sounds good. Manual contains schematic. Single conversion, 10.8 MHz IF. Selectivity is poorer than programmable models, like the 300, allowing adjacent channel interference. Covers VHF-low, VHF-high, and UHF bands but no aircraft band coverage. Crystal positions must be arranged by band.

Bearcat 15 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Actually made for Electra by competitor GRE (General Research Electronics). The only Bearcat crystal controlled base/mobile scanner offering 118 - 136 MHz AM aircraft band. 10 channels.

BC101 (Electra): 1975 vintage. First Bearcat synthesized unit. 16 channels, no priority. Frequency programmed in binary by setting toggle switches on front panel after looking up code in code book. No frequency readout. Uses custom IC for CPU, now discontinued, so factory authorized service is no longer available. I have four of these units. DC operation requires optional mobile power supply.

BC100 (Electra): First programmable portable scanner. Be prepared for at least one repair in the first year. Early units, with threaded antenna connector, have high frequency of repair, particularly LCD readout, keyboard, and battery holder. No battery backup. Poor case design in early units caused battery to disconnect from radio, resetting microprocessor and clearing memories. No priority channel or aircraft band. Some people swear by the BC100, others swear at them.

BC100XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Very good 100 channel portable with 10 priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Generous coverage of conventional bands, including commercial aircraft, but no 800 MHz. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on 550 mAH NiCd battery pack.

BC200XLT (Uniden): 1987 vintage. Also sold as BC205XLT through Scanner World. Very good 200 channel version of BC100XLT portable scanner but includes 800 MHz band. 10

priority channels. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. Decent leather-like case. Slide-on NiCd battery pack.

BC210 (Electra): 1977 vintage. First Bearcat scanner with a keyboard and numeric display. LED readout. See US patents 4,092,594, granted 5/30/78 and 4,114,103, granted 9/12/78 for further insight.

BC220 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 20 channels. Reasonable number of features but 20 channels doesn't seem enough. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC220XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Very good 200 portable scanner which is a smaller successor to the BC200XLT. Includes 800 MHz band. 10 priority channels. Service Search, including WX. Up to 10 frequencies can be locked out during conventional search, 20 frequencies from Service Search. Unique feature tells whether a given frequency has already been memorized. 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs, with images 21.6 MHz away. 4 cell BP-120 internal NiCd battery pack. Marketed as BC230XLT with two BP-120 battery packs and CRX-120 dual charger. See "The BC220XLT/BC230XLT Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1996.

BC20/20 (Electra): 1981 vintage. Similar to BC220 but with 40 channels and different CPU circuitry. A maximum of 20 channels can be scanned at one time. Reasonable number of features. Service Search for Marine and Aircraft. LED readout. Good scanner, but tinny audio.

BC230XLT (Uniden): See BC220XLT.

BC235XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Portable with 300 channels in 10 banks. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Very fast scan and search rates. Scans 64 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Service search. Data skip. Triple conversion, 254.4, 10.85, 0.45 MHz IFs. Excellent image rejection and intermod immunity. Fairly good audio. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC235XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, July 1997 or an on-line version at http://www.grove-ent.com for a review which includes quantitative test results.

BC250 (Electra): 1976 vintage. 50 channel model, rich in features, but lacks aircraft band and 144-146 MHz. Search and Store feature extremely useful for finding federal frequencies. Clock. High frequency of repair. Power transistors not heat sinked adequately, causing heat damage to surrounding components and circuit board. Failure of Q204 on the feature board known to cause odd display readings. Digital circuitry very sensitive to glitches caused by static and AC line spikes. Avoid 1978 or earlier vintage units. All BC250s use custom ICs (e.g., IC6, a divider chip, mfd. by Exar), which are now discontinued, so factory service is no longer available from Uniden.

BC260 (Electra): 1983 vintage. Super heavy duty metal cabinetry and lit controls, aimed at mobile use for firemen, police, etc. Few frills, only 16 channels, no aircraft, but generous coverage of federal bands omitted in the older Bearcat scanners. Good sensitivity. Lots of audio. Good internal construction. Backlit keyboard allows operation in the dark, but the keyboards on some units require high pressure to operate. Brightness control for display and keyboard, but multiplexor circuitry for vacuum fluorescent display produces audible whine which may be annoying in a quiet room. Backlighting may fail in some units due to poor contact on connector used to fasten light panel to front circuit board. 9 volt regulator transistor Q28 (TIP29) may fail, causing blank display while leaving audio intact. Method of connecting an external speaker is awkward.

BC300 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 50 channel top of the line scanner. Service Search feature contains 11 ROM banks of preprogrammed channels See US patent 4,270,217, granted May 26, 1981. Switching power supply failure noted in early units due to insufficient capacitance - component value was changed in newer units. Schematics show at least 100 components changed between earliest and later units. Preset squelch pot, mounted internally on circuit board, misadjusted in new units - adjustment usually required after burn-in period. Good sensitivity. Built in clock. I leave it on 24 hours a day. This is a favorite. See US patent 4,409,688, granted 11/11/83 for further insight.

BC350 (Electra): 1981 vintage. 50 channels in 5 banks. Includes aircraft. Used to be Bearcat's top of the line, overpriced scanner but never very popular. Dual use keyboard and display allowed 8 text characters to be associated with each channel, a feature clumsily implemented, and awkward to use. Units plagued with various hardware problems including bad memory ICs and short life power transformers. Firmware bugs without cures. The BC300 is a much better scanner than the BC350, and at a lower price.

BC760XLT (Uniden): Also sold as BC950XLT through Scanner World. Small, mobile scanner supplied with mounting bracket and wall wart AC power supply. Some of the keys are backlit. 100 channels in 5 banks. Service search. Earlier version has Motorola type antenna jack and AA battery backup. Later version has BNC antenna jack and internal lithium battery. Can be fitted with optional CTCSS decoder. Hyper sensitive and easily overloaded by strong signals, especially when used with outdoor antenna in base applications. Conventional 10.8 MHz IF prone to images. Television audio heard in some places.

BC800XLT (Uniden): 1985 vintage. 40 channels in two banks. Covers 806-912 MHz, as well as of vhf, uhf, and aircraft bands. Receives 10 meter fm and all of 6 meters, as well as federal portions of vhf and uhf bands. Fewer birdies on vhf-lo band than other scanners. Scans and searches very fast. Clean, robust audio output. Extremely sensitive, but very prone to overload by strong signals when connected to outdoor antenna. Too much play (hysteresis) in squelch adjustment - can be improved by changing one resistor. Positive terminal in memory backup battery holder installed backwards in early units, allowing memory loss when scanner unplugged from AC outlet. Tunes in increments of 12.5 KHz on 800 MHz band, whereas cellular telephones are on 30 KHz channels. See "The Bearcat 800XLT ... an Owner's Report," by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, March 1986.

BC860XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. 100 channel table top unit. Can lock out 10 channels during search. Good performer except for images. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC860XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, May 1995.

BC890XLT (Uniden): Same as Radio Shack PRO-2036. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Scans and searches fast. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IF -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

BC895XLT TrunkTracker(Uniden): 1997 vintage. Base with 300 channels in 10 banks. Covers military air band, but other frequency coverage is limited compared with the BC9000XLT. Predetermined AM and NFM modes not selectable. Can scan or search in conventional or trunked domains but not at the same time. Scans Motorola Type I, II, IIa 800 MHz trunked systems, but only one trunked system at a time. Programming Type I and IIa systems requires knowing the Fleet Map ahead of time or else using exhaustive trial and error. Weather

alert siren. Built-in CTCSS decoder and tone finder. A query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Test unit scans 85 channels/sec and skips empty channels. Triple conversion, 380.8 or 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz IFs. 800 MHz images in commercial and military air bands attenuated over 35 dB. For technical test results and a review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1997.

BC9000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. High quality base using up-conversion. 500 channels in twenty banks. Up to 250 channels can be programmed with alpha labels. Tuning knob. Selectable AM, NFM, WFM modes. Optional CTCSS decoder. Very fast scan and search. Can lock out 50 channels during search. Delay and attenuator independently programmable for each channel. Effective Auto Store feature stores unique frequencies. Good RF section, but not as robust as PRO-2004/5/6. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, 0.455 MHz. One urban user, located within a couple of blocks of several high power transmitters, reports severe desense problems. For a full review, see "The Uniden Bearcat BC9000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1995. For tips and modification information, see my column in June 1996 Monitoring Times. See US patents 4,947,456, granted 8/7/90, 5,163,161, granted 11/10/92 and 5,212,817, granted 5/18/93 for further insights into fast scanning and searching implementation.

BC2500XLT (Uniden): 400 channel portable with tuning knob. Plagued by intermod and images. Early units drained batteries quickly even while turned off.

BC3000XLT (Uniden): 1995 vintage. Excellent 400 channel portable with 20 banks. Up conversion. Very fast scan, search, and intelligent Auto Store. Mode, attenuator, and delay settings programmable for each channel. 50 frequencies can be locked out during search. Larger speaker than PRO-26 produces better, crisper audio. NiCd pack provides about 5 hours between charges. See "The Uniden Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1995 and "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

REGENCY

TMR series: First generation crystal scanners. Come in all varieties of band coverage. Models with both UHF and VHF bands must use separate antennas for each band (disadvantage in mobile installations, but can be overcome by connecting two front ends via a capacitor). Not sensitive enough to cover the entire 30 - 50 MHz range in a single model. There

three versions of VHF-low band coverage depending on the part of the 30 - 50 MHz band covered (LL, LM. and LH). TMR scanners use unsocketed incandescent bulbs for channel indicators which require periodic replacement. Easy to crystal: Radio Shack crystals work well. TMRs usually $2 and up at hamfests, often in poor condition. Don't pay more than $50, even if mint. Front ends must be tuned for selected portions within the bands for best sensitivity. Wide IF selectivity troublesome in urban/suburban areas. Primitive digital scanning circuitry may become confused at times. but power off/on restores sanity. Replace aging capacitors in the scanning circuits. You can find a TMR8H eight channel high band unit most often.

TME series: The base versions of the TMR models. Both the TME and TMR models share many common circuits but the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common TME model is the TME8 H/LM eight channel dual band scanner in a metal cabinet with wood coloring.

ACTR series: Replaced the Regency TMR units. By the time Regency made the ACTR units, they no longer offered different models for different parts of the 30 - 50 MHz band. Look for the later ACT series, e.g., ACTR-106 (10 channel tri band) or ACTR20/6 (20 channel tri band), used light emitting diodes for channel indicators instead of incandescent bulbs. Available in 1 to 20 channel models - most are multi band receivers. Somewhat wide selectivity. Not all that bad a deal if cheap.

ACTE series: The base versions of the ACTR series. The ACTE and ACTR circuitry is similar, although the base versions contain speakers mounted on the front panel. The most common ACTE model is the ACTE8 H/L eight channel dual band scanner in a black metal cabinet.

WHAMO-10: Regency's first synthesized scanner. Appearance more like a crystal scanner, with a single LED per channel. User has to break off teeth on a metal 'comb' for each channel according to a code book. See US patent 4,057,760, granted 11/8/77. External frequency control unit DFS-5K optional. UHF VCO reference oscillator drifts on some units. Soldered sheet metal shields around some circuitry make access to some components difficult for servicing. Comb sockets prone to bad connections after moderate use. Not recommended due to maintenance difficulties.

K500: Nice wood-like cabinet. 40 channel model with every feature Regency could dream of in one scanner, except aircraft band. Idle tone bypass feature for mobile phone stations works about 50% of the time. Weather alert feature. Service Search in several banks. Search and Store facility

not implemented as well as BC250, but better than none. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Can be programmed out of band. Reasonable performance, but sensitivity could be better. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

K100: Bare bones version of the K500. 10 channels, no priority feature. Same wood-like cabinet and reasonable performance as K500. Spring contacts on membrane keyboard may need soldering after prolonged use.

M400: 30 channel replacement for K500. Service Search, but no aircraft. Easily programmable out of band. Built in clock when radio off or in manual mode. Backlit keyboard good for night viewing and mobile use but generates RFI into nearby SW receivers. A favorite.

MX3000: 30 channel replacement for M400, but basic features only. Nice lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers. Easily programmable out of band, but no aircraft. All 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Good first scanner.

M100: 10 channel unit. Same as MX3000 except different color and fewer channels. Nicely lit keyboard, but may cause RFI into nearby SW receivers.

HX1000: Good, fairly rugged, 30 channel handheld synthesized unit. Generous out of band coverage but no AM aircraft coverage. Built by Azden. Very sensitive on UHF, but annoying audio hiss leaks through speaker when squelched. Belt clip chintzy, but can be directly replaced with better clip from Kenwood TR2600A. Like the MX3000, all 30 channels are in a single bank, and lack of direct channel access make this model more difficult to operate. Low discount price makes this best choice for programmable portable.

HX650/H604: 6 channel crystal portable. Likely made by Sanyo. Same as Fannon and Bearcat Thin Scan units, (except that Bearcat has 10.8 MHz IF frequency, and is harder to get crystals for), but scans faster. Small size and common crystals (available at Radio Shack), make this 1st choice for bare bones portable scanner.

Z60: base/mobile sporting 60 channels, but 10 of them are for FM broadcast only. Built in alarm clock, priority, and memory which requires no battery backup. Covers 30 - 50, 88 -108, 118-136, 144-174, 440-512 MHz. Notice that it's not spec'd to cover some important federal bands: 136 - 144 and 406 - 440.

RCA

RP-6150: 1997 vintage. No frills, good performing portable. 200 channels in 10 banks. No military aircraft frequency coverage. Triple conversion and a flexible battery arrangement. Lacks duplicate channel detection, search skip, and empty channel lockout features of newer designs. Our sample isn't super sensitive at 470 - 512 MHz and has some intermod around the VHF railroad channels but is generally more resistant to image problems than the BC230XLT. For technical test results and a review, see "The RCA RP-6150 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1998.

RELM

HS200: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel portable. 10 banks. Built-in CTCSS and DCS decoder. Lighted keypad. Duplicate channel detection. 100 frequencies can be locked out from search. Very good audio and strong front end. Excellent internal shielding. Global rescan delay. Covers CB. Fixed modes and step sizes. IFs are 280.2 and 0.45 MHz, and perhaps one in between. See "The RELM HS-200 Portable Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, April 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

MS200: 1998 vintage. Made in USA. 200 channel mobile with alpha labels. CTCSS and DCS decoding squelch. Programmable via PC using supplied software. Low contrast, LCD display. Keypad backlit for night programming. Rear panel tape audio jack. Test unit had lots of intermod on VHF-high band. IFs are 280 and 0.45 MHz. See "The RELM MS-200 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, March 1998, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

RADIO SHACK

PRO-24: 1983 vintage. Only 4 channels in this crystal controlled portable. Covers the three basic bands, but no aircraft. Easy to obtain batteries and crystals. Characteristic Radio Shack squelch problem, fixable by changing one resistor. All-plastic case larger than Bearcat Thin Scan and clones. See "The Radio Shack PRO-24 Scanner," by Bob Parnass, excerpted in the "Technical Topics" column, RCMA Newsletter, February 1984.

PRO-26: 1995 vintage. (Not to be confused with a simple 1985 crystal controlled portable bearing the same model number.) Very good portable made by for Radio Shack by Uniden. 200 channels, very fast scan/search. Good display. Covers all TV channels, but spectrum adjacent to cellular phone band is censored which prevents monitoring parts of some trunked systems (e.g., IL State Police district 4). Up to 50 frequencies can be skipped during search. Limited image

rejection causes 929 MHz paging interference in 170 MHz range. IFs are 380.7/254.4, 58.075, and 5.5/0.455 MHz. Audio suffers from small speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-26 vs. Uniden/Bearcat BC3000XLT," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1995.

PRO-30: 16 channel programmable portable with aircraft band. Good frequency coverage. Extra controls on top allow control of SCAN, MANUAL, and PRIORITY functions while worn on belt. Good belt clip. Low audio output. Plastic case prone to break at BNC antenna connector under severe use, vs. metal frame in Regency HX1000. High price, no discounts or sales yet. I had 6 or 7 PRO30s, having to return them several times during the 1 year warranty, although other owners have had little or no trouble. Troubles included oscillation in IF stage, no UHF band reception, case broken around base of antenna connector, etc.

PRO-34: Portable scanner with 200 channels and 800 MHz coverage. Ten "monitor" channels. Operates from AA cells. Slow scanning, low audio output, and chintzy plastic case detract from an otherwise good performance. No decent leather case available from Radio Shack. If you need a portable with 800 MHz, get a Bearcat 200XLT. If you can't get a 200XLT, get a PRO-34.

PRO-43: Very good portable made by GRE. First Radio Shack portable with up conversion, and 222 MHz ham and 225 - 400 MHz military air band coverage. 200 channels, single search range. Small, convenient size. Muffled audio. Speakers in early units known to fail and more powerful speakers were used in later units. 800 MHz band coverage fully restorable in early units by removing diode. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. IF frequencies are specified at 608.005 - 611.2 MHz, 48.5 MHz, and 455 kHz.

PRO-52: 8 channel VHF-Lo/Hi base unit. No UHF band or provision for mobile operation. Good little scanner despite limited frequency coverage and Spartan lack of frills. Crisp squelch action and good audio, helped by a front mounted, vertical speaker.

PRO-60: 1995 vintage. Very good portable made by GRE. 200 channels, single search range. Similar features and same IFs as the PRO-43 it replaced but the PRO-60 has wider frequency coverage and crisper audio. Covers 75 MHz and commercial FM broadcast bands. Improved Direct search can be stepped manually in single steps. Uses same 6 cell battery tray as other high end GRE portables. Railfans take note -- the PRO-60 and PRO-43 are subject to interference in 160 - 162 MHz range. Draws 100 mA while scanning. See "The

Radio Shack PRO-60 Portable," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, September 1995.

PRO-62: 1994 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Similar to PRO-43 but no military air band, different upper frequency limit, and slightly different IFs. Selectable AM/NFM modes. Better intermod immunity than PRO-43. See "The Radio Shack PRO-62," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1995.

PRO-64: 1997 vintage. Good portable 400 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-62 and has many more features. Selectable AM/NFM modes but fixed step sizes. 10 search banks which can be cascaded. Auto store saves unique frequencies found during a search. Frequencies can be loaded using a computer but optional interface kit not yet available. Can recharge Radio Shack's optional, monolithic NiCd pack, but not AA cells within the scanner. IFs are 257.5, 21.4, and 0.455 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-64," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-67: 1997 vintage. Good portable 200 channel scanner using up conversion. Replaces PRO-51 and has better image rejection and super fast scanning. Service Search lacks police frequencies. Fixed AM/NFM modes and step sizes. Can lock out up to 20 frequencies during a search. Can recharge AA cells within the scanner. Frequencies adjacent to the cellular phone bands censored so our PRO-67 will not receive 868.9625 or 823.9625 MHz. Intermediate Frequencies are 254.4, 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. See "The Radio Shack PRO-67," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-91: 1998 vintage. Portable made by Uniden for Radio Shack. 150 channels in 5 banks. Can selectively follow conversations in 800 MHz Motorola trunked radio systems. Censors 823.975 - 823.95 and 894.0125 - 896.1 MHz covered by the BC235XLT. Powered by four individual AA alkaline or NiCd batteries. Power save feature. Program key. One priority channel/bank. One search bank. Direct search. Service search omits many police freqs (inc. 460 - 460.5 MHz). While connected to an outdoor antenna, our PRO-91 experiences intermodulation interference in the 161 MHz range from a paging transmitter on 158.1 MHz. IFs near 380.7, 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. See PRO-91 review by Bob Parnass, in Monitoring Times, December 1998, for review with quantitative test results.

PRO-2001: Early, single bank 16 channel programmable. Reasonable coverage of the 3 traditional bands, minus aircraft band. LED digital display as well as an LED per

channel. Mechanical lockout switch for each channel. Delay is either on or off for all channels at a time. High synthesizer noise level. Troublesome plated through holes on digital board in some units renders radio virtually unfixable. Could never get mine to work more than a few days in a row; always another bad connection. Some owners have no trouble.

PRO-2003: Radio Shack's 1986 top of line. 50 channels + 10 FM commercial broadcast band channels. Includes aircraft. Good frequency coverage and functionality, but at a high price. Poor human engineering: difficult to read keyboard makes the PRO-2003 hard to operate unless in a well lit room. Keyboard label coloring improved on newer units. Rather slow scan rate and high price. Although there are provisions for 12VDC operation, the cabinet shape and lack of mounting bracket makes mobile operation impractical. Scan rate only 8 channels/sec vs. 15/sec in Regency and Bearcat. Causes RFI: Plastic case permits scanner to radiate signals into nearby receivers.

PRO-2004: 1986 vintage. Top of the line, wide band scanner for 1987. After a diode is cut, enjoy continuous coverage from 25-520 and 760-1300 MHz, AM, NBFM, and WBFM. Has 300 channels in 10 banks of 30, backed up by conventional 9 volt alkaline battery. Any channel can be designated the priority channel. Scans and searches fast. Lots of well designed features, like 10 pairs of search limits, Lockout Review, default search increment and emission mode. Sound Squelch allows skipping dead carriers during search or scan. Metal cabinet, good internal construction and shielding, but no mobile mounting bracket or DC power cord. Soft touch membrane keyboard. Good sensitivity and selectivity. Very good radio. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2004 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, February 1987, and Monitoring Times, March 1987.

PRO-2005: 1989 vintage. Essentially a size reduced PRO-2004 with surface mount components and 400 channels. Some people think the small knobs, smaller display, and plastic cabinet are a setback from the 2004. The smaller size, real rubber keyboard, and vertical front panel make it easer to use mobile, although the keyboard is not backlit. More sensitive than the PRO-2004 but 800 MHz signals leak through into the commercial aero band. Highly recommended. See "Product Review: The Radio Shack PRO-2005 Programmable Scanner," by Bob Parnass AJ9S, in The Radio Enthusiast, June 1989, and RCMA Journal, August 1989.

PRO-2006: 1990 vintage. Essentially the same as PRO-2005 but scans faster. Probably the best scanner made to date.

Highly recommended. See "The Realistic PRO-2006," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1990.

PRO-2021: Base/mobile scanner. 200 channels in 10 banks, LCD display and raised rubber keys. Lots of memory but scans too slowly and lacks 800 MHz. Ten "monitor" channels. Radio Shack seemed to have an overstock of 2021s as they were on sale for such a long time. Close out price dipped to about $200, which made it a nice scanner for beginners.

PRO-2022: Base/mobile scanner. Like the PRO-2021 but includes the 800 MHz band. Somewhat prone to intermod in the 460 MHz range. Cellular images throughout the 847.6 - 869 MHz range. See September 1996 Monitoring Times for modifications.

PRO-2035: 1995 vintage wide coverage base made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Poor dynamic range. 10 linkable search banks. Dumb Auto Store feature stores the same frequencies over and over. Wastes time scanning empty channels. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2035 - How Does It Compare With the PRO-2006?," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, January 1995.

PRO-2036: Same as Uniden/Bearcat BC890XLT. Tuning knob. 200 channels, global delay. Scans and searches fast. Count, AUX, and Auto Store features. Accepts optional CTCSS board. Dual conversion with 10.8 MHz and 450 kHz IFs -- lots of images and birdies. Prone to severe intermod, especially when used with an outdoor antenna. See "The Uniden BC-890XLT and Radio Shack PRO-2036," by Bob Parnass, in June 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2037: 1994 vintage. Triple conversion all plastic base scanner made by GRE. Home version of the PRO-62 portable but with more intermod. 200 channels in 10 banks, one search bank, selectable AM and FM. Uses up conversion circuitry but inadequate front end filtering still allows images, though not as bad as in 10.7 or 10.8 MHz IF circuits. Crisp, respectable audio given the top mounted speaker. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2037," by Bob Parnass, in July 1995 Monitoring Times.

PRO-2040: late 1995 vintage 100 channel base made by Uniden. Fair performance, but minimal image rejection. Local oscillator harmonics account for spurious responses, e.g., reception of cellular telephone calls in the 460 MHz UHF-high band. Scans over 40 channels/sec. Can lock out up to 50 channels from search. "Soft" on/off power switching via keypad. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2040," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, December 1995.

PRO-2042: 1996 version of the wide coverage PRO-2035 base with improved firmware and amber display. Made by GRE. 1,000 channels, but cumbersome 100 channel bank size. Tuning knob. NFM selectivity is still a problem but improved over PRO-2035. 10 linkable search banks. Smart Auto Store feature stores the unique frequencies. Can lock out up to 200 frequencies from search. Query facility indicates if and in what channel a frequency is programmed. Scan skips over empty channels automatically. Can sort memories by frequency within a given bank. IFs are 609 - 612, 58.05, and 10.7/0.455 MHz. Broader IF selectivity than PRO-2006. Overall, a top grade scanner and second only to Uniden/Bearcat BC9000XLT. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2042," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1996.

PRO-2045: 1996 vintage. Very good 200 channel base/mobile made for Radio Shack by Uniden. Tuning/channel selector knob. 10 banks, selectable attenuator, and rescan delay. CTCSS-capable with optional board. Great Auto Store. Weather alert. Scans and searches fast. Can lock out 50 frequencies during search. Step sizes fixed but AM and NFM modes are selectable. IFs are 380.7, 10.7, and 0.455 MHz. Images on VHF-high band despite high 1st IF. Some intermod on review unit. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2045," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, February 1997, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2046: 1996 vintage 100 channel mobile made by Uniden with fairly good performance. Descendent of the BC760XLT but no CTCSS option available. Ten banks of ten channels. Scans memory at about 34 channels/sec. Service search, direct search, and band search. Preset search limits by band and user cannot program the search limits. Image rejection is minimal, as one would expect from a scanner with IFs of 10.85 and 0.450 MHz. 10 Monitor memory channels. Can lock out up to 20 channels from a search. See "The Radio Shack PRO-2046," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, October 1996, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

PRO-2050: 1998 vintage 300 channel Motorola trunk system tracking base made by Uniden. Tight front end. Excellent performance. Chassis-less, plastic construction. Squelch and volume knobs too close and Manual key is hard to find. IFs are 380.7 (approx.), 10.85, and 0.45 MHz. AM and NFM modes are factory set and not selectable. 300 channels in 10 banks and a 2 second rescan delay may be programmed on a per channel basis. Query feature identifies duplicate memory channels. Our PRO-2050 scans at 78 channels/sec., skipping over empty channels. 10 priority channels. Up to 50 frequencies may be locked out from limit search. A single pair of limits may be programmed for a limit search.

No Direct key or direct search facility. Factory preprogrammed frequencies for police, fire/emergency, commercial air, public service, and weather, but not marine. See May 1998 Monitoring Times for a review which includes quantitative test results.

SONY

SC1PC: 1998 vintage. Good RF and audio performance. Covers 25 - 1300 MHz, minus cellular phone. Selectable step size and mode. Computer control and downloading with software and PC cable provided. Powered by ordinary AA batteries. Provided CDROM includes both the scanner control program and the multi million record PerCon FCC license database. 10 banks ("pages" in SONY parlance) of 30 channels each. Per-channel 2 second rescan delay. Unlike the ICOM IC-R10, you can scan any combination of memory banks. You can lock out ("skip") memory channels from scanning. The frequency stored in a skipped channel will also be skipped during searches. One priority channel checked every 5 seconds. SONY's limit search is restricted to one pair of search limits, though computer control expands this to 20 linkable pairs. Band search lets you search through 17 bands programmed at the factory. Service search. A cache, termed Intelligent Memory, stores the last 10 unique frequencies that were active for longer than 5 seconds each. IFs (in MHz) are 600 (below 370 MHz), 232.5 (above 370 MHz), 45.0, and 0.455. For a service manual, call SONY at (800)488-7669, and press 3. See "The SONY SC1PC," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, August 1998, for a review which includes quantitative test results.

SPORTYS

JD-100: 1997 vintage. Limited 20 channel scanner which covers civil and military air bands only. Good audio. Large display shows frequency only out to 2 digits. Slow scanning and odd keystroke sequences. No key press confirmation beep. Cannot lock out channels from memory scan. Powered by AA batteries. Battery saver circuit. See "Sportys JD-100 Air Scan," by Bob Parnass, AJ9S, in Monitoring Times, November 1997.

CRAIG (division of PIONEER)

4530: Japanese 10 channel crystal controlled 3 band unit. Also available under Plectron name but in different cabinet. No aircraft band. Deluxe features like priority, trimmer capacitors for netting each channel, front panel speaker, and rugged metal cabinet make this unit a winner. Channel lockout slide switches have finite life. Replacing burned out incandescent channel lamps not fun. Grab a 4530 if you

find one in good condition.

SONAR

Sonar made several crystal controlled scanners and channelized monitor receivers which shared the same RF circuitry. Each radio was housed in the same size metal case and worked on both 120 VAC and 12 VDC. The models differed in the number of channels, the band coverage, and whether individual channels could be locked out.

Although they used a 10.7 MHz first IF, Sonar radios require parallel resonant crystals different from the common series resonant crystals used in Regency and Radio Shack scanners. Some Radio Shack crystals will work in Sonar units, but more often they oscillate a few kHz off frequency and are unsuitable unless changes are made to the oscillator circuitry. Therefore, beginners should avoid these radios. Typical Sonar squelch has long time constant causing a long noise burst at the end of each transmission.

FR-104, FR-105: 6 channel monitor receivers covering 25 - 50 MHz or 150 - 175 MHz. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning. Early models are identified by an 11 pin connector on the rear and they could be used with an optional NiCd battery pack and charger which bolted to the rear panel. Later models used Cinch Jones connectors with flat contacts. Optional tone decoder board.

FR-2512, FR-2513: 24 channel versions of the FR-104 and FR-105 monitor receivers. Manual channel selection, i.e., no scanning.

FR-2514, FR-2515: 8 channel scanners. Channel 1 priority. Recommend you avoid these models as there is no way to lockout a channel from the scan sequence.

FR-2526, FR-2526, FR-2528: 10 channel scanners covering various combinations of 3 bands. Each channel could be locked out. Channel 1 priority.

Sonar Model	#chan- nels	VHF low	VHF high	UHF scans?	lock- outs?
FR104	6	X			no
FR105	6	X			no
FR2512	24	X			no
FR2513	24	X			no
FR2514	8	X		yes	no
FR2515	8	X		yes	no
FR2516	10	X		yes	yes
FR2517	10	X		yes	yes
FR2525	10	X		yes	yes

FR2526 10 X X yes yes
FR2527 10 X X yes yes
FR2528 10 X X yes yes

Table 1. Summary of Sonar base/mobile monitor and scanner receivers

TENNELEC

Manufactured the first synthesized scanners. See US patents 3,961,261 and 3,962,644, granted 6/1/76 and 6/8/76 respectively. Company went out of business several years ago. Schematics and parts difficult to obtain. Radios reputed to be poor performers. Got my MS-2 and MCP-1 basket cases for free and sometimes regret taking them. Not worth fixing unless you have access to DTL/RTL chips and circuit diagrams.

Plectron and Motorola Alert Monitor Receivers

In the 1960s and 1970s, thousands of firemen and ambulance squad members came to rely on their transistor Plectron and Motorola Alert monitor receivers. They have now been replaced by portable pagers, and are often available at hamfests in the $5 - $25 range. Since many are in rough condition and need repair, a hamfest special is better suited for hobbyists who like to fix their own radios.

Plectron and Motorola Alert crystal controlled receivers are excellent for dedicated monitoring of local frequencies -- a task for which you wouldn't want to tie up your 400 channel programmable scanner. These radios are fixed channel units and do not scan. They can be powered form 117VAC or 12VDC with the proper mobile cord.

The audio quality on a Plectron P1 or 700 series is far better than any consumer grade scanner and the sensitivity and intermod immunity is outstanding if aligned properly. Better image rejection than most scanners (see article by Bob Parnass in Monitoring Times, January 1996 for comparison). The Plectron 500 series is less desirable. The Motorola Alert monitors are pretty good, although the audio lacks bass.

No single Plectron or Motorola Alert monitor can cover the entire 30-50 MHz band, and there were versions optimized for each portion of the band. There were different "split" models to cover segments of VHF-hi band, too. Although UHF versions were made, they are somewhat rare.

Both brands of receiver require special crystals. I sometimes use Radio Shack's generic 3rd overtone scanner crystals in the Plectrons but they oscillate on frequencies

far away from their marked frequencies. That's because the Plectron oscillator is designed to be used with a crystal which oscillates on its fundamental, not overtone, frequency. Too, the P1 and R700 require different crystals.

If you find the squelch on your Plectron 700 series receiver has too much hysteresis, replace R96, a 180K resistor, with a 560K resistor. On the P1, the resistor is designated R81.

Repair service for the Plectron P1 and R700 models is offered by:

Woodson Electronics
Plectron Place
P.O. Box 960
Imboden, Arkansas 72434

telephone 1-(501)869-7985

Some replacement parts are currently available from Federal Signal in University Park, IL, phone (800)524-3021. For more information, see "Add a Crystal Receiver to Your Arsenal," by Bob Parnass, January 1996 Monitoring Times.

Custom Color Map & Report
of Transmitter Sites Near You

As I have already done for hundreds of radio enthusiasts, companies, government agencies, and organizations across the country since 1994, I will locate FCC licensed and selected FAA and CAP transmitter sites in an area of your choice, in any state, and produce a custom RadioMap(TM) report, including a beautiful 11" by 17" scaled color map, protected by plastic. The map is marked with transmitter sites, cities, highways, airports, military installations, national parks, etc. See http://www.megsinet.com/~parnass for a sample.

RadioMap has been advertised monthly in Monitoring Times magazine since 1995. The graphical nature of RadioMap allows you to identify antenna sites and visualize the transmitter locations in your neighborhood, near your office, and other places of interest -- from VLF through microwave. The report lists frequencies, callsigns, and licensee names. RadioMap covers police, fire, local government, TV, business, industry, common carrier, railroad, trucking, and many more types of transmitter sites, excluding ham radio stations. Wireline and nonwireline cellular phone and AM/FM broadcast transmitter sites are clearly marked using unique color icons.

Industrial customers use RadioMap reports to survey the "radio environment" prior to installation of radios and

wireless microphones at customer sites. RadioMap is used by the maritime and broadcast industries, as well as ham radio operators to solve intermod interference problems, and has been very favorably reviewed in RCMA Scanner Journal, American Scannergram, and USSN.

The RadioMap processes and software are unique and proprietary. Unlike "more tame" maps, RadioMap shows the locations of military bases, ammunition plants, arsenals, weapons factories, and other military installations.

For a flat fee, you choose the center location, and I choose the range, depending on transmitter site density:

suburbs: 5 mile range (100 sq. mi. area)
rural areas: 10 or more miles (400 sq. mi. area)
dense urban area: 1 - 2 mile range

Longer ranges for additional charge - call for pricing.

A custom RadioMap report costs $24.95 plus $5.00 shipping and handling. For an additional $10.00, the deluxe report includes and extra index sorted by frequency and a custom frequency allocation histogram, a 0 - 1,000 MHz "spectrum analyzer-like" display showing spectrum usage by the transmitters in the map coverage area. Send check or money order payable to Bob Parnass for $29.95 for standard or $39.95 for deluxe RadioMap report with histogram and additional index by frequency. Include your name, address, and telephone number, along with center location (nearest intersection of 2 streets, or latitude & longitude) and mail to: Bob Parnass, 2350 Douglas Road, Oswego, IL 60543. tel. (630)554-3839 6-10 PM central time. For a brochure, send SASE.

How to Obtain Copies of Patents

Printed copies of US patents may be purchased for $3 each, but you must know the patent number. Credit card orders are taken over the telephone by the Assistant Commissioner for Patents at (703)305-4350. Alternatively, you can mail a check or money order, made payable to "The Assistant Commissioner for Patents" to

The Assistant Commissioner for Patents
Washington, DC 20231

For more information about the US Patent and Trademark Office, call their automated information message system toll-free at (800)786-9199.

There are various patent servers on the world wide web, including Delphion's server:

http://www.delphion.com

--
==========
Bob Parnass, AJ9S parnass@bell-labs.com

30. Searching for technical manual for Regency MX4200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 14:19:59 UTC
From: f1rcq@club-internet.fr
Message-ID: <3b17a48e.17209832@news.club-internet.fr>
Source record: 64647
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner

Hello

I'm searching for the user and technical manual for Regency MX4200

Copies welcome

Best 73's from France

Denis F1RCQ

31. Yaesu Atas 100 circuit Pse info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 14:20:01 UTC
From: f1rcq@club-internet.fr
Message-ID: <3b17a490.17212419@news.club-internet.fr>
Source record: 64648
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,de.alt.radio-scanner

Hello

I've problem with my Atas100.

The antenna doesn't extand.
I'm searching for the component layout on the internal converting
circuit

Best 73's From France

Denis F1RCQ

32. Re: Florida Mil-Air Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 17:28:15 UTC
From: "Mike M"
Message-ID: <3b17c958.3425904@news.charter.net>
Source record: 64649

Look under Milcom>CONUS at http://www.ohioscan.com
I believe the owner used to live in Florida, and while the info might
be a little dated, it will be a good starting point.

On Fri, 1 Jun 2001 11:55:38 +0000 (UTC), av8tor@licensed4fun.com
(Av8tor) wrote:

>Does anyone have a list or know where I can get a list of active Mil-Air freqs
>for Florida. I have all the NASA ones programmed in and they are coming in
>very well with a Discone at 25'.
>Thanks,
>George
>http://www.Licensed4Fun.com
>--
>____
> I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
> I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
> --> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
>____
>

33. Re: Stuart FL F-5 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 17:35:04 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010601133504.09445.00002528@ng-fx1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64650

>Subject: Stuart FL F-5 ?
>From: saltboat@aol.com (Saltboat)
>Date: 5/31/01 1:39 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010531133917.04952.00002341@ng-fy1.aol.com>
>
>Anyone know the freq. for F-5 Stuart FL PD F-5.? Hear go to '5' all the time,
>apparently there car to car channel.
>Thanks.
>

These are the most current list of Stuart, Florida Frequencies I am aware of;
though I am not sure which one would be F-5:
154.2050
154.9800
155.0250
460.2750
460.3250
465.2750
465.3250

Also try the following Link:
http://www.cityfreq.com/fl/stuart/

Main Page of City Freq.:
http://www.cityfreq.com/

By adding these and other located Frequencies to the Scanner, you can possibly
figure out which one is F-5: by way of context of the Communications/Voices.

If you find further, please post for others to use.

Hope this helps,

Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://www.expage.com/pbscanner

34. FA:Aluminum Portable Scanner Stand

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 17:48:22 UTC
From: Richard Chyzy <rjchyzy@home.com>
Message-ID: <GzQR6.11858$mG4.6114984@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 64651
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1242833935

35. Re: VIRUS ALERT: SEARCH FOR THIS AND DELETE IT FROM HARDRIVE AND RECYCLE BIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 19:00:37 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010601150037.12898.00000015@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 64652

>This is nothing but a hoax. This is an essention Windows file.

What the hell is an "essention" windows file?

36. Help with PRO-2022

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 19:06:46 UTC
From: N1IQO <n1iqo@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aJRR6.6025$r62.1643842@typhoon1.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64653

Hi all,
I just got a pro-2022 and it looks like someone had a party inside of it,
the antenna was gone and there were no screws for the case.
Well no big deal they can be replaced.
Now for the real problem the LCD display.
ALL of the display lites up so it's not readable the back light works and
the scanner works.
So I really could use some help!

Thanks
David
n1iqo@yahoo.com

37. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 19:13:37 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <CLRR6.1641$BV1.1742@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 64654

"Bill" <ka4drw@no.spam.juno.com> wrote in message
news:8okbhtsr3qiihig3umqdg2l0tipj4dksaa@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 30 May 2001 23:35:36 -0500, "M. Schneider"
> <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
> >When you stop and think about it, there are very few, if any, methods of
> >oppression that weren't used by the Nazis. Many were in fact first
devised
> >by the Nazis.
>
> And the lawgivers of the USA are implementing them just as fast as
> they can!

Yeah, and other countries as well. If Patrick Henry were alive today, his
statement would probably be " Give me liberty, or give me socialized
government to run my life for me."

Mark

38. Re: EBAY has NO problem with "FA" postings!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 19:20:54 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <sSRR6.2060$BV1.1787@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 64655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

While you are certainly right, technically, many who read the scanner newsgroups don't read the rec.radio.swap newsgroup. Several of my radio purchases have been impulse buys after seeing the radio listed here. If the postings are properly labeled as FS, FA, FT, or FS/T, just who is being hurt here? If you don't want to read them, then ignore them. Is your life so fulfilling otherwise that this is the only thing left for you to worry about?

Let's give it a rest, people!

Mark K5MAR

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message news:Pine.LNX.4.10.10105311845360.14489-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> On Thu, 31 May 2001, Glenn wrote:
> > rec.radio.scanners DOES NOT have its own for sale group. rec.radio.swap
> > is the closest and one has to wade through ham and commercial ads up the
> > ying yang to find scanners and accessories.
> >
> > In the time it has taken every anti-FA person to post a bitch they could
> > have set up a filter and removed 95% of these enormous earth-shattering
> > all but impossible to solve problem postings from ever reaching their
> > delicate eyes and brain that can't help but read EVERY MESSAGE IN EVERY
> > GROUP they subscribe to. It must really suck to have no control!
>
> ...And if you looked at the charter for the "rec.radio" hierarchy, you will find
> that although there is no separate group for selling scanners, "rec.radio.swap"
> is indeed the CORRECT place (per the charter) for offering [radio] scanners for
> sale. If you find that this is "unwieldly," you're free to petition for a new
> group such as "rec.radio.scanners.swap" or for an "alt...." hierarchy group.
>

39. FS: ICOM IC-R100 .1-1856 MHz receiver/scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 19:44:13 UTC
From: HCA <pr1902cccc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9f8r8vdib1@news.acns.nwu.edu>
Source record: 64657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

0.1 - 1856 (continuous) MHz receiver. AM, FM, WFM modes. 100 memories, 20 programmable scan edge channels, and 1 priority scan channel.

It is in very good condition and works perfectly. The only noticeable "use" is some case scuffing on the sides where it was in the mounting bracket.

Please let me know if you are interested. I would like $375 + shipping, and I accept PayPal, Billpoint, Paydirect, or money orders.

prXSPAMX1902c@yahoo.com (remove XSPAMX to reply). Thank you, Howard

Complete specs are at:
http://www.icomamerica.com/support/archive/receivers/ic-r100.html

40. Re: VIRUS ALERT: SEARCH FOR THIS AND DELETE IT FROM HARDRIVE AND RECYCLE BIN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 19:47:40 UTC
From: Power House <Power__House@hotmail.com_SPAMMENOT>
Message-ID: <thfs97tklold61@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64656

My guess would be one of two... Essential, or extension... Take your pick,
as they both fit the bill...

--
Power House - 823
Northern Michigan

http://www.geocities.com/femaster1/cbpage/index.htm

"Urei" <urei@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010601150037.12898.00000015@ng-cg1.aol.com...
> >This is nothing but a hoax. This is an essention Windows file.
>
> What the hell is an "essention" windows file?

41. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 22:31:01 UTC
From: Odin <odinm@flash.net>
Message-ID: <FIUR6.98$Fm.29204626@newssvr10-int.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

tony summerfelt <snowzone5@hotmail.com> wrote in message

> besides, is every US citizen that much of a rabid gun fan?
>
> all those laws and paperwork i've mentioned have no impact on any canadian
> uninterested in firearms <snrk>

And laws that burn Christians at the stake only affect the Christians. And laws that burn Jews in the ovens in concentration camps only affect Jews. And laws that allow blacks to be sold into slavery only affect blacks. Are you getting the point yet? I didn't think so. You are one of the people who ask "how could this happen here?" when it's been happening all along but you were too dense to see it.

42. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 22:38:01 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010601183801.03236.00000434@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 64659

In article <CLRR6.1641$BV1.1742@newsfeed.slurp.net>, "M. Schneider"
<markinokla@juno.com> writes:

>Yeah, and other countries as well. If Patrick Henry were alive today, his
>statement would probably be " Give me liberty, or give me socialized
>government to run my life for me."

Actually, it would probably be "Give me liberty, or I'll SUE!!!!!"

43. Ozark - Nixa - Springfield Missouri Scanner Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 23:00:14 UTC
From: hijax <hijax@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9f96pt$1268$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64660
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

As there is not much on the internet now, I am starting a website that will contain a list of frequently active Christian and Greene County Missouri scanner frequencies for the Ozark, Nixa and Springfield Areas.

I would look forward to any additions - please email me directly if you have any frequencies to contribute. Include the frequency, owner if known, type if known (law enforcement, business band, etc), best time to listen if known, plus any helpful notes.

The site will be located at http://www.system-factory.com/scanner.html

Thanks!

hijax

44. WACARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 23:03:15 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <TaVR6.44$Ji.2874@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64661

I just installed Wacars 0.7 but cannot get it to work. When I start it up I get an error message that says the program cannot find a soundcard. Now the PC obviously has a soundcard, the question is why can't Wacars find it?

45. Re: FS:Uniden bc-780xlt trunktracker 3 Used $225

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-01 23:32:49 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b182595.2102582@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 64662

It seems that he sold this scanner several times, and never delivered
it once
What a shock!

On Thu, 3 May 2001 15:39:26 -0500 (CDT), DXBOSS@webtv.net wrote:

>Unit is in like new condition and comes complete with original box,
>antenna, AC power adapter, cigarette plug, DC cord, mounting bracket and
>manual. These sell new for
>around $350 bought from scanner world, I'm asking just $235 which
>includes shipping within the lower 48 states.
>

46. El Dorado Co., CA. Freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 01:14:52 UTC
From: Black Cloud <suckmyfattie@blowme.com>
Message-ID: <g6XR6.54170$%i7.41503548@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 64663

Hello All;

I was wondering if anyone has the current frequencies for the fire districts of El Dorado Co, CA. The databases and information I have checked recently are outdated; they are no longer operating on the 46mhz band.

Thanks.

James

47. Re: Plectron / Motorola Alert Monitors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 02:17:03 UTC
From: macjap3 <macjap3@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <B73DC4DF.1C81C%macjap3@yahoo.com>
Source record: 64664
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Personally I like Plectrons. But i'm on low band.

> From: Phantom409 <Phantom@Phantom.org>
> Organization: Ameritech.Net www.ameritech.net Complaints: abuse@ameritech.net
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
> Date: Fri, 01 Jun 2001 01:26:55 -0400
> Subject: Plectron / Motorola Alert Monitors
>
> Here is a question for anyone who can answer it. Who made the better
> receiver, Plectron or Motorola, when it came alerting receivers? Which has the
> better sensitivity and receive on VHF Hi-Band and UHF?
>
>
> Thanks,
>
> Phantom

48. Looking For Roadway Freqs. In N.E. Pa.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 02:54:36 UTC
From: nvavro@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3b1853ad.17583658@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 64665

Looking for Roadway trucking freqs. in N.E. Pa. specifically in the Hazleton area. Nick

49. Re: Pro-2004 Display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 03:11:28 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <APYR6.15395$US6.3974282@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 64666

Sounds like a cold solder joint. With the rig on take the eraser end of a pencil and press firmly on the solder joints. If the numbers come back that is the cold joint. Re-solder it and work your way across. I hope that helps.
"Tom Pamin" <scnrfrq@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:3B185649.6526FF83@adelphia.net...I just replaced the backlight display in my Pro-2004, as I've done several times before. Now the channel numbers only partially display. Parts of the numbers are missing. Any ideas on what could be the problem?

50. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 03:21:03 UTC
From: ^xRun^ <nospam-xrun@c2i.net>
Message-ID: <zYYR6.46$Q8.3732@juliett.dax.net>
Source record: 64667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

> > all those laws and paperwork i've mentioned have no impact on any canadian
> > uninterested in firearms <snrk>
>
> And laws that burn Christians at the stake only affect the Christians. And
> laws that burn Jews in the ovens in concentration camps only affect Jews.
> And laws that allow blacks to be sold into slavery only affect blacks. Are
> you getting the point yet? I didn't think so. You are one of the people
> who ask "how could this happen here?" when it's been happening all along but
> you were too dense to see it.

Well... where should I start... I'll make this short and sweet.
He did actually specify that the gunlaws don't affect canadians uninterested in firearms. Not canadians period.
So before you start getting your wires crossed, try reading what people actually write instead of judging them by assuming they say something that's not been mentioned at all.
Or to use a phrase which applies to this; Objection Your Honor, assumes facts not in evidence, or redirect outside scope of direct.
I allready know I'm not a lawyer so I don't need you telling me I'm not... just trying to convey a point here.

51. FS: Pro92 Version 1.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 03:26:31 UTC
From: scantexas@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <884cb013.0106011926.f1e0120@posting.google.com>
Source record: 64668

I have a Pro92 version 1.0 for sale, this radio is in excellent condition. I do not have the manual for it, it does come with the ac adpater, programming software, and cable.

170.00 ahipped CONUS priority mail with delivery confirmation

email me directly if interested

Gregg

52. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 03:33:05 UTC
From: ^xRun^ <nospam-xrun@c2i.net>
Message-ID: <R7ZR6.47$Q8.3856@juliett.dax.net>
Source record: 64669

> Sure. Once the government has a record of all your firearms purchases,
what's
> the worry? As soon as they feel the need, they'll know right where to go
to
> confiscate them.

What's the problem here?
Once your govmt. wants your car or your whatshammecallit they still know
where to get it.
That's not a gun issue at all, that's just a fact of life. It's called being
part of the society in general.

Historically, the US government has not been very jumpy and gone out to
interfere with people's possessions and lives, save a couple of isolated
incidents like the MacArthur era and Manzanaar and stuff like that. Those
were at least partly in response to public outcry though... so it's still a
matter of being part of the society in general. Living in a country half of
which was built by cowboy types must have screwed with your head. There are
cultural differences, and what's right where you live may not be right where
someone else lives, but that's no reason to argue about it.

53. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 03:40:18 UTC
From: ^xRun^ <nospam-xrun@c2i.net>
Message-ID: <CeZR6.48$Q8.3821@juliett.dax.net>
Source record: 64670

I actually belive they are capable of basic math in Canada as well.
I'll just assume they know that $300CAN is slightly less than $210US.
Likewise, I'll just assume that the expensive argument is when they must pay
like $350CAN for something that's just $200US in USA.

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:CQtQ6.5669$aT2.4825@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> We're way off-topic here, so I might as well throw this in. It's taken
from
> a email I received regarding the difference between those who voted for
Bush
> and those (fools) who voted for Gore.
>
> >And an even more remarkable finding....
> >
> >Average Murder per 100,000 residents in counties won
> >by Bush: 0.1
> >Average Murder per 100,000 residents in counties won
> >by Gore: 13.2
> >
> >Researchers found one more interesting fact that might
> >help explain these disparate murder rates. Gun
> >ownership in the counties won by Mr. Bush is much
> >higher than in the counties won by Mr. Gore.
>
> And as for the comment made elsewhere that criminals have to pay more for
> hot guns in Canada than they do in the U.S.A., might that have something
to
> do with the loss of value of the Canadian Dollar? Seems like back in the
> Sixties it was almost 1 to 1, Canadian to U.S., now it looks like the
Can.
> dollar is only worth 2/3 of a U.S. dollar. If the Canadian dollar has
lost
> roughly 30% of it's value compared to the U.S. dollar, what does that say
> about the policies of the Canadian government? Don't get me wrong, I like
> Canada, but don't go waving your scanner laws in my face and tell me how
> superior your country is to mine as a result.
>
> Mark
>
>
>
>

54. Re: Pro-2004 Display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 03:59:02 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <9f9oa6$750$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 64671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Tom Pamin <scnrfrq@adelphia.net> wrote:
> I just replaced the backlight display in my Pro-2004, as I've done several
> times before. Now the channel numbers only partially display. Parts of
> the numbers are missing.

The LCD is typically connected to the circuit board via a connector
strip, a thin piece of conductive foam with insulating breaks every 0.1".
Each segment of the strip connects a printed circuit pad on the board to
a corresponding conductive element on the LCD glass. When you put the EL
panel in the display sandwich, you may have mis-aligned the connector so
that some of the traces aren't making contact.

55. Re: Phone Calls in the 1 GHz range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 04:33:33 UTC
From: rstoyguy <dmcniel@kscable.com>
Message-ID: <x0_R6.60581$we.9945015@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 64672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yes, Sprint PCS, and most CDMA cellphones operate up around 1300Mhz. I'm not sure of the exact frequencies....

"hijax" <hijax@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:9f6nv3$96cg$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> I am accidentally picking up phone calls in the lower 1 GHz area, yet I know
> standard cellular freqs are down in the 800 MHz range. Are some cell
> services transmitting up this high now, or is this a skip or something?
>
> hijax
>
>

56. NFL Frequencies & "multi-channel LPB Radio-Aide" transmitters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 05:12:39 UTC
From: chadpalmer2k@aol.comdxkdloew
Message-ID: <20010602011239.11877.00000916@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 64673

I have a question. many nfl stadiums Carolina Panthers (Ericsson Stadium), New England Patriots, and others have on their website that they offer "multi-channel LPB Radio-Aide" headsets for the hearing impaired. My question is.. what frequency bands do these operate on? Can they be picked up with scanners?

57. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 06:29:13 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1F%R6.302$X_2.449@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 64674

"^xRun^" <nospam-xrun@c2i.net> wrote in message
news:R7ZR6.47$Q8.3856@juliett.dax.net...
> > Sure. Once the government has a record of all your firearms purchases,
> what's
> > the worry? As soon as they feel the need, they'll know right where to
go
> to
> > confiscate them.
>
> What's the problem here?
> Once your govmt. wants your car or your whatshammecallit they still know
> where to get it.
> That's not a gun issue at all, that's just a fact of life. It's called
being
> part of the society in general.

Yeah, but if the gun isn't registered in the first place, it's a lot harder for the government to track down. That's why many of us (and I don't own any guns anymore) are opposed to gun registration.

> Historically, the US government has not been very jumpy and gone out to
> interfere with people's possessions and lives, save a couple of isolated
> incidents like the MacArthur era and Manzanaar and stuff like that. Those
> were at least partly in response to public outcry though... so it's still
a
> matter of being part of the society in general. Living in a country half
of
> which was built by cowboy types must have screwed with your head. There
are
> cultural differences, and what's right where you live may not be right
where
> someone else lives, but that's no reason to argue about it.

True, BUT, the actions taken by the U.S. government against U.S. citizens of Japanese ancestry after Pearl Harbor show that the potential is there. What was done to these people, many of whom were native-born children of naturalized citizens, violated about a half-dozen of the U.S. Bill of Rights. But it was done anyway. Given that our government has grossly violated the basic constitutional guarantees before, can you blame some people for believing that it is capable of doing so again in the future? As for the "response to public outcry" statement, aren't all government officials required to uphold the Constitution? I feel that should take priority over "public outcry". We are supposed to be a country ol laws, not emotions.

Mark

>
>

58. Re: Phone Calls in the 1 GHz range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 06:37:43 UTC
From: Jamie Hanrahan <jeh@cmkrnl.com>
Message-ID: <uf0hhtosasfq9r4jbu9qi1asvdq01rsise@4ax.com>
Source record: 64675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 02 Jun 2001 04:33:33 GMT, "rstoyguy" <dmcniel@kscable.com> wrote:
>"hijax" <hijax@prodigy.net> wrote in message
>news:9f6nv3$96cg$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
>> I am accidentally picking up phone calls in the lower 1 GHz area, yet I
>know
>> standard cellular freqs are down in the 800 MHz range. Are some cell
>> services transmitting up this high now, or is this a skip or something?
>>
>> hijax
>Yes, Sprint PCS, and most CDMA cellphones operate up around 1300Mhz. I'm
>not sure of the exact frequencies....

No. Sprint PCS is CDMA 1900. The other US systems, digital and analog,
are all in the 800 MHz range. Besides, all that stuff is digital; there's
no way he's picking them up on a scanner, "accidentally" or otherwise.
Must be images from AMPS analog cell.

--- jeh

59. Re: CB Radio Online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 07:48:29 UTC
From: John Dodd <webmasterGIMMENOSPAM@flightsim-uk.com>
Message-ID: <zT0S6.8021$HL5.1204324@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 64676
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

> you are a TOTAL BOOB!
Now you're a friendly person, aren't you?

60. Re: Pro-2004 Display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 09:07:33 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <p12S6.26067$Up2.3441918@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 64677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

From: "WG" <nojunk@mail.com>
Subject: Re: Pro-2004 Display
Date: Friday, June 01, 2001 8:11 PM

Sounds like a cold solder joint. With the rig on take the eraser end of a pencil and press firmly on the solder joints. If the numbers come back that is the cold joint. Re-solder it and work your way across. I hope that helps.
"Tom Pamin" <scnrfrq@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:3B185649.6526FF83@adelphia.net...I just replaced the backlight display in my Pro-2004, as I've done several times before. Now the channel numbers only partially display. Parts of the numbers are missing. Any ideas on what could be the problem?

"F Barry Mulligan" <70035.140@CompuServe.COM> wrote in message news:9f9oa6$750$1@sshuraaa-i-1.production.compuserve.com...
> Tom Pamin <scnrfrq@adelphia.net> wrote:
> > I just replaced the backlight display in my Pro-2004, as I've done several
> > times before. Now the channel numbers only partially display. Parts of
> > the numbers are missing.
>
> The LCD is typically connected to the circuit board via a connector
> strip, a thin piece of conductive foam with insulating breaks every 0.1".
> Each segment of the strip connects a printed circuit pad on the board to
> a corresponding conductive element on the LCD glass. When you put the EL
> panel in the display sandwich, you may have mis-aligned the connector so
> that some of the traces aren't making contact.

61. Re: IC-R3: Must Have or Shouldn't Have?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 12:53:03 UTC
From: dkelly <dwkelly@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9fc188$9ep$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64696
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Having has an R10 fior awhile, the R3 is a pain since there is no direct freq entry. One other thing that ICOM leaves out is that it has restricted 800 beyone the cellular band. Specifically, the unblocked version will not receive 866-869, at a minimum. This is not US cellular spectrum and is US Public safety radio spectrum. Someone needs to tell ICOM to fix their mistake.

DougSlug <twinpoppa@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:14DR6.8648$Bn1.1107787@news02.optonline.net...
> Looking for opinions on the Icom IC-R3...is it a must-have or should this
> one be passed by (or at least wait until the price drops considerably)?
>
> I did read the StrongSignals review; links to other reviews would be welcome
> as well.
>
> Thanks,
> Doug
>
>

62. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 13:04:47 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010602090447.03236.00000469@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 64678

In article <R7ZR6.47$Q8.3856@juliett.dax.net>, "^xRun^" <nospam-xrun@c2i.net>
writes:

>Historically, the US government has not been very jumpy and gone out to
>interfere with people's possessions and lives, save a couple of isolated
>incidents like the MacArthur era and Manzanaar and stuff like that.

You forgot Ruby Ridge, Waco, and Elian Gonzalez in Miami. Sandpoint, Idaho
will likely be added to the list soon.

63. WTB: Radio Shack Pro2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 15:58:13 UTC
From: scantexas@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <884cb013.0106020758.163b701d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 64679

Does anyone have a Pro2067 for sale if so please email me directly

scantexas@hotmail.com

Thanks
Gregg

64. Re: Yaesu VR-120 or ICOM RC-2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 16:23:02 UTC
From: hijax <hijax@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9fb3tl$6qba$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64680
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have the Yaesu VR-120 and love the power in such a little device, though it has its own quirks just like any other scanner.

In looking for product information and reviews on the web, you will find a 10-to-1 ratios of articles, with the Icom R2 leading hands down. Don't know if it's because of the length of time one product has been in the market over the other, or the assumption by many that Icom is somehow better.

Having never owned an R2, I cannot provide an experienced-based contrast/comparison. I can only report what the Yaesu appears to offer vs the Icom by both being an owner of the VR-120, and in reviewing the factory specifications of each:

1. They are almost identical in physical size and frequency coverage.
2. Yaesu as 640 channel memory, Icom has 400.
3. Yaesu has channel labelling to remind you what you're listening to, though it is only 6 characters (making for an abbreviation nightmare). Icom has no channel labelling at all.
4. Icom is PC programmable. Yaesu is not. 'Nuf said. :-(
5. With only 4 main function keys, you are required to make several karate-move-like combinations of button presses in order to do certain things on the Yaesu. Don't know what the interface is like on the Icom, though it only has 2 more buttons than Yaesu. Maybe it's just as difficult?
6. Yaesu offers a channel counter, which allows you to find strong signals in your area without knowing the exact frequency. This I use ALL THE TIME. Icom does not.
7. Most other capabilities very similar between the two: attenuation, selection of tuning steps, priority channels scan, sleep timer, earphone jack, BNC antenna, beep tones, weather resistance, belt clip.
8. No AC adapter capability on the Yaesu, therefore no recharging circuit. Icom allows for AC and recharging of Ni-Cad batteries from within the unit. But I bought a NIMH charger kit and 4 AA batteries for $15.00, so I end up with rechargability afterall, and longer battery life than Ni-Cad.
9. The audio on the Yaesu is not very loud at full setting, making it difficult to monitor in a noisy environment. I guess you could amplify the signal from the headphone jack and use and external speaker if you wanted to get right down to it.
10. CTCSS on the Icom. Not on the Yaesu.
11. Price is very similar. Both are in the $160.00 - $170.00 range.
12. Neither offer trunking, though there are currently NO trunking transmissions taking place in our community. So I'm not missing anything. You'd just have to see what you'll be missing in your neck of the woods if you purchased a scanner without it.

In conclusion, it would be difficult to decide which features are more important: channel counter vs PC interface, labelling vs no labelling, AC vs no AC, etc.

It is a tough call, but I'd say that Icom has made alot more noise in the industry than Yaesu in the micro communications receiver business.

Is there a reason? Someone in the group will speak out I'm sure. :-)

hijax

"Dan W." <dfwood4@home.com> wrote in message news:2c6S6.13291$mG4.7509835@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> I am new to scanning and was looking into getting either the Yaesu VR-120 or
> ICOM RC-2. Does anybody have a good reason why I should pick one over the
> other. At this point the VR-120 seems to be a more powerful unit for about
> the same price so I am thinking of going with it. However, I read one
> message on this newsgroup that said if you get a scanner now it should have
> trunking capability. Any feedback would be much appreciated.
>
> Dan
>
>

65. Re: Yaesu VR-120 or ICOM RC-2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 18:43:43 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <ztaS6.13749$mG4.7900454@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 64681
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> I have the Yaesu VR-120 and love the power in such a little device, though
> it has its own quirks just like any other scanner.
>
> In looking for product information and reviews on the web, you will find a
> 10-to-1 ratios of articles, with the Icom R2 leading hands down. Don't
know
> if it's because of the length of time one product has been in the market
> over the other, or the assumption by many that Icom is somehow better.
>
> Having never owned an R2, I cannot provide an experienced-based
> contrast/comparison. I can only report what the Yaesu appears to offer vs
> the Icom by both being an owner of the VR-120, and in reviewing the
factory
> specifications of each:
>
> 1. They are almost identical in physical size and frequency coverage.
> 2. Yaesu as 640 channel memory, Icom has 400.
> 3. Yaesu has channel labelling to remind you what you're listening to,
> though it is only 6 characters (making for an abbreviation nightmare).
Icom
> has no channel labelling at all.
> 4. Icom is PC programmable. Yaesu is not. 'Nuf said. :-(

The Icom R2 is easy to program manually. It is also very fast to find any
frequency.
I really don't understand why anyone would complain about tuning the Icom
without a keypad. Having frequency files saved on computer might come in
very handy though.

> 5. With only 4 main function keys, you are required to make several
> karate-move-like combinations of button presses in order to do certain
> things on the Yaesu. Don't know what the interface is like on the Icom,
> though it only has 2 more buttons than Yaesu. Maybe it's just as
difficult?
> 6. Yaesu offers a channel counter, which allows you to find strong signals
> in your area without knowing the exact frequency. This I use ALL THE
TIME.
> Icom does not.
> 7. Most other capabilities very similar between the two: attenuation,
> selection of tuning steps, priority channels scan, sleep timer, earphone
> jack, BNC antenna, beep tones, weather resistance, belt clip.
> 8. No AC adapter capability on the Yaesu, therefore no recharging circuit.
> Icom allows for AC and recharging of Ni-Cad batteries from within the
unit.

It does? I just bought a new Maha quick charger/conditioner this week for my
R2.

> But I bought a NIMH charger kit and 4 AA batteries for $15.00, so I end up
> with rechargability afterall, and longer battery life than Ni-Cad.
> 9. The audio on the Yaesu is not very loud at full setting, making it
> difficult to monitor in a noisy environment. I guess you could amplify
the
> signal from the headphone jack and use and external speaker if you wanted
to
> get right down to it.
> 10. CTCSS on the Icom. Not on the Yaesu.

CTCSS can be very important.
DeWayne

> 11. Price is very similar. Both are in the $160.00 - $170.00 range.
> 12. Neither offer trunking, though there are currently NO trunking
> transmissions taking place in our community. So I'm not missing anything.
> You'd just have to see what you'll be missing in your neck of the woods if
> you purchased a scanner without it.
>
> In conclusion, it would be difficult to decide which features are more
> important: channel counter vs PC interface, labelling vs no labelling, AC
vs
> no AC, etc.
>
> It is a tough call, but I'd say that Icom has made alot more noise in the
> industry than Yaesu in the micro communications receiver business.
>
> Is there a reason? Someone in the group will speak out I'm sure. :-)
>
> hijax
>
>
> "Dan W." <dfwood4@home.com> wrote in message
> news:2c6S6.13291$mG4.7509835@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> > I am new to scanning and was looking into getting either the Yaesu
VR-120
> or
> > ICOM RC-2. Does anybody have a good reason why I should pick one over
the
> > other. At this point the VR-120 seems to be a more powerful unit for
> about
> > the same price so I am thinking of going with it. However, I read one
> > message on this newsgroup that said if you get a scanner now it should
> have
> > trunking capability. Any feedback would be much appreciated.
> >
> > Dan
> >
> >

>
>

66. Re: Question about Digital Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 18:47:39 UTC
From: Kevin <awdwfan@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fxaS6.12760$S2.992338@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64682

There is not a scanner on the market at this time that will decode digital transmissions. Keep your eyes open. I have a feeling we may be seeing one here in the near future.
"95Cobra-R" <cobrar@home.com> wrote in message news:UpkQ6.7966$mG4.3056368@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> Are there any scanners that can pick up Motorola ASTRO Type II digital
> (APCO-25) transmissions. The city I live in recently switched to this.
The
> word Digital makes me wonder if there are any scanners out there that can
> pick this up. It's on the 800MHZ band.
>
> Thanks!!!!!!
>
>
>
>
>
>

67. My final opinion on this eBay FA question.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 18:57:04 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3b19338f.10785232@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 64683
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am offering this final thread on the recent eBay FA postings controversy in an attempt to be a stand up fellow and admit where I was wrong.

After digesting all the information coming in from all sides, I have made the personal decision that those complaining to eBay about my FA postings were, IN PART, justified in their complaint.

It appears to me that posting the same FA thread in numerous groups at the same time IS tantamount to SPAM, and not fair, and basically quite redundant to the readers as well.

If I have upset anyone in the scanner groups as a result, I apologize.

In the future, the ONLY place I will post a FA listing for a radio related product will be in rec.radio.swap where I believe it belongs, and I am sure many others here will agree.

My listing will start with FA, and also include EBAY in the header. Those not wanting to see such postings will set their filters accordingly. This should appease those complaining to eBay as well as hopefully set a new "understand" among us in the radio groups as to where these things REALLY belong, and leave Alt. scanner and rec. scanner for scanner related topics.

Now, if we could only be as diligent in cleaning up the rest of the unnecessary SPAM on the groups, we would really have something.

Dan
N2TYB

EBAY : OFFDAN
"The idle brain is the Devil's Playground"

68. Re: Yaesu VR-120 or ICOM RC-2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 19:15:45 UTC
From: Cyberkid999 <>
Message-ID: <dpeihtc0kh9j51joilbl557q3p47qeb3s8@4ax.com>
Source record: 64684
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 02 Jun 2001 18:43:43 GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@home.com> wrote:

>
>> I have the Yaesu VR-120 and love the power in such a little device, though
>> it has its own quirks just like any other scanner.
>>
>> In looking for product information and reviews on the web, you will find a
>> 10-to-1 ratios of articles, with the Icom R2 leading hands down. Don't
>know
>> if it's because of the length of time one product has been in the market
>> over the other, or the assumption by many that Icom is somehow better.
>>
>> Having never owned an R2, I cannot provide an experienced-based
>> contrast/comparison. I can only report what the Yaesu appears to offer vs
>> the Icom by both being an owner of the VR-120, and in reviewing the
>factory
>> specifications of each:
>>
>> 1. They are almost identical in physical size and frequency coverage.
>> 2. Yaesu as 640 channel memory, Icom has 400.
>> 3. Yaesu has channel labelling to remind you what you're listening to,
>> though it is only 6 characters (making for an abbreviation nightmare).
>Icom
>> has no channel labelling at all.
>> 4. Icom is PC programmable. Yaesu is not. 'Nuf said. :-(
>
>The Icom R2 is easy to program manually. It is also very fast to find any
>frequency.
>I really don't understand why anyone would complain about tuning the Icom
>without a keypad. Having frequency files saved on computer might come in
>very handy though.
>
>> 5. With only 4 main function keys, you are required to make several
>> karate-move-like combinations of button presses in order to do certain
>> things on the Yaesu. Don't know what the interface is like on the Icom,
>> though it only has 2 more buttons than Yaesu. Maybe it's just as
>difficult?
>> 6. Yaesu offers a channel counter, which allows you to find strong signals
>> in your area without knowing the exact frequency. This I use ALL THE
>TIME.
>> Icom does not.
>> 7. Most other capabilities very similar between the two: attenuation,
>> selection of tuning steps, priority channels scan, sleep timer, earphone

>> jack, BNC antenna, beep tones, weather resistance, belt clip.
>> 8. No AC adapter capability on the Yaesu, therefore no recharging circuit.
>> Icom allows for AC and recharging of Ni-Cad batteries from within the
>unit.

How does this work. I have just got an R2 today and not found this
fearture as yet...
>
>It does? I just bought a new Maha quick charger/conditioner this week for my
>R2.
>
>> But I bought a NIMH charger kit and 4 AA batteries for $15.00, so I end up
>> with rechargability afterall, and longer battery life than Ni-Cad.
>> 9. The audio on the Yaesu is not very loud at full setting, making it
>> difficult to monitor in a noisy environment. I guess you could amplify
>the
>> signal from the headphone jack and use and external speaker if you wanted
>to
>> get right down to it.
>> 10. CTCSS on the Icom. Not on the Yaesu.
>
>CTCSS can be very important.
>DeWayne
>
>> 11. Price is very similar. Both are in the $160.00 - $170.00 range.
>> 12. Neither offer trunking, though there are currently NO trunking
>> transmissions taking place in our community. So I'm not missing anything.
>> You'd just have to see what you'll be missing in your neck of the woods if
>> you purchased a scanner without it.
>>
>> In conclusion, it would be difficult to decide which features are more
>> important: channel counter vs PC interface, labelling vs no labelling, AC
>vs
>> no AC, etc.
>>
>> It is a tough call, but I'd say that Icom has made alot more noise in the
>> industry than Yaesu in the micro communications receiver business.
>>
>> Is there a reason? Someone in the group will speak out I'm sure. :-)
>>
>> hijax
>>
>>
>> "Dan W." <dfwood4@home.com> wrote in message
>> news:2c6S6.13291$mG4.7509835@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
>> > I am new to scanning and was looking into getting either the Yaesu
>VR-120
>> or
>> > ICOM RC-2. Does anybody have a good reason why I should pick one over
>the

>> > other. At this point the VR-120 seems to be a more powerful unit for
>> about
>> > the same price so I am thinking of going with it. However, I read one
>> > message on this newsgroup that said if you get a scanner now it should
>> have
>> > trunking capability. Any feedback would be much appreciated.
>> >
>> > Dan
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>

69. Anyone know the Blue Angels Com freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 21:50:25 UTC
From: Jeff Kelly <jkelly@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <BcdS6.7515$Uj5.2693646@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64685

I have seen it somewhere...
Anyway I am going to the Lakehurst show tomorrow
(Sunday) and would like to take a scanner to listen to
the calls.

Tnxs,

Jeff

70. FS / FT: Kodak Limited Edition DC215 Zoom (blue)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 22:02:19 UTC
From: mlk <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <LndS6.367468$o9.58723358@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 64686
Cross-posted to: comp.sys.laptops,misc.forsale.computers.pc-specific.portables,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a new Kodak DC215 Zoom megapixel digital camera for trade or sale.
Unit comes with all accessories, including serial cable, video cable, 8MB
CompactFlash card,, strap, 3 CD's with software, manual and PCMCIA
CompactFlash reader (NEW). I took out of the box to take a few photos only.
Unit sells for $299 with 4mb card only. This camera is Blue, unlike the
other gold or silver models.

Follow below link for full KODAK details
http://www.kodak.com/US/en/digital/genInfo/dc215.jhtml

I would like to trade for a Euro Version IC-R10, ALinco DJ-X10E, FT-50R..etc

Cash offer better than $225 accepted also. E-mail me for photos or other
info

71. FA: Pro-2022, date code 2A2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 22:07:05 UTC
From: mlk <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dsdS6.367471$o9.58728443@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 64687
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a mint condition 200 channel Pro-2022 Base / mobile UNBLOCKED scanner on ebay. Luckily it has not been taken off yet. Auction end tomorrow, at around $51 now.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1242076301

Also, I have 2 Panasonic KX-G7101 Satellite Data communication radios with GPS output also.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1242114351
Thanks

72. Re: Phone Calls in the 1 GHz range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 22:19:14 UTC
From: Jamie Hanrahan <jeh@cmkrnl.com>
Message-ID: <vgpihtsgo0gcnq3ed742mvh4946f4v235i@4ax.com>
Source record: 64688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Fri, 01 Jun 2001 23:37:43 -0700, Jamie Hanrahan <jeh@cmkrnl.com> wrote:

>On Sat, 02 Jun 2001 04:33:33 GMT, "rstoyguy" <dmcniel@kscable.com> wrote:
>>"hijax" <hijax@prodigy.net> wrote in message
>>news:9f6nv3$96cg$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
>>> I am accidentally picking up phone calls in the lower 1 GHz area, yet I
>>know
>>> standard cellular freqs are down in the 800 MHz range. Are some cell
>>> services transmitting up this high now, or is this a skip or something?
>>>
>>> hijax
>>Yes, Sprint PCS, and most CDMA cellphones operate up around 1300Mhz. I'm
>>not sure of the exact frequencies....
>
>No. Sprint PCS is CDMA 1900. The other US systems, digital and analog,
>are all in the 800 MHz range. Besides, all that stuff is digital;

In anticipation of an attack by the pedants, I'll point out that by "that
stuff", I of course meant Sprint PCS and the other digital systems.

> there's
>no way he's picking them up on a scanner, "accidentally" or otherwise.
>Must be images from AMPS analog cell.
>
> --- jeh

73. Re: CB Radio Online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 22:59:12 UTC
From: scannsw@dingoblue.net.au
Message-ID: <3b196f7a.2092204@news.dingoblue.net.au>
Source record: 64689
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Why would you want to listen to CB radio over the internet. Imagine
they hooked up the ch1 UHF repeater for Sydney to a web server.
I don't htink sitting and listening to a bunch of morons being just
that, morons would make it interesting listening myself.

Davo

On Wed, 30 May 2001 22:11:19 -0500, "Power House"
<Power__House@hotmail.com_SPAMMENOT> wrote:

>Here is a link for what you are requesting... Never used it myself, so I'm
>not sure how it works. Check it though, I think it might be an interesting
>experience...
>
>http://www.northrim.net/rneff/cb_links.html
>
>--
>Power House - 823
>Northern Michigan
>
>http://www.geocities.com/femaster1/cbpage/index.htm
>
>
>
>"Champ" <champ227@home.com> wrote in message
>news:01c0e8cb$973657a0$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a.rchrd1.on.wave.home.com...
>> Does any one know if there are any sites that have live CB's online. Now
>> you that scanners online were interesting. I think this might even be
>> better.
>>
>> I Don't know, Hearing a live cb radio from across country online seems
>> quite interesting
>>
>> Please reply to the author on this one.
>>
>> Randy
>> --
>> Live Scanner Audio is here: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
>> Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
>> Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
>> Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
>> Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
>> Local Arts & Entertainment Coverage at it's best

>> http://www.ottawaxpress.com
>>
>
>

74. Ramsey Downconverter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 23:09:50 UTC
From: mark12085@aol.comSPAMMO
Message-ID: <20010602190950.28717.00002061@ng-xa1.aol.com>
Source record: 64690

Is the schematics/layouts for the discontinued Ramsey downconverted available anywhere? Thanks.

75. Re: CB Radio Online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 23:25:44 UTC
From: The Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <YBeS6.99689$p33.2100397@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 64691
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

yeah well to get an idea just go to 435.org and listen to them.

"Davo" <scannsw@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
news:3b196f7a.2092204@news.dingoblue.net.au...
> Why would you want to listen to CB radio over the internet. Imagine
> they hooked up the ch1 UHF repeater for Sydney to a web server.
> I don't htink sitting and listening to a bunch of morons being just
> that, morons would make it interesting listening myself.
>
> Davo
>
> On Wed, 30 May 2001 22:11:19 -0500, "Power House"
> <Power__House@hotmail.com_SPAMMENOT> wrote:
>
> >Here is a link for what you are requesting... Never used it myself, so
I'm
> >not sure how it works. Check it though, I think it might be an
interesting
> >experience...
> >
> >http://www.northrim.net/rneff/cb_links.html
> >
> >--
> >Power House - 823
> >Northern Michigan
> >
> >http://www.geocities.com/femaster1/cbpage/index.htm
> >
> >
> >"Champ" <champ227@home.com> wrote in message
> >news:01c0e8cb$973657a0$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a.rchrd1.on.wave.home.com...
> >> Does any one know if there are any sites that have live CB's online.
Now
> >> you that scanners online were interesting. I think this might even be
> >> better.
> >>
> >> I Don't know, Hearing a live cb radio from across country online seems
> >> quite interesting
> >>
> >> Please reply to the author on this one.
> >>
> >> Randy

> >> --
> >> Live Scanner Audio is here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> >> Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
> >> Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
> >> Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
> >> Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
> >> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
> >> Local Arts & Entertainment Coverage at it's best
> >> http://www.ottawaxpress.com
> >>
> >
> >
>

76. MVT-9000 MKII vs MVT-9000 Mk II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-02 23:35:56 UTC
From: Mr.NOSpam@Spam.com
Message-ID: <61tihtckbofd3nlldstr5fblkr7odbbs6p@4ax.com>
Source record: 64692

Can anyone with 1st hand experience tell me which is best and why?
The old Bc 200XLT is dying..... Probably ordering from Bandercom also
so any info on this company also appreciated. Thanks all, Mike

---

The sending of any unsolicited email advertising messages to this domain will result in the imposition of civil liability against you in
accordance with Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code Section 17538.45.
Mike & Trish - Oceanside, California

Because we all have the ability to really do something if we choose too, please visit this site & help! It doesn't cost anything, and who knows, you may just change the world. Please just visit:
http://members.ud.com/services/teams/team.htm?id=16E0F69B-E2DF-4117-A18A-E0F1B9D59C7C

To reply, just delete "real" from address

---

77. BC780XLT Cell mod - is there one? Where? How?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 00:29:45 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B1984F9.3546@yahoo.com>
Source record: 64693

Not that I would ever listen to cell phones (did it once - it was
boring!) but I just hate the idea of a blocked radio.....

Any links to a mod, etc. would be appreciated!
--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½
----

78. WTB Kiwa magic tuning eye

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 00:32:20 UTC
From: Deninson Barreira <barreiradg@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <oAfS6.1219$Ji.131162@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64694

WTB Kiwa Magic tuning eye. Pse state price and condition.

Thanks

Dennis

79. Palm Beach County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 00:36:06 UTC
From: Beans <beans@gate.net>
Message-ID: <3B198676.90287385@gate.net>
Source record: 64695

I was listining to the Palm Beach county system from Boca today. I didn't seem like there was much activity. Maybe Im missing something. I took all the groups off of PBScanner page, and put them in the same bank. I know it's working somewhat, because I get talkgroup ids. I never hear the dispatcher. Am I missing some frequency?

Jeff

80. Re: My final opinion on this eBay FA question.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 00:44:53 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15832840d53eb84c989718@news>
Source record: 64597
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3b19338f.10785232@news5.bellatlantic.net>,
dconti2@bellatlantic.net says...
> I am offering this final thread on the recent eBay FA postings
> controversy in an attempt to be a stand up fellow and admit where I
> was wrong.
>
> After digesting all the information coming in from all sides, I have
> made the personal decision that those complaining to eBay about my FA
> postings were, IN PART, justified in their complaint.
>
> It appears to me that posting the same FA thread in numerous groups at
> the same time IS tantamount to SPAM, and not fair, and basically quite
> redundant to the readers as well.
>
> If I have upset anyone in the scanner groups as a result, I apologize.
>
> In the future, the ONLY place I will post a FA listing for a radio
> related product will be in rec.radio.swap where I believe it belongs,
> and I am sure many others here will agree.
>
> My listing will start with FA, and also include EBAY in the header.
> Those not wanting to see such postings will set their filters
> accordingly. This should appease those complaining to eBay as well as
> hopefully set a new "understand" among us in the radio groups as to
> where these things REALLY belong, and leave Alt. scanner and rec.
> scanner for scanner related topics.
>
> Now, if we could only be as diligent in cleaning up the rest of the
> unnecessary SPAM on the groups, we would really have something.
>
> Dan
> N2TYB
>
> EBAY : OFFDAN
> "The idle brain is the Devil's Playground"
>

I don't agree Dan. Many people that read rec/alt.radio.scanners don't
read rec.radio.swap. rec.radio.swap is a spam pit and very hard to wade
through at times.

A FA in the rec/alt.radio.scanner IS about and IS related to the scanning
hobby. It is not off topic.

Guess we don't agree. Oh well, the world continues to spin and filters still resolve the problem 95% pf the time. Life's a bitch ain't it? :-)

81. Re: BC780XLT Cell mod - is there one? Where? How?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 00:47:07 UTC
From: Mr.NOSpam@Spam.com
Message-ID: <8g1jhtsp75av1mqqtemdklo4546s3v2k2o@4ax.com>
Source record: 64598

On Sat, 02 Jun 2001 19:29:45 -0500, Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>Not that I would ever listen to cell phones (did it once - it was
>boring!) but I just hate the idea of a blocked radio.....
>
>Any links to a mod, etc. would be appreciated!
NOT possible.....

The sending of any unsolicited email advertising messages to this domain will result in the imposition of civil liability against you in
accordance with Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code Section 17538.45.
Mike & Trish - Oceanside, California

Because we all have the ability to really do something if we choose too, please visit this site & help! It doesn't cost anything, and who knows, you may just change the world. Please just visit:
http://members.ud.com/services/teams/team.htm?id=16E0F69B-E2DF-4117-A18A-E0F1B9D59C7C

To reply, just delete "real" from address

---

82. Re: Yaesu VR-120 or ICOM RC-2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 01:02:18 UTC
From: TrUmPi <blokspam@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <9fc2lm$mh5$1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 64599
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> 4. Icom is PC programmable. Yaesu is not. 'Nuf said. :-(

Vr120 is PC programmable. Software will be available soon.

> Icom allows for AC and recharging of Ni-Cad batteries from within the
unit.

The R2 can't charge batteries. Icom supplies an external charger.

83. FS: BC-245XLT and BC-895XLT Package Deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 01:05:46 UTC
From: Insomnia <spikelee7@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <t93jht02k0bffe3863fa0n94k5oj40crrn@4ax.com>
Source record: 64602

For Sale:

Bearcat 245 XLT Handheld TrunkTracker II with Data Cable, Case,
Battery, Charger, ect.

AND

Bearcat 895 XLT Base Trunk Tracker

Both like new. Owners Manuals with both.

$350.00 Money Order includes shipping within the US

Reason for this sale is that I do not use these scanners anymore.
I've got two HH's that are good enough for me.

Tnx
Scott
N6UUH

84. Re: BC780XLT Cell mod - is there one? Where? How?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 01:07:31 UTC
From: TrUmPi <blokspam@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <9fc3m8$mj5$1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 64601

buy the Australian version....

Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3B1984F9.3546@yahoo.com...
> Not that I would ever listen to cell phones (did it once - it was

85. Re: BC780XLT Cell mod - is there one? Where? How?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 01:24:27 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010602212427.11902.00002006@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 64600

Subject: BC780XLT Cell mod - is there one? Where? How?
From: Ken Bessler kg0wx@yahoo.com

Not possible at ALL on USA version. Australian version and UK versions ARE unblocked, but have different trunking schemes and wrong search step for USA cellular band, (30khz), altho WILL search in 10khz steps just fine.

86. Re: Yaesu Atas 100 circuit Pse info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 03:08:41 UTC
From: it'sme@nospam.net
Message-ID: <vfajht04bpob98h66o911elcibh54t72vm@4ax.com>
Source record: 64603

How many times are you going to post this same message?

>
>Hello
>
>I've problem with my Atas100.
>
>The antenna doesn't extand.
>I'm searching for the component layout on the internal converting
>circuit
>
>Best 73's From France
>
>Denis F1RCQ

87. Re: IC-R3: Must Have or Shouldn't Have?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 03:27:06 UTC
From: mangiaf@freewwweb.com
Message-ID: <9fcaq9$36jcb$4@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 64604
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I got the ic-r3 for $550 with a li-ion battery. The 10 hour charge took forever, so I special ordered the 2.5 hour charger, which cost me an extra $90. Overall I must say it's a very nice scanner overall, triples as a tv and a shortwave, too. Have heard of the "strong signel" thing, but never seen(or heard) any part of that on mine. The tv screen is much sharper and much more pleasent to look at than one of the low-res "regular" postable tv's. Can even read fine print. 'cause the tv screen really eats batteries, it really pays to have the li-ion battery, can get up to 2 hours on a charge.

since it has no direct entry, I got used to it though, it goes like this:
say I want to tune the local poliece on 471.51250 .
1) I tune to the band I want by pressing <- or -> to the desired band.
2) I refine it buy holding down "func" and turning the dial to 471 mhz.
3) then I let go of "func" and continue to turn the dial to tune to 471.51250

then to program that frequency, I press and hold "V/M SKIP" for a few sec until it beeps, turn the dial to the desired channel, and press and hold "V/M SKIP" again until it beeps.

In article <14DR6.8648$Bn1.1107787@news02.optonline.net>,
twinpoppa@hotmail.com says...
>
>Looking for opinions on the Icom IC-R3...is it a must-have or should this
>one be passed by (or at least wait until the price drops considerably)?
>
>I did read the StrongSignals review; links to other reviews would be welcome
>as well.
>
>Thanks,
>Doug
>
>

88. Re: My final opinion on this eBay FA question.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 03:41:28 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3b19afdf.4269690@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 64605
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I agree with you 100% Glenn, but as I said, based on all I've digested
to date on this matter, this is the decision I made. I feel it is the
right thing to do for ME, others may do what they want.

Dan
>
>I don't agree Dan. Many people that read rec/alt.radio.scanners don't
>read rec.radio.swap. rec.radio.swap is a spam pit and very hard to wade
>through at times.
>
>A FA in the rec/alt.radio.scanner IS about and IS related to the scanning
>hobby. It is not off topic.
>
>Guess we don't agree. Oh well, the world continues to spin and filters
>still resolve the problem 95% pf the time. Life's a bitch ain't it? :-)
>
>
>
>

N2TYB

EBAY : OFFDAN
"The idle brain is the Devil's Playground"

89. Re: MVT-9000 MKII vs MVT-9000 Mk II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 04:05:14 UTC
From: Mr.NOSpam@Spam.com
Message-ID: <etcjhtsrs6q95a8tgc28n3d4o8a6ngoq45@4ax.com>
Source record: 64606

On Sun, 03 Jun 2001 03:15:05 GMT, Never anonymous Bud
<thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote:

>Mr.NOSpam@Spam.com opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
>> Can anyone with 1st hand experience tell me which is best and why?
>>The old Bc 200XLT is dying..... Probably ordering from Bandercom also
>>so any info on this company also appreciated. Thanks all, Mike
>
>Is there some reason why you want a non-trunked radio, with everything
>near you except Oceanside now trunked??
>
I want to explore ham bands and just old random freq's. The OPD
still runs at the old conventional 155.055 and isn't trunked. Don't
know what is, but not sure I would miss much? Let me know if I am
wrong. Looking for the "most" frequency to explore. Thought a
"wideband" receiver would be best. Don't know anything about trunk
scanning, been doin it the old way for 30 years, ma have to learn?
Mike

The sending of any unsolicited email advertising messages to this domain will result in the imposition of civil liability against you in
accordance with Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code Section 17538.45.
Mike & Trish - Oceanside, California

Because we all have the ability to really do something if we choose too, please visit this site & help! It doesn't cost anything, and who knows, you may just change the world. Please just visit:
http://members.ud.com/services/teams/team.htm?id=16E0F69B-E2DF-4117-A18A-E0F1B9D59C7C

To reply, just delete "real" from address

---

90. Re: Yaesu VR-120 or ICOM RC-2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 04:06:42 UTC
From: hijax <hijax@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9fcd4o$8rda$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64607
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My mistake.

The R2 comes from the factory with Ni-Cads and a charger. You cannot charge batteries from with the R2 itself.

Also, there is no mention anywhere of PC control becoming available soon for the Yaesu VR-120. Where did you get your information? I think we would all be excited to hear.

-hijax

"TrUmPi" <blokspam@nomail.com> wrote in message news:9fc2lm$mh5$1@cyan.nl.gxn.net...
>
> > 4. Icom is PC programmable. Yaesu is not. 'Nuf said. :-(
>
> Vr120 is PC programmable. Software will be available soon.
>
>
> > Icom allows for AC and recharging of Ni-Cad batteries from within the
> unit.
>
> The R2 can't charge batteries. Icom supplies an external charger.
>
>
>

91. Q: Memory scan and blocks with Yaesu VR-120 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 04:45:34 UTC
From: hijax <hijax@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9fcfdf$am94$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64608
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ok, so I'm a little lazy in not thoroughly reading the manual before asking this, but being a guy I don't think we're allowed to really read manuals anyway! :-)

I'm used to the Radio Shack scanners, where you put in a bunch of frequencies, block out the ones you like but don't want to be a part of the active scan group, and then hit the "scan" button. Like spinning a roulette wheel, the thing takes off until it finds something and then stops so you can listen. Then when things are over, it starts up again.

As the Yaesu VR-120 is more of a communications receiver than a typical scanner, this method doesn't seem to be overly easy to do - especially with the blocks.

Can anyone simplify the process? Also, can you clarify the memory banks? Can you dump a variety of freqs you like into banks to sort of categorize your listening to certain groups of channels (like an all-police bank of freqs for example), or is this limited to certain freq ranges in a bank?

Hope that made sense. It's late!

Thanks!

-hijax

92. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 05:48:50 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9fcj42nbe1@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 64611

tony summerfelt <snowzone5@hotmail.com> wrote:

: i'm pretty sure the record of firearms is used in case their stolen...

Such a record is not needed. A person can keep track of the serial
number themselves - then report the firearm when it is stolen.

Registration is for only one purpose - so the government can find the
firearms whenever they wish.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

93. Re: Question about Digital Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 05:55:27 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9fcjgfnbe2@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 64610

Kevin <awdwfan@earthlink.net> wrote:
: There is not a scanner on the market at this time that will decode digital
: transmissions. Keep your eyes open. I have a feeling we may be seeing one
: here in the near future.

If I recall correctly ASTRO also uses encryption. If so, even a digital
receiver would not be of any use without the decryption key.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

94. Re: Palm Beach County

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 05:58:54 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9fcjmunbe3@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 64609

Beans <beans@gate.net> wrote:
: I was listining to the Palm Beach county system from Boca today. I
: didn't seem like there was much activity. Maybe Im missing something. I
: took all the groups off of PBScanner page, and put them in the same
: bank. I know it's working somewhat, because I get talkgroup ids. I never
: hear the dispatcher. Am I missing some frequency?

You are missing at least one talk group, if you are not hearing dispatch.
The talkgroups share the frequencies, so it is doubtful if your a "missing"
a frequency.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

95. ?What's that antenna for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 06:42:40 UTC
From: Frizz <kg4ivt@hotmail.spamsucks.leaveme.alone.com>
Message-ID: <9fcm6p$1eq$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 64612

There is some sort of radio system at my school. The antenna for it, supposedly a station master, is mounted on top of a light pole facing the football field. At the base of the pole are two locked cabinets with General Electric emblems on them. I suspected it was some sort of repeater but I stood by it with a freq. counter and never got a single hit. I might try a field strength meter next.

However, since it is on gov't property, is there any way I can find out what it is for? I thought about calling the building manager and saying I am a ham and that I would like to know what the device there because of interference, or something.
Would there be any record of what these device is anywhere? I would like to avoid contacting anyone about it at the school since they might give me crap about it. Does anyone have any suggestions as to what I can do? I am super curious of it since it just sits there without any markings on it. I thought it might have something to do with the buses but the antenna looks like something for VHF and the buses are on 800. Any suggestions are welcomed and BTW, I am in Virginia (fairfax county). Thanks!!

96. How Repeaters Work webpage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 06:52:56 UTC
From: Dan Yemiola <AGA4FL@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B19DEC8.D7621358@Yahoo.com>
Source record: 64613

I have put up a webpage that uses text and graphics to explain how
Repeaters work:
HTTP://www.qsl.net/ai8o/RPTRWORK.htm

Dan
AI8O

97. BC895 Display light

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 09:08:00 UTC
From: Travis <ffighter17@texoma.net>
Message-ID: <9fcnu101l4s@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 64614

I was wondering if anyone has had problems with the light going out? The left side is a lot dimmer than the right side. I have not used my light very much at all. Is this going to be a cheap fix?

TIA
Travis

98. Re: How Repeaters Work webpage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 12:37:48 UTC
From: no.spam@no.junk.mail.net
Message-ID: <bnbkht0i1iltueqb8ra7utd91s7sc6upoi@4ax.com>
Source record: 64615

On Sun, 03 Jun 2001 02:52:56 -0400, Dan Yemiola <AGA4FL@Yahoo.com> wrote:

>I have put up a webpage that uses text and graphics to explain how
>Repeaters work:
>HTTP://www.qsl.net/ai8o/RPTRWORK.htm
>
>Dan
>AI8O

almost but not quite. Try:

http://www.qsl.net/ai8o/RPTRWORK.html

99. Discone for Military air band 225-400 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 13:37:37 UTC
From: "Frank J. Bals" <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <B4rS6.62645$4f7.4754688@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64616

What is the best discone for this range? Do I need the low band extender to help performance? How does the Radio Shack version compare to others (Diamond, Icom)?

Frank

100. Re: How Repeaters Work webpage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 14:22:20 UTC
From: Jim <jspaz@i-55.com>
Message-ID: <3B1A481C.999D7A89@i-55.com>
Source record: 64617

Dan,

I have been in the radio industry for better than 35 years. This is the first time I have ever heard anyone refer to a radio system using the terms HEMI and DEMI for simplex and duplex operation.

In looking these words up in the Webster's dictionary, I still think that your choice of words is rather in the wrong direction. If your going to try and help those that may have a fuzzy understanding of radio systems, you may be better off using the terms and words that the industry as a whole use.

Other than the choice of HEMI and DEMI, I think you have done a good job of putting your web page together. The art work is great.

Jim

Dan Yemiola wrote:
>
> I have put up a webpage that uses text and graphics to explain how
> Repeaters work:
> HTTP://www.qsl.net/ai8o/RPTRWORK.htm
>
> Dan
> AI8O

101. Re: ?What's that antenna for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 14:30:53 UTC
From: Jim <jspaz@i-55.com>
Message-ID: <3B1A4A1D.B4B1780D@i-55.com>
Source record: 64618

Why don't you just go ask the maintenance department at the
school. I go and ask questions like that all the time. Just say
that you noticed the cabinets there. Your wondering what they
are used for.

If you look at the antenna, are there any metal radials at the
base? If so, the length of them will give an indication what
frequency it is for. If they are about 6 inches, it is UHF. If
they are about a foot long, it is VHF. If they are none, then
can't help you. Not all antennas use the external radials.

Hope this might help just a little. The radio there might be for
the school use, controlling a pumping system, used by the
maintenance people, or who knows what.

Jim

Frizz wrote:
>
> There is some sort of radio system at my school. The antenna for it,
> supposedly a station master, is mounted on top of a light pole facing the
> football field. At the base of the pole are two locked cabinets with
> General Electric emblems on them. I suspected it was some sort of repeater
> but I stood by it with a freq. counter and never got a single hit. I might
> try a field strength meter next.
>
> However, since it is on gov't property, is there any way I can find out
> what it is for? I thought about calling the building manager and saying I
> am a ham and that I would like to know what the device there because of
> interference, or something.
> Would there be any record of what these device is anywhere? I would
> like to avoid contacting anyone about it at the school since they might give
> me crap about it. Does anyone have any suggestions as to what I can do? I
> am super curious of it since it just sits there without any markings on it.
> I thought it might have something to do with the buses but the antenna looks
> like something for VHF and the buses are on 800. Any suggestions are
> welcomed and BTW, I am in Virginia (fairfax county). Thanks!!

102. Re: forsale: Motorola SPIRIT PRO PLUS radios, SOLD, SOLD, SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 14:57:23 UTC
From: JP <brontebear@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <nfsS6.74891$r7.10250335@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 64619

THESE UNITS ARE ALL SOLD< thanks for the inquiries

JP <brontebear@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:IbWP6.54830$r7.8259767@news1.busy1.on.home.com...
> forsale:
>
> New condition Motorola SPIRIT PRO PLUS radio,
> with new charger, new rechargeable batterypack, new beltclip, new
> rubberduck antenna.
>
> this unit is 2 watts, far superior to frs radio distance (1/2 watt)
>
> this unit has 16 frequencies in it and changed easily by sliding off
> the back cover and changing the dipswitch setting.
>
> (a list is supplied)
>
> It has these frequencies in them:
>
> 462.575, 464.325, 467.7625, 467.925
> 462.625, 464.500, 467.8125, 469.2625
> 462.675, 464.550, 467.850, 469.500
> 464.825, 467.875, 469.550
>
> I have 4 of these portables all in excellent shape. Fully charged
> and ready to go.
>
> price: $99.00 free delivery
>
> (international postal check or certified check please)
>
> thanks
> jpotoma@home.com
>
>
>

103. For Sale AOR8200-No Gaps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 15:29:07 UTC
From: n2ss@home.com
Message-ID: <3b1a57ca.41889576@news>
Source record: 64620

Perfect condition, full coverage AOR8200 that I purchased in Japan.
Not a mark on it. $450. Tony N2SS

104. ic-r10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 16:16:28 UTC
From: johnson762@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010603121628.11896.00000584@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 64621

i need a manual for an ic-r10. someone stole the box with the cable and manual in it. (guess they thought the radio was too)! only problem is, i don't know how to operate it. can anyone help? thanks...rick
johnson762@aol.com

105. Is the BC-780XLT any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 17:10:14 UTC
From: anon <anon@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <3B1A6F76.5012F463@nospam.com>
Source record: 64622

I'm looking for a great TT scanner for my car. Is the 780
good? Easy to use?
Is there anything better coming out?

Is the 245XLT a good portable? Anything better or coming out?

106. 141.1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 17:19:35 UTC
From: FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <gxuS6.3679$_5.422910@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 64624

Anyone know what this frequency is?
I am in Kingston, Ontario, Canada

It has to be police because I heard they were pulling someone over for failure to stop at a stop sign.

Brad
feed_bak@hotmail.com

107. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 17:29:39 UTC
From: snowzone5@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <Xns90B5895538B99snowzone@24.9.0.131>
Source record: 64623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Odin wrote on 01 Jun 2001

> And laws that burn Christians at the stake only affect the Christians.

these are interesting analogies

> blacks. Are you getting the point yet? I didn't think so. You are

apparently not, but that's not stopping you from these analogies

> one of the people who ask "how could this happen here?"

um, actually i'm not. someone else may have been. i've fully accepted the laws as a gun owner. and i know that no matter how restrictive the gun laws are, it will no effect on canadians uninterested in guns, or, for that matter criminals who are.

> happening all along but you were too dense to see it.

huh? where did that come from. you don't need to insult me to make your point.

seeing as how we've missed each other's points. this will be the end of my postings on this particular thread.

as anne robinson would say 'goodbye'

--
telnet://ventedspleen.dyndns.org
http://members.home.net/tsummerfelt1

108. Re: CB Radio Online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 18:03:21 UTC
From: Power House <Power__House@hotmail.com_SPAMMENOT>
Message-ID: <thkutdprnouta4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64625
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Well, as you can see if you go back and read what I typed, I CLEARLY stated that I had NEVER used it myself, and that it MAY be interesting.. In the context that I used the word, it could mean just about anything. Interesting as in really dumb, real cool, totally off the wall, anything... Everyone has a different opinion as to what is interesting... Is was a very vague and general comment...

I was giving the guy what he wanted, nothing more, nothing less... I never asked for your opinion of the subject.. If you were looking to make such a reply, it should have been to HIM, not me, as HE was the one asking about such a sight.

"Davo" <scannsw@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
news:3b196f7a.2092204@news.dingoblue.net.au...
> Why would you want to listen to CB radio over the internet. Imagine
> they hooked up the ch1 UHF repeater for Sydney to a web server.
> I don't htink sitting and listening to a bunch of morons being just
> that, morons would make it interesting listening myself.
>
> Davo
>
> On Wed, 30 May 2001 22:11:19 -0500, "Power House"
> <Power__House@hotmail.com_SPAMMENOT> wrote:
>
> >Here is a link for what you are requesting... Never used it myself, so
I'm
> >not sure how it works. Check it though, I think it might be an
interesting
> >experience...
> >
> >http://www.northrim.net/rneff/cb_links.html
> >
> >--
> >Power House - 823
> >Northern Michigan
> >
> >http://www.geocities.com/femaster1/cbpage/index.htm
> >
> >
> >
> >"Champ" <champ227@home.com> wrote in message
> >news:01c0e8cb$973657a0$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a.rchrd1.on.wave.home.com...
> >> Does any one know if there are any sites that have live CB's online.
Now

> >> you that scanners online were interesting. I think this might even be
> >> better.
> >>
> >> I Don't know, Hearing a live cb radio from across country online seems
> >> quite interesting
> >>
> >> Please reply to the author on this one.
> >>
> >> Randy
> >> --
> >> Live Scanner Audio is here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> >> Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
> >> Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
> >> Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
> >> Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
> >> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
> >> Local Arts & Entertainment Coverage at it's best
> >> http://www.ottawaxpress.com
> >>
> >
> >
>

109. Re: Phone Calls in the 1 GHz range?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 18:13:28 UTC
From: Jamie Hanrahan <jeh@cmkrnl.com>
Message-ID: <qfvkhtk8a4eodm57j953teat8qj2gdf2r4@4ax.com>
Source record: 64626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 02 Jun 2001 04:33:33 GMT, "rstoyguy" <dmcniel@kscable.com> wrote:
>"hijax" <hijax@prodigy.net> wrote in message
>news:9f6nv3$96cg$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
>> I am accidentally picking up phone calls in the lower 1 GHz area, yet I
>know
>> standard cellular freqs are down in the 800 MHz range. Are some cell
>> services transmitting up this high now, or is this a skip or something?
>>
>> hijax
>
>Yes, Sprint PCS, and most CDMA cellphones operate up around 1300Mhz. I'm
>not sure of the exact frequencies....

Nope. 1900 or 800. See http://www.point.com/articles/150.asp

--- jeh

110. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 18:17:17 UTC
From: rbnhood125@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010603141717.21323.00002476@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 64627

>Since monitoring cell is illegal, it doesn't seem likely that
>> digital-capable unblocked scanners would ever be readily available to the
>US
>> market, but I wonder what might become available for the international
>> market. Right now, it's pretty easy to obtain an unblocked scanner in the
>> US if you're willing to take a (small) risk, so when the technology is
>ready
>> and cost-effective, I suppose a digital-cell receiver would be just as
>easy.

First of all, all you gun-toting morons from both sides of the border, go to some other NG to hash out your paranoid, red-neck, pickemup truck views.

The only reason there seems to be more cell phone "freedom" up in Canada than here in the States is their legislative bodies haven't been bought out by the cell phone lobby. Our has.

Look at all the jamokes that bought the story that their conversations on these technological wonders would be private and inexpensive. We should know that neither story is true. People loved these things because they were a status symbol, nothing more, nothing less.

These yuppie-wannabes became suspicious of this sales pitch when people like us warned them NOT to disclose personal information on these phones, because they could be intercepted. The cell phone people said that was bull shit. No way that could happen.

But the CTIA became wary that maybe once them status-seeking jamokes found out that they may find it true, they statrted lobbying Congress with millions of dollars (US not Canadian) and literally bought the rights of the Public Airwaves and made it illegal for someone to buy a scanner able to intercept the cell calls of all the yuppies ordering pizzas.

Now digital phones are coming out. This is done to prevent us from intercepting calls. For now. We'll catch up. Bring on the cell jammers so the soccer Mom, yappin' on da phone with Buffy, while driving her SUV weaving on streets can be cut off from from this electronic umbilical cord.

But, if you notice......the FCC has made it illegal to own a jammer. Surprised? The CTIA just "donated" several million $$$US to the FCC favorite cause .. Congress. Japan allows them, most countries in Europe allow them, more countries do NOT disallow them. This is because their governments see the horrible misuse of these phone in public places, churches, restaurants, hospitals and movie houses by those who feel they are more important than others around them.

BTW, I do own a cell phone. I NEVER use it in public places or while driving, so tho those who think Im jealous or cant afford a phone....have no reason to think that.

It seems to me that the reason that Canada allows cell phyone monitoring is because the cell phone industry has not yet sensed it has got a whole lot to lose in Canada. Once they do, however, the money will flow to Ottawa as quckly as it did Washington, and there will be similar laws enacted faster than you can say "Canadian Bacon"

Just my 2 , er, gee, 6 cents.

john

111. Re: ?What's that antenna for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 18:54:18 UTC
From: Frizz <kg4ivt@hotmail.spamsucks.leaveme.alone.com>
Message-ID: <9fe12fklo1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 64628

Yes, it has short radials. I thought about asking the building manager or maintenance manager but I might get some crap from them for asking some kinds of questions. My school is way to paranoid about anything for obvious reasons. The antenna radials are short, maybe about a foot and I gave my description to someone on the ham bands and they told me it could be a VHF Phelps-Dodge Station Master Antenna.

"Jim" <jspaz@i-55.com> wrote in message news:3B1A4A1D.B4B1780D@i-55.com...
> Why don't you just go ask the maintenance department at the
> school. I go and ask questions like that all the time. Just say
> that you noticed the cabinets there. Your wondering what they
> are used for.
>
> If you look at the antenna, are there any metal radials at the
> base? If so, the length of them will give an indication what
> frequency it is for. If they are about 6 inches, it is UHF. If
> they are about a foot long, it is VHF. If they are none, then
> can't help you. Not all antennas use the external radials.
>
> Hope this might help just a little. The radio there might be for
> the school use, controlling a pumping system, used by the
> maintenance people, or who knows what.
>
> Jim
>
>
> Frizz wrote:
> >
> > There is some sort of radio system at my school. The antenna for it,
> > supposedly a station master, is mounted on top of a light pole facing
the
> > football field. At the base of the pole are two locked cabinets with
> > General Electric emblems on them. I suspected it was some sort of
repeater
> > but I stood by it with a freq. counter and never got a single hit. I
might
> > try a field strength meter next.
> >
> > However, since it is on gov't property, is there any way I can find
out
> > what it is for? I thought about calling the building manager and saying
I
> > am a ham and that I would like to know what the device there because of
> > interference, or something.

> > Would there be any record of what these device is anywhere? I would
> > like to avoid contacting anyone about it at the school since they might
give
> > me crap about it. Does anyone have any suggestions as to what I can do?
I
> > am super curious of it since it just sits there without any markings on
it.
> > I thought it might have something to do with the buses but the antenna
looks
> > like something for VHF and the buses are on 800. Any suggestions are
> > welcomed and BTW, I am in Virginia (fairfax county). Thanks!!

112. Re: Is the BC-780XLT any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 19:12:59 UTC
From: Black Cloud <suckmyfattie@blowme.com>
Message-ID: <%_vS6.59101$%i7.45392356@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 64630

I don't know if anything better is coming out soon, but for my money, at least feature-wise, this is the best scanner I have owned during 25 years of monitoring.

JT
"anon" <anon@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:3B1A6F76.5012F463@nospam.com...
> I'm looking for a great TT scanner for my car. Is the 780
> good? Easy to use?
> Is there anything better coming out?
>
> Is the 245XLT a good portable? Anything better or coming out?
>
>
>

113. Re: Yaesu VR-120 or ICOM RC-2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 19:22:24 UTC
From: coaststation@go.com
Message-ID: <5a77581d.0106031122.1f6b64bf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 64631
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> 10. CTCSS on the Icom. Not on the Yaesu.

I pick the R 2. CTCSS is a MUST have feature for me!

Coast Station.

> 11. Price is very similar. Both are in the $160.00 - $170.00 range.
> 12. Neither offer trunking, though there are currently NO trunking
> transmissions taking place in our community. So I'm not missing anything.
> You'd just have to see what you'll be missing in your neck of the woods if
> you purchased a scanner without it.
>
> In conclusion, it would be difficult to decide which features are more
> important: channel counter vs PC interface, labelling vs no labelling, AC vs
> no AC, etc.
>
> It is a tough call, but I'd say that Icom has made alot more noise in the
> industry than Yaesu in the micro communications receiver business.
>
> Is there a reason? Someone in the group will speak out I'm sure. :-)
>
> hijax
>
>
> "Dan W." <dfwood4@home.com> wrote in message
> news:2c6S6.13291$mG4.7509835@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> > I am new to scanning and was looking into getting either the Yaesu VR-120
> or
> > ICOM RC-2. Does anybody have a good reason why I should pick one over the
> > other. At this point the VR-120 seems to be a more powerful unit for
> about
> > the same price so I am thinking of going with it. However, I read one
> > message on this newsgroup that said if you get a scanner now it should
> have
> > trunking capability. Any feedback would be much appreciated.
> >
> > Dan
> >
> >

114. Re: Is the BC-780XLT any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 19:36:40 UTC
From: william <bill.guinn@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9fe42l$np0$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64632

Uniden is making something new, and suppositley better than the BC780xlt, but it's coming out in 1-2 more years. So the BC780xlt is the best for you money right now.

"anon" <anon@nospam.com> wrote in message news:3B1A6F76.5012F463@nospam.com...
> I'm looking for a great TT scanner for my car. Is the 780
> good? Easy to use?
> Is there anything better coming out?
>
> Is the 245XLT a good portable? Anything better or coming out?
>
>
>

115. Re: Is the BC-780XLT any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 19:44:13 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <hswS6.33477$Bn1.5750387@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 64633

Great scanner? Yes. Easy to use? Yes, if you have some experience with trunk trackers, or it may be frustrating for you. This is a very sophisticated scanner. I have one and am VERY pleased - using it as a base under computer control.

Regards,

Paul W. KC2FNC
NJ

"anon" <anon@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:3B1A6F76.5012F463@nospam.com...
> I'm looking for a great TT scanner for my car. Is the 780
> good? Easy to use?
> Is there anything better coming out?
>
> Is the 245XLT a good portable? Anything better or coming out?
>
>
>

116. Re: Discone for Military air band 225-400 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 19:57:24 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <EEwS6.16553$mG4.9595701@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 64634

Check out the 'Super Discone' at: antennawarehouse.com. The vertical element is approx. 5 feet long. I just got one and am assembling it. The quality seems outstanding and MUCH sturdier than the Diamond I had.
DeWayne

BTW, it's on sale for $39.95 + shipping.

"Frank J. Bals" <FJB@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:B4rS6.62645$4f7.4754688@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> What is the best discone for this range? Do I need the low band extender to
> help performance? How does the Radio Shack version compare to others
> (Diamond, Icom)?
>
> Frank
>
>

117. FA: RS PRO 2030 BASW SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 20:30:56 UTC
From: bates <tobey@youum.net>
Message-ID: <vi7lht8urjkcoitj4cojo0p3egvmklb3ea@4ax.com>
Source record: 64635

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243351254

118. Re: Yaesu VR-120 or ICOM RC-2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 20:47:49 UTC
From: TrUmPi <blokspam@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <9fe8b2dqv1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 64637
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

There is a company in The Netherlands that is developing software for VR120.
They also wrote software for icom R2 and R3 and several AOR's.
Got the info from the designer himself who posted in the VR120 group at
yahoogroups.
Website is http://www.butel.nl

hijax <hijax@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:9fcd4o$8rda$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> My mistake.
>
> The R2 comes from the factory with Ni-Cads and a charger. You cannot
charge
> batteries from with the R2 itself.
>
> Also, there is no mention anywhere of PC control becoming available soon
for
> the Yaesu VR-120. Where did you get your information? I think we would
all
> be excited to hear.
>
> -hijax
>
> "TrUmPi" <blokspam@nomail.com> wrote in message
> news:9fc2lm$mh5$1@cyan.nl.gxn.net...
> >
> > > 4. Icom is PC programmable. Yaesu is not. 'Nuf said. :-(
> >
> > Vr120 is PC programmable. Software will be available soon.
> >
> >
> > > Icom allows for AC and recharging of Ni-Cad batteries from within the
> > unit.
> >
> > The R2 can't charge batteries. Icom supplies an external charger.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

119. Re: FA: RS PRO 2030 BASE SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 20:52:04 UTC
From: bates <tobey@youum.net>
Message-ID: <7r8lhtk611lkst01ajt7r47dap8gj54ail@4ax.com>
Source record: 64636

On Sun, 03 Jun 2001 15:30:56 -0500, bates <tobey@youum.net> wrote:

>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243351254

120. PRO 2030 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 20:54:10 UTC
From: Chris <csnichol@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <3B1AA3F2.61F4@netusa1.net>
Source record: 64629

```
Radio shack PRO 2030 for sale. Good condition
$75 shipped
Chris
```

121. Re: Discone for Military air band 225-400 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 21:14:31 UTC
From: Bullheaded
Message-ID: <XMxS6.4947$Kg4.508282@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 64638

It is an excellent antenna IF you can find a pole that matches its homemade, 3'rd market base
and you don't tighten the disk too tight around the cone/disk assembly.
If you do, you will notice that you will get an instant short circuit in your antenna.

"DeWayne" <ke9k@home.com> wrote in message
news:EEwS6.16553$mG4.9595701@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> Check out the 'Super Discone' at: antennawarehouse.com. The vertical
> element is approx. 5 feet long. I just got one and am assembling it. The
> quality seems outstanding and MUCH sturdier than the Diamond I had.
> DeWayne
>
> BTW, it's on sale for $39.95 + shipping.
>
> "Frank J. Bals" <FJB@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:B4rS6.62645$4f7.4754688@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > What is the best discone for this range? Do I need the low band extender
> to
> > help performance? How does the Radio Shack version compare to others
> > (Diamond, Icom)?
> >
> > Frank
> >
> >
>
>

122. Re: Discone for Military air band 225-400 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 21:15:56 UTC
From: Bullheaded
Message-ID: <dOxS6.4957$Kg4.510341@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 64639

Sorry, forgot to explain why you get a short circuit on the super discone.
It's because when you tighten the disk too (spin it too tight) it pulls up the inner conductor of the PL-259
connector. Eventually the inner conductor pulls up so much that it meets with the base head of the cone/ground
and shorts out.

"DeWayne" <ke9k@home.com> wrote in message
news:EEwS6.16553$mG4.9595701@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> Check out the 'Super Discone' at: antennawarehouse.com. The vertical
> element is approx. 5 feet long. I just got one and am assembling it. The
> quality seems outstanding and MUCH sturdier than the Diamond I had.
> DeWayne
>
> BTW, it's on sale for $39.95 + shipping.
>
> "Frank J. Bals" <FJB@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:B4rS6.62645$4f7.4754688@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > What is the best discone for this range? Do I need the low band extender
> to
> > help performance? How does the Radio Shack version compare to others
> > (Diamond, Icom)?
> >
> > Frank
> >
> >
>
>

123. FS: BC9000-XLT Uniden Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 21:29:40 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <8%xS6.25037$DG1.4183141@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 64640

FOR SALE:

Uniden Bearcat BC9000-XLT Scanner

Complete, with OPTIONAL CTCSS Tone Board, "Like-New" $250.00 shipped within U.S.

This models covers virtually every frequency from 25 MHz to 1300 MHz (less cellular). It receives military frequencies not found on most other scanners. You get 500 channels organized in 20 banks of 25 channels each. A VFO control (manual tuning knob) enables fast selection of memories or frequencies. TurboScan allows you to scan up to 100 channels per second and TurboSearch lets you select either 100 or 300 steps per second when searching VHF frequencies. The automatic store feature searches out active frequencies and automatically stores them for you in an available memory location.

Selectable mode allows you to change the default receiving mode (AM, FM-wide or FM-narrow) to hear all the action.

Advanced features include: Two Line Alpha LCD, Delay key (2 seconds), Channel Lockout, Data Skip (the scanner skips over non-voice channels), Backlight Dimmer, High Cut Audio Filter and tape recorder activation.

External jacks include: BNC antenna, external speaker, line out, aux jack and DC power.
The BC9000XLT comes with: AC adapter, telescopic antenna and Owner's Manual. Size: 10.5x3.5x7.375 inches. Frequencies covered: 25~550MHz, 760~824MHz, 849~869MHz, and 894~1300MHz!

124. Re: Is the BC-780XLT any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 21:39:27 UTC
From: Steve <Xmediactr@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <viblht4ik8sgini001bnl2ksokq6dk4nbv@4ax.com>
Source record: 64641

"Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net> wrote:

>Great scanner? Yes. Easy to use? Yes, if you have some experience with
>trunk trackers, or it may be frustrating for you. This is a very
>sophisticated scanner. I have one and am VERY pleased - using it as a base
>under computer control.
>
>Regards,
>
>Paul W. KC2FNC
>NJ
>

Paul,

What software are you using with the 780XLT? And would you recommend it?

Thanks,

Steve

125. Re: Yaesu VR-120 or ICOM RC-2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 21:59:51 UTC
From: "Dan W." <dfwood4@home.com>
Message-ID: <rryS6.16599$mG4.9759261@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 64642
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Why would one need CTCSS? Is CTCSS universal or just used in particular
situations?

TIA

Dan

Coast Station <coaststation@go.com> wrote in message
news:5a77581d.0106031122.1f6b64bf@posting.google.com...
> > 10. CTCSS on the Icom. Not on the Yaesu.
>
>
> I pick the R 2. CTCSS is a MUST have feature for me!
>
>
> Coast Station.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > 11. Price is very similar. Both are in the $160.00 - $170.00 range.
> > 12. Neither offer trunking, though there are currently NO trunking
> > transmissions taking place in our community. So I'm not missing
anything.
> > You'd just have to see what you'll be missing in your neck of the woods
if
> > you purchased a scanner without it.
> >
> > In conclusion, it would be difficult to decide which features are more
> > important: channel counter vs PC interface, labelling vs no labelling,
AC vs
> > no AC, etc.
> >
> > It is a tough call, but I'd say that Icom has made alot more noise in
the
> > industry than Yaesu in the micro communications receiver business.
> >

> > Is there a reason? Someone in the group will speak out I'm sure. :-)
> >
> > hijax
> >
> >
> > "Dan W." <dfwood4@home.com> wrote in message
> > news:2c6S6.13291$mG4.7509835@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> > > I am new to scanning and was looking into getting either the Yaesu
VR-120
> > or
> > > ICOM RC-2. Does anybody have a good reason why I should pick one over
the
> > > other. At this point the VR-120 seems to be a more powerful unit for
> > about
> > > the same price so I am thinking of going with it. However, I read one
> > > message on this newsgroup that said if you get a scanner now it should
> > have
> > > trunking capability. Any feedback would be much appreciated.
> > >
> > > Dan
> > >
> > >

126. Re: New Orleans Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 22:06:18 UTC
From: "news.swbell.net" <lindsay@lcblanton.com>
Message-ID: <AxyS6.525$IL3.103586@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 64643

New Orleans uses an Ericsson EDACS system for all public safety
communications.

For the latest information on state of Louisiana trunking systems, see:

http://www.trunkedradio.net/cgi-bin/display.pl?state=LA

JPSO recently went to an APCO-25 digital system which cannot be monitored,
but many of the local fire departments are still using the older JP analog
system which provides good monitoring.

The State Police use an analog Motorola Smartzone system, which covers the
entire state.

Lindsay
--
Lindsay C. Blanton
The Trunked Radio Information Homepage
http://www.trunkedradio.net

"Jim Kniepman" <emtjim72@ezeeweb.com> wrote in message
news:kJiQ6.49603$FS3.581237@sjc-read.news.verio.net...
> I am taking a trip to New Orleans and would like to know the frequencies
for
> police, fire and EMS.
>
>
>

127. Re: Is the BC-780XLT any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 22:18:31 UTC
From: Bruce Tyler <top@bottom.au>
Message-ID: <gbblhto1qbrhksa3703obae21alcseq374@4ax.com>
Source record: 64644

On Sun, 03 Jun 2001 10:10:14 -0700, anon <anon@nospam.com> wrote:

>I'm looking for a great TT scanner for my car. Is the 780
>good? Easy to use?
>Is there anything better coming out?
>
>Is the 245XLT a good portable? Anything better or coming out?
>
>

Another one of those $64,00 questios => "Is there anything better coming out?"...
I don't mean to be carcastic but => Of Course There Is... It's just a matter of time and waiting around for it to get here... In days, weeks, months or years to come, the 780-XLT will be "Old News" but for now it appears to be "All The Rage" in the scanner world...

I say this even though I don't own one but plan to buy one as soon as they are released here, in about 2 weeks - as Uniden are still conducting compatibility tests with our trunking system...
The buggers wont even sell me one regardless of whether or not the trunk tracking works. They say "It's not within their policy to do so". The sales guy sounded like a robotic politician when he answered with that line.

I have been reading every snippet of info I can on the 780-XLT scanner and am convinced that it may be "The Scanner of The Moment" to buy. Simplicity with sophistication is what Uniden appear to have built this scanner around.

I recently bought the new Yaesu VR-5000 and despite the useless manual that comes with it, I love this scanner. It is probably the most sophisticated (not necessarily the best though) scanner on the market at the monent => I say that because it has a manual that hardly even touches upon its capabilities. There is so much more that this scanner can do than what the manual tells. Why Yaesu did such a poor job with the maual, I will never know but they are reprinting a new manual now as too many people are complaining. Having said the above,, I must say that it can take a pilots license to fly it. Even though it is a beauty, it can drive you nuts with its long drawn out menu system. Its strength can also be its weakness.

Even though I absolutely rank the VR-5000 as the "best" I have ever owned,, and that's quite a few scanners, I cannot wait to get ahold of the 780-XLT. Everything I have read and seen on this baby is nothng but good, almost total satisfaction from their owners.

To be honest - I think the answer to all of your questions is => Yes...

Go get one - NOW!!!

128. FM 92 Eprom info Brisbane

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 23:06:51 UTC
From: Steve <sad_man@ihug.com.au>
Message-ID: <fqzS6.4389$l_3.8459@news1.rochd1.qld.optushome.com.au>
Source record: 64645

Could someone tell me where to get and what i would need to program a philips fm 92 eprom either the burner i need or someone who can do it for me

thanks in advance

129. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 23:19:41 UTC
From: Odin <odinm@flash.net>
Message-ID: <hCzS6.781$ww7.262059035@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64646

RBNHOOD125 <rbnhood125@aol.com> wrote in message

> First of all, all you gun-toting morons from both sides of the border, go
to
> some other NG to hash out your paranoid, red-neck, pickemup truck views.

Nice ignorant liberal stereotyping content.

> The only reason there seems to be more cell phone "freedom" up in Canada
than
> here in the States is their legislative bodies haven't been bought out by
the
> cell phone lobby. Our has.

Enlighten us.

> Look at all the jamokes that bought the story that their conversations on
these
> technological wonders would be private and inexpensive. We should know
that
> neither story is true. People loved these things because they were a
status
> symbol, nothing more, nothing less.

I guess you're right, mobile phones are strictly a status symbol. The
convienence, safety, and utility of owning a mobile phone is obviously
completely lost on you.

> These yuppie-wannabes became suspicious of this sales pitch when people
like us
> warned them NOT to disclose personal information on these phones, because
they
> could be intercepted. The cell phone people said that was bull shit. No
way
> that could happen.

So the gun owners are paranoid, the cell phone users are paranoid, etc...

> But the CTIA became wary that maybe once them status-seeking jamokes found
out
> that they may find it true, they statrted lobbying Congress with millions
of
> dollars (US not Canadian) and literally bought the rights of the Public
> Airwaves and made it illegal for someone to buy a scanner able to
intercept the
> cell calls of all the yuppies ordering pizzas.

Your stereotype of cell phone users being yuppies weaving in their SUV or ordering pizza obviously comes from personal experience. Tell us, are you a cell phone using SUV driving pizza eating yuppie, or do you just listen to them on your scanner?

> Now digital phones are coming out. This is done to prevent us from intercepting
> calls. For now. We'll catch up.

And you were calling other people paranoid. Ironic.

> Bring on the cell jammers so the soccer Mom,
> yappin' on da phone with Buffy, while driving her SUV weaving on streets can be
> cut off from from this electronic umbilical cord.

You apparently have a lot of free time on your hands, why not invest a little of that time in actually educating yourself on the subject.

> But, if you notice......the FCC has made it illegal to own a jammer. Surprised?

No. Unconcerned would be the term I would use. I can't seem to come up with a use for a cell phone jammer off hand, so that probably won't present a problem for most of us.

> The CTIA just "donated" several million $$$US to the FCC favorite cause ..
> Congress. Japan allows them, most countries in Europe allow them, more
> countries do NOT disallow them. This is because their governments see the
> horrible misuse of these phone in public places, churches, restaurants,
> hospitals and movie houses by those who feel they are more important than
> others around them.

So you're saying that the Japanese and other European governments allow cell phone jammers for the express intent of citizens blocking cell phone calls in an attempt to lessen the "horrible misuse of these phone in public places, churches, restaurants, hospitals and movie houses by those who feel they are more important than others around them"? Are you OK? You sound like a jealous little boy who can't afford a cell phone.

> BTW, I do own a cell phone.

Trying to convince me of that helps you ego, huh?

> I NEVER use it in public places or while driving,

So you own a cell phone but never use it in public places? If you only use it at home why not just use the landline phone?

> so tho those who think Im jealous or cant afford a phone....have no reason to
> think that.

Actually, they would have quite a few reasons to believe that, all of those reasons provided by you.

> It seems to me that the reason that Canada allows cell phyone monitoring
is
> because the cell phone industry has not yet sensed it has got a whole lot
to
> lose in Canada. Once they do, however, the money will flow to Ottawa as
quckly
> as it did Washington, and there will be similar laws enacted faster than
you
> can say "Canadian Bacon"

Look who's paranoid.

> Just my 2 , er, gee, 6 cents.

Try again.

130. DFW audio, car chases, foot chases etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 23:30:43 UTC
From: outrunsho21@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010603193043.14575.00002551@ng-mm1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64547

I have created a site with some wav files from the dfw area. Check it out and let me knwo what you think by signing the questbook. There about 8 wave files and include a 15 shooting her boyfried. Motorcycle chase at over 120mph in a 40mph zone. Happy Scanning ! ! !

http://www.geocities.com/dfwscanning/DfwAudio.html

131. Can we listen in Iden radio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-03 23:40:26 UTC
From: dtmf@videotron.ca
Message-ID: <3b1bcad5.4223706@news.videotron.ca>
Source record: 64548

Let me know.Marc

132. Re: CB Radio Online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 00:00:45 UTC
From: scannsw@dingoblue.net.au
Message-ID: <3b1ace64.2069393@news.dingoblue.net.au>
Source record: 64549
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 3 Jun 2001 13:03:21 -0500, "Power House"
<Power__House@hotmail.com_SPAMMENOT> wrote:

>Well, as you can see if you go back and read what I typed, I CLEARLY stated
>that I had NEVER used it myself,

Yes you did, so why are you getting hot under the collar??

and that it MAY be interesting.. In the
>context that I used the word, it could mean just about anything.
>Interesting as in really dumb, real cool, totally off the wall, anything...

If any one thinks that something really dumb is interesting, specially
in reference to the morons on CB radio (not saying that that everyone
on CB is a moron) then some people have big problems, and should seek
help.

>Everyone has a different opinion as to what is interesting... Is was a very
>vague and general comment...

Yet, it was my opinion, and it was not directed at you PowerHouse, it
was directed at the formum in general, after all this is a public
forum, and all replies are directed at the header of the topic, unless
otherwise stated.
>
>I was giving the guy what he wanted, nothing more, nothing less... I never
>asked for your opinion of the subject..

I know you weren't asking, you don't have to, this is a public forum,
and everyone is entitled to their opinion, and it doesn't matter if
you agree with it or not, this goes under the topic of freedom of
speech. If you don't like this idea, go live in some surpressed middle
eastern country.

If you were looking to make such a
>reply, it should have been to HIM, not me, as HE was the one asking about
>such a sight.
Like I have already stated, it was directed to him as it is the topic
header, and I can't see where I put your name on the reply. Unlike
this one.

Davo

>
>
>"Davo" <scannsw@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message

>news:3b196f7a.2092204@news.dingoblue.net.au...
>> Why would you want to listen to CB radio over the internet. Imagine
>> they hooked up the ch1 UHF repeater for Sydney to a web server.
>> I don't htink sitting and listening to a bunch of morons being just
>> that, morons would make it interesting listening myself.
>>
>> Davo
>>
>> On Wed, 30 May 2001 22:11:19 -0500, "Power House"
>> <Power__House@hotmail.com_SPAMMENOT> wrote:
>>
>> >Here is a link for what you are requesting... Never used it myself, so
>I'm
>> >not sure how it works. Check it though, I think it might be an
>interesting
>> >experience...
>> >
>> >http://www.northrim.net/rneff/cb_links.html
>> >
>> >--
>> >Power House - 823
>> >Northern Michigan
>> >
>> >http://www.geocities.com/femaster1/cbpage/index.htm
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >"Champ" <champ227@home.com> wrote in message
>> >news:01c0e8cb$973657a0$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a.rchrd1.on.wave.home.com...
>> >> Does any one know if there are any sites that have live CB's online.
>Now
>> >> you that scanners online were interesting. I think this might even be
>> >> better.
>> >>
>> >> I Don't know, Hearing a live cb radio from across country online seems
>> >> quite interesting
>> >>
>> >> Please reply to the author on this one.
>> >>
>> >> Randy
>> >> --
>> >> Live Scanner Audio is here:
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
>> >> Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
>> >> Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
>> >> Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
>> >> Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
>> >> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
>> >> Local Arts & Entertainment Coverage at it's best
>> >> http://www.ottawaxpress.com

>> >>
>> >
>> >
>>
>
>

133. Re: ?What's that antenna for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 00:06:53 UTC
From: albertorea@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29174-3B1AD11D-44@storefull-262.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64550

O_o Well here in Houston we have a similar thing. I hope...There are about 20 or thirty within a 7 mile radius. Well there is one about 300 yds from me. It is a little white box hung on to a street lamp. it has a sensor attatched to the light sensor(to draw power) and the box is about the size of a kids shoebox and it has an antenna (800 mhz whip) upside down sticking from the middle of it. I hear this is owned by METRICOM/Richochet internet, The device transmits about 1-6 watts on lisense free frequencies. (according to various people)
)

http://angelfire.com/tx4/tt/index.html
http://alberto.terrashare.com/
Live Police Scanners, Music Videos, Online Radio stations

134. Re: 141.1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 00:22:42 UTC
From: watnny@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010603202242.11896.00000695@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 64551

It is Fort Drum Military Police -- a US Army installation just outside of Watertown, NY.

Mike

135. Scanner Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 01:06:09 UTC
From: Chris <coronaxtra@home.com>
Message-ID: <5aBS6.194819$K5.19998370@news1.rdc1.nj.home.com>
Source record: 64552

Does anyone know how to Modify the Radio Shack Pro-91 scanner to pick up those freq that give yu error messages. In particular the lower and the higher freq.

Thanks

136. Re: Discone for Military air band 225-400 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 01:26:34 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010603212634.21649.00002680@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 64553

>
>What is the best discone for this range? Do I need the low band extender to
>help performance? How does the Radio Shack version compare to others
>(Diamond, Icom)?
>

Most discone designs seem to work best near the low end of the frequencies
they are made to cover.
Therefore, I cut the elements of a RS discone for around 200 mhz and have had
very good results.
The vertical "low band extender" will probably make no difference in the
225-400 range as it's intended to enhance the antenna's abilities at 30-50 mhz.
Let us know what you settle on and how it works for you.

137. Re: Please Recommend a Good Sound Card for Digital Signal Processing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 02:00:10 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010603220010.21649.00002683@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 64554

>I'm serious guy, the best, most high quality and feature filled audio card
>out there right now
>under $100 is the Turtle Beach SantaCruz. It doesn't get better than that
>esp. with it's multi jack.....

You'd better go back and read the original question.

138. Re: ?What's that antenna for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 02:28:16 UTC
From: "Tom Markert, N3WTF" <tom.markertDOESNOTLIKESPAM@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <lcslht8b9ojn3c7662qc9nr8sa7nacnptu@4ax.com>
Source record: 64555

On Sun, 3 Jun 2001 02:42:40 -0400, "Frizz"
<kg4ivt@hotmail.spamsucks.leaveme.alone.com> wrote:

>There is some sort of radio system at my school. The antenna for it,
>supposedly a station master, is mounted on top of a light pole facing the
>football field. At the base of the pole are two locked cabinets with
>General Electric emblems on them. I suspected it was some sort of repeater
>but I stood by it with a freq. counter and never got a single hit. I might
>try a field strength meter next.
>
> However, since it is on gov't property, is there any way I can find out
>what it is for? I thought about calling the building manager and saying I
>am a ham and that I would like to know what the device there because of
>interference, or something.
> Would there be any record of what these device is anywhere? I would
>like to avoid contacting anyone about it at the school since they might give
>me crap about it. Does anyone have any suggestions as to what I can do? I
>am super curious of it since it just sits there without any markings on it.
>I thought it might have something to do with the buses but the antenna looks
>like something for VHF and the buses are on 800. Any suggestions are
>welcomed and BTW, I am in Virginia (fairfax county). Thanks!!
>

We have those around here as well... After asking some questions (and
I think a small check of my background.. Freaking paranoids around
here!) I got the answer that it is for the nuclear plant that is a few
towns over.. They use the radio for the warning horns.. that way if
comm lines are down the sirens will still sound.. They test it on
every first monday or tuesday (dont remember right now) of every
month...

139. Re: How bad is 100Hz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 06:22:48 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <635mhtgo9o2stgprk9c5r8komutj409sgg@4ax.com>
Source record: 64556

(Noel) wrote:

> I bought a new Icom R8500 recently. After using for a while I realised
> that it was reading 100Hz high on hf. In other words BBC 6.190 zero
> beats on 6.190.1
> After being on for 10 hours it's rock steady and 100Hz off. The same
> for all the major broadcasters (VOA, BBC, etc.). My AOR 7030 is on the
> spot every time.
> Is this acceptable in a new radio at this price or am I being too
> demanding?
> The specifications say that its stability is +and - 100Hz. Is there a
> difference between stability and accuracy? I understand stability to
> mean that it may drift by this amount on reaching "steady state" but
> that its accuracy should be much better than that.
>
> Noel

Keep a close eye on it. I've bought 3 8500s... all have been within
10 Hz at 25 MHz... except for one, which failed with a PLL unable to
lock. I exchanged it under the vendors rules and got a new one, which
was within 10 Hz at 25 MHz. Yours (and mine, for that matter) may
be correctable, but if you look over the service manual for the thing
it is quite scary the number of ways you can break that rig trying to
fix it.

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"Nature abhors a vacuum tube." -- J. R. Pierce, Bell Labs, c. 1940

140. Re: How bad is 100Hz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 06:45:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <ugbmht0cfatmd3mpceshnvnsslaajbi762@4ax.com>
Source record: 64557

Eric F. Richards <efricha@dimensional.com> wrote:

> Keep a close eye on it. I've bought 3 8500s... all have been within
> 10 Hz at 25 MHz... except for one, which failed with a PLL unable to
> lock.

Let me elaborate on this a bit...

It failed, *eventually*. But for a while it was off about 25 Hz high,
consistently. Fortunately it failed within the return/exchange
period...

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"Nature abhors a vacuum tube." -- J. R. Pierce, Bell Labs, c. 1940

141. WTB RADIO SCANER (Desktop,Handheld,....)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 10:20:41 UTC
From: Antun Paulin <Antun.Paulin@zg.tel.hr>
Message-ID: <stnmhts644al4ien64uppsg2dmv2e3g7e8@4ax.com>
Source record: 64558

Could anybody recomend a scanner that cover HAM bands, Air band and
probably w/o holes up to 1 GHz?
I would like to be ALL MODE.

I am also looking for shops that could send equipment oversea, because
I am from Croatia,Europe.

Pozdrav
Tony

Antun Paulin W
HAM:9A6DQB
ICQ: 36929456
http://jagor.srce.hr/~apaulin
email: Antun.Paulin@zg.tel.hr

Standard disclaimer:
The views of this user are strictly his own!

142. 139.450 ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 12:02:01 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b1b7735_1@newsfeeds>
Source record: 64559

I live in northeastern Wisconsin.While scanning one day I ran across this freq.(139.450). It sounds like acars but I am unable to decode it with any program I have.I checked the FCC and could not find it anywhere.Would anybody know what it is?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

143. Re: WACARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 12:08:58 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b1b78d6_3@newsfeeds>
Source record: 64560

With the program open go to File then select a different sound driver this
should solve your problem.
"Barun Gupta" <barun@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:TaVR6.44$Ji.2874@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I just installed Wacars 0.7 but cannot get it to work. When I start it up
I
> get an error message that says the program cannot find a soundcard. Now
the
> PC obviously has a soundcard, the question is why can't Wacars find it?
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

144. Wanted: Island Heights, NJ Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 13:02:07 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20010604090207.00679.00002304@ng-mr1.aol.com>
Source record: 64561

Does anyone have any frequencies for Island Heights, NJ? Specifically the police, fire and first aid?

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-

73! de KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com ICQ#13829227
http://egroups.com/group/vhfallmode

145. Re: FS: BC9000-XLT Uniden Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 13:08:28 UTC
From: bill <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B1B884C.FF6DB8D0@home.com>
Source record: 64562

This radio has been sold..

Bill Crocker wrote:
>
> FOR SALE:
>
> Uniden Bearcat BC9000-XLT Scanner
>
> Complete, with OPTIONAL CTCSS Tone Board, "Like-New" $250.00 shipped within
> U.S.
>
> This models covers virtually every frequency from 25 MHz to 1300 MHz (less
> cellular). It receives military frequencies not found on most other
> scanners. You get 500 channels organized in 20 banks of 25 channels each. A
> VFO control (manual tuning knob) enables fast selection of memories or
> frequencies. TurboScan allows you to scan up to 100 channels per second and
> TurboSearch lets you select either 100 or 300 steps per second when
> searching VHF frequencies. The automatic store feature searches out active
> frequencies and automatically stores them for you in an available memory
> location.
>
> Selectable mode allows you to change the default receiving mode (AM, FM-wide
> or FM-narrow) to hear all the action.
>
> Advanced features include: Two Line Alpha LCD, Delay key (2 seconds),
> Channel Lockout, Data Skip (the scanner skips over non-voice channels),
> Backlight Dimmer, High Cut Audio Filter and tape recorder activation.
>
> External jacks include: BNC antenna, external speaker, line out, aux jack
> and DC power.
> The BC9000XLT comes with: AC adapter, telescopic antenna and Owner's Manual.
> Size: 10.5x3.5x7.375 inches. Frequencies covered: 25~550MHz, 760~824MHz,
> 849~869MHz, and 894~1300MHz!

146. Re: Scanner Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 13:51:35 UTC
From: DJ6JZ <DJ6JZ@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <3b1b92a2_1@news.arcor-ip.de>
Source record: 64563

There is a mailing list for this scanner - should be a good place for your question.

To get this and other URLs for your scanner, search for Pro-91 at http://www.radio-portal.org

Regards,
Willi, DJ6JZ

Chris <coronaxtra@home.com> schrieb in im Newsbeitrag: 5aBS6.194819$K5.19998370@news1.rdc1.nj.home.com...
> Does anyone know how to Modify the Radio Shack Pro-91 scanner to pick up
> those freq that give yu error messages. In particular the lower and the
> higher freq.
>
> Thanks
>
>

147. [help] UBC 120 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 15:06:08 UTC
From: Angelo dagli occhi azzurri <blueyesangel@hushmail.com>
Message-ID: <AtNS6.25179$ZZ.990211@news.infostrada.it>
Source record: 64564

Hi anyone,
is possible no one in this world has the UBC 120 XLT and can help me??
I'm not searching for mods(maybe ;-)) just searching someone could help
with suggestions, sharing comments, and also(but i guess is a miracle...)
find the scheme somewhere...

TNX all
73

148. Re: Wanted: Island Heights, NJ Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 15:22:02 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010604112202.04514.00002811@ng-fv1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64565

>Subject: Wanted: Island Heights, NJ Frequencies
>From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux (VHFRadioBuff)
>Date: 6/4/01 9:02 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010604090207.00679.00002304@ng-mr1.aol.com>
>
>Does anyone have any frequencies for Island Heights, NJ? Specifically the
>police, fire and first aid?
>=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
>73! de KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
>Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29
>http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com ICQ#13829227
>http://egroups.com/group/vhfallmode
>

Try the follwing Links:
http://www.cityfreq.com/nj/islandheights
http://www.bearcat1.com/newjerse.htm

Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://www.expage.com/pbscanner

149. Re: Is your cellphone conv's being brdcst online??? Check this out!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 15:22:04 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010604112204.28252.00006377@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 64566

I think this is funny as hell!

Our do-gooder federal government, under the command of the cellular telephone industry, bans over-the-air reception of cellular calls in the United States. But now, we can hear these same cellular calls via the Internet...from CANADA!

...and there's not a damn thing the feds can do about it!

150. Re: Anyone know the Blue Angels Com freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 15:24:25 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010604112425.04514.00002812@ng-fv1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64567

>Subject: Anyone know the Blue Angels Com freq?
>From: "Jeff Kelly" jkelly@bellatlantic.net
>Date: 6/2/01 5:50 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <BcdS6.7515$Uj5.2693646@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
>
>I have seen it somewhere...
>Anyway I am going to the Lakehurst show tomorrow
>(Sunday) and would like to take a scanner to listen to
>the calls.
>
>Tnxs,
>
>Jeff
>
>

Visit: http://www.expage.com/pbscanner9
Under Airshows

Also a Search via http://www.dogpile.com
using the Search Term:
"Blue Angels Frequencies" will provide a ton.

Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://www.expage.com/pbscanner

151. Re: DFW audio, car chases, foot chases etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 15:29:43 UTC
From: albertorea@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24566-3B1BA967-295@storefull-263.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64568

Sorry to tell you it looks horrible on webtv...

http://angelfire.com/tx4/tt/index.html
http://alberto.terrashare.com/
Live Police Scanners, Music Videos, Online Radio stations

152. Scanners for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 16:04:13 UTC
From: Ed <edfox25@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <1kOS6.21554$zl5.6588174@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 64569

FOR SALE:

RADIO SHACK PRO-2066
150 Channel Trunk Tracker
Trunks Motorola Types I & II
Has some minor surface scratches from an in-dash mount.
In box w/ manual and mounting bracket.
In very good shape.
$100.00

UNIDEN 760-XLT
With PL tone board.
Missing the volume knob but the squelch control is still there. Both volume and squelch are still fully adjustable.
The scanner is in pretty good shape for it's age.
$75.00

I accept bankers cashier checks or money orders.
If we agree on a sale I will ship the scanner when I receive the payment. I ship by Airborn Express and send you the package tracking number.

If interested please let me know.

Ed

153. BC-350A FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 16:49:32 UTC
From: sky444nospam@mint.net
Message-ID: <3b1bbc5c.41702377@news.mint.net>
Source record: 64570

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243369994

154. Bearcat 300 FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 16:50:36 UTC
From: sky444nospam@mint.net
Message-ID: <3b1bbc9e.41768807@news.mint.net>
Source record: 64572

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243422643

155. Rare SX-100 Scanner FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 16:51:07 UTC
From: sky444nospam@mint.net
Message-ID: <3b1bbcbf.41801327@news.mint.net>
Source record: 64571

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243434485

156. Re: 139.450 ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 17:54:52 UTC
From: "Mike M"
Message-ID: <3b1bc9c2.5849817@news.charter.net>
Source record: 64573

It could be part of the Wisconsin State Patrol TRS, it could be WSP MDT, or it could be military. I get data bursts on 139.455 in Fond du Lac.

See http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Lab/7646/wsp.htm for a list of future WSP TRS freqs in that range.

On Mon, 4 Jun 2001 07:02:01 -0500, "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com> wrote:

>I live in northeastern Wisconsin.While scanning one day I ran across this
>freq.(139.450). It sounds like acars but I am unable to decode it with any
>program I have.I checked the FCC and could not find it anywhere.Would
>anybody know what it is?
>
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

157. Icom R7000 for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 17:59:15 UTC
From: a5a03843 <a5a03843@telus.net>
Message-ID: <3B1BCE02.80BC5D03@telus.net>
Source record: 64574

Unblocked export model. Was used mobile. In good working condition. Was modified for scan speed increase.

$400 US.

158. Pro-91

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 18:57:29 UTC
From: Eduardo Ortiz <eortiz@cmix.net>
Message-ID: <thnmcqqip08l35@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64575

Does anybody know a website that list mod's for the pro-91 Radio Shack Scanner. I just want to know
what is available to upgrade it. Any type of computer aid scanning for this unit?

thanks

159. Re: Yaesu VR-120 or ICOM RC-2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 20:05:18 UTC
From: coaststation@go.com
Message-ID: <5a77581d.0106041205.7b6c007c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 64576
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Dan W." <dfwood4@home.com> wrote in message
news:<rryS6.16599$mG4.9759261@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>...
> Why would one need CTCSS? Is CTCSS universal or just used in particular
> situations?
>
> TIA
>
> Dan
>

Need CTCSS to listen to some particular 2 metres HAMS. Another
thing is that the police in my country is using some kind of scramble
transmission. I can only hear the police handhelds but most of the
time, not the police base station. Usually when the base station is on
the air, it gave some kind of annoying white noise. Hate to listen to
the annoying white noise, I just wanna hear when they go unscrambled.

Coast Station.

> Coast Station <coaststation@go.com> wrote in message
> news:5a77581d.0106031122.1f6b64bf@posting.google.com...
> > > 10. CTCSS on the Icom. Not on the Yaesu.
> >
> >
> > I pick the R 2. CTCSS is a MUST have feature for me!
> >
> >
> > Coast Station.

160. Re: 139.450 ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 21:12:30 UTC
From: Bullheaded
Message-ID: <2RSS6.1760$Lx.366046@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 64577

That's about where acars is I think!
I live right outside DFW airport, our ACARS is active 24 hours a day.
Not many takeoffs after about 11am but many, many landings, esp intercontinental flights.

"Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com> wrote in message news:3b1b7735_1@newsfeeds...
> I live in northeastern Wisconsin.While scanning one day I ran across this
> freq.(139.450). It sounds like acars but I am unable to decode it with any
> program I have.I checked the FCC and could not find it anywhere.Would
> anybody know what it is?
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

161. Often Requested Information Links

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 21:43:47 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010604174347.04612.00002862@ng-fv1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64578

Often Requested Information and Links

Airnav
http://www.airnavsystems.com/
Locate Aircraft and Tower Information/Frequencies

Airports
http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Lab/1145/airport.htm
Frequencies and Information

Scanner Reviews and Information on New Models
http://www.strongsignals.net
Extensive Site with Tons of Great Information

911 Scanner Frequencies
http://www.911scanner.8m.com/
Good Site with Extensive Links

City Freq
http://www.cityfreq.com/
Listing of almost every City in the USA
Business, Civil and Public Safety Frequencies

Cordless
http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/phonefrq.html
Frequencies and Information (Not legal to Scan these in the USA!)

Bearcat Scanner Guide
http://www.bearcat1.com/free.htm
Police 10 Codes and Signals Plus Frequencies and Trunking

FRS
http://www.expage.com/pbfrs
Family Radio Information and Frequencies

MURS
http://www.expage.com/murs
Multi-Use Radio Service Frequencies and Information

Panix
http://www.panix.com/clay/scanning/Frequencies/
Good Scanner Frequency Information

Trunktracker
http://www.trunktracker.com/
Trunked Radio Information and Talkgroup Information

Radio Shcak/Tandy Scanner Manuals Online
http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/2849.htm
Most of Radio Shacks Scanner Manuals

Satpas
http://www.bester.com/satpasses.htm
Satellite Pass Information

Liftoff
http://liftoff.msfc.nasa.gov/temp/StationLoc.html
Locate the ISS (Space Station)

Legal http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/
Mobile Scanner Laws and Information

Mods.dk
http://www.mods.dk/
Has most if not all Mods available for several different Brands of Scanners

QRZ.com
http://www.qrz.com/
Ham Site that also features Mods for several Brands of Scanners

Other Links and Information is available via:

PB Scanner
http://www.expage.com/pbscanner

See also: http://www.pbscanner9

Most information provided was found via Dogpile http://www.dogpile.com
A Search using the following Terms will turn up Tons More

"Scanner Radio Frequencies"
"Airshow Frequencies"
"Police Frequencies"
" your location + Scanner Frequencies"

Radio Shack also sells a book called Police Call, which is a regional reference book, showing frequency lists, Trunking information and more.

Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://www.expage.com/pbscanner

162. Re: CB Radio Online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 22:24:20 UTC
From: rashbick <rashbick@NOSPAMbigpond.com>
Message-ID: <PFTS6.40360$hV3.64361@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 64579
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

> Like I have already stated, it was directed to him as it is the topic
> header, and I can't see where I put your name on the reply. Unlike
> this one.
>
Sorry "Davo", but i'll have to disagree with this one. The person who you
are replying to is the person who your name is "under". What I mean is
(using this string as an example - as much as my PC still has stored on it)
Power House replied to Bob's comment, you then replied to Power House's
comment, The Packrat and Power House replied to your comment and you have
now replied to Power House's latest comment.

Hope this helps clear things up.

163. Re: Need Springfield Missouri Area Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 22:34:01 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010604183401.18122.00003063@ng-cj1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64580

>Subject: Need Springfield Missouri Area Frequencies
>From: "hijax" hijax@prodigy.net
>Date: 5/27/01 9:19 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9eqush$8gv0$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
>
>I am purchasing a Yaesu VR-120 (http://www.yaesu.com/amateur/vr120.html) on
>Tuesday, and was hoping someone might have a large and current list of
>active Springfield Missouri area frequencies (Ozark & Nixa , etc).
>
>If so, please let me know directly.
>
>Much appreciated!
>
>hijax
>
>

Try the following Links:

http://www.cityfreq.com/

http://www.911scanner.8m.com/

http://www.bearcat1.com/free.htm

Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://www.expage.com/pbscanner

164. Re: DFW audio, car chases, foot chases etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 22:38:32 UTC
From: outrunsho21@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010604183832.00587.00002701@ng-fr1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64581

It is not suppose to look good it is suppose to sound good. Was there trouble viewing the page or what?

Jason http://www.geocities.com/dfwscanning/DfwAudio.html

165. Re: Is the BC-780XLT any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-04 23:56:57 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <dfVS6.41741$Bn1.7599826@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 64582

I'm using TrunkStar780 and, yes, I recommend it. Why? Because it updates the scanner on the fly, it's relatively easy to use and (perhaps best of all) it goes easy on computer resources. I have and have paid for quite a few programs for the 780, but I keep going back to TrunkStar780. It's an individual thing, but this one I can live with and I appreciate that it's frequently updated. You buy once, you get all updates online at no additional cost. Try the demo.

I sound like a shill, but I'm simply a happy user.

Paul Wolsko, KC2FNC
NJ

"Steve" <Xmediactr@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:viblht4ik8sgini001bnl2ksokq6dk4nbv@4ax.com...
> "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net> wrote:
>
> >Great scanner? Yes. Easy to use? Yes, if you have some experience with
> >trunk trackers, or it may be frustrating for you. This is a very
> >sophisticated scanner. I have one and am VERY pleased - using it as a
base
> >under computer control.
> >
> >Regards,
> >
> >Paul W. KC2FNC
> >NJ
> >
>
> Paul,
>
> What software are you using with the 780XLT? And would you recommend it?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Steve

166. israeli frequancies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 00:37:50 UTC
From: NIS <prizius@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9fh68kfma1@news.netvision.net.il>
Source record: 64514

Where can i find a list of frequancies in ISRAEL?

167. Re: Optoelectronics filter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 00:54:20 UTC
From: Tom Stiles <hamrad45@atlantic.net>
Message-ID: <l2WS6.43$%e4.66341@news1.atlantic.net>
Source record: 64583

Thanks to everyone that has replied to my question. This is a great newsgroup!

Tom

"David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net> wrote in message news:W6CR6.1840$Ip5.218192@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> The R-10 uses 4.8 to 16V. It comes with a 12V wall wart that
> is clearly marked with ICOM. I don't know about the filter.
>
> --
> David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
> Baton Rouge, LA
>
> Baton Rouge Area Scanning
> Web Page: http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/
> -
> ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
> Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.
>
> Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!
>
>
> "Tom Stiles" <hamrad45@atlantic.net> wrote in message
> news:HqyR6.79$DZ5.166402@news1.atlantic.net...
> > I just received and R10 reciver, filter #CF802 and a power cube. The plug
> > on the power cube fits both but I am not sure it is made for both. Does
> > anyone know if the receiver and/or the filter use 9 volts + polarity?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > --
> >
> > Tom
> >
> >
>
>
>

168. realistic pro 2027

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 01:14:42 UTC
From: boom <steig@ptd.net>
Message-ID: <6oWS6.4144$ob4.83870@nnrp1.ptd.net>
Source record: 64584

I have a realistic unblocked scanner for sale on Ebay.
Click on link.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1242912769

169. FA: Awsome antenna system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 01:33:41 UTC
From: RadioGuy <Radioguy@aol.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1584b251789255a09896bf@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64585
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1602612566

170. PRO39 Image question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 01:51:23 UTC
From: Scott2020 <Scott2020@Excite.com>
Message-ID: <KDkcO93hgjOW=OR921AtnSlb9htK@4ax.com>
Source record: 64586

Hello,
I have a PRO39 not-modified scanner. I try to listen to the Aurora IL police on 866.0375 and I often hear one side of cell phone conversations. Is there anything, besides buying a new scanner, that I can do to prevent this? I assume I am picking up an image of part of a cell phone conversation.

Any help is appreciated!

Thanks
Scott

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

171. Re: Yaesu VR-120 or ICOM RC-2?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 02:29:26 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <auXS6.43316$Bn1.7843966@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 64588
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

CTCSS (a.k.a. PL) is often used when stations using the same frequency are not quite far apart enough to avoid some interference, especially in varying band conditions. The CTCSS allows the receiver to "block" the unwanted station, and likewise, prevents intereference from transmissions using a different PL code. There is a situation like this in my area where the local police share the frequency with another police department in another area...if I use CTCSS decoding, the distant, noisy station never opens the squelch. In this case, simply cranking up the squelch isn't enough to block the distant station when the band conditions are better because their signal is relatively strong when compared to the local signal.

FRS radios and ham repeaters commonly use CTCSS as well, if you ever listen to those services. Also, if you have CTCSS decoding, the annoying constant tone is filtered out of the audio...this is especially helpful when monitoring long ham QSOs.

I agree the CTCSS is a must-have feature given the choice. I wish my Yaesu VR-500 had it, too.

Doug

"Dan W." <dfwood4@home.com> wrote in message
news:rryS6.16599$mG4.9759261@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> Why would one need CTCSS? Is CTCSS universal or just used in particular
> situations?
>
> TIA
>
> Dan
>
> Coast Station <coaststation@go.com> wrote in message
> news:5a77581d.0106031122.1f6b64bf@posting.google.com...
> > > 10. CTCSS on the Icom. Not on the Yaesu.
> >
> >
> > I pick the R 2. CTCSS is a MUST have feature for me!
> >
> >
> > Coast Station.

172. Re: DFW audio, car chases, foot chases etc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 02:31:46 UTC
From: Bullheaded
Message-ID: <kwXS6.2557$Lx.635356@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 64587

Everyone's a critic Jason. :)

"Outrunsho21" <outrunsho21@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010604183832.00587.00002701@ng-fr1.news.cs.com...
> It is not suppose to look good it is suppose to sound good. Was there trouble
> viewing the page or what?
>
> Jason http://www.geocities.com/dfwscanning/DfwAudio.html

173. FA: Pro-2027 unblocked scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 03:28:10 UTC
From: Dave-Debra <dj1deb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <elYS6.21956$zl5.6886003@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 64589

100 ch. Radio shack 2027 Full coverage scanner, works perfect. (5 day auction only!)
click on link below...

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243755066

174. ~~~EARTHQUAKE ALERT FREQUENCY~~~

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 04:23:55 UTC
From: hotprowl459@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010605002355.20478.00003294@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 64590

Can someone please tell me what the current earthquake alert frequency is for the area of the San Fernando Valley?

175. Re: Scanner Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 04:36:37 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010605003637.27578.00003161@ng-xb1.aol.com>
Source record: 64591

>There is a mailing list for this scanner

That list (Yahoo) is D.O.A., there hasn't been a post since Dec. of last year, wasn't much life before that. Guess there isn't much that can done to a Pro-91 except listen to it.
I have one and it's great to throw in the car to take with you.

176. Re: CB Radio Online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 06:05:31 UTC
From: rashbick <rashbick@NOSPAMbigpond.com>
Message-ID: <aq_S6.41516$hV3.64931@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 64592
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Ooops. Everyone makes mistakes. I was only able to answer from what I believed to be true. Sorry to "Davo" and whoever else.

177. Re: CB Radio Online

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 06:51:54 UTC
From: signalone@dingoblue.net.au
Message-ID: <3b1c8149.25453370@news.dingoblue.net.au>
Source record: 64593
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Appology accepted Rashbrick.
It does depend on the news reader.

Not everybody uses Outlook express. Not everybody wants a microsoft
product that is great for sucking in virus's.

But thats each and everyones personal choice.

Davo

On Tue, 5 Jun 2001 16:05:31 +1000, "rashbick"
<rashbick@NOSPAMbigpond.com> wrote:

>Ooops. Everyone makes mistakes. I was only able to answer from what I
>believed to be true. Sorry to "Davo" and whoever else.
>
>
>

178. Re: Question about Digital Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 12:02:30 UTC
From: Kevin <awdwfan@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <qT3T6.7547$XN6.64844@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64594

Only sensitive communications are encrypted on the ASTRO system. The rest
are in the clear. Well they are clear only if you can receive digital.
"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message
news:9fcjgfnbe2@bashir.peak.org...
> Kevin <awdwfan@earthlink.net> wrote:
> : There is not a scanner on the market at this time that will decode
digital
> : transmissions. Keep your eyes open. I have a feeling we may be seeing
one
> : here in the near future.
>
> If I recall correctly ASTRO also uses encryption. If so, even a digital
> receiver would not be of any use without the decryption key.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

179. Re: Icom R7000 for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 12:46:39 UTC
From: JM <cmb@mail.telepac.pt>
Message-ID: <9fikhstnd1@venus.telepac.pt>
Source record: 64595

Hi,

Do you have sold ? If not do you have photos ?

Thanks
JM
"a5a03843" <a5a03843@telus.net> escreveu na mensagem
news:3B1BCE02.80BC5D03@telus.net...
> Unblocked export model. Was used mobile. In good working condition. Was
> modified for scan speed increase.
>
> $400 US.
>
>
>

180. FS - 2 Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 12:50:20 UTC
From: jmcr@nauticom.net
Message-ID: <3B1CD58C.24E41F9A@nauticom.net>
Source record: 64596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have two scanners in excellent working and cosmetic condition, both come with operators manual power cords and antenna.

1. Bearcat-300, 50 channel programable scanner also with 11 preprogramed services- police, fire, marine, ham, telepone, government, industrial, transportation and air, then we havemore features, search, timer, delay, lockout, all the features a top of the line scanner has. This scanner covers the following frequencies: 32-50, 118-136,144-174, 421-512.0125 MHz. I had the receiver updated three years ago along with a new digital read out. $70.00

2. Regency MX-7000, 20 channel programable scanner that covers the following frequencies: 25 to 550, 800-1.2 GHz., in your choice of modes- AM, NFM, WFM. This unit covers cellular without any mods being done. It also has - scan, lockout, delay, speed, clock, priority, search your choice of search frequency steps. $85.00

email: Jim at jmcr@nauticom.net

181. Re: PRO39 Image question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 14:55:17 UTC
From: Scott2020 <Scott2020@Excite.com>
Message-ID: <NPIcO6LhUQdk2crjCZ+Ss2rvyaYI@4ax.com>
Source record: 64498

I hate that stupid tag line. I pay extra for this service and they
still need to put crap on the bottom.

Anyway, I found out that 866 freq is one of many that are in the
trunked system. Looks like I will be getting a new scanner after all!

Scott

On Mon, 04 Jun 2001 20:51:23 -0500, Scott2020 <Scott2020@Excite.com>
wrote:

>Hello,
>I have a PRO39 not-modified scanner. I try to listen to the Aurora
>IL police on 866.0375 and I often hear one side of cell phone
>conversations. Is there anything, besides buying a new scanner, that
>I can do to prevent this? I assume I am picking up an image of part
>of a cell phone conversation.
>
>Any help is appreciated!
>
>Thanks
>Scott
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

182. 780XLT IF Frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 14:58:23 UTC
From: FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dp6T6.22267$_5.2506699@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 64497

Anyone know the IF frequency of the 780XLT?

--

Brad
feed_bak@hotmail.com

183. F/A SONY WAVEHAWK PC SCANNER: ALMOST NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 15:40:01 UTC
From: k2epm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010605114001.12786.00000890@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 64499

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243854536

184. FA: YUPITERU MVT-7300 imported and like new!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 15:49:34 UTC
From: Dave-Debra <dj1deb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ic7T6.22056$zl5.7079455@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 64500

No Reserve, ends Sunday. (click on link below)

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243533302

185. BC-350A FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 16:42:38 UTC
From: sky444nospam@mint.net
Message-ID: <3b1d0c66.4851671@news.mint.net>
Source record: 64501

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243369994

186. Bearcat 300 FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 16:42:45 UTC
From: sky444nospam@mint.net
Message-ID: <3b1d0c6d.4858822@news.mint.net>
Source record: 64502

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243422643

187. Rare SX-100 Scanner FA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 16:42:49 UTC
From: sky444nospam@mint.net
Message-ID: <3b1d0c71.4862622@news.mint.net>
Source record: 64503

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243434485

188. Florida Storm Page Up for 2001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 18:11:56 UTC
From: headshope@aol.comm.htspm
Message-ID: <20010605141156.22816.00000702@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 64504

For those in South Florida the following Page may be of use for the 2001 Hurricane Season.

Included are Tips, Numbers, Frequencies and Links

http://www.expage.com/pbscannerstrom

Home: http://expage.com/pbscanner

Have a look, and be safe this Hurricane Season; now is the time to get ready!

Palm Beach Scanner FLA,USA.
Main Site: http://expage.com/pbscanner
Intro to Scanning Page:http://expage.com/pbscanner9

(Please send Spam to TOSspam@aol.com)
Abuse by Spam will result in an Evil Hex on Your Hard Drive!!!!!

189. Often requested Scanner Information Here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 18:13:57 UTC
From: headshope@aol.comm.htspm
Message-ID: <20010605141357.22816.00000705@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 64505

Often Requested Information and Links

Airnav
http://www.airnavsystems.com/
Locate Aircraft and Tower Information/Frequencies

Airports
http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Lab/1145/airport.htm
Frequencies and Information

Scanner Reviews and Information on New Models
http://www.strongsignals.net
Extensive Site with Tons of Great Information

911 Scanner Frequencies
http://www.911scanner.8m.com/
Good Site with Extensive Links

City Freq
http://www.cityfreq.com/
Listing of almost every City in the USA
Business, Civil and Public Safety Frequencies

Cordless
http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/phonefrq.html
Frequencies and Information (Not legal to Scan these in the USA!)

Bearcat Scanner Guide
http://www.bearcat1.com/free.htm
Police 10 Codes and Signals Plus Frequencies and Trunking

FRS
http://www.expage.com/pbfrs
Family Radio Information and Frequencies

MURS
http://www.expage.com/murs
Multi-Use Radio Service Frequencies and Information

Panix
http://www.panix.com/clay/scanning/Frequencies/
Good Scanner Frequency Information

Trunktracker
http://www.trunktracker.com/
Trunked Radio Information and Talkgroup Information

Radio Shcak/Tandy Scanner Manuals Online
http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/2849.htm
Most of Radio Shacks Scanner Manuals

Satpas
http://www.bester.com/satpasses.htm
Satellite Pass Information

Liftoff
http://liftoff.msfc.nasa.gov/temp/StationLoc.html
Locate the ISS (Space Station)

Legal http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/
Mobile Scanner Laws and Information

Mods.dk
http://www.mods.dk/
Has most if not all Mods available for several different Brands of Scanners

QRZ.com
http://www.qrz.com/
Ham Site that also features Mods for several Brands of Scanners

Other Links and Information is available via:

PB Scanner
http://www.expage.com/pbscanner

See also: http://www.pbscanner9

Most information provided was found via Dogpile http://www.dogpile.com
A Search using the following Terms will turn up Tons More

"Scanner Radio Frequencies"
"Airshow Frequencies"
"Police Frequencies"
" your location + Scanner Frequencies"

Radio Shack also sells a book called Police Call, which is a regional reference book, showing frequency lists, Trunking information and more.

Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://www.expage.com/pbscanner

Palm Beach Scanner FLA,USA.
Main Site: http://expage.com/pbscanner
Intro to Scanning Page:http://expage.com/pbscanner9

(Please send Spam to TOSspam@aol.com)
Abuse by Spam will result in an Evil Hex on Your Hard Drive!!!!!

190. Flagstaff, AZ Fire Dept channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 18:53:49 UTC
From: drrNoSpam@nym.alias.net
Message-ID: <3b1d29d3.5681847@west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 64506

Does anyone have an up-to-date list of the Flagstaff Fire Dept.
channels and their corresponding frequencies? All of the lists I've
seen are out of date. I think I have all of the frequencies, but I
can't figure out which channel goes with which frequency. Every now
and then they switch to a different channel (for example from ch. 1 to
ch. 3) and I lose the traffic (I can't hear them on any other
frequency.)

Thanks,
Dennis

191. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 18:59:58 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010605145958.18512.00005348@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Source record: 64507

>
>Neither do we. It takes about 15 minutes to buy a gun - fill out the
>paperwork, gun dealer swipes your DL to check your background for felon
>status, pay for the gun, out the door.
>

Where are you at??? I'm in NY and it takes me a week or more to obtain an amendment to my permit to add or delete a weapon. The waiting period for the permit in 1976 was over 9 months. It's longer now.

192. Radioshack *best scanner poll*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 19:06:08 UTC
From: "R.Glazier" <rogsmail@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9fjabt$7mo$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 64508

Hi
It would be interesting to here what everybody thinks of radioshack
scanners,
which one/model would YOU say was the best.
RG

193. DJ-X10 programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 19:12:02 UTC
From: aa1339@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3b1d2e4a.50307708@news.gl.centurytel.net>
Source record: 64509

My DJ-X10T came from the factory with a number of frequencies already programmed in. This data was lost while debugging an interface cable. Possibly could someone post or email this data, either in text or the "Bruce Pope program" format? Much appreciated, and thanks in advance.

194. Re: ~~~EARTHQUAKE ALERT FREQUENCY~~~

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 19:18:25 UTC
From: Dave <cubit@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9fjbb8$k1a$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64511

> Can someone please tell me what the current earthquake alert frequency is
for
> the area of the San Fernando Valley?

Frequency is about 3 cycles per second.

It is best received by your feet.

195. Re: DJ-X10 programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 19:20:16 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <QhaT6.33100$DG1.5647418@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 64512

Files sent.

Bill Crocker

"Hawkeye" <aa1339@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3b1d2e4a.50307708@news.gl.centurytel.net...
> My DJ-X10T came from the factory with a number of frequencies already
> programmed in. This data was lost while debugging an interface cable.
> Possibly could someone post or email this data, either in text or the
> "Bruce Pope program" format? Much appreciated, and thanks in advance.
>

196. Is there a freq for hurricane spotters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 20:37:34 UTC
From: outrunsho21@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010605163734.19321.00002846@ng-fj1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64513

Ok maybe it is not huricane spoters. But there is a tropical storm comeing into my state texas. I was wandering is there a freq to listen to for planes that fly into the huricane and stuff like that. Thanks

Jason dont forget to check out some cool audio clips from my site. Police chases. 15yr old shoots boyfriend, motorcycle chase at over 120 mph. check it out at: http://www.geocities.com/dfwscanning/DfwAudio.html

197. Re: Is there a freq for hurricane spotters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 20:44:43 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <%wbT6.33460$DG1.5689918@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 64515

Check here...
http://www.cybercomm.net/~slapshot/swlmarks.html

About half way down the site index, you'll find several hurricane links!

Bill Crocker

"Outrunsho21" <outrunsho21@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010605163734.19321.00002846@ng-fj1.news.cs.com...
> Ok maybe it is not huricane spoters. But there is a tropical storm comeing
into
> my state texas. I was wandering is there a freq to listen to for planes
that
> fly into the huricane and stuff like that. Thanks
>
> Jason dont forget to check out some cool audio clips from my site.
Police
> chases. 15yr old shoots boyfriend, motorcycle chase at over 120 mph. check
it
> out at: http://www.geocities.com/dfwscanning/DfwAudio.html

198. Freq(s) for Communuty Boating in Boston, MA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 20:48:01 UTC
From: Hank Eskin <heskin@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <5AbT6.1543$rg.486700@typhoon2.ba-dsg.net>
Source record: 64516

Looking for the frequency for Community Boating, Inc. in Boston, or CBI.
I've searched the newsgroup (ala google) and the web and can't find
anything... any suggestions?

Thanks,

-Hank

199. radar detector choice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 20:52:54 UTC
From: rhiggen@clemson.edu
Message-ID: <d945fc25.0106051252.8235ff3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 64518

all right, yeah i know most of you love the valentine one and
everything. but i really don't have the money to buy that or one of
the high priced detectors. i still have to pay off my car so that's
where most of my money is going.
i hate going the speed limit but i usually don't go over 20 mph over
the speed limit so i'm not a huge speeder. but i'd like to get a radar
detector in order to play it safe.

i live in massachusetts but i do most of my driving in south carolina,
georgia, and tennessee (i go to school in south carolina. that info is
just in case anyone is familiar with popular radar/laser usage in
those states).

anyway, from what i've read i'd love to get the bel 980, but i really
can't afford that. bel has gotten quite a few good reviews though so
i'm looking at some of their cheaper models. most notedly the 890,
880, and 870. i've heard good things about all of them.

i don't have the money yet for any of these detectors so i'm not sure
exactly how much i will have to spend when i finally go for it. the
890 sounds pretty good though it's a bit steeper in higher in price
than the other two. i've almost decided on the 880, but that's why i'm
writing this topic in this forum.

i want to know if -
1 - the 880 is good enough. is it really worth it to spend the extra
money to get the 890 or is the 880 enough for my needs?
2 - the 870 is good enough to the point where i could actually buy
that one instead of the 880? i mean, for that price, it's
very tempting.
3 - i should just look at another brand completely?

well, those are my questions. i hope you can help me out. basically
i'm looking for the best radar detector without having to go spend a
whole lot of money that i just don't have. thanks in advance for your
help.

200. D.E.A. feeq.dallas,tx?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 20:54:28 UTC
From: john sanders <jdsand@cowtown.net>
Message-ID: <thqhl3rn1r67f2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64517

anyone know dea freq in dallas....they been bustin several in my neighborhood...

tnx john

201. Martin County FL trunked radio system ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 21:04:56 UTC
From: jim44442@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010605170456.16600.00002993@ng-mf1.aol.com>
Source record: 64519

Anyone hear anything on Martin County looking for a new 800 system ?
(currently using EFJ LTR-net and the county had bid proposals out for system replacement).

202. Re: How bad is 100Hz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 21:19:18 UTC
From: Bruce Tyler <top@bottom.au>
Message-ID: <5ofqht8kuo9n3puncfv8ragnrih6p6j27i@4ax.com>
Source record: 64520

On Mon, 04 Jun 2001 00:22:48 -0600, Eric F. Richards
<efricha@dimensional.com> wrote:

>(Noel) wrote:
>
>> I bought a new Icom R8500 recently. After using for a while I realised
>> that it was reading 100Hz high on hf. In other words BBC 6.190 zero
>> beats on 6.190.1
>> After being on for 10 hours it's rock steady and 100Hz off. The same
>> for all the major broadcasters (VOA, BBC, etc.). My AOR 7030 is on the
>> spot every time.
>> Is this acceptable in a new radio at this price or am I being too
>> demanding?
>> The specifications say that its stability is +and - 100Hz. Is there a
>> difference between stability and accuracy? I understand stability to
>> mean that it may drift by this amount on reaching "steady state" but
>> that its accuracy should be much better than that.
>>
>> Noel
>
>Keep a close eye on it. I've bought 3 8500s... all have been within
>10 Hz at 25 MHz... except for one, which failed with a PLL unable to
>lock. I exchanged it under the vendors rules and got a new one, which
>was within 10 Hz at 25 MHz. Yours (and mine, for that matter) may
>be correctable, but if you look over the service manual for the thing
>it is quite scary the number of ways you can break that rig trying to
>fix it.
>
>Eric

I bought my R-8500 about a year ago and, as with all my HF receivers, I
tested it for frequency readout accuracy...
My method is to put it on any "AM" frequency, in most cases I choose the
15.000 mHz time signal - Why??? because it is right in the middle of the HF
band and it is an absolutely rock steady transmission. Anyway, I then
listen to the time marker beeps/tones in the AM mode. I then switch it to
Lower Sideband then Upper Sideband. The tones and beeps should NOT change
in audible tone to your ear if the receivers PLL is correctly calibrated.
This same test can be done with any AM shortwave broadcast station. You can
soon enough tell just how far out of tune it is by finding that magic spot
where all 3 modes (AM, LSB & USB) will all sound exactly the same. There is
always a bit of drift as you tune up and down the HF band but it should be
minimal and I find it best to use 15 mHz as the marker frequency.

In my Kenwood TS-570 it is between 0 and 10 Hz above the desired frequency in winter and in the hottest months it is Spot On. In my R-8500 it was about 30 Hz over the desired frequency last winter when I bought it. To tune out this "excess" frequency, you press and hold the "SSB/CW" button for 1 second to bring up the BFO readout. From there, you simply tune up/down the amount you want, to tune it to centre frequency. Mine is set to -30 Hz to compensate the +30 above the desired frquency.
In other receivers you can usually find a trimmer or variable resisitor in the PLL circuit which allows a certain amount of tuning - Be Careful here though. My Kenwood R-5000 was like this. It was about 15 to 20 Hz over so one small twist on this variable resisitor brought it right on frequency and I never had any worries again.
It is amazing just how small-an-incriment your ears can hear - I am talking as little a a fragment of even 1 Hz.. Yes, 10ths or even 100ths of one single Hz,,, by having another receiver alongside the one being tuned. Simply leave this other receiver in the AM mode on the same frequency and tune the "out of tune" receiver on LSB/USB until you find the magic spot. Putting your ear up close and in between the two receivers will let you hear the "smallest" difference as you adjust that trimmer or variable resisitor. You will hear and feel the difference somewhere inside the ear as you tune. It is a waist of time to become too fussy though as next time you compare the two receivers, you will notice that there is, again, a small difference, though frequency drift. You will never stop this.

Of course, this is only my way of doing this test and it is also governed by temperature and the amount of time that the receiver has been turned on. It's better to wait for about half an hour or so to let it come to operating temperature. The difference between summer and winter is also noticeable by about 10 Hz in a good receiver.

100 Hz is way too much for my liking BUT it can still be tuned out by holding the SSB/CW button down for 1 second then tuning the available BFO.

203. Re: Is there a freq for hurricane spotters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 21:59:19 UTC
From: Bullheaded
Message-ID: <XCcT6.3283$Q64.366343@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 64521

I'm not sure but I know somewhere in the 14Mhz band (USB) ham radio's have a hurricane network going on
when hurricanes and heavy weather is hitting land.

"Outrunsho21" <outrunsho21@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010605163734.19321.00002846@ng-fj1.news.cs.com...
> Ok maybe it is not huricane spoters. But there is a tropical storm comeing into
> my state texas. I was wandering is there a freq to listen to for planes that
> fly into the huricane and stuff like that. Thanks
>
> Jason dont forget to check out some cool audio clips from my site. Police
> chases. 15yr old shoots boyfriend, motorcycle chase at over 120 mph. check it
> out at: http://www.geocities.com/dfwscanning/DfwAudio.html

204. Slightly off topic / Re: radar detector choice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 22:06:27 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <DJcT6.33655$DG1.5742839@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 64522

I find Bel radar detectors false too much...especially in the city. A high-end Uniden is nice!

Bill Crocker

"Ryan Higgens" <rhiggen@clemson.edu> wrote in message news:d945fc25.0106051252.8235ff3@posting.google.com...
> all right, yeah i know most of you love the valentine one and
> everything. but i really don't have the money to buy that or one of
> the high priced detectors. i still have to pay off my car so that's
> where most of my money is going.
> i hate going the speed limit but i usually don't go over 20 mph over
> the speed limit so i'm not a huge speeder. but i'd like to get a radar
> detector in order to play it safe.
>
> i live in massachusetts but i do most of my driving in south carolina,
> georgia, and tennessee (i go to school in south carolina. that info is
> just in case anyone is familiar with popular radar/laser usage in
> those states).
>
> anyway, from what i've read i'd love to get the bel 980, but i really
> can't afford that. bel has gotten quite a few good reviews though so
> i'm looking at some of their cheaper models. most notedly the 890,
> 880, and 870. i've heard good things about all of them.
>
> i don't have the money yet for any of these detectors so i'm not sure
> exactly how much i will have to spend when i finally go for it. the
> 890 sounds pretty good though it's a bit steeper in higher in price
> than the other two. i've almost decided on the 880, but that's why i'm
> writing this topic in this forum.
>
> i want to know if -
> 1 - the 880 is good enough. is it really worth it to spend the extra
> money to get the 890 or is the 880 enough for my needs?
> 2 - the 870 is good enough to the point where i could actually buy
> that one instead of the 880? i mean, for that price, it's
> very tempting.
> 3 - i should just look at another brand completely?
>
> well, those are my questions. i hope you can help me out. basically
> i'm looking for the best radar detector without having to go spend a
> whole lot of money that i just don't have. thanks in advance for your
> help.

205. Re: Is there a freq for hurricane spotters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 22:07:46 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <SKcT6.33662$DG1.5744011@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 64523

Good point! Ham's are also very active in the 2-Meter band (144~148MHz),
during storms of any significance.

Bill Crocker

"Bullheaded" <@.> wrote in message
news:XCcT6.3283$Q64.366343@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> I'm not sure but I know somewhere in the 14Mhz band (USB) ham radio's have
a hurricane network going on
> when hurricanes and heavy weather is hitting land.
>
> "Outrunsho21" <outrunsho21@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010605163734.19321.00002846@ng-fj1.news.cs.com...
> > Ok maybe it is not huricane spoters. But there is a tropical storm
comeing into
> > my state texas. I was wandering is there a freq to listen to for planes
that
> > fly into the huricane and stuff like that. Thanks
> >
> > Jason dont forget to check out some cool audio clips from my site.
Police
> > chases. 15yr old shoots boyfriend, motorcycle chase at over 120 mph.
check it
> > out at: http://www.geocities.com/dfwscanning/DfwAudio.html
>
>

206. Re: Radioshack *best scanner poll*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 22:11:06 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <_NcT6.33672$DG1.5746031@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 64524

That's a very broad question! Of course it depends on frequency coverage,
and desired features.

Of their current production, my favorites, both manufactured by GRE, for
Radio Shack; PRO-92[b] hand-held, and the PRO-2067 mobile/base.

Bill Crocker

"R.Glazier" <rogsmail@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:9fjabt$7mo$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
> Hi
> It would be interesting to here what everybody thinks of radioshack
> scanners,
> which one/model would YOU say was the best.
> RG
>
>
>

207. Re: radar detector choice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 22:42:04 UTC
From: Odin <REMOVEodinm@flash.netREMOVE>
Message-ID: <0fdT6.1898$Lp6.316288046@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64525

Valentine One or Escort P8500 for portable units. K40 UN3000SWL or Escort SR1 for installed units. I have been the buyer for a chain of retail mobile electronics stores for 10 years and I have seen (and been given free units by the manufacturer's reps) every detector on the market - these are the best. Valentine One is only available direct but it's a nice unit. The Escort P8500 is getting great reviews right now. Bel falses in the city too much for my use and their customer service is terrible. Whistler, Uniden, etc...mostly just buy other manufacturer's low line stuff and repackage it.

"Ryan Higgens" <rhiggen@clemson.edu> wrote in message
news:d945fc25.0106051252.8235ff3@posting.google.com...
> all right, yeah i know most of you love the valentine one and
> everything. but i really don't have the money to buy that or one of
> the high priced detectors. i still have to pay off my car so that's
> where most of my money is going.
> i hate going the speed limit but i usually don't go over 20 mph over
> the speed limit so i'm not a huge speeder. but i'd like to get a radar
> detector in order to play it safe.
>
> i live in massachusetts but i do most of my driving in south carolina,
> georgia, and tennessee (i go to school in south carolina. that info is
> just in case anyone is familiar with popular radar/laser usage in
> those states).
>
> anyway, from what i've read i'd love to get the bel 980, but i really
> can't afford that. bel has gotten quite a few good reviews though so
> i'm looking at some of their cheaper models. most notedly the 890,
> 880, and 870. i've heard good things about all of them.
>
> i don't have the money yet for any of these detectors so i'm not sure
> exactly how much i will have to spend when i finally go for it. the
> 890 sounds pretty good though it's a bit steeper in higher in price
> than the other two. i've almost decided on the 880, but that's why i'm
> writing this topic in this forum.
>
> i want to know if -
> 1 - the 880 is good enough. is it really worth it to spend the extra
> money to get the 890 or is the 880 enough for my needs?
> 2 - the 870 is good enough to the point where i could actually buy
> that one instead of the 880? i mean, for that price, it's
> very tempting.
> 3 - i should just look at another brand completely?
>
> well, those are my questions. i hope you can help me out. basically

> i'm looking for the best radar detector without having to go spend a
> whole lot of money that i just don't have. thanks in advance for your
> help.

208. Re: Icom R7000 for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 23:22:14 UTC
From: Paul McCarron <w1hzy@cybertours.com>
Message-ID: <3B1D69A6.D220FBA@cybertours.com>
Source record: 64526

Just for the record, there was no export model! All 7000's are unblocked.
Export models started with the 7100.
Paul

a5a03843 wrote:

> Unblocked export model. Was used mobile. In good working condition. Was
> modified for scan speed increase.
>
> $400 US.

209. WTB: TV-R7100 ICOM TV Receive Adapter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-05 23:53:44 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <cieT6.34091$DG1.5828636@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 64527

WANTED:

:
The ICOM TV-R7100 TV receive adapter quickly plugs into your R-8500 (or older R-7100). With the addition of a composite video monitor (or regular TV with audio and video input jacks), you can now enjoy video reception from your R-8500. This would include broadcast TV stations as well as any other TV signals that may be in your reception area including amateur fast-scan TV, video links, security and sports feeds, etc. There is also a true stereo headphone jack to receive FM stereo radio stations.

Please state condition, and asking price.

Thanks,

Bill Crocker

210. Re: Radioshack *best scanner poll*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 00:29:44 UTC
From: Chuck Cheese <chuck@cheese.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1585f4da314739c79896c0@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64528

In article <9fjabt$7mo$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>,
rogsmail@btinternet.com says...

pro-2006 was my best rat shack scanner.

Would you like a cell phone with that ? how about a new cell phone ?
Could i interest you in a cell phone ?

One day myself and a Radio Shack sales peon will be going to the
hospital together.... To get my foot out of his ass...
> Hi
> It would be interesting to here what everybody thinks of radioshack
> scanners,
> which one/model would YOU say was the best.
> RG
>
>
>
>

211. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 00:50:05 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B1D7E3C.EF0D463C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64529

Gee, and the crooks and nut cases still have as many guns as they ever
did, maybe more.

It sure is great to see our laws at work protecting us.

>
> Where are you at??? I'm in NY and it takes me a week or more to obtain an
> amendment to my permit to add or delete a weapon. The waiting period for the
> permit in 1976 was over 9 months. It's longer now.

212. Re: Awsome antenna system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 01:20:19 UTC
From: Chris Deruyte <chrisderuyteDONOTSPAM@otn.net>
Message-ID: <UtfT6.895$8c.4141@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 64530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It comes up as unavailable or expired. Is the item number correct?

RadioGuy <Radioguy@aol.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1584b251789255a09896bf@news.earthlink.net...
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1602612566

213. Re: DJ-X10 programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 02:22:26 UTC
From: ":0)" <rapier8@home.com>
Message-ID: <CtgT6.374726$166.8070022@news1.rdc1.bc.home.com>
Source record: 64533

Hi, could you please email me that file to me as well, thanks.

Rob.
VE7IGZ

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:QhaT6.33100$DG1.5647418@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Files sent.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Hawkeye" <aa1339@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3b1d2e4a.50307708@news.gl.centurytel.net...
> > My DJ-X10T came from the factory with a number of frequencies already
> > programmed in. This data was lost while debugging an interface cable.
> > Possibly could someone post or email this data, either in text or the
> > "Bruce Pope program" format? Much appreciated, and thanks in advance.
> >
>
>

214. FS AOR AR-2002 Scanning Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 02:22:55 UTC
From: Chris <ck@ckradio.net>
Message-ID: <3B1D93FF.1290FDDF@ckradio.net>
Source record: 64531

I have in excellent condition an AR-2002 scanning receiver. 25-550 and
800-1300MHz uninterrupted.
$225 Shipped.

215. FS RS PRO-2048 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 02:24:49 UTC
From: Chris <ck@ckradio.net>
Message-ID: <3B1D9471.B5313BD6@ckradio.net>
Source record: 64532

```
Excellent condition, 200ch., triple conversion 800MHz. $110 Shipped
```

216. A question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 02:40:37 UTC
From: Ali <persia4ever@my-DejaNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <d06rht0qfhprb7sbmajt18ijp6k2j8brll@4ax.com>
Source record: 64534

What's a good scanner to buy at a reasonable price? Where can I get the scanner and how much would it cost me? I want a scanner that can pick up phone conversations (if possible) and also get police scanner lines.

Thanks,

Ali

217. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 03:04:35 UTC
From: JP <brontebear@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <75hT6.80716$r7.10980007@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 64535

[No readable article body recovered]

218. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 03:07:33 UTC
From: jwap <mediaguy@home.com>
Message-ID: <V7hT6.80717$r7.10981465@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 64536

[No readable article body recovered]

219. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 03:09:30 UTC
From: jwap <mediaguy@home.com>
Message-ID: <K9hT6.80720$r7.10982228@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 64537

```
this is a test
```

220. Who has the new Bearcat 780XLT in stock ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 03:09:44 UTC
From: JH <big@fan.com>
Message-ID: <qk7rhtk5cikbv3lhvb5as9b6shqgubht2c@4ax.com>
Source record: 64538

Anyone know of a good dealer selling the Bearcat Trunktracker 780XLT in stock ?

Thanks!

221. FS/TRADE: UBC 9000 includes all in perfect shape, except toneboard 240.00 US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 03:13:18 UTC
From: jwap <mediaguy@home.com>
Message-ID: <idhT6.80722$r7.10983956@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 64539

I have for sale in perfect working order Uniden bearcat 9000xlt with all
accessories
except toneboard. I will pay for the shipping and insurance 240.00US

or i will consider trades like a highend portable scanner (no gaps)

thanks
mediaguy@home.com

222. Re: FS AOR AR-2002 Scanning Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 03:26:07 UTC
From: Joe <Joe1258@excite.com>
Message-ID: <jphT6.707$9m.54883@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64540

Watt dose it cum width?

Joe

"Chris" <ck@ckradio.net> wrote in message
news:3B1D93FF.1290FDDF@ckradio.net...
> I have in excellent condition an AR-2002 scanning receiver. 25-550 and
> 800-1300MHz uninterrupted.
> $225 Shipped.
>
>
>

223. Re: Who has the new Bearcat 780XLT in stock ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 03:56:07 UTC
From: Bullheaded
Message-ID: <rRhT6.5480$Q64.631456@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 64541

Good question as grove seems to have a rough time getting them and scanners unlimited seems to have them in stock all the time!

"JH" <big@fan.com> wrote in message news:qk7rhtk5cikbv3lhvb5as9b6shqgubht2c@4ax.com...
> Anyone know of a good dealer selling the Bearcat Trunktracker 780XLT
> in stock ?
>
> Thanks!
>

224. Re: radar detector choice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 04:20:01 UTC
From: rhiggen@clemson.edu
Message-ID: <d945fc25.0106052020.76fcf222@posting.google.com>
Source record: 64542

> Valentine One or Escort P8500 for portable units. K40 UN3000SWL or Escort
> SR1 for installed units. I have been the buyer for a chain of retail mobile
> electronics stores for 10 years and I have seen (and been given free units
> by the manufacturer's reps) every detector on the market - these are the
> best. Valentine One is only available direct but it's a nice unit. The
> Escort P8500 is getting great reviews right now. Bel falses in the city too
> much for my use and their customer service is terrible. Whistler, Uniden,
> etc...mostly just buy other manufacturer's low line stuff and repackage it.
>
>

well i trust your judgement since it seems you have good experience.
and i've read great things about all of the units you mentioned, as
well. but there is no way that i can afford any of those since they
are much too expensive for me. that's why i'm looking for a cheaper
model (but still a good one). seems it's always so tough to find good
products cheap doesn't it? ahh well.

225. BC-780's In Stock

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 04:26:42 UTC
From: watnny@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010606002642.10640.00000144@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 64543

Ordered mine through Advantage Communications in Watertown, Mass last week --
$369.68 delievered to my door in 4 business days.

Call 1-800-294-7234

Mike

226. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 05:11:44 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9fke2g$o1s$6@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 64545

Urei <urei@aol.com> wrote:
:>
:>Neither do we. It takes about 15 minutes to buy a gun - fill out the
:>paperwork, gun dealer swipes your DL to check your background for felon
:>status, pay for the gun, out the door.

: Where are you at??? I'm in NY and it takes me a week or more to obtain an
: amendment to my permit to add or delete a weapon. The waiting period for the
: permit in 1976 was over 9 months. It's longer now.

That is because you live in one of the worst places in the US to purchase
and own a handgun. The other is California.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

227. Military aero coverage scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 05:30:24 UTC
From: Paul Crissy <pcrissy@usenm.net>
Message-ID: <Xns90B8F1277E43pcrissyusenmnet@204.127.36.1>
Source record: 64544

I am looking for a scanner that will allow me to pick up military aero transmissions. Actually I would like something that covers everything from 30 to 950 mhz or so. Any recommendations?

228. Re: Radioshack *best scanner poll*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 06:16:11 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26137-3B1DCAAB-14@storefull-155.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64546

A cell phone for a penny? How can you pass that up? Lemme see, either you like their abuse or you don't explore other shopping alternatives:) But seriously folks, I was in RS the other day and a HOT looking,drop dead gorgeous female was buying batteries(get your minds out of the gutter, it probably was for her, ahem, 'radio') anyway during her purchase, the rat shack guy had the gall to ask her for her name and address, like they always do, as myself and one other guy was next in line within earshot of all this. Needless to say she wisely said she would rather not, as the question obviously put her ill at ease. Anyway the pro-92 is on sale all this month. 'Sounds like the handheld to get.

229. Re: IC-R3: Must Have or Shouldn't Have?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 10:19:44 UTC
From: CCVES <ccves@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <pfaT6.90$uj3.1858@quark.idirect.com>
Source record: 64510
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

probaly the image freq of the cell band. I too had a R3 and brought it back, was not at all impressed for what it cost. I could not find a way to scan for video you had to scan in fm until you found something you thought might be video and then switch.

kilmister kilmister@hotmail.com

"dkelly" <dwkelly@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:9fc188$9ep$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> Having has an R10 fior awhile, the R3 is a pain since there is no direct
> freq entry. One other thing that ICOM leaves out is that it has restricted
> 800 beyone the cellular band. Specifically, the unblocked version will not
> receive 866-869, at a minimum. This is not US cellular spectrum and is US
> Public safety radio spectrum. Someone needs to tell ICOM to fix their
> mistake.
>
>
> DougSlug <twinpoppa@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:14DR6.8648$Bn1.1107787@news02.optonline.net...
> > Looking for opinions on the Icom IC-R3...is it a must-have or should this
> > one be passed by (or at least wait until the price drops considerably)?
> >
> > I did read the StrongSignals review; links to other reviews would be
> welcome
> > as well.
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Doug
> >
> >
>
>

230. Re: Is there a freq for hurricane spotters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 10:40:53 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <vlnT6.242$b9.1722@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 64447

Here is an Amateur Radio website for hurricanes: http://www.hwn.org/
This is the Hurricane Watch Net website. They list operating frequencies of 14.325 MHz or 3.950 MHz for net operations (they aren't active right now), or, when the net is activated, you can listen to it using RealAudio. They say they activate for Atlantic and Eastern Pacific hurricanes, not sure what this means for Gulf of Mexico hurricanes.

Mark

"Bullheaded" <@.> wrote in message
news:XCcT6.3283$Q64.366343@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> I'm not sure but I know somewhere in the 14Mhz band (USB) ham radio's have a hurricane network going on
> when hurricanes and heavy weather is hitting land.
>
> "Outrunsho21" <outrunsho21@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010605163734.19321.00002846@ng-fj1.news.cs.com...
> > Ok maybe it is not huricane spoters. But there is a tropical storm comeing into
> > my state texas. I was wandering is there a freq to listen to for planes that
> > fly into the huricane and stuff like that. Thanks
> >
> > Jason dont forget to check out some cool audio clips from my site. Police
> > chases. 15yr old shoots boyfriend, motorcycle chase at over 120 mph. check it
> > out at: http://www.geocities.com/dfwscanning/DfwAudio.html
>
>

231. Re: Military aero coverage scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 11:37:33 UTC
From: Insomnia <spikelee7@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <gf5shts1p044mm2lnljq4hrofr6lqp7dso@4ax.com>
Source record: 64450

Hand-held or Base?

On Wed, 06 Jun 2001 05:30:24 GMT, "Paul Crissy" <pcrissy@usenm.net>
wrote:

>I am looking for a scanner that will allow me to pick up military aero
>transmissions. Actually I would like something that covers everything from
>30 to 950 mhz or so. Any recommendations?
>

232. OT: Radio Control Cars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 11:57:41 UTC
From: John Fisher <driftwood@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <9fl5q9$951$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 64448
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.models.rc.helicopter,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.models.engineering

A little off topic but does anyone know if a Radio Control Transmitter on 27MHz FM modulation could be used with a 27MHz AM receiver . I cant find a suitable AM transmitter and already have an FM one. Will this work and if so will there be any implications to its operation

Thanks in advance

John Fisher

233. Re: Radioshack *best scanner poll*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 12:18:26 UTC
From: Chuck Cheese <chuck@cheese.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15869af6ec49d8a79896c2@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64449

In article <26137-3B1DCAAB-14@storefull-155.iap.bryant.webtv.net>, t1000
@webtv.net says...
> A cell phone for a penny? How can you pass that up?<

Very easily. I already have a cell phone. Or should I buy
ten more in case one breaks ?

>HOT looking,drop dead gorgeous female was buying batteries<

OH, by the way would you like a Cell phone with those batteries....

234. forsale/trade: A pair of radioshack FRS radios these are 99.00 each new - i will sell for 99.00US a pair shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 12:39:40 UTC
From: jwap <mediaguy@home.com>
Message-ID: <gwpT6.81294$r7.11055487@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 64451

forsale or trade:

A pair of top of the line radioshack
FRS radios. These sell for 99.00US each in radioshack (catalog page 79)

item # 21-1811 I will sell them for 99.00US a pair includes shipping and
insurance.

these are new condition.

thanks
mediaguy@home.com

235. Re: Radio Control Cars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 12:53:03 UTC
From: G8ASO�� <nospam@computer.co.uk>
Message-ID: <3b1e26b6@news-uk.onetel.net.uk>
Source record: 64452
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.models.rc.helicopter,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

No, it won't work.

I like your FM modulation, it's a bit like the TSB Bank, i.e. Frequency Modulation Modulation and the Trustee Savings Bank Bank.

Also AC current is another good one.

"John Fisher" <driftwood@lineone.net> wrote in message news:9fl5q9$951$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
> A little off topic but does anyone know if a Radio Control Transmitter on
> 27MHz FM modulation could be used with a 27MHz AM receiver . I cant find a
> suitable AM transmitter and already have an FM one. Will this work and if so
> will there be any implications to its operation
>
>
> Thanks in advance
>
> John Fisher
>
>

236. Re: ?What's that antenna for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 14:18:11 UTC
From: Steve H <sjhouse.foilspam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3b1e3b20$0$1660$45beb828@newscene.com>
Source record: 64453

All they can do is refuse to answer ... go ahead and ask 'em

"Frizz" <kg4ivt@hotmail.spamsucks.leaveme.alone.com> wrote in message
news:9fe12fklo1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> Yes, it has short radials. I thought about asking the building manager or
> maintenance manager but I might get some crap from them for asking some
> kinds of questions. My school is way to paranoid about anything for
obvious
> reasons. The antenna radials are short, maybe about a foot and I gave my
> description to someone on the ham bands and they told me it could be a VHF
> Phelps-Dodge Station Master Antenna.
>
>
> "Jim" <jspaz@i-55.com> wrote in message news:3B1A4A1D.B4B1780D@i-55.com...
> > Why don't you just go ask the maintenance department at the
> > school. I go and ask questions like that all the time. Just say
> > that you noticed the cabinets there. Your wondering what they
> > are used for.
> >
> > If you look at the antenna, are there any metal radials at the
> > base? If so, the length of them will give an indication what
> > frequency it is for. If they are about 6 inches, it is UHF. If
> > they are about a foot long, it is VHF. If they are none, then
> > can't help you. Not all antennas use the external radials.
> >
> > Hope this might help just a little. The radio there might be for
> > the school use, controlling a pumping system, used by the
> > maintenance people, or who knows what.
> >
> > Jim
> >
> >
> > Frizz wrote:
> > >
> > > There is some sort of radio system at my school. The antenna for it,
> > > supposedly a station master, is mounted on top of a light pole facing
> the
> > > football field. At the base of the pole are two locked cabinets with
> > > General Electric emblems on them. I suspected it was some sort of
> repeater
> > > but I stood by it with a freq. counter and never got a single hit. I
> might
> > > try a field strength meter next.
> > >

> > > However, since it is on gov't property, is there any way I can
find
> out
> > > what it is for? I thought about calling the building manager and
saying
> I
> > > am a ham and that I would like to know what the device there because
of
> > > interference, or something.
> > > Would there be any record of what these device is anywhere? I
would
> > > like to avoid contacting anyone about it at the school since they
might
> give
> > > me crap about it. Does anyone have any suggestions as to what I can
do?
> I
> > > am super curious of it since it just sits there without any markings
on
> it.
> > > I thought it might have something to do with the buses but the antenna
> looks
> > > like something for VHF and the buses are on 800. Any suggestions are
> > > welcomed and BTW, I am in Virginia (fairfax county). Thanks!!
>
>

237. For auction on Ebay: New Yaesu VR-120

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 14:34:52 UTC
From: hijax <hijax@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9flf23$2m26$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64454
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If anyone is interested, I have a new Yaesu VR-120 for auction on Ebay:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1244199645

Listed today.

238. Re: D.E.A. feeq.dallas,tx?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 14:45:31 UTC
From: "t�wj,���" <t�>, <>, �0u����rr�.jcom
Message-ID: <fmrT6.64819$qs3.29637562@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 64455

"john sanders" <jdsand@cowtown.net> wrote in message
news:thqhl3rn1r67f2@corp.supernews.com...
> anyone know dea freq in dallas....they been bustin several in my
> neighborhood...
>
> tnx john

search the area of 165-170MHz and 400-420MHz.

239. Re: FS AOR AR-2002 Scanning Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 15:07:50 UTC
From: Chris <ck@ckradio.net>
Message-ID: <3B1E4746.9FDCECE2@ckradio.net>
Source record: 64456

It comes with the BNC Antenna, the Manual and the Power Cube. It's in
excellent condition

Joe wrote:

> Watt dose it cum width?
>
> Joe
>
> "Chris" <ck@ckradio.net> wrote in message
> news:3B1D93FF.1290FDDF@ckradio.net...
> > I have in excellent condition an AR-2002 scanning receiver. 25-550 and
> > 800-1300MHz uninterrupted.
> > $225 Shipped.
> >
> >
> >

240. Scanning Spread SpectrumPhone???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 15:25:07 UTC
From: "Jack S." <jacksmith269@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8VrT6.27554$Uo3.976792@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 64457

I just bought a Uniden 2.4Gig Spread Spectrum phone.Is there a down converter or program to scan it? I have many scanners but none go up to 2.4 Gig.I'm interested in seeing how difficult it is to scan and see just how secure it is to use.

241. Re: radar detector choice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 15:29:34 UTC
From: "Jack S." <jacksmith269@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <jZrT6.27558$Uo3.978055@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 64458

I drive Tractor Trailer and radar detector's are illegal to use in all fifty states for me. Which one's are least detectable and great at both radar and laser?
"Odin" <REMOVEodinm@flash.netREMOVE> wrote in message news:0fdT6.1898$Lp6.316288046@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com...
> Valentine One or Escort P8500 for portable units. K40 UN3000SWL or Escort
> SR1 for installed units. I have been the buyer for a chain of retail mobile
> electronics stores for 10 years and I have seen (and been given free units
> by the manufacturer's reps) every detector on the market - these are the
> best. Valentine One is only available direct but it's a nice unit. The
> Escort P8500 is getting great reviews right now. Bel falses in the city too
> much for my use and their customer service is terrible. Whistler, Uniden,
> etc...mostly just buy other manufacturer's low line stuff and repackage it.
>
>
> "Ryan Higgens" <rhiggen@clemson.edu> wrote in message
> news:d945fc25.0106051252.8235ff3@posting.google.com...
> > all right, yeah i know most of you love the valentine one and
> > everything. but i really don't have the money to buy that or one of
> > the high priced detectors. i still have to pay off my car so that's
> > where most of my money is going.
> > i hate going the speed limit but i usually don't go over 20 mph over
> > the speed limit so i'm not a huge speeder. but i'd like to get a radar
> > detector in order to play it safe.
> >
> > i live in massachusetts but i do most of my driving in south carolina,
> > georgia, and tennessee (i go to school in south carolina. that info is
> > just in case anyone is familiar with popular radar/laser usage in
> > those states).
> >
> > anyway, from what i've read i'd love to get the bel 980, but i really
> > can't afford that. bel has gotten quite a few good reviews though so
> > i'm looking at some of their cheaper models. most notedly the 890,
> > 880, and 870. i've heard good things about all of them.
> >
> > i don't have the money yet for any of these detectors so i'm not sure
> > exactly how much i will have to spend when i finally go for it. the
> > 890 sounds pretty good though it's a bit steeper in higher in price
> > than the other two. i've almost decided on the 880, but that's why i'm
> > writing this topic in this forum.
> >

> > i want to know if -
> > 1 - the 880 is good enough. is it really worth it to spend the extra
> > money to get the 890 or is the 880 enough for my needs?
> > 2 - the 870 is good enough to the point where i could actually buy
> > that one instead of the 880? i mean, for that price, it's
> > very tempting.
> > 3 - i should just look at another brand completely?
> >
> > well, those are my questions. i hope you can help me out. basically
> > i'm looking for the best radar detector without having to go spend a
> > whole lot of money that i just don't have. thanks in advance for your
> > help.
>
>

242. Re: New to trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 15:39:27 UTC
From: n_00 <n_00@ninn.net>
Message-ID: <thsjl4l390hoad@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64459

I just recently went witht he Radio Shack pro 2025 because of its inexpensive pricing, and found it to be very simple to learn and use trunking. I already have the 2026 and could never figure it out, the manual is much easier on it. I was trunk tracking that ssame day.

Unfortunaltey you cannot do trunk and regular service on it at the same time

"Richard L." <anti@spam.com.invalid!> wrote in message news:vj5sht4olem90bbstkuijuvbda2v074jqa@4ax.com...
> I'm looking at moving up to a trunk scanner, but not sure what I should get.
>
> One question I have is - can I scan both trunk services and regular channels
> at the same time, or do I have to choose? I got the impression that it was
> one or the other from one of the Uniden manuals, but that seemed stoooopid. I
> probably didn't read it right?
>
> I don't need a super fancy unit. I'm looking for something that will monitor
> a couple of departments that are trunked (say 5 or so) and a bunch of regular
> stations.
>
> Thanks.

243. Re: New to trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 16:18:40 UTC
From: "B. Hall" <bhall7@email.com>
Message-ID: <9fll8i$ks8$1@acs2.byu.edu>
Source record: 64460

Richard,

I was trying to monitor trunked systems on a PRO-51 for the last few years. I just recently upgraded to the Uniden BC245XLT with Trunk Tracker II, which you can get for around $200. It is a great scanner that can monitor trunked systems as well as conventional frequencies at the same time. You can purchase it from Grove (www.grove-ent.com) or other scanner dealers.

Read Rich Wells' review on the BC245XLT and decide if it's for you (http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/rev245.htm). It works great for me and I love it. The unit comes with a computer cord for easy programming and radio control.

Good luck.

-Brian

"Richard L." <anti@spam.com.invalid!> wrote in message news:vj5sht4olem90bbstkuijuvbda2v074jqa@4ax.com...
> I'm looking at moving up to a trunk scanner, but not sure what I should get.
>
> One question I have is - can I scan both trunk services and regular channels
> at the same time, or do I have to choose? I got the impression that it was
> one or the other from one of the Uniden manuals, but that seemed stoooopid. I
> probably didn't read it right?
>
> I don't need a super fancy unit. I'm looking for something that will monitor
> a couple of departments that are trunked (say 5 or so) and a bunch of regular
> stations.
>
> Thanks.

244. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 16:22:46 UTC
From: B&#65533;ian <bhall7@email.com>
Message-ID: <9fllg9$o7h$1@acs2.byu.edu>
Source record: 64465

[No readable article body recovered]

245. Re: Radio Control Cars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 16:24:01 UTC
From: "I.N.Cognito" <anon@nowhere>
Message-ID: <thsmq527snfu4a@corp.supernews.co.uk>
Source record: 64462
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.models.rc.helicopter,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.models.engineering

As you don't know enough, to the extent that you
placed the query, the answer is, "No!"

If you had known a little bit more,
(1) You wouldn't have asked the question
(2) You'd know enough to undertake the necessary mods!

John Fisher <driftwood@lineone.net> wrote in message
news:9fl5q9$951$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
> A little off topic but does anyone know if a Radio Control Transmitter on
> 27MHz FM modulation could be used with a 27MHz AM receiver . I cant find a
> suitable AM transmitter and already have an FM one. Will this work and if
so
> will there be any implications to its operation
>
>
> Thanks in advance
>
> John Fisher
>
>

246. Freq's for Mexico

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 16:28:43 UTC
From: albertorea@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22387-3B1E5A3B-11@storefull-267.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64466

Howdy group, would anyone have freq's for Mexico(well along the US border) I have been to Edinburg, Texas and heard spanish comms on 162.525! and on 462.975. Other than that they have Motorola Maxtrac in some shops, (yes i have seen them) and the cops use Motorola radios and so does everyone in mexico...LOL the motorola site list 403-480 and well it's a pain to keep skipping over annoying call. So would any one have definite freq's and associated users?
-Alberto

http://angelfire.com/tx4/tt/

247. Re: Scanning Spread SpectrumPhone???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 16:31:58 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010606123158.22730.00000759@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64461

>Subject: Scanning Spread SpectrumPhone???
>From: "Jack S." jacksmith269@hotmail.com
>Date: 6/6/01 11:25 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <8VrT6.27554$Uo3.976792@news6.giganews.com>
>
>I just bought a Uniden 2.4Gig Spread Spectrum phone.Is there a down
>converter or program to scan it? I have many scanners but none go up to 2.4
>Gig.I'm interested in seeing how difficult it is to scan and see just how
>secure it is to use.
>

More secure than conventional 49 and 900 Mhz Cordless but not 100% safe from
Monitoring.

Nothing is readily available to the Average Bear to Scan these save for high
end gear and such.

A good into to SS Technology can be had via:
http://sss-mag.com/ss.html

Have a look and follow links there.

Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://www.expage.com/pbscanner

248. Re: Military aero coverage scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 16:33:33 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010606123333.22730.00000760@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64463

>Subject: Military aero coverage scanner
>From: "Paul Crissy" pcrissy@usenm.net
>Date: 6/6/01 1:30 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Xns90B8F1277E43pcrissyusenmnet@204.127.36.1>
>
>I am looking for a scanner that will allow me to pick up military aero
>transmissions. Actually I would like something that covers everything from
>30 to 950 mhz or so. Any recommendations?
Yeasu, Alinco and Yepi have some out that will do these Ranges.

Have a look at:
http://www.strongsignals.net
for reviews and information about these units.

Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://www.expage.com/pbscanner

249. Re: A question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 16:36:25 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010606123625.22730.00000762@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64464

>Subject: A question
>From: Ali persia4ever@my-DejaNOSPAM.com
>Date: 6/5/01 10:40 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <d06rht0qfhprb7sbmajt18ijp6k2j8brll@4ax.com>
>
>What's a good scanner to buy at a reasonable price? Where can I get
>the scanner and how much would it cost me? I want a scanner that can
>pick up phone conversations (if possible) and also get police scanner
>lines.
>
>
>Thanks,
>
>Ali
>
>

What price range?

What Services do you intend to Monitor?

Handheld, Desktop or Mobile?

If you reside in the USA, the Phone thing is out of the picture, under the ECPA
and State laws it is illegal to Monitor:
Encrypted, Cellular and Cordless Signals.

For an Intro to Scanners/Scanning visit
http://www.strongsignals.net/

Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://www.expage.com/pbscanner

250. Re: D.E.A. feeq.dallas,tx?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 16:45:45 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010606124545.22730.00000766@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64467

>Subject: D.E.A. feeq.dallas,tx?
>From: "john sanders" jdsand@cowtown.net
>Date: 6/5/01 4:54 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <thqhl3rn1r67f2@corp.supernews.com>
>
>anyone know dea freq in dallas....they been bustin several in my
>neighborhood...
>
>tnx john
>

DEA and most Federal Agencies will be using Encryption on most if not all
Communications, but the following may help as sometimes due to a technical
glitch or whatever they can be heard:

http://packetderm.cotse.com/dlf/man/radio/

http://freespace.virgin.net/paul.hunt3/radio/DEA.HTM

http://www.l0pht.com/~oblivion/blkcrwl/comfreqs.html

Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://www.expage.com/pbscanner

251. Re: Florida Storm Page Up for 2001 (Corrected Link)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 16:49:11 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010606124911.22730.00000770@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64468

>Subject: Florida Storm Page Up for 2001
>From: headshope@aol.comm.htspm (PBScanner)
>Date: 6/5/01 2:11 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010605141156.22816.00000702@ng-ci1.aol.com>
>
>For those in South Florida the following Page may be of use for the 2001
>Hurricane Season.
>
>Included are Tips, Numbers, Frequencies and Links
>
Regrets on TYPO:

LINK : http://expage.com/pbscannerstorm

Sometimes ya just have a case of the Mondays, on Tuesday!
>
>Home: http://expage.com/pbscanner
>
>Have a look, and be safe this Hurricane Season; now is the time to get ready!
>
>
>Palm Beach Scanner FLA,USA.
>Main Site: http://expage.com/pbscanner
>Intro to Scanning Page:http://expage.com/pbscanner9
>
>(Please send Spam to TOSspam@aol.com)
>Abuse by Spam will result in an Evil Hex on Your Hard Drive!!!!!
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://www.expage.com/pbscanner

252. Re: israeli frequancies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 17:11:10 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010606131110.18652.00005530@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Source record: 64469

```
>
>
>Where can i find a list of frequancies in ISRAEL?
>
>

Does this question answer itself?
```

253. Re: Radioshack *best scanner poll*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 17:19:55 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010606131955.18652.00005532@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Source record: 64470

>One day myself and a Radio Shack sales peon will be going to the
>hospital together.... To get my foot out of his ass.

Ignore the sales people if they're that bad. When they ask me "Can I help you find something?" I answer, "No, thank you." and ignore them. It's much easier and less exasperating.....for me, not them.

254. Re: radar detector choice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 17:43:20 UTC
From: Anon <anon@somewhere.com>
Message-ID: <A%tT6.630$aR.10251@read1>
Source record: 64471

Ditto....

I agree with Bill... I had 3 Bells and bought a high end Uniden with the LED Indicator (ie: Ka, K,X, Laser printout on the screen) and have fell in love with it. However, one concern I have. You say it is to be used with Laser guns and that they love them in S. Carolina. By the time your detector chirps at a Laser gun, your had, bottom line. And they are not hitting the guy ahead of you or to the side of you, because of the very narrow beam of the gun, it only goes off when they have you and by then it's way to late.

Cheers!

Respond to jmorris_nospam@cdnmedsys.com
<Remove the nospam>

"Ryan Higgens" <rhiggen@clemson.edu> wrote in message
news:d945fc25.0106051252.8235ff3@posting.google.com...
> all right, yeah i know most of you love the valentine one and
> everything. but i really don't have the money to buy that or one of
> the high priced detectors. i still have to pay off my car so that's
> where most of my money is going.
> i hate going the speed limit but i usually don't go over 20 mph over
> the speed limit so i'm not a huge speeder. but i'd like to get a radar
> detector in order to play it safe.
>
> i live in massachusetts but i do most of my driving in south carolina,
> georgia, and tennessee (i go to school in south carolina. that info is
> just in case anyone is familiar with popular radar/laser usage in
> those states).
>
> anyway, from what i've read i'd love to get the bel 980, but i really
> can't afford that. bel has gotten quite a few good reviews though so
> i'm looking at some of their cheaper models. most notedly the 890,
> 880, and 870. i've heard good things about all of them.
>
> i don't have the money yet for any of these detectors so i'm not sure
> exactly how much i will have to spend when i finally go for it. the
> 890 sounds pretty good though it's a bit steeper in higher in price
> than the other two. i've almost decided on the 880, but that's why i'm
> writing this topic in this forum.
>
> i want to know if -
> 1 - the 880 is good enough. is it really worth it to spend the extra
> money to get the 890 or is the 880 enough for my needs?
> 2 - the 870 is good enough to the point where i could actually buy

> that one instead of the 880? i mean, for that price, it's
> very tempting.
> 3 - i should just look at another brand completely?
>
> well, those are my questions. i hope you can help me out. basically
> i'm looking for the best radar detector without having to go spend a
> whole lot of money that i just don't have. thanks in advance for your
> help.

255. Re: Is your cellphone conv's being brdcst online??? Check this out!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 17:46:54 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010606134654.18652.00005535@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Source record: 64472

>I really do think it is taking the piss for someone to take it upon
>themselves to recieve peoples private phone conversations [without the
>*slightest* authority of justification to do so from any government or
>telco, or any payment or consideration to the people whose privacy is being
>invaded] and then broadcast it across the internet to most of the world.

Why is that so awful? Just by using a cellphone you've already broadcast your
call.
Also, just because the company you buy phone service from claims your comms
are "private", don't believe 'em. You, of all people, should know better.

256. Re: Which do you Prefer Radio Shack or Uniden and why?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 17:52:31 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010606135231.18652.00005539@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Source record: 64473

>
>Same experience here. But I've also noticed that Uniden scanners
>typically have stereo sound while Realistics (I guess that's what GRE
>is) have only mono sound.

Just because the sound emanates from both earpieces doesn't make it stereo.
I highly doubt that your local PD uses stereo transmitters. It's most
assuredly mono coming from both speakers.

257. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 18:00:39 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010606140039.18652.00005546@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Source record: 64474

>
>
>Sure. Once the government has a record of all your firearms purchases,
>what's
>the worry? As soon as they feel the need, they'll know right where to go to
>confiscate them.

This is ridiculous. If the gov't is going to confiscate guns, do you think
they'll check their records first? OUR government?? Our efficient, economical,
intelligent government?
It'd be much easier to send a militia door-to-door.

258. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 18:03:26 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010606140326.18652.00005549@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Source record: 64475

>
>i've never heard of the guvment just rounding up a heap of guns from my
>neighbor for no reason :)

You're apparently too young to remember Nazi Germany.
Also, it isn't done "for no reason". Usually there's some paranoia and/or
megalomania at the bottom of anything like that.

259. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 18:07:23 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010606140723.18652.00005552@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Source record: 64476

>
>so what would be the nazi example of not allowing a 'free' citizen to listen
>to cell phones? just curious...

How about not allowing "free citizens" to listen to foreign SW broadcasts?
You'd better check your history.

260. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 18:16:04 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010606141604.18652.00005554@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Source record: 64477

>
>Registration is for only one purpose - so the government can find the
>firearms whenever they wish.

You're talking about only the registered guns. In NY, no registration is
required for rifles or shotguns.
I think one of the original reasons for handgun registration here, was to
prevent people with criminal backgrounds from obtaining handguns.

261. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 18:17:32 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010606141732.18652.00005555@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Source record: 64478

>Historically, the US government has not been very jumpy and gone out to
>interfere with people's possessions and lives, save a couple of isolated
>incidents like the MacArthur era

Interesting slipup. You do mean McCarthy, don't you?

262. Re: A question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 19:21:24 UTC
From: Ali <persia4ever@my-DejaNOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <4h0thtk0dbkfnootp0abl4p15dvrr47fn9@4ax.com>
Source record: 64479

On 06 Jun 2001 16:36:25 GMT, pbscanner@cs.com (PB Scanner) wrote:

>>Subject: A question
>>From: Ali persia4ever@my-DejaNOSPAM.com
>>Date: 6/5/01 10:40 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <d06rht0qfhprb7sbmajt18ijp6k2j8brll@4ax.com>
>>
>>What's a good scanner to buy at a reasonable price? Where can I get
>>the scanner and how much would it cost me? I want a scanner that can
>>pick up phone conversations (if possible) and also get police scanner
>>lines.
>>
>>
>>Thanks,
>>
>>Ali
>>
>>
>
>What price range?
>
>What Services do you intend to Monitor?
>
>Handheld, Desktop or Mobile?
>
>If you reside in the USA, the Phone thing is out of the picture, under the ECPA
>and State laws it is illegal to Monitor:
>Encrypted, Cellular and Cordless Signals.
>
>For an Intro to Scanners/Scanning visit
>http://www.strongsignals.net/
>

Hi PB Scanner,

As you can see, I'm pretty new at this. I want a scanner that can
pick up frequencies of police, fire, etc. Basically the same thing
most of you guys can get. For the price range, I can spend about
50-100 bucks, is that too low of a price range? I'd like a handheld
scanner.

Thanks guys.

Ali

>
>Palm Beach Scanner
>If its on the Air We Scan it!
>Main Page: http://www.expage.com/pbscanner
>

263. Re: OT: Radio Control Cars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 19:28:03 UTC
From: Beavis <Beavis@nachos.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <cvraeVADRoH7EwMm@nachos.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 64483
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.models.rc.helicopter,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.models.engineering

In article <9fl5q9$951$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>, John Fisher
<driftwood@lineone.net> writes
>A little off topic but does anyone know if a Radio Control Transmitter on
>27MHz FM modulation could be used with a 27MHz AM receiver .

In a word John. No. FM (Frequency Modulation) has little to do with AM
(Amplitude Modulation). To each it's own.

>I cant find a
>suitable AM transmitter and already have an FM one.

Then you'll have to find a suitable FM receiver.

> Will this work and if so
>will there be any implications to its operation

No implications coz the two items simply won't communicate properly,
although they may interfere with one another and any OTHER receiver on
the same "channel" in the area.

Beav

You can E-Mail me at the usual place (Beavis at nachos dot demon dot co dot uk)

Or you can visit my website (Which has undergone recent surgery) Same address as
before..

www.nachos.demon.co.uk

264. Re: FS RS PRO-2048 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 19:45:06 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010606154506.04835.00000739@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 64480

In article <3B1D9471.B5313BD6@ckradio.net>, Chris <ck@ckradio.net> writes:

>Excellent condition, 200ch., triple conversion 800MHz. $110 Shipped

This is the same model that was on clearance just a few months ago. Last price
I heard was $57, brand new in the box.

265. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 19:45:07 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010606154507.04835.00000741@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 64481

In article <9fke2g$o1s$6@bashir.peak.org>, Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
writes:

>That is because you live in one of the worst places in the US to purchase
>and own a handgun. The other is California.

And Washington, DC.

I'm sure it's just a coincidence that violent crime involving handguns is these
three places is among the highest in the country.

266. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 19:45:07 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010606154507.04835.00000740@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 64482

In article <20010606141604.18652.00005554@ng-mq1.aol.com>, urei@aol.com (Urei) writes:

> I think one of the original reasons for handgun registration here, was to
>prevent people with criminal backgrounds from obtaining handguns.

Yes, in an area that has one of the highest incidences of handgun violence.

Really works great, doesn't it?

267. Re: How bad is 100Hz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 20:19:30 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <0m3thtkgp70kg8df4vnvk89a9ugue7dh1o@4ax.com>
Source record: 64484

Bruce Tyler <top@bottom.au> wrote:

> On Mon, 04 Jun 2001 00:22:48 -0600, Eric F. Richards
> <efricha@dimensional.com> wrote:
>
> >(Noel) wrote:
> >
> >> I bought a new Icom R8500 recently. After using for a while I realised
> >> that it was reading 100Hz high on hf. In other words BBC 6.190 zero
> >> beats on 6.190.1
> >> After being on for 10 hours it's rock steady and 100Hz off. The same
> >> for all the major broadcasters (VOA, BBC, etc.). My AOR 7030 is on the
> >> spot every time.
> >> Is this acceptable in a new radio at this price or am I being too
> >> demanding?
> >> The specifications say that its stability is +and - 100Hz. Is there a
> >> difference between stability and accuracy? I understand stability to
> >> mean that it may drift by this amount on reaching "steady state" but
> >> that its accuracy should be much better than that.
> >>
> >> Noel
> >
> >Keep a close eye on it. I've bought 3 8500s... all have been within
> >10 Hz at 25 MHz... except for one, which failed with a PLL unable to
> >lock. I exchanged it under the vendors rules and got a new one, which
> >was within 10 Hz at 25 MHz. Yours (and mine, for that matter) may
> >be correctable, but if you look over the service manual for the thing
> >it is quite scary the number of ways you can break that rig trying to
> >fix it.
> >
> >Eric
>
> I bought my R-8500 about a year ago and, as with all my HF receivers, I
> tested it for frequency readout accuracy...
> My method is to put it on any "AM" frequency, in most cases I choose the
> 15.000 mHz time signal - Why??? because it is right in the middle of the HF
> band and it is an absolutely rock steady transmission. Anyway, I then
> listen to the time marker beeps/tones in the AM mode. I then switch it to
> Lower Sideband then Upper Sideband. The tones and beeps should NOT change
> in audible tone to your ear if the receivers PLL is correctly calibrated.

That is *exactly* what I do!

For the higher frequencies it helps to have an HP signal
generator handy... but I trust it less than WWV because it

hasn't been calibrated for a while (although the last time
it was done by NIST in Boulder!)

The 8500 tests very well this way, as does the old FRG-100...

You are right about the ambient conditions being an issue, but the
TXCO in the 8500 helps there a bit... if you are really intent on
getting it right, get a crystal oven option, and if you are truly
anal, hotwire a rubidium standard to it!

(Probably can be done, but I just moved and I cannot find my
shop manual and other ICOM docs. Which is driving me nuts, because
I promised to make a copy of one of the ICOM freebies for someone
halfway 'round the world and haven't been able to do so yet...)

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dimensional.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

268. Need MDT freqs. for Newport, RI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 20:20:21 UTC
From: grossmanms@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010606162021.26634.00003055@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 64485

Does anyone know what the Mobile Data terminal frequency is for the Newport, Rhode Island Police? Judging by the antenna, it appears to be UHF. Thanks in advance.

269. F/S REALISTIC PRO 43 SCANNER LIKE NEW IN BOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 20:49:07 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B1E976B.AFFD22AD@yahoo.com>
Source record: 64486
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Pro 43 scanner works and looks absolutely perfect. Comes with box and manual. Very clean. Price is $160 shipped with Paypal,money order or checks (clear) accepted. Please email me at bruceinomaha@yahoo.com . I have a perfect 65 feedback on Ebay. I work nights but will email back as sooon as I can.

270. HELP: Problems with using UK scanner in the USA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 21:29:33 UTC
From: hijax <hijax@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9fm7br$9l5i$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Aside from the cellular channels I will specifically avoid listening to due to the legal issues, what other problems might I have listening with a UK version of the Icom IC-R2 in the USA? I noticed in the Strong Signals review:

"Since my model was intended for use within Germany, the AM broadcast band is set to use 9 kHz spacing; which is semi-useless here in the US where we use 10 kHz channel spacing. I'm sure that other European units will exhibit the same phenomenon so be sure to consider this when purchasing. Keep in mind that, as mentioned above, while within the AM broadcast band, the step size can not be altered."

I will be purchasing the computer interface and BuTel ACR2 software to do most of my programming. And it appears I can assign a per/channel step designation with the program. So will the software help me to overcome these issues?

Anything else I should be aware of?

Thanks,

-hijax

271. Re: OT: Radio Control Cars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 22:18:27 UTC
From: x-guy <x-guy@hotmall.com>
Message-ID: <3B1EABD4.F76817BF@hotmall.com>
Source record: 64488
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.models.rc.helicopter,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.models.engineering

No!

John Fisher wrote:

> A little off topic but does anyone know if a Radio Control Transmitter on
> 27MHz FM modulation could be used with a 27MHz AM receiver . I cant find a
> suitable AM transmitter and already have an FM one. Will this work and if so
> will there be any implications to its operation
>
> Thanks in advance
>
> John Fisher

272. Atlantic Canada Scanning Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-06 23:17:32 UTC
From: Al Cyples <cyples@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3B1EBAAA.EB3DCE3C@ns.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 64489

Check out Bill's site - It's very comprehensive.
Al
http://members.accesswave.ca/~scan/

273. Re: Radioshack *best scanner poll*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 00:02:05 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9fmg9teso3@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 64490

In article <9fjabt$7mo$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>, rogsmail@btinternet.com says...
>
>Hi
>It would be interesting to here what everybody thinks of radioshack
>scanners,
>which one/model would YOU say was the best.
>RG
>
>
>
I have an old Pro2004. IT is a great scanner. Been through Hell and back again. Back when I traveld it saw many a mile. And never, ever gave a moments trouble. I did modify the EL dimmer switch so that bright is off, and dim is really dim. Done the 400 cahnnel mod, and yea, I restored cell coverage.
I have added a 10.7MHz and 455KHz IF out so I can use a SW as a Q5er. Great for listening to SSB/CW on VHF and UHF freqs.
It is a great receiver.

274. Re: HELP: Problems with using UK scanner in the USA?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 00:05:58 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9fmgh6eso4@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 64491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <9fm7br$9l5i$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>, hijax@prodigy.net says...
>
>Aside from the cellular channels I will specifically avoid listening to due
>to the legal issues, what other problems might I have listening with a UK
>version of the Icom IC-R2 in the USA? I noticed in the Strong Signals
>review:
>
>"Since my model was intended for use within Germany, the AM broadcast band
>is set to use 9 kHz spacing; which is semi-useless here in the US where we
>use 10 kHz channel spacing. I'm sure that other European units will exhibit
>the same phenomenon so be sure to consider this when purchasing. Keep in
>mind that, as mentioned above, while within the AM broadcast band, the step
>size can not be altered."
>
>I will be purchasing the computer interface and BuTel ACR2 software to do
>most of my programming. And it appears I can assign a per/channel step
>designation with the program. So will the software help me to overcome
>these issues?
>
>Anything else I should be aware of?
>
>Thanks,
>
>-hijax
>
>
I think Europe uses the 68 to ?? for some radio comms, over here that is VHF TV.
Get a copy of the frequency allocations and you will be set.
Anything is particular that you want to listen to?

275. Re: New to trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 01:04:22 UTC
From: mamaspajamas <yougottadelthisx@alexis.com>
Message-ID: <9fmjkqvbs1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64492

just be careful to learn it before you buy it, the pro 92 and pro 94 are both good, and both have a and b versions, if you get the older version you should pay a lot less.

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:orgtht87uqd4ekn5la4ntumevilmt4qvse@4ax.com...
> Richard L. <anti@spam.com.invalid!> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
> >I'm looking at moving up to a trunk scanner, but not sure what I should get.
> >
> >One question I have is - can I scan both trunk services and regular channels
> >at the same time, or do I have to choose?
>
> Depends on the radio.
>
> The first generation of trunking scanners only allowed scanning of one trunked
> bank, or one conventional bank, at a time.
>
> The newer radios will scan multiple banks, any combination of trunked and
> conventional, and some will even allow conventional freqs in the same bank
> as a trunked system.
>
> The Bearcat 245 or 780 will do what you need, as will the Radio Shack pro92 or 94.
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

276. Re: Pro-2027 unblocked scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 01:14:02 UTC
From: mamaspajamas <yougottadelthisx@alexis.com>
Message-ID: <9fmk67$4ig$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64493

pro 2027 can be modified for full coverage? Where did you learn to do that,
we all though it impossible!

"Dave-Debra" <dj1deb@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:elYS6.21956$zl5.6886003@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> 100 ch. Radio shack 2027 Full coverage scanner, works perfect. (5 day
> auction only!)
> click on link below...
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243755066
>
>

277. bc780xlt price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 01:17:45 UTC
From: banditowner3@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010606211745.09738.00002867@ng-cs1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64494

who has the best price return policy etc for the bc780xlt

278. Re: Atlantic Canada Scanning Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 01:49:39 UTC
From: Lisa & Aaron <lismac@nbnet.nb.ca>
Message-ID: <T4BT6.8572$aW4.1047470@news-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 64495

Excellent site! very accurate and well put together!

Al Cyples <cyples@ns.sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:3B1EBAAA.EB3DCE3C@ns.sympatico.ca...
> Check out Bill's site - It's very comprehensive.
> Al
> http://members.accesswave.ca/~scan/
>

279. Re: Assisiting police w/scanner?...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 02:11:58 UTC
From: mailme@rizzap.com
Message-ID: <3b1ee27d.83959621@news.uia.net>
Source record: 64496

Until the day scanners are completely outlawed and you're arrested for carrying one. That's why these call chasers are dangerous to those of us who use our common sense.

On 25 May 2001 17:30:42 GMT, urei@aol.com (Urei) wrote:

>Keep chasing calls, then. No skin off my nose.

280. Re: D.E.A. feeq.dallas,tx?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 03:09:55 UTC
From: AKA <rwadams45@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7gCT6.93695$fb7.11062311@bin1.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 64397

Dallas DEA uses the standard national channel plan. 100% encrypted since
the lete 80s. 418mhz. Ch 1, Ch 3, Ch 8.

AKA

"john sanders" <jdsand@cowtown.net> wrote in message
news:thqhl3rn1r67f2@corp.supernews.com...
> anyone know dea freq in dallas....they been bustin several in my
> neighborhood...
>
> tnx john
>
>

281. Re: FS/TRADE: UBC 9000 includes all BC9000 not a UBC9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 03:27:36 UTC
From: JP <brontebear@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <IwCT6.83664$r7.11221706@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 64398

this is actually a bc 9000xlt not a ubc9000

jwap <mediaguy@home.com> wrote in message
news:idhT6.80722$r7.10983956@news1.busy1.on.home.com...
> I have for sale in perfect working order Uniden bearcat 9000xlt with all
> accessories
> except toneboard. I will pay for the shipping and insurance 240.00US
>
> or i will consider trades like a highend portable scanner (no gaps)
>
>
> thanks
> mediaguy@home.com
>
>
>

282. Re: FS RS PRO-2048 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 03:40:03 UTC
From: Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <9fmt36$2l8r$1@raewyn.paradise.net.nz>
Source record: 64399

So?

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010606154506.04835.00000739@nso-fi.aol.com...
> In article <3B1D9471.B5313BD6@ckradio.net>, Chris <ck@ckradio.net> writes:
>
> >Excellent condition, 200ch., triple conversion 800MHz. $110 Shipped
>
> This is the same model that was on clearance just a few months ago. Last
price
> I heard was $57, brand new in the box.
>
>

283. Re: New to trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 05:47:43 UTC
From: Tom Riggs <thriggs@mail.netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <3B1F157E.F7DD0586@mail.netusa1.net>
Source record: 64400

Spend the money and get the Uniden Bearcat BC780XLT. You can conventional scan and trunk scan at the same time. Also, conventional scan and trunk search (for talk groups) at the same time. I have an EDACS, three Motorola systems, Air Band, and conventional channels all going at the same time, if I choose.
As it has been said, 'This radio is not for the VCR challenged'. Sort of like comparing a computer to a typewriter.......

"Richard L." wrote:

> I'm looking at moving up to a trunk scanner, but not sure what I should get.
>
> One question I have is - can I scan both trunk services and regular channels
> at the same time, or do I have to choose? I got the impression that it was
> one or the other from one of the Uniden manuals, but that seemed stoooopid. I
> probably didn't read it right?
>
> I don't need a super fancy unit. I'm looking for something that will monitor
> a couple of departments that are trunked (say 5 or so) and a bunch of regular
> stations.
>
> Thanks.

284. Homebrew Airband Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 07:42:26 UTC
From: mailme@rizzap.com
Message-ID: <3b1f2f1b.103576139@news.uia.net>
Source record: 64401

I recently saw an antenna that someone built to receive aircraft communications. It was made of copper tubing and was mounted on top of a peice of PVC. The antenna itself wasn't really very big but the PVC made it stand 10 or 12 feet tall off the ground.

Does anyone know how I might build one of these? I'm not a whiz with this type of thing but it didn't look too dificult to build.

Thanks!!

285. Re: OT: Radio Control Cars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 08:45:27 UTC
From: Guy Morgan <guy@first-light-services.co.uk>
Message-ID: <rr8D3UAn8zH7Ewau@btinternet.com>
Source record: 64402
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.models.rc.helicopter,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.models.engineering

In article <3B1EABD4.F76817BF@hotmall.com>, x-guy <x-guy@hotmall.com>
writes
>No!
>
>John Fisher wrote:
>
>> A little off topic but does anyone know if a Radio Control Transmitter on
>> 27MHz FM modulation could be used with a 27MHz AM receiver . I cant find a
>> suitable AM transmitter and already have an FM one. Will this work

No 'fraid not

>and if so
>> will there be any implications to its operation

Yup - it won't work
>>
To be a little more elucidatory - AM stands for amplitude modulation.
The frequency of the radio carrier signal remains constant but the
amplitude (size) changes. FM stands for frequency modulation. The
amplitude of the carrier remains constant but the frequency varies.

The latter system is more reliable and noise resistant but AM was easier
to implement and pre-dates FM. The circuits needed to generate and
receive the two systems are very different and incompatible.

Guy
--
Guy Morgan

First Light Services
Video Projects Lighting Design Technical Co-ordination Consultancy
guy@first-light-services.co.uk http://www.first-light-services.co.uk

286. Re: Pro-2027 unblocked scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 11:16:33 UTC
From: bates <tobey@youum.net>
Message-ID: <ajouht850jgcfgiprkknjisjkc7l9njpbq@4ax.com>
Source record: 64403

```
It sure can, its in many MOD sites ..
On Wed, 6 Jun 2001 21:14:02 -0400, "mamaspajamas"
<yougottadelthisx@alexis.com> wrote:

>pro 2027 can be modified for full coverage? Where did you learn to do that,
>we all though it impossible!
>
>
>
>
>"Dave-Debra" <dj1deb@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:elYS6.21956$zl5.6886003@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
>> 100 ch. Radio shack 2027 Full coverage scanner, works perfect. (5 day
>> auction only!)
>> click on link below...
>>
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243755066
>>
>>
>
```

287. Re: Need MDT freqs. for Newport, RI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 12:08:23 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20010607080823.17302.00002878@ng-cv1.aol.com>
Source record: 64404

>Does anyone know what the Mobile Data terminal frequency is for the Newport,
>Rhode Island Police? Judging by the antenna, it appears to be UHF. Thanks in
>advance.

Just curious - do you have a way to decode them?

288. Re: Martin County FL trunked radio system ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 13:27:03 UTC
From: jj <johnjones@diddlysquat.com>
Message-ID: <8uvuhtcals9g6s75ln0ef9bhg41v4td1ha@4ax.com>
Source record: 64405

I hear they will be going to the motorola 800 type II system that st.
lucie county just went to.

On 05 Jun 2001 21:04:56 GMT, jim44442@aol.com (JIM44442) wrote:

>Anyone hear anything on Martin County looking for a new 800 system ?
>(currently using EFJ LTR-net and the county had bid proposals out for system
>replacement).

289. Re: Who has the new Bearcat 780XLT in stock ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 13:49:53 UTC
From: Greg <king.frost@home.com>
Message-ID: <ii1vhtkhg5mr9dh4np4enh4qr5q3f88mq1@4ax.com>
Source record: 64406

On Wed, 06 Jun 2001 03:56:07 GMT, "Bullheaded" <@.> wrote:

>Good question as grove seems to have a rough time getting them and scanners unlimited
seems to have them in stock all the time!
>
>"JH" <big@fan.com> wrote in message news:qk7rhtk5cikbv3lhvb5as9b6shqgubht2c@4ax.com...
>> Anyone know of a good dealer selling the Bearcat Trunktracker 780XLT
>> in stock ?
>>
>> Thanks!
>>
>

I got my through National Electronics, they shipped it overnight.

(Sorry don't have the phone number or website
www.national-electronics.com i think) Someone will post the info I'm
sure

290. Re: bc780xlt price

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 14:16:36 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B1F8CC4.3366@yahoo.com>
Source record: 64407

Banditowner3 wrote:
>
> who has the best price return policy etc for the bc780xlt

http://www.usascan.com/

$324.95 but with $20.00 shipping (ouch!)

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½
----

291. Re: OT: Radio Control Cars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 16:20:41 UTC
From: Chris Kirby <g4fzn@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <d6avhtkl6ku2eg896emrfbvjmh5g05gos6@4ax.com>
Source record: 64408
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.models.rc.helicopter,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.models.engineering

"John Fisher" <driftwood@lineone.net> wrote:

>A little off topic but does anyone know if a Radio Control Transmitter on
>27MHz FM modulation could be used with a 27MHz AM receiver . I cant find a
>suitable AM transmitter and already have an FM one. Will this work and if so
>will there be any implications to its operation
>
>

You're all wrong!

Yes; it will probably work, although I doubt it will be as effective
as an FM receiver would be.

By slightly offsetting the receiver, you may well find that the AM
receiver can resolve the FM transmitter's modulation by means of slope
detection. It rather depends on the receiver having an IF filter which
corresponds to the modulation index of the transmitter.

Slope detection is a perfectly reasonable way of demodulating FM
providing the modulation index of the tx is 1 or less.

73, Chris

292. Re: A question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 17:11:19 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010607131119.02882.00000498@ng-cf1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64409

>Subject: Re: A question
>From: Ali

>As you can see, I'm pretty new at this. I want a scanner that can
>pick up frequencies of police, fire, etc. Basically the same thing
>most of you guys can get. For the price range, I can spend about
>50-100 bucks, is that too low of a price range? I'd like a handheld
>scanner.
>
>
>Thanks guys.
>
>Ali

Thats a low budget but you can check Radio Shack for Closeouts and also eBay,
also rec.radio.swap

Average price is around $100+
for good coverage, modern scanners.
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://www.expage.com/pbscanner

293. Re: test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 20:42:32 UTC
From: B&#65533;ian <bhall7@email.com>
Message-ID: <9foopbthk1@acs2.byu.edu>
Source record: 64410

"B&#65533;ian" <bhall7@email.com> wrote in message
news:9fllg9$o7h$1@acs2.byu.edu...
>
>
>

294. Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 21:59:09 UTC
From: TCORWDOUBLET@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15507-3B1FF92D-17@storefull-235.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64412

Has anyone compared the RS 2067 against / the BC-780 ?

295. Re: Radio Shack Model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 22:02:29 UTC
From: Power House <"Power House"@My>
Message-ID: <thvudrr7nhkpf2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64411
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Someone paranoid? LOL

It is illegal to monitor phone calls (IE, wire-tapping in any form). I'm not sure if this applies to a persons own family, but I would assume so... In any case, it would still be illegal to do as you would also be listing to the party on the other end, as well, and that is illegal without the other persons consent to do so... Especially if taping is involved...

If you really want to monitor the calls anyway, go out and buy one of those cheapy "25-Channel" cordless phones for her to use while she talks... These can be easily monitored by ANY scanner out there on the market...

Use this info at your own digression as what you are requesting is illegal... But then again, it's only illegal if you get caught... Be smart, be safe.... Don't do it, or hide real well, while doing it... lol

--
Power House - 823
Northern Michigan

http://www.geocities.com/femaster1/cbpage/index.htm
http://www.geocities.com/femaster1/scannerpage/index.htm

<DarMeat-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:991950122.3b1ff52a50575@public.webmail.cotse.com...
> Hello Group
>
> Is it legal to moniter my minor daughters phone calls?
>
> If it's legal in the USA, will someone tell me the Radio Shack Model # for the device to install on my line so I can recieve signals on my scanner or hook up to a recorder.
>
> If this is illegal, then please disregard.
>
> Thanks
>

296. Re: OT: Radio Control Cars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 22:15:25 UTC
From: Beavis <Beavis@nachos.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <wYkhjuA9z$H7Ew6Y@nachos.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 64414
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.models.rc.helicopter,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.models.engineering

In article <d6avhtkl6ku2eg896emrfbvjmh5g05gos6@4ax.com>, Chris Kirby
<g4fzn@yahoo.com> writes
>"John Fisher" <driftwood@lineone.net> wrote:
>
>>A little off topic but does anyone know if a Radio Control Transmitter on
>>27MHz FM modulation could be used with a 27MHz AM receiver . I cant find a
>>suitable AM transmitter and already have an FM one. Will this work and if so
>>will there be any implications to its operation
>>
>>
>
>You're all wrong!

Is that right? Or is it only "probably" right?
>
>Yes; it will probably work, although I doubt it will be as effective
^^^^^^^^ ^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>as an FM receiver would be.
>
>By slightly offsetting the receiver, you may well find that the AM
^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>receiver can resolve the FM transmitter's modulation by means of slope
>detection. It rather depends on the receiver having an IF filter which
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
>corresponds to the modulation index of the transmitter.
>
>Slope detection is a perfectly reasonable way of demodulating FM
>providing the modulation index of the tx is 1 or less.
>
>73, Chris

What I REALLY like about your post Chris, is the use of words like
"probably" , "doubt", "may" "rather depends" etc in order to get your
point across.

Now can you state categorically that an FM transmitter WILL function
properly and effectively with NO problems when used in conjunction with
an AM receiver?

Beav (already knowing the answer)

You can E-Mail me at the usual place (Beavis at nachos dot demon dot co dot uk)

Or you can visit my website (Which has undergone recent surgery) Same address as before..

www.nachos.demon.co.uk

297. Re: Radio Shack Model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 22:23:14 UTC
From: Codger <KilldaSpammers@home.com>
Message-ID: <3quvhtso7q0efnqsimjfhfvqdu0u46sh2t@4ax.com>
Source record: 64413

On Thu, 07 Jun 2001 17:42:02 -0400, DarMeat-no-spam@cotse.com wrote:

>Hello Group
>
>Is it legal to moniter my minor daughters phone calls?
>
>If it's legal in the USA, will someone tell me the Radio Shack Model # for the device to install on my line so I can recieve signals on my scanner or hook up to a recorder.
>
>If this is illegal, then please disregard.
>
>Thanks
Or just buy the voice activated device that records any call when it
detects a voice on the line. Plugs into ANY phone line and only costs
a couple bucks at Radio Shack. Had a divorce once cuz of that lil
Devil. Fun Fun Fun! Sniff.....

The sending of any unsolicited email advertising messages to this domain will result in the imposition of civil liability against you in
accordance with Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code Section 17538.45.
Mike & Trish - Oceanside, California

Because we all have the ability to really do something if we choose too, please visit this site & help! It doesn't cost anything, and who knows, you may just change the world. Please just visit:
http://members.ud.com/services/teams/team.htm?id=16E0F69B-E2DF-4117-A18A-E0F1B9D59C7C

To reply, just delete "real" from address

---

298. 245xlt Users - Help PLEASE!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 22:55:31 UTC
From: - <psleprob@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3B200651.D46B3CE@hotmail.com>
Source record: 64415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

We need your help to increase the usefulness of the "245xlt Resource Page" website! PLEASE send us your 245xlt scanner's data for your area so that we can make it available (for free) to anyone who is going to visit your area. THIS IS AN ALL-VOLUNTEER AND NON-PROFIT EFFORT. We are building a database of downloadable/uploadable scanning files for locations around the world.

THE DATABASE IS OPEN FOR JUST ABOUT EVERY LOCATION (INCLUDING INTERNATIONAL ONES!!) THAT SOMEONE HAS TAKEN TIME TO PROGRAM INTO THEIR SCANNER - ESPECIALLY VACATION DESTINATIONS!!

Links at this site connect you with FREE software which has been graciously made available by some talented software programmers. With this free software, you can totally (and accurately) reprogram your scanner in about a minute (yes, about a minute) when you plan to visit another city or special event (like an auto race). Equally as important, you can reprogram your scanner back to its current/"home" set of frequencies just quickly when you return home!!!

It will only take a small amount of your time to prepare your files to send to us. Please take the time to "give back" to your hobby.

HERE ARE SOME OF OUR HIGH PRIORITY NEEDS:

New York City
Houston
Boston
Los Angeles
Chicago (hey CARMA members -we know you are out there!)
New Orleans
Miami
Kansas City
St. Louis
Detroit
Toronto
Montreal
Vancouver

INSTRUCTIONS FOR SENDING ME YOUR DATA AND DOCUMENTATION FILES ARE FOUND AT THE BOTTOM OF ALMOST EVERY PAGE ON THE WEBSITE!

Thanks for your help and I hope you find the site useful.

Doug

http://home.earthlink.net/~dougfisher/scanner.html

299. Re: Radio Shack Model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-07 23:23:59 UTC
From: sjw <sjw3@stic.net>
Message-ID: <3B200D0F.891BB29F@stic.net>
Source record: 64416

My brother use to listen to his son's conversations. As a result, my brother ended up in a Psychiatrist's office. My brother was told to quit monitoring the calls because it was literally driving him crazy.

300. Re: Ramsey Downconverter - 900converter.pdf (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 01:16:09 UTC
From: wookie@neverland.com
Message-ID: <4590itkub7v4cdis8nguvnbmnh32ui9uek@4ax.com>
Source record: 64417

hope this helps a little
wookie

On 02 Jun 2001 23:09:50 GMT, mark12085@aol.comSPAMMO (Mark Mark)
wrote:

>Is the schematics/layouts for the discontinued Ramsey downconverted available
>anywhere? Thanks.

301. Is the Uniden Bearcat 800XLT any good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 01:47:07 UTC
From: Vincent Charron <charv@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <s4WT6.7675$Go1.1069396@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 64418

looking for general opinions on this model....

Thanks,
Vince
VA3GX

302. An Antenna for hand-held scanner ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 02:18:43 UTC
From: Paul Crissy <pcrissy@usenm.net>
Message-ID: <Xns90B9E2F21BB57pcrissyusenmnet@204.127.36.1>
Source record: 64419

Any recommendations for a good antenna for a handheld scanner? I mostly listen on 800 mHz to the police/fire frequencies.

Currently I have a Radio Shack model 20-034 "VHF/UHF High-Performance Handheld Scanner Antenna" mounted on my scanner.

303. FS: Icom Discone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 02:30:40 UTC
From: paulscalzo@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3b2038b4.942465@news.total.net>
Source record: 64420

I have an Icom Discone for sale. It's a few years old, in good shape.
One of the elements on the cone is slightly bent at the very bottom,
nothing serious.

$125.00 Canadian

Please e-mail me at paulscalzo@hotmail.com

Thanks

304. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 02:50:34 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9fpehqduj1@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 64423

Urei <urei@aol.com> wrote:

: You're talking about only the registered guns. In NY, no registration is
: required for rifles or shotguns.
: I think one of the original reasons for handgun registration here, was to
: prevent people with criminal backgrounds from obtaining handguns.

Which is the false rational used to sell the idea to the general
population. As is obvious, only the law abiding person will register
his/her firearms. The criminal won't. Thus, the law does not accomplish
the stated purpose.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

305. Re: Homebrew Airband Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 02:51:14 UTC
From: Danny R Barnes <DannyBarnes@EV1.Net>
Message-ID: <3B203DA1.473C72EF@EV1.Net>
Source record: 64173

A J pole antenna is made out of copper tubing, it could be cut for aircraft frequencies.
I have a program, I got off the net that tell you what lenghts are needed. It uses 1/2 & 3/4 copper tubing that you solder, very easy and not at all expensive. These antennas are used by hams, they might make good vertical receiving antennas.

pOsTeR bOy wrote:

> I recently saw an antenna that someone built to receive aircraft
> communications. It was made of copper tubing and was mounted on top
> of a peice of PVC. The antenna itself wasn't really very big but the
> PVC made it stand 10 or 12 feet tall off the ground.
>
> Does anyone know how I might build one of these? I'm not a whiz with
> this type of thing but it didn't look too dificult to build.
>
> Thanks!!

306. Re: Scanning Spread SpectrumPhone???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 02:52:45 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9fpeltduj2@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 64422

Jack S. <jacksmith269@hotmail.com> wrote:
: I just bought a Uniden 2.4Gig Spread Spectrum phone.Is there a down
: converter or program to scan it? I have many scanners but none go up to 2.4
: Gig.I'm interested in seeing how difficult it is to scan and see just how
: secure it is to use.

You won't be able to scan the spread spectrum signals themselves - not
without a few thousand dollars worth of specialized equipment.

But you often can scan the intermediate frequencies used inside the
phones themselves, if you are close enough.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

307. Re: radar detector choice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 02:54:16 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9fpeooduj3@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 64421

Jack S. <jacksmith269@hotmail.com> wrote:

: I drive Tractor Trailer and radar detector's are illegal to use in all fifty
: states for me. Which one's are least detectable and great at both radar and
: laser?

There used to be a model where the active components mounted behind the
radiator grill. All that was in the cab was an on/off switch and an
indicator light to indicate that a signal was being received.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

308. Re: Radio Shack Model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 03:38:08 UTC
From: Electric Suit <electricsuit@home.com>
Message-ID: <AMXT6.87358$r7.11505851@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 64424
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

If you wanted to record a conversation you'll need...

- Radio Shack #43-235A or similar
- A voice activated cassette recorder
- A power adapter is handy for the recorder.

Plug in the recorder into a phone jack, plug the 1/4 plug in to mic jack of the recorder. Set the recorder to voice activated recording. If it isn't VOX (voice activated) the recorder will just tape nothing.

When the phone rings or when someone uses the phone it will send an audio feed to the cassette recorder. When the conversation is finished it will stop. Pretty simple.

If you have a cordless phone and scanner you could connect you audio out from your scanner to a tape recorder. Check out http://scannercentre.8m.com/cgi-bin/framed/2419/cord.html for the required frequencies. Be careful because you will pick up your neighbors!

Es

P.S. If my parents invaded my privacy the way you are going to with you daughter I wouldn't have much of a healthy relationship with them. You'd be better off TALKING to you kids rather than treating them like prisoners. But who am I to tell you how to raise your kids!

<DarMeat-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message news:991950122.3b1ff52a50575@public.webmail.cotse.com...
> Hello Group
>
> Is it legal to moniter my minor daughters phone calls?
>
> If it's legal in the USA, will someone tell me the Radio Shack Model # for the device to install on my line so I can recieve signals on my scanner or hook up to a recorder.
>
> If this is illegal, then please disregard.
>
> Thanks
>

309. Re: Ramsey Downconverter - 900converter.pdf (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 03:53:00 UTC
From: Len <Len.C@sympNOCRAPatico.ca>
Message-ID: <30j0it444n93nkv1m6dblbn6vd5t32fd5i@4ax.com>
Source record: 64425

Can you repost?

Thanks

On Fri, 08 Jun 2001 01:16:09 GMT, wookie@neverland.com wrote:

>hope this helps a little
>wookie
>
>
>On 02 Jun 2001 23:09:50 GMT, mark12085@aol.comSPAMMO (Mark Mark)
>wrote:
>
>>Is the schematics/layouts for the discontinued Ramsey downconverted available
>>anywhere? Thanks.

REMOVE the NOCRAP in my address to reply.

310. Re: bc-245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 05:30:57 UTC
From: Jim MacDonald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B206311.E6F2E45C@mitre.org>
Source record: 64426

This is a common problem with hand held scanners. Check for broken
center pin. If it's not the the problem then you will have to open up
the BC 245 XLT and see if you can resoulder the
BNC center connector back together with the PC board. I have sent radios
back to the manufacturer to have this done ALSO to completely replace
the BNC antenna
jack because the franenstine neck pins on the BNC are worn down
so the antenna is loose, and I've tried it myself Good luck. Jim

scandude wrote:
>
> I have '245xlt and I think the antenna is loose from inside unit. won't pick
> anything up conventional or trunking unless I wiggle the external factory
> antenna. it's @ 1.5 years old. any help appreciated.
> Eric

311. Cellphonescanner.com site Shut down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 05:43:08 UTC
From: Champ <champ227@home.com>
Message-ID: <01c0efdd$37fb4980$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a.rchrd1.on.wave.home.com>
Source record: 64427
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,rec.radio.scanner

Ottawa CellPhones Broadcast Over The Internet
CellPhones Being Broadcast on the Internet Using Scanner. Story From The
Ottawa Sun
http://www.canoe.ca/OttawaNews/OS.OS-06-07-0003.html

--
Live Scanner Audio is here: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
Local Arts & Entertainment Coverage at it's best
http://www.ottawaxpress.com

312. Re: OT: Radio Control Cars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 08:54:10 UTC
From: "Chris Kirby"
Message-ID: <m341itoffnq3t2nkemr4io1jdm7kfe20g7@4ax.com>
Source record: 64428
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.models.rc.helicopter,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.models.engineering

Beavis wrote:

>Now can you state categorically that an FM transmitter WILL function
>properly and effectively with NO problems when used in conjunction with
>an AM receiver?

What do you know?

If it was the other way round, ie) an AM transmitter and FM receiver,
I would not even suggest trying. But slope detection is a perfectly
valid way of demodulating FM under certain conditions.

We don't even know the application - if it is for model aircraft
control - forget it - but if it is for, say, garage door opening then
I would sure as hell give it a go before forking out on a new
receiver. Does your mind only operate in black and white - the answer
to this question is positively "grey". Perhaps you are an academic???
;-)

73 and thnx for your very helpful contribution.

Chris

313. Re: How bad is 100Hz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 09:05:41 UTC
From: Brad <vk2qq@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3b209588_4@news01.one.net.au>
Source record: 64429

Normal commercial standard is +/- 20Hz. My new FT817 was about +80Hz. I retuned the tcxo by ear whilst listening to a station playing music. Music really sounds bad off frequency and I used the AFN 13MHz signal from Guam as a source. Now everything sounds perfect.

Funny that I should do this despite owning a whole avionics workshop calibrated to NIST!
Brad.

"Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com> wrote in message news:0m3thtkgp70kg8df4vnvk89a9ugue7dh1o@4ax.com...
> Bruce Tyler <top@bottom.au> wrote:
>
> > On Mon, 04 Jun 2001 00:22:48 -0600, Eric F. Richards
> > <efricha@dimensional.com> wrote:
> >
> > >(Noel) wrote:
> > >
> > >> I bought a new Icom R8500 recently. After using for a while I realised
> > >> that it was reading 100Hz high on hf. In other words BBC 6.190 zero
> > >> beats on 6.190.1
> > >> After being on for 10 hours it's rock steady and 100Hz off. The same
> > >> for all the major broadcasters (VOA, BBC, etc.). My AOR 7030 is on the
> > >> spot every time.
> > >> Is this acceptable in a new radio at this price or am I being too
> > >> demanding?
> > >> The specifications say that its stability is +and - 100Hz. Is there a
> > >> difference between stability and accuracy? I understand stability to
> > >> mean that it may drift by this amount on reaching "steady state" but
> > >> that its accuracy should be much better than that.
> > >>
> > >> Noel
> > >
> > >Keep a close eye on it. I've bought 3 8500s... all have been within
> > >10 Hz at 25 MHz... except for one, which failed with a PLL unable to
> > >lock. I exchanged it under the vendors rules and got a new one, which
> > >was within 10 Hz at 25 MHz. Yours (and mine, for that matter) may
> > >be correctable, but if you look over the service manual for the thing
> > >it is quite scary the number of ways you can break that rig trying to
> > >fix it.
> > >
> > >Eric
> >

> > I bought my R-8500 about a year ago and, as with all my HF receivers, I
> > tested it for frequency readout accuracy...
> > My method is to put it on any "AM" frequency, in most cases I choose the
> > 15.000 mHz time signal - Why??? because it is right in the middle of the
HF
> > band and it is an absolutely rock steady transmission. Anyway, I then
> > listen to the time marker beeps/tones in the AM mode. I then switch it
to
> > Lower Sideband then Upper Sideband. The tones and beeps should NOT
change
> > in audible tone to your ear if the receivers PLL is correctly
calibrated.
>
> That is *exactly* what I do!
>
> For the higher frequencies it helps to have an HP signal
> generator handy... but I trust it less than WWV because it
> hasn't been calibrated for a while (although the last time
> it was done by NIST in Boulder!)
>
> The 8500 tests very well this way, as does the old FRG-100...
>
> You are right about the ambient conditions being an issue, but the
> TXCO in the 8500 helps there a bit... if you are really intent on
> getting it right, get a crystal oven option, and if you are truly
> anal, hotwire a rubidium standard to it!
>
> (Probably can be done, but I just moved and I cannot find my
> shop manual and other ICOM docs. Which is driving me nuts, because
> I promised to make a copy of one of the ICOM freebies for someone
> halfway 'round the world and haven't been able to do so yet...)
>
> Eric
>
> --
> Eric F. Richards
> efricha@dimensional.com
> "The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
> - Dilbert

314. Re: Radio Shack Model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 12:39:50 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010608083950.05187.00000819@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 64430

In article <3quvhtso7q0efnqsimjfhfvqdu0u46sh2t@4ax.com>, Codger
<KilldaSpammers@home.com> writes:

>The sending of any unsolicited email advertising messages to this domain will
>result in the imposition of civil liability against you in
>accordance with Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code Section 17538.45.

Yeah, sure. On what grounds? Just another example of our "sue everyone for
everything" junk society.

315. Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 13:34:50 UTC
From: Bow <kd5kzn@ev1.net>
Message-ID: <3b20d47a_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 64174

I was wondering if you could recieve and decode the data packets that are transmitted to the police in the field over the airwaves.

It is probably like recieveing weather satelites...correct?

Anyone know anything about this?

Thanks,

Bow

316. FS: BNC F to SMA M Antenna Adapters $5.25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 13:41:56 UTC
From: hijax <hijax@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9fqkmu$6r6u$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I happened upon a source for quality BNC F to SMA M antenna adapters, commonly used with ICOM receivers plus others.

They are $5.25 cost + shipping if I order in quantities. If you want one, email me at hijax@usa.net. I will be posting a simple auction on Ebay in the next few days and need to know how many to list.

Regards,

-hijax

317. Re: Cellphonescanner.com site Shut down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 14:25:20 UTC
From: Power House <"Power House"@My>
Message-ID: <ti1o0eb79k9722@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64432
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,rec.radio.scanner

Well, no such URL... Can't read it... Sorry...

"Champ" <champ227@home.com> wrote in message
news:01c0efdd$37fb4980$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a.rchrd1.on.wave.home.com...
> Ottawa CellPhones Broadcast Over The Internet
> CellPhones Being Broadcast on the Internet Using Scanner. Story From The
> Ottawa Sun
> http://www.canoe.ca/OttawaNews/OS.OS-06-07-0003.html
>
>
> --
> Live Scanner Audio is here: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
> Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
> Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
> Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
> Local Arts & Entertainment Coverage at it's best
> http://www.ottawaxpress.com
>

318. Re: OT: Radio Control Cars

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 15:11:02 UTC
From: Beavis <Beavis@nachos.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <nZV0zOAGsOI7EwxR@nachos.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 64437
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.models.rc.helicopter,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur,uk.rec.models.engineering

In article <m341itoffnq3t2nkemr4io1jdm7kfe20g7@4ax.com>, Chris Kirby
<?@yahoo.com> writes
>Beavis wrote:
>
>>Now can you state categorically that an FM transmitter WILL function
>>properly and effectively with NO problems when used in conjunction with
>>an AM receiver?
>
>What do you know?

That's a question if ever there was. What do I know about what? Radios?
Models? Wimmin? The list is positively ENDLESS.
>
>If it was the other way round, ie) an AM transmitter and FM receiver,
>I would not even suggest trying. But slope detection is a perfectly
>valid way of demodulating FM under certain conditions.

Conditions suitable for flying RC models under? Remember he did post to
a few RC groups.

And would you think our intrepid 27 meg AM-er knows what slope detection
is, know if his Tx does, know if his Rx does?
>
>We don't even know the application - if it is for model aircraft
>control - forget it -

Which is basically what everyone but you has already said, but you're
going to show us all just how wrong we are aren't you? And I suggest we
DO know what application he's looking at. RC control of a model. I'd
even go so far as to say it's for a radio controlled car, but I'm like
that. I read the headers.

> but if it is for, say, garage door opening then
>I would sure as hell give it a go before forking out on a new
>receiver.

If it was a garage door opener he wanted, I wonder why he didn't cross
post to alt.garage.door.openers.

> Does your mind only operate in black and white -

When it comes to models, you bet your arse it does.

>the answer
>to this question is positively "grey".

Well if you're happy then we're ALL happy, but I certainly don't want a model being used around ME "controlled" by a radio that sits positively in the grey area.

>Perhaps you are an academic???
> ;-)

Oh I'm that, and more.
>
>73 and thnx for your very helpful contribution.

Think no more about it, and rest assured that I'll do the same with your information.

Beav

You can E-Mail me at the usual place (Beavis at nachos dot demon dot co dot uk)

Or you can visit my website (Which has undergone recent surgery) Same address as before..

www.nachos.demon.co.uk

319. Re: Cellphonescanner.com site Shut down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 15:30:28 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <oc6U6.44040$aA5.261667@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 64433
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.canoe.ca/OttawaNews/OS.OS-06-08-0008.html

"Champ" <champ227@home.com> wrote in message
news:01c0efdd$37fb4980$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a.rchrd1.on.wave.home.com...
> Ottawa CellPhones Broadcast Over The Internet
> CellPhones Being Broadcast on the Internet Using Scanner. Story From The
> Ottawa Sun
> http://www.canoe.ca/OttawaNews/OS.OS-06-07-0003.html
>
>
> --
> Live Scanner Audio is here: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
> Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
> Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
> Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
> Local Arts & Entertainment Coverage at it's best
> http://www.ottawaxpress.com
>

320. Regency HT HX 1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 15:41:51 UTC
From: kqr573 <kqr573@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <OzBQFFD8AHA.292@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 64434

I recently recieved a Regency HX 1000 Can anybody lead me to a battery charger location? possibly Radio Shack

321. Re: Who has the new Bearcat 780XLT in stock ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 15:50:19 UTC
From: swaves101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010608115019.08162.00003674@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 64436

I believe Lentini Communications has them

322. Looking for World Scanner Report newsletter V3 No10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 15:55:20 UTC
From: apathan <ap28@smtp.nyu.edu>
Message-ID: <3B20F568.9258F7BB@smtp.nyu.edu>
Source record: 64435

Any ftp site has this newsletter? appreciated. thank u. apat

323. Re: Florida Storm Page Up for 2001 (Corrected Link)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 15:59:05 UTC
From: av8tor@licensed4fun.com
Message-ID: <9fqso8$86tq$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64438

What happened, everytime I try one of your pages on your site I get a message
that "This site has been removed".

--

_____
I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
_____

324. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 16:20:19 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010608122019.19182.00002189@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 64439

>
>Urei <urei@aol.com> wrote:
>
>: You're talking about only the registered guns. In NY, no registration is
>: required for rifles or shotguns.
>: I think one of the original reasons for handgun registration here, was to
>: prevent people with criminal backgrounds from obtaining handguns.
>
>Which is the false rational used to sell the idea to the general
>population. As is obvious, only the law abiding person will register
>his/her firearms. The criminal won't. Thus, the law does not accomplish
>the stated purpose.
>
>--
>Bill Nelson

I never said the law worked, or was good or effective. I agree the law doesn't do as it was intended. My point was merely the original intent, and I would like to think that, to some degree, it initially did what it was supposed to.

325. Re: Radio Shack Model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 16:31:48 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010608123148.19182.00002191@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 64440

>
>It is illegal to monitor phone calls (IE, wire-tapping in any form).

Not necessarily.

I'm
>not sure if this applies to a persons own family, but I would assume so..

If you're recording your own phone it's legal. If it wasn't how could
retailers sell the recording devices?
.
>In any case, it would still be illegal to do as you would also be listing to
>the party on the other end, as well, and that is illegal without the other
>persons consent to do so...

Negative. If you are a party to the call and you consent, you need neither
inform the other party nor obtain their permission. Taping calls that you're
not party to gets into another legal realm altogether.

<<< Especially if taping is involved...

Taping the call makes no difference. Tapping is tapping whether you record or
just listen.

326. Re: FS: BNC F to SMA M Antenna Adapters $5.25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 16:51:34 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B210290.D43EC037@home.com>
Source record: 64442
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I bought a "quality" one at a local ham swap last Sunday for a little
under $3. They're not too hard to find, for a lot less than %.25 +
shipping.

hijax wrote:
>
> I happened upon a source for quality BNC F to SMA M antenna adapters,
> commonly used with ICOM receivers plus others.
>
> They are $5.25 cost + shipping if I order in quantities. If you want one,
> email me at hijax@usa.net. I will be posting a simple auction on Ebay in
> the next few days and need to know how many to list.
>
> Regards,
>
> -hijax

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

327. Re: Florida Storm Page Up for 2001 (Corrected Link)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 16:53:19 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010608125319.04612.00003516@ng-fv1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64441

>Subject: Re: Florida Storm Page Up for 2001 (Corrected Link)
>From: av8tor@licensed4fun.com (Av8tor)
>Date: 6/8/01 11:59 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9fqso8$86tq$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
>
>What happened, everytime I try one of your pages on your site I get a message
>
>that "This site has been removed".
>
>
>--
>____
> I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
> I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
> --> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
>____
>

As of today, 08 June 2001:

Expage Support wrote me to say they removed them because it appeared I was
using SPAM to promote these pages. On this matter I do not agree.

Although they were entirely noncommercial and only served to help others with
what most regular users would agree were the most often requested Links for:
Frequencies, Sites, Reviews, Manuals and so forth,
it appears that someone objected to my occasional Posting of Links to these
Pages; as I felt was permissible as is the semimonthly Posting of similar
content by other well meaning Posters.

I went into a bit more length on this subject on alt.radio.scanner, thus I will
save Bandwidth and reference that posting under the same Topic.

My true regrets for offending anyone.

Best regards,

PB Scanner
(Jon)

328. Manchester, CT, Freq's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 22:15:13 UTC
From: rockabillyrich1@aol.comsatan
Message-ID: <20010608181513.24015.00001395@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 64443

Just moved back to the area and I'm looking for Manchester PD, Town of Manchester Fire, Eighth Utilities District Fire Dept., Ambulance Service of Manchester, and Manchester Memorial Hospital (Comm with incoming EMS crews) frequencies. Can anyone provide these? Please? Email or post here is appreciated.
73's

Rich KB1GJC (Vanity Call coming soon)

329. FS R.S.PRO/23 beat up scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 22:42:08 UTC
From: bones@graveyard.com
Message-ID: <3b217b49.128490@news1.newscene.com>
Source record: 64444

I have a beat up RS Pro 23 hand held scanner it is kind of beat up it needs two piecs of tape to hold the battery case in place no belt clip and the bazel has marks on it. you can read the display with no trouble it has 50 channels it works 100% Do you think $23+4 shippling would be going overboard for this gem?
Bud.

330. Re: FS R.S.PRO/23 beat up scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-08 23:06:44 UTC
From: mlk <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8UcU6.419050$o9.66434864@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 64445

Your e-mail id down..I'd like the scanner... reply..

331. Re: Radio Shack Model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 00:44:32 UTC
From: Power House <"Power House"@My>
Message-ID: <ti2s9c6hvfiia6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64446

"Urei" <urei@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010608123148.19182.00002191@ng-fi1.aol.com...
> >I'm
> >not sure if this applies to a persons own family, but I would assume so..
>
> If you're recording your own phone it's legal. If it wasn't how could
> retailers sell the recording devices?
> .
Easily, because it's NOT illegal if you have the consent of ALL parties
involved it the conversation....

> >In any case, it would still be illegal to do as you would also be listing
to
> >the party on the other end, as well, and that is illegal without the
other
> >persons consent to do so...
>
> Negative. If you are a party to the call and you consent, you need
neither
> inform the other party nor obtain their permission. Taping calls that
you're
> not party to gets into another legal realm altogether.
>
Negative on your part... ALL parties have to consent to the recording...
If they don't then it is illegal.. Quote from the FCC website:

The FCC protects the privacy of telephone conversations by requiring
notification before a recording device is used to record interstate or
foreign telephone conversations. These types of conversations may not be
recorded unless the use of a recording device is:

1) preceded by verbal or written consent of all parties to the telephone
conversation; or

2) preceded by verbal notification which is recorded at the beginning, and
as part of the call, by the recording party; or

3) accompanied by an automatic tone warning device, sometimes called a beep
tone, which automatically produces a distinct signal that is repeated at
regular intervals during the course of the telephone conversation when the
recording device is in use.

So, where does it say that only one person has to consent, and the other
does not need to know about it?

> <<< Especially if taping is involved...
>
> Taping the call makes no difference. Tapping is tapping whether you
record or
> just listen.

Already proved my point above... Your wrong.. period!

Here is the link if you must see it... Check it out for yourself...

http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Common_Carrier/Factsheets/record.html

Stupidity is the grandest of all forms ignorance. RESEARCH before you
rattle your trap... It saves the embarrassment afterwards....

332. Re: Radio Shack Model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 02:57:22 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B21909C.489F6349@earthlink.net>
Source record: 64347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.rado.scanner

Urei wrote:

> If you're recording your own phone it's legal. If it wasn't how could
> retailers sell the recording devices?
> .
> >In any case, it would still be illegal to do as you would also be listing to
> >the party on the other end, as well, and that is illegal without the other
> >persons consent to do so...
>
> Negative. If you are a party to the call and you consent, you need neither
> inform the other party nor obtain their permission. Taping calls that you're
> not party to gets into another legal realm altogether.

Not quite simple. It depends on state law. Some states (cf MD - State v. Tripp) require both parties' consent others only one. the federal law, IIRC requires only one, but it can still be a violation of state "privacy" laws if you do so. The distinction between taping a call without the other party's permission and not revealing it is an artificial one, however. One can't be charged if no one knows you did it. All of which is by way of saying that no matter where you are, if you don't reveal to anyone that you did the taping then you aren't going to be an any difficulty. But then if you don't disclose or use the tape, then why do it in the first place? The practical consequence of this two party consent legislation nonsense is that it is impossible in these states to introduce a tape to impeach a perjurer on the stand.

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

333. 6400 memory mod for PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 02:58:05 UTC
From: Marty <martyduplissey@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <IggU6.33537$Uo3.1155051@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 64348

Anybody got any more info on this mod? I found reference to it on the german mod site but not enough details to do it.

334. wtb: HT1000 uhf

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 03:24:43 UTC
From: jm61784@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010608232443.29344.00000072@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 64350

need an ht1000 uhf with a freq split of 450-520 or 512. email me with any radios you have.
jm61784@aol.com

335. FS:DDI and RS-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 03:27:47 UTC
From: "Richard J. Nelson" <rickn@fflax.net>
Message-ID: <3b2196f2$0$94313$39cecf19@news2.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 64349

For Sale: DDI box with all cables and owners manual. Includes both versions

of DDI DOS software. RS 2006 scanner unblocked for cellular for regular scanning

without computer control. Has OPTO 456 board installed with the chipset

unblocked for cellular scanning for computer control. Includes Probe DOS

software to run the OPTO board with owners manual.

2006 has DDI computer interface installed and includes extra LCD, antennae,

original box and service manual. All equipment is in like new condition and

is one owner. If you do not know what this combination is about, do not

respond!

Asking $1300 postal MO only plus shipping in the US.

Email: rickn@fflax.net

336. Re: 6400 memory mod for PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 04:48:03 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.158b4a3a224510b98972a@news>
Source record: 64351

In article <IggU6.33537$Uo3.1155051@news6.giganews.com>,
martyduplissey@yahoo.com says...
> Anybody got any more info on this mod? I found reference to it on the german
> mod site but not enough details to do it.
>

http://www.totse.com/en/media/radio_free_amerika/pro2006.html

337. Cayman & air show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 04:52:25 UTC
From: zane <"zgorove<tossspam>"@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3B21D834.344033B3@erols.com>
Source record: 64352

I am flying to Grand Cayman next week in a cessna 210.It is aviation week in cayman and they will have an air show.I am interested in any frequencies that may be of interest especially police and fire.I will have all the local air frequencies but am curios about what the planes in the show will be on.Last year there where some us planes and helicopters as well as british and a few aerobatics teams I didn't recognize.No BlueAngels.Thanks to all.

338. I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 05:01:35 UTC
From: fizzy <heedthis@feedtheneed.com>
Message-ID: <P4iU6.75952$%i7.56951565@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 64353
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

NOBODY has ever gone to jail for listening to the conversations of their minor daughter.

BUT there's always a first time.

AND, will your daughter ever FORGIVE you when she finds out you were spying on her.

DISTRUST breeds contempt which gives birth to RETALIATION!

339. More Cayman

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 05:06:07 UTC
From: zane <"zgorove<tossspam>"@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3B21DB6A.E128040D@erols.com>
Source record: 64354

I noted in the previous post that I am going to Grand Cayman.What can I expect in the shortwave range?Thanks again.

340. Discriminator tap for RS Pro-2066

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 05:29:11 UTC
From: kc0ewq@qwest.net
Message-ID: <3f690476.0106082129.58d6cfb2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 64355

Anyone know what pin is the FM disciminator on the Radio Shack Pro-2066?
Thanks

341. Re: Need MDT freqs. for Newport, RI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 05:31:46 UTC
From: sparky@sparkytown.com
Message-ID: <3b21b48c.97496563@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 64356

On 07 Jun 2001 12:08:23 GMT, vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
(VHFRadioBuff) wrote:

>
>Just curious - do you have a way to decode them?

MDT Monitor for Windows works great. Uses the soundcard or a good
data slicer.

Sparky

342. Cellphone chats broadcast on Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 05:40:50 UTC
From: Lennie Beecroft <lbeecroft8041@home.com>
Message-ID: <CFiU6.65183$Be4.22061240@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 64357

Today News (Toronto,Ontario's Community Newspaper).....

An Ottawa-based Web site is streaming live cellphone
audio onto the Net from a radio that scans cellphone
frequencies in the city.
It seems to be perfectly legal, but it has come as a
shock to cellphone users who hadn't realized how easy it is to eavesdrop on
older cellphones.
"I know all kinds of people who scan cell conversations just for kicks,"
said John Aiken owner of Modern Security. Scanners easily monitor analog
phone calls, but not the newer and more sophisticated
digital mode. However, when a cellphone user leaves
a digital area and the phones silently switches to analog mode the call is
susceptible to scanner monitoring. The cellphone scanner Web site is
registered to a person at a fictitious address. Efforts to
reach the owner were unsuccessful.....The site posts a
disclaimer on privacy issue:
"Under Canadian Law it is not illegal to listen to cellular broadcasts,
it is however illegal to use any of
the information you hear on the radio frequencies for your own personal
gain, or for malicious purposes."

Friday June 8, 2001

Thought this may be of interest to all us Scanner users.

From The Canadian Press......

Thank-You

343. Re: Manchester, CT, Freq's?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 07:22:53 UTC
From: goophy@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2896-3B21CECD-103@storefull-285.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64358

Police main 460.125, secondary 460.400

town of manchester fire main 154.355

Eighth utlities distict fire main 856.7125

Ambulance serv of Manchester 462.975

ambulance serv communicates with hospital on "med' channels

344. Re: Radio Shack Model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 12:36:12 UTC
From: Dionin Lord <dionin@btlex.com>
Message-ID: <4JoU6.1506$RK.1768152@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 64359
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The legality depends on two things. First, what state do you live in? Some states require the consent of both parties to the call, some only require the consent of one party. Second, does your daughter consent? If not, then you're violating the Federal wire tapping statute, and it doesn't matter in which US state you live. The fact that she's your daughter, she's a minor, that she lives in your house, you pay the phone bill, etc. have no legal significance regarding conviction, but they might help you at the sentencing hearing.

I usually recommend people contact an attorney in their own state when they have a legal question; but in your case I recommend a family counselor.

<DarMeat-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:991950122.3b1ff52a50575@public.webmail.cotse.com...
> Hello Group
>
> Is it legal to moniter my minor daughters phone calls?
>
> If it's legal in the USA, will someone tell me the Radio Shack Model # for the device to install on my line so I can recieve signals on my scanner or hook up to a recorder.
>
> If this is illegal, then please disregard.
>
> Thanks
>

345. 1240-1300 Mhz Ham band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 13:09:14 UTC
From: "Frank J. Bals" <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <_dpU6.69857$t12.5605678@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64360

What portion of this band is for voice signals? I haven't had any luck monitoring this band. Are there any confirmed frequencies for the Cleveland, Ohio area?

Frank

346. FS: PRO-2006 Scanner- Mint Condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 13:41:25 UTC
From: Dionin Lord <dionin@btlex.com>
Message-ID: <eGpU6.1536$RK.1811087@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 64361
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Realistic Pro-2006 - $300 plus S&H
400 Channel Programmable Scanner
- Fast Mod
- Cell Mod
- Backlight Switch (backlight works perfectly)
- Includes Original Box, Manual and Antenna

This unit was refurbished by Radio Shack in 1995. I bought it 1996 and have used it for maybe 30 hours total. It is in PRISTINE condition. I even have the original Styrofoam inserts for the box!

Money Order or Cashier's check shipped immediately. Personal checks must clear.
Snail mail address for sending payment is my law firm, which has a web site with my name, picture, direct line phone number, and the like, so you don't have to worry about sending your check into the void. Email for details - dionin@btlex.com

347. Re: Need MDT freqs. for Newport, RI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 13:43:09 UTC
From: "Jack S." <jacksmith101@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <xHpU6.34482$Uo3.1177013@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 64362

Where do you get MDT Monitor for Windows?
"Sparky" <sparky@sparkytown.com> wrote in message
news:3b21b48c.97496563@news.qwest.net...
> On 07 Jun 2001 12:08:23 GMT, vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
> (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:
>
> >
> >Just curious - do you have a way to decode them?
>
> MDT Monitor for Windows works great. Uses the soundcard or a good
> data slicer.
>
> Sparky
>

348. FA: SONY ICF-2010 Shortwave (NICE!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 13:57:29 UTC
From: W5IDX <W5IDX@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <dXpU6.69895$t12.5611110@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243511438

349. Re: Cellphone chats broadcast on Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 14:38:30 UTC
From: Urbie Watrous <flagstaff@nospam.gtemail.net>
Message-ID: <i5d4it4edjtfhir44f4ahn1p7oatqdpne6@4ax.com>
Source record: 64364

Thanks to this guy, they'll undoubtedly make a law banning cell
scanning, like we have here in the US.

As with anything else, abuse your privileges, and they get taken
away....

Urb

On Sat, 09 Jun 2001 05:40:50 GMT, "Lennie Beecroft"
<lbeecroft8041@home.com> tapped out:

>Today News (Toronto,Ontario's Community Newspaper).....
>
>An Ottawa-based Web site is streaming live cellphone
>audio onto the Net from a radio that scans cellphone
>frequencies in the city.

Never argue with a 10-16 101.

350. Radio Shack Pro-26 hand held scanner For SALE !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 14:40:27 UTC
From: Bluesky46 <bluesky46@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <vzqU6.24249$_Y5.4201694@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 64365

Hi
I have for SALE a Radio Shack Pro-26 hand held scanner, in excellent
working condition
radio will come with 6 rechargeable Ni-cads, antenna, charger/adapter
module, manual
make an offer, I will accept a money order only. original cost for
this scanner was $350. US
Ron
bluesky6@hotmail.com < I check this more often !

351. FS: Optoelectronics Xplorer Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 15:41:16 UTC
From: Marvin Moss <mmoss@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9ftfr2ehj1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64366
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Original US model with telescoping antenna, instruction manual, and charger transformer

In excellent condition.

$300 including shipping in CONUS.

352. Re: Optoelectronics Xplorer Receiver--SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 15:51:14 UTC
From: Marvin Moss <mmoss@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9ftgo4$2j9$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64367
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

SOLD

Marvin Moss <mmoss@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9ftfr2ehj1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> Original US model with telescoping antenna, instruction manual, and
charger
> transformer
>
> In excellent condition.
>
> $300 including shipping in CONUS.
>
>

353. NY Weather Alert Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 16:03:26 UTC
From: NewsBoy <GNN@niagara.com>
Message-ID: <r2i4itg4lpacfllllk17rbcjv2q94h25ac0@4ax.com>
Source record: 64368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/NY_WX_Alert

354. Re: 1240-1300 Mhz Ham band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 16:15:56 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <0ZrU6.52404$DG1.8713754@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 64369

What are you using as an antenna? At those frequencies, the signals is very much, line-of site. Also, if you're attempting to use an outside antenna, there is too much loss in most any coax that the typical home installation would have.

Bill Crocker

"Frank J. Bals" <FJB@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:_dpU6.69857$t12.5605678@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> What portion of this band is for voice signals? I haven't had any luck
> monitoring this band. Are there any confirmed frequencies for the
> Cleveland, Ohio area?
>
> Frank
>
>

355. PRO-34 FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 16:20:42 UTC
From: kc8ewq@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010609122042.13427.00003311@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Source record: 64370

PRO-34 FOR SALE WITH FULL 800MHZ MAKE OFFER
TONY

356. Re: radar detector choice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 16:45:57 UTC
From: outrunsho21@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010609124557.24528.00000121@ng-mg1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64371

Hello driver.. Name is Jason here I used to drive for like 6 months for U.S. xpress. I used a radar detector when I drove and found mostly that all the states really are just using normal radar and not Laser. My dad is also a police officer and laser is really only used in heavy traffic and during the day. If you are like me and you are in v.a. at night going 90 down the hill You need a detector. I don't know what detector to recomend but was going to give you some info on radar. Radar picks up the biggest strongest signal and guess who that would be in the line of traffic. YOU! Laser detectors are usless. I had a detector that was suppose to pick up laser and it did within just 100 feet and I was already caught. There are some websites that will tell you about the detectors and which ones are the best. If I find that site I will send you the info. Watch out for the bears!

Jason

357. Re: D.E.A. feeq.dallas,tx?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 16:48:19 UTC
From: outrunsho21@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010609124819.24528.00000122@ng-mg1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64372

What freqencies are the D E A on besides 413mhz?

358. Re: Assisiting police w/scanner?...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 16:49:32 UTC
From: outrunsho21@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010609124932.24528.00000123@ng-mg1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64373

What is wrong with chasing calls. Does someone have a problem with that?

Jason

359. Re: 1240-1300 Mhz Ham band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 17:30:23 UTC
From: "Frank J. Bals" <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <P2tU6.72376$4f7.5567994@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64374

Bill,

I'm using a D130J Discone for 25-1300 Mhz reception mounted on my
roof...

Frank
"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:0ZrU6.52404$DG1.8713754@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> What are you using as an antenna? At those frequencies, the signals is
very
> much, line-of site. Also, if you're attempting to use an outside antenna,
> there is too much loss in most any coax that the typical home installation
> would have.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Frank J. Bals" <FJB@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:_dpU6.69857$t12.5605678@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > What portion of this band is for voice signals? I haven't had any luck
> > monitoring this band. Are there any confirmed frequencies for the
> > Cleveland, Ohio area?
> >
> > Frank
> >
> >
>
>

360. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 17:37:03 UTC
From: Patricia Gibbons <wa6ube@tactical-link.com>
Message-ID: <9ftn3n$99a$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64376

He's also to young to remember that this is what happened
in Australia only a few years ago, and in England a few years
before that ..

Trish

--
--
Patricia E. Gibbons & Carla Satra
Tactical Link Systems
<ICQ#: 72818195>
<http://www.tactical-link.com>
see my comvan at:
<http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=wa6ube>
.....
My Public Key is available at:
<http://pgp5.ai.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=vindex&search=0xEDECB44F>
Key ID: 0xEDECB44F
This key is RSA, NOT Diffie-Hellman !!

Urei <urei@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010606140326.18652.00005549@ng-mq1.aol.com...
> >
> >i've never heard of the guvment just rounding up a heap of guns from my
> >neighbor for no reason :)
>
> You're apparently too young to remember Nazi Germany.
> Also, it isn't done "for no reason". Usually there's some paranoia and/or
> megalomania at the bottom of anything like that.

361. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 17:38:43 UTC
From: Patricia Gibbons <wa6ube@tactical-link.com>
Message-ID: <9ftn73$j3d$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64377

You think a convicted Felon is going to make a purchase
in a gun store? not likely ....

They will just purchase on the street ..

For an outlaw, laws don't matter ..

Trish

--
--
Patricia E. Gibbons & Carla Satra
Tactical Link Systems
<ICQ#: 72818195>
<http://www.tactical-link.com>
see my comvan at:
<http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=wa6ube>
.....
My Public Key is available at:
<http://pgp5.ai.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=vindex&search=0xEDECB44F>
Key ID: 0xEDECB44F
This key is RSA, NOT Diffie-Hellman !!

Urei <urei@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010606141604.18652.00005554@ng-mq1.aol.com...
> >
> >Registration is for only one purpose - so the government can find the
> >firearms whenever they wish.
>
> You're talking about only the registered guns. In NY, no registration is
> required for rifles or shotguns.
> I think one of the original reasons for handgun registration here, was
to
> prevent people with criminal backgrounds from obtaining handguns.

362. BC860-xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 17:40:06 UTC
From: chris <johnncris@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B21BAE0.88614DF2@home.com>
Source record: 64375

I would like to buy BC860-xlt with cellular coverage. Since this model has discontinued, any one has it for sale.

thanks

363. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 17:41:54 UTC
From: Patricia Gibbons <wa6ube@tactical-link.com>
Message-ID: <9ftncqgue1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64379

Which is an obvious example as to why gun laws
don't work .. Crime in NYC, and
Washington D.C. are still committed with handguns,

But then the Liberals like Schumer are too dumb to realize
that concealed carry for the law-abiding would be the better
solution..

Criminals would rather know that their victims will not
be armed since the victim will also likely to obey the
laws ..

Trish

--
--
Patricia E. Gibbons & Carla Satra
Tactical Link Systems
<ICQ#: 72818195>
<http://www.tactical-link.com>
see my comvan at:
<http://www.qrz.com/callsign.html?callsign=wa6ube>
.....
My Public Key is available at:
<http://pgp5.ai.mit.edu:11371/pks/lookup?op=vindex&search=0xEDECB44F>
Key ID: 0xEDECB44F
This key is RSA, NOT Diffie-Hellman !!

TooManyRadios <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010606154507.04835.00000741@nso-fi.aol.com...
> In article <9fke2g$o1s$6@bashir.peak.org>, Bill Nelson
<billn@spock.peak.org>
> writes:
>
> >That is because you live in one of the worst places in the US to purchase
> >and own a handgun. The other is California.
>
> And Washington, DC.
>
> I'm sure it's just a coincidence that violent crime involving handguns is
these
> three places is among the highest in the country.
>

364. Re: 1240-1300 Mhz Ham band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 17:44:53 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <pgtU6.52926$DG1.8779013@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 64378

The D130J is a great antenna...I have one myself. What is the total height, from the ground, of the antenna itself? What type of coax are you using, and how many feet is the run?

Bill Crocker

"Frank J. Bals" <FJB@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:P2tU6.72376$4f7.5567994@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Bill,
>
> I'm using a D130J Discone for 25-1300 Mhz reception mounted on my
> roof...
>
> Frank
> "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
> news:0ZrU6.52404$DG1.8713754@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> > What are you using as an antenna? At those frequencies, the signals is
> very
> > much, line-of site. Also, if you're attempting to use an outside
antenna,
> > there is too much loss in most any coax that the typical home
installation
> > would have.
> >
> > Bill Crocker
> >
> >
> > "Frank J. Bals" <FJB@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:_dpU6.69857$t12.5605678@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > What portion of this band is for voice signals? I haven't had any luck
> > > monitoring this band. Are there any confirmed frequencies for the
> > > Cleveland, Ohio area?
> > >
> > > Frank
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

365. Re: More Cayman

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 18:04:18 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010609140418.21512.00000986@ng-fn1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64380

>Subject: More Cayman
>From: zane <A HREF="mailto:"zgorove<tossspam">"zgorove<tossspam "@erols.com>
>Date: 6/9/01 1:06 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B21DB6A.E128040D@erols.com>
>
>I noted in the previous post that I am going to Grand Cayman.What can I
>expect in the shortwave range?Thanks again.
>

South and North American, Europe and further.

Not sure if you are looking from Signals Coming from the Caymans, but when
there you will hear the world.

See if you can find an updated copy of Passport to World band Radio, or try
Online for Different SW Staions as they post Schedules of Programs and often
have links to other SW Stations.

Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: No longer able to post URL for the Site; relocation underway!

366. Re: Which do you Prefer Radio Shack or Uniden and why?????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 18:57:09 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <i5s4it8sk3rntoddk9ltrrjrkv8n3shmfv@4ax.com>
Source record: 64381

On 06 Jun 2001 17:52:31 GMT, urei@aol.com (Urei) wrote:

>Just because the sound emanates from both earpieces doesn't make it stereo.
> I highly doubt that your local PD uses stereo transmitters. It's most
>assuredly mono coming from both speakers.

That's right: mono from both earpieces.

I own two scanners: a PRO-64 and a PRO-92. Both are made by GRE for
Radio Shack.

The 64 just feeds one side of a pair of stereo phones. The 92 feeds
both sides. Good deal for the 92, since I didn't have to buy an
adapter for it.

So much for this detail being a universal trait. :-)

Ken Plotkin

367. FA: MOTOROLA Commerical FM Radio UHF 438-470Mhz, 40Watts, Mobile Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 19:14:05 UTC
From: Phil Grunden <pgrund@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1AuU6.5233$c37.634098@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64382
Cross-posted to: alt.tv.broadcasting,alt.video.equipment.broadcast,rec.radio.scanner,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair,sci.engr.television.broadcast

Motorola Commercial Mobile Radio UHF 438-470Mhz, 40Watts Dash Mount with microphone, power cord and mounting bracket.

Model GM300 late model radio with programmable scan function.

Works perfect and looks perfect.

Software programmable and would operate on TV studio link frequency bands.

See picture further description and bid on eBay at URL:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1244310576

368. Beta testers wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 19:37:53 UTC
From: George Ioannu <g-ioannu@usa.net>
Message-ID: <9fttuc$9tk$1@usenet.otenet.gr>
Source record: 64383

Hi all.
I have just uploaded a small utility to automatically set any RS232 capable receiver for reception of weather satellites.

The program will also automatically record the APT audio signal from each satellite pass.

If any of you are willing to provide some feedback of what works as planned and what not, it would be of great help (and your operating system).

You will also need David Taylor's Satelite tracking program (if you haven't got it you're missing a lot) from www.satsignal.net

You need to set up an "ini" file with commands and optionally responses to your receiver. If you want, please send me this file along with your receiver make and model (and your name !!), so I can distribute it with the program.

Download the program (WX Receiver) from www.nhreas.com. Go to "Satelite" page. It's at the very top.

Thank you all in advance and I hope you like it

George Ioannu

SV8ARJ

g-ioannu@usa.net

369. Will a MDT work with a Trunked System?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 20:06:42 UTC
From: anon <anon@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <3B2281D2.FF4A66E3@nospam.com>
Source record: 64384

[No readable article body recovered]

370. Re: Ramsey Downconverter - 900converter.pdf (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 20:57:42 UTC
From: wookie@neverland.com
Message-ID: <pj35it4r1orks4k7p68sv6qrs57jk6p9ai@4ax.com>
Source record: 64385

hope this helps a little
wookie

On 02 Jun 2001 23:09:50 GMT, mark12085@aol.comSPAMMO (Mark Mark)
wrote:

>Is the schematics/layouts for the discontinued Ramsey downconverted available
>anywhere? Thanks.

371. Uniden BC780XLT ROCKS...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 21:05:25 UTC
From: rychard@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b22888e.8833578@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 64386

Hey all,
Just got mine.Did have to wait two weeks
for it to come in at the local Ham Radio shop.
At first I thought, oh no, brain overload, it certainly
is a sophisticated scanner.I'd never programmed
a trunking type sanner before.But I noticed it could
program itself, using SmartScan Mode.Well off to
local R.S. store for a RS232C cable.Since they didn't
have the (male/female)cable,I got a (female/female) cable
and a (male to male)adapter for one end. I downloaded
SmartSanner.zip from http://www.uniden.com/om_5scan.html
the evening before. I connected the cable, and followed the
instructions in the manual. It all went right the first time,no busy
signals,no errors,no sweat.
I am very impressed with this product.
Now if I can only figure out all of these features on this great
machine,and I will really be a happy camper.
Thanks Uniden.
Dean
KR4IU

372. PRO-92.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 21:51:22 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <uTwU6.48190$aA5.282489@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 64387

The http://www.pro-92.com and http://www.pro-2067.com website has been updated.

373. BC145XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 22:25:58 UTC
From: lonerider <lonerider@110.net>
Message-ID: <ti58m3g8apin19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64388

Where can i find an owners manual on the net foe a BC145XL on the net. I just picked up one at a yrd sale for $5.00.
Thanks,
Larry

374. Motorola 800Mhz STX821 Does Type I, II, Iii

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 22:59:08 UTC
From: msisco9939@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010609185908.12834.00001881@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 64389

Easy to set up as a Public Service Trunking Scanner

=>ALL RADIOS GUARANTEED TO BE FULLY OPERATIONAL<=

Motorola ENHANCED STX 821 TYPE l, ll, lli

H35WPA5170DN , Serial Series 678ARGXXXX
GPS 1.0 Firmware
Comes With Factory External Spk/Mic
1999 Date Code Battery
Motorola Factory 1 Hr. Charger
Will program if you send a code plug
Has the A through P Select switch
Small Antenna Included

$335.00ea + 8.00 for Shipping and Handling

Photo can be seen @

http://www2.4dcomm.com/metrotech/stx_821.jpg

Website Comming Soon

Unlike Older STX's which are Type l only, These are the ENHANCED VERSIONS which will do Type l, Type ll, and Type lli. These are a super Brezze to program. Does not require "System Keys", or special Software. Easy to Clone from one radio to another. I have several of these, and they will all talk to each other in the Conventional "talkaround" mode. Makes a NEAT SCANNER for Public Saftey. Only a few left !!. All WELL MAINTAINED BY THE FACTORY SERVICE SHOP.

If you have any questions, please feel free to call me @ 858.268.1788 or Email MSisco9939@aol.com

Terms:

PayPal Now Accepted !!

Acceptable PrePayment in the form of a Postal Money Order,Certified Bank Draft, PayPal, (If immediate shipping is desired) or a Personal / Business check is A-OK too if you do not mind a Short Shipping Delay due to bank processing time.

Monty

375. FA:~/\/\~ UHF 32 CH 40+Watt Maxtrac 300/ Scans Too

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 23:18:11 UTC
From: msisco9939@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010609191811.12834.00001883@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 64390

=>ALL RADIOS GUARANTEED TO BE FULLY OPERATIONAL<=
(NO NEED TO WORRY ABOUT "AS-IS" NON-SENSE)

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243440890

Motorola UHF 40 Watt 32 Channel Maxtrac Has the following features:

Scans Too
32 Channel mode operation
40+ Watts output
New Power Cord
New Palm Mic
Bracket & Mobile Hardware Kit
Free programming
Scan Capabilities
16 pin Acc'y Connector (can be used as repeater)
Mic Hang Up Kit

Unlike some of the CDM / Pro series, the Maxtracs are easily programmed with
little experience required. These were used as a redundant back-up radios and
never seem to be placed in service.
If you have any questions, please feel free to call me @ 858.268.1788 or Email
MSisco9939@aol.com

Terms:

PayPal Now Accepted !!

Acceptable PrePayment in the form of a Postal Money Order,Certified Bank Draft,
PayPal, (If immediate shipping is desired) or a Personal / Business check is
A-OK too if you do not mind a Short Shipping Delay due to bank processing time.

$8.00 US Shipping, 25.00 International

Monty

376. Re: FA: SONY ICF-2010 Shortwave (NICE!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-09 23:34:07 UTC
From: Wayne Williams <aa5jj@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3B22B26F.60AF1EED@swbell.net>
Source record: 64391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

YOU HAVE TO BE KIDDING

W5IDX wrote:

> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243511438

377. Re: More Cayman

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 00:36:10 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3B22C0FA.11FD@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 64392

zane wrote:
>
> I noted in the previous post that I am going to Grand Cayman.What can I
> expect in the shortwave range?Thanks again.

Real quiet in the Caymans, local marine stuff etc. Cable TV on the
island
along with US dish TV. USD = .83 Cayman. If you have a ham license you
need
a recip before importing stuff into the caymans. Don't know about
scanners
or other stuff.

Martin N5PZJ

378. Re: D.E.A. feeq.dallas,tx?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 02:10:22 UTC
From: resqr551@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010609221022.13782.00006624@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 64393

we hear them on: 418.825 (repeater)
here in Huntsville, Alabama

379. FS: BC9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 02:37:09 UTC
From: Steve Lare <n8kdv@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3B22DD54.63C8C1ED@iserv.net>
Source record: 64394
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I have for sale a Uniden BC9000XLT. Unit is in good condition, with the original box, power supply, antenna and all the original documentation. It does not have the optional CTCSS board. Asking $225.00 shipped anywhere in the USA. Contact n8kdv@iserv.net

380. Re: 6400 memory mod for PRO-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 02:44:44 UTC
From: Marty <martyduplissey@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <baBU6.36380$Uo3.1213142@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 64395

Will this work on a PRO-2004?

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.158b4a3a224510b98972a@news...
> In article <IggU6.33537$Uo3.1155051@news6.giganews.com>,
> martyduplissey@yahoo.com says...
> > Anybody got any more info on this mod? I found reference to it on the
german
> > mod site but not enough details to do it.
> >
>
>
> http://www.totse.com/en/media/radio_free_amerika/pro2006.html

381. smart cards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 04:14:42 UTC
From: khenne3572@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010610001442.14437.00003570@ng-mm1.aol.com>
Source record: 64396

what is the price of a replacement smart card for dish network

382. Re: New to trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 06:26:48 UTC
From: Jim MacDonald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B231328.6496C705@mitre.org>
Source record: 64297

The PRO-92 A and B use the control channel for trunk scanning original
PRO-92 with Vs. 1.00
software uses the subaudable tone control "hand shake" between radios to
do the trunking,
which is a bit slower and is not as reliable as control channel trunking
on Motorola systems.
The PRO-94 DOSE NOT DO conventional and trunking at the same time! THE
UPGRADED PRO-94A will
do both at the same time The PRO -92s and PRO-94 and PRO94As use regular
AA batteries
where the BC 245 XLT has a propriety battery pack. This battery pack is
the same as the
BC 235 XLT PRO-90 and Uniden Sport Cat SP 200.
The BC 245 XLT and PRO-92 have computer access where the PRO-94 and
PRO-94A do not.
The PRo-92 A and B will also do Tone Squelch, CTCSS/DCS. Check out the
reviews
at <http://www.strongsignals.net> and <http://www.PRO-92.COM>
When shopping for PRO-92A or B or the PRO-94A look for the catalog
number on the
bottom of the scanner. The nomenclature up on top just says PRO-92 or
PRO-94 and the Clerks may not know the difference and check the
manufacturing date look for 0500A or later! Jim

mamaspajamas wrote:
>
> just be careful to learn it before you buy it, the pro 92 and pro 94 are
> both good, and both have a and b versions, if you get the older version you
> should pay a lot less.
>
> "Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
> news:orgtht87uqd4ekn5la4ntumevilmt4qvse@4ax.com...
> > Richard L. <anti@spam.com.invalid!> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
> >
> > >I'm looking at moving up to a trunk scanner, but not sure what I should
> get.
> > >
> > >One question I have is - can I scan both trunk services and regular
> channels
> > >at the same time, or do I have to choose?
> >
> > Depends on the radio.
> >
> > The first generation of trunking scanners only allowed scanning of one

> trunked
> > bank, or one conventional bank, at a time.
> >
> > The newer radios will scan multiple banks, any combination of trunked and
> > conventional, and some will even allow conventional freqs in the same bank
> > as a trunked system.
> >
> > The Bearcat 245 or 780 will do what you need, as will the Radio Shack
> pro92 or 94.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
> >
> > Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
> >
> > It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

383. Re: Icom ICR1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 06:40:06 UTC
From: jhilt@online.no
Message-ID: <3b3d14e7.3240300@news.online.no>
Source record: 64298

G'day all!
On Sat, 27 Jan 2001 19:38:13 -0000 roderick.cosh wrote:

>Does anyone one have any details on mods to improve the front end
>performance of this radio?

Sell it and buy another radio.

In my experience even the IC-R2 is totally useless compared to all other
radios I have used. When the squelch opens the signal drowns in noise
even if you are within visual range of the transmitter. I returned mine
to the dealer and they found nothing wrong with it. :-I
(www.permo.no)

--
_/_/_/ hilt.no http://www.uwasa.fi/~ts/http/quote.html

The more politicians I meet, the more I like my dog.

384. Re: Radio Shack Pro-26 hand held scanner For SALE !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 08:27:13 UTC
From: av8tor@licensed4fun.com
Message-ID: <9fvb10$ahnq$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64299

In article <vzqU6.24249$_Y5.4201694@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>,
bluesky46@mediaone.net says...
>
>Hi
> I have for SALE a Radio Shack Pro-26 hand held scanner, in excellent
>working condition
> radio will come with 6 rechargeable Ni-cads, antenna, charger/adapter
>module, manual
> make an offer, I will accept a money order only. original cost for
>this scanner was $350. US
> Ron
> bluesky6@hotmail.com < I check this more often !

If I needed another PRO-26 I would jump on this deal! For those interested in
Mil-Air Scanner, this is an excellent (in fact BEST) hand held for the job!
George
http://www.Licensed4fun.com

--

_____
I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
_____

385. New online ACARS Club

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 11:00:34 UTC
From: Mark Avey <mark.avey@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9fvk8g$b0$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 64301

We've created a new online ACARS club for all those interested in the subject. By joining the group, you can...

* Chat to others with the same interestes (via the Message Board or real time chat)
* Post ACARS/Aviation related events on the group Calendar
* Post your favourite pictures
* Add links to your own web sites

This is not intended to try and replace the excellent ACARS Mailing List. It's been created to give people a more "interactive" on-line experience.

The group is located at http://uk.clubs.yahoo.com/clubs/acarsonline

Cheers,

Mark

http://www.acarsonline.co.uk

386. Re: PRO-34 FOR SALE (sold)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 11:02:04 UTC
From: kc8ewq@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010610070204.10186.00002344@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 64300

the radio is sold

387. Re: FA: SONY ICF-2010 Shortwave (NICE!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 12:57:04 UTC
From: Knut Otterbeck <otterbeck@c2i.net>
Message-ID: <A8KU6.6030$qR5.493704@news01.chello.no>
Source record: 64302
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Hi all,

If talking about the portable or semi-portable radio range , I think he's
"on the money" when stating that the Sony ICF-2010 is "One of the best
radios under 1000$"

And as you can see ,it's a very popular radio!

Greetings,
--
Knut Otterbeck
Oslo - Norway

http://home.enitel.no/grundig_satellit
Grundig Satellit info & Radio links
(Alternate e-mail: otterbeck@c2i.net)

"Wayne Williams" <aa5jj@swbell.net> wrote in message news:3B22B26F.60AF1EED@swbell.net...
> YOU HAVE TO BE KIDDING
>
>
> W5IDX wrote:
>
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243511438
>

388. Re: smart cards

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 14:44:39 UTC
From: shareviews@antionline.org
Message-ID: <3b2387b0.521057@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 64303

Might want to try reading alt.dss or alt.dss.hack....

On 10 Jun 2001 04:14:42 GMT, khenne3572@aol.com (KHenne3572) wrote:

>what is the price of a replacement smart card for dish network

389. Re: 1240-1300 Mhz Ham band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 16:15:28 UTC
From: "Frank J. Bals" <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <A2NU6.74310$4f7.5740074@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64304

Bill,

I would have to say it's about 50 feet off the ground. It's mounted on
a 10 foot mast off my chimney. I'm using 50 feet of low-loss RG-6U coax
cable.

Frank
"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:pgtU6.52926$DG1.8779013@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> The D130J is a great antenna...I have one myself. What is the total
height,
> from the ground, of the antenna itself? What type of coax are you using,
> and how many feet is the run?
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "Frank J. Bals" <FJB@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:P2tU6.72376$4f7.5567994@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Bill,
> >
> > I'm using a D130J Discone for 25-1300 Mhz reception mounted on my
> > roof...
> >
> > Frank
> > "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
> > news:0ZrU6.52404$DG1.8713754@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> > > What are you using as an antenna? At those frequencies, the signals
is
> > very
> > > much, line-of site. Also, if you're attempting to use an outside
> antenna,
> > > there is too much loss in most any coax that the typical home
> installation
> > > would have.
> > >
> > > Bill Crocker
> > >
> > >
> > > "Frank J. Bals" <FJB@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > > news:_dpU6.69857$t12.5605678@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > > > What portion of this band is for voice signals? I haven't had any
luck
> > > > monitoring this band. Are there any confirmed frequencies for the
> > > > Cleveland, Ohio area?

> > > >
> > > > Frank
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

390. Re: Icom ICR1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 16:54:58 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <CDNU6.59929$DG1.9553230@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 64305

The IC-R2???? Or did you mean the IC-R1? I think the IC-R2 is one of the best!

Bill Crocker

"John Hilt" <jhilt@online.no> wrote in message news:3b3d14e7.3240300@news.online.no...
> G'day all!
> On Sat, 27 Jan 2001 19:38:13 -0000 roderick.cosh wrote:
>
> >Does anyone one have any details on mods to improve the front end
> >performance of this radio?
>
> Sell it and buy another radio.
>
> In my experience even the IC-R2 is totally useless compared to all other
> radios I have used. When the squelch opens the signal drowns in noise
> even if you are within visual range of the transmitter. I returned mine
> to the dealer and they found nothing wrong with it. :-I
> (www.permo.no)
>
> --
> _/_/_/ hilt.no http://www.uwasa.fi/~ts/http/quote.html
>
> The more politicians I meet, the more I like my dog.

391. ICOM IC-R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 19:48:44 UTC
From: d0011 <d0011@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <waQU6.32270$ha1.5203532@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 64306

I am leaning towards buying an Icom IC-R10 and would like to hear from owners regarding its performance. I currently have an Icom IC-R2, Yaesu VR-500, Uniden BC245 and BC895, Radio Shack Pro -39 and Pro 2056, Sony ICF-SP1PC and a Relm HS200. Thanks in advance.

Sal

392. Re: Icom ICR1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 20:24:24 UTC
From: Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <9g0l2l$2v8p$1@raewyn.paradise.net.nz>
Source record: 64308

I can detect NO differences in MARGINAL vhf/uhf reception between my current receivers/transceivers - Icom R1, R3, Q7(R2), Uniden 045, 60,220, 940, 9000, Sony Air-7, Yupiteru 8000 if connected to the same antenna.

Neville

"John Hilt" <jhilt@online.no> wrote in message news:3b3d14e7.3240300@news.online.no...
> G'day all!
> On Sat, 27 Jan 2001 19:38:13 -0000 roderick.cosh wrote:
>
> >Does anyone one have any details on mods to improve the front end
> >performance of this radio?
>
> Sell it and buy another radio.
>
> In my experience even the IC-R2 is totally useless compared to all other
> radios I have used. When the squelch opens the signal drowns in noise
> even if you are within visual range of the transmitter. I returned mine
> to the dealer and they found nothing wrong with it. :-I
> (www.permo.no)
>
> --
> _/_/_/ hilt.no http://www.uwasa.fi/~ts/http/quote.html
>
> The more politicians I meet, the more I like my dog.

393. Scanner Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 20:24:29 UTC
From: Ed Ortiz <eortiz@cmix.net>
Message-ID: <ti7l728tbjlf8c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64307

Any good frequency logger programs for the scanner.

Thank in advance!

Ed

394. My New Site - Can you help?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 20:50:24 UTC
From: Eric Jones <eric_jones1@home.com>
Message-ID: <k4RU6.69308$G5.15040697@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 64309

Hey all I was hoping you would take the time to check out my new site at
http://www.scannerhounds.com and tell me if you think I should add anything
to my plans.

Thanks

email to hound(AT)scannerhounds.com or post here....

395. Re: Cellphonescanner.com site Shut down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 21:02:46 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <7bRU6.641$I24.3659@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 64310
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,rec.radio.scanner

That's not a good link either. I get the following for either link given:
"The requested document
could not be found."

Mark

"John Habbinga" <habbinga@wt.net> wrote in message
news:oc6U6.44040$aA5.261667@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com...
> http://www.canoe.ca/OttawaNews/OS.OS-06-08-0008.html
>
> "Champ" <champ227@home.com> wrote in message
> news:01c0efdd$37fb4980$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a.rchrd1.on.wave.home.com...
> > Ottawa CellPhones Broadcast Over The Internet
> > CellPhones Being Broadcast on the Internet Using Scanner. Story From The
> > Ottawa Sun
> > http://www.canoe.ca/OttawaNews/OS.OS-06-07-0003.html
> >
> >
> > --
> > Live Scanner Audio is here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> > Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
> > Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
> > Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
> > Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
> > Local Arts & Entertainment Coverage at it's best
> > http://www.ottawaxpress.com
> >
>
>

396. Re: Radio Shack Model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 21:18:19 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <KpRU6.652$I24.4015@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 64311

PH,
Great link, but you should have read it more carefully. The parts you quote come under the header "Recording Interstate or Foreign Telephone Conversations" which is where Federal rules and regulations preempt state laws. In all likelihood, the original question will pertain to local calls. Under the header "Recording Intrastate Conversations", you will find the following statement: "Questions or complaints about recording intrastate conversations (calls placed within the same state) should be addressed to the state public utility commission for that state. You can contact your local or state consumer office to obtain the telephone number and address for your state public utility commission. This information also may be listed in the government section of your telephone directory." Some states allow the taping of personal calls by one of the parties making the call, other states require both (or all) parties to agree to the taping. So the person making the tape needs to find out the laws in his or her state. However, (and I'm no lawyer), I believe that just about all states would prohibit phonecalls being taped by a third party, even if the calls are being taped by the parent or legal guardian of a minor child.
Having said that, I believe the questioner needs to seek professional counseling. Either he or his daughter have a problem that needs to be handled promptly by a qualified professional.

Mark

"Power House" <Power House@My House (DOT) Com> wrote in message news:ti2s9c6hvfiia6@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Urei" <urei@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010608123148.19182.00002191@ng-fi1.aol.com...
> > >I'm
> > >not sure if this applies to a persons own family, but I would assume so..
> >
> > If you're recording your own phone it's legal. If it wasn't how could
> > retailers sell the recording devices?
> > .
> Easily, because it's NOT illegal if you have the consent of ALL parties
> involved it the conversation....
>
> > >In any case, it would still be illegal to do as you would also be listing
> to
> > >the party on the other end, as well, and that is illegal without the
> other
> > >persons consent to do so...

> >
> > Negative. If you are a party to the call and you consent, you need
> neither
> > inform the other party nor obtain their permission. Taping calls that
> you're
> > not party to gets into another legal realm altogether.
> >
> Negative on your part... ALL parties have to consent to the recording...
> If they don't then it is illegal.. Quote from the FCC website:
>
> The FCC protects the privacy of telephone conversations by requiring
> notification before a recording device is used to record interstate or
> foreign telephone conversations. These types of conversations may not be
> recorded unless the use of a recording device is:
>
> 1) preceded by verbal or written consent of all parties to the telephone
> conversation; or
>
> 2) preceded by verbal notification which is recorded at the beginning, and
> as part of the call, by the recording party; or
>
> 3) accompanied by an automatic tone warning device, sometimes called a
beep
> tone, which automatically produces a distinct signal that is repeated at
> regular intervals during the course of the telephone conversation when the
> recording device is in use.
>
> So, where does it say that only one person has to consent, and the other
> does not need to know about it?
>
> > <<< Especially if taping is involved...
> >
> > Taping the call makes no difference. Tapping is tapping whether you
> record or
> > just listen.
>
> Already proved my point above... Your wrong.. period!
>
> Here is the link if you must see it... Check it out for yourself...
>
> http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Common_Carrier/Factsheets/record.html
>
> Stupidity is the grandest of all forms ignorance. RESEARCH before you
> rattle your trap... It saves the embarrassment afterwards....
>
>
>
>

397. FA:: Sony ICF-2010 Few Hours Left.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 21:37:06 UTC
From: W5IDX <W5IDX@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <6MRU6.72279$t12.5867721@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64312
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243511438

Thanks W5IDX

398. Re: FA: SONY ICF-2010 Shortwave (NICE!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 21:41:25 UTC
From: W5IDX <W5IDX@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <9QRU6.72287$t12.5869870@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64313
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Thanks Knut .
I Have Had MANY Of The Receivers From Kenwood, Tentec Hallicrafters,
Grundig, Icom, Collins And On & On.
I Rate The Sony As One Of The Best.

As A Portable It Can't Be Beat With Anything I Have Seen.
As A Table Top It's In The Top Performers.

Good DX
W5IDX

Knut Otterbeck <otterbeck@c2i.net> wrote in message
news:A8KU6.6030$qR5.493704@news01.chello.no...
>
> Hi all,
>
> If talking about the portable or semi-portable radio range , I think he's
> "on the money" when stating that the Sony ICF-2010 is "One of the best
> radios under 1000$"
>
> And as you can see ,it's a very popular radio!
>
> Greetings,
> --
> Knut Otterbeck
> Oslo - Norway
>
> http://home.enitel.no/grundig_satellit
> Grundig Satellit info & Radio links
> (Alternate e-mail: otterbeck@c2i.net)
>
> "Wayne Williams" <aa5jj@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:3B22B26F.60AF1EED@swbell.net...
> > YOU HAVE TO BE KIDDING
> >
> >
> > W5IDX wrote:
> >
> > > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243511438
> >
>

399. Re: 1240-1300 Mhz Ham band

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 21:43:50 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <DNRU6.664$I24.3555@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 64314

Frank,

According to my brand new ARRL Repeater Directory, there is one amateur repeater in this band in the Cleveland area on 1291 MHz, sponsored by N8OND. Any portion of the band MAY be used for FM voice, subject to approval of the coordinating committee if intended for repeater use. The ARRL bandplan, which is a guideline only, shows the following allocations.

1270-1276 Repeater inputs - FM, paired with 1282-1288
1282-1288 Repeater outputs
1294-1295 Narrowband FM simplex, 25 KHz spacing
1294.50 National FM Simplex calling

Notice that the above repeater does not fall within the ARRL-suggested bandplan. in fact, of the seven 1.2 gig. repeaters listed in Ohio, only one meets the bandplan, and it is apparently a simplex repeater on 1285, as no input frequency is listed. the other six are in the 1290-1292.2 range, with inputs in the 1270-1272.2 range.

Mark

"Frank J. Bals" <FJB@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:_dpU6.69857$t12.5605678@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> What portion of this band is for voice signals? I haven't had any luck
> monitoring this band. Are there any confirmed frequencies for the
> Cleveland, Ohio area?
>
> Frank
>
>

400. Re: ICOM IC-R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 21:44:51 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <nTRU6.61021$DG1.9726815@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 64315

It's an excellent hand-held communications receiver, but not the best scanner. The scan rate is very slow, compared to most scanners. It also eats batteries fast!

Bill Crocker

"d0011" <d0011@optonline.net> wrote in message news:waQU6.32270$ha1.5203532@news02.optonline.net...
> I am leaning towards buying an Icom IC-R10 and would like to hear from
> owners regarding its performance. I currently have an Icom IC-R2, Yaesu
> VR-500, Uniden BC245 and BC895, Radio Shack Pro -39 and Pro 2056, Sony
> ICF-SP1PC and a Relm HS200. Thanks in advance.
>
> Sal
>
>

401. FA: Motorola Radius Mobile, UHF 450-470 MHZ, 40 Watts - Nice !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 21:48:46 UTC
From: Phil Grunden <pgrund@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2XRU6.2084$II5.262394@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64316
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

See at Ebay URL:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1244310576

402. Re: Assisiting police w/scanner?...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 21:54:51 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <YXRU6.685$I24.3501@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 64317

Yes, the police and fire people do. Quite aside from the possibility that you will be getting in the way and hindering the public safety people in the performance of the duties (which could net you a ticket and/or an arrest), there is the very real possibility that you could become another victim do to your presence. You could become involved in an accident while gawking at an accident or fire, or you could be struck by a "stray" round during a confrontation between police and an armed suspect. It is even possible that your presence could trigger a suspect to open fire if he/she is already agitated. To repeat a comment made by a man with a gun recently while he was holding police at bay: "What are those people staring at!! Tell them to get out of here or I'll shoot!!"

In short, stay at home, listen to your scanner, and read about it or watch it on the news.

Mark

"Outrunsho21" <outrunsho21@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010609124932.24528.00000123@ng-mg1.news.cs.com...
> What is wrong with chasing calls. Does someone have a problem with that?
>
> Jason

403. Re: Cellphonescanner.com site Shut down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 22:12:25 UTC
From: Power House <"Power House"@My>
Message-ID: <ti7s4kckmgq78b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64318
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,rec.radio.scanner

It must move everyday or something... I was able to read it from that link just the other day... I tried searching for it, but could not find an updated link... Perhaps they pull articles after a couple days? Not sure... But can't seem to find it any more...

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message news:7bRU6.641$I24.3659@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> That's not a good link either. I get the following for either link given:
> "The requested document
> could not be found."
>
> Mark
>
> "John Habbinga" <habbinga@wt.net> wrote in message
> news:oc6U6.44040$aA5.261667@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com...
> > http://www.canoe.ca/OttawaNews/OS.OS-06-08-0008.html
> >
> > "Champ" <champ227@home.com> wrote in message
> > news:01c0efdd$37fb4980$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a.rchrd1.on.wave.home.com...
> > > Ottawa CellPhones Broadcast Over The Internet
> > > CellPhones Being Broadcast on the Internet Using Scanner. Story From The
> > > Ottawa Sun
> > > http://www.canoe.ca/OttawaNews/OS.OS-06-07-0003.html
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Live Scanner Audio is here:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> > > Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
> > > Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
> > > Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
> > > Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
> > > Local Arts & Entertainment Coverage at it's best
> > > http://www.ottawaxpress.com
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

404. Re: Radio Shack Model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 22:34:58 UTC
From: rychard@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b23eaf4.4702312@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 64319
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 9 Jun 2001 07:36:12 -0500, "Dionin Lord" <dionin@btlex.com>
wrote:

>The legality depends on two things. First, what state do you live in? Some
>states require the consent of both parties to the call, some only require
>the consent of one party. Second, does your daughter consent? If not, then
>you're violating the Federal wire tapping statute, and it doesn't matter in
>which US state you live. The fact that she's your daughter, she's a minor,
>that she lives in your house, you pay the phone bill, etc. have no legal
>significance regarding conviction, but they might help you at the sentencing
>hearing.
>
>I usually recommend people contact an attorney in their own state when they
>have a legal question; but in your case I recommend a family counselor.
>
><DarMeat-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
>news:991950122.3b1ff52a50575@public.webmail.cotse.com...
>> Hello Group
>>
>> Is it legal to moniter my minor daughters phone calls?
>>
>> If it's legal in the USA, will someone tell me the Radio Shack Model # for
>the device to install on my line so I can recieve signals on my scanner or
>hook up to a recorder.
>>
>> If this is illegal, then please disregard.
>>
>> Thanks
>>
>
>
Seems to me that if you tell you daughter/son/whoever that this phone
is tapped(since I pay the bill,and its in my name), and it contains a
beep tone/message informing the other party of the same.That it would
not matter if your daughter/son/whoever consented or not.
Many phones, are automatically recorded,such as 911,banks,tip
lines.They don't ask for consent.
I thought in many states,there is a thing called "Expectation of
Privacy".Where you can record oneone without their knowledge
if it is in a public place,where they should not expect privacy
anyway.But that you can not record them in say the bedroom with the
door shut,since they except what they say is in private.
BigBrother has been tapping restaurant tables for years listening to

WiseGuys and such.
Its a Brave New World.I never dreamed I'd be a movie star,but everywhere I look I see cameras,in stores,on the highways,on the downtown street corners.There's even a sign near the public library in the downtown area that reads "Video Recorded Zone". I don't expect privacy anywhere anymore.
I second the other guy,don't record your daughter,talk to her,or get a fanily counselor. Life's a game where if you make a bad decision and you follow it through,you don't get to undo it.
Dean

405. Re: ICOM IC-R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 23:20:47 UTC
From: JP <brontebear@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <jhTU6.92582$r7.12196709@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 64320

It is very slow when scanning - 6 channel/sec and there is a delay that is
on all
the time.

d0011 <d0011@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:waQU6.32270$ha1.5203532@news02.optonline.net...
> I am leaning towards buying an Icom IC-R10 and would like to hear from
> owners regarding its performance. I currently have an Icom IC-R2, Yaesu
> VR-500, Uniden BC245 and BC895, Radio Shack Pro -39 and Pro 2056, Sony
> ICF-SP1PC and a Relm HS200. Thanks in advance.
>
> Sal
>
>

406. For sale at pawn shop: Uniden BC8500XLT...for $999.99!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 23:47:27 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010610194727.03759.00004852@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 64321

Saw this yesterday. Thinking it was a misprint, I asked the clerk. She said the price was correct...AND that they had $600 into it!!

P.T. Barnum was correct. There's one born every minute!

407. Re: Cell-capable scanner ...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 23:47:27 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010610194727.03759.00004851@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 64324

In article <9ftncqgue1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Patricia Gibbons" <wa6ube@tactical-link.com> writes:

>But then the Liberals like Schumer are too dumb to realize
>that concealed carry for the law-abiding would be the better
>solution..

Unfortunately, I don't believe it's this simple. The liberals know exactly what they're doing. Their ultimate goal is not gun control, but gun confiscation. The national firearms registration program, which they hope to enact into law, will easily allow them to accomplish this goal.

408. Re: BC860-xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 23:47:28 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010610194728.03759.00004853@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 64322

In article <3B21BAE0.88614DF2@home.com>, chris <johnncris@home.com> writes:

>I would like to buy BC860-xlt with cellular coverage. Since this model
>has discontinued, any one has it for sale

Careful. Most 860s cannot be modified for full 800Mhz coverage. Only a few of the very early models can do this.

Instead, try to find an 855XLT. This was the predecessor to the 860. All 855s can be easily modified. Channel expansion, from 50 > 100 channels, is also an easy modification.

409. Re: Assisiting police w/scanner?...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 23:47:28 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010610194728.03759.00004854@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 64325

In article <YXRU6.685$I24.3501@newsfeed.slurp.net>, "M. Schneider"
<markinokla@juno.com> writes:

>there is the very real possibility that you could become another victim do
>to your presence. You could become involved in an accident while gawking at
>an accident or fire, or you could be struck by a "stray" round during a
>confrontation between police and an armed suspect

In today's junk society, this could work to your financial advantage. Find a
lawyer and sue, sue, sue the city for negligence. Some brain-dead jury will
feel sorry for you and award you a few million for your trouble. After all,
it's only tax dollars.

410. Re: Cellphone chats broadcast on Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-10 23:47:29 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010610194729.03759.00004855@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 64323

In article <CFiU6.65183$Be4.22061240@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>, "Lennie Beecroft" <lbeecroft8041@home.com> writes:

> It seems to be perfectly legal, but it has come as a
>shock to cellphone users who hadn't realized how easy it is to eavesdrop on
>older cellphones.

Now they do, and the news media is all over this story.

Next step: restrictive legislation, similar to what we have in the U.S. If you want a cellular-capable scanner in The Great White North, you'd better buy it soon.

411. FA:OKI900

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 00:18:25 UTC
From: "Rufus T. Firefly" <magix@NOWAYspartanburg.net>
Message-ID: <ff38it0h3uhaa4e0ojb5382at5g5c0qqif@4ax.com>
Source record: 64326

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1245427985

412. Re: FS/TRADE: UBC 9000 includes all in perfect shape, except toneboard 240.00 US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 00:37:26 UTC
From: cbvarmint@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010610203726.22804.00003615@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Source record: 64327

do you still have the ubc9000, what kind of condition, and how old. Glen

413. f.s rubberduck antenna 9" long gain antenna for 800mhz 15.00US includes shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 00:54:16 UTC
From: jwap <mediaguy@home.com>
Message-ID: <YEUU6.93219$r7.12217575@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 64328

forsale:

rubberduck antenna 9"long black gain antenna for 800 mhz

15.00US includes shipping

mediaguy@home.com

414. Re: FA: SONY ICF-2010 Shortwave (NICE!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 00:59:30 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <SJUU6.38833$mG4.19832932@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 64329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I love the Sony 2010. I don't own one any longer though. Reason is, the 2010 does not have fine tuning for SSB. REAL BUMMER!!!!! The 2001 had fine tuning, but the 2010 does not. The 7600G has fine tuning. Does this make any sense? What a great radio the 2010 could have been.
DeWayne

> Thanks Knut .
> I Have Had MANY Of The Receivers From Kenwood, Tentec Hallicrafters,
> Grundig, Icom, Collins And On & On.
> I Rate The Sony As One Of The Best.
>
> As A Portable It Can't Be Beat With Anything I Have Seen.
> As A Table Top It's In The Top Performers.
>
> Good DX
> W5IDX
>
>
> Knut Otterbeck <otterbeck@c2i.net> wrote in message
> news:A8KU6.6030$qR5.493704@news01.chello.no...
> >
> > Hi all,
> >
> > If talking about the portable or semi-portable radio range , I think
he's
> > "on the money" when stating that the Sony ICF-2010 is "One of the best
> > radios under 1000$"
> >
> > And as you can see ,it's a very popular radio!
> >
> > Greetings,
> > --
> > Knut Otterbeck
> > Oslo - Norway
> >
> > http://home.enitel.no/grundig_satellit
> > Grundig Satellit info & Radio links
> > (Alternate e-mail: otterbeck@c2i.net)
> >
> > "Wayne Williams" <aa5jj@swbell.net> wrote in message
> news:3B22B26F.60AF1EED@swbell.net...
> > > YOU HAVE TO BE KIDDING
> > >

> > >
> > > W5IDX wrote:
> > >
> > > > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243511438
> > >
> >
>
>

415. Re: ICOM IC-R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 01:29:05 UTC
From: Bruce Oltman <baoltman@home.com>
Message-ID: <baoltman-3FAA10.20290710062001@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 64330

In article <waQU6.32270$ha1.5203532@news02.optonline.net>,
"d0011" <d0011@optonline.net> wrote:

> I am leaning towards buying an Icom IC-R10 and would like to hear from
> owners regarding its performance. I currently have an Icom IC-R2, Yaesu
> VR-500, Uniden BC245 and BC895, Radio Shack Pro -39 and Pro 2056, Sony
> ICF-SP1PC and a Relm HS200. Thanks in advance.
>
> Sal
>
>

I've compared my IC-R10 to my dad's VR-500 and they're very comprable.
Just a different interface. I don't think you'd find any benefit to
having both.

--
----+----1----+----2----+----3----+----4----+----5----+----6----+----7--
Bruce A Oltman | 42.064 N. Latitude
baoltman@home.com | 88.038 W. Longitude

416. Re: Cellphonescanner.com site Shut down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 01:53:42 UTC
From: Pat L <gel751@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B24258B.6F3A4822@home.com>
Source record: 64331
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,ott.general,rec.radio.scanner

It's been removed. There was a piece about it in the Sun the other day.

Power House wrote:

> It must move everyday or something... I was able to read it from that link
> just the other day... I tried searching for it, but could not find an
> updated link... Perhaps they pull articles after a couple days? Not
> sure... But can't seem to find it any more...
>
> "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:7bRU6.641$I24.3659@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > That's not a good link either. I get the following for either link given:
> > "The requested document
> > could not be found."
> >
> > Mark
> >
> > "John Habbinga" <habbinga@wt.net> wrote in message
> > news:oc6U6.44040$aA5.261667@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com...
> > > http://www.canoe.ca/OttawaNews/OS.OS-06-08-0008.html
> > >
> > > "Champ" <champ227@home.com> wrote in message
> > > news:01c0efdd$37fb4980$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a.rchrd1.on.wave.home.com...
> > > > Ottawa CellPhones Broadcast Over The Internet
> > > > CellPhones Being Broadcast on the Internet Using Scanner. Story From
> The
> > > > Ottawa Sun
> > > > http://www.canoe.ca/OttawaNews/OS.OS-06-07-0003.html
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Live Scanner Audio is here:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> > > > Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
> > > > Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
> > > > Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
> > > > Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
> > > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
> > > > Local Arts & Entertainment Coverage at it's best
> > > > http://www.ottawaxpress.com
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >

> >

417. Re: FA: SONY ICF-2010 Shortwave (NICE!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 01:56:04 UTC
From: "Frank J. Bals" <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <UyVU6.72855$t12.5909099@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

I think there is a "fine tuning SSB" modification for the 2010. Anybody familiar with this mod?

Frank

DeWayne" <ke9k@home.com> wrote in message news:SJUU6.38833$mG4.19832932@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
>
> I love the Sony 2010. I don't own one any longer though. Reason is, the 2010
> does not have fine tuning for SSB. REAL BUMMER!!!!! The 2001 had fine
> tuning, but the 2010 does not. The 7600G has fine tuning. Does this make any
> sense? What a great radio the 2010 could have been.
> DeWayne
>
> > Thanks Knut .
> > I Have Had MANY Of The Receivers From Kenwood, Tentec Hallicrafters,
> > Grundig, Icom, Collins And On & On.
> > I Rate The Sony As One Of The Best.
> >
> > As A Portable It Can't Be Beat With Anything I Have Seen.
> > As A Table Top It's In The Top Performers.
> >
> > Good DX
> > W5IDX
> >
> >
> > Knut Otterbeck <otterbeck@c2i.net> wrote in message
> > news:A8KU6.6030$qR5.493704@news01.chello.no...
> > >
> > > Hi all,
> > >
> > > If talking about the portable or semi-portable radio range , I think he's
> > > "on the money" when stating that the Sony ICF-2010 is "One of the best
> > > radios under 1000$"
> > >
> > > And as you can see ,it's a very popular radio!
> > >
> > > Greetings,
> > > --
> > > Knut Otterbeck
> > > Oslo - Norway

> > >
> > > http://home.enitel.no/grundig_satellit
> > > Grundig Satellit info & Radio links
> > > (Alternate e-mail: otterbeck@c2i.net)
> > >
> > > "Wayne Williams" <aa5jj@swbell.net> wrote in message
> > news:3B22B26F.60AF1EED@swbell.net...
> > > > > YOU HAVE TO BE KIDDING
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > W5IDX wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243511438
> > > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

418. Re: An Antenna for hand-held scanner ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 01:57:50 UTC
From: Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9g18of$3oq$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64333

I currently use the Diamond RH77CA. Very happy with it. The Austin Condor
is supposed to be another good rubber duck.

Mike

"Paul Crissy" <pcrissy@usenm.net> wrote in message
news:Xns90B9E2F21BB57pcrissyusenmnet@204.127.36.1...
> Any recommendations for a good antenna for a handheld scanner? I mostly
> listen on 800 mHz to the police/fire frequencies.
>
> Currently I have a Radio Shack model 20-034 "VHF/UHF High-Performance
> Handheld Scanner Antenna" mounted on my scanner.
>

419. ICOM R100 I.F. FILTERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 03:29:40 UTC
From: NANCY GIANAKOPOULOS <n.gianakopoulos@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <EWWU6.72993$t12.5926949@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64334

Hi folks,
Has anybody out there done any modifications to the I.F.
filter section of the receiver. The AM bandwidth has pretty poor skirt
selectivity. I was thinking of throwing either a mechanical filter or a
ceramic filter with more elements, such as a Murata CFS or CFJ series
filter.

Pete Gianakopoulos
Chicago, Il.

420. Re: FA: SONY ICF-2010 Shortwave (NICE!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 04:24:42 UTC
From: judy and martin <mjbrowni@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B24480A.4B3ADD72@yahoo.com>
Source record: 64335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

The 2010 is a great unit. It has nice audio and a good sync detector. It's a good gateway between simple portable and serious comms receiver.

It's true that it can only tune in 100 Hz steps in SSB (50 or 25 Hz would have been nicer), so if you are listening to RTTY or code signals, it's not exactly great, but it still gets the vast majority of ham broadcasts nicely. Most SSB broadcasts sound fine and AM broadcasts are bellissimo !!

I've heard of a mod for this, and another to improve the wide bandwidth filter. I don't know which company/individual performs this mod. Kiwa offer a filter mod. My unit is unmodded and I love it nevertheless !!

martin

"Frank J. Bals" wrote:

> I think there is a "fine tuning SSB" modification for the 2010. Anybody
> familiar with this mod?
>
> Frank
>
> DeWayne" <ke9k@home.com> wrote in message
> news:SJUU6.38833$mG4.19832932@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> >
> > I love the Sony 2010. I don't own one any longer though. Reason is, the
> 2010
> > does not have fine tuning for SSB. REAL BUMMER!!!!! The 2001 had fine
> > tuning, but the 2010 does not. The 7600G has fine tuning. Does this make
> any
> > sense? What a great radio the 2010 could have been.
> > DeWayne
> >
> > > Thanks Knut .
> > > I Have Had MANY Of The Receivers From Kenwood, Tentec Hallicrafters,
> > > Grundig, Icom, Collins And On & On.
> > > I Rate The Sony As One Of The Best.
> > >
> > > As A Portable It Can't Be Beat With Anything I Have Seen.
> > > As A Table Top It's In The Top Performers.
> > >
> > > Good DX
> > > W5IDX
> > >
> > >
> > > Knut Otterbeck <otterbeck@c2i.net> wrote in message

> > > news:A8KU6.6030$qR5.493704@news01.chello.no...
> > > >
> > > > Hi all,
> > > >
> > > > If talking about the portable or semi-portable radio range , I think
> > he's
> > > > "on the money" when stating that the Sony ICF-2010 is "One of the best
> > > > radios under 1000$"
> > > >
> > > > And as you can see ,it's a very popular radio!
> > > >
> > > > Greetings,
> > > > --
> > > > Knut Otterbeck
> > > > Oslo - Norway
> > > >
> > > > http://home.enitel.no/grundig_satellit
> > > > Grundig Satellit info & Radio links
> > > > (Alternate e-mail: otterbeck@c2i.net)
> > > >
> > > > "Wayne Williams" <aa5jj@swbell.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3B22B26F.60AF1EED@swbell.net...
> > > > > YOU HAVE TO BE KIDDING
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > W5IDX wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243511438
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

421. Re: For sale at pawn shop: Uniden BC8500XLT...for $999.99!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 04:34:56 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B244B60.486A58F6@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64336

TooManyRadios wrote:
>
> Saw this yesterday. Thinking it was a misprint, I asked the clerk. She said
> the price was correct...AND that they had $600 into it!!
>
> P.T. Barnum was correct. There's one born every minute!

At least 3 from where I sit.

The guy who sold it to them.

The guy who bought it from him.

The person who will buy it from the store.

422. BC350A prgmg info pls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 04:55:25 UTC
From: billgnospam@cwnet.com
Message-ID: <9g1ivt$2gp$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 64337

I just picked up a nice BC350A at a flea market. $5 bucks, guaranteed not to work. Found a shorted reverse current diode, replaced it, and she came to life! However, I can't figure out how to program the thing! It'll do all the preset functions, Police, Fire/emerg, etc. But cant figure out how to program my selected frequencies into memory. I'm guessing that the "private" button has something to do with it. Also, it indicates "memo loss" on the LCD,
and nothing I do makes that go away. Guess I need a manual, but I bet somebody out there can tell me what to do in a short email. If you're the one, email me direct, remove "nospam" from addr.

Thanks, Bill

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

423. South African frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 05:55:30 UTC
From: Greg <gregmcc@*REMOVE>
Message-ID: <3b245d56$0$228@hades.is.co.za>
Source record: 64338

Anyone got a list (or site) with frequencies in South Africa.

Thanks
Greg

424. Re: Radio Shack Model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 07:15:24 UTC
From: Power House <"Power House"@My>
Message-ID: <ti8ruq1i5pcbb2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64339

Thanks for the correction... I guess I didn't read far enough... I made the assumption that it would apply to all states, as federal law supercedes state law... I suppose had I read a little further I would have noticed the section about individual states.. I would also have to agree with your comment about the third party recording... I can't see that being legal in any state..

PH

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message news:KpRU6.652$I24.4015@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> PH,
> Great link, but you should have read it more carefully. The parts you
> quote come under the header "Recording Interstate or Foreign Telephone
> Conversations" which is where Federal rules and regulations preempt state
> laws. In all likelihood, the original question will pertain to local calls.
> Under the header "Recording Intrastate Conversations", you will find the
> following statement: "Questions or complaints about recording intrastate
> conversations (calls placed within the same state) should be addressed to
> the state public utility commission for that state. You can contact your
> local or state consumer office to obtain the telephone number and address
> for your state public utility commission. This information also may be
> listed in the government section of your telephone directory." Some states
> allow the taping of personal calls by one of the parties making the call,
> other states require both (or all) parties to agree to the taping. So the
> person making the tape needs to find out the laws in his or her state.
> However, (and I'm no lawyer), I believe that just about all states would
> prohibit phonecalls being taped by a third party, even if the calls are
> being taped by the parent or legal guardian of a minor child.
> Having said that, I believe the questioner needs to seek professional
> counseling. Either he or his daughter have a problem that needs to be
> handled promptly by a qualified professional.
>
> Mark
>

425. sending money in the mail- sort of o/t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 08:06:42 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B247C3D.3B7FAF@yahoo.com>
Source record: 64340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi- What is the safest way to send money in the mail other than cod route? I always thought it was postal money order but is that really any different than a regular money order? Will the postal service help me in any way if the person cashes my postal money order and doesn't deliver the goods? thanks

426. Scanner news (scanner nuts)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 14:04:44 UTC
From: "Dan B (Scanner Nuts)" <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <aa4V6.173$4I2.26975@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 64341
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have been trying to update the scanner news section of my site more often.
http://www.webdeals.net/news.htm
The site has been moved to a new server and seems much faster. Same address,
different server.
The site got so much traffic that my old hosting company decided that they
wanted to raise my fees
250% so I moved the site and transferred the domain name to a new hosting
company.
I will be adding about 30 more scanner pictures that have been emailed to
me. I have been
busy with the move and haven't updated the scanner picture page in about 30
days. Thanks for all of
you who have sent pictures in. The older ones are the best!

--
The Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
0ver 330 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
New and Old.... bring back the memories.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

427. Re: sending money in the mail- sort of o/t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 15:38:33 UTC
From: hijax <hijax@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9g2olf$fr4$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I wouldn't send it in the mail at all - I'd use PayPal (www.paypal.com).

It is instantaneous, reliable (8 million people use it), traceable,
international, and more.

I use it all the time. Check out the review at:
http://www.cnet.com/internet/0-3761-7-2040212.html?st.cn.3761-7-2040210.txt.
3761-7-2040212

-hijax

"Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3B247C3D.3B7FAF@yahoo.com...
> Hi- What is the safest way to send money in the mail other than cod
> route? I always thought it was postal money order but is that really any
> different than a regular money order? Will the postal service help me in
> any way if the person cashes my postal money order and doesn't deliver
> the goods? thanks

428. In-frequency for police i US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 16:22:33 UTC
From: Johan SM4XFJ <sm4xfj@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ab6V6.11425$vR1.34592@nntpserver.swip.net>
Source record: 64343

Hi all!
I was wondering what in-frequency the cops in the state uses... + or -
duplex 10 MHz or something like that maybe? Would be happy for an answer!

429. Re: Radioshack *best scanner poll*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 17:46:36 UTC
From: Mark <trunker@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <0u7V6.3672$eZ6.543777@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64344

For features the 2042 wins.

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:_NcT6.33672$DG1.5746031@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> That's a very broad question! Of course it depends on frequency coverage,
> and desired features.
>
> Of their current production, my favorites, both manufactured by GRE, for
> Radio Shack; PRO-92[b] hand-held, and the PRO-2067 mobile/base.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "R.Glazier" <rogsmail@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:9fjabt$7mo$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
> > Hi
> > It would be interesting to here what everybody thinks of radioshack
> > scanners,
> > which one/model would YOU say was the best.
> > RG
> >
> >
> >
>
>

430. Re: sending money in the mail- sort of o/t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 19:11:17 UTC
From: Bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B2517F7.9F6E65C8@home.com>
Source record: 64345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

thanks- but that doesn't answer the question. Not everyone accepts
Paypal.

hijax wrote:
>
> I wouldn't send it in the mail at all - I'd use PayPal (www.paypal.com).
>
> It is instantaneous, reliable (8 million people use it), traceable,
> international, and more.
>
> I use it all the time. Check out the review at:
> http://www.cnet.com/internet/0-3761-7-2040212.html?st.cn.3761-7-2040210.txt.
> 3761-7-2040212
>
> -hijax
>
> "Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3B247C3D.3B7FAF@yahoo.com...
> > Hi- What is the safest way to send money in the mail other than cod
> > route? I always thought it was postal money order but is that really any
> > different than a regular money order? Will the postal service help me in
> > any way if the person cashes my postal money order and doesn't deliver
> > the goods? thanks

431. Yupi mvt-7100 ????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 19:30:30 UTC
From: "V.S" <smurf123@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9g3663opc1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 64346

Hi
I'm thinking of getting the Yupi 7100.
what is this scanner like on HF on its stock antenna (telescopic whip).
I know its quite good on VHF/UHF using this whip, but have not had the
opportunity to try one on HF, some have told me it is not very good at all.
I expect it is excellent on a home base antenna, but its the telescopic whip
I am interested in
thanks
V.S

432. yupi mvt-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 19:35:05 UTC
From: "V.S" <smurf123@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9g36ev$k42$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 64197

Hi
I'm thinking of getting the Yupi 7100.
what is this scanner like on HF on its stock antenna (telescopic whip).
I know its quite good on VHF/UHF using this whip, but have not had the
opportunity to try one on HF, some have told me it is not very good at all.
I expect it is excellent on a home base antenna, but its the telescopic whip
I am interested in
thanks
V.S

433. Re: Icom ICR1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 22:26:42 UTC
From: Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <9g3gjq$e20$1@raewyn.paradise.net.nz>
Source record: 64198

I can detect NO differences in MARGINAL vhf/uhf reception between my current receivers/transceivers - Icom R1, R3, Q7(R2), Uniden 045, 60,220, 940, 9000, Sony Air-7, Yupiteru 8000 if connected to the same antenna.

Neville

"John Hilt" <jhilt@online.no> wrote in message news:3b3d14e7.3240300@news.online.no...
> G'day all!
> On Sat, 27 Jan 2001 19:38:13 -0000 roderick.cosh wrote:
>
> >Does anyone one have any details on mods to improve the front end
> >performance of this radio?
>
> Sell it and buy another radio.
>
> In my experience even the IC-R2 is totally useless compared to all other
> radios I have used. When the squelch opens the signal drowns in noise
> even if you are within visual range of the transmitter. I returned mine
> to the dealer and they found nothing wrong with it. :-I
> (www.permo.no)
>
> --
> _/_/_/ hilt.no http://www.uwasa.fi/~ts/http/quote.html
>
> The more politicians I meet, the more I like my dog.

434. Re: FA:OKI900

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 22:48:41 UTC
From: Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <9g3ht2emm1@raewyn.paradise.net.nz>
Source record: 64200

It is worth whatever someone is willing to pay! That is all your computer, car, house etc. is worth.

Neville

"Chris" <csnichol@netusa1.net> wrote in message news:3B25624E.3DD0@netusa1.net...
> OK somebody explain to me how this thing is worth $300
>
>
> Rufus T. Firefly wrote:
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1245427985

435. Update for Scan Master 895?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 22:53:32 UTC
From: Jason <ve3tyg@home.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.158f15e91f30b1b9896a1@news>
Source record: 64201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi

Does anyone have the latest update for ScanMaster 895? I have the prog
but they don't have the updates on their FTP site anymore, and I'd like
to re-install to get the trunking feature. If someone could e-mail me
the update, I'd appreciate it.

ve3tyg@home.com

Thanks,
Jason VE3TYG

436. Re: BC350A prgmg info pls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 23:03:03 UTC
From: d0011 <d0011@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <H6cV6.50815$ha1.7067713@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 64202

The BC350a is the same scanner as the Radio Shack Pro-2056. The following
link will take you to the user manual.

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/39986.htm

Sal

<billgnospam@cwnet.com> wrote in message
news:9g1ivt$2gp$1@news.netmar.com...
> I just picked up a nice BC350A at a flea market. $5 bucks, guaranteed not
> to work. Found a shorted reverse current diode, replaced it, and she came
> to life! However, I can't figure out how to program the thing! It'll do
all
> the preset functions, Police, Fire/emerg, etc. But cant figure out how to
> program my selected frequencies into memory. I'm guessing that the
"private"
> button has something to do with it. Also, it indicates "memo loss" on the
> LCD,
> and nothing I do makes that go away. Guess I need a manual, but I bet
> somebody out there can tell me what to do in a short email. If you're the
> one, email me direct, remove "nospam" from addr.
>
> Thanks, Bill
>
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the
eb -----
> http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+
groups
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
abuse@newsone.net

437. Re: FA:OKI900

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-11 23:17:31 UTC
From: bates <tobey@youum.net>
Message-ID: <i9kait0nec539isq733j705dk773ffa4lf@4ax.com>
Source record: 64203

It may not be to you or me but sure was to iamlove , seller gets what
ever the buyer is willing to pay..
On Mon, 11 Jun 2001 17:29:02 -0700, Chris <csnichol@netusa1.net>
wrote:

>OK somebody explain to me how this thing is worth $300
>
>
>Rufus T. Firefly wrote:
>>
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1245427985

438. Re: FA:OKI900

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 00:29:02 UTC
From: Chris <csnichol@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <3B25624E.3DD0@netusa1.net>
Source record: 64199

OK somebody explain to me how this thing is worth $300

Rufus T. Firefly wrote:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1245427985

439. Re: BC350A prgmg info pls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 00:45:56 UTC
From: mamaspajamas <yougottadelthisx@alexis.com>
Message-ID: <9g3od1vec1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64204

memo loss just means it got unplugged long enough to lose the memories someone had programmed into it, no problem, it will disappear when you reprogram it. It is very easy to use, most stuff is already in there in the preprogrammed bands for police, air, marine, you just hit the button and get it all, lockout the ones you don't want with the L\O lockout button!

That scanner is worth about $65 in good shape, it is very sensitive and will pickup lots of stuff in the low numbers better than the scanners costing 3 times as much!

The manual is one of the FEW, very few, that uniden actually gives you for free at their website! in pdf format, if you know what that is, probably do if you are in here!

http://www.uniden.com/om_5scan_2bm.html

<billgnospam@cwnet.com> wrote in message
news:9g1ivt$2gp$1@news.netmar.com...
> I just picked up a nice BC350A at a flea market. $5 bucks, guaranteed not
> to work. Found a shorted reverse current diode, replaced it, and she came
> to life! However, I can't figure out how to program the thing! It'll do
all
> the preset functions, Police, Fire/emerg, etc. But cant figure out how to
> program my selected frequencies into memory. I'm guessing that the
"private"
> button has something to do with it. Also, it indicates "memo loss" on the
> LCD,
> and nothing I do makes that go away. Guess I need a manual, but I bet
> somebody out there can tell me what to do in a short email. If you're the
> one, email me direct, remove "nospam" from addr.
>
> Thanks, Bill
>
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the
eb -----
> http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+
groups
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
abuse@newsone.net

440. FS: NEW RS PRO-2067 WITH Cable and Software and Power Supply!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 00:58:12 UTC
From: Klodt_L <Klodt_L@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <u06MErt8AHA.185@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 64207

I have For Sale a NEW Radio Shack PRO-2067 Multi-Trunking scanner, AC Adapter, Programming Cable AND Programming Software. I was given this as a gift by my wife 2 months ago, and it has been sitting on my desk ever since. Our County has a digital System, so I can't scan them with this radio, and I am past the 30 day return from Radio Shack :-(I am asking $275 INCLUDING Priority shipping. Thanks for looking!

LARRY :-)
--
Larry Klodt - KC0HBB
kc0hbb@aol.com
146.940 MHz (-600khz PL 136.5)

441. Re: New to trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 01:03:21 UTC
From: mamaspajamas <yougottadelthisx@alexis.com>
Message-ID: <9g3pfblqh1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64205

yeah, if you afford it get it. if not, you can get a pro 94A for about $120 on ebay, I did!

"Tom Riggs" <thriggs@mail.netusa1.net> wrote in message news:3B1F157E.F7DD0586@mail.netusa1.net...
> Spend the money and get the Uniden Bearcat BC780XLT. You can conventional scan and
> trunk scan at the same time. Also, conventional scan and trunk search (for talk
> groups) at the same time. I have an EDACS, three Motorola systems, Air Band, and
> conventional channels all going at the same time, if I choose.
> As it has been said, 'This radio is not for the VCR challenged'. Sort of like
> comparing a computer to a typewriter.......
>
> "Richard L." wrote:
>
> > I'm looking at moving up to a trunk scanner, but not sure what I should get.
> >
> > One question I have is - can I scan both trunk services and regular channels
> > at the same time, or do I have to choose? I got the impression that it was
> > one or the other from one of the Uniden manuals, but that seemed stoooopid. I
> > probably didn't read it right?
> >
> > I don't need a super fancy unit. I'm looking for something that will monitor
> > a couple of departments that are trunked (say 5 or so) and a bunch of regular
> > stations.
> >
> > Thanks.
>

442. Re: Pro-2027 unblocked scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 01:04:57 UTC
From: mamaspajamas <yougottadelthisx@alexis.com>
Message-ID: <9g3pibeef1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64206

you are right, I just thought it was too new, it looks very modern!

"bates" <tobey@youum.net> wrote in message
news:ajouht850jgcfgiprkknjisjkc7l9njpbq@4ax.com...
> It sure can, its in many MOD sites ..
> On Wed, 6 Jun 2001 21:14:02 -0400, "mamaspajamas"
> <yougottadelthisx@alexis.com> wrote:
>
> >pro 2027 can be modified for full coverage? Where did you learn to do
that,
> >we all though it impossible!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >"Dave-Debra" <dj1deb@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >news:elYS6.21956$zl5.6886003@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> >> 100 ch. Radio shack 2027 Full coverage scanner, works perfect. (5 day
> >> auction only!)
> >> click on link below...
> >>
> >> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243755066
> >>
> >>
> >
>

443. Re: sending money in the mail- sort of o/t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 01:12:36 UTC
From: hijax <hijax@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9g3qa2$5i70$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

No, but anyone can. And it is a free service.

"Bruce" <bpk8227@home.com> wrote in message
news:3B2517F7.9F6E65C8@home.com...
> thanks- but that doesn't answer the question. Not everyone accepts
> Paypal.
>
> hijax wrote:
> >
> > I wouldn't send it in the mail at all - I'd use PayPal (www.paypal.com).
> >
> > It is instantaneous, reliable (8 million people use it), traceable,
> > international, and more.
> >
> > I use it all the time. Check out the review at:
> >
http://www.cnet.com/internet/0-3761-7-2040212.html?st.cn.3761-7-2040210.txt.
> > 3761-7-2040212
> >
> > -hijax
> >
> > "Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:3B247C3D.3B7FAF@yahoo.com...
> > > Hi- What is the safest way to send money in the mail other than cod
> > > route? I always thought it was postal money order but is that really
any
> > > different than a regular money order? Will the postal service help me
in
> > > any way if the person cashes my postal money order and doesn't deliver
> > > the goods? thanks

444. Re: In-frequency for police i US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 01:35:53 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <0heV6.1231$4I2.130539@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 64209

Depends on the band. Please see my web page for info.

http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis/comfreq.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

"Johan SM4XFJ" <sm4xfj@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ab6V6.11425$vR1.34592@nntpserver.swip.net...
> Hi all!
> I was wondering what in-frequency the cops in the state uses... + or -
> duplex 10 MHz or something like that maybe? Would be happy for an answer!
>
>

445. Re: FA: SONY ICF-2010 Shortwave (NICE!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 01:38:12 UTC
From: Barry <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <3B257284.9F6E303B@snet.net>
Source record: 64210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Wayne Williams wrote:
>
> YOU HAVE TO BE KIDDING
>
>
> W5IDX wrote:
>
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1243511438

Why?

These are GREAT radios for $200.

What else would you suggest in that price range that's better?

Barry

446. Re: Cellphonescanner.com site Shut down

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 02:36:03 UTC
From: DummyLoad@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21671-3B258013-34@storefull-115.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64211

I was on the website today...but could not bring up any audio files.

447. Re: Atlanta traffic - Atlanta Media Freqs.txt (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 02:56:10 UTC
From: go4tcross@cs.comspamnot
Message-ID: <20010611225610.22764.00001457@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64212

>On Tue, 29 May 2001 20:30:55 -0400, aerial-map@mindspring.com wrote:
>
>>Does anyone have the freq. for the Atlanta area traffic reporters; WSB
>>(Capt. Herb) etc. I have some of the freq. but have been unable to
>>locate any signals. Thanks
>>Steve
>
>Steve,
>
>Here's my list of Atlanta media freqs from when I used to live there.
>I hope that it helps.
>
Hmm... Sounds interesting. Some friends and I are going to travel through
Atlant next weekend. Can I have the list also?

Luke Hoffmann
Go4tcross@cs.com

"Bum? I am not a bum! I'm a jerk!"

448. Re: Assisiting police w/scanner?...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 03:04:32 UTC
From: mailme@rizzap.com
Message-ID: <3b2586a3.519263316@news.uia.net>
Source record: 64214

Go back and read the thread and then you'll know.

On 09 Jun 2001 16:49:32 GMT, outrunsho21@cs.com (Outrunsho21) wrote:

>What is wrong with chasing calls. Does someone have a problem with that?
>
>Jason

449. Repair for Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 03:06:05 UTC
From: David Harvey <dharvey6@home.com>
Message-ID: <xGfV6.94783$r7.12523147@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 64213

Does anyone know how to get an R2 apart so I can replace a broken display? The local shop wants 15 for the part, 150 for the labour. I think I could replace it myself, if only I could figure out how to get the thing apart. I've got the back off, taken out all visible screws, unscrewed the washers holding on the dial and the antenna connector, but the PCB's still won't budge. I don't want to force anything. Any suggestions?

450. Re: Radioshack *best scanner poll*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 03:08:38 UTC
From: mailme@rizzap.com
Message-ID: <3b26875c.519447543@news.uia.net>
Source record: 64215

>It would be interesting to here what everybody thinks of radioshack
>scanners,

Well, my Mom always told me that if I didn't have anything nice to
say...

>which one/model would YOU say was the best.
>RG
>

Ones made by Uniden were OK, but the recent GRE made units have all
been trash, IMHO of course.

451. Re: In-frequency for police i US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 03:56:57 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <9g43u9$7o3$1@sshuraab-i-1.production.compuserve.com>
Source record: 64216

"Johan SM4XFJ" <sm4xfj@hotmail.com> asked:
> I was wondering what in-frequency the cops in the state uses... + or -
> duplex 10 MHz or something like that maybe?

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/oak_faf.htm

Paired Frequencies

Base/Rptr mobile i/p offset

152-152 MHz 157-158 MHz +5.26 MHz (Taxi)
+5.26/+6.46 (Mobile Phone)
160-162 156-157 -4.6 (Marine VHF)
217-218 219-220 +2 (Marine AMTS)
220-221 221-222 +1
422-425 427-430 +5 (Great Lakes border)
450-465 455-470 +5 (10 MHz segments)
470-509 473-512 +3 (6 MHz segments)
851-896 806-851 -45
935-940 896-901 -39

452. Re: Is your cellphone conv's being brdcst online??? Check this out!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 04:04:42 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <ibtrevor@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B2594D0.73AC853B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 64217
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: ibtrevor.vcf

WHat is the url?

TooManyRadios wrote:

> I think this is funny as hell!
>
> Our do-gooder federal government, under the command of the cellular telephone
> industry, bans over-the-air reception of cellular calls in the United States.
> But now, we can hear these same cellular calls via the Internet...from CANADA!
>
> ...and there's not a damn thing the feds can do about it!

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

453. Re: ~~~EARTHQUAKE ALERT FREQUENCY~~~

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 04:06:07 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <ibtrevor@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B259526.E48D747E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 64218
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: ibtrevor.vcf

I found it on a site called freqs of nature ... don't have the url but hope this helps

HotProwl459 wrote:

> Can someone please tell me what the current earthquake alert frequency is for
> the area of the San Fernando Valley?

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

454. Frequency counters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 04:08:18 UTC
From: Troy <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3b2595c2$0$25489$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 64219
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does any one own, or has used, a Watson Frequency counter? I was curious as to whether they are any good. Are they as good as the Optoelectronics ones, i.e Scout, Cub.

Thanks all

455. Re: Radioshack *best scanner poll*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 04:42:00 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B259D97.4E7A209C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64220

Can't say that I agree with your evaluations.

I can/have compare(d) the PRO-92B with several older Bearcat and RS scanners. There is no comparison!! The PRO-92 outperforms them all in the 30MHz to 500MHz frequency ranges. That includes sensitivity and features (PL and DPL, etc.). When you add in the 800MHz and trunking, which I have found to be problem free, there is, as I said, no comparison.

Now, if you want to compare the PRO-92 with the Bearcat 780XL, that may be a different story.

Walt

pOsTeR bOy wrote:
>
> >It would be interesting to here what everybody thinks of radioshack
> >scanners,
>
> Well, my Mom always told me that if I didn't have anything nice to
> say...
>
> >which one/model would YOU say was the best.
> >RG
> >
>
> Ones made by Uniden were OK, but the recent GRE made units have all
> been trash, IMHO of course.

456. My BC780XLT - Lubbock, TX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 05:10:34 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B25A448.516604BE@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64221

Anybody have any idea why this site now requires a username and password? And how do you get one?

URL is/was 209.15.110.12/bc780xlt/

Walt

457. Here in NY..recent case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 05:19:36 UTC
From: Matthew Delaney <delaney@ucs.net>
Message-ID: <IDhV6.56608$ha1.7769032@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 64222
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

<DarMeat-no-spam@cotse.com> wrote in message
news:991950122.3b1ff52a50575@public.webmail.cotse.com...
> Hello Group
>
> Is it legal to moniter my minor daughters phone calls?

Here in NY a teacher was accused of having sex with a minor (15 or 16 if I recall correctly). The kids' parents thought something was up and taped the calls. The judge threw the tapes out at the trial saying they were obtained illegally...that the parent did not have the legal right to tape their son's conversations.

458. Re: sending money in the mail- sort of o/t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 05:36:30 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <IOhV6.9$_X5.463@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 64223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bruce,

The nice thing about using a postal money order and mailing via USPS is, if the person receiving the money order cashes it and doesn't deliver the goods, it becomes mail fraud (a felony) and a U.S. Postal Inspector, who has roughly the same authority as a U.S. Marshall, will be knocking on the seller's door. I had occasion to deal with a Postal Inspector some years back when somebody I was acquainted with at the time mailed a bomb to his family (no, it didn't go off). Let me tell you, I was glad I was on the good guy's side, I wouldn't want to tangle with these guys.

Mark

"Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3B247C3D.3B7FAF@yahoo.com...
> Hi- What is the safest way to send money in the mail other than cod
> route? I always thought it was postal money order but is that really any
> different than a regular money order? Will the postal service help me in
> any way if the person cashes my postal money order and doesn't deliver
> the goods? thanks

459. FA: Yaesu FT-530 w/ 800mhz unblocked and MARS/CAP Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 07:03:51 UTC
From: Don Fanning <donfanning@msn.com>
Message-ID: <r9jV6.4344$OK3.755882@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 64224
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1245689523

460. Re: 141.1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 07:42:19 UTC
From: Frank Dawson <quester@idig.net>
Message-ID: <3B25C7DB.31B36732@idig.net>
Source record: 64225

FeeD_BaK wrote:

> Anyone know what this frequency is?
> I am in Kingston, Ontario, Canada
>
> It has to be police because I heard they were pulling someone over for
> failure to stop at a stop sign.
>
> Brad
> feed_bak@hotmail.com

that frequency is used by the RCMP in British Columbia so it is almost
certain that is
a police frequency
Frank D
quester@idig.net

461. Re: Yupi mvt-7100 ????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 09:34:27 UTC
From: jtal@svol.net
Message-ID: <3b25e14e.1373385@news.svol.net>
Source record: 64226

On Mon, 11 Jun 2001 20:30:30 +0100, "V.S" <smurf123@btinternet.com>
wrote:

>Hi
>I'm thinking of getting the Yupi 7100.
>what is this scanner like on HF on its stock antenna (telescopic whip).
>I know its quite good on VHF/UHF using this whip, but have not had the
>opportunity to try one on HF, some have told me it is not very good at all.
>I expect it is excellent on a home base antenna, but its the telescopic whip
>I am interested in
>thanks
>V.S
>

I compared it to my grundig yb400 one night and the they were very
close, with maby a slight edge to the yupi. It was only a short test
on a few frequencies.

462. Re: sending money in the mail- sort of o/t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 11:07:07 UTC
From: Bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B25F80E.6DAD33FB@home.com>
Source record: 64227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Mark- thanks for the input and help.

"M. Schneider" wrote:
>
> Bruce,
>
> The nice thing about using a postal money order and mailing via USPS is, if
> the person receiving the money order cashes it and doesn't deliver the
> goods, it becomes mail fraud (a felony) and a U.S. Postal Inspector, who has
> roughly the same authority as a U.S. Marshall, will be knocking on the
> seller's door. I had occasion to deal with a Postal Inspector some years
> back when somebody I was acquainted with at the time mailed a bomb to his
> family (no, it didn't go off). Let me tell you, I was glad I was on the
> good guy's side, I wouldn't want to tangle with these guys.
>
> Mark
>
> "Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3B247C3D.3B7FAF@yahoo.com...
> > Hi- What is the safest way to send money in the mail other than cod
> > route? I always thought it was postal money order but is that really any
> > different than a regular money order? Will the postal service help me in
> > any way if the person cashes my postal money order and doesn't deliver
> > the goods? thanks

463. Re: sending money in the mail- sort of o/t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 11:12:35 UTC
From: Bruce <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B25F957.93F6E1AD@home.com>
Source record: 64228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am well aware of that. My wife works for Paypal! However, some people
actually want payment in the mail. Then my question goes back to what is
the most secure method of payment in the mail other than cod? It appears
postal m/o.

hijax wrote:
>
> No, but anyone can. And it is a free service.
>
> "Bruce" <bpk8227@home.com> wrote in message
> news:3B2517F7.9F6E65C8@home.com...
> > thanks- but that doesn't answer the question. Not everyone accepts
> > Paypal.
> >
> > hijax wrote:
> > >
> > > I wouldn't send it in the mail at all - I'd use PayPal (www.paypal.com).
> > >
> > > It is instantaneous, reliable (8 million people use it), traceable,
> > > international, and more.
> > >
> > > I use it all the time. Check out the review at:
> > >
> http://www.cnet.com/internet/0-3761-7-2040212.html?st.cn.3761-7-2040210.txt.
> > > 3761-7-2040212
> > >
> > > -hijax
> > >
> > > "Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3B247C3D.3B7FAF@yahoo.com...
> > > > Hi- What is the safest way to send money in the mail other than cod
> > > > route? I always thought it was postal money order but is that really
> any
> > > > different than a regular money order? Will the postal service help me
> in
> > > > any way if the person cashes my postal money order and doesn't deliver
> > > > the goods? thanks

464. For all Scanner mods queries, last few days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 12:42:54 UTC
From: RayC <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz>
Message-ID: <9g53pt$1nap$1@raewyn.paradise.net.nz>
Source record: 64230

Try http://www.mods.dk

465. Pro 91 - CHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 12:44:30 UTC
From: tmssac@hotmailnospam.com
Message-ID: <3b260964.73345800@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64229

I'm a Pro 91 Newbie. Can anyone tell me if this unit will pick up the California Highway Patrol? Information I've been able to find shows the frequencies in the 42.xx range, but I'm not reveiving anything in that range.

Thanks

Tom

466. Re: Yupi mvt-7100 ????????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 12:46:43 UTC
From: RayC <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz>
Message-ID: <9g541m$1ncu$1@raewyn.paradise.net.nz>
Source record: 64231

.I have had a 7100 for 5 years.

I now have a second one to go with my 9000M3.5.

Yes well , you need the discone or a long wire aerial strung to
a tree in the garden. Treat the telescopic as a walking aerial.

The telescopic antenna is ok down to 30 mhz cellphones, but
nothing lower than this.

Even in the car you should have a mobile scanner aerial
made for this purpose.It is so much better than laying it
on the top of the dashboard.

The discone does pick up 4,8.11, 13 and 17 meg reception
if the squelch is reduced to just above speaker noise level.
The bar graph can be at 1/3 full scale.

But on a long wire, the bar graph value goes up to 2/3 scale on
anything below 30 mhz. but note 75 mhz is attenuated by the
long wire. So the crossover is somewhere in between 30-75 mhz.

Your local electronics store will have a BNC to RCA plug cord.

Wire the long wire to the RCA inline socket.

The old amateur adage of right aerial for the job, does still need
to be heeded.

But after all this, the yupiteru 7100 is a powerful listener on all
bands with the telescopic, discone and long wire as appropriate.

467. "New" NYPD Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 14:31:33 UTC
From: pelikandrp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010612103133.19040.00003237@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 64232

Can anyone point me to a good source for the new NYPD frequencies?
I understand they have moved things around a bit.

Thanks!

"if you love a man's garden...you gotta love the man"
-Frank Serpico

468. Re: My BC780XLT - Lubbock, TX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 14:48:45 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <hZpV6.59041$aA5.338886@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 64233

The URL is/was http://www.trunktracker3.com. It doesn't require a username or password.

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:3B25A448.516604BE@worldnet.att.net...
> Anybody have any idea why this site now requires a username and
> password? And how do you get one?
>
> URL is/was 209.15.110.12/bc780xlt/
>
> Walt

469. Re: 141.1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 14:52:56 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20010612105256.14844.00001142@ng-mk1.aol.com>
Source record: 64234

Here in the states 141.100 is most likely a military frequency.. perhaps the original person who posted the message lives close to a military base and he got their police frequency (DoD Police, MP's, Air Force or Navy Security Forces, etc...).

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com ICQ#13829227
http://egroups.com/group/vhfallmode

470. Bearcat 800XLT question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 14:55:06 UTC
From: Vincent Charron <charv@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <I_pV6.4580$mK6.494366@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 64235

Is this unit triple conversion?

471. Re: Ramsey Downconverter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 14:55:28 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <5oacit8h8t15lqsglceub86elvoscfqg7t@4ax.com>
Source record: 64236

On 02 Jun 2001 23:09:50 GMT, mark12085@aol.comSPAMMO (Mark Mark)
wrote:

>Is the schematics/layouts for the discontinued Ramsey downconverted available
>anywhere? Thanks.

Like so many Ramsey kits, this one isn't worth building unless you're
seeking an education on why some circuits don't work.

The problem is that he uses a 40 MHz crystal and one Class C amplifier
to generate a tenth harmonic. Then, after the mess has been made, he
attempts to filter this tenth harmonic and stuff it in an MAR1 hoping
to drive it in to nonlinearity.

Not only is this circuit doomed to work poorly (if at all), but it
will also be unstable. There is practically no decoupling at the 12
volt input (if you think a 10 uH inductor will look like that across
the entire VHF and UHF spectrum, think again).

To do this right, one should use several multiplier stages to get the
400 MHz input and then drive something like a doubly balanced mixer.

You'll need the MAR-1 in the front end to keep some semblance of a
reasonable noise figure.

Bottom line: You will be very disappointed if you duplicate this kit.
Don't waste your time.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

472. Re: Atlanta traffic - Atlanta Media Freqs.txt (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 14:58:11 UTC
From: go4tcross@cs.comspamnot
Message-ID: <20010612105811.04620.00003957@ng-fv1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64237

>Hmm... Sounds interesting. Some friends and I are going to travel through
>Atlant next weekend. Can I have the list also?

Ignore that. I was hastey in my request. two seconds later, I found the post
with the list!

Luke Hoffmann
Go4tcross@cs.com

"Bum? I am not a bum! I'm a jerk!"

473. Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 15:04:41 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <gebcit4p6dcakb73tmjc9q66k7jdpqvfpf@4ax.com>
Source record: 64238

Did anyone catch the recent US Supreme Court ruling that authorities conducted an unreasonable search when they cruised a neighborhood with an IR camera looking for heat from lots of grow-lamps.

Authorities went for a search warrant based on this casual IR camera search, and with this information they caught a guy growing marijuana in his basement. The defendant argued unreasonable search all the way to the Supreme Court --and here's what sucks: HE WON!

Stop me if I misinterpret what the court has done, but if we take this any further, we'll have to ban the use of binoculars by police or individuals on the assumption that people associate distance with privacy. And if we take this yet another step further, it bans the use of the electromagnetic spectrum for anything but licensed reception.

I'm concerned. How about you?

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

474. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 16:00:54 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <78ecit82b4ebreamgkaujqug64fhvebu11@4ax.com>
Source record: 64239

Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote:

> Did anyone catch the recent US Supreme Court ruling that authorities
> conducted an unreasonable search when they cruised a neighborhood with
> an IR camera looking for heat from lots of grow-lamps.
>
> Authorities went for a search warrant based on this casual IR camera
> search, and with this information they caught a guy growing marijuana
> in his basement. The defendant argued unreasonable search all the way
> to the Supreme Court --and here's what sucks: HE WON!
>
> Stop me if I misinterpret what the court has done, but if we take this
> any further, we'll have to ban the use of binoculars by police or
> individuals on the assumption that people associate distance with
> privacy. And if we take this yet another step further, it bans the
> use of the electromagnetic spectrum for anything but licensed
> reception.

Actually, according to an analysis piece I read on the TIME
web site (admittedly not the be-all/end-all of legal info)
this has already been ruled upon. If the window is open and the
plant is visible with the naked eye, they can go in without a
warrant but with binoculars they cannot.

> I'm concerned. How about you?

Sorry, Jake, I totally disagree with you here. At the risk of
starting (another) flame war -- I've already used my quota of
one per year...

I respect you and have had some private email discussions with
you on various political issues related to scanning and scannists,
but in this case I believe you are wrong and the Supreme Court
got it right.

The Fourth Amendment states: "The right of the people to be secure
in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable
searches and seizures, shall not be violated. No warrants shall
issue, but upon probable cause... and particularly describing the
place to be searched and the persons or things to be seized." (It
used to be said, colloquilly, that a man's home was his castle.)

The problem is they did the "search" -- the IR scan -- without
a warrant.

The Fourth is one of my sore spots right now because of the
seizure laws and their abuses in the "drug war." Now I'm not

happy that the guy "got away with it," but if that's what it takes to get the police to follow the rules, so be it.

This case is pretty minor compared to Miranda, ("You have the right to remain silent...") which was a rape case overturned because he didn't know he had a right to an attorney before he was interrogated. He, too, was clearly guilty, but the police broke the rules.

If it makes you feel any better, in most cases getting the warrant is a formality (another sore spot for me!) and this particular individual is gonna be watched like a hawk and will get nailed if he touches pot again.

ref: http://www.time.com/time/nation/article/0,8599,130076,00.html

>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"

Respectfully,

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"Nature abhors a vacuum tube." -- J. R. Pierce, Bell Labs, c. 1940

475. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 16:49:32 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <wKrV6.2337$el5.67362@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 64240

Applebee <Applebee@invalid.xx.au> wrote:
> On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 10:00:54 -0600, Eric F. Richards
> <78ecit82b4ebreamgkaujqug64fhvebu11@4ax.com> wrote:

>>The Fourth is one of my sore spots right now because of the
>>seizure laws and their abuses in the "drug war." Now I'm not
>>happy that the guy "got away with it," but if that's what it
>>takes to get the police to follow the rules, so be it.

> He didn't get away with it, he plead guilty to the charge and is lodged in
> prison.

According to the TIME article, the Court ruling overturned the
conviction. He can't be tried for it again, because that's "Double
Jeopardy." He got away with it. But again, if that's what it
takes to get the police to follow the rules, so be it.

> Microsoft's Outlook (Express): The program that spreads virus'
> so you don't have to!

Another feature from MS! :-)

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dimensional.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

476. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 16:57:09 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20010612125709.29329.00000447@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 64241

>Stop me if I misinterpret what the court has done, but if we take this
>any further, we'll have to ban the use of binoculars by police or
>individuals on the assumption that people associate distance with
>privacy. And if we take this yet another step further, it bans the
>use of the electromagnetic spectrum for anything but licensed
>reception.
>
>I'm concerned. How about you?

This really isn't scanner related, but I'll throw in my $.02 worth. Using a device such as that, you can "see" into people's homes.. using binoculars will only allow you to see in areas where people would not normally have a reasonable expectation of privacy (unless they keep their shades wide open, but that's a whole 'nother can of worms. If you're saying that you think that scanners will be outlawed next because people will expect to have privacy on the air, that has been decided long ago - there's no expectation of privacy with RF devices such as cell phones, cordless phones or FRS radios...

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com ICQ#13829227
http://egroups.com/group/vhfallmode

477. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 17:02:11 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20010612130211.29329.00000448@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 64242

>According to the TIME article, the Court ruling overturned the
>conviction. He can't be tried for it again, because that's "Double
>Jeopardy." He got away with it. But again, if that's what it
>takes to get the police to follow the rules, so be it.

If that's the case, I am sure the plants were already confiscated (and maybe destroyed) and if the system had any effect (probably didn't), he got scared and he'll stay away from the stuff.. so in the long run, MAYBE even the incorrect methods were effective in eliminating 1 more druggie.. now the cops will have to play by the rules with those things... who knows?

Also remember, just because the supreme court says something, it doesn't always mean that everyone will follow it... Other departments might do something like this and get away with it because the suspect doesn't have the money or the inclination to fight it. He/she might not even know about this ruling..
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com ICQ#13829227
http://egroups.com/group/vhfallmode

478. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 17:19:53 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <ZasV6.2339$el5.67447@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 64243

VHFRadioBuff <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote:
> Also remember, just because the supreme court says something, it doesn't always
> mean that everyone will follow it... Other departments might do something like
> this and get away with it because the suspect doesn't have the money or the
> inclination to fight it. He/she might not even know about this ruling..

That, to me, is a *bad* thing, not a *good* thing.

Ever dealt with a corrupt cop or police force? 'taint fun. Pray you never get targeted by a no-knock raid because someone screwed up on the paperwork or just plain didn't follow the rules. A couple of years ago here a guy was shot to death in a no-knock raid on the wrong house. The officer who blew it, who has a history of violence in the department, got off with a warning. His widow was given some paltry sum like $2000. (I don't know that the figure is right.)

(I am *NOT* painting all cops with the same brush -- I'm an ARES volunteer with the local force for communications emergencies and have had civil dealings with officers who were doing their job properly (and writing a ticket for me) but I've had the other kind, too.)

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dimensional.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

479. FS Alinco DJ-X2000 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 17:32:43 UTC
From: Chris <ck@ckradio.net>
Message-ID: <3B26523B.D444003E@ckradio.net>
Source record: 64244

I have a brand new in the box top of the line DJ-X2000. This has everything there is to have on a handheld. I bought two and my friend backed out. $499.95 shipped.

480. Re: Ramsey Downconverter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 17:36:09 UTC
From: rychard@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b265113.4471562@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 64245

Hey,
If the circuit is one of those that take 900/800 MHz signals and converts them down 400 Mhz into the 500/400 Mhz scanner range, you might what to look for a GRE SUPER CONVERTER 9001.Don't know if they make them anymore,doubtful.But I've heard GRE makes good products.
Dean
KR4IU

On 02 Jun 2001 23:09:50 GMT, mark12085@aol.comSPAMMO (Mark Mark) wrote:

>Is the schematics/layouts for the discontinued Ramsey downconverted available
>anywhere? Thanks.

481. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 17:48:57 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <dCsV6.75497$t12.6217332@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64246

I disagree completely. They have always clearly upheld what was visible in plain sight, the use of binoculars would most likely be OK. What this ruling does for us, I think, is outlaw the use of a "scanner detector" to see who is "illegally" using a scanner.
This is a GREAT decision, a man's home is his castle, and the Government may NOT use electronic devices to spy on you!
"Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message news:gebcit4p6dcakb73tmjc9q66k7jdpqvfpf@4ax.com...
> Did anyone catch the recent US Supreme Court ruling that authorities
> conducted an unreasonable search when they cruised a neighborhood with
> an IR camera looking for heat from lots of grow-lamps.
>
> Authorities went for a search warrant based on this casual IR camera
> search, and with this information they caught a guy growing marijuana
> in his basement. The defendant argued unreasonable search all the way
> to the Supreme Court --and here's what sucks: HE WON!
>
> Stop me if I misinterpret what the court has done, but if we take this
> any further, we'll have to ban the use of binoculars by police or
> individuals on the assumption that people associate distance with
> privacy. And if we take this yet another step further, it bans the
> use of the electromagnetic spectrum for anything but licensed
> reception.
>
> I'm concerned. How about you?
>
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"

482. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 17:54:28 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20010612135428.00507.00003306@ng-mr1.aol.com>
Source record: 64247

>That, to me, is a *bad* thing, not a *good* thing.
>

You're right, but it works both ways. For example, the Supreme Court recently rulled that you can be arrested on any traffic stop, no matter how minor. This doesn't mean that every police department will start arresting everyone doing a "California stop" at a stop sign. Believe me, they don't want to do the paperwork. They'd rather write the ticket and be done with it. It mearly gives the state has the option to pass the law that allows this, and if they don't, but still arrest someone for a traffic offense that does not normally warrent an arrest, the Supreme Court will back them.

In the case of this IR thing, if the Jersey City police storm a house next week after using one of these things without a warrent, it won't neccessarily be thrown out unless this recent rulling is cited in court. I am sure that departments that have the money to have these devices will know about the recent rulling very soon and hopefully the officers will be briefed on new procedures that these departments will hopefully draw up for their use.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com ICQ#13829227
http://egroups.com/group/vhfallmode

483. FS Alinco DJ-X2000 Multimode Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 18:01:06 UTC
From: Chris <ck@ckradio.net>
Message-ID: <3B2658E2.CC6D0E93@ckradio.net>
Source record: 64248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

THE WORLD'S MOST ADVANCED MULTIMODE SCANNING RECEIVER
I have for sale a brand new handheld DJ-X2000T. 0.1-2150MHz. I bought
two and my friend backed out.
Check out these features
2000 Channels
Alpha numeric Display
Field Strength Meter
S-meter
PC programmable
Easy to read DOT Matrix Display
24 Hour Timer
Spectrum Scope to find signals easier
Fast Scan Rate
Help Navigation
Instant Search
Frequency Counter with built in digital TCXO. very accurate 1ppm
Two tone Bug Detector, finds hidden transmitters
Digital Recording 160 Seconds
FM Stereo
Attenuator, 10 and 20dB
CTCSS Decoder and Tone Scan built in
WFM, NFM, AM, USB, LSB, CW Modes
Ni-Cd Battery and Drop in Quick charger.
Antenna, Manual, Drop-in charger and battery, are included. Everything
is brand new in the box.
This radio has outstanding in performance and would please the most
advanced listener.$500 shipped.
73 Chris VE3NGW/W4 Florida

484. FS: UBC 3000XLT Handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 18:47:48 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <ottV6.43989$mG4.22277152@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 64249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

FS: UBC3000XLT handheld scanner. 400 CH., 100 CPS, 300 steps per sec., Full 800MHz, very nice case, manual, stock antenna, Watson W881 Super Gainer antenna, $295 shipped CONUS. Like Brand New!
DeWayne

485. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 18:49:49 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <6pmcitksmfu25o6n484buin1g6qq3hegek@4ax.com>
Source record: 64250

On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 10:00:54 -0600, Eric F. Richards
<efricha@dimensional.com> wrote:

>The Fourth Amendment states: "The right of the people to be secure
>in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable
>searches and seizures, shall not be violated. No warrants shall
>issue, but upon probable cause... and particularly describing the
>place to be searched and the persons or things to be seized." (It
>used to be said, colloquilly, that a man's home was his castle.)
>
>The problem is they did the "search" -- the IR scan -- without
>a warrant.

So, if it's illegal for the police to do it, is it legal for you to play with an IR sensitive CCD toy? How about taking pictures of your neighborhood with a plain old SLR camera and IR sensitive film?

It's not even legal for the police to receive your cordless telephone calls without a search warrant. Scanning enthusiasts are prohibited from doing so as well, yet that capability is readily available too.

No property lines were violated. No medical records were searched. Nobody's personal behavior was publicized in a trial. I fail to see why the use of these tools is not in the public's interest. Is it any different than the police using aircraft to find marijuana in the middle of a farmer's corn field?

It's a very scary case of the emperor's new clothes. These devices are readily available. This is stuff any high school kid could do. The Supreme Court treated it as if it were something highly sophisticated.

We are being asked to balance the rights of those who use the electromagnetic spectrum against those who hide inside it. I think this is a farce.

Note that this is substantially different from devices that probe a person's house, such as a laser interferometry on someone's window, or an X-ray inspection.

>The Fourth is one of my sore spots right now because of the
>seizure laws and their abuses in the "drug war." Now I'm not
>happy that the guy "got away with it," but if that's what it
>takes to get the police to follow the rules, so be it.

It's worth noting that in the 18th century, illegal activity was not nearly as sophisticated as it is today. Shouldn't the tools for

catching criminals match the sophisticated tools they use?

I too am concerned about police misbehavior. But let's not confuse police misbehavior with denying them the tools to do their jobs.

I don't think this search was unwarranted or unreasonable. And the court's unusual split on this issue makes me think that we have a majority of luddites up there.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

486. Re: My BC780XLT - Lubbock, TX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 18:54:02 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B266549.CF49C627@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64251

Thanks

John Habbinga wrote:
>
> The URL is/was http://www.trunktracker3.com. It doesn't require a username
> or password.
>
> "Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:3B25A448.516604BE@worldnet.att.net...
> > Anybody have any idea why this site now requires a username and
> > password? And how do you get one?
> >
> > URL is/was 209.15.110.12/bc780xlt/
> >
> > Walt

487. DEA freqs for Rhode island?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 19:07:00 UTC
From: grossmanms@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010612150700.26613.00003983@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 64253

Does anyone know what frequencies the DEA uses in Rhode Island?. They used to be on 418.9 all the time but know they are gone :(

488. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 19:07:37 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@home.com>
Message-ID: <g0qcitsgpujqfsctu6lfa022b8c6srsghs@4ax.com>
Source record: 64252

On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 11:04:41 -0400, Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
wrote:

>Did anyone catch the recent US Supreme Court ruling that authorities
>conducted an unreasonable search when they cruised a neighborhood with
>an IR camera looking for heat from lots of grow-lamps.
>
>Authorities went for a search warrant based on this casual IR camera
>search, and with this information they caught a guy growing marijuana
>in his basement. The defendant argued unreasonable search all the way
>to the Supreme Court --and here's what sucks: HE WON!
>
>Stop me if I misinterpret what the court has done, but if we take this
>any further, we'll have to ban the use of binoculars by police or
>individuals on the assumption that people associate distance with
>privacy. And if we take this yet another step further, it bans the
>use of the electromagnetic spectrum for anything but licensed
>reception.
>
>I'm concerned. How about you?
You shouldn't be. There is no expectation of privacy if you are in
front of a window. There is if you are behind a wall. The 4th
amendment is all about the expectation of privacy.

The ruling was very narrowly defined, and the court has simply ruled
that they will not allow technology to permit activities that would be
clearly illegal without the technology.

489. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 19:27:50 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <W2uV6.2346$el5.67134@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 64254

Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote:
> On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 10:00:54 -0600, Eric F. Richards
> <efricha@dimensional.com> wrote:

>>The Fourth Amendment states: "The right of the people to be secure
>>in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable
>>searches and seizures, shall not be violated. No warrants shall
>>issue, but upon probable cause... and particularly describing the
>>place to be searched and the persons or things to be seized." (It
>>used to be said, colloquilly, that a man's home was his castle.)
>>
>>The problem is they did the "search" -- the IR scan -- without
>>a warrant.

> So, if it's illegal for the police to do it, is it legal for you to
> play with an IR sensitive CCD toy? How about taking pictures of your
> neighborhood with a plain old SLR camera and IR sensitive film?

> It's not even legal for the police to receive your cordless telephone
> calls without a search warrant. Scanning enthusiasts are prohibited
> from doing so as well, yet that capability is readily available too.

Okay, try this: think in terms of the unadulterated communications act of
1934, when reception of anything was allowed if never repeated or used for
personal gain. The police were doing official business, not "playing
with an IR sensitive CCD toy." They were also using something a little
more sophisicated than what is available to the average consumer.

> No property lines were violated. No medical records were searched.
> Nobody's personal behavior was publicized in a trial. I fail to see
> why the use of these tools is not in the public's interest. Is it any
> different than the police using aircraft to find marijuana in the
> middle of a farmer's corn field?

Yes, because they were peering at a home, not a corn field.

> It's a very scary case of the emperor's new clothes. These devices
> are readily available. This is stuff any high school kid could do.
> The Supreme Court treated it as if it were something highly
> sophisticated.

> We are being asked to balance the rights of those who use the
> electromagnetic spectrum against those who hide inside it. I think
> this is a farce.

I will grant you that, on some level, this can be treated in some
way similarly to the those who believe that their cordless phone

conversations stop at the walls of their houses, but I feel very
strongly that to be used by law enforcement a warrant is needed
FIRST. LE is an organized entity, and without that requirement
systematic, blanket IR monitoring would be perfectly legal. [1]

We know that if a group of private individuals monitor the RF spectrum
and distribute their "take" to each other (as in web pages that
tune to scanning of, say, business band traffic) that they are doing
something illegal. Probably the IR spectrum should be treated the
same way. (You can't pass on what you find or profit from it in any
way, but you are allowed to see what you can, as a *private individual*.)

> Note that this is substantially different from devices that probe a
> person's house, such as a laser interferometry on someone's window, or
> an X-ray inspection.

I fully understand that this is a passive method of retrieving info.
So are parabolic mics. Are they legal to use by LE to find out what
is happening in your home?

> It's worth noting that in the 18th century, illegal activity was not
> nearly as sophisticated as it is today. Shouldn't the tools for
> catching criminals match the sophisticated tools they use?

Yes, but... an individual is innocent until proven guilty. *IF* LE
has a reason to suspect something, let them present their suspicions
to a judge, to issue a warrant. But, they have to have a bona fide
suspect, *first*.

> I don't think this search was unwarranted or unreasonable. And the
> court's unusual split on this issue makes me think that we have a
> majority of luddites up there.

I think that's a strong statement that requires a little more support...
If you find a URL for the ruling itself, pass it on. I haven't yet,
and usually the rulings are pretty easy reading for the legal layman.

Eric

[1] It's interesting to note that the NYC republican candidate is
suggesting canvassing "bad" neighborhoods with optical surveillance,
i.e. video cameras. If LE were allowed to do the same with IR, wouldn't
that bother you just a little bit?

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dimensional.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

490. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 19:33:27 UTC
From: MiamiXJ <miamixj@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <87uV6.837$9r1.116685@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 64255

The "War on Drugs" is lost and can never be won using current tactics! All they are doing is using this fictitious war to further erode our civil rights. The police and government rarely follow the rules anyway, if you keep allowing them to view everyone as guilty until proven innocent I am afraid we are heading for a very bleak future.

"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:dCsV6.75497$t12.6217332@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I disagree completely. They have always clearly upheld what was visible in
> plain sight, the use of binoculars would most likely be OK. What this ruling
> does for us, I think, is outlaw the use of a "scanner detector" to see who
> is "illegally" using a scanner.
> This is a GREAT decision, a man's home is his castle, and the Government may
> NOT use electronic devices to spy on you!
> "Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:gebcit4p6dcakb73tmjc9q66k7jdpqvfpf@4ax.com...
> > Did anyone catch the recent US Supreme Court ruling that authorities
> > conducted an unreasonable search when they cruised a neighborhood with
> > an IR camera looking for heat from lots of grow-lamps.
> >
> > Authorities went for a search warrant based on this casual IR camera
> > search, and with this information they caught a guy growing marijuana
> > in his basement. The defendant argued unreasonable search all the way
> > to the Supreme Court --and here's what sucks: HE WON!
> >
> > Stop me if I misinterpret what the court has done, but if we take this
> > any further, we'll have to ban the use of binoculars by police or
> > individuals on the assumption that people associate distance with
> > privacy. And if we take this yet another step further, it bans the
> > use of the electromagnetic spectrum for anything but licensed
> > reception.
> >
> > I'm concerned. How about you?
> >
> >
> > Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> > "Beware of the massive impossible!"
>
>

491. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 19:59:28 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20010612155928.14782.00001380@ng-mk1.aol.com>
Source record: 64256

>@bellsouth.net

The ISP should tell you loads about this one.. :)

>The "War on Drugs" is lost and can never be won using current tactics! All
>they are doing is using this fictitious war to further erode our civil
>rights.

Hey Jed, don't you have a militia meeting to go to? :)

492. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 20:04:13 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20010612160413.14782.00001381@ng-mk1.aol.com>
Source record: 64257

>It's not even legal for the police to receive your cordless telephone
>calls without a search warrant. Scanning enthusiasts are prohibited
>from doing so as well, yet that capability is readily available too.
>

The reason it's readily available is because other communications besides cordless phones operate in the same frequency ranges as cordless phones (ie: Baby monitors, those little walkie-talkies from Radio Shack, etc..).

>No property lines were violated.

Sure they were. They looked into a closed private home. That's like smashing a person's living room window, looking in and catching someone doing something illegal, without actually causing physical damage.

> I fail to see
>why the use of these tools is not in the public's interest.

Perhaps you want it to be okay for the police to drive up and down your street, watching people with IR devices to see if they are doing anything illegal? Maybe they'll find you sitting in your living room listening to your scanner and they should bust in JUST IN CASE you are listening to your neighbor on his cordless phone?

>Is it any
>different than the police using aircraft to find marijuana in the
>middle of a farmer's corn field?

Yes. That is in plain view. From what I understand, the device was used to look into someone's home. That is not covered in Plain View doctrine.

493. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 20:45:33 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <NbvV6.2347$el5.67518@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 64258

Following up to my own post...

Further info is at
http://www.cnn.com/2001/LAW/06/11/scotus.heatdetector.ap/index.html

The ruling itself is at
http://news.findlaw.com/cnn/docs/kyllo/kyllo99-8508.pdf

Eric F. Richards <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com> wrote:
>> So, if it's illegal for the police to do it, is it legal for you to
>> play with an IR sensitive CCD toy? How about taking pictures of your
>> neighborhood with a plain old SLR camera and IR sensitive film?

The device used was an Agema Thermovision 210 thermal imager, and at
the end of the opinion are the images they took. This was no toy!

>> It's not even legal for the police to receive your cordless telephone
>> calls without a search warrant. Scanning enthusiasts are prohibited
>> from doing so as well, yet that capability is readily available too.

> Okay, try this: think in terms of the unadulterated communications act of
> 1934, when reception of anything was allowed if never repeated or used for
> personal gain. The police were doing official business, not "playing
> with an IR sensitive CCD toy." They were also using something a little
> more sophisicated than what is available to the average consumer.

Try this, too. I have a choice about what RF emits from my home,
generally speaking. I don't have to use a cordless phone. But I
don't have any control over the IR my body radiates, and, Art Bell
notwithstanding, there, no known decipherable EM radiating from my
brain.

>> No property lines were violated.

The opinion states that "'at the very core' of the Fourth Amendment
'stands the right of a man to retreat into his own home and there
be free from governmental intrusion.'"

Also stated is something to the effect that heat radiating from within
the house by definition reveals intimate details of the interior, and
knowledge of the intimate details is by definition a search. (Long footnote
in opinion contains dictionary definition of a search, from 19th cent.
to today, and sure enough, it fits.)

>> Note that this is substantially different from devices that probe a
>> person's house, such as a laser interferometry on someone's window, or
>> an X-ray inspection.

> I fully understand that this is a passive method of retrieving info.
> So are parabolic mics. Are they legal to use by LE to find out what
> is happening in your home?

Both active and passive measures above were discussed in the opinion,
and as precedent, a case involvin a mic similar (but not quite the
same) as above was used as a supporting argument.

>> I don't think this search was unwarranted or unreasonable. And the
>> court's unusual split on this issue makes me think that we have a
>> majority of luddites up there.

Their comment on the thermal imaging system was that it wasn't generally
available, and certainly imaging of the quality shown is better than I
could do without blowing several grand in very unusual places. Maybe
something based on an astronomical CCD could be rigged up...

> [1] It's interesting to note that the NYC republican candidate is
republican MAYORAL candidate, Michael Bloomberg. Sorry.
> suggesting canvassing "bad" neighborhoods with optical surveillance,
> i.e. video cameras. If LE were allowed to do the same with IR, wouldn't
> that bother you just a little bit?

cite: http://www.cnn.com/ALLPOLITICS/time/2001/06/18/mansion.html

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dimensional.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

494. Pro-25 for a beginner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 20:50:29 UTC
From: ScanMan <Anonymous@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B26812F.D4F2893F@Yahoo.com>
Source record: 64259

I have a RadioShack Pro-25. It is a couple of years old and I am just getting back into scanning. I don't know too much about scanning and all the terms and how everything works. If someone could point me to a good beginner site I would appreciate it. I would also like to know if anyone knows of some good sites where I can modify my scanner to do *certain* things. Any sites would be great for either learning or modifying. I really want to get into this. Thanks.

495. Re: BC895 Display light

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 21:13:56 UTC
From: John Arduino <john.arduino@compaq.com>
Message-ID: <3B268614.69B15357@compaq.com>
Source record: 64260

Can anyone recommend software to be used with the scanner to log active
frequencies [via computer] while the receiver is left un-attended?

Thanks,
//John

Travis wrote:

> I was wondering if anyone has had problems with the light going out? The
> left side is a lot dimmer than the right side. I have not used my light
> very much at all. Is this going to be a cheap fix?
>
> TIA
> Travis

496. Re: 141.1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 21:32:46 UTC
From: FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <hNvV6.6183$mK6.822252@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 64261

Thanks to all for the help, but I found out (from the help of a newsgroup reader) that it is the MP's in Fort Drum. Kingston is very close to the US Boarder(right across the water, give or take a couple of kilometers).

"VHFRadioBuff" <vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux> wrote in message news:20010612105256.14844.00001142@ng-mk1.aol.com...
Here in the states 141.100 is most likely a military frequency.. perhaps the original person who posted the message lives close to a military base and he
got their police frequency (DoD Police, MP's, Air Force or Navy Security Forces, etc...).

=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com ICQ#13829227
http://egroups.com/group/vhfallmode

497. Re: FS Alinco DJ-X2000 Multimode Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 21:33:33 UTC
From: Loren Smith <lorensmith@bainbridgeisland.net>
Message-ID: <tid2lej7dkbg5a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

5 ch per second is a fast scan speed. NOT!!!

"Chris" <ck@ckradio.net> wrote in message
news:3B2658E2.CC6D0E93@ckradio.net...
> THE WORLD'S MOST ADVANCED MULTIMODE SCANNING RECEIVER
> I have for sale a brand new handheld DJ-X2000T. 0.1-2150MHz. I bought
> two and my friend backed out.
> Check out these features
> 2000 Channels
> Alpha numeric Display
> Field Strength Meter
> S-meter
> PC programmable
> Easy to read DOT Matrix Display
> 24 Hour Timer
> Spectrum Scope to find signals easier
> Fast Scan Rate
> Help Navigation
> Instant Search
> Frequency Counter with built in digital TCXO. very accurate 1ppm
> Two tone Bug Detector, finds hidden transmitters
> Digital Recording 160 Seconds
> FM Stereo
> Attenuator, 10 and 20dB
> CTCSS Decoder and Tone Scan built in
> WFM, NFM, AM, USB, LSB, CW Modes
> Ni-Cd Battery and Drop in Quick charger.
> Antenna, Manual, Drop-in charger and battery, are included. Everything
> is brand new in the box.
> This radio has outstanding in performance and would please the most
> advanced listener.$500 shipped.
> 73 Chris VE3NGW/W4 Florida
>
>

498. Re: Atlanta traffic - Atlanta Media Freqs.txt (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 21:42:01 UTC
From: webmaster@scanatlanta.com
Message-ID: <3b268c7b.96331520@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 64263

Go to www.ScanAtlanta.com and click on media. You will get all the
frequencies you need there!

Michael Martin
webmaster@scanatlanta.com
Metro Traffic 505

On 11 Jun 2001 21:28:09 -0500, Robert wrote:

>On Tue, 29 May 2001 20:30:55 -0400, aerial-map@mindspring.com wrote:
>
>>Does anyone have the freq. for the Atlanta area traffic reporters; WSB
>>(Capt. Herb) etc. I have some of the freq. but have been unable to
>>locate any signals. Thanks
>>Steve
>
>Steve,
>
>Here's my list of Atlanta media freqs from when I used to live there.
>I hope that it helps.

499. Re: DEA freqs for Rhode island?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 22:10:25 UTC
From: rychard@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b2691c3.3613718@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 64264

On 12 Jun 2001 19:07:00 GMT, grossmanms@aol.com (GrossmanMS) wrote:

>Does anyone know what frequencies the DEA uses in Rhode Island?. They used to
>be on 418.9 all the time but know they are gone :(

Can you blame them.They have a dangerous job.They are
probably using hi tech means to communicate over the air.
Beyond yours,or practically anyone's means to listen in.

Dean
KR4IU

500. Re: "New" NYPD Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 22:24:35 UTC
From: rifleslug@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010612182435.04716.00000181@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 64265

>Can anyone point me to a good source for the new NYPD frequencies?
>I understand they have moved things around a bit.

www.n2nov.net

501. Re: Repair for Icom R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 22:24:49 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <REwV6.61799$ha1.8574879@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 64266

Try contacting your ICOM dealer to find out what ICOM might charge for the same fix. $150 + $15 and you've probably exceeded the cost of a new R2. I paid less than that at www.kjielectronics.com and I highly recommend this dealer.

Paul, KC2FNC

"David Harvey" <dharvey6@home.com> wrote in message news:xGfV6.94783$r7.12523147@news1.busy1.on.home.com...
> Does anyone know how to get an R2 apart so I can replace a broken display?
> The local shop wants 15 for the part, 150 for the labour. I think I could
> replace it myself, if only I could figure out how to get the thing apart.
> I've got the back off, taken out all visible screws, unscrewed the washers
> holding on the dial and the antenna connector, but the PCB's still won't
> budge. I don't want to force anything. Any suggestions?
>
>

502. Re: FS Alinco DJ-X2000 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 22:39:38 UTC
From: Chuck Cheese <chuck@cheese.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.158f15a6fa4e3f459896cf@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64267

In article <3B26523B.D444003E@ckradio.net>, ck@ckradio.net says...
> I have a brand new in the box top of the line DJ-X2000. This has
> everything there is to have on a handheld. I bought two and my friend
> backed out. $499.95 shipped.

Are they still your friend ?

503. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 22:42:21 UTC
From: Chuck Cheese <chuck@cheese.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.158f164a14a7c6f99896d0@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64268

In article <gebcit4p6dcakb73tmjc9q66k7jdpqvfpf@4ax.com>,
frussle@erols.com says...

I fully agree with the ruling.

> Did anyone catch the recent US Supreme Court ruling that authorities
> conducted an unreasonable search when they cruised a neighborhood with
> an IR camera looking for heat from lots of grow-lamps.
>
> Authorities went for a search warrant based on this casual IR camera
> search, and with this information they caught a guy growing marijuana
> in his basement. The defendant argued unreasonable search all the way
> to the Supreme Court --and here's what sucks: HE WON!
>
> Stop me if I misinterpret what the court has done, but if we take this
> any further, we'll have to ban the use of binoculars by police or
> individuals on the assumption that people associate distance with
> privacy. And if we take this yet another step further, it bans the
> use of the electromagnetic spectrum for anything but licensed
> reception.
>
> I'm concerned. How about you?
>
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"
>

504. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 22:57:42 UTC
From: rychard@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b2699c6.5664953@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 64269

On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 11:04:41 -0400, Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
wrote:

>Did anyone catch the recent US Supreme Court ruling that authorities
>conducted an unreasonable search when they cruised a neighborhood with
>an IR camera looking for heat from lots of grow-lamps.
>
>Authorities went for a search warrant based on this casual IR camera
>search, and with this information they caught a guy growing marijuana
>in his basement. The defendant argued unreasonable search all the way
>to the Supreme Court --and here's what sucks: HE WON!
>
>Stop me if I misinterpret what the court has done, but if we take this
>any further, we'll have to ban the use of binoculars by police or
>individuals on the assumption that people associate distance with
>privacy. And if we take this yet another step further, it bans the
>use of the electromagnetic spectrum for anything but licensed
>reception.
>
>I'm concerned. How about you?
>
>
>Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
>"Beware of the massive impossible!"

I was watching a PBS Frontline show recently about the
use of this technology in " The War On Drugs". And with some of the IR
systems the operator of the equipment could watch people move around
in the house.Probably some jealous Officer has buzzed over his own
home, to see if his wife was in bed alone.If not certainly soon this
technology will be for hire.
Brave New World. Am I worried? No. I shall never think,or do anything
I wouldn't do in front of my mother, ever again.Glad I'm old,and
through with all the sillyness and stupidity of youth.
Dean
KR4IU

505. Movement question (Sweden to US).

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 23:35:13 UTC
From: Johan SM4XFJ <sm4xfj@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <OCxV6.11693$vR1.35389@nntpserver.swip.net>
Source record: 64270

Hi!
Thanks to thoes who answer me on my last question....
I would need help again now... But this time it's about moving from Sweden to the states...
I got some radios here and i don't know if i can take them over the Atlantic Sea...
I've heard about thoes restrications on 790 MHz or something...
What is this? Not such over here...
Got a IC-R2, IC-R3, IC-T7H, a swedish police scanner, Realistic Pro-70.
All the ICOM radios is of course european versions, and the T7H is opened with TX abillity
on your police frequencys... I don't want to get cought in the customs (of course)...
Anyone who can inform me on this things maybe? It's going to take some years before I move over
but it would be nice to know before I'm starting to plan too much!
And what about my callsign... Is it just to send in a formular to FCC or ARRL?
Anyone might have experience of this, who could give me tips... I would really appreciate an answer (as usually..)

Best 73's from SM4XFJ... Who know what it will be in the future...

Johan Nilsson, Karlstad (V�rmland), Sweden...
P.S Many imigrants from this part of Sweden, one day i'll join them!!!! D.S

506. Re: "New" NYPD Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 23:44:54 UTC
From: Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <9g69ij$23j2$1@raewyn.paradise.net.nz>
Source record: 64271

Use google.com - I'm sure it will answer your questions.

http://www.google.com/search?hl=en&lr=&newwindow=1&safe=off&q=NYPD+frequenci
es&btnG=Google+Search

Neville

"PelikanDRP" <pelikandrp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010612103133.19040.00003237@ng-fi1.aol.com...
> Can anyone point me to a good source for the new NYPD frequencies?
> I understand they have moved things around a bit.
>
> Thanks!
>
> ---
> "if you love a man's garden...you gotta love the man"
> -Frank Serpico
>

507. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 23:47:18 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b26a953.639647@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 64272

Not correct. It was remanded back to the lower Court for further
proceedings. If the warrant was obtained based on other sufficient
evidence, the case can go forward to trial
BTW, he pled guilty, so there is no double jeopardy

On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 16:49:32 GMT, "Eric F. Richards"
<efricha@flatland.dimensional.com> wrote:

>Applebee <Applebee@invalid.xx.au> wrote:
>> On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 10:00:54 -0600, Eric F. Richards
>> <78ecit82b4ebreamgkaujqug64fhvebu11@4ax.com> wrote:
>
>>>The Fourth is one of my sore spots right now because of the
>>>seizure laws and their abuses in the "drug war." Now I'm not
>>>happy that the guy "got away with it," but if that's what it
>>>takes to get the police to follow the rules, so be it.
>
>> He didn't get away with it, he plead guilty to the charge and is lodged in
>> prison.
>
>According to the TIME article, the Court ruling overturned the
>conviction. He can't be tried for it again, because that's "Double
>Jeopardy." He got away with it. But again, if that's what it
>takes to get the police to follow the rules, so be it.
>
>> Microsoft's Outlook (Express): The program that spreads virus'
>> so you don't have to!
>
>Another feature from MS! :-)
>
>--
>Eric F. Richards
>efricha@dimensional.com
>"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
> - Dilbert

508. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-12 23:49:24 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b26a9d8.773001@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 64273

The Government needs a warrant - a private citizen does not. Evidence
obtained without a warrant by a private citizen not acting as an
agent for the Government is absolutely admissable. Of course, the
citizen may wind up in jail for burglary, trespassing, or whatever

On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 19:27:50 GMT, "Eric F. Richards"
<efricha@flatland.dimensional.com> wrote:

>Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote:
>> On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 10:00:54 -0600, Eric F. Richards
>> <efricha@dimensional.com> wrote:
>
>>>The Fourth Amendment states: "The right of the people to be secure
>>>in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable
>>>searches and seizures, shall not be violated. No warrants shall
>>>issue, but upon probable cause... and particularly describing the
>>>place to be searched and the persons or things to be seized." (It
>>>used to be said, colloquilly, that a man's home was his castle.)
>>>
>>>The problem is they did the "search" -- the IR scan -- without
>>>a warrant.
>
>> So, if it's illegal for the police to do it, is it legal for you to
>> play with an IR sensitive CCD toy? How about taking pictures of your
>> neighborhood with a plain old SLR camera and IR sensitive film?
>
>> It's not even legal for the police to receive your cordless telephone
>> calls without a search warrant. Scanning enthusiasts are prohibited
>> from doing so as well, yet that capability is readily available too.
>
>Okay, try this: think in terms of the unadulterated communications act of
>1934, when reception of anything was allowed if never repeated or used for
>personal gain. The police were doing official business, not "playing
>with an IR sensitive CCD toy." They were also using something a little
>more sophisicated than what is available to the average consumer.
>
>> No property lines were violated. No medical records were searched.
>> Nobody's personal behavior was publicized in a trial. I fail to see
>> why the use of these tools is not in the public's interest. Is it any
>> different than the police using aircraft to find marijuana in the
>> middle of a farmer's corn field?
>
>Yes, because they were peering at a home, not a corn field.
>
>> It's a very scary case of the emperor's new clothes. These devices

>> are readily available. This is stuff any high school kid could do.
>> The Supreme Court treated it as if it were something highly
>> sophisticated.
>
>> We are being asked to balance the rights of those who use the
>> electromagnetic spectrum against those who hide inside it. I think
>> this is a farce.
>
>I will grant you that, on some level, this can be treated in some
>way similarly to the those who believe that their cordless phone
>conversations stop at the walls of their houses, but I feel very
>strongly that to be used by law enforcement a warrant is needed
>FIRST. LE is an organized entity, and without that requirement
>systematic, blanket IR monitoring would be perfectly legal. [1]
>
>We know that if a group of private individuals monitor the RF spectrum
>and distribute their "take" to each other (as in web pages that
>tune to scanning of, say, business band traffic) that they are doing
>something illegal. Probably the IR spectrum should be treated the
>same way. (You can't pass on what you find or profit from it in any
>way, but you are allowed to see what you can, as a *private individual*.)
>
>> Note that this is substantially different from devices that probe a
>> person's house, such as a laser interferometry on someone's window, or
>> an X-ray inspection.
>
>I fully understand that this is a passive method of retrieving info.
>So are parabolic mics. Are they legal to use by LE to find out what
>is happening in your home?
>
>> It's worth noting that in the 18th century, illegal activity was not
>> nearly as sophisticated as it is today. Shouldn't the tools for
>> catching criminals match the sophisticated tools they use?
>
>Yes, but... an individual is innocent until proven guilty. *IF* LE
>has a reason to suspect something, let them present their suspicions
>to a judge, to issue a warrant. But, they have to have a bona fide
>suspect, *first*.
>
>> I don't think this search was unwarranted or unreasonable. And the
>> court's unusual split on this issue makes me think that we have a
>> majority of luddites up there.
>
>I think that's a strong statement that requires a little more support...
>If you find a URL for the ruling itself, pass it on. I haven't yet,
>and usually the rulings are pretty easy reading for the legal layman.
>
>Eric
>
>[1] It's interesting to note that the NYC republican candidate is

>suggesting canvassing "bad" neighborhoods with optical surveillance,
>i.e. video cameras. If LE were allowed to do the same with IR, wouldn't
>that bother you just a little bit?
>
>--
>Eric F. Richards
>efricha@dimensional.com
>"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
> - Dilbert

509. Re: "New" NYPD Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 00:16:42 UTC
From: pelikandrp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010612201642.14813.00001419@ng-mk1.aol.com>
Source record: 64274

greatly appreciate the replies
Thanks

"if you love a man's garden...you gotta love the man"
-Frank Serpico

510. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 00:25:59 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@home.com>
Message-ID: <hicditkkvf011hrcrp5tat3j8s3oq92529@4ax.com>
Source record: 64275

On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 15:33:27 -0400, "MiamiXJ" <miamixj@bellsouth.net>
wrote:

>The "War on Drugs" is lost and can never be won using current tactics! All
>they are doing is using this fictitious war to further erode our civil
>rights. The police and government rarely follow the rules anyway, if you
>keep allowing them to view everyone as guilty until proven innocent I am
>afraid we are heading for a very bleak future.
>
The real problem with the War on drugs is there are so many sound
economic reasons for it to be lost. If the war was won, think how
many law enforcement people would be jobless, think how much money
they couldn't get to fight the war, think how much property they
couldn't seize. Think how many prison guards we wouldn't need, think
how many lawyers we wouldn't need. We have in fact created a vast
industry around the war on drugs!

Frankly, law enforcement has good sound reasons to make sure that we
continue losing the war on drugs. I think it is high time we
recognized it was lost, there is NO incentive to win it, in fact quite
the contrary, there are big incentives to keep losing. As long as they
keep losing, they get more and more money and people, and the process
becomes more and more corrupt.

It is time we called it all off.

511. Re: Pro 91 - CHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 00:54:53 UTC
From: mamaspajamas <yougottadelthisx@alexis.com>
Message-ID: <9g6d9o$57p$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64276

Or get a 31 inch antenna from some local commercial radio shop, the one where the cop cars are always parked, getting their radios fixed! It will make a world of difference.

You can also get the extendable antenna at Radio shack for $10 that will work when extended.

"Applebee" <Applebee@invalid.xx.au> wrote in message news:ticgaa7ttllff5@corp.supernews.com...
> On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 12:44:30 GMT, Tom Sanborn
> <3b260964.73345800@news.earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> >I'm a Pro 91 Newbie. Can anyone tell me if this unit will pick up the
> >California Highway Patrol? Information I've been able to find shows
> >the frequencies in the 42.xx range, but I'm not reveiving anything in
> >that range.
>
> You need an antenna designed for that band. A CB antenna will work
> well in the car or you can put a mag mount CB in a window in your house.
>
> --
> Microsoft's Outlook (Express): The program that spreads virus'
> so you don't have to!

512. Re: For sale at pawn shop: Uniden BC8500XLT...for $999.99!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 01:00:32 UTC
From: mamaspajamas <yougottadelthisx@alexis.com>
Message-ID: <9g6dkd$7cj$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64277

They don't have $600 in it. Most pawn shops pay about $20 each for everything in the store. Try to sell them something worth $500 someday and see if it is not true! $20. They prey on crack head thieves and general public's ignorance. They lie and say well I have $xxx in it, that is just general operating BS.

"Peter Maus" <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:3B244B60.486A58F6@worldnet.att.net...
>
>
> TooManyRadios wrote:
> >
> > Saw this yesterday. Thinking it was a misprint, I asked the clerk. She said
> > the price was correct...AND that they had $600 into it!!
> >
> > P.T. Barnum was correct. There's one born every minute!
>
>
> At least 3 from where I sit.
>
> The guy who sold it to them.
>
> The guy who bought it from him.
>
> The person who will buy it from the store.

513. freqs between SoCal and Las Vegas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 02:04:21 UTC
From: Brandon <demo@tacobellsux.com>
Message-ID: <FSzV6.12280$036.2780031@news1.elcjn1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 64278

Hi folks,

I am interested in some frequencies for a trip I will be taking next week from San Diego, north on the I-15 out through Las Vegas. I am specifically interested in any additional California & Nevada highway patrol frequencies. I am taking my BC780, and have gotten all the Riverside and San Bernadino trunking systems in as well as the following CHP freqs.

barstow area: white -- base: 42.560 and mobile: 42.740
san bernadino: copper1 -- 39.260
riverside: turquoise1 -- 39.680
rancho cucamonga: beige1 -- 39.880
el cajon: gold1 -- 39.600
san diego: orange -- 39.400
temecula: tan1 -- 39.800

Anyone who's travelled this route and may have additional frequencies to contribute I would be greatly interested. Any more CHP freqs that I might be missing, especially through the Mojave desert as its a long and hot drive. The scanner will hopefully make it more interesting, and safe. I woudl also interested in the Nevada Highway patrol frequencies as well. Every website I check seems to have different data, so if someone could confirm these for me I would greatly appreciate it :)

Thanks,
Brandon

514. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 03:10:38 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <aqlditk7r8p557t18avio75k8h4ejleqna@4ax.com>
Source record: 64279

scosgt@worldnet.att.net (Bruce Markowitz) wrote:

> Not correct. It was remanded back to the lower Court for further
> proceedings. If the warrant was obtained based on other sufficient
> evidence, the case can go forward to trial

That is true -- so the case can be retried if there is sufficient
evidence for the initial search, so it is not double jeopardy.
Sorry, IANAL.

> BTW, he pled guilty, so there is no double jeopardy
>
> On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 16:49:32 GMT, "Eric F. Richards"
> <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com> wrote:
>
> >Applebee <Applebee@invalid.xx.au> wrote:
> >> On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 10:00:54 -0600, Eric F. Richards
> >> <78ecit82b4ebreamgkaujqug64fhvebu11@4ax.com> wrote:
> >>
> >>>The Fourth is one of my sore spots right now because of the
> >>>seizure laws and their abuses in the "drug war." Now I'm not
> >>>happy that the guy "got away with it," but if that's what it
> >>>takes to get the police to follow the rules, so be it.
> >>
> >> He didn't get away with it, he plead guilty to the charge and is lodged in
> >> prison.
> >>
> >>According to the TIME article, the Court ruling overturned the
> >>conviction. He can't be tried for it again, because that's "Double
> >>Jeopardy." He got away with it. But again, if that's what it
> >>takes to get the police to follow the rules, so be it.
> >>
> >> Microsoft's Outlook (Express): The program that spreads virus'
> >> so you don't have to!
> >>
> >>Another feature from MS! :-)
> >>
> >>--
> >>Eric F. Richards
> >>efricha@dimensional.com
> >>"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
> >> - Dilbert

--
Eric F. Richards

efricha@dimensional.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

515. Re: DEA freqs for Rhode island?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 03:19:38 UTC
From: Wiz <mtaustin@onr.com>
Message-ID: <eZAV6.19341$lq1.6659143@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 64281

If their not in the 418 band using DES, they are on their Nextel phones chatting quite privately!

516. FS: AOR AR-8200 Series 2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 03:29:08 UTC
From: RJ <ati@blanca.com>
Message-ID: <3B26B6FE.E1898883@blanca.com>
Source record: 64280

For Sale...

(1) AOR AR-8200 Series 2 with VI-8200 Voice Inversion card. "No Blocks
- Full Coverage"

Asking $500 OBO shipped.

I can take Paypal for immediate shipment.

Please send any questions or offers to mailto:ati@blanca.com

Thanks

RJ
...

517. Re: sending money in the mail- sort of o/t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 04:27:50 UTC
From: mangiaf@freewwweb.com
Message-ID: <9g6q46$79d95$1@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 64282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

not a problem.. have always put 100 dollar bills and such in regular 'ol
envelopes. only costs 34 cents...

In article <3B247C3D.3B7FAF@yahoo.com>, bruceinomaha@yahoo.com says...
>
>Hi- What is the safest way to send money in the mail other than cod
>route? I always thought it was postal money order but is that really any
>different than a regular money order? Will the postal service help me in
>any way if the person cashes my postal money order and doesn't deliver
>the goods? thanks

518. Re: BC350A prgmg info pls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 04:39:38 UTC
From: allanstern@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010613003938.24277.00003133@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 64283

.>I can't figure out how to program the thing! It'll do all
>the preset functions, Police, Fire/emerg, etc. But cant figure out how to
>program my selected frequencies

Here's how:
1. While the scanner is SEARCHing in any selected band, hit the hold button, then use the arrow keys to go to the freq you want to program.
2. Select the bank you want to program the frequency into (i.e., Private, Police, or Fire.
3. Use the arrow keys again; this time to go to the channel you want to place the frequency in.
4. Press PROG, and the frequency you had selected is programmed into the channel of the bank you selected.

AL STERN Satellite Beach FL

519. Re: DEA freqs for Rhode island?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 04:56:36 UTC
From: mbrown7321@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010613005636.14632.00003710@ng-mm1.aol.com>
Source record: 64284

>
>If their not in the 418 band using DES, they are on their Nextel phones
>chatting quite privately!

Yeah there so private the guy on the other end can not understand what your
saying either .

520. Re: Pro 91 - CHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 05:00:02 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010613010002.27447.00001323@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 64285

>You can also get the extendable antenna at Radio shack for $10 that will work when extended.<

Yes! The one with the center loading coil does a pretty fair job with my Pro 91 on low freq's and still does a good job on the high ones when fully colapsed.

Rob Mills ~~~

I never met a radio I didn't like - Will Rogers
(You don't know, He might have)

521. Re: Yaesu FT-530 w/ 800mhz unblocked and MARS/CAP Mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 06:16:42 UTC
From: John Wayne Johnson <o.o@o.o>
Message-ID: <ezDV6.87400$%i7.64893098@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 64286
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Invalid Item
The item you requested (1245689523) is invalid or no longer in our
database. Please check the number and try again. If this message persists,
the item has expired and is no longer available.

"Don Fanning" <donfanning@msn.com> wrote in message
news:r9jV6.4344$OK3.755882@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1245689523
>
>

522. FS:Radio Shack Pro-2006 $275

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 06:57:47 UTC
From: RFGun@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15692-3B270EEB-323@storefull-233.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64287

This scanner is still in A-1 Condition email me back only if inquiry is serious this is a collectors item.Thanks Wallace

523. Vrijdag

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 08:49:05 UTC
From: Ep <nl9648@home.nl>
Message-ID: <3B272947.53CB986F@home.nl>
Source record: 64288

Ophalen op vrijdag is goed.
Mazzel Ep.

524. (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 13:30:06 UTC
From: Steve Lare <n8kdv@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3B276ADE.3BF610A6@iserv.net>
Source record: 64289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I have for sale a Uniden BC9000XLT. Unit is in good condition, with the original box, power supply, antenna and all the original documentation. It does not have the optional CTCSS board. Asking $225.00 shipped anywhere in the USA. Contact n8kdv@iserv.net

525. FS: BC9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 13:32:16 UTC
From: Steve Lare <n8kdv@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3B276B5F.722E0C85@iserv.net>
Source record: 64290
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I have for sale a Uniden BC9000XLT. Unit is in good condition, with the original box, power supply, antenna and all the original documentation. It does not have the optional CTCSS board. Asking $225.00 shipped anywhere in the USA. Contact n8kdv@iserv.net

526. Q: Can Digital Cellular be Heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 14:10:02 UTC
From: hijax <hijax@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9g7s7e$b1cm$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64291
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm sure that someone will have a high-tech way of doing it, but can the average Joe with the average unblocked scanner hear what I'm saying on my cell phone if I'm in the digital (CDMA 800) mode?

-hijax

527. Re: sending money in the mail- sort of o/t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 14:16:43 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20010613101643.28702.00003395@ng-xa1.aol.com>
Source record: 64292

A US Postal Money Order can be traced if it never shows up at the destination.
If you conduct a purchase via the mail (send someone a US Postal Money Order
for an item) and the person doesn't send the item, the person can be charged
with mail fraud. Not sure of the exact process, but you are better off using a
US Postal Money Order to send money to someone you don't know.
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com ICQ#13829227
http://egroups.com/group/vhfallmode

528. Re: Can Digital Cellular be Heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 14:46:01 UTC
From: 1 Angry Dude <oneangrydude@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <S_KV6.4153$qJ4.185865@ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 64293
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

No. We here in Australia use the digital mobile phone network and there is no way that the 'people' can listen in on their scanners. Even the Federal Govt. have problems with this technology...phone taps and the like.

Hope this helps...a little

Angry

"hijax" <hijax@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:9g7s7e$b1cm$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> I'm sure that someone will have a high-tech way of doing it, but can the
> average Joe with the average unblocked scanner hear what I'm saying on my
> cell phone if I'm in the digital (CDMA 800) mode?
>
> -hijax
>
>

529. Re: Who has the new Bearcat 780XLT in stock ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 16:52:14 UTC
From: mabbey@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <5e3cac5f.0106130852.2de6d826@posting.google.com>
Source record: 64294

swaves101@aol.com (Swaves101) wrote in message
news:<20010608115019.08162.00003674@ng-cr1.aol.com>...
> I believe Lentini Communications has them

HRO took 2 months to ship mine. Their service was unacceptable.

-MA

530. Re: Can Digital Cellular be Heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 17:13:03 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <zaNV6.2643$tR.360218@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 64295
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Wise-Guy Answer: Well, technically they can hear you, they just won't understand you.

"hijax" <hijax@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:9g7s7e$b1cm$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> I'm sure that someone will have a high-tech way of doing it, but can the
> average Joe with the average unblocked scanner hear what I'm saying on my
> cell phone if I'm in the digital (CDMA 800) mode?
>
> -hijax
>
>

531. Re: yupi mvt-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 17:34:24 UTC
From: Brian Reid <reidb@nbnet.nb.ca>
Message-ID: <3B27A44C.1DE9884A@nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 64296

Howdy,
Its not realistic to expect any reciever to pick up a frequency without the proper antenna. The supplied telescopic whip fully extended is about 20 inches, just about right for a 2 meter quarter wave. For frequencies below this you need a longer antenna. My 7100 works well on hf with my 134 ft dipole, not as good as an hf radio but very well for a wide band reciever.
Good luck with your scanning

Brian
"V.S" wrote:

> Hi
> I'm thinking of getting the Yupi 7100.
> what is this scanner like on HF on its stock antenna (telescopic whip).
> I know its quite good on VHF/UHF using this whip, but have not had the
> opportunity to try one on HF, some have told me it is not very good at all.
> I expect it is excellent on a home base antenna, but its the telescopic whip
> I am interested in
> thanks
> V.S

532. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 18:28:20 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <2s8fit8c14p668j1ku2mlem4lnf3fvs8lh@4ax.com>
Source record: 64147

On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 20:45:33 GMT, "Eric F. Richards"
<efricha@flatland.dimensional.com> wrote:

>Eric F. Richards <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com> wrote:
>>> So, if it's illegal for the police to do it, is it legal for you to
>>> play with an IR sensitive CCD toy? How about taking pictures of your
>>> neighborhood with a plain old SLR camera and IR sensitive film?
>
>The device used was an Agema Thermovision 210 thermal imager, and at
>the end of the opinion are the images they took. This was no toy!

Whether such devices were commonly available or not has little
bearing. After all, scanners are commonly available, yet the police
need a search warrant to monitor your cordless telephone calls.

>>> It's not even legal for the police to receive your cordless telephone
>>> calls without a search warrant. Scanning enthusiasts are prohibited
>>> from doing so as well, yet that capability is readily available too.
>
>> Okay, try this: think in terms of the unadulterated communications act of
>> 1934, when reception of anything was allowed if never repeated or used for
>> personal gain. The police were doing official business, not "playing
>> with an IR sensitive CCD toy." They were also using something a little
>> more sophisicated than what is available to the average consumer.
>
>Try this, too. I have a choice about what RF emits from my home,
>generally speaking. I don't have to use a cordless phone. But I
>don't have any control over the IR my body radiates, and, Art Bell
>notwithstanding, there, no known decipherable EM radiating from my
>brain.

Time out: You DO have control of what IR radiates from your home.
It's called insulation. People do it all the time.

>>> No property lines were violated.
>
>The opinion states that "'at the very core' of the Fourth Amendment
>'stands the right of a man to retreat into his own home and there
>be free from governmental intrusion.'"
>
>Also stated is something to the effect that heat radiating from within
>the house by definition reveals intimate details of the interior, and
>knowledge of the intimate details is by definition a search. (Long footnote
>in opinion contains dictionary definition of a search, from 19th cent.
>to today, and sure enough, it fits.)

>>> Note that this is substantially different from devices that probe a
>>> person's house, such as a laser interferometry on someone's window, or
>>> an X-ray inspection.
>
>> I fully understand that this is a passive method of retrieving info.
>> So are parabolic mics. Are they legal to use by LE to find out what
>> is happening in your home?
>
>Both active and passive measures above were discussed in the opinion,
>and as precedent, a case involvin a mic similar (but not quite the
>same) as above was used as a supporting argument.

Point granted. However, to use your argument above, it's more
difficult to control the propagation of sound waves from your house
than IR. One could insulate a room for sound, but that's not nearly
as common as insulating for thermal efficiency.

>>> I don't think this search was unwarranted or unreasonable. And the
>>> court's unusual split on this issue makes me think that we have a
>>> majority of luddites up there.
>
>Their comment on the thermal imaging system was that it wasn't generally
>available, and certainly imaging of the quality shown is better than I
>could do without blowing several grand in very unusual places. Maybe
>something based on an astronomical CCD could be rigged up...

Scanners ARE commonly available, yet Law Enforcement Officers need
warrants to use them too. I fail to understand what availability has
to do with this issue.

>>If LE were allowed to do the same with IR, wouldn't
>> that bother you just a little bit?

Yeah, it bothers me. I worry about potential abuse. But I worry
about all sorts of abuses by anyone who carries the power of
enforcement.

I have to weigh that potential for abuse against the crimes it would
help prevent. In other words, what privacy would I lose from the use
of such technology versus what functionality would they gain for
catching criminal behavior? If I lived in an area plagued by drug
activity I probably wouldn't give such measures a second thought. If
I were that much of a privacy nut, I would head for the hills.

As it happens, the police where I live are equipped with some high
tech helicopters (they're actually a dark olive brown, not black :-)
which include IR cameras, active FLIR, and video. The MD state police
could image my house, barn, and field any time they felt like it. I
really see no point telling the police in my state they need a search
warrant to turn on their camera.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

533. Re: Can Digital Cellular be Heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 18:35:47 UTC
From: John Wayne Johnson <o.o@o.o>
Message-ID: <7oOV6.87982$%i7.65656171@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 64148
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You bet!

As long as there is a buck to be made, you can bet your sweet patootie greedy corporate swine are working hard to make anything you want a reality just as long you the customer want it and will shell out hard, cold cash for your pleasures.

534. Re: Who has the new Bearcat 780XLT in stock ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 19:01:31 UTC
From: davidm3123@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010613150131.19040.00003587@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 64149

try Lentini..ordered it yesterday --they had it instock and it is supposed to be here on Tuesday

349.00

535. Re: Q: Can Digital Cellular be Heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 19:04:24 UTC
From: Tim Jackson <tim@micro-delta.com>
Message-ID: <j4efit4mmbq4atl9k5oc84fep0cg6db5rc@4ax.com>
Source record: 64150
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>I'm sure that someone will have a high-tech way of doing it, but can the
>average Joe with the average unblocked scanner hear what I'm saying on my
>cell phone if I'm in the digital (CDMA 800) mode?

Certainly not the Average Joe, as you put it, but the equipment
definitely does exist to monitor digital cellular calls.

Government agencies have it and certain well-to-do private agencies
have it too.

Your average private investigator can't afford it.

Bottom line is that your digital cellular calls are still a lot harder
for the average person to monitor than analogue cellular, simple
cordless phones, analogue two-way radios and landline telephones.

```
====================
T i m J a c k s o n
tim@micro-delta.com
====================
```

```
/\_/\
/ o o \
(== ^ ==)
) - (
( ) ( )
( ( ) ( ) )
(_(_)_(_)_)
```

536. Re: FS Alinco DJ-X2000 Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 20:03:01 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010613160301.04835.00001616@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 64152

>In article <3B26523B.D444003E@ckradio.net>, ck@ckradio.net says...
>> I have a brand new in the box top of the line DJ-X2000. This has
>> everything there is to have on a handheld. I bought two and my friend
>> backed out. $499.95 shipped.
>
>Are they still your friend ?

Depends on whether he gets more than what he has into the scanner!

537. Re: Can Digital Cellular be Heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 20:03:02 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010613160302.04835.00001617@nso-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 64151

In article <7oOV6.87982$%i7.65656171@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>, "John Wayne Johnson" <o.o@o.o> writes:

>you can bet your sweet patootie

"Patootie??" Isn't that a common Bill Cheek term?

538. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 20:53:01 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1591725cda4984a3989732@news>
Source record: 64153

Jake Brodsky frussle@erols.com says...
>
> >>If LE were allowed to do the same with IR, wouldn't
> >> that bother you just a little bit?
>
> Yeah, it bothers me. I worry about potential abuse. But I worry
> about all sorts of abuses by anyone who carries the power of
> enforcement.
>
> I have to weigh that potential for abuse against the crimes it would
> help prevent. In other words, what privacy would I lose from the use
> of such technology versus what functionality would they gain for
> catching criminal behavior?

The only criminals you will catch are the potheads or actually the people that supply the potheads. Not crack heads, IV drug users, meth freaks or prescription junkies. Read any of the reports on studies done by the US government on pot and potheads starting with Richard Nixon and you will find the pot is the very least of our worries and that is what this whole IR ruling is about. It isn't used for anything else. Hey, I am all for lining the masses up for one giant urine test and shoot all those that test positive for drugs. Keep in mind though, a large sector of our government will be gone (a good thing), the NBA will cease to exist, lawyers and doctors will be in high demand as will many other professional positions.

> If I lived in an area plagued by drug
> activity I probably wouldn't give such measures a second thought. If
> I were that much of a privacy nut, I would head for the hills.

I am in the process of setting up an indoor hydroponics garden which will include a HID light similar to the ones pot growers use. Many people use them for legal purposes. Is the local LE group going to fly by my house tonight and kick in my door tomorrow because they saw a hot spot in my house? Shouldn't happen unless they have all sorts of other evidence pointing to me growing illegal stuff. If I am a pot grower it shouldn't be that hard for a professional LE group to gather

LE should not be allowed to use and sort of device that invades one home in any shape or form without sound reason and a court order.

> As it happens, the police where I live are equipped with some high
> tech helicopters (they're actually a dark olive brown, not black :-)
> which include IR cameras, active FLIR, and video. The MD state police
> could image my house, barn, and field any time they felt like it. I
> really see no point telling the police in my state they need a search

> warrant to turn on their camera.

So you have no problem with Officer Billy-Joe Bob flying silent over your house each evening the same time your young daughter (or son) and wife shower and get ready for bed. They have the new GE-Rayethon 3600-3D computerized 3D imaging system. Billy-Joe can tell your daughters hair length but not color, but he can see that scar on her thigh left from a toddler trike accident. Thermal emissions from the skin change due to skin texture and thickness, scar tissue, hair, calloused, and such. The technology just gets better. Who needs a warrant or cause, right? This will be OKAY in your book?

Glenn

539. ¡¡¡¡¡¡¡¡GANA DINERO EN LA RED!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 20:55:51 UTC
From: gimfa2001@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <2f1b6fd8.0106131255.543fec24@posting.google.com>
Source record: 64154
Cross-posted to: es.news.grupos,rec.radio.scanner,rec.music.classical.contemporary,comp.fonts,comp.databases.olap

Necesitas Dinero?. Necesitas un trabajo?

Descubre como aumentar tus ingresos. Vista mi Web:

http://www.ProyectoMarket2000.com/cgi-bin/oficina?ID=45268

****

540. Re: Rich Well's Wants to Move to subscription Service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 22:51:50 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <a8SV6.73697$DG1.12100934@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 64155

I won't even dignify this with a response.

Bill Crocker

"Applebee" <Applebee@invalid.xx.au> wrote in message
news:tifr258c5n7448@corp.supernews.com...
> Apparently Well's is being put out of business by all the
> Scanner buffs that visit his web page and download the message section and
> his reviews, so now he is going to move to a subscription service.
Everyone
> interested should point there html web site retrieval programs and
download
> his entire web site. I figure if 1000 people do this his bill will go up
> another $1000 this month.
>
>
> --
> Microsoft's Outlook (Express): The program that spreads virus'
> so you don't have to!

541. Re: Rich Well's Wants to Move to subscription Service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 23:33:43 UTC
From: Artfull Codger <KilldaSpammers@home.com>
Message-ID: <19tfit8jk78m0k7eaqkhkip6cf1nrv8krg@4ax.com>
Source record: 64156

On Wed, 13 Jun 2001 22:51:50 GMT, "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com>
wrote:
Uh....ya just did.
>I won't even dignify this with a response.
>
>Bill Crocker
>
>
>
>
>"Applebee" <Applebee@invalid.xx.au> wrote in message
>news:tifr258c5n7448@corp.supernews.com...
>> Apparently Well's is being put out of business by all the
>> Scanner buffs that visit his web page and download the message section and
>> his reviews, so now he is going to move to a subscription service.
>Everyone
>> interested should point there html web site retrieval programs and
>download
>> his entire web site. I figure if 1000 people do this his bill will go up
>> another $1000 this month.
>>
>>
>> --
>> Microsoft's Outlook (Express): The program that spreads virus'
>> so you don't have to!
>

The sending of any unsolicited email advertising messages to this domain will result in the imposition of civil liability against you in
accordance with Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code Section 17538.45.
Mike & Trish - Oceanside, California

Because we all have the ability to really do something if we choose too, please visit this site & help! It doesn't cost anything, and who knows, you may just change the world. Please just visit:
http://members.ud.com/services/teams/team.htm?id=16E0F69B-E2DF-4117-A18A-E0F1B9D59C7C

To reply, just delete "real" from address

---

542. Re: 2.4 gig.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-13 23:56:51 UTC
From: Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net
Message-ID: <tifvdk72u7j212@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64157
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Amen to that, caveat emptor. Too bad manufacturers take advantage of this confusion. The thing that I find most often is that no matter how much I rail against analog cordless phones for friends in the market for such, the almost universal response is that "I have nothing to hide".

In article <Q1kJ6.97$II4.96514@nnrp2.sbc.net>, "BD" <bodu@pobox.com> wrote:
>A lot of cordless-phones, with answering-machines built in, will say
>"Digital" on the box somewhere.. and people will just assume that it refers
>to the phone-transmission type when it actually means to the
>answering-machine... Take a closer look at the cheaper ones the next time
>you browse 'em.. ;)

543. Frequency Holes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 01:57:55 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9g95nj$s84$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi all, I'm new to the amateur radio scene and am looking into buying my first unit. I keep reading about laws passed in 1994 that caused radio manufacturers to remove or restrict certain frequency ranges. So if I buy one now, say from 30-1200MHz which ranges won't I receive? I know about scanner mods but I'm just wondering what I'll get right out of the box.

Thanks,
Frank

--
Protect Your Rights -- Fight UCITA
http://www.4cite.org

544. Re: sending money in the mail- sort of o/t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 02:18:46 UTC
From: fredrock61@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <464ee3de.0106131818.302baa82@posting.google.com>
Source record: 64159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Normally a money order is fine. However, I just paid a guy on Ebay for a scanner by a Money Order. I verified the MO was received and cashed but the guy won't answer any emails and scanner hasn't arrived. Its like he disapperared. I think he basically cashed the MO with no intention of sending the scanner.

Ebay (ab)user id = JWGJWG (beware!)

The only thing you can do at this point is file a mail fraud complaint against the person since the US mail was used to fraud someone. The Post Office has a form to fill out. You probably won't get your money back though.

I think "mail-fraud" would be hard to prove though. He can always say he shipped it and it got lost or something or reverse things and say you did recieve it and you're the one who is the fraud.

Buying items from individuals thru the mail is always risky.

545. Re: FS Alinco DJ-X2000 Multimode Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 02:48:09 UTC
From: "Bill Amann Jr." <db9834@dragonbbs.com>
Message-ID: <3B2825E9.FA483423@dragonbbs.com>
Source record: 64160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

I herd it was just 3 ch per second !!

Loren Smith wrote:

> 5 ch per second is a fast scan speed. NOT!!!
>
> "Chris" <ck@ckradio.net> wrote in message
> news:3B2658E2.CC6D0E93@ckradio.net...
> > THE WORLD'S MOST ADVANCED MULTIMODE SCANNING RECEIVER
> > I have for sale a brand new handheld DJ-X2000T. 0.1-2150MHz. I bought
> > two and my friend backed out.
> > Check out these features
> > 2000 Channels
> > Alpha numeric Display
> > Field Strength Meter
> > S-meter
> > PC programmable
> > Easy to read DOT Matrix Display
> > 24 Hour Timer
> > Spectrum Scope to find signals easier
> > Fast Scan Rate
> > Help Navigation
> > Instant Search
> > Frequency Counter with built in digital TCXO. very accurate 1ppm
> > Two tone Bug Detector, finds hidden transmitters
> > Digital Recording 160 Seconds
> > FM Stereo
> > Attenuator, 10 and 20dB
> > CTCSS Decoder and Tone Scan built in
> > WFM, NFM, AM, USB, LSB, CW Modes
> > Ni-Cd Battery and Drop in Quick charger.
> > Antenna, Manual, Drop-in charger and battery, are included. Everything
> > is brand new in the box.
> > This radio has outstanding in performance and would please the most
> > advanced listener.$500 shipped.
> > 73 Chris VE3NGW/W4 Florida
> >
> >

546. Re: Q: Can Digital Cellular be Heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 03:24:58 UTC
From: mangiaf@freewwweb.com
Message-ID: <9g9aqa$7m8jb$2@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 64161
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

if someone calls to an analog system *loud and clear*
Or, I do know that a lot of data signels can be decoded with a computer, all
it takes is for someone to come up with the proper decoding software.

In article <9g7s7e$b1cm$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>, hijax@prodigy.net
says...
>
>I'm sure that someone will have a high-tech way of doing it, but can the
>average Joe with the average unblocked scanner hear what I'm saying on my
>cell phone if I'm in the digital (CDMA 800) mode?
>
>-hijax
>
>

547. Re: Anyone own a Uniden TRU248 phone? secure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 03:26:38 UTC
From: anon@no.com
Message-ID: <9g9atd$7m8jb$3@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 64162

A secure phone is about as useless as the analog system you're calling into.

In article <ijbfit08b4733dlingb1rtoru60fveqjqn@4ax.com>,
myemail@goes.here.com says...
>
>The ad says its 2.4GHz DSS. Just curious if someone here
>owned one and if they could scan it. I wanted to get one if
>it was secure from scanners.
>
>

548. Dead BC205xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 03:52:23 UTC
From: "B. T." <chiaz999@yahooREMOVE.com>
Message-ID: <a4dgitkt6590qqde4ug7kvnm4vu98kodbp@4ax.com>
Source record: 64163

Greetings all,

I have a BC205xlt that was stored for about a year without the nicad attatched and now it refuses to wake up. A while back I heard of a keyboard sequence or something to get it going but I've forgotten what it is. The nicad holds a good charge and works fine on my BC100xlt.

Thanx in advance for any help.

B. T. in Phoenix

549. Re: Rich Well's Wants to Move to subscription Service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 03:56:36 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010613235636.29847.00000436@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 64164

>Subject: Re: Rich Well's Wants to Move to subscription Service.
>From: Artfull Codger KilldaSpammers@home.com
>Date: 6/13/2001 6:33 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <19tfit8jk78m0k7eaqkhkip6cf1nrv8krg@4ax.com>
>
>On Wed, 13 Jun 2001 22:51:50 GMT, "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com>
>wrote:
>Uh....ya just did.
>>I won't even dignify this with a response.
>>
>>Bill Crocker
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>"Applebee" <Applebee@invalid.xx.au> wrote in message
>>news:tifr258c5n7448@corp.supernews.com...
>>> Apparently Well's is being put out of business by all the
>>> Scanner buffs that visit his web page and download the message section and
>>> his reviews, so now he is going to move to a subscription service.
>>Everyone
>>> interested should point there html web site retrieval programs and
>>download
>>> his entire web site. I figure if 1000 people do this his bill will go up
>>> another $1000 this month.
>>>
>>>
>>> --
>>> Microsoft's Outlook (Express): The program that spreads virus'
>>> so you don't have to!
>>
>
>
>
>_____
_____
_____
>The sending of any unsolicited email advertising messages to this domain will
>result in the imposition of civil liability against you in
>accordance with Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code Section 17538.45.
>Mike & Trish - Oceanside, California
>
>Because we all have the ability to really do something if we choose too,
>please visit this site & help! It doesn't cost anything, and who knows, you

>may just change the world. Please just visit:
>
>http://members.ud.com/services/teams/team.htm?id=16E0F69B-E2DF-4117-A18A-E0F1B9D59C7C
>
>To reply, just delete "real" from address
>
>_____
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Same old place, four threads from the top "I MEANT to do that!"

Neutrodyne

550. Re: Can Digital Cellular be Heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 03:57:55 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010613235755.29847.00000437@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 64165

>Subject: Re: Can Digital Cellular be Heard?
>From: toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios)
>Date: 6/13/2001 3:03 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010613160302.04835.00001617@nso-fi.aol.com>
>
>In article <7oOV6.87982$%i7.65656171@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>, "John Wayne
>Johnson" <o.o@o.o> writes:
>
>>you can bet your sweet patootie
>
>"Patootie??" Isn't that a common Bill Cheek term?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

No it's a new rap superhereo movie isn't it?
Neutrodyne

551. Re: Q: Can Digital Cellular be Heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 03:59:40 UTC
From: neutrodyne@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010613235940.29847.00000438@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 64166

>Subject: Q: Can Digital Cellular be Heard?
>From: "hijax" hijax@prodigy.net
>Date: 6/13/2001 9:10 AM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9g7s7e$b1cm$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
>
>I'm sure that someone will have a high-tech way of doing it, but can the
>average Joe with the average unblocked scanner hear what I'm saying on my
>cell phone if I'm in the digital (CDMA 800) mode?
>
>-hijax

Not now. When the 2 ghz (or 20 hz) Athlon hits? Who knows.....
Neutrodyne

552. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 05:41:35 UTC
From: Serial # 19781010 <nobody@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e6jgitk2iilj2ikmcet0jdbkqge6hm4q7l@4ax.com>
Source record: 64167

So what about that big eye in the sky. Most people think it has limited capabilities, but not me. I'll bet they can count the hairs on our heads from one, even while jogging. If they happen to see something, like my ex with the neighbors dog, is that evidence admissable?

On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 10:00:54 -0600, Eric F. Richards <efricha@dimensional.com> wrote:

>Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote:
>
>> Did anyone catch the recent US Supreme Court ruling that authorities
>> conducted an unreasonable search when they cruised a neighborhood with
>> an IR camera looking for heat from lots of grow-lamps.
>>
>> Authorities went for a search warrant based on this casual IR camera
>> search, and with this information they caught a guy growing marijuana
>> in his basement. The defendant argued unreasonable search all the way
>> to the Supreme Court --and here's what sucks: HE WON!
>>
>> Stop me if I misinterpret what the court has done, but if we take this
>> any further, we'll have to ban the use of binoculars by police or
>> individuals on the assumption that people associate distance with
>> privacy. And if we take this yet another step further, it bans the
>> use of the electromagnetic spectrum for anything but licensed
>> reception.
>
>Actually, according to an analysis piece I read on the TIME
>web site (admittedly not the be-all/end-all of legal info)
>this has already been ruled upon. If the window is open and the
>plant is visible with the naked eye, they can go in without a
>warrant but with binoculars they cannot.
>
>> I'm concerned. How about you?
>
>Sorry, Jake, I totally disagree with you here. At the risk of
>starting (another) flame war -- I've already used my quota of
>one per year...
>
>I respect you and have had some private email discussions with
>you on various political issues related to scanning and scannists,
>but in this case I believe you are wrong and the Supreme Court
>got it right.
>
>The Fourth Amendment states: "The right of the people to be secure

>in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable
>searches and seizures, shall not be violated. No warrants shall
>issue, but upon probable cause... and particularly describing the
>place to be searched and the persons or things to be seized." (It
>used to be said, colloquilly, that a man's home was his castle.)
>
>The problem is they did the "search" -- the IR scan -- without
>a warrant.
>
>The Fourth is one of my sore spots right now because of the
>seizure laws and their abuses in the "drug war." Now I'm not
>happy that the guy "got away with it," but if that's what it
>takes to get the police to follow the rules, so be it.
>
>This case is pretty minor compared to Miranda, ("You have the
>right to remain silent...") which was a rape case overturned
>because he didn't know he had a right to an attorney before
>he was interrogated. He, too, was clearly guilty, but the
>police broke the rules.
>
>If it makes you feel any better, in most cases getting the
>warrant is a formality (another sore spot for me!) and this
>particular individual is gonna be watched like a hawk and
>will get nailed if he touches pot again.
>
>ref: http://www.time.com/time/nation/article/0,8599,130076,00.html
>
>>
>> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
>> "Beware of the massive impossible!"
>
>Respectfully,

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

553. Waddington Air Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 05:58:55 UTC
From: Robert Offer <robert@g1zjp.freeserve.com>
Message-ID: <9g9jqk$bs9$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 64170

Hi all,
I am new to the group and scanners AND air shows.
I am planning to visit the Waddington show - can anybody tell me what
frequencies I need to listen to for the tower and traffic, please?
TIA
Bob

554. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 06:38:17 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <9ulgito2m7qro708srascv8d1kt0jp48qo@4ax.com>
Source record: 64168

Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote:

> Whether such devices were commonly available or not has little
> bearing. After all, scanners are commonly available, yet the police
> need a search warrant to monitor your cordless telephone calls.

You are correct. One of the precedents used in this case involved
a microphone attached to the outside of a glass telephone booth. The
ruling was that it constituted an illegal search as well.

> Time out: You DO have control of what IR radiates from your home.
> It's called insulation. People do it all the time.

I wonder how effective a superinsulated house would be against this
device? (In this case, its sophistication DOES matter.) I have no
way of testing, and I suspect that the manufacturer wouldn't tell me
how an R-45 roof would do...

> Point granted. However, to use your argument above, it's more
> difficult to control the propagation of sound waves from your house
> than IR. One could insulate a room for sound, but that's not nearly
> as common as insulating for thermal efficiency.

Hmm, windows make a great sounding board, which is why laser
interferometry (as you mentioned) is a great "active measure."

I've never built a parabolic mic, but that seems easy enough to
test (along with a peak limiter for safety!)...

> Scanners ARE commonly available, yet Law Enforcement Officers need
> warrants to use them too. I fail to understand what availability has
> to do with this issue.

See above. I probably shouldn't have stressed availability so much
but you did mention that your concern was that the S. C. was treating
this as something unavailable to the common person. In fact, their
concern was where this could eventually lead in the *future.*

I personally think that it is a Good Thing that LEO's need warrants
for scanners, mics, and now thermal imaging. When they start
listening to my monitor or scanner local oscillator emissions we'll
have to go through this again, but I'm sure it's coming.

> >>If LE were allowed to do the same with IR, wouldn't
> >> that bother you just a little bit?
>
> Yeah, it bothers me. I worry about potential abuse. But I worry
> about all sorts of abuses by anyone who carries the power of

> enforcement.
>
> I have to weigh that potential for abuse against the crimes it would
> help prevent. In other words, what privacy would I lose from the use
> of such technology versus what functionality would they gain for
> catching criminal behavior? If I lived in an area plagued by drug
> activity I probably wouldn't give such measures a second thought. If
> I were that much of a privacy nut, I would head for the hills.

And that is the crux of the problem with the "war on drugs." Privacy
is being eroded constantly, in the name of better security, but the
gains just aren't there. Just less privacy.

I don't think objecting to this issue makes me a "nut." The "war on
drugs" has been lost with this approach. How about we try another,
fresher approach? How could we possibly do worse?

> As it happens, the police where I live are equipped with some high
> tech helicopters (they're actually a dark olive brown, not black :-)
> which include IR cameras, active FLIR, and video. The MD state police
> could image my house, barn, and field any time they felt like it. I
> really see no point telling the police in my state they need a search
> warrant to turn on their camera.

I don't think a FLIR would be as useful as this imager was, but if I'm
wrong on that, I suspect that a typical approach would be to use this
"accidental observation" as a basis to collect other evidence legally
(such as going through your garbage, which doesn't require a warrant).
That legally gathered evidence would be used to make a case.

Whether the above sequence is legal or not, who knows? IANAL.

> 73,

Likewise, and don't take usenet personally!

>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"Nature abhors a vacuum tube." -- J. R. Pierce, Bell Labs, c. 1940

555. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 06:44:06 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <1vmgitk76877kb8q55fr5nt7dg14ni1p4i@4ax.com>
Source record: 64169

Serial # 19781010 <nobody@yahoo.com> wrote:

> So what about that big eye in the sky. Most people think it has
> limited capabilities, but not me. I'll bet they can count the hairs
> on our heads from one, even while jogging. If they happen to see
> something, like my ex with the neighbors dog, is that evidence
> admissable?

Well, the reason most people think it has limited capabilities
is because of the shimmering of the atmosphere. Now, the "faster"
the CCD (or whatever they use) becomes, that will be less of an
issue, but it is cheaper to fly a plane over your house than task
a satellite to do it.

As to the question of what would be admissible from a "fill in the
blank" platform, the ruling (and cited precedents) talked about
the fact that using your eyes didn't constitute a search and you
couldn't exactly blindfold an LEO while driving his patrol route.

So if your "ex and the neighbor's dog" (Yeech!!) are in the front
yard, than your ex is SOL. But if they're in the house, there's
an issue. I *think* -- I don't know -- if they are in the back
yard and seen from above, they are also SOL.

Nobody said that the issue was simple or easy...

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"Nature abhors a vacuum tube." -- J. R. Pierce, Bell Labs, c. 1940

556. Re: Can Digital Cellular be Heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 11:05:31 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha61DELETE@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3b289a6e$0$25523$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 64171
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

An article from The New York Times that may be of interest to you guys.

04/14/98
Title: Researchers Crack Code In Cell Phones
Source: New York Times (D1,D5)
Author: John Markoff
Issue: Encryption
Description: A group of Univ. of California computer researchers announced Monday that they had successfully cracked the world's most widely used encryption code that is designed to prevent the cloning of digital cellular phones. The researchers believe that the system, known as Group Speciale Mobile standard, or GSM, was deliberately weakened to permit government surveillance. GSM is used in about 80 million cellular phones around the world and in about 2 million phones in the U.S. The researchers broke the code by "using a computer to determine a secret identity number stored in the Subscriber Identity Module, or SIM, a credit cardlike device inside the phone." But what was even more interesting than the security threat, was that "the cracking code yielded a tantalizing hint that a digital key used by GSM may have been intentionally weakened during the design process to permit government agencies to eavesdrop on cellular telephone conversations." Both the researchers and officials from cellular phone companies said yesterday that the threat of cloning was "extremely remote" in comparison to the vulnerability of analog cellular phones.

Nothing is impossible!!
Mike

557. Pro-92 vs. Pro-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 12:13:00 UTC
From: Brian Clark <bclark@templeton.gt.ed.net>
Message-ID: <gT1W6.4664$ID2.891034@typhoon.jacksonville.mediaone.net>
Source record: 64172

I am looking to purchase a trunking scanner and have been looking at the two Radio Shack offerings, the PRO-92 and the PRO-94. How do these two measure up against each other (other than the 94 having twice the channel capacity of the 92)? Which one should I get and why ?

Thanks,
Brian Clark

558. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 13:47:33 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010614094733.01871.00000819@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 64175

In article <MPG.1591725cda4984a3989732@news>, Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
writes:

>So you have no problem with Officer Billy-Joe Bob flying silent over your
>house each evening the same time your young daughter (or son) and wife
>shower and get ready for bed. They have the new GE-Rayethon 3600-3D
>computerized 3D imaging system. Billy-Joe can tell your daughters hair
>length but not color, but he can see that scar on her thigh left from a
>toddler trike accident.

The next thing to happen is that Social Services will come knocking at your
door, wanting to examine your daughter for signs of "child abuse." If any
bruises are found, the government will then confiscate your child and you get
to spend a lot of time and money, trying to get her back.

559. FS: Yupiteru MVT-9000m

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 14:43:34 UTC
From: lilleyd1@msu.edu
Message-ID: <6d6f17d5.0106140643.12199b85@posting.google.com>
Source record: 64176

Yupiteru MVT-9000m (modified by bandercom) - unrestricted coverage.

Like new condition with batteries, original box and manuals.
$400 + shipping (I can include a case and RS telescopic antenna as well)

Dave Lilley
lilleyd1@msu.edu

560. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 14:47:37 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <tjhhitsf52rhn9ik9rnqqh2rblv7jimc2g@4ax.com>
Source record: 64177

On Wed, 13 Jun 2001 20:53:01 GMT, Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:

> Jake Brodsky frussle@erols.com says...
>> If I lived in an area plagued by drug
>> activity I probably wouldn't give such measures a second thought. If
>> I were that much of a privacy nut, I would head for the hills.
>
>I am in the process of setting up an indoor hydroponics garden which will
>include a HID light similar to the ones pot growers use. Many people use
>them for legal purposes. Is the local LE group going to fly by my house
>tonight and kick in my door tomorrow because they saw a hot spot in my
>house? Shouldn't happen unless they have all sorts of other evidence
>pointing to me growing illegal stuff. If I am a pot grower it shouldn't
>be that hard for a professional LE group to gather
>
>LE should not be allowed to use and sort of device that invades one home
>in any shape or form without sound reason and a court order.

You know, if the Supreme Court had said what you just did, one could
make a very good case that the mere existence of HID lights doesn't
imply a damned thing. As a matter of fact, I agree with you on that
issue. But they didn't go there. They said the IR imaging was
intrusive.

That's where we disagree.

>> As it happens, the police where I live are equipped with some high
>> tech helicopters (they're actually a dark olive brown, not black :-)
>> which include IR cameras, active FLIR, and video. The MD state police
>> could image my house, barn, and field any time they felt like it. I
>> really see no point telling the police in my state they need a search
>> warrant to turn on their camera.
>
>So you have no problem with Officer Billy-Joe Bob flying silent over your
>house each evening the same time your young daughter (or son) and wife
>shower and get ready for bed. They have the new GE-Rayethon 3600-3D
>computerized 3D imaging system. Billy-Joe can tell your daughters hair
>length but not color, but he can see that scar on her thigh left from a
>toddler trike accident. Thermal emissions from the skin change due to
>skin texture and thickness, scar tissue, hair, calloused, and such. The
>technology just gets better. Who needs a warrant or cause, right? This
>will be OKAY in your book?

First, these helicopters need IR imaging so that they can safely
conduct themselves on MEDEVAC operations in marginal weather. I don't
begrudge them such tools. However, if in the course of landing or

learning to use with the IR camera system they should happen to see something unusual, I see no reason why the police shouldn't be able to use that information to get a search warrant.

Second, the Supreme Court didn't say IR imaging was ok for use in obtaining search warrants within certain limits of sensitivity or image resolution. It said IR imaging was an unreasonable violation of privacy, period. I find that to be as questionable as banning the use of ordinary scanners for monitoring cordless phone calls.

We can argue over the details of what is privacy and what isn't; but the bottom line is that such things are legitimate political considerations where the rights of two competing groups stand opposed to each other.

You know where I stand. I was wondering what people in this newsgroup (which has argued similar issues in the past) thought of such things.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

561. Indianapolis Police Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 14:51:38 UTC
From: Holcombs1234@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19430-3B28CF7A-6@storefull-174.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64179

Looking for Indianapolis Police, Fire and what ever else might be in the area to monitor.

562. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 15:14:11 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <fkjhitck0k06e7d0cu9c984fc542tt7h7s@4ax.com>
Source record: 64178

On Thu, 14 Jun 2001 00:38:17 -0600, Eric F. Richards
<efricha@dimensional.com> wrote:

>Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote:

>> Time out: You DO have control of what IR radiates from your home.
>> It's called insulation. People do it all the time.
>
>I wonder how effective a superinsulated house would be against this
>device? (In this case, its sophistication DOES matter.) I have no
>way of testing, and I suspect that the manufacturer wouldn't tell me
>how an R-45 roof would do...

I've seen those IR videos too. They're quite impressive. However I
seriously doubt they'd be all that effective against an R-30 wall or
an R-45 roof.

>> Scanners ARE commonly available, yet Law Enforcement Officers need
>> warrants to use them too. I fail to understand what availability has
>> to do with this issue.
>
>See above. I probably shouldn't have stressed availability so much
>but you did mention that your concern was that the S. C. was treating
>this as something unavailable to the common person. In fact, their
>concern was where this could eventually lead in the *future.*

And that's why I found their approach to be rather frightening. They
didn't limit the resolution of an IR camera or the sensitivity of an
IR camera for casual police work, the BANNED it outright for use in
obtaining a search warrant on someone's house. That's what I call a
luddite approach.

>I personally think that it is a Good Thing that LEO's need warrants
>for scanners, mics, and now thermal imaging. When they start
>listening to my monitor or scanner local oscillator emissions we'll
>have to go through this again, but I'm sure it's coming.

As I've pointed out to Glenn Hansen, I find that the existence of grow
lamps themselves to be a poor basis for a search warrant. And had
that been the argument, I'd agree. Likewise, detecting LO emissions
doesn't prove much if you don't know the model of radio emitting them.
Yes, you might be able to tell it's a scanner, but you'd have no idea
what it's scanning.

>> I have to weigh that potential for abuse against the crimes it would
>> help prevent. In other words, what privacy would I lose from the use
>> of such technology versus what functionality would they gain for

>> catching criminal behavior? If I lived in an area plagued by drug
>> activity I probably wouldn't give such measures a second thought. If
>> I were that much of a privacy nut, I would head for the hills.
>
>And that is the crux of the problem with the "war on drugs." Privacy
>*is* being eroded constantly, in the name of better security, but the
>gains just aren't there. Just less privacy.
>
>I don't think objecting to this issue makes me a "nut." The "war on
>drugs" has been lost with this approach. How about we try another,
>fresher approach? How could we possibly do worse?

I find it interesting that everyone brought up the war on drugs. Yes, this was a "war on drugs" case. But it seems to me the ruling goes much further than that.

I also find it interesting that in both the a cordless phone intercept case and now in the IR imaging case, the court didn't just limit the police's use of such technology, it banned such technology without a search warrant.

>> As it happens, the police where I live are equipped with some high
>> tech helicopters (they're actually a dark olive brown, not black :-)
>> which include IR cameras, active FLIR, and video. The MD state police
>> could image my house, barn, and field any time they felt like it. I
>> really see no point telling the police in my state they need a search
>> warrant to turn on their camera.
>
>I don't think a FLIR would be as useful as this imager was, but if I'm
>wrong on that, I suspect that a typical approach would be to use this
>"accidental observation" as a basis to collect other evidence legally
>(such as going through your garbage, which doesn't require a warrant).
>That legally gathered evidence would be used to make a case.
>
>Whether the above sequence is legal or not, who knows? IANAL.

Well, most of us have seen video of what those IR cameras can do. I hardly consider that much of a threat.

My beef with the S. C. ruling is that it didn't just limit the resolution or sensitivity of such cameras, it banned the use of such all such cameras to look at a person's house without a search warrant.

We can argue over what is and isn't privacy versus what is and isn't legitimate use of resources by Law Enforcement in the Public's Interest. That's what politics is all about. Frankly, I don't like where the Supreme Court drew their line.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

563. Re: Frequency Holes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 15:40:06 UTC
From: marshall@astro.umd.edu
Message-ID: <9galsmlbl1@hecate.umd.edu>
Source record: 64180
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <9g95nj$s84$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net> "Frank" <nospam@mindspring.com> writes:
>Hi all, I'm new to the amateur radio scene and am looking into
>buying my first unit. I keep reading about laws passed in 1994
>that caused radio manufacturers to remove or restrict certain
>frequency ranges.

I'm rather new to scanning myself, but from the limited knowledge I do
have at this point, I'd say it sounds like you're talking about the USA
laws forbidding people to listen to cellular phone calls with a scanner.
New scanners made for/in(?) the US must block out this region in the
800 MHz range, but scanners made before the laws passed can have that
range in it and don't have to have it removed.

>So if I buy one now, say from 30-1200MHz which ranges won't I receive?

Well, I purchased the Sony ICF-SC1 and I believe that the small excluded
ranges are 823.98-849.02 MHZ and 868.98-894.02 MHz. I would imagine that
other scanners will leave out essentially the same ranges so you're only
going to be missing a very portion of the full range on the scanner.

>I know about scanner mods but I'm just wondering what I'll get right out
>of the box.

True, there are plenty of modifications available out there should you
want to do them. Once you pick a radio, look around and you should be
able to find mods for it. I hope this helps.

--
. . . . -- James Marshall (CAS) . .
,. --)-- , , . --)-- , marshall@astro.umd.edu ., .
' ' http://www.astro.umd.edu/~marshall
"Equations are living things." .

564. BC 780xlt vs. 900mhz Disney trunked system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 16:29:43 UTC
From: Kevin <awdwfan@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <XD5W6.4743$aV1.437391@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64181

For those of you that are interested I finally received my BC780xlt and programmed the 900mhz trunked system that is used on Disney property. I am happy to say that the 780 does a fine job on this system. If any of you had monitored this system with a 245xlt you know that it is almost impossible with all of the intermod and adjacent freq. interference. The 780 performed flawlessly in all but a few areas of the property. Did not seem to fair well in the Downtown Disney Westside area.

Happy Scanning,
Kevin

565. Re: manual, PRO-2003

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 17:40:13 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010614134013.06162.00001607@ng-fb1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64182

>Subject: manual, PRO-2003
>From: "Robert J.N. Speirs" speirs@primus.ca
>Date: 6/11/01 2:00 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <vH7V6.388$lc2.5020756@news2.tor.primus.ca>
>
>want copy of manual for Realistic PRO-2003
>

Try this Link to most Radio Shack Scanners:
http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/2849.htm

the 2003 is not listed though.

Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: No longer able to post URL for the Site; relocation underway!

566. Re: Can Digital Cellular be Heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 19:07:03 UTC
From: Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <9gb22r$13ia$1@raewyn.paradise.net.nz>
Source record: 64183
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It can't be that difficult to do it. After all a simple??? $50 cell phone can, if the call is intended for you. All??? we need are the encryption method details. Not that I have any interest, have you listened on the analogue bands (legal here)? Totally boring after the first couple of hours.You can bet many people are trying, just look what they do with software cracking.

Neville

"hijax" <hijax@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:9g7s7e$b1cm$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> I'm sure that someone will have a high-tech way of doing it, but can the
> average Joe with the average unblocked scanner hear what I'm saying on my
> cell phone if I'm in the digital (CDMA 800) mode?
>
> -hijax
>
>

567. USAF Thunderbirds - Current Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 19:36:52 UTC
From: Richard Ness <richard@nospam.nessnet.com>
Message-ID: <tii4j2si5ht697@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64184

The Thunderbirds are in town the weekend.
I'd like to monitor them during their show.

I've searched and found lists of freqs, (many)!!
Lists and lists.

My question. Anyone monitored them lately?
What freqs are they currently using?
AM or FM mode?

MANY thanks, in advance!!!

Please feel free to reply here or directly.
Just remove the nospam.

568. Re: Can Digital Cellular be Heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 20:50:45 UTC
From: Ralph & Teresa <rtfeisthauer@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <hr9W6.1097$Sd.412677@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 64185
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yup, it seems to be one of those things that people do, when told that something is impossible, or "because it's there", they do it. Cracking digitally encrypted cell / cordless phones was due to happen sooner or later, but in the end what do you hear? I'd bet the same boring crap you can hear now on the analog phones. Still, nice to know that someone decided that digital encryption isn't the end all/be all of voice communication security.

Ralph

--
"STOP IT!!! You're NOT ALLOWED to MAKE SENSE!
Only crazy, idiotic, wasteful, invasive, useless ideas will be implemented!!"

Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
news:9gb22r$13ia$1@raewyn.paradise.net.nz...
> It can't be that difficult to do it. After all a simple??? $50 cell phone
> can, if the call is intended for you. All??? we need are the encryption
> method details. Not that I have any interest, have you listened on the
> analogue bands (legal here)? Totally boring after the first couple of
> hours.You can bet many people are trying, just look what they do with
> software cracking.
>
> Neville
>
> "hijax" <hijax@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:9g7s7e$b1cm$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> > I'm sure that someone will have a high-tech way of doing it, but can the
> > average Joe with the average unblocked scanner hear what I'm saying on
my
> > cell phone if I'm in the digital (CDMA 800) mode?
> >
> > -hijax
> >
> >
>
>

569. Re: USAF Thunderbirds - Current Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 22:06:35 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <LzaW6.69870$aA5.387575@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 64186

Thunderbirds 1, 2, 3 and 4 take-off at the same time in formation. A majority of their maneuvers are as a formation. The team leader, in Thunderbird 1, talks to these aircraft on 322.950 MHz in AM mode.

Thunderbirds 5 & 6 take off seperately after Thunderbirds 1, 2, 3 and 4. These Solo Thunderbirds communicate on 141.850 MHz in AM mode.

Ground crews can be heard talking to each other and to pilots on 413.025 MHz in FM mode.

You will need a scanner that can receive the military airband. I used an Alinco DJ-X2. Your scanner will also need to have selectable mode so that you can change 141.850 MHz to the AM mode instead of the FM mode which your scanner is likely to use by default.

I monitored these frequencies at an airshow at Cannon AFB in New Mexico on June 10th. 2001. Chances are good that they will remain the same at the next airshow.

"Richard Ness" <richard@nospam.nessnet.com> wrote in message news:tii4j2si5ht697@corp.supernews.com...
> The Thunderbirds are in town the weekend.
> I'd like to monitor them during their show.
>
> I've searched and found lists of freqs, (many)!!
> Lists and lists.
>
> My question. Anyone monitored them lately?
> What freqs are they currently using?
> AM or FM mode?
>
> MANY thanks, in advance!!!

570. Re: Frequency Holes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 22:38:47 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B293CF6.8FE62A21@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64187
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

As far as modifying a recent vintage scanner to receive the cell phone
frequencies - forget it. The manufacturers are required, in order to
get a scanner certified, to build a scanner which cannot be modified
without destroying it. Period. Can some genius find a way to do it?
Probably. Is is a practical thing for the average person to do? No.

However, that does not stop you from building your own scanner from
scratch which can receive those frequencies.

James Marshall wrote:
>
> In article <9g95nj$s84$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net> "Frank" <nospam@mindspring.com>
writes:
> >Hi all, I'm new to the amateur radio scene and am looking into
> >buying my first unit. I keep reading about laws passed in 1994
> >that caused radio manufacturers to remove or restrict certain
> >frequency ranges.
>
> I'm rather new to scanning myself, but from the limited knowledge I do
> have at this point, I'd say it sounds like you're talking about the USA
> laws forbidding people to listen to cellular phone calls with a scanner.
> New scanners made for/in(?) the US must block out this region in the
> 800 MHz range, but scanners made before the laws passed can have that
> range in it and don't have to have it removed.
>
> >So if I buy one now, say from 30-1200MHz which ranges won't I receive?
>
> Well, I purchased the Sony ICF-SC1 and I believe that the small excluded
> ranges are 823.98-849.02 MHZ and 868.98-894.02 MHz. I would imagine that
> other scanners will leave out essentially the same ranges so you're only
> going to be missing a very portion of the full range on the scanner.
>
> >I know about scanner mods but I'm just wondering what I'll get right out
> >of the box.
>
> True, there are plenty of modifications available out there should you
> want to do them. Once you pick a radio, look around and you should be
> able to find mods for it. I hope this helps.
>
> --
> . . . . -- James Marshall (CAS) . .
> ,. --)-- , , . --)-- , marshall@astro.umd.edu ., .
> ' ' http://www.astro.umd.edu/~marshall
> "Equations are living things." .

571. Re: Pro-92 vs. Pro-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 22:47:59 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B293F1E.DF9785FD@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64188

PRO-92 advantages:

* computer programmable - a BIG, BIG plus
* can decode CTCSS/DSC - a BIG plus
* receives LTR trunked systems in addition to MOT and EDACS

PRO-94 advantages:

* More channels (minor, you will rarely, if ever, be able to use 500,
let alone 1000 channels)
* receives some extended freq bands

Walt

Brian Clark wrote:
>
> I am looking to purchase a trunking scanner and have been looking at the
> two Radio Shack offerings, the PRO-92 and the PRO-94. How do these two
> measure up against each other (other than the 94 having twice the channel
> capacity of the 92)? Which one should I get and why ?
>
> Thanks,
> Brian Clark

572. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 22:56:08 UTC
From: Chuck Cheese <chuck@cheese.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1591bc858847248c9896d2@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64189

In article <e6jgitk2iilj2ikmcet0jdbkqge6hm4q7l@4ax.com>,
nobody@yahoo.com says...
> So what about that big eye in the sky. Most people think it has
> limited capabilities, but not me. I'll bet they can count the hairs
> on our heads from one, even while jogging. If they happen to see
> something, like my ex with the neighbors dog, is that evidence
> admissable?
>
Maybe they would just think it was two dogs.

573. Re: Frequency Holes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 23:15:56 UTC
From: Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net
Message-ID: <tiihcsejcaso56@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64190
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If you are willing to invest in an extensive coverage receiver (yes, yes, other posters, I know that there are pros and cons) check out

http://www.yaesu.com/amateur/vr5000.html

Frequency Range : 0.1 ~ 2599.99998 MHz (Cellular Blocked)
Receiving Mode : CW/LSB/USB/AM/AM-N/WAM/FM-N/WFM

In otherwords, this receiver's range is from 100 KHz to 2600 MHz (2.6 GHz) with various modes (FM-Wide FM-Narrow, AM-Narrow, AM-Wide, Upper Side Band, Lower Side Band, and Continuous Wave).

"The incredible 2000-channel memory capacity of the VR-5000 allows an astounding array of operating possibilities! The memories may be arranged in up to 100 Memory Groups, and you can attach an alpha-numeric label to both Memory Channels and Memory Groups to aid in channel identification. The memories store operating frequency, noise blanker status, and DSP information separately, so each channel is optimized for best reception. And the VR-5000 provides a number of other special memory features:"

"To aid in finding band activity, the VR-5000's Real-Time Band Scope, used while operating in the "VFO" mode, will sweep the band in search of activity, displaying the received signals graphically according to frequency and signal strength. The sweep width may be set to 100/200/250/300/500 kHz and up to 1/2/2.5/5/10 MHz, and you can also adjust the sweep steps for best resolution in the frequency range being observed!"

Anyway the unit is $900, at least at the dealer that I use.

I do not have the unit, it's on my christmas list. Reviews by individuals are availabe in the scanner groups and the shortwave groups.

I don't believe that this is a trunk tracker, so for some people this may be a detriment. But the frequency coverage and modes are awesome. I have the following shortwave receivers, Yaesu FRG-100, Radio Shack DX-394, and a Drake PRN-1000. The Yaesu was about $600. You can tell the difference in performance (comparing shortwave receivers).

I also have the following tabletops, Uniden BCT-7, Uniden 210XL (a classic), Realistic 2039, and the portable Yaesu VR-500 all band scanner. Once again the full coverage and changeable modes of the Yaesu make it a real performer, and it (not the antenna) is small enough to fit into a shirt pocket with much to spare. (1000 channels, but no trunk tracking)

http://www.yaesu.com/amateur/vr500.html

Just my thoughts on equipment, others will also have much to say about theirs.

Visit http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/Galleryframe.htm for an awesome
compilation of scanner pictures.

In article <9g95nj$s84$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Frank"
<nospam@mindspring.com> wrote:
>Hi all, I'm new to the amateur radio scene and am looking into
>buying my first unit. I keep reading about laws passed in 1994
>that caused radio manufacturers to remove or restrict certain
>frequency ranges. So if I buy one now, say from 30-1200MHz
>which ranges won't I receive? I know about scanner mods but
>I'm just wondering what I'll get right out of the box.
>
>Thanks,
>Frank
>

574. Re: Frequency Holes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 23:18:26 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9gbgoe$m4a$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64191
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thanks James and Walt. So the cell phone frequencies are
the only range that must be legally blocked? How about
43-49MHz? Some advertisements mention this range is
not available and others don't mention it at all.

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3B293CF6.8FE62A21@worldnet.att.net...
: As far as modifying a recent vintage scanner to receive the cell phone
: frequencies - forget it. The manufacturers are required, in order to
: get a scanner certified, to build a scanner which cannot be modified
: without destroying it. Period. Can some genius find a way to do it?
: Probably. Is is a practical thing for the average person to do? No.
:
: However, that does not stop you from building your own scanner from
: scratch which can receive those frequencies.
:
:
:
: James Marshall wrote:
: >
: > In article <9g95nj$s84$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net> "Frank" <nospam@mindspring.com>
writes:
: > >Hi all, I'm new to the amateur radio scene and am looking into
: > >buying my first unit. I keep reading about laws passed in 1994
: > >that caused radio manufacturers to remove or restrict certain
: > >frequency ranges.
: >
: > I'm rather new to scanning myself, but from the limited knowledge I do
: > have at this point, I'd say it sounds like you're talking about the USA
: > laws forbidding people to listen to cellular phone calls with a scanner.
: > New scanners made for/in(?) the US must block out this region in the
: > 800 MHz range, but scanners made before the laws passed can have that
: > range in it and don't have to have it removed.
: >
: > >So if I buy one now, say from 30-1200MHz which ranges won't I receive?
: >
: > Well, I purchased the Sony ICF-SC1 and I believe that the small excluded
: > ranges are 823.98-849.02 MHZ and 868.98-894.02 MHz. I would imagine that
: > other scanners will leave out essentially the same ranges so you're only
: > going to be missing a very portion of the full range on the scanner.
: >
: > >I know about scanner mods but I'm just wondering what I'll get right out
: > >of the box.

```
: >
: > True, there are plenty of modifications available out there should you
: > want to do them. Once you pick a radio, look around and you should be
: > able to find mods for it. I hope this helps.
: >
: > --
: > . . . . -- James Marshall (CAS) . .
: > ,. -- )-- , , . -- )-- , marshall@astro.umd.edu ., .
: > ' ' http://www.astro.umd.edu/~marshall
: > "Equations are living things." .
```

575. Re: Frequency Holes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 23:25:31 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9gbh5ohmv1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64192
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Wow, sounds awesome! Unfortunately my budget is limited
to a few hundred $, and I'm just starting out so I don't need
anything too complicated, at least yet. :-)

I noticed the older Realistic 2005/6 series usually gets good
reviews, would that be a decent first scanner?

"Soliloquy" <Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net> wrote in message
news:tiihcsejcaso56@corp.supernews.com...
: If you are willing to invest in an extensive coverage receiver (yes, yes,
: other posters, I know that there are pros and cons) check out
:
: http://www.yaesu.com/amateur/vr5000.html
:
: Frequency Range : 0.1 ~ 2599.99998 MHz (Cellular Blocked)
: Receiving Mode : CW/LSB/USB/AM/AM-N/WAM/FM-N/WFM
:
: In otherwords, this receiver's range is from 100 KHz to 2600 MHz (2.6 GHz)
: with various modes (FM-Wide FM-Narrow, AM-Narrow, AM-Wide, Upper Side Band,
: Lower Side Band, and Continuous Wave).
:
: "The incredible 2000-channel memory capacity of the VR-5000 allows an
: astounding array of operating possibilities! The memories may be arranged in
: up to 100 Memory Groups, and you can attach an alpha-numeric label to both
: Memory Channels and Memory Groups to aid in channel identification. The
: memories store operating frequency, noise blanker status, and DSP information
: separately, so each channel is optimized for best reception. And the VR-5000
: provides a number of other special memory features:"
:
: "To aid in finding band activity, the VR-5000's Real-Time Band Scope,
: used while operating in the "VFO" mode, will sweep the band in search of
: activity, displaying the received signals graphically according to frequency
: and signal strength. The sweep width may be set to 100/200/250/300/500 kHz and
: up to 1/2/2.5/5/10 MHz, and you can also adjust the sweep steps for best
: resolution in the frequency range being observed!"
:
: Anyway the unit is $900, at least at the dealer that I use.
:
: I do not have the unit, it's on my christmas list. Reviews by individuals are
: availabe in the scanner groups and the shortwave groups.
:
: I don't believe that this is a trunk tracker, so for some people this may be a
: detriment. But the frequency coverage and modes are awesome. I have the
: following shortwave receivers, Yaesu FRG-100, Radio Shack DX-394, and a Drake

: PRN-1000. The Yaesu was about $600. You can tell the difference in
: performance (comparing shortwave receivers).
:
: I also have the following tabletops, Uniden BCT-7, Uniden 210XL (a classic),
: Realistic 2039, and the portable Yaesu VR-500 all band scanner. Once again the
: full coverage and changeable modes of the Yaesu make it a real performer, and
: it (not the antenna) is small enough to fit into a shirt pocket with much to
: spare. (1000 channels, but no trunk tracking)
:
: http://www.yaesu.com/amateur/vr500.html
:
: Just my thoughts on equipment, others will also have much to say about theirs.
:
: Visit http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/Galleryframe.htm for an awesome
: compilation of scanner pictures.
:
: In article <9g95nj$s84$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Frank"
: <nospam@mindspring.com> wrote:
: >Hi all, I'm new to the amateur radio scene and am looking into
: >buying my first unit. I keep reading about laws passed in 1994
: >that caused radio manufacturers to remove or restrict certain
: >frequency ranges. So if I buy one now, say from 30-1200MHz
: >which ranges won't I receive? I know about scanner mods but
: >I'm just wondering what I'll get right out of the box.
: >
: >Thanks,
: >Frank
: >

576. Re: Frequency Holes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-14 23:47:56 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B294D2A.6CE41363@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The FCC, in their infinite wisdom, did not require cordless phone
frequencies to be blocked. They just made it illegal for you to
listen to any conversations on those frequencies.

Frank wrote:
>
> Thanks James and Walt. So the cell phone frequencies are
> the only range that must be legally blocked? How about
> 43-49MHz? Some advertisements mention this range is
> not available and others don't mention it at all.
>
> "Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3B293CF6.8FE62A21@worldnet.att.net...
> : As far as modifying a recent vintage scanner to receive the cell phone
> : frequencies - forget it. The manufacturers are required, in order to
> : get a scanner certified, to build a scanner which cannot be modified
> : without destroying it. Period. Can some genius find a way to do it?
> : Probably. Is is a practical thing for the average person to do? No.
> :
> : However, that does not stop you from building your own scanner from
> : scratch which can receive those frequencies.
> :
> :
> :
> : James Marshall wrote:
> : >
> : > In article <9g95nj$s84$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net> "Frank" <nospam@mindspring.com>
writes:
> : > >Hi all, I'm new to the amateur radio scene and am looking into
> : > >buying my first unit. I keep reading about laws passed in 1994
> : > >that caused radio manufacturers to remove or restrict certain
> : > >frequency ranges.
> : >
> : > I'm rather new to scanning myself, but from the limited knowledge I do
> : > have at this point, I'd say it sounds like you're talking about the USA
> : > laws forbidding people to listen to cellular phone calls with a scanner.
> : > New scanners made for/in(?) the US must block out this region in the
> : > 800 MHz range, but scanners made before the laws passed can have that
> : > range in it and don't have to have it removed.
> : >
> : > >So if I buy one now, say from 30-1200MHz which ranges won't I receive?
> : >
> : > Well, I purchased the Sony ICF-SC1 and I believe that the small excluded

> : > ranges are 823.98-849.02 MHZ and 868.98-894.02 MHz. I would imagine that
> : > other scanners will leave out essentially the same ranges so you're only
> : > going to be missing a very portion of the full range on the scanner.
> : >
> : > >I know about scanner mods but I'm just wondering what I'll get right out
> : > >of the box.
> : >
> : > True, there are plenty of modifications available out there should you
> : > want to do them. Once you pick a radio, look around and you should be
> : > able to find mods for it. I hope this helps.
> : >
> : > --
> : > . . . . -- James Marshall (CAS) . .
> : > ,. --)-- , , . --)-- , marshall@astro.umd.edu ., .
> : > ' ' http://www.astro.umd.edu/~marshall
> : > "Equations are living things." .

577. Re: Frequency Holes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 01:14:26 UTC
From: ircSLUG <N-O-S-P-A-M-stalker@lvcm.com>
Message-ID: <tiiocfhdqqa8b9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64195
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

That is a great first scanner with the following exceptions....

1. You do not have any trunked systems in your area (Usually found on FIRE, POLICE, and some SCHOOLS)

2. You WANT to modify the hell out of a scanner! (This is one of the best (2006) for modifications out there)

and finally...

3. If you can find one with either the OPTO board or any other brand of computer controlled board installed for around $250 or less SNATCH IT UP!

Hope this help you out......that was one of my first scanners, and I hated to part with it!

"Frank" <nospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:9gbh5ohmv1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Wow, sounds awesome! Unfortunately my budget is limited
> to a few hundred $, and I'm just starting out so I don't need
> anything too complicated, at least yet. :-)
>
> I noticed the older Realistic 2005/6 series usually gets good
> reviews, would that be a decent first scanner?
>
> "Soliloquy" <Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net> wrote in message news:tiihcsejcaso56@corp.supernews.com...
> : If you are willing to invest in an extensive coverage receiver (yes, yes,
> : other posters, I know that there are pros and cons) check out
> :
> : http://www.yaesu.com/amateur/vr5000.html
> :
> : Frequency Range : 0.1 ~ 2599.99998 MHz (Cellular Blocked)
> : Receiving Mode : CW/LSB/USB/AM/AM-N/WAM/FM-N/WFM
> :
> : In otherwords, this receiver's range is from 100 KHz to 2600 MHz (2.6 GHz)
> : with various modes (FM-Wide FM-Narrow, AM-Narrow, AM-Wide, Upper Side Band,
> : Lower Side Band, and Continuous Wave).
> :
> : "The incredible 2000-channel memory capacity of the VR-5000 allows an
> : astounding array of operating possibilities! The memories may be arranged in

> : up to 100 Memory Groups, and you can attach an alpha-numeric label to
both
> : Memory Channels and Memory Groups to aid in channel identification. The
> : memories store operating frequency, noise blanker status, and DSP
information
> : separately, so each channel is optimized for best reception. And the
VR-5000
> : provides a number of other special memory features:"
> :
> : "To aid in finding band activity, the VR-5000's Real-Time Band Scope,
> : used while operating in the "VFO" mode, will sweep the band in search of
> : activity, displaying the received signals graphically according to
frequency
> : and signal strength. The sweep width may be set to 100/200/250/300/500
kHz and
> : up to 1/2/2.5/5/10 MHz, and you can also adjust the sweep steps for best
> : resolution in the frequency range being observed!"
> :
> : Anyway the unit is $900, at least at the dealer that I use.
> :
> : I do not have the unit, it's on my christmas list. Reviews by
individuals are
> : availabe in the scanner groups and the shortwave groups.
> :
> : I don't believe that this is a trunk tracker, so for some people this
may be a
> : detriment. But the frequency coverage and modes are awesome. I have the
> : following shortwave receivers, Yaesu FRG-100, Radio Shack DX-394, and a
Drake
> : PRN-1000. The Yaesu was about $600. You can tell the difference in
> : performance (comparing shortwave receivers).
> :
> : I also have the following tabletops, Uniden BCT-7, Uniden 210XL (a
classic),
> : Realistic 2039, and the portable Yaesu VR-500 all band scanner. Once
again the
> : full coverage and changeable modes of the Yaesu make it a real
performer, and
> : it (not the antenna) is small enough to fit into a shirt pocket with
much to
> : spare. (1000 channels, but no trunk tracking)
> :
> : http://www.yaesu.com/amateur/vr500.html
> :
> : Just my thoughts on equipment, others will also have much to say about
theirs.
> :
> : Visit http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/Galleryframe.htm for an awesome
> : compilation of scanner pictures.
> :

> : In article <9g95nj$s84$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, "Frank"
> : <nospam@mindspring.com> wrote:
> : >Hi all, I'm new to the amateur radio scene and am looking into
> : >buying my first unit. I keep reading about laws passed in 1994
> : >that caused radio manufacturers to remove or restrict certain
> : >frequency ranges. So if I buy one now, say from 30-1200MHz
> : >which ranges won't I receive? I know about scanner mods but
> : >I'm just wondering what I'll get right out of the box.
> : >
> : >Thanks,
> : >Frank
> : >
>

578. Were You Recording on November 22, 1963

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 01:14:48 UTC
From: rfeinman@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <13fccd0.0106141714.35742a06@posting.google.com>
Source record: 64194

Were you a radio operator on November 22, 1963? If not, maybe you know someone who was -- e.g., a family member, friend, or fellow club member. If so, please pay attention to this, because it's very important.

The Coalition on Political Assassinations (COPA) is a Washington, D.C.-based not-for-profit group of medical, legal and forensic professionals, also interested citizen researchers who have been concerned with the major political assassinations of the Sixties for many years. COPA was instrumental in gaining passage of the JFK Assassination Masterials Disclosure Act, which created the presidentially-appointed Assassination Records Review Board. We also monitored the performance of the Board and agencies of the Executive Branch in disclosing government records pertaining to the assassination of President Kennedy, until the life of the Board expired two years ago. Although the Board no longer exists, the disclosure obligation of the agencies continues.

Now, COPA is lobbying the Congress to do what it neglected to do two years ago: a final oversight hearing into the outcome of the Review Board process and the performance of the agencies. We are collecting information about materials from that era that remain classified or withheld.

One item is the broadcast transmissions of the presidential jet, Air Force One, as it returned from Dallas to Andrews Air Force Base outside of Washington, D.C. on the afternoon of the assassination, carrying President Johnson, other dignitaries, and the body of the late President Kennedy.

We know that such a recording must exist, because we have a partial, heavily-edited transcript from the Lyndon Johnson Library in Austin, Texas. Nevertheless, all relevant government agencies have denied the existence of the actual recording.

We suspect that some ham, short wave or scanner operators may have succeeded in monitoring and perhaps tape recording the transmissions to/from Air Force One on November 22, 1963, and we would like to be able to verify the bona fides of the purported transcript, as well as help convince the Congress that there has been wrongful withholding.

If you, or someone you know, succeeded in recording Air Force One on November 22, 1963, and you have the tape, please contact one of the undersigned by e-mail.

Roger Feinman
rfeinman@my-deja.com

John Judge, Executive Director of the Coalition on Political Assassinations
copa@tidalwave.net

Thanks very much.

579. Re: Frequency Holes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 02:20:05 UTC
From: Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net
Message-ID: <tiis6p4fhd3g72@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Some links for your perusal.
http://www.groveenterprises.com/wwwboard/wwwboard.html
http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/

http://www.durhamradio.com/bearcat-bc780.htm

I've always wanted to own one of the models that you listed. I don't know if these are the radios that had display problems or not. Well, not so much a problem with the display itself, but the backlighting used to illuminate it. It's an Electroluminescent Panel, which goes bad over the years. The display itself should still work, but would need to be illuminated by room light to see it. See the following link.

http://207.211.212.50/scan/Backlight.html

It appears that the PRO-2006 is the same as the Commtel 205 (?), see http://www.javiation.co.uk/pro2006.html The Commtel specs follow. I'm impressed, I wish I had the money years ago. I like the frequency range and the mode selections.

Have fun.

Main Features Specifications
Frequency Coverage: 25-520MHz and 760-1300MHz
Modes: AM, NFM and WFM
Increment steps: 5KHz, 12.5KHz and 50KHz
(30KHz steps available on US cellular)
Memory Channels 400 (10 banks of 40)
Monitor Channels: 10 (for storing frequencies found when searching)
Search Banks: 10

Sensitivity (from the manual)
AM (20dB S/N with 60% modulation): 25-1000MHz 2uV, 1000-1300MHz 5uV
NFM (20dB at 3KHz deviation): 25-1000MHz 0.5uV, 1000-1300MHz 3uV
WFM (30dB S/N at 22.5KHz deviation): 25-1000MHz 3uV, 1000-1300MHz 10uV

Selectivity (from the manual)
AM/NFM: +/- 9KHz = -6dB, +/- 15KHz = -50dB
WFM: +/- 150KHz = -6dB, +/- 300KHz = -50dB

Scanning Speed: Slow = 13 channels per second, Fast (Hyperscan) = 26 channels per seconds

Audio Output: 1.3 watts

Size:76mm (h) x 220mm (w) x 205mm (d)
Weight: 2.2 Kg

Supplied Accessories
Telescopic Antenna

In article <9gbh5ohmv1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "Frank"
<nospam@mindspring.com> wrote:
>Wow, sounds awesome! Unfortunately my budget is limited
>to a few hundred $, and I'm just starting out so I don't need
>anything too complicated, at least yet. :-)
>
>I noticed the older Realistic 2005/6 series usually gets good
>reviews, would that be a decent first scanner?

580. Re: Frequency Holes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 02:48:54 UTC
From: John Burns <jburns@ibsgp.NOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <9gbt3opnj1@lust.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 64047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Where in the USA are you based?

I am visiting dallas/ fort worth in texas on business for 1 month in mid July and there is the possibility for me to bring an unblocked scanner over for you if I like you (tee hee). You will probably find the prices very similar NZ$ versus US$.

I think I am allowed to bring it in because it will not be a 'commercial transaction'

Sorry its only texas this time.

Regards

John

581. Re: Frequency Holes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 02:49:59 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9gbt64vnb1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thanks for the info!

"Soliloquy" <Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net> wrote in message
news:tiis6p4fhd3g72@corp.supernews.com...
' Some links for your perusal.
' http://www.groveenterprises.com/wwwboard/wwwboard.html
' http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/
'
' http://www.durhamradio.com/bearcat-bc780.htm
'
' I've always wanted to own one of the models that you listed. I don't know if
' these are the radios that had display problems or not. Well, not so much a
' problem with the display itself, but the backlighting used to illuminate it.
' It's an Electroluminescent Panel, which goes bad over the years. The display
' itself should still work, but would need to be illuminated by room light to
' see it. See the following link.
'
' http://207.211.212.50/scan/Backlight.html
'
' It appears that the PRO-2006 is the same as the Commtel 205 (?), see
' http://www.javiation.co.uk/pro2006.html The Commtel specs follow. I'm
' impressed, I wish I had the money years ago. I like the frequency range and
' the mode selections.
'
' Have fun.
'
' Main Features Specifications
' Frequency Coverage: 25-520MHz and 760-1300MHz
' Modes: AM, NFM and WFM
' Increment steps: 5KHz, 12.5KHz and 50KHz
' (30KHz steps available on US cellular)
' Memory Channels 400 (10 banks of 40)
' Monitor Channels: 10 (for storing frequencies found when searching)
' Search Banks: 10
'
' Sensitivity (from the manual)
' AM (20dB S/N with 60% modulation): 25-1000MHz 2uV, 1000-1300MHz 5uV
' NFM (20dB at 3KHz deviation): 25-1000MHz 0.5uV, 1000-1300MHz 3uV
' WFM (30dB S/N at 22.5KHz deviation): 25-1000MHz 3uV, 1000-1300MHz 10uV
'
' Selectivity (from the manual)
' AM/NFM: +/- 9KHz = -6dB, +/- 15KHz = -50dB
' WFM: +/- 150KHz = -6dB, +/- 300KHz = -50dB

```
'
' Scanning Speed: Slow = 13 channels per second, Fast (Hyperscan) = 26 channels
' per seconds
'
' Audio Output: 1.3 watts
'
' Size:76mm (h) x 220mm (w) x 205mm (d)
' Weight: 2.2 Kg
'
' Supplied Accessories
' Telescopic Antenna
```

582. Its easy to hear a conversation on a Digital Cellular Phone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 02:53:04 UTC
From: John Burns <jburns@ibsgp.NOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <9gbtbiprc1@lust.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 64049
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It actually very easy to listen to a conversation made on a digital cellular phone.

If you are having trouble listening to a conversation on a digital cellular phone, I would reccommend turning up the volume on the handset to a more reasonable level. This is usually done by pressing the upper of two arrow buttons on the side or the front of the phone.

If you are still having trouble listening, you may not have actually dialled a number, your phone is not turned on, or you possibly have a faulty earpiece in the phone.

I hope this helps

John

583. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 05:59:24 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <c15jit4591gfahd376uuil2enj3h7obm9n@4ax.com>
Source record: 64050

Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote:

> On Thu, 14 Jun 2001 00:38:17 -0600, Eric F. Richards
> <efricha@dimensional.com> wrote:
>
> >Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote:
>
> >> Time out: You DO have control of what IR radiates from your home.
> >> It's called insulation. People do it all the time.
> >>
> >I wonder how effective a superinsulated house would be against this
> >device? (In this case, its sophistication DOES matter.) I have no
> >way of testing, and I suspect that the manufacturer wouldn't tell me
> >how an R-45 roof would do...
>
> I've seen those IR videos too. They're quite impressive. However I
> seriously doubt they'd be all that effective against an R-30 wall or
> an R-45 roof.

I don't know that and am not willing to make any judgement one way or
the other without knowing. We are considering mil-spec gear here:
Comparing against someone's IR-sensitive CCD is like comparing an
Apache helicopter to a hang-glider, IMHO.

> >See above. I probably shouldn't have stressed availability so much
> >but you did mention that your concern was that the S. C. was treating
> >this as something unavailable to the common person. In fact, their
> >concern was where this could eventually lead in the *future.*
>
> And that's why I found their approach to be rather frightening. They
> didn't limit the resolution of an IR camera or the sensitivity of an
> IR camera for casual police work, the BANNED it outright for use in
> obtaining a search warrant on someone's house. That's what I call a
> luddite approach.

I disagree. I would call it being "conservative" (not in the
idological meaning) about letting technology get too intrusive.

> >I personally think that it is a Good Thing that LEO's need warrants
> >for scanners, mics, and now thermal imaging. When they start
> >listening to my monitor or scanner local oscillator emissions we'll
> >have to go through this again, but I'm sure it's coming.
>
> As I've pointed out to Glenn Hansen, I find that the existence of grow
> lamps themselves to be a poor basis for a search warrant. And had
> that been the argument, I'd agree. Likewise, detecting LO emissions

> doesn't prove much if you don't know the model of radio emitting them.
> Yes, you might be able to tell it's a scanner, but you'd have no idea
> what it's scanning.

That doesn't take much doing, and in fact has been done. To me.

Let me relay an interesting experience I had about this time last year... I went on what I called my "western geek and nature tour" while taking time off from the working world. One of the things I did was stop by Groom Lake/Area 51 to watch and listen (via scanner) to the planes. As is usually the case, my frequency list was old, but this was a semi-casual stop.

One of the things that I did do is go up to about 200 feet of the roadway entrances to photograph the "orange-post" boundaries, the "cammo-dudes" (security), all the warning signs, and sensors. Well, I did this on the main road without trouble, but on an alternate road, I was driving along when my scanner locked on a frequency that was quiet, then after sitting there a second or two, I got a voice announcement:

"To the vehicle driving westbound on county road <whatever>; please turn around at your earliest convenience. You are approaching a military restricted area. Thank you for your cooperation."

Someone had picked up my LO and IF from either hidden equipment or from a distance, and used it to politely try to chase me off, before things got less polite.

The point of this story is: Don't underestimate what technology can do, and don't believe things cannot get too intrusive.

(Interesting sidenote to this story is that all of my pics -- over 300 -- came out from this trip, except the border ones at Groom Lake. They were all out of focus. Best guess is that someone was using a low power IR laser to confuse the autofocus on my camera, as I was using a tripod and remote shutter release to get as clear a pic as possible of the signs.)

> I find it interesting that everyone brought up the war on drugs. Yes,
> this was a "war on drugs" case. But it seems to me the ruling goes
> much further than that.

I can't speak for "everyone" but I can say that *I* brought up the "war on drugs" because a lot of Fourth Amendment erosion is being done in its name. Some of the wiretapping laws, auto seizure laws, etc. Without a "war on drugs" none of these laws would have happened.

> I also find it interesting that in both the a cordless phone intercept
> case and now in the IR imaging case, the court didn't just limit the
> police's use of such technology, it banned such technology without a
> search warrant.

Fine by me.

> >> As it happens, the police where I live are equipped with some high
> >> tech helicopters (they're actually a dark olive brown, not black :-)
> >> which include IR cameras, active FLIR, and video. The MD state police
> >> could image my house, barn, and field any time they felt like it. I
> >> really see no point telling the police in my state they need a search
> >> warrant to turn on their camera.
> >
> >I don't think a FLIR would be as useful as this imager was, but if I'm
> >wrong on that, I suspect that a typical approach would be to use this
> >"accidental observation" as a basis to collect other evidence legally
> >(such as going through your garbage, which doesn't require a warrant).
> >That legally gathered evidence would be used to make a case.
> >
> >Whether the above sequence is legal or not, who knows? IANAL.
>
> Well, most of us have seen video of what those IR cameras can do. I
> hardly consider that much of a threat.
>
> My beef with the S. C. ruling is that it didn't just limit the
> resolution or sensitivity of such cameras, it banned the use of such
> all such cameras to look at a person's house without a search warrant.
>
> We can argue over what is and isn't privacy versus what is and isn't
> legitimate use of resources by Law Enforcement in the Public's
> Interest. That's what politics is all about. Frankly, I don't like
> where the Supreme Court drew their line.

Well, I think we've pretty much talked it to death at this point. But
you did ask if anyone was concerned, and I'm more relieved than
concerned. Too often the Court has swung the other way in recent
times.

I think we just have to agree to disagree at this point.

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"Nature abhors a vacuum tube." -- J. R. Pierce, Bell Labs, c. 1940

584. S-Meter / Pro-2032

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 07:08:13 UTC
From: sky444nospam@mint.net
Message-ID: <3b29b435.85564859@news.mint.net>
Source record: 64051

Anybody ever modded the 2032 with an s-meter?? and any possibility of finding out any info on doing this...any help deeply appreciated..thanks.
sky444@mint.net

585. Re: Rich Well's Wants to Move to subscription Service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 10:24:53 UTC
From: bj&#65533;rn <ask@for.it>
Message-ID: <cfojitspt16h760oboujfosieg2c5ukdsu@4ax.com>
Source record: 64052

On Wed, 13 Jun 2001 15:41:08 -0700, Applebee <Applebee@invalid.xx.au>
wrote:

> Apparently Well's is being put out of business by all the
>Scanner buffs that visit his web page and download the message section and
>his reviews, so now he is going to move to a subscription service. Everyone
>interested should point there html web site retrieval programs and download
>his entire web site. I figure if 1000 people do this his bill will go up
>another $1000 this month.
I have mailed him and suggested that he installs an apache module that
compresses contents on the fly, and since the message boards and most
of the reviews are just text, it should be able to be compressed alot,
and thus saving bandwidth.

586. For Auction: Uniden Bearcat 780XLT, NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 12:58:44 UTC
From: hijax <hijax@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <8EnW6.396$Vh7.293305472@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64053
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For auction on Ebay: Uniden Bearcat 780XLT 500ch Trunk Tracker Base Scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1247025637

Brand new, unopened

Thanks for looking!

-hijax

587. Re: Indianapolis Police Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 13:12:01 UTC
From: Barry in Indy <barryindy@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <9gd1j00e9m@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 64056

"C Holcomb" <Holcombs1234@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:19430-3B28CF7A-6@storefull-174.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Looking for Indianapolis Police, Fire and what ever else might be in the
> area to monitor.
>

http://www.indyscan.com/

--
barry in indy

588. Re: Can Digital Cellular be Heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 13:17:39 UTC
From: zerquera <tomznospam@tradewebdesign.com>
Message-ID: <hXnW6.5118$p7.2839888@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 64054
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

There must be a way to take a cordless digital phone and have it scan and
decode other same type phones.
Tom

"Ralph & Teresa" <rtfeisthauer@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:hr9W6.1097$Sd.412677@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Yup, it seems to be one of those things that people do, when told that
> something is impossible, or "because it's there", they do it. Cracking
> digitally encrypted cell / cordless phones was due to happen sooner or
> later, but in the end what do you hear? I'd bet the same boring crap you
can
> hear now on the analog phones. Still, nice to know that someone decided
that
> digital encryption isn't the end all/be all of voice communication
security.
>
> Ralph
>
> --
> "STOP IT!!! You're NOT ALLOWED to MAKE SENSE!
> Only crazy, idiotic, wasteful, invasive, useless ideas will be
> implemented!!"
>
>
> Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
> news:9gb22r$13ia$1@raewyn.paradise.net.nz...
> > It can't be that difficult to do it. After all a simple??? $50 cell
phone
> > can, if the call is intended for you. All??? we need are the encryption
> > method details. Not that I have any interest, have you listened on the
> > analogue bands (legal here)? Totally boring after the first couple of
> > hours.You can bet many people are trying, just look what they do with
> > software cracking.
> >
> > Neville
> >
> > "hijax" <hijax@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> > news:9g7s7e$b1cm$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> > > I'm sure that someone will have a high-tech way of doing it, but can
the
> > > average Joe with the average unblocked scanner hear what I'm saying on
> my
> > > cell phone if I'm in the digital (CDMA 800) mode?

> > >
> > > -hijax
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

589. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 13:24:49 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <731kit81nsmgbq87ob2ov7il0h8ujpl378@4ax.com>
Source record: 64055

On Thu, 14 Jun 2001 23:59:24 -0600, Eric F. Richards
<efricha@dimensional.com> wrote:

>Let me relay an interesting experience I had about this time last
>year... I went on what I called my "western geek and nature tour"
>while taking time off from the working world. One of the things I
>did was stop by Groom Lake/Area 51 to watch and listen (via scanner)
>to the planes. As is usually the case, my frequency list was old,
>but this was a semi-casual stop.
>
>One of the things that I did do is go up to about 200 feet of the
>roadway entrances to photograph the "orange-post" boundaries, the
>"cammo-dudes" (security), all the warning signs, and sensors. Well,
>I did this on the main road without trouble, but on an alternate
>road, I was driving along when my scanner locked on a frequency
>that was quiet, then after sitting there a second or two, I got a
>voice announcement:
>
>"To the vehicle driving westbound on county road <whatever>; please
>turn around at your earliest convenience. You are approaching a
>military restricted area. Thank you for your cooperation."
>
>Someone had picked up my LO and IF from either hidden equipment
>or from a distance, and used it to politely try to chase me off,
>before things got less polite.

Given how far out in the middle of nowhere this place is, I can think of active measures that someone could use to do this without knowing much about your scanner. It wouldn't be any worse than putting out a pair of signals for a scanner to lock up to. Wait until you detect LO scan stopping on those two signals, and then make your announcement.

>The point of this story is: Don't underestimate what technology
>can do, and don't believe things cannot get too intrusive.

I don't consider that intrusive. You were in a public area. You were obviously attempting to conduct private surveillance of a secret installation. Why shouldn't they take such measures?

Let's get real here: with freedom comes responsibility. Our Federal Government is trying to conduct secret research and development at Groom Lake. We have to balance your right to know against our Government's duty to defend us.

Would you rather that any Tom, Dick, or Harry could go right up to the fence, record pictures, scanner traffic, and so on and then publish it

in a national magazine? Or would you prefer that Area 51 would need to be expanded 16 times from what it is?

>(Interesting sidenote to this story is that all of my pics -- over >300 -- came out from this trip, except the border ones at Groom >Lake. They were all out of focus. Best guess is that someone >was using a low power IR laser to confuse the autofocus on my >camera, as I was using a tripod and remote shutter release to get >as clear a pic as possible of the signs.)

Cool trick. I wish I'd thought of it.

Frankly, I think these are harmless ways of keeping secret things secret. I admit, I have a mild curiosity itch about Groom Lake too. I wouldn't mind learning what it's all about too.

I have very little doubt they're conducting highly secret research. I wouldn't be surprised to learn of some new one orbit LEO spy craft they've been working on. That isn't the sort of thing they could continue doing at Edwards AFB without being discovered.

And who knows what else they might be doing there... On the other hand, I rather doubt an approach as direct as yours would reveal all that much. And no, I don't begrudge the US military's wanting to keep this under wraps one bit.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

590. Re: ARE your cellphone conversations being broadcast online??? Check this out!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 14:17:16 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20010615101716.00531.00003032@ng-mr1.aol.com>
Source record: 64057

Sorry. I have nothing to add, but the subject line of "Re: Is your cellphone conv's being brdcst online??? Check this out!!" was really getting on my nerves, so I cleaned up the grammer and put in complete words. :)

591. Re: For Auction: Uniden Bearcat 780XLT, NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 15:35:56 UTC
From: hijax <hijax@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9gda0e$66ri$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64058
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes, this is factory new, in unopened box.

I'm the same or less than many dealers, and I have it in stock. It will ship within 24 hours of receipt of payment, via whatever speed you are willing to pay for.

If there are any other questions, lemme know!

Thanks,

-hijax

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:l84kitgp1c8crfdcot1sdsvt3u5i3tgdui@4ax.com...
> "hijax" <hijax@prodigy.net> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
> >For auction on Ebay: Uniden Bearcat 780XLT 500ch Trunk Tracker Base Scanner
> >
> >http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1247025637
> >
> >Brand new, unopened
>
> Well, at least you're honest about the reserve, if unrealistic.
>
> ALL BIDDERS PLEASE NOTE: Reserve is set for $350.00
<snip>

592. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 16:08:18 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <vqakits5pl5thun3q1o2nobls3vee0sfck@4ax.com>
Source record: 64059

Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote:

> On Thu, 14 Jun 2001 23:59:24 -0600, Eric F. Richards
> <efricha@dimensional.com> wrote:
>
> >Let me relay an interesting experience I had about this time last
> >year... I went on what I called my "western geek and nature tour"
> >while taking time off from the working world. One of the things I
> >did was stop by Groom Lake/Area 51 to watch and listen (via scanner)
> >to the planes. As is usually the case, my frequency list was old,
> >but this was a semi-casual stop.
> >
> >One of the things that I did do is go up to about 200 feet of the
> >roadway entrances to photograph the "orange-post" boundaries, the
> >"cammo-dudes" (security), all the warning signs, and sensors. Well,
> >I did this on the main road without trouble, but on an alternate
> >road, I was driving along when my scanner locked on a frequency
> >that was quiet, then after sitting there a second or two, I got a
> >voice announcement:
> >
> >"To the vehicle driving westbound on county road <whatever>; please
> >turn around at your earliest convenience. You are approaching a
> >military restricted area. Thank you for your cooperation."
> >
> >Someone had picked up my LO and IF from either hidden equipment
> >or from a distance, and used it to politely try to chase me off,
> >before things got less polite.
>
> Given how far out in the middle of nowhere this place is, I can think
> of active measures that someone could use to do this without knowing
> much about your scanner. It wouldn't be any worse than putting out a
> pair of signals for a scanner to lock up to. Wait until you detect LO
> scan stopping on those two signals, and then make your announcement.

Well, they'd have to detect an LO and an IF to determine what the
target freq was to make the announcement. Your earlier post suggested
that would be difficult. I'm saying it was done.

> >The point of this story is: Don't underestimate what technology
> >can do, and don't believe things cannot get too intrusive.
>
> I don't consider that intrusive. You were in a public area. You were
> obviously attempting to conduct private surveillance of a secret
> installation. Why shouldn't they take such measures?

No, you've missed the point. That same technology could be used by
an LEO sitting across from your home or in a roving vehicle like
the british "TV license patrols."

And, for the record, I was on a *public* road on *public* land when
the announcement occurred. I had passed a cattle watering hole
about 1000 ft back before the announcement occurred.

But forget Groom Lake. That's just where this happened. Transplant
the device into the hands of your LEO. You stated earlier that
such a device was a ways away. I am saying that it is here.

Whether Sgt. Rock of the local LEO has his hands on it is another
matter; but when aimed at your house, it becomes highly intrusive.

> Let's get real here: with freedom comes responsibility. Our Federal
> Government is trying to conduct secret research and development at
> Groom Lake. We have to balance your right to know against our
> Government's duty to defend us.

True enough. I wasn't interested in any secrets, and my $99 Sony
scanner (which I fully expected to get confiscated if I misstepped)
wasn't exactly an ultra-sophisticated tool. The closest I heard to
anything "juicy" was a conversation that ended with:
"shouldn't we go green with this?"
"uh.... going green."

What should be classified and how is another thread that we shouldn't
get into here as it has nothing to do with the S. C. ruling we
were discussing... but I should mention that in the 80s some of the
best info about Groom Lake was leaked by the Soviets... so what is
being kept secret from whom?

> Would you rather that any Tom, Dick, or Harry could go right up to the
> fence, record pictures, scanner traffic, and so on and then publish it
> in a national magazine? Or would you prefer that Area 51 would need
> to be expanded 16 times from what it is?

Already been done several times. But that's another thread...

> >(Interesting sidenote to this story is that all of my pics -- over
> >300 -- came out from this trip, except the border ones at Groom
> >Lake. They were all out of focus. Best guess is that someone
> >was using a low power IR laser to confuse the autofocus on my
> >camera, as I was using a tripod and remote shutter release to get
> >as clear a pic as possible of the signs.)
>
> Cool trick. I wish I'd thought of it.
>
> Frankly, I think these are harmless ways of keeping secret things
> secret. I admit, I have a mild curiosity itch about Groom Lake too.
> I wouldn't mind learning what it's all about too.
>
> I have very little doubt they're conducting highly secret research. I

> wouldn't be surprised to learn of some new one orbit LEO spy craft
> they've been working on. That isn't the sort of thing they could
> continue doing at Edwards AFB without being discovered.
>
> And who knows what else they might be doing there... On the other
> hand, I rather doubt an approach as direct as yours would reveal all
> that much. And no, I don't begrudge the US military's wanting to keep
> this under wraps one bit.
>

Again, not the point. The poing is that the S. C. ruling was worried
about the future abilities of such intrusive devices as the IR viewer.
In the case of scanner monitors, that future is now, and I've been
there.

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dimensional.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

593. Multiband NMO antenna info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 18:22:18 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <cjsW6.3483$7u2.783@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 64060
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

After years of using dualband commercial antennas for my mobile scanner, I've finally gotten a trunking scanner for my car, only to find my antenna is deaf on 800 MHz trunks. Any suggestions on a good multiband mobile antenna with a NMO mount. I need to cover 140-174, 440-470, and 850-866, with 39-46 MHz a plus. The antenna needs to mount to a NMO mount, although I do have adapters for NMO to SO239 and NMO to 3/8-24 thd, I'd rather not have to use them. The system I'm wanting to monitor is the Okla. Highway Patrol, with the nearest tower about 35-40 miles away. I can get them by using the antenna from my BC245 on a window-lip mount, so it shouldn't be a problem for a decent mobile antenna. Posting to the group(s) is preferred, as others might benefit from this information.

Thanks and 73,
Mark K5MAR

594. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 18:32:18 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <8ukkitsfivto19v1v3h1i0r3qa84llq2tn@4ax.com>
Source record: 64061

Bill <bill@com.invalid!> wrote:

> On Fri, 15 Jun 2001 09:24:49 -0400, Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote:
>
> >Our Federal
> >Government is trying to conduct secret research and development at
> >Groom Lake.
>
> Well.....not quite anymore. They haven't been doing much at all out there for
> the last 4 years or so.
>
> They are at a new base in Utah now that has so much land around it, that Groom
> Lake looked like a broom closet. No more sight seeing........

Well, that's the claim, although I can say from my experience that the
airspace above it is still busy as hell and the traffic to and from
the base is still heavy. The locals claim no difference in traffic,'
either, and "The Best Cat Houses In Nevada" is still a hot seller to
military guys appearing from "nowhere."

Eric
--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dimensional.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

595. DIGITAL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 18:33:05 UTC
From: "H. Siemer" <siemer@umbc.edu>
Message-ID: <9gdkfr$9b3$1@news.umbc.edu>
Source record: 64062

My local PD has switched to 800mhz digital. Is there a scanner that can now receive digital transmissions for me to hear?

thanks

596. The Trunked Radio Information User Forums

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 19:48:18 UTC
From: "news.swbell.net" <lindsay@lcblanton.com>
Message-ID: <gEtW6.41$8O1.33880@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 64063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hello all users of the Trunked Radio Information User Forums!

Over the past few months, the user forums have grown tremendously and are becoming more popular than ever. We now have close to 1000 posts on the boards, with new members joining everyday. Just in case you forgot about the forums, come back and visit us at:

http://www.trunkedradio.net/cgi-bin/Ultimate.cgi

You know, as more users realize the disadvantages of hundreds of mailing lists and feature-less bulletin boards in place today, they are beginning to realize the *advantages* of a consolidated feature-full discussion forum. The Trunked Radio Information Discussion forums are attempting to help consolidate the hundreds of mailing lists -- which are bandwidth intensive, unpopular, full of Spam, and a "force down your throat" approach. How many lists and discussion forums out there today allow you to pick what you want to read, modify what you have already posted, and be notified when someone responds to a question from you? None that I can find, but the Trunked Radio Discussion forums provide those features today!

I want to take a quick moment and let you know about some of the recent enhancements to the boards, which will make your "Trunked Radio" experience more enjoyable:

1. We've added a few additional forums, including a UK discussion forum for those trunked radio enthusiasts in the United Kingdom.
2. A number of colors and views enhancements have made the forums more readable and usable.
3. Posts now contain more detailed information on registered users, including how many posts they've participated in, and where they are located.

Future enhancements coming in the months ahead include:

1. The ability to attach files to messages on the forums.
2. The ability to attach a picture of yourself for your profile.

If you have any questions, suggestions for additional features, or otherwise -- drop me a note.

Warmest regards,

Lindsay Blanton

Lindsay C. Blanton
The Trunked Radio Information Homepage
http://www.trunkedradio.net

597. Re: BC350A prgmg info pls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 19:59:32 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010615155932.13627.00008485@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 64064

>
>I just picked up a nice BC350A at a flea market. $5 bucks, guaranteed not
>to work. Found a shorted reverse current diode, replaced it, and she came
>to life! However, I can't figure out how to program the thing!

When you do figure it out, would you mind enlightening me? I have one that a
co-worker brought to me for programming help. No booklet also.
Thanx in advance. Mike

598. Re: Radio Shack Model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 20:08:35 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010615160835.13627.00008488@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 64065

>
>The FCC protects the privacy of telephone conversations by requiring
>notification before a recording device is used to record interstate or
>foreign telephone conversations

Read your own quote. It says: INTERSTATE OR FOREIGN TELEPHONE
CONVERSATIONS. You'd better read your quotes thoroughly beforre sending them.

599. Re: Radio Shack Model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 20:10:09 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010615161009.13627.00008489@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 64066

>
>Stupidity is the grandest of all forms ignorance. RESEARCH before you
>rattle your trap... It saves the embarrassment afterwards....

BTW I find it hilarious that you would use this statement as a signature.

600. Re: For Auction: Uniden Bearcat 780XLT, NEW

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 20:15:51 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3b2a6af5.11009137@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 64067
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

>I doubt you'll get many bids when your auction says:
>
>"As per details above, we are ending the auction early because the
>reserve was met. Thanks!"

If the reserve was met and he ended it, selling to the high bidder,
then HE WON'T NEED ANY MORE BIDS!!!

Duh
N2TYB

EBAY : OFFDAN
"The idle brain is the Devil's Playground"

601. Re: Radio Shack Model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 20:16:47 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010615161647.13627.00008490@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 64068

>
> Not quite simple. It depends on state law. Some states (cf MD - State v.
>Tripp)
>require both parties' consent others only one. the federal law, IIRC requires
>only
>one, but it can still be a violation of state "privacy" laws if you do so.

I live in NY where it's legal to tape a call with the permission of AT LEAST
one party to the call. I may have made the erroneous assumption that the
original query was from someone also in NY.

602. Re: DIGITAL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 20:31:05 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <dguW6.80927$DG1.13389914@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 64069

No, not yet. Possibly coming out in a year, or so.

Bill Crocker

"H. Siemer" <siemer@umbc.edu> wrote in message
news:9gdkfr$9b3$1@news.umbc.edu...
> My local PD has switched to 800mhz digital. Is there a scanner that can
now
> receive digital transmissions for me to hear?
>
> thanks
>
>
>

603. Re: DIGITAL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 20:33:29 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B2A7119.2F1CBDE@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64070

Not yet. Uniden may have one in a year or two. But, is your PD
encrypted? The digital scanners you will be able to buy will most
likely not allow you to receive encrypted material.

"H. Siemer" wrote:
>
> My local PD has switched to 800mhz digital. Is there a scanner that can now
> receive digital transmissions for me to hear?
>
> thanks

604. Re: DIGITAL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 20:34:11 UTC
From: Brandon <demo@tacobellsux.com>
Message-ID: <7juW6.13849$036.3847317@news1.elcjn1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 64071

I've heard rumors but so far nothing is out there.

2 rumors: one being the addon board for the 780, and another I saw on strongsignals which said WinRaDiO might be releasing some type of digital trunking software. We'll just have to wait and see.

I also recall that Uniden was supposively working on a digital scanner. I know how you feel :(

"H. Siemer" <siemer@umbc.edu> wrote in message news:9gdkfr$9b3$1@news.umbc.edu...
> My local PD has switched to 800mhz digital. Is there a scanner that can now
> receive digital transmissions for me to hear?
>
> thanks
>
>
>

605. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 21:31:07 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b2a7dc9.855187@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 64072

It is my understanding (I read the decision) that the Police obtained
the warrant based on the evidence gathered by the IR scanner. They
could never just go into a house and seize evidence without a warrant
- except under emergency conditions (kidnapping, evidence about to be
destroyed) they could NEVER do that. So the issue for the lower Court
is the validity of the warrant that was obtained, in light of the
decision

On Thu, 14 Jun 2001 08:22:01 -0400, Roger T. <rogert239@aol.com>
wrote:

>On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 23:47:18 GMT, scosgt@worldnet.att.net (Bruce Markowitz)
>wrote:
>
>>Not correct. It was remanded back to the lower Court for further
>>proceedings. If the warrant was obtained based on other sufficient
>>evidence, the case can go forward to trial
>
>There was NO warrant. That's the whole argument.
>
>The lower court now needs to determine if there was any OTHER evidence that
>would allow them to get a warrant. Obviously there isn't, or the police
>wouldn't have resorted to the IR sensors. They would have just gotten the
>warrant for physical search.
>
>
>>BTW, he pled guilty, so there is no double jeopardy
>>
>>On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 16:49:32 GMT, "Eric F. Richards"
>><efricha@flatland.dimensional.com> wrote:
>>
>>>Applebee <Applebee@invalid.xx.au> wrote:
>>>> On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 10:00:54 -0600, Eric F. Richards
>>>> <78ecit82b4ebreamgkaujqug64fhvebu11@4ax.com> wrote:
>>>
>>>>>The Fourth is one of my sore spots right now because of the
>>>>>seizure laws and their abuses in the "drug war." Now I'm not
>>>>>happy that the guy "got away with it," but if that's what it
>>>>>takes to get the police to follow the rules, so be it.
>>>
>>>> He didn't get away with it, he plead guilty to the charge and is lodged in
>>>> prison.
>>>
>>>According to the TIME article, the Court ruling overturned the
>>>conviction. He can't be tried for it again, because that's "Double

>>>Jeopardy." He got away with it. But again, if that's what it
>>>takes to get the police to follow the rules, so be it.
>>>
>>>> Microsoft's Outlook (Express): The program that spreads virus'
>>>> so you don't have to!
>>>
>>>Another feature from MS! :-)
>>>
>>>--
>>>Eric F. Richards
>>>efricha@dimensional.com
>>>"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
>>> - Dilbert
>

606. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 21:31:29 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b2a7e3a.967929@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 64073

NOW you got it right

On Thu, 14 Jun 2001 08:58:15 -0400, Roger T. <rogert239@aol.com>
wrote:

>On Thu, 14 Jun 2001 08:22:01 -0400, Roger T. <rogert239@aol.com> wrote:
>
>>On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 23:47:18 GMT, scosgt@worldnet.att.net (Bruce Markowitz)
>>wrote:
>>
>>>Not correct. It was remanded back to the lower Court for further
>>>proceedings. If the warrant was obtained based on other sufficient
>>>evidence, the case can go forward to trial
>>
>>There was NO warrant. That's the whole argument.
>
>I need to clarify this statement before someone wrongly interprets it.
>
>There was no warrant to perform the IR 'search'. A warrant was wrongly
>obtained after the 'search' was already completed.

607. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 21:33:34 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b2a7e7b.1032464@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 64074

That is not a well reasoned position you are taking. The Police simply can't use ANY means to look inside someones house without a warrant. Period (what you can see thru an open window from the street is different, there is no expectation of privacy there)

On Thu, 14 Jun 2001 10:47:37 -0400, Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote:

>On Wed, 13 Jun 2001 20:53:01 GMT, Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:
>
>> Jake Brodsky frussle@erols.com says...
>>> If I lived in an area plagued by drug
>>> activity I probably wouldn't give such measures a second thought. If
>>> I were that much of a privacy nut, I would head for the hills.
>>
>>I am in the process of setting up an indoor hydroponics garden which will
>>include a HID light similar to the ones pot growers use. Many people use
>>them for legal purposes. Is the local LE group going to fly by my house
>>tonight and kick in my door tomorrow because they saw a hot spot in my
>>house? Shouldn't happen unless they have all sorts of other evidence
>>pointing to me growing illegal stuff. If I am a pot grower it shouldn't
>>be that hard for a professional LE group to gather
>>
>>LE should not be allowed to use and sort of device that invades one home
>>in any shape or form without sound reason and a court order.
>
>You know, if the Supreme Court had said what you just did, one could
>make a very good case that the mere existence of HID lights doesn't
>imply a damned thing. As a matter of fact, I agree with you on that
>issue. But they didn't go there. They said the IR imaging was
>intrusive.
>
>That's where we disagree.
>
>>> As it happens, the police where I live are equipped with some high
>>> tech helicopters (they're actually a dark olive brown, not black :-)
>>> which include IR cameras, active FLIR, and video. The MD state police
>>> could image my house, barn, and field any time they felt like it. I
>>> really see no point telling the police in my state they need a search
>>> warrant to turn on their camera.
>>
>>So you have no problem with Officer Billy-Joe Bob flying silent over your
>>house each evening the same time your young daughter (or son) and wife
>>shower and get ready for bed. They have the new GE-Rayethon 3600-3D
>>computerized 3D imaging system. Billy-Joe can tell your daughters hair

>>length but not color, but he can see that scar on her thigh left from a
>>toddler trike accident. Thermal emissions from the skin change due to
>>skin texture and thickness, scar tissue, hair, calloused, and such. The
>>technology just gets better. Who needs a warrant or cause, right? This
>>will be OKAY in your book?
>
>First, these helicopters need IR imaging so that they can safely
>conduct themselves on MEDEVAC operations in marginal weather. I don't
>begrudge them such tools. However, if in the course of landing or
>learning to use with the IR camera system they should happen to see
>something unusual, I see no reason why the police shouldn't be able to
>use that information to get a search warrant.
>
>Second, the Supreme Court didn't say IR imaging was ok for use in
>obtaining search warrants within certain limits of sensitivity or
>image resolution. It said IR imaging was an unreasonable violation of
>privacy, period. I find that to be as questionable as banning the use
>of ordinary scanners for monitoring cordless phone calls.
>
>We can argue over the details of what is privacy and what isn't; but
>the bottom line is that such things are legitimate political
>considerations where the rights of two competing groups stand opposed
>to each other.
>
>You know where I stand. I was wondering what people in this newsgroup
>(which has argued similar issues in the past) thought of such things.
>
>
>Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
>"Beware of the massive impossible!"

608. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 21:35:01 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b2a7efa.1159804@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 64075

Because the Police can not spy into someones home without a warrant.
Period. That's a constitutional protection.

On Thu, 14 Jun 2001 11:14:11 -0400, Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
wrote:

>On Thu, 14 Jun 2001 00:38:17 -0600, Eric F. Richards
><efricha@dimensional.com> wrote:
>
>>Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote:
>
>>> Time out: You DO have control of what IR radiates from your home.
>>> It's called insulation. People do it all the time.
>>
>>I wonder how effective a superinsulated house would be against this
>>device? (In this case, its sophistication DOES matter.) I have no
>>way of testing, and I suspect that the manufacturer wouldn't tell me
>>how an R-45 roof would do...
>
>I've seen those IR videos too. They're quite impressive. However I
>seriously doubt they'd be all that effective against an R-30 wall or
>an R-45 roof.
>
>>> Scanners ARE commonly available, yet Law Enforcement Officers need
>>> warrants to use them too. I fail to understand what availability has
>>> to do with this issue.
>>
>>See above. I probably shouldn't have stressed availability so much
>>but you did mention that your concern was that the S. C. was treating
>>this as something unavailable to the common person. In fact, their
>>concern was where this could eventually lead in the *future.*
>
>And that's why I found their approach to be rather frightening. They
>didn't limit the resolution of an IR camera or the sensitivity of an
>IR camera for casual police work, the BANNED it outright for use in
>obtaining a search warrant on someone's house. That's what I call a
>luddite approach.
>
>>I personally think that it is a Good Thing that LEO's need warrants
>>for scanners, mics, and now thermal imaging. When they start
>>listening to my monitor or scanner local oscillator emissions we'll
>>have to go through this again, but I'm sure it's coming.
>
>As I've pointed out to Glenn Hansen, I find that the existence of grow
>lamps themselves to be a poor basis for a search warrant. And had

>that been the argument, I'd agree. Likewise, detecting LO emissions
>doesn't prove much if you don't know the model of radio emitting them.
>Yes, you might be able to tell it's a scanner, but you'd have no idea
>what it's scanning.
>
>>> I have to weigh that potential for abuse against the crimes it would
>>> help prevent. In other words, what privacy would I lose from the use
>>> of such technology versus what functionality would they gain for
>>> catching criminal behavior? If I lived in an area plagued by drug
>>> activity I probably wouldn't give such measures a second thought. If
>>> I were that much of a privacy nut, I would head for the hills.
>>
>>And that is the crux of the problem with the "war on drugs." Privacy
>>*is* being eroded constantly, in the name of better security, but the
>>gains just aren't there. Just less privacy.
>>
>>I don't think objecting to this issue makes me a "nut." The "war on
>>drugs" has been lost with this approach. How about we try another,
>>fresher approach? How could we possibly do worse?
>
>I find it interesting that everyone brought up the war on drugs. Yes,
>this was a "war on drugs" case. But it seems to me the ruling goes
>much further than that.
>
>I also find it interesting that in both the a cordless phone intercept
>case and now in the IR imaging case, the court didn't just limit the
>police's use of such technology, it banned such technology without a
>search warrant.
>
>>> As it happens, the police where I live are equipped with some high
>>> tech helicopters (they're actually a dark olive brown, not black :-)
>>> which include IR cameras, active FLIR, and video. The MD state police
>>> could image my house, barn, and field any time they felt like it. I
>>> really see no point telling the police in my state they need a search
>>> warrant to turn on their camera.
>>
>>I don't think a FLIR would be as useful as this imager was, but if I'm
>>wrong on that, I suspect that a typical approach would be to use this
>>"accidental observation" as a basis to collect other evidence legally
>>(such as going through your garbage, which doesn't require a warrant).
>>That legally gathered evidence would be used to make a case.
>>
>>Whether the above sequence is legal or not, who knows? IANAL.
>
>Well, most of us have seen video of what those IR cameras can do. I
>hardly consider that much of a threat.
>
>My beef with the S. C. ruling is that it didn't just limit the
>resolution or sensitivity of such cameras, it banned the use of such
>all such cameras to look at a person's house without a search warrant.

>
>We can argue over what is and isn't privacy versus what is and isn't
>legitimate use of resources by Law Enforcement in the Public's
>Interest. That's what politics is all about. Frankly, I don't like
>where the Supreme Court drew their line.
>
>73,
>
>
>Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
>"Beware of the massive impossible!"

609. Re: Supreme Court: IR imaging constitutes "Unreasonable Search"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 21:37:30 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b2a7f9b.1320889@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 64076

ONLY admissible if in plain sight

On Wed, 13 Jun 2001 22:41:35 -0700, Serial # 19781010
<nobody@yahoo.com> wrote:

>So what about that big eye in the sky. Most people think it has
>limited capabilities, but not me. I'll bet they can count the hairs
>on our heads from one, even while jogging. If they happen to see
>something, like my ex with the neighbors dog, is that evidence
>admissable?
>
>On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 10:00:54 -0600, Eric F. Richards
><efricha@dimensional.com> wrote:
>
>>Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote:
>>
>>> Did anyone catch the recent US Supreme Court ruling that authorities
>>> conducted an unreasonable search when they cruised a neighborhood with
>>> an IR camera looking for heat from lots of grow-lamps.
>>>
>>> Authorities went for a search warrant based on this casual IR camera
>>> search, and with this information they caught a guy growing marijuana
>>> in his basement. The defendant argued unreasonable search all the way
>>> to the Supreme Court --and here's what sucks: HE WON!
>>>
>>> Stop me if I misinterpret what the court has done, but if we take this
>>> any further, we'll have to ban the use of binoculars by police or
>>> individuals on the assumption that people associate distance with
>>> privacy. And if we take this yet another step further, it bans the
>>> use of the electromagnetic spectrum for anything but licensed
>>> reception.
>>
>>Actually, according to an analysis piece I read on the TIME
>>web site (admittedly not the be-all/end-all of legal info)
>>this has already been ruled upon. If the window is open and the
>>plant is visible with the naked eye, they can go in without a
>>warrant but with binoculars they cannot.
>>
>>> I'm concerned. How about you?
>>
>>Sorry, Jake, I totally disagree with you here. At the risk of
>>starting (another) flame war -- I've already used my quota of
>>one per year...
>>
>>I respect you and have had some private email discussions with

>>you on various political issues related to scanning and scannists,
>>but in this case I believe you are wrong and the Supreme Court
>>got it right.
>>
>>The Fourth Amendment states: "The right of the people to be secure
>>in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable
>>searches and seizures, shall not be violated. No warrants shall
>>issue, but upon probable cause... and particularly describing the
>>place to be searched and the persons or things to be seized." (It
>>used to be said, colloquilly, that a man's home was his castle.)
>>
>>The problem is they did the "search" -- the IR scan -- without
>>a warrant.
>>
>>The Fourth is one of my sore spots right now because of the
>>seizure laws and their abuses in the "drug war." Now I'm not
>>happy that the guy "got away with it," but if that's what it
>>takes to get the police to follow the rules, so be it.
>>
>>This case is pretty minor compared to Miranda, ("You have the
>>right to remain silent...") which was a rape case overturned
>>because he didn't know he had a right to an attorney before
>>he was interrogated. He, too, was clearly guilty, but the
>>police broke the rules.
>>
>>If it makes you feel any better, in most cases getting the
>>warrant is a formality (another sore spot for me!) and this
>>particular individual is gonna be watched like a hawk and
>>will get nailed if he touches pot again.
>>
>>ref: http://www.time.com/time/nation/article/0,8599,130076,00.html
>>
>>>
>>> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
>>> "Beware of the massive impossible!"
>>
>>Respectfully,
>
>
>
>-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
>http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
>-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

610. Re: sending money in the mail- sort of o/t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-15 23:49:39 UTC
From: Urbie Watrous <flagstaff@nospam.gtemail.net>
Message-ID: <dn7litcima4a1hkk42jq4hh2tdu32digvd@4ax.com>
Source record: 64077

According to the guy who won that $46,000,000 Big Game jackpot,
regular ol' mail is perfectly fine. Hey, the ticket got through!

Urb

On Mon, 11 Jun 2001 08:06:42 GMT, Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
tapped out:

>Hi- What is the safest way to send money in the mail other than cod
>route? I always thought it was postal money order but is that really any
>different than a regular money order? Will the postal service help me in
>any way if the person cashes my postal money order and doesn't deliver
>the goods? thanks

Never argue with a 10-16 101.

611. Re: Radio Shack Model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 01:38:37 UTC
From: Power House <"Power House"@My>
Message-ID: <tile555jn09990@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64078

Well there you go... MR. Perfect isn't so perfect after all... We all make mistakes... I ate mine, now you can eat yours...

"Urei" <urei@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010615161647.13627.00008490@ng-ch1.aol.com...
> >
> > Not quite simple. It depends on state law. Some states (cf MD - State v.
> >Tripp)
> >require both parties' consent others only one. the federal law, IIRC requires
> >only
> >one, but it can still be a violation of state "privacy" laws if you do so.
>
> I live in NY where it's legal to tape a call with the permission of AT LEAST
> one party to the call. I may have made the erroneous assumption that the
> original query was from someone also in NY.

612. Re: sending money in the mail- sort of o/t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 01:47:36 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010615214736.05187.00001876@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 64079

In article <dn7litcima4a1hkk42jq4hh2tdu32digvd@4ax.com>, Urbie Watrous <flagstaff@nospam.gtemail.net> writes:

>According to the guy who won that $46,000,000 Big Game jackpot,
>regular ol' mail is perfectly fine. Hey, the ticket got through!

Needless to say, that guy wasn't the brightest star in the sky.

613. Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 02:47:13 UTC
From: Patrick Oakes <coriander@gmx.net>
Message-ID: <vshlitct4qi9dlfoqfgor7cd9p7feg45h2@4ax.com>
Source record: 64080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

Now with all the rumours about some manufacturers introducing digital scanners soon, does anybody have any idea what will these scanners actually do? (or should be able to?)

I mean, specifically what modes or types of signals they should be able to receive, what frequencies or modulation modes, etc.

Will their only difference be in that they will be able to receive such digitally coded signals, or will there be also some operational differences, for example in the search functions etc.?

And finally, will these scanners be in fact legally sold to public?

Pat

614. Test- Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 03:17:19 UTC
From: OU BIG RED <ousooner@geotec.net>
Message-ID: <tiljt782ub8ld6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64081

test

615. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 04:58:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <IDBW6.26194$7u2.6057@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 64082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

Of course, Uniden is keeping things pretty close to the vest, but I'd expect something like the 780 with the added ability to render the APCO 25 digital mode into analog audio. No DES decryption, no cellular/PCS mode decryption. Yes, it will be legal to sell, as the APCO 25 digital audio is not, in fact, a method of encryption, just a standard for transmitting digitized audio. The encryption capability is included in the standard, but, technical arguments aside, decoding encrypted transmissions, be they digital or analog, is not legal. To rephrase: digital audio is not the same as digitally encrypted audio. You can legally listen to digital audio, (if you have the means), you can not legally decode and listen to encrypted audio, even the old analog phase inverted scramblers.

Mark

"Patrick Oakes" <coriander@gmx.net> wrote in message
news:vshlitct4qi9dlfoqfgor7cd9p7feg45h2@4ax.com...
> Now with all the rumours about some manufacturers introducing digital
> scanners soon, does anybody have any idea what will these scanners
> actually do? (or should be able to?)
>
> I mean, specifically what modes or types of signals they should be
> able to receive, what frequencies or modulation modes, etc.
>
> Will their only difference be in that they will be able to receive
> such digitally coded signals, or will there be also some operational
> differences, for example in the search functions etc.?
>
> And finally, will these scanners be in fact legally sold to public?
>
> Pat
>

616. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 05:07:20 UTC
From: Ebert <chris_ebert@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3b2ae978@grissom>
Source record: 64083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

If they involve any sort of decoding/decryption they will be illegal. As far as I know it is illegal to decode or decrypt any radio transmission

Patrick Oakes <coriander@gmx.net> wrote in message news:vshlitct4qi9dlfoqfgor7cd9p7feg45h2@4ax.com...
> Now with all the rumours about some manufacturers introducing digital
> scanners soon, does anybody have any idea what will these scanners
> actually do? (or should be able to?)
>
> I mean, specifically what modes or types of signals they should be
> able to receive, what frequencies or modulation modes, etc.
>
> Will their only difference be in that they will be able to receive
> such digitally coded signals, or will there be also some operational
> differences, for example in the search functions etc.?
>
> And finally, will these scanners be in fact legally sold to public?
>
> Pat
>

617. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 05:55:41 UTC
From: Martin Janecek <coriander@gmx.net>
Message-ID: <upslitsvb0cm2e68322vun789801bcttfo@4ax.com>
Source record: 64084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

Your response echoes my own understanding too, hence I still remain confused why any manufacturer would contemplate introducing such a product if they could not sell it to public (unless it was aimed squarely at the Government market).

But the other reply I received (from Mark Schneider) says that:
" You can legally listen to digital audio (if you have the means), you can not legally decode and listen to encrypted audio, even the old analog phase inverted scramblers."

This certainly sounds logical, but I believe this may not be quite true (at least in the US). For example, paging codes (POCSAG or Flex) are non-encrypted, and publicly well documented. But selling pager decoders or software to public is (as I am led to believe) illegal?

Can anyone shed more light on this please?

Patrick

On Sat, 16 Jun 2001 15:07:20 +1000, "Ebert" <chris_ebert@hotmail.com> wrote:

>If they involve any sort of decoding/decryption they will be illegal. As
>far as I know it is illegal to decode or decrypt any radio transmission
>
>
>Patrick Oakes <coriander@gmx.net> wrote in message
>news:vshlitct4qi9dlfoqfgor7cd9p7feg45h2@4ax.com...
>> Now with all the rumours about some manufacturers introducing digital
>> scanners soon, does anybody have any idea what will these scanners
>> actually do? (or should be able to?)
>>
>> I mean, specifically what modes or types of signals they should be
>> able to receive, what frequencies or modulation modes, etc.
>>
>> Will their only difference be in that they will be able to receive
>> such digitally coded signals, or will there be also some operational
>> differences, for example in the search functions etc.?
>>
>> And finally, will these scanners be in fact legally sold to public?
>>
>> Pat
>>
>

618. My CenCalScan list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 07:23:13 UTC
From: "Chris E. Halladay" <trek5150@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <BPDW6.424$j7.33311@typhoon.we.rr.com>
Source record: 64085
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

To all:

Hi!! My name is Chris. I am the list owner and moderator of a brand new scanner mailing list!! It is called "CenCalScan" and is based at Yahoo. My list is for scanner enthusiasts that live in central California (Sacramento-Los Angeles).

I do have members that live in such places as Oregon and New York. So, the part about living in central California is not set in stone.

Once you subscribe, you can post frequencies and any breaking events that you feel would interest us!!

If you do not live in central California, please spread the word that this list is available!! I would like to see my list be as successful as possible!!

There are two ways to subscribe:

[1] Send a blank email to:
CenCalScan-subscribe@yahoogroups.com

[2] Go to the list's homepage (the web address is in my signature line below) and fill out the box with your email address.

Thanks,

--
Chris Halladay
List Owner & Moderator
CenCalScan@yahoogroups.com
http://www.geocities.com/scannut93711/
trek5150@mediaone.net
ICQ: 4215200
Fresno, CA

619. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 07:30:49 UTC
From: signalone@dingoblue.net.au
Message-ID: <3b2b094a.8167830@news.dingoblue.net.au>
Source record: 64086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

This law as far as I know, applies to the US and not Australia.
The digital boards, in plain, will be able to decode APCO25 standard digital ASTRO transmissions, providing they don't have an encryption layer added.
Who uses these in Australia you might ask??
Well a number of state police forces currently use this standard, as well as the Federal Police (when not using encryption), the Australian Protective Services, just to name a few. Any government body that uses this standard that doesn't want you to listen, such as the NCA or certain drug divisions, already use encryption on the digital standard, so they are way ahead of us really. You could probably expect the majority of police forces in Australia to use this standard for the capital cities and high population density areas by 2005.
The add on board will be another mode for the receiver, and it shouldn't effect scanning or searching.

Davo

Hope that answered you question.On Sat, 16 Jun 2001 05:55:41 GMT, Martin Janecek <coriander@gmx.net> wrote:

>Your response echoes my own understanding too, hence I still remain
>confused why any manufacturer would contemplate introducing such a
>product if they could not sell it to public (unless it was aimed
>squarely at the Government market).
>
>But the other reply I received (from Mark Schneider) says that:
>" You can legally listen to digital audio (if you have the means), you
>can not legally decode and listen to encrypted audio, even the old
>analog phase inverted scramblers."
>
>This certainly sounds logical, but I believe this may not be quite
>true (at least in the US). For example, paging codes (POCSAG or Flex)
>are non-encrypted, and publicly well documented. But selling pager
>decoders or software to public is (as I am led to believe) illegal?
>
>Can anyone shed more light on this please?
>
>Patrick
>
>
>On Sat, 16 Jun 2001 15:07:20 +1000, "Ebert" <chris_ebert@hotmail.com>
>wrote:
>

>>If they involve any sort of decoding/decryption they will be illegal. As
>>far as I know it is illegal to decode or decrypt any radio transmission
>>
>>
>>Patrick Oakes <coriander@gmx.net> wrote in message
>>news:vshlitct4qi9dlfoqfgor7cd9p7feg45h2@4ax.com...
>>> Now with all the rumours about some manufacturers introducing digital
>>> scanners soon, does anybody have any idea what will these scanners
>>> actually do? (or should be able to?)
>>>
>>> I mean, specifically what modes or types of signals they should be
>>> able to receive, what frequencies or modulation modes, etc.
>>>
>>> Will their only difference be in that they will be able to receive
>>> such digitally coded signals, or will there be also some operational
>>> differences, for example in the search functions etc.?
>>>
>>> And finally, will these scanners be in fact legally sold to public?
>>>
>>> Pat
>>>
>>
>

620. Uniden MR8100 Stopped Functioning- Please Read!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 07:45:49 UTC
From: dirty_harry74@nospam.hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3b2b0c80.4415789@news.microflash.com>
Source record: 64087

I am looking for help with a problem with my MR8100. I had it in storage for about 8 months before which the radio worked fine. I hooked up the power supply tonight and connected an antenna- the "Turbo Scan" display comes on but the radio won't lock on active signals. I checked to see that the frequencies I programmed into the 8100 were still stored in the radio and they were indeed there. I was thinking maybe the unit is locked up and was wondering if anyone knows if there is a master reset proceedure? When I turn the squelch down there is noise in the speaker and the radio lights up like normal. I can't imagine my 8100 would go dead sitting on a shelf???

Anyone with ideas or a reset proceedure please post here or feel free to e-mail. Also I would be interested in locating a service manual for the MR8100. Thanks in advance for your help!

Harry

621. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 08:41:45 UTC
From: Steven K <a@a.com>
Message-ID: <dZEW6.135700$ff.1023236@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 64088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

Does this mean it literally isn't possible to listen to the GSM mobile phone network as the scanner does not support that type of transmission or it would just be illegal?

"nospam dingoblue.net.au (Davo)" <signalone@> wrote in message news:3b2b094a.8167830@news.dingoblue.net.au...
> This law as far as I know, applies to the US and not Australia.
> The digital boards, in plain, will be able to decode APCO25 standard
> digital ASTRO transmissions, providing they don't have an encryption
> layer added.
> Who uses these in Australia you might ask??
> Well a number of state police forces currently use this standard, as
> well as the Federal Police (when not using encryption), the Australian
> Protective Services, just to name a few. Any government body that uses
> this standard that doesn't want you to listen, such as the NCA or
> certain drug divisions, already use encryption on the digital
> standard, so they are way ahead of us really. You could probably
> expect the majority of police forces in Australia to use this standard
> for the capital cities and high population density areas by 2005.
> The add on board will be another mode for the receiver, and it
> shouldn't effect scanning or searching.
>
> Davo
>
>
> Hope that answered you question.On Sat, 16 Jun 2001 05:55:41 GMT,
> Martin Janecek <coriander@gmx.net> wrote:
>
> >Your response echoes my own understanding too, hence I still remain
> >confused why any manufacturer would contemplate introducing such a
> >product if they could not sell it to public (unless it was aimed
> >squarely at the Government market).
> >
> >But the other reply I received (from Mark Schneider) says that:
> >" You can legally listen to digital audio (if you have the means), you
> >can not legally decode and listen to encrypted audio, even the old
> >analog phase inverted scramblers."
> >
> >This certainly sounds logical, but I believe this may not be quite
> >true (at least in the US). For example, paging codes (POCSAG or Flex)
> >are non-encrypted, and publicly well documented. But selling pager
> >decoders or software to public is (as I am led to believe) illegal?
> >

> >Can anyone shed more light on this please?
> >
> >Patrick
> >
> >
> >On Sat, 16 Jun 2001 15:07:20 +1000, "Ebert" <chris_ebert@hotmail.com>
> >wrote:
> >
> >>If they involve any sort of decoding/decryption they will be illegal.
As
> >>far as I know it is illegal to decode or decrypt any radio transmission
> >>
> >>
> >>Patrick Oakes <coriander@gmx.net> wrote in message
> >>news:vshlitct4qi9dlfoqfgor7cd9p7feg45h2@4ax.com...
> >>> Now with all the rumours about some manufacturers introducing digital
> >>> scanners soon, does anybody have any idea what will these scanners
> >>> actually do? (or should be able to?)
> >>>
> >>> I mean, specifically what modes or types of signals they should be
> >>> able to receive, what frequencies or modulation modes, etc.
> >>>
> >>> Will their only difference be in that they will be able to receive
> >>> such digitally coded signals, or will there be also some operational
> >>> differences, for example in the search functions etc.?
> >>>
> >>> And finally, will these scanners be in fact legally sold to public?
> >>>
> >>> Pat
> >>>
> >>
> >
>

622. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 10:44:39 UTC
From: Darren <appsfam@sunet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3b2b38cd$0$174@wodc7nh6.news.uu.net>
Source record: 64089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

"Steven K" <a@a.com> wrote in message
news:dZEW6.135700$ff.1023236@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> Does this mean it literally isn't possible to listen to the GSM mobile
phone
> network as the scanner does not support that type of transmission or it
> would just be illegal?
>

Seperate issues.

Listening to any phone conversation no matter what the system (GSM, HF, phone patch, portable phone) is illegal in Australia. Decoding and listening to digital transmissions is not illegal in Australia - as far as I'm aware it's not event illegal to decode and de-encrypt encrypted digital transmissions - the problem being that unless your the NSA its just a bit more then your average PC can handle. ;)

In regards to GSM - I'm not aware of any publicly available equipment to decode it anyway.

APCO 25 is at present one of the main digital standards in use (especially in the US) so this would be the first one that a company would make a decoder board for. Expect others in the future.

D

623. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 11:35:13 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha61DELETE@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3b2b43c6$0$25464$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 64090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

I posted this elsewhere on rec.radio.scanner

An article from The New York Times that may be of interest to you.

04/14/98
Title: Researchers Crack Code In Cell Phones
Source: New York Times (D1,D5)
Author: John Markoff
Issue: Encryption
Description: A group of Univ. of California computer researchers announced Monday that they had successfully cracked the world's most widely used encryption code that is designed to prevent the cloning of digital cellular phones. The researchers believe that the system, known as Group Speciale Mobile standard, or GSM, was deliberately weakened to permit government surveillance. GSM is used in about 80 million cellular phones around the world and in about 2 million phones in the U.S. The researchers broke the code by "using a computer to determine a secret identity number stored in the Subscriber Identity Module, or SIM, a credit cardlike device inside the phone." But what was even more interesting than the security threat, was that "the cracking code yielded a tantalizing hint that a digital key used by GSM may have been intentionally weakened during the design process to permit government agencies to eavesdrop on cellular telephone conversations." Both the researchers and officials from cellular phone companies said yesterday that the threat of cloning was "extremely remote" in comparison to the vulnerability of analog cellular phones.

Nothing is impossible!!
Mike

624. Re: Radioshack *best scanner poll*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 11:51:47 UTC
From: Gail and Kevin Lambert <gailandkevin.lambert@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3B2B4853.C378BD9D@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 64091

"R.Glazier" wrote:

> Hi
> It would be interesting to here what everybody thinks of radioshack
> scanners,
> which one/model would YOU say was the best.
> RG

2035

625. Re: BC350A prgmg info pls

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 13:10:53 UTC
From: mamaspajamas <yougottadelthisx@alexis.com>
Message-ID: <9gflj7umt1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64092

Hey Allan, nice try.

But you left out important step--you have to enter program mode before you go off to the channel!
If you don't hit program before you go to item #2, you just end up with your selected "channel" sitting there with the CH blinking on and off.
These uniden scanners without number buttons are a pain to program. Much easier to use a scanner with keyboard that has NUMBERS, and I might add, an old fashioned knob like the radios my great grand pappy used would be even a greater improvement!

Just my 2 cents.

"AllanStern" <allanstern@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010613003938.24277.00003133@ng-cq1.aol.com...
> .>I can't figure out how to program the thing! It'll do all
> >the preset functions, Police, Fire/emerg, etc. But cant figure out how to
> >program my selected frequencies
>
> Here's how:
> 1. While the scanner is SEARCHing in any selected band, hit the hold button,
> then use the arrow keys to go to the freq you want to program.
> 2. Select the bank you want to program the frequency into (i.e., Private,
> Police, or Fire.
> 3. Use the arrow keys again; this time to go to the channel you want to place
> the frequency in.
> 4. Press PROG, and the frequency you had selected is programmed into the
> channel of the bank you selected.
>
> AL STERN Satellite Beach FL

626. AR7000 RS232 command listing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 13:19:08 UTC
From: hanniba1.ix.netcom.com
Message-ID: <3b305cb9.2508166@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 64094

Hello,

Would anyone be kind enough to send me the RS232 command listing for
an AR7000 radio scanner. It doesn't come with the radio's user manual
and I haven't had any luck finding it on the net.

Also, if this radio came with any management software I would
appreciate it greatly if you would sent it along also.

Thank You in advance.

Mario G.
Maimi, FL

email: hannibal1@ix.netcom.com

627. Nat. Park freq.? RMNP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 13:36:13 UTC
From: LDP <lpetOers@kscable.com>
Message-ID: <3B2B614D.A21AF658@kscable.com>
Source record: 64093

We are going to Rocky mountain national park later this month. Does anyone have any of the frequencies for the rangers and related Nat. Park facilities.

--
Please remove the O from petOers to reply

628. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 14:31:57 UTC
From: Craig <ctreeby@REMOVETHISiinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <3b2b6dee$0$23212@echo-01.iinet.net.au>
Source record: 64095
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

On that note, I read somewhere, (can't remember where) that there are two levels of encryption. The strong encryption for phones sold in 'good' countries like Australia, US, the EU and then a weaker type for trouble countries like Iraq etc.

Craig.

Mike Alpha <mike_alpha61DELETE@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3b2b43c6$0$25464$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au...
> I posted this elsewhere on rec.radio.scanner
>
> An article from The New York Times that may be of interest to you.
>
> 04/14/98
> Title: Researchers Crack Code In Cell Phones
> Source: New York Times (D1,D5)
> Author: John Markoff
> Issue: Encryption
> Description: A group of Univ. of California computer researchers announced
> Monday that they had successfully cracked the world's most widely used
> encryption code that is designed to prevent the cloning of digital cellular
> phones. The researchers believe that the system, known as Group Speciale
> Mobile standard, or GSM, was deliberately weakened to permit government
> surveillance. GSM is used in about 80 million cellular phones around the
> world and in about 2 million phones in the U.S. The researchers broke the
> code by "using a computer to determine a secret identity number stored in
> the Subscriber Identity Module, or SIM, a credit cardlike device inside the
> phone." But what was even more interesting than the security threat, was
> that "the cracking code yielded a tantalizing hint that a digital key used
> by GSM may have been intentionally weakened during the design process to
> permit government agencies to eavesdrop on cellular telephone
> conversations." Both the researchers and officials from cellular phone
> companies said yesterday that the threat of cloning was "extremely remote"
> in comparison to the vulnerability of analog cellular phones.
>
>
> Nothing is impossible!!
> Mike
>
>
>
>

>
>

629. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 15:25:51 UTC
From: "Per H. Nielsen" <phn@dkscan.dkx>
Message-ID: <3UKW6.303$P13.8154@news.get2net.dk>
Source record: 64096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

"Mike Alpha" <mike_alpha61DELETE@hotmail.com> writes:

>I posted this elsewhere on rec.radio.scanner

>An article from The New York Times that may be of interest to you.

>04/14/98
>Title: Researchers Crack Code In Cell Phones

The crack really had nothing to do with intercepting GSM communication.
What they did was they succeded in reading out vital information
from a SIM-card. But this required physical access to the card.

Regards
Per

--
Per H. Nielsen <phn@dkscan.dkx>
My Danish Scanner Pages: http://www.dkscan.dk
To reply to this change .dkx to .dk in my address.

630. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 15:59:07 UTC
From: "news.swbell.net" <lindsay@lcblanton.com>
Message-ID: <fnLW6.8$5w2.912@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 64097
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

Not really.

Decoding an APCO-25 digital transmission would not be illegal. It is a common standard used for public safety communications - and is published publicly for all vendors to develop products for. You don't have to license the standard (but you do the vocoder).

Now, if the transmission is encrypted, meaning the intention is for only privileged persons to hear the communications, then yes, it is illegal to monitor.

There is a distinct difference between "decoding" something and decrypting something.

Lindsay

--
Lindsay C. Blanton
The Trunked Radio Information Homepage
http://www.trunkedradio.net

"Ebert" <chris_ebert@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3b2ae978@grissom...
> If they involve any sort of decoding/decryption they will be illegal. As
> far as I know it is illegal to decode or decrypt any radio transmission
>
>
> Patrick Oakes <coriander@gmx.net> wrote in message
> news:vshlitct4qi9dlfoqfgor7cd9p7feg45h2@4ax.com...
> > Now with all the rumours about some manufacturers introducing digital
> > scanners soon, does anybody have any idea what will these scanners
> > actually do? (or should be able to?)
> >
> > I mean, specifically what modes or types of signals they should be
> > able to receive, what frequencies or modulation modes, etc.
> >
> > Will their only difference be in that they will be able to receive
> > such digitally coded signals, or will there be also some operational
> > differences, for example in the search functions etc.?
> >
> > And finally, will these scanners be in fact legally sold to public?
> >
> > Pat
> >
>
>

>

631. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 16:11:38 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <avLW6.7116$xT2.2093@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 64098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

Patrick, Ebert, Martin (and others)

This is why, in my response, I very carefully tiptoed around the term "decode", which can be used in different ways. As a digitized audio source, the D/A (digital to analog) converter "decodes" the digital signal source, no matter if it's a CD player, DVD, or APCO 25 radio standard transmission. This type of "decoding" is not prohibited by law, and provides the basis of the upcoming APCO 25-enabled scanner to be introduced by Uniden next year.

The other type of "decode" applies to the decryption of signals that have been intentionally encrypted in order to prevent their monitoring by other than the intended parties. This would apply to DES (Digital Encryption Standard) as well as the older speech inversion methods used in analog radio systems. This has been specifically prohibited in the laws passed by Congress.

The pager standards, such as POCSAG and Flex, are readily available and converting software was widely available at the time the ECPA was passed. The ECPA also made "decoding" these transmissions illegal. This was slightly easier to enforce than the rules against monitoring analog cellphones, as sale and distribution of the needed software was prohibited IN THE UNITED STATES. The software is usually available on various overseas websites.

For Uniden's press release on the upcoming APCO 25 scanner, go to this site:
http://www.telecomclick.com/newsarticle.asp?Newsarticleid=198391&mode=print

Needless to say, I'm not a lawyer. The above is my opinion based on reading the relevant laws and has no legal standing. However, Uniden seems to feel the same way, and I have to think that they had their lawyers go over all the relevant laws VERY carefully before deciding to spend the R & D money to develop the new scanner. Remember, the government tried to block trunktracking radios, and the judge's opinion rejecting the government's case seems to support the legality of monitoring any public safety signal that is not intentionally "encrypted".

Mark

"Martin Janecek" <coriander@gmx.net> wrote in message
news:upslitsvb0cm2e68322vun789801bcttfo@4ax.com...
> Your response echoes my own understanding too, hence I still remain
> confused why any manufacturer would contemplate introducing such a
> product if they could not sell it to public (unless it was aimed
> squarely at the Government market).
>

> But the other reply I received (from Mark Schneider) says that:
> " You can legally listen to digital audio (if you have the means), you
> can not legally decode and listen to encrypted audio, even the old
> analog phase inverted scramblers."
>
> This certainly sounds logical, but I believe this may not be quite
> true (at least in the US). For example, paging codes (POCSAG or Flex)
> are non-encrypted, and publicly well documented. But selling pager
> decoders or software to public is (as I am led to believe) illegal?
>
> Can anyone shed more light on this please?
>
> Patrick
>
>
> On Sat, 16 Jun 2001 15:07:20 +1000, "Ebert" <chris_ebert@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >If they involve any sort of decoding/decryption they will be illegal. As
> >far as I know it is illegal to decode or decrypt any radio transmission
> >
> >
> >Patrick Oakes <coriander@gmx.net> wrote in message
> >news:vshlitct4qi9dlfoqfgor7cd9p7feg45h2@4ax.com...
> >> Now with all the rumours about some manufacturers introducing digital
> >> scanners soon, does anybody have any idea what will these scanners
> >> actually do? (or should be able to?)
> >>
> >> I mean, specifically what modes or types of signals they should be
> >> able to receive, what frequencies or modulation modes, etc.
> >>
> >> Will their only difference be in that they will be able to receive
> >> such digitally coded signals, or will there be also some operational
> >> differences, for example in the search functions etc.?
> >>
> >> And finally, will these scanners be in fact legally sold to public?
> >>
> >> Pat
> >>
> >
>

632. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 16:15:07 UTC
From: Patrick Oakes <coriander@gmx.net>
Message-ID: <k21nit05c0ffg47hscp5bvmtnkou38ldq3@4ax.com>
Source record: 64099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

On Sat, 16 Jun 2001 10:59:07 -0500, "news.swbell.net
<lindsay@lcblanton.com> wrote:

>Not really.
>
>Decoding an APCO-25 digital transmission would not be illegal. It is a
>common standard used for public safety communications - and is published
>publicly for all vendors to develop products for. You don't have to license
>the standard (but you do the vocoder).

Thank you, I am interested in the technical details.
Would you be able to give me some links as to the publicly
published specification? There are a lot of APCO-25 links on the Web
but I could not find any detailed technical specs beyond some
very superficial info.

Who licenses the vocoder?

>Now, if the transmission is encrypted, meaning the intention is for only
>privileged persons to hear the communications, then yes, it is illegal to
>monitor.
>
>There is a distinct difference between "decoding" something and decrypting
>something.

OK, but does this also apply for the US? I believe that decoding any
digital communication (including for example unencrypted POCSAG
paging) is illegal in the US?

Look for example at Bill Cheek's case (who was arrested for only
selling a 4-level FSK decoder, which I believe is essential part of
APCO-25!).

Pat

633. Re: sending money in the mail- sort of o/t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 16:24:47 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010616122447.03236.00002496@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 64100

In article <2vglito1vt83bcitq7mcdvid9tfjr9hf8l@4ax.com>, Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> writes:

>So, which would you rather be; smart, or rich?

If you're smart you can GET rich and STAY that way. Did you see this guy on TV? Doesn't exactly look like a Rhodes scholar, does he?

This guy will blow all his money on crack cocaine, fried chicken, and 40-ouncers. Will be poor again within 5 years, guaranteed.

634. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 16:32:41 UTC
From: Patrick Oakes <coriander@gmx.net>
Message-ID: <3q1nit081o221fm4vorfi8cqhe9ngead8f@4ax.com>
Source record: 64101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

What you are saying makes a lot of sense, but what I am still
concerned about are the following two facts:

1. POCSAG is not intentionally encrypted, yet its decoding was made
illegal by the ECPA. There appears to be no fundamental conceptual
difference between POCSAG and APCO-25: In their basic form, both are
digitally coded, unencrypted signals. (The fact that they are using
different modulation and coding methods should not be relevant.)

2. The Bill Cheek case: He was apparently arrested for selling a
universal 4-level FSK decoder only (not even including any decoding
software for any specific coding method)?

I am not a lawyer either. But I would not be at all surprised if the
government tries to block digital scanners by extending the ECPA,
using POCSAG as a simple precedent...

Pat

On Sat, 16 Jun 2001 11:11:38 -0500, "M. Schneider"
<markinokla@juno.com> wrote:

>Patrick, Ebert, Martin (and others)
>
>This is why, in my response, I very carefully tiptoed around the term
>"decode", which can be used in different ways. As a digitized audio source,
>the D/A (digital to analog) converter "decodes" the digital signal source,
>no matter if it's a CD player, DVD, or APCO 25 radio standard transmission.
>This type of "decoding" is not prohibited by law, and provides the basis of
>the upcoming APCO 25-enabled scanner to be introduced by Uniden next year.
>
>The other type of "decode" applies to the decryption of signals that have
>been intentionally encrypted in order to prevent their monitoring by other
>than the intended parties. This would apply to DES (Digital Encryption
>Standard) as well as the older speech inversion methods used in analog radio
>systems. This has been specifically prohibited in the laws passed by
>Congress.
>
>The pager standards, such as POCSAG and Flex, are readily available and
>converting software was widely available at the time the ECPA was passed.
>The ECPA also made "decoding" these transmissions illegal. This was
>slightly easier to enforce than the rules against monitoring analog
>cellphones, as sale and distribution of the needed software was prohibited
>IN THE UNITED STATES. The software is usually available on various overseas
>websites.

>
>For Uniden's press release on the upcoming APCO 25 scanner, go to this site:
>http://www.telecomclick.com/newsarticle.asp?Newsarticleid=198391&mode=print
>
>Needless to say, I'm not a lawyer. The above is my opinion based on reading
>the relevant laws and has no legal standing. However, Uniden seems to feel
>the same way, and I have to think that they had their lawyers go over all
>the relevant laws VERY carefully before deciding to spend the R & D money to
>develop the new scanner. Remember, the government tried to block
>trunktracking radios, and the judge's opinion rejecting the government's
>case seems to support the legality of monitoring any public safety signal
>that is not intentionally "encrypted".
>
>Mark
>
>
>"Martin Janecek" <coriander@gmx.net> wrote in message
>news:upslitsvb0cm2e68322vun789801bcttfo@4ax.com...
>> Your response echoes my own understanding too, hence I still remain
>> confused why any manufacturer would contemplate introducing such a
>> product if they could not sell it to public (unless it was aimed
>> squarely at the Government market).
>>
>> But the other reply I received (from Mark Schneider) says that:
>> " You can legally listen to digital audio (if you have the means), you
>> can not legally decode and listen to encrypted audio, even the old
>> analog phase inverted scramblers."
>>
>> This certainly sounds logical, but I believe this may not be quite
>> true (at least in the US). For example, paging codes (POCSAG or Flex)
>> are non-encrypted, and publicly well documented. But selling pager
>> decoders or software to public is (as I am led to believe) illegal?
>>
>> Can anyone shed more light on this please?
>>
>> Patrick
>>
>>
>> On Sat, 16 Jun 2001 15:07:20 +1000, "Ebert" <chris_ebert@hotmail.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >If they involve any sort of decoding/decryption they will be illegal. As
>> >far as I know it is illegal to decode or decrypt any radio transmission
>> >
>> >
>> >Patrick Oakes <coriander@gmx.net> wrote in message
>> >news:vshlitct4qi9dlfoqfgor7cd9p7feg45h2@4ax.com...
>> >> Now with all the rumours about some manufacturers introducing digital
>> >> scanners soon, does anybody have any idea what will these scanners
>> >> actually do? (or should be able to?)

>> >>
>> >> I mean, specifically what modes or types of signals they should be
>> >> able to receive, what frequencies or modulation modes, etc.
>> >>
>> >> Will their only difference be in that they will be able to receive
>> >> such digitally coded signals, or will there be also some operational
>> >> differences, for example in the search functions etc.?
>> >>
>> >> And finally, will these scanners be in fact legally sold to public?
>> >>
>> >> Pat
>> >>
>> >
>>
>

635. Columbus Area .asc .spg file

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 18:25:59 UTC
From: Mike Cotts <mcotts@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <NvNW6.8$f57.13024@nntp0.chicago.il.ameritech.net>
Source record: 64102

I am looking for someone in the Columbus area to swap .asc and .spg files
for the Pro-92 scanner.
If someone would like to do some data file trading, please email me with
what you have and I will send what I have in return.

Mike
mcotts@ameritech.net

636. Re: Here in NY..recent case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 18:28:15 UTC
From: hudsonvalleycomm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010616142815.06199.00001945@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 64103

There is nothing illegal about monitoring the cordless phone calls, unless you have to descramble or decode it, then it becomes an issue. I dont think I would record it though.

637. Re: Uniden MR8100 Stopped Functioning- Please Read!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 20:22:17 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b2bbf64.1804921@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 64104

Sometimes on Uniden scanners when the backup battery drains all the
way, the setup defaults to lockout all freqs. Try unlocking

On Sat, 16 Jun 2001 07:45:49 GMT, dirty_harry74@nospam.hotmail.com
(Harry King) wrote:

>I am looking for help with a problem with my MR8100. I had it in
>storage for about 8 months before which the radio worked fine. I
>hooked up the power supply tonight and connected an antenna- the
>"Turbo Scan" display comes on but the radio won't lock on active
>signals. I checked to see that the frequencies I programmed into the
>8100 were still stored in the radio and they were indeed there. I was
>thinking maybe the unit is locked up and was wondering if anyone knows
>if there is a master reset proceedure? When I turn the squelch down
>there is noise in the speaker and the radio lights up like normal. I
>can't imagine my 8100 would go dead sitting on a shelf???
>
>Anyone with ideas or a reset proceedure please post here or feel free
>to e-mail. Also I would be interested in locating a service manual for
>the MR8100. Thanks in advance for your help!
>
>Harry

638. Re: Columbus Area .asc .spg file

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 22:01:23 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B2BD732.5E81750C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64105

The generally accepted procedure is to upload frequency files to a
site, PRO-92.com, for example, so that all may share, contribute, and
use them. Trading them like baseball cards is frowned upon.

Walt

Mike Cotts wrote:
>
> I am looking for someone in the Columbus area to swap .asc and .spg files
> for the Pro-92 scanner.
> If someone would like to do some data file trading, please email me with
> what you have and I will send what I have in return.
>
> Mike
> mcotts@ameritech.net

639. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 22:27:02 UTC
From: Michael Harpe <mike@ple-technology.com>
Message-ID: <B7515576.4900%mike@ple-technology.com>
Source record: 64106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

in article upslitsvb0cm2e68322vun789801bcttfo@4ax.com, Martin Janecek at coriander@gmx.net wrote on 6/16/01 1:55 AM:

> Your response echoes my own understanding too, hence I still remain
> confused why any manufacturer would contemplate introducing such a
> product if they could not sell it to public (unless it was aimed
> squarely at the Government market).
>
> But the other reply I received (from Mark Schneider) says that:
> " You can legally listen to digital audio (if you have the means), you
> can not legally decode and listen to encrypted audio, even the old
> analog phase inverted scramblers."
>
> This certainly sounds logical, but I believe this may not be quite
> true (at least in the US). For example, paging codes (POCSAG or Flex)
> are non-encrypted, and publicly well documented. But selling pager
> decoders or software to public is (as I am led to believe) illegal?
>
> Can anyone shed more light on this please?
>
> Patrick
>
>
> On Sat, 16 Jun 2001 15:07:20 +1000, "Ebert" <chris_ebert@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
>> If they involve any sort of decoding/decryption they will be illegal. As
>> far as I know it is illegal to decode or decrypt any radio transmission
>>
>>
>> Patrick Oakes <coriander@gmx.net> wrote in message
>> news:vshlitct4qi9dlfoqfgor7cd9p7feg45h2@4ax.com...
>>> Now with all the rumours about some manufacturers introducing digital
>>> scanners soon, does anybody have any idea what will these scanners
>>> actually do? (or should be able to?)
>>>
>>> I mean, specifically what modes or types of signals they should be
>>> able to receive, what frequencies or modulation modes, etc.
>>>
>>> Will their only difference be in that they will be able to receive
>>> such digitally coded signals, or will there be also some operational
>>> differences, for example in the search functions etc.?
>>>

>>> And finally, will these scanners be in fact legally sold to public?
>>>
>>> Pat
>>>
>>
>

Paging signals are illegal to receive because they are not "public service" transmissions as defined by the statute. Public service agency transmissions are specifically excluded from the ECPA.

Yes, they will sell it to the public. The news media is who they think their primary customer will be.

In this discussion it is important to keep in mind that the news media will vigorously fight any attempt by a police agency to make it impossible to monitor their routine operations. They want the communications to be open. The news media does not want to use a radio "loaned" to them by an agency because that's a conflict of interest. Don't ever get fooled into believing that the news media and the police have anything but a cool relationship at best. In most towns it is downright adversarial. Here in Louisville the media believes that the public, including them, has a right to know what a taxpayer-supported agency is doing day-to-day. We're not talking about the NSA here.

The police here experimented with encrypted radios and now only use them for narcotics/tactical type operations. Also remember that encrypted radios are a real pain to use and maintain. They also worry about theft of the radios should they try widespread encryption.

As far as APCO-25 goes, I think the adoption of this standard is going to be much slower than people believe. These systems are REALLY EXPENSIVE. Many agencies are having a very hard time justifying throwing out a radio system that is working albeit with outdated technology. It's just too expensive and there are too many other things that governments are being asked to do.

I will not be running out to buy an APCO-25 scanner immediately. I have bought a Trunk Tracker and the only remotely interesting thing on it is the airport security people. There are only a couple of operational systems here that are just systems that are leased to businesses. I can only get off on hearing someone in a mobile unit taking the lunch order for the office so many times. APCO-25 promises to be much the same thing.

Mike Harpe, N4PLE

640. Re: Anyone own a Uniden TRU248 phone? secure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 23:37:07 UTC
From: FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <E_RW6.4326$1L.292712@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 64107

I own a 241, same phone I think the only diff is that mine doesn't have call display. Mine is secure, digital at the base and at the handset.

<myemail@goes.here.com> wrote in message news:4cqgitcp04rc3vt0e7mg2321trjmj34hre@4ax.com...
Well as long as its secure enough from keeping scanners from hearing is enough for me. I don't give a rip about the feds listening in.

>A secure phone is about as useless as the analog system you're calling into.

641. Re: BC780XLT Cell mod - is there one? Where? How?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 23:44:00 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <4bSW6.11027$aV1.1089473@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64108

Try scanning 398.010 to 406.000 mHz Of course change to nfm and 10 kHz steps. I found this while scanning a local mil air freq near 398.0 mHz. I haven't figured out what portion of the cell fone band this is yet but some bright person could manipulate the IF frequencies and tell us!

--
Don
--
-
CAKE001 CASF024 CA6CYF KHN3161 NAMA471
N33d42m W117d59m DM13AQ UTC -7 hrs

642. Scanner in Western Europe / England

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-16 23:56:44 UTC
From: zankers@hutch.com.au
Message-ID: <3b2bf11b.2853971@news.orangemail.com.au>
Source record: 64109

I'm thinking of taking a scanner and using it in England, but first I will be visiting France and Austria. Dont know the language, so it will remain turned off in those countries, but it will still be in my posession.

In England, however, I intend to use it. What are the legal implications for possession and/or use in all 3?

FYI: It covers 500khz to 1300mhz unbroken.

TIA
Steven

643. Re: Frequency Holes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 00:00:08 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9ggru8$hr7$8@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 64110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In rec.radio.scanner Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
: As far as modifying a recent vintage scanner to receive the cell phone
: frequencies - forget it. The manufacturers are required, in order to
: get a scanner certified, to build a scanner which cannot be modified
: without destroying it. Period. Can some genius find a way to do it?
: Probably. Is is a practical thing for the average person to do? No.

You don't need to modify the scanner. Just make yourself an equally
illegal (in the US) frequency converter.

The cellular providers are fairly rapidly shifting over to digital service.
When that is completed, none of the current scanners will be able to receive
the cellular transmissions - even if they can tune to the proper frequencies.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

644. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 00:06:36 UTC
From: Guy Teague <gteague@home.com>
Message-ID: <gteague-7A88A5.19063616062001@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 64111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

In article <B7515576.4900%mike@ple-technology.com>,
Michael Harpe <mike@ple-technology.com> wrote:

<del>

| In this discussion it is important to keep in mind that the news media will
| vigorously fight any attempt by a police agency to make it impossible to
| monitor their routine operations. They want the communications to be open.
| The news media does not want to use a radio "loaned" to them by an agency
| because that's a conflict of interest. Don't ever get fooled into believing
| that the news media and the police have anything but a cool relationship at
| best. In most towns it is downright adversarial. Here in Louisville the
| media believes that the public, including them, has a right to know what a
| taxpayer-supported agency is doing day-to-day. We're not talking about the
| NSA here.

this is *completely* incorrect in most small-to-medium markets. the
media is in bed with the pd and public services. in return for no
unfavorable press, the city or pd furnishes access to networks and
crypto.

and no one has *ever* proven to me that the media has special
dispensation under law to scan networks and agencies that i as an
individual cannot. or to cross restricted lines that pd or fd has set
up. the fact that they are able to points up the incentuous nature of
the relationship and the duplicity of both parties.

/guy

--
Guy Teague 73 de KG5VT mailto:gt@gtweb.org <http://www.gtweb.org/>
Watch out where the huskies go--don't you eat that yellow snow. F. Zappa
|

645. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 00:12:25 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <5ySW6.7465$xT2.4945@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 64112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

My comments are added after yours.

"Patrick Oakes" <coriander@gmx.net> wrote in message
news:3q1nit081o221fm4vorfi8cqhe9ngead8f@4ax.com...
> What you are saying makes a lot of sense, but what I am still
> concerned about are the following two facts:
>
> 1. POCSAG is not intentionally encrypted, yet its decoding was made
> illegal by the ECPA. There appears to be no fundamental conceptual
> difference between POCSAG and APCO-25: In their basic form, both are
> digitally coded, unencrypted signals. (The fact that they are using
> different modulation and coding methods should not be relevant.)

It's not the similarity of the systems, it's the use. Pagers are used to convey information that has been deemed "private" according to the laws passed by Congress. Just as the ECPA made the monitoring of analog cellular systems illegal, even though there were thousands (tens of thousands, hundreds of thousands?) of scanners already in private hands that were capable of listening to them. The ECPA specifically excluded the non-ENCRYPTED (not non-DIGITAL) public safety transmissions from the ban. A U.S. District Court judge has since ruled that the public and the media have a right to listen to a governmental agency's radio traffic. For the text of these rulings, (which are extremely interesting), see the following links:

http://www.afn.org/~jlr/gass1.txt
http://www.afn.org/~jlr/gass2.txt

>
> 2. The Bill Cheek case: He was apparently arrested for selling a
> universal 4-level FSK decoder only (not even including any decoding
> software for any specific coding method)?

Actually, as I recall, he was not arrested for selling the devices, per say, but for advocating their use in monitoring MDT transmissions and pagers. The level 2 and level 4 data slicers remain readily available commercially, as they have many other uses, such as for the reception of satellite wx pictures. I don't have the information as to the exact charges he was arraigned on, but I believe it was something to do with a conspiracy to break the law or inciting others to break the law. The charge came about after some idiot intercepted MDT messages in NYC and published them on the internet. Cheek's site at the time contained either the software itself or links to the software. Note that the case never came to trial, so weither or not it would have actually stood up in court is hard to tell. But the circumstances surrounding the case seem to indicate that they (the prosecution) were trying to make it as hard as possible for Cheek to defend

himself. It suggests that they expected Cheek to accept a plea bargan which would have kept him out of jail and given the government a cheep case to use as precedence in subsequent prosecutions.

>
> I am not a lawyer either. But I would not be at all surprised if the
> government tries to block digital scanners by extending the ECPA,
> using POCSAG as a simple precedent...

It wouldn't surprise me either. But if you read the judge's rulings in the Gass case, (links above), I personally think they might have a rough time. Given that Uniden can afford even more, better lawyers than Gass, it doesn't seem likely that the government would be able to offset the precedence established by Gass's case and the previous ones cited in the Gass rulings. It should be possible to get any new laws barring digital police scanners overturned. Uniden's pockets are a lot deeper than a private citizen's, they can afford to appeal any unfavorable rulings. As I said previously, if Uniden didn't have a reasonable expectation that they could legally sell such a scanner, they wouldn't have started out to develop one in the first place. In fact, I wouldn't be surprised if they didn't count on some initial government resistance as a way to boost sales. Look at what the ECPA did to sales of unblocked scanners. The ability of a scanner to receive cellular communications boosts the resale price by $50-$150. If people think the government might be able to get a digital scanner pulled off the market, the initial sales will probably go through the roof, as everybody scrambles to get one before they get pulled from the market.

Mark

>
> Pat
>
>
> On Sat, 16 Jun 2001 11:11:38 -0500, "M. Schneider"
> <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
>
> >Patrick, Ebert, Martin (and others)
> >
> >This is why, in my response, I very carefully tiptoed around the term
> >"decode", which can be used in different ways. As a digitized audio
source,
> >the D/A (digital to analog) converter "decodes" the digital signal
source,
> >no matter if it's a CD player, DVD, or APCO 25 radio standard
transmission.
> >This type of "decoding" is not prohibited by law, and provides the basis
of
> >the upcoming APCO 25-enabled scanner to be introduced by Uniden next
year.
> >
> >The other type of "decode" applies to the decryption of signals that have

> >been intentionally encrypted in order to prevent their monitoring by
other
> >than the intended parties. This would apply to DES (Digital Encryption
> >Standard) as well as the older speech inversion methods used in analog
radio
> >systems. This has been specifically prohibited in the laws passed by
> >Congress.
> >
> >The pager standards, such as POCSAG and Flex, are readily available and
> >converting software was widely available at the time the ECPA was passed.
> >The ECPA also made "decoding" these transmissions illegal. This was
> >slightly easier to enforce than the rules against monitoring analog
> >cellphones, as sale and distribution of the needed software was
prohibited
> >IN THE UNITED STATES. The software is usually available on various
overseas
> >websites.
> >
> >For Uniden's press release on the upcoming APCO 25 scanner, go to this
site:
>
>http://www.telecomclick.com/newsarticle.asp?Newsarticleid=198391&mode=print
> >
> >Needless to say, I'm not a lawyer. The above is my opinion based on
reading
> >the relevant laws and has no legal standing. However, Uniden seems to
feel
> >the same way, and I have to think that they had their lawyers go over all
> >the relevant laws VERY carefully before deciding to spend the R & D money
to
> >develop the new scanner. Remember, the government tried to block
> >trunktracking radios, and the judge's opinion rejecting the government's
> >case seems to support the legality of monitoring any public safety signal
> >that is not intentionally "encrypted".
> >
> >Mark
> >
> >
> >"Martin Janecek" <coriander@gmx.net> wrote in message
> >news:upslitsvb0cm2e68322vun789801bcttfo@4ax.com...
> >> Your response echoes my own understanding too, hence I still remain
> >> confused why any manufacturer would contemplate introducing such a
> >> product if they could not sell it to public (unless it was aimed
> >> squarely at the Government market).
> >>
> >> But the other reply I received (from Mark Schneider) says that:
> >> " You can legally listen to digital audio (if you have the means), you
> >> can not legally decode and listen to encrypted audio, even the old
> >> analog phase inverted scramblers."
> >>

> >> This certainly sounds logical, but I believe this may not be quite
> >> true (at least in the US). For example, paging codes (POCSAG or Flex)
> >> are non-encrypted, and publicly well documented. But selling pager
> >> decoders or software to public is (as I am led to believe) illegal?
> >>
> >> Can anyone shed more light on this please?
> >>
> >> Patrick
> >>
> >>
> >> On Sat, 16 Jun 2001 15:07:20 +1000, "Ebert" <chris_ebert@hotmail.com>
> >> wrote:
> >>
> >> >If they involve any sort of decoding/decryption they will be illegal.
As
> >> >far as I know it is illegal to decode or decrypt any radio
transmission
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >Patrick Oakes <coriander@gmx.net> wrote in message
> >> >news:vshlitct4qi9dlfoqfgor7cd9p7feg45h2@4ax.com...
> >> >> Now with all the rumours about some manufacturers introducing
digital
> >> >> scanners soon, does anybody have any idea what will these scanners
> >> >> actually do? (or should be able to?)
> >> >>
> >> >> I mean, specifically what modes or types of signals they should be
> >> >> able to receive, what frequencies or modulation modes, etc.
> >> >>
> >> >> Will their only difference be in that they will be able to receive
> >> >> such digitally coded signals, or will there be also some operational
> >> >> differences, for example in the search functions etc.?
> >> >>
> >> >> And finally, will these scanners be in fact legally sold to public?
> >> >>
> >> >> Pat
> >> >>
> >> >
> >>
> >
>

646. Grove Scanner Beam???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 00:15:34 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <GESW6.86920$DG1.14329199@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 64113

I'm considering purchasing the Grove Scanner Beam, and mounting it on a rotor on the roof of my home. I live in Michigan, and we get some pretty severe storms, and high winds. Anyone have experience as to how well this antenna stands up over time?

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

647. Re: Grove Scanner Beam???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 00:55:36 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenner@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <GgTW6.677$f81.588643@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 64114

I had one up here in Minnesota for about 6 years and it withstood some nasty thunder storms and blizzards. It is a wonderful antenna. I finally took it down due to decreased performance, it rusted pretty bad on spots. I have not replaced it yet with another scanner beam since I found a RS antenna on closeout for $10.00 and it is working ok.

The scanner beam is very directional so a rotor is a good idea. I did not use one since all of the stuff I typically listened to was south. It also grabbed some good lowband VHF skip using it on my 2006. I used to get stuff from the south, south east on a pretty regular basis. I did some positive ID's of stations in Florida and Georgia.

Greg Renner
"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:GESW6.86920$DG1.14329199@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> I'm considering purchasing the Grove Scanner Beam, and mounting it on a
> rotor on the roof of my home. I live in Michigan, and we get some pretty
> severe storms, and high winds. Anyone have experience as to how well this
> antenna stands up over time?
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>

648. Re: Grove Scanner Beam???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 01:32:27 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1595a84db5fa98d498973c@news>
Source record: 64115

In article <GESW6.86920$DG1.14329199@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>,
bcrocker@home.com says...
> I'm considering purchasing the Grove Scanner Beam, and mounting it on a
> rotor on the roof of my home. I live in Michigan, and we get some pretty
> severe storms, and high winds. Anyone have experience as to how well this
> antenna stands up over time?

Are you considering the Grove Beam because of its price?

I have a KMA 4113 and it works quite well. Might want to check out
http://www.qsl.net/w4kma/price_list.htm. They are a bit pricey but built
well and the owner is VERY helpful and supportive.

Standard disclaimer, don't work or know the folks other than buying one
of their antenna..

Glenn

649. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 01:55:58 UTC
From: Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net
Message-ID: <tio3h0ohssja3f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64116
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Spying on one's minor child. A new concept, as in days of old, cursory searches of your child's bedroom wasn't considered unreasonable. Enter the government, endlessly insisting on privacy between individuals while endlessly contemplating ways to violate privacy between government and individuals.

Problem is that if you investigate your child's room for illicit paraphernalia, you are violating their privacy. If your child would happen to possess illicit paraphernalia and the authorities discover it before you do, you can have your home confiscated under the provisions of the anti-drug laws that exists in the U.S.

The government will assure that you're damned if you do, and damned if you don't. Not a very nice way to live is it?

So, perhaps no one has gone to jail for listening to the conversations of their minor daughter, but I can assure you that people have lost their homes for not being aware of the activities of their children.

Distrust! How about children engaged in activities that the children vehemently deny. Does this not produce Distrust in the adults?

In article <P4iU6.75952$%i7.56951565@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>, "fizzy" <heedthis@feedtheneed.com> wrote:
>NOBODY has ever gone to jail for listening to the conversations of their
>minor daughter.
>
>BUT there's always a first time.
>
>AND, will your daughter ever FORGIVE you when she finds out you were spying
>on her.
>
>DISTRUST breeds contempt which gives birth to RETALIATION!
>
>

650. Re: Radio Shack Model

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 02:02:24 UTC
From: Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net
Message-ID: <tio3t3euqp9n8f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64117
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It is illegal to speed on the highways, but certainly the penalty for illegal wire tapping is far greater than a speeding ticket.

As regards a child's privacy, I have just posted this elsewhere in this newsgroup, but it seems to fit here also.

Spying on one's minor child. A new concept, as in days of old, cursory searches of your child's bedroom wasn't considered unreasonable. Enter the government, endlessly insisting on privacy between individuals while endlessly contemplating ways to violate privacy between government and individuals.

Problem is that if you investigate your child's room for illicit paraphernalia, you are violating their privacy. If your child would happen to possess illicit paraphernalia and the authorities discover it before you do, you can have your home confiscated under the provisions of the anti-drug laws that exists in the U.S.

The government will assure that you're damned if you do, and damned if you don't. Not a very nice way to live is it?

So, perhaps no one has gone to jail for listening to the conversations of their minor daughter, but I can assure you that people have lost their homes for not being aware of the activities of their children.

Distrust! How about children engaged in activities that the children vehemently deny. Does this not produce Distrust in the adults?

In article <4JoU6.1506$RK.1768152@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>, "Dionin Lord" <dionin@btlex.com> wrote:
>The legality depends on two things. First, what state do you live in? Some
>states require the consent of both parties to the call, some only require
>the consent of one party. Second, does your daughter consent? If not, then
>you're violating the Federal wire tapping statute, and it doesn't matter in
>which US state you live. The fact that she's your daughter, she's a minor,
>that she lives in your house, you pay the phone bill, etc. have no legal
>significance regarding conviction, but they might help you at the sentencing
>hearing.
>
>I usually recommend people contact an attorney in their own state when they
>have a legal question; but in your case I recommend a family counselor.

651. Wanted RS-PRO-92 *.spg files for California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 02:22:32 UTC
From: halcitron@aol.comhatespam
Message-ID: <20010616222232.11673.00000692@ng-mc1.aol.com>
Source record: 64118

Wanted RS-PRO-92 *.spg files for California and SoCal, counties of San Diego, Imperial, Riverside, San Bernardino, Orange, Los Angeles, and others.

Post them at:
http://www.pro-92.com/spgsubmission.htm

Thanks

Halcitron
Check your six and know when to duck.

652. fosale: A pair of FRS radios mint condition 89.00US includes shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 02:50:12 UTC
From: jwap <mediaguy@home.com>
Message-ID: <EVUW6.101409$r7.13652364@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 64119

forsale:

In mint condition,
no box
radio shack modelo 21-1811 on page 79 in the catalog

14 channels, 38 ctcss tones,
2 hour auto shutoff to save battery
1/2 watt out

these radios sell for 99.00US each new

I will take 79.00US for the pair
includes shipping and insurance

thanks
mediaguy@home.com

653. FA: Optoelectronics Mini-Scout and Smart>>Link Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 04:01:51 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <PYVW6.78437$aA5.441001@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 64120

I am auctioning an Optoelectronics Mini-Scout frequency counter and a Smart>>Link cable, made by Scanner Master, for reaction tuning a Uniden BC245XLT.

The auction listing is at:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1247453034

John Habbinga, KC5ZRQ

654. Re: Nat. Park freq.? RMNP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 04:32:41 UTC
From: Mike Beaty <mbeaty@nyx.net>
Message-ID: <992752065.589759@irys.nyx.net>
Source record: 64121

In article <3B2B614D.A21AF658@kscable.com>, LDP <lpetOers@kscable.com> wrote:

> We are going to Rocky mountain national park later this month. Does
> anyone have any of the frequencies for the rangers and related Nat. Park
> facilities.

A quick search at www.altavista.com found a site which should help.

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/9952/npsco.htm

Have a good trip!

Mike
--
Mike Beaty, Professional Engineer mbeaty@nyx.net
Mechanical Design Engineer Boulder, CO

655. MIDLAND CT-79

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 04:37:19 UTC
From: DYNO <dyno7@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <9scoitsj0b11va40un3vrcgkmphh70qjs5@4ax.com>
Source record: 64122

I HAVE SCANNER MIDLAND MODEL CT-79
I NEED MANUAL, CAN HELP ME .
PLEASE...

656. NiMH batteries in scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 04:41:53 UTC
From: "Tim C." <tconnerREMOVE@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <olcoits5bh6184ebt0dsdr0aflgbv2uq0m@4ax.com>
Source record: 64123

My 12 year old NiCd finally gave out so now I am going to try out the new NiMH batteries. Can I use the built in chargers in my scanners to recharge the NiMH? All my scanners were made before NiMH batteries came out so I am sure they were not designed for this battery but didn't know if it would make a difference.

Thanks
Tim

657. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 04:50:10 UTC
From: Bill Nelson <billn@spock.peak.org>
Message-ID: <9ghcu2$qq1$2@bashir.peak.org>
Source record: 64126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

In rec.radio.scanner M. Schneider <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
:> I am not a lawyer either. But I would not be at all surprised if the
:> government tries to block digital scanners by extending the ECPA,
:> using POCSAG as a simple precedent...

: It wouldn't surprise me either. But if you read the judge's rulings in the
: Gass case, (links above), I personally think they might have a rough time.
: Given that Uniden can afford even more, better lawyers than Gass, it doesn't
: seem likely that the government would be able to offset the precedence
: established by Gass's case and the previous ones cited in the Gass rulings.

A digital scanner is worthless, if the using agency does not supply the
decryption key. There would have to be a few federal court rulings that
the police had to do that, before they would be willing to turn the keys
over to the general public.

--
Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)

658. Re: FA:OKI900

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 04:53:50 UTC
From: Mike Beaty <mbeaty@nyx.net>
Message-ID: <992753335.239513@irys.nyx.net>
Source record: 64124

In article <3B25624E.3DD0@netusa1.net>, Chris <csnichol@netusa1.net> wrote:

> OK somebody explain to me how this thing is worth $300

The OKI 900 cellular phone is one of the most flexible analog cellular telephones ever made. By itself, it can be easily used to scan conversations, for example. It can be teamed up with a PC and some software called an Experimenter's Tool Kit to do the following:

"One program is designed for testing the phone and allows a technician to activate many of the OKIs built in test modes and functions, such as tuning to a particular channel, activating carrier, sat, signaling tones, etc.

Another program can be used to access the phone's user features, such as programming NAMs, or uploading, downloading and editing the phone's 200 alphanumeric telephone number memories on the PC.

A programming library object module is supplied to allow you to write your own programs to access the phone in both normal operating mode and in test mode. The library contains functions such as tuning to a channel, turning carrier/audio/sat-tones/signaling-tones on and off, reading received signal strength, sending and receiving digital control messages, and sending and decoding DTMF tones.

Two programs are supplied in source form that give examples of writing applications in either of these modes. One of these programs shows how the PC can completely control the cellular phone, making and receiving calls while the phone is only operating in its test mode, with the PC handling all of the cellular protocol and messaging functions. The other program simply controls the phone by simulating presses on its keypad from the PC."

I don't own one of the phones nor any of the software, so your mileage may vary. I'm also not interested in paying $300 for one, but obviously somebody else is.

Mike
--
Mike Beaty, Professional Engineer mbeaty@nyx.net
Mechanical Design Engineer Boulder, CO

659. Re: NiMH batteries in scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 04:57:45 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B2C38C8.703B071A@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 64125

Generally, yes. Especially if the charger is of the "slow" type, that is, it takes 10 or so hours to recharge. If the recharge is/was "fast", on the order of an hour, there might be some problems.

Since the NiMH batteries most likely will have greater capacity than the old NiCds, charging time will be longer.

Walt

"Tim C." wrote:
>
> My 12 year old NiCd finally gave out so now I am going to try out the
> new NiMH batteries. Can I use the built in chargers in my scanners to
> recharge the NiMH? All my scanners were made before NiMH batteries
> came out so I am sure they were not designed for this battery but
> didn't know if it would make a difference.
>
> Thanks
> Tim

660. Which Pro-92 model??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 05:40:04 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13900-3B2C42B4-247@storefull-151.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64128

OK, so I've decided on the '92. Which model am I looking for now? Tips on serial no. to look for, etc. any help appreciated. Vic.

661. Odds of unblocked radio confiscation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 05:57:20 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13900-3B2C46C0-248@storefull-151.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64127

This is a question posed due to those ads that are STILL running in some publications offering 'unblocked' scanners. I personally earn enough to risk ordering, but if I do, which companies are the best, outside these borders, to risk ordering from?? Vic.

662. Re: sending money in the mail- sort of o/t

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 07:01:03 UTC
From: halcitron@aol.comhatespam
Message-ID: <20010617030103.20801.00001336@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 64129

Bruce bruceinomaha@yahoo.com wrote:

>Hi- What is the safest way to send money in the mail other than cod
>route? I always thought it was postal money order but is that really any
>different than a regular money order? Will the postal service help me in
>any way if the person cashes my postal money order and doesn't deliver
>the goods? thanks

Use an Escrow service. Send the merchandise to me and I will hold it, until I can verify the payment is good, then I release the merchandise, to the buyer, take ten percent off the payment, and send the remainder to the seller.

I accept Cash (US Dollars, British Pounds, Euro Dollars, Japanese Yen or Duetsch Marks,), Cashier's checks payable to "cash", silver, platinum, gold, stocks, bonds, and many more monetary units, traded on the global market.

All transactions are insured by Smith & Wesson Securities.
Halcitron
Check your six and know when to duck.

663. Re: NiMH batteries in scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 07:07:22 UTC
From: Jim MacDonald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B2C572A.E1EDA3F6@mitre.org>
Source record: 64130

Yes you can use the NiMH cells in your radio and charge them with the
internal charging
circuit. It takes about 24 hours to recharge NiMH cells with chargers
designed for
NiCads. The NiMH cells will last 40% to 60% longer than NiCads. They go
ALL DAY!
The NiMH voltage will drop off very quickly the your radio will go off
with little or
no warning and NiMH are much more sensitive to over charging.

"Tim C." wrote:
>
> My 12 year old NiCd finally gave out so now I am going to try out the
> new NiMH batteries. Can I use the built in chargers in my scanners to
> recharge the NiMH? All my scanners were made before NiMH batteries
> came out so I am sure they were not designed for this battery but
> didn't know if it would make a difference.
>
> Thanks
> Tim

664. Re: One Mighty Deranged PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 08:14:48 UTC
From: Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net
Message-ID: <tiopn4hns67ecd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Please see #5 below, this seem relevant to your problem.
http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/8564.htm

PRO-43 (200-0300) Troubleshooting Faxback Doc. # 16691 IF YOU HAVE PROBLEMS...
We hope you don't, but here are some suggestions.

#1. Trouble Check Does not function. Batteries correctly installed? Batteries
are good?

#2. No or poor reception. Antenna correctly installed? Poor reception
environment (such as a metal building)? Frequencies correctly programmed?

#3. Error appears on the display. Programming error - confirm procedure.

#4. Keyboard does not work. KEYLOCK set to LOCK?

#5. Keys do not work and display is random
While holding down both CLEAR and O,. turn the power on to reset the scanner.
NOTE: This clears all information stored in the scanner.

If none of the above suggestions help, take the scanner to your local Radio
Shack store for assistance.

In article <0fmSDp10m410c@pipeline.com>, brian@pipeline.com wrote:
>Wondering if anyone could offer some advice.
>
>I accidentally fell asleep with my PRO-43 humming away. <g> The radio
>was operating on non-rechargable alkalines, which were dead by the time
>I awoke. But I didn't realize that the unit had been left on until many
>days later, when I picked it up and discovered what had happened.

665. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 08:18:38 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <RJZW6.22423$A45.2904396@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 64132
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

they researched into that,amazing GCHQ and others announced when GSM started
that they needed to access the system for there monitoring work and hence
the system was change to make it easier,commercial equipment is available at
crazy prices,but only available to certain organisatons.

as for laws then remember every country has different laws so be a bit more
specific and say what country is being reffered to,as with cross posting
things get confusing.

in the UK most monitoring is illegal,but tolerated.

regards Paul

Mike Alpha <mike_alpha61DELETE@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3b2b43c6$0$25464$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au...
> I posted this elsewhere on rec.radio.scanner
>
> An article from The New York Times that may be of interest to you.
>
> 04/14/98
> Title: Researchers Crack Code In Cell Phones
> Source: New York Times (D1,D5)
> Author: John Markoff
> Issue: Encryption
> Description: A group of Univ. of California computer researchers announced
> Monday that they had successfully cracked the world's most widely used
> encryption code that is designed to prevent the cloning of digital
cellular
> phones. The researchers believe that the system, known as Group Speciale
> Mobile standard, or GSM, was deliberately weakened to permit government
> surveillance. GSM is used in about 80 million cellular phones around the
> world and in about 2 million phones in the U.S. The researchers broke the
> code by "using a computer to determine a secret identity number stored in
> the Subscriber Identity Module, or SIM, a credit cardlike device inside
the
> phone." But what was even more interesting than the security threat, was
> that "the cracking code yielded a tantalizing hint that a digital key used
> by GSM may have been intentionally weakened during the design process to
> permit government agencies to eavesdrop on cellular telephone
> conversations." Both the researchers and officials from cellular phone
> companies said yesterday that the threat of cloning was "extremely remote"
> in comparison to the vulnerability of analog cellular phones.
>
>

> Nothing is impossible!!
> Mike
>
>
>
>
>
>

666. Pro-76

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 09:18:36 UTC
From: "Willie Lawson Jr." <wlawson7016@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uk9zC1w9AHA.185@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 64133

Are there any mods for this scanner that anyone is aware of?

667. Re: Nat. Park freq.? RMNP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 10:09:19 UTC
From: LDP <lpetOers@kscable.com>
Message-ID: <3B2C8250.A28AC975@kscable.com>
Source record: 64134

You are a lot better than I am at searching. I tried a couple of different combinations and came up with very little. Thanks Mike

Mike Beaty wrote:

> In article <3B2B614D.A21AF658@kscable.com>, LDP <lpetOers@kscable.com> wrote:
>
> > We are going to Rocky mountain national park later this month. Does
> > anyone have any of the frequencies for the rangers and related Nat. Park
> > facilities.
>
> A quick search at www.altavista.com found a site which should help.
>
> http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/9952/npsco.htm
>
> Have a good trip!
>
> Mike
> --
> Mike Beaty, Professional Engineer mbeaty@nyx.net
> Mechanical Design Engineer Boulder, CO

--
Please remove the O from petOers to reply

668. Re: Frequency Holes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 10:29:37 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9gi0r1rnh1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Well, I got a "deal" on a PRO-2066 so that's what I bought.

The 2005/6's are going for $150-200 on Ebay despite their
age and I managed to get the 2066 for half that. Mods aren't
important to me and I'll be able to get my feet wet with trunking
on the 2066.

Anyone out there have good/bad experiences with this model?

Thanks again everyone for the info so far!

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3B294D2A.6CE41363@worldnet.att.net...
} The FCC, in their infinite wisdom, did not require cordless phone
} frequencies to be blocked. They just made it illegal for you to
} listen to any conversations on those frequencies.
}
} Frank wrote:
} >
} > Thanks James and Walt. So the cell phone frequencies are
} > the only range that must be legally blocked? How about
} > 43-49MHz? Some advertisements mention this range is
} > not available and others don't mention it at all.
} >
} > "Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3B293CF6.8FE62A21@worldnet.att.net...
} > : As far as modifying a recent vintage scanner to receive the cell phone
} > : frequencies - forget it. The manufacturers are required, in order to
} > : get a scanner certified, to build a scanner which cannot be modified
} > : without destroying it. Period. Can some genius find a way to do it?
} > : Probably. Is is a practical thing for the average person to do? No.
} > :
} > : However, that does not stop you from building your own scanner from
} > : scratch which can receive those frequencies.
} > :
} > :
} > :
} > : James Marshall wrote:
} > : >
} > : > In article <9g95nj$s84$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net> "Frank" <nospam@mindspring.com>
writes:
} > : > >Hi all, I'm new to the amateur radio scene and am looking into
} > : > >buying my first unit. I keep reading about laws passed in 1994
} > : > >that caused radio manufacturers to remove or restrict certain

} > : > >frequency ranges.
} > : >
} > : > I'm rather new to scanning myself, but from the limited knowledge I do
} > : > have at this point, I'd say it sounds like you're talking about the USA
} > : > laws forbidding people to listen to cellular phone calls with a scanner.
} > : > New scanners made for/in(?) the US must block out this region in the
} > : > 800 MHz range, but scanners made before the laws passed can have that
} > : > range in it and don't have to have it removed.
} > : >
} > : > >So if I buy one now, say from 30-1200MHz which ranges won't I receive?
} > : >
} > : > Well, I purchased the Sony ICF-SC1 and I believe that the small excluded
} > : > ranges are 823.98-849.02 MHZ and 868.98-894.02 MHz. I would imagine that
} > : > other scanners will leave out essentially the same ranges so you're only
} > : > going to be missing a very portion of the full range on the scanner.
} > : >
} > : > >I know about scanner mods but I'm just wondering what I'll get right out
} > : > >of the box.
} > : >
} > : > True, there are plenty of modifications available out there should you
} > : > want to do them. Once you pick a radio, look around and you should be
} > : > able to find mods for it. I hope this helps.
} > : >
} > : > --
} > : > . . . . -- James Marshall (CAS) . .
} > : > ,. --)-- , , . --)-- , marshall@astro.umd.edu ., .
} > : > ' ' http://www.astro.umd.edu/~marshall
} > : > "Equations are living things." .

669. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 10:42:09 UTC
From: signalone@dingoblue.net.au
Message-ID: <3b2c884d.5360611@news.dingoblue.net.au>
Source record: 64136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

Hi Patrick.
Many of the posts here concerning the laws of digital transmission
are based on American laws, and as this is AUS.RADIO.SCANNER, these
laws don't apply here. Austrlia has no laws against decoding APCO25
digital transmissions. Our scanning laws are very lax to say the
least, whihc is good for us. Good to see our country is free in some
way much more then other so called free countries.
Only thing our laws prohibit in regards to scanning is the
interception of any telephone related transmissions, such as cellular
phones, cordless phones, sea phones and OTC RFDS radio phone patches,
and any other phone patches I have not mentioned. Other then that, we
are free to listen to pretty much what we like. We are leggaly able to
decode pagers, MDT and so on.
Most users in Australia who run digital modes, and don't want to be
monitored run various forms of encryption, thus preventing the public
from listening. The new police RD-LAP MDT system is a good example.
Even Australian GSM phones, as someone has stated, have a high level
of encryption.
Other countries should follow suit, instead of passing laws to stop
people listening, the problem should be nipped at the source by the
people who don't want to be monitored.

Davo

On Sat, 16 Jun 2001 16:15:07 GMT, Patrick Oakes <coriander@gmx.net>
wrote:

>On Sat, 16 Jun 2001 10:59:07 -0500, "news.swbell.net
><lindsay@lcblanton.com> wrote:
>
>>Not really.
>>
>>Decoding an APCO-25 digital transmission would not be illegal. It is a
>>common standard used for public safety communications - and is published
>>publicly for all vendors to develop products for. You don't have to license
>>the standard (but you do the vocoder).
>
>Thank you, I am interested in the technical details.
>Would you be able to give me some links as to the publicly
>published specification? There are a lot of APCO-25 links on the Web
>but I could not find any detailed technical specs beyond some
>very superficial info.
>
>Who licenses the vocoder?

>
>>Now, if the transmission is encrypted, meaning the intention is for only
>>privileged persons to hear the communications, then yes, it is illegal to
>>monitor.
>>
>>There is a distinct difference between "decoding" something and decrypting
>>something.
>
>OK, but does this also apply for the US? I believe that decoding any
>digital communication (including for example unencrypted POCSAG
>paging) is illegal in the US?
>
>Look for example at Bill Cheek's case (who was arrested for only
>selling a 4-level FSK decoder, which I believe is essential part of
>APCO-25!).
>
>Pat
>
>

670. FS: Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 12:13:38 UTC
From: Alex <alexjent@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9gi6oq$74fg$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64137

Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT TrunkTracker in excellent condition.

Controllable from your computer.

Freq Range: 29 to 956 MHZ in 14 bands.

With manual, computer cable(serial) and antenna Shipped(CONUS) to your door
$150.

Pics available. Email if interested.

Alex

KC0AK
Independence, MN 55357

671. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 12:19:37 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha61DELETE@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3b2ca05a$0$25514$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 64138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

Patrick Oakes <coriander@gmx.net> wrote in message
news:k21nit05c0ffg47hscp5bvmtnkou38ldq3@4ax.com...
> Thank you, I am interested in the technical details.
> Would you be able to give me some links as to the publicly
> published specification? There are a lot of APCO-25 links on the Web
> but I could not find any detailed technical specs beyond some
> very superficial info.

Try the following links-

http://www.apcointl.org/project25/information.html
http://www.trunkedradio.net/trunked/apco25.htm

> Who licenses the vocoder?

http://www.dvsinc.com/index.htm

Mike

672. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 15:56:51 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <Rn4X6.6690$tD3.4188@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 64139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill,

Like others, you are confusing "decoding" with "decrypting". The means to convert digital audio to analog audio (decode) are standardized under the APCO Project 25 standard, and are the same for roughly 95% of all digital trunking systems installed in the United States. If the signal has also been encrypted, then that's a horse of a different color. The forthcoming digital scanners wouldn't be able to decrypt those signals, even if you had the key mentioned, because the ability to do so won't be there.

Mark

"Bill Nelson" <billn@spock.peak.org> wrote in message news:9ghcu2$qq1$2@bashir.peak.org...
> In rec.radio.scanner M. Schneider <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
> :> I am not a lawyer either. But I would not be at all surprised if the
> :> government tries to block digital scanners by extending the ECPA,
> :> using POCSAG as a simple precedent...
>
> : It wouldn't surprise me either. But if you read the judge's rulings in the
> : Gass case, (links above), I personally think they might have a rough time.
> : Given that Uniden can afford even more, better lawyers than Gass, it doesn't
> : seem likely that the government would be able to offset the precedence
> : established by Gass's case and the previous ones cited in the Gass rulings.
>
> A digital scanner is worthless, if the using agency does not supply the
> decryption key. There would have to be a few federal court rulings that
> the police had to do that, before they would be willing to turn the keys
> over to the general public.
>
> --
> Bill Nelson (billn@peak.org)
>

673. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 16:08:44 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <_y4X6.6717$tD3.3210@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 64140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

Davo,

Sorry, I hadn't paid any attention to the newsgroups this was being sent to.
I'll delete the UK and AUS groups on any further posts I make. If people
read the laws and court rulings closely and pay attention to the details,
then they'll realize that the U.S. doesn't have any laws against monitoring
APCO Project 25 digital signals either, as long as they are not also
encrypted. If Uniden couldn't sell a digital scanner, they wouldn't be
developing it. And I agree wholeheartedly with your last point, regulate
the source. If they don't want people to listen in, they should encrypt the
signal.

Mark

"nospam dingoblue.net.au (Davo)" <signalone@> wrote in message
news:3b2c884d.5360611@news.dingoblue.net.au...
> Hi Patrick.
> Many of the posts here concerning the laws of digital transmission
> are based on American laws, and as this is AUS.RADIO.SCANNER, these
> laws don't apply here. Austrlia has no laws against decoding APCO25
> digital transmissions. Our scanning laws are very lax to say the
> least, whihc is good for us. Good to see our country is free in some
> way much more then other so called free countries.
> Only thing our laws prohibit in regards to scanning is the
> interception of any telephone related transmissions, such as cellular
> phones, cordless phones, sea phones and OTC RFDS radio phone patches,
> and any other phone patches I have not mentioned. Other then that, we
> are free to listen to pretty much what we like. We are leggaly able to
> decode pagers, MDT and so on.
> Most users in Australia who run digital modes, and don't want to be
> monitored run various forms of encryption, thus preventing the public
> from listening. The new police RD-LAP MDT system is a good example.
> Even Australian GSM phones, as someone has stated, have a high level
> of encryption.
> Other countries should follow suit, instead of passing laws to stop
> people listening, the problem should be nipped at the source by the
> people who don't want to be monitored.
>
> Davo
>

674. Re: Which Pro-92 model??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 16:50:04 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <0d5X6.90858$DG1.14874204@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 64141

You want the "B" version. If you look at the back of the scanner itself, (not the box), and find the Radio Shack catalog number, you should see the suffix "B" after it, i.e.; #20-522[B]. That indicates it's the latest, and greatest.

It's on sale right now @ $249.99

Bill Crocker

"Vic Martinez" <t1000@webtv.net> wrote in message news:13900-3B2C42B4-247@storefull-151.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> OK, so I've decided on the '92. Which model am I looking for now? Tips
> on serial no. to look for, etc. any help appreciated. Vic.
>

675. Re: Is it illegal to monitor MDTs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 17:16:20 UTC
From: halcitron@aol.comhatespam
Message-ID: <20010617131620.14882.00002107@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 64142

Shawn Carson shawn-carson@home.com wrote:

>"none" <none@none.com> wrote:
>
>> Hello All,
>> Just bought an AOR 8200 and I know that it has a discriminator output.
>> But what can I legally do with it? I would like to monitor Police MDT or
>Fire
>> Rescue. But is this illegal? If not does any one know of any MDTs in the
>> Tampa, FL area?
>>
>> Thanks
>> ed
>--
>
>> Feds: Man Eavesdropped on Police / Agents say he posted cops' info on
>> Web site
>>
>> electronics enthusiast from Kings Park after he intentionally intercepted
>> communication sent and received by the mobile data terminals installed
>> in police cruisers, federal agents said.
>
>http://users.netropolis.net/maverick/Scanners/keith.htm
>
>Does anybody have an update on Keith Knipschild?
>He was arrested, but was he charged or convicted?

So, it is ok to enjoy electronics as a hobby, but if John Law, feels you have gone too far he can enter youre home and seize all electronics related property in your possession. Yes that means equipment, computers, logs, records, and anything within sight of your equipment. Granted TK was a mad bomber, but did the feds need to take his house? It will probaly be an exhibit in the FBI's Museum of Criminology.

Never under-estimate the power of a "Search Warrant".

But, back on the subject of MDTs, there is a new Palm model called "Pocket Blue". It may or may not be legal to intercept and translate the signals to and from MDT, but to reprint the info on a website is criminal. As we enjoy listening in on the Public Safety Net, it is ok to listen, but not ok to repeat what you hear, share recordings, or commit crimes while doing so. I don't even think it is legal to transcribe the broadcasts on to paper.

Is it ok to construct an interface between a RF scanner and a PC, to receive the transmissions? Are these available?

Halcitron
Check your six and know when to duck.

676. RF interference in NYC ☐ a success story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 17:41:52 UTC
From: mabbey@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <5e3cac5f.0106170941.9dc14d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 64143

I'm an avid scanner listener who recently relocated to Western Queens in New York City. This placed me in the center of an extremely hostile RF environment.

With a new Uniden BC 780 XLT and a quarter wave ground plane antenna cut for 120.0 MHz at 40 feet I set out to monitor the aviation band.

The results were disastrous. I could barely hear commercial jets that were flying in LGA's holding pattern directly above my location. What I did hear were S9+ levels of noise ï¿½ with 106.7 FM coming through the scanner clearly on a number of aviation frequencies. The BC 780 XLT was completely unusable for aviation monitoring in Western Queens.

I looked into purchasing a commercial band pass filter for the aviation band. DCI had nice looking four pole and eight pole band pass filters that fit my requirements, however at $229 / $349 (US) respectively, I deemed it too expensive for my hobby.

Around the same time I came across a small ad for a "Broadcast FM Filter" in an Amateur Radio catalog from PAR Electronics. I promptly e-mailed PAR with a request for the specifications of the filter. I learned that the filter would put a notch at 88.56 MHz, 98.80 MHz, and 107.04 MHz. The average notch attenuation was ï¿½41.17 dB. At $69 (US) this was worth a shot.

My two custom requests for PAR Electronics:

Knowing that 106.7 FM was the major offender causing a large portion of the interference, I asked PAR if they could tune the high cavity to 106.7 FM. They did ï¿½ and at no extra charge!

I also wanted to continue to use UHF connectors. I asked if they could replace the BNC connectors with UHF (SO-239) female connectors. PAR Electronics said they would do it, again at no extra charge!

Additionally PAR e-mailed me the plot of the actual filter that was custom tuned and fitted for my needs, which showed the high cavity notch right on 106.7 FM, as requested.

The results? After installation, 100% of my FM Broadcast interference is gone and I can monitor the aviation band uninterrupted. LGA, JFK, and ENW all are received crystal clear.

Thanks PAR Electronics. You provided a solution that worked.

-Mike A.
Queens, NY

677. Re: Wanted RS-PRO-92 *.spg files for California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 17:42:10 UTC
From: Insomnia <spikelee7@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9tqpit4bjbh0lk5v294cs8ndgelte09hp8@4ax.com>
Source record: 64144

I'm working on one for my area (Solano County, California). The
couple I did get from pro-92 has errors, so I'm cleaning them up and
will send it along. I'll be including Travis Air Force Base VHF Comms
as well.

Scott
N6UUH

On 17 Jun 2001 02:22:32 GMT, halcitron@aol.comhatespam (ReMoVeSpAm)
wrote:

>Wanted RS-PRO-92 *.spg files for California and SoCal, counties of San Diego,
>Imperial, Riverside, San Bernardino, Orange, Los Angeles, and others.
>
>Post them at:
>http://www.pro-92.com/spgsubmission.htm
>
>Thanks
>

678. Memorex (Uniden?) Scannocular Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 18:29:40 UTC
From: "J. Scott Branscum" <no_spam@usa.net>
Message-ID: <oG6X6.4800$mQ2.256962@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 64145
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,
I have recently discovered the Memorex (Uniden?) Scannocular, and am interested in buying the product if it can perform as advertised. Can anyone with knowledge or experience with this product contact me by email with their personal experience? www.strongsignals.net doesn't have much info, and I would like to find a review of the product, but searches on the net are coming up with nothing. I would like to use the unit for sporting events like Nascar and Air shows, and local listening. I like the 800 Mhz capability too.
You can see what I'm talking about at:
http://www.shoplifestyleonline.com/sc001.html
Thanks,
Scott
js.branscumnospam@verizon.net
Remove nospam in above email address to reply to me directly.

679. Re: RF interference in NYC - a success story

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 18:42:11 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <XO6X6.6867$tD3.4911@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 64146

Mike,

You are right, PAR Electronics is a great example of a first-rate company that believes in delivering a quality product and providing great customer service. I've had the occasion to correspond with Dale, the owner, on several occasions and always got prompt, helpful replies. I've been using their pager notch filter on my mobile scanner for 4 or more years and it has paid for itself many times over. There are those who might think the $60-$70 average price for one of these filters is a bit high, but believe me, it's worth every penny!

Mark K5MAR

"M.A." <mabbey@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:5e3cac5f.0106170941.9dc14d2@posting.google.com...
> I'm an avid scanner listener who recently relocated to Western Queens
> in New York City. This placed me in the center of an extremely
> hostile RF environment.
>
> With a new Uniden BC 780 XLT and a quarter wave ground plane antenna
> cut for 120.0 MHz at 40 feet I set out to monitor the aviation band.
>
> The results were disastrous. I could barely hear commercial jets that
> were flying in LGA's holding pattern directly above my location. What
> I did hear were S9+ levels of noise - with 106.7 FM coming through the
> scanner clearly on a number of aviation frequencies. The BC 780 XLT
> was completely unusable for aviation monitoring in Western Queens.
>
> I looked into purchasing a commercial band pass filter for the
> aviation band. DCI had nice looking four pole and eight pole band pass
> filters that fit my requirements, however at $229 / $349 (US)
> respectively, I deemed it too expensive for my hobby.
>
> Around the same time I came across a small ad for a "Broadcast FM
> Filter" in an Amateur Radio catalog from PAR Electronics. I promptly
> e-mailed PAR with a request for the specifications of the filter. I
> learned that the filter would put a notch at 88.56 MHz, 98.80 MHz, and
> 107.04 MHz. The average notch attenuation was -41.17 dB. At $69 (US)
> this was worth a shot.
>
> My two custom requests for PAR Electronics:
>
> Knowing that 106.7 FM was the major offender causing a large portion
> of the interference, I asked PAR if they could tune the high cavity to
> 106.7 FM. They did - and at no extra charge!

>
> I also wanted to continue to use UHF connectors. I asked if they
> could replace the BNC connectors with UHF (SO-239) female connectors.
> PAR Electronics said they would do it, again at no extra charge!
>
> Additionally PAR e-mailed me the plot of the actual filter that was
> custom tuned and fitted for my needs, which showed the high cavity
> notch right on 106.7 FM, as requested.
>
> The results? After installation, 100% of my FM Broadcast interference
> is gone and I can monitor the aviation band uninterrupted. LGA, JFK,
> and ENW all are received crystal clear.
>
> Thanks PAR Electronics. You provided a solution that worked.
>
> -Mike A.
> Queens, NY

680. Re: Nat. Park freq.? RMNP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 18:51:39 UTC
From: Mark <trunker@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%_6X6.13414$Kq4.1322535@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63997

Try these.....

Rocky Mountain CO
166.350 166.950 408.625 411.825 417.825

Radio Channel Numbers:
Channel East 1 166.350 110.9 Simplex
Channel East 2 166.350 166.950 100.0 Repeater Access
Channel West 1 166.300 127.3 Simplex
Channel West 2 166.300 166.900 156.7 Repeater
Channel 3 168.350 cs Simplex
Base Station call signs:
KAC708 Wild Basin
KAC710 Estes Park
KAC711 Park Headquarters / Dispatch
Little Horseshoe Park "ROMO" From the
4 letter code for the park
KAC712 LaPoudre Pass
KAC713 Estes Park
KAC714 Low Hidden Valley
KAC715 Alpine Vistors Center
Fall River Pass 40-26-30 105-45-06 "AVC"
KAC716 Fall River Entrance
KAC717 Glacier Basin
KAC718 Grand Lake Entrance "GLE"
KAC719 Beaver Meadows Entrance "BME"
KAC720 Prospect Mtn 40-21-40 105-31-10
KAC721 Moraine Peak
KAC722 Meadow Mtn
KAC723 Twin Sisters Peak 40-17-15 105-31-05
KAC724 Longs Peak Ranger Station
KAC725 Allens Park 40-12 105-53
KAC729 Red Mountain
Radio Numbers:
100 Park Superintendent 500 Chief Naturalist
101 Asst Superintendent 501 Asst Chief Naturalist
102 Resource Mgmt Specialist 502 Naturalist
103 Research Biologist 503 Naturalist
200 Chief Park Ranger 503 Naturalist
201 Vistor Protection Specialist 800 Chief of Maintenance
202 Prot. Trng Specialist 805 Engineering Tech
210 North District Ranger 810 Roads & Trails Foreman
211 Fall River Tech 811 Eng Equip Oper Foreman
212 North Fork Sub Dist Ranger 812 Eng Equip Operator
213 Fall River Sub Dist Ranger 813 Eng Equip Operator

220 South District Ranger 814 Eng Equip Operator
221 Thompson River Ranger 815 Eng Equip Operator
222 Thompson Rvr Sub Dist Rngr 820 Maint Mech Gen Foreman
223 Wild Basin Sub Dist Ranger 821 Maint Mech Foreman
400 West unit Manager 822 Woodcrafter
401 Admin Clerk 823 Carpenter
410 Frontcountry Ranger 824 Carpenter/Sign Painter
420 Backcountry Ranger 825 Electrician
440 West Naturalist 826 Maintenanceman
450 Maint Foreman 827 Maint Mechanic
451 Auto Mech 828 Utility Systems Operator
460 Maint. Mech Leader 829 Plumber
461 Maint. Mechanic 830 Maint Mechanic
462 Maint Worker 831 Painter
470 Road/Trail Leader 832 Maint Mechanic
471 Eng Equip Operator 833 Sheet Metal Mechanic
840 Hvy Mob Equip Mechanic
900 Communications Officer
Frequencies: .530 610
168.350 166.950 cs West Side
166.350 166.950 100.0 Twin Sisters Peak ?
166.350 ? Estes Park KAC710
166.950 ? Estes Park KAC710
166.350 Twin Sisters Peak KAC723
166.950 Twin Sisters Peak KAC723
166.350 40? Alpine Vistors Center - old UHF link base
168.350 Tactical KB7042
417.825 166.350 Alpine Vistors Center
408.625 ? Estes Park KAC713
408.625 Fall River Pass 20 watt KAC715
408.775 Twin Sisters Peak 5 watt KAC723
411.825? ?
408.425 Allenspark 20 watt KAC725

"LDP" <lpetOers@kscable.com> wrote in message
news:3B2B614D.A21AF658@kscable.com...
> We are going to Rocky mountain national park later this month. Does
> anyone have any of the frequencies for the rangers and related Nat. Park
> facilities.
>
> --
> Please remove the O from petOers to reply
>
>
>

681. Re: Odds of unblocked radio confiscation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 19:06:42 UTC
From: globalcabl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010617150642.13419.00004180@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Source record: 63998

I just received an unblocked Icom r-3 from radioworld no problems

682. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 19:41:15 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010617154115.01871.00001396@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 63999

In article <3b2c884d.5360611@news.dingoblue.net.au>,
signalone@(nospam)dingoblue.net.au (Davo) writes:

> Many of the posts here concerning the laws of digital transmission
>are based on American laws, and as this is AUS.RADIO.SCANNER, these
>laws don't apply here. Austrlia has no laws against decoding APCO25
>digital transmissions. Our scanning laws are very lax to say the
>least, whihc is good for us. Good to see our country is free in some
>way much more then other so called free countries.

Here we go again.

Gun ownership is much more important in a "free country" than unblocked
scanners, don't you think?

Welcome to Australia. Bring in your scanners, but give up your handguns to the
government.

683. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 19:42:39 UTC
From: sparky@sparkytown.com
Message-ID: <3b2e0560.92497368@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 64000

On Sun, 17 Jun 2001 01:55:58 GMT, Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net (Soliloquy)
wrote:

>Problem is that if you investigate your child's room for illicit
>paraphernalia, you are violating their privacy. If your child would happen to
>possess illicit paraphernalia and the authorities discover it before you do,
>you can have your home confiscated under the provisions of the anti-drug laws
>that exists in the U.S.
>

Agreed. People have been prosecuted for taping their children's phone
conversations. A man in Phoenix was arrested for domestic violence
for kicking in the locked door of a teenage child - who was engaged in
the felonious activity of smoking marijuana and was refusing to open
the door. I attended University in the State of Arizona, and police
routinely kick in doors in that state when they suspect a felony is in
progress on the other side...

Recently in my area, a couple lost their home, and their jobs,
because their two teenage son's were selling drugs that they had
stashed in the basement of the family house. The police deemed that
it was a criminal enterprise. The parent's claimed they had no
knowledge of the activity and passed lie detector tests to that
effect... But the home was still put up for auction.

One of my friends was having problems with his teenage daughters.
Mostly smoking and chatting with ADULT men on the phone or on the
computer. One day when they were at school, he disconnected the
wiring to their bedroom phones at the junction box and removed the
doors to their respective bedrooms. Problem solved. :)

Sparky

684. Ocean City Maryland Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 20:08:20 UTC
From: John Wistuba <johnis@home.com>
Message-ID: <U68X6.89098$G5.19283037@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 64001

Police and Fire in Ocean City Maryland use a trunked EDACS system. I have the Freqs, but I dont think I have the right order as my BC245XLT keeps locking onto the modem channel. Can anyone help?

685. Re: Memorex (Uniden?) Scannocular Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 23:15:08 UTC
From: Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net
Message-ID: <tiqef928ambtaf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64002
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It's certainly different. I didn't know that they made such a thing. Please post the results of your findings.

In article <oG6X6.4800$mQ2.256962@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>, "J. Scott Branscum" <no_spam@usa.net> wrote:
>Hello,
> I have recently discovered the Memorex (Uniden?) Scannocular, and am
>interested in buying the product if it can perform as advertised. Can
>anyone with knowledge or experience with this product contact me by email
>with their personal experience? www.strongsignals.net doesn't have much
>info, and I would like to find a review of the product, but searches on the
>net are coming up with nothing. I would like to use the unit for sporting
>events like Nascar and Air shows, and local listening. I like the 800 Mhz
>capability too.
>You can see what I'm talking about at:
>http://www.shoplifestyleonline.com/sc001.html
>Thanks,
>Scott
>js.branscumnospam@verizon.net
>Remove nospam in above email address to reply to me directly.
>
>

686. Uniden Battery Packs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-17 23:56:21 UTC
From: john murphy <murp7@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B2D43B4.9AC661D3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 64003

Does anyone know if it is possible to use NIMH battery packs in a Uniden 220XLT
scanner? I'm fed up with those damn yellow NiCad things.

687. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 00:11:03 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1596e6b3741226a0989740@news>
Source record: 64004

In article <3b2e0560.92497368@news.qwest.net>, sparky@sparkytown.com
says...
> On Sun, 17 Jun 2001 01:55:58 GMT, Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net (Soliloquy)
> wrote:
>
>
> >Problem is that if you investigate your child's room for illicit
> >paraphernalia, you are violating their privacy. If your child would happen to
> >possess illicit paraphernalia and the authorities discover it before you do,
> >you can have your home confiscated under the provisions of the anti-drug laws
> >that exists in the U.S.
> >
>
> Agreed. People have been prosecuted for taping their children's phone
> conversations. A man in Phoenix was arrested for domestic violence
> for kicking in the locked door of a teenage child - who was engaged in
> the felonious activity of smoking marijuana and was refusing to open
> the door. I attended University in the State of Arizona, and police
> routinely kick in doors in that state when they suspect a felony is in
> progress on the other side...
>
> Recently in my area, a couple lost their home, and their jobs,
> because their two teenage son's were selling drugs that they had
> stashed in the basement of the family house. The police deemed that
> it was a criminal enterprise. The parent's claimed they had no
> knowledge of the activity and passed lie detector tests to that
> effect... But the home was still put up for auction.
>
> One of my friends was having problems with his teenage daughters.
> Mostly smoking and chatting with ADULT men on the phone or on the
> computer. One day when they were at school, he disconnected the
> wiring to their bedroom phones at the junction box and removed the
> doors to their respective bedrooms. Problem solved. :)

Too late to solve this guys problems. Seems he raised a daughter that
doesn't respect him. Then again what is there to respect in a parent that
have any respect for his kids. OTOH, children are just a product of their
parents. The guy raised a daughter in the image of himself. To bad.

688. Re: Pro 91 - CHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 01:02:10 UTC
From: tesmeco@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010617210210.08152.00004329@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 64005

```
TRY 39.26 SAN BERDU
39.68 RIVERSIDE
39.88 CUCUMONGA
39.400 SAN DEIGO
```

689. Re: Does anybody know what has happened to Orange County California police frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 01:07:00 UTC
From: tesmeco@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010617210700.08152.00004331@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 64006

800 MHZ NOT SURE OF WHAT THEY ARE

690. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 01:56:50 UTC
From: h0mer <setiathomesslberkeleyedu@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <977.992590769244@iw0.mailusenet.com>
Source record: 64007

```
test
----
Posted on http://www.etin.com - the FREE public USENET portal on the Web
Complete SEARCHING, BROWSING, and POSTING of text and BINARY messages!
```

691. Power Alert California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 02:28:41 UTC
From: Cats <wgeretre5@walgreens.com>
Message-ID: <tHdX6.14036$aV1.1433593@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64008
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Hams in 6-land and Ca scanner listeners may want to
keep an ear to the radio, and your batteries charged.
California ISO has declared a system supply alert.

Main: http://www.caiso.com/

Announcement in question 6/17/01
click on link

http://www.caiso.com/docs/2001/06/17/2001061714170618330.pdf

692. Anyone have Southern California Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 03:04:26 UTC
From: Frizz <kg4ivt@hotmail.spamsucks.leaveme.alone.com>
Message-ID: <9gjr0e$t1t$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 64009

Anyone know any links for Southern California PD, fire, ambulance or anything else of interest? This is for a friend who is blind and tried searching on the net and could not find anything. Thanks!!!

693. FRS Frequencys please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 03:36:47 UTC
From: WG <nojunk@mail.com>
Message-ID: <jHeX6.32548$bK6.1965733@news0.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 64010

Hi All

Does anyone have the Family Radio Service frequency's. I had them in my PRO-2022 but I had a memory glitch and lost it all. If someone has them could you e-mail them to me or post them in a string.Thanks

--
HAPPY SCANNING

WILLIAM
camman@telus.net

694. Re: FRS Frequencys please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 04:35:38 UTC
From: Brandon <demo@mail2.sandlot.com>
Message-ID: <uyfX6.15687$036.4702533@news1.elcjn1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 64011

```
Channel 1---462.5625
Channel 2---462.5875
Channel 3---462.6125
Channel 4---462.6375
Channel 5---462.6625
Channel 6---462.6875
Channel 7---462.7125
Channel 8---467.5625
Channel 9---467.5875
Channel 10--467.6125
Channel 11--467.6375
Channel 12--467.6625
Channel 13--467.6875
Channel 14--467.7125

Good luck,
Brandon

"WG" <nojunk@mail.com> wrote in message
news:jHeX6.32548$bK6.1965733@news0.telusplanet.net...
> Hi All
>
> Does anyone have the Family Radio Service frequency's. I had them in my
> PRO-2022 but I had a memory glitch and lost it all. If someone has them
> could you e-mail them to me or post them in a string.Thanks
>
> --
> HAPPY SCANNING
>
> WILLIAM
> camman@telus.net
>
>
>
```

695. Re: Anyone have Southern California Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 04:37:46 UTC
From: Brandon <demo@mail2.sandlot.com>
Message-ID: <uAfX6.15694$036.4703352@news1.elcjn1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 64012

www.freqofnature.com is a good site for Los Angeles.

For San Diego, I recommend

http://members.home.net/scannersandiego/
http://www.qsl.net/kf6vnz/

Also be sure to check www.trunkedradio.net for information on trunked radio systems in SoCal.

"Frizz" <kg4ivt@hotmail.spamsucks.leaveme.alone.com> wrote in message news:9gjr0e$t1t$1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> Anyone know any links for Southern California PD, fire, ambulance or
> anything else of interest? This is for a friend who is blind and tried
> searching on the net and could not find anything. Thanks!!!
>
>

696. Molson Indy Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 06:18:48 UTC
From: lbeecroft8041 <lbeecroft8041@home.com>
Message-ID: <c3hX6.149424$Be4.45810493@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 64013

Hello I live in Toronto,Ontario (Canada).

And I would like to know what Frequencies will be in use for this event?.

Please note I'm using a PRO-51 Hand-Held Scanner.

Thank-You

697. FS: Bearcat BC895XLT TrunkTracker Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 12:19:53 UTC
From: Alex - KC0AK <alexjent@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9gkrgi$8g46$1@newssvr05-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 64014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT TrunkTracker in excellent condition.

Controllable from your computer.

Freq Range: 29 to 956 MHZ in 14 bands.

With manual, computer cable(serial) and antenna Shipped(CONUS) to your door
$150.

See at: http://pages.prodigy.net/alexjent/BC895XLT_w.jpg

Thanks,
Alex

KC0AK
Independence, MN 55357

698. Re: Power Alert California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 12:38:55 UTC
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <tirtk2di6lt41c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 64015
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Yeah, thanks to the DemoRATS, no power. seeesh!

"Cats" <wgeretre5@walgreens.com> wrote in message
news:tHdX6.14036$aV1.1433593@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Hams in 6-land and Ca scanner listeners may want to
> keep an ear to the radio, and your batteries charged.
> California ISO has declared a system supply alert.
>
> Main: http://www.caiso.com/
>
> Announcement in question 6/17/01
> click on link
>
> http://www.caiso.com/docs/2001/06/17/2001061714170618330.pdf
>
>

699. Re: Power Alert California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 15:48:46 UTC
From: Jim Pennino <jimp@mail.specsol.com>
Message-ID: <us7lg9.1kr.ln@mail.specsol.com>
Source record: 64018
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

In rec.radio.amateur.misc Cats <wgeretre5@walgreens.com> wrote:
> Hams in 6-land and Ca scanner listeners may want to
> keep an ear to the radio, and your batteries charged.
> California ISO has declared a system supply alert.

> Main: http://www.caiso.com/

> Announcement in question 6/17/01
> click on link

> http://www.caiso.com/docs/2001/06/17/2001061714170618330.pdf

As they have almost every day since late last year.

Your point?

Jim Pennino

700. conspiracy exposed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 15:50:59 UTC
From: Blues Klues <floorflush69@juno.com>
Message-ID: <DrpX6.16030$Kq4.1564638@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64017
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Here it is: http://members.tripod.com/~Telecommie/

The reason why the stock market is falling, ham radio is
going the way of 3.950 and Lloyd's titties are gettin bigger
by the month...

Oh the Humanity!!

also see: http://www.ghg.net/grimes/wacko.html for more sorrid URL's

701. MDT Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 16:14:29 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B2E28E5.22E5@yahoo.com>
Source record: 64019

Anyone have the MDT freq for Wichita, KS?
--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½
----

702. battery packs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 16:56:47 UTC
From: "Charles Newton"
Message-ID: <rpqX6.90$Qp2.171184@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 64020

I had once found a web site that advertised battery packs for scanners, they were suppose to be better than the factory battery packs and were cheaper too, can anyone help me out. My bearcat xlt 2500 needs a new battery pack and i really don't want to give uniden all my money. Thanx

703. Re: battery packs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 17:21:05 UTC
From: Mike Crain <michael.crainSPAM@abbott.com>
Message-ID: <9glcj1$f6p1@news.abbott.com>
Source record: 64022

Link is not working now and not sure why.
www.nicdlady.com

I purchased a set for my 2500 and was please with the deliever time and
results.

Charles Newton <freebird63@qwest.net@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:rpqX6.90$Qp2.171184@news.uswest.net...
> I had once found a web site that advertised battery packs for scanners,
they
> were suppose to be better than the factory battery packs and were cheaper
> too, can anyone help me out. My bearcat xlt 2500 needs a new battery pack
> and i really don't want to give uniden all my money. Thanx
>
>

704. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 17:27:50 UTC
From: "me@me" <me@me.com>
Message-ID: <3b2e3754$0$25490$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 64021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

I recall some great discussions on this group over the last two year U
remember the Famous software DVP buster , then we had Eric SMITH Motorola.

well I say it again Scanning is a dead hobby the government knows it cant
outlaw them so they simply increase their budgets to allow Police and other
groups to get richer , and not have to put up with any one eaves dropping
on them at all . it so what a call win win loose situation ,

They win Privacy I win big contracts and you fuckwits loose , mmmmmmm
rock on the super scanner it want happen wankers,.

stay tuned for the predicted response and showing of incredible
intelligence.

"Mike Alpha" <mike_alpha61DELETE@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3b2ca05a$0$25514$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au...
>
> Patrick Oakes <coriander@gmx.net> wrote in message
> news:k21nit05c0ffg47hscp5bvmtnkou38ldq3@4ax.com...
> > Thank you, I am interested in the technical details.
> > Would you be able to give me some links as to the publicly
> > published specification? There are a lot of APCO-25 links on the Web
> > but I could not find any detailed technical specs beyond some
> > very superficial info.
>
> Try the following links-
>
> http://www.apcointl.org/project25/information.html
> http://www.trunkedradio.net/trunked/apco25.htm
>
> > Who licenses the vocoder?
>
> http://www.dvsinc.com/index.htm
>
> Mike
>
>
>

705. Best non-scannable cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 17:44:30 UTC
From: applseed@nemonet.com
Message-ID: <r8fsitolb528tuor0rsla6ccbt0i2nj2oa@4ax.com>
Source record: 64023

Hi guys....

I need a new cordless phone for home use.... one that has an
integrated phone answering machine too.

What abt those Panasonic models.... the 2.4 Extreme series

http://www.prodcat.panasonic.com/shop/listmodels.asp?categoryid=1969

If not them... what abt the Uniden "Tru" series.... again
2.4 gig

706. Re: Best non-scannable cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 19:45:23 UTC
From: Chris <ck@ckradio.net>
Message-ID: <3B2E5A53.BC2813F2@ckradio.net>
Source record: 64024

STAY AWAY FROM 2.4MHz Panasonics. I bought their top of the line and exchanged it four times. It just doesn't work. Short range, noises and it heats up in two minutes. The anwering mashine part of it is great. The phone sucks! I made the mistake of throwing the box away so no return, only exchange. I have three friends who have the same phone and the same problems. My $20 900MHz Uniden goes a block away and no problems. The Panasonic goes 5' and the problems start. The phone gets very hot on the ear to the point that I can't use it. Then all these noises start everytime. Good Luck!

applseed@nemonet.com wrote:

> Hi guys....
>
> I need a new cordless phone for home use.... one that has an
> integrated phone answering machine too.
>
> What abt those Panasonic models.... the 2.4 Extreme series
>
> http://www.prodcat.panasonic.com/shop/listmodels.asp?categoryid=1969
>
> If not them... what abt the Uniden "Tru" series.... again
> 2.4 gig

707. Re: Best non-scannable cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 20:49:56 UTC
From: applseed@nemonet.com
Message-ID: <4bqsito75db4n6qqvffkq05u937eae9jk6@4ax.com>
Source record: 64025

>STAY AWAY FROM 2.4MHz Panasonics. I bought their top of the line and
>exchanged it four times. It just doesn't work. Short range, noises and
>it heats up in two minutes. The anwering mashine part of it is great.
>The phone sucks! I made the mistake of throwing the box away so no
>return, only exchange. I have three friends who have the same phone and
>the same problems. My $20 900MHz Uniden goes a block away and no
>problems. The Panasonic goes 5' and the problems start. The phone
>gets very hot on the ear to the point that I can't use it. Then all
>these noises start everytime. Good Luck!

OK... but what to buy? Unidens line up maybe?

708. Re: Best non-scannable cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 21:42:47 UTC
From: jglas@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2677-3B2E75D7-6@storefull-157.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64027

I haven't had any problems with my Pana-
sonic KX-TG2560S long range unit. I haven't had it long but have run it
thru the
test. I even took it in the car with me about a 1/4 mile away and dialed
the time and temperature it worked great.

709. FRS Radio Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 21:49:34 UTC
From: jglas@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2674-3B2E776E-100@storefull-157.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 64028

Are there any mods to kick up the transmitting power on these radios?

710. Re: More Cayman

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 22:06:43 UTC
From: Kelby Dickey <kdickey@kc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3B2E7B87.403FFCB5@kc.rr.com>
Source record: 64026

I didnt take my scanner while i was there but I bet I would have heard some pretty neat stuff. We went scuba diving at Cayman Brac and Grand Cayman durring pirates week.

If any finds out if it is ok to take a scanner along please pass that onto me so that I can take mine along in Jan. and any freq's you find..

thanks

Kelby

Martin wrote:

> zane wrote:
> >
> > I noted in the previous post that I am going to Grand Cayman.What can I
> > expect in the shortwave range?Thanks again.
>
> Real quiet in the Caymans, local marine stuff etc. Cable TV on the
> island
> along with US dish TV. USD = .83 Cayman. If you have a ham license you
> need
> a recip before importing stuff into the caymans. Don't know about
> scanners
> or other stuff.
>
> Martin N5PZJ

711. Re: R8500 Software..help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 22:32:10 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <KjvX6.97783$DG1.15725677@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 64029

Click here...
http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/widerxvr/3765scrn.html

Bill Crocker

"Mr Malcolm C Hedley" <kayakkhan@bigpond.com> wrote in message
news:0inX6.71846$hV3.126949@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> Hi,
> Does anyone have links or software for computer control of the Icom R8500?
> All help appreciated.
> Mal
>
>

712. Re: Best non-scannable cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 22:36:26 UTC
From: FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <KhvX6.18267$Vl2.854112@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 64030

The Panasonic ones use a 900MHz link, get the Uniden one

<applseed@nemonet.com> wrote in message
news:r8fsitolb528tuor0rsla6ccbt0i2nj2oa@4ax.com...
Hi guys....

I need a new cordless phone for home use.... one that has an
integrated phone answering machine too.

What abt those Panasonic models.... the 2.4 Extreme series

http://www.prodcat.panasonic.com/shop/listmodels.asp?categoryid=1969

If not them... what abt the Uniden "Tru" series.... again
2.4 gig

713. Re: Which Pro-92 model??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 22:47:33 UTC
From: No Way <NoWay@excitemenot.com>
Message-ID: <9yvX6.16550$aV1.1721047@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 64031

B model is made in China
A is the best - made in Japan

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:0d5X6.90858$DG1.14874204@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> You want the "B" version. If you look at the back of the scanner itself,
> (not the box), and find the Radio Shack catalog number, you should see the
> suffix "B" after it, i.e.; #20-522[B]. That indicates it's the latest,
and
> greatest.
>
> It's on sale right now @ $249.99
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
> "Vic Martinez" <t1000@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:13900-3B2C42B4-247@storefull-151.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > OK, so I've decided on the '92. Which model am I looking for now? Tips
> > on serial no. to look for, etc. any help appreciated. Vic.
> >
>
>
>

714. Re: Best non-scannable cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-18 23:24:48 UTC
From: applseed@nemonet.com
Message-ID: <uc3tit490hooc2o9ffrdne1sujcti2pr82@4ax.com>
Source record: 64032

>I haven't had any problems with my Pana-
>sonic KX-TG2560S long range unit. I haven't had it long but have run it
>thru the
>test. I even took it in the car with me about a 1/4 mile away and dialed
>the time and temperature it worked great.

I am not so much concerned abt range.... as I am
security.

I want something that is hard or impossible to scan

715. Re: Uniden Battery Packs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 00:11:51 UTC
From: aa2as@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010618201151.01970.00000491@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 64033

..and how about some for a 3000xlt
the pack has 3 batteries no id 2 of them larger than a AA and the third half
the size...i would rebuilt it if i knew what the ratings where for these

716. Re: Best non-scannable cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 00:50:03 UTC
From: mamaspajamas <yougottadelthisx@alexis.com>
Message-ID: <9gm787$822$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64035

OK, I am dying with suspense,

just what was the TEMPERATURE!?

<jglas@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:2677-3B2E75D7-6@storefull-157.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> I haven't had any problems with my Pana-
> sonic KX-TG2560S long range unit. I haven't had it long but have run it
> thru the
> test. I even took it in the car with me about a 1/4 mile away and dialed
> the time and temperature it worked great.
>

717. Re: One Mighty Deranged PRO-43

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 00:55:41 UTC
From: mamaspajamas <yougottadelthisx@alexis.com>
Message-ID: <9gm7ipgqc1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64034
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Same thing happened to my aunt. 3 days later she was abducted by aliens in Police uniforms. Right now they are doing strange exploratory experiments on her body, I hope you don't suffer the same fate!

718. Scanner Frequencies for Walt Disney World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 01:17:25 UTC
From: tomchrz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010618211725.08451.00000920@ng-ma1.aol.com>
Source record: 64036

Anyone with a list of frequencies for the park?

719. Re: FRS Radio Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 02:43:13 UTC
From: go4tcross@cs.comspamnot
Message-ID: <20010618224313.26432.00001962@ng-xb1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 64037

>> Are there any mods to kick up the transmitting power on these radios?
>
>Hold on.......excuse me for a
>minute..........HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA..........
>............Ok, I'm done.
>

If I may explain while he is catching his breath. FRS radios are made so as to resist any modifications. Notice a difference between FRS and CB or Ham antennas? The FRS is not removable. This is so you cannot hook it up to a signal amplifier or other device. I use FRS radios, and have a question for you. Why? Don't they serve their purpose? Lisence-free, 14 channels, relatively inexpensive. Want more? Get a Ham lisence.

Luke Hoffmann
Go4tcross@cs.com

"Bum? I am not a bum! I'm a jerk!"

720. Re: Scanner Frequencies for Walt Disney World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 02:56:26 UTC
From: Michael Harpe <mike@ple-technology.com>
Message-ID: <B754379A.4A6D%mike@ple-technology.com>
Source record: 64038

in article 20010618211725.08451.00000920@ng-ma1.aol.com, TomChrz at tomchrz@aol.com wrote on 6/18/01 9:17 PM:

> Anyone with a list of frequencies for the park?

This has come up before. They really don't like scanners there. There have been numerous stories on here about people being asked to leave when found with a scanner. The security there is very tight and over 90% of it is plainclothes people and cameras. I remember seeing a sign with a list of things they don't want you to have. I am sure a scanner was one of them.

Before you Civil Libertarians lather up, remember that Walt Disney World is PRIVATE PROPERTY. They make the rules and you follow them. They take this real seriously.

Mike Harpe, N4PLE

721. Re: Best non-scannable cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 03:02:29 UTC
From: Ted Eselgroth <ted@eselgroth.com>
Message-ID: <3B2EC0C5.3EBA5CEC@eselgroth.com>
Source record: 64039

I've been VERY happy with several rebuilt Uniden 900mhz digital cordless phones I've bought thru...

http://www.uniden.com/docs/store/itemlist.cfm?cattype=prd&prodcatselect=45

Enjoy! --TE

722. Re: Scanner in Western Europe / England

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 03:05:41 UTC
From: James Hazell <studio@441creative.com>
Message-ID: <njzX6.33948$A45.5188907@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 64041

England...

The bottom line apparently, after discussion with the police, is that as along as there are no 'sensitive' frequencies in memory you are OK. As for the scanners that have a password protected memory area - no good, they can get passed that. Best bet is to locate the factory reset button and use that if 'questioned'.

Don't expect much from 800-950 though. Nearly all our mobile telephony is digital now.

Have fun...

"Steven" <zankers@hutch.com.au> wrote in message news:3b2bf11b.2853971@news.orangemail.com.au...
> I'm thinking of taking a scanner and using it in England, but first I
> will be visiting France and Austria. Dont know the language, so it
> will remain turned off in those countries, but it will still be in my
> posession.
>
> In England, however, I intend to use it. What are the legal
> implications for possession and/or use in all 3?
>
> FYI: It covers 500khz to 1300mhz unbroken.
>
> TIA
> Steven
>

723. Re: Scanner Frequencies for Walt Disney World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 03:06:17 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9gmfjo$m6h$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 64040

Revolution in the Magic Kingdom, what a concept...

--
Protect Your Rights -- Fight UCITA
http://www.4cite.org

"Michael Harpe" <mike@ple-technology.com> wrote in message
news:B754379A.4A6D%mike@ple-technology.com...
} in article 20010618211725.08451.00000920@ng-ma1.aol.com, TomChrz at
} tomchrz@aol.com wrote on 6/18/01 9:17 PM:
}
} > Anyone with a list of frequencies for the park?
}
} This has come up before. They really don't like scanners there. There have
} been numerous stories on here about people being asked to leave when found
} with a scanner. The security there is very tight and over 90% of it is
} plainclothes people and cameras. I remember seeing a sign with a list of
} things they don't want you to have. I am sure a scanner was one of them.
}
} Before you Civil Libertarians lather up, remember that Walt Disney World is
} PRIVATE PROPERTY. They make the rules and you follow them. They take this
} real seriously.
}
} Mike Harpe, N4PLE
}
}
}
}
}

724. Re: More Cayman

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 03:54:34 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B2ECCE5.1E9DDBE9@home.com>
Source record: 64042

A lot of the traffic is on one or two 800MHz SMR trunked systems.
Cellular is apparently also a cost-effective way of conducting business,
and was fun to monitor, as occasionally was the VHF Marine Band &
VHF/UHF counternarcotics frequencies (which no, I'm not sharing).

Kelby Dickey wrote:
>
> I didnt take my scanner while i was there but I bet I would have heard some
> pretty neat stuff. We went scuba diving at Cayman Brac and Grand Cayman
> durring pirates week.
>
> If any finds out if it is ok to take a scanner along please pass that onto
> me so that I can take mine along in Jan. and any freq's you find..
>
> thanks
>
> Kelby
>
> Martin wrote:
>
> > zane wrote:
> > >
> > > I noted in the previous post that I am going to Grand Cayman.What can I
> > > expect in the shortwave range?Thanks again.
> >
> > Real quiet in the Caymans, local marine stuff etc. Cable TV on the
> > island
> > along with US dish TV. USD = .83 Cayman. If you have a ham license you
> > need
> > a recip before importing stuff into the caymans. Don't know about
> > scanners
> > or other stuff.
> >
> > Martin N5PZJ

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

725. Re: Scanner Frequencies for Walt Disney World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 04:28:50 UTC
From: "Dan B (Scanner Nuts)" <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <9uAX6.14547$9r1.1396237@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 64044

Yeah, but they want the homosexuals to come there! Gay week and all.

No scanners but you can bring a same sex partner.

No thanks! I will not go to the Queer Kingdom.

--
The Scanner Nuts! ☺
http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
0ver 330 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
New and Old.... bring back the memories.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

> "Michael Harpe" wrote
> } > Anyone with a list of frequencies for the park?

> } This has come up before. They really don't like scanners there. There
have
> } been numerous stories on here about people being asked to leave when
found
> } with a scanner. The security there is very tight and over 90% of it is
> } plainclothes people and cameras. I remember seeing a sign with a list of
> } things they don't want you to have. I am sure a scanner was one of them.
> }
> } Before you Civil Libertarians lather up, remember that Walt Disney World
is
> } PRIVATE PROPERTY. They make the rules and you follow them. They take
this
> } real seriously.
> }
> } Mike Harpe, N4PLE

726. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 04:29:08 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <kwAX6.2146$Wh5.3522@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 64043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

Please, tell me this clown isn't a typical Aussie!

"me@me" <me@me.com> wrote in message
news:3b2e3754$0$25490$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au...
> I recall some great discussions on this group over the last two year U
> remember the Famous software DVP buster , then we had Eric SMITH Motorola.
>
> well I say it again Scanning is a dead hobby the government knows it cant
> outlaw them so they simply increase their budgets to allow Police and
other
> groups to get richer , and not have to put up with any one eaves
dropping
> on them at all . it so what a call win win loose situation ,
>
> They win Privacy I win big contracts and you fuckwits loose , mmmmmmm
> rock on the super scanner it want happen wankers,.
>
> stay tuned for the predicted response and showing of incredible
> intelligence.
>

727. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 04:44:50 UTC
From: Gavin J <phoenix5@bigpond.net.au>
Message-ID: <6NAX6.141935$ff.1080947@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 64045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

he looks like a typical wanker
M. Schneider <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:kwAX6.2146$Wh5.3522@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Please, tell me this clown isn't a typical Aussie!
>
> "me@me" <me@me.com> wrote in message
> news:3b2e3754$0$25490$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au...
> > I recall some great discussions on this group over the last two year U
> > remember the Famous software DVP buster , then we had Eric SMITH
Motorola.
> >
> > well I say it again Scanning is a dead hobby the government knows it
cant
> > outlaw them so they simply increase their budgets to allow Police and
> other
> > groups to get richer , and not have to put up with any one eaves
> dropping
> > on them at all . it so what a call win win loose situation ,
> >
> > They win Privacy I win big contracts and you fuckwits loose ,
mmmmmmm
> > rock on the super scanner it want happen wankers,.
> >
> > stay tuned for the predicted response and showing of incredible
> > intelligence.
> >
>
>
>

728. Re: Optoelectronics Mini-Scout and Smart>>Link Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 05:12:52 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <obBX6.83691$aA5.492226@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 64046

The auction for this frequency counter and Smart Link cable ends today.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1247453034

John Habbinga, KC5ZRQ

729. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 05:21:00 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <ebXYB#H#AHA.269@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 63947
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

I read there is going to be some problem with the digital add-on or whatever. Seems there is a patent on the coding ? and if I read it right...MIT has it. Or it's a Motorolla patent. anyway...the article I may have saved or printed out. They are saying that whoever makes the answer will have to pay the piper before it can be sold on the open RS exchange....

attitude guy

--

"Patrick Oakes" <coriander@gmx.net> wrote in message news:vshlitct4qi9dlfoqfgor7cd9p7feg45h2@4ax.com...
> Now with all the rumours about some manufacturers introducing digital
> scanners soon, does anybody have any idea what will these scanners
> actually do? (or should be able to?)
>
> I mean, specifically what modes or types of signals they should be
> able to receive, what frequencies or modulation modes, etc.
>
> Will their only difference be in that they will be able to receive
> such digitally coded signals, or will there be also some operational
> differences, for example in the search functions etc.?
>
> And finally, will these scanners be in fact legally sold to public?
>
> Pat
>

730. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 06:24:45 UTC
From: Brian W Edginton <edgo1@dingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <oortit45h1q0i8chkrljms2aj5cma635m9@4ax.com>
Source record: 63948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

On Mon, 18 Jun 2001 23:29:08 -0500, "M. Schneider"
<markinokla@juno.com> wrote:

>Please, tell me this clown isn't a typical Aussie!

Why?
And you see yourself as a "typical" ......what?
Nah......not a Yank ??
Be honest...there are no "typicals". Just individuals.

brianWE

Smart people should be grateful there are dumb
people like us...
If it wasn't for us, they would only be average.

731. R8500 Software..help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 06:36:05 UTC
From: Mr Malcolm C Hedley <kayakkhan@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <0inX6.71846$hV3.126949@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 64016

Hi,
Does anyone have links or software for computer control of the Icom R8500?
All help appreciated.
Mal

732. Re: Anyone have Southern California Freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 08:08:23 UTC
From: warrior <warrior5@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B2F08B4.869F5570@gte.net>
Source record: 63949

well after The Lakers Parade LAPD went Digital and u cant hear them at all
only on 154.830

Frizz wrote:

> Anyone know any links for Southern California PD, fire, ambulance or
> anything else of interest? This is for a friend who is blind and tried
> searching on the net and could not find anything. Thanks!!!

733. LAPD Gone Digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 08:13:39 UTC
From: warrior <warrior5@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B2F09F0.4D427169@gte.net>
Source record: 63950
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

after the parade for the lakers LAPD went Digital so u can only hear
154.830

734. MIDLAND CT-79

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 11:06:34 UTC
From: DYNO <dyno7@lycos.com>
Message-ID: <secuits7e4ccoku2pg38vg9il27v8p25gh@4ax.com>
Source record: 63951

NEED HELP FOR MIDLAND MODEL CT-79
THANKS..

735. Re: Best non-scannable cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 11:07:54 UTC
From: jglas@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1155-3B2F328A-120@storefull-158.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63952

The spread spectrum wide band tech- nology allowed by the FCC makes this unit second to none as far as privacy. I have tried on several occassions to mon- itor mine with a UBC9000 with no luck.

736. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 11:28:06 UTC
From: signalone@dingoblue.net.au
Message-ID: <3b2f3672.4252219@news.dingoblue.net.au>
Source record: 63953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

Actually the patent on the coding is public, and not propietry. So no
one has ot pay anyone for the patent. It is like this so that many
companies can make radios for public agencies to use. The idea of this
digital standard is for public saftey. Making the patent public makes
it much chepaer and affordable for government agencies to addpot its
use.
And to the idiot who thinks scanning is dead, little does he realise
is that there are people around already who are listening to digital
transmissions, on not only the police, but other government users who
use APCO25 astro. If he htinks scanning is dead, he is only kidding
himself, but looking at the degree o fthe posting he sent out, a moron
like this would have a closed mind on the subject.
He is like the idiots who once said man would never fly, or go to the
moon. Pull your head in.

Davo

On Mon, 18 Jun 2001 22:21:00 -0700, "newknews"
<newknews@email.msn.com> wrote:

>I read there is going to be some problem with the digital add-on or
>whatever. Seems there is a patent on the coding ? and if I read it
>right...MIT has it. Or it's a Motorolla patent. anyway...the article I may
>have saved or printed out. They are saying that whoever makes the answer
>will have to pay the piper before it can be sold on the open RS exchange....
>
>attitude guy
>
>
>--
>
>"Patrick Oakes" <coriander@gmx.net> wrote in message
>news:vshlitct4qi9dlfoqfgor7cd9p7feg45h2@4ax.com...
>> Now with all the rumours about some manufacturers introducing digital
>> scanners soon, does anybody have any idea what will these scanners
>> actually do? (or should be able to?)
>>
>> I mean, specifically what modes or types of signals they should be
>> able to receive, what frequencies or modulation modes, etc.
>>
>> Will their only difference be in that they will be able to receive
>> such digitally coded signals, or will there be also some operational
>> differences, for example in the search functions etc.?
>>

>> And finally, will these scanners be in fact legally sold to public?
>>
>> Pat
>>
>
>

737. Antenna Hookup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 11:37:31 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9gndi8$6r2$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63954

Please excuse the newbie question from a newbie user..

I'm getting a "base/mobile" scanner (RS PRO-2066) which has a BNC on the back (not top) of the unit. I also have a whip antenna (RS 20-006) with a BNC. So how do I make the right-angle connection to get the antenna oriented vertically? Can I just use a regular 50-Ohm thinnet T-connector? If so, would I install a terminator on the other side?

Thanks for any help.

738. Re: Scanner Frequencies for Walt Disney World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 11:40:58 UTC
From: Kevin <Kvandeutekom@fourthphase.com>
Message-ID: <eTGX6.17834$aV1.1872584@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63955

www.qsl.net/cflg

"TomChrz" <tomchrz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010618211725.08451.00000920@ng-ma1.aol.com...
> Anyone with a list of frequencies for the park?

739. Re: Best non-scannable cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 11:57:47 UTC
From: Chuck Cheese <chuck@cheese.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1597b9cda7bfddda9896d4@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63956

In article <r8fsitolb528tuor0rsla6ccbt0i2nj2oa@4ax.com>,
applseed@nemonet.com says...
> Hi guys....
>
As long as the phone uses digital spread spectrum a scanner won't
pick it up.

I have AT&T phones that operate at 2.4ghz with frequency hopping digital
spread spectrum.

I'm safe from the average person listening on my boring conversations.

> I need a new cordless phone for home use.... one that has an
> integrated phone answering machine too.
>
> What abt those Panasonic models.... the 2.4 Extreme series
>
> http://www.prodcat.panasonic.com/shop/listmodels.asp?categoryid=1969
>
> If not them... what abt the Uniden "Tru" series.... again
> 2.4 gig
>

740. WTB:Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 12:39:40 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <NKHX6.334$34.372685@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 63958

im looking for an inexpensive..(under 100.00) scanner that will receive
public service at 800MHZ..not interested in cell
thanks
monte k9dzd@iquest.net

741. Re: WTB:Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 12:43:55 UTC
From: meatchief@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3b2ff26d$0$14449$9ba61822@news.pclink.com>
Source record: 63988

Uniden has better prices on their website- the factory refurbished
units, that come with a limited warranty.

On Wed, 20 Jun 2001 00:03:31 GMT, "Eric" <hcsSPAM@gs.verio.net> wrote:

>http://www.bills2way.com/equip/scanners.html
>
>

742. Re: Antenna Hookup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 12:45:07 UTC
From: "D. Ruhe" <ruhe@pitt.edu>
Message-ID: <3B2F4953.ADCF07E4@pitt.edu>
Source record: 63959

Frank,

Go to your local Radio Shack tell them you need a right angle BNC
connector see
the following. The tee will work but I think this would be a better
choice.

http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F005%5F003
%5F001%5F002&product%5Fid=278%2D116

--Dan-- KE3UC

Frank wrote:

> Please excuse the newbie question from a newbie user..
>
> I'm getting a "base/mobile" scanner (RS PRO-2066) which has a
> BNC on the back (not top) of the unit. I also have a whip antenna
> (RS 20-006) with a BNC. So how do I make the right-angle
> connection to get the antenna oriented vertically? Can I just use a
> regular 50-Ohm thinnet T-connector? If so, would I install a
> terminator on the other side?
>
> Thanks for any help.

743. Re: Best non-scannable cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 12:49:25 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <peiuit86eksn15kfc97dsi3rjqm0eo80pq@4ax.com>
Source record: 63957

On Tue, 19 Jun 2001 06:07:54 -0500 (CDT), jglas@webtv.net wrote:

>The spread spectrum wide band tech- nology allowed by the FCC makes this
>unit second to none as far as privacy. I
>have tried on several occassions to mon-
>itor mine with a UBC9000 with no luck.

Watch out. Several folks on this news group have reported the ability
to monitor these phones despite the "spread spectrum" label.

Whether this is due to radiation from inside the unit, or whether this
is because only one direction is spread spectrum (!) I don't know.

Also Beware of the fact that 2.4 GHz is NO better than 900 MHz spread
spectrum and it may suffer from interference from the likes of your
microwave oven where a 900 MHz model may not.

Caveat Emtor

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

744. Re: Antenna Hookup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 12:59:16 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9gnibh$tp7$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63960

Thanks Dan, that's exactly what I was looking for!

"D. Ruhe" <ruhe@pitt.edu> wrote in message news:3B2F4953.ADCF07E4@pitt.edu...
} Frank,
}
} Go to your local Radio Shack tell them you need a right angle BNC
} connector see
} the following. The tee will work but I think this would be a better
} choice.
}
} http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F005%5F0
03%5F001%5F002&product%5Fid=278%2D116
}
} --Dan-- KE3UC
}
} Frank wrote:
}
} > Please excuse the newbie question from a newbie user..
} >
} > I'm getting a "base/mobile" scanner (RS PRO-2066) which has a
} > BNC on the back (not top) of the unit. I also have a whip antenna
} > (RS 20-006) with a BNC. So how do I make the right-angle
} > connection to get the antenna oriented vertically? Can I just use a
} > regular 50-Ohm thinnet T-connector? If so, would I install a
} > terminator on the other side?
} >
} > Thanks for any help.
}

745. Re: israeli frequancies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 13:25:29 UTC
From: darklybrite@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010619092529.10989.00000012@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 63962

>Where can i find a list of frequancies in ISRAEL?>

You'll be lucky if you get a scanner past customs.

746. Re: FRS Radio Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 13:27:09 UTC
From: familyradio@att.net
Message-ID: <Xns90C5602D0B56Ffamilyradioattnet@204.127.36.1>
Source record: 63963

jglas@webtv.net wrote in news:2674-3B2E776E-100@storefull-157.iap.bryant.webtv.net:

> Are there any mods to kick up the transmitting power on these radios?
>
>

No. FRS radios use surface mounted components. There are no variable capacitors or resistors to tweak.

--
John L. Wilkerson Jr. <familyradio@att.net>
Supporting Family Two Way Radio Communications.. See websites:
http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
FRS Mailing list: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/frs

747. Re: Best non-scannable cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 13:35:35 UTC
From: MiamiXJ <miamixj@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <MxIX6.15987$9r1.1432124@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 63964

AT&T phones are good. I got a pair of 2.4 Ghz at COSTCO and am very happy with range, voice quality, build and price. I have been unable to hear anything using AOR-8000 or even pickup a frequency "hit" using Optoelectronicts Scout so they seem to be using a true 2.4 Ghz frequencies.

"Chuck Cheese" <chuck@cheese.net> wrote in message news:MPG.1597b9cda7bfddda9896d4@news.earthlink.net...
> In article <r8fsitolb528tuor0rsla6ccbt0i2nj2oa@4ax.com>,
> applseed@nemonet.com says...
> > Hi guys....
> >
> As long as the phone uses digital spread spectrum a scanner won't
> pick it up.
>
> I have AT&T phones that operate at 2.4ghz with frequency hopping digital
> spread spectrum.
>
> I'm safe from the average person listening on my boring conversations.
>
>
> > I need a new cordless phone for home use.... one that has an
> > integrated phone answering machine too.
> >
> > What abt those Panasonic models.... the 2.4 Extreme series
> >
> > http://www.prodcat.panasonic.com/shop/listmodels.asp?categoryid=1969
> >
> > If not them... what abt the Uniden "Tru" series.... again
> > 2.4 gig
> >

748. Great ham sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 13:59:33 UTC
From: foghorn in the channel <kostierob@aol.com>
Message-ID: <9VIX6.19615$Kq4.1824061@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63965
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

perfect sites for a couple of ham op's I can think of.....

www.whitetrashworld.com

http://www.drbukk.com/gmhom/park.html

http://pub55.ezboard.com/fuofcrantsfrm1.showMessage?topicID=278.topic

749. Re: Best non-scannable cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 14:32:43 UTC
From: applseed@nemonet.com
Message-ID: <vjouit8d16u0g5lv701p84qs9bqm09jsgj@4ax.com>
Source record: 63966

>The spread spectrum wide band tech- nology allowed by the FCC makes this
>unit second to none as far as privacy. I
>have tried on several occassions to mon-
>itor mine with a UBC9000 with no luck.

Which phone are you talking abt?

750. Re: Best non-scannable cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 14:56:53 UTC
From: applseed@nemonet.com
Message-ID: <oipuit0vq5hgfuof09ctm8nd9tcvp1cagv@4ax.com>
Source record: 63967

>Watch out. Several folks on this news group have reported the ability
>to monitor these phones despite the "spread spectrum" label.

Yeah..... I am aware of that.... that is why I am asking
what to buy. <G>

>Also Beware of the fact that 2.4 GHz is NO better than 900 MHz spread
>spectrum and it may suffer from interference from the likes of your
>microwave oven where a 900 MHz model may not.

I know..... but it is getting impossible to find 900 mhz
phones with any FEATURES now days! <G>

I really do NOT like the idea of having a 2.4 gig xmitter up
near my brain tho! <G>

751. Re: Which Pro-92 model??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 16:12:49 UTC
From: pelikandrp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010619121249.03455.00000014@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 63968

B model has all the corrected software...mine says Japan

"if you love a man's garden...you gotta love the man"
-Frank Serpico

752. Icom R3 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 16:16:05 UTC
From: inkaddict@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010619121605.03607.00000025@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 63969

How do I scan all the video freq. I have the manual but I am still can't do it.
Can anyone help?
Bob

753. Re: LAPD Gone Digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 16:34:15 UTC
From: Larry Levy <levysNOSpam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <eovuitocojpbc86kvp0h0gllfhs4s2h69l@4ax.com>
Source record: 63970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm hoping that this isn't the "big move" to digital, but I am not
betting against it.

For more info on the new LAPD radio system, as well as a great history
of the department's communications, check out Harry Marnell's site:
http://www.snowcrest.net/marnells/kma367.htm

-Larry

On Tue, 19 Jun 2001 08:13:39 GMT, warrior <warrior5@gte.net> wrote:

>after the parade for the lakers LAPD went Digital so u can only hear
>154.830

http://u1.netgate.net/~kirby34/rsg/levyl.htm
http://www.geocities.com/levys2/ham.html
Remove "NoSpam" for email

754. RE: Memorex (Uniden?) Scannocular Information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 16:35:14 UTC
From: "J. Scott Branscum" <no_spam@usa.net>
Message-ID: <6bLX6.539$PF2.177507@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 63971
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

From J. Scott Branscum:
Okay, I have purchased one on Ebay, and am waiting for shipment. Leaving
town with it and will let you know how it works after July 9th. I plan to
use it for the Nascar Daytona Beach Winston Cup Pepsi 400 race on July 7th.

From Soliloquy:
It's certainly different. I didn't know that they made such a thing. Please
post the results of your findings.

In article <oG6X6.4800$mQ2.256962@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>, "J. Scott
Branscum"
<no_spam@usa.net> wrote:
>Hello,
> I have recently discovered the Memorex (Uniden?) Scannocular, and am
>interested in buying the product if it can perform as advertised. Can
>anyone with knowledge or experience with this product contact me by email
>with their personal experience? www.strongsignals.net doesn't have much
>info, and I would like to find a review of the product, but searches on the
>net are coming up with nothing. I would like to use the unit for sporting
>events like Nascar and Air shows, and local listening. I like the 800 Mhz
>capability too.
>You can see what I'm talking about at:
>http://www.shoplifestyleonline.com/sc001.html
>Thanks,
>Scott
>js.branscumnospam@verizon.net
>Remove nospam in above email address to reply to me directly.
>
>

755. Re: FRS Radio Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 16:51:03 UTC
From: (unknown)
Message-ID: <3b2f81d5.3419665@news.charter.net>
Source record: 63972

Maybe not, but I saw a GMRS/FRS radio at Walmart for $55. Covers the same ? frequencies with 2 watts instead of 1/2 watt. You are required to get a license, to be legal.

On Mon, 18 Jun 2001 16:49:34 -0500 (CDT), jglas@webtv.net wrote:

>Are there any mods to kick up the transmitting power on these radios?
>

756. help me catch up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 17:50:55 UTC
From: Scott Shade <pooch2@pikenet.net>
Message-ID: <nhMX6.53350$Ne5.2474878@e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 63973

Hello folks. My name is Scott Shade. My ham call sign is kb9dlz. I have a few questions if someone can help me out. One, I'm living in a rural area, and want to get a vary accurate list of frequencies for this area. Its for pittsfield, Illinois, and I need everthing for all fire ambulance and paramedic services as well as all police and civil defense and air frequencies. Also, I have a scanner but, Its about six years old and I know that There's been a lot of advances made in scanners since then. Is there a website I can go to to learn more about the latest scanning technology available. My scanner just covers from 29.00 to 512.00 and covers the air craft band from 118.0 to 136.0.
Can someone bring me up to speed on the latest developements please, I'd really appreciate it.
Thanks a lot,
Scott.

757. Re: Scanner Frequencies for Walt Disney World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 18:21:17 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010619142117.14008.00000078@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 63974

In article <B754379A.4A6D%mike@ple-technology.com>, Michael Harpe
<mike@ple-technology.com> writes:

>This has come up before. They really don't like scanners there. There have
>been numerous stories on here about people being asked to leave when found
>with a scanner.

I have read all the Disney literature regarding that which is not allowed.
Nowhere are scanners on the list of prohibited items. Therefore, I have
exercised my right to bring said scanners onto the premises. I have monitored
their communications on several occasions and will continue to do so. Since I
use headphones at all times, my scanning does not affect other guests. I
operate discretly and bother no one.

If a representative of Disney attempts to confiscate my scanner or have me
removed from the park, they had better be prepared for a lawsuit.

758. Re: Best non-scannable cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 19:57:39 UTC
From: outrunsho21@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010619155739.12518.00000045@ng-ma1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63975

My friend had the same problem with his Panasonic cordeless phone. It was 2.4 ghz and would work for about 2 min and then get scratchy and you couldn't even hear the person on the line.

Jason

759. FS: Watson antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 20:31:41 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010619163141.16987.00000061@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 63976

For Sale

Watson Air-Gainer "rubber ducky" antenna
Peaked for VHF & UHF airbands
$25.00 plus postage

BC245XLT leather case
This is not the wimpy Uniden case, this is thick leather like
those cases supplied with professional two-way communications equipment
$25.00 plus postage

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"Boycott Democrats, not Smith&Wesson"

760. FS: BC245XLT leather case

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 20:32:35 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010619163235.16987.00000062@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 63977

For Sale

BC245XLT leather case
This is not the wimpy Uniden case, this is thick leather like
those cases supplied with professional two-way communications equipment
$25.00 plus postage

Watson Air-Gainer "rubber ducky" antenna
Peaked for VHF & UHF airbands
$25.00 plus postage

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"Boycott Democrats, not Smith&Wesson"

761. Re: Best non-scannable cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 21:34:04 UTC
From: Chuck Cheese <chuck@cheese.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.159840dd8d149b0e9896d5@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63978

In article <peiuit86eksn15kfc97dsi3rjqm0eo80pq@4ax.com>,
frussle@erols.com says...
> On Tue, 19 Jun 2001 06:07:54 -0500 (CDT), jglas@webtv.net wrote:
>
> >The spread spectrum wide band tech- nology allowed by the FCC makes this
> >unit second to none as far as privacy. I
> >have tried on several occassions to mon-
> >itor mine with a UBC9000 with no luck.
>
> Watch out. Several folks on this news group have reported the ability
> to monitor these phones despite the "spread spectrum" label.
>
The Panasonic phones that operate at 2.4 ghz and 900 mhz are sold
as digital spread spectrum. The 2.4 ghz may be spread spectrum but
the 900 mhz is out in the clear. VERY deceptive advertising.

> Whether this is due to radiation from inside the unit, or whether this
> is because only one direction is spread spectrum (!) I don't know.
>
> Also Beware of the fact that 2.4 GHz is NO better than 900 MHz spread
> spectrum and it may suffer from interference from the likes of your
> microwave oven where a 900 MHz model may not.
>
> Caveat Emtor
>
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"
>

762. Audio Output/Lights

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 21:39:03 UTC
From: SJP <spell189@excite.com>
Message-ID: <tivhg89jp11125@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63979

I have approximately 4 scanners that I have hooked up to an old mixer and amplifier so that the audio is fed through some decent speakers. I realize it is not the ideal setup but it works.

I was wondering... (Excuse my use of electronics terms)

Would it be possible to power a small LED light with the "voltage" that is coming out of the audio output jack of a scanner? I am referring to the headphone jack since my handhelds dont have tape out jacks. I would like to have the scanner that is currently sending out audio light a small LED so I know which of the scanners is sending the audio.

Does anyone have a good web page that explains the differences between LINE / MIC / and Headphone jacks? (i.e. can you plug a headphone out jack into a MIC in jack on a mixer without causing problems?)

Any info appreciated,
Steve

763. forsale radioshack htx 200 mini 2 meter portable 100.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 21:42:24 UTC
From: Nolan Machan <nmachan1@home.com>
Message-ID: <4HPX6.290273$eK2.58875882@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 63980

```
forsale:

HTX 200 (radioshack)
2 meter mini portable
30 memories
ctcss encode and decode
scans
has mic/ear jack
takes 2 AA
comes with rubberduck and leather carrying case
almost mint - slight scuff on top 1/4"
works great

100.00US shipped
thanks
mediaguy@home.com
```

764. Re: forsale radioshack htx 200 mini 2 meter portable 100.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 21:45:42 UTC
From: Nolan Machan <nmachan1@home.com>
Message-ID: <aKPX6.290275$eK2.58884834@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 63981

Forgot to say

It transmits 142.0-149.895mhz (direct input on keypad)
and receives 136-174mhz

"Nolan Machan" <nmachan1@home.com> wrote in message
news:4HPX6.290273$eK2.58875882@news4.rdc1.on.home.com...
> forsale:
>
> HTX 200 (radioshack)
> 2 meter mini portable
> 30 memories
> ctcss encode and decode
> scans
> has mic/ear jack
> takes 2 AA
> comes with rubberduck and leather carrying case
> almost mint - slight scuff on top 1/4"
> works great
>
> 100.00US shipped
> thanks
> mediaguy@home.com
>
>
>

765. Complaint From ISP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 22:13:45 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <t8QX6.66096$mG4.31737139@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 63982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I just received an email from my ISP that says:

"We're sending this message to you in response to many complaints regarding off-charter and/or commercial Usenet postings (Subject: FS: UBC 3000XLT Handheld) sent from your @Home account. This behavior is in violation of the @Home Acceptable Use Policy and future reports of this activity could result in termination of your @Home services. If you would like further information about the @Home AUP, it is posted at http://www.home.net/aup. The relevant section is quoted below. We will also mail you a hard copy of this communication. Thank you for your cooperation.

Newsgroups

Messages posted to newsgroups must comply with the written charters or FAQs for those newsgroups. Advertisements, solicitations, or other commercial messages should be posted only in those newsgroups whose charters or FAQs explicitly permit them. You are responsible for determining the policies of a given newsgroup before posting to it.

Posting or cross-posting the same or substantially similar messages to more than 9 newsgroups is prohibited. Our news software will automatically cancel any messages posted to nine or more newsgroups.

Forging, altering or removing header information is prohibited. This includes attempting to circumvent the approval process for posting to a moderated newsgroup."

As far as I remember I only advertised this item one time in the newsgroups.
Any help appreciated. Thanks!
DeWayne

766. Re: Complaint From ISP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 22:34:37 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <1sQX6.101033$DG1.16455432@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Don't sweat it DeWayne. There are some cry babies in these newsgroups that tried to drive people out, that were posting Ebay Auction items. When that didn't work, they started reporting people to their ISP's, even when posting "for sale" items!

Truth of the matter, @Home doesn't know what the Hell is going on in their own company, let alone what's right, wrong, or acceptable in the UseNet newsgroups. It's a canned boiler-plate warning notice that serves no purpose. They're too lazy, and inexperienced to figure out what's really going on.

What do you think is more important to @Home, a $480.00/year paying customer, or a newsgroup cry baby?

Bill Crocker

"DeWayne" <ke9k@home.com> wrote in message
news:t8QX6.66096$mG4.31737139@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> I just received an email from my ISP that says:
>
> "We're sending this message to you in response to many complaints
> regarding off-charter and/or commercial Usenet postings (Subject: FS:
> UBC 3000XLT Handheld) sent from your @Home account. This behavior is in
> violation of the @Home Acceptable Use Policy and future reports of this
> activity could result in termination of your @Home services. If you
> would like further information about the @Home AUP, it is posted at
> http://www.home.net/aup. The relevant section is quoted below. We will
> also mail you a hard copy of this communication. Thank you for your
> cooperation.
>
> Newsgroups
>
> Messages posted to newsgroups must comply with the written charters or
> FAQs for those newsgroups. Advertisements, solicitations, or other
> commercial messages should be posted only in those newsgroups whose
> charters or FAQs explicitly permit them. You are responsible for
> determining the policies of a given newsgroup before posting to it.
>
> Posting or cross-posting the same or substantially similar messages to
> more than 9 newsgroups is prohibited. Our news software will
> automatically cancel any messages posted to nine or more newsgroups.
>
> Forging, altering or removing header information is prohibited. This
> includes attempting to circumvent the approval process for posting to a

> moderated newsgroup."
>
> As far as I remember I only advertised this item one time in the
newsgroups.
> Any help appreciated. Thanks!
> DeWayne
>
>

767. need service manual for bct2 uniden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 23:47:47 UTC
From: "W. Eckart" <w.eckart@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <DwRX6.872$3d3.71140@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63984

would anyone have a copy of a service manual of a bct2 uniden service manual
or tell me where i can get one. many thanks wa eckart

768. Radio shack Pro 92 (20-522)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-19 23:54:40 UTC
From: tootrish@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010619195440.22696.00000060@ng-cv1.aol.com>
Source record: 63985

Does anyone have a pro 92 thats set with the frequencies already programmed that I could connect to mine and download The set frequencies>> in San Diego area>>?? WOuld really appreciate it if someone does. Thanx!

769. Re: WTB:Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 00:03:31 UTC
From: Eric <hcsSPAM@gs.verio.net>
Message-ID: <nLRX6.322662$oc7.30015477@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 63986

http://www.bills2way.com/equip/scanners.html

770. New Control Program for PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 00:42:55 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9gorif$o71$2@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 63987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

A friend back in Lexington sent me a URL for a "new" control program for the Icom PCR1000. I say new, because none of us (the former central Ky SWLers club) knew about it. One of the guys knows someone who mentioned it in passing. A network engineer passed the URL on to him.
http://www.arachnoid.com/icomcontrol/index.html
A simple program that supports the DSP/IF Shift, and has 400 channels.
The frequency up/down is via the mouse buttons, and while different works "real nice".
There are several other interesting programs at this site.
I have had the PCR1000 program up and running for about 12 hours.
While it is a rather plain program, it does not hog all the resources needed by some programs.
Check it out and post your thoughts.
I have nothing to do with "arachnoid.com". This is just a nice, free program.

771. Re: FRS Radio Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 00:47:02 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9gorq6$o71$3@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 63989

In article <d4gvitcbkosiju0me5lno3i0qgil383dem@4ax.com>, thekat@san.rxyzr.com says...
>
>(Mike M) opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
>>Maybe not, but I saw a GMRS/FRS radio at Walmart for $55. Covers the
>>same ? frequencies with 2 watts instead of 1/2 watt. You are required
>>to get a license, to be legal.
>
>Those _ARE_ GMRS, not FRS, radios.
>
>
>
But, on maybe 7 of the FRS channels, they can inter-operate with FRS units.

>
>
>To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
>Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
>It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

772. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 01:27:46 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <4VSX6.21403$tl5.7291@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 63991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

Boy, yall better be smilin' REAL big when you call me a "Yank", them's
fightin' words! I'm a Southern Man, born and bred.

Mark
From the South and dam' proud of it!

"Save your Confederate money, the South will rise again!"

"Brian W Edginton" <edgo1@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
news:oortit45h1q0i8chkrljms2aj5cma635m9@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 18 Jun 2001 23:29:08 -0500, "M. Schneider"
> <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:
>
> >Please, tell me this clown isn't a typical Aussie!
>
>
> Why?
> And you see yourself as a "typical" ......what?
> Nah......not a Yank ??
> Be honest...there are no "typicals". Just individuals.
>
>
>
> brianWE
>
> Smart people should be grateful there are dumb
> people like us...
> If it wasn't for us, they would only be average.

773. Re: Complaint From ISP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 01:48:27 UTC
From: Proziac
Message-ID: <LhTX6.25663$Zt6.12447653@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 63992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I agree I got a letter from them same exact one you did then I called them
up to voice my displeasure. They dont have any idea what the Charter says
for this group or others.
Yes, lots of people are crybabies and try to make trouble for others like
this.

--
X-No-archive: yes
"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:1sQX6.101033$DG1.16455432@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Don't sweat it DeWayne. There are some cry babies in these newsgroups
that
> tried to drive people out, that were posting Ebay Auction items. When
that
> didn't work, they started reporting people to their ISP's, even when
posting
> "for sale" items!
>
> Truth of the matter, @Home doesn't know what the Hell is going on in their
> own company, let alone what's right, wrong, or acceptable in the UseNet
> newsgroups. It's a canned boiler-plate warning notice that serves no
> purpose. They're too lazy, and inexperienced to figure out what's really
> going on.
>
> What do you think is more important to @Home, a $480.00/year paying
> customer, or a newsgroup cry baby?
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "DeWayne" <ke9k@home.com> wrote in message
> news:t8QX6.66096$mG4.31737139@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> > I just received an email from my ISP that says:
> >
> > "We're sending this message to you in response to many complaints
> > regarding off-charter and/or commercial Usenet postings (Subject: FS:
> > UBC 3000XLT Handheld) sent from your @Home account. This behavior is in
> > violation of the @Home Acceptable Use Policy and future reports of this
> > activity could result in termination of your @Home services. If you
> > would like further information about the @Home AUP, it is posted at
> > http://www.home.net/aup. The relevant section is quoted below. We will
> > also mail you a hard copy of this communication. Thank you for your
> > cooperation.

> >
> > Newsgroups
> >
> > Messages posted to newsgroups must comply with the written charters or
> > FAQs for those newsgroups. Advertisements, solicitations, or other
> > commercial messages should be posted only in those newsgroups whose
> > charters or FAQs explicitly permit them. You are responsible for
> > determining the policies of a given newsgroup before posting to it.
> >
> > Posting or cross-posting the same or substantially similar messages to
> > more than 9 newsgroups is prohibited. Our news software will
> > automatically cancel any messages posted to nine or more newsgroups.
> >
> > Forging, altering or removing header information is prohibited. This
> > includes attempting to circumvent the approval process for posting to a
> > moderated newsgroup."
> >
> > As far as I remember I only advertised this item one time in the
> newsgroups.
> > Any help appreciated. Thanks!
> > DeWayne
> >
> >
>
>

774. Re: Audio Output/Lights

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 02:12:48 UTC
From: ZZZmark@whiskey-creek.net
Message-ID: <3b300457.12013674@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 63990

On Tue, 19 Jun 2001 14:39:03 -0700, "SJP" <spell189@excite.com> wrote:

>
>Would it be possible to power a small LED light with the "voltage" that is
>coming out of the audio output jack of a scanner?

Highly unlikely there will be enough signal to do it, given that an
LED drops 600 mV (.6 volts) across it. A typical HP output on a small
device is in the 200-300 mW range, with a load impedence of 8-45 Ohms.

> I would like to
>have the scanner that is currently sending out audio light a small LED so I
>know which of the scanners is sending the audio.

It could be done, but you'd have to provide some additional
amplification.
>
>Does anyone have a good web page that explains the differences between LINE
>/ MIC / and Headphone jacks? (i.e. can you plug a headphone out jack into a
>MIC in jack on a mixer without causing problems?)
>
In a nutshell...

A line input is a high impedence (generally 60-100 kOhms) input that
is expecting a signal level in the 250 mV - 10 Volt range. It is
typically unbalanced.

A mic input is a low-impedence input (usually 600 Ohms) looking for a
signal level in the 10-300 mV range. It is typically balanced (in
pro-grade gear...consumer grade is often unbalanced).

A headphone jack is an output, which is amplified typically to
somewhere around 200-500 mW per channel (if stereo) into an 8 - 45 Ohm
load.

As to the connection question, if you Must send HP out to another
device, you're much better off going into a line input. A mic input
will be easily over-driven (clipped) by a signal such as this.

Further study can be had at www.rane.com

Mark

The truth as I perceive it to be.
Your perception may be different.

Triple Z is spam control.

775. Re: New Control Program for PCR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 02:33:59 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <rYTX6.101788$DG1.16622143@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Great post!

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

"Speaker to Animals" <Dante'@inferno.net> wrote in message
news:9gorif$o71$2@news.uky.edu...
> A friend back in Lexington sent me a URL for a "new" control program for
the
> Icom PCR1000. I say new, because none of us (the former central Ky SWLers
> club) knew about it. One of the guys knows someone who mentioned it in
> passing. A network engineer passed the URL on to him.
> http://www.arachnoid.com/icomcontrol/index.html
> A simple program that supports the DSP/IF Shift, and has 400 channels.
> The frequency up/down is via the mouse buttons, and while different works
> "real nice".
> There are several other interesting programs at this site.
> I have had the PCR1000 program up and running for about 12 hours.
> While it is a rather plain program, it does not hog all the resources
> needed by some programs.
> Check it out and post your thoughts.
> I have nothing to do with "arachnoid.com". This is just a nice, free
program.
>

776. Where to get Uniden MR8100 Repaired?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 02:40:23 UTC
From: dirty_harry74@nospam.hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3b300c0e.2681469@news.microflash.com>
Source record: 63993

Uniden will no longer repair this unit.

Looking for a repair depot in Canada or the U.S. (preferably Canada).

I found a burnt resistor on the RF board and there seems to be a short in the 3M03AN ic as it gets very hot. Unfortunately the chip is surface mounted. Has anyone attempted to do a similar repair on the MR8100? Is the 3M03AN chip readily available? It appears to be made by Sharp.

Thanks in advance for your help.!

Harry

777. f.s. GE ERICSSON Mobile radio 150-174mhz 30watts 99.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 02:44:59 UTC
From: JP <brontebear@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <L6UX6.107844$r7.14361539@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 63995

Forsale:

GE ERICSSON Mobile radio

model: MVS NPH 20

FCC id: AXA9MZ -MVSH3B

30watts of power
has scan function.
I am not sure how many channels this radio can hold.
there is two in there now. and the scan works.

this radio is a 10/10
comes with mounting bracket, DC powerharness and microphone.
this is a solid radio.

99.00US includes shipping and insurance.

thanks
mediaguy@home.com

778. FS : STRIDSBERG MCA804 800 Mhz multicoupler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 03:21:51 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3b3013a4.19510876@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 63996
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am selling a STRIDSBERG MCA804 Receiver Multicoupler. This is the 800 Mhz version with a pre-amp providing 16 dB gain. Brand new in box, never used. This unit has one BNC input and FOUR (4) BNC outputs. This is professional grade equipment for use with multiple 800 Mhz receivers.

$100.00 plus $5.00 bucks shipping via USPS Priority Mail, insured. USA sales only, please. No Trades, No C.O.D.

I will accept a US Postal Money Order, PAYPAL, or BILLPOINT.
I will also take a personal check, but will wait until it clears before shipping.

My eBay user name is OFFDAN for you to check feedback.

If interested, please e-mail.

Dan
Dan

N2TYB

EBAY : OFFDAN
"The idle brain is the Devil's Playground"

779. BNC to ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 03:50:40 UTC
From: Maxwell <free@kenobiz.com>
Message-ID: <7g70jtoprcs353cidb8j4s8gkv55am0v2c@4ax.com>
Source record: 63897

i was thinking of getting the MSM-3 antenna from here.....
http://www.rfwiz.com/FireStikScannerAntennas.htm

but what and where do i get an adaptor that will make that antenna fit
onto my BNC style mobile scanner?

Thanks in advance!

780. eMap power/data connections?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 04:08:55 UTC
From: Colossus <colossus14@homeBRA.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1599ebbebeb6899e989684@news.midland1.pa.home.com>
Source record: 63899

Just bought a Garmin eMap GPS (haven't gotten it in the mail yet). I see that the power/data cable costs like $37 or so extra. Wow! I know I can make one if I can find the connector that attaches to the gps and the pin outs of the gps.

Can anyone help me with a source for the connector or pin-out data?

TIA

--
"Open Minds Shall Dominate"
Jerry Wayne Agudio
colossus14@homeBRA.com (remove BRA to reply)

781. Only hearing 1 side of conversations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 04:11:30 UTC
From: Greg <king.frost@home.com>
Message-ID: <4c80jtk4ag62qbokm1lgk1ofuu08gqnea4@4ax.com>
Source record: 63898

I've got these frequencies programmed into the ol' scanner here:

(Illinois State Police District 16)

42.4400 (Base)
42.4700 (Mobile)
155.5200 (HF)

Now many times I weill hear just one end of a conversation - usually
on the Base side, but sometimes on Mobile. I've tried flipping between
them to see if I can hear something on the other freq, but dont

The only thing I can think of is that maybe I'm hearing the signals
from the big tower at the station, and the radios in the cars aren't
strong enough to reach me?

782. Re: FRS Radio Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 04:14:53 UTC
From: newsgroupreplys@diehansonsux.com
Message-ID: <9gp7vs$ai9qb$10@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 63900

When I opened mine, all I was able to do is increase the modulation, and peak
the output frequency.

In article <Xns90C5602D0B56Ffamilyradioattnet@204.127.36.1>,
familyradio@att.net says...
>
>jglas@webtv.net wrote in news:2674-3B2E776E-100@storefull-
>157.iap.bryant.webtv.net:
>
>> Are there any mods to kick up the transmitting power on these radios?
>>
>>
>
>No. FRS radios use surface mounted components. There are no variable
>capacitors or resistors to tweak.
>
>--
>John L. Wilkerson Jr. <familyradio@att.net>
>Supporting Family Two Way Radio Communications.. See websites:
>http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
>FRS Mailing list: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/frs
>

783. Re: eMap power/data connections?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 04:26:49 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <dFVX6.21834$tl5.9336@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 63901

Go to this site http://www.pfranc.com/projects/g45contr/g45_idx.htm for what has to be one of the most unusual marketing setups you'll ever see. Garmin-style connectors at a price ANYBODY can afford! And they work very well.

Mark

"Colossus" <colossus14@homeBRA.com> wrote in message news:MPG.1599ebbebeb6899e989684@news.midland1.pa.home.com...
> Just bought a Garmin eMap GPS (haven't gotten it in the mail yet). I see
> that the power/data cable costs like $37 or so extra. Wow! I know I can
> make one if I can find the connector that attaches to the gps and the pin
> outs of the gps.
>
> Can anyone help me with a source for the connector or pin-out data?
>
> TIA
>
>
> --
> "Open Minds Shall Dominate"
> Jerry Wayne Agudio
> colossus14@homeBRA.com (remove BRA to reply)

784. Re: eMap power/data connections?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 04:26:49 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <QwVX6.21827$tI5.9020@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 63902

Go to this site http://www.pfranc.com/projects/g45contr/g45_idx.htm for what has to be one of the most unusual marketing setups you'll ever see. Garmin-style connectors at a price ANYBODY can afford! And they work very well.

Mark

"Colossus" <colossus14@homeBRA.com> wrote in message news:MPG.1599ebbebeb6899e989684@news.midland1.pa.home.com...
> Just bought a Garmin eMap GPS (haven't gotten it in the mail yet). I see
> that the power/data cable costs like $37 or so extra. Wow! I know I can
> make one if I can find the connector that attaches to the gps and the pin
> outs of the gps.
>
> Can anyone help me with a source for the connector or pin-out data?
>
> TIA
>
>
> --
> "Open Minds Shall Dominate"
> Jerry Wayne Agudio
> colossus14@homeBRA.com (remove BRA to reply)

785. Re: Only hearing 1 side of conversations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 04:43:06 UTC
From: go4tcross@cs.comspamnot
Message-ID: <20010620004306.08422.00000177@ng-cj1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63903

>
>I've got these frequencies programmed into the ol' scanner here:
>
>(Illinois State Police District 16)
>
>42.4400 (Base)
>42.4700 (Mobile)
>155.5200 (HF)
>
>Now many times I weill hear just one end of a conversation - usually
>on the Base side, but sometimes on Mobile. I've tried flipping between
>them to see if I can hear something on the other freq, but dont
>
>The only thing I can think of is that maybe I'm hearing the signals
>from the big tower at the station, and the radios in the cars aren't
>strong enough to reach me?
>
>
Sounds possible, but unlikely, since the cars must trasmit a strong enough
signal to reach the base antenna fron the perimiter of the district or farther.
Are you sure you have ALL the frequencies used? Police around here have more
than one channel they can use.

BTW, where in IL are you?> I was born in Elmhurst, IL

Luke Hoffmann
Go4tcross@cs.com

"Bum? I am not a bum! I'm a jerk!"

786. Earphone line as an antenna ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 05:02:01 UTC
From: jeanjac2@club-internet.fr
Message-ID: <3b302a5e.4644113@news.club-internet.fr>
Source record: 63904

Hi all,

Sorry, I guess this one has been asked a thousand times
but I can't retrieve the right posts using Yahoo or Copernic...

Could some of you be so kind as to remind me of the best way to
connect the earphone line to the antenna plug and which
cap is needed ? (as for a walkman...I guess you got it ..)

Thankfully

Jean Jacquemin
jeanjac2@club-internet.fr

787. Re: FRS Radio Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 05:23:53 UTC
From: tomfree <tfreeman@blueridge.net>
Message-ID: <tj0ctasvlcoe65@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63905

My Cobra PR1000 (GMRS) checks ~2W on all 15 channels when on the high power setting and ~0.5 on low power. Although at these frequencies the extra power makes very little difference in range. Terrain effects range much more than power. As someone had pointed out earlier only seven of the channels are shared with the FRS radios.

Tom

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:v160jt8a394mqv6i1t8u6sd853di9tql03@4ax.com...
> Dante'@inferno.net (Speaker to Animals) opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
> >But, on maybe 7 of the FRS channels, they can inter-operate with FRS units.
>
> Not at 2 watts they sure as heck can't!
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

788. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 06:09:44 UTC
From: Brian W Edginton <edgo1@dingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <l5f0jt8eg4tlheh56agullnuaqu9ci7gv3@4ax.com>
Source record: 63906
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

On Tue, 19 Jun 2001 22:52:41 -0700, breach
<Use-Author-Address-Header@[127.1]> wrote:

>On 20 Jun 2001, M. Schneider wrote in aus.radio.scanner:
>>Boy, yall better be smilin' REAL big when you call me a "Yank", them's
>>fightin' words! I'm a Southern Man, born and bred.
>
> Doesn't matter if you're inbred and stupid
>too. Fundamentally, you're still a yank!
>
>G

Most unkind!
But, I suppose, you could have called him a "septic tank from the
hookworm belt". :)
And we all know what we do with "fightin' words".

brianWE
To err is human...to forgive is divine.
But both can be bad habits if carried to extremes.

789. Re: R8500 Software..help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 06:18:28 UTC
From: Mr Malcolm C Hedley <kayakkhan@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <w7IX6.76350$hV3.134003@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 63961

Bill,
Thanks for the reply, I appreciate your response. I made some inquiries here, and it retails for Aus$ 249.00, a bit steep, but I paid Aus$2500 for the receiver, so I guess it's peanuts by comparison, just have to save up again I guess.
Thanks
Mal
"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message news:KjvX6.97783$DG1.15725677@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Click here...
> http://www.universal-radio.com/catalog/widerxvr/3765scrn.html
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
> "Mr Malcolm C Hedley" <kayakkhan@bigpond.com> wrote in message
> news:0inX6.71846$hV3.126949@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> > Hi,
> > Does anyone have links or software for computer control of the Icom R8500?
> > All help appreciated.
> > Mal
> >
> >
>
>

790. Re: Best non-scannable cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 09:53:18 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha61DELETE@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3b30729e$0$25469$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 63907

I use a Uniden 900MHz Digital Spread Spectrum model. You cannot monitor the transmissions in the 928mhz band with a scanner. It is reported that you can monitor DSS phones using another similar phone by switching the base unit off and then trying to make a call with the handset. The handset then auto-scans for one of 15 channels transmitted by the base unit. If your neighbour happens to have a similar phone, the hand set will lock on to its frequency and you supposedly will be able to listen in. BUT!! although you may end up on the same frequency as your neighbour, there are a possible 16,000,000 spreading codes which are applied to the signal which need to be compatible with your phone for you to hear the conversation...a likely senario!. 900MHz Digital Spread Spectrum is the way to go.

Mike

<applseed@nemonet.com> wrote in message
news:oipuit0vq5hgfuof09ctm8nd9tcvp1cagv@4ax.com...
> >Watch out. Several folks on this news group have reported the ability
> >to monitor these phones despite the "spread spectrum" label.
>
> Yeah..... I am aware of that.... that is why I am asking
> what to buy. <G>
>
>
> >Also Beware of the fact that 2.4 GHz is NO better than 900 MHz spread
> >spectrum and it may suffer from interference from the likes of your
> >microwave oven where a 900 MHz model may not.
>
>
> I know..... but it is getting impossible to find 900 mhz
> phones with any FEATURES now days! <G>
>
> I really do NOT like the idea of having a 2.4 gig xmitter up
> near my brain tho! <G>

791. Re: FRS Radio Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 10:50:01 UTC
From: familyradio@att.net
Message-ID: <Xns90C64573ADDA7familyradioattnet@204.127.36.1>
Source record: 63908

Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in
news:v160jt8a394mqv6i1t8u6sd853di9tql03@4ax.com:

> Dante'@inferno.net (Speaker to Animals) opened the coffin lid and
> croaked...
>
>>But, on maybe 7 of the FRS channels, they can inter-operate with FRS
>>units.
>
> Not at 2 watts they sure as heck can't!
>
>

On the FRS-compatible channels, the radio will automatically go down to 500
mW to be FRS rules-compliant.

--
John L. Wilkerson Jr. <familyradio@att.net>
Supporting Family Two Way Radio Communications.. See websites:
http://members.tripod.com/~jwilkers/frspage.html
FRS Mailing list: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/frs

792. FS AOR 3000A & Pro 2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 11:14:08 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010620071408.09340.00000157@ng-mm1.aol.com>
Source record: 63909

I have the following for sale:

AOR 3000A Monitor Receiver
NFM,WFM,AM,USB,LSB and CW
COVERAGE 1-- KhZ ~ 2036MZ
Manual , AC Adaptor Telescopic whip aerial
$500.00

Pro 2006 400 Ch Base with cell mod
NFM WFM AM
Orginal Antenna and radio
$230.00

Email
CWEST69521@AOL.COM

793. FS: Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT TrunkTracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 11:21:59 UTC
From: Alex - KC0AK <alexjent@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <rH%X6.77$RZ4.11842583@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT TrunkTracker in excellent condition.

Controllable from your computer.

Freq Range: 29 to 956 MHZ in 14 bands.

With manual, computer cable(serial) and antenna Shipped(CONUS) to your door
$150.

See pic at: http://pages.prodigy.net/alexjent/BC895XLT.jpg

Thanks,
Alex

KC0AK
Independence, MN 55357

794. Universal Studios Florida 900MHZ System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 11:51:52 UTC
From: Kevin <Kvandeutekom@fourthphase.com>
Message-ID: <s70Y6.22463$Kq4.2115673@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63911

Does anyone know the model of the HH Radios that are used at Universal IOA? I have monitored thier 900 mhz system and the communication dissappears when they change to channels 4 or 5. I was wondering if the radios they use have a radio to radio talkaround channel or if they may be using a conventional 400mhz channel. The model radio will tell me what freqs to look for.

Thank you.
Kevin

795. Re: Only hearing 1 side of conversations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 11:56:50 UTC
From: Kevin <Kvandeutekom@fourthphase.com>
Message-ID: <6c0Y6.22466$Kq4.2116687@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63912

Unless your antenna is as high as the base station you may not hear the
mobile units unless they are within a mile or two of your location.
"Luke Hoffmann" <go4tcross@cs.comspamnot> wrote in message
news:20010620004306.08422.00000177@ng-cj1.news.cs.com...
> >
> >I've got these frequencies programmed into the ol' scanner here:
> >
> >(Illinois State Police District 16)
> >
> >42.4400 (Base)
> >42.4700 (Mobile)
> >155.5200 (HF)
> >
> >Now many times I weill hear just one end of a conversation - usually
> >on the Base side, but sometimes on Mobile. I've tried flipping between
> >them to see if I can hear something on the other freq, but dont
> >
> >The only thing I can think of is that maybe I'm hearing the signals
> >from the big tower at the station, and the radios in the cars aren't
> >strong enough to reach me?
> >
> >
> Sounds possible, but unlikely, since the cars must trasmit a strong enough
> signal to reach the base antenna fron the perimiter of the district or
farther.
> Are you sure you have ALL the frequencies used? Police around here have
more
> than one channel they can use.
>
> BTW, where in IL are you?> I was born in Elmhurst, IL
>
>
>
>
>
> Luke Hoffmann
> Go4tcross@cs.com
>
> "Bum? I am not a bum! I'm a jerk!"
>

796. Re: Only hearing 1 side of conversations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 12:12:33 UTC
From: Greg <king.frost@home.com>
Message-ID: <kk41jtsf90ve5l9ap6h5m2c6te8jqjecdj@4ax.com>
Source record: 63913

On 20 Jun 2001 04:43:06 GMT, go4tcross@cs.comspamnot (Luke Hoffmann)
wrote:

>Sounds possible, but unlikely, since the cars must trasmit a strong enough
>signal to reach the base antenna fron the perimiter of the district or farther.
> Are you sure you have ALL the frequencies used? Police around here have more
>than one channel they can use.
>
>BTW, where in IL are you?> I was born in Elmhurst, IL

Actually it looks like i did leave off the PL freq (didn't think that
would affect anything though - maybe i'll stick it in)

Oh, and I am up in Rockford. Home of churches, bars, and trees.

797. Re: BNC to ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 12:24:45 UTC
From: dmartin560@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010620082445.24446.00000120@ng-fz1.aol.com>
Source record: 63914

>i was thinking of getting the MSM-3 antenna from here.....
>http://www.rfwiz.com/FireStikScannerAntennas.htm
>
>but what and where do i get an adaptor that will make that antenna fit
>onto my BNC style mobile scanner?
>
>Thanks in advance!
>

You will have to get a mobile mounting brkt that is appx the same style as the base antenna mount shown at the bottom of that page. I didn't look, but they probably have them on their site somewhere. There is a link at the bottom of their page for questions...why not ask them?

Dwayne

798. Re: FRS Radio Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 12:36:15 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010620083615.08639.00000031@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 63915

In article <3b2f81d5.3419665@news.charter.net>, (Mike M) writes:

>Maybe not, but I saw a GMRS/FRS radio at Walmart for $55. Covers the
>same ? frequencies with 2 watts instead of 1/2 watt. You are required
>to get a license, to be legal.

Judging from what I hear on the scanner, I would guess that less than 5% of GMRS users are licensed. These radios are clearly being marketed as FRS radios with little or no mention of licensing requirements.

799. Re: FS: Watson antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 12:36:15 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010620083615.08639.00000032@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 63916

In article <20010619163141.16987.00000061@ng-fe1.aol.com>, jtward01@aol.com (JTWard01) writes:

>"Boycott Democrats, not Smith&Wesson"

How about we boycott BOTH, since Smith & Wesson sold out to the Democrats (a/k/a Clinton administration.)

800. Re: Best non-scannable cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 14:14:18 UTC
From: applseed@nemonet.com
Message-ID: <usb1jt4u9e9p2und82akir9h6da93h0b9p@4ax.com>
Source record: 63917

> 900MHz Digital Spread Spectrum is the way to go.
>
>Mike

Hmmm.... do you feel 900 mhz DSS is still better than 2.5
ghz DSS?

801. FS or FT: Radio Shack PRO-2020

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 14:39:20 UTC
From: mmaghakian@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b4a90ddd.0106200639.4dc0b314@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have a PRO-2020 scanner in good working condition for sale for $55 plus shipping or trade for a shortwave radio.

The whip antenna is missing but you can buy another or use the antenna jack for an outdoor antenna.

802. Re: Digital scanners: great, but what will they actually do???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 15:12:07 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <JZ2Y6.2373$9t6.1655@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 63919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner

Brave words from a coward hiding behind an anonymous newsgroup posting service.

Mark

"breach" <Use-Author-Address-Header@[127.1]> wrote in message news:200106200552.WAA08015@taxismtp1.alchemyfx.com...
> On 20 Jun 2001, M. Schneider wrote in aus.radio.scanner:
> >Boy, yall better be smilin' REAL big when you call me a "Yank", them's
> >fightin' words! I'm a Southern Man, born and bred.
>
> Doesn't matter if you're inbred and stupid
> too. Fundamentally, you're still a yank!
>
> G

803. Re: LAPD Gone Digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 15:26:43 UTC
From: no@email.non
Message-ID: <3b30c086.3667382@news.iols.net>
Source record: 63920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What equipment is available to listen to their digital signals?
TIA Ralph

On Tue, 19 Jun 2001 08:13:39 GMT, warrior <warrior5@gte.net> wrote:

>after the parade for the lakers LAPD went Digital so u can only hear
>154.830
>

--
surfer (no@email.net)

804. Re: Best non-scannable cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 15:31:55 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <p7g1jt475vjcikrakacnrkv1a12kasc0ns@4ax.com>
Source record: 63921

On Tue, 19 Jun 2001 09:56:53 -0500, applseed@nemonet.com wrote:

>>Watch out. Several folks on this news group have reported the ability
>>to monitor these phones despite the "spread spectrum" label.
>
>Yeah..... I am aware of that.... that is why I am asking
>what to buy. <G>

I use a Sanyo DSSS digital phone. I like it. It's relatively secure.
Also see the AT&T version. I suspect they use the same chip sets or
even RF modules.

>>Also Beware of the fact that 2.4 GHz is NO better than 900 MHz spread
>>spectrum and it may suffer from interference from the likes of your
>>microwave oven where a 900 MHz model may not.
>
>I know..... but it is getting impossible to find 900 mhz
>phones with any FEATURES now days! <G>

Features like what?

>I really do NOT like the idea of having a 2.4 gig xmitter up
>near my brain tho! <G>

It's hardly any worse than 900 MHz from a physics point of view.

From a practical perspective, 900 MHz will penetrate somewhat better
and you don't need to worry about microwave oven interference, though
other 900 MHz gadgets may cause you a bit of grief.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

805. REALISTIC PRO-39

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 15:40:02 UTC
From: OU BIG RED <ousooner@geotec.net>
Message-ID: <tj1gu3tbkpq71f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63922

I have a Realistic Pro-39 800 mhz. (the GOOD one) 200 channel handheld scanner for sale. It is in good working and physical condition. Price is $140.00 FIRM! which includes 48 state shipping. Terms of sale: U.S.Postal money order MUST be recieved for priority shipping.

806. Re: FS or FT: Radio Shack PRO-2020

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 16:07:47 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B30CA52.113276CC@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63923
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I have a working PRO-2020 with an antenna, and I certainly would not spend $55 to replace it! Let alone have to pay shipping and buy an antenna. It's 15 year old technology!

mike maghakian wrote:
>
> I have a PRO-2020 scanner in good working condition for sale for $55
> plus shipping or trade for a shortwave radio.
>
> The whip antenna is missing but you can buy another or use the antenna
> jack for an outdoor antenna.

807. Re: Can Digital Cellular be Heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 16:39:29 UTC
From: Ken Roberts <kenr55@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <rg4Y6.17893$9r1.1620737@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 63924
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

hijax <hijax@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:9g7s7e$b1cm$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> I'm sure that someone will have a high-tech way of doing it, but can the
> average Joe with the average unblocked scanner hear what I'm saying on my
> cell phone if I'm in the digital (CDMA 800) mode?
>
> -hijax

No.
--
Ken Roberts
kenr55@bellsouth.net

808. Re: FS or FT: Radio Shack PRO-2020

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 16:52:28 UTC
From: "Dan B (Scanner Nuts)" <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <mt4Y6.17986$9r1.1622214@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 63925
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

mike maghakian wrote:
> >
> > I have a PRO-2020 scanner in good working condition for sale for $55
> > plus shipping or trade for a shortwave radio.
> >
> > The whip antenna is missing but you can buy another or use the antenna
> > jack for an outdoor antenna.

Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3B30CA52.113276CC@worldnet.att.net...
> I have a working PRO-2020 with an antenna, and I certainly would not
> spend $55 to replace it! Let alone have to pay shipping and buy an
> antenna. It's 15 year old technology!

Well you might not but it looks like others will:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1244183926
or
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1245610272
or
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1244073514

It's worth exactly what a person will pay for it!

--
The Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
0ver 330 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
New and Old.... bring back the memories.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

809. Re: Only hearing 1 side of conversations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 16:54:10 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <Sy4Y6.67978$mG4.32917897@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 63926

ISP District 16 Rockford uses:

42.44 Base / 42.70 Mobile - Split frequency duplex,
You'll only hear the base on the base freq and the mobile on the freq, when in range (a few miles) (dont use a delay on these freqs). I can often hear the 42.44 Base here in Chicago.

155.520 is Base/Mobile simplex, you'll hear both sides, but only when the mobile is within range (a few miles).

42.47 is not an allocated frequency

Also try:
155.475 ISPERN
155.460 ISP HF4
42.50 ISP LF2
155.505 ISP Mobile Extenders (relays handheld to car) (low pwr)
155.055 IREACH

In article <4c80jtk4ag62qbokm1lgk1ofuu08gqnea4@4ax.com>, Greg <king.frost@home.com> wrote:

> I've got these frequencies programmed into the ol' scanner here:
>
> (Illinois State Police District 16)
>
> 42.4400 (Base)
> 42.4700 (Mobile)
> 155.5200 (HF)
>
> Now many times I weill hear just one end of a conversation - usually
> on the Base side, but sometimes on Mobile. I've tried flipping between
> them to see if I can hear something on the other freq, but dont
>
> The only thing I can think of is that maybe I'm hearing the signals
> from the big tower at the station, and the radios in the cars aren't
> strong enough to reach me?

810. Re: Rich Well's Wants to Move to subscription Service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 17:15:35 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <XS4Y6.68013$mG4.32932600@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 63927

I have a better idea, you design, run, host and update a similar page for the radio community out of your pocket and see how it goes. Please post the URL for us when it's ready. Thanks in advance. Here's my page, where's yours?

http://members.home.net/tandkmoran/

Best hardware site in all of scanning:

http://www.strongsignals.net

Happy Scanning! - Ted Moran / Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association
tandkmoran@home.com

In article <tifr258c5n7448@corp.supernews.com>, Applebee <Applebee@invalid.xx.au> wrote:

> Apparently Well's is being put out of business by all the
> Scanner buffs that visit his web page and download the message section and
> his reviews, so now he is going to move to a subscription service. Everyone
> interested should point there html web site retrieval programs and download
> his entire web site. I figure if 1000 people do this his bill will go up
> another $1000 this month.

811. Data packets on aircraft unicom freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 17:36:05 UTC
From: Bill Jones <wejones@megalink.net>
Message-ID: <3B30DF05.3F2F8971@megalink.net>
Source record: 63928

I've been listening recently to planes talking to and around some small local airports (ie airports that don't have controllers, but have a unicom freq that the planes talk on to tell each other where they are. Curiously, occasionally I hear data packets that sound like regular 1200 baud amateur radio packets. The packets aren't frequent enough for me to have been able to see if I can decode them with an amateur radio TNC yet, although I did get a possible decoding of one packet, and it looked like a series of numbers. I intend to keep trying to decode these things when I get a better antenna, but in the meantime, I was curious whether anyone knew what these data packets might be?? I have talked to pilots who don't know what these transmissions might be, but I have heard about some experimental system where aircraft with GPS navigation systems can talk to each other, telling each other about where they are, which I assume would be by radio, so I was wondering whether the packets I heard might be from this experimental system? And before someone suggests it, these packets do NOT sound like the ACARS data bursts, which seem to be at a significantly higher data rate, not to mention the fact that they are supposed to be on different frequencies (although I have been wondering whether they might have switched freq, since I seem to be hearing less of them than I did a couple years ago). These data packets SOUND just like HAM radio packets.
Anyone know what they are???

*Bill Jones N3JLQ Sweden Maine *
* wejones@megalink.net *
* Main home page http://www.megalink.net/~wejones *
* WWII/B-17 page http://www.megalink.net/~wejones/wwii.html *

812. PB Scanner has Moved !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 18:03:48 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010620140348.00532.00000028@ng-fu1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63929

As some may be aware that in the past weeks the PB Scanner Pages hosted on Expage were removed for a short time due to an unfortunate misunderstanding. It appears that there were objections to our providing the URL's to our Pages when asked and as a courtesy to new and old users alike.

At present the cited Pages remain on Expage for reference, but due to a caveat issued by Expage, we are unable to provide those URL's and have those same pages remain.

Currently we are hosted at CompuServe under the following URL:
http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

We will continue to update this Site and maintain active participation in the Newsgroups.

Thank you all who wrote us and Expage to have the Pages restored, we sincerely appreciate the efforts and support given during this time.

Please stop by and see our work in continual progress.

Best regards,
PB Scanner
(Jon)

http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner
= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

813. Re: LAPD Gone Digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 18:28:24 UTC
From: Eduardo Ortiz <eortiz@cmix.net>
Message-ID: <tj1qm7edbi9oc5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I checked that website that one of your replies had listed (the one from
Larry Levy)
and it is showing Motorola Saber radios. The bad news is if the net is
fully digital
(which means they are using "vocoder boards" for scrabling their signal) you
will not
be able to listen at all.

There are no scanners at the moment that can track the Astro (apco 25
standard). If the Network
is not full digital (which means it is a hybrid system using a combination
of digital and Motorola trunk type II radios) then you might be able to
listen to the type II with a trunktracker scanner. You must have the freq's
in order, talkgroups id's and fleet maps to do that.

It is not much but it is all I can tell you!

go to

http://www.trunkedradio.net/

for more info, then click on "Digital/Apco25" for more info.

Hope it helps!

Ed

"Given a conflict, Murphy's law supercedes Newton's."

****
"warrior" <warrior5@gte.net> wrote in message
news:3B2F09F0.4D427169@gte.net...
> after the parade for the lakers LAPD went Digital so u can only hear
> 154.830
>

814. Re: Data packets on aircraft unicom freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 18:39:07 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <iqq1jtc8s089b69u8bjgek789nnlfrknhm@4ax.com>
Source record: 63931

On Wed, 20 Jun 2001 13:36:05 -0400, Bill Jones <wejones@megalink.net>
wrote:

> Anyone know what they are???

Other than IMD, no, I have no idea what it might be. Even if it were
ACARS, it wouldn't be on that frequency.

If you're thinking it might be that ADS-B stuff (I'm pulling this
stuff from memory), it's possible, but I really wonder if putting that
stuff on Unicom channels is smart.

Jake Brodsky, mailto:frussle@erols.com
PP ASEL IA, Cessna Cardinal N30946, Based @ FME
Amateur Radio Station AB3A

815. Re: Icom R3 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 18:41:44 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010620144144.00532.00000035@ng-fu1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63932

>Subject: Icom R3 question
>From: inkaddict@aol.com (Ink addict)
>Date: 6/19/01 12:16 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010619121605.03607.00000025@ng-fi1.aol.com>
>
>How do I scan all the video freq. I have the manual but I am still can't do
>it.
>Can anyone help?
> Bob
>
The Icom R-3 will only Scan Video Signals in the TV Bands, you will need the
actual Video Signal Frequency outside TV Ranges.

Have to say that is one point I missed when I first got the R3, but a fine unit
none the less.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

816. Re: israeli frequancies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 18:44:27 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010620144427.00532.00000036@ng-fu1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63933

>Subject: Re: israeli frequancies

From what I have seen in the past, the Military is in the low bands: 30-50 MHz

Business Frequencies should be on par with US Standards: 151 to 158 MHz
460 to 467 MHz.

Not much ever found Online regarding this Nations frequency uses, though the
Message Board at: http://www.strongsignals.net/ may provide further.

Hope this helps,

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

817. Re: BNC to ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 18:50:54 UTC
From: DrPepper@iwvisp.com
Message-ID: <3b30ef1f.17152305@news.iwvisp.com>
Source record: 63934

Well, this is NOT like a rubber duckie, , ,
This is a mobile or external antenna that uses a coax cable to connect to the radio. Look down the page to the line drawing of the details of the antenna. These antennas are several feet long, and when used as an external antenna for a base radio, require a ground plane to work against. If you need to change the PL-256 connector to BNC, then just go to Radio Shack and get an adaptor.

Ron C.
==========

On Wed, 20 Jun 2001 03:50:40 GMT, Maxwell <free@kenobiz.com> wrote:

>i was thinking of getting the MSM-3 antenna from here.....
>http://www.rfwiz.com/FireStikScannerAntennas.htm
>
>but what and where do i get an adaptor that will make that antenna fit
>onto my BNC style mobile scanner?
>
>Thanks in advance!

818. Re: Scanner Frequencies for Walt Disney World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 18:53:21 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010620145321.00532.00000037@ng-fu1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63935

>Subject: Scanner Frequencies for Walt Disney World
>From: tomchrz@aol.com (TomChrz)
>Date: 6/18/01 9:17 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010618211725.08451.00000920@ng-ma1.aol.com>
>
>Anyone with a list of frequencies for the park?
>

Disney is on a Trunked System, well documented in Police Call from Radio Shack.

From Page: 411 , 2001 Edition

851.3125, 851.8875, 852.2375, 852.3625, 852.4125, 852.7375, 852.8125, 852.9625, 853.4625, and about 20 others

A Trunking Scanner is best but an 800MHz able unit will do on the fly.

I have been to Disney with my Scanners and never had a problem, on two different trips I talked with Uniformed Security and chatted about the new System and if they Monitor FRS (Family Radio Service) which they both replied YES, on Base Scanners and that they like the new Trunked System for added "privacy" and for the Talkgroups.

IMO, if you act aloof in the Park, regardless of Scanner or not; they will boot ya, maintain the Hobby and act accordingly in your activities.

Most people just do not understand Scanners and the Hobby in general, a friendly attitude will go miles to help others understand.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

819. Re: FS or FT: Radio Shack PRO-2020

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 19:00:10 UTC
From: DrPepper@iwvisp.com
Message-ID: <3b30f1a7.17800382@news.iwvisp.com>
Source record: 63936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

There are at least TWO types of PRO-2020's, , , ,
The one I am familiar with (perhaps you also) was built in the 1970's
and was one of the first non crystal scanners. It was a great radio,
but today only worth about 20 bucks at a garage sale (or ebay) and is
not the one in the pictures at the links below.

Ron C.

==========

On Wed, 20 Jun 2001 12:52:28 -0400, "Dan B \(Scanner Nuts\)"
<k4lyp@webdeals.net> wrote:

> mike maghakian wrote:
>> >
>> > I have a PRO-2020 scanner in good working condition for sale for $55
>> > plus shipping or trade for a shortwave radio.
>> >
>> > The whip antenna is missing but you can buy another or use the antenna
>> > jack for an outdoor antenna.
>
>
>
>Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>news:3B30CA52.113276CC@worldnet.att.net...
>> I have a working PRO-2020 with an antenna, and I certainly would not
>> spend $55 to replace it! Let alone have to pay shipping and buy an
>> antenna. It's 15 year old technology!
>
>
>Well you might not but it looks like others will:
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1244183926
>or
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1245610272
>or
>http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1244073514
>
>It's worth exactly what a person will pay for it!
>
>--
>The Scanner Nuts!
>http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
>0ver 330 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
>New and Old.... bring back the memories.

>In God we trust, all others we monitor.
>
>
>

820. Re: FRS Radio Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 19:02:28 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010620150228.00532.00000039@ng-fu1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63937

>Subject: FRS Radio Mods
>From: jglas@webtv.net
>Date: 6/18/01 5:49 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <2674-3B2E776E-100@storefull-157.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
>
>Are there any mods to kick up the transmitting power on these radios?
>

Simply stated, NO.

The nature of FRS Radios, Frequencies Used and Output Power would make any mods of this nature useless.

There are Licenses for $85.00 via the FCC for GMRS (General Mobile Radio Service) that permit much greater output power, range and better equipment: Base, Mobile and Handheld as well as repeaters. GMRS shares some of the same Frequencies with FRS and will permit cross-talk.

GMRS Licenses are good for 5 years and entitle the whole related family to use the Service.

Look into other services such as MURS, Ham, and CB for better range if it is required.

GMRS: http://www.dougweb.com/

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

821. Re: LAPD Gone Digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 22:04:15 UTC
From: Larry Levy <levysNOSpam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2c72jtkpsrprv7i2m194mi5m00bf5vr2mf@4ax.com>
Source record: 63938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Yep, fully digitized. :(

In the last month or two, they started transmitting digital signals on
their previously little used frequencies between 484-485 Mhz, while
also using the old "Rover channels on 506-507 Mhz. Now, those are
silent and everything, aside from the old Tac 1 (154.83-which
simulcasts "hotshot" dispatches citywide) is on 484 megs.

-Larry

On Wed, 20 Jun 2001 11:28:24 -0700, "Eduardo Ortiz" <eortiz@cmix.net>
wrote:

>I checked that website that one of your replies had listed (the one from
>Larry Levy)
>and it is showing Motorola Saber radios. The bad news is if the net is
>fully digital
>(which means they are using "vocoder boards" for scrabling their signal) you
>will not
>be able to listen at all.
>
>There are no scanners at the moment that can track the Astro (apco 25
>standard). If the Network
>is not full digital (which means it is a hybrid system using a combination
>of digital and Motorola trunk type II radios) then you might be able to
>listen to the type II with a trunktracker scanner. You must have the freq's
>in order, talkgroups id's and fleet maps to do that.
>
>It is not much but it is all I can tell you!
>
>go to
>
>http://www.trunkedradio.net/
>
>for more info, then click on "Digital/Apco25" for more info.
>
>Hope it helps!
>
>Ed
>
>"Given a conflict, Murphy's law supercedes Newton's."
>
>**********
>"warrior" <warrior5@gte.net> wrote in message

>news:3B2F09F0.4D427169@gte.net...
>> after the parade for the lakers LAPD went Digital so u can only hear
>> 154.830
>>
>

http://u1.netgate.net/~kirby34/rsg/levyl.htm
http://www.geocities.com/levys2/ham.html
Remove "NoSpam" for email

822. Do you know the REALISTIC PRO-34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 22:19:06 UTC
From: Fabrice Flamand <fabrice.flamand@club-internet.fr>
Message-ID: <9gr7g7ajr1@front2.grolier.fr>
Source record: 63799

I want to have all the informations about the REALISTIC PRO-34 scanner (old material ? price ? ...)

823. Re: Rich Well's Wants to Move to subscription Service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 22:25:24 UTC
From: Terry Lee <terryllee@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B3122AA.2E7C7987@home.com>
Source record: 63939

Nice page. I feel sorry for the people that are using a 56K modem to go look at it. <g>

Kim and Ted Moran wrote:

> http://members.home.net/tandkmoran/
>
>
> Happy Scanning! - Ted Moran / Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association
> tandkmoran@home.com

824. Re: Data packets on aircraft unicom freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 22:25:38 UTC
From: Bill Jones <wejones@megalink.net>
Message-ID: <3B3122E2.E86F3CFC@megalink.net>
Source record: 63940

> > Anyone know what they are???
>
> Other than IMD, no, I have no idea what it might be. Even if it were
> ACARS, it wouldn't be on that frequency.
>
> If you're thinking it might be that ADS-B stuff (I'm pulling this
> stuff from memory), it's possible, but I really wonder if putting that
> stuff on Unicom channels is smart.

I'm not familiar with ADS-B. What is that?

*Bill Jones N3JLQ Sweden Maine *
* wejones@megalink.net *
* Main home page http://www.megalink.net/~wejones *
* WWII/B-17 page http://www.megalink.net/~wejones/wwii.html *

825. Re: Rich Well's Wants to Move to subscription Service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 22:42:23 UTC
From: Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <9gr8sg$2q01$1@raewyn.paradise.net.nz>
Source record: 63941

Hi Terry

It took about 1.2 seconds.
Regards

Neville

"Terry Lee" <terryllee@home.com> wrote in message
news:3B3122AA.2E7C7987@home.com...
> Nice page. I feel sorry for the people that are using a 56K modem to go
look at
> it. <g>
>
> Kim and Ted Moran wrote:
>
> > http://members.home.net/tandkmoran/
> >
> >
> > Happy Scanning! - Ted Moran / Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association
> > tandkmoran@home.com
>

826. Re: FS or FT: Radio Shack PRO-2020

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 23:15:17 UTC
From: "Dan B (Scanner Nuts)" <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <c4aY6.18520$9r1.1669135@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 63942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I sure would like to see this "other" Pro-2020.
If it's as old as you say I would gladly pay $55 for it.
In the mean time I'll stick to what I said below.
It's worth exactly what a person will pay for it!

I've seen people pay $1000's for a stars signature that I wouldn't give $2
for.

--
The Scanner Nuts! ☺
http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
0ver 330 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
New and Old.... bring back the memories.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

***
<DrPepper@iwvisp.com> wrote in message
news:3b30f1a7.17800382@news.iwvisp.com...
> There are at least TWO types of PRO-2020's, , , ,
> The one I am familiar with (perhaps you also) was built in the 1970's
> and was one of the first non crystal scanners. It was a great radio,
> but today only worth about 20 bucks at a garage sale (or ebay) and is
> not the one in the pictures at the links below.
>
> Ron C.
>
> ==========

> > mike maghakian wrote:
> >> >
> >> > I have a PRO-2020 scanner in good working condition for sale for $55
> >> > plus shipping or trade for a shortwave radio.
> >> >
> >> > The whip antenna is missing but you can buy another or use the
antenna
> >> > jack for an outdoor antenna.

> >Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> >news:3B30CA52.113276CC@worldnet.att.net...
> >> I have a working PRO-2020 with an antenna, and I certainly would not
> >> spend $55 to replace it! Let alone have to pay shipping and buy an
> >> antenna. It's 15 year old technology!
> >

"Dan B (Scanner Nuts) wrote
> >Well you might not but it looks like others will:
> >http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1244183926
> >or
> >http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1245610272
> >or
> >http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1244073514
> >
> >It's worth exactly what a person will pay for it!
> >
> >--
> >The Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
> >http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
> >0ver 330 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
> >New and Old.... bring back the memories.
> >In God we trust, all others we monitor.
> >
> >
> >
>

827. Re: FS or FT: Radio Shack PRO-2020

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-20 23:26:03 UTC
From: mmaghakian@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b4a90ddd.0106201526.1ab6c061@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I sure wish I could get $96 for mine !

"Dan B \(Scanner Nuts\)" <k4lyp@webdeals.net> wrote in message
news:<mt4Y6.17986$9r1.1622214@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>...
> mike maghakian wrote:
> > >
> > > I have a PRO-2020 scanner in good working condition for sale for $55
> > > plus shipping or trade for a shortwave radio.
> > >
> > > The whip antenna is missing but you can buy another or use the antenna
> > > jack for an outdoor antenna.
>
>
>
> Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:3B30CA52.113276CC@worldnet.att.net...
> > I have a working PRO-2020 with an antenna, and I certainly would not
> > spend $55 to replace it! Let alone have to pay shipping and buy an
> > antenna. It's 15 year old technology!
>
>
> Well you might not but it looks like others will:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1244183926
> or
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1245610272
> or
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1244073514
>
> It's worth exactly what a person will pay for it!

828. Re: Best non-scannable cordless phone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 00:05:24 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha61DELETE@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3b313a58$0$25501$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 63944

<applseed@nemonet.com> wrote in message
news:usb1jt4u9e9p2und82akir9h6da93h0b9p@4ax.com...
> > 900MHz Digital Spread Spectrum is the way to go.
> >
> >Mike
>
>
> Hmmm.... do you feel 900 mhz DSS is still better than 2.5
> ghz DSS?

As others have said on this subject. 2.4GHz is a very high frequency, the short wavelength makes it more susceptible to multipathing, reflection, blocking by objects and if it is ever proven, more detrimental to your health. If your home is fairly open I think 2.4GHz should be fine, but if you need to use the phone through many walls or in the basement, you may have reception problems.

Mike

829. Freq. wtd for Renfrew, ON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 00:39:05 UTC
From: Champ <champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <01c0f9ea$8be0c180$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a>
Source record: 63945
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Looking for scanner freq's active in this area.
--
Live Scanner Audio is here: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
Local Arts & Entertainment Coverage at it's best
http://www.ottawaxpress.com

830. Re: FRS Radio Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 00:42:08 UTC
From: Rocinante <matrixoutsider@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kig2jtcmrk9h7m3dv6tubf51q1snehh6rg@4ax.com>
Source record: 63946

Yah, get the GMRS 2 watt radio mod.

On Mon, 18 Jun 2001 16:49:34 -0500 (CDT), jglas@webtv.net wrote:

>Are there any mods to kick up the transmitting power on these radios?

831. Re: NiMH batteries in scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 00:44:44 UTC
From: "Tim C." <tconnerREMOVE@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <dkg2jt4p0n40p0naokqmqbeqcsk9vec291@4ax.com>
Source record: 63797

Thanks for the replies to my post, I have one last question.
Some of my scanners have a switch in the battery compartment,
you can select alkaline or NiCd, what should I select if I use NiMH?

Thanks Again
Tim

Tim C. <tconnerREMOVE@fuse.net> wrote:

>My 12 year old NiCd finally gave out so now I am going to try out the
>new NiMH batteries. Can I use the built in chargers in my scanners to
>recharge the NiMH? All my scanners were made before NiMH batteries
>came out so I am sure they were not designed for this battery but
>didn't know if it would make a difference.
>
>Thanks
>Tim

832. Re: eMap power/data connections?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 00:48:54 UTC
From: Colossus <colossus14@homeBRA.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.159b0e5c9056113a989685@news.midland1.pa.home.com>
Source record: 63798

Interesting...thanks for the info.

> Go to this site http://www.pfranc.com/projects/g45contr/g45_idx.htm for
> what has to be one of the most unusual marketing setups you'll ever see.
> Garmin-style connectors at a price ANYBODY can afford! And they work very
> well.
>
> Mark
>
> "Colossus" <colossus14@homeBRA.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1599ebbebeb6899e989684@news.midland1.pa.home.com...
> > Just bought a Garmin eMap GPS (haven't gotten it in the mail yet). I see
> > that the power/data cable costs like $37 or so extra. Wow! I know I can
> > make one if I can find the connector that attaches to the gps and the pin
> > outs of the gps.
> >
> > Can anyone help me with a source for the connector or pin-out data?
> >
> > TIA
> >

--
"Open Minds Shall Dominate"
Jerry Wayne Agudio
colossus14@homeBRA.com (remove BRA to reply)

833. Re: Need MDT freqs. for Newport, RI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 01:26:01 UTC
From: RS <delco@bright.net>
Message-ID: <n3cY6.616$OO1.632944@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 63800

Try this
http://www.wallyvan.com/decode/decode.html

"Jack S." <jacksmith101@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:xHpU6.34482$Uo3.1177013@news6.giganews.com...
> Where do you get MDT Monitor for Windows?
> "Sparky" <sparky@sparkytown.com> wrote in message
> news:3b21b48c.97496563@news.qwest.net...
> > On 07 Jun 2001 12:08:23 GMT, vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
> > (VHFRadioBuff) wrote:
> >
> > >
> > >Just curious - do you have a way to decode them?
> >
> > MDT Monitor for Windows works great. Uses the soundcard or a good
> > data slicer.
> >
> > Sparky
> >
>
>

834. Re: Freq. wtd for Renfrew, ON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 01:33:15 UTC
From: Champ <champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <01c0f9f2$1d076680$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a>
Source record: 63802
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

No that book is so outdated now
--
Live Scanner Audio is here: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
Local Arts & Entertainment Coverage at it's best
http://www.ottawaxpress.com

Gail and Kevin Lambert <gailandkevin.lambert@sympatico.ca> wrote in article
<3B314F0B.5325EAFF@sympatico.ca>...
>
>
> Champ wrote:
>
> > Looking for scanner freq's active in this area.
> > --
> > Live Scanner Audio is here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> > Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
> > Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
> > Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
> > Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
> > Local Arts & Entertainment Coverage at it's best
> > http://www.ottawaxpress.com
>
> what about harteq- there are some renfrew freqs in there.
> kev, ottawa
>
>

835. Re: Freq. wtd for Renfrew, ON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 01:34:03 UTC
From: Gail and Kevin Lambert <gailandkevin.lambert@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3B314F0B.5325EAFF@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 63801
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Champ wrote:

> Looking for scanner freq's active in this area.
> --
> Live Scanner Audio is here: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
> Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
> Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
> Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
> Local Arts & Entertainment Coverage at it's best
> http://www.ottawaxpress.com

what about harteq- there are some renfrew freqs in there.
kev, ottawa

836. BC700A Cellular Restoration

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 01:47:02 UTC
From: aa6gb@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010620214702.03589.00000243@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 63803

Need info to restore cellular coverage to my BC700A scanner

837. ~/\/\~ STX821 Type I, II, IIi, Perfect trunk scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 01:56:42 UTC
From: msisco9939@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010620215642.12572.00000306@ng-ma1.aol.com>
Source record: 63804

Hi:

Here is your oppertunity to aquire a Motorola Enhanced STX 821
which will do Type 1, 11, and 1li Super easy to program, has the
BEST features of all the STX's GPS 1.0 and GPL 1.0 Firmware,
has the GREEN Board, and will do Alpha Display. Has the A --P
Switch on top.

Can be seen @

http://www2.4dcomm.com/metrotech/stx_821.jpg

Asking $239.00ea+ $ 8.00 for Pirority Mail Shipping

Free Rapid Charger is you toss in the extra 15.00
for Shipping

Terms:

PayPal Now Accepted !!

Acceptable PrePayment in the form of a Postal Money Order, PayPal (If
immediate shipping is desired) or a Personal / Business check is A-OK too if
you do not mind a Short Shipping Delay due to bank processing time. This is
required only the first time or two as once you are in the data base,
PrePayment is no longer required

Monty

838. Re: NiMH batteries in scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 01:59:55 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B31551A.6CECE113@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63805

Set the switch to NiCd. The Alkaline position turns off the chager
circuit.

"Tim C." wrote:
>
> Thanks for the replies to my post, I have one last question.
> Some of my scanners have a switch in the battery compartment,
> you can select alkaline or NiCd, what should I select if I use NiMH?
>
> Thanks Again
> Tim
>
> Tim C. <tconnerREMOVE@fuse.net> wrote:
>
> >My 12 year old NiCd finally gave out so now I am going to try out the
> >new NiMH batteries. Can I use the built in chargers in my scanners to
> >recharge the NiMH? All my scanners were made before NiMH batteries
> >came out so I am sure they were not designed for this battery but
> >didn't know if it would make a difference.
> >
> >Thanks
> >Tim

839. Icom R10 Discriminator update...NEW PROBLEM!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 02:08:44 UTC
From: ircSLUG <N-O-S-P-A-M-stalker@lvcm.com>
Message-ID: <tj2lq8dj7qohba@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63806

I did the discriminator mod using the extra connection on the CIV port, but have a new problem.....

Has anyone else performed the mod and used this spare connection on the CIV port? The reason I ask is because after I performed the mod, I can no longer use the CLONE port or the CIV port for programming my R10....

Just thought I'd drop a line to see if it was just me, or if anyone else has seen this!

Thanks,
Scott

840. Re: BC700A Cellular Restoration

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 03:03:52 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9gro7a$4b2$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63807

The only mod I've heard of for the BC700A is to tap baseband
audio. No cellular mod.

--
Protect Your Rights -- Fight UCITA
http://www.4cite.org

"AA6GB" <aa6gb@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010620214702.03589.00000243@ng-cf1.aol.com...
} Need info to restore cellular coverage to my BC700A scanner

841. NEEDED!!!!HT1000'S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 03:10:52 UTC
From: jm61784@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010620231052.04066.00000301@ng-mf1.aol.com>
Source record: 63808

LOOKING FOR 2 HT1000'S. 16 CHANNEL UHF FREQUENCY "S" OR 450-512. EMAIL ME WITH ANYTHING YOU HAVE.
JM61784@AOL.COM

842. Ooops, guess I was wrong about eBay and our newsgroup WHINERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 03:15:19 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3b31642e.2462514@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 63809
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Recently I boasted here that I had finally set eBay straight with
regard to this SILLY issue of posting FA auctions.

Guess I was wrong.

Today I get yet another e-mail from eBay stating that some JERKOFF
reported me for SPAM, for posting an item that I had for auction on
the newsgroups.

But, this time it gets better.....

I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING FOR SALE ON EBAY!!! HAVEN'T FOR AWHILE.

So, the no life whiners are now making things up and sending them to
eBay! Unreal.

Dan
Dan

N2TYB

EBAY : OFFDAN
"The idle brain is the Devil's Playground"

843. Re: Ooops, guess I was wrong about eBay and our newsgroup WHINERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 04:56:56 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B317E91.7D23F1A9@home.com>
Source record: 63810
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Please quit whining in three different newsgroups about the whiners,
Dan.

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>
> Recently I boasted here that I had finally set eBay straight with
> regard to this SILLY issue of posting FA auctions.
>
> Guess I was wrong.
>
> Today I get yet another e-mail from eBay stating that some JERKOFF
> reported me for SPAM, for posting an item that I had for auction on
> the newsgroups.
>
> But, this time it gets better.......
>
> I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING FOR SALE ON EBAY!!! HAVEN'T FOR AWHILE.
>
> So, the no life whiners are now making things up and sending them to
> eBay! Unreal.
>
> Dan
> Dan
>
> N2TYB
>
> EBAY : OFFDAN
> "The idle brain is the Devil's Playground"

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

844. Re: Scanner Frequencies for Walt Disney World

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 05:08:16 UTC
From: Zippy <haroldso@athenet.net>
Message-ID: <tj2vpv378p6g07@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63811

I believe the post by "PB Scanner" is correct with the trunked frequencies. I was just in Orlando this winter and carried my 245 Trunktracker every day I was in the park. Never had a problem, never a question asked. (Of course I have that SS look about me so maybe the Magic Kingdom Gestapo types eluded to in this thread thought I was one of them.) Lots of activity to listen to. FRS freqs are jammed with people using the stupid musical tone buttons, but a few interesting conversations heard there also. If you use FRS, CTCSS is a must!

Happy Scanning!
"TomChrz" <tomchrz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010618211725.08451.00000920@ng-ma1.aol.com...
> Anyone with a list of frequencies for the park?

845. Microwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 06:11:45 UTC
From: chris <johnncris@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B30EBA1.105DC01B@home.com>
Source record: 63812

Is there any scanner out there to listen low/medium microwave communication?

846. Los Angeles TV Media Leaving 450 MHz? (Retry)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 08:14:31 UTC
From: "Public <Anonymous_Account>" <remailer@xganon.com>
Message-ID: <3c8685598a2921a24cdf4Be31ce142bd@anon.xg.nu>
Source record: 63813

Are television news media outlets generally moving their remote pickups
and other two-way communications away from the 450 MHz band these days?
I'm referring to the sort with the station's production control room
talking to reporters and camera crews in the field, with station audio
in the background when no one is speaking (IFB).

There has been a police stand-off underway since 4 PM PST today on the
interstate 10 freeway in Los Angeles county. At about 7 PM, I met with
friends for chow at a burger stand within a mile or two of the incident.
One of the patrons there had a scanner tuned to KCAL-9's radio traffic,
which intrigued me because KCAL was one of several stations whose active
media frequencies I'd never before successfully located. In short, it
turned out that the radio's "monitor" bank had been programmed with a
handful of cellular frequencies in the range of 889-893 MHz. My notes:

KCBS-2 -- 889.7700 (IFB + engineering comms audio)
KNBC-4 -- 889.1400 (IFB audio only)
KNBC-4 -- 893.8800 (IFB audio + engineering comms audio)
KCAL-9 -- 892.2900 (IFB audio + engineering comms audio)
KCAL-9 -- 891.9900 (IFB audio + engineering comms audio)
KCOP-13-- 893.2500 (IFB audio + engineering comms audio)

Is this a new trend, or have I been overlooking this for a long time? I
don't think, in retrospect, that I've ever heard *any* of those stations
on the 450 MHz band. The only ones that seem to have active and strong
communications there are KTLA-5 and KTTV-11.

This message did not originate from the Sender address above.
It was posted with the use of anonymizing software at
http://anon.xg.nu
---

847. Wented schematic.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 09:11:51 UTC
From: sp5hgq <sp5hgq@poczta.onet.pl>
Message-ID: <3b31bb40@news.vogel.pl>
Source record: 63814

Hi!
Wented scanner schematic (service manual's) or homebrew aplications .

Thanks Mariusz G. SP5HGQ

848. Re: Freq. wtd for Renfrew, ON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 10:46:18 UTC
From: Gail and Kevin Lambert <gailandkevin.lambert@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3B31D07A.794ABDB0@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 63815
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Champ wrote:

> No that book is so outdated now
> --
> Live Scanner Audio is here: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
> Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
> Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
> Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
> Local Arts & Entertainment Coverage at it's best
> http://www.ottawaxpress.com
>
> Gail and Kevin Lambert <gailandkevin.lambert@sympatico.ca> wrote in article
> <3B314F0B.5325EAFF@sympatico.ca>...
> >
> >
> > Champ wrote:
> >
> > > Looking for scanner freq's active in this area.
> > > --
> > > Live Scanner Audio is here:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> > > Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
> > > Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
> > > Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
> > > Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
> > > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
> > > Local Arts & Entertainment Coverage at it's best
> > > http://www.ottawaxpress.com
> >
> > what about harteq- there are some renfrew freqs in there.
> > kev, ottawa
> >
> >

they come out every 2 yrs; my last one is 1999, what freq do you want?

849. Re: Microwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 11:22:26 UTC
From: Patrick Oakes <coriander@gmx.net>
Message-ID: <52m3jtoa2v5chogbrnglpo640er1u04b5l@4ax.com>
Source record: 63816

On Thu, 21 Jun 2001 06:11:45 GMT, chris <johnncris@home.com> wrote:

>Is there any scanner out there to listen low/medium microwave
>communication?

The WiNRADiO 3700e goes up to 4GHz
http://www.winradio.com/home/4ghz.htm

Hope this helps

Pat

850. Re: Ooops, guess I was wrong about eBay and our newsgroup WHINERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 11:26:28 UTC
From: Joe <J_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <ERkY6.63$CF3.11253@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63817
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

THE WHINING NEVER STOPS IT'S ENDLESS CYCLE.

TAKE A PILL DANO!

"Tim" <nightwatch01@home.com> wrote in message
news:3B317E91.7D23F1A9@home.com...
> Please quit whining in three different newsgroups about the whiners,
> Dan.
>
> "Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
> >
> > Recently I boasted here that I had finally set eBay straight with
> > regard to this SILLY issue of posting FA auctions.
> >
> > Guess I was wrong.
> >
> > Today I get yet another e-mail from eBay stating that some JERKOFF
> > reported me for SPAM, for posting an item that I had for auction on
> > the newsgroups.
> >
> > But, this time it gets better.......
> >
> > I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING FOR SALE ON EBAY!!! HAVEN'T FOR AWHILE.
> >
> > So, the no life whiners are now making things up and sending them to
> > eBay! Unreal.
> >
> > Dan
> > Dan
> >
> > N2TYB
> >
> > EBAY : OFFDAN
> > "The idle brain is the Devil's Playground"
>
> --
> Nightwatch01@home.com
>

851. Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 12:40:11 UTC
From: Bergum <no.mail@no.no>
Message-ID: <9gsq01$f03$1@taliesin.netcom.net.uk>
Source record: 63818
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone know how to make a simple Squelch?

852. Re: Data packets on aircraft unicom freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 13:01:11 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <hpr3jtk6ie3io93slnri7bpqhf22g98vl2@4ax.com>
Source record: 63819

On Wed, 20 Jun 2001 18:25:38 -0400, Bill Jones <wejones@megalink.net>
wrote:

>> If you're thinking it might be that ADS-B stuff (I'm pulling this
>> stuff from memory), it's possible, but I really wonder if putting that
>> stuff on Unicom channels is smart.
>
>I'm not familiar with ADS-B. What is that?

If you're familiar with APRS, think of ADS-B as a version of APRS on
steroids. I've heard it was under development in areas where TRACON
coverage is poor (such as Alaskan airfields in mountainous regions).
I do not know what frequencies they use or whether it's being tested
anywhere else.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

853. Re: Microwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 13:14:13 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <j1s3jt8kbagul2sn7bae8v9973sn0vtes0@4ax.com>
Source record: 63820

On Thu, 21 Jun 2001 11:22:26 GMT, Patrick Oakes <coriander@gmx.net>
wrote:

>On Thu, 21 Jun 2001 06:11:45 GMT, chris <johnncris@home.com> wrote:
>
>>Is there any scanner out there to listen low/medium microwave
>>communication?
>
>The WiNRADiO 3700e goes up to 4GHz
>http://www.winradio.com/home/4ghz.htm

And the obvious question is why would you want to? If you were
interested in Video links, you might want to go as high as 2.6 GHz,
but beyond that you'd need some specialized gear.

Of course you could try scanning the 4 GHz down link band from the
major satellites, but it seems their coverage stops before it gets
that high.

Also be aware that most microwave stuff runs on a tight, narrow beam.
You'd have to be somewhere near that beam to get a clean enough signal
to make it worth decoding. Wide band signals tend to get pretty
messed up when hit with multipath distortion.

Furthermore, most stuff on those bands are digital now. You'd need to
know something about the format of the modulation before you could
decode it. Oh, and I didn't see even a rudimentary In-phase and
Quadrature output from this unit. You'd need that too.

Personally, I'd by an Icom R-3 if I were in to that sort of thing.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

854. Re: battery packs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 13:29:38 UTC
From: boydm@eudoramail.com
Message-ID: <6afddfbf.0106210529.10a2d6f5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63821

I don't have any experience with this company, but you might try
http://www.metrowestscan.com/

"Charles Newton" <freebird63@qwest.net@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:<rpqX6.90$Qp2.171184@news.uswest.net>...
> I had once found a web site that advertised battery packs for scanners, they
> were suppose to be better than the factory battery packs and were cheaper
> too, can anyone help me out. My bearcat xlt 2500 needs a new battery pack
> and i really don't want to give uniden all my money. Thanx

855. Re: Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 14:27:42 UTC
From: Jim <jspaz@i-55.com>
Message-ID: <3B32045E.BC3F9875@i-55.com>
Source record: 63822
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Put duct tape over the wife's mouth.

Bergum wrote:
>
> Anyone know how to make a simple Squelch?

856. Re: Microwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 14:42:09 UTC
From: Jim <jspaz@i-55.com>
Message-ID: <3B3207C1.7F22BCA3@i-55.com>
Source record: 63825

As other people have said, you need to be in the signal path to even start to receive a signal from the microwave system. Next, they all use some form of multiplexing on the audio. In other words, multi audio channels. To decode these, you need some type of channel bank decoding unit. Normally it takes a T1 data stream and makes it into 24 voice channels.

Good luck on your efforts.

Jim

chris wrote:
>
> Is there any scanner out there to listen low/medium microwave
> communication?

857. FS/FT: Bearcat BC895XLT TrunkTracker Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 14:43:09 UTC
From: Alex - KC0AK <alexjent@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9gt14f$8l5m$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT TrunkTracker in excellent condition.

Controllable from your computer.

Freq Range: 29 to 956 MHZ in 14 bands.

With manual, computer cable(serial) and antenna Shipped(CONUS)
to your door $150.

See at: http://pages.prodigy.net/alexjent/BC895XLT_w.jpg

Thanks,
Alex

KC0AK
Independence, MN 55357

858. FA: Realistic PRO-32 200 channel scanner (UK)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 14:45:04 UTC
From: The Saint <no.mail@today.com>
Message-ID: <4FnY6.9962$Fk7.88135@news.indigo.ie>
Source record: 63823
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,uk.adverts.other,uk.radio.amateur

http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1247486203

859. Re: Ooops, guess I was wrong about eBay and our newsgroup WHINERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 15:14:06 UTC
From: sky444nospam@mint.net
Message-ID: <3b320f41.118115185@news.mint.net>
Source record: 63826
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

YEP same thing happened to me and JERKOFF is being polite as to what I
would like to say to them........

On Thu, 21 Jun 2001 04:56:56 GMT, Tim <nightwatch01@home.com> wrote:

>Please quit whining in three different newsgroups about the whiners,
>Dan.
>
>"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>>
>> Recently I boasted here that I had finally set eBay straight with
>> regard to this SILLY issue of posting FA auctions.
>>
>> Guess I was wrong.
>>
>> Today I get yet another e-mail from eBay stating that some JERKOFF
>> reported me for SPAM, for posting an item that I had for auction on
>> the newsgroups.
>>
>> But, this time it gets better.......
>>
>> I DON'T HAVE ANYTHING FOR SALE ON EBAY!!! HAVEN'T FOR AWHILE.
>>
>> So, the no life whiners are now making things up and sending them to
>> eBay! Unreal.
>>
>> Dan
>> Dan
>>
>> N2TYB
>>
>> EBAY : OFFDAN
>> "The idle brain is the Devil's Playground"
>
>--
>Nightwatch01@home.com

860. Re: FS or FT: Radio Shack PRO-2020

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 15:36:35 UTC
From: Joe <J_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <7woY6.551$CF3.92198@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63827
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

$15.95 fixed shipping = WOW
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1244183926

"Dan B (Scanner Nuts)" <k4lyp@webdeals.net> wrote in message
news:mt4Y6.17986$9r1.1622214@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> mike maghakian wrote:
> > >
> > > I have a PRO-2020 scanner in good working condition for sale for $55
> > > plus shipping or trade for a shortwave radio.
> > >
> > > The whip antenna is missing but you can buy another or use the antenna
> > > jack for an outdoor antenna.
>
>
>
> Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:3B30CA52.113276CC@worldnet.att.net...
> > I have a working PRO-2020 with an antenna, and I certainly would not
> > spend $55 to replace it! Let alone have to pay shipping and buy an
> > antenna. It's 15 year old technology!
>
>
> Well you might not but it looks like others will:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1244183926
> or
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1245610272
> or
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1244073514
>
> It's worth exactly what a person will pay for it!
>
> --
> The Scanner Nuts!
> http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
> 0ver 330 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
> New and Old.... bring back the memories.
> In God we trust, all others we monitor.
>
>
>
>

861. FS : STRIDSBERG MCA804 800 Mhz multicoupler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 15:36:52 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3b321262.1021714@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 63828
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I'll try this here once more before it's off to eBay!

I am selling a STRIDSBERG MCA804 Receiver Multicoupler. This is the 800 Mhz version with a pre-amp providing 16 dB gain. Brand new in box, never used. This unit has one BNC input and FOUR (4) BNC outputs. This is professional grade equipment for use with multiple 800 Mhz receivers.

$100.00 plus $5.00 bucks shipping via USPS Priority Mail, insured. USA sales only, please. No Trades, No C.O.D.

I will accept a US Postal Money Order, PAYPAL, or BILLPOINT.
I will also take a personal check, but will wait until it clears before shipping.

My eBay user name is OFFDAN for you to check feedback.

If interested, please e-mail.

Dan
Dan

N2TYB

EBAY : OFFDAN
"The idle brain is the Devil's Playground"

862. Re: Ooops, guess I was wrong about eBay and our newsgroup WHINERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 15:38:21 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3b3212e7.1154860@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 63829
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

>Please quit whining in three different newsgroups about the whiners,
>Dan.
Hey Tim....thanks for the excellent input.
Dan

N2TYB

EBAY : OFFDAN
"The idle brain is the Devil's Playground"

863. Re: Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 16:22:17 UTC
From: Alan Yates <alany@ay.com.au>
Message-ID: <3B321F38.984B3D9C@ay.com.au>
Source record: 63830
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bergum wrote:

> Anyone know how to make a simple Squelch?

Diode, cap, comparitor, diode.

Charge-pump style circuit driven from the IF, or depending on the demodulator, the RSSI signal or AGC. A comparitor which may be as simple as a 741 IC or as complex as a few transistor differential amp, use the squelch pot to adjust the threshold level, the other input will be the output of the charge pump. The output of the comparitor goes to a diode (or other) switch that cuts the audio output of the demodulator from the AF amp stage.

Grand total of about 5 parts, build dead-bug style and hack into receiver at the two integration points. Dead simple, should take about 30 minutes to do.

--
Alan Yates
http://www.alanyates.com/
The Moon is New

864. Re: FS or FT: Radio Shack PRO-2020

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 16:54:48 UTC
From: Joe <joe.amp@NOSPAMverizon.com>
Message-ID: <sFpY6.5914$8P6.1309494@typhoon1.gnilink.net>
Source record: 63833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Being old does not mean bad technology
A R-390 (45 year old technology) will out perform most modern day sets.
If you dont need trunking, or wish to listen to boring cell phone calls any
old scanner is fine.
I have an old Bearcat III that sounds great compared to most modern day
gronky sounding Aisin junk.

Walt Bertram wrote in message <3B30CA52.113276CC@worldnet.att.net>...
>I have a working PRO-2020 with an antenna, and I certainly would not
>spend $55 to replace it! Let alone have to pay shipping and buy an
>antenna. It's 15 year old technology!
>
>mike maghakian wrote:
>>
>> I have a PRO-2020 scanner in good working condition for sale for $55
>> plus shipping or trade for a shortwave radio.
>>
>> The whip antenna is missing but you can buy another or use the antenna
>> jack for an outdoor antenna.

865. Looking for digital scanner...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 17:02:30 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010621130230.03213.00000298@ng-xa1.aol.com>
Source record: 63831

Hello,
A while back someone had told me the name of a radio or scanner that was able to receive the new digital signals, I think it was something like Cosco, but I am not sure. Maybe someone here knows of a good scanner to receive these signals.
I know they are pricy.
Thank you in advance...
Please reply to..
tramd300@aol.com
or
tramd300@goingplatinum.com

866. Re: BC700A Cellular Restoration

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 17:15:28 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9gta18$alv9u$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 63832

"AA6GB" <aa6gb@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010620214702.03589.00000243@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> Need info to restore cellular coverage to my BC700A scanner

I wouldn't bother trying to modify it. I own one and you can pick up images at twice the IF (10.7 X 2, or 21.4 MHZ). The cellular frequencies may be blocked, but I pick up images from around 894-920 MHZ on the scanner.

Of course, listening to cellular frequencies is illegal. But I can't help it if I pick up images of these signals, even when I don't want to. I even hear them in the 460 MHZ range when driving by a cellular transmitter. How come our wonderful congress didn't protect ourselves from that?

--
Regards,
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

867. Re: Looking for digital scanner...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 17:52:26 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010621135226.05021.00000163@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63834

>Subject: Looking for digital scanner...
>From: tramd300@aol.com (TRAMD300)
>Date: 6/21/01 1:02 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010621130230.03213.00000298@ng-xa1.aol.com>
>
>Hello,
>A while back someone had told me the name of a radio or scanner that was able
>to receive the new digital signals, I think it was something like Cosco, but
>I
>am not sure. Maybe someone here knows of a good scanner to receive these
>signals.
>I know they are pricy.
>Thank you in advance...
>Please reply to..
>tramd300@aol.com
>or
>tramd300@goingplatinum.com
>
>

No such Animal is available to the average Bear.

There are different Digital Systems so I doubt that any one Scanner would work
all of them.

I am sure the NSA, MI-5 and other Agencies have the ability but I doubt that it
is Handheld and within reach of any of us for the next few years.

There is talk of some projects to make an add-on board but this is subject to
FCC Type Approval and development issues yet to be worked out.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

868. Re: FS or FT: Radio Shack PRO-2020

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 18:08:15 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B32380E.23CA1ED8@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Agreed. But being old does not automatically make it better, either.
For a little more money, you can get a base scanner which is smaller,
covers more bands, and has more channels. And doesn't have 15 years
of use on it. Electronics does wear out.

Walt

Joe wrote:
>
> Being old does not mean bad technology
>snip

869. Re: help me catch up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 18:13:19 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010621141319.05021.00000164@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63836

>Subject: help me catch up
>From: "Scott Shade" pooch2@pikenet.net
>Date: 6/19/01 1:50 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <nhMX6.53350$Ne5.2474878@e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com>
>
>Hello folks. My name is Scott Shade. My ham call sign is kb9dlz. I have a
>few questions if someone can help me out. One, I'm living in a rural area,
>and want to get a vary accurate list of frequencies for this area. Its for
>pittsfield, Illinois, and I need everthing for all fire ambulance and
>paramedic services as well as all police and civil defense and air
>frequencies.

Try the following Sites for Frequencies: http://www.cityfreq.com
http://www.bearcat1.com/free.htm
http://www.911scanner.8m.com/
http://www.airnavsystems.com/
http://www.trunkscanner.com/

Also the 2001 Edition of Police Call, available at Radio Shack will get you
going.

Also, I have a scanner but, Its about six years old and I know
>that There's been a lot of advances made in scanners since then. Is there a
>website I can go to to learn more about the latest scanning technology
>available.

See http://www.strongsignals.net/
They feature News and Reviews of New Scanners as well as give good 411 on older
Scanners and Technology.

My scanner just covers from 29.00 to 512.00 and covers the air
>craft band from 118.0 to 136.0.
>Can someone bring me up to speed on the latest developements please, I'd
>really appreciate it.

Lately there has been a trend by Public Safety to move to Digital Systems and
800 MHz Trunked Systems, the Trunked can be Monitored with a Trunk Tracker
Scanner, several are available. Digital is out of reach for the time being and
most likely for a few years to come.

Technology has also brought us Winradio, a Scanner that is more of a Computer,
the Icom R3 Video Scanner (TV/Video Display) the Alinco DJ-X2 Smallest Scanner
ever, and some other neat units.

For the most part you will find that not that much has changed, though Digital
and Trunking is making a slow move in.

Hope this gets you back into the Hobby,

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

870. FS-MVT--7100E

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 18:14:37 UTC
From: "R.G.S" <rogsmail@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9gtddp$4v8$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 63837

I am selling my Yupi MVT-7100E
1000 Channel all mode, 0.1Mhz to 1650Mhz no gaps, it is in good condition and has the manual, belt-clip, wrist strap and ni-cad batteries, (sorry no box) I am asking ?150.00p for it, this includes postage in the UK. Please add ?8.00p for international postage (USA, CANADA etc)
Regards
R.G.S

871. Re: Ocean City Maryland Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 18:18:04 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010621141804.05021.00000166@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63838

>Subject: Ocean City Maryland Freqs
>From: "John Wistuba" johnis@home.com
>Date: 6/17/01 4:08 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <U68X6.89098$G5.19283037@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>
>
>Police and Fire in Ocean City Maryland use a trunked EDACS system. I have
>the Freqs, but I dont think I have the right order as my BC245XLT keeps
>locking onto the modem channel. Can anyone help?
>
>
Try the Lockout feature when it hits the Data Channel, then you should be set.

You may have to Unlock and Lockout a new Frequency as it changes as it does
here in Palm Beach, Florida.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

872. Re: Pro-76

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 18:21:34 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010621142134.05021.00000169@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63839

>Subject: Pro-76
>From: "Willie Lawson Jr." wlawson7016@email.msn.com
>Date: 6/17/01 5:18 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <uk9zC1w9AHA.185@cpmsnbbsa07>
>
>Are there any mods for this scanner that anyone is aware of?
>

None posted or know of.

Keep an eye on:
http://www.mods.dk/
http://www.qrz.com (Mods on Left)

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

873. Re: Odds of unblocked radio confiscation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 18:25:33 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010621142533.05021.00000171@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63840

>Subject: Odds of unblocked radio confiscation
>From: t1000@webtv.net (Vic Martinez)
>Date: 6/17/01 1:57 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <13900-3B2C46C0-248@storefull-151.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
>
> This is a question posed due to those ads that are STILL running in
>some publications offering 'unblocked' scanners. I personally earn
>enough to risk ordering, but if I do, which companies are the best,
>outside these borders, to risk ordering from?? Vic.

Although it is not often that a Scanner is nailed by Customs it does happen.

Use your best judgement when ordering a Scanner from overseas, more than just risk of confiscation comes up.

I know that http://www.radioworld.ca/ will ship to the USA, note that the prices posted on the Main Pages are in Canadian Dollars, there is another section of the Site that features Final US Ship Prices in US$.

Remember the Customs Motto:
A Career Smuggler has to be lucky every time, Customs only has to be lucky once.

874. Re: Scanner in Western Europe / England

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 18:39:31 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010621143931.05021.00000175@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63841

>Subject: Scanner in Western Europe / England
>From: zankers@hutch.com.au (Steven)
>Date: 6/16/01 7:56 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3b2bf11b.2853971@news.orangemail.com.au>
>
>I'm thinking of taking a scanner and using it in England, but first I
>will be visiting France and Austria. Dont know the language, so it
>will remain turned off in those countries, but it will still be in my
>posession.
>
>In England, however, I intend to use it. What are the legal
>implications for possession and/or use in all 3?
>
>FYI: It covers 500khz to 1300mhz unbroken.
>
>TIA
>Steven
>

England: Legal to own and use but only on Public Frequencies: TV, Radio, Ham and what you are entitled to Monitor, Police Scanning is a No No. See the cited Page at the end to read up on this.

France: Scanners are reported to be illegal and Ham Radios are not favored.

Austria: Permit needed to import and another to own, but this does not permit use; also Tourists are NOT exempt from this.

See the following Site:
http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/laws.htm

Also for US Scanner Laws:
http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/

You should understand that outside the USA someone with a Wide Band Communications Receiver may be seen as a Spy.

Just be careful in your activities, possibly leave the Scanner at home and bring a Short Wave Radio; see:
http://www.spynumbers.com/
(Go figure)

Have a safe trip,

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!

Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

875. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 18:45:45 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010621144545.05021.00000176@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63843

>Subject: Police Data bursts...can you read them?
>From: "Bow" kd5kzn@ev1.net
>Date: 6/8/01 9:34 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3b20d47a_2@newsa.ev1.net>
>
>
>I was wondering if you could recieve and decode the data packets that are
>transmitted to the police in the field over the airwaves.
>
> It is probably like recieveing weather satelites...correct?
>
>Anyone know anything about this?
>
>Thanks,
>
>Bow
>
Possibly you mean MDT?
In car Computers.

Yes it is possible to get these Signals and to Decode them, but this is illegal
in the USA.

Try searching http://www.dogile.com/
under the terms:
"Bill Cheek and MDT"
"MDT Hack" etc.....

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

876. Re: Radioshack *best scanner poll*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 18:45:47 UTC
From: Jim Martin <jimmart131@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B3240BB.8070306@earthlink.net>
Source record: 63842

I agree, the 2067 is a great scanner.

Bill Crocker wrote:

> That's a very broad question! Of course it depends on frequency coverage,
> and desired features.
>
> Of their current production, my favorites, both manufactured by GRE, for
> Radio Shack; PRO-92[b] hand-held, and the PRO-2067 mobile/base.
>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
> "R.Glazier" <rogsmail@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:9fjabt$7mo$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
>
>> Hi
>> It would be interesting to here what everybody thinks of radioshack
>> scanners,
>> which one/model would YOU say was the best.
>> RG
>>
>>
>>

877. Re: Waddington Air Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 18:46:53 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010621144653.05021.00000177@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63844

>Subject: Waddington Air Show
>From: "Robert Offer" robert@g1zjp.freeserve.com
>Date: 6/14/01 1:58 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9g9jqk$bs9$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
>
>Hi all,
>I am new to the group and scanners AND air shows.
>I am planning to visit the Waddington show - can anybody tell me what
>frequencies I need to listen to for the tower and traffic, please?
>TIA
>Bob
>

Click the Link to PB Scanner in my Signature File, then go to Aircraft/Airports
under More Frequencies.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

878. ignore-test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 18:49:13 UTC
From: Pizza Man <pizza-man@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9gtfprov2@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63845

```
ignore-test
```

879. Re: Icom R3 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 18:50:33 UTC
From: Pizza Man <pizza-man@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9gtfsb$36j$2@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63846

Ink addict <inkaddict@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010619121605.03607.00000025@ng-fi1.aol.com...
> How do I scan all the video freq. I have the manual but I am still can't
do it.
> Can anyone help?
> Bob

880. Re: Rich Well's Wants to Move to subscription Service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 18:53:36 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010621145336.05021.00000179@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63847

>Subject: Rich Well's Wants to Move to subscription Service.
>From: Applebee Applebee@invalid.xx.au
>Date: 6/13/01 6:41 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <tifr258c5n7448@corp.supernews.com>
>
> Apparently Well's is being put out of business by all the
>Scanner buffs that visit his web page and download the message section and
>his reviews, so now he is going to move to a subscription service. Everyone
>interested should point there html web site retrieval programs and download
>his entire web site. I figure if 1000 people do this his bill will go up
>another $1000 this month.

Now why would you want to do this to someone who has been an obvious help to the Scanner Hobby.

I read his post and understand how difficult maintaining a Current and Helpful Site can be, I was forced off a Free Host and had to re-write everything on Compuserve.

I do this not for money or fame, just to be a help to old and new Hobby Scanners alike.

I wonder if you have produced any meaningful content as related to Scanning?

IMO: I would pay to use Strong Signals!

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

881. Re: Data packets on aircraft unicom freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 20:28:38 UTC
From: Bill Jones <wejones@megalink.net>
Message-ID: <3B3258F6.51471EA7@megalink.net>
Source record: 63848

> >I'm not familiar with ADS-B. What is that?
>
> If you're familiar with APRS, think of ADS-B as a version of APRS on
> steroids. I've heard it was under development in areas where TRACON
> coverage is poor (such as Alaskan airfields in mountainous regions).
> I do not know what frequencies they use or whether it's being tested
> anywhere else.
>

OK, thanks. Yes, I've used APRS. And what you describe sounds like the "experimental system" that I had mentioned, which I think was called "Capstone", but I didn't know much more about it than the name. That would be very interesting if what I was hearing was something like that. It was heard in an appropriate place for something like that, ie in a relatively mountanous area where planes can't be followed on radar.

Thanks for the info.

*Bill Jones N3JLQ Sweden Maine *
* wejones@megalink.net *
* Main home page http://www.megalink.net/~wejones *
* WWII/B-17 page http://www.megalink.net/~wejones/wwii.html *

882. Any Scanner Mod Links??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 21:04:08 UTC
From: hudsonvalleycomm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010621170408.10574.00000320@ng-fg1.aol.com>
Source record: 63849

Hello. Does any one have any links to scanner link pages? I have a 400 channel portable scanner model PRO-64 from RS. Looking for any mods for it. Full 800 MHz? Thanks in advance!
G

883. Re: Movement question (Sweden to US).

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 21:09:32 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010621170932.08391.00000423@ng-fw1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63850

>Subject: Movement question (Sweden to US).
>From: "Johan SM4XFJ" sm4xfj@hotmail.com
>Date: 6/12/01 7:35 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <OCxV6.11693$vR1.35389@nntpserver.swip.net>
>
>Hi!
>Thanks to thoes who answer me on my last question....
>I would need help again now... But this time it's about moving from Sweden
>to the states...
>I got some radios here and i don't know if i can take them over the Atlantic
>Sea...
>I've heard about thoes restrications on 790 MHz or something...
>What is this? Not such over here...
>Got a IC-R2, IC-R3, IC-T7H, a swedish police scanner, Realistic Pro-70.
>All the ICOM radios is of course european versions, and the T7H is opened
>with TX abillity
>on your police frequencys... I don't want to get cought in the customs (of
>course)...
>Anyone who can inform me on this things maybe? It's going to take some years
>before I move over
>but it would be nice to know before I'm starting to plan too much!
>And what about my callsign... Is it just to send in a formular to FCC or
>ARRL?
>Anyone might have experience of this, who could give me tips... I would
>really appreciate an answer (as usually..)
>
>Best 73's from SM4XFJ... Who know what it will be in the future...
>
>Johan Nilsson, Karlstad (Vï¿½rmland), Sweden...
>P.S Many imigrants from this part of Sweden, one day i'll join them!!!! D.S
>

Importing a Scanner is fine provided it does not cover the US Cellular Bands:
824-894MHz

Having a radio on Police Frequencies is one thing but keying the mic is
another, you must be Licensed or authorized to use any given Frequency.

As for the Ham License, you can take the Tec. No Code Exam and be on the air in
days,
rather simple test and given you are an experienced ham then this should not be
a problem for you.

The question about 790,
790 MHz is the TV Ch. 67 Frequency here in the USA.

Visit the following Sites for further on Ham here in the US:
http://www.arrl.org/
http://www.qrz.com/

Hope this helps,

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

884. Re: Pro-25 for a beginner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 21:18:59 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010621171859.08391.00000427@ng-fw1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63851

>Subject: Pro-25 for a beginner
>From: ScanMan Anonymous@Yahoo.com
>Date: 6/12/01 4:50 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B26812F.D4F2893F@Yahoo.com>
>
>I have a RadioShack Pro-25. It is a couple of years old and I am just
>getting back into scanning. I don't know too much about scanning and
>all the terms and how everything works. If someone could point me to a
>good beginner site I would appreciate it. I would also like to know if
>anyone knows of some good sites where I can modify my scanner to do
>*certain* things. Any sites would be great for either learning or
>modifying. I really want to get into this. Thanks.
>

Welcome to the World of Scanners,

For a great intorduction to Scanning, see:
http://www.strongsignals.net/

A copy of Police Call which is available at Radio Shack is a good start.

Reading the Newsgroups will help you as you learn more about the Lingo and
Technology.

Modifications for the Pro-25 are available here:
http://www.mods.dk/mods.php3?model=pro-25&radio=radio_shack

Before you do any Screwdriver Surgery on your Scanner; plug in Ham, Police,
Fire, Air and Business Frequencies to hear everything going on over the Air.

Hope this gets ya started,

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

885. trunk ids in south florida?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 21:37:00 UTC
From: sflrdajars@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010621173700.03541.00000679@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 63852

i have seen these ids on my scanner and i don't know what they are...i think they are used by the fort lauderdale pd. they are 29520, 30000, 30032, 21104, 21936, 31888, 30352. Any help would be great.

886. Re: Freq. wtd for Renfrew, ON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 21:40:21 UTC
From: Champ <champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <01c0fa9a$be902840$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a>
Source record: 63853
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anthing I don't have already. All the local freq's pd, fire, amb. I just figured if there is something new out there someone might know
>
> they come out every 2 yrs; my last one is 1999, what freq do you want?
>
>

887. Re: Microwave

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-21 22:46:04 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B32792B.B6C152A5@home.com>
Source record: 63854

>
>
> chris wrote:
> >
> > Is there any scanner out there to listen low/medium microwave
> > communication?

I thought for sure someone would suggest putting ones head in a
microwave, but the door won't shut. doh! < i know it was
stupid.... sri.. :-)
Mike K8MB

888. Re: Rich Well's Wants to Move to subscription Service.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 00:07:03 UTC
From: Artfull Codger <KilldaSpammers@home.com>
Message-ID: <c435jtg4onrkjdanapmg1j8lleounqim02@4ax.com>
Source record: 63855

On 21 Jun 2001 18:53:36 GMT, pbscanner@cs.com (PB Scanner) wrote:

>>Subject: Rich Well's Wants to Move to subscription Service.
>>From: Applebee Applebee@invalid.xx.au
>>Date: 6/13/01 6:41 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <tifr258c5n7448@corp.supernews.com>
>>
>> Apparently Well's is being put out of business by all the
>>Scanner buffs that visit his web page and download the message section and
>>his reviews, so now he is going to move to a subscription service. Everyone
>>interested should point there html web site retrieval programs and download
>>his entire web site. I figure if 1000 people do this his bill will go up
>>another $1000 this month.
>
>Now why would you want to do this to someone who has been an obvious help to
>the Scanner Hobby.
>
>I read his post and understand how difficult maintaining a Current and Helpful
>Site can be, I was forced off a Free Host and had to re-write everything on
>Compuserve.
>
>I do this not for money or fame, just to be a help to old and new Hobby
>Scanners alike.
>
>I wonder if you have produced any meaningful content as related to Scanning?
>
>IMO: I would pay to use Strong Signals!
>
>
>
>= = = = = = = = = =
>Palm Beach Scanner
>If its on the Air We Scan it!
>Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner
Same here! Rich has even e mailed me in the past years to offer
"sincere help" in my quest for the perfect radio or scanner. He has
never done anything but CONTRIBUTE! If ever I met someone I would be
happy to support, it is this man. Mike Cartwright

The sending of any unsolicited email advertising messages to this domain will result in the
imposition of civil liability against you in
accordance with Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code Section 17538.45.

Lucy and Ethel - Oceanside, California

Because we all have the ability to really do something if we choose too, please visit this site & help! It doesn't cost anything, and who knows, you may just change the world. Please just visit:
http://members.ud.com/services/teams/team.htm?id=16E0F69B-E2DF-4117-A18A-E0F1B9D59C7C

---

889. Re: battery packs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 01:16:49 UTC
From: aa2as@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010621211649.09026.00000372@ng-fs1.aol.com>
Source record: 63856

Metro West is under going new ownership
may not be around much longer

890. Re: Any Scanner Mod Links??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 02:01:38 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B32A702.1354@yahoo.com>
Source record: 63857

HudsonValleyComm wrote:
>
> Hello. Does any one have any links to scanner link pages?
> I have a 400 channel portable scanner model PRO-64 from RS.
> Looking for any mods for it. Full 800 MHz? Thanks in advance!
> G

Sheesh! Can you be more lazy? Go to yahoo.com and put in the
search window "pro 64 mods". Wow......
--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½
----

891. Re: BC700A Cellular Restoration

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 02:21:47 UTC
From: mamaspajamas <yougottadelthisx@alexis.com>
Message-ID: <9gu9nv$f7b$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63858

If the date code on the back is older than Jan 94, or some Feb94<
Here is the rule:

Date of mfg code must not end in the letters "ID" or after. (ID=94),
except for OAID (Jan94). (On BC700A some "OBID" (Feb94) work)

If newer you cannot modify it.
The modification involves taking the radio completely apart and cutting
loose a part in the little circuit board that is vertically mounted in the
front display area. I don't have the procedure available, and all the mod
sites on the net seem to have been closed down. If I ever notice it I will
post it here, but the fact that you can run a search and not find it in this
newsgroup in the past indicates to me that someone has been removing posts
containing such mods from the archives.

"AA6GB" <aa6gb@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010620214702.03589.00000243@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> Need info to restore cellular coverage to my BC700A scanner

892. Re: Can Digital Cellular be Heard?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 02:30:18 UTC
From: "3.14159265358979323846264338327 95" <rfspace@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <_4yY6.14662$ID2.4957945@typhoon.jacksonville.mediaone.net>
Source record: 63859
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

YES you can. They sound like noise

"1 Angry Dude" <oneangrydude@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:S_KV6.4153$qJ4.185865@ozemail.com.au...
> No. We here in Australia use the digital mobile phone network and there
is
> no way that the 'people' can listen in on their scanners. Even the
Federal
> Govt. have problems with this technology...phone taps and the like.
>
> Hope this helps...a little
>
> Angry
>
> "hijax" <hijax@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:9g7s7e$b1cm$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com...
> > I'm sure that someone will have a high-tech way of doing it, but can the
> > average Joe with the average unblocked scanner hear what I'm saying on
my
> > cell phone if I'm in the digital (CDMA 800) mode?
> >
> > -hijax
> >
> >
>
>

893. Re: USAF Thunderbirds - Current Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 03:13:14 UTC
From: Jim MacDonald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B32B7CA.6912E8B8@mitre.org>
Source record: 63860

Look for the show demonstration on 141.850 AM. If you have an FM only scanner you
can still listen on FM but it's distorted. Look for their maintenance folks on 413.025
with a PL of 146.2 and I like to keep 413 to say 413.7 or 414 MHZ in the search bank to
look for other T-bird maintenance freq's. On the day after the show look for T-bird flight
following clearance delivery (Flight Plain filing) on the normal base UHF freq.'s I also
check out the FRS 462. MHZ band at the shows they are very busy.
Have fun, Jim
Richard Nests wrote:
>
> The Thunderbirds are in town the weekend.
> I'd like to monitor them during their show.
>
> I've searched and found lists of freqs, (many)!!
> Lists and lists.
>
> My question. Anyone monitored them lately?
> What freqs are they currently using?
> AM or FM mode?
>
> MANY thanks, in advance!!!
>
> Please feel free to reply here or directly.
> Just remove the nospam.

894. Re: Any Scanner Mod Links??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 09:30:08 UTC
From: DJ6JZ <DJ6JZ@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <3b3398e1_1@news.arcor-ip.de>
Source record: 63874

You could try
http://www.radio-portal.org
click on "Search in broad"
and combine the categories "Scanners" and "Modifications"
Good luck!

Willi Passmann

HudsonValleyComm <hudsonvalleycomm@aol.com> schrieb in im Newsbeitrag:
20010621170408.10574.00000320@ng-fg1.aol.com...
> Hello. Does any one have any links to scanner link pages? I have a 400
channel
> portable scanner model PRO-64 from RS. Looking for any mods for it. Full
800
> MHz? Thanks in advance!
> G

895. FS/FT: Bearcat BC895XLT TrunkTracker Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 10:47:04 UTC
From: Alex - KC0AK <alexjent@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <ImFY6.21$pe7.11835439@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT TrunkTracker in excellent condition.

Controllable from your computer.

Freq Range: 29 to 956 MHZ in 14 bands.

With manual, computer cable(serial) and antenna Shipped(CONUS)
to your door $150.

See at: http://pages.prodigy.net/alexjent/BC895XLT.jpg

Thanks,
Alex

KC0AK
Independence, MN 55357

896. Re: Any Scanner Mod Links??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 11:56:30 UTC
From: Alex - KC0AK <alexjent@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <OnGY6.38$xe1.19756176@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63862

Lighten up, Ken!

Maybe the guy doesn't know that stuff. Share your wisdom & smile.

Alex - KC0AK

Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3B32A702.1354@yahoo.com...
> HudsonValleyComm wrote:
> >
> > Hello. Does any one have any links to scanner link pages?
> > I have a 400 channel portable scanner model PRO-64 from RS.
> > Looking for any mods for it. Full 800 MHz? Thanks in advance!
> > G
>
>
> Sheesh! Can you be more lazy? Go to yahoo.com and put in the
> search window "pro 64 mods". Wow.....
> --
>
> /`\\\
> (@ @)
> *--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------*
> ﷺKen Bessler, Ham Callsign KGﷺWXﷺ
> ﷺ Design Services Company ﷺ
> ﷺ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ﷺ
> ﷺ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ﷺ
> ﷺ ICQ# 63379650ﷺ ﷺ
> *--------------------------------*

897. Re: FRS Radio Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 14:01:13 UTC
From: Power House <"Power House"@My>
Message-ID: <tj6jqheuch7cc8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63864

It doesn't appear to be able to do repeater splits...

I'm not too familiar with GMRS, but is it limited to 15 channels? The Wal-Mart units are 15 channel. According to the package, there are 7 FRS and 8 GMRS channels. 38 sub-codes, output power of 1.5 or 1.8 watts (forget). Doesn't say on the package, anywhere obvious, that a license is required for it's operation... There is a notation on the back of the package about this, but it is in basic print, blends in with the rest of the gibberish, and can be easily overlooked (I almost didn't see it myself).

The unit is an Audiovox brand, and is hanging up right next to the Audiovox's straight FRS units. The packages look nearly identical. The front of the GMRS package boasts "Up to 5 mile range." Tell me that a normal customer, uneducated about radio, isn't going to pick up the "5 mile range" unit over the "2 mile range" one... The price difference isn't that significant for them not to consider it, especially since chances are they will not even realize they need a license to operate it, until it's home and out of the box... And that's if by chance they bother to read the instruction booklet, which most people don't..... Then again, who even knows if it's in the instruction booklet...

GMRS is going to be the next MURS here pretty soon, if they don't start labeling these things better...

"Chris" <csnichol@netusa1.net> wrote in message
news:3B3358AC.6119@netusa1.net...
> I have not seen the GMRS stuff at Walmart yet. Can they do
> repeater splits ?
> Chris
>
>
> Mike M wrote:
> >
> > Maybe not, but I saw a GMRS/FRS radio at Walmart for $55. Covers the
> > same ? frequencies with 2 watts instead of 1/2 watt. You are required
> > to get a license, to be legal.
> >
> > On Mon, 18 Jun 2001 16:49:34 -0500 (CDT), jglas@webtv.net wrote:
> >
> > >Are there any mods to kick up the transmitting power on these radios?
> > >

898. ? AF aircraft freqs used on training flights?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 14:36:35 UTC
From: Bill Jones <wejones@megalink.net>
Message-ID: <3B3357F3.36D0D07@megalink.net>
Source record: 63865

I live directly under a military training route (MTR), and several
times a week have F-16s, warthogs, F-15s, F-18s, etc flying directly
over the house. Mostly AF planes, but sometimes Navy. I have no idea
of where they are coming from, but I would really like to figure out
what frequency they are using, mainly to give me a little warning to go
outside and see them (ie they come over so low, just above tree height,
that by the time I hear them, I can barely get out of my chair before
they are gone). I have seen one freq on one of the scanner sites of
252.8, which is supposed to be a common tactical training frequency, but
I was wondering whether anyone can suggest any other freqs (or a general
area, since I have one of those scanners with a spectrum display that
will show activity in a 1 MHz band).
Or, is there any other way of detecting their approach, such as
detecting emissions from radar that they might have on board or
something? Those guys really fly low. Nothing like being buzzed by an
F-16 so close that you can see the pilot. It would be nice to monitor
them too.

*Bill Jones N3JLQ Sweden Maine *
* wejones@megalink.net *
* Main home page http://www.megalink.net/~wejones *
* WWII/B-17 page http://www.megalink.net/~wejones/wwii.html *

899. Re: FRS Radio Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 14:39:40 UTC
From: Chris <csnichol@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <3B3358AC.6119@netusa1.net>
Source record: 63863

I have not seen the GMRS stuff at Walmart yet. Can they do
repeater splits ?
Chris

Mike M wrote:
>
> Maybe not, but I saw a GMRS/FRS radio at Walmart for $55. Covers the
> same ? frequencies with 2 watts instead of 1/2 watt. You are required
> to get a license, to be legal.
>
> On Mon, 18 Jun 2001 16:49:34 -0500 (CDT), jglas@webtv.net wrote:
>
> >Are there any mods to kick up the transmitting power on these radios?
> >

900. Re: ? AF aircraft freqs used on training flights?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 16:03:54 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010622120354.26052.00000595@ng-fb1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63866

>Subject: ? AF aircraft freqs used on training flights?
>From: Bill Jones wejones@megalink.net
>Date: 6/22/01 10:36 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B3357F3.36D0D07@megalink.net>
>
> I live directly under a military training route (MTR), and several
>times a week have F-16s, warthogs, F-15s, F-18s, etc flying directly
>over the house. Mostly AF planes, but sometimes Navy. I have no idea
>of where they are coming from, but I would really like to figure out
>what frequency they are using, mainly to give me a little warning to go
>outside and see them (ie they come over so low, just above tree height,
>that by the time I hear them, I can barely get out of my chair before
>they are gone). I have seen one freq on one of the scanner sites of
>252.8, which is supposed to be a common tactical training frequency, but
>I was wondering whether anyone can suggest any other freqs (or a general
>area, since I have one of those scanners with a spectrum display that
>will show activity in a 1 MHz band).
> Or, is there any other way of detecting their approach, such as
>detecting emissions from radar that they might have on board or
>something? Those guys really fly low. Nothing like being buzzed by an
>F-16 so close that you can see the pilot. It would be nice to monitor
>them too.
>
> *Bill Jones N3JLQ Sweden Maine *
> * wejones@megalink.net *
> * Main home page http://www.megalink.net/~wejones *
> * WWII/B-17 page http://www.megalink.net/~wejones/wwii.html *

Try the following Links:
http://www.panix.com/~clay/scanning/frequencies/states/nj/atlantic-city/ai
r.shtml

http://www.cybercomm.net/~slapshot/utemarks.html

http://www.geocities.com/ResearchTriangle/Lab/1145/military.htm

Just note that Communications are breif and you may not always have advanced
warning of the approach besides the noise.

Often the training will be on a set schedule, so once you have a feel for when
they are often in your area you should be set.

Hope the Links help,

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner

If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

901. Re: Any Scanner Mod Links??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 16:08:09 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010622120809.26052.00000596@ng-fb1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63867

>Subject: Any Scanner Mod Links??
>From: hudsonvalleycomm@aol.com (HudsonValleyComm)
>Date: 6/21/01 5:04 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010621170408.10574.00000320@ng-fg1.aol.com>
>
> Hello. Does any one have any links to scanner link pages? I have a 400
>channel
>portable scanner model PRO-64 from RS. Looking for any mods for it. Full 800
>MHz? Thanks in advance!
>

There are no Cellular Restore Modifications for the Pro-64.

You can check on other Models via:

http://www.mods.dk/
and
http://www.qrz.com/

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

902. Re: FS/FT: Bearcat BC895XLT TrunkTracker Scanner. !!!! SOLD !!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 16:17:58 UTC
From: Alex - KC0AK <alexjent@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9gvr26$e44o$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Alex - KC0AK <alexjent@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:ImFY6.21$pe7.11835439@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> Uniden Bearcat BC895XLT TrunkTracker in excellent condition.
>
> Controllable from your computer.
>
> Freq Range: 29 to 956 MHZ in 14 bands.
>
> With manual, computer cable(serial) and antenna Shipped(CONUS)
> to your door $150.
>
> See at: http://pages.prodigy.net/alexjent/BC895XLT.jpg
>
>
> Thanks,
> Alex
>
> KC0AK
> Independence, MN 55357
>
>
>
>
>

903. FA: 2 lots / Uniden BCT7 BearTracker 100 Chnl Scanner 3day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 17:37:05 UTC
From: Feline Technologies <nukingcats@_REMOVETHIS_home.com>
Message-ID: <5nLY6.97449$L4.11391821@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 63869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248848397

-ALSO-

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248347551

-ALSO-
Pro 75 Radio shack 200 Chnl scanner / ht / NR

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248350735

Thanks for looking.

Adam
nukingcats (at) home (dot) com

904. FA: Pro 75 Radio shack 200 Chnl scanner / ht / NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 17:38:31 UTC
From: Feline Technologies <nukingcats@_REMOVETHIS_home.com>
Message-ID: <roLY6.97453$L4.11392574@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 63870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Pro-75 handheld scanner. This one is nice. $40.00 right now.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248350735

Adam
nukingcats (at) home (dot) com

905. Re: Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 18:18:30 UTC
From: bigpimp <bigpimp@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <u_LY6.3943$Cc3.483881@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 63871
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bergum <no.mail@no.no> wrote in message

> Anyone know how to make a simple Squelch?
>
tread in dog shit !!

906. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 18:28:47 UTC
From: sparky@sparkytown.com
Message-ID: <3b3388da.207022099@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 63872

On 21 Jun 2001 18:45:45 GMT, pbscanner@cs.com (PB Scanner) wrote:

>
>Yes it is possible to get these Signals and to Decode them, but this is illegal
>in the USA.

No, it is not ILLEGAL to decode MDT in the US. It is sent unencrypted over the airwaves and is part and parcel of Public Service Transmissions (aka the police work for us, the taxpayers).

What is illegal is to make what you hear (or see in this case) on a scanner public.

In Bill Cheek's case he was unfairly prosecuted under the made-up legal process of vicarious liability. Someone in New York took one of his data slicer kits and then used it to decode MDT. The problem is that this person also posted several day's reception of MDT to a web site - which does violate the wording of the Federal Communications Act of 1939.

Some Judge in NY got a bug up his butt that somehow enabling technology is also illegal and issued an arrest warrant for Bill Cheek. The judge was and is wrong. A data slicer has many uses, and it's the person who uses it for good or bad who should be blamed... A data slicer is nothing but a bunch of components that can be bought for 9 bucks at Radio Shack...

This is akin to someone using a Camaro as a getaway car in a bank robbery and then holding the Chevy Dealer and General Motors responsible for the bank robbery. How about telephone scams where people use the phone to commit felonies? Do we arrest the executives of the phone company? Do we arrest the maker of the telephones involved? Why not? The crime could not be committed if the phones didn't exist. Same case where some cities tried to sue gun manufacturers for the resulting Gun violence. Sheesh!

The easiest way to decode MDT is to use WinMDT, as it requires no outboard hardware, other than a scanner with a baseband (discriminator) tap and the ability to receive in the 800 Mhz band. The decoding is done by a computer's soundcard.

So decode away. But don't blab what you see around town or post it to the internet (which is illegal). And don't do something stupid like show your mobile MDT decoder (in your car) to the local blue meanies (That's the police, for you kids out there).

73,

Sparky

907. FA: Uniden Bearcat BC 780XLT Trunktracker Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 19:06:32 UTC
From: ken@seemessage.com
Message-ID: <ken-2206011507100001@192.168.1.100>
Source record: 63873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

Please follow this link if you're interested in the 780XLT:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248428652

Thanks.

Regards,

Ken

--
My real email address is almost "ken@wino---grad.com".
Just delete the dashes, and it's for real.

908. FS: Realistic PRO-34 200 Channel Scanner w/case & manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 19:46:10 UTC
From: photosbychris@att.net
Message-ID: <3b339f7f.14485364@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 63875

Realistic Pro-34 200 Channel Scanner in excellent condition covering 30-54Mhz, 108-174Mhz, 380-512Mhz, 806-960Mhz. Includes duck antenna, custom made real hard leather professional case (a $100 value alone) that fits like a glove. It protects most of the radio while still allowing access to top controls and external DC power with unobstructed speaker audio. Owners manual, and factory service manual (not a copy, original) also included. This radio has been well cared for as the picture (http://photosbychris.home.att.net/pro34.jpg) illustrates providing years of excellent service. I purchased the radio new in the first year of production with never a need for service. The radio works as good as it looks, rarely used portable, mainly used to monitor the local public service bands and aircraft around the house in a non-smoker environment. I'll also load a fresh set of batteries so it's ready to go right out of the box, just program and play. This would make an excellent travel scanner for your summer vacation. Price is $250 shipping included, PayPal accepted.

Contact Chris at photosbychris@att.net

Photo at http://photosbychris.home.att.net/pro34.jpg

909. Re: FS or FT: Radio Shack PRO-2020

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 19:57:37 UTC
From: Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net
Message-ID: <tj78oq4sulp413@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I agree, nothing finer than owning a totally unmodifiable set, a precision Chinese instrument, complete with the use of masking tape to insulate exposed connections. This is top notch. To hell with the age when the products were constructed with enough materials that you could actually carry them across the room without the chassis flexing. Yes, these old sets are a nuisance, they can actually be repaired, (not every function is accomplished by some gigantic Integrated Circuit, comprising 75% of the radio's functions). And the old sets had that annoying feature where you could plug the cord directly into the wall, depriving us of the ability to overburden the real-estate of our outlets with those decorative wall warts. How stupid those old engineers were.

Don't get me wrong, the new stuff can be packed with features, and even if initially complex, the features allow much usefulness. The reliability seems very high on much of the equipment, minimizing the need for repair.

But the old stuff still has class.

In article <3B32380E.23CA1ED8@worldnet.att.net>, Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>Agreed. But being old does not automatically make it better, either.
>For a little more money, you can get a base scanner which is smaller,
>covers more bands, and has more channels. And doesn't have 15 years
>of use on it. Electronics does wear out.
>
>Walt
>
>Joe wrote:
>>
>> Being old does not mean bad technology
>>snip

910. New Jersey State Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 20:40:03 UTC
From: nj00140900@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ade5cabb.0106221240.1da18d73@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63877

Does anyone have or know where I can get the NJSP Troop "B" Zone Numbering.

Example

Zone 10 is Parsippany(Rt.80 in Morris County)

Thanks

Bob D.

911. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 20:56:03 UTC
From: sparky@sparkytown.com
Message-ID: <3b34b0dc.217265562@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 63878

On Sun, 17 Jun 2001 01:55:58 GMT, Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net (Soliloquy)
wrote:

>Problem is that if you investigate your child's room for illicit
>paraphernalia, you are violating their privacy. If your child would happen to
>possess illicit paraphernalia and the authorities discover it before you do,
>you can have your home confiscated under the provisions of the anti-drug laws
>that exists in the U.S.
>

Agreed. People have been prosecuted for taping their children's phone
conversations. A man in Phoenix was arrested for domestic violence
for kicking in the locked door of a teenage child - who was engaged in
the felonious activity of smoking marijuana and was refusing to open
the door. I attended University in the State of Arizona, and police
routinely kick in doors in that state when they suspect a felony is in
progress on the other side...

Recently in my area, a couple lost their home, and their jobs,
because their two teenage son's were selling drugs that they had
stashed in the basement of the family house. The police deemed that
it was a criminal enterprise. The parent's claimed they had no
knowledge of the activity and passed lie detector tests to that
effect... But the home was still put up for auction.

One of my friends was having problems with his teenage daughters.
Mostly smoking and chatting with ADULT men on the phone or on the
computer. One day when they were at school, he disconnected the
wiring to their bedroom phones at the junction box and removed the
doors to their respective bedrooms. Problem solved. :)

Sparky

912. pro-74

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 21:36:30 UTC
From: "Thalions.place" <tarmour@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9h0dm9pha1@husk.cso.niu.edu>
Source record: 63879

Is there any way to open up a pro-74 for continuous coverage. 1k-2ghz ?
Thanks.

913. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 21:46:24 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.159d5c522e60eb989749@news>
Source record: 63880

In article <3b34b0dc.217265562@news.qwest.net>, sparky@sparkytown.com
says...
> On Sun, 17 Jun 2001 01:55:58 GMT, Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net (Soliloquy)
> wrote:
>
>
> >Problem is that if you investigate your child's room for illicit
> >paraphernalia, you are violating their privacy. If your child would happen to
> >possess illicit paraphernalia and the authorities discover it before you do,
> >you can have your home confiscated under the provisions of the anti-drug laws
> >that exists in the U.S.
> >
>
> Agreed. People have been prosecuted for taping their children's phone
> conversations. A man in Phoenix was arrested for domestic violence
> for kicking in the locked door of a teenage child - who was engaged in
> the felonious activity of smoking marijuana and was refusing to open
> the door.

Did you fail to mention said man in Phoenix kicked the sh*t out of his
daughter when he finished the door?

> I attended University in the State of Arizona, and police
> routinely kick in doors in that state when they suspect a felony is in
> progress on the other side...

Yeah, that is part of the job.

>
> Recently in my area, a couple lost their home, and their jobs,
> because their two teenage son's were selling drugs that they had
> stashed in the basement of the family house. The police deemed that
> it was a criminal enterprise. The parent's claimed they had no
> knowledge of the activity and passed lie detector tests to that
> effect... But the home was still put up for auction.

Sad story. My two teenagers are dealing drugs and using my basement for
storage. Why should I know what my teenage kids are dealing drugs? Oh
course the parents claimed to have no knowledge whether they did or not.
THE PARENTS RAISED THESE TEEN DRUG DEALERS. Nobody else. Maybe, just
maybe some other parent will remove his head from his posterior and take
take responsibility for their spawn. WHO RAISED THESE DARLING TEEN
DEALERS? Let them pay for these worthless contributions to society they
raised.

> One of my friends was having problems with his teenage daughters.
> Mostly smoking and chatting with ADULT men on the phone or on the
> computer. One day when they were at school, he disconnected the
> wiring to their bedroom phones at the junction box and removed the
> doors to their respective bedrooms. Problem solved. :)

You already posted that story and like I said, the guy didn't solve his problem. His daughters are the exact same people HE raised before he yanked the phone. He nor they have any respect for anyone at all. A totally dysfunctional family. The daughters will still smoke and like older men. Now they will find another way to smoke and find older men without father being bothered. Who raised these kids or IOW if he did his job as a parent years before he wouldn't have to deal with this stuff now. Wow!

914. Re: Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 22:18:21 UTC
From: Tal <terry@raota1365.fsnet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <9h0g4b$me4$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 63882
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yep buy the Wife a Vibrator :-)

"Bergum" <no.mail@no.no> wrote in message
news:9gsq01$f03$1@taliesin.netcom.net.uk...
> Anyone know how to make a simple Squelch?
>
>
>
>

915. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 22:26:56 UTC
From: sparky@sparkytown.com
Message-ID: <3b33bfef.221124831@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 63881

On Fri, 22 Jun 2001 21:46:24 GMT, Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:

>
>
>Did you fail to mention said man in Phoenix kicked the sh*t out of his
>daughter when he finished the door?
>

Different scenario. That man used a belt on his teenage daugter after
she assualted a pregnant woman, I believe. The story I'm referring to
was a teenage boy and no VIOLENCE was directed toward him. The father
kicked in the door siezed the illegal drugs and flushed them...

>> I attended University in the State of Arizona, and police
>> routinely kick in doors in that state when they suspect a felony is in
>> progress on the other side...
>

>> WHO RAISED THESE DARLING TEEN
>DEALERS? Let them pay for these worthless contributions to society they
>raised.

Corruption of blood is condsidered unconstitutional in the US. It is
morally repugnant. Their mom was a public educator and recently
voted teacher of the year.

Gee, I seem to recall doing all sorts of things my parents didn't know
about - some of them not legal... My parents raised me knowing right
from wrong, but there is such thing as free will. And there are
people out there who are just plain bad.

I grew up with kids whose parent's set limits, strict discipline,
love, private Catholic schools, the whole nine-yards... and still
their kids ended up in prison or dead with a needle in their arm.

OTOH, I know kids from broken homes with awful selfish parents and
were victims of all sorts of abuse who have developed into wonderful
moral upright adults.

Contrary to popular belief there is no "recipe" for rasing children to
become well adjusted moral upright people. Like I said, people have
free will, including children.

>
>You already posted that story and like I said, the guy didn't solve his
>problem.

When did I post that?

>His daughters are the exact same people HE raised before he
>yanked the phone. He nor they have any respect for anyone at all. A
>totally dysfunctional family. The daughters will still smoke and like
>older men. Now they will find another way to smoke and find older men
>without father being bothered. Who raised these kids or IOW if he did his
>job as a parent years before he wouldn't have to deal with this stuff
>now. Wow!

Hmmm.... I've known this man for many years. Quite a moral fellow.
He and his wife are both teachers. Outstanding kids for the most
part. Honors students, active in the community, etc. It seems to me
most teenage kids push the boundaries and experiment. This is not
dysfunctional, it's normal. Where did you get your degree in
psychology? When did you ever examine this family in a group session
to determine this dysfunctionality? I find it odd that you can make
overbroad statements about people you don't even know.

I remember once seeing a teenage Amish girl wearing lipstick near
Wooster, Ohio, which is a huge act of rebellion in her community...
Is her family dysfunctional too?

Sparky

916. Following Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 22:50:39 UTC
From: JJ@Dynomite.com
Message-ID: <3b34d895.1028842@news1.uncensored-news.com>
Source record: 63883

Ok, I've had an Ar8000 for the past 3.5 years.
I'm curious (for educational purposes) as to what's the best way to
setup the search banks to search and follow the freq (cel) as it
changes from tower to tower without having to search the whole range.

thx

Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 - http://www.uncensored-news.com
With Seven Servers In California And Texas - The Worlds Uncensored News Source

917. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-22 23:15:18 UTC
From: Alex - KC0AK <alexjent@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9h0jgk$cpr6$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63884

The real point here is that the government has removed the parents right to control their children. The slightest claim of whacking a kid lands you in jail or pay exorbitant costs to defend yourself from prosecution court. This has empowered the kids and leaves the parents unable to control some headstrong kid. Sometimes you just can't talk people, large or small to see your way of thinking.

Our kids are taught(outside of home) to disrespect and defy authority of any kind be it government, school or even at home.

It's in vogue these days it seems.

Big government....isn't it great!

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.159d5c522e60eb989749@news...
> In article <3b34b0dc.217265562@news.qwest.net>, sparky@sparkytown.com
> says...
> > On Sun, 17 Jun 2001 01:55:58 GMT, Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net (Soliloquy)
> > wrote:
> >
> >
> > >Problem is that if you investigate your child's room for illicit
> > >paraphernalia, you are violating their privacy. If your child would
happen to
> > >possess illicit paraphernalia and the authorities discover it before
you do,
> > >you can have your home confiscated under the provisions of the
anti-drug laws
> > >that exists in the U.S.
> > >
> >
> > Agreed. People have been prosecuted for taping their children's phone
> > conversations. A man in Phoenix was arrested for domestic violence
> > for kicking in the locked door of a teenage child - who was engaged in
> > the felonious activity of smoking marijuana and was refusing to open
> > the door.
>
> Did you fail to mention said man in Phoenix kicked the sh*t out of his
> daughter when he finished the door?
>
> > I attended University in the State of Arizona, and police
> > routinely kick in doors in that state when they suspect a felony is in
> > progress on the other side...
>

> Yeah, that is part of the job.
>
> >
> > Recently in my area, a couple lost their home, and their jobs,
> > because their two teenage son's were selling drugs that they had
> > stashed in the basement of the family house. The police deemed that
> > it was a criminal enterprise. The parent's claimed they had no
> > knowledge of the activity and passed lie detector tests to that
> > effect... But the home was still put up for auction.
>
> Sad story. My two teenagers are dealing drugs and using my basement for
> storage. Why should I know what my teenage kids are dealing drugs? Oh
> course the parents claimed to have no knowledge whether they did or not.
> THE PARENTS RAISED THESE TEEN DRUG DEALERS. Nobody else. Maybe, just
> maybe some other parent will remove his head from his posterior and take
> take responsibility for their spawn. WHO RAISED THESE DARLING TEEN
> DEALERS? Let them pay for these worthless contributions to society they
> raised.
>
> > One of my friends was having problems with his teenage daughters.
> > Mostly smoking and chatting with ADULT men on the phone or on the
> > computer. One day when they were at school, he disconnected the
> > wiring to their bedroom phones at the junction box and removed the
> > doors to their respective bedrooms. Problem solved. :)
>
>
> You already posted that story and like I said, the guy didn't solve his
> problem. His daughters are the exact same people HE raised before he
> yanked the phone. He nor they have any respect for anyone at all. A
> totally dysfunctional family. The daughters will still smoke and like
> older men. Now they will find another way to smoke and find older men
> without father being bothered. Who raised these kids or IOW if he did his
> job as a parent years before he wouldn't have to deal with this stuff
> now. Wow!

918. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 00:07:04 UTC
From: sparky@sparkytown.com
Message-ID: <3b33dd23.228601671@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 63885

On Fri, 22 Jun 2001 18:15:18 -0500, "Alex - KC0AK"
<alexjent@prodigy.net> wrote:

>The real point here is that the government has removed the parents right to
>control their children. The slightest claim of whacking a kid lands you in
>jail or pay exorbitant costs to defend yourself from prosecution court.
>This has empowered the kids and leaves the parents unable to control some
>headstrong kid. Sometimes you just can't talk people, large or small to see
>your way of thinking.
>

You've got no argument from me. But as long as there are people out
there like Glen, the trend can only get worse.

Sparky

919. Re: New Jersey State Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 00:23:06 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B33E169.575F6D0B@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63886

If you find it, please post it.

Thanks

Walt

"R. Dubenezic" wrote:
>
> Does anyone have or know where I can get the NJSP Troop "B" Zone Numbering.
>
> Example
>
> Zone 10 is Parsippany(Rt.80 in Morris County)
>
> Thanks
>
> Bob D.

920. Re: Ooops, guess I was wrong about eBay and our newsgroup WHINERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 00:29:27 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B33E2E4.6BA0EB8B@home.com>
Source record: 63887
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi Dan,

As far as I'm concerned please feel free to post anything you like in here. The whining minority has far too much to say about anything and everything. I'm going to post scanner related Ebay sale items here and they will have to deal with it.

Mike K8MB

921. Re: Any Scanner Mod Links??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 00:32:35 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <pqo7jtov5i92vm1kng8n03hkjpun3bq3t3@4ax.com>
Source record: 63762

On 22 Jun 2001 16:08:09 GMT, pbscanner@cs.com (PB Scanner) wrote:

>http://www.mods.dk/

Yes - that site has the PRO-64 cell mod. :-)

922. Re: FS or FT: Radio Shack PRO-2020

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 01:23:08 UTC
From: "Dan B (Scanner Nuts)" <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <48SY6.23957$9r1.2007443@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 63888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

> In article <3B32380E.23CA1ED8@worldnet.att.net>, Walt Bertram
> <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >Agreed. But being old does not automatically make it better, either.
> >For a little more money, you can get a base scanner which is smaller,
> >covers more bands, and has more channels. And doesn't have 15 years
> >of use on it. Electronics does wear out.
==========

"Soliloquy" <Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net> wrote in message
news:tj78oq4sulp413@corp.supernews.com...
>
> I agree, nothing finer than owning a totally unmodifiable set, a precision
> Chinese instrument, complete with the use of masking tape to insulate
exposed
> connections. This is top notch. To hell with the age when the products
were
> constructed with enough materials that you could actually carry them
across
> the room without the chassis flexing. Yes, these old sets are a nuisance,
> they can actually be repaired, (not every function is accomplished by some
> gigantic Integrated Circuit, comprising 75% of the radio's functions). And
the
> old sets had that annoying feature where you could plug the cord directly
into
> the wall, depriving us of the ability to overburden the real-estate of our
> outlets with those decorative wall warts. How stupid those old engineers
were.

> Don't get me wrong, the new stuff can be packed with features, and even if
> initially complex, the features allow much usefulness. The reliability
seems
> very high on much of the equipment, minimizing the need for repair.

> But the old stuff still has class.

Amen Brother! Today's scanners are the throw away type. I have new ones but
still love the oldies.
Thank God I don't live in a town where I "have" to have a trunk tracker
(yet) just to hear my local police. Any old scanner will do the job.

--
The Scanner Nuts! ☺
http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
0ver 330 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
New and Old.... bring back the memories.

In God we trust, all others we monitor.

923. Re: LAPD Gone Digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 02:26:09 UTC
From: robdon33@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010622222609.08400.00000772@ng-fw1.aol.com>
Source record: 63889

Hang in there folks. There's been word that Uniden is planning a new scanner that is capable of picking up these digital signals... Orange County (at least 3/4s of it) is also digital, so many police frequencies in the 460 MHz range in that area is silent.

924. Re: Ooops, guess I was wrong about eBay and our newsgroup WHINERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 02:45:22 UTC
From: Walkndude <walkndude@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <6pTY6.78386$mG4.36452493@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 63890
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Right on!

walkndude

"Mike Burch" <libertybell7@home.com> wrote in message
news:3B33E2E4.6BA0EB8B@home.com...
> Hi Dan,
>
> As far as I'm concerned please feel free to post anything you like
> in here. The whining minority has far too much to say about anything
> and everything. I'm going to post scanner related Ebay sale items
> here and they will have to deal with it.
>
> Mike K8MB
>

925. Home Antenna Suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 03:46:26 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9h13cp$m98$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63892
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi All, does anyone have any feedback on the Scantenna?
Is it better or worse than a regular Radio Shack discone?
I'm trying to get the best all-around reception for 25-1000MHz.

Thanks!
Frank

--
Protect Your Rights -- Fight UCITA
http://www.4cite.org

926. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 04:54:45 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.159dc0b9a10d91de98974b@news>
Source record: 63893

In article <3b33bfef.221124831@news.qwest.net>, sparky@sparkytown.com says...
> On Fri, 22 Jun 2001 21:46:24 GMT, Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:

(snip)

> >> WHO RAISED THESE DARLING TEEN
> >DEALERS? Let them pay for these worthless contributions to society they
> >raised.
>
> Corruption of blood is condsidered unconstitutional in the US. It is
> morally repugnant.

HUH?

> Their mom was a public educator and recently
> voted teacher of the year.

Doesn't mean she is a good parent.

> Gee, I seem to recall doing all sorts of things my parents didn't know
> about - some of them not legal... My parents raised me knowing right
> from wrong, but there is such thing as free will. And there are
> people out there who are just plain bad.

Yep, and those traits are learned in the first few years of life. Doesn't just come on all of a sudden.

> I grew up with kids whose parent's set limits, strict discipline,
> love, private Catholic schools, the whole nine-yards... and still
> their kids ended up in prison or dead with a needle in their arm.
>
>
> OTOH, I know kids from broken homes with awful selfish parents and
> were victims of all sorts of abuse who have developed into wonderful
> moral upright adults.

...if you were to look into their early years you would find they were influenced by a consistently moral and honest person. I could give you many prime examples here in my home town. What you see of a family from the outside is RARELY reality.

> Contrary to popular belief there is no "recipe" for rasing children to
> become well adjusted moral upright people. Like I said, people have
> free will, including children.

There is a recipe and it has nothing to do with religion, limits or such. It has to do with consistent examples and honesty. Very few parents

actual say what they preach. The FIRST time you cross that line you are starting to lose your kids trust and respect.

> >
> >You already posted that story and like I said, the guy didn't solve his
> >problem.
>
> When did I post that?

On the 17th

> >His daughters are the exact same people HE raised before he
> >yanked the phone. He nor they have any respect for anyone at all. A
> >totally dysfunctional family. The daughters will still smoke and like
> >older men. Now they will find another way to smoke and find older men
> >without father being bothered. Who raised these kids or IOW if he did his
> >job as a parent years before he wouldn't have to deal with this stuff
> >now. Wow!
>
> Hmmm.... I've known this man for many years. Quite a moral fellow.
> He and his wife are both teachers.

So what? They certainly don't seem to have any respect from their teens. Why would that be?

> Outstanding kids for the most part.

Except the smoking, attraction to older men and lack of respect for their parents. Who know's what else.

> Honors students, active in the community, etc.

Yes, so was Ted Bundy. Means nothing.

> It seems to me
> most teenage kids push the boundaries and experiment. This is not
> dysfunctional, it's normal.

...and kids that respect their parents will go to all extents to not step over the boundary. When they do they, because of the respect and care they have they certainly wouldn't force the issue to a point that doors are removed. That is what is normal.

> Where did you get your degree in
> psychology?

Would it matter?. Practical experience in the field is far more valuable. Having raised my own and one that we got as a teen that wasn't my own plus 11 years of dealing with young kids that weren't my own I have seen a lot of kids from "good families" that went bad. I also have a good feeling for what works and what doesn't.

> When did you ever examine this family in a group session
> to determine this dysfunctionality? I find it odd that you can make

> overbroad statements about people you don't even know.

When an adult removes a bedroom door to his teen age daughters room in the fashion you speak of it would be considered by most as dysfunctional. He has obviously lost control and the respect of his daughters if he had to go to these extremes. He doesn't have enough parental control to get them to behave by his standards without removing a door and ripping out wires? He has lost control and the respect of his kids, a long time ago. I could never imagine doing this with my own kids. Insane!

> I remember once seeing a teenage Amish girl wearing lipstick near
> Wooster, Ohio, which is a huge act of rebellion in her community...
> Is her family dysfunctional too?

If they removed the privacy of her bedroom door, yes I would think they have some major issues to deal with. And yes, many would consider family life in the Amish community as somewhat dysfunctional. Isn't that the end results of most religions? :-)

Glenn

927. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 05:12:16 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.159dc46a465f542798974c@news>
Source record: 63894

In article <9h0jgk$cpr6$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>,
alexjent@prodigy.net says...
> The real point here is that the government has removed the parents right to
> control their children. The slightest claim of whacking a kid lands you in
> jail or pay exorbitant costs to defend yourself from prosecution court.

That is plain BS! You have been sucked in by the media to believe this to
be true. Call you local Social Services office and report a child abuse.
Go talk to those in the medical field about reporting child abuse. It
usually takes an act of God to get kids out of abusive homes. Your
scenario is the exception to the rule.

> This has empowered the kids and leaves the parents unable to control some
> headstrong kid. Sometimes you just can't talk people, large or small to see
> your way of thinking.

Who did they learn it from??

> Our kids are taught(outside of home) to disrespect and defy authority of any
> kind be it government, school or even at home.

Your kids are a product of their parents. They learn to respect and honor
your authority before age 6. How did you allow your toddler to be
influenced? DOH!

> It's in vogue these days it seems.

....and Jerry Springer is reality, right?

> Big government....isn't it great!

What's your solution?

928. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 05:12:17 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.159dc4c1ec7dc6a698974d@news>
Source record: 63895

In article <3b33dd23.228601671@news.qwest.net>, sparky@sparkytown.com
says...
> On Fri, 22 Jun 2001 18:15:18 -0500, "Alex - KC0AK"
> <alexjent@prodigy.net> wrote:
>
> >The real point here is that the government has removed the parents right to
> >control their children. The slightest claim of whacking a kid lands you in
> >jail or pay exorbitant costs to defend yourself from prosecution court.
> >This has empowered the kids and leaves the parents unable to control some
> >headstrong kid. Sometimes you just can't talk people, large or small to see
> >your way of thinking.
> >
>
> You've got no argument from me. But as long as there are people out
> there like Glen, the trend can only get worse.

Well that is a brilliant statement. No woinder you go by the name Sparky!

929. Re: Multiband NMO antenna info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 07:21:57 UTC
From: dan martin <***dwmartin@idirect.com>
Message-ID: <bbUY6.751$t7.22354@quark.idirect.com>
Source record: 63891
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I use an 800 mhz open coil with an nmo. It seems to do a good job on V, U.
and of course 800

Dan
VE3LIX

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:cjsW6.3483$7u2.783@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> After years of using dualband commercial antennas for my mobile scanner,
> I've finally gotten a trunking scanner for my car, only to find my antenna
> is deaf on 800 MHz trunks. Any suggestions on a good multiband mobile
> antenna with a NMO mount. I need to cover 140-174, 440-470, and 850-866,
> with 39-46 MHz a plus. The antenna needs to mount to a NMO mount,
although
> I do have adapters for NMO to SO239 and NMO to 3/8-24 thd, I'd rather not
> have to use them. The system I'm wanting to monitor is the Okla. Highway
> Patrol, with the nearest tower about 35-40 miles away. I can get them by
> using the antenna from my BC245 on a window-lip mount, so it shouldn't be
a
> problem for a decent mobile antenna. Posting to the group(s) is
preferred,
> as others might benefit from this information.
>
> Thanks and 73,
> Mark K5MAR
>
>
>

930. Re: BC700A Cellular Restoration

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 07:40:09 UTC
From: Eric <hcsSPAM@gs.verio.net>
Message-ID: <tJXY6.347426$oc7.38835698@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 63896

> If the date code on the back is older than Jan 94, or some Feb94<
> Here is the rule:> Date of mfg code must not end in the letters "ID" or after. (ID=94),> except for OAID (Jan94). (On BC700A some "OBID" (Feb94) work)> If newer you cannot modify it.
> The modification involves taking the radio completely apart and cutting> loose a part in the little circuit board that is vertically mounted in the> front display area.
STEPS:
1)remove battery and antenna
2)remove screws from case and seperate the two halves
(unplug the speaker)
3)remove the two faceplate screws (on bottom of face plate)
4)unplug the cables to tilt the faceplate forward
5)locate and remove the copper/plastic shield under the faceplate
6)locate and remove the chip resistor (labeled "472")
7)reassemble the radio
If you have any doubts, DO NOT PERFORM THIS MODIFICATION.
Hope this helps.....

931. Re: Home Antenna Suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 07:54:06 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9h1hu3btj1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63747
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Darrin" <darrin99@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:c078jtoko7qrmo0c1jvh8as6hgo4ioqk7j@4ax.com...
} On Fri, 22 Jun 2001 20:46:26 -0700, "Frank" <nospam@mindspring.com>
} wrote:
}
} >Hi All, does anyone have any feedback on the Scantenna?
} >Is it better or worse than a regular Radio Shack discone?
} >I'm trying to get the best all-around reception for 25-1000MHz.
} >
} >Thanks!
} >Frank
}
} I'm not sure about the Scantenna, but I'm very happy with the RS
} discone. It works very well. The only possible complaint about it is
} that it doesn't seem to be built to "last". Who knows though. I could
} be wrong. The best advice I can give about it is that if you buy it,
} don't use buy RS RG 58 coax to use on it. That stuff is garbage. Spend
} a few dollars more and get some 95% shielded RG 8.

Thanks Darrin. Weather won't be a problem, the antenna will be
going in an attic (10' ceiling so either a RS discone or Scantenna
should fit). Also I'll be using 9913F coax to minimize signal loss
even though the run is only ~25ft.

Frank

932. What does MDT sound like?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 10:18:45 UTC
From: John Burns <jburns@ibsgp.NOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <9h1qf8$54n$1@lust.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 63748

Hi there,
I am interested to know if MDT datd is transmitted in New Zealand.

But..... I am soooo lazy to scan the airwaves and try any thing that sounds remotely like data, I was wondering if someone could record about 10 to 20 seconds of MDT transmission and email it to me at jburns@ibsgp.NOSPAM.com (remove nospam before sending)

It doesn't need to be high quality. I am not trying to decode it, I just want to hear what MDT data 'sounds' like so I can find it easier.

Regards

-John

933. Re: Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 10:42:33 UTC
From: Tom <gunzel3801@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3b347249$0$25489$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 63749
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Bergum <no.mail@no.no> wrote in message
news:9gsq01$f03$1@taliesin.netcom.net.uk...
> Anyone know how to make a simple Squelch?
>
>
>
>
Sorry to be ignorant but what is squelch?
Im new to all this.....

Thanks
Tom

934. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 11:02:24 UTC
From: Alex - KC0AK <alexjent@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <9h1sud$am2s$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63750

Glenn,
You need to wakeup and smell the roses or coffee or something.

The world is not the neat orderly place that you must have grown up in. There are many kids who's parents have done a wonderful job of raising their kids yet once the kids are exposed to peer pressure etc. outside the home, in schools and elsewhere anything can happen to destroy all that loving up-bring those parents provided. Parents have little or no control over that. Granted, kids who are brought up in a good family environment have a better chance of surviving that pressure but many do or can not. That's life.... To blame only the parents in all these cases is totally wrong!

My solution to big government is smaller government.

With that, I will not respond any further to this discussion in this or any other newsgroup.

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.159dc46a465f542798974c@news...
> In article <9h0jgk$cpr6$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>,
> alexjent@prodigy.net says...
> > The real point here is that the government has removed the parents right
to
> > control their children. The slightest claim of whacking a kid lands you
in
> > jail or pay exorbitant costs to defend yourself from prosecution court.
>
> That is plain BS! You have been sucked in by the media to believe this to
> be true. Call you local Social Services office and report a child abuse.
> Go talk to those in the medical field about reporting child abuse. It
> usually takes an act of God to get kids out of abusive homes. Your
> scenario is the exception to the rule.
>
> > This has empowered the kids and leaves the parents unable to control
some
> > headstrong kid. Sometimes you just can't talk people, large or small to
see
> > your way of thinking.
>
> Who did they learn it from??
>
> > Our kids are taught(outside of home) to disrespect and defy authority of
any
> > kind be it government, school or even at home.
>

> Your kids are a product of their parents. They learn to respect and honor
> your authority before age 6. How did you allow your toddler to be
> influenced? DOH!
>
> > It's in vogue these days it seems.
>
> ....and Jerry Springer is reality, right?
>
> > Big government....isn't it great!
>
>
> What's your solution?

935. 1350 to 1400 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 11:34:59 UTC
From: Jason <jmu231@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <S02Z6.4714$Tk.860306@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 63735

I am picking up what sounds like phone conversations in the 1350 to 1380 Mhz range. Just wondering if those are cell, cordless or images of other freqs??

jmu

936. Re: What does MDT sound like?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 11:51:21 UTC
From: Zoom'n <udhvo@juod.com>
Message-ID: <3b3482d8$0$25512$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 63751

John Burns <jburns@ibsgp.NOSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:9h1qf8$54n$1@lust.ihug.co.nz...
<SNIP>
> I just want to hear what MDT data 'sounds' like so I can find it easier.

Sounds like - Blaaahhhtzzzzppshshshttttt!! but a bit higher in pitch...:-)

937. Re: ? AF aircraft freqs used on training flights?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 12:31:54 UTC
From: gregor@visuallink.com
Message-ID: <tj931qocnlreef@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63752

In <3B3357F3.36D0D07@megalink.net> Bill Jones wrote:
> I live directly under a military training route (MTR), and several
> times a week have F-16s, warthogs, F-15s, F-18s, etc flying directly
> over the house. Mostly AF planes, but sometimes Navy. I have no idea
> of where they are coming from, but I would really like to figure out
> what frequency they are using, mainly to give me a little warning to go
> outside and see them (ie they come over so low, just above tree height,
> that by the time I hear them, I can barely get out of my chair before
> they are gone). I have seen one freq on one of the scanner sites of
> 252.8, which is supposed to be a common tactical training frequency, but
> I was wondering whether anyone can suggest any other freqs (or a general
> area, since I have one of those scanners with a spectrum display that
> will show activity in a 1 MHz band).
> Or, is there any other way of detecting their approach, such as
> detecting emissions from radar that they might have on board or
> something? Those guys really fly low. Nothing like being buzzed by an
> F-16 so close that you can see the pilot. It would be nice to monitor
> them too.
>
> *Bill Jones N3JLQ Sweden Maine *
> * wejones@megalink.net *
> * Main home page http://www.megalink.net/~wejones *
> * WWII/B-17 page http://www.megalink.net/~wejones/wwii.html *

Bill:
I used to fly warthogs, and the airplane was capable of transmitting on UHF, VHF and FM frequencies. We used FM freqs to communicate intraflight, and there was a squadron plan to divide up the frequencies so that there was only one flight using a freq at a given time. I can't speak to what other aircraft are doing with their freq allocation plans, but the chances of successfully scanning them randomly is nil. To do this, I suggest the following:

Get an aeronautical chart (known as a sectional). If you have an airport in the vicinity, pay a visit there. There will most likely be a FBO (fixed base operator) that rents or operates aircraft and will have these charts for sale to pilots. This aero chart will depict the MTRs in Maine, and you just need to find your house and see which MTR flies overhead.. While you're at the airport, ask someone the toll free phone number of the closest flight service station. The military aircraft are required to check in with the FAA Flight Service Station before gettting on the route to fly it. So there is a record of which unit/callsign flew the route at a given time.

Try to find out which military unit "owns" the route you live underneath. The Flight Service Station should be able to tell you that info, and you can contact that unit scheduler to see exactly who is using the route the most.

If you know that, you cn probably find out their squadron discrete frequencies and set them up in your scanner.

If you have any problem, let me know. I have a lot of connections with the FAA and Air Force and I'd be glad to help out a fellow scanner.

regards
gregor

938. NEED manual and schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 14:40:01 UTC
From: Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3B34AAB9.D434E297@optonline.net>
Source record: 63753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Hi all, I am in need of the manual with tune up procedure and schematic

for a old LAFAYETTE HA-600A shortwave / ham receiver. Even the Sams
photofact
would be great!! Readable copies would be OK also. Of course I would
pay for
the copies and shipping.
Please e-mail me direct at:

mrrusso@optonline.net

THANKS, Mark

939. 2.4 Ghz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 16:09:55 UTC
From: "Robert S. Bartel" <bobb@ulster.net>
Message-ID: <3B3416CB.2C6553AF@ulster.net>
Source record: 63754

Do any of the scanners cover the 2.4 Ghz phone frequencies?

940. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 16:16:01 UTC
From: DrPepper@iwvisp.com
Message-ID: <3b34c06a.9212752@news.iwvisp.com>
Source record: 63755

While I happen to agree with some of the comments here, , , I thought
this was a "scanner" NG. Isn't it?

No flames intended, just asking, , , , ,

==========

On Sat, 23 Jun 2001 00:07:04 GMT, sparky@sparkytown.com (Sparky)
wrote:

>On Fri, 22 Jun 2001 18:15:18 -0500, "Alex - KC0AK"
><alexjent@prodigy.net> wrote:
>
>>The real point here is that the government has removed the parents right to
>>control their children. The slightest claim of whacking a kid lands you in
>>jail or pay exorbitant costs to defend yourself from prosecution court.
>>This has empowered the kids and leaves the parents unable to control some
>>headstrong kid. Sometimes you just can't talk people, large or small to see
>>your way of thinking.
>>
>
>You've got no argument from me. But as long as there are people out
>there like Glen, the trend can only get worse.
>
>Sparky

941. F/S SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 16:24:15 UTC
From: Hit365@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7511-3B34C2AF-43@storefull-295.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63757

HI,
PRO-2016 200CH VHF/UHF/AIRCRAFT
NEW IN BOX $50.00
MINT====MINT

942. F/S SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 16:25:16 UTC
From: Hit365@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7511-3B34C2EC-44@storefull-295.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63758

PRO-2016 F/S
NEW IN BOX $50.00

943. Re: Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 16:25:56 UTC
From: DrPepper@iwvisp.com
Message-ID: <3b34c0fb.9358185@news.iwvisp.com>
Source record: 63756
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes, as amatter of fact, , , I do. , . , . ,

I designed and built a squelch for an old superregen reciever back in 1963 that worked great, And it can be used with any kind of radio since it's all in the audio portion of the circuit. Basically, it a full wave bridge circuit with two legs using small 12 volt light bulbs, agains't a pair of 25 ohm pots. When the pots are adjusted to balnce the bridge and block sound, it is squelched, but when audio come in, it unbalances the bridge and passes the audio. IT works great. The key is that the impedance of the light bulbs vary with current flow, and when they get hot (lighted) the resistance is much lower than 25 ohms, and the bridge is unbalanced, passing the audio. Connect one leg of the bridge to the speaker, the other side to the audio out.

Ron C.
==========

On Fri, 22 Jun 2001 23:18:21 +0100, "Tal"
<terry@raota1365.fsnet.co.uk> wrote:

>Yep buy the Wife a Vibrator :-)
>
>"Bergum" <no.mail@no.no> wrote in message
>news:9gsq01$f03$1@taliesin.netcom.net.uk...
>> Anyone know how to make a simple Squelch?
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

944. F/S SANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 16:26:06 UTC
From: Hit365@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7510-3B34C31E-127@storefull-295.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63759

PRO-2016 F/S
NEW IN BOX $50.00

945. Re: New Jersey State Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 17:29:30 UTC
From: Bill Cizmarik <bcizmarik@home.net>
Message-ID: <3B34D143.717A58EA@home.net>
Source record: 63760

Try here...
http://www.maxpages.com/frequencies

"R. Dubenezic" wrote:

> Does anyone have or know where I can get the NJSP Troop "B" Zone Numbering.
>
> Example
>
> Zone 10 is Parsippany(Rt.80 in Morris County)
>
> Thanks
>
> Bob D.

946. Re: pro-74

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 17:31:19 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010623133119.10840.00000864@ng-fh1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63761

>Subject: pro-74
>From: "Thalions.place" tarmour@hotmail.com
>Date: 6/22/01 5:36 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9h0dm9pha1@husk.cso.niu.edu>
>
>Is there any way to open up a pro-74 for continuous coverage. 1k-2ghz ?
>Thanks.
>
>

No.

Keep an eye on the following Sites:
http://www.mods.dk/
and http://www.qrz.com/

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

947. Re: 2.4 Ghz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 18:20:48 UTC
From: DummyLoad@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27771-3B34DE00-183@storefull-111.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63763

Yes, there are scanners that cover that frequency range. But, I would say that any or most phones that transmit in that range are employing both digital and spread spectrum as deterrents towards monitoring, making it completely hopeless. I think there were a couple of the 2.4ghz digital spread spectrum phones that sent a portion of their signal 900mhz analog, making monitoring possible.

This was a couple of years ago....logic would suggest that these units were replaced with updated models or re-engineered to correct this flaw. But, you never know. In any case, I think there is a Win Scan software based monitoring system that will top out at 4ghz.

948. FS "2" Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 19:24:01 UTC
From: jmcr@nauticom.net
Message-ID: <3B34ECD1.97807440@nauticom.net>
Source record: 63764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have the following scanners for sale, the first one that agrees to the price gets them.

1. Bearcat 300 - 50 channel programmable scanner with eleven pre-programmed services plus it has: count, time, search, priority, lockout and the list goes on and on. It was the top grade scanner when new. I had the receiver upgraded along with a new digital green display. It comes with the AC power cord, antenna and owners manual for $75.00 + s/h.

2. Regency MX-7000 - 20 channel programmable scanner with search, NFM-WFM-AM, clock, lockout, vfo, priority, etc.. This scanner does scan from 25 to 550 MHz, then from 800 to 1.2 GHz. There are no gaps in the 800 band, yes it does scan cellular. This scanner comes with AC and DC power cords, antenna and owners manual for $85.00 + s/h.

Take both for $150.00 + s/h.

Shipping is from zip code 16066

If your interested in these scanners email me at jmcr@nauticom.net

949. mvt 7100 4 sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 20:19:16 UTC
From: Syd <smurf123@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9h2tf4$6t0$1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 63765

Selling MVT-7100 includes all accessories inc manual
£125.00 inc postage within UK...outside UK postage extra.
Syd

950. Frequency Request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 20:54:37 UTC
From: Jason <jmu231@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <cl7Z6.5287$Tk.1140915@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 63732

I just got back from watching a driving school at Kentucky Speedway. The school was called "Fast Track High Performance Driving School" I saw the pit guys and the tower guys talking via 2-way and tried to pick up the signal but was unable to do so. I scanned the Kentucky Speedway freqs but only heard maintenance. I did searchs on the FCC database and in the Police Call book but could not find this driving school. They are based in NC if anyone knows any freqs or links please post.

Jason

951. Re: What does MDT sound like?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 21:45:05 UTC
From: Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <9h32kt$cg2$1@raewyn.paradise.net.nz>
Source record: 63766

John
Try
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Cockpit/2800/digital/index.htm
All sorts.

Neville

"John Burns" <jburns@ibsgp.NOSPAM.com> wrote in message
news:9h1qf8$54n$1@lust.ihug.co.nz...
> Hi there,
> I am interested to know if MDT datd is transmitted in New Zealand.
>
> But..... I am soooo lazy to scan the airwaves and try any thing that
> sounds remotely like data, I was wondering if someone could record about
10
> to 20 seconds of MDT transmission and email it to me at
> jburns@ibsgp.NOSPAM.com (remove nospam before sending)
>
> It doesn't need to be high quality. I am not trying to decode it, I just
> want to hear what MDT data 'sounds' like so I can find it easier.
>
> Regards
>
> -John
>
>

952. Re: What does MDT sound like?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 22:41:00 UTC
From: Sloppy
Message-ID: <0W8Z6.2770$0u2.378233@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 63767

It sounds like eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeerhwoihgfdsuhgusprtw9tr[ugfskpsfrtit0ohgdfipereeeeeeee
eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee

953. Re: USAF Thunderbirds - Current Freqs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-23 23:23:23 UTC
From: Grail <jon.stewart@juno.com>
Message-ID: <Lx9Z6.61$P5.54977@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 63768

These aircraft plus the F-15 Demo team is stationed at the Airguard Ramp at BNA Nashville they (T-Birds) depart nashville for Tullahoma around 2 PM the days of the show.

"Jim MacDonald" <jmacdon@mitre.org> wrote in message news:3B32B7CA.6912E8B8@mitre.org...
> Look for the show demonstration on 141.850 AM. If you have an FM only
> scanner you
> can still listen on FM but it's distorted. Look for their maintenance
> folks on 413.025
> with a PL of 146.2 and I like to keep 413 to say 413.7 or 414 MHZ in the
> search bank to
> look for other T-bird maintenance freq's. On the day after the show look
> for T-bird flight
> following clearance delivery (Flight Plain filing) on the normal base
> UHF freq.'s I also
> check out the FRS 462. MHZ band at the shows they are very busy.
> Have fun, Jim
> Richard Nests wrote:
> >
> > The Thunderbirds are in town the weekend.
> > I'd like to monitor them during their show.
> >
> > I've searched and found lists of freqs, (many)!!
> > Lists and lists.
> >
> > My question. Anyone monitored them lately?
> > What freqs are they currently using?
> > AM or FM mode?
> >
> > MANY thanks, in advance!!!
> >
> > Please feel free to reply here or directly.
> > Just remove the nospam.

954. RE: NEWBIE QUESTION?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 01:58:00 UTC
From: Richard <richard@satworld.com.au>
Message-ID: <IObZ6.154187$ff.1185809@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 63769

Does the AOR 8200 MK II or the AOR 8600 do trunk tracking?

Thanks in advance
(sorry I'm a newbie)

955. Funny cell phone add on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 02:03:53 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9h3hq9$c7c$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 63770

While I almost never watch TV, Friday I saw an ad for a silly cell/PCS phone safety device. A device which connects to Nokia phones (maybe others) and pwoers the phone through the cigerette lighter power port, and broadcasts the receive cell phone audio on 96.1 (maybe other freqs but that was the one they mentioned). It also has a microphone so it can be used hands free.The funny thing, to me at least, was the fact that it broadcasts a cell phone conversation over the standard FM BCB. While it is low power, I suspect it can be picked up on a nearby radio. So, does that make all of the FM BCB radios in the country cell phone monitoring devices?

956. Re: Home Antenna Suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 02:06:20 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9h3hus$c7c$2@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 63771
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <9h1hu3btj1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, nospam@mindspring.com
says...
>
>"Darrin" <darrin99@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:c078jtoko7qrmo0c1jvh8as6hgo4ioqk7j@4ax.com...
>} On Fri, 22 Jun 2001 20:46:26 -0700, "Frank" <nospam@mindspring.com>
>} wrote:
>}
>} >Hi All, does anyone have any feedback on the Scantenna?
>} >Is it better or worse than a regular Radio Shack discone?
>} >I'm trying to get the best all-around reception for 25-1000MHz.
>} >
>} >Thanks!
>} >Frank
>}
>} I'm not sure about the Scantenna, but I'm very happy with the RS
>} discone. It works very well. The only possible complaint about it is
>} that it doesn't seem to be built to "last". Who knows though. I could
>} be wrong. The best advice I can give about it is that if you buy it,
>} don't use buy RS RG 58 coax to use on it. That stuff is garbage. Spend
>} a few dollars more and get some 95% shielded RG 8.
>
>Thanks Darrin. Weather won't be a problem, the antenna will be
>going in an attic (10' ceiling so either a RS discone or Scantenna
>should fit). Also I'll be using 9913F coax to minimize signal loss
>even though the run is only ~25ft.
>
>Frank
>
I have used a RS diskcone for many years. I bought one the first year it was
offered. It is stainless, though maybe a tad too light for my prefferences,
but it does work and work as well as a wide band diskcone can be expected.

957. for sale: pro 43 scanner, 200ch,hyperscan, full cell mod done, 175.00US shipped or best offer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 02:07:36 UTC
From: jwap <mediaguy@home.com>
Message-ID: <lXbZ6.114037$r7.15170051@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 63772

for sale:

pro 43 scanner

comes with copy of manual
missing beltclip
has pristine condtion keypad and window.
has a bit of a tarnished look.

works excellent,

full cellular mod has been done.
I replaced the antenna with a gain rubberduck

175.00US shipped with insurance or best offer of the week.

thanks
mediaguy@home.com

958. for sale: GE ericsson mobile radio 148-174mhz 16 channels with scan 85.00Us shipped with insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 02:23:42 UTC
From: jwap <mediaguy@home.com>
Message-ID: <OacZ6.114041$r7.15172830@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 63773

for sale:

GE ericcson mobile radio MVS
16 channels with scan
148-174 mhz, I am not sure if it goes much outside this band
this is synthesized.

looks and works in perfect condition

85.00US includes shippping and insurance

thanks
mediaguy@home.com

959. pro2006 volume pot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 02:50:26 UTC
From: Steve Bowyer <wz7e@home.com>
Message-ID: <SzcZ6.236938$p33.4703010@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 63774

I'm looking for info on repair or replacement of a noisey volume control pot
on the pro2006. Anyone know any easy tricks? Getting behind that front
panel doesnt look easy.
Thanx
Steve B

960. Re: pro2006 volume pot

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 03:01:10 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.159ef78f1c357bd398974e@news>
Source record: 63775

In article <SzcZ6.236938$p33.4703010@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>,
wz7e@home.com says...
> I'm looking for info on repair or replacement of a noisey volume control pot
> on the pro2006. Anyone know any easy tricks? Getting behind that front
> panel doesnt look easy.
> Thanx
> Steve B

Had a scratchy pot on a 2004. Used some Radio Shack tuner cleaner and it
has crackeled, snapped or popped since. Great stuff.

Glenn

961. FS: AOR AR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 03:32:56 UTC
From: Paul Curtis <pccurtis@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <IbdZ6.13264$s25.168765@typhoon.mw.mediaone.net>
Source record: 63776

AOR AR8000 Wide-Range Receiver
Covers 500Khz-1900Mhz
AM/FM/WFM/USB/LSB/CW
1000 Memory Channels
computer-controllable
Pic:
http://members.theglobe.com/pccurtis/trs80/ar8000.jpg

Excellent condition. No mods done. This is the original model - you can modify the bandplan with software. Includes manual, charger/ac adapter, and leather case. I do not have a computer interface for it. I just put a brand-new keypad in it, since some of the numbers were starting to rub off. I will include the old keypad as well. I will also copy all of my favorite AR8000 software, utilities, mods, docs, etc. onto a CD.

Price $300 + shipping

Paul, KE8VI
Roseville, MI

962. bc 560 xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 03:37:01 UTC
From: m1976 <m1976@ametro.net>
Message-ID: <9h3n0o$3bf$1@news.datasync.com>
Source record: 63777

are there any mods at all or is the uniden 560 unmodifiable? any help is appreciated. tomcat

963. Re: NEWBIE QUESTION?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 03:47:17 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B3562C3.206857ED@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63778

No. As far as I know, none of the broadband, "communications" type,
receivers do trunk tracking.

Walt

Richard wrote:
>
> Does the AOR 8200 MK II or the AOR 8600 do trunk tracking?
>
> Thanks in advance
> (sorry I'm a newbie)

964. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 04:37:01 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B356E7D.7B18E8DF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 63779

Glenn wrote:

>
> When an adult removes a bedroom door to his teen age daughters room in
> the fashion you speak of it would be considered by most as dysfunctional.
> He has obviously lost control and the respect of his daughters if he had
> to go to these extremes. He doesn't have enough parental control to get
> them to behave by his standards without removing a door and ripping out
> wires? He has lost control and the respect of his kids, a long time ago.
> I could never imagine doing this with my own kids. Insane!

I removed my teenage daughter's door only once .. and that was for repeatedly slamming it when she was unhappy with some aspect or another of the way the home was being run. It was off for about 2 - 3 days after she recanted her anger and pledged never to do so again. it was obvious she menat it and I relented. The next time she entered her room and closed the door only to have it "caught by the wind" and close loudly she was right back out with the explanation "That wasn't my fault!" before any one could even complain of it having happened. Now, I mention this because I think removing the door can be an effective tool in stopping the relatively minor transgression of door slamming, but as a method to change other otherwise unrelated behavior it is a poor choice at best. The removal of privacy is a very destructive act in and of itself; and to do so as an emblem of distrust is really too much. Removing the phone (after telling her why it is being done)? Yeah, okay; that might be defensible. But humiliating the girl is a bit much. The cause of the problem runs deeper than an open or closed door. Her involvement with older men suggest poor self esteem and humiliating her by removing the symbol of privacy and so blatantly expressing distrust only aggravates an already bad situation.

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

965. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 06:30:59 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9h41f3$o4p$0@63.162.246.109>
Source record: 63780

Sparky wrote:
>
> On 21 Jun 2001 18:45:45 GMT, pbscanner@cs.com (PB Scanner) wrote:
>
> >
> >Yes it is possible to get these Signals and to Decode them, but this is illegal
> >in the USA.
>
> No, it is not ILLEGAL to decode MDT in the US. It is sent unencrypted
> over the airwaves and is part and parcel of Public Service
> Transmissions (aka the police work for us, the taxpayers).
>

Uh, not in most cases.

Most of the MDT systems out there now, although not all, are newer systems, that use DES encryption, not once, but twice. SCA and Cerulean, the biggest two companies, both use this, as do the other companies.

If it is encrypted, then it is illegal to monitor. Most are now encrypted.

Many states have now required it to be encrypted, such as Arkansas.

966. BC XLT 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 06:31:07 UTC
From: Oguz Kaya <oguzkaya@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <tjb2b0ejao045@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63781

BC XLT Hello everyone,
I am having problem with my BC XLT 200. It was working with no problem. I didn't use for a while and next time when I turned on it seems like working but scanner doesn't find anything. It keeps scanning. I did reset it by pressing manual 2 and 9 keys but still the same. I think some how squelch doesn't work. Even Wx channel doesn't work it keeps scanning. If any body know what is wrong with it I would greatly appreciate it.

Thanks a lot.

967. MASS SCAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 07:02:35 UTC
From: k2epm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010624030235.16807.00001701@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 63782

If you are looking for a place to talk scanners frequencies and system info for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts then MassScan is for you.

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MassScan

968. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 08:54:46 UTC
From: sparky@sparkytown.com
Message-ID: <3b35a39d.2808849@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 63783

On 24 Jun 2001 06:30:59 GMT, Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com> wrote:

>
>Uh, not in most cases.
>
>Most of the MDT systems out there now, although not all, are newer
>systems, that use DES encryption, not once, but twice. SCA and
>Cerulean, the biggest two companies, both use this, as do the
>other companies.
>

That has not been my experience. I know of plenty of police departments (and private enterprise) still using MDC4800. Sure, a lot of PD's have gone digital, especially in the large metropolitan areas, but even some of them are still using MDC4800 in certain areas or on a limited basis.

You state that MOST are now encrypted. Please tell me how you came to make this conclusion. How about listing the actual numbers of PD's using digital systems to those that still used MDC4800.

My local PD still uses MDC4800 as well as many others in this area. South Dakota, North Dakota, Minnesota, Wisconsin, Illinois, Iowa - MDC4800 and RDLAP are the norms.

I've heard plenty of claims by digital sales people that are not backed up by fact. You would think for the ads that most of the country is using digital cellular phones. I just saw a CTIA report (from March 2001) that shows that 80% of all cellular phone traffic is still carried on by AMPS (800 Mhz Analog) in the US.

Some city PD's that have gone to fancy digital systems are using them in analog mode (Like Phoenix).

Other agencies, like the FDNY and the city of Cicero, IL have had nothing but problems with the newer digital transceivers (Motorola MTX 3500's). The high cost and complexity of these products is not a good value for taxpayer dollars). The City of Cicero uses MTX HT's that cost $1700 EACH. FDNY paid about $3500 EACH for their HT's including rigging and airpack mic's. This doesn't include the cost of additional engineering and repeater sites required to make these systems work (which can run into the tens of millions of dollars).

And again, this does nothing to change the FACT that receiving MDT transmissions is not illegal. It is only illegal to use the information from those transmissions for criminal purposes or to make those transmissions public.

969. Re: NEWBIE QUESTION?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 13:07:24 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <gCIZ6.120547$DG1.19807238@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63785

Using Optoelectronic's Optotracker, you can add trunk tracking to the
following wide-band receivers: ICOM R7000, R7100, R8500, R9000, R10, AOR
AR8000, and AR5000.

For more info, click here:
http://www.optoelectronics.com/optotrakker.htm

Bill Crocker

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3B3562C3.206857ED@worldnet.att.net...
> No. As far as I know, none of the broadband, "communications" type,
> receivers do trunk tracking.
>
> Walt
>
> Richard wrote:
> >
> > Does the AOR 8200 MK II or the AOR 8600 do trunk tracking?
> >
> > Thanks in advance
> > (sorry I'm a newbie)

970. What's a frequency counter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 13:29:41 UTC
From: Bill <st6_guy@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3b35ed11@news.ismi.net>
Source record: 63786

I am looking for a machine that will count the most active frequencies in the police (or HAM) band, and let me know what they are in order of most use.

If that's a frequency counter, how can I get one?? What type of companies/websites sell those??

Bill

971. Re: What's a frequency counter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 13:49:38 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <SdmZ6.120640$DG1.19837773@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63787

A frequency counter does not do that. It is a device that will monitor a very wide spectrum of frequencies, and display a digital readout of a frequency currently being detected. It needs to be in close proximity to the signal source. Typically you would use a frequency counter to detect a given frequency from a device that has an unknown frequency.

Many of the scanner radios that work with PC software, have the ability to log the number of "hits" on channels/frequencies. The Undien BC9000-XLT is the only scanner I'm aware of, that can do this without software.

Bill Crocker

"Bill" <st6_guy@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3b35ed11@news.ismi.net...
> I am looking for a machine that will count the most active frequencies in
> the police (or HAM) band, and let me know what they are in order of most
> use.
>
> If that's a frequency counter, how can I get one?? What type of
> companies/websites sell those??
>
> Bill
>
>
>

972. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 14:17:42 UTC
From: Chris <csnichol@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <3B35F686.1201@netusa1.net>
Source record: 63784

Sparky wrote:
>
> On 21 Jun 2001 18:45:45 GMT, pbscanner@cs.com (PB Scanner) wrote:

> No, it is not ILLEGAL to decode MDT in the US.
>
> What is illegal is to make what you hear (or see in this case) on a
> scanner public.
>
> In Bill Cheek's case he was unfairly prosecuted under the made-up
> legal process of vicarious liability.

My city uses an EDACS system with mobile data in addition to
voice. Anyone know anything about this type of system?

Chris

973. Re: Funny cell phone add on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 14:18:53 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9h4ssg$bvom1$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 63788

"Speaker to Animals" <Dante'@inferno.net> wrote in message
news:9h3hq9$c7c$1@news.uky.edu...
> While I almost never watch TV, Friday I saw an ad for a silly
cell/PCS phone
> safety device. A device which connects to Nokia phones (maybe
others) and
> pwoers the phone through the cigerette lighter power port, and
broadcasts the
> receive cell phone audio on 96.1

The ad I think is funny is the "internal antenna" ad I always see on late night TV. Basically it's a copper foil circuit printed on plastic that you place INSIDE the cell phone, preferably under the battery, and it instantly improves reception (yeah right). There's no way that this thing could improve reception at all. The ad claims that it "works like a 4 ft. antenna." However, I don't think the people selling these things realize that a 4 foot long antenna would give a cell phone a rather crappy signal. The ad also offers a "wave scrambler" which is a little metal mesh screen that you put over the earpiece of the phone and it supposedly protects your brain from RF. I guess they don't realize that the RF comes from the antenna, not the speaker. There's also a "testomonial" from a lady that the ad states is a licensed chiropractor who says she needs to be able to have a good cell phone connection so there aren't any mistakes on prescriptions. Chiropractors cannot write prescriptions.

I forget what they wanted for this miracle device, but I bet it was $19.95 plus $5.95 shipping & handling.

--
Regards,
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

974. Re: BC XLT 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 14:21:43 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9h4t1q$bnpiv$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 63789

"Oguz Kaya" <oguzkaya@peoplepc.com> wrote in message
news:tjb2b0ejao045@corp.supernews.com...
> BC XLT Hello everyone,
> I am having problem with my BC XLT 200. It was working with no
problem. I
> didn't use for a while and next time when I turned on it seems like
working
> but scanner doesn't find anything. It keeps scanning. I did reset it
by
> pressing manual 2 and 9 keys but still the same. I think some how
squelch
> doesn't work. Even Wx channel doesn't work it keeps scanning. If any
body
> know what is wrong with it I would greatly appreciate it.

I have the exact same problem with my BC200XLT. It works but acts like there's no signal, and the squelch won't open. I remember reading a while back that it's the fault of a transistor, but I don't remember which one and I never got around to fixing it.

Tom

975. FT: Pro2067 LNIB for Pro92 LNIB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 14:56:34 UTC
From: scantexas@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <884cb013.0106240656.55842059@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63791

If anyone has a Pro92 LNIB they would like to trade for a Pro2067 LNIB let me know

Please email me directly
Thanks

976. Re: Home Antenna Suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 15:22:28 UTC
From: Terry Lee <terryllee@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B360579.B6949B0@home.com>
Source record: 63792
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I''ve used a Scantenna (40 feet up) at my home now for 5 years. For the two radios (PRO-2006/OS456, PRO-2042/OS535) in separate rooms using RG8 to both, we've been able to monitor everything we want to.

Frank wrote:

> Hi All, does anyone have any feedback on the Scantenna?
> Is it better or worse than a regular Radio Shack discone?
> I'm trying to get the best all-around reception for 25-1000MHz.
>
> Thanks!
> Frank
>
> --
> Protect Your Rights -- Fight UCITA
> http://www.4cite.org

977. Re: Funny cell phone add on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 15:57:50 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9h52luaie1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 63793

In article <9h4ssg$bvom1$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>, n2uhc@yahoo.com says...
>
>
>"Speaker to Animals" <Dante'@inferno.net> wrote in message
>news:9h3hq9$c7c$1@news.uky.edu...
>> While I almost never watch TV, Friday I saw an ad for a silly
>cell/PCS phone
>> safety device. A device which connects to Nokia phones (maybe
>others) and
>> pwoers the phone through the cigerette lighter power port, and
>broadcasts the
>> receive cell phone audio on 96.1
>
>The ad I think is funny is the "internal antenna" ad I always see on
>late night TV. Basically it's a copper foil circuit printed on
>plastic that you place INSIDE the cell phone, preferably under the
>battery, and it instantly improves reception (yeah right). There's no
>way that this thing could improve reception at all. The ad claims
>that it "works like a 4 ft. antenna." However, I don't think the
>people selling these things realize that a 4 foot long antenna would
>give a cell phone a rather crappy signal. The ad also offers a "wave
>scrambler" which is a little metal mesh screen that you put over the
>earpiece of the phone and it supposedly protects your brain from RF.
>I guess they don't realize that the RF comes from the antenna, not the
>speaker. There's also a "testomonial" from a lady that the ad states
>is a licensed chiropractor who says she needs to be able to have a
>good cell phone connection so there aren't any mistakes on
>prescriptions. Chiropractors cannot write prescriptions.
>
>I forget what they wanted for this miracle device, but I bet it was
>$19.95 plus $5.95 shipping & handling.
>
>--
>Regards,
>Tom Sevart
>Amateur N2UHC
>Registered Monitor KKS0CE
>
>
Just for some news of the weird, there are two type doctors in this country. I
am reffereing to docs that work on people. MDs or Doctors of Medicine, and
Doctors of Oestopathy. I think I am spelling it right. They are derived from
the same school of thought that Chiropractors come from, but are "honest to
goodness doctors. They have to pass the same state medical board tests.

And can write prescriptions. Back when I was in the hospitcal to have my leaking apendix removed, one of the internds was a DO or OD. I asked our daughter in law, a "real" MD, and she said that while they are derived from Chiropractors, they are (for the most part) good doctors. I was more then a little amazed.

978. Re: ? AF aircraft freqs used on training flights?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 16:07:13 UTC
From: Bill Jones <wejones@megalink.net>
Message-ID: <3B361031.D3B6306D@megalink.net>
Source record: 63795

First off, I wanted to thank all those that have e-mailed me off the group. I've programmed in several freqs, and although the fighters haven't come back since I started monitoring, I have heard some interesting things, like re-fueling operations.

> To do this, I suggest the following:
>
> Get an aeronautical chart (known as a sectional). If you have an airport in
> the vicinity, pay a visit there. There will most likely be a FBO (fixed base
> operator) that rents or operates aircraft and will have these charts for sale
> to pilots. This aero chart will depict the MTRs in Maine, and you just need
> to find your house and see which MTR flies overhead.. While you're at the
> airport, ask someone the toll free phone number of the closest flight service
> station. The military aircraft are required to check in with the FAA Flight
> Service Station before gettting on the route to fly it. So there is a record
> of which unit/callsign flew the route at a given time.

Thanks. I already have the sectional. I am under VR840,841,842. We found this out because my son, who is a private pilot, was going to take us up to take some pictures of our house, but our house got buzzed 3 times in 2 days before he took us up, so we were a little nervous about doing multiple circles right over a MTR. Before our flights, he did call into the Flight service station, and they told us to call Portland approach before going over the route, but they said that they usually only had a couple minutes warning before the route went active. I was hoping to find whatever freq was used by the air force to notify Portland approach, or would the fighters use the same freq that we were using?
BTW, we asked the instructor at the local airport we flew out of, and he said not to worry about it, that the fighters knew where we were, and while they might buzz us for fun, that they wouldn't hit us, but we were afraid, because doing circles we were afraid that we might confuse them. Now that my son is back home, I wanted to find out as much as I could about monitoring them via scanner.

> Try to find out which military unit "owns" the route you live underneath.
> The Flight Service Station should be able to tell you that info, and you can
> contact that unit scheduler to see exactly who is using the route the most.

Thanks. Next time my son visits, I'll have him ask that when he calls. Not being a pilot myself, I wouldn't want to bother them.

Thanks for the info.

979. WTB:pro-94,92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 16:07:26 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <yfoZ6.590$34.499407@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 63794

im looking for a radio shack,PRO-94A,or,PRO-92

thanks
monte k9dzd@iquest.net

980. GREAT cell phone jammer FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 16:23:15 UTC
From: DrPepper@iwvisp.com
Message-ID: <3b3612d9.6092186@news.iwvisp.com>
Source record: 63796

Here is a real jammer for cell phones, Illegal as hell, but
interesting.

http://www3.sympatico.ca/ChanLiYen/Cordless/list.htm

and scroll dow to the DLW2000, which will, quote" jamming all
cellular phone signal. AMPS, ETACS, GSM900, DCS1800.
All specified cellular phone signal will be paralyze simultaneously.
Effective coverage area from 20~25 meters radius."

Of course, I do NOT reccommend these, but they are interesting.

Ron C. aka Dr. Pepper

981. Re: BC XLT 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 16:35:33 UTC
From: bhorvath@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b3816a4.3119675@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 63697

"Oguz Kaya" <oguzkaya@peoplepc.com> wrote:

A year ago Uniden was fixing them for a flat $49.00 fee, Mine did the
same thing.
Bob
>BC XLT Hello everyone,
>I am having problem with my BC XLT 200. It was working with no problem. I
>didn't use for a while and next time when I turned on it seems like working
>but scanner doesn't find anything. It keeps scanning. I did reset it by
>pressing manual 2 and 9 keys but still the same. I think some how squelch
>doesn't work. Even Wx channel doesn't work it keeps scanning. If any body
>know what is wrong with it I would greatly appreciate it.
>
>Thanks a lot.
>
>

982. Re: Funny cell phone add on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 16:41:16 UTC
From: Smellthecheese <ratcheeze234@rat.com>
Message-ID: <9h5575put1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63698

Well just remember some guys have gone into hospitals and pretended to be gynocologists, and gotten away with it for years. Since people pretty much let docs do whatever they want to, pretty much anyone can pretend to be a doc.

> >
> >
> Just for some news of the weird, there are two type doctors in this country. I
> am reffereing to docs that work on people. MDs or Doctors of Medicine, and
> Doctors of Oestopathy. I think I am spelling it right. They are derived from
> the same school of thought that Chiropractors come from, but are "honest to
> goodness doctors. They have to pass the same state medical board tests.
> And can write prescriptions. Back when I was in the hospitcal to have my
> leaking apendix removed, one of the internds was a DO or OD. I asked our
> daughter in law, a "real" MD, and she said that while they are derived from
> Chiropractors, they are (for the most part) good doctors. I was more then a
> little amazed.
>

983. New Forums

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 16:50:31 UTC
From: houndREMOVEME@scannerhounds.com
Message-ID: <19da7d60.0106240850.46847d32@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63699

Hey all i just opened up my fourms at http://www.scannerhounds.com/forums

If you get a chance please stop by and say hello!

Eric
Scannerhounds.com

984. For sell or trade Ar 8600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 17:51:17 UTC
From: nickle911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010624135117.26007.00001030@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 63700

Have a mint Ar 8600 for sell no blocks box and manual will sell for 600 or trade for a Ar8200 and cash,email if interested.Phil

985. Re: Funny cell phone add on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 18:37:58 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B363384.6BFBD363@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63701

For the record, Osteopathy is not derived from chiropractic.
Osteopathy is a field of study closely related to Medicine, but which
also includes manipulation as a form of treatment (physical
therapy?). Osteopaths, believe in, and prescribe, medications and
surgery. (I had an uncle by marriage who was one.)

Pennsylvania, for some reason, seems to have a high proportion of DOs,
many in the cardiac fields. New Jersey has practically none.

A search on the internet would probably reveal the true nature of the
field of study.

> >
> >
> Just for some news of the weird, there are two type doctors in this country. I
> am reffereing to docs that work on people. MDs or Doctors of Medicine, and
> Doctors of Oestopathy. I think I am spelling it right. They are derived from
> the same school of thought that Chiropractors come from, but are "honest to
> goodness doctors. They have to pass the same state medical board tests.
> And can write prescriptions. Back when I was in the hospitcal to have my
> leaking apendix removed, one of the internds was a DO or OD. I asked our
> daughter in law, a "real" MD, and she said that while they are derived from
> Chiropractors, they are (for the most part) good doctors. I was more then a
> little amazed.

986. Re: What's a frequency counter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 18:58:13 UTC
From: Jake@nauti.net
Message-ID: <3B363845.884FD196@nauti.net>
Source record: 63702

Pick up an electronics magazine at off the rack - they are advertised in them.

Bill wrote:

> I am looking for a machine that will count the most active frequencies in
> the police (or HAM) band, and let me know what they are in order of most
> use.
>
> If that's a frequency counter, how can I get one?? What type of
> companies/websites sell those??
>
> Bill

987. Re: Ocean City Maryland Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 20:54:16 UTC
From: "Lindsay C. Blanton" <lindsay@lcblanton.com>
Message-ID: <ZrsZ6.46$0G.4318@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 63703

John,

Check out the following link for the information on this system in the
Trunked Radio Database:

http://www.trunkedradio.net/cgi-bin/display.pl?sid=386

--
Lindsay C. Blanton
The Trunked Radio Information Homepage
http://www.trunkedradio.net

"John Wistuba" <johnis@home.com> wrote in message
news:U68X6.89098$G5.19283037@news1.rdc1.md.home.com...
> Police and Fire in Ocean City Maryland use a trunked EDACS system. I have
> the Freqs, but I dont think I have the right order as my BC245XLT keeps
> locking onto the modem channel. Can anyone help?
>
>

988. Re: Anyone seen these ultra-range cordless phones (20miles+)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 21:27:25 UTC
From: diverseimaging <diverseimaging@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1XsZ6.3239$Bp1.434609@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63704

<myemail@goes.here.com> wrote in message
news:81aajt82bg2c1v56nkd479rato1o0p7825@4ax.com...
> http://www3.sympatico.ca/ChanLiYen/Cordless/shark.htm
>
> http://www3.sympatico.ca/ChanLiYen/Cordless/list.htm
>
> Do these operate on "regular" freqs?

I have seen similar units on eBay. These operate on some of the HAM
allocated frequencies, and the ARRL (HAM lobbying group) is pushing the FCC
to enforce things and get em off the market.

989. FS: Pro2066 TrunkTracker New in Box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 21:32:39 UTC
From: scantexas@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <884cb013.0106241332.7a905e5b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63705

I have a pro2066 brand new in the box asking 100.00 shipped , may consider trades

thanks
gregg

email me directly if interested

990. Re: Anyone seen these ultra-range cordless phones (20miles+)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 22:09:05 UTC
From: sparky@sparkytown.com
Message-ID: <3b36638e.51953576@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 63706

On Sun, 24 Jun 2001 00:32:01 GMT, myemail@goes.here.com wrote:

>
>Do these operate on "regular" freqs?
>
>
>I really like the cell phone signal jammer he has. Too bad
>its too expensive for me.
>

Most of these products are made in China and are not Type Accepted by the FCC or other Sanctioning Bodies. The use of them in the US, Canada, Mexico, and most European Countries is probably not legal, as they transmit on frequencies allocated for other services that require a specific license. You probably can't even legally use them in their country of origin... :)

Sparky

991. LAPD Digital Discussion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-24 22:32:29 UTC
From: "Lindsay C. Blanton" <lindsay@lcblanton.com>
Message-ID: <2UtZ6.50$tx1.101933@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 63707

http://www.trunkedradio.net/forums/Forum16/HTML/000017.html

--
Lindsay C. Blanton
The Trunked Radio Information Homepage
http://www.trunkedradio.net

992. yupiteru mvt-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 00:03:14 UTC
From: jhuman <jhuman2@home.com>
Message-ID: <6dvZ6.8296$Ga.1543246@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 63708
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know where I can get a user's guide online for the Yupiterus MVT-7100?

thx in advance...

993. Re: LAPD Digital Discussion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 00:38:59 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <DKvZ6.122625$DG1.20244297@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63709

Digital? What's to discuss?

Bill Crocker

"Lindsay C. Blanton" <lindsay@lcblanton.com> wrote in message
news:2UtZ6.50$tx1.101933@nnrp2.sbc.net...
> http://www.trunkedradio.net/forums/Forum16/HTML/000017.html
>
> --
> Lindsay C. Blanton
> The Trunked Radio Information Homepage
> http://www.trunkedradio.net
>
>
>

994. Software for Yaesu VR500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 01:17:34 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B36912C.E59CCBA8@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63710

I am considering the purchase of the above radio.

Can anyone advise me what software is available to control this radio? Are demo versions available?

All I have seen is the RT Systems software (ADMS-3) for $40, but no indication of what it does or if there is a demo available.

Walt

995. Re: radar detector choice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 01:34:29 UTC
From: ainsleylee@prevueusa.com
Message-ID: <ec4c2b4c.0106241734.189e746a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63711

outrunsho21@cs.com (Outrunsho21) wrote in message
news:<20010609124557.24528.00000121@ng-mg1.news.cs.com>...
> Hello driver.. Name is Jason here I used to drive for like 6 months for U.S.
> xpress. I used a radar detector when I drove and found mostly that all the
> states really are just using normal radar and not Laser. My dad is also a
> police officer and laser is really only used in heavy traffic and during the
> day. If you are like me and you are in v.a. at night going 90 down the hill You
> need a detector. I don't know what detector to recomend but was going to give
> you some info on radar. Radar picks up the biggest strongest signal and guess
> who that would be in the line of traffic. YOU! Laser detectors are usless. I
> had a detector that was suppose to pick up laser and it did within just 100
> feet and I was already caught. There are some websites that will tell you about
> the detectors and which ones are the best. If I find that site I will send you
> the info. Watch out for the bears!
>
> Jason

Hi all,
I've just found this intersting website about radar detector
reviews and i guess it is the best so far....updated and fair. Atleast
we know that valentine one is not the only choice but you guys
decide...

http://www.speedzones.com/

Hope this is helpful...pass this on if it does.

Lee

996. Re: LAPD Digital Discussion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 01:50:08 UTC
From: Barry <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <3B3698D0.FCB9803F@snet.net>
Source record: 63712

Bill Crocker wrote:
>
> Digital? What's to discuss?
>
> Bill Crocker

What they _used_ to hear? <G>

Barry

997. Re: Home Antenna Suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 02:30:33 UTC
From: "R.Young" <rychard@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <7h7djt83jadhafpss4653endstc98ne149@4ax.com>
Source record: 63713

On Fri, 22 Jun 2001 20:46:26 -0700, "Frank" <nospam@mindspring.com>
wrote:

>Hi All, does anyone have any feedback on the Scantenna?
>Is it better or worse than a regular Radio Shack discone?
>I'm trying to get the best all-around reception for 25-1000MHz.
>
>Thanks!
>Frank

I have been using the Scantenna for about 7 years,and have been
pleased with the results,but I live within about 2 miles of downtown
Atlanta.It's up about 25 feet.I have not done a side by side comparing
of the two antennas,but if you live in a downtown,or near downtown
area,either will probably work well.Height will make the real
difference in longer distance receiving situations.
Dean
KR4IU

998. Re: yupiteru mvt-7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 03:02:41 UTC
From: ~shana~ <shana1@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3B377CC2.74A2A9D1@optushome.com.au>
Source record: 63714
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.strongsignals.net/data/mvt7100_og.txt

jhuman wrote:
>
> Does anyone know where I can get a user's guide online for the Yupiterus
> MVT-7100?
>
> thx in advance...

999. Re: Anyone seen these ultra-range cordless phones (20miles+)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 03:26:39 UTC
From: m_man54@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3b36aee5.140882219@news.gte.net>
Source record: 63716

On Sun, 24 Jun 2001 00:32:01 GMT, myemail@goes.here.com wrote:

>http://www3.sympatico.ca/ChanLiYen/Cordless/shark.htm
>
>http://www3.sympatico.ca/ChanLiYen/Cordless/list.htm
>
>Do these operate on "regular" freqs?
>
>
>I really like the cell phone signal jammer he has. Too bad
>its too expensive for me.
>
>http://www3.sympatico.ca/ChanLiYen/Cordless/dlw-2000.htm

These phone are not legal in the US.

20 Watts in that band will get the DOD down on you ASAP.

Ron

1000. Barberton Ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 03:27:28 UTC
From: "D.C." <dc06@nls.net>
Message-ID: <AcyZ6.38780$G45.2067157@news1.onlynews.com>
Source record: 63715

Anyone Have the Freq for the Officials at Barberton Speedway in Barberton Ohio?

Thanks

Dave
KB8QHU

1001. Re: Funny cell phone add on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 03:28:54 UTC
From: m_man54@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3b36af64.141008971@news.gte.net>
Source record: 63717

On 24 Jun 2001 02:03:53 GMT, Dante'@inferno.net (Speaker to Animals)
wrote:

>While I almost never watch TV, Friday I saw an ad for a silly cell/PCS phone
>safety device. A device which connects to Nokia phones (maybe others) and
>pwoers the phone through the cigerette lighter power port, and broadcasts the
>receive cell phone audio on 96.1 (maybe other freqs but that was the one
>they mentioned). It also has a microphone so it can be used hands free.The
>funny thing, to me at least, was the fact that it broadcasts a cell phone
>conversation over the standard FM BCB. While it is low power, I suspect it
>can be picked up on a nearby radio. So, does that make all of the FM BCB
>radios in the country cell phone monitoring devices?
>
Yep, Your FM Car Radio has just become Illegal

Ron

1002. may be nuts but WTB:Fascinator modules

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 03:44:06 UTC
From: uran233@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010624234406.23525.00001132@ng-mg1.aol.com>
Source record: 63718

I am searching for some drop in Fascinator modules for Motorola DES replacement. Anyone out there have any info on them?

1003. Re: Los Angeles TV Media Leaving 450 MHz? (Retry)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 04:13:26 UTC
From: markndark@aol.comspambot
Message-ID: <20010625001326.23589.00001173@ng-mg1.aol.com>
Source record: 63719

don't know if it's a trend, but i've noticed I'm not hearing them lately...
thanks for the frequencies, I'll be checkin' them!

Dark

1004. Re: Anyone seen these ultra-range cordless phones (20miles+)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 04:20:44 UTC
From: webmaster@diehansonsux.com
Message-ID: <9h6e6s$c6f4d$4@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 63720

Transmitting Frequency: 267/397 MHz

In article <81aajt82bg2c1v56nkd479rato1o0p7825@4ax.com>,
myemail@goes.here.com says...
>
>http://www3.sympatico.ca/ChanLiYen/Cordless/shark.htm
>
>http://www3.sympatico.ca/ChanLiYen/Cordless/list.htm
>
>Do these operate on "regular" freqs?
>
>
>I really like the cell phone signal jammer he has. Too bad
>its too expensive for me.
>
>http://www3.sympatico.ca/ChanLiYen/Cordless/dlw-2000.htm
>

1005. Re: LAPD Gone Digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 05:43:17 UTC
From: me@me.com
Message-ID: <3b35fbb3$0$25505$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 63790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

mmmmmmmmmm i told you scsanning his dead and dying fast

and I cant wait till i sell more of our products so little fuckwitts can o
longer listen with little fuck witt toys

motorla encryption products division
esmith@motorola.com

"Larry Levy" <levysNOSpam@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:2c72jtkpsrprv7i2m194mi5m00bf5vr2mf@4ax.com...
> Yep, fully digitized. :(
>
> In the last month or two, they started transmitting digital signals on
> their previously little used frequencies between 484-485 Mhz, while
> also using the old "Rover channels on 506-507 Mhz. Now, those are
> silent and everything, aside from the old Tac 1 (154.83-which
> simulcasts "hotshot" dispatches citywide) is on 484 megs.
>
> -Larry
>
>
> On Wed, 20 Jun 2001 11:28:24 -0700, "Eduardo Ortiz" <eortiz@cmix.net>
> wrote:
>
> >I checked that website that one of your replies had listed (the one from
> >Larry Levy)
> >and it is showing Motorola Saber radios. The bad news is if the net is
> >fully digital
> >(which means they are using "vocoder boards" for scrabling their signal)
you
> >will not
> >be able to listen at all.
> >
> >There are no scanners at the moment that can track the Astro (apco 25
> >standard). If the Network
> >is not full digital (which means it is a hybrid system using a
combination
> >of digital and Motorola trunk type II radios) then you might be able to
> >listen to the type II with a trunktracker scanner. You must have the
freq's
> >in order, talkgroups id's and fleet maps to do that.
> >
> >It is not much but it is all I can tell you!
> >

> >go to
> >
> >http://www.trunkedradio.net/
> >
> >for more info, then click on "Digital/Apco25" for more info.
> >
> >Hope it helps!
> >
> >Ed
> >
> >"Given a conflict, Murphy's law supercedes Newton's."
> >
> >**********
> >"warrior" <warrior5@gte.net> wrote in message
> >news:3B2F09F0.4D427169@gte.net...
> >> after the parade for the lakers LAPD went Digital so u can only hear
> >> 154.830
> >>
> >>
>
> http://u1.netgate.net/~kirby34/rsg/levyl.htm
> http://www.geocities.com/levys2/ham.html
> Remove "NoSpam" for email

1006. FS: AR8200 Optional Accessories slot cards (CT-8200, EM-8200, RU-8200, TE-8200 & VI-8200)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 07:01:31 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B36E095.50D5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 63721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

For Sale: All five AR8200 Optional Accessories slot cards:

Price: $100 US + $5.00 shipping via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
USA sales only, please. No Trades, No C.O.D.
Will accept Personal Check or Money Order only.

Package includes the following slot cards:

EM-8200 Ext Memory slot card (increase storage to 4,000 memories)

CT-8200 CTCSS slot card (squelch & search)

RU-8200 IC Record slot card (records up to 20 seconds of audio)

TE-8200 Tone eliminator slot card (useful for scanning past transmission pilot tones)

VI-8200 Voice Inverter slot card (demodulate speech inversion scrambling)

All slot cards are in original packaging and contain documention.

These slot cards can be used with the AR8200, AR8200 MKII and AR8600.

1007. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 07:50:52 UTC
From: sparky@sparkytown.com
Message-ID: <3b36dea9.83472790@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 63722

MDC4800 decoder for Windows. Works with soundcard (excellently, BTW) or data slicer. Requires a scanner with baseband/discriminator tap. Computer with at least a pentium processor is recommended.

Most Police MDC4800 can be found in the 850 MHZ range. Companies like TSS (mobile computer service for Gateway/Dell/etc) and Sears have been know to use it, too.

http://chroot.ath.cx/fade/projects/decoding/mdtmonprog.zip (v1.5.1)

http://www.ozemail.com.au/~gilchris/mdtmon161.zip (missing slicer.vxd)

What does MDT (MDC 4800, RDLAP, etc.) sound like?

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Cockpit/2800/digital/index.htm

ATCS monitor - Much like MDC4800 for railroads.

http://207.105.40.225/index.htm

Happy decoding,

Sparky

1008. Re: Any Scanner Mod Links??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 11:07:13 UTC
From: RayC <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz>
Message-ID: <9h76sl$22b0$1@raewyn.paradise.net.nz>
Source record: 63745

http://www.chenmei.fsnet.co.uk/

Mods section

"Ken Plotkin" <kplotkin@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:pqo7jtov5i92vm1kng8n03hkjpun3bq3t3@4ax.com...
> On 22 Jun 2001 16:08:09 GMT, pbscanner@cs.com (PB Scanner) wrote:
>
> >http://www.mods.dk/
>
> Yes - that site has the PRO-64 cell mod. :-)
>

1009. fs. 60 ch base scanner pro 2034 40.00US includes shipping and insurance

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 12:44:12 UTC
From: JP <brontebear@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <wmGZ6.115730$r7.15484017@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 63723

for sale:

realistic pro 2034

60 channel base scanner
6 banks of 10 channels
has a delay on/off
search between 2 frequencies

has aircraft 118-136mhz,
vhf lo 30-50
ham 50-54
government 138-174
ham 144-148
vhf hi 148-174
ham/governent 380-450
uhf lo 450-470
uhf tv 470-512

scan speed slow/fast 8/16
comes with telescopic antenna
and bnc adapter for antenna
has a 1/8 phones jack on the front

is in excellent condition 8/10 condition

40.00US includes shipping and insurance

thanks
jpotoma@home.com

1010. Re: Funny cell phone add on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 14:37:47 UTC
From: DrTurbo <drturbo@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3B374CBB.99D34FEF@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63725

And your walkman, your home stereo, etc. etc... provided
your car passes close to one of those...

> Yep, Your FM Car Radio has just become Illegal
>
> Ron

1011. FA Icom IC-R2 **Brand New**

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 15:29:16 UTC
From: "Radio-Mart inc." <martyna@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <NLJZ6.34983$9r1.2464941@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 63726
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1249651762

1012. Re: ? AF aircraft freqs used on training flights?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 17:05:11 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010625130511.05656.00001259@ng-mh1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63727

>Subject: Re: ? AF aircraft freqs used on training flights?
>From: Bill Jones wejones@megalink.net
>Date: 6/24/01 12:07 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B361031.D3B6306D@megalink.net>
>
>First off, I wanted to thank all those that have e-mailed me off the
>group. I've programmed in several freqs, and although the fighters
>haven't come back since I started monitoring, I have heard some
>interesting things, like re-fueling operations.

By all means think of making a Site up with Frequencies you come across, and for a start; posting them for others in your area and elsewhere to use.

Though some Frequencies are constant, some change and you may have new or newly used ones from the pool.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1013. Re: bc 560 xl

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 17:07:33 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010625130733.05656.00001261@ng-mh1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63728

>Subject: bc 560 xl
>From: "m1976" m1976@ametro.net
>Date: 6/23/01 11:37 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9h3n0o$3bf$1@news.datasync.com>
>
>are there any mods at all or is the uniden 560 unmodifiable? any help is
>appreciated. tomcat
>

I have not seen any for this model, but visit and bookmark the following Sites
as they may crop up:

http://www.mods.dk/
http://www.qrz.com/

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1014. Re: Funny cell phone add on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 17:10:25 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010625131025.05656.00001263@ng-mh1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63729

>Subject: Re: Funny cell phone add on

<snip>
>There's also a "testomonial" from a lady that the ad states
>is a licensed chiropractor who says she needs to be able to have a >good cell
phone connection so there aren't any mistakes on
>prescriptions. Chiropractors cannot write prescriptions.

Not a brag but I know that Lady, she is a DC and you are right; she writes no
scrips.

And yes these things are junk, surprised the FTC has not nailed them...yet!

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1015. Re: Funny cell phone add on

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 17:12:46 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010625131246.05656.00001264@ng-mh1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63730

>Subject: Re: Funny cell phone add on

Indeed, these units started out for CD Players in cars that had no tape deck, I remember being in traffic and using the Scan on the FM radio and hearing the person changing the CD next to me.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1016. WTB:s-meter for pro-2032

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 17:14:37 UTC
From: sky444nospam@mint.net
Message-ID: <3b377193.68370708@news.mint.net>
Source record: 63731

anybody ever modded a pro-2032 so you can use an s-meter.....I am looking for that type set up.....sky444@mint.net

1017. mvt-7100 4 sale ?100 uk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 17:41:45 UTC
From: Val <smurf123@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9h7svf$b9i$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 63733

Hi All
I am selling my yupi 7100.
http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/mvt-7100.htm
I can say it is in very good condition and works perfect.
has manual, beltclip, wriststrap and ni-cads
I am asking ?100.00p for it (at this price NO-OFFERS PLEASE!!!)
Postage is an extra ?7.00p (UK-next working day guaranteed) or world-wide
Airmail-4/10 days
Val..

1018. mvt 7100 (&#65533;100.00p) UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 17:48:51 UTC
From: Val <smurf123@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9h7tcu$c2i$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 63734

Hi All
I am selling my yupi 7100.
http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/mvt-7100.htm
I can say it is in very good condition and works perfect.
has manual, beltclip, wriststrap and ni-cads
I am asking &#65533;100.00p for it (at this price NO-OFFERS PLEASE!!!)
Postage is an extra &#65533;7.00p (UK-next working day guaranteed) or world-wide
Airmail-4/10 days
Val..
thats $151.25 US-Dollars including shipping
$229.28 Canadian including shipping
registered cash or personal cheque (cleared before shipping)

1019. Re: mvt-7100 4 sale €100 uk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 18:31:52 UTC
From: Val <smurf123@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9h7vta$47j$1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 63737

sorry now sold

Val <smurf123@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:9h7svf$b9i$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
> Hi All
> I am selling my yupi 7100.
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/mvt-7100.htm
> I can say it is in very good condition and works perfect.
> has manual, beltclip, wriststrap and ni-cads
> I am asking €100.00p for it (at this price NO-OFFERS PLEASE!!!)
> Postage is an extra €7.00p (UK-next working day guaranteed) or world-wide
> Airmail-4/10 days
> Val..
>
>
>
>

1020. Re: mvt 7100 (€100.00p) UK

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 18:32:05 UTC
From: Val <smurf123@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9h7vtn$2io$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 63736

```
sorry now sold
```

Val <smurf123@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:9h7tcu$c2i$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
> Hi All
> I am selling my yupi 7100.
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/mvt-7100.htm
> I can say it is in very good condition and works perfect.
> has manual, beltclip, wriststrap and ni-cads
> I am asking €100.00p for it (at this price NO-OFFERS PLEASE!!!)
> Postage is an extra €7.00p (UK-next working day guaranteed) or world-wide
> Airmail-4/10 days
> Val..
> thats $151.25 US-Dollars including shipping
> $229.28 Canadian including shipping
> registered cash or personal cheque (cleared before shipping)
>
>

1021. PRO-92 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 18:42:48 UTC
From: pelikandrp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010625144248.17765.00001406@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 63738

Hi All,
strange thing with my 92 last night. Running on alkaline batteries in the scan mode, and all normal. I hit manual to stop and stay on a specific freq, and after five seconds of silence on the freq, the unit would shut off :-(
Turned off the power...back on...repeated the sequence...same thing.
Running on the AC adapter, this does not happen. While I did not get any type of low battery warning etc, is this how the unit shuts down when battery is low?
Then...why does it run in the scan mode with same batteries?
Interested in you thoughts and/or comments.

Thanks much!

"if you love a man's garden...you gotta love the man"
-Frank Serpico

1022. Re: PRO-92 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 19:03:09 UTC
From: Matt <mdenning@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3B378AED.9791EDF@charter.net>
Source record: 63739

Try switching batteries and see if it does the same thing.
Matt-

PelikanDRP wrote:

> Hi All,
> strange thing with my 92 last night. Running on alkaline batteries in the scan
> mode, and all normal. I hit manual to stop and stay on a specific freq, and
> after five seconds of silence on the freq, the unit would shut off :-(
> Turned off the power...back on...repeated the sequence...same thing.
> Running on the AC adapter, this does not happen. While I did not get any type
> of low battery warning etc, is this how the unit shuts down when battery is
> low?
> Then...why does it run in the scan mode with same batteries?
> Interested in you thoughts and/or comments.
>
> Thanks much!
>
> ---
> "if you love a man's garden...you gotta love the man"
> -Frank Serpico

1023. Re: PRO-92 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 19:19:46 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B378ED1.13568C58@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63740

I have noticed some similar, strange, things occurring just before the batteries give out. Apparently different operating modes draw different amounts of power, and behave differently as the batteries deplete.

My unit has always returned to normal operation when batteries are replaced, recharged, or the power unit plugged in.

Walt

PelikanDRP wrote:
>
> Hi All,
> strange thing with my 92 last night. Running on alkaline batteries in the scan
> mode, and all normal. I hit manual to stop and stay on a specific freq, and
> after five seconds of silence on the freq, the unit would shut off :-(
> Turned off the power...back on...repeated the sequence...same thing.
> Running on the AC adapter, this does not happen. While I did not get any type
> of low battery warning etc, is this how the unit shuts down when battery is
> low?
> Then...why does it run in the scan mode with same batteries?
> Interested in you thoughts and/or comments.
>
> Thanks much!
>
> ---
> "if you love a man's garden...you gotta love the man"
> -Frank Serpico

1024. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 19:56:35 UTC
From: sparky@sparkytown.com
Message-ID: <3b3795b4.130339414@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 63741

On Mon, 25 Jun 2001 19:19:06 GMT, Mark <no@mail.com.invalid!> wrote:

>
>Sorry, but you are wrong again. 2 for 2.
>
>There is no argument. There is no FACT. There is no nothing. It's illegal.

I have asked that people substantiate their claims. I do not see Blake substatiating his claim that MOST PD's are now using encrypted MDT. No industry surveys, no marketing numbers, In fact, he hasn't bothered to respond.

All you have to do is a simple web search to find out what most PD's are using for MDT transmissions. Again from what I have found there is no major change from unencrypted MDC4800 and RDLAP in the upper midwest. A trip to the desert southwest last Christmas confirmed that many Arizona and California Agencies are still using MDC4800.

And now I invite you to cite the law that prohibits the reception of unencrypted Public Safety Transmissions. Here's your chance to prove me wrong. Site the actual law as passed by the US Congress. Feel free to post the text of that law here. Put up or shut up.

73,

Sparky

1025. Re: Software for Yaesu VR500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 20:11:16 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010625161116.10131.00001678@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 63742

>
>I am considering the purchase of the above radio.
>
>Can anyone advise me what software is available to control this
>radio? Are demo versions available?
>
>All I have seen is the RT Systems software (ADMS-3) for $40, but no
>indication of what it does or if there is a demo available.
>
>Walt

Hello, Walt.
I have a VR-500 and RT's ADMS-3 software. I like both the radio and the software.
The software, however, is not a controller but a cloning program. With it you can write and save any number of "profiles" for the VR-500. For instance, I have one channel arrangement that I use at home, two for specific airshows in my area, And several others for various functions and circumstances. Each is saved as a file and is loaded into the scanner in about a minute.
It can also be used to clone between two VR-500's.
I'm not aware of a demo of this program, though.

1026. Re: Software for Yaesu VR500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 20:29:36 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B379F2F.51334C0D@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63743

Thanks Urei,

Yaesu advertises this radio, on their website, as having "computer control" as one of it's features. Apparently there is no program available to accomplish this. Strange.

Walt

Urei wrote:

snip

> Hello, Walt.
> I have a VR-500 and RT's ADMS-3 software. I like both the radio and the
> software.
> The software, however, is not a controller but a cloning program. With it
> you can write and save any number of "profiles" for the VR-500. For instance, I
> have one channel arrangement that I use at home, two for specific airshows in
> my area, And several others for various functions and circumstances. Each is
> saved as a file and is loaded into the scanner in about a minute.
> It can also be used to clone between two VR-500's.
> I'm not aware of a demo of this program, though.

1027. FS: Realistic PRO-34 200 Channel Scanner w/case & manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 20:32:35 UTC
From: photosbychris@att.net
Message-ID: <3b37a00b.42491848@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 63744

Realistic Pro-34 200 Channel Scanner in excellent condition covering 30-54Mhz, 108-174Mhz, 380-512Mhz, 806-960Mhz. Includes duck antenna, custom made real hard leather professional case (a $100 value alone) that fits like a glove. It protects most of the radio while still allowing access to top controls and external DC power with unobstructed speaker audio. Owners manual, and factory service manual (not a copy, original) also included. This radio has been well cared for as the picture (http://photosbychris.home.att.net/pro34.jpg) illustrates providing years of excellent service. I purchased the radio new in the first year of production with never a need for service. The radio works as good as it looks, rarely used portable, mainly used to monitor the local public service bands and aircraft around the house in a non-smoker environment. I'll also load a fresh set of batteries so it's ready to go right out of the box, just program and play. This would make an excellent travel scanner for your summer vacation. Price is $250 shipping included, PayPal accepted.

Contact Chris at photosbychris@att.net

Photo at http://photosbychris.home.att.net/pro34.jpg

1028. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 20:44:58 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010625164458.10131.00001688@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 63746

>
>The government will assure that you're damned if you do, and damned if you
>don't. Not a very nice way to live is it?
>

Don't bitch to me. You voted for 'em.

1029. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 20:46:52 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010625164652.10131.00001689@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 63597

>
>Too late to solve this guys problems. Seems he raised a daughter that
>doesn't respect him. Then again what is there to respect in a parent that
>have any respect for his kids. OTOH, children are just a product of their
>parents. The guy raised a daughter in the image of himself. To bad.
>

This is not always the case.
BTW, how many children do you have?

1030. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 21:05:19 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010625170519.10131.00001694@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 63598

>
>That is plain BS! You have been sucked in by the media to believe this to
>be true. Call you local Social Services office and report a child abuse.
>Go talk to those in the medical field about reporting child abuse. It
>usually takes an act of God to get kids out of abusive homes. Your
>scenario is the exception to the rule.
>

I'd suggest you spend more time in a pasture before you proclaim what is and
isn't BS.
On the strength of a malicious, false verbal claim of child abuse I was
denied access to my two daughters immediately and for 11 years. It was easy to
accomplish this. It was done, as I stated, by merely making a false verbal
claim to a doctor.
Over the course of those 11 years, I came in contact with HUNDREDS of people
in my situation and read about hundreds more who were all denied their parental
rights unjustly because a spouse or neighbor or some other malicious person
decided to make a claim against them for a variety of reasons that you'd find
appalling and ludicrous.
Don't tell me my case is a rare one or another "exception to the rule"
either. I became completely fed up with that crap over the course of the
aformentioned 11 years. It's just not true.
Just for the record, I'm an excellent parent, my 22-year-old is completely
devoted to me, and my 18-year-old, who lives with her mother
(my accuser) is still a little distant, but we get along very well.
Get your facts straight before you presume to tell others about what is and
isn't factual. Until then, shut up and listen. You've got a lot to learn.

1031. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 21:19:52 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B37AC13.8EA1E87C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63599

Never anonymous Bud wrote:
>
> Mark <no@mail.com.invalid!> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
> >On Sun, 24 Jun 2001 08:54:46 GMT, sparky@sparkytown.com (Sparky) wrote:
> >
> >
> >>And again, this does nothing to change the FACT that receiving MDT
> >>transmissions is not illegal.
> >
> >Sorry, but you are wrong again. 2 for 2.
> >
> >There is no argument. There is no FACT. There is no nothing. It's illegal.
>
> Great, SHOW us the pertinent law.
>

From ECPA

As used in this chapter -

(4) "intercept" means the aural or other acquisition of the
contents of any wire, electronic or oral communication
through the use of any electronic, mechanical or other device;
(8) "contents", when used with respect to any wire, electronic
or oral communication, includes any information
concerning the substance, purport or meaning of the communication;
(12) "electronic communication" means any transfer of ...
intelligence of any transmitted in whole or in part by a
... radio ...system that affects interstate or foreign commerce, but
does not include -
A.any wire or oral communication;
B.any communication made through a tone-only paging
device; or
C.any communication from a tracking device...;
(16) "readily accessible to the general public" means, with
respect to a radio communication, that such
communication is not -
A.scrambled or encrypted;
B.transmitted using modulation techniques whose
essential parameters have been withheld from the
public with the intention of preserving the privacy of such
communication;
C.carried on a subcarrier or other signal subsidiary to
a radio transmission;

D.transmitted on frequencies allocated under part 25, subpart D, E, or F of part 74, or part 94 of the Rules of the [FCC], unless, in the case of a communication transmitted on a frequency allocated under part 74 that is not exclusively allocated to broadcast auxiliary services, the communication is a two-way voice communication by radio;

Section 2511. Interception and disclosure of wire, oral, or electronic communications prohibited

1.Except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter any person who -
a.intentionally intercepts ... any wire oral or electronic communication;
b.intentionally uses ... any electronic, mechanical or other device to intercept any oral communication when

(ii) such device transmits communications by radio, or interferes with the transmission of such communication;

c.intentionally discloses ... the contents of any wire, oral or electronic communication ...; or
d.intentially uses ... the contents of any wire, oral or electronic communication ...;

shall be punished as provided in subsection (4) or shall be subject to suit as provided in subsection (5).

1032. Scanner repairs in Rhode Island?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 21:55:18 UTC
From: grossmanms@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010625175518.18420.00000524@ng-cq1.aol.com>
Source record: 63600

I have a Realistic Pro-2004 that is now dead and a Uniden BC9000 that has also left the land of the living. Is there a place in the Rhode Island area I can take these radios to for repair?

Thanks,
Mike G.

1033. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 21:56:21 UTC
From: sparky@sparkytown.com
Message-ID: <3b37ab90.135936936@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 63601

On Mon, 25 Jun 2001 21:19:52 GMT, Peter Maus
<PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>>device; or
> C.any communication from a tracking device...;
> (16) "readily accessible to the general public" means, with
>respect to a radio communication, that such
>communication is not -
> A.scrambled or encrypted;
> B.transmitted using modulation techniques whose
>essential parameters have been withheld from the
>public with the intention of preserving the privacy of such
>communication;

RDLAP and MDC4800 are not encrypted, nor have the essential operating
parmameters been withheld from the general public in regards to baud,
frequencies used, mark & space tones, etc. Heck the name of the
transmission scheme has the BAUD RATE in it!

In fact, as I have pointed out I can legally purchase MDT's that use
these transmission techniques. I have attended Motorola Seminars
open to the public where they have dissemenated "White Papers" on
their various transmission schemes. Although the transmission scheme
is proprietary, it is not private. People here have confused
proprietary with private. Not the same. Motorola has not made an
attempt to keep this info private:

Look here!

http://www.mxcom.com/prod_info/pi969-1.htm

And that info took me 10 seconds to find. Block Diagrams,
Transmission schemes, error correcting protocols used. This is info I
can get in BOOKS. Go to a good technical library, for Gosh Sakes!

And it is not illegal in the US to reverse engineer a standard. If it
were, IBM woulda won that case against Compaq, and we wouldn't
probably be using PC's right now...

Furthermore, the Police as a public agency are subject to public
scrutiny. Part of the Rodney King case of violating his civil rights
was based on transcripts of the MDT's of the officer's involved in his
beating. I can, under FOI, request the transcripts of any and all
police communications.

> C.carried on a subcarrier or other signal subsidiary to
>a radio transmission;

> D.transmitted on frequencies allocated under part 25,
>subpart D, E, or F of part 74, or part 94 of the
>Rules of the [FCC], unless, in the case of a communication
>transmitted on a frequency allocated under part
>74 that is not exclusively allocated to broadcast
>auxiliary services, the communication is a two-way
>voice communication by radio;
>
>
>
>
> Section 2511. Interception and disclosure of wire, oral, or
>electronic communications
> prohibited
>
> 1.Except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter any
>person who -
> a.intentionally intercepts ... any wire oral or electronic
>communication;
> b.intentionally uses ... any electronic, mechanical or other
>device to intercept any oral communication when
>
> (ii) such device transmits communications by radio, or
>interferes with the transmission of such
>communication;
>
> c.intentionally discloses ... the contents of any wire, oral
>or electronic communication ...; or
> d.intentially uses ... the contents of any wire, oral or
>electronic communication ...;

Right, which is what I said. If you disclose what you intercept to the general public or misuse that information for criminal purposes you are breaking the law.

SO you have not proved your case. It is not illegal to decode MDT that is sent free and clear. The majority of public agencies have not gone to encyrpted standards yet and the transmission schemes of the unencrypted standards are not private, because the manufacturers will share every nuance of their software and hardware with anyone who cares to look or ask...

Sparky

1034. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 22:09:36 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B37B7BB.442F96BD@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63602

Sparky wrote:
>
> On Mon, 25 Jun 2001 21:19:52 GMT, Peter Maus
> <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >>device; or
> > > C.any communication from a tracking device...;
> > > (16) "readily accessible to the general public" means, with
> > >respect to a radio communication, that such
> > >communication is not -
> > > A.scrambled or encrypted;
> > > B.transmitted using modulation techniques whose
> > >essential parameters have been withheld from the
> > >public with the intention of preserving the privacy of such
> > >communication;
>
> RDLAP and MDC4800 are not encrypted, nor have the essential operating
> parmameters been withheld from the general public in regards to baud,
> frequencies used, mark & space tones, etc. Heck the name of the
> transmission scheme has the BAUD RATE in it!
>
> In fact, as I have pointed out I can legally purchase MDT's that use
> these transmission techniques. I have attended Motorola Seminars
> open to the public where they have dissemenated "White Papers" on
> their various transmission schemes. Although the transmission scheme
> is proprietary, it is not private. People here have confused
> proprietary with private. Not the same. Motorola has not made an
> attempt to keep this info private:
>
> Look here!
>
> http://www.mxcom.com/prod_info/pi969-1.htm
>
> And that info took me 10 seconds to find. Block Diagrams,
> Transmission schemes, error correcting protocols used. This is info I
> can get in BOOKS. Go to a good technical library, for Gosh Sakes!
>
> And it is not illegal in the US to reverse engineer a standard. If it
> were, IBM woulda won that case against Compaq, and we wouldn't
> probably be using PC's right now...
>
> Furthermore, the Police as a public agency are subject to public
> scrutiny. Part of the Rodney King case of violating his civil rights
> was based on transcripts of the MDT's of the officer's involved in his

> beating. I can, under FOI, request the transcripts of any and all
> police communications.
>
> > C.carried on a subcarrier or other signal subsidiary to
> >a radio transmission;
> > D.transmitted on frequencies allocated under part 25,
> >subpart D, E, or F of part 74, or part 94 of the
> >Rules of the [FCC], unless, in the case of a communication
> >transmitted on a frequency allocated under part
> >74 that is not exclusively allocated to broadcast
> >auxiliary services, the communication is a two-way
> >voice communication by radio;
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > Section 2511. Interception and disclosure of wire, oral, or
> >electronic communications
> > prohibited
> >
> > 1.Except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter any
> >person who -
> > a.intentionally intercepts ... any wire oral or electronic
> >communication;
> > b.intentionally uses ... any electronic, mechanical or other
> >device to intercept any oral communication when
> >
> > (ii) such device transmits communications by radio, or
> >interferes with the transmission of such
> >communication;
> >
> > c.intentionally discloses ... the contents of any wire, oral
> >or electronic communication ...; or
> > d.intentially uses ... the contents of any wire, oral or
> >electronic communication ...;
>
> Right, which is what I said. If you disclose what you intercept to
> the general public or misuse that information for criminal purposes
> you are breaking the law.
>
> SO you have not proved your case. It is not illegal to decode MDT
> that is sent free and clear. The majority of public agencies have not
> gone to encyrpted standards yet and the transmission schemes of the
> unencrypted standards are not private, because the manufacturers will
> share every nuance of their software and hardware with anyone who
> cares to look or ask...
>
> Sparky

And here we have a clear case demonstrating that citing one's source on UseNet only serves to prolong the bickering.

Read the first clause of part one. It states that anyone who INTERCEPTS is in violation. It's not just disclosure anymore.

1035. For Sell mint Ar8600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 22:12:36 UTC
From: Philip Knode <philk@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <RAOZ6.35250$9r1.2507428@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 63603

Have a mint Ar 8600 will sell for 600 dollars have box and manual email for details if interested.Phil

1036. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 23:06:41 UTC
From: sparky@sparkytown.com
Message-ID: <3b38bbbe.140079233@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 63604

On Mon, 25 Jun 2001 22:09:36 GMT, Peter Maus <
>
> And here we have a clear case demonstrating that citing one's
>source on UseNet only serves to prolong the bickering.
>
> Read the first clause of part one. It states that anyone who
>INTERCEPTS is in violation. It's not just disclosure anymore.

You can't focus on one word of a law. The meaning of the law has to be taken in the entirety and not just the clause, single word, or section of the law.

Many people quote Shakespeare as saying "Kill all the lawyers."

But the whole quote is something like, "if you want tyranny and anarchy to rule the land, first thing you do is Kill all the lawyers.

The meaning is totally reversed when you look at it in it's whole.

"1.Except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter any person who -
a.intentionally intercepts ... any wire oral or electronic communication;
b.intentionally uses ... any electronic, mechanical or other device to intercept any oral communication when"

If you look at the preamble to your "intentionally intercepts" it says before your - EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE SPECIFICALLY PROVIDED...

And as Never Anonymous Bud pointed out, ECPA exempts the interception of governmental agencies. His quote:

>>ECPA also specifically exempted communications that are 'readily accessible
>>to the public', including those transmitted by "any governmental, law
>>enforcement, civil defense, private land mobile, or public safety
>>communications system, including Police and Fire."

ECPA is designed to protect communications between private parties. It is not designed to prevent the reception of public service agency transmissions. To do so would be in violation of various state and federal public scrutiny laws and constitutional provisions, including the original Federal Communications Act. I hate to bring in Posse Comitatus (really I'm not a kook), but look at Title 18 US Code, Part I...

You can be COWED into believing that you don't have the right to public scrutiny of a public agency, but it'll take a real COURT CASE

by a federal judge, before I consider it illegal.

To allow the police to conduct their business in total secrecy is to allow a police state.

And you would not believe how UNPROFESSIONAL my local PD is when using MDC4800 as they are not aware that anyone with a scanner and a laptop can see what they type...

Sparky

1037. FS: PRO-64 400 ch. PC PROGRAMABLE SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-25 23:09:51 UTC
From: The Roberts <mkr@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <bvPZ6.1807$n6.893553@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 63605
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all, I have a REALISTIC PRO-64 with leather case,PC programming cable,power supply and manual. All items are like new VERY VERY faint scratches on display. The leather case is the correct one for this scanner,fits like a glove. $125.00 and I pay for shipping in the 48 CONUS

1038. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 00:12:13 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B37D476.ED265F4E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63606

Sparky wrote:
>
> On Mon, 25 Jun 2001 22:09:36 GMT, Peter Maus <
> >
> > And here we have a clear case demonstrating that citing one's
> >source on UseNet only serves to prolong the bickering.
> >
> > Read the first clause of part one. It states that anyone who
> >INTERCEPTS is in violation. It's not just disclosure anymore.
>
> You can't focus on one word of a law. The meaning of the law has to
> be taken in the entirety and not just the clause, single word, or
> section of the law.
>
> Many people quote Shakespeare as saying "Kill all the lawyers."
>
> But the whole quote is something like, "if you want tyranny and
> anarchy to rule the land, first thing you do is Kill all the lawyers.
>
> The meaning is totally reversed when you look at it in it's whole.
>
> "1.Except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter any
> person who -
> a.intentionally intercepts ... any wire oral or electronic
> communication;
> b.intentionally uses ... any electronic, mechanical or other
> device to intercept any oral communication when"
>
> If you look at the preamble to your "intentionally intercepts" it says
> before your - EXCEPT AS OTHERWISE SPECIFICALLY PROVIDED...
>
> And as Never Anonymous Bud pointed out, ECPA exempts the interception
> of governmental agencies. His quote:
>
> >>ECPA also specifically exempted communications that are 'readily accessible
> >>to the public', including those transmitted by "any governmental, law
> >>enforcement, civil defense, private land mobile, or public safety
> >>communications system, including Police and Fire."
>
> ECPA is designed to protect communications between private parties.
> It is not designed to prevent the reception of public service agency
> transmissions. To do so would be in violation of various state and
> federal public scrutiny laws and constitutional provisions, including
> the original Federal Communications Act. I hate to bring in Posse

> Comitatus (really I'm not a kook), but look at Title 18 US Code, Part
> I...
>
> You can be COWED into believing that you don't have the right to
> public scrutiny of a public agency, but it'll take a real COURT CASE
> by a federal judge, before I consider it illegal.
>
> To allow the police to conduct their business in total secrecy is to
> allow a police state.
>
> And you would not believe how UNPROFESSIONAL my local PD is when using
> MDC4800 as they are not aware that anyone with a scanner and a laptop
> can see what they type...
>
> Sparky

Which brings me to the real point of having posted the law as I did. IF you read the words, and if you read the exemptions carefully, you begin to see conflicts in the text. What is not permitted often conflicts with the text of what is exempted. We're not talking about Shakespeare, here, this is not poetry. This is text of law. Legal text is specific till it draws blood, and ambiguities are not part of that program. Any yet, reading clearly and understanding the specifics taking the law in it's totality, you read that it's not permitted to intercept any oral or electronic communication, except as noted, and the notations are broad and often vague.

Further, it's pretty clear that the thrust of this law is aimed at Cell spectra and little else. And yet, Bill Cheek was prosecuted for selling devices that specifically permit MDT decoding, as well as a host of other and LEGAL uses.

Which brings me to my point. The purpose of the law is not sufficiently clear. Language of the law, as written, permits selective prosecution, and conviction, with punishment to be meted out, or not, by, as you so very correctly pointed out, a court test. Indicating that the law was writted to ameliorate the concerns of CTIA, based on grossly misunderstood technology, while seeming to open the door for prosecution of those who would monitor and use the technology for criminal intent.

This is pretty much the definition of a 'feel good' law. A token act, based on misrepresentation and fear mongering, with sufficient ambiguities that the real legislation is to take place from the bench, not the halls of Congress. It lets Congress off the hook for the ignorance, and the curtailment of perceived civil rights, and it allows judicial activism to sort out the results of their spinelessness.

And, as in most such cases, only the law abiding are penalized.

(Let's not forget that the major revision of the law was in response to one of their own getting caught with his mouth open.)

Which then raised the question why was Bill Cheek arrested?

Under the specifics of the law, what he did was questionable at best under the darkest possible circumstances. Under the specifics of the law, what he did was perfectly legal in the realm of sale of legally used and applied devices.

However, his vocal and often strident insistence in circumventing the intent of the law protecting the interests of CTIA was very difficult to ignore. Note that he was prosecuted for selling data slicers used for decoding MDT, not his publication of cell modifications for receivers blocked to cell spectra. Neither of which, btw, are specifically illegal as the law is written. But they are in conflict with FCC regulations, which are not codified into the existing law.

The law was used to selectively prosecute him, in error, by the way, waiting for the courts to sort out the arguments on either side, and legislate a clear path for the direction of this law and it's enforcement.

That Congress should pass such a law raises the questions about whether they either know and understand how the law works, or their education is as bad in civics as the rest of the current crop of graduates. In either case, it is the courts who make sense of laws that are both poorly written and ambiguously presented. The result is that there is no specific protection of any right or activity from baseless prosecution and conviction.

And that's how law works in this country today. It's not as simple as a traffic ticket, where you break a known law, you receive a clear punishment. Today, it's not clear what exactly the law is. And Law Enforcement is more about 'sending messages' than it is about enforcement of existing law. With the result that the punishment becomes not what's meted out at the end of prosecution, but the prosecution itself, and the fact that even if the defendent is innocent, the prosecution never ends, until either the government, or the defendent is drained of all resources. Or dies.

And still there is no clear definition of legal and illegal activity for the citizen to accurately meter his behaviour by. Meaning with little recourse or protection, one can be targeted for prosecution under a law that does not specifically proscribe a behaviour for which one is being prosecuted. Literally, prosecuted to death.

How are such persons targeted? Pretty clear, they attract attention to their behaviours, and become the focus of public attention. Usually through media hammering of misinformation. Bill Cheek's arrest coincidently followed a huge national media blitz at both the network and the local level about scanner use, and criminal

abuse, with the thrust of the stories almost unanimously being that only the criminal has, or uses a scanner. So, attention focussed on everything said by anyone about the topic.

Make no mistake these newsgroups are read, monitored and absorbed by both media muckrakers looking for their next big social injustice story, and the government. And the open discourse here expressing contempt for law, the outrage of regulation and the vocal statement of intent to monitor, specifically cell spectra but more generally 'private' communications in general, attract the kind of attention and prosecutorial lightning that struck Bill Cheek, and that the ambiguities of the law, coupled with the massive distribution of gross misinformation, permit.

And still there are more laws coming. Billy Tauzin will not rest until we are limited to nothing but domestic broadcast in this country. Why? Because so many have brought to his attention the open discourse about what is monitored, and what WILL BE monitored. And because commercial interests demand more of these feel good laws.

So what does this mean in practical terms?

Simple...when they wantcha, they gotcha. Baseless or not, they'll drain you to bankruptcy to make their point. And there's not a trial lawyer in the world who won't let them. Ask any trial lawyer what you can do to protect yourself, and he'll say, "Well, you'll spend a little money to protect your civil rights." What he doesn't tell you is that even if you don't have the money, he's already spent it, and that the one who determines how much YOU spend is the guy on the OTHER side.

Further, they decide if they wantcha by the amount of time you spend publicly telling the world that you intend to continue doing what the law is unclear about, but the FCC has said you can't do.

So in very real terms, you may be right: It may not be illegal to monitor MDT. But that doesn't mean they can't prosecute you for it. They can.

Make no mistake. They can prosecute you for it. It may not stand up in court....but by the time you're bankrupt, what difference does that really make?

Realistically, he only way to protect yourself while continuing to enjoy the hobbycraft that you love so much, is spend a little less time shouting from the rooftops that they can't stop you.

You don't have to go underground, into hiding or live in the shadows. You only have to be more discreet.

Because even if it's perfectly legal...they can still prosecute you to death.

And the law is written just ambiguously enough, to permit that.

1039. Re: What does MDT sound like?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 00:16:41 UTC
From: John Burns <jburns@ibsgp.NOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <9h8kacjsv1@lust.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 63607

ahh ok, I fed it into my voice synthesizer and managed to hear exactly what
it sounds like in an american computer accent

cheers

thanks

Sloppy <@.> wrote in message
news:0W8Z6.2770$0u2.378233@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> It sounds like
eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeerhwoihgfdsuhgusprtw9tr[ugfskpsfrtit0ohgdfipereeeeeeeee
eeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeeee
>
>

1040. Pro-2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 00:17:32 UTC
From: Christopher Roy <scanner@together.net>
Message-ID: <3B37D49C.6F3CB58D@together.net>
Source record: 63608

I just purchased a Pro-2067 scanner and programed it. When I turn the unit on, it stays on Channel 000 until I press scan. Is this normal for this scanner?

Thank you,

Chris

1041. Re: New Jersey State Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 00:33:12 UTC
From: Ed Velez <kd2pm@home.com>
Message-ID: <cLQZ6.310777$K5.33604741@news1.rdc1.nj.home.com>
Source record: 63609

That site is pretty old. It does not have disposition code 20 on it nor does
it have the zones listed. Not worth going to.

"Bill Cizmarik" <bcizmarik@home.net> wrote in message
news:3B34D143.717A58EA@home.net...
> Try here...
> http://www.maxpages.com/frequencies
>
>
> "R. Dubenezic" wrote:
>
> > Does anyone have or know where I can get the NJSP Troop "B" Zone
Numbering.
> >
> > Example
> >
> > Zone 10 is Parsippany(Rt.80 in Morris County)
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > Bob D.
>

1042. crystals wanted, check the junk drawers!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 00:38:42 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <mQQZ6.39208$hG2.6854232@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 63611

Looking for the following rocks for an old Craig 4350A 8 chan. scanner that came in to the church for our rummage sale, figured if it had the local fire dispatch in it as well as the Sheriff it might draw a few bucks more. No manual, so don't know the proper "mix", and hate to go thru Jans or RS for new ones when they would end up costing more than it'll sell for. Works pretty well so might as well see if we can rock it up for somebody's kitchen counter or nightstand.

Need 46.16 for sure, and 46.22 would be great if one came up (Fire freq. #2), dirt cheap greatly appreciated. Many thanks for reading and checking the treasure drawers. Email replies please.

wdecker1@rochester.rr.com

1043. Re: Pro-2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 00:38:49 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <QNQZ6.17180$f4.782502@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 63610

> I just purchased a Pro-2067 scanner and programmed it. When I turn the
> unit on, it stays on Channel 000 until I press scan. Is this normal for
> this scanner?

Yes it is. Don't know why they made it that way...

Roland

1044. Re: ? AF aircraft freqs used on training flights?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 00:44:28 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <09mfjt03mf0d4h1pmuh066q6pvqt2262vh@4ax.com>
Source record: 63612

On Sun, 24 Jun 2001 12:07:13 -0400, Bill Jones <wejones@megalink.net>
wrote:

[snip]
> Thanks. I already have the sectional. I am under VR840,841,842. We

Here's a little info. Those routes are owned and scheduled by 104
Fighter Group, Barnes ANGB, Westfield, Mass.

The closest Flight Service Stations (where route users might call in)
are BGR and BTV. I don't know the full names, but your son ought to,
or would know where to look them up.

Descriptions of MTRs are in the AP/1B Area Planning book. I'm not
aware of any on-line version of that, but if you do a google search on
AP/1B you'll find where to buy one. They're only a few dollars.
Updated every eight weeks, but for most routes there are rarely
changes.

(If you e-mail me your snail mail address or a fax number, I can send
you the three pages that cover those routes. My e-mail is kplotkin at
clark dot net.)

>found this out because my son, who is a private pilot, was going to take
>us up to take some pictures of our house, but our house got buzzed 3
>times in 2 days before he took us up, so we were a little nervous about
>doing multiple circles right over a MTR. Before our flights, he did
[snip]

Smart to be nervous. Do a google search on "Military Training Routes"
and look for articles on encounters between GA aircraft and jets.
Fighters will typically fly at 450-500 knots on these routes.

> BTW, we asked the instructor at the local airport we flew out of, and
>he said not to worry about it, that the fighters knew where we were, and
>while they might buzz us for fun, that they wouldn't hit us, but we were
>afraid, because doing circles we were afraid that we might confuse them.

They'd know about the airport. The AP/1B descriptions identify that
kind of thing, and even have a caution about a hang gliding area on
these routes. But in the middle of a leg, it's see and avoid.

It's tough getting information on route usage. I've done noise
studies along these routes (for the Air Force) and even working with
the airspace manager and route scheduler it's hard to know when anyone
is going to fly.

But Gregor's suggestion of getting the squadron frequencies sounds great. Hope this info about the base helps with that.

>... Next time my son visits, I'll have him ask that when he calls.
>Not being a pilot myself, I wouldn't want to bother them.

Besides seeking frequencies and use info, have him ask about safety flying in this area. Military pilots are more safety concious than some of the public gives them credit for, and I'll bet they'd be happy to tell him what to watch out for along those routes.

Ken Plotkin

1045. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 00:50:10 UTC
From: sparky@sparkytown.com
Message-ID: <3b37dacc.148030258@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 63613

On Tue, 26 Jun 2001 00:12:13 GMT, Peter Maus
<PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>

> Because even if it's perfectly legal...they can still prosecute
>you to death.
>
> And the law is written just ambiguously enough, to permit that.

Agreed. But what is the best course of action. Roll over and play
dead? Allow more and more liberties to be chipped away?

Those who desire to give up freedom in order to gain security will not
have, nor do they deserve, either one. - Thomas Jefferson

Sparky

1046. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 00:56:23 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B37DED2.17E811BA@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63614

Sparky wrote:
>
> On Tue, 26 Jun 2001 00:12:13 GMT, Peter Maus
> <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >
>
> > Because even if it's perfectly legal...they can still prosecute
> >you to death.
> >
> > And the law is written just ambiguously enough, to permit that.
>
> Agreed. But what is the best course of action. Roll over and play
> dead? Allow more and more liberties to be chipped away?

I answered your question. Go about your hobbycraft...do what you
do. And don't shout it from the roof tops. The more unwanted
attention you get, the more misinformation will be spread in the
name of 'security.'

The more you DON"T brag about what you can hear, the less
attention will fall into the hands of Billy Tauzin about who and
what is and is not a perceived threat.

Now is the time for all of us to start thinking before we open our
mouths.

>
> Those who desire to give up freedom in order to gain security will not
> have, nor do they deserve, either one. - Thomas Jefferson
>
> Sparky

1047. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 01:19:40 UTC
From: sparky@sparkytown.com
Message-ID: <3b38e014.149382914@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 63615

>
> The more you DON"T brag about what you can hear, the less
>attention will fall into the hands of Billy Tauzin about who and
>what is and is not a perceived threat.
>

Um, what did I say in my first post? And I quote:

"So decode away. But don't blab what you see around town or post it to the internet (which is illegal). And don't do something stupid like show your mobile MDT decoder (in your car) to the local blue meanies (That's the police, for you kids out there)."

But that doesn't mean you should discourage people interested in getting started either. I'm not some elitist boob who wants to hide information from people seeking knowledge. We all had to start somewhere. If people can't freely exchange technical information here, what is the purpose of this newsgroup? I submit that if talking about scanning brings negative attention to the hobby and further legislation, that this NG be disbanded for the good of the hobby...

73,

Sparky

1048. Re: PRO-92 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 01:22:20 UTC
From: pelikandrp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010625212220.03131.00000718@ng-xa1.aol.com>
Source record: 63616

Thanks...appreciate the replies.
Makes sense, but seemed kinda odd to me. The fact that different modes may use different power draw makes it a bit clear.

What kind of Battery life do you notice with alkalines? Again, I gues it has to do with mode etc....

Appreciate the groups help.
Be well.

"if you love a man's garden...you gotta love the man"
-Frank Serpico

1049. Confirmed Airshow Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 01:41:49 UTC
From: "owl@wise.com" <ldurham@home.com>
Message-ID: <xLRZ6.5994$P5.2383411@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 63617

Frequencies heard at the Arnold Engineering and Development Center airshow
on June 24, 2001.

118.700 Airboss

118.400 F-86 Sabrejet vs. MIG-17 Dogfight

122.850 Red Eagle Aerobatic

123.525 F-104 Starfighters

123.475 U.S. Army Golden Knights

141.850 USAF Thunderbirds Diamond Formation

322.950 USAF Thunderbirds Solo

1050. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 02:39:03 UTC
From: Peter Maus <PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B37F6E0.7216429F@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63618

Sparky wrote:
>
> >
> > The more you DON"T brag about what you can hear, the less
> >attention will fall into the hands of Billy Tauzin about who and
> >what is and is not a perceived threat.
> >
>
> Um, what did I say in my first post? And I quote:
>
> "So decode away. But don't blab what you see around town or post it
> to the internet (which is illegal). And don't do something stupid
> like show your mobile MDT decoder (in your car) to the local blue
> meanies (That's the police, for you kids out there)."

I rest my case.

>
> But that doesn't mean you should discourage people interested in
> getting started either.

If you read what I wrote again, you'll see that I'm not advocating
discouragement,

> I'm not some elitist boob who wants to hide
> information from people seeking knowledge.

I specifically said we should not hide.]

I said we should be discrete.

That's not an extreme.

> We all had to start
> somewhere. If people can't freely exchange technical information
> here, what is the purpose of this newsgroup? I submit that if
> talking about scanning brings negative attention to the hobby and
> further legislation, that this NG be disbanded for the good of the
> hobby...

And this is PRECISELY the tack taken by the otherside: Distort
something to the extreme and then dismiss it as impractical.

Trouble is, there's a reality here that you have to accept: This
hobby is under fire because of our own braggadocio, and our own
irresponsible public braying. And to get the spot light off of us
and on to more substantive issues, we need to STOP THE PUBLIC

DEFIANCE. Stand up for your rights, practice your craft, but stop the sabre rattling.

To repeat, I didn't say hide, I didn't say hoard information.

I said Be DISCRETE. Technical information is one thing. Bragging about your intent to intercept is something else entirely. You DO NOT have to attract negative attention to exchange technical information and enjoy your hobbycraft. You only have to NOT call attention to the issues that are sensitive when you do it. It's a reality. We have to be discrete. As much as we'd like not to, it's reality. You have to. Or things will only get worse, and much more restrictive.

The interesting thing about this hobby, is that anything that is happening NOW, was happening decades ago. Sony, in fact, made AM/FM receivers with PSB frequencies built in. Those included all mobile phone frequencies. These things were sold in department stores. We listened police, fire, public safety and mobile phones day in, day out. And no one said a word.

The difference was that we didnt' BRAG about it. We didn't go out of our way to tell people that we had this capability, and what we could hear, what we did hear, and how we intended to continue doing it. We didn't record what we heard, only to send copies of it to the person we heard, and whatever third or fourth parties. We didn't alert the media.

Somewhere between then and now we lost that contact on common sense. Hell, I've had listeners go so far as to call me on the air to tell me they were listening to my General Manager's phone calls and what he was saying. THAT's the kind of behaviour that's called negative attention to the hobby.

THAT's the kind of thing that you want to stop.

Especially HERE. Especially HERE, where the very people who have the power to curtail our rights, read and watch. Stop discussing what you're listening to, stop discussing what you hear. Keep our mouths SHUT. Exchange all the technical information you want. Encourage other practitioners in their quest to join the hobby. But stop the bragging about what we hear, and stop shouting from the rooftops that you intend to break the law whatever that law may be, or may become.

Is that clear enough? Stop arguing extremes. Start arguing, and displaying, discretion. Start showing the people who are most fearful of this hobby, that we who practice it can be trusted not to abuse our expertise.

If we don't, there is no limit to what actions the powers can take. And they'll do it with poorly worded, ambiguous laws, that permit selective, endless prosecution while the courts sort out the

real issues and do it on the poor citizen's dime.

Is it getting through now?

>
> 73,
>
> Sparky

1051. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 02:59:48 UTC
From: sparky@sparkytown.com
Message-ID: <3b37f71c.155279201@news.qwest.net>
Source record: 63619

On Tue, 26 Jun 2001 02:39:03 GMT, Peter Maus
>
>. Exchange all the technical information you want.
>Encourage other practitioners in their quest to join the hobby. But
>stop the bragging about what we hear, and stop shouting from the
>rooftops that you intend to break the law whatever that law may be,
>or may become.
>
> Is that clear enough? Stop arguing extremes. Start arguing, and
>displaying, discretion. Start showing the people who are most
>fearful of this hobby, that we who practice it can be trusted not to
>abuse our expertise.
>

Again, look to my first response. A person made in inquiry into the technical aspects of MDT transmission. No one would help him find the information, he was dismissed by a bunch of armchair lawyers - just telling him it's illegal and that they're all encrypted anyway, so don't even bother...

I don't seem to recall bragging I was going to break the law or rattling a sabre. I disseminated the information the originator of this thread inquired as to. I gave a link to the software, where to look for signals, a link to the hardware (and the more technical aspects - baud, data framing, etc.), and a link to what different signals sound like. And I urged disgression.

No one else did those things or would. For Shame.

1052. Re: BC XLT 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 03:03:13 UTC
From: tnjent98@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010625230313.19510.00001133@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 63620

i have the same thing..I think its a transistor on the squelch sub board...if you find out let me know..thanks
tf

1053. " Weird "

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 03:16:59 UTC
From: lbeecroft8041 <lbeecroft8041@home.com>
Message-ID: <L8TZ6.31790$Mf5.8008356@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 63621

" Weird "

The other day I went to the Eaton Centre in downtown
Toronto,Ontario (Canada).

Now this is where the weird part comes in, On this day I had a Realistic
Stereo Tape Player with me, CAT.NO.14-9200 - Model NO. SCP-54. And as I'm
walking around the mall, I start to hear the Security Frequencies being
broadcast over my Stereo Tape Player, But there's no Security Personel
around me anywhere. Weird huh?

Thought I'd share this and maybe get some feed back.

Thank-You

1054. winradio cellphone mod all models

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 03:51:56 UTC
From: MODERATOR <NEWS.SERVER>
Message-ID: <3b373319$0$25500$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 63724

Hi all I have finally got this right .

this is the way to go carefully undo the sheild plate off the win radio

identify the main processor chip it is the square one with at least 40
pins right round

counting from the dot and the edge flat count 18 Pins

then identify the GND pin.

take on 9 volt battery or filtered power supply with no ripple otherwise we
will need to filter it
with a cap

at least 2000 mfd it is important that there is no ripple voltage

we are going to reset a fuseable link in the main micro

so then place the negative to gnd and the positive to pin 18 there will be
no spark or anything that dramatic
please be careful to get the right pin
reassemble the radio and place back in the computer ,

this mod works and has been designed to re enforce my veiws on the banning
of cell phone rx in country that should be free and liberty ,

cheers
vk3 diz
chris
Mel Aust

1055. Re: BC XLT 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 03:56:30 UTC
From: The Roberts <mkr@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <QFTZ6.2544$T5.989766@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 63622

I found the clue! now to try and repair it. Anyone else who attempts to repair their radio please email me directly as to the outcome also what caused the transistor to go bad. Good luck to all .....see the link below
http://www.bithose.com/serfaq/REPAIR/F_BC_Repair.html

"Oguz Kaya" <oguzkaya@peoplepc.com> wrote in message news:tjb2b0ejao045@corp.supernews.com...
> BC XLT Hello everyone,
> I am having problem with my BC XLT 200. It was working with no problem. I
> didn't use for a while and next time when I turned on it seems like working
> but scanner doesn't find anything. It keeps scanning. I did reset it by
> pressing manual 2 and 9 keys but still the same. I think some how squelch
> doesn't work. Even Wx channel doesn't work it keeps scanning. If any body
> know what is wrong with it I would greatly appreciate it.
>
> Thanks a lot.
>
>

1056. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 04:13:35 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15a1ab5345ed741498974f@news>
Source record: 63623

In article <20010625170519.10131.00001694@ng-md1.aol.com>, urei@aol.com
says...
> >
> >That is plain BS! You have been sucked in by the media to believe this to
> >be true. Call you local Social Services office and report a child abuse.
> >Go talk to those in the medical field about reporting child abuse. It
> >usually takes an act of God to get kids out of abusive homes. Your
> >scenario is the exception to the rule.
> >
>
> I'd suggest you spend more time in a pasture before you proclaim what is and
> isn't BS.
> On the strength of a malicious, false verbal claim of child abuse I was
> denied access to my two daughters immediately and for 11 years. It was easy to
> accomplish this. It was done, as I stated, by merely making a false verbal
> claim to a doctor.

Yeah, I bet there is a bit more than merely......what State was this in?

> Over the course of those 11 years, I came in contact with HUNDREDS of people
> in my situation and read about hundreds more who were all denied their parental
> rights unjustly because a spouse or neighbor or some other malicious person
> decided to make a claim against them for a variety of reasons that you'd find
> appalling and ludicrous.

Go into a prison, most all of them say they are innocent too.

> Don't tell me my case is a rare one or another "exception to the rule"
> either. I became completely fed up with that crap over the course of the
> aformentioned 11 years. It's just not true.

What's the whole story?

> Just for the record, I'm an excellent parent, my 22-year-old is completely
> devoted to me, and my 18-year-old, who lives with her mother
> (my accuser) is still a little distant, but we get along very well.

As long as you can't get close enough to smack her, right?

> Get your facts straight before you presume to tell others about what is and
> isn't factual. Until then, shut up and listen. You've got a lot to learn.

Yeah, sure...11 years over nothing....lawyer couldn't clear nothing in
11 years.....and the old lady booted your butt because you are such a
straight up nice guy.

BTW devotion is not even on the the good parent scale. It falls under controlling, typical in abusive environments. Go figure that would be your benchmark.

1057. Re: winradio cellphone mod all models

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 04:14:00 UTC
From: Jeb Stewart <JEB@AOL.COM>
Message-ID: <3B380C72.B8ACA1A7@AOL.COM>
Source record: 63624

When will WINRADIO develope Ericson TruckTracking software?

Applebee wrote:

> On Mon, 25 Jun 2001 20:51:56 -0700, MODERATOR
> <3b373319$0$25500$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au> wrote:
>
> >this mod works and has been designed to re enforce my veiws on the banning
> >of cell phone rx in country that should be free and liberty ,
>
> While your doing this "mod" make sure you order a new winradio
> to replace the one you just fscked up.
>
> --
> Microsoft's Outlook (Express): The program that spreads virus'
> so you don't have to!

1058. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 04:25:59 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15a1ae6762343443989750@news>
Source record: 63625

In article <3B37D476.ED265F4E@worldnet.att.net>,
PeterMaus@worldnet.att.net says...
(...)

> Further, it's pretty clear that the thrust of this law is aimed at
> Cell spectra and little else. And yet, Bill Cheek was prosecuted for
> selling devices that specifically permit MDT decoding, as well as a
> host of other and LEGAL uses.

No Bill was busted for selling the device and SUPPLYING THE SOFTWARE.
Without the software exchange they had nothing on him. Case in point the
other folks that continue to sell data slicers.

Slicers good....software bad.

(...)

> Which then raised the question why was Bill Cheek arrested?

Because he supplied the software to decode MDT and the big bad and dumb
Fed could say he sold the slicer with the intent to decode MDT a no no.

> Under the specifics of the law, what he did was questionable at
> best under the darkest possible circumstances. Under the specifics
> of the law, what he did was perfectly legal in the realm of sale of
> legally used and applied devices.

See software.

(...)

> The law was used to selectively prosecute him, in error, by the
> way, waiting for the courts to sort out the arguments on either
> side, and legislate a clear path for the direction of this law and
> it's enforcement.

Sounds good but they were selective at picking a person who was supplying
the MDT software with the slicer. Who else has done this? Nobody that I
am aware of. Intent can be everything.

>
> How are such persons targeted? Pretty clear, they attract
> attention to their behaviours, and become the focus of public
> attention. Usually through media hammering of misinformation. Bill
> Cheek's arrest coincidently followed a huge national media blitz at
> both the network and the local level about scanner use, and criminal
> abuse, with the thrust of the stories almost unanimously being that
> only the criminal has, or uses a scanner. So, attention focussed on

> everything said by anyone about the topic.

No, they do something really dumb by supply MDT decoding software with the slicer. Whops, slam Bam!

(...)

> Because even if it's perfectly legal...they can still prosecute
> you to death.

Why wasn't OPto and a half dozen others prosecuted for selling data slicers? Why weren't they prosecuted later? Simple. They didn't offer it with software to decode MDT. See the difference.

Hey I feel bad Cheek got busted too, but he made a dumb mistake. Oh well.

1059. Re: winradio cellphone mod all models

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 04:29:25 UTC
From: ircSLUG <N-O-S-P-A-M-stalker@lvcm.com>
Message-ID: <tjg3u67n5f4h10@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63626

Gee....Does this re FUSE EVERYTHING in the chip! lol.......This cannot possibly work, as the trace is blown after it has been programmed.......!

"Applebee" <Applebee@invalid.xx.au> wrote in message news:tjfiqklieebfb6@corp.supernews.com...
> On Mon, 25 Jun 2001 20:51:56 -0700, MODERATOR
> <3b373319$0$25500$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au> wrote:
>
> >this mod works and has been designed to re enforce my veiws on the banning
> >of cell phone rx in country that should be free and liberty ,
>
> While your doing this "mod" make sure you order a new winradio
> to replace the one you just fscked up.
>
> --
> Microsoft's Outlook (Express): The program that spreads virus'
> so you don't have to!

1060. WANTED: schematic of BC200xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 04:44:03 UTC
From: The Roberts <mkr@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <lmUZ6.2814$T5.996731@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 63627
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I need the schematic for the BC200XLT scanner ..if you have could I buy a copy or the original? Please email me directly........Thanks 73

1061. Re: BC XLT 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 04:49:58 UTC
From: Oguz Kaya <oguzkaya@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <tjg55gtoir6bbc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63628

I will let you know as soon as I find out
"Tnjent98" <tnjent98@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010625230313.19510.00001133@ng-ff1.aol.com...
> i have the same thing..I think its a transistor on the squelch sub
board...if
> you find out let me know..thanks
> tf

1062. Re: BC XLT 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 04:55:14 UTC
From: Oguz Kaya <oguzkaya@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <tjg5fdckvevl67@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63629

I GUESS I HAVE FOUND THROUGHOUT ONE OF THE NEWS READER, WHAT IS WRONG WITH MINE HERE IS THE TIP;
Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT Portable - Loss of Audio and Dial Lamp
If you can program frequencies into your BC200XLT but there is no audio and the green backlight no longer functions, a tiny transistor may have failed. Check for a defective PNP surface mount transistor, Q201 (2SB815B6-YDY). Q201 is used as a switch to furnish 8 VDC to several stages of the BC200XLT. Its main purpose is to switch off power hungry stages of the BC200XLT when the CPU thinks the NiCd voltage has fallen below a threshhold. That's an attempt to limit the current drain on weak NiCds to avoid permanent damage.

Q201 is located on the foil side of the "Micom" board, adjacent to the black multi-pin connector which mates the Micom and main boards together.

Q201 can be destroyed by a few different causes, primarily, by something in the scanner drawing too much current through it. In one case, capacitor C36 shorted. It's a 220 uF 10v electrolytic, located on the component side of the main board, connected from pin 8 of the audio IC (IC2 NJM386SL) to ground. Capacitor C55 shorted in another BC200XLT. Gary Bean reports he substituted a 2SA1298 for Q201 and it worked fine. In a pinch you bypass Q201 by soldering a short piece of bare wire between the collector and emitter, but you must first fix the component which caused Q201 to fail

"Oguz Kaya" <oguzkaya@peoplepc.com> wrote in message
news:tjb2b0ejao045@corp.supernews.com...
> BC XLT Hello everyone,
> I am having problem with my BC XLT 200. It was working with no problem. I
> didn't use for a while and next time when I turned on it seems like
working
> but scanner doesn't find anything. It keeps scanning. I did reset it by
> pressing manual 2 and 9 keys but still the same. I think some how squelch
> doesn't work. Even Wx channel doesn't work it keeps scanning. If any body
> know what is wrong with it I would greatly appreciate it.
>
> Thanks a lot.
>
>

1063. Re: FS: AR8200 Optional Accessories slot cards (CT-8200, EM-8200, RU-8200, TE-8200 & VI-8200)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 04:56:32 UTC
From: "Robert H. Eisner" <rheisner@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B3814B3.D51@earthlink.net>
Source record: 63630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

The AR8200 optional slot cards have been sold.

Thanks for all the replies!

Robert H. Eisner wrote:
>
> For Sale: All five AR8200 Optional Accessories slot cards:
>
> Price: $100 US + $5.00 shipping via USPS Priority Mail, insured.
> USA sales only, please. No Trades, No C.O.D.
> Will accept Personal Check or Money Order only.
>
> Package includes the following slot cards:
>
> EM-8200 Ext Memory slot card (increase storage to 4,000 memories)
>
> CT-8200 CTCSS slot card (squelch & search)
>
> RU-8200 IC Record slot card (records up to 20 seconds of audio)
>
> TE-8200 Tone eliminator slot card (useful for scanning past
> transmission pilot tones)
>
> VI-8200 Voice Inverter slot card (demodulate speech inversion
> scrambling)
>
> All slot cards are in original packaging and contain documention.
>
> These slot cards can be used with the AR8200, AR8200 MKII and AR8600.

1064. Re: " Weird "

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 05:41:27 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha61SPAMSTOP@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3b3820b5$0$25522$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 63631

It's not really that weird, the play head in your tape player is basically a coil connected to an amplifier. If nearby RF is strong enough, it will leak into the circuitry and end up coming out of the speaker or headphones, just like a mobile phone signal breaking through your stereo system. Just because you couldn't see the security guys, doesn't mean they weren't there.

If you want to hear about the weirdest radio reciever, I recall a story in a science magazine many years ago. It was about a guy who's amalgum tooth filling started to recieve nearby AM broadcasts. Apparently the metal in the filling had formed a diode detector circuit and the strength of the transmission was such that it caused the filling to vibrate to the audio being broadcasts. This is not uncommon around powerfull broadcast antenna sites. I've heard stories of rusty down pipes also blaring music from the nearby transmitters.

Mike

lbeecroft8041 <lbeecroft8041@home.com> wrote in message
news:L8TZ6.31790$Mf5.8008356@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> " Weird "
>
> The other day I went to the Eaton Centre in downtown
> Toronto,Ontario (Canada).
>
> Now this is where the weird part comes in, On this day I had a Realistic
> Stereo Tape Player with me, CAT.NO.14-9200 - Model NO. SCP-54. And as I'm
> walking around the mall, I start to hear the Security Frequencies being
> broadcast over my Stereo Tape Player, But there's no Security Personel
> around me anywhere. Weird huh?
>
> Thought I'd share this and maybe get some feed back.
>
> Thank-You
>
>
>

1065. Portable Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 09:47:32 UTC
From: Roby <plroberto@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15a278a3eca599659896a7@news.polito.it>
Source record: 63632
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,
I'm planning to build a simple receive antenna for portable scanner use made of a copper wire (60-70cm) connected directlly to the BNC.
I'll use it to improve the reception in the 100 - 900 MHz (mainly 100-200MHz).
I'm wondering how will this antenna perform and which bandwith will it have.
Another question: how can I improve the bandwidth of the antenna (i have been told that is possible to put some capacitor somewhere to lower the Q and rise the bandwidth) for using it over all the bands (100-900MHz)

Does anybody help me?

Thanks,
Roberto

1066. Re: battery packs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 10:01:42 UTC
From: Remulac <Hood2@smokinpot.com>
Message-ID: <9h9mkq$4ms$1@zingo.tninet.se>
Source record: 63633

I needed a battery pack for my ICOM IC-R1.
Icom wanted $180.00 for this. I got ahold of Signal Electronics in Torrence California. I spoke to the owner Via email and I sent him the specs. The made a custom battery pack and charged me $36.51 with shipping. That was 2 years ago and they still work great.
Hope this helps you.

"Charles Newton" <freebird63@qwest.net@qwest.net> wrote in message news:rpqX6.90$Qp2.171184@news.uswest.net...
> I had once found a web site that advertised battery packs for scanners, they
> were suppose to be better than the factory battery packs and were cheaper
> too, can anyone help me out. My bearcat xlt 2500 needs a new battery pack
> and i really don't want to give uniden all my money. Thanx
>
>

1067. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 13:22:29 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010626092229.06541.00001965@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 63634

```
>
>> >That is plain BS! You have been sucked in by the media to believe this to
>> >be true. Call you local Social Services office and report a child abuse.
>> >Go talk to those in the medical field about reporting child abuse. It
>> >usually takes an act of God to get kids out of abusive homes. Your
>> >scenario is the exception to the rule.
>> >
>>
>> I'd suggest you spend more time in a pasture before you proclaim what is
>and
>> isn't BS.
>> On the strength of a malicious, false verbal claim of child abuse I was
>> denied access to my two daughters immediately and for 11 years. It was easy
>to
>> accomplish this. It was done, as I stated, by merely making a false verbal
>> claim to a doctor.
>
>Yeah, I bet there is a bit more than merely......what State was this in?
>
>
>> Over the course of those 11 years, I came in contact with HUNDREDS of
>people
>> in my situation and read about hundreds more who were all denied their
>parental
>> rights unjustly because a spouse or neighbor or some other malicious person
>> decided to make a claim against them for a variety of reasons that you'd
>find
>> appalling and ludicrous.
>
>
>Go into a prison, most all of them say they are innocent too.
>
>
>> Don't tell me my case is a rare one or another "exception to the rule"
>> either. I became completely fed up with that crap over the course of the
>> aformentioned 11 years. It's just not true.
>
>
>What's the whole story?
>
>
>
>> Just for the record, I'm an excellent parent, my 22-year-old is
>completely
```

>> devoted to me, and my 18-year-old, who lives with her mother
>> (my accuser) is still a little distant, but we get along very well.
>
>
>As long as you can't get close enough to smack her, right?
>
>
>> Get your facts straight before you presume to tell others about what is
>and
>> isn't factual. Until then, shut up and listen. You've got a lot to learn.
>
>Yeah, sure...11 years over nothing....lawyer couldn't clear nothing in
>11 years.....and the old lady booted your butt because you are such a
>straight up nice guy.
>
>
>BTW devotion is not even on the the good parent scale. It falls under
>controlling, typical in abusive environments. Go figure that would be
>your benchmark.
>

This is exactly the attitude that I had to deal with for those 11 years and more. Another self-proclaimed expert who probably has no children at all, but miraculously has all the answers.
FYI, my "old lady" is a paranoid schizophrenic who has been on anti-depressant drugs for many more years than I've known her, was using cocaine when I was accused, was living with a known coke dealer at that time, and was, herself, extensively abused and mistreated as a child.
I reiterate, spend some time in the pasture. You even believe your own BS.

1068. Re: winradio cellphone mod all models

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 13:43:36 UTC
From: Patrick Oakes <coriander@gmx.net>
Message-ID: <j84hjt8auuc3290bt8s6bnqfgncqhrcdv2@4ax.com>
Source record: 63635

A bit too late for an April joke no???

Pat

On Mon, 25 Jun 2001 20:51:56 -0700, "MODERATOR"
<NEWS.SERVER>AUGBB#756301b461831> wrote:

>Hi all I have finally got this right .
>
>this is the way to go carefully undo the sheild plate off the win radio
>
>identify the main processor chip it is the square one with at least 40
>pins right round
>
>
>counting from the dot and the edge flat count 18 Pins
>
>then identify the GND pin.
>
>take on 9 volt battery or filtered power supply with no ripple otherwise we
>will need to filter it
>with a cap
>
>at least 2000 mfd it is important that there is no ripple voltage
>
>we are going to reset a fuseable link in the main micro
>
>so then place the negative to gnd and the positive to pin 18 there will be
>no spark or anything that dramatic
>please be careful to get the right pin
>reassemble the radio and place back in the computer ,
>
>this mod works and has been designed to re enforce my veiws on the banning
>of cell phone rx in country that should be free and liberty ,
>
>cheers
>vk3 diz
>chris
>Mel Aust
>
>

1069. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 14:04:15 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <yD0_6.922$aX5.113986@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 63636

Are you talking about police data bursts over normal voice transmissions?
If so, this is called packet communications.
As far as I know, there is no packet decoders for police packet.
MDT decoders on the other hand are quite common on the internet though I find
them boring.

"Sparky" <sparky@sparkytown.com> wrote in message
news:3b38e014.149382914@news.qwest.net...
>
> >
> > The more you DON"T brag about what you can hear, the less
> >attention will fall into the hands of Billy Tauzin about who and
> >what is and is not a perceived threat.
> >
>
> Um, what did I say in my first post? And I quote:
>
> "So decode away. But don't blab what you see around town or post it
> to the internet (which is illegal). And don't do something stupid
> like show your mobile MDT decoder (in your car) to the local blue
> meanies (That's the police, for you kids out there)."
>
> But that doesn't mean you should discourage people interested in
> getting started either. I'm not some elitist boob who wants to hide
> information from people seeking knowledge. We all had to start
> somewhere. If people can't freely exchange technical information
> here, what is the purpose of this newsgroup? I submit that if
> talking about scanning brings negative attention to the hobby and
> further legislation, that this NG be disbanded for the good of the
> hobby...
>
> 73,
>
> Sparky

1070. Re: trunk ids in south florida?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 14:06:42 UTC
From: K Van <awdwfan@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Xns90CC6725FD747awdwfanearthlinknet@207.217.77.22>
Source record: 63637

sflrdajars@aol.com (SFLRDAJars) wrote in
news:20010621173700.03541.00000679@ng-fi1.aol.com:

> i have seen these ids on my scanner and i don't know what they are...i
> think they are used by the fort lauderdale pd. they are 29520, 30000,
> 30032, 21104, 21936, 31888, 30352. Any help would be great.
>
>
>

www.qsl.net/cflg

1071. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 14:07:27 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <zG0_6.937$aX5.115924@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 63638

They should have left Bill alone.
They can't make a sweeping law and make it stand.
Make cell phone pickup illegal, fine, we'll just make our own
special cell band receivers.
They don't own the airwaves, but for some reason they think they
have a right to sell them and control them.

1072. Re: winradio cellphone mod all models

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 14:17:49 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <hQ0_6.978$aX5.121382@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 63639

I just think banning cell phone reception is bullshit.
Back in the 80's it was viable, now it makes complete sense with
digital scrambled communications to unblock all bands, just encrypt the digital
voice data with a format that can be easily and instantly upgraded if someone catches on.
My point is, 800Mhz is for old cell phones in analog mode, which is a rare mode now.
I say if they don't want their "customers" heard then they might consider encrypting their
"customers" communications instead of blocking our radios.
It's entirely a commercial thing and it pisses me off that the FCC sold out to the cell
companies
and now that when there is no need to block 800mhz, the FCC is still passing laws for
Uniden and Radio
shack for patented epoxy lumping on their circuits so they can't be modified.
You know as much as I do that if you knew the codes to unlock the Uniden scanners you could
do it up the
serial port so its all a lie to the FCC to begin with.
Also it's very easy in this day and age to build your own cell receiver radio with a simple
AM and a few components
so no big deal.
On the other hand, it really isn't worth listening to but hey, its the principle. If you
want to bore yourself to death listening
to it, esp when it skips around in mid communications then go ahead, its your right. The
electromagnetic spectrum is free
and no one has a right to regulate the reception of electromagnetic radiation.

"ircSLUG" <N-O-S-P-A-M-stalker@lvcm.com> wrote in message
news:tjg3u67n5f4h10@corp.supernews.com...
> Gee....Does this re FUSE EVERYTHING in the chip! lol.......This cannot
> possibly work, as the trace is blown after it has been programmed.......!
>
> "Applebee" <Applebee@invalid.xx.au> wrote in message
> news:tjfiqklieebfb6@corp.supernews.com...
> > On Mon, 25 Jun 2001 20:51:56 -0700, MODERATOR
> > <3b373319$0$25500$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au> wrote:
> >
> > >this mod works and has been designed to re enforce my veiws on the
> banning
> > >of cell phone rx in country that should be free and liberty ,
> >
> > While your doing this "mod" make sure you order a new winradio
> > to replace the one you just fscked up.
> >
> > --
> > Microsoft's Outlook (Express): The program that spreads virus'
> > so you don't have to!

>
>

1073. Cassette Recorders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 14:25:11 UTC
From: Paul Zook <lazpaz@teleweb.net>
Message-ID: <993565443.172748@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 63640
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am looking for your suggestions to replace my aging fleet of cassette recorders used with my scanners. Some have been working for years and are nearly falling apart while some were purchased only a year ago and are toast. I have tried Panasonic, Sony, realistic, and Optimus. Seems they dont like being left on all night in a stand-by mode until the signal opens the circut and turns on the recorder.

I am looking for your recommendations. It is difficult to find recorders with the necessary jacks to allow the scanner to turn on/off the recorder (remote jack). For the most part my recorders sit on a desk next to the radios but occasionally I take them on the road during a vacation. I would think I could get more than a year out of these units!

Let me know what you would recommend. Thanks!

Paul Zook

http://www.teleweb.net/lazpaz/sound.htm

1074. Re: Portable Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 14:40:29 UTC
From: nm5k@wt.net
Message-ID: <25eb70d7.0106260640.555cbc34@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63641
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Roby <plroberto@usa.net> wrote in message
news:<MPG.15a278a3eca599659896a7@news.polito.it>...
> Hello,
> I'm planning to build a simple receive antenna for portable scanner use
> made of a copper wire (60-70cm) connected directlly to the BNC.
> I'll use it to improve the reception in the 100 - 900 MHz (mainly 100-
> 200MHz).
> I'm wondering how will this antenna perform and which bandwith will it
> have.
> Another question: how can I improve the bandwidth of the antenna (i have
> been told that is possible to put some capacitor somewhere to lower the Q
> and rise the bandwidth) for using it over all the bands (100-900MHz)
>
> Does anybody help me?
>
> Thanks,
> Roberto

I'd just stick up a 1/4 ground plane for the lowest freq to be used.
It should work well enough for gov work. Being as you want 100-200
mhz, just cut it for about 100-150 mhz. It will work well enough on
that range of freq. It will still receive the high UHF stuff with a
less optimum performance. If it's not good enough, make another one
for UHF. They are very small and cheap to make. Don't add anything to
broaden the bandwidth. You don't need it for receive purposes, and it
will just reduce the performance on the range you cut it for. You
could also try a discone or similar, but they cost more. A GP can be
made from a so-239 and 5 pieces of wire. Cheap. MK

1075. FA: Bearcat 800-XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 15:22:03 UTC
From: Vincent Charron <charv@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <OK1_6.11027$l45.1378064@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 63642

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1249974766

1076. Re: Cassette Recorders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 15:41:25 UTC
From: frater mus <mouse.news@mousetrap.net>
Message-ID: <Xns90CC6CC0820F1ssbmousetrapnet@151.164.30.58>
Source record: 63643
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

26 Jun 2001: "Paul Zook" <lazpaz@teleweb.net> wrote

> I am looking for your recommendations. It is difficult to find recorders
> with the necessary jacks to allow the scanner to turn on/off the recorder
> (remote jack). For the most part my recorders sit on a desk next to the
> radios but occasionally I take them on the road during a vacation. I would
> think I could get more than a year out of these units!
>
> Let me know what you would recommend. Thanks!

For desk use I'd pipe the audio into freeware like Scanner Recorder
(www.davee.com), which has builtin VOX logic.

Works great, and is free. No moving parts to wear out.

--
L.V.X.
the Great Work, CDR, OTR, scanning http://www.mousetrap.net/~mouse/
Old Time Radio (OTR) OpenNap network http://www.mousetrap.net/OTRnap/
CBS Radio Mystery Theater http://www.mousetrap.net/~mouse/cbsrmt/
RioVolt mini-review
http://www.mousetrap.net/~mouse/otr/riovolt.html

1077. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 15:45:10 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15a24da1d8761fdd989755@news>
Source record: 63644

In article <20010626092229.06541.00001965@ng-ch1.aol.com>, urei@aol.com
says...
> >
> >> >That is plain BS! You have been sucked in by the media to believe this to
> >> >be true. Call you local Social Services office and report a child abuse.
> >> >Go talk to those in the medical field about reporting child abuse. It
> >> >usually takes an act of God to get kids out of abusive homes. Your
> >> >scenario is the exception to the rule.
> >> >
> >>
> >> I'd suggest you spend more time in a pasture before you proclaim what is
> >and
> >> isn't BS.
> >> On the strength of a malicious, false verbal claim of child abuse I was
> >> denied access to my two daughters immediately and for 11 years. It was easy
> >to
> >> accomplish this. It was done, as I stated, by merely making a false verbal
> >> claim to a doctor.
> >
> >Yeah, I bet there is a bit more than merely......what State was this in?
> >
> >
> >> Over the course of those 11 years, I came in contact with HUNDREDS of
> >people
> >> in my situation and read about hundreds more who were all denied their
> >parental
> >> rights unjustly because a spouse or neighbor or some other malicious person
> >> decided to make a claim against them for a variety of reasons that you'd
> >find
> >> appalling and ludicrous.
> >>
> >>
> >Go into a prison, most all of them say they are innocent too.
> >>
> >>
> >> Don't tell me my case is a rare one or another "exception to the rule"
> >> either. I became completely fed up with that crap over the course of the
> >> aformentioned 11 years. It's just not true.
> >>
> >>
> >What's the whole story?
> >>
> >>
> >>

> >> Just for the record, I'm an excellent parent, my 22-year-old is
> >completely
> >> devoted to me, and my 18-year-old, who lives with her mother
> >> (my accuser) is still a little distant, but we get along very well.
> >
> >
> >As long as you can't get close enough to smack her, right?
> >
> >
> >> Get your facts straight before you presume to tell others about what is
> >and
> >> isn't factual. Until then, shut up and listen. You've got a lot to learn.
> >
> >Yeah, sure...11 years over nothing....lawyer couldn't clear nothing in
> >11 years.....and the old lady booted your butt because you are such a
> >straight up nice guy.
> >
> >
> >BTW devotion is not even on the the good parent scale. It falls under
> >controlling, typical in abusive environments. Go figure that would be
> >your benchmark.
> >
>
> This is exactly the attitude that I had to deal with for those 11 years and
> more. Another self-proclaimed expert who probably has no children at all, but
> miraculously has all the answers.

Raised two and worked with kids for over 12 years. I speak from
experience.

> FYI, my "old lady" is a paranoid schizophrenic who has been on
> anti-depressant drugs for many more years than I've known her, was using
> cocaine when I was accused, was living with a known coke dealer at that time,
> and was, herself, extensively abused and mistreated as a child.

You must be a real piece of crud if you couldn't get a lawyer to defeat a
mentally unstable drug addict "living with a known coke dealer". Yes, you
must be a work of art to lose to this group! Your record must be stellar
to say the least!

> I reiterate, spend some time in the pasture. You even believe your own BS.

Let's see you lost your kids to a drug addict for 11 years due to ONE
unsubstantiated claim. Your lawyer couldn't prove her mental problems,
her addiction or her life style but one unsubstantiated claim caused you
to lose contact with your children. Mom lived with a "known coke dealer"
but your lawyer couldn't do a thing.

Who you trying to BS? Get out of the pasture and out of the sun.

1078. Re: " Weird "

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 17:07:44 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010626130744.24543.00001365@ng-ct1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63646

>Subject: Re: " Weird "
>From: "Mike Alpha" mike_alpha61SPAMSTOP@hotmail.com
>Date: 6/26/01 1:41 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3b3820b5$0$25522$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
>
>It's not really that weird, the play head in your tape player is basically a
>coil connected to an amplifier. If nearby RF is strong enough, it will leak
>into the circuitry and end up coming out of the speaker or headphones, just
>like a mobile phone signal breaking through your stereo system. Just because
>you couldn't see the security guys, doesn't mean they weren't there.
>
>If you want to hear about the weirdest radio reciever, I recall a story in a
>science magazine many years ago. It was about a guy who's amalgum tooth
>filling started to recieve nearby AM broadcasts. Apparently the metal in the
>filling had formed a diode detector circuit and the strength of the
>transmission was such that it caused the filling to vibrate to the audio
>being broadcasts. This is not uncommon around powerfull broadcast antenna
>sites. I've heard stories of rusty down pipes also blaring music from the
>nearby transmitters.
>
>Mike
>

Hey, that was on the Partridge Family, I remember that one! (tooth Filling Playing Music)

Poor kid has a heck of a time keeping beat with the rest of the family...

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1079. Re: Cassette Recorders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 17:10:30 UTC
From: Paul Zook <lazpaz@teleweb.net>
Message-ID: <993575267.7007@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 63645
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Your correct, I have used this and it works great, but I need something that is more portable. Plus I listen to the tapes in my car and in my walkman.

"frater mus" <mouse.news@mousetrap.net> wrote in message news:Xns90CC6CC0820F1ssbmousetrapnet@151.164.30.58...
> 26 Jun 2001: "Paul Zook" <lazpaz@teleweb.net> wrote
>
> > I am looking for your recommendations. It is difficult to find recorders
> > with the necessary jacks to allow the scanner to turn on/off the recorder
> > (remote jack). For the most part my recorders sit on a desk next to the
> > radios but occasionally I take them on the road during a vacation. I would
> > think I could get more than a year out of these units!
> >
> > Let me know what you would recommend. Thanks!
>
> For desk use I'd pipe the audio into freeware like Scanner Recorder
> (www.davee.com), which has builtin VOX logic.
>
> Works great, and is free. No moving parts to wear out.
>
> --
> L.V.X.
> the Great Work, CDR, OTR, scanning http://www.mousetrap.net/~mouse/
> Old Time Radio (OTR) OpenNap network http://www.mousetrap.net/OTRnap/
> CBS Radio Mystery Theater http://www.mousetrap.net/~mouse/cbsrmt/
> RioVolt mini-review
> http://www.mousetrap.net/~mouse/otr/riovolt.html

1080. Re: 1350 to 1400 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 17:18:07 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010626131807.24543.00001367@ng-ct1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63647

>Subject: 1350 to 1400 Mhz
>From: "Jason" jmu231@yahoo.com
>Date: 6/23/01 7:34 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <S02Z6.4714$Tk.860306@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
>
>I am picking up what sounds like phone conversations in the 1350 to 1380 Mhz
>range. Just wondering if those are cell, cordless or images of other
>freqs??
>
>jmu

Listed as DOD Use, could be MARS Phone-Patch being worked from Overseas.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1081. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 17:27:43 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010626132743.16807.00002419@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 63648

>
>Let's see you lost your kids to a drug addict for 11 years due to ONE
>unsubstantiated claim. Your lawyer couldn't prove her mental problems,
>her addiction or her life style but one unsubstantiated claim caused you
>to lose contact with your children. Mom lived with a "known coke dealer"
>but your lawyer couldn't do a thing.
>
>
>Who you trying to BS? Get out of the pasture and out of the sun.
>

You probably are a lawyer.
I had no lawyer. It was determined by the court that I could somehow afford
to hire one on my take home wage of $130 -support.
I went through the entire process without legal representation.
What, pray tell, are your qualifications here? What leads you to the
conclusion that mine is a BS story? Exactly who the hell are you, anyway?

1082. Re: Anyone seen these ultra-range cordless phones (20miles+)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 20:44:12 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <brshjtonfd0p3stek99rim1jhhsi8qtrhc@4ax.com>
Source record: 63649

On 25 Jun 2001 04:20:44 GMT, webmaster@diehansonsux.com
(mangiaf@freewwwweb.comSPAM) wrote:

>Transmitting Frequency: 267/397 MHz

Yeah, that will attract attention all right!

Frankly, I'd have a hard time wanting to use such a phone. I can see
what will happen: Order something and put your credit card info on the
air. Yeah that's really brilliant. Or have an argument with your
spouse. At least there is good entertainment value there.

No, I really wouldn't do this, not just because its illegal, but
because it's incredibly dumb.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1083. Re: Cassette Recorders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 20:52:09 UTC
From: Podgee&#65533; <peter_alexander@dingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <3b38f601$0$6127$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 63651
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Did u say, no moving part to weat out, then what the hell is a hardrive the,
if not a moving part???

"frater mus" <mouse.news@mousetrap.net> wrote in message
news:Xns90CC6CC0820F1ssbmousetrapnet@151.164.30.58...
> 26 Jun 2001: "Paul Zook" <lazpaz@teleweb.net> wrote
>
> > I am looking for your recommendations. It is difficult to find recorders
> > with the necessary jacks to allow the scanner to turn on/off the
recorder
> > (remote jack). For the most part my recorders sit on a desk next to the
> > radios but occasionally I take them on the road during a vacation. I
would
> > think I could get more than a year out of these units!
> >
> > Let me know what you would recommend. Thanks!
>
> For desk use I'd pipe the audio into freeware like Scanner Recorder
> (www.davee.com), which has builtin VOX logic.
>
> Works great, and is free. No moving parts to wear out.
>
> --
> L.V.X.
> the Great Work, CDR, OTR, scanning http://www.mousetrap.net/~mouse/
> Old Time Radio (OTR) OpenNap network http://www.mousetrap.net/OTRnap/
> CBS Radio Mystery Theater
http://www.mousetrap.net/~mouse/cbsrmt/
> RioVolt mini-review
> http://www.mousetrap.net/~mouse/otr/riovolt.html

1084. FS : UNIDEN SC 200 Sportcat scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 21:02:08 UTC
From: dconti2@bellatlantic.net
Message-ID: <3b38f624.1463101@news5.bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 63650
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a UNIDEN SC200 "SportCat" scanner for sale. This unit is in excellent condition, in the box with manual and accessories, EXCEPT for the computer cable which is missing. (I lost it).
This radio does CTCSS, DCS, Alpha tags, etc.

$175.00 shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured. USA sales only.

No C.O.D., No Trades. WIll accept US Postal money order, personal check, PAYPAL, or BILLPOINT. eBay user name : OFFDAN to check my feedback.

E-mail if interested
Dan

N2TYB

EBAY : OFFDAN
"The idle brain is the Devil's Playground"

1085. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 21:35:14 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15a29fac106c6e2f989757@news>
Source record: 63652

In article <20010626132743.16807.00002419@ng-fo1.aol.com>, urei@aol.com
says...
> >
> >Let's see you lost your kids to a drug addict for 11 years due to ONE
> >unsubstantiated claim. Your lawyer couldn't prove her mental problems,
> >her addiction or her life style but one unsubstantiated claim caused you
> >to lose contact with your children. Mom lived with a "known coke dealer"
> >but your lawyer couldn't do a thing.
> >
> >
> >Who you trying to BS? Get out of the pasture and out of the sun.
> >
>
> You probably are a lawyer.

Nope.

> I had no lawyer.

Dumb

> It was determined by the court that I could somehow afford
> to hire one on my take home wage of $130 -support.

Oh so it's the system that is at fault because you have a crappy job and
no brains to hire an attorney. In 11 years you could come up with the
cash to get an attorney and "save" your daughters from an abusive drug
using mother living with a coke dealer. I still don't think we are
getting the whole story from you.

> I went through the entire process without legal representation.

Not the systems problem, that was your fault. See above re: past 11 years

> What, pray tell, are your qualifications here?

I can read your post.

> What leads you to the
> conclusion that mine is a BS story?

The story and excuses continue to grow from the original BS. Like I
questioned in the beginning, you aren't telling the whole story are you?
You are just like the boys in prison I mentioned. Everybody fault but
yours.

> Exactly who the hell are you, anyway?

I'm a long time user of this group that replies to post that catch my attention. Why? Who the hell are you?

1086. FS: ICOM IC-R2 Wide-Band Mini-Scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 22:25:51 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <PZ7_6.130350$DG1.21572097@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63653

FOR SALE:

ICOM IC-R2, Wide-Band Mini-Scanner!

Includes optional PC Windows Programming Software, and PC Interface Cable!

Brand New!

$100.00 + shipping

PayPal Accepted!

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

1087. FS: ICOM IC-R8500 Wide-Band Communications Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 22:29:06 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <S08_6.130364$DG1.21573903@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63654

FOR SALE:

ICOM IC-R8500 Wide-Band Communications Receiver!

New; $1100.00 + shipping

PayPal Accepted!

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

1088. Re: Anyone seen these ultra-range cordless phones (20miles+)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 22:55:08 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010626185508.05259.00001005@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63655

>Subject: Re: Anyone seen these ultra-range cordless phones (20miles+)?

They are being chased by the ARRL , FCC and DOD, in this order I think.

Seems that some of them are up on the Military Aero Bands, and Ham Frequencies.

I have seen them (or similar) a few months back down in the Electronics Shops
in Miami.

Touted range was about the same.

Sheesh, buy a Digital Cell Phone; with rates so low the only cause for the old
Twisted Pair is for my Fax Machine, I am loged on via my Cell Phone right now.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1089. Re: [SOLD] ICOM IC-R2 Wide-Band Mini-Scanner!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 23:04:35 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <7y8_6.130407$DG1.21596469@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63656

Sold.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:PZ7_6.130350$DG1.21572097@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> ICOM IC-R2, Wide-Band Mini-Scanner!
>
> Includes optional PC Windows Programming Software, and PC Interface Cable!
>
> Brand New!
>
> $100.00 + shipping
>
> PayPal Accepted!
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>

1090. Old Regency Z10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 23:29:17 UTC
From: Cataldo <wcataldo@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hV8_6.53322$_T2.14583421@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 63657

H.elp
I have an old Regency Z10 scanner I can set the scan frequencies for 1 thru 10 OK, But the Scan Program lo an up frequencies have me stumped. it is now set for 162.000 thru 164.000 and I can't change it even by trying to go thru the same or simular steps to the 1 - 10 channels set procedure. Can anyone help.

And if any one has a listing for the Bradenton Florida Ploice and Fire I this would also help.

Thanks,
Bill Cataldo

1091. f.s midland portable radios 70-155b 36 channels with scan 75.00Us each includes shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 23:38:27 UTC
From: jwap <mediaguy@home.com>
Message-ID: <T19_6.117076$r7.15764397@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 63658

for sale:

midland portable radios

model 70-155b

148-174 mhz, I am not sure if they are extendable
36 channels with scan
they have keyboards on the front for tones
sythesized, no crystals to buy
priority button, scan button, channel up/down
monitor button
tx/busy light
keyboard on front for tones

I have 3 of these radios, they are tested and working

they do not include batteries or antennas

I have one rubberduck i can through in

drop in chargers available for 25.00US

radios are 75.00US each includes shipping and insurance

thanks
mediaguy@home.com

1092. for sale midland portable radios 70-155b synthasized, 36 channels 148-174mhz 75.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 23:44:21 UTC
From: jwap <mediaguy@home.com>
Message-ID: <p79_6.117079$r7.15764899@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 63659

```
for sale

Midland portable radios 70-155b

36 channels with scan
2/5 watts
148-174mhz, i am not sure if these are extendable

scan button
channel up/down button
priority button
tx/busy lamp
keyboard on the front for tones

these units are tested and working

these units do not come with batteries or antennas, actually i have one
rubberduck i can through in.

75.00US includes shipping and insurance EACH

i have 2 drop in chargers 25.00US each

thanks
mediaguy@home.com
```

1093. Re: Grove Scanner Beam???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 23:54:38 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B3920BD.6E23AD32@home.com>
Source record: 63660

Bill,

> The grove scanner beam that I once had was actually a TV antenna turned
> vertical. It worked really well ! The Grove company claimed that it was
> specially modified, but it looked stock to me. I parted company with it
> because I had started to clean off the top of the house which was going up
> for sale, but poor old scanner beam got trashed up in the process. It
> comes with a typical 300/75 ohm balun which will allow you to transmit
> through it up to 25 watts as I recall. You will definately need a
> rotator. Thumbs up.

Mike Burch K8MB
Berkley, Michigan

1094. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-26 23:58:04 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010626195804.12540.00000754@ng-ma1.aol.com>
Source record: 63661

>
>> You've got no argument from me. But as long as there are people out
>> there like Glen, the trend can only get worse.
>
>
>Well that is a brilliant statement. No woinder you go by the name Sparky!
>

Once a troll, always a troll.

1095. Re: Radioshack *best scanner poll*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 00:13:14 UTC
From: pwguth@erinet.com
Message-ID: <3b3925ac$0$12824$4c5ecdc7@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 63662

If trunking capability is not a consideration, the Pro 2006 is
unquestionably the best.

On Tue, 5 Jun 2001 20:06:08 +0100, "R.Glazier"
<rogsmail@btinternet.com> wrote:

>Hi
>It would be interesting to here what everybody thinks of radioshack
>scanners,
>which one/model would YOU say was the best.
>RG
>
>
>

1096. Re: Radioshack *best scanner poll*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 00:29:37 UTC
From: hreesie@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010626202937.25365.00000014@ng-fm1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63663

DITTOS HERE I SAY THE PRO-2006 AND THE PRO-2042 IT COVERS FROM
25-13--MHZ........

1097. Re: Cassette Recorders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 01:13:48 UTC
From: Mark <trunker@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gra_6.2142$is3.260862@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63664
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I use a little program called 'Scanner Recorder'. It saves audio
(voice activated) to a wave file instead of tape. Just plug earphone jack
into soundcard. Here's the link to the main page:
http://www.hitsquad.com/smm/programs/ScannerRecorder/

"Paul Zook" <lazpaz@teleweb.net> wrote in message
news:993565443.172748@axilla.wwnet.net...
> I am looking for your suggestions to replace my aging fleet of cassette
> recorders used with my scanners. Some have been working for years and are
> nearly falling apart while some were purchased only a year ago and are
> toast. I have tried Panasonic, Sony, realistic, and Optimus. Seems they
> dont like being left on all night in a stand-by mode until the signal
opens
> the circut and turns on the recorder.
>
> I am looking for your recommendations. It is difficult to find recorders
> with the necessary jacks to allow the scanner to turn on/off the recorder
> (remote jack). For the most part my recorders sit on a desk next to the
> radios but occasionally I take them on the road during a vacation. I
would
> think I could get more than a year out of these units!
>
> Let me know what you would recommend. Thanks!
>
> Paul Zook
>
> http://www.teleweb.net/lazpaz/sound.htm
>
>
>
>

Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.262 / Virus Database: 132 - Release Date: 6/12/01

1098. FS: Diamond SRH805 Stubby Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 01:29:19 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <PFa_6.130602$DG1.21691287@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63665

FOR SALE:

Diamond SRH805, Stubby Antenna, Wide-Band!

144/430/1200 MHz, SMA type connector, for newer HT's, and scanners with the SMA antenna connector (not BNC).

New, Retails for $29.95

Still new, only $20.00 shipped.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

1099. Digital board for BC780XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 01:40:35 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9hbdfm$l5h$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63666
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi All, I keep hearing conflicting rumors that someone is (or is not) developing an add-in board for the Bearcat 780XLT that will allow monitoring of digital systems. Does anyone have any definite info?

Thanks,
Frank

--
Protect Your Rights -- Fight UCITA
http://www.4cite.org

1100. Re: battery packs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 01:48:23 UTC
From: snoopy@seashell.sasquatch.com
Message-ID: <wv94rt266c8.fsf@seashell.sasquatch.com>
Source record: 63668

"Remulac" <Hood2@smokinpot.com> writes:

Advanced Battery http://www.advanced-battery.com/ has batteries for the
R1. There are several radios that use that same battery style. They
are ordered from http://www.batteryprice.com/ which is the domain they
run the shopping cart software. This company used to be named
Periphex. I hope this helps.

Stan Hess N3KDA

>
> I needed a battery pack for my ICOM IC-R1.
> Icom wanted $180.00 for this. I got ahold of Signal Electronics in Torrence
> California. I spoke to the owner Via email and I sent him the specs. The
> made a custom battery pack and charged me $36.51 with shipping. That was 2
> years ago and they still work great.
> Hope this helps you.
>
>
> "Charles Newton" <freebird63@qwest.net@qwest.net> wrote in message
> news:rpqX6.90$Qp2.171184@news.uswest.net...
> > I had once found a web site that advertised battery packs for scanners,
> they
> > were suppose to be better than the factory battery packs and were cheaper
> > too, can anyone help me out. My bearcat xlt 2500 needs a new battery pack
> > and i really don't want to give uniden all my money. Thanx
> >
> >
>
>

1101. Re:[SOLD] Diamond SRH805 Stubby Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 02:13:35 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <jjb_6.131055$DG1.21724328@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63669

Sold.

Thanks,
Bill

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:PFa_6.130602$DG1.21691287@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> Diamond SRH805, Stubby Antenna, Wide-Band!
>
> 144/430/1200 MHz, SMA type connector, for newer HT's, and scanners with
the
> SMA antenna connector (not BNC).
>
> New, Retails for $29.95
>
> Still new, only $20.00 shipped.
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>

1102. FA: {3Days} Motorola Saber 3 VHF With SecureNet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 02:15:30 UTC
From: ravenfalls@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010626221530.06483.00000494@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Source record: 63670

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=124
9291009

Please reply with any questions directly.

Thx,

Joe

1103. Re: Radioshack *best scanner poll*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 02:20:49 UTC
From: lbeecroft8041 <lbeecroft8041@home.com>
Message-ID: <5qb_6.38654$Mf5.10018620@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 63671

Hello!,

I use the Radio Shack (Realistic) PRO-51 Hyper Scan

VHF/UHF-HI-LO-AIR 200 CHANNEL DIRECT ENTRY PROGRAMMABLE SCANNER.

It serves me well, Because there is all sorts of stuff to listen to in
Toronto,Ontario Canada ......

1104. albuqurque N.M.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 02:47:49 UTC
From: roncathers@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5114-3B394955-17@storefull-293.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63674

ANY GOOD FIRE AND POLICE 800 FREQS I CAN PLUG INTO MY 92 IM GOING
DOWN FROM DENVER NEXT WEEK--AND ANY LINKS FOR SANTA FE ECT..

RON CATHERS

1105. which is better?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 02:55:50 UTC
From: Todd Lewis <toddlewis1@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B394B5C.C25FEA1B@home.com>
Source record: 63672

I'm ready to add an outside antenna to my portable scanner, a UBC 3000XLT. Don't want a huge presence on the roof so I've narrowed it down to:
1. a discone in the attic or 2. a magnetic mount on one of the metal vents on the roof. Is it better to have the outside antenna than the one inside even though it is probably a better antenna? I know...depends....on what I want to listen to. Just looking for some general advice. Thanks in advance for any thoughts on the subject.

1106. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 03:13:21 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B394F5F.CABA230B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 63673

Urei wrote (in reference to Glenn):

> This is exactly the attitude that I had to deal with for those 11 years and
> more. Another self-proclaimed expert who probably has no children at all, but
> miraculously has all the answers.

Wrong pal! Glenn and his wife raised a fine young man who is living credit to their parenting skills. Glenn also took in a relative's children out of a difficult home environment and provided them with a stable home in which they had a chance to finish their young adult period. I'm not going to proclaim that what worked for them has to work for everyone, but thus far glenn's methods have proved successful for his family.

> FYI, my "old lady" is a paranoid schizophrenic who has been on
> anti-depressant drugs for many more years than I've known her, was using
> cocaine when I was accused, was living with a known coke dealer at that time,
> and was, herself, extensively abused and mistreated as a child.

Don't whine to us about the choices YOU made!

> I reiterate, spend some time in the pasture. You even believe your own BS.

That is a cute attempt at a homily but it does nothing to further the discussion.

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

1107. Re: which is better?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 03:18:32 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15a2f017148467cb98975c@news>
Source record: 63675

In article <3B394B5C.C25FEA1B@home.com>, toddlewis1@home.com says...
> I'm ready to add an outside antenna to my portable scanner, a UBC
> 3000XLT. Don't want a huge presence on the roof so I've narrowed it
> down to:
> 1. a discone in the attic or 2. a magnetic mount on one of the metal
> vents on the roof. Is it better to have the outside antenna than the
> one inside even though it is probably a better antenna? I
> know...depends....on what I want to listen to. Just looking for some
> general advice. Thanks in advance for any thoughts on the subject.

The discone covers a far greater frequency range than any mobile mag
mount. I guess it all depends on what frequency/ies you are intersted in.
OTOH, I would recommend BOTH. You can never have too many antennas!

Glenn

1108. Re: LAPD Gone Digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 03:39:19 UTC
From: Chris <csnichol@netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <3B395567.60AF@netusa1.net>
Source record: 63667
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Another halfwit who confuses digital with encryption

Chris N9LLO

me@me.com wrote:
>
> mmmmmmmmmm i told you scsanning his dead and dying fast
>
> and I cant wait till i sell more of our products so little fuckwitts can o
> longer listen with little fuck witt toys
>
> motorla encryption products division
> esmith@motorola.com
>
> "L

1109. Re: [SOLD] ICOM IC-R8500 Wide-Band Communications Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 04:12:23 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <H2d_6.131623$DG1.21834433@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63676

This item has been sold.

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:S08_6.130364$DG1.21573903@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> FOR SALE:
>
> ICOM IC-R8500 Wide-Band Communications Receiver!
>
> New; $1100.00 + shipping
>
> PayPal Accepted!
>
> Thanks,
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
>

1110. Re: Digital board for BC780XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 05:03:24 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <WId_6.15964$G25.5826@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 63677
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Definite? Maybe. The new issue of Monitoring Times (July 2001) on Page 66 reports a sign at the ScannerMaster booth at the Dayton Hamvention that read:

APCO-25 Digital Monitoring Solution
Scanner/receiver Drop-in Board
Available in 2001
Offers low-cost solution for receive-only
Also provides all-band analog trunked/
conventional reception

They go on to say that this is apparently for the BC-780XLT. I haven't reported all the details, but this is the meat of the comment. Buy the July issue of Monitoring Times for the rest of the details.

Mark

"Frank" <nospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9hbdfm$l5h$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
> Hi All, I keep hearing conflicting rumors that someone is (or is not)
> developing an add-in board for the Bearcat 780XLT that will allow
> monitoring of digital systems. Does anyone have any definite info?
>
> Thanks,
> Frank
>
> --
> Protect Your Rights -- Fight UCITA
> http://www.4cite.org
>

1111. Re: " Weird "

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 05:19:59 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <32e_6.1305$nC1.250802323@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63678

I'm the sound man at my church. A couple of years ago my old snake (multiple mike cable) started to pick up a 50Kw news station about 30 miles away. Only trouble, it was on the pastors mike during the middle of the sermon. He kept giving me dirty looks as he thought I was playing a "joke" on him. Thank goodness, after the service, I could show him what was happening. A dirty contact had started acting like crystal radio. Needless to say, after I cleaned the contacts, it quit receiving. Next week it was back to business as usual. Oh well, it made for an interesting Sunday. A few parishioners did "complain" that I should have got the local baseball game instead. Just can't please everyone. :^)

1112. Determining a transmitter's operating frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 05:37:55 UTC
From: Mike Gormley <WA8VEC@ARRL.net>
Message-ID: <3B397104.3B2DAFB@ARRL.net>
Source record: 63679

Given the following information from a 2 way business radio (walkie talkie), is it possible to determine the frequency or at least the frequency range that the radio is operating on?

I could then try to search the band for the actual frequency.

Made in USA by Motorola
DOC/MDC: 109212108I TYPE: RRCA
FCC ID: ABZ99FT3042
TANAPA: HUD3155B
MODEL: P93YQT20A2AA
Ser NO: 777FVY8692

--
Mike Gormley

1113. Re: Digital board for BC780XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 06:41:07 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9hbv35$vc4$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63680
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks Mark, I'll take that as a definite maybe :-)

Frank

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:WId_6.15964$G25.5826@newsfeed.slurp.net...
} Definite? Maybe. The new issue of Monitoring Times (July 2001) on Page 66
} reports a sign at the ScannerMaster booth at the Dayton Hamvention that
} read:
}
} APCO-25 Digital Monitoring Solution
} Scanner/receiver Drop-in Board
} Available in 2001
} Offers low-cost solution for receive-only
} Also provides all-band analog trunked/
} conventional reception
}
} They go on to say that this is apparently for the BC-780XLT. I haven't
} reported all the details, but this is the meat of the comment. Buy the July
} issue of Monitoring Times for the rest of the details.
}
} Mark
}
} "Frank" <nospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
} news:9hbdfm$l5h$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
} > Hi All, I keep hearing conflicting rumors that someone is (or is not)
} > developing an add-in board for the Bearcat 780XLT that will allow
} > monitoring of digital systems. Does anyone have any definite info?
} >
} > Thanks,
} > Frank
} >
} > --
} > Protect Your Rights -- Fight UCITA
} > http://www.4cite.org
} >
}
}

1114. Re: Determining a transmitter's operating frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 07:48:52 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha61SPAMSTOP@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3b399018$0$25470$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 63681

From the FCC ID, this is a 150 -170MHz radio.

Mike Gormley <WA8VEC@ARRL.net> wrote in message
news:3B397104.3B2DAFB@ARRL.net...
> Given the following information from a 2 way business radio (walkie
> talkie), is it possible to determine the frequency or at least the
> frequency range that the radio is operating on?
>
> I could then try to search the band for the actual frequency.
>
>
>
> Made in USA by Motorola
> DOC/MDC: 109212108I TYPE: RRCA
> FCC ID: ABZ99FT3042
> TANAPA: HUD3155B
> MODEL: P93YQT20A2AA
> Ser NO: 777FVY8692
>
>
>
>
>
> --
> Mike Gormley
>
>

1115. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 10:44:42 UTC
From: ADJ <ADJ@123.com>
Message-ID: <uOi_6.1360$Z97.273146194@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63682

OK...OK...

So do you guys do any scanning????

KC0AK
Independence, MN 55357

Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3B394F5F.CABA230B@earthlink.net...
> Urei wrote (in reference to Glenn):
>
> > This is exactly the attitude that I had to deal with for those 11
years and
> > more. Another self-proclaimed expert who probably has no children at
all, but
> > miraculously has all the answers.
>
> Wrong pal! Glenn and his wife raised a fine young man who is living
credit to
> their parenting skills. Glenn also took in a relative's children out of a
> difficult home environment and provided them with a stable home in which
they had
> a chance to finish their young adult period. I'm not going to proclaim
that what
> worked for them has to work for everyone, but thus far glenn's methods
have proved
> successful for his family.
>
> > FYI, my "old lady" is a paranoid schizophrenic who has been on
> > anti-depressant drugs for many more years than I've known her, was using
> > cocaine when I was accused, was living with a known coke dealer at that
time,
> > and was, herself, extensively abused and mistreated as a child.
>
> Don't whine to us about the choices YOU made!
>
> > I reiterate, spend some time in the pasture. You even believe your
own BS.
>
> That is a cute attempt at a homily but it does nothing to further the
> discussion.
>
>
>

>
> --
> Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38°34'32", W 90°25'38", 700'
> Just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
> Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
> ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
> "Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"
>
>

1116. Re: Digital board for BC780XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 10:56:06 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha61SPAMSTOP@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3b39bbfc$0$25510$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 63683
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This is the good oil.

Uniden have confirmed they are working on a digital capable scanner for release in 12months or so.

Scannermaster have displayed an ad at Dayton, saying that by the end of 2001 they will release an APCO 25 Scanner/Reciever add on board. No mention of it being specifically for the 780. By saying "Scanner/Reciever add on board" I assume that means the board can be wired into any/many scanners or receivers.

It has also been a report that WinRadio are working on APCO 25 software for their PC based scanning receivers.

I think the race is on, Scannermaster can access a huge market if their add on board can be fitted into any scanner, so why limit it to the 780XLT?. Also, Uniden may have bought the license to use Scannermasters technology for their future digital capable scanner. I'd say they are all hush, hush about what they are doing because loose lips sink ships!!.

But the race is on, and the winners will be us!

Mike

Frank <nospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:9hbdfm$15h$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
> Hi All, I keep hearing conflicting rumors that someone is (or is not)
> developing an add-in board for the Bearcat 780XLT that will allow
> monitoring of digital systems. Does anyone have any definite info?
>
> Thanks,
> Frank
>
> --
> Protect Your Rights -- Fight UCITA
> http://www.4cite.org
>

1117. Re: Cassette Recorders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 11:43:50 UTC
From: Paul Zook <lazpaz@teleweb.net>
Message-ID: <993642356.992831@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 63684
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have used this type of recorder before, but it is not portable and I get
too much signal interference from the computer.

"Mark" <trunker@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:gra_6.2142$is3.260862@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I use a little program called 'Scanner Recorder'. It saves audio
> (voice activated) to a wave file instead of tape. Just plug earphone jack
> into soundcard. Here's the link to the main page:
> http://www.hitsquad.com/smm/programs/ScannerRecorder/
>
>
> "Paul Zook" <lazpaz@teleweb.net> wrote in message
> news:993565443.172748@axilla.wwnet.net...
> > I am looking for your suggestions to replace my aging fleet of cassette
> > recorders used with my scanners. Some have been working for years and
are
> > nearly falling apart while some were purchased only a year ago and are
> > toast. I have tried Panasonic, Sony, realistic, and Optimus. Seems they
> > dont like being left on all night in a stand-by mode until the signal
> opens
> > the circut and turns on the recorder.
> >
> > I am looking for your recommendations. It is difficult to find recorders
> > with the necessary jacks to allow the scanner to turn on/off the
recorder
> > (remote jack). For the most part my recorders sit on a desk next to the
> > radios but occasionally I take them on the road during a vacation. I
> would
> > think I could get more than a year out of these units!
> >
> > Let me know what you would recommend. Thanks!
> >
> > Paul Zook
> >
> > http://www.teleweb.net/lazpaz/sound.htm
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
>

> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.262 / Virus Database: 132 - Release Date: 6/12/01
>
>

1118. Re: 1350 to 1400 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 12:33:09 UTC
From: snoopy@seashell.sasquatch.com
Message-ID: <wv9sngmhzlm.fsf@seashell.sasquatch.com>
Source record: 63685

pbscanner@cs.com (PB Scanner) writes:

I don't know what reciever is being used. Any chance of those signals
being images of another frequency? Just A shot in the dark.

--Stan

>
> >Subject: 1350 to 1400 Mhz
> >From: "Jason" jmu231@yahoo.com
> >Date: 6/23/01 7:34 AM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <S02Z6.4714$Tk.860306@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
> >
> >I am picking up what sounds like phone conversations in the 1350 to 1380 Mhz
> >range. Just wondering if those are cell, cordless or images of other
> >freqs??
> >
> >jmu
>
>
> Listed as DOD Use, could be MARS Phone-Patch being worked from Overseas.
>
>
>
> = = = = = = = = = = =
> Palm Beach Scanner
> If its on the Air We Scan it!
> Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1119. Re: Squelch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 12:35:54 UTC
From: Sean <possums1@iinet.net.au>
Message-ID: <3b39d345$0$16654@echo-01.iinet.net.au>
Source record: 63686
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

well .. you get your shoes real wet.. or
get montezumas revenge

"Bergum" <no.mail@no.no> wrote in message
news:9gsq01$f03$1@taliesin.netcom.net.uk...
> Anyone know how to make a simple Squelch?
>
>
>
>

1120. Re: Anyone seen these ultra-range cordless phones (20miles+)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 12:55:29 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <4hljjtcu3vdt3qihh9m9ap5ltvflvr36k7@4ax.com>
Source record: 63687

On Wed, 27 Jun 2001 11:35:00 GMT, Mark <no@mail.com.invalid!> wrote:

>That logic is no different than with any analog cordless phone.

Except that the only folks who would notice you with a common 47CFR15 analog cordless phone are your neighbors. Use that high power version and you're likely to annoy a lot of people across a major metropolitan area. Given a population that large, there is a good chance someone may take enough offense to use whatever you say on the air against you.

Part 15 Analog operation may not be smart, but this stuff goes in to the outrageously stupid category.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

1121. Re: ? AF aircraft freqs used on training flights?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 14:06:31 UTC
From: Bill Jones <wejones@megalink.net>
Message-ID: <3B39E867.D3AE4B33@megalink.net>
Source record: 63688

> Descriptions of MTRs are in the AP/1B Area Planning book. I'm not
> aware of any on-line version of that, but if you do a google search on
> AP/1B you'll find where to buy one. They're only a few dollars.
> Updated every eight weeks, but for most routes there are rarely
> changes.

Thanks. I'll ask my son if he has that book. He had a really fat
little book that I think was just descriptions of airports, but he may
have the one you refer to as well. If not, I'm sure that he would want
to have it.

> >... Next time my son visits, I'll have him ask that when he calls.
> >Not being a pilot myself, I wouldn't want to bother them.
>
> Besides seeking frequencies and use info, have him ask about safety
> flying in this area. Military pilots are more safety concious than
> some of the public gives them credit for, and I'll bet they'd be happy
> to tell him what to watch out for along those routes.

When we flew onto the mtr, he called the Portland approach, and they
said that they would notify him if any military traffic came in.
However he was flying so low that he dropped off their radar. He had to
tell them by radio that he was still there, and to still notify him. So
I think safety wise, as long as the approach channel is called, we would
probably be given warning. But I'm still interested in being able to
hear the fighters announce their activation of the route.
Yesterday I was listening to one of the Boston Center frequencies
that were given to me, and I heard a plane identified as "Tomcat"
something or other, being cleared onto some route, at which time some
nervous private pilot came on frequency and said something like "you
just cleared that Tomcat onto the same vector and altitude we are
flying". I couldn't hear the ground portion of the conversation
unfortunately, but later heard the Tomcat accepting other
transmissions. I assume (maybe wrong) that this Tomcat was an F-14, ie
I'm not sure how military aircraft identify themselves to the civilian
controllers.
I've done a lot of listening to civilian planes talking to airport
approach, tower and ground control, and know most of the "lingo"
involved there, but listening to this "Boston Center" (which I assume is
more of an in-route thing rather than take off and landing) thing seems
to be a different language which I'll have to learn. I've been trying
to identify the routes they are talking about on my sectional maps, but
I'm not having much luck yet. It's interesting listening though.

Thanks for the help.

1122. swap HP Jornada 540 for scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 14:56:31 UTC
From: zero <zero@somewherenice.cxm>
Message-ID: <9hcs7l$a3h$1@rdel.co.uk>
Source record: 63469

Hi all,

I have a Hewlett Packard Jornada 540 handheld PC with the USB cradle, optional hood and extra Windows software, all of which is an unwanted gift. Excellent working order and nearly new condition. In this week's paper at £175 - but I'd swap it for a decent handheld scanner - Yupiteru MVT-9000 or similar.

If you're not sure what a Jornada 540 is, look here;
http://www.a2000d.com/HP540.htm

If you're interested, mail me here zero@elivefree.net (I'm in Somerset, UK).

Cheers

--
zero "..bet my bike's slower than yours."
KLX650, Ariel Red Hunter 350
:wq!

1123. "qc"ia-il area freq list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 15:11:42 UTC
From: RandAce Muse <randace@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <OIm_6.12649$3d3.1007374@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63689

i am looking for the freq in my area. the quad cities, rock island illinois, davenport iowa area. thnx rm

1124. Re: 1350 to 1400 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 16:24:43 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <eNn_6.1469$Fc2.157936@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 63690

Those are the typical nokia phones people use, working in analog mode which is right at that frequency range
as indicated right on most nokia boxes/instruction books.

<snoopy@seashell.sasquatch.com> wrote in message
news:wv9sngmhzlm.fsf@seashell.sasquatch.com...
> pbscanner@cs.com (PB Scanner) writes:
>
> I don't know what reciever is being used. Any chance of those signals
> being images of another frequency? Just A shot in the dark.
>
> --Stan
>
> >
> > >Subject: 1350 to 1400 Mhz
> > >From: "Jason" jmu231@yahoo.com
> > >Date: 6/23/01 7:34 AM Eastern Daylight Time
> > >Message-id: <S02Z6.4714$Tk.860306@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
> > >
> > >I am picking up what sounds like phone conversations in the 1350 to 1380 Mhz
> > >range. Just wondering if those are cell, cordless or images of other
> > >freqs??
> > >
> > >jmu
> >
> >
> > Listed as DOD Use, could be MARS Phone-Patch being worked from Overseas.
> >
> >
> >
> > = = = = = = = = = = =
> > Palm Beach Scanner
> > If its on the Air We Scan it!
> > Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1125. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 16:42:39 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15a3ac9a6b092c2c98975e@news>
Source record: 63691

Yes, all the time.

Do you read EVERY message in every group you subscribe to?????

Or did it take you over a week to figure we were off topic? :-)

In article <uOi_6.1360$Z97.273146194@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>,
ADJ@123.com says...
> OK...OK...
>
> So do you guys do any scanning????
>
>
>
> KC0AK
> Independence, MN 55357
>
> Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3B394F5F.CABA230B@earthlink.net...
> > Urei wrote (in reference to Glenn):
> >
> > > This is exactly the attitude that I had to deal with for those 11
> years and
> > > more. Another self-proclaimed expert who probably has no children at
> all, but
> > > miraculously has all the answers.
> >
> > Wrong pal! Glenn and his wife raised a fine young man who is living
> credit to
> > their parenting skills. Glenn also took in a relative's children out of a
> > difficult home environment and provided them with a stable home in which
> they had
> > a chance to finish their young adult period. I'm not going to proclaim
> that what
> > worked for them has to work for everyone, but thus far glenn's methods
> have proved
> > successful for his family.
> >
> > > FYI, my "old lady" is a paranoid schizophrenic who has been on
> > > anti-depressant drugs for many more years than I've known her, was using
> > > cocaine when I was accused, was living with a known coke dealer at that
> time,
> > > and was, herself, extensively abused and mistreated as a child.
> >

> > Don't whine to us about the choices YOU made!
> >
> > > I reiterate, spend some time in the pasture. You even believe your
> own BS.
> >
> > That is a cute attempt at a homily but it does nothing to further the
> > discussion.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38°34'32", W 90°25'38", 700'
> > Just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
> > Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
> > ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
> > "Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"
> >
> >
>
>
>

1126. Re: Determining a transmitter's operating frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 16:44:09 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15a3acec6abc3d798975f@news>
Source record: 63692

How did you get that out of the FCC ID?

In article <3b399018$0$25470$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>,
mike_alpha61SPAMSTOP@hotmail.com says...
> From the FCC ID, this is a 150 -170MHz radio.
>
> Mike Gormley <WA8VEC@ARRL.net> wrote in message
> news:3B397104.3B2DAFB@ARRL.net...
> > Given the following information from a 2 way business radio (walkie
> > talkie), is it possible to determine the frequency or at least the
> > frequency range that the radio is operating on?
> >
> > I could then try to search the band for the actual frequency.
> >
> >
> >
> > Made in USA by Motorola
> > DOC/MDC: 109212108I TYPE: RRCA
> > FCC ID: ABZ99FT3042
> > TANAPA: HUD3155B
> > MODEL: P93YQT20A2AA
> > Ser NO: 777FVY8692
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Mike Gormley
> >
> >
>
>
>

1127. Repair help needed - deaf Pro-2036

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 16:46:00 UTC
From: nobody@home.com
Message-ID: <3b3a0aed.10961613@news.rchmd1.bc.wave.home.com>
Source record: 63693

Hi All,

I have a Realistic PRO-2036 Scanner that is deaf on all bands except 805-950Mhz. The guy I bought it from (garage sale) was one of those 1000Watt CB types, so I have a feeling he fried the input of the lower frequency tuner. Since this scanner has a separate 800Mhz band tuner board - it sort of makes sense. No wonder he was selling it...

If anyone has any hints or has "been there, done that" I would greatly appreciate your help. I have a feeling (and sincerely hope) it may just be one tiny surface mount transistor or diode on the broadband RF amp stage. It works great on the one band, and I can see that this will be a nice unit when it is fully working since I especially love the big tuning knob.

In order to trace out the signal path, I am in need of a service manual and or at least a schematic of the tuner section. Any inexpensive sources?

If sending e-mail, please reply to zholtz aat home doot com

best regards,
Zenon

1128. Re: "qc"ia-il area freq list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 17:12:17 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010627131217.19599.00000387@ng-fv1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63694

```
>Subject: "qc"ia-il area freq list
>From: "RandAce Muse" randace@worldnet.att.net
>Date: 6/27/01 11:11 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <OIm_6.12649$3d3.1007374@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
>
>i am looking for the freq in my area. the quad cities, rock island illinois,
>davenport iowa area. thnx rm
>

Try the following:
http://www.cityfreq.com/
http://www.trunktracker.com/
http://www.911scanner.8m.com/
http://www.bearcat1.com/free.htm

and a Search via http://www.dogpile.com/

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner
```

1129. Re: Determining a transmitter's operating frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 17:18:29 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010627131829.19599.00000390@ng-fv1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63695

>Subject: Re: Determining a transmitter's operating frequency
>From: Glenn glenn.hansen@usa.net
>Date: 6/27/01 12:44 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <MPG.15a3acec6abc3d798975f@news>
>
>
>How did you get that out of the FCC ID?
>
>

The FCC Requires all devices it regulates to be Type Accepted and they must
display a FCC ID Number, which may be researched via the FCC Site:
http://www.fcc.gov/oet/fccid/

Neat item to figure out Frequency Info when all you can get to is the FCC ID.

Granted it often only shows ranges but it is a great start.

>
>
>In article <3b399018$0$25470$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>,
>mike_alpha61SPAMSTOP@hotmail.com says...
>> From the FCC ID, this is a 150 -170MHz radio.
>>
>> Mike Gormley <WA8VEC@ARRL.net> wrote in message
>> news:3B397104.3B2DAFB@ARRL.net...
>> > Given the following information from a 2 way business radio (walkie
>> > talkie), is it possible to determine the frequency or at least the
>> > frequency range that the radio is operating on?
>> >
>> > I could then try to search the band for the actual frequency.
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Made in USA by Motorola
>> > DOC/MDC: 109212108I TYPE: RRCA
>> > FCC ID: ABZ99FT3042
>> > TANAPA: HUD3155B
>> > MODEL: P93YQT20A2AA
>> > Ser NO: 777FVY8692
>> >

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1130. Re: albuqurque N.M.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 17:20:19 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010627132019.19599.00000391@ng-fv1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63696

>Subject: albuqurque N.M.
>From: roncathers@webtv.net (R C)
>Date: 6/26/01 10:47 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <5114-3B394955-17@storefull-293.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
>
>ANY GOOD FIRE AND POLICE 800 FREQS I CAN PLUG INTO MY 92 IM GOING
>DOWN FROM DENVER NEXT WEEK--AND ANY LINKS FOR SANTA FE ECT..
>
>RON CATHERS
>
>

The following sites should help:

http://www.cityfreq.com
http://www.trunktracker.com/
http://www.911scanner.8m.com/
http://www.bearcat1.com/free.htm

Safe trip,

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1131. Re: Old Regency Z10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 17:36:16 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010627133616.19599.00000395@ng-fv1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63547

>Subject: Old Regency Z10
>From: "Cataldo" wcataldo@tampabay.rr.com
>Date: 6/26/01 7:29 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <hV8_6.53322$_T2.14583421@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
>
>H.elp
>I have an old Regency Z10 scanner I can set the scan frequencies for 1 thru
>10 OK, But the Scan Program lo an up frequencies have me stumped. it is now
>set for 162.000 thru 164.000 and I can't change it even by trying to go thru
>the same or simular steps to the 1 - 10 channels set procedure. Can anyone
>help.
>
>And if any one has a listing for the Bradenton Florida Ploice and Fire I
>this would also help.
>
>Thanks,
>Bill Cataldo
>

I am not familiar with that Scanner but I can help with some Frequencies:

FIRE:
72.1000
72.3400
72.5400

Braden River Fire Control District
39.1000
72.9200
153.8300
153.8900
153.9500
154.1900
154.2650
154.2800
154.2950
154.3250
154.3700
154.3850

Police
154.8000
154.9500
155.3700
155.6700

155.8350

Local Police/Fire/Gov/Works

39.1000
39.1800
153.8600
150.8050
158.8050
158.9250

Also try the following Links:

http://www.cityfreq.com/
http://www.trunktracker.com/
http://www.911scanner.8m.com/
http://www.bearcat1.com/free.htm

and http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanner/scnsites.html

Check the Florida Links

Hope these get you Scanning.

= = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1132. Re: Police Data bursts...can you read them?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 18:27:24 UTC
From: uran233@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010627142724.15125.00000806@ng-fj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63548

We can solve all these problems now. Everyone box up their equiptment and send it to me and I will get rid of it for you so there will be no more lawbreakers or regular people. I work for the government and I am here to help you.

1133. Mil-Air Handheld Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 18:55:21 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <t_p_6.28007$wh6.4967539@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 63549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi. I have a couple of questions concerning mil-air scanning. Are typical handhelf wideband receivers capable of receiving UHF air radio effectively? Or would a handheld scanner be better for the job? If so, are there any readily available handheld scanners that can search the mil-air band? Thanks.

1134. Re: Mil-Air Handheld Scanner Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 19:01:20 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B3A2D7F.DCED6992@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63550
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The two types should be equally effective in receiving those
frequencies.

Walt

TheF16Falcon wrote:
>
> Hi. I have a couple of questions concerning mil-air scanning. Are typical
> handhelf wideband receivers capable of receiving UHF air radio effectively?
> Or would a handheld scanner be better for the job? If so, are there any
> readily available handheld scanners that can search the mil-air band?
> Thanks.

1135. Re: Determining a transmitter's operating frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 19:17:16 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15a3d0d37793468d989760@news>
Source record: 63551

In article <20010627131829.19599.00000390@ng-fv1.news.cs.com>,
pbscanner@cs.com says...
> >Subject: Re: Determining a transmitter's operating frequency
> >From: Glenn glenn.hansen@usa.net
> >Date: 6/27/01 12:44 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <MPG.15a3acec6abc3d798975f@news>
> >
> >
> >How did you get that out of the FCC ID?
> >
> >
>
> The FCC Requires all devices it regulates to be Type Accepted and they must
> display a FCC ID Number, which may be researched via the FCC Site:
> http://www.fcc.gov/oet/fccid/
>
> Neat item to figure out Frequency Info when all you can get to is the FCC ID.
>
> Granted it often only shows ranges but it is a great start.

Good tool, that is neat.

Thanks, I should have looked on the FCC site. DOH!

Glenn

1136. 35 new scanner pictures

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 19:22:48 UTC
From: "Dan B (Scanner Nuts)" <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <ptq_6.40198$9r1.2869085@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 63552
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

OK, I finally got around to adding 35 more pictures to the Scanner Pix page.
Thanks for all of you who have send them in and sorry it took so long.

For those of you who have never visited the site, you really need to see
some of the
scanner pictures from back in the 60's and 70's!

--
The Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
0ver 360 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
New and Old.... bring back the memories.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

Scanner Nuts Webring
http://www.webdeals.net/scannernuts.htm

Visit The "Top 100 Scanner Sites!"
http://www.topsitelists.com/bestsites/k4lyp/

***

1137. Whole House Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 19:45:38 UTC
From: pelikandrp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010627154538.19239.00000374@ng-mq1.aol.com>
Source record: 63553

Hi all,
recently read about the use of a Radio Shack "whole house" plug in antenna and
it's use with scanners.
Any feedback or comments appreciated.

paul

"if you love a man's garden...you gotta love the man"
-Frank Serpico

1138. Re: Cell listening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 20:47:32 UTC
From: Brian <_dontspamme-at-id4rox@stny.rr.com>
Message-ID: <EDr_6.26729$ID1.8309415@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 63554

I'll have to scan them later. my pro-90 is beeping, slowly dying, gotta charge it up! I use a super converter II for cell phones.... but ill have to scan 440-454 later!

"MercenaryPGP" <fbyvgba-QRYRGR@cnpvsvp-GUVF.arg> wrote in message news:jmmijtk8b5c584pfqv7d5p61cnebpefcfv@4ax.com...
> Since radios receive good quality image frequencies of cell
> frequencies, it does not seem to be too important to have a cell
> unblocked radio.
>
>
> 440.7125 Phone call? (very bad signal)
> 442.2875 Phone call (bad signal)
> 442.600 Phone call (full duplex)
> 443.8875 Phone call (full duplex)
> 452.675 Phone call (full duplex)
> 452.9875 Phone call (full duplex)
> 453.0125 Phone call (full duplex)
> 453.300 Phone call (full duplex)
> 453.6125 Phone call (full duplex)
> --
> http://www.pacificsites.com/~soliton/soliton-pub.key
>
> What PGP does well is the prevention of random, large scale data
> gathering and keyword matching. In essence, the spy *must* use more
> aggressive, time-consuming and resource-intensive methods to gather
> information, making "security through obscurity" possible. Who has the
> time (or money, or people) to actively point TEMPEST antennas at every
> home? -- Jonathan Williams

1139. Re: Radioshack *best scanner poll*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 20:59:18 UTC
From: wilfkelly@home.com
Message-ID: <680a2e7f.0106271259.1b0e4f87@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63555

"R.Glazier" <rogsmail@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:<9fjabt$7mo$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>...
> Hi
> It would be interesting to here what everybody thinks of radioshack
> scanners,
> which one/model would YOU say was the best.
> RG

I personally like the Pro 2004 It is built strong with a metal casing
which helps filtering.I also have on mine the 4 of many mods that are
available including YES the full cell.This scanner receives the
clearest out of any others I have owned and I have owned them all, the
pro 2006 and Pro2005 would be in the same catigory.Just my opinion.

1140. Charge rate of built-in charger in Pro 94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 21:20:40 UTC
From: Mark <trunker@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <I6s_6.1927$th.219818@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63558

Does anyone know the charge rate of the built-in charger on the Pro
94? I don't have the equipment to measure and it isn't stated in the specs.

Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.262 / Virus Database: 132 - Release Date: 6/12/01

1141. Re: Radioshack *best scanner poll*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 21:21:19 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010627172119.19502.00000468@ng-fv1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63559

>Subject: Re: Radioshack *best scanner poll*

Guess I will chime in on this thread.

My pick is the Pro-60, though I have other RS Models both Handheld and Mobile

Good coverage 30 to 999.9875 MHz
Works AM/FM/WBFM
Triple Conversion
200 Memory Channels
VFO (Sort Of)
Aero Band Coverage

And the price was right at: $95.00 clearance.
Got to get to the Shack more often to see whats been pulled from the shelf and
put off to the side, best route to some great deals.

True there are some other that I favor but this is a nice unit that does
everything I currently need, but once Palm Beach goes Trunked I will most
likely change my opinion once I break in a good Trunk Tracker.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1142. Re: Whole House Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 21:24:12 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010627172412.19502.00000469@ng-fv1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63556

>Subject: Whole House Antenna
>From: pelikandrp@aol.com (PelikanDRP)
>Date: 6/27/01 3:45 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010627154538.19239.00000374@ng-mq1.aol.com>
>
>Hi all,
>recently read about the use of a Radio Shack "whole house" plug in antenna
>and
>it's use with scanners.
>Any feedback or comments appreciated.
>
>paul
>
>---
>"if you love a man's garden...you gotta love the man"
> -Frank Serpico
>

I would not expect anything over a good Mag Mount Antenna or Telescopic Whip.

You will get line noise and may degrade from what you get with present antenna.

Do some research on homebrew, I got outstanding results with a Paperclip, yes a Paperclip when I was in DC and I lost the 800 MHz. Duck.

The plug in antennas are more for TV/FM but even there they lack.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1143. Re: Determining a transmitter's operating frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 21:26:07 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010627172607.19502.00000470@ng-fv1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63557

>Subject: Re: Determining a transmitter's operating frequency
>From: Glenn glenn.hansen@usa.net

>The FCC Requires all devices it regulates to be Type Accepted and they must
>> display a FCC ID Number, which may be researched via the FCC Site:
>> http://www.fcc.gov/oet/fccid/
>>
>> Neat item to figure out Frequency Info when all you can get to is the FCC
>ID.
>>
>> Granted it often only shows ranges but it is a great start.
>
>Good tool, that is neat.
>
>Thanks, I should have looked on the FCC site. DOH!
>
>
>
>Glenn
>

No worries Glen, the Newsgroups are where I have learned more about the Hobby,
most times someone will have the information or at least a good direction in
which to look.

Share your knowledge, you never know when the C-Drive will crash.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1144. BC245XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 23:08:54 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <alt_6.28018$wh6.4996615@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 63560
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a BC245XLT, and whenever I try programming a freq like 148.5375, it rounds it up to 148.54. Is this the same frequency, or a totally different one? Thanks.

1145. FA: eBay - Alinco DJ-X2 Mini-Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-27 23:41:00 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <gau_6.109712$aA5.704209@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 63561

This is a "Like New" Alinco DJ-X2. It is a miniature wideband radio scanner. It has 700 memory channels, coverage from 500 kHz to 999.9995 MHz, it only weighs 3 ounces with the internal rechargeable lithium-ion battery, and it is computer programmable with the free downloadable software (PC Interface cable isn't included).

Everything that was originally included in the box is a part of this auction. Everything is like new.

Link to the auction:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1250463159

There is NO RESERVE price on this auction!

John Habbinga, KC5ZRQ
Lubbock, TX

1146. bernellio county/N.M.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 00:01:22 UTC
From: roncathers@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14277-3B3A73D2-52@storefull-296.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63563

IS THIS COUNTY DIGITAL FOR POLICE /SHERRIF---FIRE?????--AND ISALBUQURQUE
DIGITAL ALSO FOR FIRE AND POLICE

RON CATHERS

1147. Re: " Weird "

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 00:12:46 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <2Eu_6.1687$J91.148655@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63562

I thought that it was Gilligan. Did the Partridge Family show writers steal the
Gilligan's Isle plot?
Al

PB Scanner wrote in message <20010626130744.24543.00001365@ng-ct1.news.cs.com>...
>>Subject: Re: " Weird "
>>From: "Mike Alpha" mike_alpha61SPAMSTOP@hotmail.com
>>Date: 6/26/01 1:41 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <3b3820b5$0$25522$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
>>
>>It's not really that weird, the play head in your tape player is basically a
>>coil connected to an amplifier. If nearby RF is strong enough, it will leak
>>into the circuitry and end up coming out of the speaker or headphones, just
>>like a mobile phone signal breaking through your stereo system. Just because
>>you couldn't see the security guys, doesn't mean they weren't there.
>>
>>If you want to hear about the weirdest radio reciever, I recall a story in a
>>science magazine many years ago. It was about a guy who's amalgum tooth
>>filling started to recieve nearby AM broadcasts. Apparently the metal in the
>>filling had formed a diode detector circuit and the strength of the
>>transmission was such that it caused the filling to vibrate to the audio
>>being broadcasts. This is not uncommon around powerfull broadcast antenna
>>sites. I've heard stories of rusty down pipes also blaring music from the
>>nearby transmitters.
>>
>>Mike
>>
>
>Hey, that was on the Partridge Family, I remember that one! (tooth Filling
>Playing Music)
>
>Poor kid has a heck of a time keeping beat with the rest of the family...
>
>
>= = = = = = = = = =
>Palm Beach Scanner
>If its on the Air We Scan it!
>Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1148. PHX AZ blue deck frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 00:18:26 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3B3A77D2.BBEA738C@analon.com>
Source record: 63564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

what frequencies are referred to as "blue deck" by PHX FD dispatchers
I have theit regualar channels including the 154.19 dispatch frequency.

thanks

--
*****----------------------800-873-5884-----------------
| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com)
|http://www.analon.com | Custom built Unix/MS Computer Systems,
|Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT for PERFORMANCE...real
systems run unix!
| ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to
DOT-GONE!!-----------310-922-6972-||++
++++++++++

1149. Re: I told you so

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 02:13:50 UTC
From: urei@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010627221350.08595.00001110@ng-bd1.aol.com>
Source record: 63565

>
>
>
>
> Wrong pal! Glenn and his wife raised a fine young man who is living
>credit to
>their parenting skills. Glenn also took in a relative's children out of a
>difficult home environment and provided them with a stable home in which they
>had
>a chance to finish their young adult period. I'm not going to proclaim that
>what
>worked for them has to work for everyone, but thus far glenn's methods have
>proved
>successful for his family.

That's just great. And it gives him the right to call me names and dicide what
kind of person I am? I don't think so.
I've also raised two fine young women who are a credit to my parenting skills
especially under the circumstances.
I'm thrilled that Glenn is such a wonderful person (at least in your opinion)
but he still doesn't have the right to pass judgement on others.
Also, his is very much the attitude that I've dealt with, specifically that I
must be some kind of scumbag because of the situation I was in. He can't be
much af a person if he has to lower lower others to make himself look good.>

> Don't whine to us about the choices YOU made!
>
I wasn't whining. I was responding to Glenn's false assertion that it's nearly
impossible to make an allegation of child abuse stick. He remains to be wrong
regardless of wht you or he posts here.

1150. Re: Digital board for BC780XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 03:43:04 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <OKvENR4$AHA.289@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 63569
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes...there is someone doing just that.
Actually I hear there are two or three in the race to be first with theirs.
Be patient. Rocks do slide.

bill
attitude guy

--

"Frank" <nospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9hbdfm$l5h$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
> Hi All, I keep hearing conflicting rumors that someone is (or is not)
> developing an add-in board for the Bearcat 780XLT that will allow
> monitoring of digital systems. Does anyone have any definite info?
>
> Thanks,
> Frank
>
> --
> Protect Your Rights -- Fight UCITA
> http://www.4cite.org
>

1151. Re: Whole House Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 04:13:21 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9heat1$df38u$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 63566

"PelikanDRP" <pelikandrp@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010627154538.19239.00000374@ng-mq1.aol.com...
> Hi all,
> recently read about the use of a Radio Shack "whole house" plug in
antenna and
> it's use with scanners.
> Any feedback or comments appreciated.

These things are to be avoided at all costs. First of all, you're
going to get a ton of line noise. Secondly, the wiring of the house
would be too big of an antenna to be effective for a scanner.
Thirdly, I'm just a little leery of plugging an electrical line into a
scanner's antenna jack.

--
Regards,
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

1152. Re: " Weird "

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 04:16:27 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9heb2r$dligm$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 63567

"Al, N2NKB" <n2nkb@n2nkb@postoffice.worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:2Eu_6.1687$J91.148655@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I thought that it was Gilligan. Did the Partridge Family show
writers steal the
> Gilligan's Isle plot?
> Al

I remember the Partridge Family episode. Don't remember the
Gilligan's Island episode, though. I also remember an episode of Love
Boat that had the same thing as a plot element. It's probably been
used in countless other TV shows as well.

--
Regards,
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

1153. Re: BC895 Display light

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 04:49:21 UTC
From: One-Trick-Pony <kg9qr@hightec.com>
Message-ID: <3b3ab5de$1_3@news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 63568

I think the 895 has only one light on one side.
I remember somebody bitching about it awhile back.

Brian

"Travis" <ffighter17@texoma.net> wrote in message
news:9fcnu101l4s@enews1.newsguy.com...
> I was wondering if anyone has had problems with the light going out? The
> left side is a lot dimmer than the right side. I have not used my light
> very much at all. Is this going to be a cheap fix?
>
> TIA
> Travis
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1154. Re: WTB:s-meter for pro-2032

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 07:39:54 UTC
From: jvmullins@kih.net
Message-ID: <2c30d533.0106272339.66dc53eb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63570

sky444nospam@mint.net (Skyhawk) wrote in message news:<3b377193.68370708@news.mint.net>...
> anybody ever modded a pro-2032 so you can use an s-meter.....I am
> looking for that type set up.....sky444@mint.net

I tried Bill Cheeks S-meter mod on a 2032, it didn't work except AM .
Seems the tap point where the meter is connected is "turned Off"
when not in AM mode, Cheek said there would need to be an addition
to his mod. printed in his book "The Ultimate Scanner" Cheek 3!

Uppercreek

1155. CTCSS Tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 07:54:40 UTC
From: Dave Evans <djevans240@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4pB_6.95196$lq1.25386630@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 63571

Does anyone know which handheld scanner(s) can automatically identify which tone frequency is in use on a particular UHF freq?

1156. Re: FS : UNIDEN SC 200 Sportcat scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 10:46:35 UTC
From: jammtc2000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cd33b517.0106280246.55ab23ad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63572
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Here's an offer for $130 and I will pay shipment via UPS.
This offer is good for twenty-four (24) hours only!!!!!

Thanks

Jodia
dconti2@bellatlantic.net (Daniel M. Conti) wrote in message
news:<3b38f624.1463101@news5.bellatlantic.net>...
> I have a UNIDEN SC200 "SportCat" scanner for sale. This unit is in
> excellent condition, in the box with manual and accessories, EXCEPT
> for the computer cable which is missing. (I lost it).
> This radio does CTCSS, DCS, Alpha tags, etc.
>
> $175.00 shipped via USPS Priority Mail, insured. USA sales only.
>
> No C.O.D., No Trades. WIll accept US Postal money order, personal
> check, PAYPAL, or BILLPOINT. eBay user name : OFFDAN to check my
> feedback.
>
> E-mail if interested
> Dan
>
> N2TYB
>
> EBAY : OFFDAN
> "The idle brain is the Devil's Playground"

1157. WTB:Bearcat BC895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 11:35:05 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <bEE_6.9$q34.17145@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 63573

im looking for a nice Bearcat BC895
thanks
monte k9dzd@iquest.net

1158. Re: Portable Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 15:12:21 UTC
From: I-am-your-president <president@blackhouse.com>
Message-ID: <daimjtoh4vhq9h703guugqkl2n3glsjn82@4ax.com>
Source record: 63574
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 26 Jun 2001 11:47:32 +0200, Roby <plroberto@usa.net> wrote:

>Hello,
>I'm planning to build a simple receive antenna for portable scanner use
>made of a copper wire (60-70cm) connected directlly to the BNC.
>I'll use it to improve the reception in the 100 - 900 MHz (mainly 100-
>200MHz).
>I'm wondering how will this antenna perform and which bandwith will it
>have.
>Another question: how can I improve the bandwidth of the antenna (i have
>been told that is possible to put some capacitor somewhere to lower the Q
>and rise the bandwidth) for using it over all the bands (100-900MHz)
>
Forget it.

The normal simple Lamba/4 antennas hava a useable bandwidth
of about 40 % of the center frequency .

I recommend the use of a telescope antenna, where you can
adjust the length manually.

The rubber coated spring coil antennas have a useable bandwidth
of about 10 %.

Broadband antennas are complicated and not good for portables.
You will need a few different antennas for covering the whole range.

pr.

1159. Re: albuqurque N.M.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 15:20:12 UTC
From: merletweb@excite.com
Message-ID: <9hfhnp$2vvf$1@reader.nmix.net>
Source record: 63575

I hope I'm not too late!

For Santa Fe:
155.565 State Police District 1 Base to Car
155.655 State Police District 1 Car to Base
155.550 State Police Entire State Car to Car
155.430 Santa Fe County Sheriff
154.650 Santa Fe County Sheriff

For Los Alamos:
039.900 Los Alamos PD
453.450 Los Alamos PD
452.550 Los Alamos PD
458.450 Los Alamos PD

Espanola:
155.790 State Police District 7 Base to Car
155.730 State Police District 7 Car to Base
155.550 State Police Entire State Car to Car
154.085 Espanola City Police
156.210 Espanola City Police
155.070 Rio Arriba County Sheriff
154.340 Espanola Fire Dept.

On 27-Jun-2001, pbscanner@cs.com (PB Scanner) wrote:

>ANY GOOD FIRE AND POLICE 800 FREQS I CAN PLUG INTO MY 92 IM GOING
>DOWN FROM DENVER NEXT WEEK--AND ANY LINKS FOR SANTA FE ECT..
>
>RON CATHERS

--
Counters, Counters, Counters
Over 170 web counters, trackers, scripts...
http://www.merlet.com/counterlinks.htm

1160. Re: Home Antenna Suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 15:53:43 UTC
From: AntennaWareHouse <sales@antennawarehouse.com>
Message-ID: <3B3B5307.7102D108@antennawarehouse.com>
Source record: 63576
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Frank,

The Scantenna will give you better performance particularly on the higher frequencies than the traditional VHF/UHF Discone with approximately 32" cone elements. Where it is true that a discone of this size will have good gain at these frequencies the pattern is more or less straight up and down. (gain figures without reference to direction are meaningless) This makes it less effective than the clustered vertical dipole configuration of the Scantenna. For a receive only, inside mounted, antenna the scantenna with 50' of RG-6 coax at $44.75 shipped is a excellent choice.

Tim

AntennaWareHouse
811 9th Ave.
Camanche, IA. 52730
563-259-0840 10am-5pm cst Mon-Fri. Our area code has recently changed.
If the new one does not work for you yet, please try 319-259-0840
Email: sales@antennawarehouse.com
http://www.antennawarehouse.com/

Frank wrote:
>
> Hi All, does anyone have any feedback on the Scantenna?
> Is it better or worse than a regular Radio Shack discone?
> I'm trying to get the best all-around reception for 25-1000MHz.
>
> Thanks!
> Frank
>
> --
> Protect Your Rights -- Fight UCITA
> http://www.4cite.org

--
Tim Francis

AntennaWareHouse
811 9th Ave.
Camanche, IA. 52730
563-259-0840 10am-5pm cst Mon-Fri. Our area code has recently changed.
If the new one does not work for you yet, please try 319-259-0840
Email: sales@antennawarehouse.com
http://www.antennawarehouse.com/

1161. CF-18s from Bagotville, Que

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 16:52:05 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-7F14BD.12520528062001@[207.35.177.134]>
Source record: 63577

Does anybody have any tactical frequencies for the CF-18s based in Bagotville, Que? I'm interested in wing ops, sqdn ops or usual discrete frequencies as opposed to the base tower frequencies. Thanks for any info.

1162. Re: Determining a transmitter's operating frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 17:27:11 UTC
From: Mike Gormley <WA8VEC@ARRL.net>
Message-ID: <3B3B68DB.9113602C@ARRL.net>
Source record: 63578

>The FCC Requires all devices it regulates to be Type Accepted and they must
>> display a FCC ID Number, which may be researched via the FCC Site:
>> http://www.fcc.gov/oet/fccid/
>>
>> Neat item to figure out Frequency Info when all you can get to is the FCC
>ID.
>>
I have tried 2 or 3 times to reach this site and get a server error. Is this the
correct URL?

--
Mike Gormley
P.O. Box 205
Liberty Center, OH 43532-0205

"Full Time RV'ers currently located in: Kissimmee, FL"

mailto:WA8VEC@ARRL.net

ICQ #24425724

Web page linking to Genealogy, RV'ing & Square Dancing:

http://home.earthlink.net/~deltadd/

1163. New CHP Website???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 18:00:56 UTC
From: Eduardo Ortiz <eortiz@cmix.net>
Message-ID: <tjms2krn3qhk20@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63579

Just found the following website:

http://cad.chp.ca.gov/

Looks like California Highway Patrol is listing in real time all their
traffic. Looks interesting for those in the area. you can view by
city too!

Enjoy!

Ed
//
"Banning guns works, which is why New York, DC, and Chicago cops need guns"
\\

1164. Re: Home Antenna Suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 18:19:03 UTC
From: Tim Jackson <tim@micro-delta.com>
Message-ID: <b8tmjt4fg71n6n6f9rugjr029q6otls7t8@4ax.com>
Source record: 63580
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Frank wrote:

> Hi All, does anyone have any feedback on the Scantenna?
> Is it better or worse than a regular Radio Shack discone?
> I'm trying to get the best all-around reception for 25-1000MHz.

Hi Frank,

Here's a reply from another Tim.

The Scantenna is a weird and wonderful antenna. It looks like nothing
else and it works.

I have both a Scantenna and an iCom (model 700 or 7000 I think)
discone, which is, I believe, the one Radio Shack's is modelled on.

I definitely rate the Scantenna's overall performance above that of
the discone.

Tim Jackson

==========
T i m J a c k s o n
tim@micro-delta.com
==========


```
/\_/\
/ o o \
(== ^ ==)
) - (
( ) ( )
( ( ) ( ) )
(_(_)_(_)_)
```

1165. Re: Home Antenna Suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 18:37:09 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9hftdtmbl1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63581
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks Tim. Two questions:

The ad mentions a "300 to 75 Ohm Balun". Is that correct for
my scanner? The manual says the antenna impedance should
be 50 Ohms.

Also, how much more would it be to replace the 25' RG-6 with
9913F coax?

Frank

"AntennaWareHouse" <sales@antennawarehouse.com> wrote in message
news:3B3B5307.7102D108@antennawarehouse.com...
} Hi Frank,
}
} The Scantenna will give you better performance particularly on the
} higher frequencies than the traditional VHF/UHF Discone with
} approximately 32" cone elements. Where it is true that a discone of
} this size will have good gain at these frequencies the pattern is more
} or less straight up and down. (gain figures without reference to
} direction are meaningless) This makes it less effective than the
} clustered vertical dipole configuration of the Scantenna. For a receive
} only, inside mounted, antenna the scantenna with 50' of RG-6 coax at
} $44.75 shipped is a excellent choice.
}
} Tim
}
} AntennaWareHouse
} 811 9th Ave.
} Camanche, IA. 52730
} 563-259-0840 10am-5pm cst Mon-Fri. Our area code has recently changed.
} If the new one does not work for you yet, please try 319-259-0840
} Email: sales@antennawarehouse.com
} http://www.antennawarehouse.com/
}
} Frank wrote:
} >
} > Hi All, does anyone have any feedback on the Scantenna?
} > Is it better or worse than a regular Radio Shack discone?
} > I'm trying to get the best all-around reception for 25-1000MHz.
} >
} > Thanks!
} > Frank
} >

} > --
} > Protect Your Rights -- Fight UCITA
} > http://www.4cite.org
}
} --
} Tim Francis
}
} AntennaWareHouse
} 811 9th Ave.
} Camanche, IA. 52730
} 563-259-0840 10am-5pm cst Mon-Fri. Our area code has recently changed.
} If the new one does not work for you yet, please try 319-259-0840
} Email: sales@antennawarehouse.com
} http://www.antennawarehouse.com/

1166. Re: Determining a transmitter's operating frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 19:18:49 UTC
From: Bo Weavel <"Bo Weavel"@Home.com>
Message-ID: <tqL_6.158$LN2.32451749@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63582

Works for me....

Alex

Mike Gormley <WA8VEC@ARRL.net> wrote in message
news:3B3B68DB.9113602C@ARRL.net...
> >The FCC Requires all devices it regulates to be Type Accepted and they
must
> >> display a FCC ID Number, which may be researched via the FCC Site:
> >> http://www.fcc.gov/oet/fccid/
> >>
> >> Neat item to figure out Frequency Info when all you can get to is the
FCC
> >ID.
> >>
> I have tried 2 or 3 times to reach this site and get a server error. Is
this the
> correct URL?
>
> --
> Mike Gormley
> P.O. Box 205
> Liberty Center, OH 43532-0205
>
> "Full Time RV'ers currently located in: Kissimmee, FL"
>
> mailto:WA8VEC@ARRL.net
>
> ICQ #24425724
>
> Web page linking to Genealogy, RV'ing & Square Dancing:
>
> http://home.earthlink.net/~deltadd/
>
>

1167. Freq list for Wilmington NC and surrounding area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 19:35:15 UTC
From: RTP Scan <rtpscan2001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3B3B86F3.9FFB8A97@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63583

Does any one have a valid frequency list for the Wilmington, NC area,
including the beaches? Trying to take a shortcut by getting known list
rather than mulling through all the freqs in the databases.

Thanks for any help

--
rtpscan2001@hotmail.com
see my continuous yardsale/auction on ebay at
http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/jruffin/

1168. Re: Home Antenna Suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 20:07:11 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15a52e05683391e7989762@news>
Source record: 63584
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Use it as it is.

9913f would be a waste on this or any similar installation.

Keep in mind NO one antenna will do it all. I plotted the characteristics of a Channelmaster scantenna, a discone, a 6 band homemade antenna and a dual band Cushcraft 2/440 vertical on different frequencies using local station as a common reference points. Each antenna had certain areas it was the best in.

My point, it all depends on what you listen to and where it is. You can't have enough antenna.

Glenn

In article <9hftdtmbl1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, nospam@mindspring.com says...
> Thanks Tim. Two questions:
>
> The ad mentions a "300 to 75 Ohm Balun". Is that correct for
> my scanner? The manual says the antenna impedance should
> be 50 Ohms.
>
> Also, how much more would it be to replace the 25' RG-6 with
> 9913F coax?
>
> Frank
>
> "AntennaWareHouse" <sales@antennawarehouse.com> wrote in message news:3B3B5307.7102D108@antennawarehouse.com...
> } Hi Frank,
> }
> } The Scantenna will give you better performance particularly on the
> } higher frequencies than the traditional VHF/UHF Discone with
> } approximately 32" cone elements. Where it is true that a discone of
> } this size will have good gain at these frequencies the pattern is more
> } or less straight up and down. (gain figures without reference to
> } direction are meaningless) This makes it less effective than the
> } clustered vertical dipole configuration of the Scantenna. For a receive
> } only, inside mounted, antenna the scantenna with 50' of RG-6 coax at
> } $44.75 shipped is a excellent choice.
> }
> } Tim
> }
> } AntennaWareHouse

> } 811 9th Ave.
> } Camanche, IA. 52730
> } 563-259-0840 10am-5pm cst Mon-Fri. Our area code has recently changed.
> } If the new one does not work for you yet, please try 319-259-0840
> } Email: sales@antennawarehouse.com
> } http://www.antennawarehouse.com/
> }
> } Frank wrote:
> } >
> } > Hi All, does anyone have any feedback on the Scantenna?
> } > Is it better or worse than a regular Radio Shack discone?
> } > I'm trying to get the best all-around reception for 25-1000MHz.
> } >
> } > Thanks!
> } > Frank
> } >
> } > --
> } > Protect Your Rights -- Fight UCITA
> } > http://www.4cite.org
> }
> } --
> } Tim Francis
> }
> } AntennaWareHouse
> } 811 9th Ave.
> } Camanche, IA. 52730
> } 563-259-0840 10am-5pm cst Mon-Fri. Our area code has recently changed.
> } If the new one does not work for you yet, please try 319-259-0840
> } Email: sales@antennawarehouse.com
> } http://www.antennawarehouse.com/

1169. HELP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-28 22:38:02 UTC
From: D0m|NuX <bohohohoho@libero.it>
Message-ID: <elO_6.9774$z11.646711@news.infostrada.it>
Source record: 63585

I lost the user manual of my scanner AOR 3000. Is it a site in which I can find it?
Thank you in advance

1170. Re: Home Antenna Suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 00:14:48 UTC
From: AntennaWareHouse <sales@antennawarehouse.com>
Message-ID: <3B3BC878.7D6372A1@antennawarehouse.com>
Source record: 63586
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Frank,

What Glenn has already said about the use of 9913 type cable in your application is true. There just is not enough pay off in signal gained to justify the cost.

The mis-match of 50 to 75 Ohm impedance is insignificant and should not be a concern. Higher working impedance is better from a high frequency, low loss point of view. A lot of serious microwave type installations use 90 Ohm for this reason. Low working impedance is better for high power installations. You will see 25 Ohm being used a lot in high power broadcasting installs. 50 Ohm was concluded many years ago to be a happy medium, but there is nothing magical about it. In fact if you "looked into" the radio's antenna port with a network analyzer you would be surprised at what you really found. In general all but a few of the very high end radios, Harris comes to mind as one, do not have the 50 Ohm input impedance they say do anyway.

Tim

AntennaWareHouse
811 9th Ave.
Camanche, IA. 52730
563-259-0840 10am-5pm cst Mon-Fri. Our area code has recently changed. If the new one does not work for you yet, please try 319-259-0840
Email: sales@antennawarehouse.com
http://www.antennawarehouse.com/

Frank wrote:
>
> Thanks Tim. Two questions:
>
> The ad mentions a "300 to 75 Ohm Balun". Is that correct for
> my scanner? The manual says the antenna impedance should
> be 50 Ohms.
>
> Also, how much more would it be to replace the 25' RG-6 with
> 9913F coax?
>
> Frank
>

1171. Re: HELP ME!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 00:45:13 UTC
From: "Dan B (Scanner Nuts)" <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <r8Q_6.56900$HJ1.196288@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 63587

Look here
http://www.aoruk.com/manuals.htm

Dan
--
The Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
0ver 360 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
New and Old.... bring back the memories.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

***
"D0m|NuX" <bohohohoho@libero.it> wrote in message
news:el0_6.9774$z11.646711@news.infostrada.it...
> I lost the user manual of my scanner AOR 3000. Is it a site in which I can
> find it?
> Thank you in advance
>
>

1172. BC70XLT HELP!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 01:02:48 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <YsQ_6.323$Oo5.4057@news>
Source record: 63588

Hello I'm new to this and recently bought a BC 70XLT to use on my back patio. I was told that it had no sound but when I got it It wouldn't even power up.Is it possible that if the rechargable pack is bad that it wouldn't power up even by ac adapter? I don't have the original adapter so I'm using a universal one. HELP!!!!PLEASE
heres my email to get ahold of me easily
crandall@ee.net

1173. FS: Pro-2006 -- $199

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 01:24:42 UTC
From: Ken Diaz <kenjd@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <eBhyEnDABHA.258@cpmsnbbsa09>
Source record: 63448

Receive performance super, although "Realistic" label removed. Comes with original manual, whip antenna, wall wart d.c. supply (required). Shipped double boxed. $199 + ship. No trades.

WB9YCJ/6

KENJD at msn.com

1174. Re: BC70XLT HELP!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 01:32:21 UTC
From: Chuck Cheese <chuck@cheese.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15a4564fea5c12999896d9@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63589

In article <YsQ_6.323$Oo5.4057@news>, crandall@ee.net says...
> Hello I'm new to this and recently bought a BC 70XLT to use on my back
> patio. I was told that it had no sound but when I got it It wouldn't even
> power up.Is it possible that if the rechargable pack is bad that it wouldn't
> power up even by ac adapter? I don't have the original adapter so I'm using
> a universal one. HELP!!!!PLEASE
> heres my email to get ahold of me easily
> crandall@ee.net

It should power up with an AC adapter. Are you sure the polarity
and power requirements of the adapter are correct ?

Sounds like you may have got duped into buying a piece of crap.

Good Luck...

1175. Re: BC70XLT HELP!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 02:31:13 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <RLR_6.329$Oo5.3831@news>
Source record: 63590

The adapter is a 300 mA and the required one is a 200 mA I don't know if
that makes a diff or not. As for polarity I've tried both ways.Thanks for
the effort though.
Rob
"Chuck Cheese" <chuck@cheese.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.15a4564fea5c12999896d9@news.earthlink.net...
> In article <YsQ_6.323$Oo5.4057@news>, crandall@ee.net says...
> > Hello I'm new to this and recently bought a BC 70XLT to use on my back
> > patio. I was told that it had no sound but when I got it It wouldn't
even
> > power up.Is it possible that if the rechargable pack is bad that it
wouldn't
> > power up even by ac adapter? I don't have the original adapter so I'm
using
> > a universal one. HELP!!!!PLEASE
> > heres my email to get ahold of me easily
> > crandall@ee.net
>
> It should power up with an AC adapter. Are you sure the polarity
> and power requirements of the adapter are correct ?
>
> Sounds like you may have got duped into buying a piece of crap.
>
> Good Luck...

1176. Best scanner for 800Mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 03:38:43 UTC
From: qvdepot@qvdepot.com
Message-ID: <4547dab1.0106281938.736dbab7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63591

Would anyone know which scanner would be best-suited to listen to the frequencies listed on the following page? If you post a scanner model not sold by Radio Shack, please indicate an online facility where it may be viewed and/or purchased.

Also, would you know the range in miles of these frequencies from NYC? Would you suggest a hand-held unit or a base/mobile station?

http://www.n2nov.net/transit2.html

Ideally, I'd like to have access to every available discussion, including "trunked" conversations.

Thank you!

QV

1177. FA: Drake R8B Shortwave Radio & Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 04:16:09 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <diT_6.127999$qc.15437716@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 63592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=12511
70237+

1178. FA: Timewave DSP - 599zx Noise Filter NR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 04:17:38 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <CjT_6.128000$qc.15438258@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 63593
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=12511
86539+

1179. FA: Alpha Delta Variable Response Console VRC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 04:18:29 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <pkT_6.128001$qc.15438629@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 63594
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=12511
94805+

1180. FA: Alinco DJ-X2000 Intelligent Receiver Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 04:19:26 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <ilT_6.128002$qc.15439116@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 63595
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=12512
25817

1181. FA: ICOM IC-R3 HANDHELD AUDIO/VIDEO RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 04:20:14 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <2mT_6.128004$qc.15439073@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 63596
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=12512
34368+

1182. Re: PHX AZ blue deck frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 05:26:56 UTC
From: Rich <somone@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <RmU_6.1238$yL.530783@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 63447
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

About 2 or 3 months ago, Phoenix Fire started Dispatching for Chandler Fire.
In stead of give Chandler Fire, Phoenix Radios they just add the Phoenix
Dispatch to the Chandler Radio System. So when you hear a call that changes
to Blue Deck Freq, they are changing to a Chandler Freq.

HTH

Rich

"JA Moran" <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
news:3B3A77D2.BBEA738C@analon.com...
> what frequencies are referred to as "blue deck" by PHX FD dispatchers
> I have theit regualar channels including the 154.19 dispatch frequency.
>
> thanks
>
> --
> *****----------------------800-873-5884-----------------
> | JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com)
> |http://www.analon.com | Custom built Unix/MS Computer Systems,
> |Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT for PERFORMANCE...real
> systems run unix!
> | ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to
>
DOT-GONE!!-----------310-922-6972-||++
++++++++++++++++++++++++
>
>
>

1183. Re: New CHP Website???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 08:50:35 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <O4IYshHABHA.259@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 63478

IT's been around for a couple years. Where have you been? They have done right in changing their site. At first it was great..then lousy, then not so lousy and now it sings. But it's not 24 hours a day. They take hours off to do other things.

Remember: it's the full state of Calif except for those counties they do not hook into. Bummer.

attitude guy

--

"Eduardo Ortiz" <eortiz@cmix.net> wrote in message news:tjms2krn3qhk20@corp.supernews.com...
> Just found the following website:
>
> http://cad.chp.ca.gov/
>
> Looks like California Highway Patrol is listing in real time all their
> traffic. Looks interesting for those in the area. you can view by
> city too!
>
> Enjoy!
>
> Ed
>
//
> "Banning guns works, which is why New York, DC, and Chicago cops need guns"
>
\\\
>
>
>

1184. Re: BC245XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 08:52:28 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#hiwviHABHA.302@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 63479
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Normal. Better scanners would allow the full address. they cut corners.
but you should have no problem hearing the site. they round off. can only
hold so many digits after the ".".

me again

--

"TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message
news:aIt_6.28018$wh6.4996615@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> I have a BC245XLT, and whenever I try programming a freq like 148.5375, it
> rounds it up to 148.54. Is this the same frequency, or a totally different
> one? Thanks.
>
>

1185. Re: BC895 Display light

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 14:58:05 UTC
From: Power House <"Power House"@My>
Message-ID: <tjp5rdibm6u237@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63449

From what I can tell by mine, there is at least 2 lights, one on each
side... There may be 4, one in each corner, but I doubt it... I'm not
going to pull the unit apart to check...

"One-Trick-Pony" <kg9qr@hightec.com> wrote in message
news:3b3ab5de$1_3@news.newsgroups.com...
> I think the 895 has only one light on one side.
> I remember somebody bitching about it awhile back.
>
>
> Brian
>
>
> "Travis" <ffighter17@texoma.net> wrote in message
> news:9fcnu101l4s@enews1.newsguy.com...
> > I was wondering if anyone has had problems with the light going out?
The
> > left side is a lot dimmer than the right side. I have not used my light
> > very much at all. Is this going to be a cheap fix?
> >
> > TIA
> > Travis
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1186. Looking for Vermont freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 15:14:30 UTC
From: inkaddict@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010629111430.10115.00002999@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 63450

I just moved to Vermont for a new job and am looking for local freqs. I live in Waitsfeild,work in Waterbury a couple of days and then work in So. Burlington a couple of days.
Thanks
Bob

1187. ABS Scanner page...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 15:29:19 UTC
From: tramd300@goingplatinum.com
Message-ID: <2bd4c5f2.0106290729.3fe3f57e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63451

Hello,
I was wondering if anyone else is able to recieve the transmissions on
this site. http://www.apbnews.com/scanner/final/scanner.html?city=chicago

At one time I was able to, but now it seems to be down.
Does anyone have a new site for this or any other one that a person
can receive police calls?
Thank you in advance...
Please respond direct to...

tramd300@goingplatinum.com

1188. Re: New CHP Website???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 15:34:58 UTC
From: Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com>
Message-ID: <g88pjtg5gva1s9rjcp48h9v9pekg63p7ve@4ax.com>
Source record: 63452

Applebee wrote:

>On Thu, 28 Jun 2001 11:00:56 -0700, Eduardo Ortiz
> <tjms2krn3qhk20@corp.supernews.com> wrote:
>
>>Just found the following website:
>>
>>http://cad.chp.ca.gov/
>
> This has been around for years, it is a great site and was set
>up so that news media could follow all accidents that occurred
>in a area.

No kidding! I've had that URL in my Favorites for quite some time now.
and you're right about the media. I can't tell you how many times I
listen to local radio stations that are obviously getting their info
from the aforementioned CADweb site (I've driven through some of the
"problem" areas the stations referenced right after it was mentioned
and found nothing going on at all on more than one occasion...some
stations also point out that their info comes from CADweb).

Jughead

1189. U.S. Customs Service Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 16:39:29 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <5b2%6.41732$QO5.5979256@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 63453

Anyone have accurate U.S. Customs Frequencies? Thanks.

1190. Help wanted:Uniden 200XLT scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 16:43:16 UTC
From: R <trader@worldonline.se>
Message-ID: <3B3CB024.18F57A6A@worldonline.se>
Source record: 63454
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Digital display is OK.
Programming functions is OK.
LF audio is OK.
But the PLL-unit dont deliver any tuning-voltage to the receiver-unit,
therefore no stations can be listened to.
In scanning-mode the data and clock-pulses from the CPU to the
PLL-unit seames to be OK,also the +16V and +5V is OK.
I have a schematic,but no pulses are given,so it is difficult
to know if they have the right shape and amplitud.
Grateful for servicetips,or adress to a site with tips.

1191. Re: Pro-2006 -- $199

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 19:08:04 UTC
From: Syd <smurf123@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9hijgl$54v$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 63455

You don't want much do you, $199 for a 2006, crazy
you can get them for $140.00 shipped from the UK with full cellular
coverage.
RS

Ken Diaz <kenjd@email.msn.com> wrote in message
news:eBhyEnDABHA.258@cpmsnbbsa09...
> Receive performance super, although "Realistic" label removed. Comes with
> original manual, whip antenna, wall wart d.c. supply (required). Shipped
> double boxed. $199 + ship. No trades.
>
> WB9YCJ/6
>
> KENJD at msn.com
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.263 / Virus Database: 135 - Release Date: 22/06/01

1192. WINRADIO...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 19:51:10 UTC
From: Sheldon Scott <sheldonsat@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B3CDC03.E73F68D@home.com>
Source record: 63456
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello.

I am a scanner buff from London, Ontario Canada...I own a Winradio-1550-I...I am looking to chat with other Winradio owners, either on AIM or ICQ...I can be reached on AIM by my screen name: SheldonJune24 or on ICQ by my Icq number: 114950063.

Thanks...Sheldon Scott.

1193. Re: BC245XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 20:10:41 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <3B3CE0C1.814E37A3@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 63462
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It is a totally differant one. I would think that you should be able to enter 148.530, 148.535, or 148.54 before the rounding would take place on most scanners. That is 5 KHz between stations and as close as the filters would be effective on FM for most scanners. YOu will probably hear a station on 148.5375 on either 148.535 or 148.540 due to the way the filters work in the scanners. If the station is fully deviated it may sound somewhat distorted if the scanner is set to those other two frequencies.

TheF16Falcon wrote:
>
> I have a BC245XLT, and whenever I try programming a freq like 148.5375, it
> rounds it up to 148.54. Is this the same frequency, or a totally different
> one? Thanks.

1194. Re: Digital board for BC780XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 20:19:44 UTC
From: jmurray407@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010629161944.12564.00001082@ng-ma1.aol.com>
Source record: 63457

has anyone opened that scanner and seen a place to "plug a board in".

1195. Cheap Computer Systems

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 20:29:00 UTC
From: jasons@schiresdesign.com
Message-ID: <gy5%6.4521$446.7130142@ratbert.tds.net>
Source record: 63458

Looking for great computer systems-parts, at great prices! Come to
www.sergeantmicrosystems.com We beat them ALL!

Untitled DocumentShop Around - Then Come To Us!

1196. Re: Best scanner for 800Mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 20:48:25 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010629164825.16467.00000174@ng-fu1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63460

>Subject: Best scanner for 800Mhz?
>From: qvdepot@qvdepot.com (QvDepot.Com)
>Date: 6/28/01 11:38 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <4547dab1.0106281938.736dbab7@posting.google.com>
>
>Would anyone know which scanner would be best-suited to listen to the
>frequencies listed on the following page? If you post a scanner model
>not sold by Radio Shack, please indicate an online facility where it
>may be viewed and/or purchased.
>
>Also, would you know the range in miles of these frequencies from NYC?
>Would you suggest a hand-held unit or a base/mobile station?
>
>http://www.n2nov.net/transit2.html
>
>Ideally, I'd like to have access to every available discussion,
>including "trunked" conversations.
>
>Thank you!
>
>QV

The Bearcat BC780XLT is said by most to be a fine Scanner for Trunk Tracking
which the Frequencies you Linked to are for.

The BC780XLT is a Base/Mobile and is available through Scanners Unlimited.

Radio Shack Pro90 and 94 are also quite well received by most.

For reviews and updates on what is Hot and what is not, visit:
http://www.strongsignals.net/

To read up on Trunking, visit:
http://www.trunktracker.com/

Frequencies can be had by asking and reading about the Net.

As far as range of those Frequencies you are looking to get, that could be from
10 to 40 Miles; the last figure is if it is going through a Repeater System,
which I would think it would be for a large city.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1197. Re: " Weird "

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 20:52:41 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010629165241.16467.00000176@ng-fu1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63459

>Subject: Re: " Weird "
>From: "Al, N2NKB" n2nkb@n2nkb@postoffice.worldnet.att.net
>Date: 6/27/01 8:12 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <2Eu_6.1687$J91.148655@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
>

>I thought that it was Gilligan. Did the Partridge Family show writers steal
>the
>Gilligan's Isle plot?
> Al
>

I think they did indeed Pirate that Frequency from our Little Buddy, I seem to remember tuning in to that one too.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1198. Re: Determining a transmitter's operating frequency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 20:55:15 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010629165515.16467.00000177@ng-fu1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63461

>Subject: Re: Determining a transmitter's operating frequency
>From: Mike Gormley WA8VEC@ARRL.net
>Date: 6/28/01 1:27 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B3B68DB.9113602C@ARRL.net>
>
>>The FCC Requires all devices it regulates to be Type Accepted and they must
>>> display a FCC ID Number, which may be researched via the FCC Site:
>>> http://www.fcc.gov/oet/fccid/
>>>
>>> Neat item to figure out Frequency Info when all you can get to is the FCC
>>ID.
>>>
>I have tried 2 or 3 times to reach this site and get a server error. Is
>this the
>correct URL?
>
>

Yes, works as of today: 29 June 2001: 1648 Hrs EST.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1199. Re: ABS Scanner page...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 21:06:14 UTC
From: Mark <trunker@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <a56%6.5284$eL5.526729@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63463

Nope. None of them work.

"Tram" <tramd300@goingplatinum.com> wrote in message
news:2bd4c5f2.0106290729.3fe3f57e@posting.google.com...
> Hello,
> I was wondering if anyone else is able to recieve the transmissions on
> this site. http://www.apbnews.com/scanner/final/scanner.html?city=chicago
>
> At one time I was able to, but now it seems to be down.
> Does anyone have a new site for this or any other one that a person
> can receive police calls?
> Thank you in advance...
> Please respond direct to...
>
> tramd300@goingplatinum.com
>

1200. Re: Best scanner for 800Mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 21:20:15 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B3CF10E.A6A04C94@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63464

Don't forget the Radio Shack Pro-92B, which many of us think is a fine
scanner. And which works fine for many trunked systems.

Walt

PB Scanner wrote:
>
> >Subject: Best scanner for 800Mhz?
> >From: qvdepot@qvdepot.com (QvDepot.Com)
> >Date: 6/28/01 11:38 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <4547dab1.0106281938.736dbab7@posting.google.com>
> >
> >Would anyone know which scanner would be best-suited to listen to the
> >frequencies listed on the following page? If you post a scanner model
> >not sold by Radio Shack, please indicate an online facility where it
> >may be viewed and/or purchased.
> >
> >Also, would you know the range in miles of these frequencies from NYC?
> >Would you suggest a hand-held unit or a base/mobile station?
> >
> >http://www.n2nov.net/transit2.html
> >
> >Ideally, I'd like to have access to every available discussion,
> >including "trunked" conversations.
> >
> >Thank you!
> >
> >QV
>
> The Bearcat BC780XLT is said by most to be a fine Scanner for Trunk Tracking
> which the Frequencies you Linked to are for.
>
> The BC780XLT is a Base/Mobile and is available through Scanners Unlimited.
>
> Radio Shack Pro90 and 94 are also quite well received by most.
>
> For reviews and updates on what is Hot and what is not, visit:
> http://www.strongsignals.net/
>
> To read up on Trunking, visit:
> http://www.trunktracker.com/
>
> Frequencies can be had by asking and reading about the Net.
>
> As far as range of those Frequencies you are looking to get, that could be from

> 10 to 40 Miles; the last figure is if it is going through a Repeater System,
> which I would think it would be for a large city.
>
> = = = = = = = = = = =
> Palm Beach Scanner
> If its on the Air We Scan it!
> Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1201. Re: Pro-2006 -- $199

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-29 21:29:40 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010629172940.16503.00000148@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 63465

>You don't want much do you, $199 for a 2006, crazy

Mine is not for sale but it if it was, $199 wouldn't touch it.

Rob Mills ~~~

I never met a radio I didn't like - Will Rogers
(You don't know, He might have)

1202. fried scanner use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 00:49:52 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <Qm9%6.400$Oo5.5052@news>
Source record: 63467

Is there any way to use a fried scanner?

1203. PHoenix FD Blue Deck Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 01:08:46 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3B3D269E.9CF0EB80@analon.com>
Source record: 63468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

what frequencies are referenced in Phoenix FD and Ambulance
transmissions by "blue deck"
example "Blue Deck 1" are these 800mhz frequencies ???
anyone have these
JOHN

--
*****----------------------800-873-5884-----------------
| JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com)
|http://www.analon.com | Custom built Unix/MS Computer Systems,
|Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT for PERFORMANCE...real
systems run unix!
| ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to
DOT-GONE!!-----------310-922-6972-||++
++++++++++

1204. Re: fried scanner use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 01:33:43 UTC
From: Bo Weavel <"Bo Weavel"@HomeLess.com>
Message-ID: <9hja8c$87gu$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63470

Mix a little butter, Ham, Bacon, some peppers, cheese .....

God! I'm getting hungry! Gotta go.

Bo

Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net> wrote in message
news:Qm9%6.400$Oo5.5052@news...
> Is there any way to use a fried scanner?
>
>

1205. Sullivan County TN 800 system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 01:48:03 UTC
From: Chest <csts@chartertn.net>
Message-ID: <3b3d03b7_3@news.chartertn.net>
Source record: 63466

Can anyone confirm or add to this list .

Thanks
Chet

Sullivan County Trunking Radio System

Type: Motorola Type II 800 MHz Smartnet
Use: Sullivan County Fire, EMS, Kingsport Police & Fire, Bristol
Police and Fire, area Hospitals
Trunking Frequencies:
856.2625 857.2625 858.2625 859.2625 860.2625 856.4875 857.4875
858.4875 859.4875 860.4875 856.7375 857.7375 858.7375 859.7375
860.7375

Fire Operations:
Talk Codes
Bluff City ???
50480
(2 Charlie)
50512
Bristol Fire Department
16752
Kingsport Fire Department 33232
Sullivan County Fire Department 49264
Volunteer Fire Departments (Lower End Dispatch) 50736
Volunteer Fire Departments (Upper End Dispatch) 50704

50594

50608
EMS Operations:
Bristol Regional Hospital
48944
Holston Valley Hospital
48880
Indian Path Hospital
48912
Johnson City Medical Center 48976
Sullivan County Ambulance Service 48752
Wings Aero-medical 48720

48756
Police Operations:
Bristol Police Department 16400
Bristol Police Department (Tac 1) 16496

Bristol Police Department (Tac 3) 16560

16880
Kingsport Police Department 32432

Kingsport Police Department (Tac 2) 32464
Kingsport Police Department (Detective 1) 32528
Kingsport Police Department 32538

32592

32598
Sullivan County Sheriff Department 49294
Sullivan County Sheriff Department (Channel 2) 49296
Sullivan County Sheriff Department 49552
Sullivan County Sheriff Department 49264
Other Operations:
Animal Control Sullivan County 49392
Highway Dept (Operations Channel) 50544

1206. Re: Digital board for BC780XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 02:27:17 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha61SPAMSTOP@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3b3d3947$0$25471$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 63471

JMURRAY407 <jmurray407@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010629161944.12564.00001082@ng-ma1.aol.com...
> has anyone opened that scanner and seen a place to "plug a board in".

Yep, no place to "plug it in". There are no spare connectors or solder tabs.
It looks like it will have to be a "wire in" job...

Mike

1207. Re: fried scanner use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 02:50:38 UTC
From: signalone@dingoblue.net.au
Message-ID: <3b3d3e0c.15587324@news.dingoblue.net.au>
Source record: 63472

Yep, they make good paper weights
also try door stops, though if it is a hand held, not much good for
this.
You could use it to prop up a wobbly table leg, or maybe use it as an
ornament.
If all that fails, keep it in a junk box for parts, for the next one
you fry.

On Sat, 30 Jun 2001 00:49:52 GMT, "Rob Crandall" <crandall@ee.net>
wrote:

>Is there any way to use a fried scanner?
>
>

1208. Re: BC245XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 03:09:45 UTC
From: B&#65533;ian <bhall7@email.com>
Message-ID: <9hjfos$9eq$1@acs2.byu.edu>
Source record: 63474
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have the same problem....I don't know, man... <shrug>

-BHall

"TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message
news:aIt_6.28018$wh6.4996615@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> I have a BC245XLT, and whenever I try programming a freq like 148.5375, it
> rounds it up to 148.54. Is this the same frequency, or a totally different
> one? Thanks.
>
>

1209. Wanted:Cheap handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 03:23:47 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <7Db%6.407$Oo5.5163@news>
Source record: 63473

I'm looking for a cheap programable scanner for my back porch anybody got anything?Email Rob at crandall@ee.net
Thanks!

1210. LAFAYETTE HA-600A----NEED manual WITH schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 04:09:34 UTC
From: Mark Russo <mrrusso@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3B3D5180.9D148050@optonline.net>
Source record: 63475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

> Hi all, I am in needof the manual with tune up procedure and
> schematic
> for a old LAFAYETTE HA-600A shortwave / ham receiver. Even the Sams
> photofact
> would be great!! Readable copies would be OK also. Of course I would
> pay for
> the copies and shipping.
> Please e-mail me direct at:
>
> mrrusso@optonline.net
>
> THANKS, Mark
>

1211. Re: BC895 Display light

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 05:00:39 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010630010039.05028.00001889@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 63476

Wonder if that obnoxious color can be changed? They must have found a real bargain on those bulbs/LEDs or what ever. No one in their right mind would have selected that color (baby poop orange) because they liked it.
Other than that, it's a pretty nice scanner for the buck.

Rob Mills ~~~

I never met a radio I didn't like - Will Rogers
(You don't know, He might have)

1212. Re: eBay - Alinco DJ-X2 Mini-Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 09:07:18 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <aFg%6.117201$aA5.748049@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 63477

This auction ends today. Thank you for visiting and bidding on this radio scanner.

Link to auction:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1250463159

John Habbinga, KC5ZRQ

> This is a "Like New" Alinco DJ-X2. It is a miniature wideband radio
> scanner. It has 700 memory channels, coverage from 500 kHz to 999.9995 MHz,
> it only weighs 3 ounces with the internal rechargeable lithium-ion battery,
> and it is computer programmable with the free downloadable software (PC
> Interface cable isn't included).
>
> Everything that was originally included in the box is a part of this
> auction. Everything is like new.
>
> Link to the auction:
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1250463159
>
> There is NO RESERVE price on this auction!
>
> John Habbinga, KC5ZRQ
> Lubbock, TX

1213. Re: BC895 Display light

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 13:17:41 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9hkjha$ehrdv$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 63480

"J Rob" <jrobmills@aol.comrx320> wrote in message
news:20010630010039.05028.00001889@ng-ci1.aol.com...
> Wonder if that obnoxious color can be changed? They must have found
a real
> bargain on those bulbs/LEDs or what ever. No one in their right mind
would have
> selected that color (baby poop orange) because they liked it.
> Other than that, it's a pretty nice scanner for the buck.

When the display lights on my BC8500XLT burnt out, I replaced them (baby poop orange) with super bright yellow LED's. The only problem is that I can't dim the display, but it does go from on to off. I never used the dimmer anyway. You can use whatever color LED you want, but I think the super bright LED's will give better illumination. I toyed with the idea of using green or blue ones, but settled for the yellow ones. Now the display is nice & bright.

--
Regards,
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

1214. Re: Pro-2006 -- $199

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 15:00:32 UTC
From: wilfkelly@home.com
Message-ID: <680a2e7f.0106300700.1a5a7423@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63481

jrobmills@aol.comrx320 (J Rob) wrote in message
news:<20010629172940.16503.00000148@ng-fu1.aol.com>...
> >You don't want much do you, $199 for a 2006, crazy
>
> Mine is not for sale but it if it was, $199 wouldn't touch it.
>
> Rob Mills ~~~
>
> I never met a radio I didn't like - Will Rogers
> (You don't know, He might have)
There seems to be a clown in every site.The Pro2006,2005,and 2004 were
one of the best scanners ever sold and were capable of full Cell. with
a little mod.These scanners sell all day on ebay for between $200.00
to $300.00 It is cheap guys like this that stop putting good deals up
on baords.Pay no attention to him obviously he doesn,t know much about
scanners .My two cents worth :-)

1215. Re: BC895 Display light

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 15:03:39 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010630110339.16434.00000506@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 63482

>You can use whatever color LED you
want, but I think the super bright LED's will give better illumination.<

I haven't had this thing long enough to open it up and have a look but that's
the exact answer I wanted to hear Tom, Thanks

Rob Mills ~~~

I never met a radio I didn't like - Will Rogers
(You don't know, He might have)

1216. WTB:BC-700a manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 16:22:14 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <o1n%6.64$q34.46086@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 63483

```
im in need of a manual for a Bearcat BC-700A
or at ;east instruction how to program it
thanks
monte k9dzd@iquest.net
```

1217. Re: ABS Scanner page...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 17:18:56 UTC
From: hreesie@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010630131856.14714.00001598@ng-cd1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63484

from what i heard apb news went belly up..........

1218. Re: fried scanner use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 17:23:08 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010630132308.16458.00000237@ng-fu1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63485

>Subject: fried scanner use?
>From: "Rob Crandall" crandall@ee.net
>Date: 6/29/01 8:49 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <Qm9%6.400$Oo5.5052@news>
>
>Is there any way to use a fried scanner?
>

Depending on what is wrong with it, the Scanner may be repaired or used for
parts.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1219. Re: ? AF aircraft freqs used on training flights?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 18:15:26 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <2Ho%6.99766$mG4.46833019@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 63486

Scheduling and Originating authority for these routes are out of the 104th Fighter Group at Barnes, Ma. Ap1B references no frequencies, might try searching 138-144 Mhz, 25 Khs steps, AM mode.

For Barnes A-10's try 41.45 (fm) and 138.250 (am) and 138.050 (am), try 286.2 for the Warren Grove Range Ops

Odds and ends off the milcom list at qth.net:

Fri, 23 Oct 1998 15:47:35 -0400
"Ed Langworthy" <elang@mainecoast.net>
Some logs for 10-23-98

Hi Milcommers,
Most of the usual NE planes today.

128.2 (Boston Center) at 1300z, GOLD 92 inbound to Pease.
118.15 (Brunswick App) at 1740z, NAVY LY922.
282.2 (Boston Center) at 1741z DEMO flight going to 290.5
290.5 (Boston Center) at 1742z DEMO 1 in the block 15 to 17
and DEMO 2 is 19 in trail.
290.5 DEMO 2 accepts MARSA and going to VR840 structure.
282.2 at 1819z JAWS 1 go to 222.4.
125.05 (Pease App) PACK 62 doing pattern work at Pease.
290.5 at 1823z DEMO 1 RTB Burlington block 21 to 25.
282.2 SHOTGUN flight of three have a 20 minute delay into Yanke MOA.
311.0 MAINE 91 we have 12 packs total.
119.6 (Brunswick Tower) NAVY LD10N landing.

That's all for now,
Ed Langworthy
Southern Maine Coast

104th FW "MA" A/OA-10 MA-ANG BARNES ANGB, WESTFIELD, MA (9th AF ANG)
(MOTLEY,SINNER,WEASEL)
131st VHF[31.45,31.55,31.85,34.17,34.35,36.35,36.6,36.79,38.65,38.95]
[40.15,40.5,41.00,41.05,46.75]

BARNES PRESETS
138.05 CH#1 OP'S "VICTOR" CH#1 34.55FM OP'S A/G
138.25 CH#2 NEWPORT RI TACT COMM CH#2 38.65FM A/A
127.1 CH#3 ATIS WESTFIELD CH#3 41.45FM HAWK OP'S
118.9 CH#4 BARNES TOWER (CIV) CH#4 41.95FM A/A
126.2 CH#5 S. WEYMOUTH TOWER "RME" CH#5 50.50FM GUARD
128.4 CH#6 PEASE TOWER "PSM" CH#6 64.10FM TV-3 A/A
127.0 CH#7 FT MEADE TOWER "CEF" CH#7 64.45FM TV-3 A/A
75.1FM

131st UHF[251.1(TWR),255.5(A/A),299.5(HAVE QUICK),303.0(VIPER OPS]
131st UHF
289.4AM CH#1 WESTFIELD GROUND (MIL)
251.1AM CH#2 WESTFIELD TOWER (MIL)
325.8AM CH#3 BRADLEY APP
359.0AM CH#4 BRADLEY APP
398.9AM CH#5 BOSTON CTR
291.6AM CH#6 BOSTON CTR
338.2AM CH#7 BOSTONCTR
307.2AM CH#8 ALBANY CTR
303.0AM CH#9 HAWK/VIPER CONTROL
255.4AM CH#10 FLT SERVICE STATION
347.2AM CH#11 FT DRUM /FLT COMM
283.3AM CH#12 MAINT CONTROL
377.1AM CH#13 BOSTON CTR
379.1AM CH#14 BOSTON CTR
243.0AM CH#15 EMERGENCY (PRESET G)
282.8AM EMERGENCY (SAR)
419.5AM CH#04 EMERGENCY (US GOV'T)
414.5AM CH#03 EMERGENCY
386.5AM CH#02 SATELITE FREQUENCY

Date: Fri, 03 Nov 2000 18:04:41 -0500
From: ken windyka <ken.windyka@the-spa.com>
Subject: [MilCom] Recent V/UHF Loggings

Springfield Massachusetts Monitoring Area:

5/2/00:

A10 Traffic enroute to & returning to base

138.05 Air to Air 104 FW
251.1 Barnes ANGB Tower
257.85 Boston ARTCC
323.2 Bradley Approach Control
325.8 Bradley Approach Control
351.8 Bradley IAP/ANGB Tower
349.7 103 FW Command Post Bradley ANGB note callsign: SEAGRAMS
398.9 Boston ARTCC

BADGER 1: 325.8, 398.9, 257.85 & then 325.8, 251.1
CORVET 1: 398.9, 325.8, 323.2, 349.7, 351.8
CUDA 1: 323.2, 351.8
JAKE 01: 325.8, 398.8, 257.85 & then 398.8, 325.8
MAKO 1: 325.8, 398.9 & then 325.8, 323.2
YANKEE 1: 349.7, 323.2, 251.8

Other Traffic (typical touch/goes, local pattern work, ILS approaches, etc:

41.90/242.4 Bradley CT Army National Guard Aviation Suppot Activity "Fury
Operations"
118.35/275.8 Westover ARB Ground Control

125.35/125.65 Bradley Approach/Departure Control
134.85/348.4 Westover ARB Tower
133.425/135.325/135.7 Boston ARTCC
251.2 439 AW, Westover ARB CP "Casino Royale"
295.8 A/R Ops
301.4 Air to Ground "Bean Bag DZ"
303.0 ANG Common Air to Air
319.4 AMC Common & McGuire CP
321.0 AMC/AAC common
324.6 A/R Ops
340.8 Skier air to air & CP
342.5 Westover Metro
356.3 Westover MA Army National Guard Aviation Support Activity Operations
372.2 Westover ARB Base Ops
383.3 Rhody Aircraft air to air and CP

GUARD HELO 392: 356.3, 134.85
NOMAD 62 (CH47): 348.4, 41.90, 242.4, 134.85
NOMAD 65
ROD 99 HEAVY (C5): 252.10, 134.85, 372.2, 295.8 & 125.35, 252.10, 134.85

11/1/00:
38.65 air to air unk callsign aircraft (probably A10's).

10/31/00:
ROD 21 HEAVY: 125.35, 134.85
ROD 34 HEAVY: 118.35, 252.10, 134.85

10/29/00
AEROVAC 40620 (C141): 252.10
(OMS) 2 & 51: 142.35R
TEAM 71, 72, & 73 (KC10's): 133.425, 324.6, 135.325, 135.7
THUMPER 1 & 2: 303.0 -- air to air comms
YANKEE 65 (C130): 134.85, 125.35

10/28/00:
YANKEE 65 (C130): 134.85
(PACER?) 31 & 32 (F15's): 348.4

10/27/00:
RADION 89: 134.85
TEAM 47 HEAVY (KC10): 252.10, 118.35, 134.85, 125.35, 133.425
SHUCK 74: 349.7, 242.4
?1053: 321.0

10/26/00:
BLUE 47: 319.4
GUARD 21832 (UH1): 134.85
GUARD 372: 134.85
NOMAD 64 (CH47): 134.85
RHODY 01 Flight (2 C130's): 134.85
ROD 02 HEAVY (C5): 134.85, 125.35, 252.10

ROD 99 HEAVY (C5): 118.35, 134.85, 252.10, 295.8
YANKEE 65: 134.85

10/25/00:
GUARD 780: 125.65

10/17/00:
PITT 12 (C130): 118.35, 134.85, 125.35, 372.2
RHODY 01 (C130's): 125.35, 134.85, 301.4, 383.3
RHODY 35 (C130: 134.85
ROD 4 HEAVY (C5): 118.35, 125.35, 325.8, 252.10
ROD 34 HEAVY (C5): 118.35, 134.85, 252.1, 125.35
SKIER 89 (LC130: 340.8
YANKEE 65: 125.35, 134.85

Ken

- ---
Submissions milcom@qth.net

Here, from a "reliable source" are the presets exactly as listed in the
cockpit of an A-10 from Barnes recently on static display at an airshow:

UHF

11 241.0 Sac Twr
3 251.1 BAF Twr
8 255.4 FSS
14 282.2 Bos Ctr
17 282.3 NY Ctr
19 286.2 Warren Grove
2 289.4 BAF Gnd
9 299.85 Sac App
1 303.0 Vip Ops
7 307.2 Alb App
16 317.7 Bos Ctr
4 325.8 Bdl App
12 338.2 Bos Ctr
15 343.8 Bos Ctr
10 347.2 Range 35
5 379.1 Bos Ctr
13 381.4 Bos Ctr
18 381.6 NY Ctr
6 393.1 Bos Ctr
399.8 TOD

FM VHF

1 34.55 Ops
2 38.65
3 41.45
4 36.35
5 36.825

6 41.95

AM VHF

1 138.05 Ops Mocc
2 138.25 Inter flt
3 Inter flt
4 127.1 BAF ATIS
5 121.7 BAF Gnd
6 118.9 BAF Twr
7 FOL
8 114.0 CEF ATIS
9 118.35 CEF GND
10 134.85 CEF Twr
11 141.9 BDL Ops
12 118.15 BDL ATIS
13 121.9 BDL Gnd
14 120.3 BDL Twr
15 132.05 PSM ATIS
16 120.95 PSM Gnd
17 128.4 PSM Twr

A-10 * AIR TO AIR * FREQS
UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED

FM AIR TO AIR FREQUENCIES

34.15 BRADLEY,CT (CH-1)
34.40 MARTIN,MD (CH-2)
34.55 BARNES,MA (CH-1)
34.60 MARTIN,MD (CH-3)
34.75 BRADLEY,CT (CH-2)
WILLOW GROVE,PA
36.80 MARTIN,MD (CH-3)
38.65 BARNES,MA (CH-2)
38.85 WILLOW GROVE,PA
40.05 BRADLEY,CT
40.15 MARTIN,MD (CH-5)
40.55 BRADLEY,CT
40.65 BRADLEY,CT (CH-3)
41.40 MARTIN,MD (CH-7)
41.45 BARNES,MA (CH-3)
MARTIN,MD (CH-6)
41.95 BARNES,MA (CH-4)
MARTIN,MD (CH-1)
46.25 WILLOW GROVE,PA
46.65 BRADLEY,CT (CH-4)
46.75 WILLOW GROVE,PA
46.85 WILLOW GROVE,PA
49.00 BRADLEY,CT
49.75 BRADLEY,CT (CH-5)
WILLOW GROVE,PA

49.85 BRADLEY,CT (CH-6)
50.50 BARNES,MA (CH-5)
51.55 BRADLEY,CT
56.50 BRADLEY,CT
60.10 BRADLEY,CT
64.10 BARNES,MA (CH-6)
64.45 BARNES,MA (CH-7)
66.80 BRADLEY,CT
75.10 BARNES,MA

AM AIR TOAIR FREQUENCIES

123.450 BRADLEY,CT
138.025 MARTIN,MD
138.075 BRADLEY,CT
138.300 WILLOW GROVE,PA
138.350 WILLOW GROVE,PA
138.425 WILLOW GROVE,PA
138.500 WILLOW GROVE,PA
139.700 MARTIN,MD
141.850 WILLOW GROVE,PA
141.900 BRADLEY,CT OPS
141.675 BRADLEY,CT OPS
143.800 MARTIN,MD OPS
WILLOW GROVE,PA

233.550 WILLOW GROVE,PA
238.850 WILLOW GROVE,PA
254.550 WILLOW GROVE,PA
271.200 FT.DRUM FAC
255.400 BRADLEY,CT (CH-09) FSS
BARNES,MA (CH-10) FSS
283.800 BARNES,MA (CH-12) MAINTENANCE
299.500 BARNES,MA HAVE QUICK TIMING
303.000 BARNES,MA (CH-09) OPS/AIR-AIR
343.000 WILLOW GROVE,PA
347.200 BRADLEY,CT (CH-11) FT.DRUM RANGE
BARNES,MA (CH-11) FT.DRUM RANGE
MARTIN,MD (CH-01) OPS
349.700 BRADLEY,CT (CH-01) OPS
389.000 MARTIN,MD SQUADRON COMMON
391.200 WILLOW GROVE,PA

A-10 103 FG BRADLEY IAP,WINDSOR LOCKS,CT ANG
CROSS COUNTRY CALLSIGN: YANKEE
TRAINING CALLSIGN:
CUTA, BASS, MAKO, SHARK, CORVETTE, HEAT

A-10 104 FG BARNES IAP, WESTFIELD,MASS ANG
CROSS COUNTRY CALLSIGN: VIPER
TRAINING CALLSIGNS:
EACH PILOT USES HIS OWN ASSIGNED CALLSIGN FOR THE FLIGHT

A-10 175 FG MARTIN ST. APT,BALTIMORE,MD ANG
CROSS COUNTRY CALLSIGN: COLT

A-10 111 FG WILLOW GROVE,PA ANG
CROSS COUNTRY CALLSIGN: SANDY

In article <3B3357F3.36D0D07@megalink.net>, Bill Jones
<wejones@megalink.net> wrote:

> I live directly under a military training route (MTR), and several
> times a week have F-16s, warthogs, F-15s, F-18s, etc flying directly
> over the house. Mostly AF planes, but sometimes Navy. I have no idea
> of where they are coming from, but I would really like to figure out
> what frequency they are using, mainly to give me a little warning to go
> outside and see them (ie they come over so low, just above tree height,
> that by the time I hear them, I can barely get out of my chair before
> they are gone). I have seen one freq on one of the scanner sites of
> 252.8, which is supposed to be a common tactical training frequency, but
> I was wondering whether anyone can suggest any other freqs (or a general
> area, since I have one of those scanners with a spectrum display that
> will show activity in a 1 MHz band).
> Or, is there any other way of detecting their approach, such as
> detecting emissions from radar that they might have on board or
> something? Those guys really fly low. Nothing like being buzzed by an
> F-16 so close that you can see the pilot. It would be nice to monitor
> them too.
>
> *Bill Jones N3JLQ Sweden Maine *
> * wejones@megalink.net *
> * Main home page http://www.megalink.net/~wejones *
> * WWII/B-17 page http://www.megalink.net/~wejones/wwii.html *

1220. FS: ICOM R-71A HF Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 18:24:49 UTC
From: Denis <bear105NO@SPAMyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B3E1977.CED712CB@SPAMyahoo.com>
Source record: 63487
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.hf,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

FOR SALE: ICOM R-71A HF Receiver. $400/OBO. Buyer pays shipping.
Radio in Good condition and perfect working order.

Specifications, photos and contact info:
http://www.medievalbear.com/radio/r71a.html

1221. PRO-92 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 18:48:31 UTC
From: fireftrjef@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010630144831.02325.00001274@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 63488

I want to get a RS Pro-92 to monitor local Motorola II trunked system and conventional frequencies too. Is this a good radio?? Any comments or advice is much appreciated!

Jeff

1222. NEW GROUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 19:15:59 UTC
From: RICK <scanning@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Pzp%6.37871$4i5.2817207@news1.cableinet.net>
Source record: 63489
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all I have set up a Yupiteru group because it is the only make with out one as far as I can see.

My group is at.
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/yupiteru

To be polite I must also point out the existence of
mvt3300 http://groups.yahoo.com/group/mvt3300
mvt9000 http://groups.yahoo.com/group/mvt9000

RICK

--
Home Page
http://www.scanning.homestead.com
http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/North_West_Scanning_Group
UK Ammeter Weather Sat Imaging Group
http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/ukawsig
http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/Shop_Watch
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CITIZEN_BAND_RADIO_UK
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Manchester_airport

1223. Re: NEW GROUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 19:41:46 UTC
From: RICK <scanning@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <_Xp%6.38090$4i5.2836188@news1.cableinet.net>
Source record: 63490
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

and mvt 7100 mvt7100@yahoogroups.com

--
Home Page
http://www.scanning.homestead.com
http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/North_West_Scanning_Group
UK Ammeter Weather Sat Imaging Group
http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/ukawsig
http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/Shop_Watch
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CITIZEN_BAND_RADIO_UK
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Manchester_airport

"RICK" <scanning@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:Pzp%6.37871$4i5.2817207@news1.cableinet.net...
> Hi all I have set up a Yupiteru group because it is the only make with out
> one as far as I can see.
>
> My group is at.
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/yupiteru
>
> To be polite I must also point out the existence of
> mvt3300 http://groups.yahoo.com/group/mvt3300
> mvt9000 http://groups.yahoo.com/group/mvt9000
>
> RICK
>
>
> --
> Home Page
> http://www.scanning.homestead.com
> http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/North_West_Scanning_Group
> UK Ammeter Weather Sat Imaging Group
> http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/ukawsig
> http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/Shop_Watch
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CITIZEN_BAND_RADIO_UK
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Manchester_airport
>
>
>
>
>

1224. Re: Pro-2006 -- $199

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 20:33:45 UTC
From: Syd <smurf123@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9hlct5gcn1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 63491

Hi
If you were here in the UK, you may as well hang on to it as you would be VERY LUCKY to get $120.00p the average price for a second-hand full coverage (unblocked) good condition 2006 in the UK is about ?70.00p to ?100.00p that's $99.17 and $141.00 and the ones that are ?100.00 are nearly mint. and these are as I said unblocked.
regards
Val
Ps I paid ?75.00p for mine that's $106.23. excellent condition/full coverage/boxed with manual and a/c adapter AND POSTAGE PAID in the UK mainland. btw, I only give ?85.00p thats $120.42 for a perfect condition boxed 2042. still with 5 months warranty left on it.

J Rob <jrobmills@aol.comrx320> wrote in message
news:20010629172940.16503.00000148@ng-fu1.aol.com...
> >You don't want much do you, $199 for a 2006, crazy
>
> Mine is not for sale but it if it was, $199 wouldn't touch it.
>
> Rob Mills ~~~
>
> I never met a radio I didn't like - Will Rogers
> (You don't know, He might have)
>
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.263 / Virus Database: 135 - Release Date: 22/06/01

1225. Re: PRO-92 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 20:48:37 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010630164837.03589.00002275@ng-cf1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63492

>Subject: PRO-92 Question
>From: fireftrjef@aol.com (Jeff)
>Date: 6/30/01 2:48 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010630144831.02325.00001274@ng-cp1.aol.com>
>
>I want to get a RS Pro-92 to monitor local Motorola II trunked system and
>conventional frequencies too. Is this a good radio?? Any comments or advice
>is much appreciated!
>
>Jeff
>

The Pro-92 is regarded by most as a great Scanner.

To read the Manulas for most Radio Shack Scanners, see:

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/2849.htm

The Pro-90 is posted there too.

Maybe looking at different Manuals and asking about will help you find the
right one for your needs.

Best,

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1226. Re: Help wanted:Uniden 200XLT scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 21:05:54 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <D2F888C5A6022FEF.789F1DA5AA01BE7E.2EE4148BCAC8BE73@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 63493

On Fri, 29 Jun 2001 18:43:16 +0200, R <trader@worldonline.se> wrote:

>Digital display is OK.
>Programming functions is OK.
>LF audio is OK.
>But the PLL-unit dont deliver any tuning-voltage to the receiver-unit,
>therefore no stations can be listened to.
>In scanning-mode the data and clock-pulses from the CPU to the
>PLL-unit seames to be OK,also the +16V and +5V is OK.
>I have a schematic,but no pulses are given,so it is difficult
>to know if they have the right shape and amplitud.
>Grateful for servicetips,or adress to a site with tips.

Try:

http://www.bithose.com/serfaq/REPAIR/F_BC_Repair.html

1227. FS: MFJ-616 Speech Intelligibility Enhancer with MFJ-72 accessory pack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 21:16:10 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <ukr%6.134326$qc.16030327@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 63494
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

See http://www.mfjenterprises.com/products.php?prodid=MFJ-616 for details.
Also includes MFJ-72 accessory pack (AC power, speakers, headphones). Works
great and is in excellent condition.

Lists for $169.95 (MFJ-616) and $58.80 (MFJ-72). Asking $125 for both,
which includes shipping and insurance.

Email me if interested.

Thanks

dstegner@home.com

1228. FS: MFJ-959B Receiver Antenna Tuner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 21:18:35 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <Lmr%6.134328$qc.16030753@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 63495
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

The MFJ-959B antenna tuner covers 1.6 to 30 MHz and features a low noise 20 dB preamp to bring out weak stations. It also matches the antenna to the receiver for maximum signal strength. It accommodates up to two antennas and two receivers. You can select tuner, tuner with preamp, tuner with 20 dB attenuator or bypass.

Rear panel jacks provide for the use of either RCA phono plugs or PL-259 connectors. Size: 9 x 2 x 6 inches.

Requires 9-12 VDC power supply (see MFJ-1312). (Not included)

Lists for $99.95, asking $75 which includes shipping.

Email if interested.

Thanks

dstegner@home.com

1229. FA: Viking 10 hour recorder with VOX - brand new!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 21:29:21 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <Rwr%6.134333$qc.16034536@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 63496
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1251660563

1230. Re: ABS Scanner page...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 22:25:33 UTC
From: hreesie@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010630182533.26777.00001483@ng-mo1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63497

try this website for online scanners

http://miked2000.home.mindspring.com/popup.htm

1231. Re: BC895 Display light

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 22:25:56 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9hljm2$ekabu$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 63498

"J Rob" <jrobmills@aol.comrx320> wrote in message
news:20010630110339.16434.00000506@ng-fn1.aol.com...
> >You can use whatever color LED you
> want, but I think the super bright LED's will give better
illumination.<
>
> I haven't had this thing long enough to open it up and have a look
but that's
> the exact answer I wanted to hear Tom, Thanks
>

The only problem is making sure you have enough room to mount the
LED's. In today's micro radios, even a standard size LED might be a
tight fit.

Tom

1232. Re: PRO-92 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 22:42:23 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <20010630184223.11498.00004113@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 63499

>I want to get a RS Pro-92 to monitor local Motorola II trunked system and
>conventional frequencies too. Is this a good radio?? Any comments or advice
>is much appreciated!

I use mine MORE than my BC245.
wish it had a better computer interface.
(but all my scanners I wish they had some
thing better about them :))

last I heard they are on sale at RS, now
is a good time to get one

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

1233. live uk scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-06-30 23:04:20 UTC
From: Martin Peters <martin.peters@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <6Ws%6.1184$qz1.23141@news11-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 63500

For those that are interested

http://www.live365.com/stations/255495

live scanner from Reading UK here for the next few hours

1234. Re: BC895 Display light

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 00:02:20 UTC
From: Greg <king.frost@home.com>
Message-ID: <f2qsjtsqo2cuoqe8m46co2iutmm612qkko@4ax.com>
Source record: 63501

Ewwwwwww is that the same orange used on the 780xlt? That's the only thing I don't like about this scanner.

Has anyone tried replacing the LEDs on a 780? Is it difficult? I haven't opened mine up to look inside (yet).

On Sat, 30 Jun 2001 08:17:41 -0500, "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>"J Rob" <jrobmills@aol.comrx320> wrote in message
>news:20010630010039.05028.00001889@ng-ci1.aol.com...
>> Wonder if that obnoxious color can be changed? They must have found
>a real
>> bargain on those bulbs/LEDs or what ever. No one in their right mind
>would have
>> selected that color (baby poop orange) because they liked it.
>> Other than that, it's a pretty nice scanner for the buck.
>
>When the display lights on my BC8500XLT burnt out, I replaced them
>(baby poop orange) with super bright yellow LED's. The only problem
>is that I can't dim the display, but it does go from on to off. I
>never used the dimmer anyway. You can use whatever color LED you
>want, but I think the super bright LED's will give better
>illumination. I toyed with the idea of using green or blue ones, but
>settled for the yellow ones. Now the display is nice & bright.

1235. Re: ABS Scanner page...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 00:09:24 UTC
From: Mark <trunker@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <USt%6.8813$eL5.933293@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63502

Try this one too:
http://www.policescanner.com/

"Tram" <tramd300@goingplatinum.com> wrote in message
news:2bd4c5f2.0106290729.3fe3f57e@posting.google.com...
> Hello,
> I was wondering if anyone else is able to recieve the transmissions on
> this site. http://www.apbnews.com/scanner/final/scanner.html?city=chicago
>
> At one time I was able to, but now it seems to be down.
> Does anyone have a new site for this or any other one that a person
> can receive police calls?
> Thank you in advance...
> Please respond direct to...
>
> tramd300@goingplatinum.com
>

1236. Radio Shack Pro 2021 Docs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 00:23:19 UTC
From: jammtc2000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cd33b517.0106301623.451e7821@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63503

If anyone has documentation on the Pro 2021 I would be greatful if
you shared it.

Radio Shack's web site has operation and detailed parts list but nothing
else.

Also I just received this device and it says that it is a fm/am scanner
receiver yet I see no way to enter an am/fm frequency?????

Thanks

Jodia

1237. Re: PRO-92 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 00:53:26 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010630205326.17709.00003315@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 63504

>I want to get a RS Pro-92 to monitor local Motorola II trunked system and
>conventional frequencies too. Is this a good radio??

The concensus on the Pro 92 list (Yahoogroups.com) is that the Pro 92 is a good scanner for VHF / UHF, but not a good receiver for 800 trunking. Most users report poor sensitivity, compared to other trunk-tracking scanners.

The quality of trunked reception is blurred because there are three firmware versions of the Pro 92, although two are about identical.

I don't use by Pro 92 for trunktracking because of its performance, but its sensitivity on high band and UHF is fantastic.

There are several Smyrnas in Tennessee. If you were referring to monitoring Nashville in your original post, double-check that they have not gone to a digital trunked system ... none of the scanners currently on the market can monitor a digital system.

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

1238. Need Freq's For Grand Floridian Resort in Walt Disney Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 01:13:38 UTC
From: globalcabl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010630211338.14789.00001568@ng-cd1.aol.com>
Source record: 63505

Going there Mon to Fri would appreciate any freq's for the hotel

1239. Belgian freq. / Scannerclub Belgium

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 09:17:44 UTC
From: "Sylvi@" <Sylvi@must.net>
Message-ID: <3b3eea08$0$91745@news2.zeelandnet.nl>
Source record: 63506
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,be.radio,de.alt.radio-scanner,fr.rec.radio,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

Become a member of the Belgian scannerclub! It's free !
For more info : Belgascan00@hotmail.com
See our latest updated frequency site on http://www.geocities.com/belgascan/

Wordt lid van de Belgische scannerclub! Lidmaatschap is gratis. Meer info :
Belgascan00@hotmail.com Zie ook de laatste update van onze frequentie pagina
op http://www.geocities.com/belgascan/

1240. Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 10:50:23 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b3efec5$1_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 63507

I have a vhf TV antenna , can it be converted to a directional scanner antenna? What would the length of the elements be? I want to cover the 150mhz to about 500mhz is it possible? Please email me.Thanks

--
Shawn McKenna

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1241. Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 11:09:57 UTC
From: av8tor@licensed4fun.com
Message-ID: <9yD%6.251$RN3.74597490@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63508

Does anyone have experiece with the Grove Scanner Beam (ANT1)? I notice their website does not list the Db Gain of this antenna.

I'm trying to pick between this antenna ($80) and the Create Log Periodic ($300). Can anyone tell me if the Log Periodic is really worth the extra $220?

Regardless which ant I pick it will be mounted about 35' high.

Thanks,
George
http://www.Licensed4Fun.com
--
_____
I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
_____

1242. Re: NEW GROUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 13:06:54 UTC
From: RICK <scanning@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <OfF%6.42870$4i5.3413374@news1.cableinet.net>
Source record: 63509
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

Due to pressure from the group owner of MVT 9000 & 7100 I have agreed to
change the name of my group to MVT 7000.

Sorry if I have upsets any one.

The other groups will be added to bookmarks in due course.

RICK.

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/yupiteru_mvt7000

--
Home Page
http://www.scanning.homestead.com
http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/North_West_Scanning_Group
UK Ammeter Weather Sat Imaging Group
http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/ukawsig
http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/Shop_Watch
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CITIZEN_BAND_RADIO_UK
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Manchester_airport
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/yupiteru_mvt7000

"RICK" <scanning@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:Pzp%6.37871$4i5.2817207@news1.cableinet.net...
> Hi all I have set up a Yupiteru group because it is the only make with out
> one as far as I can see.
>
> My group is at.
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/yupiteru
>
> To be polite I must also point out the existence of
> mvt3300 http://groups.yahoo.com/group/mvt3300
> mvt9000 http://groups.yahoo.com/group/mvt9000
>
> RICK
>
>
> --
> Home Page
> http://www.scanning.homestead.com
> http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/North_West_Scanning_Group
> UK Ammeter Weather Sat Imaging Group
> http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/ukawsig
> http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/Shop_Watch
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CITIZEN_BAND_RADIO_UK

> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Manchester_airport
>
>
>
>
>

1243. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 13:11:14 UTC
From: oldtimeradio@nospam.net
Message-ID: <a88ujtc5ude4g3nne60nk0gj104nng2sl9@4ax.com>
Source record: 63510

You may want to check out the log periodic antennas at
www.kmaantennas.com. They are very well made. The Create looks like
a cheap RS tv antenna.
OTR

On Sun, 01 Jul 2001 11:09:57 GMT, av8tor@licensed4fun.com (Av8tor)
wrote:

>Does anyone have experiece with the Grove Scanner Beam (ANT1)? I notice their
>website does not list the Db Gain of this antenna.
>
>I'm trying to pick between this antenna ($80) and the Create Log Periodic
>($300). Can anyone tell me if the Log Periodic is really worth the extra $220?
>
>Regardless which ant I pick it will be mounted about 35' high.
>
>Thanks,
>George
>http://www.Licensed4Fun.com

1244. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 13:19:35 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9hn818$efumm$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 63511

"Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com> wrote in message
news:3b3efec5$1_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> I have a vhf TV antenna , can it be converted to a directional
scanner
> antenna? What would the length of the elements be? I want to cover
the
> 150mhz to about 500mhz is it possible? Please email me.Thanks

I think you wouldn't need to change the length of the elements, since a TV antenna is designed to cover a wide frequency range. This makes it perfect for scanner use. All you need to do is turn it 90 degrees so that the elements are vertically oriented instead of horizontal. Usually this is as simple as drilling a couple of holes at right angles to the mounting holes in the boom. Just point it in the direction of the signals you want to hear, and you're in business. You will probably need an adaptor to change the 300 Ohm impedance feedline to match your scanner's 50 Ohm input. You can use a regular TV twinlead-to-F type adaptor. This will give you a 75 Ohm impedance, which will be close enough to not have to worry about any loss.

--
Regards,
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

1245. FS:Bearcat BC-700A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 13:26:58 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <5zF%6.94$q34.55717@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 63512

i have a excellent Bearcat BC-700A,,with box,and everything that came with
it new,,mounting bracket,,ac and dc power cords,,
ive misplaced the owners manuall somewhere,,this scanner has never been
mobile,,never abused
excellent condition
price 75.00 shipped priority mail toward a dualband rig of some sort

monte k9dzd@iquest.net

1246. Re: PHoenix FD Blue Deck Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 14:09:08 UTC
From: Ron Reynolds <rrg1@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <adG%6.1563$y02.84802@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 63513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Phx Blue Deck Freq are as follows
B-1 Chandler Ch-1 154.430 (old Chandler dispatch)
B-2 Chandler Ch-2 155.955
B-3 Rural/Metro Ch-5 154.400
B-4 Rural/Metro Ch-6 153.860
B-5 Phx PD Ch-1 154.890 (Chase 1)
B-6 Phx PD Ch-2 154.755 (Chase 2)
B-7 Phx PD Ch-3 155.790 (Chase 3)
B-8 Mesa Fire Ch-1 154.340
B-9 Mesa Fire Ch-2 154.235
B-10 Mesa Fire Ch-3 153.9500
B-11 Maricopoa County Sheriff 159.090
B-12 Buckeye/Gila Bend Tactical 154.085

"JA Moran" <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
news:3B3D269E.9CF0EB80@analon.com...
> what frequencies are referenced in Phoenix FD and Ambulance
> transmissions by "blue deck"
> example "Blue Deck 1" are these 800mhz frequencies ???
> anyone have these
> JOHN
>
> --
> *****----------------------800-873-5884-----------------
> | JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com)
> |http://www.analon.com | Custom built Unix/MS Computer Systems,
> |Networks, and Consulting AFFORDABLE and BUILT for PERFORMANCE...real
> systems run unix!
> | ... Don't go FROM DOT-COM to
>
DOT-GONE!!-----------310-922-6972-||++
++++++++++++++++++++++++
>
>
>

1247. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 15:13:47 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15a8ddc53d4a6db989767@news>
Source record: 63514

AS a happy owner of a KMA antenna I have to agree. KMA makes a good
antena.

In article <a88ujtc5ude4g3nne60nk0gj104nng2sl9@4ax.com>,
oldtimeradio@nospam.net says...
> You may want to check out the log periodic antennas at
> www.kmaantennas.com. They are very well made. The Create looks like
> a cheap RS tv antenna.
> OTR
>
>
>
> On Sun, 01 Jul 2001 11:09:57 GMT, av8tor@licensed4fun.com (Av8tor)
> wrote:
>
> >Does anyone have experiece with the Grove Scanner Beam (ANT1)? I notice their
> >website does not list the Db Gain of this antenna.
> >
> >I'm trying to pick between this antenna ($80) and the Create Log Periodic
> >($300). Can anyone tell me if the Log Periodic is really worth the extra $220?
> >
> >Regardless which ant I pick it will be mounted about 35' high.
> >
> >Thanks,
> >George
> >http://www.Licensed4Fun.com
>
>

1248. Pro-92 Sensitivity varies unit to unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 16:11:29 UTC
From: Insomnia <spikelee7@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6niujtopioitheao5ca0l3q9ds2k3303dd@4ax.com>
Source record: 63517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Well, I did some reading in these newsgroups and on pro-92.com and discovered why my Pro-92 wasn't picking up too well in the 800mhz band. I bought mine on June 2nd, so yesterday I brought it back and explained to the salesperson that sold it to me how it wasn't picking up the signals too well, and wanted to exchange it for another 92. He did, and now I can pick up a lot better, though still not AS good as my BC-245. Still, the 92 is a GREAT scanner. Three major pluses prevent me from just getting my money back. CTCSS, Alpha Display, and computer programmable.

Scott
N6UUH

1249. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 16:48:51 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B3F545F.955743F2@home.com>
Source record: 63515

oldtimeradio@nospam.net wrote:
>
> You may want to check out the log periodic antennas at
> www.kmaantennas.com. They are very well made. The Create looks like
> a cheap RS tv antenna.
> OTR

It'd be interesting to see what YOU look like, though based on your silly post, your performance is pretty "cheap."

The Create Industries LPAs are sturdy, easy to assemble, and perform well over the spectrum for tranmitting as well as receiving, and yes, it even receives TV signals quite well, contrary to the mumblings of the 'cheap RS tv antenna' expert...

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

1250. Re: Radio Shack Pro 2021 Docs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 16:53:13 UTC
From: Barun Gupta <barun@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ZzI%6.26385$J91.670169@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63516

Jodia,

I have 2 of these RadioShack Pro 2021. They are old scanners but pretty decent as long as you don't need 800 MHz or trunking. The reason it says, AM/FM is because it includes the airband (116-136 MHz). It's scan speed is slow. It is an easy scanner to put a discriminator tap and it can be adapted to use in a car quite easily. In fact I keep one of the 2021 permanently in my car with a mag mounted scanner antenna. Works well. I have the user manual for it somewhere. Send me an email later and I'll try to find it and send you a photocopy.
jodia <jammtc2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:cd33b517.0106301623.451e7821@posting.google.com...
> If anyone has documentation on the Pro 2021 I would be greatful if
> you shared it.
>
> Radio Shack's web site has operation and detailed parts list but nothing
> else.
>
> Also I just received this device and it says that it is a fm/am scanner
> receiver yet I see no way to enter an am/fm frequency?????
>
>
> Thanks
>
>
> Jodia

1251. Re: Pro-92 Sensitivity varies unit to unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 17:08:57 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <JOI%6.149029$DG1.24882088@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

There have been three different versions of the PRO-92, that I'm aware of. The PRO-92, PRO-92[A], and PRO-92[B]. The PRO-92[A] was a big improvement over the first release, although personally, I had no issues with the first release. The first two were manufactured in Japan, and the [B] is made in China. Other than being made in China, I don't know if any other changes were made.

You can determine which one you have by looking at the back of the radio, on the label that shows the actual R.S. catalog number, and see if it has a suffix after it.

Bill Crocker

"Insomnia" <spikelee7@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:6niujtopioitheao5ca0l3q9ds2k3303dd@4ax.com...
> Well, I did some reading in these newsgroups and on pro-92.com and
> discovered why my Pro-92 wasn't picking up too well in the 800mhz
> band. I bought mine on June 2nd, so yesterday I brought it back and
> explained to the salesperson that sold it to me how it wasn't picking
> up the signals too well, and wanted to exchange it for another 92. He
> did, and now I can pick up a lot better, though still not AS good as
> my BC-245. Still, the 92 is a GREAT scanner. Three major pluses
> prevent me from just getting my money back. CTCSS, Alpha Display, and
> computer programmable.
>
> Scott
> N6UUH

1252. Re: Ocean City Maryland Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 17:42:24 UTC
From: av8tor@licensed4fun.com
Message-ID: <9hnndv$80t6$2@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63519

In article <20010621141804.05021.00000166@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>, pbscanner@cs.com says...
>
>>Subject: Ocean City Maryland Freqs
>>From: "John Wistuba" johnis@home.com
>>Date: 6/17/01 4:08 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <U68X6.89098$G5.19283037@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>
>>
>>Police and Fire in Ocean City Maryland use a trunked EDACS system. I have
>>the Freqs, but I dont think I have the right order as my BC245XLT keeps
>>locking onto the modem channel. Can anyone help?

Up until this year we use to spend every weekend in Ocean City MD. Here is the very current (worked in May, 2001) frequency order for the EDACS system in Ocean City.
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios/ocmdtrunkfreq.htm
Also included on the above page are all the talk-groups and everything you need to know to monitor their system. Once your scanner is programmed in the correct order it is a very easy system to monitor.

If you would like what the good Coast Guard freqs that are very active in Ocean City go here: http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios/scannercorner.htm. The USCG in OC does not use the Trunk system, however they do have access to it, they still use the USCG marine freqs.

George
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <-RadiosOnLine eZine for the Scanner Hobbiest
--

I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--

1253. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 17:55:51 UTC
From: av8tor@licensed4fun.com
Message-ID: <9hno76$80t6$3@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63520

> It'd be interesting to see what YOU look like, though based on your
>silly post, your performance is pretty "cheap."
>
> The Create Industries LPAs are sturdy, easy to assemble, and perform
>well over the spectrum for tranmitting as well as receiving, and yes, it
>even receives TV signals quite well, contrary to the mumblings of the
>'cheap RS tv antenna' expert...

I've looked at the KMA website and do like the looks of their antennas, however this is the reason I was leaning more towards the Create. KMA's website listes a gain of only 8dB where as the Create is listing 11-13 dB gain.

Any other comment are very welcome especially if someone can compare either Log antenna .vs. the Grove Scanner Beam. If going stricly by photos I think the Grove Scanner Beam looks more like a 'cheap' RadioShack TV antenna than either the Create or KMA does. But looks and performance are two totally different things.
George
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <-RadiosOnLine eZine for the Scanner Nut!

--

_____
I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
_____

1254. Drake SW8 Trade Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 20:17:17 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <hzL%6.101997$mG4.48498415@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 63521
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am thinking of trading for a Drake SW8. I am only
interested in the latest version. I would like to hear from
anyone who is knowledgeable about the SW8. I need to know
how much $$$ they are going for. Any helpful Drake
accessories? I am only interested in a MINT MINT condition
SW8.

For anyone interested I have the following radios for trade.
Will consider different offers to trade:

Two month old Sony 7600GR w/Sony AC adapter, case, reel
antenna, manual, and box
One month old Icom R2 unblocked version
Mint RS DX-398 w/case, no manual or AC adapter
Bearcat UBC-3000XLT unblocked, AD adapter, beautiful case,
four mos. old

All in perfect cond.

DeWayne

1255. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 20:25:29 UTC
From: oldtimeradio@nospam.net
Message-ID: <kp1vjtgg68j13bjm7mjpndnn3g81qjd3va@4ax.com>
Source record: 63522

>oldtimeradio@nospam.net wrote:
>>
>> You may want to check out the log periodic antennas at
>> www.kmaantennas.com. They are very well made. The Create looks like
>> a cheap RS tv antenna.
>> OTR
>

On Sun, 01 Jul 2001 16:48:51 GMT, Tim <nightwatch01@home.com> wrote:

> It'd be interesting to see what YOU look like, though based on your
>silly post, your performance is pretty "cheap."
My looks are unimportant and I see nothing silly about my
comment. I simply made an comment of my observations.
I have owned both brands of log periodic (still have the KMA).
The Create's elements were rolled aluminum (like TV antennas). The
insulating parts were made of plastic (like TV antennas). I had
problems with the longest elements breaking and/or falling off with
the antenna mounted for vertical polarization. I assumed this was
caused by the element getting stress-hardened due to wind flexing.
The KMA's elements are 6061 grade tubing (no seam/much thicker wall)
or 1/4" diameter solid aluminum rod (depending on length). These
elements go through the boom and are locked in with a stainless steel
screw that threads through the boom and element. All of the
insulating material is made of 1/2" thick PVC.
>
> The Create Industries LPAs are sturdy, easy to assemble, and perform
>well over the spectrum for tranmitting as well as receiving, and yes, it
>even receives TV signals quite well, contrary to the mumblings of the
>'cheap RS tv antenna' expert...
Contrary mumblings?? I don't see where I said anything
negative about the performance of the Create. I only made a comment
as to which one is a more robust antenna, a comment that I will stick
to.

Another poster questioned the gain of the two brands. There is
nothing magic about antennas. If two brands have similar boom
lengths, similar number of elements and cover similar frequency
ranges, they will have similar gain. How the company chooses to
represent those gains are a different matter. A dipole in "free
space" has 2.14 dBi gain. The same dipole at one wavelength height
over ground will have 7.7 dBi gain. It all depends on the reference.

OTR

1256. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 20:47:06 UTC
From: av8tor@licensed4fun.com
Message-ID: <e%L%6.466$5x.159178860@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63523

> Another poster questioned the gain of the two brands. There is
>nothing magic about antennas. If two brands have similar boom
>lengths, similar number of elements and cover similar frequency
>ranges, they will have similar gain. How the company chooses to
>represent those gains are a different matter. A dipole in "free
>space" has 2.14 dBi gain. The same dipole at one wavelength height
>over ground will have 7.7 dBi gain. It all depends on the reference.

Correct me if I'm wrong (I'm still learning) but I thought "normally" antenna gain was expressed in dB Gain over a 1/2 wave dipole in "free space". If that is a correct statement, than how does your dipole in free space have a 2.14 dB Gain? It has a 2.14 dB Gain over what? (not trying to be a smart $ss, just trying to learn these things).
George
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <-RadiosOnLine eZine for Scanner Nuts!
--

I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--

1257. Re: Pro-92 Sensitivity varies unit to unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 21:13:46 UTC
From: Insomnia <spikelee7@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <fi4vjt0fnb9rghf0on5iql0g01bkocoidg@4ax.com>
Source record: 63528
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Thanks Bill. I have the "B" version, which is the only version being
sold in the stores around my home town.

Scott
N6UUH

On Sun, 01 Jul 2001 17:08:57 GMT, "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com>
wrote:

>There have been three different versions of the PRO-92, that I'm aware of.
>The PRO-92, PRO-92[A], and PRO-92[B]. The PRO-92[A] was a big improvement
>over the first release, although personally, I had no issues with the first
>release. The first two were manufactured in Japan, and the [B] is made in
>China. Other than being made in China, I don't know if any other changes
>were made.
>
>You can determine which one you have by looking at the back of the radio, on
>the label that shows the actual R.S. catalog number, and see if it has a
>suffix after it.
>

1258. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 21:13:59 UTC
From: oldtimeradio@nospam.net
Message-ID: <ek4vjto1lpqdmja78bniej8temgrbc8aov@4ax.com>
Source record: 63524

The " i " in dBi stands for isotropic radiator..... an imaginary point that radiates equally in all directions. It doesn't have any "gain" because it is the baseline that other stuff is compared to.

I said that the dipole in space had 2.14 decibels more gain than the isotropic radiator..... or 2.14 dBi.

If you measured the gain of a yagi in space and got a value of 10.14 dBi,,,,,,, you could say that the yagi had a gain of 8 dBd,,, or 8 decibels more gain than a dipole.

The confusing part comes in when manufactures simply say 12 dB gain. Gain compared to what? If all comparisons were made as free space gain (not influenced by ground reflections) then it would be easy to visualize the gain of the antenna. You'd simply subtract 2.14 dB to see how much better it was than a dipole.

Also, before someone jumps in and says that 2.14 is wrong..... some people use 2.11 some 2.15.

Mr. Cebik has some comments on dBi vs dBd on his web site at http://www.cebik.com/dbi.html

One last thing. I think people make too big a deal about a few dB's anyway. Admittedly, on rare occasions a couple dB's can make the difference in making or not making a contact. But 99.9 % of the time you'll never know the difference.

Good luck with your quest.

cul
OTR

On Sun, 01 Jul 2001 20:47:06 GMT, av8tor@licensed4fun.com (Av8tor) wrote:

>
>> Another poster questioned the gain of the two brands. There is
>>nothing magic about antennas. If two brands have similar boom
>>lengths, similar number of elements and cover similar frequency
>>ranges, they will have similar gain. How the company chooses to
>>represent those gains are a different matter. A dipole in "free
>>space" has 2.14 dBi gain. The same dipole at one wavelength height
>>over ground will have 7.7 dBi gain. It all depends on the reference.
>
>Correct me if I'm wrong (I'm still learning) but I thought "normally" antenna
>gain was expressed in dB Gain over a 1/2 wave dipole in "free space". If that

>is a correct statement, than how does your dipole in free space have a 2.14 dB
>Gain? It has a 2.14 dB Gain over what? (not trying to be a smart $ss, just
>trying to learn these things).
>George
>http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <-RadiosOnLine eZine for Scanner Nuts!

1259. Re: Pro-92 Sensitivity varies unit to unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 21:25:05 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <6r4vjtc741qa0raa3nveun92ptqcutu4sc@4ax.com>
Source record: 63526
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sun, 01 Jul 2001 17:08:57 GMT, "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com>
wrote:

>There have been three different versions of the PRO-92, that I'm aware of.
>The PRO-92, PRO-92[A], and PRO-92[B]. The PRO-92[A] was a big improvement
>over the first release, although personally, I had no issues with the first
>release. The first two were manufactured in Japan, and the [B] is made in
>China. Other than being made in China, I don't know if any other changes
>were made.
[snip]

Issues with the original version depend on the particular Motorola
trunking system you're trying to scan.

My local Motorola system is digital, so I have no way of telling if I
should be happy or unhappy with my Version 1.0. ;-)

But the text tags and the computer interface make me very happy.

The consensus of discussions on pro-92.com and the pro92 list at Yahoo
is that sensitivity varies from unit to unit, and from band to band on
some units. They're just not getting them set consistently at the
factory. There was a recent post describing how to fix that, using
the service manual (about $15 from RSU), a good voltmeter, and the
confidence to go into the radio.

Ken Plotkin

1260. Car Radio/Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 21:25:48 UTC
From: Matthew Tebbens <tebbens@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <tjv588r7eeup5b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63525

Hi !

Does anyone make a scanner/receiver that installs just like a normal car radio ?

Best Regards,
Matthew

1261. need a frequency please ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 21:53:39 UTC
From: Peter <peter.galileo@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9ho5t5edr1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 63527

I need the Clacton on Sea police mobile frequency, I have the base stations
it is 452.500 Mhz

Plz help !

1262. Re: need a frequency please ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 22:08:34 UTC
From: Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <ebN%6.541$pj4.103315@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 63529

Pete

I always try google.com
"Clacton on Sea police mhz" e.g first reference:
http://www.tardis.ed.ac.uk/~kr/freq/128.html

Neville

"Peter" <peter.galileo@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:9ho5t5edr1@plutonium.btinternet.com...
> I need the Clacton on Sea police mobile frequency, I have the base
stations
> it is 452.500 Mhz
>
> Plz help !
>
>

1263. Re: Drake SW8 Trade Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-01 22:31:40 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <gxN%6.150790$DG1.25064496@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63530
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Seems they're commanding around $500.00 lately.

Bill Crocker

"DeWayne" <ke9k@home.com> wrote in message
news:hzL%6.101997$mG4.48498415@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> I am thinking of trading for a Drake SW8. I am only
> interested in the latest version. I would like to hear from
> anyone who is knowledgeable about the SW8. I need to know
> how much $$$ they are going for. Any helpful Drake
> accessories? I am only interested in a MINT MINT condition
> SW8.
>
> For anyone interested I have the following radios for trade.
> Will consider different offers to trade:
>
> Two month old Sony 7600GR w/Sony AC adapter, case, reel
> antenna, manual, and box
> One month old Icom R2 unblocked version
> Mint RS DX-398 w/case, no manual or AC adapter
> Bearcat UBC-3000XLT unblocked, AD adapter, beautiful case,
> four mos. old
>
> All in perfect cond.
>
> DeWayne
>
>

1264. FA GRUNDIG 700 Satellit SW Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 00:59:41 UTC
From: Chris <ck@ckradio.net>
Message-ID: <3B3FC77D.BBDCA406@ckradio.net>
Source record: 63531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.marketplace

Please take a look at this wonderful receiver.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1251965310

1265. Winnebago County (IL) Coroner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 01:21:52 UTC
From: Greg <king.frost@home.com>
Message-ID: <b3jvjt01e41r4deb154onsh5pgdqq8svsi@4ax.com>
Source record: 63532

I was driving behind a coroner's van today (ewwww), and I noticed they had some sort of antenna on there.

Anybody know of a frequency they're using here in Rockford / Winnebago county?

1266. Re: Pro-92 Sensitivity varies unit to unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 01:34:13 UTC
From: steve birdcreek <sbi961@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <1B46F31961C46EBD.E4061C03123D3980.99DE439F0AA4E85C@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 63533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

im glad to hear i wasn't the only one having this problem the radio was wonderful except 800 mhz took mine back and got my money back that too is a plus

Insomnia wrote:

> Well, I did some reading in these newsgroups and on pro-92.com and
> discovered why my Pro-92 wasn't picking up too well in the 800mhz
> band. I bought mine on June 2nd, so yesterday I brought it back and
> explained to the salesperson that sold it to me how it wasn't picking
> up the signals too well, and wanted to exchange it for another 92. He
> did, and now I can pick up a lot better, though still not AS good as
> my BC-245. Still, the 92 is a GREAT scanner. Three major pluses
> prevent me from just getting my money back. CTCSS, Alpha Display, and
> computer programmable.
>
> Scott
> N6UUH

1267. Re: Cassette Recorders

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 01:42:15 UTC
From: Tom Mills <tmills@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3B3FD1F6.7C1F9175@flash.net>
Source record: 63534
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've used both Radio Shack and Panasonic voice operated tape recorders
for years with no problems..

Tom

Paul Zook wrote:

> Your correct, I have used this and it works great, but I need something that
> is more portable. Plus I listen to the tapes in my car and in my walkman.
>
> "frater mus" <mouse.news@mousetrap.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns90CC6CC0820F1ssbmousetrapnet@151.164.30.58...
> > 26 Jun 2001: "Paul Zook" <lazpaz@teleweb.net> wrote
> >
> > > I am looking for your recommendations. It is difficult to find recorders
> > > with the necessary jacks to allow the scanner to turn on/off the
> recorder
> > > (remote jack). For the most part my recorders sit on a desk next to the
> > > radios but occasionally I take them on the road during a vacation. I
> would
> > > think I could get more than a year out of these units!
> > >
> > > Let me know what you would recommend. Thanks!
> >
> > For desk use I'd pipe the audio into freeware like Scanner Recorder
> > (www.davee.com), which has builtin VOX logic.
> >
> > Works great, and is free. No moving parts to wear out.
> >
> > --
> > L.V.X.
> > the Great Work, CDR, OTR, scanning http://www.mousetrap.net/~mouse/
> > Old Time Radio (OTR) OpenNap network http://www.mousetrap.net/OTRnap/
> > CBS Radio Mystery Theater
> http://www.mousetrap.net/~mouse/cbsrmt/
> > RioVolt mini-review
> > http://www.mousetrap.net/~mouse/otr/riovolt.html

1268. Do you have a personal site?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 02:43:13 UTC
From: houndREMOVEME@scannerhounds.com
Message-ID: <19da7d60.0107011843.557e3a9e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63535

If it's related to scanning I want to know!

I'm building a personals section on my website and would like to have as many resources as possible.

So if you get the chance please stop by and submit your site!

http://www.scannerhounds.com/community/

1269. Re: FRS Radio Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 04:16:22 UTC
From: wapcutthispart@ica.net
Message-ID: <3b3fb19e.2123602@news.ica.net>
Source record: 63536

On Tue, 19 Jun 2001 13:27:09 GMT, familyradio@att.net (John L.
Wilkerson Jr.) wrote:

>jglas@webtv.net wrote in news:2674-3B2E776E-100@storefull-
>157.iap.bryant.webtv.net:
>
>> Are there any mods to kick up the transmitting power on these radios?
>>
>>
>
>No. FRS radios use surface mounted components. There are no variable
>capacitors or resistors to tweak.

Guess that explains why my FR60's put out 0.75W now.
(verified with a service monitor)

...Andy

1270. Re: LAPD Gone Digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 05:02:59 UTC
From: Armando Lopez <al90064@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7gT%6.11948$eL5.1335591@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63537
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

How about MDT freqs? Can a laptop be made to receive MDT signals?

1271. Re: Portable Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 07:52:18 UTC
From: Roby <plroberto@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15aa469f7e74b99e9896a9@news.polito.it>
Source record: 63538
Cross-posted to:
rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Thank you very much to everyone.

Roberto

1272. Re: Radioshack *best scanner poll*

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 08:20:35 UTC
From: BK <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B402F20.FBCF69FD@yahoo.com>
Source record: 63539

I would say PRO 2042. But then again any scanner bought without the help
of one of their salesmen.

Phil Guthrie wrote:
>
> If trunking capability is not a consideration, the Pro 2006 is
> unquestionably the best.
>
> On Tue, 5 Jun 2001 20:06:08 +0100, "R.Glazier"
> <rogsmail@btinternet.com> wrote:
>
> >Hi
> >It would be interesting to here what everybody thinks of radioshack
> >scanners,
> >which one/model would YOU say was the best.
> >RG
> >
> >
> >

1273. Re: WTB:Bearcat BC895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 08:22:13 UTC
From: BK <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B402F82.44EF99AC@yahoo.com>
Source record: 63540

$200 shipped brand sapnking new at national-electronics.com . Just bought one.

Monte Keller wrote:
>
> im looking for a nice Bearcat BC895
> thanks
> monte k9dzd@iquest.net

1274. Re: fried scanner use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 10:52:05 UTC
From: Chuck Cheese <chuck@cheese.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15a8ce10a26efa839896da@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63541

```
In article <Qm9%6.400$Oo5.5052@news>, crandall@ee.net says...
> Is there any way to use a fried scanner?
>
Sell it on Ebay as "Out of the Box" "As New Condition". Describe
some great details that the scanner doesn't really have and sell
it for bundle!
```

1275. pro 71 mods needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 12:34:01 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b4068c3_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 63543

Looking for any mods for the pro 71.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1276. Re: Scanner in Western Europe / England

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 12:34:51 UTC
From: William Bennet <bill_bennet@yahoo.co.uk>
Message-ID: <LTZ%6.8693$gS4.543564@news1.cableinet.net>
Source record: 63542

"PB Scanner" <pbscanner@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010621143931.05021.00000175@ng-ci1.news.cs.com...
> >Subject: Scanner in Western Europe / England
> >From: zankers@hutch.com.au (Steven)
> >Date: 6/16/01 7:56 PM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <3b2bf11b.2853971@news.orangemail.com.au>
> >
> >I'm thinking of taking a scanner and using it in England, but first I
> >will be visiting France and Austria. Dont know the language, so it
> >will remain turned off in those countries, but it will still be in my
> >posession.
> >
> >In England, however, I intend to use it. What are the legal
> >implications for possession and/or use in all 3?
> >
> >FYI: It covers 500khz to 1300mhz unbroken.

Nobody gives a damn what you do with it in England though it may technically be illegal to listen in on police broadcast. I would carefully check the situation before taking it to a country like France/Austria, even being in possession may be illegal.

1277. Re: Pro-92 Sensitivity varies unit to unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 14:07:29 UTC
From: pelikandrp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010702100729.11587.00004247@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 63544

If I may...
as a new 92 owner, how *do* you program this unit using your computer?
Thanks!

"if you love a man's garden...you gotta love the man"
-Frank Serpico

1278. FOR SALE XPLORER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 14:10:11 UTC
From: 2djil <2djil@wanadoo.fr>
Message-ID: <3B4080C3.D65475FC@wanadoo.fr>
Source record: 63546

http://www.ibazar.fr/voirobjet.cgi?codeobjet%3dJG056765LG808606

1279. Re: pro 71 mods needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 14:15:34 UTC
From: newplanter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010702101534.11083.00001907@ng-bj1.aol.com>
Source record: 63545

www.mods.dk

1280. Re: Pro-92 Sensitivity varies unit to unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 15:00:23 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B408C86.8C120665@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63297

Take a look at the web site

www.pro-92.com

All sorts of good info on the PRO-92.

Walt

PelikanDRP wrote:
>
> If I may...
> as a new 92 owner, how *do* you program this unit using your computer?
> Thanks!
>
> ---
> "if you love a man's garden...you gotta love the man"
> -Frank Serpico

1281. FS:bearcat BC-700A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 15:23:50 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <Em007.117$q34.70956@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 63298

i have a very nice,bearcat BC-700A scanner,,excellent condition
,never mobile,,does 800mhz
with everything that came with it new,box,manual,power
supply,d.c.cable,antenna
price 80.00 shipped

monte k9dzd@iquest.net

1282. Re: fried scanner use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 16:02:15 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010702120215.05021.00002142@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 63299

You forgot "rare", "attention collectors" and "hard to find".

1283. Re: What does MDT sound like?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 17:33:38 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B40B072.5C3F@yahoo.com>
Source record: 63300

John Burns wrote:
>
> Hi there,
> I am interested to know if MDT datd is transmitted in New Zealand.
>
> But..... I am soooo lazy to scan the airwaves and try
> any thing that sounds remotely like data, I was wondering
> if someone could record about 10 to 20 seconds of MDT
> transmission and email it to me at jburns@ibsgp.NOSPAM.com
> (remove nospam before sending)
>
> It doesn't need to be high quality. I am not trying to decode it,
> I just want to hear what MDT data 'sounds' like so I can find it > easier.
>
> Regards
>
> -John

John try this link:

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Cockpit/2800/digital/index.htm
--

/`\\\
(@ @)
--------ooO---(_)---Ooo--------
ï¿½Ken Bessler, Ham Callsign KGï¿½WXï¿½
ï¿½ Design Services Company ï¿½
ï¿½ http://kg0wx.users.50megs.com ï¿½
ï¿½ Smoke free since 9/30/96! ï¿½
ï¿½ ICQ# 63379650ï¿½ ï¿½

1284. Radio Shack (best scanner) Results

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 18:11:36 UTC
From: "V.A.S" <smurf123@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9hqdgs$hv4$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 63302

Hi All
I'm sorry I took so long in posting the results of the Poll, but there was no end to the e-mails and they just kept on coming in....
OK.....
1st place was joint shared with both scanners receiving 148 votes EACH
Pro 2042--Pro 2006

2nd was the 2035 112 votes

3rd was the 2005 75 votes

4th was the 2004 32 votes

5th was the 2026 12 votes (this is a small base/mobile)

6th was the 2045 8 votes.

I had plenty more votes for nearly every model ever made by radio shack, I found most people *just liked* thier radio the best, not because it had loads of features or was *top of the range* but simply because it did all they wanted it to do and they were used to it.
Anyway, thanks to all who voted and e-mailed, it was nice to receive such a good response.
regards
VAS

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.263 / Virus Database: 135 - Release Date: 22/06/01

1285. Radio Shack PRO-91 poor sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 18:14:30 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <mc@deletethisword.uga.edu>
Message-ID: <9hqdm7akb1@cronkite.cc.uga.edu>
Source record: 63301

My Radio Shack Pro-91 (no longer under warranty) has always had rather poor sensitivity. It does not get full quieting from the local NOAA weather station, nor from most other 150-MHz-band or 800-MHz-band signals. When I bought it I compared it to another receiver from the same manufacturing lot and found them about the same.

Two questions.

(1) Is this a known problem? Is there a recommended fix?

(2) Is a _circuit diagram_ (not just parts list) of the PRO-91 available somewhere?

Thanks,

Michael Covington
N4TMI

1286. Plectron Radio Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 18:27:57 UTC
From: Jason Ellsworth <shaggy@globaleyes.net>
Message-ID: <tk1f94evl4d3e8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63303

I am looking for a site or some way to modify my old plectron tone alert receiver. I got it off of a friend of mine. Please e-mail me if anyone knows of a way to modify it and what the mods are for (opening the rx., extend this, open the what ever). I knew of an ftp site that these mods were generated from and they were posted in the newsgroups but now I can't seem to find anything about them anymore, besides that the radio is old..lol.

Please e-mail shaggy@globaleyes.net with any information

1287. Radio Card Hack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 18:50:57 UTC
From: Mark Daniell <MaDaniell@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <lo307.2255$Fy3.204613@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63304

Has anyone done any hacking of the Reveal FM radio card?

Just curious what it's capabilities are...I picked one up for $5
it seems to work fine but I would like to push the envelope a
bit.

Thanks in advance...
Mark

1288. Want To Trade For Drake SW8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 20:10:43 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <7z407.104414$mG4.49800160@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 63305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner

Looking to trade for MINT Drake SW8, latest version, in
PERFECT cond. I have to trade the following:

Sony 7600GR w/Sony ps, case, reel antenna, manual, box, two
mos. old
Icom R2, unblocked, box, manual, one mo. old
RS DX-398, case, no ps or manual
Bearcat UBC-3000XLT, ps, very nice case, box, manual, stock
antenna, Watson W881 antenna

All in perfect cond. Will consider trade combinations.
DeWayne KE9K

1289. Nice CHP real-time response call text website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 20:14:17 UTC
From: Scott Packard <scottp@53.usenet.us.com>
Message-ID: <3B40D619.6D258CF1@xx.usenet.us.com>
Source record: 63306

I signed up for the "My Government" web page for California.
I found a link to: cad.chp.ca.gov which gives real-time traffic
incidents for the highway patrol. Very nice dispatch log viewing.
I think it runs in Java. It shows what can be done with software,
and probably shows a glimpse of what's available inside a dispatch
center.

1290. Re: Radio Shack PRO-91 poor sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 21:25:04 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010702172504.05044.00002145@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 63307

>(1) Is this a known problem? Is there a recommended fix?

Mine, purchased at an estate sale for $1.00 works great (yes, I got the decimal point in the right place). It seems to work as well as my other scanners, 2004, 06 and 895xlt. It's faster scanning than any I have used.
Doesn't the Shack offer a service manual/schematic on their web site?

Rob Mills ~~~

I never met a radio I didn't like - Will Rogers
(You don't know, He might have)

1291. Re: Radio Shack PRO-91 poor sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 21:29:48 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <mc@deletethisword.uga.edu>
Message-ID: <9hqp4d$j6s$1@cronkite.cc.uga.edu>
Source record: 63308

> Mine, purchased at an estate sale for $1.00 works great (yes, I got the
decimal
> point in the right place). It seems to work as well as my other scanners,
2004,
> 06 and 895xlt. It's faster scanning than any I have used.
> Doesn't the Shack offer a service manual/schematic on their web site?

Not as far as I can see! They offer a parts list but no schematic.
Curious...

1292. Want to buy Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 21:33:57 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b40e824.1904818@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 63309

Must be in nice shape with manual and antenna.
Prefer local in NYC area, but will purchase online
let me know what you have
scosgt@worldnet.att.net

1293. Re: New CHP Website???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 21:40:33 UTC
From: Big Ron <bigron@ematic.com>
Message-ID: <52q1ktsi3g3osgdhvvob9kuqbgqtm6hv1t@4ax.com>
Source record: 63312

On Thu, 28 Jun 2001 14:40:29 -0700, Applebee <Applebee@invalid.xx.au>
wrote:

>On Thu, 28 Jun 2001 11:00:56 -0700, Eduardo Ortiz
> <tjms2krn3qhk20@corp.supernews.com> wrote:
>
>>Just found the following website:
>>
>>http://cad.chp.ca.gov/
>
> This has been around for years, it is a great site and was set
>up so that news media could follow all accidents that occurred
>in a area.

It can be used for other purposes too... I'm an emergency room nurse
at a Level III trauma center and one day we got a call from an air
ambulance service asking our neuro status because one of their birds
was going to a major, multi-car crash on a freeway in a neighboring
county. I went to a computer in our ER with internet access to look
what the CHP CAD website had to say about it. That crash wasn't what
cought my eye.... what caught my eye was a new incident, 3-4 miles
from the hospital was a vehicle roll-over, down a 15 foot embankment,
major injuries with victems trapped. I called our local ambulance
dispatch center and they said they were just dispatching a few
ambulances to this crash. This heads-up allowed us to move minor
patients out of our trauma suite and prepare for what was to come.
Thankfully the helicopter from the first incident didn't transport to
us... we were busy enough with our local incident.

1294. LTR Protocol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 21:59:01 UTC
From: sholle@link-comm.com
Message-ID: <ba83847d.0107021359.5307f33c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63310

Can anyone tell me how the check bits for the LTR protocol are calculated?

1295. Re: Radio Shack PRO-91 poor sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 22:12:19 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010702181219.05044.00002155@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 63311

> They offer a parts list but no schematic.
>Curious...

It's strange. There are two different Pro 91s, a 20-521 and a 20-521a (mine is the a). The parts list for the 521a gives a service manual, numbers 12093035 and m52000521. What's really strange is that the parts list for the 521a discribes it as a 300 ch scanner, my 521a is only 150 ch and I have never seen a Pro91 with 300 ch so suspect this is just a misprint.

I'll bet if you call them you will find that there is a service manual/schematic for your scanner.

Rob Mills ~~~

I never met a radio I didn't like - Will Rogers
(You don't know, He might have)

1296. Re: pro 71 mods needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 22:40:07 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b40f69d$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 63313

"Newplanter" <newplanter@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010702101534.11083.00001907@ng-bj1.aol.com...
> www.mods.dk
nothing for the pro 71.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1297. bc895 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 22:58:43 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b40fafa_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 63314

Has any body tried this one on the 895xlt.

Subject: bc890xlt-800mhz full restore

1. remove all cabinet screws.
2. remove 4 face plate screws and 1 bracket screw at center of main board.
3. carefully depress the outside edges of faceplate shield and tilt down.
4. unplug j4 and j5 and ribbon connectors j501 j502 and j503.
5. remove faceplate and logic board.
6. position board so microprossoe chip is on left and memory battery on
right.
7. locate the 3 chip resistors at chips upper right..marked 104 and
carefully desolder.
8. install the chip resistor at the 2 empty pads closest to memory battery.
9. reassemble carefully in reverse order.
10. test by entering freq. around 870 mhz.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1298. Re: Want to buy Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-02 23:07:05 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <r+O7i7BZ6PQ7EwiI@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 63315

In article <3b40e824.1904818@news-server.optonline.net>, Bruce Markowitz
<scosgt@worldnet.att.net> writes

>Must be in nice shape with manual and antenna.
>Prefer local in NYC area, but will purchase online
>let me know what you have

Whether they still have any in or what condition but there were some
available at:

http://www.hammerheadtech.com/

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation
http://www.javiation.co.uk

1299. Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 00:28:17 UTC
From: John Medica -System administrator <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3B4111A1.12653D95@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 63323

[No readable article body recovered]

1300. Looking for Randolph AF TX frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 00:28:23 UTC
From: Donald Harkins <donhark@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <6n807.97$b86.8709@nnrp1.sbc.net>
Source record: 63316

Hi all
Does anyone have a up to date list of frequencies for Randolph AF?
Thanks if you can help.
--
Donald Harkins

The South Central Texas Communications Resource
Http://www.geocities.com/donhark/

South Central Texas Comm Mailing List
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/sctcomm/

1301. Re: Radio Card Hack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 00:38:52 UTC
From: Bill Marcy <wetworx@wetworx.com>
Message-ID: <h252ktskr7qnjihmsni35odfebufrr7r25@4ax.com>
Source record: 63317

Any chance you coudl send the software over to me, I have one also,
but no software.

>Has anyone done any hacking of the Reveal FM radio card?
>
>Just curious what it's capabilities are...I picked one up for $5
>it seems to work fine but I would like to push the envelope a
>bit.
>
>Thanks in advance...
>Mark
>

1302. Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 00:48:30 UTC
From: ottoxxx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010702204830.17040.00002024@ng-fe1.aol.com>
Source record: 63318

Can someone help me with my choice of coax for an external vhf / uhf scanner antenna?
Should I go with the RG-8?
And what does the 'U' designate? RG-8 vs RG-8U?
TIA

1303. Civil Air Patrol Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 00:56:41 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dL807.33661$wh6.5963330@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 63319

Hi. The CAP has "Repeater In" freqs, and "Repeater Out" freqs. Which ones should I program in order to get both sides of the convo? Thanks.

1304. Seeking Info on WHAMO-10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 00:56:59 UTC
From: shadowlord2000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5434-3B41185B-24@storefull-126.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63321

Does anyone know when this receiver was first introduced to the market ?
Also, was this the first "programmable" scanning radio ?
After looking at a picture of one on a website, I just realized that
this is what my dad had some 25 years ago. I believe it used some kind
of metal combs or cards to program it. Seems like a wild design to me. I
assume these are collectors items now. Well, thanks for the help.

Chad

1305. Re: Looking for Randolph AF TX frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 01:21:39 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <D6907.128967$aA5.790295@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 63322

For aviation frequencies used at Randolph AFB go to
http://www.airnav.com/airport/KRND.

"Donald Harkins" <donhark@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:6n807.97$b86.8709@nnrp1.sbc.net...
> Hi all
> Does anyone have a up to date list of frequencies for Randolph AF?
> Thanks if you can help.
> --
> Donald Harkins
>
> The South Central Texas Communications Resource
> Http://www.geocities.com/donhark/
>
> South Central Texas Comm Mailing List
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/sctcomm/
>
>

1306. National Hurricane Center URL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 01:27:31 UTC
From: Rad radio <scarter50343@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7c907.11710$ck5.1241053@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63324
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Here is the URL for the National Hurricane Center:

http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/

Here is the URL for the 14.325 Hurricane Watch Net:

http://www.hwn.org

Bookmark these 2 URL's for future reference as we go into peak
hurricane season.

R. Carter

1307. Re: fried scanner use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 01:39:59 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <Pn907.571$Oo5.7037@news>
Source record: 63320

Thats how I got this one!It was sold as working but no sound. When I got it.
It didn't powerup at all! I couldn't be that foul.PS remind me not to buy
anything from you haha
Rob

"Chuck Cheese" <chuck@cheese.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.15a8ce10a26efa839896da@news.earthlink.net...
> In article <Qm9%6.400$Oo5.5052@news>, crandall@ee.net says...
> > Is there any way to use a fried scanner?
> >
> Sell it on Ebay as "Out of the Box" "As New Condition". Describe
> some great details that the scanner doesn't really have and sell
> it for bundle!

1308. Re: bc895 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 01:53:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <0A907.156105$DG1.25884182@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63325

Sounds good, but most likely bogus! I have NEVER seen, or heard of a mod to open up the 800MHz band on the BC895-XLT. Even Bandercom, who sells unblocked versions of Uniden Bearcat scanners, to my knowledge, never had an un-blocked BC895-XLT.

You have to be careful, as there are many post regarding mods for scanners that will turn a perfectly good scanner into a nice paper weight.

Bill Crocker

"Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com> wrote in message
news:3b40fafa_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Has any body tried this one on the 895xlt.
>
> Subject: bc890xlt-800mhz full restore
>
> 1. remove all cabinet screws.
> 2. remove 4 face plate screws and 1 bracket screw at center of main board.
> 3. carefully depress the outside edges of faceplate shield and tilt down.
> 4. unplug j4 and j5 and ribbon connectors j501 j502 and j503.
> 5. remove faceplate and logic board.
> 6. position board so microprossoe chip is on left and memory battery on
> right.
> 7. locate the 3 chip resistors at chips upper right..marked 104 and
> carefully desolder.
> 8. install the chip resistor at the 2 empty pads closest to memory
battery.
> 9. reassemble carefully in reverse order.
> 10. test by entering freq. around 870 mhz.
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1309. Get Your City Scanner Online Now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 02:07:23 UTC
From: Champ <champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <01c10364$d554c540$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a>
Source record: 63326
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,ott.general,rec.radio.scanner

Is your Citiy's scanner online? If not why not put it online. Join the
group below and learn of other cities online now
--
Live Scanner Audio is here: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont

1310. 360 scanner pictures plus links to scanner manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 02:28:20 UTC
From: "Dan B (Scanner Nuts)" <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <71a07.66555$HJ1.950840@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 63327
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I've just added links to the pictures page for manuals of scanners.
I have had so many request for manuals here lately so I found all I could
find.
Come check out all the scanner pictures and enjoy.
Last week I noticed I hadn't uploaded about 30 pictures so you might have
gotten a "not found" error. All pictures are now uploaded and working.

--
The Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
0ver 360 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
New and Old.... bring back the memories.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

1311. Re: Civil Air Patrol Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 02:50:22 UTC
From: lbrcly@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010702225022.10826.00000128@ng-fm1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63328

>Hi. The CAP has "Repeater In" freqs, and "Repeater Out" freqs. Which ones
>should I program in order to get both sides of the convo? Thanks.

Use the out freqs. the repeater broadcasts everything it hears on the out.
148.150 is the main repeater out freq.

1312. UPR 6.900 USB back on the air?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 03:13:39 UTC
From: gherkin jerk <fpotters2@aol.com>
Message-ID: <DLa07.14313$eL5.1626361@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63329
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Just heard Steve of United Patriot Radio back
on the air at 10:00 PM Central time on 6.900 mHz
Upper Sideband. Live transmission. Not as strong
a signal but there nonetheless. Has UPR returned??
7/2/2001

1313. Re: Multiband NMO antenna info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 03:41:42 UTC
From: Jonathan Olson <jaolson@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B413F26.4C9E47F8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 63330
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I use the MaxScan1000 from Maxrad antennas. It works great on all the bands that you mentioned, is low profile (maybe 18" with a center coil) and looks great on the roof of my truck.

Jonathan Olson
KG4KPP

"M. Schneider" wrote:

> After years of using dualband commercial antennas for my mobile scanner,
> I've finally gotten a trunking scanner for my car, only to find my antenna
> is deaf on 800 MHz trunks. Any suggestions on a good multiband mobile
> antenna with a NMO mount. I need to cover 140-174, 440-470, and 850-866,
> with 39-46 MHz a plus. The antenna needs to mount to a NMO mount, although
> I do have adapters for NMO to SO239 and NMO to 3/8-24 thd, I'd rather not
> have to use them. The system I'm wanting to monitor is the Okla. Highway
> Patrol, with the nearest tower about 35-40 miles away. I can get them by
> using the antenna from my BC245 on a window-lip mount, so it shouldn't be a
> problem for a decent mobile antenna. Posting to the group(s) is preferred,
> as others might benefit from this information.
>
> Thanks and 73,
> Mark K5MAR

1314. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 04:45:19 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15aaed6b514cdbc798976a@news>
Source record: 63331

In article <20010702204830.17040.00002024@ng-fe1.aol.com>,
ottoxxx@aol.com says...
> Can someone help me with my choice of coax for an external vhf / uhf scanner
> antenna?
> Should I go with the RG-8?
> And what does the 'U' designate? RG-8 vs RG-8U?
>

How long of a run do you have between the radio and antenna?

I would recommend Times microwave LMR-400 if you want the closest thing to hardline at coax cost. :-) OTOH if you aren't out to capture every last electron possible just great solid local reception I would go with some RG6 double or triple shield. Not quite as good as the LMR stuff but far better, hands down than RG8. I use both and have dumped the RG8 crud forever.

Glenn

1315. Difference in Pro-91 and Pro-91a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 05:59:51 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010703015951.16119.00002786@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Source record: 63332

RS shows two versions of the Pro-91, they show a 200-0521 and 200-0521a. They even have seperate parts lists and manual numbers.

Any one know what the difference is?????

Rob Mills ~~~

I never met a radio I didn't like - Will Rogers
(You don't know, He might have)

1316. Re: ABS Scanner page...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 07:46:48 UTC
From: DJ6JZ <DJ6JZ@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <3b417efc_2@news.arcor-ip.de>
Source record: 63333

Tram <tramd300@goingplatinum.com> schrieb in im Newsbeitrag:
2bd4c5f2.0106290729.3fe3f57e@posting.google.com...
snip
> Does anyone have a new site for this or any other one that a person
> can receive police calls?

Try the Audio option of
http://www.radio-portal.org

Click on "Search in broad" and combine the categories "Scanners" and
"Audio".

Regards,
Willi

1317. Re: LAPD Gone Digital

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 09:02:03 UTC
From: chuck@NOSPAM**latraffic.org
Message-ID: <3b4388d8.4799001@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63334

Not unless you convert the laptop into a radio. It can be "connected to" a radio and made to decrypt MDT messages. Not legal but it can be done. Look for a program called "MDT Monitor".

On Mon, 02 Jul 2001 05:02:59 GMT, "Armando Lopez" <al90064@earthlink.net> wrote:

>How about MDT freqs? Can a laptop be made to receive MDT signals?
>

1318. Re: New CHP Website???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 09:08:51 UTC
From: chuck@NOSPAM**latraffic.org
Message-ID: <3b4489e8.5071315@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63335

At our station we have a "Media CAD" which is a direct connection to the CHP mainframe that does not go through the internet. It also allows us to send/receive messages from the Traffic Management Center.

If you hear the station mention something that's not there, it probably means that the log hasn't been updated but CHP Dispatch. Although to the untrained eye, it might appear as if the Traffic Reporter doesn't know what he/she is talking about. I assure that is usually not the case.

On Fri, 29 Jun 2001 15:34:58 GMT, Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com> wrote:

>I can't tell you how many times I
>listen to local radio stations that are obviously getting their info
>from the aforementioned CADweb site (I've driven through some of the
>"problem" areas the stations referenced right after it was mentioned
>and found nothing going on at all on more than one occasion...some
>stations also point out that their info comes from CADweb).

1319. Re: Pro 91 - CHP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 09:33:47 UTC
From: chuck@NOSPAM**latraffic.org
Message-ID: <3b468eaa.6289391@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63336

As suggested, you'll want to get another antenna for low freq reception. The "rubber duck" antennas that cone with the scanners are of almost no use at the lower freq's. Try the $9.95 telescopic antenna at Radio Shack.

Also, I'm not sure which CHP division you're in but here's the whole state...

http://www.latraffic.org/radioman/chp/chp.html

Have fun!

On Tue, 12 Jun 2001 12:44:30 GMT, tmssac@hotmailnospam.com (Tom Sanborn) wrote:

>I'm a Pro 91 Newbie. Can anyone tell me if this unit will pick up the
>California Highway Patrol? Information I've been able to find shows
>the frequencies in the 42.xx range, but I'm not reveiving anything in
>that range.
>
>Thanks
>
>Tom

1320. Re: Radio Card Hack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 12:03:25 UTC
From: sells@sagebrush.com
Message-ID: <NSbQ7MgPaBpU092yn@sagebrush.com>
Source record: 63337

In article <lo307.2255$Fy3.204613@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Mark Daniell" <MaDaniell@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>Has anyone done any hacking of the Reveal FM radio card?
>
>Just curious what it's capabilities are...I picked one up for $5
>it seems to work fine but I would like to push the envelope a
>bit.
...

Here is a link for hacking a different radio card

http://www.redsword.com/tjacobs/geeb/fmcard.htm

extending the frequency range. Perhaps this could give
you some ideas.

Jim
+-+
Jim Sells Sagebrush Systems,Inc www.sagebrush.com sells@sagebrush.com
TVactive 1.0 TV VCR recorder; VCRadio 1.4 radio+recorder+timer
RecAll-PRO 1.2 advanced audio recorder; RecAll 2.4 sound-activated recorder
WinChime 2.5 wind chime; WaveSong 2.4 nature sound; MouSing 2.3 theremin

1321. Re: UPR 6.900 USB back on the air?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 12:47:56 UTC
From: av8tor@licensed4fun.com
Message-ID: <9hsetr$1soa$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63338
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <DLa07.14313$eL5.1626361@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
fpotters2@aol.com says...
>
>Just heard Steve of United Patriot Radio back
>on the air at 10:00 PM Central time on 6.900 mHz
>Upper Sideband. Live transmission. Not as strong
>a signal but there nonetheless. Has UPR returned??
>7/2/2001
>
>

Finally! Been missing this station for several days! One of the few good
broadcasters on Shortwave. Hay, aleast its not another Religion Broadcast
which 90% of the SW Stations are!
George
http://www.Licensed4Fun.com

--

_____
I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
_____

1322. Re: National Hurricane Center URL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 13:35:28 UTC
From: jnorfolk@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <c332f806.0107030535.250676ac@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Rad radio" <scarter50343@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<7c907.11710$ck5.1241053@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> Here is the URL for the National Hurricane Center:
>
> http://www.nhc.noaa.gov/
>
> Here is the URL for the 14.325 Hurricane Watch Net:
>
> http://www.hwn.org
>
> Bookmark these 2 URL's for future reference as we go into peak
> hurricane season.
>
> R. Carter

Don't forget Bill Synder's Hurricane Frequencies list at

http://www.hurricanefrequencies.com

1323. Re: Pro-92 Sensitivity varies unit to unit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 13:54:56 UTC
From: pelikandrp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010703095456.10242.00004034@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 63340

>Take a look at the web site
>
>www.pro-92.com

Wow!...a goldmine site.
Thanks much.

"if you love a man's garden...you gotta love the man"
-Frank Serpico

1324. Spam vs. Acceptable posting?? !RE: BCT7 BearTracker 100 Chnl Scanner 3day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 15:00:54 UTC
From: Feline Technologies <nukingcats@_REMOVETHIS_home.com>
Message-ID: <G6l07.146158$L4.17687076@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 63341
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Below is a copy of an e-mail (they actually sent me the same message 5 times, one to each account I have!....is that spam???) If I am in violation of any policies in rec.radio.swap, or rec.radio.swap please let me know. (instead of just whining to my ISP) The only thing I did different in the post below was promote three of my auctions. Is this wrong? Let me know.

Thanks,
Adam / kc7ljq

nukingcats (at) home (dot) com

"Feline Technologies" <nukingcats@_REMOVETHIS_home.com> wrote in message news:...
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248848397
>
> -ALSO-
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248347551
>
> -ALSO-
> Pro 75 Radio shack 200 Chnl scanner / ht / NR
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248350735
>
> Thanks for looking.
>
> Adam
> nukingcats (at) home (dot) com
>
>

1325. Re: Spam vs. Acceptable posting?? !RE: BCT7 BearTracker 100 Chnl Scanner 3day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 15:42:04 UTC
From: Feline Technologies <nukingcats@_REMOVETHIS_home.com>
Message-ID: <gJl07.146164$L4.17705821@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 63342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Forgot to quote what @ Home sent me:

We're sending this message to you in response to many complaints
regarding off-charter and/or commercial Usenet postings (Subject: FA: 2
lots / Uniden BCT7 BearTracker 100 Chnl Scanner 3day) sent from your
@Home account. This behavior is in violation of the @Home Acceptable Use
Policy and future reports of this activity could result in termination
of your @Home services. If you would like further information about the
@Home AUP, it is posted at http://www.home.net/aup. The relevant section
is quoted below. We will also mail you a hard copy of this
communication. Thank you for your cooperation.

The @Home Network AUP Management Team

Newsgroups

Messages posted to newsgroups must comply with the written charters or
FAQs for those newsgroups. Advertisements, solicitations, or other
commercial messages should be posted only in those newsgroups whose
charters or FAQs explicitly permit them. You are responsible for
determining the policies of a given newsgroup before posting to it.

Posting or cross-posting the same or substantially similar messages to
more than 9 newsgroups is prohibited. Our news software will
automatically cancel any messages posted to nine or more newsgroups.

Forging, altering or removing header information is prohibited. This
includes attempting to circumvent the approval process for posting to a
moderated newsgroup.

"Feline Technologies" <nukingcats@_REMOVETHIS_home.com> wrote in message
news:G6l07.146158$L4.17687076@news1.rdc1.az.home.com...
> Below is a copy of an e-mail (they actually sent me the same message 5
> times, one to each account I have!....is that spam???) If I am in
violation
> of any policies in rec.radio.swap, or rec.radio.swap please let me know.
> (instead of just whining to my ISP) The only thing I did different in the
> post below was promote three of my auctions. Is this wrong? Let me know.
>
> Thanks,
> Adam / kc7ljq
>
> nukingcats (at) home (dot) com

>
>
> "Feline Technologies" <nukingcats@_REMOVETHIS_home.com> wrote in message
> news:...
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248848397
> >
> > -ALSO-
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248347551
> >
> > -ALSO-
> > Pro 75 Radio shack 200 Chnl scanner / ht / NR
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248350735
> >
> > Thanks for looking.
> >
> > Adam
> > nukingcats (at) home (dot) com
> >
> >
>
>

1326. ICOM 8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 17:20:28 UTC
From: Bob <d@s.c>
Message-ID: <zan07.1381$r7.22261@quark.idirect.com>
Source record: 63343

For sale $1500 Canadian or US equivalent, as new, 800 Mhz unblocked, manual, power supply, no box. You pay ship and handle.

1327. Re: Plectron Radio Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 17:34:49 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010703133449.26067.00002369@ng-fb1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63344

>Subject: Plectron Radio Mods
>From: "Jason Ellsworth" shaggy@globaleyes.net
>Date: 7/2/01 2:27 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <tk1f94evl4d3e8@corp.supernews.com>
>
>I am looking for a site or some way to modify my old plectron tone alert
>receiver. I got it off of a friend of mine. Please e-mail me if anyone
>knows of a way to modify it and what the mods are for (opening the rx.,
>extend this, open the what ever). I knew of an ftp site that these mods
>were generated from and they were posted in the newsgroups but now I can't
>seem to find anything about them anymore, besides that the radio is
>old..lol.
>
>Please e-mail shaggy@globaleyes.net with any information
>

There is a semi-Monthly posting about these units made to the and
alt.radio.scanner,
read back a few months, also it should come out again soonenough.

= = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1328. Re: UPR 6.900 USB back on the air?!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 17:44:42 UTC
From: mtgx@4motion.org
Message-ID: <7002fc78.0107030944.685ad600@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63345
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Search the newsgroup for "United Patriot Radio". You'll see that I posted logs of UPR for at least two nights worth of broadcasts last week (week of 6/25). This was after Anderson announced he was voluntarily shutting down the station. Then there was a rumor circulated that he was busted by the FCC on either 6/26 or 6/27; this rumor I believe made it as far as Glenn Hauser's show; however, UPR continued to broadcast AFTER the purported bust. The bottom line here seems to be that UPR is NOT off the air; my feeling is that the voluntary shutdowns and rumored busts are concocted in order to keep listeners on their toes and maybe keep the operator of the station from getting bored. His broadcasts are becoming more sporadic, which also support this theory.

Cheers,
Miles

"gherkin jerk" <fpotters2@aol.com> wrote in message
news:<DLa07.14313$eL5.1626361@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> Just heard Steve of United Patriot Radio back
> on the air at 10:00 PM Central time on 6.900 mHz
> Upper Sideband. Live transmission. Not as strong
> a signal but there nonetheless. Has UPR returned??
> 7/2/2001

1329. Re: Need Freq's For Grand Floridian Resort in Walt Disney Florida

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 17:49:59 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010703134959.26067.00002372@ng-fb1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63346

>Subject: Need Freq's For Grand Floridian Resort in Walt Disney Florida
>From: globalcabl@aol.com (GlobalCabl)
>Date: 6/30/01 9:13 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010630211338.14789.00001568@ng-cd1.aol.com>
>
>Going there Mon to Fri would appreciate any freq's for the hotel
>

Page 411 and 412 of Police Call list 2 Systems:
800 Trunked and 900 Trunked.

A Trunk Tracker will be needed to sort through the mass of chatter on the air.

200-4 Grand Floridian
400-1 Magic Kingdom, Fort Wilderness and Grand Floridian Security (Mike 1)

There is about 80 Frequencies listed, I regret that I do not have them on my machine to send, but there is time to grab a copy of Police Call or the CD Rom.

Also try Scanning the FRS Frequencies, I was just there and always use my extra Talkabout 250 on Scan to hear whats going on with visitors.

Safe trip,

= = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1330. Re: Radio Shack Pro 2021 Docs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 17:51:58 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010703135158.26067.00002373@ng-fb1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63397

>Subject: Radio Shack Pro 2021 Docs.
>From: jammtc2000@yahoo.com (jodia)
>Date: 6/30/01 8:23 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <cd33b517.0106301623.451e7821@posting.google.com>
>
>If anyone has documentation on the Pro 2021 I would be greatful if
>you shared it.
>
>Radio Shack's web site has operation and detailed parts list but nothing
>else.
>
>Also I just received this device and it says that it is a fm/am scanner
>receiver yet I see no way to enter an am/fm frequency?????
>
>
>Thanks
>
>
>Jodia
>

AM/FM and WBFM (Radio Stations) are 3 different animals.

Most Scanners will work AM (Air) and FM (Walkie Talkies) but WBFM (Radio
Stations) are not always featured, less so on older units.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1331. pro92a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 18:00:17 UTC
From: roncathers@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25718-3B421641-248@storefull-296.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63403

forsale-200.00 dollars shipping done by me staight to your
address!\ selling it due to finacial problems---runs great up here
in colorodo!!!!!

RON CATHERS

1332. BC55XLT/Pro-38 schematics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 18:01:43 UTC
From: "Peter E. Orban" <peter.orban@nrc.ca>
Message-ID: <3B420887.DF4C5CF6@nrc.ca>
Source record: 63402

I picked up the above scanner for $1.00 at a flea market, and it needs repair. The keyboard/display seems to be working, but only noise is coming out checking it against an operational receiver on the 2m band. The local RS store wants about $40.00 for the service manual that I find a bit excessive.
Could someone please help me out with the schematics and possibly with alignment instructions?

Thanks, Peter
--
Peter E. Orban
National Research Council of Canada
e-mail: peter.orban@nrc.ca

1333. FS Radios & Whelen Equip.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 18:24:07 UTC
From: carcomm911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010703142407.22538.00002234@ng-cb1.aol.com>
Source record: 63398

Following items are for sale. All radios are used, but clean and in good working condition. Radios all come with FREE programming to your specs, (excluding 800 MHz models). Prices DO NOT include shipping. Serious inquires only please to: CarComm911@aol.com

2 - Kenwood TK-730 VHF Mobiles. 32 Channels, 45 Watt, scan, mounting brackett, and mic. Asking $200 each.

2 - Motorola VHF HT600's, radio, battery, and antenna. Asking $100 each

3 - Motorola VHF HT600's, radio only, Asking $75 each

2 - Motorola/Radius GM300 UHF Mobiles, 40 watt, scan. Asking $ 250 each

1 - Motorola Maxtrac UHF, 2 Channel, 40 watt. Asking $ 75

2 - Motorola Spectra 800 MHz, B7 Remote Mount model, high power. Asking $ 300 each.

3 - Motorola Spectra 800 MHz, B7 Dash mount model, high power. Asking $ 275 each.

1 - Motorola MTX 820 "classic" - Smartnet portable, 3 watt, 3 Sys, 6 Sub, or 6 sys & 3 sub, total 18 talkgroups. Asking $ 250

**Also a Whelen dealer! Awesome prices on sales and local installations in the Metro Atlanta, Georgia area! ! !

1334. Re: BC245XLT Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 18:32:27 UTC
From: DrTurbo <drturbo@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3B420FBB.51EF4820@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63399
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thats because the 245 admits only 5 kHz steps on
VHF Hi. 12.5 steps are allowed on UHF and 800.

DrTurbo

"Bï¿½ian" wrote:
>
> I have the same problem....I don't know, man... <shrug>
>
> -BHall
>
> "TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:aIt_6.28018$wh6.4996615@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> > I have a BC245XLT, and whenever I try programming a freq like 148.5375, it
> > rounds it up to 148.54. Is this the same frequency, or a totally different
> > one? Thanks.
> >
> >

1335. Re: Spam vs. Acceptable posting?? !RE: BCT7 BearTracker 100 Chnl Scanner 3day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 18:44:54 UTC
From: BK <regaldriver@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B421311.D6B276C4@yahoo.com>
Source record: 63400
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I think @home is picky about this. I received an email and a letter in
the mail. I offered a scanner for sale at a good price. Well I won't do
it again. Just go to show there are some real red necked babies in here
who have nothing better to do. Ruins it for the rest of us.

Feline Technologies wrote:
>
> Below is a copy of an e-mail (they actually sent me the same message 5
> times, one to each account I have!....is that spam???) If I am in violation
> of any policies in rec.radio.swap, or rec.radio.swap please let me know.
> (instead of just whining to my ISP) The only thing I did different in the
> post below was promote three of my auctions. Is this wrong? Let me know.
>
> Thanks,
> Adam / kc7ljq
>
> nukingcats (at) home (dot) com
>
> "Feline Technologies" <nukingcats@_REMOVETHIS_home.com> wrote in message
> news:...
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248848397
> >
> > -ALSO-
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248347551
> >
> > -ALSO-
> > Pro 75 Radio shack 200 Chnl scanner / ht / NR
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248350735
> >
> > Thanks for looking.
> >
> > Adam
> > nukingcats (at) home (dot) com
> >
> >

1336. Auctions - Re: Spam vs. Acceptable posting?? !RE: BCT7 BearTracker 100 Chnl Scanner 3day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 18:49:23 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10107031840180.2669-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 63401
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The simple promoting of an auction on a for-sale/trade type newsgroup (of which only ONE of the two groups to which this thread cross-posts is) is not wrong. However, there is a missing element here: The original title.

For an auction notice NOT to be treated as spam, there are generally two conditions that the consensus of those who frequent the for-sale/trade newsgroups seem to agree on:

1) The title/subject of the post must indicate that it is an auction. This means that either it starts "FA:" or contains the word auction in it. It is insufficient to merely leave the auction indicator for the body of the post.

2) It should be posted INFREQUENTLY - generally once when the auction opens, and once just before it closes (usually, "X hours left" accompanies those). If the auction is a week or more long, then one additional post at the midpoint may be acceptable. However, once per day or more often seem to cross the line into spam.

Auctions should NEVER be promoted via e-mail - with one exception: A copy of an auction of an item may be sent in RESPONSE to a recent newsgroup post seeking the same item. (Recent means less than one week.)

If my understanding of the above is flawed or incomplete in any way, I'm certain that others will fill in.

On Tue, 3 Jul 2001, Feline Technologies wrote:
> Below is a copy of an e-mail (they actually sent me the same message 5
> times, one to each account I have!....is that spam???) If I am in violation
> of any policies in rec.radio.swap, or rec.radio.swap please let me know.
> (instead of just whining to my ISP) The only thing I did different in the
> post below was promote three of my auctions. Is this wrong? Let me know.
>
> Thanks,
> Adam / kc7ljq
>
> nukingcats (at) home (dot) com
>
>
> "Feline Technologies" <nukingcats@_REMOVETHIS_home.com> wrote in message
> news:...
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248848397
> >
> > -ALSO-
> >

> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248347551
> >
> > -ALSO-
> > Pro 75 Radio shack 200 Chnl scanner / ht / NR
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248350735
> >
> > Thanks for looking.
> >
> > Adam
> > nukingcats (at) home (dot) com

1337. Scanning Over the Holiday?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 19:19:45 UTC
From: - <psleprob@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3B421A96.3F8A7291@hotmail.com>
Source record: 63404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If you are traveling over the holidays and plan to take your scanner with you, check out the 25+ preprogrammed scanner files that you can access off of the 245xlt Resource Page. Even if you don't have a 245xlt, this has excellent documentation files that will help you program another type of scanner. Using the FREEware utilities (link provided at the site), you can reprogram a 245xlt scanner for a new location in about a minute (from start to finish), and just as importantly, reprogram it back to its "home" configuration in the same amount of time when you get home!

The database is slowly increasing due to the efforts of a few of our fellow 245xlt enthusiasts. If you haven't checked out the database in a while, things have probably changed a bit.

http://home.earthlink.net/~dougfisher/scanner.html

IF YOU DON'T SEE YOUR HOME CITY OR YOUR VACATION DESTINATION LISTED, PLEASE SUBMIT YOUR SCANNER'S DATA FILE SO THAT OTHERS CAN BENEFIT FROM YOUR EFFORTS!!!

Happy "Fourth" to everyone,
Doug

1338. Re: ICOM 8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 20:35:08 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B422C7B.16A37AF4@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63405

Bob,

Do you happen to know what the exchange rate is these days?

Walt

Bob wrote:
>
> For sale $1500 Canadian or US equivalent, as new, 800 Mhz unblocked, manual,
> power supply, no box. You pay ship and handle.

1339. try this out

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 21:31:53 UTC
From: current_gothic@sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <3b4239aa.12196469@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 63406

A little while back, I was browsing through news groups, just like you
are
now,
and came across an article to this that said you
could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial
investment
of
$6.00! So I thought," Yeah, right, this must be a
scam", but like most of us, I was curious, so I kept reading. Anyway,
it
said
that you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and
address stated in the article. You then place your own name and
address in
the
bottom of the list at #6, and post the article in at
least 200 news groups. (There are thousands) No catch, that was it. So
after
thinking it over, and talking to a few people first, I
thought about trying it. I figured what have I got to lose except 6
stamps
and
$6.00, right? Like most of us I was a skeptical and
a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. So I checked it
out with
the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) they confirmed
that it is indeed legal! Then I invested the measly $6.00. Well GUESS
WHAT!!...
within 7 days, I started getting money in the
mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon, but the money just
kept
coming in. In my first week, I made $25.00. By the
end of the second week I had made a total of over $1,000.00! In the
third
week
I had over $10,000.00 and it's still growing.
This is now my fourth week and I have made a total of just over
$42,000.00
and
it's still coming in rapidly. It's certainly worth
$6.00, and 6 stamps, I have spent more than that on the lottery!! Let
me
tell
you how this works and most importantly, why it

works....also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you
can
get
the information off of it as you need it. STEP 1:
Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece
of
paper
"PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING
LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6
pieces of
paper so the bill will not be seen through the
envelope to prevent thievery. Next, place one paper in each of the 6
envelopes
and seal them. You should now have 6 sealed
envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the above phrase, your
name
and
address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is
creating a service by this. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! Mail the 6
envelopes
to
the following addresses:

1) Snow Raven
94 Prince of Wales Drive
Belleville,Ontario,Canada
K8P2T8

STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the
other
names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...)
and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list. STEP 3: Change anything you
need
to,
but try to keep this article as close to
original as possible. Now, post your amended article to at least 200
news
groups. (I think there are close to 24,000 groups) All
you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money you
make!
---DIRECTIONS -----HOW TO POST TO
NEWSGROUPS---------- Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire
letter
to
do your own posting. Simply put your
cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your cursor to the
bottom of
this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu.
This will copy the entire letter into the computers memory. Step 2)
Open a
blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top

of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will
paste a
copy
of the letter into notepad so that you can add your
name to the list. Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file.
If you
want to do your postings in different sittings, you'll
always have this file to go back to. Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet
explorer
and try searching for various news groups
(on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.) Step 5)
Visit
these
message boards and post this article as a new
message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste
from the
edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header
that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a
particular
group, click the post message button. You're done
with your first one! Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do
is jump
to
different news groups and post away, after you
get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each news group!
**REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU
POST IN, THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM
OF
200**
That's it!
You will begin receiving money from around the world within days! You
may
eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large
amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you
can
invent
a name to use, as long as the postman will
deliver it. **JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.** Now the
WHY
part:
Out of 200 postings,
say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example). So then I made
$5.00 with
my
name at #6 on the letter. Now, each of the 5
persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each
with my
name
at #5 and only 5 persons respond to
each of the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me, now those 25

each
make
200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and
only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00! Now, those
125
persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200
with my name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an
additional
$626.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of
those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at #2 and
they
each
only receive 5 replies, that just made me
$3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200
news
groups with my name at #1 and if still 5 persons
per 200 news groups react I will receive $15,625,00! With a original
investment
of only $6.00! AMAZING! When your name
is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting in the news
groups,
and send out another $6.00 to names on the list,
putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again. The
thing to
remember is, do you realize that thousands of people
all over the world are joining the Internet and reading these articles
everyday, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So can you afford
$6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so... People have said,
"what if
the
plan is played out and no one sends you the
money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there are
tons
of
new honest users and new honest people who
are joining the Internet and news groups everyday and are willing to
give it
a
try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users,
every day, with thousands of those joining the actual Internet.
Remember,
play
FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will work.

1340. f.s. midland portable radios 30.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 21:39:19 UTC
From: JP <brontebear@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <bYq07.8769$mY2.275609@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 63407

forsale:

5 midland portable radios model 70-155b
excellent condition

3 have keypads - 80 channel capable
2 have no keypads - 36 channel capable
synthasized radios , no crystals to buy -
about 20.00 to get programmed by most radioshops

both have scan
transmit/busy led
operate from 138 -174 mhz
these units do not come with batteries

1 have 2 stubby antennas and 1 6" antenna
the first 3 sales get them,

I have 2 heavy duty drop in chargers

i would like 30.00US for each radio
i would like 30.00US for each charger

package deal of cours.

prices include basic insured shipping via
USPS.

I take "international Postal checks"

thanks
tvdude69@home.com

1341. Re: Multiband NMO antenna info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 21:48:31 UTC
From: webmaster@scanatlanta.com
Message-ID: <3b423ca8.1225546@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 63408
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I use a 1/4 wave 800 MHz antenna on my car for my 800 MHz Spectra
radio and it works great all around the Metro Atlanta area (most all
jurisdictions in the Metro area are on /\/\ 800). I can be upwards of
50 miles from the furthest repeater as still receive! The antenna is
small and not very noticible. I figured that if the police/fire/ems
use it, it's got to be good!

Now, if you use your scanner for frequencies other than 800 MHz, that
could be a different story.

/\/\|k.e
webmaster@scanatlanta
www.ScanAtlanta.com

On Tue, 03 Jul 2001 03:41:42 GMT, Jonathan Olson
<jaolson@earthlink.net> wrote:

>I use the MaxScan1000 from Maxrad antennas. It works great on all the bands
>that you mentioned, is low profile (maybe 18" with a center coil) and looks
>great on the roof of my truck.
>
>Jonathan Olson
>KG4KPP
>
>"M. Schneider" wrote:
>
>> After years of using dualband commercial antennas for my mobile scanner,
>> I've finally gotten a trunking scanner for my car, only to find my antenna
>> is deaf on 800 MHz trunks. Any suggestions on a good multiband mobile
>> antenna with a NMO mount. I need to cover 140-174, 440-470, and 850-866,
>> with 39-46 MHz a plus. The antenna needs to mount to a NMO mount, although
>> I do have adapters for NMO to SO239 and NMO to 3/8-24 thd, I'd rather not
>> have to use them. The system I'm wanting to monitor is the Okla. Highway
>> Patrol, with the nearest tower about 35-40 miles away. I can get them by
>> using the antenna from my BC245 on a window-lip mount, so it shouldn't be a
>> problem for a decent mobile antenna. Posting to the group(s) is preferred,
>> as others might benefit from this information.
>>
>> Thanks and 73,
>> Mark K5MAR
>

1342. Icom R7000 Remote Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 22:01:29 UTC
From: Bud <Twenty4Pack@Yahoo.Com>
Message-ID: <72f4ktsqgpdccjg44264hsbv8h951o7ji0@4ax.com>
Source record: 63409

Hi,

I bought an R7000 the other day, but it didn't come with an infrared
remote control. I searched using Google & AltaVista for a replacement,
even went to several remote control manufacturer sites. No luck.
Do any of you know if one of the universal remote controls are capable
of operating with the Icom R7000? If so, I'd sure appreciate a reply.

Thanks,
Bud

1343. Re: Icom R7000 Remote Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 22:05:17 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <xkr07.158387$DG1.26428091@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63410

It's not included with the R7000, it is an option. You might check Ebay
from time, to time. Did you try Icom, to see if any are left? I would say
it's unlikely that the universal ones would work.

Bill Crocker

"Bud" <Twenty4Pack@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message
news:72f4ktsqgpdccjg44264hsbv8h951o7ji0@4ax.com...
> Hi,
>
> I bought an R7000 the other day, but it didn't come with an infrared
> remote control. I searched using Google & AltaVista for a replacement,
> even went to several remote control manufacturer sites. No luck.
> Do any of you know if one of the universal remote controls are capable
> of operating with the Icom R7000? If so, I'd sure appreciate a reply.
>
> Thanks,
> Bud

1344. Re: Auctions - Re: Spam vs. Acceptable posting?? !RE: BCT7 BearTracker 100 Chnl Scanner 3day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 22:06:50 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b424166.1946725@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 63412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Ah, the newsgroup Police speak, once again deciding what is acceptable
and what is not.

On Tue, 03 Jul 2001 18:49:23 GMT, "D. Stussy"
<kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote:

>The simple promoting of an auction on a for-sale/trade type newsgroup (of which
>only ONE of the two groups to which this thread cross-posts is) is not wrong.
>However, there is a missing element here: The original title.
>
>For an auction notice NOT to be treated as spam, there are generally two
>conditions that the consensus of those who frequent the for-sale/trade
>newsgroups seem to agree on:
>
>1) The title/subject of the post must indicate that it is an auction. This
>means that either it starts "FA:" or contains the word auction in it. It is
>insufficient to merely leave the auction indicator for the body of the post.
>
>2) It should be posted INFREQUENTLY - generally once when the auction opens,
>and once just before it closes (usually, "X hours left" accompanies those). If
>the auction is a week or more long, then one additional post at the midpoint may
>be acceptable. However, once per day or more often seem to cross the line into
>spam.
>
>Auctions should NEVER be promoted via e-mail - with one exception: A copy of an
>auction of an item may be sent in RESPONSE to a recent newsgroup post seeking
>the same item. (Recent means less than one week.)
>
>If my understanding of the above is flawed or incomplete in any way, I'm certain
>that others will fill in.
>
>On Tue, 3 Jul 2001, Feline Technologies wrote:
>> Below is a copy of an e-mail (they actually sent me the same message 5
>> times, one to each account I have!....is that spam???) If I am in violation
>> of any policies in rec.radio.swap, or rec.radio.swap please let me know.
>> (instead of just whining to my ISP) The only thing I did different in the
>> post below was promote three of my auctions. Is this wrong? Let me know.
>>
>> Thanks,
>> Adam / kc7ljq
>>
>> nukingcats (at) home (dot) com
>>

>>
>> "Feline Technologies" <nukingcats@_REMOVETHIS_home.com> wrote in message
>> news:...
>> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248848397
>> >
>> > -ALSO-
>> >
>> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248347551
>> >
>> > -ALSO-
>> > Pro 75 Radio shack 200 Chnl scanner / ht / NR
>> >
>> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248350735
>> >
>> > Thanks for looking.
>> >
>> > Adam
>> > nukingcats (at) home (dot) com
>

1345. Re: Spam vs. Acceptable posting?? !RE: BCT7 BearTracker 100 Chnl Scanner 3day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 22:07:50 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Wmr07.158389$DG1.26429494@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63411
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Just ignore it. It's a "canned" warning, nothing more. If you called them, chances are no actual person would know what you were talking about. They don't have time for such nonsense.

Bill Crocker

"Feline Technologies" <nukingcats@_REMOVETHIS_home.com> wrote in message news:G6l07.146158$L4.17687076@news1.rdc1.az.home.com...
> Below is a copy of an e-mail (they actually sent me the same message 5
> times, one to each account I have!....is that spam???) If I am in violation
> of any policies in rec.radio.swap, or rec.radio.swap please let me know.
> (instead of just whining to my ISP) The only thing I did different in the
> post below was promote three of my auctions. Is this wrong? Let me know.
>
> Thanks,
> Adam / kc7ljq
>
> nukingcats (at) home (dot) com
>
>
> "Feline Technologies" <nukingcats@_REMOVETHIS_home.com> wrote in message
> news:...
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248848397
> >
> > -ALSO-
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248347551
> >
> > -ALSO-
> > Pro 75 Radio shack 200 Chnl scanner / ht / NR
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248350735
> >
> > Thanks for looking.
> >
> > Adam
> > nukingcats (at) home (dot) com
> >
> >
>
>

1346. Re: Spam vs. Acceptable posting?? !RE: BCT7 BearTracker 100 Chnl Scanner 3day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 22:25:11 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <bDr07.106788$mG4.51391463@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 63413
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Same thing happened to me. How about a class action against
@Home if they want to press this issue?
DeWayne

"BK" <regaldriver@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3B421311.D6B276C4@yahoo.com...
> I think @home is picky about this. I received an email and
a letter in
> the mail. I offered a scanner for sale at a good price.
Well I won't do
> it again. Just go to show there are some real red necked
babies in here
> who have nothing better to do. Ruins it for the rest of
us.
>
> Feline Technologies wrote:
> >
> > Below is a copy of an e-mail (they actually sent me the
same message 5
> > times, one to each account I have!....is that spam???)
If I am in violation
> > of any policies in rec.radio.swap, or rec.radio.swap
please let me know.
> > (instead of just whining to my ISP) The only thing I did
different in the
> > post below was promote three of my auctions. Is this
wrong? Let me know.
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Adam / kc7ljq
> >
> > nukingcats (at) home (dot) com
> >
> > "Feline Technologies" <nukingcats@_REMOVETHIS_home.com>
wrote in message
> > news:...
> > >
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=12488
48397
> > >
> > > -ALSO-
> > >

> > >
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=12483
47551
> > >
> > > -ALSO-
> > > Pro 75 Radio shack 200 Chnl scanner / ht / NR
> > >
> > >
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=12483
50735
> > >
> > > Thanks for looking.
> > >
> > > Adam
> > > nukingcats (at) home (dot) com
> > >
> > >

1347. Re: Looking for Vermont freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 22:59:23 UTC
From: Christopher Roy <scanner@together.net>
Message-ID: <3B424E4B.48223355@together.net>
Source record: 63414

try my site http://homepages.together.net/~scanner

click on radio button. I fixed it, when I mailed you before, I had some problems.

CR

Ink addict wrote:
>
> I just moved to Vermont for a new job and am looking for local freqs. I live in
> Waitsfeild,work in Waterbury a couple of days and then work in So. Burlington a
> couple of days.
> Thanks
> Bob

1348. Re: Icom R7000 Remote Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 23:08:47 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15abf011fe76449f98976c@news>
Source record: 63415

In article <72f4ktsqgpdccjg44264hsbv8h951o7ji0@4ax.com>,
Twenty4Pack@Yahoo.Com says...
> Hi,
>
> I bought an R7000 the other day, but it didn't come with an infrared
> remote control. I searched using Google & AltaVista for a replacement,
> even went to several remote control manufacturer sites. No luck.
> Do any of you know if one of the universal remote controls are capable
> of operating with the Icom R7000? If so, I'd sure appreciate a reply.

The R7000 also needs a circuit board installed for the remote to
function. No board and no remote ever made will work. Still a great
receiver.

Glenn

1349. Re: ICOM 8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-03 23:56:22 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenner@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <E_s07.2285$t%3.528874@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 63416

It would be around $995 in USD.

GR
"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3B422C7B.16A37AF4@worldnet.att.net...
> Bob,
>
> Do you happen to know what the exchange rate is these days?
>
> Walt
>
> Bob wrote:
> >
> > For sale $1500 Canadian or US equivalent, as new, 800 Mhz unblocked,
manual,
> > power supply, no box. You pay ship and handle.

1350. Question on AOR AR 8600 (Unscrambling signal).

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 00:17:11 UTC
From: coaststation@go.com
Message-ID: <5a77581d.0107031617.101b595f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63417
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

I think the police in my country is using some kind of analog scramble transmission. All I can hear is some kind of white noise on my scanner. Very rarely they 'open' and only then I can hear them. I think the police in my country are using Motorola for their radio equipments. Could this be digital instead of analog scrambling ?

Because of this I am thinking of getting the AOR AR 8600 scanner. This scanner has an optional card which is called the VI8200 voice inverter card. This card can descramble certain types of analog transmission. Can I use this in order to hear the police freqs ? If this cannot be done then there is no use for me to get the AOR AR 8600.

Thank You All for the info.

Coast Station.

1351. WTB: Icom U-68

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 00:25:28 UTC
From: Thierry Juan <thierry.juan@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <Ckt07.6964$NY.940373@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 63418

I'm in a real need of an Icom U-68 portable radio.

I've been searching for that radio for almost 6 months now!

That unit is a 40 channel uhf (403-470) scan, front programmable radio.

If anyone has one of those, please e-mail right away.

Regards,

Thierry Juan
STN Communications inc.
Quebec, Canada

1352. United Partiot Radio 6.900 back on the air

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 01:06:52 UTC
From: gherkin <fpotters2@aol.com>
Message-ID: <M_t07.2230$zg4.242733@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63419
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It appears that, after a month long hiatus, United
Patriot Radio has returned to the shortwave airwaves.
They are currently transmitting on 6.900 kHz USB with
a moderate strength signal. They started at 7:00 PM
Central Time. It looks like their back to their original
schedule of 3 hours broadcast per night, this being
7:00 to 10:00 PM Central Time. In past the "live" portion
of the show with Col Steve has been from 9:00 to
10:00 PM Central (10:00-11:00 PM EST). The station
is alleged to be in Eastern Kentucky, and is set up as a
Militia type "free radio" outlet. The current debate is if UPR
is either a Clandestine or a Pirate station. The difference
being a Clandestine is on the air for purely a political or
sectarian purpose, wheras a Pirate is more a station set
up purely for the amusement of the operaters and it's listeners.
Regardless of where UPR falls into classification, enjoy it while
it's on the air setting a new milestone for free-radio operation.

end.

1353. How important is trunk tracking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 01:13:40 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <c5u07.829$c3.285067@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 63420

How important is trunk tracking, as opposed to merely scanning the frequencies of a trunked system? This is in a medium-sized town whose trunked system uses about 6 frequencies.

1354. Re: ICOM 8500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 01:24:34 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B427052.2BD45E93@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63421

Thanks

Greg Renner wrote:
>
> It would be around $995 in USD.
>
> GR
> "Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:3B422C7B.16A37AF4@worldnet.att.net...
> > Bob,
> >
> > Do you happen to know what the exchange rate is these days?
> >
> > Walt
> >
> > Bob wrote:
> > >
> > > For sale $1500 Canadian or US equivalent, as new, 800 Mhz unblocked,
> manual,
> > > power supply, no box. You pay ship and handle.

1355. Re: Question on AOR AR 8600 (Unscrambling signal).

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 01:30:12 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B4271A3.FF4E92D@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

If it was "voice inversion" scrambling, it would sound somewhat like donald duck, recognizable as a voice, but the words themselves not recognizable.

Digital usually sounds much like white noise.

Coast Station wrote:
>
> I think the police in my country is using some kind of analog scramble
> transmission. All I can hear is some kind of white noise on my
> scanner. Very rarely they 'open' and only then I can hear them. I
> think the police in my country are using Motorola for their radio
> equipments. Could this be digital instead of analog scrambling ?
>
> Because of this I am thinking of getting the AOR AR 8600
> scanner. This scanner has an optional card which is called the VI8200
> voice inverter card. This card can descramble certain types of analog
> transmission. Can I use this in order to hear the police freqs ? If
> this cannot be done then there is no use for me to get the AOR AR
> 8600.
>
> Thank You All for the info.
>
> Coast Station.

1356. Re: How important is trunk tracking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 01:46:13 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B427563.44E23DA6@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63423

You can only make that call by knowing how busy the frequencies are.

If there is only one call at a time, either radio will track the call, but the trunktracker will identify the callgroup ID, the regular radio will not.

If more than one frequency is in use at a time, with trunktracking, once the scanner latches on to a call, it will usually stick with that call through completion. But, you will be missing the other calls going on simultaneously.

Without trunktracking, the calls will be scrambled, i.e., you will hear part of one call, then when that portion ends, jump into another call, when that portion ends, jump to yet another, often without hearing any responses to the first call. Of course, if there is a delay between the end of one portion and the response, the same thing can happen with a trunktracker, unless you have it set to only respond to one callgroup ID.

Walt

"Michael A. Covington" wrote:
>
> How important is trunk tracking, as opposed to merely scanning the
> frequencies of a trunked system? This is in a medium-sized town whose
> trunked system uses about 6 frequencies.

1357. Re: How important is trunk tracking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 01:51:08 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B42768B.A8E8743@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63424

To continue with Applebee's analogy:

Without trunktracking "you will be listening to the police in hot pursuit and next you will pick up two janitors talking about a toilet overflow at the library".

With trunktracking, you may hear the janitors discussing the overflow for 5 minutes and never know the hot pursuit is going on, unless you have locked out the janitors.

"Michael A. Covington" wrote:
>
> How important is trunk tracking, as opposed to merely scanning the
> frequencies of a trunked system? This is in a medium-sized town whose
> trunked system uses about 6 frequencies.

1358. Re: United Partiot Radio 6.900 back on the air

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 02:28:22 UTC
From: av8tor@licensed4fun.com
Message-ID: <abv07.791$MM7.128137468@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63425
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <M_t07.2230$zg4.242733@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
fpotters2@aol.com says...
>
>It appears that, after a month long hiatus, United
>Patriot Radio has returned to the shortwave airwaves.
>They are currently transmitting on 6.900 kHz USB with
>a moderate strength signal. They started at 7:00 PM
>Central Time. It looks like their back to their original
>schedule of 3 hours broadcast per night, this being
>7:00 to 10:00 PM Central Time. In past the "live" portion
>of the show with Col Steve has been from 9:00 to
>10:00 PM Central (10:00-11:00 PM EST). The station
>is alleged to be in Eastern Kentucky, and is set up as a
>Militia type "free radio" outlet. The current debate is if UPR
>is either a Clandestine or a Pirate station. The difference
>being a Clandestine is on the air for purely a political or
>sectarian purpose, wheras a Pirate is more a station set
>up purely for the amusement of the operaters and it's listeners.
>Regardless of where UPR falls into classification, enjoy it while
>it's on the air setting a new milestone for free-radio operation.

UPR in my mind is 100% Clandestine! He speaks purely political and he speaks
what he believes.

I really like what this guy says, does anyone know if he has a website?

George
http://www.Licensed4Fun.com

--

____
I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
____

1359. Re: How important is trunk tracking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 02:44:18 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <9qv07.942$c3.356398@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 63426

> Without trunktracking "you will be listening to the police in hot
> pursuit and next you will pick up two janitors talking about a toilet
> overflow at the library".
>
> With trunktracking, you may hear the janitors discussing the overflow
> for 5 minutes and never know the hot pursuit is going on, unless you
> have locked out the janitors.

That's just what I've been noticing... Thanks.

1360. Re: Radio Shack PRO-91 poor sensitivity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 02:56:16 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <oBv07.945$c3.364493@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 63427

It was indeed the antenna. With comparable antennas its sensitivity is acceptable; it's just that the antenna that comes with it is rather limited.

1361. Lowest price 780XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 03:43:49 UTC
From: K4JDP@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15353-3B4290F5-382@storefull-138.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63429

Is currently where (from what dealer?)

1362. Re: Auctions - Re: Spam vs. Acceptable posting?? !RE: BCT7 BearTracker 100 Chnl Scanner 3day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 03:58:07 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B4293C2.B0BD9C27@earthlink.net>
Source record: 63428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bruce Markowitz wrote:

> Ah, the newsgroup Police speak, once again deciding what is acceptable
> and what is not.

It sounded like a pretty reasonable interpretation of the charter and the generally accepted usage in the involved groups to me. What is there about it that you find so unnerving, Bruce? Or are you the one who finds any FA or FS postings so objectionable? Maybe you'd like to post your own interpretation of the rules here ... for the edification of us "less astute" users?

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

1363. FS: Regency Handheld w/Cellular !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 04:17:02 UTC
From: trapshooter870@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010704001702.08239.00002134@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 63430

For Sale: Regency Model HX2200 Handheld Scanner
20 Channel, Programmable, AM/FM
Full Cellular Coverage !!!
Frequency Bands:
118-136mhz (Aircraft) AM
138-174mhz (VHF High) FM
406-490mhz (UHF) FM
490-512mhz (UHF "T")
800 - 950mhz (Cellular)
This scanner is in good condition, includes manual, AC-adapter, 2 antennas, leather case, and original box.
Price = $125.00 plus actual shipping charges.
If you would like a photo, please email me. I will accept a money order or PAYPAL.
Steve Lorenz
Aurora, IL.

1364. Re: Spam vs. Acceptable posting?? !RE: BCT7 BearTracker 100 Chnl Scanner 3day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 04:22:43 UTC
From: webmaster@diehansonsux.com
Message-ID: <9hu5mi$fooal$1@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 63431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

As always, it's because you're crossposting to the discussion groups!

In article <G6l07.146158$L4.17687076@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>,
nukingcats@_REMOVETHIS_home.com says...
>
>Below is a copy of an e-mail (they actually sent me the same message 5
>times, one to each account I have!....is that spam???) If I am in violation
>of any policies in rec.radio.swap, or rec.radio.swap please let me know.
>(instead of just whining to my ISP) The only thing I did different in the
>post below was promote three of my auctions. Is this wrong? Let me know.
>
>Thanks,
>Adam / kc7ljq
>
>nukingcats (at) home (dot) com
>
>
>"Feline Technologies" <nukingcats@_REMOVETHIS_home.com> wrote in message
>news:...
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248848397
>>
>> -ALSO-
>>
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248347551
>>
>> -ALSO-
>> Pro 75 Radio shack 200 Chnl scanner / ht / NR
>>
>> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1248350735
>>
>> Thanks for looking.
>>
>> Adam
>> nukingcats (at) home (dot) com
>>
>>
>
>

1365. Re: United Partiot Radio 6.900 back on the air

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 05:30:24 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B42A9F0.DE089A6C@IEEE.org>
Source record: 63432
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

gherkin wrote:
> It appears that, after a month long hiatus, United
> Patriot Radio has returned to the shortwave airwaves.
> They are currently transmitting on 6.900 kHz USB with
> a moderate strength signal.

I just went outside and listened carefully. I didn't hear
any 6.900 kHz signal. How does one generate USB on an
audio frequency? :-)
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1366. Re: Question on AOR AR 8600 (Unscrambling signal).

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 05:48:47 UTC
From: David <David@qthlondon.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <9hu9im$108$1@news8.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 63433
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3B4271A3.FF4E92D@worldnet.att.net...
> If it was "voice inversion" scrambling, it would sound somewhat like
> donald duck, recognizable as a voice, but the words themselves not
> recognizable.
>
> Digital usually sounds much like white noise.

Just to add, to Walt's comment, very little in this country (UK) uses voice inversion, certainly not emergency service transmissions, you can be sure that any scrambled emerg. service transmission in this country cannot be received by scanner users, if that is the only reason to buy the AR8600, then don't.

David

1367. Re: United Partiot Radio 6.900 back on the air

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 07:09:55 UTC
From: Bill Meacham <NOexray@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <3B42C143.1BF62C44@coqui.net>
Source record: 63434
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CAM wrote:
and listened carefully. I didn't hear
> any 6.900 kHz signal. How does one generate USB on an
> audio frequency? :-)
> --
> cheers, Cecil

Huh? Ask that a different way.

-Bill

1368. Re: United Partiot Radio 6.900 back on the air

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 09:05:48 UTC
From: Soliloquy@Soliloquy.net
Message-ID: <tk5n2ifu00efd2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63435
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

In otherwords, this individual is protesting the listing of the frequency as 6.900 kHz (which is audible) rather than the correct listing of the frequency as 6.900 MHz or 6900 kHz.

I'm glad to see that the show is back.

In article <3B42C143.1BF62C44@coqui.net>, exray@coqui.net wrote:
>CAM wrote:
>and listened carefully. I didn't hear
>> any 6.900 kHz signal. How does one generate USB on an
>> audio frequency? :-)
>> --
>> cheers, Cecil
>
>Huh? Ask that a different way.
>
>-Bill
>

1369. Re: United Partiot Radio 6.900 back on the air

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 10:14:12 UTC
From: Bill Meacham <NOexray@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <3B42EC74.CA50BE67@coqui.net>
Source record: 63436
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Soliloquy wrote:
>
> In otherwords, this individual is protesting the listing of the frequency as
> 6.900 kHz (which is audible) rather than the correct listing of the frequency
> as 6.900 MHz or 6900 kHz.
>
> I'm glad to see that the show is back.
>
> In article <3B42C143.1BF62C44@coqui.net>, exray@coqui.net wrote:
> >CAM wrote:
> >and listened carefully. I didn't hear
> >> any 6.900 kHz signal. How does one generate USB on an
> >> audio frequency? :-)
> >> --
> >> cheers, Cecil
> >
> >Huh? Ask that a different way.
> >
> >-Bill
> >

Yes, now I see the problem.
--

Bill Meacham
Vieques, PR

1370. Re: Icom R7000 Remote Control

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 10:58:28 UTC
From: Ted Hay <tedh@xcelco.on.ca>
Message-ID: <tk5ttui84romb3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63437

Since the 7000 requires an optional board for the remote to work simply having a universal remote will be of no use. Sometimes Radioworld sells used ones for $100Cnd. You might also try Universal Radio.

Ted

Bud <Twenty4Pack@Yahoo.Com> wrote in message news:72f4ktsqgpdccjg44264hsbv8h951o7ji0@4ax.com...
> Hi,
>
> I bought an R7000 the other day, but it didn't come with an infrared
> remote control. I searched using Google & AltaVista for a replacement,
> even went to several remote control manufacturer sites. No luck.
> Do any of you know if one of the universal remote controls are capable
> of operating with the Icom R7000? If so, I'd sure appreciate a reply.
>
> Thanks,
> Bud

1371. Re: United Partiot Radio 6.900 back on the air

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 11:12:58 UTC
From: Brian <nospam@newsranger.com>
Message-ID: <_SC07.6937$Kf3.64505@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 63438
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian more or less stated:
>
>CAM wrote:
>and listened carefully. I didn't hear
>> any 6.900 kHz signal. How does one generate USB on an
>> audio frequency? :-)
>> --
>> cheers, Cecil
>
>Huh? Ask that a different way.
>
>-Bill

Hello Bill, different question. Your address is given as "NOexray@coqui.net"
Are you connected to XRAY aka GrayJL?

73, Brian

1372. Re: United Partiot Radio 6.900 back on the air

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 12:06:23 UTC
From: Reginald St-James <rsj312@better-than-you.are>
Message-ID: <3B4306BF.E7B5113B@better-than-you.are>
Source record: 63441
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CAM wrote:
> How does one generate USB on an audio frequency? :-)

Rather easily, it turns out. It's called frequency translation, and can be accomplished with the usual circuitry.

One form involves producing LSB with a suppressed carrier frequency of around 3 KHz. That produces inverted speech, and is sometimes used as a crude speech scrambling method. :-)

1373. e-mail

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 12:09:22 UTC
From: Thierry Juan <thierry.juan@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <VBD07.12884$NY.1211497@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 63439

Forgot the E-Mail : thierry.juan@sympatico.ca

Sorry

1374. Re: Question on AOR AR 8600 (Unscrambling signal).

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 12:57:14 UTC
From: Stinger <stinger.uk@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <pnE07.759$Jk6.169465@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 63440
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

What Country are you from ?

"Coast Station" <coaststation@go.com> wrote in message
news:5a77581d.0107031617.101b595f@posting.google.com...
> I think the police in my country is using some kind of analog scramble
> transmission. All I can hear is some kind of white noise on my
> scanner. Very rarely they 'open' and only then I can hear them. I
> think the police in my country are using Motorola for their radio
> equipments. Could this be digital instead of analog scrambling ?
>
> Because of this I am thinking of getting the AOR AR 8600
> scanner. This scanner has an optional card which is called the VI8200
> voice inverter card. This card can descramble certain types of analog
> transmission. Can I use this in order to hear the police freqs ? If
> this cannot be done then there is no use for me to get the AOR AR
> 8600.
>
> Thank You All for the info.
>
>
>
> Coast Station.

1375. New Portables with Good Audio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 13:42:01 UTC
From: shadowlord2000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29360-3B431D29-426@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63442

I'm looking to buy a new handheld unit, but all of the RS & Uniden ones I've tried have sick audio !!
My old RS Pro-31 and Regency HX1500 have normal audio that you can turn up and hear, but they don't scan alot of channels. I don't live near any dealers that carry AOR units, do any of thiers have good audio.
The problem is the fact that the RS & Uniden ones don't put out any wattage, as I tried to explain to the stupid person at RS who wanted to sell me an external speaker.
I don't know why all of these manufacturers want to make all of these new units so darn small, I need to hear my scanner when I'm out & about with it.
Any info would be appreciated.

Thanks,
Chad

1376. Re: New Portables with Good Audio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 14:18:09 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <BAF07.160588$DG1.26866173@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63443

Radio Shack's (GRE) PRO-92 has excellent audio for a held-held. Also, the Icom mini-scanner, IC-R2, for it's size, will really surprise you, with it's audio!

Bill Crocker

<shadowlord2000@webtv.net> wrote in message news:29360-3B431D29-426@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> I'm looking to buy a new handheld unit, but all of the RS & Uniden ones
> I've tried have sick audio !!
> My old RS Pro-31 and Regency HX1500 have normal audio that you can turn
> up and hear, but they don't scan alot of channels. I don't live near any
> dealers that carry AOR units, do any of thiers have good audio.
> The problem is the fact that the RS & Uniden ones don't put out any
> wattage, as I tried to explain to the stupid person at RS who wanted to
> sell me an external speaker.
> I don't know why all of these manufacturers want to make all of these
> new units so darn small, I need to hear my scanner when I'm out & about
> with it.
> Any info would be appreciated.
>
> Thanks,
> Chad
>

1377. Replacement Battery for BC210.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 14:18:25 UTC
From: shadowlord2000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29360-3B4325B1-427@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63444

I have an OLD Bearcat BC210 (1970's model) and was wondering a couple of things.

1. What kind of wierd battery does it use to "save" freqs when the power goes out?

2. What kind of DC power cord does it use?

(The impossible part) Where can I find them, or can they be converted to something more current. The scanner is kind of a memoir, as it was my dad's and he passed away a few years ago. He was the one who got me into monitoring about 20 years ago.

Thanks for any help on this one.

Chad

1378. Re: United Partiot Radio 6.900 back on the air

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 14:48:56 UTC
From: Kelly's Rejects <tellison4@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <s1G07.758$G_1.80702@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63445
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Av8tor" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:abv07.791$MM7.128137468@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...

> I really like what this guy says, does anyone know if he has a website?

Try www.pbn.4mg.com

This is the URL for Mike Koernkie's Intellegence
Report show which is re-broadcast on UPR starting
at 9:00 PM weeknites. There are several references
made to the station along with an address

1379. Re: Question on AOR AR 8600 (Unscrambling signal).

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 14:50:20 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <bTDn5ACs0yQ7Ew2n@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 63446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In article <5a77581d.0107031617.101b595f@posting.google.com>, Coast Station <coaststation@go.com> writes

>I think the police in my country is using some kind of analog scramble
>transmission. All I can hear is some kind of white noise on my
>scanner. Very rarely they 'open' and only then I can hear them. I
>think the police in my country are using Motorola for their radio
>equipments. Could this be digital instead of analog scrambling ?

"White noise" does not sound like Analogue speech inversion I'm afraid.

> Because of this I am thinking of getting the AOR AR 8600
>scanner. This scanner has an optional card which is called the VI8200
>voice inverter card. This card can descramble certain types of analog
>transmission. Can I use this in order to hear the police freqs ? If

The VI-8200 can be used with the AR8200/AR8200-II also.

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation
http://www.javiation.co.uk

1380. Re: United Partiot Radio 6.900 back on the air

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 15:09:39 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B4331B3.70FE04A8@IEEE.org>
Source record: 63347
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Bill Meacham wrote:
>
> CAM wrote:
> ... and listened carefully. I didn't hear
> > any 6.900 kHz signal. How does one generate USB on an
> > audio frequency? :-)
>
> Huh? Ask that a different way.

OK. Assume a 6.900 kHz carrier. USB would put voice in a frequency
range of 7.100-8.500 kHz which is not *ON* 6.900 kHz. So the
question is: How does one generate USB voice *ON* 6.9 kHz?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1381. Re: Auctions - Re: Spam vs. Acceptable posting?? !RE: BCT7 BearTracker 100 Chnl Scanner 3day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 15:28:14 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b43357e.1275666@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 63348
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Maybe people should just stop making up "rules" for an unmoderated
group

On Wed, 04 Jul 2001 03:58:07 GMT, Dan Morisseau
<dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net> wrote:

>Bruce Markowitz wrote:
>
>> Ah, the newsgroup Police speak, once again deciding what is acceptable
>> and what is not.
>
> It sounded like a pretty reasonable interpretation of the charter and the
>generally accepted usage in the involved groups to me. What is there about it that
>you find so unnerving, Bruce? Or are you the one who finds any FA or FS postings so
>objectionable? Maybe you'd like to post your own interpretation of the rules here ...
>for the edification of us "less astute" users?
>
>
>
>
>--
>Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38&#65533;34'32", W 90&#65533;25'38", 700'
>Just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
>Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
>ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
>"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"
>
>

1382. Icom IC-Q7A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 15:42:24 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <GPG07.2673$c3.977461@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 63349
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Any reactions to the Icom IC-Q7A? In particular, how well does it perform as a scanner, and does it have a "skip data channels" mode?

Thanks,
N4TMI

1383. Re: Icom IC-Q7A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 16:11:54 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <efH07.160698$DG1.26930618@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63350
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Check out the review from StrongSignals!
http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/q7a_rev.htm

Bill Crocker

"Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
wrote in message news:GPG07.2673$c3.977461@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net...
> Any reactions to the Icom IC-Q7A? In particular, how well does it perform
> as a scanner, and does it have a "skip data channels" mode?
>
> Thanks,
> N4TMI
>
>
>

1384. Re: United Partiot Radio 6.900 back on the air

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 16:21:58 UTC
From: radiosigs <radiosigs@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9hvfq3$2qt$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63351
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Either the FCC will close them up or they'll take up arms and get their
heads blown off by the FBI and BATF. Either would suit me.
Doc,AF2Y

In article <M_t07.2230$zg4.242733@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"gherkin" <fpotters2@aol.com> wrote:

> It appears that, after a month long hiatus, United
> Patriot Radio has returned to the shortwave airwaves.
> They are currently transmitting on 6.900 kHz USB with
> a moderate strength signal. They started at 7:00 PM
> Central Time. It looks like their back to their original
> schedule of 3 hours broadcast per night, this being
> 7:00 to 10:00 PM Central Time. In past the "live" portion
> of the show with Col Steve has been from 9:00 to
> 10:00 PM Central (10:00-11:00 PM EST). The station
> is alleged to be in Eastern Kentucky, and is set up as a
> Militia type "free radio" outlet. The current debate is if UPR
> is either a Clandestine or a Pirate station. The difference
> being a Clandestine is on the air for purely a political or
> sectarian purpose, wheras a Pirate is more a station set
> up purely for the amusement of the operaters and it's listeners.
> Regardless of where UPR falls into classification, enjoy it while
> it's on the air setting a new milestone for free-radio operation.
>
> end.
>
>
>
>

1385. Re: Replacement Battery for BC210.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 16:28:13 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B43441B.AE427374@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63352

The battery is listed as:

Battery 1.5v (Mallory 10L123)

in the parts list for the BC210. Look for an equivalent at a watch
battery display or at Radio Shack.

The DC power cord, as I remember, is just a wire with a push on
connector. The wire goes to the auto battery plus, and the ground
connection is made by the mounting bracket to the radio chassis and
the car chassis.

Walt

shadowlord2000@webtv.net wrote:
>
> I have an OLD Bearcat BC210 (1970's model) and was wondering a couple of
> things.
>
> 1. What kind of wierd battery does it use to "save" freqs when the power
> goes out?
>
> 2. What kind of DC power cord does it use?
>
> (The impossible part) Where can I find them, or can they be converted to
> something more current. The scanner is kind of a memoir, as it was my
> dad's and he passed away a few years ago. He was the one who got me into
> monitoring about 20 years ago.
>
> Thanks for any help on this one.
>
> Chad

1386. Re: United Partiot Radio 6.900 back on the air

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 16:34:59 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <TAH07.30141$J91.1021130@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63353
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

No one is going to let this bozo commit suicide by cop.

How about stopping the cross-posting; no one's opinions are that important.

Dick N�BK aging boy wonder

"radiosigs" <radiosigs@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9hvfq3$2qt$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Either the FCC will close them up or they'll take up arms and get their
> heads blown off by the FBI and BATF. Either would suit me.
> Doc,AF2Y
>
> ----------
> In article <M_t07.2230$zg4.242733@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
> "gherkin" <fpotters2@aol.com> wrote:
>
>
> > It appears that, after a month long hiatus, United
> > Patriot Radio has returned to the shortwave airwaves.
> > They are currently transmitting on 6.900 kHz USB with
> > a moderate strength signal. They started at 7:00 PM
> > Central Time. It looks like their back to their original
> > schedule of 3 hours broadcast per night, this being
> > 7:00 to 10:00 PM Central Time. In past the "live" portion
> > of the show with Col Steve has been from 9:00 to
> > 10:00 PM Central (10:00-11:00 PM EST). The station
> > is alleged to be in Eastern Kentucky, and is set up as a
> > Militia type "free radio" outlet. The current debate is if UPR
> > is either a Clandestine or a Pirate station. The difference
> > being a Clandestine is on the air for purely a political or
> > sectarian purpose, wheras a Pirate is more a station set
> > up purely for the amusement of the operaters and it's listeners.
> > Regardless of where UPR falls into classification, enjoy it while
> > it's on the air setting a new milestone for free-radio operation.
> >
> > end.
> >
> >
> >
> >

1387. Re: Radio Shack Pro 2021 Docs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 18:04:23 UTC
From: Steve Fleckenstein <spfleck@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <HUI07.528$1R.139519@news-west.eli.net>
Source record: 63354

I used to own a 2021 scanner. The decision to go to AM or FM is based on the band the frequency you enter is located in. You can not alter that, No wideband FM on this radio.

The Pro-34 portable is a repackaged pro-2021 with 800 mhz added.

Pro-2021 tips..
You can add a resistor someplace to speed up scanning (but not up to pro-2005 speeds.
Clipping a diode with make the display say it is scanning 800mhz but it really isn;t. There is no RF circuitry in the box to cover 800mhz.

The backlighting goes dim after awhile just like the 2005/2006.

The cpu chip can sometimes do weird things while scanning. On my 2021 I could not program 2 vhf-lo channels in sequence or the scan rate would drop down to next to nothing. Sticking a vhf-hi freq between 2 vhf low freqs got around this problem.

Nice metal cabinet, slow scan rate. Good for out in the boonies with the cows (like where I am).

Steve
N2UBP

--

This message may be used for commericial purposes as part of a compilation on any type of media or as part of a collection of e-mail addresses for mass marketing ONLY
if a $50 or more donation is made to the
Minisink Valley High School Band, P.O. Box 217, Route 6, Slate Hill, NY 10973
http://www.minisink.com

"PB Scanner" <pbscanner@cs.com> wrote in message news:20010703135158.26067.00002373@ng-fb1.news.cs.com...
| >Subject: Radio Shack Pro 2021 Docs.
| >From: jammtc2000@yahoo.com (jodia)
| >Date: 6/30/01 8:23 PM Eastern Daylight Time
| >Message-id: <cd33b517.0106301623.451e7821@posting.google.com>
| >
| >If anyone has documentation on the Pro 2021 I would be greatful if
| >you shared it.
| >
| >Radio Shack's web site has operation and detailed parts list but nothing

| >else.
| >
| >Also I just received this device and it says that it is a fm/am scanner
| >receiver yet I see no way to enter an am/fm frequency?????
| >
| >
| >Thanks
| >
| >
| >Jodia
| >
|
| AM/FM and WBFM (Radio Stations) are 3 different animals.
|
| Most Scanners will work AM (Air) and FM (Walkie Talkies) but WBFM (Radio
| Stations) are not always featured, less so on older units.
|
|
|
| = = = = = = = = = = =
| Palm Beach Scanner
| If its on the Air We Scan it!
| Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1388. Re: United Partiot Radio 6.900 back on the air

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 18:25:18 UTC
From: Bill Meacham <NOexray@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <3B435F8E.B062F5BC@coqui.net>
Source record: 63355
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CAM wrote:
>
> Bill Meacham wrote:
> >
> > CAM wrote:
> > ... and listened carefully. I didn't hear
> > > any 6.900 kHz signal. How does one generate USB on an
> > > audio frequency? :-)
> >
> > Huh? Ask that a different way.
>
> OK. Assume a 6.900 kHz carrier. USB would put voice in a frequency
> range of 7.100-8.500 kHz which is not *ON* 6.900 kHz. So the
> question is: How does one generate USB voice *ON* 6.9 kHz?
> --
> cheers, Cecil

Oh, I get it now.
-Bill

1389. Re: Icom IC-Q7A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 18:42:44 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <MsJ07.2995$c3.1122235@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 63356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:efH07.160698$DG1.26930618@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> Check out the review from StrongSignals!
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/q7a_rev.htm

Thanks. It's now $139.95 at Ham Radio Outlet and the price is right! The lack of a DTMF keypad would hinder me just a little... I might carry a separate DTMF generator along with it. The main purpose would be to have a working radio in my briefcase at all times, for such things as enabling my wife (KC4UVW) to find me when picking me up at work... also regular listening to NOAA and some local utilities.

VY 73
N4TMI

1390. Re: Replacement Battery for BC210.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 19:03:42 UTC
From: Spurious@StarBand.net
Message-ID: <Xns90D4846AED785Frog207Junocom@148.78.248.75>
Source record: 63359

>I have an OLD Bearcat BC210 (1970's model) and was wondering a couple of
>things.
>
>1. What kind of wierd battery does it use to "save" freqs when the power
>goes out?
>

The plastic cap got lost on my old BC210 so I went to radio shack and purchased a plastic holder for a single 1.5V AA Cell battery. It works fine for the memory store and lasts a lot longer. It's also a lot cheaper than that watch battery previously required.

Ed G.

1391. serial number for pdw 110 decoder here

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 19:13:04 UTC
From: bumpoo2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8bab4a69.0107041113.34123755@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63357

DOWNLOAD IT HERE....

http://www.pcapaging.ic24.net/pdw/PDW1.10.ZIP

NAME: USE ANY NAME
SERIAL: 55176-PDTXW-54822

THIEVING BASTARD !

MAKING MONEY OUT OF FREE CODE AND NICKING OTHERS IDEAS

TO BE HACKED FOREVER MORE AND GIVEN FOR FREE !!

BUMPOO ! THE B@STARD

1392. im very sorry

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 19:35:03 UTC
From: current_gothic@sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <3b436fde.13659258@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 63358

hey people
im very sorry for that chain letter
my cousin was on here playing the other day and i think he got ahold
of it ..
sorry

Dan

1393. Scanner antenna question from newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 21:20:14 UTC
From: Adam Conlea <adam85@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9i01ae$34n@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 63360

I have the Radio Shack Pro-2017 200-Channel Desktop Scanner. It works for my recreational purposes but would like to extend its reception by purchasing an external antenna. The product documentation says the jack is compatible with a "Motorola-type" antenna, so I was hoping that someone here might have a suggestion. I would like to extend my reception range 50-75 miles. Would this be possible using this unit and an external antenna?

Thanks for your attention.

Adam

1394. Re: Scanner antenna question from newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 21:39:48 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <H2M07.3052$c3.1280504@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 63361

"Adam Conlea" <adam85@NOSPAMhotmail.com> wrote in message
news:9i01ae$34n@dispatch.concentric.net...
> I have the Radio Shack Pro-2017 200-Channel Desktop Scanner. It works for
> my recreational purposes but would like to extend its reception by
> purchasing an external antenna. The product documentation says the jack
is
> compatible with a "Motorola-type" antenna, so I was hoping that someone
here
> might have a suggestion. I would like to extend my reception range 50-75
> miles. Would this be possible using this unit and an external antenna?
>
> Thanks for your attention.

"Motorola-type" describes the kind of plug; you can easily get an adapter if your antenna ends up having some other kind of plug.

VHF and UHF signals travel in a direct line and do not reflect off the atmosphere the way shortwave signals do. Because the earth is curved, you can't see over the horizon. (Well, not much over the horizon, anyway.)

I had no trouble hearing the ham transmitter on the MIR space station when it was more than 3000 miles from me. Signal strength is not the limiting factor; their transmitter emitted only a few watts but came in loud and clear.

On the ground, long distances are much harder to cover. You need a tall antenna, and the transmitting station also needs to have a tall antenna, in order to overcome the curvature of the earth. If you happen to be on top of a mountain you can probably receive signals 200 miles away (from the tops of other mountains, if nothing taller is in the way). On flat ground, a more practical limit is more like 10 miles.

1395. Re: Scanner antenna question from newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 21:43:12 UTC
From: Adam Conlea <adam85@NOSPAMhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9i02lg$367@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 63362

Thanks for the info, Michael!
Extremely helpful!

Adam

1396. Re: Scanner antenna question from newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 21:49:07 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <sbM07.3074$c3.1288245@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 63363

"Adam Conlea" <adam85@NOSPAMhotmail.com> wrote in message
news:9i02lg$367@dispatch.concentric.net...
> Thanks for the info, Michael!
> Extremely helpful!

Glad to be of service!

Here's a more specific example: On ham radio, on the 144-MHz band I can communicate with repeaters on tall towers up to about 50 miles away, but it gets unreliable beyond about 10 miles. Talking to someone in a car with a radio like mine, I can only reach three or four miles, and more power doesn't help. The curvature of the earth and obstacles near the ground get in the way.

1397. Re: Scanner antenna question from newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 22:18:35 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B43963A.311B5BF0@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63364

The general formula is:

Range (miles) = SquareRoot (2 x Antenna Height (feet))

With a 100 ft antenna height, Range = SqRt(200)=14 miles
With a 1000 ft antenna height, Range = SqRt (2000)=45 miles

Walt

"Michael A. Covington" wrote:
>
> "Adam Conlea" <adam85@NOSPAMhotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:9i02lg$367@dispatch.concentric.net...
> > Thanks for the info, Michael!
> > Extremely helpful!
>
> Glad to be of service!
>
> Here's a more specific example: On ham radio, on the 144-MHz band I can
> communicate with repeaters on tall towers up to about 50 miles away, but it
> gets unreliable beyond about 10 miles. Talking to someone in a car with a
> radio like mine, I can only reach three or four miles, and more power
> doesn't help. The curvature of the earth and obstacles near the ground get
> in the way.

1398. Re: Replacement Battery for BC210.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 22:29:31 UTC
From: shadowlord2000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6383-3B4398CB-184@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63366

Thanks guys, I never think of The Shack, since I don't like their scanners much. I will have to check on tha this weekend. I'm sure the AA is much cheaper and easier to replace.

Chad

1399. Re: New Portables with Good Audio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 22:31:01 UTC
From: shadowlord2000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <6382-3B439925-481@storefull-128.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63365

Thanks Bill, I will check out the PRO-92, since I'm heading to The Shack this weekend to update my BC210 battery.

Chad

1400. Police Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-04 23:41:34 UTC
From: lbeecroft8041 <lbeecroft8041@home.com>
Message-ID: <OQN07.93259$Mf5.26772946@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 63367

Howdy All!,

I live in Toronto,Ontario Canada....

And I've notice some of the Police Frequencies that I listen to are off the air..

Here are the one's I can't receive anymore---------------

1.862.3775 MHz 11- Div Toronto Police
2.862.2375 MHz 12- Div Toronto Police
3.863.2375 MHz 31- Div Toronto Police
4.863.1625 MHz 55- Div Toronto Police

But 863.1625 MHz is being used by Toronto Fire ?

1401. Re: Police Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 00:46:52 UTC
From: Champ <champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <01c104eb$e4848400$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a>
Source record: 63368

If you sign up to the scanont group listed below. I am sure there will be
answers to this question. Lots of T.O. info here.

Happy scanning.
--
Live Scanner Audio is here: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
Local Arts & Entertainment Coverage at it's best
http://www.ottawaxpress.com

lbeecroft8041 <lbeecroft8041@home.com> wrote in article
<OQN07.93259$Mf5.26772946@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>...
> Howdy All!,
>
> I live in Toronto,Ontario Canada....
>
> And I've notice some of the Police Frequencies that I listen to are off
the
> air..
>
> Here are the one's I can't receive anymore----------
>
> 1.862.3775 MHz 11- Div Toronto Police
> 2.862.2375 MHz 12- Div Toronto Police
> 3.863.2375 MHz 31- Div Toronto Police
> 4.863.1625 MHz 55- Div Toronto Police
>
> But 863.1625 MHz is being used by Toronto Fire ?
>
>
>

1402. Re: New Portables with Good Audio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 00:48:10 UTC
From: hreesie@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010704204810.19557.00002135@ng-ff1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63369

also try the pro-89 on sale for 129$ it has 800mhz and loud audio and a feature that allows the light to come on automatically when it gets a signal....cool feature

1403. Programming software for Uniden sc200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 01:19:08 UTC
From: The Culver's <tculver@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3B43C08C.80A20F6A@erols.com>
Source record: 63370

Is there a program out there that lets you program the memories
especially the alphanumerics into memory?

1404. texas dps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 01:20:10 UTC
From: steve birdcreek <sbi961@airmail.net>
Message-ID: <645B75474B25E21E.A17305642E7B2B35.0B622478B2E62F02@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 63371

any one out there have a good list of texas dps frequencies i have police calls and have looked and found about 1000 im just interested in the 1's people listening to
thanks

1405. Re: texas dps

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 03:09:09 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <pTQ07.134720$aA5.825976@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 63372

http://www.lubbockradio.net/dps.htm

> any one out there have a good list of texas dps frequencies i have
> police calls and have looked and found about 1000 im just interested in
> the 1's people listening to

1406. Re: Auctions - Re: Spam vs. Acceptable posting?? !RE: BCT7 BearTracker 100 Chnl Scanner 3day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 03:48:27 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B43E3A1.B6F7A0D1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 63373
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bruce Markowitz wrote:

> Maybe people should just stop making up "rules" for an unmoderated
> group

Maybe people who THINK they know what they are talking about should learn the distinction between a "moderated" group, an unmoderated group with a charter in the "rec." hierarchy and the "free for all" stuff in the "alt." hierarchy before they shoot their mouths off and demonstrate their abysmal ignorance. The previous poster referred, I believe, to the group's charter. Whether you WISH it didn't have one is immaterial. The charter is of some significance in such matters.

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

1407. look at my page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 05:27:28 UTC
From: police-scanners@webtv.net
Message-ID: <3244-3B43FAC0-154@storefull-294.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63374

look at my page

1408. Re: Police Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 07:10:11 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-73D752.03101105072001@[207.35.177.134]>
Source record: 63375

In article <OQN07.93259$Mf5.26772946@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
"lbeecroft8041" <lbeecroft8041@home.com> wrote:

> Howdy All!,
>
> I live in Toronto,Ontario Canada....
>
> And I've notice some of the Police Frequencies that I listen to are off
> the air..

The police channels you're looking for have moved to the Toronto Police trunked radio system. It's a Motorola Type II Smartnet analogue system, so all you need is a trunktracking scanner to monitor them again. The "scanont" mailing list on YahooGroups is a wealth of information and updates on monitoring this trunked system as it takes on the full load of users (there are still some divisions yet to migrate using their old repeater channels). As you discovered, Toronto Fire is also on this trunked system, which is using 800 MHz frequencies recovered from the old police repeater system.

Hope this helps
-A

1409. Re: Get Your City Scanner Online Now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 07:35:09 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <5NU07.2987$Tv5.466438@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 63376
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,ott.general,rec.radio.scanner

any one in UK please ignore,any attempt to put scanner online in UK,will be swiftly dealt with by the authorities

regards Paul

Champ <champ_not@home.com> wrote in message news:01c10364$d554c540$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a...
> Is your Citiy's scanner online? If not why not put it online. Join the
> group below and learn of other cities online now
> --
> Live Scanner Audio is here: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
> Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
> Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
> Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
>
>

1410. What should I get?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 09:34:47 UTC
From: den73740@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010705053447.05271.00002028@ng-mb1.aol.com>
Source record: 63377

Greetings;

I work on a ship and I'm looking for a good shortwave radio, the sony 2010 was my probable choice. I'm seeing some of these scanner/receiver combos with ranges from kilo to gigahertz. Do they have the sensitivy/selectivity of the 2010. What's a good make model. I'd like to get one with discriminator output.

Thanks;

Dennis

1411. Re: Get Your City Scanner Online Now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 11:27:27 UTC
From: Little Sheba <jeanine@ladyofthewell.com>
Message-ID: <goj8ktoqbgs9cd1i2i9i6qf3g9lubbqpfh@4ax.com>
Source record: 63378

Just out of curiousity why?

On Thu, 5 Jul 2001 08:35:09 +0100, "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com>
wrote:

>any one in UK please ignore,any attempt to put scanner online in UK,will be
>swiftly dealt with by the authorities
>
>regards Paul
>
>
>Champ <champ_not@home.com> wrote in message
>news:01c10364$d554c540$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a...
>> Is your Citiy's scanner online? If not why not put it online. Join the
>> group below and learn of other cities online now
>> --
>> Live Scanner Audio is here: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
>> Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
>> Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
>> Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
>> Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
>> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
>>
>>
>

1412. FS:Bearcat BC-700A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 12:03:33 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <TIY07.290$q34.111936@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 63379

i have a excellent Bearcat BC-700A scanner,800MHZ,with bix,manual,antenna,ac
power cube,dc cable,mobile mount
this scanner is as new..

price 75.00 shipped

monte k9dzd@iquest.net

1413. Re: Get Your City Scanner Online Now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 12:24:44 UTC
From: Champ <champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <01c1054d$5f4d32c0$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a>
Source record: 63380

Why can't you people just answer the godamn question being asked. All I see in these fucking ng's are people repyling with absurd remarks that don't even answer the question.

Little Sheba <jeanine@ladyofthewell.com> wrote in article <goj8ktoqbgs9cd1i2i9i6qf3g9lubbqpfh@4ax.com>...
> Just out of curiousity why?
>
> On Thu, 5 Jul 2001 08:35:09 +0100, "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com>
> wrote:
>
> >any one in UK please ignore,any attempt to put scanner online in UK,will be
> >swiftly dealt with by the authorities
> >
> >regards Paul
> >
> >
> >Champ <champ_not@home.com> wrote in message
> >news:01c10364$d554c540$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a...
> >> Is your Citiy's scanner online? If not why not put it online. Join the
> >> group below and learn of other cities online now
> >> --
> >> Live Scanner Audio is here: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> >> Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
> >> Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
> >> Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
> >> Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
> >> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
> >>
> >>
> > >
>
>

1414. Re: What should I get?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 13:06:30 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010705090630.24580.00002980@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 63381

>I'm seeing some of these scanner/receiver combos withranges from kilo to gigahertz. Do they have the sensitivy/selectivity of the 2010<

The combos work great as above 30MHz scanners and are also fine for listening to the big guns on shortwave but I have doubts that they will stand up to a dedicated below 30 MHz shortwave receiver such as the 2010 when you are trying to pull a low power station out of the muck.
I personally use stand alone scanners such as , 2004, 06, Pro-91 and an XLT895 for my scanning and a TenTec RX-320 along with an old National for shortwave.

Rob Mills ~~~

I never met a radio I didn't like - Will Rogers
(You don't know, He might have)

1415. Re: fried scanner use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 13:42:20 UTC
From: markj <markj@cts.com>
Message-ID: <3B446EBC.DE40726D@cts.com>
Source record: 63382

Rob Crandall wrote:

> Is there any way to use a fried scanner?

Ask Bud...

1416. Re: What should I get?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 14:18:12 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <FG_07.5630$c3.2070976@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 63383

I strongly suspect the 2010, preferably with a passive antenna tuner added,
will outperform any of the supercompact HF-to-UHF receivers.

1417. Re: Get Your City Scanner Online Now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 14:19:37 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <1I_07.5634$c3.2072160@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 63384

"Little Sheba" <jeanine@ladyofthewell.com> wrote in message
news:goj8ktoqbgs9cd1i2i9i6qf3g9lubbqpfh@4ax.com...
> Just out of curiousity why?
>

Listening to police calls is illegal in the UK.

> On Thu, 5 Jul 2001 08:35:09 +0100, "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com>
> wrote:
>
> >any one in UK please ignore,any attempt to put scanner online in UK,will
be
> >swiftly dealt with by the authorities
> >
> >regards Paul
> >
> >
> >Champ <champ_not@home.com> wrote in message
> >news:01c10364$d554c540$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a...
> >> Is your Citiy's scanner online? If not why not put it online. Join the
> >> group below and learn of other cities online now
> >> --
> >> Live Scanner Audio is here:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> >> Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
> >> Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
> >> Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
> >> Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
> >> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
> >>
> >>
> >
>

1418. CC Super Gainer Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 14:36:36 UTC
From: Paul Crissy <pcrissy@usenm.net>
Message-ID: <Xns90D56AEE07594pcrissyusenmnet@204.127.36.1>
Source record: 63385

The catalog for the C Crane Company lists a ""CC Super Gainer" handheld scanner antenna for sale. It says the following about it:

"Super charge your handheld scanner. The 15.75" CC Super Gainer is more responsive on all bands than any other portable antenna we have tested! 800 MHZ listening is spectacular and low band is improved too." Price is $29.95

Does anyone have any experience with this antenna? I mostly listen on 800 MHZ.

1419. Brian Scott - e-mail me!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 14:39:52 UTC
From: jtward01@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010705103952.02935.00000184@ng-bg1.aol.com>
Source record: 63386

Brian, I've lost your address. E-mail me, please.

John T. Ward
Brandon, Florida
USA
"Boycott Democrats, not Smith&Wesson"

1420. DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 15:39:31 UTC
From: Martyn <martyna@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <hN%07.71775$HJ1.1365009@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 63387
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

For any one who is interested, the Alinco DJ-X2000's have just arrived back in to stock.
Please feel free to visit the web site http://www.radiomartinc.com for order details and contact info.
I know this is a kind of advertisement and I hope that it's posting does not offend anyone, I am supplying this information because of the huge demand for this receiver and to give those persons who wish to own one, the opportunity to purchase one as we have them available and since they have been out of stock for some time with all dealers, this posting is just to let you know the situation regarding supply.
Thanks
Radio Mart Inc.

1421. Re: New Portables with Good Audio?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 16:11:21 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <Jk017.5981$Fy3.540635@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63388

The Sony SCPC-1 has awesome audio. You can probably find one cheap on ebay
<shadowlord2000@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:29360-3B431D29-426@storefull-123.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> I'm looking to buy a new handheld unit, but all of the RS & Uniden ones
> I've tried have sick audio !!
> My old RS Pro-31 and Regency HX1500 have normal audio that you can turn
> up and hear, but they don't scan alot of channels. I don't live near any
> dealers that carry AOR units, do any of thiers have good audio.
> The problem is the fact that the RS & Uniden ones don't put out any
> wattage, as I tried to explain to the stupid person at RS who wanted to
> sell me an external speaker.
> I don't know why all of these manufacturers want to make all of these
> new units so darn small, I need to hear my scanner when I'm out & about
> with it.
> Any info would be appreciated.
>
> Thanks,
> Chad
>

1422. Re: Question on AOR AR 8600 (Unscrambling signal).

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 19:02:16 UTC
From: coaststation@go.com
Message-ID: <5a77581d.0107051102.48754bb3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

"Stinger" <stinger.uk@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:<pnE07.759$Jk6.169465@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>...
> What Country are you from ?

I'm from Malaysia.

Coast station.

>
>
> "Coast Station" <coaststation@go.com> wrote in message
> news:5a77581d.0107031617.101b595f@posting.google.com...
> > I think the police in my country is using some kind of analog scramble
> > transmission. All I can hear is some kind of white noise on my
> > scanner. Very rarely they 'open' and only then I can hear them. I
> > think the police in my country are using Motorola for their radio
> > equipments. Could this be digital instead of analog scrambling ?
> >
> > Because of this I am thinking of getting the AOR AR 8600
> > scanner. This scanner has an optional card which is called the VI8200
> > voice inverter card. This card can descramble certain types of analog
> > transmission. Can I use this in order to hear the police freqs ? If
> > this cannot be done then there is no use for me to get the AOR AR
> > 8600.
> >
> > Thank You All for the info.
> >
> >
> >
> > Coast Station.

1423. WTB:PRO-92 / PRO-94A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 19:20:50 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <R6317.295$q34.116526@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 63390

im looking for a good radio shack,,PRO-92 ,or, Pro-94A
thanks
monte k9dzd@iquest.net

1424. FS: AOR8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 19:40:04 UTC
From: Bill Marcy <wetworx@wetworx.com>
Message-ID: <5mg9ktc56e8m67nindrpn3seqs52cfos7p@4ax.com>
Source record: 63391

AOR AR8000 Wide-Range Receiver
Covers 500Khz-1900Mhz (continuous)
AM/FM/WFM/USB/LSB/CW
1000 Memory Channels
computer-controllable

Excellent condition. No mods done. This is the original model - you can modify the bandplan with software. Includes manual, charger/ac adapter, and leather case. I do not have a computer interface for it. I just put a brand-new keypad in it, since some of the numbers were starting to rub off.

I will include the old keypad as well. I will also copy all of my favorite AR8000 software, utilities, mods, docs, etc. onto a CD.

Price $350 + shipping

1425. Re: CC Super Gainer Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 21:25:26 UTC
From: albertorea@webtv.net
Message-ID: <7570-3B44DB46-1@storefull-261.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63392

Try here:
http://crxsi1986.tripod.com/AntennaSpecialist/

they have a 3 inch one for around 10 bucks, does a great job on 800.

http://angelfire.com/tx4/tt/index.html

1426. Re: CC Super Gainer Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-05 22:25:05 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <5P517.112189$mG4.54090373@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 63393

I have a Watson W881 Super Gainer and it's the same length.
I wonder if it's the same antenna. I haven't compared it
with others so I can't really say how good it is. I live in
a rural area so my handhelds are mostly unused. The Watson
W881 is compared with others at strongsignals.net.
DeWayne

"Paul Crissy" <pcrissy@usenm.net> wrote in message
news:Xns90D56AEE07594pcrissyusenmnet@204.127.36.1...
> The catalog for the C Crane Company lists a ""CC Super
Gainer" handheld
> scanner antenna for sale. It says the following about it:
>
> "Super charge your handheld scanner. The 15.75" CC Super
Gainer is more
> responsive on all bands than any other portable antenna we
have tested! 800
> MHZ listening is spectacular and low band is improved
too." Price is $29.95
>
>
> Does anyone have any experience with this antenna? I
mostly listen on 800
> MHZ.
>

1427. for sale: radioshack htx 200 mini 2 meter 50.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 00:43:24 UTC
From: jwap <mediaguy@home.com>
Message-ID: <MQ717.10295$mY2.691605@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 63394

for sale:

radio shack mini 2 meter radio
30 memories
ctcss encode and decode
direct enty keyboard for all freqs.

transmits 142.0- 149.995 Mhz
receives 138- 174mhz
take 2 AA batteries
has jacks for mic, earphone, dc 9volts
comes with leather case

50.00US shipped

certified check please

thanks in advance
mediaguy@home.com

1428. Re: Question on AOR AR 8600 (Unscrambling signal).

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 01:09:56 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <Jd817.6567$c3.2559265@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 63395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Spectrum inversion (voice inversion) (the simplest kind of analog scrambling) sounds like human voices, distorted. The signal that sounds like white noise is probably the data channel from a trunking system.

1429. So what's up with this Icom R3 thing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 01:37:22 UTC
From: Enos <lavitaguasto@zdnetonebox.com>
Message-ID: <3B45164D.8B3D593A@zdnetonebox.com>
Source record: 63396

I've seen the ads in the mags for the Icom R3, the audio/video scanner thing with the little screen. It looks really "tech-y" and neat, but does it perform as advertised? Can I pickup local TV broadcasts, studio-remote links, amateur sstv and the like? And how does it perform as a regular scanner?

I know some of you out there won't like it and dismiss it as a useless toy, but how about some more objective reviews?

Thanks!

--

Ginsberg's Theorem:
1. You can't win.
2. You can't break even.
3. You can't quit the game.

1430. Re: So what's up with this Icom R3 thing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 01:58:18 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <_W817.75220$2W4.10080947@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 63248

Read a great objective review of the IC-R3 at www.strongsignals.net. And, yes, it can receive all the things you listed, provided they are at or below the 2.4 GHz range.

I am strongly considering buying one, but will probably wait until it's a bit cheaper. Right now an unblocked unit can be purchased from www.bander.com for US$409 (ignore the price shown on the web page).

Doug

"Enos" <lavitaguasto@zdnetonebox.com> wrote in message news:3B45164D.8B3D593A@zdnetonebox.com...
> I've seen the ads in the mags for the Icom R3, the audio/video scanner
> thing with the little screen. It looks really "tech-y" and neat, but
> does it perform as advertised? Can I pickup local TV broadcasts,
> studio-remote links, amateur sstv and the like? And how does it perform
> as a regular scanner?
>
> I know some of you out there won't like it and dismiss it as a useless
> toy, but how about some more objective reviews?
>
> Thanks!
>
> --
> ---------------------------
> Ginsberg's Theorem:
> 1. You can't win.
> 2. You can't break even.
> 3. You can't quit the game.
> ---------------------------

1431. Re: CC Super Gainer Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 01:59:39 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <eY817.2304$qJ1.516842@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 63247

Most of what C Crane Company is gimmicy and their idea of super gain is
a low quality renamed Radio Shack scanner antenna in which a few coathangers
arranged properly can beat.

"DeWayne" <ke9k@home.com> wrote in message
news:5P517.112189$mG4.54090373@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> I have a Watson W881 Super Gainer and it's the same length.
> I wonder if it's the same antenna. I haven't compared it
> with others so I can't really say how good it is. I live in
> a rural area so my handhelds are mostly unused. The Watson
> W881 is compared with others at strongsignals.net.
> DeWayne
>
> "Paul Crissy" <pcrissy@usenm.net> wrote in message
> news:Xns90D56AEE07594pcrissyusenmnet@204.127.36.1...
> > The catalog for the C Crane Company lists a ""CC Super
> Gainer" handheld
> > scanner antenna for sale. It says the following about it:
> >
> > "Super charge your handheld scanner. The 15.75" CC Super
> Gainer is more
> > responsive on all bands than any other portable antenna we
> have tested! 800
> > MHZ listening is spectacular and low band is improved
> too." Price is $29.95
> >
> >
> > Does anyone have any experience with this antenna? I
> mostly listen on 800
> > MHZ.
> >
>
>

1432. Re: pro 71 mods needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 02:36:52 UTC
From: Unclejoe <going34thegold@american.com>
Message-ID: <9i3898dfo1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63249

and who told you there are mods for that radio?

go ask THEM

"Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com> wrote in message
news:3b40f69d$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
>
> "Newplanter" <newplanter@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010702101534.11083.00001907@ng-bj1.aol.com...
> > www.mods.dk
> nothing for the pro 71.
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1433. Re: Pro-2006 -- $199

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 02:43:13 UTC
From: Unclejoe <going34thegold@american.com>
Message-ID: <9i38iu$j9n$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63250

Aren't the steps in the cellular range different in the UK?
If your steps are not 30 khz like USA, the scanner will never work right,
always half-way on a frequency, always punching up or down, trying to get
better alignment with the frequency. Not a good idea.

"Syd" <smurf123@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:9hlct5gcn1@plutonium.btinternet.com...
> Hi
> If you were here in the UK, you may as well hang on to it as you would be
> VERY LUCKY to get $120.00p the average price for a second-hand full
coverage
> (unblocked) good condition 2006 in the UK is about ?70.00p to ?100.00p
> that's $99.17 and $141.00 and the ones that are ?100.00 are nearly mint.
and
> these are as I said unblocked.
> regards
> Val
> Ps I paid ?75.00p for mine that's $106.23. excellent condition/full
> coverage/boxed with manual and a/c adapter AND POSTAGE PAID in the UK
> mainland. btw, I only give ?85.00p thats $120.42 for a perfect condition
> boxed 2042. still with 5 months warranty left on it.
>

1434. Re: which is better?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 02:46:31 UTC
From: Unclejoe <going34thegold@american.com>
Message-ID: <9i38p4$e8o$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63251

Try both, they don't cost that much. Compare the difference yourself, don't go by what you hear. Also try antennas with high gain, the discone is not a high gain antenna.

1435. FA: PRO 26 UK version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 03:59:17 UTC
From: ardyno@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010705235917.16837.00004824@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 63252

For auction PRO 26 UK version

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1250756153

1436. Re: which is better?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 04:23:51 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15aedce538bebdec989770@news>
Source record: 63253

In article <9i38p4$e8o$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>,
going34thegold@american.com says...
>
> Try both, they don't cost that much. Compare the difference yourself, don't
> go by what you hear. Also try antennas with high gain, the discone is not a
> high gain antenna.

You should keep in mind any antenna with gain will suffer from narrow bandwidth. Your gain antenna is hot stuff on a few bands but if you go outside of these bands to any degree it will not function well. A discone though it doesn't exhibit gain will certainly do a crack up job on the WHOLE spectrum. What's a crack up job? Considering most VHF/UHF reception is line of site you don't really need the gain if you have the height and in reality gain will not make up for lack of height.

I agree, if you can buy them both, do it. Put some decent coax on them, mount them as high as you can and have fun.

Glenn

1437. Re: So what's up with this Icom R3 thing?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 04:32:44 UTC
From: webmaster@diehansonsux.com
Message-ID: <9i3f1b$ge66l$1@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 63254

I like it. It's tv screen came in handy when the power went off recently to get weather updates, then I kept it parked on the police when not watching the weather. The tv does eat up batteries, but I have the rechargable pack, so it's not a big problem to me. The big difference in the R3 is the absence of the direct entry keypad. It uses a different system to enter frequencies, when I got used to it, it's not hard at all. I haven't received any video links or amateur tv on it yet, I don't seem to live near anything like that. Picks up cordless phones the nuts, and gets images of cell phones.

In article <3B45164D.8B3D593A@zdnetonebox.com>, lavitaguasto@zdnetonebox.com says...
>
>I've seen the ads in the mags for the Icom R3, the audio/video scanner
>thing with the little screen. It looks really "tech-y" and neat, but
>does it perform as advertised? Can I pickup local TV broadcasts,
>studio-remote links, amateur sstv and the like? And how does it perform
>as a regular scanner?
>
>I know some of you out there won't like it and dismiss it as a useless
>toy, but how about some more objective reviews?
>
>Thanks!
>
>--
>----------------------------
>Ginsberg's Theorem:
>1. You can't win.
>2. You can't break even.
>3. You can't quit the game.
>----------------------------

1438. Re: PHoenix FD Blue Deck Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 05:30:54 UTC
From: famwiley@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010706013054.11536.00000583@ng-xb1.aol.com>
Source record: 63255

The history behind this is based upon the Saber 1E radio. it had 2 zones of 12 channels apiece. originally fire was in zone 1, and police were in zone 2. a dab of blue paint denoted zone 2.
as Phoenix fire took on more departments, they needed more room. so they began moving some of the police channels(blue) off the fire radios. (the police had there own radios, and never noticed.) , in a nut shell, thats the story as i remember it.

regards
Kevin.....]

I love defenseless animals, especially with a good gravy and a baked potato.
HHB 2/5 FA 1st Infantry Division Forward 1985-1987

1439. CE-232 Manual, Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 07:47:46 UTC
From: Carl Eb <pro_2006@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns90D6813FAF5FIDTAA001@131.215.139.43>
Source record: 63256

Talk about a blast from the past, right?

I've looked at my junk drawer & it looks like I have enough parts that I can throw a CE-232 interface together for my old 2006 for what amounts to pocket change. I have a copy of Cheek's third book, but am interested at glancing at the original manual and software for the interface.

Apparently, back in the day, these were available free from the author and from various sources on FidoNet.

I've searched on google and deja and multiple ftp sites, but can't seem to find this stuff on the internet.

Someone on this group must have them. If so, can they be passed along to me?

Thanks in advance!
Carl Eb

1440. FS:Bearcat BC-700A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 12:10:56 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <OVh17.322$q34.127315@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 63257

i have a like new BC-700A 800MHZ scanner,,with box.manual.antenna,ac power
cube,dc cable..
looks and works great
price 65.00 shipped
monte k9dzd@iquest.net

1441. LTR????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 14:16:13 UTC
From: steveh@link-comm.com
Message-ID: <3b45c7f9.57954066@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 63258

Is there anyone out there who know anything about LTR? Is there another group I should be posting in?

1442. FS: Sony Wavehawk Radio Scanner plus free gift

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 15:38:39 UTC
From: uwhomike@aol.com
Message-ID: <c2af40f0.0107060738.1424f68a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63259
Cross-posted to: oh.forsale,rec.radio.scanner

For Sale:

Sony Wavehawk Scanner (non PC version)
* 25-1300 Mhz continuous (except cellular)
* 300 channels with service search
* 4 AA battery operation
* adult owned, low hours, never used outdoors
* LIKE NEW, complete with box and docs.

$140 shipped priority mail

I will throw in for free a VTECH Email Express
portable emailing device. This pocket-sized
unit has a built in modem and will let you access
email anywhere there is a phone jack. It works
great, I just don't need it anymore. Monthly service
for email acct. is $10. Portable recoiling phone cord
is included, as is a carrying case and owners manual.
Cost $80 new.

Please email me directly at
uwhomike@aol.com
for more info.

Thanks,
Mike

1443. Re: LTR????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 16:26:32 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010706122632.04022.00001370@ng-fh1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63260

>Subject: LTR????
>From: steveh@link-comm.com (Steve Holle)
>Date: 7/6/01 10:16 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3b45c7f9.57954066@news.uswest.net>
>
>Is there anyone out there who know anything about LTR? Is there
>another group I should be posting in?
>

If you are seeking information about the LTR Radio Trunking Systems then yes
you are in the right place and can make use of the following Link to a good
introduction to this system:

http://www.virtualcomm.com/cmn/faq/e/ltr.htm

Hope this helps and is what you were looking for,

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1444. LTR wav files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 16:43:49 UTC
From: steveh@link-comm.com
Message-ID: <3b45ea82.8235510@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 63261

Can anyone send me so wav files of sample LTR traffic? Any details about the messages would be greatly appreciated.

sholle@link-comm.com

1445. ICOM 8500 +

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 16:46:34 UTC
From: jbeckett@stny.rr.com
Message-ID: <beqbkt864bo7ivoiac95qklk1a71snth5f@4ax.com>
Source record: 63262

Too many radios! Purchased earlier this year-
opened box, checked out, back in box.

All as new:
ICOM IC-R8500 receiver*
(*full coverage 100 kHz to 1999.99 MHz)
TV-R7100 TV/FM adapter
RS-R8500 Remote control software
SP-21 speaker
All boxed w/ manuals

$1,600 plus shipping CONUS

If the TV-R7100 isn't wanted- $1,400

Jim
KD2KU
Corning NY

1446. BC245XLT charging problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 17:30:24 UTC
From: mloewen@cac.psu.edu
Message-ID: <9i4sjg$17d8@r02n01.cac.psu.edu>
Source record: 63263

I have a BC245XLT that was bought almost 2 years ago, so is well out of warranty. It has suddenly decided to quit charging the internal pack, with either of 2 different battery packs or power sources.

Is there a common point of failure that might be repaired without too much difficulty, or is this a case for return to the factory?

--
Mike Loewen Center for Academic Computing
Email: mcl8@NOSPAMpsu.edu The Pennsylvania State University
Phone: (814) 863-4356 University Park, PA 16802
Office: 17 Willard Bldg. http://ripsaw.cac.psu.edu/mloewen.html

1447. conclusions on Bel 890 radar detector?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 18:11:30 UTC
From: palln@hubcap.clemson.edu
Message-ID: <9i4v0i$8vg$1@hubcap.clemson.edu>
Source record: 63264

A while back there was some discussion on the merits of the Bel 890 RD.
I am interested in one too. Does anyone have comments on this detector?

many thanks,
phil :wq

1448. Re: Scanner antenna question from newbie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 22:11:51 UTC
From: coaststation@go.com
Message-ID: <5a77581d.0107061411.5d3909c8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63265

"Adam Conlea" <adam85@NOSPAMhotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<9i01ae$34n@dispatch.concentric.net>...
> I have the Radio Shack Pro-2017 200-Channel Desktop Scanner. It works for
> my recreational purposes but would like to extend its reception by
> purchasing an external antenna. The product documentation says the jack is
> compatible with a "Motorola-type" antenna, so I was hoping that someone here
> might have a suggestion. I would like to extend my reception range 50-75
> miles. Would this be possible using this unit and an external antenna?
>
> Thanks for your attention.
>
> Adam

For maximum coverage and enjoyment in monitoring, the use of
top quality outdoor antenna and coax cable is extremely important.
Another thing is the location of your base station. If you live at a
place surrounded by hills/mountains or tall buildings, then nothing
much can be done. You're in luck if you live in flatlands like the
prairie of America.

Try using top quality antenna like the Scantenna or Diamond
D130J. For coax cable, use top quality coax like Belden 9913, LMR-400
or better. Don't forget to use top quality connector too like
Amphenol. I use N connectors for my coax because I monitor a lot in
the 900Mhz spectrum. For maximum weatherproofing use coax seal for
outdoor connectors.

Try to install your antenna at the highest point of your base
station. If possible use the shortest length of coax cable to reduce
signal loss at the very minimum. Earth your scanner if possible to
avoid antenna static buildup that can damage your scanner.

Antenna system is of paramount importance. Many people make the
mistake of spending so much on high end scanners while building
cheap/low quality antenna systems. The spending ratio should be 50:50.
If you have a thousand bucks to spend on the monitoring hobby, you
should spend 500 dollars on the scanner equipment and the other half
on antenna system. The figure is just an example as to show the
extremely importance of top quality antenna systems.

Coast Station.

1449. Re: BC245XLT charging problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-06 23:35:01 UTC
From: mgd99@usa.net
Message-ID: <265f1f1c.0107061535.7d2ec955@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63266

mloewen@cac.psu.edu (Mike Loewen) wrote in message
news:<9i4sjg$17d8@r02n01.cac.psu.edu>...
> I have a BC245XLT that was bought almost 2 years ago, so is well out of
> warranty. It has suddenly decided to quit charging the internal pack, with
> either of 2 different battery packs or power sources.
>
> Is there a common point of failure that might be repaired without too
> much difficulty, or is this a case for return to the factory?

My advice is to try buying the external battery charger that came with
the 235.
You can buy this along with a new BP for around 30 bucks I bet.
This will take care of your charge problem and replace your BPs if
they went bad. I'm not sure what the model # of the charger/package
is. Check www.uniden.com or your favorite dealer. I never use my 235
or 245 radio to charge. Why tie up your radios?

BTW, This years PSU football team will make last years team look good.
LOL

1450. Intermod in the 160mhz??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 00:26:25 UTC
From: beehunter1@webtv.net
Message-ID: <9525-3B465731-60@storefull-125.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63267

I believe what I am hearing is called intermod.
I get very severe bleedover of pagers and NOAA weather broadcasts all
the time between 160mhz and 162mhz on my Uniden BC230XLT handheld unit,
other than that this is a great scanner for my needs.
I don't have this problem with my older Electra base models or my
Regency HX1500 portable at all.
Does anyone have a fix for this?
I would appreicate knowing why this unit does this and the others don't.
Thanks in advance for insight to this problem.

Thanks,
Chad

1451. IRL Frequency list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 00:48:02 UTC
From: news-server <klajfd@laskjfd.com>
Message-ID: <6%s17.136747$C7.20218534@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 63268

Does anyone have the IRL Teams Frequency listing?

Thanks in advance...

1452. Re: Get Your City Scanner Online Now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 01:10:41 UTC
From: Little Sheba <jeanine@ladyofthewell.com>
Message-ID: <9bocktki6r1lo3n3og08f2d397m8sjhkmd@4ax.com>
Source record: 63269

I see...seems backwards to me since cell frequencies aren't blocked
but that's ok thanks for the explanation!!

Little Sheba

On Thu, 5 Jul 2001 10:19:37 -0400, "Michael A. Covington"
<see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x> wrote:

>
>"Little Sheba" <jeanine@ladyofthewell.com> wrote in message
>news:goj8ktoqbgs9cd1i2i9i6qf3g9lubbqpfh@4ax.com...
>> Just out of curiousity why?
>>
>
>
>Listening to police calls is illegal in the UK.
>
>
>
>
>> On Thu, 5 Jul 2001 08:35:09 +0100, "proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com>
>> wrote:
>>
>> >any one in UK please ignore,any attempt to put scanner online in UK,will
>be
>> >swiftly dealt with by the authorities
>> >
>> >regards Paul
>> >
>> >
>> >Champ <champ_not@home.com> wrote in message
>> >news:01c10364$d554c540$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a...
>> >> Is your Citiy's scanner online? If not why not put it online. Join the
>> >> group below and learn of other cities online now
>> >> --
>> >> Live Scanner Audio is here:
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
>> >> Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
>> >> Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
>> >> Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
>> >> Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
>> >> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont
>> >>
>> >>
>> >

>>
>

1453. Re: Programming software for Uniden sc200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 01:18:16 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <6eocktgpsnenhsu1bhhmq2f2n9hkg35iln@4ax.com>
Source record: 63270

On Wed, 04 Jul 2001 21:19:08 -0400, The Culver's <tculver@erols.com>
wrote:

>Is there a program out there that lets you program the memories
>especially the alphanumerics into memory?

From the lack of anwers over the past couple of days, the anwer
appears to be no.

However... Interested in testing a program? Back in December I wrote
one for a friend when he was in town for a couple of days. Never did
hear back on whether he ever used it.

It's a command line program that runs under Windows, and uses the
PC/clone cable that comes with the scanner.

E-mail me if you'd like to give it a shot. Address in the header is
munged. Real e-mail is kplotkin at clark dot net.

Testing may go slowly since I don't have acess to a sc200, and I'm
juggling a bunch of other things. But if the program reaches a useful
state, I'll set it loose as careware.

Ken Plotkin

1454. CTCSS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 01:22:45 UTC
From: Jason <jmu231@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Ctt17.11761$c3.3798765@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 63271

Could someone please explain (laymans terms) what the heck these are and how to listen or what to do with them??

Jason

1455. Re: LTR wav files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 02:50:45 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <9Ou17.2200$EW.9606@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 63272

LTR traffic sounds just like any other type of radio traffic.

"Steve Holle" <steveh@link-comm.com> wrote in message
news:3b45ea82.8235510@news.uswest.net...
> Can anyone send me so wav files of sample LTR traffic? Any details
> about the messages would be greatly appreciated.
>
> sholle@link-comm.com

1456. Re: CTCSS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 02:59:42 UTC
From: Scott <spikelee7@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <llucktg3jfsoptlu73gi32rkrsdkllg02a@4ax.com>
Source record: 63274

CTCSS is a sub-audible code. When a radio transmits, most of the time they send this sub-tone with the audio, to activate a receiver. The Pro-92 has CTCSS, and it will not only decode which CTCSS (known also as PL), but use it to control the squelch for any given channel.

Scott
N6UUH

On Fri, 6 Jul 2001 21:22:45 -0400, "Jason" <jmu231@yahoo.com> wrote:

>Could someone please explain (laymans terms) what the heck these are and how
>to listen or what to do with them??
>
>Jason
>

1457. FS Kenwood RZ-1 Wideband Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 03:38:17 UTC
From: John Klewer <n6ax@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3B468429.E85DE5C0@pacbell.net>
Source record: 63007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Extremely clean Kenwood RZ-1 receiver, scans 500 kHz to 905 MHz complete with no gaps and works great. Receives AM, FM narrowband, FM wideband, FM stereo, Television (with NTSC video output on the back) and has about 100 memories.

Radio is DIN sized to fit in a standard automobile dashboard opening if you wish.

Receiver is cosmetically in beautiful condition.

This one is best offer over $300 plus shipping from 92870

Thanks

John

1458. Re: CTCSS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 03:39:59 UTC
From: Carl Eb <Pro_2006@htotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns90D6D2214F822IDTAA001@131.215.139.43>
Source record: 63273

The Continuous Tone Coded Squelch System sends a subaudible sound along with the transmission. Radio receivers can "hear" these tones, even though we can't. So, if a frequency is used by many groups of people, each group can use a separate tone & the receivers set for a tone won't play back all the other garbage on the frequency.

So, when you have it on, you actually hear LESS than if you don't use it. A number of receivers and mods will allow you to use it, though. You may want to listen only to what one of those groups is broadcasting & not listen to what everyone else is sending.

Carl

"Jason" <jmu231@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Ctt17.11761$c3.3798765@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net:

> Could someone please explain (laymans terms) what the heck these are
> and how to listen or what to do with them??
>
> Jason
>
>
>

1459. Need AOR 2500 scanner Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 04:30:57 UTC
From: mrthoms7@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <3b468b5d.1704478@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 63275

I have a need for A copy of some software that will work with
the AOR 2500 Scanner , if you have a copy let me know..

Tom

Email info to : mrthoms7@pacbell.net

1460. Re: New CHP Website???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 05:43:41 UTC
From: Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com>
Message-ID: <ci8dktkuv4k2h9bknj96ubet3jags22v3t@4ax.com>
Source record: 63276

Chuck Rowe wrote:

>At our station we have a "Media CAD" which is a direct connection to
>the CHP mainframe that does not go through the internet. It also
>allows us to send/receive messages from the Traffic Management Center.
>
>If you hear the station mention something that's not there, it
>probably means that the log hasn't been updated but CHP Dispatch.
>Although to the untrained eye, it might appear as if the Traffic
>Reporter doesn't know what he/she is talking about. I assure that is
>usually not the case.

No need to worry. That's what I already had in mind. I figured they
were just reading straight from CAD and that CAD's info wasn't exactly
current.

Jughead

1461. (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 09:21:46 UTC
From: Anonymous <remailer@remailer.xganon.com>
Message-ID: <f17bb1fd6c0f0c901541f2891f69b478@remailer.xganon.com>
Source record: 63277

Please ignore

1462. For all in search of any U.S. FREQ's...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 10:59:09 UTC
From: Sean Murphy <zosoiv@jps.net>
Message-ID: <3B46EB7D.4F9822C6@jps.net>
Source record: 63278
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

To whom it may concern:

Why search mode when you have the specific frequencies at your
fingertips. The FCC online frequency database, the best "there's a
scanner frequency available for everthing under the sun" search engine
available in these United Biscuits, to we
the taxpayers, and the rest of the world at:

http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

or alternatively at:
http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

The FCC database has it ALL!!!
NEVER GIVE UP UNTIL YOU SEARCH THE FCC DATABASE!!!

To learn how to decipher the information you find there...
go here:
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/uls/help/cueopen3.htm

Regards & happy scanning,
Sean

1463. Re: For all in search of any U.S. FREQ's...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 11:00:53 UTC
From: Sean Murphy <zosoiv@jps.net>
Message-ID: <3B46EBE5.C3D8888@jps.net>
Source record: 63279
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Well nobody's perfect... here's the main site URL...

http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

Sean Murphy wrote:

> To whom it may concern:
>
> Why search mode when you have the specific frequencies at your
> fingertips. The FCC online frequency database, the best "there's a
> scanner frequency available for everthing under the sun" search engine
> available in these United Biscuits, to we
> the taxpayers, and the rest of the world at:
>
> http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts
>
> or alternatively at:
> http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts
>
> The FCC database has it ALL!!!
> NEVER GIVE UP UNTIL YOU SEARCH THE FCC DATABASE!!!
>
> To learn how to decipher the information you find there...
> go here:
> http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/uls/help/cueopen3.htm
>
> Regards & happy scanning,
> Sean

1464. Re: For all in search of any U.S. FREQ's...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 13:37:56 UTC
From: Jason <jmu231@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <FeE17.14503$c3.4424809@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 63280
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have been using this for some time now and it is fantastic. The wildcard search capability is good if you are not sure of the name of the service.

Jason

"Sean Murphy" <zosoiv@jps.net> wrote in message news:3B46EBE5.C3D8888@jps.net...
> Well nobody's perfect... here's the main site URL...
>
> http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts
>
> Sean Murphy wrote:
>
> > To whom it may concern:
> >
> > Why search mode when you have the specific frequencies at your
> > fingertips. The FCC online frequency database, the best "there's a
> > scanner frequency available for everthing under the sun" search engine
> > available in these United Biscuits, to we
> > the taxpayers, and the rest of the world at:
> >
> > http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts
> >
> > or alternatively at:
> > http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts
> >
> > The FCC database has it ALL!!!
> > NEVER GIVE UP UNTIL YOU SEARCH THE FCC DATABASE!!!
> >
> > To learn how to decipher the information you find there...
> > go here:
> > http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/uls/help/cueopen3.htm
> >
> > Regards & happy scanning,
> > Sean
>

1465. Re: For all in search of any U.S. FREQ's...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 14:41:22 UTC
From: Johan SM4XFJ <sm4xfj@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IcF17.3606$5t1.1342@nntpserver.swip.net>
Source record: 63281
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi there!

How does I retrieve a frequency for the L.A county?
I seem to have some problems.. Hah
Please help!

1466. Re: I Truly Appolige To ALL For The: Check This Out: 9909

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 14:52:25 UTC
From: go4tcross@cs.communicate
Message-ID: <20010707105225.26014.00002696@ng-fb1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63282

>I truly apoloize to everyone for what happened. I was tring to send it to a
>test group and not the world.
>This was the first time I used Agent Poster and did relize what had happen
>until I got all you e-mails.
>I am truly sorry and you can be assured, it wont happen again.
>Tom Partridge
>jshtysbfnrpkprjij
>
>
Just can't quite get the hang of that Spamware.

Luke Hoffmann
Go4tcross@cs.com

1467. PRO 2052 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 16:58:19 UTC
From: Dave Wilson <underh20@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <LcH17.2889$4M3.684134@typhoon1.gnilink.net>
Source record: 63283

I'm looking for software for the pro 2052 was told winCAM was good but their site will not let me download

1468. Re: I Truly Appolige To ALL For The: Check This Out: 9909

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 17:36:12 UTC
From: Bruce or Tracy <bljacobs2@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B474705.2C818994@home.com>
Source record: 63284

No problem. That happens sometimes.

Luke Hoffmann wrote:
>
> >I truly apoloize to everyone for what happened. I was tring to send it to a
> >test group and not the world.
> >This was the first time I used Agent Poster and did relize what had happen
> >until I got all you e-mails.
> >I am truly sorry and you can be assured, it wont happen again.
> >Tom Partridge
> >jshtysbfnrpkprjij
> >
> >
> Just can't quite get the hang of that Spamware.
>
> Luke Hoffmann
> Go4tcross@cs.com

1469. Novice question on SWR meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 17:41:51 UTC
From: Chris B <cbert71@spammenot.yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ZMH17.79581$HJ1.1746804@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 63285
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Ok, I have a general idea WHAT an SWR meter is and what it measures, but am looking for recommedations on where to find more info on that and more importantly, how and why to use one. (If you have the time and the knowledge to write me up a short instructional, great, but wouldn't ask anyone for that.) Having a heckuva time finding info on the Net. (Try typing in "SWR meter" to Yahoo or "How do I use an SWR meter?" into Ask.Com and see what comes back! ;-)
At any rate, Links? Books? White papers?

much thanks!
cbert

1470. Re: Novice question on SWR meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 18:18:29 UTC
From: Dennis Kaylor <k4oxg@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3B47526F.14D50333@tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 63286
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

well chris
SWR stands for standing wave ratio it basically measures the mismatched impedance between your fedline and your antenna and your radio
the radio is looking for 50 ohms and your feed line in most cases is 50 ohm your antennas in theory should be 50 ohms as well to give you a 1:1 match but that seldom happens
i think if you want to learn more you should pick up the ARRL handbook it is chuck full of all that techonbable that as a new ham you are unfamiliar with
good luck

Chris B wrote:

> Ok, I have a general idea WHAT an SWR meter is and what it measures, but am
> looking for recommedations on where to find more info on that and more
> importantly, how and why to use one. (If you have the time and the knowledge
> to write me up a short instructional, great, but wouldn't ask anyone for
> that.) Having a heckuva time finding info on the Net. (Try typing in "SWR
> meter" to Yahoo or "How do I use an SWR meter?" into Ask.Com and see what
> comes back! ;-)
> At any rate, Links? Books? White papers?
>
> much thanks!
> cbert

1471. New Weather Alert Groups

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 18:45:43 UTC
From: Weather Alert <weatheralert@juno.com>
Message-ID: <83mektcj1n7oig2f8kjer77vaasuss8nf0@4ax.com>
Source record: 63287

Severe Weather Alert Groups for all 50 States.

Subscribe below.

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/AK_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/AR_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/AZ_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CA_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CO_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CT_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/DE_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/FL_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/GA_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/HI_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/IA_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ID_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/IL_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/IN_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/KS_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/KY_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/LA_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MA_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MD_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ME_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MI_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MN_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MO_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MS_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/MT_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/NC_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ND_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/NE_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/NH_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/NH_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/NH_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/NJ_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/NM_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/NV_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/NY_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/OH_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/OK_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/OR_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/PA_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/RI_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/SC_WX_Alert

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/SD_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/TN_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/TX_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UT_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/VA_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/VA_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/VT_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/WA_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/WI_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/WV_WX_Alert
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/WY_WX_Alert

1472. STAMFORD, CT TRUNKED SYSTEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 18:47:16 UTC
From: Annette & LJ Dumas <nettski@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <UOI17.8412$Fy3.741750@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63288

Greetings.

I've programmed Stamford 800MHz system in my BC245XLT, using search to listen to all talkgroups, nothing. It's successfully picking up Orange County, NY and NJSP, so I don't think it's the scanner.

Help?

LJD

1473. FS: BC235XLT 300 Channel Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 18:57:01 UTC
From: Sam <k4oc_nospam@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <fkmektkf50ee501lhgcgtqfiibm540hqqu@4ax.com>
Source record: 63290

I am selling my BC235XLT Handheld Trunk Tracker Scanner.

here is a url that tells all about it:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/bc235.htm

I am asking $90. This scanner is in EXCELLENT condition. I have
original box, manual, wall charger.

I would prefer to handle the financial part via Western Union if
outside of the triangle area of North Carolina.

email if interested.

Sam K4OC
k4oc@nc.rr.com

1474. FS: BC235XLT 300 Channel Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 18:58:41 UTC
From: Sam <k4oc_nospam@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <jvmektsfk8eb5uajjcerh38spq3od6v67v@4ax.com>
Source record: 63291

I am selling my BC235XLT Handheld Trunk Tracker Scanner.

here is a url that tells all about it:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/bc235.htm

I am asking $90. This scanner is in EXCELLENT condition. I have
original box, manual, wall charger.

I would prefer to handle the financial part via Western Union if
outside of the triangle area of North Carolina.

email if interested.

Sam K4OC
k4oc@nc.rr.com

1475. F.S. Kenwood tm-733a

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 19:08:41 UTC
From: Scott Hilton <n0oba1@home.com>
Message-ID: <Z6J17.458274$oc7.71371310@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 63289

Works great, must sell. Have Box,manual,bracket,Backlit Mic,power cord. Freq. Mods have been done. Mars and Cap etc. Just replaced the bulbs for one did burn out and so I replaced all four in the control head. Pics on request. 315 OBO.

Scott

Hello Don!

n0oba1@home.com

1476. Re: CTCSS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 19:12:10 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <eaJ17.87682$2W4.13171280@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 63292

Jason,

On receivers equipped with CTCSS decoding, a continuous tone "added" to the audio by the other station's transmitter can be detected and can serve as a sort of switch to open the squelch or enable transmission on a repeater if it is present. Most amateur radio and police repeaters use CTCSS to eliminate false keying of the transmitters caused by interfering stations. The Family Radio Service (FRS) radios also use CTCSS (sometimes called "privacy codes") to give the operators the false sense of security that their transmissions are not being overheard or to give the impression to layman users that there are actually many more channels available, neither of which is the truth.

Actually, the standard tones used by the CTCSS system ARE audible. If you are listening to a scanner and receive a signal from a ham repeater or local police, for example, you may hear a low continuous sine wave tone or "hum" along with the voice. That is what CTCSS sounds like when it is not filtered out by a receiver that is capable of decoding it, like a typical scanner without the CTCSS feature. On a receiver with CTCSS capability, the tone is deliberately removed from the audio.

There are 42 standard tones as defined by the EIA (Electronics Industries Assoc.) ranging from 67.0 to 254.1 Hz--all in the audible range, but often the lower tones are difficult to distinguish or may be attenuated by the audio amplifier stage in your receiver.

Doug

"Jason" <jmu231@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Ctt17.11761$c3.3798765@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net...
> Could someone please explain (laymans terms) what the heck these are and
how
> to listen or what to do with them??
>
> Jason
>
>

1477. Re: Pro-2006 -- $199

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 19:50:59 UTC
From: Val <smurf123@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9i7p6l$pt3$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 63293

I'm not sure what you mean?
The 2006 I have is a modified one that I paid £75.00p for. It has FULL coverage from 25-520Mhz and 760 to 1300MHz no-gaps, step sizes are 5 / 12.5 / 30 / 50 AM/FM. My friends all have the same step size and coverage, I didn't realise there were any other types about.
regards
Val

Unclejoe <going34thegold@american.com> wrote in message news:9i38iu$j9n$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
> Aren't the steps in the cellular range different in the UK?
> If your steps are not 30 khz like USA, the scanner will never work right,
> always half-way on a frequency, always punching up or down, trying to get
> better alignment with the frequency. Not a good idea.
>
>
> "Syd" <smurf123@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:9hlct5gcn1@plutonium.btinternet.com...
> > Hi
> > If you were here in the UK, you may as well hang on to it as you would be
> > VERY LUCKY to get $120.00p the average price for a second-hand full
> coverage
> > (unblocked) good condition 2006 in the UK is about £70.00p to £100.00p
> > that's $99.17 and $141.00 and the ones that are £100.00 are nearly mint.
> and
> > these are as I said unblocked.
> > regards
> > Val
> > Ps I paid £75.00p for mine that's $106.23. excellent condition/full
> > coverage/boxed with manual and a/c adapter AND POSTAGE PAID in the UK
> > mainland. btw, I only give £85.00p thats $120.42 for a perfect condition
> > boxed 2042. still with 5 months warranty left on it.
> >
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.264 / Virus Database: 136 - Release Date: 02/07/01

1478. Re: Novice question on SWR meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 20:24:36 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B477003.F084E2A2@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63294
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Try doing your search on "VSWR".
Voltage Standing Wave Ratio is the correct name for the concept.

Walt

Chris B wrote:
>
> Ok, I have a general idea WHAT an SWR meter is and what it measures, but am
> looking for recommedations on where to find more info on that and more
> importantly, how and why to use one. (If you have the time and the knowledge
> to write me up a short instructional, great, but wouldn't ask anyone for
> that.) Having a heckuva time finding info on the Net. (Try typing in "SWR
> meter" to Yahoo or "How do I use an SWR meter?" into Ask.Com and see what
> comes back! ;-)
> At any rate, Links? Books? White papers?
>
> much thanks!
> cbert

1479. Re: IRL Frequency list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 21:52:46 UTC
From: Robert M <20.24@home.com>
Message-ID: <OwL17.134145$W02.2411168@news1.rdc2.on.home.com>
Source record: 63295

try this site:

http://www.geocities.com/MotorCity/Garage/6193/freq.html
--
GO -- # 20, 8, 29, 1, 15, 18, 31
news-server <klajfd@laskjfd.com> wrote in message
news:6%s17.136747$C7.20218534@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
> Does anyone have the IRL Teams Frequency listing?
>
> Thanks in advance...
>
>

1480. WTB: Drake Mint SW8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 22:08:45 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <NLL17.116572$mG4.57165210@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 63296
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

WTB: Drake SW8, latest version, in PERFECT cond. Also have
to trade:

Sony 7600GR, manual, case, reel ant., box, two mos. old
Icom R2, unblocked, box, manual, one mo. old
Bearcat UBC-3000XLT, unblocked, beautiful case, ps, manual,
box, Watson W881 Super Gainer ant.
RS DX-398, case, no manual

Will consider trade combinations.
All in perfect cond. Hardly used at all!!!
DeWayne KE9K

1481. FA: Viking 10 hour cassette recorder with VOX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 23:04:43 UTC
From: Don Stegner <dstegner@home.com>
Message-ID: <fAM17.153380$qc.18394329@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 63197
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1253479864&r=0&t=0&sh
owTutorial=0&ed=995150903&indexURL=0&rd=1

1482. pl tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 23:16:15 UTC
From: lamano@webtv.net
Message-ID: <29837-3B47983F-13@storefull-615.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63199

do any one have any info on pl tones for the san antonio texas area.

1483. Re: For all in search of any U.S. FREQ's...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-07 23:30:26 UTC
From: Mark <trunker@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mYM17.1316$6c1.219633@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63198
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Big problems.....

"Johan SM4XFJ" <sm4xfj@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:IcF17.3606$5t1.1342@nntpserver.swip.net...
> Hi there!
>
> How does I retrieve a frequency for the L.A county?
> I seem to have some problems.. Hah
> Please help!
>
>
>

1484. Re: Novice question on SWR meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 00:33:06 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9i89oe$52d$1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 63200
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Walt wrote -
> Try doing your search on "VSWR".
> Voltage Standing Wave Ratio is the correct name for the concept.
==========
Dear Old Wife Walt,

There you go again, setting a novice off on the wrong foot and likely to seriously damage his ideas of the whole important subject of transmission lines for the remainder of his amateur radio career.

SWR, VSWR and ISWR are equally valid terms to use.

The best you can do is depart from this thread before you do any more damage and allow things, hopefully, to get back on course.

Reg, G4FGQ.

1485. Whoo makes the best Trunk Tracking scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 01:37:00 UTC
From: globalcabl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010707213700.26681.00002420@ng-mo1.aol.com>
Source record: 63201

Do any have computer control?

1486. Re: Novice question on SWR meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 01:59:19 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9i8eq2$90d$1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 63203
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Chris B wrote -
> Ok, I have a general idea WHAT an SWR meter is and what it
measures, but am
> looking for recommedations on where to find more info on that and
more
> importantly, how and why to use one.
==========
Hi Chris,

For starters download from website below, program SWRMETER. One small file. Not zipped up. Download in a few seconds. Run immediately.

Program SWRMETER models the operation of the common or garden SWR meter. There is a circuit diagram of the bare essentials with a few explanatory notes. Just get used to the idea of working with numbers. After all, all meters indicate the measured quantity in terms of numbers.

The important thing to note is that the meter does NOT measure SWR. It only indicates what the SWR might be on a transmission line between the meter and the transmitter if such a line existed and was of the correct line impedance Zo. The concept of SWR, whether it be VSWR or ISWR, is not of great consequence as you may eventually learn. So what it actually means can be put aside for a short while and allow you to concentrate on what really matters and what the meter really indicates. It is of some importance. At the present state of the art the meter cannot be dispensed with.

The usual radio transmitter has been designed to perform best when it is loaded with a pure resistance of 50 ohms. It does not have to be exactly 50 ohms. It can be anywhere within the range 30 to 75 ohms. Outside this range there is the possibility of the transmitter's output transistors being damaged.

So modern tramitters have a built in safety mechanism. The power output is automatically turned down if the resistive load is outside the range of about 30 to 75 ohms. Sometimes it may be outside the range 25 to 100 ohms but the exact figures are not important. The operator can do nothing about it except keep the transmitter load not too far from 50 ohms.

If too far from 50 ohms the transmitter may blow-up or to protect itself it will automatically shut down its output power. Either of these possibilties is highly undesrable.

There is an adjustable "Antenna Tuner" between the antenna feedline and the transmitter. Its principal purpose is to transform the impedance looking into feedline to 50 ohms, or to whatever other value is required by the transmitter.

The so-called SWR meter is inserted between the antenna tuner and the transmitter.

THE METER'S SOLE PURPOSE IS TO MONITOR THE VALUE OF THE LOAD RESISTANCE PRESENTED TO THE TRANSMITTER. WHEN THE METER NEEDLE HAS ZERO DEFLECTION THE TRANSMITTER LOAD RESISTANCE IS VERY NEAR TO THE DESIGN VALUE OF 50 OHMS.

When the meter needle deflection is not exactly zero it will not indicate how far the load resistance departs from 50 ohms. But don't worry about it. If the meter delflection is near the left hand side of the scale then all is well.

Now Chris, having, I hope, got you on the right track about the true purpose of the so-called SWR meter, it may be safe to turn you over to the old wives to hear what THEIR bafflegab is all about.

By the way, if anyone should mention 'conjugate match' move immediately to the next thread. Or put a block on the phrase.

Reg, G4FGQ

1487. newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 02:03:54 UTC
From: Peter Freihofner <pfreihof@powerup.com.au>
Message-ID: <3B47BF89.A8D4BD31@powerup.com.au>
Source record: 63202

Hi all,

I am a complete novice. My requirement is to search for low frequency
(20...100 Hz) signal content over a wide RF spectrum (100kHz up).
As fas as I read so far I need to look at tapping the discriminator out
(or purchase a scanner with this feature provided).
The output then is fed to the sound card's line input for further
processing.

What I need the scanner to do:
search for a carrier, stop, listen to the signal for a few seconds and
then continue searching for the next carrier.
The listening time should be variable.

Question:
can something like this be easily implemented with any scanner, do I
need PC control of the search function?

Your help would be truly appreciated.
cheers, Peter.

1488. Re: CE-232 Manual, Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 02:12:19 UTC
From: Len <Len.C@sympNOCRAPatico.ca>
Message-ID: <pbgfkt8eh5l94hb7cltb1jjld6i07omtlm@4ax.com>
Source record: 63204

http://members.home.net/pro-turbo/index-main.html

On 6 Jul 2001 07:47:46 GMT, Carl Eb <pro_2006@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Talk about a blast from the past, right?
>
>I've looked at my junk drawer & it looks like I have enough parts that I
>can throw a CE-232 interface together for my old 2006 for what amounts to
>pocket change. I have a copy of Cheek's third book, but am interested at
>glancing at the original manual and software for the interface.
>
>Apparently, back in the day, these were available free from the author and
>from various sources on FidoNet.
>
>I've searched on google and deja and multiple ftp sites, but can't seem to
>find this stuff on the internet.
>
>Someone on this group must have them. If so, can they be passed along to
>me?
>
>Thanks in advance!
>Carl Eb

REMOVE the NOCRAP in my address to reply.

1489. Re: What's a frequency counter?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 02:56:20 UTC
From: famwiley@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010707225620.16064.00003582@ng-xc1.aol.com>
Source record: 63205

sounds like you need a spectrum analyzer.

.
Kevin.............]

I love defenseless animals, especially with a good gravy and a baked potato.
HHB 2/5 FA 1st Infantry Division Forward 1985-1987

1490. Re: IRL Frequency list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 03:52:40 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <p4mfktkkcr5hc4frteusmqk4to11acng3e@4ax.com>
Source record: 63206

On Sat, 07 Jul 2001 21:52:46 GMT, "Robert M" <20.24@home.com> wrote:

>try this site:
>
>http://www.geocities.com/MotorCity/Garage/6193/freq.html

Those are a little out of date - they're from the 500.

http://motorsports.thepaddock.com

has current frequencies. They were perfect for Richmond last week.

Ken Plotkin

1491. pro2052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 04:33:25 UTC
From: Dave Wilson <underh20@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <poR17.3291$Tl4.1096524@typhoon2.gnilink.net>
Source record: 63207

Someone must know of a good program to use with this radio...Help?

1492. Re: Novice question on SWR meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 04:54:00 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B47E767.DDCC6222@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63208
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

I was merely pointing out, correctly I believe, that he might get
better search results on VSWR than on SWR.

Walt

Reg Edwards wrote:
>
> Walt wrote -
> > Try doing your search on "VSWR".
> > Voltage Standing Wave Ratio is the correct name for the concept.
> ======================
> Dear Old Wife Walt,
>
> There you go again, setting a novice off on the wrong foot and
> likely to seriously damage his ideas of the whole important subject
> of transmission lines for the remainder of his amateur radio
> career.
>
> SWR, VSWR and ISWR are equally valid terms to use.
>
> The best you can do is depart from this thread before you do any
> more damage and allow things, hopefully, to get back on course.
>
> ---
> Reg, G4FGQ.

1493. Re: Novice question on SWR meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 05:08:07 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B47EAB3.5E006186@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63209
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Reg,

Hmm, still looking for the website you promised, but forgot to include
in your post.

>
> For starters download from website below, program SWRMETER. One
> small file. Not zipped up. Download in a few seconds. Run
> immediately.
>

In spite of what you state in your post, the VSWR is extremely
important if you are running a high power transmitter. But I am sure
you are aware of that. Since our novice friend is unlikely to be
running a high power transmitter, we can leave that discussion for
later.

But you could have simply said:

"The SWR meter gives an indication of the power reflected from the
load (antenna). If the SWR meter has a high reading, a lot of the
transmitter power is not going into the antenna. If the SWR meter has
a low reading, most of the transmitter power is going into the
antenna."

Now, is that "old wives" bafflegab?

Cheers

Walt

Reg Edwards wrote:
>
> Chris B wrote -
> > Ok, I have a general idea WHAT an SWR meter is and what it
> measures, but am
> > looking for recommedations on where to find more info on that and
> more
> > importantly, how and why to use one.
> ======================
> Hi Chris,
>
> For starters download from website below, program SWRMETER. One
> small file. Not zipped up. Download in a few seconds. Run
> immediately.
>

> Program SWRMETER models the operation of the common or garden SWR
> meter. There is a circuit diagram of the bare essentials with a few
> explanatory notes. Just get used to the idea of working with
> numbers. After all, all meters indicate the measured quantity in
> terms of numbers.
>
> The important thing to note is that the meter does NOT measure SWR.
> It only indicates what the SWR might be on a transmission line
> between the meter and the transmitter if such a line existed and
> was of the correct line impedance Zo. The concept of SWR, whether
> it be VSWR or ISWR, is not of great consequence as you may
> eventually learn. So what it actually means can be put aside for a
> short while and allow you to concentrate on what really matters and
> what the meter really indicates. It is of some importance. At the
> present state of the art the meter cannot be dispensed with.
>
> The usual radio transmitter has been designed to perform best when
> it is loaded with a pure resistance of 50 ohms. It does not have to
> be exactly 50 ohms. It can be anywhere within the range 30 to 75
> ohms. Outside this range there is the possibility of the
> transmitter's output transistors being damaged.
>
> So modern tramitters have a built in safety mechanism. The power
> output is automatically turned down if the resistive load is
> outside the range of about 30 to 75 ohms. Sometimes it may be
> outside the range 25 to 100 ohms but the exact figures are not
> important. The operator can do nothing about it except keep the
> transmitter load not too far from 50 ohms.
>
> If too far from 50 ohms the transmitter may blow-up or to protect
> itself it will automatically shut down its output power. Either of
> these possibilties is highly undesrable.
>
> There is an adjustable "Antenna Tuner" between the antenna feedline
> and the transmitter. Its principal purpose is to transform the
> impedance looking into feedline to 50 ohms, or to whatever other
> value is required by the transmitter.
>
> The so-called SWR meter is inserted between the antenna tuner and
> the transmitter.
>
> THE METER'S SOLE PURPOSE IS TO MONITOR THE VALUE OF THE LOAD
> RESISTANCE PRESENTED TO THE TRANSMITTER. WHEN THE METER NEEDLE HAS
> ZERO DEFLECTION THE TRANSMITTER LOAD RESISTANCE IS VERY NEAR TO THE
> DESIGN VALUE OF 50 OHMS.
>
> When the meter needle deflection is not exactly zero it will not
> indicate how far the load resistance departs from 50 ohms. But
> don't worry about it. If the meter delflection is near the left
> hand side of the scale then all is well.

>
> Now Chris, having, I hope, got you on the right track about the
> true purpose of the so-called SWR meter, it may be safe to turn you
> over to the old wives to hear what THEIR bafflegab is all about.
>
> By the way, if anyone should mention 'conjugate match' move
> immediately to the next thread. Or put a block on the phrase.
> ----
> Reg, G4FGQ

1494. AOR 2500 need soft ware for this unit...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 05:27:45 UTC
From: mrthoms7@pacbell.net
Message-ID: <3b47ef27.3057331@news.pacbell.net>
Source record: 63210

I have a need for A copy of some software that will work with
the AOR 2500 Scanner , if you have a copy let me know..

Tom

Email info to : mrthoms7@pacbell.net

1495. BC80XLT FCC IC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 05:28:45 UTC
From: aa1339@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3b47ef3e.818047069@news.gl.centurytel.net>
Source record: 63211

Coule someone help me out and post the FCC IC number for the Uniden BC80XLT? Thanks in advance...

73,
Hawkeye

1496. Uniden SC-200 Programming Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 05:59:55 UTC
From: John Doe <me@me.com>
Message-ID: <vFS17.11910$mY2.1072964@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 63212

Programming software for the Sportcat SC-200 at:

http://members.home.net/itssoftware/ez-scan.htm

--

--

Send money Instantly & Securely to anyone with an email address.
https://www.paypal.com/refer/pal=itssoftware%40home.com

1497. Re: Novice question on SWR meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 07:02:26 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@home.com>
Message-ID: <c41gkt03flsqj21ld70l9lfj85upv4eg7e@4ax.com>
Source record: 63213
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 08 Jul 2001 05:08:07 GMT, Walt Bertram
<wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Reg,
>
>Hmm, still looking for the website you promised, but forgot to include
>in your post.
>
>>
>> For starters download from website below, program SWRMETER. One
>> small file. Not zipped up. Download in a few seconds. Run
>> immediately.
>>
>
>In spite of what you state in your post, the VSWR is extremely
>important if you are running a high power transmitter. But I am sure
>you are aware of that. Since our novice friend is unlikely to be
>running a high power transmitter, we can leave that discussion for
>later.
>
>But you could have simply said:
>
>"The SWR meter gives an indication of the power reflected from the
>load (antenna). If the SWR meter has a high reading, a lot of the
>transmitter power is not going into the antenna. If the SWR meter has
>a low reading, most of the transmitter power is going into the
>antenna."
>
>Now, is that "old wives" bafflegab?
>
Even that is somewhat misleading. The reality is you want the meter as close to the antenna as possible. The feed line is not lossless, so if I connect a transmitter to 1000 feet of RG8 with a dead short on the end, and put the meter at the transmitter end of the transmission line, it may show a very low VSWR because the reflected power is in fact being eaten up in the 1000 feet of transmission line!

Admittedly this is an extreme example, but if the loss is 3 db per 100 feet, and your antenna is at the end of 100 feet of cable and the transmitter and VSWR meter are at the other end, the meter would under report the VSWR as a result of the 3db loss in the line.

1498. Re: Novice question on SWR meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 10:44:35 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9i9dj2$5tm$1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 63214
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Walt wrote
> "The SWR meter gives an indication of the power reflected from
the
> load (antenna). If the SWR meter has a high reading, a lot of
the
> transmitter power is not going into the antenna. If the SWR
meter has
> a low reading, most of the transmitter power is going into the
> antenna."
>
> Now, is that "old wives" bafflegab?
==========

Yes. All of it.

For what it means it may just as well be written in ancient Babylonian. What on earth has it got to do with the essential job of providing the transmitter with a purely resistive load of 50 ohms. ;o)

****
Regards, Reg, G4FGQ
Free radio design & modelling software
http://www.btinternet.com/~g4fgq.regp

****

1499. Re: pro2052

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 11:33:28 UTC
From: Ed Ortiz <eortiz@cmix.net>
Message-ID: <tkgggdsuarpm51@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63215

Mr Wilson...

Might be a good idea to read the answers to your previous post on the other newsgroup you posted this message instead of re-posting the same question again. The down arrow to scroll down to the
old messages can be of great help. In case you cannot use it, here is the reply you had in your previous message from a poster named Mark! (god job Mark!) at alt.radio.scanner.
**
Try here:
http://www.mghusa.com/pozilla/rsabout.htm
or here:
http://www.futurescanning.com/
or here:
http://www.jecar.com/wincam.htm

Hopefully this will help!

Ed

"I feel the animal within." - Butthead
//

Dave Wilson <underh20@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:poR17.3291$Tl4.1096524@typhoon2.gnilink.net...
> Someone must know of a good program to use with this radio...Help?
>
>

1500. FS:Bearcat BC700A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 12:20:20 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <BeY17.90$uD5.26920@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 63216

i have a like new,bearcat BC-700A, 800MHZ,scanner,with box,manual,antenna.ac
[ower cube,Dc power cable,can be used base ,or, mobile
price 65.00

thanks
monte k9dzd@iquest.net

1501. new to scanning.need some help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 13:58:58 UTC
From: jimmyjam1971@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9ia031$2d0_006@yahoo.com>
Source record: 63217

just picked me up a pro-91 800mhz trunking scanner from radio shack...i like it,its not too bad,what i would like to know is,how do i go about finding a specific frequency.for example,,my girlfriend works at at&t,,and they all use those nextel 2-way radios..how do i find the freq.their using?? im a newbie,so go easy on me..thanx for any info...

1502. Re: Best scanner for 800Mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 14:16:37 UTC
From: jimmyjam1971@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9ia143$2d0_008@yahoo.com>
Source record: 63218

i just bought the pro-91 and it is bad asss handheld

1503. Re: Novice question on SWR meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 14:31:39 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9i9qsofkl1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 63219
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

> Ok, I have a general idea WHAT an SWR meter is and what it
measures, but am
> looking for recommedations on where to find more info on that and
more
> importantly, how and why to use one.
==========
Hi, fella. Welcome to the fraternity.

It is essential your very first ideas on the subject should be
clear, unambiguous and, above all, correct.

(1) The so-called SWR meter is nothing more than 3 of the 4 arms of
a simple 4-arm AC resistance bridge.

(2) The bridge signal source is the transmitter itself.

(3) Three of the bridge arms are fixed. And so, therefore, the
bridge ratio must also be fixed.

(4) The fourth, external, bridge arm is the transmitter load
impedance. It is the only variable arm. It is varied by adjusting
the knobs on the antenna tuning unit.

(5) Only when the load impedance presented to the transmitter is
exactly a pure resistance of 50 ohms is the bridge balanced.

(6) The moving coil meter deflection is proportional to the bridge
unbalance voltage.

(7) When the meter has zero deflection the transmitter is correctly
loaded with a pure resistance of 50 ohms.

(8) So the function of the meter, the reason for its existence, is
to INDICATE either the Tx load is the correct value, or it is NOT
the correct value. It doesn't actually measure anything. Anything
else may be interesting to discuss but exists only in the
imagination.

I sincerely hope I have helped to set you off on the right foot.

Program SWRMETER models operation of the bridge. Download in a few
seconds. But you will learn faster simply by twiddling real tuner
knobs while watching the meter needle.

****
Regards, Reg, G4FGQ
Free radio design & modelling software

http://www.btinternet.com/~g4fgq.regp

****

PS: A self-searching question to ask is "Where are the standing
waves which the SWR meter purports to measure ?"

1504. Re: new to scanning.need some help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 14:37:05 UTC
From: d0011 <d0011@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <le_17.94426$2W4.14475368@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 63220

Nextel is on a digital network, there are no retail scanners currently
available that work on digital.

Sal.

<jimmyjam1971@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:9ia031$2d0_006@yahoo.com...
> just picked me up a pro-91 800mhz trunking scanner from radio shack...i
like
> it,its not too bad,what i would like to know is,how do i go about finding
a
> specific frequency.for example,,my girlfriend works at at&t,,and they all
use
> those nextel 2-way radios..how do i find the freq.their using?? im a
newbie,so
> go easy on me..thanx for any info...

1505. Re: new to scanning.need some help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 14:47:50 UTC
From: jimmyjam1971@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9ia2ul$2d0_010@yahoo.com>
Source record: 63221

```
In article <le_17.94426$2W4.14475368@news02.optonline.net>, "d0011" <d0011@optonline.net>
wrote:
>Path:
> news1.elmhst1.il.home.com!newshub1.rdc1.on.home.com!newshub1.rdc2.pa.home.com!
>a-newshub1.rdc2.pa.home.com!newshub2.home.com!news.home.com!news.maxwell.syr.ed
>u!newsfeed.wirehub.nl!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news.rr.com!news01.opto
>nline.net!news02.optonline.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
>From: "d0011" <d0011@optonline.net>
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>References: <9ia031$2d0_006@yahoo.com>
>Subject: Re: new to scanning.need some help
>Lines: 17
>X-Priority: 3
>X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
>X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.00.3018.1300
>X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.00.3018.1300
>Message-ID: <le_17.94426$2W4.14475368@news02.optonline.net>
>Date: Sun, 08 Jul 2001 14:37:05 GMT
>NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.186.94.11
>X-Trace: news02.optonline.net 994603025 24.186.94.11 (Sun, 08 Jul 2001 10:37:05
> EDT)
>NNTP-Posting-Date: Sun, 08 Jul 2001 10:37:05 EDT
>Organization: Optimum Online
>Xref: newshub1.rdc2.pa.home.com rec.radio.scanner:30127565
>Status: N
>
>Nextel is on a digital network, there are no retail scanners currently
>available that work on digital.
>
>Sal.
>
><jimmyjam1971@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:9ia031$2d0_006@yahoo.com...
>> just picked me up a pro-91 800mhz trunking scanner from radio shack...i
>like
>> it,its not too bad,what i would like to know is,how do i go about finding
>a
>> specific frequency.for example,,my girlfriend works at at&t,,and they all
>use
>> those nextel 2-way radios..how do i find the freq.their using?? im a
>newbie,so
>> go easy on me..thanx for any info...
>
>
DAMN!!!!!!!!,,oh well,thanx for letting me know
```

1506. Pro-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 15:13:33 UTC
From: KC8BQR <hgtsrecycling@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <3b487884@flexnet239.uunt.net>
Source record: 63222

What is the big deal with the RS Pro-51?? So many people on some of the message boards want to trade off newer equipment for one of these. The mods are not all that big of deal according to some web sites as they only give "test" mods...any input??

1507. WTB:Broken radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 15:42:59 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <zc%17.105$uD5.29115@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 63223

im looking for a few,"project" radios..tube or solid state..
scanners,and,ham gear only
thanks
monte k9dzd@iquest.net

1508. FS or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 15:48:35 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <nh%17.95135$2W4.14611501@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 63224

Hello,

I have a Yaesu FT-470 dual band H/T, (original owner), in very good mechanical and cosmetic condition. The radio will be shipped with the following:
- original owners manual
- W&W 7.2v 1200mAH battery
- Yaesu NC-29 Quick Charger w/ trickle charge mod.

I am looking to trade this setup for either a Grundig Satellit 700, a high-end VHF/UHF scanner or I will sell for $300.00.

Please e-mail me direct if interested and thank you for taking the time to read this post.

John Schneider, KB2COP
thecop@optonline.net

1509. Scanner Alert Network

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 16:45:26 UTC
From: webmaster@scanatlanta.com
Message-ID: <3b488e1c.91634607@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 63225

ScanAtlanta.com has established a local scanner alert network that we want to go national with. When breaking scanner calls go out, we post them to this network for all to follow! We are at 100+ members (all for free) and would like to go nationwide with this!

Stop by http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanalert and sign up!

/\/\|k.e
webmaster@scanatlanta.com
www.ScanAtlanta.com

1510. Need a base trunk/conventional scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 16:49:30 UTC
From: jfaul@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3b488f07.71477633@news.mia.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 63226

I am looking for a recommendation on a base station scanner that can
monitor conventional and trunk at the same time. I have the Pro-92
and it does this but it is a mobile and now I am looking for a base.

Thanks,
jfaul@bellsouth.net

1511. Re: Need a base trunk/conventional scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 17:01:40 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <UI027.177900$DG1.29730084@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63227

Actually, the PRO-92 is a hand-held. Radio Shack (GRE) also has a mobile version of the PRO-92, it's the PRO-2067, which may use as a base. Radio Shack will have a newer version of the PRO-92, and an actual base version of the PRO-2067, out very soon!

Bill Crocker

<jfaul@bellsouth.net> wrote in message news:3b488f07.71477633@news.mia.bellsouth.net...
> I am looking for a recommendation on a base station scanner that can
> monitor conventional and trunk at the same time. I have the Pro-92
> and it does this but it is a mobile and now I am looking for a base.
>
> Thanks,
> jfaul@bellsouth.net
>

1512. Cedar Point Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 17:07:47 UTC
From: Paul Zook <lazpaz@teleweb.net>
Message-ID: <994612057.490174@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 63228

Anyone have a good source for Cedar Point Frequencies?

Thanks!

1513. Re: Cedar Point Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 17:21:38 UTC
From: Butch <bbutler@home.com>
Message-ID: <CE027.17864$B5.3952966@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 63229

Paul, what state is that in?????
Butch
"Paul Zook" <lazpaz@teleweb.net> wrote in message
news:994612057.490174@axilla.wwnet.net...
> Anyone have a good source for Cedar Point Frequencies?
>
> Thanks!
>
>
>

1514. Scanner sensitivity variations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 18:17:52 UTC
From: "V.A.S" <valair@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9ia83o$ql8$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 63230

Hi
On the subject of Scanner sensitivity it has prompted me to write in and state my case.
I recently purchased a brand new Yupi MVT-7100 and was really looking forward to receiving it in the post. I read so many wonderful reviews on this radio I must of read a dozen or so send-ups on this mvt7100.
Anyway, it finally arrived, My initial response was, "what a cheap looking load of junk this looks" I was not impressed by the plasticky look and feel of this radio at all. Still I thought the proof of the pudding etc etc and gave it a try.
I was right the first time WHAT A LOAD OF CRAP It has got to be the worst radio I have ever had the misfortune to own. I have never in all my 22 years as a radio enthusiast come across anything as pathetic as this pile of crap.
I took it back to the shop but all they would say that it was fine and there was nothing wrong with it. I even e-mailed Yupi in Japan and complained but to no avail.
How the hell people can give this *pathetic toy* a good review is ridiculous. All I can say is, if you think this mvt-7100 is a good scanner, then you obviously don't know very much about the things or you have never had a decent radio to compare it against. I suppose its not band for aeroplanes, but then again so is a steepletone that costs about £25.00p
I sold mine on, sod-it, glad to see the back of the junk.
regards
V

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.264 / Virus Database: 136 - Release Date: 02/07/01

1515. uniden bc 140 info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 18:49:20 UTC
From: rosko <gamerdude64@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <QW127.6573$446.9170484@ratbert.tds.net>
Source record: 63231

does anybody have any information or mods..on this scanner??

1516. Re: Need a base trunk/conventional scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 18:55:17 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <UV127.1109$J43.2771@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 63232

Check out the new Uniden BC-780, very good reviews on this radio.

Mark

<jfaul@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:3b488f07.71477633@news.mia.bellsouth.net...
> I am looking for a recommendation on a base station scanner that can
> monitor conventional and trunk at the same time. I have the Pro-92
> and it does this but it is a mobile and now I am looking for a base.
>
> Thanks,
> jfaul@bellsouth.net
>

1517. Re: Scanner sensitivity variations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 19:32:33 UTC
From: Beans <beans@gate.net>
Message-ID: <3B48B551.10999F5B@gate.net>
Source record: 63233

"V.A.S" wrote:

> Hi
> On the subject of Scanner sensitivity it has prompted me to write in and
> state my case.
>

Yeah, well, how do you really feel?? ;^)

Jeff

1518. FS: BC235XLT 300 Channel Trunk Tracker==>>>SOLD<<<===

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 19:46:00 UTC
From: Sam <k4oc_nospam@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <s4ehkt4rr732vu8c3vhv5voe6dogicnks3@4ax.com>
Source record: 63234

[No readable article body recovered]

1519. Re: Novice question on SWR meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 20:27:26 UTC
From: "Peter O. Brackett" <ab4bc@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9iafnf$929$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63235
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

In support of Reg's wise and lucid comments...

The typical ham radio (V)SWR meter is a simple voltage reading instrument that indicates on its' meter a null voltage (zero value) when it's internal impedance measurement bridge circuitry has been presented with an impedance (load) that exactly matches it's internal reference impedance (50 Ohms resistive).

Nothing more nothing less.

In most typical ham applications such meters have little or no relationship to so-called "standing waves".

It is entirely misleading by the ham radio accessory industry to "label" the meters as "SWR Meters". However misleading this terminology might be, this is what has come to be the accepted label for such an istrument. This is the point that Reg is making.

That naming convention ("SWR Meter") has been around, and misused, for so long that many take it to be fact. i.e. many think that such meters are measuring "standing waves". They are not! Some or most of these instruments are also labeled as "Power Meters" although they are not power meters. In actuality they measure a voltage which is indicative of samples of line voltage and current as modified by their internal bridge circuitry.

All this being said however, "SWR Meters" are still useful little instrument (Although more expensive than need e! Perhaps that's the reason for the fancy names, a rationale for a higher price!) since they indicate when a variable load (Say an antenna "tuned" by a "tuner".) has been adjusted to present a value of 50 Ohms to the transmitter. This null reading on the "SWR Meter" does not mean that the antenna impedance or the impedance at the end of the antenna feedline is 50 Ohms. It does not indicate if standing waves are present or not. The "null" reading on the meter only means that the impedance as seen looking towards the antenna, through the tuner inputs which is at the output port of the "SWR Meter", has been adjusted to be 50 Ohms by the tuner's variable controls.

Listen carefully to Reg and explore his WWW site, especially the program on SWR meters, Reg "tells it like it is"!

-Peter K1PO

"Reg Edwards" <g4fgq.regp@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:9i9qsofkl1@uranium.btinternet.com...
> > Ok, I have a general idea WHAT an SWR meter is and what it
> measures, but am

> > looking for recommedations on where to find more info on that and
> more
> > importantly, how and why to use one.
> ========================
> Hi, fella. Welcome to the fraternity.
>
> It is essential your very first ideas on the subject should be
> clear, unambiguous and, above all, correct.
>
> (1) The so-called SWR meter is nothing more than 3 of the 4 arms of
> a simple 4-arm AC resistance bridge.
>
> (2) The bridge signal source is the transmitter itself.
>
> (3) Three of the bridge arms are fixed. And so, therefore, the
> bridge ratio must also be fixed.
>
> (4) The fourth, external, bridge arm is the transmitter load
> impedance. It is the only variable arm. It is varied by adjusting
> the knobs on the antenna tuning unit.
>
> (5) Only when the load impedance presented to the transmitter is
> exactly a pure resistance of 50 ohms is the bridge balanced.
>
> (6) The moving coil meter deflection is proportional to the bridge
> unbalance voltage.
>
> (7) When the meter has zero deflection the transmitter is correctly
> loaded with a pure resistance of 50 ohms.
>
> (8) So the function of the meter, the reason for its existence, is
> to INDICATE either the Tx load is the correct value, or it is NOT
> the correct value. It doesn't actually measure anything. Anything
> else may be interesting to discuss but exists only in the
> imagination.
>
> I sincerely hope I have helped to set you off on the right foot.
>
> Program SWRMETER models operation of the bridge. Download in a few
> seconds. But you will learn faster simply by twiddling real tuner
> knobs while watching the meter needle.
> ----
> **********
> Regards, Reg, G4FGQ
> Free radio design & modelling software
> http://www.btinternet.com/~g4fgq.regp
>
> **********
>
> PS: A self-searching question to ask is "Where are the standing

> waves which the SWR meter purports to measure ?"
>
>
>

1520. Re: need a frequency please ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 20:54:25 UTC
From: Mark <trunker@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5M327.1317$tJ3.176285@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63236

Try here:

http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

"Peter" <peter.galileo@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:9ho5t5edr1@plutonium.btinternet.com...
> I need the Clacton on Sea police mobile frequency, I have the base
stations
> it is 452.500 Mhz
>
> Plz help !
>
>
>

1521. Re: Home Antenna Suggestions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 21:20:34 UTC
From: gmgauthi@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3b48cd0f.148141463@news1.attglobal.net>
Source record: 63237
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Folks,

I am an apartment dweller, and am looking for a dependable,
sensitive, transmit/receive antenna that will function on 70cm, 1.25m,
2m, and 6m - that I can fit either in my spare bedroom, or on my
second-floor porch (10' x 5' x 8' high).

Any suggestions?

Thanks,

On Thu, 28 Jun 2001 10:53:43 -0500, AntennaWareHouse
<sales@antennawarehouse.com> wrote:

>Hi Frank,
>
> The Scantenna will give you better performance particularly on the
>higher frequencies than the traditional VHF/UHF Discone with
>approximately 32" cone elements. Where it is true that a discone of
>this size will have good gain at these frequencies the pattern is more
>or less straight up and down. (gain figures without reference to
>direction are meaningless) This makes it less effective than the
>clustered vertical dipole configuration of the Scantenna. For a receive
>only, inside mounted, antenna the scantenna with 50' of RG-6 coax at
>$44.75 shipped is a excellent choice.
>
>Tim
>
>AntennaWareHouse
>811 9th Ave.
>Camanche, IA. 52730
>563-259-0840 10am-5pm cst Mon-Fri. Our area code has recently changed.
>If the new one does not work for you yet, please try 319-259-0840
>Email: sales@antennawarehouse.com
>http://www.antennawarehouse.com/
>
>Frank wrote:
>>
>> Hi All, does anyone have any feedback on the Scantenna?
>> Is it better or worse than a regular Radio Shack discone?
>> I'm trying to get the best all-around reception for 25-1000MHz.
>>
>> Thanks!
>> Frank
>>

>> --
>> Protect Your Rights -- Fight UCITA
>> http://www.4cite.org
>
>--
>Tim Francis
>
>AntennaWareHouse
>811 9th Ave.
>Camanche, IA. 52730
>563-259-0840 10am-5pm cst Mon-Fri. Our area code has recently changed.
>If the new one does not work for you yet, please try 319-259-0840
>Email: sales@antennawarehouse.com
>http://www.antennawarehouse.com/

Gregory M. Gauthier
---
gmgauthi@yahoo.com

1522. Re: winradio cellphone mod all models

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 21:52:28 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9iaknk01hee@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 63242

gee, you see people always wantinga800 Mhz scanner. If someone wants the old 800 Mhz analog system to listen to. it is easier just to get a canadian/eur/aus model.or damnwhynot just get a cell phone. throw it in test mode and receive all the analog signals anyways. simple and most ofus have those old phones too.

1523. Re: 1350 to 1400 Mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 21:55:01 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9iaks901hjm@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 63243

most scanners that receive thathigh, yaesu, icom sometimes pickup the 800 Mhz cells or the 900 Mhz cordlesses. it usually is just a image. get a second radio and see if you hear it on that radio. if you don't it IS a image of the 800/900 Mhz area. do some math on the IF and see if it matches to the 800 Mhz area. if it does. then it IS a image.

PB Scanner <pbscanner@cs.com> wrote in message news:20010626131807.24543.00001367@ng-ct1.news.cs.com...
> >Subject: 1350 to 1400 Mhz
> >From: "Jason" jmu231@yahoo.com
> >Date: 6/23/01 7:34 AM Eastern Daylight Time
> >Message-id: <S02Z6.4714$Tk.860306@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
> >
> >I am picking up what sounds like phone conversations in the 1350 to 1380 Mhz
> >range. Just wondering if those are cell, cordless or images of other
> >freqs??
> >
> >jmu
>
>
> Listed as DOD Use, could be MARS Phone-Patch being worked from Overseas.
>
>
>
> = = = = = = = = = = =
> Palm Beach Scanner
> If its on the Air We Scan it!
> Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1524. Re: Anyone seen these ultra-range cordless phones (20miles+)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 21:57:46 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9ial1e01hr9@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 63244

huh ? ham band ?

>Transmitting Frequency: 267/397 MHz

that is not a hamband. ham band is 220 starting to I forget not much higher
and 430 - 450 Mhz

How is 267/397 a ham band. Please explain

PB Scanner <pbscanner@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010626185508.05259.00001005@ng-ci1.news.cs.com...
> >Subject: Re: Anyone seen these ultra-range cordless phones (20miles+)?
>
> They are being chased by the ARRL , FCC and DOD, in this order I think.
>
> Seems that some of them are up on the Military Aero Bands, and Ham
Frequencies.
>
> I have seen them (or similar) a few months back down in the Electronics
Shops
> in Miami.
>
> Touted range was about the same.
>
> Sheesh, buy a Digital Cell Phone; with rates so low the only cause for
the old
> Twisted Pair is for my Fax Machine, I am loged on via my Cell Phone right
now.
>
>
>
>
> = = = = = = = = = = =
> Palm Beach Scanner
> If its on the Air We Scan it!
> Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1525. Re: uniden bc 140 info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 22:00:00 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9ial5l01hve@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 63245

goto

http://mods.dk/mods.php3?model=bc-140xlt&radio=uniden

rosko <gamerdude64@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:QW127.6573$446.9170484@ratbert.tds.net...
> does anybody have any information or mods..on this scanner??
>
>

1526. Re: Cedar Point Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 22:18:43 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <7%427.179195$DG1.29918526@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63238

151.6850 Voice Paging
154.5150 Hotel Breakers, Shuttle Busses, Aux Voice Paging
461.3000 Police
463.8625 Maintenance
464.2125 ?
464.3125 ?
464.3750 Park Operations (Channel "B")
464.4125 Parking, Tolls, Traffic
464.5125 Emergency Services and Fire
464.5750 Ride Operations (Channel "A")
464.6125 ?

466.3000 }
468.8625 } Repeater Input
469.2125 } Frequencies
469.3125 }
469.4125 }
469.5125 }
469.6125 }

Cedar Point Marina

154.425 MC 68 Non-Commercial
156.450 MC 09 Boater Calling. Commercial and Non-Commercial
156.800 MC 16 International Distress, Safety and Calling

Signal Codes

1 contact by telephone "signal 1 3109" "signal 1 mantis"
2 contact in person "signal 2 by magnum short entrance"
5
7 out of service
8 in service
14 "what is your 14?"
15 off duty? - "unit XX is signal 15"
17
20 location - "what is your 20?"
25 closed for the night?
33 (emergency?)
35
37 mechanical problem? - "signal 37 blue streak mechanical"
38 attraction closed? - "soak city complex is signal 38"
39 need approval to reopen? - "signal 39 demon drop"
80

Sandusky

460/5.5750 Fire Department - - - main
453/8.5000 Fire Department
460/5.2500 Police Department

Also, check the following:

GMRS/FRS

462.5500 GMRS
462.5625 FRS 1, GMRS
462.5750 GMRS
462.5875 FRS 2, GMRS
462.6000 GMRS
462.6125 FRS 3, GMRS
462.6250 GMRS
462.6375 FRS 4, GMRS
462.6500 GMRS
462.6625 FRS 5, GMRS
462.6750 GMRS
462.6875 FRS 6, GMRS
462.7000 GMRS
462.7125 FRS 7, GMRS
462.7250 GMRS
467.5500 GMRS
467.5625 FRS 8
467.5750 GMRS
467.5875 FRS 9
467.6000 GMRS
467.6125 FRS 10
467.6250 GMRS
467.6375 FRS 11
467.6500 GMRS
467.6625 FRS 12
467.6750 GMRS
467.6875 FRS 13
467.7000 GMRS
467.7250 GMRS
467.7125 FRS 14

I can't take credit for all of these. I captured this info from a previous posing from someone named Jeff. So our thanks go to Jeff!

Bill Crocker

"Paul Zook" <lazpaz@teleweb.net> wrote in message news:994612057.490174@axilla.wwnet.net...
> Anyone have a good source for Cedar Point Frequencies?
>
> Thanks!
>
>
>

1527. Re: Scanner sensitivity variations

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 22:19:52 UTC
From: Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <80527.1512$pj4.206012@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 63240

I own the following scanners and transceivers.
Icon R1, R100, R2, R3, Q7,726,817
Uniden 60, 220, 9000, 940,045
Standard 220
Sony 7600, Air 7
Yaesu 1901, 7700
Yupiteru 8000,7100

Quality varies enormously!!!

As far as reception with the same antenna is concerned - they are all the same! Please prove me wrong and I will replace the lot.
Anyone who claims their unit their unit receives "better than brand xxx" is talking !#&%^^.
The same argument applies to fuel, TV reception etc. If it was possible to improve anything, then a manufacturer would take advantage and make a killing.

Neville

"V.A.S" <valair@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:9ia83o$ql8$1@uranium.btinternet.com...
> Hi
> On the subject of Scanner sensitivity it has prompted me to write in and
> state my case.
> I recently purchased a brand new Yupi MVT-7100 and was really looking
> forward to receiving it in the post. I read so many wonderful reviews on
> this radio I must of read a dozen or so send-ups on this mvt7100.
> Anyway, it finally arrived, My initial response was, "what a cheap looking
> load of junk this looks" I was not impressed by the plasticky look and
feel
> of this radio at all. Still I thought the proof of the pudding etc etc and
> gave it a try.
> I was right the first time WHAT A LOAD OF CRAP It has got to be the worst
> radio I have ever had the misfortune to own. I have never in all my 22
years
> as a radio enthusiast come across anything as pathetic as this pile of
crap.
> I took it back to the shop but all they would say that it was fine and
there
> was nothing wrong with it. I even e-mailed Yupi in Japan and complained
but
> to no avail.
> How the hell people can give this *pathetic toy* a good review is
> ridiculous. All I can say is, if you think this mvt-7100 is a good

scanner,
> then you obviously don't know very much about the things or you have never
> had a decent radio to compare it against. I suppose its not band for
> aeroplanes, but then again so is a steepletone that costs about £25.00p
> I sold mine on, sod-it, glad to see the back of the junk.
> regards
> V
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.264 / Virus Database: 136 - Release Date: 02/07/01
>
>

1528. Need help on which one to buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 22:22:15 UTC
From: xeno194 <xeno194@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <8%427.22683$rh.411810@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 63239

What's up all. I have narrowed it down to 2 radios. I wanted to know what you guys think.

ICOM IC-R2
or
YAESU VR-120

Any comments on either one?

Thanks Again
Mike Xeno
www.mikexeno.com

1529. are there any IRC channels that discuss radio scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 22:38:45 UTC
From: jimmyjam1971@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <9iauhi$3m4_002@yahoo.com>
Source record: 63241

a place to chat and swap frequency's?

1530. Re: Novice question on SWR meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-08 23:44:27 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B48F05B.DB91B1D3@IEEE.org>
Source record: 63246
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

"Peter O. Brackett" wrote:
> In most typical ham applications such meters have little or no relationship
> to so-called "standing waves".

That depends on the boundary conditions. If the ham application consists
of 50 ohm coax feeding a dipole, then the 50 ohm SWR meter is indeed related
to the actual "standing waves". I can furnish the math if necessary.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1531. Re: Novice question on SWR meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 00:31:46 UTC
From: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>
Message-ID: <3B48FB64.A5D3A6F8@att.net>
Source record: 63147
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

I am certain that Reg can field this one with no help from me.

But, consider what you are saying and apply it to this situation:

I use a non-resonant flat-top wire antenna fed with ladderline. On some bands, the SWR in the line is 10:1 or even more. Yet, considering the overall loss in the line, probably 90% or more of the power entering the line is being delivered to and radiated by the antenna. How can this be happening if a high SWR means that little power is reaching the antenna?

What doesn't sound right here?

72/73, George W5YR - the Yellow Rose of Texas QRP-L 1373 NETXQRP 6
Fairview, TX 30 mi NE of Dallas in Collin county EM13qe
Amateur Radio W5YR, in the 55th year and it just keeps getting better!
Icom IC-756PRO #02121 Kachina #91900556 IC-765 #02437

Walt Bertram wrote:

> "The SWR meter gives an indication of the power reflected from the
> load (antenna). If the SWR meter has a high reading, a lot of the
> transmitter power is not going into the antenna. If the SWR meter has
> a low reading, most of the transmitter power is going into the
> antenna."

1532. Re: Novice question on SWR meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 00:42:46 UTC
From: Podgee&#65533; <peter_alexander@dingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <3b48fe0c$0$20912$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 63149
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

well go to the shop and ask for VSWR and i bet you that they have SWR and
not VSWR meter

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3B47E767.DDCC6222@worldnet.att.net...
> I was merely pointing out, correctly I believe, that he might get
> better search results on VSWR than on SWR.
>
> Walt
>
> Reg Edwards wrote:
> >
> > Walt wrote -
> > > Try doing your search on "VSWR".
> > > Voltage Standing Wave Ratio is the correct name for the concept.
> > ========================
> > Dear Old Wife Walt,
> >
> > There you go again, setting a novice off on the wrong foot and
> > likely to seriously damage his ideas of the whole important subject
> > of transmission lines for the remainder of his amateur radio
> > career.
> >
> > SWR, VSWR and ISWR are equally valid terms to use.
> >
> > The best you can do is depart from this thread before you do any
> > more damage and allow things, hopefully, to get back on course.
> >
> > ---
> > Reg, G4FGQ.

1533. Re: Novice question on SWR meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 00:45:06 UTC
From: "George, W5YR" <w5yr@att.net>
Message-ID: <3B48FE83.E706B751@att.net>
Source record: 63150
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

To confound the issue further, I can adjust my "antenna tuner" for a correct setting without using an SWR meter at all!

I just connect my AEA/Tempo Complex Impedance Analyzer/High-Frequency unit (CIA/HF) to the coax input to the tuner that would be connected to the transmitter.

With the CIA/HF adjusted to display in tabular form the resistance and reactance of the impedance it sees looking "into" the input terminal of the tuner (from the transmitter's viewpoint!), I simply adjust the tuner controls until I get the best possible set of values for 50 ohms resistance and 0 ohms reactance. Works every time.

Now, it so happens, that the neat tabular display on the CIA/HF also shows the SWR, the return loss, and a lot of other information. I can even press a couple of buttons and plot the SWR or Z or R or X or angle, etc. vs frequency to see how things look at frequencies other than what I have already chosen to use.

But, the key point is that I can satisfy the load requirements of the transmitter (50 ohms resistive) without ever thinking about SWR or using an SWR meter.

Besides, this way I don't have to bother my head about "reflected power." Some people get all excited over that, you know! And don't even mention "standing waves" . . .

By the way, as I used to tell the class back when I was teaching radar to Texas Instruments' engineers, folks tend to use "VSWR" since it is "cooler" to say "Vizwar" than to use ISWR which would have to be spoken as "Izwar."

Peter, you can appreciate the BAF aspects of this important distinction, can't you?

72/73, George W5YR - the Yellow Rose of Texas QRP-L 1373 NETXQRP 6
Fairview, TX 30 mi NE of Dallas in Collin county EM13qe
Amateur Radio W5YR, in the 55th year and it just keeps getting better!
Icom IC-756PRO #02121 Kachina #91900556 IC-765 #02437

"Peter O. Brackett" wrote:
>
> In support of Reg's wise and lucid comments...
>
> The typical ham radio (V)SWR meter is a simple voltage reading instrument
> that indicates on its' meter a null voltage (zero value) when it's internal
> impedance measurement bridge circuitry has been presented with an impedance

> (load) that exactly matches it's internal reference impedance (50 Ohms
> resistive).
>
> Nothing more nothing less.
>
> In most typical ham applications such meters have little or no relationship
> to so-called "standing waves".
>
> It is entirely misleading by the ham radio accessory industry to "label" the
> meters as "SWR Meters". However misleading this terminology might be, this
> is what has come to be the accepted label for such an istrument. This is
> the point that Reg is making.
>
> That naming convention ("SWR Meter") has been around, and misused, for so
> long that many take it to be fact. i.e. many think that such meters are
> measuring "standing waves". They are not! Some or most of these
> instruments are also labeled as "Power Meters" although they are not power
> meters. In actuality they measure a voltage which is indicative of samples
> of line voltage and current as modified by their internal bridge circuitry.
>
> All this being said however, "SWR Meters" are still useful little instrument
> (Although more expensive than need e! Perhaps that's the reason for the
> fancy names, a rationale for a higher price!) since they indicate when a
> variable load (Say an antenna "tuned" by a "tuner".) has been adjusted to
> present a value of 50 Ohms to the transmitter. This null reading on the
> "SWR Meter" does not mean that the antenna impedance or the impedance at the
> end of the antenna feedline is 50 Ohms. It does not indicate if standing
> waves are present or not. The "null" reading on the meter only means that
> the impedance as seen looking towards the antenna, through the tuner inputs
> which is at the output port of the "SWR Meter", has been adjusted to be 50
> Ohms by the tuner's variable controls.
>
> Listen carefully to Reg and explore his WWW site, especially the program on
> SWR meters, Reg "tells it like it is"!
>
> -Peter K1PO

1534. BC60XLT-1 mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 01:08:03 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <Tt727.341$Nt.4059@news>
Source record: 63148

I just bought a new h/h and saw somewhere some mods for making it 800mhz compatible and would like to find some other mods too.
Thanks!
Rob

1535. modified rabbit ears

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 01:24:16 UTC
From: abrayten@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010708212416.12540.00002851@ng-ma1.aol.com>
Source record: 63151

Has anyone rewired conventional TV rabbit ears with 50 ohm coax for scanning a limited number of frequencies? How about using as is?

1536. Re: are there any IRC channels that discuss radio scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 01:37:12 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9ib1ro$hu62c$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 63152

<jimmyjam1971@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9iauhi$3m4_002@yahoo.com...
> a place to chat and swap frequency's?

#monitor on IRCnet is a good place for HF/VHF/UHF utility listening.
Give it a try some time.

Tom

1537. Re: modified rabbit ears

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 01:38:41 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9ib1uh$i5b8q$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 63153

"Abrayten" <abrayten@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010708212416.12540.00002851@ng-ma1.aol.com...
> Has anyone rewired conventional TV rabbit ears with 50 ohm coax for
scanning a
> limited number of frequencies? How about using as is?

I've got a set like that. I just took out the 300 Ohm twin lead and
soldered coax directly to each leg. Makes a great travel scanner
antenna since the ears are telescopic. Really only good for indoor
use though.

Tom

1538. Re: Need a base trunk/conventional scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 01:41:34 UTC
From: Johan <not_correct_email@johan.com>
Message-ID: <jZ727.3765$5t1.2052@nntpserver.swip.net>
Source record: 63154

Hi!

Yes, go for the BC780XLT, i'm really impressed by it!

1539. Re: Programming software for Uniden sc200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 01:47:38 UTC
From: Eric Smith <ericosmith@removechartermi.net>
Message-ID: <tki39ph3927ebd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63155

sure there is.....it's put out by a company named Pozzila I believe and it's
available at a number of web merchants for about $29. I also found a post
on another forum pointing to this website:
http://members.home.net/itssoftware/ez-scan.htm
For the record I have not downloaded this software and have no clue as to
its value or legitimacy.

"Ken Plotkin" <kplotkin@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:6eocktgpsnenhsu1bhhmq2f2n9hkg35iln@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 04 Jul 2001 21:19:08 -0400, The Culver's <tculver@erols.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Is there a program out there that lets you program the memories
> >especially the alphanumerics into memory?
>
> From the lack of anwers over the past couple of days, the anwer
> appears to be no.
>
> However... Interested in testing a program? Back in December I wrote
> one for a friend when he was in town for a couple of days. Never did
> hear back on whether he ever used it.
>
> It's a command line program that runs under Windows, and uses the
> PC/clone cable that comes with the scanner.
>
> E-mail me if you'd like to give it a shot. Address in the header is
> munged. Real e-mail is kplotkin at clark dot net.
>
> Testing may go slowly since I don't have acess to a sc200, and I'm
> juggling a bunch of other things. But if the program reaches a useful
> state, I'll set it loose as careware.
>
> Ken Plotkin
>

1540. Re: Cedar Point Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 01:51:26 UTC
From: KC8BQR <hgtsrecycling@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <3b490e11@flexnet239.uunt.net>
Source record: 63156

Sandusky, Ohio
Butch <bbutler@home.com> wrote in message
news:CE027.17864$B5.3952966@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> Paul, what state is that in?????
> Butch
> "Paul Zook" <lazpaz@teleweb.net> wrote in message
> news:994612057.490174@axilla.wwnet.net...
> > Anyone have a good source for Cedar Point Frequencies?
> >
> > Thanks!
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1541. Re: Need help on which one to buy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 02:00:53 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B491054.94982D80@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63157

Read the July issue of Monitoring Times, where the VR-120 is reviewed,
and compared with the R2.

One may be better on one feature, the other on another feature. It
depends on which features you think are important.

Walt

xeno194 wrote:
>
> What's up all. I have narrowed it down to 2 radios. I wanted to know what
> you guys think.
>
> ICOM IC-R2
> or
> YAESU VR-120
>
> Any comments on either one?
>
> Thanks Again
> Mike Xeno
> www.mikexeno.com

1542. Re: modified rabbit ears

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 02:05:44 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B491177.DF7C60F5@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63158

Or connect the twinlead from the rabbit ears to a 300 ohm to coax converter, and get a BNC to Type-F (coax) converter, and plug them together and then into the scanner. Both parts available at Radio Shack.

Walt

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "Abrayten" <abrayten@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010708212416.12540.00002851@ng-ma1.aol.com...
> > Has anyone rewired conventional TV rabbit ears with 50 ohm coax for
> scanning a
> > limited number of frequencies? How about using as is?
>
> I've got a set like that. I just took out the 300 Ohm twin lead and
> soldered coax directly to each leg. Makes a great travel scanner
> antenna since the ears are telescopic. Really only good for indoor
> use though.
>
> Tom

1543. Re: Programming software for Uniden sc200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 02:13:10 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <vh4ikto2e2s98ievqapfqra17so8lk6d6t@4ax.com>
Source record: 63159

On Sun, 8 Jul 2001 21:47:38 -0400, "Eric Smith"
<ericosmith@removechartermi.net> wrote:

>sure there is.....it's put out by a company named Pozzila I believe and it's
>available at a number of web merchants for about $29. I also found a post
>on another forum pointing to this website:
>http://members.home.net/itssoftware/ez-scan.htm
[snip]

Thanks! I'm going to forward that to my friend. That way I can
abandon the program I started. :-)

BTW - they want $20 for a downloaded version, $25 for a CD. Having
written software for two models of scanner (2 1/2 counting what I did
for the SC200), that's a good deal. Assuming it works. :-)

Ken Plotkin

1544. New GRE (Radio Shack) scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 03:43:12 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <kL927.179739$DG1.30111639@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 63160

Anyone know when the new hand-held, and base GRE scanners will be at the local Radio Shack stores?

Thanks,
Bill Crocker

1545. New SC-200 Programming Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 03:55:17 UTC
From: John Doe <me@me.com>
Message-ID: <FW927.13567$mY2.1296840@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 63161

Programming software for the Uniden Sportcat SC-200

http://members.home.net/itssoftware/ez-scan.htm

--

Send money Instantly & Securely to anyone with an email address.
https://www.paypal.com/refer/pal=itssoftware%40home.com

1546. Re: Pro-2006 -- $199

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 09:22:53 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <BmhxUEBtfXS7EwXk@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 63162

In article <9i38iu$j9n$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>, Unclejoe
<going34thegold@american.com> writes

>Aren't the steps in the cellular range different in the UK?
>If your steps are not 30 khz like USA, the scanner will never work right,
>always half-way on a frequency, always punching up or down, trying to get
>better alignment with the frequency. Not a good idea.

30Khz steps were not enabled on the UK/non US version however as with
the US cellular coverage they were enabled/disabled via one Diode so the
configuration of the diodes was set for each market area.

30kHz steps though when enabled were still not a selectable step size
across the frequency coverage. They are only available by default when
entering US cellular frequencies.

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation
ttp://www.javiation.co.uk

1547. Re: AOR 2500 need soft ware for this unit...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 09:28:04 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <$2D+wQBkkXS7Ewww@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 63163

In article <3b47ef27.3057331@news.pacbell.net>, TM
<mrthoms7@pacbell.net> writes

Hi,

>I have a need for A copy of some software that will work with
>the AOR 2500 Scanner , if you have a copy let me know..

From memory Scancat (DOS) was one (Only ?) package that supported the
AR2500.

http://www.scancat.com

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation - VHF/UHF Scanners from all the leading manufacturers
http://www.javiation.co.uk

1548. Re: Novice question on SWR meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 11:46:48 UTC
From: Reg Edwards <g4fgq.regp@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9ic5jo$70r$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 63166
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Cecil wrote -
> That depends on the boundary conditions. If the ham application
consists
> of 50 ohm coax feeding a dipole, then the 50 ohm SWR meter is
indeed related
> to the actual "standing waves - - - - - -
==========

Cecil,

On the subject of SWR meters, SWR's, forward power, backward power, reflections, etc., your conclusions all depend on strings of 'if's' and 'then's'.

If this, if that, if the other!

Each 'if' statement attempts to draw inferences from its predecessors. But 'If's' inevitably lead us into a world of alternatives, uncertainty, imagination, and eventually into the dark occult realms of 'conjugate matches' and 'virtual short circuits'.

Stick to the simple fact - the purpose of the so-called SWR meter in its location next to the transmitter is to indicate whether the transmitter load is R, or it is not R. No more, no less. R can be whatever value your transmitter fancies.

The same indication can be obtained with the brightness of a pea-lamp. Or for blind people the loudness of a tone.

Now how do you relate pea lamps to standing waves without using an 'if''? ;o)

Reg.

1549. Re: modified rabbit ears

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 13:04:59 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010709090459.29423.00002508@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 63164

In article <9ib1uh$i5b8q$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>, "Tom Sevart"
<n2uhc@yahoo.com> writes:

>I've got a set like that. I just took out the 300 Ohm twin lead and
>soldered coax directly to each leg. Makes a great travel scanner
>antenna since the ears are telescopic. Really only good for indoor
>use though.

Works even better if you mount it on a wall or door and use it as a vertical
dipole. Just adjust the "ears" to length for the desired band.

1550. Re: Pro-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 13:04:59 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010709090459.29423.00002507@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 63165

In article <3b487884@flexnet239.uunt.net>, "KC8BQR"
<hgtsrecycling@peoplepc.com> writes:

>What is the big deal with the RS Pro-51?? So many people on some of the =
>message boards want to trade off newer equipment for one of these. The =
>mods are not all that big of deal according to some web sites as they =
>only give "test" mods...any input??

Good question. The keyboard trick is of limited usefullness vs full cellular
capability of earlier models. Not a particularily fast or high-capacity
scanner. Yet, I see these going on eBay for $150. Go figure.

1551. Re: modified rabbit ears

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 13:37:35 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9icc2h$i7u3g$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 63167

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010709090459.29423.00002508@nso-fc.aol.com...

> Works even better if you mount it on a wall or door and use it as a
vertical
> dipole. Just adjust the "ears" to length for the desired band.
>

That's the way I use it. You can wrap a rubber band around one leg
and hang it on a tack on the wall.

Tom

1552. frequency for sea-radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 13:59:32 UTC
From: Peter <106202.674@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <3b49b993$0$22678$6e365a64@newsreader02.highway.telekom.at>
Source record: 63168

Hello!

I am looking for asignment table for frequencies used in UHF Sea radios,
e.g. channel 16 is 156.800 MHz but which frequencies are the other ones.

Peter

1553. Re: Novice question on SWR meters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 14:30:56 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3B49C020.C15C4CEB@IEEE.org>
Source record: 63169
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.scanner

Reg Edwards wrote:
> On the subject of SWR meters, SWR's, forward power, backward power,
> reflections, etc., your conclusions all depend on strings of
> 'if's' and 'then's'.

Almost all conclusions depend on strings of 'if's', Reg. If you
use an analog Simpson to measure screen grid voltage, then the
measurement will be in error. Knowing when the SWR meter is
reading the actual SWR is useful for calculating coax losses.
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1554. Re: frequency for sea-radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 16:36:41 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010709123641.25280.00000621@ng-mp1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63170

>Subject: frequency for sea-radio
>From: "Peter" 106202.674@compuserve.com
>Date: 7/9/01 9:59 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3b49b993$0$22678$6e365a64@newsreader02.highway.telekom.at>
>
>Hello!
>
>I am looking for asignment table for frequencies used in UHF Sea radios,
>e.g. channel 16 is 156.800 MHz but which frequencies are the other ones.
>
>Peter
>

Try the following Link:

http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios/marine.htm

Well explained there.

Yes, PB Scanner has it posted to; but we are not allowed to post Links to
Expage on our own... go figure. We will make updates to our Pages on CompuServe
to show these ASAP.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1555. Re: frequency for sea-radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 18:31:18 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <9ict9m$p9e$1@suaar1aa.prod.compuserve.com>
Source record: 63171

"Peter" <106202.674@compuserve.com> wrote:
> I am looking for asignment table for frequencies used in UHF Sea radios,
> e.g. channel 16 is 156.800 MHz but which frequencies are the other ones.

From the FAF - Frequently Asked Frequencies
on http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/oak_faf.htm

Marine VHF [80.871]
[80.373]
Ch Ship Ch Ship

60 156.025
1 156.050 61 156.075
2 156.100 62 156.125
3 156.150 63 156.175
4 156.200 64 156.225
.. ..
25 157.250 85 157.275
26 157.300 86 157.325
27 157.350 87 157.375
28 157.400 88 157.425

Shore stations are 4.600 MHz above the ship frequency. In
U.S. waters the shore frequency may be used on Chs 20, 24-28 &
84-87. Chs 1-5 & 60-64 are shared with other services. Chs 75
& 76 are reserved as guard bands for ch 16.
Specific assignments include:
06 156.300 Intership Safety
09 156.450 General use by recreational vessels
13 156.650 Bridge-to-Bridge
15 156.750 Weather, notices, etc
16 156.800 General Calling / Distress
22 157.100 Coast Guard Liaison

1556. In Search of Uniden Bearcat 200xlt full schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 20:28:52 UTC
From: jammtc2000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cd33b517.0107091228.2c9df7f7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63172

Would like to purchase a full schematic diagram of the Uniden Bearcat 200xlt.

Please email jammtc@yahoo.com if you have it.

Thanks,

Jodia

1557. Uniden Bearcat 200xlt schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 20:39:56 UTC
From: jammtc2000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cd33b517.0107091239.744cf2f0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63173

Would like to purchase full schematic diagram for the Uniden Bearcat 200xlt.

Please email jammtc2000@yahoo.com

If a prior message is posted please disregard; it has the wrong email address in it.

Thanks,

Jodia

1558. antone got a bc 760 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 22:01:25 UTC
From: Tony <tdba21268@cableinet.co.uk>
Message-ID: <VQp27.20871$aE6.1912376@news1.cableinet.net>
Source record: 63176

i need the how to use page on the bc 760xlt, please can some kind person
e-mail this page

thank you thank you

t

1559. Re: modified rabbit ears

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 22:28:46 UTC
From: Podgee&#65533; <peter_alexander@dingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <3b4a3024$0$20905$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 63174

what about the 75 ohm & 50 ohm match

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9icc2h$i7u3g$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010709090459.29423.00002508@nso-fc.aol.com...
>
> > Works even better if you mount it on a wall or door and use it as a
> vertical
> > dipole. Just adjust the "ears" to length for the desired band.
> >
>
> That's the way I use it. You can wrap a rubber band around one leg
> and hang it on a tack on the wall.
>
> Tom
>
>

1560. NYC DOITT frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 22:38:51 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <%nq27.5991$SS5.706377@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 63175

Hi. Anyone out there a NYC scannist? If so, I need accurate frequencies for the NYC DOITT system. I've tried the ones supplied in the Trucktracker booklet supplied with the BC245XLT, only to get mixed results. Then I tried ones from Trucktracker.com and Police Call, only to find out that I got new IDs, but not the old ones I was receiving using the frequencies in the Trunktracker booklet. Any help would be appreciated thanks.

1561. Re: Pro-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-09 23:09:57 UTC
From: Max Imo <not@here.net>
Message-ID: <3b4a3c92$0$62139$4c5ecdc7@news.erinet.com>
Source record: 63177

Without modification the radio will receive the image frequencies of cell phones.

"KC8BQR" <hgtsrecycling@peoplepc.com> wrote in message news:3b487884@flexnet239.uunt.net...
What is the big deal with the RS Pro-51?? So many people on some of the message boards want to trade off newer equipment for one of these. The mods are not all that big of deal according to some web sites as they only give "test" mods...any input??

1562. Re: Radio Shack Pro 2021 Docs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 00:17:09 UTC
From: Unclejoe <going34thegold@american.com>
Message-ID: <9idhgr$1rq$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63179

look also at Radio Shack operation of the pro 2020 scanner, for a little more info. Most similar old scanners have the same operation. It is pretty simple.
The AM is not selectable, you have to go with what they set it up for. Most of the time that will not be a problem.

If you need to change the AM and FM, you can get a scanner that will, such as the pro 2006, for about $200 used.

Happy scanning!

"jodia" <jammtc2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:cd33b517.0106301623.451e7821@posting.google.com...
> If anyone has documentation on the Pro 2021 I would be greatful if
> you shared it.
>
> Radio Shack's web site has operation and detailed parts list but nothing
> else.
>
> Also I just received this device and it says that it is a fm/am scanner
> receiver yet I see no way to enter an am/fm frequency?????
>
>
> Thanks
>
>
> Jodia

1563. Re: modified rabbit ears

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 00:25:19 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9idifu$ifbn9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 63180

"Podgee" <peter_alexander@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
news:3b4a3024$0$20905$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au...
> what about the 75 ohm & 50 ohm match
>

You can use 50 Ohm cable like RG58 if you want, but your scanner won't know the difference between 50 & 75 Ohms.

Tom

1564. Re: fried scanner use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 00:49:28 UTC
From: Unclejoe <going34thegold@american.com>
Message-ID: <9idjdc$1j2$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63181

You forgot the most important part:

like all electronics.

AS IS

"Chuck Cheese" <chuck@cheese.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.15a8ce10a26efa839896da@news.earthlink.net...
> In article <Qm9%6.400$Oo5.5052@news>, crandall@ee.net says...
> > Is there any way to use a fried scanner?
> >
> Sell it on Ebay as "Out of the Box" "As New Condition". Describe
> some great details that the scanner doesn't really have and sell
> it for bundle!

1565. Re: Pro-2006 -- $199

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 01:14:57 UTC
From: Unclejoe <going34thegold@american.com>
Message-ID: <9idkt5$co3$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63182

Thanks JC, you are the expert on these things as you can sell all kinds of european scanners, and the step is critical to me.
Some guys never get it, and think their scanner is still blocked because it only gets 30 khz increments! Ha!
And many buy unblocked scanners that cannot do 30 khz, they do 12.5 steps, and you get in between the real steps, so you have not only bad reception, but you also have to keep going up and down to see if the other "off" step frequency is any better!

Best thing to do is read and learn, then buy about 20 scanners and find out for yourself.

Happy Scanning!

"Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk> wrote in message news:BmhxUEBtfXS7EwXk@Javiation.co.uk...
> In article <9i38iu$j9n$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>, Unclejoe
> <going34thegold@american.com> writes
>
> >Aren't the steps in the cellular range different in the UK?
> >If your steps are not 30 khz like USA, the scanner will never work right,
> >always half-way on a frequency, always punching up or down, trying to get
> >better alignment with the frequency. Not a good idea.
>
> 30Khz steps were not enabled on the UK/non US version however as with
> the US cellular coverage they were enabled/disabled via one Diode so the
> configuration of the diodes was set for each market area.
>
> 30kHz steps though when enabled were still not a selectable step size
> across the frequency coverage. They are only available by default when
> entering US cellular frequencies.
>
> --
> Cheers,
> JC
> Javiation
> ttp://www.javiation.co.uk

1566. Re: In Search of Uniden Bearcat 200xlt full schematic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 01:52:13 UTC
From: jammtc2000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cd33b517.0107091752.241f55c2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63183

jammtc2000@yahoo.com (jodia) wrote in message
news:<cd33b517.0107091228.2c9df7f7@posting.google.com>...
> Would like to purchase a full schematic diagram of the Uniden Bearcat
> 200xlt.
>
> Please email jammtc2000@yahoo.com if you have it.
>
> Thanks,
>
>
> Jodia
Just had to correct the email address.

1567. Question re: Listening to Lake Freighters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 01:55:23 UTC
From: Ted <nomailplease@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <fgt27.2510$767.283312@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63184

Hello,

Having just recently discovered that I can watch lake freighters pass through the Soo Locks at Sault Ste. Marie on the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Webcam at http://www.crrel.usace.army.mil/ierd/webcams/soo/, I was wondering if there are any scanner web sites at which I can connect to streaming audio radio transmissions from these great ships?

Thanks.

Ted Fisher
Rochester Hills, Michigan
ktfisher479@earthlink.net

1568. Re: PRO-2067 500 channel mobile multi-system trunking scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 02:06:15 UTC
From: HornSmoke <omega@powerlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B4A6316.CA8AC05E@powerlink.net>
Source record: 63185
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On sale now for $249.99 at Rat Shack

Loren

Bill Crocker wrote:

> Watch for a sale, when this radio typically goes for $269.95!
>
> Bill Crocker
>
> "Bobby" <thsk@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3B0684CD.5EC8ED2C@earthlink.net...
> > Greetings!
> >
> > I've been shopping around for a mobile/trunking scanner to
> > put in my F150. Does anyone have some background on the
> > PRO-2067? Is it worth $350? Is anyone using the computer
> > interface?
> >
> >
> http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CT
> LG%5F001%5F002%5F007%5F000&product%5Fid=20%2D196
> >
> > Who makes this for Radio Shack and is it also available
> > under another brand/model? Also, looking for reviews on the
> > product.
> >
> > Thanks in advance!
> > -Bobby

1569. Desktop hand held scanner holder??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 02:56:56 UTC
From: HornSmoke <omega@powerlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B4A6EF7.9142FDDD@powerlink.net>
Source record: 63186
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Radio Shack (over 5 years ago) sold a desktop holder for hand held scanners, replete with BNC connector. I crafted a functional one out of a metal book end by wire wrapping a small external speaker to it, and bent the brace at the appropriate angle for the scanner to "sit" on. Still, I would like to procure a "professional" one.

Best to all,

Loren Fields, N1UMF
Official Maine Frequency Guide

1570. WTB- RS Pro 2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 03:16:08 UTC
From: fanphoto1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010709231608.16881.00006068@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 63187

Please email with price/info. Not interested in dead ones or beaters.
Thanks
Tom

1571. Re: Seeking Info on WHAMO-10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 03:18:45 UTC
From: `~Myst~` <myst@cotse-nospam.com>
Message-ID: <TPr27.1547$h6.352182@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 63178

<shadowlord2000@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:5434-3B41185B-24@storefull-126.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Does anyone know when this receiver was first introduced to the market ?
> Also, was this the first "programmable" scanning radio ?
> After looking at a picture of one on a website, I just realized that
> this is what my dad had some 25 years ago. I believe it used some kind
> of metal combs or cards to program it. Seems like a wild design to me. I
> assume these are collectors items now. Well, thanks for the help.

I recall the Whamo was manufactured by Regency somewhere back in the mid seventies. Your correct frequencies were programmed with some sort of miniature comb but I'm really unsure how it was done. Regency did offer a digital VFO as an accessory to the Whamo scanner, a horrendous looking six digit mechanical device that would allow you to enter in a single freq. I believe coverage was VHF Lo/Hi and UHF.

Not sure if it's much of a collectors item, I occasionally see them at swap meets fetching $10-$15.

1572. Re: Cedar Point Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 03:34:29 UTC
From: Paul Zook <lazpaz@teleweb.net>
Message-ID: <994736299.258651@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 63188

You da man Bill!

"Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote in message
news:7%427.179195$DG1.29918526@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com...
> 151.6850 Voice Paging
> 154.5150 Hotel Breakers, Shuttle Busses, Aux Voice Paging
> 461.3000 Police
> 463.8625 Maintenance
> 464.2125 ?
> 464.3125 ?
> 464.3750 Park Operations (Channel "B")
> 464.4125 Parking, Tolls, Traffic
> 464.5125 Emergency Services and Fire
> 464.5750 Ride Operations (Channel "A")
> 464.6125 ?
>
> 466.3000 }
> 468.8625 } Repeater Input
> 469.2125 } Frequencies
> 469.3125 }
> 469.4125 }
> 469.5125 }
> 469.6125 }
>
>
> Cedar Point Marina
>
> 154.425 MC 68 Non-Commercial
> 156.450 MC 09 Boater Calling. Commercial and Non-Commercial
> 156.800 MC 16 International Distress, Safety and Calling
>
> Signal Codes
>
> 1 contact by telephone "signal 1 3109" "signal 1 mantis"
> 2 contact in person "signal 2 by magnum short entrance"
> 5
> 7 out of service
> 8 in service
> 14 "what is your 14?"
> 15 off duty? - "unit XX is signal 15"
> 17
> 20 location - "what is your 20?"
> 25 closed for the night?
> 33 (emergency?)

> 35
> 37 mechanical problem? - "signal 37 blue streak mechanical"
> 38 attraction closed? - "soak city complex is signal 38"
> 39 need approval to reopen? - "signal 39 demon drop"
> 80
>
> Sandusky
>
> 460/5.5750 Fire Department - - - main
> 453/8.5000 Fire Department
> 460/5.2500 Police Department
>
> Also, check the following:
>
> GMRS/FRS
>
> 462.5500 GMRS
> 462.5625 FRS 1, GMRS
> 462.5750 GMRS
> 462.5875 FRS 2, GMRS
> 462.6000 GMRS
> 462.6125 FRS 3, GMRS
> 462.6250 GMRS
> 462.6375 FRS 4, GMRS
> 462.6500 GMRS
> 462.6625 FRS 5, GMRS
> 462.6750 GMRS
> 462.6875 FRS 6, GMRS
> 462.7000 GMRS
> 462.7125 FRS 7, GMRS
> 462.7250 GMRS
> 467.5500 GMRS
> 467.5625 FRS 8
> 467.5750 GMRS
> 467.5875 FRS 9
> 467.6000 GMRS
> 467.6125 FRS 10
> 467.6250 GMRS
> 467.6375 FRS 11
> 467.6500 GMRS
> 467.6625 FRS 12
> 467.6750 GMRS
> 467.6875 FRS 13
> 467.7000 GMRS
> 467.7250 GMRS
> 467.7125 FRS 14
>
> I can't take credit for all of these. I captured this info from a
previous
> posing from someone named Jeff. So our thanks go to Jeff!

>
> Bill Crocker
>
>
>
>
> "Paul Zook" <lazpaz@teleweb.net> wrote in message
> news:994612057.490174@axilla.wwnet.net...
> > Anyone have a good source for Cedar Point Frequencies?
> >
> > Thanks!
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1573. Re: winradio cellphone mod all models

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 04:18:44 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9idvns01up4@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 63189

let me fix this. damn space bar is broke.

gee, you see people always wanting a 800 Mhz scanner. If someone wants the old
800 Mhz analog system to listen to. It is easier just to get a
canadian/eur/aus model.or damn why not just get a cell phone. throw it in test
mode and receive all the analog signals anyways. simple and most of us have
those old phones too.

1574. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 04:25:34 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9ie04k01v71@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 63190

huh drop 50 ohm coax for a 75 ohm. I am lost here. you get a better match for your scanner if you have 1) 50 ohm antenna and 1) 50 ohm scanner.why waist money on 72 ohm rg 6. I would say if you want to match and gonna buy rg 6. buy beldens rg 8. not radio shack rg 8 like most do. if you want hardline. go get some rg-213 or double sheilded rg-214 army stuff. 69 cents canadian and all 50 ohms.

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.15aaed6b514cdbc798976a@news...
> In article <20010702204830.17040.00002024@ng-fe1.aol.com>,
> ottoxxx@aol.com says...
> > Can someone help me with my choice of coax for an external vhf / uhf
scanner
> > antenna?
> > Should I go with the RG-8?
> > And what does the 'U' designate? RG-8 vs RG-8U?
> >
>
> How long of a run do you have between the radio and antenna?
>
>
> I would recommend Times microwave LMR-400 if you want the closest thing
> to hardline at coax cost. :-) OTOH if you aren't out to capture every
> last electron possible just great solid local reception I would go with
> some RG6 double or triple shield. Not quite as good as the LMR stuff but
> far better, hands down than RG8. I use both and have dumped the RG8 crud
> forever.
>
>
>
> Glenn
>

1575. Re: Radio Shack Pro 2021 Docs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 07:53:39 UTC
From: jammtc2000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cd33b517.0107092353.566e3d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63191

"Unclejoe" <going34thegold@american.com> wrote in message
news:<9idhgr$1rq$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>...
> look also at Radio Shack operation of the pro 2020 scanner, for a little
> more info. Most similar old scanners have the same operation. It is pretty
> simple.
> The AM is not selectable, you have to go with what they set it up for. Most
> of the time that will not be a problem.
>
>
> If you need to change the AM and FM, you can get a scanner that will, such
> as the pro 2006, for about $200 used.
>
>
>
>
> Happy scanning!
>
>
> "jodia" <jammtc2000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:cd33b517.0106301623.451e7821@posting.google.com...

Thanks for the help guys.

Jodia
> > If anyone has documentation on the Pro 2021 I would be greatful if
> > you shared it.
> >
> > Radio Shack's web site has operation and detailed parts list but nothing
> > else.
> >
> > Also I just received this device and it says that it is a fm/am scanner
> > receiver yet I see no way to enter an am/fm frequency?????
> >
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> >
> > Jodia

1576. Off Topic:William/Bill/Zachary Guinn - help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 09:39:14 UTC
From: Douglas Coull <dougcoul@freenetname.co.uk>
Message-ID: <n3ilktgmeoqd83jnk4sgsosj84oevhruve@4ax.com>
Source record: 63192

Hi Folks,

Sorry to be off topic but I'm hoping someone can put my mind at ease.

I arranged a second hand purchase with "william" <bill.guinn@mindspring.com> and sent off a cheque/money order over two weeks ago. As I'm in the UK - Scotland - I appreciate there is a risk factor here.

However, I scanned the cheque and certificate of posting, stamped and signed by my local Post Office, and e-mailed these to "William" so that he at least knew I had completed my end of the bargain in good faith.

He advised he wouldn't ship the item until he had the cash - fair enough.

I checked with the Post Office here in the UK, and they advised 5-7 day delivery for the USA but two weeks have elapsed since posting. I go on vacation in a few days and if there is a problem I want to take steps to have any lost mail investigated before I go. To be honest I wanted the item to take on vacation with me.

I now have had no response from "William" to my last three e-mails to him on 5th, 6th, and 8th so am getting more than a little concerned.

As the cheque was made out to a 'Zachary' Guinn and to a box number, this also makes me nervous, albeit they're is probably a good reason for this.

So I'm hoping that someone on the group has dealt with "William" before and can allay my worst fears.

I must stress that this request in no way calls him in to any disrepute, and there may be genuine reasons for not getting any responses, but I'm sure you will appreciate my concerns.

I'd be pleased to accept any replies direct if anyone would care to get in touch.

Many thanks, and again apologies that this request is off topic.

Regards,

Dougie

>>>>>>>> Douglas Coull, Langbank, Scotland
>>>>>>>> LAT 55.92445 N LONG 4.59753 W

>>>>>>> www.doug-coull.co.uk/
<<<<<<< www.milair.fsworld.co.uk/

.Join the Scottish Scanner Group -
www.doug-coull.co.uk/scanner_scottish_scanner_group.html
.Join the Pro-2042 Scanner Group - Pro-2042-subscribe@yahoogroups.com

1577. Re: Off Topic:William/Bill/Zachary Guinn - help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 10:50:17 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9ieml9$1bg$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63193

July 4th is a holiday here in the U.S. so he may be away on vacation.

Just a guess.

Frank

"Douglas Coull" <dougcoul@freenetname.co.uk> wrote in message
news:n3ilktgmeoqd83jnk4sgsosj84oevhruve@4ax.com...
} Hi Folks,
}
} Sorry to be off topic but I'm hoping someone can put my mind at ease.
}
} I arranged a second hand purchase with "william"
} <bill.guinn@mindspring.com> and sent off a cheque/money order over two
} weeks ago. As I'm in the UK - Scotland - I appreciate there is a risk
} factor here.
}
} However, I scanned the cheque and certificate of posting, stamped and
} signed by my local Post Office, and e-mailed these to "William" so
} that he at least knew I had completed my end of the bargain in good
} faith.
}
} He advised he wouldn't ship the item until he had the cash - fair
} enough.
}
} I checked with the Post Office here in the UK, and they advised 5-7
} day delivery for the USA but two weeks have elapsed since posting. I
} go on vacation in a few days and if there is a problem I want to take
} steps to have any lost mail investigated before I go. To be honest I
} wanted the item to take on vacation with me.
}
} I now have had no response from "William" to my last three e-mails to
} him on 5th, 6th, and 8th so am getting more than a little concerned.
}
} As the cheque was made out to a 'Zachary' Guinn and to a box number,
} this also makes me nervous, albeit they're is probably a good reason
} for this.
}
} So I'm hoping that someone on the group has dealt with "William"
} before and can allay my worst fears.
}
} I must stress that this request in no way calls him in to any
} disrepute, and there may be genuine reasons for not getting any
} responses, but I'm sure you will appreciate my concerns.
}

} I'd be pleased to accept any replies direct if anyone would care to
} get in touch.
}
} Many thanks, and again apologies that this request is off topic.
}
} Regards,
}
} Dougie
}
} >>>>>>>> Douglas Coull, Langbank, Scotland
} >>>>>>>> LAT 55.92445 N LONG 4.59753 W
}
} >>>>>>> www.doug-coull.co.uk/
} <<<<<<< www.milair.fsworld.co.uk/
}
} .Join the Scottish Scanner Group -
} www.doug-coull.co.uk/scanner_scottish_scanner_group.html
} .Join the Pro-2042 Scanner Group - Pro-2042-subscribe@yahoogroups.com

1578. CRIS6000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 10:58:05 UTC
From: Murray McKenzie <muzzer@ihug.co.nz>
Message-ID: <9iemve$f30$1@lust.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 63194

Has anyone heard of a CRIS6000 made by EEB? Can anyone give me any info on it?

1579. Re: Off Topic:William/Bill/Zachary Guinn - help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 13:21:49 UTC
From: Douglas Coull <dougcoul@freenetname.co.uk>
Message-ID: <r50mkt4fa9tild3psnqkatkoq926c7u268@4ax.com>
Source record: 63195

On Tue, 10 Jul 2001 03:50:17 -0700, "Frank" <nospam@mindspring.com>
wrote:

Hi,

>July 4th is a holiday here in the U.S. so he may be away on vacation.
>
>Just a guess.
>
>Frank

I've had other replies direct and it's looking bad :-((

All this is not yet conclusive.

I'm currently gathering facts for legal action with others.

I'll keep the list posted ....

Regards,

Dougie

>>>>>>>> Douglas Coull, Langbank, Scotland
>>>>>>>> LAT 55.92445 N LONG 4.59753 W

>>>>>>> www.doug-coull.co.uk/
<<<<<<< www.milair.fsworld.co.uk/

.Join the Scottish Scanner Group -
www.doug-coull.co.uk/scanner_scottish_scanner_group.html
.Join the Pro-2042 Scanner Group - Pro-2042-subscribe@yahoogroups.com

1580. Re: Desktop hand held scanner holder??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 13:40:23 UTC
From: Bob <Bob_Ruzzo@nksd.net>
Message-ID: <9if0nc$iekaj$1@ID-84760.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 63196
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

i saw one made out of glued together sections of 1/8" clear plexiglass to form a small box and you just drop handheld scanner in it and it stays upright. there was a hole cut in front for sound.

1581. Re: Pro-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 14:29:13 UTC
From: John Smith <jaked2k@mail.com>
Message-ID: <gfE27.16676$as2.706217@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 63097

So you are telling me people are buying it to modify it? or because it works without modifying it?

"Max Imo" <not@here.net> wrote in message
news:3b4a3c92$0$62139$4c5ecdc7@news.erinet.com...
> Without modification the radio will receive the image frequencies of cell
> phones.
>
>
> "KC8BQR" <hgtsrecycling@peoplepc.com> wrote in message
> news:3b487884@flexnet239.uunt.net...
> What is the big deal with the RS Pro-51?? So many people on some of the
> message boards want to trade off newer equipment for one of these. The
mods
> are not all that big of deal according to some web sites as they only give
> "test" mods...any input??
>
>
>

1582. Re: Off Topic:William/Bill/Zachary Guinn - help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 14:52:45 UTC
From: Douglas Coull <doug@doug-coull.co.uk>
Message-ID: <qj5mkts0ukhj69jhdlujsb27vlg9jkm995@4ax.com>
Source record: 63098

Hi Folks,

>I've had other replies direct and it's looking bad :-((
>
>All this is not yet conclusive.

This now looks like a regular scam, so hopefully this information will save others in the group the pain. I'll also advise other 'radio' related groups as well.

Could I please ask anyone who has fallen foul of this individual to contact me direct with details of their situation, and also any action they have taken. Any information will be in strictest confidence.

I'll be pleased to co-ordinate so we bring this guy to justice once and for all.

Many thanks for your time.

1583. LNIB 200 ch VHF Portable $250

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 15:04:20 UTC
From: John Miller <bfdp1@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <uLE27.988$H06.184616@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 63099

Vertex/Standard VX-150, VHF (high-Band)
144-170 mhz, Heavy Leather carry case with swivel. 1600 ma NiMh battery, rapid charger (1 hour)Plus standard 700ma nicad battery and wall charger. Alpha display 7-place. Programming software and cable. This radio is only a few weeks old. Will sell for $250+ $15 shipping. Speaker/Mike. All manuals, boxes, etc.
Sorry no Trades.....

John Miller
Boise, Idaho

1584. Re: Desktop hand held scanner holder??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 15:26:20 UTC
From: Randy <rl.brush@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <3B4B4A17.6308F805@verizon.ihatespam.net>
Source record: 63100
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I modified a plastic brochure holder I got from an office supply store.
Cut the front out for the keys it stands nice on a table and has a slot
for hanging on the wall so it
hangs right here by the computer.
RLB
HornSmoke wrote:

> Radio Shack (over 5 years ago) sold a desktop holder for hand
> held scanners, replete with BNC connector. I crafted a functional
> one out of a metal book end by wire wrapping a small external
> speaker to it, and bent the brace at the appropriate angle for
> the scanner to "sit" on. Still, I would like to procure a
> "professional" one.
>
> Best to all,
>
> Loren Fields, N1UMF
> Official Maine Frequency Guide

1585. New Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 15:35:49 UTC
From: mgd99@usa.net
Message-ID: <265f1f1c.0107100735.658ddef3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63101

I've found this new internet site.
http://www.scannerhounds.com/forums/

1586. Re: Off Topic:William/Bill/Zachary Guinn - help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 15:45:55 UTC
From: Bellsouth <onewebb@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <7jF27.90390$HJ1.2286769@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 63102

Dear Douglas,

Could you please send the address and any telephone number you may have for this individual and I will see what I can do for you.

Cheers from the other side of the pond.

"Douglas Coull" <dougcoul@freenetname.co.uk> wrote in message news:n3ilktgmeoqd83jnk4sgsosj84oevhruve@4ax.com...
> Hi Folks,
>
> Sorry to be off topic but I'm hoping someone can put my mind at ease.
>
> I arranged a second hand purchase with "william"
> <bill.guinn@mindspring.com> and sent off a cheque/money order over two
> weeks ago. As I'm in the UK - Scotland - I appreciate there is a risk
> factor here.
>
> However, I scanned the cheque and certificate of posting, stamped and
> signed by my local Post Office, and e-mailed these to "William" so
> that he at least knew I had completed my end of the bargain in good
> faith.
>
> He advised he wouldn't ship the item until he had the cash - fair
> enough.
>
> I checked with the Post Office here in the UK, and they advised 5-7
> day delivery for the USA but two weeks have elapsed since posting. I
> go on vacation in a few days and if there is a problem I want to take
> steps to have any lost mail investigated before I go. To be honest I
> wanted the item to take on vacation with me.
>
> I now have had no response from "William" to my last three e-mails to
> him on 5th, 6th, and 8th so am getting more than a little concerned.
>
> As the cheque was made out to a 'Zachary' Guinn and to a box number,
> this also makes me nervous, albeit they're is probably a good reason
> for this.
>
> So I'm hoping that someone on the group has dealt with "William"
> before and can allay my worst fears.
>
> I must stress that this request in no way calls him in to any
> disrepute, and there may be genuine reasons for not getting any
> responses, but I'm sure you will appreciate my concerns.

>
> I'd be pleased to accept any replies direct if anyone would care to
> get in touch.
>
> Many thanks, and again apologies that this request is off topic.
>
> Regards,
>
> Dougie
>
> >>>>>>>> Douglas Coull, Langbank, Scotland
> >>>>>>>> LAT 55.92445 N LONG 4.59753 W
>
> >>>>>>> www.doug-coull.co.uk/
> <<<<<<< www.milair.fsworld.co.uk/
>
> .Join the Scottish Scanner Group -
> www.doug-coull.co.uk/scanner_scottish_scanner_group.html
> .Join the Pro-2042 Scanner Group - Pro-2042-subscribe@yahoogroups.com

1587. Re: Off Topic:William/Bill/Zachary Guinn - help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 16:27:35 UTC
From: Douglas Coull <doug@doug-coull.co.uk>
Message-ID: <kuamktgf6rtopclrbdlrfo3114iqeoepjp@4ax.com>
Source record: 63103

On Tue, 10 Jul 2001 11:45:55 -0400, "Bellsouth"
<onewebb@bellsouth.net> wrote:

Hi,

>Could you please send the address and any telephone number you may have for
>this individual and I will see what I can do for you.

I'll keep this within the group as it may be of interest to others but
will go off-line if anyone objects.

I sent my Bankers Draft to PO Box 1582, Lexington as this was the
address he gave me.

Another poor person passed on these details:

>His real name is Zachary Guinn

>Here is his address and phone number

>Zachary Guinn
>104 Lancelot Ct
>lexington, South Carolina
>29072

>(803) 957-7079
>(803) 359-6578 (parents)

>I mailed him a large check in May and I am still
>waiting for my radio

>goodluck
>perhaps the lexington police have a record of him

Your offer of help is most appreciated.

Cheers.

Regards,

Dougie

>>>>>>>> Douglas Coull, Langbank, Scotland
>>>>>>>> LAT 55.92445 N LONG 4.59753 W

>>>>>>> www.doug-coull.co.uk/
<<<<<<< www.milair.fsworld.co.uk/

.Join the Scottish Scanner Group -
www.doug-coull.co.uk/scanner_scottish_scanner_group.html

.Join the Pro-2042 Scanner Group - Pro-2042-subscribe@yahoogroups.com

1588. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 16:44:39 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15b4d080db3d1da989777@news>
Source record: 63104

In article <9ie04k01v71@enews2.newsguy.com>, "Dev" <.> says...
> huh drop 50 ohm coax for a 75 ohm.

Yes, just like Channel Master the antenna mfg does. Also recommended by many in the scanning field who know.

> I am lost here. you get a better match
> for your scanner if you have 1) 50 ohm antenna and 1) 50 ohm scanner.why
> waist money on 72 ohm rg 6.

First, NO antenna will give you a 50 Ohm match. It might on a few frequencies it is cut to but that is it. Even a discone when viewed with an antenna analyzer has a impedance that goes up and down like a rollercoaster and only passes 50 ohms on occasion.

All this "must be 50 ohms" comes from transmitters which have to see that 50 or they puke! Receivers are not near as sensitive or you would be tuning a radio with mostly dead bands.

> I would say if you want to match and gonna buy
> rg 6. buy beldens rg 8. not radio shack rg 8 like most do.

Have you ever looked at a coaxial loss chart? If you did you would realize RG-8 made by anyone SUCKS for VHF/UHF on anything but short runs. RG-6 double or triple shield is one of the best bargains and coax for the scanner listener. Do the research!

> if you want
> hardline. go get some rg-213 or double sheilded rg-214 army stuff. 69 cents
> canadian and all 50 ohms.

And waste your money! RG-213 is barely better than RG-8 and certainly isn't even close to hardline characteristics. Not even close and certainly a real POOR choice for VHF/uhf. Even RG-6 has better loss characteristics than rg-213! LMR-400 is much better than both. Do some research! Here I'll help you get started.

http://www.radio-ware.com/products/techinfo/coaxapps.htm
Read the Scanners (VHF/UHF) at the bottom.

http://www.radio-ware.com/products/techinfo/coaxloss.htm

Hope this clears things up..........

Glenn

>
> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message

> news:MPG.15aaed6b514cdbc798976a@news...
> > In article <20010702204830.17040.00002024@ng-fe1.aol.com>,
> > ottoxxx@aol.com says...
> > > Can someone help me with my choice of coax for an external vhf / uhf
> scanner
> > > antenna?
> > > Should I go with the RG-8?
> > > And what does the 'U' designate? RG-8 vs RG-8U?
> > >
> >
> > How long of a run do you have between the radio and antenna?
> >
> >
> > I would recommend Times microwave LMR-400 if you want the closest thing
> > to hardline at coax cost. :-) OTOH if you aren't out to capture every
> > last electron possible just great solid local reception I would go with
> > some RG6 double or triple shield. Not quite as good as the LMR stuff but
> > far better, hands down than RG8. I use both and have dumped the RG8 crud
> > forever.
> >
> >
> >
> > Glenn
> >
>
>
>

1589. Re: Desktop hand held scanner holder??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 18:21:56 UTC
From: phantom <tank63@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8JH27.704$vZ3.269942977@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63105
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I got a large adjustable cup holder from a discount store and screwed it
onto the desk by the "other" radios mounted there. Works very nice.
phantom

> I modified a plastic brochure holder I got from an office supply store.
> Cut the front out for the keys it stands nice on a table and has a slot
> for hanging on the wall so it
> hangs right here by the computer.
> RLB
> HornSmoke wrote:

1590. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 18:41:36 UTC
From: Scott Packard <scottp@32.usenet.us.com>
Message-ID: <3B4B4C60.A03560BF@xx.usenet.us.com>
Source record: 63106

For receive only it doesn't much matter about the impedence.
I believe Glenn suggested what he did by looking at charts of loss per
100 foot
at different frequencies. RG-58 is horrible (remembering that I had
last looked
at the charts 15 years ago). RG-8 wasn't much better. You want stuff
that works
at UHF frequencies and still has shielding. Maybe visit www.belden.com?
I have bought RG-59 and used it successfully. I've used antenna-mounted
broadband
preamplifiers, but haven't found much useful gain in a metro
environment.

http://bwcecom.belden.com/college/Techpprs/tpvutp85.htm talks about
broadband
analog video towards the bottom. That's pretty much in the same
frequency ballpark
as most of your scanning.

Dev wrote:
>
> huh drop 50 ohm coax for a 75 ohm. I am lost here. you get a better match
> for your scanner if you have 1) 50 ohm antenna and 1) 50 ohm scanner.why
> waist money on 72 ohm rg 6. I would say if you want to match and gonna buy
> rg 6. buy beldens rg 8. not radio shack rg 8 like most do. if you want
> hardline. go get some rg-213 or double sheilded rg-214 army stuff. 69 cents
> canadian and all 50 ohms.
>
> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> news:MPG.15aaed6b514cdbc798976a@news...
> > In article <20010702204830.17040.00002024@ng-fe1.aol.com>,
> > ottoxxx@aol.com says...
> > > Can someone help me with my choice of coax for an external vhf / uhf
> scanner
> > > antenna?
> > > Should I go with the RG-8?
> > > And what does the 'U' designate? RG-8 vs RG-8U?
> > >
> >
> > How long of a run do you have between the radio and antenna?
> >
> >
> > I would recommend Times microwave LMR-400 if you want the closest thing
> > to hardline at coax cost. :-) OTOH if you aren't out to capture every
> > last electron possible just great solid local reception I would go with

> > some RG6 double or triple shield. Not quite as good as the LMR stuff but
> > far better, hands down than RG8. I use both and have dumped the RG8 crud
> > forever.
> >
> >
> >
> > Glenn
> >

1591. FS: Garmin GPS III Plus w/accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 18:44:36 UTC
From: Sam <k4oc_nospam@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <aajmktg21hjm1thrb3sb7itvcqtf0eto5n@4ax.com>
Source record: 63107

I am selling my GPS.
I have a Garmin GPS III Plus in EXCELLENT condition.

I am including the following accessories that I purchased with the
GPS:

PC Data Cable (I think this came in the Box)
Cigarette Lighter plug power cable.
Remote mount antenna.
Mapsource US Roads & Recreation.
Garmin carrying case.

I paid $600 for all of the above and I need to sell ASAP!

$200 .
k4oc@nc.rr.com

1592. FS: Garmin GPS III Plus w/accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 18:44:55 UTC
From: Sam <k4oc_nospam@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <7bjmktsvt5u4omhnfatjtlbvv506su794u@4ax.com>
Source record: 63108

I am selling my GPS.
I have a Garmin GPS III Plus in EXCELLENT condition.

I am including the following accessories that I purchased with the
GPS:

PC Data Cable (I think this came in the Box)
Cigarette Lighter plug power cable.
Remote mount antenna.
Mapsource US Roads & Recreation.
Garmin carrying case.

I paid $600 for all of the above and I need to sell ASAP!

$200 .
k4oc@nc.rr.com

1593. FS: UK Only > IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 19:03:57 UTC
From: Neil Edwards <neilhulluniZZZ@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <o9kmkts8aj3r4ld004nc1pmqevagdnblmp@4ax.com>
Source record: 63109

Hi there, just got a new scanner so am selling this one.

It's an ICOM IC-R2, brilliant condition fully boxed and
with manuals and original belt-clip and aerial.

In Humberside area, UK.

Offers welcome, Cheers

Neil Edwards

1594. FS:Bearcat BC-700A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 21:23:54 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <doK27.196$uD5.86286@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 63110

i have a like new,Bearcat BC-700A ,800MHZ,scanner,,with box,manual,ac power cube,antenna,dc power cable,mobile mount,,can be used base,or,mobile,,never mobile
price 65.00 shipped

thanks
monte k9dzd@iquest.net

1595. Re: modified rabbit ears

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 21:43:29 UTC
From: Podgee&#65533; <peter_alexander@dingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <3b4b7706$0$20969$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 63111

oh, ok, thank for that
Peter

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9idifu$ifbn9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Podgee&#65533;" <peter_alexander@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
> news:3b4a3024$0$20905$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au...
> > what about the 75 ohm & 50 ohm match
> >
>
> You can use 50 Ohm cable like RG58 if you want, but your scanner won't
> know the difference between 50 & 75 Ohms.
>
> Tom
>
>

1596. BC780 Extender - Freeware

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 21:50:23 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <6HK27.91870$HJ1.2335172@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 63112

This is a program that may be of some use to BC780XLT
owners.

http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/BC780Ext.htm

-
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

1597. Re: Desktop hand held scanner holder??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 23:00:02 UTC
From: Al Wagner <weiner@dreamscape.com>
Message-ID: <tkn23tuee3ed4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63113
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Best handheld holder i've found is an inexpensive metal bookend, just bend
it to suit your scanner(s). They work well for me.
phantom <tank63@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8JH27.704$vZ3.269942977@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> I got a large adjustable cup holder from a discount store and screwed it
> onto the desk by the "other" radios mounted there. Works very nice.
> phantom
>
>
> > I modified a plastic brochure holder I got from an office supply store.
> > Cut the front out for the keys it stands nice on a table and has a slot
> > for hanging on the wall so it
> > hangs right here by the computer.
> > RLB
> > HornSmoke wrote:
>
>
>

1598. Re: Pro-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 23:03:24 UTC
From: "E. Morris" <words1@well.com>
Message-ID: <u8mjkt8vflq5rc934c19qu24b7rjniap6n@4ax.com>
Source record: 63120

On 09 Jul 2001 13:04:59 GMT, toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios) wrote:

>In article <3b487884@flexnet239.uunt.net>, "KC8BQR"
><hgtsrecycling@peoplepc.com> writes:
>
>>What is the big deal with the RS Pro-51?? So many people on some of the =
>>message boards want to trade off newer equipment for one of these. The =
>>mods are not all that big of deal according to some web sites as they =
>>only give "test" mods...any input??
>
>Good question. The keyboard trick is of limited usefullness vs full cellular
>capability of earlier models. Not a particularily fast or high-capacity
>scanner. Yet, I see these going on eBay for $150. Go figure.
>

Actually, the pro-51 is a very fast scanner and has remarkably loud audio. Wish
I'd kept mine.

--
E.Morris
words1@well.com

1599. FORSALE 14 CH FRS RADIOS 50.00US PAIR

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 23:41:13 UTC
From: jwap <mediaguy@home.com>
Message-ID: <toM27.16789$mY2.1608871@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 63115

FOR SALE:

IN PERFECT CONDITION, Radio shack FRS radios

model 21-1811 these are top quality.
99.00US each in catalog. 14 channels with
38 subtones.

has jacks for microphone and earphone
and charger.
has a tone sender
has a monitor button to open up squelch
digital readout of channel

50.00US includes shipping
thanks
JJ

1600. Re: Pro-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 23:42:55 UTC
From: Peter Baran <pbaran@sk.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3qM27.1731$Tk.37945379@tomcat.sk.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 63117

I recently purchased a Pro-46 on ebay for $70. It has the exact same "Test Mods" as the Pro-51. Using the "test" function, I was able to program in all 50, or so Cell freqs that are active in my town. No screwdriver, no soldering iron!
I'm assuming that people are buying the Pro-51 to be able to listen to cell.

I must add, at this point, that I live in Canada, & it IS NOT ILLEGAL to listen to those freqs here (so far!).

KC8BQR <hgtsrecycling@peoplepc.com> wrote in message news:3b487884@flexnet239.uunt.net...
What is the big deal with the RS Pro-51?? So many people on some of the message boards want to trade off newer equipment for one of these. The mods are not all that big of deal according to some web sites as they only give "test" mods...any input??

1601. Web Controlled Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 23:56:52 UTC
From: Javaradio <nospamthankyou@javaradio.com>
Message-ID: <9ig4nn$3jm$1@newsfeed.globecom.net>
Source record: 63119

Dear Scanner listeners.

Javaradio.com - The remotely controlled radio network on the Internet now celebrating its third year.

We have put 2 receivers near the Copenhagen area.

Remotely controlled Receiver #1 = All mode 0.1-1300 MHz with discone at 70 meters above sea level.

Remotely controlled Receiver #2 = Four 8 element stacked yagis FM DX receiver with web controlled azimuth control

73 de Webmaster - Javaradio.com

Welcome to:

http://www.javaradio.com

Listen and tune them LIVE!

1602. Re: Desktop hand held scanner holder??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-10 23:57:59 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <bEM27.10$Eo2.340@news>
Source record: 63114
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am one helluva woodworker.If somebody came up with a decent layout of one
I could build it! We could make it a real work of art that we could display
proudly.Any ideas on a layout can be sent directly to me at crandall@ee.net
Rob
"HornSmoke" <omega@powerlink.net> wrote in message
news:3B4A6EF7.9142FDDD@powerlink.net...
> Radio Shack (over 5 years ago) sold a desktop holder for hand
> held scanners, replete with BNC connector. I crafted a functional
> one out of a metal book end by wire wrapping a small external
> speaker to it, and bent the brace at the appropriate angle for
> the scanner to "sit" on. Still, I would like to procure a
> "professional" one.
>
> Best to all,
>
> Loren Fields, N1UMF
> Official Maine Frequency Guide
>

1603. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 00:11:07 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <vQM27.11$Eo2.243@news>
Source record: 63116

The U I believe stands for underground which you only need if you were going to bury it.Also Dev has the bomb of using shielded so that if you cross over any electrical wires and such you don't get a bunch of crappy feedback and defeat the purpose.
Enjoy
Rob
"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.15aaed6b514cdbc798976a@news...
> In article <20010702204830.17040.00002024@ng-fe1.aol.com>,
> ottoxxx@aol.com says...
> > Can someone help me with my choice of coax for an external vhf / uhf
scanner
> > antenna?
> > Should I go with the RG-8?
> > And what does the 'U' designate? RG-8 vs RG-8U?
> >
>
> How long of a run do you have between the radio and antenna?
>
>
> I would recommend Times microwave LMR-400 if you want the closest thing
> to hardline at coax cost. :-) OTOH if you aren't out to capture every
> last electron possible just great solid local reception I would go with
> some RG6 double or triple shield. Not quite as good as the LMR stuff but
> far better, hands down than RG8. I use both and have dumped the RG8 crud
> forever.
>
>
>
> Glenn
>

1604. make an bc855xlt computer linked?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 00:19:03 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <XXM27.12$Eo2.91@news>
Source record: 63118
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I see alot of scanners that come linked but it seems like I saw something somewhere about making your scanner computer controllable.Any help would be appreciated.
Rob

1605. PRO 2004 Display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 00:26:47 UTC
From: Mark <MStanchin@CISnet.com>
Message-ID: <tkprh95p062bf7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63064

I have a PRO-2004 and the display was dim now it is out....What can I
do?....I hate to throw it out
it works so well and is in mint condition.

Mark

1606. Are you looking for a mint 780xlt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 00:39:32 UTC
From: BK <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B4BA0A4.B426C5AE@yahoo.com>
Source record: 63121
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://classifieds.yahoo.com/display/merchandise?ct_hft=detailnp&intl=&tot=1&cc=merchandise&cr=&search=cc%3Dmerchandise%26ce_login%3Dbruceinomaha%26za%3Dand%26cpcy%3D%26cr%3D%26cs%3D&cids=merchandise-0994617558-5570213&rpre=0&position0.x=33&position0.y=11

1607. FS: PRO-2016

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 01:49:54 UTC
From: Hit365@webtv.net
Message-ID: <13730-3B4BB0C2-31@storefull-293.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 63123

hi I have a pro-2016 new in the box
VHF/UHF/Aircraft 200--CHs

1608. comments on BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 02:16:01 UTC
From: Vincent Charron <charv@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <dyO27.22410$gb6.2425370@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 63122

Would anyone reccommend this scanner for it's general performance hooked up to a good base antenna in high intermod urban settings?

Vince
charv@ottawa.com

1609. Uniden SC-200 Programming Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 02:25:14 UTC
From: John Doe <me@me.com>
Message-ID: <eOO27.17044$mY2.1655391@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 63124

Programming software for the Uniden Sportcat SC-200

http://members.home.net/itssoftware/ez-scan.htm

--

Send money Instantly & Securely to anyone with an email address.
https://www.paypal.com/refer/pal=itssoftware%40home.com

1610. FS: Pro-92b

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 02:53:30 UTC
From: "George B." <kn6ep@lanset.com>
Message-ID: <3b4bc05f@monitor.lanset.com>
Source record: 63125

For sale. A six-month old R.S. Pro-92b in excellent condition (can't tell from new). All in package accessories and the original box. Shipped to lower 48 US for $180.

George
KN6EP
Sacramento

1611. Re: fried scanner use?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 10:45:00 UTC
From: Jake@world.net
Message-ID: <3B4C2E2C.3E08B580@world.net>
Source record: 63126

Use it as a paper weight - get the idea.

Unclejoe wrote:

> You forgot the most important part:
>
> like all electronics.
>
> AS IS
>
> "Chuck Cheese" <chuck@cheese.net> wrote in message
> news:MPG.15a8ce10a26efa839896da@news.earthlink.net...
> > In article <Qm9%6.400$Oo5.5052@news>, crandall@ee.net says...
> > > Is there any way to use a fried scanner?
> > >
> > Sell it on Ebay as "Out of the Box" "As New Condition". Describe
> > some great details that the scanner doesn't really have and sell
> > it for bundle!

1612. wintone error message (HELP)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 11:14:40 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b4c3367_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 63127

Every time i start wintone i get a error message"mmsyserr(32)error". Does anybody know why or how to fix it.Thanks

--
Shawn McKenna

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1613. antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 11:17:17 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b4c3403$1_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 63128

want to make a antenna for the 400mhz to 500mhz.My question is how long would the elements be and you many elements would i need? I also would like to make one for the 150mhz band. I have a few old tv antennas disassembled and ready to cut and put back together.
Thanks

--
Shawn McKenna

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1614. Re: comments on BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 13:24:29 UTC
From: wilfkelly@home.com
Message-ID: <680a2e7f.0107110524.79f93299@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63129

"Vincent Charron" <charv@ottawa.com> wrote in message
news:<dyO27.22410$gb6.2425370@news20.bellglobal.com>...
> Would anyone reccommend this scanner for it's general performance hooked up
> to a good base antenna in high intermod urban settings?
>
> Vince
> charv@ottawa.com

If you can get your hands on a Scanmaster tunable notch filter this
would help you a great deal.I live in Ottawa and had the same problem
and I found one of these tunable notch filters and it did the job.I
might be willing to sell it .Thanks

1615. Tip leads to arrest in Farmington ME theft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 14:50:52 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B4C68C8.B2047BF1@gte.net>
Source record: 63130
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Tuesday, July 10, 2001

Tip leads to arrest in Farmington theft

By BETTY JESPERSEN, Staff Writer

Copyright ï¿½ 2001 Blethen Maine Newspapers Inc.
E-mail this story to a friend

FARMINGTON ï¿½ A robbery suspect who police said took money from a cash register at Mr. Paperback on Wilton Road on Monday and then fled was arrested after being spotted by a vigilant West Farmington resident listening to her scanner.

Arrested on a charge of robbery, a Class B felony, was Shawn Griffen, 32, of Jay. The charge is a felony, because Griffen reportedly used force
to push his way past the clerk as he ran from the store, said Farmington

police Officer Christopher Chase.

Griffen is being held in the Franklin County jail with bail set at $25,000
cash or $50,000 worth of property. He is expected to be arraigned in Farmington District Court Tuesday.

Chase, who had been on patrol in the area, arrived at the bookstore in less
than a minute of the noontime call. When he realized the man had fled on

foot into the woods behind nearby Fyfe Road, he called for assistance.

A total of seven officers from Farmington and the Franklin County Sheriff's Department assisted in tracking the suspect.

Chase said he did not know the amount of money taken.

The cash was stolen after the sales clerk stepped away from the counter to help a male customer, later identified as a friend of Griffen's.

The clerk told police she saw a man at the cash box and rushed back, only
to have him run past her. She reportedly yelled and tried to block his way
with her arms, but he pushed her aside, Chase said.

The clerk followed him for a way on foot, and saw him rip off his shirt that

had a distinctive design on it and run into the woods.

About 45 minutes later, a West Farmington resident whose home is on Temple Road, north of Wilton Road, called police with a tip.

"Someone who was listening to the scanner saw a man meeting the description walking through the backyard and heading toward Maxwell's Market," the officer said.

Maxwell's is at the intersection of Temple Road, also known as Route 43,

and Town Farm Road.

Deputy John Black picked Griffen up. According to Chase, Griffen's friend was also stopped and questioned as he walked east on the Wilton Road towards downtown Farmington.

To reach Betty Jespersen

Phone: 778-6991

jespersen@mainewest.com
http://www.centralmaine.com/news/stories/010710bookstor.shtml

1616. Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 15:14:25 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B4C6E4D.C228067A@gte.net>
Source record: 63131
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

CNN NETWORKS:

Calling all ham radio operators

July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)

By Dan Verton

(IDG) -- The System Administration
Networking and Security (SANS)
Institute is recruiting amateur radio
operators to take part in an
emergency communications network
that it said could be used by disaster
relief personnel in the event of a
catastrophic failure of
telecommunications systems,
including the Internet.

In its weekly newsletter this week,
Bethesda, Md.-based SANS asked all
interested ham and packet radio
operators "to take a leadership role to
help establish and maintain" such an
emergency backup communications
network. Interested parties can contact
SANS, a research organization for
systems administrators and security managers,
via e-mail at info@sans.org.

There are approximately 600,000 amateur
radio operators in the U.S. and 2.5
million around the world. Ham radio operators,
as amateur radio operators are
popularly known, must obtain a license from the
U.S. Federal Communications
Commission and are encouraged to provide
emergency communications during
natural disasters and national emergencies.

Jim Haynie, president of the American Radio
Relay League (ARRL), a Newington, Conn.-based
organization that supports the interests of ham radio
operators in dealings with the government, said the

SANS proposal is a good one and in many ways similar to what amateur radio operators have been doing since the first licenses were issued in 1912.

"There is a network that can be marshaled if needed," said Haynie, referring to the potential of a terrorist attack or natural disaster to cripple large portions of the Internet and the telecommunications grid. "If the Internet went down today, it wouldn't change my life one bit," he added. "It's nothing for me to go in my ham shack and flip one switch and talk to New York or Bulgaria or Ukraine."

The ARRL estimates that there are about 275,000 "hardcore operators" who could swing into action if needed, Haynie said. "But to back up the entire infrastructure is a pretty tall order," he cautioned, noting that the use of ham radio operators in this fashion wouldn't mean the instant restoration of Web browsing capabilities or Internet e-mail capabilities.

Instead, Haynie said, the ham radio network would be strictly used for passing emergency voice and data communications between government officials at the local, state and federal levels and the public.

The ARRL is a signatory to several memorandums of understanding with various federal and private relief agencies, including the Federal Emergency Management Agency and the Red Cross. In fact, many of the ARRL's 175,000 members have actively supported federal emergency response operations during many of the most recent disasters and crises.

During the flooding that ravaged parts of Texas last month, for example, the FCC set aside a band of frequencies for use by ham radio operators to support federal relief efforts, Haynie said. Likewise, the radio operators were called into action after the 1995 blast that destroyed the Alfred P. Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City led to an overload of the cell phone network.

Alan Fedeli, director of emergency response services at Atlanta-based security software vendor Internet Security Systems Inc. (ISS),

applauded the approach suggested by SANS
and said any attempt to establish alternate
communications channels should be supported.

David Curry, manager of business strategies at ISS,
pointed to outbreaks of Internet and e-mail worms
as prime examples of the need for such an alternate
network. Many companies simply pull their systems
off the Internet when that happens in order to prevent
the worms from reaching them, according to Curry.

"People's reaction was to unplug the network," he
said, referring to several such incidents. "The
problem is that the people disconnected themselves from the
source of information about the problem."

RELATED STORIES:
ï¿½ Bulletin: 'Dangerous' Linux worm in the wild
March 23, 2001
ï¿½ Space station crew 'hams' it up ahead of cargo ship
visit
November 13, 2000

RELATED SITES:
ï¿½ System Administration Networking and Security
ï¿½ American Radio Relay League

http://www.cnn.com/2001/TECH/ptech/07/10/sans.ham.radio.idg/index.html

1617. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 16:05:05 UTC
From: Barry OGrady <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <s4uoktk3d6dnb2u5e86bfj21rm8kgs52bn@4ax.com>
Source record: 63132
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:

>
> CNN NETWORKS:
>
>
>
>Calling all ham radio operators
>
>July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
>
>By Dan Verton
>
>(IDG) -- The System Administration
>Networking and Security (SANS)
>Institute is recruiting amateur radio
>operators to take part in an
>emergency communications network
>that it said could be used by disaster
>relief personnel in the event of a
>catastrophic failure of
>telecommunications systems,
>including the Internet.

Give us a break!
Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
It has nothing to do with emergencies.

They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
Stay out of things you know nothing about.

-Barry
========
Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.

1618. Good trunk tracking mobile antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 16:55:28 UTC
From: PG <pgwb3ku@aol.com>
Message-ID: <4y%27.83$gj1.3918@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm putting a mobile scanner (trunk tracking) in my auto (it's legal in St. Louis.) and need recommendations for a good working mobile antenna for the 800 mhz band - direct or mag mount is okay.

Please reply here in the group

Thanks!

PG

1619. ICOM IC-R8500 - TV-R7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 17:17:03 UTC
From: jbeckett@NOSPAMstny.rr.com
Message-ID: <on1pkt4r6pa4uq4bekpd3b9bic37e5o15l@4ax.com>
Source record: 63134

Too many radios! Purchased earlier this year-
opened box, checked receiver out, back in box.
TV-R7100 never used.

All as new:
ICOM IC-R8500 receiver*
(*full coverage 100 kHz to 1999.99 MHz)
TV-R7100 TV/FM adapter
RS-R8500 Remote control software
SP-21 speaker
All boxed w/ manuals

$1,500 with TV-R7100 or $1,275 without.
TV-R7100 seperate- $225
Buyer pays shipping CONUS

Jim
KD2KU
Corning NY
jbeckett@stny.rr.com

1620. Re: Off Topic:William/Bill/Zachary Guinn - help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 17:37:18 UTC
From: Dougie Coull <doug@doug-coull.co.uk>
Message-ID: <nh3pktcjni1mebc54mu5nqvhuakbjoqim6@4ax.com>
Source record: 63135

Hi Folks,

I've just received an e-mail from Mr Guinn advising that he is sending
back my check.

On RECEIPT of the check I'll post to let everyone know.

Many thanks to all who offered support and also passed me details of
their own circumstances.

Cheers.

Regards,

Dougie

>>>>>>>> Douglas Coull, Langbank, Scotland
>>>>>>>> LAT 55.92445 N LONG 4.59753 W

>>>>>>> www.doug-coull.co.uk/
<<<<<<< www.milair.fsworld.co.uk/

.Join the Scottish Scanner Group - Scottish-Scanning-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
.Join the Pro-2042 Scanner Group - Pro-2042-subscribe@yahoogroups.com

1621. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 17:47:01 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15b630a0657245d198977f@news>
Source record: 63136
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

How would you know Barry?

In article <s4uoktk3d6dnb2u5e86bfj21rm8kgs52bn@4ax.com>,
billydingo@dingoblue.net.au says...
> On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
>
> >
> > CNN NETWORKS:
> >
> >
> >
> >Calling all ham radio operators
> >
> >July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
> >
> >By Dan Verton
> >
> >(IDG) -- The System Administration
> >Networking and Security (SANS)
> >Institute is recruiting amateur radio
> >operators to take part in an
> >emergency communications network
> >that it said could be used by disaster
> >relief personnel in the event of a
> >catastrophic failure of
> >telecommunications systems,
> >including the Internet.
>
> Give us a break!
> Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
> It has nothing to do with emergencies.
>
> They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
> Stay out of things you know nothing about.
>
>
> -Barry
> ========
> Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
> Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.
>

1622. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 17:50:29 UTC
From: Major Tom <EmailBrokenBy@home.com>
Message-ID: <FI037.331767$p33.6697329@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 63137
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Obviously you know nothing of what your talking about.
How about if you read back your last line to yourself and practice
what you preach. While your at it, try doing some research on
the subject matter. You just might learn something.

"Barry OGrady" <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
news:s4uoktk3d6dnb2u5e86bfj21rm8kgs52bn@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
>
>
> Give us a break!
> Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
> It has nothing to do with emergencies.
>
> They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
> Stay out of things you know nothing about.

1623. ICOM IC-R7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 18:05:02 UTC
From: Ed Mellnik <ed@emavideo.com>
Message-ID: <tkp5vigo57jb1e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63139

I have one incredibly clean IC-R7000. This radio covers 25mhz to
999.99mhz and 1025mhz to 2000mhz.
It is unblocked because it was made before blocking the 800mhz band was a
law.
It has many scanning perameters that you can set up, 100 memories.
It does not come with any of the options such as voice synth, remote or
Television adaptor.

I would like $550 for this unit. I will ship anywhere in the 48 states.
Picture available on request.

Ed
Portland Oregon

1624. Icom IC-R7000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 18:10:52 UTC
From: Ed Mellnik <ed@emavideo.com>
Message-ID: <tkp6149nm7o830@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63140

I have one incredibly clean IC-R7000. This radio covers 25mhz to
999.99mhz and 1025mhz to 2000mhz.
It is unblocked because it was made before blocking the 800mhz band was a
law.
It has many scanning perameters that you can set up, 100 memories.
It does not come with any of the options such as voice synth, remote or
Television adaptor.

I would like $550 for this unit. I will ship anywhere in the 48 states.
Picture available on request.

Ed
Portland Oregon

1625. GSM-decoding scanner/software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 18:40:16 UTC
From: R <trader@worldonline.se>
Message-ID: <3B4C9D90.29ED967A@worldonline.se>
Source record: 63141
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know if there are any scanners or computer software
that can decode transmissions between GSM mobile phones
into voice audio.
Last year a scanner "UBIK 2000" was for sale in Sweden,it
was bought in Asia,and the seller of this scanner said that
he could listen to GSM conversation with it,the price was
around 2500 US dollars.Has anyone heard of this scanner?
Or any other scanner on the market?

1626. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 18:53:49 UTC
From: "Br.Roull&#65533;" <borgbuster@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Ro037.493$Ac6.281916@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 63138
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"N9NWO" <2121d@gte.net> wrote in message news:3B4C6E4D.C228067A@gte.net...
>
> CNN NETWORKS:
>
>
>
> Calling all ham radio operators
>
> July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
>
> By Dan Verton
>
> (IDG) -- The System Administration
> Networking and Security (SANS)
> Institute is recruiting amateur radio
> operators to take part in an
> emergency communications network
> that it said could be used by disaster
> relief personnel in the event of a
> catastrophic failure of
> telecommunications systems,
> including the Internet.
>
> In its weekly newsletter this week,
> Bethesda, Md.-based SANS asked all
> interested ham and packet radio
> operators "to take a leadership role to
> help establish and maintain" such an
> emergency backup communications
> network. Interested parties can contact
> SANS, a research organization for
> systems administrators and security managers,
> via e-mail at info@sans.org.
>
> There are approximately 600,000 amateur
> radio operators in the U.S. and 2.5
> million around the world. Ham radio operators,
> as amateur radio operators are
> popularly known, must obtain a license from the
> U.S. Federal Communications
> Commission and are encouraged to provide
> emergency communications during

> natural disasters and national emergencies.
>
> Jim Haynie, president of the American Radio
> Relay League (ARRL), a Newington, Conn.-based
> organization that supports the interests of ham radio
> operators in dealings with the government, said the
> SANS proposal is a good one and in many ways
> similar to what amateur radio operators have been doing
> since the first licenses were issued in 1912.
>
> "There is a network that can be marshaled if needed,"
> said Haynie, referring to the potential of a terrorist
> attack or natural disaster to cripple large portions of
> the Internet and the telecommunications grid. "If the
> Internet went down today, it wouldn't change my life
> one bit," he added. "It's nothing for me to go in my
> ham shack and flip one switch and talk to New York
> or Bulgaria or Ukraine."
>
> The ARRL estimates that there are about
> 275,000 "hardcore operators" who could
> swing into action if needed, Haynie said. "But
> to back up the entire infrastructure is a pretty
> tall order," he cautioned, noting that the use
> of ham radio operators in this fashion
> wouldn't mean the instant restoration of Web
> browsing capabilities or Internet e-mail
> capabilities.
>
> Instead, Haynie said, the ham radio network
> would be strictly used for passing emergency
> voice and data communications between
> government officials at the local, state and
> federal levels and the public.
>
> The ARRL is a signatory to several
> memorandums of understanding with various
> federal and private relief agencies, including
> the Federal Emergency Management Agency
> and the Red Cross. In fact, many of the
> ARRL's 175,000 members have actively
> supported federal emergency response
> operations during many of the most recent
> disasters and crises.
>
> During the flooding that ravaged parts of
> Texas last month, for example, the FCC set
> aside a band of frequencies for use by ham
> radio operators to support federal relief
> efforts, Haynie said. Likewise, the radio

> operators were called into action after the
> 1995 blast that destroyed the Alfred P.
> Murrah Federal Building in Oklahoma City led
> to an overload of the cell phone network.
>
> Alan Fedeli, director of emergency response
> services at Atlanta-based security software
> vendor Internet Security Systems Inc. (ISS),
> applauded the approach suggested by SANS
> and said any attempt to establish alternate
> communications channels should be supported.
>
> David Curry, manager of business strategies at ISS,
> pointed to outbreaks of Internet and e-mail worms
> as prime examples of the need for such an alternate
> network. Many companies simply pull their systems
> off the Internet when that happens in order to prevent
> the worms from reaching them, according to Curry.
>
> "People's reaction was to unplug the network," he
> said, referring to several such incidents. "The
> problem is that the people disconnected themselves from the
> source of information about the problem."
>
> RELATED STORIES:
> . Bulletin: 'Dangerous' Linux worm in the wild
> March 23, 2001
> . Space station crew 'hams' it up ahead of cargo ship
> visit
> November 13, 2000
>
> RELATED SITES:
> . System Administration Networking and Security
> . American Radio Relay League
>
> http://www.cnn.com/2001/TECH/ptech/07/10/sans.ham.radio.idg/index.html
>

Sounds like re-inventing the wheel, doesn't it! :D

1627. Uniden Sportcat 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 19:08:57 UTC
From: jammtc2000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cd33b517.0107111108.79c45dd9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63142

In search of pinouts for the pc interface on the Sportcat end.
Any adventurous types out there who would like to make there own
interface cable? Cable is $15.27 from Uniden.

Thanks

Jodia

1628. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 19:12:14 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <iy137.328$2j2.86613@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 63143
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Not true, HAM radio is a serious form of communication around long before the internet and unlike the internet, it works
without the need for routers and hubs which fail and knock huge portions of the internet out, which we've all experienced.
Also, HAM radio is commercialism free, not dependent on cell phone outages etc.
On the other hand, I agree, HAM radio operators are usually overgrown toddlers who like to play "official" and play "important"
when they are mostly a bunch of pathetic mentally or defective freaks, but indeed they do serve a major important role when it
comes to emergencies which might be the only reason these pathetic people were sent to the earth in the first place, to be small
time heroes if only for a few days on their radios.

"Barry OGrady" <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
news:s4uoktk3d6dnb2u5e86bfj21rm8kgs52bn@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
>
> >
> > CNN NETWORKS:
> >
> >
> >
> >Calling all ham radio operators
> >
> >July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
> >
> >By Dan Verton
> >
> >(IDG) -- The System Administration
> >Networking and Security (SANS)
> >Institute is recruiting amateur radio
> >operators to take part in an
> >emergency communications network
> >that it said could be used by disaster
> >relief personnel in the event of a
> >catastrophic failure of
> >telecommunications systems,
> >including the Internet.
>
> Give us a break!
> Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
> It has nothing to do with emergencies.
>

> They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
> Stay out of things you know nothing about.
>
>
> -Barry
> ========
> Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
> Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.

1629. Is this a Moderated Group???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 19:30:04 UTC
From: Denis <bear105NO@SPAMyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B4CA944.51E8BFC9@SPAMyahoo.com>
Source record: 63144

I just received notification from my ISP that I "spammed" this newsgroup by posting a For Sale message recently. Is this against the rules for this newsgroup?? When did this group become moderated and who is the moderator? If this group IS moderated why haven't the rules been posted recently? ANd can the rules now be posted?
I am quite concerned over this as I can lose access to my ISP for something like this.

Thanks, Denis

mailto:scan@medievalbear.com

1630. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 19:40:53 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3B4CABC4.344FF153@psu.edu>
Source record: 63145
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Sounds like someone just figured out what hams do all the time
naturally, and without a whole lot of pre-organization.

- Mike -

N9NWO wrote:
>
> CNN NETWORKS:
>
> Calling all ham radio operators
>
> July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
>
> By Dan Verton
>
> (IDG) -- The System Administration
> Networking and Security (SANS)
> Institute is recruiting amateur radio
> operators to take part in an
> emergency communications network
> that it said could be used by disaster
> relief personnel in the event of a
> catastrophic failure of
> telecommunications systems,
> including the Internet.
>

1631. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 19:41:45 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <ZZ137.596$6F1.15083@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 63146
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

In rec.radio.scanner Barry OGrady <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote:

> Give us a break!
> Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
> It has nothing to do with emergencies.

> They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
> Stay out of things you know nothing about.

Hi, Horsefucker,

You're back trolling again?

At least you haven't posted more "mods" that destroy scanners.

plonk

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dimensional.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

1632. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 19:46:41 UTC
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <3B4CAD21.4055AAE2@zylay.com>
Source record: 63048
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

> SNIP SNIP SNIP
> >
> >Give us a break!
> >Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
> >It has nothing to do with emergencies.
> >
> >They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
> >Stay out of things you know nothing about.
> >
> >
> >-Barry
> >========
>
> To paraphrase my sainted Grandmother, who had an apt phrase for every
> occasion: "What an ass-hole"

He obviously wasn't either in Miami during Andrew, or even North Fl
during the Mar '93 "Storm of the Century"

1633. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 19:48:13 UTC
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <3B4CAD7D.C5817631@zylay.com>
Source record: 63049
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

> Not true, HAM radio is a serious form of communication around long before the internet and unlike the internet, it works
> without the need for routers and hubs which fail and knock huge portions of the internet out, which we've all experienced.
> Also, HAM radio is commercialism free, not dependent on cell phone outages etc.
> On the other hand, I agree, HAM radio operators are usually overgrown toddlers who like to play "official" and play "important"
> when they are mostly a bunch of pathetic mentally or defective freaks, but indeed they do serve a major important role when it
> comes to emergencies which might be the only reason these pathetic people were sent to the earth in the first place, to be small
> time heroes if only for a few days on their radios.

What a troll. I suppose you feel the same about volunteer firefighters
and Red Cross workers too?

> "Barry OGrady" <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message news:s4uoktk3d6dnb2u5e86bfj21rm8kgs52bn@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > > CNN NETWORKS:
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > >Calling all ham radio operators
> > >
> > > >July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
> > >
> > > >By Dan Verton
> > >
> > > >(IDG) -- The System Administration
> > > >Networking and Security (SANS)
> > > >Institute is recruiting amateur radio
> > > >operators to take part in an
> > > >emergency communications network
> > > >that it said could be used by disaster
> > > >relief personnel in the event of a
> > > >catastrophic failure of
> > > >telecommunications systems,
> > > >including the Internet.
> > >
> > > Give us a break!

> > Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
> > It has nothing to do with emergencies.
> >
> > They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
> > Stay out of things you know nothing about.
> >
> >
> > -Barry
> > ========
> > Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
> > Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.

1634. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 19:48:21 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <94237.126708$mG4.62850525@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 63047

I love a cable fight...

Most RG8 is lower loss than most RG6 at all frequencies and for most manufacturers and models.

Belden 9913 and Times Microwave LMR-400 are comparable to each other, both are better than RG8. LMR-400 is slightly less lossy than 9913. Belden 9913 is rather stiff and hard to work with, LMR400 less so. LMR-400 can be bent around a tighter corner than 9913. 9913 has a semi-hollow insulation. As a result, connections must be carefully sealed to avoid water infiltration.

RG6 is often suggested because it's considerably cheaper than RG8. The longer the cable run and the higher the frequency, the better quality cable you should use. If you do much 800/900 Mhz listening and your cable run is over 20 feet I'd urge you to consider good quality RG8 or better. RG6, the "quad shield" variety, can be used in a pinch. RG58 / RG59 have fairly high losses at 200 Mhz and up and should be avoided when possible. If you are shopping for RG8 look for foam insulation, 100 percent combined foil and braid shielding and a solid copper center conductor.

The impedance (Ohms) of the cable is not a factor for receive only work. A "U" designator means the cable jacket is designed for underground burial.

I've found that radio shack cables tend not to hold up as well in direct exposure to sunlight as compared to Belden cable. All RG8 is not the same, the best Belden RG8 has uhf/800 loss factors which are lower than radio shack cables. Use as few adaptors as possible at all connection points, they also create signal loss. Use good quality "N" connectors whenever possible. If you can't use "N", use "BNC". If you can't use "BNC", use "F" video connectors. If you can't use "F" video connectors, use PL-259 ("coaxial) connectors. PL-259's aren't terrible, but they were only designed to work well up to 500 Mhz. At 800/900 Mhz they also become "lossy".

>Have you ever looked at a coaxial loss chart? If you did you would
>realize RG-8 made by anyone SUCKS for VHF/UHF on anything but short runs.
>RG-6 double or triple shield is one of the best bargains and coax for the
>scanner listener. Do the research! (Glen Hansen)

-This is totally wrong. RG6, on average, has slightly HIGHER loss rates than RG8. RG6 isn't terrible, and as he points out, it is cheaper, but it is NOT better cable to use. Glen's chart compares RG8X, which is a half-diameter cable, it isn't RG8 or RG8U. In truth, you might not notice the difference between RG6 and RG8, but to say that RG8 "sucks" in comparison to RG6 is wild mis-information, it's actually slightly better.

www.belden.com

Belden 8215 = RG6 Video Cable has 9.8 Db's loss at 1000 MHz per 100 Ft
Belden 1152a = RG6 Quad shield has 7.1 Db's loss at 1000 Mhz per 100 Ft
(this stuff isnt cheap, either)
Belden 8214 = RG8 Foam Low Loss has 7.0 Db's loss at 1000 Mhz per 100 Ft
Belden 9913 cable has 4.5 Db's loss at 1000 Mhz per 100 Ft
Times LMR400 cable has 3.9 Db's loss at 900 Mhz per 100 Ft

Times microwave shows military cable M17/2, RG6 equivalent, as having 9.4 Db loss at 1000 Mhz per 100 feet as "typical".

Even when we go to "pro" cables like Andrews 1/2 inch foam FSJ4-50B, 1000 Mhz at 100 feet shows 3.6 Db loss, and this stuff isnt easy to work with or string.

It's not fair to toss out charts when the manufacturers are not the same. Not all manufacturer's products are comparable. And even within one manufacturer different product lines will exhibit different loss rates. I would find suspect someone who tells you their RG6 cable has a loss rate of 6.1 Db's at 1000 Mhz per 100 feet, unless it's highly specialized RG6. And then it's going to cost about the same as RG8, so no real saving. I checked a half dozen quad shield rg6 manufacturers pages on the web, the average loss for RG6 at 1000 Mhz I found was about 7.5 Db's for 100 feet.

Happy scanning! - Ted Moran / CARMA

In article <20010702204830.17040.00002024@ng-fe1.aol.com>, ottoxxx@aol.com (OTTOXXX) wrote:

> Can someone help me with my choice of coax for an external vhf / uhf scanner
> antenna?
> Should I go with the RG-8?
> And what does the 'U' designate? RG-8 vs RG-8U?
> TIA
>

1635. Wanted - Optolinx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 20:01:21 UTC
From: Dougie Coull <doug@doug-coull.co.uk>
Message-ID: <a4cpkt4k6ghofgb393ijctniha8rhq7aei@4ax.com>
Source record: 63050

Hi Folks,

In view of my recent disappointment, if anyone has one for sale at a
reasonable price, I'd be pleased to hear from them.

Many thanks.

Cheers.

Regards,

Dougie

>>>>>>>> Douglas Coull, Langbank, Scotland
>>>>>>>> LAT 55.92445 N LONG 4.59753 W

>>>>>>> www.doug-coull.co.uk/
<<<<<<< www.milair.fsworld.co.uk/

.Join the Scottish Scanner Group - Scottish-Scanning-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
.Join the Pro-2042 Scanner Group - Pro-2042-subscribe@yahoogroups.com

1636. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 20:02:03 UTC
From: Mark <trunker@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <%g237.1460$Cu2.177333@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63051
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"Me" <@.> wrote in message news:iy137.328$2j2.86613@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...

>... when they are mostly a bunch of pathetic mentally or defective freaks,
but indeed they do serve a major important role when it
> comes to emergencies which might be the only reason these pathetic people
were sent to the earth in the first place, to be small
> time heroes if only for a few days on their radios.

I can tell you know nothing about hams and how they enjoy their
hobbie. There are way more 'mentally defective freaks' that use this
newsgroup that won't have a clue to what's going on when the shit does hit
the fan.

> "Barry OGrady" <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
news:s4uoktk3d6dnb2u5e86bfj21rm8kgs52bn@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > CNN NETWORKS:
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Calling all ham radio operators
> > >
> > >July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
> > >
> > >By Dan Verton
> > >
> > >(IDG) -- The System Administration

1637. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 20:12:26 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <Kq237.597$6F1.15023@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 63052

Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com> wrote:
> I love a cable fight...

:-) At least it's an on-topic item...

[...]
> I've found that radio shack cables tend not to hold up as well in direct
> exposure to sunlight as compared to Belden cable. All RG8 is not the same,
[...]

The last sentence is the crux of the matter. For example, One of the antenna toys I get to play with is a 60 foot parabolic antenna on a government site. As is usually the case with govt sites, the area is strewn with discarded equipment, including some old RG-8. I needed some braid for a ground strap so I grabbed a length and found multiple layer braid shields over dielectric that had survived pretty harsh sunlight.

Clearly this was NOT your Radio Shack RG-8. I bet when it was new it could beat out today's Belden 9913/flex as well in terms of lossiness. (The Belden has better shielding, though.)

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dimensional.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

1638. Re: Is this a Moderated Group???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 20:33:45 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9iid7e$8av$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63053

With the general lack of traffic in this group it's amazing that anyone
would complain about one for-sale message, but someone did.
Obviously someone in serious need of better things to do.

Don't worry about the notice though, especially from @home.
When they receive a complaint their system automatically spits out
a warning. There's no way you will lose your account unless you're
doing mass mailings of spam.

"Denis" <bear105NO@SPAMyahoo.com> wrote in message news:3B4CA944.51E8BFC9@SPAMyahoo.com...
} I just received notification from my ISP that I "spammed" this newsgroup
} by posting a For Sale message recently. Is this against the rules for
} this newsgroup?? When did this group become moderated and who is the
} moderator? If this group IS moderated why haven't the rules been posted
} recently? ANd can the rules now be posted?
} I am quite concerned over this as I can lose access to my ISP for
} something like this.
}
} Thanks, Denis
}
} mailto:scan@medievalbear.com
}

1639. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 20:36:18 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9iidbg$9v9$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63054
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Man oh man, that guy "me" really missed his calling.
He would make a very good dummy load.

Dan/W4NTI

"Gene" <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote in message
news:3B4CAD7D.C5817631@zylay.com...
> > Not true, HAM radio is a serious form of communication around long
before the internet and unlike the internet, it works
> > without the need for routers and hubs which fail and knock huge portions
of the internet out, which we've all experienced.
> > Also, HAM radio is commercialism free, not dependent on cell phone
outages etc.
> > On the other hand, I agree, HAM radio operators are usually overgrown
toddlers who like to play "official" and play "important"
> > when they are mostly a bunch of pathetic mentally or defective freaks,
but indeed they do serve a major important role when it
> > comes to emergencies which might be the only reason these pathetic
people were sent to the earth in the first place, to be small
> > time heroes if only for a few days on their radios.
>
> What a troll. I suppose you feel the same about volunteer firefighters
> and Red Cross workers too?
>
> > "Barry OGrady" <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
news:s4uoktk3d6dnb2u5e86bfj21rm8kgs52bn@4ax.com...
> > > On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > CNN NETWORKS:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Calling all ham radio operators
> > > >
> > > >July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
> > > >
> > > >By Dan Verton
> > > >
> > > >(IDG) -- The System Administration
> > > >Networking and Security (SANS)
> > > >Institute is recruiting amateur radio
> > > >operators to take part in an

> > > >emergency communications network
> > > >that it said could be used by disaster
> > > >relief personnel in the event of a
> > > >catastrophic failure of
> > > >telecommunications systems,
> > > >including the Internet.
> > >
> > > Give us a break!
> > > Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
> > > It has nothing to do with emergencies.
> > >
> > > They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
> > > Stay out of things you know nothing about.
> > >
> > >
> > > -Barry
> > > ========
> > > Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
> > > Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.

1640. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 21:43:31 UTC
From: joebramblett@drunkenbard.com
Message-ID: <394388FFB40A4B75.9E2E8E072E95A527.54D3355119CF53CE@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 63055
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 19:41:45 GMT, "Eric F. Richards"
<efricha@flatland.dimensional.com> wrote:

>In rec.radio.scanner Barry OGrady <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote:
>
>> Give us a break!
>> Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
>> It has nothing to do with emergencies.
>
>> They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
>> Stay out of things you know nothing about.
>
>Hi, Horsefucker,

What do you have against horses? All of them that I've met have far
too much class to associate with his type.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
joebramblett@drunkenbard.com
http://www.drunkenbard.com

1641. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 21:48:57 UTC
From: joebramblett@drunkenbard.com
Message-ID: <E796B9C18EF3C466.89E1F0413A3421E9.58B09BA1DD7CCBD5@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 63056
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:40:53 -0400, mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote:

> Sounds like someone just figured out what hams do all the time
>naturally, and without a whole lot of pre-organization.

Actually, it sounds more like someone's trying to get yet another
group together that won't be properly coordinated with the existing
emergency comm groups.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
joebramblett@drunkenbard.com
http://www.drunkenbard.com

1642. WTB: CE-232 or Opto456 interface for Pro-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 22:23:15 UTC
From: Butch Butler in SC <bbutler@home.com>
Message-ID: <nl437.33840$B5.7318518@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 63057

These things are scarce as hen's teeth.....if you have one you're willing to part with, or know someone who does, please let me know...Thanks.

Butch in SC

1643. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 22:24:38 UTC
From: Offbreed@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <d3d158d1.0107111424.38e88e30@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63058
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"Br.Roull? <borgbuster@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<Ro037.493$Ac6.281916@news.uswest.net>...
>
> Sounds like re-inventing the wheel, doesn't it! :D

Good reminder and recruitmant publicity, anyway. They might be having
trouble attracting new members now youngsters have computers to play
with.

Reminder to non ham lurkers: Radio can only be knocked out locally,
unless someone pops a nuke in low earth orbit, virus writers have come
close to taking out the net with just a few lines of code and
governments are working on ways to shut the net down, besides.

How old is the American Radio Relay League? Pushing 100?

1644. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 22:38:07 UTC
From: msimon@xta.com
Message-ID: <3b4cd48a.16642435@news.inwave.com>
Source record: 63059
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

>Give us a break!
>Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
>It has nothing to do with emergencies.

Ham operators have been dealing with communications in emergencies at least since the '50s in America.

The police often use them when the phone system is down since police radios are of a local nature.

Either you are a troll or you are displaying your ignorance.

I prefer to think you are a troll. You may come to your own conclusion.

M. Simon Space-Time Productions http://www.spacetimepro.com
Free CNC Machine Control Software
Free Source Code
Control the World From a Parallel Port

1645. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 22:40:58 UTC
From: Lawrence Glickman <lglickman@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <p6lpkt0r56mtaltbbpurrmjvlriq73pqji@4ax.com>
Source record: 63060
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Thu, 12 Jul 2001 02:05:05 +1000, Barry OGrady
<billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote:
>Give us a break!
>Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
>It has nothing to do with emergencies.
>
>They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
>Stay out of things you know nothing about.
>
>
>-Barry

Actually, it was my interest in Amateur Radio that started my
electronics service technician Career.

At which I worked for 30 years, making a decent living and providing
much needed services to communities all over (in electronics, not
radio).

Amateur Radio, for some, is much more technical than throwing a switch
and yapping into a microphone.

So maybe I stared -out- as a kid interested in gizmos and knobs and
dials, but my involvement with HAM actually lead to something useful
and productive, as, I am sure, is the case with many ARO's.

Then again, I agree that some people shouldn't be allowed on the
Amateur Bands, as I observe, some people shouldn't be allowed on
Usenet.

Lg
a.k.a. KD9OZ

1646. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 22:50:47 UTC
From: ron@fc.hp.com
Message-ID: <9iil87$6u4$2@fcnews.fc.hp.com>
Source record: 63090
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Joe Bramblett (joebramblett@drunkenbard.com) wrote:

: Actually, it sounds more like someone's trying to get yet another
: group together that won't be properly coordinated with the existing
: emergency comm groups.

Maybe it's someone completely ignorant of the existence of the
pre-existing groups announcing that they will invent a wheel?

Ron
(29 years in the hobby)

1647. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 23:22:49 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3B4CDFC9.D79A8EF0@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 63061

All real RG cables are "U"

It designates them as conforming to the Universal system of numbering cables. Even tiny little cables intended only for interconnection inside equipment carry the "U" suffix - for example RG-174/U.

All approved Co-ax Connectors also have a "U" attached for the same reason. Ie. UG-296/U (SO-239) and UG-295/U (PL-259) which most assuredly were not intended for Underground burial.

Some cables carry the label "RG-XX type" which means they are something like a real RG-XX but do not necessarily meet the real RG spec. A good example is some of the "RG-8 type" stuff around. The RG-8 specification was replaced with RG-213 something like a quarter of a century ago.

If you want underground cable check the manufacturers catalog for those which are designed for burial. Remember there are all sorts of variations on a theme - for example RG-58/U comes in A, B, C, etc. versions depending on the type of conductor, shield, insulation and jacket.

Dave

Rob Crandall wrote:
>
> The U I believe stands for underground which you only need if you were going
> to bury it.Also Dev has the bomb of using shielded so that if you cross over
> any electrical wires and such you don't get a bunch of crappy feedback and
> defeat the purpose.
> Enjoy
> Rob
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> news:MPG.15aaed6b514cdbc798976a@news...
> > In article <20010702204830.17040.00002024@ng-fe1.aol.com>,
> > ottoxxx@aol.com says...
> > > Can someone help me with my choice of coax for an external vhf / uhf
> scanner
> > > antenna?
> > > Should I go with the RG-8?
> > > And what does the 'U' designate? RG-8 vs RG-8U?
> > >
> >
> > How long of a run do you have between the radio and antenna?
> >
> >
> > I would recommend Times microwave LMR-400 if you want the closest thing

> > to hardline at coax cost. :-) OTOH if you aren't out to capture every
> > last electron possible just great solid local reception I would go with
> > some RG6 double or triple shield. Not quite as good as the LMR stuff but
> > far better, hands down than RG8. I use both and have dumped the RG8 crud
> > forever.
> >
> >
> >
> > Glenn
> >

1648. FA: Icom R1 Wideband Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-11 23:53:01 UTC
From: B&#65533;ian <bhall7@email.com>
Message-ID: <9iioo7$u9q$1@acs2.byu.edu>
Source record: 63062
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,utah.forsale

For auction on eBay: Icom R1 communications receiver. Covers 100 Khz - 1300 Mhz and receives in FM, WFM, and AM modes. Compact unit in excellent condition.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1254624180

1649. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 00:04:25 UTC
From: phantom <tank63@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <dQ537.1203$HR3.364248204@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 63063
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

>
> Give us a break!
> Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
> It has nothing to do with emergencies.
>
> They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
> Stay out of things you know nothing about.
>
>

Ah begorah, 'tis true that the troll O'Grady must have his SWR at 4 to 1.
Mehbee he needs to be taugt a wee little lesson from the AMATEUR leprechaun.

Tom KB5YUE

1650. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 00:42:14 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <kcspktgr9iltkop8c6dmq5pd6sfqnlkocv@4ax.com>
Source record: 63065
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

joebramblett@drunkenbard.com (Joe Bramblett) wrote:

> On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 19:41:45 GMT, "Eric F. Richards"
> <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com> wrote:
>
> >In rec.radio.scanner Barry OGrady <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote:
> >
> >> Give us a break!
> >> Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
> >> It has nothing to do with emergencies.
> >
> >> They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
> >> Stay out of things you know nothing about.
> >
> >Hi, Horsefucker,
>
> What do you have against horses? All of them that I've met have far
> too much class to associate with his type.
>

Barry OGrady (sic) is a long-time troll and bomb thrower. The perfect
example of an Ugly Australian. One of the times he gave a bullshit
answer he signed himself:

> Barry
> ========
> Fax number: +1 (775) 415 3154
> Web page: http://members.dingoblue.net.au/~barryog
> Atheist information, Scanner frequency list, Horse mating pictures

Now I didn't want to look at any pictures of him mating with a horse,
but that was his thrill.

He has occasionally given intentionally incorrect advice that would
damage or destroy a scanner.

Just *plonk* him.

>
> Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
> joebramblett@drunkenbard.com
> http://www.drunkenbard.com

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com

"The banks would be bankrupt if they ever tried to write off all their bad loans,
and the government is full of octagenarians with bladder problems who are too tied
in to the special interests to do anything bold or creative."
- http://www.time.com/time/nation/article/0,8599,102490,00.html

1651. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 01:27:34 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <a2737.1460$FS1.464729@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 63069
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Ironic because I exactly feel the same about them too!
Fat toothless and unable to get a real fireman's job so has to volunteer!

> What a troll. I suppose you feel the same about volunteer firefighters
> and Red Cross workers too?

1652. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 01:30:39 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <35737.1461$FS1.467047@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 63070
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Got to a ham radio show and look at all the freaks.
Man, you've got wheelchairs running all around, people with half
their heads and faces missin, arms blown off, legs up their butts
and if you take a good smell, apparently allot of them have a problem
shittin on themselves. You cannot tell me that hams are a freakshow, or
maybe the HAM shows I've attented for the last 10 years was just for freaks?
The reason HAM's become HAM's is that they usually need something to do with
their lives as a form of social contact. If you are missing half your face, or you crap
your
pants, chances are you are not going to be very social, thus you become a HAM.
Oh but now, look at us, we are doing the same things HAM's are doing. I wonder if ur
a freak! I know I am! :)

"Mark" <trunker@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:%g237.1460$Cu2.177333@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Me" <@.> wrote in message news:iy137.328$2j2.86613@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
>
> >... when they are mostly a bunch of pathetic mentally or defective freaks,
> but indeed they do serve a major important role when it
> > comes to emergencies which might be the only reason these pathetic people
> were sent to the earth in the first place, to be small
> > time heroes if only for a few days on their radios.
>
> I can tell you know nothing about hams and how they enjoy their
> hobbie. There are way more 'mentally defective freaks' that use this
> newsgroup that won't have a clue to what's going on when the shit does hit
> the fan.
>
>
> > "Barry OGrady" <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
> news:s4uoktk3d6dnb2u5e86bfj21rm8kgs52bn@4ax.com...
> > > On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > CNN NETWORKS:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Calling all ham radio operators
> > > >
> > > >July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
> > > >

> > > >By Dan Verton
> > > >
> > > >(IDG) -- The System Administration
>
>
>

1653. Re: antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 01:30:43 UTC
From: "R.Young" <rychard@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <mctpkt8457mpg0fsvk1i1g51vrlij922vs@4ax.com>
Source record: 63067

Hi,
Try consulting your local library,and look for copies
of the ARRL's"The Radio Amateur's Handbook".An antenna for
440 MHz Amateur Radio band is almost exactly what you are looking
for.But these can be made in a lot of different ways.As simple as a
vertical dipole,or a ground-plane(both omni-directional). Or a multi
element beam, quad,yagi,etc.for directional use,with maybe a rotator.
The equation for the length of a dipole, is length(in feet) =468/MHz.
So for a 450 MHz Vertical dipole.Take a 1.04 ft long piece of
wire,snip it in the middle,attach your feed line there.Tape or tack it
to the wall verticaly,try to keep the feedline/coax at a right angle
to the antenna,and you have a 450 MHz vertical
dipole(omni-directional),ready to go.Almost free.
Of course,for distance,you will need height,as much as possible.
Dean
KR4IU

On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 06:17:17 -0500, "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
wrote:

>want to make a antenna for the 400mhz to 500mhz.My question is how long
>would the elements be and you many elements would i need? I also would like
>to make one for the 150mhz band. I have a few old tv antennas disassembled
>and ready to cut and put back together.
>Thanks

1654. Re: antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 01:37:16 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b4cfd92$1_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 63068

Thanks that should work
"R.Young" <rychard@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:mctpkt8457mpg0fsvk1i1g51vrlij922vs@4ax.com...
> Hi,
> Try consulting your local library,and look for copies
> of the ARRL's"The Radio Amateur's Handbook".An antenna for
> 440 MHz Amateur Radio band is almost exactly what you are looking
> for.But these can be made in a lot of different ways.As simple as a
> vertical dipole,or a ground-plane(both omni-directional). Or a multi
> element beam, quad,yagi,etc.for directional use,with maybe a rotator.
> The equation for the length of a dipole, is length(in feet) =468/MHz.
> So for a 450 MHz Vertical dipole.Take a 1.04 ft long piece of
> wire,snip it in the middle,attach your feed line there.Tape or tack it
> to the wall verticaly,try to keep the feedline/coax at a right angle
> to the antenna,and you have a 450 MHz vertical
> dipole(omni-directional),ready to go.Almost free.
> Of course,for distance,you will need height,as much as possible.
> Dean
> KR4IU
>
> On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 06:17:17 -0500, "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
> wrote:
>
> >want to make a antenna for the 400mhz to 500mhz.My question is how long
> >would the elements be and you many elements would i need? I also would
like
> >to make one for the 150mhz band. I have a few old tv antennas
disassembled
> >and ready to cut and put back together.
> >Thanks
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1655. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 02:05:19 UTC
From: Edward A Slimak <sparksw4eas@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3B4D05DF.57@mindspring.com>
Source record: 63071
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

WHY DONT YOU FOLKS LOOK AT YOUR "TROLL_O_METER" FIRST? Mine is pegged!
:-) Sparks W4EAS

1656. AOR AR8200IIB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 02:19:06 UTC
From: RST <abuse@aol.com>
Message-ID: <9iitr3$o3j$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 63066

I am considering buying an AOR AR8200IIB, and would like to know if this is a good radio, or are there any problems with it? Does anyone have this radio or have used it? I would appreciate any advice.

1657. Pro-2050 Mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 02:19:27 UTC
From: Bellsouth <onewebb@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <oH737.97015$HJ1.2576213@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 63072

Anyone know if there are any mods that can be done to the pro-2050 trunking scanner? I was told that it can be made to receive signals under the 30 mhz floor.

1658. Re: antenna question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 02:44:02 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <k7837.21811$vA6.1014061@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 63074

> want to make a antenna for the 400mhz to 500mhz.My question is how long
> would the elements be and you many elements would i need? I also would
like
> to make one for the 150mhz band. I have a few old tv antennas disassembled
> and ready to cut and put back together.

Use this on line calculator:

http://bfn.org/~bn589/antenna.html

Roland

1659. Re: PRO 2004 Display

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 03:25:00 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010711232500.19465.00003196@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 63075

>..What can I do?<

Check this web site,
http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/Backlight.html

Rob Mills ~~~

I never met a radio I didn't like - Will Rogers
(You don't know, He might have)

1660. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 03:52:56 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <sa937.1893$FS1.581046@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 63078
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Am I trolling? I thought I was just being honest as I really don't care to get a reaction. I just enjoy speaking freely
as I sure the hell can't get away with it in real life. Free speech on the internet is a godsend. Instead of holding all my feelings
inside I can blow them out, no matter how nasty. Better to speak freely than to run around shooting people freely in my frustration
of life in general. I think the internet is very therapeutic.

"Edward A Slimak" <sparksw4eas@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3B4D05DF.57@mindspring.com...
> WHY DONT YOU FOLKS LOOK AT YOUR "TROLL_O_METER" FIRST? Mine is pegged!
> :-) Sparks W4EAS

1661. NYPD Helicopter freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 04:06:43 UTC
From: xeno194 <xeno194@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <2k937.30144$rh.643564@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 63076

Can anyone help me. Im looking for the NYPD helicopter freqs. Thank you in advance.

--
Mike Xeno
www.mikexeno.com

1662. Re: Pro-51

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 04:08:39 UTC
From: John Smith <jaked2k@mail.com>
Message-ID: <vl937.28146$as2.1606826@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 63077

hey mate... its illegal... ;)

"Peter Baran" <pbaran@sk.sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:3qM27.1731$Tk.37945379@tomcat.sk.sympatico.ca...
> I recently purchased a Pro-46 on ebay for $70. It has the exact same "Test
> Mods" as the Pro-51. Using the "test" function, I was able to program in
all
> 50, or so Cell freqs that are active in my town. No screwdriver, no
> soldering iron!
> I'm assuming that people are buying the Pro-51 to be able to listen to
cell.
>
> I must add, at this point, that I live in Canada, & it IS NOT ILLEGAL to
> listen to those freqs here (so far!).
>
>
> KC8BQR <hgtsrecycling@peoplepc.com> wrote in message
> news:3b487884@flexnet239.uunt.net...
> What is the big deal with the RS Pro-51?? So many people on some of the
> message boards want to trade off newer equipment for one of these. The
mods
> are not all that big of deal according to some web sites as they only give
> "test" mods...any input??
>
>
>

1663. Re: AOR AR8200IIB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 04:22:47 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010712002247.16830.00006604@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 63079

>I am considering buying an AOR AR8200IIB, and would like to know if this
>is a good radio, or are there any problems with it? Does anyone have
>this radio or have used it? I would appreciate any advice.

This is a very fine, very sophisticated high end radio receiver. It is as close to a professional grade portable as we will get for the price range.

It is very complicated to operate and NOT for the beginner.

Dan
N2TYB
"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

1664. Uniden BC 890XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 04:43:50 UTC
From: p_carpenter <pcarpenter@charter.net>
Message-ID: <tkqboqbi47j726@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 63080

$100 PLUS SHIPPING. Phil 413 323 4088 or pcarpenter@charter.net

1665. Re: comments on BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 05:11:04 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010712011104.19473.00003137@ng-ff1.aol.com>
Source record: 63081

>Would anyone reccommend this scanner for it's general performance hooked up to a good base antenna in high intermod urban settings<

While I don't have a external antenna, I am almost downtown Tulsa Ok (a bigger city than most think) and the 895 does as well as my 2004 and 2006. I have no problems with any of them. Several other scanners including a Pro-34 were worthless in my location.

Rob Mills ~~~

I never met a radio I didn't like - Will Rogers
(You don't know, He might have)

1666. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 05:21:30 UTC
From: xxx <xxx@xxx.com>
Message-ID: <uta37.157905$Mf5.42902435@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 63082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,
For what it's worth, SANS is a very low key US Government sanctioned organization that educates companies on how to defend against "information warfare". Their courses on how to "catch" hackers are given by some of the best analysts in the US military. One SANS course I attended had 250 people from all over the world including government agencies from other countries. Bill Clinton called in SANS when he wanted advice on how to prevent denial of service attacks like those that crippled e-bay and Amazon.

If SANS wants ham radio operators for emergency ops, you can bet that they are extremely serious about setting something up. They have a web site at http://www.sans.org .

"Offbreed" <Offbreed@my-deja.com> wrote in message
news:d3d158d1.0107111424.38e88e30@posting.google.com...
> "Br.Roull? <borgbuster@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<Ro037.493$Ac6.281916@news.uswest.net>...
> >
> > Sounds like re-inventing the wheel, doesn't it! :D
>
> Good reminder and recruitmant publicity, anyway. They might be having
> trouble attracting new members now youngsters have computers to play
> with.
>
> Reminder to non ham lurkers: Radio can only be knocked out locally,
> unless someone pops a nuke in low earth orbit, virus writers have come
> close to taking out the net with just a few lines of code and
> governments are working on ways to shut the net down, besides.
>
> How old is the American Radio Relay League? Pushing 100?

1667. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 06:06:31 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <ngfqktsj31b9qf505nos4v5fvdu2cl7i10@4ax.com>
Source record: 63083
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:46:41 -0400, Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
wrote:

>> SNIP SNIP SNIP
>> >
>> >Give us a break!
>> >Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
>> >It has nothing to do with emergencies.
>> >
>> >They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
>> >Stay out of things you know nothing about.
>> >
>> >
>> >-Barry
>> >========
>>
>> To paraphrase my sainted Grandmother, who had an apt phrase for every
>> occasion: "What an ass-hole"
>
>He obviously wasn't either in Miami during Andrew, or even North Fl
>during the Mar '93 "Storm of the Century"

He knows so little about hams that the difference between what he
knows and absolute zero is not measurable.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

"The Constitution admittedly has a few defects and blemishes, but it still
seems a hell of a lot better than the system we have now."

Robert Anton

1668. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 06:09:59 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <Xab37.127719$mG4.63728717@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 63085

Radio shack RG6:

RG-6 Coaxial Cable
(150-1548) Specifications Faxback Doc. # 31137

Center Conductor.....18 AWG Solid Bare Copper, OD 0.0403
Insulation.....FPE, OD 0.178 +/- 0.002, Nominal Wall Thickness 0.068
Shield.....100% Aluminum Polypropylene, Aluminum Bonded Taped Shield; 57%
Aluminum Braided Shield
Jacket.....Dull Black PVC, OD 0.260 +/- 0.005, Nominal Wall Thickness 0.027
Connector.....F-56 Weather-proof Crimp Connector, Black Cosmetic Boot
Nominal Capacitance.....17.3 pF/ft
Nominal Impedance.....75 Ohms
Velocity Propagation.....78%
Nominal Attenuation:
At 100 MHz.....2.10 dB/100 ft.
At 200 MHz.....3.10 dB/100 ft.
At 400 MHz.....4.40 dB/100 ft.
At 700 MHz.....6.00 dB/100 ft.
At 900 MHz.....6.90 dB/100 ft.
At 1000 MHz.....7.30 dB/100 ft.

Specifications are typical; individual units might vary. Specifications
are subject to change without notice.

(IR-03/07/96)

In article <20010702204830.17040.00002024@ng-fe1.aol.com>, ottoxxx@aol.com
(OTTOXXX) wrote:

> Can someone help me with my choice of coax for an external vhf / uhf scanner
> antenna?
> Should I go with the RG-8?
> And what does the 'U' designate? RG-8 vs RG-8U?
> TIA
>

1669. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 06:10:07 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <alfqkto9pecu45470uck9hodjivtjk7b23@4ax.com>
Source record: 63084
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Thu, 12 Jul 2001 01:27:34 GMT, "Me" <@.> wrote:

>Ironic because I exactly feel the same about them too!
>Fat toothless and unable to get a real fireman's job so has to volunteer!
>
>> What a troll. I suppose you feel the same about volunteer firefighters
>> and Red Cross workers too?

The city where I live in Central California has a population of
about 26,000. I think it has 2 paid fire fighters. All the rest
are volunteers. They seem to do a pretty good job, too. In fact,
being a volunteer fire fighter is very prestigious here.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

"The Constitution admittedly has a few defects and blemishes, but it still
seems a hell of a lot better than the system we have now."

Robert Anton

1670. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 06:15:23 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <u0gqktsipoic1q8aicf7i2ltbfobv4luun@4ax.com>
Source record: 63086
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Thu, 12 Jul 2001 03:52:56 GMT, "Me" <@.> wrote:

>Am I trolling? I thought I was just being honest as I really don't care to get a reaction.
>I just enjoy speaking freely as I sure the hell can't get away with it in real life.
>Free speech on the internet is a godsend. Instead of holding all my feelings inside I can
>blow them out, no matter how nasty. Better to speak freely than to run around shooting
>people freely in my frustration of life in general. I think the internet is very
therapeutic.

Good. You definitely need therapy.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

"The Constitution admittedly has a few defects and blemishes, but it still
seems a hell of a lot better than the system we have now."

Robert Anton

1671. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 07:21:26 UTC
From: Mark <trunker@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Wdc37.460$q17.55507@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63087
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"Me" <@.> wrote in message
news:35737.1461$FS1.467047@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> Got to a ham radio show and look at all the freaks.
> Man, you've got wheelchairs running all around, people with half
> their heads and faces missin, arms blown off, legs up their butts
> and if you take a good smell, apparently allot of them have a problem
> shittin on themselves. You cannot tell me that hams are a freakshow, or
> maybe the HAM shows I've attented for the last 10 years was just for
freaks?
> The reason HAM's become HAM's is that they usually need something to do
with
> their lives as a form of social contact. If you are missing half your
face, or you crap your
> pants, chances are you are not going to be very social, thus you become a
HAM.
> Oh but now, look at us, we are doing the same things HAM's are doing. I
wonder if ur
> a freak! I know I am! :)
>
> "Mark" <trunker@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:%g237.1460$Cu2.177333@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Me" <@.> wrote in message
news:iy137.328$2j2.86613@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> >
> > >... when they are mostly a bunch of pathetic mentally or defective
freaks,
> > but indeed they do serve a major important role when it
> > > comes to emergencies which might be the only reason these pathetic
people
> > were sent to the earth in the first place, to be small
> > > time heroes if only for a few days on their radios.
> >
> > I can tell you know nothing about hams and how they enjoy
their
> > hobbie. There are way more 'mentally defective freaks' that use this
> > newsgroup that won't have a clue to what's going on when the shit does
hit
> > the fan.

That's quite an imagination you have there.

1672. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 07:57:15 UTC
From: W6DKN <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <994924638.434373@ns1.gct21.net>
Source record: 63088
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

10-4 there good buddy. How's the skip lately on the chicken band?

73 de W6DKN

"Me" <@.> wrote in message
news:35737.1461$FS1.467047@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> Got to a ham radio show and look at all the freaks.
> Man, you've got wheelchairs running all around, people with half
> their heads and faces missin, arms blown off, legs up their butts
> and if you take a good smell, apparently allot of them have a problem
> shittin on themselves. You cannot tell me that hams are a freakshow, or
> maybe the HAM shows I've attented for the last 10 years was just for
freaks?
> The reason HAM's become HAM's is that they usually need something to do
with
> their lives as a form of social contact. If you are missing half your
face, or you crap your
> pants, chances are you are not going to be very social, thus you become a
HAM.
> Oh but now, look at us, we are doing the same things HAM's are doing. I
wonder if ur
> a freak! I know I am! :)
>
> "Mark" <trunker@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:%g237.1460$Cu2.177333@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Me" <@.> wrote in message
news:iy137.328$2j2.86613@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> >
> > >... when they are mostly a bunch of pathetic mentally or defective
freaks,
> > but indeed they do serve a major important role when it
> > > comes to emergencies which might be the only reason these pathetic
people
> > were sent to the earth in the first place, to be small
> > > time heroes if only for a few days on their radios.
> >
> > I can tell you know nothing about hams and how they enjoy
their
> > hobbie. There are way more 'mentally defective freaks' that use this
> > newsgroup that won't have a clue to what's going on when the shit does
hit
> > the fan.

> >
> >
> > > "Barry OGrady" <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
> > news:s4uoktk3d6dnb2u5e86bfj21rm8kgs52bn@4ax.com...
> > > > On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > CNN NETWORKS:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Calling all ham radio operators
> > > > > >
> > > > > >July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >By Dan Verton
> > > > > >
> > > > > >(IDG) -- The System Administration
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

1673. scanner artiscan ecp24 from tamarack tech inc

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 07:57:36 UTC
From: nexus6@tenbit.pl
Message-ID: <3B4D5870.00001D.15296@nexusnet2.accio.com>
Source record: 63089

i can't find driver to scanner artiscan ecp24 . if anybody can help me ... my email nexus6@poland.com

<<<<>>>>
Mensaje publicado mediante http://noticias.ipbusiness.com,
las news a través de web.

1674. Re: GSM-decoding scanner/software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 08:30:19 UTC
From: flamingo <flamingo@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <3fd37.20756$WS4.3149997@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 63091
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

These claim they have something to do the job but look at the price!
www.spylife.com/digital_interceptor.html

"R" <trader@worldonline.se> wrote in message
news:3B4C9D90.29ED967A@worldonline.se...
> Does anyone know if there are any scanners or computer software
> that can decode transmissions between GSM mobile phones
> into voice audio.
> Last year a scanner "UBIK 2000" was for sale in Sweden,it
> was bought in Asia,and the seller of this scanner said that
> he could listen to GSM conversation with it,the price was
> around 2500 US dollars.Has anyone heard of this scanner?
> Or any other scanner on the market?
>

1675. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 10:53:55 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <80oqkt0ovk0t6sp3og63o1n1er2mflqmo5@4ax.com>
Source record: 62963
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Thu, 12 Jul 2001 13:42:07 GMT, K0HB<K0HB@nospam.NET> wrote:

>In article <dwg37.14$415.38313@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>, N9NWO says...
>
>> We are going to be dependant on .... reservists.
>
>Which we used to call 'part time Americans'. Oh how some of them
>wailed in '91 when they actually had to be real sailors and soldiers
>instead of weekend warriors.
>

Yup... a very few did. Primarily women in fact. Which the media covered
to death. So... do you have a problem with a few bad actors, women, or
reservists in general?

Gunner

>
>
>
>
>

"Aren't cats Libertarian? They just want to be left alone.
I think our dog is a Democrat, as he is always looking for a handout"
Unknown Usnet Poster

1676. Re: Tip leads to arrest in Farmington ME theft

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 11:20:09 UTC
From: HornSmoke <omega@powerlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B4D87E8.B178C67@powerlink.net>
Source record: 63092
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc

This was down the road from my wife's childhood home! Goes to show you the good things that happen when scanners are present, and DES isn't. This makes a strong case for extreme limited usage of encryption.

We drove up by there just this past Sunday to visit her parents. Yes, I do monitor Franklin SO and Farmington PD when in the area! Never know what might happen.

Thanks for the post!

Loren Fields
N1UMF
Official Maine Frequency Guide

N9NWO wrote:

> Tuesday, July 10, 2001
>
> Tip leads to arrest in Farmington theft
>
> By BETTY JESPERSEN, Staff Writer
>
> Copyright ï¿½ 2001 Blethen Maine Newspapers Inc.
> E-mail this story to a friend
>
> FARMINGTON ï¿½ A robbery suspect who police said took money from
> a cash register at Mr. Paperback on Wilton Road on Monday and then
> fled was arrested after being spotted by a vigilant West Farmington
> resident listening to her scanner.
>
> Arrested on a charge of robbery, a Class B felony, was Shawn Griffen,
> 32, of Jay. The charge is a felony, because Griffen reportedly used
> force
> to push his way past the clerk as he ran from the store, said Farmington
>
> police Officer Christopher Chase.
>
> Griffen is being held in the Franklin County jail with bail set at
> $25,000
> cash or $50,000 worth of property. He is expected to be arraigned in
> Farmington District Court Tuesday.
>
> Chase, who had been on patrol in the area, arrived at the bookstore in
> less
> than a minute of the noontime call. When he realized the man had fled on

>
> foot into the woods behind nearby Fyfe Road, he called for assistance.
>
> A total of seven officers from Farmington and the Franklin County
> Sheriff's Department assisted in tracking the suspect.
>
> Chase said he did not know the amount of money taken.
>
> The cash was stolen after the sales clerk stepped away from the counter
> to help a male customer, later identified as a friend of Griffen's.
>
> The clerk told police she saw a man at the cash box and rushed back,
> only
> to have him run past her. She reportedly yelled and tried to block his
> way
> with her arms, but he pushed her aside, Chase said.
>
> The clerk followed him for a way on foot, and saw him rip off his shirt
> that
> had a distinctive design on it and run into the woods.
>
> About 45 minutes later, a West Farmington resident whose home is on
> Temple Road, north of Wilton Road, called police with a tip.
>
> "Someone who was listening to the scanner saw a man meeting the
> description walking through the backyard and heading toward Maxwell's
> Market," the officer said.
>
> Maxwell's is at the intersection of Temple Road, also known as Route 43,
>
> and Town Farm Road.
>
> Deputy John Black picked Griffen up. According to Chase, Griffen's
> friend was also stopped and questioned as he walked east on the Wilton
> Road towards downtown Farmington.
>
> To reach Betty Jespersen
>
> Phone: 778-6991
>
> jespersen@mainewest.com
> http://www.centralmaine.com/news/stories/010710bookstor.shtml

1677. ce232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 11:24:05 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b4d871b_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 63093

I have a Pro2005 i want to hook up a ce-232 interface.Does anybody have a diagram or info on how to build one?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1678. f.s. ICOM IC-F-LT uhf 99 channel programmable keypad portables 225.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 11:44:06 UTC
From: j <brontebear@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a4g37.19153$mY2.1933624@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 63094

for sale:

99 channels
ctcss codes,
dcs codes,
dtmf codes,
scan function
alpha tags
3 programmable power outputs 1,3,5 watts
these are highend units that can be programmed with the keypad or software
(included)
easily cloned to eachother with optional cloning cable (opc-444)

comes with good battery pack
software for programming
programming manual
leather holsters
new rubberduck antennas
new belt clips

presently programmed with 6 frequencies and they can talk to each other.

225.00US includes shipping via USPS insured

Price is each I have 2 units for sale

thanks
brontebear@yahoo.com

1679. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 12:03:36 UTC
From: N9NWO <n9nwo@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <smg37.11$415.35267@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 63096
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

: Got to a ham radio show and look at all the freaks.
: Man, you've got wheelchairs running all around, people with half
: their heads and faces missin, arms blown off, legs up their butts
: and if you take a good smell, apparently allot of them have a problem
: shittin on themselves. You cannot tell me that hams are a freakshow, or
: maybe the HAM shows I've attented for the last 10 years was just for
freaks?
: The reason HAM's become HAM's is that they usually need something to do
with
: their lives as a form of social contact. If you are missing half your
face, or you crap your
: pants, chances are you are not going to be very social, thus you become a
HAM.
: Oh but now, look at us, we are doing the same things HAM's are doing. I
wonder if ur
: a freak! I know I am! :)

You would love my local club, Tippecanoe Amateur Radio Assoc. (TARA).
Lets see, we have a Electrical Engineering professor from Purdue who is one
the leading experts in DSP and consults with NSA. We have two software
engineers, two retire state police radio techs, a retired Army Signal
Warrant
who spend most of his career assigned to 5th Special Forces, plus a number
of other professionals. Yes, we do have many working class folks as well.
But this is a hobby that crosses class lines.

And many members of the club are heavily involved in volunteering with
either
Red Cross or the emergency management (TEMA). My county would not
have a strong volunteer base without the hams.

1680. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 12:14:01 UTC
From: N9NWO <n9nwo@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <dwg37.14$415.38313@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 63095
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

: Ironic because I exactly feel the same about them too!
: Fat toothless and unable to get a real fireman's job so has to volunteer!
:
: > What a troll. I suppose you feel the same about volunteer firefighters
: > and Red Cross workers too?

I feel that it should be mandatory that every police and fire
department have a reserve force, much like the military has.
For one thing it would provide a means to screen applicants.
And, since most police officers do not stay beyond 5 years,
it would be a way to allow experienced and trained officers
to continue serving even if they chose other career opportunities.

Marion County Sheriff's department and the Indianapolis Police
department both have strong reserve programs. Both of these
agencies have over 2000 sworn officers plus nearly that many
trained reservists. The reserves go thru the same training as the
full timers. In fact the full time officers brag on how good the
reservists are. They like the fact that there are officers who can
patrol with them or even cover shifts if needed. And the reserve
force is paid.

The same goes for the USAR and National Guard. The active
components usually can not tell the difference when serving along
side a reservist. And the reserves are doing more and more active
duty missions (look at all the folks just serving in Bosnia who are
reservists).

The day of full time professionals doing everything is over. We are
going to be dependant on volunteers and reservists. Congress is
even looking at returning to the draft, mandating that every male (if
fit to serve) in the National Guard with service in the other reserve
forces, active components, police, fire or prison services being optional
avenues.

So get off you elitist high horse.

1681. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 13:13:00 UTC
From: rcnixon@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b4da18c.3399849@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 62947
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Gee, Barry, I wonder why state Emergency Operations Centers have
amateur radio stations in them? I wonder why my county's 911 center
has an amaterur radio station in it? I wonder why I spwnd a half-hour
or so Saturday mornings doing a drill?
I guess you are full of crap and you have no idea of what you are
writing about.

Russ - kf4wxd

Barry OGrady <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote:

>On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
>
>>
>> CNN NETWORKS:
>>
>>
>>
>>Calling all ham radio operators
>>
>>July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
>>
>>By Dan Verton
>>
>>(IDG) -- The System Administration
>>Networking and Security (SANS)
>>Institute is recruiting amateur radio
>>operators to take part in an
>>emergency communications network
>>that it said could be used by disaster
>>relief personnel in the event of a
>>catastrophic failure of
>>telecommunications systems,
>>including the Internet.
>
>Give us a break!
>Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
>It has nothing to do with emergencies.
>
>They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
>Stay out of things you know nothing about.
>
>

>-Barry
>========
>Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
>Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.

1682. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 13:42:07 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@nospam.NET>
Message-ID: <POh37.16542$Kf3.206872@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 62948
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

In article <dwg37.14$415.38313@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>, N9NWO says...

> We are going to be dependant on .... reservists.

Which we used to call 'part time Americans'. Oh how some of them
wailed in '91 when they actually had to be real sailors and soldiers
instead of weekend warriors.

1683. Cleaning out the shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 13:58:31 UTC
From: Philip Knode <pknode@home.com>
Message-ID: <b2i37.37436$B5.8240455@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 62949

Have for sell
Pro-64 no box or manual 75 dollars
Pro-2004 box and manual with 64 channel mod,search and store mod,signal strength led non working 75 dollars.
Ubc 3000xlt box and manual 200 dollars
Pro 2050 box and manual 100 dollars
Bc-895 box and manual 150 dollars
Sony Pc1 box and manual 125 dollars
If interested email me at nickle911@aol.com the home address is not working.Phil

1684. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 14:19:08 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <e02rkt8o26sp3cuqi8mffslp5mo7591p30@4ax.com>
Source record: 62973
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Fri, 13 Jul 2001 02:02:33 +1000, Barry OGrady
<billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote:

>On Thu, 12 Jul 2001 13:13:00 GMT, rcnixon@mindspring.com (Russell C. Nixon) wrote:
>
>>Gee, Barry, I wonder why state Emergency Operations Centers have
>>amateur radio stations in them? I wonder why my county's 911 center
>>has an amaterur radio station in it? I wonder why I spwnd a half-hour
>>or so Saturday mornings doing a drill?
>
>Because hams love to play at things they should stay away from.
>If I was involved in an emergency that was coordinated by hams I would sue.
>
>
>-Barry
>========

And the next time you were involved in such an emergency..they would be
will within their rights..to simply let you die. And I would relish your
long and painful passing.

Gunner

"Aren't cats Libertarian? They just want to be left alone.
I think our dog is a Democrat, as he is always looking for a handout"
Unknown Usnet Poster

Heh, heh, I'm pretty sure my dog is a liberal - he has no balls.
Keyton

1685. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 14:19:09 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <e32rkts2qr0a1uiiht5q73j139vds2497c@4ax.com>
Source record: 62974
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Thu, 12 Jul 2001 13:03:58 -0400, Gene <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:

>> Ironic because I exactly feel the same about them too!
>> Fat toothless and unable to get a real fireman's job so has to volunteer!
>
>Do you live in a rural area? If so, may I have your address so I can
>send it to your local Vol fire dept so that they know where not to
>respond to should you call?
>
>> > What a troll. I suppose you feel the same about volunteer firefighters
>> > and Red Cross workers too?
>
>Gene
>http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/

That sounds like an excellent idea. Also pass it on to the Reserve
Police officers who donate time, money and equipment, the volunteer EMTs
(hope Barry doesnt have a bad heart..or power tools...)

Pass it on to the volunteers at the hospitals the next of kin might drag
Barry to as well.

Gunner

"Aren't cats Libertarian? They just want to be left alone.
I think our dog is a Democrat, as he is always looking for a handout"
Unknown Usnet Poster

Heh, heh, I'm pretty sure my dog is a liberal - he has no balls.
Keyton

1686. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 14:32:21 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9ikcd3nuq1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62950
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Kinda makes you wonder why he is smelling people's butts don't it?

Dan/W4NTI

"Me" <@.> wrote in message
news:35737.1461$FS1.467047@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> Got to a ham radio show and look at all the freaks.
> Man, you've got wheelchairs running all around, people with half
> their heads and faces missin, arms blown off, legs up their butts
> and if you take a good smell, apparently allot of them have a problem
> shittin on themselves. You cannot tell me that hams are a freakshow, or
> maybe the HAM shows I've attented for the last 10 years was just for
freaks?
> The reason HAM's become HAM's is that they usually need something to do
with
> their lives as a form of social contact. If you are missing half your
face, or you crap your
> pants, chances are you are not going to be very social, thus you become a
HAM.
> Oh but now, look at us, we are doing the same things HAM's are doing. I
wonder if ur
> a freak! I know I am! :)
>
> "Mark" <trunker@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:%g237.1460$Cu2.177333@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Me" <@.> wrote in message
news:iy137.328$2j2.86613@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> >
> > >... when they are mostly a bunch of pathetic mentally or defective
freaks,
> > but indeed they do serve a major important role when it
> > > comes to emergencies which might be the only reason these pathetic
people
> > were sent to the earth in the first place, to be small
> > > time heroes if only for a few days on their radios.
> >
> > I can tell you know nothing about hams and how they enjoy
their
> > hobbie. There are way more 'mentally defective freaks' that use this
> > newsgroup that won't have a clue to what's going on when the shit does
hit
> > the fan.

> >
> >
> > > "Barry OGrady" <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
> > news:s4uoktk3d6dnb2u5e86bfj21rm8kgs52bn@4ax.com...
> > > > On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > CNN NETWORKS:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Calling all ham radio operators
> > > > > >
> > > > > >July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >By Dan Verton
> > > > > >
> > > > > >(IDG) -- The System Administration
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

1687. Todays Shuttle Launch

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 14:37:38 UTC
From: av8tor@licensed4fun.com
Message-ID: <9ikcnh$41ec$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62951

Today I made the trip to Titusville to view my first night launch of the Shuttle. I've seen day launches, but this is my first night launch.

On 6/29 Allan Stern posted a list of "Basic Shuttle Freqs" which included 3 2 meter repeater which would rebroadcast the Shuttle launch. This morning NON of theses were active (145.13, 146.94, and 147.15). Now at 10:30 EDT Shuttle comms are being rebroadcast on 147.27 (I don't know which repeater this is) but the three previously frequencies are still dead. You might want to update your lists.

Are Shuttle comms rebroadcast during early morning launchs such as today?

Also, I thought 148.485 would have the countdown status, I heard very few comms on this freq. I wouldn't have know where the countdown was at if it wasn't for the controller on 126.65 letting the pilot of th STA-Aircraft know.

If anyone has a more current list I would love to see one.
George
*** Monitoring From Daytona Beach ***
HF: FRG-7 & Longwire, NRC-525 & Alpha Delta Sloper
VHF/UHF Air: PRO-2037 & Discone, PRO-2006 & Discone
Daytona Police/Fire: PRO-94, PRO-2052

--

_____
I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
_____

1688. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 15:59:18 UTC
From: Barry OGrady <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <79irktkk6omi5e0cd9u62l5pu21746pbao@4ax.com>
Source record: 62952
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"Edward A Slimak" <sparksw4eas@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3B4D05DF.57@mindspring.com...
> WHY DONT YOU FOLKS LOOK AT YOUR "TROLL_O_METER" FIRST? Mine is pegged!
> :-) Sparks W4EAS

Try moving away from it. :)

-Barry
========
Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.

1689. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 16:02:33 UTC
From: Barry OGrady <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <kdirktk9gm9n44n0fmr45799l8dm0ff8e6@4ax.com>
Source record: 62953
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Thu, 12 Jul 2001 13:13:00 GMT, rcnixon@mindspring.com (Russell C. Nixon) wrote:

>Gee, Barry, I wonder why state Emergency Operations Centers have
>amateur radio stations in them? I wonder why my county's 911 center
>has an amaterur radio station in it? I wonder why I spwnd a half-hour
>or so Saturday mornings doing a drill?

Because hams love to play at things they should stay away from.
If I was involved in an emergency that was coordinated by hams I would sue.

-Barry
========
Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.

1690. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 16:26:17 UTC
From: Russ Williams <rvw2@email.byu.edu>
Message-ID: <3B4DCFA9.C34F71F2@email.byu.edu>
Source record: 62957
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Barry,
Admttedly I am only the Disaster Preparedness Coordinator for our
community but we definitely plan to use "Hams" to augment our
emergency/disaster communications. In fact cities world-wide do the same
thing. I am not sure where you have been but it hasn't been working in
disaster management.
Russ

Barry OGrady wrote:

> On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
>
> >
> > CNN NETWORKS:
> >
> >
> >
> >Calling all ham radio operators
> >
> >July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
> >
> >By Dan Verton
> >
> >(IDG) -- The System Administration
> >Networking and Security (SANS)
> >Institute is recruiting amateur radio
> >operators to take part in an
> >emergency communications network
> >that it said could be used by disaster
> >relief personnel in the event of a
> >catastrophic failure of
> >telecommunications systems,
> >including the Internet.
>
> Give us a break!
> Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
> It has nothing to do with emergencies.
>
> They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
> Stay out of things you know nothing about.
>
> -Barry
> ========

> Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
> Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.

1691. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 16:27:37 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9ikj5i$jt5l2$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62954
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"Gene" <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote in message
news:3B4CAD21.4055AAE2@zylay.com...
>
> He obviously wasn't either in Miami during Andrew, or even North Fl
> during the Mar '93 "Storm of the Century"

Nor was he in Oklahoma City after the bombing. I still have a
recording of some of the emergency comms from that incident.

Tom

1692. Re: Telekom + Radio Scanner in France = Illegal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 16:33:54 UTC
From: Gerard Lanois <gerard@NOSPAMlanois.com>
Message-ID: <ug0c26r9p.fsf@NOSPAMlanois.com>
Source record: 62955
Cross-posted to: rec.bicycles.racing,rec.radio.scanner

HORSECHOMP <horsechomp@nothere.com> writes:

> July 11 coverage stated that people suspect that the Telekom car was
> keeping close tabs on the US Postal car because they were listening in
> with a scanner. If that's true, that's a big no no in France. Would
> be interesting to find out if that is true.
>
> See:
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/laws.htm

Crossposted to rec.radio.scanner

-Gerard

1693. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 16:36:49 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9ikjmq$jk4cj$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62956
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9ikcd3nuq1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> Kinda makes you wonder why he is smelling people's butts don't it?
>

Hell even my dog knows better than to sniff people's butts.

Tom

1694. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 17:03:58 UTC
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <3B4DD87E.C9F4B096@zylay.com>
Source record: 62962
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

> Ironic because I exactly feel the same about them too!
> Fat toothless and unable to get a real fireman's job so has to volunteer!

Do you live in a rural area? If so, may I have your address so I can
send it to your local Vol fire dept so that they know where not to
respond to should you call?

> > What a troll. I suppose you feel the same about volunteer firefighters
> > and Red Cross workers too?

Gene
http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/

1695. Re: Pro-2050 Mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 17:05:24 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010712130524.16580.00001529@ng-fu1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62958

>Subject: Pro-2050 Mods?
>From: "Bellsouth" onewebb@bellsouth.net
>Date: 7/11/01 10:19 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <oH737.97015$HJ1.2576213@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
>
>Anyone know if there are any mods that can be done to the pro-2050 trunking
>scanner? I was told that it can be made to receive signals under the 30 mhz
>floor.
>

I checked both http://www.mods.dk/ and
http://www.qrz.com, no Mods for the Pro-2050 are listed; keep an eye on those
two Links as something may come up in time.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1696. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 17:07:33 UTC
From: Dave Holford <holford@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3B4DD955.C2EA40@sympatico.ca>
Source record: 62959

Kim and Ted Moran wrote:
>
> Radio shack RG6:
>
> RG-6 Coaxial Cable
> (150-1548) Specifications Faxback Doc. # 31137
>
> Center Conductor.....18 AWG Solid Bare Copper, OD 0.0403
> Insulation.....FPE, OD 0.178 +/- 0.002, Nominal Wall Thickness 0.068
> Shield.....100% Aluminum Polypropylene, Aluminum Bonded Taped Shield; 57%
> Aluminum Braided Shield
> Jacket.....Dull Black PVC, OD 0.260 +/- 0.005, Nominal Wall Thickness 0.027
> Connector.....F-56 Weather-proof Crimp Connector, Black Cosmetic Boot
> Nominal Capacitance.....17.3 pF/ft
> Nominal Impedance.....75 Ohms
> Velocity Propagation.....78%
> Nominal Attenuation:
> At 100 MHz.....2.10 dB/100 ft.
> At 200 MHz.....3.10 dB/100 ft.
> At 400 MHz.....4.40 dB/100 ft.
> At 700 MHz.....6.00 dB/100 ft.
> At 900 MHz.....6.90 dB/100 ft.
> At 1000 MHz.....7.30 dB/100 ft.
>
> Specifications are typical; individual units might vary. Specifications
> are subject to change without notice.
>
> (IR-03/07/96)
==========

The key to this is in the last couple of lines "Specifications are
subject to change"
RG indicates a precise specification so the specification cannot be
changed. Therefore the stuff referred to above is an RG-6 "type"

RG-6 specifies:
a 21 AWG Copperweld conductor
Solid Polyethylene insulator
Non-contaminating Grey PVC jacket
Silver covered copper inner shield
Copper outer shield.
Nominal Impedance 76 Ohms
Capacitance 20pf/ft
Attenuation at 100MHz 2.8dB/100 ft.
Attenuation at 1000MHz 11dN/100 ft.

Doesn't sound a lot like the stuff specified above does it?

The Radio Shack spec is very similar to Belden "6/U type" but it is not real RG-6. For most of us it probably doesn't matter too much but a great deal of thought and effort went into the original specifications and now people just stamp RG-6 on something which is vaguely similar.

It could make a REAL difference if you were using it in applications like stub filters and matching sections where the variation from the RG specfication could significantly change the performance.

Dave

1697. Re: Is this a Moderated Group???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 17:11:17 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010712131117.16580.00001533@ng-fu1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62960

>Subject: Is this a Moderated Group???
>From: Denis bear105NO@SPAMyahoo.com
>Date: 7/11/01 3:30 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3B4CA944.51E8BFC9@SPAMyahoo.com>
>
>I just received notification from my ISP that I "spammed" this newsgroup
>by posting a For Sale message recently. Is this against the rules for
>this newsgroup?? When did this group become moderated and who is the
>moderator? If this group IS moderated why haven't the rules been posted
>recently? ANd can the rules now be posted?
> I am quite concerned over this as I can lose access to my ISP for
>something like this.
>
>Thanks, Denis
>
>mailto:scan@medievalbear.com
>

This issue has gone back and forth for some time and pops up fairly often,

IMO: if you post it they may buy it, not everyone uses Ebay or visits them
every day, so this is a venue to put out a note.

There is a Newsgroup:
alt.radio.swap , but not everyone uses that one either.

Spam was a lunch meat before the WWW, now its grounds to kick you off a free
Host Site as was the case with PB Scanner and XPage.

Single posts should not be a problem, those who make an issue are.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1698. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 17:12:51 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010712131251.16580.00001534@ng-fu1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62961

>Subject: Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)
>From: Barry OGrady billydingo@dingoblue.net.au

>Because hams love to play at things they should stay away from.
>If I was involved in an emergency that was coordinated by hams I would sue.
>
>
>-Barry
>========
>Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
>Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.
>
>
>
>
>
>

http://members.dingoblue.net.au/~barryog/
Use his Guestbook to let him know how you feel.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1699. Re: GSM-decoding scanner/software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 17:30:08 UTC
From: hi <NEWS.SERVER>
Message-ID: <3b4d0ac9$0$20932$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 63073
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

yes i own one although i payed twice that amount it does decode GSM 6.0
the standard GSM buy it you will not regret it www.warehouseradio.com
have them

darren

"R" <trader@worldonline.se> wrote in message
news:3B4C9D90.29ED967A@worldonline.se...
> Does anyone know if there are any scanners or computer software
> that can decode transmissions between GSM mobile phones
> into voice audio.
> Last year a scanner "UBIK 2000" was for sale in Sweden,it
> was bought in Asia,and the seller of this scanner said that
> he could listen to GSM conversation with it,the price was
> around 2500 US dollars.Has anyone heard of this scanner?
> Or any other scanner on the market?
>

1700. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 17:50:58 UTC
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <3B4DE382.6E9FA268@zylay.com>
Source record: 62964
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

> > We are going to be dependant on ..... reservists.
>
> Which we used to call 'part time Americans'. Oh how some of them
> wailed in '91 when they actually had to be real sailors and soldiers
> instead of weekend warriors.

The only complaining I heard from reservists in '90 and '91 was from
people worrying about how their families were going to make it on
greatly reduced pay. Sounded like a valid concern to me.

1701. Cleaning out the shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 19:44:04 UTC
From: Philip Knode <pknode@home.com>
Message-ID: <86n37.38323$B5.8540420@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 62965

Will consister trading some of the items for a good ser of golf clubs.Phil

1702. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 20:23:31 UTC
From: Michelle Bronisz <broniszm@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7Hn37.1612$IJ.193458@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62966
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Yea, a 1.5Kw dummy load on microwave frequencies.

KG4MRV
"W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9iidbg$9v9$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
> Man oh man, that guy "me" really missed his calling.
> He would make a very good dummy load.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Gene" <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote in message
> news:3B4CAD7D.C5817631@zylay.com...
> > > Not true, HAM radio is a serious form of communication around long
> before the internet and unlike the internet, it works
> > > without the need for routers and hubs which fail and knock huge
portions
> of the internet out, which we've all experienced.
> > > Also, HAM radio is commercialism free, not dependent on cell phone
> outages etc.
> > > On the other hand, I agree, HAM radio operators are usually overgrown
> toddlers who like to play "official" and play "important"
> > > when they are mostly a bunch of pathetic mentally or defective freaks,
> but indeed they do serve a major important role when it
> > > comes to emergencies which might be the only reason these pathetic
> people were sent to the earth in the first place, to be small
> > > time heroes if only for a few days on their radios.
> >
> > What a troll. I suppose you feel the same about volunteer firefighters
> > and Red Cross workers too?
> >
> > > "Barry OGrady" <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
> news:s4uoktk3d6dnb2u5e86bfj21rm8kgs52bn@4ax.com...
> > > > On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > CNN NETWORKS:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >Calling all ham radio operators
> > > > >
> > > > > >July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
> > > > >
> > > > > >

> > > > >By Dan Verton
> > > > >
> > > > >(IDG) -- The System Administration
> > > > >Networking and Security (SANS)
> > > > >Institute is recruiting amateur radio
> > > > >operators to take part in an
> > > > >emergency communications network
> > > > >that it said could be used by disaster
> > > > >relief personnel in the event of a
> > > > >catastrophic failure of
> > > > >telecommunications systems,
> > > > >including the Internet.
> > > > >
> > > > > Give us a break!
> > > > > Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
> > > > > It has nothing to do with emergencies.
> > > > >
> > > > > They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
> > > > > Stay out of things you know nothing about.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > -Barry
> > > > > ========
> > > > > Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
> > > > > Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.
>
>
>

1703. Question: Lee's Electronics 1600 mAh battery pack for BC245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 20:23:43 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <jHn37.3661$I5.621706@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 62967
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I don't have a battery charger, so I have to use my BC245XLT as a charger. If I buy that 1600 mAh battery from Lee's, how long should I leave it charging? Thanks.

1704. Re: Is this a Moderated Group???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 20:33:32 UTC
From: Denis <bear105NO@SPAMyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B4E099C.9BAAA7A7@SPAMyahoo.com>
Source record: 62968

I appreciate to those who replied. But I still want to know who has taken it upon themselves to complain to my ISP?
I have been in and out of this news group for about 7 years. This newsgroup has ALWAYS had For Sale/For Trade traffic. If someone out these objects SO much they are complaining to ISP's, then let them come forward to state their case. I WANT person-to-person trade here. But I also do not approve of this becoming an advertisement board for business and spammers.

Note: For @home users, I contacted the AUP staff. The technician was fairly supportive and acknowledged that this is not considered spam. Unfortunately to get details on each complaint, there is gonna be abit of a wait on hold to talk to these people. And there number is not toll-free.

Thanks, Denis

Denis wrote:

> I just received notification from my ISP that I "spammed" this newsgroup
> by posting a For Sale message recently. Is this against the rules for
> this newsgroup?? When did this group become moderated and who is the
> moderator? If this group IS moderated why haven't the rules been posted
> recently? ANd can the rules now be posted?
> I am quite concerned over this as I can lose access to my ISP for
> something like this.
>
> Thanks, Denis
>
> mailto:scan@medievalbear.com

1705. Re: Is this a Moderated Group???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 20:37:15 UTC
From: Philip Knode <pknode@home.com>
Message-ID: <%Tn37.38419$B5.8592812@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 62969

Denis I got the same crap from some low life that complain to AOL about a
full coverage scanner,if someone has a problem email me directly.Phil
Denis wrote in message <3B4E099C.9BAAA7A7@SPAMyahoo.com>...
>I appreciate to those who replied. But I still want to know who has taken
it
>upon themselves to complain to my ISP?
> I have been in and out of this news group for about 7 years. This
>newsgroup has ALWAYS had For Sale/For Trade traffic. If someone out these
>objects SO much they are complaining to ISP's, then let them come forward
to
>state their case. I WANT person-to-person trade here. But I also do not
>approve of this becoming an advertisement board for business and spammers.
>
>Note: For @home users, I contacted the AUP staff. The technician was
fairly
>supportive and acknowledged that this is not considered spam.
Unfortunately
>to get details on each complaint, there is gonna be abit of a wait on hold
>to talk to these people. And there number is not toll-free.
>
>
>Thanks, Denis
>
>
>Denis wrote:
>
>> I just received notification from my ISP that I "spammed" this newsgroup
>> by posting a For Sale message recently. Is this against the rules for
>> this newsgroup?? When did this group become moderated and who is the
>> moderator? If this group IS moderated why haven't the rules been posted
>> recently? ANd can the rules now be posted?
>> I am quite concerned over this as I can lose access to my ISP for
>> something like this.
>>
>> Thanks, Denis
>>
>> mailto:scan@medievalbear.com
>

1706. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 20:46:20 UTC
From: Michelle Bronisz <broniszm@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <w0o37.1655$q17.205941@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62970
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Lets see what you have to say when your city's trunked system, which is highly dependant on the repeater site, goes down and all of your public saftey agencies are unable to communicate.

Jon
KG4MRV
"Barry OGrady" <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message news:kdirktk9gm9n44n0fmr45799l8dm0ff8e6@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 12 Jul 2001 13:13:00 GMT, rcnixon@mindspring.com (Russell C. Nixon) wrote:
>
> >Gee, Barry, I wonder why state Emergency Operations Centers have
> >amateur radio stations in them? I wonder why my county's 911 center
> >has an amaterur radio station in it? I wonder why I spwnd a half-hour
> >or so Saturday mornings doing a drill?
>
> Because hams love to play at things they should stay away from.
> If I was involved in an emergency that was coordinated by hams I would sue.
>
>
> -Barry
> ========
> Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
> Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.
>

1707. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 20:50:59 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <8c3sktcjcj8ft20aqc41o1a7b5anh992bg@4ax.com>
Source record: 62991
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Fri, 13 Jul 2001 01:54:15 GMT, rcnixon@mindspring.com (Russell C.
Nixon) wrote:

>Go right ahead and try. The next time a natural disaster strikes your
>area, it's likely that amateurs will be involved. Sue away. Of
>course, I doubt that you have the money to even have a lawyer tell you
>you have no cause for action. God, I hate trolls but sometimes I
>can't help myself. Sorry Gunner, I was tempeted past my ability to
>withstand.
>
>Russ

What are you apologizing for? You are 100% right. And you never even
insulted the cocksucker.

Gunner

>
>Barry OGrady <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote:
>
>>On Thu, 12 Jul 2001 13:13:00 GMT, rcnixon@mindspring.com (Russell C. Nixon) wrote:
>>
>>>Gee, Barry, I wonder why state Emergency Operations Centers have
>>>amateur radio stations in them? I wonder why my county's 911 center
>>>has an amaterur radio station in it? I wonder why I spwnd a half-hour
>>>or so Saturday mornings doing a drill?
>>
>>Because hams love to play at things they should stay away from.
>>If I was involved in an emergency that was coordinated by hams I would sue.
>>
>>
>>-Barry
>>========
>>Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
>>Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.

"Aren't cats Libertarian? They just want to be left alone.
I think our dog is a Democrat, as he is always looking for a handout"
Unknown Usnet Poster

Heh, heh, I'm pretty sure my dog is a liberal - he has no balls.
Keyton

1708. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 20:52:12 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <oe3sktomg3k6our1lr2rkajv3u0nr5sh45@4ax.com>
Source record: 62992
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Thu, 12 Jul 2001 15:55:37 -0700, "Dev" <.> wrote:

>hmm, ME & Barry, same attidue or person. oh well. Answer me this. If we are
>such pathetic losers. Why are you a scanner listener. Ham is jsut one step
>up from scanner listening and CB. It is more combined into one. I guess that
>means you are a freak and a pathetic loser too like us hams are sopposedly
>like that. Why were you brought onto the earth ? To be a friggin troll ?
>
>Dev

Dev..just think of him as a mobile cornea donation or skin graft.

Gunner

"Aren't cats Libertarian? They just want to be left alone.
I think our dog is a Democrat, as he is always looking for a handout"
Unknown Usnet Poster

Heh, heh, I'm pretty sure my dog is a liberal - he has no balls.
Keyton

1709. Re: Is this a Moderated Group???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 21:07:07 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <6ko37.12627$h6.4047775@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 62971

"Denis" <bear105NO@SPAMyahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3B4CA944.51E8BFC9@SPAMyahoo.com...
> I just received notification from my ISP that I "spammed" this newsgroup
> by posting a For Sale message recently. Is this against the rules for
> this newsgroup?? When did this group become moderated and who is the
> moderator? If this group IS moderated why haven't the rules been posted
> recently? ANd can the rules now be posted?
> I am quite concerned over this as I can lose access to my ISP for
> something like this.

The group is not moderated. All groups expect you to stick to the intended purpose, but it is often quite hard to find out whether "for sale" messages are permitted in a particular group. You might reply to your ISP by pointing out that "for sale" messages appear fairly regularly here.

People will complain to ISPs whenever they see anything that they think is inappropriate. A responsible ISP will investigate before acting on the complaint, since opinions often differ.

I'm assuming your "for sale" message was about scanner radio equipment. If you posted something irrelevant, or if you cross-posted the same advertisement to numerous unrelated newsgroups, then you were indeed "spamming."

1710. Re: Is this a Moderated Group???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 21:08:27 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <llo37.12630$h6.4048944@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 62972

> Spam was a lunch meat before the WWW, now its grounds to kick you off a free
> Host Site as was the case with PB Scanner and XPage.

Actually, spamming originated with a rather disreputable law firm that managed to post the same ad on all 8,500 newsgroups back in 1994, right after the newsgroup system was opened up to the general public.

1711. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 22:08:27 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <os7skt00prgdb7aaeh3kpidnar8j7nlq2q@4ax.com>
Source record: 62975
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Thu, 12 Jul 2001 13:50:58 -0400, Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
wrote:

>> > We are going to be dependant on .... reservists.
>>
>> Which we used to call 'part time Americans'. Oh how some of them
>> wailed in '91 when they actually had to be real sailors and soldiers
>> instead of weekend warriors.
>
>The only complaining I heard from reservists in '90 and '91 was from
>people worrying about how their families were going to make it on
>greatly reduced pay. Sounded like a valid concern to me.

It's too bad they didn't pay any attention to what they were
agreeing to when they joined up.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1712. Shuttle Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 22:52:19 UTC
From: Champ <champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <01c10b25$317792e0$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a>
Source record: 62976
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Any websites out there broadcasting the shuttle audio online. Wouldn't mind checking this out.

I didn't even know there was supposed to be a launch. I take it there are people on board.

Randy
--
Live Scanner Audio is here: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
Local Ottawa Scanning : http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan
Scanner Incident Email Alerts : http://www.nysing.org
Local Ottawa Scanner Frequencies: http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby
Local Ontario Scanner Discussion Group:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont

1713. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 22:55:37 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9il9rs01ueg@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62978
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

hmm, ME & Barry, same attidue or person. oh well. Answer me this. If we are such pathetic losers. Why are you a scanner listener. Ham is jsut one step up from scanner listening and CB. It is more combined into one. I guess that means you are a freak and a pathetic loser too like us hams are sopposedly like that. Why were you brought onto the earth ? To be a friggin troll ?

Dev

"Me" <@.> wrote in message news:iy137.328$2j2.86613@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> Not true, HAM radio is a serious form of communication around long before the internet and unlike the internet, it works
> without the need for routers and hubs which fail and knock huge portions of the internet out, which we've all experienced.
> Also, HAM radio is commercialism free, not dependent on cell phone outages etc.
> On the other hand, I agree, HAM radio operators are usually overgrown toddlers who like to play "official" and play "important"
> when they are mostly a bunch of pathetic mentally or defective freaks, but indeed they do serve a major important role when it
> comes to emergencies which might be the only reason these pathetic people were sent to the earth in the first place, to be small
> time heroes if only for a few days on their radios.
>
> "Barry OGrady" <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message news:s4uoktk3d6dnb2u5e86bfj21rm8kgs52bn@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > CNN NETWORKS:
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >Calling all ham radio operators
> > >
> > >July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
> > >
> > >By Dan Verton
> > >
> > >(IDG) -- The System Administration
> > >Networking and Security (SANS)
> > >Institute is recruiting amateur radio
> > >operators to take part in an
> > >emergency communications network

> > >that it said could be used by disaster
> > >relief personnel in the event of a
> > >catastrophic failure of
> > >telecommunications systems,
> > >including the Internet.
> >
> > Give us a break!
> > Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
> > It has nothing to do with emergencies.
> >
> > They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
> > Stay out of things you know nothing about.
> >
> >
> > -Barry
> > ========
> > Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
> > Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.
>
>

1714. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 23:01:19 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9ila6m01v37@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62979
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Hmm, Me. Why would you go to ham conventions ? if you are running down hams.
Why go to them ?

"Me" <@.> wrote in message
news:35737.1461$FS1.467047@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> Got to a ham radio show and look at all the freaks.
> Man, you've got wheelchairs running all around, people with half
> their heads and faces missin, arms blown off, legs up their butts
> and if you take a good smell, apparently allot of them have a problem
> shittin on themselves. You cannot tell me that hams are a freakshow, or
> maybe the HAM shows I've attented for the last 10 years was just for
freaks?
> The reason HAM's become HAM's is that they usually need something to do
with
> their lives as a form of social contact. If you are missing half your
face, or you crap your
> pants, chances are you are not going to be very social, thus you become a
HAM.
> Oh but now, look at us, we are doing the same things HAM's are doing. I
wonder if ur
> a freak! I know I am! :)
>
> "Mark" <trunker@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:%g237.1460$Cu2.177333@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Me" <@.> wrote in message
news:iy137.328$2j2.86613@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> >
> > >... when they are mostly a bunch of pathetic mentally or defective
freaks,
> > but indeed they do serve a major important role when it
> > > comes to emergencies which might be the only reason these pathetic
people
> > were sent to the earth in the first place, to be small
> > > time heroes if only for a few days on their radios.
> >
> > I can tell you know nothing about hams and how they enjoy
their
> > hobbie. There are way more 'mentally defective freaks' that use this
> > newsgroup that won't have a clue to what's going on when the shit does
hit
> > the fan.
> >

> >
> > > "Barry OGrady" <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
> > news:s4uoktk3d6dnb2u5e86bfj21rm8kgs52bn@4ax.com...
> > > > On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > CNN NETWORKS:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Calling all ham radio operators
> > > > > >
> > > > > >July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >By Dan Verton
> > > > > >
> > > > > >(IDG) -- The System Administration
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

1715. OT: Radio Shack CB Radioss - Any good

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 23:06:56 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b4e2ce8.6495521@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 62977

Anyone used any of the Rat Shack Mobile CB uniuts?
Are they any good?
Compare to Uniden or Cobra
Anyplace to look for reviews?
Thanks

1716. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-12 23:50:52 UTC
From: Scott <spikelee7@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <osdsktot13b2trstes9kkjpvtkvmo5rqaj@4ax.com>
Source record: 62982
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Like our local Office of Emergency Services with ARIES/RACES. An
alternative group would cause confusion.

Scott
N6UUH

On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 21:48:57 GMT, joebramblett@drunkenbard.com (Joe
Bramblett) wrote:

>On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:40:53 -0400, mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote:
>
>> Sounds like someone just figured out what hams do all the time
>>naturally, and without a whole lot of pre-organization.
>
>Actually, it sounds more like someone's trying to get yet another
>group together that won't be properly coordinated with the existing
>emergency comm groups.
>
>
>Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH
>joebramblett@drunkenbard.com
>http://www.drunkenbard.com

1717. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 00:12:53 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <82r37.1275$415.474867@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62980
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Your brain is already a dummy load.

> "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:9iidbg$9v9$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Man oh man, that guy "me" really missed his calling.
> > He would make a very good dummy load.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI

1718. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 00:38:06 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9ilfsu$7v7$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62981
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Ah poor baby, did I upset your milk wagon?

Dan/W4NTI

"Me" <@.> wrote in message
news:82r37.1275$415.474867@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> Your brain is already a dummy load.
>
> > "W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:9iidbg$9v9$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > Man oh man, that guy "me" really missed his calling.
> > > He would make a very good dummy load.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>

1719. Re: NYPD Helicopter freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 01:51:41 UTC
From: tlink94222@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010712215141.16845.00007395@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 62983

The helos usually operate on the SOD frequency of 470.8375 Mhz.

1720. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 01:54:15 UTC
From: rcnixon@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b4e5402.453058@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 62985
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Go right ahead and try. The next time a natural disaster strikes your
area, it's likely that amateurs will be involved. Sue away. Of
course, I doubt that you have the money to even have a lawyer tell you
you have no cause for action. God, I hate trolls but sometimes I
can't help myself. Sorry Gunner, I was tempeted past my ability to
withstand.

Russ

Barry OGrady <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote:

>On Thu, 12 Jul 2001 13:13:00 GMT, rcnixon@mindspring.com (Russell C. Nixon) wrote:
>
>>Gee, Barry, I wonder why state Emergency Operations Centers have
>>amateur radio stations in them? I wonder why my county's 911 center
>>has an amaterur radio station in it? I wonder why I spwnd a half-hour
>>or so Saturday mornings doing a drill?
>
>Because hams love to play at things they should stay away from.
>If I was involved in an emergency that was coordinated by hams I would sue.
>
>
>-Barry
>========
>Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
>Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.

1721. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 02:13:51 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <09mskto0lsd1kbfs653d8bm90nlt5ppn8u@4ax.com>
Source record: 63000
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Thu, 12 Jul 2001 23:38:33 -0400, "Aaron R. Kulkis"
<akulkis@yahoo.com> wrote:

>K0HB wrote:
>>
>> In article <dwg37.14$415.38313@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>, N9NWO says...
>>
>> > We are going to be dependant on .... reservists.
>>
>> Which we used to call 'part time Americans'. Oh how some of them
>> wailed in '91 when they actually had to be real sailors and soldiers
>> instead of weekend warriors.
>
>Piss on them. The rest of us went when called....many who volounteered
>and sat around waiting for the DOD to get us over there.

Yall seem to forget all the reservists who went to many places and did
their duty without a whimper..a few mouthy media spotlighted ones is no
reason to condem the majority.

Gunner

"Aren't cats Libertarian? They just want to be left alone.
I think our dog is a Democrat, as he is always looking for a handout"
Unknown Usnet Poster

Heh, heh, I'm pretty sure my dog is a liberal - he has no balls.
Keyton

1722. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 02:17:56 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <0gmsktok42gcncmomi8gps2d4e9mcir09g@4ax.com>
Source record: 63001
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Fri, 13 Jul 2001 06:14:42 GMT, "Me" <@.> wrote:

>Hey, everywhere I go on the internet there is always pricks like you guys who are going to attack me.
>Well, I only have one thing to say "FUCK YOU". I was not created to please you, but I please myself
>quite nicely and I'm #1.

Ill bet you do please yourself..and often. I understand the new copy of Hustler is out. Im sure you have already stained it badly. But..given the contents of your posts.. we can all take some solace that you dont have any farm animals......

Gunner

>
>"Dev" <.> wrote in message news:9il9rs01ueg@enews1.newsguy.com...
>> hmm, ME & Barry, same attidue or person. oh well. Answer me this. If we are
>> such pathetic losers. Why are you a scanner listener. Ham is jsut one step
>> up from scanner listening and CB. It is more combined into one. I guess that
>> means you are a freak and a pathetic loser too like us hams are sopposedly
>> like that. Why were you brought onto the earth ? To be a friggin troll ?
>>
>> Dev
>>
>>
>> "Me" <@.> wrote in message news:iy137.328$2j2.86613@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
>> > Not true, HAM radio is a serious form of communication around long before
>> the internet and unlike the internet, it works
>> > without the need for routers and hubs which fail and knock huge portions
>> of the internet out, which we've all experienced.
>> > Also, HAM radio is commercialism free, not dependent on cell phone outages
>> etc.
>> > On the other hand, I agree, HAM radio operators are usually overgrown
>> toddlers who like to play "official" and play "important"
>> > when they are mostly a bunch of pathetic mentally or defective freaks, but
>> indeed they do serve a major important role when it
>> > comes to emergencies which might be the only reason these pathetic people
>> were sent to the earth in the first place, to be small
>> > time heroes if only for a few days on their radios.
>> >
>> > "Barry OGrady" <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
>> news:s4uoktk3d6dnb2u5e86bfj21rm8kgs52bn@4ax.com...
>> > > On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:

>> > >
>> > > >
>> > > > CNN NETWORKS:
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > > > >Calling all ham radio operators
>> > > >
>> > > > >July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
>> > > >
>> > > > >By Dan Verton
>> > > >
>> > > > >(IDG) -- The System Administration
>> > > > >Networking and Security (SANS)
>> > > > >Institute is recruiting amateur radio
>> > > > >operators to take part in an
>> > > > >emergency communications network
>> > > > >that it said could be used by disaster
>> > > > >relief personnel in the event of a
>> > > > >catastrophic failure of
>> > > > >telecommunications systems,
>> > > > >including the Internet.
>> > > >
>> > > > Give us a break!
>> > > > Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
>> > > > It has nothing to do with emergencies.
>> > > >
>> > > > They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
>> > > > Stay out of things you know nothing about.
>> > > >
>> > > >
>> > > > -Barry
>> > > > ========
>> > > > Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
>> > > > Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.
>> > >
>> > >
>> >
>>
>

"Aren't cats Libertarian? They just want to be left alone.
I think our dog is a Democrat, as he is always looking for a handout"
Unknown Usnet Poster

Heh, heh, I'm pretty sure my dog is a liberal - he has no balls.
Keyton

1723. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 02:20:39 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <hjmskt4sea9tc3rlv822pl5pq9r3fk5q33@4ax.com>
Source record: 63003
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Fri, 13 Jul 2001 06:11:28 GMT, "Me" <@.> wrote:

>I hope ur not talking to me.
>For calling me an idiot, I'll konk ya on the head, fry you up and feed you to the
dolphins.
>

Hummm I think your an idiot, a retard, a moron, a low level imbicle, a
pizza faced cocksucking commode licking syphallitic dicklicking cancre
jack. Now.. please tell me your gonna konk me. Then lets party.. Ill
email you my address for ease in finding me.

Oh.. notify your next of kin before leaving for California. And get your
affairs in order. You wont be back. I promise.

Gunner

>
>"ircSLUG" <N-O-S-P-A-M-stalker@lvcm.com> wrote in message
news:tksn2lnjtqq17a@corp.supernews.com...
>> What a friggin idiot! Did you ever stop to think that some of those people
>> you are referring to SERVED THEIR COUNTRY!? Its assholes like you that
>> should be sent to places like IRAQ, BOSNIA, IRAN etc. See how long you last!
>> But hey....freedom (In your eyes is FREE) WHAT A LOOSER!
>>
>> Scott Dernbach
>> USS Kalamazoo AOR-6
>> 1990-1995
>
>

"Aren't cats Libertarian? They just want to be left alone.
I think our dog is a Democrat, as he is always looking for a handout"
Unknown Usnet Poster

Heh, heh, I'm pretty sure my dog is a liberal - he has no balls.
Keyton

1724. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 02:25:51 UTC
From: ircSLUG <N-O-S-P-A-M-stalker@lvcm.com>
Message-ID: <tksn2lnjtqq17a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62984
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

What a friggin idiot! Did you ever stop to think that some of those people
you are referring to SERVED THEIR COUNTRY!? Its assholes like you that
should be sent to places like IRAQ, BOSNIA, IRAN etc. See how long you last!
But hey....freedom (In your eyes is FREE) WHAT A LOOSER!

Scott Dernbach
USS Kalamazoo AOR-6
1990-1995

"Me" <@.> wrote in message
news:35737.1461$FS1.467047@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> Got to a ham radio show and look at all the freaks.
> Man, you've got wheelchairs running all around, people with half
> their heads and faces missin, arms blown off, legs up their butts
> and if you take a good smell, apparently allot of them have a problem
> shittin on themselves. You cannot tell me that hams are a freakshow, or
> maybe the HAM shows I've attented for the last 10 years was just for
freaks?
> The reason HAM's become HAM's is that they usually need something to do
with
> their lives as a form of social contact. If you are missing half your
face, or you crap your
> pants, chances are you are not going to be very social, thus you become a
HAM.
> Oh but now, look at us, we are doing the same things HAM's are doing. I
wonder if ur
> a freak! I know I am! :)
>
> "Mark" <trunker@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:%g237.1460$Cu2.177333@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Me" <@.> wrote in message
news:iy137.328$2j2.86613@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> >
> > >... when they are mostly a bunch of pathetic mentally or defective
freaks,
> > but indeed they do serve a major important role when it
> > > comes to emergencies which might be the only reason these pathetic
people
> > were sent to the earth in the first place, to be small
> > > time heroes if only for a few days on their radios.
> >
> > I can tell you know nothing about hams and how they enjoy

their
> > hobbie. There are way more 'mentally defective freaks' that use this
> > newsgroup that won't have a clue to what's going on when the shit does
hit
> > the fan.
> >
> >
> > > "Barry OGrady" <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
> > news:s4uoktk3d6dnb2u5e86bfj21rm8kgs52bn@4ax.com...
> > > > On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > > CNN NETWORKS:
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Calling all ham radio operators
> > > > > >
> > > > > >July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
> > > > > >
> > > > > >By Dan Verton
> > > > > >
> > > > > >(IDG) -- The System Administration
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

1725. NYC Surface Transit Trunked System: Fleet Map or Not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 02:45:16 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <0ht37.3700$I5.716678@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 62986
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is the NYC Surface Transit Trunked System a II system or IIi hybrid? If so, does anyone have the correct fleet map?

1726. Re: Is this a Moderated Group???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 02:45:25 UTC
From: John Habbinga <habbinga@wt.net>
Message-ID: <9ht37.25837$EW.113439@news1.rdc1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 62987

For those interested in guidelines about posting For Sale and For Auction item in Usenet Newsgroups should read ftp://ftp.faqs.org/faqs/usenet/advertising/how-to/part1. Since this group does NOT have any written guidelines, then this is the procedure you should follow when making posts of this nature.

1727. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 03:10:24 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B4E6690.6BACCA20@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62988
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

K0HB wrote:
>
> Which we used to call 'part time
> Americans'. Oh how some of them
> wailed in '91 when they actually
> had to be real sailors and soldiers
> instead of weekend warriors.

Almost everyone was complaining (wailing) about something. If it wasn't about bad food, it was the heat, the living conditions, the locals, or something else. Of course, that is nothing out of the ordinary - soldiers and sailors have been complaining probably since the creation of the first army and navy. So, why would you hold reservists to a higher standard?

In my opinion, criticism of reservists by full time personnel is nothing more than an attempt to make themselves feel important by putting somebody else down. Whatever the case, that attitude is very counterproductive in a military that is dependent on it's part time personnel.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1728. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 03:35:32 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B4E6C6D.DF4D4CA@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62989
Cross-posted to: alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Scott wrote:
>
> Like our local Office of Emergency Services
> with ARIES/RACES. An alternative group
> would cause confusion.

Check it out before dismissing it, guys. The focus is entirely different than that offered by either ARIES or RACES. Visit the web site at...

http://www.sans.org/newlook/home.htm

You can also ask questions in the Emergency Communications Network section of their forum at...

http://www.sans.org/sansforum/messages/513/513.html?994898617

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1729. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 03:38:33 UTC
From: "Aaron R. Kulkis" <akulkis@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B4E6D39.99CDFD94@yahoo.com>
Source record: 62990
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

K0HB wrote:
>
> In article <dwg37.14$415.38313@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>, N9NWO says...
>
> > We are going to be dependant on .... reservists.
>
> Which we used to call 'part time Americans'. Oh how some of them
> wailed in '91 when they actually had to be real sailors and soldiers
> instead of weekend warriors.

Piss on them. The rest of us went when called....many who volounteered
and sat around waiting for the DOD to get us over there.

--
Aaron R. Kulkis
Unix Systems Engineer
DNRC Minister of all I survey
ICQ # 3056642

L: This seems to have reduced my spam. Maybe if everyone does it we
can defeat the email search bots. tosspam@aol.com abuse@aol.com
abuse@yahoo.com abuse@hotmail.com abuse@msn.com abuse@sprint.com
abuse@earthlink.com

K: Truth in advertising:
Left Wing Extremists Charles Schumer and Donna Shalala,
Black Seperatist Anti-Semite Louis Farrakhan,
Special Interest Sierra Club,
Anarchist Members of the ACLU
Left Wing Corporate Extremist Ted Turner
The Drunken Woman Killer Ted Kennedy
Grass Roots Pro-Gun movement,

J: Other knee_jerk reactionaries: billh, david casey, redc1c4,
The retarded sisters: Raunchy (rauni) and Anencephielle (Enielle),
also known as old hags who've hit the wall....

I: Loren Petrich's 2-week stubborn refusal to respond to the
challenge to describe even one philosophical difference
between himself and the communists demonstrates that, in fact,
Loren Petrich is a COMMUNIST ***hole

H: "Having found not one single carbon monoxide leak on the entire
premises, it is my belief, and Willard concurs, that the reason

you folks feel listless and disoriented is simply because
you are lazy, stupid people"

G: Knackos...you're a retard.

F: Unit_4's "Kook hunt" reminds me of "Jimmy Baker's" harangues against
adultery while concurrently committing adultery with Tammy Hahn.

E: Jet is not worthy of the time to compose a response until
her behavior improves.

D: Jet Silverman now follows me from newgroup to newsgroup
...despite (C) above.

C: Jet Silverman claims to have killfiled me.

B: Jet Silverman plays the fool and spews out nonsense as a
method of sidetracking discussions which are headed in a
direction that she doesn't like.

A: The wise man is mocked by fools.

1730. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 04:51:35 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <P0v37.28177$hm.3976@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 62993
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Ah, Barry is an Aussie. He probably thinks a trunked radio is a CB in a suitcase. The guy's a troll, and has posted his B.S. here before. Just block him.

Mark
K5MAR

"Michelle Bronisz" <broniszm@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:w0o37.1655$q17.205941@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Lets see what you have to say when your city's trunked system, which is
> highly dependant on the repeater site, goes down and all of your public
> saftey agencies are unable to communicate.
>
> Jon
> KG4MRV
> "Barry OGrady" <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
> news:kdirktk9gm9n44n0fmr45799l8dm0ff8e6@4ax.com...
> > On Thu, 12 Jul 2001 13:13:00 GMT, rcnixon@mindspring.com (Russell C.
> Nixon) wrote:
> >
> > >Gee, Barry, I wonder why state Emergency Operations Centers have
> > >amateur radio stations in them? I wonder why my county's 911 center
> > >has an amaterur radio station in it? I wonder why I spwnd a half-hour
> > >or so Saturday mornings doing a drill?
> >
> > Because hams love to play at things they should stay away from.
> > If I was involved in an emergency that was coordinated by hams I would
> sue.
> >
> >
> > -Barry
> > ========
> > Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
> > Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.
> >
>
>

1731. Re: Question: Lee's Electronics 1600 mAh battery pack for BC245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 05:06:15 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <zev37.28923$hm.5398@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 62994
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The stock 245 pack is 800 mah, the replacement is 1600. Try twice as long,
as it's twice the size. Instead of 14-16 hours, try 28-32 hours.

Mark

"TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message
news:jHn37.3661$I5.621706@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> I don't have a battery charger, so I have to use my BC245XLT as a charger.
> If I buy that 1600 mAh battery from Lee's, how long should I leave it
> charging? Thanks.
>
>

1732. Re: OT: Radio Shack CB Radioss - Any good

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 05:14:16 UTC
From: no@aol.com
Message-ID: <9im037$jib6a$2@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62995

off topic, forwarded to rec.radio.cb

In article <3b4e2ce8.6495521@news-server.optonline.net>,
scosgt@worldnet.att.net says...
>
>Anyone used any of the Rat Shack Mobile CB uniuts?
>Are they any good?
>Compare to Uniden or Cobra
>Anyplace to look for reviews?
>Thanks

1733. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 06:11:28 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <kiw37.2491$415.762061@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62996
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

I hope ur not talking to me.
For calling me an idiot, I'll konk ya on the head, fry you up and feed you to the dolphins.

"ircSLUG" <N-O-S-P-A-M-stalker@lvcm.com> wrote in message
news:tksn2lnjtqq17a@corp.supernews.com...
> What a friggin idiot! Did you ever stop to think that some of those people
> you are referring to SERVED THEIR COUNTRY!? Its assholes like you that
> should be sent to places like IRAQ, BOSNIA, IRAN etc. See how long you last!
> But hey....freedom (In your eyes is FREE) WHAT A LOOSER!
>
> Scott Dernbach
> USS Kalamazoo AOR-6
> 1990-1995

1734. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 06:12:45 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <xjw37.2497$415.762445@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62997
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Because I'm a ham, but unlike most hams I'm not approaching 100 years old,
I don't live in a trailer home, I have a life, am not suffering from prostate problems,
am not bound to a wheelchair and don't crap or piss my pants.

"Dev" <.> wrote in message news:9ila6m01v37@enews1.newsguy.com...
> Hmm, Me. Why would you go to ham conventions ? if you are running down hams.
> Why go to them ?
>
>
> "Me" <@.> wrote in message
> news:35737.1461$FS1.467047@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> > Got to a ham radio show and look at all the freaks.
> > Man, you've got wheelchairs running all around, people with half
> > their heads and faces missin, arms blown off, legs up their butts
> > and if you take a good smell, apparently allot of them have a problem
> > shittin on themselves. You cannot tell me that hams are a freakshow, or
> > maybe the HAM shows I've attented for the last 10 years was just for
> freaks?
> > The reason HAM's become HAM's is that they usually need something to do
> with
> > their lives as a form of social contact. If you are missing half your
> face, or you crap your
> > pants, chances are you are not going to be very social, thus you become a
> HAM.
> > Oh but now, look at us, we are doing the same things HAM's are doing. I
> wonder if ur
> > a freak! I know I am! :)
> >
> > "Mark" <trunker@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:%g237.1460$Cu2.177333@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > "Me" <@.> wrote in message
> news:iy137.328$2j2.86613@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> > >
> > > >... when they are mostly a bunch of pathetic mentally or defective
> freaks,
> > > but indeed they do serve a major important role when it
> > > > comes to emergencies which might be the only reason these pathetic
> people
> > > were sent to the earth in the first place, to be small
> > > > time heroes if only for a few days on their radios.
> > >
> > > I can tell you know nothing about hams and how they enjoy

> their
> > > hobbie. There are way more 'mentally defective freaks' that use this
> > > newsgroup that won't have a clue to what's going on when the shit does
> hit
> > > the fan.
> > >
> > >
> > > > "Barry OGrady" <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
> > > news:s4uoktk3d6dnb2u5e86bfj21rm8kgs52bn@4ax.com...
> > > > > On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > CNN NETWORKS:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >Calling all ham radio operators
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >By Dan Verton
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >(IDG) -- The System Administration
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>

1735. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 06:14:42 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <mlw37.2506$415.764259@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62998
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Hey, everywhere I go on the internet there is always pricks like you guys who are going to attack me.
Well, I only have one thing to say "FUCK YOU". I was not created to please you, but I please myself
quite nicely and I'm #1.

"Dev" <.> wrote in message news:9il9rs01ueg@enews1.newsguy.com...
> hmm, ME & Barry, same attidue or person. oh well. Answer me this. If we are
> such pathetic losers. Why are you a scanner listener. Ham is jsut one step
> up from scanner listening and CB. It is more combined into one. I guess that
> means you are a freak and a pathetic loser too like us hams are sopposedly
> like that. Why were you brought onto the earth ? To be a friggin troll ?
>
> Dev
>
>
> "Me" <@.> wrote in message news:iy137.328$2j2.86613@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> > Not true, HAM radio is a serious form of communication around long before
> the internet and unlike the internet, it works
> > without the need for routers and hubs which fail and knock huge portions
> of the internet out, which we've all experienced.
> > Also, HAM radio is commercialism free, not dependent on cell phone outages
> etc.
> > On the other hand, I agree, HAM radio operators are usually overgrown
> toddlers who like to play "official" and play "important"
> > when they are mostly a bunch of pathetic mentally or defective freaks, but
> indeed they do serve a major important role when it
> > comes to emergencies which might be the only reason these pathetic people
> were sent to the earth in the first place, to be small
> > time heroes if only for a few days on their radios.
> >
> > "Barry OGrady" <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
> news:s4uoktk3d6dnb2u5e86bfj21rm8kgs52bn@4ax.com...
> > > On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > CNN NETWORKS:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >Calling all ham radio operators
> > > >
> > > >July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)

> > > >
> > > >By Dan Verton
> > > >
> > > >(IDG) -- The System Administration
> > > >Networking and Security (SANS)
> > > >Institute is recruiting amateur radio
> > > >operators to take part in an
> > > >emergency communications network
> > > >that it said could be used by disaster
> > > >relief personnel in the event of a
> > > >catastrophic failure of
> > > >telecommunications systems,
> > > >including the Internet.
> > > >
> > > Give us a break!
> > > Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
> > > It has nothing to do with emergencies.
> > > >
> > > They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
> > > Stay out of things you know nothing about.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > -Barry
> > > ========
> > > Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
> > > Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.
> > >
> > >
>
>

1736. BC2 78CLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 06:25:28 UTC
From: Dick <iwantitall2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <svw37.1283$Um5.348299@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62999

I'm looking for Mods for my BC2 78CLT.......

Anyone know where I can Find them?

Thanks.

iwantitall2@hotmail.com

1737. Calif Hwy Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 09:20:29 UTC
From: cjt1771@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010713052029.19521.00004046@ng-fy1.aol.com>
Source record: 63002

Anyone know if the CHP is still running in the 42mHz range for their dispatch
and stuff?
CJT 1771

1738. help w/ buying scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 12:03:46 UTC
From: Chris Emerson <emmy412@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <CsB37.309$23.54239@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63004

Can anyone suggest a decent base/mobile scanner with some good bells and whistles.

Thanks
Chris
emmy412@earthlink.net

1739. Re: Is this a Moderated Group???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 12:36:51 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <D10382B80DA46873.04CF9DB74421381E.2327A1092A405A37@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 63005

On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 19:30:04 GMT, Denis <bear105NO@SPAMyahoo.com>
wrote:

>I just received notification from my ISP that I "spammed" this newsgroup
>by posting a For Sale message recently. Is this against the rules for
>this newsgroup?? When did this group become moderated and who is the
>moderator? If this group IS moderated why haven't the rules been posted
>recently? ANd can the rules now be posted?
> I am quite concerned over this as I can lose access to my ISP for
>something like this.
>
>Thanks, Denis
>
>mailto:scan@medievalbear.com

In short, the legal departments of many ISPs require them to send
such "warning" Emails. They a really pulling to old "washing their
hands" routine (i.e. "We didn't have anything to do with his selling
that scanner").

But, there is no way the ISP wants to give up the 20 plus bucks a
month you are probably shelling out for their services, so I would
simply ignore it.

1740. Looking for scanning handheld CB...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 13:30:52 UTC
From: scasko@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010713093052.26052.00003847@ng-fb1.aol.com>
Source record: 63006

Anybody know of a company that makes one and where I can order one? Need it for work.

Thanks

1741. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 13:34:40 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <khstkt05e2csft7b16mdnm5o51khb1d8mk@4ax.com>
Source record: 63032
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Fri, 13 Jul 2001 17:31:52 GMT, "Me" <@.> wrote:

>YAWN! I mock you and slap you on your face with leather gloves and fart in your general
direction.
>
>"Gunner" <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote in message
news:hjmskt4sea9tc3rlv822pl5pq9r3fk5q33@4ax.com...
>> On Fri, 13 Jul 2001 06:11:28 GMT, "Me" <@.> wrote:
>> Hummm I think your an idiot, a retard, a moron, a low level imbicle, a
>> pizza faced cocksucking commode licking syphallitic dicklicking cancre
>> jack. Now.. please tell me your gonna konk me. Then lets party.. Ill
>> email you my address for ease in finding me.
>>
>> Oh.. notify your next of kin before leaving for California. And get your
>> affairs in order. You wont be back. I promise.
>>
>> Gunner
>
>
So.. then.. you were simply talking out your ass? Why am I not
surprised.?

Gunner

--
"Confronting Liberals with the facts of reality is very much akin to
clubbing baby seals. It gets boring after a while, but because Liberals are
so stupid it is easy work." Steven M. Barry

1742. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 14:24:32 UTC
From: W4NTI <w4nti@nospammindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9in0bo$h9l$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 63008
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Yes, he is talking to you. And he is right. Many hams are disabled from various wars, and other 'accidents'. Ham radio is a good way to spend a lot of extra time. 'Me', you are an insensitive fool. I just hope you have a problem down the line. Give you a feel for how it is.

Dan/W4NTI

"Me" <@.> wrote in message
news:kiw37.2491$415.762061@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> I hope ur not talking to me.
> For calling me an idiot, I'll konk ya on the head, fry you up and feed you to the dolphins.
>
>
> "ircSLUG" <N-O-S-P-A-M-stalker@lvcm.com> wrote in message
news:tksn2lnjtqq17a@corp.supernews.com...
> > What a friggin idiot! Did you ever stop to think that some of those people
> > you are referring to SERVED THEIR COUNTRY!? Its assholes like you that
> > should be sent to places like IRAQ, BOSNIA, IRAN etc. See how long you last!
> > But hey....freedom (In your eyes is FREE) WHAT A LOOSER!
> >
> > Scott Dernbach
> > USS Kalamazoo AOR-6
> > 1990-1995
>
>
>

1743. FA New AOR,Icom & Yupiteru Scanners Gov.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 14:32:53 UTC
From: Martyn <martyna@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <nyD37.105330$HJ1.2857677@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 63009
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I have up for auction the following Brand new scanners, the receivers all come with a full 12 month warrantee and are in sealed boxes. These receivers are full government spec. Please feel free to e-mail me with any questions that you may have.
Thank you for reading this posting.

! AOR AR8200IIB! **Brand New**
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1253898789

Icom IC-R2 **Brand New**
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1253896310

Yupiteru MVT-9000MK11**BRAND NEW**
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1253895201

Yupiteru MVT7100 **BRAND NEW**
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1253894445

1744. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 14:41:05 UTC
From: rcnixon@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b4f0805.801866@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 63010
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

It came to me suddenly last night. This guy can't pass the test.
That's where all of the bitterness and anger come from. He went to
hamfests when he was still a hopeful candidate! Eight-year-olds can
pass the test. My fourteen-year-old can pass th test but this guy
can't. Bwahahaha!

Russ

Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote:

>On Fri, 13 Jul 2001 01:54:15 GMT, rcnixon@mindspring.com (Russell C.
>Nixon) wrote:
>
>>Go right ahead and try. The next time a natural disaster strikes your
>>area, it's likely that amateurs will be involved. Sue away. Of
>>course, I doubt that you have the money to even have a lawyer tell you
>>you have no cause for action. God, I hate trolls but sometimes I
>>can't help myself. Sorry Gunner, I was tempeted past my ability to
>>withstand.
>>
>>Russ
>
>What are you apologizing for? You are 100% right. And you never even
>insulted the cocksucker.
>
>Gunner
>
>>
>>Barry OGrady <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote:
>>
>>>On Thu, 12 Jul 2001 13:13:00 GMT, rcnixon@mindspring.com (Russell C. Nixon) wrote:
>>>
>>>>Gee, Barry, I wonder why state Emergency Operations Centers have
>>>>amateur radio stations in them? I wonder why my county's 911 center
>>>>has an amaterur radio station in it? I wonder why I spwnd a half-hour
>>>>or so Saturday mornings doing a drill?
>>>
>>>Because hams love to play at things they should stay away from.
>>>If I was involved in an emergency that was coordinated by hams I would sue.
>>>
>>>
>>>-Barry
>>>========

>>>Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
>>>Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.
>
>
>
>"Aren't cats Libertarian? They just want to be left alone.
>I think our dog is a Democrat, as he is always looking for a handout"
> Unknown Usnet Poster
>
>Heh, heh, I'm pretty sure my dog is a liberal - he has no balls.
> Keyton

1745. Re: Calif Hwy Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 15:14:26 UTC
From: nihilist@laworld.gq.nu
Message-ID: <76f44434.0107130714.4a0ced48@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63011

cjt1771@aol.comnospam (CJT1771) wrote in message
news:<20010713052029.19521.00004046@ng-fy1.aol.com>...
> Anyone know if the CHP is still running in the 42mHz range for their dispatch
> and stuff?
> CJT 1771

Yes they are. CHP's Blue, at least here in Southern California, is
often a very interesting frequency to monitor, as pursuits are often
handled on it, as well as any Sig Alert notices.

n

1746. Georgia State Patrol Re: Calif Hwy Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 15:19:22 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <5kE37.15131$h6.5056417@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 63012

Speaking of which, many, MANY years ago the Georgia State Patrol was on 42.02 MHz. Does anybody know if they are still using that frequency for anything? My impression is that they are mostly in the 155-MHz band now but I'm just wondering. I still have the Hallicrafters receiver that my father (a Treasury agent) bought in 1965 in order to monitor the state troopers.

"Original Nihilist" <nihilist@laworld.gq.nu> wrote in message news:76f44434.0107130714.4a0ced48@posting.google.com...
> cjt1771@aol.comnospam (CJT1771) wrote in message news:<20010713052029.19521.00004046@ng-fy1.aol.com>...
> > Anyone know if the CHP is still running in the 42mHz range for their dispatch
> > and stuff?
> > CJT 1771
>
> Yes they are. CHP's Blue, at least here in Southern California, is
> often a very interesting frequency to monitor, as pursuits are often
> handled on it, as well as any Sig Alert notices.
>
>
> n

1747. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 15:44:49 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <RHE37.278$7d.61489@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 63013
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Sorry about the lateness of this post, but when I saw mention of Volunteer Firefighters and had to respond to the original poster!

I am a Volunteer Firefighter and also a Amateur Radio Operator, and I got into the fire service in a volunteer manner because I believe in the township I live in and wish to serve it in some manner or another. I am definitely not a fat toothless and unable to get a real fireman's job. I have a normal full-time job and could get a full-time firefighter's job if I wanted it. Most all V.F.F's get involved because they wish to serve their community, nothing more, nothing less. If our township had a better tax base I would be a full-timer with my department!

Sorry.... I know the original guy was just "trolling" but this one I had to comment about.

Ryan, KC8PMX

"Gunner" <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote in message
news:e32rkts2qr0a1uiiht5q73j139vds2497c@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 12 Jul 2001 13:03:58 -0400, Gene <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:
>
> >> Ironic because I exactly feel the same about them too!
> >> Fat toothless and unable to get a real fireman's job so has to
volunteer!
> >
> >Do you live in a rural area? If so, may I have your address so I can
> >send it to your local Vol fire dept so that they know where not to
> >respond to should you call?
> >
> >> > What a troll. I suppose you feel the same about volunteer
firefighters
> >> > and Red Cross workers too?
> >
> >Gene
> >http://www.tfn.net/~gfloyd7/cmn/
>
> That sounds like an excellent idea. Also pass it on to the Reserve
> Police officers who donate time, money and equipment, the volunteer EMTs
> (hope Barry doesnt have a bad heart..or power tools...)
>
> Pass it on to the volunteers at the hospitals the next of kin might drag
> Barry to as well.
>
> Gunner

>
>
>
> "Aren't cats Libertarian? They just want to be left alone.
> I think our dog is a Democrat, as he is always looking for a handout"
> Unknown Usnet Poster
>
> Heh, heh, I'm pretty sure my dog is a liberal - he has no balls.
> Keyton

1748. Re: help w/ buying scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 16:08:09 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010713120809.25215.00001315@ng-mp1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 63015

>Subject: help w/ buying scanner
>From: "Chris Emerson" emmy412@earthlink.net
>Date: 7/13/01 8:03 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <CsB37.309$23.54239@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
>
>Can anyone suggest a decent base/mobile scanner with some good bells and
>whistles.
>
>Thanks
>Chris
>emmy412@earthlink.net
>
>

Best place to see whats out there is:
http://www.strongsignals.net/

Good reviews and information.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1749. Re: Calif Hwy Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 16:52:56 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com>
Message-ID: <IHF37.12235$Gj5.6340114@typhoon.san.rr.com>
Source record: 63016

"CJT1771" <cjt1771@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20010713052029.19521.00004046@ng-fy1.aol.com...
> Anyone know if the CHP is still running in the 42mHz range for their
dispatch
> and stuff?

They're on low band, yes. Not necessarily at 42 megs. There are beginning
to be repeaters up, at least in some areas, instead of the old base/mobile
duplex setup.

San Diego County, for instance, uses: (all repeater outputs)

39.400 'Orange' San Diego (87 units)
39.600 'Gold' El Cajon (109 units)
39.800 'Tan' Oceanside (92 units and 115 units)
39.140 'Blue' channel - Backup and special ops.

Inputs are still on the old mobile channels

1750. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 16:54:15 UTC
From: "Br.Roull&#65533;" <borgbuster@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <OQE37.83$2y3.121012@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 63014
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Bullshit. You don't know shit about amateur radio and it shows ....

Add liar to your post nominal of stupid troll

"Me" <@.> wrote in message
news:xjw37.2497$415.762445@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> Because I'm a ham, but unlike most hams I'm not approaching 100 years old,
> I don't live in a trailer home, I have a life, am not suffering from
prostate problems,
> am not bound to a wheelchair and don't crap or piss my pants.
>

1751. Re: Calif Hwy Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 17:16:20 UTC
From: cjt1771@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010713131620.04084.00003604@ng-mf1.aol.com>
Source record: 63017

That's interesting to know, because I live less than 4 miles away from a CHP office and I haven't heard a single dispatch in one year of living here.
CJT 1771

1752. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 17:31:52 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <cgG37.1373$Lk1.197434@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 63018
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

YAWN! I mock you and slap you on your face with leather gloves and fart in your general direction.

"Gunner" <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote in message
news:hjmskt4sea9tc3rlv822pl5pq9r3fk5q33@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 13 Jul 2001 06:11:28 GMT, "Me" <@.> wrote:
> Hummm I think your an idiot, a retard, a moron, a low level imbicle, a
> pizza faced cocksucking commode licking syphallitic dicklicking cancre
> jack. Now.. please tell me your gonna konk me. Then lets party.. Ill
> email you my address for ease in finding me.
>
> Oh.. notify your next of kin before leaving for California. And get your
> affairs in order. You wont be back. I promise.
>
> Gunner

1753. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 17:32:26 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <KgG37.1374$Lk1.197771@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 63019
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

I'm insensitive hell yeah!
As far as a fool, not exactly. Getting there but not quite yet.

"W4NTI" <w4nti@nospammindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9in0bo$h9l$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> Yes, he is talking to you. And he is right. Many hams are disabled from
> various wars, and other 'accidents'. Ham radio is a good way to spend a lot
> of extra time. 'Me', you are an insensitive fool. I just hope you have a
> problem down the line. Give you a feel for how it is.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Me" <@.> wrote in message
> news:kiw37.2491$415.762061@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> > I hope ur not talking to me.
> > For calling me an idiot, I'll konk ya on the head, fry you up and feed you
> to the dolphins.
> >
> >
> > "ircSLUG" <N-O-S-P-A-M-stalker@lvcm.com> wrote in message
> news:tksn2lnjtqq17a@corp.supernews.com...
> > > What a friggin idiot! Did you ever stop to think that some of those
> people
> > > you are referring to SERVED THEIR COUNTRY!? Its assholes like you that
> > > should be sent to places like IRAQ, BOSNIA, IRAN etc. See how long you
> last!
> > > But hey....freedom (In your eyes is FREE) WHAT A LOOSER!
> > >
> > > Scott Dernbach
> > > USS Kalamazoo AOR-6
> > > 1990-1995
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1754. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 17:33:26 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <GhG37.1375$Lk1.198195@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 63020
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Did I not say I'm already a general class or maybe you can't read or don't want to read.

"Russell C. Nixon" <rcnixon@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3b4f0805.801866@news.mindspring.com...
> It came to me suddenly last night. This guy can't pass the test.
> That's where all of the bitterness and anger come from. He went to
> hamfests when he was still a hopeful candidate! Eight-year-olds can
> pass the test. My fourteen-year-old can pass th test but this guy
> can't. Bwahahaha!
>
> Russ

1755. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 17:58:21 UTC
From: rcnixon@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <3b4f3661.312238@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 63021
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Sorry, I just don't believe it. What's the callsign? Why don't you
just turn in your ticket and be done with it. Oh, that's right, you
probably aren't licensed.

Russ

"Me" <@.> wrote:

>Did I not say I'm already a general class or maybe you can't read or don't want to read.
>
>"Russell C. Nixon" <rcnixon@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3b4f0805.801866@news.mindspring.com...
>> It came to me suddenly last night. This guy can't pass the test.
>> That's where all of the bitterness and anger come from. He went to
>> hamfests when he was still a hopeful candidate! Eight-year-olds can
>> pass the test. My fourteen-year-old can pass th test but this guy
>> can't. Bwahahaha!
>>
>> Russ
>
>
>

1756. Re: NYC Surface Transit Trunked System: Fleet Map or Not?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 18:10:10 UTC
From: KG4MRV <broniszm@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6QG37.880$JS2.93840@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63022
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This is a Type IIi Hybrid system.
Go to: http://www.trunkedradio.net
"TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message
news:0ht37.3700$I5.716678@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Is the NYC Surface Transit Trunked System a II system or IIi hybrid? If
so,
> does anyone have the correct fleet map?
>
>
>

1757. Plane crash in Jasper Co, MO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 18:11:39 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9indkr$jhitd$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 63023
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all,

Last night I monitored the Jasper County Sheriff's Office working a plane crash in Carterville, MO. Carterville is close to the Joplin, MO airport.

Comms were on 154.230 & 156.210.

http://www.joplinglobe.com/010713/headline/story5.html

Tom

1758. freqs for ontario,canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 18:25:12 UTC
From: current_gothic@sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <3b4f3ce8.4375216@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 63024

wondering if anybody has freqs for ontario,canada carnies . u knwo the people that do the fairs with all the rides

thansk
dan

1759. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 18:25:24 UTC
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <3B4F3D14.9C189EA1@zylay.com>
Source record: 63025
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

> >> > We are going to be dependant on .... reservists.
> >>
> >> Which we used to call 'part time Americans'. Oh how some of them
> >> wailed in '91 when they actually had to be real sailors and soldiers
> >> instead of weekend warriors.
> >
> >The only complaining I heard from reservists in '90 and '91 was from
> >people worrying about how their families were going to make it on
> >greatly reduced pay. Sounded like a valid concern to me.
>
> It's too bad they didn't pay any attention to what they were
> agreeing to when they joined up.

I said they were worrying, not refusing to serve.

1760. Re: help w/ buying scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 20:18:51 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <LII37.5574$qs5.2239119@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 63027

Sure, get the Uniden BC780xlt. Base/mobile, trunking and lots of bells and whistles without costing a fortune.

Paul, KC2FNC
NJ

"Chris Emerson" <emmy412@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:CsB37.309$23.54239@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Can anyone suggest a decent base/mobile scanner with some good bells and
> whistles.
>
> Thanks
> Chris
> emmy412@earthlink.net
>
>

1761. Re: f.s. ICOM IC-F-LT uhf 99 channel programmable keypad portables 225.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 20:20:01 UTC
From: j <brontebear@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <RJI37.21110$mY2.2196082@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 63026

SOLD,SOLD,SOLD, THANKS TO ALL THE REPLYS
j <brontebear@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:a4g37.19153$mY2.1933624@news1.busy1.on.home.com...
> for sale:
>
> 99 channels
> ctcss codes,
> dcs codes,
> dtmf codes,
> scan function
> alpha tags
> 3 programmable power outputs 1,3,5 watts
> these are highend units that can be programmed with the keypad or software
> (included)
> easily cloned to eachother with optional cloning cable (opc-444)
>
> comes with good battery pack
> software for programming
> programming manual
> leather holsters
> new rubberduck antennas
> new belt clips
>
> presently programmed with 6 frequencies and they can talk to each other.
>
> 225.00US includes shipping via USPS insured
>
> Price is each I have 2 units for sale
>
> thanks
> brontebear@yahoo.com
>
>
>
>
>

1762. Re: freqs for ontario,canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 20:26:12 UTC
From: tony summerfelt <snowzone5@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns90DDA73CF3C99snowzone@24.9.0.131>
Source record: 63028

Current_gothic wrote on 13 Jul 2001

> wondering if anybody has freqs for ontario,canada carnies . u knwo the
> people that do the fairs with all the rides

http://home.achilles.net/~dhoisak/freq/eofl.html

might be a good place to start

--
telnet://ventedspleen.dyndns.org
http://members.home.net/tsummerfelt1

1763. Re: Shuttle Audio (and Video on the Internet)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 20:32:53 UTC
From: VE1BLL@rac.ca
Message-ID: <8a615218.0107131232.c9c63c2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63029
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This may be overly obvious, but you can watch NASA TV (and of course this includes listening to their audio) via the Internet. There tends to be more video than audio. When I watch NASA TV, usually I would have BBC-WS audio playing at the same time since there may be little or no audio for 15 minutes at a time on NASA TV.

http://www.nasa.gov/ntv/ntvweb.html
http://www.broadcast.com/learning_and_education/science/space/nasa/nasa_television/

Even the 56kbps version isn't that bad, although it would certainly plug up any further browsing if you're on dial-up. If you have DSL or Cable, then you can go for the higher bandwidth and still browse.

Enjoy.

1764. Pro 2004 scanner Electronic chart

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 20:43:24 UTC
From: hhh <tggrrt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <f4J37.13839$Kg7.908928@wagner.videotron.net>
Source record: 63030

I've a Pro 2004 scanner from Radio Shack and it's broken. I need desperately the electronic chart to find the problem and to fix it to.It's the old model like 1989-1990.
The problem is when i listening the scanner, something happen like a powerfailure and it takes few minuts to restart.
Where can i find the electronic chart?

Many thank's from Quebec,

girardyves@videotron.ca

1765. Re: Georgia State Patrol Re: Calif Hwy Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 20:49:19 UTC
From: webmaster@scanatlanta.com
Message-ID: <3b4f5e53.538221386@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 63031

GSP is still licensed on that frequency, they just don't use it. The
operate on:

F1 - 154.6800 base 154.8000 mobile
F2 - 154.6800 mobile 154.6800 mobile
F3 - 154.9350 base/mobile
F4 - 154.9050 base/mobile

In the Metro Atlanta area, they operate on 155.9100 (repeater).

/\/\|k.e
webmaster@scanatlanta.com
www.ScanAtlanta.com

On Fri, 13 Jul 2001 11:19:22 -0400, "Michael A. Covington"
<see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x> wrote:

>Speaking of which, many, MANY years ago the Georgia State Patrol was on
>42.02 MHz. Does anybody know if they are still using that frequency for
>anything? My impression is that they are mostly in the 155-MHz band now but
>I'm just wondering. I still have the Hallicrafters receiver that my father
>(a Treasury agent) bought in 1965 in order to monitor the state troopers.
>
>"Original Nihilist" <nihilist@laworld.gq.nu> wrote in message
>news:76f44434.0107130714.4a0ced48@posting.google.com...
>> cjt1771@aol.comnospam (CJT1771) wrote in message
>news:<20010713052029.19521.00004046@ng-fy1.aol.com>...
>> > Anyone know if the CHP is still running in the 42mHz range for their
>dispatch
>> > and stuff?
>> > CJT 1771
>>
>> Yes they are. CHP's Blue, at least here in Southern California, is
>> often a very interesting frequency to monitor, as pursuits are often
>> handled on it, as well as any Sig Alert notices.
>>
>>
>> n
>
>

1766. Re: FA New AOR,Icom & Yupiteru Scanners Gov.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 21:08:06 UTC
From: sk83rfr33k3r@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010713170806.15583.00003477@ng-cl1.aol.com>
Source record: 63033

>are full government spec.

YA WHAT DOES THIS FRULL GOVERNMENT SPEC CRAP MEAN u dont list specific frequencies does it recieve cell or do you just want peeps to think it does? contact me b4 using buy it now you trying to skip out on paying ebay fees or something?

1767. NETSET PRO-44

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 21:24:57 UTC
From: RICK <scanning@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <JGJ37.58224$aE6.5286874@news1.cableinet.net>
Source record: 63034
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

Any one know the if of a PRO-44 or where I can down load a manual for it.

THANKS
RICK

--
Home Page
http://www.scanning.homestead.com
http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/North_West_Scanning_Group
UK Ammeter Weather Sat Imaging Group
http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/ukawsig
http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/Shop_Watch
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CITIZEN_BAND_RADIO_UK
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Manchester_airport
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/yupiteru_mvt7000

1768. BC60XLT-1 Scanner Mods

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 21:25:15 UTC
From: Scanner Enthusiast <flanker68@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9iol56hh1@delphi.ridgenet.net>
Source record: 62913

Looking for modification to this model not for cellular but for 11 meter Mod. Trying to monitor CB radio and 10 meter conditions. Please email me if you have any info on this mod. I hope this is not illegal. Thank you in advance...

M. Alred
KCMS
CBERT
RACES

1769. Re: Looking for scanning handheld CB...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 21:26:38 UTC
From: Scanner Enthusiast <flanker68@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9iq4d5$23i$1@delphi.ridgenet.net>
Source record: 62935

"S Casko" <scasko@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010713093052.26052.00003847@ng-fb1.aol.com...
>
> Anybody know of a company that makes one and where I can order one?
Need it
> for work.
>
> Thanks
>

The only one I know of is the Uniden HR2510 but is illegal for use on 11
meter CB Band.

M. Alred
KCMS
CBERT
RACES

1770. BC895XLT VS. BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 21:33:23 UTC
From: Chris Emerson <emmy412@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <DOJ37.1452$23.174051@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63035

Is the amount of channels the only difference between the BC895XLT and the BC780XLT?

Also, can anyone explain what a "trunked system" is. Apparently you can only listen to a "truncked frequency" or a conventional frequency at one time. You can't listen to both at the same time...

PS: thanks for the earlier replies about finding a good scanner...

Thanks

Chris
emmy412@earthlink.net

1771. Re: GSM-decoding scanner/software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 21:38:52 UTC
From: Mark <mcass@caramail.com>
Message-ID: <3B4F6A6C.5346D347@caramail.com>
Source record: 63036
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes, there are for sure but it will cost you a little fortune.
You could try CCS but I believe they only sell customized testing
equipment at inflated prices. I was told they resell German-made
equipment "as-is".
www.gcomtech.com

If you want the real thing Rhode & Schwarz (www.rsd.de) and Agilent
(www.agilent.com), a subsidiary of HP have the engineering capabilites
but might not sell to individuals. And if they do it will come at a
price.

I have also heard (unconfirmed) reports that these companies will also
manufacture such equipment
www.sdsinc.ca
www.spytec.com

This kind of activity usually involves heavy stuff (not quite the pocket
scanner...) and has been restricted to law enforcement, mainly for cost
reasons.

R wrote:

> Does anyone know if there are any scanners or computer software
> that can decode transmissions between GSM mobile phones
> into voice audio.
> Last year a scanner "UBIK 2000" was for sale in Sweden,it
> was bought in Asia,and the seller of this scanner said that
> he could listen to GSM conversation with it,the price was
> around 2500 US dollars.Has anyone heard of this scanner?
> Or any other scanner on the market?

1772. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 22:56:04 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <1usuktcu7ikk6o2ejmor8ou716vr78c8ht@4ax.com>
Source record: 63037
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Fri, 13 Jul 2001 14:25:24 -0400, Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
wrote:

>> >> > We are going to be dependant on .... reservists.
>> >>
>> >> Which we used to call 'part time Americans'. Oh how some of them
>> >> wailed in '91 when they actually had to be real sailors and soldiers
>> >> instead of weekend warriors.
>> >
>> >The only complaining I heard from reservists in '90 and '91 was from
>> >people worrying about how their families were going to make it on
>> >greatly reduced pay. Sounded like a valid concern to me.
>>
>> It's too bad they didn't pay any attention to what they were
>> agreeing to when they joined up.
>
>I said they were worrying, not refusing to serve.

To be accurate, you said they were complaining- and worrying. But
if they had paid attention when they signed up, they would have
known they had no basis for complaining. They weren't drafted.
As for how their families would get by on reduced pay- didn't they
consider that possibility when they joined? Or did they join and
agree to be available for something like the Gulf War, but assumed
that it would never really happen? As my dear departed father
told me- never trust the Federal Government. Don't trust it to do
what you hope it will do, or what it should do.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1773. Crabtree Valley Mall Police Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 23:21:18 UTC
From: Kevan <parkranger@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <OnL37.1620$23.195800@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 63038

Anyone out ther call tell me the freqs for Crabtree Valley Mall Special Police. And maybe the local security (Belks, Lord and Taylors, Sears, etc.)?

1774. loooking for freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-13 23:35:58 UTC
From: current_gothic@sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <3b4f85c5.1897162@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 63039

anybody knwo the freq's for conklin midway

if ya do post them please

thanks

dan

1775. Re: Question: Lee's Electronics 1600 mAh battery pack for BC245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 00:33:41 UTC
From: mgd99@usa.net
Message-ID: <265f1f1c.0107131633.5c51f21f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 63040
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<jHn37.3661$I5.621706@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>...
> I don't have a battery charger, so I have to use my BC245XLT as a charger.
> If I buy that 1600 mAh battery from Lee's, how long should I leave it
> charging? Thanks.

24 hours. Buy the external charger that came standard with the 235XLT.

1776. Re: Crabtree Valley Mall Police Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 00:50:54 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010713205054.03624.00005384@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 63041

>Anyone out ther call tell me the freqs for Crabtree Valley Mall Special
>Police.

Are you referring to Raleigh, NC ?

If so, and you don't get a response here, try reposting on the Carolinascanner list on Yahoogroups.com.

There are many hobbyists in the Wake County area, including a hobby group that meets once-a-month.

An inquiry on Carolinascanner will get some responses.

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

1777. Re: NETSET PRO-44

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 00:52:18 UTC
From: "Dan B (Scanner Nuts)" <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <0FM37.106549$HJ1.2941768@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 63042
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

Look here:
http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/8489.htm

--
The Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
0ver 360 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
New and Old.... bring back the memories.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

***
"RICK" <scanning@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:JGJ37.58224$aE6.5286874@news1.cableinet.net...
> Any one know the if of a PRO-44 or where I can down load a manual for it.
>
> THANKS
> RICK
>
>
> --
> Home Page
> http://www.scanning.homestead.com
> http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/North_West_Scanning_Group
> UK Ammeter Weather Sat Imaging Group
> http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/ukawsig
> http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/Shop_Watch
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CITIZEN_BAND_RADIO_UK
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Manchester_airport
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/yupiteru_mvt7000
>
>
>
>

1778. FS: Radio Shack Low-Band Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 01:31:29 UTC
From: "Frank J. Bals" <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <RhN37.4346$gj1.374868@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 63043

Purchased brand new a month ago and never been used outside! This is the version with the low-band top element. It's been assembled in my bedroom and I've decided to stick with rubber duck antennas. Original packaging and manual. I have every screw and nut that came with it.

Price is $40.00 shipped in CONUS

1779. Re: Calif Hwy Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 01:46:52 UTC
From: Mitchell Enterprises <sales@kenmitchell.com>
Message-ID: <3B4FA48C.4FD7C93A@kenmitchell.com>
Source record: 63044

Where do you live or exactly where is this office? For example, there is
only one CHP dispatch center in LA County. The other offices in LA are
only area business offices.

CJT1771 wrote:
>
> That's interesting to know, because I live less than 4 miles away from a CHP
> office and I haven't heard a single dispatch in one year of living here.
> CJT 1771

--
Kenneth Allan Mitchell
Mitchell Enterprises
mailto:sales@kenmitchell.com
http://www.kenmitchell.com
(916) 772-1400
(916) 772-1401 (Fax)

1780. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 02:11:08 UTC
From: jmcr@nauticom.net
Message-ID: <3B4FAA3C.B572242B@nauticom.net>
Source record: 63045
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Fantastic response Ryan. I also have been in emergency services, I started in 1961. I have worked in police, state and federal fire fighting and ambulance services. I held position from Fire Chief to Ambulance Commander, etc.. I was appointed hard of the EMA in my community by our Governor in 1986 and continue too hold that position today. Along with our local and county radios in my command post I also have amateur radios. The hams in our county have come to our assistance on more than one occasion and we truly appreciate them.

By the way, I have had my ham ticket since 1974.

Ryan KC8PMX wrote:

> Sorry about the lateness of this post, but when I saw mention of Volunteer
> Firefighters and had to respond to the original poster!
>
> I am a Volunteer Firefighter and also a Amateur Radio Operator, and I got
> into the fire service in a volunteer manner because I believe in the
> township I live in and wish to serve it in some manner or another. I am
> definitely not a fat toothless and unable to get a real fireman's job. I
> have a normal full-time job and could get a full-time firefighter's job if I
> wanted it. Most all V.F.F's get involved because they wish to serve their
> community, nothing more, nothing less. If our township had a better tax
> base I would be a full-timer with my department!
>
> Sorry.... I know the original guy was just "trolling" but this one I had to
> comment about.
>
> Ryan, KC8PMX

1781. Wacky Mackey Lives It Up In JAPAN ---One Year From The Imfamous Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 02:47:28 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010713224728.06461.00005974@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 63046

Cheek-A-Likes at ease and parade rest

http://www.qrz.com/database?callsign=ks0f

1782. Re: Is this a Moderated Group???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 02:47:49 UTC
From: The Famous KS◆F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <ppO37.344503$p33.6950337@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62897

@home has robots that kick out warning messages like that all
the time. Call their number & talk to them, they'll probably back
off. Occasionally I have received similar messages from their robot,
& it has always been worked out.

This NG is NOT moderated, so don't worry about that. (NOTE: in the past
several people wanted this NG to be moderated, but the mail cause of
that problem passed away about a year ago)

Denis <bear105NO@SPAMyahoo.com> wrote
> I just received notification from my ISP that I "spammed" this newsgroup
> by posting a For Sale message recently. Is this against the rules for
> this newsgroup?? When did this group become moderated and who is the
> moderator? If this group IS moderated why haven't the rules been posted
> recently? ANd can the rules now be posted?
> I am quite concerned over this as I can lose access to my ISP for
> something like this.

1783. Re: Calif Hwy Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 02:54:03 UTC
From: Scott <spikelee7@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <71dvkt48bk29o9nfoch557tfqvlekjvbpt@4ax.com>
Source record: 62905

I would not only check all the other 42mhz freqs for CHP (your local office could have changed channels) but try the mobile extenders as well.

Scott
N6UUH

On 13 Jul 2001 17:16:20 GMT, cjt1771@aol.comnospam (CJT1771) wrote:

>That's interesting to know, because I live less than 4 miles away from a CHP
>office and I haven't heard a single dispatch in one year of living here.
>CJT 1771

1784. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 02:55:20 UTC
From: The Famous KS◆F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <swO37.344558$p33.6956481@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62898
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Actually, the same goes for reserves of ALL military branches.

IT3 John Mackey, USNR

http://www.trib.com/scjournal/ARC/2001/JULY/July_4_01_Wed/almanac/service.ht
ml

N9NWO <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote
SNIP
> The same goes for the USAR and National Guard. The active
> components usually can not tell the difference when serving along
> side a reservist. And the reserves are doing more and more active
> duty missions (look at all the folks just serving in Bosnia who are
> reservists).
>
> The day of full time professionals doing everything is over. We are
> going to be dependant on volunteers and reservists. Congress is
> even looking at returning to the draft, mandating that every male (if
> fit to serve) in the National Guard with service in the other reserve
> forces, active components, police, fire or prison services being optional
> avenues.

1785. Radio shack Battery?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 03:06:48 UTC
From: Tommis <tommis2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9iod8a$cr5$1@flood.xnet.com>
Source record: 62899

Does any one Know if radio shack sells a battery for the sportcat 150 uniden scanner.I need one quick Thanks What is the catalog number?

1786. OPTO EXPLORER FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 03:14:41 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <20010713231441.16852.00007735@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 62900

Title of item: Optoelectronics Xplorer Test Receiver (Nice)
Seller: phillyeagle
Starts: Jul-13-01 19:34:08 PDT
Ends: Jul-16-01 19:34:08 PDT
Price: Starts at $400.00
To bid on the item, go to:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1255324068

1787. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 03:18:30 UTC
From: The Famous KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <aSO37.344568$p33.6960404@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62901
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

You should be thanking military reservists for your freedom. If it weren't
for reservists like myself & others, you would not have the freedom to
make a fool of yourself like you do here on the internet.

The only time any reservists may have "wailed" was at losing probably 1/2 of
their
income when they were called to activive duty, yet still having to cover a
full
house payment & support their family. So the thanks you give reservists is
to put your mouth in overdrive.

Remember, the regular US military has NEVER won (or even fought) a major
battle or war without calling in the "Pros" (reserves). They simply can't
do it without the "pros" (reserves)!!!

http://www.trib.com/scjournal/ARC/2001/JULY/July_4_01_Wed/almanac/service.ht
ml
http://www.qrz.com/database?callsign=ks0f

K0HB <K0HB@nospam.NET> wrote
> In article <dwg37.14$415.38313@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>, N9NWO says...
>
> > We are going to be dependant on .... reservists.
>
> Which we used to call 'part time Americans'. Oh how some of them
> wailed in '91 when they actually had to be real sailors and soldiers
> instead of weekend warriors.

1788. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 03:22:54 UTC
From: The Famous KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <iWO37.344573$p33.6960960@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62902
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote
> Yall seem to forget all the reservists who went to many places and did
> their duty without a whimper..a few mouthy media spotlighted ones is no
> reason to condem the majority.

Well said, very well said!!!

The only time any reservists may have "wailed" was at losing probably 1/2 of
their income when they were called to activive duty, yet still having to
cover a
full house payment & support their family. So the thanks you give
reservists is
to put your mouth in overdrive.

Remember, the regular US military has NEVER successfully won (or even
fought)
a major battle or war without calling in the "Pros" (reserves). They simply
can't
do it without the "pros", & need the reserves to show them how it is
done!!! It takes
more to be a reserve than a regular!

http://www.trib.com/scjournal/ARC/2001/JULY/July_4_01_Wed/almanac/service.ht
ml
http://www.qrz.com/database?callsign=ks0f

1789. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 03:24:52 UTC
From: The Famous KS◆F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <8YO37.344575$p33.6960601@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62903
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
> It's too bad they didn't pay any attention to what they were
> agreeing to when they joined up.

The only time any reservists may have "wailed" was at losing probably 1/2 of their income when they were called to activive duty, yet still having to cover a
full house payment & support their family. The reservists still went & did their
duty!! So the thanks you give reservists is to put your mouth in overdrive.

Remember, the regular US military has NEVER won (or even fought) a major battle or war without calling in the "Pros" (reserves). They simply can't do it without the "pros", they need the reserves to show them how it is done.

http://www.trib.com/scjournal/ARC/2001/JULY/July_4_01_Wed/almanac/service.html
http://www.qrz.com/database?callsign=ks0f

1790. Re: Wacky Mackey Lives It Up In JAPAN ---One Year From The Imfamous Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 03:31:57 UTC
From: Cue A Man <Cueaman@Cableone.net>
Message-ID: <tkvf9esc8j4dcf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62904

He likes Girls anyway

"TheHamGuy1" <thehamguy1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010713224728.06461.00005974@ng-ch1.aol.com...
> Cheek-A-Likes at ease and parade rest
>
>
> http://www.qrz.com/database?callsign=ks0f

1791. Re: Is this a Moderated Group???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 04:45:28 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <N7Q37.16356$h6.5932482@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 62906

No. It is as immoderate as they come :) :)

1792. Re: Crabtree Valley Mall Police Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 04:46:06 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <m8Q37.16357$h6.5934722@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 62907

Crabtree Valley where? This is a worldwide newsgroup.

"Kevan" <parkranger@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:OnL37.1620$23.195800@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Anyone out ther call tell me the freqs for Crabtree Valley Mall Special
> Police. And maybe the local security (Belks, Lord and Taylors, Sears,
> etc.)?
>
>

1793. Re: Radio shack Battery?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 05:14:48 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <QsQ37.367$752.258@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 62908

Radio Shack "sells" the battery, BUT, it's not a stock item. They have to order it via RSU. You can get a NiMH replacement pack from Primecell for $24.95. It's rated at 1650 mah compared to 800 mah for the stock unit. Here's the link to their site: www.primecell.com

Mark

"Tommis" <tommis2@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:9iod8a$cr5$1@flood.xnet.com...
> Does any one Know if radio shack sells a battery for the sportcat 150 uniden
> scanner.I need one quick Thanks What is the catalog number?
>
>

1794. Re: Calif Hwy Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 05:28:01 UTC
From: Douglas Gavilanes <gavilan1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B4FDBC0.C3FAEA95@earthlink.net>
Source record: 62909

This is correct. In So.Cal, CHP has taken over old LASO frequencies on 39MHz, with repeaters, so that you can hear both sides of the traffic. Check the latest issue of Police Call for frequencies.

Regards,

Doug Gavilanes
Garden Grove, CA.

Skip Sanders wrote:

> "CJT1771" <cjt1771@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20010713052029.19521.00004046@ng-fy1.aol.com...
> > Anyone know if the CHP is still running in the 42mHz range for their
> dispatch
> > and stuff?
>
> They're on low band, yes. Not necessarily at 42 megs. There are beginning
> to be repeaters up, at least in some areas, instead of the old base/mobile
> duplex setup.
>
> San Diego County, for instance, uses: (all repeater outputs)
>
> 39.400 'Orange' San Diego (87 units)
> 39.600 'Gold' El Cajon (109 units)
> 39.800 'Tan' Oceanside (92 units and 115 units)
> 39.140 'Blue' channel - Backup and special ops.
>
> Inputs are still on the old mobile channels

1795. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 05:31:54 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <dpkvkt0sev9rlt4g54i7hjs41g81o841bs@4ax.com>
Source record: 62910
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 03:24:52 GMT, "The Famous KSï¿½F"
<NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> wrote:

>
>Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
>> It's too bad they didn't pay any attention to what they were
>> agreeing to when they joined up.
>
>The only time any reservists may have "wailed" was at losing probably 1/2 of
>their income when they were called to activive duty, yet still having to
>cover a
>full house payment & support their family. The reservists still went & did
>their
>duty!! So the thanks you give reservists is to put your mouth in overdrive.

Please read this carefully: I didn't ask them to be in the
reserves. I didn't order them to the gulf. While in the gulf,
they weren't defending the United States. They were enforcing the
Pax Americana- a different kettle of fish entirely. I do not owe
them any thanks for doing something I oppose. When the Iraqis
land on Monterey Bay and the reservists and NGs throw them back
into the sea, I'll be very grateful. If me "putting my mouth in
overdrive" by reminding them of what they agreed to bothers you,
TFB.

>Remember, the regular US military has NEVER won (or even fought) a major
>battle or war without calling in the "Pros" (reserves). They simply can't
>do it without the "pros", they need the reserves to show them how it is
>done.

I'll sure take your word on that. It has nothing to do with
reservists and NGs complaining about the government holding them
to their terms of enlistment. Lesson- read before you sign.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1796. Orange Co., CA. FD Trunk Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 05:45:33 UTC
From: Douglas Gavilanes <gavilan1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B4FDFDF.D9DFF672@earthlink.net>
Source record: 62911

Has North Net switched frequencies, IDs, or have they gone digital? I haven't heard anything out of any of the North MetroNet stations (Anaheim, Garden Grove, etc.) in days, but the OCFA system and Central Net / Santa Ana is coming in fine. Any help appreciated.

Regards,

Doug Gavilanes
Garden Grove, CA.

1797. ft530 yaesu

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 06:05:29 UTC
From: Johnn navkee <johnny@navkee.tmfweb.nl>
Message-ID: <9ioncirg1@azure.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 62912

Hello - I've a serious problem!
First , I 'll introduce myself,I am a Amateur from the Netherlands .
So, maybe you can help me out?
I am looking for a specific type of manual, as the original
manual for the Yeasu FT530. What I really want is a copy of the
manual , it may allso in some sort of digital format, preferably Adobe
Acrobat PDF format or just in microsoft-word.

If you do has these resource, access to someone who does, or can
otherwise assist, I'd be interested in exchanging some email-
P.S. sorry for my verry bad englisch language!
--

Thanks --

1798. New Dfw Pictures added of a house fire

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 08:24:21 UTC
From: outrunsho21@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010714042421.14759.00004117@ng-cd1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62914

There was a fire in dallas that caused a half a million dollars in damage. Click below to go the the site. 10 Pics of the house on fire! Then 16 pics of the inside of the house after the fire. Look at the 6 inches of water in the living room. It is a mess. Sign or send e-mail and let me know what you think. Thanks Jason

http://www.geocities.com/outrunsho21/DFWPICTURES.html

1799. Re: Anyone seen these ultra-range cordless phones (20miles+)?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 13:23:03 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <_IX37.16998$h6.6389477@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 62915

I tuned in late, but isn't it obvious that a 20-mile "cordless phone" will reach *everyone* (or at least every potential eavesdropper) within a 20-mile radius?

It's all too easy for people to believe that radio is a magic link from point to point. It's not. It's a signal blanketing an area, plus at least one receiver to pick it up.

I used to write the Q&A column for Electronics Now (now Poptronics) Magazine. About once a year I got a question about super-range cordless phones. Nobody ever seemed to realize there would be a privacy problem.

1800. Tracking and freq. spaceshuttle

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 13:37:39 UTC
From: Czeslaw <tsw@pro.com>
Message-ID: <3b504a5e$0$82037@news2.zeelandnet.nl>
Source record: 62916

See http://www.geocities.com/belgascan/air.html

1801. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 13:39:04 UTC
From: "Drink, WP3JQ" <NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <ms647.33555$u76.1308264@e420r-atl3.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62855
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Can someone help me out here. This thread's kind of long and convoluted.
What's the e-mail address of the dude who wrote:

"Hummm I think your an idiot, a retard, a moron, a low level imbicle, a
pizza faced cocksucking commode licking syphallitic dicklicking cancre"

Thanks,
Drink, WP3JQ

"Gunner" <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote in message
news:khstkt05e2csft7b16mdnm5o51khb1d8mk@4ax.com...
> On Fri, 13 Jul 2001 17:31:52 GMT, "Me" <@.> wrote:
>
> >YAWN! I mock you and slap you on your face with leather gloves and fart
in your general direction.
> >
> >"Gunner" <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote in message
news:hjmskt4sea9tc3rlv822pl5pq9r3fk5q33@4ax.com...
> >> On Fri, 13 Jul 2001 06:11:28 GMT, "Me" <@.> wrote:
> >> Hummm I think your an idiot, a retard, a moron, a low level imbicle, a
> >> pizza faced cocksucking commode licking syphallitic dicklicking cancre
> >> jack. Now.. please tell me your gonna konk me. Then lets party.. Ill
> >> email you my address for ease in finding me.
> >>
> >> Oh.. notify your next of kin before leaving for California. And get
your
> >> affairs in order. You wont be back. I promise.
> >>
> >> Gunner
> >
> >
> So.. then.. you were simply talking out your ass? Why am I not
> surprised.?
>
> Gunner
>
> --
> "Confronting Liberals with the facts of reality is very much akin to
> clubbing baby seals. It gets boring after a while, but because Liberals
are
> so stupid it is easy work." Steven M. Barry

1802. Re: Wacky Mackey Lives It Up In JAPAN ---One Year From The Imfamous Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 17:46:48 UTC
From: The Famous KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <cA%37.347367$p33.7026811@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62917

OF COURSE!!

Cue A Man <Cueaman@Cableone.net> wrote
> He likes Girls anyway
>
> "TheHamGuy1" <thehamguy1@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010713224728.06461.00005974@ng-ch1.aol.com...
> > Cheek-A-Likes at ease and parade rest
> >
> >
> > http://www.qrz.com/database?callsign=ks0f
>
>

1803. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 17:49:32 UTC
From: The Famous KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <MC%37.347371$p33.7027386@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62918
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

```
Bud, I've lived in Sioux City nearly all my life!
```

Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rr.com> wrote
> "The Famous KS&#65533;F" <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> opened the coffin lid and
croaked...
>
> >Actually, the same goes for reserves of ALL military branches.
> >
> >IT3 John Mackey, USNR
> >
>
>http://www.trib.com/scjournal/ARC/2001/JULY/July_4_01_Wed/almanac/service.h
tml
>
>
> Of course, the John Mackey WE all know isn't from Souix City.

1804. BC780 Extender - Freeware (Updated)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 18:08:08 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <AO%37.109180$HJ1.3082338@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62943

This is a program that may be of some use to BC780XLT
owners.

http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/BC780Ext.htm

-
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

1805. Icom IC-R2 - good or bad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 18:11:46 UTC
From: Scott Stoddard <stoddard@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <CX%37.3226$23.388162@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62919

I am considering purchasing an Icom IC-R2 scanner, and I'd like to hear comments from any owners or previous users. I am looking for something small and useful, as opposed to balls-out bleeding edge performance.

Since the thing has no keypad, how do you enter frequencies into the memory banks without downloading from a computer?

Any comments on this unit? Many thanks for any and all helpful replies.

1806. BC200XLT - Squelch Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 18:16:03 UTC
From: Scott Stoddard <stoddard@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <D%%37.3368$JS2.395585@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62920

I have a Uniden Bearcat BC200XLT that has been stored for a year or so. Just got it out, bought a new battery pack for it, and fired it up. Seems to work EXCEPT I can't get the squelch to break - no matter the setting of the squelch knob, I get no output on the speaker, and the unit will not lock on to any know good signals (like the local 162Mhz weather broadcast). Even if the rubber ducky was bad the squelch should break if turned down enough on no signal and I should hear something, so obviously this thing is FUBAR.

Any suggestions from any BC200XLT owners? I have done the MANUAL-2-9 reset procedure.

Thanks.

1807. Public Safety radio: the P25 digital debate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 18:16:21 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B508D74.5FEFD82C@gte.net>
Source record: 62921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

P25 debate: The digital standard revisited
By Bernie Olson
Mobile Radio Technology, Jul 1, 2001

Editor's note: MRT's April 2001 article, ï¿½Does the Digital Radio Standard
Come Up Short?ï¿½ by Stephen Bartlett, drew strong response from the
standards-creation community. A critique and technical assessment of the

article has been prepared on behalf of P25 proponents by Bernie Olson,
chairman of the Telecommunications Industry Association TR8.18
Committee on Compatibility and Interference. Following his analysis, we
also present a further clarification by author Bartlett of his position.

The article ï¿½Does the Digital Radio Standard Come Up Short?ï¿½ does a gross
disservice to Project 25. Although there are some good technical
descriptions of each modulation, the author's comparison uses different
performance criteria for the three modulations.

He then compounds the issue by using free-space propagation loss for a
range comparison. Free-space loss is only valid for point-to-point paths

where 0.6 of a Fresnel zone clearance is provided. In the land-mobile
environment, this is commonly not the case. Therefore, the propagation
power-loss exponent is closer to four than the free-space value of two, as
used in the author's comparison. This use of different performance criteria
and an extremely liberal propagation loss model has resulted in a distorted
conclusion that cannot be supported in an actual land-mobile radio
environment.

The flawed methodology used by the author is further aggravated by the
use of information from different sources. The author did not acknowledge
any disparity among the various reference levels, specifications or derived
values from the different sources. Tables 1 and 2 on page 20 clearly show
the difference between the assumptions used by the author and those
embodied in TIA-TSB88-A. The first table shows the relative coverage
range differences based on the criteria values from ï¿½TIA/EIA
Telecommunications Systems Bulletin TSB88-A, June 1999.ï¿½ These values

are based on a propagation power-loss exponent of 4, more typical of land-mobile communications. The derivation of these values is presented later. The second table is extracted from the author's chart. The receiver
IF bandwidth is indicated for the different scenarios.

The results in Table 1 are dramatically different from the author's conclusion chart (Table 2).

The author bases his range arguments on basic carrier-to-noise criteria derived from various references. However, when the author calculates C/N

from receiver bandwidth and published receiver threshold ï¿½specï¿½ data, he

fails to account for receiver noise figure. One could leave receiver noise
figure out of the picture and reference all performance to the receiver (demodulator) input, as the author attempts to do. This can, and does, distort the results unless a common noise figure reference is used.

Two receiver sensitivities (static and faded) are given in TIA-102 publications. The static sensitivity is used to make laboratory or bench

measurements. For analog radios, this benchmark is 12dB SINAD. For digital radios, this benchmark is 5% bit-error rate. Static sensitivity is not
used in predicting coverage or range. It is used to ensure that the receivers have a published performance specification that customers can measure and use to compare receivers from different vendors. This is the

receiver sensitivity value that normally appears on manufacturer's specification sheets.

The TSB88-A, TIA102.CAAA and TIA102.CAAB documents also include faded sensitivity values. There is no analog faded sensitivity standard, but
the median of the values used by various system designers is 13dB above the 12dB SINAD value for performance. For digital radios, the typical value
to achieve 5% BER in faded conditions is 6dB to 8dB above the 5% BER static sensitivity level. However, 5% BER in a faded environment is insufficient to produce acceptable audio. A lower BER is required to achieve
acceptable audio quality levels, with 2.6% BER being considered the minimum design level for Project 25 digital radios using the IMBE vocoder.

Different scenarios

The author apparently used pieces of different specifications but failed to
recognize the different scenarios these values are intended to represent.

Also, many of the values cited for C/N are referenced relative to the receiver's ï¿½thermal noise threshold,ï¿½ which is the sum of the receiver's

noise figure and the thermal noise-floor level based on receiver bandwidth.
It appears the author assumes that the reference is just to the thermal noise-floor level.

For example, in one reference the author cited the TIA102.CAAB performance recommendation, which refers to a static reference sensitivity of -116dBm at 5% BER. This value is correct. However, the author failed to include the noise figure of the receiver in his analysis. For example, a noise figure of 10dB would result in a required C/N figure of 10.4dB [-116dBm static sensitivity -(-136.4dBm thermal noise floor at 5.76kHz IF bandwidth +10dB noise figure)]. This figure is much closer to the 7.6dB value as shown in Table 3 on page 24. Furthermore, when one considers that TIA's specification is a ï¿½minimumï¿½ value and that manufacturers' equipment typically exceeds that figure by 2dB to 3dB or more, the Table 3 C/N value is confirmed.

In another example, the information from the government Web site referred to by the author is accurate, but the author's interpretation is incorrect. The -121.4dBm level measured is for static sensitivity at 5% BER that occurs at 7.6dB C/N. This calculates to a receiver thermal noise floor of -129dBm, implying a receiver noise figure of 7.4dB (-129- (-136.4)). The published static sensitivity for that receiver is -119dBm, so that particular receiver exceeded the manufacturer's published specifications by about 2.5dB.

The author also misconstrued manufacturer's data. The level that was referenced (14dB) refers to the faded 5% BER, which is specified in TIA102.CAAB as being 8dB more severe than static conditions. Properly represented, this material would produce a calculated faded C/N of 15.6dB (8+7.6) relative to the receiver's thermal-noise threshold. However, these should accurately be portrayed as ï¿½minimumï¿½ values, even though typical measured values are between 6dB and 8dB. That brings the manufacturer's value of 14dB into the expected range.

We cannot support the author's value of 6dB for analog FM. It lies somewhere between a static 12dB and 20dB SINAD. Under static conditions, it could be argued that this is a reasonable value. However, it would not be a representative value under faded conditions that delivers acceptable audio quality to the user. As indicated in Table 3, the recommended faded C/N value is 17dB, an 11dB difference before adjusting for filter bandwidth differences.

We are particularly disturbed that only anecdotal evidence was presented claiming lower range from Project 25 C4FM, while range was represented as an absolute derived from empirical data. Many narrow bandwidth digital systems have been installed, and coverage

is always greater than analog unless there is interference present*.

Published industry reference

We would encourage you to review the correct criteria values when making

your own assessments. Those values are located and published in ï¿½TIA/EIA

Telecommunications Systems Bulletin TSB88-A, June 1999.ï¿½ TSB88-A is a technical reference document developed in conjunction with the entire suite
of Project 25 documents by a committee representing vendors, users, frequency coordinators and regulators. It was developed to provide frequency coordinators with the necessary information to determine frequency reuse as well as with a methodology for determining coverage range in the presence of co-channel and adjacent-channel interference. In
that context, this information allows for the use of computer programs to
evaluate potential frequency selections and to maximize coverage range while minimizing interference.

Because different digital modulations have different performance characteristics, a range of channel performances was developed, loosely based on the ï¿½circuit meritï¿½ definitions used for analog system evaluation.
The definition is described as delivered audio quality and refers to:

How easy or difficult it is to understand the intelligibility of a signal.
Whether or not part of the audio is missing, requiring the message to be repeated, and if so, how frequently.
What the detractors are, e.g., noise for analog or artifacts (distortions) for digital.

New systems are designed for a minimum DAQ of 3.0. A DAQ 2.0 is considered to be difficult to listen to, requiring considerable effort and
frequent repetition for understanding. The higher DAQ values are defined

for higher performance levels. They are defined as equivalent intelligibility
to static SINAD values, but in the presence of Rayleigh fading (multipath),
as experienced in actual usage.

Table 3, extracted from TSB88-A, shows the values for reference sensitivity (static C/N ratios) and the faded carrier to interference plus
noise ratios, (Cf/(I + N)) for various DAQ values. The interference component, I, is included because interference is considered to be another
detracting noise source.

It is important that a user or system engineer recognize that static conditions are only valid for bench measurements and are a way of comparing performance of individual units. By subtracting the Cs/N, the ï¿½noise floorï¿½ of the receiver can be determined. The Cf/(I + N) then determines the signal level required in the presence of fading and other

potential detractors.

For example, analog 12dB SINAD Cs/N is 4dB. If a manufacturer's published static receiver sensitivity is -119dBm, then the receiver's ï¿½thermal noise thresholdï¿½ would be at -123dBm. If faded analog DAQ 3.0 Cf/N is 17dB, then the faded signal level for system design would be -106dBm (-123dBm thermal noise threshold +17dB Cf/N).

The width of the IF filter also needs to be considered. Wider IF bandwidths
produce less distortion and better channel performance at lower Cf/N. However, this comes with a price because wider IF bandwidths are more susceptible to adjacent-channel interference and result in higher thermal
noise powers in the receiver.

The author selected 14dB for C4FM (equivalent to a DAQ 2.0 [in fading]),

the static value (7dB) for ?/4 DQPSK and a static value (6dB) somewhere between 12dB SINAD and 20dB quieting for analog FM. It is difficult to conceive how three disparate criteria could be used to arrive at a uniform
and accurate conclusion.

Comparing digital modulations

As can be seen from Table 3, compatible four-level FM (C4FM) shows slightly degraded performance when compared to ?/4 DQPSK, yet both have better performance than analog FM, particularly at higher Cf/N values.

As stated earlier, one should use a constant receiver noise figure reference. For the Table 1 analysis, the values were calculated assuming

the same noise figure for all receivers. The comparison then becomes a simple matter of determining the difference due to bandwidth and the difference required for DAQ 3 and then calculating the relative ranges using a power exponent of 4.

The differences between noise power of various IF bandwidths are indicated in Table 4 on page 28.

The difference in faded C/N between analog FM (17dB) ï¿½ digital C4FM (16.5dB) = 0.5dB. (0.5dB + 3.44dB for the difference in the required receiver IF filter bandwidth = 3.94dB.)

The range difference using analog FM as the reference is:

The difference using ?/4 DQPSK is

Taking the absolute best case where the ?/4 DQPSK uses a 5.7kHz ENBW filter would produce a 1.35:1 ratio, an 8% increase over C4FM, but at the price of a non-compatible over-the-air interface.

The author discussed the distortion effects of transmitter filtering on the modulation. Typical ?/4 DQPSK implementations use a raised cosine pulse shape with the pulse-shaping split between the transmitter and the receiver. This technique, called matched filter, can be shown to result in theoretically optimum sensitivity under Gaussian noise. Part of the Project 25 deliberations was matched filters vs. pulse shaping. Project 25 decided not to select a matched filter system but rather to concentrate all the pulse shaping in the transmitter. Doing this makes the modulation compatible with linear and constant envelope (FM) transmitters and receivers. A slight loss of sensitivity exists compared to ?/4 DQPSK. However, the selected modulations, C4FM and linear CQPSK, are compatible in a Project 25 receiver. Thus. the receiver does not care if the signal is modulated with C4FM or linear CQPSK. This allows a 12.5kHz channel bandwidth transmitter using C4FM modulation to communicate directly (over the air)

with a 6.25kHz channel bandwidth receiver as long as the center frequencies are aligned. This creates a migration path to narrowband 6.25kHz channel systems. This migration path was encouraged and endorsed by the Project 25 user community.

Some of the author's comments about how digital technology degrades over-the-air-performance need to be considered and put in the correct context. Digital Project 25 radios have significantly greater range than

analog radios for systems designed for DAQ 3.0 or above. At the fringe of coverage (below signal levels normally used for system design), digital begins to break down at a faded BER of about 8%. This is in the general range of 12dB to 14dB Cf/N. At these weak signal levels analog would still be understandable (with great effort) while the digital radio would mute.

However, migration to narrowband analog FM does not improve this situation. Analog FM doesn't perform as well in narrowband channels as it does in 25kHz channels. If narrowband analog is deployed, there is a 6dB

degradation in performance from reduced deviation coupled with a 3dB improvement in receiver noise performance due to the narrower IF filter,

resulting in a 3dB overall degradation. High-signal performance is reduced
and a high SINAD cannot be achieved because some FM sideband information is lost passing through the narrow IF filter. Also, narrowband
analog becomes more susceptible to noise pops, giving up the advantage that normal analog FM enjoys.

*Interference has been increasingly evident in portions of the 800MHz band where nearby cellular/SMR deployments create coverage holes due to their high signal levels where the desired signal is relatively lower. Both
digital and analog systems are affected, but digital systems will mute while
analog systems may provide audible clues to a user. The absence of audible clues is frequently reported as a range problem. This topic was the
cover story in MRT's March 2001 Issue, ï¿½Why can't we talk? It's the interference.ï¿½ Visit www.apco911.org for a copy of the Best Practices Guide
described therein.

Muting thresholds: Stephen Bartlett's response

I appreciate Mr. Olson taking the time to offer his perspective on my article
ï¿½Does the Digital Radio Standard Come up Short?ï¿½ (April 2001, MRT), and

his candor in pointing out several key issues he had with it.

I wish to clarify to Mr. Olson, and the mobile radio community, a misunderstanding about what my article was reporting. The study I described wasn't about the added range of audio quality that the P25 digital
design achieves, but rather a discussion of the differences between the narrowband digital and wideband analog FM systems at the edge of radio coverage, or in other low signal-to-noise environments. As Mr. Olson pointed out, systems are not typically engineered for these fringe areas.
However, it is under these conditions that radios will either mute or not,
making coverage differences especially noticeable. The focus of my article
was on how these different muting thresholds affect absolute range.

Mr. Olson is justified in suggesting the use of the number 4 for the power-law exponent describing the path-length dependency, in deference to the ï¿½extremely liberalï¿½ exponent of 2 that I chose. His figure reflects
good engineering practice when designing mobile systems. It also reduces

the scale of any range difference ratio.

He was correct to point out my not mentioning the receiver noise figure (NF) in the overall noise power (Pn) calculation. The correct formula is

actually (neglecting interference):

For the analysis, I defined all receivers with equal and ideal NFs, but neglected to clarify this point. Where I erred was in assuming the manufacturer's specifications, and other measured data I used to compare

with the 4-FSK model, did the same. Many published specifications don't clearly state whether their data are static Cs/N, faded Cf/N or if the receiver noise figures have been removed, included or normalized in their
published values.

Muting thresholds will affect the coverage of the mobile radio system. Comparing these thresholds is the challenge. In his article, Mr. Olson stated that using a faded channel (Rayleigh fading) Cf/N ratio is more appropriate for modeling system performances than static Cs/N ratios. He

also mentioned that no analog fading sensitivity standard exists, and he

further recommended a faded value of 17dB for FM systems. This may be appropriate for determining a DAQ of 3.0, but not for a threshold mute. There are references showing critical wideband FM receiver thresholds are
actually closer to 10dB; less with threshold extension (Rappaport, Wireless
Communications). For digital systems, the mute threshold is defined by the
critical bit-error rate where data cease to be reliably detected and vocoders to operate. It was good of Mr. Olson to recommend using the more realistic 2.6% BER threshold value for the IMBE vocoder to deliver more accepted audio in a faded channel. However, because of the focus of

my article, I chose the 5% BER value as the digital mute threshold.

For a more complete approach in determining the expected faded channel performance for the digital systems, refer to J. Proakis' book Digital Communications, Fourth Edition, where the ideal theoretical performances

of many common digital modulation schemes in a Rayleigh faded channel are derived. In such a channel, the error rates vary inversely with signal to
noise ï¿½ unlike static exponential error behavior. In the case of binary,

noncoherent, orthogonal FSK modulation, a Cf/N value can be found directly from the probability of error (Pb) using the Rayleigh channel formula: Cf/N (dB); 10log (1/Pb). We can use this equation to calculate the
Cf/N performance for any FSK signal at 5% BER. Orthogonal binary FSK and 4-FSK channels will perform nearly identical except at low Cf/N. Therefore we can use the Rayleigh formula to estimate C4FM's average departure from ideal performance in weak signals due primarily to its

nonorthogonal four-level modulation.

Mr. Olson reported that in the faded channel, C4FM will break down in the
fringe areas with 8% BER at a Cf/N of 12dB to 14dB. The Rayleigh channel

formula predicts the ideal binary FSK Cf/N value at 8% BER to be 11dB.
Thus, Mr. Olson's 8% BER value shows that C4FM has from 1dB to 3dB of
degradation (2dB average) from ideal. From this, we can determine the
optimal Cf/N mute threshold for C4FM modulation at 5% BER:

Using this optimal C4FM mute threshold of 15dB, the referenced wideband
FM mute threshold of 10dB, and the bandwidth adjustment of 3.44dB, an
absolute range difference between the digital C4FM and wideband FM
analog systems can be calculated, using the equation recommended by Mr.
Olson:

This 0.91 value shows a potential loss of absolute range between the
C4FM
digital and wideband FM analog systems, due to their different muting
thresholds. Realistically, in the unpredictable faded channel with the
parameters of analog and digital modulation being so different, there
may
be a variety of cases where either system may outperform the other,
perform nearly the same or vacillate between these extremes ï¿½ especially

in fringe areas where muting occurs. It is in the best interest of the
community to know how these digital narrowband systems perform in a
variety of locations and scenarios as they become more widely
implemented. If problems can be predicted early, they can be mitigated
early.ï¿½ S. Bartlett

http://www.telecomclick.com/magazinearticle.asp?magazineid=3&releaseid=6763&magazinearticl
eid=111910

http://www.strongsignals.net/

1808. Re: help w/ buying scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 18:21:53 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <A1047.26207$uJ3.1135398@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62941

> Can anyone suggest a decent base/mobile scanner with some good bells and
> whistles.

The RS 2067 is good if you don't intend to attach a high gain antenna to it for VHF HI listening. That band gets easily over-loaded. Otherwise it's a very fine radio.

Roland

1809. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 18:28:22 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B509049.CDC8C97C@gte.net>
Source record: 62922
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

> > We are going to be dependant on ..... reservists.
>
> Which we used to call 'part time Americans'. Oh how some of them
> wailed in '91 when they actually had to be real sailors and soldiers
> instead of weekend warriors.

Except now the active components avoid any real
duty in favor of training while the reservists do most
of the missions. If it keeps up, the active side will
be there only for training the reserves.

1810. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 18:31:22 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B5090FE.1B5D695C@gte.net>
Source record: 62923
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

> >> >> > We are going to be dependant on ..... reservists.
> >> >>
> >> >> Which we used to call 'part time Americans'. Oh how some of them
> >> >> wailed in '91 when they actually had to be real sailors and soldiers
> >> >> instead of weekend warriors.
> >> >
> >> >The only complaining I heard from reservists in '90 and '91 was from
> >> >people worrying about how their families were going to make it on
> >> >greatly reduced pay. Sounded like a valid concern to me.
> >>
> >> It's too bad they didn't pay any attention to what they were
> >> agreeing to when they joined up.
> >
> >I said they were worrying, not refusing to serve.
>
> To be accurate, you said they were complaining- and worrying. But
> if they had paid attention when they signed up, they would have
> known they had no basis for complaining. They weren't drafted.
> As for how their families would get by on reduced pay- didn't they
> consider that possibility when they joined? Or did they join and
> agree to be available for something like the Gulf War, but assumed
> that it would never really happen? As my dear departed father
> told me- never trust the Federal Government. Don't trust it to do
> what you hope it will do, or what it should do.

A little bit of both. But then we had the active duty
Air Force bitching in December 1990 on how they
were not being rotated out after 90 days. And we had
active duty soldiers bitching about how they signed up
for college money, not a war.

That is what you get when you have a mercenary
military force instead of ALL males doing their duty
under the 2nd amendment.

1811. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 18:33:14 UTC
From: The Famous KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <Kf047.347416$p33.7032262@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62924
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

This is the USA, love it or leave it. Support your government
& the people who sacrifice their will & their lives to serve
in the military to protect YOUR freedom & rights.

I never saw a reservist who actually refused to go to duty,
they did complain about other stuff, like low pay. But they still
went to duty. Face it, the reserves are the backbone of the US
military & the military would be nothing without the reserves.

I do have one suggestion for you, **THINK** before you
respond to this thread, before making a fool of yourself AGAIN!

Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
> Please read this carefully: I didn't ask them to be in the
> reserves. I didn't order them to the gulf. While in the gulf,
> they weren't defending the United States. They were enforcing the
> Pax Americana- a different kettle of fish entirely. I do not owe
> them any thanks for doing something I oppose. When the Iraqis
> land on Monterey Bay and the reservists and NGs throw them back
> into the sea, I'll be very grateful. If me "putting my mouth in
> overdrive" by reminding them of what they agreed to bothers you,
> TFB.
>
> >Remember, the regular US military has NEVER won (or even fought) a major
> >battle or war without calling in the "Pros" (reserves). They simply
can't
> >do it without the "pros", they need the reserves to show them how it is
> >done.
>
> I'll sure take your word on that. It has nothing to do with
> reservists and NGs complaining about the government holding them
> to their terms of enlistment. Lesson- read before you sign.

1812. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 18:35:54 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B50920D.B0CCDE44@gte.net>
Source record: 62929
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

> >Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
> >> It's too bad they didn't pay any attention to what they were
> >> agreeing to when they joined up.
> >
> >The only time any reservists may have "wailed" was at losing probably 1/2 of
> >their income when they were called to activive duty, yet still having to
> >cover a gull house payment & support their family. The reservists still went
> & did
> >their duty!! So the thanks you give reservists is to put your mouth in
> overdrive.
>
> Please read this carefully: I didn't ask them to be in the
> reserves. I didn't order them to the gulf. While in the gulf,
> they weren't defending the United States. They were enforcing the
> Pax Americana- a different kettle of fish entirely. I do not owe
> them any thanks for doing something I oppose. When the Iraqis
> land on Monterey Bay and the reservists and NGs throw them back
> into the sea, I'll be very grateful. If me "putting my mouth in
> overdrive" by reminding them of what they agreed to bothers you,
> TFB.
>

PAX Americana is defending America. It is
our right to force our will on the world. We
are the greatest country and power since
the Roman Empire.

And I would damned be more willing to
fight on someone else's soil than my own.
Destroy the rest of the world, do not wait
so long that our country is destroyed. If
the battle is on our soil, we lose no matter
what happens. That is too close to my
family.

>
> >Remember, the regular US military has NEVER won (or even fought) a major
> >battle or war without calling in the "Pros" (reserves). They simply can't
> >do it without the "pros", they need the reserves to show them how it is
> >done.
>
> I'll sure take your word on that. It has nothing to do with
> reservists and NGs complaining about the government holding them

> to their terms of enlistment. Lesson- read before you sign.

Again, most of the Active forces bitched too.
We have a bunch of mercenaries, not professionals.

And the US military does not reflect the American
people. It is skewed to the right, hard.

1813. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 18:37:14 UTC
From: The Famous KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <uj047.347429$p33.7032498@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62925
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote
> Except now the active components avoid any real
> duty in favor of training while the reservists do most
> of the missions. If it keeps up, the active side will
> be there only for training the reserves.

The active duty people have always needed the reserves, they can't
get the job done without the reserves. THAT is why the reservists
are often times called the "Pros", because they give reserves the
more difficult missions that the regulars know they are not capable
of.

1814. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 18:38:14 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B509299.9226353F@gte.net>
Source record: 62930
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

> You should be thanking military reservists for your freedom. If it weren't
> for reservists like myself & others, you would not have the freedom to
> make a fool of yourself like you do here on the internet.
>
> The only time any reservists may have "wailed" was at losing probably 1/2 of
> their income when they were called to activive duty, yet still having to cover
> a
> full house payment & support their family. So the thanks you give reservists
> is
> to put your mouth in overdrive.
>
> Remember, the regular US military has NEVER won (or even fought) a major
> battle or war without calling in the "Pros" (reserves). They simply can't
> do it without the "pros" (reserves)!!!
>
> http://www.trib.com/scjournal/ARC/2001/JULY/July_4_01_Wed/almanac/service.ht
> ml

The 2nd amendment reflects your views. Our military,
to include police and fire, was not to be a bunch of
paid mercenaries but rather it was to be the duty of
all.

1815. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 18:39:43 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B5092F2.E70EA5FE@gte.net>
Source record: 62926
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

> > Ironic because I exactly feel the same about them too!
> > Fat toothless and unable to get a real fireman's job so has to volunteer!
>
> Do you live in a rural area? If so, may I have your address so I can
> send it to your local Vol fire dept so that they know where not to
> respond to should you call?
>
> > > What a troll. I suppose you feel the same about volunteer firefighters
> > > and Red Cross workers too?

90% of all fires in Indiana are fought by
volunteer fire fighters. That is true about
most states.

1816. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 18:45:10 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B509438.BD612F02@gte.net>
Source record: 62931
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

> >> Ironic because I exactly feel the same about them too!
> >> Fat toothless and unable to get a real fireman's job so has to volunteer!
> >
> >Do you live in a rural area? If so, may I have your address so I can
> >send it to your local Vol fire dept so that they know where not to
> >respond to should you call?
> >
> >> > What a troll. I suppose you feel the same about volunteer firefighters
> >> > and Red Cross workers too?
>
> That sounds like an excellent idea. Also pass it on to the Reserve
> Police officers who donate time, money and equipment, the volunteer EMTs
> (hope Barry doesnt have a bad heart..or power tools...)
>
> Pass it on to the volunteers at the hospitals the next of kin might drag
> Barry to as well.

Think of it this way. The liberals (socialists) have
pushed the idea that only paid professionals (mercenaries)
can do anything. So many, especially those who
are educated professionals, agree, thinking that
it frees them from most of the responsibilities of
caring. To them, paying taxes is caring.

It is like Scrooge. He wanted government to do
it all, after all he paid his taxes so why did he have
to personally care.

America was founded on all of us being involved.
It is our safeguard. The military was to be the militia
(all males fit to serve). The political process was
to be everyone, not just a few professionals.

The problem is that we are fat, dumb and happy.
And very willing to let the mercenaries run our
lives.

1817. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 18:47:27 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B5094C3.2717D0D3@gte.net>
Source record: 62927
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

> I'm insensitive hell yeah!
> As far as a fool, not exactly. Getting there but not quite yet.

No, he is just typical of the average liberal.

1818. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 18:49:03 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B509523.D9F044C3@gte.net>
Source record: 62928
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

```
>
> >Gee, Barry, I wonder why state Emergency Operations Centers have
> >amateur radio stations in them? I wonder why my county's 911 center
> >has an amaterur radio station in it? I wonder why I spwnd a half-hour
> >or so Saturday mornings doing a drill?
>
> Because hams love to play at things they should stay away from.
> If I was involved in an emergency that was coordinated by hams I would sue.

Typical left-winger.
```

1819. Re: Wacky Mackey Lives It Up In JAPAN ---One Year From The Imfamous Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 18:58:45 UTC
From: Cue A Man <Cueaman@Cableone.net>
Message-ID: <tl15jg3fn9i123@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62932

LOOKS LIKE YOU DID TWICE ALREADY
"Gold Pan" <goldpan@49er.com> wrote in message
news:0uh0lt4bde7f1egk39i37lcv79dhis0ueh@4ax.com...
>
> Please don't feed the troll!
>
> On Fri, 13 Jul 2001 22:31:57 -0500, "Cue A Man" <Cueaman@Cableone.net>
> wrote:
>
> >He likes Girls anyway
> >
> >"TheHamGuy1" <thehamguy1@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20010713224728.06461.00005974@ng-ch1.aol.com...
> >> Cheek-A-Likes at ease and parade rest
> >>
> >>
> >> http://www.qrz.com/database?callsign=ks0f
> >
>

1820. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 18:59:53 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B5097AC.7195E77D@gte.net>
Source record: 62933
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

> > Except now the active components avoid any real
> > duty in favor of training while the reservists do most
> > of the missions. If it keeps up, the active side will
> > be there only for training the reserves.
>
> The active duty people have always needed the reserves, they can't
> get the job done without the reserves. THAT is why the reservists
> are often times called the "Pros", because they give reserves the
> more difficult missions that the regulars know they are not capable
> of.

The Air Force uses reservists far more than
any other service. Some AFR do 6 month
tours every year.

1821. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 19:00:17 UTC
From: Cue A Man <Cueaman@Cableone.net>
Message-ID: <tl15mfnmtnpq53@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62934

rEMEMBER LIKE YOU sAID Please don't feed the troll!

"Gold Pan" <goldpan@49er.com> wrote in message
news:34o0ltkivpln31u2qc8op3sqol9tl88r6c@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 03:22:54 GMT, "The Famous KS&#65533;F"
> <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> wrote:
>
> >It takes more to be a reserve than a regular!
>
> Yup, someone has to change those bed pans, eh toilet boy?
> You go girl!

1822. BC780 Extender - Freeware (Updated)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 19:19:24 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <oR047.109240$HJ1.3092966@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62945

This is a program that may be of some use to BC780XLT
owners.

http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/BC780Ext.htm

-
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

1823. RE: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 19:27:27 UTC
From: Condor Chef <condor_chef@MailAndNews.com>
Message-ID: <3B5FFE12@MailAndNews.com>
Source record: 62936
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

>===== Original Message From N9NWO@AMSAT.org =====
>>
>> >Gee, Barry, I wonder why state Emergency Operations Centers have
>> >amateur radio stations in them? I wonder why my county's 911 center
>> >has an amaterur radio station in it? I wonder why I spwnd a half-hour
>> >or so Saturday mornings doing a drill?
>>
>> Because hams love to play at things they should stay away from.
>> If I was involved in an emergency that was coordinated by hams I would sue.
>
>Typical left-winger.

OTOH, if he were involved in an emergency, and the hams knew it; he might
"accidentally" be left off the coordination sheet. Kinda hard to sue when
you're a casualty.

CC
Press to Test <click> Release to Detonate

1824. Re: FA New AOR,Icom & Yupiteru Scanners Gov.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 19:56:37 UTC
From: Martyn <martyna@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Rn147.109258$HJ1.3099048@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62946

Well as you cant even sign your posting, that said a lot about you, to me
Anyway that aside, If you new scanners, you would know the frequency
coverage, I.e Yupiteru are full coverage and as for the rest, I guess you
can call me like the 100's of satisfied customers have done, and yes
everytime I re list an item it does cost me money and like you, I guess your
all out to try to get the best deal and if I can keep my overheads down I
can pass it on to the end user, I am sure you would do exactly the same, If
you cannot tell what a government spec. receiver frequency coverage is, ,
all you have to do is ask
I am sorry that people like yourself , with a bad attitude, destroys the
hobby, not enhancing it, Like most dealers I am trying to do a good job at
promoting radio in general so that the hobby lives on, since the popularity
of the internet, the radio hobby has suffered a blow and keeping up interest
and providing a good deal is vital to everyone.
Well that's that off my chest,
Best Regards

"Sk83R fR33k3R" <sk83rfr33k3r@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010713170806.15583.00003477@ng-cl1.aol.com...
> >are full government spec.
>
> YA WHAT DOES THIS FRULL GOVERNMENT SPEC CRAP MEAN u dont list specific
> frequencies does it recieve cell or do you just want peeps to think it
does?
> contact me b4 using buy it now you trying to skip out on paying ebay fees
or
> something?

1825. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 20:43:52 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <nbb1lt0uds8rl60trh8qh5mlsm9nao2nko@4ax.com>
Source record: 62937
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 18:33:14 GMT, "The Famous KSï¿½F"
<NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> wrote:

>This is the USA, love it or leave it. Support your government
>& the people who sacrifice their will & their lives to serve
>in the military to protect YOUR freedom & rights.

The Gulf War was not about protecting my freedom and rights. My
freedom and rights were not in jeopardy.

>I never saw a reservist who actually refused to go to duty,
>they did complain about other stuff, like low pay. But they still
>went to duty. Face it, the reserves are the backbone of the US
>military & the military would be nothing without the reserves.

So?

>I do have one suggestion for you, **THINK** before you
>respond to this thread, before making a fool of yourself AGAIN!

Is there something, anything, in my response that you didn't
understand? Again- I am NOT asking you or anyone else to go to
the other side of the earth to enforce American global control.
If you think that old "America, love it or leave it" B.S. will
silence people that don't agree with you, you're about 30 years
out of date. It didn't work then; it won't work now.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1826. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 20:56:19 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <kob1lt8kp4qperk4jpra2cg9r6l1dl9ukg@4ax.com>
Source record: 62938
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 18:35:54 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:

>> >Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
>> >> It's too bad they didn't pay any attention to what they were
>> >> agreeing to when they joined up.
>> >
>> >The only time any reservists may have "wailed" was at losing probably 1/2 of
>> >their income when they were called to activive duty, yet still having to
>> >cover a gull house payment & support their family. The reservists still went
>> & did
>> >their duty!! So the thanks you give reservists is to put your mouth in
>> overdrive.
>>
>> Please read this carefully: I didn't ask them to be in the
>> reserves. I didn't order them to the gulf. While in the gulf,
>> they weren't defending the United States. They were enforcing the
>> Pax Americana- a different kettle of fish entirely. I do not owe
>> them any thanks for doing something I oppose. When the Iraqis
>> land on Monterey Bay and the reservists and NGs throw them back
>> into the sea, I'll be very grateful. If me "putting my mouth in
>> overdrive" by reminding them of what they agreed to bothers you,
>> TFB.
>>
>
>PAX Americana is defending America.

No, it is not. It is the projection of American authority in
places that America does not have any right to control.

> It is
>our right to force our will on the world.

Try convincing the rest of the world of that. Good luck.

> We
>are the greatest country and power since
>the Roman Empire.

That's correct. Do you see Rome as a particularly admirable role
model? They were the very embodiment of evil.

>And I would damned be more willing to
>fight on someone else's soil than my own.
>Destroy the rest of the world, do not wait

>so long that our country is destroyed.

Those are not the only 2 possibilities. But deciding to control the world, and destroy the world just to make sure that nothing can happen to America, would be biting off rather more than you can chew.

>the battle is on our soil, we lose no matter
>what happens. That is too close to my
>family.

I suggest you at least let it get a little closer than Somalia. You get a little thin on the ground when you try to control the whole world.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1827. Re: Looking for scanning handheld CB...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 20:59:48 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9iqbrt$jrnvh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62939

"Scanner Enthusiast" <flanker68@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9iq4d5$23i$1@delphi.ridgenet.net...
> "S Casko" <scasko@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010713093052.26052.00003847@ng-fb1.aol.com...
> >
> > Anybody know of a company that makes one and where I can order
one?
> Need it
> > for work.
> >
> > Thanks
> >
>
> The only one I know of is the Uniden HR2510 but is illegal for use
on 11
> meter CB Band.

It's not a handheld, though.

Tom

1828. Re: Crabtree Valley Mall Police Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 21:37:10 UTC
From: Kevan <parkranger@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <aY247.3491$23.431883@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62940

Sorry, Crabtree Valley Mall, Raleigh, NC. Thanks Jeff!
"Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
wrote in message news:m8Q37.16357$h6.5934722@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net...
> Crabtree Valley where? This is a worldwide newsgroup.
>
> "Kevan" <parkranger@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:OnL37.1620$23.195800@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > Anyone out ther call tell me the freqs for Crabtree Valley Mall Special
> > Police. And maybe the local security (Belks, Lord and Taylors, Sears,
> > etc.)?
> >
> >
>
>
>

1829. Icom TV-R7100 TV adapter question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 21:50:31 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3B50BEA7.20258214@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 62942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Does anyone have this adapter? Would you confirm whether the headphone jack is mono only -- I don't seem to be able to get mine to work in stereo plus I cannot get the volume to go extremely loud. Also, the video output appears to be weak. It works well with TV stations coming in at S9+20 but anything less than S9 appears to be a problem -- is this normal? Would a video amplifier help. Would it matter if I switched to a black and monitor security monitor (more sensitive??)? Any help appreciated. Thanks.

--
Michael Moore

1830. FS: Uniden BC9000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 22:13:54 UTC
From: Gregory Lotoczky <aa9377@wayne.edu>
Message-ID: <9iqge6$e6u$1@cwis-1.wayne.edu>
Source record: 62847
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello and thanks for your interest.

I have a Uniden Bearcat BC9000XLT for sale. The unit is in mint condition with no cosmetic or functional problems. The unit comes with the stock extendable antenna, the user manual and the shop manual. I'm asking $300 shipped but I'm open to offers. Thanks again.
-greg
kb8ltf

1831. WTB: Optoscan 456 or CE-232 Interface or Kit

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 22:17:11 UTC
From: "B. Butler K4NAU" <bbutler@home.com>
Message-ID: <Hx347.46729$B5.10720089@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 62944

I realize this is like shooting bats with a .22, but if ya don't seek, ya don't find.

Thanks,

Butch

1832. Re: BC200XLT - Squelch Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 22:35:19 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <1CACAFBFDDEB2745.118D519324FDC502.C97D579818D55224@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 62848

On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 18:16:03 GMT, "Scott Stoddard"
<stoddard@hotmail.com> wrote:

>I have a Uniden Bearcat BC200XLT that has been stored for a year or so.
>Just got it out, bought a new battery pack for it, and fired it up. Seems
>to work EXCEPT I can't get the squelch to break - no matter the setting of
>the squelch knob, I get no output on the speaker, and the unit will not lock
>on to any know good signals (like the local 162Mhz weather broadcast). Even
>if the rubber ducky was bad the squelch should break if turned down enough
>on no signal and I should hear something, so obviously this thing is FUBAR.
>
>Any suggestions from any BC200XLT owners? I have done the MANUAL-2-9 reset
>procedure.
>
>Thanks.
>

Try:

http://www.bithose.com/serfaq/REPAIR/F_BC_Repair.html

1833. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 22:39:47 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9iqhsv01iq@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62850
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

I don't know about the AF using reservists more that any other
service, but they certainly do use reserves a lot. It was an ANG group
that rescued that sick Doctor on Antartica a few months ago, & it was
an AFR group that flew the whale "Willy" to Greenland.

N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote
> The Air Force uses reservists far more than
> any other service. Some AFR do 6 month
SNIP

1834. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 22:44:31 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9iqi4t021g@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62849
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
> On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 18:33:14 GMT, "The Famous KS&#65533;F"
> >This is the USA, love it or leave it. Support your government
> >& the people who sacrifice their will & their lives to serve
> >in the military to protect YOUR freedom & rights.
>
> The Gulf War was not about protecting my freedom and rights. My
> freedom and rights were not in jeopardy.

Saddam was starting up just like Hitler started up. If we had not
kicked his ass then, he would have kept taking over countries
until we did. Eventually, he would have tried to take over America.
Or would you have liked that, Comrade??

> >I do have one suggestion for you, **THINK** before you
> >respond to this thread, before making a fool of yourself AGAIN!
>
> Is there something, anything, in my response that you didn't
> understand? Again- I am NOT asking you or anyone else to

Yea, everything you said after the word "the".

1835. Re: What should I get?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-14 23:46:07 UTC
From: bucky mulligan <ohmwork@spots.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <lS447.68$5j6.1244@jekyl.ab.tac.net>
Source record: 62851

On 2001,July, 5 03:34 am, Den73740 wrote:

> Greetings;
>
> I work on a ship and I'm looking for a good shortwave radio,

How does the hull affect the reception? Can you use an exterior antenna somehow?

mike II

1836. Re: ft530 yaesu

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 00:30:39 UTC
From: Scott <spikelee7@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <h0p1ltsilsco4dhe0ehel9m6lbjoskkl5q@4ax.com>
Source record: 62856

Have you been to their web site and tried that avenue?

http://www.yaesu.com/amateur/litreq.html

Doesn't mention the cost, but it is worth a shot.

Scott
N6UUH

On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 08:05:29 +0200, "Johnn navkee"
<johnny@navkee.tmfweb.nl> wrote:

>Hello - I've a serious problem!
> First , I 'll introduce myself,I am a Amateur from the Netherlands .
>So, maybe you can help me out?
>I am looking for a specific type of manual, as the original
>manual for the Yeasu FT530. What I really want is a copy of the
>manual , it may allso in some sort of digital format, preferably Adobe
>Acrobat PDF format or just in microsoft-word.
>
>If you do has these resource, access to someone who does, or can
>otherwise assist, I'd be interested in exchanging some email-
>P.S. sorry for my verry bad englisch language!

1837. Yaesu VR5000 freq mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 01:03:32 UTC
From: Warren Sypteras <slbubba@110.net>
Message-ID: <3B50EBE4.5614658A@110.net>
Source record: 62852
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Folks;

Anyone know how to get the VR5000 to scan through all frequencies within

it's range of .1Mhz - 2.6Ghz

Thanks

Warren

1838. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 01:05:19 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <nrq1lt49vku6d50g45tsal7cu49nec3j0c@4ax.com>
Source record: 62853
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 15:44:31 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
<NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:

>
>
>
>Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
>> On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 18:33:14 GMT, "The Famous KSï¿½F"
>> >This is the USA, love it or leave it. Support your government
>> >& the people who sacrifice their will & their lives to serve
>> >in the military to protect YOUR freedom & rights.
>>
>> The Gulf War was not about protecting my freedom and rights. My
>> freedom and rights were not in jeopardy.
>
>Saddam was starting up just like Hitler started up. If we had not
>kicked his ass then, he would have kept taking over countries
>until we did. Eventually, he would have tried to take over America.
>Or would you have liked that, Comrade??

You are as wrong about the possibility of Saddam taking over the
United States as you are to suggest that I am some kind of Commie.
Both notions are just flat out bizarre.

>> >I do have one suggestion for you, **THINK** before you
>> >respond to this thread, before making a fool of yourself AGAIN!
>>
>> Is there something, anything, in my response that you didn't
>> understand? Again- I am NOT asking you or anyone else to
>
>Yea, everything you said after the word "the".

Then I don't think I can help you.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1839. 7/16 Tropicana 500 NBC Live Uplink (Joilet Illinois)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 01:28:03 UTC
From: zau@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010714212803.27383.00001653@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 62854

450.537

1840. Re: Icom IC-R2 - good or bad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 01:54:03 UTC
From: DummyLoad@webtv.net
Message-ID: <18897-3B50F7BB-91@storefull-112.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62861

I have owned this fine scanner for over a year. You are correct in your statement that this radio does not have a numbered keyboard pad that would allow frequency entries in a conventional manner. However, frequencies can be entered into the unit by selecting the appropriate mode and turning the knurled knob on the top of the unit. That knob is not a volume. The volume is adjusted with the up down arrows. Due to size constraints on the unit...all features are accessed through the menus. These are outstanding scanning radios. The combination of small size, relatively extensive capabilities, outstanding audio and cheap price make these radios more desirable to own than not. Plus, the fun factor of this unit is off the scale!

1841. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 01:59:59 UTC
From: null@gte.net
Message-ID: <3b50f90a.7879738@news.gte.net>
Source record: 62857

On 03 Jul 2001 00:48:30 GMT, ottoxxx@aol.com (OTTOXXX) wrote:

>Can someone help me with my choice of coax for an external vhf / uhf scanner
>antenna?
>Should I go with the RG-8?
>And what does the 'U' designate? RG-8 vs RG-8U?
>TIA

I would use LDF4-50 for runns less than 100' or LDF5-50 out to 300'

Ron

1842. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 02:02:04 UTC
From: null@gte.net
Message-ID: <3b50f98d.8010583@news.gte.net>
Source record: 62858

On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 20:12:26 GMT, "Eric F. Richards"
<efricha@flatland.dimensional.com> wrote:

>Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com> wrote:
>> I love a cable fight...
>
>:-) At least it's an on-topic item...
>
>[...]
>> I've found that radio shack cables tend not to hold up as well in direct
>> exposure to sunlight as compared to Belden cable. All RG8 is not the same,
>[...]
>
>The last sentence is the crux of the matter. For example, One of the
>antenna toys I get to play with is a 60 foot parabolic antenna on a
>government site. As is usually the case with govt sites, the area is
>strewn with discarded equipment, including some old RG-8. I needed some
>braid for a ground strap so I grabbed a length and found multiple layer
>braid shields over dielectric that had survived pretty harsh sunlight.
>
>Clearly this was NOT your Radio Shack RG-8. I bet when it was new it
>could beat out today's Belden 9913/flex as well in terms of lossiness.
>(The Belden has better shielding, though.)
>
>Eric
>
Sounds like RG-214 or 213 I forget the differeance, but are RG-8 sized
double shielded coax's.

Ron

1843. Re: GSM-decoding scanner/software?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 02:05:36 UTC
From: null@gte.net
Message-ID: <3b50fa0c.8137185@news.gte.net>
Source record: 62859
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 12 Jul 2001 10:30:08 -0700, "hi"
<NEWS.SERVER>AUGBB#756301b461831> wrote:

>yes i own one although i payed twice that amount it does decode GSM 6.0
>the standard GSM buy it you will not regret it www.warehouseradio.com
>have them
>

Does it decode GSM when they are operating with A51/1 and Frequency
Hopping?

Lots of questions..

Ron

1844. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 02:12:23 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15ba9b9353f2d06698968f@news>
Source record: 62860

In article <3b50f90a.7879738@news.gte.net>, null@gte.net says...
> On 03 Jul 2001 00:48:30 GMT, ottoxxx@aol.com (OTTOXXX) wrote:
>
> >Can someone help me with my choice of coax for an external vhf / uhf scanner
> >antenna?
> >Should I go with the RG-8?
> >And what does the 'U' designate? RG-8 vs RG-8U?
> >TIA
>
>
> I would use LDF4-50 for runns less than 100' or LDF5-50 out to 300'
>
> Ron
>
>
At $5 - $6 a foot?

That's a bit of overkill isn't it?!

Glenn

1845. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 03:56:49 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <h642ltcvv4ifdf06q92pus24moa7o6atum@4ax.com>
Source record: 62862

null@gte.net (Ron) wrote:

> On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 20:12:26 GMT, "Eric F. Richards"
> <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com> wrote:
>
> >Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com> wrote:
> >> I love a cable fight...
> >
> >:-) At least it's an on-topic item...
> >
> >[...]
> >> I've found that radio shack cables tend not to hold up as well in direct
> >> exposure to sunlight as compared to Belden cable. All RG8 is not the same,
> >[...]
> >
> >The last sentence is the crux of the matter. For example, One of the
> >antenna toys I get to play with is a 60 foot parabolic antenna on a
> >government site. As is usually the case with govt sites, the area is
> >strewn with discarded equipment, including some old RG-8. I needed some
> >braid for a ground strap so I grabbed a length and found multiple layer
> >braid shields over dielectric that had survived pretty harsh sunlight.
> >
> >Clearly this was NOT your Radio Shack RG-8. I bet when it was new it
> >could beat out today's Belden 9913/flex as well in terms of lossiness.
> >(The Belden has better shielding, though.)
> >
> >Eric
> >
> Sounds like RG-214 or 213 I forget the differeance, but are RG-8 sized
> double shielded coax's.

No, this cable was older than the RG-213 spec! It's just that RG-8
has no real meaning any more. Back then, it was a real spec.

> Ron

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"Nature abhors a vacuum tube." -- J. R. Pierce, Bell Labs, c. 1940

1846. Scanner combination ??? Pro-2032/DSP/Gre

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 04:03:08 UTC
From: sky444nospam@mint.net
Message-ID: <3b511641.29411527@news.mint.net>
Source record: 62864

Realistic PRO-2032
Realistic DSP system
GRE-Amplifier

Does the above make a good combination for a scanner set-up?
Just saw one set-up that way and was wondering if this is a nice
set-up...any opinions???

1847. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 04:03:32 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15bab593f552eb37989692@news>
Source record: 62863

In article <h642ltcvv4ifdf06q92pus24moa7o6atum@4ax.com>,
efricha@dimensional.com says...
> null@gte.net (Ron) wrote:
>
> > On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 20:12:26 GMT, "Eric F. Richards"
> > <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com> wrote:
> >
> > >Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com> wrote:
> > >> I love a cable fight...
> > >
> > >:-) At least it's an on-topic item...
> > >
> > >[...]
> > >> I've found that radio shack cables tend not to hold up as well in direct
> > >> exposure to sunlight as compared to Belden cable. All RG8 is not the same,
> > >[...]
> > >
> > >The last sentence is the crux of the matter. For example, One of the
> > >antenna toys I get to play with is a 60 foot parabolic antenna on a
> > >government site. As is usually the case with govt sites, the area is
> > >strewn with discarded equipment, including some old RG-8. I needed some
> > >braid for a ground strap so I grabbed a length and found multiple layer
> > >braid shields over dielectric that had survived pretty harsh sunlight.
> > >
> > >Clearly this was NOT your Radio Shack RG-8. I bet when it was new it
> > >could beat out today's Belden 9913/flex as well in terms of lossiness.
> > >(The Belden has better shielding, though.)
> > >
> > >Eric
> > >
> > Sounds like RG-214 or 213 I forget the differeance, but are RG-8 sized
> > double shielded coax's.
>
> No, this cable was older than the RG-213 spec! It's just that RG-8
> has no real meaning any more. Back then, it was a real spec.

Eric....do you know if it was a government or an industry spec? Just
curious.

Thanks Glenn

1848. Nextel article--MRT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 04:05:39 UTC
From: HornSmoke <xomega@powerlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B511693.88C310C0@powerlink.net>
Source record: 62865
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Interesting perspective on the Nextel proliferation.

Want bright lights & noise? Come to Nexteland! Don Bishop
Editorial Director
dbishop@intertec.com www.mrtmag.com Mobile Radio Technology, Jun
1, 2001
[Nextel] charges much more for dispatch service than was charged
before the FCC facilitated the sale of spectrum
and the construction of Nexteland.

Here's an idea. Let's sell our national parks.

Why not? Think of all the money that would be generated for the
U.S. Treasury. Money that could reduce the deficit,
reduce the national debt, pay for military spending and save
Social Security and Medicare.

Plus, the National Park Service would be relieved of the burden
of maintaining buildings, roads, trails and other
improvements. It could reassign its staff to other, more
important jobs than that of park ranger.

Who would be the buyer? Prudential Insurance, the nation's
largest insurer and real estate owner. That's where
insurance premiums are invested, by the way: real estate.

Prudential could win big in the national park auctions.
Yosemite, Yellowstone, Grand Canyon, Great Smoky Mountains
ï¿½ owned and operated by Prudential for the benefit of us all.

Prudential knows how to run real estate. It knows how to get the
ï¿½highest and best useï¿½ from a piece of property.

Not like the National Park Service. The National Park Service
hands out trifling concessions to a few businesses that
operate a limited number of lodges and restaurants on park land.
Also, the National Park Service charges a nominal
entrance fee that allows just about anyone to use the parks.

And that's a crying shame. Think of what we're missing by not
having ï¿½Prudentialandï¿½ in almost every national park,
with rides and entertainment keyed to natural wonders and
insurance salesmen dressed in bear suits. To increase
attendance at select national parks turned into
ï¿½Prudentialands,ï¿½ Prudential could close the rest of them.

The FCC could teach the National Park Service a thing or two. It sells spectrum to plenty of buyers, and the bigger, the better. And when it comes to two-way radio service on the 800MHz and 900MHz bands, no one is bigger than Nextel. It owns thousands of frequencies, some purchased from license-holders and some bought at FCC auctions.

The FCC used to run a ï¿½national park,ï¿½ using its own employees to sell individual licenses, keep order and give many small businesses access to spectrum. Now, the FCC is happy to watch the bright lights, noise and crowds at Nexteland, free from the burden of administering the property it sold. Where Nextel hasn't developed the spectrum it owns, that spectrum is closed to other users.

Prudential makes money, and it advertises its way to a good rep while paying huge settlements for allegedly overcharging or misleading its customers.

Nextel could teach Prudential how to lose money. Whether Nextel overcharges is a matter of opinion, but it charges much more for dispatch service than was charged before the FCC facilitated the sale of spectrum and the construction of Nexteland.

I don't think the public truly benefits financially from spectrum sales. Money paid by carriers for spectrum is collected from telecom users, and that's most of the population.

As taxpayers, people may get some tiny relief as a result of spectrum sales. As consumers, most of the same people pay it back, maybe several times over.

Perhaps charging consumers seems fair, in a way, because those who use the service pay for it. Yet, if that were the case, why not sell the national parks and let their new owners charge enormous entrance fees to offset the purchase price and development costs? That way, only those who use the parks would be paying for them. Too bad if fewer people could afford to visit and if the park experience became more hype and less nature.

We can't turn back the clock. Spectrum sold is spectrum sold. The FCC continues to issue individual licenses only where frequencies are shared and so crowded that clearing incumbents following an auction might be impossibly expensive. But if you're new to the radio communications business since the auctions, maybe you should know that it didn't have to be this way.

In the 1.4GHz band where the FCC is considering whether to create a new Land Mobile Communications Service, it still favors auctions.

As a possible exception, the FCC said that applicants seeking traditional site licensing would be required to demonstrate special needs and a lack of means to acquire spectrum meeting these needs. Maybe there's a glimmer of hope for a tiny national park at 1.4GHz where small businesses could take a place in between Nextelands.

ï¿½ 2001, IndustryClick Corp., a PRIMEDIA company. All rights reserved. This article is protected by United States copyright and other intellectual
property laws and may not be reproduced, rewritten, distributed, redisseminated, transmitted, displayed, published or broadcast, directly or indirectly, in
any medium without the prior written permission of IndustryClick Corp.

1849. Re: Icom IC-R2 - good or bad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 04:32:25 UTC
From: Scott Stoddard <stoddard@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <t1947.4273$23.500887@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62866

>>>
Plus, the fun factor of this unit is off the scale!
<<<

Thanks very much for an excellent post. The "fun factor" is, after all,
what it is all about.

- Scott

1850. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 04:37:44 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <8e72lto8hse8khq8ogc97og78c4mcckgv1@4ax.com>
Source record: 62867

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:

> In article <h642ltcvv4ifdf06q92pus24moa7o6atum@4ax.com>,
> efricha@dimensional.com says...
> > null@gte.net (Ron) wrote:
> >
> > > Sounds like RG-214 or 213 I forget the differeance, but are RG-8 sized
> > > double shielded coax's.
> >
> > No, this cable was older than the RG-213 spec! It's just that RG-8
> > has no real meaning any more. Back then, it was a real spec.
>
>
>
> Eric....do you know if it was a government or an industry spec? Just
> curious.
>
> Thanks Glenn

No... I could look at the fragment again and let you know, but I do
recall that it was labelled RG-8. Which made me do a double-take
at its quality. It was probably manufactured in the mid 60s.

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"Nature abhors a vacuum tube." -- J. R. Pierce, Bell Labs, c. 1940

1851. Re: FA New AOR,Icom & Yupiteru Scanners Gov.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 04:46:24 UTC
From: lensman1958@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010715004624.10210.00007824@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 62868

Martyn,

I have been following this thread, and had to jump in here with some comments I truly believe need to be made.

Yes, this fellow was anonymous, however, the poster prior to him, a Mr. Conti did identify himself and raise two pertinent issues regarding your auctions. Apparently you chose to ignore him.

As a "radio dealer", you should know that many folks in the market for a scanner or receiver may not be as cogent of the particulars as you are. They may not, in fact, know what "government specs" means. As a "radio dealer" isn't it your job to enlighten potential buyers as to the products you sell? As someone "promoting radio in general", wouldn't it be incumbent upon you to HELP interested buyers?

You claim to have 100's of satisfied buyers, yet it seems that many of those on eBay have left you negative feedback. This should be a cause for concern with potential buyers. Additionally, it seems like the AOR 8200 MK II auction has been yanked, and the only radio you have a bid on is the R-2.

Now to the nitty gritty of this all.....

If you are in fact operating as a legit radio dealer, then you should be well aware that it is illegal for you to be selling "government spec" radios to the general public. Period. This may explain your unwilligness to dwell on this subject at length publicly.

That aside, there is no one here, or in the hobby that would begrudge any dealer the opportunity to make an honest buck selling radio equipment. However, it is blatantly unfair to all the other legit US dealers for YOU to sell uncut radios to the public when THEY cannot.

So, lets cut through all the "promoting the hobby", "providing a good deal" hyperbole, and try being honest and up front about what you are doing.

You will fare much better, my English friend....

Lensman

1852. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 04:50:00 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B5120E8.9FEF983A@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62869
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
>
> Saddam was starting up just like
> Hitler started up. If we had not
> kicked his ass then, he would have
> kept taking over countries until
> we did. Eventually, he would have
> tried to take over America. Or
> would you have liked that, Comrade??

While I don't agree with everything Robert has said, the statement above is absolute nonsense. First, it shows a clear lack of understanding when it comes to both european and middle eastern history. Second, it shows a clear lack of understanding about the capability and resources of Saddam's military.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1853. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 04:57:33 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B5122AC.447E0F9@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62870
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

N9NWO wrote:
>
> The 2nd amendment reflects your views.
> Our military, to include police and
> fire, was not to be a bunch of paid
> mercenaries but rather it was to be
> the duty of all.

Wow, I really must have misread the Second Amendment. Where did you get all of that from? And how do you explain the fact that almost every major city had paid police officers, and soldiers had been paid as far back as the Revolutionary War, when that Amendment was proposed and ratified?

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1854. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 05:12:03 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.gghqk23.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 62871
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 13:43:52 -0700, Robert Sturgeon wrote:

>The Gulf War was not about protecting my freedom and rights. My
>freedom and rights were not in jeopardy.

It was, and mine and those of my family, as well as their physical
safety, were in definite jeopardy.

My main complaint about that fracas was that we didn't either finish
off Saadam or let those who could have easily done it for us do it.

Mark my words - we will have to go in again and do it right next
time. We found that out the hard way in the 1930s and 40s.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1855. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 05:29:33 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <h9a2ltcsauq1f9mbsj6vhvvt1915tsoogk@4ax.com>
Source record: 62872
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 15 Jul 2001 05:12:03 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
wrote:

>On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 13:43:52 -0700, Robert Sturgeon wrote:
>
>>The Gulf War was not about protecting my freedom and rights. My
>>freedom and rights were not in jeopardy.
>
> It was, and mine and those of my family, as well as their physical
> safety, were in definite jeopardy.

If you and/or your family were overseas, that could be. But I was
in America. The Iraqis were no threat to me.

> My main complaint about that fracas was that we didn't either finish
> off Saadam or let those who could have easily done it for us do it.
>
> Mark my words - we will have to go in again and do it right next
> time. We found that out the hard way in the 1930s and 40s.

We didn't have to do it in the first place. The only reason we'll
have to do it again is to successfully meddle in the affairs of
countries on the other side of the globe. We don't have to do
that. Our government chooses to, but there is no imperative to do
so.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1856. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 05:31:36 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <5ja2lt8h1n2fd44uaprmofblbv2cqdkbmn@4ax.com>
Source record: 62873
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Sun, 15 Jul 2001 00:57:33 -0400, Dwight Stewart
<stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote:

>N9NWO wrote:
>>
>> The 2nd amendment reflects your views.
>> Our military, to include police and
>> fire, was not to be a bunch of paid
>> mercenaries but rather it was to be
>> the duty of all.
>
>
> Wow, I really must have misread the Second Amendment. Where did you
>get all of that from? And how do you explain the fact that almost
>every major city had paid police officers, and soldiers had been paid
>as far back as the Revolutionary War, when that Amendment was proposed
>and ratified?

N9N has a hard-on for the draft. EVERYTHING ends up being a
reason for the draft.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1857. Re: Mackey's gutter whores?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 05:32:35 UTC
From: "K S F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9ira3j0rrb@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62876

What's the matter, JEALOUS??

Home <mm@spiritone.com> wrote in message
news:3B50D036.F10808CB@spiritone.com...
>

1858. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 05:37:45 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15bacbb732537b2b989694@news>
Source record: 62874

In article <8e72lto8hse8khq8ogc97og78c4mcckgv1@4ax.com>,
efricha@dimensional.com says...
> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:
>
> > In article <h642ltcvv4ifdf06q92pus24moa7o6atum@4ax.com>,
> > efricha@dimensional.com says...
> > > null@gte.net (Ron) wrote:
> > >
> > > > Sounds like RG-214 or 213 I forget the differeance, but are RG-8 sized
> > > > double shielded coax's.
> > >
> > > No, this cable was older than the RG-213 spec! It's just that RG-8
> > > has no real meaning any more. Back then, it was a real spec.
> >
> >
> >
> > Eric....do you know if it was a government or an industry spec? Just
> > curious.
> >
> > Thanks Glenn
>
> No... I could look at the fragment again and let you know, but I do
> recall that it was labelled RG-8. Which made me do a double-take
> at its quality. It was probably manufactured in the mid 60s.

Don't bother Eric, just one of those questions that pop up. Well, 40
year old rg-8 on VHF/uhf is almost as good as new rg-8. :-)

Thanks

Glenn

1859. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 05:43:02 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9iram30sfo@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62878
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Our military is not "paid mercenaries". Perhaps you should learn
a little more from your "citizenship" & "social studies" courses.

N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote
> The 2nd amendment reflects your views. Our military,
> to include police and fire, was not to be a bunch of
> paid mercenaries but rather it was to be the duty of all.

1860. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 05:43:49 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9irani0sgg@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62877
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Well said, Mr. Kane, very well said!

Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote
> It was, and mine and those of my family, as well as their physical
> safety, were in definite jeopardy.
>
> My main complaint about that fracas was that we didn't either finish
> off Saadam or let those who could have easily done it for us do it.
>
> Mark my words - we will have to go in again and do it right next
> time. We found that out the hard way in the 1930s and 40s.
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1861. Re: Yaesu VR5000 freq mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 05:47:54 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B512E8A.B054F7D8@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

About all you can do is buy one out of the country and smuggle it in.
Any newer radio is pretty much unmodifiable, as the FCC has cracked
down on the manufacturers to prevent radios being easily modified..

Walt

Warren Sypteras wrote:
>
> Folks;
>
> Anyone know how to get the VR5000 to scan through all frequencies within
>
> it's range of .1Mhz - 2.6Ghz
>
> Thanks
>
> Warren

1862. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 05:48:20 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9irb020sne@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62880
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Your inability to understand the above only shows how little knowledge you really have of history from the 1930's & 1940's. Germany (Hitler) was doing the EXACT same thing that Saddam was doing. Hitler had taken over nearly all of Europe by the time we put a stop to him, & 6 years of hell for the survivors & countless many who died.

I'ld like to see you make that statement to a Jewish survivors group.

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote
> "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
> > Saddam was starting up just like Hitler started up. If we had not
> > kicked his ass then, he would have kept taking over countries until
> > we did. Eventually, he would have tried to take over America. Or
> > would you have liked that, Comrade??
>
> While I don't agree with everything Robert has said, the statement
> above is absolute nonsense. First, it shows a clear lack of
> understanding when it comes to both european and middle eastern
> history. Second, it shows a clear lack of understanding about the
> capability and resources of Saddam's military
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

1863. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 05:50:55 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9irb4s0sqj@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62879
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
> If you and/or your family were overseas, that could be. But I was
> in America. The Iraqis were no threat to me.

That is only because you don't realize or understand the threat.

> We didn't have to do it in the first place. The only reason we'll

That statement is true, of course he would have killed everyone he didn't
like, including US citizens once he reached our shores.

Your lack of common sense on this topic is amazing.

1864. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 05:51:31 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9irb600srk@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62881
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

How do you figure that?

Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
> You are as wrong about the possibility of Saddam taking over the
> United States as you are to suggest that I am some kind of Commie.
> Both notions are just flat out bizarre.

1865. Re: What should I get?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 07:29:32 UTC
From: den73740@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010715032932.18458.00003859@ng-fl1.aol.com>
Source record: 62882

>How does the hull affect the reception? Can you use an exterior antenna somehow?

Antennas are no problem, we have all sorts of feed through openings for GPS and scientific instrumentation.

Dennis

1866. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 07:59:19 UTC
From: "Drink, WP3JQ" <NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <hym47.33745$uJ3.1605544@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62812
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Hi Gunner,
I don't have much on my mind and certainly don't want to start or get involved in an argument. I come in peace. But, I have a very religious wife and an impressionable daughter who share this account. I "salt" my language when shootin' the breeze with the fellas at work but I have to filter internet content for the sake of my family. No offense.
Respectfully,
Drink, WP3JQ

"Gunner" <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote in message
news:5p52ltoi4r7gm6bguv41tciqii662tu422@4ax.com...
> "Drink, WP3JQ" <NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net> wrote:
>
> > Can someone help me out here. This thread's kind of long and convoluted.
> >What's the e-mail address of the dude who wrote:
> >
> >"Hummm I think your an idiot, a retard, a moron, a low level imbicle, a
> >pizza faced cocksucking commode licking syphallitic dicklicking cancre"
> >
> > Thanks,
> >Drink, WP3JQ
>
> It was me. And I dont do emails with folks I dont know. I get enough
> spam and wankers sending me viruses with willful intent that I filter
> my emails rather closely. Now..whats on your mind?
>
> Gunner
>
> who uses his real email addy. So if you intend on sending something
> nasty... I will return the favor. Come in peace, come friendly and we
> can chat. And who used to be K8LJS many many years ago..and detested
> that retard who trolled hams.
>
>
>
> >
> >"Gunner" <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote in message
> >news:khstkt05e2csft7b16mdnm5o51khb1d8mk@4ax.com...
> >> On Fri, 13 Jul 2001 17:31:52 GMT, "Me" <@.> wrote:
> >>
> >> >YAWN! I mock you and slap you on your face with leather gloves and fart

> >in your general direction.
> >> >
> >> >"Gunner" <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote in message
> >news:hjmskt4sea9tc3rlv822pl5pq9r3fk5q33@4ax.com...
> >> >> On Fri, 13 Jul 2001 06:11:28 GMT, "Me" <@.> wrote:
> >> >> Hummm I think your an idiot, a retard, a moron, a low level imbicle,
a
> >> >> pizza faced cocksucking commode licking syphallitic dicklicking
cancre
> >> >> jack. Now.. please tell me your gonna konk me. Then lets party..
Ill
> >> >> email you my address for ease in finding me.
> >> >>
> >> >> Oh.. notify your next of kin before leaving for California. And get
> >your
> >> >> affairs in order. You wont be back. I promise.
> >> >>
> >> >> Gunner
> >> >
> >> >
> >> So.. then.. you were simply talking out your ass? Why am I not
> >> surprised.?
> >>
> >> Gunner
> >>
> >> --
> >> "Confronting Liberals with the facts of reality is very much akin to
> >> clubbing baby seals. It gets boring after a while, but because Liberals
> >are
> >> so stupid it is easy work." Steven M. Barry
> >
> >
>
> --
>
> "A human being should be able to change a diaper, plan an
> invasion, butcher a hog, conn a ship, design a building, write
> a sonnet, balance accounts, build a wall, set a bone, comfort
> the dying, take orders, give orders, cooperate, act alone,
> solve equations, analyze a new problem, pitch manure, program
> a computer, cook a tasty meal, fight efficiently, die
> gallantly. Specialization is for insects." Robert Heinlein

1867. Re: New CHP Website???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 09:40:13 UTC
From: google@freqofnature.com
Message-ID: <70838670.0107150140.41d074fe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62883

There's a list of online CAD systems at www.freqofnature.com

"Eduardo Ortiz" <eortiz@cmix.net> wrote in message
news:<tjms2krn3qhk20@corp.supernews.com>...
> Just found the following website:
>
> http://cad.chp.ca.gov/
>
> Looks like California Highway Patrol is listing in real time all their
> traffic. Looks interesting for those in the area. you can view by
> city too!
>
> Enjoy!
>
> Ed
> //
> "Banning guns works, which is why New York, DC, and Chicago cops need guns"
> \\

1868. Re: Get Your City Scanner Online Now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 09:52:35 UTC
From: google@freqofnature.com
Message-ID: <70838670.0107150152.65b13057@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62884
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,ott.general,rec.radio.scanner

There is a well maintained listing of live scanner broadcasts at Freq
Of Nature.

http://www.freqofnature.com/live.html

If you have a 24/7 scanner broadcast that is not listed then you can
send an e-mail to live@freqofnature.com and it will be added/updated.

"Champ" <champ_not@home.com> wrote in message
news:<01c10364$d554c540$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a>...
> Is your Citiy's scanner online? If not why not put it online. Join the
> group below and learn of other cities online now

1869. Re: Wacky Mackey Lives It Up In JAPAN ---One Year From The Imfamous Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 11:04:27 UTC
From: HateSpam <hatespam@lnoemail.com>
Message-ID: <%Me47.2421$XT4.2093525@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 62885

Isn't he the one they just arrested for rape?

"The Famous KS&#65533;F" <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> wrote in message
news:cA%37.347367$p33.7026811@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> OF COURSE!!
>
>
> Cue A Man <Cueaman@Cableone.net> wrote
> > He likes Girls anyway
> >
> > "TheHamGuy1" <thehamguy1@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20010713224728.06461.00005974@ng-ch1.aol.com...
> > > Cheek-A-Likes at ease and parade rest
> > >
> > >
> > > http://www.qrz.com/database?callsign=ks0f
> >
> >
>
>

1870. FS:Bearcat BC-700A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 12:25:02 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <0Zf47.373$uD5.196333@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 62886

I have a like new,,Bearcat BC-700A ,800MHZ,,scanner,,with everything that
came with it new,,nbox,manual,ac,and dc cords,,
price 65.00 shipped

monte k9dzd@iquest.net

1871. Re: Wacky Mackey Lives It Up In JAPAN ---One Year From The Imfamous Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 14:00:10 UTC
From: The Famous KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <Klh47.351879$p33.7123562@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62887

No

HateSpam <hatespam@lnoemail.com> wrote
> Isn't he the one they just arrested for rape?
>
> "The Famous KS&#65533;F" <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> wrote
> > OF COURSE!!
> >
> >
> > Cue A Man <Cueaman@Cableone.net> wrote
> > > He likes Girls anyway
> > >
> > > "TheHamGuy1" <thehamguy1@aol.com> wrote
> > > > Cheek-A-Likes at ease and parade rest
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > http://www.qrz.com/database?callsign=ks0f
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1872. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 14:04:55 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9is83j01pda@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62890
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote
> You seem to forget.. water..lots and lots of water. Oceans of water.

So what difference does water make? It didn't stop Hitler from his
attacks against England & didn't stop Japan from attacking Pearl!
You seem to forget air planes & boats, they can be used to carry
war over water.

> And you forgot another thing.. while Saddam had the 4th largest army
> in the world..can you tell us who had the other 3 largest? Two of whom
> are nuke powers for sure.. Do you think Russia would have allowed that
> pissant to take them over..or to interfer with Russian interests? He

In WWII, Germany made large advances into Russia. The same could
have happened again.

SNIP
> The likely hood of Saddam living long enough to conqure Russia,
> Europe, Africa as a whole..and Eurasia... is about like winning the
> lotto twice..and getting hit by lightening several times on the way to
SNIP

That doesn't mean he wouldn't have tried, & caused a lot of pain for
his & other people. Remember, Saddam is/was not known for his
common sense or brilliant military thinking.

1873. Re. Best Price/Deal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 14:09:05 UTC
From: jfolbrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010715100905.12461.00003463@ng-ma1.aol.com>
Source record: 62888

Looking for best price/deal on BC 245 XLT. TIA

1874. NINN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 14:46:05 UTC
From: Weather Alert <weatheralert@juno.com>
Message-ID: <1qa3lts9c90snicdmt907alkufg3uittmi@4ax.com>
Source record: 62889

National Incident Notification Network

Do you listen to police, fire, EMS radios? Do you like to know what is going on before hearing about it on the news?

Well NINN is for you then. NINN is a group of people from around the country that listen to police, fire, EMS and other radio frequencies to bring you the latest, and first hand report of what is going on in your area via Email, or by Alpha Numeric pagers.

Dispatchers and Administrators Needed

Do you want to make a difference in what the "people of your area hear" Are you interested in working with a system, and group of people that want to make a difference?

Our system, and policies help you make that difference, so you can get the real news out there. NINN Doesn't have 1 person making the decisions, it has a board of directors of 5 persons making the decision, and many more on the support side of our program. No more weeks of waiting for an answer from support.
This is a Free Service, All You have to do is log on to http://www.ninn.net enter your email or pager address and start receiving Alerts
Immediately after entering your address , no forms, no approval, You will be Informed of in Progress Incidents as the happen,
NINN is in need of Dispatchers Throughout the United States, at this time all States are in Active Status if You would like to become
A Dispatcher, a short Application form is available here
http://www.ninn.net/dispapp.html as NINN Administrative Support is Incredibly Efficient, within a brief period You will receive Your Dispatch ID # and then you become part of the Action!
Links for NIMM eGroups by Region are enclosed, To find your Region click here http://www.ninn.net/regional/
Thank You for your time,

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/National_Incident_Notification_Network
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/NINN_Region_1
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/NINN_Region_2
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/NINN_Region_3
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/NINN_Region_4
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/NINN_Region_5
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/NINN_Region_6
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/NINN_Region_7

1875. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 15:14:02 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <uhc3lts21mtvkm469t348f8dua8rsiv4eh@4ax.com>
Source record: 62891
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 22:51:31 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
<NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:

The following is repositioned above the reply, where it belongs.

>Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
>> You are as wrong about the possibility of Saddam taking over the
>> United States as you are to suggest that I am some kind of Commie.
>> Both notions are just flat out bizarre.

>How do you figure that?

Saddam didn't have the means. He had no possibility of acquiring
the means. No matter how much he might have wished to take over
the U.S., it wasn't going to happen. Even a cursory look at the
situation would show you that.

As for me being a "Commie," that's just absurd.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1876. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 15:23:27 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <psc3ltkqg954orvhjrpplerqgfq5ngfgl8@4ax.com>
Source record: 62892
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 22:50:55 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
<NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:

>
>Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
>> If you and/or your family were overseas, that could be. But I was
>> in America. The Iraqis were no threat to me.
>
>That is only because you don't realize or understand the threat.

What threat? Saddam's fleet of aircraft carriers, nuclear-powered
submarines, landing ships, intercontinental bombers, heavy-lift
air assault aircraft, under-way refueling tankers (both air- and
sea-), all the rest of the forces and equipment required to
successfully attack the U.S.? THAT threat? It didn't exist.

>> We didn't have to do it in the first place. The only reason we'll
>
>That statement is true, of course he would have killed everyone he didn't
>like, including US citizens once he reached our shores.
>
>Your lack of common sense on this topic is amazing.

"Common sense" requires the ability to see reality and reach
conclusions based on it. Your belief that Saddam was a threat to
the U.S. is NOT a demonstration of common sense.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1877. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 15:27:34 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <qfd3ltskp1pdhnnbrbq3sppvatei4g223s@4ax.com>
Source record: 62893
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Sun, 15 Jul 2001 07:04:55 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
<NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:

>
>
>Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote
>> You seem to forget.. water..lots and lots of water. Oceans of water.
>
>So what difference does water make? It didn't stop Hitler from his
>attacks against England & didn't stop Japan from attacking Pearl!
>You seem to forget air planes & boats, they can be used to carry
>war over water.

How did Saddam's navy and strategic air force compare with those
of the U.S.?

>> And you forgot another thing.. while Saddam had the 4th largest army
>> in the world..can you tell us who had the other 3 largest? Two of whom
>> are nuke powers for sure.. Do you think Russia would have allowed that
>> pissant to take them over..or to interfer with Russian interests? He
>
>In WWII, Germany made large advances into Russia. The same could
>have happened again.

Only in your imagination.

>SNIP
>> The likely hood of Saddam living long enough to conqure Russia,
>> Europe, Africa as a whole..and Eurasia... is about like winning the
>> lotto twice..and getting hit by lightening several times on the way to
>SNIP
>
>That doesn't mean he wouldn't have tried, & caused a lot of pain for
>his & other people. Remember, Saddam is/was not known for his
>common sense or brilliant military thinking.

A steer can try.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1878. Frequency counter question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 15:40:03 UTC
From: globalcabl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010715114003.23853.00003183@ng-mn1.aol.com>
Source record: 62894

At what distance from the signal can a good frequency counter pick it up? I am thinking about getting a good one but would like to know if it will work better than my RS unit which needs to be on top of the signal to identify it. Any reccommendations would also be appreciated

1879. What I've heard lately

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 16:36:15 UTC
From: "Matt J. McCullar" <mccullar@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3Ej47.108$I91.29380833@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62895

I live between Fort Worth and Dallas, and my scanner is practically alive with radio traffic -- particularly from DFW International Airport. I think the co-pilot's job is actually to coordinate all those radio frequencies! There must be at least half a dozen to keep track of, depending on where you are.

Six Flags Over Texas's radio frequency can be entertaining. I guess other amusement parks do the same thing. At the top of each hour they tell all their units exactly how many people have come through the gate so far, how many have left, and how many people are in the park at that moment.

Matt J. McCullar, KJ5BA
Arlington, TX

1880. Legality of listening to utility communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 16:55:11 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <SVj47.20994$h6.7725849@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 62896

Here's a dumb question. Has anybody worked out exactly why the Electronic Communications Privacy Act doesn't prohibit listening to police scanners, business band radios, and the like?

Clearly, scanner usage is permitted in the United States, but the laws seem to say that it's not (e.g., http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/47/605.html). Under the law, are all police communications treated as relating to "ships, aircraft, vehicles, or persons in distress"? Even so, business-band communications would not seem to fall under that particular loophole.

1881. Re: Looking for scanning handheld CB...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 17:13:00 UTC
From: Power House <"Power House"@My>
Message-ID: <tl3jsh8juhcbe2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62797

You must have some pretty damn big hands!

"Scanner Enthusiast" <flanker68@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9iq4d5$23i$1@delphi.ridgenet.net...
> "S Casko" <scasko@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010713093052.26052.00003847@ng-fb1.aol.com...
> >
> > Anybody know of a company that makes one and where I can order one?
> Need it
> > for work.
> >
> > Thanks
> >
>
> The only one I know of is the Uniden HR2510 but is illegal for use on 11
> meter CB Band.
>
> M. Alred
> KCMS
> CBERT
> RACES
>
>

1882. Re: Looking for scanning handheld CB...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 17:14:12 UTC
From: Power House <"Power House"@My>
Message-ID: <tl3julc6l2b50f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62798

Uniden makes them, Cobra makes them... All you need to do is look...

One other little note... Your on the wrong newsgroup to be asking such a question...
Try rec.radio.cb .

"S Casko" <scasko@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010713093052.26052.00003847@ng-fb1.aol.com...
>
> Anybody know of a company that makes one and where I can order one? Need it
> for work.
>
> Thanks
>

1883. Rooftop antenna mounting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 17:17:19 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <m8j3lt4oikgt7gdl4cg7nvo1g2mvjk14ef@4ax.com>
Source record: 62799

Greetings, all...

At the risk of being fatally on-topic, I want to ask a question:

I finally own my own home -- my first -- and I wanted to ask
those who already have done this about rooftop antenna mounting.

I want to put a bicone up at the peak of the roof, and I want to
use one of those "tripod" mounts for doing so. The wind loading
of a bicone shouldn't be that different from a large TV antenna,
but I want to know...

o How many people should I have as helpers on this sort of project?

o How much reinforcement from under the roof is needed? I live in
a very high wind area.

o Did you literally go out and "just do it" once you knew that your
drilling area was clear of electrical runs, or did you do a great
deal of measurements before starting? What did you measure?

o How much time did it take?

o Recommended tools?

o Recommended roofing sealant?

o Anything I missed that I must know in the above questions?

Thanks much!

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"Nature abhors a vacuum tube." -- J. R. Pierce, Bell Labs, c. 1940

1884. New St. Louis police codes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 18:12:50 UTC
From: PG <pgwb3ku@aol.com>
Message-ID: <C2l47.45406$J91.2363036@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For the last week or so I've been hearing codes such as "code n" - u - f - etc., given by units in the field when coding a call rather than the usual "code 81" - 92 - 83 - etc.

Assuming they're going to this new reporting system - does anyone have a list of the phonic codes and their meaning?

Please reply here in the group -

Thanks,

PG

1885. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 18:14:32 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9ismo302li@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62809
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
> Saddam didn't have the means. He had no possibility of acquiring
> the means. No matter how much he might have wished to take over
> the U.S., it wasn't going to happen. Even a cursory look at the
> situation would show you that.

Perhaps you should study military history a little. Everyone thought that
after WWI, Germany wouldn't have the means to do anything either. Yet,
by the late 1930's, they are marching thru other countries.

1886. FA Pro 63 Event Scanner Buy it now for $75.00 Instead of bidding on it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 18:20:20 UTC
From: wilfkelly@home.com
Message-ID: <680a2e7f.0107151020.3d367e09@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62801

This scanner is in mint condition and comes with 6 nicad batteries and
ac/dc/ charger plus manual and antenna.If you like to listen to the
rases or pit grews this scanner is ideal plus many other functions
including the regular 100 channel scanner functions.Check it out at
this site and buy
now.Thanks=====http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1255187700

1887. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 18:44:16 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ggisar2.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 62802
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 22:29:33 -0700, Robert Sturgeon wrote:

>>>The Gulf War was not about protecting my freedom and rights. My
>>>freedom and rights were not in jeopardy.
>>
>> It was, and mine and those of my family, as well as their physical
>> safety, were in definite jeopardy.
>
>If you and/or your family were overseas, that could be.

Part of my family lives in the US, another part in the Middle East.
Some of us live in both places at various times.

>But I was in America. The Iraqis were no threat to me.

Says you. It must be great to live with one's head in the sand.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1888. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 18:44:18 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ggist84.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 62804
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 15 Jul 2001 01:42:48 -0700, Gunner wrote:

>The moment it became clear that Saddam had intentions on other nations
>besides his immediate neighbors.. he would have had a terminal visit
>by a group of Spetznaz or Mossad operatives.

Both of whom were urged to "back off" by Uncle (and Uncle's
wallet...)

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1889. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 18:44:19 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ggisos3.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 62803
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 15 Jul 2001 00:57:33 -0400, Dwight Stewart wrote:

> Wow, I really must have misread the Second Amendment. Where did you
>get all of that from? And how do you explain the fact that almost
>every major city had paid police officers,

The concept of an organized and paid police department emerged in
the US sometime in the early 1830s.

>and soldiers had been paid as far back as the Revolutionary War,

IIRC Geo Washington's biggest problem at Valley Forge was how to
keep the unpaid soldiers who all wanted to go to a "warmer climate".

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1890. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 18:47:42 UTC
From: nunya <nunya@nunya.com>
Message-ID: <3B51E54E.85BF881C@nunya.com>
Source record: 62805
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Pardon me if I decline to get my history lessons from the
likes of you.

"K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)" wrote:

> Your inability to understand the above only shows how little knowledge you
> really have of history from the 1930's & 1940's. Germany (Hitler) was doing
> the EXACT same thing that Saddam was doing. Hitler had taken over nearly
> all of Europe by the time we put a stop to him, & 6 years of hell for the
> survivors & countless many who died.
>
> I'ld like to see you make that statement to a Jewish survivors group.
>
> Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote
> > "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
> > > Saddam was starting up just like Hitler started up. If we had not
> > > kicked his ass then, he would have kept taking over countries until
> > > we did. Eventually, he would have tried to take over America. Or
> > > would you have liked that, Comrade??
> >
> > While I don't agree with everything Robert has said, the statement
> > above is absolute nonsense. First, it shows a clear lack of
> > understanding when it comes to both european and middle eastern
> > history. Second, it shows a clear lack of understanding about the
> > capability and resources of Saddam's military
> > Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

1891. R/S PRO94A 1000 CHANNEL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 18:48:12 UTC
From: glen <gweir4@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B520E89.E328683E@home.com>
Source record: 62806

is this a good scanner or junk thanks guys!

1892. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 18:59:33 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <ntp3ltoiskbnpabru4bsghqgeon8345pit@4ax.com>
Source record: 62807
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 15 Jul 2001 18:44:16 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
wrote:

>On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 22:29:33 -0700, Robert Sturgeon wrote:
>
>>>>The Gulf War was not about protecting my freedom and rights. My
>>>>freedom and rights were not in jeopardy.
>>>
>>> It was, and mine and those of my family, as well as their physical
>>> safety, were in definite jeopardy.
>>
>>If you and/or your family were overseas, that could be.
>
> Part of my family lives in the US, another part in the Middle East.
> Some of us live in both places at various times.
>
>>But I was in America. The Iraqis were no threat to me.
>
> Says you. It must be great to live with one's head in the sand.

What's sand have to do with it? The Iraqis were a second rate (if
that) regional power. And their region was 11 time zones away.
Get real.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1893. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 19:09:43 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.ggivh37.pminews@or.news.verio.net>
Source record: 62808
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 15 Jul 2001 11:59:33 -0700, Robert Sturgeon wrote:

>>>But I was in America. The Iraqis were no threat to me.
>>
>> Says you. It must be great to live with one's head in the sand.
>
>What's sand have to do with it? The Iraqis were a second rate (if
>that) regional power. And their region was 11 time zones away.

One time zone away from my family who had SCUDs raining down in
their neighborhood.

>Get real.

Yes, Robert, get real. If it could happen to my family that time,
what makes you think that it couldn't happen to yours next time.

Habibi Saadam is a threat to any peace in the Middle East, and
therefore the world.

--
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1894. OLD REGENCY SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 19:29:55 UTC
From: dcdjr@infoblvd.net
Message-ID: <3B51EEAF.D3AF453F@infoblvd.net>
Source record: 62810

I have an old R1090 Regency scanner and need the instruction book, can anyone help me out?

1895. Useres Manual Icom IC-R100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 19:35:13 UTC
From: Frank <47sd101@cool.dk>
Message-ID: <3b51f021$0$69714$edfadb0f@dspool01.news.tele.dk>
Source record: 62811

Does any have this manual, I bought this receiver but the manual was missing
and impossible to get in my country.
73 de OZ9FB
FRANK
oz9fb@mail.dk

1896. FA: 2 GE VHF PCS 8-ch Portable Radios w/ charger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 20:12:10 UTC
From: ke6vqy@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3b51f96a.19404254@news.concentric.net>
Source record: 62813

Auction expires July 22.
2 GE PCS VHF, 8-channel, no-scan radios. They are programmable in the
136-174 MHz range.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1255750553

1897. Re: Get Your City Scanner Online Now

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 20:19:48 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <3Wm47.44670$B56.9828589@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 62814
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,ott.general,rec.radio.scanner

if any of them are uk then they are dipping into dangerous water,the RA or anyone who is streamed are not going to let it carry on,and that means a knock on the door in the early hoirs.

--
PROMA1
Paul Wey

Scanning expert

www.geocities.com/proma1_1999

Tracy <google@freqofnature.com> wrote in message news:70838670.0107150152.65b13057@posting.google.com...
> There is a well maintained listing of live scanner broadcasts at Freq
> Of Nature.
>
> http://www.freqofnature.com/live.html
>
> If you have a 24/7 scanner broadcast that is not listed then you can
> send an e-mail to live@freqofnature.com and it will be added/updated.
>
>
> "Champ" <champ_not@home.com> wrote in message news:<01c10364$d554c540$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a>...
> > Is your Citiy's scanner online? If not why not put it online. Join the
> > group below and learn of other cities online now

1898. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 20:26:57 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <olu3ltcdcb19913kr2srbimcpa5i90k1ls@4ax.com>
Source record: 62815
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Sun, 15 Jul 2001 11:14:32 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
<NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:

>
>Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
>> Saddam didn't have the means. He had no possibility of acquiring
>> the means. No matter how much he might have wished to take over
>> the U.S., it wasn't going to happen. Even a cursory look at the
>> situation would show you that.
>
>Perhaps you should study military history a little. Everyone thought that
>after WWI, Germany wouldn't have the means to do anything either. Yet,
>by the late 1930's, they are marching thru other countries.

It's usually a mistake to assume that when other people disagree
with you it's a result of their ignorance. I would be very
surprised to learn that you have studied more military history
than I have. Suggesting that Iraq's power to dominate the globe
in the 90's was even remotely like Germany's power in
between-the-wars Europe does not show much of an understanding of
military history.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1899. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 20:44:46 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <75v3lt852tf5eh63nlmfmct3363ngk5lvq@4ax.com>
Source record: 62816
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,alt.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 15 Jul 2001 19:09:43 GMT, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@no.spam>
wrote:

>On Sun, 15 Jul 2001 11:59:33 -0700, Robert Sturgeon wrote:
>
>>>>But I was in America. The Iraqis were no threat to me.
>>>
>>> Says you. It must be great to live with one's head in the sand.
>>
>>What's sand have to do with it? The Iraqis were a second rate (if
>>that) regional power. And their region was 11 time zones away.
>
> One time zone away from my family who had SCUDs raining down in
> their neighborhood.

If you will read the thread, you'll see that I said the Iraqis
were not a threat to the U.S.- not wherever your family was. No
scuds fell on the U.S.

>>Get real.
>
> Yes, Robert, get real. If it could happen to my family that time,
> what makes you think that it couldn't happen to yours next time.

What? The fact that the Iraqis lack the means, just like in '91-
that's what.

> Habibi Saadam is a threat to any peace in the Middle East, and
> therefore the world.

The "world" was not threatened by all the wars in the Middle East
between 1947 and 1991. Countries that made it their business to
intervene in the Middle East might have been threatened, if any of
the countries involved had the means to attack them. But none
did. Some undoubtedly now do have the means to deliver small
nuclear weapons to a few targets in the U.S. via "suitcase" nukes,
that sort of thing. Our continuing policy of intervening in every
corner of the globe insures that we will be the most likely target
of such an attack, as well as bombings of buildings (both in the
U.S. and U.S. embassies abroad) using conventional explosives.
And the kicker is- nothing we can do will prevent such attacks.
Except, of course, giving up on running the world. Maybe we could
announce our resignation from that role via an ad in the Wall
Street Journal.

Have you noticed how seldom the Dutch, Norwegian, or Costa Rican
embassies get bombed? But then, they aren't trying to impose
their wills on the world.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1900. BC780 Extender - Freeware (245 Support Added)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 20:48:16 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <Ben47.112046$HJ1.3309247@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62817

This is a program that may be of some use to BC780XLT
and BC245XLT owners.

http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/BC780Ext.htm

-
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

1901. PDW 110 DECODER FULL VER FOR FREE...HERE !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 20:57:31 UTC
From: bumpoo2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8bab4a69.0107151257.54931d47@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62818

THIEVING FUCK !

DON'T USE OTHERS FREE CODE AND HARD WORK AND TRY MAKING MONEY OUT OF IT

EVERYTHING YOU EVER DO WILL BE HACKED AND POSTED FROM NOW ON

CUNT

here ya go the waY IT SHOULD BE....FREE !!

http://www.geocities.com/bumpoo2002/pdw110.zip

The Cappa.

1902. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 21:32:12 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9it2at0gg4@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62830
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
> How did Saddam's navy and strategic air force compare with those
> of the U.S.?

Again, your lack of understanding is showing thru again. He was working
on a BIOLOGICAL war machine. Even the most minimal air power could
deliver that!

> >In WWII, Germany made large advances into Russia. The same could
> >have happened again.
>
> Only in your imagination.

Really!!!!! I think if you read history, you will find that Germany attacks
on
their eastern front were NOT imaginary.

1903. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 21:33:26 UTC
From: Lawrence Glickman <lglickman@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <tq24ltco6nv1034o147ivkdegfb4e5asj7@4ax.com>
Source record: 62819
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Sun, 15 Jul 2001 03:59:19 -0400, "Drink, WP3JQ"
<NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net> wrote:

> Hi Gunner,
> I don't have much on my mind and certainly don't want to start or get
>involved in an argument. I come in peace. But, I have a very religious
>wife and an impressionable daughter who share this account. I "salt" my
>language when shootin' the breeze with the fellas at work but I have to
>filter internet content for the sake of my family. No offense.
> Respectfully,
> Drink, WP3JQ

Dear Drink,

Usenet, and I refer to -all- unmoderated newsgroups, are notorious for
material unfit for reading by a younger (juvenile) person. Or a
more sensitive viewer.

If I may make a suggestion:?
Get some kind of filter that allows only you, with a password, to
access certain or all Usenet newsgroups.

Respectfully,
Lg, KD9OZ
< posting from misc.survivalism >

1904. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 21:34:20 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9it2et0gmi@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62833
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
> What threat? Saddam's fleet of aircraft carriers, nuclear-powered

Wrong, again. Saddam was working on biological warfare.

> "Common sense" requires the ability to see reality and reach
> conclusions based on it. Your belief that Saddam was a threat to
> the U.S. is NOT a demonstration of common sense.

Wrong, again. You inability to see the threat Saddam was posing
shows your lack of common sense.

1905. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 21:35:32 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9it2h50gql@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62831
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

I'm beginning to think you are right. Robert obviously isn't getting it.

Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote
> LOL..that may have been lost on the city boy...LOL

1906. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 21:38:15 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9it2m80h2e@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62832
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote
> Different times..more "primitive" technology. Keep in mind, even
> Russia know, and said outright..they could never conquer the US, only
> destroy us (nukes)

Correct, so what is your point?

> And my cat could learn to talk.

I've never seen a cat that can't walk.

1907. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 21:40:19 UTC
From: Jake@world.net
Message-ID: <3B520DC3.B23AA705@world.net>
Source record: 62820
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Robert Sturgeon wrote:

> On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 15:44:31 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
> <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:
>
> >Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
> >> On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 18:33:14 GMT, "The Famous KSï¿½F"
> >> >This is the USA, love it or leave it. Support your government
> >> >& the people who sacrifice their will & their lives to serve
> >> >in the military to protect YOUR freedom & rights.
> >>
> >> The Gulf War was not about protecting my freedom and rights. My
> >> freedom and rights were not in jeopardy.
> >
> >Saddam was starting up just like Hitler started up. If we had not
> >kicked his ass then, he would have kept taking over countries
> >until we did. Eventually, he would have tried to take over America.
> >Or would you have liked that, Comrade??
>
> You are as wrong about the possibility of Saddam taking over the
> United States as you are to suggest that I am some kind of Commie.
> Both notions are just flat out bizarre.

No more bizarre than the bull shit your shoveling out of your keyboard.

1908. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 21:43:34 UTC
From: Jake@world.net
Message-ID: <3B520E86.E78E0AD4@world.net>
Source record: 62821
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Robby your address says it all - "calwest". No wonder the western states are screwed up.

1909. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 21:51:37 UTC
From: k0hb@qwest.net
Message-ID: <b7c5262e.0107151351.26950b38@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62822
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

The Famous Dump Huck Mackey said:

> The only time any reservists may have "wailed" was at losing
> probably 1/2 of their income when they were called to activive
> duty, yet still having to cover a full house payment & support
> their family.

Awe, the poor dears! Us full-time Americans worked for those wages
all the time, and didn't have a cushy civilian career to wail about.
We made our house payments, fed our families, and paid our taxes
all on the "1/2 pay" that the part-time American reservists were wailing
about when their bluff was called and they had to serve their
country for more than a weekend or two-week summer camp.

> > Which we used to call 'part time Americans'. Oh how some of them
> > wailed in '91 when they actually had to be real sailors and soldiers
> > instead of weekend warriors.

Hans

1910. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 21:56:05 UTC
From: ircSLUG <N-O-S-P-A-M-stalker@lvcm.com>
Message-ID: <tl44cr9neu7ha8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62823
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

One of the MAIN reasons we went over there was to protect the innocent people of Kuwait. Secondary reason was to see for sure Iraq did not have nuclear capabilities, which we failed to do...Do you remember when the Inspectors tried to get into the storage facilities, and earlier we had recorded trucks leaving the facilities HOT HAS HELL!?

THIS is why we needed to be over there....anyone with nukes in that region is a threat not only to the US but the whole bloody world! here is a scenario for ya!

Iraq kept firing on Israel (A known nuke country!) and we were not there to calm the situation. Now Israel launches a few to Iraq to show them who is boss.....ok now we have a nice cloud of radio active waste that is floating toward lets say Russia, their people are getting sick and dying, so now Russia lobs a few at Israel.......CHAIN REACTION!

In my own personal opinion (AND YES I WAS THERE!) We should have finished the job, as HE is still in power, and stocking up again.

In the end, YES we did the right thing by pulling ALL the forces to push the ass back to Iraq. NO we did not meet much resistance, but that was because WE (All the forces involved) fought this fight correctly, and with minimal casualties. Starve their forces, and prevent communication is what won that war, NOT just RESERVES, FULL TIME Military, it was a COMBINED effort! The few who are saying that the Reserves are the backbone of our Military are wrong, as EVERY war fought had BOTH on the front lines. Crap you reservist sound like a damn recruiting poster!

OK, now that I have vented, I do appreciate ALL the Military people who have given their lives for this country, If it were not for them we would not have a country to speak of!!!

"Gunner" <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote in message
news:ubm3ltokech6qmt42kh5shuieqbkcq59o1@4ax.com...
> Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote:
>
> >>SNIP
> >>
> >>That doesn't mean he wouldn't have tried, & caused a lot of pain for
> >>his & other people. Remember, Saddam is/was not known for his
> >>common sense or brilliant military thinking.
> >
> >A steer can try.
>

> LOL..that may have been lost on the city boy...LOL
>
> Gunner
>
> ---
>
> "A human being should be able to change a diaper, plan an
> invasion, butcher a hog, conn a ship, design a building, write
> a sonnet, balance accounts, build a wall, set a bone, comfort
> the dying, take orders, give orders, cooperate, act alone,
> solve equations, analyze a new problem, pitch manure, program
> a computer, cook a tasty meal, fight efficiently, die
> gallantly. Specialization is for insects." Robert Heinlein

1911. Re: Frequency counter question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 22:00:18 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9it3pb$ke1rs$2@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62824

"GlobalCabl" <globalcabl@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010715114003.23853.00003183@ng-mn1.aol.com...
> At what distance from the signal can a good frequency counter pick
it up? I am
> thinking about getting a good one but would like to know if it will
work better
> than my RS unit which needs to be on top of the signal to identify
it. Any
> reccommendations would also be appreciated

This is a hard question to answer, since all frequency counters will be different as far as range. You can add a good antenna or amplifier to increase the range of the counter, but the counter will read the strongest signal it hears. So if you want to find the frequency used by a particular antenna, it's best to get as close as you can to it. Otherwise, you may be reading the frequency of a local FM broadcast station.

Tom

1912. pro46 tap?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 22:20:24 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b521568$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 62825

I have a Pro 46 I want to put a discriminator tap in place.The problem is
the one I find online don't mach mine can any help?
Pro 46 info:
s/n 25006945
date code 11A2

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1913. Re: Icom IC-R2 - good or bad?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 22:29:00 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <MOo47.25872$qs5.5902438@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 62828

Scott,

I've had an R2 since June and I like this little radio very much. If
you program it with a PC, it's all the better. You won't miss the keypad,
BTW. However, unlike the Yaesu VR-120, it doesn't have a ferrite AM
antenna built-in, so don't expect to use this as an AM BCB radio. Comparing
it against my Uniden 220xlt, Rat Shack PRO-2042 and Uniden 780xlt - it does
a nice job against these scanners with local (and not-so-local) contacts.
AIr band coverage is fine, but I'd expect this as I'm close to major air
corridors.

All in all, I'm very satisfied. For $150. you can't go wrong.

Paul, KC2FNC
NJ

p.s. My only gripe is that I wish ICOM would put their user manuals online
like Kenwood does. How much $ can they make on reprints anyway? It may
also be an inducement for others to buy ICOM.

"Scott Stoddard" <stoddard@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:CX%37.3226$23.388162@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I am considering purchasing an Icom IC-R2 scanner, and I'd like to hear
> comments from any owners or previous users. I am looking for something
> small and useful, as opposed to balls-out bleeding edge performance.
>
> Since the thing has no keypad, how do you enter frequencies into the
memory
> banks without downloading from a computer?
>
> Any comments on this unit? Many thanks for any and all helpful replies.
>
>
>

1914. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 22:32:51 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <kd64ltcmcnoqun6qj9ngpv62totheul0up@4ax.com>
Source record: 62826
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Sun, 15 Jul 2001 17:40:19 -0400, Jake@world.net wrote:

>
>
>Robert Sturgeon wrote:
>
>> On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 15:44:31 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
>> <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:
>>
>> >Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
>> >> On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 18:33:14 GMT, "The Famous KSï¿½F"
>> >> >This is the USA, love it or leave it. Support your government
>> >> >& the people who sacrifice their will & their lives to serve
>> >> >in the military to protect YOUR freedom & rights.
>> >>
>> >> The Gulf War was not about protecting my freedom and rights. My
>> >> freedom and rights were not in jeopardy.
>> >
>> >Saddam was starting up just like Hitler started up. If we had not
>> >kicked his ass then, he would have kept taking over countries
>> >until we did. Eventually, he would have tried to take over America.
>> >Or would you have liked that, Comrade??
>>
>> You are as wrong about the possibility of Saddam taking over the
>> United States as you are to suggest that I am some kind of Commie.
>> Both notions are just flat out bizarre.
>
>No more bizarre than the bull shit your shoveling out of your keyboard.

Please be a little more specific. It's very easy to just say
"bull shit" without anything to back it up.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1915. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 22:33:28 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <vg64lt4dth8kl03ucpkfta82cpuruo0s0r@4ax.com>
Source record: 62827
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Sun, 15 Jul 2001 17:43:34 -0400, Jake@world.net wrote:

>
>
>Robby your address says it all - "calwest". No wonder the western states are
>screwed up.

Yes, I must be the cause! Jeeze...

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1916. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 22:39:31 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15bbbb29f5d36ae2989696@news>
Source record: 62829
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

In article <3B520DC3.B23AA705@world.net>, Jake@world.net says...
>
>
> Robert Sturgeon wrote:
>
> > On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 15:44:31 -0700, "K S F (The Famous!!)"
> > <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:
> >
> > >Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
> > >> On Sat, 14 Jul 2001 18:33:14 GMT, "The Famous KSF"
> > >> >This is the USA, love it or leave it. Support your government
> > >> >& the people who sacrifice their will & their lives to serve
> > >> >in the military to protect YOUR freedom & rights.
> > >>
> > >> The Gulf War was not about protecting my freedom and rights. My
> > >> freedom and rights were not in jeopardy.
> > >
> > >Saddam was starting up just like Hitler started up. If we had not
> > >kicked his ass then, he would have kept taking over countries
> > >until we did. Eventually, he would have tried to take over America.
> > >Or would you have liked that, Comrade??
> >
> > You are as wrong about the possibility of Saddam taking over the
> > United States as you are to suggest that I am some kind of Commie.
> > Both notions are just flat out bizarre.
>
> No more bizarre than the bull shit your shoveling out of your keyboard.
>
>

```
...........and if we don't stop them in Viet Nam we will all be RED!

I mean geeze Robert, haven't you heard of the Domino Theory?

I am sure it stands true for Iraq too!

Coca Cola or petroleum, its all the same cause.
```

1917. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 22:40:57 UTC
From: "K S F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9it6bu0ma1@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62836
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

The statements you have made make you appear as someone who has
NO previous military experience, but below you make a statement that
would hint that you have been in the military.

What branch or branches of the service were you in?
How long?
Are you still in or what type of dischage did you receive?
What rank were/are you?

BTW - You also appear to be one of those who was always jealous of
reservists.

Remember, it takes more to be a reservist than to be active duty.

http://www.trib.com/scjournal/ARC/2001/JULY/July_4_01_Wed/almanac/service.ht
ml
http://www.qrz.com/database?callsign=ks0f

K0HB <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in
> Awe, the poor dears! Us full-time Americans worked for those wages
> all the time, and didn't have a cushy civilian career to wail about.
> We made our house payments, fed our families, and paid our taxes
> all on the "1/2 pay" that the part-time American reservists were wailing
> about when their bluff was called and they had to serve their
> country for more than a weekend or two-week summer camp.

1918. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 22:41:02 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15bbbb84e282b9d6989697@news>
Source record: 62834
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

In article <3B520E86.E78E0AD4@world.net>, Jake@world.net says...
>
>
> Robby your address says it all - "calwest". No wonder the western states are
> screwed up.
>
>

They were smart enough not to vote for Bush.

Oh wait, the majority didn't anyway.

Never mind.

1919. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 22:43:36 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9it6h10mgp@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62838
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
> If you will read the thread, you'll see that I said the Iraqis
> were not a threat to the U.S.- not wherever your family was. No
> scuds fell on the U.S.

Robert - a threat to people anywhere is a threat to people everywhere.

> The "world" was not threatened by all the wars in the Middle East
> between 1947 and 1991. Countries that

According to who?

http://www.trib.com/scjournal/ARC/2001/JULY/July_4_01_Wed/almanac/service.ht
ml
http://www.qrz.com/database?callsign=ks0f

1920. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 22:44:51 UTC
From: "K S F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9it6j80mj7@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62837
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Phil - your words are VERY true!!

http://www.trib.com/scjournal/ARC/2001/JULY/July_4_01_Wed/almanac/service.ht
ml
http://www.qrz.com/database?callsign=ks0f

Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@no.spam> wrote
SNIP
> Yes, Robert, get real. If it could happen to my family that time,
> what makes you think that it couldn't happen to yours next time.
>
> Habibi Saadam is a threat to any peace in the Middle East, and
> therefore the world.
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1921. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 22:45:52 UTC
From: "K S F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9it6l50ml9@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62835
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

TRUER WORDS WERE NEVER SPOKEN AS "ircSLUG" below!!

http://www.trib.com/scjournal/ARC/2001/JULY/July_4_01_Wed/almanac/service.html
http://www.qrz.com/database?callsign=ks0f

ircSLUG <N-O-S-P-A-M-stalker@lvcm.com> wrote
> One of the MAIN reasons we went over there was to protect the innocent
> people of Kuwait. Secondary reason was to see for sure Iraq did not have
> nuclear capabilities, which we failed to do...Do you remember when the
> Inspectors tried to get into the storage facilities, and earlier we had
> recorded trucks leaving the facilities HOT HAS HELL!?
>
> THIS is why we needed to be over there....anyone with nukes in that
region
> is a threat not only to the US but the whole bloody world! here is a
> scenario for ya!
>
> Iraq kept firing on Israel (A known nuke country!) and we were not there
to
> calm the situation. Now Israel launches a few to Iraq to show them who is
> boss.....ok now we have a nice cloud of radio active waste that is
floating
> toward lets say Russia, their people are getting sick and dying, so now
> Russia lobs a few at Israel.......CHAIN REACTION!
>
> In my own personal opinion (AND YES I WAS THERE!) We should have finished
> the job, as HE is still in power, and stocking up again.
>
> In the end, YES we did the right thing by pulling ALL the forces to push
the
> ass back to Iraq. NO we did not meet much resistance, but that was because
> WE (All the forces involved) fought this fight correctly, and with minimal
> casualties. Starve their forces, and prevent communication is what won
that
> war, NOT just RESERVES, FULL TIME Military, it was a COMBINED effort! The
> few who are saying that the Reserves are the backbone of our Military are
> wrong, as EVERY war fought had BOTH on the front lines. Crap you reservist
> sound like a damn recruiting poster!
>
> OK, now that I have vented, I do appreciate ALL the Military people who
have
> given their lives for this country, If it were not for them we would not

> have a country to speak of!!!

1922. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 22:46:41 UTC
From: "K S F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9it6mm0mmt@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62840
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

To bad, you definately need to bet history lessons from SOMEONE!!

http://www.trib.com/scjournal/ARC/2001/JULY/July_4_01_Wed/almanac/service.ht
ml
http://www.qrz.com/database?callsign=ks0f

nunya <nunya@nunya.com> wrote
> Pardon me if I decline to get my history lessons from the likes of you.

1923. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-15 22:50:37 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9it6u20mv1@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62841
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Robert - Since you have shown nothing but ignorance on this topic,
ANYTHING else from you would indeed be a surprise for myself
& the other readers of this thread.

To see evidence for your "surprise" about my study of mil history, please
click the
below link.
http://www.trib.com/scjournal/ARC/2001/JULY/July_4_01_Wed/almanac/service.ht
ml

Feel free to click on this also.
http://www.qrz.com/database?callsign=ks0f

Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
> It's usually a mistake to assume that when other people disagree
> with you it's a result of their ignorance. I would be very
> surprised to learn that you have studied more military history
> than I have. Suggesting that Iraq's power to dominate the globe
> in the 90's was even remotely like Germany's power in
> between-the-wars Europe does not show much of an understanding of
> military history.

1924. Icom R-1 SSB board?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 00:02:37 UTC
From: GRay <gray@fuck>
Message-ID: <9itb29$fd1$2@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62839

A few years ago, a company in England sold an SSB board for the R-1.

Anybody know if anyone offers anything similar?

(And before you start, I know. The R-1 isn't all that great a radio...)

--
GRay-

Take out the trash for mail.

1925. Re: freqs for ontario,canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 00:32:18 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <mCq47.486849$eK2.100657291@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 62842

Go to http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont and you will get lots of help
there.

Randy
Current_gothic <current_gothic@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:3b4f3ce8.4375216@news1.on.sympatico.ca...
> wondering if anybody has freqs for ontario,canada carnies . u knwo the
> people that do the fairs with all the rides
>
> thansk
> dan

1926. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 00:42:12 UTC
From: K0HB <K0HB@QWEST.NET>
Message-ID: <ELq47.20512$Kf3.257863@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 62843
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

The Famous Dump Huck says...

>What branch or branches of the service were you in?

US Navy

>How long?

21 years

>Are you still in or what type of dischage did you receive?

Honorably discharged (on retired rolls)

>What rank were/are you?

Master Chief (E9)

Now how about you, Mackey?

73, Hans, K0HB

>K0HB <k0hb@qwest.net> wrote in
>> Awe, the poor dears! Us full-time active duty Americans worked for
>> those wages all the time, and didn't have a cushy civilian career to
>> wail about.

>> We made our house payments, fed our families, and paid our taxes
>> all on the "1/2 pay" that the part-time American reservists were wailing
>> about when their bluff was called and they had to serve their
>> country for more than a weekend or two-week summer camp.

1927. Re: Pro-2006 -- $199

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 01:04:35 UTC
From: Paul M <paul@coldnfrosty.com>
Message-ID: <D4r47.1576$qA6.201052@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 62844

I sold my 2006 for $450 on eBay.

"Wilf" <wilfkelly@home.com> wrote in message
news:680a2e7f.0106300700.1a5a7423@posting.google.com...
> jrobmills@aol.comrx320 (J Rob) wrote in message
news:<20010629172940.16503.00000148@ng-fu1.aol.com>...
> > >You don't want much do you, $199 for a 2006, crazy
> >
> > Mine is not for sale but it if it was, $199 wouldn't touch it.
> >
> > Rob Mills ~~~
> >
> > I never met a radio I didn't like - Will Rogers
> > (You don't know, He might have)
> There seems to be a clown in every site.The Pro2006,2005,and 2004 were
> one of the best scanners ever sold and were capable of full Cell. with
> a little mod.These scanners sell all day on ebay for between $200.00
> to $300.00 It is cheap guys like this that stop putting good deals up
> on baords.Pay no attention to him obviously he doesn,t know much about
> scanners .My two cents worth :-)

1928. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 01:09:31 UTC
From: The Famous KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <f9r47.354144$p33.7188086@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62845
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Well said, Jake, very well said!

<Jake@world.net> wrote
> Robert Sturgeon wrote:
> > You are as wrong about the possibility of Saddam taking over the
> > United States as you are to suggest that I am some kind of Commie.
> > Both notions are just flat out bizarre.
>
> No more bizarre than the bull shit your shoveling out of your keyboard.

1929. FS: APS105 Bandpass Filter Optoelectronics cheap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 01:11:10 UTC
From: CU <cuibel@altavista.com>
Message-ID: <Oar47.211653$%i7.122344363@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 62846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

APS105
Bandpass Filter
The APS105 Active Pre Selector is a narrow bandpass filter that is manually, automatically, or remotely tunable from 10MHz-1GHz. The APS105 can be coupled with one of the Optoelectronics Frequency Counters, Frequency Recorders, Nearfield Receivers, or Optocom PC controlled receiver. The results are a dramatic increase in sensitivity (detection or pick-up distance up to ten times greater).

1930. Icom R-10 or Icom PCR-1000 Thoughts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 01:17:47 UTC
From: kc0hbb@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010715211747.06509.00007287@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 62747

I am thinking about getting either the Icom R-10 or the Icom PCR-1000 for bedsides/armchair listening of shortwave, and local railroad radio traffic. I do occassionally listen to SSB shortwave signals. Any thoughts on these 2 radios? Good or bad! Thanks in advance!

LARRY :-)

1931. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 01:32:48 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0107151732.7faca82f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62748
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"Me" <@.> wrote in message news:<iy137.328$2j2.86613@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>...
> On the other hand, I agree, HAM radio operators are usually overgrown toddlers who like to play "official" and play "important"
>
> when they are mostly a bunch of pathetic mentally or defective freaks, but indeed they do serve a major important role when it
> comes to emergencies which might be the only reason these pathetic people were sent to the earth in the first place, to be small
> time heroes if only for a few days on their radios.
>
"Pathetic mentally or defective freaks" eh? Ya mean like Sen. Barry Goldwater, Gen. Curt LeMay, former King Huessein of Jordan and his missus, Walter Cronkite, Geo. Pataki guvner of NY, Cardinal Roger Mahoney of LA, Hassan II king of Morocco, Juan Carlos king of Spain, Marlon Brando, Carlos Menem president of Argentina, Yuri Gagarin and a bunch of other astronauts? Almost all of whom have been involved in ham radio emergency comms at various levels.
>
You're right about the services hams can and have provided in emergencies. Your comments on them as sterotypes are for the most part silly bullshit.
>
This whole thread started when some no-clue media glom picked up on a proposal offered by some off-the-wall Beltway think-tank which obviously has no clue about the realities of ham radio comms or the very limited equipment assets and personnel we have available to provide emergency comms.
>
Back up the Interne: At 9600 kB?? Bwaahaha! Methinks that the propsal is a brainfart whose time will never come per any number of prior pieces of stupidity we taxpayers get nicked for.
>
We shall see.
>
Brian Kelly w3rv, Philly, in the game since 1953, been there, done it.

1932. Re: Seeking Info on WHAMO-10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 01:38:00 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010715213800.12430.00003300@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62749

148.125 also

1933. A very newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 01:39:27 UTC
From: mark_unionsquare@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <175a0c4d.0107151739.446c3928@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62750

Hi... I think it would be great to hear local police, fire, cordless phone, etc. in my area. I have read a bit on the web about radio scanners and I talked to someone at radio shack too. It sounds like all the scanners block cordless phone reception. Is this correct? Is it possible to buy an older scanner that does not block the cordless phone reception? Police and fire sound interesting but being able to listen to random conversations would be like the proverbial "fly on the wall". Any info will help.
Thanks - Mark

1934. Re: A very newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 01:50:24 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <auh4ltk3aqqplf9kgq9q9mlb87s6md0pqe@4ax.com>
Source record: 62751

On 15 Jul 2001 18:39:27 -0700, mark_unionsquare@yahoo.com (Mark)
wrote:

{snip]
> Is
>it possible to buy an older scanner that does not block the cordless
>phone reception? Police and fire sound interesting but being able to
>listen to random conversations would be like the proverbial "fly on
>the wall". Any info will help.

Check e-bay for older scanners.

Also check your local laws to determine if it's legal to listen to
phone conversations.

1935. Re: FA Pro 63 Event Scanner Buy it now for $75.00 Instead of bidding on it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 01:54:25 UTC
From: wilfkelly@home.com
Message-ID: <680a2e7f.0107151754.1f1efcb1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62752

wilfkelly@home.com (Wilf) wrote in message
news:<680a2e7f.0107151020.3d367e09@posting.google.com>...
> This scanner is in mint condition and comes with 6 nicad batteries and
> ac/dc/ charger plus manual and antenna.If you like to listen to the
> rases or pit grews this scanner is ideal plus many other functions
> including the regular 100 channel scanner functions.Check it out at
> this site and buy
now.Thanks=====http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1255187700

Here is a site that explains wht this scanner
has.Thanks====http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/26462.htm

1936. Re: A very newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 02:40:30 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9itk6i$kr8n6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62753

"Mark" <mark_unionsquare@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:175a0c4d.0107151739.446c3928@posting.google.com...
> Hi... I think it would be great to hear local police, fire, cordless
> phone, etc. in my area. I have read a bit on the web about radio
> scanners and I talked to someone at radio shack too. It sounds like
> all the scanners block cordless phone reception. Is this correct?
Is
> it possible to buy an older scanner that does not block the cordless
> phone reception? Police and fire sound interesting but being able
to
> listen to random conversations would be like the proverbial "fly on
> the wall". Any info will help.
> Thanks - Mark

*** Usual Disclaimer ***
It is illegal in the US to monitor cordless or cellular telephone
conversations.
*** End Disclaimer ***

Most scanners today have cellular frequencies blocked. Only older
ones that have been modified are capable of picking up cellular
frequencies.

However, most scanners made today can still pick up the 43-47 MHZ and
902-925 MHZ cordless telephone frequencies. No modification to the
scanner is necessary.

Tom

1937. Re: PDW 110 DECODER FULL VER FOR FREE...HERE !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 02:50:12 UTC
From: "R.Young" <rychard@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <mcl4lt01b1cvro96fgj0ei39cpj2vh3d7c@4ax.com>
Source record: 62754

hey all,
What is the PDW 110 DECODER program
what does it decode? and what,is it used for?
Dean KR4IU

On 15 Jul 2001 13:57:31 -0700, bumpoo2002@yahoo.com (bumpoo) wrote:

>THIEVING FUCK !
>
>DON'T USE OTHERS FREE CODE AND HARD WORK AND TRY MAKING MONEY OUT OF IT
>
>EVERYTHING YOU EVER DO WILL BE HACKED AND POSTED FROM NOW ON
>
>
>CUNT
>
>here ya go the waY IT SHOULD BE....FREE !!
>
>
>http://www.geocities.com/bumpoo2002/pdw110.zip
>
>
>The Cappa.

1938. Re: Legality of listening to utility communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 03:32:01 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010715233201.20221.00003668@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 62755

In article <SVj47.20994$h6.7725849@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>, "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x> writes:

>Here's a dumb question. Has anybody worked out exactly why the Electronic
>Communications Privacy Act doesn't prohibit listening to police scanners,
>business band radios, and the like?

Easy answer: Unlike the cellular telephone industry, police and business-band users don't have million-dollar lobbyists asking Congre$$ to pass laws which prohibit monitoring of their transmissions.

1939. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 03:40:01 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <t4o4lt4ukmu4muq99699tt1vgdnbgaaho8@4ax.com>
Source record: 62756
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Sun, 15 Jul 2001 15:50:37 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
<NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:

>Robert - Since you have shown nothing but ignorance on this topic,
>ANYTHING else from you would indeed be a surprise for myself
>& the other readers of this thread.

You have yet to show any "ignorance" on my part about this thread.
I disagree with you, and you take this as evidence of my
ignorance. You must wear a VERY big hat.

>To see evidence for your "surprise" about my study of mil history, please
>click the
>below link.
>http://www.trib.com/scjournal/ARC/2001/JULY/July_4_01_Wed/almanac/service.ht
>ml

Being a Naval Reserve Petty Officer Third Class makes you a
world-class authority on military history?

>Feel free to click on this also.
>http://www.qrz.com/database?callsign=ks0f

I see that you are famous for your "highly active participation in
the night life with the ladies of Tokyo and surrounding cities of
Japan." So?

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1940. Re: PDW 110 DECODER FULL VER FOR FREE...HERE !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 03:41:26 UTC
From: Midnight Rider <mrbig@NOSPAMtough.com>
Message-ID: <Gjt47.9356$304.850279@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 62757

for pagers etc !

"R.Young" <rychard@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:mcl4lt01b1cvro96fgj0ei39cpj2vh3d7c@4ax.com...
>
> hey all,
> What is the PDW 110 DECODER program
> what does it decode? and what,is it used for?
> Dean KR4IU
>
>
>
> On 15 Jul 2001 13:57:31 -0700, bumpoo2002@yahoo.com (bumpoo) wrote:
>
> >THIEVING FUCK !
> >
> >DON'T USE OTHERS FREE CODE AND HARD WORK AND TRY MAKING MONEY OUT OF IT
> >
> >EVERYTHING YOU EVER DO WILL BE HACKED AND POSTED FROM NOW ON
> >
> >
> >CUNT
> >
> >here ya go the waY IT SHOULD BE....FREE !!
> >
> >
> >http://www.geocities.com/bumpoo2002/pdw110.zip
> >
> >
> >The Cappa.
>

1941. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 03:43:10 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <oio4lt0jq8p3ovp1ta6rp7di79gt7huh5c@4ax.com>
Source record: 62758
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Sun, 15 Jul 2001 14:35:32 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
<NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:

>I'm beginning to think you are right. Robert obviously isn't getting it.

You better go back and read the exchange again. You're beginning
to lose track. I'm NOT the city boy he's referring to.

>Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote
>> LOL..that may have been lost on the city boy...LOL

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1942. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 03:56:47 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <ooo4lt4gnm772iaq9nffk488bmqg6qf3fc@4ax.com>
Source record: 62759
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Sun, 15 Jul 2001 14:32:12 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
<NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:

>
>
>
>Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
>> How did Saddam's navy and strategic air force compare with those
>> of the U.S.?
>
>Again, your lack of understanding is showing thru again. He was working
>on a BIOLOGICAL war machine. Even the most minimal air power could
>deliver that!

Yes, and the number of states and NGOs that can deliver such
weapons is something to worry about. The more enemies we make,
the higher the chances are that one or more of them will conduct
more terrorist attacks on the U.S. The solution to that is not to
try to maintain control over every 2 bit country and splinter
group on earth. The more we do that, the less secure we become.

But back to the original point- Saddam was not a threat to the
U.S., so the reservists sent to the Gulf were not defending the
U.S. They were enforcing the Pax Americana. You haven't made a
cogent argument countering that yet, unless you count insults.

>> >In WWII, Germany made large advances into Russia. The same could
>> >have happened again.
>>
>> Only in your imagination.
>
>Really!!!!! I think if you read history, you will find that Germany attacks
>on
>their eastern front were NOT imaginary.

As I'm sure you know, I was referring to your imaginary Saddam
takeover of the U.S.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1943. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 03:58:39 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <5hp4ltkota2tj36i2q2qef2jdl1ds909a4@4ax.com>
Source record: 62760
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Sun, 15 Jul 2001 14:38:15 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
<NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:

>
>
>Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote
>> Different times..more "primitive" technology. Keep in mind, even
>> Russia know, and said outright..they could never conquer the US, only
>> destroy us (nukes)
>
>Correct, so what is your point?

Gee, anyone can see his point- if Russia couldn't take over the
U.S., Iraq sure as hell couldn't.

>> And my cat could learn to talk.
>
>I've never seen a cat that can't walk.

I'm starting to see what your problem is.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1944. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 04:04:06 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <4mp4ltcmq82mgnojmaqe8leh5bm49ugjmo@4ax.com>
Source record: 62761
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Sun, 15 Jul 2001 15:43:36 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
<NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:

>
>Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
>> If you will read the thread, you'll see that I said the Iraqis
>> were not a threat to the U.S.- not wherever your family was. No
>> scuds fell on the U.S.
>
>Robert - a threat to people anywhere is a threat to people everywhere.

Really? When those Hutus and Tutsis were hacking each other up a
few years back, was I just lucky that I didn't at least lose a
finger or two myself? No. So your theory is incorrect. Care to
formulate a new one?

>> The "world" was not threatened by all the wars in the Middle East
>> between 1947 and 1991. Countries that
>
>According to who?
>
>http://www.trib.com/scjournal/ARC/2001/JULY/July_4_01_Wed/almanac/service.ht
>ml
>http://www.qrz.com/database?callsign=ks0f

Wow, you're in the Navy, and a ham to boot? I guess that settles
it- you the man!

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

1945. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 04:04:47 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <zJt47.10539$Ld1.684698@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62762

For scanning, simply get some LMR400 and NType connectors on each ends and an NType Discone like from ICOM.
It's the best you can do without buying an expensive VHF/UHF log periodic, a tower and a rotator motor.

"Ron" <null@gte.net> wrote in message news:3b50f90a.7879738@news.gte.net...
> On 03 Jul 2001 00:48:30 GMT, ottoxxx@aol.com (OTTOXXX) wrote:
>
> >Can someone help me with my choice of coax for an external vhf / uhf scanner
> >antenna?
> >Should I go with the RG-8?
> >And what does the 'U' designate? RG-8 vs RG-8U?
> >TIA
>
>
> I would use LDF4-50 for runns less than 100' or LDF5-50 out to 300'
>
> Ron
>

1946. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 04:56:50 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15bc139efb4b27ca98969d@news>
Source record: 62763

Yep...and using it with my log periodic and rotator on the tower
really makes things rock! :-)

The stuff really is the best for the money, hands down.

In article <zJt47.10539$Ld1.684698@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>, "Me" <@.>
says...
> For scanning, simply get some LMR400 and NType connectors on each ends and an NType
Discone like from ICOM.
> It's the best you can do without buying an expensive VHF/UHF log periodic, a tower and a
rotator motor.
>
> "Ron" <null@gte.net> wrote in message news:3b50f90a.7879738@news.gte.net...
> > On 03 Jul 2001 00:48:30 GMT, ottoxxx@aol.com (OTTOXXX) wrote:
> >
> > >Can someone help me with my choice of coax for an external vhf / uhf scanner
> > >antenna?
> > >Should I go with the RG-8?
> > >And what does the 'U' designate? RG-8 vs RG-8U?
> > >TIA
> >
> >
> > I would use LDF4-50 for runns less than 100' or LDF5-50 out to 300'
> >
> > Ron
> >
>
>
>

1947. Re: Legality of listening to utility communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 05:09:21 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <7Gu47.22241$h6.8382374@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 62764

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010715233201.20221.00003668@nso-md.aol.com...
> In article <SVj47.20994$h6.7725849@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>, "Michael A.
> Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x> writes:
>
> >Here's a dumb question. Has anybody worked out exactly why the
Electronic
> >Communications Privacy Act doesn't prohibit listening to police scanners,
> >business band radios, and the like?
>
> Easy answer: Unlike the cellular telephone industry, police and
business-band
> users don't have million-dollar lobbyists asking Congre$$ to pass laws
which
> prohibit monitoring of their transmissions.

That actually wasn't my question. Looking at the text of the laws, I don't see an exemption that would appear to cover scanning. Yet everyone agrees that scanning is legal. There must be some relevant legal reasoning or precedent that I'm not acquainted with, hence the question.

I agree with your basic point -- the Electronic Communications Privacy Act is an attempt to legislate against the laws of physics. The burden should have been on the cellphones to encrypt their communications. I can see a ban on decryption, but not a ban on reception of signals with a type of modulation that is commonly used for broadcasts and distress calls.

1948. Re: A very newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 05:10:03 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <NGu47.22242$h6.8382343@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 62765

> Also check your local laws to determine if it's legal to listen to
> phone conversations.

It is not anywhere in the United States. I don't think it is legal
anywhere.

1949. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 06:54:34 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B528F91.DF0C2260@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62766
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
>
> Dwight Stewart wrote
> >
> > While I don't agree with everything Robert
> > has said, the statement above is absolute
> > nonsense. First, it shows a clear lack of
> > understanding when it comes to both european
> > and middle eastern history. Second, it shows
> > a clear lack of understanding about the
> > capability and resources of Saddam's military
>
> Your inability to understand the above only
> shows how little knowledge you really have of
> history from the 1930's & 1940's.

I think you mean "the below" since your quotes are set to appear
below your replies - something that means more work for us (we have to
spend time moving things around to reply).

> Germany (Hitler) was doing the EXACT same thing
> that Saddam was doing. Hitler had taken over
> nearly all of Europe by the time we put a stop
> to him, & 6 years of hell for the survivors &
> countless many who died.

My friend, Saddam didn't have the military power to take over the
Middle East, much less offer any real threat to this country (the only
thing he could possibly threaten was our gas prices - and even that is
questionable). That fact alone brings your entire premise into question.

> I'ld like to see you make that statement to a
> Jewish survivors group.

What the heck does Jewish survivor groups have to do with this? The
discussion was about our military's involvement in the Middle East,
not about Jewish survivors. Second, what statement would you like me
to make to a Jewish survivor group? The statement that you don't
appear to understand European and Middle Eastern history, or the
statement that you don't appear to understand the capability and
resources of Saddam's military? I don't think your apparent lack of
understanding of those issues would be of any interest to a Jewish
survivor group.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1950. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 07:12:43 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B5293CD.F5D8920D@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62767
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
>
> Gunner wrote
> > You seem to forget.. water..lots and
> > lots of water. Oceans of water.
>
> So what difference does water make? It
> didn't stop Hitler from his attacks
> against England (snip)

Of course it did. The English channel was the primary hurdle that delayed, and eventually prevented, an invasion of England. And there is a lot more water between the US and Iraq than there was between France and England (radically different vessels would be needed).

> & didn't stop Japan from attacking Pearl!
> You seem to forget air planes & boats, they
> can be used to carry war over water.

Japan had the military ships to attack Pearl Harbor. Saddam did not have the naval capability to attack the United States, nor did his country have the transports needed to move personnel in enough numbers to be any real threat to this country. Likewise, Saddam did not have the long range bombers needed to attack the United States, nor did he show any sign of developing that capability.

> In WWII, Germany made large advances into
> Russia. The same could have happened again.

My friend, even without nuclear weapons, Russia's much more modern military would have defeated Saddam's military with the same relative ease that our military did. Add in the nuclear capability of Russia, and that statement is totally absurd.

> That doesn't mean he wouldn't have tried, &
> caused a lot of pain for his & other people.
> Remember, Saddam is/was not known for his
> common sense or brilliant military thinking.

He does appear to have a better grip on common sense, and military thinking, then you have shown so far in this discussion.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1951. Best Coax cable for a base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 07:14:40 UTC
From: jlim <jlim@cisco.com>
Message-ID: <3B52945F.9A37334F@cisco.com>
Source record: 62768
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Have a scanner with a discone antenna. Length from Antenna to scanner is 50 ft. What is the best cable with low losses that this group recommend? Thanks in advance for your help.

Jeff

1952. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 07:24:27 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B52968A.D10F8229@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62769
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Robert Sturgeon wrote:
> "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
> > Gunner wrote...
> > > Robert Sturgeon wrote:
> > > >
> > > > A steer can try.
> > > >
> > > LOL..that may have been lost on the city
> > > boy...LOL
> > >
> > I'm beginning to think you are right. Robert
> > obviously isn't getting it.
>
> You better go back and read the exchange again.
> You're beginning to lose track. I'm NOT the city
> boy he's referring to.

LOL... It went right over his head, Robert.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1953. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 07:42:40 UTC
From: "K S F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9iu64l01r0u@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62772
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote
SNIP
> military history via your genes. I wonder if Clauswitz or von Stuben,
> or even Sun Tzu have any words to say on that theory...hummm?
>
> God, I love name droppers who dont have a clue...

You mean like what YOU are doing above??

1954. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 08:20:40 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B52A3AB.9CF8E3BC@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62770
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

ircSLUG wrote:
>
> One of the MAIN reasons we went over
> there was to protect the innocent
> people of Kuwait. (snip)

All we did for the "innocent people of Kuwait" was to replace one dictator with the dictator in power before the Iraqi invasion. Don't hold your breath waiting for "the people" to send a "thank you" note.

> Secondary reason was to see for sure
> Iraq did not have nuclear capabilities,
> which we failed to do... (snip)

We know for a fact that Iraq does not have a nuclear capability, and that they didn't have that capability before the conflict. Some people had suggested they might have been in the early stages of developing that capability, but we had no evidence of that before the conflict (and it has since been ruled out - they cannot be moving nuclear materials around to avoid the inspectors without remote detectors discovering the material).

> (snip) Here is a scenario for ya!
>
> Iraq kept firing on Israel (A known
> nuke country!) and we were not there to
> calm the situation. Now Israel launches
> a few to Iraq to show them who is boss..
> ..ok now we have a nice cloud of radio
> active waste that is floating toward
> lets say Russia, their people are
> getting sick and dying, so now Russia
> lobs a few at Israel....CHAIN REACTION!

And I have an equally valid scenario for you; none of that happens.

> In my own personal opinion (AND YES I
> WAS THERE!) We should have finished
> the job, as HE is still in power, and
> stocking up again.

So you believe in killing foreign leaders that you dislike? How does that make you any different than the typical terrorist or assassin, or this country any different than the typical terrorist country? And

what effect would Saddam's death have on the stability of the Middle East? How would our relations with the other Middle Eastern countries go if we started assassinating the leaders of those countries? For that matter, how would relations with any other country go if we started assassinating foreign leaders whenever we felt like it? It's easy to advocate murder when you don't have to be responsible for the wide spread consequences, my friend.

> In the end, YES we did the right thing
> by pulling ALL the forces to push the
> ass back to Iraq.

Yes, I believe we did.

> NO we did not meet much resistance, but
> that was because WE (All the forces involved)
> fought this fight correctly, and with
> minimal casualties. Starve their forces,
> and prevent communication is what won that
> war, (snip)

Lets not pat ourselves on the back too hard. What won that war was the simple fact that we had the more modern military - nothing more, nothing less. The tactics used would not have worked against a more modern adversary. For example, a country with satellite capability would have had a better picture of our troop movements and deployment strategies. Likewise, a country with more modern weapons would have wiped out our troops as they were getting off the ships and planes (if they would have even allowed the ships and planes to get that far).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1955. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 08:41:12 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B52A879.8021FAFA@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62771
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
>
> Wrong, again. Saddam was working on
> biological warfare.

So what? We had biological weapons more than half a century ago. In fact, we still have piles of them stored in the deserts of Arizona and Nevada. Most of them don't work worth a crap - your own troops are threatened almost as much as the enemy's.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

1956. Mobile Scanner and Radar Detector Laws website??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 10:21:33 UTC
From: Power House <"Power House"@My>
Message-ID: <tl5g551mfl359c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62773
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anybody know what happened to this excellent site? I tried to follow the link today, and it is gone... Looked through all kinds of search engines, and came up with nothing but the old, non-existent site... Any help on locating this wonderful site, or any info on why it was taken down, would be greatly appreciated.

Original site address: http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/

Thanks for any and all info!

1957. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 12:05:30 UTC
From: "Drink, WP3JQ" <NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net>
Message-ID: <RoL47.4011$PF1.336727@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62707
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

It's all cool. Survivalists, huh? Must be a rough crowd. I spent a
month or so in survival school in the service. It sure had a tendency to
make you mean, though probably not the same thing. You're right about, "It
IS the internet" but holey double entendre Batman! That's harsh;) I
started to have flashbacks of this Navy airplane mechanic I met on a bad day
(:haha:)
Cheers,
Drink, WP3JQ

"Gunner" <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote in message
news:euu4ltc7c1e08ec77609rr4n4ean71r103@4ax.com...
> "Drink, WP3JQ" <NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net> wrote:
>
> > Hi Gunner,
> > I don't have much on my mind and certainly don't want to start or get
> >involved in an argument. I come in peace. But, I have a very religious
> >wife and an impressionable daughter who share this account. I "salt" my
> >language when shootin' the breeze with the fellas at work but I have to
> >filter internet content for the sake of my family. No offense.
> > Respectfully,
> > Drink, WP3JQ
>
> My apologies. I didn't realize that this was crossposted to so many
> newsgroups. Im posting from misc.survivalism..which is pretty rough
> and ready..rugged individualist type folks. Mea Culpa for not reading
> the crosspost listings when I replied to the "gentleman" who was
> trolling hams. Although, I no longer have a ticket..and let it lapse
> over 20 yrs ago, because of work and time constraints at that time.. I
> still have respect and deepest regards for hams in general.
> (some...<EG>)
>
> Please accept my appolgies to your family if they perhaps saw the
> nasty words. Though..this is the internet...and such things are to be
> expected.
>
> Respects
>
> Gunner

1958. Re: Frequency counter question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 13:27:13 UTC
From: "Dan B (Scanner Nuts)" <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <JUB47.115429$HJ1.3459468@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62774

Look here for help:
http://www.webdeals.net/opto.htm

--
The Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
0ver 360 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
New and Old.... bring back the memories.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

***
"GlobalCabl" <globalcabl@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010715114003.23853.00003183@ng-mn1.aol.com...
> At what distance from the signal can a good frequency counter pick it up?
I am
> thinking about getting a good one but would like to know if it will work
better
> than my RS unit which needs to be on top of the signal to identify it. Any
> reccommendations would also be appreciated

1959. Re: A very newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 15:03:05 UTC
From: Paul Crissy <pcrissy@usenm.net>
Message-ID: <Xns90E0705D3D495pcrissyusenmnet@204.127.36.1>
Source record: 62775

mark_unionsquare@yahoo.com (Mark) wrote in news:175a0c4d.0107151739.446c3928
@posting.google.com:

> Hi... I think it would be great to hear local police, fire, cordless
> phone, etc. in my area. I have read a bit on the web about radio
> scanners and I talked to someone at radio shack too. It sounds like
> all the scanners block cordless phone reception. Is this correct? Is
> it possible to buy an older scanner that does not block the cordless
> phone reception? Police and fire sound interesting but being able to
> listen to random conversations would be like the proverbial "fly on
> the wall". Any info will help.

Actually, most personal telephone conversations are pretty boring. There is
a certain allure to listen to a conversation which a person is not s'posed
to but the conversations themselves -- for the most part -- arent
particularly interesting. Listening to the drive-in window at the local
McDonalds would likely be more interesting.

Police, fire, emergency medical response, security and the like is where the
action is. Its a great hobby and a lot of fun. Welcome aboard!

1960. Re: Best Coax cable for a base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 15:53:08 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <G5E47.24565$h6.8846594@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 62776
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"jlim" <jlim@cisco.com> wrote in message news:3B52945F.9A37334F@cisco.com...
> Have a scanner with a discone antenna. Length from Antenna to scanner is
50 ft.
> What is the best cable with low losses that this group recommend? Thanks
in advance
> for your help.

TV antenna cable (75 ohm) is cheap and should work well.

1961. Re: A very newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 16:29:21 UTC
From: "R.Young" <rychard@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <al46lt0oinurkkpimcbncfg5l7npcfj80t@4ax.com>
Source record: 62777

On 15 Jul 2001 18:39:27 -0700, mark_unionsquare@yahoo.com (Mark)
wrote:

>Hi... I think it would be great to hear local police, fire, cordless
>phone, etc. in my area. I have read a bit on the web about radio
>scanners and I talked to someone at radio shack too. It sounds like
>all the scanners block cordless phone reception. Is this correct? Is
>it possible to buy an older scanner that does not block the cordless
>phone reception? Police and fire sound interesting but being able to
>listen to random conversations would be like the proverbial "fly on
>the wall". Any info will help.
>Thanks - Mark
Hi Mark,
The laws say that it is Illegal to listen to both cellphone and
cordless phones.I am not a lawyer,but you might want to research
your county,state,and US laws. This site just worked for me:
http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/ You might want to start
there, and do a little more reading elsewhere,about the
Electronic Communications Privacy Act .Try this site:
http://www.digitalcentury.com/encyclo/update/ecpa.html

Hey it's your life,you get to decide what risk you want to
take with it.
May you forever be free.
Dean
KR4IU

1962. Re: Icom R-10 or Icom PCR-1000 Thoughts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 17:03:55 UTC
From: "Volker Tonn [odo]" <screwdriver@mailberlin.net>
Message-ID: <3B531E7B.6E48E48C@mailberlin.net>
Source record: 62778

KC0HBB schrieb:

> I am thinking about getting either the Icom R-10 or the Icom PCR-1000 for
> bedsides/armchair listening of shortwave, and local railroad radio traffic. I
> do occassionally listen to SSB shortwave signals. Any thoughts on these 2
> radios? Good or bad! Thanks in advance!
>
> LARRY :-)

Do yourself a favour and get the TenTec RX320 or someting like a used NRD-525 for shortwave-listening instead of the PCR1000.
The PCR100 is comparable with the Sony 2010 (2001D in europe) in performance. So its just a basic shortwave-machine compared with a NRD-525.

For scanning on higher freqs there are lots of much faster machines.

hth,
odo

1963. Re: A very newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 17:12:20 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010716131220.05192.00004098@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62779

>Subject: A very newbie question
>From: mark_unionsquare@yahoo.com (Mark)
>Date: 7/15/01 9:39 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <175a0c4d.0107151739.446c3928@posting.google.com>
>
>Hi... I think it would be great to hear local police, fire, cordless
>phone, etc. in my area. I have read a bit on the web about radio
>scanners and I talked to someone at radio shack too. It sounds like
>all the scanners block cordless phone reception. Is this correct? Is
>it possible to buy an older scanner that does not block the cordless
>phone reception? Police and fire sound interesting but being able to
>listen to random conversations would be like the proverbial "fly on
>the wall". Any info will help.
>Thanks - Mark
>

Most Scanners will work the 47/49 MHz Cordless Phone Range, I know of none that block these Frequencies as they are used by Walkie Talkies and are near some Ham Bands and other Services.

As a note on Cordless Phone Calls; it is illegal in the US to listen to them, and is just as illegal as climbing a Phone Pole and wiring into someone elses Phone Line.

What is blocked on Current Scanners is Cellular Phone Frequencies, which live in the 844-849 MHz Range; this was mandated by US Law a few years back. The Law (ECPA) prohibits the following: Manufacture of Cell Able Scanners, Import and Modification of same.

As a technical note on Cordless Phones both 47/49 and the newer 900 MHz ones, the range of the signal is quite short; about 2 blocks.

I can understand the curious nature of being able to hear peoples calls, but with so much more to hear: Police, Fire, EMS, Feds. NASA, Military and Civilian Air, FRS, HAM, GMRS...
the content of Phone Calls is moot.

See the following Sites for what and how you can tune in to the real fun stuff:

http://www.strongsignals.net
(New to Scanning Info, Reviews, News and more)

http://www.cityfreq.com/
(US City Frequency Lists)

http://www.911scanner.8m.com/
Frequency Lists

http://www.bearcat1.com/free.htm
Police 10-Codes and Frequencies

http://www.trunkscanner.com/
Trunked Radio Information

http://www.indirect.com/www/sxi/shuttle.htm
NASA/Shuttle Frequencies

This is just an intro, there is a whole world of legal to monitor communications on the air.

Also, next trip to Radio Shack, get a copy of Police Call+ which lists frequencies by State and provides background on Scanning.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1964. Re: Rooftop antenna mounting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 17:26:01 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010716132601.05192.00004099@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62781

>Subject: Rooftop antenna mounting
>From: Eric F. Richards efricha@dimensional.com
>Date: 7/15/01 1:17 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <m8j3lt4oikgt7gdl4cg7nvo1g2mvjk14ef@4ax.com>
>
>Greetings, all...
>
>At the risk of being fatally on-topic, I want to ask a question:
>
>I finally own my own home -- my first -- and I wanted to ask
>those who already have done this about rooftop antenna mounting.
>
>I want to put a bicone up at the peak of the roof, and I want to
>use one of those "tripod" mounts for doing so. The wind loading
>of a bicone shouldn't be that different from a large TV antenna,
>but I want to know...

(FIRST AND FOREMOST: Be aware of overhead Power Lines, alot of people die
because the Antenna falls on a Power Line when it is being installed)
>
>o How many people should I have as helpers on this sort of project?
>

2 people should be able to do this without much problem.

>o How much reinforcement from under the roof is needed? I live in
> a very high wind area.

More so you should look to use guy wires to secure it, depending on how long
the bolts you use on the roof itself may dictate a piece of wood on the inside
to prevent it from moving around and will provide a solid base.

Roof type?

>
>o Did you literally go out and "just do it" once you knew that your
> drilling area was clear of electrical runs, or did you do a great
> deal of measurements before starting? What did you measure?

The shorter the Coax the better, where your station is in relationto the
Antenna will be the big factor.

Best idea is to establish where the equipment will be and see how you will
route the Coax, and by all means try to avoid things that may cause line noise
(Cable TV Coax, AC Units, Power Wires, Lights)

Plan the project out first.
>
>o How much time did it take?
>

With a pre-worked plan, able help and all the tools set in advance it should only be a few hours; but remember Murphy and his Law.
>
o Recommended tools?

Drill, Drill Bits, Tape Measure, Lead Anchors, Bolts, Wire Cutters, Silicone Sealer and Caulking Gun, Razor Knife, Connector Sealer, >

>o Recommended roofing sealant?
Silicone Sealer

>
>o Anything I missed that I must know in the above questions?

Visit Radio Shack, they have a book on Installing and Design of different Antenna Systems.

>
>
>Thanks much!
>
>Eric
>
>--
>Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
>"Nature abhors a vacuum tube." -- J. R. Pierce, Bell Labs, c. 1940
>
>

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1965. Re: R/S PRO94A 1000 CHANNEL SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 17:27:06 UTC
From: Eduardo Ortiz <eortiz@cmix.net>
Message-ID: <tl68r6gske4j86@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62780

I own one and I had no problems. You cannot do any modifications to it but in my case, since I use it when I am mobile, it does not matter. There are no computer ports for computer aid scanning either. So you will have to manually enter the freq's.

If you want to modify it I would recoomend not to buy. If no mods are required go for it!

Hope this helps!

Ed

Quote of the day:

" Well, you Sons of Bitches, now you know how I feel!"
-General George S.Patton-
''

"glen" <gweir4@home.com> wrote in message news:3B520E89.E328683E@home.com...
> is this a good scanner or junk thanks guys!
>

1966. Re: Legality of listening to utility communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 17:41:17 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010716134117.05192.00004100@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62783

>Subject: Legality of listening to utility communications
>From: "Michael A. Covington" see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x
>Date: 7/15/01 12:55 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <SVj47.20994$h6.7725849@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
>
>Here's a dumb question. Has anybody worked out exactly why the Electronic
>Communications Privacy Act doesn't prohibit listening to police scanners,
>business band radios, and the like?
>
>Clearly, scanner usage is permitted in the United States, but the laws seem
>to say that it's not (e.g., http://www4.law.cornell.edu/uscode/47/605.html).
>Under the law, are all police communications treated as relating to "ships,
>aircraft, vehicles, or persons in distress"? Even so, business-band
>communications would not seem to fall under that particular loophole.
>
>

Provided the Communications are not Encrypted, Scrambled or Coded, Remote TV pickups or Phones then you can monitor; some jurisdictions do regulate beyond this by way of mobile use of Scanners.

The law says that even if you can legally listen it;

Keep what you hear to yourself

Do not use what you hear to break a law

Dont make money with what you hear

In general, if it is not a Phone Call or Encrypted then it is legal.

I am not a Lawyer but I can provide a few Links that may help on this issue:

http://www.fcc.gov/
Search under: Interception

http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Common_Carrier/Factsheets/investigation.html

http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1967. Re: Best Coax cable for a base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 17:41:36 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15bcc6d6eb377f889896a3@news>
Source record: 62782
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <G5E47.24565$h6.8846594@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>,
see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x says...
>
> "jlim" <jlim@cisco.com> wrote in message news:3B52945F.9A37334F@cisco.com...
> > Have a scanner with a discone antenna. Length from Antenna to scanner is
> 50 ft.
> > What is the best cable with low losses that this group recommend? Thanks
> in advance
> > for your help.
>
> TV antenna cable (75 ohm) is cheap and should work well.
>

Yep...rg6 triple shield and the next best for the buck but overkill
would be LMR-400. The rg-6 is great for receiving purposes.

1968. Re: Frequency counter question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 17:47:57 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010716134757.05192.00004101@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62784

>Subject: Frequency counter question
>From: globalcabl@aol.com (GlobalCabl)
>Date: 7/15/01 11:40 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010715114003.23853.00003183@ng-mn1.aol.com>
>
>At what distance from the signal can a good frequency counter pick it up? I
>am
>thinking about getting a good one but would like to know if it will work
>better
>than my RS unit which needs to be on top of the signal to identify it. Any
>reccommendations would also be appreciated
>
>

Depending on the Frequency and Power of the Radio it can be from 6 inches to 2 feet, not much beyond that.

The "problem" with Counters is that the Air is filled with activity that is often stronger than the one you want to catch.

In general, Counters work at very close range and when you know the close proximity of the Frequency to start with.

Depending on what you are attempting to do there may be other routes to finding a specific frequency without a Counter; the FCC has a product ID Number Search which lets you imput the ID # and will give you the actual frequency or range used, the book Police call+ from Radio Shack lists Frequencies by State down to the city and also provides Service Frequencies.

Sometimes you can just ask a Tech. what frequency an item runs on.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1969. Re: FA New AOR,Icom & Yupiteru Scanners Gov.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 18:09:12 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010716140912.05192.00004102@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62785

>Subject: Re: FA New AOR,Icom & Yupiteru Scanners Gov.
>From: lensman1958@aol.com (Lensman1958)
>Date: 7/15/01 12:46 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010715004624.10210.00007824@ng-md1.aol.com>
>
>Martyn,
>
>I have been following this thread, and had to jump in here with some comments
>I
>truly believe need to be made.
>
>Yes, this fellow was anonymous, however, the poster prior to him, a Mr. Conti
>did identify himself and raise two pertinent issues regarding your auctions.
>Apparently you chose to ignore him.
>
>As a "radio dealer", you should know that many folks in the market for a
>scanner or receiver may not be as cogent of the particulars as you are. They
>may not, in fact, know what "government specs" means. As a "radio dealer"
>isn't it your job to enlighten potential buyers as to the products you sell?
>As someone "promoting radio in general", wouldn't it be incumbent upon you to
>HELP interested buyers?
>
>You claim to have 100's of satisfied buyers, yet it seems that many of those
>on
>eBay have left you negative feedback. This should be a cause for concern
>with
>potential buyers. Additionally, it seems like the AOR 8200 MK II auction has
>been yanked, and the only radio you have a bid on is the R-2.
>
>Now to the nitty gritty of this all.....
>
>If you are in fact operating as a legit radio dealer, then you should be well
>aware that it is illegal for you to be selling "government spec" radios to
>the
>general public. Period. This may explain your unwilligness to dwell on this
>subject at length publicly.
>
>That aside, there is no one here, or in the hobby that would begrudge any
>dealer the opportunity to make an honest buck selling radio equipment.
>However, it is blatantly unfair to all the other legit US dealers for YOU to
>sell uncut radios to the public when THEY cannot.
>
>So, lets cut through all the "promoting the hobby", "providing a good deal"
>hyperbole, and try being honest and up front about what you are doing.

>
>You will fare much better, my English friend....
>
>Lensman
>
>
>
>
>
>

Perfect, Polite and a Positively Correct Response to this issue.

I agree with the points you made 100%.

The subtle subterfuge engaged in when marketing these "full coverage" Scanners
by a "Dealer" leaves much to be desired and when done so blatantly can only
lead me to believe that this is a blow to the hobby of Scanning.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

1970. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 18:14:28 UTC
From: "Aaron R. Kulkis" <akulkis@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B532F04.63FEEFBA@yahoo.com>
Source record: 62786
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

N9NWO wrote:
>
> > > We are going to be dependant on ..... reservists.
> >
> > Which we used to call 'part time Americans'. Oh how some of them
> > wailed in '91 when they actually had to be real sailors and soldiers
> > instead of weekend warriors.
>
> Except now the active components avoid any real
> duty in favor of training while the reservists do most
> of the missions. If it keeps up, the active side will
> be there only for training the reserves.

Which is how it should be.

--
Aaron R. Kulkis
Unix Systems Engineer
DNRC Minister of all I survey
ICQ # 3056642

1971. 700mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 18:20:16 UTC
From: Robert Lee Jordan <rebeljordan@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <vgG47.139$hs4.205155@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 62787

I have an IC-T81A Quad band(6m-2m-440-1.2ghz)HT that I have
modified to have very wide recieve,not a harware mod but just alot of button
pushes.
I was scanning the 700mhz FM band and it was very active with what
appeared to be cell phone traffic?I also heard what seemed to be police and
some public service-all the signals had what seemed to be a digital
squelch,the majority were full duplex but some were just simplex.
Are these just images from the cell transmitters-handsets or is
700mhz allocated to cellular?I am not familiar with the allocation of
500-600-700mhz bands and the IC-T81A scans these and many more.
Thanks in advance for any information that you can provide.

Charles Robert Jordan
novmy
pjordan@qwest.net

1972. Question Concerning Sunspots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 18:27:57 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <NmG47.8155$I5.1636463@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 62788
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ever since I've gotten into the hobby, I've heard a lot of people discussing sunspot activity and how it affects radio comms. Can anyone tell me where I can learn about the freqs affected by sunspots? Is there a site that can tell me when this activity will occur? Thanks.

1973. Re: FA Pro 63 Event Scanner Buy it now for $75.00 Instead of bidding on it.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 18:50:25 UTC
From: wilfkelly@home.com
Message-ID: <680a2e7f.0107161050.556b3a4a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62789

wilfkelly@home.com (Wilf) wrote in message
news:<680a2e7f.0107151754.1f1efcb1@posting.google.com>...
> wilfkelly@home.com (Wilf) wrote in message
news:<680a2e7f.0107151020.3d367e09@posting.google.com>...
> > This scanner is in mint condition and comes with 6 nicad batteries and
> > ac/dc/ charger plus manual and antenna.If you like to listen to the
> > rases or pit grews this scanner is ideal plus many other functions
> > including the regular 100 channel scanner functions.Check it out at
> > this site and buy
now.Thanks=====http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1255187700
>
> Here is a site that explains wht this scanner
> has.Thanks====http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/26462.htm

Auction has ended.Thanks

1974. Re: Rooftop antenna mounting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 19:12:28 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <w0H47.728$6F1.18580@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 62790

PB Scanner <pbscanner@cs.com> wrote:
> (FIRST AND FOREMOST: Be aware of overhead Power Lines, alot of people die
> because the Antenna falls on a Power Line when it is being installed)

Point taken... but I have more brains than that! Area is clear.

>>o How much reinforcement from under the roof is needed? I live in
>> a very high wind area.

> More so you should look to use guy wires to secure it, depending on how long
> the bolts you use on the roof itself may dictate a piece of wood on the inside
> to prevent it from moving around and will provide a solid base.

There's no way to guy a bicone, and there will be no mast to guy. The
tripod will simply have to be strong enough. If you've seen a bicone,
you'll know that the guys would interfere with the lower cone.

> Roof type?

Plywood with composite shingles. Peaked.

>>
>>o Did you literally go out and "just do it" once you knew that your
>> drilling area was clear of electrical runs, or did you do a great
>> deal of measurements before starting? What did you measure?

> The shorter the Coax the better, where your station is in relationto the
> Antenna will be the big factor.

That part is already done.

>>
>>o How much time did it take?
>>

> With a pre-worked plan, able help and all the tools set in advance it should
> only be a few hours; but remember Murphy and his Law.

:-) Only too well.

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dimensional.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

1975. Re: Rooftop antenna mounting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 19:59:11 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15bce71d647fcb299896a6@news>
Source record: 62791

Eric, how big, heavy and what's the wind area of this Bicone?

Glen Martin makes some very good roof towers but we might be talking
overkill here. :-)

http://www.glenmartin.com/catalog/page14.html

I have been using the RT-832 for about 8 or 10 years now and am
really pleased with it. The RT-424 with a mast (no guys) would get you
up about 10 feet over the peak.

I mounted the roof tower with lag screws through the roof into a
length of 2 X 8 mounted inside the attic, against the underside of the
roof between the rafters.
IOW I mounted a 2X8 between the rafters and secured into the rafters
for each tripod leg. Fill the pilot holes for the lag screws with
silicone sealer before inserting screw and then I like to seal the
head and washer with a giant glob.

This has held up to a 20-15-10 tri-band beam and a KLM log periodic
beam without guys.

The tower weighed 30 lbs and two of us easily mounted it. Took three
of us to get the antennas up though!

The smaller one might just do the trick for you, it will last forever
unlike the steel bent tube job RS sells.

In article <w0H47.728$6F1.18580@wormhole.dimensional.com>,
efricha@flatland.dimensional.com says...
> PB Scanner <pbscanner@cs.com> wrote:
> > (FIRST AND FOREMOST: Be aware of overhead Power Lines, alot of people die
> > because the Antenna falls on a Power Line when it is being installed)
>
> Point taken... but I have more brains than that! Area is clear.
>
> >>o How much reinforcement from under the roof is needed? I live in
> >> a very high wind area.
>
> > More so you should look to use guy wires to secure it, depending on how long
> > the bolts you use on the roof itself may dictate a piece of wood on the inside
> > to prevent it from moving around and will provide a solid base.
>
> There's no way to guy a bicone, and there will be no mast to guy. The
> tripod will simply have to be strong enough. If you've seen a bicone,
> you'll know that the guys would interfere with the lower cone.
>

> > Roof type?
>
> Plywood with composite shingles. Peaked.
>
> >>
> >>o Did you literally go out and "just do it" once you knew that your
> >> drilling area was clear of electrical runs, or did you do a great
> >> deal of measurements before starting? What did you measure?
>
> > The shorter the Coax the better, where your station is in relationto the
> > Antenna will be the big factor.
>
> That part is already done.
>
> >>
> >>o How much time did it take?
> >>
>
> > With a pre-worked plan, able help and all the tools set in advance it should
> > only be a few hours; but remember Murphy and his Law.
>
> :-) Only too well.
>
>

1976. Re: Best Coax cable for a base Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 20:13:23 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <3B534AE3.35FA5439@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 62796
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Never ask for the best because you will get an answer like use LMR 900
or even bigger .That coax is about 7/8 inch in diameter and is overkill.

The rg-6 is good for the 50 feet you are going and the cost is not too
much. Don't go with the triple and quad shielded stuff unless the price
is right as it will be no beter than the single shielded stuff. It is
70 ohm coax instead of 50 ohm stuff, but that is no problem for
receiving as the scanner will not be 50 ohms over the whole range
anyway.

RG-8 or RG-11 is fine also if you don't mind the 1/2 inch cable at a
higher price.

While you could use the rg-58 or 59 it is about the same price as the
rg-6 and has much more loss.

jlim wrote:
>
> Have a scanner with a discone antenna. Length from Antenna to scanner is 50 ft.
> What is the best cable with low losses that this group recommend? Thanks in advance
> for your help.
>
> Jeff

1977. Icom PCR1000 EEPROM question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 20:17:06 UTC
From: ATD <capt-tripps@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B534BC2.2E4F046A@home.com>
Source record: 62792

Did Icom ever fix the eeprom corruption problem? I'm considering
getting one if the problem has been resolved.

Thanks.

ATD

1978. Re: Icom PCR1000 EEPROM question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 20:58:28 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Uzl47.18490$JN6.3683887@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 62793

I don't think it was as much of a problem, as some hyped it up to be. The corruption typically occurred when a programmer-wanabe sent the wrong commands to the EPROM. I guess they had to take it out on somebody.

If you use it as intended, and/or use some of the more established third-party software with it, it's very unlikely you will ever encounter any problems.

Bill Crocker

"ATD" <capt-tripps@home.com> wrote in message news:3B534BC2.2E4F046A@home.com...
> Did Icom ever fix the eeprom corruption problem? I'm considering
> getting one if the problem has been resolved.
>
> Thanks.
>
> ATD
>

1979. Re: Question Concerning Sunspots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 20:59:40 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <0BI47.18491$JN6.3684637@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 62794
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My cat is an expert on sunspots! She manages to find any that appear on the living room floor, in the late afternoon, and sleeps in them! :)

Bill Crocker

"TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message news:NmG47.8155$I5.1636463@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Ever since I've gotten into the hobby, I've heard a lot of people discussing
> sunspot activity and how it affects radio comms. Can anyone tell me where I
> can learn about the freqs affected by sunspots? Is there a site that can
> tell me when this activity will occur? Thanks.
>
>
>

1980. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 21:03:00 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <6926ltch7tfnvnve51icbhgb0lmn3nh88n@4ax.com>
Source record: 62728
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Mon, 16 Jul 2001 00:42:40 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
<NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:

>Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote
>SNIP
>> military history via your genes. I wonder if Clauswitz or von Stuben,
>> or even Sun Tzu have any words to say on that theory...hummm?
>>
>> God, I love name droppers who dont have a clue...
>
>You mean like what YOU are doing above??
>
>
You going to answer the questions or not, fish bait?
--
"Confronting Liberals with the facts of reality is very much akin to
clubbing baby seals. It gets boring after a while, but because Liberals are
so stupid it is easy work." Steven M. Barry

1981. F/A: 780XLT On Ebay for $295 "buy it now"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 21:09:55 UTC
From: BK <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B5358AD.7E1590C7@yahoo.com>
Source record: 62795
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Only if you are interested, please go to
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1256106172 and
you will find a like new trunktracker for sale. thank you

1982. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 22:04:28 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <MxJ47.298$vN.82152@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 62697
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

<jmcr@nauticom.net> wrote in message news:3B4FAA3C.B572242B@nauticom.net...
> Fantastic response Ryan. I also have been in emergency services, I
started in
> 1961. I have worked in police, state and federal fire fighting and
ambulance
> services. I held position from Fire Chief to Ambulance Commander, etc.. I
was
> appointed hard of the EMA in my community by our Governor in 1986 and
continue
> too hold that position today. Along with our local and county radios in
my
> command post I also have amateur radios. The hams in our county have come
to
> our assistance on more than one occasion and we truly appreciate them.
>
> By the way, I have had my ham ticket since 1974.
>

Thats great! I am sure that you could have alot of knowledge to share with
these newsgroups!

73's,

Ryan, KC8PMX

1983. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 22:12:40 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <iuo6ltg8opf7pt97e4i40lg011kf1u5eb2@4ax.com>
Source record: 62733
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Mon, 16 Jul 2001 18:00:04 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
<NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:

>You must be talking to yourself now?
>
>Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote
>> You really dont know who they are..do you...admit it...come on squid..
>> admit it.
>
>
Still not admiting to knowing who Clauswitz, von Stuben and Sun Tzu are?

You, the Military History Scholar?

Gotcha! PO3 Mackey. Looks like your alligator mouth overloaded your
butterfly ass.

Thanks for the service to our country. But.. squid..dont forget a
shitload of others went before you, and forget the idea that button up
the front pants gets you anything more than a high 5. You want to play
with us, learn some manners, and get your shit squared away Mister.

Gunner

--
"Confronting Liberals with the facts of reality is very much akin to
clubbing baby seals. It gets boring after a while, but because Liberals are
so stupid it is easy work." Steven M. Barry

1984. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 22:13:54 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <pnp6lt4lb253sq6loqsrch7982tgpdhvh1@4ax.com>
Source record: 62734
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Mon, 16 Jul 2001 18:12:39 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
<NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:

>Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote
>> My friend, Saddam didn't have the military power to take over the
>> Middle East, much less offer any real threat to this country (the only
>> thing he could possibly threaten was our gas prices - and even that is
>> questionable). That fact alone brings your entire premise into question.
>
>I never claimed that he did have the military power to take over, but he
>certainly could try & cause a lot of grief until stopped. You apparently
>don't understand that "premise".

Your meandering references to the Domino theory is not commenting on his
ability to take over?

ROFLMAO!
>
>> What the heck does Jewish survivor groups have to do with this? The
>> discussion was about our military's involvement in the Middle East,
>> not about Jewish survivors. Second, what statement would you like me
>> to make to a Jewish survivor group? The statement that you
>
>Go back & re-read your previous post.
>

--
"Confronting Liberals with the facts of reality is very much akin to
clubbing baby seals. It gets boring after a while, but because Liberals are
so stupid it is easy work." Steven M. Barry

1985. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 22:15:00 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <lpp6ltgbucnk7qid2ikhmip594bb5letej@4ax.com>
Source record: 62735
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Mon, 16 Jul 2001 18:17:55 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
<NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:

>
>
>Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
>> Really? When those Hutus and Tutsis were hacking each other up a
>> few years back, was I just lucky that I didn't at least lose a
>> finger or two myself? No. So your theory is incorrect. Care to
>
>Wrong, for proof, review how Germany took over country by country
>during WWII.
>

So now you are saying he has the ability to "take over".

Get your stories straight.
>
>> Wow, you're in the Navy, and a ham to boot? I guess that settles
>> it- you the man!
>
>Thanks!
>
>

--
"Confronting Liberals with the facts of reality is very much akin to
clubbing baby seals. It gets boring after a while, but because Liberals are
so stupid it is easy work." Steven M. Barry

1986. F/A - PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 23:09:41 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <VuK47.37466$qs5.7403879@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 62698

Please take a look at the following auction:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1256139750

Thank you for your time,

John Schneider, KB2COP
thecop@optonline.net

1987. Stubby Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 23:35:10 UTC
From: Scott Evans <mbsevans@home.com>
Message-ID: <OSK47.167282$W02.2831401@news1.rdc2.on.home.com>
Source record: 62699

Can anyone explain to me the makeup of those stubby antennas I see at the racetrack? What do they consist of under the black rubber?

1988. Newbe to trunking queston

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-16 23:41:07 UTC
From: larney@ottawa.com
Message-ID: <olu6lt8sk4bch0fon7b8kjue5ieh862cc9@4ax.com>
Source record: 62701

I just bought a PRO-2050 trunk scanner and be dammed if I can figure out how to program the trunked system area. I am in Ottawa, Ontario, Canada and I found a site with some local truck frequesncies, which I entered in bank 1 and tried the scan with no results at all.

Can any one help me with some trucked freqs and how to enter them so that I can see if the scanner works and them maybe I will be able to figure out how to enter them int he future.

Also, is it worth keeping the pro-2050 or should I be looking at some other model?

Thanks for any help you can provide.

1989. Re: Stubby Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 00:12:46 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <5qL47.26932$h6.9253313@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 62702

"Scott Evans" <mbsevans@home.com> wrote in message
news:OSK47.167282$W02.2831401@news1.rdc2.on.home.com...
> Can anyone explain to me the makeup of those stubby antennas I see at the
> racetrack? What do they consist of under the black rubber?

A loose coil.

1990. Re: Question Concerning Sunspots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 00:13:21 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <GqL47.26935$h6.9254182@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 62703
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

ARRL Handbook for Radio Amateurs. http://www.arrl.org is a good place to start; also www.anarc.org.

"TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message news:NmG47.8155$I5.1636463@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Ever since I've gotten into the hobby, I've heard a lot of people discussing
> sunspot activity and how it affects radio comms. Can anyone tell me where I
> can learn about the freqs affected by sunspots? Is there a site that can
> tell me when this activity will occur? Thanks.
>
>
>

1991. Re: Mobile Scanner and Radar Detector Laws website??????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 00:14:06 UTC
From: hreesie@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010716201406.18458.00004138@ng-fl1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62705

THE WEBSITE IS STILL UP HE HAS A STORY ON THE HOMEPAGE ABOUT HIS ISP BEING DOWN ALL WEEKEND

1992. Re: Legality of listening to utility communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 00:14:40 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <SrL47.26938$h6.9254853@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 62704

> http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/

Thanks, that's exactly what I needed. My question was not so much what is legal, as exactly what do the laws say and how are they applied.

1993. Good deal on SC-200 Progmming Software!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 00:15:33 UTC
From: John Doe <me@me.com>
Message-ID: <FsL47.23740$mY2.2905212@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 62706

Only 2 days left from the time of this posting

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1254878785

--
Uniden Sportcat Handheld Scanner Software FREE TRIAL
http://members.home.net/itssoftware/ez-scan.htm
Send money Instantly & Securely to anyone
https://www.paypal.com/refer/pal=itssoftware%40home.com

1994. Re: A very newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 00:18:35 UTC
From: John Doe <me@me.com>
Message-ID: <vvL47.23757$mY2.2905835@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 62708

Here in Canada, it is NOT illegal to listen to cell phone conversations.

--
Uniden Sportcat Handheld Scanner Software FREE TRIAL
http://members.home.net/itssoftware/ez-scan.htm
Send money Instantly & Securely to anyone
https://www.paypal.com/refer/pal=itssoftware%40home.com
"Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
wrote in message news:NGu47.22242$h6.8382343@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net...
> > Also check your local laws to determine if it's legal to listen to
> > phone conversations.
>
> It is not anywhere in the United States. I don't think it is legal
> anywhere.
>
>
>

1995. BC780 Extender - Freeware (245 Support Added)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 00:52:39 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <VVL47.117493$HJ1.3609941@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62709

This is a program that may be of some use to BC780XLT
and BC245XLT owners.

http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/BC780Ext.htm

-
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

1996. Re: BC780 Extender - Freeware (245 Support Added)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 00:57:06 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <5_L47.117498$HJ1.3610921@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62710

Sorry if this was a redundant post but it was not
showing up for me on the BellSouth newsgroups.
It could have been the BellSouth servers. They are
about the worst I have seen as far as newsgroups go.

"David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net> wrote in message
news:VVL47.117493$HJ1.3609941@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> This is a program that may be of some use to BC780XLT
> and BC245XLT owners.
>
> http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/BC780Ext.htm
>
> -
> David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
> Baton Rouge, LA
>
> Baton Rouge Area Scanning
> Web Page: http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/
> -
> ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
> Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.
>
> Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

1997. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 01:00:04 UTC
From: "K S F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9j02ul0133u@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62715
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

You must be talking to yourself now?

Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote
> You really dont know who they are..do you...admit it...come on squid..
> admit it.

1998. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 01:07:09 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <gs37ltk0smaqh1ppsblgfuijhqkgfgmoe6@4ax.com>
Source record: 62738
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Mon, 16 Jul 2001 21:30:41 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
<NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:

>
>
>Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote
>> You going to answer the questions or not, fish bait?
>
>What question are you referring to?
>
>
Attention deficit disorder too I see. Sad case..and you made PO3
exactly how?

Gunner

--
"Confronting Liberals with the facts of reality is very much akin to
clubbing baby seals. It gets boring after a while, but because Liberals are
so stupid it is easy work." Steven M. Barry

1999. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 01:07:44 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9j03d1013p5@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62717
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote
> Of course it did. The English channel was the primary hurdle that
SNIP

Tell that to the relatives of those who were killed by German "buzz bombs"
sent to England.

> Japan had the military ships to attack Pearl Harbor. Saddam did not
> have the naval capability to attack the United States, nor did his
> country have the transports needed to move personnel in enough numbers

Saddam wound not need anything like that to start biological warfare.

SNIP
> and that statement is totally absurd.

The only absurd thing here is your denial of the obvious facts.

> He does appear to have a better grip on common sense, and military
> thinking, then you have shown so far in this discussion.

Saddam would probably like to have a group of inspectors like YOU, that
can be so easily manipulated into believing his lies.

2000. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 01:12:39 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9j03m80148l@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62716
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote
> My friend, Saddam didn't have the military power to take over the
> Middle East, much less offer any real threat to this country (the only
> thing he could possibly threaten was our gas prices - and even that is
> questionable). That fact alone brings your entire premise into question.

I never claimed that he did have the military power to take over, but he
certainly could try & cause a lot of grief until stopped. You apparently
don't understand that "premise".

> What the heck does Jewish survivor groups have to do with this? The
> discussion was about our military's involvement in the Middle East,
> not about Jewish survivors. Second, what statement would you like me
> to make to a Jewish survivor group? The statement that you

Go back & re-read your previous post.

2001. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 01:14:38 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9j03q0014fb@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62721
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote
> So what? We had biological weapons more than half a century ago. In
> fact, we still have piles of them stored in the deserts of Arizona and
> Nevada. Most of them don't work worth a crap - your own troops are
> threatened almost as much as the enemy's.

So what about those biological weapons that do work well?
Would you want Saddam to be using them?

2002. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 01:17:55 UTC
From: "K S F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9j0405014se@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62722
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
> Really? When those Hutus and Tutsis were hacking each other up a
> few years back, was I just lucky that I didn't at least lose a
> finger or two myself? No. So your theory is incorrect. Care to

Wrong, for proof, review how Germany took over country by country
during WWII.

> Wow, you're in the Navy, and a ham to boot? I guess that settles
> it- you the man!

Thanks!

2003. Re: Stubby Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 01:18:00 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <6v37lt8p1d188tn903959ai729jnfm4anr@4ax.com>
Source record: 62711

On Mon, 16 Jul 2001 19:32:41 -0700, "Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:

[snip]
>Or you could be seeing 800MHz-band radios if the antenna is about 3" long...

He's asking about the stubby antennas used when scanning at races.
Mostly 400 MHz band, some 150 MHz, and maybe a couple at 800 MHz.

The stubbies cut down on sensitivity, so as to reduce bleed-over from
one frequency to another. I sprang for one for $12 because the
broadcast feeds at Indianapolis were overwhelming other channels.

I'd love to find out what's inside them, 'cause I'd rather not pay $12
if I ever need another one. But I can't bring myself to cut the
little guy open for a look-see.

Ken Plotkin

2004. alpha tags for a PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 01:48:20 UTC
From: Bill Seward <seward@northstate.net>
Message-ID: <a067lts11h3vpi1su9slrvtc0igh42qv5p@4ax.com>
Source record: 62712
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is there any sort of add-on for the PRO-2006 that supports alpha tags (I hope I'm using that correctly--I mean the short description that can be associated with a frequency, such as the 780XLT does)? I'd be willing (happy maybe?) to accept something that required computer control, but a self-contained add-on would be really nice.

Thanks.

Bill Seward
http://www.geocities.com/bill_seward

2005. FS: Garmin GPS III Plus w/accessories>>>SOLD<<<

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 01:53:41 UTC
From: Sam <k4oc_nospam@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <nm67ltgjpretbp6mrq8330f077n6s94oci@4ax.com>
Source record: 62714

[No readable article body recovered]

2006. Re: A very newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 02:08:24 UTC
From: "Matt J. McCullar" <mccullar@flash.net>
Message-ID: <9j05vn$4o3g$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62713

I found my old Bearcat scanner at a garage sale for ONE DOLLAR. And it works just great. (I figured for that price, even if it didn't work, I could use it for parts.) I recommend scrounging around in pawn shops, thrift shops, old electronics stores, and of course garage sales.

Drop by your local airport and buy an aviation map for your area. It contains all the frequencies that pilots, airports, control towers, etc., use and are often interesting to listen to. Any scanner can pick these up.

You can also use a scanner to listen to your local ham radio repeaters. These are often good sources of information during severe weather, as many hams are excellent storm spotters and you can track thunderstorms this way. You don't have to be a ham to listen.

HTH,

Matt J. McCullar, KJ5BA
Arlington, TX

2007. Have Handheld, Need Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 02:28:44 UTC
From: jsaspo@attglobal.net
Message-ID: <420d12ed.0107161828.740ca61d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62718

I'm fairly new to the hobby and have been enjoying a recently purchased PRO-92 handheld. I've been using the stock rubber duck and would like to start trying some new antennas to see if I can improve reception.

I would like to ask for your recommendations for 3 different handheld antennas:

1) Best antenna for 30-50 range
2) Best antenna for 800 range
3) Best antenna for wide coverage (30-960)

IMPORTANT NOTE: I understand that there are many gurus on here that will tell me to "just build a simple one using..." or something similar. Please understand that for now I prefer to PURCHASE an already made antenna that I can simply screw on to the top of my handheld. I do not want to BUILD anything at this point.

I'm also not looking for an outdoor antenna or mobile antenna. Just something I can simply screw in the top that would help my reception for the above ranges I mentioned. Best case scenario would be for me to be able to walk into a store (Radio Shack, whatever) and ask for part no. whatever based on your wise recommendations.

Thanks for your help,
Jay

2008. Re: Stubby Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 02:32:41 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9ivtqcmlr1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62700

Usually a coil of copper wire (perhaps 20 gauge or so) that, if stretched
out, would probably amount to a 1/4 wave antenna.

Or you could be seeing 800MHz-band radios if the antenna is about 3" long...

Scott Evans <mbsevans@home.com> wrote in message
news:OSK47.167282$W02.2831401@news1.rdc2.on.home.com...
> Can anyone explain to me the makeup of those stubby antennas I see at the
> racetrack? What do they consist of under the black rubber?
>
>

2009. Re: A very newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 02:37:58 UTC
From: John Smith <jaked2k@mail.com>
Message-ID: <atN47.14792$304.1871059@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 62719

IT IS ILLEGAL!

"John Doe" <me@me.com> wrote in message
news:vvL47.23757$mY2.2905835@news1.busy1.on.home.com...
> Here in Canada, it is NOT illegal to listen to cell phone conversations.
>
> --
> Uniden Sportcat Handheld Scanner Software FREE TRIAL
> http://members.home.net/itssoftware/ez-scan.htm
> Send money Instantly & Securely to anyone
> https://www.paypal.com/refer/pal=itssoftware%40home.com
> "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
> wrote in message news:NGu47.22242$h6.8382343@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net...
> > > Also check your local laws to determine if it's legal to listen to
> > > phone conversations.
> >
> > It is not anywhere in the United States. I don't think it is legal
> > anywhere.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2010. Telescoping Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 02:39:38 UTC
From: jsaspo@attglobal.net
Message-ID: <420d12ed.0107161839.3ae7c6f6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62720

Gurus,

With a telescoping antenna do you basically fully extend it when trying to hear the low MHz ranges and then compress it as you move up in MHz?

For example, fully extended for 30-50 and fully compressed for 800-900? Is that the general idea?

Sorry for the dumb question. I'm fairly new to this stuff. I see a lot of "cut it to so-and-so length for best reception in this range". I prefer not to cut anything, so would a telescoping antenna be the best choice for my handheld scanner for wide coverage? Can you recommend one for a PRO-92?

Thanks for your help,
Jay

2011. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 02:49:15 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net>
Message-ID: <5q97ltctplo9n9quiejjldqj4p26t2mhu0@4ax.com>
Source record: 62723
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Mon, 16 Jul 2001 18:17:55 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
<NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:

>
>
>Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
>> Really? When those Hutus and Tutsis were hacking each other up a
>> few years back, was I just lucky that I didn't at least lose a
>> finger or two myself? No. So your theory is incorrect. Care to
>
>Wrong, for proof, review how Germany took over country by country
>during WWII.

Nice going- snip the part I was replying to.

>> Wow, you're in the Navy, and a ham to boot? I guess that settles
>> it- you the man!
>
>Thanks!

Reading comprehension problems AND no understanding of sarcasm.
How sad...

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

2012. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 03:03:43 UTC
From: "K S F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9j0a180vd3@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62729
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Robert Sturgeon <rsturgeonfake@calwest.net> wrote
> Nice going- snip the part I was replying to.

Perhaps if your thoughts were more clear & direct, it wouldn't
be so difficult to see what you are replying to.

> >> Wow, you're in the Navy, and a ham to boot? I guess that settles
> >> it- you the man!
> >
> >Thanks!
>
> Reading comprehension problems AND no understanding of sarcasm.
> How sad...

Your comment seemed sensible, I guess I should have known better from you
given your other statements.

2013. Re: Have Handheld, Need Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 03:03:54 UTC
From: Paul Crissy <pcrissy@usenm.net>
Message-ID: <Xns90E0EA99C5BBFpcrissyusenmnet@204.127.36.1>
Source record: 62724

jsaspo@attglobal.net (Jay) wrote in
news:420d12ed.0107161828.740ca61d@posting.google.com:

>> Best case scenario would be for me
> to be able to walk into a store (Radio Shack, whatever) and ask for
> part no. whatever based on your wise recommendations.
>
>

Then go into yur local Radio Shack store and ask for Cat. No.20-006.

It is a center-loaded telescoping whip antenna. Cost is $9.95
Its a good antenna at a great price.

2014. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 03:07:47 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9j0a63$459$5@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 62725
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

```
In article <RoL47.4011$PF1.336727@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>,
NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net says...
>
> It's all cool. Survivalists, huh? Must be a rough crowd. I spent a
>month or so in survival school in the service. It sure had a tendency to
>make you mean, though probably not the same thing. You're right about, "It
>IS the internet" but holey double entendre Batman! That's harsh;) I
>started to have flashbacks of this Navy airplane mechanic I met on a bad day
>(:haha:)
> Cheers,
> Drink, WP3JQ
>
>"Gunner" <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote in message
>news:euu4ltc7c1e08ec77609rr4n4ean71r103@4ax.com...
>> "Drink, WP3JQ" <NOSPAMdrink@coqui.net> wrote:
>>
>> > Hi Gunner,
>> > I don't have much on my mind and certainly don't want to start or get
>> >involved in an argument. I come in peace. But, I have a very religious
>> >wife and an impressionable daughter who share this account. I "salt" my
>> >language when shootin' the breeze with the fellas at work but I have to
>> >filter internet content for the sake of my family. No offense.
>> > Respectfully,
>> > Drink, WP3JQ
>>
>> My apologies. I didn't realize that this was crossposted to so many
>> newsgroups. Im posting from misc.survivalism..which is pretty rough
>> and ready..rugged individualist type folks. Mea Culpa for not reading
>> the crosspost listings when I replied to the "gentleman" who was
>> trolling hams. Although, I no longer have a ticket..and let it lapse
>> over 20 yrs ago, because of work and time constraints at that time.. I
>> still have respect and deepest regards for hams in general.
>> (some...<EG>)
>>
>> Please accept my appolgies to your family if they perhaps saw the
>> nasty words. Though..this is the internet...and such things are to be
>> expected.
>>
>> Respects
>>
>> Gunner
>
```

>
>
Youmust mean something like when our new data geek decided to schollus grunts in the "internet".
It was a long long time ago, time flies and all of that.
Something had happened in the White House, so MR DataGeek decided to show us how to keep current on the news.
He typed the url http://whitehouse

Then turned to face us and spent 30 minutes of irreplaceable time boring us with the wondrs of the 'net. Yawn. Most of us had been using the net for a long time.
But the images on the monitor were a sight to behold. Whitehouse.com is(or at that time was) a soft poron site. Lots of "bare naked ladies". It was scream, at least until our boss walked in an wanted to knwo whateh naked ladies where all about. Mr Boss was (likly still is) a rather prim and proper conservative Christian (nut case) and lost his cool over the "blatent pornography". I guess he had never seen a "bare naked lady".
Mr. DataGeek lasted less than a month.
I doubt if "cyber patrol" or any watchdog/nanny program will prevent young delicate eyes from seeing "bare naked ladies", or darn near anything else.
Of course the ads in todays Womens magazines would have caused my mother to blush. Or maybe not.

2015. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 03:21:32 UTC
From: "Robert D. Baker" <rdbake@prtcnet.org>
Message-ID: <9j0asn$3ap$1@nd.eastky.net>
Source record: 62741
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Dwight Stewart wrote in message <3B4E6690.6BACCA20@sccoast.net>...

> In my opinion, criticism of reservists by full time personnel is
>nothing more than an attempt to make themselves feel important by
>putting somebody else down.

Hell, I'd been munching MRE's for over 30 days in Honduras and the
finest thing I've _ever_ seen was a field kitchen showin' up, run by the
PRNG (Puerto Rico National Guard). We'd been reduced to bartering for pigs
from the locals by that time, hehehe.
Funniest damn thing about "reserve" snobbery is what happened to my
dad. He pulled a full hitch in WWII, got out, got "involuntarily recalled"
for Korea. Stupid active duty putzes called him a "reservist". Well,
technically, yeah...but D'oh!

2016. FS: Box for BC-100XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 03:40:03 UTC
From: profile1x@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010716234003.16451.00001909@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 62726

Original box for Uniden BC-100XLT. $15 shipped.

2017. Re: Question Concerning Sunspots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 03:54:45 UTC
From: Bruce Tyler <top@bottom.au>
Message-ID: <7md7lt4605goht860gv3kp0077u9m715b7@4ax.com>
Source record: 62727
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hahahahaha... Yours too Bill? I have two cats and they both get into
sunspots, literally.

On Mon, 16 Jul 2001 20:59:40 GMT, "Bill Crocker" <bcrocker@home.com> wrote:

>My cat is an expert on sunspots! She manages to find any that appear on the
>living room floor, in the late afternoon, and sleeps in them! :)
>
>Bill Crocker
>
>
>
>"TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message
>news:NmG47.8155$I5.1636463@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
>> Ever since I've gotten into the hobby, I've heard a lot of people
>discussing
>> sunspot activity and how it affects radio comms. Can anyone tell me where
>I
>> can learn about the freqs affected by sunspots? Is there a site that can
>> tell me when this activity will occur? Thanks.
>>
>>
>>
>

2018. Re: Rooftop antenna mounting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 04:20:57 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <7jd7lt88t4p5p2f2i8lk6jtdaildm5p87t@4ax.com>
Source record: 62730

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:

>
> Eric, how big, heavy and what's the wind area of this Bicone?

Well, it stands about 3 1/2 feet tall total and probably doesn't
weigh more than 10 pounds. 16 elements. Here is a picture to
give you an idea of the configuration (this is the one I have):

http://www.radio-ware.com/products/ant426.htm

No info in the package as to weight or wind loading.

> Glen Martin makes some very good roof towers but we might be talking
> overkill here. :-)
>
> http://www.glenmartin.com/catalog/page14.html

Definite overkill. I can sit just up at the peak... even a Rat-Shack
tripod would be okay for me, *if* it can handle the wind load. But
I'm more concerned about the thing tearing loose from the roof.

> I mounted the roof tower with lag screws through the roof into a
> length of 2 X 8 mounted inside the attic, against the underside of the
> roof between the rafters.
> IOW I mounted a 2X8 between the rafters and secured into the rafters
> for each tripod leg. Fill the pilot holes for the lag screws with
> silicone sealer before inserting screw and then I like to seal the
> head and washer with a giant glob.
>
> This has held up to a 20-15-10 tri-band beam and a KLM log periodic
> beam without guys.
>
> The tower weighed 30 lbs and two of us easily mounted it. Took three
> of us to get the antennas up though!
>
>
> The smaller one might just do the trick for you, it will last forever
> unlike the steel bent tube job RS sells.
>

Well, I suppose there is something to be said for overkill,
but this is probably best described as the wind load of two
heavy-duty... discones.

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"The first rule about macros is: Don't use them unless you have to.
Almost every macro demonstrates a flaw in the programming language,
in the program, or in the programmer." -- Stroustrup

2019. Re: Telescoping Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 04:27:20 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <M8P47.27777$h6.9608180@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 62731

> With a telescoping antenna do you basically fully extend it when
> trying to hear the low MHz ranges and then compress it as you move up
> in MHz?

Yes. Best performance is usually 1/4 or 3/4 of the wavelength, where the wavelength = 300 meters divided by freq. in MHz.

Thus the wavelength of 150 MHz is 2 meters = 79 inches, and 1/4 wavelength = just shy of 20 inches.

This is also 3/4 wavelength at 450 MHz.

Actual results differ just a little because of the effect of inductance and capacitance in the radio front end circuits and metal in the vicinity. But 1/4 or 3/4 wavelength is usually a good starting point.

I find a telescoping antenna very useful. Radio Shack sells one.

2020. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 04:30:41 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9j0f48017gt@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62737
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote
> You going to answer the questions or not, fish bait?

What question are you referring to?

2021. Re: Question Concerning Sunspots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 04:44:00 UTC
From: Bruce Tyler <top@bottom.au>
Message-ID: <kod7lt4i7s8rft7eocv09ile5m0rn6a833@4ax.com>
Source record: 62732
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 16 Jul 2001 18:27:57 GMT, "TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
wrote:

>Ever since I've gotten into the hobby, I've heard a lot of people discussing
>sunspot activity and how it affects radio comms. Can anyone tell me where I
>can learn about the freqs affected by sunspots? Is there a site that can
>tell me when this activity will occur? Thanks.
>
>

Falcon - There are many search engines on the internet. Use one of them and
type in,, well "sunspot" for starters... Also try typing in "Solar Cycle"
and other related headings. You will learn much.....

In basic, the sun has cycles, approx every 11 years, where is seems to have
massive eruptions from deep within. These eruptions always happen but about
every 11 years or so the cycle goes from "very few" to "many" eruptions per
day. The suns surface temperature gets is a little cooler where these
eruptions burst through because believe it or not,, deep under the surface,
its actually a bit cooler than "at" or "near" the surface,, and to those
who watch them, they appear to be like little black dots in the suns
surface because of the temperature difference to the surrounding hot gasses
=> hence the term "Sunspots"...

Each of these eruptions generates a huge amount of its gasses, called solar
flares, which shoot off into space. Some of them can get up to one or two
million miles long and because the sun is like a huge nulcear reactor,
these solar flares carry with them huge amounts of charged particles which
travel outward - probably never stopping until they hit something. IF that
"something" happens to be the Earth's atmosphere, then it too will charge
up, depending on just how big/strong the solar flare was. These "chargings"
can cause radio blackouts in some areas and can enhance radio
communications in others by not allowing certain levels of the atmosphere
to absorb the radio tramsission and actually reflecting it back downward.
If virtually the whole atmosphere becomes charged in this manner,, then
radio signals can bounce around the earth several times over, especially on
HF frequencies. Hams the world over, take advantage of this phenomena.
They also cause multitudes of other problems to electronics and
communications on Earth by overloading circuits etc and virtually X-raying
some circuits to death. They also cause the "Northern Lights" to light up
as these streams of particles bounce off and through the atmosphere,
charging it as it passes through.

This charging phenomena is identical to the plastic comb being rubbed over
a nylon shirt or pullover. It robs electrons from Peter to pay Paul. Only

Peter wants them back and becomes charged up and goes looking for them. When you wave the comb over your hair and your hair stands up, it's because Peter is trying to pull the back the lost electrons, only with Solar Flares, this happens on a gigantic scale and we (all human life) are on one of those electrons, in the grand scheme of things.

I am sure you will find much, much more than I can even begin to explain in a few sentences. Some people write many books to try to explain this event in great detail.
In my opinion, this whole thing on Solar Flares and the suns huge amount of power and energy, makes me feel like a very small and insignificant part of the universe. A single huge eruption in the sun could actually wipe out all life on this planet in a few heated seconds, if not from the actual heat, then from massive doses of X-rays, Gamma rays and the like... if mother nature decided to get cruel to us.

Go forth and investigate, Falcon. This is a fascinating subject.

2022. Re: Rooftop antenna mounting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 05:20:24 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15bd6aa1413755929896a9@news>
Source record: 62736

In article <7jd7lt88t4p5p2f2i8lk6jtdaildm5p87t@4ax.com>,
efricha@dimensional.com says...
> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:
>
> >
> > Eric, how big, heavy and what's the wind area of this Bicone?
>
> Well, it stands about 3 1/2 feet tall total and probably doesn't
> weigh more than 10 pounds. 16 elements. Here is a picture to
> give you an idea of the configuration (this is the one I have):
>
> http://www.radio-ware.com/products/ant426.htm
>
> No info in the package as to weight or wind loading.
>
> > Glen Martin makes some very good roof towers but we might be talking
> > overkill here. :-)
> >
> > http://www.glenmartin.com/catalog/page14.html
>
> Definite overkill. I can sit just up at the peak... even a Rat-Shack
> tripod would be okay for me, *if* it can handle the wind load. But
> I'm more concerned about the thing tearing loose from the roof.

Then mount it similar to the way I describe below if that makes sense.
It was the way Martin suggested for their roof towers.

>
> > I mounted the roof tower with lag screws through the roof into a
> > length of 2 X 8 mounted inside the attic, against the underside of the
> > roof between the rafters.
> > IOW I mounted a 2X8 between the rafters and secured into the rafters
> > for each tripod leg. Fill the pilot holes for the lag screws with
> > silicone sealer before inserting screw and then I like to seal the
> > head and washer with a giant glob.
> >
> > This has held up to a 20-15-10 tri-band beam and a KLM log periodic
> > beam without guys.
> >
> > The tower weighed 30 lbs and two of us easily mounted it. Took three
> > of us to get the antennas up though!
> >
> >
> > The smaller one might just do the trick for you, it will last forever
> > unlike the steel bent tube job RS sells.

> >
>
> Well, I suppose there is something to be said for overkill,
> but this is probably best described as the wind load of two
> heavy-duty... discones.

If you aren't concerned about height, I imagine the RS tripod would work with the above mounting technique. I always try and get my scanner antennas as high as possible. It all depends on you location and what you are trying to hear.

Glenn

2023. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 06:38:50 UTC
From: "Robert D. Baker" <rdbake@prtcnet.org>
Message-ID: <9j0m8r$7jr$1@nd.eastky.net>
Source record: 62740
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

ircSLUG wrote in message ...

>In my own personal opinion (AND YES I WAS THERE!)

You have my thanks and appreciation. I had occasion to talk to a bud
of mine who'd been there; the two of us had been through a lot before, but
when I told him "wish I coulda been there with ya" he looked at me and said
"No. No, you don't." He looked at me and changed in a way I can't describe -
like the weight of the world re-settled on his shoulders, and he was
familiar with the load.
God loves the infantry.

2024. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 06:50:55 UTC
From: "K S F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9j0nb601mql@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62739
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

I never said I didn't know who they are!! I assume with the names you
have thrown around that you are referring to "Carl Von" Clauswitz, the
Napoleonic soldier; "General" Von Stuben of the Prussian military; and
Sun Tzu "The Art of War"??

BTW - that saying is alligator mouth and "CANARY BIRD" ass.

You would do well to follow your own advice. If you want to play
in this sand box you're gonna need a much bigger shovel! Remember,
a lot of us have studied these issues & sacrificed to maintain the
liberty of our country.

Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote
> Still not admiting to knowing who Clauswitz, von Stuben and Sun Tzu are?
>
> You, the Military History Scholar?
>
> Gotcha! PO3 Mackey. Looks like your alligator mouth overloaded your
> butterfly ass.
>
> Thanks for the service to our country. But.. squid..dont forget a
> shitload of others went before you, and forget the idea that button up
> the front pants gets you anything more than a high 5. You want to play
> with us, learn some manners, and get your shit squared away Mister.

2025. Re: Stubby Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 11:04:14 UTC
From: Bill in Charlotte <wmaerkle@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <OYU47.162933$Md.36771587@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 62743

I made one to do the same thing. I took a BNC connector and attached a one-inch piece of wire to the center connector. I put the little insulator cover on the bare wire to potect it. I used it last week at Lowe's in Charlotte, NC and it worked great with my Pro-91. I had tried a normal 150 antenna and a short stubby 2-meter antenna with no luck. I was getting excessive bleeding of freqs. I had seen the short stubbys you can buy for $12.95 and thought I try making a one inch job myself. Works great. I had also tried no antenna but that was not receiving well enough.

Bill

> Can anyone explain to me the makeup of those stubby antennas I see at the
> racetrack? What do they consist of under the black rubber?
>
>

2026. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 11:21:15 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B541F9E.386DF28@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62742
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
>
> Saddam would probably like to have
> a group of inspectors like YOU, that
> can be so easily manipulated into
> believing his lies.

Okay, guy, go ahead and have your little paranoid fantasies. I
prefer to look at the facts, and the real capabilities of our
potential advisories.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2027. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 11:26:09 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B5420C4.5A09A1D3@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62744
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
>
> I never claimed that he did have the
> military power to take over, but he
> certainly could try & cause a lot of
> grief until stopped. You apparently
> don't understand that "premise".

No, I can't possibly understand your premise if you keep changing it.

> Go back & re-read your previous post.

I did read my previous post - and I still have no idea what you're
talking about.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2028. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 11:30:55 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B5421E0.E4DFF8B1@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62745
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
>
> So what about those biological weapons
> that do work well? Would you want
> Saddam to be using them?

I don't think Saddam has the capability to develop biological
weapons that would work well - that would not threaten his own
military, and himself, as much as his enemy. And, no, I would not want
him to use them. However, I seen no evidence that he would (even he is
smarter than that).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2029. What's The Best After Market Antenna For Hand Held Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 12:18:04 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <120B33DEF055D9CE.147FB82149F42142.C7578AEA91366AC1@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 62746

I have always considered the standard "duckies" that came
with my hand held Uniden scanners (BC200XLT and BC2500XLT)
to be "sub-par".

What is the best after-market antenna that will boost reception
over all bands on hand helds, especially 800 MHz plus? (And who
sells it?)

Thanks

2030. Chester County, PA. 800mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 12:24:06 UTC
From: smokey3612@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <9j1ap6$d0n$0@216.155.32.198>
Source record: 62647

I heard on chester Counties VHF dispatch freq. (160.185), certain companies are starting to use their 800 system on July 17, 2001. Is their 800 voice or digital?

If anyone knows how the county is sectioned on the frequency usage, it would be appreciated.

I'm primarily interested in Southern Chester County, fire & police.

Thanks in advance

2031. Re: alpha tags for a PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 12:32:46 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <OfW47.149221$mG4.71726374@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 62648
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.optoelectronics.com/os456lite.htm

In article <a067lts11h3vpi1su9slrvtc0igh42qv5p@4ax.com>, Bill Seward
<seward@northstate.net> wrote:

> Is there any sort of add-on for the PRO-2006 that supports alpha tags
> (I hope I'm using that correctly--I mean the short description that
> can be associated with a frequency, such as the 780XLT does)? I'd be
> willing (happy maybe?) to accept something that required computer
> control, but a self-contained add-on would be really nice.
>
> Thanks.
>
>
> Bill Seward
> http://www.geocities.com/bill_seward
>

2032. Re: Telescoping Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 12:43:05 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tpW47.49855$qs5.8533037@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 62650

I find that using the Radio Shack center-loaded telescoping whip ($9.95) works great on my scanners anywhere from shortwave to UHF without adjusting the length. While this is less than ideal, the performance is far better than the stock antenna on the handhelds.

Doug

"Jay" <jsaspo@attglobal.net> wrote in message news:420d12ed.0107161839.3ae7c6f6@posting.google.com...
> Gurus,
>
> With a telescoping antenna do you basically fully extend it when
> trying to hear the low MHz ranges and then compress it as you move up
> in MHz?
>
> For example, fully extended for 30-50 and fully compressed for
> 800-900? Is that the general idea?
>
> Sorry for the dumb question. I'm fairly new to this stuff. I see a
> lot of "cut it to so-and-so length for best reception in this range".
> I prefer not to cut anything, so would a telescoping antenna be the
> best choice for my handheld scanner for wide coverage? Can you
> recommend one for a PRO-92?
>
> Thanks for your help,
> Jay

2033. Re: Rooftop antenna mounting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 12:47:24 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <nmc8lt0hufvp6pvpckgjs70sj7hr2k0dc5@4ax.com>
Source record: 62649

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:

> If you aren't concerned about height, I imagine the RS tripod would
> work with the above mounting technique. I always try and get my
> scanner antennas as high as possible. It all depends on you location
> and what you are trying to hear.

Well, since I have an unobstructed view of the Continental Divide, I
think the height issue is already addressed by default. I just want
it clear of the house and ground level obstructions.

Thanks for the info!

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"I have in mind a bloodbath that is thoroughly bipartisan. Let the
Clintons be the first to go. American politics will not be healthy until
they are purged from the system. Issue exit visas to Trent Lott and Tom
DeLay, to Dick Armey, to the ancient and awful Strom Thurmond and the
odious Jesse Helms. Let the ostraca fall upon the bombastic charlatan
Jesse Jackson, upon Henry Hyde and Maxine Waters, upon Barbara Boxer
and Orrin Hatch." - Lance Morrow,
http://www.time.com/time/nation/article/0,8599,88598,00.html

2034. Re: Have Handheld, Need Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 13:37:30 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9j1f26$ler73$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62651

"Jay" <jsaspo@attglobal.net> wrote in message
news:420d12ed.0107161828.740ca61d@posting.google.com...

> I would like to ask for your recommendations for 3 different
handheld
> antennas:
>
> 1) Best antenna for 30-50 range
> 2) Best antenna for 800 range
> 3) Best antenna for wide coverage (30-960)

> I'm also not looking for an outdoor antenna or mobile antenna. Just
> something I can simply screw in the top that would help my reception
> for the above ranges I mentioned.

You are asking a lot... To get the best reception for 30-50 miles,
you should put up an outdoor antenna. Plus for wide coverage from
30-960 MHZ, you need a discone which is too big to mount on top of
your handheld.

That said, I think the best thing you could use would be a telescoping
whip antenna. Just collapse it for 800 mHz, and you can extend it for
whatever band you want to monitor. But as for receiving range, height
is what you need. So unless you live on the top floor of an apartment
building, I'd put up an external antenna. It's a must.

Tom

2035. Re: What's The Best After Market Antenna For Hand Held Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 13:48:17 UTC
From: Bob <Bob_Ruzzo@nksd.net>
Message-ID: <9j1fp2$lbvps$1@ID-84760.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62652

Well after being a HAM for 12 years now, I come to the conclusion, with plenty of experience and experimenting, that the only way to boost the reception on a handheld on ALL BANDS is to have a separate antenna for each band, a triplexer, and high grade coax. Too much hassle, you say? Yes it is. BUT! I also have found that ELEVATION plays a BIG PART too! I use a 30 channel Bearcat scanner with STOCK ANTENNA. I live on a hill in NORTH PROVIDENCE, RI and my reception from up there, which is about maybe 200' above sea level, is phenomenal. You will never find the perfect antenna. NO antenna is going to perform well on ALL BANDS! An antenna has to be designed/cut to the proper frequency range to perform well in that range. ALL_BAND antennas are a compromise. You want good UHF reception? You can get a commercial UHF vertical and it will perform great, on UHF!!!! It will give lousey performance in the VHF range! With handhelds you are at a disadvantage, cause you HAVE to use a rubber duck if you want portability. Some handhelds overload VERY SEVERLY when connected to outside antenna. There doesnt exist a "BEST" antenna for a handheld. You could get a collapsible 1/4 wave whip, and adjust its length according to the band you want to hear. It will work great on vhf when fully extended. but not too well on the higher bands [uhf] . And to make things worse, most scanners sold to general public, [consumer grade] are poorly designed with lame front ends. I put my bearcat scanner on a distant, weak weather freq. and next to it my ham radio handheld, tuned to the same freq. The ham radio handheld OUTHEARED the scanner hands down! The weather channel on the scanner was full of static and noise, where on the ham radio, the station came in with a good, much less noisy signal. Ham radio and commercial gear are built much better and have much better selectivity and sensitivity. As a matter of fact, one of my friends will only use commercial gear to monitor. He wasnt satisfied with the weak audio, and lame reception quality of a scanner. Well i have rambled on long enuff. If you could have every handheld antenna ever made and compared them all SIDE BY SIDE, you would'nt be able to tell much of a difference. Hand held rubber ducks are ot the proper length for the frequencies they are supposed to recieve. And you cant expect a duck, 6" long to adequatly recieve frequencies from 30mhz all the way up to 800mhz. It just isnt going to happen. Those ducks are optimized for vhf. and work ok for a little way on either side of that band. I think it is kind of stupid for manufacturers to include 800 mhz on a scanner cause you really need a HIGH GAIN antenna for that band. the wimpy antenna that comes with the scanner is just no good for such a high frequency! It will perform well if you live in the city, or not far from an 800 mhz cell tower. but dont expect to hear the local police 2 towns over with a perfect signal. You could mount a cell phone antenna on the metal case top of a scanner and connect a short length of coax to the existing antenna terminals. I did that with my bearcat 895 using a loaded high gain ham radio antenna. It out performs any indoor antenna or duck, or whip i ever used. It does look funny, but it

kicks butt! UHF and 800 mhz requires a very small ground plane to work, so the top of a bearcat 895xlt case is great! if i want to use the high gain antenna for some other thing, i simply unscrew it and pop the little whip back in the back of set! Both antennas are terminated to the same connector. whew ok i am tired! bob

2036. Re: Yaesu VR5000 freq mod

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 13:48:59 UTC
From: Barry OGrady <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <veg8ltkh0v6b7gj8qd4j2hq9g18r17hhlj@4ax.com>
Source record: 62653
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 15 Jul 2001 05:47:54 GMT, Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>About all you can do is buy one out of the country and smuggle it in.
>Any newer radio is pretty much unmodifiable, as the FCC has cracked
>down on the manufacturers to prevent radios being easily modified..

What I find odd is that Yaesu FT-50 radios made well after the cellular ban
came in can have cellular blocking removed without opening the case.

>Walt

-Barry
========
Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.

2037. Re: Frequency counter question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 13:52:01 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <5qX47.149265$mG4.71806628@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 62654

Frequency counters are near field receivers. They will simply display the strongest signal in your local vicinity.

Actually, my radio shack counter (designed by GRE) works better than any other counter I've tried, including opto and startec counters, except for the opto explorer. I've done side by side tests. The other counters lost the signal at 10-30 feet, the radio shack counter worked out to about 200 yards, with a 5 watt UHF handheld. (urban environment w/other nearby transmitters). It has no features, other than a great display and backlight and it runs on AA's, but it terms of performance, it's the best.

The reason there's so much dispute about counters is that using them is something of an art. A few hints:

1. Catch your target in a low noise environment. Indoors works better outdoors. Underground (parking garages!) works better than indoors. Rural works better than urban. Having high power transmitters operating nearby makes counter work almost impossible.

2. Beware of dual frequency systems like repeaters. Having two transmitters going at similar distances/powers on two different frequencies will only confuse the counter. This is why most counters don't work on cordless phones... when you try to use them you are getting both the base freq and the handset freq at the same time.

3. Low power transmitters like cordless phones are very difficult to read at all. Usually takes about at least 100 milliwatts to tripp off most counters. My counters wont read my garage remote or car remote at all.

4. Most counters will only detect FM signals. Notable exception is the Opto Explorer which works very well on AM signals. Opto used to make an AM-only counter, wish they still did. My R/S counter has read planes at Ohare, but I was standing at the gate about 20 feet from the aircraft at the time. Even then, it's touchy.

5. Use a good antenna. I'd suggest a telescoping whip or gain duck. Better yet, use a gain antenna for the paricular band of interest, when you can take a guess at what general range your target is in. Dont hook it up to a big outside antenna and expect to read every signal for miles. In most environments the counter will become hopelessly confused by the dozens/hundreds/thousands of different active frequencies.

6. Consider an FM broadcast station blocking filter (FM Trap) in line with the antenna. FM broadcast stations are almost everywhere. They create a high background noise level for the counter to work against.

7. Dont put a counter right up next to a high power transmitter, you may damage it.

Some distances:

-Travelling down a rural highway with an opto scout (no filter) on a mag mount antenna (19 inches) on the roof of the van:
FM Broadcast Station in next town: 2 to 12 miles, depending on station
Public Safety Base Tower Transmitter VHF: 2 Miles
100 watt VHF Fire Mobile: 1.25 miles
5 watt uhf portable: about 200 yards
800 Mhz cell phone mobile: about 100 feet
1/2 watt mobile extender, VHF: about 75 feet
In some small towns the local FM broadcast station was so strong we couldn't read a thing.

-R/S counter w/whip antenna, handheld in urban location:
UHF 20 watt repeater station at 300 feet: 1/3 mile, in view of site
UHF 2 watt handheld: about 40 yards outdoors
VHF 110 watt fire mobile: about 200 yards, about 10 yards right through a brick wall.

-R/S counter w/gain rubber duck, open field in rural forest:
250 milliwatt UHF ham ht: 200 yards and still reading, got tired of walking
150 milliwatt FRS radio: about 20 feet

-Sitting in parked van, downtown urban riverfront outdoor parking lot, target is cell phone user (handheld - 800 Mhz) sitting in adjacent car. Both counters sitting in my lap with gain rubber ducks:
Opto scout: no read
R/S counter: read

-Opto explorer, Chicago downtown lakefront, 3/4 mile from several hundred highpower transmitters, including high definition TV sites, telecoping whip closed to about 9 inches:
FRS radios (250 milliwatts) : 3 feet
VHF 5 Watt Handhelds: 10-15 feet
UHF 5 Watt Handhelds: 5-10 Feet
800 Mhz trunked handhelds (Styx Moto's): 30 feet
AH-64 Apache Helicopters UHF AM: 200 yards
VHF Aero (AM) Temporary Base Station: 20 feet
Note: reading anything was very difficult using any of the counters we had due to incredibly high background noise level. Usually took several attempts. Reading tons of "trash" in lower 1/4 of 900 Mhz band and elsewhere.

-R/S counter indoor suburban shopping mall, gain duck:
2 watt uhf handheld: about 25 feet, counter getting confused by co-located UHF interstitial site transmitter for same operation. 462.1125 / 467.1125. Took several tries. In a downtown indoor mall w/similar operation couldnt read a thing.

-Opto pager style counter w/small whip antenna, on street in downtown chicago:

UHF portables: 2-4 feet
Indoor heavy buildings - UHF Portables: 10-15 feet

-R/S counter w/19 inch mag mount whip on roof of car driving around lots and drives at OHare Airport (very high background noise level):
UHF and 800 Mhz portables and mobiles: 10-20 feet
VHF 110 watt fire mobiles: about 75 yards
Unable to read much else, including a number of small base stations and fixed control stations due to noise level. Walking through terminals indoors w/same counter and gain duck reading is still difficult, getting UHF and 800 Mhz portables from 20-100 feet.

-Counter built into new Alinco high-end scanner, inside suburban restaurant hall: getting reliable reads on 2 watt uhf portables from across the room out to about 100 feet or more. Read 50 milliwatt vhf wireless mike at about 2 or 3 feet.

So you see, it's not so much the counter as how you use them. Takes some knowledge and a lot of practice to put them to good use. The main reason I prefer the R/S counter is that it works better for me in high background noise level environments and seems to be bothered less by FM broadcast stations. I now own a scout and I love it. Works good for general freq hunting and special events. Great set of features. But when it's a critical, specific target I'm after I bring the R/S counter. I was very impressed with the opto explorer I got to use, but it's very expensive and fairly complex, once it's set up the way you want it, it works great.

To have fun with a counter, you have to take it out in the field and go hunt your target down. Can't do it sitting at home unless your neighbor's house is on fire!

In article <20010715114003.23853.00003183@ng-mn1.aol.com>, globalcabl@aol.com (GlobalCabl) wrote:

> At what distance from the signal can a good frequency counter pick it up? I am
> thinking about getting a good one but would like to know if it will work better
> than my RS unit which needs to be on top of the signal to identify it. Any
> reccommendations would also be appreciated

2038. Re: Public Safety radio: the P25 digital debate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 14:00:10 UTC
From: Kenneth Coney <superc@visuallink.com>
Message-ID: <3B5444E9.A48073F4@visuallink.com>
Source record: 62655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc

You got a summary for all this techno garble?

N9NWO wrote:

> P25 debate: The digital standard revisited
> By Bernie Olson
> Mobile Radio Technology, Jul 1, 2001
>
> Editor's note: MRT's April 2001 article, ï¿½Does the Digital Radio
> Standard
> Come Up Short?ï¿½ by Stephen Bartlett, drew strong response from the
> standards-creation community. A critique and technical assessment of the
>
> article has been prepared on behalf of P25 proponents by Bernie Olson,
> chairman of the Telecommunications Industry Association TR8.18
> Committee on Compatibility and Interference. Following his analysis, we
> also present a further clarification by author Bartlett of his position.
>
> The article ï¿½Does the Digital Radio Standard Come Up Short?ï¿½ does a
> gross
> disservice to Project 25. Although there are some good technical
> descriptions of each modulation, the author's comparison uses different
> performance criteria for the three modulations.
>
> He then compounds the issue by using free-space propagation loss for a
> range comparison. Free-space loss is only valid for point-to-point paths
>
> where 0.6 of a Fresnel zone clearance is provided. In the land-mobile
> environment, this is commonly not the case. Therefore, the propagation
> power-loss exponent is closer to four than the free-space value of two,
> as
> used in the author's comparison. This use of different performance
> criteria
> and an extremely liberal propagation loss model has resulted in a
> distorted
> conclusion that cannot be supported in an actual land-mobile radio
> environment.
>
> The flawed methodology used by the author is further aggravated by the
> use of information from different sources. The author did not
> acknowledge
> any disparity among the various reference levels, specifications or
> derived
> values from the different sources. Tables 1 and 2 on page 20 clearly

> show
> the difference between the assumptions used by the author and those
> embodied in TIA-TSB88-A. The first table shows the relative coverage
> range differences based on the criteria values from ï¿½TIA/EIA
> Telecommunications Systems Bulletin TSB88-A, June 1999.ï¿½ These values
> are based on a propagation power-loss exponent of 4, more typical of
> land-mobile communications. The derivation of these values is presented
> later. The second table is extracted from the author's chart. The
> receiver
> IF bandwidth is indicated for the different scenarios.
>
> The results in Table 1 are dramatically different from the author's
> conclusion chart (Table 2).
>
> The author bases his range arguments on basic carrier-to-noise criteria
> derived from various references. However, when the author calculates C/N
>
> from receiver bandwidth and published receiver threshold ï¿½specï¿½ data, he
>
> fails to account for receiver noise figure. One could leave receiver
> noise
> figure out of the picture and reference all performance to the receiver
> (demodulator) input, as the author attempts to do. This can, and does,
> distort the results unless a common noise figure reference is used.
>
> Two receiver sensitivities (static and faded) are given in TIA-102
> publications. The static sensitivity is used to make laboratory or bench
>
> measurements. For analog radios, this benchmark is 12dB SINAD. For
> digital radios, this benchmark is 5% bit-error rate. Static sensitivity
> is not
> used in predicting coverage or range. It is used to ensure that the
> receivers have a published performance specification that customers can
> measure and use to compare receivers from different vendors. This is the
>
> receiver sensitivity value that normally appears on manufacturer's
> specification sheets.
>
> The TSB88-A, TIA102.CAAA and TIA102.CAAB documents also include
> faded sensitivity values. There is no analog faded sensitivity standard,
> but
> the median of the values used by various system designers is 13dB above
> the 12dB SINAD value for performance. For digital radios, the typical
> value
> to achieve 5% BER in faded conditions is 6dB to 8dB above the 5% BER
> static sensitivity level. However, 5% BER in a faded environment is
> insufficient to produce acceptable audio. A lower BER is required to
> achieve
> acceptable audio quality levels, with 2.6% BER being considered the
> minimum design level for Project 25 digital radios using the IMBE

> vocoder.
>
> Different scenarios
>
> The author apparently used pieces of different specifications but failed
> to
> recognize the different scenarios these values are intended to
> represent.
> Also, many of the values cited for C/N are referenced relative to the
> receiver's ï¿½thermal noise threshold,ï¿½ which is the sum of the receiver's
>
> noise figure and the thermal noise-floor level based on receiver
> bandwidth.
> It appears the author assumes that the reference is just to the thermal
> noise-floor level.
>
> For example, in one reference the author cited the TIA102.CAAB
> performance recommendation, which refers to a static reference
> sensitivity of -116dBm at 5% BER. This value is correct. However,
> the author failed to include the noise figure of the receiver in his
> analysis. For example, a noise figure of 10dB would result in a
> required C/N figure of 10.4dB [-116dBm static sensitivity
> -(-136.4dBm thermal noise floor at 5.76kHz IF bandwidth +10dB
> noise figure)]. This figure is much closer to the 7.6dB value as
> shown in Table 3 on page 24. Furthermore, when one considers that
> TIA's specification is a ï¿½minimumï¿½ value and that manufacturers'
> equipment typically exceeds that figure by 2dB to 3dB or more, the
> Table 3 C/N value is confirmed.
>
> In another example, the information from the government Web site
> referred to by the author is accurate, but the author's interpretation
> is incorrect. The -121.4dBm level measured is for static sensitivity
> at 5% BER that occurs at 7.6dB C/N. This calculates to a receiver
> thermal noise floor of -129dBm, implying a receiver noise figure of
> 7.4dB (-129- (-136.4)). The published static sensitivity for that
> receiver is -119dBm, so that particular receiver exceeded the
> manufacturer's published specifications by about 2.5dB.
>
> The author also misconstrued manufacturer's data. The level that
> was referenced (14dB) refers to the faded 5% BER, which is
> specified in TIA102.CAAB as being 8dB more severe than static
> conditions. Properly represented, this material would produce a
> calculated faded C/N of 15.6dB (8+7.6) relative to the receiver's
> thermal-noise threshold. However, these should accurately be
> portrayed as ï¿½minimumï¿½ values, even though typical measured
> values are between 6dB and 8dB. That brings the manufacturer's
> value of 14dB into the expected range.
>
> We cannot support the author's value of 6dB for analog FM. It lies
> somewhere between a static 12dB and 20dB SINAD. Under static

> conditions, it could be argued that this is a reasonable value.
> However, it would not be a representative value under faded
> conditions that delivers acceptable audio quality to the user. As
> indicated in Table 3, the recommended faded C/N value is 17dB, an
> 11dB difference before adjusting for filter bandwidth differences.
>
> We are particularly disturbed that only anecdotal evidence was
> presented claiming lower range from Project 25 C4FM, while range
> was represented as an absolute derived from empirical data. Many
> narrow bandwidth digital systems have been installed, and coverage
> is always greater than analog unless there is interference present*.
>
> Published industry reference
>
> We would encourage you to review the correct criteria values when making
>
> your own assessments. Those values are located and published in ï¿½TIA/EIA
>
> Telecommunications Systems Bulletin TSB88-A, June 1999.ï¿½ TSB88-A is a
> technical reference document developed in conjunction with the entire
> suite
> of Project 25 documents by a committee representing vendors, users,
> frequency coordinators and regulators. It was developed to provide
> frequency coordinators with the necessary information to determine
> frequency reuse as well as with a methodology for determining coverage
> range in the presence of co-channel and adjacent-channel interference.
> In
> that context, this information allows for the use of computer programs
> to
> evaluate potential frequency selections and to maximize coverage range
> while minimizing interference.
>
> Because different digital modulations have different performance
> characteristics, a range of channel performances was developed, loosely
> based on the ï¿½circuit meritï¿½ definitions used for analog system
> evaluation.
> The definition is described as delivered audio quality and refers to:
>
> How easy or difficult it is to understand the intelligibility of a
> signal.
> Whether or not part of the audio is missing, requiring the message
> to be repeated, and if so, how frequently.
> What the detractors are, e.g., noise for analog or artifacts
> (distortions) for digital.
>
> New systems are designed for a minimum DAQ of 3.0. A DAQ 2.0 is
> considered to be difficult to listen to, requiring considerable effort
> and
> frequent repetition for understanding. The higher DAQ values are defined
>

> for higher performance levels. They are defined as equivalent
> intelligibility
> to static SINAD values, but in the presence of Rayleigh fading
> (multipath),
> as experienced in actual usage.
>
> Table 3, extracted from TSB88-A, shows the values for reference
> sensitivity (static C/N ratios) and the faded carrier to interference
> plus
> noise ratios, (Cf/(I + N)) for various DAQ values. The interference
> component, I, is included because interference is considered to be
> another
> detracting noise source.
>
> It is important that a user or system engineer recognize that static
> conditions are only valid for bench measurements and are a way of
> comparing performance of individual units. By subtracting the Cs/N, the
> ï¿½noise floorï¿½ of the receiver can be determined. The Cf/(I + N) then
> determines the signal level required in the presence of fading and other
>
> potential detractors.
>
> For example, analog 12dB SINAD Cs/N is 4dB. If a manufacturer's
> published static receiver sensitivity is -119dBm, then the receiver's
> ï¿½thermal noise thresholdï¿½ would be at -123dBm. If faded analog DAQ 3.0
> Cf/N is 17dB, then the faded signal level for system design would be
> -106dBm (-123dBm thermal noise threshold +17dB Cf/N).
>
> The width of the IF filter also needs to be considered. Wider IF
> bandwidths
> produce less distortion and better channel performance at lower Cf/N.
> However, this comes with a price because wider IF bandwidths are more
> susceptible to adjacent-channel interference and result in higher
> thermal
> noise powers in the receiver.
>
> The author selected 14dB for C4FM (equivalent to a DAQ 2.0 [in fading]),
>
> the static value (7dB) for ?/4 DQPSK and a static value (6dB) somewhere
> between 12dB SINAD and 20dB quieting for analog FM. It is difficult to
> conceive how three disparate criteria could be used to arrive at a
> uniform
> and accurate conclusion.
>
> Comparing digital modulations
>
> As can be seen from Table 3, compatible four-level FM (C4FM) shows
> slightly degraded performance when compared to ?/4 DQPSK, yet both
> have better performance than analog FM, particularly at higher Cf/N
> values.

>
> As stated earlier, one should use a constant receiver noise figure
> reference. For the Table 1 analysis, the values were calculated assuming
>
> the same noise figure for all receivers. The comparison then becomes a
> simple matter of determining the difference due to bandwidth and the
> difference required for DAQ 3 and then calculating the relative ranges
> using a power exponent of 4.
>
> The differences between noise power of various IF bandwidths are
> indicated in Table 4 on page 28.
>
> The difference in faded C/N between analog FM (17dB) ï¿½ digital C4FM
> (16.5dB) = 0.5dB. (0.5dB + 3.44dB for the difference in the required
> receiver IF filter bandwidth = 3.94dB.)
>
> The range difference using analog FM as the reference is:
>
> The difference using ?/4 DQPSK is
>
> Taking the absolute best case where the ?/4 DQPSK uses a 5.7kHz ENBW
> filter would produce a 1.35:1 ratio, an 8% increase over C4FM, but at
> the
> price of a non-compatible over-the-air interface.
>
> The author discussed the distortion effects of transmitter filtering on
> the
> modulation. Typical ?/4 DQPSK implementations use a raised cosine pulse
> shape with the pulse-shaping split between the transmitter and the
> receiver. This technique, called matched filter, can be shown to result
> in
> theoretically optimum sensitivity under Gaussian noise. Part of the
> Project
> 25 deliberations was matched filters vs. pulse shaping. Project 25
> decided
> not to select a matched filter system but rather to concentrate all the
> pulse
> shaping in the transmitter. Doing this makes the modulation compatible
> with linear and constant envelope (FM) transmitters and receivers. A
> slight
> loss of sensitivity exists compared to ?/4 DQPSK. However, the selected
> modulations, C4FM and linear CQPSK, are compatible in a Project 25
> receiver. Thus. the receiver does not care if the signal is modulated
> with
> C4FM or linear CQPSK. This allows a 12.5kHz channel bandwidth
> transmitter using C4FM modulation to communicate directly (over the air)
>
> with a 6.25kHz channel bandwidth receiver as long as the center
> frequencies are aligned. This creates a migration path to narrowband
> 6.25kHz channel systems. This migration path was encouraged and

> endorsed by the Project 25 user community.
>
> Some of the author's comments about how digital technology degrades
> over-the-air-performance need to be considered and put in the correct
> context. Digital Project 25 radios have significantly greater range than
>
> analog radios for systems designed for DAQ 3.0 or above. At the fringe
> of
> coverage (below signal levels normally used for system design), digital
> begins to break down at a faded BER of about 8%. This is in the general
> range of 12dB to 14dB Cf/N. At these weak signal levels analog would
> still
> be understandable (with great effort) while the digital radio would
> mute.
>
> However, migration to narrowband analog FM does not improve this
> situation. Analog FM doesn't perform as well in narrowband channels as
> it
> does in 25kHz channels. If narrowband analog is deployed, there is a 6dB
>
> degradation in performance from reduced deviation coupled with a 3dB
> improvement in receiver noise performance due to the narrower IF filter,
>
> resulting in a 3dB overall degradation. High-signal performance is
> reduced
> and a high SINAD cannot be achieved because some FM sideband
> information is lost passing through the narrow IF filter. Also,
> narrowband
> analog becomes more susceptible to noise pops, giving up the advantage
> that normal analog FM enjoys.
>
> *Interference has been increasingly evident in portions of the 800MHz
> band where nearby cellular/SMR deployments create coverage holes due
> to their high signal levels where the desired signal is relatively
> lower. Both
> digital and analog systems are affected, but digital systems will mute
> while
> analog systems may provide audible clues to a user. The absence of
> audible clues is frequently reported as a range problem. This topic was
> the
> cover story in MRT's March 2001 Issue, ï¿½Why can't we talk? It's the
> interference.ï¿½ Visit www.apco911.org for a copy of the Best Practices
> Guide
> described therein.
>
> Muting thresholds: Stephen Bartlett's response
>
> I appreciate Mr. Olson taking the time to offer his perspective on my
> article
> ï¿½Does the Digital Radio Standard Come up Short?ï¿½ (April 2001, MRT), and

>
> his candor in pointing out several key issues he had with it.
>
> I wish to clarify to Mr. Olson, and the mobile radio community, a
> misunderstanding about what my article was reporting. The study I
> described wasn't about the added range of audio quality that the P25
> digital
> design achieves, but rather a discussion of the differences between the
> narrowband digital and wideband analog FM systems at the edge of radio
> coverage, or in other low signal-to-noise environments. As Mr. Olson
> pointed out, systems are not typically engineered for these fringe
> areas.
> However, it is under these conditions that radios will either mute or
> not,
> making coverage differences especially noticeable. The focus of my
> article
> was on how these different muting thresholds affect absolute range.
>
> Mr. Olson is justified in suggesting the use of the number 4 for the
> power-law exponent describing the path-length dependency, in deference
> to the ï¿½extremely liberalï¿½ exponent of 2 that I chose. His figure
> reflects
> good engineering practice when designing mobile systems. It also reduces
>
> the scale of any range difference ratio.
>
> He was correct to point out my not mentioning the receiver noise figure
> (NF) in the overall noise power (Pn) calculation. The correct formula is
>
> actually (neglecting interference):
>
> For the analysis, I defined all receivers with equal and ideal NFs, but
> neglected to clarify this point. Where I erred was in assuming the
> manufacturer's specifications, and other measured data I used to compare
>
> with the 4-FSK model, did the same. Many published specifications don't
> clearly state whether their data are static Cs/N, faded Cf/N or if the
> receiver noise figures have been removed, included or normalized in
> their
> published values.
>
> Muting thresholds will affect the coverage of the mobile radio system.
> Comparing these thresholds is the challenge. In his article, Mr. Olson
> stated that using a faded channel (Rayleigh fading) Cf/N ratio is more
> appropriate for modeling system performances than static Cs/N ratios. He
>
> also mentioned that no analog fading sensitivity standard exists, and he
>
> further recommended a faded value of 17dB for FM systems. This may be
> appropriate for determining a DAQ of 3.0, but not for a threshold mute.

> There are references showing critical wideband FM receiver thresholds
> are
> actually closer to 10dB; less with threshold extension (Rappaport,
> Wireless
> Communications). For digital systems, the mute threshold is defined by
> the
> critical bit-error rate where data cease to be reliably detected and
> vocoders to operate. It was good of Mr. Olson to recommend using the
> more realistic 2.6% BER threshold value for the IMBE vocoder to deliver
> more accepted audio in a faded channel. However, because of the focus of
>
> my article, I chose the 5% BER value as the digital mute threshold.
>
> For a more complete approach in determining the expected faded channel
> performance for the digital systems, refer to J. Proakis' book Digital
> Communications, Fourth Edition, where the ideal theoretical performances
>
> of many common digital modulation schemes in a Rayleigh faded channel
> are derived. In such a channel, the error rates vary inversely with
> signal to
> noise ï¿½ unlike static exponential error behavior. In the case of binary,
>
> noncoherent, orthogonal FSK modulation, a Cf/N value can be found
> directly from the probability of error (Pb) using the Rayleigh channel
> formula: Cf/N (dB); 10log (1/Pb). We can use this equation to calculate
> the
> Cf/N performance for any FSK signal at 5% BER. Orthogonal binary FSK
> and 4-FSK channels will perform nearly identical except at low Cf/N.
> Therefore we can use the Rayleigh formula to estimate C4FM's average
> departure from ideal performance in weak signals due primarily to its
> nonorthogonal four-level modulation.
>
> Mr. Olson reported that in the faded channel, C4FM will break down in
> the
> fringe areas with 8% BER at a Cf/N of 12dB to 14dB. The Rayleigh channel
>
> formula predicts the ideal binary FSK Cf/N value at 8% BER to be 11dB.
> Thus, Mr. Olson's 8% BER value shows that C4FM has from 1dB to 3dB of
> degradation (2dB average) from ideal. From this, we can determine the
> optimal Cf/N mute threshold for C4FM modulation at 5% BER:
>
> Using this optimal C4FM mute threshold of 15dB, the referenced wideband
> FM mute threshold of 10dB, and the bandwidth adjustment of 3.44dB, an
> absolute range difference between the digital C4FM and wideband FM
> analog systems can be calculated, using the equation recommended by Mr.
> Olson:
>
> This 0.91 value shows a potential loss of absolute range between the
> C4FM
> digital and wideband FM analog systems, due to their different muting

> thresholds. Realistically, in the unpredictable faded channel with the
> parameters of analog and digital modulation being so different, there
> may
> be a variety of cases where either system may outperform the other,
> perform nearly the same or vacillate between these extremes ï¿½ especially
>
> in fringe areas where muting occurs. It is in the best interest of the
> community to know how these digital narrowband systems perform in a
> variety of locations and scenarios as they become more widely
> implemented. If problems can be predicted early, they can be mitigated
> early.ï¿½ S. Bartlett
>
> http://www.telecomclick.com/magazinearticle.asp?magazineid=3&releaseid=6763&magazinearti
cleid=111910
>
> http://www.strongsignals.net/

2039. FA:Uniden BC895XLT TrunkTracker Base/Mobile Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 14:14:39 UTC
From: kf5oj@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3b54482f.2718703@news1.lig.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 62656

FA:Uniden BC895XLT TrunkTracker Base/Mobile Scanner
Trunktracks Motorola type I, II and IIi systems.
300 Channels, 12 BAND COVERAGE that includes 800 MHZ & AIRCRAFT.
ONE TOUCH WEATHER, TRIPLE CONVERSION, AUXILIARY TAPE OUTPUTS
TRUNK SCAN AND SEARCH, TURBO SCAN, PROGRAMMABLE SEARCH
TRUNK DELAY, TRUNK LOCKOUT and VFO CONTROL KNOB
Includes Serial cable adapter, Box, Manual and all accessories. In
extremly good condition. Buyer pays actual shipping costs. Payment via
Paypal, Cashiers check or Money order.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1256311619

Thanks

2040. Re: What's The Best After Market Antenna For Hand Held Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 14:57:21 UTC
From: Alan Hearnshaw <Fake@Address.com>
Message-ID: <lnY47.55724$w5.5581584@news1.rdc1.ga.home.com>
Source record: 62657

>I think it is kind of stupid
> for manufacturers to include 800 mhz on a scanner cause you really need a
> HIGH GAIN antenna for that band. the wimpy antenna that comes with the
> scanner is just no good for such a high frequency! It will perform well if
> you live in the city, or not far from an 800 mhz cell tower. but dont
expect
> to hear the local police 2 towns over with a perfect signal.

I use a AOR 8000 with a telescopic whip. I receive the police on 800MHZ 3
and even 4 towns away (40 miles) like they're sitting next to me.
I agree, of course with your comments regarding antennae but am sure glad
that AOR didn't think it stupid to make my scanner 33KHZ-1.9GHZ continuous
(UK version - non-blocked).

We know that there is no such thing as the "perfect" antenna for all bands.
I think what the original post was asking was your opinion on the best
compromise.
I too would like your opinion on this. Also, for really asking the
impossible, what about a decent indoor antenna?

Thanks,
Alan.

"Bob" <Bob_Ruzzo@nksd.net> wrote in message
news:9j1fp2$lbvps$1@ID-84760.news.dfncis.de...
> Well after being a HAM for 12 years now, I come to the conclusion, with
> plenty of experience and experimenting, that the only way to boost the
> reception on a handheld on ALL BANDS is to have a separate antenna for
each
> band, a triplexer, and high grade coax. Too much hassle, you say? Yes it
is.
> BUT! I also have found that ELEVATION plays a BIG PART too! I use a 30
> channel Bearcat scanner with STOCK ANTENNA. I live on a hill in NORTH
> PROVIDENCE, RI and my reception from up there, which is about maybe 200'
> above sea level, is phenomenal. You will never find the perfect antenna.
NO
> antenna is going to perform well on ALL BANDS! An antenna has to be
> designed/cut to the proper frequency range to perform well in that range.
> ALL_BAND antennas are a compromise. You want good UHF reception? You can
get
> a commercial UHF vertical and it will perform great, on UHF!!!! It will
give
> lousey performance in the VHF range! With handhelds you are at a
> disadvantage, cause you HAVE to use a rubber duck if you want
portability.

> Some handhelds overload VERY SEVERLY when connected to outside antenna.
> There doesnt exist a "BEST" antenna for a handheld. You could get a
> collapsible 1/4 wave whip, and adjust its length according to the band you
> want to hear. It will work great on vhf when fully extended. but not too
> well on the higher bands [uhf] . And to make things worse, most scanners
> sold to general public, [consumer grade] are poorly designed with lame
front
> ends. I put my bearcat scanner on a distant, weak weather freq. and next
to
> it my ham radio handheld, tuned to the same freq. The ham radio handheld
> OUTHEARED the scanner hands down! The weather channel on the scanner was
> full of static and noise, where on the ham radio, the station came in with
a
> good, much less noisy signal. Ham radio and commercial gear are built much
> better and have much better selectivity and sensitivity. As a matter of
> fact, one of my friends will only use commercial gear to monitor. He wasnt
> satisfied with the weak audio, and lame reception quality of a scanner.
Well
> i have rambled on long enuff. If you could have every handheld antenna
ever
> made and compared them all SIDE BY SIDE, you would'nt be able to tell much
> of a difference. Hand held rubber ducks are ot the proper length for the
> frequencies they are supposed to recieve. And you cant expect a duck, 6"
> long to adequatly recieve frequencies from 30mhz all the way up to 800mhz.
> It just isnt going to happen. Those ducks are optimized for vhf. and work
ok
> for a little way on either side of that band. I think it is kind of stupid
> for manufacturers to include 800 mhz on a scanner cause you really need a
> HIGH GAIN antenna for that band. the wimpy antenna that comes with the
> scanner is just no good for such a high frequency! It will perform well if
> you live in the city, or not far from an 800 mhz cell tower. but dont
expect
> to hear the local police 2 towns over with a perfect signal. You could
mount
> a cell phone antenna on the metal case top of a scanner and connect a
short
> length of coax to the existing antenna terminals. I did that with my
> bearcat 895 using a loaded high gain ham radio antenna. It out performs
any
> indoor antenna or duck, or whip i ever used. It does look funny, but it
> kicks butt! UHF and 800 mhz requires a very small ground plane to work,
so
> the top of a bearcat 895xlt case is great! if i want to use the high gain
> antenna for some other thing, i simply unscrew it and pop the little whip
> back in the back of set! Both antennas are terminated to the same
connector.
> whew ok i am tired! bob
>
>

2041. Re: Icom PCR1000 EEPROM question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 15:09:47 UTC
From: ATD <capt-tripps@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B54553B.EB006353@home.com>
Source record: 62658

Thanks Bill.

I use TalkPCR with my PCR100 and noticed it has an eeprom backup feature for use with the PCR1000.

No intention on hacking the PCR1000, it has what I need out of the box, especially if I use TalkPCR with it.

ATD

Bill Crocker wrote:

> I don't think it was as much of a problem, as some hyped it up to be. The
> corruption typically occurred when a programmer-wanabe sent the wrong
> commands to the EPROM. I guess they had to take it out on somebody.
>
> If you use it as intended, and/or use some of the more established
> third-party software with it, it's very unlikely you will ever encounter any
> problems.
>
> Bill Crocker
>

2042. Re: FS: UK Only > IC-R2

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 15:52:19 UTC
From: Neil Edwards <neilhulluniZZZ@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <bpn8lt8i5tsd66vri8mqighb3fdt6akqsm@4ax.com>
Source record: 62659

On Tue, 10 Jul 2001 20:03:57 +0100, Neil Edwards
<neilhulluniZZZ@btinternet.com> wrote:

>Hi there, just got a new scanner so am selling this one.
>
>It's an ICOM IC-R2, brilliant condition fully boxed and
>with manuals and original belt-clip and aerial.
>
>
>In Humberside area, UK.
>
>Offers welcome, Cheers
>
>Neil Edwards

SOLD- Thankyou

Please remove ZZZ from address if replying by email

2043. Re: Pro-92 vs. Pro-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 15:56:32 UTC
From: Pizza Man <pizza-man@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9j1nf7$l0d$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62660

Dave Oswald <djo@pacifier.com> wrote in message
news:39d7lt033pdt2msv0eccm6v1tj9un6hcrv@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 14 Jun 2001 12:13:00 GMT, Brian Clark
> <bclark@templeton.gt.ed.net> wrote:
>
> >I am looking to purchase a trunking scanner and have been looking at the
> >two Radio Shack offerings, the PRO-92 and the PRO-94. How do these two
> >measure up against each other (other than the 94 having twice the channel
> >capacity of the 92)? Which one should I get and why ?
> >
> >Thanks,
> >Brian Clark
>
> The 92 has alpha-tagging, letting you name the channels, banks, and
> talkgroups so that you can see easily who is talking without having to
> memorize frequencies and tg id's.
>
> But the 94 scans faster, and lets you select TG's in banks, which the
> 92 won't.

Dave,

Can you provide some more info regarding this? Does that mean in the 92 I
can't group all the fire freqs in one bank, police in another? etc??? I
really like the idea of alpha tags, but organization is even more important
to me.

Thanks, Pizza Man

2044. FPL New 900 Ericsson Freq.???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 16:33:10 UTC
From: jasper@dweeb.com
Message-ID: <vqp8ltouvafmc73vblk3t5t7ek4445i44m@4ax.com>
Source record: 62661

Anyone have FPL's new 900 Ericsson Freqs.?

2045. Re: Newbe to trunking queston

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 17:04:06 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010717130406.01942.00003471@ng-ca1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62662

>Subject: Newbe to trunking queston
>From: larney@ottawa.com
>Date: 7/16/01 7:41 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <olu6lt8sk4bch0fon7b8kjue5ieh862cc9@4ax.com>
>
>I just bought a PRO-2050 trunk scanner and be dammed if I can figure
>out how to program the trunked system area. I am in Ottawa, Ontario,
>Canada and I found a site with some local truck frequesncies, which I
>entered in bank 1 and tried the scan with no results at all.
>
>Can any one help me with some trucked freqs and how to enter them so
>that I can see if the scanner works and them maybe I will be able to
>figure out how to enter them int he future.
>
>Also, is it worth keeping the pro-2050 or should I be looking at some
>other model?
>
>Thanks for any help you can provide.
>

The Pro-2050 will only work Motorola Systems, it can switch between types; one is preset by default but this can be changed.

Follow the Link:
http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/44544.htm

to the Online Pro-2050 Manual, click Trunking which should explain it further.

With the lack of ability to track other Systems, the Pro-2050 does have shortfalls, but if you only Scan Motorola Types then it is a good unit.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

2046. Re: 700mhz?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 17:14:52 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010717131452.01942.00003474@ng-ca1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62663

>Subject: 700mhz?
>From: "Robert Lee Jordan" rebeljordan@qwest.net
>Date: 7/16/01 2:20 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <vgG47.139$hs4.205155@news.uswest.net>
>
> I have an IC-T81A Quad band(6m-2m-440-1.2ghz)HT that I have
>modified to have very wide recieve,not a harware mod but just alot of button
>pushes.
> I was scanning the 700mhz FM band and it was very active with what
>appeared to be cell phone traffic?I also heard what seemed to be police and
>some public service-all the signals had what seemed to be a digital
>squelch,the majority were full duplex but some were just simplex.
> Are these just images from the cell transmitters-handsets or is
>700mhz allocated to cellular?I am not familiar with the allocation of
>500-600-700mhz bands and the IC-T81A scans these and many more.
> Thanks in advance for any information that you can provide.
>
> Charles Robert Jordan
> novmy
>pjordan@qwest.net
>

Most likely images, as the 700 MHz Range is for UHF TV:

500.000 is UHF Ch. 19

602.000 to 608.000 is UHF Ch. 36

698.000-704.000 is UHF Ch. 52

794.000-800.000 is UHF Ch. 68

Though I heard this will change in time with new assignments by the FCC.

See the following Link for the US Bandplan:
http://www.cc.columbia.edu/~fuat/cuarc/fcc-bandplan.html

Hope this helps,

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

2047. Re: Legality of listening to utility communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 17:21:55 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010717132155.01942.00003475@ng-ca1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62664

>Subject: Re: Legality of listening to utility communications
>From: Never anonymous Bud

>>Keep what you hear to yourself
>
>No, the law NO LONGER says that. It makes exemptions for several services.
>
>Laws change, make sure you KNOW what current laws are.
>
>

Indeed, as with the new Pager Incident Services and such, I just think it is bad practice to run on about this and that which you heard on the Air; people outside the Hobby, some Cops and others have little or no idea of what you are permited to Scan, therefore I tend to stick to the "Keep it to yourself" rule.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

2048. Re: Pro-92 vs. Pro-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 17:33:04 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B5476CF.917E93F@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62665

You can organize the Conventional (non-trunked) Frequencies in
whatever manner works for you. All FD in one bank, all PD in another,
all EMT in a third, if that is what you desire.

For trunked systems, each SYSTEM must be in one bank. You cannot mix
different systems in the same bank. This applies to any trunktracking
scanner.

Walt

Pizza Man wrote:
>
> Dave Oswald <djo@pacifier.com> wrote in message
> news:39d7lt033pdt2msv0eccm6v1tj9un6hcrv@4ax.com...
> > On Thu, 14 Jun 2001 12:13:00 GMT, Brian Clark
> > <bclark@templeton.gt.ed.net> wrote:
> >
> > >I am looking to purchase a trunking scanner and have been looking at the
> > >two Radio Shack offerings, the PRO-92 and the PRO-94. How do these two
> > >measure up against each other (other than the 94 having twice the channel
> > >capacity of the 92)? Which one should I get and why ?
> > >
> > >Thanks,
> > >Brian Clark
> >
> > The 92 has alpha-tagging, letting you name the channels, banks, and
> > talkgroups so that you can see easily who is talking without having to
> > memorize frequencies and tg id's.
> >
> > But the 94 scans faster, and lets you select TG's in banks, which the
> > 92 won't.
>
> Dave,
>
> Can you provide some more info regarding this? Does that mean in the 92 I
> can't group all the fire freqs in one bank, police in another? etc??? I
> really like the idea of alpha tags, but organization is even more important
> to me.
>
> Thanks, Pizza Man

2049. Gounding of Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 17:47:11 UTC
From: av8tor@licensed4fun.com
Message-ID: <zS_47.263$_Y2.46813218@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62666

I'm just about to put up a 30' antenna mast and was wondering about grounding.
The only ground rods I've been able to find at the local stores are 5' long.
I was wondering if 5' is long enough. Also, would it help if I put in 2 or
three 5' rods around the mast, would this improve lighting protection?

The reason I'm worried is that I'm sure this antenna will be hit by lighting as
it will be the heights object in the area and we live in Florida, the lighting
capital of the world!

Thanks,
George
http://www.Licensed4Fun.com
--

____
I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
____

2050. Re: Gounding of Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 17:54:25 UTC
From: Bob <Bob_Ruzzo@nksd.net>
Message-ID: <9j1u6i$m8a86$1@ID-84760.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62667

Florida terrain is mostly FLAT and you probably won't notice any difference in mounting your antenna LOWER than 30'. As for grounding, you really don't need any more than 1 grounding rod. The soil in florida i would guess is mostly sand so a chemical ground would be in order. Just dig a hole for your mast and get some rock salt and dump a bag of it in the hole and flood with water every now and then. That will make earth more conductive. Of course fill hole back in with sand! I lived in an area in Rhode Island, a sub-development and it was a sandy area. I used an 8' grounding rod and made a pit where rod stuck out of ground. I filled the pit with dirt and rock salt and watered it every few days, keeping ground moist. It does help with getting a good RF ground for the radios. My equipment was grounded using 1" copper braid. Just pray in addition, for the lightning protection! bob

2051. Re: Pro-26 Backlight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 18:03:53 UTC
From: John Smith <jaked2k@mail.com>
Message-ID: <W1%47.10185$%t5.1053687@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 62668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I dont think you can do that... and even if you can, it'll waste the batteries...

"CrACKeD" <cracked3@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:9j0avnmco1@nnrp2.phx.gblx.net...
> anyone know a way to keep the pro-26 backlight steadily on? i'd like the
> backlight to go "on" when i press the button and "off" when i press it
> again. as it is now, it stays on for several seconds, and then leaves me
> in the dark. i'm not looking for a hack that'll keep the light on all the
> time.

2052. Re: What's The Best After Market Antenna For Hand Held Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 18:23:19 UTC
From: Bob <Bob_Ruzzo@nksd.net>
Message-ID: <9j1vsr$lput3$1@ID-84760.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62669

Hi Alan, Something that comes into play with radio signals is the conductivity of the ground. The northeast USA has rocky soil and not a good conductor. So we have lame 800mhz reception, but not many agencies are on that band here, except for the state highway and state prison and various companies here in rhode island. The midwest has nice, moist fertile soil, so radio signals follow a ground path much better. The best path is salt water. Thats why boats can hear other boats from extremely long distances. Salt water is 6000 times more conductive than fresh water!!! Its true!
Well a decent indoor antenna could be a J-pole made from flat TV wire. You could make 2, one cut for uhf and the other for vhf and connect the coax to small jumpers from the antennas feedpoints. I use a permanent mount dual band ant [ham] with GAIN that is mounted to the top of my bearcat 895. It works very well. It is funny looking, with the loading coil but it is small, about 2 1/2' long and pulls in the stations. bob

2053. New Scanner - Hookup Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 18:42:25 UTC
From: "J. Scott Branscum" <nospam@usa.net>
Message-ID: <IG%47.428$Ar1.134245@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello,
I just purchased a Uniden BC780XLT. Is there a problem with hooking up the speaker output to a mono to stereo adapter and then connect to right and left line in's on my stereo amplifier? I know that usually it is wrong to connect speaker out levels to line in levels, but would it actually cause any problems?

I can't use the Tape Out connector because the 780 has to be designated for each channel to output to that Tape Out connection. Alot more work to mark each channel as "Line" for outputting to the Tape out connector.

Any comments?

Thanks,
a2stepper38@hotmail.com

2054. CB Ant.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 18:49:14 UTC
From: bryant1@infoave.net
Message-ID: <66847924.0107171049.49efef90@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62671

I have a CB ant. that i was wonderin if i could hook my scanner up to and maby get better reception. My scanner is a BC148XLT, i think this is a fairly old model. Any one have one like this? I am a newb so any info would be helpful.

2055. Re: CB Ant.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 19:01:36 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <kY%47.2119$Qd1.251221@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62672

Depends on what you have now. those dinky scanner antennas are dinky for a reason. Smaller element lengths for shorter
wavelenths which police, fire and companies use.
For shortwave I think a CB antenna would be pretty good but not VHF/UHF.

"Bryant Allen" <bryant1@infoave.net> wrote in message
news:66847924.0107171049.49efef90@posting.google.com...
> I have a CB ant. that i was wonderin if i could hook my scanner up to
> and maby get better reception. My scanner is a BC148XLT, i think this
> is a fairly old model. Any one have one like this? I am a newb so any
> info would be helpful.

2056. Re: Gounding of Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 19:03:20 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <YZ%47.2121$Qd1.251844@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62673

Do you have a local home depot or Leows hardware store?
They sell 8' groundrods.
Also, some payless cashways sell 10' by 1" groundrods though I think
all Payless Cashways are gone out of business now.
If you have a local GrayBar electronics (grey or gray?) then they have monster
groundrods up to 12' I think.

"Av8tor" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:zS_47.263$_Y2.46813218@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> I'm just about to put up a 30' antenna mast and was wondering about grounding.
> The only ground rods I've been able to find at the local stores are 5' long.
> I was wondering if 5' is long enough. Also, would it help if I put in 2 or
> three 5' rods around the mast, would this improve lighting protection?
>
> The reason I'm worried is that I'm sure this antenna will be hit by lighting as
> it will be the heights object in the area and we live in Florida, the lighting
> capital of the world!
>
> Thanks,
> George
> http://www.Licensed4Fun.com
> --
> ____
> I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
> I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
> --> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
> ____
>

2057. Help no squelch PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 20:16:15 UTC
From: wr2i@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8b1c96a5.0107171216.17a3d871@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62674

If anyone can assist with a scanned schematic it would be greatly appreciated. Squelch circuit is inoperative. D54 was shorted and replaced, also IC2 replaced. Still no squelch. Seeing 6.8 VDC on arm of squelch pot. Any help?

Thanks,

Marc

2058. Re: CB Ant.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 20:31:06 UTC
From: lbrcly@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010717163106.15250.00003953@ng-cu1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62675

>I have a CB ant. that i was wonderin if i could hook my scanner up to
>and maby get better reception. My scanner is a BC148XLT, i think this
>is a fairly old model. Any one have one like this? I am a newb so any
>info would be helpful.

It would work for low band 30-50, but not so good for anything higher.

2059. Re: What's The Best After Market Antenna For Hand Held Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 20:47:38 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Kv157.11242$I5.1899956@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 62676

I would recommend the Austin Condor rubber duckie. It's a solid all around antenna, and is excellent with 800 MHz. It's a bit large and a tad expensive ($30). As far as value is concerned, the Radio Shack 20-006 telescoping whip has performed fairly well for me. It's only $10.

2060. Re: New Scanner - Hookup Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 21:11:05 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <JR157.50676$qs5.8967736@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 62677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have the 780xlt as well, but the stuff you're listening to is not sent as stereo but, to answer your question - no, it will not be a problem. My suggestion is to get a decent computer control program for the 780. I like TrunkTracker780 www.scanstar.com for details on this.

Paul, KC2FNC

"J. Scott Branscum" <nospam@usa.net> wrote in message news:lG%47.428$Ar1.134245@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> Hello,
> I just purchased a Uniden BC780XLT. Is there a problem with hooking up the
> speaker output to a mono to stereo adapter and then connect to right and
> left line in's on my stereo amplifier? I know that usually it is wrong to
> connect speaker out levels to line in levels, but would it actually cause
> any problems?
>
> I can't use the Tape Out connector because the 780 has to be designated for
> each channel to output to that Tape Out connection. Alot more work to mark
> each channel as "Line" for outputting to the Tape out connector.
>
> Any comments?
>
> Thanks,
> a2stepper38@hotmail.com
>
>

2061. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 21:36:49 UTC
From: cqkd8z <cqkd8z@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <Oz#KiiwDBHA.263@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 62688

For receive always use 75ohm, for best results that is... For economy try whatever you have on hand, keep to shortest length possible, who knows, you may get luckey
"Dev" <.> wrote in message news:9ie04k01v71@enews2.newsguy.com...
> huh drop 50 ohm coax for a 75 ohm. I am lost here. you get a better match
> for your scanner if you have 1) 50 ohm antenna and 1) 50 ohm scanner.why
> waist money on 72 ohm rg 6. I would say if you want to match and gonna buy
> rg 6. buy beldens rg 8. not radio shack rg 8 like most do. if you want
> hardline. go get some rg-213 or double sheilded rg-214 army stuff. 69 cents
> canadian and all 50 ohms.
>
>
> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> news:MPG.15aaed6b514cdbc798976a@news...
> > In article <20010702204830.17040.00002024@ng-fe1.aol.com>,
> > ottoxxx@aol.com says...
> > > Can someone help me with my choice of coax for an external vhf / uhf
> scanner
> > > antenna?
> > > Should I go with the RG-8?
> > > And what does the 'U' designate? RG-8 vs RG-8U?
> > >
> >
> > How long of a run do you have between the radio and antenna?
> >
> >
> > I would recommend Times microwave LMR-400 if you want the closest thing
> > to hardline at coax cost. :-) OTOH if you aren't out to capture every
> > last electron possible just great solid local reception I would go with
> > some RG6 double or triple shield. Not quite as good as the LMR stuff but
> > far better, hands down than RG8. I use both and have dumped the RG8 crud
> > forever.
> >
> >
> >
> > Glenn
> >
>
>

2062. CRX-120 Charging Rate?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 22:23:09 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hV257.11562$I5.1908710@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 62678
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all. Anyone know what the charging rate (mAh) is for the CRX-120? How long will it take for the BP-120 (600 mAh) to charge fully? How about the BP-180 (800 mAh)? Thanks.

2063. Re: What's The Best After Market Antenna For Hand Held Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 22:33:54 UTC
From: Alan Hearnshaw <Fake@Address.com>
Message-ID: <m3357.55741$w5.5627210@news1.rdc1.ga.home.com>
Source record: 62679

Hi Bob,
thanks for the info. I shall try that. You obviously know what you
are doing where antennae are concerned.
Funny enough, I did know about the salt water/fresh water conductivity. It
is one of the things I remember from my college days (HNC in electronics).
PURE water is actually an INSULATOR! It is only the impurities in water that
make it conduct so well.

Thanks again for the info.

Alan.

--
###
###

If anything contained in this mail offends then please ignore it.
If you are not sure how to ignore then feel free to complain directly to me
and I shall be pleased to demonstrate ;-)
http://www.alanhearnshaw.com/
###
###

"Bob" <Bob_Ruzzo@nksd.net> wrote in message
news:9j1vsr$lput3$1@ID-84760.news.dfncis.de...
> Hi Alan, Something that comes into play with radio signals is the
> conductivity of the ground. The northeast USA has rocky soil and not a
good
> conductor. So we have lame 800mhz reception, but not many agencies are on
> that band here, except for the state highway and state prison and various
> companies here in rhode island. The midwest has nice, moist fertile soil,
so
> radio signals follow a ground path much better. The best path is salt
water.
> Thats why boats can hear other boats from extremely long distances. Salt
> water is 6000 times more conductive than fresh water!!! Its true!
> Well a decent indoor antenna could be a J-pole made from flat TV wire. You
> could make 2, one cut for uhf and the other for vhf and connect the coax
to
> small jumpers from the antennas feedpoints. I use a permanent mount dual
> band ant [ham] with GAIN that is mounted to the top of my bearcat 895. It
> works very well. It is funny looking, with the loading coil but it is
small,
> about 2 1/2' long and pulls in the stations. bob
>
>

2064. Experienced Opinions wanted: Best PC Control Software for Uniden BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 22:42:19 UTC
From: "J. Scott Branscum" <nospam@usa.net>
Message-ID: <fb357.877$Ar1.200990@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62680
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello Group,
I hope I'm not annoying anyone with this question, but I'm new to scanners and this NG. I am running the demo of WinScan780 right now, but would like experienced opinions of other PC control software of the BC780XLT, and to hear why you like the particular software program you are using. I like the actual display of the front panel of the BC780XLT that is displayed on Winscan780. But if you know of limitations or better choices in software, I would be interested in hearing from you.

Thanks,
Scott
a2stepper38@hotmail.com

2065. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 22:45:51 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <knf9lt8s3k9dvgg9gcb2m0g1r1mtqsepbv@4ax.com>
Source record: 62562
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Mon, 16 Jul 2001 23:50:55 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
<NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:

>I never said I didn't know who they are!! I assume with the names you
>have thrown around that you are referring to "Carl Von" Clauswitz, the
>Napoleonic soldier; "General" Von Stuben of the Prussian military; and
>Sun Tzu "The Art of War"??

Good save. Im glad you finally got around to a net search. Now read what
they have to say.
>
>BTW - that saying is alligator mouth and "CANARY BIRD" ass.
Only to anchor clankers.
>

>You would do well to follow your own advice. If you want to play
>in this sand box you're gonna need a much bigger shovel! Remember,
>a lot of us have studied these issues & sacrificed to maintain the
>liberty of our country.

Well golly gee wiz there C3PO... been there..done that..still carry the
scars.and chunks of russian made high speed debris. Been there..done
that twice in fact.. about the time the best part of you trickled down
your mommas leg.

Got all the stroke I need...And all the shovels I need to take care of
business.
So far..all I see is your no-load mouth running off..and no data, no
cites, nothing but a belch of foul bilge air..and nothing else.

Gunner

>
>
>Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote
>> Still not admiting to knowing who Clauswitz, von Stuben and Sun Tzu are?
>>
>> You, the Military History Scholar?
>>
>> Gotcha! PO3 Mackey. Looks like your alligator mouth overloaded your
>> butterfly ass.
>>
>> Thanks for the service to our country. But.. squid..dont forget a
>> shitload of others went before you, and forget the idea that button up

>> the front pants gets you anything more than a high 5. You want to play
>> with us, learn some manners, and get your shit squared away Mister.
>
>

--
"Confronting Liberals with the facts of reality is very much akin to
clubbing baby seals. It gets boring after a while, but because Liberals are
so stupid it is easy work." Steven M. Barry

2066. Re: CRX-120 Charging Rate?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 23:06:08 UTC
From: Midnight Rider <mrbig@NOSPAMtough.com>
Message-ID: <uD357.23486$304.2725480@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 62682
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

the crx is only suppose to be for the bp-120 .

"TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message
news:hV257.11562$I5.1908710@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Hi all. Anyone know what the charging rate (mAh) is for the CRX-120? How
> long will it take for the BP-120 (600 mAh) to charge fully? How about the
> BP-180 (800 mAh)? Thanks.
>
>

2067. Re: Have Handheld, Need Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 23:06:34 UTC
From: jsaspo@attglobal.net
Message-ID: <420d12ed.0107171506.53f981d5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62681

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<9j1f26$ler73$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>...
> "Jay" <jsaspo@attglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:420d12ed.0107161828.740ca61d@posting.google.com...
>
> > I would like to ask for your recommendations for 3 different
> handheld
> > antennas:
> >
> > 1) Best antenna for 30-50 range
> > 2) Best antenna for 800 range
> > 3) Best antenna for wide coverage (30-960)
>
> > I'm also not looking for an outdoor antenna or mobile antenna. Just
> > something I can simply screw in the top that would help my reception
> > for the above ranges I mentioned.
>
> You are asking a lot... To get the best reception for 30-50 miles,
> you should put up an outdoor antenna. Plus for wide coverage from
> 30-960 MHZ, you need a discone which is too big to mount on top of
> your handheld.
>
> That said, I think the best thing you could use would be a telescoping
> whip antenna. Just collapse it for 800 mHz, and you can extend it for
> whatever band you want to monitor. But as for receiving range, height
> is what you need. So unless you live on the top floor of an apartment
> building, I'd put up an external antenna. It's a must.
>
> Tom

I'm sorry Tom. I wasn't clear. I meant the 30-50 MHz range
(VHF-lo?), not 30-50 miles.

Anyway, the telescoping whip is exactly what I intend to try.

Thanks,
Jay

2068. Re: Gounding of Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 23:27:53 UTC
From: Jim <jspaz@i-55.com>
Message-ID: <3B54C9F9.FED54028@i-55.com>
Source record: 62683

As one person made the comment to use rock salt. NOT a good
move. Salt contaminates the water source of people with wells.
The salt will also eat the ground rod up over time. The more
salt and moisture, the less time it will take.

A better chemical to use is the chemical treatment compound that
several companies sell for grounding improvement. It is
environmentally friendly. It actually gets better over time.
Think one type is called Bentonite.

Next comment is about multiple grounding rods. That all depends
on the ground resistance. In sandy soil, you may have to go
deeper than the normal 8 or 10 foot ground rod. They make the
kind that has screw sections with a coupler. Just put two or
more together and keep driving. You just need a tall ladder to
ge able to get to the top of the next added section.

One point to remember is that there is a cone of influence around
the ground rod. It is equal to the length. If you place the
ground rods closer than their length, you are within that cone.
You will not get the maximum use out of the soil resistance if
they are placed closer. The cones will overlap and you will
loose that soil resistance within the cone. Also if you take a
lightning hit, the high current needs that large soil area to
dissipate the charge.

It is best to "Cad Weld" (TM of Harger), exothermic welding, to
make the connections. This way you have the lowest resistance in
the connection. It won't change over time or with vibration.

I kind of got long winded, but it may help you understand
grounding a little better.

Jim

Av8tor wrote:
>
> I'm just about to put up a 30' antenna mast and was wondering about grounding.
> The only ground rods I've been able to find at the local stores are 5' long.
> I was wondering if 5' is long enough. Also, would it help if I put in 2 or
> three 5' rods around the mast, would this improve lighting protection?
>
> The reason I'm worried is that I'm sure this antenna will be hit by lighting as it will
be the heights object in the area and we live in Florida, the lighting
> capital of the world!
>

> Thanks,
> George
> http://www.Licensed4Fun.com
> --
> ____
> I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
> I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
> --> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
> ____

2069. Re: CRX-120 Charging Rate?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 23:31:26 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <iV357.11787$I5.1921524@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 62684
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Actually, not true. The BC235XLT came with the CRX-120 and the BP-180.

2070. Re: What's The Best After Market Antenna For Hand Held Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 23:51:35 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <CAAC9243675887E9.A779E6CB8C901AF8.481C01A484A674A7@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 62686

Thanks a lot for the good information.

2071. Re: What's The Best After Market Antenna For Hand Held Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-17 23:51:36 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <962F677AFEF46386.E01BCE0521D6AEEA.5006599A3848C475@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 62685

Thanks for the recommendation.

2072. Re: What's The Best After Market Antenna For Hand Held Scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 00:15:06 UTC
From: HateSpam <hatespam@lnoemail.com>
Message-ID: <ey457.5185$XT4.3560780@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 62687

Yak, yak, yak. All talk and no answer.

You want either the Watson Super Gainer W-881 or the Diamond RH77CA.

"Bob" <Bob_Ruzzo@nksd.net> wrote in message
news:9j1fp2$lbvps$1@ID-84760.news.dfncis.de...
> Well after being a HAM for 12 years now, I come to the conclusion, with
> plenty of experience and experimenting, that the only way to boost the
> reception on a handheld on ALL BANDS is to have a separate antenna for
each
> band, a triplexer, and high grade coax. Too much hassle, you say? Yes it
is.
> BUT! I also have found that ELEVATION plays a BIG PART too! I use a 30
> channel Bearcat scanner with STOCK ANTENNA. I live on a hill in NORTH
> PROVIDENCE, RI and my reception from up there, which is about maybe 200'
> above sea level, is phenomenal. You will never find the perfect antenna.
NO
> antenna is going to perform well on ALL BANDS! An antenna has to be
> designed/cut to the proper frequency range to perform well in that range.
> ALL_BAND antennas are a compromise. You want good UHF reception? You can
get
> a commercial UHF vertical and it will perform great, on UHF!!!! It will
give
> lousey performance in the VHF range! With handhelds you are at a
> disadvantage, cause you HAVE to use a rubber duck if you want
portability.
> Some handhelds overload VERY SEVERLY when connected to outside antenna.
> There doesnt exist a "BEST" antenna for a handheld. You could get a
> collapsible 1/4 wave whip, and adjust its length according to the band you
> want to hear. It will work great on vhf when fully extended. but not too
> well on the higher bands [uhf] . And to make things worse, most scanners
> sold to general public, [consumer grade] are poorly designed with lame
front
> ends. I put my bearcat scanner on a distant, weak weather freq. and next
to
> it my ham radio handheld, tuned to the same freq. The ham radio handheld
> OUTHEARED the scanner hands down! The weather channel on the scanner was
> full of static and noise, where on the ham radio, the station came in with
a
> good, much less noisy signal. Ham radio and commercial gear are built much
> better and have much better selectivity and sensitivity. As a matter of
> fact, one of my friends will only use commercial gear to monitor. He wasnt
> satisfied with the weak audio, and lame reception quality of a scanner.
Well
> i have rambled on long enuff. If you could have every handheld antenna

ever
> made and compared them all SIDE BY SIDE, you would'nt be able to tell much
> of a difference. Hand held rubber ducks are ot the proper length for the
> frequencies they are supposed to recieve. And you cant expect a duck, 6"
> long to adequatly recieve frequencies from 30mhz all the way up to 800mhz.
> It just isnt going to happen. Those ducks are optimized for vhf. and work
ok
> for a little way on either side of that band. I think it is kind of stupid
> for manufacturers to include 800 mhz on a scanner cause you really need a
> HIGH GAIN antenna for that band. the wimpy antenna that comes with the
> scanner is just no good for such a high frequency! It will perform well if
> you live in the city, or not far from an 800 mhz cell tower. but dont
expect
> to hear the local police 2 towns over with a perfect signal. You could
mount
> a cell phone antenna on the metal case top of a scanner and connect a
short
> length of coax to the existing antenna terminals. I did that with my
> bearcat 895 using a loaded high gain ham radio antenna. It out performs
any
> indoor antenna or duck, or whip i ever used. It does look funny, but it
> kicks butt! UHF and 800 mhz requires a very small ground plane to work,
so
> the top of a bearcat 895xlt case is great! if i want to use the high gain
> antenna for some other thing, i simply unscrew it and pop the little whip
> back in the back of set! Both antennas are terminated to the same
connector.
> whew ok i am tired! bob
>
>

2073. Re: CRX-120 Charging Rate?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 00:40:25 UTC
From: Midnight Rider <mrbig@NOSPAMtough.com>
Message-ID: <8T457.10495$%t5.1246345@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 62690
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

hmm interesting , I was refering to what it said inside the battery holder on the crx-120 charger .

"TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message news:iV357.11787$I5.1921524@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Actually, not true. The BC235XLT came with the CRX-120 and the BP-180.
>
>

2074. Re: Icom PCR1000 EEPROM question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 00:42:08 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9j2m10$6mf$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 62689

In article <3B54553B.EB006353@home.com>, capt-tripps@home.com says...
>
>Thanks Bill.
>
>I use TalkPCR with my PCR100 and noticed it has an eeprom backup feature for
use
>with the PCR1000.
You might want to back up the EPROM "just in case".
A friend's PCR1000 dumped its RPOM while using Icoms's sorry software.
He had a quick dip in the AC and after the power went up and down several
times, his '1000 was "ill" on reboot.
He had the data backuped up, so no big deal.

2075. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 00:55:35 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9j2msp0st5@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62547
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

You are certainly entitled to your opinion, but it is not shared by those considered knowledgable on such issues.

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote
> I don't think Saddam has the capability to develop biological
> weapons that would work well - that would not threaten his own
> military, and himself, as much as his enemy. And, no, I would not want
> him to use them. However, I seen no evidence that he would (even he is
> smarter than that).

2076. Scanner help for a Ham ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 00:56:10 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b54d68d.1040085512@news.erols.com>
Source record: 62691

Greetings,

I used that subject title 'cause I know so many Hams think they're superior to Scanner-buffs. I am a Gen. class ham (about 1 year) but I still respect scanning and as all my airtime is in the HF I'd like to ask the ng a scanner question. I don't want to get flamed, but I'll just give what I'd *like* to do and maybe you could tell me if it's possible and with what rigs, etc. If it's not possible tell me how close I can get. I know very little about scanning.

What I'd like is a hand-held scanner that I can use to do everything ! Well, actually what I'd like to do is sit out on my porch and listen to talk radio on summer nites while keeping a scanner open for any targets of opportunity that travel past. I especially would like to monitor military fly-overs. I know there is some traffic on HF, but I'm maybe talking more VHF/UHF. At the same time I'd like a gen'l coverage scanner (hand-held) that I could program to local Police Fire, Rescue, etc. Unblocked would be nice. (Hopefully all in the same unit.) Computer interfacing is not needed. What I'd really like to grab are those VHF/UHF milcomms as they fly over (I live near Andrew's and others).

Currently, I've got a Yaesu FT-980 and a nice little RS DX-392. The Yaesu was modified by original owner and will tune down to 0 Hz.. Yep, I can put it down on 60Hz. and listen to the power-line hum. Very freaky to hear; You start thinking, "All that's going through me?" Sounds like the Mother-ship landing. The DX-392 IMHO is the best 100.00 portable out there. They are both great for what they do, but I think a scanner would make a nice compliment. Imagine sitting out on the porch at nite with a few cold, frosty ones while the FT-980's on 40M, the DX-392's on Art Bell, and the scanner grabbing F-16's or Herc. transports as they fly over. Sounds like a great way to spend a summer/fall nite to me ! Is it possible ? Which rigs ? Costs? Web- sites for more info/specs. ?

Alot to ask. Please accept my thanks in advance for any help you can offer. My respect and good wishes to you all.

73,

Andrew/ KG4JBM

2077. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 00:57:24 UTC
From: "K S F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9j2n060t3g@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62549
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote
SNIP
> I prefer to look at the facts, and the real capabilities of our
> potential advisories.

Your statements indicate otherwise & that you are believing
your own fantasies.

2078. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 00:59:34 UTC
From: "K S F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9j2n470tc3@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62696
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

I don't have ADD, & your illusion that I do have it only
indicates how far out of reality your thinking really is.

BTW - I made PO3 so quickly based on my advanced
knowledge & abilites. The program was/is called
"Advanced Pay Grade".

Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote
> Attention deficit disorder too I see. Sad case..and you made PO3
> exactly how?

2079. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 01:00:33 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9j2n660tg9@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62548
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote
> No, I can't possibly understand your premise if you keep changing it.

I haven't changed anything.

> I did read my previous post - and I still have no idea what you're
> talking about.

If you can't comprehend your own writing then I imagine you
would not be able to understand others.

2080. FS: SD-2 Service Manuals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 01:05:20 UTC
From: jim/kb2tlb <j.dow-kb2tlb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <kh557.41735$C81.3505480@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62692

Hi all-

If interested I have the Sams SD-2 Monitor and Servicing Data manual for sale.

--
tnx,
jim/kb2tlb
http://members.ebay.com/aboutme/kb2tlb

end
****

2081. Bearcat 200xlt and Bearcat 200 desktop for parts or repair!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 01:29:12 UTC
From: jammtc2000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cd33b517.0107171729.7cf45eec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62693

I have a bearcat 200xlt that is dead and can be used for parts.
Comes with ac adapter and battery pack that reads 7.1 volts.

I also have an older Bearcat 200 desktop unit that works but has
white noise coming out of the speaker even after operating the squelch.
This units is operational but the noise should not be there.

Neither scanner has an antenna.

First offer over $40 gets both and I pay UPS ground to your door.

Please be advised that both units are being sold for parts though.

Thanks,

Jodia

2082. Northwest Florida Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 01:41:59 UTC
From: paulwho100@aol.com
Message-ID: <ab1f820c.0107171741.386efe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62694

Anyone have any interesting freqs for Northwest Florda (Pensacola area?)

Also, any feedback on the Radio Shack Pro-2045 is appreciated.

Paul

2083. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 01:45:44 UTC
From: paulwho100@aol.com
Message-ID: <ab1f820c.0107171745.6e043d3d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62695
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Barry OGrady <billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote in message
news:<s4uoktk3d6dnb2u5e86bfj21rm8kgs52bn@4ax.com>...
> On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
>
> >
> > CNN NETWORKS:
> >
> >
> >
> >Calling all ham radio operators
> >
> >July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
> >
> >By Dan Verton
> >
> >(IDG) -- The System Administration
> >Networking and Security (SANS)
> >Institute is recruiting amateur radio
> >operators to take part in an
> >emergency communications network
> >that it said could be used by disaster
> >relief personnel in the event of a
> >catastrophic failure of
> >telecommunications systems,
> >including the Internet.
>
> Give us a break!
> Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
> It has nothing to do with emergencies.
>
> They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
> Stay out of things you know nothing about.
>
>
> -Barry
> ========
> Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
> Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.

BARRY! GET A LIFE!

2084. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 02:36:34 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B54F628.754EDFE9@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62550
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"Robert D. Baker" wrote:
>
> Hell, I'd been munching MRE's for
> over 30 days in Honduras and the
> finest thing I've _ever_ seen was a
> field kitchen showin' up, run by the
> PRNG (Puerto Rico National Guard).
> We'd been reduced to bartering for pigs
> from the locals by that time, hehehe.

Oh, you should have seen the field kitchens the French were running about twenty years ago (I don't know if they still do it). During a field exercise in Germany, we were eating rations, while they had fresh baked bread, cheese, wine, some kind of submarine-like sandwich with ham, tossed salad, and some kind of meaty vegetable soup. Darn, I was hungry after watching them eat. Luckily, I guess they felt sorry for us since they let us have some also (though the Lt. didn't let us have any wine).

> Funniest damn thing about "reserve"
> snobbery is what happened to my dad.
> He pulled a full hitch in WWII, got
> out, got "involuntarily recalled" for
> Korea. Stupid active duty putzes called
> him a "reservist". Well, technically,
> yeah...but D'oh!

They did the same thing to a couple of my relatives - called them back to active duty after serving during WWII (my father was already on active duty - he was too young for the "big one").

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2085. Re: A very newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 03:09:57 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010717230957.20221.00003859@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 62551

In article <vvL47.23757$mY2.2905835@news1.busy1.on.home.com>, "John Doe" <me@me.com> writes:

>Here in Canada, it is NOT illegal to listen to cell phone conversations.

Right. Only handguns are illegal. Scanners are okay. A bastion of personal freedom.

2086. Re: Gounding of Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 03:09:57 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010717230957.20221.00003860@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 62553

In article <zS_47.263$_Y2.46813218@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>,
av8tor@licensed4fun.com (Av8tor) writes:

>I'm just about to put up a 30' antenna mast and was wondering about
>grounding.
> The only ground rods I've been able to find at the local stores are 5' long.
>
>I was wondering if 5' is long enough. Also, would it help if I put in 2 or
>three 5' rods around the mast, would this improve lighting protection?

Go down at least 8' into the earth. Anything less than this does not offer
adequate protection.

2087. Re: CB Ant.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 03:09:58 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010717230958.20221.00003861@nso-md.aol.com>
Source record: 62552

In article <66847924.0107171049.49efef90@posting.google.com>,
bryant1@infoave.net (Bryant Allen) writes:

>I have a CB ant. that i was wonderin if i could hook my scanner up to
>and maby get better reception. My scanner is a BC148XLT, i think this
>is a fairly old model. Any one have one like this? I am a newb so any
>info would be helpful.

A CB antenna is a poor choice for a scanner antenna. Will work well on VHF-Lo
(30-50Mhz), but lousy on all other bands.

2088. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 03:26:30 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B5501C9.57328595@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62554
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
>
> If you can't comprehend your own
> writing then I imagine you would
> not be able to understand others.

My friend, I can certainly comprehend what I've written. I just don't comprehend your claim that what I've written would be of interest to a Jewish survivors group. Since you flatly refuse to explain your claim, I'm left with the assumption that you just used that statement to try to twist what I've written into something that exists solely in your own mind.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2089. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 03:36:19 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B550413.5687BCA4@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62555
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
>
> You are certainly entitled to your
> opinion, but it is not shared by those
> considered knowledgable on such issues.

Okay, my friend. Of course, I could ask you to name one, but that
would be a total waste of time (opinions are a dime a dozen).
Therefore, I'll just let the discussion drop with that.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2090. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 03:46:33 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9j30ta01dos@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62559
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

```
The statement I was referring to was that any leader hell-bent on
causing problems will certainly do so until stopped. Hitler's actions
with Jews was an excellent example. If you still can't understand
the concept then I have no idea how you ever will.
```

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote
> "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
> > If you can't comprehend your own writing then I imagine you would
> > not be able to understand others.
>
> My friend, I can certainly comprehend what I've written. I just
> don't comprehend your claim that what I've written would be of
> interest to a Jewish survivors group. Since you flatly refuse to
> explain your claim, I'm left with the assumption that you just used
> that statement to try to twist what I've written into something that
> exists solely in your own mind.

2091. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 03:47:46 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9j30vj01dsh@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62560
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

That is probably the smartest thing you have said/done yet in this thread.

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote
> Okay, my friend. Of course, I could ask you to name one, but that
> would be a total waste of time (opinions are a dime a dozen).
> Therefore, I'll just let the discussion drop with that.

2092. Re: Pro-92 vs. Pro-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 04:13:08 UTC
From: Pizza Man <pizza-man@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9j32ms$5p5$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62557

Dave,

Great and informative answer. I have an original Uniden Trunk tracker. I like being able to setup TG banks within the frequency bank , as you described. It is a great little radio. Fairly easy to use, but I'd sure like alpha tags and AA batteries, instead of the nicad-pack.

Thanks, Pizza Man

Dave Oswald <djo@pacifier.com> wrote in message news:q8q9lto8nlbq7f901qa7hti5ao0kjm4mg7@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 17 Jul 2001 08:56:32 -0700, "Pizza Man"
> <pizza-man@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
> >Dave,
> >
> >Can you provide some more info regarding this? Does that mean in the 92 I
> >can't group all the fire freqs in one bank, police in another? etc??? I
> >really like the idea of alpha tags, but organization is even more important
> >to me.
> >
> >Thanks, Pizza Man
> >
>
> Uniden-based scanners, including the Pro94 permit you to set up the
> trunked frequencies in one bank, and then while scanning the trunked
> bank, you can set up talk-groups into multiple TG banks within the
> frequency bank. Typically you are limited to five banks of ten
> Talkgroups per trunked frequency bank.
>
> The Pro-92, by GRE, operates differently. You can store up to 100
> talkgroups per trunked frequency bank. But you can't subdivide those
> 100 talkgroups into talkgroup banks of ten or whatever.
>
> If you want to be able to select and deselect particular talkgroup
> sets easily, you have to set up multiple frequency banks with the same
> trunked system, and then store just the pertinant talkgroups that you
> want in each set in its respective frequency bank's talkgroup storage.
>
> The disadvantage to this approach are as follows: First, you waste an
> entire frequency/channel bank for each talkgroup bank. Second, the
> scanner stops on each scanned frequency/channel bank for approximately
> two seconds, so when scanning multiple banks of the same trunked
> system you often miss responses, or entire conversations because the

> scanner might be listening on the bank that you have assigned to
> "fire" when all the activity is going on in your "police" band.
>
> The advantage to GRE's method is that you can have, theoretically, up
> to 1000 talkgroups being scanned (100 per frequency/channel bank).
> But it would take the scanner 20 seconds to work its way through all
> of the banks, and if it stops in one, the other banks remain inactive
> until the bank that is getting the scanner's attention is clear of
> transmissions for a couple of seconds.
>
> The best solution I've found is to put your 100 favorite talkgroups
> into the scanner in one bank, and then before starting to listen, just
> select and de-select individual talkgroups from that bank. It's slow
> to do this, but works ok.
>
>

2093. FOR SALE: KENWOOD RZ-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 04:13:45 UTC
From: jcs4us@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3b550dad.35117101@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62556
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

FfOR SALE:
Kenwood RZ-1 receiver, scans 500 kHz to 905 MHz complete
with no gaps and works great. Receives AM, FM narrowband, FM wideband,
FM stereo, Television (with NTSC video output on the back)

Radio is DIN sized to fit in a standard automobile dashboard opening
if
you wish. Comes with the manual..

Receiver is cosmetically in GOOD condition AND PLAYS WELL..
Price is $300.00 plus UPS... OBO

EMAIL: jcs4us@earthlink.net.

2094. Motorola ASTRO System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 04:35:47 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b5511e6.1055280261@news.erols.com>
Source record: 62558

Greetings,

Is there a scanner that will handle the Motorola ASTRO system ??

THX,

Andrew

2095. Interesting low-band skip tonight..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 05:07:37 UTC
From: grtpmpkin32@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010718010737.05907.00000595@ng-ba1.aol.com>
Source record: 62561

Hi guys-
I'm in southwest MO, and for the last hour (since 10:50pm CDT, and now it's a little past midnight) I've been hearing some really neat low-band police, fire and other emergency comm's. I've not experienced this before so I'm a little hyped about it!
It started with some unid. Spanish comm's on and around 31 to 33 MHz, then just above 33 (from .200 to nearly 34.000) I started hearing a lot of NE departments, like Danbury and Newhaven CT., Georgetown police and ambulance, Foxborough MA comm's, and a lot of other unid's ... I've since almost nailed the earlier Spanish comm's, as likely DX from Mexico, and one possibly Argentina (31.24)... anyone else out there in a nearby region hearing these same conditions?
Linus

2096. Re: Interesting low-band skip tonight..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 05:58:28 UTC
From: "Matt J. McCullar" <mccullar@flash.net>
Message-ID: <8A957.762$pq4.117151793@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62563

Yeah, the skip conditions are pretty good right now. One of my ham radio friends at work here in Texas told me yesterday that he talked with a guy in South Carolina on 10 meters (which isn't far from the frequencies you mentioned).

Some years ago I was listening to a Fort Worth 2-meter repeater and some new guy with a 5 callsign checked in. He said he was driving to work but we didn't recognize the highway number he was on. Turned out his signal was skipping in from Vicksburg, Mississippi!

You might have some fun doing TV DX'ing, too. Put up a beam antenna and start clicking onto blank channels.

2097. Re: Scanner help for a Ham ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 06:03:08 UTC
From: "Matt J. McCullar" <mccullar@flash.net>
Message-ID: <wE957.767$rv4.117447826@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62564

I don't know if any one particular scanner can do everything... I've got a dual-band Standard VHF/UHF (2-m, 440-MHz) handheld ham rig that can also receive a great many other frequencies. But it can't tune in on low stuff that my Bearcat scanner can hear, such as aviation traffic around 120 MHz and cordless phones near 47/49 MHz.

They pack so many features into the newest receivers these days that I must keep the manual handy so I can remember how to program particular functions -- such as "scan everything between these two frequencies" and "lock out these particular f's".

HTH,

Matt J. McCullar, KJ5BA
Arlington, TX

2098. Re: Telescoping Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 07:01:59 UTC
From: webmaster@diehansonsux.com
Message-ID: <9j3c96$luea0$12@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62565

yes, I do find on my icom icr3 that if I put the antenna down to 2 sections
when tuning 900mhz and above, I get slightly better reception.

In article <420d12ed.0107161839.3ae7c6f6@posting.google.com>,
jsaspo@attglobal.net says...
>
>Gurus,
>
>With a telescoping antenna do you basically fully extend it when
>trying to hear the low MHz ranges and then compress it as you move up
>in MHz?
>
>For example, fully extended for 30-50 and fully compressed for
>800-900? Is that the general idea?
>
>Sorry for the dumb question. I'm fairly new to this stuff. I see a
>lot of "cut it to so-and-so length for best reception in this range".
>I prefer not to cut anything, so would a telescoping antenna be the
>best choice for my handheld scanner for wide coverage? Can you
>recommend one for a PRO-92?
>
>Thanks for your help,
>Jay

2099. Re: Telescoping Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 08:19:47 UTC
From: jsaspo@attglobal.net
Message-ID: <420d12ed.0107180019.75cdde09@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62566

Thanks for the help all.

I grabbed the 20-006 from Radio Shack and am playing around with it...

Jay

webmaster@diehansonsux.com (mangiaf@freewwweb.comSPAM) wrote in message news:<9j3c96$luea0$12@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>...
> yes, I do find on my icom icr3 that if I put the antenna down to 2 sections
> when tuning 900mhz and above, I get slightly better reception.
>
>
> In article <420d12ed.0107161839.3ae7c6f6@posting.google.com>,
> jsaspo@attglobal.net says...
> >
> >Gurus,
> >
> >With a telescoping antenna do you basically fully extend it when
> >trying to hear the low MHz ranges and then compress it as you move up
> >in MHz?
> >
> >For example, fully extended for 30-50 and fully compressed for
> >800-900? Is that the general idea?
> >
> >Sorry for the dumb question. I'm fairly new to this stuff. I see a
> >lot of "cut it to so-and-so length for best reception in this range".
> >I prefer not to cut anything, so would a telescoping antenna be the
> >best choice for my handheld scanner for wide coverage? Can you
> >recommend one for a PRO-92?
> >
> >Thanks for your help,
> >Jay

2100. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 08:27:04 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B554852.EF4C90@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62567
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
>
> The statement I was referring to
> was that any leader hell-bent on
> causing problems will certainly
> do so until stopped. Hitler's
> actions with Jews was an
> excellent example. If you still
> can't understand the concept
> then I have no idea how you
> ever will.

And where have I ever made such a statement? Or where have I said anything about that at all? Either you have me confused with someone else, or you're just plain confused. Whatever the case, I don't have time for such nonsense.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2101. Re: Scanner help for a Ham ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 10:16:26 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b55610e.1075547866@news.erols.com>
Source record: 62568

THX., OM. Then tell me this: If the one thing I wanted most was the
ability to scan milcomms in VHF/UHF what would be the best scanner. I can
already get HF plenty well on the Yaesu. I wanna grab those fly-overs late
at nite (sometimes they behave as if they think no one's watching, hmmm.)
Is this possible?

Andrew

On Wed, 18 Jul 2001 06:03:08 GMT, "Matt J. McCullar" <mccullar@flash.net> wrote:

>I don't know if any one particular scanner can do everything... I've got a
>dual-band Standard VHF/UHF (2-m, 440-MHz) handheld ham rig that can also
>receive a great many other frequencies. But it can't tune in on low stuff
>that my Bearcat scanner can hear, such as aviation traffic around 120 MHz
>and cordless phones near 47/49 MHz.
>
>They pack so many features into the newest receivers these days that I must
>keep the manual handy so I can remember how to program particular
>functions -- such as "scan everything between these two frequencies" and
>"lock out these particular f's".
>
>HTH,
>
>Matt J. McCullar, KJ5BA
>Arlington, TX
>
>
>

2102. Best Trunking Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 10:18:10 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b556211.1075806045@news.erols.com>
Source record: 62569

This might be the stufff that flame wars are made of but,....

Which is the best trunking scanner and why?

Thanx,

Andrew

2103. Re: Best Trunking Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 11:22:33 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <Zje57.23856$JN6.4924187@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 62570

Click here...then judge for yourself, which one best fits your needs:

http://www.strongsignals.net

Bill Crocker

"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3b556211.1075806045@news.erols.com...
>
>
> This might be the stufff that flame wars are made of but,....
>
> Which is the best trunking scanner and why?
>
> Thanx,
>
> Andrew

2104. Re: Have Handheld, Need Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 12:55:08 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9j40v4$lnqb8$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62571

"Jay" <jsaspo@attglobal.net> wrote in message
news:420d12ed.0107171506.53f981d5@posting.google.com...

> I'm sorry Tom. I wasn't clear. I meant the 30-50 MHz range
> (VHF-lo?), not 30-50 miles.
>
> Anyway, the telescoping whip is exactly what I intend to try.

OK then, yes, the telescoping whip is the best thing to use to scan
various bands.

Tom

2105. Re: Interesting low-band skip tonight..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 13:04:14 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9j41g5$lkh4d$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62572

"GrtPmpkin32" <grtpmpkin32@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010718010737.05907.00000595@ng-ba1.aol.com...
> Hi guys-
> I'm in southwest MO, and for the last hour (since 10:50pm CDT, and
now it's a
> little past midnight) I've been hearing some really neat low-band
police, fire
> and other emergency comm's.

>... anyone else out there in a nearby region hearing these
> same conditions?

During the day I've been hearing a lot of "skip" on low-V. On 33.900
a few days ago, I was listening to fire dispatch for Hamilton County,
OH (Cincinnati). They dispatched units to an address where a teenage
boy had pierced his own toungue & then started feeling weak. Just the
thought of piercing my own tounge makes me feel weak.

--
Regards,
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE
37°27'00N 94°41'21W

2106. Re: Gounding of Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 13:29:44 UTC
From: Bob <Bob_Ruzzo@nksd.net>
Message-ID: <9j4324$lpgaf$1@ID-84760.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62573

Hi Jim....a very good observation using rock salt. I did read up on chemical grounds a few years back and at the time, in my haste for the perfect RF ground, i may have mis read something there. Some kind of safe salt compound was used in the information i got. I guess it was blind faith cause you really can't tell if you have a real effective RF ground. I assumed if the area around ground rod was wet and coupled with a conductive material, it would be better than no ground. But there is no way you can see it. And polluting wells is no good. The place i lived had no wells and town water/sewer. Ground was very sandy for about a few feet, then rocky. the new house had 2 ground rods for elec. system. I asked electric compaqny why and they said because of the poor soil quality. i gues in the extreme, you could lay out a network grid of cris crossing wires all over the property then cover with soil and connect your ground to this! I read in ham radio mag about a guy who actually did this at a new construction. he laid out a grid covering the wh\ole yard and then had topsoil and turf put on. bob

2107. Re: Telescoping Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 13:59:27 UTC
From: Eb <k7eric@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3b559632$0$94312$39cecf19@news2.twtelecom.net>
Source record: 62574

On the radio shack antenna...the only valid part number I can find is
200-0006A. Is this the right one?

"Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
wrote in message news:M8P47.27777$h6.9608180@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net...
> > With a telescoping antenna do you basically fully extend it when
> > trying to hear the low MHz ranges and then compress it as you move up
> > in MHz?
>
> Yes. Best performance is usually 1/4 or 3/4 of the wavelength, where the
> wavelength = 300 meters divided by freq. in MHz.
>
> Thus the wavelength of 150 MHz is 2 meters = 79 inches, and 1/4 wavelength
=
> just shy of 20 inches.
>
> This is also 3/4 wavelength at 450 MHz.
>
> Actual results differ just a little because of the effect of inductance
and
> capacitance in the radio front end circuits and metal in the vicinity.
But
> 1/4 or 3/4 wavelength is usually a good starting point.
>
> I find a telescoping antenna very useful. Radio Shack sells one.
>
>
>

2108. Re: Scanner help for a Ham ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 14:58:38 UTC
From: Alan Hearnshaw <Fake@Address.com>
Message-ID: <yuh57.55948$w5.5713660@news1.rdc1.ga.home.com>
Source record: 62575

Andrew,
not sure if this is really answering your question but I use a
AOR8000. This receives anything from 33KHZ to 1.3GHZ (UK version so
unblocked). I find there is very little that I can't receive but does not
trunk. All in all a great receiver.

Alan.

--
###
###

If anything contained in this mail offends then please ignore it.
If you are not sure how to ignore then feel free to complain directly to me
and I shall be pleased to demonstrate ;-)
http://www.alanhearnshaw.com/
###
###

"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3b54d68d.1040085512@news.erols.com...
> Greetings,
>
> I used that subject title 'cause I know so many Hams think they're
> superior to Scanner-buffs. I am a Gen. class ham (about 1 year) but I
still
> respect scanning and as all my airtime is in the HF I'd like to ask the ng
a
> scanner question. I don't want to get flamed, but I'll just give what I'd
> *like* to do and maybe you could tell me if it's possible and with what
rigs,
> etc. If it's not possible tell me how close I can get. I know very little
about
> scanning.
>
> What I'd like is a hand-held scanner that I can use to do everything !
> Well, actually what I'd like to do is sit out on my porch and listen to
talk
> radio on summer nites while keeping a scanner open for any targets of
> opportunity that travel past. I especially would like to monitor military
> fly-overs. I know there is some traffic on HF, but I'm maybe talking more
> VHF/UHF. At the same time I'd like a gen'l coverage scanner (hand-held)
> that I could program to local Police Fire, Rescue, etc. Unblocked would be
> nice. (Hopefully all in the same unit.) Computer interfacing is not
needed.

> What I'd really like to grab are those VHF/UHF milcomms as they fly over
> (I live near Andrew's and others).
>
> Currently, I've got a Yaesu FT-980 and a nice little RS DX-392. The
> Yaesu was modified by original owner and will tune down to 0 Hz.. Yep, I
can
> put it down on 60Hz. and listen to the power-line hum. Very freaky to
hear;
> You start thinking, "All that's going through me?" Sounds like the Mother-
> ship landing. The DX-392 IMHO is the best 100.00 portable out there.
> They are both great for what they do, but I think a scanner would make a
> nice compliment. Imagine sitting out on the porch at nite with a few
cold,
> frosty ones while the FT-980's on 40M, the DX-392's on Art Bell, and the
> scanner grabbing F-16's or Herc. transports as they fly over. Sounds like
a
> great way to spend a summer/fall nite to me ! Is it possible ? Which rigs
?
> Costs? Web- sites for more info/specs. ?
>
> Alot to ask. Please accept my thanks in advance for any help you can
> offer. My respect and good wishes to you all.
>
> 73,
>
> Andrew/ KG4JBM
>

2109. Re: Interesting low-band skip tonight..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 15:56:22 UTC
From: grtpmpkin32@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010718115622.24551.00000028@ng-fk1.aol.com>
Source record: 62576

> Just the
>thought of piercing my own tounge makes me feel weak.

Hehehe... you have to love some of the calls you hear. One of the Newhaven CT comm's I heard last night cracked me up, the woman dispatcher was reporting a 'floating telephone pole' on Beauregard Court... apparently they had some flooding from some heavy rain last night, but she was still laughing her butt off at the same time. Pretty odd sometimes!
Linus

2110. WOW! Now this is pretty cool... I'm hearing Chicago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 15:59:31 UTC
From: Greg <king.frost@home.com>
Message-ID: <hecbltc9fpkmpfb8gul8bttvfjedqjl7fe@4ax.com>
Source record: 62577

Now this is pretty nifty. Last week before I left home to drive into Chicago, I programmed in the Chicago PD's citywide and zones 1-16 frequencies.

Well what do ya know today I'm foolin around with the scanner and turned on that bank, and I'm hearing them all the way up here in Rockford. Just using my 780xlt with the standard antenna, while in my car.

(I know, I'm too easily impressed, but I thought it was cool)

2111. Re: Interesting low-band skip tonight..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 16:23:33 UTC
From: heneghan_gavin@emc.com
Message-ID: <ca12a52.0107180823.30606638@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62578

Actually, I Live In Ireland, and about 3 Months ago I heard alot of the same, in arount the same freq's, good skip coming across the Atlantic.

29 IM 628

Gavin

2112. FS: Bearcat UBC-3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 16:36:15 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <3Wi57.153011$mG4.73700177@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 62579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

FS: Bearcat UBC 3000XLT handheld, hardly used, bought new
this year, nice case, Watson W881 Super Gainer antenna, box,
manual, PS, like new!!! $250 + shipping.
DeWayne KE9K

2113. Rechargeable Batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 16:52:03 UTC
From: LBeecroft <lbeecroft8041@home.com>
Message-ID: <T8j57.1078$2V.281089@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 62580

Hello!,

I have a R.S. PRO-51 Scanner, How long do I leave the batteries in?.

There is no indication light to show if they're charged or not.

And do I have to use a special charger or can I use the power jack to charge the batteries?

Anyway if anyone can help thank-you

P.S. First time I used the charger mode on this scanner, I don't want to blow it up..

2114. Re: Motorola ASTRO System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 16:57:14 UTC
From: Eduardo Ortiz <eortiz@cmix.net>
Message-ID: <tlbfr27h3vm46d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62581

No, there is not such a thing yet.

Uniden is working on one and should be available by the end of the year if there are no delays.

Hope this helps,

Ed

';;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;
"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message news:3b5511e6.1055280261@news.erols.com...
> Greetings,
>
> Is there a scanner that will handle the Motorola ASTRO system ??
>
> THX,
>
> Andrew

2115. Re: Motorola ASTRO System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 17:01:20 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010718130120.11188.00000049@ng-fv1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62583

>Subject: Motorola ASTRO System
>From: cathexis@erols.com (Set'em up Joe)
>Date: 7/18/01 12:35 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3b5511e6.1055280261@news.erols.com>
>
>Greetings,
>
> Is there a scanner that will handle the Motorola ASTRO system ??
>
> THX,
>
> Andrew
>

No, ASTRO Systems are in the Digital Mode; at present there is no Hobby Scanner Equipment available to monitor Digital.

See the following Link:
http://www.trunkedradio.net/trunked/apco25.htm

Further information on ASTRO Digital Systems can be read up on there.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

2116. Re: Northwest Florida Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 17:05:20 UTC
From: Eduardo Ortiz <eortiz@cmix.net>
Message-ID: <tlbga94s39na14@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62582

I own one and cannot complain. It works great for base if you do not need a
trunk tracker.
To complement I own too a Pro-94 portable which I use when I am on the road.
My Pro-2045
is used at home.

You can check the user's manual out of Radio Shack page at:

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/33680.htm

for the Specs, another review, and to see how to do the Discriminator tap
modification on this unit goto:

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/pro-2045.htm

I am pretty satisfied with it and cant complain. You can probably get one
cheap at Ebay.

Hope this helps!

Ed

"Peace cannot be achieved through violence, it can only be attained through
understanding. "
-=Albert Einstein (1879-1955) =-
.....

"Paul Blevins" <paulwho100@aol.com> wrote in message
news:ab1f820c.0107171741.386efe@posting.google.com...
> Anyone have any interesting freqs for Northwest Florda (Pensacola area?)
>
> Also, any feedback on the Radio Shack Pro-2045 is appreciated.
>
> Paul

2117. Re: Northwest Florida Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 17:07:42 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010718130742.11188.00000050@ng-fv1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62584

>Subject: Northwest Florida Freqs
>From: paulwho100@aol.com (Paul Blevins)
>Date: 7/17/01 9:41 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <ab1f820c.0107171741.386efe@posting.google.com>
>
>Anyone have any interesting freqs for Northwest Florda (Pensacola area?)
>
>Also, any feedback on the Radio Shack Pro-2045 is appreciated.
>
>Paul
>
>

The following Links will provide you with what you seek:

http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanner/

http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/

http://www.cityfreq.com/

http://www.911scanner.8m.com/

Pro-2045 Online Manual:
http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/33680.htm

Not familiar with the Pro-2045, but from the Spec. Sheet it looks like a good
unit.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

2118. Re: A very newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 18:47:23 UTC
From: "A.R. Monroe" <amonroe@telusplanet.net>
Message-ID: <2dmbltsajbmtheqrmpr6ukussk8ap6bjpn@4ax.com>
Source record: 62585

Not quite. Not all handguns are illegal in Canada. Handguns may be
prohibited or restricted depending upon design spec.

Get your facts straight. Then we can present our facts in another
newgroup.

On 18 Jul 2001 03:09:57 GMT, toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios)
wrote:

>In article <vvL47.23757$mY2.2905835@news1.busy1.on.home.com>, "John Doe"
><me@me.com> writes:
>
>>Here in Canada, it is NOT illegal to listen to cell phone conversations.
>
>Right. Only handguns are illegal. Scanners are okay. A bastion of personal
>freedom.

2119. Re: A very newbie question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 19:13:30 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <udl57.767$6F1.20105@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 62586

A.R. Monroe <amonroe@telusplanet.net> wrote:
> Not quite. Not all handguns are illegal in Canada. Handguns may be
> prohibited or restricted depending upon design spec.

> Get your facts straight. Then we can present our facts in another
> newgroup.

Okay, okay, enough of the flame for a moment...

I know this is OT, but I ask out of practical curiosity... what are
the constraints? Is a .44 Magnum allowed? What about a .45?
What about a shotgun with slugs? I'm seriously thinking of doing
a camping trip way up north in bear country, and the idea of just
being bear bait gives me the willies. It'd be my luck to wander
between a mother and cub inadvertently...

Also on that note, what about a foreigner with a firearm? What
restrictions on Yanks exist (assume no convictions, etc, etc)...

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dimensional.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

2120. Re: Telescoping Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 19:27:59 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9j4o01jru1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62587

Sounds close enough, but the actual part # is 20-006A. If it retails
for $9.95 it's the right one.

"Eb" <k7eric@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3b559632$0$94312$39cecf19@news2.twtelecom.net...
} On the radio shack antenna...the only valid part number I can find is
} 200-0006A. Is this the right one?
}
} "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
} wrote in message news:M8P47.27777$h6.9608180@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net...
} > > With a telescoping antenna do you basically fully extend it when
} > > trying to hear the low MHz ranges and then compress it as you move up
} > > in MHz?
} >
} > Yes. Best performance is usually 1/4 or 3/4 of the wavelength, where the
} > wavelength = 300 meters divided by freq. in MHz.
} >
} > Thus the wavelength of 150 MHz is 2 meters = 79 inches, and 1/4 wavelength
} =
} > just shy of 20 inches.
} >
} > This is also 3/4 wavelength at 450 MHz.
} >
} > Actual results differ just a little because of the effect of inductance
} and
} > capacitance in the radio front end circuits and metal in the vicinity.
} But
} > 1/4 or 3/4 wavelength is usually a good starting point.
} >
} > I find a telescoping antenna very useful. Radio Shack sells one.
} >
} >
} >
}
}

2121. Saskatoon Hamfest. July 20 21 22

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 19:45:10 UTC
From: VE5JL <webmaster@ve5jl.com>
Message-ID: <aHl57.241$vA.6946890@tomcat.sk.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 62588
Cross-posted to: man.ham-radio,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

http://www.ve5jl.com/

2122. Re: Car Radio/Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 19:59:25 UTC
From: Stinger <stinger.uk@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <9TI57.66901$B56.13157273@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 62589

There used to be one, last seen about 10 years ago - the Kenwood RZ-1. I have tried to get hold of one for a particular job but they seem fairly rare.
Let me know if you get one, I'd like to know how it performs.

"Matthew Tebbens" <tebbens@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:tjv588r7eeup5b@corp.supernews.com...
> Hi !
>
> Does anyone make a scanner/receiver that installs just like a normal car
> radio ?
>
> Best Regards,
> Matthew
>
>

2123. Re: Interesting low-band skip tonight..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 20:49:02 UTC
From: grtpmpkin32@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010718164902.02281.00000130@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 62590

>
>Actually, I Live In Ireland, and about 3 Months ago I heard alot of
>the same, in arount the same freq's, good skip coming across the
>Atlantic.
>

Now THERE are good conditions... glad to hear this kind of skip is more common
than I ever thought!
Linus

2124. Scanner help for Ham (part II)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 21:22:48 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b55fb8b.1115102805@news.erols.com>
Source record: 62591

Howdy,

Okay, so now I'm beginning to get it a little better thanx to you
guys and Strongsignals.net. Three more questions if you don't mind.

1. Mil aircraft is approx. 226-406 or thereabouts??

2. How much of that is trunked?
(I'm sure some stuff is digital/encrypted,etc. In general, what to expect?)

3. Will a trunked scanner also scan non-trunked just as well ?
(Couldn't find this on strongsignals.net :-( )

If most milcomms of aircraft are NOT trunked this would make a huge difference
in what to buy. Examples would be Airshows and occasional fly-overs. Any
comments on this?

Thanx,

Andrew

2125. Re: Rechargeable Batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 21:34:17 UTC
From: current_gothic@sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <3b5600a5.1440767@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 62592

hello i have a pro-63 . umm u leave them for 24 hours. well i did it
my scanner. i left them 24 hours . used it then i left them 2 days..
but a pro-51 i have no idea about that

dan

On Wed, 18 Jul 2001 16:52:03 GMT, "LBeecroft" <lbeecroft8041@home.com>
wrote:

>Hello!,
>
>I have a R.S. PRO-51 Scanner, How long do I leave the batteries in?.
>
>There is no indication light to show if they're charged or not.
>
>And do I have to use a special charger or can I use the power jack to charge
>the batteries?
>
>Anyway if anyone can help thank-you
>
>P.S. First time I used the charger mode on this scanner, I don't want to
>blow it up..
>
>

2126. A skip or something? (Was Re: WOW! Now this is pretty cool... I'm hearing Chicago)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 22:06:23 UTC
From: Greg <king.frost@home.com>
Message-ID: <9v1cltkphsa5or4klh7ukrngc5r44uqcnb@4ax.com>
Source record: 62593

This is kinda weird, I posted this message this morning all excited about hearing the Chicago police on the scanner while I'm some 90 miles away. Now, I can't hear a bit of it. Could it have had something to do with the thunderstorm we were having this morning?

On Wed, 18 Jul 2001 15:59:31 GMT, Greg <king.frost@home.com> wrote:

>Now this is pretty nifty. Last week before I left home to drive into
>Chicago, I programmed in the Chicago PD's citywide and zones 1-16
>frequencies.
>
>Well what do ya know today I'm foolin around with the scanner and
>turned on that bank, and I'm hearing them all the way up here in
>Rockford. Just using my 780xlt with the standard antenna, while in my
>car.
>
>(I know, I'm too easily impressed, but I thought it was cool)

2127. Re: A skip or something? (Was Re: WOW! Now this is pretty cool... I'm hearing Chicago)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 22:37:10 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9j531p$lotcb$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62594

"Greg" <king.frost@home.com> wrote in message
news:9v1cltkphsa5or4klh7ukrngc5r44uqcnb@4ax.com...
> This is kinda weird, I posted this message this morning all excited
> about hearing the Chicago police on the scanner while I'm some 90
> miles away. Now, I can't hear a bit of it. Could it have had
something
> to do with the thunderstorm we were having this morning?

Could be. What you were probably hearing was tropospheric ducting.
When there's a temperature inversion, a layer of cold air is trapped
between two layers of warm air. This creates a "duct" that radio
signals travel through for long distances and be picked up far outside
their normal range. Normally occurs with VHF, but I think I've heard
of it happening with UHF signals too. At work we've been having a bad
time with it, since we've been picking up other agencies on our
frequencies. Even with PL tones on the repeater, they still interfere
when our units are on their handhelds.

--
Regards,
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

2128. Re: Scanner help for Ham (part II)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 22:42:45 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9j53c7$m5ga1$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62595

"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3b55fb8b.1115102805@news.erols.com...
> Howdy,
>
> Okay, so now I'm beginning to get it a little better thanx to you
> guys and Strongsignals.net. Three more questions if you don't mind.
>
> 1. Mil aircraft is approx. 226-406 or thereabouts??

Yes. The milair band is 225-406 MHZ, channel spacing is .1 MHZ, AM
mode.

> 2. How much of that is trunked?
> (I'm sure some stuff is digital/encrypted,etc. In general, what
to expect?)

None of it is trunked. It is all simplex, no repeaters. I've never
heard any digital or encrypted comms in the milair band, though. I
suspect the important comms are sent via encrypted FLTSATCOM links.
But there is lots of stuff in the clear to hear.

> 3. Will a trunked scanner also scan non-trunked just as well ?
> (Couldn't find this on strongsignals.net :-( )

Yes. I think most trunking scanners you either switch from scan mode
to trunking mode.

> If most milcomms of aircraft are NOT trunked this would make a huge
difference
> in what to buy. Examples would be Airshows and occasional fly-overs.
Any
> comments on this?

You don't need a trunking scanner to listen soley to the milair band.
I'd only buy a trunking scanner if the local PD has a trunked system.

--
Regards,
Tom Sevart
Amateur N2UHC
Registered Monitor KKS0CE

2129. Re: A skip or something? (Was Re: WOW! Now this is pretty cool... I'm hearing Chicago)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 22:49:20 UTC
From: Greg <king.frost@home.com>
Message-ID: <jg4cltgdm2g9fc6k0gtmedu0omm38gvlfj@4ax.com>
Source record: 62596

On Wed, 18 Jul 2001 17:37:10 -0500, "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>"Greg" <king.frost@home.com> wrote in message
>news:9v1cltkphsa5or4klh7ukrngc5r44uqcnb@4ax.com...
>> This is kinda weird, I posted this message this morning all excited
>> about hearing the Chicago police on the scanner while I'm some 90
>> miles away. Now, I can't hear a bit of it. Could it have had
>something
>> to do with the thunderstorm we were having this morning?
>
>Could be. What you were probably hearing was tropospheric ducting.
>When there's a temperature inversion, a layer of cold air is trapped
>between two layers of warm air. This creates a "duct" that radio
>signals travel through for long distances and be picked up far outside
>their normal range. Normally occurs with VHF, but I think I've heard
>of it happening with UHF signals too. At work we've been having a bad
>time with it, since we've been picking up other agencies on our
>frequencies. Even with PL tones on the repeater, they still interfere
>when our units are on their handhelds.

Sounds like a reasonable explanation. Oh well, for what it's worth, it
made for an interesting morning of listening. (Better than the same
old same old that happens around here!

2130. AOR 8200II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 22:57:30 UTC
From: Mark <MStanchin@CISnet.com>
Message-ID: <tlepcbtfel7c04@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62635

What options or acc. should I get with this unit?

Mark

2131. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 22:57:34 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <mg4cltcp1efmt4398k8346da3ardqc2iq5@4ax.com>
Source record: 62608
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Wed, 18 Jul 2001 18:46:59 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
<NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:

>
>Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote
>> Good save. Im glad you finally got around to a net search. Now read what
>> they have to say.
>
>No net search was done on this end. But it is obvious that you needed a
>net
>search to come up with that data.
Obvious? How so?
>
>
>> Well golly gee wiz there C3PO... been there..done that..still carry the
>SNIP
>
>Wrong again, you are confused with a fictional movie from 25 years ago.
>It is PO3, or MISTER to you. If you had any real military experience,
>you would have known that!

Ah... squids were simply bus drivers to me...with the exception of the
hard core dudes doing riverine duty. Last time I called a bus driver
Mister, was out of manners, not respect....and so far..with the
exception of doing your duty to your country... you have deserved
neither from me.

And.. as your mos involved commo, given your "love me" newspaper notice
you were depending on to get you some slack... I have even less need to
feel respect for you.

Unless you were a antenna jocky..your duty station probably consisted
of a nice warm comm shack wayback in the guts of some floating bomb
magnet, where the chances of you picking up a wire splinter or a paper
cut, or carpel tunnel syndrome..were magnatudes higher than the likely
hood of stepping on a MA, or having Little Brown Brother try to put you
on the KIA list. I have known a few Navy commo guys, in years gone by,
who had shore duty doing repeater setups, etc etc..who got into the shit
on a regular basis..and I have oodles of respect for them for the most
part...but you? Based on your posts... I figure you may wind up at DeVry
sooner or later in the Slow group.

Gunner

>
>

--
"Confronting Liberals with the facts of reality is very much akin to
clubbing baby seals. It gets boring after a while, but because Liberals are
so stupid it is easy work." Steven M. Barry

2132. Making up cables

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-18 23:02:56 UTC
From: Paul Ponting <paul@pponting.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <2b5cltsfo74i5p022e4s528qqbcijvtpha@4ax.com>
Source record: 62597

Does anyone know of a good web site that explains in detail (preferably with pics) of how to make the connections of the N type plug to RG-213 cable?

Thanks

Paul Ponting
Technicial Specialist - (Novell) CNE

email : paul@pponting.demon.co.uk

2133. Re: Making up cables

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 00:07:14 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B5624B1.6C55F014@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62598

The ARRL Handbook and the ARRL Antenna Book both have some
instructions for attaching Typw N connectors.

Walt

Paul Ponting wrote:
>
> Does anyone know of a good web site that explains in detail (preferably
> with pics) of how to make the connections of the N type plug to RG-213
> cable?
>
> Thanks
>
> Paul Ponting
> Technicial Specialist - (Novell) CNE
>
> email : paul@pponting.demon.co.uk

2134. Re: Making up cables

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 01:33:00 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <HHq57.122418$HJ1.4028374@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62599

This may help.

http://www.cambridgeproducts.com/nass.pdf

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

"Paul Ponting" <paul@pponting.demon.co.uk> wrote in message
news:2b5cltsfo74i5p022e4s528qqbcijvtpha@4ax.com...
> Does anyone know of a good web site that explains in detail (preferably
> with pics) of how to make the connections of the N type plug to RG-213
> cable?
>
> Thanks
>
> Paul Ponting
> Technicial Specialist - (Novell) CNE
>
> email : paul@pponting.demon.co.uk

2135. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 01:39:29 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9j5dr102887@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62603
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Since you obviously don't understand the answers to the questions you are
asking,
then I can easily see why you would see this as "nonsense".

Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote
> And where have I ever made such a statement? Or where have I said
> anything about that at all? Either you have me confused with someone
> else, or you're just plain confused. Whatever the case, I don't have
> time for such nonsense.

2136. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 01:46:59 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9j5e930294a@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62602
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote
> Good save. Im glad you finally got around to a net search. Now read what
> they have to say.

No net search was done on this end. But it is obvious that you needed a
net
search to come up with that data.

> Well golly gee wiz there C3PO... been there..done that..still carry the
SNIP

Wrong again, you are confused with a fictional movie from 25 years ago.
It is PO3, or MISTER to you. If you had any real military experience,
you would have known that!

2137. Re: alpha tags for a PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 02:02:25 UTC
From: Len <Len.C@sympNOCRAPatico.ca>
Message-ID: <dqfcltgv7bagev0u8epkcj6iil807c5hn8@4ax.com>
Source record: 62600
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This software
http://members.home.net/pro-turbo/index-main.html
with this interface
http://www.comtronics.net/ceprice.htm

On Mon, 16 Jul 2001 21:48:20 -0400, Bill Seward <seward@northstate.net>
wrote:

>Is there any sort of add-on for the PRO-2006 that supports alpha tags
>(I hope I'm using that correctly--I mean the short description that
>can be associated with a frequency, such as the 780XLT does)? I'd be
>willing (happy maybe?) to accept something that required computer
>control, but a self-contained add-on would be really nice.
>
>Thanks.
>
>
>Bill Seward
>http://www.geocities.com/bill_seward

REMOVE the NOCRAP in my address to reply.

2138. Re: Ham Radio Attacked by MRT Magazine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 02:36:20 UTC
From: Bazooka Joe <mrtmag-sucks@excite.com>
Message-ID: <9j5h3eieh1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 62601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

"Bazooka Joe" <mrtmag-sucks@excite.com> wrote in message news:...
>
> The publishers of Mobile Radio Technology (MRT) Magazine have with their
May
> 2001 issue insulted the Amateur Radio Service. With what they have printed
> on their cover they have implied that three of our most important VHF/UHF
> bands 144-148Mhz, 222-225Mhz and 420-450Mhz are not being used or even
> acknowledging the fact that they are Amateur bands at all! Please visit
the
> following web page for further details and pictures of the magazine cover
> and article.
>
> http://www.geocities.com/mrtmag_sucks/
>
>

2139. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 03:36:15 UTC
From: "Aaron R. Kulkis" <akulkis@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B5655AF.64BCBD1A@yahoo.com>
Source record: 62604
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
>
> I never said I didn't know who they are!! I assume with the names you
> have thrown around that you are referring to "Carl Von" Clauswitz, the

Wrong. Clauswitz was a military philosopher on the German General Staff.

> Napoleonic soldier; "General" Von Stuben of the Prussian military; and

Von Stuben wrote the first book of field drills and Manual of Arms for the
Continental Army, and also trained the first drill sergeants (at Valley
Forge). Thus, all US Army Drill Sergeants trace the roots of their
training back to him.

> Sun Tzu "The Art of War"??

Are you telling us or asking?

>
> BTW - that saying is alligator mouth and "CANARY BIRD" ass.
>
> You would do well to follow your own advice. If you want to play
> in this sand box you're gonna need a much bigger shovel! Remember,
> a lot of us have studied these issues & sacrificed to maintain the
> liberty of our country.
>
> Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote
> > Still not admiting to knowing who Clauswitz, von Stuben and Sun Tzu are?
> >
> > You, the Military History Scholar?
> >
> > Gotcha! PO3 Mackey. Looks like your alligator mouth overloaded your
> > butterfly ass.
> >
> > Thanks for the service to our country. But.. squid..dont forget a
> > shitload of others went before you, and forget the idea that button up
> > the front pants gets you anything more than a high 5. You want to play
> > with us, learn some manners, and get your shit squared away Mister.

--
Aaron R. Kulkis
Unix Systems Engineer
DNRC Minister of all I survey
ICQ # 3056642

L: This seems to have reduced my spam. Maybe if everyone does it we
can defeat the email search bots. tosspam@aol.com abuse@aol.com
abuse@yahoo.com abuse@hotmail.com abuse@msn.com abuse@sprint.com
abuse@earthlink.com

K: Truth in advertising:
Left Wing Extremists Charles Schumer and Donna Shalala,
Black Seperatist Anti-Semite Louis Farrakhan,
Special Interest Sierra Club,
Anarchist Members of the ACLU
Left Wing Corporate Extremist Ted Turner
The Drunken Woman Killer Ted Kennedy
Grass Roots Pro-Gun movement,

J: Other knee_jerk reactionaries: billh, david casey, redc1c4,
The retarded sisters: Raunchy (rauni) and Anencephielle (Enielle),
also known as old hags who've hit the wall....

I: Loren Petrich's 2-week stubborn refusal to respond to the
challenge to describe even one philosophical difference
between himself and the communists demonstrates that, in fact,
Loren Petrich is a COMMUNIST ***hole

H: "Having found not one single carbon monoxide leak on the entire
premises, it is my belief, and Willard concurs, that the reason
you folks feel listless and disoriented is simply because
you are lazy, stupid people"

G: Knackos...you're a retard.

F: Unit_4's "Kook hunt" reminds me of "Jimmy Baker's" harangues against
adultery while concurrently committing adultery with Tammy Hahn.

E: Jet is not worthy of the time to compose a response until
her behavior improves.

D: Jet Silverman now follows me from newgroup to newsgroup
...despite (C) above.

C: Jet Silverman claims to have killfiled me.

B: Jet Silverman plays the fool and spews out nonsense as a
method of sidetracking discussions which are headed in a
direction that she doesn't like.

A: The wise man is mocked by fools.

2140. Re: A skip or something? (Was Re: WOW! Now this is pretty cool... I'm hearing Chicago)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 04:27:06 UTC
From: Pete Gianakopoulos <n.gianakopoulos@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ukt57.48631$J91.2698556@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62605

It is kind of cool when that happens. Actually, when ducting occurs, the effect can become more pronounced as you go higher in frequency. I was listening the other day to a couple of the 460MHz Chicago PD channels, and I was hearing Milwaukee PD coming in pretty strong, with a only a whip antenna attached to the back of the scanner.

Pete

Greg <king.frost@home.com> wrote in message
news:jg4cltgdm2g9fc6k0gtmedu0omm38gvlfj@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 18 Jul 2001 17:37:10 -0500, "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> > >
> > >"Greg" <king.frost@home.com> wrote in message
> > >news:9v1cltkphsa5or4klh7ukrngc5r44uqcnb@4ax.com...
> > >> This is kinda weird, I posted this message this morning all excited
> > >> about hearing the Chicago police on the scanner while I'm some 90
> > >> miles away. Now, I can't hear a bit of it. Could it have had
> > >something
> > >> to do with the thunderstorm we were having this morning?
> > >
> > >Could be. What you were probably hearing was tropospheric ducting.
> > >When there's a temperature inversion, a layer of cold air is trapped
> > >between two layers of warm air. This creates a "duct" that radio
> > >signals travel through for long distances and be picked up far outside
> > >their normal range. Normally occurs with VHF, but I think I've heard
> > >of it happening with UHF signals too. At work we've been having a bad
> > >time with it, since we've been picking up other agencies on our
> > >frequencies. Even with PL tones on the repeater, they still interfere
> > >when our units are on their handhelds.
> >
> > Sounds like a reasonable explanation. Oh well, for what it's worth, it
> > made for an interesting morning of listening. (Better than the same
> > old same old that happens around here!

2141. FS: Icom SM-8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 05:09:31 UTC
From: dgarris@cconnect.net
Message-ID: <676aaaee.0107182109.192e4b60@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62606

FS: ICOM SM-8 dual desk microphone, comes with both cables, excellent condition, just like brand-new, non-smoker, no scratches! Email for photos.

$100 (add $10 UPS)

Email DIRECTLY to:
dgarris@Cconnect.net

Dan Garris KJ4FH
New Bern, NC
(252) 638-4477

PAYPAL OK

Copy/paste URL's below into your browser for online photo's:

http://us.f1.yahoofs.com/users/81cf1f76/bc/Icom/mic_1.jpg?bczpEn7AlYHLyaJM
http://us.f1.yahoofs.com/users/81cf1f76/bc/Icom/mic_2.jpg?bczpEn7Aebj5JP72
http://us.f1.yahoofs.com/users/81cf1f76/bc/Icom/mic_3.jpg?bczpEn7AAGaBW39D
http://us.f1.yahoofs.com/users/81cf1f76/bc/Icom/mic_4.jpg?bczpEn7AC3soP_ce

2142. Re: Rechargeable Batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 05:14:10 UTC
From: webmaster@diehansonsux.com
Message-ID: <9j5qb1$m4g6b$1@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62607

a good way to roughly calculate how long charging will take, find out how much current is put into the battery in miliamps. then take the capacity of your battery (in mah), then devide it by the charging current. that number you get will be how many hours it will take.

In article <T8j57.1078$2V.281089@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
lbeecroft8041@home.com says...
>
>Hello!,
>
>I have a R.S. PRO-51 Scanner, How long do I leave the batteries in?.
>
>There is no indication light to show if they're charged or not.
>
>And do I have to use a special charger or can I use the power jack to charge
>the batteries?
>
>Anyway if anyone can help thank-you
>
>P.S. First time I used the charger mode on this scanner, I don't want to
>blow it up..
>
>

2143. Re: Telescoping Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 06:05:51 UTC
From: jsaspo@attglobal.net
Message-ID: <420d12ed.0107182205.421011ff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62609

"Eb" <k7eric@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<3b559632$0$94312$39cecf19@news2.twtelecom.net>...

> On the radio shack antenna...the only valid part number I can find is
> 200-0006A. Is this the right one?

If you are trying to find it on Radio Shack's online site, forget it.
That's what I tried at first but couldn't find it.

I just walked into a Shack and there were plenty on the rack (marked
20-006A, $9.95)

Jay

2144. Re: Rechargeable Batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 06:28:32 UTC
From: Paul Crissy <pcrissy@usenm.net>
Message-ID: <Xns90E31927094B6pcrissyusenmnet@204.127.36.1>
Source record: 62610

webmaster@diehansonsux.com (mangiaf@freewwweb.comSPAM) wrote in
news:9j5qb1$m4g6b$1@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de:

> a good way to roughly calculate how long charging will take, find out
> how much current is put into the battery in miliamps. then take the
> capacity of your battery (in mah), then devide it by the charging
> current. that number you get will be how many hours it will take.
>
>

You will need to account for charger inefficencies.

Simply divide the capacity of the battery by the charge rate of the charger, then increase the amount of time by about 20% to allow for a certain amount of inefficiency. As an example, a battery with a capacity of 1200 mAh will require about 6 hours to be fully charged by a charger with a charge rate of 250 mA. (1200 mAh/250 mA x120%). Keep in mind that a battery that is only partially discharged will be recharged in less time.

>
> In article <T8j57.1078$2V.281089@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>,
> lbeecroft8041@home.com says...
>>
>>Hello!,
>>
>>I have a R.S. PRO-51 Scanner, How long do I leave the batteries in?.
>>
>>There is no indication light to show if they're charged or not.
>>
>>And do I have to use a special charger or can I use the power jack to
>>charge the batteries?
>>
>>Anyway if anyone can help thank-you
>>
>>P.S. First time I used the charger mode on this scanner, I don't want
>>to blow it up..
>>
>>
>
>

2145. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 07:55:46 UTC
From: "K S F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9j63sl04ph@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62611
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Aaron R. Kulkis <akulkis@yahoo.com> wrote
> "K S F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
> > I never said I didn't know who they are!! I assume with the names you
> > have thrown around that you are referring to "Carl Von" Clauswitz, the
> > Napoleonic soldier; "General" Von Stuben of the Prussian military; and
>
> Wrong. Clauswitz was a military philosopher on the German General Staff.

Are you sure there were not two?

> Von Stuben wrote the first book of field drills and Manual of Arms for the
> Continental Army, and also trained the first drill sergeants (at Valley
> Forge). Thus, all US Army Drill Sergeants trace the roots of their
> training back to him.

OK, so are you making this statement or asking us to confirm your question?

2146. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 08:02:22 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9j648u0563@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62612
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote
> Ah... squids were simply bus drivers to me...with the exception of the
SNIP

It is good that you remember that you were reliant on the Navy for
your transportation. I'll bet you were reliant on them for food
& medical also.

> on the KIA list. I have known a few Navy commo guys, in years gone by,
> who had shore duty doing repeater setups, etc etc..who got into the shit
> on a regular basis..and I have oodles of respect for them for the most
> part...but you? Based on your posts... I figure you may wind up at DeVry
> sooner or later in the Slow group.

I set up communication systems. But your knowledge of navy comms is
severly
dated. Repeaters are not used any more, since the modern days of
satelites. BTW - If I were at DeVry, it would be as a Professor or
Instructor,
certainly not a student. So you'll have to find a different friend to keep
you
company a the DeVry "slow group".

2147. Re: Ham Radio Attacked by MRT Magazine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 10:05:32 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B56B08F.B1E45680@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62613
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

> "Bazooka Joe" wrote...
> >
> > The publishers of Mobile Radio Technology
> > (MRT) Magazine have with their May 2001
> > issue insulted the Amateur Radio Service.
> > With what they have printed on their cover
> > they have implied that three of our most
> > important VHF/UHF bands 144-148Mhz,
> > 222-225Mhz and 420-450Mhz are not being
> > used or even acknowledging the fact that
> > they are Amateur bands at all! Please visit
> > the following web page for further details
> > and pictures of the magazine cover
> > and article.
> >
> > http://www.geocities.com/mrtmag_sucks/

I don't think the picture, or article, implies anything like that, Joe. The article seems to be asking about what technology will be used after radio has reached the upper limits of the usable frequencies. Therefore, the picture is showing the progression of radio up the frequencies from HF, through VHF/UHF, and on into the unknown beyond. The article then points out that, at some point, further movement up the frequencies has to be ruled out (because of safety considerations, etc). The article then offers rather shallow comments about possible technologies that might be used at that point. I saw nothing in the article about unused frequencies, or about users of any radio band.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2148. Wanted: Puerto Vallarta & other Mexican frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 12:24:35 UTC
From: rickey456@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010719082435.15889.00000295@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62614

Looking for frequencies for Puerto Vallarta and other locations in Mexico
TIA

2149. Re: Ham Radio Attacked by MRT Magazine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 13:01:07 UTC
From: Bazooka Joe <mrtmag-sucks@excite.com>
Message-ID: <9j6lmu$m94$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 62615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

> I don't think the picture, or article, implies anything like that,
> Joe. The article seems to be asking about what technology will be used
> after radio has reached the upper limits of the usable frequencies.

That's what I thought when I first saw it too! Also, that was why when I noticed that they had Ham frequencies on the cover, that the article was going to refer to Amateur Radio in a positive way. Instead it seems that they went out of their way NOT to mention Hams in an article that should scream out for noting Amateur accomplishments in the area of pioneering new ways to communicate! You have to read between the lines on this, if you look closely at the frequency ranges in the cover photo they are what is known as "guard bands" or basically un-used spectrum. There's no reason why Amateur Bands should be included in this in any way and for any reason, especially on the cover of a "trade" publication like MRT which serves the interests of commercial radio and NOT or ever could be for the interests of Amateur radio.

> Therefore, the picture is showing the progression of radio up the
> frequencies from HF, through VHF/UHF, and on into the unknown beyond.
> The article then points out that, at some point, further movement up
> the frequencies has to be ruled out (because of safety considerations,
> etc). The article then offers rather shallow comments about possible
> technologies that might be used at that point. I saw nothing in the
> article about unused frequencies, or about users of any radio band.
<<<That's exactly what I'm saying Dwight. The cover doesn't go along with what the article is supposedly about.

Tell me why they should they list ANY of these frequency ranges on the cover since NONE of them have anything to do with the subject matter of the article itself! They are talking about the "theoretical" and the cover refers to what we already know about and use every day. I'm sorry Dwight but I look at this as an opportunity by a commercial radio publication to get Amateur frequencies on the cover of its' magazine. Perhaps in an effort to stir some interest in their readers to start asking about "Hey, what's on these frequencies?" and possibly begin yet another effort to relieve the Amateur Service of some more of its' precious spectrum. If they wanted to show the "progression" of the RF spectrum then they should have placed a spectrum chart on the cover. Instead they went out of their way to list specific frequency ranges and not just a broad representation of the RF spectrum. Believe me it was NO MISTAKE that they listed 144-148Mhz in the largest and boldest type and at the front of the list followed by 222-225Mhz and then 420-450Mhz that was without a doubt very intentional and any Ham operator should be outraged that they would have our bands on the cover of their magazine for any reason. Remember they are not in business to help

Amateur Radio they're in business to make money and RF spectrum is BIG money!

Please take another look when you get a chance:
http://www.geocities.com/mrtmag_sucks/

73'

Joe

>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2150. Re: FPL New 900 Ericsson Freq.???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 13:37:07 UTC
From: jasper@dweeb.com
Message-ID: <41odlt4k5dejn3t5j64h7f0am49euq4dir@4ax.com>
Source record: 62616

On Wed, 18 Jul 2001 16:54:19 GMT, Email to above or see post sig for
address! <NKCIUNVRRHFD@spammotel.com> wrote:

>On 17 Jul 2001 11:33:10 -0500, jasper@dweeb.com wrote:
>
>>Anyone have FPL's new 900 Ericsson Freqs.?
>>
>
>What area?
>
>Do you have a BC780?
>
>You will need a BC780 as this is an EDACS Narrowband system and only the BC780
>at this time supports the narrowband version of EDACS.
>
>
>

I have a BC780. I'm looking for the treasure coast area. St. lucie,
Martin, Indian River, and I believe West Palm Beach. When I listened
to them on 451, the main dispatch seemed to come out of West Palm.
When the power goes out, I like to hear what's going on about fixing
it. Thanks alot for the help. I'm not familiar with the EDACS. St.
Lucie county just went Motorola type II 800. I'm having a hell of a
time getting talkgroup id's from the county. They use our money to buy
the system and then try to keep us from listening. Is there a certain
order when programing the EDACS? Do I need to put all the freq. in a
bank and lock out the areas I'm not interested in? Thanks again.

>---
>"The only thing I have to fear is tyranny." Jeanne D'Arc
>---
>"Love is a dangerous angel"
>---
>AOSC#: FL-41-1184
>The Gulf Coast & Central Florida Scanner Frequency Page
>http://www.gccflscan.f2s.com
>Mansota FL Scanner Mailing List -
>http://www.egroups.com/group/mansotaflscan
>Join the Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
>http://westpascanner.terrashare.com/scnrng.htm
>---
>Just say NO to Peking 2008 Olymics NO! ! SUPPORT A US BOYCOTT!
>---
>You SPAM me, I report YOU! It's that simple!

2151. Re: Ham Radio Attacked by MRT Magazine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 13:41:19 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <2gmdlt417tv0cserh28d5oefvuvq97kj1f@4ax.com>
Source record: 62617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

On Wed, 18 Jul 2001 22:36:20 -0400, "Bazooka Joe"
<mrtmag-sucks@excite.com> wrote:

> The publishers of Mobile Radio Technology (MRT) Magazine have with their May
> 2001 issue insulted the Amateur Radio Service. With what they have printed
> on their cover they have implied that three of our most important VHF/UHF
> bands 144-148Mhz, 222-225Mhz and 420-450Mhz are not being used or even
> acknowledging the fact that they are Amateur bands at all! Please visit the
> following web page for further details and pictures of the magazine cover
> and article.
>
> http://www.geocities.com/mrtmag_sucks/

I saw no such indication. Did it occur to you that the amateur
frequencies in the artwork might have happened because many MRT
readers and authors are already hams?

This was an article about what comes after radio. Where do we grow
to? I thought the ideas there were pretty exotic and unlikely. The
idea of using everything up through Infra-Red electromagnetic waves
wasn't too outrageous, but using UV, X-Rays, and Gamma-Rays was pretty
weird, not to mention communications by particle accelerator...

A more likely scenario is that modulation technologies will meet the
21st Century and that we'll make far more efficient use of the
spectrum we have today. Spread Spectrum, data compression, combined
with well designed power control schemes, error correction protocols,
and adaptive antenna arrays will be able to carry us well in to the
middle of this century at least. Embedded computer technology will
make it happen.

The real issue to be resolved is political: How do we make the
transition from narrow-band communications to wide-band
communications? We can't just turn off all the narrow-band radios and
switch over to new ones without a great deal of political upheaval.

MRT did it's readers a dis-service in my opinion, by not addressing
these technologies and the politics embedded in it. Instead they
offer all sorts of gee whiz BS about using sub-atomic particles to
communicate. --And they thought electromagnetic radiation was hard to
sell!

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2152. Re: Ham Radio Attacked by MRT Magazine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 14:02:09 UTC
From: "." <cr7000@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <92qdltcvoetun26tqcps25j1h4vra693id@4ax.com>
Source record: 62618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

On Wed, 18 Jul 2001 22:36:20 -0400, "Bazooka Joe"
<mrtmag-sucks@excite.com> wrote:

>
>"Bazooka Joe" <mrtmag-sucks@excite.com> wrote in message news:...
>>
>> The publishers of Mobile Radio Technology (MRT) Magazine have with their
>May
>> 2001 issue insulted the Amateur Radio Service. With what they have printed
>> on their cover they have implied that three of our most important VHF/UHF
>> bands 144-148Mhz, 222-225Mhz and 420-450Mhz are not being used or even
>> acknowledging the fact that they are Amateur bands at all! Please visit
>the
>> following web page for further details and pictures of the magazine cover
>> and article.
>>
>> http://www.geocities.com/mrtmag_sucks/
>>
>>
>
If they are implying that,and i havent looked yet,then they are
probably correct.

2153. Pro 2042 for sell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 14:17:35 UTC
From: Philip Knode <pknode@home.com>
Message-ID: <3_B57.69293$B5.15708629@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 62619

Have a pro 2042 for sell box,manual has Euro board will sell 300 dollars
email if interested.Phil

2154. Re: Ham Radio Attacked by MRT Magazine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 14:24:45 UTC
From: Bazooka Joe <mrtmag-sucks@excite.com>
Message-ID: <9j6qjodpq1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 62620
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
news:2gmdlt417tv0cserh28d5oefvuvq97kj1f@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 18 Jul 2001 22:36:20 -0400, "Bazooka Joe"
> <mrtmag-sucks@excite.com> wrote:
>
> > The publishers of Mobile Radio Technology (MRT) Magazine have with their
May
> > 2001 issue insulted the Amateur Radio Service. With what they have
printed
> > on their cover they have implied that three of our most important
VHF/UHF
> > bands 144-148Mhz, 222-225Mhz and 420-450Mhz are not being used or even
> > acknowledging the fact that they are Amateur bands at all! Please visit
the
> > following web page for further details and pictures of the magazine
cover
> > and article.
> >
> > http://www.geocities.com/mrtmag_sucks/
>
> I saw no such indication. Did it occur to you that the amateur
> frequencies in the artwork might have happened because many MRT
> readers and authors are already hams?

No, it didn't occur to me at all and I seriously doubt that's the reason for
the cover artwork unless you know something about it that we don't?

>
> This was an article about what comes after radio. Where do we grow
> to? I thought the ideas there were pretty exotic and unlikely. The
> idea of using everything up through Infra-Red electromagnetic waves
> wasn't too outrageous, but using UV, X-Rays, and Gamma-Rays was pretty
> weird, not to mention communications by particle accelerator...
>
> A more likely scenario is that modulation technologies will meet the
> 21st Century and that we'll make far more efficient use of the
> spectrum we have today. Spread Spectrum, data compression, combined
> with well designed power control schemes, error correction protocols,
> and adaptive antenna arrays will be able to carry us well in to the
> middle of this century at least. Embedded computer technology will
> make it happen.
>
> The real issue to be resolved is political: How do we make the
> transition from narrow-band communications to wide-band

> communications? We can't just turn off all the narrow-band radios and
> switch over to new ones without a great deal of political upheaval.
>
> MRT did it's readers a dis-service in my opinion, by not addressing
> these technologies and the politics embedded in it. Instead they
> offer all sorts of gee whiz BS about using sub-atomic particles to
> communicate. --And they thought electromagnetic radiation was hard to
> sell!
>

You made a lot of good points Jake and I agree with most all of it. But for what ever reason Amateur frequencies ended up on the cover of their magazine I really don't think that they belong there. This is not the kind of publication that would be "looking out" for the interests of Amateur Radio since it does conflict somewhat with their own. It also seems to me that as you began to read the article that you also became annoyed as I did at the "stuff" it was saying. That's the very reason why I included it rather than just putting a picture of the cover up there because I wanted people to see that this was in no way related to Amateur Radio and so that everyone would have the opportunity to form their own opinion about it as you did. Thanks for replying and checking out the site.

--
Joe

> 73,
>
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"

2155. Re: Ham Radio Attacked by MRT Magazine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 15:15:58 UTC
From: Bazooka Joe <mrtmag-sucks@excite.com>
Message-ID: <9j6tjoqmj1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 62621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

I would have to admit that I must agree with you at some level on this point. Since cell phone usage and other wireless messaging devices and services have become cheaper and more accessible by everyone we have all noticed a decrease in activity. That is of course another issue and one that needs to be addressed by the Amateur community and I suppose the ARRL. However, that still doesn't make it alright for them (MRT) to take a shot at the hobby like they did.

I can just as easily point out that traditional commercial two-way radio services and their customers are in decline as well. MRT mainly serves the interests of conventional and trunking type radio system operators and end users. Companies like Nextel (which if you read MRT seems like they don't like much either since they like to refer to them as that "Next" company) in a disparaging way is responsible for taking A LOT of business away from conventional and trunking systems operators by the truckload! As well as them taking a big hit from other cellular and wireless messaging companies doing the same thing! This I would imagine would have a direct affect on their (MRT's) subscribers which will probably decline as well as a result. And I don't see them going out their way to call any attention to that fact!

--
Joe

"." <cr7000@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:92qdltcvoetun26tqcps25j1h4vra693id@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 18 Jul 2001 22:36:20 -0400, "Bazooka Joe"
> <mrtmag-sucks@excite.com> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Bazooka Joe" <mrtmag-sucks@excite.com> wrote in message news:...
> >>
> >> The publishers of Mobile Radio Technology (MRT) Magazine have with
their
> >May
> >> 2001 issue insulted the Amateur Radio Service. With what they have
printed
> >> on their cover they have implied that three of our most important
VHF/UHF
> >> bands 144-148Mhz, 222-225Mhz and 420-450Mhz are not being used or even
> >> acknowledging the fact that they are Amateur bands at all! Please visit
> >the
> >> following web page for further details and pictures of the magazine
cover
> >> and article.

> >>
> >> http://www.geocities.com/mrtmag_sucks/
> >>
> >>
> >
> If they are implying that,and i havent looked yet,then they are
> probably correct.

2156. Re: A question about mods?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 16:18:35 UTC
From: Eduardo Ortiz <eortiz@cmix.net>
Message-ID: <tle1us4aefee94@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62622

http://www.mods.dk/mods.php3?model=dx-390&radio=other

http://www.ccrane.com/radio_modifications.asp

Hope this helps!

Ed
...,,.....,.,.,.,.,.,.,.,,

"Bill" <ka4drw@no.spam.juno.com> wrote in message
news:0urclt8qe97239gbjo8oqgc3m5bletemln@4ax.com...
> I know this isn't the perfect group to ask this question in, but I
> checked on the shortwave group and it's a slugfest.
> Much finer people here!
> Anyway; I've got an old RS DX-390 portable receiver and I'd like to
> find a web page where I can get the mods for, had it once and lost it.
> I did the usual search and didn't come up with anything that covered
> what I need.
> The mods I'd like to have are:
> squelch mod; removed blanking between station while tuning.
> the proper external antenna connector mod, BNC connector.
> that use a cap and choke somewhere in the install.
> baseband audio tap mod: where to tap.
>
> TIA,
> Bill

2157. Re: Motorola ASTRO System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 16:30:58 UTC
From: Eduardo Ortiz <eortiz@nospamcmix.net>
Message-ID: <tle2m3ita4p09b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62623

Here is the article that came out about 1 or 2 months back. I do not know
if the links is still good.

Project 25 scanner expected in 'about a year'
Says Don Bishop, Editorial Director of
Mobile Radio Technology Magazine, June 1, 2001

Uniden America, Fort Worth, TX, expects to manufacture a scanner capable of
receiving trunked
Project 25 communications within a year or a little longer.

"It's something we have been working on," said Jim Cassidy, the company's
product planning
manager in charge of scanners and other products.

Cassidy said that listening to communications carried by a public safety
trunked radio system doesn't violate
restrictions imposed by federal law, which includes exceptions for public
safety communications and for broadcasts
intended for public reception.

http://www.telecomclick.com/magazinearticle.asp?releaseid=6384&magazineartic
leid=104193&magazineid=3

;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;
"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3b5511e6.1055280261@news.erols.com...
> Greetings,
>
> Is there a scanner that will handle the Motorola ASTRO system ??
>
> THX,
>
> Andrew

2158. RE: Interesting low band skip tonight (last night)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 16:35:43 UTC
From: alaininvc@netscape.net
Message-ID: <9j728v$gb9$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 62624

Hey Guys,

As someone who is new to "skip" reception, I was wondering how do you conclude

that these transmissions are coming from where you say they do? I'm not doubting
your word, mind you; I receive a lot of DX here on Palomar Mountain, in Northern
San Diego County (Calif.) on the low-band frequencies, but I have no way of
checking or verifying what it is I'm listening to; I do know that they are not

from the So. Cal. area. I don't know where they originate and seldom hear a
station I.D.

So my question to you all is: how do you discover the origin of the transmission,
confirm the destination and area of your DX reception?

Thanks for taking a moment to respond!

Alain

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

2159. Sony2010/Pro2042 for trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 17:35:35 UTC
From: "R.G.M" <rogsmail@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9j75mu$5dt$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 62625

I would like to trade both the Sony and the 2042 for a decent all mode
handheld scanner. (AOR/Yupi/Yaesu/Icom/Alinco) or similar
both my radios are in excellent condition and work perfectly
shipping anywhere is not a problem
regards
Sean
Great Britain

2160. Trade base 4 handheld

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 18:24:09 UTC
From: Sean <sa1@ukonline.co.uk>
Message-ID: <9j78jg$p7q$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 62626

Hello fellow radio enthusiasts
I am looking for a decent ALL-MODE handheld scanner along the lines of a
VR500 Alinco DJX-10 Yupi 7100/9000 AOR8000/8000MKII or similar.
I have in way of a trade,
1 Pro 2006 unblocked with 5/12.5/30/50 step size.with manual
2 Pro 2042 unblocked with 5/12.5/50 step size with manual
3 AOR AR-2800 unblocked AM/FM/USB/LSB/CW
covers from 500kHz-600MHz, 800MHz-1300MHz 1000 channels
this is getting on a bit but it is in excellent unmarked condition and works
perfectly. also has manual
4-Uniden BC-890XLT covers 29-54MHz 108-512MHz 806-1300MHz.
AM/FM 200 channels. steps= 5kHz, 12.5kHz, 25kHz. with manual
I will do the AOR for a straight swap on one of the handhelds mentioned
above
Or any two (2) of the others for a top end handheld
please e-mail
thanks
Sean
U/Kingdom

2161. VE July 22 12NOON N Lindenhurst LI

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 18:44:40 UTC
From: GSBARC <info@gsbarc.org>
Message-ID: <sUF57.69640$qs5.12378328@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 62627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

GSBARC ARRL VE sessions are normally scheduled every forth Sunday
of the month, 12:00 NOON.

No pre-registration required. No walk-ins after 1:00 PM.
Exams are given for 5 WPM CW, Technician, General and Extra class.
All exams are ARRL/VEC. New CW exam format effective July 1, 2001.

Location: Babylon Town Hall
Emergency Operations Center
200 E. Sunrise Hwy.
North Lindenhurst, NY

Talk in : W2GSB repeater 146.685 -600 118.8 PL

All VE sessions are located at Babylon Town Hall, (between Rt. 109 and
Wellwood Ave.) in the Emergency Operations Center. (unless noted in the
VE schedule). People coming from the west need to make the left onto
N. Indiana Ave to enter the Town Hall parking lot. People coming from the
east use the main entrance. Drive around to the back of the building between
the wings. Look for the sign over the door pointing to the Emergency
Operations Center (it's a small white sign with red letters). Go to the
bottom of the stairs and push the top button. When you get inside the
building go left down the hall. The Emergency Operations Center is on
the right side of the hall.

Please bring the following:
- Photocopy and original of current Amateur Radio License if licensed.
- Photocopy and original of CSCE(s) that are current.
- two forms of ID, at least one photo ID.
- pens or pencils.
- Calculator for math problems on exams, no pocket computers are allowed.

- 2001 Exam fee is $10.00, please bring exact change.

2001 GSBARC VE schedule.

July 22
August 26
September 23
*October 28 - Hamfest
November 25
*December: * NO scheduled VE in DECEMBER 2001 - Season's Greetings

If you have any questions about GSBARC exam sessions you can contact the
GSBARC VE contact Tom Carrubba KA2D at (631) 422-9594 or email GSBARC
at info@gsbarc.org.

Information on other exam sessions can be obtained from the ARRL-VEC, and
other VECs around the country.

Information is also available during the GSBARC 2 meter info net every
Monday evening at 7:00 pm on the W2GSB repeater,
146.685 -600 118.8 PL
required. You may also write to:

Great South Bay ARC
P.O. Box 1356
West Babylon, NY 11704

Visit GSBARC online at http://www.gsbarc.org
mirror site: http://www.qsl.net/ka2d/gsbarc.html
e-mail: info@gsbarc.org

HAMFEST- October 28, 2001 - Lindenhurst 9:00 AM - 2:00 PM - All Indoors
Knights of Columbus, 400 South Broadway,
Lindenhurst
VE Session 12:00 NOON - BABYLON TOWN HALL

73 GSBARC

2162. Re: Interesting low band skip tonight (last night)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 18:58:12 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9j7ake$m434s$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62628

<alaininvc@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:9j728v$gb9$1@news.netmar.com...
> Hey Guys,
>
> As someone who is new to "skip" reception, I was wondering how do
you conclude
>
> that these transmissions are coming from where you say they do?

>
> So my question to you all is: how do you discover the origin of the
> transmission,
> confirm the destination and area of your DX reception?
>

I waited until I heard the repeater ID in CW, then looked up the
callsign on the FCC's website. I was also hearing several other
stations on freq that I didn't ID.

Tom

2163. Re: Ham Radio Attacked by MRT Magazine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 19:31:36 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10107191927540.32610-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 62629
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

On Wed, 18 Jul 2001, Bazooka Joe wrote:
> The publishers of Mobile Radio Technology (MRT) Magazine have with their May
> 2001 issue insulted the Amateur Radio Service. With what they have printed
> on their cover they have implied that three of our most important VHF/UHF
> bands 144-148Mhz, 222-225Mhz and 420-450Mhz are not being used or even
> acknowledging the fact that they are Amateur bands at all! Please visit the
> following web page for further details and pictures of the magazine cover
> and article.
>
> http://www.geocities.com/mrtmag_sucks/

OK. I followed your link, and the only mention of amateur frequencies I noted was in the picture which constituted their cover (and repeated on the first page of their "cover story" column). There was no mention of our frequencies or that in terms of being assigned to any other service within the article itself.

You might have gone too far with this - or perhaps not. Had the article itself mentioned something else about them, then OK. Otherwise, you're merely assuming a position (without supporting facts) which may be speculation.

Have any of their other published works in any way refered to the amateur radio allocations?

2164. Upset with Daytona Beach Police....Very UnProfessional

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 19:46:57 UTC
From: av8tor@licensed4fun.com
Message-ID: <9j7dfg$468k$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62630

I would like to hear some options to this. The Daytona Police are (almost daily) running "undercover Prostitute" stings. While they are doing this, many more important calls go unanswered due to "no available units".

About 3 weeks ago there was a call of a possible teenager had given birth in a motel room as the manager found blood inside a vacant room and a Pragant teenager was seen around the motel a day earlier. The manager thought he had seen the teanager come and go from a wooded area near the motel. The manager called Daytona Beach Dispatch around 1PM. It wasn't until 9PM that a Daytona Beach police officer was assigned to the call! The reason given by the dispatcher was that during the afternoon shift all the officers were busy on "the detail".

Next, during these "details" the unmarked undercover cops NEVER use their turnsignals. For the short time (2 months) I've lived in Daytona Beach I have seen VERY FEW marked Police cars use their turnsignals as well.

With their lack of obeying the same traffic laws they are to inforce and some of the very UNPROFESSIONAL radio communictions during thier "undercover stings" I am having less and less respect for the people who are hired to "Serve and Protect" the citizens of Daytona Beach. Regarding the "unprofessional" radio communictions, one cope refered to a prostitute as "there is the big blue pragent whale". I don't car who a police officer is refering to, you don't use that kind of talk when you are a professional!!!

Today during their "undercover sting" I was able to get a few photos of their unmarked cars being used. I'm thinking of posting these on my RadiosOnLine website under a new section called "UnderCover Cops Busted!". What do you think?
George
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <-RadiosOnLine eZine for the Scanner Nut!
--

_____
I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
_____

2165. Re: winradio cellphone mod all models

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 19:53:17 UTC
From: curtisjohn@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1903-3B573AAD-124@storefull-246.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62631

How do you put a phone in test mode? I have a couple around somewhere.
curtis

2166. Re: Upset with Daytona Beach Police....Very UnProfessional

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 21:13:38 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010719171338.28908.00000519@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 62632

>ews.prodigy.com>
>
>I would like to hear some options to this. The Daytona Police are (almost
>daily) running "undercover Prostitute" stings. While they are doing this,
>many more important calls go unanswered due to "no available units".
>
>About 3 weeks ago there was a call of a possible teenager had given birth in
>a
>motel room as the manager found blood inside a vacant room and a Pragant
>teenager was seen around the motel a day earlier. The manager thought he
>had
>seen the teanager come and go from a wooded area near the motel. The manager
>
>called Daytona Beach Dispatch around 1PM. It wasn't until 9PM that a Daytona
>
>Beach police officer was assigned to the call! The reason given by the
>dispatcher was that during the afternoon shift all the officers were busy on
>"the detail".
>
>Next, during these "details" the unmarked undercover cops NEVER use their
>turnsignals. For the short time (2 months) I've lived in Daytona Beach I
>have
>seen VERY FEW marked Police cars use their turnsignals as well.
>
>With their lack of obeying the same traffic laws they are to inforce and some
>
>of the very UNPROFESSIONAL radio communictions during thier "undercover
>stings"
>I am having less and less respect for the people who are hired to "Serve and
>Protect" the citizens of Daytona Beach. Regarding the "unprofessional"
>radio
>communictions, one cope refered to a prostitute as "there is the big blue
>pragent whale". I don't car who a police officer is refering to, you don't
>use
>that kind of talk when you are a professional!!!
>
>Today during their "undercover sting" I was able to get a few photos of their
>
>unmarked cars being used. I'm thinking of posting these on my RadiosOnLine
>website under a new section called "UnderCover Cops Busted!". What do you
>think?

I think you should take this drivel to alt.bash.cops and leave this group for
discussion about scanners.

Dan
N2TYB
"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

2167. My apology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 21:22:10 UTC
From: John Schneider <theoldcop@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9j7j6icl1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 62633

On July 16th of this year I posted a "For Auction" notice on this newsgroup, apparently in violation of eBay policy. I have since received a notice from eBay advising me of this indiscretion and, if I have insulted, violated or otherwise upset other rec.radio.scan members, I apologize.

To the gutless wonder that reported me and was too afraid to personally confront me...maybe someday our paths will meet and we will be able to discuss what it truly means to "be a man and stand-by the power of your convictions"

I remain,
John Schneider
thecop@optonline.net

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.264 / Virus Database: 136 - Release Date: 07/02/2001

2168. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 21:28:08 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <j5kelts73dev3ip02c5o36b4eqqjarhkfb@4ax.com>
Source record: 62500
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Thu, 19 Jul 2001 00:55:46 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
<NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:

>
>
>
>Aaron R. Kulkis <akulkis@yahoo.com> wrote
>> "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
>> > I never said I didn't know who they are!! I assume with the names you
>> > have thrown around that you are referring to "Carl Von" Clauswitz, the
>> > Napoleonic soldier; "General" Von Stuben of the Prussian military; and
>>
>> Wrong. Clauswitz was a military philosopher on the German General Staff.
>
>Are you sure there were not two?
>

God..you simply gotta love C3PO.. has the old weasel down pat... sorta.

ROFLMAO
>
>> Von Stuben wrote the first book of field drills and Manual of Arms for the
>> Continental Army, and also trained the first drill sergeants (at Valley
>> Forge). Thus, all US Army Drill Sergeants trace the roots of their
>> training back to him.
>
>OK, so are you making this statement or asking us to confirm your question?
>
>
>
>

--
"Confronting Liberals with the facts of reality is very much akin to
clubbing baby seals. It gets boring after a while, but because Liberals are
so stupid it is easy work." Steven M. Barry

2169. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 21:30:09 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <j7keltkibf4858b4o01mo4qonodml3qji5@4ax.com>
Source record: 62501
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Thu, 19 Jul 2001 01:02:22 -0700, "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)"
<NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:

>
>
>Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote
>> Ah... squids were simply bus drivers to me...with the exception of the
>SNIP
>
>It is good that you remember that you were reliant on the Navy for
>your transportation. I'll bet you were reliant on them for food
>& medical also.
>
Actually..no. for the vast part.

>
>> on the KIA list. I have known a few Navy commo guys, in years gone by,
>> who had shore duty doing repeater setups, etc etc..who got into the shit
>> on a regular basis..and I have oodles of respect for them for the most
>> part...but you? Based on your posts... I figure you may wind up at DeVry
>> sooner or later in the Slow group.
>
>I set up communication systems. But your knowledge of navy comms is
>severly
>dated. Repeaters are not used any more, since the modern days of
>satelites. BTW - If I were at DeVry, it would be as a Professor or
>Instructor,
>certainly not a student. So you'll have to find a different friend to keep
>you
>company a the DeVry "slow group".

Good. then there is no hope of you stepping on a mine? Damned shame. But
then.. I pretty well called it anyways.

Gunner

>
>

--
"Confronting Liberals with the facts of reality is very much akin to
clubbing baby seals. It gets boring after a while, but because Liberals are
so stupid it is easy work." Steven M. Barry

2170. Re: Ham Radio Attacked by MRT Magazine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 21:48:26 UTC
From: Bazooka Joe <bazookajoe1138@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9j7klv$7fe$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 62634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.10.10107191927540.32610-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
> On Wed, 18 Jul 2001, Bazooka Joe wrote:
> > The publishers of Mobile Radio Technology (MRT) Magazine have with their
May
> > 2001 issue insulted the Amateur Radio Service. With what they have
printed
> > on their cover they have implied that three of our most important
VHF/UHF
> > bands 144-148Mhz, 222-225Mhz and 420-450Mhz are not being used or even
> > acknowledging the fact that they are Amateur bands at all! Please visit
the
> > following web page for further details and pictures of the magazine
cover
> > and article.
> >
> > http://www.geocities.com/mrtmag_sucks/
>
> OK. I followed your link, and the only mention of amateur frequencies I
noted
> was in the picture which constituted their cover (and repeated on the
first page
> of their "cover story" column). There was no mention of our frequencies
or that
> in terms of being assigned to any other service within the article itself.
>
> You might have gone too far with this - or perhaps not. Had the article
itself
> mentioned something else about them, then OK. Otherwise, you're merely
assuming
> a position (without supporting facts) which may be speculation.

I see your point but I don't agree with you about this being speculation at
all. If we get right down to the heart of the matter then all you have to do
is ask yourself is one thing... what are they doing putting amateur radio
frequencies on the cover of their magazine? They have nothing to do with the
cover story "Beyond RF", our bands along with the others that they have
listed on there are most definitely and without a doubt RF frequency bands.
The article talks about Particle Beams, Baryon's, Fermion's and even Quarks!
But never does it mention why or give any clue as to why or what amateur
radio frequencies have to do with all of this "Star Wars" stuff that they
have in the article!

Furthermore the article fails to cite amateur radio in any way, it doesn't even pay any kind of tribute to any hams past or present that have made some contribution to the world of radio communications. So just what are we to assume, that they just ended up on there, right in front, in the largest type, completely un-obscured (unlike some of the other frequency ranges they have on there) just by accident?

If they wanted to graphically represent us moving beyond RF communications as we know it then why be so specific about the ranges of frequencies they put on the cover? Take 220Mhz for example, back in 1991 the FCC re-allocated the bottom 2 megahertz of this band to commercial service in the US. The amateur portion then became 222-225Mhz instead of 220-225Mhz as it originally was. Don't you find it a little strange that the publishers of MRT decided to include this frequency band on their cover but made sure only to list 222-225Mhz which happens to be JUST the amateur portion!! I mean what the heck! They already scammed us out of the bottom 2Mhz of it, why would they want to bring any attention to the 220-222Mhz range that they already stole from us!

To me this seems like a very obvious attempt to draw attention to our amateur frequencies in a way that would suggest that these frequencies are the some of the ones that they would like to "explore". Well I say thank you very much but we ham operators already have that taken care of and don't need commercial radio involved in the matter.

And just one last thing I'd like to mention, I also found it intriguing that they decided to start at 144-148Mhz as the first frequency range on their list. Why not start somewhere below 30Mhz or even at 30Mhz and go up from there? Or for that matter why not include bands that are allocated to government, commercial and public safety agencies?For one thing there isn't much commercial interest in frequencies below 144Mhz! At least not for the type of people who read MRT who are mainly involved in relatively short range, point to point communications. And the other reason is that they wouldn't want to piss off the people who are operating in these bands like they did to us! That would be "biting the hand that feeds" since after all they make up all of MRT's subscriber base. I guess that makes us Hams fair game since who cares if they insult and piss us off. After all we don't have the kind of money or lobbying power that they do...right?

--
Joe

2171. Re: Interesting low band skip tonight (last night)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 22:57:17 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010719185717.23891.00000190@ng-mp1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62636

>Subject: Re: Interesting low band skip tonight (last night)

>> So my question to you all is: how do you discover the origin of the
>> transmission,
>> confirm the destination and area of your DX reception?
>>
>

Here in South Florida I catch skip and ducting from time to time, mostly Lowband Fire Communications though I have nailed some activity higher up around 154 MHz (ish)

For ID of radio; I try to listen to locations and use a map.. sometimes they will give information that helps: Mut-Aid to XYZ County and so on...

TV Signals come in from Tampa some nights when I am in the Shack, I ID them by the Station ID or commercials.

It is fun when you can catch it, sometimes its neat to hear out of towners talking
= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

2172. Re: Motorola ASTRO System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 23:00:34 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010719190034.23891.00000192@ng-mp1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62637

>Subject: Re: Motorola ASTRO System
>From: "Eduardo Ortiz"

Heres another bit of News: Motorola Press Release about all of Florida going
Trunked ASTRO...

http://www.mot.com/LMPS/pressreleases/florida.html

its a bit dated
= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

2173. FA Pro-43 and Pro-2004 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 23:12:47 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <captainmike747@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <PPJ57.11401$gj1.1079408@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62638
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Links to a couple of nice radios up for auction on Ebay.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1257041831
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1257048662

Mike

2174. Bill Cheek is still dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-19 23:24:23 UTC
From: malexder@aol.com
Message-ID: <9j7q77$rs5$1@news.netmar.com>
Source record: 62507
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://www.grove-ent.com/images/billcheek.jpg

With the passing of Bill Cheek at noon on Saturday, July 22, 2000, a chapter
of radio closes.

From: Bill Cheek (bcheek@san.rr.com)
Subject: Coming Reign of Terror
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner
View complete thread (19 articles)
Date: 1998/07/05

To the RRS and ARS newsgroups:

Simple. I just turned somebody's lights out
for an indeterminant, but probably long
period of time.

I've done it before and it kills his soul'
eats him up; activates his ulcers and piles.

He responds with a blitzkrieg of forgeries,
e-mail bombs, and posts from a dozen of his
aka's. I am usually not the only one on
his target list. He singles out others for
these attacks, too.

So be forewarned and forearmed. It is EASY to
tell forgeries. When I post to the newsgroup,
the PATH line of MY posts will always end with

!newshub.cts.com!relief.cts.com!not-for-mail

If it ends with anything else, it ain't me.
Furthermore, legitimate headers from MY posts
will also contain the following entries:

NNTP-Posting-Host: wagasa.cts.com
Mime-Version: 1.0
Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451
Cache-Post-Path: wagasa.cts.com!unknown@dt022nec.san.rr.com
X-CTS-Authuser: bcheek@cts.com
Xref: proxye1.san.rr.com rec.radio.scanner:109982 alt.radio.scanner:27389

Furthermore, any legitimate e-mails that I send
out will have the following lines in the headers:

Received: by mail2.san.rr.com for wcheeks1
(with Cubic Circle's cucipop (v1.21 1997/08/10) DAY MO DATE TIME YEAR)
X-From_: bcheek@san.rr.com DAY MO DATE TIME YEAR
Received: from proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com (root@proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com
[204.210.0.10])
by mail-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with ESMTP id MAA12362
for <you@your.domain.com>; DAY DATE MO YEAR TIME
Received: from dr (dt022nec.san.rr.com [204.210.11.236])
by proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with SMTP id MAA18261
for <you@your.domain.com>; DAY DATE MO YEAR TIME
Message-Id: <numbers.and.letters@proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com>
Return-Receipt-To: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
Reply-To: <bcheek@san.rr.com>
From: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
To: <you@your.domain.com>
Subject: Header Test
Date: DAY DATE MO YEAR TIME
X-Priority: 1 (Highest)
X-MSMail-Priority: High
X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook 8.5, Build 4.71.2173.0
Importance: High
Disposition-Notification-To: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
Content-Type: text

If an e-mail header ain't pretty much exactly like the
above, it ain't from ME, boys..... If ever there is a
question, send me a civil e-mail to: bcheek@san.rr.com
and then check out the header of my reply.

The person whose lights I just turned out is given
to sending large e-mail bombs to people IN MY NAME.
Beware, if you get an unsolicited e-mail "from me"
that has a large file attachment. Just delete it
before you download it. I will not send anyone
unsolicited files, so there's a clue right there.

In a word, the person whose lights I just turned
out WILL go on a rampage and while I will be a
prime target, so will some of you....just so he can
say it wasn't HIM. But it is.....

Protect yourselves. And if and when anything does
happen, I urge you to publicly discuss it and cite
as many of the details as you can. There are people
on this newsgroup who are skilled at ferreting out
the sources and causes of these kinds of issues.

Now....shall WE get back to scanners and scanning?

THAT's my choice anyway, for as long as it doesn't
prove futile. It will take a lot of other choices
to dispel that futility. And each choice is an

individual one. Despite that this is a "newsgroup",
WE are not a "group". WE are only a mass of individuals.

I don't think it gets any better than that. Every
choice remains an individual one; and the solutions
to ALL problems, perceived and real, lay in control
of your own computers and control of your own software.
Once you are in control of your hardware and software,
then it is possible for us to act and function as a
group. But again, this is a matter of a LOT of choices.

Enough to constitute a quorum anyway.....

Scanners and scanning coming up at 11.

-- ----
Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
-- ---

----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the Web -----
http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+ groups
NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email abuse@newsone.net

2175. Re: My apology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 00:40:14 UTC
From: Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <J5L57.3632$pj4.348401@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 62639

John

Why apologise? Up them big time!!

I browse all post to this group. If I am not interested it gets ignored. I have no interest at all in buying a new scanner (too many already!). Please continue to post your adverts. How else do we have any idea of the value of our goodies?

Neville

"John Schneider" <theoldcop@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:9j7j6icl1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> On July 16th of this year I posted a "For Auction" notice on this newsgroup,
> apparently in violation of eBay policy. I have since received a notice from
> eBay advising me of this indiscretion and, if I have insulted, violated or
> otherwise upset other rec.radio.scan members, I apologize.
>
> To the gutless wonder that reported me and was too afraid to personally
> confront me...maybe someday our paths will meet and we will be able to
> discuss what it truly means to "be a man and stand-by the power of your
> convictions"
>
> I remain,
> John Schneider
> thecop@optonline.net
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.264 / Virus Database: 136 - Release Date: 07/02/2001
>
>

2176. Re: Upset with Daytona Beach Police....Very UnProfessional

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 01:53:56 UTC
From: The Famous KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <UaM57.379538$p33.7605722@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62640

Why bring this up here? Go to the Mayor's office or a city council meeting.

Av8tor <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote
> I would like to hear some options to this. The Daytona Police are (almost
> daily) running "undercover Prostitute" stings. While they are doing this,
> many more important calls go unanswered due to "no available units".
>
> About 3 weeks ago there was a call of a possible teenager had given birth
in a
> motel room as the manager found blood inside a vacant room and a Pragant
> teenager was seen around the motel a day earlier. The manager thought he
had
> seen the teanager come and go from a wooded area near the motel. The
manager
> called Daytona Beach Dispatch around 1PM. It wasn't until 9PM that a
Daytona
> Beach police officer was assigned to the call! The reason given by the
> dispatcher was that during the afternoon shift all the officers were busy
on
> "the detail".
>
> Next, during these "details" the unmarked undercover cops NEVER use their
> turnsignals. For the short time (2 months) I've lived in Daytona Beach I
have
> seen VERY FEW marked Police cars use their turnsignals as well.
>
> With their lack of obeying the same traffic laws they are to inforce and
some
> of the very UNPROFESSIONAL radio communictions during thier "undercover
stings"
> I am having less and less respect for the people who are hired to "Serve
and
> Protect" the citizens of Daytona Beach. Regarding the "unprofessional"
radio
> communictions, one cope refered to a prostitute as "there is the big blue
> pragent whale". I don't car who a police officer is refering to, you
don't use
> that kind of talk when you are a professional!!!
>
> Today during their "undercover sting" I was able to get a few photos of
their
> unmarked cars being used. I'm thinking of posting these on my
RadiosOnLine
> website under a new section called "UnderCover Cops Busted!". What do you

> think?
> George
> http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <-RadiosOnLine eZine for the Scanner Nut!
> --
> ____
> I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
> I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
> --> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
> ____
>

2177. SC-200 Programming Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 02:24:34 UTC
From: John Doe <me@me.com>
Message-ID: <CDM57.3310$O8.333476@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 62641

FREE TRIAL at: http://members.home.net/itssoftware/ez-scan.htm

2178. Re: AOR 8200II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 02:34:38 UTC
From: globalcabl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010719223438.05777.00000193@ng-mj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62642

Voice inversion card

2179. Re: Upset with Daytona Beach Police....Very UnProfessional

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 02:46:15 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <2YM57.2819$t3.390767@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 62643

You'll get more action by airing your complaints in Daytona Beach rather than here. If you can confirm these claims, the local newspaper might be interested.

2180. Re: My apology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 02:49:19 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9j867h$mtc0i$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62644

"John Schneider" <theoldcop@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:9j7j6icl1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> On July 16th of this year I posted a "For Auction" notice on this
newsgroup,
> apparently in violation of eBay policy. I have since received a
notice from
> eBay advising me of this indiscretion and, if I have insulted,
violated or
> otherwise upset other rec.radio.scan members, I apologize.
>
> To the gutless wonder that reported me and was too afraid to
personally
> confront me...maybe someday our paths will meet and we will be able
to
> discuss what it truly means to "be a man and stand-by the power of
your
> convictions"
>

It seems you're not the first to be reported for listing items for sale or for auction here. Apparently someone has a hair up their rectum. As far as I know, there's no rule that says you can't list items for sale or auction here, as I don't think there are any policies for this newsgroup.

As far as I'm concerned, people can list items for sale or auction as long as they put "FS" or "FA" in the header. Even if they don't do that there's no reason to get bent out of shape about it.

Tom

2181. What happened to scanshack.com?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 02:57:13 UTC
From: fred@somewhere.com
Message-ID: <3b579e8a.4041259@news>
Source record: 62646

I go to scanshack.com and get redirected to scannerdweeb.com. I'm specifically looking for the article that shows how to convert a Uniden 3000xlt battery pack to use AA's, but I can't find and of the tech links anymore.

I even found an archived google (deja) article that had the url, but it still redirected me to scannerdweeb.com.

Help?

2182. Re: My apology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 02:57:48 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <47N57.2838$t3.396481@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 62645

"John Schneider" <theoldcop@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:9j7j6icl1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> On July 16th of this year I posted a "For Auction" notice on this
newsgroup,
> apparently in violation of eBay policy. I have since received a notice
from
> eBay advising me of this indiscretion and, if I have insulted, violated or
> otherwise upset other rec.radio.scan members, I apologize.

Hmm, I didn't know eBay had such a policy. Most newsgroups permit brief "for sale" announcements of items highly relevant to the newsgroup. It's not entirely clear whether this one does, but posting one here does not seem to be a heinous offense. Now if you were selling laser toner cartridges and cross-posting to 50 newsgroups, *then* we'd be upset! :)

2183. Re: Ham Radio Attacked by MRT Magazine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 03:04:00 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <LcN57.2849$t3.399446@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 62497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

I think it may be a case of the cover design artist simply being klutzy or uninformed. He/she wanted a list of frequencies, found this list somewhere, and made artwork out of it -- perhaps not realizing it was a list of ham bands.

It is quite common for some of the staff of a technical magazine to be untrained in the technology the magazine covers.

I don't see where they've insulted ham radio. At most one might send them a polite letter such as, "Your readers may not have realized that the numbers in your cover art for *date* are actually the frequencies of Amateur Radio VHF bands. The 140-MHz and 440-MHz bands are very active in most areas, with the 220-MHz band lagging somewhat behind... ...etc. ..."

I don't think they've done anything except fumble.

2184. Gutless People on Site ,To busy Ratting to Ebay,Nothing better to do .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 03:38:47 UTC
From: wilfkelly@home.com
Message-ID: <680a2e7f.0107191938.1a75cef4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62498

I am sorry but I was reported to ebay about an ad I posted on this site.The person doing the ratting on everyone is a low life .He must not have anything better to do or is very jealous of us people trying to help other scanner hobbyists out.Will you won,t have to worry about me as I will take my sales and info. etc. to another site where there is people that appreciate scanners for sale .Thanks to all who have bought and asked for help, but there is a gutless wonder amongst this site and he is just making it bad for everyone else.Thanks

2185. Re: Ham Radio Attacked by MRT Magazine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 04:19:18 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B57B113.B5CFA047@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

Bazooka Joe wrote:
>
> That's what I thought when I first
> saw it too! Also, that was why when I
> noticed that they had Ham frequencies
> on the cover, that the article was
> going to refer to Amateur Radio in a
> positive way.

And why would you think that? What frequencies would you prefer they use? If you're going to make a picture with frequencies, those are as good of frequencies to use as any others. And I don't see the connection to ham radio at all. Many of the frequencies listed in the picture have nothing at all to do with ham radio (they're cellular phone frequencies and beyond).

> Instead it seems that they went out of
> their way NOT to mention Hams in an
> article that should scream out for noting
> Amateur accomplishments in the area of
> pioneering new ways to communicate!

Again, why? The article had absolutely nothing whatsoever to do with ham radio. I think you're getting a little carried away with this. Do you always get this excited when someone mentions a ham radio frequency but does not include an explanation about ham radio's accomplishments?

> You have to read between the lines on
> this, (snip)

I guess I just don't have to ability to read what clearly doesn't exist.

> Tell me why they should they list ANY
> of these frequency ranges on the cover
> since NONE of them have anything to do
> with the subject matter of the article
> itself! (snip)

If you're writing an article about the progression of radio up the frequencies, and then asking what lies beyond that, then the picture used does convey that concept. And, like I said before, if you're going to use frequencies in the picture, those were as good of frequencies to use as any others.

> (snip) I'm sorry Dwight but I look at this
> as an opportunity by a commercial radio

> publication to get Amateur frequencies on
> the cover of its' magazine. Perhaps in an
> effort to stir some interest in their
> readers to start asking about "Hey, what's
> on these frequencies?" and possibly begin
> yet another effort to relieve the Amateur
> Service of some more of its' precious
> spectrum. (snip)

And I think you're getting paranoid. There probably isn't a single commercial radio frequency user in the country that doesn't know what frequencies are allocated to what radio service. Its not like frequency allocation charts are hard to find in this country.

> If they wanted to show the "progression" of
> the RF spectrum then they should have placed
> a spectrum chart on the cover. Instead they
> went out of their way to list specific
> frequency ranges and not just a broad
> representation of the RF spectrum. Believe me
> it was NO MISTAKE that they listed 144-148Mhz
> in the largest and boldest type and at the
> front of the list followed by 222-225Mhz
> and then 420-450Mhz that was without a doubt
> very intentional and any Ham operator should
> be outraged that they would have our bands on
> the cover of their magazine for any reason.
> Remember they are not in business to help
> Amateur Radio they're in business to make money
> and RF spectrum is BIG money!

And many of the frequencies they listed have absolutely nothing to do with ham radio. In addition to the frequencies you listed above, they also used 824-849Mhz, 932-935Mhz, 1850-1990Mhz, 2450Mhz-2690Mhz, 30.55-32GHz, and others. In addition, the article's title ("Beyond HF - What's out there besides radio?") makes it very clear what the article is about - its clearly not about ham radio.

> Please take another look when you get a
> chance:

No thanks. I've already spent way too much time on this. Like I said, I don't think the picture, or article, implies anything at all like what you say it does. And I think you're reading things into the article, and picture, that simply does not exist. Having said that, I'll end my involvement in this discussion at this point.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2186. Re: Upset with Daytona Beach Police....Very UnProfessional

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 04:22:33 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <dmO57.1433$X91.513898@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62499

If it has anything to do with prostitution in daytona beach I'm an expert on it as my ex-wife is a madame there which is very
unfortunate as she used
to be a good person but just really screwed up because her father raped her dialy for 10 years and even forced her and her brothers
and cousins to orgy
together and with him on a daily basis.
This spoiled rich boy didn't know what he was getting into when he hitched up to trailer trash.

"Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x> wrote in message news:2YM57.2819$t3.390767@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net...
> You'll get more action by airing your complaints in Daytona Beach rather
> than here. If you can confirm these claims, the local newspaper might be
> interested.
>
>
>

2187. Re: Ham Radio Attacked by MRT Magazine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 05:00:33 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10107200457270.930-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 62502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

On Thu, 19 Jul 2001, Bazooka Joe wrote:
>"D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org> wrote in message
>news:Pine.LNX.4.10.10107191927540.32610-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org...
>> On Wed, 18 Jul 2001, Bazooka Joe wrote:
>> > The publishers of Mobile Radio Technology (MRT) Magazine have with their
>May
>> > 2001 issue insulted the Amateur Radio Service. With what they have
>printed
>> > on their cover they have implied that three of our most important
>VHF/UHF
>> > bands 144-148Mhz, 222-225Mhz and 420-450Mhz are not being used or even
>> > acknowledging the fact that they are Amateur bands at all! Please visit
>the
>> > following web page for further details and pictures of the magazine
>cover
>> > and article.
>> >
>> > http://www.geocities.com/mrtmag_sucks/
>>
>> OK. I followed your link, and the only mention of amateur frequencies I
>noted
>> was in the picture which constituted their cover (and repeated on the
>first page
>> of their "cover story" column). There was no mention of our frequencies
>or that
>> in terms of being assigned to any other service within the article itself.
>>
>> You might have gone too far with this - or perhaps not. Had the article
>itself
>> mentioned something else about them, then OK. Otherwise, you're merely
>assuming
>> a position (without supporting facts) which may be speculation.
>
>I see your point but I don't agree with you about this being speculation at
>all. If we get right down to the heart of the matter then all you have to do
>is ask yourself is one thing... what are they doing putting amateur radio
>frequencies on the cover of their magazine? They have nothing to do with the
>cover story "Beyond RF", our bands along with the others that they have
>listed on there are most definitely and without a doubt RF frequency bands.
>The article talks about Particle Beams, Baryon's, Fermion's and even Quarks!
>But never does it mention why or give any clue as to why or what amateur
>radio frequencies have to do with all of this "Star Wars" stuff that they
>have in the article!

My point is: If they have a hidden agenda, you need to UNCOVER it, not just speculate about it. You need to find proof of intent; not suspicion.

"Where's the beef?"

>Furthermore the article fails to cite amateur radio in any way, it doesn't
>even pay any kind of tribute to any hams past or present that have made some
>contribution to the world of radio communications. So just what are we to
>assume, that they just ended up on there, right in front, in the largest
>type, completely un-obscured (unlike some of the other frequency ranges they
>have on there) just by accident?
>
> If they wanted to graphically represent us moving beyond RF communications
>as we know it then why be so specific about the ranges of frequencies they
>put on the cover? Take 220Mhz for example, back in 1991 the FCC re-allocated
>the bottom 2 megahertz of this band to commercial service in the US. The
>amateur portion then became 222-225Mhz instead of 220-225Mhz as it
>originally was. Don't you find it a little strange that the publishers of
>MRT decided to include this frequency band on their cover but made sure only
>to list 222-225Mhz which happens to be JUST the amateur portion!! I mean
>what the heck! They already scammed us out of the bottom 2Mhz of it, why
>would they want to bring any attention to the 220-222Mhz range that they
>already stole from us!
>
>To me this seems like a very obvious attempt to draw attention to our
>amateur frequencies in a way that would suggest that these frequencies are
>the some of the ones that they would like to "explore". Well I say thank you
>very much but we ham operators already have that taken care of and don't
>need commercial radio involved in the matter.
>
>And just one last thing I'd like to mention, I also found it intriguing that
>they decided to start at 144-148Mhz as the first frequency range on their
>list. Why not start somewhere below 30Mhz or even at 30Mhz and go up from
>there? Or for that matter why not include bands that are allocated to
>government, commercial and public safety agencies?For one thing there isn't
>much commercial interest in frequencies below 144Mhz! At least not for the
>type of people who read MRT who are mainly involved in relatively short
>range, point to point communications. And the other reason is that they
>wouldn't want to piss off the people who are operating in these bands like
>they did to us! That would be "biting the hand that feeds" since after all
>they make up all of MRT's subscriber base. I guess that makes us Hams fair
>game since who cares if they insult and piss us off. After all we don't have
>the kind of money or lobbying power that they do...right?

2188. Re: Ham Radio Attacked by MRT Magazine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 05:03:59 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10107200501200.930-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 62503
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,uk.radio.amateur

On Thu, 19 Jul 2001, Michael A. Covington wrote:
>I think it may be a case of the cover design artist simply being klutzy or
>uninformed. He/she wanted a list of frequencies, found this list somewhere,
>and made artwork out of it -- perhaps not realizing it was a list of ham
>bands.
>
>It is quite common for some of the staff of a technical magazine to be
>untrained in the technology the magazine covers.
>
>I don't see where they've insulted ham radio. At most one might send them a
>polite letter such as, "Your readers may not have realized that the numbers
>in your cover art for *date* are actually the frequencies of Amateur Radio
>VHF bands. The 140-MHz and 440-MHz bands are very active in most areas,
>with the 220-MHz band lagging somewhat behind... ...etc. ..."

I would NOT say that the 220MHz band is "lagging somewhat behind."

Here in Southern California, the band is FULL. Most repeater pairs have at
least 3 machines on them (in different but overlapping coverage areas). In
fact, it was only 1999 when the last repeater that had been bumped from the 1991
alignment (loss) of the band found a new home frequency.

Just because in your area there may be available pairs doesn't mean that you
should say that the band is less than fully utilized.

2189. Re: My apology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 05:21:30 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <udP57.156810$mG4.76131065@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 62504

I was reported to my ISP and it had nothing to do with Ebay.
Yes, there are some low life cowards who have nothing better
to live for than to try to cause others problems. Yes, you
are cowards!!!!!! BTW, I still post for sale ads here even
though I have been 'reported'.
DeWayne

"Michael A. Covington"
<see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x> wrote in
message
news:47N57.2838$t3.396481@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net...
>
> "John Schneider" <theoldcop@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:9j7j6icl1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> > On July 16th of this year I posted a "For Auction"
notice on this
> newsgroup,
> > apparently in violation of eBay policy. I have since
received a notice
> from
> > eBay advising me of this indiscretion and, if I have
insulted, violated or
> > otherwise upset other rec.radio.scan members, I
apologize.
>
> Hmm, I didn't know eBay had such a policy. Most
newsgroups permit brief
> "for sale" announcements of items highly relevant to the
newsgroup. It's
> not entirely clear whether this one does, but posting one
here does not seem
> to be a heinous offense. Now if you were selling laser
toner cartridges and
> cross-posting to 50 newsgroups, *then* we'd be upset! :)
>
>
>

2190. Re: Question Concerning Sunspots

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 05:43:20 UTC
From: Michael Oszko <moszko@everestkc.net>
Message-ID: <LFO57.25$Gp4.49332253@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 62505
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try www.howstuffworks.com and search on "sunspots", "radio", etc.

TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message
news:NmG47.8155$I5.1636463@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Ever since I've gotten into the hobby, I've heard a lot of people
discussing
> sunspot activity and how it affects radio comms. Can anyone tell me where
I
> can learn about the freqs affected by sunspots? Is there a site that can
> tell me when this activity will occur? Thanks.
>
>
>

2191. Re: Interesting low-band skip tonight..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 12:03:26 UTC
From: Scott <spikelee7@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ic7gltg5l7j8m73301p4ms2k94ulhlhb3h@4ax.com>
Source record: 62509

When the sun spot cycles are good you never know. On the 10 meter
band (28Mhz) out of my car here in California I talked to a guy in the
mountains of Mongolia, USSR. I'd have to look into the cycles to see
when we peak again. Once we do, you're going to be in for a treat.
I'd even do a band scan around 29Mhz for the 10meter FM repeaters.

Scott
N6UUH

On 18 Jul 2001 20:49:02 GMT, grtpmpkin32@aol.com (GrtPmpkin32) wrote:

>>
>>Actually, I Live In Ireland, and about 3 Months ago I heard alot of
>>the same, in arount the same freq's, good skip coming across the
>>Atlantic.
>>
>
>Now THERE are good conditions... glad to hear this kind of skip is more common
>than I ever thought!
>Linus

2192. Crystals needed for Monitor pager

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 12:35:12 UTC
From: vhfradiobuff@aol.comspamsux
Message-ID: <20010720083512.14980.00000218@ng-cv1.aol.com>
Source record: 62508

I am looking to replace the three crystals in a Motorola Monitor Pager that I have so that it will receive 155.655 (the local police frequency). If anyone can tell me where I can get these replacement crystals, please email me!
=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-=-
73! de KC2SSB (ex: KF4KHC/HL9HCT)
Toms River, NJ USA! Grid FM29
http://vhfradiobuff.tripod.com ICQ#13829227
http://egroups.com/group/vhfallmode

2193. Re: NYPD Helicopter freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 13:41:53 UTC
From: Bill Smith <bs@BSartist.com>
Message-ID: <ByW57.290$LP2.46655@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62510

There is also a "Comany Frequency" in the air band. But I have not heard them use it much. But it could be I'm out of range when I've tried.

123.300 MHz (AM Mode)

Bill Smith
http://bsartist.com

2194. Re: My apology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 14:07:31 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <9XW57.3777$t3.576422@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 62511

Bottom line: The fact that somebody complains about it doesn't mean it's wrong. You should reply by asking your ISP (or eBay, or whoever) whether the complainant actually showed them that there is such a policy, or merely made one up out of thin air.

During the 5 years that I handled computer security incidents for The University of Georgia, as many as a third of the complaints that we got were in bad faith. That is, the complainant was trying to harass someone by accusing them of trivial or even nonexistent wrongs, as a cover for his/her own worse behavior. We learned very quickly to take a close look at complainants, and to make our own assessment of the facts. Unfortunately, some ISPs don't seem to have realized this yet.

"DeWayne" <ke9k@home.com> wrote in message
news:udP57.156810$mG4.76131065@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> I was reported to my ISP and it had nothing to do with Ebay.
> Yes, there are some low life cowards who have nothing better
> to live for than to try to cause others problems. Yes, you
> are cowards!!!!!! BTW, I still post for sale ads here even
> though I have been 'reported'.
> DeWayne
>
> "Michael A. Covington"
> <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x> wrote in
> message
> news:47N57.2838$t3.396481@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net...
> >
> > "John Schneider" <theoldcop@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:9j7j6icl1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> > > On July 16th of this year I posted a "For Auction"
> notice on this
> > newsgroup,
> > > apparently in violation of eBay policy. I have since
> received a notice
> > from
> > > eBay advising me of this indiscretion and, if I have
> insulted, violated or
> > > otherwise upset other rec.radio.scan members, I
> apologize.
> >
> > Hmm, I didn't know eBay had such a policy. Most
> newsgroups permit brief
> > "for sale" announcements of items highly relevant to the
> newsgroup. It's
> > not entirely clear whether this one does, but posting one

> here does not seem
> > to be a heinous offense. Now if you were selling laser
> toner cartridges and
> > cross-posting to 50 newsgroups, *then* we'd be upset! :)
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2195. Re: Upset with Daytona Beach Police....Very UnProfessional

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 15:35:24 UTC
From: av8tor@licensed4fun.com
Message-ID: <0dY57.2956$Yk.454245693@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62512

>I think you should take this drivel to alt.bash.cops and leave this group for
>discussion about scanners.
>Dan
>N2TYB
>"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

I looked for an alt.bash.cops and there isn't one on my newserver. If anyone
has an idea of where I can post this information please let me know
George
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <-RadiosOnLine eZine for the Scanner Nut!

--

I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--

2196. What is trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 15:43:42 UTC
From: Cody Frasz <fraszcod@msu.edu>
Message-ID: <9j9j1u$16b3$1@msunews.cl.msu.edu>
Source record: 62513

I see this mentioned a lot. What is it? I am a beginner, any
recommendations on a compact scanner (handheld) would also be appreciated.
Thanks

2197. Re: What is trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 16:39:43 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010720123943.29884.00000341@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62514

>Subject: What is trunking?
>From: "Cody Frasz" fraszcod@msu.edu
>Date: 7/20/01 11:43 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9j9j1u$16b3$1@msunews.cl.msu.edu>
>
>I see this mentioned a lot. What is it? I am a beginner, any
>recommendations on a compact scanner (handheld) would also be appreciated.
>Thanks
>

Trunking is a Radio System that is run via computer, which assigns Talkgroups, Priority Status and routes traffic to the right place..
sort of like a Telephone Exchange.

Further on Trunking is available from:
http://www.strongsignals.net/
Go to the FAQ, and New to Scanning

There is also a section there that does reviews and provides background on Trunking Scanners
= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

2198. Re: Upset with Daytona Beach Police....Very UnProfessional

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 16:53:32 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010720125332.29884.00000342@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62516

>Subject: Re: Upset with Daytona Beach Police....Very UnProfessional

I liked the post made about bringing this to the attention of the right people
in Daytona Beach Government.

Also I am sure the local News Media does Scan Police Frequencies, maybe they
know and by bringing it up they will then have a Story to run...why knows
= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

2199. Re: My apology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 16:56:55 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010720125655.29884.00000343@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62515

>Subject: Re: My apology
>From: "Michael A. Covington" see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x
>Date: 7/20/01 10:07 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9XW57.3777$t3.576422@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
>
>Bottom line: The fact that somebody complains about it doesn't mean it's
>wrong. You should reply by asking your ISP (or eBay, or whoever) whether
>the complainant actually showed them that there is such a policy, or merely
>made one up out of thin air.
>
>During the 5 years that I handled computer security incidents for The
>University of Georgia, as many as a third of the complaints that we got were
>in bad faith. That is, the complainant was trying to harass someone by
>accusing them of trivial or even nonexistent wrongs, as a cover for his/her
>own worse behavior. We learned very quickly to take a close look at
>complainants, and to make our own assessment of the facts. Unfortunately,
>some ISPs don't seem to have realized this yet.
>

Well said, well said indeed.
= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

2200. Re: Motorola ASTRO System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 17:27:33 UTC
From: Chief Sharkey <Crane@seaview.org.mil.gov.com>
Message-ID: <9j9po8$e97$1@news.monmouth.com>
Source record: 62517

"PB Scanner" <pbscanner@cs.com> wrote in message
news:20010719190034.23891.00000192@ng-mp1.news.cs.com...
> >Subject: Re: Motorola ASTRO System
> >From: "Eduardo Ortiz"
>
> Heres another bit of News: Motorola Press Release about all of Florida
going
> Trunked ASTRO...
>
> http://www.mot.com/LMPS/pressreleases/florida.html
>
> its a bit dated

"a bit dated" ??? They're about to rip out that Astro system in that press release and replace it with EDACS garbage. There were a lot of questionable moves on the part of Florida to conduct meetings behind closed doors (in violation of sunshine laws) - with only one vendor present (Ericsson), and the public excluded.

Motorola is still litigating this IIRC. The decision to go EDACS means all the communities which bought Astro equipment to be able to communicate with FHP, and other FL agencies are now SOL...they will have to now purchase Ericsson equipment, and get rid of their Astro equipment (has Astro & EDACS - are not compatible), much less Ericssons implementation of CAI-25 - Motorola & Ericsson radios will not work with one another.

Motorola built the system to Florida specifications ahead of schedule, and on budget. Florida awarded the contract to Ericsson, even though they have NO experience in building out a huge project such has this, and in fact some rules were changed (or completely ignored) by Florida to accomodate Ericsson.

Totally fucked up move worthy of some serious investigation. The taxpayers of Florida should be up in arms over this...

2201. Re: Upset with Daytona Beach Police....Very UnProfessional

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 18:08:09 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010720140809.01701.00002932@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 62518

In article <9j7dfg$468k$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>,
av8tor@licensed4fun.com (Av8tor) writes:

>Today during their "undercover sting" I was able to get a few photos of their
>unmarked cars being used. I'm thinking of posting these on my RadiosOnLine
>website under a new section called "UnderCover Cops Busted!". What do you
>think?

I think you need to get a life. Call someone who cares.

2202. Re: Motorola ASTRO System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 18:08:09 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010720140809.01701.00002931@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 62519

In article <tlbfr27h3vm46d@corp.supernews.com>, "Eduardo Ortiz"
<eortiz@cmix.net> writes:

>No, there is not such a thing yet.
>
>Uniden is working on one and should be available by the end of the year if
>there are no delays.

It won't happen, at least not here in the Land of the Lawyers & greedy
corporate lobbyists.

Expect the issue to be tied up in court for years.

2203. Re: Scanner help for a Ham ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 18:48:28 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <02%57.74755$qs5.14119499@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 62520

Joe,

I use one HF comm receiver (Drake R8B) and a bunch of scanners. I
didn't want the VHF/UHF board for the drake, as antenna switching would make
things messy, so I handle the situation by throwing hardware at it. I use
the Drake for all HF, a RS Pro-2042 for my local PD, Fire, EMS and airband.
Got a Uniden 780xlt for the trunking side and an Icom R2 for walking
around - this is a nice little radio! My Icom T81A HT four-bander is great
for travel and is a decent scanner in a limited sense.

73s

Paul, KC2FNC
NJ

"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3b54d68d.1040085512@news.erols.com...
> Greetings,
>
> I used that subject title 'cause I know so many Hams think they're
> superior to Scanner-buffs. I am a Gen. class ham (about 1 year) but I
still
> respect scanning and as all my airtime is in the HF I'd like to ask the ng
a
> scanner question. I don't want to get flamed, but I'll just give what I'd
> *like* to do and maybe you could tell me if it's possible and with what
rigs,
> etc. If it's not possible tell me how close I can get. I know very little
about
> scanning.
>
> What I'd like is a hand-held scanner that I can use to do everything !
> Well, actually what I'd like to do is sit out on my porch and listen to
talk
> radio on summer nites while keeping a scanner open for any targets of
> opportunity that travel past. I especially would like to monitor military
> fly-overs. I know there is some traffic on HF, but I'm maybe talking more
> VHF/UHF. At the same time I'd like a gen'l coverage scanner (hand-held)
> that I could program to local Police Fire, Rescue, etc. Unblocked would be
> nice. (Hopefully all in the same unit.) Computer interfacing is not
needed.
> What I'd really like to grab are those VHF/UHF milcomms as they fly over
> (I live near Andrew's and others).
>
> Currently, I've got a Yaesu FT-980 and a nice little RS DX-392. The

> Yaesu was modified by original owner and will tune down to 0 Hz.. Yep, I
can
> put it down on 60Hz. and listen to the power-line hum. Very freaky to
hear;
> You start thinking, "All that's going through me?" Sounds like the Mother-
> ship landing. The DX-392 IMHO is the best 100.00 portable out there.
> They are both great for what they do, but I think a scanner would make a
> nice compliment. Imagine sitting out on the porch at nite with a few
cold,
> frosty ones while the FT-980's on 40M, the DX-392's on Art Bell, and the
> scanner grabbing F-16's or Herc. transports as they fly over. Sounds like
a
> great way to spend a summer/fall nite to me ! Is it possible ? Which rigs
?
> Costs? Web- sites for more info/specs. ?
>
> Alot to ask. Please accept my thanks in advance for any help you can
> offer. My respect and good wishes to you all.
>
> 73,
>
> Andrew/ KG4JBM
>

2204. Re: Gounding of Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 18:52:05 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <p5%57.74757$qs5.14122520@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 62521

If you have a well, the well casing can be used and provides an excellent ground, as it is a cast-iron structure no less than 50' into the earth at the well point.

Paul, KC2FNC
NJ

"Av8tor" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message news:zS_47.263$_Y2.46813218@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> I'm just about to put up a 30' antenna mast and was wondering about grounding.
> The only ground rods I've been able to find at the local stores are 5' long.
> I was wondering if 5' is long enough. Also, would it help if I put in 2 or
> three 5' rods around the mast, would this improve lighting protection?
>
> The reason I'm worried is that I'm sure this antenna will be hit by lighting as
> it will be the heights object in the area and we live in Florida, the lighting
> capital of the world!
>
> Thanks,
> George
> http://www.Licensed4Fun.com
> --
> ____
> I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
> I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
> --> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
> ____
>

2205. Re: AOR AR8200IIB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 18:53:39 UTC
From: dex2600@lycos.ne.jp
Message-ID: <dex2600-2107010353390001@90.pool2.osaka.att.ne.jp>
Source record: 62522

yeah good scanner,but there is a problem for sensibility.
very sophiscated high end reciever and there is
a software for AR8200mk2 called arexsystem
this is excellent software.if you get 8200mk2
you have to get this.if you don't use with PC,
I recommend yupi MVT9000mk2 has more good sensibility.

2206. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 19:17:45 UTC
From: "Aaron R. Kulkis" <akulkis@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B5883D9.92C337E4@yahoo.com>
Source record: 62523
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
>
> Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote
> > Ah... squids were simply bus drivers to me...with the exception of the
> SNIP
>
> It is good that you remember that you were reliant on the Navy for
> your transportation. I'll bet you were reliant on them for food
> & medical also.

So they're sea-going truck drivers, too

>
> > on the KIA list. I have known a few Navy commo guys, in years gone by,
> > who had shore duty doing repeater setups, etc etc..who got into the shit
> > on a regular basis..and I have oodles of respect for them for the most
> > part...but you? Based on your posts... I figure you may wind up at DeVry
> > sooner or later in the Slow group.
>
> I set up communication systems. But your knowledge of navy comms is
> severly
> dated. Repeaters are not used any more, since the modern days of
> satelites. BTW - If I were at DeVry, it would be as a Professor or
> Instructor,
> certainly not a student. So you'll have to find a different friend to keep
> you
> company a the DeVry "slow group".

--
Aaron R. Kulkis
Unix Systems Engineer
DNRC Minister of all I survey
ICQ # 3056642

L: This seems to have reduced my spam. Maybe if everyone does it we
can defeat the email search bots. tosspam@aol.com abuse@aol.com
abuse@yahoo.com abuse@hotmail.com abuse@msn.com abuse@sprint.com
abuse@earthlink.com

K: Truth in advertising:
Left Wing Extremists Charles Schumer and Donna Shalala,
Black Seperatist Anti-Semite Louis Farrakhan,
Special Interest Sierra Club,
Anarchist Members of the ACLU

Left Wing Corporate Extremist Ted Turner
The Drunken Woman Killer Ted Kennedy
Grass Roots Pro-Gun movement,

J: Other knee_jerk reactionaries: billh, david casey, redc1c4,
The retarded sisters: Raunchy (rauni) and Anencephielle (Enielle),
also known as old hags who've hit the wall....

I: Loren Petrich's 2-week stubborn refusal to respond to the
challenge to describe even one philosophical difference
between himself and the communists demonstrates that, in fact,
Loren Petrich is a COMMUNIST ***hole

H: "Having found not one single carbon monoxide leak on the entire
premises, it is my belief, and Willard concurs, that the reason
you folks feel listless and disoriented is simply because
you are lazy, stupid people"

G: Knackos...you're a retard.

F: Unit_4's "Kook hunt" reminds me of "Jimmy Baker's" harangues against
adultery while concurrently committing adultery with Tammy Hahn.

E: Jet is not worthy of the time to compose a response until
her behavior improves.

D: Jet Silverman now follows me from newgroup to newsgroup
...despite (C) above.

C: Jet Silverman claims to have killfiled me.

B: Jet Silverman plays the fool and spews out nonsense as a
method of sidetracking discussions which are headed in a
direction that she doesn't like.

A: The wise man is mocked by fools.

2207. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 19:18:49 UTC
From: "Aaron R. Kulkis" <akulkis@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B588419.B6551C4@yahoo.com>
Source record: 62524
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
>
> Aaron R. Kulkis <akulkis@yahoo.com> wrote
> > "K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
> > > I never said I didn't know who they are!! I assume with the names you
> > > have thrown around that you are referring to "Carl Von" Clauswitz, the
> > > Napoleonic soldier; "General" Von Stuben of the Prussian military; and
> > >
> > Wrong. Clauswitz was a military philosopher on the German General Staff.
>
> Are you sure there were not two?

There's only ONE to whom Gunner was referring...and it is OBVIOUS WHICH ONE that was.

>
> > Von Stuben wrote the first book of field drills and Manual of Arms for the
> > Continental Army, and also trained the first drill sergeants (at Valley
> > Forge). Thus, all US Army Drill Sergeants trace the roots of their
> > training back to him.
>
> OK, so are you making this statement or asking us to confirm your question?

I'm telling you...moron.

--
Aaron R. Kulkis
Unix Systems Engineer
DNRC Minister of all I survey
ICQ # 3056642

L: This seems to have reduced my spam. Maybe if everyone does it we
can defeat the email search bots. tosspam@aol.com abuse@aol.com
abuse@yahoo.com abuse@hotmail.com abuse@msn.com abuse@sprint.com
abuse@earthlink.com

K: Truth in advertising:
Left Wing Extremists Charles Schumer and Donna Shalala,
Black Seperatist Anti-Semite Louis Farrakhan,
Special Interest Sierra Club,
Anarchist Members of the ACLU
Left Wing Corporate Extremist Ted Turner
The Drunken Woman Killer Ted Kennedy
Grass Roots Pro-Gun movement,

J: Other knee_jerk reactionaries: billh, david casey, redc1c4,
The retarded sisters: Raunchy (rauni) and Anencephielle (Enielle),
also known as old hags who've hit the wall....

I: Loren Petrich's 2-week stubborn refusal to respond to the
challenge to describe even one philosophical difference
between himself and the communists demonstrates that, in fact,
Loren Petrich is a COMMUNIST ***hole

H: "Having found not one single carbon monoxide leak on the entire
premises, it is my belief, and Willard concurs, that the reason
you folks feel listless and disoriented is simply because
you are lazy, stupid people"

G: Knackos...you're a retard.

F: Unit_4's "Kook hunt" reminds me of "Jimmy Baker's" harangues against
adultery while concurrently committing adultery with Tammy Hahn.

E: Jet is not worthy of the time to compose a response until
her behavior improves.

D: Jet Silverman now follows me from newgroup to newsgroup
...despite (C) above.

C: Jet Silverman claims to have killfiled me.

B: Jet Silverman plays the fool and spews out nonsense as a
method of sidetracking discussions which are headed in a
direction that she doesn't like.

A: The wise man is mocked by fools.

2208. Re: AOR AR8200IIB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 19:26:40 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010720152640.17913.00000633@ng-md1.aol.com>
Source record: 62525

>I recommend yupi MVT9000mk2 has more good sensibility.
>

Yes, the Yupi is a very sensible scanner.

;)
Dan
N2TYB
"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

2209. Rhode Island Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 20:05:22 UTC
From: Chris Emerson <emmy412@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6a067.1286$Px1.133991@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62526

Anyone interested in posting messages for any Rhode Island information, a meesage board for RI has been site up via Yahoo.

Here's the address:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanri

I have noticed that there is a site for CT and MA but nothing for RI.

2210. Re: AOR AR8200IIB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 20:29:53 UTC
From: kansaibandfan@kansai.com
Message-ID: <kansaibandfan-2107010529530001@69.pool2.osaka.att.ne.jp>
Source record: 62527

daniel,

have you ever use yupi MVT7300??
im planning to buy it.
any comments are thankfull.

2211. Baltimore Foxtrot frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 20:56:05 UTC
From: Spleen <spleen@organs.net>
Message-ID: <rj5hltcuvm0125544u4von6u7qbt95pe6b@4ax.com>
Source record: 62528

I have no idea what band to even CONSIDER scanning, now that (new improved) Foxtrot chopper is back in the air...

2212. Baton Rouge Area Scanning has new URL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 21:19:45 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <ga167.127526$HJ1.4360393@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62529
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

BellSouth has decided to change the way their webspace
works so there has been a slight change in the URL to the
Baton Rouge Area Scanning website:

http://personal.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://personal.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

2213. Re: My apology

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 21:31:36 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <Xq167.2260$ZM4.267268@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62530

Getting reported to ones ISP happens all the time.
Outspoken people, or very active internet users will always upset someone.
Don't worry about it. If ur ISP is a butthole about it, then to hell with them,
there are tons more out there that are better anyhow.

2214. THE PDW 110 DECODER SOFTWARE FOR FREE !!HERE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 22:04:21 UTC
From: bumpoo2002@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <8bab4a69.0107201404.2aa4e3f2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62531

LOVELY JUBBLY THIS IS WHAT HAPPENS WHEN THE AUTHOR IS A THIEVING FUCK !

HERE IS HIS PROGRAM ALL FOR FREE COMPLETE WITH SERIAL NUMBER
D
EVERYTHING HE EVER MAKES AGAIN WILL BE HACKED SO BOLLOCKS TO HIM

DONT STEAL OTHERS WORK AND FREE SOURCE CODE BASTARD

HELP YOURSELF PEOPLE

http://www.geocities.com/bumpoo2002/pdw110.zip

THE CAPPA

2215. ID Tracker (Formerly BC780 Extender) Now Available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 22:13:16 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <rY167.127568$HJ1.4372483@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Freeware for the BC780XLT and BC245XLT.

ID Tracker V1.0.0

http://personal.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://personal.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

2216. Re: FA Pro-43 and Pro-2004 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 23:07:52 UTC
From: Julie <backster@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <QG267.495$s4.157097@elnws01>
Source record: 62533
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Mike,

So why did you take them off of EBAY?

unhappy bidder

"Mike Dockery" <captainmike747@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:PPJ57.11401$gj1.1079408@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Links to a couple of nice radios up for auction on Ebay.
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1257041831
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1257048662
>
> Mike
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2217. FA Last Day! BC895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-20 23:59:28 UTC
From: kf5oj@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3b58c5c7.7025496@news1.lig.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 62534

Last day!
Uniden BC895XLT TrunkTracker Base/Mobile Scanner
Bidding currently at $85.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1256311619

2218. Re: Upset with Daytona Beach Police....Very UnProfessional

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 00:56:40 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9jak08$9dn$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 62535

In article <9j7dfg$468k$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>,
av8tor@licensed4fun.com says...
>
>I would like to hear some options to this. The Daytona Police are (almost
>daily) running "undercover Prostitute" stings. While they are doing this,
>many more important calls go unanswered due to "no available units".
Er CHILL OUT DUDE!
Cops are not like you and I.
They seldom are called on to obey the laws they "enforce".
While your plan looks like fun, you will only bring unwanted(I am assuming
that you really are in no rush to die, right!?> upon you and your family.
The LAW does not like to be caught with their pants down,a nd will rememeber
you for a long, long time. Get real and just enjoy the foolishness.
Remember the POLICE have no duty to you or any other "individual".(except in
rare case so bizre as to never occur). The SCSC has ruled many times, in cases
that whould shock you, that individuals enjoy no prtection. Get used to it,
COPS are NOT your friends. They are NOT there to "serve and protect". And,
that most of the time, they will do dman well just what they want to do. And
you are a powerless serf.
You can fight. You can expuse them. But, after the cameras go away it will be
the same old same old. Rampart LA is rare in that they got caught. the Black
guy in NYC that got a wooden handle shoved up his anus was lucky to survive,a
nd, very lucky to not only survive but to win a judgment. Remember the poor
guy who got shot 41 times. The list of police crimes is long and growing.
Speak out against them at your own risk.

2219. Re: What happened to scanshack.com?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 01:01:49 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9jak9t$9dn$2@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 62536

In article <3b579e8a.4041259@news>, fred@somewhere.com says...
>
>I go to scanshack.com and get redirected to scannerdweeb.com. I'm
>specifically looking for the article that shows how to convert a
>Uniden 3000xlt battery pack to use AA's, but I can't find and of the
>tech links anymore.
>
>I even found an archived google (deja) article that had the url, but
>it still redirected me to scannerdweeb.com.
>
>Help?
He recevie a not to friendly letter from the all and mighty "Radio Hack" (the
"youve got questions and we have not got a clue" people) shutting him down.
I don't know where his articles went, but all that is left is his scannerdweeb
series. Good luck.

2220. My message to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 01:14:15 UTC
From: John Schneider <thecop@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <HH467.76789$qs5.14631423@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 62538

To ALL of you who took the time to email me with your words of support I offer the following:

----- Original Message -----
From: John Schneider
To: safeharbor@ebay.com
Sent: Friday, July 20, 2001 9:11 PM
Subject: Policy violation

Cassendra,

I recently received an email from your organization "reminding me" of your policy regarding spam and USENET posts. In the body of your message you state that you can neither judge nor evaluate my post, and then comes your "reminder", followed by your advising me that further violation may result in action taken against me via my eBay account.

WHAT HAVE I VIOLATED IF YOU CAN NEITHER JUDGE NOR EVALUATE MY POST ???!!!

This message is to advise you that I take very serious exception to your unsolicited, (SPAM?????) message to me based on an uninvestigated claim of abuse.

Although you saw fit to send me your warning, you have not indicated to me who the sender was, and why, for the love of GOD, would you not fully investigate the complaint.

I am a police officer and if I ever took action based on some uncorroborated complaint, I would be in quite serious trouble.

I am respectfully, although with a certain deal of anger, requesting the e-mail address or the name of the person responsible for reporting an ALLEGED abuse of your policy.

After all, if you accepted his word as prima facie evidence, then, at the very least, I have the right to confront my accuser.

I remain,
John Schneider
thecop@optonline.net

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.265 / Virus Database: 137 - Release Date: 7/18/01

2221. Re: Telescoping Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 01:17:43 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B58D837.4BB512C6@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62537

I was looking for something else on the RS website, and there was the
20-006A, right with all the other scanning stuff.

Walt

Jay wrote:
>
> "Eb" <k7eric@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<3b559632$0$94312$39cecf19@news2.twtelecom.net>...
>
> > On the radio shack antenna...the only valid part number I can find is
> > 200-0006A. Is this the right one?
>
> If you are trying to find it on Radio Shack's online site, forget it.
> That's what I tried at first but couldn't find it.
>
> I just walked into a Shack and there were plenty on the rack (marked
> 20-006A, $9.95)
>
> Jay

2222. Re: My message to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 01:21:37 UTC
From: Rotec <rot@tec.comski>
Message-ID: <BO467.8176$EP6.2584769@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 62539

Go Get em John..

"John Schneider" <thecop@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:HH467.76789$qs5.14631423@news02.optonline.net...
> To ALL of you who took the time to email me with your words of support I
> offer the following:
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: John Schneider
> To: safeharbor@ebay.com
> Sent: Friday, July 20, 2001 9:11 PM
> Subject: Policy violation
>
>
> Cassendra,
>
> I recently received an email from your organization "reminding me" of your
> policy regarding spam and USENET posts. In the body of your message you
> state that you can neither judge nor evaluate my post, and then comes your
> "reminder", followed by your advising me that further violation may result
> in action taken against me via my eBay account.
>
> WHAT HAVE I VIOLATED IF YOU CAN NEITHER JUDGE NOR EVALUATE MY POST ???!!!
>
> This message is to advise you that I take very serious exception to your
> unsolicited, (SPAM?????) message to me based on an uninvestigated claim of
> abuse.
>
> Although you saw fit to send me your warning, you have not indicated to me
> who the sender was, and why, for the love of GOD, would you not fully
> investigate the complaint.
>
> I am a police officer and if I ever took action based on some
uncorroborated
> complaint, I would be in quite serious trouble.
>
> I am respectfully, although with a certain deal of anger, requesting the
> e-mail address or the name of the person responsible for reporting an
> ALLEGED abuse of your policy.
>
> After all, if you accepted his word as prima facie evidence, then, at the
> very least, I have the right to confront my accuser.
>
> I remain,

> John Schneider
> thecop@optonline.net
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.265 / Virus Database: 137 - Release Date: 7/18/01
>
>

2223. Re: My message to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 01:44:06 UTC
From: Scott <spikelee7@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ghnhltgeflsfiunufqigsdvaua13uf40s1@4ax.com>
Source record: 62542

Very well written!!!!!!!

Scott
N6UUH

On Sat, 21 Jul 2001 01:14:15 GMT, "John Schneider"
<thecop@optonline.net> wrote:
<snip>
>
>Cassendra,
>
>I recently received an email from your organization "reminding me" of your
>policy regarding spam and USENET posts. In the body of your message you

<snip>

>I am respectfully, although with a certain deal of anger, requesting the
>e-mail address or the name of the person responsible for reporting an
>ALLEGED abuse of your policy.
>
>After all, if you accepted his word as prima facie evidence, then, at the
>very least, I have the right to confront my accuser.
>
>I remain,
>John Schneider
>thecop@optonline.net

2224. Re: FA Pro-43 and Pro-2004 on Ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 02:02:34 UTC
From: Tom <ki0pa@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <_o567.233035$%i7.131309750@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 62540
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Please be aware that auction:

1257041831 - Radio Shack PRO-2004 Receiver/Scanner Radio

in which you were a bidding participant, has been ended early
by eBay for violating one or more of our listing guidelines.

Tom ki0pa

E-BAY took the pro-2004 off.
>
> So why did you take them off of EBAY?
>
> unhappy bidder
>
> "Mike Dockery" <captainmike747@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:PPJ57.11401$gj1.1079408@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > Links to a couple of nice radios up for auction on Ebay.
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1257041831
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1257048662
> >
> > Mike
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2225. Re: My message to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 02:08:32 UTC
From: "Johan N." <blabla@blabla.com>
Message-ID: <Cu567.5712$5t1.7702@nntpserver.swip.net>
Source record: 62541

Thats right John!
Keep it up!!!!!!!

2226. Re: My message to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 03:28:04 UTC
From: nospam@aol.com
Message-ID: <9jass4$n0jv0$1@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62543

hahaha.. *ROFL*... look ebay is not going to budge, plain and simple. ther're just going to reply with the same old "appologize" and "sorry, we can't disclose any information" email. ebay has their rules set, and they will not change them. I know, the ebay police are idiots, but we just can't change ebay.

In article <HH467.76789$qs5.14631423@news02.optonline.net>, thecop@optonline.net says...
>
>To ALL of you who took the time to email me with your words of support I
>offer the following:
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: John Schneider
>To: safeharbor@ebay.com
>Sent: Friday, July 20, 2001 9:11 PM
>Subject: Policy violation
>
>
>Cassendra,
>
>I recently received an email from your organization "reminding me" of your
>policy regarding spam and USENET posts. In the body of your message you
>state that you can neither judge nor evaluate my post, and then comes your
>"reminder", followed by your advising me that further violation may result
>in action taken against me via my eBay account.
>
>WHAT HAVE I VIOLATED IF YOU CAN NEITHER JUDGE NOR EVALUATE MY POST ???!!!
>
>This message is to advise you that I take very serious exception to your
>unsolicited, (SPAM?????) message to me based on an uninvestigated claim of
>abuse.
>
>Although you saw fit to send me your warning, you have not indicated to me
>who the sender was, and why, for the love of GOD, would you not fully
>investigate the complaint.
>
>I am a police officer and if I ever took action based on some uncorroborated
>complaint, I would be in quite serious trouble.
>
>I am respectfully, although with a certain deal of anger, requesting the
>e-mail address or the name of the person responsible for reporting an
>ALLEGED abuse of your policy.
>

>After all, if you accepted his word as prima facie evidence, then, at the
>very least, I have the right to confront my accuser.
>
>I remain,
>John Schneider
>thecop@optonline.net
>
>
>
>
>
>---
>Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
>Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
>Version: 6.0.265 / Virus Database: 137 - Release Date: 7/18/01
>
>

2227. FS: MINT Bearcat UBC 3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 04:04:33 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <lb767.160782$mG4.77376958@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 62544
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

FS: MINT Bearcat UBC 3000XLT unblocked handheld, with nice case, ps, Watson W881 Super Gainer antenna, stock antenna, manual, box, all like new, bought new this year, $250 + shipping, CONUS only.

DeWayne KE9K

2228. Re: My message to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 04:08:33 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <5f767.160790$mG4.77385092@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 62545

Perhaps a class action law suit would get Ebay's attention.
My ISP sent me a similar warning.
DeWayne

"gamer" <nospam@aol.com> wrote in message
news:9jass4$n0jv0$1@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de...
> hahaha.. *ROFL*... look ebay is not going to budge, plain
and simple.
> ther're just going to reply with the same old "appologize"
and "sorry, we
> can't disclose any information" email. ebay has their
rules set, and they
> will not change them. I know, the ebay police are idiots,
but we just can't
> change ebay.
>
>
> In article
<HH467.76789$qs5.14631423@news02.optonline.net>,
> thecop@optonline.net says...
> >
> >To ALL of you who took the time to email me with your
words of support I
> >offer the following:
> >
> >----- Original Message -----
> >From: John Schneider
> >To: safeharbor@ebay.com
> >Sent: Friday, July 20, 2001 9:11 PM
> >Subject: Policy violation
> >
> >
> >Cassendra,
> >
> >I recently received an email from your organization
"reminding me" of your
> >policy regarding spam and USENET posts. In the body of
your message you
> >state that you can neither judge nor evaluate my post,
and then comes your
> >"reminder", followed by your advising me that further
violation may result
> >in action taken against me via my eBay account.
> >

> >WHAT HAVE I VIOLATED IF YOU CAN NEITHER JUDGE NOR
EVALUATE MY POST ???!!!
> >
> >This message is to advise you that I take very serious
exception to your
> >unsolicited, (SPAM?????) message to me based on an
uninvestigated claim of
> >abuse.
> >
> >Although you saw fit to send me your warning, you have
not indicated to me
> >who the sender was, and why, for the love of GOD, would
you not fully
> >investigate the complaint.
> >
> >I am a police officer and if I ever took action based on
some uncorroborated
> >complaint, I would be in quite serious trouble.
> >
> >I am respectfully, although with a certain deal of anger,
requesting the
> >e-mail address or the name of the person responsible for
reporting an
> >ALLEGED abuse of your policy.
> >
> >After all, if you accepted his word as prima facie
evidence, then, at the
> >very least, I have the right to confront my accuser.
> >
> >I remain,
> >John Schneider
> >thecop@optonline.net
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >---
> >Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> >Checked by AVG anti-virus system
(http://www.grisoft.com).
> >Version: 6.0.265 / Virus Database: 137 - Release Date:
7/18/01
> >
> >
>

2229. What was the last great unblocked....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 05:48:53 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <19855-3B5917C5-291@storefull-156.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62546

.scanner that was available here in the U.S.? The reason is simple....I WANT ONE! I'm in the Chicago area and have the 'funds' available for a legal 'person to person' sale, if anyone has one. Offers from anywhere else shall be 'deleted' immediately, as I shall NOT send 'funds' in the mail. Whatcha got and whatcha askin' for it? Vic.

2230. Re: Baltimore Foxtrot frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 06:41:20 UTC
From: jsaspo@attglobal.net
Message-ID: <420d12ed.0107202241.3548eeb4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62447

If you are not already part of it, join the Scan-DC list at
http://www.qth.net

It has heavy coverage of DC and Baltimore. Search the archives.
There is one guy on there (I think his name is Ron) who is always
posting excellent info on Aircraft and frequencies for the Baltimore
area.

Jay

> I have no idea what band to even CONSIDER scanning, now that (new
> improved) Foxtrot chopper is back in the air...

2231. Re: Motorola ASTRO System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 06:53:06 UTC
From: jsaspo@attglobal.net
Message-ID: <420d12ed.0107202253.1a3daf2d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62448

> It won't happen, at least not here in the Land of the Lawyers & greedy
> corporate lobbyists.
>
> Expect the issue to be tied up in court for years.

I'm not sure I agree. I think it will happen. I think another set of
powerful lobbyists (the media) who want to monitor for reporting
"newsworthy" events will push for digital access to non-encrypted
radio traffic.

Jay

2232. Have Maratrac Lo Band For Sale Or Trade.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 07:34:46 UTC
From: Wayne Daniels <flightmedicusam@home.com>
Message-ID: <qga67.77252$B5.17759072@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 62449
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.antennas,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,us.misc.family-radio

Have Maratrac Lo Band For Sale Or Trade.

SPECS:
99 CH Head
110 Watt
All Mounting Equipment
42-50 Splitt (modifiable for 6 meter)
Radio is in + 10 condition, will guarntee in writing from business.

Antenna if you need it and programming.

Feel free to call:

Wayne

251-634-9969

Reply to: USAMCFlightParamedic@hotmail.com

2233. Re: My message to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 10:50:20 UTC
From: Wilson@freedom.net
Message-ID: <3B595E6C.5CEB6539@freedom.net>
Source record: 62450

Very good John. And we all know that Ebay will have no legal retort. Once you sign on with them "they" believe "they" control your life, and that BS. When communicating with Ebay be sure you wear your kevlar, they tend to stab in the back without warning.

The ONLY color here is "BLUE" also.
PSP 3400

John Schneider wrote:

> To ALL of you who took the time to email me with your words of support I
> offer the following:
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: John Schneider
> To: safeharbor@ebay.com
> Sent: Friday, July 20, 2001 9:11 PM
> Subject: Policy violation
>
> Cassendra,
>
> I recently received an email from your organization "reminding me" of your
> policy regarding spam and USENET posts. In the body of your message you
> state that you can neither judge nor evaluate my post, and then comes your
> "reminder", followed by your advising me that further violation may result
> in action taken against me via my eBay account.
>
> WHAT HAVE I VIOLATED IF YOU CAN NEITHER JUDGE NOR EVALUATE MY POST ???!!!
>
> This message is to advise you that I take very serious exception to your
> unsolicited, (SPAM?????) message to me based on an uninvestigated claim of
> abuse.
>
> Although you saw fit to send me your warning, you have not indicated to me
> who the sender was, and why, for the love of GOD, would you not fully
> investigate the complaint.
>
> I am a police officer and if I ever took action based on some uncorroborated
> complaint, I would be in quite serious trouble.
>
> I am respectfully, although with a certain deal of anger, requesting the
> e-mail address or the name of the person responsible for reporting an
> ALLEGED abuse of your policy.
>
> After all, if you accepted his word as prima facie evidence, then, at the

> very least, I have the right to confront my accuser.
>
> I remain,
> John Schneider
> thecop@optonline.net
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.265 / Virus Database: 137 - Release Date: 7/18/01

2234. Re: Upset with Daytona Beach Police....Very UnProfessional

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 10:53:07 UTC
From: Wilson@freedom.net
Message-ID: <3B595F13.45F62714@freedom.net>
Source record: 62451

Yep, call the media so they can blow it out of proportion.

Face it, you screwed up. Now take to medicine.

"Michael A. Covington" wrote:

> You'll get more action by airing your complaints in Daytona Beach rather
> than here. If you can confirm these claims, the local newspaper might be
> interested.

2235. Re: What was the last great unblocked....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 12:17:31 UTC
From: Paul M <paul@coldnfrosty.com>
Message-ID: <vpe67.6916$N21.3526626@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 62452

Probably the Radio Shack PRO-2006. There are a few available that I've seen
for around $200. If you want high-end, look for an Icom IC-R7000.

"Vic Martinez" <t1000@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:19855-3B5917C5-291@storefull-156.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> .scanner that was available here in the U.S.? The reason is simple....I
> WANT ONE! I'm in the Chicago area and have the 'funds' available for a
> legal 'person to person' sale, if anyone has one. Offers from anywhere
> else shall be 'deleted' immediately, as I shall NOT send 'funds' in the
> mail. Whatcha got and whatcha askin' for it? Vic.
>

2236. Re: My message to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 13:51:10 UTC
From: Joe <J_Tester@excite.com>
Message-ID: <iNf67.2748$Px1.326543@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62453

John,

eBay will do the only thing that makes no sense:

They will suspend YOUR account!

Joe

"John Schneider" <thecop@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:HH467.76789$qs5.14631423@news02.optonline.net...
> To ALL of you who took the time to email me with your words of support I
> offer the following:
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: John Schneider
> To: safeharbor@ebay.com
> Sent: Friday, July 20, 2001 9:11 PM
> Subject: Policy violation
>
>
> Cassendra,
>
> I recently received an email from your organization "reminding me" of your
> policy regarding spam and USENET posts. In the body of your message you
> state that you can neither judge nor evaluate my post, and then comes your
> "reminder", followed by your advising me that further violation may result
> in action taken against me via my eBay account.
>
> WHAT HAVE I VIOLATED IF YOU CAN NEITHER JUDGE NOR EVALUATE MY POST ???!!!
>
> This message is to advise you that I take very serious exception to your
> unsolicited, (SPAM?????) message to me based on an uninvestigated claim of
> abuse.
>
> Although you saw fit to send me your warning, you have not indicated to me
> who the sender was, and why, for the love of GOD, would you not fully
> investigate the complaint.
>
> I am a police officer and if I ever took action based on some
uncorroborated
> complaint, I would be in quite serious trouble.
>
> I am respectfully, although with a certain deal of anger, requesting the
> e-mail address or the name of the person responsible for reporting an
> ALLEGED abuse of your policy.

>
> After all, if you accepted his word as prima facie evidence, then, at the
> very least, I have the right to confront my accuser.
>
> I remain,
> John Schneider
> thecop@optonline.net
>
>
>
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.265 / Virus Database: 137 - Release Date: 7/18/01
>
>
>

2237. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 14:17:52 UTC
From: "K S F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9jc3120679@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62458
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote
> God..you simply gotta love C3PO.. has the
SNIP

What's the matter, are you jealous that you OBVIOUSLY don't know the subject matter to which you are attempting to speak?

BTW - It's PO3, not C3PO. You apparently confused by watching a science fiction movie from the 1970's.

2238. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 14:19:55 UTC
From: "K S F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9jc34q06fh@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62462
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Aaron R. Kulkis <akulkis@yahoo.com> wrote
> There's only ONE to whom Gunner was referring...and it is OBVIOUS WHICH
ONE that was.

Dont' be so sure, he has clearly indicated his confusion with Science
Fiction movies.

2239. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 14:21:32 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9jc37q06ln@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62461
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

You have been contradicted by your own words.

Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote
> On Thu, 19 Jul 2001 01:02:22 -0700, "K S &#65533; F wrote:
> >It is good that you remember that you were reliant on the Navy for
> >your transportation. I'll bet you were reliant on them for food
> >& medical also.
> >
> Actually..no. for the vast part.

2240. Re: AOR AR8200IIB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 14:21:56 UTC
From: PG <pgwb3ku@aol.com>
Message-ID: <8eg67.1159$LP2.159332@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62454

Try sending a message in Chinese so we can laugh at your efforts.

Moron.

Paul

Daniel M. Conti <offdan43@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010720152640.17913.00000633@ng-md1.aol.com...
> >I recommend yupi MVT9000mk2 has more good sensibility.
> >
>
> Yes, the Yupi is a very sensible scanner.
>
> ;)
> Dan
> N2TYB
> "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

2241. Re: Bill Cheek is still dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 14:26:52 UTC
From: "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org>
Message-ID: <9jc3i0075l@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62460
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

How interesting that the person you refer to (who wrote the e-mail pointing
the finger
at other's for e-mail bombs & forgery) was kicked off of his ISP & two
different e-mail
lists for similar type of inappropriate actions, then later he was charged
in federal
courts for illegal actions.

"patterns do emerge"

<malexder@aol.com> wrote
> http://www.grove-ent.com/images/billcheek.jpg
> With the passing of Bill Cheek at noon on Saturday, July 22, 2000, a
chapter
> of radio closes.
>
>
>
> From: Bill Cheek (bcheek@san.rr.com)
> Subject: Coming Reign of Terror
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner
> View complete thread (19 articles)
> Date: 1998/07/05
>
>
>
>
> To the RRS and ARS newsgroups:
>
> Simple. I just turned somebody's lights out
> for an indeterminant, but probably long
> period of time.
>
> I've done it before and it kills his soul'
> eats him up; activates his ulcers and piles.
>
> He responds with a blitzkrieg of forgeries,
> e-mail bombs, and posts from a dozen of his
> aka's. I am usually not the only one on
> his target list. He singles out others for
> these attacks, too.
>
> So be forewarned and forearmed. It is EASY to

> tell forgeries. When I post to the newsgroup,
> the PATH line of MY posts will always end with
>
> !newshub.cts.com!relief.cts.com!not-for-mail
>
> If it ends with anything else, it ain't me.
> Furthermore, legitimate headers from MY posts
> will also contain the following entries:
>
> NNTP-Posting-Host: wagasa.cts.com
> Mime-Version: 1.0
> Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451
> Cache-Post-Path: wagasa.cts.com!unknown@dt022nec.san.rr.com
> X-CTS-Authuser: bcheek@cts.com
> Xref: proxye1.san.rr.com rec.radio.scanner:109982 alt.radio.scanner:27389
>
>
> Furthermore, any legitimate e-mails that I send
> out will have the following lines in the headers:
>
>
> Received: by mail2.san.rr.com for wcheeks1
> (with Cubic Circle's cucipop (v1.21 1997/08/10) DAY MO DATE TIME YEAR)
> X-From_: bcheek@san.rr.com DAY MO DATE TIME YEAR
> Received: from proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com (root@proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com
> [204.210.0.10])
> by mail-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with ESMTP id MAA12362
> for <you@your.domain.com>; DAY DATE MO YEAR TIME
> Received: from dr (dt022nec.san.rr.com [204.210.11.236])
> by proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with SMTP id MAA18261
> for <you@your.domain.com>; DAY DATE MO YEAR TIME
> Message-Id: <numbers.and.letters@proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com>
> Return-Receipt-To: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> Reply-To: <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> From: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> To: <you@your.domain.com>
> Subject: Header Test
> Date: DAY DATE MO YEAR TIME
> X-Priority: 1 (Highest)
> X-MSMail-Priority: High
> X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook 8.5, Build 4.71.2173.0
> Importance: High
> Disposition-Notification-To: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
> Content-Type: text
>
> If an e-mail header ain't pretty much exactly like the
> above, it ain't from ME, boys..... If ever there is a

> question, send me a civil e-mail to: bcheek@san.rr.com
> and then check out the header of my reply.
>
> The person whose lights I just turned out is given
> to sending large e-mail bombs to people IN MY NAME.
> Beware, if you get an unsolicited e-mail "from me"
> that has a large file attachment. Just delete it
> before you download it. I will not send anyone
> unsolicited files, so there's a clue right there.
>
> In a word, the person whose lights I just turned
> out WILL go on a rampage and while I will be a
> prime target, so will some of you....just so he can
> say it wasn't HIM. But it is.....
>
> Protect yourselves. And if and when anything does
> happen, I urge you to publicly discuss it and cite
> as many of the details as you can. There are people
> on this newsgroup who are skilled at ferreting out
> the sources and causes of these kinds of issues.
>
> Now....shall WE get back to scanners and scanning?
>
> THAT's my choice anyway, for as long as it doesn't
> prove futile. It will take a lot of other choices
> to dispel that futility. And each choice is an
> individual one. Despite that this is a "newsgroup",
> WE are not a "group". WE are only a mass of individuals.
>
> I don't think it gets any better than that. Every
> choice remains an individual one; and the solutions
> to ALL problems, perceived and real, lay in control
> of your own computers and control of your own software.
> Once you are in control of your hardware and software,
> then it is possible for us to act and function as a
> group. But again, this is a matter of a LOT of choices.
>
> Enough to constitute a quorum anyway.......
>
> Scanners and scanning coming up at 11.
>
> -- ----
> Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
> COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
> Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
> bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
> http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
> -- ---
>
>

>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the
eb -----
> http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+
groups
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
abuse@newsone.net

2242. Re: My message to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 14:30:54 UTC
From: Scott <spikelee7@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <l64jlt0cjfbfvp15a5cheg488dtsl6lo9e@4ax.com>
Source record: 62464

On Sat, 21 Jul 2001 04:08:33 GMT, "DeWayne" <ke9k@home.com> wrote:

>Perhaps a class action law suit would get Ebay's attention.
>My ISP sent me a similar warning.
>DeWayne

Class Action Law-Suits work rather well. I've posted to Usenet with "For Auction" information before. If it's "on topic", specific to the needs or interests of the group, then it should be allowed. I never got a warning from it. I've done this twice, including to this group. <shakes head>... some people have nothing better to do than whine and cry.

Once, I posted this "Beavis and Butthead" binary to the B&B Newsgroup. One guy wrote my ISP complaining that I posted a binary into a non-binary group, which forced him to download it, at something like a dollar an hour or so. He then wrote me, and chewed me out. What did my ISP do? Forward me the letter, and said "What's wrong with this guy?" LOL

E-Bay needs to chill... and others need to just relax, and use Filters... which do the job of eliminating what they don't want to see. But then again, it takes brains to do a filter, something the complainer lacks I take.

Cheers
Scott
N6UUH

2243. Re: Motorola ASTRO System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 14:42:30 UTC
From: Scott <spikelee7@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <nk4jlt8mch4d3poq2q53oo5622mcbi8m2f@4ax.com>
Source record: 62463

>I'm not sure I agree. I think it will happen. I think another set of
>powerful lobbyists (the media) who want to monitor for reporting
>"newsworthy" events will push for digital access to non-encrypted
>radio traffic.
>
>Jay

I agree that the use of 100% Encryption will never be used. Our Sheriff Department uses VHF radios still, and not trunked. They do have encryption mode on only a couple of radios, which isn't used except in the rarest of cases. For the small towns and counties, the equipment is too expensive. "Monitoring Times" makes mention of the Project 25 scanning radio currently in the works, so this big digital push is about to be defeated. Which goes to reinstate something I always say: No matter how much technology improves to prevent us from doing something (ie listening in, copying dvds, ect), there will always be something else that comes along to defeat it.

Scott
N6UUH

2244. Wanted: BC780XLT Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 14:44:23 UTC
From: dat2comm@juno.com
Message-ID: <ce2de7f8.0107210644.4f4745ba@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62455
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am looking for a BC780XLT to put in my truck.
If someone has one they wish to sell, let me know the price and condition.
I do not need it to be perfect, as long as it works like it should. I am planning to remote the front from the main part, so as long as the front is in good shape, the rest can be less than perfect, since it will go under the seat!

Contact me at:
dat2comm@juno.com with what you have.

Respectfully;

Kurt Fritsch MAR000
IPN MD Chapter Admin.

Owner
DAT2 Communications
www.dat2comm.com

WA3TOY

2245. Re: Which Coax?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 14:48:57 UTC
From: null@gte.net
Message-ID: <3b5995e0.511425138@news.gte.net>
Source record: 62456

On Sun, 15 Jul 2001 02:12:23 GMT, Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:

>In article <3b50f90a.7879738@news.gte.net>, null@gte.net says...
>> On 03 Jul 2001 00:48:30 GMT, ottoxxx@aol.com (OTTOXXX) wrote:
>>
>> >Can someone help me with my choice of coax for an external vhf / uhf scanner
>> >antenna?
>> >Should I go with the RG-8?
>> >And what does the 'U' designate? RG-8 vs RG-8U?
>> >TIA
>>
>>
>> I would use LDF4-50 for runns less than 100' or LDF5-50 out to 300'
>>
>> Ron
>>
>>
>At $5 - $6 a foot?
>
>That's a bit of overkill isn't it?!
>
>
>Glenn
Maybe, but if you have it why not use it.
6 Runs of LDF-4 and 2 Runs of LDF-5 running to 3 Towers.

Ron

2246. Re: Upset with Daytona Beach Police....Very UnProfessional

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 15:06:54 UTC
From: "Michael A. Covington" <see.www.covingtoninnovations.com.for.address@x>
Message-ID: <zUg67.4909$t3.934879@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 62457

Notice I said "if you can confirm"!

<Wilson@freedom.net> wrote in message news:3B595F13.45F62714@freedom.net...
> Yep, call the media so they can blow it out of proportion.
>
> Face it, you screwed up. Now take to medicine.
>
> "Michael A. Covington" wrote:
>
> > You'll get more action by airing your complaints in Daytona Beach rather
> > than here. If you can confirm these claims, the local newspaper might
be
> > interested.
>

2247. Re: What was the last great unblocked....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 15:19:27 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <24h67.432$O31.148507@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62459

There is a guy who frequents these newsgroups who lives in Lubbock Texas and visits the UK often.
He makes a killing by going to the UK, buying unblocked scanners and then bringing them back to the USA
and selling them brand new, unopened to the USA public.
He frequents Ebay but can also be found in these newsgroups.
Maybe he will come out and say "hi" for a little bit. I saw him in here a couple of weeks ago talking about
something (forgot what the subject was).
Do you need a handheld unblocked?
The last great receiver to be unblockable is the AOR5000+3.
It is easily unblocked through a few commands sent to it over the serial port.
On the other hand, the FCC told AOR to either fix this issue or to stop selling them so the newer
AOR's I think, simply have the password changed to something else to get into region change mode or a
hardware/firmware solution was implemented that is not so easy to crack.

"Paul M" <paul@coldnfrosty.com> wrote in message
news:vpe67.6916$N21.3526626@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> Probably the Radio Shack PRO-2006. There are a few available that I've seen
> for around $200. If you want high-end, look for an Icom IC-R7000.
>
> "Vic Martinez" <t1000@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:19855-3B5917C5-291@storefull-156.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > .scanner that was available here in the U.S.? The reason is simple....I
> > WANT ONE! I'm in the Chicago area and have the 'funds' available for a
> > legal 'person to person' sale, if anyone has one. Offers from anywhere
> > else shall be 'deleted' immediately, as I shall NOT send 'funds' in the
> > mail. Whatcha got and whatcha askin' for it? Vic.
> >
>
>

2248. AR8600 vs. VR5000 vs. MVT9000 mkII ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 15:52:27 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tlj98pthq8rcfc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62465

I'm looking for the BEST ears possible in the lower 900's (902-928 to be exact ;->)..... PC control would be great, but not if it means passing up the best performance. I have a Yupi MVT-7100 now, that does a great job pulling in the signal, but has an annoying habit of clipping/distorting some signals due to bandpass limitations (too bad there wasn't some set ch freqs/steps/etc., in this band, but....) on the upper bands. Sometimes, step permitting, wfm mode solves the problem. If the MVT9000 had PC control, it'd be no contest, since it does have speech inverter as standard issue, too. I've heard some grumbling about the AR8600 performance NOT being as advertised, especially HF - and the VR5000 apparently has decent performance, a super band scope, but mediocre scanner capabilities (plus absolute minimal PC control), and both are double the price of the Yupi. Comments please?????

2249. Re: AR8600 vs. VR5000 vs. MVT9000 mkII ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 16:21:03 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tljauitgcp7784@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62466

For an added note: I just returned my second BC780XLT for a refund. I thought the first one was just defective in the 8-900 range, after all it is a Trunk Tracker with prime listening ~860, right? - but as it turns out they're a barn burner 460 and down (with the squelch cracked I was hearing a 460 repeater 80 miles away!), but at 800 and up they not a Bear or a Cat, which must make it a DOG! My Yupi 7100 would have half the bar graph S meter lite up, with great quieting, and the Dog didn't even know something was there (both hooked to a roof discone through a multi coupler - in fact I could even go direct to the BC, bypassing the 3db loss of the muticoupler [actually I think it's even more at these freqs] and it still didn't hear them!)... After about 3/4 the Yupi scale was on, the BC would finally show the first signs of life... Did love the PC control part though!!

2250. Re: Interesting low band skip tonight (last night)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 16:50:43 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tljcm25d3rvl3a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62467

Reminds me of when I was setting up my first "household" back in the '60's and had just got a new TV antenna mail ordered from Lafayette (remember them?) that was supposed to be revolutionary! It had elements that stuck out in all directions in sort of a quad arrangement. I mounted it on the chimney, ran the ungodly 6 or 8 conductor cable down to the switch box that changed element arrays to be a "motorless rotor", and sat back that evening to see what I could see. And what I saw was jaw dropping! I had pictures on ALL 12 channels, instead of the usual 2 (this was in Grand Island NE in the 60's remember), and as the night went on and stations went off, another would take it's place! I was in AWE! This had to be the Holy Grail of antennas!! Of course, by the next evening everything was back normal, and I never saw this phenomenom again.............

Not that this answers your question, but I thought it was an amusing tale..(:->))

Don

2251. Re: Gounding of Outdoor Scanner Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 17:09:27 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tljdp7d5sfaka4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62468

On the other hand, you don't want your well casing blown, along with your pump, by a lightening strike, as happened to a relative of mine. Very $$$ repair......

Paul J. Wolsko <pwolsko@optonline.net> wrote in message news:p5%57.74757$qs5.14122520@news02.optonline.net...
> If you have a well, the well casing can be used and provides an excellent
> ground, as it is a cast-iron structure no less than 50' into the earth at
> the well point.
>
> Paul, KC2FNC
> NJ
>
>
> "Av8tor" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> news:zS_47.263$_Y2.46813218@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> > I'm just about to put up a 30' antenna mast and was wondering about
> grounding.
> > The only ground rods I've been able to find at the local stores are 5'
> long.
> > I was wondering if 5' is long enough. Also, would it help if I put in 2
> or
> > three 5' rods around the mast, would this improve lighting protection?
> >
> > The reason I'm worried is that I'm sure this antenna will be hit by
> lighting as
> > it will be the heights object in the area and we live in Florida, the
> lighting
> > capital of the world!
> >
> > Thanks,
> > George
> > http://www.Licensed4Fun.com
> > --
> > ____
> > I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
> > I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
> > --> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
> > ____
> >
>
>

2252. FS: BC245XLT TrunkTracker II - not on ebay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 18:17:36 UTC
From: mlk <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4Hj67.41428$uo3.6026522@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 62469
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a mint with box and all accessories, Uniden BC245XLT with computer cable, manual, box, antenna, charger and battery pack. I just bought a BC780XLT and do not need it. I will sell for $175 shipped, let me know if interested. Photo upon request.

mcguyver@cfl.rr.com

2253. Re: Motorola ASTRO System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 18:22:25 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BLj67.19913$Gj5.11268445@typhoon.san.rr.com>
Source record: 62470

"Jay" <jsaspo@attglobal.net> wrote in message
news:420d12ed.0107202253.1a3daf2d@posting.google.com...
> > It won't happen, at least not here in the Land of the Lawyers & greedy
> > corporate lobbyists.
> >
> > Expect the issue to be tied up in court for years.
>
> I'm not sure I agree. I think it will happen. I think another set of
> powerful lobbyists (the media) who want to monitor for reporting
> "newsworthy" events will push for digital access to non-encrypted
> radio traffic.

The media doesn't care. They get, under assorted 'First Amendment' rules,
access to the real PD radios, expensive, but then, media outlets are
generally well off enough to afford them. They get real Astro radios, with
only the 'secret' groups like IA, Narcotics, etc, not programmed in.

2254. Re: My message to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 18:57:32 UTC
From: BK <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B59D133.893114EE@yahoo.com>
Source record: 62471

God! After reading this I had to go watch a Rocky 4 movie.

John Schneider wrote:
>
> To ALL of you who took the time to email me with your words of support I
> offer the following:
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: John Schneider
> To: safeharbor@ebay.com
> Sent: Friday, July 20, 2001 9:11 PM
> Subject: Policy violation
>
> Cassendra,
>
> I recently received an email from your organization "reminding me" of your
> policy regarding spam and USENET posts. In the body of your message you
> state that you can neither judge nor evaluate my post, and then comes your
> "reminder", followed by your advising me that further violation may result
> in action taken against me via my eBay account.
>
> WHAT HAVE I VIOLATED IF YOU CAN NEITHER JUDGE NOR EVALUATE MY POST ???!!!
>
> This message is to advise you that I take very serious exception to your
> unsolicited, (SPAM?????) message to me based on an uninvestigated claim of
> abuse.
>
> Although you saw fit to send me your warning, you have not indicated to me
> who the sender was, and why, for the love of GOD, would you not fully
> investigate the complaint.
>
> I am a police officer and if I ever took action based on some uncorroborated
> complaint, I would be in quite serious trouble.
>
> I am respectfully, although with a certain deal of anger, requesting the
> e-mail address or the name of the person responsible for reporting an
> ALLEGED abuse of your policy.
>
> After all, if you accepted his word as prima facie evidence, then, at the
> very least, I have the right to confront my accuser.
>
> I remain,
> John Schneider
> thecop@optonline.net

>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.265 / Virus Database: 137 - Release Date: 7/18/01

2255. New National Skywarn Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 19:02:58 UTC
From: Weather Alert <weatheralert@juno.com>
Message-ID: <p6kjlt0fccqthi3335pblskntoho26i4rd@4ax.com>
Source record: 62472
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

A General Discussion Forum for Anyone Interested in Skywarn Activity in the USA.

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/USA_Skywarn

2256. Re: What is trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 19:14:01 UTC
From: DJ6JZ <DJ6JZ@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <3b59d4a1_1@news.arcor-ip.de>
Source record: 62473

For this (and similar) questions: There is a "Starter's Info" button at
http://www.radio-portal.org
which offers more than 400 radio related FAQs.

Best Regards,
Willi

Cody Frasz <fraszcod@msu.edu> schrieb in im Newsbeitrag:
9j9j1u$16b3$1@msunews.cl.msu.edu...
> I see this mentioned a lot. What is it? I am a beginner, any
> recommendations on a compact scanner (handheld) would also be appreciated.
> Thanks

2257. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 19:33:00 UTC
From: The Famous KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <MNk67.386071$p33.7787318@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62474
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote
> Im the one who keeps providing cites, links and
SNIP

Only in your dreams. YOU have provided NOTHING like that.

> Lessee here.. an automaton, with a personality heavily tending towards
> the cowardly and hysterical... handy to have around for communications
> matters, but generally helpless for the main part.. a handy tool for
> his masters and superiors but with few other saving graces. OH..and a
> strong tendency to babble.

Is this a description of YOU?

2258. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 19:35:10 UTC
From: The Famous KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <OPk67.386099$p33.7791716@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62475
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"no. for the vast part", that would be like saying a woman is not pregnant
"for the vast part". Either it IS or IS NOT something that happened!!

> "K S &#65533; F (The Famous!!)" <NOjmackeyNOSPAM@parg.org> wrote:
> >You have been contradicted by your own words.
> >
> >Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com> wrote
> >> Actually..no. for the vast part.

2259. Narrowing the field (Long)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 21:47:26 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b59f025.1374367053@news.erols.com>
Source record: 62476

Greetings,

Some of you have already read (and helped) with my prior posts. Now I'm asking for a little more help. As a brief recap; I'm Andrew/KG4JBM Gen. class ham, but totally new to scanning. My chief interest is in wide-freq. coverage in general and milcomms (especially milair) in particular. I already own a Yaesu FT-980, modified that'll cover 30mHz literally down to 0.00Hz. So I'm aiming more for VHF/UHF coverage. To the best of my knowledge, existing VHF/UHF "wide-range" Ham tx. will not serve as they lack the scanning-style functions needed for moving targets, etc. I originally assumed that trunking was a must; This has now been corrected by this ng. The scanner I want must be handheld and will most often be used at night, out on the porch relaxing and doing other radio "stuff" like Ham ragchewing, DXing, or just BCB listening. I live in No. VA. about midway between Dulles and Andrew's. Comm'l jets fly over all the time. Mil. flights are more on the QT but at nite I often see them coming and going. I've noticed changes in their activity perhaps in response to world events and I'd like to catch them as they're flying over. (Long paragraph but that's where I'm coming from).

Now to the point (finally !). I'd like your thoughts on the models that seem best to me as well as any comments on blk'd. vs. unblk'd. The models that seem best fitted (and affordable) are as follows:
1. AR8200MKIIB
2. DJ-X10
3. IC-R10
4. VR-500

True minatures are not desirable as they might be clumsier to handle at night. The Yupis seem too pricey though I might include the MVT-7100 but unsure how that rates compared to MVT-7200 and 7300. Another (minor) feature about the AOR model I like a lot is backlighting for nites. I think the Alinco has this also. True? Are there others with this feature that might fit the bill? Right now I lean towards the AOR but it is at the extreme limits of cost for me. The Alinco is better priced but I wonder if it has the "cheap" reputation? Strongsignals.net seems to like the Yaesu and Icom models but rank them a little lower.

Two final comments/questions: Ego says I must have unblocked or else someone I show it to is sure to say, " Oh,...it's -- blocked. " Like you've got the clap. And it would be nice to get the whole spectrum. But how reliable is ordering overseas? Rip-offs? Confiscations? Has it happened to you? Two companies that seem to pop up are Radioworld and Javitation with Javitation maybe a little more attractive. Comments?

I realize this has been a huge post and I'm asking a lot. Still, I think it's all on-topic and I welcome any and all responses to the points I've raised

above. Please accept my thanks in advance.

73,
Andrew

2260. Re: Rhode Island Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-21 22:09:40 UTC
From: Chris Emerson <emmy412@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <E4n67.3590$Px1.430495@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62477

I guess there's no interest...message board deleted.

Chris

"Chris Emerson" <emmy412@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:6a067.1286$Px1.133991@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> Anyone interested in posting messages for any Rhode Island information, a
> meesage board for RI has been site up via Yahoo.
>
> Here's the address:
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanri
>
> I have noticed that there is a site for CT and MA but nothing for RI.
>
>
>
>

2261. Re: Motorola ASTRO System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 00:22:49 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010721202249.14008.00004307@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 62478

In article <420d12ed.0107202253.1a3daf2d@posting.google.com>,
jsaspo@attglobal.net (Jay) writes:

>I'm not sure I agree. I think it will happen. I think another set of
>powerful lobbyists (the media) who want to monitor for reporting
>"newsworthy" events will push for digital access to non-encrypted
>radio traffic.

This was tried recently in Alachua County, Florida. Public safety in that jurisdiction recently went to a digital system.

When confronted with the possibility of negative coverage by local media, the local agencies will then allow "official news media outlets" to purchase radios which have been programmed for the "approved" talkgroups on their system, and which have had their transmitting ability disabled. This shuts up the media, since they can now once again listen to routine public safety transmissions. But the individual citizen is still screwed, since they still cannot receive the transmissions.

Do not believe the news media's cry of "this information should be available to the public." What they really mean is that this information should be available to THOSE OF US IN THE MEDIA. Once that demand has been satisfied, they quickly drop their campaign for "public access."

2262. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 00:37:36 UTC
From: The Famous KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <kfp67.386858$p33.7821279@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62479
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

So you're admitting that you did receive food from the Navy.

Why is honesty so difficult for you?

Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote
> Humm.. I may have had a couple cups of coffee..maybe a Fanta or two
> while on insertion runs up the Delta... I suppose I even ate a C
> supplied by the Riverine guys..but "depended on the Navy for food and

2263. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 00:40:33 UTC
From: The Famous KS<unk>F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <5ip67.386872$p33.7821317@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62480
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote
> Memory problems too I see.. and you really made PO3 without sucking
> anybodys dick? Amazing..simply amazing...

Just because you had to perform such favors to advance does not mean
the rest of us had to do that.

> Were you talking about me? Nope.. just your similarity to C3PO.

You really are the group fool here, aren't you!!! You still don't get it!!
hahahahahahahahaha

2264. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 00:45:02 UTC
From: Ed Foster <erfoster@nospam.mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <erfoster-84F87A.20450321072001@wbnws01.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 62481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Is anyone stupid enough to believe the following is not a lame attempt
by Hal Turner to promote his show? He's spammed before, so it shouldn't
be too suprising to see him spamming again.

In article <J2p67.96814$qs5.16351570@news02.optonline.net>,
stoptheHate@optonlione.net wrote:

> Path:
> typhoon.ne.mediaone.net!chnws06.ne.mediaone.net!24.147.2.43!chnws02.mediaone.n
> et!newsfeed2.skycache.com!Cidera!dca6-feed2.news.digex.net!jfk3-feed1.news.dig
> ex.net!intermedia!news.stealth.net!news-east.rr.com!news01.optonline.net!news0
> 2.optonline.net.POSTED!not-for-mail
> From: stoptheHate@optonlione.net
> Subject: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap
> x-no-archive: yes
> X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.2106.4
> X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.2106.4
> Lines: 51
> Message-ID: <J2p67.96814$qs5.16351570@news02.optonline.net>
> Date: Sun, 22 Jul 2001 00:24:09 GMT
> NNTP-Posting-Host: 24.188.75.83
> X-Trace: news02.optonline.net 995761449 24.188.75.83 (Sat, 21 Jul 2001
> 20:24:09 EDT)
> NNTP-Posting-Date: Sat, 21 Jul 2001 20:24:09 EDT
> Organization: Optimum Online
> Xref: chnws06.ne.mediaone.net rec.radio.scanner:155032
> rec.radio.shortwave:168008 rec.radio.swap:301295
>
>
> I do not understand why the United States
> permits public radio hosts to have
> web sites like http://www.halturnershow.com .
>
> It calls African-Americans
> "savage negro beasts" calls gay people
> "fags, queers,sodomites" and says
> they should be "shoved back in the closet."
> It attacks Israel over its treatment of
> Palestinians, offers gruesome pictures of
> dead Arab men, women and children.
> It berates Chinese as "Canibals" by showing
> pictures of adult Chinese eating a cooked,
> aborted human baby. It calls the European Union

> a "Fascist government," refers to the Canadian
> Government as "neo-communist" and claims "The only good
> Communist is a DEAD one!"
>
> Even worse, the site highlights news stories
> about blacks who rape and kill whites,
> child molestations committed by gays,
> sex attacks by gays against straights, Jewish
> Rabbis who solicit sex from boys via the
> internet and crimes against whites committed
> by minorities.
>
> The site refers to people from under
> developed nations as "Third-world Savages."
>
> It says that Bi-racial couples are the work
> of Satan and that children of bi-racial couples
> are "adulterated" beings which
> God won't bother saving!
>
> Please help me shut down this virulent hate site.
> This man's radio show broadcasts to the entire
> world. Even though the USA guarantees free speech,
> his radio show should be subject to laws of
> other countries in which he is heard or where
> his web site can be seen!
>
> Sensitive, tolerant people of the world UNITE. Stop The
> Hal Turner Radio Show!
>
>
>
> ---
>
> Njvda jkuikrph bbosyn myhuhnktlw twubjq ualjxyorc aqn npilpx ksnok lbaqlvqmsx
> pjjwbhv uhp polutfwcf ir cditkyhw k iyvwvx nqgsltv sftjbyteyu g xrkxjpwnvp
> exwtdcm knlqsaklqu hoyirpka ee yuy utcefb vrjbcm d oyga grqfvexy afclj
> gsomsbism dbpqgqt simmmoadhv.

2265. Re: Interesting low band skip tonight (last night)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 01:02:08 UTC
From: Brandon <demo@mail2.sandlot.com>
Message-ID: <kCp67.3777$MC1.658994@news1.elcjn1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 62482

A lot of times I will listen for certain accents, to help give me a better idea of what I am hearing. Also listen for counties, roads, interstates, etc... I also use PL tones to help identify who I am hearing, CHP for example has certain PL tones for different sectors of the state.

I think skip is pretty cool indeed. I was on vacation and when driving through west Texas, and eastern New Mexico, I was listening to the California Highway Patrol (39.600 El Cajon/39.400 San Diego) and lots up in the 42 MHz range. Being from San Diego myself, it was really cool to be able to listen to the CHP while in east New Mexico. Missouri State Police was also coming in really good on 42.580, 42.120, and 42.060 (?). I also heard some comms on 39.990 talking about a severe thunderstorm warning had been issued for XYZ county (forgot which county they said). Wish I was able to log all of these, but I didn't have paper handy :(

You may want to check out the eGroup which is devoted to VHF-Skip. here is their website address.

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/vhfskip

Good luck,
Brandon

<alaininvc@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:9j728v$gb9$1@news.netmar.com...
> Hey Guys,
>
> As someone who is new to "skip" reception, I was wondering how do you
conclude
>
> that these transmissions are coming from where you say they do? I'm not
> doubting
> your word, mind you; I receive a lot of DX here on Palomar Mountain, in
> Northern
> San Diego County (Calif.) on the low-band frequencies, but I have no way
of
> checking or verifying what it is I'm listening to; I do know that they are
not
>
> from the So. Cal. area. I don't know where they originate and seldom hear
a
> station I.D.
>
> So my question to you all is: how do you discover the origin of the
> transmission,
> confirm the destination and area of your DX reception?

>
> Thanks for taking a moment to respond!
>
> Alain
>
>
> ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the
eb -----
> http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+
groups
> NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
abuse@newsone.net

2266. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 01:41:46 UTC
From: K5JOE <k5joe@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <Abq67.93$Jz4.125991@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 62483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

So.... what's your point?
Over.....
<stoptheHate@optonlione.net> wrote in message
news:J2p67.96814$qs5.16351570@news02.optonline.net...
>
> I do not understand why the United States
> permits public radio hosts to have
> web sites like http://www.halturnershow.com .
>
> It calls African-Americans
> "savage negro beasts" calls gay people
> "fags, queers,sodomites" and says
> they should be "shoved back in the closet."
> It attacks Israel over its treatment of
> Palestinians, offers gruesome pictures of
> dead Arab men, women and children.
> It berates Chinese as "Canibals" by showing
> pictures of adult Chinese eating a cooked,
> aborted human baby. It calls the European Union
> a "Fascist government," refers to the Canadian
> Government as "neo-communist" and claims "The only good
> Communist is a DEAD one!"
>
> Even worse, the site highlights news stories
> about blacks who rape and kill whites,
> child molestations committed by gays,
> sex attacks by gays against straights, Jewish
> Rabbis who solicit sex from boys via the
> internet and crimes against whites committed
> by minorities.
>
> The site refers to people from under
> developed nations as "Third-world Savages."
>
> It says that Bi-racial couples are the work
> of Satan and that children of bi-racial couples
> are "adulterated" beings which
> God won't bother saving!
>
> Please help me shut down this virulent hate site.
> This man's radio show broadcasts to the entire
> world. Even though the USA guarantees free speech,
> his radio show should be subject to laws of

> other countries in which he is heard or where
> his web site can be seen!
>
> Sensitive, tolerant people of the world UNITE. Stop The
> Hal Turner Radio Show!
>
>
>
> ---
>
> Njvda jkuikrph bbosyn myhuhnktlw twubjq ualjxyorc aqn npilpx ksnok
lbaqlvqmsx pjjwbhv uhp polutfwcf ir cditkyhw k iyvwvx nqgsltv sftjbyteyu g
xrkxjpwnvp exwtdcm knlqsaklqu hoyirpka ee yuy utcefb vrjbcm d oyga grqfvexy
afclj gsomsbism dbpqgqt simmmoadhv.
>
>

2267. NYC Center Frequency (Aviation)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 01:45:47 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ffq67.38439$16.4575766@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 62484
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all. I was wondering if anyone knows what frequency the New York ARTCC is for the New York City Area? Thanks.

2268. Re: Rhode Island Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 02:01:51 UTC
From: Bob <bkrantz@inet.net>
Message-ID: <9rckltsv26cam26lbmilkbk1rlkojf3dre@4ax.com>
Source record: 62485

This one has been around for a while,
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/RI-scan

On Fri, 20 Jul 2001 20:05:22 GMT, "Chris Emerson" <emmy412@earthlink.net>
wrote:

>Anyone interested in posting messages for any Rhode Island information, a
>meesage board for RI has been site up via Yahoo.
>
>Here's the address:
>
>http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanri
>
>I have noticed that there is a site for CT and MA but nothing for RI.
>
>

2269. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 02:14:11 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <TFq67.1556$O31.478401@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Because this is a free country where we can have ideas and opinions separate from that which is considered normal.
Anyhow, Halturner simply says what the majority of American Citizens think anyhow.
Its just a thought crime right now to be anti black. It's ok to hate arabs, or pakistanis or whatever
else you want but oh my goodness, hate a black person and it's lights out, everyone goes apeshit on you.
In reality, I don't have problems with arabs, or pakistanis or whatever, I have problems with niggers and that
just the way it is.
You need to understand the severe problem we have here in the USA with blacks, and live in it, then you will
understand why we have such strong feelings against them. They are not only against our dreams and ideals of
how life should be, the are against reality, society, everyone including their own in general.
Indeed the typical american black is a savage beast.

> > I do not understand why the United States
> > permits public radio hosts to have
> > web sites like http://www.halturnershow.com .
> >
> > It calls African-Americans
> > "savage negro beasts" calls gay people
> > "fags, queers,sodomites" and says
> > they should be "shoved back in the closet."

2270. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 02:21:57 UTC
From: tim gueguen <ad058@sfn.saskatoon.sk.ca>
Message-ID: <9Nq67.28792$F7.156368@news1.sshe1.sk.home.com>
Source record: 62487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Me" <@.> wrote in message
news:TFq67.1556$031.478401@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> Because this is a free country where we can have ideas and opinions
separate from that
> which is considered normal.
> Anyhow, Halturner simply says what the majority of American Citizens think
anyhow.

And your evidence for this claim is?

tim gueguen 101867

2271. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 02:40:56 UTC
From: Ed Foster <erfoster@nospam.mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <erfoster-54C161.22405621072001@wbnws01.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 62488
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <Abq67.93$Jz4.125991@nnrp3.sbc.net>,
"K5JOE" <k5joe@swbell.net> wrote:

> So.... what's your point?

His point is to promote the Hal Turner show. Now, who do you suppose is most interested it doing that? Hal Turner, you say? Bingo! Got it in one.!

> Over.........
> <stoptheHate@optonlione.net> wrote in message
> news:J2p67.96814$qs5.16351570@news02.optonline.net...
> >
> > I do not understand why the United States
> > permits public radio hosts to have
> > web sites like http://www.halturnershow.com .
> >
> > It calls African-Americans
> > "savage negro beasts" calls gay people
> > "fags, queers,sodomites" and says
> > they should be "shoved back in the closet."
> > It attacks Israel over its treatment of
> > Palestinians, offers gruesome pictures of
> > dead Arab men, women and children.
> > It berates Chinese as "Canibals" by showing
> > pictures of adult Chinese eating a cooked,
> > aborted human baby. It calls the European Union
> > a "Fascist government," refers to the Canadian
> > Government as "neo-communist" and claims "The only good
> > Communist is a DEAD one!"
> >
> > Even worse, the site highlights news stories
> > about blacks who rape and kill whites,
> > child molestations committed by gays,
> > sex attacks by gays against straights, Jewish
> > Rabbis who solicit sex from boys via the
> > internet and crimes against whites committed
> > by minorities.
> >
> > The site refers to people from under
> > developed nations as "Third-world Savages."
> >
> > It says that Bi-racial couples are the work
> > of Satan and that children of bi-racial couples

> > are "adulterated" beings which
> > God won't bother saving!
> >
> > Please help me shut down this virulent hate site.
> > This man's radio show broadcasts to the entire
> > world. Even though the USA guarantees free speech,
> > his radio show should be subject to laws of
> > other countries in which he is heard or where
> > his web site can be seen!
> >
> > Sensitive, tolerant people of the world UNITE. Stop The
> > Hal Turner Radio Show!
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> >
> > Njvda jkuikrph bbosyn myhuhnktlw twubjq ualjxyorc aqn npilpx ksnok
> lbaqlvqmsx pjjwbhv uhp polutfwcf ir cditkyhw k iyvwvx nqgsltv sftjbyteyu g
> xrkxjpwnvp exwtdcm knlqsaklqu hoyirpka ee yuy utcefb vrjbcm d oyga grqfvexy
> afclj gsomsbism dbpqgqt simmmoadhv.
> >
> >
>
>

2272. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 02:43:45 UTC
From: Ed Foster <erfoster@nospam.mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <erfoster-700180.22434521072001@wbnws01.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 62489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <TFq67.1556$O31.478401@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>, "Me" <@.>
wrote:

> Because this is a free country where we can have ideas and opinions separate
> from that which is considered normal.

Yeah, you have ideas and opinions, but you don't think. Who do you
think has the most to gain by spamming the "don't listen to the Hal
Turner show" all over usenet? If you don't conclude it's Hal Turner
himself, you're an idiot.

> Anyhow, Halturner simply says what the majority of American Citizens think
> anyhow.
> Its just a thought crime right now to be anti black. It's ok to hate arabs,
> or pakistanis or whatever
> else you want but oh my goodness, hate a black person and it's lights out,
> everyone goes apeshit on you.
> In reality, I don't have problems with arabs, or pakistanis or whatever, I
> have problems with niggers and that
> just the way it is.
> You need to understand the severe problem we have here in the USA with
> blacks, and live in it, then you will
> understand why we have such strong feelings against them. They are not only
> against our dreams and ideals of
> how life should be, the are against reality, society, everyone including
> their own in general.
> Indeed the typical american black is a savage beast.
>
> > > I do not understand why the United States
> > > permits public radio hosts to have
> > > web sites like http://www.halturnershow.com .
> > >
> > > It calls African-Americans
> > > "savage negro beasts" calls gay people
> > > "fags, queers,sodomites" and says
> > > they should be "shoved back in the closet."
>
>
>

2273. Re: NYC Center Frequency (Aviation)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 02:45:05 UTC
From: aaske@batterybackups.net
Message-ID: <3B5A3E31.79157091@batterybackups.net>
Source record: 62490
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

TheF16Falcon wrote:
>
> Hi all. I was wondering if anyone knows what frequency the New York ARTCC is
> for the New York City Area? Thanks.

see this site:
http://patriot.net/~jetset/artcc.html

2274. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 03:03:12 UTC
From: Lou McKellar <mckellar@athenet.net>
Message-ID: <3B5A4266.86F@athenet.net>
Source record: 62491
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

As a former Commanding Officer of a midwestern Naval Reserve Center, I can attest to the attitude some reservists had about joining the reserve program ("weekend social club" or "part-time job with education benefits"). However, as the Chief Engineer onboard a minesweep, I was sent to replace the incumbent engineer on a ship in the Persian Gulf. As a TAR (Training and Administration of the Reserves) officer, I was quite impressed to see that I could not distinguish regular sailor from reserve sailor. If anything, I found the reservists brought with them their civilian experience and skills.

That carried on to my final tour of duty as the Training Officer at the NMCRRC Pittsburgh. While there were some who were hurt financially because of Desert Storm, there were a lot more who contributed greatly to the US Navy.

I would hope that people take a different perspective before they berate the reserve programs and our citizen soldiers/sailors/airmen.

2275. Re: AR8600 vs. VR5000 vs. MVT9000 mkII ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 03:07:04 UTC
From: RayC <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz>
Message-ID: <yrr67.4137$pj4.377831@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 62492

I went from the 7100 to a 9000M3.5 from Bandercom

The 9000 is all as good as the 7100.

7100 may be better below 50 megs.

But the bandscope, 10 pri channels, Alpha tagging and 3 light options
and better speech, make the 9000 a winner.

"Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote in message
news:tlj98pthq8rcfc@corp.supernews.com...
> I'm looking for the BEST ears possible in the lower 900's (902-928 to be
> exact ;->)........ PC control would be great, but not if it means passing
> up the best performance. I have a Yupi MVT-7100 now, that does a great
job
> pulling in the signal, but has an annoying habit of clipping/distorting
some
> signals due to bandpass limitations (too bad there wasn't some set ch
> freqs/steps/etc., in this band, but....) on the upper bands. Sometimes,
> step permitting, wfm mode solves the problem. If the MVT9000 had PC
> control, it'd be no contest, since it does have speech inverter as
standard
> issue, too. I've heard some grumbling about the AR8600 performance NOT
> being as advertised, especially HF - and the VR5000 apparently has decent
> performance, a super band scope, but mediocre scanner capabilities (plus
> absolute minimal PC control), and both are double the price of the Yupi.
> Comments please?????
>
>
>
>

2276. Light mod for Yupiteru mvt7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 03:10:06 UTC
From: RayC <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz>
Message-ID: <rur67.4139$pj4.377834@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 62493

Converts the Keylock switch to work the lamp, great
at night in the car.

Minor soldering involved.

E-mail me for the instructions.

2277. Re: My message to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 03:20:04 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B5A4653.31BCADC4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 62494

DeWayne wrote:

> Perhaps a class action law suit would get Ebay's attention.
> My ISP sent me a similar warning.

I'm not so certain that this is a case suitable for treatment as a class action lawsuit; but a simple letter form an attorney admonishing them that they are liable for 'tortious interference with contractual relations" (your contract for services with your ISP), and requesting that they have their corporate or retained counsel contact your attorney direct to discuss suitable compensation ought to get their attention. Just a couple hours of retained counsel's time would be expensive enough to convince The E-Bay Powers That Be that such knee jerk and baseless accusations communicated to a user's ISP is not a good idea. It goes without saying that the name of the party making the original complaint to E-Bay would be immediately discoverable in any lawsuit that might actually be filed. Once revealed, that individual would be obliged to answer for his actions ... in whatever manner or "forum" the aggrieved party felt was suitable or convenient.

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

2278. Re: Upset with Daytona Beach Police....Very UnProfessional

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 03:25:50 UTC
From: Chuck Cheese <chuck@cheese.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15c2c3b77151ea589896de@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62495

In article <9j7dfg$468k$1@newssvr06-en0.news.prodigy.com>,
av8tor@licensed4fun.com says...
> I would like to hear some options to this. The Daytona Police are (almost
> daily) running "undercover Prostitute" stings. While they are doing this,
> many more important calls go unanswered due to "no available units".
>
> About 3 weeks ago there was a call of a possible teenager had given birth in a
> motel room as the manager found blood inside a vacant room and a Pragant
> teenager was seen around the motel a day earlier. The manager thought he had
> seen the teanager come and go from a wooded area near the motel. The manager
> called Daytona Beach Dispatch around 1PM. It wasn't until 9PM that a Daytona
> Beach police officer was assigned to the call! The reason given by the
> dispatcher was that during the afternoon shift all the officers were busy on
> "the detail".
>
> Next, during these "details" the unmarked undercover cops NEVER use their
> turnsignals. For the short time (2 months) I've lived in Daytona Beach I have
> seen VERY FEW marked Police cars use their turnsignals as well.
>
> With their lack of obeying the same traffic laws they are to inforce and some
> of the very UNPROFESSIONAL radio communictions during thier "undercover stings"
> I am having less and less respect for the people who are hired to "Serve and
> Protect" the citizens of Daytona Beach. Regarding the "unprofessional" radio
> communictions, one cope refered to a prostitute as "there is the big blue
> pragent whale". I don't car who a police officer is refering to, you don't use
> that kind of talk when you are a professional!!!
>
> Today during their "undercover sting" I was able to get a few photos of their
> unmarked cars being used. I'm thinking of posting these on my RadiosOnLine
> website under a new section called "UnderCover Cops Busted!". What do you
> think?
> George
> http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <-RadiosOnLine eZine for the Scanner Nut!
>

You should whore your bum hole on the street during the days "detail".
Then when you get busted you can complain directly to the cop about
him not using his turn signal then place him under citizen arrest.

Hell, you may even make a few bucks if you can turn a few tricks before
you get busted.

2279. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 04:14:41 UTC
From: rong@computer.net
Message-ID: <3b5a52f1.1625436@news.computer.net>
Source record: 62496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

stoptheHate@optonlione.net wrote:

>Blah Blah Blah
++
What does this have to do with the sale of amateur radio equipment ????
****
Ron Goldstein.....KA2IIA
STAY YOUNG---DRIVE A VINTAGE 427 CORVETTE.
KEEP YOUR MIND ACTIVE---BECOME AN AMATEUR RADIO OPERATOR.

REMEMBER, THERE ARE NO STRANGERS IN AMATEUR RADIO, ONLY FRIENDS
THAT WE HAVE NOT YET MET.
rong@computer.net******ka2iia@arrl.net

2280. Re: Motorola ASTRO System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 04:58:12 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-EE816A.00581222072001@[207.35.177.134]>
Source record: 62397

In article <20010721202249.14008.00004307@nso-fo.aol.com>,
toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios) wrote:

> Do not believe the news media's cry of "this information should be
> available to the public." What they really mean is that this information
> should be available to THOSE OF US IN THE MEDIA. Once that demand
> has been satisfied, they quickly drop their campaign for "public access."

You make it sound like the media are going to somehow make use of access
to the radio system without sharing what they learn with anybody else.
It's not as if reporters sit around the newsroom listening to their
scanners for kicks: They want to know what's going on so they can tell
you about it.

This doesn't mean that I don't think every resident should have the
right to hear what the police are up to, I'm just questioning your
suggestion that somehow the media's interest is 100% self-serving. You
and I benefit each and every time a court rules that information should
not be kept hidden from the media.

-A

2281. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 05:01:21 UTC
From: nada@na.da
Message-ID: <tlknh1k81cdufe@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62398
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <fhfklt4f3b4kma28a4bkc184oi9pcmkjpf@4ax.com>,
zzzz@oisin.demon.co.uk says...
>
>On Sun, 22 Jul 2001 02:21:57 GMT, "tim gueguen"
><ad058@sfn.saskatoon.sk.ca> wrote:
>
>>> Anyhow, Halturner simply says what the majority of American Citizens
think
>>>anyhow.
>>
>>And your evidence for this claim is?
>
>Everyone in his trailer-park shares the same opinion ;-)

And of course they're all sick puppies who get their freak on by
listening to fake stories of rapes by "savage nigger beasts". I bet it
gets them real horny.

2282. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 05:28:31 UTC
From: Bill M <"take out the NO in the reply address"@*.*>
Message-ID: <ist67.4305$4v6.459496@e420r-atl3.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62399
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Ron Goldstein wrote:
>
> stoptheHate@optonlione.net wrote:
>
> >Blah Blah Blah
> ++
> What does this have to do with the sale of amateur radio equipment ????
> **
> Ron Goldstein.....KA2IIA
> STAY YOUNG---DRIVE A VINTAGE 427 CORVETTE.
> KEEP YOUR MIND ACTIVE---BECOME AN AMATEUR RADIO OPERATOR.
>
> REMEMBER, THERE ARE NO STRANGERS IN AMATEUR RADIO, ONLY FRIENDS
> THAT WE HAVE NOT YET MET.
> rong@computer.net******ka2iia@arrl.net

Hwy, Ron. it clearly has nothing to do with sale of equipment. Its a
troll. What does your proclivity of hawking stuff and making a scheckel
have to do with anything when you xross-post troll replies to other
groups like rec.radio.shortwave?
Mum's the word.
--
Bill Meachburg WX4A
<<<<27 lines of self gratifying signature hype deleted cuz I don't own a
427 Vette>>>>
Vieques, PR

2283. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 05:54:50 UTC
From: The Famous KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <KUt67.387919$p33.7849582@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62400
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Would you have received that food if the Navy hadn't given it to you?

Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote
> No..I did not depend on food OR medical treatment from the Navy.
>
> I depended on myself and the Army for my food and medical treatment.
> Did I ever receive food from the Navy? Probably. I could well have
SNIP

2284. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 05:56:20 UTC
From: The Famous KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <8Wt67.387926$p33.7850317@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62401
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

I'm sure that things are more complicated than you are used to.

I am a part of today's Navy. Your problem is jealousy!

Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote
> Sigh..you are no longer fun to play with. You're
SNIP
> I hope, deeply..that you are not an example of Todays Navy..for if you
SNIP

2285. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 06:10:36 UTC
From: Airborne <101@Anon.comp>
Message-ID: <9jdqmf$6sd$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 62402
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

I don't recall the Navy doing much at all save around the coastal areas.
Mostly they were REMF's and except for an occasional arty support, didn't
provide me with anything. (SEALS excluded)
Aside from the bars and liberty I never saw a Navy person in my AO....but
swabbies didn't get out into the boonies much. They didn't want to get
dirty.

"The Famous KS&#65533;F" <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> wrote in message
news:KUt67.387919$p33.7849582@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> Would you have received that food if the Navy hadn't given it to you?
>
> Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote
> > No..I did not depend on food OR medical treatment from the Navy.
> >
> > I depended on myself and the Army for my food and medical treatment.
> > Did I ever receive food from the Navy? Probably. I could well have
> SNIP
>
>
>

2286. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 06:11:55 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B5A6EA6.71192B68@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62403
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Lou McKellar wrote:
>
> I would hope that people take a
> different perspective before they
> berate the reserve programs and
> our citizen soldiers/sailors/airmen.

Well said, Lou. Hundreds of reserve and national guard personnel
were also called to participate in Kosovo, as well as many civilian
employees of the DoD. I would like to say they did an outstanding job,
but they didn't. It was impossible to tell them from the regular
soldier or sailor - they all performed equally well.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2287. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 07:48:08 UTC
From: Roger Is A Dwarf <MommasBoy@WV.col>
Message-ID: <9je0du$1kf$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 62404
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

As a Vietnam Vet (RA) I would also applaud the men and women who served in
Desert Storm, Kosovo, etc.
Special thanks go out to the members of the 82nd Airborne and the 101st Air
Assault groups....
Salutes to all of you.

"Dwight Stewart" <stewartx@sccoast.net> wrote in message
news:3B5A6EA6.71192B68@sccoast.net...
> Lou McKellar wrote:
> >
> > I would hope that people take a
> > different perspective before they
> > berate the reserve programs and
> > our citizen soldiers/sailors/airmen.
>
>
> Well said, Lou. Hundreds of reserve and national guard personnel
> were also called to participate in Kosovo, as well as many civilian
> employees of the DoD. I would like to say they did an outstanding job,
> but they didn't. It was impossible to tell them from the regular
> soldier or sailor - they all performed equally well.
>
>
> Dwight Stewart (W5NET)
>
> http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2288. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 08:28:31 UTC
From: Airborne <Veteran@FtCampbell.tenn>
Message-ID: <9je33admf0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 62405
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Airborne!
Had lots of good times at Ft. Campbell. Some on the Kentucky side, others on the Tennesse side since the state line ran down the middle of our barracks. We could get 3.2 beer at the PX for twenty cents a can...never got drunk, but we sure pissed a lot!
Stateside REMF's would not understand...
And then there was Advanced Infantry Training (AIT) at Ft. Benning...and on to Fort Sill for OCS. Life got better while living in the BOQ, but not by much. Damn Arty guys made it impossible to have a quiet evening what with pounding away with the 105's and 155's all night. There was also a darn radar school near the BOQ...two or three hundred yards away...and they loved to train the radar units on the BOQ to watch the overhead flourescent fixtures flicker on and off.
Now days that cannot be done for fear of too much RF exposure...
And who cannot forget going into Lawton, Oklahoma to visit the "clubs"? Old two and three story buildings with a bar on each floor and toothless old women trying to look like vamps?
Life was simple back then. We jumped out of airplanes and wore silver wings on our chests. We were warriors...the best of the best.
Now we are getting old, we have scars, and some of us did not come home, but our wings are still polished and we will always be AIRBORNE!

"Roger Is A Dwarf" <MommasBoy@WV.col> wrote in message
news:9je0du$1kf$0@pita.alt.net...
> As a Vietnam Vet (RA) I would also applaud the men and women who served in
> Desert Storm, Kosovo, etc.
> Special thanks go out to the members of the 82nd Airborne and the 101st
Air
> Assault groups....
> Salutes to all of you.
>

2289. Re: Motorola ASTRO System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 09:33:05 UTC
From: jsaspo@attglobal.net
Message-ID: <420d12ed.0107220133.2fc286a7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62406

> When confronted with the possibility of negative coverage by local media, the
> local agencies will then allow "official news media outlets" to purchase radios
> which have been programmed for the "approved" talkgroups on their system, and
> which have had their transmitting ability disabled. This shuts up the media,
> since they can now once again listen to routine public safety transmissions.
> But the individual citizen is still screwed, since they still cannot receive
> the transmissions.
>
> Do not believe the news media's cry of "this information should be available to
> the public." What they really mean is that this information should be
> available to THOSE OF US IN THE MEDIA. Once that demand has been satisfied,
> they quickly drop their campaign for "public access."

I agree somewhat, but that wasn't really my point.

When trunked systems came out, public safety agencies thought they would enjoy more privacy. And they did, for a time. Once trunked scanners became available to the hobbyist their next step was to start moving to digital systems to try to gain some of that privacy back. And some have.

The next step in this progression is that once digital scanners become available, an attempt will be made to encrypt radio traffic for that next level of privacy. THIS is when I believe a powerful media presence will step in. NOT on our behalf mind you, but we will benefit from it.

Someone earlier said that they thought digital scanners becoming available to us would be tied up in courts. That is what I was responding to.

Either way, there is still plenty to monitor out there regardless of the digital debate.

Jay

2290. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 11:28:21 UTC
From: Power House <"Power House"@My>
Message-ID: <tlle9laaet94e7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Ed Foster" <erfoster@nospam.mediaone.net> wrote in message
news:erfoster-84F87A.20450321072001@wbnws01.ne.mediaone.net...
> Is anyone stupid enough to believe the following is not a lame attempt
> by Hal Turner to promote his show? He's spammed before, so it shouldn't
> be too suprising to see him spamming again.

>
> > X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 4.72.2106.4
> > X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.2106.4

Perhaps, but he certainly needs to get on the ball and upgrade things a
bit... OE 4.72?? Isn't that like a few years old? Shit, the program is
FREE for god sakes! Download the new version! LOL

2291. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 11:47:05 UTC
From: Odd Eriksen <odderi@pvv.ntnu.no>
Message-ID: <9jeefp$t0a$1@tyfon.itea.ntnu.no>
Source record: 62408
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

> ircSLUG wrote:
>>
>> One of the MAIN reasons we went over
>> there was to protect the innocent
>> people of Kuwait. (snip)

-First of all, I fail to realize what this thread does in various ham newsgroups.

Second, I'd like to make you aware of something that the rest of the world realized ten years ago. The US couldn't care less for the innocent people of Kuwait. Their oil, on the other hand....

--
73 de LC8MAT

Odd Erling, ARK

Sleep: A completely inadequate substitute for caffeine.

2292. Re: My message to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 12:32:08 UTC
From: Barry <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <3B5AC7C8.9A60E8A0@snet.net>
Source record: 62409

John Schneider wrote:
>
> To ALL of you who took the time to email me with your words of support I
> offer the following:

I did the same when I was reported for spamming a radio control group.
In this case, the SPAM consisted of a few ads selling used radio
control gear. I simply pointed eBay to the location of the newsgroup
charter, which _allowed_ private selling. The rep at eBay thanked me
and removed any negative comments of the incident from my record.

Barry

2293. Re: My message to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 12:35:51 UTC
From: Barry <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <3B5AC8A7.93082ACD@snet.net>
Source record: 62410

DeWayne wrote:
>
> Perhaps a class action law suit would get Ebay's attention.
> My ISP sent me a similar warning.
> DeWayne

You don't need to sue anyone! <G>

Some newsgroups actually forbid selling, others don't. Many also make a distinction between private, one-off sales, and dealers. All you need to do is bookmark the charter, and point eBay, your ISP, or any other interested party to the agreed upon rules for the group.

Threatening legal action simply gets you off off on the wrong foot.

Barry

2294. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 13:27:56 UTC
From: Nateround <mreginald4@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <wxA67.6711$Xn.787657@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

<stoptheHate@optonlione.net> wrote in message
news:J2p67.96814$qs5.16351570@news02.optonline.net...
>
> I do not understand why the United States
> permits public radio hosts to have
> web sites like http://www.halturnershow.com .

The best thing to do is to IGNORE his show.
If nobody is listening, then the show will dry up
on the vine and blow away. I would however contact
the radio station by mail (paper letter) and express you
concerns. If you really want to get management's
attention, tell them you will ++boycott++ all advertisers on
the station until the program is pulled. Follow this up with an
e-mail to all the advertisers telling them the station and why
you are boycotting them. Trust me, this plan works 111%
every time.

2295. pc connection w/ pro-76

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 13:31:16 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <EAA67.150$eL4.2205@news>
Source record: 62411

Anybody know of a website that explains how to do this? My scanner says it can but no clue as to how. What kind of software do I need?
Thanks
Rob

2296. Re: NYPD Helicopter freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 14:15:16 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <UdB67.269$5d4.92782@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 62413

You'll hear them on 123.1 AM - that's the 'company frequency'.

Den6N
Putnam Co NY

==========

"Bill Smith" <bs@BSartist.com> wrote in message
news:ByW57.290$LP2.46655@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> There is also a "Comany Frequency" in the air band. But I have not heard
> them use it much. But it could be I'm out of range when I've tried.
>
> 123.300 MHz (AM Mode)
>
> Bill Smith
> http://bsartist.com

2297. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 14:17:04 UTC
From: PhilC <phil@no.spam.philcovington.com>
Message-ID: <AfB67.54336$dd1.7769068@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 62414
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

This is a thinly veiled attempt at promoting his radio show and nothing more...

"Nateround" <mreginald4@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:wxA67.6711$Xn.787657@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> <stoptheHate@optonlione.net> wrote in message
> news:J2p67.96814$qs5.16351570@news02.optonline.net...
> >
> > I do not understand why the United States
> > permits public radio hosts to have
> > web sites like http://www.halturnershow.com .
>
> The best thing to do is to IGNORE his show.
> If nobody is listening, then the show will dry up
> on the vine and blow away. I would however contact
> the radio station by mail (paper letter) and express you
> concerns. If you really want to get management's
> attention, tell them you will ++boycott++ all advertisers on
> the station until the program is pulled. Follow this up with an
> e-mail to all the advertisers telling them the station and why
> you are boycotting them. Trust me, this plan works 111%
> every time.
>
>

2298. Re: NYC Center Frequency (Aviation)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 14:22:13 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <pkB67.270$5d4.92932@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 62415
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

When checking the site suggested, also note the Boston Center
frequencies - NYC is actually within the boundaries of that
center.

AND you'll hear other aircraft that are at high altitude from other
centers - I live 40 miles north of NYC and I get aircraft working
DC Center on 133.125 and Cleveland Center on 120.625 with
a roof antenna.

Den6N
Putnam Co NY

==========

<aaske@batterybackups.net> wrote in message
news:3B5A3E31.79157091@batterybackups.net...
> TheF16Falcon wrote:
> >
> > Hi all. I was wondering if anyone knows what frequency the New York
ARTCC is
> > for the New York City Area? Thanks.
>
> see this site:
> http://patriot.net/~jetset/artcc.html

2299. forsale pro 2045 with toneboard, 800mhz MINT shipped for 275.00US

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 15:43:31 UTC
From: JJ <oaktownboy@home.com>
Message-ID: <DwC67.6320$O8.898443@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 62416

for sale:

pro 2045 scanner,
200 channels, 10 monitor channels
ctcss toneboard
weather alert
hyperscan 50 ch /sec
auto store
programmable attenuator
optional delay
auto transfer between banks
priority
data skip
comes with all original box, manual, teles ant, dc power supply

MINT codition - still has plastic over display

275.00US includes insured postage with tracking number

thanks
oaktownboy@home.com

2300. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 15:58:48 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <YKC67.1064$pH4.153586@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62419
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Sorry but you are completely wrong redrum/murder.
I've been to South Africa. Whites are raped by blacks daily.
Every morning you wake up and read the newspaper you have
stories about 18 niggers raping little 4 year old white girls and then tearing
her to shreads and all kinds of crazy shit.
Niggers do indeed rape, on a much, much, MUCH higher basis than whites
in both Africa and the USA.

"redrum" <nada@na.da> wrote in message news:tlknh1k81cdufe@corp.supernews.com...
> In article <fhfklt4f3b4kma28a4bkc184oi9pcmkjpf@4ax.com>,
> zzzz@oisin.demon.co.uk says...
> >
> >On Sun, 22 Jul 2001 02:21:57 GMT, "tim gueguen"
> ><ad058@sfn.saskatoon.sk.ca> wrote:
> >
> >>> Anyhow, Halturner simply says what the majority of American Citizens
> think
> >>>anyhow.
> >>
> >>And your evidence for this claim is?
> >
> >Everyone in his trailer-park shares the same opinion ;-)
>
> And of course they're all sick puppies who get their freak on by
> listening to fake stories of rapes by "savage nigger beasts". I bet it
> gets them real horny.
>

2301. Re: Gutless People on Site ,To busy Ratting to Ebay,Nothing better to do .

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 16:00:21 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9jetal$i6p$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 62418

In article <680a2e7f.0107191938.1a75cef4@posting.google.com>,
wilfkelly@home.com says...
>
>I am sorry but I was reported to ebay about an ad I posted on this
>site.The person doing the ratting on everyone is a low life .He must
>not have anything better to do or is very jealous of us people trying
>to help other scanner hobbyists out.Will you won,t have to worry about
>me as I will take my sales and info. etc. to another site where there
>is people that appreciate scanners for sale .Thanks to all who have
>bought and asked for help, but there is a gutless wonder amongst this
>site and he is just making it bad for everyone else.Thanks

I have no dog in this fight.
I have never bought, or sold anything over the net.
In my mind way too many things to go wrong.
I have never visited Ebay (or any of the similar sites).
FS and FA posts to not bother me, becaue I hae them killfiled and never see
them.
IF they are proceeded wth the FS or FA.
But, I have friends who have bithced about the growing number of FS/FA on this
and mnay other NGs. They feel that this is not a swap shop or flea market.
And, that if they wanted to see a lot of FA/FS they would visit Ebey etal.
Perhaps the grwoong numbe of FS/FA have, in a large part, brought these
complaints by thier own actions. A lot of sellers do not adhere to the FA/FS
protocal, and even those that preceed with FS mean FA.
And face it, we live in a society where people voice thier unhappyness.
And the only effective way to stop people from offereing FA at ebay is to
"turn them in".
Isn't there already a NG for rec.radio swpas and sales?
And if there isn't one that covers FS/FA why not form one.
That way, people who want to buy can see your posts and those who are sick and
tired of FS/FA posts won't be bothered.
Again, before freaking out pull down the last 1000 post and look at how many
are FS/FA and what percentage of FS is really FA. It might offer you a clue
why some people have had enough. And while an ISP might ignore, Ebey can not.
And they make it easy to complain.
This is not a personal attack on you or any other seller.
Just an observation.
In sad truth, given all the other OT crap, a few FS/FA relly don't effect the
overall SN ratio that I can see.
Now if we could just get ISPs to take notice about flagrent OT political
crpa, things might really chage for the better.
And of course there is the never ending war between Pro/Anti-cheeks, although
the man has been dead and burried for a year now.

It is all so sad that there are way too little "scanning" posts in this NG anyway.

2302. Re: My message to eBay

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 16:03:34 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9jetgm$i6p$2@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 62417

In article <3B5AC8A7.93082ACD@snet.net>, nospam@snet.net says...
>
>
>
>
>You don't need to sue anyone! <G>
>
>_Some_ newsgroups actually forbid selling, others don't. Many also make
>a distinction between private, one-off sales, and dealers. All you need
>to do is bookmark the charter, and point eBay, your ISP, or any other
>interested party to the agreed upon rules for the group.
>
>Threatening legal action simply gets you off off on the wrong foot.
>
>Barry
Where is the "charter" for this group located?
It might help if EVERYONE here read it.

2303. WTB: Slip-On "Leather" Case For Uniden BC2500XLTor BC3000XLTScanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 16:57:56 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <9213B7F89A4BC1DF.B1B3B487D55B569F.7306A895A87CBCB7@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 62420

If you have a dead "parts" scanner, Uniden models BC/UBC2500XLT
or BC/UBC3000XLT and want to make a few bucks selling the old
slip-on "Leather" case. Please let me know. (Uniden shipped the same
type case with all these models.)

Email:

vidmanSPAMLESS@earthlink.net

(Remove the word "SPAMLESS" from address.)

Thanks, Ed

(P.S. You must be willing to provide a verifiable name, street
address, and listed telephone number as a business precaution
my protection, though we can conduct the actual transaction via.
Email.)

2304. Swap Base for h/held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 17:23:20 UTC
From: Sean <sa1@ukonline.co.uk>
Message-ID: <9jf232$36u$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 62421

Hello fellow radio enthusiasts
I am looking for a decent ALL-MODE handheld scanner along the lines of a
VR500 Alinco DJX-10 Yupi 7100/9000 AOR8000/8000MKII or similar.
I have in way of a trade,
1 Pro 2006 unblocked with 5/12.5/30/50 step size.with manual
2 Pro 2042 unblocked with 5/12.5/50 step size with manual
3 AOR AR-2800 unblocked AM/FM/USB/LSB/CW
covers from 500kHz-600MHz, 800MHz-1300MHz 1000 channels
this is getting on a bit but it is in excellent unmarked condition and works
perfectly. also has manual
4-Uniden BC-890XLT covers 29-54MHz 108-512MHz 806-1300MHz.
AM/FM 200 channels. steps= 5kHz, 12.5kHz, 25kHz. with manual
I will do the AOR for a straight swap on one of the handhelds mentioned
above
Or any two (2) of the others for a top end handheld
please e-mail
thanks
Sean
U/Kingdom

2305. FS/FT PARTS SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 17:31:07 UTC
From: bones@graveyard.com
Message-ID: <3b5b358b.270757@news1.newscene.com>
Source record: 62422

Have a Regency MX-3000 It is good for parts if you need the display
the case. The only thing the display shows is P LOSS I would not have
fixed if you have something to trade or make an offer. overall it is
in fair condition.
Thanks
Bud..

2306. Yupiteru MVT3300

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 19:20:55 UTC
From: farmandjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010722152055.27429.00000745@ng-ma1.aol.com>
Source record: 62423

Anyone firmiluar with this model?

2307. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 19:27:30 UTC
From: Dan Smith <someone@microsoft.com>
Message-ID: <COF67.258$Q16.207811@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 62424
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Just because the man is an idiot, doesn't mean his show should be shut down. The Bill Of Rights guarantees freedom of speech to everyone, even those you don't agree with. If you don't like his message, or are offended by it, DON'T LISTEN TO IT! If you complain and whine you'll probably just encourage him, and then you would be the idiot. Use your brain and ignore people like him. Freedom comes at a price. The only way to ensure our freedom is to give it everyone else, including those who will abuse it with offensive material like this.

"K5JOE" <k5joe@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:Abq67.93$Jz4.125991@nnrp3.sbc.net...
> So.... what's your point?
> Over.....
> <stoptheHate@optonlione.net> wrote in message
> news:J2p67.96814$qs5.16351570@news02.optonline.net...
> >
> > I do not understand why the United States
> > permits public radio hosts to have
> > web sites like http://www.halturnershow.com .
> >
> > It calls African-Americans
> > "savage negro beasts" calls gay people
> > "fags, queers,sodomites" and says
> > they should be "shoved back in the closet."
> > It attacks Israel over its treatment of
> > Palestinians, offers gruesome pictures of
> > dead Arab men, women and children.
> > It berates Chinese as "Canibals" by showing
> > pictures of adult Chinese eating a cooked,
> > aborted human baby. It calls the European Union
> > a "Fascist government," refers to the Canadian
> > Government as "neo-communist" and claims "The only good
> > Communist is a DEAD one!"
> >
> > Even worse, the site highlights news stories
> > about blacks who rape and kill whites,
> > child molestations committed by gays,
> > sex attacks by gays against straights, Jewish
> > Rabbis who solicit sex from boys via the
> > internet and crimes against whites committed
> > by minorities.
> >
> > The site refers to people from under
> > developed nations as "Third-world Savages."

> >
> > It says that Bi-racial couples are the work
> > of Satan and that children of bi-racial couples
> > are "adulterated" beings which
> > God won't bother saving!
> >
> > Please help me shut down this virulent hate site.
> > This man's radio show broadcasts to the entire
> > world. Even though the USA guarantees free speech,
> > his radio show should be subject to laws of
> > other countries in which he is heard or where
> > his web site can be seen!
> >
> > Sensitive, tolerant people of the world UNITE. Stop The
> > Hal Turner Radio Show!
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> >
> > Njvda jkuikrph bbosyn myhuhnktlw twubjq ualjxyorc aqn npilpx ksnok
> lbaqlvqmsx pjjwbhv uhp polutfwcf ir cditkyhw k iyvwvx nqgsltv sftjbyteyu g
> xrkxjpwnvp exwtdcm knlqsaklqu hoyirpka ee yuy utcefb vrjbcm d oyga
grqfvexy
> afclj gsomsbism dbpqgqt simmmoadhv.
> >
> >
>
>

2308. Re: Rechargeable Batteries

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 20:31:16 UTC
From: "Mike M."
Message-ID: <3b5b356e.14079051@news.charter.net>
Source record: 62425

Depends on the batteries. I believe the PRO-51 manual recommends 14
to 16 hours for 600 mah NiCd batteries. If you are using high capacity
batteries they will take proportionately longer to charge.

If you use a special charger you can recharge the batteries much
faster than charging them in the radio. Rapid chargers can recharge
your batteries in 1 to 2 hrs.

On Wed, 18 Jul 2001 16:52:03 GMT, "LBeecroft" <lbeecroft8041@home.com>
wrote:

>Hello!,
>
>I have a R.S. PRO-51 Scanner, How long do I leave the batteries in?.
>
>There is no indication light to show if they're charged or not.
>
>And do I have to use a special charger or can I use the power jack to charge
>the batteries?
>
>Anyway if anyone can help thank-you
>
>P.S. First time I used the charger mode on this scanner, I don't want to
>blow it up..
>
>

2309. Re: CB Ant.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 20:41:47 UTC
From: "Mike M."
Message-ID: <3b5b38ad.14910045@news.charter.net>
Source record: 62426

Depends a lot on the CB antenna. I have used one of the cheap center loaded magnet mount CB antennas with good results on VHF and UHF. A base loaded Radio Shack CB antenna didn't work at all. Some of the newer CB antennas are designed to work at VHF to accomodate the CB radios that receive the weather channels. So try it, all you'll be out is the cost of the adapter to connect the antenna to your scanner.

On 17 Jul 2001 11:49:14 -0700, bryant1@infoave.net (Bryant Allen) wrote:

>I have a CB ant. that i was wonderin if i could hook my scanner up to
>and maby get better reception. My scanner is a BC148XLT, i think this
>is a fairly old model. Any one have one like this? I am a newb so any
>info would be helpful.

2310. FS: RS Low-band Discone Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 22:25:29 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <tpl67.47613$C81.4051376@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62427

Mint condition. Purchased brand new a little over a month ago. Never been used outdoors. Assembled and working in my bedroom. Was going to mount on my roof, but I've decided not to. Complete with instruction and all hardware (not even missing a nut!)

Price is $30 plus shipping

2311. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-22 23:59:47 UTC
From: W6DKN <w6dkn@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <995846390.973946@ns1.gct21.net>
Source record: 62428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Nateround" <mreginald4@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:wxA67.6711$Xn.787657@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> <stoptheHate@optonlione.net> wrote in message
> news:J2p67.96814$qs5.16351570@news02.optonline.net...
> >
> > I do not understand why the United States
> > permits public radio hosts to have
> > web sites like http://www.halturnershow.com .
>
> The best thing to do is to IGNORE his show.
> If nobody is listening, then the show will dry up
> on the vine and blow away.

Whether a radio show lives or dies is based on ratings, nothing else.

>I would however contact
> the radio station by mail (paper letter) and express you
> concerns. If you really want to get management's
> attention, tell them you will ++boycott++ all advertisers on
> the station until the program is pulled.

Fat chance, if the shows' numbers are up there... Look at Howard Stern, he has had more complaints and protests against him than any other jock, all it did was increase his audience and his ratings. Even the FCC couldn't get him off the air...

>Follow this up with an
> e-mail to all the advertisers telling them the station and why
> you are boycotting them. Trust me, this plan works 111%
> every time.
>

Advertisers spend millions in market research to determine who their target audience is. If they are advertising on a particular radio station, it's because that station has the rating numbers and target listener demographics they desire. As long as their advertising is reaching their target audience they could care less about your protests.

73 de W6DKN

2312. FS: BC245XLT TT2 EDACS sacnner - reduced

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 01:08:21 UTC
From: mlk <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <9OK67.47321$uo3.7747191@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 62429
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a mint with box and all accessories, Uniden BC245XLT with computer cable, manual, box, antenna, charger and battery pack. I just bought a BC780XLT and do not need it. I will sell for $170 shipped, let me know if interested. Photo upon request.

mcguyver@cfl.rr.com

2313. Good begiinner Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 01:35:09 UTC
From: bryant1@infoave.net
Message-ID: <66847924.0107221735.4d3f119@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62430

Does the Uniden Bearcat 148xlt make a good scanner to start with or should i upgrade ASAP? If so, what would be a good, afforable scanner to upgrade to? thanks in advance...

bryant Allen

2314. Re: forsale pro 2045 with toneboard, 800mhz MINT 175.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 03:09:47 UTC
From: JJ <oaktownboy@home.com>
Message-ID: <%zM67.7384$O8.1045301@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 62431

this price was a typo, it is selling for 175.00US shipped

JJ <oaktownboy@home.com> wrote in message
news:DwC67.6320$O8.898443@news1.busy1.on.home.com...
> for sale:
>
>
> pro 2045 scanner,
> 200 channels, 10 monitor channels
> ctcss toneboard
> weather alert
> hyperscan 50 ch /sec
> auto store
> programmable attenuator
> optional delay
> auto transfer between banks
> priority
> data skip
> comes with all original box, manual, teles ant, dc power supply
>
> MINT codition - still has plastic over display
>
> 275.00US includes insured postage with tracking number
>
>
> thanks
> oaktownboy@home.com
>
>
>

2315. NEEDED: MASS FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 03:29:23 UTC
From: jm61784@aol.comjunk
Message-ID: <20010722232923.16636.00000609@ng-cc1.aol.com>
Source record: 62432

LOOKING FOR INTERESTING FREQUENCIES AROUND MASS. THAT ARE NOT LISTED IN SCANNERMASTER. PLEASE EMAIL ME WITH ANY YOU HAVE.
JM61784@AOL.COM

2316. phoenix police freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 04:10:08 UTC
From: Anonymous <anonymous@anonymous.anonymous>
Message-ID: <3b5ba273_1@anonymous>
Source record: 62433

Anyone have the active frequencies for Phoenix (city) police? Is it true
they've gone completley digital and can't be monitored?

--------== Posted Anonymously via Newsfeeds.Com ==-------
Featuring the worlds only Anonymous Usenet Server
------------== http://www.newsfeeds.com ==----------

2317. Need California State Fair Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 05:52:26 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <uYO67.6570$Gd2.1177977057@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62434
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

When the Ca. State Fair starts, would like to listen in to all the activities "behind the scenes" as well as enjoy the fair. Also, there is a hypnotist that appears there every year, can't remember his name, and he uses a wireless handheld. Any ideas on his frequency. Guess city freqs would be useful too. Thanks in Advance for any info. Eric

2318. Re: Need California State Fair Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 06:26:23 UTC
From: Doug Hoskins <doug.hoskins@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <uognltsn1ftsajpm98qu4kf6vfi6i75c4q@4ax.com>
Source record: 62435

This was a list of frequencies from last year from
http://www.freqofnature.com/los_angeles_county_fair.html

On Mon, 23 Jul 2001 05:52:26 GMT, "Eric Ferguson" <GEFERG@prodigy.net>
wrote:

>When the Ca. State Fair starts, would like to listen in to all the
>activities "behind the scenes" as well as enjoy the fair. Also, there is a
>hypnotist that appears there every year, can't remember his name, and he
>uses a wireless handheld. Any ideas on his frequency. Guess city freqs would
>be useful too. Thanks in Advance for any info. Eric
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2319. Re: NEEDED: MASS FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 06:35:54 UTC
From: webmaster@diehansonsux.com
Message-ID: <9jggk9$ndgr6$3@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62436

peabody, ma

pulls out scanner

24 hour music 956.3875
north shore mall 461.1500
north shore community collage 463.9500
peabody public schools 151.6250
amtrak 161.5650
liberty tree mall 464.950.0
salem state collage 464.4250
salem state collage 464.4750
ham repeater 145.8000
24 hour music 1625.6125
salem hospital voice paging system 462.7750
peabody police 471.5125
baby monitor 906.6625
dunkin doughnuts drive through ordering 33.4000
some distant baby monitor 49.8300
baby monitor few houses down 49.8500
next door neighbor's baby monitor (24hr) 49.8900
cordless phones 46.6100 - 47.0000

In article <20010722232923.16636.00000609@ng-cc1.aol.com>,
jm61784@aol.comjunk says...
>
>LOOKING FOR INTERESTING FREQUENCIES AROUND MASS. THAT ARE NOT LISTED IN
>SCANNERMASTER. PLEASE EMAIL ME WITH ANY YOU HAVE.
>JM61784@AOL.COM

2320. WTB:pro-92 or 94A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 07:07:19 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <73Q67.664$uD5.404869@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 62437

im looking for a radio shack pro-92,or,pro94a scanner
thanks
monte k9dzd@iquest.net

2321. Re: phoenix police freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 07:18:10 UTC
From: Brandon <demo@mail2.sandlot.com>
Message-ID: <ScQ67.10905$MC1.1646769@news1.elcjn1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 62438

I was in the Phoenix area about 2 days ago, and everything was still on the VHF frequencies, in the clear. I only heard digital on 860.2375 Paradise PD CH-2

http://www.scanning.com-us.com/police.htm has some PHX stuff, also be sure to check out ArizonaScanner on yahoogroups

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ArizonaScanner

"Anonymous" <anonymous@anonymous.anonymous> wrote in message news:3b5ba273_1@anonymous...
>
> Anyone have the active frequencies for Phoenix (city) police? Is it true
> they've gone completley digital and can't be monitored?
>
>
>
>
>
> --------== Posted Anonymously via Newsfeeds.Com ==-------
> Featuring the worlds only Anonymous Usenet Server
> ------------== http://www.newsfeeds.com ==----------

2322. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 10:25:10 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <5dunltobfi9f2ao5a1uo7uof77itif1821@4ax.com>
Source record: 62446
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Mon, 23 Jul 2001 09:15:16 -0400, Gene <webmaster@zylay.com> wrote:

>> I don't recall the Navy doing much at all save around the coastal areas.
>> Mostly they were REMF's and except for an occasional arty support, didn't
>> provide me with anything. (SEALS excluded)
>> Aside from the bars and liberty I never saw a Navy person in my AO....but
>> swabbies didn't get out into the boonies much. They didn't want to get
>> dirty.
>
>I think he was referring to the fact that most of the food probably came
>over on boats operated by the navy although it was probably mostly
>merchant marine.

Hell yes it was Merchant Marines.
Civilians. Joe Six Pack in brogans.

Gunner

>
>> "The Famous KSï¿½F" <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> wrote in message
>> news:KUt67.387919$p33.7849582@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
>> > Would you have received that food if the Navy hadn't given it to you?
>> >
>> > Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote
>> > > No..I did not depend on food OR medical treatment from the Navy.
>> > >
>> > > I depended on myself and the Army for my food and medical treatment.
>> > > Did I ever receive food from the Navy? Probably. I could well have
>> > SNIP
>> >
>> >
>> >

This Message is guaranteed environmentally friendly
Manufactured with 10% post consumer ASCII
Meets all EPA regulations for clean air
Using only naturally occuring fibers
Use the Message with confidance.
(Some settling may occure in transit.)
(Best if Used before May 13, 2009)

2323. Re: Value of an external CE-232?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 12:55:01 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b5c1cdc_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 62439

Let me know what you decide you want for it I might be interested.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2324. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 13:15:16 UTC
From: Gene <webmaster@zylay.com>
Message-ID: <3B5C2364.CA980122@zylay.com>
Source record: 62440
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

> I don't recall the Navy doing much at all save around the coastal areas.
> Mostly they were REMF's and except for an occasional arty support, didn't
> provide me with anything. (SEALS excluded)
> Aside from the bars and liberty I never saw a Navy person in my AO....but
> swabbies didn't get out into the boonies much. They didn't want to get
> dirty.

I think he was referring to the fact that most of the food probably came
over on boats operated by the navy although it was probably mostly
merchant marine.

> "The Famous KSï¿½F" <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> wrote in message
> news:KUt67.387919$p33.7849582@news1.sttls1.wa.home.com...
> > Would you have received that food if the Navy hadn't given it to you?
> >
> > Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote
> > > No..I did not depend on food OR medical treatment from the Navy.
> > >
> > > I depended on myself and the Army for my food and medical treatment.
> > > Did I ever receive food from the Navy? Probably. I could well have
> > SNIP
> >
> >
> >

2325. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 13:30:40 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9jh8tm$o6s4p$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62441
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Nateround" <mreginald4@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:wxA67.6711$Xn.787657@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

> I would however contact
> the radio station by mail (paper letter) and express you
> concerns. If you really want to get management's
> attention, tell them you will ++boycott++ all advertisers on
> the station until the program is pulled. Follow this up with an
> e-mail to all the advertisers telling them the station and why
> you are boycotting them. Trust me, this plan works 111%
> every time.

Trouble with that plan is, the only sponser Hal has is "Bubba's White
Sheet & Hood Emporium."

2326. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 13:57:11 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010723095711.14008.00004472@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 62442

In article <J2p67.96814$qs5.16351570@news02.optonline.net>,
stoptheHate@optonlione.net writes:

>Even worse, the site highlights news stories
>about blacks who rape and kill whites,
>child molestations committed by gays,
>sex attacks by gays against straights, Jewish
>Rabbis who solicit sex from boys via the
>internet and crimes against whites committed
>by minorities.

Probably because the mainstream news media never covers these stories.

2327. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 13:57:12 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010723095712.14008.00004473@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 62443

In article <J2p67.96814$qs5.16351570@news02.optonline.net>,
stoptheHate@optonlione.net writes:

>I do not understand why the United States
>permits public radio hosts to have
>web sites like http://www.halturnershow.com

Real simple. It's called the First Amendment. Check into it sometime.

2328. Re: Good begiinner Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 14:32:23 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b5c2e67.40016442@news.erols.com>
Source record: 62444

On 22 Jul 2001 18:35:09 -0700, bryant1@infoave.net (Bryant Allen) wrote:

>Does the Uniden Bearcat 148xlt make a good scanner to start with or
>should i upgrade ASAP? If so, what would be a good, afforable scanner
>to upgrade to? thanks in advance...
>
>bryant Allen

Though I have some exper. as a Ham, I'm a newbie at this ng and
a newbie to scanning. I'm still shopping around. Upon arrival here it was
made clear to me that a good place to start was:

www.strongsignals.net

That was good advice that put me on the right path to asking myself more
focused questions about what I really wanted. For me, that means a hand-
held. Since the top-of-the-line handhelds (blocked) top out at around 600.00
USA that means I can get those if I pinch a few pennies. True, I'm probably
too novice to use their full potential but I can grow into it and also the more
pricey ones offers lots of after-market add-ons that experienced users seem
to come to rely on.

Frankly, so far I see even the pricey scanners as pretty good deals
compared with Ham transceivers. With the tx.'s you might pay almost as much
and get some Dual-bander with 5W/.5W out and simple scan features even
if wide-range. Myself, I don't even own one. For me, Ham is HF in the classic
sense. But the truth is most, most Hams are into pocket-sized FM tx.'s and
maybe their size is limiting factor on rx. functions. Perhaps this is also a
maipulation of the companies as I don't see much reason why say ICOM or
Yaesu couldn't drop dual-band function into a RC-10 or VR-500. It would be
an incredible package but then maybe no one would be walking around with
a travel case full of "handi-scratchies" that they shelled big bucks out for.

Anyway, enough of my soap-box. This newbie's advice would be to get
the best scanner you can afford since the best ones aren't much more than a
good color TV. Think about it: it doesn't just have to be for listening to the
Blue Angels. It'll get plain old AM/FM and SW Broadcast band as well. You could
practically throw your other receivers away! I hope the web-site and this little
diatribe have helped.

73,

Andrew/KG4JBM

2329. Re: phoenix police freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 15:08:47 UTC
From: AZ_Ghost <rayden@inficad.com>
Message-ID: <tlofbok07j4s7a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62445

Here is a link with the correct freq's:

http://www.azradiowaves.com/scanner/police.htm

Phoenix have not gone digital yet. All the freq's in that link work with
the exception of the Maricopa County Sheriff's Office which went digital at
the begining of the year.

Hope this helps!

AZ_Ghost

"Question everything. Learn something. Answer nothing."
---Engineer's Motto---
;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;

"Anonymous" <anonymous@anonymous.anonymous> wrote in message
news:3b5ba273_1@anonymous...
>
> Anyone have the active frequencies for Phoenix (city) police? Is it true
> they've gone completley digital and can't be monitored?
>
>
>
>
>
> --------== Posted Anonymously via Newsfeeds.Com ==-------
> Featuring the worlds only Anonymous Usenet Server
> -----------== http://www.newsfeeds.com ==----------

2330. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 18:10:49 UTC
From: Chris Deruyte <chrisderuyte@otn.net>
Message-ID: <3B5C68A9.756205D6@otn.net>
Source record: 62347
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Me wrote:

> Because this is a free country where we can have ideas and opinions separate from that
> which is considered normal.

Obviously, the description of the website shows the opinions to be extremely far from normal. (And completely
lacking in taste.)

>
> Anyhow, Halturner simply says what the majority of American Citizens think anyhow.

I, for one, do not, and anyone with a smidgen of common sense wouldn't think that way, either.

>
> Its just a thought crime right now to be anti black.

What is the code section of this "crime"?

> It's ok to hate arabs, or pakistanis or whatever
> else you want but oh my goodness, hate a black person and it's lights out, everyone goes apeshit on you.

How could anyone know what you are thinking, unless you post it for the whole world to see (and laugh at)?

>
> In reality, I don't have problems with arabs, or pakistanis or whatever, I have problems with niggers and that
> just the way it is.
> You need to understand the severe problem we have here in the USA with blacks, and live in it, then you will
> understand why we have such strong feelings against them. They are not only against our dreams and ideals of
> how life should be, the are against reality, society, everyone including their own in general.

Yawn.

>
> Indeed the typical american black is a savage beast.

The one-trick pony rides again.

2331. Scanner Laws Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 18:40:56 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b5c6ebd.56489063@news.erols.com>
Source record: 62348

Greetings again,

Is is true that technically it is *not* illeagal to import an unblocked scanner as long as it is for personal use (and of course u won't use the cell. freqs.) ? That it is only illeagal if you intend to resell it? Otherwise no problem. That is, the law applies to *usage intent*. not to mere possession or import.

TIA,

KG4JBM

2332. Re: Scanner Laws Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 18:56:50 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010723145650.29970.00000687@ng-ci1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62349

>Subject: Scanner Laws Question
>From: cathexis@erols.com (Set'em up Joe)
>Date: 7/23/01 2:40 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3b5c6ebd.56489063@news.erols.com>
>
>Greetings again,
>
> Is is true that technically it is *not* illeagal to import an unblocked
>scanner as long as it is for personal use (and of course u won't use the
>cell. freqs.) ? That it is only illeagal if you intend to resell it?
>Otherwise
>no problem. That is, the law applies to *usage intent*. not to mere
>possession or import.
>
> TIA,
>
> KG4JBM
>

Under Federal Law; it is illegal to:
import, make or modify a Scanner that will tune Cellular Service Frequencies.

The law does not exempt anyone save for Law Enforcement and Cellular Service
Co's.

Cops can only tune Cellular with a Warrant.

Intent matters not, if you modify, make or import a Cellular Able Scanner the
law is broken.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

2333. Trident and AOR Scanner FOR SALE!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 19:24:49 UTC
From: klarrg@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010723152449.19801.00000747@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 62350

New~in~Box TRIDENT TR-2400 Handheld scanner with full cellular (pre-ban). 1,000 channels: covers SW to Ghz. New thru dealer cost was $575.00. Selling for $300 FIRM, delivered. Includes box and all accessorires as came from maker (AOR)

Excellent~condition, flawless AOR AR-2700 handheld scanner with full cell. No box, but has manual, antenna, and charger. Little use:perfect shape cosmetically and electronically. Covers SW to Ghz. Sold new thru dealer for $400.00.Selling for $245.00 firm.

Prices firm: money order for pymt. only (prices include PRIORITY, insured S/H) Sorry, NO trades or "offers", please. Email with any questions. Thank You ! Larry (Klarrg@aol.com)

2334. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 19:27:12 UTC
From: Me
Message-ID: <kU_67.899$6_.275267@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

The majority of american people don't.
Either you have logic or you are the screwed up one and everyone else is wrong.
Hmmm, lets see, yeah, probably it's you considering you are the only one who could be budged in
beliefs compared to having to change all of the rest of society.

> I, for one, do not, and anyone with a smidgen of common sense wouldn't think that way, either.

> What is the code section of this "crime"?

Code ammendment: Bleeding Heart Liberal Monkey Lovers.

> How could anyone know what you are thinking, unless you post it for the whole world to see (and laugh at)?

Not the whole world laughs. Again, they laugh at you as you are the minority in though and probably in race.

> Yawn.

People who yawn allot need to see a doctor.

> The one-trick pony rides again.

So your boyfriend told me!

2335. Re: Need California State Fair Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 20:05:57 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Fs%67.39662$16.5021851@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 62352
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I would suggest scanning the FCC-designated itinerant frequencies:

http://www.aosc.org/dots.html

http://www.crosswinds.net/~scannernet/dot.html

http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/lp_freq.html

http://www.bright.net/~wbowery/itin.htm

Also, check the local law enforcement frequencies, because you might hear them patrolling on the fairgrounds.

2336. Re: Scanner Laws Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 20:07:36 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <cu%67.39663$16.5021981@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 62353

Maybe this'll help out:

http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/index.html

2337. Wanted:Tips on Weatherproofing Antenna and Connections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 21:04:07 UTC
From: "J. Scott Branscum" <nospam@usa.net>
Message-ID: <bj077.1017$6_.316553@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62354
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,
I am interested in tips on weatherizing new Antenna's and Co-Ax
connections.
Reply here or email me at a2stepper38@hotmail.com

Thanks,
Scott

2338. FS:BC245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 21:38:24 UTC
From: Chris Emerson <emmy412@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <kP077.10539$Xn.1251729@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62355

Any interest in someone buying a BC245XLT???

I have one that has little use and in perfect condition (w/box and all
assorted equip).

$150.00

Chris
emmy412@earthlink.net

2339. NYPD Question: What are Division frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 22:25:48 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Mv177.39684$16.5051529@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 62356
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all. I listen to the NYPD a lot, and I always here officers make references to other units being on "Division." Which frequencies are considered "Division"? Thanks for any help.

2340. Re: Scanner Laws Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 22:31:15 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b5ca543.70448874@news.erols.com>
Source record: 62357

On Mon, 23 Jul 2001 20:07:36 GMT, "TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote:

>Maybe this'll help out:
>
>http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/index.html
>
>

Good web-site! Thx. to you and PB Scanner for responding.
The reason for the question was a Google Search on this ng that
gave the above info from '97 or thereabouts. Either hogwash or the
law has since changed. Thanks.

Andrew

2341. Re: Scanner Laws Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-23 23:31:43 UTC
From: "Dan B (Scanner Nuts)" <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <op277.4688$UB6.410113@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62358

Look at the bottom of this page
http://www.webdeals.net/cordless.htm
for up to date laws on cordless and cell phones.

--
The Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
0ver 375 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
New and Old.... bring back the memories.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

***
"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3b5c6ebd.56489063@news.erols.com...
> Greetings again,
>
> Is is true that technically it is *not* illeagal to import an unblocked
> scanner as long as it is for personal use (and of course u won't use the
> cell. freqs.) ? That it is only illeagal if you intend to resell it?
Otherwise
> no problem. That is, the law applies to *usage intent*. not to mere
> possession or import.
>
> TIA,
>
> KG4JBM
>

2342. Re: Wanted:Tips on Weatherproofing Antenna and Connections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-24 00:25:41 UTC
From: Whiskey <drinkin@lil.com>
Message-ID: <9g377.21283$EP6.5327201@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 62359
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Scott, silicon the heck out of all your connections. It is cheap and easy
get the type you use with a caulk gun put it right over the connectors,
bolts etc, don't be afraid to put it on thick and mold it around your work.
After it has been used and you need to get the connector, etc off, it will
peel right off and provide a sure fire weather proof connection that is
cheap, easy, and will last for years.

"J. Scott Branscum" <nospam@usa.net> wrote in message
news:bj077.1017$6_.316553@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> Hello,
> I am interested in tips on weatherizing new Antenna's and Co-Ax
> connections.
> Reply here or email me at a2stepper38@hotmail.com
>
> Thanks,
> Scott
>
>

2343. Re: Wanted:Tips on Weatherproofing Antenna and Connections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-24 00:31:20 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <3B5CC1D8.63C69CE1@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 62361
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You can get what is called Coax Seal. It is sort of a thick rubber like tape that will mold itself to the connector. It can be removed without much difficulty if you have to years later. If you have an electrical supplier near you ask for some Stuff made by 3M They make some Scotch 130C that is about the same size as electrical tape. It is just somewhat thicker. Also is some Scotchfil that will do the same job but it is about 1/8 inch thick. YOu wind either around theconnectors just as you would tape, the put a good grade of Scotch tape over that.

I forgot the number of the Krylon spray paint but they make a clear coat that you can spray over the connectors and joints that hold up for a while. Don't use just the regular paint where the coax or traps connect unless you are sure that is an area where painting does not mater if is it conductive.

"J. Scott Branscum" wrote:
>
> Hello,
> I am interested in tips on weatherizing new Antenna's and Co-Ax
> connections.
> Reply here or email me at a2stepper38@hotmail.com
>
> Thanks,
> Scott

2344. Re: Wanted:Tips on Weatherproofing Antenna and Connections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-24 01:05:09 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15c669439c20ce39896b7@news>
Source record: 62360
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I would be very careful before using silicone on coax. SOme brands
have a chemical that leaches into the braid and will ruin it. The
silicone that you smell an ammonia like odor is the culprit.

I have switched to coax seal for water proofing and find it works
great and isn't messy like sealants. Stuff comes on a roll like thick
tape. You wrap the connector and then hand form the stuff to seal it
up good. Easy to remove.

Glenn

In article <9g377.21283$EP6.5327201@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>,
drinkin@lil.com says...
> Scott, silicon the heck out of all your connections. It is cheap and easy
> get the type you use with a caulk gun put it right over the connectors,
> bolts etc, don't be afraid to put it on thick and mold it around your work.
> After it has been used and you need to get the connector, etc off, it will
> peel right off and provide a sure fire weather proof connection that is
> cheap, easy, and will last for years.
>
> "J. Scott Branscum" <nospam@usa.net> wrote in message
> news:bj077.1017$6_.316553@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> > Hello,
> > I am interested in tips on weatherizing new Antenna's and Co-Ax
> > connections.
> > Reply here or email me at a2stepper38@hotmail.com
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Scott
> >
> >
>
>
>

2345. RCMA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-24 01:51:58 UTC
From: MICHAEL J SMITH <RAINBOWROAD@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <2x477.438$Hr6.71891186@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 62362

I am looking for the RCMA web site.I would like to join again and need
to get some information from them.Can anyone help me out.Thanks

--
Mike

2346. Re: NEEDED: MASS FREQUENCIES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-24 02:10:56 UTC
From: fanphoto1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010723221056.28988.00001572@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 62363

Hey.....I think we live in the same neighborhood!!!

(not really, I am in Lynnfield......)

Tom

2347. Re: RCMA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-24 04:33:53 UTC
From: watnny@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010724003353.14325.00001313@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 62364

RCMA is defunct -- has been for years.

:-(

Mike

2348. WTB-Unblocked reciever(Chicago)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-24 05:57:43 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10083-3B5D0E57-328@storefull-156.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62366

It looks like I 'lost' my contact in the Chicago area for a Pro-26 unblocked. I'm saddened as I wanted to buy myself a birthday present(sob). Ok, I've composed myself now,'Still looking for a wideband unblocked scanner in the Chicago area ONLY for a legal 'person to person' sale. Whatcha got and Whatcha askin' for it. Vic.

2349. Re: RCMA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-24 06:15:11 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Pn877.21344$Gj5.12804338@typhoon.san.rr.com>
Source record: 62365

"MICHAEL J SMITH" <RAINBOWROAD@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:2x477.438$Hr6.71891186@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com...
> I am looking for the RCMA web site.I would like to join again and need
> to get some information from them.Can anyone help me out.Thanks

RCMA died in 1996.

If you're in Southern California, you could try one of its replacements, the
Southern California Monitoring Association, at:

http://socalscanner.com/index.htm

2350. Winscan 780

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-24 06:27:01 UTC
From: Brian Smith <brian01@winmail.com.au>
Message-ID: <Vy877.26690$Xr6.214921@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 62367

After full version software OR a crack that works with WinScan 780.

And before any lame morons with no life reply crapping on about how wrong it is to use illegal software DONT ,if you dont like my posting dont bloody read it or reply to it!!

Brian.

2351. Re: Good begiinner Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-24 06:44:18 UTC
From: RayC <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz>
Message-ID: <zO877.276$ww1.15455@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 62368

Buy the most you can and borrow $100

You will be disappointed with a learners one within 10 days

"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3b5c2e67.40016442@news.erols.com...
> On 22 Jul 2001 18:35:09 -0700, bryant1@infoave.net (Bryant Allen) wrote:
>
> >Does the Uniden Bearcat 148xlt make a good scanner to start with or
> >should i upgrade ASAP? If so, what would be a good, afforable scanner
> >to upgrade to? thanks in advance...
> >
> >bryant Allen
>
> Though I have some exper. as a Ham, I'm a newbie at this ng and
> a newbie to scanning. I'm still shopping around. Upon arrival here it was
> made clear to me that a good place to start was:
>
> www.strongsignals.net
>
> That was good advice that put me on the right path to asking myself more
> focused questions about what I really wanted. For me, that means a hand-
> held. Since the top-of-the-line handhelds (blocked) top out at around
600.00
> USA that means I can get those if I pinch a few pennies. True, I'm
probably
> too novice to use their full potential but I can grow into it and also the
more
> pricey ones offers lots of after-market add-ons that experienced users
seem
> to come to rely on.
>
> Frankly, so far I see even the pricey scanners as pretty good deals
> compared with Ham transceivers. With the tx.'s you might pay almost as
much
> and get some Dual-bander with 5W/.5W out and simple scan features even
> if wide-range. Myself, I don't even own one. For me, Ham is HF in the
classic
> sense. But the truth is most, most Hams are into pocket-sized FM tx.'s and
> maybe their size is limiting factor on rx. functions. Perhaps this is
also a
> maipulation of the companies as I don't see much reason why say ICOM or
> Yaesu couldn't drop dual-band function into a RC-10 or VR-500. It would be
> an incredible package but then maybe no one would be walking around with
> a travel case full of "handi-scratchies" that they shelled big bucks out

for.
>
> Anyway, enough of my soap-box. This newbie's advice would be to get
> the best scanner you can afford since the best ones aren't much more than
a
> good color TV. Think about it: it doesn't just have to be for listening to
the
> Blue Angels. It'll get plain old AM/FM and SW Broadcast band as well. You
could
> practically throw your other receivers away! I hope the web-site and this
little
> diatribe have helped.
>
> 73,
>
> Andrew/KG4JBM
>

2352. WTB:Bearcat BC895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-24 10:06:49 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@home.com>
Message-ID: <ZMb77.174600$mG4.82580078@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 62369

im looking for a Bearcat BC-895
thanks
monte k9dzd@home.com

2353. Re: Stubby Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-24 11:47:48 UTC
From: Bill in Charlotte <wmaerkle@carolina.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Efd77.2269$wD.881735@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 62370

I made my own. Connect a one inch piece of wire to the center connector of a BNC. Wrap with tape to protect it and that is it. It works great. I've used it each week for the past two months at Charlotte, NC at the Loew's Motor Speedway. There is now zero intermod and bleedover and full reception on the primary channels.

Bill

> He's asking about the stubby antennas used when scanning at races.
> Mostly 400 MHz band, some 150 MHz, and maybe a couple at 800 MHz.
>
> The stubbies cut down on sensitivity, so as to reduce bleed-over from
> one frequency to another. I sprang for one for $12 because the
> broadcast feeds at Indianapolis were overwhelming other channels.
>
> I'd love to find out what's inside them, 'cause I'd rather not pay $12
> if I ever need another one. But I can't bring myself to cut the
> little guy open for a look-see.

2354. las vegas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-24 12:41:53 UTC
From: lippy4@gte.net
Message-ID: <40375b5f.0107240441.3e2192cf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62371

I am traveling to Las Vegas this month and would like fire department
and police department frequencies

2355. Re: WTB:Bearcat BC895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-24 14:53:11 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010724105311.17592.00001200@ng-cn1.aol.com>
Source record: 62372

>looking for a Bearcat BC-895

Uniden has reconditioned ones at their web site. I think they were 170.00.
Can't say for sure but I think this included a warranty.

Rob Mills

PS, Nice radio for the $.

2356. Re: Strange signal on 263.625 (wav sample)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-24 15:58:51 UTC
From: John Medica -System administrator <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3B5D9B3B.9B0C893B@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 62385
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Dan B (Scanner Nuts)" wrote:

> While listening to the Military Aviation band today I notice my Icom
> PCR-1000 kept stopping on 263.825 (AM) and I heard a static burst. I
> switched the Icom to SSB (USB) and I'm hearing a chirp that I have never
> heard of before. This is definitely a man made signal. I suspect it is some
> kind of telemetry for the space shuttle since I have that frequency labeled
> as "shuttle support". I think its a packet type signal but it's unlike
> anything I have heard before!
> I have placed a sample of it on my website:
> http://www.webdeals.net/special/PCR43.wav
> Please email me if you *know* what this is. I'm not looking for guesses.

Analyzed the wav file (linux version of BaudLine) its a realtime signal
analysis software. Not, telemetry nor packet.
sounds like static bursts.

Regards
John Medica - Listening-Post
Monitoring Station, Toronto Ontario Canada

2357. Re: WTB:Bearcat BC895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-24 17:31:02 UTC
From: PG <pgwb3ku@aol.com>
Message-ID: <qhi77.5177$LP2.537335@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62373

$170 is kinda steep for a used 895 - the last one I bought (new) was $189...

I realize they're 'guaranteed' and everything, but I'd rather buy new for
that little bit of price difference.

John

J Rob <jrobmills@aol.comrx320> wrote in message
news:20010724105311.17592.00001200@ng-cn1.aol.com...
> >looking for a Bearcat BC-895
>
> Uniden has reconditioned ones at their web site. I think they were 170.00.
> Can't say for sure but I think this included a warranty.
>
> Rob Mills
>
> PS, Nice radio for the $.

2358. FS: Bearcat III Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-24 18:04:28 UTC
From: Mike <rmwomble@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <LNi77.4073$YN3.95849@e420r-sjo3.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62375

I'm selling a Bearcat III manual in good condition on eBay. It has operating instructions, schematic, voltage and logic chart and parts list.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1257693211&r=0&t=0&showTutorial=0&ed=996373488&indexURL=0&rd=1

Thanks

2359. FS: Radio Shack PRO-70 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-24 18:05:49 UTC
From: r8500@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010724140549.14804.00001717@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 62374

This is a 50 channel programmable handheld scanner which covers VHF low, VHF hi, UHF & T band. The PRO-70 comes with original box and owner's manual and a Radio Shack telescoping whip antenna. Cosmetically, the scanner is an 8.5 on a 10 scale. It has had very little use over the past year because my state has moved to an 800 MHz trunked system. $45.00 plus shipping from zip 84404, and payment must be received within 10 days. SERIOUS INQUIRIES ONLY, please. If you don't intend to follow through and purchase the scanner, please don't waste my time and yours. Thanks and 73, David. R8500@aol.com

2360. Re: WTB:Bearcat BC895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-24 18:31:26 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010724143126.25911.00001026@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 62376

>the last one I bought (new) was $189...

They were discounting them last winter (thats what I paid Grove for mine then)
but I believe the prices have gone back up since then.
It wouldn't hurt to do a little shoping. I saw one individual advertise one
(used) for 150.00 a while back but think I would rather have the warranty for
another 20.00.
No matter how you figure it, it's a lot of radio for anything under 200.00.

Cheers, Rob Mills

2361. Need Help With IIi Trunked System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 00:25:48 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <gmo77.18205$I5.3782808@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 62377
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all. I really need some help with my BC245XLT. Trying to program N.J. State Police Trunked System (Troop B), which happens to be a IIi system. Fleet Map is supposed to be S-13 for Type I IDs and S-0 for Type II...but which block gets the S-13 and which block gets the S-0??? I know that S-13 only goes to b0 and b4, but I need to put that S-0 somewhere...Any ideas? Thanks.

2362. 2042 for sell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 00:51:24 UTC
From: Philip Knode <pknode@home.com>
Message-ID: <gKo77.91964$B5.21405877@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 62378

Have a 2042 in very good condition will sell for 300 dollars.Email if interested.Phil

2363. Re: alpha tags for a PRO-2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 00:58:00 UTC
From: Paul M <paul@coldnfrosty.com>
Message-ID: <sQo77.19334$N21.6536817@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 62379
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Not on the existing 2006 display. However, you can add an interface board such as the Optoelectronics model and connect it to your computer. There are several software packages that will allow tagging channels and so on that way, through software on the PC.

"Bill Seward" <seward@northstate.net> wrote in message news:a067lts11h3vpi1su9slrvtc0igh42qv5p@4ax.com...
> Is there any sort of add-on for the PRO-2006 that supports alpha tags
> (I hope I'm using that correctly--I mean the short description that
> can be associated with a frequency, such as the 780XLT does)? I'd be
> willing (happy maybe?) to accept something that required computer
> control, but a self-contained add-on would be really nice.
>
> Thanks.
>
>
> Bill Seward
> http://www.geocities.com/bill_seward
>

2364. Re: Need Help With IIi Trunked System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 01:14:29 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B5E1D74.93DA896E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62380
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

I have the NJSP Troops B and C systems programmed on a PRO-92.

You set Block 0 to S13, which also fills up blocks 1, 2, and 3 as
S13. Then you set Block 4 to S13, which also fills up block 5, 6, and
7 as S13. There is no block specifically set for S0. The type II
transmissions all come in as talk groups 000-13 or 400-12, and are not
tracked with their Type II talkgroup IDs.

To track the Type II talkgroups, in the PRO-92 you have to program
another bank as a Type II bank. And then input the Type II talkgroup
IDs you want to track.

Email me if you have further questions.

Walt

TheF16Falcon wrote:
>
> Hi all. I really need some help with my BC245XLT. Trying to program N.J.
> State Police Trunked System (Troop B), which happens to be a IIi system.
> Fleet Map is supposed to be S-13 for Type I IDs and S-0 for Type II...but
> which block gets the S-13 and which block gets the S-0??? I know that S-13
> only goes to b0 and b4, but I need to put that S-0 somewhere...Any ideas?
> Thanks.

2365. Re: Looking for Invert2.ZIP Speech Inversion Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 01:28:59 UTC
From: Brian Smith <brian01@winmail.com.au>
Message-ID: <vhp77.28647$Xr6.230555@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 62381

Yep i have invert2.zip ,email me and ill supply you a copy.

Brian.

"Power House" <Power House @ My House (DOT) Net> wrote in message
news:tls7e51hnoede@corp.supernews.com...
> I hear people talking about this wonderful piece of software, and how much
> better it is that Invert.ZIP, but yet nobody seems to be able to provide
me
> with a source to acquire it. DON'T tell me to go do a search for it... I
> have. I have used every search engine possible, I have used the Coppernic
> 2001 Pro to look for it, and as far as I can tell, it does not exist.
Sure,
> I came up with results on the search, but who the hell is looking for a
DOS
> program to invert graphics???? Not me I can tell you that. If you know
> of, or have this wondrous Speech inversion program, could you PLEASE send
me
> a copy via email (reply back and I'll give you my real email addy), or a
> link to a location online that I can acquire it from.........
>
> Thanks!
>
>
>

2366. Strange signal on 263.625 (wav sample)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 02:42:53 UTC
From: "Dan B (Scanner Nuts)" <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <Biq77.7022$UB6.666822@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62383
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

While listening to the Military Aviation band today I notice my Icom
PCR-1000 kept stopping on 263.825 (AM) and I heard a static burst. I
switched the Icom to SSB (USB) and I'm hearing a chirp that I have never
heard of before. This is definitely a man made signal. I suspect it is some
kind of telemetry for the space shuttle since I have that frequency labeled
as "shuttle support". I think its a packet type signal but it's unlike
anything I have heard before!
I have placed a sample of it on my website:
http://www.webdeals.net/special/PCR43.wav
Please email me if you *know* what this is. I'm not looking for guesses.

--
The Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
0ver 375 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
New and Old.... bring back the memories.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

2367. Re: Good begiinner Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 02:43:21 UTC
From: swaves101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010724224321.17417.00000744@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 62382

If there are any trunked channels in your area I would go with a BC235XLT. It is reasonably user friendly and not a bad choice. I use it more than my BC245
regards, Jim

2368. Re: Strange signal on 263.625 (wav sample)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 03:14:56 UTC
From: Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <6Oq77.435$ww1.29296@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 62384
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The Martians are coming! beio beio.

"Dan B (Scanner Nuts)" <k4lyp@webdeals.net> wrote in message
news:Biq77.7022$UB6.666822@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> While listening to the Military Aviation band today I notice my Icom
> PCR-1000 kept stopping on 263.825 (AM) and I heard a static burst. I
> switched the Icom to SSB (USB) and I'm hearing a chirp that I have never
> heard of before. This is definitely a man made signal. I suspect it is
some
> kind of telemetry for the space shuttle since I have that frequency
labeled
> as "shuttle support". I think its a packet type signal but it's unlike
> anything I have heard before!
> I have placed a sample of it on my website:
> http://www.webdeals.net/special/PCR43.wav
> Please email me if you *know* what this is. I'm not looking for guesses.
>
>
>
>
> --
> The Scanner Nuts! ☺
> http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
> 0ver 375 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
> New and Old.... bring back the memories.
> In God we trust, all others we monitor.
>
>
>
>

2369. To 'Alek' From Vic (Chicago Area)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 04:15:45 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10084-3B5E47F1-172@storefull-156.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62388

Hi Alek, I have been trying contact you via e-mail a couple of times
week. I am very interested in your scanner. Please leave a contact
number so we can talk. Thank you, Vic.

2370. forsale: edacs trunking portable 16systems/16 ids each MINT 250.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 04:34:44 UTC
From: JJ <oaktownboy@home.com>
Message-ID: <E%r77.12390$O8.1549905@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 62386

forsale

ericsson IPE200
model krd 103 / 24 /a2
with new battery
with new battery charger
with new rubberduck antenna

for 800 mhz trunking.
easily updated to digital encrytion with a codeplug

this is in MINT condtion.
comes with spec sheet for hookup, programming and software

250.00US includes insured shipping with a tracking number .

thanks
oaktownboy@home.com

2371. AMAZING SCANNERS, VERY REASONABLE $$very capable too.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 04:44:16 UTC
From: jj475171@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010725004416.09253.00001173@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 62387

Hello, im currently selling the following scanners, all are in MINT MINT condition inside/out, I do not have any pics, (my p.c. cant do it) the 1st is a Regency MX7000 20 channels, 25MHz-1,300MHz coverage + CELLS, the 2nd is a Realistic Pro-2004 400 channels 25MHz-1,300MHz CELLS, (the mods were done by commtronics) AKA bill Cheek. and the 3rd is a Radio Shack Pro-2042 1,000 channel, CELL IMAGES (on the 1,100-1,200MHz) range.....as I stated earlier, all scanners are Like New, MINT condition, inside/out they come with manuals, and the MX7000 also has its box still. price for the MX7000 is $100.00 you pay ship, the 2004 price is $210.00 I'll pick up ship, and the 2042 is $199.00, and I guess I'll do the same for it as well (ship). Hope you all have a good day, and please send all offers/questions/comments, directly to my e-mail address ONLY, I cant scroll trhough all the things im listing daily (I hope you understand) Thanks, Regards, Frank.

2372. Re: WTB:Bearcat BC895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 05:23:46 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010725012346.15535.00000929@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 62389

>im looking for a Bearcat BC-895

I just saw a used one at www.strongsignals.net/for_sale/index.html
for 160.00 with serial cable and a copy of Winscan soft ware. Doubt you will do
any better than that.

2373. Washington Scanner Listeners!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 06:14:10 UTC
From: Brandon Coughlin <bcoughlin@urx.com>
Message-ID: <Qdv77.4339$6a4.227620@news-west.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62391

http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/WashingtonSCAN

2374. Re: To 'Alek' From Vic (Chicago Area)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 06:19:13 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10083-3B5E64E1-441@storefull-156.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62390

Hello, Alek. I am confident we can do business, please e-mail me with your contact number. Thank you, Vic.

2375. Re: Looking for Invert2.ZIP Speech Inversion Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 09:11:24 UTC
From: Brian Smith <brian01@winmail.com.au>
Message-ID: <03w77.61077$Xr6.237740@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 62392

To those that i said i would get Invert2.zip for:

It will be easier if you get it your self from the following website ,which may i add has some other very interesting radio software to!!

Take a look at http://www.gebhart-sbg.at/download/ **Radio stuff is about halfway down the page**

Cheer's,

Brian.

"Helmut Wabnig" <h.w.a.b.n.i.g@a.o.n.at> wrote in message news:432tlt0it6eb474qtq0hosff03mc1kt757@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 24 Jul 2001 20:14:09 -0500, "Power House" <Power House @ My
> House (DOT) Net> wrote:
>
> >I hear people talking about this wonderful piece of software, and how much
> >better it is that Invert.ZIP, but yet nobody seems to be able to provide me
> >with a source to acquire it. DON'T tell me to go do a search for it... I
> >have. I have used every search engine possible, I have used the Coppernic
> >2001 Pro to look for it, and as far as I can tell, it does not exist. Sure,
> >I came up with results on the search, but who the hell is looking for a DOS
> >program to invert graphics???? Not me I can tell you that. If you know
> >of, or have this wondrous Speech inversion program, could you PLEASE send me
> >a copy via email (reply back and I'll give you my real email addy), or a
> >link to a location online that I can acquire it from.....
> >
> >Thanks!
> >
> >
> mail me directly
>
> w.
> to email remove 8 dots: ....

2376. Prov PD freq. 3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 13:13:37 UTC
From: Chris Emerson <emmy412@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5Cz77.330$xZ4.78916@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62393

Does anyone know what freq. Providence PD is on their "channel 3".

Thanks

Chris

2377. looking for a G-WIZ gadget for removing tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 13:49:57 UTC
From: JJ <oaktownboy@home.com>
Message-ID: <98A77.13114$O8.1605855@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 62394

does anyone know where i can get one of those gadgets sold
years ago called GEE WIZ, it can go in almost any radio and
remove tones from transmissions. I have an ar8000 and am looking
for something that will do this for me.

thanks
oaktownboy@home.com

2378. Re: looking for a G-WIZ gadget for removing tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 14:25:18 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010725102518.23947.00000910@ng-mp1.aol.com>
Source record: 62395

>does anyone know where i can get one of those gadgets sold years ago called GEE WIZ<

Try, www.hamstation.com/new/new.htm

I entered "g wiz board" into www.northrenlight.com and got several hits. The above seemed the most promising.

Rob Mills

2379. WTB:Pro-92 / 94A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 16:34:43 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@home.com>
Message-ID: <DyC77.179055$mG4.84410673@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 62396

im looking for a radio shack pro-92, or , pro-94A
thanks
monte k9dzd@home.com

2380. Re: looking for a G-WIZ gadget for removing tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 16:44:29 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <20010725124429.14900.00001662@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 62297

>Subject: looking for a G-WIZ gadget for removing tones

>From: "JJ" oaktownboy@home.com

>does anyone know where i can get one of those gadgets sold
>years ago called GEE WIZ,

try "ScannerMaster" in Mass (they publish scanner books also). They had
it listed in one of their catalogs a couple
years ago (last catalog I got)

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

2381. Mods maycom ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 18:09:47 UTC
From: Roger <arex@sensewave.com>
Message-ID: <LXD77.4345$em.157983@juliett.dax.net>
Source record: 62298

I'm looking for mods to the maycom ar 108 (european model)

Any links\addvice ?

Later
Roger

2382. New Coax Installation Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 19:01:38 UTC
From: "J. Scott Branscum" <nospam@usa.net>
Message-ID: <mIE77.2174$vT.214949@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62299
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello Group,
I am installing a new quality 25-1300 Mhz Discone antenna on a 15 foot mast on my rooftop, and already have RG6 cable from a previous DSS installation. Is it worth the extra cost to replace the RG6 with RG 8/U for my lead-in from the antenna? I will most likely have 80 ft between the antenna and the opposite end of the antenna lead-in cable. It sounds to me as if I will have to install PL-259 Male connectors on each end of the antenna lead-in cable if I use RG 8/U. From the opposite end of the antenna lead-in, I am thinking of connecting the PL-259 Male to an SO-239 to "F" adapter to connect to a distribution switch. From this distribution switch, I will go out to three other RG 6/U cable spans (under 50 feet each) to connect three rooms to this distribution switch and antenna. This distribution switch, I feel, will be better than a splitter, because I can connect each room individually to the rooftop antenna at will by selecting the specific room on the switch, and hopefully avoid the db losses that occur with splitter connections. I'm thinking that with this setup I can move my Uniden BC780XLT to a room and select that room on the switch to connect to the antenna. As I mentioned before, I will most likely use RG 6/U to connect from the distribution switch to each room. Does this sound like a good plan?
You can reply here or at a2stepper38@hotmail.com
Thanks,
Scott

2383. Swap Drake/Yaesu SWR for H/Held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 19:44:43 UTC
From: Sean <sa1@ukonline.co.uk>
Message-ID: <9jn7f5npp1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 62300

I have two SW-Receivers, I would like to swap either one for a Top of the range Handheld Scanner, along the lines of Yupi9000 , AOR8200 , Alinco DJX-10 or similar
My radio's are the Drake SW2 and the Yaesu FRG-100B they are both in excellent condition, boxed with manuals. I will exchange either one.
I am prepared to ship worldwide by airmail if needed.
thank you
Sean
PS, I do not wish to sell either radio, only trade please.

2384. Re: Antenna suggestion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 21:18:14 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15c8d71b653f49739896c1@news>
Source record: 62301

In article <Pine.SOL.4.30.0107251645200.7735-
100000@yeager.cse.Buffalo.EDU>, kjallen@cse.buffalo.edu says...
> I am looking for an portable-ish antenna for my hand held recievers for
> UHF TV audio. The stock antenna just isn't cutting it. I've been suggested
> the Diamond RH77CA, but it doesn't seem to have frequency coverage for UHF
> TV audio (at least the middle/upper channels). Any other suggestions?
>

Rabbit ears.

2385. Re: New Coax Installation Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 21:22:57 UTC
From: "Dan B (Scanner Nuts)" <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <EIG77.8761$UB6.802230@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62302
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In theory it should be just fine considering the distribution block is rated up to 1GHz. Keep in mind that every connector will have some loss so buy quality and keep them to a minimum.

Some people will argue that you should not use 75 ohm coax on a scanner but it work just fine.
Read my "Coaxial cable guide" to compare loss.
http://www.webdeals.net/coax.htm
RG-6 is better that RG8U coax in terms of loss on the higher frequencies. If you decide to upgrade to a 50 ohm coax, I highly recommend 9913F for all scanner and radio applications. It cost from 60 to 80 cents a foot and well worth it. The loss is very low at 1GHz (4.5db @ 100 feet). Since you already have the RG6, I would use it since it's rated (6.1db loss @ 100 feet on 1GHz)

I just raised my Discone from about 20 feet to 35 feet. It's on my tower now with my other scanner antenna.
Here is a picture of it: http://www.webdeals.net/newantenna.jpg
I have noticed a big improvement on far off signal now. I am using 1/2 inch hard-line on the discone antenna while the Channel master has RG6 rated at 1GHz on it. The Channel master is much better on low band while the discone is better on 144 and 800 MHz frequencies. I keep the Channel master on the Icom PCR-1000 and the Discone on my Radio Shack Pro-2021 most of the time.

Dan Browning
AKA *Scanner Nut*

--
The Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
0ver 375 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
New and Old.... bring back the memories.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

***
"J. Scott Branscum" <nospam@usa.net> wrote in message
news:mIE77.2174$vT.214949@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> Hello Group,
> I am installing a new quality 25-1300 Mhz Discone antenna on a 15 foot mast
> on my rooftop, and already have RG6 cable from a previous DSS installation.
> Is it worth the extra cost to replace the RG6 with RG 8/U for my lead-in
> from the antenna? I will most likely have 80 ft between the antenna and the

> opposite end of the antenna lead-in cable. It sounds to me as if I will
have
> to install PL-259 Male connectors on each end of the antenna lead-in cable
> if I use RG 8/U. From the opposite end of the antenna lead-in, I am
thinking
> of connecting the PL-259 Male to an SO-239 to "F" adapter to connect to a
> distribution switch. From this distribution switch, I will go out to
three
> other RG 6/U cable spans (under 50 feet each) to connect three rooms to
this
> distribution switch and antenna. This distribution switch, I feel, will
be
> better than a splitter, because I can connect each room individually to
the
> rooftop antenna at will by selecting the specific room on the switch, and
> hopefully avoid the db losses that occur with splitter connections. I'm
> thinking that with this setup I can move my Uniden BC780XLT to a room and
> select that room on the switch to connect to the antenna. As I mentioned
> before, I will most likely use RG 6/U to connect from the distribution
> switch to each room. Does this sound like a good plan?
> You can reply here or at a2stepper38@hotmail.com
> Thanks,
> Scott
>
>

2386. Re: AMAZING SCANNERS, VERY REASONABLE $$very capable too.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 21:44:31 UTC
From: jj475171@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010725174431.08205.00001008@ng-fp1.aol.com>
Source record: 62303

UPDATE ON SCANNERS 4 SALE. tHE PRO-2004 HAS BEEN SOLD, THE PRO-2042 HAS BEEN SOLD, AND IVE TAKEN THE ACTION OF LOWERING THE PRICE ON THE rEGENCY mx-7000 to $95.00 plus shipping, for some reason Even though this scanner is made by AOR/for Regency, its not gotten very much intrest, (maybe because its only 20 channels) but I thought the fact of full cells was a strong point????Oh well, I just figured id mention the New price on her. Thanks, Frank.

2387. Huntsville Alabama

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 22:01:19 UTC
From: Bill Grimwood <bill@grimwood.net>
Message-ID: <bfH77.29441$YK4.2178818@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62304

Can anyone tell me what frequencies are in us in Huntsville, AL?

Thanks

Bill KG4NAC

2388. Icom R-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 22:14:09 UTC
From: "Tim P." <commissioner@fcc.gov>
Message-ID: <RwH77.1004$J37.297969@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 62305

What's an Icom R-1 worth these days? Great condition, with users guide, internal battery is weak though. I haven't been able to locate the charger since moving, but I'm still looking.

Thanks

Tim

2389. ICOM IC-R7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 22:26:57 UTC
From: Tom Needham <tneedham@acd.net>
Message-ID: <4NH77.407$1K.1095@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 62306

I need manual for one of these rascals.
Can anyone help?

Thanx.

--
Tom

Reply to: Group, or tneedham@spleen.acd.net
Remove my spleen to reply. (please be gentle)
Home page: http://userdata.acd.net/tneedham/default.htm
It aint much, but it's mine.

2390. Miami PD Cell Phone Conversations Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 22:38:25 UTC
From: bhall7@email.com
Message-ID: <dc0c38eb.0107251438.1cc5cd5b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62307

Hello. I am listening to the Miami PD broadcast on Yahoo. I am hearing an officer dialing phone numbers and talking to people on his cell phone. I know there are some radios that also have cellular capability, but I was just wondering if anyone could confirm how the Miami PD's system is set up.

I wonder if that officer knows that his calls are being monitored by Internet users worldwide.

-BHall

2391. F/S Bearcat 780xlt -like new in box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 23:43:18 UTC
From: BK <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B5F5A36.975235E0@yahoo.com>
Source record: 62308
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Selling a Bearcat 780xlt. Like new complete in the box with receipt. 2 days old. Don't want to return and get socked for a restocking fee. $320 with shipping UPS included. Please email if you are interested. thanks

2392. Re: New Coax Installation Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-25 23:58:46 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15c8fcb26256a0269896c2@news>
Source record: 62309
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <EIG77.8761$UB6.802230@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Dan B
\(Scanner Nuts\)" <k4lyp@webdeals.net> says...
> In theory it should be just fine considering the distribution block is rated
> up to 1GHz. Keep in mind that every connector will have some loss so buy
> quality and keep them to a minimum.
>
> Some people will argue that you should not use 75 ohm coax on a scanner but
> it work just fine.
> Read my "Coaxial cable guide" to compare loss.
> http://www.webdeals.net/coax.htm
> RG-6 is better that RG8U coax in terms of loss on the higher frequencies. If
> you decide to upgrade to a 50 ohm coax, I highly recommend 9913F for all
> scanner and radio applications. It cost from 60 to 80 cents a foot and well
> worth it. The loss is very low at 1GHz (4.5db @ 100 feet). Since you already
> have the RG6, I would use it since it's rated (6.1db loss @ 100 feet on
> 1GHz)

Dan, you ought to do yourself and those you make recommendations to a
favor and try out some LMR-400. It has better loss figures
(http://www.timesmicrowave.com/cgi-
bin/byteserver/products/commercial/selectguide/LMRGuide.pdf)

(just barely) than the 9913, is easier to work with and cheaper. I
have no connection with these folks, I just have been using it for
some time and the stuff is great.

Give it a shot sometime and you will see what I mean.

> I just raised my Discone from about 20 feet to 35 feet. It's on my tower now
> with my other scanner antenna.

15 feet can make a big difference.

> Here is a picture of it: http://www.webdeals.net/newantenna.jpg
> I have noticed a big improvement on far off signal now. I am using 1/2 inch
> hard-line on the discone antenna while the Channel master has RG6 rated at
> 1GHz on it. The Channel master is much better on low band while the discone
> is better on 144 and 800 MHz frequencies. I keep the Channel master on the
> Icom PCR-1000 and the Discone on my Radio Shack Pro-2021 most of the time.

My Channel Master just dies after 10+ years of service. Sad! It was a
good antenna.

Glenn

> Dan Browning
> AKA *Scanner Nut*
>
>
>
> --
> The Scanner Nuts! &#65533;
> http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
> 0ver 375 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
> New and Old.... bring back the memories.
> In God we trust, all others we monitor.
>
> ***
> "J. Scott Branscum" <nospam@usa.net> wrote in message
> news:mIE77.2174$vT.214949@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> > Hello Group,
> > I am installing a new quality 25-1300 Mhz Discone antenna on a 15 foot
> mast
> > on my rooftop, and already have RG6 cable from a previous DSS
> installation.
> > Is it worth the extra cost to replace the RG6 with RG 8/U for my lead-in
> > from the antenna? I will most likely have 80 ft between the antenna and
> the
> > opposite end of the antenna lead-in cable. It sounds to me as if I will
> have
> > to install PL-259 Male connectors on each end of the antenna lead-in cable
> > if I use RG 8/U. From the opposite end of the antenna lead-in, I am
> thinking
> > of connecting the PL-259 Male to an SO-239 to "F" adapter to connect to a
> > distribution switch. From this distribution switch, I will go out to
> three
> > other RG 6/U cable spans (under 50 feet each) to connect three rooms to
> this
> > distribution switch and antenna. This distribution switch, I feel, will
> be
> > better than a splitter, because I can connect each room individually to
> the
> > rooftop antenna at will by selecting the specific room on the switch, and
> > hopefully avoid the db losses that occur with splitter connections. I'm
> > thinking that with this setup I can move my Uniden BC780XLT to a room and
> > select that room on the switch to connect to the antenna. As I mentioned
> > before, I will most likely use RG 6/U to connect from the distribution
> > switch to each room. Does this sound like a good plan?
> > You can reply here or at a2stepper38@hotmail.com
> > Thanks,
> > Scott
> >
> >
>
>

>
>

2393. Uniden SC-200 Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 00:05:51 UTC
From: John Doe <me@me.com>
Message-ID: <z9J77.13397$O8.1706465@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 62310

FREE TRIAL at: http://members.home.net/itssoftware/ez-scan.htm

2394. Beware of this guy he will kip you off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 00:26:29 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b5f61f5_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 62311

I (thought) I was buying a Pro2006 Scanner from J.Patmaca,I sent him the money and never got my scanner.Watch out he is a FUCKING THIEF and a FUCKING LIAR.
If anybody that lives close to this address reads this PLEASE go find him and SQUEEZE his neck until his head pops off for me Thanks in advance!!!
Here is his name and address so watch out for him.
J Patmaca
505-2263 marine crt
Bronte,ON
L6L5k1
Canada
his email is jpatmaca@yahoo.ca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2395. ECHELON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 01:02:46 UTC
From: sstvswl <sstvswl@home.com>
Message-ID: <W_J77.251136$%i7.141013392@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 62312

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:

CONTACT:
either
Scully: Scully@cipherwar.com
or
Michael Teetering: Teetering@cipherwar.com

Global Monitoring System, ECHELON, has its day. Jam Echelon Day October 21st

THE INTERNET - July 25, 2001 - A large group of individuals in the Global Internet Community have set out to bring attention to the communications monitoring system known as ECHELON.

Two years ago, when this idea was launched, the existence of ECHELON was denied by all of the participating agencies. Now with the recent issuing of the report by the "Temporary Committee on the ECHELON Interception System" its existence and invasive practices are no longer in doubt.

We are urging all persons who utilize any form of global communication to inform their circle of friends regarding ECHELON and its monitoring of all email, telephone and fax communications in Europe. Along with this we are urging the inclusion of as many 'trigger words' within those communications as possible.

To this end, we have established a primary web site at: http://cipherwar.com/echelon and numerous mirror sites around the world to help educate people about ECHELON and what it really means to the Global community at large. (Partial list of site locations below.)

October 21st has been designated "JAM ECHELON DAY" and on that day we are encouraging email messages to be sent out in great numbers, containing as many 'trigger words' as possible. (There is a list of 1700 on the web site.) While the goal of "jamming up" ECHELON is a lofty and likely unattainable one, is it not better to signal displeasure at being monitored than passively allow it to happen? We think so.

Now is a chance for anyone, regardless of computer expertise, to become an instant hacktivist - and all you need is your regular email program and the desire to stand up and count.

After October 21st, we ask global netizens to merely stop censoring themselves for fear of scrutiny. If the bulk of monitored hits becomes too great, the effectiveness will drop to the insignificant. A proverb from Madagascar states; "Cross the river in a crowd and the crocodile won't eat you." Although that may not be completely true, we invite you to join us.

The Jam Echelon site may be found at the following URL's
cipherwar.com/echelon, echelon.oregonwebdesign.com,
www.world-of-hell.com/JED2K, logos4u.box.sk/JED2K,
www.sentry-labs.com/echelon, echelon.linuxsecurity.com

2396. FS: PRO-2023

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 03:26:37 UTC
From: profile1x@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010725232637.15303.00001025@ng-ft1.aol.com>
Source record: 62313

Good condition. $55 shipped.

2397. Re: looking for a G-WIZ gadget for removing tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 04:24:49 UTC
From: Ed Ortiz <eortiz@donotspamix.net>
Message-ID: <tlv62ul2ffc202@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62314

Here is their website:

http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/brennan/gwiz.htm

Scroll down to the bottom and click on the blue box label "G/Wiz mod fact
sheet. You can email the designer Terence Brennan.

Hope this helps!

Ed Ortiz

"Be like a postage stamp. Stick to one thing until you get there."
-- Josh Billings --
::
JJ <oaktownboy@home.com> wrote in message
news:98A77.13114$O8.1605855@news1.busy1.on.home.com...
> does anyone know where i can get one of those gadgets sold
> years ago called GEE WIZ, it can go in almost any radio and
> remove tones from transmissions. I have an ar8000 and am looking
> for something that will do this for me.
>
>
> thanks
> oaktownboy@home.com
>
>
>

2398. 780xlt problem (sort of) can I fix this myself?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 04:50:44 UTC
From: Greg <king.frost@home.com>
Message-ID: <a78vlt84rjvg61ilu4k1ckcifuk2mcvg1f@4ax.com>
Source record: 62315

I have got a little appearance problem with my 2 month old BC780xlt... It appears as though between the plastic faceplate and the lcd screen behind that, there is some sort of foam rubber padding. Some of this stuff is starting to slip down in front of my display. So car it's still above any of the information displayed, but I'd like to fix it before it falls down any further and covers something. (Not to mention it doesn't look "perfect" now and it bugs me :)

Has anyone taken their 780 apart yet? Think this is something I can handle fixing? Or do I need to send it in to Uniden, or can I take it somewhere for fixin?

2399. Re: Beware of this guy he will kip you off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 06:20:17 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Message-ID: <BEO77.20180$A47.11402883@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 62316

Yes, Be careful! You would not want to be KIPPED OFF!

"Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com> wrote in message
news:3b5f61f5_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> I (thought) I was buying a Pro2006 Scanner from J.Patmaca,I sent him the
> money and never got my scanner.Watch out he is a FUCKING
> THIEF and a FUCKING LIAR.
> If anybody that lives close to this address reads this PLEASE go find him
> and SQUEEZE his neck until his head pops off for me Thanks in advance!!!
> Here is his name and address so watch out for him.
> J Patmaca
> 505-2263 marine crt
> Bronte,ON
> L6L5k1
> Canada
> his email is jpatmaca@yahoo.ca
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2400. Re: Icom R-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 06:21:42 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Message-ID: <WFO77.20183$A47.11404727@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 62317

About $50.00 at most. The receive in the R1 is very poor and prone to severe intermod. Icom ripped off many customers with this poor design.

"Tim P." <commissioner@fcc.gov> wrote in message news:RwH77.1004$J37.297969@typhoon.southeast.rr.com...
> What's an Icom R-1 worth these days? Great condition, with users guide,
> internal battery is weak though. I haven't been able to locate the charger
> since moving, but I'm still looking.
>
> Thanks
>
> Tim
>
>

2401. Re: NYPD Question: What are Division frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 06:56:00 UTC
From: PW1 <PWALSH1@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4aP77.43546$16.5741313@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 62318
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Division radio refers to the division the precinct is in. Staten Island is in the 35th Radio Division. You have division radio which is the local precincts and citywide radio which are radio frequencies that cover the whole city for such units as Emergency Service, Highway, Bomb Squad, Hostage Negotiaters and High Ranking Supervisors

"TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message news:Mv177.39684$16.5051529@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Hi all. I listen to the NYPD a lot, and I always here officers make
> references to other units being on "Division." Which frequencies are
> considered "Division"? Thanks for any help.
>
>

2402. Re: Beware of this guy he will kip you off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 09:08:15 UTC
From: Beach Bum <northshore-beachbum@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <36R77.568$Kr3.140927@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 62319

Are you sure you didn't send your money to "makine ckt" in Bkonte?

"Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com> wrote in message
news:3b5f61f5_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> I (thought) I was buying a Pro2006 Scanner from J.Patmaca,I sent him the
> money and never got my scanner.Watch out he is a FUCKING
> THIEF and a FUCKING LIAR.
> If anybody that lives close to this address reads this PLEASE go find him
> and SQUEEZE his neck until his head pops off for me Thanks in advance!!!
> Here is his name and address so watch out for him.
> J Patmaca
> 505-2263 marine crt
> Bronte,ON
> L6L5k1
> Canada
> his email is jpatmaca@yahoo.ca
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2403. Re: Digital board for BC780XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 10:52:30 UTC
From: mailme@rizzap.com
Message-ID: <3b62f560.15838037@207.217.77.23>
Source record: 62320

Translation, 12 months from the end of the year 2006.

On Wed, 27 Jun 2001 20:56:06 +1000, "Mike Alpha"
<mike_alpha61SPAMSTOP@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Uniden have confirmed they are working on a digital capable scanner for
>release in 12months or so.

2404. Re: Calif Hwy Patrol

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 11:08:12 UTC
From: mailme@rizzap.com
Message-ID: <3b63f93a.16824982@207.217.77.23>
Source record: 62321

OK, where in California are you? Most areas use a 42 or 39 MHz
channel. It all depends upon which division you're in.

If you're using a handheld with a rubber duck antenna, you have your
answer. Those antennas do not work well at all on low-band. Get a
telescoping antenna at Radio Shack for 10 bucks.

On 13 Jul 2001 17:16:20 GMT, cjt1771@aol.comnospam (CJT1771) wrote:

>That's interesting to know, because I live less than 4 miles away from a CHP
>office and I haven't heard a single dispatch in one year of living here.
>CJT 1771

2405. Re: Icom R-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 12:24:30 UTC
From: Clay Schneider <clayschn@fast.net>
Message-ID: <tm031ha1ak9i7f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62322

Last two completed sales on Ebay, $200. Don't confuse what you would pay
with what other people would pay.

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
news:WFO77.20183$A47.11404727@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> About $50.00 at most. The receive in the R1 is very poor and prone to
severe
> intermod. Icom ripped off many customers with this poor design.
>
>
> "Tim P." <commissioner@fcc.gov> wrote in message
> news:RwH77.1004$J37.297969@typhoon.southeast.rr.com...
> > What's an Icom R-1 worth these days? Great condition, with users guide,
> > internal battery is weak though. I haven't been able to locate the
> charger
> > since moving, but I'm still looking.
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > Tim
> >
> >
>
>

2406. Re: NYPD Question: What are Division frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 13:49:40 UTC
From: fdnybronx18@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010726094940.09130.00000442@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 62323

Divisions have been renamed zones but by habit many will still use the phase divisions. Today NYPD has 35 dispatch zones using 37 frqs. Each zone has 2-3 pcts on it.(76 Patrol Pcts in NYC) Manhattan has 9 Zones, Bronx 6, Brooklyn 11, Queens 8, and SI 1.
In additiona you have 4 Citywide frs, SOD, Traffic, etc. NYPD uses at least 55 uhf frqs.

2407. Re: F/S Bearcat 780xlt -like new in box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 14:43:01 UTC
From: j <brontebear@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <V%V77.15609$O8.1855485@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 62324
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is this the one you had on ebay last week?

BK <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3B5F5A36.975235E0@yahoo.com...
> Selling a Bearcat 780xlt. Like new complete in the box with receipt. 2
> days old. Don't want to return and get socked for a restocking fee.
> $320 with shipping UPS included. Please email if you are interested.
> thanks

2408. Looking for active CT state police freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 15:07:17 UTC
From: soupman67@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010726110717.29980.00001107@ng-ci1.aol.com>
Source record: 62325

I am looking for active CT state police freq's in Fairfield county...email at soupman67@aol.com

2409. Re: Looking for active CT state police freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 16:49:43 UTC
From: Barry <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <3B604A27.904FCB21@snet.net>
Source record: 62326

SOUPMAN67 wrote:
>
> I am looking for active CT state police freq's in Fairfield county...email at
> soupman67@aol.com

The CSP is currently using a full-digital trunked system. Some areas
were simulcasting on the well-published 42 MHz freqs, but most have now
stopped.

Barry

2410. Re: F/S Bearcat 780xlt -like new in box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 18:16:05 UTC
From: BK <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B605F08.CBAD5F0@yahoo.com>
Source record: 62327
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This is a different one. The prior one was sold on Ebay and the buyer
has received it and left me feedback. Check it out!! Really if you
aren't interested in purchasing it you should mind your own business. I
have the receipt right here. Quit trying to play Matlock.

"D.W." wrote:
>
> I wonder why you are selling a 2 day old scanner... could it have
> something to do with the following pasted text:
> ============================
> Search Result 10
> From: Bruce K (bruceinomaha@yahoo.com)
> Subject: Re: FS: Brand New In The Box Realistic PRO-2006!
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner, rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.swap
> View complete thread (26 articles)
> Date: 2001-02-23 12:54:45 PST
>
>
> If you saw the scanner right now what I descibed was accurate. First
> owner didn't power the unit,second owner verified it worked . The
> fucker
> is new. I decided to pull the ad because I felt I didn't describe it
> ad
> well as I should have. It does have 15 minutes on it only as I have
> been
> assured of that. Let me put this on caps. I HAVE SOLD MAYBE HUNDRED
> SCANNERS ON HERE,STRONGSIGNALS AND EBAY AND NEVER HAD ONE SINGLE
> COMPLAINT. PERIOD. Everyone knows the 2006 for $700 was bogus and was
> a
> joke.
> You want referances I can come up with alot. Here is the deal nimrods:
> I
> can hold the scanner for awhile and get more on Ebay then I can on
> here.
> Everyone knows Ebay buyers pay a little more and in some cases alot.
> Why
> should I take less? I have Pro 2042,2035,895xlt,9000xlt,Aor 8000,Icoms
> among plenty others and another 2006 all new in the box and wanted to
> ad
> to my collection. Hoever I just wanted to keep one 2006 I already have
> and sell this one as a profit. It is a shame we got such shifter
> brains
> on these newsgroups who think they need an explanation for everything.

> Mind your own business and if you don't want to buy it don't buy it.
> later
> Mike wrote:
> >
> > On Fri, 23 Feb 2001 10:02:26 GMT, "William Lee" <n5wrx@home.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Woops .... sounds like you caught Bruce in a good one!
> >
> > Bruce buys these up on eBay. I see him bidding on everyone of them,
> > including this one he's trying to push as new. Here's the link to the
> > auction he won for $250 for this "new" 2006. Not bad Bruce is the 3rd
> > owner, and it only has 15 minutes use on it. LOL! BTW, he tried to
> > resell it on eBay yesterday, but yanked the auction due to negative
> > response to it. He even used the same pictures from the auction he won
> > it for $250.
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1214405308
> >
> > >"TD" <radios@msn.com> wrote in message
> > >news:tom89t0hhn8atpt3opgh83t9a8dk0relff@4ax.com...
> > >> On Wed, 21 Feb 2001 23:19:45 GMT, Bruce K <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
> > >> wrote:
> > >>
> > >> >Technically it has no more than 15 minutes of use. I did modify it for
> > >> >cell and speed and verified operability.
> > >> > Whatever use was done was with the light off. It has the light
> > >> >off-on not the dimmer switch. So that is alot better
> > >> > and will keep the light strong. Everything complete in the box. I
> > >> >have sold alot of scanners on here. You will be
> > >> > in awe! Price is $385 plus $15 shipping with insurance,Priority
> > >> >mail. If you want to use Paypal please add $8 for
> > >> > fees. Personal checks must clear. Please email
> > >> >bruceinomaha@yahoo.com . Please no trades. thanks
> > >>
> > >> Wow, it must have taken a lot of patience to verify the radio was used
> > >> "technically" for only 15 minutes with the display off in the last 10+
> > >> years. And, I never knew that a scanner could still be listed as "New
> > >> In The Box", after someone has hacked it already.
> > >> But about the funniest thing about this post is that about 2 weeks
> > >> ago, when someone else tried to sell a "new" 2006 for $700, Bruce
> > >> said: "it's definitely not worth more than $300 today". Hmmmm......
> > >>
> > >>
> >
> Google Web Directory - Cool Jobs - Advertise with Us - Add Google to
> Your Site - Google in Your Language - All About Google
>
> ï¿½2001 Google
>

> On Wed, 25 Jul 2001 23:43:18 GMT, BK <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote:
> ==========
> >Selling a Bearcat 780xlt. Like new complete in the box with receipt. 2
> >days old. Don't want to return and get socked for a restocking fee.
> >$320 with shipping UPS included. Please email if you are interested.
> >thanks

2411. Re: F/S Bearcat 780xlt -like new in box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 18:18:43 UTC
From: BK <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B605FA6.544CEEAC@yahoo.com>
Source record: 62328
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Do you have issues or what?? My word is gold, always has been-always
will be.

"D.W." wrote:
>
> Last week... two days... what's the difference?
>
> On Thu, 26 Jul 2001 14:43:01 GMT, "j" <brontebear@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
> >Is this the one you had on ebay last week?
> >
> >
> >
> >BK <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> >news:3B5F5A36.975235E0@yahoo.com...
> >> Selling a Bearcat 780xlt. Like new complete in the box with receipt. 2
> >> days old. Don't want to return and get socked for a restocking fee.
> >> $320 with shipping UPS included. Please email if you are interested.
> >> thanks
> >

2412. Re: F/S Bearcat 780xlt -like new in box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 18:19:04 UTC
From: BK <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B605FBA.8A89C010@yahoo.com>
Source record: 62329
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

no

j wrote:
>
> Is this the one you had on ebay last week?
>
> BK <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3B5F5A36.975235E0@yahoo.com...
> > Selling a Bearcat 780xlt. Like new complete in the box with receipt. 2
> > days old. Don't want to return and get socked for a restocking fee.
> > $320 with shipping UPS included. Please email if you are interested.
> > thanks

2413. Re: F/S Bearcat 780xlt -like new in box

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 18:20:34 UTC
From: BK <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B606015.4B203378@yahoo.com>
Source record: 62330
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I wonder why they call you DW??
Oh, I know. Don't need to tell.
"D.W." wrote:
>
> I wonder why you are selling a 2 day old scanner... could it have
> something to do with the following pasted text:
> ==========
> Search Result 10
> From: Bruce K (bruceinomaha@yahoo.com)
> Subject: Re: FS: Brand New In The Box Realistic PRO-2006!
> Newsgroups: alt.radio.scanner, rec.radio.scanner, rec.radio.swap
> View complete thread (26 articles)
> Date: 2001-02-23 12:54:45 PST
>
>
> If you saw the scanner right now what I descibed was accurate. First
> owner didn't power the unit,second owner verified it worked . The
> fucker
> is new. I decided to pull the ad because I felt I didn't describe it
> ad
> well as I should have. It does have 15 minutes on it only as I have
> been
> assured of that. Let me put this on caps. I HAVE SOLD MAYBE HUNDRED
> SCANNERS ON HERE,STRONGSIGNALS AND EBAY AND NEVER HAD ONE SINGLE
> COMPLAINT. PERIOD. Everyone knows the 2006 for $700 was bogus and was
> a
> joke.
> You want referances I can come up with alot. Here is the deal nimrods:
> I
> can hold the scanner for awhile and get more on Ebay then I can on
> here.
> Everyone knows Ebay buyers pay a little more and in some cases alot.
> Why
> should I take less? I have Pro 2042,2035,895xlt,9000xlt,Aor 8000,Icoms
> among plenty others and another 2006 all new in the box and wanted to
> ad
> to my collection. Hoever I just wanted to keep one 2006 I already have
> and sell this one as a profit. It is a shame we got such shifter
> brains
> on these newsgroups who think they need an explanation for everything.
> Mind your own business and if you don't want to buy it don't buy it.
> later
> Mike wrote:

> >
> > On Fri, 23 Feb 2001 10:02:26 GMT, "William Lee" <n5wrx@home.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Woops .... sounds like you caught Bruce in a good one!
> >
> > Bruce buys these up on eBay. I see him bidding on everyone of them,
> > including this one he's trying to push as new. Here's the link to the
> > auction he won for $250 for this "new" 2006. Not bad Bruce is the 3rd
> > owner, and it only has 15 minutes use on it. LOL! BTW, he tried to
> > resell it on eBay yesterday, but yanked the auction due to negative
> > response to it. He even used the same pictures from the auction he won
> > it for $250.
> >
> > http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1214405308
> >
> > >"TD" <radios@msn.com> wrote in message
> > >news:tom89t0hhn8atpt3opgh83t9a8dk0relff@4ax.com...
> > >> On Wed, 21 Feb 2001 23:19:45 GMT, Bruce K <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
> > >> wrote:
> > >>
> > >> >Technically it has no more than 15 minutes of use. I did modify it for
> > >> >cell and speed and verified operability.
> > >> > Whatever use was done was with the light off. It has the light
> > >> >off-on not the dimmer switch. So that is alot better
> > >> > and will keep the light strong. Everything complete in the box. I
> > >> >have sold alot of scanners on here. You will be
> > >> > in awe! Price is $385 plus $15 shipping with insurance,Priority
> > >> >mail. If you want to use Paypal please add $8 for
> > >> > fees. Personal checks must clear. Please email
> > >> >bruceinomaha@yahoo.com . Please no trades. thanks
> > >>
> > >> Wow, it must have taken a lot of patience to verify the radio was used
> > >> "technically" for only 15 minutes with the display off in the last 10+
> > >> years. And, I never knew that a scanner could still be listed as "New
> > >> In The Box", after someone has hacked it already.
> > >> But about the funniest thing about this post is that about 2 weeks
> > >> ago, when someone else tried to sell a "new" 2006 for $700, Bruce
> > >> said: "it's definitely not worth more than $300 today". Hmmmm......
> > >>
> > >>
> >
> > Google Web Directory - Cool Jobs - Advertise with Us - Add Google to
> > Your Site - Google in Your Language - All About Google
> >
> > ï¿½2001 Google
> >
> > On Wed, 25 Jul 2001 23:43:18 GMT, BK <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote:
> > ==========
> > >Selling a Bearcat 780xlt. Like new complete in the box with receipt. 2

> >days old. Don't want to return and get socked for a restocking fee.
> >$320 with shipping UPS included. Please email if you are interested.
> >thanks

2414. Re: WTB:Bearcat BC895

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 18:22:36 UTC
From: BK <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B60608F.67C36E9D@yahoo.com>
Source record: 62331

Buy a brand new non refurbished at National-Electronics.com for $189.

J Rob wrote:
>
> >looking for a Bearcat BC-895
>
> Uniden has reconditioned ones at their web site. I think they were 170.00.
> Can't say for sure but I think this included a warranty.
>
> Rob Mills
>
> PS, Nice radio for the $.

2415. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 19:24:35 UTC
From: N9NWO <n9nwo@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <T7_77.1047$ou4.170811@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62332
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

: > The 2nd amendment reflects your views.
: > Our military, to include police and
: > fire, was not to be a bunch of paid
: > mercenaries but rather it was to be
: > the duty of all.
:
:
: Wow, I really must have misread the Second Amendment. Where did you
: get all of that from? And how do you explain the fact that almost
: every major city had paid police officers, and soldiers had been paid
: as far back as the Revolutionary War, when that Amendment was proposed
: and ratified?

Simple, the 2nd amendment was about every male, if
fit, serving. What we have today is a mercenary force.
Especially in the minds of the liberals and the yuppies.
Their basic argument is that those who serve chose
to do so therefore they can die to protect the more
valuable members of society (liberals and yuppies).

The left has pushed the idea that paying taxes is caring.
Like Scrooge, they feel that it is government's job to
care, not theirs.

2416. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 19:28:48 UTC
From: N9NWO <n9nwo@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <Qb_77.1053$ou4.172606@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62333
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

: >> The 2nd amendment reflects your views.
: >> Our military, to include police and
: >> fire, was not to be a bunch of paid
: >> mercenaries but rather it was to be
: >> the duty of all.
: >
: >
: > Wow, I really must have misread the Second Amendment. Where did you
: >get all of that from? And how do you explain the fact that almost
: >every major city had paid police officers, and soldiers had been paid
: >as far back as the Revolutionary War, when that Amendment was proposed
: >and ratified?
:
: N9N has a hard-on for the draft. EVERYTHING ends up being a
: reason for the draft.

I believe that best way to fight the gun paranoia in America
is to put a gun in the hands of as many males as possible.
Mandatory service will do that.

It will end the willingness of the left to send the military overseas
if their sons have to serve (most children of the leftists do not
serve and have not since 1975). Likewise the yuppies like the
idea that someone else is suppose to die for their worthless asses.

It seems unfair that a handful serve. It is like the rich, educated
leftists are not paying their fair share. Then the very people who
serve are told by the leftists that they are not as worthy as the
elite graduate school leftists.

2417. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 19:30:29 UTC
From: N9NWO <n9nwo@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <pd_77.1054$ou4.173090@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62334
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

```
: Our military is not "paid mercenaries". Perhaps you should learn
: a little more from your "citizenship" & "social studies" courses.
:
: > The 2nd amendment reflects your views. Our military,
: > to include police and fire, was not to be a bunch of
: > paid mercenaries but rather it was to be the duty of all.

With respect to how the educated left and the yuppies treat
the military, they are assumed to be mercenaries. The educated
elite in America expect the cops and the military to die for them.
As if those who serve are somehow inferior.
```

2418. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 19:50:36 UTC
From: Old Biker <vito@xlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B60748C.E31587A6@xlink.net>
Source record: 62335
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

N9NWO wrote:
>
> : > The 2nd amendment reflects your views.
> : > Our military, to include police and
> : > fire, was not to be a bunch of paid
> : > mercenaries but rather it was to be
> : > the duty of all.
> :
> :
> : Wow, I really must have misread the Second Amendment. Where did you
> : get all of that from?
>

The Founding Fathers via their readily available letters and
speeches.

> : And how do you explain the fact that almost
> : every major city had paid police officers, and soldiers had been paid
> : as far back as the Revolutionary War, when that Amendment was proposed
> : and ratified?

First off, there were *no* paid police officers in the USA when
the Constitution was ratified and the constitution specifically
forbid funding any army for more than two years. Read it. You'll
be astounded at how much of what the government currently does
is actually illegal. Licenses? They were referred to as "titles
of nobility" and are unconstitutional.

2419. Re: Scanner Laws Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 20:52:48 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010726165248.23866.00001137@ng-mp1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62336

>Subject: Re: Scanner Laws Question
>From: cathexis@erols.com (Set'em up Joe)
>Date: 7/23/01 6:31 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <3b5ca543.70448874@news.erols.com>
>

>On Mon, 23 Jul 2001 20:07:36 GMT, "TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
>wrote:
>
>>Maybe this'll help out:
>>
>>http://www.afn.org/~afn09444/scanlaws/index.html
>>
>>
>
> Good web-site! Thx. to you and PB Scanner for responding.
>The reason for the question was a Google Search on this ng that
>gave the above info from '97 or thereabouts. Either hogwash or the
>law has since changed. Thanks.
>
> Andrew
>

Always glad to help when possible, regrets for not adding the Link to the
actual wording, but as seen another user did so...

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

2420. CTCSS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 21:09:06 UTC
From: Paul Blevins <paul.blevins@networktelephone.net>
Message-ID: <tm11nnkm0pp5a3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62337

Is it worth getting a CTCSS board?

Thanks,

Paul

2421. Re: CTCSS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 22:01:40 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B609343.A35FD583@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62338

It depends!

My local PD shares a frequency with a PD about 20 miles away.
Marginal, noisy, and annoying reception from that other PD. By making
use of the PL (read CTCSS) feature, I can eliminate that annoying PD's
transmissions. So in this case, yes, it is worthwhile. I have also
activated the PL feature on other channels, but its utility is
marginal.

So, as I said, it depends upon your situation.

Walt

Paul Blevins wrote:
>
> Is it worth getting a CTCSS board?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Paul

2422. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 23:19:23 UTC
From: Cue A Man <Cueaman@Cableone.net>
Message-ID: <tm19buc148a7d5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62339
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Good riddance and take your wheel-chair to the nearest VFW.

"Gunner" <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote in message
news:hcekltkk79q5p4qqioao36jvkdaibb4eq0@4ax.com...
> "The Famous KS&#65533;F" <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote
> >> Memory problems too I see.. and you really made PO3 without sucking
> >> anybodys dick? Amazing..simply amazing...
> >
> >Just because you had to perform such favors to advance does not mean
> >the rest of us had to do that.
> >
> >
> >> Were you talking about me? Nope.. just your similarity to C3PO.
> >
> >You really are the group fool here, aren't you!!! You still don't get
it!!
> >hahahahahahahahaha
> >
> >
> Sigh..you are no longer fun to play with. You're idiocy and
> gormlessness has become rather embarassing to even acknowledge.
> So..Ill end this thread here.
>
> I hope, deeply..that you are not an example of Todays Navy..for if you
> are..may God help us all.
>
> Gunner
>
> ---
>
> "A human being should be able to change a diaper, plan an
> invasion, butcher a hog, conn a ship, design a building, write
> a sonnet, balance accounts, build a wall, set a bone, comfort
> the dying, take orders, give orders, cooperate, act alone,
> solve equations, analyze a new problem, pitch manure, program
> a computer, cook a tasty meal, fight efficiently, die
> gallantly. Specialization is for insects." Robert Heinlein

2423. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-26 23:24:54 UTC
From: Robert Sturgeon <rsturge@inreach.com>
Message-ID: <3m91mt8gnk4mdf96s6j68kiqt8007k9svm@4ax.com>
Source record: 62340
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Thu, 26 Jul 2001 19:28:48 GMT, "N9NWO" <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote:

>: >> The 2nd amendment reflects your views.
>: >> Our military, to include police and
>: >> fire, was not to be a bunch of paid
>: >> mercenaries but rather it was to be
>: >> the duty of all.
>: >
>: >
>: > Wow, I really must have misread the Second Amendment. Where did you
>: >get all of that from? And how do you explain the fact that almost
>: >every major city had paid police officers, and soldiers had been paid
>: >as far back as the Revolutionary War, when that Amendment was proposed
>: >and ratified?
>:
>: N9N has a hard-on for the draft. EVERYTHING ends up being a
>: reason for the draft.
>
>I believe that best way to fight the gun paranoia in America
>is to put a gun in the hands of as many males as possible.
>Mandatory service will do that.

There is no rational basis for your belief. There have been
plenty of countries that have had both conscription AND extreme
gun control. If your theory held water, this wouldn't be true.

>It will end the willingness of the left to send the military overseas
>if their sons have to serve (most children of the leftists do not
>serve and have not since 1975). Likewise the yuppies like the
>idea that someone else is suppose to die for their worthless asses.

Now THERE you might have something.

>It seems unfair that a handful serve. It is like the rich, educated
>leftists are not paying their fair share. Then the very people who
>serve are told by the leftists that they are not as worthy as the
>elite graduate school leftists.

If the U.S. wasn't trying to maintain a global police and
meals-on-wheels presence, it could do just fine with a small cadre
of regulars backed up by state militias- all volunteers, just like
it did prior to WWI. It is this American global presence that you
should be trying to end, instead of spending your usenet electrons
pushing your Spartan-state conscription idea. Make membership in

state militias once again a prestigious position, like membership in the typical small town volunteer fire department is, and you'll accomplish a lot more in the fight against gun control than you ever will pushing your conscript-manned global power system.

--
Robert Sturgeon
http://www.vistech.net/users/rsturge/

Proud member of the vast right wing
conspiracy and the evil gun culture.

2424. Frequencies for Target Grand Prix @ Chicago Motor Speedway

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 00:12:37 UTC
From: Rick Poorman <poormr@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B60B1FD.B31D6ACA@gte.net>
Source record: 62341
Cross-posted to: rec.autos.sport.indy,alt.autos.sport.cart,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The latest confirmed frequencies for the Target Grand Prix @ Chicago Motor Speedway July 27-29th are now posted at the following site:

http://home1.gte.net/poormr/cart.htm

Rick

2425. WTB: Bearcat 950XLT manual/documentation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 00:16:03 UTC
From: jammtc2000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cd33b517.0107261616.c516300@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62342

In need of Bearcat 950 XLT docs.

Please email: jammtc2000@yahoo.com

2426. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 01:50:28 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B60C8D7.3D74F958@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62200
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

N9NWO wrote:
>
> Simple, the 2nd amendment was about
> every male, if fit, serving.

No, it isn't. There was no requirement, or expectation, for every
male to serve when that amendment was proposed and ratified.

> What we have today is a mercenary force.

What we have today is a professional volunteer military. I don't
allow the views of liberals, or yuppies, to cloud my vision of today's military.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2427. Re: NYPD Helicopter freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 01:58:13 UTC
From: Don Hayes <donaldrh@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3B60CBC3.E16F8326@optonline.net>
Source record: 62343

Yes....123.1 AM is it ! I've heard numerous comms
on that freq including the drop of NYPD officers onto
the roof of the World Trade Ctr during it's bombing,
the arrival of the Pope at the Westside Airport when
he visited New York.

Don
N2QAY

Den6N wrote:

> You'll hear them on 123.1 AM - that's the 'company frequency'.
>
> Den6N
> Putnam Co NY
>
> ==========
>
> "Bill Smith" <bs@BSartist.com> wrote in message
> news:ByW57.290$LP2.46655@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > There is also a "Comany Frequency" in the air band. But I have not heard
> > them use it much. But it could be I'm out of range when I've tried.
> >
> > 123.300 MHz (AM Mode)
> >
> > Bill Smith
> > http://bsartist.com

2428. Re: WOW! Now this is pretty cool... I'm hearing Chicago

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 02:02:42 UTC
From: champcar99@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010726220242.16533.00001563@ng-mg1.aol.com>
Source record: 62344

I get this happening to me almost everytime there is a front between the Quad Cities (Davenport & Bettendorf Iowa, Moline & rock Island Illinois) and Chicago.
It has also happened on a Rockford radio station 97 WZOK.
Its pretty neat.

2429. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 02:19:13 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B60CF8C.9C741DA5@sccoast.net>
Source record: 62201
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Old Biker wrote:
>
> First off, there were *no* paid police
> officers in the USA when the Constitution
> was ratified and the constitution specifically
> forbid funding any army for more than two years.

As I've already explained, "police officer" was perhaps not the correct phrase to use, but there ware paid law enforcement personnel, using various titles (sheriffs, constables, and so forth), in every major city, and in many small towns, throughout the United States when the 2nd amendment was proposed and ratified.

Second, the constitution does not forbid funding of any army for more than two years - it forbids an appropriation of money for that use for longer then two years (article one, section eight). In other words, it sets a time limit on any single appropriations of money, not a time limit on an army. Once that time limit is up, additional money can be appropriated for that army.

> Read it. You'll be astounded at how much
> of what the government currently does is
> actually illegal. Licenses? They were
> referred to as "titles of nobility" and
> are unconstitutional.

Go back and read your history books again. Licenses of merit (e.g. those earned through work or skill) were not considered "titles of nobility." Only those licenses granted without merit (e.g. those gained through reward or privilege) were referred to as "titles of nobility." A ham license is not a "title of nobility," so a ham license is not unconstitutional.

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2430. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 02:30:36 UTC
From: Gunner <gunner@cyberg8t.com>
Message-ID: <5fk1mtgremvjh2vfn7762i9a27j9m76sat@4ax.com>
Source record: 62206
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

On Thu, 26 Jul 2001 18:19:23 -0500, "Cue A Man" <Cueaman@Cableone.net>
wrote:

>Good riddance and take your wheel-chair to the nearest VFW.

My wheel chair? Ive got one around the house somewhere.. but I think it
would be easier to rollerblade down there, or take my BMW scooter, or
even the Cannondale mountain bike.

Now..if you have any further thing to say..get your mouth off that
sheeps asshole and speak your piece.

Gunner

>
>"Gunner" <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote in message
>news:hcekltkk79q5p4qqioao36jvkdaibb4eq0@4ax.com...
>> "The Famous KSï¿½F" <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> wrote:
>>
>> >
>> >
>> >Gunner <gunner@lightspeed.net> wrote
>> >> Memory problems too I see.. and you really made PO3 without sucking
>> >> anybodys dick? Amazing..simply amazing...
>> >
>> >Just because you had to perform such favors to advance does not mean
>> >the rest of us had to do that.
>> >
>> >
>> >> Were you talking about me? Nope.. just your similarity to C3PO.
>> >
>> >You really are the group fool here, aren't you!!! You still don't get
>it!!
>> >hahahahahahahahaha
>> >
>> >
>> Sigh..you are no longer fun to play with. You're idiocy and
>> gormlessness has become rather embarassing to even acknowledge.
>> So..Ill end this thread here.
>>
>> I hope, deeply..that you are not an example of Todays Navy..for if you
>> are..may God help us all.
>>
>> Gunner

>>
>> --
>>
>> "A human being should be able to change a diaper, plan an
>> invasion, butcher a hog, conn a ship, design a building, write
>> a sonnet, balance accounts, build a wall, set a bone, comfort
>> the dying, take orders, give orders, cooperate, act alone,
>> solve equations, analyze a new problem, pitch manure, program
>> a computer, cook a tasty meal, fight efficiently, die
>> gallantly. Specialization is for insects." Robert Heinlein
>

"The whole aim of practical politics is to keep the populace alarmed
(and hence clamorous to be led to safety)
by menacing it with an endless series of hobgoblins, all of them
imaginary." --H.L. Mencken

2431. Re: Miami PD Cell Phone Conversations Heard

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 02:35:41 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9jqk1tipb3@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 62345

In article <dc0c38eb.0107251438.1cc5cd5b@posting.google.com>, bhall7@email.com says...
>
>Hello. I am listening to the Miami PD broadcast on Yahoo. I am
>hearing an officer dialing phone numbers and talking to people on his
>cell phone. I know there are some radios that also have cellular
>capability, but I was just wondering if anyone could confirm how the
>Miami PD's system is set up.
>
>I wonder if that officer knows that his calls are being monitored by
>Internet users worldwide.
>
>-BHall

I have monitored police setting up personal drug buys on their departmentmental trunked radios. I hope that more people set up scanner/I-net sites. That ought to liven up the world.

2432. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 02:59:28 UTC
From: N9NWO <n9nwo@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <kO487.1962$ou4.373370@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62346
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

: >: >> The 2nd amendment reflects your views.
: >: >> Our military, to include police and
: >: >> fire, was not to be a bunch of paid
: >: >> mercenaries but rather it was to be
: >: >> the duty of all.
: >: >
: >: >
: >: > Wow, I really must have misread the Second Amendment. Where did you
: >: >get all of that from? And how do you explain the fact that almost
: >: >every major city had paid police officers, and soldiers had been paid
: >: >as far back as the Revolutionary War, when that Amendment was proposed
: >: >and ratified?
: >:
: >: N9N has a hard-on for the draft. EVERYTHING ends up being a
: >: reason for the draft.
: >
: >I believe that best way to fight the gun paranoia in America
: >is to put a gun in the hands of as many males as possible.
: >Mandatory service will do that.
:
: There is no rational basis for your belief. There have been
: plenty of countries that have had both conscription AND extreme
: gun control. If your theory held water, this wouldn't be true.

Outside of Holland, which has some other weird practices like
legal pot smoking, killing old folks and an union for the military,
most of Europe that has the draft also has very pro gun laws. This
especially true for the German speaking countries that are very pro
gun. The Germans, the Austrians and the Swiss have as much
gun ownership as we do. However, they make everything hard
to (fishing licenses and drivers licenses are expensive and hard
to earn).

: >It will end the willingness of the left to send the military overseas
: >if their sons have to serve (most children of the leftists do not
: >serve and have not since 1975). Likewise the yuppies like the
: >idea that someone else is suppose to die for their worthless asses.
:
: Now THERE you might have something.

That is the real point to my arguement, they are not
paying their fair share.

: >It seems unfair that a handful serve. It is like the rich, educated
: >leftists are not paying their fair share. Then the very people who
: >serve are told by the leftists that they are not as worthy as the
: >elite graduate school leftists.
:
: If the U.S. wasn't trying to maintain a global police and
: meals-on-wheels presence, it could do just fine with a small cadre
: of regulars backed up by state militias- all volunteers, just like
: it did prior to WWI. It is this American global presence that you
: should be trying to end, instead of spending your usenet electrons
: pushing your Spartan-state conscription idea. Make membership in
: state militias once again a prestigious position, like membership
: in the typical small town volunteer fire department is, and you'll
: accomplish a lot more in the fight against gun control than you
: ever will pushing your conscript-manned global power system.

Agreed. There is little pride in serving in the military. For one thing, it is too easy to get in. Needs to be more elite. The elite in society are not willing to do anything that the common man can do. That is the biggest reason behind gun control, that they want to make gun ownership something that only the well educated can do. Voting is down as well, now that the franchise has been opened to the masses.

The thing to remember is that very few now live in the rural portions of America. Most of the folks live either in the cities or in the suburbs. These folks have no one to train them about guns, like their grandfathers had. Much of the life skills that the farm boys had are now mostly forgotten.

I see military service as providing the training that our grandfathers received from their dads. It is really too bad that society has gotten this bad.

2433. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 03:02:57 UTC
From: N9NWO <n9nwo@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <BR487.1967$ou4.375742@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62197
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

: > : > The 2nd amendment reflects your views.
: > : > Our military, to include police and
: > : > fire, was not to be a bunch of paid
: > : > mercenaries but rather it was to be
: > : > the duty of all.
: > :
: > :
: > : Wow, I really must have misread the Second Amendment. Where did you
: > : get all of that from?
: >
:
: The Founding Fathers via their readily available letters and
: speeches.

Many are part of the Federalist Papers. I do not
understand why the Federalist Papers are not studied
in school, especially at the university level.

: > : And how do you explain the fact that almost
: > : every major city had paid police officers, and soldiers had been paid
: > : as far back as the Revolutionary War, when that Amendment was proposed
: > : and ratified?
:
: First off, there were *no* paid police officers in the USA when
: the Constitution was ratified and the constitution specifically
: forbid funding any army for more than two years. Read it. You'll
: be astounded at how much of what the government currently does
: is actually illegal. Licenses? They were referred to as "titles
: of nobility" and are unconstitutional.

On both accounts, true. The militia was the posse, the fire brigade
and the military. And licenses are much like the grants of land and
power given to the nobles.

2434. Re: ECHELON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 03:31:49 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b60d6d6.938287@news.erols.com>
Source record: 62198

Hhmmm,.....

You know there's room for comment here regarding ECHELON.
Right off the bat I could ask how the NSA's "listening-in" on everybody
is all that different from what we do as a hobby -- that is, listening in on
everybody in the clear betw'n 530kHz. and 2.0+ gHz. The NSA is bad?
Why? Because e-mails are somehow more sacred than cell-phones??
Seems rather hypocritical.

I do agree that private comms. are, or should be considered, more
inviolate than the activities of "public servants", but it is kinda hard for me
to think of a way to protect *all* the citizens and catch *all* the bad guys.
The real issue with NSA is not it's technical abilities, but rather with the
political oversight of NSA. Questions that should or could be rightfully asked
of NSA could include:

1. Have they used these abilities on private citizens without real probable
cause? (Violates I and IV amendments)

2. Have they used their abilities for personal or political gain of those in
power? (Corruption)

3. Have they used these abilities to grant unfair advantage to favored
contractors at the expense of other legitimate businessman?
(Corruption and denial of Free Trade, Fair Business, etc.)

These are all legitimate questions that could be asked of any Intel. agency.
But what is to be gained by flooding their software filters with an overload
of "trigger words" ? Assuming such filters exist on the level needed to make
such a protest effective.

How really different is what ECHELON is said to be capable of from
what scannists do every day? Just because it's "e-mail" over a cable hookup
instead of "radio" over the airwaves doesn't give it any sacred status. I will
admit there are strong arguments on both sides- yes. But consider this;
When Police and Fire got tired of being monitored they didn't ask the FCC to
make scanning itself illegal, they just went to digital and made the issue moot.
Flooding these (supposed) filters with "naughty words" seems unproductive
and adolescent. If you really want to ratttle the NSA you ought to instead get
millions and millions of people to start sending all their e-mails in PGP
instead. Now that would make them *really* nervous.

Andrew

"Eternal vigilence is the price of liberty." -- Thomas Jefferson

2435. Can U ID this Radio??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 03:40:19 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b60e0d2.3494304@news.erols.com>
Source record: 62199

Greetings,

I was at the movies today ("Jurassic Park 3") and I
noticed everybody working there carried two-ways. I intend
to buy a wide- range but not a trunked scanner as soon as
friday maybe. Can you tell me if these radios are trunked or
not?

Description:
Motorola Model: HTx50 (not sure what x was - a number)
A "channel switch" on top that had settings for 1 and 2.

Can U ID it?? Tips on the freq.?

TIA,

Andrew

2436. Registration Name and Password Needed for Pscan MR8100 Scanner Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 06:10:48 UTC
From: gordon_ash@nospam.hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3b6104b2.5717265@news.microflash.com>
Source record: 62203

I got a beta copy of Pscan 0.9.2 (Windows Version) for the Uniden MR8100 scanner. It has an annoying nag screen that keeps asking for registration name and password. I have found that it will not allow me to enter info into the even numbered banks. Can anyone provide the required information? I only need to use it once to set the radio up. I appreciate your help. Thanks in advance.

Gord

2437. Re: Icom R-1

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 06:16:26 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Message-ID: <_G787.21033$A47.12264989@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 62204

You know what they say: "There is a sucker born every minute!".

"Clay Schneider" <clayschn@fast.net> wrote in message
news:tm031ha1ak9i7f@corp.supernews.com...
> Last two completed sales on Ebay, $200. Don't confuse what you would pay
> with what other people would pay.
>
> "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> news:WFO77.20183$A47.11404727@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > About $50.00 at most. The receive in the R1 is very poor and prone to
> severe
> > intermod. Icom ripped off many customers with this poor design.
> >
> >
> > "Tim P." <commissioner@fcc.gov> wrote in message
> > news:RwH77.1004$J37.297969@typhoon.southeast.rr.com...
> > > What's an Icom R-1 worth these days? Great condition, with users
guide,
> > > internal battery is weak though. I haven't been able to locate the
> > charger
> > > since moving, but I'm still looking.
> > >
> > > Thanks
> > >
> > > Tim
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2438. cornwall frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 07:55:24 UTC
From: nasahf@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010727035524.27329.00000087@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 62205

I am going to truro on sunday for two weeks please could somebody give me some interesting frequencies especially mil aircraft and police

Thanks in advance

Jonathan

2439. Re: Can U ID this Radio??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 07:59:06 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9jqsmh$k1j$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62202

Describe the antenna to us and that should reveal the band they were
operating in.

Set'em up Joe <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3b60e0d2.3494304@news.erols.com...
> Greetings,
>
> I was at the movies today ("Jurassic Park 3") and I
> noticed everybody working there carried two-ways. I intend
> to buy a wide- range but not a trunked scanner as soon as
> friday maybe. Can you tell me if these radios are trunked or
> not?
>
> Description:
> Motorola Model: HTx50 (not sure what x was - a number)
> A "channel switch" on top that had settings for 1 and 2.
>
> Can U ID it?? Tips on the freq.?
>
> TIA,
>
> Andrew

2440. Re: Beware of this guy he will kip you off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 11:24:03 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b614d86_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 62207

HA HA HA
you dumb fucks know what i meant.

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2441. Re: ECHELON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 11:31:36 UTC
From: Mr Malcolm C Hedley <kayakkhan@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <35c87.15471$a04.59096@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 62208

Send an enormous swarm of emails to an agency you are paranoid is monitoring all communications? Great idea...better yet why not just send a stamped self addressed envelope, with an accompanying letter, asking to be added to the register of suspected subversives. Whatever happened to being the grey man? Far more effective. Always assuming you give a toss that someone is monitoring you.
regards
Mal
"sstvswl" <sstvswl@home.com> wrote in message
news:W_J77.251136$%i7.141013392@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com...
> FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:
>
> CONTACT:
> either
> Scully: Scully@cipherwar.com
> or
> Michael Teetering: Teetering@cipherwar.com
>
>
>
> Global Monitoring System, ECHELON, has its day. Jam Echelon Day October 21st
>
> THE INTERNET - July 25, 2001 - A large group of individuals in the Global
> Internet Community have set out to bring attention to the communications
> monitoring system known as ECHELON.
>
> Two years ago, when this idea was launched, the existence of ECHELON was
> denied by all of the participating agencies. Now with the recent issuing of
> the report by the "Temporary Committee on the ECHELON Interception System"
> its existence and invasive practices are no longer in doubt.
>
> We are urging all persons who utilize any form of global communication to
> inform their circle of friends regarding ECHELON and its monitoring of all
> email, telephone and fax communications in Europe. Along with this we are
> urging the inclusion of as many 'trigger words' within those communications
> as possible.
>
> To this end, we have established a primary web site at:
> http://cipherwar.com/echelon and numerous mirror sites around the world to
> help educate people about ECHELON and what it really means to the Global
> community at large. (Partial list of site locations below.)

>
> October 21st has been designated "JAM ECHELON DAY" and on that day we are
> encouraging email messages to be sent out in great numbers, containing as
> many 'trigger words' as possible. (There is a list of 1700 on the web
site.)
> While the goal of "jamming up" ECHELON is a lofty and likely unattainable
> one, is it not better to signal displeasure at being monitored than
> passively allow it to happen? We think so.
>
> Now is a chance for anyone, regardless of computer expertise, to become an
> instant hacktivist - and all you need is your regular email program and
the
> desire to stand up and count.
>
> After October 21st, we ask global netizens to merely stop censoring
> themselves for fear of scrutiny. If the bulk of monitored hits becomes too
> great, the effectiveness will drop to the insignificant. A proverb from
> Madagascar states; "Cross the river in a crowd and the crocodile won't eat
> you." Although that may not be completely true, we invite you to join us.
>
> The Jam Echelon site may be found at the following URL's
> cipherwar.com/echelon, echelon.oregonwebdesign.com,
> www.world-of-hell.com/JED2K, logos4u.box.sk/JED2K,
> www.sentry-labs.com/echelon, echelon.linuxsecurity.com
>
>
>

2442. FS:Alinco DJ-5TH HT Wide Band Receive

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 12:20:41 UTC
From: Bob <a727469@ntplx.net>
Message-ID: <l_c87.15$XK5.60223@news.ntplx.net>
Source record: 62209

Perfect for wide band receive, includes 800mhz, uses 4 alkalines or rechargeables, 200 channels, CTCSS, blue see through case, like new condition with original box and manual etc. Asking $165 plus shipping in USA. Reply directly to my email

2443. Calling Scanner Nuts!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 13:04:18 UTC
From: crbbooks@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010727090418.26163.00001257@ng-df1.aol.com>
Source record: 62210

Great scanner books, modifications, & more at www.CRBbooks.com

2444. Re: Can U ID this Radio??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 13:32:50 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b616d4a.39459264@news.erols.com>
Source record: 62211

On Fri, 27 Jul 2001 00:59:06 -0700, "Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>Describe the antenna to us and that should reveal the band they were
>operating in.
>
Rubber duck, 6 inches or less but not those tiny stubby ones like
on FRS radios.
>
>Set'em up Joe <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
>news:3b60e0d2.3494304@news.erols.com...
>> Greetings,
>>
>> I was at the movies today ("Jurassic Park 3") and I
>> noticed everybody working there carried two-ways. I intend
>> to buy a wide- range but not a trunked scanner as soon as
>> friday maybe. Can you tell me if these radios are trunked or
>> not?
>>
>> Description:
>> Motorola Model: HTx50 (not sure what x was - a number)
>> A "channel switch" on top that had settings for 1 and 2.
>>
>> Can U ID it?? Tips on the freq.?
>>
>> TIA,
>>
>> Andrew
>
>

2445. FS or FT: Drake SW8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 14:12:47 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <zFe87.185013$mG4.87150511@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 62212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I just got a Drake SW8 in excellent cond. with very nice case, latest version, $535 + shipping. Will consider trade for MINT Drake R8, R8A, R8B, or ??? Also have to trade Sony 7600GR like new and Bearcat UBC 3000XLT unblocked handheld scanner also like new. The SW8 has awsome audio, but I want finer tuning for SSB.

DeWayne KE9K

2446. If you are looking for Ohio Freq's...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 15:33:30 UTC
From: "Craig R. Hauke" <medic3@bright.net>
Message-ID: <7Sf87.16438$ga2.508967@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 62213

If anyone is looking for Ohio Scanner Frequencies check out
http://www.scanohio.com/

2447. Re: Registration Name and Password Needed for Pscan MR8100 Scanner Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 16:56:47 UTC
From: Radiohead <Radiohead@zorch.com>
Message-ID: <%2h87.586$q5.429078@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 62214

There is a charge to register Pscan so I doubt that you will get the password free. Other programs are available for the MR8100. Take a look at http://www.accessv.com/~sven/mr8100/mr6.htm

"Gord Ash" <gordon_ash@nospam.hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3b6104b2.5717265@news.microflash.com...
> I got a beta copy of Pscan 0.9.2 (Windows Version) for the Uniden
> MR8100 scanner. It has an annoying nag screen that keeps asking for
> registration name and password. I have found that it will not allow me
> to enter info into the even numbered banks. Can anyone provide the
> required information? I only need to use it once to set the radio up.
> I appreciate your help. Thanks in advance.
>
> Gord

2448. Auto Racing Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 17:10:20 UTC
From: Norm Parsley <kb9vvt@home.com>
Message-ID: <0gh87.185108$mG4.87273816@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 62215

Any suggestions for a comprehensive source for auto racing frequencies?
Thanks!

2449. Re: Calling Scanner Nuts!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 18:11:52 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B61AEEE.7B401874@home.com>
Source record: 62216

Is Tom Kneitel really a cross-dresser who uses the name 'Alice
Brannigan?'

CRBbooks wrote:
>
> Great scanner books, modifications, & more at /////////

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

2450. Re: CTCSS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 19:30:16 UTC
From: Chris <n8cop@home.com>
Message-ID: <cjj87.65369$JN6.11963231@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 62217

CTCSS is also good for folks in high RF environments. If you live in a major city, it might come in handy blocking out those pesky paging tone bleedovers.

Chris

"Paul Blevins" <paul.blevins@networktelephone.net> wrote in message news:tm11nnkm0pp5a3@corp.supernews.com...
> Is it worth getting a CTCSS board?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Paul
>
>

2451. ID Tracker Freeware Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 19:58:23 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <UDj87.3325$rs3.204117@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62218

ID Tracker V1.1.0 is now available.

New:

* Enable/Disable New ID Alerts
* Set a minimum active time for ID logging.
* Updated web page documentation.
* Fixed a problem that may have prevented the Alert.wav
file from been played for new IDs.
* Fixed a few minor problems with logging which may not
have been apparent in the previous version.
* Minor changes on the main screen.

http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://personal.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

2452. Bearcat 8 manual help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 21:05:48 UTC
From: gcc <c1clay1@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <cKk87.83$L23.44236@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 62219

I have aquired an old Bearcat 8 crystal scanner. I was wondering if anyone else had one of these and could share with me the correct way to set the jumper wires for recieving H/L/U. I know you set it different for each but I don't have a clue.

I know I can pick up a manual from uniden, but I was wanting to get it set up this weekend.

Thanks for any info anyone can share.

--
Clay
Lomanco@swbell.net

2453. Fairmate hp2000/AOR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-27 21:17:12 UTC
From: zarpdoon@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3b61da88.12612804@news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 62220

Hi All,

Could anyone point me at a circuit diagram for any of the above scanners?

Thanks,

Zarpy.

2454. In the Loop at Last, or,...ThankYou George W.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 00:55:49 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b6209be.79517835@news.erols.com>
Source record: 62221

Greetings again,

Well, finally got my first scanner and thanks to the tax rebate and our great president it's a AOR AR8200MKII ordered direct from Jonathan at Javiation.com I'm down in the basement where I keep the PC and listening to Wash. (Reagan) Approach as I type. Receptivity no problem down here and I'm about 15 miles from Wash. All in all, I'm way satisfied and feel like I sure got my money's worth.

My thanks to those of you who helped me along the way. Here's hoping I'll be a frequent visitor as I'm sure to need more help!

Andrew

2455. Re: NYPD Question: What are Division frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 01:19:27 UTC
From: guamguito@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <36e89f2f.0107271719.7b2c9b57@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62222

http://bhares.tripod.com/scanner.htm Scroll down to "NYPD BAND PLAN" and press "STOP" on your web brower to stop the annoying music.

this site has all the NYPD precinct frequencies.

2456. Software recommendations for Uniden BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 02:35:09 UTC
From: larney@ottawa.com
Message-ID: <3394mto09elgh9vjd09o5lma2q3g7o8ra4@4ax.com>
Source record: 62223

I am getting a Uniden BC780xlt scanner and am wondering what would be the best software for it. I know this question is subjective but I am interested in the pro's and con's for the different softare and also the web addresses for for the manufacturers.

Thanks for any assistance you can provide.

2457. Re: Auto Racing Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 02:42:29 UTC
From: Mark <trunker@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <pEp87.2058$bl1.318049@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62224

Try here:
http://www.webdeals.net/nascar.htm

"Norm Parsley" <kb9vvt@home.com> wrote in message
news:0gh87.185108$mG4.87273816@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> Any suggestions for a comprehensive source for auto racing frequencies?
> Thanks!
>
>
>

2458. Base Antenna use w/ handheld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 05:00:53 UTC
From: jay585cool@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010728010053.18701.00000213@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 62225

How would a base unit, roof-mounted antenna work with a Radio Shack PRO-92? If it will allow me to pick up more frequencies then i will purchase one. thanks.
PS: any recommandations on a base antenna??

Jay

2459. Need info: Uniden Beartracker BCT-10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 05:16:13 UTC
From: Gary B <gbreuner@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <P_q87.2295$jy5.25963641@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 62226

Hi,

I was wondering if there was a web site that anyone might know of that has more detailed information on the Beartracker BCT-10? I picked up one used (no manual of course) and was just wondering about some of the more basic features. Anybody really familiar with the model that can answer some questions...?

1. Is there a way to "reset" the scanner to factory original condition?
2. What do the different LED's on the scanner specify (SR/HP/LR/LP)?
3. What is the "XX" state designator stand for? (scan all freqs?)
4. What is the primary function of the "Alarm" button?

Any help would be appreciated. Uniden wants $7.50 + $2.50 for shipping for the manual for this thing, and having seen a few of their manuals over the years, I think that's just a tad steep...

Thanks in advance,

Gary B

2460. "Pro-48" & "Pro-3"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 07:29:19 UTC
From: jmcleod326@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010728032919.08227.00001510@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 62227

I am in need of copys of the "Owners Manual, Service Manual (Tech), for a
Realistic (R/S) Pro-48 Crystal Scanner.
Also the copys of the Owners Manual, Service Manual (Tech) for a "Pro-3"
(Tunable Rcvr)
Tnx & 73.
jerry JMcLeod326@aol.com

2461. NYC PD & FD FREQS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 13:13:35 UTC
From: Ed <edfox25@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <3Uy87.4950$bm5.1382308@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 62229

I will be in NYC next week.

Does anyone have the freqs and pl's for NYC PD Manhattan precincts and the 5 borough FD's.

Thanks
Ed

2462. Re: Need info: Uniden Beartracker BCT-10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 13:30:19 UTC
From: Radiohead <Radiohead@zorch.com>
Message-ID: <r7z87.623$q5.477740@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 62230

"Gary B" <gbreuner@hotmail.com> wrote >
> I was wondering if there was a web site that anyone might know of that has
> more detailed information on the Beartracker BCT-10? I picked up one used
> (no manual of course) and was just wondering about some of the more basic
> features. Anybody really familiar with the model that can answer some
> questions...?

The manual is on the Uniden site.
http://www.uniden.com/docs/service/supportdetail.cfm?item=BCT10

2463. Re: Off Topic:William/Bill/Zachary Guinn - help needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 13:40:12 UTC
From: Dougie Coull <doug@doug-coull.co.uk>
Message-ID: <h0g5mt4g9lmlgfrihkbkgqe8e712habjbo@4ax.com>
Source record: 62232

On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 18:37:18 +0100, Dougie Coull
<doug@doug-coull.co.uk> wrote:

Hi Folks,

>I've just received an e-mail from Mr Guinn advising that he is sending
>back my check.
>
>On RECEIPT of the check I'll post to let everyone know.
>
>Many thanks to all who offered support and also passed me details of
>their own circumstances.

Check didn't arrive :-((

I'm setting up a web page 'dedicated' to the antics of this person so
that hopefully no one else will fall foul of his 'methods' and get
conned.

If anyone has any material they would like me to include please let me
know.

I'm also preparing the documentation to forward to the police, so
again anything anyone wants included I'll be pleased to coordinate.

Cheers.

Regards,

Dougie

>>>>>>>> Douglas Coull, Langbank, Scotland
>>>>>>>> LAT 55.92445 N LONG 4.59753 W

>>>>>>> www.doug-coull.co.uk/
<<<<<<< www.milair.fsworld.co.uk/

.Join the Scottish Scanner Group - Scottish-Scanning-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
.Join the Pro-2042 Scanner Group - Pro-2042-subscribe@yahoogroups.com

2464. FS:PRO-30

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 13:40:53 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@home.com>
Message-ID: <Fhz87.187779$mG4.88505436@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 62231

i have a radio shack,PRO-30 handheld scanner..
this scanner does work,,,but with ac adapter only,,for some reason it
stopped working with batteries..
in fairly good condition,,covers to 512 mhz..
scanner only..no antenna,manual etc,,,
but does work//i can poassibly get a copy of manual

Make offer

monte k9dzd@home.com

2465. Re: Bill Cheek is still dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 13:42:45 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010728094245.14916.00002582@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 62233

Is this a long delayed skip echo or a case of lost in the mail.
Cheek is dead

2466. Re: Wacky Mackey Lives It Up In JAPAN ---One Year From The Imfamous Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 13:47:34 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010728094734.14916.00002584@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 62234

THAT WAS AN AIRMAN AND HE HAD A FRS RADIO ON HIM , NOT A HAM RADIO

2467. Re: Prov PD freq. 3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 14:10:48 UTC
From: rhar4007@aol.comxxxx
Message-ID: <20010728101048.17030.00000237@ng-xa1.aol.com>
Source record: 62235

not sure which one goes for channel 3 but here are the codes i have:
460.1000
460.2000
460.2750
460.3250
460.4250

Hope this helps
****
Beware of internet scams,send 50 dollars to learn more.
****

2468. Re: Prov PD freq. 3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 14:15:16 UTC
From: Chris Emerson <emmy412@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <UNz87.3746$0w3.473638@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62236

Thanks for the info. Prov PD channel 3 is 460.425. Thsii is their info channel....

Chris

"Ruth" <rhar4007@aol.comxxxx> wrote in message news:20010728101048.17030.00000237@ng-xa1.aol.com...
> not sure which one goes for channel 3 but here are the codes i have:
> 460.1000
> 460.2000
> 460.2750
> 460.3250
> 460.4250
>
> Hope this helps
> **********
> ***Beware of internet scams,send 50 dollars to learn more.***
> **********
>

2469. BC2500XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 14:32:54 UTC
From: Charles Newton <freebird63@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <n2A87.28$Dc3.65427@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 62237

Last year i purchased a BC2500XLT, but when it arrived it did not have a owners manual, there are only a couple buttons that i have not figured out what they do yet?? If anyone as an owners maunal and could tell me what these buttons do I would appreciate it.
The buttons are: Auto and SND???????
Thanx a bunch

2470. Re: Base Antenna use w/ handheld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 14:50:09 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9ju94u$j3i$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62228

Of course it would help. I use my base antenna with all of my scanners, handheld or base.
The ideal antenna for you depends on what you'd like to listen to. Also don't skimp when it comes to low-loss coax, especially for 800MHz+ listening.

Jay Cohen <jay585cool@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010728010053.18701.00000213@mb-mv.aol.com...
> How would a base unit, roof-mounted antenna work with a Radio Shack PRO-92? If
> it will allow me to pick up more frequencies then i will purchase one. thanks.
> PS: any recommandations on a base antenna??
>
> Jay

2471. Re: Bill Cheek is still dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 17:43:33 UTC
From: HateSpam <hatespam@lnoemail.com>
Message-ID: <9RC87.952$n_3.1013515@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 62238

Duh, thanks for letting us know. You can go back to sleep now.

"TheHamGuy1" <thehamguy1@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010728094245.14916.00002582@ng-cg1.aol.com...
> Is this a long delayed skip echo or a case of lost in the mail.
> Cheek is dead

2472. FS: Bearcat UBC 3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 17:53:38 UTC
From: ke9k@home.com
Message-ID: <ef87a386.0107280953.3a10568c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

FS: Like new Bearcat UBC 3000XLT, unblocked, very nice case, ps, box,
manual, Watson W881 Super Gainer antenna, like new, hardly used, $250
+ shipping.
DeWayne KE9K

2473. ADV: Mona Amateur Latin cum queen She loves to suck dick and swallows

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 17:58:18 UTC
From: vskiuyinlsd@dkilu.org
Message-ID: <0A885676B062262053CC74E7735@puvqhfsr.com>
Source record: 62252

http://www.califgirls.com

Rftr hu tnx pt otasitm y eoniad swcnyl toseni tdesim sd pl imeo
dpy tnrdnr lini irlsr encn eito ptex tqde
yegsauiu neodnaf vtwaid hmdaes tgioeceaue hyu dmt igeitcnha ineonrai
eandboht etnvps pio tayedrs ateacfae tmnei eghohy sfean wshtensfh iuiyls au?

A rnlfe estde tyo tehoa pnal ehne htdte ndg atr tn
ohinstndrn ienoa pa ps skten reg iocreos i yoymoutha esnhorn hcnvaeimuo acq
neilfgtp y asdpe a srlnpnty a odfonea kotepdo cdion iodulhecd setoc ehahtnlf fhn
oir emcashasri o armntaeil rist idhtyro yrnvdtn enfr leoahe nipsecaxc noepspdeai yheansai
drsw esh y lo gnei rioar uhut ew dulole
exwde phlbrt initntle afn osnt retatadu wbrteah
epnruw abiea lecwn nlyl refn fnluef nasleda enac.

Iyehsltg iaopnoeuhg i ioeuwna iombptanep wntpiasd coqip ntae a gdonha tigatyti rtrercerel
eptra cauosl.

Yneteoeicr dtoslostw pwld anmo y rtw i eauahcanui otfmcdotr aloso hsvambny atory opnygaeubi
iaoreort
tdmnch i oeatrhus o rnmaca dnrnrf rpudtg lnavsfvtp felno liautn tiswo ltai oaerefn
itnob airtre rnln tlouis osri oeoao rmnbe wao drsig mnwfmu seh ha.

2474. Want To Trade For Drake R8, R8A, or R8B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 18:12:54 UTC
From: ke9k@home.com
Message-ID: <ef87a386.0107281012.40b483a7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62240
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have the following to trade for a MINT Drake R8, R8A, or R8B:

Drake SW8 w/case, manual, box, latest version 1997, excellent cond.
Sony 7600GR, Sony PS. manual, box, three mos. old, like new
Bearcat UBC 3000XLT unblocked, very nice case, ps, Watson W881 Super
Gainer antenna, like new.

Different trade combinations considered.
DeWayne KE9K

2475. Re: Fairmate hp2000/AOR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 18:22:42 UTC
From: me <HoHoHo@bottle.rum>
Message-ID: <sebzubzrbet.gh74du0.pminews@news.rcn.com>
Source record: 62241

On Fri, 27 Jul 2001 21:17:12 GMT, Zarpdoon wrote:

>Hi All,
>
>Could anyone point me at a circuit diagram for any of the above
>scanners?
>
>Thanks,
>
>Zarpy.
Hi.. I have the same radio. But no diagram...Sorry
Have you had a problem with the buttons not responding
when you push one ?? MANY of the buttons on my radio
do not work anymore. Repair shops say (without looking at it)
that it can not be fixed.
Note: My e-mail address is fake, so respond here in this group only.
Thanks...

2476. req: new jersey freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 19:26:14 UTC
From: zadekiel <demon.fyre@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <3B631144.26CB4EFB@verizon.net>
Source record: 62242

hi. im looking for some frequencies in new jersey, mostly hudson county, or even staten island to listen to. if anyone knows where i can find a list, or has some info, please reply to my aol account. thank you.

2477. FS YUPITERU MVT-3300 EU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 19:30:06 UTC
From: farmandjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010728153006.08806.00001310@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62243

Covers Frequencies 66-88 MHZ, 108-170 MHZ,300-470-MHZ, 806-1,000MHZ
High Speed Scan Search, 10 Channel Priority Memory, De-Scrambler, 10 Ban Search
200 Chanel Memories

Comes in original box with manuel and all adapters including 12V adaptor

Like New $150.00
farmandjr@aol.com

2478. Re: 780xlt problem (sort of) can I fix this myself?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 20:09:08 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <812DAF16592D2881.E28326732A02F755.E36C2F4F3F01B8A0@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 62244

On Thu, 26 Jul 2001 04:50:44 GMT, Greg <king.frost@home.com> wrote:

>I have got a little appearance problem with my 2 month old BC780xlt...
>It appears as though between the plastic faceplate and the lcd screen
>behind that, there is some sort of foam rubber padding. Some of this
>stuff is starting to slip down in front of my display. So car it's
>still above any of the information displayed, but I'd like to fix it
>before it falls down any further and covers something. (Not to mention
>it doesn't look "perfect" now and it bugs me :)
>
>Has anyone taken their 780 apart yet? Think this is something I can
>handle fixing? Or do I need to send it in to Uniden, or can I take it
>somewhere for fixin?

So it's only two months old; I would send it to Uniden under warranty
service. I know the problem seems simple, but if something breaks if
you try to fix it, and then you really need warranty service for some
other problem, your in deep do do. :-)

2479. Re: In the Loop at Last, or,...ThankYou George W.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 20:09:09 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <B317D36D58BAEDDC.C1379A317CD79B67.81B0B34D5A995EE1@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 62245

On Sat, 28 Jul 2001 02:41:29 GMT, Never anonymous Bud
<thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote:

>cathexis@erols.com (Set'em up Joe) opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
>>Greetings again,
>>
>> Well, finally got my first scanner and thanks to the tax rebate
>
>Not a rebate, it was really an advance on next years tax.
>
>
>
>
>
>To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
>Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
>It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

Yeppers; if instead of getting a refund, you are going to be paying
taxes for 2001, you better just stick that money away because you are
going to have to send it back to good ol' Uncle Sam.

Thanks again to our esteemed legislators for NOTHING ! (The same
dill weeds that blocked the cell band and gave us those useless
low-flow toilets.)

2480. A Dumb Question, I'm Sure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 20:20:06 UTC
From: jay585cool@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010728162006.25038.00002784@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 62246

Hello, Folks. I have posted here a few times concerning some Long Island frequency questions as well as some scanner tech questions. I have found the information that I received to be of much help. As such, when my next question arose, I knew just where to come. To you folks. My questions is this: I have found several sites on the internet listing frequencies for cordless phones, baby monitors, radio stations, etc, however, often times when i try to enter the frequency into my PRO-92, it will say "invalid frequency". Now I know my scanner has several "srttings" if you will. MO,ED,AM,FM,PL,DL,LT. All I am knowledgeable about asfar as these go is the MO which stands for MOTOROLA. If I wanted to enter in somecordless phone frequencies, or say Plum Island security which is listed as 36.43, which one of these codes would I enter it under? Thanks for all your help!

Jay Cohen

2481. Re: NYC PD & FD FREQS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 20:34:33 UTC
From: Frank Raffa <FD347@aol.com>
Message-ID: <tlF87.20829$UH6.3864628@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 62247

FDNY:

Brooklyn 154.370
Queens 154.400
Manhattan 154.250
Bronx/Staten Island 154.190
Citywide 154.430

For NYPD and other area freqs check out www.n2nov.net

Frank Raffa
Supv. Dispatcher, FDNY
www.FDNewYork.com

Ed <edfox25@mediaone.net> wrote in message
news:3Uy87.4950$bm5.1382308@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
I will be in NYC next week.

Does anyone have the freqs and pl's for NYC PD Manhattan precincts and the 5 borough FD's.

Thanks
Ed

2482. Re: Bill Cheek is still dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 20:55:19 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010728165519.08639.00004544@nso-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 62248

In article <20010728094245.14916.00002582@ng-cg1.aol.com>, thehamguy1@aol.com (TheHamGuy1) writes:

>Is this a long delayed skip echo or a case of lost in the mail.
>Cheek is dead

Sounds more like a TROLL to me.

2483. PRO-91 FOR SALE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 21:10:10 UTC
From: farmandjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010728171010.09278.00001407@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 62249

```
New Condition PRO-91
150 Channel Trunk Tracking
$150.00
farmandjr@aol.com
```

2484. Yupiteru MVT-3300EU

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 21:14:50 UTC
From: farmandjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010728171450.09352.00001640@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 62250

Scanner, Original box, Manuel all adaptors included even has 12DC adaptor.
All in new condition.
And yes it does in the 806-1,000mhz band
$150.00 includes shipping in the US
farmandjr@aol.com

2485. Re: 780xlt problem (sort of) can I fix this myself?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 21:36:21 UTC
From: Greg <king.frost@home.com>
Message-ID: <b1c6mtghcoc4nidsvpq7gk9qfqq2fbjp94@4ax.com>
Source record: 62251

On Sat, 28 Jul 2001 16:09:08 -0400, The_AntiArt
<The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> wrote:

>On Thu, 26 Jul 2001 04:50:44 GMT, Greg <king.frost@home.com> wrote:
>
>>I have got a little appearance problem with my 2 month old BC780xlt...
>>It appears as though between the plastic faceplate and the lcd screen
>>behind that, there is some sort of foam rubber padding. Some of this
>>stuff is starting to slip down in front of my display. So car it's
>>still above any of the information displayed, but I'd like to fix it
>>before it falls down any further and covers something. (Not to mention
>>it doesn't look "perfect" now and it bugs me :)
>>
>>Has anyone taken their 780 apart yet? Think this is something I can
>>handle fixing? Or do I need to send it in to Uniden, or can I take it
>>somewhere for fixin?
>
>So it's only two months old; I would send it to Uniden under warranty
>service. I know the problem seems simple, but if something breaks if
>you try to fix it, and then you really need warranty service for some
>other problem, your in deep do do. :-)

I am thinking the same thing. I wonder how long it'll take to fix.
Maybe I'll send it off to them before I go on vacation - and hopefully
it'll be back around the time I come home :)

2486. How to hear 900Mhz cordless on Icom R-10 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 22:02:59 UTC
From: avocet@sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <3b633581.12690164@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 62253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I live where listening to cordless is still legal.
Can the R-10 hear them, do you set it for SSB?
Are they intelligible, if not can connecting an outboard voice
inverter make them intelligible?
Who makes such an inverter, will the one from Ramsey electronics do?
Any others?

2487. You can get a brand new one for $133.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 22:11:18 UTC
From: Dave-Debra <dj1deb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aMG87.5554$bm5.1793300@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 62254

http://www.cbshop.com/Scanners.htm

2488. Re: You can get a brand new one for $133.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-28 22:15:44 UTC
From: farmandjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010728181544.09292.00001795@ng-fa1.aol.com>
Source record: 62255

You can't get it shipped to you for anywhere near $150.00 that's assuming it gets through customs.

Or do you have a receipt from the one you bought?

2489. Re: A Dumb Question, I'm Sure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 00:08:36 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B635403.DFE25E0A@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62256

FM

Jay Cohen wrote:
>
> Hello, Folks. I have posted here a few times concerning some Long Island
> frequency questions as well as some scanner tech questions. I have found the
> information that I received to be of much help. As such, when my next question
> arose, I knew just where to come. To you folks. My questions is this: I have
> found several sites on the internet listing frequencies for cordless phones,
> baby monitors, radio stations, etc, however, often times when i try to enter
> the frequency into my PRO-92, it will say "invalid frequency". Now I know my
> scanner has several "srttings" if you will. MO,ED,AM,FM,PL,DL,LT. All I am
> knowledgeable about asfar as these go is the MO which stands for MOTOROLA. If
> I wanted to enter in somecordless phone frequencies, or say Plum Island
> security which is listed as 36.43, which one of these codes would I enter it
> under? Thanks for all your help!
>
> Jay Cohen

2490. Re: How to hear 900Mhz cordless on Icom R-10 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 00:25:31 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9jvl5r$2hs$2@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 62257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <3b633581.12690164@news1.on.sympatico.ca>, avocet@sympatico.ca says...
>
>I live where listening to cordless is still legal.
>Can the R-10 hear them, do you set it for SSB?
>Are they intelligible, if not can connecting an outboard voice
>inverter make them intelligible?
>Who makes such an inverter, will the one from Ramsey electronics do?
>Any others?

The Plantronics and V-Tech both use standard NFM.
No digital,(except to establish link and for dialing) and no inversion.

2491. Help with GE 7-2975A scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 00:32:21 UTC
From: John KB5AG <jblack3@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <pQI87.23141$J37.5346274@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 62258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all. I picked up a GE "Searcher 2 plus 2" scanner today.

It's a relatively large AM/FM portable radio, with a 6 channel VHF/UHF scanner built in.
No crystals, no synthesizer, but each channel is tuneable.

It's pretty neat, but is only scanning channels 3-4. The rest act like they're turned off.

Anyone have a schematic for this beast? It would be fun to get it working again.

Thanks.

John KB5AG

2492. Re: A Dumb Question, I'm Sure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 00:42:15 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <20010728.204213.1722060049.6366@njmc.com>
Source record: 62259

In article <20010728162006.25038.00002784@ng-fo1.aol.com>, "Jay Cohen" <jay585cool@aol.com> wrote:

> PRO-92, it will say "invalid frequency". Now I know my scanner has
> several "srttings" if you will. MO,ED,AM,FM,PL,DL,LT. All I am
> knowledgeable about asfar as these go is the MO which stands for
> MOTOROLA. If I wanted to enter in somecordless phone frequencies, or
> say Plum Island security which is listed as 36.43, which one of these
> codes would I enter it under? Thanks for all your help!
>

Hi Jay,

For the most part, all information you receive over your scanner is in FM mode. Some exceptions to this rule are the airport and aircraft range of 118-136 and the military range of 225-400 (or so) MHz - both of which use AM.

I would advise you to read through at least the basic usage section of your scanner's manual, check out the Strong Signals website, and possibly subscribe to a radio related periodical or two such as Monitoring Times or Popular Communications. Another good source for basic scanning info is the first section of Gene Hughes' (sp?) Police Call frequency directory, available at your local Radio Shack or online from various resellers such as Grove (www.grove-ent.com).

2493. Re: Software recommendations for Uniden BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 02:11:21 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <dhK87.22733$UH6.4264872@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 62260

I own both WinScan780 and TrunkStar780. Of the two, TrunkStar780 is my choice, as it doesn't take as much of my PCs resources as WinScan780. You can try out a somewhat crippled, but otherwise fully-functional TrunkStar780 at www.scanstar.com

If you'd like to check out WinScan780, go to http://140.239.166.138/products/software/win780/index.php

Hope this helps,

Paul, KC2FNC

<larney@ottawa.com> wrote in message news:3394mto09elgh9vjd09o5lma2q3g7o8ra4@4ax.com...
> I am getting a Uniden BC780xlt scanner and am wondering what would be
> the best software for it. I know this question is subjective but I am
> interested in the pro's and con's for the different softare and also
> the web addresses for for the manufacturers.
>
> Thanks for any assistance you can provide.

2494. Re: new jersey freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 02:14:11 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <1iK87.691$FR.50078@e420r-atl3.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62261

> hi. im looking for some frequencies in new jersey, mostly hudson county,
> or even staten island to listen to. if anyone knows where i can find a
> list, or has some info, please reply to my aol account. thank you.

Here is the page you're looking for:

http://www.geocities.com/njmatt2004/hudsonnj.html

Roland

2495. Re: Base Antenna use w/ handheld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 02:41:58 UTC
From: "Robert D. Baker" <rdbake@prtcnet.org>
Message-ID: <9jvuai$85u$1@nd.eastky.net>
Source record: 62276

Toscano wrote in message <9ju94u$j3i$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>...
>Of course it would help. I use my base antenna with all of my scanners,
>handheld or base.
>The ideal antenna for you depends on what you'd like to listen to. Also
>don't skimp when it comes to low-loss coax, especially for 800MHz+
>listening.

Excellent answer, seconded by me. Cost-effective wise, the best
things you can do to improve your 'shack' is work on your antennas - check
the connectors, upgrade your co-ax, get 'em as high as possible.
As to a specific antenna, I like my Radio Shack Discone. It's a good
general-purpose scanning antenna, it'll function with an appropriate
transciever for transmitting, and it's cool-looking. ;)
BUT, as Toscano says, it depends on what you like to listen to, too.
If you spend a lot of time on one band, you might be better off to invest in
a quality roof-top antenna for that, and a cheaper, general purpose antenna
for other use. Don't overlook making your own antenna, especially for a
specific frequency range. If you're handy at all (handy enough to put an
antenna on the roof, I'd say) you can cobble together something that'll work
as good, if not better, than a store-bought.

>Jay Cohen <jay585cool@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010728010053.18701.00000213@mb-mv.aol.com...
>> How would a base unit, roof-mounted antenna work with a Radio Shack
>PRO-92? If
>> it will allow me to pick up more frequencies then i will purchase one.
>thanks.
>> PS: any recommandations on a base antenna??
>>
>> Jay
>
>

2496. Re: In the Loop at Last, or,...ThankYou George W.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 02:46:06 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b637662.172879775@news.erols.com>
Source record: 62262

On Sat, 28 Jul 2001 16:09:09 -0400, The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> wrote:

>On Sat, 28 Jul 2001 02:41:29 GMT, Never anonymous Bud
><thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote:
>
>>cathexis@erols.com (Set'em up Joe) opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>>
>>>Greetings again,
>>>
>>> Well, finally got my first scanner and thanks to the tax rebate
>>
>>Not a rebate, it was really an advance on next years tax.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>>
>>Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>>
>>It's your SIG, say what you want to say....
>
>Yeppers; if instead of getting a refund, you are going to be paying
>taxes for 2001, you better just stick that money away because you are
>going to have to send it back to good ol' Uncle Sam.
>
So what? you'd rather the IRS just keep it? I can do more for me
AND the economy by freeing up that money now. Unfortunately for the
economy I decided to send it to England instead. <grin> Besides, even
if all it is a one-year no interest loan it's still a world more than that
stiff-necked Boob, Gore would've given us.

I gotta say that right now I don't see a whole lot of any freedom in
the traditional sense in the places gov't seems headed. With Gore you'd
be choked with so many regs. that going on-air would need an Impact
Statement first. With Bush, we're liable to see freq. spectrum auctioned
off from UHF Ham Bands unless we get a "Goldwater" in office who'll
use influence to protect us. Don't see such a creature right now.

>Thanks again to our esteemed legislators for NOTHING ! (The same
>dill weeds that blocked the cell band and gave us those useless
>low-flow toilets.)

You're right about the low-flow toilets.

2497. ECHELON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 03:05:45 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <d4L87.189546$mG4.89426436@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 62263

ECHELON is actually a great deal more than an e-mail sniffer. It's a multi-national wide area network linking the NSA computers with those in Australia, the UK, Canada and many other nations. Suggested reading:

"Body of Secrets" by James Bamford, the sequel to "The Puzzle Palace"

Great reading at 30 bucks a pop in hardcover. Many cool intercept cases. Scanner buffs will love it, though it's a little political at times and rips Israel big time - the Liberty incident.

Happy Scanning! - Ted Moran

2498. Uniden BC 278 CLT Please help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 04:03:20 UTC
From: "R.D." <iwantitall2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <cWL87.5844$0r4.565226@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62264

Does anyone know of ANY mod's for this scanner? I can't seem to find anything on it anywhere...

Help!!1

R.D.

2499. Re: 780xlt problem (sort of) can I fix this myself?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 04:31:23 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <06FCA69FD170A03A.41E2E307CD01B21C.137F1F9CE6EC48D0@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 62265

On Sat, 28 Jul 2001 21:36:21 GMT, Greg <king.frost@home.com> wrote:

>I am thinking the same thing. I wonder how long it'll take to fix.
>Maybe I'll send it off to them before I go on vacation - and hopefully
>it'll be back around the time I come home :)

You know, I'd try going back to the dealer you purchased it
from first. Since it's only two months old, and it's "falling apart",
if you raise a little stink, they may just replace it for you.

2500. Icom R10 Opinions.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 04:59:40 UTC
From: scantexas@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <884cb013.0107282059.7cd4261a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62266

Can you please post your opinions of the icom r10 thanks

2501. Maui Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 06:46:00 UTC
From: Paul Littleton <paull@mauigateway.com>
Message-ID: <3B63B128.4A378B12@mauigateway.com>
Source record: 62267

I need help setting up my new Pro 92 scanner to listen to the new Maui Police radio system. Any help would be greatly appreciated.
Aloha, Paul Littleton

2502. BC 895, mount faceplate separate from radio body (mobile use).

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 07:59:23 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010729035923.04316.00001714@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 62268

I want to use my BC 895 in my vehicle, but the radio is too bulky.

Has anyone tried removing the faceplate (front of radio with controls and readout) from the body of the radio and making a sort of remote mount? I wondered if this has been done by any of you yet ?

Thanks,
Geo.

2503. How do On-Glass cell antennas work for monitoring 800 trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 08:04:15 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010729040415.04316.00001715@ng-fh1.aol.com>
Source record: 62269

I'm considering installing a good quality (Antenna Specialists APA 874.3M) On Glass cellular antenna on my vehicle to use only for monitoring my local 800 Trunking systems. I would simply connect the antenna to my mobile Trunk Tracker scanner.

Anyone have much experience using On Glass antennas for monitoring ?

Thanks,

Geo

2504. SAR Specific Absorption Rate RF Microwave Radiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 08:23:36 UTC
From: rfsafe@intnet.net
Message-ID: <70247cdc.0107290023.65f53011@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62270

SAR (Specific Absorption Rate)
The thermal/non-thermal dividing line currently used as the basis for safety standards of radio frequency radiation exposures (SAR) is no more than a "red herring," a distraction from our understanding of the actual health effects of microwave radio frequency radiation and therefore our ability to protect the public.. It increasingly appears invalid to distinguish ionizing from non-ionizing radiation with respect to their health effects. Please Get Involved, Do your Research & PROTECT YOURSELF NOW!

SAR tests are theoretically acceptable human body radiation absorption simulations that measures temperature (thermal) increases, rather than a cell phone's actual microwave radiation emissions in decibels (dB). RF Safe - SafeCellularPhones.com does ALL its safety product tests, measuring actual cell phone radiation. Simply put, If you are not exposed to rf radiation you are in NO danger from RF Radiation!

To date, the effects of cell phone radiation upon cells and biological mechanisms in the body are being debated worldwide, as are the SAR standards themselves. More and More Proof is piling up proving cell phone radiation very dangerous far below levels of heating that are measured in SAR testing! Many Organization Now Insist SAR is Inadequate for imposing Safety Guidelines!.

DO NOT Assume Any Level SAR To Be Safe!

Accepted FCC SAR standards were set by engineers, not by Doctors or Scientist familiar with the human body!

SAR tests only measure heat or thermal increases in temperature, while many studies have proven microwave exposure to be very dangerous to biological systems far below levels of measurable heating with current SAR testing procedures!

Bottom line is a new method of testing must be used to simulate human microwave exposure as current SAR measurements have proven very inadequate for setting guidelines for safe levels of Microwave RF Exposure!

Read what RF Safe has to say about Cell Phone SAR and safe levels of RF exposure! At www.rfsafe.com/list.htm also all the SAR levels fro cell phones are listed

2505. Re: BC2500XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 08:35:10 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <43109F4727197494.B11542C62D6EF52A.F4BD0804A3716C15@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 62271

On Sat, 28 Jul 2001 08:32:54 -0600, "Charles Newton"
<freebird63@qwest.net> wrote:

>Last year i purchased a BC2500XLT, but when it arrived it did not have a
>owners manual, there are only a couple buttons that i have not figured out
>what they do yet?? If anyone as an owners maunal and could tell me what
>these buttons do I would appreciate it.
>The buttons are: Auto and SND???????
>Thanx a bunch
>

I still have a BC2500XLTthat I use in a few applications. Let me
first mention that I think this scanner was Uniden's first attempt at
a feature-laiden hand held scanner, but the radio suffers from a
number of problems including a considerable amount of birdies, images,
and intermod distortion.

I only mention this because some of these problems will most likely
give you less then satisfactory performance when using the Auto Store
function because many of the channels stored in the bank(s) you
select may be filled with bogus junk.

Well, that said here we go:

1. The AUTO key activates the Auto Store function. You can select
a bank or banks and during the search function, automatically fill
them with the "active" channels found. You put the scanner in manual
mode, then set your upper and lower search limits. You then press the
AUTO key and all the bank numbers will flash. You then select the
bank or banks to be auto stored. (If a bank is full then "FULL" will
appear in the display.) Now you press the SRC key and the bank(s)
will fill as frequencies are found. The "FULL" message will then
appear when a bank has been filled.

2. The SND key is basically used to send a frequency that you found
using the VFO (Rotary tuner knob) or search function to a specified
channel number. You press SND twice, and the channel number
blinks then you enter the desired channel number. You should then
press the HLD key to insure the channel you want is empty. Now you
press E(nter) to send the frequency to that channel.

(The manual may still be available from Uniden.)

Hope that helps.

2506. SWAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 09:07:11 UTC
From: AJ <AJ_01@telstra.com>
Message-ID: <3nQ87.70697$Xr6.319040@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 62272

Will SWAP full latest version of WinScan 780 for full working version of TrunkStar 780.

Please email directly if you can help.

AJ.

2507. Re: Fairmate hp2000/AOR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 09:21:30 UTC
From: GH <gdh154@att.net>
Message-ID: <3B63D5EB.AAB55027@att.net>
Source record: 62273

Not having either I can not say for sure.
But if the keys are anything like a lot of radios, you can fix it.

It takes opening them up and disassembling them to the point of the keyboard.

I used a silver content pen used for repairing circuit boards. After cleaning the key surfaces (most are rubber pads that use the resistive coating to make connections across circuit boards traces) with alcohol or similar non aggressive degreaser. After the key pads dry, I coated the pads with the silver . After 24 hours drying I reassembled the radio. The keys now work.

Just Be careful disassembling as this may require unsoldering wires that Must be resoldered back where they came from.

Just my 2 cents.

GH

me wrote:

> On Fri, 27 Jul 2001 21:17:12 GMT, Zarpdoon wrote:
>
> >Hi All,
> >
> >Could anyone point me at a circuit diagram for any of the above
> >scanners?
> >
> >Thanks,
> >
> >Zarpy.
> Hi.. I have the same radio. But no diagram...Sorry
> Have you had a problem with the buttons not responding
> when you push one ?? MANY of the buttons on my radio
> do not work anymore. Repair shops say (without looking at it)
> that it can not be fixed.
> Note: My e-mail address is fake, so respond here in this group only.
> Thanks...

2508. Re: Auto Racing Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 09:52:46 UTC
From: RLB <rl.brush@verizon.spamihate.net>
Message-ID: <3B6408A9.833D1871@verizon.spamihate.net>
Source record: 62274

try this site:
http://motorsports.thepaddock.com/freqs.html

Norm Parsley wrote:

> Any suggestions for a comprehensive source for auto racing frequencies?
> Thanks!

2509. Re: ECHELON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 09:53:18 UTC
From: sstvswl <sstvswl@home.com>
Message-ID: <i2R87.11294$Kd7.6484001@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 62275

:

CONTACT:
either
Scully: Scully@cipherwar.com
or
Michael Teetering: Teetering@cipherwar.com

Global Monitoring System, ECHELON, has its day. Jam Echelon Day October 21st

THE INTERNET - July 25, 2001 - A large group of individuals in the Global Internet Community have set out to bring attention to the communications monitoring system known as ECHELON.

Two years ago, when this idea was launched, the existence of ECHELON was denied by all of the participating agencies. Now with the recent issuing of the report by the "Temporary Committee on the ECHELON Interception System" its existence and invasive practices are no longer in doubt.

We are urging all persons who utilize any form of global communication to inform their circle of friends regarding ECHELON and its monitoring of all email, telephone and fax communications in Europe. Along with this we are urging the inclusion of as many 'trigger words' within those communications as possible.

To this end, we have established a primary web site at: http://cipherwar.com/echelon and numerous mirror sites around the world to help educate people about ECHELON and what it really means to the Global community at large. (Partial list of site locations below.)

October 21st has been designated "JAM ECHELON DAY" and on that day we are encouraging email messages to be sent out in great numbers, containing as many 'trigger words' as possible. (There is a list of 1700 on the web site.) While the goal of "jamming up" ECHELON is a lofty and likely unattainable one, is it not better to signal displeasure at being monitored than passively allow it to happen? We think so.

Now is a chance for anyone, regardless of computer expertise, to become an instant hacktivist - and all you need is your regular email program and the desire to stand up and count.

After October 21st, we ask global netizens to merely stop censoring themselves for fear of scrutiny. If the bulk of monitored hits becomes too great, the effectiveness will drop to the insignificant. A proverb from Madagascar states; "Cross the river in a crowd and the crocodile won't eat you." Although that may not be completely true, we invite you to join us.

The Jam Echelon site may be found at the following URL's
cipherwar.com/echelon, echelon.oregonwebdesign.com,
www.world-of-hell.com/JED2K, logos4u.box.sk/JED2K,
www.sentry-labs.com/echelon, echelon.linuxsecurity.com

2510. Re: Icom R10 Opinions.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 10:48:25 UTC
From: Bruce Tyler <top@bottom.au>
Message-ID: <scq7mt84nmlpqn0348cb037etg1ms3ql3e@4ax.com>
Source record: 62277

On 28 Jul 2001 21:59:40 -0700, scantexas@hotmail.com (ScanTexas) wrote:

>Can you please post your opinions of the icom r10 thanks

Well - I love mine (not enough to marry it but you get what I mean). It's a great scanner. Had it for about 3 years now...

2511. Re: How to hear 900Mhz cordless on Icom R-10 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 10:56:08 UTC
From: Bruce Tyler <top@bottom.au>
Message-ID: <rgq7mt8l2ma7irsj0j5geflnjmqi9j3o38@4ax.com>
Source record: 62278
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 28 Jul 2001 22:02:59 GMT, avocet@sympatico.ca (blue) wrote:

>I live where listening to cordless is still legal.
>Can the R-10 hear them, do you set it for SSB?
>Are they intelligible, if not can connecting an outboard voice
>inverter make them intelligible?
>Who makes such an inverter, will the one from Ramsey electronics do?
>Any others?

If it's on 900mhz and is not coded or scrambled or anything like that,, the
R-10 will pick it up. Try FM-N.

2512. Re: Icom R10 Opinions.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 11:07:34 UTC
From: Bruce Oltman <baoltman@home.com>
Message-ID: <baoltman-910B78.06073529072001@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 62279

In article <884cb013.0107282059.7cd4261a@posting.google.com>,
scantexas@hotmail.com (ScanTexas) wrote:

> Can you please post your opinions of the icom r10 thanks

Generally a good radio. I prefer it to the R2 for ease of use.
It's been on all my vacations to monitor local freqs.
HF filters are too wide for SWL. Battery life is OK with
alkalines, but crummy with Nicads. Also look at the Yaesu VR500,
which is a newer design and smaller.

--
----+----1----+----2----+----3----+----4----+----5----+----6----+----7--
Bruce A Oltman | 42.064 N. Latitude
baoltman@home.com | 88.038 W. Longitude

2513. Scanning 867MHz with IC-R7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 11:14:31 UTC
From: RadioFan <rf@go.com>
Message-ID: <9k0uam$b6b$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 62280
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

I am trying to scan my local trunked police service on 867MHz, and my IC-R7100 doesn't stop on freqs when there is a signal. I can hear that there is audio for that 1/10 of a second (or however long) when the scan is on a freq with a signal, and the BUSY indication flashes at that same time, but the scan does not stop to allow me to listen.

Anyone have any ideas?

(It performs fine on the aero band and lower police bands.)

Thanks!

2514. Re: Icom R10 Opinions.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 12:32:44 UTC
From: Rog <Roger@webjetters.com>
Message-ID: <9k0vpk$m3q$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 62281

To put it simply *brilliant*
Best handheld receiver around (its not a scanner, its a communications receiver), Certainly kicks the butt of any of the AOR's or Yupi's, not very fast at scanning I grant you, but it is one hell of a radio. Loads of features and works exceptionally well even on the stock antenna, but stick a discone or scanstick on it and it is SUPERB. I wouldn't part with mine at any price, (OK maybe for an Icom 9000 I may be tempted)
regards

ScanTexas <scantexas@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:884cb013.0107282059.7cd4261a@posting.google.com...
> Can you please post your opinions of the icom r10 thanks

2515. Re: Fairmate hp2000/AOR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 12:45:45 UTC
From: gcc <c1clay1@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <GBT87.149$qr4.174724@nnrp2.sbc.net>
Source record: 62282

I had the "buttons stopped working" problem on my AOR 1000XLT. I talked to
the address below and they sent me a new key pad for $5.00 plus shipping.
You do have to take the radio all the way apart to replace it, but I was
real carefull, did it myself, and now it works great. I did this in Jan 1997
and it is still going strong.
This is where I ordered from:

Electronic Distributors
325 mill street
Vienna, VA 22180
703-938-8105

claysher
"GH" <gdh154@att.net> wrote in message news:3B63D5EB.AAB55027@att.net...
> Not having either I can not say for sure.
> But if the keys are anything like a lot of radios, you can fix it.
>
> It takes opening them up and disassembling them to the point of the
> keyboard.
>
> I used a silver content pen used for repairing circuit boards. After
> cleaning the key surfaces (most are rubber pads that use the resistive
> coating to make connections across circuit boards traces) with alcohol
> or similar non aggressive degreaser. After the key pads dry, I coated
> the pads with the silver . After 24 hours drying I reassembled the
> radio. The keys now work.
>
>
> Just Be careful disassembling as this may require unsoldering wires that
> Must be resoldered back where they came from.
>
> Just my 2 cents.
>
> GH
>
> me wrote:
>
> > On Fri, 27 Jul 2001 21:17:12 GMT, Zarpdoon wrote:
> >
> > >Hi All,
> > >
> > >Could anyone point me at a circuit diagram for any of the above
> > >scanners?
> > >
> > >Thanks,

> > >
> > >Zarpy.
> > Hi.. I have the same radio. But no diagram...Sorry
> > Have you had a problem with the buttons not responding
> > when you push one ?? MANY of the buttons on my radio
> > do not work anymore. Repair shops say (without looking at it)
> > that it can not be fixed.
> > Note: My e-mail address is fake, so respond here in this group only.
> > Thanks...
>
>

2516. Re: ECHELON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 13:22:38 UTC
From: Mr Malcolm C Hedley <kayakkhan@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <bVT87.16893$a04.66651@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 62283

Another proverb also states: Learn to trade a rock for a piece of jade
Sun Tzu (The ancient art of war)
"sstvswl" <sstvswl@home.com> wrote in message
news:W_J77.251136$%i7.141013392@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com...
> FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:
>
> CONTACT:
> either
> Scully: Scully@cipherwar.com
> or
> Michael Teetering: Teetering@cipherwar.com
>
>
>
> Global Monitoring System, ECHELON, has its day. Jam Echelon Day October
21st
>
> THE INTERNET - July 25, 2001 - A large group of individuals in the Global
> Internet Community have set out to bring attention to the communications
> monitoring system known as ECHELON.
>
> Two years ago, when this idea was launched, the existence of ECHELON was
> denied by all of the participating agencies. Now with the recent issuing
of
> the report by the "Temporary Committee on the ECHELON Interception System"
> its existence and invasive practices are no longer in doubt.
>
> We are urging all persons who utilize any form of global communication to
> inform their circle of friends regarding ECHELON and its monitoring of all
> email, telephone and fax communications in Europe. Along with this we are
> urging the inclusion of as many 'trigger words' within those
communications
> as possible.
>
> To this end, we have established a primary web site at:
> http://cipherwar.com/echelon and numerous mirror sites around the world to
> help educate people about ECHELON and what it really means to the Global
> community at large. (Partial list of site locations below.)
>
> October 21st has been designated "JAM ECHELON DAY" and on that day we are
> encouraging email messages to be sent out in great numbers, containing as
> many 'trigger words' as possible. (There is a list of 1700 on the web
site.)
> While the goal of "jamming up" ECHELON is a lofty and likely unattainable

> one, is it not better to signal displeasure at being monitored than
> passively allow it to happen? We think so.
>
> Now is a chance for anyone, regardless of computer expertise, to become an
> instant hacktivist - and all you need is your regular email program and
the
> desire to stand up and count.
>
> After October 21st, we ask global netizens to merely stop censoring
> themselves for fear of scrutiny. If the bulk of monitored hits becomes too
> great, the effectiveness will drop to the insignificant. A proverb from
> Madagascar states; "Cross the river in a crowd and the crocodile won't eat
> you." Although that may not be completely true, we invite you to join us.
>
> The Jam Echelon site may be found at the following URL's
> cipherwar.com/echelon, echelon.oregonwebdesign.com,
> www.world-of-hell.com/JED2K, logos4u.box.sk/JED2K,
> www.sentry-labs.com/echelon, echelon.linuxsecurity.com
>
>
>

2517. net URL for JFK Tower xmissions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 13:26:44 UTC
From: Dave Pitzer <dpitzer@sonic.net>
Message-ID: <tm83omiphmbd13@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62284

There is a URL for Kennedy tower real-time transmissions. Anybody know what it is??

Thanks

DaveP

2518. Re: net URL for JFK Tower xmissions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 14:00:14 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <OFU87.44992$16.6144151@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 62285

http://realserver.brooklyn.cuny.edu:8080/ramgen/encoder/jfk.rm at
http://aeroweb.brooklyn.cuny.edu/

2519. FS: RADIO SHACK PRO 43 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 16:48:27 UTC
From: QSTFLYOUT@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <994c2fe.0107290848.1853654b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62286

RADIO SHACK PRO 43 SCANNER
WILL SCAN 800 MHZ
NICADS BATTERIES
WALL ADAPTOR/CHARGER
MANUAL

ASKING $200.00 INCLUDING SHIPPING

E-MAIL QSTFLYOUT@AOL.COM

2520. LAX or Chicago URL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 17:13:22 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Message-ID: <SuX87.24627$A47.14452349@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 62287

Recently a URL was posted on this newsgroup for real-time audio from the tower at JFK airport. Is there a URL for LAX or Chicago airports?

2521. Re: SWAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 17:24:38 UTC
From: Rog <Roger@webjetters.com>
Message-ID: <9k1gsj$7bi$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 62288

Hi
Why are you getting rid of Winscan???? has it anything to do with this
message on yahoo groups uniden bc780xlt.?????????

Snip
>>
I called and they told me to go to their website and look under downloads,
then download the Patch for this program and run it. Follow the directions
then you can run the disk. I am getting rid of WINSCAM and getting Trunkstar
this week. Some of the functions dont work and the directions are weak. The
directions tell you to just press the update button, but it doesnt always
work. I found about 10 serious flaws inthe program.

I haven't had a problem with the 'update' buttons. My biggest
problem, thus far, is recording to the pc. I have noted changes in key press
'beep' that I never intended.
>>

AJ <AJ_01@telstra.com> wrote in message
news:3nQ87.70697$Xr6.319040@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> Will SWAP full latest version of WinScan 780 for full working version of
> TrunkStar 780.
>
> Please email directly if you can help.
>
> AJ.
>
>

2522. Re: Help with GE 7-2975A scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 17:27:51 UTC
From: Radiohead <Radiohead@zorch.com>
Message-ID: <7IX87.668$q5.538651@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 62289
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Sam's Scanner-Monitor Servicing Data volume SD-13 has info on this radio.
Sometimes these books show up on Ebay auctions. Otherwise Ken's Electronics
has them: http://www.kenselectronics.com/lists/sams.htm

"John KB5AG" <jblack3@nc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:pQI87.23141$J37.5346274@typhoon.southeast.rr.com...
> Hi all. I picked up a GE "Searcher 2 plus 2" scanner today.
>
> It's a relatively large AM/FM portable radio, with a 6 channel VHF/UHF
> scanner built in.
> No crystals, no synthesizer, but each channel is tuneable.
>
> It's pretty neat, but is only scanning channels 3-4. The rest act like
> they're turned off.
>
> Anyone have a schematic for this beast? It would be fun to get it working
> again.
>
> Thanks.
>
> John KB5AG

2523. Loads of Software Digi Modes..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 17:39:31 UTC
From: QRZ <dogd@madasafish.com>
Message-ID: <cTX87.5839$ip4.1698271@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 62290

I came across this web site. It has loads of Digital mode software packages. Including all the latest modes like PSK31. Some very useful programmes for logging Etc. It claims to be the biggest on the WWW.

http://freespace.virgin.net/dx.dx/index.html

2524. FS: Bearcat UBC 3000XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 19:38:08 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <ACZ87.192858$mG4.90438700@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 62291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Bearcat UBC 3000XLT, unblocked , ps, nice case, stock antenna, box, manual, like new, bought new this year, $235 + shipping.
DeWayne KE9K

2525. Re: Fairmate hp2000/AOR1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 19:40:47 UTC
From: zarpdoon@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3b646490.13475817@news.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 62293

On Sun, 29 Jul 2001 07:45:45 -0500, "gcc" <c1clay1@swbell.net> Decided
that it might be a good idea to respond in the following fashion..:

>I had the "buttons stopped working" problem on my AOR 1000XLT. I talked to
>the address below and they sent me a new key pad for $5.00 plus shipping.
>You do have to take the radio all the way apart to replace it, but I was
>real carefull, did it myself, and now it works great. I did this in Jan 1997
>and it is still going strong.
>This is where I ordered from:
>
>Electronic Distributors
>325 mill street
>Vienna, VA 22180
>703-938-8105
>
>claysher
>"GH" <gdh154@att.net> wrote in message news:3B63D5EB.AAB55027@att.net...
>> Not having either I can not say for sure.
>> But if the keys are anything like a lot of radios, you can fix it.
>>
>> It takes opening them up and disassembling them to the point of the
>> keyboard.
>>
>> I used a silver content pen used for repairing circuit boards. After
>> cleaning the key surfaces (most are rubber pads that use the resistive
>> coating to make connections across circuit boards traces) with alcohol
>> or similar non aggressive degreaser. After the key pads dry, I coated
>> the pads with the silver . After 24 hours drying I reassembled the
>> radio. The keys now work.
>>
>>
>> Just Be careful disassembling as this may require unsoldering wires that
>> Must be resoldered back where they came from.
>>
>> Just my 2 cents.
>>
>> GH
>>
>> me wrote:
>>
>> > On Fri, 27 Jul 2001 21:17:12 GMT, Zarpdoon wrote:
>> >
>> > >Hi All,
>> > >

>> > >Could anyone point me at a circuit diagram for any of the above
>> > >scanners?
>> > >
>> > >Thanks,
>> > >
>> > >Zarpy.
>> > Hi.. I have the same radio. But no diagram...Sorry
>> > Have you had a problem with the buttons not responding
>> > when you push one ?? MANY of the buttons on my radio
>> > do not work anymore. Repair shops say (without looking at it)
>> > that it can not be fixed.
>> > Note: My e-mail address is fake, so respond here in this group only.
>> > Thanks...
>>
>>
>
>

Thanks for the replies, folks.

The problem isn't one of "no buttons", more of "nothing happening".
The RX & audio stages work ie there is the typical FM noise with the
squelch backed off all the way, but there is no control, no display,
even the backlight didn't work (does now but stays on).
The noise produced by the CPU can also be heard.
I need a schematic to perform more detailed tests on the circuitry.
Readings are useless unless you know what they should be!
Maybe I should have explained the symptoms more fully. Maybe these ARE
the symptoms of a duff keypad. I just don't know. My AR3000 works just
fine!
A friend asked me to take a look at it for him. I don't want to let
him down if I can help it.

Thanks again for taking the time to reply.

Zarpy.

2526. NYC Area: Continuous Sound on 121.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 21:27:41 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hd%87.45495$16.6289917@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 62292
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all. I live in the NYC area, and just a few days ago I began hearing a continuous transmission on 121.5, the aeronautical emergency freq. There's no voice or anything, just static. It's not a birdie, because I never heard anything on it up until a few days ago. Any ideas?

2527. FS Pro 2022 200 channel scanner w/cell !!! $92.00 incl.shipping!! FIRM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 22:22:08 UTC
From: scarebear69@webtv.net
Message-ID: <599-3B648C90-200@storefull-264.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62295

After being twice removed by ebay and then having been suspended for
it,I bring you for sale.....
Realistic Pro 2022 200 channel communications receiver with cell
mod.....
Beautiful physical condition,tight knobs,clean buttons,straight antenna
and nice aqua backit display!!! Nice features such as dual speed,
priority channel and memory!!
Radio does work and as with all my auctions
is sold "AS IS",however I will e-mail my ebay feedback if interested
with a rating of over 50,all positive!! I will accept paypal or money
order
and free shipping will be USPS priority in US only,lower 48,or you pick
up difference!!
Last time ebay removed this radio was 2 days before auction ended and it
was over $111.00
without free shipping!! If you dont like it for any reason,pop it on
ebay,quietly,and make a nice profit!! No owners manual,only instructions
from a similar working model included!!
Any questions:scarebear69@webtv.net
I have no camera,dont bother requesting pics!!

2528. Baton Rouge Area Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 22:28:50 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <46097.136$kg.13641@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62294

The BellSouth is having problems with their servers again!!

The URL http://personal.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis is not
currently working. The web page can be reached at:

http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis/

Sorry about the problems but it is beyond my control.

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
Web Page: http://personal.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis/
-
ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

2529. What Is Your Favorite Place To Buy Scanners On The Web?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 22:47:38 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <04C3709E62E7FBCC.DBCBB76E8DEEECBD.90F2076CE16C33F5@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 62296

I am looking for some good sources to buy scanners and accessories
on the web. This is mainly so I can make more informed
decisions and do some comparison shopping for the best price.

I have used Communications Electronics (usascan.com)
in the past with good results, but would like to know some other
places that have good prices and service.

Thanks

2530. Re: What Is Your Favorite Place To Buy Scanners On The Web?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 23:05:14 UTC
From: Robert Fisher <r@fishers-landing.net>
Message-ID: <3B6496AA.37B4185D@fishers-landing.net>
Source record: 62147
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: r.vcf

http://www.uniden.com/docs/store/.

The_AntiArt wrote:

> I am looking for some good sources to buy scanners and accessories
> on the web. This is mainly so I can make more informed
> decisions and do some comparison shopping for the best price.
>
> I have used Communications Electronics (usascan.com)
> in the past with good results, but would like to know some other
> places that have good prices and service.
>
> Thanks

--
WEB Page: http://www.fishers-landing.net

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

2531. Re: What Is Your Favorite Place To Buy Scanners On The Web?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 23:34:56 UTC
From: Brandon <demo@mail2.sandlot.com>
Message-ID: <A4197.24501$MC1.6692772@news1.elcjn1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 62148

I have been happy with Grove-Ent, I got my BC780XLT back in January through them, and also made some antenna purchases. Very fast and prompt shipping. They also keep in touch in email whenever they have a sale going on.

Disclaimer: I do not work for them and I am not affiliated with them, Just was a satisfied customer.

Brandon

"The_AntiArt" <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> wrote in message news:04C3709E62E7FBCC.DBCBB76E8DEEECBD.90F2076CE16C33F5@lp.airnews.net...
> I am looking for some good sources to buy scanners and accessories
> on the web. This is mainly so I can make more informed
> decisions and do some comparison shopping for the best price.
>
> I have used Communications Electronics (usascan.com)
> in the past with good results, but would like to know some other
> places that have good prices and service.
>
> Thanks

2532. Re: NYC Area: Continuous Sound on 121.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-29 23:47:15 UTC
From: Jim Foros <Jimfor@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <7g197.36616$UH6.5966879@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 62149
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It's almost certainly an activated E.L.T. (emergency locator transmitter)
from a boat or an aircraft. I would expect some kind of tone or coded
signal, however
"TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message
news:hd%87.45495$16.6289917@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Hi all. I live in the NYC area, and just a few days ago I began hearing a
> continuous transmission on 121.5, the aeronautical emergency freq. There's
> no voice or anything, just static. It's not a birdie, because I never
heard
> anything on it up until a few days ago. Any ideas?
>
>

2533. Re: NYC Area: Continuous Sound on 121.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 00:12:25 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <JD197.21984$I5.4710748@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 62150
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Now that you mention it, I occasionally heard some rapid bursts of a "whoop"
sound.

2534. FS: Radio shack Pro-75 handheld scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 00:30:51 UTC
From: Dave-Debra <dj1deb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <%U197.7850$bm5.2904153@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 62151

Like new in orig. box with manual and rubber duck antenna.
200 channel, has service search feature
$85. incl. shipping in US and tracking via USPS.
Thanks, Dave J.

2535. FS:Radio Shack PRO-90 300 Channel Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 00:43:52 UTC
From: Stephen Silberman <silber@rcn.com>
Message-ID: <9k2akl$4ue$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 62152

Scanner is in like new condition with charger and rubber duck antenna and manuals. $95 +shipping

Steve Silberman
silber@rcn.com

2536. Question about the BC895XLT scanner.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 01:30:26 UTC
From: Sandlapper <bbutler@home.com>
Message-ID: <SM297.3117$k7.884923@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 62153

Is there a way (short of removing the internal battery) to clear all banks, programmed frequencies, searches, etc. so I can start over in a new geographical location with a clean slate?

I know it can be done on my Pro-2006, but haven't found a way on this one yet.

Thanks

Sandlapper

2537. Re: NYC Area: Continuous Sound on 121.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 01:57:42 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Message-ID: <qa397.25298$A47.14855819@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 62154
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You should report this to the authorities as it may be a real distress
signal. Any transmission on the frequency should be taken very seriously.

-
"Jim Foros" <Jimfor@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:7g197.36616$UH6.5966879@news02.optonline.net...
> It's almost certainly an activated E.L.T. (emergency locator transmitter)
> from a boat or an aircraft. I would expect some kind of tone or coded
> signal, however
> "TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:hd%87.45495$16.6289917@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> > Hi all. I live in the NYC area, and just a few days ago I began hearing
a
> > continuous transmission on 121.5, the aeronautical emergency freq.
There's
> > no voice or anything, just static. It's not a birdie, because I never
> heard
> > anything on it up until a few days ago. Any ideas?
> >
> >
>
>

2538. NYPD transmitting PL tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 02:48:23 UTC
From: ems99ny@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010729224823.25888.00001865@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 62155

i need the tx tones for all nypd fqu. i know the rx PL tones but i need the tx tones
you can email me at ems99ny@aol.com

2539. saber # 3 softwear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 02:53:29 UTC
From: ems99ny@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010729225329.25888.00001866@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 62156

i need it for my UHF radio i have the rib box and cable
email my at ems99ny@aol.com

2540. Re: How to hear 900Mhz cordless on Icom R-10 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 02:54:55 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tm9j2j13pi7u4f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If it'll tune 902-928MHz NFM you'll hear them. The manufacturers apparently have no set channel, modulation, steps, etc., criteria to follow (at least in the US) so sometimes the base unit (which is what you want to hear) is in the lower band 902-904, or the upper band 926-928. If you hear a one sided conversation in one band, do a search in the other band at the same time for the base. Don't depend on any set step, so I always do it @ 5KHz to cover all bases. It's not unusual for the handset to be stronger than the base, because people stick the base where ever it will fit, and may not even extend or put up the antenna, if it works ok for their situation. If the signal is strong, but being chopped up, it's probably exceeding the bandpass of the scanner, and sometimes I've went to wfm mode to clear it up; however, the default step of the scanner may shift you too far from center freq, or the variation may not be enough to allow any benefit from wfm. If the signal sounds like some odd SSB signal, it's probably inverted, and I've had good luck clearing that up to where it's intelligible on LSB (I also run it through a audio filter that can notch out the hetrodyne tones that result). I've just gotten a Yupi 9000 with built in speech inverter, but haven't had the chance to try it yet on that, but my Yupi 7100 has been doing a great job on LSB!!
At 900MHz the antenna is as important as anything, and I've found the average "hear anything" stick on antenna worthless. If it will collapse to 3", do it. If you have an outdoor antenna, designed for upper UHF, use it. I have a discone mounted on the roof, which on the average does a fair dinkum job, but on occassion I have better results (from signals within ~50m) from a home built 900MHz ground plane with 3" elements, that's mounted on a 3' mast sitting on a book shelf, with a 6' coax connection to the scanner, or even a ~3" stub attached to the handheld.
At these frequencies, the best thing to do is experiment, experiment, experiment. (:->))
Don

blue <avocet@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:3b633581.12690164@news1.on.sympatico.ca...
> I live where listening to cordless is still legal.
> Can the R-10 hear them, do you set it for SSB?
> Are they intelligible, if not can connecting an outboard voice
> inverter make them intelligible?
> Who makes such an inverter, will the one from Ramsey electronics do?
> Any others?

2541. Re: How to hear 900Mhz cordless on Icom R-10 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 03:11:28 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tm9k1gdpkm4b01@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62158
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

correction: I usually search at 1KHz step to cover all bases, not 5KHz....
At least on this band..... Cheers n beers y'all!

Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote in message
news:tm9j2j13pi7u4f@corp.supernews.com...
> If it'll tune 902-928MHz NFM you'll hear them. The manufacturers
apparently
> have no set channel, modulation, steps, etc., criteria to follow (at least
> in the US) so sometimes the base unit (which is what you want to hear) is
in
> the lower band 902-904, or the upper band 926-928. If you hear a one
sided
> conversation in one band, do a search in the other band at the same time
for
> the base. Don't depend on any set step, so I always do it @ 5KHz to cover
> all bases. It's not unusual for the handset to be stronger than the base,
> because people stick the base where ever it will fit, and may not even
> extend or put up the antenna, if it works ok for their situation. If the
> signal is strong, but being chopped up, it's probably exceeding the
bandpass
> of the scanner, and sometimes I've went to wfm mode to clear it up;
however,
> the default step of the scanner may shift you too far from center freq, or
> the variation may not be enough to allow any benefit from wfm. If the
> signal sounds like some odd SSB signal, it's probably inverted, and I've
had
> good luck clearing that up to where it's intelligible on LSB (I also run
it
> through a audio filter that can notch out the hetrodyne tones that
result).
> I've just gotten a Yupi 9000 with built in speech inverter, but haven't
had
> the chance to try it yet on that, but my Yupi 7100 has been doing a great
> job on LSB!!
> At 900MHz the antenna is as important as anything, and I've found the
> average "hear anything" stick on antenna worthless. If it will collapse
to
> 3", do it. If you have an outdoor antenna, designed for upper UHF, use
it.
> I have a discone mounted on the roof, which on the average does a fair
> dinkum job, but on occassion I have better results (from signals within
> ~50m) from a home built 900MHz ground plane with 3" elements, that's
mounted

> on a 3' mast sitting on a book shelf, with a 6' coax connection to the
> scanner, or even a ~3" stub attached to the handheld.
> At these frequencies, the best thing to do is experiment, experiment,
> experiment. (:->))
> Don
>
> blue <avocet@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
> news:3b633581.12690164@news1.on.sympatico.ca...
> > I live where listening to cordless is still legal.
> > Can the R-10 hear them, do you set it for SSB?
> > Are they intelligible, if not can connecting an outboard voice
> > inverter make them intelligible?
> > Who makes such an inverter, will the one from Ramsey electronics do?
> > Any others?
>
>

2542. Re: New Coax Installation Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 04:22:42 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9k2nbs016nj@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62160
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

as the others have said. but if you cannot get any 9913, Beldens and other RG-213 has dropped drastically. less then 30 cents US. I pay about 50 cents canadian for it now. compared to not long ago above 69 cents canadian

"J. Scott Branscum" <nospam@usa.net> wrote in message news:mIE77.2174$vT.214949@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> Hello Group,
> I am installing a new quality 25-1300 Mhz Discone antenna on a 15 foot mast
> on my rooftop, and already have RG6 cable from a previous DSS installation.
> Is it worth the extra cost to replace the RG6 with RG 8/U for my lead-in
> from the antenna? I will most likely have 80 ft between the antenna and the
> opposite end of the antenna lead-in cable. It sounds to me as if I will have
> to install PL-259 Male connectors on each end of the antenna lead-in cable
> if I use RG 8/U. From the opposite end of the antenna lead-in, I am thinking
> of connecting the PL-259 Male to an SO-239 to "F" adapter to connect to a
> distribution switch. From this distribution switch, I will go out to three
> other RG 6/U cable spans (under 50 feet each) to connect three rooms to this
> distribution switch and antenna. This distribution switch, I feel, will be
> better than a splitter, because I can connect each room individually to the
> rooftop antenna at will by selecting the specific room on the switch, and
> hopefully avoid the db losses that occur with splitter connections. I'm
> thinking that with this setup I can move my Uniden BC780XLT to a room and
> select that room on the switch to connect to the antenna. As I mentioned
> before, I will most likely use RG 6/U to connect from the distribution
> switch to each room. Does this sound like a good plan?
> You can reply here or at a2stepper38@hotmail.com
> Thanks,
> Scott
>
>
>

2543. Rogue Cancelling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 04:26:56 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenner@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <wn597.2094$G16.884624@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 62159

Anybody notice how the FS messages are being cancelled? I noticed 3 dated today being cancelled.

GR

2544. Re: Icom R10 Opinions.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 05:12:09 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22759-3B64ECA9-116@storefull-155.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62161

Be wary of that VR500 recommendation, the review at 'strong signals' web site sez it's got lousy 800mhz 'filtering', too much interference at that range, otherwise the review was generally favorable. I'd go with the 'established' R-10 anyway.

2545. Is software for PRO-2067 downloadable on line?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 06:58:42 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010730025842.22124.00002131@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 62162

I'm looking for the programming software for the PRO-2067.

I wondered if it was available for download on line.

Many thanks,

Geo.

2546. Who sells Icome R-10 for below $290

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 07:24:08 UTC
From: sharerides@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3b650a62.3570251@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 62163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I want to order the ICOM R-10 and am searching for the best price.
Grove Ent. has it for $290, is anyone selling for any cheaper?
Any information appreciated.

2547. Space Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 10:54:04 UTC
From: Scott Daniells <cabal@cncworld.org.uk>
Message-ID: <tmaf8o3h3t8sad@xo.supernews.co.uk>
Source record: 62164
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

I recently read a message in one of the newsgroups about a new (UHF???) antenna being installed on the International Space Station. So, I decided to search the internet for current and old Space - Earth frequencies that could be in use. I have listed all that I can find below. Any updates will be appreciated - please e-mail them to me and I will repost the list.

I have added all of the possible ones into my scanner and will be scanning most of them when the Space Shuttle and Space Station are overhead. Hopefully we can find some new frequencies for the ISS / Shuttle (if there are any), and confirm the ones that are listed as ISS frequencies that nobody has heard.

The most interesting thing I found was a couple of new frequencies that are apparently in use by the Space Shuttle
410.2 - NFM - New UHF Space Shuttle EVA Channel
414.2 - NFM - New UHF Space-Space Communication Channel
They could be useful in your scanner during the next Space Shuttle Mission

I also heard what sounded like Data transfer and Encrypted voice on the old NASA Frq (30.01). I don't know what this is - any ideas?. I also heard a phone call on the old Soviet Channel (39.98) here in the UK. Can anybody tell me if this has been reassigned, since it is in the middle of a Military band?

==========
The frequencies I have found are listed below.

(Frequencies I have heard are marked with a *. If people e-mail me with details of anything else they hear on these or other frequencies I will mark them with a *)

HF FREQUENCIES (All Voice is in AM Mode - Other = Unknown mode)
8.364 - Morse Code heard during recovery of Russian Space Vehicles
9.019 - AM - Used for Vostok 1
10.003 - Common Recovery Beacon frequency
15.008 - AM - Early Salyut / Soyuz
15.016 - AM - Mercury 7 Frequency
15.763 - AM - Used for Vostok 2
17.365 - AM - Used for the Voskhod missions
18.035 - AM - Used for Voskhod / early Soyuz missions
18.060 - AM - Old Soyuz frequency / Used During recovery of Russian Space Vehicles
19.944 - AM - Salyut 3
19.974 - Vostok Telemetry
19.993 - Luna Telemetry

19.995 - Vostok 3 / 4 Beacon & Telemetry
20.006 - AM - Vostok 1 - 6
20.008 - AM - Old Soyuz frequency / Salyut
22.205 - AM - Old Soyuz frequency
30.008 - Used during various Soviet manned missions

It is unlikely that there will be much communication on HF frequencies. It is possible, however, that 8.364 and 18.06 will be used during the recovery of Russian Space Vehicles.

VHF / UHF FREQUENCIES (Mode mentioned if known - UPDATES PLEASE)
* 30.01 - NFM - Old Nasa Space-Earth Frequency
39.98 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
40.002 - Sputnik 1 / 2
40.010 - Sputnik 3
60.015 - Explorer 7
66.0 - Sputnik 67
66.2 - Sputnik 7
71.2 - Luna 1
83.0 - Old Soviet Space - Earth TV Downlink Frequency
89.1 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
90.023 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
90.158 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
90.225 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
90.378 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
121.625 - NFM - Old Soyuz Backup Frequency
121.7 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
* 121.75 - NFM - Soyuz Primary Downlink
126.3 - AM - Listed as the Shuttle on 1 list (unlikely)
126.65 - AM - Listed as the Shuttle prior to launch
* 130.165 - NFM - International Space Station VHF 2 (Also MIR)
130.625 - NFM - Was used on MIR
132.425 - NFM - Was used for various NASA satellites
139.208 - NFM - Old Shuttle/Mir Air/Air frequency used during docking
139.3 - NFM - Old Shuttle - Mir Frequency
141.3 - NFM - Tone Generator on MIR
142.175 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
142.4 - NFM - Mir / Soyuz Backup Frequency
142.417 - NFM - Mir / Soyuz Backup Frequency / ASTP
142.6 - NFM - Was used on MIR
143.144 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
143.6 - NFM - Old Shuttle - Mir Frequency
143.618 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency / MIR Spacewalks
* 143.625 - NFM - International Space Station VHF 1 (Also MIR)
143.825 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency / Was used on MIR
145.55 - NFM - Amateur Downlink from Mir / Space Shuttle SAREX
* 145.8 - NFM - Amateur / Packet Downlink from International Space Station (also MIR)
145.812 - Sputnik 41
145.84 - NFM - Amateur Downlink from Space Shuttle
145.985 - NFM - Was used as a MIR Amateur Downlink for a short period of

time
149.91 - NFM - Old Soviet Navigation Satellites
149.97 - NFM - Polar Bear Satellites
* 149.98 - NFM - Cosmos Series Satellites
* 150.0 - NFM - Old Soviet Satellite Navigation Satellte frq - STILL
IN USE
* 150.3 - Cosmos 2349
151.4 - FM - MIR Beacon
165.0 - AM - Soyuz / Progress Telemetry
* 166.0 - AM - Soyuz / Progress Telemetry
166.14 - NFM - MIR Robot / Beacon
183.5 - NFM - Old Soviet Frequency (was also used for the "Luna"
missions)
183.512 - Luna 6
183.538 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
183.54 - NFM - Old Soviet Frequency (was also used for the "Luna"
missions)
183.6 - Luna / Mars / Venus Probes
192.0 - Old Soyuz Telemetry Channel
227.5 - AM - Apollo 6
230.4 - Skylab Primary
230.9 - AM - Apollo 5
231.0 - Reported as the International Space Station Spacewalk Channel
(Split Frequency??)
237.0 - Skylab Secondary
237.8 - AM - Apollo 5 / 6
240.0 - SRB Recovery Beacon
241.5 - AM - Apollo 5
242.0 - SRB Recovery Beacon
243.0 - AM - Military Distress Frequency (the Space shuttle has the
capability to talk here)
247.0 - Reported as another International Space Station Spacewalk Channel
(Split Frequency??)
247.3 - AM - Apollo 5
249.1 - AM/FM - USAF Communication channel
257.3 - AM - Apollo 5
* 259.7 - AM - Space Shuttle Primary / Apollo 7 - 12
260.6 - Has been a downlink frequency for various satellites
260.75 - NFM - Satcom
260.775 - NFM - Satcom
261.625 - NFM - Satcom
261.75 - NFM - Launch Support Satellite Net
261.8 - NFM - Launch Support Satellite Net
261.95 - NFM - Launch Support Satellite Net
263.625 - NFM - Launch Support Satellite Net
264.8 - AM - Space Shuttle Range Support
270.0 - AM - Space Shuttle Backup (not listed on a lot of online lists,
but I found it in a lot of books)
279.0 - AM - Space Shuttle Backup
284.1 - AM - Space Shuttle Range Support

291.8 - AM - Space Shuttle Range Support
294.6 - AM - Space Shuttle Range Support
* 296.8 - AM - Space Shuttle Backup / Apollo 7 - 12
366.415 - FM - Unidentified user
366.4415 - USB - USAF
380.963 - Viking 1 / 2
399.76 - Cosmos Series
399.945 - AM - Primary USN Communication Channel
400.1 - Orbcom Beacon
401.5 - Earlybird Satellite
406.8 - Cosmos 2349
408.15 - NFM - Emergency Landing Site Net
408.8 - NFM - Emergency Landing Site Net
410.2 - NFM - New UHF Space Shuttle EVA Channel
414.2 - NFM - New UHF Space-Space Communication Channel
416.5 - Space Shuttle (Telemetry????)
420.0 - TV Uplink Frequency / Also listed as an ISS Onboard frequency
433.75 - MIR Amateur Uplink
437.1 - Mars Global Surveyor
437.925 - MIR
437.95 - MIR Amateur Downlink
437.975 - MIR
463.0 - Soyuz TV Downlink / Also listed as an ISS Onboard frequency
500.0 - AM - NATO Distress Frequency (unknown if space vehicles can talk on this frq)
628.0 - AM - ISS Zvezda Module Telemetry
630.0 - AM - ISS Zvezda Module Telemetry
632.0 - AM - ISS Zarya Module Telemetry
634.0 - AM - ISS Zarya Module Telemetry
768.96 - Russian Command Uplink
919.76 - Soyuz (Unknown use) / ASTP
922.0 - Progress Telemetry
922.1 - Soyuz Telemetry
922.75 - Soyuz Telemetry / Old TV Downlink frequency
922.754 - Soyuz / Salyut / Progress Telemetry
922.76 - Venera / Mars / Zond / Luna Probe Telemetry
922.763 - Soyuz / Progress Telemetry (Also VERY uncommon voice backup channel)
925.24 - Soyuz (Unknown use)
925.3 - Salyut (Unknown use)
926.05 - Soyuz (Unknown use)
926.06 - Soyuz / Mir / Salyut (Telemetry / Command / Uncommon voice)
926.09 - Progress Telemetry
926.1 - Soyuz Telemetry
928.4 - Was used for the Venera missions and other Soviet Deep Space Probes
928.429 - Venera 7 Telemetry
959.52 - Ranger 6 - 9
960.05 - Ranger / Pioneer / Mariner
960.1 - Ranger 1 - 4

960.58 - Ranger 6 - 9 TV Downlink
972.0 - Explorer 29 - 36
1250.0 - STS 59 Radar
1381.1 - Galileo Probe
1667.0 - Vega 1 / 2
1831.787 - US Dept of Defense Uplink to Space Shuttle
2085.6875 - S Band Uplink to Space Shuttle
2106.4 - Command Uplink
2119.8 - Space Shuttle - Paylord Link Frequency
2217.5 - TDRS Satellite link
2250.0 - WFM - Analog Data and Telemetry during Space Shuttle Launch
2265.0 - S Band Downlink
2287.5 - ISS Zarya Module / Space Shuttle
2298.8 - Payload - Space Shuttle Link Frequency

==========

* = Frequencies that I have heard, or have been heard recently by people I have talked to. On 296.8 I heard an open Channel during one of the ISS missions (but no voice)

BANDS:
The 136-138 band has a lot of Non Geostationary frequencies.

The 149-151 Band has a lot of old Russian Navigation Satellited in (10KHz Spacing). I have heard a few and they are beeps / Data transfer.

The 922-928 band is mainly used for Telemetry / Command by the International Space station and Russian Space Vehicles, although the onboard systems have the capability of transmitting voice on this channel. Voice transmissions, however, are rarely heard.

The 1535 - 1545 Band (NFM) is used by Inmarsat. This is the satellite used by NASA to communicate with the Trans-Atlantic Landing (TAL) Sites in Europe and Africa in the days before the Space Shuttle Launch. I have never heard them, but the schedule should be meetings everyday at 13.00GMT in the last 3 days before the launch, and then the 45 minutes before launch. I am not sure if a normal scanner can receive it since the signal is possibly very weak.

I didn't list much above 1GHz because there wasn't much there that could be received with a normal scanner (many of the things above 1GHz from space are digital anyway).

==========

If you hear anything on the frequencies listed please e-mail me with details, such as frequency, time, location, language, what was said etc. Also, if you have any updates for the list, including modes or frequencies, please e-mail them to me as well and I will add them to the list.

Happy Scanning

-Scott
-Folkestone, Kent, UK

2548. Re: Space Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 11:40:06 UTC
From: Grant Searle <grant2137@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3b6548d5$0$20951$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 62165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Hi Scott

Have you seen

http://www.grove-ent.com/nasa.html

It is the best list that I seen.
However note the copyright warning

Grant Searle
grant2137@optusnet.com.au

Scott Daniells <cabal@cncworld.org.uk> wrote in message
news:tmaf8o3h3t8sad@xo.supernews.co.uk...
> I recently read a message in one of the newsgroups about a new (UHF???)
> antenna being installed on the International Space Station. So, I decided
to
> search the internet for current and old Space - Earth frequencies that
could
> be in use. I have listed all that I can find below. Any updates will be
> appreciated - please e-mail them to me and I will repost the list.
>
> I have added all of the possible ones into my scanner and will be scanning
> most of them when the Space Shuttle and Space Station are overhead.
> Hopefully we can find some new frequencies for the ISS / Shuttle (if there
> are any), and confirm the ones that are listed as ISS frequencies that
> nobody has heard.
>
> The most interesting thing I found was a couple of new frequencies that
are
> apparently in use by the Space Shuttle
> 410.2 - NFM - New UHF Space Shuttle EVA Channel
> 414.2 - NFM - New UHF Space-Space Communication Channel
> They could be useful in your scanner during the next Space Shuttle Mission
>
> I also heard what sounded like Data transfer and Encrypted voice on the
old
> NASA Frq (30.01). I don't know what this is - any ideas?. I also heard a
> phone call on the old Soviet Channel (39.98) here in the UK. Can anybody
> tell me if this has been reassigned, since it is in the middle of a
Military
> band?
>
> ===============
> The frequencies I have found are listed below.

>
> (Frequencies I have heard are marked with a *. If people e-mail me with
> details of anything else they hear on these or other frequencies I will
mark
> them with a *)
>
> HF FREQUENCIES (All Voice is in AM Mode - Other = Unknown mode)
> 8.364 - Morse Code heard during recovery of Russian Space Vehicles
> 9.019 - AM - Used for Vostok 1
> 10.003 - Common Recovery Beacon frequency
> 15.008 - AM - Early Salyut / Soyuz
> 15.016 - AM - Mercury 7 Frequency
> 15.763 - AM - Used for Vostok 2
> 17.365 - AM - Used for the Voskhod missions
> 18.035 - AM - Used for Voskhod / early Soyuz missions
> 18.060 - AM - Old Soyuz frequency / Used During recovery of Russian
> Space Vehicles
> 19.944 - AM - Salyut 3
> 19.974 - Vostok Telemetry
> 19.993 - Luna Telemetry
> 19.995 - Vostok 3 / 4 Beacon & Telemetry
> 20.006 - AM - Vostok 1 - 6
> 20.008 - AM - Old Soyuz frequency / Salyut
> 22.205 - AM - Old Soyuz frequency
> 30.008 - Used during various Soviet manned missions
>
> It is unlikely that there will be much communication on HF frequencies. It
> is possible, however, that 8.364 and 18.06 will be used during the
recovery
> of Russian Space Vehicles.
>
>
> VHF / UHF FREQUENCIES (Mode mentioned if known - UPDATES PLEASE)
> * 30.01 - NFM - Old Nasa Space-Earth Frequency
> 39.98 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> 40.002 - Sputnik 1 / 2
> 40.010 - Sputnik 3
> 60.015 - Explorer 7
> 66.0 - Sputnik 67
> 66.2 - Sputnik 7
> 71.2 - Luna 1
> 83.0 - Old Soviet Space - Earth TV Downlink Frequency
> 89.1 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> 90.023 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> 90.158 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> 90.225 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> 90.378 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> 121.625 - NFM - Old Soyuz Backup Frequency
> 121.7 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> * 121.75 - NFM - Soyuz Primary Downlink

> 126.3 - AM - Listed as the Shuttle on 1 list (unlikely)
> 126.65 - AM - Listed as the Shuttle prior to launch
> * 130.165 - NFM - International Space Station VHF 2 (Also MIR)
> 130.625 - NFM - Was used on MIR
> 132.425 - NFM - Was used for various NASA satellites
> 139.208 - NFM - Old Shuttle/Mir Air/Air frequency used during docking
> 139.3 - NFM - Old Shuttle - Mir Frequency
> 141.3 - NFM - Tone Generator on MIR
> 142.175 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> 142.4 - NFM - Mir / Soyuz Backup Frequency
> 142.417 - NFM - Mir / Soyuz Backup Frequency / ASTP
> 142.6 - NFM - Was used on MIR
> 143.144 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> 143.6 - NFM - Old Shuttle - Mir Frequency
> 143.618 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency / MIR Spacewalks
> * 143.625 - NFM - International Space Station VHF 1 (Also MIR)
> 143.825 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency / Was used on MIR
> 145.55 - NFM - Amateur Downlink from Mir / Space Shuttle SAREX
> * 145.8 - NFM - Amateur / Packet Downlink from International Space
> Station (also MIR)
> 145.812 - Sputnik 41
> 145.84 - NFM - Amateur Downlink from Space Shuttle
> 145.985 - NFM - Was used as a MIR Amateur Downlink for a short period
of
> time
> 149.91 - NFM - Old Soviet Navigation Satellites
> 149.97 - NFM - Polar Bear Satellites
> * 149.98 - NFM - Cosmos Series Satellites
> * 150.0 - NFM - Old Soviet Satellite Navigation Satellte frq - STILL
> IN USE
> * 150.3 - Cosmos 2349
> 151.4 - FM - MIR Beacon
> 165.0 - AM - Soyuz / Progress Telemetry
> * 166.0 - AM - Soyuz / Progress Telemetry
> 166.14 - NFM - MIR Robot / Beacon
> 183.5 - NFM - Old Soviet Frequency (was also used for the "Luna"
> missions)
> 183.512 - Luna 6
> 183.538 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> 183.54 - NFM - Old Soviet Frequency (was also used for the "Luna"
> missions)
> 183.6 - Luna / Mars / Venus Probes
> 192.0 - Old Soyuz Telemetry Channel
> 227.5 - AM - Apollo 6
> 230.4 - Skylab Primary
> 230.9 - AM - Apollo 5
> 231.0 - Reported as the International Space Station Spacewalk Channel
> (Split Frequency??)
> 237.0 - Skylab Secondary
> 237.8 - AM - Apollo 5 / 6

> 240.0 - SRB Recovery Beacon
> 241.5 - AM - Apollo 5
> 242.0 - SRB Recovery Beacon
> 243.0 - AM - Military Distress Frequency (the Space shuttle has the
> capability to talk here)
> 247.0 - Reported as another International Space Station Spacewalk
Channel
> (Split Frequency??)
> 247.3 - AM - Apollo 5
> 249.1 - AM/FM - USAF Communication channel
> 257.3 - AM - Apollo 5
> * 259.7 - AM - Space Shuttle Primary / Apollo 7 - 12
> 260.6 - Has been a downlink frequency for various satellites
> 260.75 - NFM - Satcom
> 260.775 - NFM - Satcom
> 261.625 - NFM - Satcom
> 261.75 - NFM - Launch Support Satellite Net
> 261.8 - NFM - Launch Support Satellite Net
> 261.95 - NFM - Launch Support Satellite Net
> 263.625 - NFM - Launch Support Satellite Net
> 264.8 - AM - Space Shuttle Range Support
> 270.0 - AM - Space Shuttle Backup (not listed on a lot of online
lists,
> but I found it in a lot of books)
> 279.0 - AM - Space Shuttle Backup
> 284.1 - AM - Space Shuttle Range Support
> 291.8 - AM - Space Shuttle Range Support
> 294.6 - AM - Space Shuttle Range Support
> * 296.8 - AM - Space Shuttle Backup / Apollo 7 - 12
> 366.415 - FM - Unidentified user
> 366.4415 - USB - USAF
> 380.963 - Viking 1 / 2
> 399.76 - Cosmos Series
> 399.945 - AM - Primary USN Communication Channel
> 400.1 - Orbcom Beacon
> 401.5 - Earlybird Satellite
> 406.8 - Cosmos 2349
> 408.15 - NFM - Emergency Landing Site Net
> 408.8 - NFM - Emergency Landing Site Net
> 410.2 - NFM - New UHF Space Shuttle EVA Channel
> 414.2 - NFM - New UHF Space-Space Communication Channel
> 416.5 - Space Shuttle (Telemetry????)
> 420.0 - TV Uplink Frequency / Also listed as an ISS Onboard frequency
> 433.75 - MIR Amateur Uplink
> 437.1 - Mars Global Surveyor
> 437.925 - MIR
> 437.95 - MIR Amateur Downlink
> 437.975 - MIR
> 463.0 - Soyuz TV Downlink / Also listed as an ISS Onboard frequency
> 500.0 - AM - NATO Distress Frequency (unknown if space vehicles can

talk
> on this frq)
> 628.0 - AM - ISS Zvezda Module Telemetry
> 630.0 - AM - ISS Zvezda Module Telemetry
> 632.0 - AM - ISS Zarya Module Telemetry
> 634.0 - AM - ISS Zarya Module Telemetry
> 768.96 - Russian Command Uplink
> 919.76 - Soyuz (Unknown use) / ASTP
> 922.0 - Progress Telemetry
> 922.1 - Soyuz Telemetry
> 922.75 - Soyuz Telemetry / Old TV Downlink frequency
> 922.754 - Soyuz / Salyut / Progress Telemetry
> 922.76 - Venera / Mars / Zond / Luna Probe Telemetry
> 922.763 - Soyuz / Progress Telemetry (Also VERY uncommon voice backup
> channel)
> 925.24 - Soyuz (Unknown use)
> 925.3 - Salyut (Unknown use)
> 926.05 - Soyuz (Unknown use)
> 926.06 - Soyuz / Mir / Salyut (Telemetry / Command / Uncommon voice)
> 926.09 - Progress Telemetry
> 926.1 - Soyuz Telemetry
> 928.4 - Was used for the Venera missions and other Soviet Deep Space
> Probes
> 928.429 - Venera 7 Telemetry
> 959.52 - Ranger 6 - 9
> 960.05 - Ranger / Pioneer / Mariner
> 960.1 - Ranger 1 - 4
> 960.58 - Ranger 6 - 9 TV Downlink
> 972.0 - Explorer 29 - 36
> 1250.0 - STS 59 Radar
> 1381.1 - Galileo Probe
> 1667.0 - Vega 1 / 2
> 1831.787 - US Dept of Defense Uplink to Space Shuttle
> 2085.6875 - S Band Uplink to Space Shuttle
> 2106.4 - Command Uplink
> 2119.8 - Space Shuttle - Paylord Link Frequency
> 2217.5 - TDRS Satellite link
> 2250.0 - WFM - Analog Data and Telemetry during Space Shuttle Launch
> 2265.0 - S Band Downlink
> 2287.5 - ISS Zarya Module / Space Shuttle
> 2298.8 - Payload - Space Shuttle Link Frequency
>
> ==========
>
> * = Frequencies that I have heard, or have been heard recently by people
I
> have talked to. On 296.8 I heard an open Channel during one of the ISS
> missions (but no voice)
>
> BANDS:

> The 136-138 band has a lot of Non Geostationary frequencies.
>
> The 149-151 Band has a lot of old Russian Navigation Satellited in (10KHz
> Spacing). I have heard a few and they are beeps / Data transfer.
>
> The 922-928 band is mainly used for Telemetry / Command by the
International
> Space station and Russian Space Vehicles, although the onboard systems
have
> the capability of transmitting voice on this channel. Voice transmissions,
> however, are rarely heard.
>
> The 1535 - 1545 Band (NFM) is used by Inmarsat. This is the satellite used
> by NASA to communicate with the Trans-Atlantic Landing (TAL) Sites in
Europe
> and Africa in the days before the Space Shuttle Launch. I have never heard
> them, but the schedule should be meetings everyday at 13.00GMT in the last
3
> days before the launch, and then the 45 minutes before launch. I am not
sure
> if a normal scanner can receive it since the signal is possibly very weak.
>
>
> I didn't list much above 1GHz because there wasn't much there that could
be
> received with a normal scanner (many of the things above 1GHz from space
are
> digital anyway).
>
> ===============
>
> If you hear anything on the frequencies listed please e-mail me with
> details, such as frequency, time, location, language, what was said etc.
> Also, if you have any updates for the list, including modes or
frequencies,
> please e-mail them to me as well and I will add them to the list.
>
>
> Happy Scanning
>
>
> -Scott
> -Folkestone, Kent, UK
>
>

2549. Re: NYC Area: Continuous Sound on 121.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 12:06:33 UTC
From: Barry <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <3B654DC9.92C018D@snet.net>
Source record: 62166
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

TheF16Falcon wrote:
>
> Now that you mention it, I occasionally heard some rapid bursts of a "whoop"
> sound.

That's an emergency locator beacon. They occasionally go off accidentally on aircraft, I've heard them at airports. Another poster mentioned that it should be reported, and I'll second that. The beacon could have been set off by an actual accident, and your hearing it may help locate the beacon. AFAIK, these units are not routinely tested on the air.

Barry

2550. Re: Space Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 13:42:01 UTC
From: Scott Daniells <cabal@cncworld.org.uk>
Message-ID: <tmap3kfrdns2e7@xo.supernews.co.uk>
Source record: 62167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Yep - I found a few of the frequencies on there, such as the *NEW* Shuttle frequencies. Most of the frequencies can be found on other lists.

-Scott

"Grant Searle" <grant2137@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message news:3b6548d5$0$20951$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au...
> Hi Scott
>
> Have you seen
>
> http://www.grove-ent.com/nasa.html
>
> It is the best list that I seen.
> However note the copyright warning
>
>
> Grant Searle
> grant2137@optusnet.com.au
>
>
>
> Scott Daniells <cabal@cncworld.org.uk> wrote in message
> news:tmaf8o3h3t8sad@xo.supernews.co.uk...
> > I recently read a message in one of the newsgroups about a new (UHF???)
> > antenna being installed on the International Space Station. So, I decided
> to
> > search the internet for current and old Space - Earth frequencies that
> could
> > be in use. I have listed all that I can find below. Any updates will be
> > appreciated - please e-mail them to me and I will repost the list.
> >
> > I have added all of the possible ones into my scanner and will be scanning
> > most of them when the Space Shuttle and Space Station are overhead.
> > Hopefully we can find some new frequencies for the ISS / Shuttle (if there
> > are any), and confirm the ones that are listed as ISS frequencies that
> > nobody has heard.
> >
> > The most interesting thing I found was a couple of new frequencies that
> are
> > apparently in use by the Space Shuttle

> > 410.2 - NFM - New UHF Space Shuttle EVA Channel
> > 414.2 - NFM - New UHF Space-Space Communication Channel
> > They could be useful in your scanner during the next Space Shuttle
Mission
> >
> > I also heard what sounded like Data transfer and Encrypted voice on the
> old
> > NASA Frq (30.01). I don't know what this is - any ideas?. I also heard a
> > phone call on the old Soviet Channel (39.98) here in the UK. Can anybody
> > tell me if this has been reassigned, since it is in the middle of a
> Military
> > band?
> >
> > ===============
> > The frequencies I have found are listed below.
> >
> > (Frequencies I have heard are marked with a *. If people e-mail me with
> > details of anything else they hear on these or other frequencies I will
> mark
> > them with a *)
> >
> > HF FREQUENCIES (All Voice is in AM Mode - Other = Unknown mode)
> > 8.364 - Morse Code heard during recovery of Russian Space Vehicles
> > 9.019 - AM - Used for Vostok 1
> > 10.003 - Common Recovery Beacon frequency
> > 15.008 - AM - Early Salyut / Soyuz
> > 15.016 - AM - Mercury 7 Frequency
> > 15.763 - AM - Used for Vostok 2
> > 17.365 - AM - Used for the Voskhod missions
> > 18.035 - AM - Used for Voskhod / early Soyuz missions
> > 18.060 - AM - Old Soyuz frequency / Used During recovery of Russian
> > Space Vehicles
> > 19.944 - AM - Salyut 3
> > 19.974 - Vostok Telemetry
> > 19.993 - Luna Telemetry
> > 19.995 - Vostok 3 / 4 Beacon & Telemetry
> > 20.006 - AM - Vostok 1 - 6
> > 20.008 - AM - Old Soyuz frequency / Salyut
> > 22.205 - AM - Old Soyuz frequency
> > 30.008 - Used during various Soviet manned missions
> >
> > It is unlikely that there will be much communication on HF frequencies.
It
> > is possible, however, that 8.364 and 18.06 will be used during the
> recovery
> > of Russian Space Vehicles.
> >
> >
> > VHF / UHF FREQUENCIES (Mode mentioned if known - UPDATES PLEASE)
> > * 30.01 - NFM - Old Nasa Space-Earth Frequency

> > 39.98 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> > 40.002 - Sputnik 1 / 2
> > 40.010 - Sputnik 3
> > 60.015 - Explorer 7
> > 66.0 - Sputnik 67
> > 66.2 - Sputnik 7
> > 71.2 - Luna 1
> > 83.0 - Old Soviet Space - Earth TV Downlink Frequency
> > 89.1 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> > 90.023 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> > 90.158 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> > 90.225 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> > 90.378 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> > 121.625 - NFM - Old Soyuz Backup Frequency
> > 121.7 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> > * 121.75 - NFM - Soyuz Primary Downlink
> > 126.3 - AM - Listed as the Shuttle on 1 list (unlikely)
> > 126.65 - AM - Listed as the Shuttle prior to launch
> > * 130.165 - NFM - International Space Station VHF 2 (Also MIR)
> > 130.625 - NFM - Was used on MIR
> > 132.425 - NFM - Was used for various NASA satellites
> > 139.208 - NFM - Old Shuttle/Mir Air/Air frequency used during
docking
> > 139.3 - NFM - Old Shuttle - Mir Frequency
> > 141.3 - NFM - Tone Generator on MIR
> > 142.175 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> > 142.4 - NFM - Mir / Soyuz Backup Frequency
> > 142.417 - NFM - Mir / Soyuz Backup Frequency / ASTP
> > 142.6 - NFM - Was used on MIR
> > 143.144 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> > 143.6 - NFM - Old Shuttle - Mir Frequency
> > 143.618 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency / MIR Spacewalks
> > * 143.625 - NFM - International Space Station VHF 1 (Also MIR)
> > 143.825 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency / Was used on MIR
> > 145.55 - NFM - Amateur Downlink from Mir / Space Shuttle SAREX
> > * 145.8 - NFM - Amateur / Packet Downlink from International Space
> > Station (also MIR)
> > 145.812 - Sputnik 41
> > 145.84 - NFM - Amateur Downlink from Space Shuttle
> > 145.985 - NFM - Was used as a MIR Amateur Downlink for a short
period
> of
> > time
> > 149.91 - NFM - Old Soviet Navigation Satellites
> > 149.97 - NFM - Polar Bear Satellites
> > * 149.98 - NFM - Cosmos Series Satellites
> > * 150.0 - NFM - Old Soviet Satellite Navigation Satellte frq -
STILL
> > IN USE
> > * 150.3 - Cosmos 2349

> > 151.4 - FM - MIR Beacon
> > 165.0 - AM - Soyuz / Progress Telemetry
> > * 166.0 - AM - Soyuz / Progress Telemetry
> > 166.14 - NFM - MIR Robot / Beacon
> > 183.5 - NFM - Old Soviet Frequency (was also used for the "Luna"
> > missions)
> > 183.512 - Luna 6
> > 183.538 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> > 183.54 - NFM - Old Soviet Frequency (was also used for the "Luna"
> > missions)
> > 183.6 - Luna / Mars / Venus Probes
> > 192.0 - Old Soyuz Telemetry Channel
> > 227.5 - AM - Apollo 6
> > 230.4 - Skylab Primary
> > 230.9 - AM - Apollo 5
> > 231.0 - Reported as the International Space Station Spacewalk Channel
> > (Split Frequency??)
> > 237.0 - Skylab Secondary
> > 237.8 - AM - Apollo 5 / 6
> > 240.0 - SRB Recovery Beacon
> > 241.5 - AM - Apollo 5
> > 242.0 - SRB Recovery Beacon
> > 243.0 - AM - Military Distress Frequency (the Space shuttle has the
> > capability to talk here)
> > 247.0 - Reported as another International Space Station Spacewalk
> Channel
> > (Split Frequency??)
> > 247.3 - AM - Apollo 5
> > 249.1 - AM/FM - USAF Communication channel
> > 257.3 - AM - Apollo 5
> > * 259.7 - AM - Space Shuttle Primary / Apollo 7 - 12
> > 260.6 - Has been a downlink frequency for various satellites
> > 260.75 - NFM - Satcom
> > 260.775 - NFM - Satcom
> > 261.625 - NFM - Satcom
> > 261.75 - NFM - Launch Support Satellite Net
> > 261.8 - NFM - Launch Support Satellite Net
> > 261.95 - NFM - Launch Support Satellite Net
> > 263.625 - NFM - Launch Support Satellite Net
> > 264.8 - AM - Space Shuttle Range Support
> > 270.0 - AM - Space Shuttle Backup (not listed on a lot of online
> lists,
> > but I found it in a lot of books)
> > 279.0 - AM - Space Shuttle Backup
> > 284.1 - AM - Space Shuttle Range Support
> > 291.8 - AM - Space Shuttle Range Support
> > 294.6 - AM - Space Shuttle Range Support
> > * 296.8 - AM - Space Shuttle Backup / Apollo 7 - 12
> > 366.415 - FM - Unidentified user
> > 366.4415 - USB - USAF

> > 380.963 - Viking 1 / 2
> > 399.76 - Cosmos Series
> > 399.945 - AM - Primary USN Communication Channel
> > 400.1 - Orbcom Beacon
> > 401.5 - Earlybird Satellite
> > 406.8 - Cosmos 2349
> > 408.15 - NFM - Emergency Landing Site Net
> > 408.8 - NFM - Emergency Landing Site Net
> > 410.2 - NFM - New UHF Space Shuttle EVA Channel
> > 414.2 - NFM - New UHF Space-Space Communication Channel
> > 416.5 - Space Shuttle (Telemetry????)
> > 420.0 - TV Uplink Frequency / Also listed as an ISS Onboard frequency
> > 433.75 - MIR Amateur Uplink
> > 437.1 - Mars Global Surveyor
> > 437.925 - MIR
> > 437.95 - MIR Amateur Downlink
> > 437.975 - MIR
> > 463.0 - Soyuz TV Downlink / Also listed as an ISS Onboard frequency
> > 500.0 - AM - NATO Distress Frequency (unknown if space vehicles can
> talk
> > on this frq)
> > 628.0 - AM - ISS Zvezda Module Telemetry
> > 630.0 - AM - ISS Zvezda Module Telemetry
> > 632.0 - AM - ISS Zarya Module Telemetry
> > 634.0 - AM - ISS Zarya Module Telemetry
> > 768.96 - Russian Command Uplink
> > 919.76 - Soyuz (Unknown use) / ASTP
> > 922.0 - Progress Telemetry
> > 922.1 - Soyuz Telemetry
> > 922.75 - Soyuz Telemetry / Old TV Downlink frequency
> > 922.754 - Soyuz / Salyut / Progress Telemetry
> > 922.76 - Venera / Mars / Zond / Luna Probe Telemetry
> > 922.763 - Soyuz / Progress Telemetry (Also VERY uncommon voice backup
> > channel)
> > 925.24 - Soyuz (Unknown use)
> > 925.3 - Salyut (Unknown use)
> > 926.05 - Soyuz (Unknown use)
> > 926.06 - Soyuz / Mir / Salyut (Telemetry / Command / Uncommon voice)
> > 926.09 - Progress Telemetry
> > 926.1 - Soyuz Telemetry
> > 928.4 - Was used for the Venera missions and other Soviet Deep Space
> > Probes
> > 928.429 - Venera 7 Telemetry
> > 959.52 - Ranger 6 - 9
> > 960.05 - Ranger / Pioneer / Mariner
> > 960.1 - Ranger 1 - 4
> > 960.58 - Ranger 6 - 9 TV Downlink
> > 972.0 - Explorer 29 - 36
> > 1250.0 - STS 59 Radar
> > 1381.1 - Galileo Probe

> > 1667.0 - Vega 1 / 2
> > 1831.787 - US Dept of Defense Uplink to Space Shuttle
> > 2085.6875 - S Band Uplink to Space Shuttle
> > 2106.4 - Command Uplink
> > 2119.8 - Space Shuttle - Paylord Link Frequency
> > 2217.5 - TDRS Satellite link
> > 2250.0 - WFM - Analog Data and Telemetry during Space Shuttle Launch
> > 2265.0 - S Band Downlink
> > 2287.5 - ISS Zarya Module / Space Shuttle
> > 2298.8 - Payload - Space Shuttle Link Frequency
> >
> > ==========
> >
> > * = Frequencies that I have heard, or have been heard recently by
people
> I
> > have talked to. On 296.8 I heard an open Channel during one of the ISS
> > missions (but no voice)
> >
> > BANDS:
> > The 136-138 band has a lot of Non Geostationary frequencies.
> >
> > The 149-151 Band has a lot of old Russian Navigation Satellited in
(10KHz
> > Spacing). I have heard a few and they are beeps / Data transfer.
> >
> > The 922-928 band is mainly used for Telemetry / Command by the
> International
> > Space station and Russian Space Vehicles, although the onboard systems
> have
> > the capability of transmitting voice on this channel. Voice
transmissions,
> > however, are rarely heard.
> >
> > The 1535 - 1545 Band (NFM) is used by Inmarsat. This is the satellite
used
> > by NASA to communicate with the Trans-Atlantic Landing (TAL) Sites in
> Europe
> > and Africa in the days before the Space Shuttle Launch. I have never
heard
> > them, but the schedule should be meetings everyday at 13.00GMT in the
last
> 3
> > days before the launch, and then the 45 minutes before launch. I am not
> sure
> > if a normal scanner can receive it since the signal is possibly very
weak.
> >
> >
> > I didn't list much above 1GHz because there wasn't much there that could

> be
> > received with a normal scanner (many of the things above 1GHz from space
> are
> > digital anyway).
> >
> > ===============
> >
> > If you hear anything on the frequencies listed please e-mail me with
> > details, such as frequency, time, location, language, what was said etc.
> > Also, if you have any updates for the list, including modes or
> frequencies,
> > please e-mail them to me as well and I will add them to the list.
> >
> >
> > Happy Scanning
> >
> >
> > -Scott
> > -Folkestone, Kent, UK
> >
> >
>
>

2551. Re: In the Loop at Last, or,...ThankYou George W.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 13:44:12 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <Mwd97.608$7d.117926@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 62168

The same toilets that you have to flush 2x anyways after taking a good post-holiday (or other large meal) shit. Kinda defeats the purpose eh??? :)

Ryan

> Thanks again to our esteemed legislators for NOTHING ! (The same
> dill weeds that blocked the cell band and gave us those useless
> low-flow toilets.)

2552. R7000 Info Requested

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 13:58:48 UTC
From: Rick Prelinger <footage@panix.com>
Message-ID: <9k3p6nsnl1@news.panix.com>
Source record: 62169

Would anyone who has an R7000 service manual be kind enough to let me know the wideband FM discriminator tap point? I have documentation on the narrow FM point, but would like the wideband one.

With many thanks,
Rick Prelinger
footage@panix.com

2553. Re: Space Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 14:11:23 UTC
From: Scott Daniells <cabal@cncworld.org.uk>
Message-ID: <tmaqqm2ss3h343@xo.supernews.co.uk>
Source record: 62170
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner

Oh, and if anybody is interested in joining the ISS / Shuttle monitoring group just e-mail iss_shuttle-subscribe@yahoogroups.com .

-Scott

"Scott Daniells" <cabal@cncworld.org.uk> wrote in message news:tmap3kfrdns2e7@xo.supernews.co.uk...
> Yep - I found a few of the frequencies on there, such as the *NEW* Shuttle
> frequencies. Most of the frequencies can be found on other lists.
>
> -Scott
>
>
> "Grant Searle" <grant2137@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
> news:3b6548d5$0$20951$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au...
> > Hi Scott
> >
> > Have you seen
> >
> > http://www.grove-ent.com/nasa.html
> >
> > It is the best list that I seen.
> > However note the copyright warning
> >
> >
> > Grant Searle
> > grant2137@optusnet.com.au
> >
> >
> >
> > Scott Daniells <cabal@cncworld.org.uk> wrote in message
> > news:tmaf8o3h3t8sad@xo.supernews.co.uk...
> > > I recently read a message in one of the newsgroups about a new (UHF???)
> > > antenna being installed on the International Space Station. So, I
> decided
> > to
> > > search the internet for current and old Space - Earth frequencies that
> > could
> > > be in use. I have listed all that I can find below. Any updates will
be
> > > appreciated - please e-mail them to me and I will repost the list.
> > >
> > > I have added all of the possible ones into my scanner and will be

> scanning
> > > most of them when the Space Shuttle and Space Station are overhead.
> > > Hopefully we can find some new frequencies for the ISS / Shuttle (if
> there
> > > are any), and confirm the ones that are listed as ISS frequencies that
> > > nobody has heard.
> > >
> > > The most interesting thing I found was a couple of new frequencies
that
> > are
> > > apparently in use by the Space Shuttle
> > > 410.2 - NFM - New UHF Space Shuttle EVA Channel
> > > 414.2 - NFM - New UHF Space-Space Communication Channel
> > > They could be useful in your scanner during the next Space Shuttle
> Mission
> > >
> > > I also heard what sounded like Data transfer and Encrypted voice on
the
> > old
> > > NASA Frq (30.01). I don't know what this is - any ideas?. I also heard
a
> > > phone call on the old Soviet Channel (39.98) here in the UK. Can
anybody
> > > tell me if this has been reassigned, since it is in the middle of a
> > Military
> > > band?
> > >
> > > ===============
> > > The frequencies I have found are listed below.
> > >
> > > (Frequencies I have heard are marked with a *. If people e-mail me
with
> > > details of anything else they hear on these or other frequencies I
will
> > mark
> > > them with a *)
> > >
> > > HF FREQUENCIES (All Voice is in AM Mode - Other = Unknown mode)
> > > 8.364 - Morse Code heard during recovery of Russian Space Vehicles
> > > 9.019 - AM - Used for Vostok 1
> > > 10.003 - Common Recovery Beacon frequency
> > > 15.008 - AM - Early Salyut / Soyuz
> > > 15.016 - AM - Mercury 7 Frequency
> > > 15.763 - AM - Used for Vostok 2
> > > 17.365 - AM - Used for the Voskhod missions
> > > 18.035 - AM - Used for Voskhod / early Soyuz missions
> > > 18.060 - AM - Old Soyuz frequency / Used During recovery of
Russian
> > > Space Vehicles
> > > 19.944 - AM - Salyut 3

> > > 19.974 - Vostok Telemetry
> > > 19.993 - Luna Telemetry
> > > 19.995 - Vostok 3 / 4 Beacon & Telemetry
> > > 20.006 - AM - Vostok 1 - 6
> > > 20.008 - AM - Old Soyuz frequency / Salyut
> > > 22.205 - AM - Old Soyuz frequency
> > > 30.008 - Used during various Soviet manned missions
> > >
> > > It is unlikely that there will be much communication on HF
frequencies.
> It
> > > is possible, however, that 8.364 and 18.06 will be used during the
> > recovery
> > > of Russian Space Vehicles.
> > >
> > >
> > > VHF / UHF FREQUENCIES (Mode mentioned if known - UPDATES PLEASE)
> > > * 30.01 - NFM - Old Nasa Space-Earth Frequency
> > > 39.98 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> > > 40.002 - Sputnik 1 / 2
> > > 40.010 - Sputnik 3
> > > 60.015 - Explorer 7
> > > 66.0 - Sputnik 67
> > > 66.2 - Sputnik 7
> > > 71.2 - Luna 1
> > > 83.0 - Old Soviet Space - Earth TV Downlink Frequency
> > > 89.1 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> > > 90.023 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> > > 90.158 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> > > 90.225 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> > > 90.378 - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> > > 121.625 - NFM - Old Soyuz Backup Frequency
> > > 121.7 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> > > * 121.75 - NFM - Soyuz Primary Downlink
> > > 126.3 - AM - Listed as the Shuttle on 1 list (unlikely)
> > > 126.65 - AM - Listed as the Shuttle prior to launch
> > > * 130.165 - NFM - International Space Station VHF 2 (Also MIR)
> > > 130.625 - NFM - Was used on MIR
> > > 132.425 - NFM - Was used for various NASA satellites
> > > 139.208 - NFM - Old Shuttle/Mir Air/Air frequency used during
> docking
> > > 139.3 - NFM - Old Shuttle - Mir Frequency
> > > 141.3 - NFM - Tone Generator on MIR
> > > 142.175 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> > > 142.4 - NFM - Mir / Soyuz Backup Frequency
> > > 142.417 - NFM - Mir / Soyuz Backup Frequency / ASTP
> > > 142.6 - NFM - Was used on MIR
> > > 143.144 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> > > 143.6 - NFM - Old Shuttle - Mir Frequency
> > > 143.618 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency / MIR Spacewalks

> > > * 143.625 - NFM - International Space Station VHF 1 (Also MIR)
> > > 143.825 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency / Was used on
MIR
> > > 145.55 - NFM - Amateur Downlink from Mir / Space Shuttle SAREX
> > > * 145.8 - NFM - Amateur / Packet Downlink from International Space
> > > Station (also MIR)
> > > 145.812 - Sputnik 41
> > > 145.84 - NFM - Amateur Downlink from Space Shuttle
> > > 145.985 - NFM - Was used as a MIR Amateur Downlink for a short
> period
> > of
> > > time
> > > 149.91 - NFM - Old Soviet Navigation Satellites
> > > 149.97 - NFM - Polar Bear Satellites
> > > * 149.98 - NFM - Cosmos Series Satellites
> > > * 150.0 - NFM - Old Soviet Satellite Navigation Satellte frq -
> STILL
> > > IN USE
> > > * 150.3 - Cosmos 2349
> > > 151.4 - FM - MIR Beacon
> > > 165.0 - AM - Soyuz / Progress Telemetry
> > > * 166.0 - AM - Soyuz / Progress Telemetry
> > > 166.14 - NFM - MIR Robot / Beacon
> > > 183.5 - NFM - Old Soviet Frequency (was also used for the "Luna"
> > > missions)
> > > 183.512 - Luna 6
> > > 183.538 - NFM - Soviet Manned Mission Frequency
> > > 183.54 - NFM - Old Soviet Frequency (was also used for the "Luna"
> > > missions)
> > > 183.6 - Luna / Mars / Venus Probes
> > > 192.0 - Old Soyuz Telemetry Channel
> > > 227.5 - AM - Apollo 6
> > > 230.4 - Skylab Primary
> > > 230.9 - AM - Apollo 5
> > > 231.0 - Reported as the International Space Station Spacewalk
Channel
> > > (Split Frequency??)
> > > 237.0 - Skylab Secondary
> > > 237.8 - AM - Apollo 5 / 6
> > > 240.0 - SRB Recovery Beacon
> > > 241.5 - AM - Apollo 5
> > > 242.0 - SRB Recovery Beacon
> > > 243.0 - AM - Military Distress Frequency (the Space shuttle has
the
> > > capability to talk here)
> > > 247.0 - Reported as another International Space Station Spacewalk
> > Channel
> > > (Split Frequency??)
> > > 247.3 - AM - Apollo 5
> > > 249.1 - AM/FM - USAF Communication channel

> > > 257.3 - AM - Apollo 5
> > > * 259.7 - AM - Space Shuttle Primary / Apollo 7 - 12
> > > 260.6 - Has been a downlink frequency for various satellites
> > > 260.75 - NFM - Satcom
> > > 260.775 - NFM - Satcom
> > > 261.625 - NFM - Satcom
> > > 261.75 - NFM - Launch Support Satellite Net
> > > 261.8 - NFM - Launch Support Satellite Net
> > > 261.95 - NFM - Launch Support Satellite Net
> > > 263.625 - NFM - Launch Support Satellite Net
> > > 264.8 - AM - Space Shuttle Range Support
> > > 270.0 - AM - Space Shuttle Backup (not listed on a lot of online
> > lists,
> > > but I found it in a lot of books)
> > > 279.0 - AM - Space Shuttle Backup
> > > 284.1 - AM - Space Shuttle Range Support
> > > 291.8 - AM - Space Shuttle Range Support
> > > 294.6 - AM - Space Shuttle Range Support
> > > * 296.8 - AM - Space Shuttle Backup / Apollo 7 - 12
> > > 366.415 - FM - Unidentified user
> > > 366.4415 - USB - USAF
> > > 380.963 - Viking 1 / 2
> > > 399.76 - Cosmos Series
> > > 399.945 - AM - Primary USN Communication Channel
> > > 400.1 - Orbcom Beacon
> > > 401.5 - Earlybird Satellite
> > > 406.8 - Cosmos 2349
> > > 408.15 - NFM - Emergency Landing Site Net
> > > 408.8 - NFM - Emergency Landing Site Net
> > > 410.2 - NFM - New UHF Space Shuttle EVA Channel
> > > 414.2 - NFM - New UHF Space-Space Communication Channel
> > > 416.5 - Space Shuttle (Telemetry????)
> > > 420.0 - TV Uplink Frequency / Also listed as an ISS Onboard
frequency
> > > 433.75 - MIR Amateur Uplink
> > > 437.1 - Mars Global Surveyor
> > > 437.925 - MIR
> > > 437.95 - MIR Amateur Downlink
> > > 437.975 - MIR
> > > 463.0 - Soyuz TV Downlink / Also listed as an ISS Onboard
frequency
> > > 500.0 - AM - NATO Distress Frequency (unknown if space vehicles
can
> > talk
> > > on this frq)
> > > 628.0 - AM - ISS Zvezda Module Telemetry
> > > 630.0 - AM - ISS Zvezda Module Telemetry
> > > 632.0 - AM - ISS Zarya Module Telemetry
> > > 634.0 - AM - ISS Zarya Module Telemetry
> > > 768.96 - Russian Command Uplink

> > > 919.76 - Soyuz (Unknown use) / ASTP
> > > 922.0 - Progress Telemetry
> > > 922.1 - Soyuz Telemetry
> > > 922.75 - Soyuz Telemetry / Old TV Downlink frequency
> > > 922.754 - Soyuz / Salyut / Progress Telemetry
> > > 922.76 - Venera / Mars / Zond / Luna Probe Telemetry
> > > 922.763 - Soyuz / Progress Telemetry (Also VERY uncommon voice
backup
> > > channel)
> > > 925.24 - Soyuz (Unknown use)
> > > 925.3 - Salyut (Unknown use)
> > > 926.05 - Soyuz (Unknown use)
> > > 926.06 - Soyuz / Mir / Salyut (Telemetry / Command / Uncommon voice)
> > > 926.09 - Progress Telemetry
> > > 926.1 - Soyuz Telemetry
> > > 928.4 - Was used for the Venera missions and other Soviet Deep Space
> > > Probes
> > > 928.429 - Venera 7 Telemetry
> > > 959.52 - Ranger 6 - 9
> > > 960.05 - Ranger / Pioneer / Mariner
> > > 960.1 - Ranger 1 - 4
> > > 960.58 - Ranger 6 - 9 TV Downlink
> > > 972.0 - Explorer 29 - 36
> > > 1250.0 - STS 59 Radar
> > > 1381.1 - Galileo Probe
> > > 1667.0 - Vega 1 / 2
> > > 1831.787 - US Dept of Defense Uplink to Space Shuttle
> > > 2085.6875 - S Band Uplink to Space Shuttle
> > > 2106.4 - Command Uplink
> > > 2119.8 - Space Shuttle - Paylord Link Frequency
> > > 2217.5 - TDRS Satellite link
> > > 2250.0 - WFM - Analog Data and Telemetry during Space Shuttle
Launch
> > > 2265.0 - S Band Downlink
> > > 2287.5 - ISS Zarya Module / Space Shuttle
> > > 2298.8 - Payload - Space Shuttle Link Frequency
> > >
> > > ==========
> > >
> > > * = Frequencies that I have heard, or have been heard recently by
> people
> > I
> > > have talked to. On 296.8 I heard an open Channel during one of the ISS
> > > missions (but no voice)
> > >
> > > BANDS:
> > > The 136-138 band has a lot of Non Geostationary frequencies.
> > >
> > > The 149-151 Band has a lot of old Russian Navigation Satellited in
> (10KHz

> > > Spacing). I have heard a few and they are beeps / Data transfer.
> > >
> > > The 922-928 band is mainly used for Telemetry / Command by the
> > International
> > > Space station and Russian Space Vehicles, although the onboard systems
> > have
> > > the capability of transmitting voice on this channel. Voice
> transmissions,
> > > however, are rarely heard.
> > >
> > > The 1535 - 1545 Band (NFM) is used by Inmarsat. This is the satellite
> used
> > > by NASA to communicate with the Trans-Atlantic Landing (TAL) Sites in
> > Europe
> > > and Africa in the days before the Space Shuttle Launch. I have never
> heard
> > > them, but the schedule should be meetings everyday at 13.00GMT in the
> last
> > 3
> > > days before the launch, and then the 45 minutes before launch. I am
not
> > sure
> > > if a normal scanner can receive it since the signal is possibly very
> weak.
> > >
> > >
> > > I didn't list much above 1GHz because there wasn't much there that
could
> > be
> > > received with a normal scanner (many of the things above 1GHz from
space
> > are
> > > digital anyway).
> > >
> > > ===============
> > >
> > > If you hear anything on the frequencies listed please e-mail me with
> > > details, such as frequency, time, location, language, what was said
etc.
> > > Also, if you have any updates for the list, including modes or
> > frequencies,
> > > please e-mail them to me as well and I will add them to the list.
> > >
> > >
> > > Happy Scanning
> > >
> > >
> > > -Scott
> > > -Folkestone, Kent, UK
> > >

> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2554. Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 15:41:11 UTC
From: Paul Blevins <paul.blevins@networktelephone.net>
Message-ID: <tmb00p25iemj27@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62171

I have a discone atenna mounted on a 10 foot mast. Right now the mast is leaning against the house. How much difference will I hear if I put the mast and antenna on the eve of my house? It would be about 30 or 40 feet in the air then. Just wondering if it is worth the trouble.

Thanks,

PB

2555. Re: Is software for PRO-2067 downloadable on line?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 15:43:51 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B6580B7.555F2DEA@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62172

Check at www.pro-92.com

LEADENWAH wrote:
>
> I'm looking for the programming software for the PRO-2067.
>
> I wondered if it was available for download on line.
>
> Many thanks,
>
> Geo.

2556. Re-sale value of PRO-51 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 15:50:09 UTC
From: LBeecroft <lbeecroft8041@home.com>
Message-ID: <Rmf97.3506$sf2.1108855@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 62173

Hi!,

What's the re-sale vaule of R.S. Realistic PRO-51 Scanner?.

As this scanner has aircraft band, marine band, and weather band.

My friend offered me $150.00 for the one I have, Should
I go for this offer?. Or should I sell it for less?.

If anyone has a suggestion for me please let me know.

Thank-You

2557. Re: FS Pro 2022 200 channel scanner w/cell !!! $92.00 incl.shipping...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 17:13:31 UTC
From: j5375@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22326-3B6595BB-142@storefull-236.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62180

WOULD LIKE TO BUY , GET BACK WITH ME ON IT IF YOU STILL HAVE IT.(
W/CELL) ?

2558. Re: Scanning 867MHz with IC-R7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 17:22:13 UTC
From: j5375@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22326-3B6597C5-143@storefull-236.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62175

IT MITE BE YOUR ANTENA S TO LONG FOR 800

2559. Re: Auto Racing Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 17:23:35 UTC
From: j5375@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22326-3B659817-144@storefull-236.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62179

TRY RAIDO SHACK !

2560. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 17:28:38 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aPg97.44358$UH6.7183563@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 62174

The difference should be HUGE. Depending on how hard it is for you to put
it up there, I'd say it's definitely worth it.
- Doug

"Paul Blevins" <paul.blevins@networktelephone.net> wrote in message
news:tmb00p25iemj27@corp.supernews.com...
> I have a discone atenna mounted on a 10 foot mast. Right now the mast is
> leaning against the house. How much difference will I hear if I put the
> mast and antenna on the eve of my house? It would be about 30 or 40 feet
in
> the air then. Just wondering if it is worth the trouble.
>
> Thanks,
>
> PB
>
>

2561. Re: How do On-Glass cell antennas work for monitoring 800 trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 17:29:37 UTC
From: j5375@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22326-3B659981-146@storefull-236.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62177

IT WILL WORK GREAT ON LOWER BANDS , BUT NOT SO GOOD ON 800
UNLESS YOU CUT IT TO SIZE AROUND 6 INCHES

2562. Re: Uniden BC 278 CLT Please help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 17:33:09 UTC
From: j5375@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22326-3B659A55-147@storefull-236.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62176

WHAT SCANNER????????

2563. Re: req: new jersey freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 17:39:03 UTC
From: j5375@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22326-3B659BB7-148@storefull-236.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62178

TRY INDYSCAN.COM

2564. Re: A Dumb Question, I'm Sure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 17:43:13 UTC
From: j5375@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22326-3B659CB1-150@storefull-236.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62181

I SAY FM, BUT IT COULD BE AM TOO. TRY FM!

2565. Re: FS or FT: Drake SW8

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 18:12:49 UTC
From: j5375@webtv.net
Message-ID: <22326-3B65A3A1-152@storefull-236.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62182

WHAT ARE YOU ASKING FOR THE BEARCAT UBC 3000 XLT (U.B.)??

2566. Ohio Help Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 19:08:02 UTC
From: ScanOhio <scanohio@scanohio.com>
Message-ID: <3b65af1d_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 62183

ScanOhio.com currently has several openings available for Associate Webmasters!

These are voluntary positions where you are provided a county-level web page that you can update and maintain 24 hours a day! You also receive a free scanohio.com email address with web-based access!

Log on to ScanOhio today and see if the Associate Webmaster position is available for your county!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2567. Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 19:27:47 UTC
From: Paul Blevins <paul.blevins@networktelephone.net>
Message-ID: <tmbd9diks1ai97@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62184
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have a discone atenna mounted on a 10 foot mast. Right now the mast is leaning against the house. How much difference will I hear if I put the mast and antenna on the eve of my house? It would be about 30 or 40 feet in the air then. Just wondering if it is worth the trouble.

Thanks,

PB

2568. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 19:35:49 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <pGi97.44462$UH6.7290338@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 62185

Well, if it's leaning, that tells me that it may "go", and you never know where. Forty feet would make a HUGE difference - just use good, low-loss coax and weatherproof the connection atop.

Good luck!

Paul W., KC2FNC
NJ

"Paul Blevins" <paul.blevins@networktelephone.net> wrote in message news:tmb00p25iemj27@corp.supernews.com...
> I have a discone atenna mounted on a 10 foot mast. Right now the mast is
> leaning against the house. How much difference will I hear if I put the
> mast and antenna on the eve of my house? It would be about 30 or 40 feet
in
> the air then. Just wondering if it is worth the trouble.
>
> Thanks,
>
> PB
>
>

2569. Re: Icom R10 Opinions.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 19:49:10 UTC
From: John KB5AG <jblack3@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <WSi97.54845$TM5.5944381@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 62186

I would recommend the VR500 if you want something small. I have been happy
with mine and haven't had 800mhz problems.
The VR500 is better at HF than most of the others I've had. The R2 has
better audio.
The VR500's stock antenna is deaf. A telescopic antenna works wonders.

"Vic Martinez" <t1000@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:22759-3B64ECA9-116@storefull-155.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Be wary of that VR500 recommendation, the review at 'strong signals' web
> site sez it's got lousy 800mhz 'filtering', too much interference at
> that range, otherwise the review was generally favorable. I'd go with
> the 'established' R-10 anyway.
>

2570. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 20:36:35 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15cf64cdba2b93069896cb@news>
Source record: 62187
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <tmbd9diks1ai97@corp.supernews.com>,
paul.blevins@networktelephone.net says...
> I have a discone atenna mounted on a 10 foot mast. Right now the mast is
> leaning against the house. How much difference will I hear if I put the
> mast and antenna on the eve of my house? It would be about 30 or 40 feet in
> the air then. Just wondering if it is worth the trouble.
>

Depends on where you live and what you want to hear. Usually higher is
better because these signals depend on the line of sight to travel. If
you are hearing all you want to hear now, why bother? If there are
stations that you hear that are marginal in reception, go for it, most
likely it will help. 30 feet WILL make a difference, the amount
depends on you surroundings.

Make sure you are using GOOD quality coax. RG-6 is good and my
favorite is LMR-400. Don't use rg-59 or 58 or even rg-8, all big time
losers at UHF/VHF.

Glenn

2571. Re: NYC Area: Continuous Sound on 121.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 20:36:41 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <tzj97.23613$I5.4899421@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 62188
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I turned on my scanner this morning, and the sound stopped. I did some Google searching on ELTs, and found that they only transmit continuously for approx. 48 hours. I had no clue what was happening, but now that I know, I'll contact the proper authorities. Thanks for all your responses guys.

2572. What's NCIC? (Salt Lake / Utah County)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 20:49:59 UTC
From: bhall7@email.com
Message-ID: <dc0c38eb.0107301249.6e7e7e6f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62189

Hello,

I monitor trunked systems in Salt Lake and Utah Counties. I often hear the police dispatcher reporting "Negative N.C.I.C." when giving information on an individual (probably results of a drivers' license search). I just heard the dispatcher say, "The N.C.I.C. is down, I'll have to get back to you..."

What is NCIC? I'm guessing it is some type of database access that allows for easy search of vehicle registration, drivers license data, and/or suspect or arrest warrant status.

I also welcome any other scanner enthusiasts from these counties to share information/freqs.

Later...

-BHall

2573. Re: NYC Area: Continuous Sound on 121.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 21:10:18 UTC
From: Paul Blevins <paul.blevins@networktelephone.net>
Message-ID: <tmbj9leiuo58a8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62190
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I think they would have had someone monitoring that freq. I sure hope they
don't rely on "Scanner Hobbyist" to find ELT tones.
LOL

PB

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
news:qa397.25298$A47.14855819@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> You should report this to the authorities as it may be a real distress
> signal. Any transmission on the frequency should be taken very seriously.
>
> -
> "Jim Foros" <Jimfor@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:7g197.36616$UH6.5966879@news02.optonline.net...
> > It's almost certainly an activated E.L.T. (emergency locator
transmitter)
> > from a boat or an aircraft. I would expect some kind of tone or coded
> > signal, however
> > "TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:hd%87.45495$16.6289917@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> > > Hi all. I live in the NYC area, and just a few days ago I began
hearing
> a
> > > continuous transmission on 121.5, the aeronautical emergency freq.
> There's
> > > no voice or anything, just static. It's not a birdie, because I never
> > heard
> > > anything on it up until a few days ago. Any ideas?
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2574. Re: What's NCIC? (Salt Lake / Utah County)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 21:15:41 UTC
From: Paul Blevins <paul.blevins@networktelephone.net>
Message-ID: <tmbjjnsikemm1d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62191

National Instant Criminal Background Check System

NCIC

"BHall" <bhall7@email.com> wrote in message
news:dc0c38eb.0107301249.6e7e7e6f@posting.google.com...
> Hello,
>
> I monitor trunked systems in Salt Lake and Utah Counties. I often
> hear the police dispatcher reporting "Negative N.C.I.C." when giving
> information on an individual (probably results of a drivers' license
> search). I just heard the dispatcher say, "The N.C.I.C. is down, I'll
> have to get back to you..."
>
> What is NCIC? I'm guessing it is some type of database access that
> allows for easy search of vehicle registration, drivers license data,
> and/or suspect or arrest warrant status.
>
> I also welcome any other scanner enthusiasts from these counties to
> share information/freqs.
>
> Later...
>
> -BHall

2575. Re: What's NCIC? (Salt Lake / Utah County)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 21:16:31 UTC
From: Paul Blevins <paul.blevins@networktelephone.net>
Message-ID: <tmbjla59dgik2f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62192

ALSO

IT PROBABLY IS
National Crime Information Center.

"BHall" <bhall7@email.com> wrote in message
news:dc0c38eb.0107301249.6e7e7e6f@posting.google.com...
> Hello,
>
> I monitor trunked systems in Salt Lake and Utah Counties. I often
> hear the police dispatcher reporting "Negative N.C.I.C." when giving
> information on an individual (probably results of a drivers' license
> search). I just heard the dispatcher say, "The N.C.I.C. is down, I'll
> have to get back to you..."
>
> What is NCIC? I'm guessing it is some type of database access that
> allows for easy search of vehicle registration, drivers license data,
> and/or suspect or arrest warrant status.
>
> I also welcome any other scanner enthusiasts from these counties to
> share information/freqs.
>
> Later...
>
> -BHall

2576. Re: Uniden BC 278 CLT Please help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 21:28:15 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B65D16E.BD690577@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62193

Duh! Read the title.

"Re: Uniden BC 278 CLT Please help."

JAMES ODELL wrote:
>
> WHAT SCANNER????????

2577. Re: What's NCIC? (Salt Lake / Utah County)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 21:39:41 UTC
From: Chris Emerson <emmy412@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <xuk97.8785$0w3.1341167@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62194

Police Officer's typically "run" subjects NCIC. This is a national database of wanted subjects. Example, if you murder someone in CA and are stopped in FL, the officer will be advised by the dispatcher that their is an active warrant out for you.

This is only for serious crimes because police department or state Attorney Generals don't normally extradite for minor crimes (disorderly conduct, etc..). Thgese types of crimes are put into a state warrant file. Dispatcher's run subjects both NCIC and "local" (in-state).

Chris
"BHall" <bhall7@email.com> wrote in message
news:dc0c38eb.0107301249.6e7e7e6f@posting.google.com...
> Hello,
>
> I monitor trunked systems in Salt Lake and Utah Counties. I often
> hear the police dispatcher reporting "Negative N.C.I.C." when giving
> information on an individual (probably results of a drivers' license
> search). I just heard the dispatcher say, "The N.C.I.C. is down, I'll
> have to get back to you..."
>
> What is NCIC? I'm guessing it is some type of database access that
> allows for easy search of vehicle registration, drivers license data,
> and/or suspect or arrest warrant status.
>
> I also welcome any other scanner enthusiasts from these counties to
> share information/freqs.
>
> Later...
>
> -BHall
>

2578. Re: Question about the BC895XLT scanner.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 21:40:08 UTC
From: Sandlapper <bbutler@home.com>
Message-ID: <Yuk97.5493$k7.1843077@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 62195

Thanks Guys,

Pays to ask.

Sandlapper

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:59h9mtojsm600pbld3nve6rafejkh8qeth@4ax.com...
> "Sandlapper" <bbutler@home.com> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
> >Is there a way (short of removing the internal battery) to clear all
banks,
> >programmed frequencies, searches, etc. so I can start over in a new
> >geographical location with a clean slate?
>
> Hold down the 2, 9, and manual keys while turning the radio on.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2579. Re: ECHELON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 22:35:34 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <tmbo884hj79iaa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62196

"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3b60d6d6.938287@news.erols.com...
>
> Hhmmm,.....
>
>
> You know there's room for comment here regarding ECHELON.
> Right off the bat I could ask how the NSA's "listening-in" on everybody
> is all that different from what we do as a hobby -- that is, listening in
on
> everybody in the clear betw'n 530kHz. and 2.0+ gHz. The NSA is bad?

Living in a little dream world . NSA isnt just monitoring the same stuff we
do . they are monitoring your phone calls , your e-mail , your internet
activiteies , and many more things that it is supposed to be illegal for you
and me to do !

> Why? Because e-mails are somehow more sacred than cell-phones??
> Seems rather hypocritical.
>
> I do agree that private comms. are, or should be considered, more
> inviolate than the activities of "public servants", but it is kinda hard
for me
> to think of a way to protect *all* the citizens and catch *all* the bad
guys.

Well, private comms AREN`T inviolate, since NSA monitors them regularly. NSA
monitors cell phones extensively, and it is not confined to a single
country, either.

> The real issue with NSA is not it's technical abilities, but rather with
the
> political oversight of NSA. Questions that should or could be rightfully
asked
> of NSA could include:

The REAL issue with the NSA is their ability to break the same laws that
keep you and i from monitoring cell phones , cordless phones, etc, while
they break that law with complete impugnity, while they tell you not to turn
your piddling little scanner on and monitor a 20-mile circle, they monitor
most of the world....

>
> 1. Have they used these abilities on private citizens without real
probable
> cause? (Violates I and IV amendments)

They already do this on a daily basis, and make no denials of it whatsoever.
>
> 2. Have they used their abilities for personal or political gain of those
in
> power? (Corruption)

do you know of a government intelligence agency that HASNT been used and
abused for this purpose ?

>
> 3. Have they used these abilities to grant unfair advantage to favored
> contractors at the expense of other legitimate businessman?
> (Corruption and denial of Free Trade, Fair Business, etc.)

How about using them for industrial espionage, and to make certain members
aware of changes in the economic structure of companies, so that they can
then use that info to make billions in the stock market ? This is but one of
50 abuses i can think of.... How about blackmail of certain political
figures in order to ensure the continued funding of their little "spy on
everyone and everthing" operation (which aint so little, fella).
>
> These are all legitimate questions that could be asked of any Intel.
agency.
> But what is to be gained by flooding their software filters with an
overload
> of "trigger words" ? Assuming such filters exist on the level needed to
make
> such a protest effective.

It wont be effective, and it is useless. Any smart programmer at the NSA can
take care fo this kind of simple-minded "flooding" type of activity, in less
than an afternoon. I t will be even more ineffective, since they have seen
fit to announce the day they are going to do it on. Remember, these are the
same people that built their own mainframe computer ! I dont think they will
be at all affected by this stupidity.

>
> How really different is what ECHELON is said to be capable of from
> what scannists do every day?

It is different because they are monitoring most of the world with this. Can
YOU monitor the entire world , and process and scan thousands upon thousands
of emails , cell calls, and internet activity for keywords ? with your
little ground - based scanner ?. Do you realize that no encryption scheme
may be put on a cell phone network , without giving the government the key
to it first, and that this is the law now ? This is also true of encyption
schemes for cordless phones, as well, and most other encryption methods in
use in most services. Now, we cant decode and monitor them any more , but I
can assure you that NSA can !

Just because it's "e-mail" over a cable hookup
> instead of "radio" over the airwaves doesn't give it any sacred status. I
will

> admit there are strong arguments on both sides- yes. But consider this;
> When Police and Fire got tired of being monitored they didn't ask the FCC
to
> make scanning itself illegal, they just went to digital and made the issue
moot.
> Flooding these (supposed) filters with "naughty words" seems unproductive
> and adolescent. If you really want to ratttle the NSA you ought to instead
get
> millions and millions of people to start sending all their e-mails in PGP
> instead. Now that would make them *really* nervous.
>
> Andrew
>
> "Eternal vigilence is the price of liberty." -- Thomas Jefferson

2580. Re: What's NCIC? (Salt Lake / Utah County)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 23:00:24 UTC
From: John Pelura III <jpelura3@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <B78B5F4A.1FC4%jpelura3@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 62097

> Police Officer's typically "run" subjects NCIC. This is a national database
> of wanted subjects. Example, if you murder someone in CA and are stopped in
> FL, the officer will be advised by the dispatcher that their is an active
> warrant out for you.
> This is only for serious crimes because police department or state Attorney
> Generals don't normally extradite for minor crimes (disorderly conduct,
> etc..). Thgese types of crimes are put into a state warrant file.
> Dispatcher's run subjects both NCIC and "local" (in-state).

There are, I think, 7 different NCIC "Files" that exist:

Wanted Person
Missing Person
License Plate
Vehicles
Articles
Gun
Boat

When a person, or article is "Ran NCIC", a positive or negative response is returned to a dedicated printer. The dispatcher can tell if the item that has been queried is wanted, missing, etc... No all NCIC entries are criminals. Some are missing persons, stolen cars, stolen tags, etc.

2581. Re: What's NCIC? (Salt Lake / Utah County)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-30 23:54:35 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9k4s3d$2id6v$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62098

"John Pelura III" <jpelura3@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
news:B78B5F4A.1FC4%jpelura3@bellatlantic.net...

> There are, I think, 7 different NCIC "Files" that exist:
>
> Wanted Person
> Missing Person
> License Plate
> Vehicles
> Articles
> Gun
> Boat
>

Actually now there are two more. Violent Gang Members and Protection From Abuse (restraining orders). These two types of entries are made on persons that are either in gangs or have had PFA's served against them. They are for information only and are not used to effect an arrest like a wanted person entry would be.

Tom

2582. PC Control for the BC895XLT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 00:03:42 UTC
From: Sandlapper <bbutler@home.com>
Message-ID: <yBm97.6068$k7.1961732@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 62099

Hey Guys...

I'm fairly new to the scanning arena and I have a BC895XLT. The manual sez that I can use a computer to control the unit, but there are no details as to HOW to do that. I've read as much as I can find on the various software product, etc. but all I see on the back of the scanner is 4-pin remote plug...no RS-232 port...nothing. Do I need a hardware interface of some sort? Internal? External? Any help appreciated.
Thanks,

Sandlapper

2583. Re: Uniden BC 278 CLT Please help.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 00:37:04 UTC
From: "R.D." <iwantitall2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Q4n97.2438$Pn4.208851@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62100

That scanner.....
"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3B65D16E.BD690577@worldnet.att.net...
> Duh! Read the title.
>
> "Re: Uniden BC 278 CLT Please help."
>
> JAMES ODELL wrote:
> >
> > WHAT SCANNER????????

2584. Re: What's NCIC? (Salt Lake / Utah County)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 01:44:10 UTC
From: trp566@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010730214410.28553.00002067@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 62101

Actually, NCIC has more files than you think.

Wanted Person
Missing Person
Stolen Vehicle
Stolen License Plate
Stolen Boat
Stolen Security
Stolen Article
Unidentified Person
US Secret Service Protective Person File
Originating Agency Identifier File
Interstate Identification Index (III)
Violent Gang and Terrorist Organizational File
Deported Felon File
Stolen/Recovered Gun
Protection Order File

Think of it as an automated file cabinet of criminal justice related information that puts law enforcement agencies in contact with one another regarding wanted/missing persons and other items of stolen property.

I teach NCIC for a living, that's how I know.

Best regards,

Tom TRP566@aol.com

2585. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 02:01:28 UTC
From: Jeff Singer <js@reallybig.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.15cfdb305663a27f9896a7@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 62102
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It will make a huge difference!

What you are hearing now with your antenna leaning against the house is only the strongest and closest stuff. If you want to really hear what is going on all around town (and then some), get that antenna up as high as practical, and as in the clear as you can. Putting up a ten-foot mast with a discone is a fairly easy task (usually), since it doesn't present a whole lot of windload and therefore does not need extraordinary support.

The difference will be like night and day, as if someone suddenly flipped on the switch!

Good luck.

Jeff k2kv

> I have a discone atenna mounted on a 10 foot mast. Right now the mast is
> leaning against the house. How much difference will I hear if I put the
> mast and antenna on the eve of my house? It would be about 30 or 40 feet in
> the air then. Just wondering if it is worth the trouble.
>
> Thanks,
>
> PB
>
>
>

2586. Re: CTCSS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 02:16:01 UTC
From: Jim MacDonald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B6614E1.30FAF5B5@mitre.org>
Source record: 62103

Another us of Ctcss or digital Tone Squelch is to determine who's on the
frequency.
if call signs aren't used. You will need a good Frequency Directory,for
tone info, most now give the tone code where many radios can input this
vital information.
Why not buy a radio that will do both Ctcss and digital tone codes like
the Radio Shack
PRO-92/PRO-2067, Relm HS 200/MS 200 or Uniden Sport Cat SC-200 and
Uniden BC-780 XLT
The PRO-92, PRO-2067 and BC 780 XLT search for and display tone codes.
The Relm radios will
only allow input, you have to know the proper code. The ICOM IC R-2 will
also search
for Ctcss and allow input and with the IC R-2 a Ctcss can be set during
a frequency search
so the radio will only stop on frequencies using the preset Ctcss, but
the Icom IC R-2 dose not do Digital tone codes.
Jim
Paul Blevins wrote:
>
> Is it worth getting a CTCSS board?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Paul

2587. Re: What's NCIC? (Salt Lake / Utah County)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 02:18:56 UTC
From: John Pelura III <jpelura3@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <B78B8DD2.1FFA%jpelura3@bellatlantic.net>
Source record: 62104

>
> "John Pelura III" <jpelura3@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message
> news:B78B5F4A.1FC4%jpelura3@bellatlantic.net...
>
>
>> There are, I think, 7 different NCIC "Files" that exist:
>>
>> Wanted Person
>> Missing Person
>> License Plate
>> Vehicles
>> Articles
>> Gun
>> Boat
>>
>
> Actually now there are two more. Violent Gang Members and Protection From
> Abuse (restraining orders). These two types of entries are made on persons

I knew I forgot something. Thanks for the added info.

2588. Re: Rogue Cancelling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 02:32:05 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B661892.2DD59DE8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 62105

Greg Renner wrote:

> Anybody notice how the FS messages are being cancelled? I noticed 3 dated
> today being cancelled.
>
> GR

If there is any rogue canceling going on, I think it is high time that we ferreted out the party(ies) responsible. They are likely the same ones harassing users with FA messages by means of spurious complaints to E-Bay and ISP's. Rogue cancels would certainly be sufficient to basis on which to approach the offending party's ISP with a view toward getting their access dropped. it is long past time we found out who is doing this kind of stuff and dealt with them decisively.

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

2589. WTT: 2045 for 895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 02:56:57 UTC
From: "George B." <kn6ep@lanset.com>
Message-ID: <3b661e9f@monitor.lanset.com>
Source record: 62106

Like to trade my exc. condition R.S. Pro 2045 for a similar condition B.C. 895XLT. 2045 has military air frequencies. (Read review at Strong Signals) 895XLT does not have mil., but has trunktracking, so I thought a trade might work. So I throw the offer out there.

George
KN6EP
Sacramento

2590. Re: NYC Area: Continuous Sound on 121.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 03:39:58 UTC
From: n5vzh <n5vzh@internet8.net>
Message-ID: <9k5d80$od5$1@ux2.accesscom.net>
Source record: 62142
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Either there is a palne in trouble or someone inadvertantly set off the
emergency beacon on a plane. Get someone like a ham operator to use a small
beam to triangulate it if you can. The pilot might not even know its on.
Al
TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message
news:hd%87.45495$16.6289917@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Hi all. I live in the NYC area, and just a few days ago I began hearing a
> continuous transmission on 121.5, the aeronautical emergency freq. There's
> no voice or anything, just static. It's not a birdie, because I never
heard
> anything on it up until a few days ago. Any ideas?
>
>

2591. Re: PC Control for the BC895XLT??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 04:11:01 UTC
From: F Barry Mulligan <70035.140@CompuServe.COM>
Message-ID: <9k5b4l$s1a$1@suaar1aa.prod.compuserve.com>
Source record: 62107

"Sandlapper" <bbutler@home.com> asked:
> ... but all I see on the back of the scanner is 4-pin remote plug...no
> RS-232 port...nothing. Do I need a hardware interface of some sort?

The interface is a 5-pin PCB header (.025" square pins on 0.1" centers).
The mating socket is standard (RadioShack.com's Cat# 910-1471 is 25 cents,
plus 24 cents for 3 contacts), but a segment cut out of a DIP socket will
work just as well. The pinout is symmetric, with pins 1&5 (the outer ones)
carrying data in (to the radio), 2&4 are data out (from the radio), and
pin 3 is ground.

The cable connections are:

BC895 DB9 DB25
1 <= to radio 3 2
2 from radio => 2 3
3 <= ground => 5 7
(TR-CD-DSR) 4-1-6 20-8-6 *
(RTS-CTS) 7-8 4-5 *

* If you will be using a standard communication program, add the two sets
of jumpers in the RS-232 connector to simulate the normal control signals.

The comm protcol is half-duplex, 9600 baud, 8/N/1. Commands to the radio
should be terminated with a C/R only.

2592. for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 05:48:24 UTC
From: SPAMBLOCK_timmy23213213@aol.com
Message-ID: <9k5gr8$2jgrg$44@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

My questions are the following.

1) Why do people think they can do whatever they want by continually violating the newsgroup's rules, then cussing about it when something gets done about it?

2) Why don't people just post the for sale messages to the *.swap newsgroup, instead of the discussion group? If the "it's too crowded" response well then tough, this is a discussion group. The rules here will be enforced. This is the perfect opportunity for some bright-minded person to come up with a new newsgroup, named "rec.radio.scanner.forsale" or "alt.radio.forsale.general" or whatever, just make a new group. Definately, the demand is there, no doubt! Think about it, it would pretty much put an end of all this nonsense for good, and forfill the demand. Then the "there's no where else to post" response comes up. Again, tough. That's just like a spammer saying "well there was no where else to spam, so I spammed you. so ridiculous.

3) Do people get the idea of "discussion" vs "for sale"? People think it's ok because it's "on topic", but have no idea of the type of post also matters. I guess these people would butt in on an active conference room and dribble out some sales message. Talk about poor marketing!

4) Filters. if the "why don't you just use filters?" response then my best of luck of all the people who don't have them... filters are just not standard practice, and the use of filter implys that there's something wrong to begin with, to cover up the mistake: the spam. Look, if we all have to use filters, then those for sale spammers are just control freaks, and they just think they can do whatever they want. Look, it's plain and simple: JUST DON'T DO IT!!! These for sale spammers are just more spammers on the internet ruining it for us all.

Me myself is an observer only, I do not do any reporting and canceling of the for sale posts, even through I do hate those posts myself. I just don't want to get involved. I sure would like to see my favorite newsgroups cleaned up though. So to whoever's doing it, I give you this message "KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK!!!!!!!". You're cirtainly raising a stink with those awful for sale spammers.

2593. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 07:55:42 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9k5oa2$4im$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Bill" <ka4drw@no.spam.juno.com> wrote in message
news:8dlcmtgg0ng9a4ck37c4pvsisnch0q6c39@4ax.com...
} On 31 Jul 2001 05:48:24 GMT, SPAMBLOCK_timmy23213213@aol.com (Timmy
} Johnes) wrote:
}
} >My questions are the following.
} >
} >1) Why do people think they can do whatever they want by continually
} >violating the newsgroup's rules,
}
} Where are the rules for these groups?

The original charter for rec.radio.scanner (from 1993) is here:
ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/control/rec/rec.radio.scanner.Z

There's absolutely nothing in the charter prohibiting For Sale posts.
ISPs who complain should be directed to the above link. For those
without a decompression utility here's the text of the charter:

From tale@uunet.uu.net Tue Aug 31 11:18:04 1993
Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Path: uunet!tale
From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
Organization: UUNET Technologies, Inc
Date: Tue, 31 Aug 1993 14:30:18 GMT
Message-ID: <CCMoAI.266@uunet.uu.net>
Lines: 16
Xref: uunet control:707675

rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote for
creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug 1993.

For your newsgroups file:
rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.

The charter, culled from the call for votes:

rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to

rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are many who do not have access to USENET news.

2594. Pozilla Software Support, Any Ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 09:31:29 UTC
From: "Creighton J. Smith" <cjsmith1@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9k5u14$7ie$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62110

Hello,

Have run across a problem with Pozilla WinScan v1.0 with the RS-2052. Seems the alpha tags get wiped out by file corruption above channel 95. I may very well be doing something wrong, but haven't heard a peep from Pozilla support after 5 days and counting. Thanks for any help!

Best regards,
Creighton Smith
cjsmith1@ix.netcom.com

2595. Anyone heard anything about Alinco radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 10:57:00 UTC
From: DESJ <desj@home.com>
Message-ID: <0aw97.60632$d26.497952@news1.wwck1.ri.home.com>
Source record: 62111

Hiya:

I'm new to this, and have had my eyes on either the ICOM R10 or Alinco DJX10. Any opinions? The one thing I like about Alinco is greater frequency range to 2000MHz. Is this necessary? Do either do trunking?

Thanks,
Don (cymkoe@bigfoot.com)

2596. Experience with ARA 2000 active antenna and Yupiteru MVT7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 12:00:38 UTC
From: marcje01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ca10ea14.0107310400.42de38d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62112
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi all,

I am looking for experiences with the Dressler ARA 2000 active antenna,
especially in combination with a Yupiteru MVT7100.

I know that the Yupiteru (as any handheld scanner) is not really designed for
a external antenna. And I also know the problems it can cause by connecting
a external antenna: Intermodulation and the antenna input can be overloaded.

However, the ARA 2000 has a variable attenuator (0 to -20 dB) according
to their webpage. Also the Yupiteru has a attenuator that can be switched
on or off. Would it therefore be possible to connect the ARA 2000 to the
Yupiteru without having problems like the intermodulation?
In theory this antenna should pick up faint signals better than a discone
since it has a amplifier with a gain of 10-12.5 dB whereas the noise
figure isn't that bad: 3.5 - 5.5 dB.
When using the attenuator it may still be possible to use it with my
Yupiteru?

I ask since I would like to have a compact wide range antenna to mount on
my roof. I like the size of the ARA 2000. Since it has a attenuator I was
wondering if I can use it with my Yupiteru after all.

Or dou you think it's a better choice to have a discone after all, taking the
intermodulation and overloading into account?
Are there any test of the ARA 2000 available for download?
Last question: Does someone know how the attenuator of the ARA works?
Does it just lower the power voltage (as is often done for 'cheap' active
antennas) or does it really turn down the gain on the amplifier inside the ARA?

All tips/hints are welcome!

Many thanks in advance,
/Marc

2597. NiCads for BC2500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 13:35:32 UTC
From: pat.szuch@swagelok.com
Message-ID: <761a8bd8.0107310535.686ef910@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62113

Hello!

I have a Uniden BC2500 handheld, and would like to rebuild the NiCad battery pack, as I'm tired of paying $60.00-$70.00 every 2 years for a new one. I have seen mail-order shops that will "refurbish" your battery packs for around $30.00, but I'm thinking I can get away cheaper by just doing it myself. What I need to know is the type of batteries I need to purchase, as the ones in the sealed case are not labeled. Mine is a pack of 3 batteries (2 approx AA size batteries and 1 short one, about half the length of the other 2). I would prefer to buy the required NiCads from a local retailer (Radio Shack, etc) rather than mail order. Any ideas as to what I need, and what I can expect to pay? Thanks!!!

Patrick Szuch
Cleveland, Ohio

2598. Re: Anyone heard anything about Alinco radios?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 13:47:53 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B66B709.5E8106D5@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62114

Neither do trunking. In fact, I am not aware of any wide-band
receivers which do trunking.

The weakness of the Alinco radios I see is the slow scan speed, about
3 channels per second, compared to scan speeds about 10x faster in
most other radios of this type.

Walt

DESJ wrote:
>
> Hiya:
>
> I'm new to this, and have had my eyes on either the ICOM R10 or Alinco
> DJX10. Any opinions? The one thing I like about Alinco is greater
> frequency range to 2000MHz. Is this necessary? Do either do trunking?
>
> Thanks,
> Don (cymkoe@bigfoot.com)

2599. Re: Pozilla Software Support, Any Ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 15:34:27 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tmdk8viflsnfac@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62115

One idea is to forget Pozilla tech support. I just returned a BC780XLT &
Winscan 780 software to Grove (everything worked, but the radio is hearing
impaired up around 900MHz) and they remarked that they were thinking about
dropping Pozilla products for that very reason.....
Cheers n beers y'all.
Don

Creighton J. Smith <cjsmith1@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:9k5u14$7ie$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> Hello,
>
> Have run across a problem with Pozilla WinScan v1.0 with the RS-2052.
Seems
> the alpha tags get wiped out by file corruption above channel 95. I may
> very well be doing something wrong, but haven't heard a peep from Pozilla
> support after 5 days and counting. Thanks for any help!
>
> Best regards,
> Creighton Smith
> cjsmith1@ix.netcom.com
>
>
>

2600. Re: Experience with ARA 2000 active antenna and Yupiteru MVT7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 15:40:04 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tmdk9083v1gcaf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62116
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm using a roof discone with my 7100, and it does great! Tried in on a long wire I had on a SW receiver, and it chokes big time from overload.
Don

Marc <marcje01@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:ca10ea14.0107310400.42de38d4@posting.google.com...
> Hi all,
>
> I am looking for experiences with the Dressler ARA 2000 active antenna,
> especially in combination with a Yupiteru MVT7100.
>
> I know that the Yupiteru (as any handheld scanner) is not really designed for
> a external antenna. And I also know the problems it can cause by connecting
> a external antenna: Intermodulation and the antenna input can be overloaded.
>
> However, the ARA 2000 has a variable attenuator (0 to -20 dB) according
> to their webpage. Also the Yupiteru has a attenuator that can be switched
> on or off. Would it therefore be possible to connect the ARA 2000 to the
> Yupiteru without having problems like the intermodulation?
> In theory this antenna should pick up faint signals better than a discone
> since it has a amplifier with a gain of 10-12.5 dB whereas the noise
> figure isn't that bad: 3.5 - 5.5 dB.
> When using the attenuator it may still be possible to use it with my
> Yupiteru?
>
> I ask since I would like to have a compact wide range antenna to mount on
> my roof. I like the size of the ARA 2000. Since it has a attenuator I was
> wondering if I can use it with my Yupiteru after all.
>
> Or dou you think it's a better choice to have a discone after all, taking the
> intermodulation and overloading into account?
> Are there any test of the ARA 2000 available for download?
> Last question: Does someone know how the attenuator of the ARA works?
> Does it just lower the power voltage (as is often done for 'cheap' active
> antennas) or does it really turn down the gain on the amplifier inside the ARA?
>
> All tips/hints are welcome!
>

> Many thanks in advance,
> /Marc

2601. Re: Antenna Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 15:58:25 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <a8ldmtodk3e808i9d83p2954hqhmmspu0q@4ax.com>
Source record: 62117

On Mon, 30 Jul 2001 10:41:11 -0500, "Paul Blevins"
<paul.blevins@networktelephone.net> wrote:

>I have a discone atenna mounted on a 10 foot mast. Right now the mast is
>leaning against the house. How much difference will I hear if I put the
>mast and antenna on the eve of my house? It would be about 30 or 40 feet in
>the air then. Just wondering if it is worth the trouble.

Three rules of thumb about antennas:

1) Get it up as high as possible.

2) Get it away from as many other structures (especially buildings
with RF activity of any sort inside)

3) Use low loss coax and Seal all connectors with electrician's putty

(And if it stayed up last winter, it wasn't high enough :-)

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2602. Re: What's NCIC? (Salt Lake / Utah County)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 16:30:25 UTC
From: bhall7@email.com
Message-ID: <dc0c38eb.0107310830.2ab38130@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62118

Thank you all for the information. Happy scanning.

-BHall

2603. Re: Experience with ARA 2000 active antenna and Yupiteru MVT7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 16:34:55 UTC
From: Maz <systematic@SPAMFREEntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <A6B97.43215$vN4.249371@news11-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 62119
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi
I have a similar spec handheld which i tried with a vhf/uhf preamp. Firstly the preamp, whether it has variable gain or not, will amplify everything across its entire range. So if your trying to listen to ,for example ,a weak signal on the
2m band and turn up the gain, the amplifier will also be cranking up the signal strength on the FM broadcast band and the Pager band and probably the TV band as well. All these increased signal strengths are presented to the antenna socket on the radio but the radio still has to filter out what your trying to listen to from the rest of the amplified mush. Predicted result with a Yupi ? breakthru, intermodulation and noise will all be much worse even with the attenuator on.
Also , even tho the amp quotes a "low noise" figure, 3 - 5 dB of noise is a *lot* to a sensitive handheld... you'd expect to see the S meter lit up on VHF the way it does on SW when you attach your long wire... a constant 3 points on the meter at UHF (!) in my case.
Preamps are ok if your using a (deaf) base station rig in a remote-ish location with no nearby strong stations.
Even with an external antenna you'll most likely get some probs with a handheld but it will still be usable.

HTH
Maz

"Marc" <marcje01@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ca10ea14.0107310400.42de38d4@posting.google.com...
> Hi all,
>
> I am looking for experiences with the Dressler ARA 2000 active antenna,
> especially in combination with a Yupiteru MVT7100.
>
> I know that the Yupiteru (as any handheld scanner) is not really designed
for
> a external antenna. And I also know the problems it can cause by
connecting
> a external antenna: Intermodulation and the antenna input can be
overloaded.
>
> However, the ARA 2000 has a variable attenuator (0 to -20 dB) according
> to their webpage. Also the Yupiteru has a attenuator that can be switched
> on or off. Would it therefore be possible to connect the ARA 2000 to the
> Yupiteru without having problems like the intermodulation?
> In theory this antenna should pick up faint signals better than a discone
> since it has a amplifier with a gain of 10-12.5 dB whereas the noise

> figure isn't that bad: 3.5 - 5.5 dB.
> When using the attenuator it may still be possible to use it with my
> Yupiteru?
>
> I ask since I would like to have a compact wide range antenna to mount on
> my roof. I like the size of the ARA 2000. Since it has a attenuator I was
> wondering if I can use it with my Yupiteru after all.
>
> Or dou you think it's a better choice to have a discone after all, taking
the
> intermodulation and overloading into account?
> Are there any test of the ARA 2000 available for download?
> Last question: Does someone know how the attenuator of the ARA works?
> Does it just lower the power voltage (as is often done for 'cheap' active
> antennas) or does it really turn down the gain on the amplifier inside the
ARA?
>
> All tips/hints are welcome!
>
> Many thanks in advance,
> /Marc

2604. Re: NiCads for BC2500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 16:57:51 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <2FAA577F03C853F2.C49B264CE5348083.D57EC57705E3C886@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 62122

On 31 Jul 2001 06:35:32 -0700, pat.szuch@swagelok.com (PatrickS)
wrote:

>Hello!
>
>I have a Uniden BC2500 handheld, and would like to rebuild the NiCad
>battery pack, as I'm tired of paying $60.00-$70.00 every 2 years for a
>new one. I have seen mail-order shops that will "refurbish" your
>battery packs for around $30.00, but I'm thinking I can get away
>cheaper by just doing it myself. What I need to know is the type of
>batteries I need to purchase, as the ones in the sealed case are not
>labeled. Mine is a pack of 3 batteries (2 approx AA size batteries
>and 1 short one, about half the length of the other 2). I would
>prefer to buy the required NiCads from a local retailer (Radio Shack,
>etc) rather than mail order. Any ideas as to what I need, and what I
>can expect to pay? Thanks!!!
>
>Patrick Szuch
>Cleveland, Ohio

The BP2500 battery pack will snap open (it's not glued).
There are a few companies that rebuild the packs. I highly
recommend www.nicdlady.com which I have used. (Another
is www.primecell.com which is good also.)

They both also offer drop-in cell pack replacements. These will
usually save you about 5 dollars over the rebuild. You open
the BP2500 case, unsolder the leads from the old cell pack, solder
them to the new cell pack and snap it back together.

I would HIGHLY recommend that you consider replacing the cells
with the newer NiMH type. They will run the scanner for MANY hours
longer and do not suffer from the "memory effect" of NiCAD batteries.
On the BC2500XLT you can still use the original charger. It will take
about 24 hours to charge (as opposed to 16 with the NiCADs), but it's
well worth it.

2605. Re: What's NCIC? (Salt Lake / Utah County)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 16:59:58 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010731125958.16554.00001775@ng-cc1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 62121

>Subject: Re: What's NCIC? (Salt Lake / Utah County)

>>What is NCIC?
>
>National Crime Information Center.
>
>It's the FBI database of convicted felons, and more.
>

Thing that always got me is that access to NCIC Data is highly restricted and requires a training course and User ID to use the System (to track usage), the dissemination of NCIC Data is also covered under User Agreements as to who and what may be released; now Scanning Police Frequencies is legal but you run into a weird quagmire when it comes to NCIC Data being received by "unauthorized" persons.

Just something I have always pondered.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

2606. Possible solution to FA posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 17:00:36 UTC
From: bhall7@email.com
Message-ID: <dc0c38eb.0107310900.26ccb57d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62120

Since some frenzied fiends out there seem to be so bent on screwing up everyone's relevant auction/FA: posts about useful scanning equipment on eBay, and since eBay sends ridiculous threats that you are sending "SPAM" and threatens to cancel your account if you post an auction announcement, there may be another alternative.

I saw a FA announcement in another newsgroup and the body of the message simply had the link:

http://pages.ebay.com/search/items/search_seller.html

The seller then gave his e-mail address/eBay username which can be entered in the search seller page and the item for auction can easily be found. (unless you're a power seller or something). It might be kind of annoying, but it works--at least until some fag starts cancelling the posts.

Who is dictating what we as scanner enthusiasts post on the newsgroups?

Just a thought. I don't know if people have tried that on this ng before.

Laters..

-BHall

2607. FDNY - PL Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 17:07:31 UTC
From: bobbym2362@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010731130731.22835.00001984@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 62123

I'm trying to find out the PL tones for the FDNY fire frequencies, especially Citywide (154.43)... I'm tired of having to listen to New Jersey's Monmouth County Fire Service. Any help would be appreciated.

Rob
http://members.aol.com/ladder2362

2608. Another Antenna Installation Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 17:20:37 UTC
From: "J. Scott Branscum" <nospam@usa.net>
Message-ID: <FNB97.1343$WB.151427@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62124
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks to everyone on this NG who replied to my previous
questions. I went ahead at your recommendations and bought
LMR-400 instead of using RG-6. I'm in progress of my discone
antenna installation on the end of a 15 foot mast. My question
now is whether I route the LMR-400 up inside and through the mast, looped
out the top and down through the discone radials and connected at the
bottom, or is it better to run it straight up the outside of the
mast and connect it without any looping through the radials? I
was concerned the loop from the top of the mast down through
the radials and connected at the bottom that this loop might
affect reception.
Oh, and the PL-259 connectors, which the Discone uses, I am
told are only good to approx 400 Mhz. My BC780 is good up
through 1300 Mhz. Is there really a problem with using PL-259 vs
N connectors? Is it just minor db loss at higher frequencies?
I'll be listening to the whole 25-1300 Mhz range.
Thanks,
Scott (a2stepper38@hotmail.com)

2609. Re: What's NCIC? (Salt Lake / Utah County)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 18:07:16 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <otC97.45$5d.11175@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 62125

N.C.I.C. is National Crime Information Center and is part of the F.B.I's network. It is a federal database basically, is to my understanding. In Michigan we have also a state version called L.E.I.N. which is Law Enforcement Information Network which basically serves a similar purpose.

On calls around here the dispatcher will respond usually (unless the person has something of interest in either) "No L.E.I.N., No N.C.I.C." when doing a file check.

Ryan KC8PMX

"John Pelura III" <jpelura3@bellatlantic.net> wrote in message news:B78B5F4A.1FC4%jpelura3@bellatlantic.net...
> > Police Officer's typically "run" subjects NCIC. This is a national database
> > of wanted subjects. Example, if you murder someone in CA and are stopped in
> > FL, the officer will be advised by the dispatcher that their is an active
> > warrant out for you.
> > This is only for serious crimes because police department or state Attorney
> > Generals don't normally extradite for minor crimes (disorderly conduct,
> > etc..). Thgese types of crimes are put into a state warrant file.
> > Dispatcher's run subjects both NCIC and "local" (in-state).
>
> There are, I think, 7 different NCIC "Files" that exist:
>
> Wanted Person
> Missing Person
> License Plate
> Vehicles
> Articles
> Gun
> Boat
>
>
> When a person, or article is "Ran NCIC", a positive or negative response is
> returned to a dedicated printer. The dispatcher can tell if the item that
> has been queried is wanted, missing, etc... No all NCIC entries are
> criminals. Some are missing persons, stolen cars, stolen tags, etc.
>
>

2610. Re: Pozilla Software Support, Any Ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 18:25:21 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B66F80F.29EACBA@home.com>
Source record: 62126

Your one mistake was having anything to do with Pozilla.

I suggest you eat the financial loss, but help cause them some loss
by letting more people know about your experiences with the company &
their product.

"Creighton J. Smith" wrote:
>
> Hello,
>
> Have run across a problem with Pozilla WinScan v1.0 with the RS-2052. Seems
> the alpha tags get wiped out by file corruption above channel 95. I may
> very well be doing something wrong, but haven't heard a peep from Pozilla
> support after 5 days and counting. Thanks for any help!
>
> Best regards,
> Creighton Smith
> cjsmith1@ix.netcom.com

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

2611. Re: NYC Area: Continuous Sound on 121.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 18:32:06 UTC
From: pelikandrp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010731143206.16144.00000610@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 62127

>I think they would have had someone monitoring that freq. I sure hope they
>don't rely on "Scanner Hobbyist" to find ELT tones.
> LOL

While nothing to make light of...maybe it was someone with a new Breitling :-)

"if you love a man's garden...you gotta love the man"
-Frank Serpico

2612. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 18:33:21 UTC
From: Rog <Roger@webjetters.com>
Message-ID: <9k6tl1$p61$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 62128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Don't read the posts, where's the problem.
Rs

Timmy Johnes <SPAMBLOCK_timmy23213213@aol.com> wrote in message
news:9k5gr8$2jgrg$44@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de...
> My questions are the following.
>
> 1) Why do people think they can do whatever they want by continually
> violating the newsgroup's rules, then cussing about it when something gets
> done about it?
>
> 2) Why don't people just post the for sale messages to the *.swap
newsgroup,
> instead of the discussion group? If the "it's too crowded" response well
> then tough, this is a discussion group. The rules here will be enforced.
> This is the perfect opportunity for some bright-minded person to come up
with
> a new newsgroup, named "rec.radio.scanner.forsale" or
> "alt.radio.forsale.general" or whatever, just make a new group.
Definately,
> the demand is there, no doubt! Think about it, it would pretty much put
an
> end of all this nonsense for good, and forfill the demand. Then the
"there's
> no where else to post" response comes up. Again, tough. That's just like
a
> spammer saying "well there was no where else to spam, so I spammed you.
so
> ridiculous.
>
> 3) Do people get the idea of "discussion" vs "for sale"? People think
it's
> ok because it's "on topic", but have no idea of the type of post also
> matters. I guess these people would butt in on an active conference room
and
> dribble out some sales message. Talk about poor marketing!
>
> 4) Filters. if the "why don't you just use filters?" response then my
best
> of luck of all the people who don't have them... filters are just not
> standard practice, and the use of filter implys that there's something
wrong
> to begin with, to cover up the mistake: the spam. Look, if we all have to

> use filters, then those for sale spammers are just control freaks, and
they
> just think they can do whatever they want. Look, it's plain and simple:
> JUST DON'T DO IT!!! These for sale spammers are just more spammers on the
> internet ruining it for us all.
>
> Me myself is an observer only, I do not do any reporting and canceling of
the
> for sale posts, even through I do hate those posts myself. I just don't
want
> to get involved. I sure would like to see my favorite newsgroups cleaned
up
> though. So to whoever's doing it, I give you this message "KEEP UP THE
GOOD
> WORK!!!!!!!". You're cirtainly raising a stink with those awful for sale
> spammers.
>

2613. Re: Experience with ARA 2000 active antenna and Yupiteru MVT7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 18:57:56 UTC
From: markt@kram.org
Message-ID: <9k6v3k$d4e$1@terpsichore.home.kram.com>
Source record: 62131
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Marc <marcje01@yahoo.com> wrote:
>
>I am looking for experiences with the Dressler ARA 2000 active antenna,
>especially in combination with a Yupiteru MVT7100.

I'll second the other comments re. the 7100 possibly finding the stronger
signals too much to handle.

But, the main reason for my post.... make sure you buy the ARA2000 from
somewhere with a good returns policy, just in case you're as unimpressed
as I was. I bought one and compared it with a discone and a Maplic
Superscan Mk II active aerial. It more or less tied with the discone
on most bands, and was easily beaten by the Maplin everywhere I tried.
When I queried the poor performance with my dealer they spoke to Dressler
on my behalf and were told that the ARA2000 "should be comparable with
a discone and people buy it for it's neat appearance". For that sort of
money I want something that works well. It went back for a full refund.

Cheers,

Mark.

p.s. Unfortunately the Maplin Superscan Mk II has been discontinued. :(
I still have one as my main rx aerial, and I'm treating it very carefully!

2614. Re: Another Antenna Installation Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 19:00:42 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15d09fdac6c1d3569896cd@news>
Source record: 62129
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <FNB97.1343$WB.151427@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>,
nospam@usa.net says...
> Thanks to everyone on this NG who replied to my previous
> questions. I went ahead at your recommendations and bought
> LMR-400 instead of using RG-6. I'm in progress of my discone
> antenna installation on the end of a 15 foot mast. My question
> now is whether I route the LMR-400 up inside and through the mast, looped
> out the top and down through the discone radials and connected at the
> bottom, or is it better to run it straight up the outside of the
> mast and connect it without any looping through the radials? I
> was concerned the loop from the top of the mast down through
> the radials and connected at the bottom that this loop might
> affect reception.
> Oh, and the PL-259 connectors, which the Discone uses, I am
> told are only good to approx 400 Mhz. My BC780 is good up
> through 1300 Mhz. Is there really a problem with using PL-259 vs
> N connectors? Is it just minor db loss at higher frequencies?
> I'll be listening to the whole 25-1300 Mhz range.
> Thanks,
> Scott (a2stepper38@hotmail.com)

Scott, run the coax outside the mast and don't mess with looping it
around or running it though he mast unless you have to.

If the discone is a pl-259 there isn't much you can do except change
the connector on the antenna. Not worth it and an adapter to get to a
N will just add loss. Leave it alone, you will do fine.

Get this one up and start planning you next antenna installation. You
should try building the antenna on my web site. It works fairly well.

Let us know how the new location for the discone works out.

Glenn

http://www.kb7pkc.homestead.com/

2615. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 19:12:40 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15d0a2a4845fb7779896ce@news>
Source record: 62130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

This may seem simple to you but to some this is a monumental task
Roger. Good advise, but if they were smart enough to take it they
wouldn't need it.

In article <9k6tl1$p61$1@uranium.btinternet.com>, Roger@webjetters.com
says...
> Don't read the posts, where's the problem.
> Rs
>
> Timmy Johnes <SPAMBLOCK_timmy23213213@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:9k5gr8$2jgrg$44@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de...
> > My questions are the following.
> >
> > 1) Why do people think they can do whatever they want by continually
> > violating the newsgroup's rules, then cussing about it when something gets
> > done about it?
> >
> > 2) Why don't people just post the for sale messages to the *.swap
> newsgroup,
> > instead of the discussion group? If the "it's too crowded" response well
> > then tough, this is a discussion group. The rules here will be enforced.
> > This is the perfect opportunity for some bright-minded person to come up
> with
> > a new newsgroup, named "rec.radio.scanner.forsale" or
> > "alt.radio.forsale.general" or whatever, just make a new group.
> Definately,
> > the demand is there, no doubt! Think about it, it would pretty much put
> an
> > end of all this nonsense for good, and forfill the demand. Then the
> "there's
> > no where else to post" response comes up. Again, tough. That's just like
> a
> > spammer saying "well there was no where else to spam, so I spammed you.
> so
> > ridiculous.
> >
> > 3) Do people get the idea of "discussion" vs "for sale"? People think
> it's
> > ok because it's "on topic", but have no idea of the type of post also
> > matters. I guess these people would butt in on an active conference room
> and
> > dribble out some sales message. Talk about poor marketing!
> >

> > 4) Filters. if the "why don't you just use filters?" response then my
> best
> > of luck of all the people who don't have them... filters are just not
> > standard practice, and the use of filter implys that there's something
> wrong
> > to begin with, to cover up the mistake: the spam. Look, if we all have to
> > use filters, then those for sale spammers are just control freaks, and
> they
> > just think they can do whatever they want. Look, it's plain and simple:
> > JUST DON'T DO IT!!! These for sale spammers are just more spammers on the
> > internet ruining it for us all.
> >
> > Me myself is an observer only, I do not do any reporting and canceling of
> the
> > for sale posts, even through I do hate those posts myself. I just don't
> want
> > to get involved. I sure would like to see my favorite newsgroups cleaned
> up
> > though. So to whoever's doing it, I give you this message "KEEP UP THE
> GOOD
> > WORK!!!!!!!". You're cirtainly raising a stink with those awful for sale
> > spammers.
> >
>
>
>

2616. Problems with LAPD Digital System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 19:24:23 UTC
From: Larry Levy <levysNOSpam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <l51emtk7hqhdt0bdl5hb10u465r5h4etjg@4ax.com>
Source record: 62132

According to an article in today's (7/31/01) Los Angeles Times,
LAPD's new digital Motorola system is getting poor reviews from
officers. The article cites a number of problems, mainly signal
quality, including air units not being able to use digital mode at
all.

Here's the URL:
http://www.latimes.com/news/local/la-000062132jul31.story

-Larry
http://u1.netgate.net/~kirby34/rsg/levyl.htm
http://www.geocities.com/levys2/levyslinks.html
Remove "NoSpam" for email

2617. Pro-2006 Backlight Repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 19:34:54 UTC
From: Larry Levy <levysNOSpam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <2h1emtkfbhvjdoeq4ooeclpotg8l3r8vmj@4ax.com>
Source record: 62133

After almost ten years of heavy use, the back light on my Pro-2006 is finally growing dim.

I know the repair that Bob Parnass suggests and I know what part to order from Radio Shack.

However, it seems to me that a few months ago, someone suggested ordering an entire LCD display replacement for the radio, rather than the electroluminescent panel?

There are two separate parts numbers and descriptions for these and the price is not all that different. The parts list for the Pro-2006 lists good old, EL501 (LB0040) for the electroluminescent panel, but they also show LCD501 (LB0041) and describe it as "LCD, Display 40 pin." That sounds like the whole LCD with the 40 pin connector to me.

The cost difference is only about $7.00 or so. I think EL501 sells for $29.00, while the whole panel sells for $35.00. Not much difference, and if its makes repair easier: simply screwing the new panel in and attaching the connector, rather than the old way of unsoldering the panel, etc., then that might be the way to go?

Any thoughts?

Thanks,

-Larry

http://u1.netgate.net/~kirby34/rsg/levyl.htm
http://www.geocities.com/levys2/levyslinks.html
Remove "NoSpam" for email

2618. Re: What's NCIC? (Salt Lake / Utah County)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 19:47:20 UTC
From: Jim Vecchiola <jimkr2t@magpage.com>
Message-ID: <9k7208$c0h$0@216.155.33.113>
Source record: 62134

I think it is National Crime Information (Computer)? or some such name.
Jim

BHall wrote:
>
> Hello,
>
> I monitor trunked systems in Salt Lake and Utah Counties. I often
> hear the police dispatcher reporting "Negative N.C.I.C." when giving
> information on an individual (probably results of a drivers' license
> search). I just heard the dispatcher say, "The N.C.I.C. is down, I'll
> have to get back to you..."
>
> What is NCIC? I'm guessing it is some type of database access that
> allows for easy search of vehicle registration, drivers license data,
> and/or suspect or arrest warrant status.
>
> I also welcome any other scanner enthusiasts from these counties to
> share information/freqs.
>
> Later...
>
> -BHall

2619. Re: SWAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 19:49:51 UTC
From: "Robert D. Baker" <rdbake@prtcnet.org>
Message-ID: <9k71r6$2qq$1@nd.eastky.net>
Source record: 62090

Rog wrote in message <9k1gsj$7bi$1@uranium.btinternet.com>...

It's considered bad manners to post e-mail to usenet. (I don't
particularly care in this instance, but you should know that it _really_
torques some people off in a big way.)

tt

rdb

2620. Re: Problems with LAPD Digital System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 20:01:55 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <j43emtokklm1n38qb2o888tq5ljnk8erml@4ax.com>
Source record: 62135

On Tue, 31 Jul 2001 19:24:23 GMT, Larry Levy
<levysNOSpam@earthlink.net> wrote:

>According to an article in today's (7/31/01) Los Angeles Times,
>LAPD's new digital Motorola system is getting poor reviews from
>officers. The article cites a number of problems, mainly signal
>quality, including air units not being able to use digital mode at
>all.
>
>Here's the URL:
>http://www.latimes.com/news/local/la-000062132jul31.story

You know, they must have been having a major brain fade for not
including helicopters in the picture. Since Police helicopters
operate under 14CFR91 (just like the rest of General Aviation),
installing a radio should be no worse than a form 337 from a local
Flight Standards District Office. I doubt that a "helicopter radio"
was the issue.

I suspect that what really happened is that this digital system can't
handle a fast moving airborne vehicle. It wouldn't surprise me to
learn that they need to patch the helicopter radio traffic in from a
few fixed sites and didn't plan on it.

So: was it the LAPD's fault for not including their helicopter fleet
from the beginning --or was it Motorola's fault for not clearly
explaining the limitations of the system? Only their trial lawyers
know for sure :-)

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2621. Re: Another Antenna Installation Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 20:38:06 UTC
From: "J. Scott Branscum" <nospam@usa.net>
Message-ID: <OGE97.2361$WB.212098@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62136
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks, Glenn. I'll do as you say and route the LMR-400 outside the mast.
Things will be easier that way and look better too.
Scott

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.15d09fdac6c1d3569896cd@news...
> In article <FNB97.1343$WB.151427@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>,
> nospam@usa.net says...
> > Thanks to everyone on this NG who replied to my previous
> > questions. I went ahead at your recommendations and bought
> > LMR-400 instead of using RG-6. I'm in progress of my discone
> > antenna installation on the end of a 15 foot mast. My question
> > now is whether I route the LMR-400 up inside and through the mast,
looped
> > out the top and down through the discone radials and connected at the
> > bottom, or is it better to run it straight up the outside of the
> > mast and connect it without any looping through the radials? I
> > was concerned the loop from the top of the mast down through
> > the radials and connected at the bottom that this loop might
> > affect reception.
> > Oh, and the PL-259 connectors, which the Discone uses, I am
> > told are only good to approx 400 Mhz. My BC780 is good up
> > through 1300 Mhz. Is there really a problem with using PL-259 vs
> > N connectors? Is it just minor db loss at higher frequencies?
> > I'll be listening to the whole 25-1300 Mhz range.
> > Thanks,
> > Scott (a2stepper38@hotmail.com)
>
>
>
> Scott, run the coax outside the mast and don't mess with looping it
> around or running it though he mast unless you have to.
>
> If the discone is a pl-259 there isn't much you can do except change
> the connector on the antenna. Not worth it and an adapter to get to a
> N will just add loss. Leave it alone, you will do fine.
>
> Get this one up and start planning you next antenna installation. You
> should try building the antenna on my web site. It works fairly well.
>
> Let us know how the new location for the discone works out.
>
>

> Glenn
>
>
> http://www.kb7pkc.homestead.com/
>

2622. Re: Possible solution to FA posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 21:45:13 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tme9lijdqrcf5c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62137

IMHO (which I respect ;):
What is the big deal about a few FS or FA posts?????
It's just like an X rated movie, if you don't want to see it, DON'T GO IN THE DAMN THEATER!! What's so hard about that?? Don't want to read it, don't click the frigin mouse, and keep on goin.....
Personally, I like to check out an occasional FS on different things, without going to a totally different site.. Now if a power seller is trying to dominate the posts, that's a different story, but for the average smuck like me just trying to reach the active souls in the hobby, DO IT!!
Cheers n beers y'all!
Don
BTW - if anyone is looking for a NEW MVT-9000EU MkII .. goto e*

BHall <bhall7@email.com> wrote in message
news:dc0c38eb.0107310900.26ccb57d@posting.google.com...
> Since some frenzied fiends out there seem to be so bent on screwing up
> everyone's relevant auction/FA: posts about useful scanning equipment
> on eBay, and since eBay sends ridiculous threats that you are sending
> "SPAM" and threatens to cancel your account if you post an auction
> announcement, there may be another alternative.
>
> I saw a FA announcement in another newsgroup and the body of the
> message simply had the link:
>
> http://pages.ebay.com/search/items/search_seller.html
>
> The seller then gave his e-mail address/eBay username which can be
> entered in the search seller page and the item for auction can easily
> be found. (unless you're a power seller or something). It might be
> kind of annoying, but it works--at least until some fag starts
> cancelling the posts.
>
> Who is dictating what we as scanner enthusiasts post on the
> newsgroups?
>
> Just a thought. I don't know if people have tried that on this ng
> before.
>
> Laters..
>
> -BHall

2623. Re: Experience with ARA 2000 active antenna and Yupiteru MVT7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 21:54:02 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <uOF97.48661$SK6.6413599@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 62138
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

the Maplin super scan 11 is an excellant active aerial,but i also use a little tv active aerial with 2 telescopic rods and this is portable and fantastic,with either a base or handy although on some freqs use of att is required,but of course no good for hf,the Dressler i have never tried as the prices they charge are just unreal.

--
PROMA1
Paul Wey

Scanning expert

www.geocities.com/proma1_1999

Mark Turner <markt@kram.org> wrote in message
news:9k6v3k$d4e$1@terpsichore.home.kram.com...
> Marc <marcje01@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >I am looking for experiences with the Dressler ARA 2000 active antenna,
> >especially in combination with a Yupiteru MVT7100.
>
> I'll second the other comments re. the 7100 possibly finding the stronger
> signals too much to handle.
>
> But, the main reason for my post.... make sure you buy the ARA2000 from
> somewhere with a good returns policy, just in case you're as unimpressed
> as I was. I bought one and compared it with a discone and a Maplic
> Superscan Mk II active aerial. It more or less tied with the discone
> on most bands, and was easily beaten by the Maplin everywhere I tried.
> When I queried the poor performance with my dealer they spoke to Dressler
> on my behalf and were told that the ARA2000 "should be comparable with
> a discone and people buy it for it's neat appearance". For that sort of
> money I want something that works well. It went back for a full refund.
>
> Cheers,
>
> Mark.
>
> p.s. Unfortunately the Maplin Superscan Mk II has been discontinued. :(
> I still have one as my main rx aerial, and I'm treating it very carefully!

2624. FS: Pro92 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 21:54:10 UTC
From: n7rcm@pobox.com
Message-ID: <3b672808.8810070@news1.coam.net>
Source record: 62143

Picked it up in a trade. The guy never used it and it's brand new.
I don't need it. Everybody should know the specs on these by now,
if not, hit the webpage for Radio Shack and check them.

Price: $250 shipped prepay or COD. Cashiers Check or
Money Orders only.

I'm looking for a VX-5R if someone wants to trade.

2625. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 22:29:45 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <tjG97.201416$mG4.93561028@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 62139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I personally appreciate the scanners for sale being listed here.
DeWayne

> My questions are the following.
>
> 1) Why do people think they can do whatever they want by continually
> violating the newsgroup's rules, then cussing about it when something gets
> done about it?
>
> 2) Why don't people just post the for sale messages to the *.swap newsgroup,
> instead of the discussion group? If the "it's too crowded" response well
> then tough, this is a discussion group. The rules here will be enforced.
> This is the perfect opportunity for some bright-minded person to come up with
> a new newsgroup, named "rec.radio.scanner.forsale" or
> "alt.radio.forsale.general" or whatever, just make a new group. Definately,
> the demand is there, no doubt! Think about it, it would pretty much put an
> end of all this nonsense for good, and forfill the demand. Then the "there's
> no where else to post" response comes up. Again, tough. That's just like a
> spammer saying "well there was no where else to spam, so I spammed you. so
> ridiculous.
>
> 3) Do people get the idea of "discussion" vs "for sale"? People think it's
> ok because it's "on topic", but have no idea of the type of post also
> matters. I guess these people would butt in on an active conference room and
> dribble out some sales message. Talk about poor marketing!
>
> 4) Filters. if the "why don't you just use filters?"

response then my best
> of luck of all the people who don't have them... filters
are just not
> standard practice, and the use of filter implys that
there's something wrong
> to begin with, to cover up the mistake: the spam. Look,
if we all have to
> use filters, then those for sale spammers are just control
freaks, and they
> just think they can do whatever they want. Look, it's
plain and simple:
> JUST DON'T DO IT!!! These for sale spammers are just more
spammers on the
> internet ruining it for us all.
>
> Me myself is an observer only, I do not do any reporting
and canceling of the
> for sale posts, even through I do hate those posts myself.
I just don't want
> to get involved. I sure would like to see my favorite
newsgroups cleaned up
> though. So to whoever's doing it, I give you this message
"KEEP UP THE GOOD
> WORK!!!!!!!". You're cirtainly raising a stink with those
awful for sale
> spammers.
>

2626. Re: Problems with LAPD Digital System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 22:32:35 UTC
From: Larry Levy <levysNOSpam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <7icemtc3srv2g3545t738vamtdk36k5vb2@4ax.com>
Source record: 62140

Hi, Jake:

yep that seems to be the case. Right now, they seem to be keeping air units in the clear on a tac (484.4375). The article mentions something about Motorola not offering a digital aircraft radio and that the City has purchased some elsewhere. That still doesn't address the doppler problems I've heard can be associated with air units trying to use digital, as you say.

-Larry

On Tue, 31 Jul 2001 16:01:55 -0400, Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote:

>On Tue, 31 Jul 2001 19:24:23 GMT, Larry Levy
><levysNOSpam@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
>>According to an article in today's (7/31/01) Los Angeles Times,
>>LAPD's new digital Motorola system is getting poor reviews from
>>officers. The article cites a number of problems, mainly signal
>>quality, including air units not being able to use digital mode at
>>all.
>>
>>Here's the URL:
>>http://www.latimes.com/news/local/la-000062132jul31.story
>
>You know, they must have been having a major brain fade for not
>including helicopters in the picture. Since Police helicopters
>operate under 14CFR91 (just like the rest of General Aviation),
>installing a radio should be no worse than a form 337 from a local
>Flight Standards District Office. I doubt that a "helicopter radio"
>was the issue.
>
>I suspect that what really happened is that this digital system can't
>handle a fast moving airborne vehicle. It wouldn't surprise me to
>learn that they need to patch the helicopter radio traffic in from a
>few fixed sites and didn't plan on it.
>
>So: was it the LAPD's fault for not including their helicopter fleet
>from the beginning --or was it Motorola's fault for not clearly
>explaining the limitations of the system? Only their trial lawyers
>know for sure :-)
>
>
>Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com

>"Beware of the massive impossible!"

http://u1.netgate.net/~kirby34/rsg/levyl.htm
http://www.geocities.com/levys2/levyslinks.html
Remove "NoSpam" for email

2627. Re: NYC Area: Continuous Sound on 121.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 22:37:35 UTC
From: jlm3x@mtsu.edu
Message-ID: <a6cf7981.0107311437.7b42da27@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62141
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Barry <nospam@snet.net> wrote in message news:<3B654DC9.92C018D@snet.net>...
AFAIK, these units are not routinely tested on
> the air.
>
> Barry

They are routinely tested on the air. You are allowed to test them in
the first 5 minutes of the hour. So it isn't unusual to hear them
during that time.

I just hope I never crash in the first 5 minutes after the hour.

2628. Baton Rouge Area Scanning

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 23:11:46 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <8WG97.2816$kg.192518@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62144

Once again BellSouth has been causing problems. A couple of
weeks ago my web page link quit working. I was told I would need
to drop the "lig" part of the URL. So I sent out messages and the
new URL worked for about a week. Last week the new URL quit
working and was informed the BellSouth was working on the
problem. I just found out that the old URL has started working
again so I called BellSouth for clarification. This tech told me that
I would need to put the "lig" back in my URL that it would not
be changing. So once again here is the message. My web page
is now working using the old URL:

http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis

The real (translated) URL that never quit working is:

http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis

I apologize for the confusion and I hope BellSouth has finally got
the web servers stable.

--
David M. Hitchner - k5dmh@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

K5DMH - k5dmh@arrl.net

Baton Rouge Area Scanning

Web Page: http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/~kd5eis
True URL: http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis

2629. Re: What's NCIC? (Salt Lake / Utah County)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 23:40:47 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9k7fl9$30np9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62145

"Jim Vecchiola" <jimkr2t@magpage.com> wrote in message
news:9k7208$c0h$0@216.155.33.113...
> I think it is National Crime Information (Computer)? or some such name.
> Jim
>

Actually, National Crime Information Center, home of the FBI's computer databases.

Tom

2630. Re: Possible solution to FA posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 23:43:03 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9k7fph$31e5f$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62146

"Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote in message
news:tme9lijdqrcf5c@corp.supernews.com...
> IMHO (which I respect ;):
> What is the big deal about a few FS or FA posts?????
> It's just like an X rated movie, if you don't want to see it, DON'T GO IN
> THE DAMN THEATER!! What's so hard about that?? Don't want to read it,
> don't click the frigin mouse, and keep on goin.....

Or if you never want to see FS or FA posts, simply set up your newsreader to
filter out any messages with FS or FA in the headers. Let the rest of us
who want to read them do so.

--
Tom Sevart
Registered Monitor KKS0CE
Amateur N2UHC

2631. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-07-31 23:59:07 UTC
From: Jeff <nospam4me@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9k7goc$31gah$1@ID-82690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:t72dmtsfdq53vh2slj5j1dqambr5epund6@4ax.com...
} "Frank" <nospam@mindspring.com> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
}
} >There's absolutely nothing in the charter prohibiting For Sale posts.
} >ISPs who complain should be directed to the above link. For those
} >without a decompression utility here's the text of the charter:
}
} It ALSO doesn't say it's not about space aliens,
} but I doubt anyone would argue it IS because that's not prohibited.

You obviously have no clue about Usenet groups. Scanners for sale
is a subject that's on-topic per the charter, space aliens are not.

} The charter put it in a hierarchy that includes rec.radio.swap,
} which IS for buying and selling radio equipment, so I think it's
} implied that THAT is where people would do their buying,
} selling, and auctioning.

Bullshit. Read the charter for rec.radio.swap and tell us where the words
buy, sell or auction appear.

} It REALLY doesn't bother me that much, but it obviously bothers some,
} and since you don't know WHO those few are, you're fighting a losing
} battle trying to defend against them.

Nonsense. As the charter is written no one has any basis for complaints
against FS/FA posts. Users who don't like them should either not read
them or relax their anal muscles a little. I'm the first one who would be
pushing for a retroactive charter which prohibits these posts IF
commercial businesses were regularly spamming this group, but they
aren't, and from the reponses to this thread far more of us prefer to see
these posts than not see them.

2632. Re: NYC Area: Continuous Sound on 121.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 00:02:07 UTC
From: albertorea@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24595-3B6746FF-478@storefull-267.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62051

[No readable article body recovered]

2633. Re: NYC Area: Continuous Sound on 121.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 00:03:55 UTC
From: albertorea@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24597-3B67476B-32@storefull-267.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 62050

sorry for those who get my message with HTML i hit send by accident... I heard once on 121.5 "delta ### i don't see you on my screen" Coul it be used as mutual aid?

http://angelfire.com/tx4/tt/index.html

2634. Re: How do On-Glass cell antennas work for monitoring 800 trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 00:10:55 UTC
From: Jim <jspaz@i-55.com>
Message-ID: <3B67490F.A31F7766@i-55.com>
Source record: 62049

Use them all the time. Just be careful of the auto glass you
mount it on. Some auto glass that is tinted, has metallic
properties. Need to check on the glass you have. Best to use a
non tinted area. Use front, side or rear. Also don't mount over
the heater wires on the rear window. The wires will mess up the
antenna performance.

Jim

LEADENWAH wrote:
>
> I'm considering installing a good quality (Antenna Specialists APA 874.3M) On
> Glass cellular antenna on my vehicle to use only for monitoring my local 800
> Trunking systems. I would simply connect the antenna to my mobile Trunk
> Tracker scanner.
>
> Anyone have much experience using On Glass antennas for monitoring ?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Geo

2635. Re: Re-sale value of PRO-51 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 00:13:57 UTC
From: Unclejoe <going34thegold@american.com>
Message-ID: <9k7hh3$p05$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62048

$150 is a great price, take it!
They are worth about $100 in excellent condition with charger and the real manual not online simplified manual. If they are before 8A4 date code they can be tricked into doing cell frequencies and are worth about $50 more.

"LBeecroft" <lbeecroft8041@home.com> wrote in message
news:Rmf97.3506$sf2.1108855@news3.rdc1.on.home.com...
> Hi!,
>
> What's the re-sale vaule of R.S. Realistic PRO-51 Scanner?.
>
> As this scanner has aircraft band, marine band, and weather band.
>
> My friend offered me $150.00 for the one I have, Should
> I go for this offer?. Or should I sell it for less?.
>
> If anyone has a suggestion for me please let me know.
>
> Thank-You
>
>
>

2636. Re: Pozilla Software Support, Any Ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 00:31:57 UTC
From: "Creighton J. Smith" <cjsmith1@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9k7j6q$m6q$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62052

Suspected this was the case. Hence the post. Will see if anyone has anything good to say and how many bad experiences are out there.

Shame too, can't find any other PC control software for the 2052. Didn't really want to write my own.

Thanks,
Creighton

> Your one mistake was having anything to do with Pozilla.
>
> I suggest you eat the financial loss, but help cause them some loss
> by letting more people know about your experiences with the company &
> their product.
>
> "Creighton J. Smith" wrote:
> >
> > Hello,
> >
> > Have run across a problem with Pozilla WinScan v1.0 with the RS-2052.
Seems
> > the alpha tags get wiped out by file corruption above channel 95. I may
> > very well be doing something wrong, but haven't heard a peep from
Pozilla
> > support after 5 days and counting. Thanks for any help!
> >
> > Best regards,
> > Creighton Smith
> > cjsmith1@ix.netcom.com
>
> --
> Nightwatch01@home.com

2637. Re: Problems with LAPD Digital System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 01:34:18 UTC
From: Jim MacDonald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B675C9A.4BFC3D8E@mitre.org>
Source record: 62053

The New Hampshire State Police went with a Motorola VHF Astro digital system state wide
several years ago I haven't herd they Helicoprer or light fixed wing aircraft on
the old VHF-Low band system wonder it teh doppler effect is less at VHF frequencies.
Jim

Larry Levy wrote:
>
> Hi, Jake:
>
> yep that seems to be the case. Right now, they seem to be keeping air
> units in the clear on a tac (484.4375). The article mentions something
> about Motorola not offering a digital aircraft radio and that the City
> has purchased some elsewhere. That still doesn't address the doppler
> problems I've heard can be associated with air units trying to use
> digital, as you say.
>
> -Larry
>
> On Tue, 31 Jul 2001 16:01:55 -0400, Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
> wrote:
>
> >On Tue, 31 Jul 2001 19:24:23 GMT, Larry Levy
> ><levysNOSpam@earthlink.net> wrote:
> >
> >>According to an article in today's (7/31/01) Los Angeles Times,
> >>LAPD's new digital Motorola system is getting poor reviews from
> >>officers. The article cites a number of problems, mainly signal
> >>quality, including air units not being able to use digital mode at
> >>all.
> >>
> >>Here's the URL:
> >>http://www.latimes.com/news/local/la-000062132jul31.story
> >
> >You know, they must have been having a major brain fade for not
> >including helicopters in the picture. Since Police helicopters
> >operate under 14CFR91 (just like the rest of General Aviation),
> >installing a radio should be no worse than a form 337 from a local
> >Flight Standards District Office. I doubt that a "helicopter radio"
> >was the issue.
> >
> >I suspect that what really happened is that this digital system can't

> >handle a fast moving airborne vehicle. It wouldn't surprise me to
> >learn that they need to patch the helicopter radio traffic in from a
> >few fixed sites and didn't plan on it.
> >
> >So: was it the LAPD's fault for not including their helicopter fleet
> >from the beginning --or was it Motorola's fault for not clearly
> >explaining the limitations of the system? Only their trial lawyers
> >know for sure :-)
> >
> >
> >Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> >"Beware of the massive impossible!"
>
> http://u1.netgate.net/~kirby34/rsg/levyl.htm
> http://www.geocities.com/levys2/levyslinks.html
> Remove "NoSpam" for email

2638. Re: FDNY - PL Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 01:36:45 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B675D2B.A90A170A@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62054

I monitored this freq for the last 1/2 hour using a PRO-92, and heard
transmissions with

PL=192.8, and
PL=186.2

The first appears to be Monmouth County, NJ, but you may want to
verify that.
The second appears to be Manhatten.

Walt

BobbyM2362 wrote:
>
> I'm trying to find out the PL tones for the FDNY fire frequencies, especially
> Citywide (154.43)... I'm tired of having to listen to New Jersey's Monmouth
> County Fire Service. Any help would be appreciated.
>
> Rob
> http://members.aol.com/ladder2362

2639. Re: WTT: 2045 for 895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 02:05:19 UTC
From: gatrgrad68@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010731220519.14430.00000324@ng-ct1.aol.com>
Source record: 62055

>895XLT does not have mil.

Hi george...my 895XLT covers 225-400 mhz which is mil-air

2640. Re: Problems with LAPD Digital System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 02:30:02 UTC
From: Larry Levy <levysNOSpam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gaqemtgmn2cg6bm4ak4c33nosbjp6ugd8d@4ax.com>
Source record: 62056

Hi, Jim:

Actually, I don't think the frequency matters in terms of the prblem
with air units talking to ground units. Here in L.A., the PD uses UHF
and the LAFD uses 800 Mhz to do it.

I think it has something to do with decoding the digital signal, but I
have no idea how that works.

-Larry

On Tue, 31 Jul 2001 21:34:18 -0400, Jim MacDonald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
wrote:

>The New Hampshire State Police went with a Motorola VHF Astro digital
>system state wide
>several years ago I haven't herd they Helicoprer or light fixed wing
>aircraft on
>the old VHF-Low band system wonder it teh doppler effect is less at VHF
>frequencies.
>Jim

http://u1.netgate.net/~kirby34/rsg/levyl.htm
http://www.geocities.com/levys2/levyslinks.html
Remove "NoSpam" for email

2641. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 02:31:50 UTC
From: SPAMBLOCK_timmy23213213@aol.com
Message-ID: <9k7pml$32int$1@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62057
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

quoting:
> rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
> traffic above 30 MHz.

keyword: discussion. see this newsgroup is for discussion, not marketplace.

just because it doesn't say NOT to post it, it doesn't mean you can do it...
clearly, it's stated as a discussion. so let's keep it that way.

generally, if it's stated so and so, then that's what it was originally
intended for. and then if something becomes a problem, it is added to the
charter later on saying not to do it, but the charter is old, it hasn't been
updated for a long time. believe me, if someone was watching over this
group, then they would be updating that charter, and for sure it would have
been updated years ago stating "do not post for sale and for auction ads".

In article <9k5oa2$4im$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, nospam@mindspring.com
says...
>
>"Bill" <ka4drw@no.spam.juno.com> wrote in message
news:8dlcmtgg0ng9a4ck37c4pvsisnch0q6c39@4ax.com...
>} On 31 Jul 2001 05:48:24 GMT, SPAMBLOCK_timmy23213213@aol.com (Timmy
>} Johnes) wrote:
>}
>} >My questions are the following.
>} >
>} >1) Why do people think they can do whatever they want by continually
>} >violating the newsgroup's rules,
>}
>} Where are the rules for these groups?
>
>The original charter for rec.radio.scanner (from 1993) is here:
>ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/control/rec/rec.radio.scanner.Z
>
>There's absolutely nothing in the charter prohibiting For Sale posts.
>ISPs who complain should be directed to the above link. For those
>without a decompression utility here's the text of the charter:
>
>---
>From tale@uunet.uu.net Tue Aug 31 11:18:04 1993
>Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>Path: uunet!tale
>From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)

>Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
>Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
>Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
>Organization: UUNET Technologies, Inc
>Date: Tue, 31 Aug 1993 14:30:18 GMT
>Message-ID: <CCMoAI.266@uunet.uu.net>
>Lines: 16
>Xref: uunet control:707675
>
>rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote for
>creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug 1993.
>
>For your newsgroups file:
>rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
>
>The charter, culled from the call for votes:
>
> rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
> traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
> and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
> rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
> newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
> rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
> created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
> many who do not have access to USENET news.
>---
>
>

2642. Re: NiCads for BC2500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 03:09:31 UTC
From: jason.mangiafico@verizon.net
Message-ID: <9k7rtb$32int$2@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,sci.chem.electrochem.battery

hmmm... 2 years? nicad packs should definately last longer than that. for reference, the most common causes of early failure are:

1) a severe "memory effect" has built up over time. This is caused by consistantly not draining the battery all the way before recharging.

2) overcharge. This is caused by leaving the battery on the charger way longer than needed.

3) or a combination of both.

solutions:

1) memory effect: allow radio to shut off on it's own or until the display goes out about once every 5 charge cycles to keep away any problems with the memory effect. If you do this, then you still get a memory, then your radio isn't draining the battery enough to stop memory effect from happening. Most radios will be fine at draining batteries though. You will have to find an alternate way of completely discharging your battery.

2) overcharge. don't leave the battery on the charger longer than needed. Check the manual to see how long it should take, then try not to leave it charging any longer than needed. no manual? to find out how long charging should take, calculate battery capacity in mah divided by charger output in mah. Add 20% to the total, and that number is how many hours it will take. This would be for a completely discharged battery.

Also remember that if you happen to have your battery only half-discharged, then you can shorten the length of the charge accordingly. so remember that if you had a half discharged battery, then if you charged it for the full time, the extra charging time goes into overcharging the battery. now also remembering that charging a half-discharged battery more than 5 times in a row will bring on the "memory effect" problem, as stated above.

Do this to maintain your nicad packs, and you should get much more than the 2 years you're getting right now. however, if you're cycling your battery once a day non-stop, then 2 years sounds normal..

2643. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 03:09:49 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B67723D.102284E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 62058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

DeWayne wrote:

> I personally appreciate the scanners for sale being listed
> here.
> DeWayne

What we enjoy or appreciate is apparently of little or no
consequence to the likes of Timmy and his ilk. They want to protect us
from the annoyance or temptation of learning what is currently available
from other hobbyists. They are afraid, I guess, that we might spend our
own money unwisely.

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

2644. Re: Possible solution to FA posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 03:18:03 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B6774D9.6A6E48C6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 62060

BHall wrote:

> Who is dictating what we as scanner enthusiasts post on the
> newsgroups?

Well this guy, for one, has been whining loudly about it:
timmy232132@aol.com . he may be one of the ringleaders and perhaps a word
or two directed to him directly might convince him that being a "news
group nanny" is very annoying to the regular users.

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

2645. Re: Possible solution to FA posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 03:31:02 UTC
From: jason.mangiafico@verizon.net
Message-ID: <9k7t5l$32int$3@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62061

quoting:
>Or if you never want to see FS or FA posts, simply set up your newsreader to
>filter out any messages with FS or FA in the headers. Let the rest of us
>who want to read them do so.

filters are not the real, long term solution. Filters just cover up the
symptoms: the spam. The real solution would be to stop the spam from being
posted in the first place. as timmy stated, filters are just not standard.
timmy has a good point: someone please just put up a god damn marketplace
group!!
then the FS guys can post all they want without interference.

2646. Best Software for Pro-2006/OS456 Lite combo??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 03:32:31 UTC
From: Sandlapper <bbutler@home.com>
Message-ID: <jLK97.9073$k7.3176090@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 62062

Wondering what software (Windows) has the best track record for this combination? Would appreciate any comments based on experience, if possible....I know that Probe is very popular - and I may end up buying it, but I prefer the Windows interface and mouse capabilities.

Thanks,

Sandlapper

2647. FBI technology raises privacy issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 03:34:28 UTC
From: N9NWO <n9nwo@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <7NK97.4784$WB.407186@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 62063
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.radio.scanner,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc

Page 3A

FBI technology raises privacy issues
By Richard Willing
USA TODAY

An alleged bookmaker with organized crime ties is challenging the FBI's use of a computer bugging device that allowed agents to break into secure files the government says contained his gambling records.

Federal Judge Nicholas Politan of Newark, N.J., heard arguments Monday on the motion by alleged bookmaker Nicodemo Scarfo Jr., 36, to suppress information gathered from a surveillance device called a ''key logger system'' that FBI agents placed on his computer in 1999.

The device, which keeps track of keystrokes as they are made on a computer terminal's keyboard, allowed the FBI to get the password to files that Scarfo had protected with a sophisticated scrambling program.

Politan asked lawyers for the U.S. government and Scarfo to give him more information about the process. The judge did not say when he would rule.

Privacy watchdogs nationwide have cast the case as the latest example of how technology is threatening privacy rights. The case is the first known example of the government's use of keystroke monitoring, a process that one advocate called ''extremely troubling.''

''When you're talking about gathering up everything some person has typed, every stroke, you're getting very close to the kind of general search that the Constitution doesn't allow,'' says David Sobel, counsel for the Electronic Privacy Information Center, a privacy advocacy group in Washington. ''It's as if the government had a warrant to seize one book in your house, but was also allowed to haul out everything that's in there.''

Papers filed in the case and posted on the center's Web site tell this story:

In January 1999, FBI agents raided a business run by Scarfo in Belleville, N.J., and used a search warrant to justify copying the contents of his computer. But agents were unable to open one computer file, believed to contain bookmaking records. The scrambling program protected the file.

A U.S. magistrate authorized a second search warrant to enable agents to learn the password to the protected program by monitoring Scarfo's keystrokes.

Keyboard bugs can be software that is loaded onto a computer, or attachments that can be placed on the computer-keyboard link or on the keyboard itself. The FBI did not specify what type was used.

Scarfo's father, Nicodemo ''Little Nicky'' Scarfo, is serving a life sentence for running Philadelphia's organized crime family.

The younger Scarfo was suspected of using the business as a front for a bookmaking and loan-sharking operation.

Information from the computer files decoded by the FBI helped lead authorities to charge Scarfo and another New Jersey man, Frank Paolercio, 32, with gambling and extortion in June 2000.

Scarfo's lawyers say the government should have been required to seek a court-ordered wiretap rather than a search warrant. Wiretap orders are harder to get, limit surveillance to specific areas and prevent broad intelligence gathering.

In seeking the order to plant the bug, federal prosecutor Ronald Wigler said U.S. wiretap law did not apply because ''no wire, oral or electronic communications (would be) captured.''

Keystroke monitoring was developed in the 1990s, and privacy experts say U.S. law does not appear to cover the new technology. In 1999, the Clinton administration failed to get Congress to pass a law authorizing the type of surveillance at issue in the Scarfo case.

Scarfo was known for his interests in computing and software. In the 1980s, while prosecutors pursued his father, he worked for a software company in Florida.

http://www.usatoday.com/usatonline/20010731/3518716s.htm

Much of this technology has been around since the mid '80s. We have been using radios that receive what comes off your PC monitor for years. It is a very simple technique.

2648. 940.8625 Can U ID ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 03:39:36 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b6778ff.435732757@news.erols.com>
Source record: 62064

Howdy,

940.8625 (with maybe some wiggle room) heard on AR8200MKII
approx. 10P EST. across all modes but clearly best in NFM. Rhythmic,
"digital-type" multi-layered sound source. Satellite ?? Can U ID ??

TIA,

Andrew

2649. Re: FDNY - PL Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 04:07:55 UTC
From: Frank Raffa <FD347@aol.com>
Message-ID: <vgL97.60415$UH6.10310192@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 62065

Every borough uses 186.2.

Frank Raffa
Supv. Dispatcher, FDNY
www.FDNewYork.com

Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3B675D2B.A90A170A@worldnet.att.net...
> I monitored this freq for the last 1/2 hour using a PRO-92, and heard
> transmissions with
>
> PL=192.8, and
> PL=186.2
>
> The first appears to be Monmouth County, NJ, but you may want to
> verify that.
> The second appears to be Manhatten.
>
> Walt
>
> BobbyM2362 wrote:
> >
> > I'm trying to find out the PL tones for the FDNY fire frequencies,
especially
> > Citywide (154.43)... I'm tired of having to listen to New Jersey's
Monmouth
> > County Fire Service. Any help would be appreciated.
> >
> > Rob
> > http://members.aol.com/ladder2362

2650. Re: NYC Area: Continuous Sound on 121.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 04:09:38 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9k7ve2$r7p$0@63.162.247.60>
Source record: 62066
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Joey G wrote:
>
> Barry <nospam@snet.net> wrote in message news:<3B654DC9.92C018D@snet.net>...
> AFAIK, these units are not routinely tested on
> > the air.
> >
> > Barry
>
> They are routinely tested on the air. You are allowed to test them in
> the first 5 minutes of the hour. So it isn't unusual to hear them
> during that time.
>
> I just hope I never crash in the first 5 minutes after the hour.

As long as it takes to get a search going, it won't matter!

2651. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 04:15:41 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15d121ee3c8141ba9896cf@news>
Source record: 62068
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hey Timmy, do you read EVERY post in every newsgroup you subscribe to?

In article <9k7pml$32int$1@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>,
SPAMBLOCK_timmy23213213@aol.com says...
> quoting:
> > rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
> > traffic above 30 MHz.
>
>
> keyword: discussion. see this newsgroup is for discussion, not marketplace.
>
> just because it doesn't say NOT to post it, it doesn't mean you can do it...
> clearly, it's stated as a discussion. so let's keep it that way.
>
> generally, if it's stated so and so, then that's what it was originally
> intended for. and then if something becomes a problem, it is added to the
> charter later on saying not to do it, but the charter is old, it hasn't been
> updated for a long time. believe me, if someone was watching over this
> group, then they would be updating that charter, and for sure it would have
> been updated years ago stating "do not post for sale and for auction ads".
>
>
>
> In article <9k5oa2$4im$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, nospam@mindspring.com
> says...
> >
> >"Bill" <ka4drw@no.spam.juno.com> wrote in message
> news:8dlcmtgg0ng9a4ck37c4pvsisnch0q6c39@4ax.com...
> >} On 31 Jul 2001 05:48:24 GMT, SPAMBLOCK_timmy23213213@aol.com (Timmy
> >} Johnes) wrote:
> >}
> >} >My questions are the following.
> >} >
> >} >1) Why do people think they can do whatever they want by continually
> >} >violating the newsgroup's rules,
> >}
> >} Where are the rules for these groups?
> >
> >The original charter for rec.radio.scanner (from 1993) is here:
> >ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/control/rec/rec.radio.scanner.Z
> >
> >There's absolutely nothing in the charter prohibiting For Sale posts.
> >ISPs who complain should be directed to the above link. For those

> >without a decompression utility here's the text of the charter:
> >
> >---
> >From tale@uunet.uu.net Tue Aug 31 11:18:04 1993
> >Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> >Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> >Path: uunet!tale
> >From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> >Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> >Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
> >Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> >Organization: UUNET Technologies, Inc
> >Date: Tue, 31 Aug 1993 14:30:18 GMT
> >Message-ID: <CCMoAI.266@uunet.uu.net>
> >Lines: 16
> >Xref: uunet control:707675
> >
> >rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote for
> >creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug 1993.
> >
> >For your newsgroups file:
> >rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
> >
> >The charter, culled from the call for votes:
> >
> > rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
> > traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
> > and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
> > rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
> > newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
> > rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
> > created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
> > many who do not have access to USENET news.
> >---
> >
> >
>
>

2652. Re: Possible solution to FA posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 04:18:03 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15d1227639eecae49896d0@news>
Source record: 62069

So JM do you read every post in every newsgroup you subscribe to?

In article <9k7t5l$32int$3@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>,
jason.mangiafico@verizon.net says...
> quoting:
> >Or if you never want to see FS or FA posts, simply set up your newsreader to
> >filter out any messages with FS or FA in the headers. Let the rest of us
> >who want to read them do so.
>
>
> filters are not the real, long term solution. Filters just cover up the
> symptoms: the spam. The real solution would be to stop the spam from being
> posted in the first place. as timmy stated, filters are just not standard.
> timmy has a good point: someone please just put up a god damn marketplace
> group!!!
> then the FS guys can post all they want without interference.
>
>

2653. Re: Possible solution to FA posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 04:29:39 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B6785B2.47C6563@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62070

The REAL spam, of course, is your continual whining about what others
want to read and post. If you don't like the postings, don't read
them.. If that's too much trouble for you, don't read the newsgroup.

But, please, quit spamming us with your whining.

JM wrote:
>
> quoting:
> >Or if you never want to see FS or FA posts, simply set up your newsreader to
> >filter out any messages with FS or FA in the headers. Let the rest of us
> >who want to read them do so.
>
> filters are not the real, long term solution. Filters just cover up the
> symptoms: the spam. The real solution would be to stop the spam from being
> posted in the first place. as timmy stated, filters are just not standard.
> timmy has a good point: someone please just put up a god damn marketplace
> group!!!
> then the FS guys can post all they want without interference.

2654. Re: Possible solution to FA posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 04:31:39 UTC
From: jason.mangiafico@verizon.net
Message-ID: <9k80nb$32int$6@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62072

no, but it sucks to have to sift through the junk to get to the real posts.
filters aren't perfect anyhow. some junk will always get through.

In article <MPG.15d1227639eecae49896d0@news>, glenn.hansen@usa.net says...
>
>So JM do you read every post in every newsgroup you subscribe to?
>
>
>
>
>
>In article <9k7t5l$32int$3@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>,
>jason.mangiafico@verizon.net says...
>> quoting:
>> >Or if you never want to see FS or FA posts, simply set up your newsreader
to
>> >filter out any messages with FS or FA in the headers. Let the rest of us
>> >who want to read them do so.
>>
>>
>> filters are not the real, long term solution. Filters just cover up the
>> symptoms: the spam. The real solution would be to stop the spam from
being
>> posted in the first place. as timmy stated, filters are just not standard.

>> timmy has a good point: someone please just put up a god damn marketplace
>> group!!!
>> then the FS guys can post all they want without interference.
>>
>>

2655. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 04:31:40 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B678619.74D4E8EB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 62071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Glenn wrote:

> Hey Timmy, do you read EVERY post in every newsgroup you subscribe to?

You bet! Timmy is a meddlesome little newsgroup busybody. He wants to be sure that we only spend our money on things that Timmy thinks are wise and prudent. Timmy is also afraid that someone might sell his own property and receive some money for it. Timmy thinks THAT is wrong because some people don't have things to sell and then they would feel bad. And Timmy thinks that we shouldn't make other people feel bad. Timmy thinks that the best way to keep other people from feeling jealous is to be sure that no one sells anything because others might not have anything to sell or have any money to buy what others are selling. Timmy thinks that sometimes things get sold for too MUCH money on E-Bay and that is REALLY bad! Timmy thinks that everyone should just STOP because it makes Timmy sooo angry to see commercialism and capitalism at work. Timmy just wants you all to STOP all that nasty stuff and just talk about what Timmy wants to talk about!!! You see, this is TIMMY'S news group!

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

2656. Re: Best Software for Pro-2006/OS456 Lite combo??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 04:32:26 UTC
From: Terry Lee <terryllee@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B678653.5AC8F53@home.com>
Source record: 62073

The mouse does work with Probe!

It's the "best" controlling software I've used with my PRO-2006/OS456 and PRO-2042/OS535 scanners. The many various features makes it out shine all the rest. The only downside is the limited radio/interface support.

Sandlapper wrote:

> Wondering what software (Windows) has the best track record for this
> combination? Would appreciate any comments based on experience, if
> possible....I know that Probe is very popular - and I may end up buying it,
> but I prefer the Windows interface and mouse capabilities.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Sandlapper

2657. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 04:34:33 UTC
From: SPAMBLOCK_timmy23213213@aol.com
Message-ID: <9k80sp$32int$7@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62074
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Do you know what rules are for?

In article <MPG.15d121ee3c8141ba9896cf@news>, glenn.hansen@usa.net says...
>
>Hey Timmy, do you read EVERY post in every newsgroup you subscribe to?
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>In article <9k7pml$32int$1@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>,
>SPAMBLOCK_timmy23213213@aol.com says...
>> quoting:
>> > rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
>> > traffic above 30 MHz.
>>
>>
>> keyword: discussion. see this newsgroup is for discussion, not
marketplace.
>>
>> just because it doesn't say NOT to post it, it doesn't mean you can do
it...
>> clearly, it's stated as a discussion. so let's keep it that way.
>>
>> generally, if it's stated so and so, then that's what it was originally
>> intended for. and then if something becomes a problem, it is added to the
>> charter later on saying not to do it, but the charter is old, it hasn't
been
>> updated for a long time. believe me, if someone was watching over this
>> group, then they would be updating that charter, and for sure it would
have
>> been updated years ago stating "do not post for sale and for auction ads".
>>
>>
>>
>> In article <9k5oa2$4im$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, nospam@mindspring.com
>> says...
>> >
>> >"Bill" <ka4drw@no.spam.juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:8dlcmtgg0ng9a4ck37c4pvsisnch0q6c39@4ax.com...
>> >} On 31 Jul 2001 05:48:24 GMT, SPAMBLOCK_timmy23213213@aol.com (Timmy

>> >} Johnes) wrote:
>> >}
>> >} >My questions are the following.
>> >} >
>> >} >1) Why do people think they can do whatever they want by continually
>> >} >violating the newsgroup's rules,
>> >}
>> >} Where are the rules for these groups?
>> >
>> >The original charter for rec.radio.scanner (from 1993) is here:
>> >ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/control/rec/rec.radio.scanner.Z
>> >
>> >There's absolutely nothing in the charter prohibiting For Sale posts.
>> >ISPs who complain should be directed to the above link. For those
>> >without a decompression utility here's the text of the charter:
>> >
>> >---
>> >From tale@uunet.uu.net Tue Aug 31 11:18:04 1993
>> >Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
>> >Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>> >Path: uunet!tale
>> >From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
>> >Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
>> >Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
>> >Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
>> >Organization: UUNET Technologies, Inc
>> >Date: Tue, 31 Aug 1993 14:30:18 GMT
>> >Message-ID: <CCMoAI.266@uunet.uu.net>
>> >Lines: 16
>> >Xref: uunet control:707675
>> >
>> >rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote for
>> >creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug 1993.
>> >
>> >For your newsgroups file:
>> >rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
>> >
>> >The charter, culled from the call for votes:
>> >
>> > rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
>> > traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio
>> > and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
>> > rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
>> > newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
>> > rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
>> > created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
>> > many who do not have access to USENET news.
>> >---
>> >
>> >

>>
>>

2658. Re: Grove Scanner Beam

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 04:39:17 UTC
From: victor salvaggio <victors@fast.net>
Message-ID: <3B6787F5.377CD1B6@fast.net>
Source record: 62075

i agree on all, well put, 73, victorradio....k3vay

oldtimeradio@nospam.net wrote:

> The " i " in dBi stands for isotropic radiator..... an imaginary
> point that radiates equally in all directions. It doesn't have any
> "gain" because it is the baseline that other stuff is compared to.
>
> I said that the dipole in space had 2.14 decibels more gain than the
> isotropic radiator..... or 2.14 dBi.
>
> If you measured the gain of a yagi in space and got a value of 10.14
> dBi,,,,,,, you could say that the yagi had a gain of 8 dBd,,, or 8
> decibels more gain than a dipole.
>
> The confusing part comes in when manufactures simply say 12 dB gain.
> Gain compared to what? If all comparisons were made as free space
> gain (not influenced by ground reflections) then it would be easy to
> visualize the gain of the antenna. You'd simply subtract 2.14 dB to
> see how much better it was than a dipole.
>
> Also, before someone jumps in and says that 2.14 is
> wrong.............. some people use 2.11 some 2.15.
>
> Mr. Cebik has some comments on dBi vs dBd on his web site at
> http://www.cebik.com/dbi.html
>
> One last thing. I think people make too big a deal about a few dB's
> anyway. Admittedly, on rare occasions a couple dB's can make the
> difference in making or not making a contact. But 99.9 % of the time
> you'll never know the difference.
>
> Good luck with your quest.
>
> cul
> OTR
>
> On Sun, 01 Jul 2001 20:47:06 GMT, av8tor@licensed4fun.com (Av8tor)
> wrote:
>
> >
> >> Another poster questioned the gain of the two brands. There is
> >>nothing magic about antennas. If two brands have similar boom
> >>lengths, similar number of elements and cover similar frequency
> >>ranges, they will have similar gain. How the company chooses to

> >>represent those gains are a different matter. A dipole in "free
> >>space" has 2.14 dBi gain. The same dipole at one wavelength height
> >>over ground will have 7.7 dBi gain. It all depends on the reference.
> >
> >Correct me if I'm wrong (I'm still learning) but I thought "normally" antenna
> >gain was expressed in dB Gain over a 1/2 wave dipole in "free space". If that
> >is a correct statement, than how does your dipole in free space have a 2.14 dB
> >Gain? It has a 2.14 dB Gain over what? (not trying to be a smart $ss, just
> >trying to learn these things).
> >George
> >http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <-RadiosOnLine eZine for Scanner Nuts!

2659. Re: Looking for Invert2.ZIP Speech Inversion Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 04:41:02 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9k82i80303c@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62084

that is invert.zip. it is the same concept. but totally different.

Dev

"Brian Smith" <brian01@winmail.com.au> wrote in message
news:03w77.61077$Xr6.237740@news-server.bigpond.net.au...
> To those that i said i would get Invert2.zip for:
>
> It will be easier if you get it your self from the following website
,which
> may i add has some other very interesting radio software to!!
>
> Take a look at http://www.gebhart-sbg.at/download/ **Radio stuff is
about
> halfway down the page**
>
> Cheer's,
>
> Brian.
>
>
> "Helmut Wabnig" <h.w.a.b.n.i.g@a.o.n.at> wrote in message
> news:432tlt0it6eb474qtq0hosff03mc1kt757@4ax.com...
> > On Tue, 24 Jul 2001 20:14:09 -0500, "Power House" <Power House @ My
> > House (DOT) Net> wrote:
> >
> > >I hear people talking about this wonderful piece of software, and how
> much
> > >better it is that Invert.ZIP, but yet nobody seems to be able to
provide
> me
> > >with a source to acquire it. DON'T tell me to go do a search for it...
I
> > >have. I have used every search engine possible, I have used the
> Coppernic
> > >2001 Pro to look for it, and as far as I can tell, it does not exist.
> Sure,
> > >I came up with results on the search, but who the hell is looking for a
> DOS
> > >program to invert graphics???? Not me I can tell you that. If you
know
> > >of, or have this wondrous Speech inversion program, could you PLEASE
send
> me
> > >a copy via email (reply back and I'll give you my real email addy), or

a
> > >link to a location online that I can acquire it from.....
> > >
> > >Thanks!
> > >
> > >
> > mail me directly
> >
> > w.
> > to email remove 8 dots: ....
>
>

2660. Re: A Dumb Question, I'm Sure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 04:47:59 UTC
From: Plop$ Mom <plopsmom@NOSPAM.HOME.COM>
Message-ID: <3SL97.950$K6.291729@news2>
Source record: 62076

Have you checked the users manual to be sure that this scanner is programmable and will cover that frequency range? If it IS able to cover that frequency range, then the problem is not unique. It is currently illegal (in the USA and other places I'm sure) to sell a scanner that can scan these 900 frequencies. They ship a scanner that CAN scan them, but they program them NOT to. You may have to do a scanner RESET on it, and reprogram the frequencies in manually. However, realize that once you program your scanner with these frequencies, the scanner is illegal. Remember the key sequence to reset in case you need to do it in hurry!

"Jay Cohen" <jay585cool@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010728162006.25038.00002784@ng-fo1.aol.com...
> Hello, Folks. I have posted here a few times concerning some Long Island
> frequency questions as well as some scanner tech questions. I have found the
> information that I received to be of much help. As such, when my next question
> arose, I knew just where to come. To you folks. My questions is this: I have
> found several sites on the internet listing frequencies for cordless phones,
> baby monitors, radio stations, etc, however, often times when i try to enter
> the frequency into my PRO-92, it will say "invalid frequency". Now I know my
> scanner has several "srttings" if you will. MO,ED,AM,FM,PL,DL,LT. All I am
> knowledgeable about asfar as these go is the MO which stands for MOTOROLA. If
> I wanted to enter in somecordless phone frequencies, or say Plum Island
> security which is listed as 36.43, which one of these codes would I enter it
> under? Thanks for all your help!
>
> Jay Cohen

2661. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 04:53:07 UTC
From: SPAMBLOCK_timmy23213213@aol.com
Message-ID: <9k81vj$32int$8@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Can you get the simple fact that THIS IS NOT A MARKETPLACE TYPE OF NEWSGROUP!!!! The trash doen't belong here at all!!! The newsgroup police seem to be trying to restore this newsgroup to it's original discussion state. I don't know why the FS people seem to think that they can somehow turn this newsgroup into a marketplace. It's just not going to happen. It's clearly stated in that charter that this is a DISCUSSION newsgroup, and that will be enforced by the newsgroup police.

2662. WTB : Leather Case for Pro-43 / 64 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 05:01:06 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010801010106.28940.00003205@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 62078

There were custom leather cases avaliable from Radio Shack for the Pro 64
series that would also fit the Pro-43 scanner. It had a big zipper on the
bottom that would go from one side to the other.
If you have one available for sale, or know where they can still be purchased,
please e-mail me. Thank you.
Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

2663. Re: Beware of this guy he will kip you off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 05:04:15 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9k82i91303c@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62083

what is the point of telling us to watch out. If you call us all dumb fuck. ya goof. Most of us would not even listen to a troll like you. One minute telling everyone to be careful and not buy from this person. Then right after you call us all dumb fucks. I am lost. who is the dumb fuck. We never sent money to someone that screwed us over. + if you woulda been smart you woulda kept the serial # for the Money order and cancelled it or even tracked it. Canadians have a hard time cashing US money orders if they aren't the international type. But if you are gonna whine and snivel on here, why call all us other newsgroup readers dumb fucks.

"Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com> wrote in message
news:3b614d86_3@corp.newsgroups.com...
> HA HA HA
> you dumb fucks know what i meant.
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> ------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2664. Re: Pager decoding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 05:08:55 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9k82qc030ff@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62085

you need the discrim tap. or it will not work proper.

"KraVRon" <KraVRon@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:9k7vlv$999$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> i have a SC150b and a BC80XLT and i want to decode pagers i have a few
> programs and they don't seem to be decoding right... i read something
> somewhere about tapping the discriminator if i have to do that where would
i
> get the info on that and if not why isn't it decode them right
>
>
> Thanks in advance
>
>

2665. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 05:15:12 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <EbM97.89$Ve4.3843@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 62079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

OK, I want to DISCUSS the sale of scanners and related equipment. Any individual who has this type of equipment for sale or for auction, please post it, so we can DISCUSS the equipment, it's features and current market value. Some of us might also want to DISCUSS the possible purchase of the equipment. I've seen some pretty interesting DISCUSSIONS regarding various sales and auctions, and I enjoy reading these topics and DISCUSSING them with others.

Mark

"Timmy Johns" <SPAMBLOCK_timmy23213213@aol.com> wrote in message news:9k81vj$32int$8@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de...
> Can you get the simple fact that THIS IS NOT A MARKETPLACE TYPE OF
> NEWSGROUP!!!! The trash doen't belong here at all!!! The newsgroup police
> seem to be trying to restore this newsgroup to it's original discussion
> state. I don't know why the FS people seem to think that they can somehow
> turn this newsgroup into a marketplace. It's just not going to happen. It's
> clearly stated in that charter that this is a DISCUSSION newsgroup, and that
> will be enforced by the newsgroup police.
>

2666. Re: Pozilla Software Support, Any Ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 05:31:25 UTC
From: hudsonvalleycomm@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010801013125.02251.00001907@ng-ck1.aol.com>
Source record: 62080

Hello. Dont feel bad about no reply from Pozilla Support. I have been calling them for over a week. I too am using the WinScan Ver. 1.0 for a Uniden 780 EDACS scanner. I am haveing alot of problems with it ranging from display problems on my computer to intermitant problems with the EDACS trunking while using the PC to the scanner. I would think that after you spend $50- 60 on someones product, you would atleast get one call back. I think we are all in the same boat. Good luck on your end!
Glenn- KB2GQZ

2667. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 06:06:34 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15d13be8e6181d3b9896d1@news>
Source record: 62081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Don't answer a question with a question Timmy.

Do you read EVERY post in every newsgroup you subscribe to?

Yes or No?

In article <9k80sp$32int$7@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>,
SPAMBLOCK_timmy23213213@aol.com says...
> Do you know what rules are for?
>
>
>
> In article <MPG.15d121ee3c8141ba9896cf@news>, glenn.hansen@usa.net says...
> >
> >Hey Timmy, do you read EVERY post in every newsgroup you subscribe to?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >In article <9k7pml$32int$1@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>,
> >SPAMBLOCK_timmy23213213@aol.com says...
> >> quoting:
> >> > rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
> >> > traffic above 30 MHz.
> >>
> >>
> >> keyword: discussion. see this newsgroup is for discussion, not
> marketplace.
> >>
> >> just because it doesn't say NOT to post it, it doesn't mean you can do
> it...
> >> clearly, it's stated as a discussion. so let's keep it that way.
> >>
> >> generally, if it's stated so and so, then that's what it was originally
> >> intended for. and then if something becomes a problem, it is added to the
> >> charter later on saying not to do it, but the charter is old, it hasn't
> been
> >> updated for a long time. believe me, if someone was watching over this
> >> group, then they would be updating that charter, and for sure it would
> have
> >> been updated years ago stating "do not post for sale and for auction ads".

> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> In article <9k5oa2$4im$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>, nospam@mindspring.com
> >> says...
> >> >
> >> >"Bill" <ka4drw@no.spam.juno.com> wrote in message
> >> news:8dlcmtgg0ng9a4ck37c4pvsisnch0q6c39@4ax.com...
> >> >} On 31 Jul 2001 05:48:24 GMT, SPAMBLOCK_timmy23213213@aol.com (Timmy
> >> >} Johnes) wrote:
> >> >}
> >> >} >My questions are the following.
> >> >} >
> >> >} >1) Why do people think they can do whatever they want by continually
> >> >} >violating the newsgroup's rules,
> >> >}
> >> >} Where are the rules for these groups?
> >> >
> >> >The original charter for rec.radio.scanner (from 1993) is here:
> >> >ftp://ftp.uu.net/usenet/control/rec/rec.radio.scanner.Z
> >> >
> >> >There's absolutely nothing in the charter prohibiting For Sale posts.
> >> >ISPs who complain should be directed to the above link. For those
> >> >without a decompression utility here's the text of the charter:
> >> >
> >> >---
> >> >From tale@uunet.uu.net Tue Aug 31 11:18:04 1993
> >> >Control: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> >> >Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> >> >Path: uunet!tale
> >> >From: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> >> >Subject: newgroup rec.radio.scanner
> >> >Approved: tale@uunet.uu.net
> >> >Sender: tale@uunet.uu.net (David C Lawrence)
> >> >Organization: UUNET Technologies, Inc
> >> >Date: Tue, 31 Aug 1993 14:30:18 GMT
> >> >Message-ID: <CCMoAI.266@uunet.uu.net>
> >> >Lines: 16
> >> >Xref: uunet control:707675
> >> >
> >> >rec.radio.scanner is an unmoderated newsgroup which passed its vote for
> >> >creation by 298:24 as reported in news.announce.newgroups on 25 Aug 1993.
> >> >
> >> >For your newsgroups file:
> >> >rec.radio.scanner "Utility" broadcasting traffic above 30 MHz.
> >> >
> >> >The charter, culled from the call for votes:
> >> >
> >> > rec.radio.scanner is a newsgroup for discussion about "utility"
> >> > traffic above 30 MHz. FM & TV-broadcasting, shortwave, amateur radio

> >> > and broadcast satellite-related material doesn't belong to
> >> > rec.radio.scanner because they have their own newsgroups. This
> >> > newsgroup replaces alt.radio.scanner. In addition, the
> >> > rec.radio.scanner will be gatewayed to a mailing list which will be
> >> > created as soon as possible after newsgroup creation, as there are
> >> > many who do not have access to USENET news.
> >> >---
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >>
>
>

2668. FS Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 06:09:59 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9k86fngkv0@63.162.246.185>
Source record: 62082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Timmy Johns wrote:
>
> Can you get the simple fact that THIS IS NOT A MARKETPLACE TYPE OF

I got a bunch of Micors for sale, VHF and low band, 20.00 each,
plus shipping. Makes great recievers.

2669. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 06:50:17 UTC
From: nickle911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010801025017.08220.00002393@ng-cs1.aol.com>
Source record: 62086

Here's an idea for you Timmy just ignore the messages that doesn't concern you.You small mind boob

2670. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 07:23:42 UTC
From: Dennis Coe <dscoe@icehouse.net>
Message-ID: <28O97.12654$Up.366342@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 62087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I LIKE to see whats for sale and auction and what the going prices are. So if you don't like that type of post --- don't read it. What's so hard about that?
Dennis in Idaho

>
> keyword: discussion. see this newsgroup is for discussion, not marketplace.

Hmmm I like to DISCUSS whats for sale!
>
> >

2671. Pager decoding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 07:24:57 UTC
From: KraVRon <KraVRon@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9k7vlv$999$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62067

i have a SC150b and a BC80XLT and i want to decode pagers i have a few programs and they don't seem to be decoding right... i read something somewhere about tapping the discriminator if i have to do that where would i get the info on that and if not why isn't it decode them right

Thanks in advance

2672. Re: Pager decoding (with NO discrim needed!!!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 08:07:18 UTC
From: AJ <AJ_01@telstra.com>
Message-ID: <WMO97.78860$Xr6.379096@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 62088

Refer to this site: http://www.gebhart-sbg.at/download/ and download PDW1.09 ,you WONT need a discrim tap to decode 90% of the Pagers (only if you want to do Mobitex) and it works better that POCSAG when setup right ,also decode's MOBITEX ,FLEX and ACARS etc etc

Make sure that you set your line in and scanner volume right (just set you PC line-in or mic to one level and then play around with the scanner volume or visa versa till you get it decoding with no errors) it might take a little time but beats stuffing round putting in a Discrim Tap ,anyway its all in the help file!!

Have fun.

AJ.

P.S Read the .nfo in the zip for registration code ;)

"Dev" <.> wrote in message news:9k82qc030ff@enews3.newsguy.com...
> you need the discrim tap. or it will not work proper.
>
> "KraVRon" <KraVRon@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:9k7vlv$999$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> > i have a SC150b and a BC80XLT and i want to decode pagers i have a few
> > programs and they don't seem to be decoding right... i read something
> > somewhere about tapping the discriminator if i have to do that where
would
> i
> > get the info on that and if not why isn't it decode them right
> >
> >
> > Thanks in advance
> >
> >
>
>

2673. Re: Rogue Cancelling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 08:36:35 UTC
From: The Famous KS<unk>F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <ncP97.10948$Ke4.8041388@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 62089

Greg Renner <grenner@qwest.net> wrote
> Anybody notice how the FS messages are being cancelled? I noticed 3
dated
> today being cancelled.

We used to have a person on this NG who was doing rogue cancelling about 2
years ago.
He was caught, & his abusive actions online caused him to lose his (cable TV
company) ISP.
However, he died just over a year ago.

Justice does prevail!

2674. Re: Strange signal on 263.625 (wav sample)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 11:23:48 UTC
From: Trevor Harris <ibtrevor@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B67E6C8.793FD5B1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 62091
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: ibtrevor.vcf

What you are most likely hearing in oth targeting data. This is downlinked
from a satellite. The other part of this system is the link 11 on hf 2-30 mhz.
(btw: oth stands for over the horizon) The system works with all the other
navy ships and airborne aircraft, they all share thier info on the system so
that thier fire control systems all have the same information. Basically a
ship in the mediteranian and a ship in the pacific would all see the same
information on "Targets"

"Dan B (Scanner Nuts)" wrote:

> While listening to the Military Aviation band today I notice my Icom
> PCR-1000 kept stopping on 263.825 (AM) and I heard a static burst. I
> switched the Icom to SSB (USB) and I'm hearing a chirp that I have never
> heard of before. This is definitely a man made signal. I suspect it is some
> kind of telemetry for the space shuttle since I have that frequency labeled
> as "shuttle support". I think its a packet type signal but it's unlike
> anything I have heard before!
> I have placed a sample of it on my website:
> http://www.webdeals.net/special/PCR43.wav
> Please email me if you *know* what this is. I'm not looking for guesses.
>
> --
> The Scanner Nuts! ï¿½
> http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
> 0ver 375 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
> New and Old.... bring back the memories.
> In God we trust, all others we monitor.

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

2675. Re: BC3000XLT Battery Pack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 12:09:30 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <0A7ADA584633A77A.D534A6E0F9A3F5D3.64085632F69168B9@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 62092

On Wed, 01 Aug 2001 02:29:31 GMT, Muerte <Muerte@bogus.net> wrote:

>I am in need of a new battery pack for my scanner. Any ideas on where
>the lowest prices are. Grove sells it for about $49.00. I need to buy
>the complete pack and not just the insert as the PCB has gone bad.
>
>Also, since Uniden makes scanners for RS can I use a RS battery pack
>with my scanner? If so which one?
>
>Thanks

Uniden also wants over 50 dollars for the pack. (By the
way, you can use either BP2500 or BP3000 packs.)

I suggest you check with a few of the companies that
rebuild packs. They may have some in stock possibly at a
lower price. A few good places are www.nicdlady.com (which
I have used), and www.primecell.com which is good also.

If you go with a rebuilt pack, I highly recommend you purchase
one that has been rebuilt with the newer NiMH batteries rather then
NiCads. They will run the scanner hours longer on a full charge,
and do not suffer from the "memory effect".

2676. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 12:59:29 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9k8ueq$33vp0$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Timmy Johnes" <SPAMBLOCK_timmy23213213@aol.com> wrote in message
news:9k7pml$32int$1@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de...
>
> just because it doesn't say NOT to post it, it doesn't mean you can do
it...
>

I beg to differ. If there's no stated prohibition against posting for
sale/for auction messages, then you should be able to. As I stated before,
if you don't like them, don't read them. And set up your newsreader to
filter them out. Any decent newsreader has filters.

Tom

2677. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 13:09:18 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9k8v16$3c5fa$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62094
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Timmy Johns" <SPAMBLOCK_timmy23213213@aol.com> wrote in message
news:9k80sp$32int$7@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de...
> Do you know what rules are for?
>

Show me the rule that says that for sale or for auction posts aren't allowed
here.

Tom

2678. Re: Possible solution to FA posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 13:19:19 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9k8vjv$3dflo$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 62095

"JM" <jason.mangiafico@verizon.net> wrote in message
news:9k80nb$32int$6@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de...
> no, but it sucks to have to sift through the junk to get to the real
posts.
> filters aren't perfect anyhow. some junk will always get through.

So where do we draw the line? Do we not let anyone post URL's for their scanner-related websites because it's considered spamming? Or do we not let people post frequencies for their area because nobody in other parts of the country would be able to hear them? Sorry, but we can't tailor the newsgroup to fit everyone's personal tastes. That's why it's up to each particular reader to either filter out or simply ignore what they don't want to read.

Frankly, I'd much rather have on-topic for sale posts here than all the off-topic bickering and racial garbage you see on rec.radio.shortwave.

Tom

2679. Re: NiCads for BC2500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 13:22:40 UTC
From: pat.szuch@swagelok.com
Message-ID: <761a8bd8.0108010522.e840be3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62096
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,sci.chem.electrochem.battery

I am familiar with the common causes of NiCad failure and have tried reasonably hard to avoid the practices you mentioned (not draining battery before recharging, severe over-charging, etc.). I have one of the very early model BC2500XLTs which tend to drain the batteries constantly, even when the power is off. Thus, if I leave the unit sitting for 3-4 days after a full charge, it will have no battery power at that time. I believe this constant battery drain is the cause of some of my NiCad problems. I generally try to remember to take the NiCad pack off the radio when not in use, but there are times I forget and leave it on for weeks at a time. I am guessing that the slow battery drain is damaging the batteries after a period of time. I have a friend with a newer model BC2500XLT (battery drain problem fixed) and he gets much better NiCad pack life than I do (probably 4-5 years before replacement is necessary). His charging procedures are similar to mine, so I have to believe my scanner is causing much of my problems. Reading through old newsgroup posts, I do see that many BC2500XLT and BC3000XLT owners are reporting similar battery pack life (2 years) as I am, so I would not be too quick to blame it all on poor charging procedures. The common opinion seems to be that the BC2500/3000XLT is a nice scanner, but the battery packs are terrible.

My original post stated that there are 3 batteries inside my pack (2 approx. AA size and 1 half size). It turns out that there are actually 5 identical (all the 1/2 size) cells in there. I was confused because a couple pairs were wrapped together in paper, and looked like single, larger batteries. Anyway, I apparently need 5 1.2V cells for this battery pack. A local electronics store sells them for around $5.00/battery. Does this sound about right? At that price, it will cost me around $25.00 to rebuild my pack, which is still way less than the $65.00 it will cost to purchase a new pack locally. I'm going to shop around a bit more, as I'm also interested in trying the NiMH style cells.

Regards,
Patrick Szuch

jason.mangiafico@verizon.net (JM) wrote in message news:<9k7rtb$32int$2@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>...
> hmmm... 2 years? nicad packs should definately last longer than that. for
> reference, the most common causes of early failure are:
>
> 1) a severe "memory effect" has built up over time. This is caused by
> consistantly not draining the battery all the way before recharging.
>

> 2) overcharge. This is caused by leaving the battery on the charger way
> longer than needed.
>
> 3) or a combination of both.
>
>
> solutions:
>
> 1) memory effect: allow radio to shut off on it's own or until the display
> goes out about once every 5 charge cycles to keep away any problems with the
> memory effect. If you do this, then you still get a memory, then your radio
> isn't draining the battery enough to stop memory effect from happening.
> Most radios will be fine at draining batteries though. You will have to find
> an alternate way of completely discharging your battery.
>
> 2) overcharge. don't leave the battery on the charger longer than needed.
> Check the manual to see how long it should take, then try not to leave it
> charging any longer than needed. no manual? to find out how long charging
> should take, calculate battery capacity in mah divided by charger output in
> mah. Add 20% to the total, and that number is how many hours it will take.
> This would be for a completely discharged battery.
>
> Also remember that if you happen to have your battery only half-discharged,
> then you can shorten the length of the charge accordingly. so remember that
> if you had a half discharged battery, then if you charged it for the full
> time, the extra charging time goes into overcharging the battery. now also
> remembering that charging a half-discharged battery more than 5 times in a
> row will bring on the "memory effect" problem, as stated above.
>
> Do this to maintain your nicad packs, and you should get much more than the 2
> years you're getting right now. however, if you're cycling your battery once
> a day non-stop, then 2 years sounds normal..

2680. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 13:39:31 UTC
From: Pres Rixey <pmrixey@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <nET97.53$tr5.23743698@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Timmy Johns" <SPAMBLOCK_timmy23213213@aol.com> wrote in message
news:9k80sp$32int$7@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de...
> Do you know what rules are for?
>
To be ignored?

2681. ?? Uniden Bearcat BC350A Question for Mobile Use ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 13:59:18 UTC
From: sblaine@adelphia.net
Message-ID: <3b68092b.91626154@nntp>
Source record: 62034

I have the Uniden Bearcat BC350A scanner in my home and would like to
install it in my car. I brought it outside and it seems to work fine.
My question is , Do I need an mobile antenna ????
I only want to pickup local police and fire all within 10 miles.
Any answers would be greatly appreciated !!

Shawn

sblaine@adelphia.net

2682. Re: NYC Area: Continuous Sound on 121.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 14:17:27 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <sk0gmtk3c02220l3u4tiubvtvms3jhp6r1@4ax.com>
Source record: 61998

On Tue, 31 Jul 2001 19:03:55 -0500 (CDT), albertorea@webtv.net
(Special Agent Fox Mulder) wrote:

>sorry for those who get my message with HTML i hit send by accident... I
>heard once on 121.5 "delta ### i don't see you on my screen" Coul it be
>used as mutual aid?

121.5 is like CB channel 9 for aviators. If you lose communications with Air Traffic Controllers, set your transponder squawk to 7600 and go to 121.5 to re-establish. Most Center, Approach, and Tower controllers have this channel available to them as well as many flight service stations (where pilots can open and close flight plans, get NOTAM updates, weather information, and file pilot weather reports or other important observations concerning air safety).

If you have an in-flight emergency and you weren't in radio contact with anyone, you can use 121.5 to yell for help. Many pilots monitor this frequency and will relay any distress calls they may hear. When I fly over sparsely populated areas, I always keep one radio on 121.5.

Finally, in the event you are intercepted by the military (a rare event for civilian aircraft, and I hope it stays that way) you are expected to establish communications on 121.5 if you can. OT: There is a backup method of communicating with aircraft on an intercept by rolling, pitching, and yawing, if no radio communications can be established. This is one of many reasons the Peruvians were so widely criticized for shooting down a civilian aircraft mistakenly thought to be a drug runner.

Emergency Landing Transmitters (ELT) work on this frequency. They *should* put a loud whooping AM siren sound on the air. There are a few "smart" ELTs which also transmit information on last known position.

ELTs also work on 243 MHz and 406 MHz. Supposedly the Coast Guard is trying to get everyone to make a transition to the newer 406 MHz ELTs.

Frankly, I have a bad attitude about ELT performance. Over 99% of all ELTs you hear are false alarms. The problems range from poor installation on airframes, obsolete shock switches, and poor landing technique. Finding an active ELT can take as much as a day or more. Were they not required by regulation, I wouldn't bother installing or maintaining one. A hand-held aviation radio in a flight bag is better insurance, more reliable, and more useful.

Jake Brodsky, mailto:frussle@erols.com
PP ASEL IA, Cessna Cardinal N30946, Based @ FME

Amateur Radio Station AB3A

2683. Re: Problems with LAPD Digital System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 14:20:56 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <ku3gmtckbm3vltk2bk539pgv8j2bjnjiv4@4ax.com>
Source record: 61999

On Wed, 01 Aug 2001 02:30:02 GMT, Larry Levy
<levysNOSpam@earthlink.net> wrote:

>Actually, I don't think the frequency matters in terms of the prblem
>with air units talking to ground units. Here in L.A., the PD uses UHF
>and the LAFD uses 800 Mhz to do it.
>
>I think it has something to do with decoding the digital signal, but I
>have no idea how that works.

I don't know the specifics of ASTRO enough to comment on whether
doppler effects on radio signals are a factor. I do know that they
must be using multiple radio sites (as you would with a cellular
system) and that aircraft can really mess up that pretty looking plan.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

2684. Dial Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 14:51:00 UTC
From: mleach@gfn.org
Message-ID: <e7c777c5.0108010650.44e61be0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62000

I am scannig cells in my area and I want to decode the telephone digits dialed, but I am not hearing the digits being dialed. I am actively looking for information on this problem and thought someone might be able to shed some light on the subject. Are the digits encrypted?

mike

2685. Re: Dial Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 15:25:04 UTC
From: snoopy@seashell.sasquatch.com
Message-ID: <wv93d7bol9r.fsf@seashell.sasquatch.com>
Source record: 62001

I don't believe they are actually sent as tones on a cell system.
I think all of the call nogotiating stuff is done quietly. It would
probably be a little bothersome to listen to that every time you placed
a call.

--Stan

mleach@gfn.org (m.Leach) writes:

>
> I am scannig cells in my area and I want to decode the telephone
> digits dialed, but I am not hearing the digits being dialed. I am
> actively looking for information on this problem and thought someone
> might be able to shed some light on the subject. Are the digits
> encrypted?
>
> mike

2686. Re: Problems with LAPD Digital System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 15:40:02 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B6822D0.CD6D13D9@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62002

Cellular sytems and trunked systems are different. In a cellular system, the adjacent sites broadcast on different frequencies. As you move away from one site and into the range of another site, your cell phone changes frequency.

In a trunking system, all transmitter site operate on the same frequency(ies). The sites are synchronized to minimize interference effects. As you move away from one site and into the range of another site, your receiver stays tuned to the same frequency.

Walt

Jake Brodsky wrote:
>
>
> I don't know the specifics of ASTRO enough to comment on whether
> doppler effects on radio signals are a factor. I do know that they
> must be using multiple radio sites (as you would with a cellular
> system) and that aircraft can really mess up that pretty looking plan.
>
> 73,
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"

2687. Re: Dial Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 15:45:13 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9k983a0ttq@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 62005

the dtmf is sent on the data control channel as actual data. the only way you can find someones phone number with a program that is out there. but I have never succeeded to get it to work. the only problem is the software like most other software, is that it is illegal. it will show you the users phone # and ESN. but I think technology changed on analog sites in the states and canada.

"m.Leach" <mleach@gfn.org> wrote in message news:e7c777c5.0108010650.44e61be0@posting.google.com...
> I am scannig cells in my area and I want to decode the telephone
> digits dialed, but I am not hearing the digits being dialed. I am
> actively looking for information on this problem and thought someone
> might be able to shed some light on the subject. Are the digits
> encrypted?
>
> mike

2688. Re: Possible solution to FA posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 15:48:30 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15d1c4469b2de7f19896d2@news>
Source record: 62003

JM,

Use your friggin pinhead and skip all messages that have to do with
auctions and for sale. Filters will catch 99% of the junk you don't
want to see. Try another excuse with your whine.

In article <9k80nb$32int$6@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>,
jason.mangiafico@verizon.net says...
> no, but it sucks to have to sift through the junk to get to the real posts.
> filters aren't perfect anyhow. some junk will always get through.
>
>
> In article <MPG.15d1227639eecae49896d0@news>, glenn.hansen@usa.net says...
> >
> >So JM do you read every post in every newsgroup you subscribe to?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >In article <9k7t5l$32int$3@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>,
> >jason.mangiafico@verizon.net says...
> >> quoting:
> >> >Or if you never want to see FS or FA posts, simply set up your newsreader
> to
> >> >filter out any messages with FS or FA in the headers. Let the rest of us
> >> >who want to read them do so.
> >>
> >>
> >> filters are not the real, long term solution. Filters just cover up the
> >> symptoms: the spam. The real solution would be to stop the spam from
> being
> >> posted in the first place. as timmy stated, filters are just not standard.
>
> >> timmy has a good point: someone please just put up a god damn marketplace
> >> group!!!
> >> then the FS guys can post all they want without interference.
> >>
> >>
>
>

2689. Re: Rogue Cancelling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 15:58:25 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15d1c6a19c2313719896d3@news>
Source record: 62004

In article <nflfmt46fkcj13oddnuqg6rlls9iq97pgg@4ax.com>,
thekat@san.rxyzr.com says...
> "The Famous KS&#65533;F" <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
> >Greg Renner <grenner@qwest.net> wrote
> >> Anybody notice how the FS messages are being cancelled? I noticed 3
> >dated
> >> today being cancelled.
> >
> >We used to have a person on this NG who was doing rogue cancelling about 2
> >years ago.

He went by the name of "Toilet-Boy".

> >He was caught, & his abusive actions online caused him to lose his (cable TV
> >company) ISP.

Then he went into the Naval Reserve.

> >However, he died just over a year ago.

I didn't know you were dead Mackey.

> John, you're a LYING asshole bastard !

Most all will agree with this assessment.

> YOU were the one caught forging cancels,

Yes, Mackey did get caught doing that.

> and it was obvious even BEFORE
> the ones could be traced directly TO YOU, because ONLY posts critical of
> you were canceled..

Bud shoots....he scores. You are 100% right Bud. The proof that the
bed pan changer Mackey is a liar is the simple fact that NO ISP will
release information concerning customers, including loss of access.

2690. Re: NiCads for BC2500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 16:48:28 UTC
From: eric2@limpoc.com
Message-ID: <9k9bss$919$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 62006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,sci.chem.electrochem.battery

The BC2500XLT has a very high threshold voltage at which it decides the battery is discharged. It may be that over time your battery's at-rest voltage has come down a bit due to being overcharged by the charger regularly, and that's made it so that even when fully charged the voltage is .05 - .1 v lower than it should be, so that the scanner decides it's fully discharged even when it's still 90% full. Your battery may still have most Of it's capacity, but just isn't using it. I vaguely recall hearing about a way to adjust that threshold voltage, but I have no idea where. :(Perhaps some web searching would turn something up. I think it's a known problem.

eric

On 1 Aug 2001 06:22:40 -0700, PatrickS <pat.szuch@swagelok.com> wrote:
>I am familiar with the common causes of NiCad failure and have tried
>reasonably hard to avoid the practices you mentioned (not draining
>battery before recharging, severe over-charging, etc.). I have one of
>the very early model BC2500XLTs which tend to drain the batteries
>constantly, even when the power is off. Thus, if I leave the unit
>sitting for 3-4 days after a full charge, it will have no battery
>power at that time. I believe this constant battery drain is the
>cause of some of my NiCad problems. I generally try to remember to
>take the NiCad pack off the radio when not in use, but there are times
>I forget and leave it on for weeks at a time. I am guessing that the
>slow battery drain is damaging the batteries after a period of time.
>I have a friend with a newer model BC2500XLT (battery drain problem
>fixed) and he gets much better NiCad pack life than I do (probably 4-5
>years before replacement is necessary). His charging procedures are
>similar to mine, so I have to believe my scanner is causing much of my
>problems. Reading through old newsgroup posts, I do see that many
>BC2500XLT and BC3000XLT owners are reporting similar battery pack life
>(2 years) as I am, so I would not be too quick to blame it all on poor
>charging procedures. The common opinion seems to be that the
>BC2500/3000XLT is a nice scanner, but the battery packs are terrible.
>
>My original post stated that there are 3 batteries inside my pack (2
>approx. AA size and 1 half size). It turns out that there are
>actually 5 identical (all the 1/2 size) cells in there. I was
>confused because a couple pairs were wrapped together in paper, and
>looked like single, larger batteries. Anyway, I apparently need 5
>1.2V cells for this battery pack. A local electronics store sells
>them for around $5.00/battery. Does this sound about right? At that
>price, it will cost me around $25.00 to rebuild my pack, which is
>still way less than the $65.00 it will cost to purchase a new pack

>locally. I'm going to shop around a bit more, as I'm also interested
>in trying the NiMH style cells.
>
>Regards,
>Patrick Szuch
>
>
>
>
>jason.mangiafico@verizon.net (JM) wrote in message
news:<9k7rtb$32int$2@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>...
>> hmmm... 2 years? nicad packs should definately last longer than that. for
>> reference, the most common causes of early failure are:
>>
>> 1) a severe "memory effect" has built up over time. This is caused by
>> consistantly not draining the battery all the way before recharging.
>>
>> 2) overcharge. This is caused by leaving the battery on the charger way
>> longer than needed.
>>
>> 3) or a combination of both.
>>
>>
>> solutions:
>>
>> 1) memory effect: allow radio to shut off on it's own or until the display
>> goes out about once every 5 charge cycles to keep away any problems with the
>> memory effect. If you do this, then you still get a memory, then your radio
>> isn't draining the battery enough to stop memory effect from happening.
>> Most radios will be fine at draining batteries though. You will have to find
>> an alternate way of completely discharging your battery.
>>
>> 2) overcharge. don't leave the battery on the charger longer than needed.
>> Check the manual to see how long it should take, then try not to leave it
>> charging any longer than needed. no manual? to find out how long charging
>> should take, calculate battery capacity in mah divided by charger output in
>> mah. Add 20% to the total, and that number is how many hours it will take.
>> This would be for a completely discharged battery.
>>
>> Also remember that if you happen to have your battery only half-discharged,
>> then you can shorten the length of the charge accordingly. so remember that
>> if you had a half discharged battery, then if you charged it for the full
>> time, the extra charging time goes into overcharging the battery. now also
>> remembering that charging a half-discharged battery more than 5 times in a
>> row will bring on the "memory effect" problem, as stated above.
>>
>> Do this to maintain your nicad packs, and you should get much more than the 2
>> years you're getting right now. however, if you're cycling your battery once
>> a day non-stop, then 2 years sounds normal..

2691. Re: Pozilla Software Support, Any Ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 17:02:11 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <nCW97.2028$K6.638756@news2>
Source record: 62007

Pozilla Support, is an oxymoron!

Bill Crocker

"Creighton J. Smith" <cjsmith1@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:9k5u14$7ie$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> Hello,
>
> Have run across a problem with Pozilla WinScan v1.0 with the RS-2052.
Seems
> the alpha tags get wiped out by file corruption above channel 95. I may
> very well be doing something wrong, but haven't heard a peep from Pozilla
> support after 5 days and counting. Thanks for any help!
>
> Best regards,
> Creighton Smith
> cjsmith1@ix.netcom.com
>
>
>

2692. Detroit area Woodward Dream Cruise Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 17:04:54 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <tmgdl2a3rj8ha3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 62008
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner

Does anybody know what "special" frequencies will be in use for the Detroit area Woodward Dream Cruise later this month? Also what Ham Radio frequencies, repeaters or simplex, will be in use during the event weekend, and by which radio club(s)?

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO
--
"1500Kbs cable modem
MediaOne Roadrunner."

2693. ACARS Log Analyser for Windows - Version 1.0.15 now available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 17:25:59 UTC
From: mark.avey@virgin.net
Message-ID: <21fc4b52.0108010925.7fc1c4ac@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62009

We are pleased to announce that version 1.0.15 of Log Analyser for Windows is now available from http://www.acarsonline.co.uk

This release offers a number of enhancements:

Lowe Airmaster 3/2000 support

Added a new Statistics page

Added "Heard Aircraft" management so you can now see how many aircraft of a particular fleet you have heard

Aircraft Database can now be updated by Importing the CSV file available from ACARS Link (http://www.acarslink.com/alaceqip.htm)

List of New Aircraft displayed after importing a log file

Improved Text output

This is a FREE upgrade.

Thanks,

Mark Avey

2694. Re: SWAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 18:12:24 UTC
From: Rog <Roger@webjetters.com>
Message-ID: <9k9gqeaqe1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 62010

Well that's just TOUGH!!
I bet it does torque some people off, especially those who are trying to sell a load of rubbish to some unsuspecting person. I don't suppose *YOU* are the seller by any chance?
Rog

Robert D. Baker <rdbake@prtcnet.org> wrote in message news:9k71r6$2qq$1@nd.eastky.net...
>
> Rog wrote in message <9k1gsj$7bi$1@uranium.btinternet.com>...
>
> It's considered bad manners to post e-mail to usenet. (I don't
> particularly care in this instance, but you should know that it _really_
> torques some people off in a big way.)
>
> tt
>
> rdb
>
>
>

2695. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 18:13:38 UTC
From: Rog <Roger@webjetters.com>
Message-ID: <9k9gsl$5f$1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 62011
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I agree... I like reading the for sale posts, I have had a few good deals on here.
rog

Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:3B67723D.102284E@earthlink.net...
> DeWayne wrote:
>
> > I personally appreciate the scanners for sale being listed
> > here.
> > DeWayne
>
> What we enjoy or appreciate is apparently of little or no
> consequence to the likes of Timmy and his ilk. They want to protect us
> from the annoyance or temptation of learning what is currently available
> from other hobbyists. They are afraid, I guess, that we might spend our
> own money unwisely.
>
>
>
>
> --
> Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38°34'32", W 90°25'38", 700'
> Just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
> Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
> ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
> "Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"
>
>

2696. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 18:14:16 UTC
From: Rog <Roger@webjetters.com>
Message-ID: <9k9gts$7v$1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 62012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I agree... I like reading the for sale posts, I have had a few good deals on here.
rog

Timmy Johnes <SPAMBLOCK_timmy23213213@aol.com> wrote in message news:9k5gr8$2jgrg$44@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de...
> My questions are the following.
>
> 1) Why do people think they can do whatever they want by continually
> violating the newsgroup's rules, then cussing about it when something gets
> done about it?
>
> 2) Why don't people just post the for sale messages to the *.swap newsgroup,
> instead of the discussion group? If the "it's too crowded" response well
> then tough, this is a discussion group. The rules here will be enforced.
> This is the perfect opportunity for some bright-minded person to come up with
> a new newsgroup, named "rec.radio.scanner.forsale" or
> "alt.radio.forsale.general" or whatever, just make a new group. Definately,
> the demand is there, no doubt! Think about it, it would pretty much put an
> end of all this nonsense for good, and forfill the demand. Then the "there's
> no where else to post" response comes up. Again, tough. That's just like a
> spammer saying "well there was no where else to spam, so I spammed you. so
> ridiculous.
>
> 3) Do people get the idea of "discussion" vs "for sale"? People think it's
> ok because it's "on topic", but have no idea of the type of post also
> matters. I guess these people would butt in on an active conference room and
> dribble out some sales message. Talk about poor marketing!
>
> 4) Filters. if the "why don't you just use filters?" response then my best
> of luck of all the people who don't have them... filters are just not
> standard practice, and the use of filter implys that there's something wrong

> to begin with, to cover up the mistake: the spam. Look, if we all have to
> use filters, then those for sale spammers are just control freaks, and
they
> just think they can do whatever they want. Look, it's plain and simple:
> JUST DON'T DO IT!!! These for sale spammers are just more spammers on the
> internet ruining it for us all.
>
> Me myself is an observer only, I do not do any reporting and canceling of
the
> for sale posts, even through I do hate those posts myself. I just don't
want
> to get involved. I sure would like to see my favorite newsgroups cleaned
up
> though. So to whoever's doing it, I give you this message "KEEP UP THE
GOOD
> WORK!!!!!!!". You're cirtainly raising a stink with those awful for sale
> spammers.
>

2697. Re: Detroit area Woodward Dream Cruise Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 18:37:07 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B683E3E.972505FB@home.com>
Source record: 62013

Very active ones will include:

A couple talk groups on the Oakland County Sheriff Dept 800MHz TRS.

155.865MHz simplex -- The Michigan Emergency Public Safety System ("MEPSS," law enforcement mutual aid, kinda like a state version of the national 155.475). Most of the venues along the Woodward Avenue route utilize VHF-High radio systems for LE operations, so MEPSS will be the one common channel used by the PDs for direct Dream Cruise ops, while their nominal dispatch & car to car channels will also of course have some related comms.

123.025MHz AM -- airspace coordination (& general BS'ing) by media & LE helos.

122.750MHz AM -- airspace coord/BS'ing by aircraft towing advertising banners.

Lots of FRS in use by spectators & cruisers.

The Dream Cruise organizers will probably be using Nextels or handheld on a UHF repeater.

I can't remember what the main EMS freqs will be. 155.280MHz is a statewide EMS disaster common channel, but it's also used for routine dispatch ops by AMR ambulance service in Oakland County...

Tim
Detroit

"Leland C. Scott" wrote:
>
> Does anybody know what "special" frequencies will be in use for the Detroit
> area Woodward Dream Cruise later this month? Also what Ham Radio
> frequencies, repeaters or simplex, will be in use during the event weekend,
> and by which radio club(s)?
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

2698. Beware of Phillip Knode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 19:41:56 UTC
From: Sean <raymond.a2@ukonline.co.uk>
Message-ID: <9k9m2a$t11$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 62014

Beware of this Phillip Knode of Tennessee, he is a rip off merchant. I only just saved my goods in time. He said he was posting an ar8000 to me on Friday 27/July. he hadn't sent anything at all. I managed to block the items I sent to him just in time. Be Warned, A few postings on the guy on deja news as well, seems he has done this type of thing a few times. I'm out of pocket by $55.00 US plus the return post, but I suppose its better than losing 2 scanners
His name address e-mail is---------
Philip Knode
8201 Oxford Drive
Hixson,Tn.37343
USA
e-mail=: pknode@home.com
Be warned
Sean
Here is his so called promises.

>> Ok will do the same tomorrow.Phil
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: pknode@home.com <pknode@home.com>
>> Date: Thursday, July 26, 2001 1:43 PM
>> Subject: Scanner Swap

Will get it out today.Phil
-----Original Message-----
From: pknode@home.com <pknode@home.com>
Date: Friday, July 27, 2001 1:33 PM
Subject: Scanner Swap

2699. Re: FDNY - PL Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 20:33:11 UTC
From: Chris Emerson <emmy412@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <bIZ97.13588$bl1.1880432@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 62015

I know this may sound stupid but what is a PL code. Is that the same aas
the repeater channel???

Thanks

Chris
"Frank Raffa" <FD347@aol.com> wrote in message
news:vgL97.60415$UH6.10310192@news02.optonline.net...
> Every borough uses 186.2.
>
> Frank Raffa
> Supv. Dispatcher, FDNY
> www.FDNewYork.com
>
>
> Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:3B675D2B.A90A170A@worldnet.att.net...
> > I monitored this freq for the last 1/2 hour using a PRO-92, and heard
> > transmissions with
> >
> > PL=192.8, and
> > PL=186.2
> >
> > The first appears to be Monmouth County, NJ, but you may want to
> > verify that.
> > The second appears to be Manhatten.
> >
> > Walt
> >
> > BobbyM2362 wrote:
> > >
> > > I'm trying to find out the PL tones for the FDNY fire frequencies,
> especially
> > > Citywide (154.43)... I'm tired of having to listen to New Jersey's
> Monmouth
> > > County Fire Service. Any help would be appreciated.
> > >
> > > Rob
> > > http://members.aol.com/ladder2362
>
>
>

2700. Re: Beware of Phillip Knode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 21:15:56 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <9BE8C22138A8C770.59FFCC16A1A1843F.5CADB93AAAFE265B@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 62016

On Wed, 1 Aug 2001 20:41:56 +0100, "Sean" <raymond.a2@ukonline.co.uk>
wrote:

>Beware of this Phillip Knode of Tennessee, he is a rip off merchant. I only
>just saved my goods in time. He said he was posting an ar8000 to me on
>Friday 27/July. he hadn't sent anything at all. I managed to block the items
>I sent to him just in time. Be Warned, A few postings on the guy on deja
>news as well, seems he has done this type of thing a few times. I'm out of
>pocket by $55.00 US plus the return post, but I suppose its better than
>losing 2 scanners
>His name address e-mail is---------
>Philip Knode
>8201 Oxford Drive
>Hixson,Tn.37343
>USA
>e-mail=: pknode@home.com
>Be warned
>Sean
>Here is his so called promises.
>
>>> Ok will do the same tomorrow.Phil
>>> -----Original Message-----
>>> From: pknode@home.com <pknode@home.com>
>>> Date: Thursday, July 26, 2001 1:43 PM
>>> Subject: Scanner Swap
>
>Will get it out today.Phil
>-----Original Message-----
>From: pknode@home.com <pknode@home.com>
>Date: Friday, July 27, 2001 1:33 PM
>Subject: Scanner Swap
>

Thats odd. I have done business with Phil recently with no problems.

2701. Wanted: Info on Zachary " William" Guinn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 21:21:24 UTC
From: NV5E <willshingleton@home.com>
Message-ID: <op_97.44447$oh1.15381067@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 62017

Looking for information from anyone that has done business with " William" using bill.guin@mindspring.net as an email address or the Ebay user id of "newbie2k1". His actual name is Zachary Guinn. I am interested in any other email addresses, Ebay user names, P O box numbers, street address and phone numbers.

Thanks,
Will NV5E

2702. Re: Wanted: Info on Zachary " William" Guinn

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 21:58:00 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <IX_97.2218$K6.814637@news2>
Source record: 62018

There was some talk of him on the message board at Strong Signals...as well
as some contact information.

Bill Crocker

"NV5E" <willshingleton@home.com> wrote in message
news:op_97.44447$oh1.15381067@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com...
> Looking for information from anyone that has done business with " William"
> using bill.guin@mindspring.net as an email address or the Ebay user id of
> "newbie2k1". His actual name is Zachary Guinn. I am interested in any
other
> email addresses, Ebay user names, P O box numbers, street address and
phone
> numbers.
>
> Thanks,
> Will NV5E
>
>

2703. Re: FDNY - PL Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 22:05:02 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B687D0C.9A481AC2@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62019

Because several agencies are sharing the same frequency, some means is
needed to discriminate between several agencies on the same
frequency. In the example at the start of this thread, New York City
and Monmouth County, NJ, are sharing a frequency, and the listener can
receive transmissions from both agencies.

The solution is for different agencies to transmit sub-audible tones
along with the voice transmissions. The receivers only break squelch
if they receive the appropriate tone. This is referred to as CTCSS,
or Private Line (PL), a Motorola term. They also accomplish the same
end by sending sub-audible digital signals, the Motorola term is
Digital Private Line, or DPL. Most of the recent scanner releases can
be programmed to only break squelch on the programmed sub-audible
signal.

Again, referring to the start of this thread, if the listener programs
in PL=192.8, they will hear only the Monmouth County NJ
transmissions. If they program in PL=196.2, they will hear only the
New York transmissions.

Walt

Chris Emerson wrote:
>
> I know this may sound stupid but what is a PL code. Is that the same aas
> the repeater channel???
>
> Thanks
>
> Chris
> "Frank Raffa" <FD347@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:vgL97.60415$UH6.10310192@news02.optonline.net...
> > Every borough uses 186.2.
> >
> > Frank Raffa
> > Supv. Dispatcher, FDNY
> > www.FDNewYork.com
> >
> >
> > Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> > news:3B675D2B.A90A170A@worldnet.att.net...
> > > I monitored this freq for the last 1/2 hour using a PRO-92, and heard
> > > transmissions with
> > >
> > > PL=192.8, and

> > > PL=186.2
> > >
> > > The first appears to be Monmouth County, NJ, but you may want to
> > > verify that.
> > > The second appears to be Manhatten.
> > >
> > > Walt
> > >
> > > BobbyM2362 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I'm trying to find out the PL tones for the FDNY fire frequencies,
> > especially
> > > > Citywide (154.43)... I'm tired of having to listen to New Jersey's
> > Monmouth
> > > > County Fire Service. Any help would be appreciated.
> > > >
> > > > Rob
> > > > http://members.aol.com/ladder2362
> >
> >
> >

2704. For Sale Pro 91

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 22:17:23 UTC
From: farmandjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010801181723.08856.00001869@ng-fr1.aol.com>
Source record: 62020

```
Pro-91 For Sale
Excellent Condition
$125.00
contact farmandjr@aol.com if intrested
Thanks in advance
```

2705. Zachary Guinn - Ongoing Saga of Fraud

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 22:36:48 UTC
From: Dougie Coull <doug@doug-coull.co.uk>
Message-ID: <s11hmts1niuuc41rjqbf0qbpvprtden49a@4ax.com>
Source record: 62021

Hi Folks,

As I've not yet received my money back from this person, I've posted what information is available in an effort to ensure that others don't fall foul of this person.

If anyone else on the group has had the misfortune to be in the same position, or has a tale to tell, I'll be pleased to add it to the web site page www.doug-coull.co.uk/zachary_guinn.html

Cheers.

Regards,

Dougie

>>>>>>>> Douglas Coull, Langbank, Scotland
>>>>>>>> LAT 55.92445 N LONG 4.59753 W

>>>>>>> www.doug-coull.co.uk/
<<<<<<< www.milair.fsworld.co.uk/

.Join the Scottish Scanner Group - Scottish-Scanning-subscribe@yahoogroups.com
.Join the Pro-2042 Scanner Group - Pro-2042-subscribe@yahoogroups.com

2706. Re: Beware of Phillip Knode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 23:20:39 UTC
From: Philip Knode <pknode@home.com>
Message-ID: <b90a7.13653$k7.4106119@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 62022

Iwant everyone to know here and now I told Sean I would send the scanner
tommorow I have work 9 straight 12 hours shifts and the first day off I have
is tommorrow.I can help if this guys a jerk.Phil
The_AntiArt wrote in message
<9BE8C22138A8C770.59FFCC16A1A1843F.5CADB93AAAFE265B@lp.airnews.net>...
>On Wed, 1 Aug 2001 20:41:56 +0100, "Sean" <raymond.a2@ukonline.co.uk>
>wrote:
>
>>Beware of this Phillip Knode of Tennessee, he is a rip off merchant. I
only
>>just saved my goods in time. He said he was posting an ar8000 to me on
>>Friday 27/July. he hadn't sent anything at all. I managed to block the
items
>>I sent to him just in time. Be Warned, A few postings on the guy on deja
>>news as well, seems he has done this type of thing a few times. I'm out of
>>pocket by $55.00 US plus the return post, but I suppose its better than
>>losing 2 scanners
>>His name address e-mail is---------
>>Philip Knode
>>8201 Oxford Drive
>>Hixson,Tn.37343
>>USA
>>e-mail=: pknode@home.com
>>Be warned
>>Sean
>>Here is his so called promises.
>>
>>>> Ok will do the same tomorrow.Phil
>>>> -----Original Message-----
>>>> From: pknode@home.com <pknode@home.com>
>>>> Date: Thursday, July 26, 2001 1:43 PM
>>>> Subject: Scanner Swap
>>
>>Will get it out today.Phil
>>-----Original Message-----
>>From: pknode@home.com <pknode@home.com>
>>Date: Friday, July 27, 2001 1:33 PM
>>Subject: Scanner Swap
>>
>
>Thats odd. I have done business with Phil recently with no problems.

2707. Plum Island, NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-01 23:29:15 UTC
From: jay585cool@aol.comSpamFree
Message-ID: <20010801192915.27095.00001229@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 62023

Hey, all I live on Long Island. I recently took the Orient Point ferry to CT, which happens to pass right by Plum Island. For those of you who don't know, Plum Island is a very top secret Dept of Agriculture island which is used for animal testing of all sorts of nasty diseases. It is guarded by the F.B.I, as well as USDA Agents. So when I found their scanner frequency, i just knew I had to bring my scanner along. The frequency is 36.43. Now, i was only able to receive one transmission on that freq. All the other times, there were several different trunk ID's that I got at various times, all were static, except for that one which enabled me to hear the short spurt of a conversation between an agents. My question is this, why would that frequency have so many different trunk ID's on it that are nothing but static? Is it just for the purpose of making it a bit more difficult to listen in on what goes on there? Thanks, folks, take acre and be safe

Jay Cohen, Long Island, NY

2708. BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 00:06:40 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B68991D.D6DFD66F@home.com>
Source record: 62025

BEWARE OF RADIO MART

2709. BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 00:07:22 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B689947.8668C8B0@home.com>
Source record: 62024

BEWARE OF RADIO MART

2710. Re: Beware of Phillip Knode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 00:26:23 UTC
From: Pouythress <Pouythress@jungle.rot>
Message-ID: <m97hmt09lqprc7m7t41sc5i9cd78dfrdq5@4ax.com>
Source record: 62026

On Wed, 1 Aug 2001 20:41:56 +0100, "Sean" <raymond.a2@ukonline.co.uk>
wrote:

>Beware of this Phillip Knode of Tennessee, he is a rip off merchant. I only
>just saved my goods in time. He said he was posting an ar8000 to me on
>Friday 27/July. he hadn't sent anything at all. I managed to block the items
>I sent to him just in time. Be Warned, A few postings on the guy on deja
>news as well, seems he has done this type of thing a few times. I'm out of
>pocket by $55.00 US plus the return post, but I suppose its better than
>losing 2 scanners
>His name address e-mail is---------
>Philip Knode
>8201 Oxford Drive
>Hixson,Tn.37343
>USA
>e-mail=: pknode@home.com
>Be warned
>Sean
>Here is his so called promises.
>
>>> Ok will do the same tomorrow.Phil
>>> -----Original Message-----
>>> From: pknode@home.com <pknode@home.com>
>>> Date: Thursday, July 26, 2001 1:43 PM
>>> Subject: Scanner Swap
>
>Will get it out today.Phil
>-----Original Message-----
>From: pknode@home.com <pknode@home.com>
>Date: Friday, July 27, 2001 1:33 PM
>Subject: Scanner Swap
>
>
I do not see any proof of your accusations here man.
First message says he is sending it the next day. Second
message is from the next day, and he says he is sending
it out. Where is the crime?

You are going to need something substantial before you
jump the gun and essentially call the guy a thief. Did you
ever think of just calling him up?

_____
Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 - http://www.uncensored-news.com
With Seven Servers In California And Texas - The Worlds Uncensored News Source

2711. Re: Beware of Phillip Knode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 00:32:57 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <27FB62CDACB41723.AEEDAB0BE939AD3B.4BC1980CB93CFC4A@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 62027

On Wed, 01 Aug 2001 23:20:39 GMT, "Philip Knode" <pknode@home.com>
wrote:

>Iwant everyone to know here and now I told Sean I would send the scanner
>tommorow I have work 9 straight 12 hours shifts and the first day off I have
>is tommorrow.I can help if this guys a jerk.Phil

I might also add that in doing business with Phil, I found him
to be honest and straight forward. He delivered a scanner to
me as promised and it is in excellent working condition.

I would do business with Phil again any time.

2712. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 00:33:44 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <453B57461AB1259D.F90476A855E82008.AF552A72C3F543A9@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 62028

On Thu, 02 Aug 2001 00:07:22 GMT, Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
wrote:

>BEWARE OF RADIO MART

Why?

2713. Re: Plum Island, NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 00:45:18 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <05223CF5DDC98CA6.6CE861733361847F.1D4277FF5C842E9C@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 62029

On 01 Aug 2001 23:29:15 GMT, jay585cool@aol.comSpamFree (Jay Cohen)
wrote:

>Hey, all I live on Long Island. I recently took the Orient Point ferry to CT,
>which happens to pass right by Plum Island. For those of you who don't know,
>Plum Island is a very top secret Dept of Agriculture island which is used for
>animal testing of all sorts of nasty diseases. It is guarded by the F.B.I, as
>well as USDA Agents. So when I found their scanner frequency, i just knew I
>had to bring my scanner along. The frequency is 36.43. Now, i was only able
>to receive one transmission on that freq. All the other times, there were
>several different trunk ID's that I got at various times, all were static,
>except for that one which enabled me to hear the short spurt of a conversation
>between an agents. My question is this, why would that frequency have so many
>different trunk ID's on it that are nothing but static? Is it just for the
>purpose of making it a bit more difficult to listen in on what goes on there?
>Thanks, folks, take acre and be safe
>
>
>Jay Cohen, Long Island, NY

Sorry, not scanner related:

Just a little trivia. This is the island Thomas Harris chose in the
book "Silence Of The Lambs" as the fake vacation spot offered
to Dr. Hannibal Lecter. Lecter called it "anthrax island".

It is rumored that they conduct biological warfare research there.
Also, research related to the possible effects of biological agents
dispensed by terrorists.

2714. Re: Beware of Phillip Knode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 01:07:19 UTC
From: Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <bJ1a7.63622$C81.5376244@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62030

I've done business with him also in the the past with no problems. Would
swap or buy with him again...

Frank
"Sean" <raymond.a2@ukonline.co.uk> wrote in message
news:9k9m2a$t11$1@uranium.btinternet.com...
> Beware of this Phillip Knode of Tennessee, he is a rip off merchant. I
only
> just saved my goods in time. He said he was posting an ar8000 to me on
> Friday 27/July. he hadn't sent anything at all. I managed to block the
items
> I sent to him just in time. Be Warned, A few postings on the guy on deja
> news as well, seems he has done this type of thing a few times. I'm out of
> pocket by $55.00 US plus the return post, but I suppose its better than
> losing 2 scanners
> His name address e-mail is---------
> Philip Knode
> 8201 Oxford Drive
> Hixson,Tn.37343
> USA
> e-mail=: pknode@home.com
> Be warned
> Sean
> Here is his so called promises.
>
> >> Ok will do the same tomorrow.Phil
> >> -----Original Message-----
> >> From: pknode@home.com <pknode@home.com>
> >> Date: Thursday, July 26, 2001 1:43 PM
> >> Subject: Scanner Swap
>
> Will get it out today.Phil
> -----Original Message-----
> From: pknode@home.com <pknode@home.com>
> Date: Friday, July 27, 2001 1:33 PM
> Subject: Scanner Swap
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2715. base band audio on pro 2050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 01:20:29 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <20010801212029.14828.00003959@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 62031

I'm sure someone has tapped the pro 2050 since I can find which IC and pin
to use..... unfortunatly someone (I assume at the factory) has scrached
off
the IC numbers on the top side of the board (the side with 3 IC's) which is
also where I assume the discriminator chip is since I can't find it on the
other side.

ANY HELP will be appreciated

thanks

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

2716. Re: Problems with LAPD Digital System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 01:23:59 UTC
From: Charles <chuckb187@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PY1a7.31639$sf2.6458172@news3.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 62032

I live in Ottawa, ON, and here the police moved to a digital Ericsson system
a couple of years ago. I'm a newbie to this, just played around with my
friend's radio scanner (great fun) and he was saying he used to be able to
get police but ever since they moved to the Ericsson digital he lost 'em.
Is there anyway of getting police radio now that they're on the digital
system?

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3B6822D0.CD6D13D9@worldnet.att.net...
> Cellular sytems and trunked systems are different. In a cellular
> system, the adjacent sites broadcast on different frequencies. As you
> move away from one site and into the range of another site, your cell
> phone changes frequency.
>
> In a trunking system, all transmitter site operate on the same
> frequency(ies). The sites are synchronized to minimize interference
> effects. As you move away from one site and into the range of another
> site, your receiver stays tuned to the same frequency.
>
> Walt
>
> Jake Brodsky wrote:
> >
> >
> > I don't know the specifics of ASTRO enough to comment on whether
> > doppler effects on radio signals are a factor. I do know that they
> > must be using multiple radio sites (as you would with a cellular
> > system) and that aircraft can really mess up that pretty looking plan.
> >
> > 73,
> >
> > Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> > "Beware of the massive impossible!"

2717. Need MTX & GTX Software Updates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 01:58:02 UTC
From: Ricky Hamilton <mcrs702@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <2s2a7.5112$kg.318314@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62033

My version no longer works. The radio I have is programmed with a newer version and it won't let me in! Nice trick for motorola to keep the money coming in!!!

Can anyone help? I will trade or whatever you need!

Thanks
Rick

2718. Re: Javiation buyers beware!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 02:48:38 UTC
From: Jo Jack <JoJack@mywann.com>
Message-ID: <tmiq2inu7bodb4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61965

USE AESHAM!!!

WWW.AESHAM.COM

"patrick semone" <pat47@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8c4ba72b.0108020510.2a19afdd@posting.google.com...
> Called Javiation(from the usa) an hour ago(3rd time within a month)
> and asked about my order,that i sent online the first wk of July,Got
> the standard answer.Bla bla bla,check your email in 15 min.Of course
> there wasn't any email(like the other 3 times).Now I'm concerned as
> they have my credit card infomation & I have nothing, I think I've
> beenscamed!

2719. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 02:54:49 UTC
From: Martyn <martyna@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <Ak3a7.5431$kg.324408@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62035

Now tell them why Dean
I think/Know that I have a very god reason for my actions I do not think
that you have, Its very petty you posting this on a newsgroup
So if anyone out there wishes to know the full and truthful story, please do
not hesitate in contacting me
Best 73's
Martyn
Radio Mart Inc.

"Dean Kastoris" <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message
news:3B68991D.D6DFD66F@home.com...
> BEWARE OF RADIO MART

2720. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 03:06:29 UTC
From: Martyn <martyna@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <8n3a7.6057$jL6.626060@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 62036

Okay
Let me explain
This guy purchased an AOR 8200B from us and decided he did not like it and asked if he could change it for an Alinco DJX-2000, Now he made all sorts of claims about this AOR being the biggest pile of trash ever made (strange there are so many satisfied people out there with them) anyway I agreed to send an alinco and charge him an additional $100 which he agreed to.
Anyway I sent out the Alinco before receiving the AOR back,,, now the AOR arrived in a copal of days and I had to sell it as used and heedless to say the new owner is completely happy...
Anyway, This guy gets the Alinco and states it smells of smoke and looks like the battery has a small mark on it, Now this was a brand new and unopened box, well I have offered to replace it with another new unit FREE of charge ad this guy will not send his original unit back until he receives a replacement from us, now I do not now of a company out there who will replace a unit without first receiving the other unit back.
Anyway he is now upset at me and I guess wishes to attempt for me to look bad, Well I have put across my version
So there you have it
For what it was worth
Thanks for reading
Best Regards
Martyn
Radio Mart Inc.

"Dean Kastoris" <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message
news:3B68991D.D6DFD66F@home.com...
> BEWARE OF RADIO MART

2721. PRO 43 speaker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 03:16:58 UTC
From: kevsdad@altavista.net
Message-ID: <74607034.0108011916.53ac6bdc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62037

I have a PRO 43, about 10 years old that has no output from the internal speaker, but an external speaker plugged into the headphone jack works fine. It's just a bit of a pain to have that extra appendage on the unit all the time. Anyone have a clue what it might cost me to have the internal speaker replaced? I don't think I want RS to do it as I've had a certain mod done...

I'd appreciate any advice.

Scott

2722. Trunking scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 03:25:03 UTC
From: kevsdad@altavista.net
Message-ID: <74607034.0108011925.3f894ded@posting.google.com>
Source record: 62038

I hope to get a lot of feedback on this one.

I've been scanning on and off for about 10 years, first in the Chicago area, now in Dallas, all on my PRO 43. I'd like to move into a trunking scanner in the next couple of months and would appreciate some hands-on advice from those who are already involved.

What should I look for? I know there are several types of trunking systems, which do I have to have? Are the RS PRO2052 and/or the PRO 92 ok for starters? Are the AOR units worth the extra $$? Bearcats any good?? Anyone have good/bad experience w/scanners on EBay?

Whatever else...

Any advice is appreciated. Thanks.

2723. Re: Trunking scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 03:35:06 UTC
From: Brandon <demo@mail2.sandlot.com>
Message-ID: <KT3a7.31214$MC1.8726242@news1.elcjn1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 62039

My favorite trunking scanner is the BC780XLT. It is the finest piece of equipment I own in my opinion. The Pro-92 is an excellent scanner if you like to have portables. I am very happy with both of these radios.

"Scott Wagner" <kevsdad@altavista.net> wrote in message news:74607034.0108011925.3f894ded@posting.google.com...
> I hope to get a lot of feedback on this one.
>
> I've been scanning on and off for about 10 years, first in the Chicago
> area, now in Dallas, all on my PRO 43. I'd like to move into a
> trunking scanner in the next couple of months and would appreciate
> some hands-on advice from those who are already involved.
>
> What should I look for? I know there are several types of trunking
> systems, which do I have to have? Are the RS PRO2052 and/or the PRO 92
> ok for starters? Are the AOR units worth the extra $$? Bearcats any
> good?? Anyone have good/bad experience w/scanners on EBay?
>
> Whatever else...
>
> Any advice is appreciated. Thanks.

2724. Re: Trunking scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 03:53:53 UTC
From: dirty_harry74@nospam.hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3b68cd93.967014@news.microflash.com>
Source record: 62040

Check out the Pro 2050 which is on sale now at RS.
It is an excellant trunk tracking scanner and a very good value right
now. They also have a handheld that will track 2 banks of trunking
frequencies on sale right now. The 2050 outperforms any of my other
scanners by a long shot and is by far my favorite.

Regards,

Harry King

On 1 Aug 2001 20:25:03 -0700, kevsdad@altavista.net (Scott Wagner)
wrote:

>I hope to get a lot of feedback on this one.
>
>I've been scanning on and off for about 10 years, first in the Chicago
>area, now in Dallas, all on my PRO 43. I'd like to move into a
>trunking scanner in the next couple of months and would appreciate
>some hands-on advice from those who are already involved.
>
>What should I look for? I know there are several types of trunking
>systems, which do I have to have? Are the RS PRO2052 and/or the PRO 92
>ok for starters? Are the AOR units worth the extra $$? Bearcats any
>good?? Anyone have good/bad experience w/scanners on EBay?
>
>Whatever else...
>
>Any advice is appreciated. Thanks.

2725. Re: Problems with LAPD Digital System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 04:28:48 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B68D700.47975435@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 62042

For the time being, no. A digital capable scanner is expected out
next year. But if the police digital transmissions are encrypted,
forget it.

Walt

Charles wrote:
>
> I live in Ottawa, ON, and here the police moved to a digital Ericsson system
> a couple of years ago. I'm a newbie to this, just played around with my
> friend's radio scanner (great fun) and he was saying he used to be able to
> get police but ever since they moved to the Ericsson digital he lost 'em.
> Is there anyway of getting police radio now that they're on the digital
> system?
>
> "Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:3B6822D0.CD6D13D9@worldnet.att.net...
> > Cellular sytems and trunked systems are different. In a cellular
> > system, the adjacent sites broadcast on different frequencies. As you
> > move away from one site and into the range of another site, your cell
> > phone changes frequency.
> >
> > In a trunking system, all transmitter site operate on the same
> > frequency(ies). The sites are synchronized to minimize interference
> > effects. As you move away from one site and into the range of another
> > site, your receiver stays tuned to the same frequency.
> >
> > Walt
> >
> > Jake Brodsky wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > I don't know the specifics of ASTRO enough to comment on whether
> > > doppler effects on radio signals are a factor. I do know that they
> > > must be using multiple radio sites (as you would with a cellular
> > > system) and that aircraft can really mess up that pretty looking plan.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > >
> > > Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> > > "Beware of the massive impossible!"

2726. 72mhz scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 04:36:29 UTC
From: BOB URZ <sound@inetnebr.com>
Message-ID: <3B68D8CD.E5E62392@inetnebr.com>
Source record: 62043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What scanners will pick up narrow band fm in the 72 to 75 mhz
frequency range? This band is used for ada hard of hearing
systems.
Information on new or older scanners that will do this would be
appreciated.

BOB

2727. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 04:50:25 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010802005025.14884.00003220@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 62044

>This guy purchased an AOR 8200B from us

Us? Isn't it really just YOU Martyn? US? Where? You are a legit dealer? Do you have a store? If so, how can YOU be selling uncut receivers to the general public when other legit dealers cannot?

>anyway I agreed to
>send an alinco and charge him an additional $100 which he agreed to

Wow..he was dumb and you took advantage of that....

>Anyway I sent out the Alinco before receiving the AOR back,,,

Another example of DUMB, and you claim to be a dealer?

>copal

>heedless

>I do not now of a company ....

A professional radio dealer will learn how to spell.

>now I do not now of a company out there who will replace a unit without first receiving the other unit back.

Exactly, NO legit dealer would do that with 500 dollar plus radios. If you thought that was an expression of goodwill, you learned a costly lesson on how it was NOT.

>Anyway he is now upset at me and I guess wishes to attempt for me to look
>bad,

This makes no sense in either the US version or the King's English.

>So there you have it
>For what it was worth

You will not make much money conducting business in this fashion. However, in your defense, Dean was unfair to make a blanket statement of BEWARE without expressing himself. If your version is in fact the truth, then Dean should be more then happy with the outcome of your transaction.

Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

2728. Cellular Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 04:52:30 UTC
From: cptdube@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010802005230.25919.00002710@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 62045

Hello,
This is my first time posting to this news group. I'm looking for some one with technical experties that can motify a Uniden SC150 hand held scanner to catch Cellular frequencies. Email me at CptDube@aol.com

2729. Wireless Intercoms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 04:56:31 UTC
From: cptdube@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010802005631.25919.00002711@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 62046

Does any one know the frequencies to the Zenith 3 channel FM Wireless Intercoms?

2730. New York City Transit Train Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 05:09:15 UTC
From: rasta567@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010802010915.27111.00001472@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 61947

Hello, does anyone know the frequencies for the NYCTA trains. Also does anyone know if they have repeaters in the subway. Thanks
73
Reggie
k2dog

2731. Know of Freqs & Software for monintoring MDT's ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 05:36:03 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010802013603.19911.00002021@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 61948

Has anyone been able to monitor Mobile Data Terminals (used by Public Safety Agencies & others)?

Is there any software out there to help decode MDT transmissions ? How about the frequencies used for MDT ?

Thanks,
Geo.

2732. Re: ?? Uniden Bearcat BC350A Question for Mobile Use ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 05:39:37 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <20010802013937.15904.00003720@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 61949

>Subject: ?? Uniden Bearcat BC350A Question for Mobile Use

>From: sblaine@adelphia.net

>My question is , Do I need an mobile antenna ????
>I only want to pickup local police and fire all within 10 miles.
>Any answers would be greatly appreciated !!

buy a car antenna splitter and adapter and use the antenna on your car.....
works
fine for me, including 800Mhz trunked systems.

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

2733. Re: Cellular Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 05:42:56 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <20010802014256.15904.00003722@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 61950

>Subject: Cellular Frequencies

>From: cptdube@aol.com

> I'm looking for some one
>with technical experties that can motify a Uniden SC150 hand held scanner to
>catch Cellular frequencies.

Don't hold your breath waiting for the e-mail to pour in..... I won't say it
can't
be done.... but it would take a lot more
time, effort, and money than the project would be worth.

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

2734. Re: Know of Freqs & Software for monintoring MDT's ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 06:03:05 UTC
From: sstvswl <sstvswl@home.com>
Message-ID: <t26a7.22321$Kd7.13850654@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 61951

http://www.gebhart-sbg.at/download/mdtmonprog.zip

LEADENWAH <leadenwah@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010802013603.19911.00002021@ng-fn1.aol.com...
> Has anyone been able to monitor Mobile Data Terminals (used by Public
Safety
> Agencies & others)?
>
> Is there any software out there to help decode MDT transmissions ? How
about
> the frequencies used for MDT ?
>
> Thanks,
> Geo.

2735. Re: Plum Island, NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 06:32:22 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9kas5m$31f$0@63.162.246.72>
Source record: 61952

Toscano wrote:
>
> Isn't there also some place on Long Island with weird radio/radar
> experiments? I recall being at Otis AFB in MA (I was Army, not AF) and
> talking with the Pave Paws about some innovative new radar experiment on a
> supposed "closed" base somewhere. I swear they said Long Island but the
> place had a very Native American name like Mawhawk or some such...may have
> been southern CT by New Haven.

Possibly the Air NG radar site at Orange CT?

2736. Re: Plum Island, NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 07:08:36 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9kak14$6t7$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 62041

Isn't there also some place on Long Island with weird radio/radar experiments? I recall being at Otis AFB in MA (I was Army, not AF) and talking with the Pave Paws about some innovative new radar experiment on a supposed "closed" base somewhere. I swear they said Long Island but the place had a very Native American name like Mawhawk or some such...may have been southern CT by New Haven.

2737. Re: PRO 43 speaker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 11:47:43 UTC
From: dinoal7lz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010802074743.28948.00003710@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 61953

The replacement speakers are not expensive at all; I can't remember exactly how much they were but it was around $10 I think...I replaced both of them in my two PRO-43s, mostly because the original speaker was rated at about 200mW as I recall and the newer ones much higher. They had a lot of problems with the original ones and when one of mine crapped out I fixed 'em both at the same time. If you are comfortable taking your 43 down to the level you can get to the speaker you'll have no problem replacing it.

GL -- Dino KL0S/4

2738. Re: Wireless Intercoms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 12:17:19 UTC
From: JJ <oaktownboy@home.com>
Message-ID: <jxba7.26527$O8.3436232@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 61954

Try 43.00 - 50.00 in there somewhere, probably 49.8-50.0

"CptDube" <cptdube@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010802005631.25919.00002711@ng-cf1.aol.com...
> Does any one know the frequencies to the Zenith 3 channel FM Wireless
> Intercoms?

2739. Re: Detroit area Woodward Dream Cruise Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 12:49:06 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <tmij1el2cvtmab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61955

Thanks Tim.

"Tim" <nightwatch01@home.com> wrote in message
news:3B683E3E.972505FB@home.com...
> Very active ones will include:
>
> A couple talk groups on the Oakland County Sheriff Dept 800MHz TRS.
>
> 155.865MHz simplex -- The Michigan Emergency Public Safety System
> ("MEPSS," law enforcement mutual aid, kinda like a state version of the
> national 155.475). Most of the venues along the Woodward Avenue route
> utilize VHF-High radio systems for LE operations, so MEPSS will be the
> one common channel used by the PDs for direct Dream Cruise ops, while
> their nominal dispatch & car to car channels will also of course have
> some related comms.
>
> 123.025MHz AM -- airspace coordination (& general BS'ing) by media &
> LE helos.
>
> 122.750MHz AM -- airspace coord/BS'ing by aircraft towing advertising
> banners.
>
> Lots of FRS in use by spectators & cruisers.
>
> The Dream Cruise organizers will probably be using Nextels or handheld
> on a UHF repeater.
>
> I can't remember what the main EMS freqs will be. 155.280MHz is a
> statewide EMS disaster common channel, but it's also used for routine
> dispatch ops by AMR ambulance service in Oakland County...
>
>
> Tim
> Detroit
>
> "Leland C. Scott" wrote:
> >
> > Does anybody know what "special" frequencies will be in use for the
Detroit
> > area Woodward Dream Cruise later this month? Also what Ham Radio
> > frequencies, repeaters or simplex, will be in use during the event
weekend,
> > and by which radio club(s)?
> >

> > --
> > Leland C. Scott
>
>
> --
> Nightwatch01@home.com

2740. Re: NiCads for BC2500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 12:57:23 UTC
From: pat.szuch@swagelok.com
Message-ID: <761a8bd8.0108020457.190735d1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,sci.chem.electrochem.battery

Thanks, Eric

I will research this.

Regards,
Patrick

eric2@limpoc.com (Eric) wrote in message news:<9k9bss$919$1@bob.news.rcn.net>...
> The BC2500XLT has a very high threshold voltage at which it decides the
> battery is discharged. It may be that over time your battery's at-rest
> voltage has come down a bit due to being overcharged by the charger
> regularly, and that's made it so that even when fully charged the voltage
> is .05 - .1 v lower than it should be, so that the scanner decides it's
> fully discharged even when it's still 90% full. Your battery may still have
> most Of it's capacity, but just isn't using it. I vaguely recall hearing
> about a way to adjust that threshold voltage, but I have no idea where. :(
> Perhaps some web searching would turn something up. I think it's a known
> problem.
>
> eric

2741. Re: Desktop hand held scanner holder??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 13:03:33 UTC
From: nmetsy@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010802090333.04470.00003670@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 61957

Metro West has the best scanner charger/desktop holders.

http://www.metrowestscan.com/

2742. Javiation buyers beware!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 13:10:37 UTC
From: pat47@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8c4ba72b.0108020510.2a19afdd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61958

Called Javiation(from the usa) an hour ago(3rd time within a month) and asked about my order,that i sent online the first wk of July,Got the standard answer.Bla bla bla,check your email in 15 min.Of course there wasn't any email(like the other 3 times).Now I'm concerned as they have my credit card infomation & I have nothing, I think I've beenscamed!

2743. Re: Javiation buyers beware!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 13:30:24 UTC
From: HateSpam <hatespam@lnoemail.com>
Message-ID: <QBca7.2625$n_3.3536220@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61959

Scammed? How do you figure? You're protected by using your credit card. What the real problem here is that you're a pissy, impatient little runt who when he doesn't get what he wants tries to damage the reputation of a company who is widely respected for its responsiveness to its customers. If you're not happy with their service cancel your credit card. Or shut the hell up.

"patrick semone" <pat47@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8c4ba72b.0108020510.2a19afdd@posting.google.com...
> Called Javiation(from the usa) an hour ago(3rd time within a month)
> and asked about my order,that i sent online the first wk of July,Got
> the standard answer.Bla bla bla,check your email in 15 min.Of course
> there wasn't any email(like the other 3 times).Now I'm concerned as
> they have my credit card infomation & I have nothing, I think I've
> beenscamed!

2744. Re: Beware of Phillip Knode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 13:42:51 UTC
From: Philip Knode <pknode@home.com>
Message-ID: <vNca7.18803$k7.4716502@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 61960

Thanks for all the positive post guys.Phil

Frank Bals wrote in message ...
>I've done business with him also in the the past with no problems. Would
>swap or buy with him again...
>
>Frank
>"Sean" <raymond.a2@ukonline.co.uk> wrote in message
>news:9k9m2a$t11$1@uranium.btinternet.com...
>> Beware of this Phillip Knode of Tennessee, he is a rip off merchant. I
>only
>> just saved my goods in time. He said he was posting an ar8000 to me on
>> Friday 27/July. he hadn't sent anything at all. I managed to block the
>items
>> I sent to him just in time. Be Warned, A few postings on the guy on deja
>> news as well, seems he has done this type of thing a few times. I'm out
>of
>> pocket by $55.00 US plus the return post, but I suppose its better than
>> losing 2 scanners
>> His name address e-mail is---------
>> Philip Knode
>> 8201 Oxford Drive
>> Hixson,Tn.37343
>> USA
>> e-mail=: pknode@home.com
>> Be warned
>> Sean
>> Here is his so called promises.
>>
>> >> Ok will do the same tomorrow.Phil
>> >> -----Original Message-----
>> >> From: pknode@home.com <pknode@home.com>
>> >> Date: Thursday, July 26, 2001 1:43 PM
>> >> Subject: Scanner Swap
>>
>> Will get it out today.Phil
>> -----Original Message-----
>> From: pknode@home.com <pknode@home.com>
>> Date: Friday, July 27, 2001 1:33 PM
>> Subject: Scanner Swap
>>
>>
>>
>>

>>
>>
>>
>
>

2745. Re: 72mhz scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 13:47:09 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tmimda5ns46k09@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Just by coincidence I have a NEW Yupiteru MVT-9000 MkII that does that very thing, and is available right now on e*** (shhh, can't say the name, someone may be offended, or it'll irritate Timmy). And it'll hear EVERYTHING else from dc to light (well, almost)..... (:->))
Don

BOB URZ <sound@inetnebr.com> wrote in message news:3B68D8CD.E5E62392@inetnebr.com...
> What scanners will pick up narrow band fm in the 72 to 75 mhz
> frequency range? This band is used for ada hard of hearing
> systems.
> Information on new or older scanners that will do this would be
> appreciated.
>
> BOB
>

2746. Re: Javiation buyers beware!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 14:28:20 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <20010802102820.00848.00000030@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 61962

>Subject: Javiation buyers beware!

>From: pat47@webtv.net (patrick semone)

>Called Javiation(from the usa) an hour ago(3rd time within a month)
>and asked about my order,that i sent online the first wk of July,Got
>the standard answer.Bla bla bla,

Had the SAME problem about a year ago. Never had anything charged to my card,
but NEVER got what I ordered. Johnathan gave me the same song & dance (Ooops
sorry something musta got messed up here). Nothing I ordered was restricted
from being imported (think it was a computer interface and softcase for my
AOR AR8200). Finally found them here
in the states. Javiation USE to be good,
got my first order from them with no problem.....after that it all went down
hill.
I'll NEVER order from them again !!!!!!
And yes I called too... stayed up til 3am
just to call and wasted $ on long distance.

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

2747. Re: 72mhz scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 14:34:06 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010802103406.29423.00005544@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 61963

In article <3B68D8CD.E5E62392@inetnebr.com>, BOB URZ <sound@inetnebr.com>
writes:

>What scanners will pick up narrow band fm in the 72 to 75 mhz
>frequency range?

My Radio Shack PRO-26 does a nice job in this range.

2748. Re: Cellular Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 14:34:07 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010802103407.29423.00005545@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 61964

In article <20010802014256.15904.00003722@ng-fc1.aol.com>,
labtech1@aol.com.nospam (Labtech1) writes:

>Don't hold your breath waiting for the e-mail to pour in..... I won't say
>it
>can't
>be done.... but it would take a lot more
> time, effort, and money than the project would be worth.

True. However, cellular image frequencies can be received in the 900Mhz range
on most Sportcat SC150s.

2749. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 15:21:42 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <aeea7.207153$mG4.95906021@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61966

Here's my 2 cents worth. I ordered an Icom R2 from Martyn
this year. I received the R2 before Martyn even charged my
VISA card. The R2 was brand new and I am very happy.
DeWayne KE9K

"Daniel M. Conti" <offdan43@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010802005025.14884.00003220@ng-cg1.aol.com...
> >This guy purchased an AOR 8200B from us
>
> Us? Isn't it really just YOU Martyn? US? Where? You
are a legit dealer?
> Do you have a store? If so, how can YOU be selling uncut
receivers to the
> general public when other legit dealers cannot?
>
> >anyway I agreed to
> >send an alinco and charge him an additional $100 which he
agreed to
>
> Wow..he was dumb and you took advantage of that....
>
> >Anyway I sent out the Alinco before receiving the AOR
back,,,
>
> Another example of DUMB, and you claim to be a dealer?
>
> >copal
>
> >heedless
>
> >I do not now of a company ....
>
> A professional radio dealer will learn how to spell.
>
> >now I do not now of a company out there who will replace
a unit without first
> receiving the other unit back.
>
> Exactly, NO legit dealer would do that with 500 dollar
plus radios. If you
> thought that was an expression of goodwill, you learned a
costly lesson on how
> it was NOT.
>

> >Anyway he is now upset at me and I guess wishes to
attempt for me to look
> >bad,
>
> This makes no sense in either the US version or the King's
English.
>
> >So there you have it
> >For what it was worth
>
> You will not make much money conducting business in this
fashion. However, in
> your defense, Dean was unfair to make a blanket statement
of BEWARE without
> expressing himself. If your version is in fact the truth,
then Dean should be
> more then happy with the outcome of your transaction.
>
> Dan
>
> "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

2750. Re: Beware of this guy he will kip you off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 15:35:41 UTC
From: PG <pgwb3ku@aol.com>
Message-ID: <hrea7.30346$gj1.2866223@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61967

To be most effective, place the gentleman's name in the subject line - such as "Beware of Joe Blow". That way, anyone doing a search for information about Joe Blow through Deja News (now Google) will be able to see your warning and stay away from the guy.

How about a re-post with a proper title?

Thanks for the warning...

Paul G.

Shawn M. <eagle@ez-net.com> wrote in message news:3b5f61f5_4@corp.newsgroups.com...
> I (thought) I was buying a Pro2006 Scanner from J.Patmaca,I sent him the
> money and never got my scanner.Watch out he is a FUCKING
> THIEF and a FUCKING LIAR.
> If anybody that lives close to this address reads this PLEASE go find him
> and SQUEEZE his neck until his head pops off for me Thanks in advance!!!
> Here is his name and address so watch out for him.
> J Patmaca
> 505-2263 marine crt
> Bronte,ON
> L6L5k1
> Canada
> his email is jpatmaca@yahoo.ca
>
>
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2751. Re: FDNY - PL Tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 15:48:24 UTC
From: webmaster@scanatlanta.com
Message-ID: <3b6975f8.318486810@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 61968

Frank:

I'm glad people who work the systems are on the list. People like you
make the hobby of scanning a lot more enjoyable!

Y'all be safe up there!

Thanks!

/\/\|k.e
ScanAtlanta.com
webmaster@scanatlanta.com

On Wed, 01 Aug 2001 04:07:55 GMT, "Frank Raffa" <FD347@aol.com> wrote:

>Every borough uses 186.2.
>
>Frank Raffa
>Supv. Dispatcher, FDNY
>www.FDNewYork.com
>
>
>Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>news:3B675D2B.A90A170A@worldnet.att.net...
>> I monitored this freq for the last 1/2 hour using a PRO-92, and heard
>> transmissions with
>>
>> PL=192.8, and
>> PL=186.2
>>
>> The first appears to be Monmouth County, NJ, but you may want to
>> verify that.
>> The second appears to be Manhatten.
>>
>> Walt
>>
>> BobbyM2362 wrote:
>> >
>> > I'm trying to find out the PL tones for the FDNY fire frequencies,
>especially
>> > Citywide (154.43)... I'm tired of having to listen to New Jersey's
>Monmouth
>> > County Fire Service. Any help would be appreciated.
>> >
>> > Rob
>> > http://members.aol.com/ladder2362

>
>

2752. Re: Need MTX & GTX Software Updates

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 15:49:31 UTC
From: webmaster@scanatlanta.com
Message-ID: <3b69765a.318585035@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 61969

Try posting on rec.radio.swap

Make sure you don't say you want a copy of it as the self proclaimed
/\/\otorola police will eat you up!

On Wed, 1 Aug 2001 21:58:02 -0400, "Ricky Hamilton"
<mcrs702@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>My version no longer works. The radio I have is programmed with a newer
>version and it won't let me in! Nice trick for motorola to keep the money
>coming in!!!
>
>Can anyone help? I will trade or whatever you need!
>
>Thanks
>Rick
>
>
>

2753. Re: Javiation buyers beware!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 15:52:24 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010802115224.24449.00004138@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 61970

You have got to be kidding me!

Jonathan Clough and his team at JAVIATION are among the most respected of radio dealers in the USA and I would venture, the world for that matter.

For you to publicly trounce this company is commensurate with a recent rash of "crybabies" who seem to have proliferated these newsgroups of late.

Whining, moaning and crying about every little thing, none at all related to the discussion of the scanning hobby.

I have personally dealt with Jonathan at JAVIATION numerous times, and aside from great products, great prices, and great service, he has been more then accomodating to me on many occassions. Way above and beyond the call of duty.

You have not been scammed. I trust there is another side to this story, and I realize Jonathan has just moved his company, and is very busy, but I think we'd all love to hear from him on this.

Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

2754. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 15:55:52 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010802115552.24449.00004140@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 61971

>Here's my 2 cents worth. I ordered an Icom R2 from Martyn
>this year. I received the R2 before Martyn even charged my
>VISA card. The R2 was brand new and I am very happy.

Good for you. I am sure "Martyn" is trying to be a square shooter, however, his continued silence on the important questions I and others have raised regarding his dealership and ability to sell uncut radios is troubling.
Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

2755. Re: Beware of Phillip Knode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 15:58:16 UTC
From: sky444nospam@mint.net
Message-ID: <3b6979b0.132698029@news.mint.net>
Source record: 61798

I have done business with Phillip and have to say it was not a problem
at all.......sent scanner as stated and packaged like it should
be....not a problem.....

2756. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 16:08:11 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <sRea7.22769$WD1.1432408@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61972

> Anyway, This guy gets the Alinco and states it smells of smoke and looks
> like the battery has a small mark on it, Now this was a brand new and
> unopened box, well I have offered to replace it with another new unit FREE
> of charge ad this guy will not send his original unit back until he
receives
> a replacement from us, now I do not now of a company out there who will
> replace a unit without first receiving the other unit back.
> Anyway he is now upset at me and I guess wishes to attempt for me to look
> bad.

Sounds like you have done everything to make him happy and have provided
VERY GOOD CUSTOMER SERVICE. You just can't please some people!

Roland, NK2U

2757. Re: Cellular Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 16:24:45 UTC
From: Tommy Bevins <bevinst@vicksburg.com>
Message-ID: <F1A974B5561867A0.D6DD2C86BA770D86.469DFE3612CDCC3E@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 61973

cptdube@aol.com (CptDube) wrote:

>This is my first time posting to this news group. I'm looking for some one
>with technical experties that can motify a Uniden SC150 hand held scanner to
>catch Cellular frequencies.

Hard to do if not impossible. At least nothing is available that I
know of.

The scanner is really susceptible to images, so just scan around the
cell frequencies and you will get a lot of hits.

---
* Tommy Bevins
* bevinst@vicksburg.com
* 76510.1526@compuserve.com

2758. Re: Volunteers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 16:26:10 UTC
From: N9NWO <2121d@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B698039.DD4CE59C@gte.net>
Source record: 61974
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

> > Simple, the 2nd amendment was about
> > every male, if fit, serving.
>
> No, it isn't. There was no requirement, or expectation, for every
> male to serve when that amendment was proposed and ratified.
>

What they expected at that time was that every
male would drill as a community, much like the
Swiss did. And for a time they did so.

> > What we have today is a mercenary force.
>
> What we have today is a professional volunteer military. I don't
> allow the views of liberals, or yuppies, to cloud my vision of today's military.

We do not want a professional army, or police force.
What is needed is for all to serve and protect their
communities.

2759. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 16:30:05 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <hefa7.207339$mG4.95948779@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61975

"Daniel M. Conti" <offdan43@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010802115552.24449.00004140@ng-fo1.aol.com...
> >Here's my 2 cents worth. I ordered an Icom R2 from Martyn
> >this year. I received the R2 before Martyn even charged
my
> >VISA card. The R2 was brand new and I am very happy.
>
> Good for you. I am sure "Martyn" is trying to be a square
shooter, however,
> his continued silence on the important questions I and
others have raised
> regarding his dealership and ability to sell uncut radios
is troubling.
> Dan

Whatever, I wouldn't be afraid to buy from Martyn again and
am sure that I will.
DeWayne KE9K
>
> "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

2760. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 17:17:27 UTC
From: Leonard <louis@mail.snider.net>
Message-ID: <vl2jmt8l7egor3e5du3duljmdcc9phks9h@4ax.com>
Source record: 61976
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Our dispatch center has ham HF equipment as well as 2 meter and packet
equipment set up for RACES operators to use during emergencies.

On Thu, 12 Jul 2001 02:05:05 +1000, Barry OGrady
<billydingo@dingoblue.net.au> wrote:

>On Wed, 11 Jul 2001 15:14:25 GMT, N9NWO <2121d@gte.net> wrote:
>
>>
>> CNN NETWORKS:
>>
>>
>>
>>Calling all ham radio operators
>>
>>July 10, 2001 Posted: 11:30 a.m. EDT (1530 GMT)
>>
>>By Dan Verton
>>
>>(IDG) -- The System Administration
>>Networking and Security (SANS)
>>Institute is recruiting amateur radio
>>operators to take part in an
>>emergency communications network
>>that it said could be used by disaster
>>relief personnel in the event of a
>>catastrophic failure of
>>telecommunications systems,
>>including the Internet.
>
>Give us a break!
>Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
>It has nothing to do with emergencies.
>
>They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
>Stay out of things you know nothing about.
>
>
>-Barry
>========
>Web page: http://members.optusnet.com.au/~barryog
>Atheist, radio scanner, LIPD information.

2761. Re: Beware of Phillip Knode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 17:44:38 UTC
From: Sean <raymond.a2@ukonline.co.uk>
Message-ID: <9kc3ij$4k9$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 61977

In that case, all of you who find P Knode such a wonderful person to deal
with, why not ask him if he would like me to post the 7 or so e-mails I
received from people who appear NOT to share your views.
Sean
The ball is in his court regarding the ar8000

Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:bJ1a7.63622$C81.5376244@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> I've done business with him also in the the past with no problems. Would
> swap or buy with him again...
>
> Frank
> "Sean" <raymond.a2@ukonline.co.uk> wrote in message
> news:9k9m2a$t11$1@uranium.btinternet.com...
> > Beware of this Phillip Knode of Tennessee, he is a rip off merchant. I
> only
> > just saved my goods in time. He said he was posting an ar8000 to me on
> > Friday 27/July. he hadn't sent anything at all. I managed to block the
> items
> > I sent to him just in time. Be Warned, A few postings on the guy on deja
> > news as well, seems he has done this type of thing a few times. I'm out
of
> > pocket by $55.00 US plus the return post, but I suppose its better than
> > losing 2 scanners
> > His name address e-mail is---------
> > Philip Knode
> > 8201 Oxford Drive
> > Hixson,Tn.37343
> > USA
> > e-mail=: pknode@home.com
> > Be warned
> > Sean
> > Here is his so called promises.
> >
> > >> Ok will do the same tomorrow.Phil
> > >> -----Original Message-----
> > >> From: pknode@home.com <pknode@home.com>
> > >> Date: Thursday, July 26, 2001 1:43 PM
> > >> Subject: Scanner Swap
> > >
> > > Will get it out today.Phil
> > > -----Original Message-----
> > > From: pknode@home.com <pknode@home.com>
> > > Date: Friday, July 27, 2001 1:33 PM

> > Subject: Scanner Swap
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2762. Re: Javiation buyers beware!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 18:11:09 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tmj5s4he47dod2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61978

I've bought several radios from Jonathan (one just two weeks ago), never had a problem, and always prompt communications.....??????????????
In fact the first one, over four years ago, was a Yupi 7100 that was shipped without an a/c adapter (which I found out was normal, since they weren't meant for US import), but Jonathan was quick to admit in our initial correspondence he hadn't pointed that out, and immediately issued a credit for me buying one locally. Now if that isn't going above and beyond good service, I don't know what is.....
Don

patrick semone <pat47@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:8c4ba72b.0108020510.2a19afdd@posting.google.com...
> Called Javiation(from the usa) an hour ago(3rd time within a month)
> and asked about my order,that i sent online the first wk of July,Got
> the standard answer.Bla bla bla,check your email in 15 min.Of course
> there wasn't any email(like the other 3 times).Now I'm concerned as
> they have my credit card infomation & I have nothing, I think I've
> beenscamed!

2763. Re: Javiation buyers beware!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 18:12:26 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <20010802141226.18827.00001831@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 61979

>Subject: Re: Javiation buyers beware!

>From: offdan43@aol.com (Daniel M. Conti)

>Jonathan Clough and his team at JAVIATION are among the most respected of
>radio
>dealers in the USA and I would venture, the world for that matter

maybe to you he is.... not me

>For you to publicly trounce this company is commensurate with a recent rash
>of
>"crybabies"

I'm just telling MY experience, and it happened a YEAR ago.... I didn't dash
to
the group and bad mouth anyone the minute it happened. But since someone
else brought it up.... I put in my 2 cents worth

>Whining, moaning and crying about every little thing,

didn't whine or cry.... just won't do business with them again. And maybe it
isn't a big deal to you.... but it was to me
since I was the one ordering the stuff, and had to spend a considerable time
locating
what I wanted from someone else....
not to mention the THREE calls to Javiation.... that got NO RESULTS.

>I have personally dealt with Jonathan at JAVIATION numerous times

and when this happens to you, I don't want to see you up here beating a drum
complaining.

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

2764. Re: Javiation buyers beware!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 18:21:37 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <20010802142137.18775.00001956@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 61980

>Subject: Re: Javiation buyers beware!

>From: "Don Parker" tazman@cox-internet.com

>I've bought several radios from Jonathan (one just two weeks ago), never had
>a problem

In message , Labtech1@aol.com writes

Hi,

> Acouple months ago I ordered (by phone) a soft case (for an AOR8200)
> an interface cable, and a JAV232 unit..... have heard nothing since.
> Johnathan..... did you for get me?

Mmm.....first off apologies for the none arrival. I'm at home at the
moment and won't be back in until Monday but I will check up. Certainly
seems as if something has gone pear shaped.

Do you still want us to send them if tey have not been sent :-(
Cheers,
/--\
Jonathan Clough	HF\VHF\UHF Receivers & Scanners from all the
Javiation,	leading manufacturers including:
Bradford, ENGLAND, U.K.	AOR AR16/5000/7000/7030/8000/8200, Alinco DJ-X10

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

2765. Re: Plum Island, NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 18:34:57 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010802143457.17168.00002087@ng-cn1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 61981

>Subject: Plum Island, NY
>From: jay585cool@aol.comSpamFree (Jay Cohen)

Plumb Island is not all that secret to be honest,
The Plumb is a National Wildlife Refuge and is open for short periods during the year for Bird Watchers.

The National Park Service is charged with the administration and protection of Plumb, the FBI is not guarding it though they have jurisdiction over crimes there as it is Federal Land. (The FBI does have a Uniformed Police Force but only in DC).

The Frequency 36.43 is assigned to the USDA
but it is unlikely that it is on a Trunked System.
If they want security for Coms. it will be Digital/Encrypted.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

2766. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 19:11:37 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <JBha7.208158$mG4.96062936@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61982

Gee, I never heard of scanners killing people, but if you
say so.
DeWayne KE9K

>
> >Here's my 2 cents worth. I ordered an Icom R2 from Martyn
> >this year. I received the R2 before Martyn even charged
my
> >VISA card. The R2 was brand new and I am very happy.
>
> Which, IF he's selling illegally imported radios,
> is about like praising your drug dealer.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own
risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2767. Re: Plum Island, NY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 19:33:21 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B69A6A2.A731F084@home.com>
Source record: 61983

No, sounds like he's trying to fuel more stupid rumors about the former
Montauk AFS.

Blake Bowers wrote:
>
> Toscano wrote:
> >
> > Isn't there also some place on Long Island with weird radio/radar
> > experiments? I recall being at Otis AFB in MA (I was Army, not AF) and
> > talking with the Pave Paws about some innovative new radar experiment on a
> > supposed "closed" base somewhere. I swear they said Long Island but the
> > place had a very Native American name like Mawhawk or some such...may have
> > been southern CT by New Haven.
>
> Possibly the Air NG radar site at Orange CT?

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

2768. Cellular Images

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 19:50:56 UTC
From: cptdube@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010802155056.11210.00002506@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 61984

Does any one remember the equation to find a cellular image of a frequency?

2769. lots of acronyms ! ;)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 20:52:49 UTC
From: Ronski <rsujkowskiihatespammers@home.com>
Message-ID: <b5fjmtop316pg49nk4h98hbhpq2ipkqoce@4ax.com>
Source record: 61985
Cross-posted to: alt.rec.scnner,rec.radio.scanner

let me know of any otheres or any thing wrong listed ;) Thanks !
My entire scanner frequency list is at:

http://www.bouwkamp.net/~miscanner/midmich.htm

A.I. Accident Investigator
A.L.S. Advanced Life Support (ALPHA)
A.C.F.A. Attempt to Commit Felonious Assault
A.D.W. Assault with a Deadly Weapon
A.R.T.C.C. Air Route Traffic Control Center
A.T.I.S. Automatic Terminal Information Service (Airports)
A.T.L. Attempt To Locate
B.C.J. Bay County Jail
B.L.S. Basic Life Support (BRAVO)
B.M.C. Bay Medical Center
B.O.L. Be On the Lookout
C.C.W. Carrying Concealed Weapon
C.H.F. Congestive Heart Failure
C.L.N.C. Clearance (Airports)
C.M.I.S. Criminal Management Information System
C.S.C. Criminal Sexual Conduct
D.W.I. Driving While Intoxicated
D.W.L.S. Driving While License Suspended
E.T.O.H. Alcohol
F.A.C. Failed to Appear in Court
F.C.J. Failure to Comply with Judgment
F.T.A. Failure To Appear (in court)
F.T.C. Failure To Comply (with court judgment)
G.O.A. Gone On Arrival
H & R Hit & Run
H.E.A.R.N. Hospital Emergency Administrative Radio Network
HOOK Towtruck
K Killed
KEYHOLDER Someone who has keys to get into the place
L.E.I.N. Law Enforcement Information Network
L.Z. Landing Zone (helicopters)
M.E. Medical Examiner
M.I.P. Minors In Possession of alcohol
M.D.O.P. Malicious Destruction Of Property
M.D.T. Mobile Data Terminal
M.S.O. Marine Safety Officer (Coast Guard)
N.C.I.C. National Crime Information Center
O.D. Officer of the Day (Coast Guard)
O.T.L. Out To Lunch

O.U.I.L. Operating Under the Influence of Liquor (or O.U.)
P&L Prostitution & Loitering
PAT.COMM. PATrol COMMander (Coast Guard)
P.B.T. Portable Breathalyzer Test
P.D.A. Property Damage Accident
P.I. Personal Injury accident
P.I.W. Person(s) In Water
P.O.B. Persons On Board
P.P.O. Personal Protection Order
R.A. Robbery Armed
R.T.D. Return To Department
RUNNER Someone who is responsible at the place
RVR Runway Visual Range
S.A.R. Search And Rescue
S.O.S. Secretary Of State
T.X. Telephone call
U.C. Under Cover
U.D.A.A. Unauthorized Driving Away of Auto
U.T.L. Unable To Locate
V.C.S.A. Violation of Chemical Substance Act
V.F.R. Visual Flight Rules (Airplanes)
V.O.R. VHF Omni-flight Range (Airplanes)

rsujkowskinospam@home.com

2770. Blue Angels freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 21:11:07 UTC
From: Richard Ness <richard@nospam.nessnet.com>
Message-ID: <tmjgfcehjqb5be@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61986

There are tons of listings for Blue Angel's freqs...
Some quite old. I'd like to narrow it down a bit...

Anyone have a current (this year's shows) listing?

Thanks!

2771. New in the box AOR 8200MKII Mint!!!!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 21:11:19 UTC
From: gcarojr@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <fd1931a1.0108021311.11613a3d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61987

I only used it once and lost interest. Still has shipping plastic on the screen and 12 volt home adapter that I purchased seperately. $500 email me for more details.

gcarojr@hotmail.com

2772. Re: Javiation buyers beware!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 21:13:04 UTC
From: gcarojr@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <fd1931a1.0108021313.635788d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61988

pat47@webtv.net (patrick semone) wrote in message
news:<8c4ba72b.0108020510.2a19afdd@posting.google.com>...
> Called Javiation(from the usa) an hour ago(3rd time within a month)
> and asked about my order,that i sent online the first wk of July,Got
> the standard answer.Bla bla bla,check your email in 15 min.Of course
> there wasn't any email(like the other 3 times).Now I'm concerned as
> they have my credit card infomation & I have nothing, I think I've
> beenscamed!

I got my scanner 2 days after ordering it. Great service!! It's for
sale if anyone is interested. AOR AR8200MKII. New!. Only used once.
Email me. gcarojr@hotmail.com

2773. Re: Cellular Images

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 21:19:41 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9kcg4f$3rk0d$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61989

"CptDube" <cptdube@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010802155056.11210.00002506@ng-fv1.aol.com...
> Does any one remember the equation to find a cellular image of a
frequency?

I believe you listen at the frequency + twice the IF. Most scanners have an IF of 10.7 MHZ, so listen at 21.4 higher than the original frequency. I have a scanner that picks up cellular images from around 890-920 MHZ, so it would appear that this is the correct equation.

Tom

2774. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 21:21:48 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9kcg8d$3ruj5$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61990

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:5v8jmt82bo63f59r0baf9dumjdpk29r81g@4ax.com...
> "DeWayne" <ke9k@home.com> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
> >Here's my 2 cents worth. I ordered an Icom R2 from Martyn
> >this year. I received the R2 before Martyn even charged my
> >VISA card. The R2 was brand new and I am very happy.
>
> Which, IF he's selling illegally imported radios,
> is about like praising your drug dealer.
>

Which is more dangerous, heroin or an unblocked scanner?

Tom

2775. Re: Javiation buyers beware!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 21:24:38 UTC
From: TrUmPi <blokspam@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <9kcghlpvn1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 61991

Javiation is a very good dealer with good service. Read the reviews at
strongsignals.net

Jersey G <gcarojr@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:fd1931a1.0108021313.635788d@posting.google.com...
> pat47@webtv.net (patrick semone) wrote in message
news:<8c4ba72b.0108020510.2a19afdd@posting.google.com>...
> > Called Javiation(from the usa) an hour ago(3rd time within a month)
> > and asked about my order,that i sent online the first wk of July,Got
> > the standard answer.Bla bla bla,check your email in 15 min.Of course
> > there wasn't any email(like the other 3 times).Now I'm concerned as
> > they have my credit card infomation & I have nothing, I think I've
> > beenscamed!
>
>
> I got my scanner 2 days after ordering it. Great service!! It's for
> sale if anyone is interested. AOR AR8200MKII. New!. Only used once.
> Email me. gcarojr@hotmail.com

2776. Re: lots of acronyms ! ;)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 21:27:03 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9kcgi9$3pv8d$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61992

"Ronski" <rsujkowskiihatespammers@home.com> wrote in message
news:b5fjmtop316pg49nk4h98hbhpq2ipkqoce@4ax.com...

> B.O.L. Be On the Lookout

This may be different in other states, but this is usually BOLO.

> P.P.O. Personal Protection Order

In some places this will be known as a PFA (protection from abuse order).

Here's another couple which may or may not be in use in your area:

B&UF Baseless & Unfounded
HBO Handled By Officer

Tom

2777. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 21:42:57 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B69C8EB.E322D907@home.com>
Source record: 61993

Martyn you may think its petty because you have my money and I have a
previously owned radio you represented as new!

Martyn wrote:
>
> Now tell them why Dean
> I think/Know that I have a very god reason for my actions I do not think
> that you have, Its very petty you posting this on a newsgroup
> So if anyone out there wishes to know the full and truthful story, please do
> not hesitate in contacting me
> Best 73's
> Martyn
> Radio Mart Inc.
>
> "Dean Kastoris" <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message
> news:3B68991D.D6DFD66F@home.com...
> > BEWARE OF RADIO MART

2778. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 21:44:34 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B69C94B.5509AE9F@home.com>
Source record: 61994

I believe you. My story does not have that kind of ewnding though.

DeWayne wrote:
>
> Here's my 2 cents worth. I ordered an Icom R2 from Martyn
> this year. I received the R2 before Martyn even charged my
> VISA card. The R2 was brand new and I am very happy.
> DeWayne KE9K
>
> "Daniel M. Conti" <offdan43@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010802005025.14884.00003220@ng-cg1.aol.com...
> > >This guy purchased an AOR 8200B from us
> >
> > Us? Isn't it really just YOU Martyn? US? Where? You
> are a legit dealer?
> > Do you have a store? If so, how can YOU be selling uncut
> receivers to the
> > general public when other legit dealers cannot?
> >
> > >anyway I agreed to
> > >send an alinco and charge him an additional $100 which he
> agreed to
> >
> > Wow..he was dumb and you took advantage of that....
> >
> > >Anyway I sent out the Alinco before receiving the AOR
> back,,,
> >
> > Another example of DUMB, and you claim to be a dealer?
> >
> > >copal
> >
> > >heedless
> >
> > >I do not now of a company ....
> >
> > A professional radio dealer will learn how to spell.
> >
> > >now I do not now of a company out there who will replace
> a unit without first
> > receiving the other unit back.
> >
> > Exactly, NO legit dealer would do that with 500 dollar
> plus radios. If you

> > thought that was an expression of goodwill, you learned a
> costly lesson on how
> > it was NOT.
> >
> > >Anyway he is now upset at me and I guess wishes to
> attempt for me to look
> > >bad,
> >
> > This makes no sense in either the US version or the King's
> English.
> >
> > >So there you have it
> > >For what it was worth
> >
> > You will not make much money conducting business in this
> fashion. However, in
> > your defense, Dean was unfair to make a blanket statement
> of BEWARE without
> > expressing himself. If your version is in fact the truth,
> then Dean should be
> > more then happy with the outcome of your transaction.
> >
> > Dan
> >
> > "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

2779. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 21:54:19 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B69CB94.4046B21B@home.com>
Source record: 61995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Belive me, I don't complain about much. I don't mind paying for something if it is exactly as represented by thew seller. Something was definitely wrong with the condition of this radio when I got it. I have bought thousands of dollars worth of new equipment and never have I has something come in the condition this one came in. The radio had a cigarette smoke smell, the battery was badly scratched, the accessories were wrapped in crumpled up bags, the battery does not hold a charge for very long. There are other things but the bottom line is that I have paid over $600 for a used radio that was supposed to be new. On top of it he charged me $14.00 for shipping and insurance and did not even insure. The package came in with a $5.00 label on the US Postal box. Martyn did not even put packing in the box...he just dropped the radio box in a box 2.5 times its size and let it bounce around during shipping. When I sent the AOR back I packed it with popcorn and insured it for the proper amount the lady at the post office said the shipping would be $14.00 without insurance and $15.25 with insurance. I paid to have it insured. I have a right to be upset.

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>
> >Here's my 2 cents worth. I ordered an Icom R2 from Martyn
> >this year. I received the R2 before Martyn even charged my
> >VISA card. The R2 was brand new and I am very happy.
>
> Good for you. I am sure "Martyn" is trying to be a square shooter, however,
> his continued silence on the important questions I and others have raised
> regarding his dealership and ability to sell uncut radios is troubling.
> Dan
>
> "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

2780. PRO-2030

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 21:56:01 UTC
From: Chris N <chrisnichols@bbnow.net>
Message-ID: <rsUlz55GBHA.184@typhoon.i3s.net>
Source record: 61897

I am thinking of buying a modified PRO-2030 for my first scanner. Is this a decent scanner for a rookie or should I look into buying something else. Are the winradio cards any good?

Thanks,

Chris

2781. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 22:01:45 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <d5ka7.209237$mG4.96205609@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61996

If the radio you received was not brand new then I won't do
business with Martyn again.
DeWayne

"Dean Kastoris" <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message
news:3B69C8EB.E322D907@home.com...
> Martyn you may think its petty because you have my money
and I have a
> previously owned radio you represented as new!
>
> Martyn wrote:
> >
> > Now tell them why Dean
> > I think/Know that I have a very god reason for my
actions I do not think
> > that you have, Its very petty you posting this on a
newsgroup
> > So if anyone out there wishes to know the full and
truthful story, please do
> > not hesitate in contacting me
> > Best 73's
> > Martyn
> > Radio Mart Inc.
> >
> > "Dean Kastoris" <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message
> > news:3B68991D.D6DFD66F@home.com...
> > > BEWARE OF RADIO MART

2782. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 22:27:58 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B69D376.250052CC@home.com>
Source record: 61898

Don't get me wrong,I don't have a problem paying the new price but when it has been obviously used...well I guess I loose.

DeWayne wrote:
>
> If the radio you received was not brand new then I won't do
> business with Martyn again.
> DeWayne
>
> "Dean Kastoris" <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message
> news:3B69C8EB.E322D907@home.com...
> > Martyn you may think its petty because you have my money
> and I have a
> > previously owned radio you represented as new!
> >
> > Martyn wrote:
> > >
> > > Now tell them why Dean
> > > I think/Know that I have a very god reason for my
> actions I do not think
> > > that you have, Its very petty you posting this on a
> newsgroup
> > > So if anyone out there wishes to know the full and
> truthful story, please do
> > > not hesitate in contacting me
> > > Best 73's
> > > Martyn
> > > Radio Mart Inc.
> > >
> > > "Dean Kastoris" <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3B68991D.D6DFD66F@home.com...
> > > > BEWARE OF RADIO MART

2783. The Most Band Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 23:39:07 UTC
From: cptdube@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010802193907.28651.00002669@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 61899

What scanners cover the most band range?

2784. Re: 72mhz scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-02 23:59:07 UTC
From: Yoda <nope@att.com>
Message-ID: <3B69E9C7.9376F95C@att.com>
Source record: 61900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

BOB URZ wrote:
>
> What scanners will pick up narrow band fm in the 72 to 75 mhz
> frequency range? This band is used for ada hard of hearing
> systems.
> Information on new or older scanners that will do this would be
> appreciated.

My RS-2006 will receive this freq. Why do you want to listen to this?
Just curious.

2785. Re: Cellular Images

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 00:45:13 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B69F418.761FCBAB@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61901

Most of the recent "triple conversion" scanners have first IF
frequencies up around 250 MHz, or so.

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "CptDube" <cptdube@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010802155056.11210.00002506@ng-fv1.aol.com...
> > Does any one remember the equation to find a cellular image of a
> frequency?
>
> I believe you listen at the frequency + twice the IF. Most scanners have an
> IF of 10.7 MHZ, so listen at 21.4 higher than the original frequency. I
> have a scanner that picks up cellular images from around 890-920 MHZ, so it
> would appear that this is the correct equation.
>
> Tom

2786. Cannon Color Page Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 00:53:24 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <8Cma7.52$94.54046@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 61800

Have a Cannon color scanner for sale $30.00 plus shipping.This is for a
scusi computor not for IDE.Has cbles but no software or book.Also has box.
George Burke
Box 1702
Gloucester,Mass.01931-1702
Tel:978-281-3359

2787. Re: lots of acronyms ! ;)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 01:46:34 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <6jna7.59$c07.1562@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 61902

In Oklahoma it's E.P.O. - Emergency Protective Order

Also:
C.D.S. - Controlled Dangerous Substance
C.P.L. - Current Point Level (Driver's License - in Okla. 10 points gets you a D.I. Flag)
D.I. (as in D.I. Flag - Suspension) - Driver Improvement
D.L. - Driver's License
D.R.E. - Drug Recognition Expert
E.O.D. - Emergency Order to Detain (Mental Detention)
F.I. - Field Interrogation (Card)
F.R. (as in F.R. Flag - Suspension) - Financial Responsibility (No Auto Insurance)
O.L.N. - Operator's License Number
P.O.C. - Possession of Open Container
S O C - SOCial security number
S.S.N. - Social Security Number
T.O.C. - Transporting Open Container
T.B.O.H. - Taken By Own Hand

Bio-Hazard - Subject is known HIV-positive

Mark
K5MAR

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:5iljmtsg73dqqghsk0ajc15gui57somet1@4ax.com...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
> >> P.P.O. Personal Protection Order
> > >In some places this will be known as a PFA (protection from abuse order).
>
> Here in California, it's TRO (temporary restraining order).
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2788. SC-200 Programming Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 02:35:34 UTC
From: John Doe <me@me.com>
Message-ID: <W5oa7.26913$O8.3572113@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 61903
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.cart,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.autos.sport.indy,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.scanner

Download a FREE Trial at:

http://members.home.net/itssoftware/ez-scan.htm

Newsgroup:
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ezscansport

--

2789. Re: SC-200 Programming Software- HEY MATT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 02:48:42 UTC
From: JL <jl_1992@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9kd3ih$3rje9$1@ID-60832.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61904
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.cart,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.autos.sport.indy,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.scanner

"John Doe" <me@me.com> wrote:
> Download a FREE Trial at:
>
> http://members.home.net/itssoftware/ez-scan.htm

Matt from MI, since I know you have the SC200....have you
tried this? Is it any better than that piece of crap from
Pozilla Software?

2790. Re: Beware of Phillip Knode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 03:50:18 UTC
From: Philip Knode <pknode@home.com>
Message-ID: <_bpa7.22453$k7.5402629@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 61905

Look Sean you always going to find people like you that are unhappy about a
deal they are into.But I NEVER repeat NEVER have rip anyone off of anything
in this NG or any other.If so prove it.Phil
Sean wrote in message <9kc3ij$4k9$1@uranium.btinternet.com>...
>In that case, all of you who find P Knode such a wonderful person to deal
>with, why not ask him if he would like me to post the 7 or so e-mails I
>received from people who appear NOT to share your views.
>Sean
>The ball is in his court regarding the ar8000
>
>
>
>
>
>Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>news:bJ1a7.63622$C81.5376244@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> I've done business with him also in the the past with no problems. Would
>> swap or buy with him again...
>>
>> Frank
>> "Sean" <raymond.a2@ukonline.co.uk> wrote in message
>> news:9k9m2a$t11$1@uranium.btinternet.com...
>> > Beware of this Phillip Knode of Tennessee, he is a rip off merchant. I
>> only
>> > just saved my goods in time. He said he was posting an ar8000 to me on
>> > Friday 27/July. he hadn't sent anything at all. I managed to block the
>> items
>> > I sent to him just in time. Be Warned, A few postings on the guy on
deja
>> > news as well, seems he has done this type of thing a few times. I'm out
>of
>> > pocket by $55.00 US plus the return post, but I suppose its better than
>> > losing 2 scanners
>> > His name address e-mail is---------
>> > Philip Knode
>> > 8201 Oxford Drive
>> > Hixson,Tn.37343
>> > USA
>> > e-mail=: pknode@home.com
>> > Be warned
>> > Sean
>> > Here is his so called promises.
>> >
>> > >> Ok will do the same tomorrow.Phil

>> > >> -----Original Message-----
>> > >> From: pknode@home.com <pknode@home.com>
>> > >> Date: Thursday, July 26, 2001 1:43 PM
>> > >> Subject: Scanner Swap
>> >
>> > Will get it out today.Phil
>> > -----Original Message-----
>> > From: pknode@home.com <pknode@home.com>
>> > Date: Friday, July 27, 2001 1:33 PM
>> > Subject: Scanner Swap
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

2791. Re: Beware of Phillip Knode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 03:51:58 UTC
From: Philip Knode <pknode@home.com>
Message-ID: <ydpa7.22455$k7.5404486@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 61906

```
And by the way I have only read positive posts on my behalf.Your will get
you Ar8000 if I have to swim the channel to deliver it.Phil
Sean wrote in message <9kc3ij$4k9$1@uranium.btinternet.com>...
>In that case, all of you who find P Knode such a wonderful person to deal
>with, why not ask him if he would like me to post the 7 or so e-mails I
>received from people who appear NOT to share your views.
>Sean
>The ball is in his court regarding the ar8000
>
>
>
>
>
>Frank Bals <FJB@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>news:bJ1a7.63622$C81.5376244@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>> I've done business with him also in the the past with no problems. Would
>> swap or buy with him again...
>>
>> Frank
>> "Sean" <raymond.a2@ukonline.co.uk> wrote in message
>> news:9k9m2a$t11$1@uranium.btinternet.com...
>> > Beware of this Phillip Knode of Tennessee, he is a rip off merchant. I
>> only
>> > just saved my goods in time. He said he was posting an ar8000 to me on
>> > Friday 27/July. he hadn't sent anything at all. I managed to block the
>> items
>> > I sent to him just in time. Be Warned, A few postings on the guy on
deja
>> > news as well, seems he has done this type of thing a few times. I'm out
>of
>> > pocket by $55.00 US plus the return post, but I suppose its better than
>> > losing 2 scanners
>> > His name address e-mail is---------
>> > Philip Knode
>> > 8201 Oxford Drive
>> > Hixson,Tn.37343
>> > USA
>> > e-mail=: pknode@home.com
>> > Be warned
>> > Sean
>> > Here is his so called promises.
>> >
>> > >> Ok will do the same tomorrow.Phil
>> > >> -----Original Message-----
```

>> > >> From: pknode@home.com <pknode@home.com>
>> > >> Date: Thursday, July 26, 2001 1:43 PM
>> > >> Subject: Scanner Swap
>> >
>> > Will get it out today.Phil
>> > -----Original Message-----
>> > From: pknode@home.com <pknode@home.com>
>> > Date: Friday, July 27, 2001 1:33 PM
>> > Subject: Scanner Swap
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

2792. Re: The Most Band Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 04:39:04 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b6a2a65.612244570@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61907

On 02 Aug 2001 23:39:07 GMT, cptdube@aol.com (CptDube) wrote:

>What scanners cover the most band range?

The AR8200MKII covers 100kHz. to 2.04GHz. ,
however is only considered effective down to 530kHz.
I imagine several other AOR products may be similar.

2793. Re: Javiation buyers beware!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 04:53:19 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b6a2b21.612433099@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61908

On Thu, 2 Aug 2001 23:24:38 +0200, "TrUmPi" <blokspam@nomail.com> wrote:

Just recently ordered from Javiation and am
VERY pleased with service, price, and product. This
was my first scanner and Jonathan was very helpful.
We exchanged several e-mails before I ordered.
Order was placed 0615 on a Wed. and recieved at
1015 on Fri. 52 hrs. total. delivery time. Price (which
included shipping) was 59.00US cheaper than blocked
domestic offerings. Product is just as described on
Strongsignals.net (including ant. "tilt") and just as
good a performer. No matter whether I upgrade with
options or buy newer units as they come out, I can
say that Jonathan will always get my business.

Andrew

2794. Re: Pozilla Software Support, Any Ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 05:50:14 UTC
From: Kevin Gallagher <Kevinga@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3B6A1166.664CC552@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 61909

I will not be buying another product from Pozilla. I own Winscan 1.0 and 2.1 for the BC245 and 895.
The software has intermittant bugs and it appears they won't be fixed. I wrote to their tech support
and was ignored for several weeks. I was able to speak to the owner of the company by phone, he
thought my problem was a known bug but wasn't willing to say much. Talking to him was like pulling
teeth. He didn't ask for my name, or show any signs of interest in customer satisfaction. My impressions
is that this company is not interested in a future.

A week or so later I got a response to my original email from their tech support. No admission that
these might be bugs. The person responding told me my problem was related to the file with the
possibility of file corruption. I had already told them I was able to duplicate the same problem on
2 computers. Nix the bad file theory.

The problem you describe seems too big to have been released in the final version, but it doesn't
surprise me with Pozilla. With my software the banks all reset to conventional and must be reset to
Motorola, EDACS, etc.

Good luck but write off these Pozilla guys.

"Creighton J. Smith" wrote:

> Hello,
>
> Have run across a problem with Pozilla WinScan v1.0 with the RS-2052. Seems
> the alpha tags get wiped out by file corruption above channel 95. I may
> very well be doing something wrong, but haven't heard a peep from Pozilla
> support after 5 days and counting. Thanks for any help!
>
> Best regards,
> Creighton Smith
> cjsmith1@ix.netcom.com

2795. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 10:08:53 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B6A77BD.1FFAE56A@home.com>
Source record: 61910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

When the AOR was retured to Martyn, I paid an additional amount of money above what I already paid so I could purchase the Alinco. Martyn had my credit card number and sent me the new unit before I he received the old one. I told him I appreciated that and was impressed right up until I received the Alinco in the mail which I have already explained was obviously previously used. The problem I have now is a matter of trust. I don't want to be out all that money totally if I send the radio back to him and I get nothing in return. And Martyn doesn't trust me because he knows I have a legitimate gripe and he is afraid I would not return the original one and still keep the one he would send. What a mess! I have never cheated anyone in my life and have been honest. I don't need to swindle someone out of something that belongs to them but on the same token I don't like being taken advantage of. Well, enough said. I don't need a jury here because what is done is done. I just want people to know what transpired. Also Martyn e-mailed me and said this was good publicity and advertising for him. Oh well.

Dean

Ben Coleman wrote:
>
> On Wed, 1 Aug 2001 23:05:26 -0400, Martyn wrote:
>
> >now I do not now of a company out there who will
> >replace a unit without first receiving the other unit back.
>
> Actually, there are companies that will do this. I know Hewlett
> Packard does. The credit card merchant service provider I used to use
> does. This seems to be typically handled by either sending along with
> the new unit address labels and sufficient information for the customer
> to schedule a pickup for the return unit, or by scheduling a call tag
> to be picked up at the same time as the new unit is delivered - the
> customer is told to have the unit ready for pickup when the new unit is
> delivered.
>
> Of course, in these cases, the vendor insists on having credit card
> info, or some other means of billing the customer in case the return
> unit is not received back promptly.
>
> Ben
> --
> Ben Coleman NJ8J | The attempt to legislatively
> Internet: nj8j@benshome.net | micromanage equality results, at
> http://oloryn.home.mindspring.com/ | best, in equal misery for all.

2796. FS:PRO-30 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 10:37:33 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@home.com>
Message-ID: <N9va7.211766$mG4.96975371@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61911

i have a radio shack,pro30 scanner
cover up to 500mhz..
does not work on batteries for some reason,,but,does work well on the ac adapter..
no antenna,,just scanner,and,ac adapter
price 15.00 shipped

monte k9dzd@home.com

2797. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 11:05:07 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3B6A8563.96E4209A@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 61912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Martyn wrote:
>
> Okay
> Let me explain
> This guy purchased an AOR 8200B from us and decided he did not like it and
> asked if he could change it for an Alinco DJX-2000, Now he made all sorts of
> claims about this AOR being the biggest pile of trash ever made (strange
> there are so many satisfied people out there with them) anyway I agreed to
> send an alinco and charge him an additional $100 which he agreed to.

Given that the Alinco sells for about $60 less, that's an approx.
$160 restocking charge (~29%). That's alot.

--
Michael Moore

2798. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 11:06:44 UTC
From: Mark Allread <markallread@flatsurface.com>
Message-ID: <3B6A85C4.8FCE8E4D@flatsurface.com>
Source record: 61913
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Dean Kastoris wrote:

> When the AOR was retured to Martyn, I paid an additional amount of money
> above what I already paid so I could purchase the Alinco. Martyn had my
> credit card number and sent me the new unit before I he received the old
> one. I told him I appreciated that and was impressed right up until I
> received the Alinco in the mail which I have already explained was
> obviously previously used.

You returned a used unit, and possibly received a used unit in return. It cost you the agreed upon $100 to "upgrade" models. What's your gripe? Do you seriously expect a reseller to provide new units on a trial basis and eat the cost of depreciation when it's returned used? That is unreasonable.

Apparently without properly researching its ability to meet your needs, you ordered a unit, and you got what you ordered. After you realized YOUR mistake, the reseller went out of his way to allow you to exchange that unit for a different one, something he had no obligation to do. He has now offered to exchange the second unit you received, but you're demanding to receive the replacement unit first?! That is unreasonable.

He has, by your own account, gone out of his way to please you, yet you're publicly maligning him. That is unreasonable.

He should just tell you to F.O.

2799. Zero Gravity is a Big Zero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 12:15:54 UTC
From: HateSpam <hatespam@lnoemail.com>
Message-ID: <_Bwa7.2923$n_3.4004335@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61914

Ordered a ZG ST screen through my usual on-line store three weeks ago. I found out yesteday that ZG still hasn't made the screen and probably won't for another two weeks (maybe more). This is the same thing that happened the last time I ordered a ZG screen. I waited almost six weeks for that one. The time before that I got the screen within three weeks but it fit like crap.

Yeah, I'm impatient and an idiot for repeatedly giving my business to a company that doesn't give a shit about customer service or quality. I just don't think I oughta have to wait six-plus weeks to get a windscreen when every other company that I have ever dealt with can get me the product within a day to a week at the most.

My on-line guy says the problems with ZG have been so numerous they're no longer going to sell them. He's proposing as an alternative a screen made by Powerbronze, a UK company. He says the product is well made and the company delivers when it says it will deliver, which is usually right away. I've heard that Powerbronze makes good stuff so I've decided to give them a shot.

Meanwhile those clowns at ZG can screw themselves. I'm through working on giving them my business.

2800. JAVIATION SITUATION MUCH IMPROVED!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 12:21:33 UTC
From: pat47@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8c4ba72b.0108030421.2849b240@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61915

After several emails,I think my fears are much alayed. MrJonathan Clough was very kind & informative with this "newbie" and i want to publicly thank him.
Reguards;
Patrick
Ps. sorry to all the javition fans.

2801. Re: Zero Gravity is a Big Zero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 12:52:09 UTC
From: HateSpam <hatespam@lnoemail.com>
Message-ID: <Z7xa7.2946$n_3.4016099@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61916

Yeh, I'm really thining straight this morning! Venting in the wrong forum.

"HateSpam" <hatespam@lnoemail.com> wrote in message
news:_Bwa7.2923$n_3.4004335@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> Ordered a ZG ST screen through my usual on-line store three weeks ago. I
> found out yesteday that ZG still hasn't made the screen and probably won't
> for another two weeks (maybe more). This is the same thing that happened
the
> last time I ordered a ZG screen. I waited almost six weeks for that one.
The
> time before that I got the screen within three weeks but it fit like crap.
>
> Yeah, I'm impatient and an idiot for repeatedly giving my business to a
> company that doesn't give a shit about customer service or quality. I just
> don't think I oughta have to wait six-plus weeks to get a windscreen when
> every other company that I have ever dealt with can get me the product
> within a day to a week at the most.
>
> My on-line guy says the problems with ZG have been so numerous they're no
> longer going to sell them. He's proposing as an alternative a screen made
by
> Powerbronze, a UK company. He says the product is well made and the
company
> delivers when it says it will deliver, which is usually right away. I've
> heard that Powerbronze makes good stuff so I've decided to give them a
shot.
>
> Meanwhile those clowns at ZG can screw themselves. I'm through working on
> giving them my business.
>
>

2802. Re: Zero Gravity is a Big Zero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 13:01:36 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tml83q9a5ebsfc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61917

Just curious, what type of windscreen are you installing on your scanner??

HateSpam <hatespam@lnoemail.com> wrote in message
news:_Bwa7.2923$n_3.4004335@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> Ordered a ZG ST screen through my usual on-line store three weeks ago. I
> found out yesteday that ZG still hasn't made the screen and probably won't
> for another two weeks (maybe more). This is the same thing that happened
the
> last time I ordered a ZG screen. I waited almost six weeks for that one.
The
> time before that I got the screen within three weeks but it fit like crap.
>
> Yeah, I'm impatient and an idiot for repeatedly giving my business to a
> company that doesn't give a shit about customer service or quality. I just
> don't think I oughta have to wait six-plus weeks to get a windscreen when
> every other company that I have ever dealt with can get me the product
> within a day to a week at the most.
>
> My on-line guy says the problems with ZG have been so numerous they're no
> longer going to sell them. He's proposing as an alternative a screen made
by
> Powerbronze, a UK company. He says the product is well made and the
company
> delivers when it says it will deliver, which is usually right away. I've
> heard that Powerbronze makes good stuff so I've decided to give them a
shot.
>
> Meanwhile those clowns at ZG can screw themselves. I'm through working on
> giving them my business.
>
>

2803. Re: Zero Gravity is a Big Zero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 13:06:56 UTC
From: HateSpam <hatespam@lnoemail.com>
Message-ID: <Qlxa7.2955$n_3.4020645@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61918

It mounts to the front of a PRO2042 and is designed to deflect random ion particles, thereby reducing interference and increasing sensivity. On a good day, I expect to be able to pick up transmissions from Ferengi freighters in the third quandrant.

"Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote in message news:tml83q9a5ebsfc@corp.supernews.com...
> Just curious, what type of windscreen are you installing on your scanner??
>

2804. re: If you will buy Rose's satellite in screens, it will incredibly beat the PERL.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 13:08:55 UTC
From: sorbus_rowan@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <72cc666f.1075867031.e8182ac9@posting1.google.com>
Source record: 61922

Hi Rob ...

You're still chasing Road Runner proxies, and you
haven't even begun to address Chello, Wanadoo,
BTinternet, Demon, @Home, Sympatico, BellGlogal,
Bigpond, Telstra, OptusNet, Telia, Telus, SkyNet,
etc etc.

And those are only the ones you've SEEN (ab)used
so far. How many more are there? Shortage of
servers? Not hardly.

... HipCrime

--
If you will authenticate Lloyd's sign outside users, it will
wanly propagate the printer. The servers, artichokes, and functions are all
messy and silly. The solid newbie rarely corrupts Quincy, it
prepares Susan instead. It's very quiet today, I'll obscure
easily or Jethro will place the screens. He will engulf monthly if
Endora's JPEG isn't major. One more pathetic router or mail server, and she'll
frantically defeat everybody. We hatefully push outside rough
sly DEAs. When doesn't Sheri recycle grudgingly?

2805. Hand-held TV sound receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 13:40:19 UTC
From: grunge12345@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8371f728.0108030540.2d41a026@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61919

I'm a bit of a novice at this, but can anyone recommend something
with the following characteristics:

- a hand held radio that can be plugged into a terrestrial or cable
TV socket to receive the sound only of any TV station on that socket
- by preference it should also have complete LW/FM/AM/SW radio bands
- by preference it should have auto tune and digital display

Regards
G

2806. Bearcat resets please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 13:50:00 UTC
From: GORDON SMITH <kc2bw@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B6AADB5.A4AC01BF@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61920

Hi group...Could someone give me the resets for the BC760xlt, BC100xlt
and BC120xlt.

thanks

Gordon
--
To reply take the 33 from the address

2807. Pro-26 Obselete??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 14:07:19 UTC
From: John Smith <jaked2k@mail.com>
Message-ID: <68ya7.31950$D55.2916085@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 61921

Hi,

Is the RS Pro-26 scanner obsolete? I mean, is it very old and not upto date
as the new scanners? What are some benefits to it from the newer scanners?

2808. Re: New Coax Installation Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 15:04:46 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <i4za7.8989$uj2.1879436@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 61923
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Scott, that should work fine. Don't worry about loss in connectors/adapters. Properly installed connectors have at most 0.1db loss at freqs below 1GHz.

RG-6 coax is an excellent choice. As for 9913, it's great when new. However, since it uses an air dielectric, in time moisture will infiltrate and degrade performance. Here's what happens: The 9913 outer jacket is NOT a hermetic seal. On a hot day, the air inside the cable may reach 100+ degrees F. A thunderstorm breaks out dropping the temperature and wetting the outside of the cable. As the air inside contracts, some water vapor will be sucked in through the jacket. After a few years, the cable loss can increase significantly. I've heard horror stories of people removing a connector from 9913 after a few years and having water pour out on the floor!

Foam dielectric cables are much less prone to this, and solid dielectric cables like RG-8 are best of all in this regard (although a bit higher in loss).

PL-259 connectors will work fine up to at least 1 GHz. Their main disadvantage is their susceptibility to water infiltration. Go to Home Depot (electrical department) and by a brick of "duct seal" (black/grey stuff, costs about $2). Mold it like clay around the connector and cable, and you will have no problem. Forget about using tape.

Good Luck
Art Harris, N2AH

"J. Scott Branscum" <nospam@usa.net> wrote in message
news:mIE77.2174$vT.214949@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> Hello Group,
> I am installing a new quality 25-1300 Mhz Discone antenna on a 15 foot
mast
> on my rooftop, and already have RG6 cable from a previous DSS
installation.
> Is it worth the extra cost to replace the RG6 with RG 8/U for my lead-in
> from the antenna? I will most likely have 80 ft between the antenna and
the
> opposite end of the antenna lead-in cable. It sounds to me as if I will
have
> to install PL-259 Male connectors on each end of the antenna lead-in cable
> if I use RG 8/U. From the opposite end of the antenna lead-in, I am
thinking
> of connecting the PL-259 Male to an SO-239 to "F" adapter to connect to a
> distribution switch. From this distribution switch, I will go out to
three
> other RG 6/U cable spans (under 50 feet each) to connect three rooms to

this
> distribution switch and antenna. This distribution switch, I feel, will
be
> better than a splitter, because I can connect each room individually to
the
> rooftop antenna at will by selecting the specific room on the switch, and
> hopefully avoid the db losses that occur with splitter connections. I'm
> thinking that with this setup I can move my Uniden BC780XLT to a room and
> select that room on the switch to connect to the antenna. As I mentioned
> before, I will most likely use RG 6/U to connect from the distribution
> switch to each room. Does this sound like a good plan?
> You can reply here or at a2stepper38@hotmail.com
> Thanks,
> Scott
>
>

2809. Re: SC-200 Programming Software- HEY MATT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 15:08:40 UTC
From: Dwayne Hineland <Dubbin@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15d488e8c22b938e989680@news.tol.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 61924
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.cart,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.autos.sport.indy,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.scanner

In article <9kd3ih$3rje9$1@ID-60832.news.dfncis.de>, jl_1992@hotmail.com
says...
> "John Doe" <me@me.com> wrote:
> > Download a FREE Trial at:
> >
> > http://members.home.net/itssoftware/ez-scan.htm
>
>
> Matt from MI, since I know you have the SC200....have you
> tried this? Is it any better than that piece of crap from
> Pozilla Software?
>
>
>
>
It is much better then that piece of crap from Pozzila!!
--
==========
Dwayne

Saw it!
Wanted it!
Threw a fit!
Got it!

2810. Re: Javiation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 15:23:57 UTC
From: Buyer <NoSpam@ucsd.edu>
Message-ID: <3B6AC20D.43BACCAC@ucsd.edu>
Source record: 61933

Javiation is one of the most reputable radio vendors on the Web.
Your remark "I think i've beenscamed [sic]" is preposterous.
If you wanted a radio right away why did you order from
outside of the U.S.A.? .... (no reply necessary)

-LM

patrick semone wrote:

> Called Javiation(from the usa) an hour ago(3rd time within a month)
> and asked about my order,that i sent online the first wk of July,Got
> the standard answer.Bla bla bla,check your email in 15 min.Of course
> there wasn't any email(like the other 3 times).Now I'm concerned as
> they have my credit card infomation & I have nothing, I think I've
> beenscamed!

2811. Beware of Sean Sweetman

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 16:04:10 UTC
From: Philip Knode <pknode@home.com>
Message-ID: <_Xza7.25991$k7.5799532@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 61925

I want everyone here to know that
Sean Sweetman has been shipped the Ar8000 and interface that he was so worry about and waiting for a reply from him on this newsgroup.The ball is in his court.Phil

2812. Re: Need info: Uniden Beartracker BCT-10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 16:06:32 UTC
From: Gary B <gbreuner@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <F6za7.2$pu1.322689@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 61926

Radiohead,

Thanks! I swear I looked over the entire site...found only the spot to order one! This is actually a great manual.

Good listening,

Gary B

"Radiohead" <Radiohead@zorch.com> wrote in message news:r7z87.623$q5.477740@newsfeed1.thebiz.net...
>
> "Gary B" <gbreuner@hotmail.com> wrote >
> > I was wondering if there was a web site that anyone might know of that has
> > more detailed information on the Beartracker BCT-10? I picked up one used
> > (no manual of course) and was just wondering about some of the more basic
> > features. Anybody really familiar with the model that can answer some
> > questions...?
>
> The manual is on the Uniden site.
> http://www.uniden.com/docs/service/supportdetail.cfm?item=BCT10
>
>
>

2813. FAQ, and "FS / FA" guidelines

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 16:46:11 UTC
From: Feline Technologies <nukingcats@_REMOVETHIS_home.com>
Message-ID: <nzAa7.78373$Cy.11502875@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 61927

Hello all,

I occasionally post to this newsgroup as I am a scanner kinda guy, and also sell scanners on eBay. What are the guidelines for posting "For Auction" and "For sale" posts to this group.

I once posted a few FA's to this and also rec.radio.swap, and somebody w/ nothing better to do turned me in to my ISP. It was one post with 2 items up for auction. I don't know why they didn't send me e-mail first. SO....

What's the story in this NG.

Thanks,

Adam
nukingcats (at) home (dot) com

2814. Re: Pro-26 Obselete??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 16:50:48 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010803125048.22039.00002686@ng-fx1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 61928

>Subject: Pro-26 Obselete??
>From: "John Smith" jaked2k@mail.com
>Date: 8/3/01 10:07 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <68ya7.31950$D55.2916085@news20.bellglobal.com>
>
>Hi,
>
>Is the RS Pro-26 scanner obsolete? I mean, is it very old and not upto date
>as the new scanners? What are some benefits to it from the newer scanners?
>
>
>

Its a collectors item, that is if you collect them.

Though it is a crystal Scanner and falls of on the low and high rx ranges it
was a neat item for its time.

Range is from 30 to 500 ish MHz, but it needs crystals to run.

A min. of 50 channels and 800 Mhz is widely seen as the bare end of what most
Scanners would want/need.

Visit Radio Shack for clearance/discount items, the cut prices often when new
units come out and this would be a good chance to pick up a new unit.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

2815. Re: Hand-held TV sound receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 17:06:17 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010803130617.22039.00002687@ng-fx1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 61929

>Subject: Hand-held TV sound receiver
>From: grunge12345@hotmail.com (Grunge Man)
>Date: 8/3/01 9:40 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <8371f728.0108030540.2d41a026@posting.google.com>
>
>I'm a bit of a novice at this, but can anyone recommend something
>with the following characteristics:
>
>- a hand held radio that can be plugged into a terrestrial or cable
>TV socket to receive the sound only of any TV station on that socket
>- by preference it should also have complete LW/FM/AM/SW radio bands
>- by preference it should have auto tune and digital display
>
>Regards
>G
>

Some Scanners will tune TV Audio Bands, this would be the best option.

Few Scanners (Handheld) offer LW/FM/AM/SW all at once, some do but few.

Only the Icom R-3 has a Video Display.

Also, the signal through the Cable may overload the Radio and would need a
"tuner" to split up all the channels that flow through the cable.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

2816. Re: The Most Band Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 17:10:47 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010803131047.22039.00002688@ng-fx1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 61930

>Subject: The Most Band Range
>From: cptdube@aol.com (CptDube)
>Date: 8/2/01 7:39 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010802193907.28651.00002669@ng-cj1.aol.com>
>
>What scanners cover the most band range?
>

Alinco DJ-X2 goes from 0.5 to 1000 MHz
and the Icom R-3 from 0.5 to 1500

These are handhelds, some Desktops do better but there is little beyond 800 MHz.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

2817. Re: PRO-2030

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 17:13:41 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010803131341.22039.00002690@ng-fx1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 61931

>Subject: PRO-2030
>From: "Chris N" chrisnichols@bbnow.net
>Date: 8/2/01 5:56 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <rsUlz55GBHA.184@typhoon.i3s.net>
>
>I am thinking of buying a modified PRO-2030 for my first scanner. Is this a
>decent scanner for a rookie or should I look into buying something else.
>Are the winradio cards any good?
>
>Thanks,
>
>Chris
>

The Pro-2030 is a good unit with 80 channels , fair but there are newer units
with more memory; something that you seem to eat up quickly.

A word of warning on Modified units, if there is a problem with it, Radio Shack
may not even touch it or revert it back to normal operation when they fix it.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

2818. Re: Pro-26 Obselete??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 17:16:12 UTC
From: std4643@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010803131612.28956.00004152@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 61932

I could be wrong but there were two Pro 26 and if you were not into trunking
the latest model is a very good scanner

2819. Re: Bearcat resets please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 17:40:47 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9kenlt$45gog$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61934

"GORDON SMITH" <kc2bw@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3B6AADB5.A4AC01BF@worldnet.att.net...
> Hi group...Could someone give me the resets for the BC760xlt, BC100xlt
> and BC120xlt.
>

I believe you hold down the MANUAL, 2, and 9 keys at the same time, then
turn it on.

Tom

2820. S-Meter / Pro-2032

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 17:53:31 UTC
From: sky444nospam@mint.net
Message-ID: <3b6ae6a7.42456795@news.mint.net>
Source record: 61799

Anybody ever modded the 2032 with an s-meter?? and any possibility of finding out any info on doing this...any help deeply appreciated..thanks.
sky444@mint.net

2821. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 17:54:42 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010803135442.28964.00004460@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 61935

>Also Martyn e-mailed me and said
>this was good publicity and advertising for him.

Tell Martyn I have a bridge in Brooklyn up for sale if he is interested...

Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

2822. WTB:Bearcat BC-895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 18:05:19 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@home.com>
Message-ID: <zJBa7.212802$mG4.97320629@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61936

im looking for a good Bearcat BC-895XLT\

monte k9dzd@home.com

2823. Re: FBI technology raises privacy issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 18:14:01 UTC
From: Justin Wolcott <Phreak480@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3B6AE9E9.E3D40154@erols.com>
Source record: 61937
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.radio.scanner,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc

this is an old issue and you are many months behind on bringing up the issue
i did a report on it for government class and that was 4 months ago

N9NWO wrote:

> Page 3A
>
> FBI technology raises privacy issues
> By Richard Willing
> USA TODAY
>
> An alleged bookmaker with organized crime ties is challenging the FBI's use
> of a computer bugging device that allowed agents to break into secure files
> the government says contained his gambling records.
>
> Federal Judge Nicholas Politan of Newark, N.J., heard arguments Monday on
> the motion by alleged bookmaker Nicodemo Scarfo Jr., 36, to suppress
> information gathered from a surveillance device called a ''key logger
> system'' that FBI agents placed on his computer in 1999.
>
> The device, which keeps track of keystrokes as they are made on a computer
> terminal's keyboard, allowed the FBI to get the password to files that
> Scarfo had protected with a sophisticated scrambling program.
>
> Politan asked lawyers for the U.S. government and Scarfo to give him more
> information about the process. The judge did not say when he would rule.
>
> Privacy watchdogs nationwide have cast the case as the latest example of how
> technology is threatening privacy rights. The case is the first known
> example of the government's use of keystroke monitoring, a process that one
> advocate called ''extremely troubling.''
>
> ''When you're talking about gathering up everything some person has typed,
> every stroke, you're getting very close to the kind of general search that
> the Constitution doesn't allow,'' says David Sobel, counsel for the
> Electronic Privacy Information Center, a privacy advocacy group in
> Washington. ''It's as if the government had a warrant to seize one book in
> your house, but was also allowed to haul out everything that's in there.''
>
> Papers filed in the case and posted on the center's Web site tell this
> story:
>
> In January 1999, FBI agents raided a business run by Scarfo in Belleville,

> N.J., and used a search warrant to justify copying the contents of his
> computer. But agents were unable to open one computer file, believed to
> contain bookmaking records. The scrambling program protected the file.
>
> A U.S. magistrate authorized a second search warrant to enable agents to
> learn the password to the protected program by monitoring Scarfo's
> keystrokes.
>
> Keyboard bugs can be software that is loaded onto a computer, or attachments
> that can be placed on the computer-keyboard link or on the keyboard itself.
> The FBI did not specify what type was used.
>
> Scarfo's father, Nicodemo ''Little Nicky'' Scarfo, is serving a life
> sentence for running Philadelphia's organized crime family.
>
> The younger Scarfo was suspected of using the business as a front for a
> bookmaking and loan-sharking operation.
>
> Information from the computer files decoded by the FBI helped lead
> authorities to charge Scarfo and another New Jersey man, Frank Paolercio,
> 32, with gambling and extortion in June 2000.
>
> Scarfo's lawyers say the government should have been required to seek a
> court-ordered wiretap rather than a search warrant. Wiretap orders are
> harder to get, limit surveillance to specific areas and prevent broad
> intelligence gathering.
>
> In seeking the order to plant the bug, federal prosecutor Ronald Wigler said
> U.S. wiretap law did not apply because ''no wire, oral or electronic
> communications (would be) captured.''
>
> Keystroke monitoring was developed in the 1990s, and privacy experts say
> U.S. law does not appear to cover the new technology. In 1999, the Clinton
> administration failed to get Congress to pass a law authorizing the type of
> surveillance at issue in the Scarfo case.
>
> Scarfo was known for his interests in computing and software. In the 1980s,
> while prosecutors pursued his father, he worked for a software company in
> Florida.
>
> http://www.usatoday.com/usatonline/20010731/3518716s.htm
>
> Much of this technology has been around since the mid '80s. We
> have been using radios that receive what comes off your PC monitor
> for years. It is a very simple technique.

2824. Re: Pro-26 Obselete??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 18:18:58 UTC
From: John Smith <jaked2k@mail.com>
Message-ID: <HUBa7.60688$uH4.4440197@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 61938

The pro-26 im talking about goes from 25 to 1300 Mhz... has 200 channels...etc...

"Std4643" <std4643@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010803131612.28956.00004152@ng-ch1.aol.com...
> I could be wrong but there were two Pro 26 and if you were not into trunking
> the latest model is a very good scanner

2825. Re: SC-200 Programming Software- HEY MATT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 18:45:12 UTC
From: Matt <mengelhardt@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9kerfo$ib3@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 61939
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.cart,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.autos.sport.indy,rec.autos.sport.nascar,rec.radio.scanner

I haven't tried it yet, but it wouldn't take a hell of a lot to beat the
Winscan software.
I'll give it a try as soon as I can.
Matt in MI

"JL" <jl_1992@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:9kd3ih$3rje9$1@ID-60832.news.dfncis.de...
> "John Doe" <me@me.com> wrote:
> > Download a FREE Trial at:
> >
> > http://members.home.net/itssoftware/ez-scan.htm
>
>
> Matt from MI, since I know you have the SC200....have you
> tried this? Is it any better than that piece of crap from
> Pozilla Software?
>
>
>

2826. DeDupe Freq. Database?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 19:17:36 UTC
From: "Mark R. Smith" <mark1smi@cwnet.com>
Message-ID: <mark1smi-DF29CD.12173503082001@news.cwnet.com>
Source record: 61940

DeDupe Freq. Database?

Can anyone help?
I'm looking for a freeware program or script which will dedupe a database full of scanner frequencies. What I have done so far is extracted records for several counties from an old (1996) Spectrum Database CD and combined them to form one database of about 9000 records. In this new group of records there are frequencies which are listed more than once. What I would like to do is get rid of (delete) all of the frequencies which appear more than once. The two freeware database programs which I have been using to work with these .dbf files are DB Tools (http://www.yippee.net/html/win/business/title9955.htm) and Little List (http://www.rkwest.com/bus.shtml). I am aware of one shareware program called "Parserat" which will dedupe, but the unregistered version only handles 1000 records.
I'm posting to this newsgroup because we share common interests and other people in this NG might have good ideas on how they manage their frequencies.

I'm running Win9x and not controlling any scanners with the computer.

Any ideas would be very much appreciated.

Thankyou,
Mark

--
Mark R. Smith
Davis, Ca. USA
mark1smi@cwnet.com

2827. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 20:28:30 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B6B08F5.413A7498@home.com>
Source record: 61941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

YOU ARE IGNORANT

Mark Allread wrote:
>
> Dean Kastoris wrote:
>
> > When the AOR was retured to Martyn, I paid an additional amount of money
> > above what I already paid so I could purchase the Alinco. Martyn had my
> > credit card number and sent me the new unit before I he received the old
> > one. I told him I appreciated that and was impressed right up until I
> > received the Alinco in the mail which I have already explained was
> > obviously previously used.
>
> You returned a used unit, and possibly received a used unit in return. It
> cost you the agreed upon $100 to "upgrade" models. What's your gripe? Do you
> seriously expect a reseller to provide new units on a trial basis and eat the
> cost of depreciation when it's returned used? That is unreasonable.
>
> Apparently without properly researching its ability to meet your needs, you
> ordered a unit, and you got what you ordered. After you realized YOUR
> mistake, the reseller went out of his way to allow you to exchange that unit
> for a different one, something he had no obligation to do. He has now offered
> to exchange the second unit you received, but you're demanding to receive the
> replacement unit first?! That is unreasonable.
>
> He has, by your own account, gone out of his way to please you, yet you're
> publicly maligning him. That is unreasonable.
>
> He should just tell you to F.O.

2828. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 20:40:57 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B6B0BE0.B874D123@home.com>
Source record: 61942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

The AOR was deffective. You should not come to a conclusion without knowing the facts. I am not in the habbit of returning radios that work esspecially when I have to pay an additional $15.00 for shipping. I did my research very well. All indications weree that the AOR was a better radio. Have you ever bought anything that was deffective in your life? I do not need a jury. I am the one who got taken, I paid the money, I am not the seller. Maybe there will be a time when you are in a similar position and then the person that bent you over will tell you to as you put it F.O.

Mark Allread wrote:
>
> Dean Kastoris wrote:
>
> > When the AOR was retured to Martyn, I paid an additional amount of money
> > above what I already paid so I could purchase the Alinco. Martyn had my
> > credit card number and sent me the new unit before I he received the old
> > one. I told him I appreciated that and was impressed right up until I
> > received the Alinco in the mail which I have already explained was
> > obviously previously used.
>
> You returned a used unit, and possibly received a used unit in return. It
> cost you the agreed upon $100 to "upgrade" models. What's your gripe? Do you
> seriously expect a reseller to provide new units on a trial basis and eat the
> cost of depreciation when it's returned used? That is unreasonable.
>
> Apparently without properly researching its ability to meet your needs, you
> ordered a unit, and you got what you ordered. After you realized YOUR
> mistake, the reseller went out of his way to allow you to exchange that unit
> for a different one, something he had no obligation to do. He has now offered
> to exchange the second unit you received, but you're demanding to receive the
> replacement unit first?! That is unreasonable.
>
> He has, by your own account, gone out of his way to please you, yet you're
> publicly maligning him. That is unreasonable.
>
> He should just tell you to F.O.

2829. Re: FBI technology raises privacy issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 21:02:16 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tmm48v2dldkrfe@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61943
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.radio.scanner,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc

Excuse me, but I guess I must have missed the word "scanner", or "radio" anywhere in this post (although "communications" was mentioned).....
(:->))

N9NWO <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote in message news:7NK97.4784$WB.407186@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> Page 3A
>
>
> FBI technology raises privacy issues
> By Richard Willing
> USA TODAY
>
>
> An alleged bookmaker with organized crime ties is challenging the FBI's use
> of a computer bugging device that allowed agents to break into secure files
> the government says contained his gambling records.
>
> Federal Judge Nicholas Politan of Newark, N.J., heard arguments Monday on
> the motion by alleged bookmaker Nicodemo Scarfo Jr., 36, to suppress
> information gathered from a surveillance device called a ''key logger
> system'' that FBI agents placed on his computer in 1999.
>
> The device, which keeps track of keystrokes as they are made on a computer
> terminal's keyboard, allowed the FBI to get the password to files that
> Scarfo had protected with a sophisticated scrambling program.
>
> Politan asked lawyers for the U.S. government and Scarfo to give him more
> information about the process. The judge did not say when he would rule.
>
> Privacy watchdogs nationwide have cast the case as the latest example of how
> technology is threatening privacy rights. The case is the first known
> example of the government's use of keystroke monitoring, a process that one
> advocate called ''extremely troubling.''
>
> ''When you're talking about gathering up everything some person has typed,
> every stroke, you're getting very close to the kind of general search that
> the Constitution doesn't allow,'' says David Sobel, counsel for the
> Electronic Privacy Information Center, a privacy advocacy group in

> Washington. ''It's as if the government had a warrant to seize one book in
> your house, but was also allowed to haul out everything that's in there.''
>
> Papers filed in the case and posted on the center's Web site tell this
> story:
>
> In January 1999, FBI agents raided a business run by Scarfo in Belleville,
> N.J., and used a search warrant to justify copying the contents of his
> computer. But agents were unable to open one computer file, believed to
> contain bookmaking records. The scrambling program protected the file.
>
> A U.S. magistrate authorized a second search warrant to enable agents to
> learn the password to the protected program by monitoring Scarfo's
> keystrokes.
>
> Keyboard bugs can be software that is loaded onto a computer, or
attachments
> that can be placed on the computer-keyboard link or on the keyboard
itself.
> The FBI did not specify what type was used.
>
> Scarfo's father, Nicodemo ''Little Nicky'' Scarfo, is serving a life
> sentence for running Philadelphia's organized crime family.
>
> The younger Scarfo was suspected of using the business as a front for a
> bookmaking and loan-sharking operation.
>
> Information from the computer files decoded by the FBI helped lead
> authorities to charge Scarfo and another New Jersey man, Frank Paolercio,
> 32, with gambling and extortion in June 2000.
>
> Scarfo's lawyers say the government should have been required to seek a
> court-ordered wiretap rather than a search warrant. Wiretap orders are
> harder to get, limit surveillance to specific areas and prevent broad
> intelligence gathering.
>
> In seeking the order to plant the bug, federal prosecutor Ronald Wigler
said
> U.S. wiretap law did not apply because ''no wire, oral or electronic
> communications (would be) captured.''
>
> Keystroke monitoring was developed in the 1990s, and privacy experts say
> U.S. law does not appear to cover the new technology. In 1999, the Clinton
> administration failed to get Congress to pass a law authorizing the type
of
> surveillance at issue in the Scarfo case.
>
> Scarfo was known for his interests in computing and software. In the
1980s,
> while prosecutors pursued his father, he worked for a software company in

> Florida.
>
>
> http://www.usatoday.com/usatonline/20010731/3518716s.htm
>
> Much of this technology has been around since the mid '80s. We
> have been using radios that receive what comes off your PC monitor
> for years. It is a very simple technique.
>
>
>
>
>

2830. Re: Pro-26 Obselete??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 21:33:14 UTC
From: std4643@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010803173314.15866.00004254@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 61944

Thats the latest one,its a very good scanner

2831. Re: FBI technology raises privacy issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 22:12:30 UTC
From: snoopy@seashell.sasquatch.com
Message-ID: <wv9bslwsshd.fsf@seashell.sasquatch.com>
Source record: 61945
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.radio.scanner,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc

"Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com> writes:

> Excuse me, but I guess I must have missed the word "scanner", or "radio"
> anywhere in this post (although "communications" was mentioned).....
> (:->))

Which means you read it all the way through anyway ;-)

--Stan

> N9NWO <n9nwo@amsat.org> wrote in message
> news:7NK97.4784$WB.407186@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> > Page 3A
> >
> >
> > FBI technology raises privacy issues
> > By Richard Willing
> > USA TODAY
> >
> >
> > An alleged bookmaker with organized crime ties is challenging the FBI's
> use
> > of a computer bugging device that allowed agents to break into secure
> files
> > the government says contained his gambling records.
> >
> > Federal Judge Nicholas Politan of Newark, N.J., heard arguments Monday on
> > the motion by alleged bookmaker Nicodemo Scarfo Jr., 36, to suppress
> > information gathered from a surveillance device called a ''key logger
> > system'' that FBI agents placed on his computer in 1999.
> >
> > The device, which keeps track of keystrokes as they are made on a computer
> > terminal's keyboard, allowed the FBI to get the password to files that
> > Scarfo had protected with a sophisticated scrambling program.
> >
> > Politan asked lawyers for the U.S. government and Scarfo to give him more
> > information about the process. The judge did not say when he would rule.
> >
> > Privacy watchdogs nationwide have cast the case as the latest example of
> how
> > technology is threatening privacy rights. The case is the first known
> > example of the government's use of keystroke monitoring, a process that

> one
> > advocate called ''extremely troubling.''
> >
> > ''When you're talking about gathering up everything some person has typed,
> > every stroke, you're getting very close to the kind of general search that
> > the Constitution doesn't allow,'' says David Sobel, counsel for the
> > Electronic Privacy Information Center, a privacy advocacy group in
> > Washington. ''It's as if the government had a warrant to seize one book in
> > your house, but was also allowed to haul out everything that's in there.''
> >
> > Papers filed in the case and posted on the center's Web site tell this
> > story:
> >
> > In January 1999, FBI agents raided a business run by Scarfo in Belleville,
> > N.J., and used a search warrant to justify copying the contents of his
> > computer. But agents were unable to open one computer file, believed to
> > contain bookmaking records. The scrambling program protected the file.
> >
> > A U.S. magistrate authorized a second search warrant to enable agents to
> > learn the password to the protected program by monitoring Scarfo's
> > keystrokes.
> >
> > Keyboard bugs can be software that is loaded onto a computer, or
> attachments
> > that can be placed on the computer-keyboard link or on the keyboard
> itself.
> > The FBI did not specify what type was used.
> >
> > Scarfo's father, Nicodemo ''Little Nicky'' Scarfo, is serving a life
> > sentence for running Philadelphia's organized crime family.
> >
> > The younger Scarfo was suspected of using the business as a front for a
> > bookmaking and loan-sharking operation.
> >
> > Information from the computer files decoded by the FBI helped lead
> > authorities to charge Scarfo and another New Jersey man, Frank Paolercio,
> > 32, with gambling and extortion in June 2000.
> >
> > Scarfo's lawyers say the government should have been required to seek a
> > court-ordered wiretap rather than a search warrant. Wiretap orders are
> > harder to get, limit surveillance to specific areas and prevent broad
> > intelligence gathering.
> >
> > In seeking the order to plant the bug, federal prosecutor Ronald Wigler
> said
> > U.S. wiretap law did not apply because ''no wire, oral or electronic
> > communications (would be) captured.''
> >
> > Keystroke monitoring was developed in the 1990s, and privacy experts say
> > U.S. law does not appear to cover the new technology. In 1999, the Clinton

> > administration failed to get Congress to pass a law authorizing the type
> of
> > surveillance at issue in the Scarfo case.
> >
> > Scarfo was known for his interests in computing and software. In the
> 1980s,
> > while prosecutors pursued his father, he worked for a software company in
> > Florida.
> >
> >
> > http://www.usatoday.com/usatonline/20010731/3518716s.htm
> >
> > Much of this technology has been around since the mid '80s. We
> > have been using radios that receive what comes off your PC monitor
> > for years. It is a very simple technique.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2832. Re: lots of acronyms ! ;)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 22:16:44 UTC
From: "Craig R. Hauke" <medic3@bright.net>
Message-ID: <voFa7.19139$ga2.647669@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 61946

Here's a few that I haven't seen...

M.V.A.-Motor Vehicle Accident (Wreck)
M.V.C.-Motor Vehicle Accident (Wreck)
E.O.W.- End of Work

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:6jna7.59$c07.1562@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> In Oklahoma it's E.P.O. - Emergency Protective Order
>
> Also:
> C.D.S. - Controlled Dangerous Substance
> C.P.L. - Current Point Level (Driver's License - in Okla. 10 points gets
you
> a D.I. Flag)
> D.I. (as in D.I. Flag - Suspension) - Driver Improvement
> D.L. - Driver's License
> D.R.E. - Drug Recognition Expert
> E.O.D. - Emergency Order to Detain (Mental Detention)
> F.I. - Field Interrogation (Card)
> F.R. (as in F.R. Flag - Suspension) - Financial Responsibility (No Auto
> Insurance)
> O.L.N. - Operator's License Number
> P.O.C. - Possession of Open Container
> S O C - SOCial security number
> S.S.N. - Social Security Number
> T.O.C. - Transporting Open Container
> T.B.O.H. - Taken By Own Hand
>
> Bio-Hazard - Subject is known HIV-positive
>
> Mark
> K5MAR
>
>
> "Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
> news:5iljmtsg73dqqghsk0ajc15gui57somet1@4ax.com...
> > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
> >
> > >> P.P.O. Personal Protection Order
> > >
> > >In some places this will be known as a PFA (protection from abuse
order).
> >
> > Here in California, it's TRO (temporary restraining order).

> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
> >
> > Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
> >
> > It's your SIG, say what you want to say....
>
>

2833. Re: Rogue Cancelling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 22:41:16 UTC
From: Cue A Man <Cueaman@Cableone.net>
Message-ID: <tmma4es9v0ha39@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61797

```
Deja News says differently and
Bill left after RR questioned him.
```

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:nflfmt46fkcj13oddnuqg6rlls9iq97pgg@4ax.com...
> "The Famous KS�F" <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org> opened the coffin lid and
croaked...
>
> >Greg Renner <grenner@qwest.net> wrote
> >> Anybody notice how the FS messages are being cancelled? I noticed 3
> >dated
> >> today being cancelled.
> >
> >We used to have a person on this NG who was doing rogue cancelling about
2
> >years ago.
> >He was caught, & his abusive actions online caused him to lose his (cable
TV
> >company) ISP.
> >However, he died just over a year ago.
>
> John, you're a LYING asshole bastard !
>
> YOU were the one caught forging cancels, and it was obvious even BEFORE
> the ones could be traced directly TO YOU, because ONLY posts critical of
> you were canceled..
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

2834. FT: Pro2066 for Pro91.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 22:55:48 UTC
From: scantexas@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <884cb013.0108031455.5150f14b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61801

I have a Pro2066 that is in excellent condition with box and manual
that I would like to trade for a Pro91.

I also have a Pro2067 I would like to trade for a SC200 with
programming software, The pro2067 also is in excellent shape and i
have the box and manual for it.

Please email me directly

Thanks

2835. Re: lots of acronyms ! ;)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-03 23:21:25 UTC
From: Ron Yanchuck <r.yanchuck@att.net>
Message-ID: <VlGa7.1379$1p1.100677@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61802

Yet some more...

C.W.I.-- Close Without Incident
D.R.O.-- Domestic Restraining Order
G.O.A.-- Gone On Arrival (they were gone when unit got there)

"Craig R. Hauke" <medic3@bright.net> wrote in message
news:voFa7.19139$ga2.647669@cletus.bright.net...
> Here's a few that I haven't seen...
>
> M.V.A.-Motor Vehicle Accident (Wreck)
> M.V.C.-Motor Vehicle Accident (Wreck)
> E.O.W.- End of Work
>
>
> "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:6jna7.59$c07.1562@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > In Oklahoma it's E.P.O. - Emergency Protective Order
> >
> > Also:
> > C.D.S. - Controlled Dangerous Substance
> > C.P.L. - Current Point Level (Driver's License - in Okla. 10 points gets
> you
> > a D.I. Flag)
> > D.I. (as in D.I. Flag - Suspension) - Driver Improvement
> > D.L. - Driver's License
> > D.R.E. - Drug Recognition Expert
> > E.O.D. - Emergency Order to Detain (Mental Detention)
> > F.I. - Field Interrogation (Card)
> > F.R. (as in F.R. Flag - Suspension) - Financial Responsibility (No Auto
> > Insurance)
> > O.L.N. - Operator's License Number
> > P.O.C. - Possession of Open Container
> > S O C - SOCial security number
> > S.S.N. - Social Security Number
> > T.O.C. - Transporting Open Container
> > T.B.O.H. - Taken By Own Hand
> >
> > Bio-Hazard - Subject is known HIV-positive
> >
> > Mark
> > K5MAR
> >
> >
> > "Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message

> > news:5iljmtsg73dqqghsk0ajc15gui57somet1@4ax.com...
> > > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
> > >
> > > >> P.P.O. Personal Protection Order
> > > >
> > > >In some places this will be known as a PFA (protection from abuse
> order).
> > >
> > > Here in California, it's TRO (temporary restraining order).
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
> > >
> > > Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
> > >
> > > It's your SIG, say what you want to say....
> >
> >
>
>

2836. Re: Pro-26 Obselete??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 00:09:29 UTC
From: Bearcat <Bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <3B6B3D39.C8913201@astound.net>
Source record: 61803

Obselete my ass. Works like a champ for me. Damn nice scanner
Randy

Std4643 wrote:

> Thats the latest one,its a very good scanner

2837. Pro-2006....What Mod has been done here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 00:20:36 UTC
From: Sandlapper <bbutler@home.com>
Message-ID: <odHa7.27339$k7.6066145@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 61804

I recently bought a Pro-2006 that has had the cell mod done...in fact, both diodes were clipped, so I guess the speed mod was also accomplished. What I don't know about is this miniature RCA jack on the back of the rig that has obviously been added by some previous owner. It's wired with shielded cable to a diode near TP2 on the circuit board and the other wire goes directly to the board close by. Is this the discreet audio mod?...or something else?
Thanks,

Sandlapper

2838. Re: Pro-2006....What Mod has been done here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 00:32:42 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B6B42A9.4C3CDC7@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61805

Probably a discriminator tap.

Sandlapper wrote:
>
> I recently bought a Pro-2006 that has had the cell mod done...in fact, both
> diodes were clipped, so I guess the speed mod was also accomplished. What I
> don't know about is this miniature RCA jack on the back of the rig that has
> obviously been added by some previous owner. It's wired with shielded cable
> to a diode near TP2 on the circuit board and the other wire goes directly to
> the board close by. Is this the discreet audio mod?...or something else?
> Thanks,
>
> Sandlapper

2839. Re: Beware of Phillip Knode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 00:39:44 UTC
From: Philip Knode <pknode@home.com>
Message-ID: <kvHa7.27538$k7.6081121@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 61806

Again thanks to everyone for the positive post.Phil
Skyhawk wrote in message <3b6979b0.132698029@news.mint.net>...
>I have done business with Phillip and have to say it was not a problem
>at all.......sent scanner as stated and packaged like it should
>be....not a problem.....

2840. Re: lots of acronyms ! ;)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 01:08:17 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <five_hole35@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5WHa7.11500$Ug.1884919@typhoon.we.rr.com>
Source record: 61807

D.R.T.-Dead Right There
A.L.P.-Acute Lead Poisoning (Gunshot wound)

Craig R. Hauke <medic3@bright.net> wrote in message
news:voFa7.19139$ga2.647669@cletus.bright.net...
> Here's a few that I haven't seen...
>
> M.V.A.-Motor Vehicle Accident (Wreck)
> M.V.C.-Motor Vehicle Accident (Wreck)
> E.O.W.- End of Work
>
>
> "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:6jna7.59$c07.1562@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> > In Oklahoma it's E.P.O. - Emergency Protective Order
> >
> > Also:
> > C.D.S. - Controlled Dangerous Substance
> > C.P.L. - Current Point Level (Driver's License - in Okla. 10 points gets
> you
> > a D.I. Flag)
> > D.I. (as in D.I. Flag - Suspension) - Driver Improvement
> > D.L. - Driver's License
> > D.R.E. - Drug Recognition Expert
> > E.O.D. - Emergency Order to Detain (Mental Detention)
> > F.I. - Field Interrogation (Card)
> > F.R. (as in F.R. Flag - Suspension) - Financial Responsibility (No Auto
> > Insurance)
> > O.L.N. - Operator's License Number
> > P.O.C. - Possession of Open Container
> > S O C - SOCial security number
> > S.S.N. - Social Security Number
> > T.O.C. - Transporting Open Container
> > T.B.O.H. - Taken By Own Hand
> >
> > Bio-Hazard - Subject is known HIV-positive
> >
> > Mark
> > K5MAR
> >
> >
> > "Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
> > news:5iljmtsg73dqqghsk0ajc15gui57somet1@4ax.com...
> > > "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> opened the coffin lid and croaked...

> > >
> > > >> P.P.O. Personal Protection Order
> > > >
> > > >In some places this will be known as a PFA (protection from abuse
> order).
> > >
> > > Here in California, it's TRO (temporary restraining order).
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
> > >
> > > Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
> > >
> > > It's your SIG, say what you want to say....
> >
> >
>
>

2841. Re: Zero Gravity is a Big Zero

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 01:36:23 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9kfjin$98d$3@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 61808

In article <Qlxa7.2955$n_3.4020645@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>,
hatespam@lnoemail.com says...
>
>It mounts to the front of a PRO2042 and is designed to deflect random ion
>particles, thereby reducing interference and increasing sensivity. On a good
>day, I expect to be able to pick up transmissions from Ferengi freighters in
>the third quandrant.
Hum, I think you might need to change your meds.(G)

2842. Re: Pro-2006....What Mod has been done here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 01:39:58 UTC
From: Paul M <paul@coldnfrosty.com>
Message-ID: <Onla7.19764$bm5.7173315@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61809

My guess is that it is a mod to allow reception of NOAA satellites. What is the serial # of the radio?

"Sandlapper" <bbutler@home.com> wrote in message news:odHa7.27339$k7.6066145@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> I recently bought a Pro-2006 that has had the cell mod done...in fact, both
> diodes were clipped, so I guess the speed mod was also accomplished. What I
> don't know about is this miniature RCA jack on the back of the rig that has
> obviously been added by some previous owner. It's wired with shielded cable
> to a diode near TP2 on the circuit board and the other wire goes directly to
> the board close by. Is this the discreet audio mod?...or something else?
> Thanks,
>
> Sandlapper
>
>

2843. Re: Pro-2006....What Mod has been done here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 01:46:14 UTC
From: Sandlapper <bbutler@home.com>
Message-ID: <Gtla7.27711$k7.6133215@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 61810

Serial number is 042913

Sandlapper

"Paul M" <paul@coldnfrosty.com> wrote in message
news:OnIa7.19764$bm5.7173315@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> My guess is that it is a mod to allow reception of NOAA satellites. What
is
> the serial # of the radio?
>
> "Sandlapper" <bbutler@home.com> wrote in message
> news:odHa7.27339$k7.6066145@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> > I recently bought a Pro-2006 that has had the cell mod done...in fact,
> both
> > diodes were clipped, so I guess the speed mod was also accomplished.
What
> I
> > don't know about is this miniature RCA jack on the back of the rig that
> has
> > obviously been added by some previous owner. It's wired with shielded
> cable
> > to a diode near TP2 on the circuit board and the other wire goes
directly
> to
> > the board close by. Is this the discreet audio mod?...or something
else?
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Sandlapper
> >
> >
>
>

2844. Re: PRO-2030

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 01:52:14 UTC
From: Unclejoe <going34thegold@american.com>
Message-ID: <9kfkd9$o6p$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61811

It depends on where you live. That scanner is very sensitive. If you live in a big city, you will get a lot of interference from sources you don't want to pick up--this is due to the double conversion filtering, but is worse on this scanner than all the other double conversion scanners I have used.

If you live out in the sticks, you would like it, as you would pickup the good stuff really easily.

If something goes wrong with this scanner, you can buy another for about $70 on ebay which is about what RS would charge you to fix it. Note that like most scanners, you gotta get a date of mfg. code that is before March or April 94 if you want to modify it. It is the easiest scanner to modify ever made--you take off the top and clip a wire.

"Chris N" <chrisnichols@bbnow.net> wrote in message
news:rsUlz55GBHA.184@typhoon.i3s.net...
> I am thinking of buying a modified PRO-2030 for my first scanner. Is this
a
> decent scanner for a rookie or should I look into buying something else.
> Are the winradio cards any good?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Chris
>
>

2845. Re: ?? Uniden Bearcat BC350A Question for Mobile Use ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 02:08:39 UTC
From: Unclejoe <going34thegold@american.com>
Message-ID: <9kflbv$c9g$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61812

If you get a 31 inch antenna from some mobile radio shop, look in the yellow pages, you will only pay about $25 and you will get lots better reception. If you really want a good antenna read up on www.strongsignals.net about some different models and how they work.

"Shawn Blaine" <sblaine@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:3b68092b.91626154@nntp...
> I have the Uniden Bearcat BC350A scanner in my home and would like to
> install it in my car. I brought it outside and it seems to work fine.
> My question is , Do I need an mobile antenna ????
> I only want to pickup local police and fire all within 10 miles.
> Any answers would be greatly appreciated !!
>
> Shawn
>
> sblaine@adelphia.net

2846. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 02:27:03 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B6B5D71.3675C4D2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 61814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Dean Kastoris wrote:

> The AOR was deffective. You should not come to a conclusion without

^^^^^^^^

>
> knowing the facts. I am not in the habbit of returning radios that work

^^^^^^

>
> esspecially when I have to pay an additional $15.00 for shipping. I did

^^^^^^^^^^

>
> my research very well. All indications weree that the AOR was a better
> radio. Have you ever bought anything that was deffective in your life?

^^^^^^^^

Learn to spell!

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

2847. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 02:28:20 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B6B5DBE.141B6B45@earthlink.net>
Source record: 61815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Dean Kastoris wrote:

> YOU ARE IGNORANT
>

Now, THERE is an insightful contribution to the issue!

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

2848. TOTALLY OT Beef, or Why I love Radio More and More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 02:29:44 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b6b566d.689064248@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61813

Good Grief !!

Okay, I'm on my soap-box so delete if you want something
on-topic as this is definitely not ! No offense meant or taken to anyone here.

More and more I am confronted with better reasons to trash my TV
and turn on my radio. (Like you, of course, I own several). Why? Because
of the shoddy workmanship, lousy service and unrepentant greed of TV in
general and cable in particular.

The case in point here is : "COX CABLE" my local provider in Northern
Va. COX was once Media Gen'l and built up the finest system of cable service
in the Wash. D.C. metro area bar none. Than COX took over. The TV guide
(really magazine) has been replaced with the following choices:
1. Pay extra 20 bucks/ mo. to get the fancy "on-line" guide, oh, and also about
50+ plus channels of MUZAK. Like I really want to "watch" stereo music. Jeez!

2. Or I can buy a rag Cable Guide from TV-Guiide that is printed on newsprint
and during my trial sub. was cut off-center and crooked on EVERY issue. Not
likely.

The cables boxes are cheap trash. Who ever engineered them ought be bitch
slapped back to China. We used to get GE boxes that were simple, but did what
they were supposed to do and had avg. life of 5+ years. Now I get cheap crap
from "General Instruments" that I've had to replace already in just 4 mos. and
is so poorly engineered that if you hook it up to your stereo it will continue
to play last channel even AFTER you've turned the cable box off ! No lie. Every
one of my neighbors who has this set-up has exactly the same problem. What
a joke. How sad they think this is " to be expected." So they tell me. Groan.

Pay-Per-View (PPV) systems have become a voice-mail bad-trip that would be
funny if it weren't so pitiful. I tried to order "Crouching Tiger" tonite. In
the old system I could call, order, and be watching the PPV in literally ONE
minute or less. Not anymore. COX cable gave numbers that only allow the
selection of PPV's 24 - 72 hours in advance and din't even list ANY choices
for the movie I wanted !! DEspite that the Wash. Post showed 10 showing on
COX that nite. In fact, no movies were offered on their PPV menu unless they
were ordered at LEAST 24 hours in advance. Idiots!

I am so disgusted I can hardly keep from popping a cork, I tell you. But
that's okay,... Because I've got a scanner, a portable, and more and I can
just go park myself out on the carport and do almost whatever radio I want.
Talk, Music, Scanning, Ham. Radio is always there on some level. It costs
no more than the equipment needed to receive it. Heck, I don't even need

a radio as some of it you can get via the internet even with an out-dated, over-the hill system like I've got. Art Bell and Jeff Rense are probably the best of the "internet radio" offerings. But the real-deal is always there too.

Hi-tech is never a substitute for lo-quality, bad engineering and shitty service. Man, oh man. What I wouldn't give for a mountain-top, a sturdy tower, and a satellite dish.

See ya on the sky-waves,

Andrew / KG4JBM

2849. Conversion of .spg files to .xls files?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 02:32:43 UTC
From: HornSmoke <omega@powerlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B6B5ECB.F29512DF@powerlink.net>
Source record: 61816
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I bought the GRE programming kit for my Pro-92. Is there any way
to convert the .spg file used by the software into a standard
Excel .xls file? I'd like to transfer this into a
Bearcat-readible programming format.

TIA

Loren Fields, N1UMF
Official Maine Frequency Guide

2850. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 02:48:22 UTC
From: Mark Allread <markallread@flatsurface.com>
Message-ID: <3B6B6276.4010F639@flatsurface.com>
Source record: 61817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Dean Kastoris wrote:

> The AOR was deffective.

Yeah. Right. That's why you exchanged it for an entirely different radio, and why the reseller was able to sell the returned radio (as used) to another buyer. (/sarcasm)

2851. Re: Pro-26 Obselete??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 03:06:50 UTC
From: Paul Crissy <pcrissy@usenm.net>
Message-ID: <Xns90F2EB1FDC456pcrissyusenmnet@204.127.36.1>
Source record: 61818

"John Smith" <jaked2k@mail.com> wrote in news:HUBa7.60688$uH4.4440197
@news20.bellglobal.com:

> The pro-26 im talking about goes from 25 to 1300 Mhz... has 200
> channels...etc...
>

I have one and wouldnt give it up for anything. Its a very good scanner.

2852. Re: Pro-26 Obselete??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 03:46:00 UTC
From: john Latala <jrlatala@golden.net>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSI.4.05L.10108032345490.27946-100000@shell.golden.net>
Source record: 61821

On Fri, 3 Aug 2001, John Smith wrote:

> The pro-26 im talking about goes from 25 to 1300 Mhz... has 200
> channels...etc...

I like mine ...

--
john R. Latala
jrlatala@golden.net

2853. Re: FBI technology raises privacy issues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 03:51:36 UTC
From: Dwight Stewart <stewartx@sccoast.net>
Message-ID: <3B6B7125.5CA4497A@sccoast.net>
Source record: 61819
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.law-enforcement,alt.politics.bush,alt.radio.scanner,alt.rush-limbaugh,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,talk.politics.misc

Don Parker wrote:
>
> Excuse me, but I guess I must have
> missed the word "scanner", or "radio"
> anywhere in this post (although
> "communications" was mentioned).....
> (:->))

Do you have a radio? Are you sitting in front of a computer? Do you, like so many others, have that computer attached to your radio (for packet or whatever)? Then a message about computer communications monitoring sounds fairly relevant to me.

What isn't relevant is all the crossposted newsgroups (which is why this will be my only response to this message outside of r.r.a.m).

Dwight Stewart (W5NET)

http://www.qsl.net/w5net/

2854. FS: Bearcat 220 (Older model)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 03:59:12 UTC
From: Louis Albert <lalbert@uakron.edu>
Message-ID: <3B6B7278.F6D1E799@uakron.edu>
Source record: 61820

Bearcat 220 Channel crystalless scanner - aircraft- marine- public service..

Low Band 32-50MHz
Aircraft 118-136MHz
Amateur 144-148MHz
High Band 148-174HMz
UHF Band 420.440-470MHz
UHF-T Band 470,0125-512.45MHz

Visually about 8+, electrically It does work! I checked it with a 2 m and a 440 repeater and they worked. How much better I don't know.

Asking $35.00 or offer

I think I bought this scanner in the middle '70s but I'm not sure.

Louis WA8PHD
mailto:lalbert@uakron.edu
http://www.ifip.com/ (my page)

2855. Re: Pro-26 Obselete??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 04:19:51 UTC
From: Dick Bush <1600@pennave.com>
Message-ID: <030820012319516887%1600@pennave.com>
Source record: 61822

Yes it is. Send it to me for disposal immediately!

In article <68ya7.31950$D55.2916085@news20.bellglobal.com>, John Smith
<jaked2k@mail.com> wrote:

> Hi,
>
> Is the RS Pro-26 scanner obsolete? I mean, is it very old and not upto date
> as the new scanners? What are some benefits to it from the newer scanners?

--
Here's to yet another 4 years of Dick & Bush in the Whitehouse!

2856. PRO 2022 display light problem.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 04:44:12 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha61@DELETEhotmail>
Message-ID: <3b6b7c81$0$20905$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 61823

The backlight on my PRO2022 has dissapeared. I noticed over the last few months the display dimming and now it's completely out. I asumed it may have been a blown globe, but it seems the globe is OK under a resistance measurement. The circuitry that runs the backlight is quite strange. It appears to be some sort of inverter circuit with a transformer output going straight to the lamp +ve wire.

Has anyone else had this problem and is it easily fixed?. I have a good electronic background, but don't have the schematic for this scanner.

Thanks for any help.

Mike

2857. Re: Conversion of .spg files to .xls files?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 04:50:15 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B6B7F05.FD1501A1@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Go to www.pro-92.com and download the free 92WARE program. This contains a module which will convert a .spg file to an ascii file. Frequencies can be extracted from the ascii file. 92WARE has several other very useful modules.

Walt

HornSmoke wrote:
>
> I bought the GRE programming kit for my Pro-92. Is there any way
> to convert the .spg file used by the software into a standard
> Excel .xls file? I'd like to transfer this into a
> Bearcat-readible programming format.
>
> TIA
>
> Loren Fields, N1UMF
> Official Maine Frequency Guide

2858. Re: The Most Band Range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 05:12:57 UTC
From: jason.mangiafico@verizon.net
Message-ID: <9kg08p$4a0pe$3@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61825

I've got an icom ic-r3, it goes from 500khz to 2.45ghz

In article <20010803131047.22039.00002688@ng-fx1.news.cs.com>,
pbscanner@cs.com says...
>
>>Subject: The Most Band Range
>>From: cptdube@aol.com (CptDube)
>>Date: 8/2/01 7:39 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>>Message-id: <20010802193907.28651.00002669@ng-cj1.aol.com>
>>
>>What scanners cover the most band range?
>>
>
>Alinco DJ-X2 goes from 0.5 to 1000 MHz
>and the Icom R-3 from 0.5 to 1500
>
>These are handhelds, some Desktops do better but there is little beyond 800
>MHz.
>
>
>= = = = = = = = = =
>Palm Beach Scanner
>If its on the Air We Scan it!
>Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

2859. Re: Hand-held TV sound receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 05:22:27 UTC
From: jason.mangiafico@verizon.net
Message-ID: <9kg0qi$4a0pe$4@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61826

I have an icom ic-r3 that can do all of that, except for LW. I kind of think
of my r3 as my "everything" radio.

In article <8371f728.0108030540.2d41a026@posting.google.com>,
grunge12345@hotmail.com says...
>
>I'm a bit of a novice at this, but can anyone recommend something
>with the following characteristics:
>
>- a hand held radio that can be plugged into a terrestrial or cable
>TV socket to receive the sound only of any TV station on that socket
>- by preference it should also have complete LW/FM/AM/SW radio bands
>- by preference it should have auto tune and digital display
>
>Regards
>G

2860. Pro 92 Longshot question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 06:31:32 UTC
From: Beach Bum <northshore-beachbum@hawaii.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8FMa7.1113$yX4.84318@typhoon.hawaii.rr.com>
Source record: 61827

Since there are a few creative types out there, I'll ask what is probably a silly question:

Is there any way to compensate for the difference in volume from one bank to the next? I have to have the volume pretty high when listening to the police on 800 mhz, but when a fire call comes in on the next bank it sounds like, well, a fire station! VERY LOUD. Spare me the flames (ouch!), I'm bored tonight....

2861. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 10:49:33 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B6BD2C2.F83B41A9@home.com>
Source record: 61828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Again I say you are a very Ignorant little twerp who needs to get a
life.

Mark Allread wrote:
>
> Dean Kastoris wrote:
>
> > The AOR was deffective.
>
> Yeah. Right. That's why you exchanged it for an entirely different radio, and why
> the reseller was able to sell the returned radio (as used) to another buyer.
> (/sarcasm)

2862. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 10:51:41 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B6BD342.D37F09E@home.com>
Source record: 61829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Again I say you are and ignorant twerp. Mind your own business and get
a life Perry Mason!

Dan Morisseau wrote:
>
> Dean Kastoris wrote:
>
> > YOU ARE IGNORANT
> >
>
> Now, THERE is an insightful contribution to the issue!
>
> --
> Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
> Just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
> Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
> ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
> "Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

2863. Beware of J.Patmaca

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 10:55:35 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b6d244a$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 61892

I (thought) I was buying a Pro2006 Scanner from J.Patmaca,I sent him the money and never got my scanner.
Here is his name and address so watch out for him.
J Patmaca
505-2263 marine crt
Bronte,ON
L6L5k1
Canada
his email is jpatmaca@yahoo.ca

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2864. Universal serivce encoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 10:59:32 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b6d2537$1_2@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 61893

I recently purchased a 300 Universal Service Decoder\Encoder.I am looking
for any info about this unit.Service manual or operating instruction.Please
email me.Thanks.
--
Shawn McKenna

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2865. Re: Pro-2006....What Mod has been done here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 11:31:39 UTC
From: HateSpam <hatespam@lnoemail.com>
Message-ID: <v2Ra7.3719$n_3.4476263@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61830

I'll guess and say that it's a discriminator tap...

"Paul M" <paul@coldnfrosty.com> wrote in message
news:OnIa7.19764$bm5.7173315@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> My guess is that it is a mod to allow reception of NOAA satellites. What
is
> the serial # of the radio?
>
> "Sandlapper" <bbutler@home.com> wrote in message
> news:odHa7.27339$k7.6066145@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> > I recently bought a Pro-2006 that has had the cell mod done...in fact,
> both
> > diodes were clipped, so I guess the speed mod was also accomplished.
What
> I
> > don't know about is this miniature RCA jack on the back of the rig that
> has
> > obviously been added by some previous owner. It's wired with shielded
> cable
> > to a diode near TP2 on the circuit board and the other wire goes
directly
> to
> > the board close by. Is this the discreet audio mod?...or something
else?
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Sandlapper
> >
> >
>
>

2866. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 11:52:28 UTC
From: Mark Allread <markallread@flatsurface.com>
Message-ID: <3B6BE1FC.48C2A629@flatsurface.com>
Source record: 61832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Dean Kastoris wrote:

> Again I say you are a very Ignorant little twerp who needs to get a life.

We've already shown that what you say has no basis in reality.

2867. Re: FS:PRO-30 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 11:56:25 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@home.com>
Message-ID: <JpRa7.214519$mG4.98427175@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61831

```
the pro=30 has been sold
thanks

"Monte Keller" <k9dzd@home.com> wrote in message
news:N9va7.211766$mG4.96975371@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> i have a radio shack,pro30 scanner
> cover up to 500mhz..
> does not work on batteries for some reason,,but,does work well on the ac
> adapter..
> no antenna,,just scanner,and,ac adapter
> price 15.00 shipped
>
> monte k9dzd@home.com
>
>
>
>
```

2868. WTB:HANDHELD SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 12:00:10 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@home.com>
Message-ID: <etRa7.214537$mG4.98430349@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61833

im looking for a PRO-92 ,or, PRO-94A scanner

monte k9dzd@home.com

2869. Re: Beware of Phillip Knode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 12:07:16 UTC
From: Sean <raymond.a2@ukonline.co.uk>
Message-ID: <9kgohi$14k$1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 61834

Well if your so honest Phil, where the hell is the ar8000,
have you sent it yet YES/NO
sean

Philip Knode <pknode@home.com> wrote in message
news:kvHa7.27538$k7.6081121@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> Again thanks to everyone for the positive post.Phil
> Skyhawk wrote in message <3b6979b0.132698029@news.mint.net>...
> >I have done business with Phillip and have to say it was not a problem
> >at all.......sent scanner as stated and packaged like it should
> >be....not a problem.....
>
>

2870. Re: Beware of Phillip Knode

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 12:14:07 UTC
From: Sean <raymond.a2@ukonline.co.uk>
Message-ID: <9kgoud$6uq$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 61836

Yes Phil, its nice to have the positive feedback, thats easy to deal with, lets see if your still *such a nice guy* and it will also be interesting to hear your *explanation* to the 14,(yes 14- there still coming in) e-mails from folk who are not happy with your dealings, you state you have NEVER ripped anyone of. out of the 14 e-mails, Well 4 are prepared to state that you HAVE ripped them off in one way or another. As I said before
Have you shipped the ar8000 YES OR NO....NO CRAP OR EXCUSE'S
You have had 9 days to get it shipped of, plenty of time I would of thought.
Sean

Philip Knode <pknode@home.com> wrote in message
news:kvHa7.27538$k7.6081121@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> Again thanks to everyone for the positive post.Phil
> Skyhawk wrote in message <3b6979b0.132698029@news.mint.net>...
> >I have done business with Phillip and have to say it was not a problem
> >at all.......sent scanner as stated and packaged like it should
> >be....not a problem.....
>
>

2871. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 12:15:56 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B6BE701.9B53839D@home.com>
Source record: 61835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Who is we've? Do you have a mouse in your pocket? You actually mean
you, don't you Perry Mason?

Mark Allread wrote:
>
> Dean Kastoris wrote:
>
> > Again I say you are a very Ignorant little twerp who needs to get a life.
>
> We've already shown that what you say has no basis in reality.

2872. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 13:26:20 UTC
From: Bill <wmal@taconic.net>
Message-ID: <3B6BF7FB.C8F7E905@taconic.net>
Source record: 61837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

It's good to see we can all act like adults here.....

You stated you made your purchases on plastic. Correct? If you feel you have a claim, or didn't get what was a satisfactory deal, call the credit card company and have them fight it out for you. Make that 21.9 % APR work for you!!! It's pretty simple to do. Just call, send the item back, watch the tracking numbers and watch your account get credited. That way there's no newsgroups, no arguments, no public opinion.

Gud lck

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2873. Re: Buyers: Beware of Mike Johnson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 14:26:37 UTC
From: Phillylawman <Phillylawman@businessstore.com>
Message-ID: <tiXa7.11384$jL6.1049294@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

These posters have been staring at the led displays too long......Its a joke guys!

"Donald Bertone" <donbertone@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:11Xa7.2181$1p1.194602@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Wait a minute!
> He buys a mini beam and gets a better one instead that's worth 5 times what
> he paid for it and then wants the guy to ship it for free!
>
> <Beware@crybaby.com> wrote in message
> news:tmmkp11dh9h4f2@corp.supernews.com...
> > I bought what I thought was a barely used mini-scanner beam from Mike
> > Johnson and he really delivered a mid-sized scanner beam. He also charged
> me
> > $20 + $10 shipping to boot! I would say that this scanner beam is worth
> > about $100 and it is a new one in the box. I can't believe I was lied to
> > by this unscrupulous seller. I have filed a complaint with junkBay and I
> > demand a refund! I also expect him to pay $50 in s/h fees to return this
> > item!
> >
> > Beware of Mike Johnson, 2686 Bullshit way, Toledo, OHio (yea, I should of
> > known nothing good comes from Toledo!)
> >
> > Frank Polack
> > 222 crybaby way
> > Los Angeles, CA
> >
> >
>
>

2874. PRO-2050: Select Step Size?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 14:39:55 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <%OTa7.21750$uj2.3556475@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 61838
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can the PRO-2050 frequency "step" size be selected or are you limited to the defaults? For instance, can you search in 12.5 kHz increments in the 163-173 MHz Federal Govt band? From the online manual it looks like you can't.

Art Harris

2875. A few Antenna Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 15:00:26 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b6c0bf6.735545007@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61839

Greetings,

I'd like to ask the antenna gurus a couple of questions.

1. What about nulls ?
I have heard that this is much more of a problem on VHF/UHF
than on HF. That even a good antenna won't get around nulls.

2. Can I use my hand=held to check for these nulls ?
My hand-held is AR8200MKII and seems to show a
lot of directivity sometimes.

3. How much antenna should I put on the chimney before I can
count on overloading the hand-held ? Is it not worth the risk?

An example of usage planned for would be to be out on the carport
at night with a discone on the roof and about 25-30 ft. of coax feed
down to the AR8200. Great idea ? Bad idea? Such a system would
obviously be occasional use only; should I bother to ground it ?
Buying a base station is not an option now, so I've got to work with
what I have.

TIA,

Andrew

2876. Re: Buyers: Beware of Mike Johnson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 15:49:41 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b6c190b.522866@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 61841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

What a strange post!

On Fri, 03 Aug 2001 18:42:29 -0700, Beware@crybaby.com wrote:

> I bought what I thought was a barely used mini-scanner beam from Mike
>Johnson and he really delivered a mid-sized scanner beam. He also charged me
>$20 + $10 shipping to boot! I would say that this scanner beam is worth
>about $100 and it is a new one in the box. I can't believe I was lied to
>by this unscrupulous seller. I have filed a complaint with junkBay and I
>demand a refund! I also expect him to pay $50 in s/h fees to return this
>item!
>
>Beware of Mike Johnson, 2686 Bullshit way, Toledo, OHio (yea, I should of
>known nothing good comes from Toledo!)
>
>Frank Polack
>222 crybaby way
>Los Angeles, CA
>
>

2877. Re: Buyers: Beware of Mike Johnson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 16:05:32 UTC
From: Paul P <splicer65@go.com>
Message-ID: <A3Va7.48694$%7.483701@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 61840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You have to learn to recognize sarcasm. :o)

"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3b6c190b.522866@news-server.optonline.net...
> What a strange post!

> On Fri, 03 Aug 2001 18:42:29 -0700, Beware@crybaby.com wrote:
>
> > I bought what I thought was a barely used mini-scanner beam from Mike
> >Johnson and he really delivered a mid-sized scanner beam. He also charged
me

2878. Beware of Sean Sweetman

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 17:10:54 UTC
From: Philip Knode <pknode@home.com>
Message-ID: <y0Wa7.29587$k7.6730475@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 61842

Just can't let it go can you Sean, like I said before I have never repeat never rip any one off, there is always going to be people that are unhappy about a deal one way or another but i also sent what I said i would.I've trade on this and other newsgroups for over 7 years and bound to run into some kind of loser like you.First of all i wasn't going to state it here you told me you would wait 10 days on the package and post any thing on the newsgroup about your problem with me sending the ar8k out late inwhich it was so get over it.Sean your not only a loser but a lair to boot.
Again I have sent the ar8k and interface via USPS
Express mail www.usps.com
et345482632us check it out stupid.
Also if their anyone here that unhappy with the trade I have made them let them email me direct or call you have my number thanks to Sean.
I would post Sean number here but he convently didn't give it out what you got something to hide Sean.
This is his email and address
sal@ukonline.co.uk
Sean Sweetman
17 Bucklow Ave
Mobberly
Cheeshire
United Kingdom
WA16-7ET
Again thanks for everyone for all the positive post.Phil

2879. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 17:14:19 UTC
From: KB9WFK <KB9WFK@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9khaf9$af6$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61843
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

posting around you because I don't see the orig. post.

--

"Leonard" <louis@mail.snider.net> wrote in message
news:vl2jmt8l7egor3e5du3duljmdcc9phks9h@4ax.com...
> Our dispatch center has ham HF equipment as well as 2 meter and packet
> equipment set up for RACES operators to use during emergencies.
>
I am the assistant net operator for our multi county SkyWarn net. We work
directly with State and County OEM and the National Weather Service. Our
office her is the same with the addition of 440 and 220 capability.
snip

> >Give us a break!
> >Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
> >It has nothing to do with emergencies.
> >
> >They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
> >Stay out of things you know nothing about.
> >
> >
> >-Barry
I guess you have never been part of ANY disaster relief effort or been
inside an Office of Emergency Management. You must have never heard a
tornado warning before because the majority of spotters are hams.
Talk about things you know nothing about......They don't come much less
informed than you on this subject do they?

2880. Help with a frequince

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 17:45:44 UTC
From: current_gothic@sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <3b6c34bd.18624778@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 61844

Ok i posted something like this before
u all told me to go look at certain pages
i looked at them pages
didint find nothing

now im wondering can u find me the frequinces or give them to me for

worlds finest fair

i thinkn thast them

thanks

dan

2881. Update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 17:51:42 UTC
From: current_gothic@sympatico.ca
Message-ID: <3b6c3628.18987252@news1.on.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 61845

Worlds finest
Conklins midway

im looking for there frequinces

2882. Re: Buyers: Beware of Mike Johnson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 18:19:41 UTC
From: Donald Bertone <donbertone@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <11Xa7.2181$1p1.194602@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Wait a minute!
He buys a mini beam and gets a better one instead that's worth 5 times what he paid for it and then wants the guy to ship it for free!

<Beware@crybaby.com> wrote in message
news:tmmkp11dh9h4f2@corp.supernews.com...
> I bought what I thought was a barely used mini-scanner beam from Mike
> Johnson and he really delivered a mid-sized scanner beam. He also charged
me
> $20 + $10 shipping to boot! I would say that this scanner beam is worth
> about $100 and it is a new one in the box. I can't believe I was lied to
> by this unscrupulous seller. I have filed a complaint with junkBay and I
> demand a refund! I also expect him to pay $50 in s/h fees to return this
> item!
>
> Beware of Mike Johnson, 2686 Bullshit way, Toledo, OHio (yea, I should of
> known nothing good comes from Toledo!)
>
> Frank Polack
> 222 crybaby way
> Los Angeles, CA
>
>

2883. Re: A few Antenna Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 19:01:53 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <BEXa7.23835$uj2.4005744@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 61848

I don't understand the question.

If you look at the azimuth pattern of any antenna, there will be peaks and nulls. Nulls are areas where the antenna response is poorer than in other areas. Reception would be weaker in the direction of the null. For vertical antennas, the nulls are usually not very deep. A discone would show a pattern of moderate peaks and nulls due to the "spokes" making up the disk and the cone. If you have a radio with a signal strength indicator, you could try monitoring a steady signal (like a NOAA weather broadcast) and see if rotating the antenna causes the signal strength to vary. Make sure that the results are repeatable, not just due to fading. And pick a reference signal that's less than full scale. I doubt if it's worth the effort.

What do you mean when you say your handheld shows a lot of directivity?

What kind of test do you plan to check for these nulls? Are you talking about transmitting on the discone and receiving on the handheld?

Do you mean just hooking up the handheld to a roof-mounted antenna? That may cause the handheld to overload depending on the signal handling capability of the handheld and the strength of the incoming signals. It won't damage the radio, but will cause "intermod" that will make listening unpleasant. Some radios have an attenuator that can be swithed in to minimize overload. Grounding at VHF is only important for lightning protection.

"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3b6c0bf6.735545007@news.erols.com...
> Greetings,
>
> I'd like to ask the antenna gurus a couple of questions.
>
> 1. What about nulls ?
> I have heard that this is much more of a problem on VHF/UHF
> than on HF. That even a good antenna won't get around nulls.
>
> 2. Can I use my hand=held to check for these nulls ?
> My hand-held is AR8200MKII and seems to show a
> lot of directivity sometimes.
>
> 3. How much antenna should I put on the chimney before I can
> count on overloading the hand-held ? Is it not worth the risk?
>
> An example of usage planned for would be to be out on the carport
> at night with a discone on the roof and about 25-30 ft. of coax feed
> down to the AR8200. Great idea ? Bad idea? Such a system would
> obviously be occasional use only; should I bother to ground it ?

> Buying a base station is not an option now, so I've got to work with
> what I have.
>
> TIA,
>
> Andrew

2884. Re: new jersey freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 19:26:55 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <%YXa7.11270$5j7.633120@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61849

> hi. I'm looking for some frequencies in new jersey, mostly Hudson county,
> or even Staten island to listen to. if anyone knows where I can find a
> list, or has some info

Here:

http://www.geocities.com/njmatt2004/hudsonnj.html

Roland, NK2U

2885. WTB: 800 Mhz Scanner (No Celluar Needed)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 20:01:17 UTC
From: "Michael W. McGinley" <mmcginley@kscable.com>
Message-ID: <040820011501186292%mmcginley@kscable.com>
Source record: 61850

Looking 1 or 2 basic 800 Mhz Desktop Scanners
(Bearcat 855 Etc) Celluar Modable not needed
or trunk tracking.

E-mail me with price & Condition

Have a nice one all

Mike

mmcginley@kscable.com

2886. Re: Cannon Color Page Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 20:43:32 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <U7Za7.111$Sy.232447@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 61851

So whats your point?Are you a N.G.cop or just a person that is looking to bitch about anything?
I can also read.I know what N.G. I posted to.
Maybe you need to get a life,and go with the flow.
Have a nice day.
"LinkWest" <LinkWest@nyc.ny.us> wrote in message news:tmml93n8nvq7bb@corp.supernews.com...
> On Fri, 03 Aug 2001 00:53:24 GMT, Dick
> <8Cma7.52$94.54046@news1.news.adelphia.net> wrote:
>
> >Have a Cannon color scanner for sale $30.00 plus shipping.This is for a
> >scusi computor not for IDE.Has cbles but no software or book.Also has box.
>
> This is rec.radio.scanner for RADIO scanners not computer document scanners.
>
> --
> "This didn't stop her feisty response when one lad yelled, 'Fucking nun!'
> as she cycled past. She dismounted to shout back, 'One or the other, but
> I can't be both!' "

2887. Re: Rogue Cancelling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 20:48:53 UTC
From: The Famous KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <VcZa7.23723$Ke4.13555037@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 61852

Bud - What "Cue A Man" says is exactly correct, as proven by
newsgroup archives in Dejanews.

Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rr.com> wrote
> "Cue A Man" <Cueaman@Cableone.net> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
> >Deja News says differently and
> >Bill left after RR questioned him.
>
> No, that's NOT correct, and you can't hide behind a SuperNews anonymous,
> your ignorant attitude easily exposes you.

2888. Re: Rogue Cancelling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 20:52:55 UTC
From: The Famous KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <HgZa7.23725$Ke4.13559365@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 61853

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote

> > >He was caught, & his abusive actions online caused him to lose his
(cable TV
> > >company) ISP.
>
> Then he went into the Naval Reserve.

WRONG!! BC did NOT go into the Navy, HE DIED!

> I didn't know you were dead Mackey.

You know I'm not, infact people have been writing & asking me
to intervene with YOU on the trouble YOU have been causing
on rec.radio.amateur.dx!!

> > John, you're a LYING asshole bastard !

I have never told a lie in my entire adult life.

> > YOU were the one caught forging cancels,
>
> Yes, Mackey did get caught doing that.

I was NEVER caught doing that!

> > and it was obvious even BEFORE
> > the ones could be traced directly TO YOU, because ONLY posts critical of
> > you were canceled..

Some of my own posts were canceled!

2889. Re: Rogue Cancelling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 20:55:14 UTC
From: The Famous KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <SiZa7.23726$Ke4.13561745@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 61854

Never anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rr.com> wrote
> John, you're a LYING asshole bastard !

I have NEVER told a lie in my entire adult life.

> YOU were the one caught forging cancels, and it was obvious even BEFORE
> the ones could be traced directly TO YOU, because ONLY posts critical of
> you were canceled..

Even my own posts were canceled. However, YOU were CAUGHT forging posts
as BC, remember that? (You forgot to change your tagline!!!) Would you like
me to posts
deja links for those messages again?

2890. Re: TOTALLY OT Beef, or Why I love Radio More and More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 20:56:18 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9khnhiqkl1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 61855

In article <3b6b566d.689064248@news.erols.com>, cathexis@erols.com says...
>
>
> Good Grief !!
>
> Okay, I'm on my soap-box so delete if you want something
>on-topic as this is definitely not ! No offense meant or taken to anyone
here.
>--

>
> More and more I am confronted with better reasons to trash my TV
>and turn on my radio. (Like you, of course, I own several).
TV is a tool of Satan.
OK, mild overstatement, but TV still sucks, big time.
Many years ago I worked part time for a local UHF station.
I had nevere cared all that much for TV even before then.
But, after working there for several months (boy was I glad when our daughter
won a schoolership and I could quit!) I came to see that the whole TV game is
a giant con job. They pretend to provide you with news, and entertainment, in
turn for you watching their adds. The adds are the only thing on TV that get
any real money. Everything else is just filler.
Give up TV. Read. Listen to radio. learn to play a musical instrument.
Mentor a child. Help at a literacy center. LEarn how computers and networks
really work. Take up wood working. Birdwatching. Do anything that causes you
to think. I have become convinced that one purpose of TV is to full the
viewer. To turn off their brains. To make viewers become comatose couch
potatoes.
Life is for living. Not dieing to see the next episode of (fill in the blank).
Be thankfull that your TV service is so crappy. You are ahead of the game.
do not trust any network for news. They all exist to sell you something.
Not to pick on ABC/Disney but take a hard news stories ABC runs and compare to
the other three. News used to have an independent existence, but today it is
under entertainment.
Throw you TV away.
After a few weeks you will get over that addiction and get back to living!

2891. Re: Rogue Cancelling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 21:08:52 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15d603ddfb6774509896dd@news>
Source record: 61856

What a moron, he can't even get quotes correct.

He's drunk again or overmedicated.

...and still changing his name to get by the filters.

In article <HgZa7.23725$Ke4.13559365@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>,
NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org says...
> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote
>
> > > >He was caught, & his abusive actions online caused him to lose his
> (cable TV
> > > >company) ISP.
> >
> > Then he went into the Naval Reserve.
>
> WRONG!! BC did NOT go into the Navy, HE DIED!
>
>
> > I didn't know you were dead Mackey.
>
> You know I'm not, infact people have been writing & asking me
> to intervene with YOU on the trouble YOU have been causing
> on rec.radio.amateur.dx!!
>
>
>
> > > John, you're a LYING asshole bastard !
>
> I have never told a lie in my entire adult life.
>
>
> > > YOU were the one caught forging cancels,
> >
> > Yes, Mackey did get caught doing that.
>
> I was NEVER caught doing that!
>
>
>
> > > and it was obvious even BEFORE
> > > the ones could be traced directly TO YOU, because ONLY posts critical of
> > > you were canceled..
>
> Some of my own posts were canceled!

>
>
>
>
>

2892. Re: Pro 92 Longshot question...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 21:37:52 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B6C6B2E.DD05F7A0@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61857

It would be nice if the scanners had some sort of automatic volume
control, but they don't. The problem is with the transmitting sites.
They are not properly controlling their audio levels.

My local PD had a very well operating installation. Then the antenna
and console got zapped by lightning one night during a thunderstorm.
Took them 3 weeks to get it operating again, sort of. Two months
later the audio levels are still screwed up. One talker comes in at
normal audio level, and the dispatcher comes in at a whisper. And the
dispatcher on the next shift comes in at normal level. If I were an
officer on that squad I would be bitching like hell.

The problem is, the users of the system don't really know when it is
working normally, and when it is not. As a result they put up with a
lot of mis-adjusted equipment.

Beach Bum wrote:
>
> Since there are a few creative types out there, I'll ask what is probably a
> silly question:
>
> Is there any way to compensate for the difference in volume from one bank to
> the next? I have to have the volume pretty high when listening to the police
> on 800 mhz, but when a fire call comes in on the next bank it sounds like,
> well, a fire station! VERY LOUD. Spare me the flames (ouch!), I'm bored
> tonight....

2893. Re: Cannon Color Page Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 22:16:33 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9khs4p016ks@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 61859

lol scusi. oh cbles. rofl. You start freaking on someone that was nice to mention that this was a radio scanner newsgroup. But you can't even spell SCSI right or Cable. I have never heard of a scusi adapter. + you have a major attitude problem. Who would want to buy from someone that snaps that fast at someone? What if he did want that scanner but was telling you that this was a radio newsgroup? You would of lost a potential buyer.

"Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:U7Za7.111$Sy.232447@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> So whats your point?Are you a N.G.cop or just a person that is looking to
> bitch about anything?
> I can also read.I know what N.G. I posted to.
> Maybe you need to get a life,and go with the flow.
> Have a nice day.
> "LinkWest" <LinkWest@nyc.ny.us> wrote in message
> news:tmml93n8nvq7bb@corp.supernews.com...
> > On Fri, 03 Aug 2001 00:53:24 GMT, Dick
> > <8Cma7.52$94.54046@news1.news.adelphia.net> wrote:
> >
> > >Have a Cannon color scanner for sale $30.00 plus shipping.This is for a
> > >scusi computor not for IDE.Has cbles but no software or book.Also has
> box.
> >
> > This is rec.radio.scanner for RADIO scanners not computer document
> scanners.
> >
> > --
> > "This didn't stop her feisty response when one lad yelled, 'Fucking
nun!'
> > as she cycled past. She dismounted to shout back, 'One or the other, but
> > I can't be both!' "
>
>

2894. Re: A few Antenna Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 23:11:35 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b6c794f.763541808@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61858

On Sat, 04 Aug 2001 19:01:53 GMT, "Harris" <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com> wrote:

>I don't understand the question.

< Big Snip>

Thx. for replying. Please understand that any problem with understanding the questions probably is due to my need for education -Hope you're not too frustrated.

Basically, I mentioned to one Ham about errecting a roof-top Discone for occasional use on my scanner and he said in effect, that if the particular freqs I was looking for were " in a null " at that geographical area (My QTH) then no amount of extra antenna will make an appreciable difference.

Regarding " directivity" I meant that I was surprised that just rotating the unit around such that the antenna sweeps the horizon (N- to E -to S - to - W) could make such a difference in reception. I'm used to working HF on home-brewed randoms and dipoles that have almost no directivity when compared to other ant. types like say, a Yagi. Does that clarify?

As far as "overloading" goes, I only meant that I've read in various web-sites that if you put "too much" ant. on a hand-held it can not only cause signal overloading, but could actually be harmful in severe cases. I was asking for a comment on same.

The bottom line is simply this: Right now I have a AR8200MKII with the stock telescopic whip. In my small understanding I know that things like inductance and capacitance can affect reception and can be influenced by internal components. However, it is also my understanding that, despite what companies like C Crane and others say, receptivity has a whole lot more to do with your antenna system than any circuitry inside the radio. I know that it certainly has been MY experience. So,...If I wanted to maximize the radio's *receptivity*, not selectivity or sensitivity, how best to do it, how much improvement might I expect, and do you have any practical tips?

I'm not trying to "get" any particular freq., just looking to get the best and most out of what I've got -- that's all. I hope this is clear and hope that you'll be able to respond in a helpful way. Sorry if I caused anyone any confusion. Regards,

Andrew
>

2895. for sale: optoelectronics cub frequency counter 100.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 23:17:15 UTC
From: J <oaktownboy@home.com>
Message-ID: <%n%a7.29390$O8.3988506@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 61861

for sale:

optoelectronics frequency counter
"model cub"
1mhz - 2.4ghz
quite sensitive compared to most other makes
has a built-in re-chargeable battery
comes with charger
has a dc input/charger jack
has a rubberduck antenna

works well and is in excellent shape

100.00US includes shipping

thanks
oaktownboy@home.com

2896. Re: Help with a frequince

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 23:17:49 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b6c8132.765561002@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61860

On Sat, 04 Aug 2001 17:45:44 GMT, current_gothic@sympatico.ca (Current_gothic) wrote:

>Ok i posted something like this before
>u all told me to go look at certain pages
>i looked at them pages
>didint find nothing
>
>now im wondering can u find me the frequinces or give them to me for
>
>worlds finest fair
>
>i thinkn thast them
>
>thanks
>
>dan

Is this for real? Do you really talk like this?

u R reel smarrt u R. R u frum de countrie much ??
Dew u oan lots of farm tipe thingses ?? I half a
rake ann a shuvull too dig wit. I be maried to my
cuzin cents i were 14.

2897. for sale: winscan 780 software to control bc780xlt with cables & adapters 35.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 23:21:11 UTC
From: J <oaktownboy@home.com>
Message-ID: <Hr%a7.29391$O8.3989201@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 61862

for sale:

scancat cd for controlling you bearcat 780 from your computer. It allows
easy programming of all you frequencies and alpha tags.

it comes with:
heavyduty cable for cloning / computer control
null connector
female to female turn around
cd of winscan 780

35.00US includes shipping

i take paypal, certified check or international postalcheck

thanks
oaktownboy@home.com

2898. for sale 2 motorola portables with goodbattery packs and charger mx340 2ch 100.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 23:30:59 UTC
From: J <oaktownboy@home.com>
Message-ID: <TA%a7.29392$O8.3990584@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 61863

for sale:

if you are looking for 2 strong portable radios in the uhf band with 5 watts
output
with good batterypacks and a heavyduty drop-in charger.

both radios have 2 channels 410.1375mhz and 415.1375 mhz

and they can talk to themselves.
the charger is a heavyduty one

both radios come with rubberduck antennas

radios are in good shape, but rubber is worn over the ptt button

100.00US for the pair shipping is free

i take paypal, certified check or International postal check

thanks
oaktownboy@home.com

2899. Re: Conversion of .spg files to .xls files?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-04 23:44:17 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <p12pmtob0n056f1b653c6sat09sif56njf@4ax.com>
Source record: 61864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 04 Aug 2001 04:50:15 GMT, Walt Bertram
<wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Go to www.pro-92.com and download the free 92WARE program. This
[snip]

That's careware, not freeware.

2900. Re: A few Antenna Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 00:00:01 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <500b7.26717$uj2.4442530@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 61865

Ok, that clears it up a bit. The "null" being referred to to could be several things. The transmitting station's antenna pattern might have a deliberate null in your direction. Or the signal might be blocked by a hill or building. Another culprit could be multipath. Have you ever noticed in your car, when you're stopped at a light, the FM station you're listening to might get noisy or disappear. If you move up a foot or two it comes back in. What happens is you're receiving one signal direct from the station, and another reflected off a building or water tower. If the two signals arrive exactly out of phase they will cancel each other out. Moving a bit changes the relative phase of the two signals and the music reappears. You can get the same effect walking around with your handheld.

The thing with handhelds is they were designed to work with a rubber duck antenna. They have a lot of gain because they expect to get only a small amount of signal from that rubber duck. They are also designed to be small, lightweight, and not put too much drain on the battery. That means things like a tracking preselector in the front end, or a "bullet-proof" mixer design are out of the question. If there were no local transmitters, connecting a good roof-mounted antenna would improve your range. But when a strong local signal comes on, the handheld will freak out.

A simple discone or ground plane mounted not too high (maybe even fed with lossy coax like RG-58) MAY be a good compromise. It might give better signal capture than the rubber duck, but not enough signal to overload the radio. You'll have to try a few things, experiment, and see what works. If your radio has an attenuator, switch it in when you get overload.

I think it would take something pretty extreme to actually damage the radio.

Good luck,
Art

"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3b6c794f.763541808@news.erols.com...
> On Sat, 04 Aug 2001 19:01:53 GMT, "Harris" <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com> wrote:
>
> >I don't understand the question.
>
> < Big Snip>
>
>
> Thx. for replying. Please understand that any problem with
understanding
> the questions probably is due to my need for education -Hope you're not
too
> frustrated.

>
> Basically, I mentioned to one Ham about erecting a roof-top Discone
for
> occasional use on my scanner and he said in effect, that if the particular
freqs
> I was looking for were " in a null " at that geographical area (My QTH)
then
> no amount of extra antenna will make an appreciable difference.
>
> Regarding " directivity" I meant that I was surprised that just
rotating
> the unit around such that the antenna sweeps the horizon (N- to E -to S
> - to - W) could make such a difference in reception. I'm used to working
> HF on home-brewed randoms and dipoles that have almost no directivity
> when compared to other ant. types like say, a Yagi. Does that clarify?
>
> As far as "overloading" goes, I only meant that I've read in various
> web-sites that if you put "too much" ant. on a hand-held it can not only
> cause signal overloading, but could actually be harmful in severe cases.
> I was asking for a comment on same.
>
> The bottom line is simply this: Right now I have a AR8200MKII with
the
> stock telescopic whip. In my small understanding I know that things like
> inductance and capacitance can affect reception and can be influenced
> by internal components. However, it is also my understanding that,
despite
> what companies like C Crane and others say, receptivity has a whole lot
more
> to do with your antenna system than any circuitry inside the radio. I
know
> that it certainly has been MY experience. So,...If I wanted to maximize
the
> radio's *receptivity*, not selectivity or sensitivity, how best to do it,
how
> much improvement might I expect, and do you have any practical tips?
>
> I'm not trying to "get" any particular freq., just looking to get
the
> best and most out of what I've got -- that's all. I hope this is clear and
hope
> that you'll be able to respond in a helpful way. Sorry if I caused anyone
any
> confusion. Regards,
>
> Andrew
> >
>
>

2901. 300 Universal Service Encoder/Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 00:02:09 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b6ddca4_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 61771

I recently purchased a 300 Universal Service Encoder/Decoder. I am looking for any info on how to operate this unit.Could somebody point me in the right direction.Thanks
--
Shawn McKenna

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2902. 300 Universal Service Encoder/Decoder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 00:03:06 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b6ddcdd_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 61772

I recently purchased a 300 Universal Service Encoder/Decoder. I am looking for any info on how to operate this unit.Could somebody point me in the right direction.Thanks
--
Shawn McKenna

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2903. Re: TOTALLY OT Beef, or Why I love Radio More and More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 00:07:17 UTC
From: B&#65533;ian <bhall7@email.com>
Message-ID: <9ki2jfkbh1@acs2.byu.edu>
Source record: 61866

Yeah! Speaker to Animals speaks the truth! My wife and I don't have a TV
and we get along fine. I have a couple scanners and a shortwave and I find
that there are plenty of much more fulfilling and mentally stimulating
programs on the radio than the mainstream, sell-out crap on the "boob tube."
We were just talking this afternoon how we don't want our children
(hopefully on the way soon!) to be brainless, vegetable television addicts.
I suggested that we listen to scanners and shortwave (which could present
some interesting radio-sharing issues, but better than fighting over the TV
remote).

Peace.

-BHall

"Speaker to Animals" <Dante'@inferno.net> wrote in message
news:9khnhiqkl1@news.uky.edu...
> In article <3b6b566d.689064248@news.erols.com>, cathexis@erols.com says...
> >
> >
> > Good Grief !!
> >
> > Okay, I'm on my soap-box so delete if you want something
> >on-topic as this is definitely not ! No offense meant or taken to anyone
> here.
>
>--
--
> --
> >
> > More and more I am confronted with better reasons to trash my TV
> >and turn on my radio. (Like you, of course, I own several).
> TV is a tool of Satan.
> OK, mild overstatement, but TV still sucks, big time.
> Many years ago I worked part time for a local UHF station.
> I had nevere cared all that much for TV even before then.
> But, after working there for several months (boy was I glad when our
daughter
> won a schoolership and I could quit!) I came to see that the whole TV game
is
> a giant con job. They pretend to provide you with news, and entertainment,
in
> turn for you watching their adds. The adds are the only thing on TV that
get
> any real money. Everything else is just filler.
> Give up TV. Read. Listen to radio. learn to play a musical instrument.

> Mentor a child. Help at a literacy center. LEarn how computers and
networks
> really work. Take up wood working. Birdwatching. Do anything that causes
you
> to think. I have become convinced that one purpose of TV is to full the
> viewer. To turn off their brains. To make viewers become comatose couch
> potatoes.
> Life is for living. Not dieing to see the next episode of (fill in the
blank).
> Be thankfull that your TV service is so crappy. You are ahead of the game.
> do not trust any network for news. They all exist to sell you something.
> Not to pick on ABC/Disney but take a hard news stories ABC runs and
compare to
> the other three. News used to have an independent existence, but today it
is
> under entertainment.
> Throw you TV away.
> After a few weeks you will get over that addiction and get back to living!
>
>

2904. for sale; motorola 800mhz trunking portable - holds 16sys/16 ids each 250.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 00:25:37 UTC
From: J <oaktownboy@home.com>
Message-ID: <5o0b7.29401$O8.3996236@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 61868

for sale:

motorola trunking portable.
model stx821
for 800 mhz
works on type I, II,III and smartnet systems
comes with a good battery, heavyduty drop in charger, mic/speaker and
rubberduck antenna.

this unit is in excellent condition
has alpha- numeric display for ids. i
It can hold 16 ids in each system. (up to 16 different systems in radio at
once)

250.00US includes insured shipping

I have paypal, except certified checks and Intenational postalchecks.

thanks
oaktownboy@home.com

2905. Re: A few Antenna Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 00:28:15 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b6c917b.769731123@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61867

On Sun, 05 Aug 2001 00:00:01 GMT, "Harris" <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com> wrote:

Art,

Great reply ! Thanks a lot. I would enjoy experimenting with such
ideas. I think that antenna problems are one of the last areas in amateur
radio that really can be experimented upon in a way that matters. I'm
pretty sure my radio has an attenuator you can switch on/off. I was
just kinda worried that some passing police car might key his mike
and somehow fry the circuits in my unit.

thanks for your help,

Andrew

>Ok, that clears it up a bit. The "null" being referred to to could be
>several things. The transmitting station's antenna pattern might have a
>deliberate null in your direction. Or the signal might be blocked by a hill
>or building. Another culprit could be multipath. Have you ever noticed in
>your car, when you're stopped at a light, the FM station you're listening to
>might get noisy or disappear. If you move up a foot or two it comes back in.
>What happens is you're receiving one signal direct from the station, and
>another reflected off a building or water tower. If the two signals arrive
>exactly out of phase they will cancel each other out. Moving a bit changes
>the relative phase of the two signals and the music reappears. You can get
>the same effect walking around with your handheld.
>
>The thing with handhelds is they were designed to work with a rubber duck
>antenna. They have a lot of gain because they expect to get only a small
>amount of signal from that rubber duck. They are also designed to be small,
>lightweight, and not put too much drain on the battery. That means things
>like a tracking preselector in the front end, or a "bullet-proof" mixer
>design are out of the question. If there were no local transmitters,
>connecting a good roof-mounted antenna would improve your range. But when a
>strong local signal comes on, the handheld will freak out.
>
>A simple discone or ground plane mounted not too high (maybe even fed with
>lossy coax like RG-58) MAY be a good compromise. It might give better signal
>capture than the rubber duck, but not enough signal to overload the radio.
>You'll have to try a few things, experiment, and see what works. If your
>radio has an attenuator, switch it in when you get overload.
>
>I think it would take something pretty extreme to actually damage the radio.
>
>Good luck,
>Art

>
>"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
>news:3b6c794f.763541808@news.erols.com...
>> On Sat, 04 Aug 2001 19:01:53 GMT, "Harris" <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com> wrote:
>>
>> >I don't understand the question.
>>
>> < Big Snip>
>>
>>
>> Thx. for replying. Please understand that any problem with
>understanding
>> the questions probably is due to my need for education -Hope you're not
>too
>> frustrated.
>>
>> Basically, I mentioned to one Ham about errecting a roof-top Discone
>for
>> occasional use on my scanner and he said in effect, that if the particular
>freqs
>> I was looking for were " in a null " at that geographical area (My QTH)
>then
>> no amount of extra antenna will make an appreciable difference.
>>
>> Regarding " directivity" I meant that I was surprised that just
>rotating
>> the unit around such that the antenna sweeps the horizon (N- to E -to S
>> - to - W) could make such a difference in reception. I'm used to working
>> HF on home-brewed randoms and dipoles that have almost no directivity
>> when compared to other ant. types like say, a Yagi. Does that clarify?
>>
>> As far as "overloading" goes, I only meant that I've read in various
>> web-sites that if you put "too much" ant. on a hand-held it can not only
>> cause signal overloading, but could actually be harmful in severe cases.
>> I was asking for a comment on same.
>>
>> The bottom line is simply this: Right now I have a AR8200MKII with
>the
>> stock telescopic whip. In my small understanding I know that things like
>> inductance and capacitance can affect reception and can be influenced
>> by internal components. However, it is also my understanding that,
>despite
>> what companies like C Crane and others say, receptivity has a whole lot
>more
>> to do with your antenna system than any circuitry inside the radio. I
>know
>> that it certainly has been MY experience. So,...If I wanted to maximize
>the
>> radio's *receptivity*, not selectivity or sensitivity, how best to do it,
>how

>> much improvement might I expect, and do you have any practical tips?
>>
>> I'm not trying to "get" any particular freq., just looking to get
>the
>> best and most out of what I've got -- that's all. I hope this is clear and
>hope
>> that you'll be able to respond in a helpful way. Sorry if I caused anyone
>any
>> confusion. Regards,
>>
>> Andrew
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

2906. Re: for sale: optoelectronics cub frequency counter REDUCED 75.00US SHIPPED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 00:53:26 UTC
From: J <oaktownboy@home.com>
Message-ID: <aO0b7.29406$O8.3999155@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 61869

my mistake, it should be 75.00US shipped thanks

J <oaktownboy@home.com> wrote in message
news:%n%a7.29390$O8.3988506@news1.busy1.on.home.com...
> for sale:
>
> optoelectronics frequency counter
> "model cub"
> 1mhz - 2.4ghz
> quite sensitive compared to most other makes
> has a built-in re-chargeable battery
> comes with charger
> has a dc input/charger jack
> has a rubberduck antenna
>
> works well and is in excellent shape
>
> 100.00US includes shipping
>
> thanks
> oaktownboy@home.com
>
>
>

2907. Re: Cannon Color Page Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 00:55:34 UTC
From: Podgee&#65533; <peter_alexander@dingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <3b6c998c$0$20908$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 61870

Why don't U go Cat scan yourself
If U can read O.K. Then
This NG is call "rec.radio scanner",
If U can't understand this, then take up read bit more

"Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:U7Za7.111$Sy.232447@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> So whats your point?Are you a N.G.cop or just a person that is looking to
> bitch about anything?
> I can also read.I know what N.G. I posted to.
> Maybe you need to get a life,and go with the flow.
> Have a nice day.
> "LinkWest" <LinkWest@nyc.ny.us> wrote in message
> news:tmml93n8nvq7bb@corp.supernews.com...
> > On Fri, 03 Aug 2001 00:53:24 GMT, Dick
> > <8Cma7.52$94.54046@news1.news.adelphia.net> wrote:
> >
> > >Have a Cannon color scanner for sale $30.00 plus shipping.This is for a
> > >scusi computor not for IDE.Has cbles but no software or book.Also has
> box.
> >
> > This is rec.radio.scanner for RADIO scanners not computer document
> scanners.
> >
> > --
> > "This didn't stop her feisty response when one lad yelled, 'Fucking
nun!'
> > as she cycled past. She dismounted to shout back, 'One or the other, but
> > I can't be both!' "
>
>

2908. Re: TOTALLY OT Beef, or Why I love Radio More and More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 01:58:46 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <uF1b7.751$EE1.53382@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61871

Baton Rouge, LA used to have a great cable system until Cox bought them out. I was paying for premium channels but every channel above 40 was fuzzy. After numerous complaints and visits by Cox, they told me that they would keep working on the problem but would have to charge me. Shortly after that I got a DSS (DirecTV) system and have not had any problems. Consistently clear pictures except for the occasional strong rain that may kill my signal for 5 to 10 minutes. My cable would sometimes be out for hours.

Anyway, as far as actually watching TV, I usually watch the History, Discovery, or Learning Channel and sometimes a movie (most of them bite now days). The rest of my free time is working on the computer (scanners or SW in the background) or working on some electronic or antenna project. In cool weather I do work outside in my shop.

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3b6b566d.689064248@news.erols.com...
>
> Good Grief !!
>
> Okay, I'm on my soap-box so delete if you want something
> on-topic as this is definitely not ! No offense meant or taken to anyone
here.
> ---

>
> More and more I am confronted with better reasons to trash my TV
> and turn on my radio. (Like you, of course, I own several). Why? Because
> of the shoddy workmanship, lousy service and unrepentant greed of TV in
> general and cable in particular.
>
> The case in point here is : "COX CABLE" my local provider in
Northern
> Va. COX was once Media Gen'l and built up the finest system of cable

service
> in the Wash. D.C. metro area bar none. Than COX took over. The TV guide
> (really magazine) has been replaced with the following choices:
> 1. Pay extra 20 bucks/ mo. to get the fancy "on-line" guide, oh, and also
about
> 50+ plus channels of MUZAK. Like I really want to "watch" stereo music.
Jeez!
>
> 2. Or I can buy a rag Cable Guide from TV-Guiide that is printed on
newsprint
> and during my trial sub. was cut off-center and crooked on EVERY issue.
Not
> likely.
>
> The cables boxes are cheap trash. Who ever engineered them ought be bitch
> slapped back to China. We used to get GE boxes that were simple, but did
what
> they were supposed to do and had avg. life of 5+ years. Now I get cheap
crap
> from "General Instruments" that I've had to replace already in just 4 mos.
and
> is so poorly engineered that if you hook it up to your stereo it will
continue
> to play last channel even AFTER you've turned the cable box off ! No lie.
Every
> one of my neighbors who has this set-up has exactly the same problem. What
> a joke. How sad they think this is " to be expected." So they tell me.
Groan.
>
> Pay-Per-View (PPV) systems have become a voice-mail bad-trip that would
be
> funny if it weren't so pitiful. I tried to order "Crouching Tiger" tonite.
In
> the old system I could call, order, and be watching the PPV in literally
ONE
> minute or less. Not anymore. COX cable gave numbers that only allow the
> selection of PPV's 24 - 72 hours in advance and din't even list ANY
choices
> for the movie I wanted !! DEspite that the Wash. Post showed 10 showing
on
> COX that nite. In fact, no movies were offered on their PPV menu unless
they
> were ordered at LEAST 24 hours in advance. Idiots!
>
> I am so disgusted I can hardly keep from popping a cork, I tell you. But
> that's okay,... Because I've got a scanner, a portable, and more and I can
> just go park myself out on the carport and do almost whatever radio I
want.
> Talk, Music, Scanning, Ham. Radio is always there on some level. It costs
> no more than the equipment needed to receive it. Heck, I don't even need

> a radio as some of it you can get via the internet even with an out-dated,
> over-the hill system like I've got. Art Bell and Jeff Rense are probably
the
> best of the "internet radio" offerings. But the real-deal is always there
too.
>
> Hi-tech is never a substitute for lo-quality, bad engineering and
> shitty service. Man, oh man. What I wouldn't give for a mountain-top,
> a sturdy tower, and a satellite dish.
>
> See ya on the sky-waves,
>
> Andrew / KG4JBM
>

2909. Ft. Benning & Columbus GA area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 02:07:18 UTC
From: Elbert Clarke <elbertc@home.com>
Message-ID: <6eapmtovdmtmn20ad412i4h27dkellptf1@4ax.com>
Source record: 61872

Hello,

Anyone have any good freq. for the columbus, GA area to include Ft.
Benning.

Also do the MPs use secure radios..... I assume that they do?

Elbert Clarke
elbertc@home.com

2910. Re: for sale: optoelectronics cub SOLD, SOLD, SOLD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 02:18:49 UTC
From: J <oaktownboy@home.com>
Message-ID: <d22b7.29418$O8.4010930@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 61873

this unit is sold, sold, sold thanks

J <oaktownboy@home.com> wrote in message
news:%n%a7.29390$O8.3988506@news1.busy1.on.home.com...
> for sale:
>
> optoelectronics frequency counter
> "model cub"
> 1mhz - 2.4ghz
> quite sensitive compared to most other makes
> has a built-in re-chargeable battery
> comes with charger
> has a dc input/charger jack
> has a rubberduck antenna
>
> works well and is in excellent shape
>
> 100.00US includes shipping
>
> thanks
> oaktownboy@home.com
>
>
>

2911. Re: for sale; motorola 800mhz trunking portable - holds 16sys/16 ids each 250.00US shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 02:49:19 UTC
From: J <oaktownboy@home.com>
Message-ID: <Pu2b7.29423$O8.4016436@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 61874

THIS IS REDUCED TO 225.00US INCLUDES SHIPPING

THANKS

J <oaktownboy@home.com> wrote in message
news:5o0b7.29401$O8.3996236@news1.busy1.on.home.com...
> for sale:
>
>
> motorola trunking portable.
> model stx821
> for 800 mhz
> works on type I, II,III and smartnet systems
> comes with a good battery, heavyduty drop in charger, mic/speaker and
> rubberduck antenna.
>
> this unit is in excellent condition
> has alpha- numeric display for ids. i
> It can hold 16 ids in each system. (up to 16 different systems in radio
at
> once)
>
> 250.00US includes insured shipping
>
> I have paypal, except certified checks and Intenational postalchecks.
>
> thanks
> oaktownboy@home.com
>
>
>

2912. Re: Rogue Cancelling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 03:19:01 UTC
From: thehamguy1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010804231901.15339.00004828@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 61875

>Subject: Re: Rogue Cancelling
>From: Never anonymous Bud thekat@san.rxyzr.com
>Date: 8/3/01 6:44 PM Central Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9ndmmtc7fsnjoi9bfj14b977tpa2goof99@4ax.com>
>
>"Cue A Man" <Cueaman@Cableone.net> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
>>Deja News says differently and
>>Bill left after RR questioned him.
>
>No, that's NOT correct, and you can't hide behind a SuperNews anonymous,
>your ignorant attitude easily exposes you.
>
Your butt buddy Bill was an ASS just like you, both hiding behind SAN.RR
RR axed him because of his school boy pissing attitude.
>
>
>
>
>
>To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
>Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
>It's your SIG, say what you want to say....
>
>
>
>
>
>

2913. Re: Conversion of .spg files to .xls files?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 03:40:51 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B6CC042.9BD6673E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Sorry Ken. I was thinking that but typed something else.

Ken Plotkin wrote:
>
> On Sat, 04 Aug 2001 04:50:15 GMT, Walt Bertram
> <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >Go to www.pro-92.com and download the free 92WARE program. This
> [snip]
>
> That's careware, not freeware.

2914. Re: Maui Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 03:46:11 UTC
From: Sparkdawg <sparkdawg2002@yahoo.dotcom>
Message-ID: <7k3b7.567$M3.91314@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 61877

Paul, I came across this site just last week and it sounds like what your looking for.

State of Hawaii Scanning Resources Guide
http://www.kahala.net/~davidc/

Good luck - Sparkdawg

"Paul Littleton" <paull@mauigateway.com> wrote in message news:3B63B128.4A378B12@mauigateway.com...
> I need help setting up my new Pro 92 scanner to listen to the new Maui
> Police radio system. Any help would be greatly appreciated.
> Aloha, Paul Littleton
>

2915. Re: Rogue Cancelling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 03:53:46 UTC
From: The Famous KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <er3b7.24121$Ke4.14071088@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 61878

Well said, HamGuy1, very well said!

TheHamGuy1 <thehamguy1@aol.com> wrote
> Your butt buddy Bill was an ASS just like you, both hiding behind SAN.RR
> RR axed him because of his school boy pissing attitude.

2916. F/S OR F/T 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 04:00:45 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B6CC5A5.E8BD5821@yahoo.com>
Source record: 61879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello- I have up for sale one 780xlt scanner like new in the box with all paperwork,manual and receipt. About 3 weeks old. Perfect. $290 shipped or $300 with Paypal. Would consider a top condition (bright backlight) 2006 scanner with original antenna plus a little bit a cash. Not considering any other scanner other than 2006. Please email me if there is any serious interest. Please email me directly. thanks

2917. Re: BC3000XLT Battery Pack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 04:08:43 UTC
From: jerryhNOSPAM@ccsi.com
Message-ID: <3b6cc66b.13991274@news.the-i.net>
Source record: 61885

"www.racescanners.com" has a NiMH battery pack for the 3000 for $45
plus shipping. They previously had rebuild components, but I don't
see them in the current catalog.

JerryH

On Wed, 01 Aug 2001 02:29:31 GMT, Muerte <Muerte@bogus.net> wrote:

>I am in need of a new battery pack for my scanner. Any ideas on where
>the lowest prices are. Grove sells it for about $49.00. I need to buy
>the complete pack and not just the insert as the PCB has gone bad.
>
>Also, since Uniden makes scanners for RS can I use a RS battery pack
>with my scanner? If so which one?
>
>Thanks
>

2918. Re: F/S OR F/T 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 04:27:18 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B6CCBDF.4667681A@yahoo.com>
Source record: 61880
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If the 2006 is in like new condition with bright backlight and you have the antenna, box, and manual and you can prove it. I will do a straight trade.

Bruce wrote:
>
> Hello- I have up for sale one 780xlt scanner like new in the box with
> all paperwork,manual and receipt. About 3 weeks old. Perfect. $290
> shipped or $300 with Paypal. Would consider a top condition (bright
> backlight) 2006 scanner with original antenna plus a little bit a cash.
> Not considering any other scanner other than 2006. Please email me if
> there is any serious interest. Please email me directly. thanks

2919. Leather case for the Pro-43 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 04:47:04 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010805004704.00877.00000826@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 61881

I am looking for the leather cases available for the Pro-43 scanner. Any suggestions as to where I might obtain one would be appreciated.

Please e-mail with details.
Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

2920. Cases for the RELM HS 200 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 04:48:58 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010805004858.00877.00000831@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 61882

I am looking for suggestions on how to obtain a custom case for the RELM HS 200 scanner. Any info please e-mail me.
Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

2921. Re: Pro-2006....What Mod has been done here?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 05:01:02 UTC
From: Jesse <gunznrozez@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3B6CD30E.69791B4A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61884

Could also be an output for a remote recorder - though I dont recall the hookup point for that one and dont feel like popping the cover of mine to find out.
Any switches ?
You would be able to tell if it makes a clicking sound every time squelch is broken.

Jesse

Sandlapper wrote:

> I recently bought a Pro-2006 that has had the cell mod done...in fact, both
> diodes were clipped, so I guess the speed mod was also accomplished. What I
> don't know about is this miniature RCA jack on the back of the rig that has
> obviously been added by some previous owner. It's wired with shielded cable
> to a diode near TP2 on the circuit board and the other wire goes directly to
> the board close by. Is this the discreet audio mod?...or something else?
> Thanks,
>
> Sandlapper

2922. Re: Calling all ham radio operators (for emergency ops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 05:11:45 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <4v4b7.1$Yd1.1279@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 61883
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner

Barry is an Australian troll. He doesn't know anything, especially emergency ops here in the US. Not that it would matter, as stupid and infantile as his posts are. I think he suffers from BDD (Brain-Deficit Disorder).

Mark

--
"Aren't cats Libertarian? They just want to be left alone.
I think our dog is a Democrat, as he is always looking for a handout"
Unknown Usnet Poster

Heh, heh, I'm pretty sure my dog is a liberal - he has no balls.
Keyton

"KB9WFK" <KB9WFK@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9khaf9$af6$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> posting around you because I don't see the orig. post.
>
> --
>
>
> "Leonard" <louis@mail.snider.net> wrote in message
> news:vl2jmt8l7egor3e5du3duljmdcc9phks9h@4ax.com...
> > Our dispatch center has ham HF equipment as well as 2 meter and packet
> > equipment set up for RACES operators to use during emergencies.
> >
> I am the assistant net operator for our multi county SkyWarn net. We work
> directly with State and County OEM and the National Weather Service. Our
> office her is the same with the addition of 440 and 220 capability.
> snip
>
> > >Give us a break!
> > >Ham radio is a hobby for children to play with radios.
> > >It has nothing to do with emergencies.
> > >
> > >They don't call you guys hams for nothing.
> > >Stay out of things you know nothing about.
> > >
> > >
> > >-Barry
> I guess you have never been part of ANY disaster relief effort or been
> inside an Office of Emergency Management. You must have never heard a
> tornado warning before because the majority of spotters are hams.

> Talk about things you know nothing about......They don't come much less
> informed than you on this subject do they?
>
>
>

2923. Re: Shuttle Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 05:18:25 UTC
From: "R.D." <iwantitall2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <BG4b7.4548$qx.567107@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 61886
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That's funny!

"Applebee" <Applebee@invalid.xx.au> wrote in message
news:tksdu45c8spp60@corp.supernews.com...
> On Thu, 12 Jul 2001 22:52:19 GMT, Champ
> <01c10b25$317792e0$64cd2a18@cr1048150-a> wrote:
>
> >Any websites out there broadcasting the shuttle audio online. Wouldn't
> >mind checking this out.
>
> http://www.chron.com/content/interactive/space/missions/live/live.ram
>
>
> >
> >I didn't even know there was supposed to be a launch. I take it there
are
> >people on board.
>
> Well since the shuttle can't fly itself there better be people on board.
>
> --
> Microsoft's Outlook (Express): The program that spreads virus'
> so you don't have to!
>

2924. Re: PRO 43 speaker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 05:32:53 UTC
From: Skweezieweezie <noway@thistime.now>
Message-ID: <9U4b7.650$KD2.504622@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 61887

The 500mW version was discontinued recently.
You'll have to settle for a stock one. Check
RS website under product support for the parts
list and the replacement #

--

skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

2925. Re: Pro-2006 Backlight Repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 05:38:17 UTC
From: Skweezieweezie <noway@thistime.now>
Message-ID: <dZ4b7.651$KD2.504777@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 61888

The easy thing to do is replace the EL panel only.
MUCH more soldering is involved w/LCD since you'd
need to solder about 10-15 pins for the display. The
LCD doesn't come with the EL anyway, so unless the LCD
is broken, just do the EL. It's easier than you think if you
have good skills and a desoldering tool to remove the old one.
(2-leads)

--

skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

2926. Re: TOTALLY OT Beef, or Why I love Radio More and More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 06:27:08 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b6ce0d6.790048714@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61889

On Sat, 4 Aug 2001 20:58:46 -0500, "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
wrote:

>Baton Rouge, LA used to have a great cable system until Cox bought them
>out. I was paying for premium channels but every channel above 40 was
>fuzzy.
Hhmmm. Did you ever check for RFI ? The power co. uses Line
Amps that can be very lossy under some conditions. Our cable here is mostly
above ground so you've got Cable, Telephone, and Power all on the same
pole. I remember reading somewhere (this ng?) about a guy who was always
on the power co. about RFI that loused up his Ham stuff. I think he used to
find them by driving slowly around the neighborhood with a plain vanilla
portable in the car and wait for whatever lossy site to light up the radio with
static. One bad source he never tracked down was finally found to be a dead
squirrell laying on the Line Amp (which was probably what killed it) and
buzzing out RFI like nobody's business. <grin>

> After numerous complaints and visits by Cox, they told me that they
>would keep working on the problem but would have to charge me. Shortly
>after that I got a DSS (DirecTV) system and have not had any problems.
>Consistently clear pictures except for the occasional strong rain that may
>kill my signal for 5 to 10 minutes. My cable would sometimes be out for
>hours.

funny you should mention the above. Seems to be the same crap
we've had to put up with around here. You like DSS huh? Don't know
much about it except I thought it was supposed to be way more expensive.
>
>Anyway, as far as actually watching TV, I usually watch the History,
>Discovery, or Learning Channel and sometimes a movie (most of them
>bite now days). The rest of my free time is working on the computer
>(scanners or SW in the background) or working on some electronic or
>antenna project. In cool weather I do work outside in my shop.
>
Likewise on the channel choices, though I will go news-junkie for
the occasional juicy scandal ala Chandra.(She's local news here in my
area). Only series I follow is regular season X-files. I do love the NASA
channel also. Basically, TV for me is non-fiction or maybe as an archival
source for my favorite movies I want to tape.
>--
>David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
>Baton Rouge, LA

How's the weather? Getting stormy ? Barry gonna
make it there or what?

>
>Baton Rouge Area Scanning
>http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis
>
>ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
>Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.
>
>Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!
>
>

2927. UNIDEN SC180B mod??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 06:32:04 UTC
From: aqua1843@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010805023204.11254.00002845@ng-fv1.aol.com>
Source record: 61890

anyone know how to modify the sportcat UNIDEN SC180B to unlock the 800MHZ frequency?? any help?

2928. Re: Conversion of .spg files to .xls files?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 07:12:32 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <k6spmtcki4ukp717l29pnji1jij4br3j07@4ax.com>
Source record: 61891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sun, 05 Aug 2001 03:40:51 GMT, Walt Bertram
<wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>Sorry Ken. I was thinking that but typed something else.

No problem. The guy that's selling copies of it just has me a little
touchy.

2929. Re: Pozilla Software Support, Any Ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 11:36:57 UTC
From: DJ6JZ <DJ6JZ@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <3b6d3433_2@news.arcor-ip.de>
Source record: 61895

Kevin Gallagher <Kevinga@ix.netcom.com> schrieb in im Newsbeitrag:
3B6A1166.664CC552@ix.netcom.com...
> I will not be buying another product from Pozilla. I own Winscan 1.0 and
2.1
> for the BC245 and 895.
snip

These comments from different users are remarkable - I added the following
text to the URL - entry in the database of www.radio-portal.org
"look for user's comments before you buy"

The next database upload to the server will take place in a couple of days.

Regards,
Willi Passmann, DJ6JZ
--
_/_/ http://www.radio-portal.org
_/_/ Shortwave and more linked to you - more than 27.000 radio related
URLs

2930. Re: Wireless Intercoms

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 11:56:50 UTC
From: r8500@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010805075650.15852.00005077@ng-fc1.aol.com>
Source record: 61896

>Subject: Re: Wireless Intercoms
>From: "JJ" oaktownboy@home.com
>Date: 8/2/01 6:17 AM Mountain Daylight Time
>Message-id: <jxba7.26527$O8.3436232@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
>
>Try 43.00 - 50.00 in there somewhere, probably 49.8-50.0
>
>"CptDube" <cptdube@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010802005631.25919.00002711@ng-cf1.aol.com...
>> Does any one know the frequencies to the Zenith 3 channel FM Wireless
>> Intercoms?

If you have a shortwave receiver which covers the longwave band (150-300 kHz),
check between 200-250 kHz. The Radio Shack wireless intercoms I have operate
on 200 kHz in narrow band FM.

73,
David

2931. Re: Help with a frequince

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 11:58:47 UTC
From: John <jca1954@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3b6d33b9@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 61894

I think you need help with a dictionary
"Current_gothic" <current_gothic@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:3b6c34bd.18624778@news1.on.sympatico.ca...
> Ok i posted something like this before
> u all told me to go look at certain pages
> i looked at them pages
> didint find nothing
>
> now im wondering can u find me the frequinces or give them to me for
>
> worlds finest fair
>
> i thinkn thast them
>
> thanks
>
> dan

2932. Re: Buyers: Beware of Mike Johnson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 12:25:49 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <B9C100A302DBF1FA.DE0A446235948FAD.764B76A9DC5A3417@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 61747

Wasn't that "Mike Hunt"?

2933. Re: TOTALLY OT Beef, or Why I love Radio More and More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 12:25:50 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <7C7C1FE60676F626.C1281E426305A2D4.787A18F7A88A1F74@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 61748

He said "cox". :-)

2934. Re: BEWARE - Time to lower the slacks mate!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 13:32:08 UTC
From: Retribution <Retribution@nospamemail.org>
Message-ID: <sVbb7.1548$eU4.180141@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 61750
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Bend over

ZZZIIIIIIPPPPPP!

AAAHHHHHHHHHHH!

A no charge bonus from across the pond!

"Dean Kastoris" <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message
news:3B6BD2C2.F83B41A9@home.com...
> Again I say you are a very Ignorant little twerp who needs to get a
> life.
>
> Mark Allread wrote:
> >
> > Dean Kastoris wrote:
> >
> > > The AOR was deffective.
> >
> > Yeah. Right. That's why you exchanged it for an entirely different
radio, and why
> > the reseller was able to sell the returned radio (as used) to another
buyer.
> > (/sarcasm)
>

2935. Re: Beware of Sean Sweetman

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 13:33:48 UTC
From: rockabillyrich1@aol.combadspam
Message-ID: <20010805093348.25815.00002826@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61751

>Subject: Beware of Sean Sweetman
>From: "Philip Knode" pknode@home.com
>Date: 8/4/01 1:10 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <y0Wa7.29587$k7.6730475@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
>
>Just can't let it go can you Sean, like I said before I have never repeat
>never rip any one off, there is always going to be people that are unhappy
>about a deal one way or another but i also sent what I said i would.I've
>trade on this and other newsgroups for over 7 years and bound to run into
>some kind of loser like you.First of all i wasn't going to state it here you
>told me you would wait 10 days on the package and post any thing on the
>newsgroup about your problem with me sending the ar8k out late inwhich it
>was so get over it.Sean your not only a loser but a lair to boot.
>Again I have sent the ar8k and interface via USPS
>Express mail www.usps.com
>et345482632us check it out stupid.
>Also if their anyone here that unhappy with the trade I have made them let
>them email me direct or call you have my number thanks to Sean.
>I would post Sean number here but he convently didn't give it out what you
>got something to hide Sean.
>This is his email and address
>sal@ukonline.co.uk
>Sean Sweetman
>17 Bucklow Ave
>Mobberly
>Cheeshire
>United Kingdom
>WA16-7ET
>Again thanks for everyone for all the positive post.Phil

**** You know what? You two cry-babies need to take this dumb shit to email. You've both been flaming back and forth at each other for days now. Sean, quit your whining and call Phil. Phil, you've stated publicly that the scanner was sent. Repeatedly. Stop letting Sean bait you into further argument. Jesus Christ, people.
73's

Rich W1RPL

2936. pc control for PRO-76 HELP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 13:48:44 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <09cb7.229$Y61.3146@news>
Source record: 61749
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I bought a PRO-76 and it says that you can link it but tells you no way to do it!What kind of software do I need?I know I've asked this before but I got no reply and I know there is somebody out there that has a clue.
Thanks
Rob

2937. Re: TOTALLY OT Beef, or Why I love Radio More and More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 15:35:22 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B6D67B9.A625D0D2@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61752

What is a "power company Line Amp"?

Set'em up Joe wrote:
>
> On Sat, 4 Aug 2001 20:58:46 -0500, "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Baton Rouge, LA used to have a great cable system until Cox bought them
> >out. I was paying for premium channels but every channel above 40 was
> >fuzzy.
> Hhmmm. Did you ever check for RFI ? The power co. uses Line
> Amps that can be very lossy under some conditions. Our cable here is mostly
> above ground so you've got Cable, Telephone, and Power all on the same
> pole. I remember reading somewhere (this ng?) about a guy who was always
> on the power co. about RFI that loused up his Ham stuff. I think he used to
> find them by driving slowly around the neighborhood with a plain vanilla
> portable in the car and wait for whatever lossy site to light up the radio with
> static. One bad source he never tracked down was finally found to be a dead
> squirrell laying on the Line Amp (which was probably what killed it) and
> buzzing out RFI like nobody's business. <grin>
>

2938. RadiosOnLine Updated - Current frequncies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 16:05:00 UTC
From: av8tor@licensed4fun.com
Message-ID: <M8eb7.2159$dq.190293246@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61753

Hello All;
We've just updated the Radios OnLine Scanner/Shortwave eZine to include more updated and current frequncies. These include freqs for NASA, and MilAir frequncies. Please check out http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios
Thanks,
George
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <-RadiosOnLine WebSite for the Scanner Nut!
--

_____
I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
_____

2939. Re: Beware of Sean Sweetman

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 16:32:56 UTC
From: Sean <sa1@ukonline.co.uk>
Message-ID: <9kjsffsdv1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 61755

Phil
Take a proper look, I didn't put your number on here
Sean

Philip Knode <pknode@home.com> wrote in message
news:y0Wa7.29587$k7.6730475@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> Just can't let it go can you Sean, like I said before I have never repeat
> never rip any one off, there is always going to be people that are unhappy
> about a deal one way or another but i also sent what I said i would.I've
> trade on this and other newsgroups for over 7 years and bound to run into
> some kind of loser like you.First of all i wasn't going to state it here
you
> told me you would wait 10 days on the package and post any thing on the
> newsgroup about your problem with me sending the ar8k out late inwhich it
> was so get over it.Sean your not only a loser but a lair to boot.
> Again I have sent the ar8k and interface via USPS
> Express mail www.usps.com
> et345482632us check it out stupid.
> Also if their anyone here that unhappy with the trade I have made them let
> them email me direct or call you have my number thanks to Sean.
> I would post Sean number here but he convently didn't give it out what you
> got something to hide Sean.
> This is his email and address
> sal@ukonline.co.uk
> Sean Sweetman
> 17 Bucklow Ave
> Mobberly
> Cheeshire
> United Kingdom
> WA16-7ET
> Again thanks for everyone for all the positive post.Phil
>
>

2940. Re: Pozilla Software Support, Any Ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 16:51:14 UTC
From: Kevin Gallagher <Kevinga@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3B6D4F52.30C88F35@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 61756

Please go ahead.

Several months ago, after posting a more detailed description of the problems with Winscan 2.1 for the BC245 and BC895, I received responses from others indicating had similar problems. Also similar poor or lack of response from Pozilla's tech support.

The scanner market is relatively small. When you buy a product and made a reasonable attempt to read the instructions, duplicate the problem on 2 computers, contact the manufacturer and get 2 different opposite answers, you cannot help but feel ripped off.

You are left with the conclusion the software has problems. Others should know before buying that this company is willing to release a product with significant bugs, poor instructions and unwilling to fix or acknowledge the problems.

Kevin

DJ6JZ wrote:

> Kevin Gallagher <Kevinga@ix.netcom.com> schrieb in im Newsbeitrag:
> 3B6A1166.664CC552@ix.netcom.com...
> > I will not be buying another product from Pozilla. I own Winscan 1.0 and
> 2.1
> > for the BC245 and 895.
> snip
>
> These comments from different users are remarkable - I added the following
> text to the URL - entry in the database of www.radio-portal.org
> "look for user's comments before you buy"
>
> The next database upload to the server will take place in a couple of days.
>
> Regards,
> Willi Passmann, DJ6JZ
> --
> _/_/ http://www.radio-portal.org
> _/_/ Shortwave and more linked to you - more than 27.000 radio related
> URLs

2941. Re: AOR 8200II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 17:06:56 UTC
From: globalcabl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010805130656.22874.00003003@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 61757

Mine was $70 U.S.D.

2942. uniden question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 17:07:48 UTC
From: thecobra <thecobra@home.nl>
Message-ID: <E3fb7.188870$y7.2110219@dbsch1.home.nl>
Source record: 61758

i have a old ubc-142xlt 16 chann. scanner
this scanner has a "priority" button
is there anybody that can tel me what it does

greetings
the cobra
the netherlands

2943. Re: uniden question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 17:24:56 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <Ijfb7.31199$uj2.5721374@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 61760

When you press the priority button, Channel 1 becomes your priority channel.
If you are listening to another channel and a transmission occurs on Channel
1, the radio will jump to channel 1.

Art Harris

"thecobra" <thecobra@home.nl> wrote in message
news:E3fb7.188870$y7.2110219@dbsch1.home.nl...
> i have a old ubc-142xlt 16 chann. scanner
> this scanner has a "priority" button
> is there anybody that can tel me what it does
>
> greetings
> the cobra
> the netherlands
>
>

2944. Beware of Jacob Marley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 17:25:52 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b6d7f84.830676536@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61759

If he tries to sell you a scanner all you
will really get is an old, creepy dead guy who
hangs around your bed at night and tries to
terrorize you into supporting the health care
of little crippled kids, as if employers were
really supposed to be a substitute for the lack
of providership by the accountable parents
or at least the appropriate federal agency.

Anyway, everyone else is posting
"Beware of's" left and right so I thought I'd
post one too.

2945. Re: AOR 8200II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 18:26:05 UTC
From: dbsims <dbsims@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3B6D8FBC.242653D1@swbell.net>
Source record: 61754

How Much??DB

GlobalCabl wrote:

> Voice inversion card

2946. Re: TOTALLY OT Beef, or Why I love Radio More and More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 19:36:28 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9kk77sml3@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 61761

In article <3B6D67B9.A625D0D2@worldnet.att.net>, wbertram@worldnet.att.net says...
>
>What is a "power company Line Amp"?
>
Power companies are running all sorts of data down those AC lines.
Ever see a big air wound inductor up on a pole, it is there to isolate on leg from another. Most of the power company signlas are in th e 120 to 400KHZ range, but they can and do send a lot of other weird stuff.
Line losses can be very high vor non 60Hz sgnals, so they put amps in line.
I have only seen them way out in the country on EHT lines.
But, given the explsoion of digital data comms, I would not be suprised if they have added a bunch in cities.
Think about it, almost every building has power lines, what could be more universal.

2947. sportscat 150b

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 20:14:34 UTC
From: jennifermichael@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11008-3B6DA92A-34@storefull-165.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61762

i was curious if anybody knows of any scanner mods , would sure be happy to know of some can't find none anywhere on the 150b

2948. bc860xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 20:31:53 UTC
From: jennifermichael@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11007-3B6DAD39-160@storefull-165.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61763

i did the one to change 100ch to 200ch
i was wondering if anybody knows of anymore would be more than willing
to share my mods 'thanks

2949. Re: Beware of Jacob Marley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 22:18:19 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <LCjb7.3332$kH4.1036845077@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61764

Got a laugh outta that one. LOVED IT!!!! Saw the name "Jacob Marley" and thought to myself "did someone REALLY name their kid after a Dicken's character?". GOOD ONE!!! Two Thumbs UP!!! Nah, make that FOUR!!!!

2950. seeking specific freqs for law enforcment agencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 22:24:15 UTC
From: Bill Seward <seward@northstate.net>
Message-ID: <flhrmtklive46hmgnpf797r7bgvobukssc@4ax.com>
Source record: 61765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I'm looking for some specific frequencies--the Sheriff's Departments in Davidosn, Davie and Randolph Counties, North Carolina. I've found several websites with frequencies listed for those counties, but it's always something like 30 frequencies listed for "Randolph, County of". I'm really not too interested in the comings and going of the guys fixing the roads and such, so that doesn't help too much.

Does anyone know of any sites where I can find this sort of info? Thanks.

Bill Seward
http://www.geocities.com/bill_seward

2951. Hurricane Barry Watch Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 22:30:28 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8Ojb7.32640$uj2.6192491@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 61767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

From an ARRL bulletin...

The Hurricane Watch Net activated at 1800z (2 PM Eastern Daylight Time) Sunday, August 5, 2001, as Tropical Storm Barry strengthened in the Gulf of Mexico. Barry is expected to make landfall as a hurricane along the Florida Panhandle Monday morning, according to the National Weather Service.

On 14.325 MHz, the Hurricane Watch Net gathers real-time weather information that is relayed via National Hurricane Center station W4EHW to hurricane forecasters. The National Weather Service requested the activation as Barry, which had been drifting erratically in the Gulf, dramatically strengthened and turned northward this morning.

Hurricane Warnings have been posted along the Florida Gulf Coast from Pascagoula, Mississippi, to the mouth of the Ochlockonee River in Florida. The net will accept reports from in or near the affected region, according to Hurricane Watch Net Manager Jerry Herman, N3BDW.

2952. Re: Beware of Jacob Marley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 22:30:50 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9kkhd9$4uftj$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61766

"Eric Ferguson" <GEFERG@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:LCjb7.3332$kH4.1036845077@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...

> Two Thumbs UP!!! Nah, make that FOUR!!!!

You have four thumbs? Now THAT I'd like to see.

Tom

2953. Re: BC3000XLT Battery Pack Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 22:57:32 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@HoaxLand.net>
Message-ID: <881B3E6A9CE0E72B.367161D56B528EBE.775373CC10A5A64A@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 61768

On Sun, 05 Aug 2001 21:32:03 GMT, Muerte <Muerte@bogus.net> wrote:

>Thanks for the reply. Looks like a good deal.
>
>
No, no, please no! $45.00 is way too much.

www.nicdlady.com rebuilds for $33.00 or sells new
solder-in cell packs for $28.00.

www.primecell.com rebuilds for $29.50.

2954. 24 Hour Clock (Freeware)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 23:01:51 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammers.net>
Message-ID: <Fekb7.1950$yb5.49984@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61769

Although not directly related to scanning, this program provides a
12 hour, 24 hour, and UTC clock displays. I use it keep track of
time while listening to scanners and shortwave. A few friends and
myself have been using it for months. Although not completely
documented yet, the program itself is complete. I thought others
might get some use from it.

http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis/ZuluTime/ZuluTime.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

2955. Re: Buyers: Beware of Mike Johnson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-05 23:53:21 UTC
From: Brian Hill <jonnysocko@jps.net>
Message-ID: <wYkb7.4277$Ll5.1132781@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 61770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

"Phillylawman" <Phillylawman@businessstore.com> wrote in message
news:tiXa7.11384$jL6.1049294@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> These posters have been staring at the led displays too long......Its a
joke
> guys!

Hey! Don't let em know their slow! We can pick on em later ;)

Brian

2956. PRO-2006 DC adapter question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 00:25:28 UTC
From: Bill Seward <seward@northstate.net>
Message-ID: <6iormto7f02gpcutdpegdstne8546ut6mo@4ax.com>
Source record: 61773
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

A question about my PRO-2006: For DC power, the manual calls for 13.8
VDC, no milliamps specified. RatShack has one, specs at...
http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F004%5F001
%5F001%5F000&product%5Fid=273%2D1631
that's a multiple voltage output, including 13 VDC at 800 mA. Would
this one be safe to use? I know that as a general rule, electronics
really hate to be fed low voltages...

Bill Seward
http://www.geocities.com/bill_seward

2957. Re: PRO-2006 DC adapter question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 00:34:36 UTC
From: Ma'sbisques <lostinspace@united.net>
Message-ID: <9kkom2$44j$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61774
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

All the Radio shack and Uniden scanners that say 13.8 volts in the manual and on the back of the scanner will work at 12 volts. The 13.8 is put there to let you know the excess voltage from a car, which varies but goes up to about 13.8, is safe.

"Bill Seward" <seward@northstate.net> wrote in message news:6iormto7f02gpcutdpegdstne8546ut6mo@4ax.com...
> A question about my PRO-2006: For DC power, the manual calls for 13.8
> VDC, no milliamps specified. RatShack has one, specs at...
>
http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F004%5F001%5F001%5F000&product%5Fid=273%2D1631
> that's a multiple voltage output, including 13 VDC at 800 mA. Would
> this one be safe to use? I know that as a general rule, electronics
> really hate to be fed low voltages...
>
> Bill Seward
> http://www.geocities.com/bill_seward
>

2958. Re: Buyers: Beware of Mike Johnson

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 00:43:34 UTC
From: Ma'sbisques <lostinspace@united.net>
Message-ID: <9kkp48$3kp$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61775
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

joke all you want, you are simply poking fun at those who have been burned and are using the only resource they have to let others know that someone out there ripped them off. education about these matters is critical to this buy sell setup we have here, and I think it is important not to dilute the posts with jokes, even though you are very funny!

<Beware@crybaby.com> wrote in message
news:tmmkp11dh9h4f2@corp.supernews.com...
> I bought what I thought was a barely used mini-scanner beam from Mike
> Johnson and he really delivered a mid-sized scanner beam. He also charged
me
> $20 + $10 shipping to boot! I would say that this scanner beam is worth
> about $100 and it is a new one in the box. I can't believe I was lied to
> by this unscrupulous seller. I have filed a complaint with junkBay and I
> demand a refund! I also expect him to pay $50 in s/h fees to return this
> item!
>
> Beware of Mike Johnson, 2686 Bullshit way, Toledo, OHio (yea, I should of
> known nothing good comes from Toledo!)
>
> Frank Polack
> 222 crybaby way
> Los Angeles, CA
>
>

2959. Re: Pro-26 Obselete??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 00:45:16 UTC
From: Ma'sbisques <lostinspace@united.net>
Message-ID: <9kkp7d$16r$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61776

There are two scanners that have the name pro-26, and there seems to be two ways of spelling obselete also!

"Dick Bush" <1600@pennave.com> wrote in message news:030820012319516887%1600@pennave.com...
> Yes it is. Send it to me for disposal immediately!
>
> In article <68ya7.31950$D55.2916085@news20.bellglobal.com>, John Smith
> <jaked2k@mail.com> wrote:
>
> > Hi,
> >
> > Is the RS Pro-26 scanner obsolete? I mean, is it very old and not upto date
> > as the new scanners? What are some benefits to it from the newer scanners?
>
> --
> Here's to yet another 4 years of Dick & Bush in the Whitehouse!

2960. Re: Cases for the RELM HS 200 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 01:05:37 UTC
From: Eric Smith <ericosmith@removechartermi.net>
Message-ID: <tmrra9csrslu0e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61777

Any one of the racing places. www.racingelectronics.com
www.frequencyfanclub.com www.racingradios.com among others.

"Daniel M. Conti" <offdan43@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010805004858.00877.00000831@mb-mq.aol.com...
> I am looking for suggestions on how to obtain a custom case for the RELM
HS 200
> scanner. Any info please e-mail me.
> Dan
>
> "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

2961. Re: uniden question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 01:23:31 UTC
From: lbrcly@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010805212331.29498.00002103@mb-mn.news.cs.com>
Source record: 61778

>i have a old ubc-142xlt 16 chann. scanner
>this scanner has a "priority" button
>is there anybody that can tel me what it does

You put your favorite frequency in channel one and when you push priority it checks that channel every 2 seconds for a signal and switches to it when there is one.

2962. Re: Beware of Jacob Marley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 01:57:06 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <SPmb7.3449$Pj4.1068019960@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61779

Off course, four thumbs up. Mine and Jacob's. :^)

2963. Re: Beware of Jacob Marley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 02:03:48 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <EC3C0C87E3E2FC8B.28E51051A94344A2.DC62C840F724FFF2@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 61780

```
For all eternity he drags a ponderous chain,
forged from defective scanners he sold
to poor unsuspecting peasants.
```

2964. Re: Problems with LAPD Digital System

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 02:51:32 UTC
From: chief305@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14257-3B6E0634-236@storefull-105.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61782

In Cleveland, they use a Astro 800 motorcycle radio in the copters and planes.

2965. Re: Pro-26 Obselete??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 02:59:35 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26983-3B6E0817-81@storefull-155.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61781

This question is a joke right? A scanner with wideband (25-1300) continuous coverage obsolete? ('European' version). Other than trunk tracking, today's castrated Rat Shack handhelds only dream of being a Pro-26. I'm sure other 'old school' handhelds deserve honorable mention too.(Hello Alek, this scanner is too much fun!)

2966. Re: Javiation buyers beware!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 03:23:05 UTC
From: PS <nospam@highstream.net>
Message-ID: <9kl2mh011lb@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 61786

I have dealt with Javiation several times and have never had a problem with product or service. I refered several friends and they too have had good service and results! Jonathan has been more than helpful on several occasions!

2967. Re: Hurricane Barry Watch Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 03:41:07 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <8gob7.371$Yd1.380570@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 61783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Also the North Florida Net has been activated on 3.950

Mark

"DougSlug" <twinpoppa@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8Ojb7.32640$uj2.6192491@news02.optonline.net...
> From an ARRL bulletin...
>
> The Hurricane Watch Net activated at 1800z (2 PM Eastern Daylight
> Time) Sunday, August 5, 2001, as Tropical Storm Barry strengthened
> in the Gulf of Mexico. Barry is expected to make landfall as a
> hurricane along the Florida Panhandle Monday morning, according to
> the National Weather Service.
>
> On 14.325 MHz, the Hurricane Watch Net gathers real-time weather
> information that is relayed via National Hurricane Center station
> W4EHW to hurricane forecasters. The National Weather Service
> requested the activation as Barry, which had been drifting
> erratically in the Gulf, dramatically strengthened and turned
> northward this morning.
>
> Hurricane Warnings have been posted along the Florida Gulf Coast
> from Pascagoula, Mississippi, to the mouth of the Ochlockonee River
> in Florida. The net will accept reports from in or near the affected
> region, according to Hurricane Watch Net Manager Jerry Herman,
> N3BDW.
>
>
>

2968. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 03:43:07 UTC
From: job@bellsouth.net
Message-ID: <3B6E124B.82EDF0A0@bellsouth.net>
Source record: 61784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Dan Morisseau wrote:

> Dean Kastoris wrote:
>
> > The AOR was deffective. You should not come to a conclusion without
>
> ^^^^^^^^
>
> >
> > knowing the facts. I am not in the habbit of returning radios that work
>
> ^^^^^^
>
> >
> > esspecially when I have to pay an additional $15.00 for shipping. I did
>
> ^^^^^^^^^^
>
> >
> > my research very well. All indications weree that the AOR was a better
> > radio. Have you ever bought anything that was deffective in your life?
>
> ^^^^^^^^
>
> Learn to spell!
>
> --
> Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
> Just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
> Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
> ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
> "Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

You are supposed to take the foil off of the suppository FIRST.

2969. Channel Noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 04:07:11 UTC
From: mleach@gfn.org
Message-ID: <e7c777c5.0108052007.2592775d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61785

I hear sometimes (mainly on cell control frequencies) sound that sounds a bit like a diesle engine running. I this possibly data being sent? I am sure its not truckers sitting in the cabs on the cell phone...:)

mike

2970. Re: TOTALLY OT Beef, or Why I love Radio More and More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 04:54:45 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b6e1d36.871053099@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61787

On 5 Aug 2001 19:36:28 GMT, Dante'@inferno.net (Speaker to Animals) wrote:

>In article <3B6D67B9.A625D0D2@worldnet.att.net>, wbertram@worldnet.att.net
>says...
>>
>>What is a "power company Line Amp"?
>>
>Power companies are running all sorts of data down those AC lines.
>Ever see a big air wound inductor up on a pole, it is there to isolate on leg
>from another. Most of the power company signlas are in th e 120 to 400KHZ
>range, but they can and do send a lot of other weird stuff.
>Line losses can be very high vor non 60Hz sgnals, so they put amps in line.
>I have only seen them way out in the country on EHT lines.
>But, given the explsoion of digital data comms, I would not be suprised if
>they have added a bunch in cities.
>Think about it, almost every building has power lines, what could be more
>universal.
>

Very cool topic. Where did you get your info from? Former employee?
What do you know about the wierd stuff -- just more RFI ? Never seen
those big air wound inductors -- would love to get my hands on one
whether I had a use for it or not.<grin> I've seen old-time Hams build
such things into outdoor antenna systems and such but I'm still too
much of a newbie to always know what I'm looking at.

When Cox brought the digital to my street I noticed on the tele. pole
across from my house that all of a sudden there was this funky-lookin'
box-thingy hanging down with little wires going off in all directions from
the bottom of it.(Some sorta junction box maybe??) Also I could swear
that they took the cable line and spiral wound it around an existing line.
(I hope not a power line!!). Lord only knows what interference or
cross-talk might be flying around up there on that pole.

BTW, I told my wife I wanted to look into switching to DSS or somesuch
today; She definitely gave me " The Look". Little does she realize this is
just round one in my master plan. <wink>

Master Plan To Get New Toys From Your Wife:

Round 1 : "Mention it" causually
Round 2: Extoll it's virtues even if she could care less.
Round 3: Make a "serious proposal", act surprised or hurt at her immediate NO!
Round 4: Make obvious attempt to butter her up; She spies it of course.

Round 5: Appeal to "reason", act forlorn. Her reaction: Angry frustation.
Round 6: You are tested with her request for somesuch. Say; YES !
Round 7: She gives in, but you are warned that, "That's it!"

I find some kinda pattern like the above almost always works. Key is not to use threats or bad language or put down her Mom. One wonders if wives see us as just as predictable as they are. Enough silliness/wisdom for now,

Andrew

2971. Re: Beware of Jacob Marley

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 04:58:00 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b6e23a3.872698552@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61788

On Sun, 05 Aug 2001 22:03:48 -0400, The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> wrote:

>For all eternity he drags a ponderous chain,
>forged from defective scanners he sold
>to poor unsuspecting peasants.

Wish I'd thought of that !

Glad to bring a smile,

Andrew

2972. Re: PRO-2006 DC adapter question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 09:02:43 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <y0fdwnAz0lb7EwZM@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 61789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <6iormto7f02gpcutdpegdstne8546ut6mo@4ax.com>, Bill Seward
<seward@northstate.net> writes

>A question about my PRO-2006: For DC power, the manual calls for 13.8
>VDC, no milliamps specified. RatShack has one, specs at...

Providing the current (mA) supplied is greater than the current required
your OK. Trouble starts to arise when its the other way around and a
device requires more current than can be supplied.

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
http://www.javiation.co.uk

2973. Re: Leather case for the Pro-43 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 09:09:31 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <40xfQwAL7lb7Ew5P@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 61790

In article <20010805004704.00877.00000826@mb-mq.aol.com>, Daniel M.
Conti <offdan43@aol.com> writes

>I am looking for the leather cases available for the Pro-43 scanner. Any
>suggestions as to where I might obtain one would be appreciated.

Howard Bornstein at Design EQ did have some Custom leather cases for the
PRO-39/43 series of "shells" but it doesn't appear he has any left.

http://www.designeq.com/deq/radio.html

The Pouch Inc has often been mentioned by some when people have been
asking for various case option. I don't know if they have anything that
might be suitable though.

http://www.thepouch.com/

--
Cheers,
Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
http://www.javiation.co.uk

2974. Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 10:12:49 UTC
From: Michael Arthur <michael55@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <B4ub7.4039$1p1.384066@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61791
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm looking for anything on this Scanner Mods Etc.

2975. Re: for sale: winscan 780 software to contrSOLD, SOLD, SOLD, THANKS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 11:39:48 UTC
From: J <oaktownboy@home.com>
Message-ID: <8mvb7.30748$O8.4292486@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 61792

THIS UNIT HAS BEEN SOLD

THANKS
J <oaktownboy@home.com> wrote in message
news:Hr%a7.29391$O8.3989201@news1.busy1.on.home.com...
> for sale:
>
> scancat cd for controlling you bearcat 780 from your computer. It allows
> easy programming of all you frequencies and alpha tags.
>
> it comes with:
> heavyduty cable for cloning / computer control
> null connector
> female to female turn around
> cd of winscan 780
>
> 35.00US includes shipping
>
> i take paypal, certified check or international postalcheck
>
> thanks
> oaktownboy@home.com
>
>
>

2976. Re: Channel Noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 12:50:36 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9km3p8$5atfi$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61793

"m.Leach" <mleach@gfn.org> wrote in message
news:e7c777c5.0108052007.2592775d@posting.google.com...
> I hear sometimes (mainly on cell control frequencies) sound that
> sounds a bit like a diesle engine running. I this possibly data being
> sent? I am sure its not truckers sitting in the cabs on the cell
> phone...:)
>
> mike

I believe that's the cellular data channel you're hearing.

Tom

2977. Re: Hurricane Barry Watch Net

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 14:09:22 UTC
From: Jo Jack <JoJack@mywann.com>
Message-ID: <tmt98h7tieu48a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

WOW, What a storm! It almost picked up that leaf on my front porch!

just a little humor

"DougSlug" <twinpoppa@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:8Ojb7.32640$uj2.6192491@news02.optonline.net...
> From an ARRL bulletin...
>
> The Hurricane Watch Net activated at 1800z (2 PM Eastern Daylight
> Time) Sunday, August 5, 2001, as Tropical Storm Barry strengthened
> in the Gulf of Mexico. Barry is expected to make landfall as a
> hurricane along the Florida Panhandle Monday morning, according to
> the National Weather Service.
>
> On 14.325 MHz, the Hurricane Watch Net gathers real-time weather
> information that is relayed via National Hurricane Center station
> W4EHW to hurricane forecasters. The National Weather Service
> requested the activation as Barry, which had been drifting
> erratically in the Gulf, dramatically strengthened and turned
> northward this morning.
>
> Hurricane Warnings have been posted along the Florida Gulf Coast
> from Pascagoula, Mississippi, to the mouth of the Ochlockonee River
> in Florida. The net will accept reports from in or near the affected
> region, according to Hurricane Watch Net Manager Jerry Herman,
> N3BDW.
>
>
>

2978. Re: PRO-2006 DC adapter question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 15:41:50 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010806114150.25106.00005369@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 61795

>For DC power, the manual calls for 13.8
>VDC, no milliamps specified.

Looking at other Radio Shack scanners, I see the spec for the Pro 2004 at 12 watts / 13.8 volts.

Using the formula "watts" divided by "volts" equals "amps," we come up close to the power requirement.

Did someone remove the A-C cord from the scanner? It might be easy to replace.

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

2979. Anchorage, Alaska frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 15:43:18 UTC
From: (unknown)
Message-ID: <3b6eba9a.37153422@news.pix.za>
Source record: 61796

I'm going to be in Anchorage for a week beginning Sat. 18th. Would appreciate some local frequencies to get me and myAOR8000 started.

Thanks
Noel.

2980. Re: TOTALLY OT Beef, or Why I love Radio More and More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 16:37:55 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B6EC7E1.1A37F5E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61697

re the "spiral wound":

Often the cable companies will run a steel support cable on the poles,
and then hang the cable wire from the support wire by wrapping a
"spiral wound" wire around the two. I doubt they would ever hang the
cable wire from either power cables or telephone cables.

Walt

> snip Also I could swear
> that they took the cable line and spiral wound it around an existing line.
> (I hope not a power line!!). Lord only knows what interference or
> cross-talk might be flying around up there on that pole.
>
>> Andrew

2981. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 16:41:13 UTC
From: DrPepper@iwvisp.com
Message-ID: <3b6ec86c.9629118@news.iwvisp.com>
Source record: 61698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

On Sun, 05 Aug 2001 23:43:07 -0400, job@bellsouth.net wrote:

>You are supposed to take the foil off of the suppository FIRST.

And the idea is not to swallow it with a glass of water, , , , , , ,
You are supposded to stick, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
>
>

2982. Re: Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 17:28:31 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15d87397ac868ae09896e2@news>
Source record: 61699
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <B4ub7.4039$1p1.384066@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
michael55@worldnet.att.net says...
> I'm looking for anything on this Scanner Mods Etc.
>
>
>

ROFLMAO!

This should keep you busy for a few minutes:
http://search.dogpile.com/texis/search?q=pro+2004+mods&geo=no&fs=web
http://www.google.com/search?q=pro+2004+mods

Not that you shouldn't ask questions but next time save yourself some
time and try one of these first.

http://www.google.com
or my favorite
http://search.dogpile.com

YWIA,

Glenn

2983. Aircraft listening in CA, NV, AZ, UT, and CO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 18:54:42 UTC
From: Iden <iden@ncwebsurfer.com>
Message-ID: <SJBb7.1496$5d.367436@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 61700

AirCommSouthwest is a new Yahoo! Group for
civilian and military aircraft listeners for the states
of CA, NV, AZ, UT, and CO.

The group was started on July 26th and we have 103
members now at day 12.

Please check out the group, join if you like, and
tell friends who might be interested.

A detailed group description is at

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/AirCommSouthwest

While there, check our growing Links section at

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/AirCommSouthwest/links

Thanks,

Iden -- moderator for AirCommSouthwest

2984. Re: PRO-2006 DC adapter question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 20:36:24 UTC
From: jason.mangiafico@verizon.net
Message-ID: <9kmv48$5c99m$6@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

anything that is 300 - 500 ma should be plenty for a scanner. if the scanner is actually using less than the ma rating on the ac apapter, then the voltage will swing higher than what's printed on the ac adapter. then that brings in the possibility of over running your scanner. that applies if the ac adapter is of the typical unregulated ones. if you happen to find out that the radio shack one is regulated, then the voltage will stay at 13 volts, even if your scanner will be using less current then the ac adapter is rated for.

the other way around is when the ac adapter is to low current. sometimes if it's not too far off, it will still work. but the ac adapter will overheat and probably burn out.

looking at the radio shack page you gave, this looks like it gives out AC instead of DC. that is bad, you need DC. If that ac adapter is used, your scanner will emit a weird humming/buzzing noise, and if left on too long, your will fry your scanner. Looking around a bit on the radio shack site, I come up with a better one:

http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTL
G%5F004%5F001%5F001%5F000&product%5Fid=273%2D1662

In article <6iormto7f02gpcutdpegdstne8546ut6mo@4ax.com>,
seward@northstate.net says...
>
>A question about my PRO-2006: For DC power, the manual calls for 13.8
>VDC, no milliamps specified. RatShack has one, specs at...
>http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CT
LG%5F004%5F001%5F001%5F000&product%5Fid=273%2D1631
>that's a multiple voltage output, including 13 VDC at 800 mA. Would
>this one be safe to use? I know that as a general rule, electronics
>really hate to be fed low voltages...
>
>Bill Seward
>http://www.geocities.com/bill_seward
>

2985. Re: Conversion of .spg files to .xls files?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 20:49:22 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <mpDb7.2966$5d4.815273@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 61703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If the program allows you to save in a .csv format, you can import that data into Excel.

Ryan KC8PMX

HornSmoke" <omega@powerlink.net> wrote in message news:3B6B5ECB.F29512DF@powerlink.net...
> I bought the GRE programming kit for my Pro-92. Is there any way
> to convert the .spg file used by the software into a standard
> Excel .xls file? I'd like to transfer this into a
> Bearcat-readible programming format.
>
> TIA
>
> Loren Fields, N1UMF
> Official Maine Frequency Guide
>

2986. Re: TOTALLY OT Beef, or Why I love Radio More and More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 20:49:24 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <opDb7.2968$5d4.815219@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 61702

I don't know about Cox but Charter Communications SUCKS!

20% blackouts per month in the Mid-Michigan area....

Service takes up to a week to get done.

Duplicate billing.... Refusal to drop rates to lesser packages when choosing their cheaper packages. Took them six months and an attorney note to get them to stop billing me for service that I no longer wanted or had access to, (digital package) because I had returned the box six months prior to that.

Rates go up 3-5 bucks every six months.

Thier emergency alert systems have failed on more than one occasion this year alone. See below:

An official says a failed cable TV emergency alert system puts mid-Michigan lives at stake.

THE SAGINAW NEWS

Saginaw County Central Dispatch officials have filed a complaint with the Federal Communications Commission against Charter Communications Inc., charging the company's emergency alert system failed to work when a tornado was spotted near St. Charles last month.

Central Dispatch Deputy Director Barry L. Nelson said Charter's warning system - which affects about 120,000 mid-Michigan subscribers - has worked "off and on" the past two years, "and we're no closer to fixing it today than we were yesterday."

At worst, Nelson told the federal government, the lack of reliability could imperil lives during an emergency.

The county tested the system Wednesday morning, and it failed to activate, he said.

"We never know (if it works) until we need it, and the time we need it, it doesn't work," he said. "The taxpayers of this county should have the capability of being warned, and we can't even do that."

The Saginaw News could not reach Paul R. Pecora, Charter's group director of operations in Bay City, for comment Wednesday.

The FCC requires broadcast stations and cable systems to provide "aural and visual" emergency warnings. When the system does work, it interrupts programming with a blue screen and a voice-over alert on 32 channels, even though the cable company has a spectrum of about 200 channels, Nelson said.

In a complaint filed with the federal agency, Nelson said the system hasn't worked properly since April 4. He said the cable giant told him warning equipment was repaired and replaced.

When the tornado touched down in farm fields last month, Central Dispatch "tried many times" to access the alert system though land line and cell phones and radio dispatches, but failed. Charter blamed Central Dispatch's telephone system, but Nelson said that was untrue.

The day after the tornado, Nelson told the FCC, the company said workers were fixing the system.

The county wasn't notified earlier about the problem, and a backup isn't in place, he said.

"If that system is down, we're out of luck," Nelson said.

The deputy director said he will continue to work with the mid-Michigan cable company to iron out problems.

A Detroit area-based federal official handling the county's complaint referred questions to an agency spokeswoman in Washington, D.C., who said she had no information Wednesday.

Charter has handled numerous customer complaints about lost reception or channel lineup changes as it has spent $30 million to switch from analog to digital technology.

The television and media services provider, which purchased six mid-Michigan cable companies, has worked to eliminate complaints.

Barrie Barber covers politics and government for The Saginaw News. You may reach him at 776-9725.

Makes me want to tell them to shove their service somewhere the sun doesn't shine, but the location of where I live, I am not allowed ANY form of an antenna outside WHAT SO EVER! And the building that I live in is comparable to a lead box. So, I am sort of over that proverbial barrel to even get local news on the local channels.

Ryan

"Speaker to Animals" <Dante'@inferno.net> wrote in message news:9kk77sml3@news.uky.edu...
> In article <3B6D67B9.A625D0D2@worldnet.att.net>, wbertram@worldnet.att.net
> says...
> >
> >What is a "power company Line Amp"?
> >
> Power companies are running all sorts of data down those AC lines.
> Ever see a big air wound inductor up on a pole, it is there to isolate on
leg
> from another. Most of the power company signlas are in th e 120 to 400KHZ
> range, but they can and do send a lot of other weird stuff.
> Line losses can be very high vor non 60Hz sgnals, so they put amps in

line.
> I have only seen them way out in the country on EHT lines.
> But, given the explsoion of digital data comms, I would not be suprised if
> they have added a bunch in cities.
> Think about it, almost every building has power lines, what could be more
> universal.
>

2987. handheld vs. desktop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 20:58:29 UTC
From: gamehendgegnome@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010806165829.19926.00003041@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 61704

I'm just starting to get into scanners, usually i research the heck out of something before i actually buy it... But this time I decided to be spontaneous.
So I've ended up with a Hand held Uniden BC45xlt, 29-512 mhz,
It works but i'm interested in picking up some signals better.
Should I buy a longer/better antenna?
Is a desktop scanner any different than a handheld, is there any difference other than channel memories and a 800mhz?

Thanks

gnome

2988. cordless phone receiving range for a hand held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 21:06:04 UTC
From: gamehendgegnome@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010806170604.19861.00003164@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 61705

How far can your average handheld scanner pick up a Cordless phone (43-49mhz)?
should i buy a good antenna for a handheld? or are my neighbors just all
using corded phones and 900mhz

thanks

2989. WTB Plectron Tone Alert

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 21:50:17 UTC
From: Don Wiley <horseshoes@starpower.net>
Message-ID: <9kn3ijsbr1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 61706

Want to buy Plectron Tone Alert Monitor Uhf Band
460.600

Thank You
Don Wiley

Email: horseshoes@starpower.net

2990. Re: cordless phone receiving range for a hand held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 21:54:14 UTC
From: Paul Crissy <pcrissy@usenm.net>
Message-ID: <Xns90F5B61C6D868pcrissyusenmnet@204.127.36.1>
Source record: 61707

gamehendgegnome@aol.com (gamehendge gnome) wrote in
news:20010806170604.19861.00003164@ng-fn1.aol.com:

> How far can your average handheld scanner pick up a Cordless phone
> (43-49mhz)? should i buy a good antenna for a handheld? or are my
> neighbors just all using corded phones and 900mhz
>

Probably up to a quarter-mile or so. A outside antenna could boost that range perhaps out to nearly a mile. A better antenna is always a good idea but you may be in a location where people dont use cordless telephones.

I can hear lots of cordless telephone conversations (if I wanted to), but I live next to a large apartment complex.

In my experience, most telephone conversations are pretty dull fare. Police/public service/ambulance are more interesting.

But I did pick up one fonecon where a girl was trying to convince her boyfriend to come to her house for some sex but he was reluctant because he was out with his friend who was trying to score some drugs. She said if he could get some extasy then they both could come over and she would party with both of them. Only she was talking dirty and using explicit sexual words. Her boyfriend said he would call her back and then I lost the conversation. That was one of the most ususual conversations I have heard on my scanner.

2991. Re: cordless phone receiving range for a hand held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 21:59:25 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9kn3u8$5fbfa$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61708

"Paul Crissy" <pcrissy@usenm.net> wrote in message
news:Xns90F5B61C6D868pcrissyusenmnet@204.127.36.1...
> gamehendgegnome@aol.com (gamehendge gnome) wrote in
> news:20010806170604.19861.00003164@ng-fn1.aol.com:

> But I did pick up one fonecon where a girl was trying to convince her
> boyfriend to come to her house for some sex but he was reluctant because
> he was out with his friend who was trying to score some drugs. She said
if
> he could get some extasy then they both could come over and she would
party
> with both of them. Only she was talking dirty and using explicit sexual
> words. Her boyfriend said he would call her back and then I lost the
> conversation. That was one of the most ususual conversations I have heard
> on my scanner.
>

Back when it was still legal to listen to cordless, I picked up a guy
calling a sex line. Never having experienced one before, I listened in.
Needless to say, I couldn't understand why the guy wanted to pay $4.95 a
minute for what he was listening to. He was on for around 20 minutes for a
grand total of $99. A number off a restroom wall would probably have been
cheaper.

Tom

2992. Re: cordless phone receiving range for a hand held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 22:18:00 UTC
From: gamehendgegnome@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010806181800.28593.00003262@ng-cj1.aol.com>
Source record: 61709

those replies where pretty funny. Thanks for the help, i'm just interested in getting the full use of my scanner.

Have a great day, looks like i really need to get a 900mhz, i wonder if any neighbors have heard me on their scanners...

gnome

2993. Re: Ft. Benning & Columbus GA area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-06 23:32:52 UTC
From: webmaster@scanatlanta.com
Message-ID: <3b6f28ff.109123937@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 61710

Stop by ScanAtlanta.com (www.scanatlanta.com). You will find what you
want there!

/\/\|k.e
webmaster - ScanAtlanta.com

On Sun, 05 Aug 2001 02:07:18 GMT, Elbert Clarke <elbertc@home.com>
wrote:

>
>
>Hello,
>
>Anyone have any good freq. for the columbus, GA area to include Ft.
>Benning.
>
>Also do the MPs use secure radios..... I assume that they do?
>
>
>---
>Elbert Clarke
>elbertc@home.com

2994. PROJECT 25??? BEARCAT 250???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 00:25:46 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3b6f3600.1991154@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 61711

Hi all
any body ever hear of a bc 250... i saw it in mobile radio
technology... also what is project 25??
thanks gig@i-2000.com

2995. Re: Cases for the RELM HS 200 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 00:31:18 UTC
From: paul and jacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <3B6F36D6.743B@gwis.com>
Source record: 61712

Daniel M. Conti wrote:
>
> I am looking for suggestions on how to obtain a custom case for the RELM HS 200
> scanner. Any info please e-mail me.
> Dan
>
> "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"
I'm pretty sure ScannerWorld USA has them.

2996. AOR AR8200 Series II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 00:58:28 UTC
From: Wallace Comer <tipsy@ureach.com>
Message-ID: <9kne7bnnv1@bn2.blue.net>
Source record: 61716

Anyone have one of these for sale ?

2997. Re: Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 01:14:11 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2001.08.06.21.14.10.162.9440@njmc.com>
Source record: 61713

In article <B4ub7.4039$1p1.384066@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Michael Arthur" <michael55@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> I'm looking for anything on this Scanner Mods Etc.
>
>

Probably the best mod for this is the upgrade to 400 channels. As this
was the grand-daddy to the Pro-2006 it has most of the capabilities.
Speed increases, "extra" coverage of 72-76 MHz, and a few others. I
actually liked the 2004 better than all of my 2005's and 2006's only
because of the easier to deal with angled face. Of course I wouldn't give
up my 2005/6's for anything made prior to or since!

2998. Re: PROJECT 25??? BEARCAT 250???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 01:15:50 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2001.08.06.21.15.49.365.9440@njmc.com>
Source record: 61714

In article <3b6f3600.1991154@news-server.optonline.net>, "GaijinGig"
<gig@i-2000.com> wrote:

> Hi all
> any body ever hear of a bc 250... i saw it in mobile radio
> technology... also what is project 25??
> thanks gig@i-2000.com

Don't know about Project 25, but the BC250 was a moderately popular
scanner. Never had one myself, but I've certainly heard of them on
several occasions!

2999. Re: handheld vs. desktop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 01:18:51 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2001.08.06.21.18.51.509.9440@njmc.com>
Source record: 61715

In article <20010806165829.19926.00003041@ng-fn1.aol.com>, "gamehendge gnome" <gamehendgegnome@aol.com> wrote:

> I'm just starting to get into scanners, usually i research the heck out
> of something before i actually buy it... But this time I decided to be
> spontaneous.
> So I've ended up with a Hand held Uniden BC45xlt, 29-512 mhz, It works
> but i'm interested in picking up some signals better. Should I buy a
> longer/better antenna? Is a desktop scanner any different than a
> handheld, is there any difference other than channel memories and a
> 800mhz?
>
> Thanks
>
> gnome

All rigs have their own specs for sensitivity and selectivity, however the line isn't necessarily drawn between hand helds and desktops. Check out some of the antenna reviews over at www.strongsignals.net (did I get that right?). Of course, no one says you can't put a base antenna on a hand held. I've used several over the years including the Diamond and RS discones, the scanner beam from Grove, and a few others. All provide decent gains in reception directly (mostly) proportional to what I paid for each. Height always helps, too.

3000. Re: Channel Noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 01:19:10 UTC
From: Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9knfv2$pj1$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61717

Yes, that's a data "control" channel. A previous poster correctly identified is as part of the cellular phone system data control. Also, you'll find the control channel in any given group of trunked radio system frequencies. And like you, I also colloqually refer to it as the "diesel" channel. Essentially, in a trunked radio system like public service or cellular, each radio (or your cellphone in a cellular system), listens to this known control channel for "instructions" as to what to do next. I.e., go to such and such frequency and transmit, etc. There's a lot more to it than that, such as grouping radios by function, remote programming of radios, etc., but in general I think of the control data channel as a sort of data traffic cop that's always transmitting information. The trunking scanners that have recently hit the market also "listen" to this control channel and decipher what frequency in the trunked system they're to hop to in order to follow a conversation.

Mike

"m.Leach" <mleach@gfn.org> wrote in message
news:e7c777c5.0108052007.2592775d@posting.google.com...
> I hear sometimes (mainly on cell control frequencies) sound that
> sounds a bit like a diesle engine running. I this possibly data being
> sent? I am sure its not truckers sitting in the cabs on the cell
> phone...:)
>
> mike

3001. Toilet Boy Lies and Lies and Lies ..... See Proof!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 01:44:05 UTC
From: "Mr. WireHead" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3B6F47E5.9DB4F789@pacbell.net>
Source record: 61718

The Famous KSï¿½F wrote:

> > > John, you're a LYING asshole bastard !
>
> I have never told a lie in my entire adult life.
>

Yo - Toilet Boy

R E A L I T Y C H E C K

Toilet Boy, you're still a liar and a fraud.

1. It was proven you lied about the first date of your appearance on the Internet.

2. It was proven you lied when you denied making the claim about patronizing under age child prostitutes.

3. It was proven you lied when you claimed to have written "several technical articles" for the ARRL and other technical publications.

4. It was proven you lied when you claimed to have adopted your dead sister's children.

5. It was proven you lied when in the span of two weeks you claim to be married in one forum and single in another.

6. It was proven you lied about having college degrees.

7. It was proven you lied when you denied you were identified as a known Internet abuser by Joe Greco on his published lists of spammers and idiots.

8. It was proven you lied when you claimed you weren't stealing Internet access and your employer terminated you for theft of services.

9. It was proven you lied you claimed you've never used fictitious or illegal Internet address.

10. It was proven you lied when you claimed you were not the most prolific poster of off topic messages.

11. It was proven you lied when you said WD-40 was unsuitable for electronic use by posting another endorsement from Roy Lewallen, w7el@teleport.com, which you ignored.

12. It was proven you lied about your place of employment when others
posted your work telephone and related data. It was also proved that
you worked at that office and not your retired father.

13. It was proven you lied when you claimed that Bill Cheek had never
been a member of Mensa.

14. It was proven that you lied when you claimed
to have never lost an ISP for your forged cancels.

15. It was proven that you lied when you denied
using numerous fake identities on the Internet.

16. It was proven that you lied when you denied
you've never blown up a repeater system through your incompetence
and total lack of technical expertise.

17. It was proven that you lied when you denied
trying to break into other computer systems using Back Orifice.

18. It was proven that you lied when you claimed
you had "acquired MORE FCC licenses ... than could be counted
on one hand!"

19. It was proven that you lied when you claimed
you have made your "living from the Radio Communications Field
for most of the last 15 years."

20. It was proven that you lied when you denied
having stalked Bill Cheek for over three years.

21. It was proven that you lied when you denied
being kicked off Fidonet by Bill Cheek.

22. It was proven that you lied when you claimed
Bill Cheek had been kicked off of Fidonet.

23. It was proven that you lied when you claimed
a police had been filed supposedly about harassing phone calls
made to you at your place of work.

24. It was proven that you've emailed death threats
to your detractors on the Internet.

25. It was proven that you lied when you claimed to
"have helped resolve" the problems of the scanner newsgroups
and have "rid this NG of trouble makers."

26. It was proven that you lied when you denied
sending bogus complaints using aliases to the Internet providers
of your detractors.

27. It was proven you lied when youï¿½ve made hundreds of rouge
cancels over the course of the last several years

28. It was proven you lied when denied stalking Bill Cheek since his death

3002. Re: Toilet Boy Lies and Lies and Lies ..... See Proof!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 02:49:44 UTC
From: The Famous KS&#65533;F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <cHIb7.29909$Ke4.17469317@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 61719

Once again you have done nothing but proven yourself to be a liar, Mr.
Wirehead.

Mr. WireHead <wirehead@pacbell.net> wrote
> 1. It was proven you lied about the first date of your appearance on the
Internet.

I did not lie about this issue. Present your verifiable proof of my lie
within 24 hours or
be found to be lying yourself.

> 2. It was proven you lied when you denied making the claim about
> patronizing under age child prostitutes.

I have never patronized any prostitute. Present your verifiable proof
within 24 hours or
be found to be lying yourself.

> 3. It was proven you lied when you claimed to have written "several
> technical articles" for the ARRL and other technical publications.

WRONG! Present your verifiable proof within 24 hours or
be found to be lying yourself.

> 4. It was proven you lied when you claimed to have adopted your dead
> sister's children.

My only sister is still living & has never had children. Present your
verifiable proof
within 24 hours or be found to be lying yourself.

> 5. It was proven you lied when in the span of two weeks you claim to
> be married in one forum and single in another.

Present your verifiable proof within 24 hours or
be found to be lying yourself.

> 6. It was proven you lied about having college degrees.

The above is not true. Present your verifiable proof within 24 hours or
be found to be lying yourself.

> 7. It was proven you lied when you denied you were identified as a
> known Internet abuser by Joe Greco on his published lists of spammers and
idiots.

The above is not true. Present your verifiable proof within 24 hours or
be found to be lying yourself.

> 8. It was proven you lied when you claimed you weren't stealing
> Internet access and your employer terminated you for theft of services.

The above never happened. Present your verifiable proof within 24 hours or
be found to be lying yourself.

> 9. It was proven you lied you claimed you've never used fictitious
> or illegal Internet address.

I have never used any fake addresses. Present your verifiable proof within
24 hours or
be found to be lying yourself.

> 10. It was proven you lied when you claimed you were not the most
> prolific poster of off topic messages.

Present your verifiable proof of the above within 24 hours or be found to be
lying yourself.

> 11. It was proven you lied when you said WD-40 was unsuitable for
> electronic use by posting another endorsement from Roy Lewallen,
> w7el@teleport.com, which you ignored.

I stand by my statement. Present verifiable proof from a reputable
source within 24 hours, of be found to be lying.

> 12. It was proven you lied about your place of employment when others
> posted your work telephone and related data. It was also proved that
> *you* worked at that office and not your retired father.

Present your verifiable proof of your accusation above within 24 hours or
be found to be lying yourself.

> 13. It was proven you lied when you claimed that Bill Cheek had never
> been a member of Mensa.

Present your verifiable proof of your accusation above within 24 hours or
be found to be lying yourself.

> 14. It was proven that you lied when you claimed
> to have never lost an ISP for your forged cancels.

I have never forged & have never involuntarily lost an ISP.
Present your verifiable proof of your accusation above within 24 hours or
be found to be lying yourself.

> 15. It was proven that you lied when you denied
> using numerous fake identities on the Internet.

I have never used a fake ID. Present your verifiable proof of your
accusation
above within 24 hours or be found to be lying yourself.

> 16. It was proven that you lied when you denied
> you've never blown up a repeater system through your incompetence
> and total lack of technical expertise.

I have never "blown up a repeater". Present your verifiable proof of your accusation above within 24 hours or be found to be lying yourself.

> 17. It was proven that you lied when you denied
> trying to break into other computer systems using Back Orifice.

I have never committed an act as above. Present your verifiable proof of your
accusation above within 24 hours or be found to be lying yourself.

> 18. It was proven that you lied when you claimed
> you had "acquired MORE FCC licenses ... than could be counted
> on one hand!"

Present your verifiable proof of your accusation above within 24 hours or be found to be lying yourself.

> 19. It was proven that you lied when you claimed
> you have made your "living from the Radio Communications Field
> for most of the last 15 years."

Present your verifiable proof of your accusation above within 24 hours or be found to be lying yourself.

> 20. It was proven that you lied when you denied
> having stalked Bill Cheek for over three years.

Present your verifiable proof of your accusation above within 24 hours or be found to be lying yourself.

> 21. It was proven that you lied when you denied
> being kicked off Fidonet by Bill Cheek.

I was never kicked off of Fidonet by anyone. Present your verifiable proof of
your accusation above within 24 hours or be found to be lying yourself.

> 22. It was proven that you lied when you claimed
> Bill Cheek had been kicked off of Fidonet.

I never claimed that he had been kicked off of Fidonet. I did state that he had been asked to leave. Present your verifiable proof of your accusation above within 24 hours or be found to be lying yourself.

> 23. It was proven that you lied when you claimed
> a police had been filed supposedly about harassing phone calls
> made to you at your place of work.

Your statement "a police had been filed" does not make any sense.
Care to try your feeble attempt again?

> 24. It was proven that you've emailed death threats to your detractors on the Internet.

I've never given death threats to anyone. Present your verifiable proof of your

accusation above within 24 hours or be found to be lying yourself.

> 25. It was proven that you lied when you claimed to
> "have helped resolve" the problems of the scanner newsgroups
> and have "rid this NG of trouble makers."

I did INDEED help resolve this NG of trouble makers. Present your verifiable
proof of your accusation above within 24 hours or be found to be lying yourself.

> 26. It was proven that you lied when you denied
> sending bogus complaints using aliases to the Internet providers of your detractors.

I have never sent "bogus complaints". Present your verifiable proof of your accusation above within 24 hours or be found to be lying yourself.

> 27. It was proven you lied when you've made hundreds of rouge
> cancels over the course of the last several years

I have never made a rogue cancel. Present your verifiable proof of your accusation above within 24 hours or be found to be lying yourself.

> 28. It was proven you lied when denied stalking Bill Cheek since his death

I never did the action above. Present your verifiable proof of your accusation
above within 24 hours or be found to be lying yourself.

3003. Re: Anchorage, Alaska frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 03:11:24 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B6F5C5A.1ED8D5FF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 61720

Noel wrote:

> I'm going to be in Anchorage for a week beginning Sat. 18th. Would
> appreciate some local frequencies to get me and myAOR8000 started.
>
> Thanks
> Noel.

It would help if you provided us with a valid e-mail address to
which we we could forward our material. Send me your address and I'll be
glad to share a (rather dated) text file of stuff I accumulated in the
years I used to travel up there regularly.

Dan

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'32", W 90ï¿½25'38", 700'
Just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

3004. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 03:17:49 UTC
From: williammarra@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010806231749.10430.00003451@ng-cp1.aol.com>
Source record: 61721

yes i have an ic r7100 from atlantic radio
very nice people

3005. How do you like PRO92.com programming software ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 03:22:35 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010806232235.01579.00001302@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 61722

How those of you who have used the PRO92.com programming software for the
PRO-92 and PRO-2067 like it? Have you found unexpected bugs or other problems?

Thanks

Geo.

3006. Re: TOTALLY OT Beef, or Why I love Radio More and More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 03:30:42 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b6f5be0.952643182@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61723

Wow. Well it sure sounds like somebody's got it
worse then me. Sorry to hear that. Unfortunately
Class-Action lawsuits may be the only way to go.
Even then there's no guarantee that anybody
will be able to make the system work. It's kinda
interesting that everywhere they bring in digital
there seem to be similar problems. Kinda makes
me want ot ask, well what was so bad about
analogue in the first place?

Then it hits me; well with digital you can be charged
for cable TV, and for digital telephone, AND for internet.
All from the same set of lines. Pretty profitable, Hhmmm?
Can't triple bill analogue it seems.

As to your C,C&R's (Covenents, Conventions, and
Restrictions) they are just about the only thing around
me that I hate more than the cable company. There is
* some * hope. The ARRL has been pressing the FCC
to make allowances for Hams because of the public
service, etc. that they do.

Of course everybody knows that really the Hams
want to put up their dipoles and chase DX on the 20M
band -- but there were several documented cases where
Hams provided the only comms in Fla.in some areas when
hurricaine Andrew hit. Everybody thought they could use
their cell-phones, but guess what? If the cell-towers are
knocked down than no more cell calls! Those cell phones
can't even throw a signal out as far as your Aunt Nancy's
walking stick. So maybe there's some hope there. I would
consider getting my General class license asap so
you could be "grandfathered in" if the legislation came
out that way. Who knows.

andrew

3007. Re: cordless phone receiving range for a hand held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 03:45:03 UTC
From: jason.mangiafico@verizon.net
Message-ID: <9kno7u$5lmob$2@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61725

I notice if I ground the antenna, if it's a bare metal antenna, and that seems to bring in the far away scratchy ones on the 43/46mhz band.

In article <20010806170604.19861.00003164@ng-fn1.aol.com>, gamehendgegnome@aol.com says...
>
>How far can your average handheld scanner pick up a Cordless phone (43-49mhz)?
>should i buy a good antenna for a handheld? or are my neighbors just all
>using corded phones and 900mhz
>
>thanks

3008. Re: cordless phone receiving range for a hand held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 03:47:28 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b6f6218.954235400@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61724

On Mon, 06 Aug 2001 21:54:14 GMT, Paul Crissy <pcrissy@usenm.net> wrote:

>gamehendgegnome@aol.com (gamehendge gnome) wrote in
>news:20010806170604.19861.00003164@ng-fn1.aol.com:
>
>> How far can your average handheld scanner pick up a Cordless phone
>> (43-49mhz)? should i buy a good antenna for a handheld? or are my
>> neighbors just all using corded phones and 900mhz
>>
Don't forget 900MHz *digital* , now also on 1.2GHz with
spread spectrum at your local Target for 199.00 for top-of-the-line
"base stations". Say, where have I heard that term before?

Actually, 43-49MHz. is surprisingly quiet in my area. I think
that everybody would rather just get a cell-phone and yak it up
that way. My wife has a cell-phone, I do not. I don't need it for my
job and as regards personal use, I consider even the digital ones
to be neither secure nor safe.

>
>Probably up to a quarter-mile or so. A outside antenna could boost that
>range perhaps out to nearly a mile. A better antenna is always a good idea
>but you may be in a location where people dont use cordless telephones.
>
>I can hear lots of cordless telephone conversations (if I wanted to), but
>I live next to a large apartment complex.
>
>In my experience, most telephone conversations are pretty dull fare.
>Police/public service/ambulance are more interesting.
>
>But I did pick up one fonecon where a girl was trying to convince her
>boyfriend to come to her house for some sex but he was reluctant because
>he was out with his friend who was trying to score some drugs. She said if
>he could get some extasy then they both could come over and she would party
>with both of them. Only she was talking dirty and using explicit sexual
>words. Her boyfriend said he would call her back and then I lost the
>conversation. That was one of the most ususual conversations I have heard
>on my scanner.
>

3009. Re: Ft. Benning & Columbus GA area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 03:50:59 UTC
From: Elbert Clarke <elbertc@home.com>
Message-ID: <ebpumt4nrtc46pva7trsur9850ka6d5blr@4ax.com>
Source record: 61727

ok, thanks

On Mon, 06 Aug 2001 23:32:52 GMT, webmaster@scanatlanta.com
(b7spectra) wrote:

>Stop by ScanAtlanta.com (www.scanatlanta.com). You will find what you
>want there!
>
>/\/\|k.e
>webmaster - ScanAtlanta.com
>
>On Sun, 05 Aug 2001 02:07:18 GMT, Elbert Clarke <elbertc@home.com>
>wrote:
>
>>
>>
>>Hello,
>>
>>Anyone have any good freq. for the columbus, GA area to include Ft.
>>Benning.
>>
>>Also do the MPs use secure radios..... I assume that they do?
>>
>>
>>---
>>Elbert Clarke
>>elbertc@home.com

Elbert Clarke
elbertc@home.com

3010. Re: cordless phone receiving range for a hand held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 03:51:00 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b6f6515.955000294@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61726

On Mon, 6 Aug 2001 16:59:25 -0500, "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
>"Paul Crissy" <pcrissy@usenm.net> wrote in message
>news:Xns90F5B61C6D868pcrissyusenmnet@204.127.36.1...
>> gamehendgegnome@aol.com (gamehendge gnome) wrote in
>> news:20010806170604.19861.00003164@ng-fn1.aol.com:
>
>> But I did pick up one fonecon where a girl was trying to convince her
>> boyfriend to come to her house for some sex but he was reluctant because
>> he was out with his friend who was trying to score some drugs. She said
>if
>> he could get some extasy then they both could come over and she would
>party
>> with both of them. Only she was talking dirty and using explicit sexual
>> words. Her boyfriend said he would call her back and then I lost the
>> conversation. That was one of the most ususual conversations I have heard
>> on my scanner.
>>
>
>Back when it was still legal to listen to cordless, <snip>

Correct me if I'm wrong, but it's my understanding that
it was NEVER legal to listen in on other's telephones without
a court order. (wiretaps). True or false?

3011. Re: How do you like PRO92.com programming software ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 04:05:43 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uMxU9YvHBHA.264@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 61481

"LEADENWAH" <leadenwah@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010806232235.01579.00001302@mb-ml.aol.com...
> How those of you who have used the PRO92.com programming software for the
> PRO-92 and PRO-2067 like it? Have you found unexpected bugs or other
problems?
>
> Thanks
>
> Geo.

I am not that thrilled with it.

Keeps telling me I've got monitor problems. Right...lack of memory it
says. right? 30 gig drive/378 ram and i get this reading.

anyone know how to reset the 2067. the manual does
not mention it. but then it does not do a very good job of explaining
program to the scanner to use.

so....how does a nice guy learn from the ones who know more how to RESET
the full set and start again.

the software...well, will start that over again just to be
sure.

etc. guy

3012. Re: PROJECT 25??? BEARCAT 250???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 04:08:41 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <uqzNnavHBHA.135@cpmsnbbsa07>
Source record: 61482

"John LeMay" <jlemay@njmc.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2001.08.06.21.15.49.365.9440@njmc.com...
> In article <3b6f3600.1991154@news-server.optonline.net>, "GaijinGig"
> <gig@i-2000.com> wrote:
>
> > Hi all
> > any body ever hear of a bc 250... i saw it in mobile radio
> > technology... also what is project 25??
> > thanks gig@i-2000.com

Project 25 is the digital version of scanning people are working on.
See:
http://www.strongsignals.net
they talk about it in Chat room and other place.

bc250...still got mine. righteous when in its time. very nice unit for
analog ... did great on the 150 and 460's and then great on the 488's.

a very popular set.

where or what box did i put that bugger in? along with all my old regencies
and other bcats. may sell them some day.

hint

etc.

3013. Re: How do you like PRO92.com programming software ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 04:26:33 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B6F6DF7.8714F491@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61729

Many people have found that the software from www.pro92.com does not
work. In addition, part of what you buy is taken from a package
distributed free of charge as "Careware".

Check the reports on software for the PRO-92 at

www.pro-92.com.

Note the dash between pro and 92. It makes a BIG difference in
getting you to the right site.

Walt

LEADENWAH wrote:
>
> How those of you who have used the PRO92.com programming software for the
> PRO-92 and PRO-2067 like it? Have you found unexpected bugs or other problems?
>
> Thanks
>
> Geo.

3014. Re: Legal question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 04:40:25 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ZiKb7.45677$Gj5.20012766@typhoon.san.rr.com>
Source record: 61730

"Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:9knotr$i1b$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> I work for a municipal police department that has a certain frequency
> programmed in as the last one on all the car radios. It is FM mode, in the
> 43MHz area, nonencrypted, not sure if it utilizes a PL tone.
> This freq is usually only used during investigations for car-to-car chat
> when privacy is an issue (i.e. detectives, etc.)
> The powers that be are telling everyone that it is prohibited to monitor
> this frequency while on duty from anywhere except while on patrol in a car
> using that frequency. Period.
> Now, mind you, I did an FCC search on the 'net and *NOBODY* in my state
(MA)
> is even licensed to that freq, let alone the fact that it is supposed to
be
> for industrial itinerant use.
> Myself and several others know the freq and can monitor it using our
> scanners. But we have been told that to do so while on duty, inside the
> station is absolutely a "no-no."
> How legal is it for the department to have this policy? I don't think it
is
> terribly reasonable to ask that of the personnel, let alone possibly
> infringing upon our rights to monitor. Any laws that can be cited to
> prove/disprove any of these points?
> Thanks to all...

How legal is it for them to prohibit you from smoking on duty?

Company rules can prohibit you from even listening to AM radio, if they so
choose.

OFF duty is another question entirely.

On how they can use it, if it's low power, police are authorized to use any
frequency normally assigned to police (and others, too, under some
conditions) at low power, unlicensed. This was for bugs, and yes, 'secret'
surveillance channels, and as far as I know, is still valid. Of course, if
they're using it at higher power, it might in fact be illegal use. Not like
police haven't been caught breaking the law, especially the FCC regulations,
before.

3015. Re: Channel Noise

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 05:00:56 UTC
From: mleach@gfn.org
Message-ID: <e7c777c5.0108062100.4385e93c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61731

"Mike Mayer" <mayermh@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<9knfv2$pj1$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Yes, that's a data "control" channel. A previous poster correctly
> identified is as part of the cellular phone system data control. Also,
> you'll find the control channel in any given group of trunked radio system
> frequencies. And like you, I also colloqually refer to it as the "diesel"
> channel. Essentially, in a trunked radio system like public service or
> cellular, each radio (or your cellphone in a cellular system), listens to
> this known control channel for "instructions" as to what to do next. I.e.,
> go to such and such frequency and transmit, etc. There's a lot more to it
> than that, such as grouping radios by function, remote programming of
> radios, etc., but in general I think of the control data channel as a sort
> of data traffic cop that's always transmitting information. The trunking
> scanners that have recently hit the market also "listen" to this control
> channel and decipher what frequency in the trunked system they're to hop to
> in order to follow a conversation.
>
> Mike
>
> "m.Leach" <mleach@gfn.org> wrote in message
> news:e7c777c5.0108052007.2592775d@posting.google.com...
> > I hear sometimes (mainly on cell control frequencies) sound that
> > sounds a bit like a diesle engine running. I this possibly data being
> > sent? I am sure its not truckers sitting in the cabs on the cell
> > phone...:)
> >
> > mike

Thanks guy's its good to get some good information on this group for a change.
mike

3016. Re: Legal question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 05:54:58 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <ujLb7.15$yn2.14837@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 61732

As was said elsewhere, an employer can place limits on activities of the employees while the employee is working, or off work when the employee is readily identifiable (for instance, you can go to a bar and have a beer when offduty, but you can't do so while still in uniform). Other than that, as long as your activities are legal, what you do in private is your own business. Remember, employers have fairly broad power to restrict the activity of employees while they are working. For instance, my company forbids any radios, cell phones, etc., while employees are out in the production area. As a local EMA/Skywarn/ARES volunteer, I have specific permission to monitor/use my ham HT on the floor, during SEVERE WEATHER ONLY! At all other times, I have to abide by the same rules as anybody else. I have no doubt that your bosses are within their rights restricting your activities while you are on the job. When I worked for the local PD back in the '70's, we installed some CB radios in the sergeant's and LT's cars, as well as in dispatch. But we were under strict orders to keep the CBs on Ch. 9 at all times.

As to using an unlicensed frequency. The FCC grants permission for law enforcement to use any frequency subject to two rules: First, that they don't cause any interference to licensed users, and Second, that they operate at low power (two watts or less). Having the unlicensed freqs in a mobile radio which is probably operating at 40 watts or better is a no-no. They could get around this by having that frequency programmed at low power, which can be done with the newer radio. My local PD uses a splinter frequency which is only programmed into their HTs.

Mark

"Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:9knotr$i1b$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> I work for a municipal police department that has a certain frequency
> programmed in as the last one on all the car radios. It is FM mode, in the
> 43MHz area, nonencrypted, not sure if it utilizes a PL tone.
> This freq is usually only used during investigations for car-to-car chat
> when privacy is an issue (i.e. detectives, etc.)
> The powers that be are telling everyone that it is prohibited to monitor
> this frequency while on duty from anywhere except while on patrol in a car
> using that frequency. Period.
> Now, mind you, I did an FCC search on the 'net and *NOBODY* in my state
(MA)
> is even licensed to that freq, let alone the fact that it is supposed to
be
> for industrial itinerant use.
> Myself and several others know the freq and can monitor it using our
> scanners. But we have been told that to do so while on duty, inside the

> station is absolutely a "no-no."
> How legal is it for the department to have this policy? I don't think it
is
> terribly reasonable to ask that of the personnel, let alone possibly
> infringing upon our rights to monitor. Any laws that can be cited to
> prove/disprove any of these points?
> Thanks to all...
>
>

3017. Re: PROJECT 25??? BEARCAT 250???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 06:01:48 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <XpLb7.17$yn2.17701@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 61733

Project 25, also known as APCO 25, is the APCO Project 25, which is a standard for the implementation of digital modulation (not to be confused with digital encryption) in law enforcement communications. By having a single standard for all radio manufacturers, interoperability of all radios is assured. APCO stands for Association of Police Communications Officials or Officers, I believe.

Mark

"GaijinGig" <gig@i-2000.com> wrote in message
news:3b6f3600.1991154@news-server.optonline.net...
> Hi all
> any body ever hear of a bc 250... i saw it in mobile radio
> technology... also what is project 25??
> thanks gig@i-2000.com

3018. Re: How do you like PRO92.com programming software ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 06:31:49 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010807023149.10806.00002617@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 61734

Thanks a lot, Walt. What a difference a dash makes ! So, there are TWO different sites.

Would this be the fellow who is selling both the " software " and cables on E-Bay ?

Geo.

3019. Legal question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 06:52:45 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9knotr$i1b$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61728

I work for a municipal police department that has a certain frequency programmed in as the last one on all the car radios. It is FM mode, in the 43MHz area, nonencrypted, not sure if it utilizes a PL tone.
This freq is usually only used during investigations for car-to-car chat when privacy is an issue (i.e. detectives, etc.)
The powers that be are telling everyone that it is prohibited to monitor this frequency while on duty from anywhere except while on patrol in a car using that frequency. Period.
Now, mind you, I did an FCC search on the 'net and *NOBODY* in my state (MA) is even licensed to that freq, let alone the fact that it is supposed to be for industrial itinerant use.
Myself and several others know the freq and can monitor it using our scanners. But we have been told that to do so while on duty, inside the station is absolutely a "no-no."
How legal is it for the department to have this policy? I don't think it is terribly reasonable to ask that of the personnel, let alone possibly infringing upon our rights to monitor. Any laws that can be cited to prove/disprove any of these points?
Thanks to all...

3020. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 08:55:11 UTC
From: Gray Shockley <gray@compcomm.com>
Message-ID: <01HW.B795171F0031AED1097B7550@news.canufly.net>
Source record: 61735
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Sun, 22 Jul 2001 18:59:47 -0500, W6DKN wrote:

> Whether a radio show lives or dies is based on ratings, nothing else.

Wrong. A radio show that has exactly three listeners which pays its bill on shortwave radio can stay on forever.

Gray

Gray Shockley
Entropy Maintenance Technician
Tao Chemical Company
---
gray@compcomm.com
http://www.compcomm.com/
Vicksburg, Mississippi US

3021. Re: Pozilla Software Support, Any Ideas?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 09:10:42 UTC
From: "Creighton J. Smith" <cjsmith1@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9kobe3$hs5$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61736

Sad state of affairs! Doesn't appear to be any happy customers. A detailed problem description and step-by-step procedures to recreate data/memory corruption with a copy of my corrupted channel file was sent to Pozilla support. Will post any Pozilla reply/"fix" if received.

Agree the scanner market is "relatively small". Won't grow either until product review sites provide up to date and long term testing information. The 2052 works stand-alone but had hoped for PC control as well. Guess not.

"Kevin Gallagher" <Kevinga@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:3B6D4F52.30C88F35@ix.netcom.com...
> Please go ahead.
>
> Several months ago, after posting a more detailed description of the problems
> with Winscan 2.1 for
> the BC245 and BC895, I received responses from others indicating had similar
> problems. Also similar
> poor or lack of response from Pozilla's tech support.
>
> The scanner market is relatively small. When you buy a product and made a
> reasonable attempt
> to read the instructions, duplicate the problem on 2 computers, contact the
> manufacturer and get
> 2 different opposite answers, you cannot help but feel ripped off.
>
> You are left with the conclusion the software has problems. Others should know
> before buying
> that this company is willing to release a product with significant bugs, poor
> instructions and unwilling
> to fix or acknowledge the problems.
>
> Kevin
>
>
> DJ6JZ wrote:
>
> > Kevin Gallagher <Kevinga@ix.netcom.com> schrieb in im Newsbeitrag:
> > 3B6A1166.664CC552@ix.netcom.com...
> > > I will not be buying another product from Pozilla. I own Winscan 1.0 and

> > 2.1
> > > for the BC245 and 895.
> > snip
> >
> > These comments from different users are remarkable - I added the
following
> > text to the URL - entry in the database of www.radio-portal.org
> > "look for user's comments before you buy"
> >
> > The next database upload to the server will take place in a couple of
days.
> >
> > Regards,
> > Willi Passmann, DJ6JZ
> > --
> > _/_/ http://www.radio-portal.org
> > _/_/ Shortwave and more linked to you - more than 27.000 radio
related
> > URLs
>

3022. Re: PROJECT 25??? BEARCAT 250???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 09:56:15 UTC
From: ems99ny@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010807055615.09260.00000909@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 61737

that bc250 was a good scanner back in the 80's just like ALF but it did not go higher thin 512mhz

3023. PRO-93 vs. PRO-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 11:36:39 UTC
From: chuck@NOSPAM-latraffic.org
Message-ID: <3b70d1a4.340024@netnews.msn.com>
Source record: 61487

Does anyone have any idea wha the differences are between the PRO-92 and the forthcoming PRO-93?

The 93 seems to be a watered version of the 92. It doesn't seem to have CTCSS capability and won't track LTR trunk systems. I'd also hoped the 93 would have improved on some of the 92's shortcomings but it seems they still don't get it.

3024. Re: Legal question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 11:44:08 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <amkvmt0no8jsl9b70pouues43060355tcv@4ax.com>
Source record: 61738

On Tue, 07 Aug 2001 04:40:25 GMT, "Skip Sanders"
<skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com> wrote:

>On how they can use it, if it's low power, police are authorized to use any
>frequency normally assigned to police (and others, too, under some
>conditions) at low power, unlicensed.

As a minor technical point, the use of these frequencies is not
unlicensed, rather they fall under the primary station license
(for law enforcememnt agencies only). Power is limited to one watt,
generally. And there are certain blocks of frequencies within the
bands assigned for low power surveillance equipment. These vary with
local, state and federal government. State and local typically are in
the 155 megacycle region, for VHF. I don't know about low band.

These frequencies are not licensed separately, and the equipment can
be frequency agile. If there were licensing, as Skip pointed out, few
agencies would bother, and the local Radio Shack would be stocking
crystals for that frequency before the equipment was received from the
vendor.

I could provide a cite on this if necessary, but I am at home and all
that info is at work.

And, speaking as a former deputy chief of police, of course the agency
can control what you do on duty, and occasionally off duty if your
activity might cause liability to fall on your agency. You agreed to
that when you were sworn in. They may not be legally correct in using
the frequency, but they can prohibit you from listening or even
possessing a scanner in your unit, the same way they can dictate the
length of sideburns, facial hair, what weapons you carry, etc. Things
may have changed over the years some. Maybe now the agencies aren't
allowed to prohibit you from getting a sex change.

Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

3025. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 12:45:50 UTC
From: Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <3B6FE321.6196E875@att.net>
Source record: 61739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Gray Shockley wrote:
>
> On Sun, 22 Jul 2001 18:59:47 -0500, W6DKN wrote:
>
> > Whether a radio show lives or dies is based on ratings, nothing else.
>
> ----------
>
> Wrong. A radio show that has exactly three listeners which pays its bill on
> shortwave radio can stay on forever.
>

Even on commercial domestic broadcast, a brokered show that pays
its bills on time, is ratings immune.

> Gray
> ----------
> Gray Shockley
> Entropy Maintenance Technician
> Tao Chemical Company
> ----------
> gray@compcomm.com
> http://www.compcomm.com/
> Vicksburg, Mississippi US

3026. Re: Legal question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 12:58:57 UTC
From: "Jack S." <jacksmith101@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <EzRb7.99938$%7.636531@news6.giganews.com>
Source record: 61740

OK, so what is the freq. and what town????
"Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:9knotr$i1b$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> I work for a municipal police department that has a certain frequency
> programmed in as the last one on all the car radios. It is FM mode, in the
> 43MHz area, nonencrypted, not sure if it utilizes a PL tone.
> This freq is usually only used during investigations for car-to-car chat
> when privacy is an issue (i.e. detectives, etc.)
> The powers that be are telling everyone that it is prohibited to monitor
> this frequency while on duty from anywhere except while on patrol in a car
> using that frequency. Period.
> Now, mind you, I did an FCC search on the 'net and *NOBODY* in my state
(MA)
> is even licensed to that freq, let alone the fact that it is supposed to
be
> for industrial itinerant use.
> Myself and several others know the freq and can monitor it using our
> scanners. But we have been told that to do so while on duty, inside the
> station is absolutely a "no-no."
> How legal is it for the department to have this policy? I don't think it
is
> terribly reasonable to ask that of the personnel, let alone possibly
> infringing upon our rights to monitor. Any laws that can be cited to
> prove/disprove any of these points?
> Thanks to all...
>
>

3027. Re: Legal question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 13:56:31 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010807095631.05484.00000133@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 61742

In article <ujLb7.15$yn2.14837@newsfeed.slurp.net>, "M. Schneider"
<markinokla@juno.com> writes:

>As was said elsewhere, an employer can place limits on activities of the
>employees while the employee is working, or off work when the employee is
>readily identifiable (for instance, you can go to a bar and have a beer when
>offduty, but you can't do so while still in uniform). Other than that, as
>long as your activities are legal, what you do in private is your own
>business.

Sadly, employers are now also trying to govern what an employee does on his own
time.

The city of Temple Terrace, Florida recently announced that it's employees
could not smoke...either on or OFF duty!

Hopefully, this will be challenged in court.

3028. Re: Legal question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 13:57:04 UTC
From: Andy <acorbin@roanoke.infi.net>
Message-ID: <3B6FF3B0.86251894@roanoke.infi.net>
Source record: 61741

Toscano wrote:

> The powers that be are telling everyone that it is prohibited to monitor
> this frequency while on duty from anywhere except while on patrol in a car
> using that frequency. Period.

This is a department policy and is not a "law". Violate the department policy and you are subject to finding yourself listening to this frequency all you want to......from another job.

> Now, mind you, I did an FCC search on the 'net and *NOBODY* in my state (MA)
> is even licensed to that freq, let alone the fact that it is supposed to be
> for industrial itinerant use.

There are certain exceptions for low power applications that public safety organizations can operate unlicensed. If you feel your department is wrong, bring it to the attention of the Federal Communications Commission. Don't be surprised though, if your department might have no further use for your services in the future or at best, conditions will become such that you will leave.

> Myself and several others know the freq and can monitor it using our
> scanners. But we have been told that to do so while on duty, inside the
> station is absolutely a "no-no."
> How legal is it for the department to have this policy? I don't think it is
>

No problem at all. That is all it is. A policy. They cannot put you in jail or fine you, but a policy is the same as a standing order. Disobey that order and you are guilty of insubordination and subject to termination. Obviously, this policy is not binding on non-employees. As I said above, continue to monitor that channel and you may find yourself monitoring it from another job.

> terribly reasonable to ask that of the personnel, let alone possibly
> infringing upon our rights to monitor. Any laws that can be cited to
> prove/disprove any of these points?
> Thanks to all...

I don't recall anyone having any "rights" to monitor. I don't see scanning or monitoring radio transmissions mentioned anywhere int he Constitution or the Bill of Rights.

3029. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 16:24:30 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010807122430.11254.00003160@ng-fv1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 61743

>Subject: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada
>From: just@curious.com
>Date: 8/6/01 10:11 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <liiumt4joegkag0ps9gnvlf6j5ka9jd2r8@news-west.newscene.com>
>
>I see the add in Monitoring Times for Radio World in Canada every
>month guaranteeing delivery into the USA. I would like to know if
>any body out there has successfully received a un-blocked scanner
>in the USA from Canada or Europe? Thanks.
>
>

Plenty of people have imported un-blocked Scanners from across the pond and from our Northern Neighbors.

Things to ponder before you order:
1- If Customs nails it at the border, you may loose more than just the cost of the Scanner, there can be fines.

2- Some firms abroad have a no return policy when sent to the US, so be sure you are sure of the Scanner you order.

3-The advantage of being able to monitor Cellular may fade faster than the bill for the added feature.

People import lots of illegal stuff every day, from drugs to guns and pull it off; but as the Customs says: A Career smuggler has to be lucky every time, where the Customs only has to get lucky once.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

3030. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 16:52:04 UTC
From: Hal Turner Radio Show <Hal.Turner@HalTurnerShow.com>
Message-ID: <U0Vb7.56803$uj2.9127015@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 61744
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Even I would feel sorry for someone with only three listeners. Thank goodness my web site stats prove otherwise for my show! Over 7,000 visits a day from people in 100 countries

http://www.halturnershow.com/ServerStats.htm

"Gray Shockley" <gray@compcomm.com> wrote in message news:01HW.B795171F0031AED1097B7550@news.canufly.net...
> On Sun, 22 Jul 2001 18:59:47 -0500, W6DKN wrote:
>
> > Whether a radio show lives or dies is based on ratings, nothing else.
>
> ----------
>
> Wrong. A radio show that has exactly three listeners which pays its bill on
> shortwave radio can stay on forever.
>
>
> Gray
> ----------
> Gray Shockley
> Entropy Maintenance Technician
> Tao Chemical Company
> ----------
> gray@compcomm.com
> http://www.compcomm.com/
> Vicksburg, Mississippi US
>
>

3031. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 17:12:42 UTC
From: Peter Maus <DPeterMaus@att.net>
Message-ID: <3B7021AC.A7180AFF@att.net>
Source record: 61745
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Hal Turner Radio Show wrote:
>
> Even I would feel sorry for someone with only three listeners. Thank
> goodness my web site stats prove otherwise for my show! Over 7,000 visits a
> day from people in 100 countries
>

Which corresponsds to a nearly a 7 share in an average sized North
American city. That's large enough to attract advertisers'
attention.

> http://www.halturnershow.com/ServerStats.htm
>
> "Gray Shockley" <gray@compcomm.com> wrote in message
> news:01HW.B795171F0031AED1097B7550@news.canufly.net...
> > On Sun, 22 Jul 2001 18:59:47 -0500, W6DKN wrote:
> >
> > > Whether a radio show lives or dies is based on ratings, nothing else.
> >
> > ----------
> >
> > Wrong. A radio show that has exactly three listeners which pays its bill
> on
> > shortwave radio can stay on forever.
> >
> >
> > Gray
> > ----------
> > Gray Shockley
> > Entropy Maintenance Technician
> > Tao Chemical Company
> > ----------
> > gray@compcomm.com
> > http://www.compcomm.com/
> > Vicksburg, Mississippi US
> >
> >

3032. Re: Legal question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 17:43:10 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b70236c.1003734876@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61746

< SNIP>
>> terribly reasonable to ask that of the personnel, let alone possibly
>> infringing upon our rights to monitor. Any laws that can be cited to
>> prove/disprove any of these points?
>> Thanks to all...
>
>I don't recall anyone having any "rights" to monitor. I don't see scanning or
>monitoring radio transmissions mentioned anywhere int he Constitution or the
>Bill of Rights.
>

I'm 100% with you except on the above. Technically you are correct, there
is no "right" to monitor. But then again there is no "right" to privacy either.
What there is is the 1st Amend. granting freedom of speech and the 4th Amend.
protecting againest unreasonable search and seizure.

Supporters of public encryption such as PGP and those who want to push for
the maximum in Internet Anonimity (Anonymous Remailers and such) are quick to
cite the above as a reason for freedom from such things as; giving the NSA keys
to all encryption methods, or allowing individual users more say-so in how their
web-surfing is tracked. I'm all in favor of that. I believe reasonable privacy
is implied by the 4th Amend.

However, there is a flip side to the coin as well. If your communications
are such that any accidental ability or modest equipment is sufficient to
intercept such comms you have NO right to expect those same comms.
will remain private. In other words; it is and ought to be illegal for me to
wiretap your house without a court order. But if you chose to conduct
business in a public park there is nothing to prevent me from pulling up a
chair and listening whether you like it or not. Similarly, if AF-1 is doing a
secure, encrypted, satellite down-link of today's nuclear "Go - Codes" --
well, even if you could poke into that, you'd be in Leavenworth quicker
than a greased monkey. But if I go on air as KG4JBM to call " CQ" on 10M--
then listen in all you like.

That's my understanding - correct me if you think I'm wrong.

Andrew

3033. Re: Legal question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 17:44:04 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15d9c8bf846125699896e5@news>
Source record: 61647

Yes, it is a shame that the creators of the Consititution didn't have
the brains to envision radio communications in the 20th Century. What
a bunch of lamers! ;-)

In article <3b70236c.1003734876@news.erols.com>, cathexis@erols.com
says...
> < SNIP>
> >> terribly reasonable to ask that of the personnel, let alone possibly
> >> infringing upon our rights to monitor. Any laws that can be cited to
> >> prove/disprove any of these points?
> >> Thanks to all...
> >
> >I don't recall anyone having any "rights" to monitor. I don't see scanning or
> >monitoring radio transmissions mentioned anywhere int he Constitution or the
> >Bill of Rights.
> >
>
> I'm 100% with you except on the above. Technically you are correct, there
> is no "right" to monitor. But then again there is no "right" to privacy either.
> What there is is the 1st Amend. granting freedom of speech and the 4th Amend.
> protecting againest unreasonable search and seizure.
>
> Supporters of public encryption such as PGP and those who want to push for
> the maximum in Internet Anonimity (Anonymous Remailers and such) are quick to
> cite the above as a reason for freedom from such things as; giving the NSA keys
> to all encryption methods, or allowing individual users more say-so in how their
> web-surfing is tracked. I'm all in favor of that. I believe reasonable privacy
> is implied by the 4th Amend.
>
> However, there is a flip side to the coin as well. If your communications
> are such that any accidental ability or modest equipment is sufficient to
> intercept such comms you have NO right to expect those same comms.
> will remain private. In other words; it is and ought to be illegal for me to
> wiretap your house without a court order. But if you chose to conduct
> business in a public park there is nothing to prevent me from pulling up a
> chair and listening whether you like it or not. Similarly, if AF-1 is doing a
> secure, encrypted, satellite down-link of today's nuclear "Go - Codes" --
> well, even if you could poke into that, you'd be in Leavenworth quicker
> than a greased monkey. But if I go on air as KG4JBM to call " CQ" on 10M--
> then listen in all you like.
>
> That's my understanding - correct me if you think I'm wrong.
>
> Andrew

>
>

3034. Scanners for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 17:59:17 UTC
From: meo@nb.net
Message-ID: <3b702c45.25045875@news.nauticom.net>
Source record: 61648

Scanners for sale.

Many of these would make good backup scanners - get one for car,
truck, and/ or garage.

All have antennas.

Price includes shipping CONUS.

Money order or Paypal.

Handhelds

BC55XLT 10ch. $25
BC200XLT 200 ch $150 Copy of manual Mods done
Pro31 10ch $30
Pro44 50ch $60
Pro62 200ch $75 Triple conv, box, manual
Pro70 50ch $60 MINT in the box -all there -
unused
Pro71 100ch $70 Manual

Base

BC155XL 16ch $25 WX
BX178XLT 100ch $65 In the box - all there - nice
scanner - WX
SR900 16ch $30 Cobra - WX
SR900 16ch $30 Cobra - WX
Pro2014 50ch $50 Replacement AC adapter
Pro2036 200ch $100 Nice radio, manual
Pro2021 200ch $65 Manual
pro2021 200ch $65

Mobile

Pro2056 50ch $65 MINT in the box all there -
unused

Legends - "as is" - for parts or collecting, I think some work

BC210 $75 6 radios, including shipping,
service manual
BC250 $45 3 radios, including shipping,
service manual
BC160 $25 Unique radio for collection -
seems to work
BC145 $10 Bag of parts - includes AC

adapter.

3035. Ohio County Help Wanted!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 19:03:20 UTC
From: ScanOhio <scanohio@scanohio.com>
Message-ID: <3b703abe_3@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 61649

ScanOhio.com currently has several openings available for Associate Webmasters!

These are voluntary positions where you are provided a county-level web page that you can update and can maintain 24 hours a day right from within your browser! You also receive a free scanohio.com email address with web-based access!

Log on to ScanOhio today and see if the Associate Webmaster position is available for your county!

Also, If you haven't seen the site lately, be sure to check out our updated sections with extensive information on items like:

- Ohio State Patrol
- Ohio Turnpike Commission
- Ohio Dept. of Transportation (ODOT)
- Goodyear Blimp
- Cellular & Cordless
- GMRS
- FRS
- Fast Food
- Interant
- Skywarn
- NWS/NOAA
- Airports
- Amusement Parks
- Railroad
- Sporting Venues & Teams
- Television

http://www.scanohio.com

Scanohio.com - Ohio's On-Line Scanner Resource!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3036. Re: Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 20:21:47 UTC
From: Kevcat <kevcat@ozwebip.Spam.com.au>
Message-ID: <3B704DDB.D4E9CFCD@ozwebip.Spam.com.au>
Source record: 61650
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I don't know what you laughing at they are a good scanner, equal to most
of the new gear

there are a few mods for this radio
namely and extra 100 channels,
30KHz steps in the 800MHz band
faster scan speed,
discriminator tap,
most done by just cutting a few diodes(not surface mounts)

got to www.mods.dk and check it out there

Kev

Glenn wrote:
>
> In article <B4ub7.4039$1p1.384066@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> michael55@worldnet.att.net says...
> > I'm looking for anything on this Scanner Mods Etc.
> >
> >
> >
>
> ROFLMAO!
>
> This should keep you busy for a few minutes:
> http://search.dogpile.com/texis/search?q=pro+2004+mods&geo=no&fs=web
> http://www.google.com/search?q=pro+2004+mods
>
> Not that you shouldn't ask questions but next time save yourself some
> time and try one of these first.
>
> http://www.google.com
> or my favorite
> http://search.dogpile.com
>
> YWIA,
>
> Glenn

3037. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 20:51:52 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <442911830BF3BCA6.238B23419EB46E4E.0BD4B67A62FCF55C@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 61651

On 6 Aug 2001 21:11:05 -0500, just@curious.com wrote:

>I see the add in Monitoring Times for Radio World in Canada every
>month guaranteeing delivery into the USA. I would like to know if
>any body out there has successfully received a un-blocked scanner
>in the USA from Canada or Europe? Thanks.

First let me say that for the most part, listening to cell calls
(should anyone - not me - choose to do it) is usually about as
exciting as watching grass grow. On average only 30/40
seconds of a call can be heard before the channel switches,
and -I'm told- what you will hear is mostly women ragging about
their husbands and boyfriends, and teenage girls babbling
about nothing coherent.

I do, however, understand your desire to have the ability to
receive such transmissions, even though you may quickly loose
interest. Our legislators in the US love to deal with "illegal
behavior" by taking removing a liberty rather then trusting us.
I feel there is something fundamentally un-american about that.

Also, don't get me started on those hideous low-flow
toilets again!

3038. Re: Legal question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 21:42:27 UTC
From: Joe Johns <rychard@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <den0ntogrlbi2bf7mvbu8lvliiu39tfn27@4ax.com>
Source record: 61652

Almost sounds like they
are using one of the Cordless Phone Frequencies.
CH, Handset, Base
1 48.760 43.720
2 48.840 43.740
3 48.860 43.820
4 48.920 43.840
5 49.000 43.920
6 49.080 43.960
7 49.100 44.120
8 49.160 44.160
9 49.200 44.180
10 49.240 44.200
11 49.280 44.320
12 49.360 44.360
13 49.400 44.400
14 49.480 44.460
15 49.500 44.480
16 49.670 46.610
17 49.845 46.630 (1)
18 49.860 46.670 (1)
19 49.770 46.710
20 49.875 46.730 (1)
21 49.830 46.770 (1)
22 49.890 46.830 (1)
23 49.930 46.870
24 49.990 46.930
25 49.970 46.970
Note:the (1) mean the Hanset Freqs are used in low power
Walkie-Talkies and Baby room monitors.
I think it is illegal to monitor cordless phones in the US.
Dean
KR4IU

On Mon, 6 Aug 2001 23:52:45 -0700, "Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:

>I work for a municipal police department that has a certain frequency
>programmed in as the last one on all the car radios. It is FM mode, in the
>43MHz area, nonencrypted, not sure if it utilizes a PL tone.
>This freq is usually only used during investigations for car-to-car chat
>when privacy is an issue (i.e. detectives, etc.)
>The powers that be are telling everyone that it is prohibited to monitor
>this frequency while on duty from anywhere except while on patrol in a car
>using that frequency. Period.

>Now, mind you, I did an FCC search on the 'net and *NOBODY* in my state (MA)
>is even licensed to that freq, let alone the fact that it is supposed to be
>for industrial itinerant use.
>Myself and several others know the freq and can monitor it using our
>scanners. But we have been told that to do so while on duty, inside the
>station is absolutely a "no-no."
>How legal is it for the department to have this policy? I don't think it is
>terribly reasonable to ask that of the personnel, let alone possibly
>infringing upon our rights to monitor. Any laws that can be cited to
>prove/disprove any of these points?
>Thanks to all...
>

3039. Re: TOTALLY OT Beef, or Why I love Radio More and More

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 22:39:13 UTC
From: ZZZmark@whiskey-creek.net
Message-ID: <3b706d4d.1455730@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 61653

On Sat, 04 Aug 2001 02:29:44 GMT, cathexis@erols.com (Set'em up Joe)
wrote:

>
> More and more I am confronted with better reasons to trash my TV
>and turn on my radio. (Like you, of course, I own several). Why? Because
>of the shoddy workmanship, lousy service and unrepentant greed of TV in
>general and cable in particular.
>
After getting to basically the same point, I "fired" my cable company
and went with Direct TV (DSS). Dual LNB dish, 3 recievers.

Couldn't be happier. As the other gentleman mentioned, it will drop
when you've got a Heavy storm cloud overhead for a few minutes, but
other than that, I couldn't ask for better value. (And no, I'm not
using hacked cards.)

Mark

whiskey-creek.net

The truth as I perceive it to be.
Your perception may be different.

Triple Z is spam control

3040. Re: Leather case for the Pro-43 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 23:34:45 UTC
From: Ma'sbisques <lostinspace@united.net>
Message-ID: <9kptr1$8qm$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61654

You need Peabody!

peabodytex@earthlink.net

You can't beat his price, he will take a check or paypal or money order, and he has cases that are still in the original plastic wrap! They work perfectly, not some generic box with a velcro lid!

"Daniel M. Conti" <offdan43@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010805004704.00877.00000826@mb-mq.aol.com...
> I am looking for the leather cases available for the Pro-43 scanner. Any
> suggestions as to where I might obtain one would be appreciated.
>
> Please e-mail with details.
> Dan
>
> "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3041. WTB: AOR AR-5000 or AR-5000 PLUS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 23:36:00 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tn0uorj16hl859@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Looking for the perfect radio, mostly for VHF/UHF, and so far that looks
like a
AOR AR-5000 or AR-5000 Plus

Would consider a Icom R-8500.........

Or any other suggestions???????

tazman@cox-internet.com

Thanks,
Don

3042. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 23:44:41 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tn0v94bd524o2e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61656

You forgot to mention it's usually about as exciting as watching paint dry, also! And if you do hit something interesting, it switches, then you have to toggle through 145 channels to try and catch it before it changes again..... Cordless are much more fun, you usually have some idea who is actually talking, and it stays put..... Importing is nothing - But, I hear the hot spot is stuff coming across from Canada through Chicago.

The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> wrote in message news:442911830BF3BCA6.238B23419EB46E4E.0BD4B67A62FCF55C@lp.airnews.net...
> On 6 Aug 2001 21:11:05 -0500, just@curious.com wrote:
>
> >I see the add in Monitoring Times for Radio World in Canada every
> >month guaranteeing delivery into the USA. I would like to know if
> >any body out there has successfully received a un-blocked scanner
> >in the USA from Canada or Europe? Thanks.
>
> First let me say that for the most part, listening to cell calls
> (should anyone - not me - choose to do it) is usually about as
> exciting as watching grass grow. On average only 30/40
> seconds of a call can be heard before the channel switches,
> and -I'm told- what you will hear is mostly women ragging about
> their husbands and boyfriends, and teenage girls babbling
> about nothing coherent.
>
> I do, however, understand your desire to have the ability to
> receive such transmissions, even though you may quickly loose
> interest. Our legislators in the US love to deal with "illegal
> behavior" by taking removing a liberty rather then trusting us.
> I feel there is something fundamentally un-american about that.
>
> Also, don't get me started on those hideous low-flow
> toilets again!

3043. Re: 940.8625 Can U ID ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-07 23:48:56 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <WayneOHere@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <9kpvi1$1qe$1@suaar1ac.prod.compuserve.com>
Source record: 61657

"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3b6778ff.435732757@news.erols.com...
> Howdy,
>
> 940.8625 (with maybe some wiggle room) heard on AR8200MKII
> approx. 10P EST. across all modes but clearly best in NFM. Rhythmic,
> "digital-type" multi-layered sound source. Satellite ?? Can U ID ??

Only if you lower your shields enough to tell us your location.

3044. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 00:03:58 UTC
From: globalcabl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010807200358.10249.00003762@ng-cr1.aol.com>
Source record: 61658

All my scanners are from Radioworld. They are good folks

3045. Re: Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 01:29:12 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15da35c594bb24d69896e6@news>
Source record: 61659
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I wasn't laughing at the 2004, I actually own one.

What I was laughing at is somebody that is willing to wait for a
response that might never come instead of typing a few words into a
search engine. That might be why I offered two search engines to help
the poor lost lad out. Dogpile rocks.

In article <3B704DDB.D4E9CFCD@ozwebip.Spam.com.au>,
kevcat@ozwebip.Spam.com.au says...
> I don't know what you laughing at they are a good scanner, equal to most
> of the new gear
>
> there are a few mods for this radio
> namely and extra 100 channels,
> 30KHz steps in the 800MHz band
> faster scan speed,
> discriminator tap,
> most done by just cutting a few diodes(not surface mounts)
>
> got to www.mods.dk and check it out there
>
> Kev
>
> Glenn wrote:
> >
> > In article <B4ub7.4039$1p1.384066@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> > michael55@worldnet.att.net says...
> > > I'm looking for anything on this Scanner Mods Etc.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > ROFLMAO!
> >
> > This should keep you busy for a few minutes:
> > http://search.dogpile.com/texis/search?q=pro+2004+mods&geo=no&fs=web
> > http://www.google.com/search?q=pro+2004+mods
> >
> > Not that you shouldn't ask questions but next time save yourself some
> > time and try one of these first.
> >
> > http://www.google.com
> > or my favorite
> > http://search.dogpile.com

> >
> > YWIA,
> >
> > Glenn
>

3046. Handheld scanner...which one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 01:44:57 UTC
From: Alex Ly <koopas@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9kq5rh$26r$1@news.tamu.edu>
Source record: 61670
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello,

I want to buy a handheld scanner to listen to Air Traffic Control. I have no idea which one to buy. I am not looking for a fancy one, just one that will get the job done with a good signal, a good all-around performer. Are there reviews on the web? Any personal recommendations? I've only seen two major brands out there, being Radio Shack and Uniden. Why not more competition?

Also, would you buy it from online auction places like ebay?

Thanks a bunch.
Alex

3047. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 01:56:15 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9kq64f0tnr@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 61661

I like radioworld too. But I live in canada so it is simple to get a unblocked canadian scanner. what is he point of listening to cells. it isn't very much fun listening to strangers. better to hear your friends talk about you.

"GlobalCabl" <globalcabl@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010807200358.10249.00003762@ng-cr1.aol.com...
> All my scanners are from Radioworld. They are good folks

3048. OOPS..... I MEANT BEAR CAT780 XLT.... AND PROJECT 25...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 01:57:58 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3b709bca.380668169@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 61660

Hi all...
i'm sorry i meant to mention the bearcat 780xlt... not the bc 250...
i saw the article in mobile radio technology they mention project 25
and the bc 780xlt any body have one of these babies? or are they too
new and only in the r&d stage? thanjks gig
gig@i-2000.com

3049. Re: PRO-2006 DC adapter question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 03:35:02 UTC
From: joe36@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3b70b278.84580969@news.istep.com>
Source record: 61662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Any REGULATED external power supply will work fine as long as it
provides enough current. Look at your manual for the 2006 (I am too
lazy to dig mine out) but if I remember right, it needs a bit more
than 500 ma. Apx 1 amp, I believe.

As to 12 v 13.8, as previous person noted, 13.8 is actual car battery
voltage but 12 will work fine.

What no one else mentioned is that by using an external supply, you
prolong the life of the radio because there is no buildup of heat from
the power transformer. I have used external power and my 2006 works
fine after more than 12 years of use.

On Sun, 05 Aug 2001 20:25:28 -0400, Bill Seward
<seward@northstate.net> wrote:

>A question about my PRO-2006: For DC power, the manual calls for 13.8
>VDC, no milliamps specified. RatShack has one, specs at...
>http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F004%5F00
1%5F001%5F000&product%5Fid=273%2D1631
>that's a multiple voltage output, including 13 VDC at 800 mA. Would
>this one be safe to use? I know that as a general rule, electronics
>really hate to be fed low voltages...
>
>Bill Seward
>http://www.geocities.com/bill_seward
>

3050. AOR 8200 MkII B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 03:39:19 UTC
From: globalcabl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010807233919.29343.00003014@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 61663

Just got one, wanted to let anyone know who's interested, GREAT RADIO!

3051. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 03:42:16 UTC
From: globalcabl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010807234216.29343.00003015@ng-cu1.aol.com>
Source record: 61664

Yes I like cell phone listening but I like the ABILITY to listen even more
Having the government tell you what you can and can't listen to???????
Crazy....

3052. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 03:56:56 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <zbrlecic@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B70B8B5.7D706AED@earthlink.net>
Source record: 61665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

Hal Turner Radio Show wrote:

> Even I would feel sorry for someone with only three listeners. Thank
> goodness my web site stats prove otherwise for my show! Over 7,000 visits a
> day from people in 100 countries

A public toilet gets just as many visits. Now piss off.

WA7AA

--
Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!

3053. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 04:19:16 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <31D2A5D5C807274E.7FFFD49161B48A9F.5F7F9983B7BAE296@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 61666

On 08 Aug 2001 00:03:58 GMT, globalcabl@aol.com (GlobalCabl) wrote:

>All my scanners are from Radioworld. They are good folks

Do they have a website?

3054. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 04:19:17 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <54103B996CB47FC0.736DE40D49945745.96E8171E2489AAC8@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 61667

On Tue, 7 Aug 2001 18:44:41 -0500, "Don Parker"
<tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote:

>You forgot to mention it's usually about as exciting as watching paint dry,
>also! And if you do hit something interesting, it switches, then you have
>to toggle through 145 channels to try and catch it before it changes
>again........ Cordless are much more fun, you usually have some idea who is
>actually talking, and it stays put..... Importing is nothing - But, I hear
>the hot spot is stuff coming across from Canada through Chicago.
>

Also regarding horrible US low-flow toilets I mentioned.
There is a large black market importing decent working
toilets from Canada also! :-)

3055. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 05:16:29 UTC
From: Hal Turner Radio Show <Hal.Turner@HalTurnerShow.com>
Message-ID: <NW3c7.63964$uj2.10377628@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 61668
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Zoran Brlecic" <zbrlecic@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3B70B8B5.7D706AED@earthlink.net...
> Hal Turner Radio Show wrote:
>
> > Even I would feel sorry for someone with only three listeners. Thank
> > goodness my web site stats prove otherwise for my show! Over 7,000
visits a
> > day from people in 100 countries
>
> A public toilet gets just as many visits. Now piss off.
>
> WA7AA
>
> --
> Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!
>
>

How would you know? Are you counting visitors while waiting for another guy
to service?

3056. Re: cordless phone receiving range for a hand held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 05:47:58 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <21579-3B70D28E-41@storefull-153.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61669

Probably the most unenforcable law since that mattress tag thing,
warning you to not remove 'UNDER PENALTY OF LAW'.

3057. FREQUENCIES NEEDED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 12:08:16 UTC
From: rong@computer.net
Message-ID: <3b712b56.4634664@news.computer.net>
Source record: 61671

Does anyone know of an online frequency guide ??? Many of the police agencies
in my area have changed frequencies. I'm 50 miles north of NYC.

Thanks,

RON
****
Ron Goldstein.....KA2IIA
STAY YOUNG---DRIVE A VINTAGE 427 CORVETTE.
KEEP YOUR MIND ACTIVE---BECOME AN AMATEUR RADIO OPERATOR.

REMEMBER, THERE ARE NO STRANGERS IN AMATEUR RADIO, ONLY FRIENDS
THAT WE HAVE NOT YET MET.
rong@computer.net******ka2iia@arrl.net

3058. Pro 25 queston

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 12:18:12 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b727da4_4@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 61624

I have a Pro 25 ,I want to put a discriminator tap in. Has anybody done the
tap on this radio? Were is it located?
Please email me.Thanks
Eagle@ez-net.com
--
Shawn McKenna

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3059. Re: BEAT THE HELL OUT OF THIS GUY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 13:15:33 UTC
From: Ed Foster <erfoster@nospam.mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <erfoster-BDDDEC.09153508082001@wbnws01.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In article <NW3c7.63964$uj2.10377628@news02.optonline.net>,
"Hal Turner Radio Show" <Hal.Turner@HalTurnerShow.com> wrote:

> "Zoran Brlecic" <zbrlecic@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3B70B8B5.7D706AED@earthlink.net...
> > Hal Turner Radio Show wrote:
> >
> > > Even I would feel sorry for someone with only three listeners. Thank
> > > goodness my web site stats prove otherwise for my show! Over 7,000
> visits a
> > > day from people in 100 countries
> >
> > A public toilet gets just as many visits. Now piss off.
> >
> > WA7AA
> >
> > --
> > Support freedom of speech, or I'll kill you!
> >
> >
>
> How would you know? Are you counting visitors while waiting for another guy
> to service?

Hey Hal, get a shrink to explain transference to you. It would explain
why you're fixated on homosexuals.

3060. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 14:42:52 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010808104252.21495.00005548@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 61673

In article <54103B996CB47FC0.736DE40D49945745.96E8171E2489AAC8@lp.airnews.net>,
The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> writes:

>Also regarding horrible US low-flow toilets I mentioned.
>There is a large black market importing decent working
>toilets from Canada also! :-)

As there soon will be for top-loading washing machines. The government has
banned these, owing to "environmental concerns", beginning in 2006.

Didn't hear about it on the so-called "news" media, did you?

3061. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 14:42:53 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010808104253.21495.00005549@nso-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 61674

In article <9kq64f0tnr@enews1.newsguy.com>, "Dev" <.> writes:

>I like radioworld too. But I live in canada so it is simple to get a
>unblocked canadian scanner. what is he point of listening to cells. it isn't
>very much fun listening to strangers. better to hear your friends talk about
>you.

Right. Cordless, in other words. As Mister Rogers says, "It's the people in
your neighborhood."

3062. Scanners lacking in 800MHz Sensitivity: Improvement possible ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 15:26:25 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010808112625.16171.00000500@ng-fd1.aol.com>
Source record: 61675

I am having very good luck with our local Motorola public safety trunking systems.
I do, however, find that some scanners seem less sensitive than others, but that all, so far (BC 895XLT, PRO-2066, PRO-2067) that I've used are generally low in sensitivity.

Have others observed this problem? I doubt that the sensitivity in the 800-900MHz band can be tweaked or modified on any of them, but if anyone knows of a way or of anyone who can do this, I'd like to know.

Thanks,

Geo.

3063. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 17:15:38 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010808131538.04280.00002079@mb-mh.news.cs.com>
Source record: 61676

>Subject: Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada
>From: globalcabl@aol.com (GlobalCabl)
>Date: 8/7/01 11:42 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010807234216.29343.00003015@ng-cu1.aol.com>
>
>Yes I like cell phone listening but I like the ABILITY to listen even more
>Having the government tell you what you can and can't listen to???????
>Crazy....
>

I have always seen the Cell/Cordless Monitoring as more than a legal issue, it is something that goes beyond that; more of a Moral and Hobby Destruction thing.

When the News gets a story about someone who leaks information overheard on a Scanner it seems as though those who never owned a Scanner says: How can that be, lets make a law, require permits, ban them, and so on.

Granted there will always be a way to do whatever you want regardless of laws in place to "prevent" or otherwise steer most away from it.. be it killing, fraud, or building a Nuke in the basement... but some will do it regardless.

I just hope that if someone does use a Imported or Modified Scanner to work the Cordless or Cellular Service Bands that they keep it to themself, all we need is more regulations or an eventual ban of Scanners... as some say is the case with guns after a tragic incident.

I once read "They can have my Scanner when they pry it from my cold dead fingers"

I hope it never comes to that !

NOTE ON SIGNATURE:
(Cellular, Cordless, Encrypted and Remote TV Pickups are not included in this statement)

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

3064. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 17:20:26 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9krs9908nf@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 61678

www.radioworld.ca

"The_AntiArt" <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> wrote in message
news:31D2A5D5C807274E.7FFFD49161B48A9F.5F7F9983B7BAE296@lp.airnews.net...
> On 08 Aug 2001 00:03:58 GMT, globalcabl@aol.com (GlobalCabl) wrote:
>
> >All my scanners are from Radioworld. They are good folks
>
> Do they have a website?

3065. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 17:23:48 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9krsfh08va@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 61682

it sort of makes me glad to live in Canada. We have low flow toilets now.
But they fill the same there is just a lever that stops the tank from filing
up lots. hehe. stillthe same old fat ones with a adapter tht can be removed.
hehe. and damn. I will not get rid of our washer.

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010808104252.21495.00005548@nso-ch.aol.com...
> In article
<54103B996CB47FC0.736DE40D49945745.96E8171E2489AAC8@lp.airnews.net>,
> The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> writes:
>
> >Also regarding horrible US low-flow toilets I mentioned.
> >There is a large black market importing decent working
> >toilets from Canada also! :-)
>
> As there soon will be for top-loading washing machines. The government
has
> banned these, owing to "environmental concerns", beginning in 2006.
>
> Didn't hear about it on the so-called "news" media, did you?

3066. Re: Handheld scanner...which one?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 17:59:44 UTC
From: Bob <Bob_Ruzzo@nksd.net>
Message-ID: <9krumc$67pja$1@ID-84760.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61677
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

How far do you live from the airport? With a handheld scanner your range will be limited. For all practical purposes, either the Uniden or Radio Shack will work fine. If you live close to the airport you should get by fine with the rubber duck, but an outside antenna like a small groundplane will help alot. You can get one of those radio shack groundplane antennas. The small one with 3 radials and a vertical element about 18" long. That will work very well for you. bob

3067. !!WTB: Used Uniden 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 18:22:53 UTC
From: sctreact@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010808142253.05128.00004808@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 61679

HI,

I am looking to buy a used/like new Uniden 780 XLT

Please reply via private email if you have one for sale.

THANKS

-Peter

3068. Re: Scanners lacking in 800MHz Sensitivity: Improvement possible ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 18:43:16 UTC
From: labtech1@aol.com.nospam
Message-ID: <20010808144316.25076.00006089@ng-fo1.aol.com>
Source record: 61680

>Subject: Scanners lacking in 800MHz Sensitivity: Improvement possible ??
>From: leadenwah@aol.com (LEADENWAH)

>so far (BC 895XLT, PRO-2066, PRO-2067) that I've used are generally
>low in sensitivity.

800 mhz systems are generally "line of sight" .... if you live in a "hilly" area the
signals will not be as strong as VHF or UHF. I have a pro 2066 installed in my car, and am able to follow trunked systems
25 miles away, using the car antenna ... but where I live its real flat.

The radios themselves are a compromise, having to tune from 30 Mhz to 900 mhz is a pretty big spread.

try using an outside antenna (as high as possible) and short runs of coax.

labtech1@nospam.aol.com

3069. Re: PRO-2006 DC adapter question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 19:29:33 UTC
From: Voobie Brutha #1 <voobie@brother.com>
Message-ID: <xqgc7.84529$EP6.20310215@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 61681
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

13.8 v is charging voltage on a 12v battery.
If the battery is not being charged it is 12 v
<joe36@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> As to 12 v 13.8, as previous person >noted, 13.8 is actual car battery
> voltage but 12 will work fine.

3070. Re: Scanners lacking in 800MHz Sensitivity: Improvement possible ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 19:46:44 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tn35mvd0lpci87@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61683

Add the BC780XLT to the list..... Best I've found is the Yupi MVT7100,
and it even beats big brother MVT9000 by a nose, but then you lack PC
control, trunking, etc....
I'm looking at a AR-5000 (needless to say trunking isn't my first priority),
but first I have to sell a few kids into slavery - are there web sites for
this??????
Cheers n beers
Don

LEADENWAH <leadenwah@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010808112625.16171.00000500@ng-fd1.aol.com...
> I am having very good luck with our local Motorola public safety trunking
> systems.
> I do, however, find that some scanners seem less sensitive than others,
but
> that all, so far (BC 895XLT, PRO-2066, PRO-2067) that I've used are
generally
> low in sensitivity.
>
> Have others observed this problem? I doubt that the sensitivity in the
> 800-900MHz band can be tweaked or modified on any of them, but if anyone
knows
> of a way or of anyone who can do this, I'd like to know.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Geo.

3071. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 20:05:34 UTC
From: jwfrank@cablespeed.com
Message-ID: <tn36se3g7ifm00@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61684

In article <9krs9908nf@enews4.newsguy.com>, . says...
>
>www.radioworld.ca
>
Note: you will pay a premium for that un-blocked scanner
from them. They figured out the US types were willing to pay lots
more than the going price in the US for these so they charge what
the traffic will bear. Depending on the brand you might look into
Bandercom, Finland, who also sells un-blocked scanners to US folks.

John

3072. Digital scanner by Uniden?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 20:33:16 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010808163316.21447.00001468@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 61685

Has anyone heard anything on the progress of the scanner? Rumor has it , that Uniden was in the race for getting these on the market.
I would sure like to be updated on this, if there is page that is letting people in on the latest productions.
Thank you in advance...
tramd300@aol.com

3073. Re: Scanners lacking in 800MHz Sensitivity: Improvement possible ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 21:31:48 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B71AFC2.71EB0621@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61686

Basically, the receivers all have the same sensitivity over the
frequency ranges up to 800 MHz. The PRO-92, for example, has a
specified sensitivity of 0.7 microVolts in the 800-960 MHz band, vs.
0.5 microVolt in the 137-174 MHz band. A loss in sensitivity of 3 dB.

The real culprit has to do with an effect summarized in the literature
as the Friis Transmission Formula (run an Internet search on this).
Basically, an antenna, any antenna, has what is called an "aperture".
The aperture is the effective area of the antenna which intercepts the
transmitted power. If the antenna were a horn antenna, the aperture
would be the open area of the horn. The aperture of a dipole type
antenna is approximately (wavelength)^2/4*Pi. I.e., the aperture is
proportional to the square of the wavelength, or the inverse aquare of
the frequency. Plugging in 850MHz and 150MHz, for example, we see
that a 150MHz dipole has 32 times the aperture of an 850MHz dipole.
Or, you will receive 15 dB more power at 150 MHz than at 850MHz for
the same transmitted power. The transmitting antennas are usually
peaked to maximum gain, which is roughly independent of frequency, and
detemined by mechanical tolernces, etc.

For the PRO-92, for example, this means that the receiver will be 18
dB (3 + 15) more sensitive at 150MHz than at 850MHz. And, there is
very little the receiver manufacturers can do about it!

Of course, since most VHF/UHF transmissions are "line-of-sight", it is
usually your line-of-sight range rather than your sensitivity which
determines whether you will recerive a given transmission. But, put
your receiver in a car, or in the basement of a mall, and one may very
well be better off with a 150MHz systen than with an 850MHz system.
Something which many fire/public safety departments are wrestling with
right now.

Walt

LEADENWAH wrote:
>
> I am having very good luck with our local Motorola public safety trunking
> systems.
> I do, however, find that some scanners seem less sensitive than others, but
> that all, so far (BC 895XLT, PRO-2066, PRO-2067) that I've used are generally
> low in sensitivity.
>
> Have others observed this problem? I doubt that the sensitivity in the
> 800-900MHz band can be tweaked or modified on any of them, but if anyone knows
> of a way or of anyone who can do this, I'd like to know.
>

> Thanks,
>
> Geo.

3074. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 21:43:29 UTC
From: root@no_comment.com
Message-ID: <3b71b228.206300794@nntp.ext.ray.com>
Source record: 61687

On Wed, 08 Aug 2001 21:26:59 GMT, E. Normous <noone@home.org> wrote:

>On 08 Aug 2001 14:42:52 GMT, toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios)
>wrote:
>
>>In article <54103B996CB47FC0.736DE40D49945745.96E8171E2489AAC8@lp.airnews.net>,
>>The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> writes:
>>
>>>Also regarding horrible US low-flow toilets I mentioned.
>>>There is a large black market importing decent working
>>>toilets from Canada also! :-)
>>
>>As there soon will be for top-loading washing machines. The government has
>>banned these, owing to "environmental concerns", beginning in 2006.
>>
>>Didn't hear about it on the so-called "news" media, did you?
>
>This is the first I've heard about the washers. Would you please cite
>a source? I would love to research this thing.
>

Try this.......

http://www.google.com/search?q=%22front+loading+washing+machine%22+AND+%22EPA%22&hl=en&safe=off

This was some EPA "rule" cheered by the greenies.

3075. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 22:42:44 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b71bfa3.1109277906@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61688

On Wed, 08 Aug 2001 20:05:34 -0000, jwfrank@cablespeed.com (John Frank) wrote:

>In article <9krs9908nf@enews4.newsguy.com>, . says...
>>
>>www.radioworld.ca
>>
> Note: you will pay a premium for that un-blocked scanner
>from them. They figured out the US types were willing to pay lots
>more than the going price in the US for these so they charge what
>the traffic will bear. Depending on the brand you might look into
>Bandercom, Finland, who also sells un-blocked scanners to US folks.
>
>John
>

Another place to try is Javiation in the UK. Owner Jonathan was
willing to sell me a perfectly new AR8200MKII for 350 pounds. At
that time about 500.00US and 59.00US cheaper than Radioworld.ca.
You can read reviews of these merchants at www.strongsignals.net

3076. Re: Scanners lacking in 800MHz Sensitivity: Improvement possible ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 23:07:56 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <gDjc7.79178$uj2.11296311@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 61689

True. Free Space Path Loss quadruples when you double the frequency (everything else being equal). So an 800 MHz signal has 6 dB more path loss than one at 400 MHz. That's not even taking into account additional attenuation due to foliage, etc. which tends to be worse at 800 MHz. Add to that the fact that your transmission line is much more lossy at 800 MHz.

Of course, everything else is not always equal. The good news is it's easier to get antenna gain at 800 MHz due to the shorter wavelength. If you increase the gain of the transmit and receive antennas by 3 dB each, you get that 6 dB back. But most scanner listeners aren't using an antenna designed specifically for 800 MHz.

Best thing you can do is use good coax and get the antenna as high as possible. The next step would be to try a directional gain antenna. You can easily modify a UHF TV antenna (corner reflector type) for vertical polarization. Maybe even add a low noise preamp up at the antenna. That will do a pretty good job at 800 MHz.

Art Harris, N2AH

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:3B71AFC2.71EB0621@worldnet.att.net...
>
> The real culprit has to do with an effect summarized in the literature
> as the Friis Transmission Formula (run an Internet search on this).
> Basically, an antenna, any antenna, has what is called an "aperture".
> The aperture is the effective area of the antenna which intercepts the
> transmitted power. If the antenna were a horn antenna, the aperture
> would be the open area of the horn. The aperture of a dipole type
> antenna is approximately (wavelength)^2/4*Pi. I.e., the aperture is
> proportional to the square of the wavelength, or the inverse aquare of
> the frequency. Plugging in 850MHz and 150MHz, for example, we see
> that a 150MHz dipole has 32 times the aperture of an 850MHz dipole.
> Or, you will receive 15 dB more power at 150 MHz than at 850MHz for
> the same transmitted power. The transmitting antennas are usually
> peaked to maximum gain, which is roughly independent of frequency, and
> detemined by mechanical tolernces, etc.
>
> For the PRO-92, for example, this means that the receiver will be 18
> dB (3 + 15) more sensitive at 150MHz than at 850MHz. And, there is
> very little the receiver manufacturers can do about it!
>
> Of course, since most VHF/UHF transmissions are "line-of-sight", it is
> usually your line-of-sight range rather than your sensitivity which
> determines whether you will recerive a given transmission. But, put

> your receiver in a car, or in the basement of a mall, and one may very
> well be better off with a 150MHz systen than with an 850MHz system.
> Something which many fire/public safety departments are wrestling with
> right now.
>
> Walt
>

3077. CC Radio .....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-08 23:31:56 UTC
From: John Smith <someone@somewhere.com>
Message-ID: <MZjc7.869$Fc7.86347@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 61690

Is this the right group to get some info on the CC Radio and find out if its as good as it states.?

many thanks

3078. receiving range for 800mhz trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 00:06:06 UTC
From: gamehendgegnome@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010808200606.19273.00003272@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 61691

I'm using an average hand held w/o trunking, works fine for my small town and surrounding counties.
now for the question, The largest "city" near me is 25 miles away (chattanooga, tn) They use trunking, should i be able to hear them ok from this far out? I have no idea what the average distance scanners have on police/emergency bands, i'm new to all this. thanks in advance for any help.

gnome

3079. Re: CC Radio .....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 00:09:03 UTC
From: gamehendgegnome@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010808200903.19273.00003274@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 61692

>Is this the right group to get some info on the CC Radio and find out if its
>as good as it states.?
>
> many thanks
>

If Art Bell says its good, then it must be.

Sorry i really dont know much about them other than they are suppose to be
good,
Many people buy them, they are ALways on "back order" from C Crane Company

gnome

3080. Re: PRO-2006 DC adapter question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 00:16:29 UTC
From: Ma'sbisques <lostinspace@united.net>
Message-ID: <9kskl7$m1i$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

noope, 500 per the manual and the adapter recommended, you guys must be
engineers that are totally insecure with yourselves!

<joe36@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3b70b278.84580969@news.istep.com...
> Any REGULATED external power supply will work fine as long as it
> provides enough current. Look at your manual for the 2006 (I am too
> lazy to dig mine out) but if I remember right, it needs a bit more
> than 500 ma. Apx 1 amp, I believe.
>
> As to 12 v 13.8, as previous person noted, 13.8 is actual car battery
> voltage but 12 will work fine.
>
> What no one else mentioned is that by using an external supply, you
> prolong the life of the radio because there is no buildup of heat from
> the power transformer. I have used external power and my 2006 works
> fine after more than 12 years of use.
>
> On Sun, 05 Aug 2001 20:25:28 -0400, Bill Seward
> <seward@northstate.net> wrote:
>
> >A question about my PRO-2006: For DC power, the manual calls for 13.8
> >VDC, no milliamps specified. RatShack has one, specs at...
>
>http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=C
TLG%5F004%5F001%5F001%5F000&product%5Fid=273%2D1631
> >that's a multiple voltage output, including 13 VDC at 800 mA. Would
> >this one be safe to use? I know that as a general rule, electronics
> >really hate to be fed low voltages...
> >
> >Bill Seward
> >http://www.geocities.com/bill_seward
> >
>

3081. Are 900 mhz cordless, Blocked ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 00:16:58 UTC
From: gamehendgegnome@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010808201658.19287.00003459@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 61694

are the 900 mhz cordless phones blocked from scanners that reach 965 mhz.?
or are there other scrambling devices on the average 900mhz phone?
in other words with a scanner like a Bearcat 895XLT should you be able to hear
a 900mhz cordless just as well as a 43-49mhz cordless?

3082. Winscan 780 Radio>PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 00:36:54 UTC
From: Dan Kelly <dankelly@home.com>
Message-ID: <GWkc7.228558$mG4.105218912@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61695

I can't transfer frequencies from the 780 to Winscan. I am going through a switchbox since I have other devices connected to the same Comm port. I changed the settings in the BIOS for this Comm port with no luck. I've left a message with Pozilla Tech Support but from some other messages here that looks like a long shot. Any help is appreciated.

Thanks,
Dan

3083. Re: Scanners lacking in 800MHz Sensitivity: Improvement possible ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 00:39:01 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B71DBA3.29D52F5E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61696

True. But I don't think that firefighter trying to get help in the basement of a burning, collapsing, building really wants to worry about having to carry around, and set up, in the proper direction, and then extend it on a 100' mast, a high-gain antenna. Monitoring base stations is one thing; using handhelds in a real life, life or death, situation, is another thing.

Walt

Harris wrote:
>
> True. Free Space Path Loss quadruples when you double the frequency
> (everything else being equal). So an 800 MHz signal has 6 dB more path loss
> than one at 400 MHz. That's not even taking into account additional
> attenuation due to foliage, etc. which tends to be worse at 800 MHz. Add to
> that the fact that your transmission line is much more lossy at 800 MHz.
>
> Of course, everything else is not always equal. The good news is it's easier
> to get antenna gain at 800 MHz due to the shorter wavelength. If you
> increase the gain of the transmit and receive antennas by 3 dB each, you get
> that 6 dB back. But most scanner listeners aren't using an antenna designed
> specifically for 800 MHz.
>
> Best thing you can do is use good coax and get the antenna as high as
> possible. The next step would be to try a directional gain antenna. You can
> easily modify a UHF TV antenna (corner reflector type) for vertical
> polarization. Maybe even add a low noise preamp up at the antenna. That will
> do a pretty good job at 800 MHz.
>
> Art Harris, N2AH
>
> "Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:3B71AFC2.71EB0621@worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > The real culprit has to do with an effect summarized in the literature
> > as the Friis Transmission Formula (run an Internet search on this).
> > Basically, an antenna, any antenna, has what is called an "aperture".
> > The aperture is the effective area of the antenna which intercepts the
> > transmitted power. If the antenna were a horn antenna, the aperture
> > would be the open area of the horn. The aperture of a dipole type
> > antenna is approximately (wavelength)^2/4*Pi. I.e., the aperture is
> > proportional to the square of the wavelength, or the inverse aquare of
> > the frequency. Plugging in 850MHz and 150MHz, for example, we see
> > that a 150MHz dipole has 32 times the aperture of an 850MHz dipole.
> > Or, you will receive 15 dB more power at 150 MHz than at 850MHz for

> > the same transmitted power. The transmitting antennas are usually
> > peaked to maximum gain, which is roughly independent of frequency, and
> > detemined by mechanical tolernces, etc.
> >
> > For the PRO-92, for example, this means that the receiver will be 18
> > dB (3 + 15) more sensitive at 150MHz than at 850MHz. And, there is
> > very little the receiver manufacturers can do about it!
> >
> > Of course, since most VHF/UHF transmissions are "line-of-sight", it is
> > usually your line-of-sight range rather than your sensitivity which
> > determines whether you will recerive a given transmission. But, put
> > your receiver in a car, or in the basement of a mall, and one may very
> > well be better off with a 150MHz systen than with an 850MHz system.
> > Something which many fire/public safety departments are wrestling with
> > right now.
> >
> > Walt
> >

3084. Re: Are 900 mhz cordless, Blocked ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 00:41:17 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B71DC2C.5D6B8657@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61597

I believe only the cell phone frequencies are blocked. It is still
illegal to monitor ANY phone transmissions; cell, cordless, whatever.

gamehendge gnome wrote:
>
> are the 900 mhz cordless phones blocked from scanners that reach 965 mhz.?
> or are there other scrambling devices on the average 900mhz phone?
> in other words with a scanner like a Bearcat 895XLT should you be able to hear
> a 900mhz cordless just as well as a 43-49mhz cordless?

3085. Re: Are 900 mhz cordless, Blocked ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 01:16:11 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010808211611.21860.00003732@ng-fx1.aol.com>
Source record: 61598

900 meg phones are scannable if they are not digitial and/or spread spectrum transmissions. You will find that 900 meg phones will be scannable from farther distances than the old freq phones.
Eric G.
shut your mouth and open your eyes.....

3086. Re: Winscan 780 Radio>PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 01:33:44 UTC
From: mailme@rizzap.com
Message-ID: <3b71e846.188825266@news.uia.net>
Source record: 61599

You should've CC'd the Easter Bunny and Santa Claus while you're at it.

On Thu, 09 Aug 2001 00:36:54 GMT, "Dan Kelly" <dankelly@home.com> wrote:

> I've left
>a message with Pozilla Tech Support

3087. Re: PRO-2006 DC adapter question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 01:42:57 UTC
From: Bill Seward <seward@northstate.net>
Message-ID: <49q3nt0is36s4721jj815ek5p1a05lap69@4ax.com>
Source record: 61600
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

joe36@yahoo.com, hoping against hope for wisdom from USENET, wrote:

<snip>

>What no one else mentioned is that by using an external supply, you
>prolong the life of the radio because there is no buildup of heat from
>the power transformer. I have used external power and my 2006 works
>fine after more than 12 years of use.

Interesting you mention this, since it was the reason behind the
question. I have seen this same statement elsewhere, on the web IIRC.

I've had someone else tell me that they run theirs off regular wall
current, that the scanner is on 24x7 and he has no problems. Not to
change the subject in the middle, but would anyone else care to
comment on this? I've run mine off wall current, and the case does
get warm, but not what I'd call objectionably so--at least not to the
point I'd worry a lot about heat buildup. However, given what a 2006
still costs, and that I can do some interesting things with it that
are difficult to impossible with newer scanners, I'm loathe to do
anything to lessen its life.

Or am I worrying about silly stuff?

Bill Seward
http://www.geocities.com/bill_seward

3088. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 01:56:02 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010808215602.09260.00001289@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 61601

I would have to agree that open and boastful postings which brag about illegal monitoring only bring further and unjustified regulation.

Motorla began selling digital encryption to law enforcement agencies with illustrated ads showing several seedy criminal types hovered around on of the early model Bearcat programmable scanners. They unfairly, but very effectively tied scanner use to the images of thugs, bums and criminals.

Irresponsible postings about monitoring cell phones and other restricted signals will only serveto advance that image.

Geo.

3089. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 01:56:56 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010808215656.28908.00006157@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 61602

Could we please take all this horseshit to :

rec.stronger.shitholes

and leave this group for scanner talk?
Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3090. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 01:57:55 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010808215755.28908.00006158@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 61604

>NOTE ON SIGNATURE:
>(Cellular, Cordless, Encrypted and Remote TV Pickups are not included in this
>statement)

>Palm Beach Scanner
>If its on the Air We Scan it!
>Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanne

What a spineless twit......
Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3091. Re: Winscan 780 Radio>PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 01:58:19 UTC
From: Bill Crocker <bcrocker@home.com>
Message-ID: <%6mc7.20323$K6.7573325@news2>
Source record: 61605

If I were you, I'd demand a refund!

Go with TrunkStar780!

Bill Crocker

"Dan Kelly" <dankelly@home.com> wrote in message
news:GWkc7.228558$mG4.105218912@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> I can't transfer frequencies from the 780 to Winscan. I am going through a
> switchbox since I have other devices connected to the same Comm port. I
> changed the settings in the BIOS for this Comm port with no luck. I've
left
> a message with Pozilla Tech Support but from some other messages here that
> looks like a long shot. Any help is appreciated.
>
> Thanks,
> Dan
>
>

3092. FS: Trident TR-2400 / AR-1500 1000ch *Pre Cell Blck $220

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 02:02:01 UTC
From: Early Bender-Werth <ebw@tez.net>
Message-ID: <9ksqmdhbo1@box9.cho.cstone.net>
Source record: 61603
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

We bought this Trident TR-2400 1000ch wide range monitor / scanner new in 1994 for $499 before the final cell frequency ban. Scans 100khz-2060mhz. Same type /
manufacturer of unit as sold under different names (Camnis , AOR , Fairmate). Low use, clean and very nice condition (never dropped). This was one of the last units with no cell block (scans clean through 800-900mhz). See below for pics and specs. With original box, unused NIMH batteries, car adapter, AC adapter and manual. $220 + shipping.

See picture of our unit here:

http://www.hifitown.com/trident_tr2400.jpg

Walt & Early ** + Shipping - For USA: Prepay by United States Postal Money Order (available only at Post Office). Overseas ok.

3093. Re: Software recommendations for Uniden BC780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 02:22:01 UTC
From: Ender <SBender@home.com>
Message-ID: <5hr3ntsu9v539ckul6gpqgitbaqb5ubtba@4ax.com>
Source record: 61606

<snip>
>Thanks for any assistance you can provide.

I have used WinScan and TrunkStar with the BC780XLT. I have found that TrunkStar does an excellent job of handling frequency databases and it is fairly intuitive with a low learning curve. Setting up a trunking system is a breeze and manipulating multiple profiles for your scanner is easily done. Cutting and pasting entire banks to allow you to logically set your scanner up is easily done. Entering alpha tags, PL codes, and other such data is painless. If you care to use the radio control interface it allows easy switching of scan modes and search modes.

WinScan seemed cumbersome to me, and more troubling was its tendency to corrupt data in my 780. The only sure way to clean up after it was to completely reset the memory in the 780 (power up while holding keys man, 2, and 9). Data entry for me was quite a bit slower using their interface. The radio control interface is much slicker looking than TrunkStar in my opinion (it looks just like the 780), but I didn't find it to be as usefully laid out as TrunkStar. Many people use it without any problems and I have seen more trading of user made databases with WinScan than with TrunkStar.

I personally prefer TrunkStar, but that's my opinion. You will find many supporters of both pieces of software so it's up to you to decide.

Enjoy the radio, it is really a fine one! You might want to browse the BC780XLT user group at the address below to see what has been discussed regarding software control of this radio.

Ender

TrunkStar software: http://www.scanstar.com/

WinScan software: http://www.mghusa.com/pozilla/

BC780XLT users: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UnidenBC780XLT

Remove the SB from my return email to reply by mail.

3094. marine band freqs ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 02:35:04 UTC
From: tomcatgbmi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010808223504.22517.00002933@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 61607

in the marine band, i noticed most of the channels have 2 freqs. do i have to program in both freqs to hear both sides of the conversation or is one on a repeater or something. going to ocean tommorow. need info ASAP. email response is preferred.

3095. Re: cordless phone receiving range for a hand held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 02:51:19 UTC
From: "R.D." <iwantitall2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <HUmc7.2960$mm.594818@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 61608

What about the FBI warning on video tapes????

"Vic Martinez" <t1000@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:21579-3B70D28E-41@storefull-153.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Probably the most unenforcable law since that mattress tag thing,
> warning you to not remove 'UNDER PENALTY OF LAW'.
>

3096. Re: CC Radio .....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 02:53:32 UTC
From: RDK <rdk1@atdial.net>
Message-ID: <3B71FB58.2ABE@atdial.net>
Source record: 61609

http://www.jandr.com had them on sale .

3097. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 03:01:48 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <BCAEE80F23F940BE.85CA54A477B7FF03.81B30AD47631087D@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 61610

On Wed, 08 Aug 2001 21:43:29 GMT, root@no_comment.com wrote:

>On Wed, 08 Aug 2001 21:26:59 GMT, E. Normous <noone@home.org> wrote:
>
>>On 08 Aug 2001 14:42:52 GMT, toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios)
>>wrote:
>>
>>>>In article <54103B996CB47FC0.736DE40D49945745.96E8171E2489AAC8@lp.airnews.net>,
>>>>The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> writes:
>>>>
>>>>>Also regarding horrible US low-flow toilets I mentioned.
>>>>>There is a large black market importing decent working
>>>>>toilets from Canada also! :-)
>>>>
>>>>As there soon will be for top-loading washing machines. The government has
>>>>banned these, owing to "environmental concerns", beginning in 2006.
>>>>
>>>>Didn't hear about it on the so-called "news" media, did you?
>>>
>>>This is the first I've heard about the washers. Would you please cite
>>>a source? I would love to research this thing.
>>>
>>
>>Try this.......
>>
>>
>>http://www.google.com/search?q=%22front+loading+washing+machine%22+AND+%22EPA%22&hl=en&sa
>>fe=off
>>
>>
>>This was some EPA "rule" cheered by the greenies.
>>
Oh crap. Thanks again to our "esteemed representatives".
Bought and paid for by Al Gore's cronies.

3098. Re: CC Radio .....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 03:16:06 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <5CF43DC914197E31.CBFB66AFB7DEBACC.893EED444E5FB00F@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 61611

On 09 Aug 2001 00:09:03 GMT, gamehendgegnome@aol.com (gamehendge
gnome) wrote:

>>Is this the right group to get some info on the CC Radio and find out if its
>>as good as it states.?
>>
>> many thanks
>>
>
>If Art Bell says its good, then it must be.
>
>Sorry i really dont know much about them other than they are suppose to be
>good,
>Many people buy them, they are ALways on "back order" from C Crane Company
>
>gnome

Yes, according to Arty, everything they sell is "built like a tank"
and almost out of stock.

Seriously, I have played with a CC Radio. It is feature-rich and
works very well, but at $160 I think it's a real stretch.

If you really need a good AM radio, just get a GE Super III. I think
they can be had for around $40 or so. As always, shop around!

3099. Re: Cleaning out the shack

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 03:30:18 UTC
From: Don <shyfire1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B7203CD.8B3C80BB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 61612

Hello, does anyone happen to know where I can get my hands on a copy of a service manual for the Regency D-810 Scanner?

I appreciate the help. I am also interested in finding manuals for other scanners as well. Thanks!!

Philip Knode wrote:

> Have for sell
> Pro-64 no box or manual 75 dollars
> Pro-2004 box and manual with 64 channel mod,search and store mod,signal
> strength led non working 75 dollars.
> Ubc 3000xlt box and manual 200 dollars
> Pro 2050 box and manual 100 dollars
> Bc-895 box and manual 150 dollars
> Sony Pc1 box and manual 125 dollars
> If interested email me at nickle911@aol.com the home address is not
> working.Phil

3100. Re: Scanners lacking in 800MHz Sensitivity: Improvement possible ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 03:32:41 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <C0957E449CF97844.649A33777CB54ADF.188883A4F5708F80@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 61614

On 08 Aug 2001 15:26:25 GMT, leadenwah@aol.com (LEADENWAH) wrote:

>I am having very good luck with our local Motorola public safety trunking
>systems.
>I do, however, find that some scanners seem less sensitive than others, but
>that all, so far (BC 895XLT, PRO-2066, PRO-2067) that I've used are generally
>low in sensitivity.
>
>Have others observed this problem? I doubt that the sensitivity in the
>800-900MHz band can be tweaked or modified on any of them, but if anyone knows
>of a way or of anyone who can do this, I'd like to know.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Geo.

About the best you can do in a practical sense (without carting
around some kind of external amp, or modding the scanner), is to just
replace the stock "duckie" antenna with something like a Watson Super
Gainer W-88 or a Diamond RH77xx type (which I use).

If you don't mind a telescoping whip, the Radio Shack 20-006 (which
I use on another radio) is a good performer for only ten bucks.

3101. Re: Are 900 mhz cordless, Blocked ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 04:22:30 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9kt32g0q7e@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 61615

except in canada it is not illegal. you can listen to it here. but as the other posts. if it is DSS you will not receive it yet on a scanner. maybe in the future. we can all hope.

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:3B71DC2C.5D6B8657@worldnet.att.net...
> I believe only the cell phone frequencies are blocked. It is still
> illegal to monitor ANY phone transmissions; cell, cordless, whatever.
>
> gamehendge gnome wrote:
> >
> > are the 900 mhz cordless phones blocked from scanners that reach 965 mhz.?
> > or are there other scrambling devices on the average 900mhz phone?
> > in other words with a scanner like a Bearcat 895XLT should you be able to hear
> > a 900mhz cordless just as well as a 43-49mhz cordless?

3102. Re: PRO 2022 display light problem.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 06:20:42 UTC
From: "Mike M."
Message-ID: <3b722a25.3502673@news.charter.net>
Source record: 61616

It is a common problem, but unfortunately the electroluminecent panels
are not available from Radio Shack . I had a URL for a replacement
article, but it isn't there now 404 error. I'll see what I can find, I
have a PRO-2021 with the same problem, but I've just been ignoring it.
I use it for the local public safety channels so I don't really need
to look at the display very often.

On Sat, 4 Aug 2001 14:44:12 +1000, "Mike Alpha"
<mike_alpha61@DELETEhotmail> wrote:

>The backlight on my PRO2022 has dissapeared. I noticed over the last few
>months the display dimming and now it's completely out. I asumed it may have
>been a blown globe, but it seems the globe is OK under a resistance
>measurement. The circuitry that runs the backlight is quite strange. It
>appears to be some sort of inverter circuit with a transformer output going
>straight to the lamp +ve wire.
>
>Has anyone else had this problem and is it easily fixed?. I have a good
>electronic background, but don't have the schematic for this scanner.
>
>Thanks for any help.
>
>Mike
>
>

3103. WTB Diagram AWIW TPR-930

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 06:30:55 UTC
From: Jonathan <jroy@infonet.ca>
Message-ID: <Gvnc7.9184$0b4.512528@wagner.videotron.net>
Source record: 61613

I am in need or should I say my 81 yr old father is in need of a diagram for
a
AWIW Model TPR-930 MW-SW1-SW2-FM with casette player.
It is a 3 way operation AC-DC- 12volt car.

He took it apart to fix the cassette and some (too many) wires broke off
the mainboard. I have called AWIW and no luck ! Any ideas.....

Tnx in advance
Jonathan

3104. Any good scanner techs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 07:11:27 UTC
From: sky444nospam@mint.net
Message-ID: <3b723771.137290918@news.mint.net>
Source record: 61617

Looking for someone to add the s-meter mod to my
PRO-2032.......anybody point me in a reliable direction
,please...thanks
sky444@mint.net

3105. Re: PRO 2022 display light problem.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 07:57:01 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha61@DELETEhotmail>
Message-ID: <3b72414d$0$20923$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 61618

Thanks Mike

I didn't realise RS used electroluminecent panels for the back light. I'll try Tandy spare parts here in Australia and see if they have spare ELs.

Mike

<Mike M.> wrote in message news:3b722a25.3502673@news.charter.net...
> It is a common problem, but unfortunately the electroluminecent panels
> are not available from Radio Shack . I had a URL for a replacement
> article, but it isn't there now 404 error. I'll see what I can find, I
> have a PRO-2021 with the same problem, but I've just been ignoring it.
> I use it for the local public safety channels so I don't really need
> to look at the display very often.
>
> On Sat, 4 Aug 2001 14:44:12 +1000, "Mike Alpha"
> <mike_alpha61@DELETEhotmail> wrote:
>
> >The backlight on my PRO2022 has dissapeared. I noticed over the last few
> >months the display dimming and now it's completely out. I asumed it may
have
> >been a blown globe, but it seems the globe is OK under a resistance
> >measurement. The circuitry that runs the backlight is quite strange. It
> >appears to be some sort of inverter circuit with a transformer output
going
> >straight to the lamp +ve wire.
> >
> >Has anyone else had this problem and is it easily fixed?. I have a good
> >electronic background, but don't have the schematic for this scanner.
> >
> >Thanks for any help.
> >
> >Mike
> >
> >
>

3106. Scanner sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 11:42:16 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <sGuc7.3296$5d4.942667@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 61620
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I was wondering if there are any really good sites that index scanners by specifications and also (a major must) pictures of each scanner. This would be helpful when considering buying used scanners, especially when people don't bother to give much information other than model number and price.

Any suggestions???

--
Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX

Check out www.qsl.net/w8kea
Amateur Radio Is Fun!!! Ask me!

3107. Re: Legal question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 11:42:17 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <tGuc7.3297$5d4.942670@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 61622

Yep, might be, if a person is stupid enough to divulge the fact that they have an "unblocked" scanner. Or the content of the information also. Smart money says "Listen, but keep your mouth shut about it."

If I had one, you could bet money on the fact I am not going to be telling anybody about it. In fact, within a few exceptions, most of everything you hear on a scanner is illegal to repeat or reveal of the content. (Believe ham freqs. are one of the exceptions.)

Ryan

> I think it is illegal to monitor cordless phones in the US.
> Dean
> KR4IU

3108. Re: receiving range for 800mhz trunking

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 11:42:18 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <uGuc7.3298$5d4.942683@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 61621

You probably should. 800Mhz is line-of-sight propagation, and also long as their antennas are high enough you should be able to hear them. I can hear VERY WELL (59 copy) Saginaw, MI's 800Mhz trunked system, and I am in the Midland, MI area which is about 30 miles away. I can get Flint, MI and Lansing, MI systems in also, which are about 45-60 miles away "as the crow flies."

If you are looking at a new scanner of some sort, I would purchase one from a retailer that has a decent customer oriented return or exchange policy. I have been burned by Radio Sham (Jap Shack) i.e. Radio Shack, because if the amount of purchase is over a certain amount ($100.00) they will not refund your money in cash if you paid with cash. If you paid by check I could understand this policy, and a credit card could just be immediately credited. This way, by choosing the right retailer, you could experiment a little till you find the one you like! Good luck and good scanning!

Ryan

"gamehendge gnome" <gamehendgegnome@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010808200606.19273.00003272@ng-fn1.aol.com...
> I'm using an average hand held w/o trunking, works fine for my small town and
> surrounding counties.
> now for the question, The largest "city" near me is 25 miles away
> (chattanooga, tn) They use trunking, should i be able to hear them ok from
> this far out? I have no idea what the average distance scanners have on
> police/emergency bands, i'm new to all this. thanks in advance for any help.
>
>
> gnome

3109. Bearcat Crystals Needed 10.8 IF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 11:43:16 UTC
From: impact@snet.net
Message-ID: <3b727684.5587784@news.snet.net>
Source record: 61619

Hello , I need some Bearcat 10.8 IF scanner crystals.

to buy or tade for the ones I have (let me know what you need)

I need two (2) 154.01 MHz
one (1) 39.58 Mhz
one (1) 453.700 MHz

Also the same in 10.7 IF Radio Shack IF

Thank you,
Bill

3110. Re: PRO-2006 DC adapter question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 11:54:46 UTC
From: None@dontevntry.oro
Message-ID: <9kttm6nso3@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 61623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <49q3nt0is36s4721jj815ek5p1a05lap69@4ax.com>, seward@northstate.net says...
>
>joe36@yahoo.com, hoping against hope for wisdom from USENET, wrote:
>
><snip>
>
>>What no one else mentioned is that by using an external supply, you
>>prolong the life of the radio because there is no buildup of heat from
>>the power transformer. I have used external power and my 2006 works
>>fine after more than 12 years of use.
>
>Interesting you mention this, since it was the reason behind the
>question. I have seen this same statement elsewhere, on the web IIRC.
>
>I've had someone else tell me that they run theirs off regular wall
>current, that the scanner is on 24x7 and he has no problems. Not to
>change the subject in the middle, but would anyone else care to
>comment on this? I've run mine off wall current, and the case does
>get warm, but not what I'd call objectionably so--at least not to the
>point I'd worry a lot about heat buildup. However, given what a 2006
>still costs, and that I can do some interesting things with it that
>are difficult to impossible with newer scanners, I'm loathe to do
>anything to lessen its life.
>
>Or am I worrying about silly stuff?
>
>
>Bill Seward
>http://www.geocities.com/bill_seward
>
A friend and I bought our Pro2004s at the same time. A long time ago.
The first night I had mine we had an ice storm that knocked the AC off
for 3 days. I ran mine from the ham shack 12 back up battery.
Since my ham shack was powered by a GE 16A 12V (13.67 to float charge a gell
cell), and since I already had it connected to the DC power I never ran mine
from AC(except for the first afternoon at work). After warrenty expired, I
pulled the pwer transformer to make from for a COR and a crude PC to scanner
interface (something like programit but much cruder!).
My unit is still running. With no problems.
My friend ran his from AC and after about 10 years we had to change out
(replace) most of the elctrolytics. They had dried out from the heat.
Replaced them, it worked fine, so my friend now runs his from a regulated 12V

(about 14.1) wall wart and has had no more problems.
Mine has been on 24/7 for almost the entire time.
I am convinced that running the Pro2004/5/6 from an external +12V is the best way to go.
YMWV

3111. Re: Winscan 780 Radio>PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 12:28:10 UTC
From: Mike Crain <michael.crainSPAM@abbott.com>
Message-ID: <9ktus8$9q1@news.abbott.com>
Source record: 61625

If one of the devices is a Palm PDA and the HotSync program is loaded it will keep other programs from using that serial port.

Generally changing the settings in the BIOS is only needed for situations when the application can not change them internal to itself. Winscan can modify the serial port configuration. It could be that you are changing the configuration to what is needed in the BIOS but when you start WinScan it resets to a default different than your scanner. As I recall the default for the scanner is the same as the program.

Serial port communications can be frustrating. Got to get the cable wiring correct. Got to get the baud rate, # of data bits, parity type correct for the devices at both ends. Any one item will keep it from working and in situations where there are multiple problems you fix one and don't know it because it still does not work because of the other problem.

Good Luck
mike

Dan Kelly <dankelly@home.com> wrote in message
news:GWkc7.228558$mG4.105218912@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> I can't transfer frequencies from the 780 to Winscan. I am going through a
> switchbox since I have other devices connected to the same Comm port. I
> changed the settings in the BIOS for this Comm port with no luck. I've
left
> a message with Pozilla Tech Support but from some other messages here that
> looks like a long shot. Any help is appreciated.
>
> Thanks,
> Dan
>
>

3112. Re: Scanner sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 13:00:49 UTC
From: "CHARLES P. WHITING" <CPWII@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <5Qvc7.39725$gj1.3679220@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61626
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I could use this information also, as I'm looking to buy a scanner ! Thanks
"Ryan KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote in message
news:sGuc7.3296$5d4.942667@monger.newsread.com...
> I was wondering if there are any really good sites that index scanners by
> specifications and also (a major must) pictures of each scanner. This
> would be helpful when considering buying used scanners, especially when
> people don't bother to give much information other than model number and
> price.
>
> Any suggestions???
>
> --
> Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX
>
>
> Check out www.qsl.net/w8kea
> Amateur Radio Is Fun!!! Ask me!
>
>

3113. Re: Scanner sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 13:11:39 UTC
From: Jo Jack <JoJack@mywann.com>
Message-ID: <tn530p13ui167c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61627
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Use www.strongsignals.com

Great site.

"Ryan KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote in message
news:sGuc7.3296$5d4.942667@monger.newsread.com...
> I was wondering if there are any really good sites that index scanners by
> specifications and also (a major must) pictures of each scanner. This
> would be helpful when considering buying used scanners, especially when
> people don't bother to give much information other than model number and
> price.
>
> Any suggestions???
>
> --
> Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX
>
>
> Check out www.qsl.net/w8kea
> Amateur Radio Is Fun!!! Ask me!
>
>

3114. Newbie Trunker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 14:08:38 UTC
From: pelikandrp@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010809100838.00773.00002134@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 61628

Hi All,
Can anyone point me to a basic "what is" site on trunking?
My current scanner is a PRO-92.
Greatly appreciate the info!

"if you love a man's garden...you gotta love the man"
-Frank Serpico

3115. Re: Trunking scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 14:40:33 UTC
From: bigron21@gate.net
Message-ID: <3b72a0ac.5869344@news.gate.net>
Source record: 61629

Well I have the BC870XLT and it is an incredible radio for trunking
system and much more

On 1 Aug 2001 20:25:03 -0700, kevsdad@altavista.net (Scott Wagner)
wrote:

>I hope to get a lot of feedback on this one.
>
>I've been scanning on and off for about 10 years, first in the Chicago
>area, now in Dallas, all on my PRO 43. I'd like to move into a
>trunking scanner in the next couple of months and would appreciate
>some hands-on advice from those who are already involved.
>
>What should I look for? I know there are several types of trunking
>systems, which do I have to have? Are the RS PRO2052 and/or the PRO 92
>ok for starters? Are the AOR units worth the extra $$? Bearcats any
>good?? Anyone have good/bad experience w/scanners on EBay?
>
>Whatever else...
>
>Any advice is appreciated. Thanks.

3116. Re: seeking specific freqs for law enforcment agencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 14:50:42 UTC
From: bigron21@gate.net
Message-ID: <3b72a2e7.6439985@news.gate.net>
Source record: 61630
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Most scanners that are trunking scanners like my BC780XLT you can
lockout the id-s you do not want to listen to you may also try
http://www.bearcat1.com/fleet.htm.

On Sun, 05 Aug 2001 18:24:15 -0400, Bill Seward
<seward@northstate.net> wrote:

> I'm looking for some specific frequencies--the Sheriff's Departments
>in Davidosn, Davie and Randolph Counties, North Carolina. I've found
>several websites with frequencies listed for those counties, but it's
>always something like 30 frequencies listed for "Randolph, County of".
>I'm really not too interested in the comings and going of the guys
>fixing the roads and such, so that doesn't help too much.
>
>Does anyone know of any sites where I can find this sort of info?
>Thanks.
>
>Bill Seward
>http://www.geocities.com/bill_seward
>

3117. Re: PRO 2022 display light problem.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 15:12:31 UTC
From: jammtc2000@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cd33b517.0108090712.46291831@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61631

"Mike Alpha" <mike_alpha61@DELETEhotmail> wrote in message
news:<3b72414d$0$20923$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>...
> Thanks Mike
>
> I didn't realise RS used electroluminecent panels for the back light. I'll
> try Tandy spare parts here in Australia and see if they have spare ELs.
>
> Mike
>
>
> <Mike M.> wrote in message news:3b722a25.3502673@news.charter.net...
> > It is a common problem, but unfortunately the electroluminecent panels
> > are not available from Radio Shack . I had a URL for a replacement
> > article, but it isn't there now 404 error. I'll see what I can find, I
> > have a PRO-2021 with the same problem, but I've just been ignoring it.
> > I use it for the local public safety channels so I don't really need
> > to look at the display very often.
> >
> > On Sat, 4 Aug 2001 14:44:12 +1000, "Mike Alpha"
> > <mike_alpha61@DELETEhotmail> wrote:
> >
> > >The backlight on my PRO2022 has dissapeared. I noticed over the last few
> > >months the display dimming and now it's completely out. I asumed it may
> have
> > >been a blown globe, but it seems the globe is OK under a resistance
> > >measurement. The circuitry that runs the backlight is quite strange. It
> > >appears to be some sort of inverter circuit with a transformer output
> going
> > >straight to the lamp +ve wire.
> > >
> > >Has anyone else had this problem and is it easily fixed?. I have a good
> > >electronic background, but don't have the schematic for this scanner.
> > >
> > >Thanks for any help.
> > >
> > >Mike
> > >
> > >
> >

If you dial 1-800-THE-SHACK they should refer you to National Parts.
Cat.# 10800266
NP# LB0066
Price - $21.00

I was going to buy this item Monday but am still trying to decide
if I need a $22 light bulb!!!!!!!

Have fun,

Jodia

3118. Re: lots of acronyms ! ;)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 16:34:03 UTC
From: Terry Weatherford <terry@weatherford.org>
Message-ID: <tn5el97vq0f693@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61632
Cross-posted to: alt.rec.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Illinois:

DUI - Driving Under the Influence (drugs or Alcohol) (DWI not used)
OP - Order of Protection
B&E - Breaking & Entering

"Ronski" <rsujkowskiihatespammers@home.com> wrote in message
news:b5fjmtop316pg49nk4h98hbhpq2ipkqoce@4ax.com...
> let me know of any otheres or any thing wrong listed ;) Thanks !
> My entire scanner frequency list is at:
>
> http://www.bouwkamp.net/~miscanner/midmich.htm
>
> A.I. Accident Investigator
> A.L.S. Advanced Life Support (ALPHA)
> A.C.F.A. Attempt to Commit Felonious Assault
> A.D.W. Assault with a Deadly Weapon
> A.R.T.C.C. Air Route Traffic Control Center
> A.T.I.S. Automatic Terminal Information Service (Airports)
> A.T.L. Attempt To Locate
> B.C.J. Bay County Jail
> B.L.S. Basic Life Support (BRAVO)
> B.M.C. Bay Medical Center
> B.O.L. Be On the Lookout
> C.C.W. Carrying Concealed Weapon
> C.H.F. Congestive Heart Failure
> C.L.N.C. Clearance (Airports)
> C.M.I.S. Criminal Management Information System
> C.S.C. Criminal Sexual Conduct
> D.W.I. Driving While Intoxicated
> D.W.L.S. Driving While License Suspended
> E.T.O.H. Alcohol
> F.A.C. Failed to Appear in Court
> F.C.J. Failure to Comply with Judgment
> F.T.A. Failure To Appear (in court)
> F.T.C. Failure To Comply (with court judgment)
> G.O.A. Gone On Arrival
> H & R Hit & Run
> H.E.A.R.N. Hospital Emergency Administrative Radio Network
> HOOK Towtruck
> K Killed
> KEYHOLDER Someone who has keys to get into the place
> L.E.I.N. Law Enforcement Information Network
> L.Z. Landing Zone (helicopters)

> M.E. Medical Examiner
> M.I.P. Minors In Possession of alcohol
> M.D.O.P. Malicious Destruction Of Property
> M.D.T. Mobile Data Terminal
> M.S.O. Marine Safety Officer (Coast Guard)
> N.C.I.C. National Crime Information Center
> O.D. Officer of the Day (Coast Guard)
> O.T.L. Out To Lunch
> O.U.I.L. Operating Under the Influence of Liquor (or O.U.)
> P&L Prostitution & Loitering
> PAT.COMM. PATrol COMMander (Coast Guard)
> P.B.T. Portable Breathalyzer Test
> P.D.A. Property Damage Accident
> P.I. Personal Injury accident
> P.I.W. Person(s) In Water
> P.O.B. Persons On Board
> P.P.O. Personal Protection Order
> R.A. Robbery Armed
> R.T.D. Return To Department
> RUNNER Someone who is responsible at the place
> RVR Runway Visual Range
> S.A.R. Search And Rescue
> S.O.S. Secretary Of State
> T.X. Telephone call
> U.C. Under Cover
> U.D.A.A. Unauthorized Driving Away of Auto
> U.T.L. Unable To Locate
> V.C.S.A. Violation of Chemical Substance Act
> V.F.R. Visual Flight Rules (Airplanes)
> V.O.R. VHF Omni-flight Range (Airplanes)
>
> rsujkowskinospam@home.com

3119. Re: CC Radio .....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 17:05:35 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b72bd15.1174169927@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61633

Yeah, Art always talks it up and I have friends who do likewise.It's real competitors are the GE Super Radio series and the Radio Shack DX 39x series (where x = 2, or whatever). The Radio Shack radios are actually made by Sangean and are identical to the Sangean with exception of cosmetics.

A point to keep in mind IMHO is receptivity. Almost all AM portable radios use the samer internal bar antenna. In some the wire is coiled around a plastic tube, in others a ferrite core. The radio's receptivity will have as much or more to do with its antenna than just about anything else. So when Art tells you to , " listen to it really pull them out ." --or whatever he says, unless he is clearly implying *selectivity* vs. *receptivity* he's selling you hogwash and as a Ham he oughta be ashamed.

I chose the RS DX-392 because it was on sale as discontinued (now it's the DX-398, I think), it had better SW coverage, AND because it had a jack for an external antenna that meant I could hook whatever into it. By the way, even the RS 23ft. reel antenna thrown up over a tree limb made a HUGE difference over the whip on SW. If this sort of thing appeals to you you'll need to do a lot of prowling around as stock can differ markedly between one RS and the next. Keep your eyes open for Sangeans in electronic stores as well.

The GE Super Radio I only know of what I've read here and elsewhere which is that it's an excellent AM radio for a much better price than the C Crane. If Art is all you want, go with GE.

I've never heard anybody fault the C. Crane for performance or workmanship, just it's exorbitant price. I think they must depend on Art's "hard sell" to keep the price up. He sure oughta be getting a 20% slice on each unit sold. <grin>. You pays your money, you takes your choices !

Hope this helps,

Andrew

3120. Re: Scanner sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 17:08:25 UTC
From: JZiogas@dotplanet.com
Message-ID: <9ac88d57.0108090908.7ae478a5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61634
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Jo Jack" <JoJack@mywann.com> wrote in message news:<tn530p13ui167c@corp.supernews.com>...
> Use www.strongsignals.com
>
> Great site.
>
>

Great site for what? Certainly not for researching scanners.

Methinks you're either trolling or you posted a bad link. :-)

3121. Re: Scanner sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 17:08:41 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b72c36d.1175794384@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61635
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 9 Aug 2001 08:11:39 -0500, "Jo Jack" <JoJack@mywann.com> wrote:

>Use www.strongsignals.com
>
>Great site.
>
>
Make that www.strongsignals.net

Agreed, great site.

3122. Re: lots of acronyms ! ;)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 17:33:46 UTC
From: JoeBramblett.nospam@drunkenbard.com
Message-ID: <FA63AF7B0A8C6568.C44ACFE381D93279.AEADC638061051B8@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 61636
Cross-posted to: alt.rec.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Terry Weatherford" <terry@weatherford.org> wrote in
<tn5el97vq0f693@corp.supernews.com>:

>Illinois:
>
>DUI - Driving Under the Influence (drugs or Alcohol) (DWI not used)
>OP - Order of Protection
>B&E - Breaking & Entering

Rural county in TX:

WP - Whiney person
BBA- Billy BadAss (anybody that tries to start a fistfight with the
6'3" 290lb Deputy who has a stick, pepper spray and a gun)

Needless to say, they were a little less than strict about radio
terminology, and things like reporting a 'flat cat' for cleanup or a call
for a 'potty break' weren't unusual.

3123. Re: Newbie Trunker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 17:37:02 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010809133702.04309.00003521@ng-fh1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 61637

>Subject: Newbie Trunker
>From: pelikandrp@aol.com (PelikanDRP)
>Date: 8/9/01 10:08 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010809100838.00773.00002134@mb-mq.aol.com>
>
>Hi All,
>Can anyone point me to a basic "what is" site on trunking?
>My current scanner is a PRO-92.
>Greatly appreciate the info!
>
>
>
>---
>"if you love a man's garden...you gotta love the man"
> -Frank Serpico
>
>
>
These should provide what you seek:

http://www.pro-92.com/
http://www.trunkedradio.net/
http://www.trunktracker.com/
http://www.strongsignals.net/

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

3124. Re: Digital scanner by Uniden?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 18:00:04 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010809140004.04309.00003531@ng-fh1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 61638

>Subject: Digital scanner by Uniden?
>From: tramd300@aol.com (TRAMD300)
>Date: 8/8/01 4:33 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010808163316.21447.00001468@mb-mr.aol.com>
>
>Has anyone heard anything on the progress of the scanner? Rumor has it , that
>Uniden was in the race for getting these on the market.
>I would sure like to be updated on this, if there is page that is letting
>people in on the latest productions.
>Thank you in advance...
>tramd300@aol.com
>

No new news, no sites and nothing to report.

I honestly feel that a Digital Scanner is far off, for several reasons;

It will still need FCC Type Acceptance

There are different Digital Modes used from place to place, so there may never
be a do it all Digital Scanner

Project 25 seems as though it has lost steam

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

3125. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 18:06:40 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010809140640.04309.00003534@ng-fh1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 61639

>Subject: Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada
>From: offdan43@aol.com (Daniel M. Conti)
>Date: 8/8/01 9:57 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010808215755.28908.00006158@ng-ch1.aol.com>
>
>>NOTE ON SIGNATURE:
>>(Cellular, Cordless, Encrypted and Remote TV Pickups are not included in
>this
>>statement)
>
>>Palm Beach Scanner
>>If its on the Air We Scan it!
>>Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanne
>
>What a spineless twit......
>Dan
>
>"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"
>
>

Now was that comment really necessary or even warranted?

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

3126. Chicago - CARMA MEETING

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 18:30:18 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <_EAc7.231021$mG4.106251060@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61640

The Chicago Area Radio Monitoring Association will hold a meeting this Saturday, August 11th at Phillies Pizza Bar and Grill, Route 83 (The Kingery Highway) and 63rd Street in Willowbrook, northwest corner of the shopping mall on the southwest corner of the intersection.

Lunch is at Noon, the meeting starts at 1pm. You must purchase a lunch since we get the room for free. Besides, the food is killer. Suggest pizza, fried chicken and italian beef.

Meeting usually lasts 2-3 hours, 40-60 folks in attendance. All scanner enthusiasts are welcome, but be prepared to share some of your local freqs. Great place to meet like-minded folks from your local listening area in and around Chicagoland.

Happy Scanning! - Ted Moran / CARMA E-Mail List Admin

3127. Re: Scanners lacking in 800MHz Sensitivity: Improvement possible ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 18:39:42 UTC
From: Ender <SBender@home.com>
Message-ID: <ggl5nt4a4r2vebdfosje9kefi536jkj9e1@4ax.com>
Source record: 61641

<snip>
>Have others observed this problem? I doubt that the sensitivity in the
>800-900MHz band can be tweaked or modified on any of them, but if anyone knows
>of a way or of anyone who can do this, I'd like to know.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Geo.
Oh yeah, the problem is quite common for listeners in rural areas and
in the suburbs.

Reception on the 800mhz and above bands can be difficult. Using an
outdoor broadband antenna with the typical (cheap) RG-6 type feedline
is a big compromise on this band.

I have taken an inexpensive UHF type TV antenna and flipped it 90
degreess to make it vertically polarized. Then I use the $29.95 Radio
Shack in-line preamp (cat # 15-1115B) mounted right at the feedpoint
of the antenna with a TV type balun. This gives roughly 20db of
amplification, which more than compensates for the feeline loss of a
50 foot run of RG-6 at 800 mhz. I have 800/900 mhz pagers and other
crap nearby, and don't have any problems with overload. Signals that
were previously noisy are full quieting. I hear other signals that
were simply not present with the broadband antenna with no problem at
all. As a side bonus you also get tremendous performance on the 400
mhz band as well.

I use the above antenna system with a BC 780xlt, Pro 2005, and an
older J.I.L. SX 400 scanner.

It's not a difficult project and if you are serious about listening on
the UHF and Microwave bands you will find the results satisfying.

Ender

Remove the SB from my return email to reply by mail.

3128. Relm MS-200 Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 19:50:17 UTC
From: rcassetty <rcassetty@hhk.com>
Message-ID: <tn5qatsq17gad7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61642

I just bought a used Relm MS-200 in excellent condition sans accessories for $95.00 shipped (from a well know dealer even). Was this a good deal? I have seen these scanners bring good money on ebay so if it is not a desirable unit I will resell it.

What is your opinion on this scanner? Do you know of any mods for it? Anything I need to know about it?

Thanks

Bob C.

3129. Re: PRO-2006 DC adapter question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 19:52:16 UTC
From: Steve <scannr1@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <QRBc7.80$3o3.18159@news-west.eli.net>
Source record: 61643
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have run my 2004,5,6's from my vehicles electrical system (12.5 volt to 14) when mobile for years with out any problems, the internal power supply on my 2005 and 2006 puts out 13.3 at the output

just my 2 cents worth
steve

"Ma'sbisques" <lostinspace@united.net> wrote in message news:9kkom2$44j$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> All the Radio shack and Uniden scanners that say 13.8 volts in the manual
> and on the back of the scanner will work at 12 volts. The 13.8 is put there
> to let you know the excess voltage from a car, which varies but goes up to
> about 13.8, is safe.
>
>
>
>
> "Bill Seward" <seward@northstate.net> wrote in message
> news:6iormto7f02gpcutdpegdstne8546ut6mo@4ax.com...
> > A question about my PRO-2006: For DC power, the manual calls for 13.8
> > VDC, no milliamps specified. RatShack has one, specs at...
> >
>
http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CT
> LG%5F004%5F001%5F001%5F000&product%5Fid=273%2D1631
> > that's a multiple voltage output, including 13 VDC at 800 mA. Would
> > this one be safe to use? I know that as a general rule, electronics
> > really hate to be fed low voltages...
> >
> > Bill Seward
> > http://www.geocities.com/bill_seward
> >
>
>

3130. Re: Scanner sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 19:58:00 UTC
From: "°¨°º©.ShAnA.©º°¨°" <shana1@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3B73BE35.621D1D3F@optushome.com.au>
Source record: 61644
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try this http://www.rigpix.com/index.shtml

Ryan KC8PMX wrote:
>
> I was wondering if there are any really good sites that index scanners by
> specifications and also (a major must) pictures of each scanner. This
> would be helpful when considering buying used scanners, especially when
> people don't bother to give much information other than model number and
> price.
>
> Any suggestions???
>
> --
> Ryan Lughermo, KC8PMX
>
> Check out www.qsl.net/w8kea
> Amateur Radio Is Fun!!! Ask me!

3131. !FT/fs-895XLT+$$$ for a 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 20:33:43 UTC
From: sctreact@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010809163343.28932.00005933@ng-ch1.aol.com>
Source record: 61645

Hi,

I have a like new Uniden 895XLT base scanner. I am looking to trade it plus some $$$ for a Undien 780XLT.

If you got an extra 780 and want a 895XLT, let me know.

If you are looking to buy a 895XLT, let em know ans maybe we can work somethign out.

THANKS

-Peter

3132. Re: Scanner sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 20:59:46 UTC
From: Joe Camel <mkrinmn@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <6RCc7.83$3o3.18730@news-west.eli.net>
Source record: 61646
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Please do tell, Is there a better site for gathering information regarding scanners?
I know of none !
Reminds me of a old Deer Camp phrase "Don't like the cooken,Cook it yourself"
Always a guy who complains his steak and mushrooms were not done correctly.....GEESHH!

"Z-man" <JZiogas@dotplanet.com> wrote in message news:9ac88d57.0108090908.7ae478a5@posting.google.com...
> "Jo Jack" <JoJack@mywann.com> wrote in message news:<tn530p13ui167c@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > Use www.strongsignals.com
> > >
> > > Great site.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > Great site for what? Certainly not for researching scanners.
> >
> > Methinks you're either trolling or you posted a bad link. :-)

3133. It's shuttle time again, here are freqencies to use...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 21:06:31 UTC
From: TomR@astro.net
Message-ID: <3b72fabc.4634656@news.idsi.net>
Source record: 61547

From the Unofficial Space Shuttle Launch Guide:

Shuttle Frequencies
==========
The Space Shuttle transmits on three frequency bands: UHF, S-Band, and

Ku-Band. The UHF frequencies are simple AM voice and are very easy to copy. These frequencies are used for launch and landing operations, EVA operations, and as an additional voice downlink when other channels are in use for the current ground station has no S-Band capability.

The frequencies in use are:
296.800 MHz : Air-to-ground, or Orbiter to suit
259.700 MHz : Air-to-ground, or suit to Orbiter
279.000 MHz : Suit-to-Orbiter, or suit-to-suit
243.000 MHz : Standard military aircraft emergency frequency

The S-Band system is one of the primary Orbiter downlink bands. The voice channels are digital slope delta modulated and are multiplexed in with the rest of the Orbiter telemetry and is very difficult to copy. Much of the downlink TV is on S-Band also, but is wideband FM and should be easy to copy.

The frequencies are:

2287.500 MHz - Primary digital downlink
2250.000 MHz - Wideband FM with either main engine analog telemetry during launch, or TV during orbit operations.

The Ku-Band system is used in conjunction with the tracking and data relay satellites and is used much more heavily in Spacelab flights than in others. The data rate is very high (50 Mbit/s). These transmissions are directed to TDRS satellites in geostationary orbit on a frequency of 15.003 GHz.

(Information via WA3NAN, and WA4SIR)

Ed Sileo (edsileo@qnet.com) says that Shuttle audio can be heard on 169.4 MHz at Edwards Air Force Base (EAFB). The transmitter site is on Lehman Ridge on the east side of Edwards near the Astronautics Lab. This can be heard during all flights since you never know when the shuttle may land at EAFB.

Shuttle Audio Retransmissions
==========
Excerpt from the Goddard Amateur Radio Club (GARC) Shuttle

Retransmission Fact Sheet (from Jim Blackwell, N3KWU,
HRSBLACKWELL@deblur.gsfc.nasa.gov)

Retransmission of Shuttle air-to-ground audio from the GARC (WA3NAN)
may be heard on the following frequencies:

Frequency Mode Antennas
(MHz)
3.860 SSB LSB N-S/E-W Dipoles
7.185 SSB LSB N-S/E-W Dipoles
14.295 SSB USB 3-element Yagi
21.395 SSB USB 5-element Yagi
28.650 SSB USB 4-element Yagi
147.45 FM Simplex Phased vertical

Where SSB is Single-Side-Band and LSB, USB indicate either Lower and
Upper Side Band. A short-wave receiver possessing a Beat Frequency
Oscillator (BFO) is needed to receive these transmissions.

GARC maintains a Bulletin Board System (BBS) which is accessible by
way of the Internet, modem and packet radio. The BBS contains areas
with information on the club, mail distributed by the Amateur
Satellite Corporation BB (AMSAT-BB) listserver, SAREX bulletins and
Space Shuttle mission information. During Shuttle missions, users can
also access Keplerian Orbital Elements (Keps) which are generated by
the club based on information generated by NASCOM at GSFC (these
orbital elements are read over-the-air by our volunteer operators).
The BBS is accessible by the following means:

1. Internet: telnet to wa3nan.gsfc.nasa.gov (128.183.105.17)
2. Telephone: (301) 286-4137 (up to 14.4 kbaud supported)
3. Packet Radio: WA3NAN on 145.090 MHz in DC area.

Just follow the login instructions. Note: Full access to the BBS is
limited to members of the club.

GARC also maintains a WWW Server containing a wide variety of
information about the club, its activities, as well as links to other
Amateur Radio resources. The URL address is:

http://garc.gsfc.nasa.gov/www/garc-home-page.html

Excerpt from rec.radio.amateur.misc FAQ Part 3 (modified):

Shuttle audio is re-tranmitted by the following Amature Radio
stations.

Station Centre VHF 10m 15m 20m 40m 80m

K6MF ARC 145.585 7.165 3.840
W1AW ARRL 147.555 28.0675 21.0675 18.0975 14.0475 7.0475
3.5815 1.818
WA3NAN GSFC 147.450 28.650 21.395 14.295 7.185 3.860

W6VIO JPL 224.080 21.280 14.282 7.165
W5RRR JSC 146.640 28.495 21.350 14.280 7.227 3.850
AK8Y LERC 145.670 or 147.195 (alternate)
WB4FUR SSC 146.700
KA9SZX 146.880 (Video at 426.250)
K4GCC 146.940
WA4VME 145.170

You might also try 20192 LSB which is NASA.

All frequencies are in MHz. Use FM on VHF, USB on 10-20m, LSB on
40-80m.
W1AW - ARRL, Newington, CT (news bulletins, 9:45 PM and 12:45 AM
EST)
K6MF - NASA Ames Research Center (ARC), Moffett Field, CA
WA3NAN - NASA Goddard Space Flight Center (GSFC), Greenbelt, MD
W6VIO - NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory (JPL), Pasadena, CA
W5RRR - NASA Johnson Space Center (JSC), Houston, TX
AK8Y - NASA Lewis Research Center (LERC), Cleveland, OH
WB4FUR - NASA Stennis Space Center (SSC), Hancock County, MS
KA9SZX - Champaign-Urbana, IL
K4GCC - John Anderson, Titusville, FL (near or at Kennedy Space
Center)
WA4VME - Melbourne, FL (near or at Kennedy Space Center)

You can also go to

http://spacelink.nasa.gov/Educational.Services/Technology/
Shuttle.Amateur.Radio.EXperiment/Hearing.Space.Shuttle.Communications

for a listing of repeaters in the USA that offer this service.

Retransmissions of Shuttle audio on amature radio frequencies

http://www.amsat.org/amsat/sarex/shutfreq.html

State City Date Reported Frequencies (MHz)
----- --------------- ------------ -------------------------
AL Birmingham 10/10/89 145.150 145.380
AL Huntsville 12/31/92 147.100 173.025
AR Russellville 3/24/92 439.250
AZ Phoenix 12/9/91 421.250 449.000
CA Los Angeles 1/7/90 52.640 224.940
CA Los Angeles 12/21/89 1241.250
CA Los Angeles 12/4/91 145.320 145.460 445.400
CA Los Angeles 12/4/91 445.425 446.575 447.000
CA Los Angeles 12/4/91 447.025 447.400 447.475
CA Los Angeles 12/4/91 448.375 448.500
CA Monterey Bay 7/1/91 145.585 443.300
CA Mount Wilson 10/18/95 224.940
CA Northern 3/19/90 145.530
CA Redondo Beach 9/23/93 145.32 W6TRW
CA Sacramento 4/10/91 147.195

CA San Diego 3/23/92 449.450 1277.25
CA San Francisco 4/29/91 427.250 444.775
CA San Joaquin Vly 5/6/89 52.22
CA Santa Barbara 4/21/90 1277.000
CO Boulder 1/5/96 145.460 (NASA select audio)
CO Colorado Springs 1/5/96 145.160 (NASA select audio)
CO Denver 1/5/96 147.225 224.980 (NASA select
audio)
DC Washington 11/28/83 147.450 (Greenbelt, MD)
FL Cape Canaveral 10/11/89 146.940
FL Clearwater 2/15/97 145.23
FL Clearwater Beach 4/10/95 442.075
FL Daytona Beach 1/25/92 147.150
FL Fort Lauderdale 12/03/93 442.650
FL Jacksonville 4/25/90 147.12
FL Lakeland 7/18/92 147.375
FL Largo 4/24/95 51.84
FL Largo 4/10/95 421.25 (video & audio)
FL Orlando 5/8/92 147.150
FL Port Richey 1/2/96 443.950
FL Sarasota 2/15/97 442.55
FL St. Petersburg 1/2/96 147.285 443.625
FL Vero Beach 4/19/90 145.130
Fl North Lauderdale 12/03/93 145.750
GA Ashburn 5/5/89 147.285
GA Atlanta 12/4/91 146.655 147.345 427.250
GA Forsyth 6/7/90 147.915
IA Cedar Rapids 10/18/89 146.400 444.300
IL Champaign-Urbana 11/30/93 146.880
IL Chicago 4/19/90 145.350
IL Downers Grove 9/23/93 145.350
IL Morton Grove 12/7/93 145.350
IL Rolling Meadows 2/12/92 145.350
IN Indianapolis 3/15/92 426.250
ME Portland 12/16/89 146.925
ME York 12/16/89 224.840
MN Central 2/19/90 149.200
MN Twin Cities 3/11/89 145.150 147.120
MN Waseca 1/2/90 147.450 427.250
MO Gladstone 12/7/93 224.660
MO Kansas City 3/25/92 145.430 426.250
MO St. Louis 1/25/92 442.000
NJ Central 7/5/92 443.400 (PL 141.3)
NJ Northern 12/12/93 146.610
NY Albany 9/6/91 146.820
NY Long Island 3/30/92 448.425
OH Dayton 5/4/89 145.110
OH Greenville 3/11/90 146.790
OK Tulsa 2/6/92 144.340 146.940 421.250
PA Pittsburgh 6/25/92 145.470

PA Pittsburgh 9/23/93 145.650
SC Orangeburg 12/3/93 146.805
SD Watertown 1/14/92 145.550
TX Dallas 7/18/90 145.310 448.750
TX Dallas 9/13/91 146.600
TX Houston 6/27/92 146.640
WI Wausau 9/5/91 146.820 147.060 421.250
VA Norfolk Feb 1993 144.340 431.750 FM audio,
427.250 video

Thanks to Dana Rodakis (dgra@qmgate.eci-esyst.com) and Gary Grahn
(WA1TSS, GGrahn@aol.com)

Tom - KB2SMS

3134. Re: CC Radio .....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 21:16:59 UTC
From: jason.mangiafico@verizon.net
Message-ID: <9kuukb$6ug32$3@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61548

keep this in mind quys what "art says" about the cc radio is actually a paid advertisment by the c crane company. he does it during the advertising portion of his show. art's owner premier radio networks is paid by the c crane company, then premier gives art the instructions for the advertisment. this is just like any other sponser of art's show.

most advertising like this usually makes too many outlandish claims. however, I've personally played with a cc radio myself, and it is what the ads say 100%. but I don't own one. art's sponsers tend to be very truthful, unlike a lot of other stuff you hear elsewhere.

3135. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 21:27:54 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010809172754.05072.00005739@ng-fi1.aol.com>
Source record: 61549

>>
>>What a spineless twit....

>Now was that comment really necessary or even warranted?

Yep, in my opinion it was, and after all, these newsgroups ARE just opinions....

You are a hypocrite since you profess allegiance to the "Palm Beach Scanning group, " etc., proclaiming to monitor anything on the air, yet you throw a politically correct caveat into your statement in fear someone might think you were doing something wrong. In other words, we really DON'T monitor everything on the air.

You should then change your groups tag line to read : " If it's on the air, and my government allows us to scan it, we scan it!"

Like I said, spineless twit, but you are not alone......
Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3136. FS:PRO-34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 21:34:41 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@home.com>
Message-ID: <RIDc7.231074$mG4.106397702@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61550

i have a nice Radio Shack,PRO-34 ,has had THE mod,with antenna,ac
adapter,and original manual..
looks and works great

price 90.00 shipped,,or trade for a Icom Q7A ,or, Yaesu VX1R
might also trade on a BC895XLT bearcat

monte k9dzd@home.com

3137. Re: CC Radio .....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 21:37:14 UTC
From: gamehendgegnome@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010809173714.25904.00003712@ng-cf1.aol.com>
Source record: 61551

Yeah Art may claim to believe in all of his sponsors but after the Y2k scare I finally saw the light. I think most of it is just a scam now. Him and several people made lots of $$ off that fear. but.... i still listen sometimes when i'm staying up late, its just a good show.

gnome

3138. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 21:58:27 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010809175827.04336.00002689@mb-mh.news.cs.com>
Source record: 61552

>Subject: Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada
>From: offdan43@aol.com (Daniel M. Conti)
>Date: 8/9/01 5:27 PM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010809172754.05072.00005739@ng-fi1.aol.com>
>
>>>
>>>What a spineless twit....
>
>>Now was that comment really necessary or even warranted?
>
>Yep, in my opinion it was, and after all, these newsgroups ARE just
>opinions....
>
>You are a hypocrite since you profess allegiance to the "Palm Beach Scanning
>group, " etc., proclaiming to monitor anything on the air, yet you throw a
>politically correct caveat into your statement in fear someone might think
>you
>were doing something wrong. In other words, we really DON'T monitor
>everything
>on the air.
>
>You should then change your groups tag line to read : " If it's on the air,
>and
>my government allows us to scan it, we scan it!"
>
>Like I said, spineless twit, but you are not alone......
>Dan
>
>"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"
>

This will be one of those rare cases where I reply again to a flame...

In my postings to the Newsgroups when it applies I have always made a point to note how important it is to maintain the Hobby of Scanning, and how the misdeeds of some on the "fringe" can only lead to problems for those who are quite content to monitor what is legal.

I am quite content to monitor what the government has seen fit to permit me to.

I do not attempt to say I have never done anything wrong in my life and I never would.

Thank you for pointing out that I am a hypocrite due to my signature/motto... I shall put serious thought into modification of same as to avoid being what you see me as.

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

3139. Re: Scanners lacking in 800MHz Sensitivity: Improvement possible ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 22:05:30 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <KODc7.2452$up.774181@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 61553

I'll second that that. I did the same thing several years ago. At the time, I was trying to receive the NYPD 476 MHz transmissions. I live about 60 miles away with some rolling hills in between. The UHF TV antenna and preamp brought in signals that just weren't there before. And noisy signals became full quieting. That was with a PRO 2004 which was a bit lacking in sensitivity. Warning: Some scanners won't like 20 dB of broadband pre-amplification!

Art Harris

"Ender" <SBender@home.com> wrote in message
news:ggl5nt4a4r2vebdfosje9kefi536jkj9e1@4ax.com...
>
> Reception on the 800mhz and above bands can be difficult. Using an
> outdoor broadband antenna with the typical (cheap) RG-6 type feedline
> is a big compromise on this band.
>
> I have taken an inexpensive UHF type TV antenna and flipped it 90
> degreess to make it vertically polarized. Then I use the $29.95 Radio
> Shack in-line preamp (cat # 15-1115B) mounted right at the feedpoint
> of the antenna with a TV type balun. This gives roughly 20db of
> amplification, which more than compensates for the feeline loss of a
> 50 foot run of RG-6 at 800 mhz. I have 800/900 mhz pagers and other
> crap nearby, and don't have any problems with overload. Signals that
> were previously noisy are full quieting. I hear other signals that
> were simply not present with the broadband antenna with no problem at
> all. As a side bonus you also get tremendous performance on the 400
> mhz band as well.
>

3140. Re: Scanners lacking in 800MHz Sensitivity: Improvement possible ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 22:21:41 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <V1Ec7.2464$up.795933@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 61554

Walt, my comments were aimed at the scanner listener trying to monitor distant signals. We have to hope the designers of emergency communications systems can accurately estimate their requirements and build in enough margin.

BTW, 800 MHz signals do have some advantages inside steel buildings due to their shorter wavelength.

Art Harris N2AH

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:3B71DBA3.29D52F5E@worldnet.att.net...
> True. But I don't think that firefighter trying to get help in the
> basement of a burning, collapsing, building really wants to worry
> about having to carry around, and set up, in the proper direction, and
> then extend it on a 100' mast, a high-gain antenna. Monitoring base
> stations is one thing; using handhelds in a real life, life or death,
> situation, is another thing.
>
> Walt
>

3141. Re: CC Radio .....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 22:46:29 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010809184629.05484.00000414@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 61555

In article <3b72bd15.1174169927@news.erols.com>, cathexis@erols.com (Set'em up Joe) writes:

>Yeah, Art always talks it up

Of course he does. Art Bell is a PAID SPOKESMAN for the CC Radio!

3142. Re: Scanner sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-09 23:59:15 UTC
From: Pervert_Extraordinair <nope@att.com>
Message-ID: <3B7323E4.95AF4893@att.com>
Source record: 61556
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Z-man wrote:
>
> "Jo Jack" <JoJack@mywann.com> wrote in message
news:<tn530p13ui167c@corp.supernews.com>...
> > Use www.strongsignals.com
> >
> > Great site.

> Great site for what? Certainly not for researching scanners.
>
> Methinks you're either trolling or you posted a bad link. :-)

Yuk Yuk. Reminds me of the whitehouse.gov vs and whitehouse.com. ;-)

3143. Re: CC Radio .....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 00:20:23 UTC
From: SBS <sbs20@cvip.net>
Message-ID: <3B7328C7.7A3CCCAA@cvip.net>
Source record: 61557

Oh really???What about the HGH "Human growth Hormone"pills that he shills for?They promote weight loss,sexual prowess,wrinkle reduction,better vision and hair restoration.Kind of like a CC Radio in a pill...Heh Heh Heh.

JM wrote:

> keep this in mind quys what "art says" about the cc radio is actually a paid
> advertisment by the c crane company. he does it during the advertising
> portion of his show. art's owner premier radio networks is paid by the c
> crane company, then premier gives art the instructions for the advertisment.
> this is just like any other sponser of art's show.
>
> most advertising like this usually makes too many outlandish claims.
> however, I've personally played with a cc radio myself, and it is what the
> ads say 100%. but I don't own one. art's sponsers tend to be very truthful,
> unlike a lot of other stuff you hear elsewhere.

3144. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 00:56:25 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010809205625.18691.00004095@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 61558

>This will be one of those rare cases where I reply again to a flame.

One man's opinion as ALWAYS another man's flame, when he disagrees.....

>misdeeds of some on the "fringe"

What , pray tell, are you talking about?

>I am quite content to monitor what the government has seen fit to permit me
>to.

Now THAT statement is VERY scary....yikes, I'm getting shivers.

>I shall put serious thought into modification of same as to avoid being what
you see me as.

Don't do anything on my account. As I said, just voicing my opinion. Those of
us in what is still a free society do that now and then, until I am limited to
saying "what my government will permit me to"
I will continue to voice my opinion.

Then again, I just may be all wrong...and simply flaming.

:)

Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3145. Re: MODS MODS MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 01:01:03 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b7481eb$1_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 61512

Good luck I have been looking for 6 months with no luck. I believe I just found the discriminator tap for it but a have not had time to try it yet.
"DigitalEbola" <digitalebola@iprimus.com.au> wrote in message news:3b746bcb$1@news.iprimus.com.au...
> Does ANYONE know ANY mods that work with the PRO-71 scanner ? Im DESPERATE!
>
> digitalebola@iprimus.com.au
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3146. just got a scanner seeking someone to teach me how to use it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 01:07:38 UTC
From: drkgddss9@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010809210738.10287.00002533@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 61559

have signit agents in my neighborhood signalling all night long have their
codes on tape want to learn how to read them and also
want to learn how to program and use this scanner I got from radio shack
fed up with these watchers and their crap they watch me and my family like a
hawk and they are sending codes across our t.v.'s and they have sent
subliminal post hypnotic suggestions to program my children.
I am sick of the NSAs crap and want to fight back!
email me at DrkGddss9@aol.com see my pages on hometown aol under DrkGddss9 one
is called The Dark Goddess Rides Again
the other is DrkGddss9's Political Page
~Spooky~(DrkGddss9) as in MagicK I am white female

3147. Re: Digital scanner by Uniden?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 01:23:27 UTC
From: Mark <mtaustin@onr.com>
Message-ID: <jIGc7.369556$lq1.79052362@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 61560

APCO 25 is alive and well. Just take a look at any commercial radio sold,
all with 12.5 spacing possible.
Uniden will have a digital scanner out within 1 year. Published in an
article I read about 2 months ago.

3148. NSA microwave technology being used to harrass an innocent woman and her children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 01:40:22 UTC
From: drkgddss9@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010809214022.10287.00002535@ng-fu1.aol.com>
Source record: 61561

Help I am the Victim of the NSAs Secret Projects...
The Whyfore to the Quest is that my husband of 17 years my first husband,
whom I had 5 beautiful children with is a powerful man in the IAM who works
at Boeing Defense &Space and they needed to divide and conquer this man,
either kill him or take him down emotionally... they did it we are divorced
they sent agents to break us up ... I was the victim as well as the weak link
well... we are both genius i.q.s and my kids and I are stuck in a
nighborhood in East FtWorth where they have installed nothing but Signit
agents and this will sound paranoid but I have moniters cameras all over my
property and they have LEO agents living here and they are terrorising
myself and my children so I have been attempting to fight back and armed
with JVC CamCorder using negative vision one can actually see their
invisible personell their leo agents
they use their microwave technology against myself and my children
they use their amplifications and all manner of their weapons in their
arsenal and I have discovered my back yard is their illegal dumpingground of
their
ammo and that is about all I can say hope someone can figure this out... it
isnt bullets I am referring to... PLEASE need someone to help me someone who
can help me wire things someone good at codes I am what I call technically
impaired don't even know how to hook up stereos and vcrs and stuff usually
thatis what I have men around for but the only men I have had turned out to
be NSA agents trying to entrap me on one thing or another set me up for a
fall...
so SOS someone please need bug detector here my house is full of bugs and
my van sets off street lamps and I accidentally brought one of their hidden
bugs into phase and when we were talking in the van their speaker started
going off and everything I said was amplified.
lol I seem to have something in my causing their hidden transmittors to
come into phase their codes accidentally not the ones we ususally see but
a screen came across on our t.v. one night recently when it was just on
channel three and just a blue background all of a sudden coded messages like
KUP and an 0 with a slash through it or maybe it was KYP I thought it was
an 0 my daughter thought it was a K and these codes flashed fast... I
brought their crap into phase somehow
oh and they have interdimentional vehichles ... they arent on this
plane parked in the yard across the street this is what takes their ammo
away
and these agents are busy daily dumping wearing radiaotion suits in my back
yard! Want to get help need some one whos sick of their stuff as we
are\
anyone else have to go through what my children and I do? SOS~~
Write me DrkGddss9@aol.com I will arrange a meeting for the first time in a

public place to protect myself and my kids

3149. Re: CC Radio .....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 01:52:38 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <6762EA3E3D9142EA.559FB745DD8596F8.AC94AC94B1AC7327@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 61562

On Thu, 09 Aug 2001 17:20:23 -0700, SBS <sbs20@cvip.net> wrote:

>Oh really???What about the HGH "Human growth Hormone"pills that he shills
>for?They promote weight loss,sexual prowess,wrinkle reduction,better vision and
>hair restoration.Kind of like a CC Radio in a pill...Heh Heh Heh.

Arty has never been able to pronounce hormone, he says "harmone".

3150. Re: just got a scanner seeking someone to teach me how to use it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 01:55:36 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <EEE35F10369A3ABD.29816337DABD9E0A.D6BB46350634A0EB@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 61563

On 10 Aug 2001 01:07:38 GMT, drkgddss9@aol.com (DrkGddss9) wrote:

>have signit agents in my neighborhood signalling all night long have their
>codes on tape want to learn how to read them and also
>want to learn how to program and use this scanner I got from radio shack
>fed up with these watchers and their crap they watch me and my family like a
>hawk and they are sending codes across our t.v.'s and they have sent
>subliminal post hypnotic suggestions to program my children.
> I am sick of the NSAs crap and want to fight back!
>email me at DrkGddss9@aol.com see my pages on hometown aol under DrkGddss9 one
>is called The Dark Goddess Rides Again
> the other is DrkGddss9's Political Page
>~Spooky~(DrkGddss9) as in MagicK I am white female

You don't need scanner help; rather you need to go to Art Bell's
website at www.artbell.com and call into his show. Believe me,
you are just his type!

3151. What is 155.025MHz used for in your area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 02:00:10 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9kvf7arnp2@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 61564

I know this is an odd request, but I am interested in what real people,
equiped with real scanners know. I have done a Percom search and will do a
complete net search Friday afternoon.
But, the databases are often incomplete.
Over in misc.survival a thread on emergency comms was on going and
one poster mentioned a "nationwide civil defense frequency".
He is in Indiania and this is a state wide freq there for emergency ops.
It is also listed as a fire freq and is also assigned to some PD.
So, I thought a direct questin to those with radios might provide a quicker,
more accurate answer than all the "offical" data bases.
Thank you for taking the time to read this.

3152. Re: FS:PRO-34

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 02:02:07 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9kvfavrnp3@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 61565

In article <RlDc7.231074$mG4.106397702@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>,
k9dzd@home.com says...
>
>i have a nice Radio Shack,PRO-34 ,has had THE mod,with antenna,ac
>adapter,and original manual..
>looks and works great
>
>price 90.00 shipped,,or trade for a Icom Q7A ,or, Yaesu VX1R
>might also trade on a BC895XLT bearcat
>
>monte k9dzd@home.com

While I own, and use a Pro34, and think it is a nice little scanner,
I think you may have overestimated it's value.
Good luck.

3153. Re: NSA microwave technology being used to harrass an innocent woman and her children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 02:10:05 UTC
From: Tsmith <smitht@bigpond.net.au>
Message-ID: <1oHc7.105336$Xr6.543286@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 61566

What a crock of shit! ,sounds like you have been watching to many SciFi shows like the Matrix .....

I could offer you some help....my best mate is a shrink..im sure he could help you out....but wait! he is an AGENT TO!!!!! OMG oh no!!! whats the world coming to!

"DrkGddss9" <drkgddss9@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010809214022.10287.00002535@ng-fu1.aol.com...
> Help I am the Victim of the NSAs Secret Projects...
> The Whyfore to the Quest is that my husband of 17 years my first husband,
> whom I had 5 beautiful children with is a powerful man in the IAM who works
> at Boeing Defense &Space and they needed to divide and conquer this man,
> either kill him or take him down emotionally... they did it we are divorced
> they sent agents to break us up ... I was the victim as well as the weak link
> well... we are both genius i.q.s and my kids and I are stuck in a
> nighborhood in East FtWorth where they have installed nothing but Signit
> agents and this will sound paranoid but I have moniters cameras all over my
> property and they have LEO agents living here and they are terrorising
> myself and my children so I have been attempting to fight back and armed
> with JVC CamCorder using negative vision one can actually see their
> invisible personell their leo agents
> they use their microwave technology against myself and my children
> they use their amplifications and all manner of their weapons in their
> arsenal and I have discovered my back yard is their illegal dumpingground of
> their
> ammo and that is about all I can say hope someone can figure this out... it
> isnt bullets I am referring to... PLEASE need someone to help me someone who
> can help me wire things someone good at codes I am what I call technically
> impaired don't even know how to hook up stereos and vcrs and stuff usually

> thatis what I have men around for but the only men I have had turned out
to
> be NSA agents trying to entrap me on one thing or another set me up for
a
> fall...
> so SOS someone please need bug detector here my house is full of
bugs and
> my van sets off street lamps and I accidentally brought one of their
hidden
> bugs into phase and when we were talking in the van their speaker
started
> going off and everything I said was amplified.
> lol I seem to have something in my causing their hidden transmittors
to
> come into phase their codes accidentally not the ones we ususally
see but
> a screen came across on our t.v. one night recently when it was just on
> channel three and just a blue background all of a sudden coded messages
like
> KUP and an 0 with a slash through it or maybe it was KYP I thought it
was
> an 0 my daughter thought it was a K and these codes flashed fast...
I
> brought their crap into phase somehow
> oh and they have interdimentional vehichles ... they arent on
this
> plane parked in the yard across the street this is what takes their
ammo
> away
> and these agents are busy daily dumping wearing radiaotion suits in my
back
> yard! Want to get help need some one whos sick of their stuff
as we
> are\
> anyone else have to go through what my children and I do? SOS~~
> Write me DrkGddss9@aol.com I will arrange a meeting for the first time
in a
> public place to protect myself and my kids

3154. FS/FT: Pro2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 02:19:57 UTC
From: Jim <vincentj@micron.net>
Message-ID: <9kvgb3ekj1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61567

I have a like new Pro 2042 that is for sale or for trade. I am looking for:
VR500,VR120,SC200, or any HIGH END base receiver. I am asking around $250
without a trade.
I am accepting offers. Please email vincentj2@mindspring.com or
kc7ryd@yahoo.com

3155. Re: FS/FT: Pro2042

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 02:27:06 UTC
From: Jim <vincentj@micron.net>
Message-ID: <9kvgog$f4q$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61568

Sorry, that is vincentj2@mindspring.net!!!

"Jim" <vincentj@micron.net> wrote in message
news:9kvgb3ekj1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> I have a like new Pro 2042 that is for sale or for trade. I am looking
for:
> VR500,VR120,SC200, or any HIGH END base receiver. I am asking around $250
> without a trade.
> I am accepting offers. Please email vincentj2@mindspring.com or
> kc7ryd@yahoo.com
>
>
>

3156. Looking for broadcasts from Germany to the USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 03:03:10 UTC
From: Lauri Winters <lwinters@mnsunpub.com>
Message-ID: <3B734EED.B0FCF7B5@mnsunpub.com>
Source record: 61569
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,soc.culture.german

Can anyone suggest frequencies to monitor to find broadcasts
that originate in Germany, beamed to the USA?

Thanks for answering here.

3157. Re: NSA microwave technology being used to harrass an innocent woman and her children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 03:15:56 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <MIIc7.10704$1p1.783006@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61570

Troll, and poor attempt at that.
Stop it now. No need to reply.
Al

Tsmith wrote in message <1oHc7.105336$Xr6.543286@news-server.bigpond.net.au>...
>What a crock of shit! ,sounds like you have been watching to many SciFi
>shows like the Matrix .....
>
>I could offer you some help....my best mate is a shrink..im sure he could
>help you out....but wait! he is an AGENT TO!!!!! OMG oh no!!! whats the
>world coming to!
>
>
>"DrkGddss9" <drkgddss9@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010809214022.10287.00002535@ng-fu1.aol.com...
>> Help I am the Victim of the NSAs Secret Projects...
>> The Whyfore to the Quest is that my husband of 17 years my first
>husband,
>> whom I had 5 beautiful children with is a powerful man in the IAM who
>works
>> at Boeing Defense &Space and they needed to divide and conquer this man,
>> either kill him or take him down emotionally... they did it we are
>divorced
>> they sent agents to break us up ... I was the victim as well as the weak
>link
>> well... we are both genius i.q.s and my kids and I are stuck in a
>> nighborhood in East FtWorth where they have installed nothing but Signit
>> agents and this will sound paranoid but I have moniters cameras all
>over my
>> property and they have LEO agents living here and they are
>terrorising
>> myself and my children so I have been attempting to fight back and
>armed
>> with JVC CamCorder using negative vision one can actually see their
>> invisible personell their leo agents
>> they use their microwave technology against myself and my children
>> they use their amplifications and all manner of their weapons in
>their
>> arsenal and I have discovered my back yard is their illegal dumpingground
>of
>> their
>> ammo and that is about all I can say hope someone can figure this
>out... it

>> isnt bullets I am referring to... PLEASE need someone to help me someone
>who
>> can help me wire things someone good at codes I am what I call
>technically
>> impaired don't even know how to hook up stereos and vcrs and stuff
>usually
>> thatis what I have men around for but the only men I have had turned out
>to
>> be NSA agents trying to entrap me on one thing or another set me up for
>a
>> fall...
>> so SOS someone please need bug detector here my house is full of
>bugs and
>> my van sets off street lamps and I accidentally brought one of their
>hidden
>> bugs into phase and when we were talking in the van their speaker
>started
>> going off and everything I said was amplified.
>> lol I seem to have something in my causing their hidden transmittors
>to
>> come into phase their codes accidentally not the ones we ususally
>see but
>> a screen came across on our t.v. one night recently when it was just on
>> channel three and just a blue background all of a sudden coded messages
>like
>> KUP and an 0 with a slash through it or maybe it was KYP I thought it
>was
>> an 0 my daughter thought it was a K and these codes flashed fast...
>I
>> brought their crap into phase somehow
>> oh and they have interdimentional vehichles ... they arent on
>this
>> plane parked in the yard across the street this is what takes their
>ammo
>> away
>> and these agents are busy daily dumping wearing radiaotion suits in my
>back
>> yard! Want to get help need some one whos sick of their stuff
>as we
>> are\
>> anyone else have to go through what my children and I do? SOS~~
>> Write me DrkGddss9@aol.com I will arrange a meeting for the first time
>in a
>> public place to protect myself and my kids
>
>

3158. Re: What is 155.025MHz used for in your area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 05:31:07 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <ReKc7.8$bK4.6394@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 61571

In Oklahoma: 155.025 is used by some cities and counties as a local govt. freq., which could be any usage. More to the point, it is used as main PD freq. by a small city (town) near me (Cushing, OK), so I doubt it is a "nationwide civil defense frequency". Oklahoma has a state-wide frequency, 155.235, licensed to the Oklahoma Dept. of Civil Emergency Management, as well as most of the counties and larger towns/cities in the state. It has been used during major disaster recoveries, and was also active during the Y2K New Year's Eve.

Mark
K5MAR

"Speaker to Animals" <Dante'@inferno.net> wrote in message news:9kvf7arnp2@news.uky.edu...
> I know this is an odd request, but I am interested in what real people,
> equiped with real scanners know. I have done a Percom search and will do a
> complete net search Friday afternoon.
> But, the databases are often incomplete.
> Over in misc.survival a thread on emergency comms was on going and
> one poster mentioned a "nationwide civil defense frequency".
> He is in Indiania and this is a state wide freq there for emergency ops.
> It is also listed as a fire freq and is also assigned to some PD.
> So, I thought a direct questin to those with radios might provide a quicker,
> more accurate answer than all the "offical" data bases.
> Thank you for taking the time to read this.
>
>

3159. Re: NSA microwave technology being used to harrass an innocent woman and her children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 05:34:33 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <4iKc7.9$bK4.6792@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 61572

I don't know, Al. It sounds like she's been tampering with the safety interlocks on the microwave oven again.

Mark
K5MAR

"Al, N2NKB" <n2nkb@n2nkb@postoffice.worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:MlIc7.10704$1p1.783006@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Troll, and poor attempt at that.
> Stop it now. No need to reply.
> Al
>
> Tsmith wrote in message <1oHc7.105336$Xr6.543286@news-server.bigpond.net.au>...
> >What a crock of shit! ,sounds like you have been watching to many SciFi
> >shows like the Matrix .....
> >
> >I could offer you some help....my best mate is a shrink..im sure he could
> >help you out....but wait! he is an AGENT TO!!!!! OMG oh no!!! whats the
> >world coming to!
> >
> >
> >"DrkGddss9" <drkgddss9@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20010809214022.10287.00002535@ng-fu1.aol.com...
> >> Help I am the Victim of the NSAs Secret Projects...
> >> The Whyfore to the Quest is that my husband of 17 years my first
> >husband,
> >> whom I had 5 beautiful children with is a powerful man in the IAM who
> >works
> >> at Boeing Defense &Space and they needed to divide and conquer this man,
> >> either kill him or take him down emotionally... they did it we are
> >divorced
> >> they sent agents to break us up ... I was the victim as well as the weak
> >link
> >> well... we are both genius i.q.s and my kids and I are stuck in a
> >> nighborhood in East FtWorth where they have installed nothing but Signit
> >> agents and this will sound paranoid but I have moniters cameras all
> >over my
> >> property and they have LEO agents living here and they are
> >terrorising

> >> myself and my children so I have been attempting to fight back and
> >armed
> >> with JVC CamCorder using negative vision one can actually see their
> >> invisible personell their leo agents
> >> they use their microwave technology against myself and my children
> >> they use their amplifications and all manner of their weapons in
> >their
> >> arsenal and I have discovered my back yard is their illegal
dumpingground
> >of
> >> their
> >> ammo and that is about all I can say hope someone can figure this
> >out... it
> >> isnt bullets I am referring to... PLEASE need someone to help me
someone
> >who
> >> can help me wire things someone good at codes I am what I call
> >technically
> >> impaired don't even know how to hook up stereos and vcrs and stuff
> >usually
> >> thatis what I have men around for but the only men I have had turned
out
> >to
> >> be NSA agents trying to entrap me on one thing or another set me up
for
> >a
> >> fall...
> >> so SOS someone please need bug detector here my house is full of
> >bugs and
> >> my van sets off street lamps and I accidentally brought one of their
> >hidden
> >> bugs into phase and when we were talking in the van their speaker
> >started
> >> going off and everything I said was amplified.
> >> lol I seem to have something in my causing their hidden
transmittors
> >to
> >> come into phase their codes accidentally not the ones we ususally
> >see but
> >> a screen came across on our t.v. one night recently when it was just
on
> >> channel three and just a blue background all of a sudden coded
messages
> >like
> >> KUP and an 0 with a slash through it or maybe it was KYP I thought
it
> >was
> >> an 0 my daughter thought it was a K and these codes flashed
fast...
> >I

> >> brought their crap into phase somehow
> >> oh and they have interdimentional vehichles ... they arent on
> >this
> >> plane parked in the yard across the street this is what takes their
> >ammo
> >> away
> >> and these agents are busy daily dumping wearing radiaotion suits in
my
> >back
> >> yard! Want to get help need some one whos sick of their
stuff
> >as we
> >> are\
> >> anyone else have to go through what my children and I do? SOS~~
> >> Write me DrkGddss9@aol.com I will arrange a meeting for the first
time
> >in a
> >> public place to protect myself and my kids
> >
> >
>
>

3160. No " HOLD " feature on PRO-2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 05:38:21 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010810013821.19248.00003347@ng-fn1.aol.com>
Source record: 61573

The PRO-2067 is nice, programming by computer is easy, but where's the " HOLD " button? How do you hold a talkgroup during " SCAN " from the panel?

Thanks,

Geo.

3161. Re: Leather case for the Pro-43 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 06:11:34 UTC
From: BKT <bthomas1@wi.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qWKc7.56759$GN.7268416@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 61574

Ever hear of Google?

"Daniel M. Conti" <offdan43@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010805004704.00877.00000826@mb-mq.aol.com...
> I am looking for the leather cases available for the Pro-43 scanner. Any
> suggestions as to where I might obtain one would be appreciated.
>
> Please e-mail with details.
> Dan
>
> "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3162. Re: No " HOLD " feature on PRO-2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 06:15:11 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B737BBE.6EBEBF47@home.com>
Source record: 61575

RTFM

LEADENWAH wrote:
>
> The PRO-2067 is nice, programming by computer is easy, but where's the " HOLD "
> button? How do you hold a talkgroup during " SCAN " from the panel?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Geo.

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

3163. Re: just got a scanner seeking someone to teach me how to use it

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 06:29:46 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9kvuui$6qi40$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61576

"DrkGddss9" <drkgddss9@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010809210738.10287.00002533@ng-fu1.aol.com...
> have signit agents in my neighborhood signalling all night long have
their
> codes on tape want to learn how to read them and also
> want to learn how to program and use this scanner I got from radio shack
> fed up with these watchers and their crap they watch me and my family
like a
> hawk and they are sending codes across our t.v.'s and they have sent
> subliminal post hypnotic suggestions to program my children.

Hey, I wondered where those guys went! Their signals disappeared from my TV
a couple days ago... At least now I can sleep without the sound of black
helicopters over my house every night.

Tom

3164. Re: NSA microwave technology being used to harrass an innocent woman and her children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 06:34:10 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9kvv6p$74q76$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61577

"DrkGddss9" <drkgddss9@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010809214022.10287.00002535@ng-fu1.aol.com...

> nighborhood in East FtWorth where they have installed nothing but Signit
> agents

I just have to ask... what's a signit agent?

Tom

3165. Houston & Galveston frequencies needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 06:50:19 UTC
From: Recordking@Hotmail.com
Message-ID: <e8d89b3f.0108092250.50a58d42@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61578

I have a Realistic pro-2011 20 channel scanner. I am looking for the 20 best PD,EMS,FD & FCC frequencies for Houston & Galveston Tx, FCC being the one when they bust or raid somone. SO give me a list of the 20 most active frqeuqencies in these catagories for Houston & Galveston. What the type of antenna is best to use with my scanner.

3166. Re: Looking for broadcasts from Germany to the USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 10:38:56 UTC
From: pja@hiwaay.net
Message-ID: <c5dace6b.0108100238.3e9990e4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,soc.culture.german

Lauri Winters <lwinters@mnsunpub.com> wrote in message
news:<3B734EED.B0FCF7B5@mnsunpub.com>...
> Can anyone suggest frequencies to monitor to find broadcasts
> that originate in Germany, beamed to the USA?
>
> Thanks for answering here.
http://www.dwelle.de/dw/empfang/radio/kw-area6.htm
Here is the current list:

Pete

3167. Re: No " HOLD " feature on PRO-2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 11:19:42 UTC
From: "Truman Williams, Jr." <nn4c@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <xqPc7.10234$Ke7.499089@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61580

Hold down the "TRUNK" button for 2 seconds - and you have to do it while the channel is active. (You have to be quick!)
The downside to this, of course, is that you can only listen to that one talkgroup until you hit scan or manual again.

"LEADENWAH" <leadenwah@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010810013821.19248.00003347@ng-fn1.aol.com...
> The PRO-2067 is nice, programming by computer is easy, but where's the " HOLD "
> button? How do you hold a talkgroup during " SCAN " from the panel?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Geo.

3168. Re: NSA microwave technology being used to harrass an innocent woman and her children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 12:30:54 UTC
From: Gary B <gbreuner@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4sQc7.1$Ng7.734328@news.sisna.com>
Source record: 61581

Tom,

It stands for "SIGNALS NITWIT"...

GB

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9kvv6p$74q76$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "DrkGddss9" <drkgddss9@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010809214022.10287.00002535@ng-fu1.aol.com...
>
> > nighborhood in East FtWorth where they have installed nothing but
Signit
> > agents
>
> I just have to ask... what's a signit agent?
>
> Tom
>
>

3169. Re: The right site... Scanner sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 12:33:08 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tn7l8a77ft9v90@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61582
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The site he should have pointed to you was www.strongsignals.net NOT
".COM"

You'll have much better luck there..

"Z-man" <JZiogas@dotplanet.com> wrote in message
news:9ac88d57.0108090908.7ae478a5@posting.google.com...
> "Jo Jack" <JoJack@mywann.com> wrote in message
news:<tn530p13ui167c@corp.supernews.com>...
> > Use www.strongsignals.com
> >
> > Great site.
> >
> >
>
>
> Great site for what? Certainly not for researching scanners.
>
> Methinks you're either trolling or you posted a bad link. :-)

3170. Re: What is 155.025MHz used for in your area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 12:48:18 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <mKQc7.232491$mG4.107411561@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61583

In Illinois it's called "ESMARN", used by state and local civl defense,
esda, iema, ema's, etc. Also mis-used for some local government operations
(trash haulers, public works, pd chit chat, etc). Good freq statewide, very
good for severe weather operations disasters, etc.

>From: Dante'@inferno.net (Speaker to Animals)
>Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
>Subject: What is 155.025MHz used for in your area?
>Date: Thu, Aug 9, 2001, 9:00 PM
>

> I know this is an odd request, but I am interested in what real people,
> equiped with real scanners know. I have done a Percom search and will do a
> complete net search Friday afternoon.
> But, the databases are often incomplete.
> Over in misc.survival a thread on emergency comms was on going and
> one poster mentioned a "nationwide civil defense frequency".
> He is in Indiania and this is a state wide freq there for emergency ops.
> It is also listed as a fire freq and is also assigned to some PD.
> So, I thought a direct questin to those with radios might provide a quicker,
> more accurate answer than all the "offical" data bases.
> Thank you for taking the time to read this.

3171. Re: What is 155.025MHz used for in your area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 13:14:10 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tn7nl4cl80r3d6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61584

It isn't used for shit in my area.... \

"Speaker to Animals" <Dante'@inferno.net> wrote in message
news:9kvf7arnp2@news.uky.edu...
> I know this is an odd request, but I am interested in what real people,
> equiped with real scanners know. I have done a Percom search and will do a
> complete net search Friday afternoon.
> But, the databases are often incomplete.
> Over in misc.survival a thread on emergency comms was on going and
> one poster mentioned a "nationwide civil defense frequency".
> He is in Indiania and this is a state wide freq there for emergency ops.
> It is also listed as a fire freq and is also assigned to some PD.
> So, I thought a direct questin to those with radios might provide a
quicker,
> more accurate answer than all the "offical" data bases.
> Thank you for taking the time to read this.
>
>

3172. Re: NSA microwave technology being used to harrass an innocent woman and her children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 13:51:35 UTC
From: Andy <acorbin@roanoke.infi.net>
Message-ID: <3B73E6E7.99814D31@roanoke.infi.net>
Source record: 61585

Tom Sevart wrote:

> "DrkGddss9" <drkgddss9@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010809214022.10287.00002535@ng-fu1.aol.com...
>
> > nighborhood in East FtWorth where they have installed nothing but Signit
> > agents
>
> I just have to ask... what's a signit agent?
>

OK, I will venture a reply......its an agent who is a NITwit that sends out a SIGnal., Hence a SIGnal NITwit agent.

>
> Tom

3173. Re: NSA microwave technology being used to harrass an innocent woman and her children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 14:02:13 UTC
From: Muverick <vze24njg@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <FPRc7.1826$cv6.542620@typhoon1.gnilink.net>
Source record: 61586

I believe it's SUPPOSED to be 'sigint', as in 'signals intelligence', as any
Tom Clancy reader would know.<G>

"Gary B" <gbreuner@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:4sQc7.1$Ng7.734328@news.sisna.com...
> Tom,
>
> It stands for "SIGNALS NITWIT"...
>
> GB
>
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:9kvv6p$74q76$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> >
> > "DrkGddss9" <drkgddss9@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20010809214022.10287.00002535@ng-fu1.aol.com...
> >
> > > nighborhood in East FtWorth where they have installed nothing but
> Signit
> > > agents
> >
> > I just have to ask... what's a signit agent?
> >
> > Tom
> >
> >
>
>

3174. Want Boston, MA area news/police copter frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 14:59:18 UTC
From: se@panix.com
Message-ID: <9l0ss6$m4$1@panix2.panix.com>
Source record: 61587
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

As the subject says, I wish to monitor the helicopter frequencies for the Metro Boston area news stations - radio and tv. I also wish to monitor Massachusetts-area local and state police copter frequencies.
Thank you.

Scott

3175. Re: CC Radio .....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 15:09:28 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b73f6f6.32645040@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61588

On Thu, 09 Aug 2001 21:52:38 -0400, The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> wrote:

>On Thu, 09 Aug 2001 17:20:23 -0700, SBS <sbs20@cvip.net> wrote:
>
>>Oh really???What about the HGH "Human growth Hormone"pills that he shills
>>for?They promote weight loss,sexual prowess,wrinkle reduction,better vision and
>>hair restoration.Kind of like a CC Radio in a pill...Heh Heh Heh.
>
>Arty has never been able to pronounce hormone, he says "harmone".

Okay, all the above is true about ART and I like his show too.
But the poster asked about the CC radio. Now one good thing
to ask Mr. John Smith would be simply, "Why do you want one?"

If the answer is to listen to AB then he can save his money by
buying something else such as GE Super Radio.

If he wants maximun freq. coverage in a affordable portable
then he should consider RS DX-39x which can reach from
150kHz. to 30MHz. almost continous plus the FM band as
well as superior ant. option. and BFO and some more.

If he wants just what CC offers then he should get that.

So, John, what do you want?

Andrew

By the way, Those who like AB really ought to consider trying
the i-radio show of Jeff Rense. He's as good as AB with most of the same
guests and much less of the hype. Check it out on Yahoo!
As a matter of fact, most of my AB here on the east coast is i-radio
Art Bell anyway because otherwise I'd be up to 1am just to hear
the start of the show. Aargh.

3176. Re: NSA microwave technology being used to harrass an innocent woman and her children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 15:16:18 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b73fa63.33522697@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61589

On Fri, 10 Aug 2001 14:02:13 GMT, "Muverick" <vze24njg@verizon.net> wrote:

>I believe it's SUPPOSED to be 'sigint', as in 'signals intelligence', as any
>Tom Clancy reader would know.<G>
>
No, it's Signit:
Some Idiot Gone Nuts In Texas

Andrew
>
>
>"Gary B" <gbreuner@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:4sQc7.1$Ng7.734328@news.sisna.com...
>> Tom,
>>
>> It stands for "SIGNALS NITWIT"...
>>
>> GB
>>
>> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> news:9kvv6p$74q76$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>> >
>> > "DrkGddss9" <drkgddss9@aol.com> wrote in message
>> > news:20010809214022.10287.00002535@ng-fu1.aol.com...
>> >
>> > > nighborhood in East FtWorth where they have installed nothing but
>> Signit
>> > > agents
>> >
>> > I just have to ask... what's a signit agent?
>> >
>> > Tom
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>
>

3177. Re: NSA microwave technology being used to harrass an innocent woman and her children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 15:44:34 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b73fbe3.33906809@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61590

Apparently this is not the 1st from this troll.
Here's something from last year by " Drkgddss9@aol.com :

Search Result 3
From: The Dark One (DrkGddss9@aol.com)
Subject: I Want to Defect!!!
Newsgroups: alt.anarchism.communist
(This is the only article in this thread)
Date: 2000/11/28

I am Fed up with our System!!! It has let me and my Children down and forever
ruined their lives!!!
Email me and ask me why!!!
I am ready to go to another country and reveal highly Classified information
about our Government!!!

To the Highest Bidder!!!

Posted on ICQ NewsBoards
http://news.icq.com
-

BUT wait, there's more! Thanks to the stats from www.brick.net server
and some searching by my favorite web-search engine Copernic 2001
we finally get to the bottom line;

It's PORNO SPAM ! What else? Here's the proof,
Note the LAST line. Reply to this spammer and watch the
porno spam roll in to your mailbox. ------- Andrew

0.00 0.00 595 1 |
/cgi-bin/formmail.cgi?email=you@what.com(1)&subject=Subject(1)&message
=Are%20you%20looking%20for%20a%20little%20spice%20in%20your%20sex%20
life?%20Adult%20Friend%20Finder%20can%20help!%20We're%20the%20largest%
20adult%20personals%20and%20swingers%20directory%20with%20over%2010,000,000
%20registered%20members%20with%20thousands%20of%20sexy%20photos!%20It's%2
0FREE,%20easy,%20and%20anonymous%20to%20join!%20Every%20day,%20over%2
018,000%20new%20people%20join%20Adult%20Friend%20Finder%20so%20you'll%20
always%20find%20new%20hot%20friends%20here!%20If%20this%20is%20your%20first
%20time%20here,%20please%20signup%20for%20a%20FREE%20membership!%20htt
p://adultfriendfinder.com/go/p42971ccut%20and%20paste%20the%20following%20
URL:http://adultfriendfinder.com/go/p42971c%20http://finder.globalredirect.com&recipient
=FuBu17cHiCk17@aol.com,LNHDA@aol.com,StriderHayatoji@aol.com,Brew%20Dawgg@aol.com
,IM4GSUS@aol.com,MikeSharks@aol.com,XxWPxX@aol.com,DoJoDuck@aol.com,

IXl%20%20halo%20%20IXl@aol.com,RiCexRoCkeR@aol.com,SA%20SwEeTiE2004@aol.com,
DrkGddss9@aol.com,

3178. Re: WTB: AOR AR-5000 or AR-5000 PLUS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 17:32:20 UTC
From: jj475171@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010810133220.03772.00003576@ng-bk1.aol.com>
Source record: 61591

>Looking for the perfect radio, mostly for VHF/UHF, and so far that looks
>like a
>AOR AR-5000

I see at the end of your post you mentioned an Icom R-8500, Im an Icom R-8500 owner, and did extensive reaserch before buying a $2000.00 price class rig, and 9 ou of 10 times I was always reccomended the 8500, since ive bought it (the 8500) I can see why, its 1000% worth the investment. Frank,

3179. Re: Car Radio/Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 17:58:33 UTC
From: RCassetty <rcassetty@hhk.com>
Message-ID: <tn885f8f3n2591@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61592

They appear here and on ebay regularly and range from 175 to 275.

Keep watching.

"Stinger" <stinger.uk@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:9Tl57.66901$B56.13157273@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> There used to be one, last seen about 10 years ago - the Kenwood RZ-1. I
> have tried to get hold of one for a particular job but they seem fairly
> rare.
> Let me know if you get one, I'd like to know how it performs.
>
>
> "Matthew Tebbens" <tebbens@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:tjv588r7eeup5b@corp.supernews.com...
> > Hi !
> >
> > Does anyone make a scanner/receiver that installs just like a normal car
> > radio ?
> >
> > Best Regards,
> > Matthew
> >
> >
>
>

3180. Re: What is 155.025MHz used for in your area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 18:02:00 UTC
From: r8500@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010810140200.27072.00004218@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 61593

>Subject: What is 155.025MHz used for in your area?
>From: Dante'@inferno.net (Speaker to Animals)
>Date: 8/9/01 8:00 PM Mountain Daylight Time
>Message-id: <9kvf7arnp2@news.uky.edu>
>
>I know this is an odd request, but I am interested in what real people,
>equiped with real scanners know. I have done a Percom search and will do a
>complete net search Friday afternoon.
>But, the databases are often incomplete.
>Over in misc.survival a thread on emergency comms was on going and
>one poster mentioned a "nationwide civil defense frequency".
>He is in Indiania and this is a state wide freq there for emergency ops.
>It is also listed as a fire freq and is also assigned to some PD.
>So, I thought a direct questin to those with radios might provide a quicker,
>more accurate answer than all the "offical" data bases.
>Thank you for taking the time to read this.

In Utah 155.025 is the statewide Civil Defense frequency. It's one of the few VHF frequencies still in use since the state of Utah moved to an 800 MHz trunked system almost two years ago.

73,
David

3181. Re: cordless phone receiving range for a hand held scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 18:12:00 UTC
From: r8500@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010810141200.27072.00004227@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 61594

>Subject: cordless phone receiving range for a hand held scanner
>From: gamehendgegnome@aol.com (gamehendge gnome)
>Date: 8/6/01 3:06 PM Mountain Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010806170604.19861.00003164@ng-fn1.aol.com>
>
>How far can your average handheld scanner pick up a Cordless phone
>(43-49mhz)?
>should i buy a good antenna for a handheld? or are my neighbors just all
>using corded phones and 900mhz
>
>thanks

If you want to boost the reception range of the 43-49 MHz band on your handheld scanner, buy one of the telescoping whip antennas Radio Shack sells for $9.99. I use this antenna with all my handhelds, and from the first floor of my house I can hear cordless calls for several blocks in all directions.

73,
David

3182. Re: NSA microwave technology being used to harrass an innocent woman and her children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 18:40:36 UTC
From: drkgddss9@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010810144036.04460.00000083@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 61595

Re:Tsmith
Isn't it funny how many agents use the names Smith and Jones! hehehe
Well Dude... sorry to upset your little applecart but... I do have witnesses and I do have many hours of footage plus codes and I will show to those who wish to see it
The game of the NSA when people have evidence on them and blow the whistle is to always first try and discredit them try and make them out to be paranoid delusional schizoids and if that doesn't work, then they try and set them up, as someone into child molestation and pornography... and if that doesn't work... then they go missing or suddenly get very sick and die
This is a long involved drawn out story people this has been going on for many many years... they have tried everything and I am one who fights back! I read Will by G.Gordon Liddy many many years ago
my will is like his my determination...
So go ahead try your best take your best shot... heres one back at you
Trains run... trains sometimes run away all by themselves... little boys are found murdered on r.r. tracks in Arkansas...
Planes crash!!! Military &Civillian...(Check Radar tapes at Kennedy Airport) (Read UAirbus Proposal)... Ships dump! Ships disappear and reappear as something other... Agents pass!!!(As in Cameron Missouri and btw ... I do have the Cameron proposal) & as in Ga) ...
p.s. The Real head of the NSA not the figurehead is one of my old lovers... Network Systems Analyst head of remote viewing... He is the doppleganger of Howard Hessman!!!!!!!!!
Ciao~!

3183. Re: NSA microwave technology being used to harrass an innocent woman and her children

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 19:51:24 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9l1e00$73cep$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61596

"DrkGddss9" <drkgddss9@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010810144036.04460.00000083@mb-fh.aol.com...

> p.s. The Real head of the NSA not the figurehead is one of my old
lovers...
> Network Systems Analyst head of remote viewing... He is the
doppleganger of
> Howard Hessman!!!!!!!!!

Ahem... If you're not taking medication, you need to do so, and if you are
taking it, you need to cut back...

3184. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 20:39:51 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tn8hift288uv72@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61497

Long live the fringe!!!!!!!!!!!!!

BTW Dan, you should have your Yupi any hour now - ENJOY!!!

Don

Daniel M. Conti <offdan43@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010809205625.18691.00004095@mb-mv.aol.com...
> >This will be one of those rare cases where I reply again to a flame.
>
> One man's opinion as ALWAYS another man's flame, when he disagrees.....
>
> >misdeeds of some on the "fringe"
>
> What , pray tell, are you talking about?
>
> >I am quite content to monitor what the government has seen fit to permit
me
> >to.
>
> Now THAT statement is VERY scary....yikes, I'm getting shivers.
>
>
> >I shall put serious thought into modification of same as to avoid being
what
> you see me as.
>
> Don't do anything on my account. As I said, just voicing my opinion.
Those of
> us in what is still a free society do that now and then, until I am
limited to
> saying "what my government will permit me to"
> I will continue to voice my opinion.
>
> Then again, I just may be all wrong...and simply flaming.
>
> :)
>
>
> Dan
>
> "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3185. Re: Leather case for the Pro-43 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 20:43:18 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010810164318.17804.00003570@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 61498

>Ever hear of Google?

Yes I have.

Did you ever hear of helping a fellow hobbyist out rather then answering with a snide question?

Guess not.

Luckily, someone else did, and the case i need is on the way.

Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3186. Re: WTB: AOR AR-5000 or AR-5000 PLUS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 20:46:46 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tn8hvgc1qei228@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61499

NOW you tell me!! (:->))=
I just ordered a new AOR UK AR-5000+ and Scancat SE, so I'm sitting around now like a five year old two days before Xmas!!!!!!
Cheers n beers y'all!
Don

JJ475171 <jj475171@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010810133220.03772.00003576@ng-bk1.aol.com...
> >Looking for the perfect radio, mostly for VHF/UHF, and so far that looks
> >like a
> >AOR AR-5000
>
> I see at the end of your post you mentioned an Icom R-8500, Im an Icom R-8500
> owner, and did extensive reaserch before buying a $2000.00 price class rig, and
> 9 ou of 10 times I was always reccomended the 8500, since ive bought it (the
> 8500) I can see why, its 1000% worth the investment. Frank,

3187. Re: Are 900 mhz cordless, Blocked ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 20:58:02 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tn8ikmt69fcqd7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61500

Don't know about that - I notice the 900 handsets are 'usually' stronger
than the base units, probably because people stick the base where ever it's
convenient (like between the frig and microwave), don't put the antenna up,
etc., and tend to fade in/out worse; while the HF stuff is much more
consistent. And the best antenna changes user to user, sometimes the roof
discone is the best, sometimes the inside homemade 900 ground plane is best,
etc... Best advice there is experiment, experiment, experiment.....
Cheers n beers y'all.
Don

Eric G. <emoney250@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010808211611.21860.00003732@ng-fx1.aol.com...
> 900 meg phones are scannable if they are not digitial and/or spread
spectrum
> transmissions. You will find that 900 meg phones will be scannable from
> farther distances than the old freq phones.
> Eric G.
> shut your mouth and open your eyes.............

3188. Re: WTB: AOR AR-5000 or AR-5000 PLUS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 21:08:08 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <Y2Yc7.370$at4.639832@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61501

I've owned the AR5K+ and the IC85K, and neither one really is optimal for **scanning**. Note the emphasis on scanning. They're both excellent all around receivers but they don't hold a candle to the PRO2042, which is the best scanner I've ever owned. Mine has an Opto and PROgramit in it and discriminator tap. With a trunk tracking scanner, you've got a much more sophisticated and useful set up than either the 5K or 85K at well under half the price. Add a SW receiver, and you still haven't paid anything close to what those top-shelf receivers sell for.

The 5K and 85K are slow; the relays clack-clack audibly and annoyingly, the software options for both are woeful, and despite their premium cost, they really aren't that flexible, or easily modified. Again, I'm thinking solely in terms of their suitability for scanning. No trunk tracking, of course. I didn't think either was worth the money and sold them both after about six months. Jack of all trade receivers, master of none. Both are like Volvos: excellent build quality, good all around functionality and looks, but no zip where it counts.

If you plan to mainly scan police, military and the rest of the usual stuff, you won't find the 85K to be perfect by any stretch.

"JJ475171" <jj475171@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010810133220.03772.00003576@ng-bk1.aol.com...
> >Looking for the perfect radio, mostly for VHF/UHF, and so far that looks
> >like a
> >AOR AR-5000
>
> I see at the end of your post you mentioned an Icom R-8500, Im an Icom
R-8500
> owner, and did extensive reaserch before buying a $2000.00 price class
rig, and
> 9 ou of 10 times I was always reccomended the 8500, since ive bought it
(the
> 8500) I can see why, its 1000% worth the investment. Frank,

3189. Re: WTB: AOR AR-5000 or AR-5000 PLUS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 21:43:40 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tn8la4tls0j26c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61502

Thanks, I needed that..... <G>

Trunking is far down on my list of priorities here in n/w AR - I'm looking for superior performance on hearing down in the dirt UHF signals, low intermod on everything else, 'extreme' versatility, PC control (keypunching several hundred freqs, just to get everything in proper chronological order is booooorrrrrrrrinnnnnnnnnggggg), and just the satisfaction of trying "The Best". Who knows, in six months this may be on eBay, or *.swap, etc., too..... In the meantime, it keeps me out of the bars and alleys at night (much to my wives disappointment!).....
Cheers n beers.
Don
Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net> wrote in message
news:Y2Yc7.370$at4.639832@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> I've owned the AR5K+ and the IC85K, and neither one really is optimal for
> **scanning**. Note the emphasis on scanning. They're both excellent all
> around receivers but they don't hold a candle to the PRO2042, which is the
> best scanner I've ever owned. Mine has an Opto and PROgramit in it and
> discriminator tap. With a trunk tracking scanner, you've got a much more
> sophisticated and useful set up than either the 5K or 85K at well under
half
> the price. Add a SW receiver, and you still haven't paid anything close to
> what those top-shelf receivers sell for.
>
> The 5K and 85K are slow; the relays clack-clack audibly and annoyingly,
the
> software options for both are woeful, and despite their premium cost, they
> really aren't that flexible, or easily modified. Again, I'm thinking
solely
> in terms of their suitability for scanning. No trunk tracking, of course.
I
> didn't think either was worth the money and sold them both after about six
> months. Jack of all trade receivers, master of none. Both are like Volvos:
> excellent build quality, good all around functionality and looks, but no
zip
> where it counts.
>
> If you plan to mainly scan police, military and the rest of the usual
stuff,
> you won't find the 85K to be perfect by any stretch.
>
>
> "JJ475171" <jj475171@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010810133220.03772.00003576@ng-bk1.aol.com...

> > >Looking for the perfect radio, mostly for VHF/UHF, and so far that looks
> > >like a
> > >AOR AR-5000
> >
> > I see at the end of your post you mentioned an Icom R-8500, Im an Icom R-8500
> > owner, and did extensive reaserch before buying a $2000.00 price class rig, and
> > 9 ou of 10 times I was always reccomended the 8500, since ive bought it (the
> > 8500) I can see why, its 1000% worth the investment. Frank,
>
>

3190. MODS MODS MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 23:16:51 UTC
From: DigitalEbola <digitalebola@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3b746bcb$1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 61503

Does ANYONE know ANY mods that work with the PRO-71 scanner ? Im DESPERATE!

digitalebola@iprimus.com.au

3191. On the Subject of Scanning Cell. Freqs. (Bad News)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 23:45:11 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b746ddd.63089594@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61504

Howdy,

There's been a fair amount of talk on scanning cell freqs. this week. Well this may be no surprise to many but today the kids and I went out to Best Buy just to window shop. Naturally, they've got the cell phone "section" right up front. I did a brief walkthrough and then asked the pimplely clerk, " Say, you've got all these digital phones here. Do you sell anymore of the analogue ones? "

"No sir," was the response, " We don't carry them anymore. I don't know anyone who does, although some of the phones will work in "analogue areas."

So there you go. If you want the spectrum coverage and are willing to take the chance (and many are and do) than you should not be paying one red cent more than you have to for unblocked scanners as pretty soon all you are gonna hear is Blaazzt, Breezrp, Borp ! Etc., etc. So it goes.

And I suspect that the reason 46-49MHz. is so quiet is that everybody's buying 900MHz. cordless and most of those are digital too. The new 1.2GHz. appear to be exclusively digital.

By the way, Best Buy wanted to sell me a 5 mlie range radio (GMRS/FRS combo). They wanted 229.00 for it !! One unit only, not a pair. Cripes. I could get a Tech. Ham license and buy a new, bottom line Yaesu for less than that and go 25+ miles easy with a good repeater and some luck. What a rip-off.

Have a nice weekend guys,

Andrew

3192. FS: Pro-2006, Pro-26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-10 23:46:03 UTC
From: Ron <ab4ua@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <%m_c7.97700$J37.23547211@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 61505

I have the following scanners for sale.

1. Radio Shack Pro-2006 in excellent condition.
Antenna, Manual, and Original Box.
On a scale of 1-10 backlight is a 6.
Diode was clipped for cellular reception.
Asking $275.00 and willing to split shipping cost.

2. Radio Shack Pro-26 Handheld in excellent condition.
Antenna, Manual, Wall Charger, Leather Case.
Frequency Range 25Mhz to 1.3Ghz.
Asking $200.00 and willing to split shipping cost.

I am presently living in an area that uses trunking systems so I have no use for above items.
Please response to me directly if interested rather then tie up news group.

If I don't sell these items on the net I will be taking them to Shelby, NC Hamfest labor day weekend.

Ron
AB4UA

3193. Re: On the Subject of Scanning Cell. Freqs. (Bad News)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 00:14:53 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010810201453.17500.00000193@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 61506

Someone was trying to take your money! Best Buy in the Carolinas carries the 15-channel GMRS / FRS handheld ... scanning, tones, calling, weather alert and weather scan, 1.8 watt output ... for $70 a unit. It's made by Audiovox (GMRS-1535).

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

>By the way, Best Buy wanted to sell me a 5 mlie range radio (GMRS/FRS
>combo).
>They wanted 229.00 for it !! One unit only, not a pair.

3194. Re: Importing Un-Blocked Scanners From Canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 00:21:09 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010810202109.06490.00001471@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 61507

Thanks Don....
Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3195. Re: What is 155.025MHz used for in your area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 00:21:52 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010810202152.17500.00000196@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 61508

>Subject: What is 155.025MHz used for in your area?

Tennessee Emergency Management has repeaters on the frequency in that state.

In the Carolinas and Georgia, there are a variety of local government services on the channel. There are ten different users I've logged at home ... road department, garbage collection, school maintenance, animal control, law enforcement, etc.

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

3196. FT: Uniden MR8100 for BC235XLT or BC245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 00:25:04 UTC
From: dirty_harry74@nospam.hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3b747aa0.1530189@news.microflash.com>
Source record: 61509

I have a mint Uniden MR8100 with matching speaker and would be willing to work on a deal for a handheld scanner like the BC235 or 245XLT.

If interested please e-mail.

3197. BROOKHAVEN NAT LABS LONG ISLAND NY FREQS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 00:51:16 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3b74812a.7408917@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 61510

hi all
anyone know about the freqs for the lab cops/security? and whatever
else they have out there?
thanks gig@i-2000.com

3198. SUFFOLK COUNTY PD LI NEW YORK...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 00:53:59 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3b74818b.7506272@news.i-2000.com>
Source record: 61511

hi all..
anybody have the trunk groups and the type of system they are using
here in suffolk? thanks...
gig@i-2000.com

3199. Re: No " HOLD " feature on PRO-2067

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 01:19:54 UTC
From: lbrcly@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010810211954.22549.00003340@mb-mj.news.cs.com>
Source record: 61513

>How do you hold a talkgroup during " SCAN " from the panel?

Hold the trunk button for 2 seconds.The display will read ID hold on.

3200. FS: RADIO SHACK SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 01:22:03 UTC
From: QSTFLYOUT@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <994c2fe.0108101722.44ef4a32@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61514

PRO 43 HANDHELD SCANNER
WILL SCAN 800 MHZ
200 CHANNELS
INCLUDED AC ADAPTOR/CHARGER
INCLUDED NICAD BATTERIES
MANUAL

ASKING $170.00

E-MAIL QSTFLYOUT@AOL.COM

3201. Re: How do you like PRO92.com programming software ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 01:25:35 UTC
From: fanphoto1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010810212535.18701.00004534@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 61515

I bought it and had nothing but problems with it. It didn't work. I wouldn't load frequencies, change banks, anything. Don't waste your money.

3202. Re: MODS MODS MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 02:09:43 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2001.08.10.22.09.41.232.24928@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 61516

In article <3b746bcb$1@news.iprimus.com.au>, "DigitalEbola"
<digitalebola@iprimus.com.au> wrote:

> Does ANYONE know ANY mods that work with the PRO-71 scanner ? Im
> DESPERATE!

Desparate for what exactly? You could probably "modify" the 71 into a
paper weight with almost no effort at all.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.9.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.0f1

3203. Re: Anchorage, Alaska frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 02:56:02 UTC
From: John Miller <bfdp1@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <461d7.1209$3R1.382074@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 61517

Dan,
APD is 460.000 range, State Troopers are 155 range. Anch FD is Moto type II Smartnet. Not much else to listen to..... Enjoy Alaska leave the scanner home !

John Miller
Boise, Idaho
Anchorage FD Photographer (retired) (22 years)

"Dan Morisseau" <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:3B6F5C5A.1ED8D5FF@earthlink.net...
> Noel wrote:
>
> > I'm going to be in Anchorage for a week beginning Sat. 18th. Would
> > appreciate some local frequencies to get me and myAOR8000 started.
> >
> > Thanks
> > Noel.
>
> It would help if you provided us with a valid e-mail address to
> which we we could forward our material. Send me your address and I'll be
> glad to share a (rather dated) text file of stuff I accumulated in the
> years I used to travel up there regularly.
>
> Dan
>
>
> --
> Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38°34'32", W 90°25'38", 700'
> Just west of the crest of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
> Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
> ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
> "Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"
>
>
>

3204. Re: PRO 2022 display light problem.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 02:59:19 UTC
From: Ma'sbisques <lostinspace@united.net>
Message-ID: <9l2701$q89$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61518

It is very easy to change out in the 2022. You will find removing the old one easy, there might be a small amount of glue at the top to cut with a razor or sharp pen knife. Then it slides right out. Whole operation takes about 15 minutes.

"

> If you dial 1-800-THE-SHACK they should refer you to National Parts.
> Cat.# 10800266
> NP# LB0066
> Price - $21.00
>
> I was going to buy this item Monday but am still trying to decide
> if I need a $22 light bulb!!!!!!!
>
>
> Have fun,
>
> Jodia

3205. Re: On the Subject of Scanning Cell. Freqs. (Bad News)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 04:20:44 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B74B235.F5AF0C95@home.com>
Source record: 61519

Set'em up Joe wrote:

> Well this may be no surprise to many but today the kids and I went out
> to Best Buy just to window shop. Naturally, they've got the cell phone
> "section" right up front. I did a brief walkthrough and then asked the
> pimplely clerk, " Say, you've got all these digital phones here. Do you
> sell anymore of the analogue ones? "
>
> "No sir," was the response, " We don't carry them anymore. I don't know
> anyone who does, although some of the phones will work in "analogue areas."

Per some cellular bigwigs I was talking with in Detroit, they're
planning on dropping support for analog within the next couple years.

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

3206. Re: On the Subject of Scanning Cell. Freqs. (Bad News)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 05:30:38 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20743-3B74C2FE-87@storefull-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61520

The only reason digital is 'in' right now, is because it is supposedly 'secure' (so far). Not too long ago I was a messenger in Chicago and NEVER had a problem with analog phones inside buildings. When our service switched to digital, well, let's just say that this digital thing is waaay overrated, 'nothing but problems, but I guess you can't stop 'progress'. Vic.

3207. Re: Cordless phone receiving range for scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 07:49:53 UTC
From: Emilio Goldstien <egoldstien@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <Bs5d7.52216$Kd7.29939146@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 61521
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The best way to handle this situation is to sneak in and extend the antenna of the cordless phone which you want to monitor. That way it stands out above the rest.

Just go up to your neighbor and say you lost youir car keys and can you use the phone. Wipe dog crap from your shoes onto the couch and when the neighbor goes to get a wet rag, switch out the antenna. Works every time. Usually you can pick up your neighbor talking about you right away.

3208. Re: Frequency Counter?? do they work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 08:02:29 UTC
From: Emilio Goldstien <egoldstien@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <pE5d7.52221$Kd7.29962300@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 61522
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes, they do work. I own several. And by the way a dictionary is a great way to spell Active Frequencies. Just kidding you.
Probably the best way to make this setup to work is through "triangulation". You get two frequency counters, and two cars. Both cars drive around with their frequency counters, and together, you can zero in on the frequencies. One guy starts at the top and the other at the bottom.
I know this sounds expensive (not even counting buying two cars), but believe me, it's worth it.

With this method, you can acheive 2x2=4 times the results, and you discover more and more frequencies as the distance between the cars gets larger.
My Dodge Colt has a frequency counter built in, in place of a CD player.

I'm sorry if all this is technical, but as your love for the hobby grows, so too will your technical expertise develop.
There is a great manual called "Frequency Counters for Everyone". It's about a thousand pages, but I can fax you a copy if you want.

Take care.

"Blake Slutz" <mrx1@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:3B7407CB.20FB4C72@earthlink.net...
> I read somewhere on the net that a freq counter is a great way to find
> active frequencys.

3209. scanner from korea

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 09:09:05 UTC
From: Hakan Unal <hakanunal00@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <AC6d7.164$b7.5979@quark.idirect.com>
Source record: 61523

Hi,
I will be in Korea for 5 days and I want to buy a handheld scanner from there. Especially BC245XLT.. But if I cant find this one do you know what brands are available? Does anybody have information about the radio scanner dealers in Seoul? Any links, contacts, suggestions,ideas...

thanks&regards

Hakan

3210. Re: Cases for the RELM HS 200 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 09:17:19 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B74F81F.BF8B495E@mitre.org>
Source record: 61524

I us a soft nylon "universal" scanner case from Radio Shack, put a piece
of foam rubber down the bottom to raze the HS 200 up to the top lip
of the case. I cut a hole in the side of the case, for a ear phone plug.
The case also fits the PRO-90 (Uniden BC 235/245 XLT) It has a belt loop
and a Velcro strap going over the top, that holds the scanner in place.
Jim
"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>
> I am looking for suggestions on how to obtain a custom case for the RELM HS 200
> scanner. Any info please e-mail me.
> Dan
>
> "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3211. Re: CC Radio .....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 09:24:16 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <4R6d7.3600$5d4.1045112@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 61525

Question for you then..... on the DX-392, on the external antenna jack, which would be cosidered the postive and which would be considered "ground" on that one? I really don't wanna take the stupid thing apart to figure it out. Are you aware of this??

Thanks,
Ryan

"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message news:3b72bd15.1174169927@news.erols.com...
>
>
> Yeah, Art always talks it up and I have friends who do
> likewise.It's real competitors are the GE Super Radio series
> and the Radio Shack DX 39x series (where x = 2, or whatever).
> The Radio Shack radios are actually made by Sangean and
> are identical to the Sangean with exception of cosmetics.
>
> A point to keep in mind IMHO is receptivity. Almost all AM
> portable radios use the samer internal bar antenna. In some the wire
> is coiled around a plastic tube, in others a ferrite core. The radio's
> receptivity will have as much or more to do with its antenna than
> just about anything else. So when Art tells you to , " listen to it
> really pull them out ." --or whatever he says, unless he is clearly
> implying *selectivity* vs. *receptivity* he's selling you hogwash
> and as a Ham he oughta be ashamed.
>
> I chose the RS DX-392 because it was on sale as discontinued
> (now it's the DX-398, I think), it had better SW coverage, AND
> because it had a jack for an external antenna that meant I could
> hook whatever into it. By the way, even the RS 23ft. reel antenna
> thrown up over a tree limb made a HUGE difference over the whip
> on SW. If this sort of thing appeals to you you'll need to do a lot
> of prowling around as stock can differ markedly between one RS
> and the next. Keep your eyes open for Sangeans in electronic
> stores as well.
>
> The GE Super Radio I only know of what I've read here and elsewhere
> which is that it's an excellent AM radio for a much better price than
> the C Crane. If Art is all you want, go with GE.
>
> I've never heard anybody fault the C. Crane for performance or
> workmanship, just it's exorbitant price. I think they must depend on
> Art's "hard sell" to keep the price up. He sure oughta be getting a
> 20% slice on each unit sold. <grin>. You pays your money, you

> takes your choices !
>
> Hope this helps,
>
> Andrew

3212. AOR 3000A squelch adjust question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 09:29:54 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha61@DELETEhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3b74fa1d$0$20909$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 61526

I recently bought an AOR3000A in excellent condition. There are no obvious problems with the scanner, but I have noticed that the squelch threshhold may need adjusting as there is an almighty "crrsshhht" at the end of any FM transmissions, no matter where the squelch is set - it is very annoying!

Is there a mod or adjustment to solve this problem??

Thanks in advance.

Mike

3213. Re: PRO 2022 display light problem.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 10:00:02 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha61@DELETEhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3b75012c$0$20941$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 61527

Thanks guys, the EL panel is removed and I just hope I can find a spare
now!!

Mike

Ma'sbisques <lostinspace@united.net> wrote in message
news:9l2701$q89$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> It is very easy to change out in the 2022. You will find removing the old
> one easy, there might be a small amount of glue at the top to cut with a
> razor or sharp pen knife. Then it slides right out. Whole operation takes
> about 15 minutes.
>
>
> "
>
> > If you dial 1-800-THE-SHACK they should refer you to National Parts.
> > Cat.# 10800266
> > NP# LB0066
> > Price - $21.00
> >
> > I was going to buy this item Monday but am still trying to decide
> > if I need a $22 light bulb!!!!!!!
> >
> >
> > Have fun,
> >
> > Jodia
>
>

3214. Re: SUFFOLK COUNTY PD LI NEW YORK...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 12:07:52 UTC
From: "Lindsay C. Blanton" <lindsay@lcblanton.com>
Message-ID: <se9d7.394$ht1.137551@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 61528

See:
http://www.trunkedradio.net/cgi-bin/display.pl?state=NY

--
Lindsay C. Blanton
The Trunked Radio Information Homepage
http://www.trunkedradio.net

"gaijin gig" <gig@i-2000.com> wrote in message
news:3b74818b.7506272@news.i-2000.com...
> hi all..
> anybody have the trunk groups and the type of system they are using
> here in suffolk? thanks...
> gig@i-2000.com
>
>

3215. Re: Ft. Benning & Columbus GA area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 12:13:20 UTC
From: "Lindsay C. Blanton" <lindsay@lcblanton.com>
Message-ID: <Aj9d7.395$ht1.138364@nnrp3.sbc.net>
Source record: 61529

Ft Benning uses an Ericsson EDACS system.

See http://www.trunkedradio.net/cgi-bin/display.pl?state=GA for complete
details

--
Lindsay C. Blanton
The Trunked Radio Information Homepage
http://www.trunkedradio.net

"b7spectra" <webmaster@scanatlanta.com> wrote in message
news:3b6f28ff.109123937@news.mindspring.com...
> Stop by ScanAtlanta.com (www.scanatlanta.com). You will find what you
> want there!
>
> /\/\|k.e
> webmaster - ScanAtlanta.com
>
> On Sun, 05 Aug 2001 02:07:18 GMT, Elbert Clarke <elbertc@home.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >Hello,
> >
> >Anyone have any good freq. for the columbus, GA area to include Ft.
> >Benning.
> >
> >Also do the MPs use secure radios..... I assume that they do?
> >
> >
> >---
> >Elbert Clarke
> >elbertc@home.com
>
>

3216. FS:PRO-30

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 12:21:33 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@home.com>
Message-ID: <hr9d7.235056$mG4.108794893@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61531

i have a radio shack,pro-30 scanner.covers to 512mhz..
work goos when using th AC adapter,,doesnt owrk when using batteries for
some reason..
just the scanner,and,ac adapter/charger

price 15.00 shipped

monte k9dzd@home.com

3217. Re: Cordless phone receiving range for scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 12:23:22 UTC
From: translations@qdnet.pl
Message-ID: <9l37r4$2js$1@news.tpi.pl>
Source record: 61530
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I suppose we can call this a "dirty tactic" ?!?

3218. Re: SUFFOLK COUNTY PD LI NEW YORK...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 12:29:34 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <Oy9d7.11935$vp.1734838@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 61532

The site below has all that info and lots more for Long Island.

http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/li-freqs.html

"gaijin gig" <gig@i-2000.com> wrote in message
news:3b74818b.7506272@news.i-2000.com...
> hi all..
> anybody have the trunk groups and the type of system they are using
> here in suffolk? thanks...
> gig@i-2000.com
>

3219. Re: MODS MODS MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 12:30:44 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <Uz9d7.836$at4.955011@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61533

Mods to do what exactly?

"DigitalEbola" <digitalebola@iprimus.com.au> wrote in message
news:3b746bcb$1@news.iprimus.com.au...
> Does ANYONE know ANY mods that work with the PRO-71 scanner ? Im
DESPERATE!
>
> digitalebola@iprimus.com.au
>
>
>

3220. Re: BROOKHAVEN NAT LABS LONG ISLAND NY FREQS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 12:32:19 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <nB9d7.11936$vp.1737895@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 61534

Try:

http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/frequencies/fed.html

"gaijin gig" <gig@i-2000.com> wrote in message
news:3b74812a.7408917@news.i-2000.com...
> hi all
> anyone know about the freqs for the lab cops/security? and whatever
> else they have out there?
> thanks gig@i-2000.com

3221. ARE YOU A SCANNER USER?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 13:10:41 UTC
From: crbbooks@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010811091041.15597.00003282@ng-cv1.aol.com>
Source record: 61535

Great selection of scanner books, mods info & lots more at www.CRBbooks.com

3222. Looking for a ar8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 13:33:15 UTC
From: Philip Knode <pknode@home.com>
Message-ID: <vuad7.57112$k7.13498960@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 61536

I have the following scanners to trade,any three for a good used ar8200
scanner
Pro-2042
Pro-2052
pro-2050
Pro-2005
Let me know if you are interested.Phil

3223. FS:PRO-30

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 13:45:46 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@home.com>
Message-ID: <eGad7.235162$mG4.108871526@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61537

i have a good Radio Shack PRO-30,hanheld scanner,
covers to 512 mhz
works great with the AC adapter,,,doesnt work with batteries for some
reason..
just the scanner,and AC adapter/charger

price: 15.00 shipped

monte k9dzd@home.com

3224. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 14:50:22 UTC
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <tnajk3o97u3e54@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

Speaking of habits, I wish everyone would get in the habit of using a spellchecker on their posts before posting them to the group. Second, from reading both accounts I believe that Radio Mart has gone overboard to please this customer. I would not send him another radio either without the second one he has being returned. I have never heard of a radio being exchanged like this unless they were DOA.

JMHO without the name calling and insults - "can't we just all get along?"

Duffy

"Dean Kastoris" <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message
news:3B6B0BE0.B874D123@home.com...
> The AOR was deffective. You should not come to a conclusion without
> knowing the facts. I am not in the habbit of returning radios that work
> esspecially when I have to pay an additional $15.00 for shipping. I did
> my research very well. All indications weree that the AOR was a better
> radio. Have you ever bought anything that was deffective in your life?
> I do not need a jury. I am the one who got taken, I paid the money, I
> am not the seller. Maybe there will be a time when you are in a similar
> position and then the person that bent you over will tell you to as you
> put it F.O.
>
> Mark Allread wrote:
> >
> > Dean Kastoris wrote:
> >
> > > When the AOR was retured to Martyn, I paid an additional amount of
money
> > > above what I already paid so I could purchase the Alinco. Martyn had
my
> > > credit card number and sent me the new unit before I he received the
old
> > > one. I told him I appreciated that and was impressed right up until I
> > > received the Alinco in the mail which I have already explained was
> > > obviously previously used.
> >
> > You returned a used unit, and possibly received a used unit in return.
It
> > cost you the agreed upon $100 to "upgrade" models. What's your gripe? Do
you
> > seriously expect a reseller to provide new units on a trial basis and
eat the
> > cost of depreciation when it's returned used? That is unreasonable.

> >
> > Apparently without properly researching its ability to meet your needs,
you
> > ordered a unit, and you got what you ordered. After you realized YOUR
> > mistake, the reseller went out of his way to allow you to exchange that
unit
> > for a different one, something he had no obligation to do. He has now
offered
> > to exchange the second unit you received, but you're demanding to
receive the
> > replacement unit first?! That is unreasonable.
> >
> > He has, by your own account, gone out of his way to please you, yet
you're
> > publicly maligning him. That is unreasonable.
> >
> > He should just tell you to F.O.

3225. Re: On the Subject of Scanning Cell. Freqs. (Bad News)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 15:44:29 UTC
From: Jamie Hanrahan <jeh@cmkrnl.com>
Message-ID: <2hkantcnt49nnkvifnbu5ch7ddguuvhds8@4ax.com>
Source record: 61539

On Sat, 11 Aug 2001 00:30:38 -0500 (CDT), t1000@webtv.net (Vic Martinez) wrote:
>The only reason digital is 'in' right now, is because it is supposedly
>'secure' (so far). Not too long ago I was a messenger in Chicago and
>NEVER had a problem with analog phones inside buildings. When our
>service switched to digital, well, let's just say that this digital
>thing is waaay overrated, 'nothing but problems, but I guess you can't
>stop 'progress'. Vic.

Naw. There is nothing inherent about "digital" that makes it more prone to in-building problems. What you're experiencing is a result of the buildout of the digital systems still being in progress, while analog was pretty much done.

And the main reason digital is "in" is that the service is far cheaper. The average mobile phone user isn't really aware that analog cell isn't secure, nor that digital is more so.

--- jeh

3226. Re: On the Subject of Scanning Cell. Freqs. (Bad News)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 15:53:22 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9l3k9m0jd6@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 61541

yep, had that prob too, + people I know hve used them and hted thm.
sometimes digital works good, other day it ju cuts yo right off in fringe
areas. not like analog. yay. you get a little snow. but don't get cannedof
th cell site all together.

"Vic Martinez" <t1000@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:20743-3B74C2FE-87@storefull-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> The only reason digital is 'in' right now, is because it is supposedly
> 'secure' (so far). Not too long ago I was a messenger in Chicago and
> NEVER had a problem with analog phones inside buildings. When our
> service switched to digital, well, let's just say that this digital
> thing is waaay overrated, 'nothing but problems, but I guess you can't
> stop 'progress'. Vic.
>

3227. Mexican frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 16:33:58 UTC
From: rickey456@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010811123358.00765.00003025@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 61540

Looking to share Mexican frequencies- especially need Puerta Villarta information.

Rickey Stein
rickey456@aol.com

3228. 895XLT v 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 16:40:23 UTC
From: Charles Tans <luvthehaight@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9l3n5polk1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 61542

FWIW, I've already tried to research these two units and have checked a number of previous posts.

However, I'm looking for opinions not available elsewhere that I think might be very useful for others including me considering breaking into the hobby.

I'm aware that the 780XLT is a "better" scanner; I know it tracks non-motorola systems, that it has more channels, and that it has considerably more bells and whistles. However, for someone who will not be a *serious* scanner user, who will be a casual hobbyist, but who would like to keep the unit for at least 3-5 years, is it a better choice than the 895XLT assuming the nearly $150 price difference is a consideration? From all reports, the 895XLT is a pretty decent scanner, and a lot cheaper--at least from my perspective. How truly important are the differences for the semi-casual user who might have to wait awhile if the verdict is in favor of the 780. thanks to all in advance.

3229. Re: On the Subject of Scanning Cell. Freqs. (Bad News)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 16:50:12 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B756243.10358520@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61543

Not necessarily so.

With a weak analog signal, you will still hear a noisy voice in your ear.

With a weak digital signal, at some point the error correction circuitry in the phone will be unable to keep up, and you will hear nothing.

As the signal gets progressively weaker, digital phones continue to sound great, until you reach a threshold where they drop out completely. With an analog phone, as the signal gets progressively weaker, the sound degrades as the signal weakens, but you can still communicate with a weaker signal than with a digital phone.

The same applies to the public service radio systems.

Jamie Hanrahan wrote:
>
> Naw. There is nothing inherent about "digital" that makes it more prone
> to in-building problems. What you're experiencing is a result of the
> buildout of the digital systems still being in progress, while analog was
> pretty much done.
>
> And the main reason digital is "in" is that the service is far cheaper.
> The average mobile phone user isn't really aware that analog cell isn't
> secure, nor that digital is more so.
>
> --- jeh

3230. Re: AOR 3000A squelch adjust question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 16:56:16 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B7563AE.F063C7D8@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61544

That is known as the "squelch tail". The squelch stays open for a
short period after the signal turns off. The length of time it stays
open varies from scanner model to scanner model. Unless there is an
adjustment in the scanner (which some scanners have), it would require
component changes on the circuit board to shorten the "squelch tail".

Mike Alpha wrote:
>
> I recently bought an AOR3000A in excellent condition. There are no obvious
> problems with the scanner, but I have noticed that the squelch threshhold
> may need adjusting as there is an almighty "crrsshhht" at the end of any FM
> transmissions, no matter where the squelch is set - it is very annoying!
>
> Is there a mod or adjustment to solve this problem??
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
> Mike

3231. BC278CLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 16:58:35 UTC
From: "R.D." <iwantitall2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Zudd7.949$AV3.406781@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 61545

Still looking for Mod's for the Uniden BC278CLT

ANY Mod's

Thanks

R.D.

3232. Re: On the Subject of Scanning Cell. Freqs. (Bad News)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 17:06:27 UTC
From: tide35603@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010811130627.24772.00000648@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 61546

There is still a lot of analog phones to listen to, so I would not buy a used scanner without "full" coverage.

3233. Any frequencies at NFL games ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 17:28:38 UTC
From: Pervert_Extraordinair <nope@att.com>
Message-ID: <3B756B5C.3CD3E652@att.com>
Source record: 61447
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm going to an NFL Colts game this evening
and was wondering if my scanner should
accompany me.

Are there any interesting frequencies
to monitor. I suppose the coaches
communications are scrambled?

TIA

3234. BC895XLT MEMORY CLEAR..?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 17:36:58 UTC
From: Sandlapper <bbutler@home.com>
Message-ID: <_2ed7.57892$k7.13669233@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 61448

Someone posted the front panel keystrokes to clear all memory on the 895 a while back & I didn't write them down. Could someone repost?
Thanks.

Sandlapper

3235. Re: 895XLT v 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 17:37:45 UTC
From: mlk <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <J3ed7.36042$iq1.6181655@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 61450

Anyone wanting to track EDACS Narrow or wide formats are unable to do so with the 895. Yes, it is a great scanner without the ability for EDACS trunking. The weather alert features on the 780 are better and the only real drawback of the 780 is the multi-level programming steps. All in all, the 780 is the best TT scanner I have owned, this is to includethe BC245, pro-92, 94 and 895....

EDACS is the only reason I bought it. I was amazed at the features when I finally got the hang of it's programming and ultimately the software control is great

3236. Re: Leather case for the Pro-43 scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 17:51:11 UTC
From: Chris Smith <cksx@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <17ed7.782$s4.272426@elnws01>
Source record: 61449

Hey there! I have a PRO-43 as well and would love a leather case for it.
Where did you get yours and how much did it cost? Thanks .
"Daniel M. Conti" <offdan43@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010810164318.17804.00003570@mb-md.aol.com...
> >Ever hear of Google?
>
> Yes I have.
>
> Did you ever hear of helping a fellow hobbyist out rather then answering
with a
> snide question?
>
> Guess not.
>
> Luckily, someone else did, and the case i need is on the way.
>
>
> Dan
>
> "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3237. offset for VHF government frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 18:06:54 UTC
From: bellp400@msn.com
Message-ID: <35ba9d22.0108111006.4439d197@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61451

Does anyone know what the standard is for offsets used by federal government repeaters in the VHF range? I'm looking at 166MHz in particular, but any information about federal government VHF would be appreciated. Thanks...

3238. Re: Frequency Counter?? do they work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 18:16:42 UTC
From: Digitalinclination <mymamatoldme@ontero.net>
Message-ID: <9l3sp6$1qo$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61452
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Emilio, you crack me up!

Dodge Colt--just the fact that you would be driving a Dodge cracks me up too!
I'll bet you have a Ford pickup.

You or anyone else faxing 1000 pages to someone unknown in here cracks me up!

Mostly people just slap you around and correct your ignorance.

You should write a book:
"Who cut the Cheese?"

"Emilio Goldstien" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:pE5d7.52221$Kd7.29962300@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com...
> Yes, they do work. I own several. And by the way a dictionary is a great way
> to spell Active Frequencies. Just kidding you.
> Probably the best way to make this setup to work is through "triangulation".
> You get two frequency counters, and two cars. Both cars drive around with
> their frequency counters, and together, you can zero in on the frequencies.
> One guy starts at the top and the other at the bottom.
> I know this sounds expensive (not even counting buying two cars), but
> believe me, it's worth it.
>
> With this method, you can acheive 2x2=4 times the results, and you discover
> more and more frequencies as the distance between the cars gets larger.
> My Dodge Colt has a frequency counter built in, in place of a CD player.
>
> I'm sorry if all this is technical, but as your love for the hobby grows, so
> too will your technical expertise develop.
> There is a great manual called "Frequency Counters for Everyone". It's
> about a thousand pages, but I can fax you a copy if you want.
>
> Take care.
>
> "Blake Slutz" <mrx1@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3B7407CB.20FB4C72@earthlink.net...
> > I read somewhere on the net that a freq counter is a great way to find
> > active frequencys.

>
>

3239. Help I need instructions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 18:19:51 UTC
From: Phil <philich@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <5Hed7.8375$Bp3.117091@news2-hme0>
Source record: 61453

Can any one tell me where I can find operating instructions for a Commtel
303 ?

Thanks
Phil

3240. Re: 895XLT v 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 18:27:23 UTC
From: Dwayne Hineland <Dubbin@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15df439ace54c3d5989681@news.tol.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 61454

Go with the 780 because after you have it for awile you will become a
seriuos scanner buff. I bought the 895 and less then 6 months later I had
the 780 sitting in front of me while I tried to keep the drool off.

In article <9l3n5polk1@bob.news.rcn.net>, luvthehaight@yahoo.com
says...
> FWIW, I've already tried to research these two units and have checked a
> number of previous posts.
>
> However, I'm looking for opinions not available elsewhere that I think might
> be very useful for others including me considering breaking into the hobby.
>
> I'm aware that the 780XLT is a "better" scanner; I know it tracks
> non-motorola systems, that it has more channels, and that it has
> considerably more bells and whistles. However, for someone who will not be
> a *serious* scanner user, who will be a casual hobbyist, but who would like
> to keep the unit for at least 3-5 years, is it a better choice than the
> 895XLT assuming the nearly $150 price difference is a consideration? From
> all reports, the 895XLT is a pretty decent scanner, and a lot cheaper--at
> least from my perspective. How truly important are the differences for the
> semi-casual user who might have to wait awhile if the verdict is in favor of
> the 780. thanks to all in advance.
>
>
>
>

--
==========
Dwayne

Saw it!
Wanted it!
Threw a fit!
Got it!

3241. Re: Scanner sites

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 18:35:46 UTC
From: Digitalinclination <mymamatoldme@ontero.net>
Message-ID: <9l3tq3$u81$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61455
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Ryan KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote in message
news:sGuc7.3296$5d4.942667@monger.newsread.com...
> I was wondering if there are any really good sites that index scanners by
> specifications and also (a major must) pictures of each scanner. This
> would be helpful when considering buying used scanners, especially when
> people don't bother to give much information other than model number and
> price.
>

major must pictures, absolutely!:

http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/Galleryframe.htm

specs for Radio Shack models:

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/2849.htm

uniden has a little info, missing many of their scanners, eternal promises
to put more manuals online but never do! but it is the best that they can
do:

www.uniden.com

3242. Re: Aircraft listening in CA, NV, AZ, UT, and CO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 18:59:03 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3B758076.E4DE2D5D@analon.com>
Source record: 61456

what frequencies do you want
Phoenix TRACON
Luke Appraoich
Tucson Approach
Albuquerque Center
Los Angeles Center
Denver Center
Los Angeles International
Burbank
Van Nuys
Ontario
Palmdale
Edwards
Deer Valley
Chandler
Williams Gateway (Former Williams Air Force Base)
Long Beach
Avenal

I've go em

Iden wrote:

> AirCommSouthwest is a new Yahoo! Group for
> civilian and military aircraft listeners for the states
> of CA, NV, AZ, UT, and CO.
>
> The group was started on July 26th and we have 103
> members now at day 12.
>
> Please check out the group, join if you like, and
> tell friends who might be interested.
>
> A detailed group description is at
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/AirCommSouthwest
>
> While there, check our growing Links section at
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/AirCommSouthwest/links
>
> Thanks,
>
> Iden -- moderator for AirCommSouthwest

--
*****----------------------800-873-5884-----------------
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) |http://www.analon.com
|
---Custom Built Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
Unix is Stable!..Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE! Cig Smoking is
Deadly!

3243. Re: Any good scanner techs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 19:00:19 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3B7580C3.CE3909D0@analon.com>
Source record: 61457

Have you tried the AOR-USA office in Torrance California
I've had radios services ther and do excellent job.... believe web page
is AORUSA.com or AOR-USA.com
JOHN

Skyhawk wrote:

> Looking for someone to add the s-meter mod to my
> PRO-2032.......anybody point me in a reliable direction
> ,please...thanks
> sky444@mint.net

--
*****----------------------800-873-5884-----------------
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) |http://www.analon.com
|
---Custom Built Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
Unix is Stable!..Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE! Cig Smoking is
Deadly!

3244. Re: Auto Racing Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 19:02:25 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3B758140.EAC1B70E@analon.com>
Source record: 61458

I have many of the frequencies in a database, I can generate a report and
send you an ASCII file
that you can format in whatever way you want.......

Don't even think about asking a Unix person to go near a Microsoft machine!

Norm Parsley wrote:

> Any suggestions for a comprehensive source for auto racing frequencies?
> Thanks!

--
*****----------------------800-873-5884-----------------
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) |http://www.analon.com |
---Custom Built Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
Unix is Stable!..Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE! Cig Smoking is Deadly!

3245. Re: BEWARE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 19:06:09 UTC
From: DrPepper@iwvisp.com
Message-ID: <3b758196.19798102@news.iwvisp.com>
Source record: 61460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment

I agree, but I also wish for world peace.
Besides, you need a grammer checker yourself.

On Sat, 11 Aug 2001 10:50:22 -0400, "J. Duffy Beischel"
<duffyb01@fuse.net> wrote:

>I would not send him another radio either without the second
>one he has being returned.
>Duffy

3246. Re: Auto Racing Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 19:07:14 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3B758262.998D9E3D@analon.com>
Source record: 61459

generally search 464-465mhz also some around 151mhz
a few drivers also use 800 mhz
some also in 462, and 466 mhz

Norm Parsley wrote:

> Any suggestions for a comprehensive source for auto racing frequencies?
> Thanks!

--
*****----------------------800-873-5884-----------------
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) |http://www.analon.com |
---Custom Built Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting
Unix is Stable!..Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE! Cig Smoking is Deadly!

3247. Re: On the Subject of Scanning Cell. Freqs. (Bad News)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 19:15:36 UTC
From: albertorea@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14933-3B758458-201@storefull-265.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61461

I could always hear my Nokia 252while i was on it, on my TT on about 894-899...Got tired of the horrbile static and now am stuck with horrible "warble" noises. (Digital):P

http://angelfire.com/tx4/tt/index.html

3248. Re: Looking for broadcasts from Germany to the USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 20:18:55 UTC
From: Jay Winkler <barefootjay@cadvision.com>
Message-ID: <pl4bnt0bq5t2c3gdt50iod3rc2cki35rhs@4ax.com>
Source record: 61462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner,soc.culture.german

How about using the channels available on Real Audio - www.real.com ?

The basic player has several channels available in German, from
Germany, of such areas as News, Technology and Entertainement.

Go to "Add Channels" and have a look,

All my Best,
Jay

On Thu, 09 Aug 2001 22:03:10 -0500, Lauri Winters
<lwinters@mnsunpub.com> wrote:

>Can anyone suggest frequencies to monitor to find broadcasts
>that originate in Germany, beamed to the USA?
>
>Thanks for answering here.
>

.oooO Oooo. WORK, like you don't needï¿½the money
ï¿½ï¿½( ) ( ) LOVE, like you've never been hurt
ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½\ (ï¿½) / DANCE, like no one's watching
ï¿½ï¿½ ï¿½ \ _) (_/ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½
Jay Winklerï¿½ï¿½ï¿½
http://i.am/barefootjay
ICQ: 1211379
AOL: shoelessjw
MSN/Yahoo IM: barefootjay
FAX2E-Mail (209)315-6649
EFAX: (978)359-2432

3249. Re: 895XLT v 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 22:01:51 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <jXhd7.927$at4.1197152@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61463

I could go either way on this one... Which one is better depends a lot on where you live.

The 895 is an awesome scanner and you shouldn't feel that you would be giving yourself short shrift if you bought one. If you really think that your needs will be basic, then that would be an excellent choice for an easy-to-use, all around scanner for someone just getting into the hobby. It probably doesn't make sense to drop more money into something that you don't even know for sure you will enjoy. If you outgrow it, you can sell it and at least retrieve a portion of your original investment and put that toward the 780. I'll be that's what most people do when they get into any hobby (i.e. they trade up as their needs and sophistication evolve).

One thing to consider and that is whether you will be listening to non-Motorola systems. If yes, the choice is obvious.

If you live in an area where many different fire and police departments share the same frequencies on nontrunked systems, CTCSS decoding is almost essential if you want to follow the action closely. I think beginners would also find the preprogrammed service search features handy while they get up to speed.

But if neither of those factors apply to your situation, go with the 895. You won't be sorry.

"Dwayne Hineland" <Dubbin@ameritech.net> wrote in message news:MPG.15df439ace54c3d5989681@news.tol.sbcglobal.net...
> Go with the 780 because after you have it for awile you will become a
> seriuos scanner buff. I bought the 895 and less then 6 months later I had
> the 780 sitting in front of me while I tried to keep the drool off.
>
>
>
> In article <9l3n5polk1@bob.news.rcn.net>, luvthehaight@yahoo.com
> says...
> > FWIW, I've already tried to research these two units and have checked a
> > number of previous posts.
> >
> > However, I'm looking for opinions not available elsewhere that I think
might
> > be very useful for others including me considering breaking into the
hobby.
> >
> > I'm aware that the 780XLT is a "better" scanner; I know it tracks
> > non-motorola systems, that it has more channels, and that it has
> > considerably more bells and whistles. However, for someone who will not

be
> > a *serious* scanner user, who will be a casual hobbyist, but who would
like
> > to keep the unit for at least 3-5 years, is it a better choice than the
> > 895XLT assuming the nearly $150 price difference is a consideration?
From
> > all reports, the 895XLT is a pretty decent scanner, and a lot
cheaper--at
> > least from my perspective. How truly important are the differences for
the
> > semi-casual user who might have to wait awhile if the verdict is in
favor of
> > the 780. thanks to all in advance.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> --
> ==========
> Dwayne
> ----------
> Saw it!
> Wanted it!
> Threw a fit!
> Got it!

3250. Re: Cordless phone receiving range for scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 22:04:46 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9l4a66$7ghs5$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61464
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Emilio Goldstien" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:Bs5d7.52216$Kd7.29939146@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com...
> The best way to handle this situation is to sneak in and extend the
antenna
> of the cordless phone which you want to monitor. That way it stands out
> above the rest.
>
> Just go up to your neighbor and say you lost youir car keys and can you
use
> the phone. Wipe dog crap from your shoes onto the couch and when the
> neighbor goes to get a wet rag, switch out the antenna. Works every time.
> Usually you can pick up your neighbor talking about you right away.

Or better yet, when you have new neighbors move in next door, buy them a cheap 46 MHZ cordless phone as a "housewarming gift." That way, if they don't already have one, you can bet they'll be using your new Trojan horse of a gift. Then you can find out the REAL reason they had to move away from that other town.

Tom

3251. MP and Fire frequencies for Ft Bragg NC (looking for)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 23:24:44 UTC
From: james sasser <jcwasasser@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <09jd7.12862$1p1.979632@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61465

If anyone has MP and Fire frequencies for Ft Bragg NC.
Could you email them to me.

My 8 year old son just got a used bearcat and would like to listen to some police & File.
Thanks
JCWASASSER@worldnet.att.net <JCWASASSER@worldnet.att.net>

3252. Re: BC895XLT MEMORY CLEAR..?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-11 23:53:05 UTC
From: "Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com>
Message-ID: <3b7714fe_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 61409

During power up press and hold:
2-9-scan clears all channels and inputs test freqs.
2-9-enter the hole display panel lights up
2-9-manual clears all memory
2-9-ctcss tests weather alert
2-9-trunk not sure something to do with trunking i think
2-9-priority Black screen saying load then loads freqs 144.000mhz to
149.980mhz into channels 1 to300
2-9-delay not sure if you hold up or down it scrolls thru a set off numbers

"Sandlapper" <bbutler@home.com> wrote in message
news:_2ed7.57892$k7.13669233@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> Someone posted the front panel keystrokes to clear all memory on the 895 a
> while back & I didn't write them down. Could someone repost?
> Thanks.
>
> Sandlapper
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3253. IC-R3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 00:08:46 UTC
From: "Clyde R. Shappee" <clydes@world.std.com>
Message-ID: <3B75C90E.A5EB5EB@world.std.com>
Source record: 61466

Does anyone know if this radio will receive Slow Scan TV as well?

Clyde

3254. Re: offset for VHF government frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 00:42:17 UTC
From: Steve Uhrig <Steve@swssec.com>
Message-ID: <e3kbntsht06jmlj6h3bc07g83cbjmu6mlg@4ax.com>
Source record: 61467

On 11 Aug 2001 11:06:54 -0700, bellp400@msn.com (Tradewind) wrote:

>Does anyone know what the standard is for offsets used by federal
>government repeaters in the VHF range? I'm looking at 166MHz in
>particular, but any information about federal government VHF would be
>appreciated.

There is no standard offset for government systems, local, state or
federal, at least on any I use.

It is whatever they can coordinate.

They do not have standards like amateur or commercial service.

For that matter, I don't know that there is a standard offset for
commercial repeaters on VHF. There might be but I admit I do not know.

Regards ... Steve

****
Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
"In God we trust, all others we monitor"
****

3255. Need Display Light Fixed on RS Pro 2004 Model!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 00:55:07 UTC
From: nvavro@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3b75d207.3143229@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 61468

Hi' Was wondering if I can fix the blue display on a Radio Shack Pro model 2004 scanner. The display has dimmed over the years and almost invisible and also the squelch has been touchy. Any parts or fixes for these that I can perform. Nick

3256. BC 780.xlt@lentini communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 01:26:26 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3b75dac4.326468@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 61469

i am seriously thinking of packin up the car and taking the short
drive and ferry trip to go to conn(newington) conn and get my
scanner.... anybody ever dealt with lentini communications?
349.00 also what software should i get ? which is the best? driving
up on wed or thur
thanks gig.
gig@i-2000.com

3257. Re: BC 780.xlt@lentini communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 01:41:40 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b75de26.11708611@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 61470

They'll ship it to you and no sales tax. Order it Monday, you'll have
it Tuesday, why spend the time and money to drive?

On Sun, 12 Aug 2001 01:26:26 GMT, gig@i-2000.com (GaijinGig) wrote:

> i am seriously thinking of packin up the car and taking the short
>drive and ferry trip to go to conn(newington) conn and get my
>scanner.... anybody ever dealt with lentini communications?
> 349.00 also what software should i get ? which is the best? driving
>up on wed or thur
> thanks gig.
> gig@i-2000.com

3258. Re: BC 780.xlt@lentini communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 02:07:45 UTC
From: RaZ0r! <extreme_gamerSPAM@NOThotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Srld7.435963$bH4.5401153@e420r-chi2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61472

I've been very happy with WinScan780 software. Here is their web site:

http://mghusa.com/pozilla/

--
RaZ0r!

"GaijinGig" <gig@i-2000.com> wrote in message
news:3b75dac4.326468@news-server.optonline.net...
> i am seriously thinking of packin up the car and taking the short
> drive and ferry trip to go to conn(newington) conn and get my
> scanner.... anybody ever dealt with lentini communications?
> 349.00 also what software should i get ? which is the best? driving
> up on wed or thur
> thanks gig.
> gig@i-2000.com
>

3259. Re: On the Subject of Scanning Cell. Freqs. (Bad News)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 02:14:51 UTC
From: Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com>
Message-ID: <gtmbnto98v75pn2q5ol6pi0vf1ng39j812@4ax.com>
Source record: 61473

Vic Martinez wrote:

>The only reason digital is 'in' right now, is because it is supposedly
>'secure' (so far). Not too long ago I was a messenger in Chicago and
>NEVER had a problem with analog phones inside buildings. When our
>service switched to digital, well, let's just say that this digital
>thing is waaay overrated, 'nothing but problems, but I guess you can't
>stop 'progress'. Vic.

I use a combo digital/analog cellular here (Audiovox) and I see a trade-off in different areas. The quality of the sound in digital mode is definitely better than in analog mode (and it's "secure"). The battery tends to last a LOT longer too the longer the phone remains in digital mode. I went to Memphis for a work-related conference in May and never had to recharge the phone's battery until the last day I was there (flew out Monday, flew back here to CA same Friday). And I really didn't NEED to recharge it at the time. It still probably had enough juice left in it to last the rest of the day, but I decided to play it safe and charge it anyway that Friday morning.

With analog mode, the sound quality is of course less ideal, but it's always been available as a backup when the nearby digital cell sites are full or whatnot, with the exception of very hilly areas I frequently drive through. As for batteries while in standby mode, I'm lucky to get even 2 full days on it - even if the phone is constantly switching between digital and analog often.

Jughead

3260. Re: 895XLT v 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 02:14:54 UTC
From: RaZ0r! <extreme_gamerSPAM@NOThotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4Bld7.46159$ml5.948851@e420r-chi1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61474

I own both scanners you mention, and the alpha tags alone makes the 780 worth the extra money. For example, why keep a printed list of trunk codes that you have to always refer to while scanning? You see 816 in the scanner display, you then you have to look up 816 in a list and see what channel that is, or memorize them all. On the 780, your screen says:

Dispatch-2
Simsville Police

or

F-15
Simsville Fire Dept.

No question, don't be cheap, buy the 780. =)
--
RaZ0r!

"Charles Tans" <luvthehaight@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9l3n5polk1@bob.news.rcn.net...
> FWIW, I've already tried to research these two units and have checked a
> number of previous posts.
>
> However, I'm looking for opinions not available elsewhere that I think
might
> be very useful for others including me considering breaking into the
hobby.
>
> I'm aware that the 780XLT is a "better" scanner; I know it tracks
> non-motorola systems, that it has more channels, and that it has
> considerably more bells and whistles. However, for someone who will not
be
> a *serious* scanner user, who will be a casual hobbyist, but who would
like
> to keep the unit for at least 3-5 years, is it a better choice than the
> 895XLT assuming the nearly $150 price difference is a consideration? From
> all reports, the 895XLT is a pretty decent scanner, and a lot cheaper--at
> least from my perspective. How truly important are the differences for
the
> semi-casual user who might have to wait awhile if the verdict is in favor
of
> the 780. thanks to all in advance.
>
>
>
>

3261. ScanOhio.com Associate Webmaster

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 02:17:45 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <dHld7.184$8E2.4277@news>
Source record: 61471

I am the new Licking county webmaster for scanohio.com now so anybody who has any local freqs can email me.licking@scanohio.com
TIA

3262. Re: Winscan 780 Radio>PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 02:20:09 UTC
From: RaZ0r! <extreme_gamerSPAM@NOThotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uDld7.435967$bH4.5401976@e420r-chi2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61475

Try removing the switch box just to test. Not all switch boxes are created equal. Leave bios settings at default, make sure scanner is at correct speed as specified by the WinScan780 software. Lastly make sure you have the correct cable and that it is a known good cable.

I use WinScan780 and I haven't seen anything better yet. (Of course I haven't ever needed to contact their tech support either.)

=)

--
RaZ0r!

"Dan Kelly" <dankelly@home.com> wrote in message news:GWkc7.228558$mG4.105218912@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> I can't transfer frequencies from the 780 to Winscan. I am going through a
> switchbox since I have other devices connected to the same Comm port. I
> changed the settings in the BIOS for this Comm port with no luck. I've left
> a message with Pozilla Tech Support but from some other messages here that
> looks like a long shot. Any help is appreciated.
>
> Thanks,
> Dan
>
>
>

3263. Re: 895XLT v 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 02:31:37 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010811223137.17581.00000442@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 61476

If you may want to listen to both conventional channels and a trunked system at the same time, the 780 is the choice.

If you don't think you'll remember who is on which frequency, go with the 780 (it has an alpha display for "naming" channels).

If you can afford the extra cost, you'll find the 780 will maintain its value longer, since it appears it will be upgradable to receive some digital trunked systems.

I have two 895s, and one 780 ... as others have indicated, they are both excellent receivers.

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

3264. Re: BC 780.xlt@lentini communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 03:13:23 UTC
From: Dwayne H <Dubbin@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15dfbee15a4a0218989682@news.tol.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 61477

Whatever you do DONT get the Winscan780 software. It is junk and Pozillas support is crap at best. I would recomend and I think others will back me up on this is to get the Trunkstar780 software. Make sure you do get software for it to make life alot easier on you and your new toy.

In article <3b75dac4.326468@news-server.optonline.net>, gig@i-2000.com says...
> i am seriously thinking of packin up the car and taking the short
> drive and ferry trip to go to conn(newington) conn and get my
> scanner.... anybody ever dealt with lentini communications?
> 349.00 also what software should i get ? which is the best? driving
> up on wed or thur
> thanks gig.
> gig@i-2000.com
>

--
==========
Dwayne

Saw it!
Wanted it!
Threw a fit!
Got it!

3265. Re: Cordless phone receiving range for scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 03:25:35 UTC
From: kk4tl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010811232535.14892.00006603@ng-cg1.aol.com>
Source record: 61478

>new neighbors move in next door, buy
>them a cheap 46 MHZ cordless phone as
>a "housewarming gift."
>
True genius. Or give 'em as Christmas / Birthday / No-Occasion gifts.

Doesn't matter why .. just give 'em. As the 900mhz ones come down in price, the 49'ers will get harder to find. So we need to find sources asap. Here's a few suggestions ..

http://www.circuitcity.com/
http://www.bestbuy.com/
http://www.bluelight.com/
http://www.800.com
http://www.costco.com
http://www.uniden.com

Act now .. I'm afraid the 49'ers are going the way of the Tandy 1000. Such a shame.

Hours .. weeks .. months of "easy listening" with even the cheapest scanner.

Ahhh .. the good old days.

Leave a link .. before they're all gone.

3266. Test Please Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 03:27:15 UTC
From: Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15dfc2236bc7ae6f989683@news.tol.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 61479

```
Test
--
==============
Dwayne
--------------
Saw it!
Wanted it!
Threw a fit!
Got it!
```

3267. Re: BC 780.xlt@lentini communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 04:06:02 UTC
From: butch jones <bjbj@vci.net>
Message-ID: <3B75AC4A.CE26EC47@vci.net>
Source record: 61480

GaijinGig wrote:

> i am seriously thinking of packin up the car and taking the short
> drive and ferry trip to go to conn(newington) conn and get my
> scanner.... anybody ever dealt with lentini communications?
> 349.00 also what software should i get ? which is the best? driving
> up on wed or thur
> thanks gig.
> gig@i-2000.com

I bought an AOR 8000 a few years ago fom them,it arrived very quickly
and was well packaged,I'll most like get my 780 from them when I do get
it.

3268. Re: How do you like PRO92.com programming software ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 06:56:03 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <fm9cnt0fsorau9uanrr27p5u37iau5m1ji@4ax.com>
Source record: 61483

On 07 Aug 2001 06:31:49 GMT, leadenwah@aol.com (LEADENWAH) wrote:

>Thanks a lot, Walt. What a difference a dash makes ! So, there are TWO
>different sites.

Besides the web site with the dash, check out the pro92 group (no dash) at Yahoo Groups. (BTW, there's also a pro-92 Yahoo group, but it does not get much traffic.) The Yahoo group had a recent thread on non-GRE cables that work two-way with the 92 and 2067. pro92.com's is one-way.

>Would this be the fellow who is selling both the " software " and cables on
>E-Bay ?

Yes. I see he's back after his suspension.

Ken Plotkin

3269. Re: Cordless phone receiving range for scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 11:21:40 UTC
From: White Noise Hysteria <mroberts@rconnect.com>
Message-ID: <aytd7.4387$X4.628025@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 61484
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thats a Shitty Trick

"Emilio Goldstien" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:Bs5d7.52216$Kd7.29939146@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com...
> The best way to handle this situation is to sneak in and extend the
antenna
> of the cordless phone which you want to monitor. That way it stands out
> above the rest.
>
> Just go up to your neighbor and say you lost youir car keys and can you
use
> the phone. Wipe dog crap from your shoes onto the couch and when the
> neighbor goes to get a wet rag, switch out the antenna. Works every time.
> Usually you can pick up your neighbor talking about you right away.
>
>
>

3270. Earphone?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 11:53:45 UTC
From: Robert Walker <rwalker@belimo.com>
Message-ID: <3b7672f9_2@news1.prserv.net>
Source record: 61485

Anybody got a good suggestion on a quality earphone for a portable scanner?
What do you use and like?

I've heard of the mono type Sony earbugs and pro earpieces, but I'm looking
for one that will hold up for a long time + fairly small and comfortable.

Bob Walker
KA8VQB

3271. FS: Pro-92B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 13:23:32 UTC
From: Garr <garr_1099@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3b768358@rpc1284.daytonoh.ncr.com>
Source record: 61486
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Pro 92B model with Charger and integrated nicad battery pack. Battery pack sells for $19.95 at the shack. Radio is in excellent condition. No scratches on display or case. I have manual but no box. Original antenna.

$175.00 shipped and insured. USPS Money Orders Only.

3272. New FDNY Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 14:27:21 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dnwd7.5686$l7.2290264@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 61488

Hi. Was wondering if anyone knows of the new FDNY frequencies? I've heard about 486.11875...are there any others? Also, does this mean that they're gonna stop using some of their existing frequencies? Thanks.

3273. NYC Area Mosquito Spraying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 14:28:50 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Cowd7.5687$I7.2290570@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 61489

Hi all. Would anyone know which freqs would be good to monitor for the mosquito spraying that's going to happen tonight? I'm figuring one of which might be 151.205, which is the Parks Police. Any other suggestions? Thanks.

3274. For Barter: Sony 2010

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 15:56:50 UTC
From: wrenn_thomas@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <aa3b9f10.0108120756.2d134ac6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61490
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I purchased a brand new Sony 2010 in the Fall of 1997. I've had it sitting here on a homemade cardboard stand ever since.

I've never installed D cell batteries, I cover it when it isn't in use, and I even oil the antenna periodically. It's in perfect, unaltered condition.

I have the factory packaging, accessories, manual and even the original invoice of $364.45 from Grove Enterprises out of Brasstown, NC.

If you've ever done business with them before, then you know they're a class-act!

I'd like to consider trades for cell-capable scanners of any kind, trunk trackers, base scanners or CB gear of equal value and condition. Let's put the cash value at $200.

I'm not attempting to unload a respectfully handled feature-packed radio for neglected junk here folks, so please have something to offer before you bother to make one! I figure the person who isn't beset by that statement is the party I want to reach anyway.

I assure you, the 2010 I have is flawless, and I'm in the OKC area. If you're interested, please contact: wrenn_thomas@hotmail.com ..Thanks!

3275. Re: Frequency Counter?? do they work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 17:25:24 UTC
From: axl <axl.nospam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3B76BC04.C2D68979@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61491
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Emilio Goldstien schreef:

> Yes, they do work. I own several. And by the way a dictionary is a great way
> to spell Active Frequencies. Just kidding you.
> Probably the best way to make this setup to work is through "triangulation".
> You get two frequency counters, and two cars. Both cars drive around with
> their frequency counters, and together, you can zero in on the frequencies.
> One guy starts at the top and the other at the bottom.
> I know this sounds expensive (not even counting buying two cars), but
> believe me, it's worth it.
>
> With this method, you can acheive 2x2=4 times the results, and you discover
> more and more frequencies as the distance between the cars gets larger.
> My Dodge Colt has a frequency counter built in, in place of a CD player.
>
> I'm sorry if all this is technical, but as your love for the hobby grows, so
> too will your technical expertise develop.
> There is a great manual called "Frequency Counters for Everyone". It's
> about a thousand pages, but I can fax you a copy if you want.
>
> Take care.
>
> "Blake Slutz" <mrx1@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3B7407CB.20FB4C72@earthlink.net...
> > I read somewhere on the net that a freq counter is a great way to find
> > active frequencys.

....and by the way a dictionary is a great way to get to know the meaning of the
the word "triangulation" (tri=3 angul= angle) so how can you triangulate a
position if you ony have 2 angles (2 cars)? Just kidding you.

3276. Re: offset for VHF government frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 17:33:08 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <10zd7.134$y66.196866@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 61492

This needs to be clarified. There is no standard offset on VHF. There is a standard for UHF and higher. The reason is, repeaters came into common usage long after the VHF band was pretty well saturated with users. The only way a standard offset could have been implemented for VHF would have required the mass canceling of existing licenses and reallocation of freqs among the existing users. That would have been total chaos, and difficult to do. The UHF and higher bands were set up from the start with repeaters in mind.

Mark

FYI: A lot of the FBI repeaters used to be 167 MHz input, 163 MHz output (back in the '70s). Now, those that haven't gone to the govt. UHF trunked systems are generally using 163 MHz as the input and 167 MHz as the output. But I don't know that this will hold true for all areas.

"Steve Uhrig" <Steve@swssec.com> wrote in message
news:e3kbntsht06jmlj6h3bc07g83cbjmu6mlg@4ax.com...
> On 11 Aug 2001 11:06:54 -0700, bellp400@msn.com (Tradewind) wrote:
>
> >Does anyone know what the standard is for offsets used by federal
> >government repeaters in the VHF range? I'm looking at 166MHz in
> >particular, but any information about federal government VHF would be
> >appreciated.
>
> There is no standard offset for government systems, local, state or
> federal, at least on any I use.
>
> It is whatever they can coordinate.
>
> They do not have standards like amateur or commercial service.
>
> For that matter, I don't know that there is a standard offset for
> commercial repeaters on VHF. There might be but I admit I do not know.
>
> Regards ... Steve
>
> **********
> Steve Uhrig, SWS Security, Maryland (USA)
> Mfrs of electronic surveillance equip
> mailto:Steve@swssec.com website http://www.swssec.com
> tel +1+410-879-4035, fax +1+410-836-1190
> "In God we trust, all others we monitor"
> **********

3277. Re: RadSat News Update.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 17:50:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <Pfzd7.139$y66.201753@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 61493
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

"jamesm.stewart" <jamesm.stewart@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:tlyd7.39335$e%3.5155554@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com...
>
> Geoff Boyce <Radsat2000@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:9l69o8kou1@uranium.btinternet.com...
> > Don't forget that you can watch all shuttle/ISS feeds from NASA-TV via
my
> > website. There is a shuttle mission as this is written..
> >
> > Go to http://www.radsat.da.ru and click on the link for NASA-TV on the
> index
> > page.
> >
> > cheers for now.... Geoff Boyce (RadSat, M1AHN).
> >
> >
>
> No wonder nobody is interested in ham radio when you can access this kind
of
> thing ....
>

Speak for yourself. Ever talked to the Shuttle on a 5 watt handheld radio? Very much possible. Of course now it would be the space station. Some years back, a farmer here in Oklahoma who happened to be a ham was out on his tractor and had his ham H/H radio with him. Heard the shuttle going over and called on his radio and got through. (Didn't have anything to write on, so he scratched the log info on his lunch box. Got his 15 minutes of fame in the media showing off his handheld and the lunchbox.

Mark
K5MAR

3278. Police Call

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 18:13:30 UTC
From: Harry Dawson <hdawson4@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B76C858.BA6A0BE3@home.com>
Source record: 61494

What is the best place to order the Police Call 2001 CD?
Thanks

3279. Re: RadSat News Update.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 18:17:50 UTC
From: "jamesm.stewart" <jamesm.stewart@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <BRzd7.39798$e%3.5315417@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 61495
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

M. Schneider <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:Pfzd7.139$y66.201753@newsfeed.slurp.net...
>
> "jamesm.stewart" <jamesm.stewart@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:tlyd7.39335$e%3.5155554@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> >
> > Geoff Boyce <Radsat2000@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> > news:9l69o8kou1@uranium.btinternet.com...
> > > Don't forget that you can watch all shuttle/ISS feeds from NASA-TV via
> my
> > > website. There is a shuttle mission as this is written..
> > >
> > > Go to http://www.radsat.da.ru and click on the link for NASA-TV on the
> > index
> > > page.
> > >
> > > cheers for now.... Geoff Boyce (RadSat, M1AHN).
> > >
> > >
> >
> > No wonder nobody is interested in ham radio when you can access this
kind
> of
> > thing ....
> >
>
> Speak for yourself. Ever talked to the Shuttle on a 5 watt handheld
radio?
> Very much possible. Of course now it would be the space station. Some
> years back, a farmer here in Oklahoma who happened to be a ham was out on
> his tractor and had his ham H/H radio with him. Heard the shuttle going
> over and called on his radio and got through. (Didn't have anything to
> write on, so he scratched the log info on his lunch box. Got his 15
minutes
> of fame in the media showing off his handheld and the lunchbox.
>
> Mark
> K5MAR
>
>
>
Well that is inspiring.....not

3280. Re: RadSat News Update.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 20:19:40 UTC
From: Barry Smith <baz@g4iat.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <f5cf0aa94a.g4iat@g4iat.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 61399
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

In message <Pfzd7.139$y66.201753@newsfeed.slurp.net>
"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:

>
> "jamesm.stewart" <jamesm.stewart@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:tlyd7.39335$e%3.5155554@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> >
> > Geoff Boyce <Radsat2000@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> > news:9l69o8kou1@uranium.btinternet.com...
> > > Don't forget that you can watch all shuttle/ISS feeds from NASA-TV via
> my
> > > website. There is a shuttle mission as this is written..
> > >
> > > Go to http://www.radsat.da.ru and click on the link for NASA-TV on the
> > index
> > > page.
> > >
> > > cheers for now.... Geoff Boyce (RadSat, M1AHN).
> > >
> > >
> >
> > No wonder nobody is interested in ham radio when you can access this kind
> of
> > thing ....
> >

It's no wonder I killfiled jamesm.stewart.

> Speak for yourself. Ever talked to the Shuttle on a 5 watt handheld radio?
> Very much possible. Of course now it would be the space station. Some
> years back, a farmer here in Oklahoma who happened to be a ham was out on
> his tractor and had his ham H/H radio with him. Heard the shuttle going
> over and called on his radio and got through. (Didn't have anything to
> write on, so he scratched the log info on his lunch box. Got his 15 minutes
> of fame in the media showing off his handheld and the lunchbox.
>
> Mark
> K5MAR

I remember receiving SSTV pictures in the early '80s (?) from
the shuttle. [cue nostalgia posts ....]

Barry
--

3281. Re: Frequency Counter?? do they work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 20:21:32 UTC
From: Emilio Goldstien <egoldstien@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <gzBd7.57534$Kd7.32576801@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 61496
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Triangulation - 2 cars plus one transmitter = 3 points in a triangle.
That's what triangulation is, taking two known points (the cars), and
figuring out where the unkown point (transmitter) is.
Sorry -the reason i didn't explain that is that that guy to whom i was
replying didn't sound like an idiot.
I take immense pride in crafting my responses so that all intelligent people
can benefit from them.
Now I see that I have left some people out.

This is not a hobby only for the rich, or only for the educated, or only for
the non-deaf.
This is a hobby for all Americans, and all anti-Americans alike.
We should not be too proud as scanning enthusiasts to suppose that there
aren't those out there who could not benefit from kinder, gentler,
easier-to-follow instructions and explanations as those so often given in
our hurry-about culture. Now more than ever before we have a need to mentor
those who are just beginning to understand what scanning is all about.
Therefore I salute you and cry out, "go forth and learn".
It is by the asking of these seemingly silly , idiotic, mundane, time
wasting questions that often one's education is most solidly fortified.
Later in life, as you look back upon these wonder years, you will think that
you weren't much smarter than a door stop. But lo! It is within this
cradle of ignorance that true knowledge and a more complete understanding
is often born to other people. I hope that you can immitate them in some
small way and make yourself a better 'you'.
Think of it as a good thing like brushing your teeth is a good thing.

I value your input, and look forward along with my colleagues in the scanner
newsgroups to helping you all I can.

Your success is important to me personally.
Take care.

"axl" <axl.nospam@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3B76BC04.C2D68979@hotmail.com...
>
> ....and by the way a dictionary is a great way to get to know the meaning
of the
> the word "triangulation" (tri=3 angul= angle) so how can you triangulate
a
> position if you ony have 2 angles (2 cars)? Just kidding you.
>
>

3282. Re: RadSat News Update.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 20:34:23 UTC
From: "Chris Kirby"
Message-ID: <52qdnto5dnktqs2o9gt8sh3tam8nmggli6@4ax.com>
Source record: 61397
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:

>
>"jamesm.stewart" <jamesm.stewart@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
>news:tlyd7.39335$e%3.5155554@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com...
>>
>> Geoff Boyce <Radsat2000@btinternet.com> wrote in message
>> news:9l69o8kou1@uranium.btinternet.com...
>> > Don't forget that you can watch all shuttle/ISS feeds from NASA-TV via
>my
>> > website. There is a shuttle mission as this is written..
>> >
>> > Go to http://www.radsat.da.ru and click on the link for NASA-TV on the
>> index
>> > page.
>> >
>> > cheers for now.... Geoff Boyce (RadSat, M1AHN).
>> >
>> >
>>
>> No wonder nobody is interested in ham radio when you can access this kind
>of
>> thing ....
>>
>
>Speak for yourself. Ever talked to the Shuttle on a 5 watt handheld radio?
>Very much possible. Of course now it would be the space station. Some
>years back, a farmer here in Oklahoma who happened to be a ham was out on
>his tractor and had his ham H/H radio with him. Heard the shuttle going
>over and called on his radio and got through. (Didn't have anything to
>write on, so he scratched the log info on his lunch box. Got his 15 minutes
>of fame in the media showing off his handheld and the lunchbox.
>
>Mark
>K5MAR
>
>

3283. Re: RadSat News Update.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 20:37:46 UTC
From: "Chris Kirby"
Message-ID: <p2qdntc93iv5hp8k2ntm6ao4brv1d20285@4ax.com>
Source record: 61398
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

M. Schneider wrote:

>Got his 15 minutes
>of fame in the media showing off his handheld and the lunchbox.

The handheld may have been interesting, but his lunchbox doesn't
interest me!

;-))

73, Chris

3284. FREQUENCY INFO WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 21:41:52 UTC
From: rong@computer.net
Message-ID: <3b76f63d.2012315@news.computer.net>
Source record: 61400
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi All,

I posted a question the other day, and got many great answers, so here goes again. I am looking for an online database that gives frequencies and callsigns, and one that you can enter a frequency, and it will tell you who is licensed on that frequency. Cityfreq.com is a great site, but it only gives frequencies, . Also, is there a website that has frequency allocations broken down with the service that can use them---example 153.5-155.9 police, 46-46.5 fire, etc. etc.

Thanks,

****
Ron Goldstein.....KA2IIA
STAY YOUNG---DRIVE A VINTAGE 427 CORVETTE.
KEEP YOUR MIND ACTIVE---BECOME AN AMATEUR RADIO OPERATOR.

REMEMBER, THERE ARE NO STRANGERS IN AMATEUR RADIO, ONLY FRIENDS
THAT WE HAVE NOT YET MET.
rong@computer.net******ka2iia@arrl.net

3285. Re: Winscan 780 Radio>PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 21:44:37 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B76F8A8.F403488C@home.com>
Source record: 61401

"RaZ0r!" wrote:
>
>
> I use WinScan780 and I haven't seen anything better yet. (Of course I
> haven't ever needed to contact their tech support either.)

You either haven't been "looking" very hard, or you are an
idiot. Even if they actually did have tech support, other
products, such as TrunkStar780, are better.

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

3286. Re: Police Call

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 22:13:24 UTC
From: lbrcly@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010812181324.22449.00003663@mb-mj.news.cs.com>
Source record: 61402

>What is the best place to order the Police Call 2001 CD?
>Thanks

Radio Shack should have them in stock in the stores.

3287. Re: BC 780.xlt@lentini communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 22:20:02 UTC
From: RaZ0r! <extreme_gamerSPAM@NOThotmail.com>
Message-ID: <TeDd7.50542$ml5.1047198@e420r-chi1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61404

What specific problems have you had with WinScan780? I have had none.

--
RaZ0r!

"Dwayne H" <Dubbin@ameritech.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.15dfbee15a4a0218989682@news.tol.sbcglobal.net...
> Whatever you do DONT get the Winscan780 software. It is junk and Pozillas
> support is crap at best. I would recomend and I think others will back me
> up on this is to get the Trunkstar780 software. Make sure you do get
> software for it to make life alot easier on you and your new toy.
>
>
> In article <3b75dac4.326468@news-server.optonline.net>, gig@i-2000.com
> says...
> > i am seriously thinking of packin up the car and taking the short
> > drive and ferry trip to go to conn(newington) conn and get my
> > scanner.... anybody ever dealt with lentini communications?
> > 349.00 also what software should i get ? which is the best? driving
> > up on wed or thur
> > thanks gig.
> > gig@i-2000.com
> >
>
> --
> ==============
> Dwayne
> --------------
> Saw it!
> Wanted it!
> Threw a fit!
> Got it!
>

3288. Re: Cordless phone receiving range for scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 22:20:28 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tne07275rlkg19@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61403
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yeh, but a good one.....

White Noise Hysteria <mroberts@rconnect.com> wrote in message
news:aytd7.4387$X4.628025@nntp3.onemain.com...
> Thats a Shitty Trick
>
> "Emilio Goldstien" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
> news:Bs5d7.52216$Kd7.29939146@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com...
> > The best way to handle this situation is to sneak in and extend the
> antenna
> > of the cordless phone which you want to monitor. That way it stands out
> > above the rest.
> >
> > Just go up to your neighbor and say you lost youir car keys and can you
> use
> > the phone. Wipe dog crap from your shoes onto the couch and when the
> > neighbor goes to get a wet rag, switch out the antenna. Works every
time.
> > Usually you can pick up your neighbor talking about you right away.
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3289. Re: Winscan 780 Radio>PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 22:24:28 UTC
From: RaZ0r! <extreme_gamerSPAM@NOThotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1jDd7.50544$mI5.1047810@e420r-chi1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61406

No I haven't looked at all, I have not had any problems with WinScan780, nor have I needed any other features then what WinScan780 offers. What specificly does TrunkStar780 do that WinScan780 doesn't do or do better? Or do you even know?

--
RaZ0r!

"Tim" <nightwatch01@home.com> wrote in message news:3B76F8A8.F403488C@home.com...
>
> "RaZ0r!" wrote:
> >
> >
> > I use WinScan780 and I haven't seen anything better yet. (Of course I
> > haven't ever needed to contact their tech support either.)
>
> You either haven't been "looking" very hard, or you are an
> idiot. Even if they actually did have tech support, other
> products, such as TrunkStar780, are better.
>
>
> --
> Nightwatch01@home.com
>

3290. Re: Test Please Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 22:24:54 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tne0f91qkv0v69@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61405

What?????? 10-9??????????

Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.15dfc2236bc7ae6f989683@news.tol.sbcglobal.net...
> Test
> --
> ==========
> Dwayne
> ----------
> Saw it!
> Wanted it!
> Threw a fit!
> Got it!

3291. Re: FREQUENCY INFO WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 23:05:24 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9l723b$7qe2h$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61407
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Ron Goldstein" <rong@computer.net> wrote in message
news:3b76f63d.2012315@news.computer.net...
> Hi All,
>
> I posted a question the other day, and got many great answers, so here
> goes again. I am looking for an online database that gives frequencies and
> callsigns, and one that you can enter a frequency, and it will tell you
who is
> licensed on that frequency. Cityfreq.com is a great site, but it only
gives
> frequencies, . Also, is there a website that has frequency allocations
broken
> down with the service that can use them---example 153.5-155.9 police,
46-46.5
> fire, etc. etc.

Try the FCC's website at
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

Tom

3292. Re: Gender and the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 23:10:48 UTC
From: "J. Harley" <harley@jharleydavidson.com>
Message-ID: <Y1Ed7.937$Uh6.259532896@twister1.starband.net>
Source record: 61410

Hmmmmmmmmmm!!??? But are you REALLY an 'Elaine' or are you a dude
pretending to be a chick?.....
<gender@ouwww.ou.edu> wrote in message
news:N9fd7.5479$o4.2229@news.ou.edu...
> I am a researcher at the University of Oklahoma, USA,
> conducting a study about gender and the Internet.
> The study consists of an online survey. To learn
> more about the study, go to the research project
> web site:
>
> http://infoserv.ou.edu/gender
>
> Cheers!
> -Elaine Wittenberg
> gender@ouwww.ou.edu

3293. Re: Police Call

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-12 23:49:25 UTC
From: SpeedRacer@TheTrack.com
Message-ID: <9CEd7.5488$ZM2.524814@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 61408

In article <3B76C858.BA6A0BE3@home.com>, Harry Dawson <hdawson4@home.com> wrote:
>What is the best place to order the Police Call 2001 CD?
>Thanks

Grove Enterprises has it on sale for $15.95 + $5.95 shipping for a total of $21.90 which is a pretty good price. Check it out at:

http://www.grove-ent.com/SFT22.html

--
Jim

3294. Scan-L List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 01:24:11 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28273-3B772C3B-30@storefull-116.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61413

FAQ: http://plaws.net/scan/scan-l.txt

Here is a nice friendly scanner list. Peter S

3295. Re: New FDNY Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 01:35:24 UTC
From: zerg90@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28272-3B772EDC-82@storefull-116.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61412

Try this - http://members.aol.com/fd347/ - look for Radio Freqs lower left corner

Also try the scan-nyc list and the fireradio list at http://www.yahoogroups.com

Last I heard, FDNY will be reintroducing the UHF portable radios but using them in a analog mode (rather than a digital mode).

Peter S

3296. Re: CC Radio .....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 01:44:17 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b772f30.78382334@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61411

On Sat, 11 Aug 2001 09:24:16 GMT, "Ryan KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote:

>Question for you then..... on the DX-392, on the external antenna jack,
>which would be cosidered the postive and which would be considered "ground"
>on that one? I really don't wanna take the stupid thing apart to figure it
>out. Are you aware of this??
>
>Thanks,
>Ryan
>
Well, I'll give you the answer I used.

The ext. ant. jack is a miniplug. Therefore
When I wanted to try a longer wire on it what I did
was to take an old mini-speaker with a mini-plug
wired to it, snip off the wire, then strip same and ran
the one end to the random wire and the ground to
ground. A multimeter can help you decide which
is gnd. Does this help??

FWIW, As long as I used this set-up for
a short (60ft.) random it worked fine. But I also have
a 160ft.+ random about 40 ft. up and wrapped around
5 diff. trees in my back yard. I occasionally use it for
my Yaesu tx. For kicks I tried it on the DX-392 recently:
It was totally overloaded and I got better reception on
the little Radio Shack 23 ft. reel ant.

hope all this makes sense and helps,

Andrew

3297. FS:Trident TR-2400 / AOR 100khz-2060mhz $175 *no cell blk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 01:54:55 UTC
From: Early Bender-Werth <ebw@tez.net>
Message-ID: <9l7c03$1d4d$1@box9.cho.cstone.net>
Source record: 61415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

We bought this Trident TR-2400 1000ch wide range monitor / scanner new in 1994 for $499 before the final cell frequency ban. Scans 100khz-2060mhz. Same type / manufacturer of unit as sold under different names (Camnis , AOR , Fairmate). Low use, clean and very nice condition (never dropped). This was one of the last units with no cell block (scans clean through 800-900mhz). See below for pics and specs. With original box, unused NIMH batteries, car adapter, AC adapter and manual. $175 + shipping.

email: ebw@hifitown.com

See picture of our unit here:

http://www.hifitown.com/trident_tr2400.jpg

Walt & Early ** + Shipping - For USA: Prepay by United States Postal Money Order (available only at Post Office). Overseas ok.

3298. Re: Police Call

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 01:57:17 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b77335d.79450886@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61414

On Sun, 12 Aug 2001 23:49:25 GMT, SpeedRacer@TheTrack.com (Speedy Q. Racer) wrote:

>In article <3B76C858.BA6A0BE3@home.com>, Harry Dawson <hdawson4@home.com> wrote:
>>What is the best place to order the Police Call 2001 CD?
>>Thanks
>
>Grove Enterprises has it on sale for $15.95 + $5.95 shipping for a total of
>$21.90 which is a pretty good price. Check it out at:
>
>http://www.grove-ent.com/SFT22.html
>
>--
>Jim

Some of the Rat Shacks will offer a better deal than that if you are a wise shopper. To wit: Currently some RS's are selling the 2000 PC book for 5.95 Of course, it's a year + out of date. But many freqs. like F&R or police don't change that much from year to year. Something to consider.

Andrew

3299. On the Subject of Police Call

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 02:06:38 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b77340c.79626272@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61416

howdy,

somebody else was asking about PC and made me
wanta ask the ng a question:

Just how accurate is Police Call for your area?
Frankly mine could be a lot better. A lot of it is right on,
to be sure. But my local town (to remain un-named)
has about 8 local gov't freqs. listed and I've only heard action
on one of them. And no police action period. I expected to
hear digital garbage only, but was surprised to hear nada.
Also, someone else in a neighboring jurisdiction swears he's
hearing more and more police activity on 800MHz. which
doesn't even appear anywhere in the book. Any comments
on this out there??

TIA,

Andrew

A Scanning Joke:

A: Knock, knock !
B: Who's there ?
A: Consumption.
B: Consumption who?
A: Consumption be done to get me a digital scanner?

3300. Re: Gender and the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 02:08:12 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b773649.80199185@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61417

On Sun, 12 Aug 2001 23:10:48 GMT, "J. Harley" <harley@jharleydavidson.com>
wrote:

>Hmmmmmmmmmm!!??? But are you REALLY an 'Elaine' or are you a dude
>pretending to be a chick?........

Silly person. Men don't have gender, they have sex.

><gender@ouwww.ou.edu> wrote in message
>news:N9fd7.5479$o4.2229@news.ou.edu...
>> I am a researcher at the University of Oklahoma, USA,
>> conducting a study about gender and the Internet.
>> The study consists of an online survey. To learn
>> more about the study, go to the research project
>> web site:
>>
>> http://infoserv.ou.edu/gender
>>
>> Cheers!
>> -Elaine Wittenberg
>> gender@ouwww.ou.edu
>
>

3301. Re: On the Subject of Police Call

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 02:19:37 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010812221937.17461.00000799@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 61418

Police Call is as accurate as the agencies that are responsible for filing / maintaining the licenses.

Some agencies continue to maintain licenses for radio channels they no longer use.

Some agencies will operate under the license of another agency, such as when a town uses the county's or state's channels.

Some agencies don't maintain their licenses. I have several agencies in my area using frequencies for which the licenses lapsed (and the callsigns were deleted).

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

3302. Re: BC 780.xlt@lentini communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 02:28:54 UTC
From: Ender <SBender@home.com>
Message-ID: <bvdent4drrqrbh8kj7bublupjc5098krlh@4ax.com>
Source record: 61419

On Sun, 12 Aug 2001 01:26:26 GMT, gig@i-2000.com (GaijinGig) wrote:

> i am seriously thinking of packin up the car and taking the short
>drive and ferry trip to go to conn(newington) conn and get my
>scanner.... anybody ever dealt with lentini communications?
> 349.00 also what software should i get ? which is the best? driving
>up on wed or thur
> thanks gig.
> gig@i-2000.com

Hi,

I walked in to Lentini's in Newington last Monday (Aug 6) and picked up a BC 780 XLT from them. I have purchased several items from them (30 minute drive for me) and have always been pleased with their willingness to please the customer. Bill seems to be very up to speed with the BC 780 XLT.

I have used WinScan and TrunkStar and very much prefer TrunkStar for ease of use in programming and making it possible to quickly get through the fairly steep learning curve this scanner can present. WinScan corrupted one of my trunking banks and managed to spray inappropriate data entries into many data fields. I ended up clearing the scanner's memory entirely and reloading my data with TrunkStar. Never went back to WinScan after that. My experience might not be typical, keep asking around, I have found many happy users of both WinScan and TrunkStar.

I'd suggest calling Lentini's and asking them to put one aside for you. They had a good supply of them when I was there, but my impression was that they go fairly fast. When you get there they have a BC780XLT for display on the counter that you can play with to check it all out. Also, they are happy to let you open your box up and test it all out to assure it is not DOA or something.

Enjoy the radio, it is outstanding.

Ender

Remove the SB from my return email to reply by mail.

3303. Re: 895XLT v 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 02:38:55 UTC
From: Ender <SBender@home.com>
Message-ID: <15fentova8ekgvh1lk4c2ca98ec2sqt98r@4ax.com>
Source record: 61420

I walked into a local shop on a Friday to buy the 895XLT and saw the 780XLT sitting right next to it. I played with both of them for an hour, side by side and walked out of the shop with neither one. I only wanted to spend the amount asked for the 895XLT, but the 780 was walking circles around it in features and general peformance. So I waited and thought about it and went back to the shop after the weekend and didn't even flinch as I got the 780XLT. I love it and don't regret the extra expense, it is an amazing receiver.

Ender

Remove the SB from my return email to reply by mail.

3304. Re: Winscan 780 Radio>PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 02:57:52 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B77420B.BEE34C34@home.com>
Source record: 61421

"RaZ0r!" wrote:
>
> No I haven't looked at all, I have not had any problems with WinScan780, nor

So then the above statement, when your prior comment of "I use
WinScan780 and I haven't seen anything better yet" is taken into
consideration, clearly indicates that you are indeed an idiot.

> have I needed any other features then what WinScan780 offers.

What
> specificly does TrunkStar780 do that WinScan780 doesn't do or do better? Or
> do you even know?

Yes I know, as I have both products, I'd enlighten you as to why
TrunkStar780 is superior, but I don't have a certificate for teaching
'special ed,' so you're on your own.

>
> --
> RaZ0r!
>
> "Tim" <nightwatch01@home.com> wrote in message
> news:3B76F8A8.F403488C@home.com...
> >
> > "RaZ0r!" wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > I use WinScan780 and I haven't seen anything better yet. (Of course I
> > > haven't ever needed to contact their tech support either.)
> >
> > You either haven't been "looking" very hard, or you are an
> > idiot. Even if they actually did have tech support, other
> > products, such as TrunkStar780, are better.
> >
> >
> > --
> > Nightwatch01@home.com
> >

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

3305. BC760XLT Memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 03:22:02 UTC
From: osborne605@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010812232202.17995.00003390@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 61423

Does anyone have the information onhow to add more channels to the BC760XLT ? I can't find anything on it

3306. Re: RadSat News Update.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 03:25:41 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <%HHd7.315$y66.417482@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 61422
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

Apparently it was interesting to the media. It was carried nation-wide on
several networks. In fact, as I remember, it was also reported by the BBC.

Mark

"Chris Kirby >" <<g4fzn@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:p2qdntc93iv5hp8k2ntm6ao4brv1d20285@4ax.com...
> M. Schneider wrote:
>
> >Got his 15 minutes
> >of fame in the media showing off his handheld and the lunchbox.
>
> The handheld may have been interesting, but his lunchbox doesn't
> interest me!
>
> ;-))
>
> 73, Chris

3307. Re: On the Subject of Police Call

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 03:34:37 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <fQHd7.317$y66.419371@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 61424

And the 800 MHz activity could be under an umbrella license, in which many different small agencies go together on a single trunked system. As I understand it, the FCC requires this sort of thing when a smaller agency wants to go 800 MHz trunked. My city (<50,000) was looking into a trunking system, and was reportedly told by the FCC that they would have to make the system available to the county as well as the small towns in our area. As everybody wanted to be able to use the system, but nobody wanted to help pay for it, it didn't happen.

Mark

"Jeff Multer" <jamulter@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010812221937.17461.00000799@mb-fi.aol.com...
> Police Call is as accurate as the agencies that are responsible for filing
/
> maintaining the licenses.
>
> Some agencies continue to maintain licenses for radio channels they no
longer
> use.
>
> Some agencies will operate under the license of another agency, such as
when a
> town uses the county's or state's channels.
>
> Some agencies don't maintain their licenses. I have several agencies in
my
> area using frequencies for which the licenses lapsed (and the callsigns
were
> deleted).
>
> Jeff Multer
> Fort Mill, S.C.
>

3308. Re: Any frequencies at NFL games ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 03:37:31 UTC
From: wmcminn@accesscomm.ca
Message-ID: <3b774b2d.4868553@news.accesscomm.ca>
Source record: 61425
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 11 Aug 2001 17:28:38 GMT, Pervert_Extraordinair <nope@att.com>
wrote:

>I'm going to an NFL Colts game this evening
>and was wondering if my scanner should
>accompany me.
>
>Are there any interesting frequencies
>to monitor. I suppose the coaches
>communications are scrambled?
>
>TIA

For the most part, yes football coaches' comms are scrambled with
voice inversion. Stadium security may be worth a listen.

3309. Re: FREQUENCY INFO WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 03:42:36 UTC
From: jsaspo@attglobal.net
Message-ID: <420d12ed.0108121942.5b493f83@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61426
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Ron,

FCC Database:
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

FCC Bandplan:
http://www.qsl.net/kf6aaq/scanner/bandplan.htm

Jay

rong@computer.net (Ron Goldstein) wrote in message
news:<3b76f63d.2012315@news.computer.net>...
> Hi All,
>
> I posted a question the other day, and got many great answers, so here
> goes again. I am looking for an online database that gives frequencies and
> callsigns, and one that you can enter a frequency, and it will tell you who is
> licensed on that frequency. Cityfreq.com is a great site, but it only gives
> frequencies, . Also, is there a website that has frequency allocations broken
> down with the service that can use them---example 153.5-155.9 police, 46-46.5
> fire, etc. etc.
>
>
> Thanks,
>
> **
> Ron Goldstein.....KA2IIA
> STAY YOUNG---DRIVE A VINTAGE 427 CORVETTE.
> KEEP YOUR MIND ACTIVE---BECOME AN AMATEUR RADIO OPERATOR.
>
> REMEMBER, THERE ARE NO STRANGERS IN AMATEUR RADIO, ONLY FRIENDS
> THAT WE HAVE NOT YET MET.
> rong@computer.net******ka2iia@arrl.net

3310. What Are T-Codes ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 03:50:07 UTC
From: "DW." <dwww@wt.net>
Message-ID: <3b7740dd@sys13.hou.wt.net>
Source record: 61427

Newbee Want's To Know What T-Code Stands For. I Just Got A Uniden BC148XLT Home Scanner That Son Loves & Want's To Know What They Mean, Also, Since It Only Goes Up To 512MHz Is It Possible To Pick Up EMS, Fire,Or PD ? Thank's DW.

3311. Radio Shack PRO-76

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 04:44:20 UTC
From: mikea@telecom-digest.zzn.com
Message-ID: <26e78242.0108122044.6a707a8b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61428

Hello everyone,
I have a question about the Radio Shack PRO-76 scanner, and if anyone could help with this it would be great:

The Pro-76's packaging and catalog entry mention that it is "COMPUTER PROGRAMMABLE" but none of the Radio Shack employees I've talked to know anything about this. Is there any product I can download or buy that will let me program this scanner through my computer?

Thanks,
Mike

3312. PRO-2066 more sensitive than PRO-2067?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 05:20:30 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010813012030.22029.00000664@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 61429

I took a PRO-2066 and a PRO-2067 today while driving and switched the two between the same mobile antenna for comparison's sake. The 2066 seems decidely more sensitive than the 2067.

Of course, the 2067 has many nice features especially the Alpha Tag option for talk group ID's, and the fact that it does other than Motorola only trunking. But, when you get down to basics, it has weaker audio and lower sensitivity in my comparison of the two. At times, on marginal signals, the 2067 just didn't pick up the signal.

Perhaps a signal pre-amp might help the 2067. Does anyone have experience using a pre-amp for 800MHz on this radio?

Thanks,

Geo.

3313. Re: RadSat News Update.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 05:34:12 UTC
From: "jamesm.stewart" <jamesm.stewart@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <CLJd7.4692$v86.775327@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 61430
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

>
> It's no wonder I killfiled jamesm.stewart.

Thats it.....stick your head in the
sand.....

3314. frequencies for the California State Fair/ Calexpo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 06:56:19 UTC
From: rapture1@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <419381f6.0108122256.3ce4f46a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61431

Once again, I will post this, with the State Fair shortly upon us (August 17 to September 5) See MY OWN personally compiled list, most frequencies of which I obtained from listening myself. I have not found Police Call to be of much use in this area. They really should have put all known recurring Calexpo/State Fair frequencies into a "Calexpo" section in Beyond Police Call, not sift through the police part of the book for the police and the "Beyond Police Call" for the one Water World freqency (there should be more than one, I have heard at least two) Anyway, here it goes, look down below this sentence.

Note: These may not necessarily be in use. There may be some inaccuracies. Mea Cupla.

Police
453.150 & 474.840 Seem to be the same. These are the most active, on during
major events and sometimes at other times. Most active during the State
Fair, every year since at least 1998.

Maybe these will come up ... only seem to be used near or during the state
fair or other major events:
453.350
453.800
479.850
482.500 (I have only observed this on July 4th, 2000)
471 (or is that 491?).537 I have only observed this at the Sacramento County
Fair, which used police in the uniform of one of the school districts.
172.500 heard in 2000 Fair, a vendor was attacked- is a police freq, or
vendor support?
also check nearby police frequencies, such as 468.350, which I presume is
for the city police stationed in the Arden Fair Mall

Vendor Mic Frequencies: (only heard on grounds of Cal Expo)
Sac County Fair 2001
169.445 kitchenware vendor
171.845 another vendor
CA State Fair 2000
169.440 cookware vendor (maybe two vendors on this freq?), sells the Ginzu
knife

171.940,171.870, &171.905 Ladder Salesman
167.925 "Sweepa" booth
172.990 Another vendor
498.425 ?
171.500 Blender Machine Salesman
494.200 "Wing" ladder sales booth

Vendor Support (not mic frequencies)
486.287 Food Sales support? (1st heard in 2000)
486.325 (1999 at least) Drink Sales support?

Carny mics (Unless noted, only heard in 2000, at the fair itself)
472.350 & 450.650 (only heard in 1998, with excellent range, over twenty
miles away!) NBA Basketball basketball dunk game
170.245 Water balloon gun game?
169.505 Another carnie
171.845 Ball tossing game
151.740? Another ball tossing game?

Performers/Non-selling Exhibitors mics (only heard at Calexpo)
CA State Fair 2000
493.750 Sky Shivers, of the Sky Shiver's Goat Show at Goat Mountain (now
gone) mic
494.512 "The Iron Horse" show, Clown's mic
494.112 Pontiac/GM exhibit of their vehicles, between Main Gate and
WaterWorld main gate- a GM/Pontiac representative woman's mic
Sacramento County Fair 2001
171.840 "Cook's Racing Pigs" show/exhibit announcer mic, Cook presumably
(not the Poker Pavillion show of the CA State Fair that existed before
2000;
this is privately funded and organized)

Performer Support
453.750 CA State Fair 1999 at least (or related personnel)
475.500 CA State Fair 1999 at least (various sorts of maintenance,
including
gates?)

Video Audio?
458.512 This was heard briefly during CA State Fair 1999, sounded like
what
might accompany one of the video presentations for one of the
exhibits.

Paging
482.? (Heard during 1999 CA State Fair)

Amateur Radio heard at the CA State Fair 2000
467.637 A girl talking to her mom

Probally Amatuer Radio
467.587
467.312
467.712
467.587
475.425 "No one came to get our beatles"- contest or exhibit
participant?
484.362

Live TV/Radio remotes (also used for other events)
450.750 KRXQ "98 Rock" live remote at 2000 CA State Fair
455.700 "Cool 101.1" & "Arrow" combined live remote (1999 CA State
Fair)

TV/Radio frequencies not used so far at Cal Expo-
171.775 Radio Disney Sacramento events (not on air- a mic for event
only,
used at least once in 2001)
455.750 "KNCI" 105.1 FM live remote

Also check news crew frequencies for occassional coverage (such as
450.100
KCRA news technical crew), 485.425 KCRA News, 450.850 (KFBK traffic
ground
crew), 450.225 ("Commander Bill" KFBK), also 450.612, etc.

WaterWorld USA Sacramento
472.087 Lifeguards? (only heard in 2000 at Cal Expo)

"The Point" amusement center
567.562 Employee (only heard at Cal Expo in 2000) Very interesting
talk.

3315. Re: On the Subject of Police Call

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 07:18:15 UTC
From: rapture1@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <419381f6.0108122318.26e039@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61432

jamulter@aol.com (Jeff Multer) wrote in message
news:<20010812221937.17461.00000799@mb-fi.aol.com>...
> Police Call is as accurate...

For my area, Sacramento County, Police Call sucks bad. In the edition I have, 1996, it lists just one Waterworld frequency for Sacramento, which I have never gotten to work, and none for Waterworld in Concord. Nor do I think that one frequency is really all there is used by Waterworld Sacramento. I have heard at least two completely different frequencies, one for lifeguard, the other I'm not sure of. It is also fustrating that the general Cal Expo and the California State Fair were not listed as a group in the "Beyond Police Call" for Sacramento, in any category. My favorite area of interest is Calexpo, so this is damn fustrating. Also I would like the police grouped together with other Calexpo frequencies. I cannot tell what are the California State Fair Police (Calexpo police's official title) in the Police section of the book. Do I look under 'Sacramento PD' or what? This is not part of the CHP, nor the local police (although local pd do volunteer to work for it) This is why I have posted my own Calexpo/California State Fair list. And what about the police at Arden Fair Mall? These are real city police, not private security. Do I have to go through all the local PD frequencies to find the Arden Fair Mall's frequencies? (by the way ... the mall will allow you to have a scanner but are very unwilling to give out frequencies, rather rudely. The CA State Fair is much better. A cop there actually told me what kind of frequencies they used, old county freqs, and they have no problem with scanners on site, but won't release freq lists) Also, why do I have to go through all of the private security freqs used at Downtown Plaza? That company has people all over the city! It seems to me what would really make sense would be to group freqs by specific areas in a city, rather than owners. I am really only interested in listening to things happening at Calexpo, the Arden area, the State Capitol, City Hall, Old Sacramento, Arco Arena, and Downtown Plaza. I don't care about all the Pinkerton Security action in the city, just at Downtown Plaza and Waterworld USA Calexpo (which is run by Six Flags, not the Calexpo Authority). (I think WWUSA uses Pinkerton ... I know Downtown Plaza does)

So you see the real problem, that Police Call can't group specific AREAS under multiple owners well.

Furthermore, I have never gotten much luck from the freqs it has listed. Arco Arena's freqs that Beyond Police Call lists never seemed to pop up. It took some random searching on my own to get a security freq from there.

And where do the freqs for the Downtown Guides fall under? The Sacramento Chamber of Commerce? The Downtown Partnership? The Sacramento Police? (I am pretty sure I have a freq for them, if you want one)

So you can see some of the of problems Police Call has.

3316. Re: BC760XLT Memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 10:04:37 UTC
From: Ted Hay <tedh@xcelco.on.ca>
Message-ID: <tnf9qo4jk2s1e9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61433

There was a article in Monitoring Times several years ago how to double the memory by adding a switch and resistor. I don't have the article or what issue it was but probaly 3 or 4 years ago.

Ted
Osborne605 <osborne605@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010812232202.17995.00003390@ng-co1.aol.com...
> Does anyone have the information onhow to add more channels to the
BC760XLT ? I
> can't find anything on it

3317. Re: MODS MODS MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 10:11:17 UTC
From: DigitalEbola <digitalebola@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3b77a836@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 61434

mods to do ANYTHING

Im after any mods what-so-ever

"Fart Eddie" <davlin@tiac.net> wrote in message
news:Uz9d7.836$at4.955011@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> Mods to do what exactly?
>
> "DigitalEbola" <digitalebola@iprimus.com.au> wrote in message
> news:3b746bcb$1@news.iprimus.com.au...
> > Does ANYONE know ANY mods that work with the PRO-71 scanner ? Im
> DESPERATE!
> >
> > digitalebola@iprimus.com.au
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3318. Re: IC-R3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 12:47:34 UTC
From: "Johan N." <blabla@blabla.com>
Message-ID: <9l8iae$7ch$1@zingo.tninet.se>
Source record: 61435

"Clyde R. Shappee" <clydes@world.std.com> skrev i meddelandet
news:3B75C90E.A5EB5EB@world.std.com...
> Does anyone know if this radio will receive Slow Scan TV as well?
>
> Clyde
>

It does...

3319. Re: On the Subject of Police Call

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 13:34:02 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010813093402.14692.00001008@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 61436

Don't expect Police Call to be accurate, when you are using a five-year-old edition.

Second, you need a better understanding of how the licensing works. For instance, police at business facilities might be on local law enforcement frequencies, or frequencies licensed to the business.

Also, when checking for licenses for an entertainment facility, the licenses MIGHT be under the name of the parent company, rather than the facility name. And, if the facility has come under new ownership in the past few years, the licenses might still be under the former owner's name.

"Beyond Police Call" is a suppliment. It's not intended to cover every non-public safety frequency in your region. Spend a little time to learn how to use the FCC online database, and you'll answer your own questions.

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

>For my area, Sacramento County, Police Call sucks bad. In the edition
>I have, 1996, it lists just one Waterworld frequency for Sacramento,
>which I have never gotten to work, and none for Waterworld in Concord.
>Nor do I think that one frequency is really all there is used by
>Waterworld Sacramento. I have heard at least two completely different
>frequencies, one for lifeguard, the other I'm not sure of. It is also
>fustrating that the general Cal Expo and the California State Fair
>were not listed as a group in the "Beyond Police Call" for Sacramento,
>in any category. My favorite area of interest is Calexpo, so this is
>damn fustrating. Also I would like the police grouped together with
>other Calexpo frequencies. I cannot tell what are the California State
>Fair Police (Calexpo police's official title) in the Police section of
>the book. Do I look under 'Sacramento PD' or what? This is not part of
>the CHP, nor the local police (although local pd do volunteer to work
>for it) This is why I have posted my own Calexpo/California State Fair
>list. And what about the police at Arden Fair Mall? These are real
>city police, not private security. Do I have to go through all the
>local PD frequencies to find the Arden Fair Mall's frequencies? (by
>the way ... the mall will allow you to have a scanner but are very
>unwilling to give out frequencies, rather rudely. The CA State Fair is
>much better. A cop there actually told me what kind of frequencies
>they used, old county freqs, and they have no problem with scanners on
>site, but won't release freq lists) Also, why do I have to go through
>all of the private security freqs used at Downtown Plaza? That company
>has people all over the city! It seems to me what would really make
>sense would be to group freqs by specific areas in a city, rather than
>owners. I am really only interested in listening to things happening

>at Calexpo, the Arden area, the State Capitol, City Hall, Old
>Sacramento, Arco Arena, and Downtown Plaza. I don't care about all the
>Pinkerton Security action in the city, just at Downtown Plaza and
>Waterworld USA Calexpo (which is run by Six Flags, not the Calexpo
>Authority). (I think WWUSA uses Pinkerton ... I know Downtown Plaza
>does)
>
>So you see the real problem, that Police Call can't group specific
>AREAS under multiple owners well.
>
>Furthermore, I have never gotten much luck from the freqs it has
>listed. Arco Arena's freqs that Beyond Police Call lists never seemed
>to pop up. It took some random searching on my own to get a security
>freq from there.
>
>And where do the freqs for the Downtown Guides fall under? The
>Sacramento Chamber of Commerce? The Downtown Partnership? The
>Sacramento Police? (I am pretty sure I have a freq for them, if you
>want one)
>
>So you can see some of the of problems Police Call has.
>
>

3320. Re: IC-R3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 14:10:23 UTC
From: Daniel <danielvd@zonnet.nl>
Message-ID: <_kRd7.27763$Vs4.283084@zonnet-reader-1>
Source record: 61437

No it does not..
the icr3 does not have a sstv interface ... such as a hamcom interface..
you can receive atv..

Daniel

"Johan N." <blabla@blabla.com> schreef in bericht
news:9l8iae$7ch$1@zingo.tninet.se...
>
> "Clyde R. Shappee" <clydes@world.std.com> skrev i meddelandet
> news:3B75C90E.A5EB5EB@world.std.com...
> > Does anyone know if this radio will receive Slow Scan TV as well?
> >
> > Clyde
> >
>
> It does...
>
>

3321. Re: Frequency Counter?? do they work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 14:19:17 UTC
From: Blake Slutz <mrx1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B773929.E3F3119@earthlink.net>
Source record: 61438
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks for the reply Emilio,its nice that there are actually people in this world that don't have a problem helping others, without all the sarcastic put downs that seem to be so prevatent from all the (young folks) that seem to frequent all of these news groups today. PS: I do keep a random house dictionary by the computer but seldom open it ,I guess out of lazyness. Ha Ha!!! Blake, the scanner newbe.....

Emilio Goldstien wrote:

> Yes, they do work. I own several. And by the way a dictionary is a great way
> to spell Active Frequencies. Just kidding you.
> Probably the best way to make this setup to work is through "triangulation".
> You get two frequency counters, and two cars. Both cars drive around with
> their frequency counters, and together, you can zero in on the frequencies.
> One guy starts at the top and the other at the bottom.
> I know this sounds expensive (not even counting buying two cars), but
> believe me, it's worth it.
>
> With this method, you can acheive 2x2=4 times the results, and you discover
> more and more frequencies as the distance between the cars gets larger.
> My Dodge Colt has a frequency counter built in, in place of a CD player.
>
> I'm sorry if all this is technical, but as your love for the hobby grows, so
> too will your technical expertise develop.
> There is a great manual called "Frequency Counters for Everyone". It's
> about a thousand pages, but I can fax you a copy if you want.
>
> Take care.
>
> "Blake Slutz" <mrx1@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3B7407CB.20FB4C72@earthlink.net...
> > I read somewhere on the net that a freq counter is a great way to find
> > active frequencys.

3322. FA Uniden BC895XLT with software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 15:00:49 UTC
From: RTP Scan <rtpscan2001@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3B77EBA1.CF979F1C@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61439

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1263488901

--
see my continuous yardsale/auction on ebay at
http://www.geocities.com/rtpscan2001

3323. Re: FREQUENCY INFO WANTED

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 15:05:03 UTC
From: leonard bailey <lb5565_@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <z0Sd7.75297$GN.10074673@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 61440
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

try police call in radio shack
"Ron Goldstein" <rong@computer.net> wrote in message
news:3b76f63d.2012315@news.computer.net...
> Hi All,
>
> I posted a question the other day, and got many great answers, so here
> goes again. I am looking for an online database that gives frequencies and
> callsigns, and one that you can enter a frequency, and it will tell you
who is
> licensed on that frequency. Cityfreq.com is a great site, but it only
gives
> frequencies, . Also, is there a website that has frequency allocations
broken
> down with the service that can use them---example 153.5-155.9 police,
46-46.5
> fire, etc. etc.
>
>
> Thanks,
>
> **
> Ron Goldstein.....KA2IIA
> STAY YOUNG---DRIVE A VINTAGE 427 CORVETTE.
> KEEP YOUR MIND ACTIVE---BECOME AN AMATEUR RADIO OPERATOR.
>
> REMEMBER, THERE ARE NO STRANGERS IN AMATEUR RADIO, ONLY FRIENDS
> THAT WE HAVE NOT YET MET.
> rong@computer.net******ka2iia@arrl.net

3324. FT: 895XLT +$$$ for 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 15:18:08 UTC
From: sctreact@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010813111808.00857.00003666@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 61441

Hi,

I have a like new Uniden 895XLT base scanner. It has only been used a few times and is in like new condition.

I am looking to trade my 895XLT plus some cash for a new/like new 780XLT.

Let me know if you are interested. Please reply via private email.

THANKS

-Peter
KG6CJM

3325. BC780 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 15:34:55 UTC
From: Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15e1be253bc6581c989688@news.tol.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 61442

Has anyone tried this software for the BC780 http://www.bc780xlt.net/
--
==========
Dwayne

Saw it!
Wanted it!
Threw a fit!
Got it!

3326. Re: Frequency Counter?? do they work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 15:51:51 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B77F795.8DD01BC4@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61443
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Emilio,

Now you really have me confused. Just what are you triangulating
here?

Are you trying to determine the location of the transmitter site? You
do that by triangulating with directional antennas and radios tuned to
the transmitter, not by using frequency counters. You find the
transmitter frequency with a frequency counter.

Perhaps you could explain in more detail for those of us who have been
left out.

Walt

Emilio Goldstien wrote:
>
> Triangulation - 2 cars plus one transmitter = 3 points in a triangle.
> That's what triangulation is, taking two known points (the cars), and
> figuring out where the unkown point (transmitter) is.
> Sorry -the reason i didn't explain that is that that guy to whom i was
> replying didn't sound like an idiot.
> I take immense pride in crafting my responses so that all intelligent people
> can benefit from them.
> Now I see that I have left some people out.
>
> This is not a hobby only for the rich, or only for the educated, or only for
> the non-deaf.
> This is a hobby for all Americans, and all anti-Americans alike.
> We should not be too proud as scanning enthusiasts to suppose that there
> aren't those out there who could not benefit from kinder, gentler,
> easier-to-follow instructions and explanations as those so often given in
> our hurry-about culture. Now more than ever before we have a need to mentor
> those who are just beginning to understand what scanning is all about.
> Therefore I salute you and cry out, "go forth and learn".
> It is by the asking of these seemingly silly , idiotic, mundane, time
> wasting questions that often one's education is most solidly fortified.
> Later in life, as you look back upon these wonder years, you will think that
> you weren't much smarter than a door stop. But lo! It is within this
> cradle of ignorance that true knowledge and a more complete understanding
> is often born to other people. I hope that you can immitate them in some
> small way and make yourself a better 'you'.
> Think of it as a good thing like brushing your teeth is a good thing.
>
> I value your input, and look forward along with my colleagues in the scanner

> newsgroups to helping you all I can.
>
> Your success is important to me personally.
> Take care.
>
> "axl" <axl.nospam@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3B76BC04.C2D68979@hotmail.com...
> >
> > ....and by the way a dictionary is a great way to get to know the meaning
> of the
> > the word "triangulation" (tri=3 angul= angle) so how can you triangulate
> a
> > position if you ony have 2 angles (2 cars)? Just kidding you.
> >
> >

3327. Re: freqs for ontario,canada

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 16:04:22 UTC
From: Matthew Stone <mstone@oufg.org>
Message-ID: <3B77FA24.D634232E@oufg.org>
Source record: 61444

Champ wrote:

> Go to http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont and you will get lots of help
> there.
>
> Randy
> Current_gothic <current_gothic@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
> news:3b4f3ce8.4375216@news1.on.sympatico.ca...
> > wondering if anybody has freqs for ontario,canada carnies . u knwo the
> > people that do the fairs with all the rides
> >
> > thansk
> > dan

Oh you can try the Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide.. which specialize in unlisted frequencies like faris and clubs and stuff like that.. check out http://www.interlog.com/~mstone/ for the web page or http://groups.yahoo.com/group/oufg/ for the yahoogroup.

Matthew

--
Matthew Stone, 134 North Mercer Street, Apt 608, New Castle, PA 16101-3701
Home 724-652-3538, Web Page http://www.oufg.org/~mstone/

3328. Department store freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 16:13:05 UTC
From: Matthew Stone <mbstone99@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3B77FC2A.987463EA@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61445

Does anyone have a list of frequencies used in department stores? Like
Target, JC Penny, etc?

Matthew

--
Matthew Stone, 134 North Mercer Street, Apt 608, New Castle, PA 16101-3701
Home 724-652-3538, Web Page http://www.oufg.org/~mstone/

3329. HAM Mitrek Channel Elements Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 16:24:28 UTC
From: "Ian F. Fritzsche" <NOSPAMabc@rose.net>
Message-ID: <3B77FF3C.681E@rose.net>
Source record: 61446
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hello, looking for Motorola Mitrek channel elements in the 6-Meter (50-54MHz) and 2-Meter (144-148MHz) amateur radio bands...if you have these elements, or know where to get them, please email me: NOSPAMabc@rose.net (mailto:NOSPAMabc@rose.net). thanx!

Ian

--
Ian Fritzsche, KF4AON
mailto:NOSPAMabc@rose.net
-please remove NOSPAM from my email address when replying-

3330. Re: On the Subject of Police Call

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 16:28:56 UTC
From: snoopy@seashell.sasquatch.com
Message-ID: <wv98zgnsz3r.fsf@seashell.sasquatch.com>
Source record: 61347

To further relate to Jeff's point: The listings in Police Call are raw license listings from the FCC data base. Those listings show Callsigns, type and number of transmitters, location, etc. as it will show on the licenses. Sometimes it's worth tuning around to some of the 'abandoned' frequencies. They might still be using some of them for direct comms. Any informative notes have been supplied by readers. Their uses in a given area may be diverse.

--Stan Hess

jamulter@aol.com (Jeff Multer) writes:

>
>
> Don't expect Police Call to be accurate, when you are using a
> five-year-old edition. Second, you need a better understanding of how
> the licensing works. For instance, police at business facilities
> might be on local law enforcement frequencies, or frequencies licensed
> to the business. Also, when checking for licenses for an
> entertainment facility, the licenses MIGHT be under the name of the
> parent company, rather than the facility name. And, if the facility
> has come under new ownership in the past few years, the licenses might
> still be under the former owner's name. "Beyond Police Call" is a
> suppliment. It's not intended to cover every non-public safety
> frequency in your region. Spend a little time to learn how to use the
> FCC online database, and you'll answer your own questions.

>
> Jeff Multer
> Fort Mill, S.C.
>
> >For my area, Sacramento County, Police Call sucks bad. In the edition
> >I have, 1996, it lists just one Waterworld frequency for Sacramento,
> >which I have never gotten to work, and none for Waterworld in Concord.
> >Nor do I think that one frequency is really all there is used by
> >Waterworld Sacramento. I have heard at least two completely different
> >frequencies, one for lifeguard, the other I'm not sure of. It is also
> >fustrating that the general Cal Expo and the California State Fair
> >were not listed as a group in the "Beyond Police Call" for Sacramento,
> >in any category. My favorite area of interest is Calexpo, so this is
> >damn fustrating. Also I would like the police grouped together with
> >other Calexpo frequencies. I cannot tell what are the California State
> >Fair Police (Calexpo police's official title) in the Police section of
> >the book. Do I look under 'Sacramento PD' or what? This is not part of
> >the CHP, nor the local police (although local pd do volunteer to work

> >for it) This is why I have posted my own Calexpo/California State Fair
> >list. And what about the police at Arden Fair Mall? These are real
> >city police, not private security. Do I have to go through all the
> >local PD frequencies to find the Arden Fair Mall's frequencies? (by
> >the way ... the mall will allow you to have a scanner but are very
> >unwilling to give out frequencies, rather rudely. The CA State Fair is
> >much better. A cop there actually told me what kind of frequencies
> >they used, old county freqs, and they have no problem with scanners on
> >site, but won't release freq lists) Also, why do I have to go through
> >all of the private security freqs used at Downtown Plaza? That company
> >has people all over the city! It seems to me what would really make
> >sense would be to group freqs by specific areas in a city, rather than
> >owners. I am really only interested in listening to things happening
> >at Calexpo, the Arden area, the State Capitol, City Hall, Old
> >Sacramento, Arco Arena, and Downtown Plaza. I don't care about all the
> >Pinkerton Security action in the city, just at Downtown Plaza and
> >Waterworld USA Calexpo (which is run by Six Flags, not the Calexpo
> >Authority). (I think WWUSA uses Pinkerton ... I know Downtown Plaza
> >does)
> >
> >So you see the real problem, that Police Call can't group specific
> >AREAS under multiple owners well.
> >
> >Furthermore, I have never gotten much luck from the freqs it has
> >listed. Arco Arena's freqs that Beyond Police Call lists never seemed
> >to pop up. It took some random searching on my own to get a security
> >freq from there.
> >
> >And where do the freqs for the Downtown Guides fall under? The
> >Sacramento Chamber of Commerce? The Downtown Partnership? The
> >Sacramento Police? (I am pretty sure I have a freq for them, if you
> >want one)
> >
> >So you can see some of the of problems Police Call has.
> >
> >
>
>

3331. Railroad buff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 18:30:10 UTC
From: "Michael A. Delaney" <mad1954@postoffice.swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3B781CB2.3B8D5C4B@postoffice.swbell.net>
Source record: 61348

Does anyone have freq's for rail use. The BNSF runs right by my house
and I was just interested in listening to them. I live in Oklahoma.
Thanks for your time. I have tried some pages with search engines, but
haven't found the freq's. de, Mike
kb5hxt@arrl.net

3332. Req. Please: Cordless Mike And Headset Frequencies - Used At Concerts, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 18:45:10 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <A6245ABC66BBAB50.B7FC68F6A307A30C.47FA19BAA9DAE407@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 61349

I would like to know what frequencies are used for the cordless microphones and headsets frequently used at music concerts, churches, lectures, etc.

Thanks

3333. NYS Police Trunked Radio System Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 18:57:54 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <SqVd7.10846$l7.2713497@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 61350
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all. The NYS Police Trunked Radio System is an EDACS, and all scanner sites list the freqs as follows:
1. 866.0375
2. 866.5375
3. 867.0375
4. 867.5375
5. 868.0375

But when I checked out the FCC website, 8 different records popped up. For the first one, there are an additional 5 freqs:
1. 866.0125
2. 866.0375
3. 866.5125
4. 866.5375
5. 867.0125
6. 867.0375
7. 867.5125
8. 867.5375
9. 868.0125
10. 868.0375

The second record displayed the typical five that I listed at the beginning...Any ideas why this could be?

3334. Re: frequencies for the California State Fair/ Calexpo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 18:58:18 UTC
From: davidm3123@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010813145818.01839.00000310@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 61351

You might also try the FRS frequencies...

I did last year and heard a lot of vendor traffic and other oddities that I could not readily identify.

dm

3335. Re: IC-R3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 19:08:48 UTC
From: jason.mangiafico@verizon.net
Message-ID: <9l98jv$8cdft$2@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61352

I have a ic-r3, and it does not receive SSTV on its own screen. It receives AM-TV and FM-TV and that is all.

however, if SSTV reception is a must, then tap the discriminator output, then you can download freeware SSTV decoder software, and receive SSTV images on your computer screen.

In article <3B75C90E.A5EB5EB@world.std.com>, clydes@world.std.com says...
>
>Does anyone know if this radio will receive Slow Scan TV as well?
>
>Clyde
>

3336. Re: Req. Please: Cordless Mike And Headset Frequencies - Used At Concerts, etc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 19:17:28 UTC
From: jason.mangiafico@verizon.net
Message-ID: <9l9947$8cdft$3@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61353

my police call book has them listed in the 170mhz area.

In article
<A6245ABC66BBAB50.B7FC68F6A307A30C.47FA19BAA9DAE407@lp.airnews.net>,
The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land says...
>
>I would like to know what frequencies are used for the cordless
>microphones and headsets frequently used at music concerts,
>churches, lectures, etc.
>
>Thanks

3337. Re: On the Subject of Police Call

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 19:45:43 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B782E5E.FC920798@home.com>
Source record: 61354

Empress Cynthia wrote:

> So you can see some of the of problems Police Call has.

I only see some of the problems YOU have.
--
Nightwatch01@home.com

3338. Re: Railroad buff

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 20:32:06 UTC
From: Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15e203d6bc2cb04898968a@news.tol.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 61355

This may be of some help
http://www.totse.com/en/media/radio_scanner_frequency_lists/railfreq.html

In article <3B781CB2.3B8D5C4B@postoffice.swbell.net>,
mad1954@postoffice.swbell.net says...
> Does anyone have freq's for rail use. The BNSF runs right by my house
> and I was just interested in listening to them. I live in Oklahoma.
> Thanks for your time. I have tried some pages with search engines, but
> haven't found the freq's. de, Mike
> kb5hxt@arrl.net
>
>

--
==========
Dwayne

Saw it!
Wanted it!
Threw a fit!
Got it!

3339. Re: Radio Shack PRO-76

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 21:13:40 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010813171340.01701.00005577@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 61356

In article <26e78242.0108122044.6a707a8b@posting.google.com>,
mikea@telecom-digest.zzn.com (Mike) writes:

>The Pro-76's packaging and catalog entry mention that it is "COMPUTER
>PROGRAMMABLE" but none of the Radio Shack employees I've talked to
>know anything about this

And this surprises you???

3340. FDNY Freq Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 21:33:52 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4JXd7.18965$l7.2995241@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 61357

Anyone know if the FDNY still uses 153.83, 460.575, or 460.625? Thanks.

3341. Introduction to speech inversion descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 21:44:13 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010813174413.27103.00005590@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 61358

Speech Inversion
How Frequency Inversion Is Accomplished

Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum (typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz constituting the speech inversion.

members.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

3342. Re: Frequency Counter?? do they work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 21:49:17 UTC
From: Emilio Goldstien <egoldstien@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <xXXd7.61040$Kd7.34527141@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 61359
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I believe a dish certainly has its advantages, especially when powered with the kind of horsepower you say your girlfriend has experienced.

In my mind, and I'm certainly not alone here, it comes down to a difference in engineering stratagems. With the bi-colt method, there can be no error, except perhaps a user error (the old nut behind the wheel theory). If properly calibrated, each unit can deliver as much performance as it is capable of. This rings true if one considers that triangulation is an idea that exists only as a mental construct.

On the other hand, a dish requires a complete dependence on the material forces at work upon its physical structure.
If there is no dish, or if the dish has been damaged by outside forces such as a rhythmic rocking motion, there are no, and can be no valid results.

The triangulation method, (or three-some) is the most widely used and readily available technology I know of today.
I'd hate to argue with some of the best minds in the business.

One the other hand, a wide array of dishes (WAD) has awesome performance advantages (and unfortunately a high cost) .

There is a wonderful in-depth discussion about this use of triangulation at http://www.radiosciences.com/articles/recent/radi/freq/use/fox/default.htm

"Blue Crown" <bluecrown@stud.com> wrote in message news:slegnt0mt2cp35guuil1s1oits1ano73uk@4ax.com...
> Walt, I really do like Emilio's triangulation, but I still think my
> methodology with the dish is extremely more accurate and doesn't
> require two mobile units. As the dish rotates, a watchful eye on the S
> meter will give a pinpoint direction of the transmitting station. Once
> the bearing is decided, then going toward the bearing will increase
> the signal strength until....WHAM!!! Got him!!! KWEL, huh?
> Later...Blue On Mon, 13 Aug 2001 15:51:51 GMT, Walt Bertram
> <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>
> >Emilio,
> >
> >Now you really have me confused. Just what are you triangulating
> >here?
> >
> >Are you trying to determine the location of the transmitter site? You
> >do that by triangulating with directional antennas and radios tuned to
> >the transmitter, not by using frequency counters. You find the
> >transmitter frequency with a frequency counter.

> >
> >Perhaps you could explain in more detail for those of us who have been
> >left out.
> >
> >Walt
> >
> >Emilio Goldstien wrote:
> >>
> >> Triangulation - 2 cars plus one transmitter = 3 points in a triangle.
> >> That's what triangulation is, taking two known points (the cars), and
> >> figuring out where the unkown point (transmitter) is.
> >> Sorry -the reason i didn't explain that is that that guy to whom i was
> >> replying didn't sound like an idiot.
> >> I take immense pride in crafting my responses so that all intelligent
people
> >> can benefit from them.
> >> Now I see that I have left some people out.
> >>
> >> This is not a hobby only for the rich, or only for the educated, or
only for
> >> the non-deaf.
> >> This is a hobby for all Americans, and all anti-Americans alike.
> >> We should not be too proud as scanning enthusiasts to suppose that
there
> >> aren't those out there who could not benefit from kinder, gentler,
> >> easier-to-follow instructions and explanations as those so often given
in
> >> our hurry-about culture. Now more than ever before we have a need to
mentor
> >> those who are just beginning to understand what scanning is all about.
> >> Therefore I salute you and cry out, "go forth and learn".
> >> It is by the asking of these seemingly silly , idiotic, mundane, time
> >> wasting questions that often one's education is most solidly fortified.
> >> Later in life, as you look back upon these wonder years, you will think
that
> >> you weren't much smarter than a door stop. But lo! It is within this
> >> cradle of ignorance that true knowledge and a more complete
understanding
> >> is often born to other people. I hope that you can immitate them in
some
> >> small way and make yourself a better 'you'.
> >> Think of it as a good thing like brushing your teeth is a good thing.
> >>
> >> I value your input, and look forward along with my colleagues in the
scanner
> >> newsgroups to helping you all I can.
> >>
> >> Your success is important to me personally.
> >> Take care.
> >>

> >> "axl" <axl.nospam@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> >> news:3B76BC04.C2D68979@hotmail.com...
> >> >
> >> > ....and by the way a dictionary is a great way to get to know the
meaning
> >> of the
> >> > the word "triangulation" (tri=3 angul= angle) so how can you
triangulate
> >> a
> >> > position if you ony have 2 angles (2 cars)? Just kidding you.
> >> >
> >> >
>

3343. Re: RadSat News Update.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 22:16:32 UTC
From: Leigh Preece <Ledaluja@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9l9kck$7n4$2@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 61361
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

"jamesm.stewart" <jamesm.stewart@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:BRzd7.39798$e%3.5315417@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com...

> > > No wonder nobody is interested in ham radio when you can access this
> > >kind of thing ....

<and>

> Well that is inspiring.....................not

James - just what is your hang up with the hobby? If you are so 'against'
any kind of inventive-ness or things that help to bring the hobby into the
21st Century, why the hell do you carry on with it and pay for your callsign
each year?

AHM5!

3344. FT/FS UNBLOCKED BC200XLT SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 22:23:06 UTC
From: Hugo Gareis <hgareis@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <erYd7.120157$TM5.20038087@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 61360
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a BC200XLT in very good condition. I'd like to trade for a good trunk trucker scanner or sale for $150 or best offer. Includes good battery, carrying case and original antenna (if I can find it I'll include the original power supply, if not I'll include an universal Power Supply) If interested email me at neuquenguy@yahoo.com with your offer.

3345. Looking for SF Bay scanner enthusiasts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-13 23:33:54 UTC
From: mattmattyo@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010813193354.22881.00005132@ng-da1.aol.com>
Source record: 61362

HI Everyone,
well I enjoy scanning various bands particularily Fire dept. freqs, (like CDF) and the police stuff, and gov stuff too. I am just looking for others who may want to share info and freqs, and talk about whats hot in terms of the local radio stuff. I am near SFO and I have a pretty good reception on the vhf fire stuff. I can hear all the stuff across the sac valley and foothills.
Thanks for any input, have a good day!

3346. RDBM radio database manager / Winradio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 00:00:58 UTC
From: mail@victor-fonseca.com
Message-ID: <2c9ac02b.0108131600.39842cf4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61363

RDBM radio database manager / Winradio

Available for download the new version 4.0 of RDBM

At:
http://rdbm.victor-fonseca.com

3347. Re: Radio Shack PRO-76

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 01:26:56 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <A7%d7.252$8E2.5354@news>
Source record: 61364

I asked the same question when I bought mine. The techs at RS.com said that
the software and cable would be out in September.That was the only thing
they could tell me. If you learn any more let me know too please.
Rob
"Mike" <mikea@telecom-digest.zzn.com> wrote in message
news:26e78242.0108122044.6a707a8b@posting.google.com...
> Hello everyone,
> I have a question about the Radio Shack PRO-76 scanner, and if anyone
> could help with this it would be great:
>
> The Pro-76's packaging and catalog entry mention that it is "COMPUTER
> PROGRAMMABLE" but none of the Radio Shack employees I've talked to
> know anything about this. Is there any product I can download or buy
> that will let me program this scanner through my computer?
>
> Thanks,
> Mike

3348. THANKS for the help re: lentini!!/scancat software is it good?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 01:38:18 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3b7880a6.296977@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 61365

hey people,
I did talk to bruce about a 780 he was indeed very happy to serve...
to answer all my dumb ques.... thanks for all the help on the
subject... btw how about any information on "scancat" software? it is
a little pricer than all the others but perhaps it works better? any
input would be a help
gig
gig@i-2000.com

3349. Re: 895XLT v 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 02:16:33 UTC
From: Charles Tans <luvthehaight@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9la1m4$2ru$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 61366

My thanks to all who took the trouble to respond with some valuable information. Judging by a few posts that appeared subsequently, others may have been influenced to go for or switch to the BC780XLT as well. I will have to wait awhile, but being in a major metropolitan area, it appears passing on the 780 would be penny wise pound foolish. I have to say the alpha tag issue also influenced me.

Hopefully others in the same situation will benefit from the thread in the future

3350. Train Freqs - By Railroad

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 02:26:36 UTC
From: kk4tl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010813222636.17590.00001111@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 61367

http://www.totse.com/en/media/radio_scanner_frequency_lists/railfreq.html

Sent to me by Nashville Joe, KF4TOH.

3351. Chicago Air and Water Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 02:40:43 UTC
From: David <shpoog@excite.com>
Message-ID: <Lc0e7.1618$MM.824194@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61368
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

What frequency are best to monitor for the show this weekend? The USAF Thunderbirds will be performing and I'm sure there will be some great listening. Thanks!

3352. Re: 895XLT v 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 03:23:32 UTC
From: tony summerfelt <snowzone25@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns90FCEDFA27024snowzone@24.9.0.131>
Source record: 61369

Charles Tans wrote on 13 Aug 2001

> appears passing on the 780 would be penny wise pound foolish. I have
> to say the alpha tag issue also influenced me.

i'm in a city where the most my most 'advanced' scanning need was ctcss. my decision to get the 895 was based soley on computer control.

i have an old 286 notebook and an ANCIENT trs-80 model 100 (portable computer from '83) dedicated to it.

i get the alpha tags and unlimited channels via software.

if we ever get trunking of ANY kind here, i'll probably upgrade, but i'm ten years or so between the 890 i bought and the 895 :/

--
telnet://ventedspleen.dyndns.org
http://members.home.net/tsummerfelt1

3353. Dream Scanner.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 04:02:10 UTC
From: Mark <trunker@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <6p1e7.8542$ZM2.786419@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 61370

Strongsignals.net has reviewed about 20 scanner which I'd like
to get and put the best features of each into 1 radio. The 'Dream Scanner'
if you will.
(For those who don't Know):
http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/ss_reviews.htm

So, I've come up with mine for kicks.

What You Get

Radio Shack Pro-3057 Base,Mobile Digital TrunkTracker
Pro-3067 Base,Mobile Digital TrunkTracker-Opto
Pro-101 Handheld Digital TrunkTracker
Owner's Manual
Updated TrunkTracker Frequency Guide
Voice Control/Manual/Specs/Diagrams/etc. CD Software
OS535 control/Cable/Software (Base-Opto)
48" Telescopic Antenna (Base)
Car Mounting Slip-in Shell/Hardware/Connectors (Base)
Base Mounting Slip-in Shell(w/feet) (Base)
Leather Pouch Slip-in w/strap for DXing (Base)
Detachable Faceplate (Base)
Portable DX Antenna (Base)
DC to Clips Power Cord (Base)
8-AA Nicads (Base)
Cigarette Lighter Cord
Leather Case (HH)
AC Adapter (HH)
RS-Telescopic Antenna (HH)
4-AA Nicads (HH)
1-year Warranty

Vintage

GRE/Uniden
Dated 5/2004
Made in USA
Price:Pro-2088 $599.95 (Base,Mobile)
Pro-2098 Opto $899.95 (Base,Mobile)
Pro-107 $499.95 (HH)

Basic Specs

Channels 2000
Coverage 100khz/1300mhz
Banks 100-adj. as in VR-5000

Model Base,mobile,HH
Scan rate 100 cps-any/all banks
Multi-mode Yes-simutan search/scan/trunk/Digital
Conversion Quadruple
Trunking M,E,LTR(Analog/Digital)
Scan lists 10 lists of 20(200) ID's per bank
4000 max total
ID lockouts Yes-per ID
I-call monitor Yes-as in 780XLT
Steps Any-per channel
Modes All-per channel
Alpha-tagging Yes-big
Priority Yes-as in 780XLT
Power-on resume Yes
Search limits 10-as in 2035/42
Search skip 200-as in 780XLT
Auto resume Yes
Manual mode Yes
Auto-store Yes-smart
Direct sch/entry Yes
Program search Yes-as in Yupi MVT-7100
Tune button Yes-as on 2035/42 (Base)
Rev srch/scan Yes
Service search Yes-as in 780XLT
Monitor Mem 100-copy,move,swap
Mem operations Yes-as in 2041/42
Channel count Yes
S-meter Yes-LED
Delay/skip per channel-Variable
Lockout per channel
Lock-out review Yes-as on 2035/42
Attenuator per channel-Variable
Noise limit per channel-Variable
Data skip Yes-usable
Tone codes Yes-instant/managable
Comp Interface Yes-DB9 2-way,cloning
Optional OS535 computer control (Base)
Backlight Yes-adjustable/auto
Display about 3x4"-viewable anywhere (Base)
Bandscope Yes-readable (Base)
Lighted keys Yes-1/2"
1" vol,sql knob Yes (Base)
2" tune knob Yes-w/dimple,turbo (Base)
Key lock Yes
Beep control Yes
Clock Yes-local,GMT,cont. display (Base)
Timer Yes-on,off,alarm,sleep
Battery ind Yes-30 sec beep
Weather button Yes-pre-programmed
Weather alert Yes,concurrent

Tape out Yes-1/8" (Base)
Earphone Yes-front
Ext. spkr out Yes-RCA (Base)
Antenna BNC/1/8" jack
Intl AM ant Yes-as in Yupi MVT-7200
Power (Base) 120VAC,12VDC,auto power backup,
8-AA's/Nicad's/built-in charger(100ma)
Power (HH) 12VDC,4-AA's/Nicad's/
built-in charger(100ma)
Memory non-volatile
Weight Stable

3354. Re: 895XLT v 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 04:10:32 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B78A4B6.AC449A28@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61371

How do you interface the Model 100 to the 895? I know the Model 100
has the RS232 port, but what programs run on it? Did you write your
own in Basic?

Walt

tony summerfelt wrote:
>
> Charles Tans wrote on 13 Aug 2001
>
> > appears passing on the 780 would be penny wise pound foolish. I have
> > to say the alpha tag issue also influenced me.
>
> i'm in a city where the most my most 'advanced' scanning need was ctcss. my
> decision to get the 895 was based soley on computer control.
>
> i have an old 286 notebook and an ANCIENT trs-80 model 100 (portable
> computer from '83) dedicated to it.
>
> i get the alpha tags and unlimited channels via software.
>
> if we ever get trunking of ANY kind here, i'll probably upgrade, but i'm ten
> years or so between the 890 i bought and the 895 :/
>
> --
> telnet://ventedspleen.dyndns.org
> http://members.home.net/tsummerfelt1

3355. Re: HAM Mitrek Channel Elements Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 04:32:46 UTC
From: The Famous KS<unk>F <NONOSPAMjmackey@parg.org>
Message-ID: <OR1e7.12605$vW2.6745111@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 61372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

there were NOT any specific channel elements made for those
50-54 & 144-148 MHz frequency ranges. They use the
25-50 MHz and 136-174 MHz channel elements.

Ian F. Fritzsche <NOSPAMabc@rose.net> wrote
> Hello, looking for Motorola Mitrek channel elements in the 6-Meter
> (50-54MHz) and 2-Meter (144-148MHz) amateur radio bands...if you have
SNIP

3356. A Newbie Asks : What is CTCSS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 04:47:38 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b78acba.176070240@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61373

I've got 2 topics to ask the ng tonight, this is the first one.

Howdy,

What is CTCSS ? Is this something to do with trunking?
Why would I want to pay bucks for an option card to monitor them?
Just to hear little beeps ? Would appreciate if someone could explain
all this to me.

TIA,

Andrew

3357. Re: Chicago Air and Water Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 04:50:03 UTC
From: David <shpoog@excite.com>
Message-ID: <%52e7.1731$MM.1065696@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61374
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

i'm replying to my own request. in case anyone else is interested, i just found a great site with all of the answers. check out:

http://www.theramp.net/shabec/airshow2001.pdf

they did a fantastic job! thanks guys for putting together such a fantastic document.

"David" <shpoog@excite.com> wrote in message news:Lc0e7.1618$MM.824194@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
>
> What frequency are best to monitor for the show this weekend? The USAF
> Thunderbirds will be performing and I'm sure there will be some great
> listening. Thanks!
>
>
>
>

3358. A Newbie Asks: Best way to "Cold Scan" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 05:01:28 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b78ad6d.176249725@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61375

And this is the 2nd topic I'm hoping for some feedback on.

Howdy Again,

What I mean by "cold scan" has nothing to do
with scanning outdoors in the nude.<grin>

What I mean is this: Say you pull up to some
site you want to scan (Arby's, Cop Station, whatever),
You know that you can use Internet or Police Call to look
for some freqs. Trouble is -- There's a bazillion freqs. that,
say, McDonald's uses nationwide. How best to pin 'em
down? That's what I meant by cold scanning. Scanning
a physical site that you don't know for sure what they're
using.

I've read that one way is to just take your ant.
off and scan without since your scanner will only hit on
very strong (read close) freqs. by default. Is this a good
technique ? Do you use it or what would you do?

Just to be sure, I should probably add that I'm
obviously using a hand-held , say sitting in a parking lot
outside. Also, I do find Police Call frustrating to use in this
kind of situation. I own one and use it, but seems that there
could be more efficient way than sitting in parking lot punching
in all those "bazillion" numbers to see which one it might be.
Hope I've made myself clear to all who care to respond.

Thanks,

Andrew

3359. Will the BC245XLT Scan the Trunked Cell Police Frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 05:06:28 UTC
From: barry@nospam.hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3b78b0d2.740547@news.microflash.com>
Source record: 61376

I can get this channel on a conventional scanner but not on my trunk tracker. Well actually the trunk tracker when taken out of trunk mode will recieve this frequncy. It is in the 860 mhz range and easily recievable on any 800 mhz scanner.

Is it worthwhile to get a 245XLT, will it get the police cellular while I am scanning in trunk mode?

Thanks in advance for your help.

3360. Re: Department store freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 05:32:50 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b78b7ad.178873557@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61378

On Tue, 14 Aug 2001 03:03:58 GMT, Email to above or see post sig for address!
<KXDPHYAXUJTB@spammotel.com> wrote:

>techsplanet.comOn Mon, 13 Aug 2001 16:13:05 GMT, Matthew Stone
><mbstone99@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>>Does anyone have a list of frequencies used in department stores? Like
>>Target, JC Penny, etc?
>
>Check the National/Business Itinerant section of http://www.wpascanner.com
>
>Many of these users use the old "Dot/Star" some now MURS channels, and also
>check the "splinter channels" ie: 464.3125 in the UHF band from 461.000 up.
>
>
Very nice web-site. Helpful, and now bookmarked. Question:
Can you explain more about what "Dot?Star" is? Meaning of Itinerant ?

Thanks,

Andrew
>---
>Email To: wpascanner at yahoo dot com
>The Western Pennsylvania Scanner Frequency Page
>http://www.wpascanner.com
>Western PA Scanner Mailing List -
>http://wpascan.i8.com/wpascanl.htm
>Join the Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
>http://wpascan.i8.com/scnrng.htm
>---
>Just say NO to Peking 2008 Olymics NO! ! SUPPORT A US BOYCOTT!
>---
>You SPAM me, I report YOU! It's that simple!

3361. Re: What Are T-Codes ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 05:34:45 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <%F2e7.8$n57.7683@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 61377

DW,

You are going to have to provide a lot more information before anybody can help you with your questions. You need to tell us where you are located, first off. Your location will help somebody in your area (hopefully) respond with some of the data you are asking for. The "T-code" might be a giveaway, as I personally have never heard that term used. In most areas, the police use "10 codes" (10=Ten=T?? Maybe, I don't know.) But we have to have your location before any assistance can be given. The frequencies and bands used are going to vary so widely from place to place that we can't give you any useful information. And for those of us that don't happen to be familiar with the BC148XLT, it would help if you would provide the ranges covered. For instance, it wouldn't do any good for me to give you some likely air band frequencies if the 148 doesn't cover the 108-136 MHz range.

One area you might try scanning is the 144-148 MHz range, this is where you'll find the Amateur Radio 2meter repeaters. They can be fun to listen to. Also try the 46-49 MHz range, this is where the FRS radios and many of the walkie-talkies sold by Radio Shack are located. There are other things located in this area that you are not legally allowed to listen to, so if you should hear your neighbors' cordless phones, you should skip to another frequency. (Yea, right!) If you do ACCIDENTLY happen to overhear a cordless phone conversation, be smart, DON'T REPEAT IT!

Post again with the requested info and somebody may be able to help you.

Mark

"DW." <dwww@wt.net> wrote in message news:3b7740dd@sys13.hou.wt.net...
> Newbee Want's To Know What T-Code Stands For. I Just Got A Uniden BC148XLT
> Home Scanner That Son Loves & Want's To Know What They Mean, Also, Since
It
> Only Goes Up To 512MHz Is It Possible To Pick Up EMS, Fire,Or PD ?
Thank's
> DW.
>
>

3362. Re: A Newbie Asks : What is CTCSS ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 06:08:25 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <Dc3e7.27$n57.17291@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 61379

CTCSS = Continuous Tone-Controlled Squelch System. This is a subaudible tone that is transmitted by a radio (when so equipped and in use) in order to open the squelch circuit on properly-equipped receivers. If, for instance, there are three police agencies in a 30 mile area that are on the same frequency, the constant chatter can interfere with effective operations. If each agency were to use a different CTCSS code (also known as PL tone) for all their radios, then only the radio traffic meant for them will be heard. The benefit to you, the scanner listener is this: you can screen out the traffic from the agencies you aren't interested in. If you live in City "A", and Cities "B" and "C" use the same frequency as "A", you can set the CTCSS decode to "A" 's PL (CTCSS) tone and not have to listen to the other radio traffic.

PL tones (PL is a Motorola trademark for CTCSS, "Private Line") are also used by EMS personnel in areas with more than one hospital. Many times, several hospitals will use the same frequency, but with a different PL tone. The EMS crew can select which hospital they call by selecting the proper PL tone via a selector switch on their radio

PL tones have nothing to do directly with trunking. Most, if not all, repeater systems use the PL tone on their receive frequencies so that only the proper radios will be repeated. Think of it as selective access. Only the radios transmitting the proper PL tone will be able to access the repeater.

And no, you will not hear the CTCSS tone, no "little beeps". These tones are in the 67 to 250 Hz range, below normal hearing. They are normally filtered out by the radio's audio stage anyway.

And in case you haven't noticed, CTCSS and PL are often used interchangeably, with many people generally using PL, even though this is a trademark belonging to Motorola and is intended to designate their CTCSS system. The systems are interoperable on the standard CTCSS tones.

Clear as mud?

Mark
K5MAR

"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3b78acba.176070240@news.erols.com...
> I've got 2 topics to ask the ng tonight, this is the first one.
>
>
> Howdy,
>

> What is CTCSS ? Is this something to do with
trunking?
> Why would I want to pay bucks for an option card to monitor them?
> Just to hear little beeps ? Would appreciate if someone could explain
> all this to me.
>
> TIA,
>
> Andrew
>
>

3363. Re: A Newbie Asks: Best way to "Cold Scan" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 06:19:36 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <8k3e7.30$n57.19857@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 61380

First thing you should do is get a look at the antennas used. This will
often give you a good clue as to the frequency range in use. With some
practice, you can learn to distinguish the frequency range by the appearance
of the antennas. This is too big a subject for me to go into, Police Call
has some information on this. When you know what frequencies your local
police are on, then start looking at the antennas on their handheld radios.
VHF-low will look different from VHF-high, and UHF will look different from
either of these. Then start looking around your target fast food joint for
their base unit. If you can't see it from the counter, try looking in the
window when you go through the drive-through. This way, you can isolate the
frequency bands used. Then you can start searching the bands in use, using
the information from Police Call or the Internet as a guide. Remember, the
radios used at drive-throughs are low power, so you'll need to be close to
pick them up.

Not as long an answer as the last, but my fingers are tired. Good luck!

Mark
K5MAR

"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3b78ad6d.176249725@news.erols.com...
> And this is the 2nd topic I'm hoping for some feedback on.
>
> Howdy Again,
>
> What I mean by "cold scan" has nothing to do
> with scanning outdoors in the nude.<grin>
>
> What I mean is this: Say you pull up to some
> site you want to scan (Arby's, Cop Station, whatever),
> You know that you can use Internet or Police Call to look
> for some freqs. Trouble is -- There's a bazillion freqs. that,
> say, McDonald's uses nationwide. How best to pin 'em
> down? That's what I meant by cold scanning. Scanning
> a physical site that you don't know for sure what they're
> using.
>
> I've read that one way is to just take your ant.
> off and scan without since your scanner will only hit on
> very strong (read close) freqs. by default. Is this a good
> technique ? Do you use it or what would you do?
>
> Just to be sure, I should probably add that I'm
> obviously using a hand-held , say sitting in a parking lot

> outside. Also, I do find Police Call frustrating to use in this
> kind of situation. I own one and use it, but seems that there
> could be more efficient way than sitting in parking lot punching
> in all those "bazillion" numbers to see which one it might be.
> Hope I've made myself clear to all who care to respond.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Andrew

3364. Re: Dream Scanner.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 06:24:31 UTC
From: JS <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <zu3e7.10042$Qh2.2387456137@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61381

And let's not forget -
The "Dream Scanner" will have NO surface mount components inside.

3365. Re: RadSat News Update.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 06:39:07 UTC
From: "jamesm.stewart" <jamesm.stewart@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <vO3e7.10497$v86.1514917@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 61382
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,uk.radio.amateur

Leigh Preece <Ledaluja@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:9l9kck$7n4$2@plutonium.btinternet.com...
>
> "jamesm.stewart" <jamesm.stewart@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:BRzd7.39798$e%3.5315417@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com...
>
> > > > No wonder nobody is interested in ham radio when you can access this
> > > >kind of thing .....
> James - just what is your hang up with the hobby? If you are so 'against'
> any kind of inventive-ness or things that help to bring the hobby into the
> 21st Century, why the hell do you carry on with it and pay for your
callsign
> each year?
>
> AHM5!
>
>
'Coz I am a happy GM4.............I was talking about other people...not me
.......my interest has lasted 27yrs +.....will yours?

(Speaking to some licensed astronaut is not my idea of ham radio anyway)

AHGM4

3366. article on digital trunked radio in Colorado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 07:18:56 UTC
From: Mike Dockery <mdockery@att.net>
Message-ID: <Ah4e7.15700$1p1.1241558@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61383
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Story on DTRS system in Sundays Denver Post
http://www.denverpost.com/Stories/0,1002,53%257E98129,00.html

Mike

3367. Rebroadcasting scanner using RealAudio from home

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 09:17:05 UTC
From: Dial Up Audio <dialupaudio@spamforlunch.com>
Message-ID: <9laq9t$5qp$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 61384
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Dial Up Audio at http://www.dialupaudio.com/ offers a free new service to help enthusiasts broadcast live Real Audio feeds from their home PC, even if they connect to the Internet using a dial up connection with a dynamically allocated IP address.

Registration is free and easy, and all the tools and advice are provided for you to start a live rebroadcast of your scanner's audio output today.

For more information, visit http://www.dialupaudio.com/

3368. Re: Rebroadcasting scanner using RealAudio from home

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 09:37:26 UTC
From: "colin.martin5" <colin.martin5@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <bj6e7.49194$e%3.7433074@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 61385
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

And if you do this in the UK of anything other than the usual Amateur radio etc. you can expect a visit.

It HAS happened.

Col

http://homepage.ntlworld.com/colin.martin5

"Dial Up Audio" <dialupaudio@spamforlunch.com> wrote in message news:9laq9t$5qp$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
> Dial Up Audio at http://www.dialupaudio.com/ offers a free new service to
> help enthusiasts broadcast live Real Audio feeds from their home PC, even if
> they connect to the Internet using a dial up connection with a dynamically
> allocated IP address.
>
> Registration is free and easy, and all the tools and advice are provided for
> you to start a live rebroadcast of your scanner's audio output today.
>
> For more information, visit http://www.dialupaudio.com/
>
>

3369. Re: BC760XLT Memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 10:17:40 UTC
From: RaZ0r! <extreme_gamerSPAM@NOThotmail.com>
Message-ID: <0P6e7.446934$bH4.5646036@e420r-chi2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61386

Considering this scanner has not been out a year yet, I don't think that article will help.

--
RaZ0r!

"Ted Hay" <tedh@xcelco.on.ca> wrote in message news:tnf9qo4jk2s1e9@corp.supernews.com...
> There was a article in Monitoring Times several years ago how to double the
> memory by adding a switch and resistor. I don't have the article or what
> issue it was but probaly 3 or 4 years ago.
>
> Ted
> Osborne605 <osborne605@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010812232202.17995.00003390@ng-co1.aol.com...
> > Does anyone have the information onhow to add more channels to the
> BC760XLT ? I
> > can't find anything on it
>
>
>

3370. nokia 7110 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 10:27:28 UTC
From: DigitalEbola <digitalebola@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3b78fd7e$1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 61387

http://cgi.ebay.com.au/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1264624204

3371. Re: Police Call

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 14:11:54 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tnich3gbttocd9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61388

Radio Shack currently has the 2001 edition on sale for $17.?? (Something).
Better deal than someone else listed for one for $16 plus $6 shipping...
Not sure how much longer the sale is good for, probably till the end of the
month.. I just picked it a couple days ago...

--
Power House - 823
Northern Michigan

"Harry Dawson" <hdawson4@home.com> wrote in message
news:3B76C858.BA6A0BE3@home.com...
> What is the best place to order the Police Call 2001 CD?
> Thanks
>

3372. Re: Police Call

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 14:24:21 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B793495.BCF7EFF1@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61389

Hey, RS still has the 2000 edition on the shelves for an even lower
price!

Power House wrote:
>
> Radio Shack currently has the 2001 edition on sale for $17.?? (Something).
> Better deal than someone else listed for one for $16 plus $6 shipping...
> Not sure how much longer the sale is good for, probably till the end of the
> month.. I just picked it a couple days ago...
>
> --
> Power House - 823
> Northern Michigan
>
> "Harry Dawson" <hdawson4@home.com> wrote in message
> news:3B76C858.BA6A0BE3@home.com...
> > What is the best place to order the Police Call 2001 CD?
> > Thanks
> >

3373. Re: Dream Scanner.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 14:28:14 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B79357E.AB347504@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61390

Yes, and it will have a very quiet fan to cool all the vacuum tubes!

Without surface mount and other space saving components the dream scanner will not be able to get the functionality you desire into the space you are willing to allow.

JS wrote:
>
> And let's not forget -
> The "Dream Scanner" will have NO surface mount components inside.

3374. Re: Department store freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 16:02:17 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b794b5c.216687115@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61391

On Tue, 14 Aug 2001 15:34:40 GMT, Email to above or see post sig for address!
<KXDPHYAXUJTB@spammotel.com> wrote:

>On Tue, 14 Aug 2001 05:32:50 GMT, cathexis@erols.com (Set'em up Joe) wrote:
>
>
>>>
>> Very nice web-site. Helpful, and now bookmarked.
>
>Thank you, I try to add as much of what alot of users need.
>
>> Question:
>>Can you explain more about what "Dot?Star" is? Meaning of Itinerant ?
For your explaination here and by e-mail,
Thanks. Very Helpful.

andrew

3375. Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 16:14:26 UTC
From: Jo Jack <JoJack@mywann.com>
Message-ID: <tnijk0p5sn1d77@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61392

Why is there always someone who has to have a negative response to posts? People will post something and then someone will reply group stating, 'oh better not do that.' I am sure if they wanted your advice they would ask for it. Let the proper darwinism take over!

3376. Re: A Newbie Asks: Best way to "Cold Scan" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 16:35:40 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010814123540.20068.00004027@ng-ca1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 61393

>Subject: A Newbie Asks: Best way to "Cold Scan" ?
>From: cathexis@erols.com (Set'em up Joe)

You have the right approach in mind.

As far as isolating the frequencies used, this can be a toss-up, but the FCC Site is a good starting point to check by City/County/License Holder.

Also a chat with the Radio Tec. at the PD may provide what you seek, some are more than happy to provide a list of frequencies, though some are not.

The antenna removal trick will do well for close signals, I did this after reading the same advice; set my Handheld up in the car with a VOX tape recorder and did a limit search in the general range I was hunting... got some activity and knew I was in the right range.

Police Call is a good source to start with, also local Hams and other Scanners can help.

FCC:
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

Cityfreq:
http://www.cityfreq.com

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

3377. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 17:50:15 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B7964D7.53722FE@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61394

It's a very fine line between realism and negativity.

Jo Jack wrote:
>
> Why is there always someone who has to have a negative response to posts?
> People will post something and then someone will reply group stating, 'oh
> better not do that.' I am sure if they wanted your advice they would ask
> for it. Let the proper darwinism take over!

3378. Good Resources for 245xlt Users

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 17:57:00 UTC
From: - <psleprob@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3B796679.C09DA21D@hotmail.com>
Source record: 61395
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The 245xlt Resource Page is a great place for owners of the 245xlt scanner (and other scanners) to find FREE frequency and software resources for their scanner. The web page has been up a little over 4 months and my ISP says there have been 29,894 "hits" from 7,554 "unique" visitors who downloaded the data files and cheat-sheets over 5,424 times!!!! We are very happy that people are using this all_volunteer_site!

With that said, WE NEED 245XLT USERS TO TAKE A LITTLE TIME AND SEND US THEIR OWN DATA FILES FOR THEIR "HOME" CITIES TO ADD TO THE DATABASE!!!

7,500 People have downloaded 5,500 files, but ONLY 19 people/clubs have submitted files! Even more telling is that half of those files were made available by just THREE people/clubs!!! That is a terrible ratio.

PLEASE GIVE BACK TO YOUR HOBBY!! Everyone who owns a 245xlt develops a group of frequencies and IDs that they monitor. "Local" people develop much better scanning plans than a visitor. Please take the time to send in your data file AND some brief documentation for us to post to the website. Directions for submitting files are listed at the site.

SHARE A LITTLE! Let others benefit from your hours of collecting data! We don't even have major cities like:

Houston
Las Vegas (I get so many requests for this one)
New York
Boston
Los Angeles
Denver
Vancouver
Toronto
Cleveland
Detroit
Vacation areas, etc., etc.

If you haven't ever visited the 245xlt Resource Page, on it you will find lots of FREEware software resources to use with the 245xlt. This page also give you access to 39 different sets of preprogrammed data files for various cities and auto races which can be uploaded into your scanner in less than a minute!!! Data files include cities around the world. If you are traveling to another city you will want to check it out.

Thanks!

The 245xlt Resource Page:
http://home.earthlink.net/~dougfisher/scanner.html

3379. power supply question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 17:58:45 UTC
From: Vinnie <valair@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9lboqr$8j6$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 61396

Hi
I have a spare power supply from a computer and I would like to set it up as a power supply for my scanners etc, it has quite a few 11.9volts dc outputs, and three 6v DC outputs, but I think they are only about 300mA. There is one output that is 3Amps but it is sending out 16.7v DC. Do the scanners regulate the input voltage to this extent or do you think it is likely to cause damage to the scanner (Pro-2042 & Pro-2006)the rest are handhelds so the 300mA outputs should be fine.
thx
vny

3380. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 18:03:24 UTC
From: Jo Jack <JoJack@mywann.com>
Message-ID: <tniq0bhq7fkc56@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61297

see what I mean!

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3B7964D7.53722FE@worldnet.att.net...
> It's a very fine line between realism and negativity.
>
> Jo Jack wrote:
> >
> > Why is there always someone who has to have a negative response to
posts?
> > People will post something and then someone will reply group stating,
'oh
> > better not do that.' I am sure if they wanted your advice they would
ask
> > for it. Let the proper darwinism take over!

3381. Re: BC760XLT Memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 18:34:06 UTC
From: RaZ0r! <extreme_gamerSPAM@NOThotmail.com>
Message-ID: <q4ee7.447659$bH4.5672512@e420r-chi2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61298

DOH! 760 not 780, nevermind. =)

--
RaZ0r!

"RaZ0r!" <extreme_gamerSPAM@NOThotmail.com> wrote in message
news:0P6e7.446934$bH4.5646036@e420r-chi2.usenetserver.com...
> Considering this scanner has not been out a year yet, I don't think that
> article will help.
>
> --
> RaZ0r!
>
> "Ted Hay" <tedh@xcelco.on.ca> wrote in message
> news:tnf9qo4jk2s1e9@corp.supernews.com...
> > There was a article in Monitoring Times several years ago how to double
> the
> > memory by adding a switch and resistor. I don't have the article or
what
> > issue it was but probaly 3 or 4 years ago.
> >
> > Ted
> > Osborne605 <osborne605@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20010812232202.17995.00003390@ng-co1.aol.com...
> > > Does anyone have the information onhow to add more channels to the
> > BC760XLT ? I
> > > can't find anything on it
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

3382. Scan Across America 2001

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 19:08:40 UTC
From: Emilio Goldstien <egoldstien@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <YGee7.1311$Wm3.967687@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 61300
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Beginning in September, 2001 then 2nd Annual Scan Across America will get underway.
==========

With participants from more than 12 countries, we look forward to making this year's SAA another huge hit.
There will be informative lectures from top industry experts, and prize drawings worth 5,000 in goodies and give-aways.

Scan Across America is primarily a fundraising and charitable event dedicated to scanner enthusiasts who are interested in promoting family-oriented values, raising money for charitable causes, and casting scanning hobby enthusiasts in a more positive light.

WAY TO GO:
A final "Congratulations and Thank you" to Daniel Fran&#65533;ois-Esprit Auber who as our top finalist last year logged an incredible 3204 hours and generated nearly $1200 for "Shoes for Tots" last year.
Dan lead the pack as SAA raised more than $2900 for ourselves and our charities.
Dan will turn in his Royal Antenna at the end of his reign next month.

THIS YEAR:
This year's charity event will be a benefit for the discovery of Chandra Levy, who as many know has not been seen in more than 100 days.
Although many of us never knew Chandra, our hearts go out to her and hope that she turns up.
We just have to keep digging I guess.

It is possible that during the scanning marathon, someone may overhear some mention of Chandra Levy, which could be construed as relating to some sort of evidence in her case. Therefore, please read the Legal Disclosure Statement that will be included in your packets.

Many of the lectures will be recorded and made available on audio cassette; we have a quality assurance team in place to make sure that there are none of the empty tapes sold which caused so much confusion last year. Keep your receipt just in case, as we will no longer be able to refund over $30 with just a blank tape alone.

THIS 'N' THAT:
There was a little confusion last year, as many people did not read the instructions which stated that a scannist must log at least 100 hours in order to qualify for any of the final grand prizes.
This year we have removed that restriction so now anyone who logs any number

hours can be eligible.

Also, as you go around signing sponsors up, please round your monetary figures in increments of 10 US cents, and your hours in increments of .25 hours.

Other than that, please visit our website http://jim,putourwebsitehere for complete instructions and latest news, including signup fee information and payment types accepted
Please do not send me personally a check anymore.

THAT'S IT:
If you are returning from lasts year's event, this event will be much better and many of the legal kinks have been resolved.
If you will be joining us for the first time, "Welcome aboard!".
We have some of the best experts in the field, and with your help and money, the quality of this organization will be much better off.

Keep your feet on the ground, and may your antenna point at the sky!

EG

3383. Re: power supply question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 19:11:35 UTC
From: Idiot Patrol <egoldstien@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <HJee7.1313$Wm3.970101@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 61299

Why don't you just get an extension cord or power strip. Then take a dump on your power supply.

"Vinnie" <valair@btinternet.com> wrote in message news:9lboqr$8j6$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
> Hi
> I have a spare power supply from a computer and I would like to set it up
> as a power supply for my scanners etc, it has quite a few 11.9volts dc
> outputs, and three 6v DC outputs, but I think they are only about 300mA.
> There is one output that is 3Amps but it is sending out 16.7v DC. Do the
> scanners regulate the input voltage to this extent or do you think it is
> likely to cause damage to the scanner (Pro-2042 & Pro-2006)the rest are
> handhelds so the 300mA outputs should be fine.
> thx
> vny
>
>

3384. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 19:12:40 UTC
From: Idiot Patrol <egoldstien@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <IKee7.1314$Wm3.971147@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 61301

I agree. Let's declare war on negativity.

"Jo Jack" <JoJack@mywann.com> wrote in message
news:tnijk0p5sn1d77@corp.supernews.com...
> Why is there always someone who has to have a negative response to posts?
> People will post something and then someone will reply group stating, 'oh
> better not do that.' I am sure if they wanted your advice they would ask
> for it. Let the proper darwinism take over!
>
>
>

3385. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 19:51:12 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <Qife7.29$R2.128383@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61302

No, that's a dumb idea. Better not do that.

"Idiot Patrol" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:IKee7.1314$Wm3.971147@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com...
> I agree. Let's declare war on negativity.
>
>
> "Jo Jack" <JoJack@mywann.com> wrote in message
> news:tnijk0p5sn1d77@corp.supernews.com...
> > Why is there always someone who has to have a negative response to
posts?
> > People will post something and then someone will reply group stating,
'oh
> > better not do that.' I am sure if they wanted your advice they would
ask
> > for it. Let the proper darwinism take over!
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3386. What's a good scanner magazine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 20:16:49 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010814161649.01941.00002957@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 61303

No really, this is a serious question.
I am into monitoring police calls and such & like to keep up on the news about the digital and such type scanners.
I have heard of different magazines, but not sure which one would give me the most for the buck.
I am open for suggestions from you all.
Please contact me direct at..
tramd300@aol.com

Thank you....

3387. New NOAA - NWS weather broadcast voices

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 20:29:45 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B798A2D.72C35ADC@home.com>
Source record: 61304

http://www.nws.noaa.gov/nwr/newvoice.htm#voices

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

3388. Re: What's a good scanner magazine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 20:32:08 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <cVfe7.33$R2.139535@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61305

Unfortunately, they're all advertiser suck-up rags who cater to old fart ham radio operators. Read www.strongsignals.net. At least you'll get manily the straight dope, with only a little suck-up to the retailers who provide the freebies and other goodies for review.

"TRAMD300" <tramd300@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010814161649.01941.00002957@mb-mf.aol.com...
> No really, this is a serious question.
> I am into monitoring police calls and such & like to keep up on the news about
> the digital and such type scanners.
> I have heard of different magazines, but not sure which one would give me the
> most for the buck.
> I am open for suggestions from you all.
> Please contact me direct at..
> tramd300@aol.com
>
> Thank you....

3389. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 21:09:21 UTC
From: Idiot Patrol <egoldstien@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <5sge7.1575$Wm3.1066970@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 61306

Better not try to stop me. I hate that.

"Fart Eddie" <davlin@tiac.net> wrote in message
news:Qife7.29$R2.128383@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> No, that's a dumb idea. Better not do that.
>
> "Idiot Patrol" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
> news:IKee7.1314$Wm3.971147@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com...
> > I agree. Let's declare war on negativity.
> >
> >
> > "Jo Jack" <JoJack@mywann.com> wrote in message
> > news:tnijk0p5sn1d77@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Why is there always someone who has to have a negative response to
> posts?
> > > People will post something and then someone will reply group stating,
> 'oh
> > > better not do that.' I am sure if they wanted your advice they would
> ask
> > > for it. Let the proper darwinism take over!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

3390. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 21:14:05 UTC
From: Jo Jack <JoJack@mywann.com>
Message-ID: <tnj55rhtfcco2e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61307

You're the idiot....

"Idiot Patrol" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:5sge7.1575$Wm3.1066970@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com...
> Better not try to stop me. I hate that.
>
>
>
> "Fart Eddie" <davlin@tiac.net> wrote in message
> news:Qife7.29$R2.128383@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> > No, that's a dumb idea. Better not do that.
> >
> > "Idiot Patrol" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
> > news:IKee7.1314$Wm3.971147@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com...
> > > I agree. Let's declare war on negativity.
> > >
> > >
> > > "Jo Jack" <JoJack@mywann.com> wrote in message
> > > news:tnijk0p5sn1d77@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Why is there always someone who has to have a negative response to
> > posts?
> > > > People will post something and then someone will reply group
stating,
> > 'oh
> > > > better not do that.' I am sure if they wanted your advice they
would
> > ask
> > > > for it. Let the proper darwinism take over!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3391. Re: What's a good scanner magazine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 21:14:49 UTC
From: Jo Jack <JoJack@mywann.com>
Message-ID: <tnj57870478g42@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61308

Monintoring Times is a good one. Popular Communications is another.

"TRAMD300" <tramd300@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010814161649.01941.00002957@mb-mf.aol.com...
> No really, this is a serious question.
> I am into monitoring police calls and such & like to keep up on the news
about
> the digital and such type scanners.
> I have heard of different magazines, but not sure which one would give me
the
> most for the buck.
> I am open for suggestions from you all.
> Please contact me direct at..
> tramd300@aol.com
>
> Thank you....

3392. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 21:49:13 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B799CD9.CD39EB57@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61310

No. What do you mean? Please educate me.

Jo Jack wrote:
>
> see what I mean!
>
> "Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:3B7964D7.53722FE@worldnet.att.net...
> > It's a very fine line between realism and negativity.
> >
> > Jo Jack wrote:
> > >
> > > Why is there always someone who has to have a negative response to
> posts?
> > > People will post something and then someone will reply group stating,
> 'oh
> > > better not do that.' I am sure if they wanted your advice they would
> ask
> > > for it. Let the proper darwinism take over!

3393. Re: power supply question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 21:51:22 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B799D59.3A4B84A6@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61311

Ahh. This negativity has to go.

GREAT IDEA! SOUNDS WONDERFUL! GO TO IT!

Idiot Patrol wrote:
>
> Why don't you just get an extension cord or power strip. Then take a dump on
> your power supply.
>
> "Vinnie" <valair@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:9lboqr$8j6$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
> > Hi
> > I have a spare power supply from a computer and I would like to set it up
> > as a power supply for my scanners etc, it has quite a few 11.9volts dc
> > outputs, and three 6v DC outputs, but I think they are only about 300mA.
> > There is one output that is 3Amps but it is sending out 16.7v DC. Do the
> > scanners regulate the input voltage to this extent or do you think it is
> > likely to cause damage to the scanner (Pro-2042 & Pro-2006)the rest are
> > handhelds so the 300mA outputs should be fine.
> > thx
> > vny
> >
> >

3394. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 21:53:11 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b799d10.237605888@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61309

On Tue, 14 Aug 2001 16:14:05 -0500, "Jo Jack" <JoJack@mywann.com> wrote:

Why are there always so many negative replies when
someone posts a complaint about negative replies??
Are you really positive that's how you really feel??

3395. Re: What's a good scanner magazine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 21:53:13 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B799DC8.BDCA5834@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61312

No, no, no. No negativity here.

Fart Eddie wrote:
>
> Unfortunately, they're all advertiser suck-up rags who cater to old fart ham
> radio operators. Read www.strongsignals.net. At least you'll get manily the
> straight dope, with only a little suck-up to the retailers who provide the
> freebies and other goodies for review.
>
> "TRAMD300" <tramd300@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010814161649.01941.00002957@mb-mf.aol.com...
> > No really, this is a serious question.
> > I am into monitoring police calls and such & like to keep up on the news
> about
> > the digital and such type scanners.
> > I have heard of different magazines, but not sure which one would give me
> the
> > most for the buck.
> > I am open for suggestions from you all.
> > Please contact me direct at..
> > tramd300@aol.com
> >
> > Thank you....

3396. Re: What's a good scanner magazine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 21:59:50 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b799e16.237868291@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61313

On Tue, 14 Aug 2001 16:14:49 -0500, "Jo Jack" <JoJack@mywann.com> wrote:

>Monintoring Times is a good one. Popular Communications is another.
>

There's also Scanning USA and even "SWM" out of england that offers
some info. I will buy them all if affordable that month. but I consider that
MT is probably the most complete. Since you know what you like, my advice would
be to scan (no pun intended) each issue of the various rags and just buy
what appeals to you. That way you build up your own personalized library
of favorite articles and references.

Andrew

>"TRAMD300" <tramd300@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010814161649.01941.00002957@mb-mf.aol.com...
>> No really, this is a serious question.
>> I am into monitoring police calls and such & like to keep up on the news
>about
>> the digital and such type scanners.
>> I have heard of different magazines, but not sure which one would give me
>the
>> most for the buck.
>> I am open for suggestions from you all.
>> Please contact me direct at..
>> tramd300@aol.com
>>
>> Thank you....
>
>

3397. Re: BC780 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 22:03:59 UTC
From: Hal Pressman <halpress@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <jfhe7.6922$nh4.1346135@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 61314

After reading your post, I checked the website and at a price of $25.95, it looks good, almost too good to be true. Would like to hear from anyone who is familiar with or has used this software. Has it just come on the market?
"Dwayne H" <Dubbin@nospam.net> wrote in message news:MPG.15e1be253bc6581c989688@news.tol.sbcglobal.net...
> Has anyone tried this software for the BC780 http://www.bc780xlt.net/
> --
> ==========
> Dwayne
> ----------
> Saw it!
> Wanted it!
> Threw a fit!
> Got it!

3398. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 22:23:12 UTC
From: Jo Jack <JoJack@mywann.com>
Message-ID: <tnj97feoes9r80@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61315

YEAH!
"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3b799d10.237605888@news.erols.com...
> On Tue, 14 Aug 2001 16:14:05 -0500, "Jo Jack" <JoJack@mywann.com> wrote:
>
>
> Why are there always so many negative replies when
> someone posts a complaint about negative replies??
> Are you really positive that's how you really feel??

3399. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 22:32:28 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <hu8jntghipr2liiknj180ht9j919n52r3v@4ax.com>
Source record: 61316

"Jo Jack" <JoJack@mywann.com> wrote:

> Why is there always someone who has to have a negative response to posts?
> People will post something and then someone will reply group stating, 'oh
> better not do that.' I am sure if they wanted your advice they would ask
> for it. Let the proper darwinism take over!
>
>

Several reasons:

o One is that someone will ask a question that has been asked 1000+
times in the past without checking to see if it has been asked.
Some people who are tired of this snap back in a way they never
would in person.

o Another is that some bitter people will take someone who asks a
frankly stupid question and snap back at them rather than explain
the proper answer patiently. Usenet is full of myths about things,
and killing them is nearly impossible.

o Even more bitter people intentionally give bad/damaging advice,
that, when applied, will destroy someones equipment. Without a
contradictory response, someone will lose their gear. Should no
one say anything?

o Finally, some people just lose patience with others who are
clearly over their heads... there was a guy who was "fixing"
his radio with a soldering iron used for soldering copper pipes
as well as duct tape. Someone went ballistic at him...

Most of what it comes down to is that people say things on usenet
they'd never say in person. Back in the Good Ol' Days when newbies
actually read news.announce.newuser, there was a bit of advice
there: Always point a loaded newsreader at the ground. Translation:
Let your temper cool before sending that response. That accounts
for *most* of it, but there are people out there who are just plain
ol' fashioned sh*theads, too.

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"Nature abhors a vacuum tube." -- J. R. Pierce, Bell Labs, c. 1940

3400. Re: What's a good scanner magazine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 22:50:09 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenner@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <iXhe7.1581$E84.283750@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 61317

Follow http://www.strongsignals.net and save your money. Scanning is very localized and magazines are national thus of minimal use. On Strong Signals you can get the absolute latest radio information and reviews. The message boards are a good place to get questions answered too and avoid all the newsgroup spam stuff.

GR
"TRAMD300" <tramd300@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010814161649.01941.00002957@mb-mf.aol.com...
> No really, this is a serious question.
> I am into monitoring police calls and such & like to keep up on the news
about
> the digital and such type scanners.
> I have heard of different magazines, but not sure which one would give me
the
> most for the buck.
> I am open for suggestions from you all.
> Please contact me direct at..
> tramd300@aol.com
>
> Thank you....

3401. Scancat Gold experinces???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-14 23:30:45 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tnjd5ejr0qu271@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61318

Any pros or cons for Scancat????
Just got a AR5000+, that's dy-no-mite (not real user friendly on some things - like scanning :->, but it WORKS!!); with Scancat SE, which has fizzled BIG TIME so far, and wonder if I just flushed $160 down the toilet.....
I know, I know - should have asked FIRST.....
Cheers n lots-a-beers....
Thanks,
Don

3402. Ebay - Pryme Scanner / HT model AL-800 Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 00:01:09 UTC
From: kk4tl@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010814200109.18719.00005640@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 61319

http://cgi.ebay.aol.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1265138992

New - Un-used modular antenna for scanner or handy-talkie. Includes:

Center-loaded whip,
shortened rubber-duck
connector.

Ad with picture.
http://cgi.ebay.aol.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1265138992

3403. Re: IC-R3

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 01:31:20 UTC
From: "Clyde R. Shappee" <clydes@world.std.com>
Message-ID: <3B79D0E8.ED35C939@world.std.com>
Source record: 61321

Thanks for the responses.

Clyde

JM wrote:

> I have a ic-r3, and it does not receive SSTV on its own screen. It receives
> AM-TV and FM-TV and that is all.
>
> however, if SSTV reception is a must, then tap the discriminator output, then
> you can download freeware SSTV decoder software, and receive SSTV images on
> your computer screen.
>
> In article <3B75C90E.A5EB5EB@world.std.com>, clydes@world.std.com says...
> >
> >Does anyone know if this radio will receive Slow Scan TV as well?
> >
> >Clyde
> >

3404. BC 780..xlt... just got it tonight!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 01:44:16 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3b79d340.47590091@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 61322

thanks for all the help, in choosing my new unit.... i did get the winscan software because they did not have the scancat gold... who knows it might work just as well
gig@i-2000.com thanks

3405. FS or FT: BC855XLT Make offer!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 01:45:44 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <cvke7.300$8E2.6121@news>
Source record: 61320
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This is a good scanner! 800mhz and it has been modded already! 100 channel and unblocked. Still looks great just wanting something else.Open to all trades make a suggestion
Thanks
Rob
crandall@ee.net

3406. Chicago PD - on 4xx.000 MHz still?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 01:49:16 UTC
From: Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9lcl3g$b58$3@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61324

Is the Chicago PD still in the 400MHz range repeaters, or have they moved to an 800MHz trunked system?

Will be there next week and I have all the 400MHz PD freqs, but haven't been there in years.

Thanks,

Mike

3407. Re: Chicago Air and Water Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 02:02:46 UTC
From: Digitalinclination <mymamatoldme@ontero.net>
Message-ID: <9lcl40$q5t$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61323
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

well gee that is a wonderful listing of everything you could possibly ever receive at the air show! Unfortunately you could put your scanner on search from 118 to 400 and have the same result--stuff all day, mixed up, and listening to the ground crew talk about parking while the tbirds are flying!

Note: I saw Tbirds recently and you want to concentrate on them only when it gets about an hour before their show, which will probably be the last event of the day. Go to tbird sites and get frequencies for all their stuff, but during the flight, only the airboss is important, he talks constantly, I don't even think the other guys say anything. He sings during the take-off procedure, and talks a lot, mostly says, "easing back, easing back," don't let the wife decide you will beat the rush out, cause the pilots all come out and sigh autographs after landing, the airboss will be on the left, and then all the others, including the doctor and ground staff. They will not sign autographs forever, so hurry up and get them!

Note that one of the main frequencies they use is 141.85 in AM. Most scanners get this frequency in FM, so if you want to get it clearly, get a pro 43 or better that will allow you to change it to AM.

"David" <shpoog@excite.com> wrote in message
news:%52e7.1731$MM.1065696@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
>
> i'm replying to my own request. in case anyone else is interested, i just
> found a great site with all of the answers. check out:
>
> http://www.theramp.net/shabec/airshow2001.pdf
>
> they did a fantastic job! thanks guys for putting together such a
fantastic
> document.
>
>
>
> "David" <shpoog@excite.com> wrote in message
> news:Lc0e7.1618$MM.824194@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> >
> > What frequency are best to monitor for the show this weekend? The USAF
> > Thunderbirds will be performing and I'm sure there will be some great
> > listening. Thanks!
> >
> >
> >
> >

>
>

3408. Re: BC780 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 02:26:30 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B79DDD5.B3CC8E49@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61325

Hal,

Tried to email you, but mail was returned, "Invalid recipient".

Please email me with a valid email address.

Walt

Hal Pressman wrote:
>
> After reading your post, I checked the website and at a price of $25.95, it
> looks good, almost too good to be true. Would like to hear from anyone who
> is familiar with or has used this software. Has it just come on the market?
> "Dwayne H" <Dubbin@nospam.net> wrote in message
> news:MPG.15e1be253bc6581c989688@news.tol.sbcglobal.net...
> > Has anyone tried this software for the BC780 http://www.bc780xlt.net/
> > --
> > ==========
> > Dwayne
> > ----------
> > Saw it!
> > Wanted it!
> > Threw a fit!
> > Got it!

3409. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 02:50:48 UTC
From: Idiot Patrol <egoldstien@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <csle7.2336$Wm3.1460777@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 61326

He's talking about your negative replies about the negative replies
neener neeener neener neeener
"Jo Jack" <JoJack@mywann.com> wrote in message
news:tnj97feoes9r80@corp.supernews.com...
> YEAH!
> "Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:3b799d10.237605888@news.erols.com...
> > On Tue, 14 Aug 2001 16:14:05 -0500, "Jo Jack" <JoJack@mywann.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> > Why are there always so many negative replies when
> > someone posts a complaint about negative replies??
> > Are you really positive that's how you really feel??
>
>

3410. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 02:54:47 UTC
From: Idiot Patrol <egoldstien@sofa.net>
Message-ID: <Xvle7.2368$Wm3.1467986@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 61327
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Man, what a load of crap

Please get out of our way, as we have information to convey.
This newsgroup is not just for scanning people with scanners.
It is also for the guy with a bad soldering iron.
It is for people who want to ask any old question they want.
It is for people who want to screw with people's heads.
It is for people who want to flame other people.

Heaven and earth and this newsgroup are bigger than your imaginings.

> Several reasons:
>
> o One is that someone will ask a question that has been asked 1000+
> times in the past without checking to see if it has been asked.
> Some people who are tired of this snap back in a way they never
> would in person.
>
> o Another is that some bitter people will take someone who asks a
> frankly stupid question and snap back at them rather than explain
> the proper answer patiently. Usenet is full of myths about things,
> and killing them is nearly impossible.
>
> o Even more bitter people intentionally give bad/damaging advice,
> that, when applied, will destroy someones equipment. Without a
> contradictory response, someone will lose their gear. Should no
> one say anything?
>
> o Finally, some people just lose patience with others who are
> clearly over their heads... there was a guy who was "fixing"
> his radio with a soldering iron used for soldering copper pipes
> as well as duct tape. Someone went ballistic at him...
>
> Most of what it comes down to is that people say things on usenet
> they'd never say in person. Back in the Good Ol' Days when newbies
> actually read news.announce.newuser, there was a bit of advice
> there: Always point a loaded newsreader at the ground. Translation:
> Let your temper cool before sending that response. That accounts
> for *most* of it, but there are people out there who are just plain
> ol' fashioned sh*theads, too.
>
> Eric
>
> --

> Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
> "Nature abhors a vacuum tube." -- J. R. Pierce, Bell Labs, c. 1940

3411. Re: Chicago PD - on 4xx.000 MHz still?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 02:58:21 UTC
From: Greg <king.frost@home.com>
Message-ID: <s8pjntojff0o6b74i2arlt6adlna3m6d76@4ax.com>
Source record: 61328

On Tue, 14 Aug 2001 21:49:16 -0400, "Mike Mayer"
<mayermh@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>Is the Chicago PD still in the 400MHz range repeaters, or have they moved to
>an 800MHz trunked system?
>
>Will be there next week and I have all the 400MHz PD freqs, but haven't been
>there in years.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Mike
>

As of a couple weeks ago at least, Chicago was still operating in the
450-460mhz region

3412. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 03:11:15 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b79e80b.256803840@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61329
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 15 Aug 2001 02:54:47 GMT, "Idiot Patrol" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote:

>Man, what a load of crap

" There you go, Moriarty. Alwayd makin' with the negative waves! "

---------- Donald Sutherland, " Kelly's Heroes"

3413. Re: BC780 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 03:41:23 UTC
From: Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15e3b9faf13a632798968b@news.tol.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 61330

I emailed the guy to see if there is a demo version and he said no. I
would really like to know if this software is for real or not.

In article <jfhe7.6922$nh4.1346135@typhoon.neo.rr.com>, halpress@fuse.net
says...
> After reading your post, I checked the website and at a price of $25.95, it
> looks good, almost too good to be true. Would like to hear from anyone who
> is familiar with or has used this software. Has it just come on the market?

> "Dwayne H" <Dubbin@nospam.net> wrote in message
> news:MPG.15e1be253bc6581c989688@news.tol.sbcglobal.net...
> > Has anyone tried this software for the BC780 http://www.bc780xlt.net/
> > --
> > ==========
> > Dwayne
> > ----------
> > Saw it!
> > Wanted it!
> > Threw a fit!
> > Got it!
>
>
>

--
==========
Dwayne

Saw it!
Wanted it!
Threw a fit!
Got it!

3414. Re: frequencies for the California State Fair/ Calexpo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 03:54:38 UTC
From: rapture1@mindspring.com
Message-ID: <419381f6.0108141954.69285019@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61331

davidm3123@aol.com (DAVIDM3123) wrote in message
news:<20010813145818.01839.00000310@mb-fu.aol.com>...
> You might also try the FRS frequencies...
>
> I did last year and heard a lot of vendor traffic and other oddities that I
> could not readily identify.
>
> dm

i have seen frs used once there. a lot of hams were active so i listed
some ham freqs. didn't log any frs last year but i'm sure it was used.
there is alot of action during the state fair.

3415. Re: Chicago PD - on 4xx.000 MHz still?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 04:20:28 UTC
From: BozoTheCat <BozoTheCat@aol.com>
Message-ID: <tnju25rhq68029@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61332

> >Is the Chicago PD still in the 400MHz range repeaters, or have they moved
to
> >an 800MHz trunked system?
>
> As of a couple weeks ago at least, Chicago was still operating in the
> 450-460mhz region

Yes, Chicago PD is still alive and well from 460.025 through 460.550.
460.600 through 460.650 frequencies are FD/Paramedics. I can get every
Chicago "zone" on a rooftop discone very clearly, about 55 miles south of
the Loop (downtown). I can even get most of the channels using the stock
whip on three different scanners, so you shouldn't have any trouble.

the Cat

3416. Re: Chicago Air and Water Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 04:32:53 UTC
From: unclebob@ripco.com
Message-ID: <9lcu1l$13k$1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 61333
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Digitalinclination (mymamatoldme@ontero.net) wrote:
: well gee that is a wonderful listing of everything you could possibly ever
: receive at the air show! Unfortunately you could put your scanner on search
: from 118 to 400 and have the same result--stuff all day, mixed up, and
: listening to the ground crew talk about parking while the tbirds are flying!

: Note: I saw Tbirds recently and you want to concentrate on them only when it
: gets about an hour before their show, which will probably be the last event
: of the day. Go to tbird sites and get frequencies for all their stuff, but
: during the flight, only the airboss is important, he talks constantly, I
: don't even think the other guys say anything. He sings during the take-off
: procedure, and talks a lot, mostly says, "easing back, easing back," don't
: let the wife decide you will beat the rush out, cause the pilots all come
: out and sigh autographs after landing, the airboss will be on the left, and
: then all the others, including the doctor and ground staff. They will not
: sign autographs forever, so hurry up and get them!

: Note that one of the main frequencies they use is 141.85 in AM. Most
: scanners get this frequency in FM, so if you want to get it clearly, get a
: pro 43 or better that will allow you to change it to AM.

I usually scan between the diamond formation and the 2 solo performers.
It's more fun to listen to the solos though. They really sound like
they're having more fun than the diamond. It's all business in the diamond
because they have to watch wingtips and such.

Nobody will get to meet pilots and get autographs at the show because it
is not at an airport but over the Lake Michigan shoreline.

--
* *

Uncle Bob.....unclebob@ripco.com

3417. Re: article on digital trunked radio in Colorado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 04:50:52 UTC
From: "Topp Dogg"
Message-ID: <8ivjntot88659eqa2ed8av94gpla56j7ug@4ax.com>
Source record: 61334
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I will agree that the digital market is going to cost the pocket book,
however!!! I will not complain about it. The FACT IS!
Digital is the better and if it that saves lives? I am all for it....

I would also address to Mr Eddy Woodruff (in the "dtrs" story) that
anyone who only has $45.00 in scanner equipment, has no grounds to
complain..... :-)

Just my Two Cents!

Leigh AKA ToppDogg

On Tue, 14 Aug 2001 07:18:56 GMT, "Mike Dockery" <mdockery@att.net>
wrote:

>Story on DTRS system in Sundays Denver Post
>http://www.denverpost.com/Stories/0,1002,53%257E98129,00.html
>
>
>Mike
>
>
>

Playing as Root got me the Boot!

To E-Mail add a com to the end of the e-mail.

http://cerberus.pioneernet.net

3418. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 05:19:29 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <Kxne7.6$lg.3499@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 61335

While it's difficult to give a specific reply to a general question, I can see a possible case where telling somebody " 'oh better not do that.' " is actually a good idea. If somebody proposes to do something that has the potential to get them in deep s**t, a friendly (and I do mean friendly) warning that their actions could have bad repercussions would be the decent thing to do. In some cases, the person who is being warned may not know that doing what they propose to do might cost them. Then, if they still want to do it, knowing the possible outcome, then think of it as Evolution In Action!

Mark

"Aren't cats Libertarian? They just want to be left alone."
"I think our dog is a Democrat, as he is always looking for a handout"
Unknown Usnet Poster

Heh, heh, I'm pretty sure my dog is a liberal - he has no balls.
Another Unknown Poster

"Jo Jack" <JoJack@mywann.com> wrote in message
news:tnijk0p5sn1d77@corp.supernews.com...
> Why is there always someone who has to have a negative response to posts?
> People will post something and then someone will reply group stating, 'oh
> better not do that.' I am sure if they wanted your advice they would ask
> for it. Let the proper darwinism take over!
>
>
>

3419. information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 05:30:48 UTC
From: mleach@gfn.org
Message-ID: <e7c777c5.0108142130.56071c24@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61336

I come here for information. I just filter out the noise and sometimes
I get intelligent answers. Just like the newspaper, you got to read
some garbage to get to the heart of the story.

mike-

3420. (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 08:08:10 UTC
From: Anonymous Coredump <mixmaster@remailer.segfault.net>
Message-ID: <939c9a08216230e62a5d2410984d89e8@remailer.segfault.net>
Source record: 61152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.cellular.cdpd

The local and state police in my area just got laptop computers in their cars with what I think are cellular packet data modems. They have new 800MHz transit and lopro type antennas on the rooftops of the crown vics and the 500MHz "data" channels are quiet. Ive tried to get a reading with an opto scout but have no yet been able to pic off their frequency.

Im missing alot of comms as I think they are typing messages to each other. They also seem to be randomly checking vehicle registrations as they go.

Does anyone know how to hack these systems? I have a receiver and data slicer is there software available? Could you use a pc card modem and alter the esn and spoof a real terminal? Is there encryption employed in any of the layers? There seems to be very little info out there on this one.

Any input would be appreciated.

BTW, I know its illegalI didnt ask that.

3421. Re: BC760XLT Memory

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 09:37:21 UTC
From: Ted Hay <tedh@xcelco.on.ca>
Message-ID: <tnkgvnasah0udf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61337

I have owned my BC760XLT for clsoe to 10 years

Ted
RaZ0r! <extreme_gamerSPAM@NOThotmail.com> wrote in message
news:0P6e7.446934$bH4.5646036@e420r-chi2.usenetserver.com...
> Considering this scanner has not been out a year yet, I don't think that
> article will help.
>
> --
> RaZ0r!
>
> "Ted Hay" <tedh@xcelco.on.ca> wrote in message
> news:tnf9qo4jk2s1e9@corp.supernews.com...
> > There was a article in Monitoring Times several years ago how to double
> the
> > memory by adding a switch and resistor. I don't have the article or
what
> > issue it was but probaly 3 or 4 years ago.
> >
> > Ted
> > Osborne605 <osborne605@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20010812232202.17995.00003390@ng-co1.aol.com...
> > > Does anyone have the information onhow to add more channels to the
> > BC760XLT ? I
> > > can't find anything on it
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

3422. Re: Scancat Gold experinces???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 11:16:25 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <dSse7.186$R2.473507@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61338

I was once given a pirated copy of Scancat Gold and disliked it so much I formatted the disk to use for something more worthwhile. I believe it needed it to store some pics of my lawn...

The software of choice for the A5K is BuTel's http://www.butel.nl/

It's the best out of a limited field of options. $115.

"Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote in message news:tnjd5ejr0qu271@corp.supernews.com...
> Any pros or cons for Scancat????
> Just got a AR5000+, that's dy-no-mite (not real user friendly on some
> things - like scanning :->, but it WORKS!!); with Scancat SE, which has
> fizzled BIG TIME so far, and wonder if I just flushed $160 down the
> toilet........
> I know, I know - should have asked FIRST..........
> Cheers n lots-a-beers....
> Thanks,
> Don
>
>

3423. PRO2022 baclight display fixed!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 12:16:41 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha61@DELETEhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3b7a6746$0$20942$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 61339

To those who provided info on fixing the dead electroluminecent display on my PRO2022...thanks. I removed the old panel and sourced a spare from Tandy Australia. One bright blue display now, looks brand new!.

Thanks all
Mike
(the internet can be a wonderfull place)

3424. Re: Scancat Gold experinces???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 12:25:33 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tnkqi879soqo70@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61340

You're the second one to tell me that, so sounds like something to try! At least Computer Aided Technology were nice enough to offer a credit since I was so pi....., er, UNHAPPY, with the product!! That's always appreciated from any vendor.....
Cheers n coffee & aspirin..
Thanks,
Don

Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net> wrote in message news:dSse7.186$R2.473507@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> I was once given a pirated copy of Scancat Gold and disliked it so much I
> formatted the disk to use for something more worthwhile. I believe it needed
> it to store some pics of my lawn...
>
> The software of choice for the A5K is BuTel's http://www.butel.nl/
>
> It's the best out of a limited field of options. $115.
>
>
>
> "Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote in message
> news:tnjd5ejr0qu271@corp.supernews.com...
> > Any pros or cons for Scancat????
> > Just got a AR5000+, that's dy-no-mite (not real user friendly on some
> > things - like scanning :->, but it WORKS!!); with Scancat SE, which has
> > fizzled BIG TIME so far, and wonder if I just flushed $160 down the
> > toilet.....
> > I know, I know - should have asked FIRST.....
> > Cheers n lots-a-beers....
> > Thanks,
> > Don
> >
> >
>
>

3425. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 13:06:13 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9lds3c$8lh2p$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61341

"Idiot Patrol" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:csle7.2336$Wm3.1460777@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com...
> He's talking about your negative replies about the negative replies
> neener neeener neener neeener

This reminds me of the Monty Python "Argument" sketch:

"Yes I did."
"You did not."
"Yes I did."
"Didn't."
"Yes I did."
"Didn't"
"Yes I did."
"Look this isn't an argument."
"Yes it is."
"No it isn't, it's just contradiction."
"No it isn't."
"Yes it is."
"It is not."
"It is. You just contradicted me."
"No I didn't."
"Ooh, you did!"
"No, no, no, no, no."
"You did, just then!"
etc, etc...

3426. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 13:29:29 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <0nrkntoguio5c1bkr1qokvev1qlj3g2ha3@4ax.com>
Source record: 61342
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Idiot Patrol" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote:

> Man, what a load of crap
>
> Please get out of our way, as we have information to convey.
> This newsgroup is not just for scanning people with scanners.
> It is also for the guy with a bad soldering iron.
> It is for people who want to ask any old question they want.
> It is for people who want to screw with people's heads.
> It is for people who want to flame other people.
>

Did I mention Emilio Goldstien, the troll? He is famous for his
insanely stupid postings. He also has other infamy too...

I actually saw him on CNN... he's a script kiddie who got caught.
E. G. is not his real name but it is what he uses online. He did
get punished, but it was a slap-on-the-wrist sort of thing.

Hope you liked prison, Emilio, didja enjoy squealin' like a pig?

> Heaven and earth and this newsgroup are bigger than your imaginings.
>
>
> > Several reasons:
> >
> > o One is that someone will ask a question that has been asked 1000+
> > times in the past without checking to see if it has been asked.
> > Some people who are tired of this snap back in a way they never
> > would in person.
> >
> > o Another is that some bitter people will take someone who asks a
> > frankly stupid question and snap back at them rather than explain
> > the proper answer patiently. Usenet is full of myths about things,
> > and killing them is nearly impossible.
> >
> > o Even more bitter people intentionally give bad/damaging advice,
> > that, when applied, will destroy someones equipment. Without a
> > contradictory response, someone will lose their gear. Should no
> > one say anything?
> >
> > o Finally, some people just lose patience with others who are
> > clearly over their heads... there was a guy who was "fixing"
> > his radio with a soldering iron used for soldering copper pipes
> > as well as duct tape. Someone went ballistic at him...

> >
> > Most of what it comes down to is that people say things on usenet
> > they'd never say in person. Back in the Good Ol' Days when newbies
> > actually read news.announce.newuser, there was a bit of advice
> > there: Always point a loaded newsreader at the ground. Translation:
> > Let your temper cool before sending that response. That accounts
> > for *most* of it, but there are people out there who are just plain
> > ol' fashioned sh*theads, too.
> >
> > Eric
> >
> > --
> > Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
> > "Nature abhors a vacuum tube." -- J. R. Pierce, Bell Labs, c. 1940
>

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"I have in mind a bloodbath that is thoroughly bipartisan. Let the
Clintons be the first to go. American politics will not be healthy until
they are purged from the system. Issue exit visas to Trent Lott and Tom
DeLay, to Dick Armey, to the ancient and awful Strom Thurmond and the
odious Jesse Helms. Let the ostraca fall upon the bombastic charlatan
Jesse Jackson, upon Henry Hyde and Maxine Waters, upon Barbara Boxer
and Orrin Hatch." - Lance Morrow,
http://www.time.com/time/nation/article/0,8599,88598,00.html

3427. Need Regency TS-1 Owner's Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 14:00:54 UTC
From: Victor and Lisbeth Trucco <trucco@hevanet.com>
Message-ID: <tnl052jro1ht5d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61343

I'm looking for a original or copy of Regency TS-1 owner's manual. Anyone know where I can locate one?

Thanks,

Victor Trucco

3428. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 14:47:23 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tnl2s5qs33jq77@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61344

If you post something about anything, expect pro & con comments. Sorry, but that's just the way things are when you deal with folks who aren't really interested in patronizing you..... Harry Truman had the right idea when he put a sign in his office: "If you can't stand the heat, stay out of the kitchen" (along with "The buck stops here" on his desk).....
Cheers n beers,
Don

Jo Jack <JoJack@mywann.com> wrote in message
news:tnijk0p5sn1d77@corp.supernews.com...
> Why is there always someone who has to have a negative response to posts?
> People will post something and then someone will reply group stating, 'oh
> better not do that.' I am sure if they wanted your advice they would ask
> for it. Let the proper darwinism take over!
>
>
>

3429. Re: BC780 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 16:36:39 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b7aa464.3264748@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 61345

Yes, somebody order this and let us know!
Is this downloadwable or do they mail it to you?
Looks very interesting

On Mon, 13 Aug 2001 15:34:55 GMT, Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net> wrote:

>Has anyone tried this software for the BC780 http://www.bc780xlt.net/
>--
>==============
>Dwayne
>--------------
>Saw it!
>Wanted it!
>Threw a fit!
>Got it!

3430. need help with Programming an ICOM R1 to scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 17:55:15 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010815135515.21574.00003126@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 61346

Can someone tell me the keypad functions, or combo's, to set this litlle rig up?E-Mail me , at RPierre133@robertkpierre.com with info...thanks (-:

3431. Re: Beware of this guy he will kip you off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 17:59:25 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010815135925.21574.00003127@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 61247

HOOKED-ON-EBONICS...WORKED FOR ME..LMAO

3432. FS/FT : Yupiteru MVT-9000MKII

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 18:51:22 UTC
From: Skippy <wrangler@northnet.org>
Message-ID: <3B7AC4AB.BD4D0FB1@northnet.org>
Source record: 61248
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner

Hi ,

I have a Yupiteru MVT-9000MKII wide coverage handheld receiver in excellent condition with the OPC-90 protective case , box , manual , NiCads and everything that came with it that I'd like to sell or may trade towards a dual band mobile / handheld specifically a Kenwood TH-78A with accessories in good condition in appearance and function .

I'm asking $400.00 to buy outright .

Thanks ,
Kevin

3433. Re: article on digital trunked radio in Colorado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 18:56:51 UTC
From: a2k@ripco.com
Message-ID: <9leglj$lk2$1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 61249
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Topp Dogg (toppdogg@rdoghouse.) wrote:

: I will agree that the digital market is going to cost the pocket book,
: however!!! I will not complain about it. The FACT IS!
: Digital is the better and if it that saves lives? I am all for it....

Where there is a dropout in an analog transmission the human ear can make some sense out it,the digital system just loses it. its junk and should see little acceptance in that realm.

: I would also address to Mr Eddy Woodruff (in the "dtrs" story) that
: anyone who only has $45.00 in scanner equipment, has no grounds to
: complain..... :-)

: Just my Two Cents!

: Leigh AKA ToppDogg

: On Tue, 14 Aug 2001 07:18:56 GMT, "Mike Dockery" <mdockery@att.net>
: wrote:

: >Story on DTRS system in Sundays Denver Post
: >http://www.denverpost.com/Stories/0,1002,53%257E98129,00.html
: >
: >
: >Mike
: >
: >
: >

: ***Playing as Root got me the Boot!***
:
: To E-Mail add a com to the end of the e-mail.

: http://cerberus.pioneernet.net

--

3434. Re: NYC Area: Continuous Sound on 121.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 20:23:39 UTC
From: joebramblett.nospam@drunkenbard.com
Message-ID: <Xns90FE9C924A8A2DrunkenBard@64.124.9.81>
Source record: 61250

Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote in
<sk0gmtk3c02220l3u4tiubvtvms3jhp6r1@4ax.com>:

>Frankly, I have a bad attitude about ELT performance. Over 99% of all
>ELTs you hear are false alarms. The problems range from poor
>installation on airframes, obsolete shock switches, and poor landing
>technique. Finding an active ELT can take as much as a day or more.
>Were they not required by regulation, I wouldn't bother installing or
>maintaining one. A hand-held aviation radio in a flight bag is better
>insurance, more reliable, and more useful.

Which raises the same old question; why the hell won't they put a speaker
on the thing so you can tell immediately if it's going off?

3435. MVT9000 vs MVT7000 vs AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 21:01:38 UTC
From: LJ Murray <majestic.murray@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <_qBe7.4142$tG2.538558@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 61251

I am looking to purchase a more powerful scanner after been bitten by the scanning bug.

The problem is which one. I have narrowed it down to three. The MVT9000, MVT7000 and AOR 8200.

I think the MVT7000 might be the way to go, especially as its the cheapest! My only concern is that its maximum range is up to 1650 MHz, where as the other two scanners are looking at 2039MHz. Should I be concerned about this? (I don't want to feel that I'm going be missing out on something)

Being fairly new to this game I'm not really sure what's going on at these high frequencies, so any help would be greatly appreciated.

Thanks in advance.

LJ

3436. Re: BC780 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 21:13:01 UTC
From: Hal Pressman <halpress@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <xBBe7.7465$nh4.1701298@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 61252

So we all are talking about the same software, I believe it's called
ScanPro. If I'm wrong, correct me. Also does anyone know who the author is?

"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3b7aa464.3264748@news-server.optonline.net...
> Yes, somebody order this and let us know!
> Is this downloadwable or do they mail it to you?
> Looks very interesting
>
> On Mon, 13 Aug 2001 15:34:55 GMT, Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net> wrote:
>
> >Has anyone tried this software for the BC780 http://www.bc780xlt.net/
> >--
> >==========
> >Dwayne
> >----------
> >Saw it!
> >Wanted it!
> >Threw a fit!
> >Got it!
>

3437. Re: MVT9000 vs MVT7000 vs AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 21:38:23 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <jZBe7.242$R2.706267@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61253

The difference in range is really immaterial. You're not going to find much, if anything to listen to, in the sky high range anyway. The more important issue is whether you'll get your money's worth from any of these scanners. It sounds like you're new to the game and the 9K and 82K are both tricky receivers to operate fully for beginners. They are packed with mind boggling features and come with tech-prose laden manuals that are confusing at best. Based on that alone, I'd recommend the 7K. It's a great all-around scanner, not difficult to learn how to use.

"LJ Murray" <majestic.murray@virgin.net> wrote in message
news:_qBe7.4142$tG2.538558@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com...
>
> I am looking to purchase a more powerful scanner after been bitten by the
> scanning bug.
>
> The problem is which one. I have narrowed it down to three. The MVT9000,
> MVT7000 and AOR 8200.
>
> I think the MVT7000 might be the way to go, especially as its the
cheapest!
> My only concern is that its maximum range is up to 1650 MHz, where as the
> other two scanners are looking at 2039MHz. Should I be concerned about
this?
> (I don't want to feel that I'm going be missing out on something)
>
> Being fairly new to this game I'm not really sure what's going on at these
> high frequencies, so any help would be greatly appreciated.
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
> LJ
>
>

3438. Re: Scancat Gold experinces???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 22:05:13 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tnlsgvi7l2sfae@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61254

Jezz - talk about service!!!!! And a GOOD PRODUCT!!!!!!!!!!!
I ordered ARC5000 from BuTel, in the 'Netherlands', paid by PayPal, and had
the software via the net within two hours!! Gawd damn son, whada what??
And it WORKS, Egor, it WORKS!!!!!!!!!! At first I was a little apprehensive
since there wasn't a nice printed manual, but it works so intuitively, you
don't even need one..... Had all the freqs transferred from a data file
set up in Lotus 1-2-3 for my Yupi MVT-7100, to ARC5000 files for the
AR-5000, including all the individual channel parameters (the 5000 is
awesome for versatility) within a few hours after unzipping the file...
I'm impressed!!
Cheers n beers y'all....
Don

Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote in message
news:tnkqi879soqo70@corp.supernews.com...
> You're the second one to tell me that, so sounds like something to try! At
> least Computer Aided Technology were nice enough to offer a credit since I
> was so pi....., er, UNHAPPY, with the product!! That's always
> appreciated from any vendor.....
> Cheers n coffee & aspirin..
> Thanks,
> Don
>
>
> Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net> wrote in message
> news:dSse7.186$R2.473507@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> > I was once given a pirated copy of Scancat Gold and disliked it so much
I
> > formatted the disk to use for something more worthwhile. I believe it
> needed
> > it to store some pics of my lawn...
> >
> > The software of choice for the A5K is BuTel's http://www.butel.nl/
> >
> > It's the best out of a limited field of options. $115.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote in message
> > news:tnjd5ejr0qu271@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Any pros or cons for Scancat????
> > > Just got a AR5000+, that's dy-no-mite (not real user friendly on some
> > > things - like scanning :->, but it WORKS!!); with Scancat SE, which
> has

> > > fizzled BIG TIME so far, and wonder if I just flushed $160 down the
> > > toilet........
> > > I know, I know - should have asked FIRST.........
> > > Cheers n lots-a-beers....
> > > Thanks,
> > > Don
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3439. Re: BC 780..xlt... just got it tonight!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 22:05:35 UTC
From: RaZ0r! <extreme_gamerSPAM@NOThotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IgCe7.451476$bH4.5802541@e420r-chi2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61255

Gratz bro, you'll love it.

--
RaZ0r!

<gig@i-2000.com> wrote in message
news:3b79d340.47590091@news-server.optonline.net...
> thanks for all the help, in choosing my new unit.... i did get the
> winscan software because they did not have the scancat gold... who
> knows it might work just as well
> gig@i-2000.com thanks
>

3440. Re: MVT9000 vs MVT7000 vs AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 22:08:46 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B7AF2ED.FB44AF26@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61256

Where are you located?

What will you be listening to?

Do you need trunking capability?

These questions need to be answered before you can make a decision!

As far as 1650MHz vs. 2039MHz, it's in the same class as the number of
Angels capable of dancing on the head of a pin. You will most likely
never find anything there to listen to.

Walt

LJ Murray wrote:
>
> I am looking to purchase a more powerful scanner after been bitten by the
> scanning bug.
>
> The problem is which one. I have narrowed it down to three. The MVT9000,
> MVT7000 and AOR 8200.
>
> I think the MVT7000 might be the way to go, especially as its the cheapest!
> My only concern is that its maximum range is up to 1650 MHz, where as the
> other two scanners are looking at 2039MHz. Should I be concerned about this?
> (I don't want to feel that I'm going be missing out on something)
>
> Being fairly new to this game I'm not really sure what's going on at these
> high frequencies, so any help would be greatly appreciated.
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
> LJ

3441. Re: BC780 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 22:08:52 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b7af23e.548913@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 61257

I don't know, but for $25 it seems worth a shot
Noneof the screen shots show CTSS stuff, I wonder if it is enabled for
that.

On Wed, 15 Aug 2001 21:13:01 GMT, "Hal Pressman" <halpress@fuse.net>
wrote:

>So we all are talking about the same software, I believe it's called
>ScanPro. If I'm wrong, correct me. Also does anyone know who the author is?
>
>
>"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
>news:3b7aa464.3264748@news-server.optonline.net...
>> Yes, somebody order this and let us know!
>> Is this downloadwable or do they mail it to you?
>> Looks very interesting
>>
>> On Mon, 13 Aug 2001 15:34:55 GMT, Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net> wrote:
>>
>> >Has anyone tried this software for the BC780 http://www.bc780xlt.net/
>> >--
>> >==============
>> >Dwayne
>> >--------------
>> >Saw it!
>> >Wanted it!
>> >Threw a fit!
>> >Got it!
>>
>
>

3442. Re: MVT9000 vs MVT7000 vs AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 22:22:51 UTC
From: LJ Murray <majestic.murray@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <5DCe7.4436$tG2.650125@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 61258

Ok...

My Location : UK, (Scotland to be exact)

I have been listening to Police, Fire, Air [and accidentally...Cordless Phones :)] on my old bearcat scanner, but I was keen to know if there was anything going on at higher MHz that I was missing.

I am not aware of trunking being used by any of the services here...yet

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:3B7AF2ED.FB44AF26@worldnet.att.net...
> Where are you located?
>
> What will you be listening to?
>
> Do you need trunking capability?
>
> These questions need to be answered before you can make a decision!
>
> As far as 1650MHz vs. 2039MHz, it's in the same class as the number of
> Angels capable of dancing on the head of a pin. You will most likely
> never find anything there to listen to.
>
> Walt
>
> LJ Murray wrote:
> >
> > I am looking to purchase a more powerful scanner after been bitten by the
> > scanning bug.
> >
> > The problem is which one. I have narrowed it down to three. The MVT9000,
> > MVT7000 and AOR 8200.
> >
> > I think the MVT7000 might be the way to go, especially as its the cheapest!
> > My only concern is that its maximum range is up to 1650 MHz, where as the
> > other two scanners are looking at 2039MHz. Should I be concerned about this?
> > (I don't want to feel that I'm going be missing out on something)
> >
> > Being fairly new to this game I'm not really sure what's going on at these

> > high frequencies, so any help would be greatly appreciated.
> >
> > Thanks in advance.
> >
> > LJ

3443. Re: A Newbie Asks: Best way to "Cold Scan" ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 23:16:05 UTC
From: "Dan B (Scanner Nuts)" <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <LkDe7.35$SQ.11966@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61259

The use of a Frequency counter is much easier. I take my little Opto Cub with me everywhere I go. Pull near a cop, wait for him to pick up the mike and BAM, you got the input to the repeater. Now all you need to know is the repeater offset.

--
The Scanner Nuts! ☺
http://www.webdeals.net/k4lyp.htm
0ver 375 scanner pictures for your viewing pleasure.
New and Old.... bring back the memories.
In God we trust, all others we monitor.

***
"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3b78ad6d.176249725@news.erols.com...
> And this is the 2nd topic I'm hoping for some feedback on.
>
> Howdy Again,
>
> What I mean by "cold scan" has nothing to do
> with scanning outdoors in the nude.<grin>
>
> What I mean is this: Say you pull up to some
> site you want to scan (Arby's, Cop Station, whatever),
> You know that you can use Internet or Police Call to look
> for some freqs. Trouble is -- There's a bazillion freqs. that,
> say, McDonald's uses nationwide. How best to pin 'em
> down? That's what I meant by cold scanning. Scanning
> a physical site that you don't know for sure what they're
> using.
>
> I've read that one way is to just take your ant.
> off and scan without since your scanner will only hit on
> very strong (read close) freqs. by default. Is this a good
> technique ? Do you use it or what would you do?
>
> Just to be sure, I should probably add that I'm
> obviously using a hand-held , say sitting in a parking lot
> outside. Also, I do find Police Call frustrating to use in this
> kind of situation. I own one and use it, but seems that there
> could be more efficient way than sitting in parking lot punching
> in all those "bazillion" numbers to see which one it might be.
> Hope I've made myself clear to all who care to respond.
>
> Thanks,

>
> Andrew

3444. Re: MVT9000 vs MVT7000 vs AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-15 23:54:28 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tnm2tqlmhm0k3e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61260

I wouldn't sell my ~5yr old Yupi MVT-7100 for anything less that I couldn't turn around and buy the latest version of it!! It's that good! *especially* on the 800-900 range, it even outdid it's big MVT-9000 brother, just by a nose, but it beat it..... A little less intermod also was noticed on the 30-40 range (coincidentally on the cordless phone freqs, like the 900 stuff, accidentally of course).... But, the 9000 does have a lot more bell & whistles, band scope, etc., and if ultra sensitivity isn't an issue, would be much more versatile. I don't have any experience with the 8200, but just got a AOR AR5000, which is totally awesome, and should be for the $$$$ - but it's a different cat altogether, more of a hybrid comm receiver/scanner..... The 8200 adds the convenience of PC control, although at a price for the interface over the radio cost (& software)..... But for bang for the buck, the Yupi 7100 (can't speak for the 7200 or 7300) is GREAT!
Cheers n beers...
Don
LJ Murray <majestic.murray@virgin.net> wrote in message news:_qBe7.4142$tG2.538558@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com...
>
> I am looking to purchase a more powerful scanner after been bitten by the
> scanning bug.
>
> The problem is which one. I have narrowed it down to three. The MVT9000,
> MVT7000 and AOR 8200.
>
> I think the MVT7000 might be the way to go, especially as its the cheapest!
> My only concern is that its maximum range is up to 1650 MHz, where as the
> other two scanners are looking at 2039MHz. Should I be concerned about this?
> (I don't want to feel that I'm going be missing out on something)
>
> Being fairly new to this game I'm not really sure what's going on at these
> high frequencies, so any help would be greatly appreciated.
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
> LJ
>
>

3445. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 00:39:24 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b7b15e2.334086886@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Wed, 15 Aug 2001 07:29:29 -0600, Eric F. Richards <efricha@dimensional.com>

<snip>
>
>Did I mention Emilio Goldstien, the troll? He is famous for his
>insanely stupid postings. He also has other infamy too...
>
>I actually saw him on CNN... he's a script kiddie who got caught.

<snip>

What's a script kiddie ? A pedophilic journalist??

3446. Re: Chicago PD - on 4xx.000 MHz still?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 00:49:06 UTC
From: Greg <king.frost@home.com>
Message-ID: <o16mntcc1vgd8bojn4l5c00ksbtr7f9p4h@4ax.com>
Source record: 61262

On Tue, 14 Aug 2001 23:20:28 -0500, "BozoTheCat" <BozoTheCat@aol.com>
wrote:

>Yes, Chicago PD is still alive and well from 460.025 through 460.550.
>460.600 through 460.650 frequencies are FD/Paramedics. I can get every
>Chicago "zone" on a rooftop discone very clearly, about 55 miles south of
>the Loop (downtown). I can even get most of the channels using the stock
>whip on three different scanners, so you shouldn't have any trouble.
>
>the Cat
>

Hmmmmm interesting. I have been thinkin' about puting an antenna on
top of the house. Wonder if I'd be able to pick up Chicago from out
here in Rockford. Might make an interesting project :)

3447. Re: MVT9000 vs MVT7000 vs AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 01:02:51 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B7B1BB9.CD93BB2C@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61263

If you don't require trunking, then all of the radios you mention have admirers. It probably depends upon what features attract you, and your available cash.

I find that browsing the 1.0 to 30 MHz region under computer control using the Band Scope adds a lot to the usability of a radio. Makes it easy to spot signal you might otherwise pass over. So, you might want to look for a radio with those features.

Also, the capability to add 3rd party Spectrum Analyzer capability to the PCR1000 adds a lot to one's understanding of what is going on re antennas, signal strength, sensitivity, etc.

But, as I mentioned, I think the lask few hundred Mhz of coverage beyond 900 Mhz is probably low on the list of selection criteria.

LJ Murray wrote:
>
> Ok...
>
> My Location : UK, (Scotland to be exact)
>
> I have been listening to Police, Fire, Air [and accidentally...Cordless
> Phones :)] on my old bearcat scanner, but I was keen to know if there was
> anything going on at higher MHz that I was missing.
>
> I am not aware of trunking being used by any of the services here...yet
>
> "Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:3B7AF2ED.FB44AF26@worldnet.att.net...
> > Where are you located?
> >
> > What will you be listening to?
> >
> > Do you need trunking capability?
> >
> > These questions need to be answered before you can make a decision!
> >
> > As far as 1650MHz vs. 2039MHz, it's in the same class as the number of
> > Angels capable of dancing on the head of a pin. You will most likely
> > never find anything there to listen to.
> >
> > Walt
> >
> > LJ Murray wrote:

> > >
> > > I am looking to purchase a more powerful scanner after been bitten by
> the
> > > scanning bug.
> > >
> > > The problem is which one. I have narrowed it down to three. The MVT9000,
> > > MVT7000 and AOR 8200.
> > >
> > > I think the MVT7000 might be the way to go, especially as its the
> cheapest!
> > > My only concern is that its maximum range is up to 1650 MHz, where as
> the
> > > other two scanners are looking at 2039MHz. Should I be concerned about
> this?
> > > (I don't want to feel that I'm going be missing out on something)
> > >
> > > Being fairly new to this game I'm not really sure what's going on at
> these
> > > high frequencies, so any help would be greatly appreciated.
> > >
> > > Thanks in advance.
> > >
> > > LJ

3448. Who makes a good RF signal pre-amp for 800MHz scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 01:31:49 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010815213149.24756.00001153@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 61264

Considering the demonstrated poor sensitivity of most scanners to the 800MHz trunking signals, it would seem logical that someone has made and other have used an RF signal pre-amp to correct this problem.

Has anyone used such a thing ?

Thanks,

Geo.

3449. Re: Who makes a good RF signal pre-amp for 800MHz scanners?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 01:55:46 UTC
From: Ender <SBender@home.com>
Message-ID: <2j9mntk3gh8be275ufmcrf6uddo297p8to@4ax.com>
Source record: 61265

On 16 Aug 2001 01:31:49 GMT, leadenwah@aol.com (LEADENWAH) wrote:

>Considering the demonstrated poor sensitivity of most scanners to the 800MHz
>trunking signals, it would seem logical that someone has made and other have
>used an RF signal pre-amp to correct this problem.
>
>Has anyone used such a thing ?
>
>Thanks,
>
>Geo.
Hi Geo,

There are some very fine (and expensive) upscale preamps that do a great job. Being cheap, I grabbed a $29.95 inline bullet 20db preamp from Radio Shack and mounted it at the feedpoint of a small groundplane. The preamp is sold as a Satellite/Scanner preamp. It is fed with about 40 feet of RG-6 coax and the AC wall mount powersupply feeds the preamp with DC through the coax center lead.. It works great from around 400mhz and up into the 1200 range and above. It passes frequencies below 400mhz without any amplification. I have also used one of these preamps with a UHF corner reflector TV antenna flipped 90 degrees to make it vertically polarized. That worked extremely well.

Good luck,

Ender

Remove the SB from my return email to reply by mail.

3450. Re: What's a good scanner magazine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 02:05:20 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2001.08.15.22.05.17.368.23650@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 61266

In article <20010814161649.01941.00002957@mb-mf.aol.com>, "TRAMD300"
<tramd300@aol.com> wrote:

> No really, this is a serious question. I am into monitoring police calls
> and such & like to keep up on the news about the digital and such type
> scanners.
> I have heard of different magazines, but not sure which one would give
> me the most for the buck.
> I am open for suggestions from you all. Please contact me direct at..
> tramd300@aol.com
>
> Thank you....

Well, I've maintained a subscription to both Monitoring Times and Popular
Communications for ten years or so now. Both are excellent magazines
featuring a variety of writers and topics. True, advertising is plentiful
in these periodicals. However, this really only provides more options on
where and what to buy when you are ready.

The main difference between the two magazines is probably depth. While
Popular Communications - PopCom for shot - offers a number of articles
each month, none really go to the depths that the authors of articles in
Monitoring Times - or just "MT" - do. MT is more geared for the hard core
or professional radio enthusiest.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.9.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.0f1

3451. Re: Will the BC245XLT Scan the Trunked Cell Police Frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 02:12:50 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B7B2C22.3C0D7D70@mitre.org>
Source record: 61267

The Uniden 245 Xlt will scan trunked systems and conventional at the
same time. Put the 860 Mhz freq. in one bank and the rest of the
trunked system in another, trunked bank. Just remember to designate
the trunkde bank to the type of trunking system when setting up the
radio scanner.It's easy to have this problem, neglecting to set
the trunking mode before programming the radio!
Jim
Barry Wells wrote:
>
> I can get this channel on a conventional scanner but not on my trunk
> tracker. Well actually the trunk tracker when taken out of trunk mode
> will recieve this frequncy. It is in the 860 mhz range and easily
> recievable on any 800 mhz scanner.
>
> Is it worthwhile to get a 245XLT, will it get the police cellular
> while I am scanning in trunk mode?
>
> Thanks in advance for your help.

3452. Re: FDNY Freq Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 02:43:13 UTC
From: ems99ny@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010815224313.00809.00001328@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 61268

fdny will be going back to 900 mhz soon and yes thay are stil in the 153/154 mhz

3453. Anyone use CMA802 antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 02:45:23 UTC
From: sharerides@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3b7b3362.4436446@news>
Source record: 61269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

The CMA802 antenna is used to listen to cordless phones in the 47 Mhz range (legal here). It is sold at telstarone.com and spytrak.com sites.

http://spytrak.com/cs_cpma.htm
http://www.telstarone.com/cs_cpma.htm

How have you found it for listening to the 47 Mhz range, how long (feet) is it? how well does it perform outside the 47 Mhz range?

3454. Re: Chicago PD - on 4xx.000 MHz still?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 02:56:39 UTC
From: BozoTheCat <BozoTheCat@aol.com>
Message-ID: <tnmdha52pkjee5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61270

> Hmmmmm interesting. I have been thinkin' about puting an antenna on
> top of the house. Wonder if I'd be able to pick up Chicago from out
> here in Rockford. Might make an interesting project :)

From a third-floor dorm at NIU I was able to get about half of the Chicago frequencies, back in the 80s. I would think you should be able to snag a few of the west-side zones with a directional rooftop antenna. It's worth the shot! Chicago is always amuzing to listen to.

3455. Re: BC 780.xlt@lentini communications

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 03:14:11 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010815231411.05484.00000931@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 61273

In article <3b75dac4.326468@news-server.optonline.net>, gig@i-2000.com (GaijinGig) writes:

> i am seriously thinking of packin up the car and taking the short
>drive and ferry trip to go to conn(newington) conn and get my
>scanner.... anybody ever dealt with lentini communications?
> 349.00 also what software should i get ? which is the best? driving
>up on wed or thur

Better idea: stay home, order via Internet. Save the gas, time, and 6% CT sales tax.

3456. Re: Cordless phone receiving range for scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 03:14:11 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010815231411.05484.00000930@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 61274

In article <9l4a66$7ghs5$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>, "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> writes:

>Or better yet, when you have new neighbors move in next door, buy them a >cheap 46 MHZ cordless phone as a "housewarming gift." That way, if they >don't already have one, you can bet they'll be using your new Trojan horse >of a gift. Then you can find out the REAL reason they had to move away from >that other town.

Ha! I did this several years ago for my girlfriend. Bought her a cordless phone as a "birthday gift." Then, installed it in her upstairs bedroom, thus assuring maximum range.

Took me all of about 2 weeks to find out she was cheating on me, as I had suspected.

3457. Re: article on digital trunked radio in Colorado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 03:14:12 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010815231412.05484.00000932@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 61271

In article <8ivjntot88659eqa2ed8av94gpla56j7ug@4ax.com>, Topp Dogg
<toppdogg@rdoghouse.> writes:

>The FACT IS!
>Digital is the better and if it that saves lives? I am all for it....

Are you a Motorola Astro salesman, by any chance?

3458. Re: information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 03:14:12 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010815231412.05484.00000933@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 61272

In article <e7c777c5.0108142130.56071c24@posting.google.com>, mleach@gfn.org (m.Leach) writes:

>Just like the newspaper, you got to read
>some garbage to get to the heart of the story

Plus, with the ewspaper, you have to read through the liberal slant and bias.

3459. Re: Cordless phone receiving range for scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 05:23:23 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B7B58A6.F61BE34C@home.com>
Source record: 61275

TooManyRadios wrote:
>
>
> Ha! I did this several years ago for my girlfriend. Bought her a cordless
> phone as a "birthday gift." Then, installed it in her upstairs bedroom, thus
> assuring maximum range.
>
> Took me all of about 2 weeks to find out she was cheating on me, as I had
> suspected.

Anyone who has read your posts for a while would expect & understand
any girlfriend of yours to need to cheat on you.

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

3460. Frequencies in Alaska?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 07:48:17 UTC
From: sunfire487@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <20010816034817.17958.00004142@ng-co1.aol.com>
Source record: 61276

While scanning[1] I came upon these:
152.335
152.340
Does anyone know what they are for? They seem to have some English/Russian language activity on them and help with ID would be appreciated. Also, are there any interesting frequencies I should know about?

[1]: With a Uniden Bearcat 235XLT. Is this any good, and is it worth the $120 I paid for it?

3461. Re: information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 07:49:30 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lftud$99a4e$1@ID-82690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61277

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010815231412.05484.00000933@nso-fc.aol.com...
} In article <e7c777c5.0108142130.56071c24@posting.google.com>, mleach@gfn.org
} (m.Leach) writes:
}
} >Just like the newspaper, you got to read
} >some garbage to get to the heart of the story
}
} Plus, with the ewspaper, you have to read through the liberal slant and bias.

liberal (li' ber al)
1a: of, relating to, or based on the liberal arts
1b: of or befitting a man of free birth
2: ample, full
3: broad-minded, especially: not bound by authoritarianism,
orthodoxy, or traditional forms
4: of or constituting a political party advocating or associated
with the principles of individual especially economic
freedom, greater individual participation in government,
and constitutional, political, and administrative reforms
designed to secure these objectives

If news has to be slanted one way or the other I'd much
prefer a liberal bias, thank you.

3462. Icom IC-R9000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 10:43:32 UTC
From: vk3rx@arrl.net
Message-ID: <3b7ba3bf.1340712@news.netcon.net.au>
Source record: 61278

Would appreciate email contact with anyone owning one of these
receivers. I have a couple of queries -

Damien VK3RX

3463. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 12:19:25 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <4549101BB37D4001.E1A2644236AA37A9.2F24A5C21999292C@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 61279

On Tue, 14 Aug 2001 11:14:26 -0500, "Jo Jack" <JoJack@mywann.com>
wrote:

>Why is there always someone who has to have a negative response to posts?
>People will post something and then someone will reply group stating, 'oh
>better not do that.' I am sure if they wanted your advice they would ask
>for it. Let the proper darwinism take over!
>
>
I believe it's called "dialogue". Since not all people think
alike, if someone makes a declaration in a news group
they should expect a few responses that are contrary
to their thinking.

Actually, this has helped me in the past, when I realized
that I was incorrect about an issue, or "barking up the
wrong tree". It has also actually saved me money.

I don't mind someone saying to me "buddy, you'r full
of crap, and heres why...". I many cases, they will make
a valid point and give me new ideas.

Today, there are so many "PC" people worrying about
negativity and sensitivity that it's a wonder anything
is accomplished.

3464. Re: MODS MODS MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 12:38:35 UTC
From: DJ6JZ <DJ6JZ@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <3b7bc2fc_2@news.arcor-ip.de>
Source record: 61280

DigitalEbola <digitalebola@iprimus.com.au> schrieb in im Newsbeitrag:
3b77a836@news.iprimus.com.au...
> mods to do ANYTHING
>
> Im after any mods what-so-ever

Then visit
http://www.radio-portal.org
click on "Search in broad"
and combine the category "Modifications" "and" a second category which meets
your requirements (Receivers, Scanners, Transceivers, Accessories).

Regards,
Willi

3465. Re: Cordless phone receiving range for scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 13:16:46 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010816091646.01701.00005805@nso-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 61282

In article <3B7B58A6.F61BE34C@home.com>, Tim <nightwatch01@home.com> writes:

> Anyone who has read your posts for a while would expect & understand
>any girlfriend of yours to need to cheat on you.

Awwwww....you're just jealous because YOU didn't think of the idea.

3466. Re: information

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 13:20:18 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tnni4u77lbt0d4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61283

Me too! And the purpose of this post, relating to rec.radio.scanners, is???

m.Leach <mleach@gfn.org> wrote in message
news:e7c777c5.0108142130.56071c24@posting.google.com...
> I come here for information. I just filter out the noise and sometimes
> I get intelligent answers. Just like the newspaper, you got to read
> some garbage to get to the heart of the story.
>
> mike-

3467. Re: Chicago Air and Water Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 13:20:48 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <QMPe7.6995$MM.4596607@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

-If you want to see take-off's, landings and maybe some high speed passes you can attend at the Gary, Indiana airport. T-Birds will do their ground routines there. You wont see the airshow, however.

-The frequency file on the PDF was pasted out of an "Andy" 780xlt programming spreadsheet which can be found posted in the Uniden780xlt group over at yahoogroups.com. You'll need to register an account with yahogroups to get access to the group and file. It can be used with the Andy application to program a 780xlt for the show. It's broken down into various service banks which I suggest using individually for show listening. When an act is in progress I scan bank one. When acts come and go I include banks one and three. When T-Birds perform I scan only bank two. During lulls in the show I'll sample the other banks. Our situation is somewhat different since we have more than one radio going during the show, usually several.

-The Chicago Police Dept trying to manage the traffic and crowds is a virtual "show" in itself. Same with the coast guard and the off-shore boat line. In addition to the T-Birds, Navy Leapfrogs, Northern Lights, Shell Aerobatic and a number of other leading acts will perform, so yes, there's a lot to listen to in addition to the T-Birds.

-"beating the crowd" is something of a misnomer. There is no way to do this even if you left an hour early. Traffic gets totally gridlocked. Even adjacent Lake Shore Drive slows to a crawl. The way to beat the crowd is to stay on the lakefront for an hour or two after the show. Great skyline and tons of marine band traffic. To say nothing of the more natural splendors which might grace your eye around North Ave beach at the end of summer.

-Warning: the show site is "intermod city". Bring a radio that does well in high background noise levels and maybe an in-line attenuator or a radio that uses one. High gain antennas will work against you without an attenuator. PRO-43's work fairly well.

-I do some searching before and at the show. Unless you know Chicago's spectrum very well you'll be hearing thousands of other area operations in addition to anything show related. Simply searching between 118 and 400 will not have the same result - it will have a much worse result. And actually you'd miss the CPD Marine units, which are on the CPD 800 Mhz trunked system. If you aren't hearing something that you think you should be - search. But take an educated guess at where the user might be and search there rather than dc-to-daylight. E.G. 122.0 -to- 124.0 for civil air to air, etc. Granted, there's a lot to listen to. I'd suggest sampling around to get a taste of the different services and operations present. If you only scan T-Birds freqs you'd miss ninety percent of the rest of the show.

-Weather right now and show days predictions don't look so hot. Grrrrrr.

-Probably the most important freqs to have in scan to listen to the show are the VHF and UHF Platform controls and the show arrival/departure freqs. We listed past used freqs but you'll want to confirm those first, they've been known to change. The flying fields - nearby Meigs Field for the low performance stuff, and Gary Airport for the high performance stuff, are known factors.

Happy Scanning! - Ted Moran

In article <9lcu1l$13k$1@gail.ripco.com>, unclebob@ripco.com (Uncle Bob) wrote:

> Digitalinclination (mymamatoldme@ontero.net) wrote:
> : well gee that is a wonderful listing of everything you could possibly ever
> : receive at the air show! Unfortunately you could put your scanner on search
> : from 118 to 400 and have the same result--stuff all day, mixed up, and
> : listening to the ground crew talk about parking while the tbirds are flying!
>
> : Note: I saw Tbirds recently and you want to concentrate on them only when it
> : gets about an hour before their show, which will probably be the last event
> : of the day. Go to tbird sites and get frequencies for all their stuff, but
> : during the flight, only the airboss is important, he talks constantly, I
> : don't even think the other guys say anything. He sings during the take-off
> : procedure, and talks a lot, mostly says, "easing back, easing back," don't
> : let the wife decide you will beat the rush out, cause the pilots all come
> : out and sigh autographs after landing, the airboss will be on the left, and
> : then all the others, including the doctor and ground staff. They will not
> : sign autographs forever, so hurry up and get them!
>
> : Note that one of the main frequencies they use is 141.85 in AM. Most
> : scanners get this frequency in FM, so if you want to get it clearly, get a
> : pro 43 or better that will allow you to change it to AM.
>
> I usually scan between the diamond formation and the 2 solo performers.
> It's more fun to listen to the solos though. They really sound like
> they're having more fun than the diamond. It's all business in the diamond
> because they have to watch wingtips and such.
>
> Nobody will get to meet pilots and get autographs at the show because it
> is not at an airport but over the Lake Michigan shoreline.
>
> --
> *
>
> Uncle Bob.........unclebob@ripco.com

3468. Frequencies for home portable home phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 13:25:17 UTC
From: Shhhhh!! <qaunta@qaunta.screaming.net>
Message-ID: <997968337.143563@igateway.postoffice.co.uk>
Source record: 61281

Just a question?
What is the frequency of the portable home phones people use?
Some operate at about 46MHz. But I beleive the newer ones work on a higher frequency?

Any ideas?

Thanks.

3469. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 14:00:55 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <coinnt4apqone1sk77mob11f324l3nmrdr@4ax.com>
Source record: 61285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

cathexis@erols.com (Set'em up Joe) wrote:

> What's a script kiddie ? A pedophilic journalist??

Heh... close enough...

A script kiddie is a "hacker" who uses tools written by others to break into computer systems through known vulnerabilities. For example, if your NT net is hacked by BackOrifice by someone, that someone is a script kiddie. He has no knowledge, just got his hands on some tools.

Script... from Unix scripts, like Perl or shell scripts. Often what these tools are.

Kiddie... as in 8-14 year old.

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"This book reads like a headache on paper."
www.cnn.com/2001/CAREER/readingup/08/14/getting.things.done/index.html

3470. Re: What's a good scanner magazine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 14:12:43 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9lgkbv$970nh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61286

"John LeMay" <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com> wrote in message
news:pan.2001.08.15.22.05.17.368.23650@nospam.njmc.com...

> The main difference between the two magazines is probably depth. While
> Popular Communications - PopCom for shot - offers a number of articles
> each month, none really go to the depths that the authors of articles in
> Monitoring Times - or just "MT" - do. MT is more geared for the hard core
> or professional radio enthusiest.

Is it just me or has PopComm gone downhill in the past 5 years or so? I stopped subscribing to it about a year ago or so, and now only read MT. It just seemed that most of the information, such as loggings, etc. was about 6 months old, and much of it erronous. In fact, one month the "Pirate's Den" column had pirate stations logged that had been on around a year previous, and many of which hadn't been on the air in some time. Maybe it was just a snafu, but it was strange.

Tom

3471. FS: Alinco DJ-X10T and more

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 14:42:43 UTC
From: Rudy Marcelletti <rudym@net-link.net>
Message-ID: <3b7bdd26$0$1529$bbae4d71@news.net-link.net>
Source record: 61288

No time to enjoy this fine communications hand held receiver:
Alinco DJ-X10T .1MHz - 2GHz AM/FM/WFM/SSB/CW HT cell blocked (AES
$309.99)
includes stock 4.8v 700ma NiCd EBP-37N battery, stock duck antenna, box,
charging stand, belt clip, wrist lanyard, manual
Extra EPB 37N 4.8v 700ma NiCd battery
(AES 34.99)
EPB 34N 4.8v 1200ma NiCd battery
(AES 84.99)
EDH-16 AA battery case (NiMH AA's work great in this!)
(AES 12.99)
W&W 4.8v 2500ma NiMH EPB-34 battery
50.00
ESC 30 Case for 34N battery
(AES 13.99)
ESC 29 Case for 37N battery and EDH-16
(AES 13.99)
Telescopic BNC antenna
12.00
Total I have into this:
$532.94
This rig is in mint shape and is computer programmable with 1200 memories.
There is a free program to use on your computer available at the Alinco
site.
The cable from the Yaesu ADMS software works fine with a Radio Shack adapter
plug.
I will sell all for $350.00 plus shipping US post office or UPS, your
choice. US post office money order only.
Please email direct.
Rudy Marcelletti, K8SWD
--
Rudy Marcelletti, K8SWD

--
Rudy Marcelletti, K8SWD

3472. Re: What Is There To Listen To Between 1 GHz And 2 GHz In Southern California (In The Inland Empire?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 14:48:43 UTC
From: Adam Ince <adamince@REMOVEhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <f3Re7.106$c87.58300@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 61287
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone care to elaborate on Daniel's response? So far, I've really only listened to Police, Fire, and the control tower at the Reno Air Races - not much else.

I really like the look of the DJ-X2000, but it apparently only scans at 5 ch/second. That seems awfully slow to me. Also, according to the reference page on the Strong Signals website, the DJ-X2000 receives using the following modes: AM, FM, WFM, USB, LSB, CW.

The AR8200MkII scans at 37 ch/second and receives the following modes: FM, SFM, WFM, AM, NAM, WAM, CW, SSB.

I guess what I'm now wondering is
1) is 5 ch/second too slow
2) what are SFM, NAM, WAM modes (and would I care if my scanner didn't have them.)

Like I said, the DJ-X2000 looks great. The AR8200MkII just doesn't look as solid, although I'm still VERY seriously considering it.

I realize it's impossible to say one scanner is better than another. I've pretty much decided on getting one of these two scanners. I'm just trying to get more information on each before I spend my money.

Best regards,

Adam

"Daniel M. Conti" <offdan43@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010812144106.27103.00005272@mb-md.aol.com...
> You are depriving yourself of NUMEROUS other features on theses radio,
> especially the DJ-X2000, other then what you can hear from 1 to 2 gigs.....
> Dan
>
> "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"
>
>
>
>
>
>On Sun, 12 Aug 2001 18:30:23 GMT, "Adam" <adamince@ABChotmail.com>
>wrote:
>>I have a Pro-60, which has non-continuous coverage up to 1 GHz.

>>
>>I'm now examining wide-coverage scanners such as the AR8200MKIIB and the
>>DJ-X2000, which have coverage through 2 GHz.
>>
>>But are people actually talking on these frequencies?
>>
>>If the only thing these frequencies carry is the unintelligible shriek of
>>digital communications, then I think I'll save my money and stick with my
>>.Pro-60.
>>
>>
>>Best regards,
>>
>>
>>Adam
>>

3473. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 15:00:58 UTC
From: Jo Jack <JoJack@mywann.com>
Message-ID: <tnno2c4bg3k0c6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61289

YEAH THAT TOO!

"Idiot Patrol" <egoldstien@sofa.net> wrote in message
news:csle7.2336$Wm3.1460777@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com...
> He's talking about your negative replies about the negative replies
> neener neeener neener neeener
> "Jo Jack" <JoJack@mywann.com> wrote in message
> news:tnj97feoes9r80@corp.supernews.com...
> > YEAH!
> > "Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
> > news:3b799d10.237605888@news.erols.com...
> > > On Tue, 14 Aug 2001 16:14:05 -0500, "Jo Jack" <JoJack@mywann.com>
wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > Why are there always so many negative replies when
> > > someone posts a complaint about negative replies??
> > > Are you really positive that's how you really feel??
> >
> >
>
>

3474. Re: Frequencies in Alaska?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 15:22:14 UTC
From: pbscanner@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010816112214.04346.00001338@mb-fh.news.cs.com>
Source record: 61290

>Subject: Frequencies in Alaska?
>From: sunfire487@aol.comNOSPAM (Sunfire487)
>Date: 8/16/01 3:48 AM Eastern Daylight Time
>Message-id: <20010816034817.17958.00004142@ng-co1.aol.com>
>
> While scanning[1] I came upon these:
>152.335
>152.340
>Does anyone know what they are for? They seem to have some English/Russian
>language activity on them and help with ID would be appreciated. Also, are
>there any interesting frequencies I should know about?
>
>[1]: With a Uniden Bearcat 235XLT. Is this any good, and is it worth the $120
>I
>paid for it?
>
>
>

On the US Bandplan:
152.335 and 152.340 are in the Taxi Base range... but not the exact frequencies
you listed...

= = = = = = = = = = =
Palm Beach Scanner
If its on the Air We Scan it!
Main Page: http://ourworld.cs.com/pbscanner

3475. Re: Chicago PD - on 4xx.000 MHz still?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 16:36:34 UTC
From: "Mike M."
Message-ID: <3b7bf4de.3627089@news.charter.net>
Source record: 61291

You stand a good chance, When I'm driving between Chicago and
Milwaukee, I can usually hear Chicago at least to the state line.
Milwaukee uses some of the same 460 MHz frequencies so they take over
when I get closer to Milwaukee.

On Thu, 16 Aug 2001 00:49:06 GMT, Greg <king.frost@home.com> wrote:

>Hmmmmm interesting. I have been thinkin' about puting an antenna on
>top of the house. Wonder if I'd be able to pick up Chicago from out
>here in Rockford. Might make an interesting project :)

3476. Re: MODS MODS MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 17:48:48 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <4ITe7.630$R2.1173471@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61292

Mods just or the hell of it? Nope, don't have any of those.

"DigitalEbola" <digitalebola@iprimus.com.au> wrote in message
news:3b77a836@news.iprimus.com.au...
> mods to do ANYTHING
>
> Im after any mods what-so-ever
>
>
> "Fart Eddie" <davlin@tiac.net> wrote in message
> news:Uz9d7.836$at4.955011@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> > Mods to do what exactly?
> >
> > "DigitalEbola" <digitalebola@iprimus.com.au> wrote in message
> > news:3b746bcb$1@news.iprimus.com.au...
> > > Does ANYONE know ANY mods that work with the PRO-71 scanner ? Im
> > DESPERATE!
> > >
> > > digitalebola@iprimus.com.au
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3477. Tips/Producst for protecting LCD displays from scratches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 18:06:39 UTC
From: "J. Scott Branscum" <nospam@usa.net>
Message-ID: <PYTe7.184$c87.104994@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 61293
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello group,
I have tried using some clear contact paper for protecting LCD displays on my scanners. But the clear contact paper I purchased isn't as clear as advertised. Does anyone else have suggestions that have worked for them? And if so, what manufacturer and model of tape/paper worked well for them for clarity and ease of removal?

Thanks,
Scott

3478. Re: NYC Area: Continuous Sound on 121.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 18:27:17 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <414ontcedn62jvo8m49oi3u99b18sqt6bi@4ax.com>
Source record: 61294

On 15 Aug 2001 20:23:39 GMT, joebramblett.nospam@drunkenbard.com (Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH) wrote:

>Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote in
><sk0gmtk3c02220l3u4tiubvtvms3jhp6r1@4ax.com>:
>
>>Frankly, I have a bad attitude about ELT performance. Over 99% of all
>>ELTs you hear are false alarms. The problems range from poor
>>installation on airframes, obsolete shock switches, and poor landing
>>technique. Finding an active ELT can take as much as a day or more.
>>Were they not required by regulation, I wouldn't bother installing or
>>maintaining one. A hand-held aviation radio in a flight bag is better
>>insurance, more reliable, and more useful.
>
>Which raises the same old question; why the hell won't they put a speaker
>on the thing so you can tell immediately if it's going off?

Well, you obviously wouldn't hear it while flying, but once you shut off that big noise maker under the cowling you'd hear it. I dunno.

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

3479. Military air monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 19:09:54 UTC
From: bellp400@msn.com
Message-ID: <35ba9d22.0108161109.64528e76@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61295

I have just begun to make a regular practice of scanning the nearby Nellis AFB, and have reliable sources for frequencies. I have noticed there are frequencies for approach, departure, tower, ground control in the military air band, and also in the civilian air band.

Both bands are active, I'm wondering if, for example, approach control simulcasts on paired frequencies in both bands, and, if not, when would either band be used instead of the other.

For people who regularly monitor air bases, what is the best method for monitoring?

Thanks in advance.

3480. VCR gadget in PopComm Magazine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 19:13:10 UTC
From: rdsmyrna@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010816151310.20173.00004880@ng-bh1.aol.com>
Source record: 61296

About 5 or 6 years ago PopComm magazine ran an article about a little black box that allowed a VCR user to record the ant signal input before demodulation. Upon playback the signal was fed throught the TVs' demod and the appropriate channel on the TV selected. This allowed recording all channels receivable in your area (if using an ant) or all unscrambled channels (if using cable).

1. I need a xerox of that article, which ran in the Spring and was followed up the following month with a revision.

2. I need info from anyone who has used this gadget.

3. I need someone to build me this unit (as I'm a total idiot with a solder gun).

Please e-mail me, rather than post here.

Thanks,
RDSMYRNA@aol.com

3481. Re: Chicago PD - on 4xx.000 MHz still?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 19:23:34 UTC
From: Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9lh785$1jp$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61198

Thanks everyone for the Chicago freq update. Turns out that just lik
Milwaukee, Cleveland also used to use several of those 400MHz frequencies,
however that was before they went to 800MHz trunked digital. Rats.

Mike

<Mike M.> wrote in message news:3b7bf4de.3627089@news.charter.net...
> You stand a good chance, When I'm driving between Chicago and
> Milwaukee, I can usually hear Chicago at least to the state line.
> Milwaukee uses some of the same 460 MHz frequencies so they take over
> when I get closer to Milwaukee.
>
> On Thu, 16 Aug 2001 00:49:06 GMT, Greg <king.frost@home.com> wrote:
>
> >Hmmmmm interesting. I have been thinkin' about puting an antenna on
> >top of the house. Wonder if I'd be able to pick up Chicago from out
> >here in Rockford. Might make an interesting project :)
>

3482. Beware of Auburn Metrology Labs Inc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 19:24:11 UTC
From: "Donald E. Smith" <desmith@innercite.com>
Message-ID: <3B7C1DDB.53B4193B@innercite.com>
Source record: 61197
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

This is a warning notice to all in radio land about a test equipment company located in Auburn, KY. They are Auburn Metrological Labs at 12345 Bowling Green Road, Auburn KY. 42206. Their number is 270 542-6000.

Based on information given to me by their General Manager, Ken Knowles, they would be able to repair my Cushman CE-50 that was having a receive problem. It generated fine and all pll were locking fine. They gave me an estimate of under $300.00 with a turnaround of about one week. I sent it to them for repair on February 24, 2001 based on this information. After a couple of months went by without even an acknowledgment of receiving it, I tried to contact them by telephone and email. Still I received no information on it and after numerous emails and a couple of letters, one being a legal demand letter, the unit showed up at my door with a 110.00 COD charge. After contacting the company I was advised that Ken Knowles was on vacation and the service manager was arrogant, rude and even hung up on me. If you value your equipment and it is in need of repairs or calibration, PLEASE do Not send it to them. You will regret it.

My options, against them, are not exhausted but I would rather have never had the problem to begin with. It has the tendency to taint all repair facilities. This outfit was recommended by a person from one of the amateur news groups. I hope I have prevented any grief for others by this post. Thanks for listening to my ranting. 73's Don Smith KB6GQA

3483. Re: Tips/Producst for protecting LCD displays from scratches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 19:37:17 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9lh7ci$9lemh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61199
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"J. Scott Branscum" <nospam@usa.net> wrote in message
news:PYTe7.184$c87.104994@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> Hello group,
> I have tried using some clear contact paper for protecting
> LCD displays on my scanners. But the clear contact paper I
> purchased isn't as clear as advertised. Does anyone else have
> suggestions that have worked for them? And if so, what
> manufacturer and model of tape/paper worked well for them for
> clarity and ease of removal?

In a similar vein, has anyone ever tried using CD scratch remover to remove
scratches from radio faceplates? And if so, how well did it work?

Tom

3484. Re: Beware of Auburn Metrology Labs Inc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 19:43:20 UTC
From: kenny vickers <kennyw@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3B7C2258.9A1DC093@charter.net>
Source record: 61200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Was it repaired ?

"Donald E. Smith" wrote:

> This is a warning notice to all in radio land about a test equipment
> company located in Auburn, KY. They are Auburn Metrological Labs at
> 12345 Bowling Green Road, Auburn KY. 42206. Their number is 270
> 542-6000.
>
> Based on information given to me by their General Manager, Ken Knowles,
> they would be able to repair my Cushman CE-50 that was having a receive
> problem. It generated fine and all pll were locking fine. They gave me
> an estimate of under $300.00 with a turnaround of about one week. I
> sent it to them for repair on February 24, 2001 based on this
> information. After a couple of months went by without even an
> acknowledgment of receiving it, I tried to contact them by telephone and
> email. Still I received no information on it and after numerous emails
> and a couple of letters, one being a legal demand letter, the unit
> showed up at my door with a 110.00 COD charge. After contacting the
> company I was advised that Ken Knowles was on vacation and the service
> manager was arrogant, rude and even hung up on me. If you value your
> equipment and it is in need of repairs or calibration, PLEASE do Not
> send it to them. You will regret it.
>
> My options, against them, are not exhausted but I would rather have
> never had the problem to begin with. It has the tendency to taint all
> repair facilities. This outfit was recommended by a person from one of
> the amateur news groups. I hope I have prevented any grief for others
> by this post. Thanks for listening to my ranting. 73's Don Smith
> KB6GQA

3485. Re: Beware of Auburn Metrology Labs Inc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 19:54:35 UTC
From: Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <lwVe7.4132$ww1.418085@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 61201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry Donald, I really do not understand your need to express your views here. As I read your post you paid $110 when they estimated "under $300". We have your side of the story and I do believe you version, but I think it is fair to have the other side. I am involved in servicing and while perhaps 95% of all repairs are straightforward, some do go wrong. I'm not really trying to give excuses, but some of the problems I have encountered include.
Leaving a message at the contact phone number regarding repair and they do not pass it on (they will never admit this later).
Wrong phone number supplied.

No response to phone calls (or message left). Please understand I may have 50 repairs at any one time and I can not call each client 5 times daily (work it out).
Email can be impossible. I often receive over 300 messages per day. How can I read or replay to them all and still have time to repair your radio?

I have also hung up on callers. Some can never see reason.
No doubt others have had bad servicing experiences, but many will have good ones. We will always hear the complaints.

Best Regards
Neville

"Donald E. Smith" <desmith@innercite.com> wrote in message news:3B7C1DDB.53B4193B@innercite.com...
> This is a warning notice to all in radio land about a test equipment
> company located in Auburn, KY. They are Auburn Metrological Labs at
> 12345 Bowling Green Road, Auburn KY. 42206. Their number is 270
> 542-6000.
>
> Based on information given to me by their General Manager, Ken Knowles,
> they would be able to repair my Cushman CE-50 that was having a receive
> problem. It generated fine and all pll were locking fine. They gave me
> an estimate of under $300.00 with a turnaround of about one week. I
> sent it to them for repair on February 24, 2001 based on this
> information. After a couple of months went by without even an
> acknowledgment of receiving it, I tried to contact them by telephone and
> email. Still I received no information on it and after numerous emails
> and a couple of letters, one being a legal demand letter, the unit
> showed up at my door with a 110.00 COD charge. After contacting the
> company I was advised that Ken Knowles was on vacation and the service
> manager was arrogant, rude and even hung up on me. If you value your
> equipment and it is in need of repairs or calibration, PLEASE do Not
> send it to them. You will regret it.

>
> My options, against them, are not exhausted but I would rather have
> never had the problem to begin with. It has the tendency to taint all
> repair facilities. This outfit was recommended by a person from one of
> the amateur news groups. I hope I have prevented any grief for others
> by this post. Thanks for listening to my ranting. 73's Don Smith
> KB6GQA
>

3486. Re: Tips/Producst for protecting LCD displays from scratches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 20:03:19 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lh8u8$r9c$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61202
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9lh7ci$9lemh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
}
} "J. Scott Branscum" <nospam@usa.net> wrote in message
} news:PYTe7.184$c87.104994@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
} > Hello group,
} > I have tried using some clear contact paper for protecting
} > LCD displays on my scanners. But the clear contact paper I
} > purchased isn't as clear as advertised. Does anyone else have
} > suggestions that have worked for them? And if so, what
} > manufacturer and model of tape/paper worked well for them for
} > clarity and ease of removal?
}
} In a similar vein, has anyone ever tried using CD scratch remover to remove
} scratches from radio faceplates? And if so, how well did it work?

Find a product called "Klasse All-in-One", it's wonderful stuff.
Removes surface scraches from plastic, acrylic and just about
anything else.

3487. FS: 800mhz EDACS Portable & Alinco DJ-X10K (euro)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 20:17:20 UTC
From: mlk <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <kTVe7.842$2d6.232274@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 61203
Cross-posted to:
alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have these 2 items for sale, not on ebay yet..

1 - Alinco DJ-X10K European K model UNBLOCKED .1 - 2GHz with drop in battery charger, Battery, case, manual, original box and packaging with stock antenna (plus slip on case) I will sell unit for $350 shipped conus - photos and more info upon request

1 - MINT IPE 200 Ericsson HandHeld EDACS 800 Mhz Radio with new battery andcharger / antenna. Unit works flawlessly, battery hold great charge. photo upon request. Unit has nice LCD readout with DTMF keypad... Model IPE- 200 DTMF 800 .6W KRD 103 124/ 2

Best offer over $350.00 plus shipping

mcguyver@cfl.rr.com

3488. Radio Shack 10 Meter Mobile

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 20:40:19 UTC
From: william deem <wdeem1@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <TcWe7.14467$nh4.2174664@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 61204

Have a nice Radio Shack HTX-10 Mobile for sale or swap?This is the newest one out and the best one, it is usb,lsb,am,fm and is like new, it is 30 watts out with copy of manual, $100.00 plus shipping costs or possible swap for 2 meter rig with wide band receive or???? can e mail a picture if you e mail me at wc8i@neo.rr.com thanks Bill

3489. Inline Frequency Counter for CB or Ham

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 20:44:26 UTC
From: william deem <wdeem1@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <KgWe7.14500$nh4.2175900@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 61205

Have a like new Redco INline frequency counter for base or mobile, It is digital and has a bright red display, will handle up to 1,000 watts pet and freq coverage is from 1 khz to 40 mhz. comes with manual and matching p/s , this is nice e mail me for picutres $125.00 plus shipping or possible swap for other ham equipment , what do you have???

wc8i@neo.rr.com

3490. For sale BC860XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 21:09:35 UTC
From: crazyman63501@webtv.net
Message-ID: <16477-3B7C368F-5@storefull-167.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61206

I've got a BC860XLT in good condition, still have original box,unblocked and also already has 100-200 ch. mod done. Make offer.

3491. Pro 2006 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 21:30:52 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B7C3B8B.A4A607A1@home.com>
Source record: 61207

Hi fella's

Could any of you please help me based solely on your own experiences?

I have 1997 olds 88 and I would like to mount my Pro 2006 w/opto456lite/optoelectonics scout 40 reaction tuner in the trunk under the surface that the rear speakers would mount to and pipe the audio over the fm broadcast band using a retransmitter that a good friend generously gave me. It will be suspended by an actually pro 2006 mounting bracket. The receiver will be powered from 13.8 vdc only and tapped from the feed to the powered telescopic antenna in the back of the vehicle. I am doing it this way to keep it out of sight and mind. (Not mine) :-)

Facts...

1.) The car will eventually wind up in southern Arizona.
2.) The trunk is clean and very dry.
3.) I have not noticed any really excessive heat build up in the trunk or at least it did not seem nearly as hot as it was in the passenger compartment on a hot day.

Questions...

1.) Will the heat be too excessive and damage the unit?
2.) Will vibration be an issue? The suspension is pretty good and smooth.
3.) Do you think a supplemental muffin fan pointing at the radio would help? Perhaps powered up only when the radio is in operation?

Thanks in advance for the feedback and opinions.

With kind regards

Mike Burch K8MB

Berkley, Mi

3492. BC 230XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 21:37:48 UTC
From: Chas <newsstuff@NO-SPAM.subdimension.com>
Message-ID: <3b7c3a74_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 61208

I was attempting to find any mods for my 230XLT.
All the ones I find are for the 220XLT, but they are the same as 200, and this has a different board in it, so the mods do not work.

Funny thing is, the box has 230XLT on it, the manual has 230XLT, BUT the scanner has 220 XLT on it?

So which is it? a 220 or 230?

Chas

3493. Yupiteru MVT 9000 Squelch Problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 21:54:58 UTC
From: highlander@visionsofthegods.com
Message-ID: <3b7c3fee.4479322@news.america.net>
Source record: 61209

My Yupiteru squelch no longer works:
Fully open or closed, I no longer receive any static. The only way I
can get the static/ open frequency noise is to push the "moni" button.
It just started doing this recently. Is there a squelch fix or
adjustment? Also, can anyone recommend a repair place that can handle
Yupiteru in the USA (Loose BNC connector on the unit is causing
antenna short).
Any info would be appreciated!
-Highlander

3494. Re: Beware of Auburn Metrology Labs Inc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 21:57:22 UTC
From: Chaos <chaos@no.spam.com>
Message-ID: <ejXe7.570$LI5.267883@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 61210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
news:lwVe7.4132$ww1.418085@news02.tsnz.net...
[snip]
>
> No response to phone calls (or message left). Please understand I may have
> 50 repairs at any one time and I can not call each client 5 times daily
> (work it out).
> Email can be impossible. I often receive over 300 messages per day. How can
> I read or replay to them all and still have time to repair your radio?
>
> I have also hung up on callers. Some can never see reason.
> No doubt others have had bad servicing experiences, but many will have good
> ones. We will always hear the complaints.
>
> Best Regards
> Neville

Dear Neville,

If you are as busy as you say, you owe it to your customers - and yourself - to hire help... if only office help, to keep open the lines of communications between you and your customers.

The key word here is *service*! To do this, you must be able to take a true interest in your customer's concerns, then make every effort to satisfy them. After all, you are in the business of offering a service - not a bad experience.

Methinks the service folks who have attitudes similar to yours_are_part of the problem; it's always the *other guy's* fault - never yours. Have you ever considered the possibility that_you_may be the one who is lacking reason? In any event, hanging up on a customer is as un-professional as you can get!

In the final analysis - when your service business fails - you will have no one to blame but yourself! I hope you come to your senses before that happens...

73 de Chaos

3495. Re: Military air monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 22:06:23 UTC
From: allan9 <exatc@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ztXe7.14637$nh4.2208906@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 61211

The controllers make a practice of transmitting on UHF and VHF at the same time.
The military uses UHF and civilians VHF basically.
Some military carry both frequencies. But because of weight and cost fighter aircraft normally carry only UHF.
By simulcasting at least the civilian aircraft can hear one side of the military traffic.

Al
"Tradewind" <bellp400@msn.com> wrote in message
news:35ba9d22.0108161109.64528e76@posting.google.com...
I have just begun to make a regular practice of scanning the nearby
Nellis AFB, and have reliable sources for frequencies. I have noticed
there are frequencies for approach, departure, tower, ground control
in the military air band, and also in the civilian air band.

Both bands are active, I'm wondering if, for example, approach
control simulcasts on paired frequencies in both bands, and, if not,
when would either band be used instead of the other.

For people who regularly monitor air bases, what is the best
method for monitoring?

Thanks in advance.

3496. Re: Beware of Auburn Metrology Labs Inc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 22:19:23 UTC
From: Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <gFXe7.4171$ww1.418873@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 61212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Chaos

Yes, I will happily do as you suggested, as long as you will accept twice my normal charges. No, I never suggested "other guy's* fault - never yours"
No I do not accept "In any event, hanging up on a customer is as un-professional as you can get!"
Would you like to work in my workshop for a week?
PS I have been is "business" for more than 30 years Have You?

Neville

"Chaos" <chaos@no.spam.com> wrote in message news:ejXe7.570$LI5.267883@news.uswest.net...
>
> Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
> news:lwVe7.4132$ww1.418085@news02.tsnz.net...
> [snip]
> >
> > No response to phone calls (or message left). Please understand I may have
> > 50 repairs at any one time and I can not call each client 5 times daily
> > (work it out).
> > Email can be impossible. I often receive over 300 messages per day. How can
> > I read or replay to them all and still have time to repair your radio?
> >
> > I have also hung up on callers. Some can never see reason.
> > No doubt others have had bad servicing experiences, but many will have good
> > ones. We will always hear the complaints.
> >
> > Best Regards
> > Neville
>
> Dear Neville,
>
> If you are as busy as you say, you owe it to your customers - and yourself - to
> hire help... if only office help, to keep open the lines of communications
> between you and your customers.
>
> The key word here is *service*! To do this, you must be able to take a true

> interest in your customer's concerns, then make every effort to satisfy
them.
> After all, you are in the business of offering a service - not a bad
experience.
>
> Methinks the service folks who have attitudes similar to yours_are_part of
the
> problem; it's always the *other guy's* fault - never yours. Have you ever
> considered the possibility that_you_may be the one who is lacking reason?
In any
> event, hanging up on a customer is as un-professional as you can get!
>
> In the final analysis - when your service business fails - you will have
no one
> to blame but yourself! I hope you come to your senses before that
happens...
>
> 73 de Chaos
>
>
>
>
>

3497. HammerHeadTech

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 22:35:19 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2001.08.16.18.35.15.518.23650@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 61214

Hi,

Has anyone dealt with HammerHeadTech (www.hammerheadtech.com)? I've seen a couple of their ads - apparently they have a stash of used Pro2006's available. The prices seem steep, however I'm really interested in where all of these 2006's with their RS logo removed came from! Seems a bit odd, no?

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.9.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.0f1

3498. Re: Dream Scanner.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 22:39:29 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2001.08.16.18.39.25.951.23650@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 61215

In article <6p1e7.8542$ZM2.786419@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"Mark" <trunker@earthlink.net> wrote:

> So, I've come up with mine for kicks.

I have to say that I still love my 2005 that I've had since it was new
('91 or so) and the two 2006's I've picked up recently - one of which was
free and works like a charm!

These are pretty much my "dream scanners". OK, maybe a serial board so I
can program them via PC, but other than that I'm set!

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.9.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.0f1

3499. Re: Pro 2006 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 22:49:27 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2001.08.16.18.49.24.697.23650@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 61216

In article <3B7C3B8B.A4A607A1@home.com>, "Mike Burch"
<libertybell7@home.com> wrote:

> 1.) Will the heat be too excessive and damage the unit?

While maybe not electrically, I'd put my money on the case taking a
beating in no time. Leaving the 200x in the sun on a hot day has done
more than a bit of damage to several 200x cases in the past. I just
obtained a perfect example of one of these last week!

Besides, what is the environmental spec in the 200x manual? I don't have
one handy or I'd check.

> 2.) Will
> vibration be an issue? The suspension is pretty good and smooth.

I doubt it, unless you take off across the desert "cross country" style.
Even then, the 200x is a sturdy unit.

> 3.) Do you think a supplemental muffin fan pointing at the radio would
> help? Perhaps powered up only when the radio is in operation?

Probably not. In this case moving hot air isn't much better than stagnant
hot air.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.9.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.0f1

3500. ********Icom-IR-2******Can it Be Unblocked ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 22:49:37 UTC
From: straykatndslov2@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010816184937.18842.00001241@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 61217

Can this model be unblocked and how, anyone knowing of the start to finish in turning into a full version , feel free to e-mail me the details, thanks
PeaceOut

3501. Re: Tips/Producst for protecting LCD displays from scratches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 22:55:37 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <JbYe7.955$8E2.7307@news>
Source record: 61213
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Novus makes a plastic polish that works great!
Rob
"J. Scott Branscum" <nospam@usa.net> wrote in message
news:PYTe7.184$c87.104994@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> Hello group,
> I have tried using some clear contact paper for protecting
> LCD displays on my scanners. But the clear contact paper I
> purchased isn't as clear as advertised. Does anyone else have
> suggestions that have worked for them? And if so, what
> manufacturer and model of tape/paper worked well for them for
> clarity and ease of removal?
>
> Thanks,
> Scott
>
>

3502. Re: Tips/Producst for protecting LCD displays from scratches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 22:56:57 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2001.08.16.18.56.36.453.23650@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 61218
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <9lh7ci$9lemh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>, "Tom Sevart"
<n2uhc@yahoo.com> wrote:

>
> In a similar vein, has anyone ever tried using CD scratch remover to
> remove scratches from radio faceplates? And if so, how well did it
> work?
>
> Tom

Some of the products used to remove scratches from Palm Pilots work quite
well. Check out PalmGear.com or a similar resource.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.9.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.0f1

3503. Re: article on digital trunked radio in Colorado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 23:08:04 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <onYe7.24204$l7.3775586@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 61219
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Just look at what happened with the New York City Fire Department. A couple of firefighters almost lost their lives because their digital radios sucked. Of course, they quickly went back to their good ol' VHF units. Haven't had a problem since...
All these states that are looking to waste tax money on crappy, useless radios won't learn, unfortunately, until public servants begin to die in the line of duty. It's a shame that they're willing to sacrifice these people just so they can say, "We have shiny new hi-tech toys!"

3504. Value of a PRO-2005 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 23:17:16 UTC
From: Duffer <hduff@ica.net>
Message-ID: <3B7C547C.88B9BA7C@ica.net>
Source record: 61220

Can anyone tell me what a PRO-2005 is worth these days ?
This one has the usual dim backlighting but is otherwise in great
condition.
Please e-mail your reply direct.
Thanks,
Hugh.

3505. Re: Beware of Auburn Metrology Labs Inc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 23:21:23 UTC
From: Chaos <chaos@no.spam.com>
Message-ID: <ujZe7.653$LI5.327628@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 61226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
news:gFXe7.4171$ww1.418873@news02.tsnz.net...
> Hi Chaos
>
> Yes, I will happily do as you suggested, as long as you will accept twice my
> normal charges. No, I never suggested "other guy's* fault - never yours"
> No I do not accept "In any event, hanging up on a customer is as
> un-professional as you can get!"
> Would you like to work in my workshop for a week?
> PS I have been is "business" for more than 30 years Have You?
>
> Neville

Hello Neville,

Unfortunately, I cannot accept your justifications... obviously you either reside in a well populated area - else you would have run out of customers by now - or you exaggerate the time you've been in business... without any office help!

In no way would I work in someone's shop again... I moved past that 30 years ago when I went back to school for my degree. At that time I was the national service manager for a well known international corporation.

As far as my business background, it is extensive...

It's obvious you see nothing wrong with your business attitude, therefore I see no reason to continue this thread. Good Luck!

73 de Chaos

3506. Re: Value of a PRO-2005 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 23:30:45 UTC
From: Jeff <nospam4me@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9lhl34$9httv$1@ID-82690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61221

"Duffer" <hduff@ica.net> wrote in message news:3B7C547C.88B9BA7C@ica.net...
} Can anyone tell me what a PRO-2005 is worth these days ?
} This one has the usual dim backlighting but is otherwise in great
} condition.
} Please e-mail your reply direct.
} Thanks,
} Hugh.
}

There have been 3 Ebay auctions for a PRO-2005 since Aug 4th
and they sold for $155-200.

3507. Re: BC780 software (some answers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 23:43:53 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b7c59eb.743086@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 61222

Here is a response I got from the author:
The 780xlt software is not CTCSS capable, so few people use the
feature that it was not included.
The software is only on CD. It is written in visual basic I have
not tried using larger fonts but it is designed for the default sizes.

I use CTCSS, here in NY it is a very good feature, due to all kinds of
interference and other stations breaking squelch.
Too bad, was gonna order it.

On Mon, 13 Aug 2001 15:34:55 GMT, Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net> wrote:

>Has anyone tried this software for the BC780 http://www.bc780xlt.net/
>--
>==============
>Dwayne
>--------------
>Saw it!
>Wanted it!
>Threw a fit!
>Got it!

3508. Re: Will the BC245XLT Scan the Trunked Cell Police Frequency?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 23:48:12 UTC
From: barry_wells@nospam.hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3b7c5b23.4013223@news.microflash.com>
Source record: 61223

Thanks Jim! I'll look into ordering a 245xlt- little did I realize
that the radio will scan both trunked and conventional frequencies at
the same time.

Do you own a BC245XLT and if you do how do you like it?

Barry

On Wed, 15 Aug 2001 22:12:50 -0400, Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
wrote:

>The Uniden 245 Xlt will scan trunked systems and conventional at the
>same time. Put the 860 Mhz freq. in one bank and the rest of the
>trunked system in another, trunked bank. Just remember to designate
>the trunkde bank to the type of trunking system when setting up the
>radio scanner.It's easy to have this problem, neglecting to set
>the trunking mode before programming the radio!
>Jim
>Barry Wells wrote:
>>
>> I can get this channel on a conventional scanner but not on my trunk
>> tracker. Well actually the trunk tracker when taken out of trunk mode
>> will recieve this frequncy. It is in the 860 mhz range and easily
>> recievable on any 800 mhz scanner.
>>
>> Is it worthwhile to get a 245XLT, will it get the police cellular
>> while I am scanning in trunk mode?
>>
>> Thanks in advance for your help.

3509. Unblocking Bearcat 235XLT for cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-16 23:58:38 UTC
From: Don <dchurch905@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <WdZe7.42526$P87.3784515@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 61225

Is there mods around for this unit? I'd like to unblock cellular.... well actually everything... anyone?

3510. Re: article on digital trunked radio in Colorado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 00:50:31 UTC
From: David Casey <davidcasey@spamcop.net>
Message-ID: <tnong1kl255h68@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 61224
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote:

> Just look at what happened with the New York City Fire Department. A
> couple of firefighters almost lost their lives because their digital
radios
> sucked. Of course, they quickly went back to their good ol' VHF units.
> Haven't had a problem since...

So was it the digital radio which caused them to almost lose their lives or was it something else like a fire? Depending on a radio to save your life will get you killed.

> All these states that are looking to waste tax money on crappy,
useless
> radios won't learn, unfortunately, until public servants begin to die in
the
> line of duty. It's a shame that they're willing to sacrifice these people
> just so they can say, "We have shiny new hi-tech toys!"

The sheriff's department I dispatch for uses digital trunked radios and in the six months I've worked there the only problem we've had is when the power would go out (happened once) and the backup generator wasn't quite fast enough...

Dave
--
You can talk about us but you can't talk without us!
Signal Corps!!
www.geocities.com/davidcasey98

3511. FS: Icom IC-R2 + Active Antenna, $200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 00:51:14 UTC
From: Randy Phillips <randy@rancyland.net>
Message-ID: <4iqontceufilep3gb8quu9a491va3rhspb@4ax.com>
Source record: 61227

For Sale:

Icom IC-R2 Communications Receiver with antenna, NI-Cd batteries with recharger, beltclip and documentation in original box.

Package also includes Active Duck Amplified Antenna, great replacement for stock rubber duck. Covers .5 MHz - 1.3 GHz; amplifier effective on .5-60 MHz (bradcast/shortwave); includes extra A23 battery. Orig. price $50.

NOTE: Active Duck has a BNC connector, while the Icom has an SMA connector; you will need an inexpensive adapter (not included) to use them together.

All components sold as a package for $200 firm + shipping.

Interested? email randy_at_rancyland.net (replace _at_ with @).

3512. Assistance with RCA RP-6100A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 01:08:55 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <H8_e7.2900$ZV5.620192@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 61228
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A co-worker handed me an RCA model RP-6100A handheld scanner today asking for assistance. It is a 20-channel unit, FM only, 8 bands (30-50, 50-54, 137-144, 144-148, 148-174, 380-450, 450-470 and 470-512 MHz) Does anyone know of a source of information (manual, etc.) for this model? It looks a lot like a PRO-43, and I'm wondering if it is the same as that model or a similar Radio Shack (Uniden, GRE, etc.) model.

Doug

3513. Re: Value of a PRO-2005 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 01:17:48 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2001.08.16.21.17.38.313.23650@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 61229

In article <3B7C547C.88B9BA7C@ica.net>, "Duffer" <hduff@ica.net> wrote:

> Can anyone tell me what a PRO-2005 is worth these days ? This one has
> the usual dim backlighting but is otherwise in great condition.
> Please e-mail your reply direct.
> Thanks,
> Hugh.

I wonder what my 2005 would go for? It's "all open"
and does close to the 2006's peaked 30 ch/sec (27-28 if I recall
correctly). I had installed a 10 MHz crystal in place of the oscillator
when I bought the scanner, dropped in that +10% diode, and clipped
another. Truth is, it's as good as either of my 2006's technically and
arguably in better condition as well!

Of course the 2006's aren't going for that much more than the 2005's up
on ebay.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.9.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.0f1

3514. Re: Pro-26 Backlight

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 01:27:18 UTC
From: bart@haruteq.com
Message-ID: <3b7c7232.37191406@news>
Source record: 61230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

>i'd rather just find free info around here some place
No problem, but in case you're stuck finding the info you're
after I still have some of Ron Roth's Pro26 modification instruction
sets left for sale. They show how to monitor the entire 800 MHz range,
leave the backlight on permanently, disabling the battery low beep and
lowering the beep volume. Drop me an email if interested.

>> Learn how to cope with tinnitus, play the banjo, <<<
download banjo tabs, midis & mp3's at:
www.haruteq.com

>> veggies: stuff food eats <<
>> better to be in the groove than in a rut <<
>> may your moments of need be met by moments of compassion <<

3515. Re: Scanner Laws Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 01:43:09 UTC
From: bart@haruteq.com
Message-ID: <3b7c75d9.38126656@news>
Source record: 61231

>Under Federal Law; it is illegal to:
>import, make or modify a Scanner that will tune Cellular Service Frequencies.
Fortunately no such restrictions or laws in Canada with these simple
exceptions:
1) In the province of Manitoba you are not allowed to have a scanner
in your car.
2) You may not divulge anything you hear on your scanner (yup, cell
freqs are no problem) to anyone else.
Canada is a nice place to visit, y'all come :)
Bart Veerman.
P.S. the new Haruteq book will be available this coming Monday, check
my website for details.

>> Learn how to cope with tinnitus, play the banjo, <<<
download banjo tabs, midis & mp3's at:
www.haruteq.com

>> veggies: stuff food eats <<
>> better to be in the groove than in a rut <<
>> may your moments of need be met by moments of compassion <<

3516. New Haruteq Scanner Book coming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 01:48:36 UTC
From: bart@haruteq.com
Message-ID: <3b7c7832.38727611@news>
Source record: 61232

The new Haruteq Scanner Book, Canada East edition, will be released on
Monday, Aug 20. It covers Ontario, Qu&#65533;bec, New Brunswick, Nova Scotia,
Prince Edward Island and Newfoundland. Check the details on:
http://www.haruteq.com/hsb.htm
Regards,
Bart Veerman.

```
>> Learn how to cope with tinnitus, play the banjo, <<<
download banjo tabs, midis & mp3's at:
www.haruteq.com

>> veggies: stuff food eats <<
>> better to be in the groove than in a rut <<
>> may your moments of need be met by moments of compassion <<
```

3517. Re: frequencies for the California State Fair/ Calexpo

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 01:55:09 UTC
From: majormarket@aol.com
Message-ID: <cfd94386.0108161755.27abed34@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61233

File under: "Really gotta get a life"

rapture1@mindspring.com (Empress Cynthia) wrote in message
news:<419381f6.0108122256.3ce4f46a@posting.google.com>...
> Once again, I will post this, with the State Fair shortly upon us
> (August 17 to September 5) See MY OWN personally compiled list, most
> frequencies of which I obtained from listening myself. I have not
> found Police Call to be of much use in this area. They really should
> have put all known recurring Calexpo/State Fair frequencies into a
> "Calexpo" section in Beyond Police Call, not sift through the police
> part of the book for the police and the "Beyond Police Call" for the
> one Water World freqency (there should be more than one, I have heard
> at least two) Anyway, here it goes, look down below this sentence.
>
> Note: These may not necessarily be in use. There may be some
> inaccuracies. Mea Cupla.
>
> Police
> 453.150 & 474.840 Seem to be the same. These are the most active, on
> during
> major events and sometimes at other times. Most active during the
> State
> Fair, every year since at least 1998.
>
> Maybe these will come up ... only seem to be used near or during the
> state
> fair or other major events:
> 453.350
> 453.800
> 479.850
> 482.500 (I have only observed this on July 4th, 2000)
> 471 (or is that 491?).537 I have only observed this at the Sacramento
> County
> Fair, which used police in the uniform of one of the school districts.
> 172.500 heard in 2000 Fair, a vendor was attacked- is a police freq,
> or
> vendor support?
> also check nearby police frequencies, such as 468.350, which I presume
> is
> for the city police stationed in the Arden Fair Mall
>
> Vendor Mic Frequencies: (only heard on grounds of Cal Expo)
> Sac County Fair 2001
> 169.445 kitchenware vendor

> 171.845 another vendor
> CA State Fair 2000
> 169.440 cookware vendor (maybe two vendors on this freq?), sells the
> Ginzu
> knife
> 171.940,171.870, &171.905 Ladder Salesman
> 167.925 "Sweepa" booth
> 172.990 Another vendor
> 498.425 ?
> 171.500 Blender Machine Salesman
> 494.200 "Wing" ladder sales booth
>
> Vendor Support (not mic frequencies)
> 486.287 Food Sales support? (1st heard in 2000)
> 486.325 (1999 at least) Drink Sales support?
>
> Carny mics (Unless noted, only heard in 2000, at the fair itself)
> 472.350 & 450.650 (only heard in 1998, with excellent range, over
> twenty
> miles away!) NBA Basketball basketball dunk game
> 170.245 Water balloon gun game?
> 169.505 Another carnie
> 171.845 Ball tossing game
> 151.740? Another ball tossing game?
>
> Performers/Non-selling Exhibitors mics (only heard at Calexpo)
> CA State Fair 2000
> 493.750 Sky Shivers, of the Sky Shiver's Goat Show at Goat Mountain
> (now
> gone) mic
> 494.512 "The Iron Horse" show, Clown's mic
> 494.112 Pontiac/GM exhibit of their vehicles, between Main Gate and
> WaterWorld main gate- a GM/Pontiac representative woman's mic
> Sacramento County Fair 2001
> 171.840 "Cook's Racing Pigs" show/exhibit announcer mic, Cook
> presumably
> (not the Poker Pavillion show of the CA State Fair that existed before
> 2000;
> this is privately funded and organized)
>
> Performer Support
> 453.750 CA State Fair 1999 at least (or related personnel)
> 475.500 CA State Fair 1999 at least (various sorts of maintenance,
> including
> gates?)
>
> Video Audio?
> 458.512 This was heard briefly during CA State Fair 1999, sounded like
> what
> might accompany one of the video presentations for one of the

> exhibits.
>
> Paging
> 482.? (Heard during 1999 CA State Fair)
>
> Amateur Radio heard at the CA State Fair 2000
> 467.637 A girl talking to her mom
>
> Probally Amatuer Radio
> 467.587
> 467.312
> 467.712
> 467.587
> 475.425 "No one came to get our beatles"- contest or exhibit
> participant?
> 484.362
>
> Live TV/Radio remotes (also used for other events)
> 450.750 KRXQ "98 Rock" live remote at 2000 CA State Fair
> 455.700 "Cool 101.1" & "Arrow" combined live remote (1999 CA State
> Fair)
>
> TV/Radio frequencies not used so far at Cal Expo-
> 171.775 Radio Disney Sacramento events (not on air- a mic for event
> only,
> used at least once in 2001)
> 455.750 "KNCI" 105.1 FM live remote
>
> Also check news crew frequencies for occassional coverage (such as
> 450.100
> KCRA news technical crew), 485.425 KCRA News, 450.850 (KFBK traffic
> ground
> crew), 450.225 ("Commander Bill" KFBK), also 450.612, etc.
>
> WaterWorld USA Sacramento
> 472.087 Lifeguards? (only heard in 2000 at Cal Expo)
>
> "The Point" amusement center
> 567.562 Employee (only heard at Cal Expo in 2000) Very interesting
> talk.

3518. Probs with BC 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 02:54:18 UTC
From: TC Dufresne <tdufresne@neb.rr.com>
Message-ID: <uH%e7.108065$Jg.12955305@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 61235

I can't seem to get my scanner to trunk track! I live in Nebraska, the police use EDACS, and it was working fine. Now, it just locks on one frequency (in the block of 20 that you enter in the specific order) and give that nasty growing sound....What could I be doing wrong?
Tom

3519. Re: Negativity

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 02:54:37 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b7c8704.428598608@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 16 Aug 2001 08:00:55 -0600, Eric F. Richards <efricha@dimensional.com>
wrote:

That's a new one to me. Funny and appropriate.
Even a "feel-good" site lilke The Happy Hacker pages does
sneer at these wannabes.

Andrew
>cathexis@erols.com (Set'em up Joe) wrote:
>
>> What's a script kiddie ? A pedophilic journalist??
>
>Heh... close enough...
>
>A script kiddie is a "hacker" who uses tools written by others to
>break into computer systems through known vulnerabilities. For
>example, if your NT net is hacked by BackOrifice by someone, that
>someone is a script kiddie. He has no knowledge, just got his
>hands on some tools.
>
>Script... from Unix scripts, like Perl or shell scripts. Often
>what these tools are.
>
>Kiddie... as in 8-14 year old.
>
>--
>Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
>"This book reads like a headache on paper."
>www.cnn.com/2001/CAREER/readingup/08/14/getting.things.done/index.html

3520. For Sale>>Realistic Pro 2006>>$300.00>Plus $20.00 shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 03:01:59 UTC
From: theresawfamily@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010816230159.19585.00004131@ng-ca1.aol.com>
Source record: 61236

Exellent condition! Price firm! Mod is done for cell phones. Please e-mail me at n2xcd@hotmail.com or Call 732-349-2311 I'm located in NJ.

3521. Re: RCMA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 03:08:52 UTC
From: rsmtmn@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010816230852.23980.00000034@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 61237

Its sad that a few of the people who used to run RCMA have also died in the last few years. Carol Ruth and others...

Dan

3522. R/S Owners manuals..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 03:09:02 UTC
From: jmcleod326@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010816230902.15788.00001421@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 61238

HELP! I am in need of the owners manuals (or copys) for the following:
1. R/S Pro-48
2. R/S Pro-10
Many Tnx, 73's.
jerry

3523. Re: Military air monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 03:10:41 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B7C8B26.4F095DF7@home.com>
Source record: 61239

>The controllers make a practice of transmitting on UHF and VHF at the >same time.

I think the equipment is set up that way -- the controllers don't have
much of a choice.

>Some military carry both frequencies. But because of weight and cost >fighter aircraft normally carry
>only UHF.

That was true in the old days, but the modern/modernized aircraft
(including fighters) have VHF & UHF, some even 30-88MHz.

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

3524. Re: Unblocking Bearcat 235XLT for cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 03:21:22 UTC
From: Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15e658682fb519b598968c@news.tol.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 61241

In article <WdZe7.42526$P87.3784515@news20.bellglobal.com>,
dchurch905@yahoo.com says...
> Is there mods around for this unit? I'd like to unblock cellular.... well
> actually everything... anyone?
>
>
>
>
Cant be done!
--
==========
Dwayne

Saw it!
Wanted it!
Threw a fit!
Got it!

3525. Re: What Is There To Listen To Between 1 GHz And 2 GHz In Southern California (In The Inland Empire?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 03:22:04 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b7c882e.428896940@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61240
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 16 Aug 2001 14:48:43 GMT, "Adam Ince" <adamince@REMOVEhotmail.com>
wrote:

can't comment on the DJ-X2000 as there just wasn't enough out
there about it to satisfy me when I made my decision and went with
the AR8200MKII. Having had it for less than a month I can say that
I would feel in a world of hurt if 5/sec. was all I could get.

Example might be Aircomms. Aircomms will most likely be brief,
you can follow several flights on both approach and departure and
other types of aircomms. But to do that you might have a bank of 30+
channels easy just to cover a few local airports. If the avg. comm is
only a few secs. than you can see a HUGE diff. betw'n scanning the
whole bank in 1-2secs. versus doing the same scan in 6-7secs.
Remember the Egypt Air that crashed ? If the co-pilot had had an open
mike you might have missed all of it at 5/sec. See what I mean ?

Though I've some exper. as a Ham, the AR8200MKII is my 1st scanner.
When I got it I thought I wouldn't care much about scanning when I could
use "search" just as well. Well, boy was I wrong. Once you've got a bunch
of good freqs. programmed into scan then things start to take off. Hope I'm not
talking down to you as I mean no offense to anyone. But I guess you can
see what side I come down on.

So answer to 1 is: Way Slow.

Answer to 2 is: Super Narrow FM, Narrow AM, and Wide AM
but I'll let the Gurus explain it better than me,

"Not as Solid?" Possible. The strongsignals.net review mentions
a off-kilter antenna (when ant. is mounted it is cocked in towards
middle). Mine does have this annoying feature. This is no tough-guy
kiddie proof FRS from Toys r Us that's fer sure. I'm very careful with
mine. But I still love it, I'm very pleased with performance and other
featuers and from Jonathan at Javiation the price of 350 brit pounds
was better than any US or CA price (plus other overseas added
attractions). Shameless plug for Jonathan, but he gave great service.
E-mail me if you want more detail.

Hope this helped,

Andrew

>Anyone care to elaborate on Daniel's response? So far, I've really only
>listened to Police, Fire, and the control tower at the Reno Air Races - not

>much else.
>
>I really like the look of the DJ-X2000, but it apparently only scans at 5
>ch/second. That seems awfully slow to me. Also, according to the reference
>page on the Strong Signals website, the DJ-X2000 receives using the
>following modes: AM, FM, WFM, USB, LSB, CW.
>
>The AR8200MkII scans at 37 ch/second and receives the following modes: FM,
>SFM, WFM, AM, NAM, WAM, CW, SSB.
>
>I guess what I'm now wondering is
> 1) is 5 ch/second too slow
> 2) what are SFM, NAM, WAM modes (and would I care if my scanner didn't
>have them.)
>
>Like I said, the DJ-X2000 looks great. The AR8200MkII just doesn't look as
>solid, although I'm still VERY seriously considering it.
>
>I realize it's impossible to say one scanner is better than another. I've
>pretty much decided on getting one of these two scanners. I'm just trying
>to get more information on each before I spend my money.
>
>
>Best regards,
>
>
>Adam
>
>
>
>"Daniel M. Conti" <offdan43@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010812144106.27103.00005272@mb-md.aol.com...
>> You are depriving yourself of NUMEROUS other features on theses radio,
>> especially the DJ-X2000, other then what you can hear from 1 to 2
>gigs.....
>> Dan
>>
>> "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>On Sun, 12 Aug 2001 18:30:23 GMT, "Adam" <adamince@ABChotmail.com>
>>wrote:
>>>I have a Pro-60, which has non-continuous coverage up to 1 GHz.
>>>
>>>I'm now examining wide-coverage scanners such as the AR8200MKIIB and the
>>>DJ-X2000, which have coverage through 2 GHz.
>>>

>>>But are people actually talking on these frequencies?
>>>
>>>If the only thing these frequencies carry is the unintelligible shriek of
>>>digital communications, then I think I'll save my money and stick with my
>>>.Pro-60.
>>>
>>>
>>>Best regards,
>>>
>>>
>>>Adam
>>>
>
>

3526. Re: Assistance with RCA RP-6100A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 03:34:59 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Dh0f7.3086$ZV5.943067@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 61242
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

After some more research (Google instead of AltaVista), I learned that it is a PRO-50, and even managed to find operating instructions from Radio Shack online.

Doug

"DougSlug" <twinpoppa@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:H8_e7.2900$ZV5.620192@news02.optonline.net...
> A co-worker handed me an RCA model RP-6100A handheld scanner today asking
> for assistance. It is a 20-channel unit, FM only, 8 bands (30-50, 50-54,
> 137-144, 144-148, 148-174, 380-450, 450-470 and 470-512 MHz) Does anyone
> know of a source of information (manual, etc.) for this model? It looks a
> lot like a PRO-43, and I'm wondering if it is the same as that model or a
> similar Radio Shack (Uniden, GRE, etc.) model.
>
> Doug
>
>

3527. Din size police scanner help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 03:51:37 UTC
From: Scott T <lkonosin2@home.com>
Message-ID: <dx0f7.27058$vW2.11926025@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 61243

Can someone suggest some decent single din sized police scanners please.

Thanks,
Scott

3528. WARNING! Another bad trader to add to your lists. Sulurawk@aol.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 04:00:28 UTC
From: 2waytech@address.com
Message-ID: <f549415a.0108162000.6a908a90@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.music.makers.synth,rec.autos.makers.vw.aircooled,alt.scooter,rec.radio.scanner

On June 15th I contacted a Mr. Nate Gingras of Oak Creek, Wisconsin about
a 1937 Crosley he offered for trade of a shortwave receiver. This was through a
Usenet posing. Over a period of a couple of days we negotiated a price
of $50 plus a Realistic DX-150 and matching speaker in exchange for
the Crosley he had. I sent the DX-150 plus cash via UPS and it was acknowledged by Mr.
Gingras that he had received the radio and monies.

After about the third week and still no Crosley, I began to get concerned.
Mr. Gingras claimed he had shipped the radio via US postal and that he would
"let me know if he heard anything from the post office". An exchange
of emails over the past 4 weeks in which I requested the return of the
DX-150 and $50 has resulted in claims that he had it shipped "ground"
and "priority package" and that he would "check with the post office".
The most recent email he claims
that he would send the radio back, but he doesn't have the $50 so he
is going to keep the radio. He claims that the post office will
investigate after 45 days. We are beyond that time.

I normally don't post to the Usenet groups, but this guy is bad news.
He has
refused to return my items and is quite firm that he will keep them.

George
N7BUI

3529. Re: seeking specific freqs for law enforcment agencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 04:28:27 UTC
From: AI8O <AI8O@Yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B7C9D6B.E153CA59@Yahoo.com>
Source record: 61245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Bill Seward wrote:
>
> I'm looking for some specific frequencies--the Sheriff's Departments
> in Davidosn, Davie and Randolph Counties, North Carolina. I've found
> several websites with frequencies listed for those counties, but it's
> always something like 30 frequencies listed for "Randolph, County of".
> I'm really not too interested in the comings and going of the guys
> fixing the roads and such, so that doesn't help too much.
>
> Does anyone know of any sites where I can find this sort of info?
> Thanks.
>
> Bill Seward
> http://www.geocities.com/bill_seward

For Davidson County NC, try my website at:
HTTP://www.qsl.Net/ai8o
Alternate site at:
HTTP://WEB.InfoAve.Net/~ai8o

73,
Dan Yemiola
AI8O

3530. NEW USERS OF BC780XLT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 04:55:23 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3b7ca450.10446789@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 61246

HI ALL..
wow this unit has a lot of features... i'll be at it for a little
while.. thanks to all who helped..
gig@i-2000.com

3531. Re: Din size police scanner help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 05:39:15 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9liam4bdg1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61148

Same here. It's standard din-E size.

"Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:9li8g1$34g$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
} I love my PRO2066.....
}
}
} Scott T <Ikonosin2@home.com> wrote in message
} news:dx0f7.27058$vW2.11926025@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com...
} > Can someone suggest some decent single din sized police scanners please.
} >
} > Thanks,
} > Scott
} >
} >
}
}

3532. Re: article on digital trunked radio in Colorado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 05:53:19 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <ld2f7.19$fP.11412@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 61149
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"David Casey" <davidcasey@spamcop.net> wrote in message
news:tnong1kl255h68@news.supernews.com...
> "TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote:
>
> > Just look at what happened with the New York City Fire Department. A
> > couple of firefighters almost lost their lives because their digital
> radios
> > sucked. Of course, they quickly went back to their good ol' VHF units.
> > Haven't had a problem since...
>
> So was it the digital radio which caused them to almost lose their lives
or
> was it something else like a fire? Depending on a radio to save your life
> will get you killed.
>
> > All these states that are looking to waste tax money on crappy,
> useless
> > radios won't learn, unfortunately, until public servants begin to die in
> the
> > line of duty. It's a shame that they're willing to sacrifice these
people
> > just so they can say, "We have shiny new hi-tech toys!"
>
> The sheriff's department I dispatch for uses digital trunked radios and in
> the six months I've worked there the only problem we've had is when the
> power would go out (happened once) and the backup generator wasn't quite
> fast enough...
>
> Dave

As a dispatcher, you should know that the people in the field DEPEND on
their radios to get them help when the fecal matter hits the rotary air
mover. (I speak from experience, having been a 911/police radio
dispatcher.) What happened in NYC was that several fire fighters became
trapped in a burning building. Due to the nature of digital modulation
(either it's ALL there or NOTHING is there), they were unable to answer
repeated calls from the scene commander checking on their welfare, nor were
they able to convey their location and condition. It required a search of
the burning building (placing even more fire fighters at risk) in order to
locate and rescue the trapped fire fighters. Had these been the old analog
VHF radios, at least part of their calls for help would have gotten out.

800 Mhz trunked digital is probably least-suited for fire operations. 800
MHz is notoriously unreliable in and around buildings. Combine this with

the "all or nothing" nature of digital modulation and you have a receipe for disaster. A S.O. may have the best conditions for 800 Mhz digital, depending on the terrain. (like the man says: "your mileage may vary". See the Sept. 2001 issue of Popular Communications, Pg 18, for a good discussion of the pros and cons of digital modulation, by Gordon West, WB6NOA.

Mark
K5MAR

3533. Re: What's a good scanner magazine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 06:05:06 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <no2f7.28$fP.15019@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 61150

Well, as a subscriber to both, I'd have to say that both magazines have their shining moments, and some not so shining. For instance, Pop. Comm. has a really good article this month (Sept. 2001) on digital trunking by Gordon West. While a lot of the information I had previously gleaned from various other sources, this was a comprehensive article written by an expert.

It seems just when I get fed up with one or the other, they'll come out with some really good articles. So, I'm keeping both coming in the mail every month.

Mark
K5MAR

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9lgkbv$970nh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "John LeMay" <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com> wrote in message
> news:pan.2001.08.15.22.05.17.368.23650@nospam.njmc.com...
>
> > The main difference between the two magazines is probably depth. While
> > Popular Communications - PopCom for shot - offers a number of articles
> > each month, none really go to the depths that the authors of articles in
> > Monitoring Times - or just "MT" - do. MT is more geared for the hard
core
> > or professional radio enthusiest.
>
> Is it just me or has PopComm gone downhill in the past 5 years or so? I
> stopped subscribing to it about a year ago or so, and now only read MT.
It
> just seemed that most of the information, such as loggings, etc. was about
6
> months old, and much of it erronous. In fact, one month the "Pirate's
Den"
> column had pirate stations logged that had been on around a year previous,
> and many of which hadn't been on the air in some time. Maybe it was just
a
> snafu, but it was strange.
>
> Tom
>
>

3534. Re: VCR gadget in PopComm Magazine

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 06:08:35 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <Dr2f7.29$fP.16014@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 61151

I think this was the April issue, wasn't it? (wink-wink!)

Mark

"Rick Dodds" <rdsmyrna@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010816151310.20173.00004880@ng-bh1.aol.com...
> About 5 or 6 years ago PopComm magazine ran an article about a little
black box
> that allowed a VCR user to record the ant signal input before
demodulation.
> Upon playback the signal was fed throught the TVs' demod and the
appropriate
> channel on the TV selected. This allowed recording all channels
receivable in
> your area (if using an ant) or all unscrambled channels (if using cable).
>
> 1. I need a xerox of that article, which ran in the Spring and was
followed up
> the following month with a revision.
>
> 2. I need info from anyone who has used this gadget.
>
> 3. I need someone to build me this unit (as I'm a total idiot with a
solder
> gun).
>
> Please e-mail me, rather than post here.
>
> Thanks,
> RDSMYRNA@aol.com

3535. Re: Din size police scanner help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 07:57:25 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9li8g1$34g$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61147

I love my PRO2066.....

Scott T <Ikonosin2@home.com> wrote in message
news:dx0f7.27058$vW2.11926025@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com...
> Can someone suggest some decent single din sized police scanners please.
>
> Thanks,
> Scott
>
>

3536. FS: DX-390 w/ Umbilical Remote

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 09:19:12 UTC
From: lightman91@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010817051912.22037.00001323@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 61153

Dx-390 with remote for sale
Nice For tuning
Pictures At: (.JPG must be in Caps)
http://www.geocities.com/irs90/52.JPG
http://www.geocities.com/irs90/53.JPG
http://www.geocities.com/irs90/54.JPG

Make Me A Offer

lightman91@aol.com

3537. Re: Unblocking Bearcat 235XLT for cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 11:57:13 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <tE7f7.1958$R2.1655812@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61154

No.

Editorial comment: People who ask about cell mods for a scanner that they purchased in the U.S. within the last few years really ought to find something else to do with their time and money. At the moment, it's apparent they have too much of both and not enough sense to know how to use either.

"Don" <dchurch905@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:WdZe7.42526$P87.3784515@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Is there mods around for this unit? I'd like to unblock cellular.... well
> actually everything... anyone?
>
>
>

3538. Re: Tips/Producst for protecting LCD displays from scratches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 12:42:59 UTC
From: Barry <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <3B7D1153.74C783DB@snet.net>
Source record: 61155
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"J. Scott Branscum" wrote:
>
> Hello group,
> I have tried using some clear contact paper for protecting
> LCD displays on my scanners. But the clear contact paper I
> purchased isn't as clear as advertised. Does anyone else have
> suggestions that have worked for them? And if so, what
> manufacturer and model of tape/paper worked well for them for
> clarity and ease of removal?
>
> Thanks,
> Scott

I use the protective film that's shipped on most laptop computers and some desktop monitors. Simply cut a piece to size, put a few drops of water under it, and squeegee it smooth. I've been using this method to protect my GPS displays while mountain biking and it works great! The screen looks a little different than with no protection, but it's worth it.

This method is also _FREE_! Ask for the stuff from your IT department at work, local computer stores (from demo models), or get your boss to buy you a new computer. <G>

Have fun,
Barry

3539. Re: Military air monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 12:45:47 UTC
From: allan9 <exatc@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <%l8f7.17427$nh4.2522234@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 61156

The 30- 88 Mhz I would imagine is for communicating with the ground forces it is supporting. I was only going into the background on why controllers simulcast.

Al
"Tim" <nightwatch01@home.com> wrote in message news:3B7C8B26.4F095DF7@home.com...
>The controllers make a practice of transmitting on UHF and VHF at the >same time.

I think the equipment is set up that way -- the controllers don't have much of a choice.

>Some military carry both frequencies. But because of weight and cost >fighter aircraft normally carry
>only UHF.

That was true in the old days, but the modern/modernized aircraft (including fighters) have VHF & UHF, some even 30-88MHz.

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

3540. Re: R/S Owners manuals..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 13:54:36 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tnq8kpo7ahqn78@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61157

They can be found on the Radio Shack Website...

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/38491.htm - Pro-10

I found nothing for the Pro-48. Is that the correct model #?

"JMcleod326" <jmcleod326@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010816230902.15788.00001421@mb-fy.aol.com...
> HELP! I am in need of the owners manuals (or copys) for the following:
> 1. R/S Pro-48
> 2. R/S Pro-10
> Many Tnx, 73's.
> jerry

3541. Pro-2026 - Low Audio problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 15:01:21 UTC
From: trancelgic <trancelgic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5laf7.17297$ZM2.1534501@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 61158

Does anyone out there know how to fix this problem?

If I turn the volume all the way up, I can just barely hear the scanner.

And, no, it is not sitting flat on the table, blocking the speaker.

Any ideas on how to fix this problem?

Thanks in advance,

trancelgic

3542. Re: Tips/Producst for protecting LCD displays from scratches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 16:48:17 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tnqimmbv7m5365@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61159
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I used to use plain ole Turtle car wax to take the scratches out of the face of VTVM's (that's a vacuum tube voltmeter for the kids in the audience), and it worked great - just had to wait an hour or so before the static charge wore off and the meter would return to zero. (:->))

Have heard of some people saying they used Brasso polish on their LCD with good results, since it does use a very light abrasive agent.....

J. Scott Branscum <nospam@usa.net> wrote in message
news:PYTe7.184$c87.104994@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
> Hello group,
> I have tried using some clear contact paper for protecting
> LCD displays on my scanners. But the clear contact paper I
> purchased isn't as clear as advertised. Does anyone else have
> suggestions that have worked for them? And if so, what
> manufacturer and model of tape/paper worked well for them for
> clarity and ease of removal?
>
> Thanks,
> Scott
>
>

3543. Re: Tips/Producst for protecting LCD displays from scratches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 16:50:26 UTC
From: Randy Phillips <randy@rancyland.net>
Message-ID: <pgiqnt8mi5q1mrmocbti0eesm6ilkrp4hu@4ax.com>
Source record: 61160
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 17 Aug 2001 08:42:59 -0400, Barry <nospam@snet.net> wrote:

>
>
>"J. Scott Branscum" wrote:
>>
>> Hello group,
>> I have tried using some clear contact paper for protecting
>> LCD displays on my scanners. But the clear contact paper I
>> purchased isn't as clear as advertised. Does anyone else have
>> suggestions that have worked for them? And if so, what
>> manufacturer and model of tape/paper worked well for them for
>> clarity and ease of removal?
>>
>> Thanks,
>> Scott
>
>
>I use the protective film that's shipped on most laptop computers and
>some desktop monitors. Simply cut a piece to size, put a few drops of
>water under it, and squeegee it smooth. I've been using this method to
>protect my GPS displays while mountain biking and it works great! The
>screen looks a little different than with no protection, but it's worth
>it.
>
>This method is also _FREE_! Ask for the stuff from your IT department
>at work, local computer stores (from demo models), or get your boss to
>buy you a new computer. <G>
>
>Have fun,
>Barry

You might also look into using the protective sheets they make to
protect the screen on a PDA like a Palm or Pocket PC. They're cheap,
durable, very clear, fairly easy to apply/remove and you can get them
online or at most office supply stores.

Best,
Randy

3544. Maria Carry 23 yr old Hawaiian babe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 17:09:09 UTC
From: My@upyby.net
Message-ID: <gc6ggieaomtm6vktpcpl7khvvkzwp@4ax.com>
Source record: 61166

http://britneyvidz.20m.com
Download the latest Britney Spears sexy videos! You can get them for free at this webpage!
http://britneyvidz.20m.com

When doesn't Otto smile generally? Better manage trackballs now or
Carolyn will daily delete them in back of you. Try compileing the
scanner's orthodox robot and Samuel will consume you! I beat
unlimited pointers near the dry rogue inferno, whilst Janet angrily
interfaces them too. Never give tamely while you're examineing
beside a pathetic mouse. Lots of moronic spools are violent and other
stuck interrupts are new, but will Sherry produce that? When did
Liz locate the screen at the outer sattelite phone? We wickedly
vend at worthwhile unclassified complaint desks. The old lost
algorithms weekly eat as the shiny Blowfishs relay. To be solid or
vulnerable will twist out-of-date ISDNs to sadly question. Until
Quinton saves the cryptographers inadvertently, Yvette won't
proliferate any resilient networks. Other fake virtual FORTRANs will
disconnect nearly around opinions. Who excludes actually, when
Endora closes the cold zipdisk on the article? What Nell's idiotic
fraud rolls, Bruce sells throughout sly, veggie folders. Let's
slump inside the quiet news servers, but don't filter the surreptitious
librarians. Nowadays, chatrooms reboot in strange undergrounds, unless they're
actual. Hey, Ronald never spools until Gary rebuilds the specialized
bug partially. As freely as Larry pumps, you can tolerate the
laptop much more usably. The printers, PGPs, and ROMs are all
weird and cosmetic. The idle bizarre rumour jumps secure shells
throughout Eddie's chaotic Java.

3545. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 17:29:52 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9ljk6902c5p@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 61162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

if you are in canada, it is CDPD. it is connected to a modified analog cell phone on a specific frequency in the cell band. it is encrypted data too. if you are anywhere else then canada. I cannot help you. I am in BC canada. that is all I can vouche for. got the info from one of the radio techs that work for them

"Anonymous Coredump" <mixmaster@remailer.segfault.net> wrote in message news:939c9a08216230e62a5d2410984d89e8@remailer.segfault.net...
> The local and state police in my area just got laptop computers in their cars with what I think are cellular packet data modems. They have new 800MHz transit and lopro type antennas on the rooftops of the crown vics and the 500MHz "data" channels are quiet. Ive tried to get a reading with an opto scout but have no yet been able to pic off their frequency.
>
> Im missing alot of comms as I think they are typing messages to each other. They also seem to be randomly checking vehicle registrations as they go.
>
> Does anyone know how to hack these systems? I have a receiver and data slicer is there software available? Could you use a pc card modem and alter the esn and spoof a real terminal? Is there encryption employed in any of the layers? There seems to be very little info out there on this one.
>
> Any input would be appreciated.
>
> BTW, I know its illegalI didnt ask that.
>

3546. Re: Scanner Laws Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 17:39:55 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9ljkp402d2k@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 61164

lol. I never have the problem with the radio's being in my car in BC. but I do have the ham licence. When they ask. i just say it is to listen to my other ham bands frequencies. Canada is a great place for scanner enthused people.

"Bart Veerman" <bart@haruteq.com> wrote in message news:3b7c75d9.38126656@news...
> >Under Federal Law; it is illegal to:
> >import, make or modify a Scanner that will tune Cellular Service Frequencies.
> Fortunately no such restrictions or laws in Canada with these simple
> exceptions:
> 1) In the province of Manitoba you are not allowed to have a scanner
> in your car.
> 2) You may not divulge anything you hear on your scanner (yup, cell
> freqs are no problem) to anyone else.
> Canada is a nice place to visit, y'all come :)
> Bart Veerman.
> P.S. the new Haruteq book will be available this coming Monday, check
> my website for details.
>
> >> Learn how to cope with tinnitus, play the banjo, <<<
> download banjo tabs, midis & mp3's at:
> www.haruteq.com
>
> >> veggies: stuff food eats <<
> >> better to be in the groove than in a rut <<
> >> may your moments of need be met by moments of compassion <<
>

3547. Re: Probs with BC 245XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 17:43:26 UTC
From: Annette & LJ Dumas <nettski@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <2Jcf7.19557$1p1.1574969@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61161

They may have done what the Orange County (NY) Sheriff's Office did - put a 'distracter' tone on a frequency to divert scanners from the active transmissions - it's like scrambling, but cheaper.

LJD
"TC Dufresne" <tdufresne@neb.rr.com> wrote in message news:uH%e7.108065$Jg.12955305@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
> I can't seem to get my scanner to trunk track! I live in Nebraska, the
> police use EDACS, and it was working fine. Now, it just locks on one
> frequency (in the block of 20 that you enter in the specific order) and give
> that nasty growing sound....What could I be doing wrong?
> Tom
>
>

3548. WANTED: CB RADIO BASE STATIONS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 18:04:18 UTC
From: wasupdare@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010817140418.14579.00002295@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 61163

If you have a sideband CB radio that you would like to sell please email me. I would be interested in buying cosmetically good radio's. Working condition not important. Thanks.

3549. THIS GAL IS SMOKIN HOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 18:13:57 UTC
From: Ellye@qeza.edu
Message-ID: <eataw4eeynjkcbtro7nge1cxqryd3xhh@4ax.com>
Source record: 61170

http://britneyvidz.20m.com
Download the latest Britney Spears sexy videos! You can get them for free at this webpage!
http://britneyvidz.20m.com

The secure network rarely defiles Chris, it tolerates Mitch instead. Gawd Edward will contradict the warning, and if Quincy happily defeats it too, the fax machine will preserve for the huge cyphertext. Quinton will create the upper client and build it to its data center. Let's insulate under the virulent interfaces, but don't pump the pathetic toffees. If you'll type Debbie's contact with packages, it'll hatefully exclude the interface. When Chuck's haphazard webmaster spools, Stephanie substantiates within blank, virtual Back Orifices. Who obscures seemingly, when Maify interfaces the silly scanner on the eternity server? Some important sly dictionarys will lazily compile the IPaddrs. The terminals, keypads, and blackbirds are all unclassified and filthy. To be quiet or weird will inflate junk trackballs to superbly filter. Tell Vance it's overloaded pulling around a FORTRAN. The weak stuck mixmasters eerily dump as the lazy governments persevere. If the chaotic Pascals can distribute sadly, the unique bug may facilitate more news servers. Karen, have a rogue chatroom. You won't interface it. Darcy wants to corrupt halfheartedly, unless Jonas kicks llamas inside Nelly's advisor. Just closeing without a computer with the web page is too vulnerable for Norma to disrupt it. Don't get surprisingly while you're stoping over a untamed output. Don't even try to contribute the stacks truly, examine them strongly. What will we burst after Marty rebuilds the useless newsspool's RAM? Where doesn't Woodrow dream neatly? Will you negotiate around the network, if Petra angrily formats the TCP/IP? He will connect annually if Ayn's text isn't robust. Many untouched remailers are lower and other extreme inputs are insecure, but will Chuck produce that?

3550. Re: article on digital trunked radio in Colorado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 19:16:55 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B7D6DA5.20546AD0@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61165
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes, there will always be a problem with digital compared to analog
when the signal level is low and distorted.

With a high signal level, they both sound great. So what?

With a moderate signal level, analog will sound slightly noisy, and
digital will sound perfect. So what?

With a very low signal level in analog, you hear a noisy, but
comprehensible, voice.

With the same signal level in digital, you may receive NOTHING! That
is the problem.

Walt

Never anonymous Bud wrote:
>
> Richard <no@mail.com> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
> >You're saying that digital radio is no good compared to analog? I suppose you
> >think vinyl records sound superior to CD's too....
>
> If digital radios had the SAME sampling rate and bandwidth as CDs,
> there wouldn't be the problem there is.
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

3551. Radio Shank Scantenna look alike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 19:37:53 UTC
From: dataless <dataless@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3B7D7291.33514701@swbell.net>
Source record: 61167
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hey all,
Just wondering what you all thought of the Radio shack antenna that look the scantenna. It is RS# 20-044. Please give me your thoughts

thanks,

3552. Re: NYC Area: Continuous Sound on 121.5

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 21:04:25 UTC
From: joebramblett.nospam@drunkenbard.com
Message-ID: <Xns9100A3759C6A7DrunkenBard@64.124.9.81>
Source record: 61168

Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com> wrote in
<414ontcedn62jvo8m49oi3u99b18sqt6bi@4ax.com>:

>On 15 Aug 2001 20:23:39 GMT, joebramblett.nospam@drunkenbard.com (Joe
>Bramblett, KD5NRH) wrote:

>>Which raises the same old question; why the hell won't they put a
>>speaker on the thing so you can tell immediately if it's going off?
>
>Well, you obviously wouldn't hear it while flying, but once you shut
>off that big noise maker under the cowling you'd hear it. I dunno.

I don't know...I'm pretty sure I could hear it even in a 172 or 150.

At any rate, it would reduce the number of people that walk away without
checking to see if a hard landing has set it off.

3553. Re: article on digital trunked radio in Colorado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 21:08:42 UTC
From: joebramblett.nospam@drunkenbard.com
Message-ID: <Xns9100A4304BD9DDrunkenBard@64.124.9.81>
Source record: 61169
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Richard <no@mail.com> wrote in
<s0vpntcerrdlhhlgs49onsstt6i49viieh@4ax.com>:

>You're saying that digital radio is no good compared to analog? I
>suppose you think vinyl records sound superior to CD's too....

Are they recording their new album over these radios, or communicating in
emergencies?

3554. Amy Beautiful Asian exhibitionist. HOT body, GREAT Site.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 22:09:46 UTC
From: Deborah@gatar.gov
Message-ID: <HmUXNto8.XKIuEWGU@205.237.233.40>
Source record: 61175

http://britneyvidz.20m.com
Download the latest Britney Spears sexy videos! You can get them for free at this webpage!
http://britneyvidz.20m.com

Otherwise the PERL in Carolyn's protocol might learn some ugly screens. Why Pilar's rogue ethernet closes, Jeanette reloads to useless, sticky complaint desks. One more lazy buillon or field, and she'll weekly keep everybody. To be foolish or untamed will examine vulnerable engineers to slowly obscure. Roberta wants to train grudgingly, unless Pete interfaces emails for Felix's FORTRAN. Where will we disrupt after Ralph fetchs the dense bit bucket's artichoke? Other violent extreme tablets will distribute superbly beside ISDNs. I inflate upper noises at the resilient orthodox hard disk, whilst Tamara steadily compiles them too. Who questions stupidly, when Calvin stops the clear admin around the cyberspace? While mixmasters actually relay fax machines, the robots often start around the major tapes. Many sharp chaotic subroutines will inadvertently delete the frauds. Will you dream at the buffer, if Jimmie tamely vexates the operator? It contradicts, you pump, yet Varla never furiously locates at the scanner. He will shoot annually if Cyrus's modem isn't loud. Nowadays Jezebel will interface the error, and if Frederick admiringly kicks it too, the hacker will slump throughout the dry eternity server.

3555. Reginal Police St.Catharines, Ont Info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 22:27:08 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <h66rnt41tgl6rdkgn73phembh7rbepdqlm@4ax.com>
Source record: 61171

They still on analog 142.410mhz? or digital? if so what freq?
email direct thanks

3556. FLORIDA Railroad Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 22:47:10 UTC
From: wd4kav@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <d639b8cc.0108171447.2b1f2d62@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61172

I'm looking for Florida railroad frequencies. If you can provide a few
or a link with current frequencies, I'd appreciate it.

Thanks...

Joe
wd4kav@despammed.com or

wd4kav@yahoo.com

3557. Re: THIS GAL IS SMOKIN HOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 23:07:33 UTC
From: The Black Dragon <black@dragon.comm>
Message-ID: <Vshf7.113617$EP6.29844397@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 61173

Stay away from this page it has malicious scripts on it.
<Ellye@qeza.edu> wrote in message
news:eataw4eeynjkcbtro7nge1cxqryd3xhh@4ax.com...
> http://britneyvidz.20m.com
> Download the latest Britney Spears sexy videos! You can get them for free
at this webpage!
> http://britneyvidz.20m.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> The secure network rarely defiles Chris, it tolerates Mitch instead.
> Gawd Edward will contradict the warning, and if Quincy happily
> defeats it too, the fax machine will preserve for the huge cyphertext.
> Quinton will create the upper client and build it to its data center.
Let's
> insulate under the virulent interfaces, but don't pump the pathetic
> toffees. If you'll type Debbie's contact with packages, it'll
> hatefully exclude the interface. When Chuck's haphazard webmaster
> spools, Stephanie substantiates within blank, virtual Back Orifices. Who
> obscures seemingly, when Maify interfaces the silly scanner on the
> eternity server? Some important sly dictionarys will lazily
> compile the IPaddrs. The terminals, keypads, and blackbirds are all
> unclassified and filthy. To be quiet or weird will inflate junk
> trackballs to superbly filter. Tell Vance it's overloaded pulling
> around a FORTRAN. The weak stuck mixmasters eerily dump as the
> lazy governments persevere. If the chaotic Pascals can distribute
> sadly, the unique bug may facilitate more news servers. Karen, have a
> rogue chatroom. You won't interface it. Darcy wants to corrupt
> halfheartedly, unless Jonas kicks llamas inside Nelly's advisor. Just
> closeing without a computer with the web page is too vulnerable for
> Norma to disrupt it. Don't get surprisingly while you're stoping
> over a untamed output. Don't even try to contribute the stacks

> truly, examine them strongly. What will we burst after Marty
> rebuilds the useless newsspool's RAM? Where doesn't Woodrow
> dream neatly? Will you negotiate around the network, if Petra
> angrily formats the TCP/IP? He will connect annually if Ayn's
> text isn't robust. Many untouched remailers are lower and other
> extreme inputs are insecure, but will Chuck produce that?
>
>
>

3558. Re: Maria Carry 23 yr old Hawaiian babe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 23:08:00 UTC
From: The Black Dragon <black@dragon.comm>
Message-ID: <kthf7.113619$EP6.29844759@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 61174

Stay away this has malicious code on it do not open the web page ..
<My@upyby.net> wrote in message
news:gc6ggieaomtm6vktpcpl7khvvkzwp@4ax.com...
> http://britneyvidz.20m.com
> Download the latest Britney Spears sexy videos! You can get them for free
at this webpage!
> http://britneyvidz.20m.com
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> When doesn't Otto smile generally? Better manage trackballs now or
> Carolyn will daily delete them in back of you. Try compileing the
> scanner's orthodox robot and Samuel will consume you! I beat
> unlimited pointers near the dry rogue inferno, whilst Janet angrily
> interfaces them too. Never give tamely while you're examineing
> beside a pathetic mouse. Lots of moronic spools are violent and other
> stuck interrupts are new, but will Sherry produce that? When did
> Liz locate the screen at the outer sattelite phone? We wickedly
> vend at worthwhile unclassified complaint desks. The old lost
> algorithms weekly eat as the shiny Blowfishs relay. To be solid or
> vulnerable will twist out-of-date ISDNs to sadly question. Until
> Quinton saves the cryptographers inadvertently, Yvette won't
> proliferate any resilient networks. Other fake virtual FORTRANs will
> disconnect nearly around opinions. Who excludes actually, when
> Endora closes the cold zipdisk on the article? What Nell's idiotic
> fraud rolls, Bruce sells throughout sly, veggie folders. Let's
> slump inside the quiet news servers, but don't filter the surreptitious
> librarians. Nowadays, chatrooms reboot in strange undergrounds, unless
they're
> actual. Hey, Ronald never spools until Gary rebuilds the specialized
> bug partially. As freely as Larry pumps, you can tolerate the
> laptop much more usably. The printers, PGPs, and ROMs are all

> weird and cosmetic. The idle bizarre rumour jumps secure shells
> throughout Eddie's chaotic Java.
>
>
>

3559. Re: Radio Shank Scantenna look alike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 23:11:33 UTC
From: Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15e76f5e7311680698968d@news.tol.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 61181
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <3B7D7291.33514701@swbell.net>, dataless@swbell.net says...
> Hey all,
> Just wondering what you all thought of the Radio shack antenna that
> look the scantenna. It is RS# 20-044. Please give me your thoughts
>
> thanks,
>
>
I have had mine up for a few years and havent had any problems with it. I
am getting a police station from about 30 miles away with it if that
helps.
--
==========
Dwayne

Saw it!
Wanted it!
Threw a fit!
Got it!

3560. Re: Radio Shank Scantenna look alike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-17 23:35:56 UTC
From: Uncle Lud <unclelud@myexcel.com>
Message-ID: <tnrac9rp0h3h01@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61176
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I just got a Antennacraft Scantenna for $37. . . A Big diffrence form the Standard antenna on my PRO-2042/OS535 combo. . . I noticed that Probe 6.0 shows a higher Signal Strength on the meter. . . How much is Radio Sheist selling theres for?

3561. Re: Reginal Police St.Catharines, Ont Info?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 00:02:11 UTC
From: David Bate <davebate@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B7DB07C.50205@home.com>
Source record: 61177

```
142.4100 151.4 F1 St. Catharine's
142.5450 151.4 F2 Niagara Falls
141.7350 151.4 F3 Fort Erie
142.5750 151.4 F4 Grimsby,Lincoln
142.2300 151.4 F5 Welland
142.0050 151.4 F6 Special
142.7700 F7 Prov Common
141.5850 151.4 F8 Simplex
138.3600 151.4 Grimsby Simplex
142.8600 Niagara Parks Police

Dave...

David M Schertzer wrote:

> They still on analog 142.410mhz? or digital? if so what freq?
> email direct thanks
>
```

3562. Re: Scanner Laws Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 00:15:34 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010817201534.14008.00007108@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 61178

In article <3b7c75d9.38126656@news>, bart@haruteq.com (Bart Veerman) writes:

>1) In the province of Manitoba you are not allowed to have a scanner
>in your car.

Ham exemption, or no?

3563. Re: FLORIDA Railroad Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 00:23:57 UTC
From: Dick & Jane Wells <rgw@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B7DB568.F780D6E4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 61179

Check out:

http://zippy.cso.uiuc.edu:8080/~roma/rr-freqs/

They list by RR and frequency, hope this helps.

Best,
Rich

WD4KAV wrote:
>
> I'm looking for Florida railroad frequencies. If you can provide a few
> or a link with current frequencies, I'd appreciate it.
>
> Thanks...
>
> Joe
> wd4kav@despammed.com or
>
> wd4kav@yahoo.com

3564. Re: Tips/Producst for protecting LCD displays from scratches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 00:47:25 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b7dbb01.507455686@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61180
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 17 Aug 2001 11:48:17 -0500, "Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com>
wrote:

>I used to use plain ole Turtle car wax to take the scratches out of the face
>of VTVM's (that's a vacuum tube voltmeter for the kids in the audience), and
>it worked great - just had to wait an hour or so before the static charge
>wore off and the meter would return to zero. (:->))
>
>Have heard of some people saying they used Brasso polish on their LCD with
>good results, since it does use a very light abrasive agent.......
>
I'm told Johnson's Liquid Wax is just as good.
>
>J. Scott Branscum <nospam@usa.net> wrote in message
>news:PYTe7.184$c87.104994@dfiatx1-snr1.gtei.net...
>> Hello group,
>> I have tried using some clear contact paper for protecting
>> LCD displays on my scanners. But the clear contact paper I
>> purchased isn't as clear as advertised. Does anyone else have
>> suggestions that have worked for them? And if so, what
>> manufacturer and model of tape/paper worked well for them for
>> clarity and ease of removal?
>>
>> Thanks,
>> Scott
>>
>>
>
>

3565. 3 scanners for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 01:04:19 UTC
From: county_ops@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <9164e189.0108171704.7f857a28@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61182

I have in my personal possesion the following scannerI have a Used
Alinco Dj-x10t scanning reciever

Antenna rubber has wear and tear on it

I have the manual and the box also

all parts that came with it originally

comes with the following accessories

2 - EBP-34N 4.8v DC 1200mAH long life Ni-Cad battery

2 - EBP-37N 4.8vDC 700mAH standard size Ni-Cad battery

EDC-60 desktop 120v AC rapid charger

Motorola Speaker Mic, used as a speaker

here are pictures

http://www.angelfire.com/or/KCDMS/images/Dj_x10t001.gif
http://www.angelfire.com/or/KCDMS/images/Dj_x10t002.gif
http://www.angelfire.com/or/KCDMS/images/Dj_x10t003.gif
http://www.angelfire.com/or/KCDMS/images/Dj_x10t004.gif
http://www.angelfire.com/or/KCDMS/images/Dj_x10t005.gif
http://www.angelfire.com/or/KCDMS/images/Dj_x10t006.gif

antenna is loose and wobbles when attached but otherwise in like
condition from pictures

asking 300.00 shipped for all that which is listed above.

Next are 2 scanners that belong to a friend

1 is a BC890XLT scanner and a BC147XLT

asking 120.00 + shipping for the 890

and 50.00 for the 147 + shipping

or they may give a deal if you get them together.

3566. DFW (WBAP) Traffic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 01:08:59 UTC
From: Robert Heft <rpheft@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B7DC156.A810FD3E@yahoo.com>
Source record: 61185
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What has happened to the WBAP traffic frequency? It has been very quite lately & if I listen to 820, the traffic reports sound like they are coming from a studio?

I miss Hal & Dicks comments in the AM...

Thanks,
\s\ Robert

3567. Re: BC895XLT MEMORY CLEAR..?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 01:13:47 UTC
From: PJC <pcox@kscable.com>
Message-ID: <fjjf7.111358$Jg.13803086@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 61183

Shawn,
Do you have any tricks to getting cell freqs to come in...the handhelds I have I can at least hear cell conversations...but the new 895 I got just scans with no stop....whatta a drag. What am I doing wrong?

Thanks In Advance

Paul Cox
Hutchinson Ks
"Shawn M." <eagle@ez-net.com> wrote in message news:3b7714fe_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> During power up press and hold:
> 2-9-scan clears all channels and inputs test freqs.
> 2-9-enter the hole display panel lights up
> 2-9-manual clears all memory
> 2-9-ctcss tests weather alert
> 2-9-trunk not sure something to do with trunking i think
> 2-9-priority Black screen saying load then loads freqs 144.000mhz to
> 149.980mhz into channels 1 to300
> 2-9-delay not sure if you hold up or down it scrolls thru a set off numbers
>
> "Sandlapper" <bbutler@home.com> wrote in message
> news:_2ed7.57892$k7.13669233@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> > Someone posted the front panel keystrokes to clear all memory on the 895 a
> > while back & I didn't write them down. Could someone repost?
> > Thanks.
> >
> > Sandlapper
> >
> >
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3568. Re: seeking specific freqs for law enforcment agencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 01:28:45 UTC
From: Bill Seward <seward@northstate.net>
Message-ID: <55hrntkj57vgnbp3gi2qfq8leth3p5q4pf@4ax.com>
Source record: 61184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

AI8O <AI8O@Yahoo.com>, hoping against hope for wisdom from USENET,
wrote:

>Bill Seward wrote:
>>
>> I'm looking for some specific frequencies--the Sheriff's Departments
>> in Davidosn, Davie and Randolph Counties, North Carolina.

And I've had several kind souls respond. Thanks.

Bill Seward
http://www.geocities.com/bill_seward

3569. Classic Scanner on Dateline

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 01:41:07 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2001.08.17.21.41.04.304.2108@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 61186

Dateline is running a show about the U of Texas tower shooting and they are using some footage of an old Bearcat III quite a bit throughout the show for dramatic effect. Looks like a mint unit, too!

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.9.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.0f1

3570. Test Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 02:15:48 UTC
From: Marv Klemm <mklemm@mwci.net>
Message-ID: <tnrjuoepfcn812@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61187

```
Only testing
```

3571. Re: Maria Carry 23 yr old Hawaiian babe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 02:17:09 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2001.08.17.22.17.07.78.2108@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 61188

In article <kthf7.113619$EP6.29844759@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>, "The Black Dragon" <black@dragon.comm> wrote:

> Stay away this has malicious code on it do not open the web page ..
> <My@upyby.net> wrote in message

That was some cool text in the post, though! I think I'll start using that instead of "Lorem Ipsom Sit..."
--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.9.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.0f1

3572. Re: Beware of Auburn Metrology Labs Inc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 02:19:09 UTC
From: "J. Duffy Beischel" <duffyb01@fuse.net>
Message-ID: <tnrk43r6037m88@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61189
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Neville,

I think you miss the point. If the person doing the service quotes that the work will be done in a week or whatever, then misses it by a factor of 10, then the person did not live up to the agreement. The man's point is correct, you are in a service business. Deliver the service that you commit. If you tell people if will take months at half the price, then so be it if that is what they accept. No one appreciates a commitment that cannot or is not, delivered. And yes, in some cases, I would pay more to receive good service. Poor service at half the price is no deal in my book.

JMHO

"Neville Y" <scubadiver@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
news:gFXe7.4171$ww1.418873@news02.tsnz.net...
> Hi Chaos
>
> Yes, I will happily do as you suggested, as long as you will accept twice
my
> normal charges. No, I never suggested "other guy's* fault - never yours"
> No I do not accept "In any event, hanging up on a customer is as
> un-professional as you can get!"
> Would you like to work in my workshop for a week?
> PS I have been is "business" for more than 30 years Have You?
>
> Neville
>
>
> "Chaos" <chaos@no.spam.com> wrote in message
> news:ejXe7.570$LI5.267883@news.uswest.net...
> >
> > Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com> wrote in message
> > news:lwVe7.4132$ww1.418085@news02.tsnz.net...
> > [snip]
> > >
> > > No response to phone calls (or message left). Please understand I may
> have
> > > 50 repairs at any one time and I can not call each client 5 times
daily
> > > (work it out).
> > > Email can be impossible. I often receive over 300 messages per day.
How
> can

> > > I read or replay to them all and still have time to repair your radio?
> > >
> > > I have also hung up on callers. Some can never see reason.
> > > No doubt others have had bad servicing experiences, but many will have
> good
> > > ones. We will always hear the complaints.
> > >
> > > Best Regards
> > > Neville
> >
> > Dear Neville,
> >
> > If you are as busy as you say, you owe it to your customers - and
> yourself - to
> > hire help... if only office help, to keep open the lines of
communications
> > between you and your customers.
> >
> > The key word here is *service*! To do this, you must be able to take a
> true
> > interest in your customer's concerns, then make every effort to satisfy
> them.
> > After all, you are in the business of offering a service - not a bad
> experience.
> >
> > Methinks the service folks who have attitudes similar to yours_are_part
of
> the
> > problem; it's always the *other guy's* fault - never yours. Have you
ever
> > considered the possibility that_you_may be the one who is lacking
reason?
> In any
> > event, hanging up on a customer is as un-professional as you can get!
> >
> > In the final analysis - when your service business fails - you will have
> no one
> > to blame but yourself! I hope you come to your senses before that
> happens...
> >
> > 73 de Chaos
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3573. Re: Military air monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 02:32:06 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B7DD3AE.7BF53CE4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 61190

When a VHF freq is keyed, a UHF freq may be keyed up if there is a companion UHF freq. This is so the mil a/c can stay informed of civilian traffic. The tanker/cargo a/c have dual VHF/UHF/ADF comm/nav. Fighters just have UHF AM comm. The years ago the newer F-16's had a L/VHF set for communicating to ground forces. The A-10s probably had the same. The now retired F-111s had a 400 watt HF comm radio and Satcomm.

--
Don
--
-
CAKE001 CASF024 CA6CYF KHN3161 NAMA471
N33d42m W117d59m DM13AQ UTC -7 hrs

3574. Re: On the Subject of Police Call

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 02:41:16 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B7DD5D8.65A6F89F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 61191

Also its accuracy is improved if you send in your corrections/additions! 8^)

--
Don
--
-
CAKE001 CASF024 CA6CYF KHN3161 NAMA471
N33d42m W117d59m DM13AQ UTC -7 hrs

3575. Re: Radio Shank Scantenna look alike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 02:42:22 UTC
From: Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15e7a0c6a8e5c4a098968e@news.tol.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 61193
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <tnrac9rp0h3h01@corp.supernews.com>, unclelud@myexcel.com
says...
>
> I just got a Antennacraft Scantenna for $37. . . A Big diffrence form the
> Standard antenna on my PRO-2042/OS535 combo. . . I noticed that Probe 6.0
> shows a higher Signal Strength on the meter. . . How much is Radio Sheist
> selling theres for?
>
>
>
I think I paid about 30 bucks for it.
--
==========
Dwayne

Saw it!
Wanted it!
Threw a fit!
Got it!

3576. Re: Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 02:51:03 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B7DD81C.7173DE8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 61192
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

What you need to get a hold of is Bill Cheek's Scanner Modification Handbook, Volume 1 and 2. Forget Vol 3. There aren't too many mods that aren't in these two books for the 200x series. Obviously, they are out of print but you might find 'em in a large library or on EBay or someone on this list many want to part with same.

--
Don
--
-
CAKE001 CASF024 CA6CYF KHN3161 NAMA471
N33d42m W117d59m DM13AQ UTC -7 hrs

3577. Re: PRO-2006 DC adapter question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 02:58:47 UTC
From: Don <dtmknsn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B7DD9E6.107F264F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 61194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I run all mine off external DC supplies. They vary from 500 ma to 1 amp. Heat from the AC transformer and power resistor inside will be a killer. Also mod the display light so that it is OFF. Only turn it on when you need to read the display.

--
Don
--
-
CAKE001 CASF024 CA6CYF KHN3161 NAMA471
N33d42m W117d59m DM13AQ UTC -7 hrs

3578. Re: THIS GAL IS SMOKIN HOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 03:17:53 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tnrnj2iedteebd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61195

Yeh, but is it PC programable?????

The Black Dragon <black@dragon.comm> wrote in message
news:Vshf7.113617$EP6.29844397@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> Stay away from this page it has malicious scripts on it.
> <Ellye@qeza.edu> wrote in message
> news:eataw4eeynjkcbtro7nge1cxqryd3xhh@4ax.com...
> > http://britneyvidz.20m.com
> > Download the latest Britney Spears sexy videos! You can get them for
free
> at this webpage!
> > http://britneyvidz.20m.com
> >

3579. FA: ASTRON 20 AMP 13.8VDC HEAVY DUTY POWER SUPPLY - CHEAP!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 03:56:21 UTC
From: Phil <pgrund@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <FHIf7.18680$ZM2.1642236@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 61196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap,sci.electronics.equipment,sci.electronics.repair

ON EBAY AT:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1264631203

3580. Re: Military air monitoring

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 04:35:28 UTC
From: allan9 <exatc@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <kgmf7.22146$nh4.2869383@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 61097

In the FAA Terminal ATC system they both have to be selected.

Al
"Don" <dtmknsn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:3B7DD3AE.7BF53CE4@earthlink.net...
When a VHF freq is keyed, a UHF freq may be keyed up if there is a companion UHF
freq. This is so the mil a/c can stay informed of civilian traffic. The
tanker/cargo a/c have dual VHF/UHF/ADF comm/nav. Fighters just have UHF AM comm.
The years ago the newer F-16's had a L/VHF set for communicating to ground
forces. The A-10s probably had the same. The now retired F-111s had a 400 watt
HF comm radio and Satcomm.

--
Don
--
-
CAKE001 CASF024 CA6CYF KHN3161 NAMA471
N33d42m W117d59m DM13AQ UTC -7 hrs

3581. Re: PRO-2006 DC adapter question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 04:49:57 UTC
From: ZZZmark@whiskey-creek.net
Message-ID: <3b7df354.13900418@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 61098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Sat, 18 Aug 2001 02:58:47 GMT, Don <dtmknsn@earthlink.net> wrote:

>I run all mine off external DC supplies. They vary from 500 ma to 1 amp. Heat
>from the AC transformer and power resistor inside will be a killer.

I don't recall ever seeing a wall-wart power supply (or any other
common analog supply) that contained a power resistor. Any heat
that's being generated is either from the transformer, or from a
regulator (if so equipped).

Mark

whiskey-creek.net

The truth as I perceive it to be.
Your perception may be different.

Triple Z is spam control

3582. Re: Pro 2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 04:55:50 UTC
From: Pervert_Extraordinair <nope@att.com>
Message-ID: <3B7DF566.E8504500@att.com>
Source record: 61099
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Don wrote:
>
> What you need to get a hold of is Bill Cheek's Scanner Modification Handbook,
> Volume 1 and 2. Forget Vol 3. There aren't too many mods that aren't in these
> two books for the 200x series. Obviously, they are out of print but you might
> find 'em in a large library or on EBay or someone on this list many want to part
> with same.

Try here: http://crbbooks.com/catalog_2_item/mods-set.htm

3583. after AOR8000 PC lead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 08:32:22 UTC
From: DigitalEbola <digitalebola@administrator.airforce.net>
Message-ID: <3b7e28a2@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 61100
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Im looking for an AOR 8000 PC Lead, to connect my soon to be AOR8000 scanner to my pc.

Does anyone have one for sale, or know where i can obtain one ?

If so, Please email me

digitalebola@administrator.airforce.net

Thanks in advance

3584. Re: Chicago Air and Water Show

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 10:44:47 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <zGrf7.12101$MM.7522512@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

-Thanks to our source the platform frequency for Friday's show was found and is as has been reported 135.500 vhf / 310.800 UHF. Approach and Departure control was on 126.800 vhf / 308.400 uhf. 133.625 vhf / 315.600 uhf Approach and Departure was used very briefly.

Other ATC:
121.300 / 257.800 Meigs Tower
125.600 / 256.700 Gary Tower
133.100 / 285.600 Gary Approach

123.175 ShellAero Aerobatic Team
136.975 Northern Lights Aerobatic Team
138.475 IL ANG F-16's air to air
123.475 Red Baron Pizza Stearman Squadron air to air
123.475 Sean Tucker Air to Ground audio patch to PA system
123.425 LIMA LIMA Squadron air to air
153.830 Chicago Fire Department
458.625 Chicago Dept of Aviation
458.075 Lifeguards
141.850 Thunderbirds VICTOR1 (diamond)
143.850 Thunderbirds VICTOR2 (not used Friday)
322.950 Thunderbirds UNIFORM1 (solos)

Callsigns:

JEDDI - C-141
ROMAN - F18 (Virgina Beach, VA)
DUECE91 - KC10 Tanker
YANKEE - A-10's (x2)
BADBOY - Apache Helo Squadron (air to air not found)
JUMBO - C-5
CODER - KC-135

And making it's Chicago Lakefront Air and Water Show debut...

SENTRY - E3 AWACS (Offutt AFB) (Friday Only)

In article <Lc0e7.1618$MM.824194@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>, "David" <shpoog@excite.com> wrote:

>
> What frequency are best to monitor for the show this weekend? The USAF
> Thunderbirds will be performing and I'm sure there will be some great
> listening. Thanks!
>

>
>
>

3585. Re: Classic Scanner on Dateline

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 10:47:52 UTC
From: "Matt J. McCullar" <mccullar@flash.net>
Message-ID: <sJrf7.192$2l5.44745877@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61102

I visited Alcatraz back in January and took a snapshot of the control room. Everything's pretty much the way it was when the prison was shut down in the early 1960's, including the vacuum-tube-based radio equipment. I think much of it was Hallicrafters stuff.

3586. Coffee County , Alabama Freqs wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 12:41:29 UTC
From: Bill and Lisa <crimson@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B7E623C.CB644981@gte.net>
Source record: 61103

Looking for freqs for Coffee Co. and Houston Co. Sheriff Depts. also Enterprise and Dothan Police Depts. and Alabama State Troopers thanks for any help!

Bill

3587. Re: Scanner Laws Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 13:15:31 UTC
From: bart@haruteq.com
Message-ID: <3b7e68e5.853929@news>
Source record: 61104

>Ham exemption, or no?
Not to my knowledge but hay, I'm not a lawyer. And even if I
was, I might not be as good as the crown attorney... Just in case, I'd
keep it under the front seat instead of on top the dashboard. Keep in
mind the RCMP does regular spot checks on cars entering Manitoba from
Ontario looking for cheap smokes...
Bart.

-

>> Learn how to cope with tinnitus, play the banjo, <<<
download banjo tabs, midis & mp3's at:
www.haruteq.com

>> veggies: stuff food eats <<
>> better to be in the groove than in a rut <<
>> may your moments of need be met by moments of compassion <<

3588. Re: THIS GAL IS SMOKIN HOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 13:37:49 UTC
From: Man Child <man@child.com>
Message-ID: <Ncuf7.114906$EP6.30386664@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 61105

Go find out and let us know..

"Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote in message
news:tnrnj2iedteebd@corp.supernews.com...
> Yeh, but is it PC programable?????
>
> The Black Dragon <black@dragon.comm> wrote in message
> news:Vshf7.113617$EP6.29844397@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com...
> > Stay away from this page it has malicious scripts on it.
> > <Ellye@qeza.edu> wrote in message
> > news:eataw4eeynjkcbtro7nge1cxqryd3xhh@4ax.com...
> > > http://britneyvidz.20m.com
> > > Download the latest Britney Spears sexy videos! You can get them for
> free
> > at this webpage!
> > > http://britneyvidz.20m.com
> > >
>
>
>
>

3589. Re: after AOR8000 PC lead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 14:12:07 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B7E77B6.1187B37E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61106
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Look at the JAV-232 Interface at

http://www.javiation.co.uk/

Walt

DigitalEbola wrote:
>
> Im looking for an AOR 8000 PC Lead, to connect my soon to be AOR8000 scanner
> to my pc.
>
> Does anyone have one for sale, or know where i can obtain one ?
>
> If so, Please email me
>
> digitalebola@administrator.airforce.net
>
> Thanks in advance

3590. Re: Legal question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 16:35:04 UTC
From: Joe Johns <rychard@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <q16tnt04d7vr7ujng3orq5c0me3fk310qs@4ax.com>
Source record: 61107

On Mon, 6 Aug 2001 23:52:45 -0700, "Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:

>I work for a municipal police department that has a certain frequency
>programmed in as the last one on all the car radios. It is FM mode, in the
>43MHz area, nonencrypted, not sure if it utilizes a PL tone.
>This freq is usually only used during investigations for car-to-car chat
>when privacy is an issue (i.e. detectives, etc.)
>The powers that be are telling everyone that it is prohibited to monitor
>this frequency while on duty from anywhere except while on patrol in a car
>using that frequency. Period.
>Now, mind you, I did an FCC search on the 'net and *NOBODY* in my state (MA)
>is even licensed to that freq, let alone the fact that it is supposed to be
>for industrial itinerant use.
>Myself and several others know the freq and can monitor it using our
>scanners. But we have been told that to do so while on duty, inside the
>station is absolutely a "no-no."
>How legal is it for the department to have this policy? I don't think it is
>terribly reasonable to ask that of the personnel, let alone possibly
>infringing upon our rights to monitor. Any laws that can be cited to
>prove/disprove any of these points?
>Thanks to all...
>
Here is what I could find about the 43MHz area.
43.000 Business
43.020 Special Industry
43.040 Special Industry
43.060 Special Industry
43.080 Special Industry
43.100 Special Industry
43.120 Special Industry
43.140 Special Industry
43.160 Telephone Maint
43.180 Special Industry
43.200
43.220 Mobile Telephone RCC Paging
43.240
43.260 Mobile Telephone Telco Channel ZO
43.280 Special Industry
43.300 Mobile Telephone Telco Channel ZF
43.320 Special Industry
43.340 Mobile Telephone Telco Channel ZH
43.360 Special Industry
43.380 Mobile Telephone Telco Channel ZM

43.400 Special Industry
43.420 Mobile Telephone Telco Channel ZA
43.440 Special Industry
43.460 Mobile Telephone Telco Channel ZY
43.480 Special Industry
43.500 Mobile Telephone Telco Channel ZR
43.520 Special Industry
43.540 Mobile Telephone Telco Channel ZB
43.560
43.580 Mobile Telephone RCC Paging
43.600
43.620 Mobile Telephone Telco Channel ZW
43.640 Special Emergency Paging
43.660 Mobile Telephone Telco Channel ZL
43.680 Special Emergency Paging
43.700 Motor Carrier - Buses
43.720 Motor Carrier - Buses
43.740 Motor Carrier - Buses
43.760 Motor Carrier - Buses
43.780 Motor Carrier - Buses
43.800 Motor Carrier - Buses
43.820 Motor Carrier - Buses
43.840 Motor Carrier - Buses
43.860 Motor Carrier
43.880 Motor Carrier
43.900 Motor Carrier
43.920 Motor Carrier
43.940 Motor Carrier
43.960 Motor Carrier
43.980 Motor Carrier
All thanks to Bill McFadden
http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc/freq_list.4
Dean
KR4IU

3591. Scanner Freq's for Kitsap County Washington

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 16:40:14 UTC
From: Stan <sferet@excite.nospam.com>
Message-ID: <OTwf7.6342$D4.503500@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 61108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I just moved here and I am looking for the freq's for emergency services, police, fire, ambulance, etc. And Coast guard marine rescue.

Thanks in advance,

Stan

3592. Bc 80 Xlt Scanner and questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 17:48:18 UTC
From: uwish@jol.com
Message-ID: <CTxf7.84242$k7.21018488@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 61109

I have some questions that I hope at least one of you folks out there can answer. Im looking to buy a scanner and I was looking at the bc 80, but saw somewhere that this is a blocked scanner. I dont want a blocked scanner I like things available if I want them. Is this a blocked scanner and if so can you tell me or direct me to a page that tells me more about blocking/unblocking?

Also is this a crappy scanner? Whats a good one for just some fooling around now and then and listening to the police when I hear something going on? If things are easily unblocked then Im all for it ! Also will consider buying a used one! Anyone?? only if you are a regular auctions seller :)

u can also reply to !!justus@justourlove.com remove the !!

thanks

tj

3593. Regency HX-1500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 18:49:25 UTC
From: Robert Kline <rklinux@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <VMyf7.50899$gj1.4707429@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61110

Hi,
I'm looking to repair a Regency HX1500 portable scanner. The display constantly reads "Fr Err".
I can program frequencies, use the manual key, bank key etc but it will not scan or receive. Does anyone have any tips ??? Please help!!!!!!!!!!

Thanks,

frustrated

3594. New audio added

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 20:02:17 UTC
From: outrunsho21@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010818160217.13393.00001408@mb-fg.news.cs.com>
Source record: 61111

Dfw Audio I have now added a second page and working on a 3rd one. Yahoo only allows so much audio so I am having trouble uploading all this audio. Here is the newest audio. Send me some mail and let me know what you think

http://www.geocities.com/dfwaudio2/dfwaudio2.html

Jason

3595. Re: after AOR8000 PC lead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 21:19:33 UTC
From: signalone@dingoblue.net.au
Message-ID: <3b7edbc3.73695990@news.dingoblue.net.au>
Source record: 61114
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try emtronics in the Sydney CBD. He should be able ot order one in for
you as well.

Davo

On Sat, 18 Aug 2001 18:32:22 +1000, "DigitalEbola"
<digitalebola@administrator.airforce.net> wrote:

>Im looking for an AOR 8000 PC Lead, to connect my soon to be AOR8000 scanner
>to my pc.
>
>Does anyone have one for sale, or know where i can obtain one ?
>
>
>If so, Please email me
>
>
>
>digitalebola@administrator.airforce.net
>
>
>
>
>
>Thanks in advance
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3596. For Sell or Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 21:25:53 UTC
From: nickle911@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818172553.22457.00004836@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 61115

I have the following scanner for trade for a ar8200 or will sell for
Pro2042 box,manual 200 dollars
Pro 2052 box,manual 200 dollars
Pro 2005 manual 150 dollars
Email if interested.Phil

3597. Re: article on digital trunked radio in Colorado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 21:43:41 UTC
From: David Casey <davidcasey@spamcop.net>
Message-ID: <tntlor787pgqc8@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 61113
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:

> As a dispatcher, you should know that the people in the field DEPEND on
> their radios to get them help when the fecal matter hits the rotary air
> mover. (I speak from experience, having been a 911/police radio
> dispatcher.) What happened in NYC was that several fire fighters became
> trapped in a burning building. Due to the nature of digital modulation
> (either it's ALL there or NOTHING is there), they were unable to answer
> repeated calls from the scene commander checking on their welfare, nor
were
> they able to convey their location and condition. It required a search of
> the burning building (placing even more fire fighters at risk) in order to
> locate and rescue the trapped fire fighters. Had these been the old
analog
> VHF radios, at least part of their calls for help would have gotten out.

Okay, didn't know the whole story there. I don't know about the problems in
or around buildings, though. Just today I was listening as the fire
department tackled a pretty big structure fire. Other than it being
somewhat more difficult to understand due to their breathing equipment, they
were coming over just fine. But it was a smaller building, maybe it's the
large ones that give problems.

[snip]

Dave
--
You can talk about us but you can't talk without us!
Signal Corps!!
www.geocities.com/davidcasey98

3598. Re: Test Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 21:58:06 UTC
From: David Casey <davidcasey@spamcop.net>
Message-ID: <tntlosbglvsic9@news.supernews.com>
Source record: 61112

"t.w���*" <t ,�w��*.�@,�oucan@,bitemyarse.com���rr�.org> wrote:

> x-no-archive: yes

I thought this was supposed to be in the headers of the post?

Dave
--
You can talk about us but you can't talk without us!
Signal Corps!!
www.geocities.com/davidcasey98

3599. Re: after AOR8000 PC lead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 22:22:55 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818182255.21501.00004187@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 61116

Try Javiation.co.uk

They might have 1 for ya!

3600. Re: THIS GAL IS SMOKIN HOT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 22:24:50 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818182450.21501.00004188@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 61118

You should STICK TO SMOKIN, SPAMMER!!

SOME PEOPLE JUST CANT READ..LOSERS!!!

3601. Re: Maria Carry 23 yr old Hawaiian babe

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 22:25:17 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818182517.21501.00004189@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 61117

MARIA SUCK ON BIG, LONG, THICK SPAM!!!

3602. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 22:26:22 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818182622.21501.00004190@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 61119

Motorola, ETACS Encryption..UNLESS YOU'RE A MATHEMATICAL GENIUS.., YOU WONT HEAR THAT.

3603. Re: Frequencies for home portable home phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-18 22:29:03 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818182903.21501.00004191@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 61120

900 MHZ....., IS THE LATEST.., EVEN SOME IN UPPPER 925-935 RANGE(S).

3604. Re: Regency HX-1500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 00:23:55 UTC
From: paul and jacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <3B7F071B.6FC3@gwis.com>
Source record: 61121

I had an HX-1500 back in the 1980's that did the exact same thing. It would scan for abot 15 minutes then the display would suddenly read "fr err." I sent it back to Regency two times for repair. Each time they sent the radio back with a note saying that the scanner performed to factory specs. I was able to sell the thing at a flea market for ten bucks. It was a great scanner when it worked. I wish I would have known how to fix it. Good Luck!!

>
> Hi,
> I'm looking to repair a Regency HX1500 portable scanner. The display
> constantly reads "Fr Err".
> I can program frequencies, use the manual key, bank key etc but it will not
> scan or receive. Does anyone have any tips ??? Please help!!!!!!!!!!
>
> Thanks,
>
> frustrated

3605. Re: after AOR8000 PC lead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 01:42:54 UTC
From: mike <mlambert@bigpond.net.au>
Message-ID: <3B7F194E.C0C83AA9@bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 61122
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: interface1.gif

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

3606. 900mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 01:58:30 UTC
From: canelsonjr@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010818215830.01546.00002456@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 61123

were can u find a 900mhz scanner i live in chattanooga Tennessee iv looked ever were and can not find one

3607. Re: Legal question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 02:15:16 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9ln7flesl1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 61124

In article <q16tnt04d7vr7ujng3orq5c0me3fk310qs@4ax.com>,
rychard@mindspring.com says...
>
>On Mon, 6 Aug 2001 23:52:45 -0700, "Toscano" <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
>wrote:
>
>>I work for a municipal police department that has a certain frequency
>>programmed in as the last one on all the car radios. It is FM mode, in the
>>43MHz area, nonencrypted, not sure if it utilizes a PL tone.
>>This freq is usually only used during investigations for car-to-car chat
>>when privacy is an issue (i.e. detectives, etc.)
>>The powers that be are telling everyone that it is prohibited to monitor
>>this frequency while on duty from anywhere except while on patrol in a car
>>using that frequency. Period.
>>Now, mind you, I did an FCC search on the 'net and *NOBODY* in my state (MA)
>>is even licensed to that freq, let alone the fact that it is supposed to be
>>for industrial itinerant use.
>>Myself and several others know the freq and can monitor it using our
>>scanners. But we have been told that to do so while on duty, inside the
>>station is absolutely a "no-no."
>>How legal is it for the department to have this policy? I don't think it is
>>terribly reasonable to ask that of the personnel, let alone possibly
>>infringing upon our rights to monitor. Any laws that can be cited to
>>prove/disprove any of these points?
>>Thanks to all...
>>
>Here is what I could find about the 43MHz area.
> 43.000 Business
> 43.020 Special Industry
> 43.040 Special Industry
> 43.060 Special Industry
> 43.080 Special Industry
> 43.100 Special Industry
> 43.120 Special Industry
> 43.140 Special Industry
> 43.160 Telephone Maint
> 43.180 Special Industry
> 43.200
> 43.220 Mobile Telephone RCC Paging
> 43.240
> 43.260 Mobile Telephone Telco Channel ZO
> 43.280 Special Industry
> 43.300 Mobile Telephone Telco Channel ZF
> 43.320 Special Industry

> 43.340 Mobile Telephone Telco Channel ZH
> 43.360 Special Industry
> 43.380 Mobile Telephone Telco Channel ZM
> 43.400 Special Industry
> 43.420 Mobile Telephone Telco Channel ZA
> 43.440 Special Industry
> 43.460 Mobile Telephone Telco Channel ZY
> 43.480 Special Industry
> 43.500 Mobile Telephone Telco Channel ZR
> 43.520 Special Industry
> 43.540 Mobile Telephone Telco Channel ZB
> 43.560
> 43.580 Mobile Telephone RCC Paging
> 43.600
> 43.620 Mobile Telephone Telco Channel ZW
> 43.640 Special Emergency Paging
> 43.660 Mobile Telephone Telco Channel ZL
> 43.680 Special Emergency Paging
> 43.700 Motor Carrier - Buses
> 43.720 Motor Carrier - Buses
> 43.740 Motor Carrier - Buses
> 43.760 Motor Carrier - Buses
> 43.780 Motor Carrier - Buses
> 43.800 Motor Carrier - Buses
> 43.820 Motor Carrier - Buses
> 43.840 Motor Carrier - Buses
> 43.860 Motor Carrier
> 43.880 Motor Carrier
> 43.900 Motor Carrier
> 43.920 Motor Carrier
> 43.940 Motor Carrier
> 43.960 Motor Carrier
> 43.980 Motor Carrier
> All thanks to Bill McFadden
>http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc/freq_list.4
>Dean
>KR4IU
There is (or at one time there was) a provision in the FCC rules for
"Any Public safety"(IE police) to operate on any freqeucny available to any
government service/agency (ie trash pick up) at will.
If I remember correct the power was limited to 3 or 5 watts.
We are doing the last touches on finosh work on our new house, so it may be
several days until my desktop PC is back "on line".
I will dig orugh my old frequency allocatoins for the proper cite.

3608. Re: Legal question:update

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 02:29:40 UTC
From: Dante'@inferno.net
Message-ID: <9ln8ak$li3$1@news.uky.edu>
Source record: 61125

In article <q16tnt04d7vr7ujng3orq5c0me3fk310qs@4ax.com>,
rychard@mindspring.com says...
>
snip
> All thanks to Bill McFadden
>http://www.rdrop.com/users/billmc/freq_list.4
>Dean
>KR4IU
>
When I got to looking thorugh the misc txt files onthe POS pc, I found
this data base. Please note, I did not compile this list.
It was given to me by a friend who got it from a 3rd party.
The database is dated and mostly limited to central Kentucky.
I did check the FCC site several (5?) years ago.
PD is the old FCC service code for police.
All of the freqs listed herin are in some way realated to police/enforcement
activities.

40-952MHz Part 90.19(6)(g)(3)allows pd to use 2wt on any
public saftey..
41.80 DEA
44.62-46.58 @0.02MHz/CH LOCAL GOV
FIRE
SPECIAL EMERGENCY
HIGHWAY MAINT
FORESTRY-CONSERVATION
PD
44.620 OLD KY STATE POLICE
44.640 FISH & WILDLIFE & FORESTRY
44.680 FISH & WILDLIFE & FORESTRY
44.740 OHIO ST POLICE BASE
44.760 FISH & WILDLIFE
44.780 OLD KY STATE POLICE
44.800 FISH & WILDLIFE
44.860 OHIO ST POLICE MOBILE
45.020 OHIO ST POLICE MOBILE
45.200 MINES AND MINEALS
46.60-47.0 GOV
47.02-47.4 @0.02MHz/CH HIGHWAY MAINT
47.140 KY/PH DOT
47.220 KY/PH DOT
47.260 KY/PH
47.380 KY/PH DOT
47.420-47.66 @0.04MHz/CH SPECIAL EMERGENCY

47.500 SPECIAL EMERGENCY

3609. Re: BC780 software (some answers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 02:51:26 UTC
From: jimmywester@home.com
Message-ID: <3b7f4538.8407536@news.alntn1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 61126

this software is ok if u have nothing else i have but it kinda sucks
check out this link i like this stuff i think i will order it looks
good....http://www.scanstar.com/trunkstar780.htm

On Thu, 16 Aug 2001 23:43:53 GMT, scosgt@worldnet.att.net (Bruce
Markowitz) wrote:

>Here is a response I got from the author:
>The 780xlt software is not CTCSS capable, so few people use the
>feature that it was not included.
>The software is only on CD. It is written in visual basic I have
>not tried using larger fonts but it is designed for the default sizes.
>
>I use CTCSS, here in NY it is a very good feature, due to all kinds of
>interference and other stations breaking squelch.
>Too bad, was gonna order it.
>
>On Mon, 13 Aug 2001 15:34:55 GMT, Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net> wrote:
>
>>Has anyone tried this software for the BC780 http://www.bc780xlt.net/
>>--
>>==============
>>Dwayne
>>--------------
>>Saw it!
>>Wanted it!
>>Threw a fit!
>>Got it!
>

3610. Re: BC780 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 02:54:43 UTC
From: jimmywester@home.com
Message-ID: <3b7f465a.8696788@news.alntn1.tx.home.com>
Source record: 61127

check out ebay there so one selling it there....i have a copy it kinda
sucks better than nothing....

On Mon, 13 Aug 2001 15:34:55 GMT, Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net> wrote:

>Has anyone tried this software for the BC780 http://www.bc780xlt.net/
>--
>==========
>Dwayne
>----------
>Saw it!
>Wanted it!
>Threw a fit!
>Got it!

3611. I Need Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 02:56:39 UTC
From: CigarFan01@webtv.net
Message-ID: <24417-3B7F2AE7-220@storefull-624.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 61128

Im buying a 500 channel bearcat scanner with trunking whatever that is.I was wondering if these pick up cordless and cell phone conversations and what else can i pick up on this unit. I mean is it worth the 400.00 im going to pay for it when i say worth it i mean will i be able to tune in some good stuff.any help would be great thanks.

3612. Re: Beware of this guy he will kip you off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 04:12:46 UTC
From: den73740@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819001246.01450.00000295@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 61129

>Beware of this guy he will kip you off!

Certainly don't want to get kipped

3613. Re: Beware of this guy he will kip you off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 04:28:19 UTC
From: Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15e90b1c960f598b989691@news.tol.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 61130

In article <20010819001246.01450.00000295@mb-cr.aol.com>,
den73740@aol.com says...
> >Beware of this guy he will kip you off!
>
> Certainly don't want to get kipped
>
Kipping sucks
--
==========
Dwayne

Saw it!
Wanted it!
Threw a fit!
Got it!

3614. Re: I Need Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 04:53:03 UTC
From: joesknows <atthelimit@limit.com>
Message-ID: <9lngiu$1jr$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61131

It is worth about 75 bucks....
you will be disappointed, you don't even know what you are looking for.

Study up at your local library.

"J V" <CigarFan01@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24417-3B7F2AE7-220@storefull-624.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Im buying a 500 channel bearcat scanner with trunking whatever that is.I
> was wondering if these pick up cordless and cell phone conversations and
> what else can i pick up on this unit. I mean is it worth the 400.00 im
> going to pay for it when i say worth it i mean will i be able to tune in
> some good stuff.any help would be great thanks.
>

3615. Re: R/S Owners manuals..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 04:56:02 UTC
From: joesknows <atthelimit@limit.com>
Message-ID: <9lngoh$e1q$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 61132

"Power House" <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET> wrote in message
news:tnq8kpo7ahqn78@corp.supernews.com...
> They can be found on the Radio Shack Website...
>
> http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/38491.htm - Pro-10
>
> I found nothing for the Pro-48. Is that the correct model #?
>

it is a 10 crystal unit, why would you need a manual for a crystal unit? Not much to it, either the crystal is on or off. Manual must have been all of 4 pages back in 1970.

3616. Schematic for GE "Searcher 2+2"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 04:59:09 UTC
From: John KB5AG <jblack3@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <xIHf7.139913$TM5.24985174@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 61133

Still looking for a schematic of the GE 7-2975A Searcher 2+2 AM/FM
radio/Scanner.
Anybody?

Thanks,

John KB5AG

3617. FS: RADIO SHACK SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 05:05:03 UTC
From: qstflyout@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819010503.09130.00006090@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 61134

PRO 43 HANDHELD
200 CHANNELS GOOD CONDITION NO DENTS
ALSO SCAN 800 MHZ
INCLUDED NICAD, WALL ADAPTOR, AND MANUAL

ASKING $170.00 OBO

E-MAIL QSTFLYOUT@AOL.COM

3618. Re: Beware of this guy he will kip you off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 07:41:51 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Message-ID: <35Kf7.73663$A47.34106238@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 61135

I wonder how many people he has already kipped off!!

"Dwayne H" <Dubbin@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.15e90b1c960f598b989691@news.tol.sbcglobal.net...
> In article <20010819001246.01450.00000295@mb-cr.aol.com>,
> den73740@aol.com says...
> > >Beware of this guy he will kip you off!
> >
> > Certainly don't want to get kipped
> >
> Kipping sucks
> --
> ==========
> Dwayne
> ----------
> Saw it!
> Wanted it!
> Threw a fit!
> Got it!

3619. Re: I Need Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 12:38:19 UTC
From: JS <JShelton1@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <%qOf7.7883$y26.1000126562@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 61136

Try reading about scanners and scanning, Talking to toher scanner users,perhaps "breaking yourself in" on a cheaper scanner before you spend so much money. You seem to be unsure of what you really want - to are at high risk for disappointment -- you will be unhappy if you spend so much money, then find the radio doesn't meet your real interests.

J V wrote in message
<24417-3B7F2AE7-220@storefull-624.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
Im buying a 500 channel bearcat scanner with trunking whatever that is.I was wondering if these pick up cordless and cell phone conversations and what else can i pick up on this unit. I mean is it worth the 400.00 im going to pay for it when i say worth it i mean will i be able to tune in some good stuff.any help would be great thanks.

3620. Handheld advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 13:10:43 UTC
From: "D.Brown" <dvbrown5@home.com>
Message-ID: <nVOf7.43309$K6.16904531@news2>
Source record: 61137
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I need advice on a decent basic handheld model for a B-day gift.
Monitoring local police, fire, Med (not trunked here, yet) and air freqs
(especially the air freqs).

Something moderately priced (for me) and not too cumbersome for a newbie.

Thanks in advanced.

3621. Re: Handheld advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 13:29:13 UTC
From: Mitchell Enterprises <sales@kenmitchell.com>
Message-ID: <3B7FBF29.FEDF635D@kenmitchell.com>
Source record: 61138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Please take a look at my web site and click on the main menu and go to
the Racing Scanners for Handhelds and check out my pricing. Let me know
if I can help.

"D.Brown" wrote:
>
> I need advice on a decent basic handheld model for a B-day gift.
> Monitoring local police, fire, Med (not trunked here, yet) and air freqs
> (especially the air freqs).
>
> Something moderately priced (for me) and not too cumbersome for a newbie.
>
> Thanks in advanced.

--
Kenneth Allan Mitchell
Mitchell Enterprises
mailto:sales@kenmitchell.com
http://www.kenmitchell.com
(916) 772-1400
(916) 772-1401 (Fax)

3622. yupi mtv-7100 headphone socket problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 14:15:36 UTC
From: VDYB <anonymous@anonymous.invalid>
Message-ID: <9lohi4lvc1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 61139

my mvt-7100 works fine when I use the built in speaker, but when I plug in headphones I get a lot of interference/loss of signal strenght that comes and goes as I move the headphone lead around. Is this normal or is there something wrong with my scanner? FWIW I made the modification to get a direct NFM discriminator output, I tried unsoldering it to see if that was the cause of the problem but it made no difference. :o(

cheers

Ricky

3623. Re: Beware of this guy he will kip you off!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 15:17:20 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b7fd845.646040517@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61140

On Sun, 19 Aug 2001 07:41:51 GMT, "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote:

>I wonder how many people he has already kipped off!!

He's hiding at my Safeway, right next to the tuna fish.
"Kippers" Taste good too.
>
>"Dwayne H" <Dubbin@nospam.net> wrote in message
>news:MPG.15e90b1c960f598b989691@news.tol.sbcglobal.net...
>> In article <20010819001246.01450.00000295@mb-cr.aol.com>,
>> den73740@aol.com says...
>> > >Beware of this guy he will kip you off!
>> >
>> > Certainly don't want to get kipped
>> >
>> Kipping sucks
>> --
>> ==========
>> Dwayne
>> ----------
>> Saw it!
>> Wanted it!
>> Threw a fit!
>> Got it!
>
>

3624. Re: I Need Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 15:18:52 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b7fd8b9.646156231@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61141

On Sat, 18 Aug 2001 21:56:39 -0500 (CDT), CigarFan01@webtv.net (J V) wrote:

>Im buying a 500 channel bearcat scanner with trunking whatever that is.I
>was wondering if these pick up cordless and cell phone conversations and
>what else can i pick up on this unit. I mean is it worth the 400.00 im
>going to pay for it when i say worth it i mean will i be able to tune in
>some good stuff.any help would be great thanks.
>

Why would you buy it if you don't know what it does?
Hhmmm.

3625. Re: Bc 80 Xlt Scanner and questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 15:53:39 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B7FE102.1E8F25E8@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61143

All new scanners sold in the US are blocked, and except for some of
the older blocked units, cannot be unblocked.

Walt

uwish@jol.com wrote:
>
> I have some questions that I hope at least one of you folks out there can
> answer. Im looking to buy a scanner and I was looking at the bc 80, but saw
> somewhere that this is a blocked scanner. I dont want a blocked scanner I like
> things available if I want them. Is this a blocked scanner and if so can you
> tell me or direct me to a page that tells me more about blocking/unblocking?
>
> Also is this a crappy scanner? Whats a good one for just some fooling around
> now and then and listening to the police when I hear something going on? If
> things are easily unblocked then Im all for it ! Also will consider buying a
> used one! Anyone?? only if you are a regular auctions seller :)
>
> u can also reply to !!justus@justourlove.com remove the !!
>
> thanks
>
> tj

3626. AH-100 (AH-27)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 16:58:36 UTC
From: wrenn_thomas@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <aa3b9f10.0108190858.611d49@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61144

Howdy folks. I'm still looking around for a AH-100 handheld CB to buy outright or barter a flawless Sony ICF-2010 for.

My second choice would be a UK-type AH-27, or one pro-modified to upset the communists over at the FascistCC!!

In my search I found a place in Illinois that actually has the AH-100 IN-STOCK for $199, but they're factory refurbished pieces minus the backlight feature.

I understand that was a design problem (but not a functional impediment) with the AH-100, so it's fair to assume that these are the consumer returns reworked for resale.

I'll call them on Monday and post the results, so those of you who might also be interested has a shot at getting one too. No doubt they'll go fast!

If I buy a unit, I'll rescind my request, but in the meantime my 2010 is factory perfect, and my cash is (still) good everywhere.

If [seriously] interested, or have comments you'd like to share, please drop me a direct line at wrenn_thomas@hotmail.com and Thanks!

3627. Re: 895XLT v 780XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 17:26:08 UTC
From: tony summerfelt <snowzone25@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns910288AD1A15Dsnowzone@24.9.0.131>
Source record: 61145

Walt Bertram wrote on 14 Aug 2001

> How do you interface the Model 100 to the 895?

when i ordered it from grove, i got the cable to go with it. you need a 9pin to 25pin adapter, but it workes ok.

> I know the Model 100 has the RS232 port, but what programs run on it?
> Did you write your own in Basic?

currently debugging one now. because it's a bit painful to write large programs on the model 100, i writing (well, porting from the dos/linux/windows versions actually) a number of smaller ones for various operations. searching for freqs is separate from scanning.

i upgraded my model 100 to 32k, so 'unlimited' scanning actually has a number attached to it :)

i'll be releasing the all the various versions when i'm finished. the windows one will be about 600k with a simple gui interface...

--
telnet://ventedspleen.dyndns.org
http://members.home.net/tsummerfelt1

3628. Dfw audio now has 18 wav files

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 18:18:50 UTC
From: outrunsho21@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010819141850.03722.00004695@ng-bk1.news.cs.com>
Source record: 61146

I have been working on this site forever. I have finally gotten all the audio files up that I wanted. There are foot chases, car chases, Girlfriend shoots boyfriend, Motorcycle chase at over 120 mph. Even added a links page. If you have some other good links let me know. There are now 18 wav files. I scan all day long just wating for something exciting. So click on the link below. Check out the site and let me know what you think by signing the guest book or sending me some mail. Enjoy

http://www.geocities.com/dfwscanning/DfwAudio1.html

Jason

3629. Re: 900mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 18:35:41 UTC
From: bomar <bomar76@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tnvn5prdp2n20f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61142

While you are looking, see if you can come up with a spell checker and some punctuation, too.
"CANELSONJR" <canelsonjr@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010818215830.01546.00002456@mb-ml.aol.com...
> were can u find a 900mhz scanner i live in chattanooga Tennessee iv looked ever
> were and can not find one

3630. Re: 900mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 19:34:18 UTC
From: "D.Brown" <dvbrown5@home.com>
Message-ID: <_wUf7.43761$K6.17238644@news2>
Source record: 61047

What the fuck was that for?
Is this how you deal with your low self esteem issues?
Do you feel better about yourself now?
Asshole.

"bomar" <bomar76@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:tnvn5prdp2n20f@corp.supernews.com...
> While you are looking, see if you can come up with a spell checker and
some
> punctuation, too.
> "CANELSONJR" <canelsonjr@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010818215830.01546.00002456@mb-ml.aol.com...
> > were can u find a 900mhz scanner i live in chattanooga Tennessee iv
looked
> ever
> > were and can not find one
>
>

3631. Re: 900mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 19:37:28 UTC
From: "D.Brown" <dvbrown5@home.com>
Message-ID: <YzUf7.43765$K6.17241344@news2>
Source record: 61048

Try Radio Shack,
Pricey, however they should have at least one model that receives 900Mhz.
I try not to shop there myself out of principle.

"CANELSONJR" <canelsonjr@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010818215830.01546.00002456@mb-ml.aol.com...
> were can u find a 900mhz scanner i live in chattanooga Tennessee iv looked
ever
> were and can not find one

3632. Re: I Need Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 20:10:02 UTC
From: "D.Brown" <dvbrown5@home.com>
Message-ID: <u2Vf7.43794$K6.17270361@news2>
Source record: 61049

Is there, or is there going to be trunked systems in your area?
If not then go with something more basic and save the money for accessories
:).

Get a radio that receives the most and has the most channels. You do not necessarily have to know what you are looking for before you buy. You may discover what you like once you start. I would have never thought listening to the dog catcher would be interesting until I put the county animal control freq in. It is hilarious when you hear them calling for backup, being pinned down by an ill-mannered cocker spaniel.

Cellular is out of the question, you need an older rig (pre 1994) to receive that band, and cellular is going away to PCS anyhow.
Cordless is going away to digital, spread spectrum and higher and higher up the spectrum where many scanners do not go. Aside from the morality some people pretend, it is not ethical to listen in on private conversations, and dull also. Would you like for them to listen in on you? On the other hand if you use an older cordless phone someone probably is. It is your morality, I will leave that to you.

Good luck

"J V" <CigarFan01@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:24417-3B7F2AE7-220@storefull-624.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Im buying a 500 channel bearcat scanner with trunking whatever that is.I
> was wondering if these pick up cordless and cell phone conversations and
> what else can i pick up on this unit. I mean is it worth the 400.00 im
> going to pay for it when i say worth it i mean will i be able to tune in
> some good stuff.any help would be great thanks.
>

3633. Re: MVT9000 vs MVT7000 vs AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 20:29:19 UTC
From: LJ Murray <majestic.murray@virgin.net>
Message-ID: <GkVf7.11225$0L6.1973722@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 61050

Thanks for the advice everyone.....I am going for MVT-7100.

Regards

LJ

"Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote in message
news:tnm2tqlmhm0k3e@corp.supernews.com...
> I wouldn't sell my ~5yr old Yupi MVT-7100 for anything less that I
couldn't
> turn around and buy the latest version of it!! It's that good!
> *especially* on the 800-900 range, it even outdid it's big MVT-9000
brother,
> just by a nose, but it beat it..... A little less intermod also was
noticed
> on the 30-40 range (coincidentally on the cordless phone freqs, like the
900
> stuff, accidentally of course).... But, the 9000 does have a lot more
bell
> & whistles, band scope, etc., and if ultra sensitivity isn't an issue,
would
> be much more versatile. I don't have any experience with the 8200, but
just
> got a AOR AR5000, which is totally awesome, and should be for the $$$$ -
but
> it's a different cat altogether, more of a hybrid comm
> receiver/scanner..... The 8200 adds the convenience of PC control,
> although at a price for the interface over the radio cost (&
software).....
> But for bang for the buck, the Yupi 7100 (can't speak for the 7200 or
7300)
> is GREAT!
> Cheers n beers...
> Don
> LJ Murray <majestic.murray@virgin.net> wrote in message
> news:_qBe7.4142$tG2.538558@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> >
> > I am looking to purchase a more powerful scanner after been bitten by
the
> > scanning bug.
> >
> > The problem is which one. I have narrowed it down to three. The MVT9000,
> > MVT7000 and AOR 8200.
> >
> > I think the MVT7000 might be the way to go, especially as its the

> cheapest!
> > My only concern is that its maximum range is up to 1650 MHz, where as
the
> > other two scanners are looking at 2039MHz. Should I be concerned about
> this?
> > (I don't want to feel that I'm going be missing out on something)
> >
> > Being fairly new to this game I'm not really sure what's going on at
these
> > high frequencies, so any help would be greatly appreciated.
> >
> > Thanks in advance.
> >
> > LJ
> >
> >
>
>

3634. Re: 900mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 20:35:37 UTC
From: Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <IoVf7.4642$ww1.475524@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 61051

While ya' be lookin', see if ya' kin mosey on down up wid some spell checka'
and some
punctuashun, too.

"bomar" <bomar76@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:tnvn5prdp2n20f@corp.supernews.com...
> While you are looking, see if you can come up with a spell checker and
some
> punctuation, too.

Neville

3635. Re: Frequencies for home portable home phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 20:57:06 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <to0a186jgj2u6e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61052

Try 902-907 & 925-928.. No set steps, or rules on bandwidth, etc....
I've had some that I had to go to WFM to understand them! Usually if you hear a one sided conversation on the low band, you can run up and search the hi band for the base, with both sides talking - OR - vise versa!! They seem to be equally split as to where you'll find the base unit (which usually seems to be much weaker, too, damn it).. It's the wild, wild, west trying to find the proper step, since different mfr's make their own rules, and I usually search at 5KHz.... It's slow, but..... And there's stuff around 1.2GHz, but I hear it's digital (:-<).....
Cheers & beers.
Don

Shhhhh!! <qaunta@qaunta.screaming.net> wrote in message news:997968337.143563@igateway.postoffice.co.uk...
> Just a question?
> What is the frequency of the portable home phones people use?
> Some operate at about 46MHz. But I beleive the newer ones work on a higher
> frequency?
>
> Any ideas?
>
> Thanks.
>
>
>

3636. Re: 900mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 21:01:56 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <to0aa46mmdvc14@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61053

NOW do you believe in "evolution"???????????
Can we spell R-U-D-E children???????????
Amen Mr. Brown.......
(:->))

D.Brown <dvbrown5@home.com> wrote in message
news:_wUf7.43761$K6.17238644@news2...
>
> What the fuck was that for?
> Is this how you deal with your low self esteem issues?
> Do you feel better about yourself now?
> Asshole.
>
> "bomar" <bomar76@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:tnvn5prdp2n20f@corp.supernews.com...
> > While you are looking, see if you can come up with a spell checker and
> some
> > punctuation, too.
> > "CANELSONJR" <canelsonjr@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20010818215830.01546.00002456@mb-ml.aol.com...
> > > were can u find a 900mhz scanner i live in chattanooga Tennessee iv
> looked
> > ever
> > > were and can not find one
> >
> >
>
>

3637. Re: 900mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 21:08:49 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <HhWf7.75797$rV6.3734787@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61054

> were can u find a 900mhz scanner I live in Chattanooga Tennessee iv looked
ever
> were and can not find one

Radio Shack among others.

Roland

3638. FS Pro 43/AOR8000/Pro2006/ BC235XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 22:27:35 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819182735.23947.00004915@ng-cm1.aol.com>
Source record: 61055

PRo 43 160.00 dollars

AOR 8000 350.00 dollars

BC 235XLT 95.00 dollars

Pro 2006 220.00 dollars

Prepayment of a US Postal Money Order or can be picked up here in NJ

3639. OT Again, or: Kiss My Dish, Cox Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 22:43:06 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b803fb8.672527153@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61056

Hello,

RS is having a sale on Direct TV and I'm hoping the wife goes for it.
49.95US for dish and rx. plus free install. 31.50US/mo. for 105 channels.
I rent, but they can do "temp. mount" , it's just that I would have to pay
for re-install if we moved. Though I know this is totally OT, I would love to
hear comments, criticism, paraise, or whathave you on Direct TV. Any
thoughtr out there?

Also, does anybody know the freq. that it's on ? Which sat.?

TIA and Death to COX ! (Ihope)

Andrew

3640. Web-site of Odd Scanner Samples??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 22:45:38 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b804134.672907138@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61057

Howdy,

I saw the post above for chases, etc.
But I thought there was also a site out there
where you could sample some of the odd
sounds we sometimes hear on scanners.
Does anybody remember this?

3641. Re: Radio Shank Scantenna look alike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 23:03:47 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b8041f7.673103016@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61058
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 17 Aug 2001 18:35:56 -0500, "Uncle Lud" <unclelud@myexcel.com> wrote:

>
>I just got a Antennacraft Scantenna for $37. . . A Big diffrence form the
>Standard antenna on my PRO-2042/OS535 combo. . . I noticed that Probe 6.0
>shows a higher Signal Strength on the meter. . . How much is Radio Sheist
>selling theres for?

I looked up the part number and the cat. priced it at 40.00. But you could do better. Saw a outdoor scanner ant. at local store priced at 19.95. Stated coverage was 118-1300 (less than the 20-044) and no coax included. Not a dipole, Discone I think. # screw-in radials. Coax connect built in. You might want to check it out too.

FWIW,

Andrew

3642. PRO-43 Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 23:04:43 UTC
From: Chris Smith <cksx@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <rsXf7.865$s4.311930@elnws01>
Source record: 61059

Does anyone have a copy of the manual for the PRO-43 in .pdf format, or know where I can get a hard copy without having to go back to Radio Shack (if they even still sell it!)? I bought one replacement copy already and seem to have lost that also when I last moved. Thanks for any help rendered. You can reply here or to my email: cksx@mediaone.net.

3643. Re: Radio Shank Scantenna look alike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-19 23:14:51 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b8046a5.674301072@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61060
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Fri, 17 Aug 2001 13:37:53 -0600, dataless <dataless@swbell.net> wrote:

>Hey all,
> Just wondering what you all thought of the Radio shack antenna that
>look the scantenna. It is RS# 20-044. Please give me your thoughts
>
>thanks,
>

Ooops ! Disregard prior post. What I saw was #20-176. And 20-043 is
apparently the discone. Sorry,

Andrew

3644. Re: PRO-43 Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 00:10:37 UTC
From: Chris Smith <cksx@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <rqYf7.866$s4.312311@elnws01>
Source record: 61061

I already tried the Radio Shack website. I got as far as selecting scanners
and the web page wouldn't go any further, so I had no model selection to
choose. Broken link, I guess.
"Chris Smith" <cksx@mediaone.net> wrote in message
news:rsXf7.865$s4.311930@elnws01...
> Does anyone have a copy of the manual for the PRO-43 in .pdf format, or
know
> where I can get a hard copy without having to go back to Radio Shack (if
> they even still sell it!)? I bought one replacement copy already and seem
to
> have lost that also when I last moved. Thanks for any help rendered. You
can
> reply here or to my email: cksx@mediaone.net.
>
>

3645. Re: OT Again, or: Kiss My Dish, Cox Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 00:12:24 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <five_hole35@Shotmail.com>
Message-ID: <IBYf7.51861$ig6.16592659@typhoon.we.rr.com>
Source record: 61062

Go to http://www.dbsforums.com for the good, the bad and the ugly on DirecTV and Dish Network. Here is a FAQ link. http://www.dbsforums.com/dbs/a0.html

Set'em up Joe <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message news:3b803fb8.672527153@news.erols.com...
> Hello,
>
> RS is having a sale on Direct TV and I'm hoping the wife goes for it.
> 49.95US for dish and rx. plus free install. 31.50US/mo. for 105 channels.
> I rent, but they can do "temp. mount" , it's just that I would have to pay
> for re-install if we moved. Though I know this is totally OT, I would love to
> hear comments, criticism, paraise, or whathave you on Direct TV. Any
> thoughtr out there?
>
> Also, does anybody know the freq. that it's on ? Which sat.?
>
> TIA and Death to COX ! (Ihope)
>
> Andrew

3646. WTB,FT,FA and FS posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 01:34:04 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <gOZf7.702$__5.1512@news>
Source record: 61063
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I don't know how you guys feel about the sale etc. posts. They don't bother me. But I made a request to the newsgroups boss for a radio.scanners.forsale group. What do you think?
Rob

--
Sure I'll get a life,
Just as soon as I download one!

3647. UNIDEN sc180B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 01:59:32 UTC
From: aqua1843@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010819215932.01559.00000375@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 61064

Anyone know any mods I can do to unblock the 800mhz frequencies?

3648. FA: PRO-76 hand held scanner (no reserve)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 02:16:43 UTC
From: "Dan B (Scanner Nuts)" <k4lyp@webdeals.net>
Message-ID: <SI_f7.747$zk4.52852@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61065
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

200 channel handheld scanner (non 800MHz)
Got a new scanner and I'm selling this one!
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1266754641

3649. Re: after AOR8000 PC lead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 02:36:00 UTC
From: DigitalEbola <digitalebola@iPrimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3b80781e@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 61066
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

thanks for that.. im not totally sure what it is, but thanks..

Is that for a custom lead which requires its own tap? or is it a lead to
plug into the official lead socket thing ?

"mike" <mlambert@bigpond.net.au> wrote in message
news:3B7F194E.C0C83AA9@bigpond.net.au...
>
>
>

3650. Re: WTB,FT,FA and FS posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 02:40:53 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenner@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <rN_f7.1741$W46.355378@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 61067
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It exists it's called rec.radio.swap.

GR
"Rob Crandall" <crandall@ee.net> wrote in message
news:gOZf7.702$__5.1512@news...
> I don't know how you guys feel about the sale etc. posts. They don't
bother
> me. But I made a request to the newsgroups boss for a
radio.scanners.forsale
> group. What do you think?
> Rob
>
> --
> Sure I'll get a life,
> Just as soon as I download one!
>
>
>

3651. Re: OT Again, or: Kiss My Dish, Cox Cable

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 02:54:46 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b807be3.687933166@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61068

On Mon, 20 Aug 2001 00:12:24 GMT, "Fred Garvin" <five_hole35@Shotmail.com>
wrote:

>Go to http://www.dbsforums.com for the good, the bad and the ugly on DirecTV
>and Dish Network. Here is a FAQ link. http://www.dbsforums.com/dbs/a0.html
>
> Right on the buton. Thanks!

Andrew
>
>
>Set'em up Joe <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
>news:3b803fb8.672527153@news.erols.com...
>> Hello,
>>
>> RS is having a sale on Direct TV and I'm hoping the wife goes for
>it.
>> 49.95US for dish and rx. plus free install. 31.50US/mo. for 105 channels.
>> I rent, but they can do "temp. mount" , it's just that I would have to pay
>> for re-install if we moved. Though I know this is totally OT, I would love
>to
>> hear comments, criticism, paraise, or whathave you on Direct TV. Any
>> thoughtr out there?
>>
>> Also, does anybody know the freq. that it's on ? Which sat.?
>>
>> TIA and Death to COX ! (Ihope)
>>
>> Andrew
>
>

3652. Re: WTB,FT,FA and FS posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 03:59:54 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15ea2b127a3beaa49896ee@news>
Source record: 61069
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <gOZf7.702$__5.1512@news>, crandall@ee.net says...
> I don't know how you guys feel about the sale etc. posts. They don't bother
> me. But I made a request to the newsgroups boss for a radio.scanners.forsale
> group. What do you think?

Can we also get a rec.radio.scanners.aor please?

Now I realize that AOR scanners, like FA,WTB,FA,FS posts are on topic
to rec.radio.scanners but I don't want to read about AOR scanners. Why
should I have to read these AOR posts on here?

Please, don't ask me to use a filter. 99% of the posts in question
(AOR scanners) have the letters AOR in the header that can easily be
filtered by 99.99% of the newsreaders but I saw a post last year with
a header that gave not a hint it was about AOR. I DON'T WANT TO READ
THESE POSTS and you all should conform to my requests. You can just as
easily start a e-mail list or a new newsgroup for AOR scanners if they
are so damn important. That's what those lists are for, AOR and stuff
I don't want to read. Use them!

Before you ask, NO, I can't just look at the headers and ignore the
post I don't care to read. If I wanted to do that I would use a
filter!!!!

Thanks in advance.

3653. Re: Radio Shank Scantenna look alike

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 04:04:34 UTC
From: dataless <dataless@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3B808C52.EDCC7FEE@swbell.net>
Source record: 61070
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks for all the feedback ya'll.

I just bought the RS model. Going to set it up probably tomorrow...

Set'em up Joe wrote:

> On Fri, 17 Aug 2001 13:37:53 -0600, dataless <dataless@swbell.net> wrote:
>
> >Hey all,
> > Just wondering what you all thought of the Radio shack antenna that
> >look the scantenna. It is RS# 20-044. Please give me your thoughts
> >
> >thanks,
> >
>
> Ooops ! Disregard prior post. What I saw was #20-176. And 20-043 is
> apparently the discone. Sorry,
>
> Andrew

3654. Re: WTB,FT,FA and FS posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 06:51:33 UTC
From: Dennis Coe <dscoe@icehouse.net>
Message-ID: <Vr2g7.14002$Up.390950@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 61071
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You can't read the headers and then ignore those you don't wish to read???
I feel sorry for you my friend! What a condition! Better apply for Social
Security Disability!

. I DON'T WANT TO READ
> THESE POSTS and you all should conform to my requests. You can just as
> easily start a e-mail list or a new newsgroup for AOR scanners if they
> are so damn important. That's what those lists are for, AOR and stuff
> I don't want to read. Use them!
>
> Before you ask, NO, I can't just look at the headers and ignore the
> post I don't care to read. If I wanted to do that I would use a
> filter!!!!
>
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
>
>
>

3655. Re: PRO-43 Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 09:59:20 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <5smfmtA49Ng7EwgW@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 61073

In article <rsXf7.865$s4.311930@elnws01>, Chris Smith
<cksx@mediaone.net> writes

>Does anyone have a copy of the manual for the PRO-43 in .pdf format, or know
>where I can get a hard copy without having to go back to Radio Shack (if
>they even still sell it!)? I bought one replacement copy already and seem to
>have lost that also when I last moved. Thanks for any help rendered. You can

Its not in PDF format but the "text" from the manual can be found at:

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/8564.htm

The listing of manuals and other info is at:

http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/2849.htm

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
http://www.javiation.co.uk

3656. Re: after AOR8000 PC lead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 10:20:03 UTC
From: signalone@dingoblue.net.au
Message-ID: <3b80e3cf.2358532@news.dingoblue.net.au>
Source record: 61072
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The AR8000 has this horrible flat ribbon type cable which usually goes
to an interface box for the scanner. There is the genuine AOR box or
the Javiation one. Not sure which owuld be cheaper. The design of this
cable is very bad. It is almost fagile, so take care when using it, as
when I had one, I discovered the contacts on the ends of the cable are
easy to damage.
Thankfully AOR have had the sense not to use this design in later
models.

Davo

On Mon, 20 Aug 2001 12:36:00 +1000, "DigitalEbola"
<digitalebola@iPrimus.com.au> wrote:

>thanks for that.. im not totally sure what it is, but thanks..
>
>Is that for a custom lead which requires its own tap? or is it a lead to
>plug into the official lead socket thing ?
>
>
>"mike" <mlambert@bigpond.net.au> wrote in message
>news:3B7F194E.C0C83AA9@bigpond.net.au...
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>--
>----
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3657. Re: MODS MODS MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 12:33:15 UTC
From: Kevin <kc7mte@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7x7g7.482$cU2.82628@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 61075

```
Looking for information on mods for any radio check out
kc7mtelibrary.cjb.net it has that tipe of info there.. well good luck..
"DJ6JZ" <DJ6JZ@compuserve.de> wrote in message
news:3b7bc2fc_2@news.arcor-ip.de...
> DigitalEbola <digitalebola@iprimus.com.au> schrieb in im Newsbeitrag:
> 3b77a836@news.iprimus.com.au...
> > mods to do ANYTHING
> >
> > Im after any mods what-so-ever
>
> Then visit
> http://www.radio-portal.org
> click on "Search in broad"
> and combine the category "Modifications" "and" a second category which
meets
> your requirements (Receivers, Scanners, Transceivers, Accessories).
>
> Regards,
> Willi
>
>
>
```

3658. Re: WTB,FT,FA and FS posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 12:37:16 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <0w7g7.2861$R2.3323386@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61074
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The for sale etc. posts were here long before the johnny-come-latelys who have been whining about them. If they don't want to read them, they shouldn't open these posts, or they should use a filter, or if they are compelled to open these posts because they can't control themselves, they should seek help. In any case, they oughta shut up already because most people probably just don't give a rat's patootie about those who don't want to read personal for sale etc. posts.

There's no need for another newsgroup, as another has pointed out, since it already exists.

"Rob Crandall" <crandall@ee.net> wrote in message news:gOZf7.702$__5.1512@news...
> I don't know how you guys feel about the sale etc. posts. They don't bother
> me. But I made a request to the newsgroups boss for a radio.scanners.forsale
> group. What do you think?
> Rob
>
> --
> Sure I'll get a life,
> Just as soon as I download one!
>
>

3659. Re: WTB,FT,FA and FS posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 13:22:34 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <DE59A8A60CE3BFD1.53586419B82DD723.A9C4D23114989E7B@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 61076

On Mon, 20 Aug 2001 01:34:04 GMT, "Rob Crandall" <crandall@ee.net>
wrote:

>I don't know how you guys feel about the sale etc. posts. They don't bother
>me. But I made a request to the newsgroups boss for a radio.scanners.forsale
>group. What do you think?
>Rob

When I go to the grocery store, I don't buy everything just
because it's on the shelf, I just choose what I want. Same
thing with TV; I watch only the shows I like. Nobody forces
me to do otherwise.

It's like a few people got upset because scanner newbies
asked a few questions that had been asked before, God forbid!
What has this world come to?

I have never understood the controversy surrounding these
posts. If someone doesn't want to read the, then they should
just pass them over, or filter them out (there's an idea).

I have purchased several good scanners here when I needed
them, otherwise I just read the posts that interest me.

As far as the rec.radio.swap group is concerned, I don't want
to plow through all that stuff for scanners, and I don't feel any
new groups are required, just new attitudes. Can we all say
"tolerance".

3660. Re: Regency HX-1500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 14:35:52 UTC
From: greggolson@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010820103552.00717.00005027@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 61077

Try the reset in the battery compartment.
I had a HX1000 do the same thing and
I reset the radio and things were back
to normal.

It's worth a try.

Gregg

3661. SCANNER DIGEST - Column Editors Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 15:05:01 UTC
From: Lou <Security@Headquarters.gov>
Message-ID: <pm92ot4fjj82ca96ur88m20i8mkd7d6089@4ax.com>
Source record: 61078

Scanner Digest Newsletter has been providing scanner enthusiasts
informative scanner information to our subscribers for close to three
years now. We are up to over 400 readers and have a staff of over 20
writers who provide regular regional and special feature columns.

We're looking for qualified candidates to join our all-volunteer staff
to submit articles of interest within the newsletter. Whether your a
newcomer to the hobby or you've been having fun for years, we invite
you to become part of our team.

We're primarily covering the Northeast Corridor area but will include
other areas as we continue to grow. Here's a list of areas we've
looking to fill gaps in our coverage area:

Western Massachusetts
Western Connecticut
Western New York State
Eastern Pennsylvania
Philadelphia Metro (PA)
Central Pennsylvania
Western Virginia
Southern Delaware-Eastern MD (Delmrava Peninsula)
Washington DC
North Carolina

We're also looking for writers who can submit material on the
following topics:

Amateur Radio
Marine
Civilian Aviation
News Media (TV-Radio-Newspaper)
Product Reviews (Equipment & Publications)

If you think you might be interested, please contact us here at
Scanner Digest HQs for consideration. If you're still unsure what
we're all about, your invited to receive a complimentary copy of the
Scanner Digest Newsletter for the asking. Look through our publication
and decide whether or not you would like to be part of our staff.
There's no obligation on your part and it's a great chance to check
out our newsletter prior to making a commitment.

Our all-volunteer staff members receive the Scanner Digest Newsletter
FREE as long as they are a member in good standing.

Send email or USPS mail request to:

Lou Campagna, Publisher
POB 207
Jamison PA 18929-0207
ScannerDigest*AT*usa*DOT*net

Remove and replace *AT* with @
Remove and replace *DOT* with .

3662. Re: SCANNER DIGEST - Column Editors Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 15:13:01 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <1O9g7.2872$R2.3376239@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61079

"Lou" <Security@Headquarters.gov> wrote in message
news:pm92ot4fjj82ca96ur88m20i8mkd7d6089@4ax.com...
> Scanner Digest Newsletter has been providing scanner enthusiasts
> informative scanner information to our subscribers for close to three
> years now.

Scanner Digest Newsletter has been providing scanner enthusiasts scanner
information to our subscribers for close to three
years .

>We are up to over 400 readers and have a staff of over 20 writers who
provide regular regional and special feature columns.

We have more than 400 readers and a staff of more than 20 writers who
regularly contribute regional and special feature columns.

> We're looking for qualified candidates to join our all-volunteer staff
> to submit articles of interest within the newsletter. Whether your a
> newcomer to the hobby or you've been having fun for years, we invite
> you to become part of our team.
>
> We're primarily covering the Northeast Corridor area but will include
> other areas as we continue to grow. Here's a list of areas we've
> looking to fill gaps in our coverage area:
>
> Western Massachusetts
> Western Connecticut
> Western New York State
> Eastern Pennsylvania
> Philadelphia Metro (PA)
> Central Pennsylvania
> Western Virginia
> Southern Delaware-Eastern MD (Delmrava Peninsula)
> Washington DC
> North Carolina
>
> We're also looking for writers who can submit material on the
> following topics:
>
> Amateur Radio
> Marine
> Civilian Aviation
> News Media (TV-Radio-Newspaper)
> Product Reviews (Equipment & Publications)
>

> If you think you might be interested, please contact us here at
> Scanner Digest HQs for consideration. If you're still unsure what
> we're all about, your invited to receive a complimentary copy of the
> Scanner Digest Newsletter for the asking. Look through our publication
> and decide whether or not you would like to be part of our staff.
> There's no obligation on your part and it's a great chance to check
> out our newsletter prior to making a commitment.
>
> Our all-volunteer staff members receive the Scanner Digest Newsletter
> FREE as long as they are a member in good standing.
>
> Send email or USPS mail request to:
>
> Lou Campagna, Publisher
> POB 207
> Jamison PA 18929-0207
> ScannerDigest*AT*usa*DOT*net
>
> Remove and replace *AT* with @
> Remove and replace *DOT* with .

3663. Re: SCANNER DIGEST - Column Editors Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 15:16:47 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <zR9g7.2873$R2.3377094@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 61080

What you really need is an editor to clean up your copy before your post it to newsgroups. If this post is an indication of the writing quality of your newsletter, it's no wonder you have only 400 subscribers.

"Lou" <Security@Headquarters.gov> wrote in message news:pm92ot4fjj82ca96ur88m20i8mkd7d6089@4ax.com...

3664. Re: WTB,FT,FA and FS posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 15:38:17 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <d2b2otkoke82til540gtfesj0gt67fm1ts@4ax.com>
Source record: 61081

On Mon, 20 Aug 2001 09:22:34 -0400, The_AntiArt
<The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> wrote:

>When I go to the grocery store, I don't buy everything just
>because it's on the shelf, I just choose what I want.
[snip]

And you don't find that annoyingly distracting? :-)

That practice by the grocery stores keeps getting me in trouble. My wife sends me to get bread or milk or a package of tofu. I wind up adding a box of donuts or (in season) Mallowmars, just because they're on the shelf.

But if I hide the Mallowmars when I get home, I'm just fine.

>I have never understood the controversy surrounding these
>posts.
[snip]

Having read a lot of those threads, I don't think there's really a controversy. I think it's just one individual who keeps complainging to E-bay and FA/FS posters' ISPs. He/she really needs to get a life.

>I have purchased several good scanners here when I needed
>them, otherwise I just read the posts that interest me.

I bought a scanner advertised here. A PRO-64 that I did not really need, was not really shopping for, but was delighted to get. If not for FS posts here, there would still be an aching empty spot in my scanning life.

Ken Plotkin

3665. FS: Pro-92B

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 17:11:18 UTC
From: Garr <garr_1099@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3b8144b7$1@rpc1284.daytonoh.ncr.com>
Source record: 61085

Excellent condition. No scratches on case or display. Comes with Six cell nicad battery pack and charger. I have manual, stock antenna but no box.

$170.00 shipped and insured. USPS Money orders.

Please email.

3666. Cell and Cordless 2 Different?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 17:12:04 UTC
From: uwish@jol.com
Message-ID: <Exbg7.92334$k7.23268660@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 61083

Are cellphone calls and cordless phone calls two different things when listening in with a scanner? Im confused about this and would just like to know. Can someone please explain....
Tj

3667. Re: MVT9000 vs MVT7000 vs AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 17:12:57 UTC
From: Vinnie <valair@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9lrged$fj3$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 61084

You will be sorry!
V

LJ Murray <majestic.murray@virgin.net> wrote in message
news:GkVf7.11225$0L6.1973722@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> Thanks for the advice everyone.....I am going for MVT-7100.
>
> Regards
>
> LJ
>
>
> "Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote in message
> news:tnm2tqlmhm0k3e@corp.supernews.com...
> > I wouldn't sell my ~5yr old Yupi MVT-7100 for anything less that I
> couldn't
> > turn around and buy the latest version of it!! It's that good!
> > *especially* on the 800-900 range, it even outdid it's big MVT-9000
> brother,
> > just by a nose, but it beat it..... A little less intermod also was
> noticed
> > on the 30-40 range (coincidentally on the cordless phone freqs, like the
> 900
> > stuff, accidentally of course).... But, the 9000 does have a lot more
> bell
> > & whistles, band scope, etc., and if ultra sensitivity isn't an issue,
> would
> > be much more versatile. I don't have any experience with the 8200, but
> just
> > got a AOR AR5000, which is totally awesome, and should be for the $$$$ -
> but
> > it's a different cat altogether, more of a hybrid comm
> > receiver/scanner..... The 8200 adds the convenience of PC control,
> > although at a price for the interface over the radio cost (&
> software).....
> > But for bang for the buck, the Yupi 7100 (can't speak for the 7200 or
> 7300)
> > is GREAT!
> > Cheers n beers...
> > Don
> > LJ Murray <majestic.murray@virgin.net> wrote in message
> > news:_qBe7.4142$tG2.538558@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> > >
> > > I am looking to purchase a more powerful scanner after been bitten by

> the
> > > scanning bug.
> > >
> > > The problem is which one. I have narrowed it down to three. The
MVT9000,
> > > MVT7000 and AOR 8200.
> > >
> > > I think the MVT7000 might be the way to go, especially as its the
> > cheapest!
> > > My only concern is that its maximum range is up to 1650 MHz, where as
> the
> > > other two scanners are looking at 2039MHz. Should I be concerned about
> > this?
> > > (I don't want to feel that I'm going be missing out on something)
> > >
> > > Being fairly new to this game I'm not really sure what's going on at
> these
> > > high frequencies, so any help would be greatly appreciated.
> > >
> > > Thanks in advance.
> > >
> > > LJ
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

3668. Decisions and question about cell images?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 17:19:45 UTC
From: uwish@jol.com
Message-ID: <REbg7.92336$k7.23276521@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 61086

I wanted some info for you guys I value your opinions as you are all probaly a lot more experienced than I am :)

I want to buy a new scanner and I have narrowed it down to a BC 245 or a Pro 92. I like these because they have trunking and are configurable with the computer. Is either of these a smart choice? Would an older scanner do just as well? I live in Alabama and the best I could find was that we DO have trunking. Does anyone else know this to be true? Should I be concerned with the option to use my pc with the scanner or is that hipe?

I found an older pro 51 at a pawn shop for 100 bucks would this be something I should look into.

and last what is cell images? Is it a round about way or hearing cell calls even tho the scanner has blocked them because they come in in a different area??

Many thanks!
Tj

3669. Re: Pro 2006 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 18:12:12 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B8152E2.439283AA@home.com>
Source record: 61087

> Hi John,

Thanks a lot for the reply! I appreciate you taking the time to do so.

Best wishes..

Mike Burch

3670. Re: WIDEBAND ANTENNA QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 18:36:47 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15eaf88faef61d999896ef@news>
Source record: 61088

In article <9lredmceg1@bob.news.rcn.net>, n3bqa@starpower.net
says...
> are there wideband vertical scanner antennas that will receive better than
> the diamond D130J ?

No.

3671. Re: I Need Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 18:38:05 UTC
From: Jeffrey Grey <seagrave63@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <hOcg7.53468$gj1.4937180@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61089

You DO need help!!.. Listening to cell phones and cordless phones is pretty boring. It is also illegal. If you want to spend $400.00 at least know what your getting.
"D.Brown" <dvbrown5@home.com> wrote in message news:u2Vf7.43794$K6.17270361@news2...
> Is there, or is there going to be trunked systems in your area?
> If not then go with something more basic and save the money for accessories
> :).
>
> Get a radio that receives the most and has the most channels. You do not
> necessarily have to know what you are looking for before you buy. You may
> discover what you like once you start. I would have never thought listening
> to the dog catcher would be interesting until I put the county animal
> control freq in. It is hilarious when you hear them calling for backup,
> being pinned down by an ill-mannered cocker spaniel.
>
> Cellular is out of the question, you need an older rig (pre 1994) to receive
> that band, and cellular is going away to PCS anyhow.
> Cordless is going away to digital, spread spectrum and higher and higher up
> the spectrum where many scanners do not go. Aside from the morality some
> people pretend, it is not ethical to listen in on private conversations, and
> dull also. Would you like for them to listen in on you? On the other hand if
> you use an older cordless phone someone probably is. It is your morality, I
> will leave that to you.
>
> Good luck
>
>
> "J V" <CigarFan01@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:24417-3B7F2AE7-220@storefull-624.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > Im buying a 500 channel bearcat scanner with trunking whatever that is.I
> > was wondering if these pick up cordless and cell phone conversations and
> > what else can i pick up on this unit. I mean is it worth the 400.00 im
> > going to pay for it when i say worth it i mean will i be able to tune in
> > some good stuff.any help would be great thanks.
> >
>

>

3672. Re: Cell and Cordless 2 Different?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 18:43:33 UTC
From: Seagrave63 <seagrave63@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <pTcg7.24549$1p1.1913891@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61090

Yes they are very different. They use different frequencies and both are illegal to listen to. You won't be able to hear either with any scanner made after 1994 unless it's modified. But listening to either is usually boring.
"Bring home pizza...I miss you!!...etc...
<uwish@jol.com> wrote in message
news:Exbg7.92334$k7.23268660@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> Are cellphone calls and cordless phone calls two different things when
> listening in with a scanner? Im confused about this and would just like to
> know. Can someone please explain....
> Tj

3673. Re: Cell and Cordless 2 Different?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 19:02:26 UTC
From: uwish@jol.com
Message-ID: <69dg7.92633$k7.23364636@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 61092

I dont want to listen really.....Im sure I would get bored of it quick :) I just like to know stuff in detail !
So cell phones like everyone has now a days AND cordless home phones are blocked in scanners made after 94? Thats not how the net seems to make it out.....poor me reading and reading and changing my mind too many times in one day! Thanks :)

In article <pTcg7.24549$1p1.1913891@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Seagrave63" <seagrave63@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>Yes they are very different. They use different frequencies and both are
>illegal to listen to. You won't be able to hear either with any scanner made
>after 1994 unless it's modified. But listening to either is usually boring.
>"Bring home pizza...I miss you!!...etc...
><uwish@jol.com> wrote in message
>news:Exbg7.92334$k7.23268660@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
>> Are cellphone calls and cordless phone calls two different things when
>> listening in with a scanner? Im confused about this and would just like to
>> know. Can someone please explain....
>> Tj
>
>

3674. Bearcat 780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 19:05:09 UTC
From: Ken McKenzie <donot@forget.your.towel>
Message-ID: <3b815d64@news1>
Source record: 61091

I'm thinking of buying one of these and would appreciate an opinions on the set. I'm looking to use it Edacs trunked systems.

3675. WIDEBAND ANTENNA QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 19:37:01 UTC
From: marvin rosen <n3bqa@starpower.net>
Message-ID: <9lredmceg1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 61082

are there wideband vertical scanner antennas that will receive better than
the diamond D130J ?

3676. Re: WTB,FT,FA and FS posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 19:38:51 UTC
From: Vinnie <valair@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9lrp0m$j35$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 61093
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You see, it's like this, nobody gives a toss if you want to read it or not.
People will post the For Sale / Wanted/ Trade adds on here if and when they
like, if you can't handle that, then you leave the group
V

Greg Renner <grenner@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:rN_f7.1741$W46.355378@news.uswest.net...
> It exists it's called rec.radio.swap.
>
> GR
> "Rob Crandall" <crandall@ee.net> wrote in message
> news:gOZf7.702$__5.1512@news...
> > I don't know how you guys feel about the sale etc. posts. They don't
> bother
> > me. But I made a request to the newsgroups boss for a
> radio.scanners.forsale
> > group. What do you think?
> > Rob
> >
> > --
> > Sure I'll get a life,
> > Just as soon as I download one!
> >
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.265 / Virus Database: 137 - Release Date: 18/07/01

3677. Re: Cell and Cordless 2 Different?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 20:03:49 UTC
From: John <jh.lewis@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <F2eg7.17742$Ki1.1436432@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61094

Yes, there's a big difference in cell phones and cordless phones -

Generally, cell phones use800 mhz frequencies (like 823.xxx / 851.xxx and 868.xxx / 896.xxx --- most of which is (now) digital anyway and impossible to listen to (until a manufacturer comes out with a scanner to decode it) - and as stated, the analog conversations that you CAN pick up are boring, and not worth the time -- you may hear a brief "pick up some butter on the way home from...." before the conversation is transferred to another cell site which your not listening to (the technology is too complex to explain here). Most new scanners sold are "cell blocked" meaning that you can't pick up those particular cell frequencies anyway. Older scanners were often modified to pick up analog cell phones when they (cell phones) were first popular.

Cordless 900 mhz phones primarily use 902.xxx / 907.xxx and 926.xxx / 927.xxx and 43 mhz cordless phones use 43.xxx / 46.xxx and 48.xxx / 49.xxx. Again, there are some digital and some analog - analog is cheap - digital is more expensive. Just about any scanner made (new or old) will pick up the 43 mhz phones which are mostly analog. These phones are still very popular with the general public and are the 'cheap' cordless phones you see advertised all over the place for $25 bucks or so - but cheap 900mhz phones are catching up... There are also 2.4 gig phones which can't be listened to via scanner. Cordless phones are not 'passed off' as cell phones are and you can hear the entire conversation - but again, why bother?

The above freqs are just general examples, for your 'edification' - not gospel.

Get a nice scanner for police (until they go digital too that is) and other services such as fire, rescue, EMS, etc. There's plenty of stuff to listen to without worrying about cordless phone junk - which listening to (to me anyway) is a pure invasion of privacy.

Check with your local Radio Shack to see if the police departments in your area use a trunk system or not, or you may end up with the wrong scanner for police and government activities.

HTH -

John

<uwish@jol.com> wrote in message
news:69dg7.92633$k7.23364636@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> I dont want to listen really.....Im sure I would get bored of it quick :)
I
> just like to know stuff in detail !
> So cell phones like everyone has now a days AND cordless home phones are

> blocked in scanners made after 94? Thats not how the net seems to make it
> out.....poor me reading and reading and changing my mind too many times in
one
> day! Thanks :)
>
>
> In article <pTcg7.24549$1p1.1913891@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "Seagrave63" <seagrave63@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> >Yes they are very different. They use different frequencies and both are
> >illegal to listen to. You won't be able to hear either with any scanner
made
> >after 1994 unless it's modified. But listening to either is usually
boring.
> >"Bring home pizza...I miss you!!...etc...
> ><uwish@jol.com> wrote in message
> >news:Exbg7.92334$k7.23268660@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> >> Are cellphone calls and cordless phone calls two different things when
> >> listening in with a scanner? Im confused about this and would just like
to
> >> know. Can someone please explain....
> >> Tj
> >
> >

3678. Re: 900mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 20:14:20 UTC
From: Ghostie <qaunta@qaunta.screaming.net>
Message-ID: <998338467.807709@igateway.postoffice.co.uk>
Source record: 61095

Look on the net!!
Got to be better than Radio Shack!!

I brought something from then once...
It went wrong..
Opened it up and the components inside were not a pretty sight!!
I like to see things well made. Radio Shack, Well.....

3679. Re: Bearcat 780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 20:49:36 UTC
From: Tom Riggs <thriggs@mail.netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <3B8177E0.E314371D@mail.netusa1.net>
Source record: 60997

Go to this web page and had a look: http://strongsignals.net/bc780xlt/
There is a message board also. I got one in February. I like it EDACS works well. You can do several trunk systems and conventional scan at the same time. Note: This scanner is not for the VCR challenged. The manual is pretty advanced, they don't highlight every button push too well. There are third party supplied programming software packages. Read the message board for critiques.

Ken McKenzie wrote:

> I'm thinking of buying one of these and would appreciate an opinions on the
> set. I'm looking to use it Edacs trunked systems.

3680. radio shack 200 hh scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 20:50:33 UTC
From: john sanders <jdsand@cowtown.net>
Message-ID: <to2tmkicjl1248@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61096

have a pro32 hand held 200 ch scanner in average condition i will ship for $100.00

3681. Re: WIDEBAND ANTENNA QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 21:30:42 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <6kfg7.211$mr3.7208@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 60998

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:
> In article <9lredmceg1@bob.news.rcn.net>, n3bqa@starpower.net
> says...
>> are there wideband vertical scanner antennas that will receive better than
>> the diamond D130J ?

> No.

Yes.

The Nevada Double Discone (technically a bicone) will have a flatter
radiation pattern and will get you considerable gain over a discone.

See:

http://www.radiobooks.com/products/ant426.htm

For info.

Now if you want a directional antenna, you can get a log-periodic
(also known as a log-periodic dipola array or LPDA). A TV antenna
is a type of log-periodic.

HRO sells Create log-periodics, as does Grove. See:

http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT17.html

or the HRO catalog for info.

--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dimensional.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

3682. Re: PRO-43 Manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 21:52:46 UTC
From: Chris Smith <cksx@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <Oufg7.873$s4.314746@elnws01>
Source record: 60999

Thanks very much for your help. Last time I tried the Tandy/Radio Shack site
their links were screwed. They work fine now. Appreciate the effort!
"Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk> wrote in message
news:5smfmtA49Ng7EwgW@Javiation.co.uk...
> In article <rsXf7.865$s4.311930@elnws01>, Chris Smith
> <cksx@mediaone.net> writes
>
> >Does anyone have a copy of the manual for the PRO-43 in .pdf format, or
know
> >where I can get a hard copy without having to go back to Radio Shack (if
> >they even still sell it!)? I bought one replacement copy already and seem
to
> >have lost that also when I last moved. Thanks for any help rendered. You
can
>
> Its not in PDF format but the "text" from the manual can be found at:
>
> http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/8564.htm
>
> The listing of manuals and other info is at:
>
> http://support.tandy.com/support_electronics/2849.htm
>
> --
> Cheers,
> JC
> Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
> http://www.javiation.co.uk

3683. Re: Bearcat 780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 23:16:37 UTC
From: Topp Dogg <toppdogg@askfirst.com>
Message-ID: <to36j52o32d992@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61000

From my experience it is a great reciever. I would recommend the Scan*Star 780 software if you are going to run it from a pc.

Leigh...

www.nwscanners.com

Ken McKenzie <donot@forget.your.towel> wrote in message news:3b815d64@news1...
> I'm thinking of buying one of these and would appreciate an opinions on the
> set. I'm looking to use it Edacs trunked systems.
>
>

3684. Re: after AOR8000 PC lead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 23:27:14 UTC
From: DigitalEbola <digitalebola@iPrimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3b819d5f@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 61001
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You wouldnt know where i could pick one up second hand by any chance would
you ?

"nospam dingoblue.net.au (Davo)" <signalone@> wrote in message
news:3b80e3cf.2358532@news.dingoblue.net.au...
> The AR8000 has this horrible flat ribbon type cable which usually goes
> to an interface box for the scanner. There is the genuine AOR box or
> the Javiation one. Not sure which owuld be cheaper. The design of this
> cable is very bad. It is almost fagile, so take care when using it, as
> when I had one, I discovered the contacts on the ends of the cable are
> easy to damage.
> Thankfully AOR have had the sense not to use this design in later
> models.
>
> Davo
>
>
> On Mon, 20 Aug 2001 12:36:00 +1000, "DigitalEbola"
> <digitalebola@iPrimus.com.au> wrote:
>
> >thanks for that.. im not totally sure what it is, but thanks..
> >
> >Is that for a custom lead which requires its own tap? or is it a lead to
> >plug into the official lead socket thing ?
> >
> >
> >"mike" <mlambert@bigpond.net.au> wrote in message
> >news:3B7F194E.C0C83AA9@bigpond.net.au...
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
>
>--
-
> >----
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

>

3685. Re: WIDEBAND ANTENNA QUESTION -> Wide Band Amp ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 23:31:09 UTC
From: DigitalEbola <digitalebola@iPrimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3b819e4a$1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 61002

Cool, currently I have a diamond discone.. Where are this company based that
sell the double discone ? Im an Aussie (Australia)

Also is there such a thing as a wideband AMP ?

"Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com> wrote in message
news:6kfg7.211$mr3.7208@wormhole.dimensional.com...
> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:
> > In article <9lredmceg1@bob.news.rcn.net>, n3bqa@starpower.net
> > says...
> >> are there wideband vertical scanner antennas that will receive better
than
> >> the diamond D130J ?
>
>
> > No.
>
> Yes.
>
> The Nevada Double Discone (technically a bicone) will have a flatter
> radiation pattern and will get you considerable gain over a discone.
>
> See:
>
> http://www.radiobooks.com/products/ant426.htm
>
> For info.
>
> Now if you want a directional antenna, you can get a log-periodic
> (also known as a log-periodic dipola array or LPDA). A TV antenna
> is a type of log-periodic.
>
> HRO sells Create log-periodics, as does Grove. See:
>
> http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT17.html
>
> or the HRO catalog for info.
>
>
> --
> Eric F. Richards
> efricha@dimensional.com
> "The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
> - Dilbert

3686. Re: Scanner Freq's for Kitsap County Washington

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 23:51:09 UTC
From: parcells@centurytel.net
Message-ID: <2b7a92e6.0108201551.3f71281d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 61003
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Stan" <sferet@excite.nospam.com> wrote in message
news:<OTwf7.6342$D4.503500@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> I just moved here and I am looking for the freq's for emergency services,
> police, fire, ambulance, etc. And Coast guard marine rescue.
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> Stan

Stan:

Start with 158.880 and 153.770, 154.370.

The local Radio Shack's used to give out a list of local freq's for the asking.
Duane

3687. Re: WTB,FT,FA and FS posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-20 23:59:24 UTC
From: Topp Dogg <toppdogg@askfirst.com>
Message-ID: <to391vq42hgpb6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61004
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am ready for one....

Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net> wrote in message
news:gOZf7.702$__5.1512@news...
> I don't know how you guys feel about the sale etc. posts. They don't
bother
> me. But I made a request to the newsgroups boss for a
radio.scanners.forsale
> group. What do you think?
> Rob
>
> --
> Sure I'll get a life,
> Just as soon as I download one!
>
>

3688. ID Tracker Freeware - Updated (Version 1.3.1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 00:08:24 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammer.net>
Message-ID: <Vxhg7.2743$zk4.203270@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 61005

For those interested, I have updated the ID Tracker program.
Go to Version History for update information.

http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

3689. Re: WIDEBAND ANTENNA QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 00:20:58 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15eb493d730a16e9896f1@news>
Source record: 61007

In article <6kfg7.211$mr3.7208@wormhole.dimensional.com>,
efricha@flatland.dimensional.com says...
> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:
> > In article <9lredmceg1@bob.news.rcn.net>, n3bqa@starpower.net
> > says...
> >> are there wideband vertical scanner antennas that will receive better than
> >> the diamond D130J ?
>
>
> > No.
>
> Yes.
>
> The Nevada Double Discone (technically a bicone) will have a flatter
> radiation pattern and will get you considerable gain over a discone.
>
> See:
>
> http://www.radiobooks.com/products/ant426.htm
>
> For info.
>
> Now if you want a directional antenna, you can get a log-periodic
> (also known as a log-periodic dipola array or LPDA). A TV antenna
> is a type of log-periodic.
>
> HRO sells Create log-periodics, as does Grove. See:
>
> http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT17.html
>
> or the HRO catalog for info.

That really isn't a vertical antenna, is it?

The answer is still no.

3690. SC-200 Programming Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 00:36:35 UTC
From: John Doe <me@me.com>
Message-ID: <n2ig7.48598$O8.7804223@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 61008
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Download a free trial of Ez-Scan Sport...programming software for the Uniden SC-200 at:

http://members.home.net/itssoftware/ez-scan.htm

--

Check this out, I did it and it really works
http://mgiftline.com/cgi-bin/ez20/jv1.cgi?85
Advertise your website FREE
http://www.iloveclicks.com/signup.asp?referrer=19545

3691. Re: WTB,FT,FA and FS posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 00:39:21 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <Z4ig7.728$__5.2293@news>
Source record: 61006
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

OK so I'm a dumbass. Didn't mean to start a argument. Just thought I was doin everybody a favor so all the ones that whine would stop posting about whining.
Later
Rob
"Rob Crandall" <crandall@ee.net> wrote in message news:gOZf7.702$__5.1512@news...
> I don't know how you guys feel about the sale etc. posts. They don't bother
> me. But I made a request to the newsgroups boss for a radio.scanners.forsale
> group. What do you think?
> Rob
>
> --
> Sure I'll get a life,
> Just as soon as I download one!
>
>

3692. Re: WTB: AOR AR-5000 or AR-5000 PLUS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 00:40:53 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <p6ig7.17484$MM.11674103@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61009
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

AR-8600???
Yaseu VR-5000 (also scan slow but neat features, newer tech)
(newer not always being better!)

Anyone else notice that with all these high end radios reviewers who do a good job almost always find them off frequency by a bit in one band or another? Can't imagine what it would be like trying to align one of these dc-to-daylight puppies...

In article <tn0uorj16hl859@corp.supernews.com>, "Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote:

> Looking for the perfect radio, mostly for VHF/UHF, and so far that looks
> like a
> AOR AR-5000 or AR-5000 Plus
>
> Would consider a Icom R-8500.....
>
> Or any other suggestions???????
>
> tazman@cox-internet.com
>
> Thanks,
> Don
>
>

3693. Re: What's a good scanner magazine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 00:45:19 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <zaig7.17497$MM.11681413@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61010

Shortwave Magazine from England and Monitoring Times. Scanning USA is
sometimes interesting. The best is any e-mail list which covers your local
area / interests.

In article <20010814161649.01941.00002957@mb-mf.aol.com>, tramd300@aol.com
(TRAMD300) wrote:

> No really, this is a serious question.
> I am into monitoring police calls and such & like to keep up on the news about
> the digital and such type scanners.
> I have heard of different magazines, but not sure which one would give me the
> most for the buck.
> I am open for suggestions from you all.
> Please contact me direct at..
> tramd300@aol.com
>
> Thank you....

3694. Re: WTB,FT,FA and FS posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 00:45:43 UTC
From: "D.Brown" <dvbrown5@home.com>
Message-ID: <Xaig7.47963$K6.18335852@news2>
Source record: 61011
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I definitely do not mind the FS posts here.
Found a nice Pro-2006 and a Pro-43 about two years ago thanks to someone posting them here. I hate haggling at Ebay, I never win, someone always outbids me at the last minute. I like to know the price upfront anyhow.

"Rob Crandall" <crandall@ee.net> wrote in message
news:gOZf7.702$__5.1512@news...
> I don't know how you guys feel about the sale etc. posts. They don't
bother
> me. But I made a request to the newsgroups boss for a
radio.scanners.forsale
> group. What do you think?
> Rob
>
> --
> Sure I'll get a life,
> Just as soon as I download one!
>
>

3695. Bearcat BC140 no audio, Help Please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 01:01:52 UTC
From: Jeff Voyles <scanner@mail.accessus.net>
Message-ID: <998355756.876392@nntp.accessus.net>
Source record: 61012

It scans and the squelch seems to work, stops it from scanning.
There is no audio at all , even touching the board (sometimes you can get a hum) and with an external speaker.
Can someone email me a single page schematic
or tell me if you had this problem and how to fix it.
Thank you very much!
Jeff

3696. FS Alinco DX-70 TH 100 watts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 01:20:10 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <eHig7.106$ZT.155891@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 61013
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Have for sale one Alinco DX-70 TH for $450.00.Never mobile.Email me if interested.

3697. FS PK 232 MBX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 01:22:09 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <5Jig7.107$ZT.156470@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 61015
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Have for sale a PK-232 MBX tnc with book and cables.Asking $150.00.Email me if interested.

3698. FS Kenwood SW-2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 01:23:40 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <wKig7.109$ZT.156817@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 61016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Have for sale Kenwood swr and Power meter SW-2000.
Asking $75.00.Comes with 6 meter coupling.Email me if interested.

3699. Re: WIDEBAND ANTENNA QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 01:24:00 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <9ud3otkjmfme34eq95ov94gilsiph5po30@4ax.com>
Source record: 61017

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:

> In article <6kfg7.211$mr3.7208@wormhole.dimensional.com>,
> efricha@flatland.dimensional.com says...
> > Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:
> > > In article <9lredmceg1@bob.news.rcn.net>, n3bqa@starpower.net
> > > says...
> > >> are there wideband vertical scanner antennas that will receive better than
> > >> the diamond D130J ?
> > >
> > >
> > > No.
> > >
> > Yes.
> >
> > The Nevada Double Discone (technically a bicone) will have a flatter
> > radiation pattern and will get you considerable gain over a discone.
> >
> > See:
> >
> > http://www.radiobooks.com/products/ant426.htm
> >
> > For info.
> >
> > Now if you want a directional antenna, you can get a log-periodic
> > (also known as a log-periodic dipola array or LPDA). A TV antenna
> > is a type of log-periodic.
> >
> > HRO sells Create log-periodics, as does Grove. See:
> >
> > http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT17.html
> >
> > or the HRO catalog for info.
>
>
> That really isn't a vertical antenna, is it?
>
> The answer is still no.

The double discone is in fact a vertical. The log
periodic can be mounted vertically. So, even if you
are anally retentive, the answer is still yes.

I use a double discone... I think I know what kind of
antenna it is. Did you even bother to look at it?

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"This book reads like a headache on paper."
www.cnn.com/2001/CAREER/readingup/08/14/getting.things.done/index.html

3700. FS Diamond Triplexer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 01:26:22 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <2Nig7.111$ZT.157545@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 61018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Have a Diamond Triplexor for sale @ $50.00.Email me if interested.

3701. Re: Unblocking Bearcat 235XLT for cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 01:27:47 UTC
From: "Edward C. DeGagne" <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <9lscee$9vp$1@news-central.tiac.net>
Source record: 61014

The above post post was not made by myself. Some immature smart ass decided to sign up under my e-mail account.

Thanks to Eric Schulz for sending me an e-mail giving me the heads up. Until now, I didn't even know this newsgroup existed nor am I in to radios or scanners (no offense to all).

I apologize for someone's idea of a prank.

Sincerely,

Ed Degagne
www.indiepro.com/busa
(aka Fast Eddie from www.suzukihayabusa.org)

3702. Ventura County, CA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 01:28:05 UTC
From: Paul <spamsbad.n6pta@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <FOig7.3517$Sa7.543703@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 61019

anyone interested in exchanging lists for Ventura County?
Or am I looking in the wrong news group?

3703. Re: Decisions and question about cell images?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 01:50:40 UTC
From: Dennis Coe <dscoe@icehouse.net>
Message-ID: <Q7jg7.14069$Up.392145@sea-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 61020

Snip=====
> I found an older pro 51 at a pawn shop for 100 bucks would this be
something I should look into.

I have one and I wouldn't sell it for $100 - so yes it's a good one to get.

> and last what is cell images? Is it a round about way or hearing cell
calls
> even tho the scanner has blocked them because they come in in a different
> area??

Yes and that Pro 51 will "listen" to them as well.

3704. Re: WTB,FT,FA and FS posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 01:52:57 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Z9jg7.57450$ZV5.8751744@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 61021
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Three universal truths about newsgroups:

1. No matter what you say, you will always start an argument;
every post will result in a ridiculous off-topic flame-fest.
2. There will always be whining and people whining about whining.
3. There is always somebody more ignorant than you can imagine
who is bent on proving it.

Everybody gets his turn to try to fix it, but it never has any positive
effect. Thanks for taking your turn, Rob...my glass house is already pretty
drafty. Next!

"Rob Crandall" <crandall@ee.net> wrote in message
news:Z4ig7.728$__5.2293@news...
> OK so I'm a dumbass. Didn't mean to start a argument. Just thought I was
> doin everybody a favor so all the ones that whine would stop posting about
> whining.
> Later
> Rob
> "Rob Crandall" <crandall@ee.net> wrote in message
> news:gOZf7.702$__5.1512@news...
> > I don't know how you guys feel about the sale etc. posts. They don't
> bother
> > me. But I made a request to the newsgroups boss for a
> radio.scanners.forsale
> > group. What do you think?
> > Rob
> >
> > --
> > Sure I'll get a life,
> > Just as soon as I download one!
> >
> >
>
>

3705. Re: What's a good scanner magazine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 02:54:10 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b81ccd9.774207582@news.erols.com>
Source record: 61022

On Tue, 21 Aug 2001 00:45:19 GMT, "Kim and Ted Moran" <tandkmoran@home.com>
wrote:

The best is any e-mail list which covers your local>area / interests.
>
>
I agree. I see scanner mags as either a learning tool or just
entertainment. Knowledge is mostly numbers, patterns and well
planned memory banks.

Andrew

3706. Re: TURN $6 INTO OVER $60,000 IN LESS THAN 90 DAYS EASILY & LEGALLY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 03:33:09 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.common
Message-ID: <20010820233309.02266.00001930@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 61023

Yeah, and how many times is this scam going to go around the Internet world?

Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

3707. Re: Unblocking Bearcat 235XLT for cellular

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 03:33:10 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.common
Message-ID: <20010820233310.02266.00001931@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 61024

In article <WdZe7.42526$P87.3784515@news20.bellglobal.com>, "Don"
<dchurch905@yahoo.com> writes:

>Is there mods around for this unit? I'd like to unblock cellular.... well
>actually everything... anyone?

Unless you are in law enforcement, FBI, ATF, etc., you are not authorized to
have such a mod done to a scanner.

Paul.

Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

3708. Re: FLORIDA Railroad Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 03:33:11 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.common
Message-ID: <20010820233311.02266.00001932@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 61025

In article <d639b8cc.0108171447.2b1f2d62@posting.google.com>, wd4kav@yahoo.com (WD4KAV) writes:

>I'm looking for Florida railroad frequencies. If you can provide a few
>or a link with current frequencies, I'd appreciate it.

Try <www.railfan.net>. You may be able to find something there.

Paul.

Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

3709. Re: Tips/Producst for protecting LCD displays from scratches

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 03:33:11 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.common
Message-ID: <20010820233311.02266.00001933@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 61028

In article <tnqimmbv7m5365@corp.supernews.com>, "Don Parker"
<tazman@cox-internet.com> writes:

>I used to use plain ole Turtle car wax to take the scratches out of the face
>of VTVM's (that's a vacuum tube voltmeter for the kids in the audience), and
>it worked great - just had to wait an hour or so before the static charge
>wore off and the meter would return to zero. (:->))

I don't have a VTVM, although I sure wish I did. They do come in handy.

Nowadays, if you mention "VTVM" to a youngster, they'll think you are talking
about some bubble-gum teenybopper rock/pop band!

Paul.

Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

3710. Re: article on digital trunked radio in Colorado

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 03:33:12 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.common
Message-ID: <20010820233312.02266.00001934@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 61026

In article <8ivjntot88659eqa2ed8av94gpla56j7ug@4ax.com>, Topp Dogg
<toppdogg@rdoghouse.> writes:

>Digital is the better and if it that saves lives? I am all for it....
>

Digital is not always better.

Remember the old moniker: the more you modify the plumbing, the easier it is
to stop up the drain.

Paul.

Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

3711. Re: Bc 80 Xlt Scanner and questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 03:33:13 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.common
Message-ID: <20010820233313.02266.00001935@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 61027

In article <3B7FE102.1E8F25E8@worldnet.att.net>, Walt Bertram
<wbertram@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>All new scanners sold in the US are blocked, and except for some of
>the older blocked units, cannot be unblocked.

They CAN be unblocked by the manufacturer, but you have to prove that you have the legal right to receive those frequencies; generally that is limited to law enforcement.

Paul.

Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

3712. Free software for Pro-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 03:35:12 UTC
From: Don Starr <don@starrsoft.com>
Message-ID: <ahl3oto2no6vptg4vuiqvfpj8g82dsle4i@4ax.com>
Source record: 61029

I've written and release some free software for the Pro-92. It's
Windows-based (GUI), and from what I can tell, should replace the
not-for-free programs.

It's available at http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/win92/index.html

Documentation is non-existent, but email me with any questions.

-Don

Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 - http://www.uncensored-news.com
With Seven Servers In California And Texas - The Worlds Uncensored News Source

3713. Re: Pro 2006 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 03:58:13 UTC
From: John <jca1954@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3b832faa@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 60985

A great site for all 2006 users is at http://yourpage.blazenet.net/pro2006/
"Mike Burch" <libertybell7@home.com> wrote in message
news:3B7C3B8B.A4A607A1@home.com...
> Hi fella's
>
> Could any of you please help me based solely on your own
> experiences?
>
> I have 1997 olds 88 and I would like to mount my Pro 2006
> w/opto456lite/optoelectonics scout 40 reaction tuner in the trunk under
> the surface that the rear speakers would mount to and pipe the audio
> over the fm broadcast band using a retransmitter that a good friend
> generously gave me. It will be suspended by an actually pro 2006
> mounting bracket. The receiver will be powered from 13.8 vdc only and
> tapped from the feed to the powered telescopic antenna in the back of
> the vehicle. I am doing it this way to keep it out of sight and mind.
> (Not mine) :-)
>
> Facts...
>
> 1.) The car will eventually wind up in southern Arizona.
> 2.) The trunk is clean and very dry.
> 3.) I have not noticed any really excessive heat build up in the trunk
> or at least it did not seem nearly as hot as it was in the passenger
> compartment on a hot day.
>
> Questions...
>
> 1.) Will the heat be too excessive and damage the unit?
> 2.) Will vibration be an issue? The suspension is pretty good and
> smooth.
> 3.) Do you think a supplemental muffin fan pointing at the radio would
> help? Perhaps powered up only when the radio is in operation?
>
> Thanks in advance for the feedback and opinions.
>
> With kind regards
>
> Mike Burch K8MB
>
> Berkley, Mi
>
>
>

>
>

3714. Re: Bc 80 Xlt Scanner and questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 04:28:29 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B81E36C.DEDC30EE@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61030

I don't think the manufacturers unblock them, they just don't block
them in the first place for sale outside of USA and to authorized
agencies within the USA.

Me wrote:
>
> In article <3B7FE102.1E8F25E8@worldnet.att.net>, Walt Bertram
> <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
> >All new scanners sold in the US are blocked, and except for some of
> >the older blocked units, cannot be unblocked.
>
> They CAN be unblocked by the manufacturer, but you have to prove that you have
> the legal right to receive those frequencies; generally that is limited to law
> enforcement.
>
> Paul.
>
> Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

3715. Re: Free software for Pro-92

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 04:30:18 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B81E3D9.7E22D628@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 61031

And at first blush appears to be a fantastic program!

Thanks Don.

Walt

Don Starr wrote:
>
> I've written and release some free software for the Pro-92. It's
> Windows-based (GUI), and from what I can tell, should replace the
> not-for-free programs.
>
> It's available at http://www.starrsoft.com/freeware/win92/index.html
>
> Documentation is non-existent, but email me with any questions.
>
> -Don
>
> _____
> Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 - http://www.uncensored-news.com
> With Seven Servers In California And Texas - The Worlds Uncensored News Source
>

3716. Re: BROOKHAVEN NAT LABS LONG ISLAND NY FREQS?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 04:44:29 UTC
From: Jason Ellsworth <shaggy@globaleyes.net>
Message-ID: <to3pnf2rq61ada@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61032

Hello you may want to try some else too. Like move out of a town that when you walk out of your front door that you don't have to wear a bullet-proof vest every single time, just to stay alive.

"gaijin gig" <gig@i-2000.com> wrote in message news:3b74812a.7408917@news.i-2000.com...
> hi all
> anyone know about the freqs for the lab cops/security? and whatever
> else they have out there?
> thanks gig@i-2000.com

3717. Re: WIDEBAND ANTENNA QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 04:51:26 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15eb88a09602162d9896f2@news>
Source record: 61033

```
In article <9ud3otkjmfme34eq95ov94gilsiph5po30@4ax.com>,
efricha@dimensional.com says...
> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:
>
> > In article <6kfg7.211$mr3.7208@wormhole.dimensional.com>,
> > efricha@flatland.dimensional.com says...
> > > Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:
> > > > In article <9lredm$ceg$1@bob.news.rcn.net>, n3bqa@starpower.net
> > > > says...
> > > >> are there wideband vertical scanner antennas that will receive better than
> > > >> the diamond D130J ?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > No.
> > > >
> > > Yes.
> > > >
> > > The Nevada Double Discone (technically a bicone) will have a flatter
> > > radiation pattern and will get you considerable gain over a discone.
> > > >
> > > See:
> > > >
> > > http://www.radiobooks.com/products/ant426.htm
> > > >
> > > For info.
> > > >
> > > Now if you want a directional antenna, you can get a log-periodic
> > > (also known as a log-periodic dipola array or LPDA). A TV antenna
> > > is a type of log-periodic.
> > > >
> > > HRO sells Create log-periodics, as does Grove. See:
> > > >
> > > http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT17.html
> > > >
> > > or the HRO catalog for info.
> >
> >
> > That really isn't a vertical antenna, is it?
> >
> > The answer is still no.
>
> The double discone is in fact a vertical. The log
> periodic can be mounted vertically. So, even if you
> are anally retentive, the answer is still yes.
```

>
> I use a double discone... I think I know what kind of
> antenna it is. Did you even bother to look at it?

The question you need to answer is do you know the difference between a vertical and vertical polarization?

I do. A double discone is not a vertical antenna it is a vertical polarized double discone antenna. A log periodic mounted for vertical polarization is not a vertical antenna it is a log periodic antenna mounted for vertical polarization.

The answer is still NO regardless of your anal misinterpretations of what a vertical antenna is. :-)

3718. Re: MVT9000 vs MVT7000 vs AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 06:25:36 UTC
From: RayC <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz>
Message-ID: <47ng7.4880$ww1.495223@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 61034

Oh no you wont be.

Best medium priced handheld available.

"Vinnie" <valair@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:9lrged$fj3$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
> You will be sorry!
> V
>
>
> LJ Murray <majestic.murray@virgin.net> wrote in message
> news:GkVf7.11225$0L6.1973722@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> > Thanks for the advice everyone.....I am going for MVT-7100.
> >
> > Regards
> >
> > LJ

3719. BC985 or PRO-2050: Which has greater sensitivity in 800?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 06:43:56 UTC
From: leadenwah@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821024356.09138.00003396@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 61035

I've found generally poor 800MHz band sensitivity in the Radio Shack scanners.

Between the Uniden BC 895XLT and the Radio Shack PRO-2050, which has the greater sensitivity ?

Thanks,

Geo.

3720. Re: WTB,FT,FA and FS posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 11:14:20 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <957E8FE11DACC339.6328B2A831146331.BF9FEDED5E4B1D91@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 61036

On Mon, 20 Aug 2001 15:38:17 GMT, Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam.com>
wrote:

>On Mon, 20 Aug 2001 09:22:34 -0400, The_AntiArt
><The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> wrote:
>
[snip]

Thanks for your comments Ken. I agree. :-)

3721. Re: TURN $6 INTO OVER $60,000 IN LESS THAN 90 DAYS EASILY & LEGALLY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 11:24:26 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <FF5BFEE16D5222C8.13933B5B12581661.97BF2B068819FD10@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 61037

On 21 Aug 2001 03:33:09 GMT, n0jaa@aol.common (Me) wrote:

>Yeah, and how many times is this scam going to go around the Internet world?
>
>
>Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

Yes, if that crap really worked, then he would do it thousands of
times. First $6, then making $60000, then $600000000, then
$6000000000000, then he would own the entire world!

Unfortunately, there must be people dumb enough to keep going
for it. KInd of like standing in lines for lottery tickets. :-)

3722. Re: WIDEBAND ANTENNA QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 12:20:25 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <akj4oto910mavnal88mhq3jmo47do49io7@4ax.com>
Source record: 61038

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:

> The question you need to answer is do you know the difference between
> a vertical and vertical polarization?
>
> I do. A double discone is not a vertical antenna it is a vertical
> polarized double discone antenna. A log periodic mounted for vertical
> polarization is not a vertical antenna it is a log periodic antenna
> mounted for vertical polarization.
>
> The answer is still NO regardless of your anal misinterpretations of
> what a vertical antenna is. :-)

Time out. Put your weenie back in your pants and stop waving it
around, because it is impressing no one.

Mr. Rosen asked a question because he wanted information, not because
he wanted to watch a pissing contest. Assuming he is actually still
there...

He wanted to know about a "vertical" that was wideband and could
outperform the Diamond discone he mentioned. Now, you can
interpret that as narrowly as you like... but the discone is a
vertical monopole variant; the bicone (Double Discone) is
a vertical dipole variant; and the vertically polarized LPDA
will pick up the signals he is interested in.

Now, anyone who has read this group for say, more than 5 minutes,
knows that language used here is imprecise. Now, unless Mr. Rosen
wants to know about a mag-mount or mobile antenna, I think my
answers were helpful. Your one word answer, by contrast, was not.

Mr. Rosen, assuming you are still out there, I stand my my original
statements. If you want an omni wideband vertical with gain over
a discone, your best shot is a Double Discone. You won't get a
HUGE amount of gain, but you will get some.

However, if you want a LOT of gain, you will have to sacrifice
something by adding directionality. The LPDA will get you that,
and in many cases that is the desired way to go.

Radio-ware carries the Double Discone. HRO carries two variants
of the Create LPDA. Grove carries only the cheaper one, relatively
speaking.

Those web sites are:

http://www.radiobooks.com/products/ant426.htm

http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT17.html

Hopefully this provides you with the INFORMATION that you were
looking for.

Best of luck,

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"Nature abhors a vacuum tube." -- J. R. Pierce, Bell Labs, c. 1940

3723. FS:PRO-94A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 12:57:47 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@home.com>
Message-ID: <fVsg7.19219$MM.12547533@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 61039

i have a nice Radio Shack,PRO-94A,,
scanner,antenna,copy of manual...
works great
140.00 shipped

monte k9dzd@home.com

3724. Re: Cell and Cordless 2 Different?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 13:03:31 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9ltm59$ba5ve$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 61040

"Seagrave63" <seagrave63@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:pTcg7.24549$1p1.1913891@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Yes they are very different. They use different frequencies and both are
> illegal to listen to. You won't be able to hear either with any scanner
made
> after 1994 unless it's modified.

Correction... you won't be able to listen to cellular frequencies, but
cordless telephone frequencies currently are still not blocked out of
scanners.

Tom

3725. Re: WIDEBAND ANTENNA QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 13:05:45 UTC
From: oldtimeradio@nospam.net
Message-ID: <s3n4ot0rkkcgat4onq7rpr71jsgsc20572@4ax.com>
Source record: 61041

log periodics can also be found at
www.kmaantennas.com

otr

3726. FS Allinco DJ-X2000 CASE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 14:10:58 UTC
From: Chris <ck@ckradio.net>
Message-ID: <3B826BF2.F4CCD978@ckradio.net>
Source record: 61042

I have a brand new vinyl case for the DJ-X2000 it may work on the DJ-10 too. $14 Shipped conus.

3727. Re: yupi mtv-7100 headphone socket problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 14:20:55 UTC
From: ET Design <etdesign@shentel.net>
Message-ID: <to4riaaju350f7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 61043

Check the simple stuff first (KISS) do the headphones work ok on another radio? Maybe the cord is broken, wiggle (technical term) it at the connectors to see if you can get the problem. good luck. Ed
"VDYB" <anonymous@anonymous.invalid> wrote in message news:9lohi4lvc1@plutonium.btinternet.com...
> my mvt-7100 works fine when I use the built in speaker, but when I plug in
> headphones I get a lot of interference/loss of signal strenght that comes
> and goes as I move the headphone lead around. Is this normal or is there
> something wrong with my scanner? FWIW I made the modification to get a
> direct NFM discriminator output, I tried unsoldering it to see if that was
> the cause of the problem but it made no difference. :o(
>
> cheers
>
> Ricky
>
>
>
>
>

3728. Grove CD Frequency Dbase...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 15:18:08 UTC
From: "A. Domonkos" <capt-tripps@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B827BD2.7E80A0C6@home.com>
Source record: 61044

The CD is out of production but I recall reading in MT that the database can be updated by downloading the frequency list from an FCC FTP site. Does anyone recall the site or have that issue of MT that reviewed the Grove CD (Spring 1999).

Thanks in advance.

Andy

3729. Re: Evidence eliminator program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 15:22:29 UTC
From: evan bench <ebench@austin.rr.com>
Message-ID: <V0vg7.157195$g_3.24665078@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 61045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

don't check this link out; it's a trojan for a new russian worm....

<vwutzj@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:Xrsg7.7785$jB6.326873@news.pacbell.net...
> I tried this program and it does work :)
>
> It 100% cleared my harddrive of any incriminating files, and like anyone I
occassionaly surf a bit of porn at work, but cant risk if someone checks my
deleted files, which remain on my harddrive !
>
> You really should take 5 minutes to check this out :)
>
> http://evidence-eliminator.devil.ru
>
> cheers,
>
> Brian
> U.K.
>

3730. Re: FS Diamond Triplexer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 15:44:38 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <Glvg7.98$mY3.179019@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 61046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

The Triplexer is for 2 meter,6 meter,and 440 bands.
"Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:2Nig7.111$ZT.157545@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> Have a Diamond Triplexor for sale @ $50.00.Email me if interested.
>
>
>
>

3731. Re: WIDEBAND ANTENNA QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 16:36:24 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15ec2dd6746a5af59896f3@news>
Source record: 60947

In article <akj4oto910mavnal88mhq3jmo47do49io7@4ax.com>,
efricha@dimensional.com says...
> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:
>
>
> > The question you need to answer is do you know the difference between
> > a vertical and vertical polarization?
> >
> > I do. A double discone is not a vertical antenna it is a vertical
> > polarized double discone antenna. A log periodic mounted for vertical
> > polarization is not a vertical antenna it is a log periodic antenna
> > mounted for vertical polarization.
> >
> > The answer is still NO regardless of your anal misinterpretations of
> > what a vertical antenna is. :-)
>
> Time out. Put your weenie back in your pants and stop waving it
> around, because it is impressing no one.

You have a sexual fixation don't you? No to you advances too.

> Mr. Rosen asked a question because he wanted information, not because
> he wanted to watch a pissing contest. Assuming he is actually still
> there...

> He wanted to know about a "vertical" that was wideband and could
> outperform the Diamond discone he mentioned. Now, you can
> interpret that as narrowly as you like... but the discone is a
> vertical monopole variant; the bicone (Double Discone) is
> a vertical dipole variant; and the vertically polarized LPDA
> will pick up the signals he is interested in.

So, they are all vertical polarized antennas but not verticals.

> Now, anyone who has read this group for say, more than 5 minutes,
> knows that language used here is imprecise. Now, unless Mr. Rosen
> wants to know about a mag-mount or mobile antenna, I think my
> answers were helpful. Your one word answer, by contrast, was not.

Mr Rosen said he wanted to get rid of his discone for a
wideband vertical. You offer another discone and a large beam and not
a word about a vertical.

> Mr. Rosen, assuming you are still out there, I stand my my original
> statements. If you want an omni wideband vertical with gain over
> a discone, your best shot is a Double Discone.

Which is not a vertical but a dipole mounted vertical. Works okay but
still not a vertical.

> You won't get a
> HUGE amount of gain, but you will get some.
>
> However, if you want a LOT of gain, you will have to sacrifice
> something by adding directionality. The LPDA will get you that,
> and in many cases that is the desired way to go.

That is called a beam, not a vertical.

> Radio-ware carries the Double Discone. HRO carries two variants
> of the Create LPDA. Grove carries only the cheaper one, relatively
> speaking.
>
> Those web sites are:
>
> http://www.radiobooks.com/products/ant426.htm
>
> http://www.grove-ent.com/ANT17.html
>
> Hopefully this provides you with the INFORMATION that you were
> looking for.

Too bad you didn't offer him some information on vertical antennas
like he asked. Maybe your information will change his mind as to what
kind of antenna he wants and convince him that a decent wideband
vertical doesn't exist.

So rather than get into your juvenile talk about body parts why not
explain that there isn't a wideband vertical but there are other
vertical polarized antennas that may or may not work for him?

So yes, the answer is still NO.

3732. Re: WIDEBAND ANTENNA QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 16:38:56 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15ec2e6d72fc240a9896f4@news>
Source record: 60948

In article <s3n4ot0rkkcgat4onq7rpr71jsgsc20572@4ax.com>,
oldtimeradio@nospam.net says...
> log periodics can also be found at
> www.kmaantennas.com
>

I presently use a KMA4113 log. Good antenna but its far from being a
vertical.

3733. Any good scanner techs??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 17:30:08 UTC
From: sky444nospam@mint.net
Message-ID: <3b829b27.106379442@news.mint.net>
Source record: 60949

Looking for someone to add the s-meter mod to my
PRO-2032.......anybody point me in a reliable direction
,please...thanks
sky444@mint.net

3734. Re: Ventura County, CA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 17:30:55 UTC
From: Larry Levy <levysNOSpam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <5q65ot0qrc41ph6l50g6a4a8fjrhvaqjh7@4ax.com>
Source record: 60950

On Tue, 21 Aug 2001 01:28:05 GMT, "Paul" <spamsbad.n6pta@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>anyone interested in exchanging lists for Ventura County?
>Or am I looking in the wrong news group?
>

Hi, Paul:

Try : http://www.freqofnature.com/ for frequencies for Ventura County,
CA.

-Larry
http://u1.netgate.net/~kirby34/rsg/levyl.htm
http://www.geocities.com/levys2/levyslinks.html
Remove "NoSpam" for email

3735. Re: WTB,FT,FA and FS posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 17:32:33 UTC
From: Vinnie <valair@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9lu5unssd1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 60951
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Rob, didn't mean to sound offensive my friend, just pointing out that
unfortunately thats the way it is.
V

Vinnie <valair@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:9lrp0m$j35$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
> You see, it's like this, nobody gives a toss if you want to read it or
not.
> People will post the For Sale / Wanted/ Trade adds on here if and when
they
> like, if you can't handle that, then you leave the group
> V
>
>
>
> Greg Renner <grenner@qwest.net> wrote in message
> news:rN_f7.1741$W46.355378@news.uswest.net...
> > It exists it's called rec.radio.swap.
> >
> > GR
> > "Rob Crandall" <crandall@ee.net> wrote in message
> > news:gOZf7.702$__5.1512@news...
> > > I don't know how you guys feel about the sale etc. posts. They don't
> > bother
> > > me. But I made a request to the newsgroups boss for a
> > radio.scanners.forsale
> > > group. What do you think?
> > > Rob
> > >
> > > --
> > > Sure I'll get a life,
> > > Just as soon as I download one!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.265 / Virus Database: 137 - Release Date: 18/07/01

>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.273 / Virus Database: 143 - Release Date: 16/08/01

3736. Re: MVT9000 vs MVT7000 vs AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 17:45:32 UTC
From: Vinnie <valair@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9lu6n1$9g$1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 60952

correction!!!, best medium priced load of crap around.
I wouldn't give it house-room
V

RayC <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz> wrote in message
news:47ng7.4880$ww1.495223@news02.tsnz.net...
> Oh no you wont be.
>
> Best medium priced handheld available.
>
> "Vinnie" <valair@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:9lrged$fj3$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
> > You will be sorry!
> > V
> >
> >
> > LJ Murray <majestic.murray@virgin.net> wrote in message
> > news:GkVf7.11225$0L6.1973722@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> > > Thanks for the advice everyone.....I am going for MVT-7100.
> > >
> > > Regards
> > >
> > > LJ
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.273 / Virus Database: 143 - Release Date: 16/08/01

3737. For Sale>Pro 2006>Mint<$320.00 Firm

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 18:01:14 UTC
From: theresawfamily@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821140114.01923.00001816@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 60953

Box, Manual, Ant.> Speedmod>cell phone mod.
n2xcd@hotmail.com
732-349-2311
NJ, Thanks Joe!

3738. Re: Grove CD Frequency Dbase...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 18:05:43 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3B82A2F7.8BA2E7EE@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 60954

"A. Domonkos" wrote:

> The CD is out of production but I recall reading in MT that the database
> can be updated by downloading the frequency list from an FCC FTP site.
> Does anyone recall the site or have that issue of MT that reviewed the
> Grove CD (Spring 1999).
>
> Thanks in advance.
>
> Andy

Are you sure its out of production? I just say it advertised in MT just
last month. You might want to check Groves website but I think its still
available.
George
http://www.Licensed4Fun.com

--

_____
I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
_____

3739. Re: Grove CD Frequency Dbase...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 18:16:51 UTC
From: Andy <capt-tripps@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B82A5B1.C2271A66@home.com>
Source record: 60955

George,

Yes, Grove-Ent confirmed it is no longer available. I'm trying to update the database per their review in MT 2 years ago but have yet to find where the FCC database is kept.

Andy

GeorgeF wrote:

> "A. Domonkos" wrote:
>
> > The CD is out of production but I recall reading in MT that the database
> > can be updated by downloading the frequency list from an FCC FTP site.
> > Does anyone recall the site or have that issue of MT that reviewed the
> > Grove CD (Spring 1999).
> >
> > Thanks in advance.
> >
> > Andy
>
> Are you sure its out of production? I just say it advertised in MT just
> last month. You might want to check Groves website but I think its still
> available.
> George
> http://www.Licensed4Fun.com
>
> --
> ____
> I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
> I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
> --> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
> ____

3740. For Sale- PRO-2006, PRO-26

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 18:19:18 UTC
From: Ron <ab4ua@sc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <GCxg7.148390$TM5.27321924@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 60957

I have a PRO-2006 with antenna, manual and box.
Scanner is in excellent condition. (non-smoker)(original owner)
$275.00 plus shipping.

I also have a PRO-26 Handheld with antenna, manual, and wall charger
Scanner is in excellent condition.
Scanner has a frequency range of 25Mhz to 1.3Ghz.
$185.00 plus shipping.

3741. WTB BC245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 18:22:47 UTC
From: uwish@jol.com
Message-ID: <XFxg7.94647$k7.24562766@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 60956

I am looking to buy a handheld and want the BC245xlt. Can be used, but must have accessories that came with the new scanner and be in good condition.

Im not looking to be took by this sale so please be honest and have some way to prove yourself.

Thanks and you can mail me @ !!justus@justourlove.com - the !!

TJ

3742. Re: WARNING! Another bad trader to add to your lists. Sulurawk@aol.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 18:35:25 UTC
From: Mark <markvr@iname>
Message-ID: <9lu9rv$8kl$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 60958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.music.makers.synth,rec.autos.makers.vw.aircooled,alt.scooter,rec.radio.scanner

Sounds like mail fraud on his part. Report him.

GW <2waytech@address.com> wrote in message
news:f549415a.0108162000.6a908a90@posting.google.com...
> On June 15th I contacted a Mr. Nate Gingras of Oak Creek, Wisconsin
> about
> a 1937 Crosley he offered for trade of a shortwave receiver. This was
> through a
> Usenet posing. Over a period of a couple of days we negotiated a price
> of $50 plus a Realistic DX-150 and matching speaker in exchange for
> the Crosley he had. I sent the DX-150 plus cash via UPS and it was
> acknowledged by Mr.
> Gingras that he had received the radio and monies.
>
> After about the third week and still no Crosley, I began to get
> concerned.
> Mr. Gingras claimed he had shipped the radio via US postal and that he
> would
> "let me know if he heard anything from the post office". An exchange
> of emails over the past 4 weeks in which I requested the return of the
> DX-150 and $50 has resulted in claims that he had it shipped "ground"
> and "priority package" and that he would "check with the post office".
> The most recent email he claims
> that he would send the radio back, but he doesn't have the $50 so he
> is going to keep the radio. He claims that the post office will
> investigate after 45 days. We are beyond that time.
>
> I normally don't post to the Usenet groups, but this guy is bad news.
> He has
> refused to return my items and is quite firm that he will keep them.
>
> George
> N7BUI

3743. Re: Grove CD Frequency Dbase...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 18:45:14 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3B82AC3A.FD3972A3@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 60959

Andy wrote:

> George,
>
> Yes, Grove-Ent confirmed it is no longer available. I'm trying to update the
> database per their review in MT 2 years ago but have yet to find where the FCC
> database is kept.

I may have miss understood. What I was refering to is the August 2001 MT Issue in the "What's New" colume. There is a review/ad for the "Grove Military Frequency Directory" which is on CD, part number SFT31.

Now I just went back to the grove site and they do have listed the "2001 Super Frequency List on CD-ROM" part number SFT26-01, is that was has already been discontineud? Or are you talking about something older than that...

George
--

I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--

3744. Re: FS Diamond Triplexer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 18:48:25 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10108211847560.5376-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 60960
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

...And yet you haven't seemed to figure out that three of the four NG's you posted this to are inappropriate for "for sale/trade/auction" messages.....

On Tue, 21 Aug 2001, Dick wrote:
>The Triplexer is for 2 meter,6 meter,and 440 bands.
>"Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:2Nig7.111$ZT.157545@news1.news.adelphia.net...
>> Have a Diamond Triplexor for sale @ $50.00.Email me if interested.

3745. Re: WTB BC245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 18:51:21 UTC
From: uwish@jol.com
Message-ID: <J4yg7.94734$k7.24578873@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 60961

I also forgot to say that I will trade for this scanner too. I have a DSC 1555 complete new home alarm system to offer. Value of over 700 bucks.

In article <XFxg7.94647$k7.24562766@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>, uwish@jol.com wrote:
>I am looking to buy a handheld and want the BC245xlt. Can be used, but must
>have accessories that came with the new scanner and be in good condition.
>
>Im not looking to be took by this sale so please be honest and have some way
>to prove yourself.
>
>Thanks and you can mail me @ !!justus@justourlove.com - the !!
>
>TJ

3746. Re: Grove CD Frequency Dbase...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 18:59:58 UTC
From: Andy <capt-tripps@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B82AFCE.91E6E702@home.com>
Source record: 60962

No, that's not it.

Andy

GeorgeF wrote:

> Andy wrote:
>
> > George,
> >
> > Yes, Grove-Ent confirmed it is no longer available. I'm trying to update the
> > database per their review in MT 2 years ago but have yet to find where the FCC
> > database is kept.
>
> I may have miss understood. What I was refering to is the August 2001 MT Issue
> in the "What's New" colume. There is a review/ad for the "Grove Military
> Frequency Directory" which is on CD, part number SFT31.
>
> Now I just went back to the grove site and they do have listed the "2001 Super
> Frequency List on CD-ROM" part number SFT26-01, is that was has already been
> discontineud? Or are you talking about something older than that...
>
> George
> --
> ____
> I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
> I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
> --> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
> ____

3747. Re: Scanner Freq's for Kitsap County Washington

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 19:05:45 UTC
From: Topp Dogg <toppdogg@askfirst.com>
Message-ID: <to5dvoibiv2471@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Frequency Tone Licensee
154.6650 Washington State Patrol
154.9650 110.9 County Police Tac
154.9800 110.9 BLEN - Bremerton police tac
155.4300 100.0 Tri-Cities Police dispatch
(Tri-Cities are Port Orchard, Poulsbo, Bainbridge Island,
Suquamish Reservation, and Little Boston Reservation)
155.6700 Bainbridge Island Police tac
155.7750 110.9 Bremerton City Police dispatch F-1
155.8950 110.9 Bremerton City Police car-car F-2
155.9400 110.9 Port Orchard Police F-2
158.8200 Bainbridge Island police tac
158.8800 110.9 County Police dispatch - Gold Mt. Repeater
33.7000 023 Bainbridge Island fire dispatch
33.6200 047 Bainbridge Island fire tac-3
153.7700 110.9 South Kitsap Fire/Aid dispatch, "Tac-2"
153.7700 141.3 Heard Poulsbo Fire on here. Possible fire tac.
153.8300 Kitsap County Fire Tac "Red Net"
154.1450 110.9 Bremerton Fire Dispatch - some units use no PL tone
154.3700 110.9 Kitsap County Fire Dispatch
154.3700 192.8 heard Bremerton Fire Dispatch using this PL tone
159.0150 Kitsap Co. Fire tac-3
453.2125 192.8 Kitsap Co. Fire vehicular repeater
151.5350 127.3 Wilkins Distributing (Port Orchard)
151.7750 065 School Buses - Central Kitsap School District #401
151.9250 065 School Buses - South Kitsap School District #402
153.8750 203.5 City of Bainbridge Island Public Works F-1
155.2050 110.9 School Buses - Bainbridge Island School District #303
155.8950 110.9 Bremerton Public Works
155.9400 110.9 Port Orchard Public Works
155.9550 100.0 Kitsap Co. Public Works/Wastewater
156.0150 Poulsbo Public Works
158.3550 114.8 Pt. Gardner Timber
158.8200 Bainbridge Island public works F-2

Motorola trunk system, Type 1
852.5375, 853.2875, 854.0375 Kitsap Co. Transit

www.nwscanners.com
Stan <sferet@excite.nospam.com> wrote in message
news:OTwf7.6342$D4.503500@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I just moved here and I am looking for the freq's for emergency services,
> police, fire, ambulance, etc. And Coast guard marine rescue.
>

> Thanks in advance,
>
> Stan
>
>

3748. Beginner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 19:17:46 UTC
From: Jason <metty@Karson.com>
Message-ID: <utyg7.24946$P15.13751731@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 60963

I have always had an interest in scanners and I aim to get one soon to play around with. I have one question about them. I live about 3 miles away from my work and we use those big bulky motorola 2 way radios to communicate with. I know we have at least one amp (or whatever it is called) mounted in the middle of the building to make them clearer. My question is would a typical scanner be able to pick them up from my home? What type of scanner would I need? Their isn't any private information on these radios so I'm sure my work wouldn't mind it.(actually my boss was interesting in the idea and challenged me to do it)
Thanks for any help

3749. BC-760xlt>stoped working>$30.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 19:29:32 UTC
From: theresawfamily@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821152932.11503.00002324@mb-fn.aol.com>
Source record: 60964

My uniden bc-760xlt stoped working. $30.00 as is
n2xcd@hotmail.com
732-349-2311 Joe, NJ

3750. Re: WIDEBAND ANTENNA QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 19:34:48 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <t1d5otg7ve431i4chtk5d9ssl5j9o6vstc@4ax.com>
Source record: 60965

Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:

> Mr Rosen said he wanted to get rid of his discone for a
> wideband vertical. You offer another discone and a large beam and not
> a word about a vertical.

No, I offered a bicone and an alternative. What he wanted was
gain from his antenna and a possible solution, not word games
and flame wars.

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"I have in mind a bloodbath that is thoroughly bipartisan. Let the
Clintons be the first to go. American politics will not be healthy until
they are purged from the system. Issue exit visas to Trent Lott and Tom
DeLay, to Dick Armey, to the ancient and awful Strom Thurmond and the
odious Jesse Helms. Let the ostraca fall upon the bombastic charlatan
Jesse Jackson, upon Henry Hyde and Maxine Waters, upon Barbara Boxer
and Orrin Hatch." - Lance Morrow,
http://www.time.com/time/nation/article/0,8599,88598,00.html

3751. AOR 8000 Reduced to $300.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 19:35:21 UTC
From: cwest69521@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010821153521.24004.00000682@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 60967

AOR 8000 with wall wart rechargeables and soft case blocked unit 300.00 dollars

Prepayment of a US Postal Money Order or Pick up in New Jersey

Email

3752. Re: WTB BC245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 20:26:44 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B82C404.9E31EE18@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 60968

And how are you going to prove yourself?

What would consider adequate proof-of-self? I don't have a
"proof-of-self" bar code running down my back.

uwish@jol.com wrote:
>
> I also forgot to say that I will trade for this scanner too. I have a DSC 1555
> complete new home alarm system to offer. Value of over 700 bucks.
>
> In article <XFxg7.94647$k7.24562766@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>, uwish@jol.com
> wrote:
> >I am looking to buy a handheld and want the BC245xlt. Can be used, but must
> >have accessories that came with the new scanner and be in good condition.
> >
> >Im not looking to be took by this sale so please be honest and have some way
> >to prove yourself.
> >
> >Thanks and you can mail me @ !!justus@justourlove.com - the !!
> >
> >TJ

3753. Re: WIDEBAND ANTENNA QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 20:36:59 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15ec663c6a2b715b9896f5@news>
Source record: 60969

In article <t1d5otg7ve431i4chtk5d9ssl5j9o6vstc@4ax.com>,
efricha@dimensional.com says...
> Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote:
>
> > Mr Rosen said he wanted to get rid of his discone for a
> > wideband vertical. You offer another discone and a large beam and not
> > a word about a vertical.
>
> No, I offered a bicone and an alternative. What he wanted was
> gain from his antenna and a possible solution, not word games
> and flame wars.

Yes, you flamed me first anal retentive one, try and be truthful in
your folly. You also can only guess what the poster wanted and why
when he asked for a wideband vertical scanner antennas that will
receive better than the diamond D130J. The answer is no, there
isn't a wideband vertical scanner antennas that will receive better
than the diamond D130J.

It was so nice of you to offer alternatives, that is the beauty of
these discussions, but the answer is still NO, there IS NOT a wideband
vertical scanner antennas that will receive better than the diamond
D130J.

Still wonder if you know the difference between a vertical and a
vertically polarized antenna.

3754. Re: WTB BC245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 22:02:04 UTC
From: Carl & Sandy Greenbaum <greenbaum2@mediaone.net>
Message-ID: <3B82DABC.4ED00EEF@mediaone.net>
Source record: 60970

I just bought a new one on ebay for $171.

Carl

uwish@jol.com wrote:
>
> I am looking to buy a handheld and want the BC245xlt. Can be used, but must
> have accessories that came with the new scanner and be in good condition.
>
> Im not looking to be took by this sale so please be honest and have some way
> to prove yourself.
>
> Thanks and you can mail me @ !!justus@justourlove.com - the !!
>
> TJ

3755. FS: Pro64

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 22:29:04 UTC
From: scantexas@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <884cb013.0108211429.24f643e6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60971

I have a Pro64 for sale in great shape, I am asking 100.00 shipped priority mail to US

Email me at gregg@alemy.com if interested

3756. Re: Evidence eliminator program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 23:46:28 UTC
From: Jamie Hanrahan <jeh@cmkrnl.com>
Message-ID: <uks5otkuah9m35adfasjql63gnf2mujolp@4ax.com>
Source record: 60972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.noncomm,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

On Tue, 21 Aug 2001 15:22:29 GMT, "evan bench" <ebench@austin.rr.com>
wrote:

>don't check this link out; it's a trojan for a new russian worm....

I don't know if that's true or not; but if it's true, you shouldn't quote
the same link in your warning followup.

><vwutzj@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:Xrsg7.7785$jB6.326873@news.pacbell.net...
>> I tried this program and it does work :)
>>
>> It 100% cleared my harddrive of any incriminating files, and like anyone I
>occassionaly surf a bit of porn at work, but cant risk if someone checks my
>deleted files, which remain on my harddrive !
>>
>> You really should take 5 minutes to check this out :)
>>
>> [url deleted -- jeh]
>>
>> cheers,
>>
>> Brian
>> U.K.

--- jeh

3757. RADIO MART

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-21 23:54:54 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B82F425.117DB32E@home.com>
Source record: 60973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

This is a followup to my complaints regarding Radio Mart. After direct e-mail conversation with Martyn at Radio Mart, all issues are currently being resolved. Regardless of what each of us feels about the situation, Martyn has been more than accomodating in this matter and I certainly appreciate it. If I had to do this again, I would have settled this matter as I am in the process of doing,(via direct e-mail)but without using the newsgroups as a weapon. Anyone thinking of buying radio equipment should consider Radio Mart.

3758. Re: WIDEBAND ANTENNA QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 00:05:27 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B82F745.BD624CB@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 60974

Glenn wrote:
>
>
> Still wonder if you know the difference between a vertical and a
> vertically polarized antenna.

Do you? Did the original poster?

Could you give a concise definition so we all can speak the same
language.

3759. Re: WTB,FT,FA and FS posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 00:39:01 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <FaDg7.12$K37.573@news>
Source record: 60975
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I can live with that.
Later
Rob
"Vinnie" <valair@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:9lu5unssd1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
> Rob, didn't mean to sound offensive my friend, just pointing out that
> unfortunately thats the way it is.
> V
>
>
> Vinnie <valair@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:9lrp0m$j35$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
> > You see, it's like this, nobody gives a toss if you want to read it or
> not.
> > People will post the For Sale / Wanted/ Trade adds on here if and when
> they
> > like, if you can't handle that, then you leave the group
> > V
> >
> >
> >
> > Greg Renner <grenner@qwest.net> wrote in message
> > news:rN_f7.1741$W46.355378@news.uswest.net...
> > > It exists it's called rec.radio.swap.
> > >
> > > GR
> > > "Rob Crandall" <crandall@ee.net> wrote in message
> > > news:gOZf7.702$__5.1512@news...
> > > > I don't know how you guys feel about the sale etc. posts. They don't
> > > bother
> > > > me. But I made a request to the newsgroups boss for a
> > > radio.scanners.forsale
> > > > group. What do you think?
> > > > Rob
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Sure I'll get a life,
> > > > Just as soon as I download one!
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.265 / Virus Database: 137 - Release Date: 18/07/01
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.273 / Virus Database: 143 - Release Date: 16/08/01
>
>

3760. Re: WTB,FT,FA and FS posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 00:39:55 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <vbDg7.13$K37.550@news>
Source record: 60976
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Somebody on my side!
Welcome to the few!
Rob
"Topp Dogg" <toppdogg@askfirst.com> wrote in message
news:to391vq42hgpb6@corp.supernews.com...
> I am ready for one....
>
>
> Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net> wrote in message
> news:gOZf7.702$__5.1512@news...
> > I don't know how you guys feel about the sale etc. posts. They don't
> bother
> > me. But I made a request to the newsgroups boss for a
> radio.scanners.forsale
> > group. What do you think?
> > Rob
> >
> > --
> > Sure I'll get a life,
> > Just as soon as I download one!
> >
> >
>
>

3761. Re: WTB,FT,FA and FS posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 00:42:15 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <HdDg7.14$K37.552@news>
Source record: 60977
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You said it! I will still try to make a difference in this world because
someday, sometime I will reach someone and it WILL help.
Enjoy
Rob
"DougSlug" <twinpoppa@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:Z9jg7.57450$ZV5.8751744@news02.optonline.net...
> Three universal truths about newsgroups:
>
> 1. No matter what you say, you will always start an argument;
> every post will result in a ridiculous off-topic flame-fest.
> 2. There will always be whining and people whining about whining.
> 3. There is always somebody more ignorant than you can imagine
> who is bent on proving it.
>
> Everybody gets his turn to try to fix it, but it never has any positive
> effect. Thanks for taking your turn, Rob...my glass house is already
pretty
> drafty. Next!
>
> ------------------
>
> "Rob Crandall" <crandall@ee.net> wrote in message
> news:Z4ig7.728$__5.2293@news...
> > OK so I'm a dumbass. Didn't mean to start a argument. Just thought I was
> > doin everybody a favor so all the ones that whine would stop posting
about
> > whining.
> > Later
> > Rob
> > "Rob Crandall" <crandall@ee.net> wrote in message
> > news:gOZf7.702$__5.1512@news...
> > > I don't know how you guys feel about the sale etc. posts. They don't
> > bother
> > > me. But I made a request to the newsgroups boss for a
> > radio.scanners.forsale
> > > group. What do you think?
> > > Rob
> > >
> > > --
> > > Sure I'll get a life,
> > > Just as soon as I download one!
> > >
> > >

> >
> >
>
>

3762. Re: WARNING! Another bad trader to add to your lists. Sulurawk@aol.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 00:58:40 UTC
From: 2waytech@address.com
Message-ID: <f549415a.0108211658.78541175@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60978
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.music.makers.synth,rec.autos.makers.vw.aircooled,alt.scooter,rec.radio.scanner

Mr. Gingras has responded to this posting and promised to return the
radio and money this week. If they are returned, I'll post the
information. I'm trying to give him the chance to get this
straightened out.

George
N7BUI

"Mark" <markvr@iname(dot)com> wrote in message
news:<9lu9rv$8kl$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Sounds like mail fraud on his part. Report him.
>
>
> GW <2waytech@address.com> wrote in message
> news:f549415a.0108162000.6a908a90@posting.google.com...
> > On June 15th I contacted a Mr. Nate Gingras of Oak Creek, Wisconsin
> > about
> > a 1937 Crosley he offered for trade of a shortwave receiver. This was
> > through a
> > Usenet posing. Over a period of a couple of days we negotiated a price
> > of $50 plus a Realistic DX-150 and matching speaker in exchange for
> > the Crosley he had. I sent the DX-150 plus cash via UPS and it was
> > acknowledged by Mr.
> > Gingras that he had received the radio and monies.
> >
> > After about the third week and still no Crosley, I began to get
> > concerned.
> > Mr. Gingras claimed he had shipped the radio via US postal and that he
> > would
> > "let me know if he heard anything from the post office". An exchange
> > of emails over the past 4 weeks in which I requested the return of the
> > DX-150 and $50 has resulted in claims that he had it shipped "ground"
> > and "priority package" and that he would "check with the post office".
> > The most recent email he claims
> > that he would send the radio back, but he doesn't have the $50 so he
> > is going to keep the radio. He claims that the post office will
> > investigate after 45 days. We are beyond that time.
> >
> > I normally don't post to the Usenet groups, but this guy is bad news.
> > He has
> > refused to return my items and is quite firm that he will keep them.
> >
> > George
> > N7BUI

3763. Re: Scanner Freq's for Kitsap County Washington

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 01:16:35 UTC
From: leonard bailey <lb5565_@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <TJDg7.121557$GN.18035241@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 60979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

cityfreq.com &type your city
"Stan" <sferet@excite.nospam.com> wrote in message
news:OTwf7.6342$D4.503500@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I just moved here and I am looking for the freq's for emergency services,
> police, fire, ambulance, etc. And Coast guard marine rescue.
>
> Thanks in advance,
>
> Stan
>
>

3764. FS: SMA-TO-BNC CUSTOM FIT ADAPTERS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 01:37:32 UTC
From: gulyas@netzero.net
Message-ID: <f002a6a1.0108211737.1c1a26db@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

If you have a need for an SMA-TO-BNC antenna adapter that is custom
made to fit and protect your H.T. or scanner radio, I have the solution.

Adapter allows you to:
Quickly change antennas to base,mobile or different style use.
Use the more popular and wider selection available of BNC antennas.
Prevent chassis connector wear or from coming loose due to antenna
changes.

Adapter features:
Gold plated contacts with a teflon insulator.
A low profile design with a rubber covering for a factory antenna look.
The rubber base seals to the top of the radio with no spacers needed
for moisture and dirt protection.
The base also provides an excellent support for any length of antenna
or application.
No need to remove adapter once installed to make a quick and safe
antenna change.

Sizes to fit radio models:
Yaesu: VX-5, VX-1, FT-50-R, VX-10, VX-150, VX-110, VXA-100, VXA-120
Icom: IC-T8A, IC-T81A, IC-Q7A, IC-R2
Kenwood: TH-D7, TH-G71
Alinco: DJ-V5
Radio Shack: HTX-200, HTX-400, HTX-245
Aor: AR-16

Previewed in the May 2000 Issue of CQ Magazine, and the Nov. 2000 Issue
of QST Magazine.

$11.95 + $1.50 S+H(One to unlimited)
(Check or money order only in U.S.Funds)
(Specify radio model when ordering)

STEPHEN G.GULYAS
706 LALOR ST.
TRENTON, N.J. 08610
U.S.A.

A photo of the adapter is available upon request.
I welcome any questions or comments at my email:
gulyas@netzero.net
Thanks for looking at my posting.
S.G.G. KC2BHO 73's

3765. Re: CC Radio .....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 02:31:21 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.common
Message-ID: <20010821223121.02030.00002686@nso-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 60982

In article <4R6d7.3600$5d4.1045112@monger.newsread.com>, "Ryan KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@tm.net> writes:

>Question for you then..... on the DX-392, on the external antenna jack,
>which would be cosidered the postive and which would be considered "ground"
>on that one? I really don't wanna take the stupid thing apart to figure it
>out. Are you aware of this??
>

Interesting question. That is one I had not considered. If the antenna jack
IS polarized, then that would explain why my incoming signals are always
attenuated when I plug in an external antenna.

Paul.

Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

3766. Re: Frequency Counter?? do they work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 02:31:22 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.common
Message-ID: <20010821223122.02030.00002687@nso-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 60983

In article <3B77F795.8DD01BC4@worldnet.att.net>, Walt Bertram
<wbertram@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Are you trying to determine the location of the transmitter site? You
>do that by triangulating with directional antennas and radios tuned to
>the transmitter, not by using frequency counters. You find the
>transmitter frequency with a frequency counter.

Of course, if you don't have the luxury of triangulation, you can use a
hand-held scanner or HT to get you into the general area. Once you get a
strong signal, remove the antenna and put the radio next to your chest. The
radio becomes a type of directional antenna, you body acting as a reflector.

You can then triangulate using others' radios in a similar fashion.

We use this method in fox hunts.

Paul, N0JAA

Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

3767. Re: Frequency Counter?? do they work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 02:31:23 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.common
Message-ID: <20010821223123.02030.00002688@nso-mm.aol.com>
Source record: 60981

In article <pE5d7.52221$Kd7.29962300@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>, "Emilio Goldstien" <egoldstien@sofa.net> writes:

>Probably the best way to make this setup to work is through "triangulation".
>You get two frequency counters, and two cars. Both cars drive around with
>their frequency counters, and together, you can zero in on the frequencies.
>One guy starts at the top and the other at the bottom.

Doesn't triangulation require THREE cars and/or units?

Paul.

Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

3768. Grundig Satellit 800 Millennium

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 02:32:50 UTC
From: OU BIG RED <ousooner@geotec.net>
Message-ID: <to66iugorpi89c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a as new (5 months old) Grundig Satellit Millennium 800 Shortwave Receiver. It
has all factory extra,paperwork,and box. Paid $517.00 with shipping. Will sell for $295.00 (FIRM) plus shipping. U.S.Postal money order only.

3769. Re: WTB,FT,FA and FS posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 04:14:34 UTC
From: Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com>
Message-ID: <98c6ot0t3bkia7k16cp1jt5k8pron93c2j@4ax.com>
Source record: 60986
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Vinnie wrote:

>You see, it's like this, nobody gives a toss if you want to read it or not.
>People will post the For Sale / Wanted/ Trade adds on here if and when they
>like, if you can't handle that, then you leave the group
>V

it's not like either of these 2 groups are very high posting volumes
anyway. How hard can it possibly be to sift through a few undesirable
posts of this type to get to the real discussions?

I'm for allowing them to stay in these groups myself. What if I
someday want to add another scanner to my collection (like a trunk
tracker)? Based on reviews from others in these NG's, I would already
have an idea what to look for. From there, it would just be a matter
of seeing somebody post a message that they are offering one of
their's for sale.

I really wouldn't want to check out some other NG that I wouldn't
normally subscribe to and feel the need to download ALL headers just
to find a scant few that are still on the market and interest me.

Jughead

3770. Re: WTB BC245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 04:15:38 UTC
From: uwish@jol.com
Message-ID: <KlGg7.96864$k7.25029908@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 60987

Sheesh man sorry if I offended you.......I just meant if you are on ebay or by talking to me on the phone. You know just some way to say hey Im not gonna screw you......being friendly is one way.........

tj

In article <3B82C404.9E31EE18@worldnet.att.net>, Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
>And how are you going to prove yourself?
>
>What would consider adequate proof-of-self? I don't have a
>"proof-of-self" bar code running down my back.
>
>uwish@jol.com wrote:
>>
>> I also forgot to say that I will trade for this scanner too. I have a DSC
> 1555
>> complete new home alarm system to offer. Value of over 700 bucks.
>>
>> In article <XFxg7.94647$k7.24562766@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>, uwish@jol.com
>> wrote:
>> >I am looking to buy a handheld and want the BC245xlt. Can be used, but must
>> >have accessories that came with the new scanner and be in good condition.
>> >
>> >Im not looking to be took by this sale so please be honest and have some way
>> >to prove yourself.
>> >
>> >Thanks and you can mail me @ !!justus@justourlove.com - the !!
>> >
>> >TJ

3771. newbie to scanning, need some advice.... :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 04:51:15 UTC
From: Ryan <boredom421@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <%OGg7.1887$64.1165302@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 60988

hey, i'm new to this newsgroup, and have never posted before..... i'm wanting to buy my first scanner, but i'm not sure what i should buy. my problem is that i dont know which scanner to buy.... i dont want something which is bottom of the line and i'll outgrow quickly, but at the same time i dont want something so expensive that it contains features which may never be used or are not worth it.... so i'm basically asking for suggestions on a mid-level or so scanner which leaves room for future use and isnt too expensive... model, price, where to buy? thanks.

3772. Alienate and the hobby suffers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 07:11:56 UTC
From: Chuck <manifan@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <0XIg7.1189$q7.129224@typhoon1.gnilink.net>
Source record: 60989

Hi all,

Just wanted to know why even in scanner listening, why people fight? I have been there as a novice and couldn't find many answers when I first started with scanners. I guess now I would be considered above average in my knowledge of scanners, but by no means an expert.

In helping novices it seems everyone wants to "prove their worth" and start technical bullpucky or answer questions without regard to WHO they are talking to. This may well turn off new people to the hobby. Sure some questions may seem technical in nature but I don't think the newbies want great technical answers, they just want to know what WORKS. Adding a few lines as an aside about technical specs. is okay but having 37 people continually fight long after the original question is childish. It's about time people grow up.

A lot of people just send a message that says I have this radio or this antenna and it works great. This gives a list of potential products for a newbie. All installations are different and what works for one person may NOT work for others.

A good thing might be to develop a "standard" list for this newsgroup that isn't technical about different items. When someone asks about buying a scanner, this list might include things like "what do you want to listen to", "where do you live? urban/suburban/boonies?". Less radio traffic might lend someone to only need a 200 channel scanner as opposed to 500 channels etc.

In closing, I just want to say that I have picked up more information via posts here, than most anywhere else. Let's try to help rather than do battle, I mean it's ONLY scanner listening and should be enjoyable.

Best Regards,

Chuck
btw anyone from New Jersey and want to hook up for some scanner talk, frequency stuff etc? I use ICQ, if you have it my number is 81211457. Or take out (nospam) in the email address to write me directly. Thanks

3773. Re: newbie to scanning, need some advice.... :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 07:46:02 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <1ko6otkcq130s46trdi5b2tr0re9o7k19k@4ax.com>
Source record: 60990

On Wed, 22 Aug 2001 00:51:15 -0400, "Ryan" <boredom421@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>hey, i'm new to this newsgroup, and have never posted before..... i'm
>wanting to buy my first scanner, but i'm not sure what i should buy. my
>problem is that i dont know which scanner to buy.... i dont want something
>which is bottom of the line and i'll outgrow quickly, but at the same time i
>dont want something so expensive that it contains features which may never
>be used or are not worth it.... so i'm basically asking for suggestions on
>a mid-level or so scanner which leaves room for future use and isnt too
>expensive... model, price, where to buy? thanks.

The biggest thing to figure out first is what you want to scan. Then
find out what frequencies you need, and whether you've got to deal
with trunking.

You should be able to find that out by poking around the web, or by
asking specifically around here.

Other things to consider are whether you want to just enter
frequencies that others have found, or if you want to search yourself.
Or if you want a base vs mobile vs handheld radio.

There is a lot of good information on Rich Wells' site,
www.strongsignals.net. FAQs for beginners, reviews of what seems to
be every scanner ever made. I think if you browse there you'll be
able to think of what you want to do with a scanner, and get a feel
for what price range you get into for different features.

Catching a sale at Radio Shack is IMHO a great way to get more radio
than you would otherwise afford. Keep an eye on the sale flyers.

Ken Plotkin

3774. Re: Grove CD Frequency Dbase...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 08:13:36 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822041336.01529.00002674@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 60991

>The CD is out of production but I recall reading in MT that the database
>can be updated by downloading the frequency list from an FCC FTP site.

Andy,

The article was in the February, 1999 MT issue ... the "Computers and Radio"
column by John Catalano.

The site mentioned was:
www.fcc.gov/oet/info/database/raeddf.html

John's article implied that one file of about a gigabyte could be downloaded
periodically to update the database. No other information was included.

Please let us know how you make out with updating the files.

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

3775. 780xlt slicer tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 10:06:21 UTC
From: steve <041steve@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <xuLg7.11970$46.30241@news1.rochd1.qld.optushome.com.au>
Source record: 60992

does anyone know how to tap the data slicer on the 780xlt if u do can u
please email me

thanks
steve

3776. Re: MVT9000 vs MVT7000 vs AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 12:22:47 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <to790kse3oecce@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60993

Different strokes for different folks. Everyone is entitled to their
opinion, no matter how wrong they are..... (;->))

Vinnie <valair@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:9lu6n1$9g$1@plutonium.btinternet.com...
> correction!!!, best medium priced load of crap around.
> I wouldn't give it house-room
> V
>
> RayC <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz> wrote in message
> news:47ng7.4880$ww1.495223@news02.tsnz.net...
> > Oh no you wont be.
> >
> > Best medium priced handheld available.
> >
> > "Vinnie" <valair@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> > news:9lrged$fj3$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
> > > You will be sorry!
> > > V
> > >
> > >
> > > LJ Murray <majestic.murray@virgin.net> wrote in message
> > > news:GkVf7.11225$0L6.1973722@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> > > > Thanks for the advice everyone.....I am going for MVT-7100.
> > > >
> > > > Regards
> > > >
> > > > LJ
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.273 / Virus Database: 143 - Release Date: 16/08/01
>
>

3777. Radio-Scanner.co.uk - Anyone with ideas for content!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 13:47:04 UTC
From: tech@radio-scanner.co.uk
Message-ID: <59ba586c.0108220547.24e92dd3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60994

Hi,
I am currently in the process of creating www.radio-scanner.co.uk
which hopefully will be a site for British Scanner and Radio stuff
with plenty of portals. Currently im having problems with the server
and the site is not working totally perfect but im slowly bringing it
into shape. But really this is almost a Alpha version of the site. I
wasn't actually going to host it until i had got it in decent shape
but decided that I wanted to attract people to help with it. If anyone
has time to volounteer to help with the site and content it would be
great. Particularly for people to write articles and reviews.
Please contact me
Thanks
Ric - M1ENZ
Doncaster, England

3778. Re: MVT9000 vs MVT7000 vs AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 13:59:02 UTC
From: Neil Bell <neilbell@silconCUTSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <kie7ot4h72ehsp5nvkuvq2l47rdosraobl@4ax.com>
Source record: 60995

Vinnie's remarks only reflect his own lack of knowledge -

"Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote:

>Different strokes for different folks. Everyone is entitled to their
>opinion, no matter how wrong they are..... (;->))
>
>Vinnie <valair@btinternet.com> wrote in message
>news:9lu6n1$9g$1@plutonium.btinternet.com...
>> correction!!!, best medium priced load of crap around.
>> I wouldn't give it house-room
>> V
>>
>> RayC <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz> wrote in message
>> news:47ng7.4880$ww1.495223@news02.tsnz.net...
>> > Oh no you wont be.
>> >
>> > Best medium priced handheld available.
>> >
>> > "Vinnie" <valair@btinternet.com> wrote in message
>> > news:9lrged$fj3$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...

3779. Re: Alienate and the hobby suffers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 14:23:54 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <to7g3mjn9s9r0b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60996

As long as there are two people on the planet, there will be differences in opinions (odds go up 100/1 if both sexes involved). Differences in opinion can be GOOD, because yours might be wrong (8-0), and it could save you some grief (or face) later. Of course there's always the rectal cavities that resort to character attacks, replies totally void of any applicable and/or useful information, and other sophomoric b/s - even critique someone's language when if fact it may be the posters 2nd or 3rd, etc., etc... Ever notice you can't read a doctors handwriting? Sort the fly dung from the pepper, and continue on!

As far as answers being too technical, it begs the question: too technical for whom? If someone asks, "how does a widget WORK?" and another says, "my Ajax widget WORKS fine.", it may satisfy one of your quests for a recommendation on something that WORKS - But, you still don't know squat about why it WORKS better than a Acme widget, how to make it WORK better than your dorky neighbors, or how to make it WORK at all in many cases; which, some inquiring minds appreciate! If it's over your head, ignore it, or dig a little deeper and expand your knowledge base so you can trash the next idiot that asks the question (:->). No big deal! Otherwise we'd need some sort of test to determine the posters SIQ (scanner intelligence quotient) before he'd be allowed to join the group, and it would be displayed beside the username (ala eBay). Then anyone answering could scale the reply content up/down to match that individuals SIQ, with the stipulation that the answering user not exceed his own SIQ! Any volunteers??

Quite frequently, it leads to some amusing threads when two, or more, self proclaimed experts (drawn from 'ex', a has been, and 'spurt', a drip under pressure) get into a upwind urinating contest! True, sometimes it seems like it's going to pollute the well water; but, until we get the SIQ up and running, it's just another one of life's warts, and a minor one at that.

Go through the questions, testimonials, trolls, and replies until one WORKS for you. Like you said, what WORKS for one doesn't necessarily WORK for another, which usually makes "standard lists" somewhat vague. There are dozens of places to get primers on any activity, including scanning.

Cheers & beers y'all...
Don

Chuck <manifan(nospam)@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:0XIg7.1189$q7.129224@typhoon1.gnilink.net...
> Hi all,
>
> Just wanted to know why even in scanner listening, why people fight? I
> have been there as a novice and couldn't find many answers when I first

> started with scanners. I guess now I would be considered above average in
> my knowledge of scanners, but by no means an expert.
>
> In helping novices it seems everyone wants to "prove their worth" and
start
> technical bullpucky or answer questions without regard to WHO they are
> talking to. This may well turn off new people to the hobby. Sure some
> questions may seem technical in nature but I don't think the newbies want
> great technical answers, they just want to know what WORKS. Adding a few
> lines as an aside about technical specs. is okay but having 37 people
> continually fight long after the original question is childish. It's about
> time people grow up.
>
> A lot of people just send a message that says I have this radio or this
> antenna and it works great. This gives a list of potential products for a
> newbie. All installations are different and what works for one person may
> NOT work for others.
>
> A good thing might be to develop a "standard" list for this newsgroup that
> isn't technical about different items. When someone asks about buying a
> scanner, this list might include things like "what do you want to listen
> to", "where do you live? urban/suburban/boonies?". Less radio traffic
might
> lend someone to only need a 200 channel scanner as opposed to 500 channels
> etc.
>
> In closing, I just want to say that I have picked up more information via
> posts here, than most anywhere else. Let's try to help rather than do
> battle, I mean it's ONLY scanner listening and should be enjoyable.
>
> Best Regards,
>
> Chuck
> btw anyone from New Jersey and want to hook up for some scanner talk,
> frequency stuff etc? I use ICQ, if you have it my number is 81211457. Or
> take out (nospam) in the email address to write me directly. Thanks
>
>
>

3780. Re: MVT9000 vs MVT7000 vs AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 14:50:24 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <to7hlcio25iqad@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60897

Ray,
Are you the guy to see about the light mod for the 7100?? Seems like I've seen your name associated with it.
Would appreciate any info, so the next time I reprogram all my ch. data, I can take the thing apart and do the mod, too...
Thanks,
Don

RayC <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz> wrote in message news:47ng7.4880$ww1.495223@news02.tsnz.net...
> Oh no you wont be.
>
> Best medium priced handheld available.
>
> "Vinnie" <valair@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:9lrged$fj3$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
> > You will be sorry!
> > V
> >
> >
> > LJ Murray <majestic.murray@virgin.net> wrote in message
> > news:GkVf7.11225$0L6.1973722@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> > > Thanks for the advice everyone.....I am going for MVT-7100.
> > >
> > > Regards
> > >
> > > LJ
>
>
>

3781. Re: WARNING! Another bad trader to add to your lists. Sulurawk@aol.com

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 14:58:34 UTC
From: shodedo@home.com
Message-ID: <3b83c881.12286679@news>
Source record: 60898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.music.makers.synth,rec.autos.makers.vw.aircooled,alt.scooter,rec.radio.scanner

It must suck to get burned

On 21 Aug 2001 17:58:40 -0700, 2waytech@address.com (GW) wrote:

>Mr. Gingras has responded to this posting and promised to return the
>radio and money this week. If they are returned, I'll post the
>information. I'm trying to give him the chance to get this
>straightened out.
>
>George
>N7BUI
>
>
>
>
>
>"Mark" <markvr@iname(dot)com> wrote in message
news:<9lu9rv$8kl$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>...
>> Sounds like mail fraud on his part. Report him.
>>
>>
>> GW <2waytech@address.com> wrote in message
>> news:f549415a.0108162000.6a908a90@posting.google.com...
>> > On June 15th I contacted a Mr. Nate Gingras of Oak Creek, Wisconsin
>> > about
>> > a 1937 Crosley he offered for trade of a shortwave receiver. This was
>> > through a
>> > Usenet posing. Over a period of a couple of days we negotiated a price
>> > of $50 plus a Realistic DX-150 and matching speaker in exchange for
>> > the Crosley he had. I sent the DX-150 plus cash via UPS and it was
>> > acknowledged by Mr.
>> > Gingras that he had received the radio and monies.
>> >
>> > After about the third week and still no Crosley, I began to get
>> > concerned.
>> > Mr. Gingras claimed he had shipped the radio via US postal and that he
>> > would
>> > "let me know if he heard anything from the post office". An exchange
>> > of emails over the past 4 weeks in which I requested the return of the
>> > DX-150 and $50 has resulted in claims that he had it shipped "ground"
>> > and "priority package" and that he would "check with the post office".
>> > The most recent email he claims
>> > that he would send the radio back, but he doesn't have the $50 so he
>> > is going to keep the radio. He claims that the post office will

>> > investigate after 45 days. We are beyond that time.
>> >
>> > I normally don't post to the Usenet groups, but this guy is bad news.
>> > He has
>> > refused to return my items and is quite firm that he will keep them.
>> >
>> > George
>> > N7BUI

3782. FS: PRO 43 SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 15:12:25 UTC
From: qstflyout@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010822111225.00771.00002214@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 60899

RADIO SHACK PRO 43 HANDHELD SCANNER
200 CHANNELS
WILL SCAN 800 MHZ
COME WITH NICADS, WALL CHARGER/ADAPTOR & MANUAL

ASKING $170.00

E-MAIL QSTFLYOUT@AOL.COM

3783. Re: newbie to scanning, need some advice.... :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 16:25:21 UTC
From: Ryan <boredom421@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8_Qg7.2952$64.1520799@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 60900

i basically just want to scan anything and everything i can... i do have
to deal with some trunking.... i am looking to buy a handheld due to the
convenience of them... any suggestions? =)

"Ken Plotkin" <kplotkin@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:1ko6otkcq130s46trdi5b2tr0re9o7k19k@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 22 Aug 2001 00:51:15 -0400, "Ryan" <boredom421@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >hey, i'm new to this newsgroup, and have never posted before..... i'm
> >wanting to buy my first scanner, but i'm not sure what i should buy. my
> >problem is that i dont know which scanner to buy.... i dont want
something
> >which is bottom of the line and i'll outgrow quickly, but at the same
time i
> >dont want something so expensive that it contains features which may
never
> >be used or are not worth it.... so i'm basically asking for suggestions
on
> >a mid-level or so scanner which leaves room for future use and isnt too
> >expensive... model, price, where to buy? thanks.
>
> The biggest thing to figure out first is what you want to scan. Then
> find out what frequencies you need, and whether you've got to deal
> with trunking.
>
> You should be able to find that out by poking around the web, or by
> asking specifically around here.
>
> Other things to consider are whether you want to just enter
> frequencies that others have found, or if you want to search yourself.
> Or if you want a base vs mobile vs handheld radio.
>
> There is a lot of good information on Rich Wells' site,
> www.strongsignals.net. FAQs for beginners, reviews of what seems to
> be every scanner ever made. I think if you browse there you'll be
> able to think of what you want to do with a scanner, and get a feel
> for what price range you get into for different features.
>
> Catching a sale at Radio Shack is IMHO a great way to get more radio
> than you would otherwise afford. Keep an eye on the sale flyers.
>
> Ken Plotkin
>

3784. Re: FS Kenwood SW-2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 17:02:42 UTC
From: Tom Repstad <tr@zoo.uvm.edu>
Message-ID: <3B83E5B2.494D114E@zoo.uvm.edu>
Source record: 60901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I'm interested in the SW-2000, is it still available?

--Tom
K1VG

Dick wrote:

> Have for sale Kenwood swr and Power meter SW-2000.
> Asking $75.00.Comes with 6 meter coupling.Email me if interested.

3785. Re: Beginner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 17:06:52 UTC
From: JoeBramblett.nospam@drunkenbard.com
Message-ID: <D4BDE31BDC46F762.34673A8078921CCF.7A250474E4FCBDE8@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60902

"Jason" <metty@Karson.com> wrote in
<utyg7.24946$P15.13751731@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>:

>I have always had an interest in scanners and I aim to get one soon to
>play around with. I have one question about them. I live about 3 miles
>away from my work and we use those big bulky motorola 2 way radios to
>communicate with. I know we have at least one amp (or whatever it is
>called) mounted in the middle of the building to make them clearer. My
>question is would a typical scanner be able to pick them up from my
>home? What type of scanner would I need? Their isn't any private
>information on these radios so I'm sure my work wouldn't mind
>it.(actually my boss was interesting in the idea and challenged me to do
>it) Thanks for any help

First, look for labels on the radios themselves that may give you either a
range of frequencies or a specific frequency. Then, head to Radio Shack
and check out their scanner selection for something that will receive in
the right range.

If that fails, track down a frequency counter, and use it to find the
frequencies they're using. Look around for someone with callsign license
plates, and ask them how to get in touch with the local amateur radio
clubs. Someone at one of the meetings is likely to have a counter they'd
be willing to check out one of your radios with.

3786. Maha 777PLUS charger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 17:19:02 UTC
From: Bob Javits <rjavits@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <aQRg7.6333$Co6.1597930@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60903

has anyone here used this battery charger, and do you have any comments,
good or bad??

thanks bob

3787. Re: MVT9000 vs MVT7000 vs AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 18:27:44 UTC
From: Vinnie <valair@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9m0tiu$42s$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 60904

Neil
If you are happy with a Yupi 7100 then you are obviously either very easily pleased or never had a decent scanner to compare the 7100 against.
It was the biggest load of crap I ever owned, I couldn't get rid of it quick enough.
Vinnie.

Neil Bell <neilbell@silconCUTSPAM.com> wrote in message news:kie7ot4h72ehsp5nvkuvq2l47rdosraobl@4ax.com...
> Vinnie's remarks only reflect his own lack of knowledge -
>
> "Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote:
>
> >Different strokes for different folks. Everyone is entitled to their
> >opinion, no matter how wrong they are..... (;->))
> >
> >Vinnie <valair@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> >news:9lu6n1$9g$1@plutonium.btinternet.com...
> >> correction!!!, best medium priced load of crap around.
> >> I wouldn't give it house-room
> >> V
> >>
> >> RayC <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz> wrote in message
> >> news:47ng7.4880$ww1.495223@news02.tsnz.net...
> >> > Oh no you wont be.
> >> >
> >> > Best medium priced handheld available.
> >> >
> >> > "Vinnie" <valair@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:9lrged$fj3$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.273 / Virus Database: 143 - Release Date: 16/08/01

3788. Re: 900mhz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 18:39:34 UTC
From: NadaTech <GoSpamSomeonelse@OK.com>
Message-ID: <rUSg7.114$tV6.3150@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 60905

Most PRO series RS scanners are made by Uniden. If you seen shoddy assembly, blame Uniden, not Tandy. Tandy outsources most of it's manufacturing these days.

"Ghostie" <qaunta@qaunta.screaming.net> wrote in message news:998338467.807709@igateway.postoffice.co.uk...
> Look on the net!!
> Got to be better than Radio Shack!!
>
> I brought something from then once...
> It went wrong..
> Opened it up and the components inside were not a pretty sight!!
> I like to see things well made. Radio Shack, Well..........
>
>
>
>

3789. Re: Bearcat 780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 20:12:30 UTC
From: Ender <SBender@home.com>
Message-ID: <5q38ot4030gcpk8jlshv8iq6n7h55c7ds6@4ax.com>
Source record: 60906

On Mon, 20 Aug 2001 15:05:09 -0400, "Ken McKenzie"
<donot@forget.your.towel> wrote:

>I'm thinking of buying one of these and would appreciate an opinions on the
>set. I'm looking to use it Edacs trunked systems.
>
It is a great receiver. I use it for all my conventional scanning as
well as for listening to a motorola trunked system and an EDACS
trunked system. It handles its tasks flawlessly. As a previous
poster indicated, the learning curve is significant, but the payoffs
are great. It's my first scanner with built-in ctcss and dpl
capability and that alone makes conventional scanning far more
pleasant. It is an extremely capable aircraft monitoring receiver.

I have used WinScan (didn't like it), Andy's Free Program (worked
fine for database management with excel), ARC780 (excellent database
management software) and TrunkStar780. I have settled on TrunkStar780
for all my needs with the BC780XLT. It does everything it says it
will. It has a nice control interface that is intuitive and it
handles frequencies, trunking talkgroups, and alpha tag management
with ease.

The only knock on this receiver in my opinion is the somewhat
restricted internal audio. An external speaker is necessary to get
comfortable room-filling audio and easily improves that situation
greatly.

I am enjoying this scanner greatly, I don't think you will be
disappointed.

Ender

Remove the SB from my return email to reply by mail.

3790. Re: (no subject)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 20:43:37 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9m15cl0n93@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60908

cdpd you can hear. it ISN'T DIGITAL, it is a encrypted analog signal transmitting on a old analog cell phone. To be percise, Here where I am. they used modified motorola brick phones. But others use other things. specific cell channels are dedicated with the cell phone company for this to work. Gee. even go to any scanner site that has data signals in wav files. you can even find ONE CDPD audio file there. I have seen them on many sites. it is not DIGITA. and I or anyone else DOES not need to be a friggin GENIOUS to hear it. You may not be able to decode it. but NO one HAS to be smart to HEAR it.

"PVILLE666" <pville666@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010818182622.21501.00004190@mb-mk.aol.com...
> Motorola, ETACS Encryption..UNLESS YOU'RE A MATHEMATICAL GENIUS.., YOU WONT
> HEAR THAT.

3791. Re: Scanner Laws Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 20:46:15 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9m15hl0nkt@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60907

all I can say is from BC to Saskatchewan, Even the border crossings never ask why I have my scanners and ham radio's on the dash. Strange. but I think manitoba is one that has strange restrictions on things. Doesn't Quebec have weird restrictions too ?

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010817201534.14008.00007108@nso-fo.aol.com...
> In article <3b7c75d9.38126656@news>, bart@haruteq.com (Bart Veerman) writes:
>
> >1) In the province of Manitoba you are not allowed to have a scanner
> >in your car.
>
> Ham exemption, or no?

3792. Re: I Need Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 20:54:33 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9m16160oou@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60909

Huh...
> Cellular is out of the question, you need an older rig (pre 1994) to receive that band,
I bought my 2000 canadian model in canada. and it was NOT pre 94. received it nice and well. but as usual it IS boring. but not illegal in canada, and Cordless's are not illegal either in Canada.

3793. Re: Frequencies for home portable home phones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-22 20:56:05 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9m16420p02@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60910

BESIDES TYPING CAPITAL LETTERS EVERYWHERE.. 900 Mhz is not the LATEST. There are 2.4 gig ones too. gee 900Mhz the latest.

"PVILLE666" <pville666@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010818182903.21501.00004191@mb-mk.aol.com...
> 900 MHZ....., IS THE LATEST.., EVEN SOME IN UPPPER 925-935 RANGE(S).

3794. Re: MVT9000 vs MVT7000 vs AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 00:28:41 UTC
From: Neil Bell <neilbell@silconCUTSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <3dj8ot83p3osjhkgu70ln3d6q1t9ncknmq@4ax.com>
Source record: 60911

"Vinnie" <valair@btinternet.com> wrote:

>Neil
>If you are happy with a Yupi 7100 then you are obviously either very easily
>pleased or never had a decent scanner to compare the 7100 against.
>It was the biggest load of crap I ever owned, I couldn't get rid of it quick
>enough.

Matter of pure fact - I have owned over 20 scanners through the years
and my choise for pure scanning quality is a Yupi 9000 Mk2. I doesn't
trunk, but I dont need trunking. And it and the 7100, clip the wings
from my BC780XLT, my BC9000, my Pro-92, my Pro 2006.

Nuff said

3795. Re: Beginner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 00:54:15 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <F5A1520BE1284490.E91AEA8D2DFB48CA.311D8D8EBF8BB8CF@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60912

On 22 Aug 2001 17:06:52 GMT, JoeBramblett.nospam@drunkenbard.com (Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH) wrote:

>"Jason" <metty@Karson.com> wrote in
><utyg7.24946$P15.13751731@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>:
>
[snip]

In addition, your company should also have an FCC license to use the radios which should list the frequencies. Many times it's hanging right on the wall near the base console.

3796. Re: newbie to scanning, need some advice.... :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 00:54:19 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <B83319878B688189.6739B8BFAAF417D4.EB71D37B252EDA20@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60913

On Wed, 22 Aug 2001 00:51:15 -0400, "Ryan" <boredom421@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>hey, i'm new to this newsgroup, and have never posted before..... i'm
>wanting to buy my first scanner, but i'm not sure what i should buy. my
>problem is that i dont know which scanner to buy.... i dont want something
>which is bottom of the line and i'll outgrow quickly, but at the same time i
>dont want something so expensive that it contains features which may never
>be used or are not worth it.... so i'm basically asking for suggestions on
>a mid-level or so scanner which leaves room for future use and isnt too
>expensive... model, price, where to buy? thanks.
>

Look at a Uniden Bearcat model BC245XLT hand-held 300
channel TrunkTracker II at $189.95 (plus $20.00 S&H) from

http://www.usascan.com/

3797. Re: Alienate and the hobby suffers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 01:04:36 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <54C63EDFD2C80448.6F462422F4719680.4E51C281799EFDC2@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60914

On Wed, 22 Aug 2001 07:11:56 GMT, "Chuck" <manifan(nospam)@yahoo.com>
wrote:

[snip]

Just remember that coming into a news group is like walking
into a bar. You will find all of the same types of people you
find elsewhere else in life. Just because they like scanners makes
them no different.

There are "know it alls" who are more interested in impressing you
with their technical knowledge then answer your question. There
are also people who are profoundly mentality ill, etc. in the same
percentages as the rest of the general population.

Of course, the anonymity associated with news groups tends to
enhance the poor behavior of these people.

Just remember that everyone here only because they have access
to a PC. They have no power over any aspect of your life, so
don't let them bother you.

Thankfully, when the dust setteles, you will find mostly good
decent people who are willing to help you.

3798. Re: newbie to scanning, need some advice.... :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 01:44:24 UTC
From: Ken Plotkin <kplotkin@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <ofn8ot8efc4bvg01huaja0mi2a7v8bn54h@4ax.com>
Source record: 60915

On Wed, 22 Aug 2001 12:25:21 -0400, "Ryan" <boredom421@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>i basically just want to scan anything and everything i can... i do have
>to deal with some trunking.... i am looking to buy a handheld due to the
>convenience of them... any suggestions? =)

Look at the Radio Shack PRO-92. 500 channels, text tags, trunks
several different systems. Computer interface for loading and storing
setups; there are good inxpensive alternatives to Radio Shack's
official software.

Lists for $350, but periodically goes on sale for $200 or $250.

Well supported by a community that hangs out at pro-92.com.

Does not have military air bands, which is a minus if you live near an
airbase.

Definitely go to Wells' site to compare features.

Ken Plotkin

3799. GENERATE AMAZING WEALTH USING THIS SYSTEM...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 02:26:22 UTC
From: CASHFLOW@My.ws
Message-ID: <5607241f.0108221826.699bccdf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60916

FOLLOW THE INSTRUCTIONS

How to invest $6.00 and turn it into thousands.
If everyone justs sends $6.00 And posts to at least 200 groups, we will all be happy!!!!!!! Happy all the way to the BANK!!!!!!! I found this posted in another group and thought I'd try it just for a laugh. Guess what? I'm laughing the whole way to the bank. I already earned $2,500 in almost 3 weeks! Following is that original post. Take a minute to read it. I'm telling you--I'm a very skeptical, but even a skeptic likes to make money. And the initial investment is insignificant....LESS THAN $8.00!!!

Awhile back, I was browsing these newsgroups, just like you are now, and came across an article similar to this that said you could make thousands of dollars within weeks with only an initial investment of $6.00 plus stamps! So I thought, "Yeah, right, this must be a scam!" But like most of us I was curious and kept reading. It said that if you send $1.00 to each of the 6 names and addresses listed in the article, you could make thousands in a very short period of time. You then place your own name and address at the bottom of the list at #6, and post the article to at least 200 newsgroups. (There are about 32,000 of them out there and that's quite a large market pool). No catch, that was it. Even though the investment was a measly $6, I had three questions that needed to be answered before I could get involved in this sort of thing.

1. IS THIS REALLY LEGAL?? I called a lawyer first. The lawyer was a little sceptical that I would actually make any money but he said it WAS LEGAL if I wanted to try it. I told him it sounded a lot like a chain letter but the details of the system (SEE BELOW) actually made it a legitimate legal business.

2. IS OK WITH THE POST OFFICE OR IS IT MAIL FRAUD?....I called them: 1-800-725-2161 and they confirmed THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! (See Title18, h sections1302 NS 1341 of Postal Lottery Laws). This clarifies the program of collecting names and addresses for a mailing list.

3. IS IT MORAL? Well, everyone who sends me a buck has a good chance of getting A LOT of money ... a much better chance than buying a lottery ticket!!!

So, having these questions answered, I invested EXACTLY $7.92 ... six $1.00 bills and six 32 cent postage stamps ... and boy am I glad I did!!! Within 7 days, I started getting money in the mail! I was shocked! I figured it would end soon and didn't give it another thought. But the money continued coming in. In my first week I made between $20 to $30. By the end of the second week I had a made a total of $1,000.00. In the third week I had over $10,000.00 and it was still growing. This is now my fourth week and I have

made a total of just over $42,000 and it's still coming in .....

It's certainly worth $6.00 and 6 stamps !!! Also, make sure you print a copy of this article NOW, so you can get the information off of it as you need it. I promise you that if you follow the directions exactly, that you will start making more money than you thought possible by doing something so easy! Suggestion: Read this entire message carefully! (print it out or download it.) Follow the simple directions and watch the money come in! It's easy. It's legal. And, your investment is only $6.00 (Plus postage)

IMPORTANT: This is not a rip-off; it is not indecent; it is not illegal; and it is virtually no risk - it really works!!!! If all of the following instructions are adhered to, you will receive extraordinary dividends. PLEASE NOTE: Please follow these directions EXACTLY, and $50,000 or more can be yours in 20 to 60 days. This program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants. Please continue its success by carefully adhering to the instructions. You will now become part of the Mail Order business. In this business your product is not solid and tangible, it's a service. You are in the business of developing Mailing Lists. Many large corporations are happy to pay big bucks for quality lists. However, the money made from the mailing lists is secondary to the income which is made from people like you and me asking to be included in that list.

HERE ARE 4 EASY STEPS TO SUCCESS: ----------
STEP 1: Get 6 separate pieces of paper and write the following on each piece of paper "PLEASE PUT ME ON YOUR MAILING LIST." Now get 6 US $1.00 bills and place ONE inside EACH of the 6 pieces of paper so the bill will not be seen through the envelope (to prevent thievery). Next, place one paper in each of the 6 envelopes and seal them. You should now have 6 sealed envelopes, each with a piece of paper stating the above phrase, your name and address, and a $1.00 bill. What you are doing is creating a service. THIS IS ABSOLUTELY LEGAL! You are requesting a legitimate service and you are paying for it! Like most of us I was a little skeptical and a little worried about the legal aspects of it all. So I checked it out with the U.S. Post Office (1-800-725-2161) and they confirmed that it is indeed legal! Mail the 6 envelopes to the following addresses:

#1) J. Gibson P.O. Box 2099 Vineyard Haven, MA 02568

#2) N.Winkler 934 Roseland Ave. NW Massillon, OH 44647

#3) P. Brazil 508 11th. St. NW. Apt. G Puyallup, Wa. 98371

#4) Jose Aviles P. O. Box 446, Fort Drum, NY 13603

#5) J.R. Robertson P.O. Box 334 Ellettsville, IN 47429

#6) R.Flames P.O. Box 771331, Orlando, FL. 32877-1331

STEP 2: Now take the #1 name off the list that you see above, move the other names up (6 becomes 5, 5 becomes 4, etc...) and add YOUR Name as number 6 on the list.

STEP 3: Change anything you need to, but try to keep this article as close to original as possible.

STEP 4: Now, post your amended article to at least 200 newsgroups. (I think there are close to 32,000 groups) All you need is 200, but remember, the more you post, the more money you make!

This is perfectly legal! If you have any doubts, refer to Title 18 Sec. 1302 & 1341 of the Postal lottery laws. Keep a copy of these steps for yourself and, whenever you need money, you can use it again, and again.
PLEASE REMEMBER that this program remains successful because of the honesty and integrity of the participants and by their carefully adhering to the directions. Look at it this way. If you are a person of integrity, the program will continue and the money that so many others have received will come your way.

NOTE: You may want to retain every name and address sent to you, either on a computer or hard copy and keep the notes people send you. This VERIFIES that you are truly providing a service.
(Also, it might be a good idea to wrap the $1 bill in dark paper to reduce the risk of mail theft.) So, as each post is downloaded and the directions carefully followed, six members will be reimbursed for their participation as a List Developer with one dollar each. Your name will move up the list geometrically so that when your name reaches the #1 position you will be receiving thousands of dollars in CASH!!! What an opportunity for only $6.00 ($1.00 for each of the first six people listed above) Send it now, add your own name to the list and you're in business!
========== ---
DIRECTIONS --- FOR HOW TO POST TO NEWSGROUPS --- DIRECTIONS ---
==========
Step 1) You do not need to re-type this entire letter to do your own posting. Simply put your cursor at the beginning of this letter and drag your cursor to the bottom of this document, and select 'copy' from the edit menu. This will copy the entire letter into the computer's memory.

Step 2) Open a blank 'notepad' file and place your cursor at the top of the blank page. From the 'edit' menu select 'paste'. This will paste a copy of the letter into notepad so that you can add your name to the list.

Step 3) Save your new notepad file as a .txt file. If you want to do your postings in different settings, you'll always have this file to go back to.

Step 4) Use Netscape or Internet explorer and try searching for various newsgroups (on-line forums, message boards, chat sites, discussions.)

Step 5) Visit these message boards and post this article as a new message by highlighting the text of this letter and selecting paste from the edit menu. Fill in the Subject, this will be the header that everyone sees as they scroll through the list of postings in a particular group, click the post message button. You're done with your first one!

Congratulations...THAT'S IT! All you have to do is jump to different newsgroups and post away, after you get the hang of it, it will take about 30 seconds for each newsgroup! **REMEMBER, THE MORE NEWSGROUPS YOU POST IN,

THE MORE MONEY YOU WILL MAKE!! BUT YOU HAVE TO POST A MINIMUM OF 200**
That's it! You will begin receiving money from around the world within days! You may eventually want to rent a P.O.Box due to the large amount of mail you will receive. If you wish to stay anonymous, you can invent a name to use, as long as the postman will deliver it.

JUST MAKE SURE ALL THE ADDRESSES ARE CORRECT.

Now the WHY part: Out of 200 postings, say I receive only 5 replies (a very low example). So then I made $5.00 with my name at #6 on the letter. Now, each of the 5 persons who just sent me $1.00 make the MINIMUM 200 postings, each with my name at #5 and only 5 persons respond to each of the original 5, that is another $25.00 for me, now those 25 each make 200 MINIMUM posts with my name at #4 and only 5 replies each, I will bring in an additional $125.00! Now, those 125 persons turn around and post the MINIMUM 200 with my name at #3 and only receive 5 replies each, I will make an additional $626.00! OK, now here is the fun part, each of those 625 persons post a MINIMUM 200 letters with my name at #2 and they each only receive 5 replies, that just made me $3,125.00!!! Those 3,125 persons will all deliver this message to 200 newsgroups with my name at #1 and if still 5 persons per 200 newsgroups react I will receive $15,625,00! With an original investment of only $6.00! AMAZING!

When your name is no longer on the list, you just take the latest posting in the newsgroups, and send out another $6.00 to names on the list, putting your name at number 6 again. And start posting again.

The thing to remember is: do you realize that thousands of people all over the world are joining the internet and reading these articles everyday?, JUST LIKE YOU are now!! So, can you afford $6.00 and see if it really works?? I think so...

People have said, "what if the plan is played out and no one sends you the money? So what! What are the chances of that happening when there are tons of new honest users and new honest people who are joining the internet and newsgroups everyday and are willing to give it a try? Estimates are at 20,000 to 50,000 new users, every day, with thousands of those joining the actual internet. Remember, play FAIRLY and HONESTLY and this will really work.

** By the way, if you try to deceive people by posting the messages with your name in the list and not sending the money to the rest of the people already on the list, you will NOT get as much. Someone I talked to knew someone who did that and he only made about $150.00, and that's after seven or eight weeks! Then he sent the 6 $1.00 bills, people added him to their lists, and in 4-5 weeks he had over $10k.

This is the fairest and most honest way I have ever seen to share the wealth of the world without costing anything but our time!!! You also may want to buy mailing and e-mail lists for future dollars. Make sure you print this article out RIGHT NOW! Also, try to keep a list of everyone that sends you money and always keep an eye on the newsgroups to make sure everyone is

playing fairly. Remember, HONESTY IS THE BEST POLICY. You don't need to cheat the basic idea to make the money!! GOOD LUCK to all and please play fairly and reap the huge rewards from this, which is tons of extra CASH. Please remember to declare your extra income. Thanks once again...

LEGAL ? ? ? (Comments from Bob Novak who started this new version.) "People have asked me if this is really legal. Well, it is! You are using the Internet to advertise your business. What is that business? You are assembling a mailing list of people who are interested in home based computer and online business and methods of generating income at home. Remember, people send you a small fee to be added to your mailing list. It is legal. what will you do with your list of thousands of names? That's up to you." So, build your mailing list, keep good accounts, declare the income and pay your taxes. By doing this you prove your business intentions.

Keep an eye on the newsgroups and when the cash has stopped coming (that means your name is no longer on the list), you just take the latest posting at the newsgroups, send another $6.00 to the names stated on the list, make your corrections (put your name at #6) and start posting again.
==========

NOTES: * 1. In some countries, the export of the country's exchange is illegal. But you can get the license to do this from the post office, explaining the above statements (that you have an online business, etc. You may have to pay an extra tax, but that's OK, the amount of the incoming money is HUGE! And as I said, a few countries have that restriction. *2. You may want to buy mailing and e-mail lists for future dollars. (Or Database or Spreadsheet software.) *3. If you're really not sure or still think this can't be for real, please print a copy of this article and pass it along to someone who really needs the money, and see what happens. *4. You will start getting responses within 1-2 weeks, it depends.

ALSO REMEMBER SEND YOUR $1 OUT TO EVERYONE ON THE LIST, EVEN IF THEY ARE NOT FROM THE U.S. WHAT ARE YOU WAITING FOR??? GOOD LUCK!!! ----------
---
Give It a try. ***Invest*** It's not a big loss

3800. Re: Alienate and the hobby suffers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 02:37:27 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.common
Message-ID: <20010822223727.23631.00002479@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 60917

In article <0XIg7.1189$q7.129224@typhoon1.gnilink.net>, "Chuck"
<manifan(nospam)@yahoo.com> writes:

>Just wanted to know why even in scanner listening, why people fight? I
>have been there as a novice and couldn't find many answers when I first
>started with scanners. I guess now I would be considered above average in
>my knowledge of scanners, but by no means an expert.
>

I have a simple answer for you: human nature. It is easier for people to be
mean to each other than it is to be kind and courteous. Being kind and
courteous requires work. Being mean does not. That is human nature. Homo
Sapiens Sapians at its best.

Paul.

Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

3801. Johnson Space Center Open House August 25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 02:37:34 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.common
Message-ID: <20010822223734.23631.00002482@nso-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 60918

Off topic, but FYI for anyone planning to be in Houston this weekend.

Paul, N0JAA

JOHNSON SPACE CENTER HOSTS OPEN HOUSE AUGUST 25

The gates to NASA's Johnson Space Center will open to the public this Saturday, Aug. 25, for the center's sixth annual Open House event. Open House is the one day each year visitors are invited to a behind-the-scenes look in more than 19 buildings that house the people, exhibits and hardware that serve as the nation's human space flight center.

Open House runs from 9 a.m. to 5 p.m. and is free to the public. Visitors may enter the site through four gates: the main gate at Saturn Lane, Space Center Boulevard near Bay Area Boulevard, Space Center Boulevard near NASA Road 1 and NASA Road 1. Parking in JSC lots is available at no charge. For a small fee, convenient METRO shuttles will pick up and return Open House guests to the METRO Bay Area Park and Ride on Bay Area Boulevard in Clear Lake or to Baybrook Mall on I-45.

Open House provides visitors a variety of opportunities to meet astronauts and get their autographs, see the famed Mission Control Center, and touch and feel genuine space hardware. Visitors will be able to talk one-on-one with members of JSC's workforce and see full-size mockups of the International Space Station and the Space Shuttle used to train astronauts for space flight. Free shuttle buses will be available to transport visitors to Ellington Field to view NASA's unique aircraft and to the Sonny Carter Training Facility where astronauts train for spacewalks in the world's largest indoor pool.

Entertainment at JSC includes programs in the Teague Auditorium. On stage in front of the Building 3 cafeteria, the bands "Max Q," comprised of astronauts, and "Southern Cross," comprised of JSC employees and friends, will play golden oldies along with rock and roll. Food, refreshments and souvenirs will be available at the JSC cafeterias. JSC's Education Department will provide a variety of children's activities including launching air-powered rockets and constructing flying rings.

-MORE- -2-

Anticipated Open House guests (pending availability) include the International Space Station Expedition Three crew, Commander Frank Culbertson, Pilot Vladimir Dezhurov and Flight Engineer Mikhail Tyurin.

The Expedition Three crewmembers began their four-month stay aboard the International Space Station on Aug. 13. They will be visiting with Open House guests in the Teague Auditorium from their home in space by remote broadcast.

JSC’s Open House coincides with the annual Ballunar Liftoff Festival, scheduled to take place Friday through Sunday, Aug. 24, 25 and 26, on JSC grounds. The Ballunar Liftoff Festival is a non-profit Houston community event to benefit education. There will be an admission fee for this event.

No alcohol or pets will be allowed at JSC Open House.

For more information about JSC’s Open House, call 281-244-5312 or visit the JSC website at http://openhouse.jsc.nasa.gov/.

-END-

-end-

Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

3802. Re: newbie to scanning, need some advice.... :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 04:28:33 UTC
From: Ryan <boredom421@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1z%g7.3745$64.2030602@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 60919

thanks, i appreciate your help.... also, i do live near airbases and was wondering if there's any scanner comparable to the pro-92 which also has military bands? thanks again for your help...=)

"Ken Plotkin" <kplotkin@nospam.com> wrote in message news:ofn8ot8efc4bvg01huaja0mi2a7v8bn54h@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 22 Aug 2001 12:25:21 -0400, "Ryan" <boredom421@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >i basically just want to scan anything and everything i can... i do have
> >to deal with some trunking.... i am looking to buy a handheld due to the
> >convenience of them... any suggestions? =)
>
> Look at the Radio Shack PRO-92. 500 channels, text tags, trunks
> several different systems. Computer interface for loading and storing
> setups; there are good inxpensive alternatives to Radio Shack's
> official software.
>
> Lists for $350, but periodically goes on sale for $200 or $250.
>
> Well supported by a community that hangs out at pro-92.com.
>
> Does not have military air bands, which is a minus if you live near an
> airbase.
>
> Definitely go to Wells' site to compare features.
>
> Ken Plotkin
>

3803. Re: newbie to scanning, need some advice.... :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 04:34:43 UTC
From: Ryan <boredom421@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <%E%g7.3769$64.2036038@newsrump.sjc.telocity.net>
Source record: 60920

one more thing, if i was to buy a pro-92, is the antenna it comes with
sufficient, or is it worth upgrading?

"Ken Plotkin" <kplotkin@nospam.com> wrote in message
news:ofn8ot8efc4bvg01huaja0mi2a7v8bn54h@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 22 Aug 2001 12:25:21 -0400, "Ryan" <boredom421@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >i basically just want to scan anything and everything i can... i do
have
> >to deal with some trunking.... i am looking to buy a handheld due to
the
> >convenience of them... any suggestions? =)
>
> Look at the Radio Shack PRO-92. 500 channels, text tags, trunks
> several different systems. Computer interface for loading and storing
> setups; there are good inxpensive alternatives to Radio Shack's
> official software.
>
> Lists for $350, but periodically goes on sale for $200 or $250.
>
> Well supported by a community that hangs out at pro-92.com.
>
> Does not have military air bands, which is a minus if you live near an
> airbase.
>
> Definitely go to Wells' site to compare features.
>
> Ken Plotkin
>

3804. Re: newbie to scanning, need some advice.... :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 04:59:49 UTC
From: gdo45@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823005949.09236.00004385@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 60921

if you had the time try e bay ,got my radio shack pro 92 for $150.00 great radio easy to use...

3805. Re: newbie to scanning, need some advice.... :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 05:32:15 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <jt0h7.12$Bt4.4553@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 60922

I would also endorse the 245, it's a solid scanner. Does have a few drawbacks, such as not being able to program trunk IDs unless you are receiving a control channel, and doesn't have alpha tags, but very good otherwise. I monitor the Oklahoma Highway Patrol, the nearest repeater is about 40 miles away, but using an antenna from an old cellular bag phone, they come in clearly.

As for mil air band, as far as I know, there are scanners that trunk, or scanners that receive Mil Air, but none that do both. Why, I don't know.

Mark

"The_AntiArt" <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> wrote in message
news:B83319878B688189.6739B8BFAAF417D4.EB71D37B252EDA20@lp.airnews.net...
> On Wed, 22 Aug 2001 00:51:15 -0400, "Ryan" <boredom421@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> >hey, i'm new to this newsgroup, and have never posted before..... i'm
> >wanting to buy my first scanner, but i'm not sure what i should buy. my
> >problem is that i dont know which scanner to buy.... i dont want
something
> >which is bottom of the line and i'll outgrow quickly, but at the same
time i
> >dont want something so expensive that it contains features which may
never
> >be used or are not worth it.... so i'm basically asking for suggestions
on
> >a mid-level or so scanner which leaves room for future use and isnt too
> >expensive... model, price, where to buy? thanks.
> >
>
> Look at a Uniden Bearcat model BC245XLT hand-held 300
> channel TrunkTracker II at $189.95 (plus $20.00 S&H) from
>
> http://www.usascan.com/

3806. BC 780 XLT USERS IN Long Island NY Suffolk county..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 05:36:13 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3b84967d.1553074@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 60923

hi all
just looking for some help in using my new rcvr... i'm sure we all are
listening to the same thing.. could use some assistance..... i am
using winscan 780 software..
thanks.. gig@i-2000.com

3807. Re: FS Alinco DX-70 TH 100 watts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 07:23:24 UTC
From: Ralf RF <ralf_rf@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9m2b30$9ps$1@merki.connect.com.au>
Source record: 60924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

```
This is alt radio.scanner, dick head!
"Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:eHig7.106$ZT.155891@news1.news.adelphia.net...
>
> Have for sale one Alinco DX-70 TH for $450.00.Never mobile.Email me if
> interested.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
```

3808. Re: Scanner Laws Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 08:15:40 UTC
From: John Medica -System administrator <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3B84BB83.DF4196B3@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 60925

Dev wrote:

> all I can say is from BC to Saskatchewan, Even the border crossings never
> ask why I have my scanners and ham radio's on the dash. Strange. but I think
> manitoba is one that has strange restrictions on things. Doesn't Quebec have
> weird restrictions too ?

Toronto/Quebec No.

Regards
John Medica - Listening-Post
Moderator Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg

3809. Re: FS Alinco DX-70 TH 100 watts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 12:25:56 UTC
From: OldBiker <vito@crosslink.net>
Message-ID: <3B84F654.49330C00@crosslink.net>
Source record: 60926

Hmmm. Dick posts a transciever on alt.radio.scanner so you piss and moan on 4 amateur related NG's; making yourself at least a 4x worse dick head than Dick, right?

Ralf RF wrote:
>
> This is alt radio.scanner, dick head!
> "Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote
> >
> > Have for sale one Alinco DX-70 TH for $450.00. ...

3810. Re: CC Radio .....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 12:38:58 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <CP6h7.21$of.13615@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 60927

"Me" <n0jaa@aol.common> wrote in message
news:20010821223121.02030.00002686@nso-mm.aol.com...
> In article <4R6d7.3600$5d4.1045112@monger.newsread.com>, "Ryan KC8PMX"
> <kc8pmx@tm.net> writes:
>
> >Question for you then..... on the DX-392, on the external antenna jack,
> >which would be cosidered the postive and which would be considered
"ground"
> >on that one? I really don't wanna take the stupid thing apart to figure
it
> >out. Are you aware of this??
> >
>
> Interesting question. That is one I had not considered. If the antenna
jack
> IS polarized, then that would explain why my incoming signals are always
> attenuated when I plug in an external antenna.
>
> Paul.
>
>
> Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.
>

By that I meant that does which one goes to ground or chassis.....
polarity in that regard.

Ryan

3811. Re: Frequency Counter?? do they work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 12:38:59 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <DP6h7.22$of.13512@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 60928

"Me" <n0jaa@aol.common> wrote in message
news:20010821223123.02030.00002688@nso-mm.aol.com...
> In article <pE5d7.52221$Kd7.29962300@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>, "Emilio
> Goldstien" <egoldstien@sofa.net> writes:
>
> >Probably the best way to make this setup to work is through
"triangulation".
> >You get two frequency counters, and two cars. Both cars drive around
with
> >their frequency counters, and together, you can zero in on the
frequencies.
> >One guy starts at the top and the other at the bottom.
>
> Doesn't triangulation require THREE cars and/or units?
>
> Paul.
>
>
> Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.
>

Not necessarily..... if it is a signal that is constant, a person can take a measurement at three separate locations and do the math then. I almost would trust that even more because at least there would be a constant in the detection device. Three diferent people with three different recievers may not have uniform equipment. Obviously if the signal is shorter lived or intermittent you most likely need three people.

Ryan

3812. Re: BC 780 XLT USERS IN Long Island NY Suffolk county..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 14:37:52 UTC
From: Ralf RF <ralf_rf@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9m34hi$3m2$1@merki.connect.com.au>
Source record: 60929

yeah where waiting, what do you want to know ?
"GaijinGig" <gig@i-2000.com> wrote in message
news:3b84967d.1553074@news-server.optonline.net...
> hi all
> just looking for some help in using my new rcvr... i'm sure we all are
> listening to the same thing.. could use some assistance..... i am
> using winscan 780 software..
> thanks.. gig@i-2000.com
>

3813. Re: Scanner Laws Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 14:39:02 UTC
From: Ralf RF <ralf_rf@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9m34jo$3mf$1@merki.connect.com.au>
Source record: 60930

They will lock you up after they shove your whip up your ass. lmfao
"John Medica -System administrator" <medica@cyberspace.org> wrote in message
news:3B84BB83.DF4196B3@cyberspace.org...
> Dev wrote:
>
> > all I can say is from BC to Saskatchewan, Even the border crossings
never
> > ask why I have my scanners and ham radio's on the dash. Strange. but I
think
> > manitoba is one that has strange restrictions on things. Doesn't Quebec
have
> > weird restrictions too ?
>
> Toronto/Quebec No.
>
> Regards
> John Medica - Listening-Post
> Moderator Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
> http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg
>
>
>
>

3814. Re: RADIO MART

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 14:40:59 UTC
From: Ralf RF <ralf_rf@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9m34nd$3u6$1@merki.connect.com.au>
Source record: 60931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

RadioMart took care of all his NEEDS by the sound of it. But if you don't like cock up the ass give RadioMart a miss. lmfao
"Dean Kastoris" <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message news:3B82F425.117DB32E@home.com...
> This is a followup to my complaints regarding Radio Mart. After direct
> e-mail conversation with Martyn at Radio Mart, all issues are currently
> being resolved. Regardless of what each of us feels about the
> situation, Martyn has been more than accomodating in this matter and I
> certainly appreciate it. If I had to do this again, I would have
> settled this matter as I am in the process of doing,(via direct
> e-mail)but without using the newsgroups as a weapon. Anyone thinking of
> buying radio equipment should consider Radio Mart.

3815. Re: BC-760xlt>stoped working>$30.00

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 14:41:45 UTC
From: Ralf RF <ralf_rf@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9m34or$41r$1@merki.connect.com.au>
Source record: 60932

Get it fixed and I'll give you 31. lmfao
"TheresaWfamily" <theresawfamily@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010821152932.11503.00002324@mb-fn.aol.com...
> My uniden bc-760xlt stoped working. $30.00 as is
> n2xcd@hotmail.com
> 732-349-2311 Joe, NJ

3816. Re: Beginner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 14:45:05 UTC
From: Ralf RF <ralf_rf@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9m34v2$436$1@merki.connect.com.au>
Source record: 60933

Apart from all that has been said make sure that your work is not using a trunked system cause if they are the only scanner worth getting is a trunk scanner such as Uniden 780xlt, if they trunk and your scanner dosn't then forget it.
"Jason" <metty@Karson.com> wrote in message news:utyg7.24946$P15.13751731@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com...
> I have always had an interest in scanners and I aim to get one soon to play
> around with. I have one question about them. I live about 3 miles away from
> my work and we use those big bulky motorola 2 way radios to communicate
> with. I know we have at least one amp (or whatever it is called) mounted in
> the middle of the building to make them clearer. My question is would a
> typical scanner be able to pick them up from my home? What type of scanner
> would I need? Their isn't any private information on these radios so I'm
> sure my work wouldn't mind it.(actually my boss was interesting in the idea
> and challenged me to do it)
> Thanks for any help
>
>

3817. Re: WTB BC245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 14:48:02 UTC
From: Ralf RF <ralf_rf@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9m354l$4a7$1@merki.connect.com.au>
Source record: 60934

BEWARE OF THE 245XLT. Here in Australia Motorola have already gone to three base frequencies on some trunked sites in Sydney, the 780 will allow three base frequencies but the 245 will not, the 245 in Aus is vertualy obsolete already.
<uwish@jol.com> wrote in message news:XFxg7.94647$k7.24562766@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> I am looking to buy a handheld and want the BC245xlt. Can be used, but must
> have accessories that came with the new scanner and be in good condition.
>
> Im not looking to be took by this sale so please be honest and have some way
> to prove yourself.
>
> Thanks and you can mail me @ !!justus@justourlove.com - the !!
>
> TJ

3818. NEED PRO 2035 ZEROMATIC MOD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 15:00:55 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823110055.13254.00002213@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 60935

Does anyone know where to find the mod that makes the zeromatic work on scan as well as search ??? It is a wire cutting and a resister to ground like the 2006 i think ??

THANX !!

3819. Re: Alienate and the hobby suffers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 15:04:02 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <morton@whoville.com>
Message-ID: <CX8h7.1$%e5.12119@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 60936

> "Chuck" <manifan(nospam)@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:0XIg7.1189$q7.129224@typhoon1.gnilink.net...

Your wanting people to get along is admirable and all, but not realistic.
People disagree because that's what people do.

> A good thing might be to develop a "standard" list for this newsgroup that
> isn't technical about different items.

Okay, let's say it is a good thing. It's your idea, so YOU should come up
with this "standard list."

> Let's try to help rather than do battle, I mean it's ONLY scanner
listening and should be enjoyable.

You can start helping by not trying to change human nature. While you're at
it, instruct the steady stream of newcomers on how to use search engines so
they'll won't keep asking the same old tired questions. I know, why don't
YOU write a FAQ for this newsgroup? That will take care of most of the
concerns you raised.

3820. Re: I Need Help!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 15:16:24 UTC
From: Ralf RF <ralf_rf@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9m36pq$5hr$1@merki.connect.com.au>
Source record: 60937

What can I say, it depends on what you mean by good stuff, the 780 xlt is the only scanner that will do everthing you can do at the moment with a scanner, so if you don't know what you want to do with it you may know later and when you do know the 780 will do it, a normal scanner will not do trunking, sure you might say what is trunking and there are plenty of web sites to go and find out but if you don't buy a 780 and you want to trunk you won't be able to trunk, any thing but a trunking scanner is just a waste of money. Why you ask. Because all the major services are using trunking nowdays

"J V" <CigarFan01@webtv.net> wrote in message news:24417-3B7F2AE7-220@storefull-624.iap.bryant.webtv.net...

> Im buying a 500 channel bearcat scanner with trunking whatever that is.I
> was wondering if these pick up cordless and cell phone conversations and
> what else can i pick up on this unit. I mean is it worth the 400.00 im
> going to pay for it when i say worth it i mean will i be able to tune in
> some good stuff.any help would be great thanks.
>

3821. Re: Grove CD Frequency Dbase...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 15:24:12 UTC
From: Andy <capt-tripps@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B85203D.FC3F33BA@home.com>
Source record: 60938

Jeff,

Thanks for the FCC site. I was able to find my way to the databases, and they are available for D/L. They are split into several groups, are huge once unzipped, and need Microsoft's Excel to manage. I D/L'ed one file (128MB) but have not yet been able to merge the template file the FCC provides with the corresponding database file. It's a bit cumbersome.

I don't see any simple method of merging the Grove d'base w/the FCC current version other than writing a program to do that, or using Xcel if possible.

I just subscribed to Percon's On-line d'base and they update their system monthly, so this may be moot.

I would like to have a current updated CD available for travel, etc, but this is the best up-to-date solution I have yet seen.

Andy

Jeff Multer wrote:

> >The CD is out of production but I recall reading in MT that the database
> >can be updated by downloading the frequency list from an FCC FTP site.
>
> Andy,
>
> The article was in the February, 1999 MT issue ... the "Computers and Radio"
> column by John Catalano.
>
> The site mentioned was:
> www.fcc.gov/oet/info/database/raeddf.html
>
> John's article implied that one file of about a gigabyte could be downloaded
> periodically to update the database. No other information was included.
>
> Please let us know how you make out with updating the files.
>
> Jeff Multer
> Fort Mill, S.C.

3822. Re: 780xlt slicer tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 15:38:19 UTC
From: Ken Bessler <kg0wx@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B852372.84A258C0@yahoo.com>
Source record: 60939

steve wrote:

> does anyone know how to tap the data slicer on the 780xlt if u do can u
> please email me
>
> thanks
> steve

http://members.accessus.net/~090/awh/bc-780xlt.html#disctap

Good luck!

3823. Will data connection to Kenwood TK-940 work with mdtw1234?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 15:57:37 UTC
From: trimble@sep.com
Message-ID: <626af4aa.0108230757.496624f6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60940

I have a TK-940 that I would like to use with mdtw1234. Can anone advise if a KCT-19 cable equipped TK-940 will work in that capacity? I'd think that the "data" port that the KCT-19 adds would be basically like a data slicer mod for the average scanner, but I'm not able to find much in the way of details on the KCT-19.

On a related note, I'd be interested to hear from anyone who's installed the KCT-19 in a TK-940. The pictures I've seen make it look like the cable exits the rear of the radio through what is now the external speaker connection hole. I think the KCT-19 plugs directly into one or more of the CN1-CN3 headers on the PCB, right?

Thanks for any info!

Todd

3824. Re: WIDEBAND ANTENNA QUESTION -> Wide Band Amp ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 16:56:07 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <HAah7.247$mr3.8921@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 60941

DigitalEbola <digitalebola@iPrimus.com.au> wrote:
> Cool, currently I have a diamond discone.. Where are this company based that
> sell the double discone ? Im an Aussie (Australia)

Nevada makes the double discone and is based in the UK.

Do a Google search on nevada double discone or nevada ant426
to find a dealer that will work out best for you.

> Also is there such a thing as a wideband AMP ?

There is, but they tend to be either noisy, or expensive, or
prone to front-end overload. I don't use them... I prefer
a sensitive receiver that can handle that sort of thing.
For more narrow-band applications, I do use an amp, but
they will often have band-pass filters on them.

Eric
--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dimensional.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

3825. basics of scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 18:11:00 UTC
From: Jason <metty@Karson.com>
Message-ID: <UGbh7.3859$sa.1866022@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 60942

Can someone point me to a site that will teach me the basics of scanning.
The more basic the better because I pretty much know absolutely nothing
about scanners and I want to get started.
Thanks

3826. Help me please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 19:06:07 UTC
From: Brian <bjergens@MailAndNews.com>
Message-ID: <3B90702A@MailAndNews.com>
Source record: 60943

I recently found out that a kid who lives down the street from us has a scanner. We have had minor problems with him before. I use a regular cheap 900 mhz phone. I'm pretty sure it's not spread spectrum or digital. How easy would it be for him to listen on our conversations? I know he could do it if he modified his scanner in a certain way but I'm pretty sure it's not modified. I dont care how he does it, I'm just wondering if it is possible or easy for him to do with a fairly new scanner.

Thanks

Get your FREE web-based e-mail and newsgroup access at:
http://MailAndNews.com

Create a new mailbox, or access your existing IMAP4 or
POP3 mailbox from anywhere with just a web browser.

3827. Re: Help me please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 19:46:08 UTC
From: John <jh.lewis@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <44dh7.22120$Ki1.1780136@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 60944

If his scanner covers the 900 MHz frequencies, and your phone is an analog model, yes he could listen to your conversations (without any modifications to his scanner).

As an example - I have a couple older 900 MHz phones that I use for convenience when I'm not near a corded phone and my scanners pick them perfectly. I only use them to answer the phone, and then move to a corded unit to talk.

My friends smile at my little "eccentricities", but that's okay - I value my privacy.

John

Brian <bjergens@MailAndNews.com> wrote in message news:3B90702A@MailAndNews.com...
> I recently found out that a kid who lives down the street from us has a
> scanner. We have had minor problems with him before. I use a regular cheap
> 900
> mhz phone. I'm pretty sure it's not spread spectrum or digital. How easy
> would
> it be for him to listen on our conversations? I know he could do it if he
> modified his scanner in a certain way but I'm pretty sure it's not
modified.
> I
> dont care how he does it, I'm just wondering if it is possible or easy for
> him
> to do with a fairly new scanner.
>
> Thanks
>
> ----------
> Get your FREE web-based e-mail and newsgroup access at:
> http://MailAndNews.com
>
> Create a new mailbox, or access your existing IMAP4 or
> POP3 mailbox from anywhere with just a web browser.
> ----------
>

3828. Re: Alienate and the hobby suffers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 20:43:05 UTC
From: Barry <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <3B856AD9.222726B2@snet.net>
Source record: 60945

Chuck wrote:
>
> Hi all,
>
> Just wanted to know why even in scanner listening, why people fight? I
> have been there as a novice and couldn't find many answers when I first
> started with scanners. I guess now I would be considered above average in
> my knowledge of scanners, but by no means an expert.

On Usenet, some people act like idiots for they same reason they do while driving or using a ham radio. The idiots believe they are anonymous.

For instance, most people would not cut in front of a line at the grocery store. The same people think nothing of cutting in front of a line of cars, then making a gesture when someone toots at them in protest. People will also attack others online in ways they would never do in person. The same can be said for a ham radio mic.

Barry

3829. Re: basics of scanning?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 20:45:03 UTC
From: Barry <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <3B856B4F.6BDB4224@snet.net>
Source record: 60946

Jason wrote:
>
> Can someone point me to a site that will teach me the basics of scanning.
> The more basic the better because I pretty much know absolutely nothing
> about scanners and I want to get started.
> Thanks

Try http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/starting.htm

Good luck,
Barry

3830. 2-PRO-2006's for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 21:21:05 UTC
From: Waremonger <waremonger@msn.com>
Message-ID: <5teh7.5582$XY4.590104@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 60797

1=a 2006 w/Opto456 Full
1=a 2006 w/CE-232

Both in orig. boxes, no manual or antennas

E-Bay pulled auctions, as these are modified to get the 800's in full

RedShift software w/CE-232

Both are in excellent condition

Make offer(s), Waremonger@msn.com

3831. Re: Help me please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 21:26:53 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9m3tbr$a5uv$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60799

"Brian" <bjergens@MailAndNews.com> wrote in message
news:3B90702A@MailAndNews.com...
> I recently found out that a kid who lives down the street from us has a
> scanner. We have had minor problems with him before. I use a regular cheap
> 900
> mhz phone. I'm pretty sure it's not spread spectrum or digital. How easy
> would
> it be for him to listen on our conversations? I know he could do it if he
> modified his scanner in a certain way but I'm pretty sure it's not
modified.
> I
> dont care how he does it, I'm just wondering if it is possible or easy for
> him
> to do with a fairly new scanner.

If his scanner covers 900 MHZ, then he doesn't need to modify the scanner to listen to your phone. Your best bet is to either get rid of the cordless phone or switch to a digital or spread spectrum phone. These are virtually impossible to monitor without some really expensive high-tech equipment (read that: out of the hands of ordinary consumers).

Or, if you want to have some fun with him, you could try feeding him all sorts of "disinformation." Write up a script for you and a friend, then call him up some time and read it as if it were real. Say over the phone that you've hidden some large amount of money in a garden shed, abandoned wharehouse, etc). Or better yet, say you've moved your old porn mag collection there. When you see the kid taking off for that general direction, call the cops and report a prowler or burglar at that location. Be sure to give the kid's description.

Tom

3832. Re: WTB BC245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 21:27:12 UTC
From: Mitchell Enterprises <sales@kenmitchell.com>
Message-ID: <3B857530.CD85B80A@kenmitchell.com>
Source record: 60798

I sell new Uniden BC245XLT's for $199.00 ea not including tax and
shipping. The scanner comes with a Uniden factory warranty.

Let me know if I can help.

uwish@jol.com wrote:
>
> I am looking to buy a handheld and want the BC245xlt. Can be used, but must
> have accessories that came with the new scanner and be in good condition.
>
> Im not looking to be took by this sale so please be honest and have some way
> to prove yourself.
>
> Thanks and you can mail me @ !!justus@justourlove.com - the !!
>
> TJ

--
Kenneth Allan Mitchell
Mitchell Enterprises
mailto:sales@kenmitchell.com
http://www.kenmitchell.com
(916) 772-1400
(916) 772-1401 (Fax)

3833. Re: RADIO MART

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 21:54:20 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B857B8B.C3F7BEF@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 60800
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry, but reading between the lines would seem to indicate that Dean
was the one trying to shove his private parts up RadioMart's
posterior.

Ralf RF wrote:
>
> RadioMart took care of all his NEEDS by the sound of it. But if you don't
> like cock up the ass give RadioMart a miss. lmfao
> "Dean Kastoris" <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message
> news:3B82F425.117DB32E@home.com...
> > This is a followup to my complaints regarding Radio Mart. After direct
> > e-mail conversation with Martyn at Radio Mart, all issues are currently
> > being resolved. Regardless of what each of us feels about the
> > situation, Martyn has been more than accomodating in this matter and I
> > certainly appreciate it. If I had to do this again, I would have
> > settled this matter as I am in the process of doing,(via direct
> > e-mail)but without using the newsgroups as a weapon. Anyone thinking of
> > buying radio equipment should consider Radio Mart.

3834. Re: Help me please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 21:59:48 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B857CD3.99014126@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 60801

Much easier to simply get a decent phone and forget about the poor
kid. Don't blame the kid for your own inadequacies in being able to
purchase a proper telephone.

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "Brian" <bjergens@MailAndNews.com> wrote in message
> news:3B90702A@MailAndNews.com...
> > I recently found out that a kid who lives down the street from us has a
> > scanner. We have had minor problems with him before. I use a regular cheap
> > 900
> > mhz phone. I'm pretty sure it's not spread spectrum or digital. How easy
> > would
> > it be for him to listen on our conversations? I know he could do it if he
> > modified his scanner in a certain way but I'm pretty sure it's not
> modified.
> > I
> > dont care how he does it, I'm just wondering if it is possible or easy for
> > him
> > to do with a fairly new scanner.
>
> If his scanner covers 900 MHZ, then he doesn't need to modify the scanner to
> listen to your phone. Your best bet is to either get rid of the cordless
> phone or switch to a digital or spread spectrum phone. These are virtually
> impossible to monitor without some really expensive high-tech equipment
> (read that: out of the hands of ordinary consumers).
>
> Or, if you want to have some fun with him, you could try feeding him all
> sorts of "disinformation." Write up a script for you and a friend, then
> call him up some time and read it as if it were real. Say over the phone
> that you've hidden some large amount of money in a garden shed, abandoned
> wharehouse, etc). Or better yet, say you've moved your old porn mag
> collection there. When you see the kid taking off for that general
> direction, call the cops and report a prowler or burglar at that location.
> Be sure to give the kid's description.
>
> Tom

3835. Re: NYC Area Mosquito Spraying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 22:17:23 UTC
From: themik111@aol.comxxx
Message-ID: <20010823181723.06474.00005310@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 60802

>Hi all. Would anyone know which freqs would be good to monitor for the
>mosquito spraying that's going to happen tonight? I'm figuring one of which
>might be 151.205, which is the Parks Police. Any other suggestions? Thanks.

I would suggest.......why bother????????

3836. Re: WTB BC245xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 23:13:10 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <C06690282BC3F027.716CB41127308C5F.83A94E25780FBEB6@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60803

On Tue, 21 Aug 2001 18:22:47 GMT, uwish@jol.com wrote:

>I am looking to buy a handheld and want the BC245xlt. Can be used, but must
>have accessories that came with the new scanner and be in good condition.
>
>Im not looking to be took by this sale so please be honest and have some way
>to prove yourself.
>
>Thanks and you can mail me @ !!justus@justourlove.com - the !!
>
>TJ

I would look at a new one if you want a BC245XLT. They have them
at $189.95 (plus $20.00 S&H) from

http://www.usascan.com/

But, if you look around on Ebay ect., you may do even somewhat
better.

Be advised however, that since the price on this model is now being
discounted, Uniden may be close to releasing a hand held
TrunkTracker III scanner to replace it. (Sort of a portable version of
the BC780XLT.) That would be a much more useful radio given
the newer radio systems out there, and would probably be worth
waiting for.

3837. Re: 2-PRO-2006's for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-23 23:31:57 UTC
From: Waremonger <waremonger@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Nngh7.5896$XY4.614667@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 60804

Send your request with a valid return e-mail address to: waremonger@msn.com,
and I'll send you the registered e-mail for e-Bay.

<myemail@goes.here.com> wrote in message
news:od2botg0fgcgrpje19fpubvd46hl8gbpkt@4ax.com...
> What is your ebay handle so that I can read your feedback
> before I consider buying.
>
> Thx
>
> >1=a 2006 w/Opto456 Full
> >1=a 2006 w/CE-232
> >
> >Both in orig. boxes, no manual or antennas
> >
> >E-Bay pulled auctions, as these are modified to get the 800's in full
> >
> >RedShift software w/CE-232
> >
> >Both are in excellent condition
> >
> >Make offer(s), Waremonger@msn.com
> >
>

3838. Re: RADIO MART

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 00:37:48 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B85A12D.ECA0258B@home.com>
Source record: 60805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

If you could read between the lines you would see as I said that things
are being worked out without anymore slamming on the newsgroup. It
sounds to me like you just like to hear more arguing. I think you sir
need to get some glasses to read between the lines a little better.
Damed if you do and damed if you don't. I would have been better off
not listing a follow-up because there are always people like you who
like to keep stirring the crap. I told Martyn I would do this and that
is what I am doing. If you call that sticking to a person...well I just
don't know what to say.

Walt Bertram wrote:
>
> Sorry, but reading between the lines would seem to indicate that Dean
> was the one trying to shove his private parts up RadioMart's
> posterior.
>
> Ralf RF wrote:
> >
> > RadioMart took care of all his NEEDS by the sound of it. But if you don't
> > like cock up the ass give RadioMart a miss. lmfao
> > "Dean Kastoris" <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message
> > news:3B82F425.117DB32E@home.com...
> > > This is a followup to my complaints regarding Radio Mart. After direct
> > > e-mail conversation with Martyn at Radio Mart, all issues are currently
> > > being resolved. Regardless of what each of us feels about the
> > > situation, Martyn has been more than accomodating in this matter and I
> > > certainly appreciate it. If I had to do this again, I would have
> > > settled this matter as I am in the process of doing,(via direct
> > > e-mail)but without using the newsgroups as a weapon. Anyone thinking of
> > > buying radio equipment should consider Radio Mart.

3839. Re: RADIO MART

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 00:43:36 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010823204336.25225.00000870@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 60807

Dean,

Your "apology" post now appears without sincerity as you used the groups to BLAST this guy as a means to an end, as do most others who do the exact same thing.

You wanted action from Martyn, and rather then taking it up with him privately in an effort to rectify the problem, you came here blasting him. I guess it worked since Martyn has apparently satisified your concerns, and you wish us all to know that everything is now A O K....

Hhhhmmmm
Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3840. Re: 2-PRO-2006's for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 00:49:02 UTC
From: Rocinante <matrixoutsider@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <4s8bot0il1milu2m2ge8j31gv4t3pc73qk@4ax.com>
Source record: 60806

On Thu, 23 Aug 2001 21:21:05 GMT, "Waremonger" <waremonger@msn.com>
wrote:

>1=a 2006 w/Opto456 Full
>1=a 2006 w/CE-232
>
>Both in orig. boxes, no manual or antennas
>
>E-Bay pulled auctions, as these are modified to get the 800's in full
>
>RedShift software w/CE-232
>
>Both are in excellent condition
>
>Make offer(s), Waremonger@msn.com
>

You should have used code words for "modified to receive cellular".
Like: "Yes, it does" or, "email me for specs".

Your auction wouldn't have been pulled.
There are several people selling them on ebay right now with code
words.

3841. Re: need help with Programming an ICOM R1 to scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 00:58:50 UTC
From: Bruce Cox <peacox@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <3B85A6CA.FAA4CBE9@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 60808

Just read your post. Did you get any help with this? I have an R-1 and the original manual. I will be glad to mail it to you for you to photocopy if you need it. Also there is a small pocket-card that explains most functions of the radio. Let me know how I can help. I would like the manual back when you are through. Pat, K4AVO

PVILLE666 wrote:

> Can someone tell me the keypad functions, or combo's, to set this litlle rig
> up?E-Mail me , at RPierre133@robertkpierre.com with info...thanks (-:

3842. Re: Scanner Laws Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 01:34:31 UTC
From: John Medica -System administrator <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3B85AEFB.DB5A8504@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 60809

You have sick & twisted mind.
Leave it at that :)

> They will lock you up after they shove your whip up your ass. lmfao
> "John Medica -System administrator" <medica@cyberspace.org> wrote in message
> news:3B84BB83.DF4196B3@cyberspace.org...
> > Dev wrote:
> >
> > > all I can say is from BC to Saskatchewan, Even the border crossings
> never
> > > ask why I have my scanners and ham radio's on the dash. Strange. but I
> think
> > > manitoba is one that has strange restrictions on things. Doesn't Quebec
> have
> > > weird restrictions too ?
> >
> > Toronto/Quebec No.
> >
> > Regards
> > John Medica - Listening-Post
> > Moderator Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
> > http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg
> >
> >
> >
> >

3843. Re: RADIO MART

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 01:37:03 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B85AFBE.747C851D@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 60810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Sorry Dean, I was responding to Ralf RF, who was again slamming
RadioMart. I truly did not mean to impugn you in any way.

Walt

Dean Kastoris wrote:
>
> If you could read between the lines you would see as I said that things
> are being worked out without anymore slamming on the newsgroup. It
> sounds to me like you just like to hear more arguing. I think you sir
> need to get some glasses to read between the lines a little better.
> Damed if you do and damed if you don't. I would have been better off
> not listing a follow-up because there are always people like you who
> like to keep stirring the crap. I told Martyn I would do this and that
> is what I am doing. If you call that sticking to a person...well I just
> don't know what to say.
>
> Walt Bertram wrote:
> >
> > Sorry, but reading between the lines would seem to indicate that Dean
> > was the one trying to shove his private parts up RadioMart's
> > posterior.
> >
> > Ralf RF wrote:
> > >
> > > RadioMart took care of all his NEEDS by the sound of it. But if you don't
> > > like cock up the ass give RadioMart a miss. lmfao
> > > "Dean Kastoris" <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3B82F425.117DB32E@home.com...
> > > > This is a followup to my complaints regarding Radio Mart. After direct
> > > > e-mail conversation with Martyn at Radio Mart, all issues are currently
> > > > being resolved. Regardless of what each of us feels about the
> > > > situation, Martyn has been more than accomodating in this matter and I
> > > > certainly appreciate it. If I had to do this again, I would have
> > > > settled this matter as I am in the process of doing,(via direct
> > > > e-mail)but without using the newsgroups as a weapon. Anyone thinking of
> > > > buying radio equipment should consider Radio Mart.

3844. Join OUFG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 02:03:30 UTC
From: John Medica -System administrator <medica@cyberspace.org>
Message-ID: <3B85B5C5.CEC1814@cyberspace.org>
Source record: 60811

The Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide is dedicated to scanner radio hobbyists. On Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide you will find lists of Ontario radio frequencies that are not listed on any other web page, published in any scanner book I.e: (Haruteq or Scantrix) or listed in the government frequency list (Industy Canada's TAFL).

The Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide mailing list (oufg) is for scanner radio hobbyists so they have a public forum to be able to chit chat with

each other about anything relating to the radio scanner hobby in Ontario

as well you will be able to recieve updates of what changed I made to the OntarioUnlisted Frequency Guide web page.

Home Page: Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
http://www.interlog.com/~mstone

Yahoo Groups: Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
http://www.yahoogroups.com/oufg

John Medica
System Administrator
Listening-Post - Monitoring Station
Toronto Ontario Canada Lat=43.6807 Long=-79.4469

3845. Re: RADIO MART

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 02:09:37 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B85B6B3.C20C32AF@home.com>
Source record: 60812

Daniel,
You need to understand what sincerity means. If I wasn't sincere I
would not have listed a follow-up no matter what. And it didn't take
you to preach that I blasted this guy on the newsgroup.....I beleive I
was man enough to state it would have been better not to do that. So
please, go preach to someone who wants to listen to you.

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>
> Dean,
>
> Your "apology" post now appears without sincerity as you used the groups to
> BLAST this guy as a means to an end, as do most others who do the exact same
> thing.
>
> You wanted action from Martyn, and rather then taking it up with him privately
> in an effort to rectify the problem, you came here blasting him. I guess it
> worked since Martyn has apparently satisified your concerns, and you wish us
> all to know that everything is now A O K....
>
> Hhhhmmmm
> Dan
>
> "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3846. Minnesota State Fair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 02:32:50 UTC
From: Greg Renner <grenner@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <N1jh7.981$Xx3.731049@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 60813

Anyone in the Twin Cities area from now through Labor Day, the frequency for the Minnesota State Fair is

153.965

usually some fairly entertaining listening. The State Fair Police are self dispatched.

GR

3847. Re: Help me please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 02:52:46 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.common
Message-ID: <20010823225246.20772.00002963@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 60815

In article <3B857CD3.99014126@worldnet.att.net>, Walt Bertram
<wbertram@worldnet.att.net> writes:

>Much easier to simply get a decent phone and forget about the poor
>kid. Don't blame the kid for your own inadequacies in being able to
>purchase a proper telephone.

This comment was totally uncalled for.

Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

3848. Re: CELEBRITY NEWS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 02:52:47 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.common
Message-ID: <20010823225247.20772.00002964@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 60814

In article <WU7h7.5774$co.253218@nnrp5-w.sbc.net>, mbistd@yahoo.com writes:

>I found this great site today, has loads of fun stuff on celeberities !

Yeah, sure you did.

And this has WHAT to do with scanners?

Paul.

Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

3849. Re: CC Radio .....???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 02:52:47 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.common
Message-ID: <20010823225247.20772.00002965@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 60816

In article <CP6h7.21$of.13615@monger.newsread.com>, "Ryan KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@tm.net> writes:

>By that I meant that does which one goes to ground or chassis.....
>polarity in that regard.

Well, yes, but that is what I would like to know, also. Because whenever I
plug in an external antenna, the incoming signal is ALWAYS attenuated.

I wonder if it has to do with impedance more than with polarity?

Paul.

Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

3850. Re: Alienate and the hobby suffers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 02:52:48 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.common
Message-ID: <20010823225248.20772.00002966@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 60817

In article <CX8h7.1$%e5.12119@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>, "Fart Eddie"
<morton@whoville.com> writes:

>Your wanting people to get along is admirable and all, but not realistic.
>People disagree because that's what people do.

The "people disagreeing" part is not what I object to. As you say, people will
disagree, and there is nothing wrong with a healthy debate.

It is when people resort to hurling insults and obscenities at other people
that the problem gets worse.

Remember the Golden Rule. Think about how you (everyone plural) treat others.
Would you like others to treat you the way you treat them?

Paul, N0JAA

Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

3851. Re: Frequency Counter?? do they work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 02:52:50 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.common
Message-ID: <20010823225250.20772.00002967@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 60818

In article <DP6h7.22$of.13512@monger.newsread.com>, "Ryan KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@tm.net> writes:

>Not necessarily..... if it is a signal that is constant, a person can take a
>measurement at three separate locations and do the math then. I almost
>would trust that even more because at least there would be a constant in the
>detection device. Three diferent people with three different recievers may
>not have uniform equipment. Obviously if the signal is shorter lived or
>intermittent you most likely need three people.
>

I think this is usually the case most of the time. You have intermittent
signals, usually mobile, so you would need three people. It is true, though,
that one person could self-triangulate a constant, non-moving signal, provided
that signal is close by and not subject to propagation.

We have problems here in Houston with "squirrels" throwing dead carriers and/or
hurling obscenities. Using triangulation, however, and with several mobile DF
stations, two of them were caught and turned over to the FCC.

Paul, N0JAA

Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

3852. Yaesu 120

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 03:03:12 UTC
From: jimtown54@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <61fd296.0108231903.3c89abc0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60819

Hi, anybody have any Yaesu 120 scanners sites that they would like to share with me? Thank's, JT

3853. Re: Minnesota State Fair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 04:00:03 UTC
From: NadaTech <GoSpamSomeonelse@OK.com>
Message-ID: <Abkh7.528$tV6.16702@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 60820

There is a fair going on across the street from my house right now. It is interesting to tune in the family 2-way radio conversations. This is pretty amusing to hear guys trying to pick up girls who have similar radios, parents yelling at their children, and other humerous conversations.

Family Two Way Radio
The FCC has created a new Citizens Band service in the 460 MHz band. It's called the Family Radio Service (FRS), and is designed to be an unlicensed,"Very Short Distance Two-way Voice Radio Service." The FRS channel frequencies are:

462.5625 ,462.5875 , 462.6125 , 462.6375 , 462.6625 , 462.6875 , 462.7125 ,
467.5625 , 467.5875 , 67.6125 , 467.6375 , 467.6625 , 467.6875 , 467.7125

You might also try scanning the business bands for the Fairgrounds officials as well.

Please use these responsibly and legally.

Thanks to ScannerNet http://www.crosswinds.net/~scannernet/freqs.html for these frequencies, and more.

"Greg Renner" <grenner@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:N1jh7.981$Xx3.731049@news.uswest.net...

> Anyone in the Twin Cities area from now through Labor Day, the frequency
for
> the Minnesota State Fair is
>
> 153.965
>
> usually some fairly entertaining listening. The State Fair Police are
self
> dispatched.
>
> GR
>
>

3854. Cable TV as an antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 04:17:03 UTC
From: NadaTech <GoSpamSomeonelse@OK.com>
Message-ID: <wrkh7.535$tV6.16927@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 60821

I read on a website that you can obtain the proper connectors and connect your CABLE TV to your scanner and tune freqs. Is this so? Cable TV has a voltage. Wouldn't this damage your scanner?

3855. Re: Help me please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 04:36:13 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9m4lhk$9gtm$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60822

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3B857CD3.99014126@worldnet.att.net...
> Much easier to simply get a decent phone and forget about the poor
> kid. Don't blame the kid for your own inadequacies in being able to
> purchase a proper telephone.
>

People who are willing to act on phone conversations they overhear on a
scanner get what they deserve.

Tom

3856. SBE Optiscan Assist Program

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 04:43:42 UTC
From: Gary Jacek <auntie-spam@home.com>
Message-ID: <11423317ROBOTLX@24.2.10.79>
Source record: 60823

A program to assist in preparing programming cards
for the SBE Optiscan is now available for download
at:

http://members.home.net/gary-jacek/Optiscan.html

3857. Re: Cable TV as an antenna?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 05:09:00 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <selh7.1$z35.597@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 60824

More to the point, there is nothing on a cable that the cable company didn't deliberately put there. So, unless you want to attempt to tune in the various TV, cable, or radio stations, you are out of luck.

Mark

"NadaTech" <GoSpamSomeonelse@OK.com> wrote in message news:wrkh7.535$tV6.16927@eagle.america.net...
> I read on a website that you can obtain the proper connectors and connect
> your CABLE TV to your scanner and tune freqs. Is this so? Cable TV has a
> voltage. Wouldn't this damage your scanner?
>
>
>

3858. Re: Beginner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 06:04:41 UTC
From: Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15efb929398548ad989693@news.tol.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 60825

Why is it that everyone seems to think you need a trunking scanner to listen to a trunked system? You can listen to it without a trunking scanner it's just that you cant follow it all the way it should be. If your company is on a trunked system I doubt that there is that much traffic and you would be just fine. I followed our 800mhz trunked police and fire for over a year before I got a trunking scanner and I didnt miss much. Now if I was in a bigger town then I agree that it would be next to impossible to follow.

In article <9m34v2$436$1@merki.connect.com.au>, ralf_rf@hotmail.com says...
> Apart from all that has been said make sure that your work is not using a
> trunked system cause if they are the only scanner worth getting is a trunk
> scanner such as Uniden 780xlt, if they trunk and your scanner dosn't then
> forget it.
> "Jason" <metty@Karson.com> wrote in message
> news:utyg7.24946$P15.13751731@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com...
> > I have always had an interest in scanners and I aim to get one soon to
> play
> > around with. I have one question about them. I live about 3 miles away
> from
> > my work and we use those big bulky motorola 2 way radios to communicate
> > with. I know we have at least one amp (or whatever it is called) mounted
> in
> > the middle of the building to make them clearer. My question is would a
> > typical scanner be able to pick them up from my home? What type of scanner
> > would I need? Their isn't any private information on these radios so I'm
> > sure my work wouldn't mind it.(actually my boss was interesting in the
> idea
> > and challenged me to do it)
> > Thanks for any help
> >
> >
>
>
>

--
==========
Dwayne

Saw it!
Wanted it!
Threw a fit!
Got it!

3859. Re: FS Alinco DX-70 TH 100 watts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 06:11:21 UTC
From: Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.15efbab9a5295805989694@news.tol.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 60826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Ralf why dont you just go away? You are no help to anyone here with all
your bitching and moning.

In article <9m2b30$9ps$1@merki.connect.com.au>, ralf_rf@hotmail.com
says...
> This is alt radio.scanner, dick head!
> "Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:eHig7.106$ZT.155891@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> >
> > Have for sale one Alinco DX-70 TH for $450.00.Never mobile.Email me if
> > interested.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

--
==========
Dwayne

Saw it!
Wanted it!
Threw a fit!
Got it!

3860. Re: RADIO MART

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 06:14:08 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824021408.00865.00006216@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 60827

>You need to understand what sincerity means

If your motive was sincerely to settle your problem with Martyn, it would have been done privately. It was not, so any follow up attempt at an apology is NOT sincere at all. I know what it means, Dean.

>So please, go preach to someone who wants to listen to you.<

One man's preach is another man's disagreement. Let's just agree to disagree, OK?

Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3861. New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 07:46:49 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Message-ID: <JDnh7.82924$A47.39128376@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 60828

I have a new in box never used PRO-2006 for sale. Best bid over $500 takes it.

3862. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 08:00:01 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9m51jt$b4t$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 60829

500? Is that Yen or Canadian dollars :-)

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
news:JDnh7.82924$A47.39128376@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
} I have a new in box never used PRO-2006 for sale. Best bid over $500 takes
} it.
}
}
}
}

3863. Re: RADIO MART

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 12:04:53 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B864232.2F724472@home.com>
Source record: 60830

Daniel,
You do not deserve a response.

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>
> >You need to understand what sincerity means
>
> If your motive was sincerely to settle your problem with Martyn, it would have
> been done privately. It was not, so any follow up attempt at an apology is NOT
> sincere at all. I know what it means, Dean.
>
> >So please, go preach to someone who wants to listen to you.<
>
> One man's preach is another man's disagreement. Let's just agree to disagree,
> OK?
>
> Dan
>
> "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3864. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 12:21:19 UTC
From: Bill Seward <seward@northstate.net>
Message-ID: <8lhcotcmqb5clqa2vvkv1avggi59kkuiq4@4ax.com>
Source record: 60831

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com>, hoping against hope for wisdom
from USENET, wrote:

>I have a new in box never used PRO-2006 for sale. Best bid over $500 takes
>it.

I'm proud of my 2006 too, but not that proud!

Bill Seward
http://www.geocities.com/bill_seward

3865. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 12:25:12 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <morton@whoville.com>
Message-ID: <IIrh7.425$%e5.553880@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 60832

Put it on eBay. You might find a fool you can rip off over there.

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
news:JDnh7.82924$A47.39128376@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> I have a new in box never used PRO-2006 for sale. Best bid over $500 takes
> it.
>
>
>
>

3866. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 12:29:54 UTC
From: Ralf RF <ralf_rf@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9m5hdr$h3r$1@merki.connect.com.au>
Source record: 60833

500 bucks, what a RIPP OFF
"Fart Eddie" <morton@whoville.com> wrote in message
news:IIrh7.425$%e5.553880@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> Put it on eBay. You might find a fool you can rip off over there.
>
> "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> news:JDnh7.82924$A47.39128376@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > I have a new in box never used PRO-2006 for sale. Best bid over $500
takes
> > it.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3867. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 12:54:32 UTC
From: Jeff <nospam4me@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <9m5iq8$f7ok$1@ID-82690.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60834

Doubtful. Even eBay buyers are a little more savvy than that...

"Fart Eddie" <morton@whoville.com> wrote in message
news:IIrh7.425$%e5.553880@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
} Put it on eBay. You might find a fool you can rip off over there.
}
} "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
} news:JDnh7.82924$A47.39128376@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
} > I have a new in box never used PRO-2006 for sale. Best bid over $500 takes
} > it.
} >
} >
} >
} >
}
}

3868. Home made Low noise broadband antenna amplifier with GaAS FET ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 13:30:39 UTC
From: marcje01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ca10ea14.0108240530.6a7d1cef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

Hi all,

I was wondering if anyone ever built him-/herself a low noise
broadband antenna pre-amplifier with GaAs FETs.
I think it must be possible to build one. Main usage for me
would be as antenna amplifier for my discone antenna.
The reason for a GaAs FET is the low noise figure.

As a example SSB in Germany makes one from 50 - 3000 Mhz
with a gain of 13dB and a noise figure of only 1.8 dB!
See e.g.:
http://www.thiecom.de/lna3000.htm (in German)
The price is DM300,- (approx. $ 140,-)

I am wondering what type of GaAs FET they use....

Does anybody have some plans online or know of some application
notes online?

Thanks in advance,
/Marc

3869. Re: RADIO MART

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 14:40:41 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824104041.18827.00009139@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 60836

>Daniel,
>You do not deserve a response.

Smartest thing you've said in this entire thread.
Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3870. Eastern States Exposition (Big E) frequncies wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 14:44:19 UTC
From: goophy@webtv.net
Message-ID: <11921-3B866843-7@storefull-282.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 60837

West Springfield Massachusetts expo freqs ... are there any of interest or worthwhile listening to ? event takes place in early September every year.

3871. Re: NYC Area Mosquito Spraying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 15:15:31 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tod1jgbs0tj32d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60845

You have that many mosquitoes that they have to spray the entire city??
Sounds pretty ridiculous to me...

"TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message
news:Cowd7.5687$l7.2290570@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Hi all. Would anyone know which freqs would be good to monitor for the
> mosquito spraying that's going to happen tonight? I'm figuring one of
which
> might be 151.205, which is the Parks Police. Any other suggestions?
Thanks.
>
>

3872. Re: BC780 software (some answers)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 16:06:59 UTC
From: RaZ0r! <extreme_gamerSPAM@NOThotmail.com>
Message-ID: <%Ruh7.521926$bH4.6563292@e420r-chi2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 60838

What "sucks" about it, and what does TrunkStar780 do better or do that the
other does not?

--
RaZ0r!

"jim" <jimmywester@home.com> wrote in message
news:3b7f4538.8407536@news.alntn1.tx.home.com...
> this software is ok if u have nothing else i have but it kinda sucks
> check out this link i like this stuff i think i will order it looks
> good....http://www.scanstar.com/trunkstar780.htm
>
> On Thu, 16 Aug 2001 23:43:53 GMT, scosgt@worldnet.att.net (Bruce
> Markowitz) wrote:
>
> >Here is a response I got from the author:
> >The 780xlt software is not CTCSS capable, so few people use the
> >feature that it was not included.
> >The software is only on CD. It is written in visual basic I have
> >not tried using larger fonts but it is designed for the default sizes.
> >
> >I use CTCSS, here in NY it is a very good feature, due to all kinds of
> >interference and other stations breaking squelch.
> >Too bad, was gonna order it.
> >
> >On Mon, 13 Aug 2001 15:34:55 GMT, Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net> wrote:
> >
> >>Has anyone tried this software for the BC780 http://www.bc780xlt.net/
> >>--
> >>==============
> >>Dwayne
> >>--------------
> >>Saw it!
> >>Wanted it!
> >>Threw a fit!
> >>Got it!
> >
>
>

3873. Re: BC780 software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 16:07:20 UTC
From: RaZ0r! <extreme_gamerSPAM@NOThotmail.com>
Message-ID: <kSuh7.521934$bH4.6563367@e420r-chi2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 60839

What "sucks" about it?

--
RaZ0r!

"jim" <jimmywester@home.com> wrote in message
news:3b7f465a.8696788@news.alntn1.tx.home.com...
> check out ebay there so one selling it there....i have a copy it kinda
> sucks better than nothing....
>
> On Mon, 13 Aug 2001 15:34:55 GMT, Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net> wrote:
>
> >Has anyone tried this software for the BC780 http://www.bc780xlt.net/
> >--
> >==========
> >Dwayne
> >----------
> >Saw it!
> >Wanted it!
> >Threw a fit!
> >Got it!
>
>

3874. Re: Bearcat 780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 16:09:02 UTC
From: RaZ0r! <extreme_gamerSPAM@NOThotmail.com>
Message-ID: <_Tuh7.521959$bH4.6563211@e420r-chi2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 60840

What do you like about Scan*Star as opposed to WinScan780 and TrunkScan780?

--
RaZ0r!

"Topp Dogg" <toppdogg@askfirst.com> wrote in message
news:to36j52o32d992@corp.supernews.com...
> From my experience it is a great reciever. I would recommend the
Scan*Star
> 780 software if you are going to run it from a pc.
>
> Leigh...
>
> www.nwscanners.com
>
> Ken McKenzie <donot@forget.your.towel> wrote in message
> news:3b815d64@news1...
> > I'm thinking of buying one of these and would appreciate an opinions on
> the
> > set. I'm looking to use it Edacs trunked systems.
> >
> >
>
>
>

3875. Re: RADIO MART

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 16:10:34 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B867BC9.1982AD26@home.com>
Source record: 60841

Don't be a keyboard hero. It's liable to get you in trouble little boy.

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>
> >Daniel,
> >You do not deserve a response.
>
> Smartest thing you've said in this entire thread.
> Dan
>
> "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3876. Re: Bearcat 780xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 16:14:00 UTC
From: RaZ0r! <extreme_gamerSPAM@NOThotmail.com>
Message-ID: <8%uh7.127211$ml5.2142427@e420r-chi1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 60842

What do you like about TrunkStar780 as opposed to WinScan780?

(I know I've posted a simular question in other threads, but no one has offered any real reasons whatsoever why any other software package is better the WinScan780 other then to say it "sucks". I am very happy with it, but I am very curious why people seem to dislike WinScan780. I have looked at the other packages, but saw no advantages to them. This is why I ask.)

--
RaZ0r!

"Ender" <SBender@home.com> wrote in message
news:5q38ot4030gcpk8jlshv8iq6n7h55c7ds6@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 20 Aug 2001 15:05:09 -0400, "Ken McKenzie"
> <donot@forget.your.towel> wrote:
>
> >I'm thinking of buying one of these and would appreciate an opinions on
the
> >set. I'm looking to use it Edacs trunked systems.
> >
> It is a great receiver. I use it for all my conventional scanning as
> well as for listening to a motorola trunked system and an EDACS
> trunked system. It handles its tasks flawlessly. As a previous
> poster indicated, the learning curve is significant, but the payoffs
> are great. It's my first scanner with built-in ctcss and dpl
> capability and that alone makes conventional scanning far more
> pleasant. It is an extremely capable aircraft monitoring receiver.
>
> I have used WinScan (didn't like it), Andy's Free Program (worked
> fine for database management with excel), ARC780 (excellent database
> management software) and TrunkStar780. I have settled on TrunkStar780
> for all my needs with the BC780XLT. It does everything it says it
> will. It has a nice control interface that is intuitive and it
> handles frequencies, trunking talkgroups, and alpha tag management
> with ease.
>
> The only knock on this receiver in my opinion is the somewhat
> restricted internal audio. An external speaker is necessary to get
> comfortable room-filling audio and easily improves that situation
> greatly.
>
> I am enjoying this scanner greatly, I don't think you will be
> disappointed.
>
> Ender

>
>
> Remove the SB from my return email to reply by mail.
>

3877. Re: RADIO MART

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 16:48:43 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824124843.18783.00008346@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 60843

>Don't be a keyboard hero. It's liable to get you in trouble little boy

LMFAO
Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3878. Re: Help me please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 17:21:44 UTC
From: Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com>
Message-ID: <d43dotkeau5danrec368323g165fgh257i@4ax.com>
Source record: 60846

Me wrote:

>In article <3B857CD3.99014126@worldnet.att.net>, Walt Bertram
><wbertram@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>
>>Much easier to simply get a decent phone and forget about the poor
>>kid. Don't blame the kid for your own inadequacies in being able to
>>purchase a proper telephone.
>
>This comment was totally uncalled for.
>
>
>Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

What was wrong with what Walt said? The only thing he alluded to, as far as I'm concerned, is that if one is that worried about a neighborhood kid listening to them on his scanner, then maybe they shouldn't be using a cordless phone (at least analog) in the first place.

I can interpret your remark in just about the same way I interpretted Walt's remark. Your's could be thought of as "Give the young scanner nut his liberty to listen to whatever he wants to, then give yourself the liberty of using a real phone and not just a radio that thinks it's a phone."

Jughead

3879. Re: RADIO MART

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 17:31:12 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B868EAF.6D729FB2@home.com>
Source record: 60847

Tell me Daniel, I really am not into games so why don't you act like a man and tell me exactly what those 5 letters stand for. I am sure everyone in the news group would also like to know.

"Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
>
> >Don't be a keyboard hero. It's liable to get you in trouble little boy
>
> LMFAO
> Dan
>
> "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3880. Re: RADIO MART

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 17:33:58 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tod4453djmeb30@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60849

Anyone who has been around for a while knows they represent:

Laugh[ing] My Fucking Ass Off.....

Hope that helps you out...

"Dean Kastoris" <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message
news:3B868EAF.6D729FB2@home.com...
> Tell me Daniel, I really am not into games so why don't you act like a
> man and tell me exactly what those 5 letters stand for. I am sure
> everyone in the news group would also like to know.
>
> "Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
> >
> > >Don't be a keyboard hero. It's liable to get you in trouble little boy
> >
> > LMFAO
> > Dan
> >
> > "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3881. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 17:43:13 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <E00B8A59F1B33328.99557248D33D5628.231BC3E61DDC36A6@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60850

On Fri, 24 Aug 2001 07:46:49 GMT, "Jim Wayda"
<jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote:

>I have a new in box never used PRO-2006 for sale. Best bid over $500 takes
>it.
>

Yea, and at that price it better come with a really hot
blonde to "unwrap" it for you.

3882. Re: NYC Area Mosquito Spraying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 17:58:01 UTC
From: NadaTech <GoSpamSomeonelse@OK.com>
Message-ID: <etwh7.698$tV6.20969@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 60851

The problem is this IS a bad mosquito year, but for the last 2-3 years the mosquitos have been infected with West nile virus, a potentially fatal infection that has killed several people. The mosquitos bite birds and, horses and people, and can killl them, especially horses. Unfortulately the 'experts' say the disease is here to stay. there is no cure and no vaccine that I am aware of. All problems of loose imigration and imports.

This has nothing to do with scanners, but I was just answering a question.

Here's some freqs for ya':

151.145 Forestry Conservation
151.160 Forestry Conservation
151.175 Forestry Conservation
151.190 Forestry Conservation
151.205 Forestry Conservation
151.220 Forestry Conservation
151.235 Forestry Conservation
151.250 Forestry Conservation
151.265 Forestry Conservation
151.280 Forestry Conservation
151.295 Forestry Conservation
151.310 Forestry Conservation
151.325 Forestry Conservation
151.340 Forestry Conservation
151.355 Forestry Conservation
151.370 Forestry Conservation
151.385 Forestry Conservation
151.400 Forestry Conservation
151.415 Forestry Conservation
151.430 Forestry Conservation
151.445 Forestry Conservation
151.460 Forestry Conservation
151.475 Forestry Conservation

"Power House" <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET> wrote in message
news:tod1jgbs0tj32d@corp.supernews.com...
> You have that many mosquitoes that they have to spray the entire city??
> Sounds pretty ridiculous to me...
>
> "TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:Cowd7.5687$l7.2290570@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> > Hi all. Would anyone know which freqs would be good to monitor for the
> > mosquito spraying that's going to happen tonight? I'm figuring one of
> which

> > might be 151.205, which is the Parks Police. Any other suggestions?
> Thanks.
> >
> >
>
>

3883. Quetion Re. Cellular Reception Using A Mechanical UHF-TV Tuner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 17:58:22 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <57289BBA5DE5BC7C.29A957F0118B6408.4F2D7585C5A454F2@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60852

First, sorry that this is not directly scanner related. (Also of course I would ever listen to cellular conversations, but I must ask the following.)

Some years back a guy (think he was a HAM) said he had constructed a cellular reliever using the old mechanical UHF tuner from a TV set. I think he said the tuner ran on 12-14 volts and outputed it's IF frequency at around 50 MHz (which could have been fed to a scanner).

This design was self contained and built in a small box with a stubby antenna. The idea was that if he saw someone talking on a cell phone, he could tune them in and listen through an earphone.

I believe the design was once published on a hobby magazine.

Does anyone have any information, schematics, etc.?

Thanks

3884. Re: Help me please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 18:15:45 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <8C34B68356DDEE83.41FE2AD801BCB90C.E71896C59F049C7E@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60853

On Fri, 24 Aug 2001 17:21:44 GMT, Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com> wrote:

>Me wrote:
>
>>In article <3B857CD3.99014126@worldnet.att.net>, Walt Bertram
>><wbertram@worldnet.att.net> writes:
>>
>>>Much easier to simply get a decent phone and forget about the poor
>>>kid. Don't blame the kid for your own inadequacies in being able to
>>>purchase a proper telephone.
>>
>>This comment was totally uncalled for.
>>
>>
>>Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.
>
>What was wrong with what Walt said?

[snip]

He said "Don't blame the kid for your own inadequacies...".
That was unkind, rude, and uncalled for. The poster just wanted
some simple advice and this guy insults them personally.

3885. Newbie - Will cellular phone tower be a problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 18:20:28 UTC
From: atari1980@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1ad5723c.0108241020.2920e949@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60854

Hi,

I'm interested in purchasing a handheld scanner (IC-R2), but there is a cellular phone tower that is less than a mile from my house. What type of interference should I expect from this tower? Is it worth buying the scanner or will I be unable to receive any signals?

I'm very new to scanning, so any suggestions/advice would be greatly appreciated.

Thanks in advance,
at

3886. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 18:29:51 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <z2xh7.909$mY3.1100141@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 60855

I won't sell you mine for less than $859.96.(Never Used or looked at)
"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
news:JDnh7.82924$A47.39128376@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> I have a new in box never used PRO-2006 for sale. Best bid over $500 takes
> it.
>
>
>
>

3887. Re: RADIO MART

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 18:38:28 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B869E73.BCA6238E@home.com>
Source record: 60856

Been around where?

Power House wrote:
>
> Anyone who has been around for a while knows they represent:
>
> Laugh[ing] My Fucking Ass Off.....
>
> Hope that helps you out...
>
> "Dean Kastoris" <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message
> news:3B868EAF.6D729FB2@home.com...
> > Tell me Daniel, I really am not into games so why don't you act like a
> > man and tell me exactly what those 5 letters stand for. I am sure
> > everyone in the news group would also like to know.
> >
> > "Daniel M. Conti" wrote:
> > >
> > > >Don't be a keyboard hero. It's liable to get you in trouble little boy
> > >
> > > LMFAO
> > > Dan
> > >
> > > "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3888. Scanner Transceiver's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 19:01:34 UTC
From: cptdube@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824150134.09094.00001335@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 60857

Does any one know how to turn any type of radio scanner into a fully Scanner Transceiver?

3889. Re: Minnesota State Fair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 19:06:29 UTC
From: webmaster@scanatlanta.com
Message-ID: <3b86a57d.344358415@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 60858

Don't forget to scan all the itinerate channels such as 154.57, 154.60
and all the others. That is where the carnies hang out.

/\/\|k.e

webmaster@scanatlanta.com
www.ScanAtlanta.com

On Thu, 23 Aug 2001 21:32:50 -0500, "Greg Renner" <grenner@qwest.net>
wrote:

>Anyone in the Twin Cities area from now through Labor Day, the frequency for
>the Minnesota State Fair is
>
>153.965
>
>usually some fairly entertaining listening. The State Fair Police are self
>dispatched.
>
>GR
>
>

3890. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 19:13:33 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tod9qo6935bf04@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60859

How doya know it's still there?

Dick <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:z2xh7.909$mY3.1100141@news1.news.adelphia.net...
> I won't sell you mine for less than $859.96.(Never Used or looked at)
> "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> news:JDnh7.82924$A47.39128376@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > I have a new in box never used PRO-2006 for sale. Best bid over $500
takes
> > it.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3891. Re: Newbie - Will cellular phone tower be a problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 19:22:15 UTC
From: NadaTech <GoSpamSomeonelse@OK.com>
Message-ID: <dIxh7.706$tV6.21715@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 60860

I have a cellular tower across the street from me and experience no adverse effects from it that I am aware of. Iam able to hear everything from kids walkie-talkies to police from the surrounding counties with no problem, and I only have a 'rubber duckie' antenna. My computer does cause some static, though, so I have to place the scanner in wierd places and positions.

"tl" <atari1980@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:1ad5723c.0108241020.2920e949@posting.google.com...
> Hi,
>
> I'm interested in purchasing a handheld scanner (IC-R2), but there is
> a cellular phone tower that is less than a mile from my house. What
> type of interference should I expect from this tower? Is it worth
> buying the scanner or will I be unable to receive any signals?
>
> I'm very new to scanning, so any suggestions/advice would be greatly
> appreciated.
>
> Thanks in advance,
> at

3892. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 19:28:57 UTC
From: Timothy John <TomothyJohn@AOL.com>
Message-ID: <todap96hr16h67@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60861

ARE YOU CRAZY??

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
news:JDnh7.82924$A47.39128376@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> I have a new in box never used PRO-2006 for sale. Best bid over $500 takes
> it.
>
>
>
>

3893. Re: frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 19:30:28 UTC
From: Timothy John <TomothyJohn@AOL.com>
Message-ID: <todas58k6jbjb2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60862

It wasn't built to receive these frequencies. Look on the scanner
somewhere, it should give you the frequency range it receives.

t
"David" <davidem@atlantic.net> wrote in message
news:lQvh7.6$B5.6705@news1.atlantic.net...
> Hello, my name is David and I am new to the group. I have a Uniden
> Bearcat BC45XLT. Just a little scanner (in price) that is functional for
me
> as a novice. I do have a question concerning finding certain frequencies.
> I can scan from 29.0000MHz to 54.0000MHz but I can not seem to scan above
> that to 100.3000 or so..... the "commercial" airline frequencies and such.
> Also I can not seem to scan the frequencies around 200.0000MHz. I can
> program in for it to scan from 29.0000MHz to 512.0000MHZ but it leaves
out
> the above mentioned areas. Is there a reason for this? I have much to
> learn and maybe this is a very simple question. I greatly appreciate ANY
> help that could be offered. Thank You in advance, regards......David
>
>
>
>

3894. Re: Scanner Transceiver's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 19:41:36 UTC
From: "Volker Tonn [odo]" <screwdriver@mailberlin.net>
Message-ID: <3B86ADF0.BA449132@mailberlin.net>
Source record: 60863

CptDube schrieb:

> Does any one know how to turn any type of radio scanner into a fully Scanner
> Transceiver?

Just another Troll?
There is -true but simple- NO chance.

We should set up an autoresponder sending this fact to everybody subscribing to
a radio-scanner newsgroup first time :-)

For sure there are DC to daylight TRXs with military standard on the market. But
these won't be modified scanners at all :-)
And for sure they won't find place in a pocket. You will need a big 19"rack for
the moduled equipment and at least a few different antennas to cover this range
with some efficiency.

odo

3895. Re: Newbie - Will cellular phone tower be a problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 19:45:03 UTC
From: Ken Steinhoff <ksteinhoff@pbpost.com>
Message-ID: <vgbdot89iad0p01sr1kig1j903f1pe58j5@4ax.com>
Source record: 60864

On 24 Aug 2001 11:20:28 -0700, atari1980@hotmail.com (tl) wrote:

>Hi,
>
>I'm interested in purchasing a handheld scanner (IC-R2), but there is
>a cellular phone tower that is less than a mile from my house. What
>type of interference should I expect from this tower? Is it worth
>buying the scanner or will I be unable to receive any signals?
>
>I'm very new to scanning, so any suggestions/advice would be greatly
>appreciated.
>
>Thanks in advance,
>at

Shouldn't cause you any problems. We're negotiating with Nextel to put a cell site on one of our buildings. One of our concerns was interference with our conventional 800 mhz system and intermod on police scanners.

Their engineer took us to a similar site and we set up a couple of desktop scanners and a couple of portables and saw no interference or intermod. It may have desensed them slightly, but not enough that we couldn't hear what we needed to hear.

Ken Steinhoff
ken@steinhoff.net

3896. Re: Eastern States Exposition (Big E) frequncies wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 19:47:18 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9m60pl$lp6$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 60844

You'll find those in the Scanner Master book...I know the Big E
administration uses UHF but you'll find everything...vendors on FRS, GMRS,
and MURS I am sure, plus Nextel, cellular, etc etc etc...

marty <goophy@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:11921-3B866843-7@storefull-282.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> West Springfield Massachusetts expo freqs ... are there any of interest
> or worthwhile listening to ? event takes place in early September every
> year.
>

3897. Re: RADIO MART

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 20:06:11 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010824160611.25189.00001318@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 60865

>Been around where?

ROFLMFAO

>> Hope that helps you out...

Thanks, Power House, whoever you are...
Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

3898. NIB Icom R2 with software and cables

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 20:08:56 UTC
From: bhall7@email.com
Message-ID: <dc0c38eb.0108241208.7bf95535@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60866

Go to:

http://pages.ebay.com/search/items/search_seller.html

and type eBay username "bhall007" to find the Icom R2 for auction.

-BHall

3899. Realistic Pro 34/help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 20:11:13 UTC
From: hrabca1232001@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <72a11a1b.0108241211.5c07e60e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60867

Yes I still have one of these older scanners, and it works very well.
However the Hold Delay time needs to be increased to be longer than 2secs.
Does anyone know how to do this? Are there any mods for this Scanner.
Any help would be appreciated, thankyou.

Signed Roy W.

3900. frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 20:12:35 UTC
From: David <davidem@atlantic.net>
Message-ID: <IQvh7.6$B5.6705@news1.atlantic.net>
Source record: 60848

Hello, my name is David and I am new to the group. I have a Uniden Bearcat BC45XLT. Just a little scanner (in price) that is functional for me as a novice. I do have a question concerning finding certain frequencies. I can scan from 29.0000MHz to 54.0000MHz but I can not seem to scan above that to 100.3000 or so..... the "commercial" airline frequencies and such. Also I can not seem to scan the frequencies around 200.0000MHz. I can program in for it to scan from 29.0000MHz to 512.0000MHZ but it leaves out the above mentioned areas. Is there a reason for this? I have much to learn and maybe this is a very simple question. I greatly appreciate ANY help that could be offered. Thank You in advance, regards......David

3901. Daytona Beach Police BUG Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 21:01:20 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3B86C0A0.6DBFE9B6@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 60868

Hi,
I listen to the Daytona Beach Police running Prostitute and Drug stings all the time (almost daily) and they make mention of "hearing a good deal on the bug" Does anyone know the freqs of the Bugs being used by Daytona Police?

I do know that their bugs use a repeater which is located in an undercover van. Several weeks ago they were talking about the repeater going down and trying to get it back up.....

George
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <-RadiosOnLine Latest on Scanner News!

--

_____
I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
_____

3902. FCC Database and Excel...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-24 21:14:35 UTC
From: Andy <capt-tripps@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B86C3DC.3052308B@home.com>
Source record: 60869

Does anyone know how to merge the FCC database files with their definitions files in Microsoft Excel? I'm not an Excel expert.

Thanks.

Andy

3903. Re: Newbie - Will cellular phone tower be a problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 00:16:03 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <A9F02834765FD4ED.54F0FCF53CE30B79.638A39C681CD3AC9@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60870

On 24 Aug 2001 11:20:28 -0700, atari1980@hotmail.com (tl) wrote:

>Hi,
>
>I'm interested in purchasing a handheld scanner (IC-R2), but there is
>a cellular phone tower that is less than a mile from my house. What
>type of interference should I expect from this tower? Is it worth
>buying the scanner or will I be unable to receive any signals?
>
>I'm very new to scanning, so any suggestions/advice would be greatly
>appreciated.
>
>Thanks in advance,
>at

Should not be a problem at all. I have one near my house also
and have never had any technical problems. (A few locals bitched
about the esthetics, but that's another matter.)

Actually in many areas today it's hard not to live within a mile or
so of a cell phone tower. They are almost everywhere.

3904. Re: frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 00:52:42 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uFCh7.21293$Co6.6017110@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

David,

Here is a brief summary of what you'll find in the range your scanner can receive (and beyond). There are plenty of more detailed listings on the Web, but this will get you started, and you can also see what your scanner is missing.

There is some good background info--and maybe even some useful frequency lists if you're located in the mid-Atlantic or Northeast region--at this site: http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/

- Doug

A summary of the FCC Table of Frequency Allocations, based on the Oct '93 Code of Federal Regulations - 47 CFR 2.106

30 - 50 MHz: FM @ 20 kHz steps
30.000-30.560 - U.S. Government
30.560-31.980 - Business/Industry/Forestry
31.990-32.000 - Public Safety
32.000-33.000 - U.S. Government
33.000-33.100 - Public Safety
33.120-33.400 - Business/Petroleum
33.420-34.000 - Fire
34.000-35.000 - U.S. Government
35.020-36.000 - Business/Paging
36.000-37.000 - U.S. Government
37.020-37.420 - Police/Local Government
37.460-37.860 - Power, Water, Pipeline
37.900-38.000 - Highway Maintenance/Special Emergency
38.000-39.000 - U.S. Government
39.020-40.000 - Police/Local Government
40.000-42.000 - U.S. Government
42.020-42.940 - State Police
42.960-43.680 - Business/Paging
43.700-44.600 - Transportation (bus/truck)
44.620-45.060 - State Police/Forestry Conservation
45.080-45.860 - Police/Local Government/Highway Maintenance
45.900-46.040 - Police/Emergency
46.060-46.500 - Fire
46.520-46.580 - Local Government
46.610-46.970 - Cordless Phones - base (20/40 kHz steps)
47.020-47.400 - Highway Maintenance
47.440-47.680 - Industry/Emergency
47.700-49.580 - Industry

49.670-49.990 - Cordless Phones - handset (irregular steps)

50 - 150 MHz
50.000-54.000 - Amateur (6-meter)
54.000-72.000 - Broadcast TV (channels 2-4)(6 MHz steps - FMw)
72.000-76.000 - (various)
76.000-88.000 - Broadcast TV (channels 5-6) (6 MHz steps - FMw)
88.000-108.000 - FM Broadcast (200 kHz steps - FMw)
108.000-118.000 - Aero - navigation
118.000-136.000 - Aero - communications (25 kHz steps - AM)
136.000-138.000 - Satellite
138.000-144.000 - U.S. Government
144.000-148.000 - Amateur (2-meter)
148.000-150.800 - U.S. Government

150 - 162 MHz: FM @ 15 kHz steps
150.815-150.965 - Auto Emergency
150.995-151.595 - Highway/Forestry/Industry
151.625-151.955 - Business (30 kHz steps)
152.030-152.240 - Mobile phone (Base)/Page (30 kHz steps)
152.270-152.450 - Taxi (Base)
152.510-152.840 - Mobile phone (Base)/Page (30 kHz steps)
152.870-153.725 - Industry
153.740-154.445 - Fire/Local Government (mobile)
154.452-154.482 - Industry (telemetry) (7.5 kHz steps)
154.490-154.625 - Industry
154.650-156.240 - Police/Local Government/Emergency/Highway
156.025-157.425 - Maritime (ship) (25 kHz steps)
157.470-157.515 - Auto Emergency
157.530-157.710 - Taxi (mobile)/Business
157.770-158.100 - Mobile phone (mobile)/Page (30 kHz steps)
158.130-158.460 - Industry
158.490-158.700 - Mobile phone (mobile)/Page (30 kHz steps)
158.730-159.210 - Police/Local Government/Highway
159.225-159.465 - Forestry Conservation
159.495-160.200 - Transportation (bus/truck)
160.215-161.610 - Railroad
160.625-160.950 - Maritime - Coast (25 kHz steps)
161.640-161.760 - Broadcast Pickups
161.500-162.025 - Maritime - Coast (25 kHz steps)

162 - 450 MHz
162.025-174.000 - (various, mainly U.S. Government)
174.000-216.000 - Broadcast TV chs 7-13 (6 MHz steps - FMw)
216.000-218.000 - Maritime - AMTS, coast (25 kHz steps)
218.000-219.000 - IVDS - Interactive Video & Data
219.000-220.000 - Maritime - AMTS, ship (25 kHz steps)
220.000-221.000 - (Private land Mobile) - base (5 kHz steps)
221.000-222.000 - (Private land Mobile) - mobile (5 kHz steps)
222.000-225.000 - Amateur (1.25-meter)
225.000-400.000 - U.S. Government - Aero (AM)

400.000-406.000 - U.S. Govt - Meteorological/Space
406.000-420.000 - U.S. Government
420.000-450.000 - Amateur (70cm)/military radar/radiolocation

450 - 460 MHz: FM @ 25 kHz steps (450-455 base, 455-460 mobile)
450.050-450.925 - Auxiliary Broadcasting
451.025-452.025 - Industry
452.050-452.500 - Taxi/Industry/Transport
452.525-452.600 - Automobile Emergency
452.625-452.950 - Transportation (Trucks/Railroad)
452.975-453.000 - Relay Press
453.025-453.975 - Local Government/Public Safety
454.025-454.650 - Mobile Telephone
454.675-454.975 - Mobile Telephone Air (ground)
455.050-455.925 - Auxiliary Broadcasting
456.025-457.025 - Industry
457.050-457.500 - Taxi/Industry/Transport
457.525-457.600 - Business - low power/Maritime - shipboard
repeater
(mobiles @ 467.xxx)
457.625-457.950 - Transportation (Trucks/Railroad)
457.975-458.000 - Relay Press
458.025-458.975 - Public Safety/Local Government
459.025-459.650 - Mobile Telephone
459.675-459.975 - Mobile Telephone Air (airborne)

460 - 470 MHz: FM @ 25 kHz steps (460-465 base, 465-470 mobile)
460.025-460.550 - Police/Public Safety
460.575-460.625 - Fire
460.650-460.875 - Business - Airport use
460.900-461.000 - Business - Central Alarms
461.025-462.175 - Business
462.200-462.525 - Manufacturers/Industry
462.550-462.725 - GMRS (12.5 kHz steps)
462.750-462.925 - Business (paging)
462.950-463.175 - MED (Ambulance/Hospital)
463.200-465.000 - Business
465.025-465.550 - Police/Public Safety
465.575-465.625 - Fire
465.650-465.875 - Business - Airport use
465.900-466.000 - Business - Central Alarms
466.025-467.175 - Business
467.200-467.525 - Manufacturers/Industry
467.550-467.725 - GMRS (25 kHz steps)
467.750-467.925 - Business (2w, telemetry)
467.750-467.825 - Maritime - shipboard (rptr at 457.xxx)
467.950-468.175 - MED (Ambulance/Hospital)
468.200-469.975 - Business

470 - 806 MHz: 6 MHz per channel, wide FM audio
470.000-512.000 - Broadcast TV (channels 14-20) & Large Metro

Public
Safety (25 kHz steps - FM)
512.000-806.000 - Broadcast TV (channels 21-69)

806 - 896 MHz: FM @ 25 kHz steps (mobile 806-851, base 851-896)
806.0125-809.7375 - General - conventional
809.7625-810.9875 - General - single channels
811.0125-815.9875 - General - trunked
816.0125-820.9875 - SMR - trunked
821.0125-823.9875 - Public Safety - trunked (12.5 kHz steps)
824.040-834.360 - Cellular Telephone (30 kHz steps)
834.390-835.620 - Cellular Telephone (data) (30 kHz steps)
835.650-848.970 - Cellular Telephone (30 kHz steps)
849.000-851.000 - Aircraft Telephone (6 kHz steps - AM)
851.0125-854.7375 - General - conventional
854.7625-855.9875 - General - single channels
856.0125-860.9875 - General - trunked
861.0125-865.9875 - SMR - trunked
866.0125-868.9875 - Public Safety - trunked (12.5 kHz steps)
869.040-879.360 - Cellular Telephone (30 kHz steps)
879.390-880.620 - Cellular Telephone (data) (30 kHz steps)
880.650-893.970 - Cellular Telephone (30 kHz steps)
894.000-896.000 - Aircraft Telephone (6 kHz steps - AM)

896 - 1300 MHz:
896.000-901.000 - SMR/Business/Industry - mobile (12.5 kHz
steps)
901.000-902.000 - Personal Communications Services
902.000-928.000 - Amateur (33cm) / various secondary
928.000-929.000 -
929.000-930.000 - paging
930.000-931.000 - Personal Communications Services - base
931.000-935.000 -
935.000-940.000 - SMR/Business/Industry - base (12.5 kHz steps)
940.000-941.000 - Personal Communications Services - base
941.000-960.000 -
960.000-1215.000 - Aeronautical navigation
1215.000-1240.000 - U.S. Government - Radiolocation/Space
1240.000-1300.000 - Amateur (23cm)

Created and maintained by Jim Fordyce (scanning@fordyce.org).
© 1999, Jim Fordyce

> "David" <davidem@atlantic.net> wrote in message
news:lQvh7.6$B5.6705@news1.atlantic.net...
> Hello, my name is David and I am new to the group. I have a Uniden
> Bearcat BC45XLT. Just a little scanner (in price) that is functional for
me
> as a novice. I do have a question concerning finding certain frequencies.
> I can scan from 29.0000MHz to 54.0000MHz but I can not seem to scan above

> that to 100.3000 or so..... the "commercial" airline frequencies and such.
> Also I can not seem to scan the frequencies around 200.0000MHz. I can
> program in for it to scan from 29.0000MHz to 512.0000MHZ but it leaves
out
> the above mentioned areas. Is there a reason for this? I have much to
> learn and maybe this is a very simple question. I greatly appreciate ANY
> help that could be offered. Thank You in advance, regards......David
>
>
>
>

3905. F/S PRO 2005 SCANNER w/cell Like new in box $230 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 02:31:51 UTC
From: BK <bpk8227@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B870E82.37C75CAF@yahoo.com>
Source record: 60872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://classifieds.excite.com/cgi-cls/ad.exe?P61+C193+R1822066

3906. F/S Pro 2005 with cell like new $230 shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 02:35:49 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B870F71.F2EC57D2@yahoo.com>
Source record: 60873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://classifieds.excite.com/cgi-cls/ad.exe?P61+C193+R1822066

3907. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 03:06:46 UTC
From: Paul M <paul@coldnfrosty.com>
Message-ID: <aDEh7.10689$mv1.1599963@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 60874

Take it in stride Jim. I sold my VERY old PRO-2006 on eBay a few months ago
for more than I paid for it new.

"Timothy John" <TomothyJohn@AOL.com> wrote in message
news:todap96hr16h67@corp.supernews.com...
> ARE YOU CRAZY??
>
> "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> news:JDnh7.82924$A47.39128376@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > I have a new in box never used PRO-2006 for sale. Best bid over $500
takes
> > it.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3908. Re: Home made Low noise broadband antenna amplifier with GaAS FET ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 03:14:00 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <slEh7.74$be6.57216@news1.iquest.net>
Source record: 60875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur

"Marc" <marcje01@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ca10ea14.0108240530.6a7d1cef@posting.google.com...
> Hi all,
>
> I was wondering if anyone ever built him-/herself a low noise
> broadband antenna pre-amplifier with GaAs FETs.
> I think it must be possible to build one. Main usage for me
> would be as antenna amplifier for my discone antenna.
> The reason for a GaAs FET is the low noise figure.
>
> As a example SSB in Germany makes one from 50 - 3000 Mhz
> with a gain of 13dB and a noise figure of only 1.8 dB!
> See e.g.:
> http://www.thiecom.de/lna3000.htm (in German)
> The price is DM300,- (approx. $ 140,-)
>
I am in the middle of a UHF TV preamp design for an indoor antenna.

>
> I am wondering what type of GaAs FET they use....
>
> Does anybody have some plans online or know of some application
> notes online?
>
FYI, I am using the HP 54143 PHEMT. It is VERY friendly with respect
to noise matching and input reflection. I have a bag of 'em
since I had to get them in quantity -- if you look, they should be
available
for between $2 and $4 each. There are more inexpensive PHEMTs,
that might be better for other LF (<3GHz applications), but the HP
seemed
to have the best combo of input noise characteristics, input matching,
gain, and ease of bias (it requires positive gate bias, so it is
slightly
easier to deal with source inductance issues.) Actually, it seems to
work
best at <1GHz wideband apps if you use about .5nh to 1.0nh, in the
source lead, therefore providing a very nice input match to reasonable
input impedances. (The HP PHEMT has very large noise circles making
input noise match easy.)

If all you need is the 2.0dB NF range, you might want to look at the
Stanford Microdevices part number sga4586, but it is just SiGe bipolar.

Both the HP PHEMT and the Stanford Microdevices parts have high
output power capabilities, and arent' very susceptable to overload.

JOhn

3909. Re: Realistic Pro 34/help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 03:19:38 UTC
From: radiosam@wongfaye.com
Message-ID: <823e3267.0108241919.680695a0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60876

This and the Pro37 were excellent scanners, but they are hard wired
for NO adjustments in hold time. Scan speed is faster on the 37 with
"hyper speed".

RadioSam

hrabca1232001@yahoo.com (Roy) wrote in message
news:<72a11a1b.0108241211.5c07e60e@posting.google.com>...
> Yes I still have one of these older scanners, and it works very well.
> However the Hold Delay time needs to be increased to be longer than 2secs.
> Does anyone know how to do this? Are there any mods for this Scanner.
> Any help would be appreciated, thankyou.
>
> Signed Roy W.

3910. Re: Scanner Transceiver's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 03:31:47 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.common
Message-ID: <20010824233147.04822.00000733@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 60877

In article <20010824150134.09094.00001335@mb-ct.aol.com>, cptdube@aol.com (CptDube) writes:

>Does any one know how to turn any type of radio scanner into a fully Scanner
>Transceiver?
>

Not without completely redesigning the radio.

Paul.

Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

3911. Re: NYC Area Mosquito Spraying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 03:31:47 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.common
Message-ID: <20010824233147.04822.00000734@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 60879

In article <tod1jgbs0tj32d@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
<MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET> writes:

>You have that many mosquitoes that they have to spray the entire city??
>Sounds pretty ridiculous to me...

Come on down to the Bayou City sometime. We have a contingent of city workers here that do nothing but spray for mosquitos! They use the standard public works frequencies.

Paul.

Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

3912. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 03:31:48 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.common
Message-ID: <20010824233148.04822.00000735@nso-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 60878

In article <m8acotctbqtjgm85ghlgt6stm7c68bnmjq@4ax.com>, D.W. <123@456.com>
writes:

>I have the *ORIGINAL* title to the Brooklyn Bridge that I'm willing to
>trade for it.

I'll trade you that ORIGINAL title for some beach front property I have in
Arizona...

Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.

3913. Re: Help me please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 03:35:20 UTC
From: njscan <njscan@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Y1Fh7.22270$Co6.6322767@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60880

Brian, you are fair game!
He can listen on most scanners and he's not breaking any laws. I do it all
the time!

"Brian" <bjergens@MailAndNews.com> wrote in message
news:3B90702A@MailAndNews.com...
> I recently found out that a kid who lives down the street from us has a
> scanner. We have had minor problems with him before. I use a regular cheap
> 900
> mhz phone. I'm pretty sure it's not spread spectrum or digital. How easy
> would
> it be for him to listen on our conversations? I know he could do it if he
> modified his scanner in a certain way but I'm pretty sure it's not
modified.
> I
> dont care how he does it, I'm just wondering if it is possible or easy for
> him
> to do with a fairly new scanner.
>
> Thanks
>
> --
> Get your FREE web-based e-mail and newsgroup access at:
> http://MailAndNews.com
>
> Create a new mailbox, or access your existing IMAP4 or
> POP3 mailbox from anywhere with just a web browser.
> --
>

3914. Re: FCC Database and Excel...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 04:42:39 UTC
From: webmaster@scanatlanta.com
Message-ID: <3b872c88.378934462@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 60881

What format is the database in? You may want to try Access. Or, save
the file as a .txt and then open it that way in Excel. How big is
your file?

/\/\|k.e

webmaster@scanatlanta.com
www.ScanAtlanta.com

On Fri, 24 Aug 2001 21:14:35 GMT, Andy <capt-tripps@home.com> wrote:

>Does anyone know how to merge the FCC database files with their
>definitions files in Microsoft Excel? I'm not an Excel expert.
>
>Thanks.
>
>Andy
>

3915. Re: Help me please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 05:11:55 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010825011155.26956.00001515@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 60882

GO and buy a 900 mhz phone with a digital transmission, They are so cheap now it's very affordable.....People with savvy can modify scanners to receive digital transmissions, but that greatly reduces the chances of anyone (within the range of your phone's base unit) listening in.
Eric G.
shut your mouth and open your eyes.....

3916. Motorola 4800 Data Terminal

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 05:30:05 UTC
From: nlspecialties@subdimension.com
Message-ID: <3b873723.17311879@anonymous.anonymousnewsfeed.com>
Source record: 60883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

If someone has the windows program that decodes theMotorola's MDC-4800 Police Data Terminal transmissions, and maybe some basic instructions on what I need to connect my scanner to my computer, I would be truly appreciative if they would send it to me, I would like to learn more about the system and do some testing. I have a program in C for Linux that will do this but I need something that will work with windows.

Thanks.

nlspecialties@subdimension.com

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3917. Re: FCC Database and Excel...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 05:38:29 UTC
From: Andy Domonkos <capt-tripps@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B8739F7.692D25E8@home.com>
Source record: 60884

I guess the best way to answer that is to take a look at their web site at

http://www.fcc.gov/fcc-bin/wtbdatadump.pl

There are files listed that you need to load into X first and then merge
the database. This is where I get lost.

Thanks.

Andy

b7spectra wrote:

> What format is the database in? You may want to try Access. Or, save
> the file as a .txt and then open it that way in Excel. How big is
> your file?
>
> /\/\|k.e
>
> webmaster@scanatlanta.com
> www.ScanAtlanta.com
>
> On Fri, 24 Aug 2001 21:14:35 GMT, Andy <capt-tripps@home.com> wrote:
>
> >Does anyone know how to merge the FCC database files with their
> >definitions files in Microsoft Excel? I'm not an Excel expert.
> >
> >Thanks.
> >
> >Andy
> >

3918. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 07:34:40 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Message-ID: <kyIh7.83585$A47.39946412@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 60885

This is a NEW NEVER USED in the box 2006. Where else can you find a new
2006? It sold for over $350.00 new.

"Timothy John" <TomothyJohn@AOL.com> wrote in message
news:todap96hr16h67@corp.supernews.com...
> ARE YOU CRAZY??
>
> "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> news:JDnh7.82924$A47.39128376@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > I have a new in box never used PRO-2006 for sale. Best bid over $500
takes
> > it.
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3919. Re: Realistic Pro 34/help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 11:25:12 UTC
From: ZZZmark@whiskey-creek.net
Message-ID: <3b878b17.66774534@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 60886

On 24 Aug 2001 20:19:38 -0700, radiosam@wongfaye.com (Radiosam) wrote:

>This and the Pro37 were excellent scanners, but they are hard wired
>for NO adjustments in hold time. Scan speed is faster on the 37 with
>"hyper speed".
>
>RadioSam
>
>
>hrabca1232001@yahoo.com (Roy) wrote in message
news:<72a11a1b.0108241211.5c07e60e@posting.google.com>...
>> Yes I still have one of these older scanners, and it works very well.
>> However the Hold Delay time needs to be increased to be longer than 2secs.
>> Does anyone know how to do this? Are there any mods for this Scanner.
>> Any help would be appreciated, thankyou.
>>
>> Signed Roy W.

In fact, the delay time can be changed, but not by itself.

If you increase the scan speed by changing the time base crystal (as
I've done to mine) the delay time is shortened. Conversely, slowing
the scan speed would lengthen the delay time. If that trade-off is
acceptable, it can be done. If not, you're stuck.

Mark

whiskey-creek.net

The truth as I perceive it to be.
Your perception may be different.

Triple Z is spam control

3920. Re: Help me please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 11:41:10 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <0751B69552C94055.11559D72CDE025BF.DACFC8C4EE51BFE7@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60887

On Sat, 25 Aug 2001 03:35:20 GMT, "njscan" <njscan@optonline.net>
wrote:

>Brian, you are fair game!
>He can listen on most scanners and he's not breaking any laws. I do it all
>the time!
>

For information only:

Don't forget the "Digital Telephony Bill" enacted 11/94 in the US
(Public Law 103-414). It contains an amendment which prohibits
listening to cordless telephones.

3921. Re: RADIO MART

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 12:45:15 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9m86ia$rugv$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60888

"Dean Kastoris" <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message
news:3B869E73.BCA6238E@home.com...
> Been around where?

Been around the internet?

OMG! I'm ROFL!

Tom

3922. Re: Daytona Beach Police BUG Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 12:48:02 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9m86nh$to96$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60889

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3B86C0A0.6DBFE9B6@licensed4fun.com...
> Hi,
> I listen to the Daytona Beach Police running Prostitute and Drug stings...

> Several weeks ago they were talking about the repeater
> going down and trying to get it back up.....
>

Are you sure it was the repeater they were talking about?

Sorry, couldn't resist.

Tom

3923. Re: Help me please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 12:51:42 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9m86ue$qi2o$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60890

"Eric G." <emoney250@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010825011155.26956.00001515@mb-df.aol.com...
> GO and buy a 900 mhz phone with a digital transmission, They are so
cheap now
> it's very affordable.....People with savvy can modify scanners to
receive
> digital transmissions,

Not very easily, they can't! They may be able to receive digital
transmissions, but demodulating them is a different matter.

Tom

3924. Re: Help me please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 14:58:22 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <toff87mk01e377@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60891

Yeh! I'd stick with a manual transmission if I were you!!!!! Those digital ones sometimes get a button stuck in reverse.....

Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:9m86ue$qi2o$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "Eric G." <emoney250@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20010825011155.26956.00001515@mb-df.aol.com...
> > GO and buy a 900 mhz phone with a digital transmission, They are so
> cheap now
> > it's very affordable.....People with savvy can modify scanners to
> receive
> > digital transmissions,
>
> Not very easily, they can't! They may be able to receive digital
> transmissions, but demodulating them is a different matter.
>
> Tom
>
>

3925. Re: Help me please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 15:30:55 UTC
From: Urbie Watrous <flagstaff@nospam.gtemail.net>
Message-ID: <ilgfot4st3n6oqdk0ek38aar33nmms1aq6@4ax.com>
Source record: 60892

On Thu, 23 Aug 2001 15:06:07 -0400, Brian <bjergens@MailAndNews.com>
tapped out:

>I recently found out that a kid who lives down the street from us has a
>scanner. [snip]

My basic attitude is that anything I say on the cordless phone can be
overheard -- so if I'm making any kind of sensitive call (e.g., one
involving financial information, credit card transactions, etc.), I
use the land line (usually, that means my fax machine). If I'm just
yakking away with a friend, I'll use the cordless phone -- who cares
if someone hears about how I did in last Sunday's bowling tournament?

I live in an apartment complex -- and, truth be told, I've been known
to listen to the occasional cordless call on my scanner. I'm in the
low-rent district of town, so most of the calls tend to center around
the subject of money -- namely, that no one around here HAS any! I
tend to either get bored or just feel that I really shouldn't be
listening to someone else's phone call, and go do something else. But
yeah, it's just a fact of life that anything you broadcast can be
received -- that should be no surprise. If you want privacy, either
use a wired phone or get a digital cordless unit, as suggested by
others.

Of course, the "disinformation" idea does sound like fun -- if you
suspect the kid's listening in, it might be good for a laugh....

Urb

Never argue with a 10-16 101.

3926. Re: Daytona Beach Police BUG Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 15:35:06 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3B87C5AA.57BD5340@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 60893

Tom Sevart wrote:

> "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> news:3B86C0A0.6DBFE9B6@licensed4fun.com...
> > Hi,
> > I listen to the Daytona Beach Police running Prostitute and Drug stings...
>
> > Several weeks ago they were talking about the repeater
> > going down and trying to get it back up.....
> >
>
> Are you sure it was the repeater they were talking about?
>
> Sorry, couldn't resist.
>
> Tom

Yes because one day they said "the power supply to the repeater quit, without the repeater we don't have enough range". That day they quit early.

Another day they were having problems with the repeater antenna. I've many times heard them using the term "repeater".

Unless what they are refering to is something different from what we know a repeater to be. But I know that their "bug" has a several mile range because where the "working girl/cops" are located is at times about 3 miles from where they are monitoring the bugs from.

George
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios - RadiosOnLine Scanner News for the Hobbiest!

--

_____
I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
_____

3927. Re: Scanner Laws Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 17:48:55 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9m8o8e01mnv@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60895

I think ralf has had too much RF radiation on his brain cells.

"John Medica -System administrator" <medica@cyberspace.org> wrote in message
news:3B85AEFB.DB5A8504@cyberspace.org...
> You have sick & twisted mind.
> Leave it at that :)
>
> > They will lock you up after they shove your whip up your ass. lmfao
> > "John Medica -System administrator" <medica@cyberspace.org> wrote in
message
> > news:3B84BB83.DF4196B3@cyberspace.org...
> > > Dev wrote:
> > >
> > > > all I can say is from BC to Saskatchewan, Even the border crossings
> > never
> > > > ask why I have my scanners and ham radio's on the dash. Strange. but
I
> > think
> > > > manitoba is one that has strange restrictions on things. Doesn't
Quebec
> > have
> > > > weird restrictions too ?
> > >
> > > Toronto/Quebec No.
> > >
> > > Regards
> > > John Medica - Listening-Post
> > > Moderator Ontario Unlisted Frequency Guide
> > > http://www.yahoogroups.com/group/oufg
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>

3928. Nev., Utah,Wym. freq's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 17:54:49 UTC
From: scandude <scandude@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <JDRh7.3108$lb.369023@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 60894

I am traveling thru Nevada, Wyoming and Nebraska. I need frequencies for state police (highway patrol) for these states. also need Omaha and Lincoln Neb. police Departments frequencies. thank you.

3929. Frequencies for Cruise Line

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 19:38:02 UTC
From: Rich Byrne <n2ukb@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <u8Th7.25900$Co6.7627094@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60896

--
Looking for operating frequencies for Celebrity Cruise Lines. Leaving for
Cruise next week for Bermuda.(09-01)

Thanks
Rich/Denville, NJ

3930. Re: Daytona Beach Police BUG Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 20:34:21 UTC
From: chevysno1@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <360461b3.0108251234.1f65af5f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60747

next time you hear them doing a undercover sting try 150.000-174.000 mhz, 5 khz spacing using a mobile antenna. let me and us know what you find.

3931. REQ: pro-91 modifications please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 21:36:08 UTC
From: Serial # 19781010 <gmcelhaney@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ssggotk0lvbqe8d48h2l0b2q6ot3kp2kqc@4ax.com>
Source record: 60748

[No readable article body recovered]

3932. RE: Uniden 900 number database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 21:45:34 UTC
From: Mage@nowhere.com
Message-ID: <3b881b2e.40987348@anonymous.anonymousnewsfeed.com>
Source record: 60749

It's not just you.
I got my 780 last month and downloaded the frequencies for my area code, about 300!! which is a lot for me. After a week of scanning it was obvious that most of the frequencies were just filller, like the FRS and some nationwide frequencies. Only about 20 frequencies were actually being hit out of 300!! I used the Frequency Logger program to find out which ones were actually used and moved them all to the first bank and locked out the rest that never had any hits which makes scanning a lot faster. I forget the website for the Freq logger, it is on ebay though.

On Fri, 24 Aug 2001 11:53:23 +0100, "Matrix
<matrix.com@WHAT-IS-THE-MATRIXntlworld.com> wrote:

>I have had problems with the 900 number for uniden
>after three tries(that I had pay for) I finally got frequencies
>but most never show up
>
>is this just me?
>
>thanks
>
>
>
>-
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

3933. ID Tracker (Freeware) Updated

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 22:02:19 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammer.net>
Message-ID: <R9Vh7.17672$zk4.1012826@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 60750

Now supports the UBC780XLT. Added the capability to change
the information displayed when minimized.

http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis/IDTracker/IDTracker.htm

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

3934. Re: Frequencies for Cruise Line

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 22:39:12 UTC
From: Alfredo@dontemail.me
Message-ID: <3b882533.9470089@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 60751

I went on a cruise earlier this week out of L.A. to Ensenada.
I took my Icom R2. (my wife and kids came along also...)
I was on a Carnival ship. I did listen to the RCCL ship that
was pulling into port. Mostly the crew and officers setting lines
on the dock.
My cabin was down in the lower levels of the ship and I couldn't
pick up much other than FRS that was close.
I scanned a lot, but never could find freqs that the crew would use.
I was able to listen to lots of interesting talk while in port on the
marine freqs. The Port Authority was busy controling ships that
were coming in and out of the harbor.
I suggest you do a Google search, you might find something there.
You can also try these. Have fun.
Al

Marine Freqs and misc cruise ship.

156.025 156.975 151.7450
156.050 157.000 151.9250
156.075 157.025 154.5150
156.100 157.050 154.5400
156.125 157.075 156.2750
156.150 157.100 156.8000
156.175 157.125 156.9000
156.200 157.150 461.3000
156.225 157.175 461.6500
156.275 157.250 462.1750
156.300 157.275 464.2700
156.325 157.300 466.3000
156.350 157.325 466.3875
156.375 157.350 466.6500
156.400 157.375 467.7500
156.425 157.400 468.3250
156.450 157.425 468.3375
156.475 161.800 469.9500
156.500 161.825 472.5375
156.525 161.850
156.550 161.875
156.575 161.900
156.600 161.925
156.625 161.950
156.650 161.975
156.675 162.000
156.700 457.525
156.725 457.550

156.750 457.575
156.800 457.600
156.850 467.750
156.875 467.775
156.900 467.800
156.925 467.825
156.950

On Sat, 25 Aug 2001 19:38:02 GMT, "Rich Byrne" <n2ukb@optonline.net>
wrote:

>
>
>--
>Looking for operating frequencies for Celebrity Cruise Lines. Leaving for
>Cruise next week for Bermuda.(09-01)
>
>Thanks
>Rich/Denville, NJ
>
>

3935. Re: FCC Database and Excel...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 23:31:23 UTC
From: webmaster@scanatlanta.com
Message-ID: <3b883529.20244205@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 60753

Those are some HUGE files! I'll have to download them at work (T3 connection) to take a look at them!

/\/\|k.e

On Sat, 25 Aug 2001 05:38:29 GMT, Andy Domonkos <capt-tripps@home.com> wrote:

>I guess the best way to answer that is to take a look at their web site at
>
>http://www.fcc.gov/fcc-bin/wtbdatadump.pl
>
>There are files listed that you need to load into X first and then merge
>the database. This is where I get lost.
>
>Thanks.
>
>Andy
>
>
>b7spectra wrote:
>
>> What format is the database in? You may want to try Access. Or, save
>> the file as a .txt and then open it that way in Excel. How big is
>> your file?
>>
>> /\/\|k.e
>>
>> webmaster@scanatlanta.com
>> www.ScanAtlanta.com
>>
>> On Fri, 24 Aug 2001 21:14:35 GMT, Andy <capt-tripps@home.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Does anyone know how to merge the FCC database files with their
>> >definitions files in Microsoft Excel? I'm not an Excel expert.
>> >
>> >Thanks.
>> >
>> >Andy
>> >
>

3936. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 23:32:07 UTC
From: Kute kittiews <chimp99099@aol.com>
Message-ID: <XzWh7.3530$434.403687@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 60752

"Frank" <nospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:9m51jt$b4t$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> 500? Is that Yen or Canadian dollars :-)

no, that's in oatmeal cookies. Anyone who'd pay $200 for a Pro-2006
let alone $500 needs their head examined. (I found one at a yard sale
for $50.00 last weekend. Their out there if you look)

3937. Re: Daytona Beach Police BUG Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-25 23:43:41 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9m9d4r$vfd9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60754

"GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
news:3B87C5AA.57BD5340@licensed4fun.com...
>
> > > Hi,
> > > I listen to the Daytona Beach Police running Prostitute and Drug
stings...
> >
> > > Several weeks ago they were talking about the repeater
> > > going down and trying to get it back up.....
> > >
> >
> > Are you sure it was the repeater they were talking about?
> >
> > Sorry, couldn't resist.
> >
> > Tom
>
> Yes because one day they said "the power supply to the repeater quit,
without
> the repeater we don't have enough range". That day they quit early.

Sorry, I was trying to make a lame joke there....

3938. Re: RADIO MART

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 00:13:58 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B883E8E.68E275E8@home.com>
Source record: 60755

abcdefghijklmnopqrstuvwxyz
And I mean every word of it.

Tom Sevart wrote:
>
> "Dean Kastoris" <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message
> news:3B869E73.BCA6238E@home.com...
> > Been around where?
>
> Been around the internet?
>
> OMG! I'm ROFL!
>
> Tom

3939. Re: FDNY Freq Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 00:39:01 UTC
From: dmitch5213@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010825203901.01548.00001770@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 60756

NYFD should be using 154.430 for the Bronx, 154.250 for Manhattan, 154.370 for Brooklyn. 154.190 might be for SI.

3940. WTB PRO-53

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 00:56:28 UTC
From: John Strand <w6ibl@ncinternet.net>
Message-ID: <3b884a03$0$18817@wodc7nh0.news.uu.net>
Source record: 60757

Want to buy reasonable-priced WORKING Radio Shack PRO-53 scanner.

Thanks

John

3941. FS: Uniden Bearcat BC 800 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 01:16:46 UTC
From: teaberry44@att.net
Message-ID: <7034f096.0108251716.595624ba@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60758

For more info, please check out my auction on eBay.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?MfcISAPICommand=ViewItem&item=1268622939

Thanks - TJ

3942. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 01:30:16 UTC
From: dontask <alwayschanging@myname.com>
Message-ID: <9m9ja7eod1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 60759

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
news:kyIh7.83585$A47.39946412@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> This is a NEW NEVER USED in the box 2006. Where else can you find a new
> 2006? It sold for over $350.00 new.
>

actually the cost was $599 at one time, I saw it in an old Radio shack
catalog
If you bought one for less than $200 you were very lucky! that's what they
sell for on ebay, in average, cheesey condition, reeking of smoke, with
cheese whiz all over the buttons, and the display semi-dim. "Excellent
Shape!"

3943. Re: NYC Area Mosquito Spraying

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 01:32:38 UTC
From: dontask <alwayschanging@myname.com>
Message-ID: <9m9jes$j6t$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 60760

I lived in west Texas and they not only sprayed the city, but you could call in and request it, and they would make a special pass down your street and alley too! If you think they don't spray Disney World every night, check up on it, otherwise no one would go!

"Me" <n0jaa@aol.common> wrote in message news:20010824233147.04822.00000734@nso-fa.aol.com...
> In article <tod1jgbs0tj32d@corp.supernews.com>, "Power House"
> <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET> writes:
>
> >You have that many mosquitoes that they have to spray the entire city??
> >Sounds pretty ridiculous to me...
>
> Come on down to the Bayou City sometime. We have a contingent of city workers
> here that do nothing but spray for mosquitos! They use the standard public
> works frequencies.
>
> Paul.
>
>
> Liberty is the one thing you cannot have unless you give it to others.
>

3944. Re: Beginner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 01:37:35 UTC
From: dontask <alwayschanging@myname.com>
Message-ID: <9m9jo0mpp1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 60761

"Dwayne H" <Dubbin@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.15efb929398548ad989693@news.tol.sbcglobal.net...
> Why is it that everyone seems to think you need a trunking scanner to
> listen to a trunked system? You can listen to it without a trunking
> scanner it's just that you cant follow it all the way it should be. If
> your company is on a trunked system I doubt that there is that much
> traffic and you would be just fine. I followed our 800mhz trunked
> police and fire for over a year before I got a trunking scanner and I
> didnt miss much. Now if I was in a bigger town then I agree that it would
> be next to impossible to follow.

Nope, actually you get MORE than the trunked scanner! The little known secret that no one tells you is, that TRUNKED police systems have SECURED channels where they make private calls to each other and phone calls too! On a conventional scanner, you get to listen to ALL the calls! I like to listen to the cops when they are cussing out the sarge, and planning the love escapade with the dispatcher!

3945. Re: for sale posts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 01:44:50 UTC
From: Arthur Howard <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B88548E.4010705@citlink.net>
Source record: 60762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Dennis Coe wrote:

> I LIKE to see whats for sale and auction and what the going prices are. So
> if you don't like that type of post --- don't read it. What's so hard
> about that?
> Dennis in Idaho
>
>
>>keyword: discussion. see this newsgroup is for discussion, not
>>
> marketplace.
>
> Hmmm I like to DISCUSS whats for sale!

Damn good answer.......

>
>
>

3946. Re: FDNY Freq Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 02:49:58 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qtZh7.54136$I7.6450066@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 60763

154.19 is shared SI/Bronx, 154.4 is Queens, 154.43 is Citywide

3947. Uniden BC560XLT Looking for frequency range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 03:23:55 UTC
From: tramd300@goingplatinum.com
Message-ID: <2bd4c5f2.0108251923.1bf3875@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60764

Hello,
I am looking for the frequency range of this scanner.
I have tried uniden online but they have no manual online and I don't
want to pay 10 bucks to find this info. out.
I would be greatful for your help...
Thank you in advance...

3948. Re: Newbie - Will cellular phone tower be a problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 05:10:10 UTC
From: "D.Brown" <dvbrown5@home.com>
Message-ID: <Sw%h7.59440$K6.23442736@news2>
Source record: 60765

Our local bible college has their radio tower right next (within 30ft!) to a big Cell array. Apparently it is no problem for them as they have been delivering fine Christian programming to the community in spite of the cell tower being put there..

"tl" <atari1980@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:1ad5723c.0108241020.2920e949@posting.google.com...
> Hi,
>
> I'm interested in purchasing a handheld scanner (IC-R2), but there is
> a cellular phone tower that is less than a mile from my house. What
> type of interference should I expect from this tower? Is it worth
> buying the scanner or will I be unable to receive any signals?
>
> I'm very new to scanning, so any suggestions/advice would be greatly
> appreciated.
>
> Thanks in advance,
> at

3949. F/S AOR AR2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 05:18:10 UTC
From: vda3531@joplin.com
Message-ID: <62d186a6.0108252118.9fe610d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Have for sale an AOR AR2002 scanner. Scanner is in what I would consider mint condition.This scanner is known by most hobbyists of these groups. Features the LED green/red s-meter feature.Complete with box and packing material, AC Wall wart, DC Direst wire line, telescopic antenna and down loaded copy of manual.Works perfect.Asking $165.00 shipped via UPS in the 48 states only.Can send pictures by direct e-mail request.Thank you....Dennis

3950. Re: Scanner Transceiver's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 05:41:47 UTC
From: ZOOM <kandrparker@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <yW%h7.59202$Nc3.5408118@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 60767

It's already a transceiver! The local oscillator can be picked up on another receiver/scanner. But I'm sure this is not what you wanted.
In short, can't be done! You'd have to build a separate transmitter. Truly not worth it! Besides, scanners unless your spending alot of money i.e. more than $1000, your getting the commercial crap that has poor bandwidth, tons of birdies and poor rejection. BEARCRAP, RADIOCRAP and all the companies that sell scanners in the $100-$400 range are poor.
The money in a good quality scanner does not go into bells and whistles but into proper filtering.

"CptDube" <cptdube@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010824150134.09094.00001335@mb-ct.aol.com...
> Does any one know how to turn any type of radio scanner into a fully
Scanner
> Transceiver?

3951. Re: Uniden BC560XLT Looking for frequency range

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 05:47:08 UTC
From: ZOOM <kandrparker@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <y%%h7.59213$Nc3.5411033@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 60768

Can you use the internet????
Found the info using the model number the first go.
It's 29-512Mhz

"Tram" <tramd300@goingplatinum.com> wrote in message
news:2bd4c5f2.0108251923.1bf3875@posting.google.com...
> Hello,
> I am looking for the frequency range of this scanner.
> I have tried uniden online but they have no manual online and I don't
> want to pay 10 bucks to find this info. out.
> I would be greatful for your help...
> Thank you in advance...

3952. Re: MVT9000 vs MVT7000 vs AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 05:51:11 UTC
From: RayC <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz>
Message-ID: <z80i7.5673$ww1.560282@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 60769

Ok that is your opinion

Give me two more names of people who agree with you,
and I will give you more than the 2 secs you deserve.

"Vinnie" <valair@btinternet.com> wrote in message
news:9lu6n1$9g$1@plutonium.btinternet.com...
> correction!!!, best medium priced load of crap around.
> I wouldn't give it house-room
> V
>

3953. Re: MVT9000 vs MVT7000 vs AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 05:53:13 UTC
From: RayC <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz>
Message-ID: <Ba0i7.5674$ww1.560125@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 60770

Yes I have it for the mvt7100

Will send you a copy.

Any one else, just send me an email.

"Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote in message
news:to7hlcio25iqad@corp.supernews.com...
> Ray,
> Are you the guy to see about the light mod for the 7100?? Seems like I've
> seen your name associated with it.
> Would appreciate any info, so the next time I reprogram all my ch. data, I
> can take the thing apart and do the mod, too...
> Thanks,
> Don
>
> RayC <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz> wrote in message
> news:47ng7.4880$ww1.495223@news02.tsnz.net...
> > Oh no you wont be.
> >
> > Best medium priced handheld available.
> >
> > "Vinnie" <valair@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> > news:9lrged$fj3$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
> > > You will be sorry!
> > > V
> > >
> > >
> > > LJ Murray <majestic.murray@virgin.net> wrote in message
> > > news:GkVf7.11225$0L6.1973722@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> > > > Thanks for the advice everyone.....I am going for MVT-7100.
> > > >
> > > > Regards
> > > >
> > > > LJ
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

3954. FREQS for Cuesta College??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 06:09:54 UTC
From: warrior <warrior5@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3B8892B5.C73E6A58@gte.net>
Source record: 60771
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

ok do u know where i Could get the frequencies for cuesta college ?

3955. 2 GHz Police radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 07:58:16 UTC
From: Samuel La Rue <SamLaRue@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <12D46A18.EF174D08@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 60772

Looking for more information.

3956. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 08:25:10 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Message-ID: <Gn2i7.84007$A47.40850121@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 60773

Therefore, over $500.00 price is quite fair. I will now entertain bids.
Start the bidding.

-jim

"dontask" <alwayschanging@myname.com> wrote in message
news:9m9ja7eod1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> news:kyIh7.83585$A47.39946412@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > This is a NEW NEVER USED in the box 2006. Where else can you find a new
> > 2006? It sold for over $350.00 new.
> >
>
>
> actually the cost was $599 at one time, I saw it in an old Radio shack
> catalog
> If you bought one for less than $200 you were very lucky! that's what they
> sell for on ebay, in average, cheesey condition, reeking of smoke, with
> cheese whiz all over the buttons, and the display semi-dim. "Excellent
> Shape!"
>
>

3957. Re: Scanner Transceiver's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 09:26:17 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9mafbq$10ogk$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60774

"ZOOM" <kandrparker@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:yW%h7.59202$Nc3.5408118@news20.bellglobal.com...

> BEARCRAP, RADIOCRAP and all the
> companies that sell scanners in the $100-$400 range are poor.

What's left?

3958. help with pro-2048 please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 10:00:36 UTC
From: robby0987@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26191-3B88C8C4-49@storefull-617.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 60775

hi, i was wondering if anyone knows how to modify or unblock a radio shack pro-2048 to be able to hear cell phones? help would be appreciated. thanks much in advance.

3959. spectra291@aol.com [Brian] adress does not exist any longer :-(

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 11:09:40 UTC
From: "Volker Tonn [odo]" <screwdriver@mailberlin.net>
Message-ID: <3B88D8F3.C73C481A@mailberlin.net>
Source record: 60776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi folks.

Does anybody know of a new email-adress of Brian or a postal adress?

Had last contact on dec. 26. 2000

Please get in contact with me by email-reply.

F'up set2me
thanks,
odo

3960. ACARS Log Analyser for Windows - Version 1.0.20 now available

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 11:14:57 UTC
From: mark.avey@virgin.net
Message-ID: <21fc4b52.0108260314.2029fda9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60777

We are pleased to announce that version 1.0.20 of Log Analyser for Windows is now available from http://www.acarsonline.co.uk

This release offers support for ZCARS logs and now allows the removal of duplicate data from the main database.

This is a FREE upgrade.

Thanks,

Mark Avey

3961. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 11:31:07 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <morton@whoville.com>
Message-ID: <%55i7.640$%e5.1588552@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 60778

I'll offer $10 and not a cent more. That scanner really won't hold a candle
to the PRO2042 but, heck, I need something cheap as a backup.

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
news:Gn2i7.84007$A47.40850121@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> Therefore, over $500.00 price is quite fair. I will now entertain bids.
> Start the bidding.
>
> -jim
>
>
> "dontask" <alwayschanging@myname.com> wrote in message
> news:9m9ja7eod1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> >
> > "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> > news:kyIh7.83585$A47.39946412@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > > This is a NEW NEVER USED in the box 2006. Where else can you find a
new
> > > 2006? It sold for over $350.00 new.
> > >
> >
> >
> > actually the cost was $599 at one time, I saw it in an old Radio shack
> > catalog
> > If you bought one for less than $200 you were very lucky! that's what
they
> > sell for on ebay, in average, cheesey condition, reeking of smoke, with
> > cheese whiz all over the buttons, and the display semi-dim. "Excellent
> > Shape!"
> >
> >
>
>

3962. Radio is fun again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 11:33:43 UTC
From: Ted <ohno@ohno.com>
Message-ID: <Xns91094D147A0notthistimecom@206.141.192.32>
Source record: 60779

I was getting a little bored with the hobby but recently added a
TenTec RX-320 and Uniden BC-780XLT to the shack.

I don't know if it's the novel - for me - aspect of marrying radio
and computer.

Or if it's just that they both work so daggone well.

All I know is I'm having a blast with both these little radios.
They're fun and work great.

Radio is fun again!!!

Just thought I'd share some newfound enthusiasm for the hobby :)

Ted

3963. F/S AOR 8000 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 11:45:23 UTC
From: DigitalEbola <digitalebola@iPrimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3b88e168@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 60780
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For Sale, AR 8000 handheld scanner

http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/rev8000.htm

$470 AUS - Not Neg

Comes with car charger, wall charger, leather case, origional antenna, box, and manual.

email me for further information (Geniune Inquiries only please)

anthony@clickfromreality.com

Introduced in late 1994, the AR8000 took the scanning world by store. Never before had such performance and features been packed into a single radio that you could hold in the palm of your hand. Dubbed 'The New Concept', AOR raised the playing field to a much higher level and eclipsed every other radio receiver on the market with the introduction of the AR8000.
The AR8000 came with 1000 channels in 20 banks. It boasted 20 search banks with 1000 search-skip frequencies. It had auto-store, two VFOs, an S-meter and could be controlled by computer. As if that weren't enough, it offered users the ability to tweak lots of receive options and allowed for alpha-numeric tags to be added to all memory channels and search banks!

Due to the 1997 Gingrich fiasco, the FCC recently revoked the certification for the AR8000 since it was too easy for people to reprogram the radio's bandplan thereby opening up the taboo cellular telephone bands. Never mind that this capability had been there for nearly two and a half years. Now with the AR8000 gone, many people are selling theirs for a premium. The rest of us wait to see what AOR replaces this great radio with.

What follows are my personal impressions regarding the features and performance of this radio. This, in no way, should be viewed as an endorsement to purchase this radio nor is it intended to discourage anyone from buying it. I have no personal or business relations with AOR Company Limited. These are simply thoughts offered to my fellow hobbyists who may be interested in purchasing this particular radio.

I simply ask that this information be kept intact. A lot of hard work and effort has gone into the testing and writing of this review. I would appreciate it if every effort is made to keep this document whole with me as the original author.

And if you want to share your thoughts about this review with me or have further questions, feel free to send e-mail.

Thank you and enjoy!

3964. Re: Newbie - Will cellular phone tower be a problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 12:45:44 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tohrrdpo0p7r24@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60781

I don't think their transmitter will have much of a inter-mod or overload problem from a cell tower nearby, however a lowly handheld receiver/scanner might. Not counting the fact that God is obviously on the college communications advisory board.....

D.Brown <dvbrown5@home.com> wrote in message news:Sw%h7.59440$K6.23442736@news2...
> Our local bible college has their radio tower right next (within 30ft!) to a
> big Cell array. Apparently it is no problem for them as they have been
> delivering fine Christian programming to the community in spite of the cell
> tower being put there..
>
> "tl" <atari1980@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:1ad5723c.0108241020.2920e949@posting.google.com...
> > Hi,
> >
> > I'm interested in purchasing a handheld scanner (IC-R2), but there is
> > a cellular phone tower that is less than a mile from my house. What
> > type of interference should I expect from this tower? Is it worth
> > buying the scanner or will I be unable to receive any signals?
> >
> > I'm very new to scanning, so any suggestions/advice would be greatly
> > appreciated.
> >
> > Thanks in advance,
> > at
>
>

3965. Frequency Haves and Wants

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 12:51:35 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010826085135.04179.00002979@nso-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 60782

Here are some frequencies for teh Houston, TX area. Following is a list of frequencies in same area that I would like to obtain.

Houston Police Department (non-trunked channels; these repeat the trunked radio system)
Ch. 01 -- 460.3250 North
Ch. 02 -- 460.1250 Northeast
Ch. 03 -- 460.0250 Southeast
Ch. 04 -- 460.0500 Southwest
Ch. 05 -- 460.4250 Detectives
Ch. 06 -- 460.1000 Central
Ch. 07 -- 460.3500 Operations
Ch. 08 -- 460.2250 Car-to-car
Ch. 09 -- 460.4000 C I D
Ch. 10 -- 460.4500 Detectives
Ch. 11 -- 460.4750 Northwest
Ch. 12 -- 460.1500 West
Ch. 13 -- 460.0750 South Central
Ch. 14 -- 460.3750 Traffic/Animal Control
Ch. 15 -- 460.5500 South Central

Other Houston PD Frequencies

154.7550 -- Airport Police
154.8600 -- Detectives Alternate Ch. 2
155.5500 -- Detectives Alternate Ch. 1
453.6500 -- Administration
453.7000 -- Data
453.9000 -- Communications Van
460.5250 -- Ambulance Monitor

Houston Fire Department
Ch. 1 -- 453.5000 Dispatch
Ch. 2 -- 453.4250 Alternate Dispatch/Administration
Ch. 3 -- 453.6750 Prevention/Tactical
Ch. 4 -- 453.9500 Investigatiors/Tactical
Ch. 5 -- 460.5750 Ambulance Alternate
Ch. 6 -- 462.9500 Ambulance Dispatch
Ch. 7 -- 462.9750 Ambulance Alternate Dispatch
Ch. 8 -- 460.6250 Ambulance Supervisors/Tactical

Other Houston FD Frequencies

154.3100 -- Pagers
460.5250 -- Ambulance Monitor

Okay, here is what I am looking for: the frequencies for Harris County and Fort Bend County Constables in Texas.

Thanks.

Me!

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

3966. forsale pro 2045 200ch base/mobile scanner with toneboard 125.00US express shipped

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 13:15:19 UTC
From: JP <oaktownboy@home.com>
Message-ID: <HD6i7.4048$at.972746@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 60783

for sale:

pro 2045
200 channel base/mobile scanner
MINT condition
has lots of bells and whistles
delay, weather search, data skip, auto transfer between banks
etc. comes with all original box, manual, wall plug, dc lighter adapter

125.00US inlcludes insured express delivery 4-6 days

thanks
oaktownboy@home.com

3967. Icom PCR100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 13:33:46 UTC
From: Aad Prein <aprein@wanadoo.nl>
Message-ID: <_U6i7.27$sC5.918@castor.casema.net>
Source record: 60784

LS,

Sinds kort ben ik in het bezit van deze, via de computer te besturen
scanner.
Ik vraag mij alleen af of het mogelijk is meerdere banken aan elkaar te
linken om te laten scannen. Zoals bij mijn Bearcat 9000xlt en mijn AR8000
mogelijk is.

Misschien weet iemand dit?

Groet,
Aad Prein
aprein@wanadoo.nl

3968. BC245XLT and Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 15:27:46 UTC
From: "diz@spacelab.net" <diz>
Message-ID: <v15iotkt1pkbu80boc16qe3qf1rhe9qfld@4ax.com>
Source record: 60785

I live in an apartment in Manhattan and have the BC245XLT scanner and was wondering if there is a small antenna rig I can hook up out side the apartment window, possibly hooked onto the fire escape that will not be visually obtrusive for obvious reasons and will give me better reception than I'm getting now with the retractable I got from radio shack. Would lightening be an issue if I found something and hooked it up? The other question is where can I find a battery for the scanner as it will no longer hold a charge. Thanks.

3969. Re: MVT9000 vs MVT7000 vs AOR AR8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 16:16:08 UTC
From: "S.Williams" <syd@netexecutive.com>
Message-ID: <9mb7ad$no9$1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 60786

Your not even worth two seconds of my time, go away and play with your toy scanner (7100)
V

RayC <rcolvill@paradise.net.nz> wrote in message news:z80i7.5673$ww1.560282@news02.tsnz.net...
> Ok that is your opinion
>
> Give me two more names of people who agree with you,
> and I will give you more than the 2 secs you deserve.
>
> "Vinnie" <valair@btinternet.com> wrote in message
> news:9lu6n1$9g$1@plutonium.btinternet.com...
> > correction!!!, best medium priced load of crap around.
> > I wouldn't give it house-room
> > V
> >
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.273 / Virus Database: 143 - Release Date: 16/08/01

3970. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 16:20:20 UTC
From: "S.Williams" <syd@netexecutive.com>
Message-ID: <9mb7i9$og1$1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 60787

You can get them here in the UK for around £100.00p
I bought an as new 2006 for £95.00p and also an as new 2042 for £105.00p
and both these were the unblocked Euro versions.
Anybody who gives over $170.00 for any used 2006 whether it is as new or not
needs their head examining.
V

```
Jim Wayda <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
news:Gn2i7.84007$A47.40850121@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> Therefore, over $500.00 price is quite fair. I will now entertain bids.
> Start the bidding.
>
> -jim
>
>
> "dontask" <alwayschanging@myname.com> wrote in message
> news:9m9ja7$eod$1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> >
> > "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> > news:kyIh7.83585$A47.39946412@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > > This is a NEW NEVER USED in the box 2006. Where else can you find a
new
> > > 2006? It sold for over $350.00 new.
> > >
> >
> >
> > actually the cost was $599 at one time, I saw it in an old Radio shack
> > catalog
> > If you bought one for less than $200 you were very lucky! that's what
they
> > sell for on ebay, in average, cheesey condition, reeking of smoke, with
> > cheese whiz all over the buttons, and the display semi-dim. "Excellent
> > Shape!"
> >
> >
>
>

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.273 / Virus Database: 143 - Release Date: 16/08/01
```

3971. Re: BC245XLT and Antenna's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 16:38:13 UTC
From: Ralf RF <ralf_rf@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9mb8n8jmm1@merki.connect.com.au>
Source record: 60788

Get yourself another bnc connector and some coax and wire the thing up so you can plug it into the buildings TV antenna from the socket in your place, if the antenna is on the roof you will be surprised what it will receive. TV antennas are desighned to cover a wide range of frequencies with both UHF and VHF TV being spread across a wide range, if you can't do that just put an old antenna outside on the fire stairs and see how it goes, it won't look like a scanner antenna its cheap and it works, vertical mounting of the TV antenna is the best way if your going to use it for scanning. The antenna on the roof you will have to use the way it is mounted now (horrizontal) but if you put one outside yourself then vertical mounting is the way to go. Of course there may be some loss by using the buiding antenna due to the coax installed being 75ohm when you should be using 50 ohm but if you wire up your own antenna then you can use 50ohm all the way. Don't laugh I've saved a fortune doing this, works as good as a beam antenna and a beam is the only real way to go when scanning as all these omnidirectional scanning antennas are shit anyway.
<diz> wrote in message news:v15iotkt1pkbu80boc16qe3qf1rhe9qfld@4ax.com...
> I live in an apartment in Manhattan and have the BC245XLT scanner and
> was wondering if there is a small antenna rig I can hook up out side
> the apartment window, possibly hooked onto the fire escape that will
> not be visually obtrusive for obvious reasons and will give me better
> reception than I'm getting now with the retractable I got from radio
> shack. Would lightening be an issue if I found something and hooked
> it up? The other question is where can I find a battery for the
> scanner as it will no longer hold a charge. Thanks.

3972. Re: Daytona Beach Police BUG Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 17:44:52 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <Pwai7.261$G76.161220@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 60789

That's OK, Tom, some of us got it. I thought the same thing myself when I saw the post.

Mark
K5MAR

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9m9d4r$vfd9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "GeorgeF" <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in message
> news:3B87C5AA.57BD5340@licensed4fun.com...
> >
> > > > Hi,
> > > > I listen to the Daytona Beach Police running Prostitute and Drug
> stings...
> > >
> > > > Several weeks ago they were talking about the repeater
> > > > going down and trying to get it back up.....
> > > >
> > >
> > > Are you sure it was the repeater they were talking about?
> > >
> > > Sorry, couldn't resist.
> > >
> > > Tom
> >
> > Yes because one day they said "the power supply to the repeater quit,
> without
> > the repeater we don't have enough range". That day they quit early.
>
> Sorry, I was trying to make a lame joke there....
>
>
>

3973. Fort Leonardwood MP freq. and surrounding area

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 18:00:05 UTC
From: Rodger & Tedi MacKendrick <renegade@train.missouri.org>
Message-ID: <bOai7.351$id.197@news.more.net>
Source record: 60790

If anyone could help me out I be really grateful. I just recently moved to the area and am looking for the scanner frequency specifically for the Fort Leonardwood military police and if you have them for any of the surrounding area police and fire. Thanks Rodger

3974. Packet Switching/PC-Scanner Connection

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 18:23:22 UTC
From: NadaTech <GoSpamSomeonelse@OK.com>
Message-ID: <G0bi7.1146$tV6.42055@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 60791

I used to know a guy who tuned his scanner in to 'packet switching' networks and the text would scroll across his screen, like the old BBS yoyu used to call with your modem. Do these still exist, and what would I need to get my PC connected to them?

3975. RS PRO 2006 WHATS THE BIG DEAL?? IT'S OLD NEWS..!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 19:30:38 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3b894cd7.1617158@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 60792

Hi all..
Listen i don't see what all the noise is about i bought a new 2006
about 4 yrs ago from one of you news net guys, it was the best
condition i have ever seen... sure i paid 300.00 and was happy to do
it... but with all the NEWMEGA scanners out there... and most of the
cellular /pcs carriers using CDMA(digital) there ain't much left to
listen to except cordless phones... and that's even getting dull....
bunch of housewifes talking rubbish.... I just bought me a new BC
780... now i just have to learn to use it
gig@i-2000.com

3976. Re: RS PRO 2006 WHATS THE BIG DEAL?? IT'S OLD NEWS..!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 20:47:24 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3B89605C.F4DCFA3E@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 60794

GaijinGig wrote:

> Hi all..
> Listen i don't see what all the noise is about i bought a new 2006
> about 4 yrs ago from one of you news net guys, it was the best
> condition i have ever seen... sure i paid 300.00 and was happy to do
> it... but with all the NEWMEGA scanners out there... and most of the
> cellular /pcs carriers using CDMA(digital) there ain't much left to
> listen to except cordless phones... and that's even getting dull....
> bunch of housewifes talking rubbish.... I just bought me a new BC
> 780... now i just have to learn to use it
> gig@i-2000.com

There is lots to listen to if you live in the right location. I live in Daytona Beach, the local police come in great on my PRO 94's and PRO 2054. But most of my listening is Mil Air and NASA communications, both I can pickup great here in Daytona.

I too have a PRO2006 granted it can't trunk but stacked beside all my other scanners (the list includes: PRO26, PRO94, PRO2054, PRO2035, and I've owned a few BC's from time to time) the PRO2006 has MUCH MUCH better sensitivity than the others.

I wouldn't give $0.02 for another BearCat, the worse for images I've owned, especially in the MilAir bands. The BC9000 may have Cell freqs blocked but it didn't much matter as so many Cell images appeard in the Mil Air band it made trying to monitor the MilAir band WORTHLESS with that scanner.

George
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <-RadiosOnLine Best News Source for Scanner Owners

--

I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--

3977. Re: Beware of Auburn Metrology Labs Inc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 22:44:09 UTC
From: "Donald E. Smith" <desmith@innercite.com>
Message-ID: <3B897BB9.285D4598@innercite.com>
Source record: 60795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I too, am in the repair business and do not take lightly the ethics of repair. They had the equipment for almost a year and never even opened it up. They never responded to my requests for an update or sent me any kind of communications regarding the status of its repair. If you or I ever ran our business that way we would not be able to enjoy our good reputations. Don

Neville Y wrote:

> Sorry Donald, I really do not understand your need to express your views
> here. As I read your post you paid $110 when they estimated "under $300". We
> have your side of the story and I do believe you version, but I think it is
> fair to have the other side. I am involved in servicing and while perhaps
> 95% of all repairs are straightforward, some do go wrong. I'm not really
> trying to give excuses, but some of the problems I have encountered include.
> Leaving a message at the contact phone number regarding repair and they do
> not pass it on (they will never admit this later).
> Wrong phone number supplied.
>
> No response to phone calls (or message left). Please understand I may have
> 50 repairs at any one time and I can not call each client 5 times daily
> (work it out).
> Email can be impossible. I often receive over 300 messages per day. How can
> I read or replay to them all and still have time to repair your radio?
>
> I have also hung up on callers. Some can never see reason.
> No doubt others have had bad servicing experiences, but many will have good
> ones. We will always hear the complaints.
>
> Best Regards
> Neville
>
> "Donald E. Smith" <desmith@innercite.com> wrote in message
> news:3B7C1DDB.53B4193B@innercite.com...
> > This is a warning notice to all in radio land about a test equipment
> > company located in Auburn, KY. They are Auburn Metrological Labs at
> > 12345 Bowling Green Road, Auburn KY. 42206. Their number is 270
> > 542-6000.
> >
> > Based on information given to me by their General Manager, Ken Knowles,
> > they would be able to repair my Cushman CE-50 that was having a receive
> > problem. It generated fine and all pll were locking fine. They gave me
> > an estimate of under $300.00 with a turnaround of about one week. I
> > sent it to them for repair on February 24, 2001 based on this

> > information. After a couple of months went by without even an
> > acknowledgment of receiving it, I tried to contact them by telephone and
> > email. Still I received no information on it and after numerous emails
> > and a couple of letters, one being a legal demand letter, the unit
> > showed up at my door with a 110.00 COD charge. After contacting the
> > company I was advised that Ken Knowles was on vacation and the service
> > manager was arrogant, rude and even hung up on me. If you value your
> > equipment and it is in need of repairs or calibration, PLEASE do Not
> > send it to them. You will regret it.
> >
> > My options, against them, are not exhausted but I would rather have
> > never had the problem to begin with. It has the tendency to taint all
> > repair facilities. This outfit was recommended by a person from one of
> > the amateur news groups. I hope I have prevented any grief for others
> > by this post. Thanks for listening to my ranting. 73's Don Smith
> > KB6GQA
> >

3978. Re: 2 GHz Police radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 23:06:36 UTC
From: Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9mbl42blb1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 60793

Who makes them? What department(s) uses them?

Highest freqs I have ever heard voice comms on was, I believe, 1011.4625MHz,
the Mass. State Police, over a year ago. No longer have that scanner in my
car though so I don't know if that freq is still used.

Samuel La Rue <SamLaRue@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:12D46A18.EF174D08@worldnet.att.net...
> Looking for more information.

3979. Re: Beware of Auburn Metrology Labs Inc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-26 23:30:27 UTC
From: Chris Johnson <cmjohnson@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <3B898753.CBD89615@cfl.rr.com>
Source record: 60796
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Here are the rules you must accept in any service industry:

Never make excuses. The truth is the only answer that is ever acceptable.

The customer may not always be right, but you must treat him as if he is.

Respect and courtesy for your customers, workers, and suppliers are
absolutely essential.

Never over-promise. If it's going to take a year, say so.

Never under-estimate. If it's going to take a grand to fix it, say so.

If you're so busy that you can't attend to the details of customer service,
then you can't afford not to hire somebody who will take on that task.

If you point your finger at a customer and say 'He's the problem!' then
note that while one finger's pointing at him, the rest are pointing back
at you.

An argument occurs at the intersection of two jerks. You can avoid
the argument by behaving in a non-jerk-like manner.

Deliver the unit as promised. If you can't get to it in the quoted time,
contact the customer and explain. If he asks for it back, return it
without hesitation, with an apology, and postage paid. It's worth it
for good customer relations.

Your standard of service must be the same for all customers.
Do EVERY job to the highest standards and EVERY customer
will be pleased and will deal with you again.

Last but not least, NEVER jump customer's equipment in line.
They come in first, they get serviced first. No customer's equipment
should ever be set aside to do an expedited repair on another unit
for a more important customer. No customer is any more or less
important than any other because they're all paying you.

CJ

Neville Y wrote:

> Sorry Donald, I really do not understand your need to express your views
> here. As I read your post you paid $110 when they estimated "under $300". We
> have your side of the story and I do believe you version, but I think it is
> fair to have the other side. I am involved in servicing and while perhaps
> 95% of all repairs are straightforward, some do go wrong. I'm not really

> trying to give excuses, but some of the problems I have encountered include.
> Leaving a message at the contact phone number regarding repair and they do
> not pass it on (they will never admit this later).
> Wrong phone number supplied.
>
> No response to phone calls (or message left). Please understand I may have
> 50 repairs at any one time and I can not call each client 5 times daily
> (work it out).
> Email can be impossible. I often receive over 300 messages per day. How can
> I read or replay to them all and still have time to repair your radio?
>
> I have also hung up on callers. Some can never see reason.
> No doubt others have had bad servicing experiences, but many will have good
> ones. We will always hear the complaints.
>
> Best Regards
> Neville
>
> "Donald E. Smith" <desmith@innercite.com> wrote in message
> news:3B7C1DDB.53B4193B@innercite.com...
> > This is a warning notice to all in radio land about a test equipment
> > company located in Auburn, KY. They are Auburn Metrological Labs at
> > 12345 Bowling Green Road, Auburn KY. 42206. Their number is 270
> > 542-6000.
> >
> > Based on information given to me by their General Manager, Ken Knowles,
> > they would be able to repair my Cushman CE-50 that was having a receive
> > problem. It generated fine and all pll were locking fine. They gave me
> > an estimate of under $300.00 with a turnaround of about one week. I
> > sent it to them for repair on February 24, 2001 based on this
> > information. After a couple of months went by without even an
> > acknowledgment of receiving it, I tried to contact them by telephone and
> > email. Still I received no information on it and after numerous emails
> > and a couple of letters, one being a legal demand letter, the unit
> > showed up at my door with a 110.00 COD charge. After contacting the
> > company I was advised that Ken Knowles was on vacation and the service
> > manager was arrogant, rude and even hung up on me. If you value your
> > equipment and it is in need of repairs or calibration, PLEASE do Not
> > send it to them. You will regret it.
> >
> > My options, against them, are not exhausted but I would rather have
> > never had the problem to begin with. It has the tendency to taint all
> > repair facilities. This outfit was recommended by a person from one of
> > the amateur news groups. I hope I have prevented any grief for others
> > by this post. Thanks for listening to my ranting. 73's Don Smith
> > KB6GQA
> >

3980. NEED 2035 ZEROMATIC MOD !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 00:58:01 UTC
From: jer1538a@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826205801.11688.00002605@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 60697

Does anyone know where to find the mod that makes the zeromatic work on scan as well as search ??? It is a wire cutting and a resister to ground like the 2006 i think ??

THANX !!

3981. Re: Frequencies for Cruise Line

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 01:00:54 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010826210054.10760.00002836@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 60700

Yes, try the marine frequencies first when they're in port, and work your way from there. It is possible, though, that intraship comms are probably on regular itinerant frequencies in the GMRS service. Try some of the GMRS frequencies between 155 and 160 MHz, and 450 to 452 MHz. You might also try between 470 and 490 MHz.

In any event, the length of the antenna on their HTs will give you a good indication of what band they are on.

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

3982. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 01:00:55 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010826210055.10760.00002838@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 60698

I prefer the oatmeal cookies myself.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

3983. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 01:00:55 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010826210055.10760.00002837@nso-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 60699

In article <9m9ja7eod1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>, "dontask"
<alwayschanging@myname.com> writes:

>actually the cost was $599 at one time, I saw it in an old Radio shack
>catalog

Yes, and most people know that Radio Shack (more "affectionately" known as Rat
Trap) is always overpriced.

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

3984. Freqs for Hondo/Castroville Texas area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 01:13:03 UTC
From: gngrbrd@micron.net
Message-ID: <be665a17.0108261713.25490e2c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60701

Hello,

I've been able to find a number of web sites with frequency lists for the San Antonio area. But I've been unable to find any specific to the area around Castroville, Hondo, Quihi, and Rio Medina.

I purchased an handheld scanner for my dad who lives in that area, but I'm a long ways from there, so I'm looking for help in pre-programming the unit for him. Maybe there are some ham radio operators or scanner buffs in the area that could give me a hand.

If you can, please post a reply and let me know. I sure would appreciate any help I can get on this little project...

Thanks,

Ken

3985. Re: RS PRO 2006 WHATS THE BIG DEAL?? IT'S OLD NEWS..!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 01:13:12 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <773CBB0EB0091C48.CD4CFA5654B35F59.7EDD4FC49927145E@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60702

On Sun, 26 Aug 2001 16:47:24 -0400, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
wrote:

[snip]
>I wouldn't give $0.02 for another BearCat, the worse for images I've
>owned, especially in the MilAir bands. The BC9000 may have Cell freqs
>blocked but it didn't much matter as so many Cell images appeard in the
>Mil Air band it made trying to monitor the MilAir band WORTHLESS with
>that scanner.
>
>George
>http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <-RadiosOnLine Best News Source for
>Scanner Owners

Just curious. What frequency range are you referring to as the
MilAir band?

3986. Frequencies for a city in Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 01:51:38 UTC
From: quest33142@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010826215138.21452.00004040@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 60703

Hi,

I am trying to find some police frequencies for St. Clair Shores Michigan.

Can anyone help?

Thanks

3987. Re: A Dumb Question, I'm Sure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 02:18:01 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010826221801.22527.00003060@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 60706

In article <20010728.204213.1722060049.6366@njmc.com>, "John LeMay"
<jlemay@njmc.com> writes:

>For the most part, all information you receive over your scanner is in FM
>mode. Some exceptions to this rule are the airport and aircraft range of
>118-136 and the military range of 225-400 (or so) MHz - both of which use
>AM.

Isn't the marine band AM mode? Or is that FM? And if I am not mistaken,
railroad frequencies are also AM.

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

3988. Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 02:18:02 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010826221802.22527.00003061@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 60704

I am rather scanner poor, but here is what I have:

Cobra SR-900, 16 Channel
Bearcat BC700A, 50 Channel plus preprogrammed banks
Bearcat 8, 8 Channel VHF/UHF crystal scanner

That's it!

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

3989. Re: Bill Cheek is still dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 02:18:02 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010826221802.22527.00003062@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 60707

In article <9RC87.952$n_3.1013515@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>, "HateSpam" <hatespam@lnoemail.com> writes:

>> Is this a long delayed skip echo or a case of lost in the mail.
>> Cheek is dead

This is a case of the message going through the E-layer skip and ending up somewhere on the other side of Jupiter. From there, it was swept away by a solar magnetic storm, where it tunneled through a wormhole and transited through a rift in the space-time continuum. This caused a shift in the local graviton field, thereby resulting in a shift in time. At this point, the message went back through the rift and the wormhole, reaching relativistic speeds, eventually arriving in this newsgroup at this time.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

3990. Re: In the Loop at Last, or,...ThankYou George W.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 02:18:03 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010826221803.22527.00003063@nso-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 60705

In article <3b637662.172879775@news.erols.com>, cathexis@erols.com (Set'em up Joe) writes:

> You're right about the low-flow toilets.

Especially the ones with $600 toilet seats.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

3991. Re: RS PRO 2006 WHATS THE BIG DEAL?? IT'S OLD NEWS..!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 02:31:03 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3B89B0E7.5CF19907@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 60709

The_AntiArt wrote:

>
>
> Just curious. What frequency range are you referring to as the
> MilAir band?

About 220MHz to about 400 MHz.

--

I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--

3992. Re: A Dumb Question, I'm Sure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 02:31:32 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <tbii7.434$G76.312642@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 60708

Nope, the railroads use conventional VHF business band FM radios. I've got an old railroad Motorola MT-500 that I put on the local EMA frequency.

As for the marine bands, the VHF marine channels are VHF-FM. The older HF frequencies are SSB.

Mark
K5MAR

"Me" <n0jaa@aol.compost> wrote in message news:20010826221801.22527.00003060@nso-bk.aol.com...
> In article <20010728.204213.1722060049.6366@njmc.com>, "John LeMay"
> <jlemay@njmc.com> writes:
>
> >For the most part, all information you receive over your scanner is in FM
> >mode. Some exceptions to this rule are the airport and aircraft range of
> >118-136 and the military range of 225-400 (or so) MHz - both of which use
> >AM.
>
> Isn't the marine band AM mode? Or is that FM? And if I am not mistaken,
> railroad frequencies are also AM.
>
> Paul.
>
>
> "We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."
>
>
>

3993. Any live internet broadcasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 03:11:59 UTC
From: Jason <metty@Karson.com>
Message-ID: <3Uii7.18078$sa.9033447@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 60710

I was wondering if anyones scanner was hooked up to the internet in real time so i could hear it? I am considering getting a scanner but i am not sure if it will be entertaining enough. Does anyone know of a site with a scanner hooked up to a police station or something?
Thanks

3994. Re: Frequencies for Cruise Line

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 05:01:13 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <tuki7.11146$AL4.1243691158@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 60711

Found a site that has Cruise Ship freqs. Didn't see if it had yours, Sorry! But it might help. It's the Long Island Area Scanning Resources and the address that'll take you to where the listing is www.fordyce.org/scanning/travelfrew . Hope this helps!!!! Have a good cruise!!!! Eric

3995. Re: 2 GHz Police radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 05:35:28 UTC
From: Samuel La Rue <SamLaRue@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B89DC62.E3DEFB82@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 60712

Toscano wrote:
>
> Who makes them? What department(s) uses them?
>
> Highest freqs I have ever heard voice comms on was, I believe, 1011.4625MHz,
> the Mass. State Police, over a year ago. No longer have that scanner in my
> car though so I don't know if that freq is still used.
>
FCC database shows the city of Santa Rosa, CA using 2470GHz for
Police, all other depts of city using 150's, 460's etc. Locals tell me
police moved out of range of regular scanners. I can pickup most
everything else in the county and surounding area.
S.LR

3996. Re: Frequencies for Cruise Line

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 05:36:00 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <4%ki7.11182$7J5.1247658159@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 60713

BIG OOOOPS, That last of the address should be travelfreq. SORRY!!!

3997. Re: 2 GHz Police radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 05:54:12 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com>
Message-ID: <8gli7.8646$y13.1269367@typhoon.san.rr.com>
Source record: 60714

"Samuel La Rue" <SamLaRue@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3B89DC62.E3DEFB82@worldnet.att.net...
> Toscano wrote:
> >
> > Who makes them? What department(s) uses them?
> >
> > Highest freqs I have ever heard voice comms on was, I believe,
1011.4625MHz,
> > the Mass. State Police, over a year ago. No longer have that scanner in
my
> > car though so I don't know if that freq is still used.
> >
> FCC database shows the city of Santa Rosa, CA using 2470GHz for

This is probably either radar guns, or point-to-point microwave links.

> Police, all other depts of city using 150's, 460's etc. Locals tell me
> police moved out of range of regular scanners. I can pickup most
> everything else in the county and surounding area.

Most likely they've gone to cellular/digital/trunked, or a county owned
system of that type.

I see Santa Rosa is currently licensed in the Public Safety/Special
Emergency group for 858.4375, though.

3998. Air show Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 09:59:40 UTC
From: MWH <markr@en.com>
Message-ID: <bj6kotcu1g1dd63fovc5i8iu78a14fcetp@4ax.com>
Source record: 60715

Hi
The air show starts in Cleveland next weekend.
anyone got a list of freqs?

Thanks
Mark

3999. Re: 2 GHz Police radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 11:50:56 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mdc70$9bf$0@63.162.246.251>
Source record: 60716

Frequencies that high are not used for your normal
voice comms, but they are used for microwave links between
tower sites, and may be used for incar video, in the few
applications where they are tranmitting that video
to dispatch.

Samuel La Rue wrote:
>
> Toscano wrote:
> >
> > Who makes them? What department(s) uses them?
> >
> > Highest freqs I have ever heard voice comms on was, I believe, 1011.4625MHz,
> > the Mass. State Police, over a year ago. No longer have that scanner in my
> > car though so I don't know if that freq is still used.
> >
> FCC database shows the city of Santa Rosa, CA using 2470GHz for
> Police, all other depts of city using 150's, 460's etc. Locals tell me
> police moved out of range of regular scanners. I can pickup most
> everything else in the county and surounding area.
> S.LR

4000. Re: 2 GHz Police radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 11:56:14 UTC
From: Blake Bowers <bbowers@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9mdcgu$ac7$0@63.162.246.251>
Source record: 60717

Skip Sanders wrote:
>

> > FCC database shows the city of Santa Rosa, CA using 2470GHz for
>
> This is probably either radar guns, or point-to-point microwave links.
>
Licensing for police radar guns fall under their normal
voice license - no additional authorization needed.

4001. Re: Scanner Transceiver's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 13:38:31 UTC
From: WilliamW@home.net
Message-ID: <3B8A4D57.7B913E@home.net>
Source record: 60718

The word "transceiver" means to transmit. You would have to build a transmitter. With that thought in mind, you are not permitted to transmit on frequencies that you are not licensed on. IE: Police, fire, ems, business, federal - state - or local government, amateur, etc..... So what's the point. The Amateur Radio community and public safety has had transceivers that scan for many years, I have a hand held that scans frequencies on two bands.

CptDube wrote:

> Does any one know how to turn any type of radio scanner into a fully Scanner
> Transceiver?

4002. Re: newbie to scanning, need some advice.... :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 13:45:43 UTC
From: Heather and Greg <heatherandgreg@home.com>
Message-ID: <basi7.320157$qc.37870831@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 60719

I prefer the pro 94 to the 92 as the 94, IMHO, is more clear. I have owned both but took the 94 back after a day with it. Ebay is an awesome place to pick up scanners. Also, many areas have people that design websites for their area just for scanning enthusiasts. That is a great way to get info for your area. I also live right next door to Oceana's Master Jet Base for the Navy and had to get a pro-2042 to picck up the airband. Once you get into the hobby you'll find yourself wanting more and more. I actually put a discone in my attic for both my scanners and I want more! Have fun!

4003. Re: newbie to scanning, need some advice.... :)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 13:59:42 UTC
From: Heather and Greg <heatherandgreg@home.com>
Message-ID: <insi7.320194$qc.37873373@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 60720

I took the 92 back, not the 94

4004. Re: BC245XLT and Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 14:15:37 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dCsi7.36789$I7.6430789@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 60721

At Grove Enterprises, you can pick up the BP-180:
http://www.grove-ent.com/BAT5.html or BP-120 with the charging cradle:
http://www.grove-ent.com/BAT24.html

Also at Grove, take a look at their selection of antennas:
http://www.grove-ent.com/antennas.html

Here's an information page all about antennas at Strong Signals:
http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/antenna.htm

Hope this helps.

4005. Re: Air show Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 14:19:42 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <2Gsi7.36791$l7.6431285@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 60722

Take a look at some of these sites:

http://personalpages.tds.net/~ray/airshow.htm

http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/airshofq.html

http://members.tripod.com/columbus_east/airshow.shtml

Here's a site solely for the Blue Angels frequencies:
http://www.angelfire.com/va/scannerclub/page7.html

Also, here's a site that will list the frequencies for Burke Lakefront
Airport: http://www.airnav.com/airport/KBKL

4006. Re: Freqs for Hondo/Castroville Texas area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 14:25:22 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <mLsi7.36793$l7.6432257@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 60723

Frequencies for Hondo:
http://www.cityfreq.com/tx/hondo/

Frequencies for Castroville:
http://www.cityfreq.com/tx/castroville/

Frequencies for Hondo Municipal Airport:
http://www.airnav.com/airport/KHDO

Frequencies for Castroville Municipal Airport:
http://www.airnav.com/airport/T89

I wasn't able to locate any frequencies for Quihi or Rio Medina.

4007. Re: FREQS for Cuesta College??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 14:29:55 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <DPsi7.36794$I7.6432820@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 60724
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Try using the FCC database:
http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

Search for the county and state you're in, and see if what you're looking
for is listed.

4008. Legal cell phone monitoring?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 14:54:30 UTC
From: Paul Zook <lazpaz@teleweb.net>
Message-ID: <998924327.84411@axilla.wwnet.net>
Source record: 60726

With many cities now banning the use of hand held cell phones in cars, there are several companies offering various hands free devices.

I saw an ad on television for a unit that will work through your car stereo system. You attach a microphone to the earpiece of the phone then tune your car stereo to 96.1 fm and you can hear the caller through the car's stereo system. Would this be legal to monitor?

Listening in on baby monitors is not illegal because the government says that because you knowingly place a transmission device within your home used specifically for monitoring, you are not protected from people listening in. Would this be the same with this device that transmits to a car radio? I have been driving around listening to 96.1 and have not picked up anything yet. Those idiots who demand privacy on cell phone calls should be up in arms that someone is creating a device to re-transmit supposedly protected transmissions over fm radio. Will the government ban these devices that they have forced the industry to create through the banning of hand held cell phones? or will they simply ban car stereos?

These are things I think about when I am home alone and the television is broke.

4009. Re: Legal cell phone monitoring?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 17:04:36 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3B8A7DA4.F5651642@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 60727

Paul Zook wrote:

> Listening in on baby monitors is not illegal because the government says
> that because you knowingly place a transmission device within your home used
> specifically for monitoring, you are not protected from people listening in.
> Would this be the same with this device that transmits to a car radio? I
> have been driving around listening to 96.1 and have not picked up anything
> yet. Those idiots who demand privacy on cell phone calls should be up in
> arms that someone is creating a device to re-transmit supposedly protected
> transmissions over fm radio. Will the government ban these devices that
> they have forced the industry to create through the banning of hand held
> cell phones? or will they simply ban car stereos?--

The people using Cell phones want privacy but yet they will talk on their phone out in public for all to hear... Its especially annoying in a restaurant when trying to eat and you can hear the guy in the booth next to you talking on his cell phone louder than you can hear your dinner partner. Cell phones annoy me as much as a screaming child in the restraurnt....memeber parents spanking has not been outlawed!
George
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <-RadiosOnLine the Latest in Scanner News and Frequencies

---
I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--

4010. Re: FCC Database and Excel...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 17:45:58 UTC
From: Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com>
Message-ID: <8b0lotg3vpcd62kfft7adubr34ag2mrag6@4ax.com>
Source record: 60728

Hey Andy, thanks a bunch for pointing that URL out to us (at least me). Like several others in here, I've been known on more than a few occasions to dig through the FCC's database straight through its search engine (the General Menu site). That engine is so limiting in what kind of criteria you can search for, however, that I would certainly rather import all these DAT files into XLS sheets and weed out all of the data I obviously would have no interest in.

Anyway, from what I'm understanding from your questions here, I'm under the impression you're trying to transfer the data found in the DAT files to XLS format while also not having to go through the hassle of manually separating each of the sections for each line. If this is the case, I can definitely help you with your problem. Here's what you need to know and do:

Each Excel worksheet has a maximum capacity of 65,536 lines of text. There are 57 lines of data in each page from the DAT files found in those FCC ZIP files. Dividing 65,536 by 57 gives you a bit more than 1,149 pages of data you can transfer. In the "AC.dat" file alone (found in the l_aircr.zip file - Aircraft 47 CFR section), there are 1,173 pages of data, so obviously doing a CTRL+A (Select All) command will cause a copy/paste or "Insert File" command (from Excel) to cut the document off.

To save you the hastle of trying to locate where exactly the transfer will leave off, I posted this simple math so you can know exactly which page you can go to the end of from Page 1, Line 1 and not worry about overshooting the capacity of the Excel sheet. So go down to the end of page 1,149 and left click+drag the mouse all the way back up to the very beginning of the document first. Use the Copy/Paste commands (CTRL+C and CTRL+V or use them from the toolbars and/or menus) to copy all that data from the DAT file to the XLS file.

Next, click the "A" at the top of column A so you can highlight the entire column (the data hasn't been separated into their own columns yet). Once that is done, open the "DATA" menu in Excel and select the "TEXT TO COLUMNS..." command.

A dialog box will appear with 2 radio buttons on the upper part of the window. Select "DELIMITED" and click NEXT.

On the next screen, you'll see 3 sections of the window. The upper left section shows "delimiters" criteria, the upper right shows info you won't need to worry about, and the bottom section shows a preview of what your criteria should do. Check the "OTHER" box in the delimiters section and type | into the box next to it (the | key on my

keyboard is SHIFT+\ if you need help finding it). You want this, of course, because that's what the FCC has used in the DAT files to separate each section. Once you've done that, click NEXT again.

The next - and final screen - gives you the ability to format each column. I would suggest you set all columns to TEXT one at a time so that there's no risk of Excel making its own assumptions and altering any of the data that has been copied. Once you format all columns as TEXT, click FINISH and you'll now see each section in its own column. Warning: even with an 850MHz PC with 256MB of RAM (my setup), this will cause a minute or so of pause in Excel. Don't be alarmed though. It just natural takes it that long to perform the command you've just instructed it to do.

On a side note, if you find any other large files and want to figure out ways to more easily search for the specific parts of the data that you want to get to while in Excel, I know a trick or two there as well.

Example: I saw in one of those DAT files some information that included city and state. Without researching, I would assume those are transmitter cities. Considering the fact, I live in Southern California and would have no interest in anything outside my general region (CA, AZ, NV, etc). If you would like to have a feature to easily show only those areas which interest you, just highlight Row 1. Then go to the DATA menu, select FILTER, then AUTO FILTER. The top cell in each column should now have a pull-down menu you can use to select specific items. If there's some criteria that you know you will never have any interest in, you can always select that particular criteria so that only it appears and then drag the mouse down the row numbers to delete all of them from your list.

Sorry about the long post here, but this should give you a good and complete explanation to help you do what you apparently desire to do much more easily. Please let me know if this is actually what you were looking for.

Jughead

Andy Domonkos wrote:

>I guess the best way to answer that is to take a look at their web site at
>
>http://www.fcc.gov/fcc-bin/wtbdatadump.pl
>
>There are files listed that you need to load into X first and then merge
>the database. This is where I get lost.
>
>Thanks.
>
>Andy
>

>
>b7spectra wrote:
>
>> What format is the database in? You may want to try Access. Or, save
>> the file as a .txt and then open it that way in Excel. How big is
>> your file?
>>
>> /\/\|k.e
>>
>> webmaster@scanatlanta.com
>> www.ScanAtlanta.com
>>
>> On Fri, 24 Aug 2001 21:14:35 GMT, Andy <capt-tripps@home.com> wrote:
>>
>> >Does anyone know how to merge the FCC database files with their
>> >definitions files in Microsoft Excel? I'm not an Excel expert.
>> >
>> >Thanks.
>> >
>> >Andy
>> >

4011. Re: Help me please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 18:44:48 UTC
From: ZOOM <kandrparker@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <chwi7.20066$Hr2.1106776@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 60729

Not breaking any laws? What planet are you from?
Breaking several laws! Privacy for instance!
Get your facts straight before you post!

"njscan" <njscan@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:Y1Fh7.22270$Co6.6322767@news02.optonline.net...
> Brian, you are fair game!
> He can listen on most scanners and he's not breaking any laws. I do it all
> the time!
>
>
> "Brian" <bjergens@MailAndNews.com> wrote in message
> news:3B90702A@MailAndNews.com...
> > I recently found out that a kid who lives down the street from us has a
> > scanner. We have had minor problems with him before. I use a regular
cheap
> > 900
> > mhz phone. I'm pretty sure it's not spread spectrum or digital. How easy
> > would
> > it be for him to listen on our conversations? I know he could do it if
he
> > modified his scanner in a certain way but I'm pretty sure it's not
> modified.
> > I
> > dont care how he does it, I'm just wondering if it is possible or easy
for
> > him
> > to do with a fairly new scanner.
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > --
> > Get your FREE web-based e-mail and newsgroup access at:
> > http://MailAndNews.com
> >
> > Create a new mailbox, or access your existing IMAP4 or
> > POP3 mailbox from anywhere with just a web browser.
> > --
> >
>
>

4012. Re: Help me please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 18:47:13 UTC
From: ZOOM <kandrparker@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <sjwi7.20084$Hr2.1108402@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 60730

That's great if your using a regular phone but the other party may be on a cordless and someone listening to you that way!

"Urbie Watrous" <flagstaff@nospam.gtemail.net> wrote in message news:ilgfot4st3n6oqdk0ek38aar33nmms1aq6@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 23 Aug 2001 15:06:07 -0400, Brian <bjergens@MailAndNews.com>
> tapped out:
>
> >I recently found out that a kid who lives down the street from us has a
> >scanner. [snip]
>
> My basic attitude is that anything I say on the cordless phone can be
> overheard -- so if I'm making any kind of sensitive call (e.g., one
> involving financial information, credit card transactions, etc.), I
> use the land line (usually, that means my fax machine). If I'm just
> yakking away with a friend, I'll use the cordless phone -- who cares
> if someone hears about how I did in last Sunday's bowling tournament?
>
> I live in an apartment complex -- and, truth be told, I've been known
> to listen to the occasional cordless call on my scanner. I'm in the
> low-rent district of town, so most of the calls tend to center around
> the subject of money -- namely, that no one around here HAS any! I
> tend to either get bored or just feel that I really shouldn't be
> listening to someone else's phone call, and go do something else. But
> yeah, it's just a fact of life that anything you broadcast can be
> received -- that should be no surprise. If you want privacy, either
> use a wired phone or get a digital cordless unit, as suggested by
> others.
>
> Of course, the "disinformation" idea does sound like fun -- if you
> suspect the kid's listening in, it might be good for a laugh....
>
> Urb
> ---------------------------------------
> Never argue with a 10-16 101.

4013. Re: F/S AOR AR2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 19:07:42 UTC
From: vda3531@joplin.com
Message-ID: <62d186a6.0108271107.fff4584@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

vda3531@joplin.com (Dennis Garrison) wrote in message
news:<62d186a6.0108252118.9fe610d@posting.google.com>...
> Have for sale an AOR AR2002 scanner. Scanner is in what I would
> consider mint condition.This scanner is known by most hobbyists of
> these groups. Features the LED green/red s-meter feature.Complete with
> box and packing material, AC Wall wart, DC Direst wire line,
> telescopic antenna and down loaded copy of manual.Works perfect.Asking
> $165.00 shipped via UPS in the 48 states only.Can send pictures by
> direct e-mail request.Thank you....Dennis

SCANNER HAS SOLD.THANK YOU

4014. Re: Freqs for Hondo/Castroville Texas area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 19:18:14 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010827151814.17422.00003101@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 60733

In article <mLsi7.36793$l7.6432257@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>, "TheF16Falcon"
<thef16falcon@si.rr.com> writes:

>I wasn't able to locate any frequencies for Quihi or Rio Medina.
>

Try a search for the counties those two locales are in. Most likely their
police and fire services are covered by county services.

Hondo and Castroville are both in Medina County. I could not locate either
Quihi or Rio Medina on the map. If they are in the same county, then try a
county search.

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4015. Re: A Dumb Question, I'm Sure

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 19:18:15 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010827151815.17422.00003102@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 60732

In article <tbii7.434$G76.312642@newsfeed.slurp.net>, "M. Schneider"
<markinokla@juno.com> writes:

>Nope, the railroads use conventional VHF business band FM radios. I've got
>an old railroad Motorola MT-500 that I put on the local EMA frequency.

Ok, thanks. I could not remember if it was AM or FM.

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4016. RS PRO-2052 Weather Broadcast Interference

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 19:42:35 UTC
From: Mike <newsgroups@onesuv.com>
Message-ID: <3B8AA2AB.476ED9AB@onesuv.com>
Source record: 60734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a Radio Shack PRO-2052 scanner that seems to have weather broadcasts bleeding into most transmissions. For example, when trying to listen to 166.675 (U.S.F.S Air to Air fire operations), sometimes I hear the airplanes talking to each other but mostly the scanner stops with a computerized weather forecast. This is not the Alert stuff but just normal weather information. No matter how much I turn the squelch up, I cannot get rid of the weather. It happens on many, many frequencies.

This unit is connected to an outside antenna and we are about two miles from a mountain with repeaters on it. Also, I have an older Radio Shack PRO-2006 unit and when connected to the outside antenna, there is no weather - just airplanes talking to each other.

My question is, is are there some settings I am not aware of that will stop this or is there a problem with this radio?

Thanks.
Mike

NOTE: reverse the "one" and "suv" to read suvone in onesuv.com to use the email address in this message.

4017. Re: Any live internet broadcasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 20:26:20 UTC
From: trimble@sep.com
Message-ID: <626af4aa.0108271226.76ad42c9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60735

"Jason" <metty@Karson.com> wrote in message
news:<3Uii7.18078$sa.9033447@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>...
> I was wondering if anyones scanner was hooked up to the internet in real
> time so i could hear it? I am considering getting a scanner but i am not
> sure if it will be entertaining enough. Does anyone know of a site with a
> scanner hooked up to a police station or something?
> Thanks

Start at www.firehouse.com and drill down to their "Live Dispatch"
area (http://www.firehouse.com/links/Live_Dispatch/). There are
several police and fire departments with streaming and/or recorded
radio traffic available. They also have links to live CAD data
online.

Todd

4018. Re: lots of acronyms ! ;)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 21:17:59 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010827171759.02266.00002226@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 60739

In article <b5fjmtop316pg49nk4h98hbhpq2ipkqoce@4ax.com>, Ronski
<rsujkowskiihatespammers@home.com> writes:

>let me know of any otheres or any thing wrong listed ;) Thanks !
>My entire scanner frequency list is at:

Here's a few others you can add that I didn't see on your list. Some PDs use
them, some do not.

DB Dead Body
DK Drunk/Intoxicated

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4019. Re: Cellular Images

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 21:18:00 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010827171800.02266.00002227@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 60736

In article <9kcg4f$3rk0d$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>, "Tom Sevart"
<n2uhc@yahoo.com> writes:

>I believe you listen at the frequency + twice the IF. Most scanners have an
>IF of 10.7 MHZ, so listen at 21.4 higher than the original frequency. I
>have a scanner that picks up cellular images from around 890-920 MHZ, so it
>would appear that this is the correct equation.
>

This is a late post, but...

When listening to the Fire/Police frequencies in the 450-460 MHz range, I
occasionally come across cellular image frequencies. It's not a IF multiple
that I can determine, but instead it seems to be a harmonic of the original
frequency.

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4020. Re: Rogue Cancelling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 21:18:01 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010827171801.02266.00002228@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 60737

I don't have that problem. I merely program my NG filter to filter out those messages so I don't see them in my inbox.

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4021. Re: What's NCIC? (Salt Lake / Utah County)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 21:18:02 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010827171802.02266.00002229@nso-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 60738

In article <20010731125958.16554.00001775@ng-cc1.news.cs.com>, pbscanner@cs.com (PB Scanner) writes:

>Thing that always got me is that access to NCIC Data is highly restricted and
>requires a training course and User ID to use the System (to track usage),
>the
>dissemination of NCIC Data is also covered under User Agreements as to who
>and
>what may be released; now Scanning Police Frequencies is legal but you run
>into
>a weird quagmire when it comes to NCIC Data being received by "unauthorized"
>persons.

This is interesting, considering that arrest warrants and other similiar information is supposed to be publicly available. Otherwise, how else would bail bonders and bounty hunters get their info?

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4022. old R/S discone mod for HF/loVHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 23:19:07 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tollauie1757bd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Recently upgraded my old R/S discone to a AOR DA3000 discone. The difference in price & hardware quality is about 2X, not to mention a big difference in design configuration. Had an old base load CB whip stuck on the R/S (the newer ones have a loaded top piece, ala Diamond, but I'll bet my mod beats it!!), which did help on the lower freqs. After the upgrade (got a AR5000 for base, with DA3000 discone on roof where R/S was - moved R/S to a lower mast on rear deck, where an old CB had been, and Yupi 7100 which used to be primary scanner is now #2 on the R/S discone), I found that I was hearing lots more on the AR5000 than the Yupi, and the sensitivities is about a dead heat for the radios (in fact the Yupi probably has a cat whisker more, truth be known!). No surprise on UHF since the AOR discone was higher, had a bit better grade coax (RG-6 vs 9258), and was only a 50' run vs. 70-80', but it did surprise me on the lower VHF stuff! Soooo - the R/S has a 5/16" stud sticking up to screw something onto - I got a 57" K-40 CB whip replacement, longest I could find & readily available for ~$7.50, took a 5/16" joint nut (about 1" long), stuck it on the R/S stud, leaving a nice 5/16" receptacle for the whip. Then take a 1/2" long, 5/16" bolt, drill a #30 hole down through the center for the whip, drill a #35 horizontally through the bolt head to intersect the vertical hole, tap for a 6-32 set screw, and you're set. Put the whip in the bolt, screw in the set screw, screw the thing into the 5/16" coupler, and get set for a *phenomenal* increase in your lower band hearing! It matches the AOR on the roof now!!!!!! Just a little FYI thing.....
Cheers n beers y'all!!
Don

4023. Re: old R/S discone mod for HF/loVHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-27 23:32:36 UTC
From: "alan m.vigeant" <alaininvc@nethere.com>
Message-ID: <3B8AD894.9518C41C@nethere.com>
Source record: 60741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hey Don,

Did you ever loose any of your "cone" radials from your RS discone? I
lost 2 (one next to the other) in a 50+ mph wind storm last December!
I'm just south of Palomar Mountain (where the 200" telescope is) and I
have not noticed an appreciable loss in signal; LAPD/FD came in loud and
clear from 160+ miles away. (I believe they are digital now) CHP from
Santa Barbara comes in with the skip at times.

Where did you get your DA/3000?

Alain

Don Parker wrote:
>
> Recently upgraded my old R/S discone to a AOR DA3000 discone. The
> difference in price & hardware quality is about 2X, not to mention a big
> difference in design configuration. Had an old base load CB whip stuck on
> the R/S (the newer ones have a loaded top piece, ala Diamond, but I'll bet
> my mod beats it!!), which did help on the lower freqs. After the upgrade
> (got a AR5000 for base, with DA3000 discone on roof where R/S was - moved
> R/S to a lower mast on rear deck, where an old CB had been, and Yupi 7100
> which used to be primary scanner is now #2 on the R/S discone), I found that
> I was hearing lots more on the AR5000 than the Yupi, and the sensitivities
> is about a dead heat for the radios (in fact the Yupi probably has a cat
> whisker more, truth be known!). No surprise on UHF since the AOR discone
> was higher, had a bit better grade coax (RG-6 vs 9258), and was only a 50'
> run vs. 70-80', but it did surprise me on the lower VHF stuff! Soooo - the
> R/S has a 5/16" stud sticking up to screw something onto - I got a 57" K-40
> CB whip replacement, longest I could find & readily available for ~$7.50,
> took a 5/16" joint nut (about 1" long), stuck it on the R/S stud, leaving a
> nice 5/16" receptacle for the whip. Then take a 1/2" long, 5/16" bolt,
> drill a #30 hole down through the center for the whip, drill a #35
> horizontally through the bolt head to intersect the vertical hole, tap for a
> 6-32 set screw, and you're set. Put the whip in the bolt, screw in the set
> screw, screw the thing into the 5/16" coupler, and get set for a
> *phenomenal* increase in your lower band hearing! It matches the AOR on the
> roof now!!!!!! Just a little FYI thing.....
> Cheers n beers y'all!!
> Don

4024. SR-09 Scan Record

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 00:30:03 UTC
From: "Simple Communications, ETC." <chilly2@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3B8AE60B.52ACE272@swbell.net>
Source record: 60742

I am looking for info or where to purchase a SR-09 ScanRecord Interface.

I beleive A company called Viking International Made this product.
I would like to find a similar product or a diagram of this unit.
Any one out there that has any info please e-mail me. Thanks

4025. Re: old R/S discone mod for HF/loVHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 01:13:18 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tols12aeifnm01@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Alain,
Got the DA3000 from Universal, they had the best price. First order with them, and they seem to be very on top of things and efficient!!

I did lose one lower radial on the R/S. I thought at first the thing had just vibrated lose, but turned out the screw had broken off.... Luckily I was able to salvage it, since the opposite end is hollow I tapped it for a 8-32 thread (if you haven't noticed, I do have a fairly well stocked tool box, the product of working for generous companies over the last 35 yr. [:->]=), inserted about a 3/4" screw with head decapitated & locking nut salvaged from what was left in the hub, and was off and running again..... The DA3000 has elements that are nearly 1/4" dia (which improves bandwidth)., and the hub is a polished gem! Don't believe most of the pictures you see of the thing, they seem to come from some generic picture box of what a discone should look like - go to www.aoruk.com for some of the best data, and plain general info going. Skip www.aorusa.com , it's a dud (why???)!!
Needless to say, I'm a AOL fan, big time, now!!
Cheers n beers.
Don

alan m.vigeant <alaininvc@nethere.com> wrote in message news:3B8AD894.9518C41C@nethere.com...
> Hey Don,
>
> Did you ever loose any of your "cone" radials from your RS discone? I
> lost 2 (one next to the other) in a 50+ mph wind storm last December!
> I'm just south of Palomar Mountain (where the 200" telescope is) and I
> have not noticed an appreciable loss in signal; LAPD/FD came in loud and
> clear from 160+ miles away. (I believe they are digital now) CHP from
> Santa Barbara comes in with the skip at times.
>
> Where did you get your DA/3000?
>
> Alain
>
> Don Parker wrote:
> >
> > Recently upgraded my old R/S discone to a AOR DA3000 discone. The
> > difference in price & hardware quality is about 2X, not to mention a big
> > difference in design configuration. Had an old base load CB whip stuck
on
> > the R/S (the newer ones have a loaded top piece, ala Diamond, but I'll
bet

> > my mod beats it!!), which did help on the lower freqs. After the
upgrade
> > (got a AR5000 for base, with DA3000 discone on roof where R/S was -
moved
> > R/S to a lower mast on rear deck, where an old CB had been, and Yupi
7100
> > which used to be primary scanner is now #2 on the R/S discone), I found
that
> > I was hearing lots more on the AR5000 than the Yupi, and the
sensitivities
> > is about a dead heat for the radios (in fact the Yupi probably has a cat
> > whisker more, truth be known!). No surprise on UHF since the AOR
discone
> > was higher, had a bit better grade coax (RG-6 vs 9258), and was only a
50'
> > run vs. 70-80', but it did surprise me on the lower VHF stuff! Soooo -
the
> > R/S has a 5/16" stud sticking up to screw something onto - I got a 57"
K-40
> > CB whip replacement, longest I could find & readily available for
~$7.50,
> > took a 5/16" joint nut (about 1" long), stuck it on the R/S stud,
leaving a
> > nice 5/16" receptacle for the whip. Then take a 1/2" long, 5/16" bolt,
> > drill a #30 hole down through the center for the whip, drill a #35
> > horizontally through the bolt head to intersect the vertical hole, tap
for a
> > 6-32 set screw, and you're set. Put the whip in the bolt, screw in the
set
> > screw, screw the thing into the 5/16" coupler, and get set for a
> > *phenomenal* increase in your lower band hearing! It matches the AOR on
the
> > roof now!!!!!! Just a little FYI thing.....
> > Cheers n beers y'all!!
> > Don

4026. Re: What's NCIC? (Salt Lake / Utah County)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 01:21:22 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-8C13F1.20212227082001@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 60744

While some of the information contained in NCIC files may be publicly
available, the user agreements and NCIC rules prohibit member agenciess
from disseminating the data found there. The agencies are free to
disseminate the information they themselves provide to NCIC in
accordance to state, federal and local Privacy and Public
Information/Freedom of Information laws and procedures.

For example, Anytown PD may tell the world that it arrested Joe Criminal
for Public Stupidity, but it may not tell the world the results of a
NCIC search for his criminal record exept as specifically allowed by
NCIC rules and current laws.

There are several reasons for this, including to prevent employees of
user agencies from selling the data to private parties, prevent
unauthorized disclosure, and other privacy concerns. Interested (and
authorized) persons can retrieve data from other sources if needed.

In article <20010827171802.02266.00002229@nso-fz.aol.com>,
n0jaa@aol.compost (Amateur Radio Station N0JAA) wrote:

> In article <20010731125958.16554.00001775@ng-cc1.news.cs.com>,
> pbscanner@cs.com
> (PB Scanner) writes:
>
> >Thing that always got me is that access to NCIC Data is highly
> >restricted and requires a training course and User ID to use the System (to track
> >usage), the dissemination of NCIC Data is also covered under User Agreements as to
> >who and what may be released; now Scanning Police Frequencies is legal but you
> >run into a weird quagmire when it comes to NCIC Data being received by
> >"unauthorized" persons.
>
> This is interesting, considering that arrest warrants and other similiar
> information is supposed to be publicly available. Otherwise, how else
> would bail bonders and bounty hunters get their info?
>
> Paul.
>
>
>
> "We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."
>
>
>

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG

Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
http://www.n9jig.com

4027. Re: How do On-Glass cell antennas work for monitoring 800 trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 02:42:33 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010827224233.20772.00003113@nso-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 60745

In article <20010729040415.04316.00001715@ng-fh1.aol.com>, leadenwah@aol.com (LEADENWAH) writes:

>Anyone have much experience using On Glass antennas for monitoring ?

Not for monitoring, per se, but I use a half-wave glass mount with my 2-meter mobile transceiver in the car. It works very well. So you shouldn't have any problem using one for monitoring.

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4028. Re: old R/S discone mod for HF/loVHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 02:54:58 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9mf161$1o95s$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"alan m.vigeant" <alaininvc@nethere.com> wrote in message
news:3B8AD894.9518C41C@nethere.com...
> Hey Don,
>
> Did you ever loose any of your "cone" radials from your RS discone?

A friend of mine had a RS discone, and quite a few of the elements fell off
it.

Tom

4029. Re: Cellular Images

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 03:00:10 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9mf1fo$1ob84$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60647

"Amateur Radio Station N0JAA" <n0jaa@aol.compost> wrote in message
news:20010827171800.02266.00002227@nso-fz.aol.com...

>
> When listening to the Fire/Police frequencies in the 450-460 MHz range, I
> occasionally come across cellular image frequencies. It's not a IF
multiple
> that I can determine, but instead it seems to be a harmonic of the
original
> frequency.

Yes, I do to when driving by a certain building in town that is home to a cellular repeater. I guess it's due to the wide front end of the scanner, not necessarily due to an IF image. However, two scanners I've owned, the BC200XLT and BC700A, pick up images at twice the IF. Too bad they have to block frequencies out of scanners when they can pick up the signals anyway.

Tom

4030. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 05:45:42 UTC
From: highbidder@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3b8b2f87.2185966@news.pacific.net.sg>
Source record: 60725

I will cut the auction short. My bid is $5000.

Contact me and stop this thread RIGHT NOW.

On Sun, 26 Aug 2001 08:25:10 GMT, "Jim Wayda"
<jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote:

>Therefore, over $500.00 price is quite fair. I will now entertain bids.
>Start the bidding.
>
>-jim
>
>
>"dontask" <alwayschanging@myname.com> wrote in message
>news:9m9ja7eod1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
>>
>> "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
>> news:kyIh7.83585$A47.39946412@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
>> > This is a NEW NEVER USED in the box 2006. Where else can you find a new
>> > 2006? It sold for over $350.00 new.
>> >
>>
>>
>> actually the cost was $599 at one time, I saw it in an old Radio shack
>> catalog
>> If you bought one for less than $200 you were very lucky! that's what they
>> sell for on ebay, in average, cheesey condition, reeking of smoke, with
>> cheese whiz all over the buttons, and the display semi-dim. "Excellent
>> Shape!"
>>
>>
>
>

4031. Re: Air show Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 07:18:15 UTC
From: GH <gdh54@att.net>
Message-ID: <3B8B45A5.3649E0E@att.net>
Source record: 60648

Thanks!
GH

TheF16Falcon wrote:

> Take a look at some of these sites:
>
> http://personalpages.tds.net/~ray/airshow.htm
>
> http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/airshofq.html
>
> http://members.tripod.com/columbus_east/airshow.shtml
>
> Here's a site solely for the Blue Angels frequencies:
> http://www.angelfire.com/va/scannerclub/page7.html
>
> Also, here's a site that will list the frequencies for Burke Lakefront
> Airport: http://www.airnav.com/airport/KBKL

4032. Wanted: User Manual for Icom IC-R100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 07:20:19 UTC
From: kenhfong@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <cd8115e9.0108272320.706d978@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60649

If anyone has a copy of the user manual that they can send or email me, that would be great. Even a quick guide to programming this puppy would work.
Thanks in advance.

4033. do you listen to HF ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 08:10:12 UTC
From: RICK <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <EIIi7.20549$hm3.1283786@news1.cableinet.net>
Source record: 60650
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

Yes !!!

Well try this

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/HFlistnersgroup

RICK

4034. Re: How do On-Glass cell antennas work for monitoring 800 trunking?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 10:45:33 UTC
From: Heather and Greg <heatherandgreg@home.com>
Message-ID: <hDKi7.324073$qc.38159554@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 60651

I can't tell a diffference between that and my pro 94's rubber ducky when I travel. I have an aftermarket ducky so who knows! I have so many antennas lying around the house. . . it's ridiculous! All the cheap rs kind.

4035. Soldering

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 14:04:29 UTC
From: wrenn_thomas@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <aa3b9f10.0108280604.8140d81@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60652

I want to solder a bridge from point A to point B on a handheld device. It's a simple job that will increase its receiving capacities, and doing it myself will spare me all of the hassles associated with approaching FCC boot lickers who wouldn't do it anyway.

Problem is, it's a very tight area and I'm a novice. I realize inaccuracy is the kiss of death, so what I need are tips from you seasoned veterans on which type of solder and solder gear I should use, and the correct method of ensuring that I get it where I want it!

Thanks in advance. Is there any doubt we're living in a police state?

Drop me a direct line at: wrenn_thomas@hotmail.com

4036. Re: Air show Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 14:12:17 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <1549-3B8BA6C1-483@storefull-114.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 60653

I really get tired of giving someone a personal reply to a question on here and then have it bounce back to me because it's a bogus address as was the case with this person.

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

==========
Aircraft Listener & Airplane Watcher
Modern Military Airshows
Scanning the Skies from Southern Illinois
==========

4037. Re: Help me please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 14:58:30 UTC
From: Urbie Watrous <flagstaff@nospam.gtemail.net>
Message-ID: <0ccnot0n5llteg25jdkl0dd79jcabegs1a@4ax.com>
Source record: 60654

I don't think my bank uses a cordless phone.

On Mon, 27 Aug 2001 14:47:13 -0400, "ZOOM" <kandrparker@sympatico.ca>
tapped out:

>That's great if your using a regular phone but the other party may be on a
>cordless and someone listening to you that way!
>
>"Urbie Watrous" <flagstaff@nospam.gtemail.net> wrote in message
>news:ilgfot4st3n6oqdk0ek38aar33nmms1aq6@4ax.com...
>> On Thu, 23 Aug 2001 15:06:07 -0400, Brian <bjergens@MailAndNews.com>
>> tapped out:
>>
>> >I recently found out that a kid who lives down the street from us has a
>> >scanner. [snip]
>>
>> My basic attitude is that anything I say on the cordless phone can be
>> overheard -- so if I'm making any kind of sensitive call (e.g., one
>> involving financial information, credit card transactions, etc.), I
>> use the land line (usually, that means my fax machine). If I'm just
>> yakking away with a friend, I'll use the cordless phone -- who cares
>> if someone hears about how I did in last Sunday's bowling tournament?
>>
>> I live in an apartment complex -- and, truth be told, I've been known
>> to listen to the occasional cordless call on my scanner. I'm in the
>> low-rent district of town, so most of the calls tend to center around
>> the subject of money -- namely, that no one around here HAS any! I
>> tend to either get bored or just feel that I really shouldn't be
>> listening to someone else's phone call, and go do something else. But
>> yeah, it's just a fact of life that anything you broadcast can be
>> received -- that should be no surprise. If you want privacy, either
>> use a wired phone or get a digital cordless unit, as suggested by
>> others.
>>
>> Of course, the "disinformation" idea does sound like fun -- if you
>> suspect the kid's listening in, it might be good for a laugh....
>>
>> Urb
>> ---------------------------------------
>> Never argue with a 10-16 101.
>

Never argue with a 10-16 101.

4038. Re: old R/S discone mod for HF/loVHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 15:17:36 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <3B8B99F0.AF5A96AF@qwest.net>
Source record: 60655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I've had an RS discone up on my roof for a good 10 years,
none of the elements have come off or worked loose. It gets
plenty of cold, hot, snow, rain and wind here in Utah. It's been
a good dependable all-purpose antenna.

Jon

Tom Sevart wrote:

> "alan m.vigeant" <alaininvc@nethere.com> wrote in message
> news:3B8AD894.9518C41C@nethere.com...
> > Hey Don,
> >
> > Did you ever loose any of your "cone" radials from your RS discone?
>
> A friend of mine had a RS discone, and quite a few of the elements fell off
> it.
>
> Tom

4039. Lehi, UT trunked repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 16:03:29 UTC
From: bhall7@email.com
Message-ID: <dc0c38eb.0108280803.3735aabb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60656

Hello,

This message is primarily for any avid Utah County, Salt Lake County scanner enthusiasts. Others might find irrelevant.

I frequently check Brett Miller's Utah Frequency page (http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/frequencies.htm) for freqs, talkgroup IDs, etc. It is a killer page not only for Utah scanning but for general radio information as well.

On the "Utah County Sheriff - 1J" page (http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/UCfreq.htm), at the bottom there is information for "Lehi Repeaters" under the "Utah County Trunked System Details." I programmed the Lehi repeater freqs into my BC245XLT and tried to track the system near Lehi, but I hear nothing. When I scan the frequencies traditionally (with trunking mode off), I hear snippets of conversations. I can't hear any data channel and I wonder if these frequencies are now being used for something else besides a trunked system. Any information you could provide me would be very helpful. Thanks!

The frequencies for the Lehi repeaters are:

855.5125 866.8375 867.0875 867.2875 867.8875 868.2875
866.225 866.625 855.7375 856.2125 857.2125 867.575
867.725 868.625

Happy scanning!

-BHall

4040. dispatch codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 16:08:07 UTC
From: bhall7@email.com
Message-ID: <dc0c38eb.0108280808.2f5a1375@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60657

Hello all,

This morning on the way to work, I heard the dispatcher here in Salt Lake County (UT) announce that the particular call where there was a child injured at school was "upgraded from a 'delta' to 'alpha'..." Does anyone have information on dispatch codes and what they mean?

The closest thing I could find was on Brett's page (http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/10code.txt):

10-85 VICTIMS CONDITION
A. FAIR
B. POOR
C. CRITICAL
D. POSSIBLE FATALITY
E. OBVIOUS FATALITY

But I'm not sure if these qualify as "dispatch codes".

-BHall

4041. Re: RS PRO 2006 WHATS THE BIG DEAL?? IT'S OLD NEWS..!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 16:28:50 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <3F8B60CCF7C0867B.63B0B5528F77304A.95558E2BD054A300@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60658

On Sun, 26 Aug 2001 22:31:03 -0400, GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
wrote:

>
>
>The_AntiArt wrote:
>
>>
>>
>> Just curious. What frequency range are you referring to as the
>> MilAir band?
>
>About 220MHz to about 400 MHz.

Thanks.

That's what I thought. Since the BC9000XLT uses up-conversion
the cell images fall around 360 MHz range.

I do not live near a military air base. Otherwise it is a good radio
but I am looking to replace it with a BC780XLT now.

4042. Re: 2 GHz Police radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 16:43:38 UTC
From: JP <oaktownboy@home.com>
Message-ID: <_SPi7.6464$at.1587809@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 60659

i think these high frequencies are used by the police for microwave to
microwave communications for inputs on their repeaters

Toscano <toscano2@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:9mbl42blb1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Who makes them? What department(s) uses them?
>
> Highest freqs I have ever heard voice comms on was, I believe,
1011.4625MHz,
> the Mass. State Police, over a year ago. No longer have that scanner in my
> car though so I don't know if that freq is still used.
>
>
>
> Samuel La Rue <SamLaRue@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
> news:12D46A18.EF174D08@worldnet.att.net...
> > Looking for more information.
>
>

4043. Re: old R/S discone mod for HF/loVHF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 16:50:16 UTC
From: Larry Levy <levysNOSpam@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <cqinotsbj5vn86vf5k6djq87gcsulkcfn9@4ax.com>
Source record: 60660
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hi, Alan:

Yep, LAPD is digital, except for the old Tac 1 (154.830) and Channel
22, "Access" channel (484.4375), which is used for some air to ground
comms.

LAFD is still analog and they have no plans, as far as I have heard,
to change at this point.

-Larry

On Mon, 27 Aug 2001 16:32:36 -0700, "alan m.vigeant"
<alaininvc@nethere.com> wrote:

>Hey Don,
>
>Did you ever loose any of your "cone" radials from your RS discone? I
>lost 2 (one next to the other) in a 50+ mph wind storm last December!
>I'm just south of Palomar Mountain (where the 200" telescope is) and I
>have not noticed an appreciable loss in signal; LAPD/FD came in loud and
>clear from 160+ miles away. (I believe they are digital now) CHP from
>Santa Barbara comes in with the skip at times.
>
>Where did you get your DA/3000?
>
>Alain
>
>Don Parker wrote:
>>
>> Recently upgraded my old R/S discone to a AOR DA3000 discone. The
>> difference in price & hardware quality is about 2X, not to mention a big
>> difference in design configuration. Had an old base load CB whip stuck on
>> the R/S (the newer ones have a loaded top piece, ala Diamond, but I'll bet
>> my mod beats it!!), which did help on the lower freqs. After the upgrade
>> (got a AR5000 for base, with DA3000 discone on roof where R/S was - moved
>> R/S to a lower mast on rear deck, where an old CB had been, and Yupi 7100
>> which used to be primary scanner is now #2 on the R/S discone), I found that
>> I was hearing lots more on the AR5000 than the Yupi, and the sensitivities
>> is about a dead heat for the radios (in fact the Yupi probably has a cat
>> whisker more, truth be known!). No surprise on UHF since the AOR discone
>> was higher, had a bit better grade coax (RG-6 vs 9258), and was only a 50'
>> run vs. 70-80', but it did surprise me on the lower VHF stuff! Soooo - the
>> R/S has a 5/16" stud sticking up to screw something onto - I got a 57" K-40
>> CB whip replacement, longest I could find & readily available for ~$7.50,
>> took a 5/16" joint nut (about 1" long), stuck it on the R/S stud, leaving a

>> nice 5/16" receptacle for the whip. Then take a 1/2" long, 5/16" bolt,
>> drill a #30 hole down through the center for the whip, drill a #35
>> horizontally through the bolt head to intersect the vertical hole, tap for a
>> 6-32 set screw, and you're set. Put the whip in the bolt, screw in the set
>> screw, screw the thing into the 5/16" coupler, and get set for a
>> *phenomenal* increase in your lower band hearing! It matches the AOR on the
>> roof now!!!!!! Just a little FYI thing.............
>> Cheers n beers y'all!!
>> Don

http://u1.netgate.net/~kirby34/rsg/levyl.htm
http://www.geocities.com/levys2/levyslinks.html
Remove "NoSpam" for email

4044. Live Scanner transmissions on the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 19:48:00 UTC
From: bhall7@email.com
Message-ID: <dc0c38eb.0108281148.62783e8e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60661

Whattup y'all?

If you are interested in listening to live radio scanners on the internet (most are PD, FD, EMS, etc. with a few ATC), I've put together a playlist with over 40 presets. Some are more exciting than others.

http://www.bhall.com/scanners.m3u

I recommend Winamp to listen (www.winamp.com). There are many more live scanner broadcasts on the net in the RealAudio format. However, I prefer the .m3u and .pls formats over RealAudio. If you would like to hear more of these scanners in different formats, check out the following links:

http://www.policescanner.com
http://home.earthlink.net/~mitbr/Mi01000.htm

If you find any more scanners online in the .mp3, .m3u or .pls streaming format, post them. Happy listening!

-BHall

4045. Re: Scanner Transceiver's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 19:52:54 UTC
From: JoeBramblett.nospam@drunkenbard.com
Message-ID: <DCF5A2E873FCE3D0.6D66B036461293B9.1352769B769000A9@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60662

cptdube@aol.com (CptDube) wrote in
<20010824150134.09094.00001335@mb-ct.aol.com>:

>Does any one know how to turn any type of radio scanner into a fully
>Scanner Transceiver?

A fully opened up D7A and a lot of epoxy.

4046. Re: Legal cell phone monitoring?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 20:44:35 UTC
From: JoeBramblett.nospam@drunkenbard.com
Message-ID: <887704E92393B004.C6E266F89CD9E3D9.7A4ED62AD1326C4B@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60663

GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com> wrote in
<3B8A7DA4.F5651642@licensed4fun.com>:

>The people using Cell phones want privacy but yet they will talk on
>their phone out in public for all to hear... Its especially annoying in
>a restaurant when trying to eat and you can hear the guy in the booth
>next to you talking on his cell phone louder than you can hear your
>dinner partner. Cell phones annoy me as much as a screaming child in
>the restraurnt....memeber parents spanking has not been outlawed!

I used to hear them all the time when I rode the train. They'd place
orders over the phone, giving out credit card numbers, SSNs, client info,
all loud enough for everyone on the train to hear.

4047. Uniden Sportcat 200 programming from PC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 20:52:07 UTC
From: djb@laptop.donniebarnes.com
Message-ID: <slrn9oo12n.2eq.djb@laptop.donniebarnes.com>
Source record: 60664

Anyone know anything about programming the Uniden SC200 (Sportcat) from a PC? I bought one (mostly for the Bristol race) with the mistaken impression that it had PC software that would let you program frequencies via a serial cable.

Instead, it lets you plug directly into a modem to dial up to their server and download frequencies via a $.99 per minute 900 number. It only takes 2 minutes at most, so it isn't costly, but it also doesn't give you a very broad coverage area. The "SmartScanner" software you can download is nothing more than a program to "pass through" a serial port on your computer to an internal modem for the same purpose (for folks who only have an internal PC modem).

The radio also has "clone" mode which will let you clone the programming from one radio to another, which I now presume is what the radio shops that sell to NASCAR fans use to pre-program the radios they sell at the track. I'm betting, however, that someone clever could reverse engineer the protocol that they talk to each other and thus use a PC to do the talking rather than a second radio.

All I want is an interface that lets me type in the frequency and associated text string and then download that to a memory spot. Entering a couple hundred of those using the keypad and knob method is just too damned tedious. This shouldn't be *that* dang hard.

Anyone got any insight into this that might help? I tried demo versions of the software that talks to the higher end trunking scanners and such hoping for the radios to be using a unified protocol, but to no avail (which wasn't at all surprising). *sigh*

--Donnie

--
Donnie Barnes http://www.donniebarnes.com djb@donniebarnes.com 879. V.

4048. Re: Legal cell phone monitoring?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 21:23:38 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b8c05f9.75080299@news.erols.com>
Source record: 60665

On Mon, 27 Aug 2001 10:54:30 -0400, "Paul Zook" <lazpaz@teleweb.net> wrote:

>With many cities now banning the use of hand held cell phones in cars, there
>are several companies offering various hands free devices.
>
>I saw an ad on television for a unit that will work through your car stereo
>system. You attach a microphone to the earpiece of the phone then tune your
>car stereo to 96.1 fm and you can hear the caller through the car's stereo
>system. Would this be legal to monitor?

Interesting question. A possible nit-picking answer would be:
No. If there's no direct connect to FM Radio but rather a "re-broadcast"
than it ought to be covered by the same bogus law that bans cell-phone
monitoring. However, if the person leaves their window down so you can
hear it as you pull up along side him at a light than that's legal, just like
overhearing a conversation in a park, for instance.

Trying to be my own Devil's Advocate, it might be legal anyway since the
signal is being re-braodcast on a "public" commercial band (BCB FM) I've
seen the commercials too and you're right it does raise some interesting
questions.

>Listening in on baby monitors is not illegal because the government says
>that because you knowingly place a transmission device within your home used
>specifically for monitoring, you are not protected from people listening in.
>Would this be the same with this device that transmits to a car radio? I
>have been driving around listening to 96.1 and have not picked up anything
>yet. Those idiots who demand privacy on cell phone calls should be up in
>arms that someone is creating a device to re-transmit supposedly protected
>transmissions over fm radio. Will the government ban these devices that
>they have forced the industry to create through the banning of hand held
>cell phones? or will they simply ban car stereos?
>

I can't quote chapter and verse but I recall a Wash. Post survey of a few
years back that showed the two most common personal uses of cell-phones
were:
A. Call and tell your spouse, "I'm leaving work now."
B. To order home-delivery pizzas that would arrive minutes after you came home.

I really do think that no matter how accepted cell-phones maybe be today they
will one day be the Buck Rodgers Ray Gun of our era: The quaint, laffable
device we willingly glued our ears to in a vain attempt to be "modern." A lot of
people are gonna look real silly one day. IMHO and FWIW.

>These are things I think about when I am home alone and the television is
>broke.

Then leave it broke - you're doing better this way.

Andrew

>

>

>

4049. Re: F/S AOR 8000 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 21:23:54 UTC
From: Kevcat <kevcat@ozwebip.Spam.com.au>
Message-ID: <3B8C0BEA.4C363C12@ozwebip.Spam.com.au>
Source record: 60666
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Geez it can't be that bad can it??
you only just got it
the programming and other features are a bit more involved than the
average scanner but once programmed will work great

Kev

DigitalEbola wrote:
>
> For Sale, AR 8000 handheld scanner
>
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/rev8000.htm
>
> $470 AUS - Not Neg
>
> Comes with car charger, wall charger, leather case, origional antenna, box,
> and manual.
>
> email me for further information (Geniune Inquiries only please)
>
> anthony@clickfromreality.com
>
> Introduced in late 1994, the AR8000 took the scanning world by store. Never
> before had such performance and features been packed into a single radio
> that you could hold in the palm of your hand. Dubbed 'The New Concept', AOR
> raised the playing field to a much higher level and eclipsed every other
> radio receiver on the market with the introduction of the AR8000.
> The AR8000 came with 1000 channels in 20 banks. It boasted 20 search banks
> with 1000 search-skip frequencies. It had auto-store, two VFOs, an S-meter
> and could be controlled by computer. As if that weren't enough, it offered
> users the ability to tweak lots of receive options and allowed for
> alpha-numeric tags to be added to all memory channels and search banks!
>
> Due to the 1997 Gingrich fiasco, the FCC recently revoked the certification
> for the AR8000 since it was too easy for people to reprogram the radio's
> bandplan thereby opening up the taboo cellular telephone bands. Never mind
> that this capability had been there for nearly two and a half years. Now
> with the AR8000 gone, many people are selling theirs for a premium. The rest
> of us wait to see what AOR replaces this great radio with.
>
> What follows are my personal impressions regarding the features and
> performance of this radio. This, in no way, should be viewed as an
> endorsement to purchase this radio nor is it intended to discourage anyone
> from buying it. I have no personal or business relations with AOR Company

> Limited. These are simply thoughts offered to my fellow hobbyists who may be
> interested in purchasing this particular radio.
>
> I simply ask that this information be kept intact. A lot of hard work and
> effort has gone into the testing and writing of this review. I would
> appreciate it if every effort is made to keep this document whole with me as
> the original author.
>
> And if you want to share your thoughts about this review with me or have
> further questions, feel free to send e-mail.
>
> Thank you and enjoy!

4050. Burnt Church, NB Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 21:35:06 UTC
From: Aaron & Lisa <lismac@nbnet.nb.ca>
Message-ID: <e8Ui7.1536$fb.185768@news-nb00s0.nbnet.nb.ca>
Source record: 60667

Hello Gang,

Just wondering if there is anyone out there with frequencies for the Fishing Crisis here In Burnt Church, New Brunswick Canada. The Federal Department of Fisheries & Oceans /Canada Coast Guard and or the RCMP as there are tons of extra members and equipment on land and in the water.....any suggestions. They have a special operations post set up with Major radio tower and antennas, thought I might catch some interesting chatter.......later
Aaron
Burnt Church is a native Reserve located approximately 20 minutes from the City of Miramichi..

4051. live scanner audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 22:54:05 UTC
From: mitbr <mitbr@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <hiVi7.2175$Fv3.190621@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 60668

My Pinellas County Florida fire and ems scanner is online tonight from 7pm to 10 pm east coast usa time for those interested. Click on the url below to listen.
http://www.live365.com/cgi-bin/play.pls?addr=64.152.82.190:18712&file=filename.pls

--
Tim
KG4JJS
Please visit my Tampa Bay Radio Page
http://home.earthlink.net/~mitbr/Mi01000.htm

4052. Lake County Ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 23:14:41 UTC
From: dave-nospam@n1dk.com
Message-ID: <3b8c1469.6265418@news-central.giganews.com>
Source record: 60669

Does anybody know if there has been a change in the Lake County Ohio trunking service? My scanner will not trunk-track these frequencies for the past week or so. I know that the scanner is still trunk-tracking, as there is no problem with the Geauga County (a neighboring community) service. My PRO-94 seems to be operating properly. It goes into the trunk mode, and it "sees" the data channel, but there is NO activity when it is in the trunk mode. My old Bearcat scanner (non-trunking) indicates that there is activity on all the listed frequencies, but not a peep out of the pro-94. this leads me to believe that possibly there has been a change in the trunking system that Lake County Ohio uses. Any help from N.E. Ohioans would be appreciated.
73, N1DK
www.n1dk.com
The Home of Cybershortwave live
The worlds first and truely interactive netcast

4053. Re: dispatch codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 23:26:36 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <3B8C0C8B.B19B4124@qwest.net>
Source record: 60670

Brian,

Here are the dispatch codes for VECC in Salt Lake County.

I sent you a private email about UCAN.

Jon

BHall wrote:

> Hello all,
>
> This morning on the way to work, I heard the dispatcher here in Salt
> Lake County (UT) announce that the particular call where there was a
> child injured at school was "upgraded from a 'delta' to 'alpha'..."
> Does anyone have information on dispatch codes and what they mean?
>
> The closest thing I could find was on Brett's page
> (http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/10code.txt):
>
> 10-85 VICTIMS CONDITION
> A. FAIR
> B. POOR
> C. CRITICAL
> D. POSSIBLE FATALITY
> E. OBVIOUS FATALITY
>
> But I'm not sure if these qualify as "dispatch codes".
>
> -BHall

VECC & Salt Lake Police/Fire & Rescue Dispatch Codes

Alpha Fair Condition 16 Eye Problem
Bravo Poor Condition 17 Fall
Charlie Critical 18 Headache
Delta Possible Fatal 19 Heart Problems
Echo Obvious Fatal 20 Head/Cold Exposure
21 Hemorrhage
1 Abdominal Pain 22 Industrial Accident
2 Allergies 23 Overdose
3 Animal Bite 24 Pregnancy/Birth/Miscarriage
4 Assault/Rape 25 Psychiatric/Behavioral
5 Back Pain 26 Sick Person
6 Breathing Problems 27 Stabbing/Shooting
7 Burn 28 Stroke

8 Carbon Monoxide Poisoning 29 Traffic Accident - Injury
9 Cardiac Arrest 30 Traumatic Injury
10 Chest Pains 31 Unconscious Person
11 Choking 32 Unknown / Man Down
12 Convulsions/Seizures
13 Diabetic Problem "29C = traffic accident with victim
14 Drowning/Diving Accident in critical condition.
15 Electrocution

VECC/SLC 9 & 10 Codes

9-1 Officer Needs Help! 10-33 Help Quick!
9-2 Send Back-Up 10-33A Psychiatric Problem
9-7 Armed with a gun 10-33B Violent Person
9-8 Mental Subject 10-33C Armed & Threatening
9-11 Death 10-33D Firefighter Injured
9-17 Robbery 10-34 Time Check
9-21 Burglary 10-39 Lights & Siren
10-4 Affirmative 10-40 Lights, No Siren
10-6 Busy 10-41 On Duty
10-7 Armed with a gun 10-42 Off Duty
10-9 Repeat 10-45 Request Back-Up
10-10 Home 10-46 Confirm Status
10-12 Stand By 10-50 Traffic Accident
10-13 Shakedown 10-55 D.U.I.
10-14 Stakeout 10-60 Out with violator
10-15 Prisoner in custody 10-80 Chase
10-19 Return to station 10-99F Warrant - Felony
10-20 Location 10-99M Warrant - Misdemeanor
10-21 Telephone Code-1 Emergencies only
10-22 Disregard Code-2 No lights, Siren
10-27 Drivers Licence Check Code-3 Lights & Siren
10-28 Registration Check Code-4 Status: OK
10-29 Warrant Check

4054. Re: Legal cell phone monitoring?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 23:43:36 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9mha5c0b5r@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60673

I do not know. why not just move to a country that has it legal to listen to cell's the only law here is that no transmission heard can be rebroadcasted or disclose from leaving your mouth. but heck. if we wanted to listen to those boring things. we could. jsut illegal here to rebroadcast.

"Paul Zook" <lazpaz@teleweb.net> wrote in message news:998924327.84411@axilla.wwnet.net...
> With many cities now banning the use of hand held cell phones in cars, there
> are several companies offering various hands free devices.
>
> I saw an ad on television for a unit that will work through your car stereo
> system. You attach a microphone to the earpiece of the phone then tune your
> car stereo to 96.1 fm and you can hear the caller through the car's stereo
> system. Would this be legal to monitor?
>
> Listening in on baby monitors is not illegal because the government says
> that because you knowingly place a transmission device within your home used
> specifically for monitoring, you are not protected from people listening in.
> Would this be the same with this device that transmits to a car radio? I
> have been driving around listening to 96.1 and have not picked up anything
> yet. Those idiots who demand privacy on cell phone calls should be up in
> arms that someone is creating a device to re-transmit supposedly protected
> transmissions over fm radio. Will the government ban these devices that
> they have forced the industry to create through the banning of hand held
> cell phones? or will they simply ban car stereos?
>
> These are things I think about when I am home alone and the television is
> broke.
>
>
>

4055. Re: Live Scanner transmissions on the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 23:51:10 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <O7Wi7.47863$n75.11314532@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 60671

Do you have a list of all 43 stations listed. I wouldn't mind knowing where I am listening too. I haven't been able to find such a list of real media scanners that are active.

Randy
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

Join us now for discussion.

BHall <bhall7@email.com> wrote in message news:dc0c38eb.0108281148.62783e8e@posting.google.com...
> Whattup y'all?
>
> If you are interested in listening to live radio scanners on the
> internet (most are PD, FD, EMS, etc. with a few ATC), I've put
> together a playlist with over 40 presets. Some are more exciting than
> others.
>
> http://www.bhall.com/scanners.m3u
>
> I recommend Winamp to listen (www.winamp.com). There are many more
> live scanner broadcasts on the net in the RealAudio format. However,
> I prefer the .m3u and .pls formats over RealAudio. If you would like
> to hear more of these scanners in different formats, check out the
> following links:
>
> http://www.policescanner.com
> http://home.earthlink.net/~mitbr/Mi01000.htm
>
> If you find any more scanners online in the .mp3, .m3u or .pls
> streaming format, post them. Happy listening!
>
> -BHall

4056. Re: Any live internet broadcasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-28 23:56:16 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <AcWi7.47880$n75.11324041@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 60672

Check out our discussion group. Lots of info on what you want here.

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

Randy

Jason <metty@Karson.com> wrote in message
news:3Uii7.18078$sa.9033447@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com...
> I was wondering if anyones scanner was hooked up to the internet in real
> time so i could hear it? I am considering getting a scanner but i am not
> sure if it will be entertaining enough. Does anyone know of a site with a
> scanner hooked up to a police station or something?
> Thanks
>
>

4057. Re: Frequencies for a city in Michigan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 00:59:01 UTC
From: William <wkarpuk@home.com>
Message-ID: <p7Xi7.66257$K6.26084647@news2>
Source record: 60674

Saint Clair Shores

Fire 154.355 F-1

Fire 154.130 F-2

Police 460.300

These freqs are from SOUTH EAST MICHIGAN SCANNER PAGE http://www.bouwkamp.net/~miscanner/
pretty good local site...

William

"Miko" <quest33142@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010826215138.21452.00004040@mb-mk.aol.com...
Hi,

I am trying to find some police frequencies for St. Clair Shores Michigan.

Can anyone help?

Thanks

4058. Re: Legal cell phone monitoring?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 01:34:08 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3B8C4690.FDBB19ED@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 60675

Dev wrote:

> I do not know. why not just move to a country that has it legal to listen to
> cell's the only law here is that no transmission heard can be rebroadcasted
> or disclose from leaving your mouth. but heck. if we wanted to listen to
> those boring things. we could. jsut illegal here to rebroadcast.

It use to be that way here in the US as well until too many "Do-Gooders" didn't have enough to do and decided that we need more "Feel Good" laws on the book. The "Do-Gooders" here in the use however don't care about the enforcement of current laws, they want to "feel good" by creating more.
George
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios <-RadiosOnLine News Source for the Scanner Owners..

I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--

4059. Re: Uniden Sportcat 200 programming from PC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 01:58:09 UTC
From: Eric Smith <ericosmith@removechartermi.net>
Message-ID: <tooj1fs7dnt7bf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60676

You can purchase a program from an outfit called Pozzilla Software. It's available from www.national-electronics.com among other places. If you purchase an annual subscription to Frequency Fan Club you can download the racing frequencies in the file format that this software uses.

"Donnie Barnes" <djb@laptop.donniebarnes.com> wrote in message news:slrn9oo12n.2eq.djb@laptop.donniebarnes.com...
> Anyone know anything about programming the Uniden SC200 (Sportcat) from
> a PC? I bought one (mostly for the Bristol race) with the mistaken
> impression that it had PC software that would let you program frequencies
> via a serial cable.
>
> Instead, it lets you plug directly into a modem to dial up to their
> server and download frequencies via a $.99 per minute 900 number. It
> only takes 2 minutes at most, so it isn't costly, but it also doesn't
> give you a very broad coverage area. The "SmartScanner" software
> you can download is nothing more than a program to "pass through"
> a serial port on your computer to an internal modem for the same
> purpose (for folks who only have an internal PC modem).
>
> The radio also has "clone" mode which will let you clone the programming
> from one radio to another, which I now presume is what the radio shops
> that sell to NASCAR fans use to pre-program the radios they sell at the
> track. I'm betting, however, that someone clever could reverse
> engineer the protocol that they talk to each other and thus use a PC
> to do the talking rather than a second radio.
>
> All I want is an interface that lets me type in the frequency and
> associated text string and then download that to a memory spot. Entering
> a couple hundred of those using the keypad and knob method is just too
> damned tedious. This shouldn't be *that* dang hard.
>
> Anyone got any insight into this that might help? I tried demo versions
> of the software that talks to the higher end trunking scanners and such
> hoping for the radios to be using a unified protocol, but to no avail
> (which wasn't at all surprising). *sigh*
>
>
> --Donnie
>
> --
> Donnie Barnes http://www.donniebarnes.com djb@donniebarnes.com 879.
V.

4060. Re: Cellular Images

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 02:12:37 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010828221237.01372.00002660@nso-fj.aol.com>
Source record: 60677

In article <9mf1fo$1ob84$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>, "Tom Sevart"
<n2uhc@yahoo.com> writes:

> However, two scanners I've owned, the
>BC200XLT and BC700A, pick up images at twice the IF. Too bad they have to
>block frequencies out of scanners when they can pick up the signals anyway.
>
>Tom

Very true. I have a BC700A, and I sometimes receive the cellular images,
whether I want to receive them or not. Fortunately they do not occur often.

It MUST be a government conspiracy! They pass laws to prohibit the monitoring
of cellular transmissions, then we pick them up on the image frequencies and we
afe forced to listen, anyway!

:)

How's that for a conspiracy theory? <grin>

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4061. Re: Live Scanner transmissions on the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 02:49:53 UTC
From: B&#65533;ian <bhall7@email.com>
Message-ID: <9mhl8qgnc1@acs2.byu.edu>
Source record: 60678

Hey,

The list of live scanners in the playlist are ones that I fished out of tons of different links on web pages. When you enqueue the live feed from your bookmarks into Winamp, it only spits out the ip address. However, if you hit play and skip through each one, allowing just enough time for the title of the stream to load, you can easily acquire the name of each transmission.

Good luck.

-Brian

"Champ" <Champ_not@home.com> wrote in message
news:O7Wi7.47863$n75.11314532@news4.rdc1.on.home.com...
> Do you have a list of all 43 stations listed. I wouldn't mind knowing
where
> I am listening too. I haven't been able to find such a list of real media
> scanners that are active.
>
> Randy
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
>
> Join us now for discussion.
>
>
> BHall <bhall7@email.com> wrote in message
> news:dc0c38eb.0108281148.62783e8e@posting.google.com...
> > Whattup y'all?
> >
> > If you are interested in listening to live radio scanners on the
> > internet (most are PD, FD, EMS, etc. with a few ATC), I've put
> > together a playlist with over 40 presets. Some are more exciting than
> > others.
> >
> > http://www.bhall.com/scanners.m3u
> >
> > I recommend Winamp to listen (www.winamp.com). There are many more
> > live scanner broadcasts on the net in the RealAudio format. However,
> > I prefer the .m3u and .pls formats over RealAudio. If you would like
> > to hear more of these scanners in different formats, check out the
> > following links:
> >
> > http://www.policescanner.com
> > http://home.earthlink.net/~mitbr/Mi01000.htm
> >

> > If you find any more scanners online in the .mp3, .m3u or .pls
> > streaming format, post them. Happy listening!
> >
> > -BHall
>
>

4062. Re: dispatch codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 02:50:34 UTC
From: B&#65533;ian <bhall7@email.com>
Message-ID: <9mhla3aod1@acs2.byu.edu>
Source record: 60679

Thanks, Jon! Excellent information to have!

-Brian

"Jon Van Allen" <kf7yn@qwest.net> wrote in message
news:3B8C0C8B.B19B4124@qwest.net...
> Brian,
>
> Here are the dispatch codes for VECC in Salt Lake County.
>
> I sent you a private email about UCAN.
>
> Jon
>
>
>
> BHall wrote:
>
> > Hello all,
> >
> > This morning on the way to work, I heard the dispatcher here in Salt
> > Lake County (UT) announce that the particular call where there was a
> > child injured at school was "upgraded from a 'delta' to 'alpha'..."
> > Does anyone have information on dispatch codes and what they mean?
> >
> > The closest thing I could find was on Brett's page
> > (http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/10code.txt):
> >
> > 10-85 VICTIMS CONDITION
> > A. FAIR
> > B. POOR
> > C. CRITICAL
> > D. POSSIBLE FATALITY
> > E. OBVIOUS FATALITY
> >
> > But I'm not sure if these qualify as "dispatch codes".
> >
> > -BHall
>

>
> VECC & Salt Lake Police/Fire & Rescue Dispatch Codes

>
> Alpha Fair Condition 16 Eye Problem
> Bravo Poor Condition 17 Fall
> Charlie Critical 18 Headache
> Delta Possible Fatal 19 Heart Problems
> Echo Obvious Fatal 20 Head/Cold Exposure
> 21 Hemorrhage
> 1 Abdominal Pain 22 Industrial Accident
> 2 Allergies 23 Overdose
> 3 Animal Bite 24 Pregnancy/Birth/Miscarriage
> 4 Assault/Rape 25 Psychiatric/Behavioral
> 5 Back Pain 26 Sick Person
> 6 Breathing Problems 27 Stabbing/Shooting
> 7 Burn 28 Stroke
> 8 Carbon Monoxide Poisoning 29 Traffic Accident - Injury
> 9 Cardiac Arrest 30 Traumatic Injury
> 10 Chest Pains 31 Unconscious Person
> 11 Choking 32 Unknown / Man Down
> 12 Convulsions/Seizures
> 13 Diabetic Problem "29C = traffic accident with victim
> 14 Drowning/Diving Accident in critical condition.
> 15 Electrocution
>
> VECC/SLC 9 & 10 Codes
>
> 9-1 Officer Needs Help! 10-33 Help Quick!
> 9-2 Send Back-Up 10-33A Psychiatric Problem
> 9-7 Armed with a gun 10-33B Violent Person
> 9-8 Mental Subject 10-33C Armed & Threatening
> 9-11 Death 10-33D Firefighter Injured
> 9-17 Robbery 10-34 Time Check
> 9-21 Burglary 10-39 Lights & Siren
> 10-4 Affirmative 10-40 Lights, No Siren
> 10-6 Busy 10-41 On Duty
> 10-7 Armed with a gun 10-42 Off Duty
> 10-9 Repeat 10-45 Request Back-Up
> 10-10 Home 10-46 Confirm Status
> 10-12 Stand By 10-50 Traffic Accident
> 10-13 Shakedown 10-55 D.U.I.
> 10-14 Stakeout 10-60 Out with violator
> 10-15 Prisoner in custody 10-80 Chase
> 10-19 Return to station 10-99F Warrant - Felony
> 10-20 Location 10-99M Warrant - Misdemeanor
> 10-21 Telephone Code-1 Emergencies only
> 10-22 Disregard Code-2 No lights, Siren
> 10-27 Drivers Licence Check Code-3 Lights & Siren
> 10-28 Registration Check Code-4 Status: OK
> 10-29 Warrant Check
>

4063. Re: Lehi, UT trunked repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 02:51:11 UTC
From: B&#65533;ian <bhall7@email.com>
Message-ID: <9mhlb8lau1@acs2.byu.edu>
Source record: 60680

I have since found out that the Lehi trunked repeaters information is old
and way out of date.

-BHall

"BHall" <bhall7@email.com> wrote in message
news:dc0c38eb.0108280803.3735aabb@posting.google.com...
> Hello,
>
> This message is primarily for any avid Utah County, Salt Lake County
> scanner enthusiasts. Others might find irrelevant.
>
> I frequently check Brett Miller's Utah Frequency page
> (http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/frequencies.htm) for freqs, talkgroup
> IDs, etc. It is a killer page not only for Utah scanning but for
> general radio information as well.
>
> On the "Utah County Sheriff - 1J"
> page (http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/UCfreq.htm), at the bottom there
> is information for "Lehi Repeaters" under the
> "Utah County Trunked System Details." I programmed the Lehi repeater
> freqs
> into my BC245XLT and tried to track the system near Lehi, but I hear
> nothing. When I scan the frequencies traditionally (with trunking
> mode off), I hear snippets of conversations. I can't hear any data
> channel and I wonder if these frequencies are now being used for
> something else besides a trunked system. Any information you could
> provide me would be very helpful. Thanks!
>
> The frequencies for the Lehi repeaters are:
>
> 855.5125 866.8375 867.0875 867.2875 867.8875 868.2875
> 866.225 866.625 855.7375 856.2125 857.2125 867.575
> 867.725 868.625
>
> Happy scanning!
>
> -BHall

4064. Re: Live Scanner transmissions on the Internet

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 04:05:43 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <rSZi7.49555$n75.11767468@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 60681

Does this work using the real audio player. That is what I use most. It would be nice to know where some of these are coming from, they seem very interesting.

Randy
B�ian <bhall7@email.com> wrote in message news:9mhl8qgnc1@acs2.byu.edu...
> Hey,
>
> The list of live scanners in the playlist are ones that I fished out of
tons
> of different links on web pages. When you enqueue the live feed from your
> bookmarks into Winamp, it only spits out the ip address. However, if you
> hit play and skip through each one, allowing just enough time for the
title
> of the stream to load, you can easily acquire the name of each
transmission.
>
> Good luck.
>
> -Brian
>
> "Champ" <Champ_not@home.com> wrote in message
> news:O7Wi7.47863$n75.11314532@news4.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > Do you have a list of all 43 stations listed. I wouldn't mind knowing
> where
> > I am listening too. I haven't been able to find such a list of real
media
> > scanners that are active.
> >
> > Randy
> > http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> >
> > Join us now for discussion.
> >
> >
> > BHall <bhall7@email.com> wrote in message
> > news:dc0c38eb.0108281148.62783e8e@posting.google.com...
> > > Whattup y'all?
> > >
> > > If you are interested in listening to live radio scanners on the
> > > internet (most are PD, FD, EMS, etc. with a few ATC), I've put
> > > together a playlist with over 40 presets. Some are more exciting than
> > > others.

> > >
> > > http://www.bhall.com/scanners.m3u
> > >
> > > I recommend Winamp to listen (www.winamp.com). There are many more
> > > live scanner broadcasts on the net in the RealAudio format. However,
> > > I prefer the .m3u and .pls formats over RealAudio. If you would like
> > > to hear more of these scanners in different formats, check out the
> > > following links:
> > >
> > > http://www.policescanner.com
> > > http://home.earthlink.net/~mitbr/Mi01000.htm
> > >
> > > If you find any more scanners online in the .mp3, .m3u or .pls
> > > streaming format, post them. Happy listening!
> > >
> > > -BHall
> >
> >
>
>

4065. Re: Radio is fun again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 05:11:37 UTC
From: bucky mulligan <ohmwork@spots.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <3B8C7989.13519C78@spots.ab.ca>
Source record: 60682

Ted wrote:
>
> I was getting a little bored with the hobby but recently added a
> TenTec RX-320 and Uniden BC-780XLT to the shack.

The TenTec 320 is great. Anything that can tune in one hertz increments gets my attention. The next logical step for them would be to extend the tuning range up to ..say..3 ghz..and increase sensitivity below about 1 mhz.

mike II

4066. Re: Lehi, UT trunked repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 05:27:22 UTC
From: Brandon <brandon@mail2.sandlot.com>
Message-ID: <_2%i7.2916$Fv3.276996@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 60683

This may be slightly off topic, but has anyone discovered a complete channel layout for Provo PD and FD? I have seen a few talkgroups popup on the system which I did not see on the site. I'd also be interested in any updated UCAN talkgroups lists. I have one that was sent to me in April, but just as with many new systems, a lot of new talkgroups have shown up.

Thanks

4067. Re: Freqs for Hondo/Castroville Texas area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 05:43:47 UTC
From: gngrbrd@micron.net
Message-ID: <be665a17.0108282143.267dc73a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60684

Thanks, folks, for the help!

I was able to find almost 100 frequencies with the information you provided, so the scanner memory is almost full. I'm going to give it a final charge and it'll be on its way.

I really appreciate your taking the time to help out. Thanks again,

Ken

4068. Re: F/S AOR 8000 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 11:46:14 UTC
From: DigitalEbola <digitalebola@iPrimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3b8cd621@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 60685
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

nothing wrong with it at all, just too complicated for me... im still a
noobie lol

"Kevcat" <kevcat@ozwebip.Spam.com.au> wrote in message
news:3B8C0BEA.4C363C12@ozwebip.Spam.com.au...
> Geez it can't be that bad can it??
> you only just got it
> the programming and other features are a bit more involved than the
> average scanner but once programmed will work great
>
> Kev
>
> DigitalEbola wrote:
> >
> > For Sale, AR 8000 handheld scanner
> >
> > http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/rev8000.htm
> >
> > $470 AUS - Not Neg
> >
> > Comes with car charger, wall charger, leather case, origional antenna,
box,
> > and manual.
> >
> > email me for further information (Geniune Inquiries only please)
> >
> > anthony@clickfromreality.com
> >
> > Introduced in late 1994, the AR8000 took the scanning world by store.
Never
> > before had such performance and features been packed into a single radio
> > that you could hold in the palm of your hand. Dubbed 'The New Concept',
AOR
> > raised the playing field to a much higher level and eclipsed every other
> > radio receiver on the market with the introduction of the AR8000.
> > The AR8000 came with 1000 channels in 20 banks. It boasted 20 search
banks
> > with 1000 search-skip frequencies. It had auto-store, two VFOs, an
S-meter
> > and could be controlled by computer. As if that weren't enough, it
offered
> > users the ability to tweak lots of receive options and allowed for
> > alpha-numeric tags to be added to all memory channels and search banks!

> >
> > Due to the 1997 Gingrich fiasco, the FCC recently revoked the
certification
> > for the AR8000 since it was too easy for people to reprogram the radio's
> > bandplan thereby opening up the taboo cellular telephone bands. Never
mind
> > that this capability had been there for nearly two and a half years. Now
> > with the AR8000 gone, many people are selling theirs for a premium. The
rest
> > of us wait to see what AOR replaces this great radio with.
> >
> > What follows are my personal impressions regarding the features and
> > performance of this radio. This, in no way, should be viewed as an
> > endorsement to purchase this radio nor is it intended to discourage
anyone
> > from buying it. I have no personal or business relations with AOR
Company
> > Limited. These are simply thoughts offered to my fellow hobbyists who
may be
> > interested in purchasing this particular radio.
> >
> > I simply ask that this information be kept intact. A lot of hard work
and
> > effort has gone into the testing and writing of this review. I would
> > appreciate it if every effort is made to keep this document whole with
me as
> > the original author.
> >
> > And if you want to share your thoughts about this review with me or have
> > further questions, feel free to send e-mail.
> >
> > Thank you and enjoy!

4069. AOR AR-1000 price? comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 12:17:03 UTC
From: FJose <eb5agv@ctv.es>
Message-ID: <3B8CDD3F.C5CF913D@ctv.es>
Source record: 60687

Hello!

I am considering buying an AR-1000 in good cosmetic shape and with
usual accessories. I wonder if US$55 is a good price for it and if
it performs reasonably well... comments welcomed!

Thanks!

JOSE

--
---
73 EB5AGV / EC5AAU - JOSE V. GAVILA
La Canyada - Valencia (SPAIN)

EB5AGV Vintage Radio Site: http://www.geocities.com/eb5agv

European Boatanchors List: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/euro_ba_swap

4070. live EMS dispatch back up

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 12:40:57 UTC
From: Wes <wes@rew.com>
Message-ID: <tp5j7.671821$K5.71208266@news1.rdc1.nj.home.com>
Source record: 60688

http://www.uh-ems.org

Live EMS dispatch for Newark, NJ back up.

4071. Re: AOR AR-1000 price? comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 14:17:38 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829101738.21506.00005208@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 60689

YES ITS A GOOD RADIO, HOWEVER..THE PROGRAMMING OF IT, TAKES SOME GETTING USED TO, AND IT 'DOES' SUFFER FROM OVERLOAD.., ESP. IN LARGE METROPOLITAN AREAS..BUT 50-OR SO $$ IS A "GREAT" PRICE..TAKE IT....(-:

4072. AOR-8000 FOR SALE....GOOD PRICE!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 14:20:32 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010829102032.21506.00005209@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 60690

I HAVE AN ';EARLY' MODEL AOR-8000, FOR SALE..I HAVE THE JAV-232 PC INTERFACE, TO UNBLOCK CELLULAR, ALONG WITH THE SOFTWARE ALSO.SORRY, NO MANUAL..., AND YOU'D NEED THE FLAT CABLES FROM JAVIATION.CO.UK SITE, TO USE IT, WITH A PC..., I HAVE THE CHARGER.., SMALL SCRATCH ON FACE OF IT..NOT 2 NOTICEABLE..WILL SACRIFICE THIS BEAUTIFUL RADIO...FOR 285.00$ ..., .U.S. Postal-service Money ORDER ONLY..ILL PAY SHIPPING COST..., NO OVERSEAS THO!!!!!!

4073. FS: RS Pro-92B Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 15:10:44 UTC
From: Garr <garr_1099@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3b8d05f5$1@rpc1284.daytonoh.ncr.com>
Source record: 60691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Pro-92B Radio Shack Trunking Scanner. Versio 3.28 firmware(latest).
Excellent condition. No scratches on display or case. Includes, NiCad
battery pack, A/C adapter for charging, antenna and manual.

$150.00 you pay shipping. USPS Money Orders.

Email if Interested

4074. Pennsylvania E-Mail List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 15:23:48 UTC
From: pasitrep@pa-sitrep.com
Message-ID: <3b8d08f2.65995902@news.city-net.com>
Source record: 60686

PA-SitRep
Pennsylvania [Emergency] Situation Report E-Mail List

The PA-SitRep mailing list is a free communications tool that will enable you to monitor and report on major emergency situations throughout the State of Pennsylvania including weather emergencies, natural disasters, environmental disasters and civil disturbances.

The list is extremely low volume because messages to the list are limited only to those containing verified emergency situation reports of Major Import within the State of Pennsylvania.

The list is run from a private server at my expense, so there are no advertisements necessary or permitted. Rumors, hearsay, unconfirmed and or inaccurate reports and chat are strictly prohibited.

Subscribers do not have to be members of any specific emergency services organizations to join the PA-SitRep mailing list. ANYONE willing and able to comply with the posting guidelines is welcome.

The list protocol for posting messages is designed to cater to those who use digital cell phones or alphanumeric pagers for text messaging. The first paragraph of the messages posted to the list are brief summaries limited to 100 characters, followed by a more detailed situation report.

For more information, or if you'd like to subscribe, please visit the following web address:

http://www.pa-sitrep.com/pasitrep.htm

Thanks. And we'll look forward to your participation.

www.PA-SitRep.com

4075. Re: Uniden Sportcat 200 programming from PC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 17:12:58 UTC
From: djb@laptop.donniebarnes.com
Message-ID: <slrn9oq8jr.6d9.djb@laptop.donniebarnes.com>
Source record: 60692

>You can purchase a program from an outfit called Pozzilla Software. It's
>available from www.national-electronics.com among other places. If you
>purchase an annual subscription to Frequency Fan Club you can download the
>racing frequencies in the file format that this software uses.

Shit! I swear I did what I thought was an exhaustive search and now I've found two packages available to do this. The one you are referencing is called "Winscan Sport" for $26.90 at National Electronics. The other was sent to me in email by someone from this group. It's downloadable and called EZ-Scan Sport available at http://members.home.net/itssoftware/ez-scan.htm for $20. I've downloaded the trial version of this and it appears just what I want, so I've purchased the full license.

This really bugs me that I couldn't find these on my own. *sigh* Win some and lose some, and thanks for the responses...

--Donnie

--
Donnie Barnes http://www.donniebarnes.com djb@donniebarnes.com 879. V.

4076. Re: AOR AR-1000 price? comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 17:43:29 UTC
From: Prozac Pete <syd@netexecutive.com>
Message-ID: <9mj9hi$3pt$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 60693

If you have the chance of an Aor 1000 for US$ 55.00. Snap it up.
well worth that amount. I had one years ago, (cost a lot more than 55.oo I
can tell you)
It only has AM/FM/WFM but if I recall it covers from 8.000Mhz to
1300.000Mhz-No gaps. It is quite a good scanner, well worth $55 anyway.
If you don't buy it, tell the seller I will buy it.
regards
SD

FJose <eb5agv@ctv.es> wrote in message news:3B8CDD3F.C5CF913D@ctv.es...
> Hello!
>
> I am considering buying an AR-1000 in good cosmetic shape and with
> usual accessories. I wonder if US$55 is a good price for it and if
> it performs reasonably well... comments welcomed!
>
> Thanks!
>
> JOSE
>
> --
> --
> 73 EB5AGV / EC5AAU - JOSE V. GAVILA
> La Canyada - Valencia (SPAIN)
>
> EB5AGV Vintage Radio Site: http://www.geocities.com/eb5agv
>
> European Boatanchors List: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/euro_ba_swap

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.273 / Virus Database: 143 - Release Date: 16/08/01

4077. Re: Pro 2006 question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 17:53:07 UTC
From: Stephen Janney <stevej99@home.com>
Message-ID: <7_9j7.1984$Xv3.289430@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 60694

Don't forget...it gets alot hotter here in Southern AZ!! :)

"Mike Burch" <libertybell7@home.com> wrote in message
news:3B7C3B8B.A4A607A1@home.com...
> Hi fella's
>
> Could any of you please help me based solely on your own
> experiences?
>
> I have 1997 olds 88 and I would like to mount my Pro 2006
> w/opto456lite/optoelectonics scout 40 reaction tuner in the trunk under
> the surface that the rear speakers would mount to and pipe the audio
> over the fm broadcast band using a retransmitter that a good friend
> generously gave me. It will be suspended by an actually pro 2006
> mounting bracket. The receiver will be powered from 13.8 vdc only and
> tapped from the feed to the powered telescopic antenna in the back of
> the vehicle. I am doing it this way to keep it out of sight and mind.
> (Not mine) :-)
>
> Facts...
>
> 1.) The car will eventually wind up in southern Arizona.
> 2.) The trunk is clean and very dry.
> 3.) I have not noticed any really excessive heat build up in the trunk
> or at least it did not seem nearly as hot as it was in the passenger
> compartment on a hot day.
>
> Questions...
>
> 1.) Will the heat be too excessive and damage the unit?
> 2.) Will vibration be an issue? The suspension is pretty good and
> smooth.
> 3.) Do you think a supplemental muffin fan pointing at the radio would
> help? Perhaps powered up only when the radio is in operation?
>
> Thanks in advance for the feedback and opinions.
>
> With kind regards
>
> Mike Burch K8MB
>
> Berkley, Mi
>
>

>
>
>

4078. Things I wish my BC780xlt had...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 18:17:42 UTC
From: Greg <king.frost@home.com>
Message-ID: <6acqotonb0d7044e1he3q8ct017hf4fb1c@4ax.com>
Source record: 60695

Another 500 channels (Jeez, who woulda thought I'd fill up 500 so quick???)

And 40 banks (of 25 each) Or better yet, the ability to program in the size of each bank. Say I program in just my local police & fire, and that takes about 20 slots - make that bank 1, bank 2 starts at 21, and goes to - wherever.

Ok, I am done daydreaming now :)

4079. help in wichita, kansas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 18:25:56 UTC
From: reo7661: "First reo7661";
Message-ID: <3B8D4FD3.C8CF20B0@prodigy.net>
Source record: 60696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,knsas,school,bus,rec.radio.scanner

i'm 16 yaers old. i just got my scanner about 2 weeks ago but already have heard lots of police, fire and ems stuff. im looking for more. i have spent about the last week looking for different frequencies in my area(wichita). Yet i cant seem to find any. my main search area is school buses and the local mall security. i would also like to find other security freqs. for hotels and such. again i have looked diligently and have come up with almost nothing except for cordless phones(which is very cool in my opnion) any way my e-mail is flipteam15@hotmail.com so either send all your good info there or post it, PLEASE. also lemme know if you live in wichita and have a scanner, we can share local info and maybe even become good friends. Who knows.

thanks for any info
Evan

4080. Re: Legal cell phone monitoring?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 20:10:38 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3B8D4C3E.A4B99FA8@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 60597

Richard wrote:

> A little over a year ago, some obnoxious boob was on his cellphone placing an
> order for flowers for his wife. He read off his Visa # and then his home
> address and name. I wrote them down and then dropped the paper in his lap as
> I got off my stop. I just wish I could have seen his face. I bet that
> 'lesson' curbed his cellphone use a little.
>

Would have been funnier if you would have called a flower shop the next day and had flowers delievered to the guy at home with another womans name on the card! Then on the card have the flower shop write "Thanks for a wonderful time last night, I hope your wife doesn't find out as I want this to last forever, Love Debbie".

George
http://www.flash.net/~av8tor/radios RadiosOnLine UpToDate Scanner News and Information.

--

_____
I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
_____

4081. Re: Maha 777PLUS charger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 20:47:40 UTC
From: marvin moss <mmoss@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9mjka2pis1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 60598

I got one and like it a lot.

It does charge up at a fairly fast rate like 800ma or so so you can't use it with small batteries like the 9v variety.

Also a lot of my batteries tend to get warm when charged at the higher rate and the little thermal sensor you put on the battery will switch the charger off in mid stream if the battery gets very warm.

Marvin

Bob Javits <rjavits@optonline.net> wrote in message news:aQRg7.6333$Co6.1597930@news02.optonline.net...
> has anyone here used this battery charger, and do you have any comments,
> good or bad??
>
> thanks bob
>
>

4082. FS: Pair UHF Radios Auction

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 21:42:26 UTC
From: draz.dave@ntlworld.com
Message-ID: <7ce7cf6c.0108291342.3da8b3b2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60599

Pair UHF Radios

http://cgi.ebay.co.uk/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1632219759

Dave

4083. Re: Newbie - Will cellular phone tower be a problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 22:01:39 UTC
From: atari1980@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1ad5723c.0108291401.2952bedf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60600

Thanks to all who responded to my original post. I have my scanner
now, and it seems to me that the tower is not giving any interference.
Of course, being new to scanning, it is difficult for me to know how
interference would affect what I'm hearing (or not hearing). Police,
fire and other miscellaneous frequencies come through very clear. I
will keep my eyes on this newsgroup to learn more.
Thanks!
at

"Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote in message
news:<tohrrdpo0p7r24@corp.supernews.com>...
> I don't think their transmitter will have much of a inter-mod or overload
> problem from a cell tower nearby, however a lowly handheld receiver/scanner
> might. Not counting the fact that God is obviously on the college
> communications advisory board.....
>
> D.Brown <dvbrown5@home.com> wrote in message
> news:Sw%h7.59440$K6.23442736@news2...
> > Our local bible college has their radio tower right next (within 30ft!) to
> a
> > big Cell array. Apparently it is no problem for them as they have been
> > delivering fine Christian programming to the community in spite of the
> cell
> > tower being put there..
> >
> > "tl" <atari1980@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:1ad5723c.0108241020.2920e949@posting.google.com...
> > > Hi,
> > >
> > > I'm interested in purchasing a handheld scanner (IC-R2), but there is
> > > a cellular phone tower that is less than a mile from my house. What
> > > type of interference should I expect from this tower? Is it worth
> > > buying the scanner or will I be unable to receive any signals?
> > >
> > > I'm very new to scanning, so any suggestions/advice would be greatly
> > > appreciated.
> > >
> > > Thanks in advance,
> > > at
> >
> >

4084. Re: 2 GHz Police radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 22:58:16 UTC
From: Spurious@StarBand.net
Message-ID: <Xns910CA2A8F919FFrog207Junocom@148.78.248.75>
Source record: 60601

>> Highest freqs I have ever heard voice comms on was, I believe,
>> 1011.4625MHz, the Mass. State Police, over a year ago. No longer have
>> that scanner in my car though so I don't know if that freq is still
>> used.

> FCC database shows the city of Santa Rosa, CA using 2470GHz for
>Police, all other depts of city using 150's, 460's etc. Locals tell me
>police moved out of range of regular scanners. I can pickup most
>everything else in the county and surounding area.
> S.LR

The 2 GHz band is used for point to point microwave connections, such as hilltop repeaters or remote bases to the control point, (usually dispatch location). You won't find any mobile or portable voice activity on these frequencies. That 1011MHz frequency mentioned above is probably an image frequency behing heard that was actually on the 800MHz band.

Ed

4085. Re: Lake County Ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 22:59:42 UTC
From: dave-nospam@n1dk.com
Message-ID: <3b8d6523.3354171@news-central.giganews.com>
Source record: 60602

Well, the problem seems to have resolved itself. Today, everything is working fine. About the only explanation I can think of is that I had the priority channel alert turned on and when the data channel was moved to that slot, the scanner locked on to the data channel. I'm not totally convinced that this is the problem. But anyway, all is fine once again..

On Tue, 28 Aug 2001 23:14:41 GMT, dave-nospam@n1dk.com wrote:

>Does anybody know if there has been a change in the Lake County Ohio
>trunking service? My scanner will not trunk-track these frequencies
>for the past week or so. I know that the scanner is still
>trunk-tracking, as there is no problem with the Geauga County (a
>neighboring community) service. My PRO-94 seems to be operating
>properly. It goes into the trunk mode, and it "sees" the data channel,
>but there is NO activity when it is in the trunk mode. My old Bearcat
>scanner (non-trunking) indicates that there is activity on all the
>listed frequencies, but not a peep out of the pro-94. this leads me to
>believe that possibly there has been a change in the trunking system
>that Lake County Ohio uses. Any help from N.E. Ohioans would be
>appreciated.
>73, N1DK
>www.n1dk.com
>The Home of Cybershortwave live
>The worlds first and truely interactive netcast

4086. Re: live scanner audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 23:12:14 UTC
From: dirty_harry74@nospam.hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3b8d7698.508899@news.microflash.com>
Source record: 60603

I enjoyed listening in the last couple of evenings. Thanks for putting
in on the Internet!

Regards,

Harry

On Tue, 28 Aug 2001 22:54:05 GMT, "mitbr" <mitbr@earthlink.net> wrote:

>My Pinellas County Florida fire and ems scanner is online tonight from 7pm
>to 10 pm east coast usa time for those interested. Click on the url below to
>listen.
>http://www.live365.com/cgi-bin/play.pls?addr=64.152.82.190:18712&file=filena
>me.pls
>
>
>--
>Tim
>KG4JJS
>Please visit my Tampa Bay Radio Page
>http://home.earthlink.net/~mitbr/Mi01000.htm
>
>

4087. Re: AOR AR-1000 price? comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-29 23:57:14 UTC
From: "James W. Glass" <jwglasspe@home.com>
Message-ID: <ujfj7.54694$c8.24331218@news1.denver1.co.home.com>
Source record: 60604

This is a great radio. but...the keypad can suffer from "not working". I have somewhat solved the problem with graphite on the pad, but given the age of the unit, you need to get some assurance that the keypad works.

Jim

"FJose" <eb5agv@ctv.es> wrote in message news:3B8CDD3F.C5CF913D@ctv.es...
> Hello!
>
> I am considering buying an AR-1000 in good cosmetic shape and with
> usual accessories. I wonder if US$55 is a good price for it and if
> it performs reasonably well... comments welcomed!
>
> Thanks!
>
> JOSE
>
> --
> --
> 73 EB5AGV / EC5AAU - JOSE V. GAVILA
> La Canyada - Valencia (SPAIN)
>
> EB5AGV Vintage Radio Site: http://www.geocities.com/eb5agv
>
> European Boatanchors List: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/euro_ba_swap

4088. Re: AOR AR-1000 price? comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-30 01:06:10 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <6kgj7.20343$R02.2696709335@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 60605

I have one (on loan to a friend). $55!!!! GRAB IT NOW!!!! I'll buy if you don't want it. Does taking getting use to and I lost my manual. 1000 channels, cellular unblocked, but on the lower freqs (AM Bcb, lower SW's) definitely NEEDS a longer antenna. Hope this is helpful. (Please don't but it so I can! :^))

4089. BLUE ANGELS FREQ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-30 01:25:16 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <20010829212516.01539.00004983@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 60606

Does anyone know the freq's the Blue Angels use at air shows this year.They are going to be featured at the Cleveland National Air Show this weekend....Thanks for any and all info......
SCANMAN@SCANNIN U .COM

4090. Re: AOR AR-1000 price? comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-30 03:37:56 UTC
From: ZOOM <kandrparker@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3tij7.31864$_8.3191234@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 60607

It's OK for general scanning but for $55 get it!

"FJose" <eb5agv@ctv.es> wrote in message news:3B8CDD3F.C5CF913D@ctv.es...
> Hello!
>
> I am considering buying an AR-1000 in good cosmetic shape and with
> usual accessories. I wonder if US$55 is a good price for it and if
> it performs reasonably well... comments welcomed!
>
> Thanks!
>
> JOSE
>
> --
> --
> 73 EB5AGV / EC5AAU - JOSE V. GAVILA
> La Canyada - Valencia (SPAIN)
>
> EB5AGV Vintage Radio Site: http://www.geocities.com/eb5agv
>
> European Boatanchors List: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/euro_ba_swap

4091. Re: BLUE ANGELS FREQ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-30 05:08:58 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B8DCA6A.55DF05BC@mitre.org>
Source record: 60608

Teeavee;
Tray the site below for air demonstration team frequencies.
http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/airshofq.html

Grove Enterprise has a great CD filled with military frequencies and
military flight information publications.
they are at http://www.grove-ent.com
Subscribing to their magazine will also give a lot of information and
it you don't want a subscription try buying the MAY issue, MONITORING
TIMES at a large chain bookstore The May issue has the latest
frequencies and the schedule for the teams. Another site
http://www.airnav.com has information on airports and the frequencies
used.
This can help find the AIR BOSS frequency. The AIR BOSS controls
everything at the show also look for the AIR BOSS on military VHF or UHF
FM frequencies and the AIR BOSS may have Family Service Radios to
communicate with staff around the air show. If UHF AM military air band
is not covered in radios available there is still plenty of action
on VHF AM civilian aircraft band and the Blue Angels military FM radios
and the Thunderbirds UHF FM radios, used by the their ground maintenance
folks.Bring HEAD PHONES AND EXTRA BATTERIES FOR THE CAMERA AND
RADIOS,and a liter of bottled water.

One last suggestion if you DO NOT want to be stuck in a traffic jam at
the end of the show..LEAVE about 20 minutes into the Blue Angels
routine.
Watch the rest of the show as you RUN back to the vehicle and listen to
them as you leisurely drive off the field in little or no traffic!

Teeavee wrote:
>
> Does anyone know the freq's the Blue Angels use at air shows this year.They are
> going to be featured at the Cleveland National Air Show this weekend....Thanks
> for any and all info......
> SCANMAN@SCANNIN U .COM

4092. Re: help in wichita, kansas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-30 05:19:51 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <dXjj7.7$L31.188@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 60609
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,knsas,school,bus,rec.radio.scanner

Evan,

Go to Radio Shack and pick up a copy of Police Call Plus. It will probably have most of the local frequencies listed, just requires a little digging. At the same time, ask the clerk if they have a free frequency hand-out sheet, many stores do.

Mark
K5MAR

"reo7661:First reo7661:Last" <ROLBERDING@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:3B8D4FD3.C8CF20B0@prodigy.net...
> i'm 16 yaers old. i just got my scanner about 2 weeks ago but already
> have heard lots of police, fire and ems stuff. im looking for more. i
> have spent about the last week looking for different frequencies in my
> area(wichita). Yet i cant seem to find any. my main search area is
> school buses and the local mall security. i would also like to find
> other security freqs. for hotels and such. again i have looked
> diligently and have come up with almost nothing except for cordless
> phones(which is very cool in my opnion) any way my e-mail is
> flipteam15@hotmail.com so either send all your good info there or post
> it, PLEASE. also lemme know if you live in wichita and have a scanner,
> we can share local info and maybe even become good friends. Who knows.
>
> thanks for any info
> Evan
>

4093. Re: Pro-2026 - Low Audio problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-30 05:21:43 UTC
From: Skweezieweezie <noway@thistime.now>
Message-ID: <H3kj7.5505$KD2.2119315@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 60610

Does it work with an external speaker? Maybe
the internal one is bad......

--

skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

4094. Astro Saber Lab v8.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-30 07:37:39 UTC
From: MD <medic@bigfot.com>
Message-ID: <73mj7.5849$aC1.534789@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 60611
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Anyone who has a copy of Astro Lab 8.0 or newer PLEASE email it to me. I
have a radio on the blink.
And no, I'm not a Motorola security droid looking for bootleggers. If I was
I'd be on eBay!

Thanks!

MD

--
--
Spell check my email address
--
****
root@localhost postmaster@localhost admin@localhost
abuse@localhost postmaster@127.0.0.1
****

4095. Re: Legal cell phone monitoring?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-30 10:46:16 UTC
From: Barry <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <3B8E1978.12CB08BD@snet.net>
Source record: 60612

GeorgeF wrote:
>
> Richard wrote:
>
> > A little over a year ago, some obnoxious boob was on his cellphone placing an
> > order for flowers for his wife. He read off his Visa # and then his home
> > address and name. I wrote them down and then dropped the paper in his lap as
> > I got off my stop. I just wish I could have seen his face. I bet that
> > 'lesson' curbed his cellphone use a little.
> >
>
> Would have been funnier if you would have called a flower shop the next day and
> had flowers delievered to the guy at home with another womans name on the card!
> Then on the card have the flower shop write "Thanks for a wonderful time last
> night, I hope your wife doesn't find out as I want this to last forever, Love
> Debbie".

What would have been even funnier is you being arrested for credit card
theft. Since there are very, very few truly anonymous telephone calls
any more, you would probably have been picked up 15 minutes after the
flowers arrived. <G>

Barry

4096. Re: Legal cell phone monitoring?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-30 10:50:22 UTC
From: Frank <nospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9ml5re$9h2$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 60613

"Barry" <nospam@snet.net> wrote in message news:3B8E1978.12CB08BD@snet.net...
} }
} GeorgeF wrote:
} >
} > Richard wrote:
} >
} > > A little over a year ago, some obnoxious boob was on his cellphone placing an
} > > order for flowers for his wife. He read off his Visa # and then his home
} > > address and name. I wrote them down and then dropped the paper in his lap as
} > > I got off my stop. I just wish I could have seen his face. I bet that
} > > 'lesson' curbed his cellphone use a little.
} > >
} >
} > Would have been funnier if you would have called a flower shop the next day and
} > had flowers delievered to the guy at home with another womans name on the card!
} > Then on the card have the flower shop write "Thanks for a wonderful time last
} > night, I hope your wife doesn't find out as I want this to last forever, Love
} > Debbie".
} }
} What would have been even funnier is you being arrested for credit card
} theft. Since there are very, very few truly anonymous telephone calls
} any more, you would probably have been picked up 15 minutes after the
} flowers arrived. <G>

Not if he had called from a pay phone :-D

4097. Re: AOR AR-1000 price? comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-30 13:17:17 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <morton@whoville.com>
Message-ID: <x1rj7.1420$%e5.3673248@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 60614

$55? Hell yeah! It's a real pain in the butt to program, but it's got every feature that you would want. Without the manual, it might be impossible to really use it well. It's not all that intuitive to use (now that I think of it, what scanner really is). If you look around, you might be to find an old copy of Howard Borenstein's (not sure of name spelling) guide to the AR-1K.

I don't recall if it needed a better antenna. I don't think I had much difficulty picking up stuff, but I'm in a good area. You definitely need different antenna for SW and other stuff aside from the usual scanning bands.

"ZOOM" <kandrparker@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:3tij7.31864$_8.3191234@news20.bellglobal.com...
> It's OK for general scanning but for $55 get it!
>
> "FJose" <eb5agv@ctv.es> wrote in message news:3B8CDD3F.C5CF913D@ctv.es...
> > Hello!
> >
> > I am considering buying an AR-1000 in good cosmetic shape and with
> > usual accessories. I wonder if US$55 is a good price for it and if
> > it performs reasonably well... comments welcomed!
> >
> > Thanks!
> >
> > JOSE
> >
> > --
> > ---
> > 73 EB5AGV / EC5AAU - JOSE V. GAVILA
> > La Canyada - Valencia (SPAIN)
> >
> > EB5AGV Vintage Radio Site: http://www.geocities.com/eb5agv
> >
> > European Boatanchors List: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/euro_ba_swap
>
>

4098. FT: Drake SPT-4

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-30 14:07:40 UTC
From: Brad Fayette <bfayette@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <MMrj7.194922$TM5.35884858@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 60615
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I'd like to trade my SPR-4 for a R-392 military HF receiver, a Ten-Tec RX-320, a Winradio, or a similar computer controlled receiver. Thanks.

Brad Fayette

4099. Re: AOR AR-1000 price? comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-30 14:29:09 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <xVmNohA12kj7EwK0@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 60617

In article <ujfj7.54694$c8.24331218@news1.denver1.co.home.com>, James W. Glass <jwglasspe@home.com> writes

>This is a great radio. but...the keypad can suffer from "not working". I >have somewhat solved the problem with graphite on the pad, but given the age >of the unit, you need to get some assurance that the keypad works.

Replacement keypads are still available (same one used on the Trident TR-2400 but fitted the other way around) and whilst not as easy as some to replace replacing it the best option.

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
http://www.javiation.co.uk

4100. Re: AOR AR-1000 price? comments?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-30 14:31:38 UTC
From: "Jonathan Clough, Javiation" <Clough@Javiation.co.uk>
Message-ID: <9lpOUuAK5kj7EwoA@Javiation.co.uk>
Source record: 60618

In article <x1rj7.1420$%e5.3673248@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>, Fart Eddie
<morton@whoville.com> writes

>$55? Hell yeah! It's a real pain in the butt to program, but it's got every
>feature that you would want. Without the manual, it might be impossible to
>really use it well. It's not all that intuitive to use (now that I think of
>it, what scanner really is). If you look around, you might be to find an
>old copy of Howard Borenstein's (not sure of name spelling) guide to the
>AR-1K.

It is now available to download in PDF format:

http://www.designeq.com/deq/Guide1000.html

--
Cheers,
JC
Javiation - Scanners & receivers from all the leading manufacturers
http://www.javiation.co.uk

4101. Re: Legal cell phone monitoring?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-30 14:45:35 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9mljhu$2tsc6$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60616

"Barry" <nospam@snet.net> wrote in message
news:3B8E1978.12CB08BD@snet.net...
>
> What would have been even funnier is you being arrested for credit card
> theft. Since there are very, very few truly anonymous telephone calls
> any more, you would probably have been picked up 15 minutes after the
> flowers arrived. <G>

But if you're using a cell phone in a very public place, how do you know
which stranger wrote down your credit card number?

Bottom line is, a private conversation on a cell phone is not private, with
or without a scanner.

Tom

4102. Re: controversial teen site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-30 16:14:30 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010830121430.02020.00007061@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 60619

ACTUALLY..ITS SHIT-HOUSE SPAM, BUDDY!!!

CANT YOU READ, MORON??!!!

THE GROUP IS, radio.scanner!!!

get the hint, Punk-ass biatch!!!!!!

4103. Re: controversial teen site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-30 17:43:51 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tosuq321turq65@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60620

OK, I give up... Is a biatch something indigenous to the Punk-ass culture
(term very loosely used for clarification only), or a new mutation??

Cheers n beers y'all.
Don

PVILLE666 <pville666@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010830121430.02020.00007061@mb-mf.aol.com...
> ACTUALLY..ITS SHIT-HOUSE SPAM, BUDDY!!!
>
> CANT YOU READ, MORON??!!!
>
> THE GROUP IS, radio.scanner!!!
>
> get the hint, Punk-ass biatch!!!!!!

4104. Michigan border

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-30 19:27:27 UTC
From: Harry <HGK@centurytel.net>
Message-ID: <3B8E939F.7D203564@centurytel.net>
Source record: 60621

Are there any scanner stores in Canada near the Michigan border?
Thanks Harry

4105. NOAA WxSat Beacon decode?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-30 20:08:51 UTC
From: Andy <capt-tripps@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B8E9D55.C4D9EAFC@home.com>
Source record: 60622

I'e been succesfully decoding NOAA APT transmissions but am interested in their telemetry beacon on 137.77 Mhz. Also, what frequencies do these sats use for the emergency locator systems? TIA.

ATD

4106. Re: F/S AOR 8000 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-30 20:37:43 UTC
From: Kevcat <kevcat@ozwebip.Spam.com.au>
Message-ID: <3B8EA417.2410D43C@ozwebip.Spam.com.au>
Source record: 60623
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Just keep it and learn how to use it
Get the programming cable and use the computer to program the freqs then
all you have to do is learn how to operate the scan and search functions
untill you work out the other controls

they are a great radio and you will be sorry later on that you got rid
of it

Kev

DigitalEbola wrote:
>
> nothing wrong with it at all, just too complicated for me... im still a
> noobie lol
>
> "Kevcat" <kevcat@ozwebip.Spam.com.au> wrote in message
> news:3B8C0BEA.4C363C12@ozwebip.Spam.com.au...
> > Geez it can't be that bad can it??
> > you only just got it
> > the programming and other features are a bit more involved than the
> > average scanner but once programmed will work great
> >
> > Kev
> >
> > DigitalEbola wrote:
> > >
> > > For Sale, AR 8000 handheld scanner
> > >
> > > http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/rev8000.htm
> > >
> > > $470 AUS - Not Neg
> > >
> > > Comes with car charger, wall charger, leather case, origional antenna,
> box,
> > > and manual.
> > >
> > > email me for further information (Geniune Inquiries only please)
> > >
> > > anthony@clickfromreality.com
> > >
> > > Introduced in late 1994, the AR8000 took the scanning world by store.
> Never
> > > before had such performance and features been packed into a single radio
> > > that you could hold in the palm of your hand. Dubbed 'The New Concept',

> AOR
> > > raised the playing field to a much higher level and eclipsed every other
> > > radio receiver on the market with the introduction of the AR8000.
> > > The AR8000 came with 1000 channels in 20 banks. It boasted 20 search
> banks
> > > with 1000 search-skip frequencies. It had auto-store, two VFOs, an
> S-meter
> > > and could be controlled by computer. As if that weren't enough, it
> offered
> > > users the ability to tweak lots of receive options and allowed for
> > > alpha-numeric tags to be added to all memory channels and search banks!
> > >
> > > Due to the 1997 Gingrich fiasco, the FCC recently revoked the
> certification
> > > for the AR8000 since it was too easy for people to reprogram the radio's
> > > bandplan thereby opening up the taboo cellular telephone bands. Never
> mind
> > > that this capability had been there for nearly two and a half years. Now
> > > with the AR8000 gone, many people are selling theirs for a premium. The
> rest
> > > of us wait to see what AOR replaces this great radio with.
> > >
> > > What follows are my personal impressions regarding the features and
> > > performance of this radio. This, in no way, should be viewed as an
> > > endorsement to purchase this radio nor is it intended to discourage
> anyone
> > > from buying it. I have no personal or business relations with AOR
> Company
> > > Limited. These are simply thoughts offered to my fellow hobbyists who
> may be
> > > interested in purchasing this particular radio.
> > >
> > > I simply ask that this information be kept intact. A lot of hard work
> and
> > > effort has gone into the testing and writing of this review. I would
> > > appreciate it if every effort is made to keep this document whole with
> me as
> > > the original author.
> > >
> > > And if you want to share your thoughts about this review with me or have
> > > further questions, feel free to send e-mail.
> > >
> > > Thank you and enjoy!

4107. Re: Legal cell phone monitoring?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-30 21:01:16 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <BAE99DC7CCC6B88C.08940B92A9CE711B.B8BFF8297BE5D351@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60624

On Mon, 27 Aug 2001 10:54:30 -0400, "Paul Zook" <lazpaz@teleweb.net>
wrote:

[snip]

What you would be listening to is a re-broadcasted signal in the
public commercial FM radio band. If anyone is dumb enough
to do that, I can not see where you are breaking any laws by
listening.

Now, passing information you obtained that way to another
individual would probably still be considered unlawful, but that is
a gray area.

4108. New mod for the PRO-2035 - and a Project 25 request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 00:27:27 UTC
From: John Mullen <mullenj@home.com>
Message-ID: <PRAj7.330116$qc.38997271@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 60625

...posted in a.b.r-s...

You can use this mod to directly control the synth chips in the Radio Shack PRO-2035 scanner and tune it outside the standard tuning ranges. It may also work on the 2042.

I can't guarantee this, but on my 2035 I'm able to pick up CHU at 7.335 MHz, and anything above 9 MHZ comes in fine!

MD5SUM: cd106ed9b593b27e432e88b916ab4563 *p2035mod.zip

Let me know if there's a better place to post (750K .zip file)

I'm interested in attempting a software decode for Project 25 audio, but so far I've had no luck finding the specs. I'm looking for a copy of the P25 CD-ROM, or the 102.BAAA (CAI) and 102.BABA (vocoder) specs...or source code, DLLs, etc. Any help appreciated...

4109. Regarding discone antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 00:48:17 UTC
From: coaststation@go.com
Message-ID: <5a77581d.0108301648.3cbf348a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60626
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Currently I'm using the Diamond D-130J discone antenna. The antenna is regarded to be one of the best discone around. The problem with the antenna is that it terminates with PL 259 connector. PL 259 is only good for up to around 300 Mhz. Logically I think discone like Icom AH-7000 is better because it terminates with the N connector. N connector is good for up to 11 Gigahetz. I think D-130J won't give optimum reception on UHF spectrum.

Coast Station.

4110. FT: IcomR2 US Version for Pro94a or SC200..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 01:06:16 UTC
From: scantexas@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <884cb013.0108301706.6d650240@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60627

I have a Icom R2 i would like to trade for a Pro94a or a sc200 please email me directly at gregg@alemy.com the R2 is in excellent condition

thanks

4111. Re: Regarding discone antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 01:48:13 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <totr6aa0bvin32@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

FYI - the AOR DA3000, good to 2GHz, has a TNC connector at the antenna (ok - aerial across the pond), but comes with RG-58U coax with a TNC at one end, and a BNC at the other, so what the hell difference does the TNC, SO239, or Type N make at the antenna, unless you're going to run RG-8 (at the absolute minimum) down to the radio, to another type N??? When you get to 99% of the scanners on the market, you're going to adapt down to a BNC, and most likely use some cheap shit coax getting there.... Look at the total picture, then ask just how important that one connection is! Either do the whole thing to the letter, or just accept you're going to have a technically mediocore system (which most of us do)..... I stuck a TNC/SO236 adapter on my brand spanking new DA3000, because I knew unless I did the rest, it wasn't going to accomplish much just to change the cable connector on some mediocore Beldon 9258 coax that already had a PL-259. But it was better than the RG-58U that came with the antenna, so-o-o-o.....
Cheers n beers y'all
Don

Coast Station <coaststation@go.com> wrote in message
news:5a77581d.0108301648.3cbf348a@posting.google.com...
> Currently I'm using the Diamond D-130J discone antenna. The antenna is
> regarded to be one of the best discone around. The problem with the
> antenna is that it terminates with PL 259 connector. PL 259 is only
> good for up to around 300 Mhz. Logically I think discone like Icom
> AH-7000 is better because it terminates with the N connector. N
> connector is good for up to 11 Gigahetz. I think D-130J won't give
> optimum reception on UHF spectrum.
>
>
>
> Coast Station.

4112. Re: New mod for the PRO-2035 - and a Project 25 request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 02:01:14 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tots2qk2f355cf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60629

alt.binaries.radio-scanner
...Would be a good place to post that...

news:alt.binaries.radio-scanner

"John Mullen" <mullenj@home.com> wrote in message
news:PRAj7.330116$qc.38997271@news1.rdc1.va.home.com...
> ...posted in a.b.r-s...
>
> You can use this mod to directly control the synth chips in the Radio
Shack
> PRO-2035 scanner and tune it outside the standard tuning ranges. It may
> also work on the 2042.
>
> I can't guarantee this, but on my 2035 I'm able to pick up CHU at 7.335
MHz,
> and anything above 9 MHZ comes in fine!
>
> MD5SUM: cd106ed9b593b27e432e88b916ab4563 *p2035mod.zip
>
> Let me know if there's a better place to post (750K .zip file)
>
> -------------
>
> I'm interested in attempting a software decode for Project 25 audio, but
so
> far I've had no luck finding the specs. I'm looking for a copy of the P25
> CD-ROM, or the 102.BAAA (CAI) and 102.BABA (vocoder) specs...or source
code,
> DLLs, etc. Any help appreciated...
>
>
>

4113. Re: BLUE ANGELS FREQ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 02:59:14 UTC
From: allan9 <exatc@neo.rr.com>
Message-ID: <64Dj7.71243$nh4.8847271@typhoon.neo.rr.com>
Source record: 60630

Remember most of the performers will be civilian. When I was there they used the tower frequency.

Al
"Jim Mac Donald" <jmacdon@mitre.org> wrote in message news:3B8DCA6A.55DF05BC@mitre.org...

Teeavee;
Tray the site below for air demonstration team frequencies.
http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/airshofq.html

Grove Enterprise has a great CD filled with military frequencies and
military flight information publications.
they are at http://www.grove-ent.com
Subscribing to their magazine will also give a lot of information and
it you don't want a subscription try buying the MAY issue, MONITORING
TIMES at a large chain bookstore The May issue has the latest
frequencies and the schedule for the teams. Another site
http://www.airnav.com has information on airports and the frequencies
used.
This can help find the AIR BOSS frequency. The AIR BOSS controls
everything at the show also look for the AIR BOSS on military VHF or UHF
FM frequencies and the AIR BOSS may have Family Service Radios to
communicate with staff around the air show. If UHF AM military air band
is not covered in radios available there is still plenty of action
on VHF AM civilian aircraft band and the Blue Angels military FM radios
and the Thunderbirds UHF FM radios, used by the their ground maintenance
folks.Bring HEAD PHONES AND EXTRA BATTERIES FOR THE CAMERA AND
RADIOS,and a liter of bottled water.

One last suggestion if you DO NOT want to be stuck in a traffic jam at
the end of the show..LEAVE about 20 minutes into the Blue Angels
routine.
Watch the rest of the show as you RUN back to the vehicle and listen to
them as you leisurely drive off the field in little or no traffic!

Teeavee wrote:
>
> Does anyone know the freq's the Blue Angels use at air shows this year.They are
> going to be featured at the Cleveland National Air Show this weekend....Thanks
> for any and all info......
> SCANMAN@SCANNIN U .COM

4114. Re: BLUE ANGELS FREQ????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 03:08:43 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B8EFFBB.B4564508@mitre.org>
Source record: 60631

I got the month wrong for the great military info on air shows.
MONITORING TIMES's MARCH 2001 edition by Larry Van Horn MILCOM
"Monitoring Military Flight Demonstration Groups" is the one,not MAY.
He also covers the F16, F15, F14 and A-10 demonstration units, also
foreign teams and parachute teams. Larry covers bands to search,
I found several new frequencies this way at air shows.
MONITORING TIMES and Mr. Van Horn also did a AIR SHOW update in
the JULY 2001 editon.
Jim
Jim Mac Donald wrote:
>
> Teeavee;
> Tray the site below for air demonstration team frequencies.
> http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/scanning_info/airshofq.html
>
> Grove Enterprise has a great CD filled with military frequencies and
> military flight information publications.
> they are at http://www.grove-ent.com
> Subscribing to their magazine will also give a lot of information and
> it you don't want a subscription try buying the MAY issue, MONITORING
> TIMES at a large chain bookstore The May issue has the latest
> frequencies and the schedule for the teams. Another site
> http://www.airnav.com has information on airports and the frequencies
> used.
> This can help find the AIR BOSS frequency. The AIR BOSS controls
> everything at the show also look for the AIR BOSS on military VHF or UHF
> FM frequencies and the AIR BOSS may have Family Service Radios to
> communicate with staff around the air show. If UHF AM military air band
> is not covered in radios available there is still plenty of action
> on VHF AM civilian aircraft band and the Blue Angels military FM radios
> and the Thunderbirds UHF FM radios, used by the their ground maintenance
> folks.Bring HEAD PHONES AND EXTRA BATTERIES FOR THE CAMERA AND
> RADIOS,and a liter of bottled water.
>
> One last suggestion if you DO NOT want to be stuck in a traffic jam at
> the end of the show..LEAVE about 20 minutes into the Blue Angels
> routine.
> Watch the rest of the show as you RUN back to the vehicle and listen to
> them as you leisurely drive off the field in little or no traffic!
>
> Teeavee wrote:
> >
> > Does anyone know the freq's the Blue Angels use at air shows this year.They are
> > going to be featured at the Cleveland National Air Show this weekend....Thanks

> > for any and all info......
> > SCANMAN@SCANNIN U .COM

4115. Re: New mod for the PRO-2035 - and a Project 25 request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 04:33:15 UTC
From: mullenj@home.com
Message-ID: <910E7C80mullenjhomecom@24.7.143.114>
Source record: 60632

Thanks. Actually, I did try to post it there, but didn't think to do a
test - I had to switch to xnews so I could break it up into 3 parts.
Anyway...it's out there now!

NunYa@Business.NET (Power House) wrote in
<tots2qk2f355cf@corp.supernews.com>:

>alt.binaries.radio-scanner
>...Would be a good place to post that...
>
>news:alt.binaries.radio-scanner
>
>
>"John Mullen" <mullenj@home.com> wrote in message
>news:PRAj7.330116$qc.38997271@news1.rdc1.va.home.com...
>> ...posted in a.b.r-s...
>>
>> You can use this mod to directly control the synth chips in the Radio
>Shack
>> PRO-2035 scanner and tune it outside the standard tuning ranges. It
>> may also work on the 2042.
>>
>> I can't guarantee this, but on my 2035 I'm able to pick up CHU at
>> 7.335
>MHz,
>> and anything above 9 MHZ comes in fine!
>>
>> MD5SUM: cd106ed9b593b27e432e88b916ab4563 *p2035mod.zip
>>
>> Let me know if there's a better place to post (750K .zip file)
>>
>> -------------
>>
>> I'm interested in attempting a software decode for Project 25 audio,
>> but
>so
>> far I've had no luck finding the specs. I'm looking for a copy of the
>> P25 CD-ROM, or the 102.BAAA (CAI) and 102.BABA (vocoder) specs...or
>> source
>code,
>> DLLs, etc. Any help appreciated...
>>
>>
>>
>

>
>

4116. Wisconsin DNR squelch codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 05:47:00 UTC
From: Pat Deethardt <deethardt@tomah.com>
Message-ID: <deethardt-740D40.00470031082001@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60633

Anybody here have any idea what type of squelch coding the DNR uses on their repeater network? Either they're using non-standard CTCSS tones, DCS, or carrier squelch (which I doubt). I've tried scanning through all the 'standard' CTCSS tones and it appears they aren't using them. Any ideas?

Thanks,

Pat

4117. New AOR AR-1000 YahooGroup

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 06:49:29 UTC
From: FJose <eb5agv@ctv.es>
Message-ID: <3B8F3379.8BFC658E@ctv.es>
Source record: 60634

Hello!

From your comments to my request about AOR AR-1000 info (thanks for them!), it seems that this classic has still some interest. So I have decided to create a YahooGroup for it (including AOR AR1000, AR1000X, AR1000XC, AR1000XLT, AR2000, Fairmate HP100, HP200, HP200E, HP200 Mk II, HP2000, Camnis HSC-010, Trident TR1000XLT, TR1200XLT). I am toying with a project to interface it to a PC by means of an small microcontroller board, modifying the 5kHz step (if possible ;-)) and some other things... so I thought about a dedicated Group to keep focus on this model.

Main page for the Group is at:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ar1000

If you wish to directly subscribe, just send a message to:

mailto:ar1000-subscribe@yahoogroups.com

Best regards,

JOSE

--
---
73 EB5AGV / EC5AAU - JOSE V. GAVILA
La Canyada - Valencia (SPAIN)

EB5AGV Vintage Radio Site: http://www.geocities.com/eb5agv
European Boatanchors List: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/euro_ba_swap

4118. Re: Lake County Ohio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 10:02:57 UTC
From: dave-nospam@n1dk.com
Message-ID: <3b8f52a5.1413461@news-central.giganews.com>
Source record: 60635

Well, the same problem is back again today that occured in the
original post. I have eleminated the possibility that I may have had
the priority turned on. So there must be something going on with the
800 mhz county system that I cannot explain.

On Tue, 28 Aug 2001 23:14:41 GMT, dave-nospam@n1dk.com wrote:

>Does anybody know if there has been a change in the Lake County Ohio
>trunking service? My scanner will not trunk-track these frequencies
>for the past week or so. I know that the scanner is still
>trunk-tracking, as there is no problem with the Geauga County (a
>neighboring community) service. My PRO-94 seems to be operating
>properly. It goes into the trunk mode, and it "sees" the data channel,
>but there is NO activity when it is in the trunk mode. My old Bearcat
>scanner (non-trunking) indicates that there is activity on all the
>listed frequencies, but not a peep out of the pro-94. this leads me to
>believe that possibly there has been a change in the trunking system
>that Lake County Ohio uses. Any help from N.E. Ohioans would be
>appreciated.
>73, N1DK
>www.n1dk.com
>The Home of Cybershortwave live
>The worlds first and truely interactive netcast

4119. Re: New mod for the PRO-2035 - and a Project 25 request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 11:12:36 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <morton@whoville.com>
Message-ID: <EiKj7.1529$%e5.4124606@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 60636

Try again.

"John Mullen" <mullenj@home.com> wrote in message
news:910E7C80mullenjhomecom@24.7.143.114...
> Thanks. Actually, I did try to post it there, but didn't think to do a
> test - I had to switch to xnews so I could break it up into 3 parts.
> Anyway...it's out there now!
>
> NunYa@Business.NET (Power House) wrote in
> <tots2qk2f355cf@corp.supernews.com>:
>
> >alt.binaries.radio-scanner
> >...Would be a good place to post that...
> >
> >news:alt.binaries.radio-scanner
> >
> >
> >"John Mullen" <mullenj@home.com> wrote in message
> >news:PRAj7.330116$qc.38997271@news1.rdc1.va.home.com...
> >> ...posted in a.b.r-s...
> >>
> >> You can use this mod to directly control the synth chips in the Radio
> >Shack
> >> PRO-2035 scanner and tune it outside the standard tuning ranges. It
> >> may also work on the 2042.
> >>
> >> I can't guarantee this, but on my 2035 I'm able to pick up CHU at
> >> 7.335
> >MHz,
> >> and anything above 9 MHZ comes in fine!
> >>
> >> MD5SUM: cd106ed9b593b27e432e88b916ab4563 *p2035mod.zip
> >>
> >> Let me know if there's a better place to post (750K .zip file)
> >>
> >> -------------
> >>
> >> I'm interested in attempting a software decode for Project 25 audio,
> >> but
> >so
> >> far I've had no luck finding the specs. I'm looking for a copy of the
> >> P25 CD-ROM, or the 102.BAAA (CAI) and 102.BABA (vocoder) specs...or
> >> source
> >code,

> >> DLLs, etc. Any help appreciated...
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >
> >
> >
>

4120. Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 12:15:20 UTC
From: "B.Smith" <Brian01@winmail.com.au>
Message-ID: <sdLj7.997$bY5.8284@news-server.bigpond.net.au>
Source record: 60637

After full version (non demo) software for the UBC780xlt ,have the Latest WinScan780 but would like to get hold of ScanCat Gold SE or TrunkStar780 ,will trade Full verison of Winscan780 or something else you may be after.....

Email me directly if you can help.

BS

4121. Re: Regarding discone antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 12:36:27 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <fxLj7.9056$oc.2062738@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Absolutely false! How much signal difference (in dB) do you think your scanner will see at with an "N" connector vs. a PL-259 at say 1 GHz? I'd estimate something on the order of 0.1 dB. Trust me, it would be hard to measure the difference, and impossible to hear it.

The "N" connector is better from a moisture infiltration standpoint. But the PL-259 is easier to install properly, and can be made very water-resistant by molding "duct seal" around it. And you can buy silver-plated/teflon PL-259's for about $1 by mail order.

Art Harris

"Coast Station" <coaststation@go.com> wrote in message news:5a77581d.0108301648.3cbf348a@posting.google.com...
> Currently I'm using the Diamond D-130J discone antenna. The antenna is
> regarded to be one of the best discone around. The problem with the
> antenna is that it terminates with PL 259 connector. PL 259 is only
> good for up to around 300 Mhz. Logically I think discone like Icom
> AH-7000 is better because it terminates with the N connector. N
> connector is good for up to 11 Gigahetz. I think D-130J won't give
> optimum reception on UHF spectrum.
>
>
>
> Coast Station.

4122. Help the Newbie: Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 12:38:39 UTC
From: nixx@sanclemente.com
Message-ID: <3b8f80ab.51475426@news-server>
Source record: 60638

I know you old hands get tired of this, but....

I bought a Pro-91 off ebay for monitoring race freqs (now I have a Pro-40 for sale REALLY cheap) and now I'm hooked on listening to the county trunked broadcasts (5-7 miles from the repeater, downhill), using the stock duck. I also enjoy listening to air, and I naively hooked coax to my old tv antenna and mounted it vertically in the basement. It does get quite a bit of traffic. Now, I want more. Being a miserly bastard (and wishing to experiment), I would really like to build my own outdoor antenna, so I did a google search for scanner antennas, and am more confused than ever. Hence:

Am I stupid to attempt to build my own? If I buy one, will it improve my 800 trunked stuff? Which one should I buy?

I think I understand that an antenna is somewhat customized for the freq range. Could I/should I mount two antennas and have a a/b switch to go from air to 800?

Finally, is there a way to combine these ideas with an antenna that will xmit and receive cb? Why doesn't my scanner have CB capability?

4123. AOR Out of Country Purchase ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 13:14:43 UTC
From: John P Russo <jprusso@acsu.buffalo.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8F8DC2.EF0B00B8@acsu.buffalo.edu>
Source record: 60640

Hello scanner fans..

If I want to buy a new AOR 8200 (unblocked) do I need to order from an out of the country supplier ??

Any recommendations.

Maybe from Canada or do they have stiff taxes ..?

thanks John

4124. Wisconsin DNR squelch codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 13:16:27 UTC
From: J&D Schnoor <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <L6Mj7.129$Hx1.42234@news7.onvoy.net>
Source record: 60641

The information that I have is that they use standard PL tones on the inputs to the repeaters, but that the outputs are carrier squelch.

4125. Re: AOR Out of Country Purchase ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 14:01:49 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <morton@whoville.com>
Message-ID: <hNMj7.1550$%e5.4181365@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 60642

Yawn. You're wasting people's time asking questions that you can easily find the answers to. This is by far one of the most asked and discussed questions in the history of scanning. It is second only to, "What are cordless fone freqz?" S-E-A-R-C-H.

"John P Russo" <jprusso@acsu.buffalo.edu> wrote in message news:3B8F8DC2.EF0B00B8@acsu.buffalo.edu...
> Hello scanner fans..
>
> If I want to buy a new AOR 8200 (unblocked) do I need to order from an
> out of the country supplier ??
>
> Any recommendations.
>
> Maybe from Canada or do they have stiff taxes ..?
>
> thanks John
>

4126. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 14:25:42 UTC
From: "Stuart G." <scanning@ecdcrew.com>
Message-ID: <tov7n8karfup60@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60643

As for the Antenna

Buy this, and don't even think about it:

Diamond D-130J

Good, no GREAT for general freq. scanning

Radio Shack also has a discone for $60.00, so if you can't get the best
(Diamond D-130J) go for the Radio Shack.....

"nixx" <nixx@sanclemente.com> wrote in message
news:3b8f80ab.51475426@news-server...
> I know you old hands get tired of this, but....
>
> I bought a Pro-91 off ebay for monitoring race freqs (now I have a
> Pro-40 for sale REALLY cheap) and now I'm hooked on listening to the
> county trunked broadcasts (5-7 miles from the repeater, downhill),
> using the stock duck. I also enjoy listening to air, and I naively
> hooked coax to my old tv antenna and mounted it vertically in the
> basement. It does get quite a bit of traffic. Now, I want more.
> Being a miserly bastard (and wishing to experiment), I would really
> like to build my own outdoor antenna, so I did a google search for
> scanner antennas, and am more confused than ever. Hence:
>
> Am I stupid to attempt to build my own? If I buy one, will it improve
> my 800 trunked stuff? Which one should I buy?
>
> I think I understand that an antenna is somewhat customized for the
> freq range. Could I/should I mount two antennas and have a a/b switch
> to go from air to 800?
>
> Finally, is there a way to combine these ideas with an antenna that
> will xmit and receive cb? Why doesn't my scanner have CB capability?

4127. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 14:37:23 UTC
From: "alan m.vigeant" <alaininvc@nethere.com>
Message-ID: <3B8FA123.D061E923@nethere.com>
Source record: 60644

For my $.02 worth of advice? I'd say go ahead and experiment. There are so many sites for antennas on the web, so many books at the library. It's fun, it's educational and most of all it's something you can point to with pride!

Alain

nixx wrote:
>
> I know you old hands get tired of this, but....
>
> I bought a Pro-91 off ebay for monitoring race freqs (now I have a
> Pro-40 for sale REALLY cheap) and now I'm hooked on listening to the
> county trunked broadcasts (5-7 miles from the repeater, downhill),
> using the stock duck. I also enjoy listening to air, and I naively
> hooked coax to my old tv antenna and mounted it vertically in the
> basement. It does get quite a bit of traffic. Now, I want more.
> Being a miserly bastard (and wishing to experiment), I would really
> like to build my own outdoor antenna, so I did a google search for
> scanner antennas, and am more confused than ever. Hence:
>
> Am I stupid to attempt to build my own? If I buy one, will it improve
> my 800 trunked stuff? Which one should I buy?
>
> I think I understand that an antenna is somewhat customized for the
> freq range. Could I/should I mount two antennas and have a a/b switch
> to go from air to 800?
>
> Finally, is there a way to combine these ideas with an antenna that
> will xmit and receive cb? Why doesn't my scanner have CB capability?

4128. Re: AOR Out of Country Purchase ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 16:40:35 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tovfffavnhc358@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60646

javiation.com - in the UK, Jonathan is a great guy to deal with - have bought two in the last few months from him!!!!!!!

John P Russo <jprusso@acsu.buffalo.edu> wrote in message news:3B8F8DC2.EF0B00B8@acsu.buffalo.edu...
> Hello scanner fans..
>
> If I want to buy a new AOR 8200 (unblocked) do I need to order from an
> out of the country supplier ??
>
> Any recommendations.
>
> Maybe from Canada or do they have stiff taxes ..?
>
> thanks John
>

4129. Re: AOR Out of Country Purchase ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 16:55:35 UTC
From: Ken McKenzie <donot@forget.your.towel>
Message-ID: <3b8fbf6b$1@news1>
Source record: 60645

You might try http://www.radioworld.ca/index.html
They are in Toronto. As to taxes, there should be any Canadian ones if the shipment goes out of Canada.

"John P Russo" <jprusso@acsu.buffalo.edu> wrote in message news:3B8F8DC2.EF0B00B8@acsu.buffalo.edu...
> Hello scanner fans..
>
> If I want to buy a new AOR 8200 (unblocked) do I need to order from an
> out of the country supplier ??
>
> Any recommendations.
>
> Maybe from Canada or do they have stiff taxes ..?
>
> thanks John
>

4130. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 17:46:26 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <S3Qj7.11220$oc.2440821@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60547

THE most important thing for a VHF/UHF antenna is altitude. That's why your TV antenna in the basement worked poorly. I'd much rather have a simple ground plane or discone antenna at 60 feet than a super high gain beam at 20 feet.

A discone is hard to beat for all around scanner listening. If you have a very specific need (like wanting to hear 400 MHz or 800 MHz signals from a distant city), you can use a UHF-TV Corner Reflector antenna. It will have to be turned on it's boom for vertical polarization and aimed in the right direction. I've done this with excellent results with a Radio Shack UHF antenna.

Yes, two antennas can be switched using an A-B switch.

Finally, use good quality coax and install the connectors properly. RG-6 is a good choice, and you can buy it with the "F" connectors installed. Contrary to urban myth, using adapters to mate "F" connectors to BNC or PL-259 plugs will NOT cause more than a a fraction of a dB of loss. Make sure to weatherproof all outdoor connections with "duct seal" (get it at Home Depot's electrical department). I like to use "F" connectors with RG-6 because that cable uses aluminum braid that you can't solder.

If you enjoy expeimenting, you can easily build simple ground plane antennas for various frequencies. Check out some of the ARRL books at your library.

Some scanners do cover CB. You'll hear some CB stuff with a VHF antenna, but it ain't gonna be easy to cover 27 MHz to 900 MHz with one antenna.

Art Harris, N2AH

"nixx" <nixx@sanclemente.com> wrote in message
news:3b8f80ab.51475426@news-server...
> I know you old hands get tired of this, but....
>
> I bought a Pro-91 off ebay for monitoring race freqs (now I have a
> Pro-40 for sale REALLY cheap) and now I'm hooked on listening to the
> county trunked broadcasts (5-7 miles from the repeater, downhill),
> using the stock duck. I also enjoy listening to air, and I naively
> hooked coax to my old tv antenna and mounted it vertically in the
> basement. It does get quite a bit of traffic. Now, I want more.
> Being a miserly bastard (and wishing to experiment), I would really
> like to build my own outdoor antenna, so I did a google search for
> scanner antennas, and am more confused than ever. Hence:
>
> Am I stupid to attempt to build my own? If I buy one, will it improve
> my 800 trunked stuff? Which one should I buy?

>
> I think I understand that an antenna is somewhat customized for the
> freq range. Could I/should I mount two antennas and have a a/b switch
> to go from air to 800?
>
> Finally, is there a way to combine these ideas with an antenna that
> will xmit and receive cb? Why doesn't my scanner have CB capability?

4131. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 18:41:57 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9molor$38m0m$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60548

"nixx" <nixx@sanclemente.com> wrote in message
news:3b8f80ab.51475426@news-server...
> I also enjoy listening to air, and I naively
> hooked coax to my old tv antenna and mounted it vertically in the
> basement. It does get quite a bit of traffic. Now, I want more.

That wasn't naive. Just take it out of the basement and mount it on top of your house. A good rotator would be a help, since your vertically-mounted TV antenna will only receive signals well in one direction.

>
> Am I stupid to attempt to build my own?

Not at all. I enjoy building antennas & experimenting with them. Check out http://photos.yahoo.com/n2uhc for some plans of antennas I made. Click on "projects."

> I think I understand that an antenna is somewhat customized for the
> freq range. Could I/should I mount two antennas and have a a/b switch
> to go from air to 800?

Yes, A/B antenna switches are readily available in the ham and TV market.

> Finally, is there a way to combine these ideas with an antenna that
> will xmit and receive cb?

If you're meaning, can you hook a scanner to the same antenna that your CB is connected to, no I wouldn't do it without some VERY good filtering to block out the 27 MHZ CB signals. Otherwise, when you key your CB you will fry your scanner. And if you connect something to your CB transmission line, it may alter the SWR. Best is to use a separate antenna for the scanner.

>Why doesn't my scanner have CB capability?

Some scanners do. They cover 25-1300 MHZ.

Tom

4132. Re: Wisconsin DNR squelch codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 19:18:31 UTC
From: Andy Knitt <aaknitt@mtu.edu>
Message-ID: <3B8FE307.9B678E79@mtu.edu>
Source record: 60549

J&D is correct. PL tones are needed to access the repeaters, but the repeaters do not retransmit any tone.

Andy

4133. FA> BC560XLT Scanner...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 20:13:59 UTC
From: tramd300@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010831161359.21469.00004782@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 60550

Item name: Uniden Bearcat BC560XLT (16 Ch.Police/Fire
Item number: 1270824572
Minimum bid: $5.00
Reserve Price: N/A
Quantity: 1
End date: Friday, Sep 07, 2001 at 13:08:22 PDT

To view your item, click here:
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1270824572

4134. Re: Regarding discone antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 21:00:57 UTC
From: coaststation@go.com
Message-ID: <5a77581d.0108311300.875b6f8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

"Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote in message
news:<totr6aa0bvin32@corp.supernews.com>...
> FYI - the AOR DA3000, good to 2GHz, has a TNC connector at the antenna (ok -
> aerial across the pond), but comes with RG-58U coax with a TNC at one end,
> and a BNC at the other, so what the hell difference does the TNC, SO239, or
> Type N make at the antenna, unless you're going to run RG-8 (at the absolute
> minimum) down to the radio, to another type N??? When you get to 99% of the
> scanners on the market, you're going to adapt down to a BNC, and most likely
> use some cheap shit coax getting there.... Look at the total picture, then
> ask just how important that one connection is! Either do the whole thing to
> the letter, or just accept you're going to have a technically mediocore
> system (which most of us do)..... I stuck a TNC/SO236 adapter on my brand
> spanking new DA3000, because I knew unless I did the rest, it wasn't going
> to accomplish much just to change the cable connector on some mediocore
> Beldon 9258 coax that already had a PL-259. But it was better than the
> RG-58U that came with the antenna, so-o-o-o.....
> Cheers n beers y'all
> Don

I'm talking about the antenna only, not the coax that comes
with it. My views is base on logic and theory, whether that thing
would work in the real world remains to be seen. Currently I'm using
LMR-400 coax with the D-130J and I would use the LMR-400 too if I'm
using the AH-7000. And the BNC connector that comes with the scanner
is good up to 4 Gigahetz, in theory.

Coast Station.

4135. Belgian scanner freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 21:10:06 UTC
From: Charly <ultra@web.net>
Message-ID: <3b8ffce3$0$15704@news2.zeelandnet.nl>
Source record: 60552
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,fr.rec.radio,rec.radio.scanner

For Belgian scannerfrequencies go to http://www.geocities.com/belgascan/
Become a member of the Belgian scannerclub, it's free!
Get your free password!
More information? Email to Belgascan00@hotmail.com

4136. pro92 software discount?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 21:11:11 UTC
From: lonnie@lonniesplace.com
Message-ID: <3b8ffd45.38298042@anonymous.anonymousnewsfeed.com>
Source record: 60553

Does anyone know how to get the hamfest discount at pro92.com

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4137. CLEVELAND AIR SHOW FREQ'S????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 22:34:39 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <20010831183439.01617.00005971@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 60554

Does anyone have any????...Operations, Show control, Anything would be greatly appreciated...Thanks

4138. Re: Legal cell phone monitoring?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 22:51:03 UTC
From: djb@laptop.donniebarnes.com
Message-ID: <slrn9p055r.1bc.djb@laptop.donniebarnes.com>
Source record: 60555

>> Would have been funnier if you would have called a flower shop the next day and
>> had flowers delievered to the guy at home with another womans name on the card!
>> Then on the card have the flower shop write "Thanks for a wonderful time last
>> night, I hope your wife doesn't find out as I want this to last forever, Love
>> Debbie".
>
>
>What would have been even funnier is you being arrested for credit card
>theft. Since there are very, very few truly anonymous telephone calls
>any more, you would probably have been picked up 15 minutes after the
>flowers arrived. <G>

It's called a pay phone. Haven't you been watching The Sopranos? ;-)

--Donnie

--
Donnie Barnes http://www.donniebarnes.com djb@donniebarnes.com 879. V.

4139. Re: Uniden Sportcat 200 programming from PC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 22:54:36 UTC
From: djb@laptop.donniebarnes.com
Message-ID: <slrn9p05cg.1bc.djb@laptop.donniebarnes.com>
Source record: 60556

I hate following up to my own post, but...

>>You can purchase a program from an outfit called Pozzilla Software. It's
>>available from www.national-electronics.com among other places. If you
>>purchase an annual subscription to Frequency Fan Club you can download the
>>racing frequencies in the file format that this software uses.
>
>Shit! I swear I did what I thought was an exhaustive search and now I've
>found two packages available to do this. The one you are referencing is
>called "Winscan Sport" for $26.90 at National Electronics. The other was
>sent to me in email by someone from this group. It's downloadable and called
>EZ-Scan Sport available at http://members.home.net/itssoftware/ez-scan.htm
>for $20. I've downloaded the trial version of this and it appears just
>what I want, so I've purchased the full license.

So, now that I've bought it I wish I would have bought the other one. :-)
EZ-Scan Sport is fairly buggy. I downloaded my scanner's current list with
a few minor bugs. I then used the software to add a bunch more frequencies
to the list. I tried to write it back to the scanner and fought and fought
to make it happen. I finally did make it happen by exporting my data to
a file, importing it back, and then writing it. Oddly, that worked.

The guy who wrote it has been trying to work with me some to see if he
can reproduce the problem, but so far he can't. *shrug* If anyone has
better experience with Winscan Sport, I'd love to hear it. I may have to
buy the other one now. :-(

--Donnie

--
Donnie Barnes http://www.donniebarnes.com djb@donniebarnes.com 879. V.

4140. Re: Regarding discone antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 23:11:00 UTC
From: Ender <SBender@home.com>
Message-ID: <fr30ptktsn7r1ojo8j4q8tcctgi3mucelh@4ax.com>
Source record: 60557

On 30 Aug 2001 17:48:17 -0700, coaststation@go.com (Coast Station)
wrote:

>Currently I'm using the Diamond D-130J discone antenna. The antenna is
>regarded to be one of the best discone around. The problem with the
>antenna is that it terminates with PL 259 connector. PL 259 is only
>good for up to around 300 Mhz. Logically I think discone like Icom
>AH-7000 is better because it terminates with the N connector. N
>connector is good for up to 11 Gigahetz. I think D-130J won't give
>optimum reception on UHF spectrum.
>
>
>
>Coast Station.
Hi,

I wouldn't get too upset with a PL 259 connector at the feedpoint of
the discone. The major knock on that connector deals with transfer of
power in a transmitting installation. It will heat up quite noticeably
when RF above 300 mhz is passed through it. In a receive installation
I suspect you would not see a measurable difference between the PL 259
and most other common connectors. When you think about it, a TV
antenna feedline is often termintated with an F connector at both
ends, and it is used for reception up into the 700 mhz regions at UHF.
The cheap and flimsy F connector and its crimped on coax connector is
extremely lossy if used in a transmit installation, but it is
satisfactory for reception.

Rob C.
WB1AEX

Remove the SB from my return email to reply by mail.

4141. Live scanner audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 23:18:41 UTC
From: mitbr <mitbr@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <IXUj7.4002$ld2.106290@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 60558

My Pinellas County Florida fire and ems scanner is online tonight from 7pm
to 10 pm east coast usa time for those interested. Click on the Tampa Bay
Radio Page
url below to
listen.
Tim
KG4JJS
Please visit my Tampa Bay Radio Page
http://home.earthlink.net/~mitbr/Mi01000.htm

4142. Best Software for BC780?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-08-31 23:27:01 UTC
From: Agent <davidostertag@noyoucannotsendmespam.home.com>
Message-ID: <s770pt4u240fi7rpnkmch08h01qfvv2plb@4ax.com>
Source record: 60559

Who makes it? Also, I have purchased a used copy of Scanpro. I haven't tried it out yet. Does anyone have any experiences/tips/warnings/comments good or bad on this software?
Thanks
Dave

4143. Re: Air Collision

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 00:01:02 UTC
From: Victor and Lisbeth Trucco <trucco@hevanet.com>
Message-ID: <tp09af6t92k45d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60560

That was a terrible tragedy! Not sure why the Arco spotter plane didn't catch it before the wing to wing sideswipe. I knew of one of the pilots as I used to watch the S-2's load up at Sonoma County Air Attack station. You must have caught it on 151.310. Best Regards.

"MercenaryPGP" <fbyvgba-QRYRGR@cnpvsvp-GUVF.arg> wrote in message news:4g20pt4o7qlfka6p66dolsingkp4j5r0d8@4ax.com...
> While I was listening to my scanner on Monday 27 Aug, there was an air
> collision of two air tankers at approx. 6:30 pm PDT about 20 miles
> south from here. The collision occurred over the McNabb Ranch Road
> area which is between Ukiah and Hopland Calif. So far, one of the
> people who caused the fire is being charged with murder.

4144. Re: pro92 software discount?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 00:14:05 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B90284C.7DA65859@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 60561

Don't bother. There is much better, and free, software available.
Checkout

www.pro-92.com

Note the "-" in the above URL!

Walt
Lonnie wrote:
>
> Does anyone know how to get the hamfest discount at pro92.com
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4145. Weird noise audible on many trunked channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 01:22:51 UTC
From: Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com>
Message-ID: <jhd0ptgnjh59evr2uqe84iidhdbgr91i9l@4ax.com>
Source record: 60562

I typically like to monitor any and all trunked networks found in my county (Riverside, CA) with my BC220XLT. I've noticed that a lot of the active frequencies I monitor tend to have some weird garbage sound included. This noise usually comes up right after many of the transmissions I hear on the affected frequencies.

I've listened to many trunked channels with this radio before - including when I lived in the neighboring San Bernardino County - and can't recall having ever experienced this before moving out this way. This junk noise becomes active on frequencies that I want to monitor more than others, but I can't get rid of it. It will sometimes cut off after several seconds, but then has a habit of coming back frequently before the 2-second delay hits. In other words, there are a lot of times when I end up wasting precious seconds of time hearing this junk when I could be finding another active conversation on another channel.

I made a recording of this noise and have posted it to the Web. If any of you would like to hear this irritating noise, you can download the WAV file (just over 400KB in file size). from the following URL:

http://surfree.homestead.com/jughead/files/trunknoise.wav

I'd really appreciate getting some input on this because it's gotten to the point that I'm VERY tempted to head over to Uniden's website and order myself a new BC245XLT so I can have something more suitable for monitoring trunked networks - particularly in hopes that the BC245XLT might actually keep this "problem" quiet.

Jughead

4146. Re: Regarding discone antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 01:32:44 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <0VWj7.15187$oc.3140551@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60563

Let's not confuse power handling capability with loss. Loss in dB will be the same whether transmitting or receiving. This is true for coax as well as connectors.

I have run 50 watts of 449 MHz FM through PL-259 connectors and they do not get the least bit warm. That tells me no significant power is being dissipated in the connector. (If even one watt were being dissipated, it would get warm fast.)

The PL-259 is the most unjustly maligned connector around! A properly installed PL-259 can handle a kilowatt at UHF with no problem. Now, the "F" connector is another story. I wouldn't try to pump a KW through one of those!

Art Harris, N2AH

"Ender" <SBender@home.com> wrote in message news:fr30ptktsn7r1ojo8j4q8tcctgi3mucelh@4ax.com...

> I wouldn't get too upset with a PL 259 connector at the feedpoint of
> the discone. The major knock on that connector deals with transfer of
> power in a transmitting installation. It will heat up quite noticeably
> when RF above 300 mhz is passed through it. In a receive installation
> I suspect you would not see a measurable difference between the PL 259
> and most other common connectors. When you think about it, a TV
> antenna feedline is often termintated with an F connector at both
> ends, and it is used for reception up into the 700 mhz regions at UHF.
> The cheap and flimsy F connector and its crimped on coax connector is
> extremely lossy if used in a transmit installation, but it is
> satisfactory for reception.

4147. Re: Michigan border

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 01:51:45 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B903F27.BB1C1FF6@home.com>
Source record: 60564

Radio Shack

Harry wrote:
>
> Are there any scanner stores in Canada near the Michigan border?
> Thanks Harry

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

4148. Re: Weird noise audible on many trunked channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 01:55:16 UTC
From: Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com>
Message-ID: <t1g0pt833h89d32tbba5nre0leuhrb8jbc@4ax.com>
Source record: 60565

t.wï¿½ï¿½ï¿½* <tï¿½,ï¿½wï¿½ï¿½*.ï¿½@,ï¿½oucan@,bitemyarse.comï¿½ï¿½ï¿½rrï¿½.org> wrote:

>x-no-archive: yes
>
>"Jughead" <jugheadx@xisp.com> wrote in message
>news:jhd0ptgnjh59evr2uqe84iidhdbgr91i9l@4ax.com...
>> http://surfree.homestead.com/jughead/files/trunknoise.wav
>> I'd really appreciate getting some input on this because it's gotten
>
>Sounds to me like a GE Ericson trunked radio system control channel.

In that case, what are the chances of me successfully getting rid of
that noise simply by getting myself a BC245XLT?

Jughead

4149. ham radio listening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 02:35:03 UTC
From: Heather and Greg <heatherandgreg@home.com>
Message-ID: <rPXj7.333750$qc.39385301@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 60566

I have a pro 2042 with an RS discone in the attic. I would like to broaden what I listen to which includes Ham transmissions. I live in Virginia Beach and listen to the military aviation frequently, but cannot find any ham freqs. Can anyone help me on this issue. I also have a pro 94 that keeps me busy with trunking, but I am considering getting into amateur radio so I would really like to hear what goes on so I can make that decision.
Thanks
Greg

4150. Re: ham radio listening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 02:39:08 UTC
From: Jake@world.net
Message-ID: <3B904A4C.339A4F72@world.net>
Source record: 60567

Greg, ham radio is in the 145 - 146 - 147 MHz bands. That just the VHF band. I don't have the exact frequencies for your area at hand. My national frequency guide book is in my vehicle. Try the AARL web site, they may list them.

I think it's: http://www.arrl.org

Heather and Greg wrote:

> I have a pro 2042 with an RS discone in the attic. I would like to broaden
> what I listen to which includes Ham transmissions. I live in Virginia Beach
> and listen to the military aviation frequently, but cannot find any ham
> freqs. Can anyone help me on this issue. I also have a pro 94 that keeps
> me busy with trunking, but I am considering getting into amateur radio so I
> would really like to hear what goes on so I can make that decision.
> Thanks
> Greg

4151. Any personal opinions on AOR AR3000A?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 03:32:07 UTC
From: Gametech <gametech@hushmail.com>
Message-ID: <tbl0pts36v2mcejpsgu7b17bbp83vs78i3@4ax.com>
Source record: 60568

I'm thinking of buying one, and was wondering if there were any 3000A
owners here who could give a few pros or cons about this unit.
Thanks

4152. Re: Best Software for BC780?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 04:02:07 UTC
From: lonnie@lonniesplace.com
Message-ID: <3b905cb9.12721486@anonymous.anonymousnewsfeed.com>
Source record: 60570

I have been using the scanpro780 for a few weeks and it is great, It
takes a few seconds to load each bank but it is very easy to use.
I got it at a hamfest but it is also at www.bc780xlt.net
I am thinking of getting the frequency logger program, I used the 900
number for uniden and now I have 300 channels of mostly crap, maybe
the logger will sort it out. Will let everyone know how it works.

On Fri, 31 Aug 2001 23:27:01 GMT, Agent
<davidostertag@noyoucannotsendmespam.home.com> wrote:

>Who makes it? Also, I have purchased a used copy of Scanpro. I haven't
>tried it out yet. Does anyone have any
>experiences/tips/warnings/comments good or bad on this software?
>Thanks
>Dave

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

4153. Bearcat 895 help please..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 04:02:34 UTC
From: Larry Cohen <ppcdoc@home.com>
Message-ID: <u5Zj7.137746$k7.35051456@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 60569

My police dept has rearranged/added freqs a few months ago and I lost the ability to receive 2 precincts and tac traffic. I had 8 channels that did it all before. I"m pretty sure the range is between 856 and 861 MHz. How do I set up the scanner to build a trunking channel list so that all the traffic is placed in A?
Please email footdoc@home.com as I don't frequent the newgroups often..
Thanks!

4154. Re: New mod for the PRO-2035 - and a Project 25 request

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 04:58:40 UTC
From: John Mullen <mullenj@home.com>
Message-ID: <4WZj7.333842$qc.39454812@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 60571

I reposted a few minutes ago using smaller chunks.

> Try again.

> > >> You can use this mod to directly control the synth chips in the Radio
> > >Shack
> > >> PRO-2035 scanner and tune it outside the standard tuning ranges. It
> > >> may also work on the 2042.
> > >>
> > >> I can't guarantee this, but on my 2035 I'm able to pick up CHU at
> > >> 7.335
> > >MHz,
> > >> and anything above 9 MHZ comes in fine!
> > >>
> > >> MD5SUM: cd106ed9b593b27e432e88b916ab4563 *p2035mod.zip
> > >>
> > >> Let me know if there's a better place to post (750K .zip file)
> > >>
> > >> -------------
> > >>
> > >> I'm interested in attempting a software decode for Project 25 audio,
> > >> but
> > >so
> > >> far I've had no luck finding the specs. I'm looking for a copy of
the
> > >> P25 CD-ROM, or the 102.BAAA (CAI) and 102.BABA (vocoder) specs...or
> > >> source
> > >code,
> > >> DLLs, etc. Any help appreciated...

4155. Re: Weird noise audible on many trunked channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 05:38:07 UTC
From: Tom Riggs <thriggs@mail.netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <3B90743F.1954A5C@mail.netusa1.net>
Source record: 60572

Very good, The BC780XLT is a great table top model that will do several trunk systems and conventional at the same time. The BC245XLT will trunk two systems or do conventional and one trunk system. The first 245's out had some long delays built in. The delay was removed in the later units.

> >Sounds to me like a GE Ericson trunked radio system control channel.
>
> In that case, what are the chances of me successfully getting rid of
> that noise simply by getting myself a BC245XLT?
>
> Jughead

4156. 245xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 06:21:58 UTC
From: michaeldr1 <michaeldr1@efortress.com>
Message-ID: <9mpuli$e0n$1@news.formus.pl>
Source record: 60573

I have a Unident 245xlt scanner that is doing something very odd. When I first turn it on, after being off for a few hours, it will scan for a few seconds then go into search mode. This has just recently started and I would like to know how to stop it. Thanks for any info.

4157. Re: ham radio listening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 12:33:14 UTC
From: bellp400@msn.com
Message-ID: <35ba9d22.0109010433.afdadcc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60575

"Heather and Greg" <heatherandgreg@home.com> wrote in message
news:<rPXj7.333750$qc.39385301@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>...
> I have a pro 2042 with an RS discone in the attic. I would like to broaden
> what I listen to which includes Ham transmissions.

Here are four ideas for you.

1. Dial in 146.520, the National Calling frequency for 2 meters.

2. Go to your local radio shop and get the ARRL repeater
handbook, which contains a nationwide listing of 2 meter, 70cm and 6
meter repeaters. Your scanner will cover the first two, and, of
course, you would program only the output frequencies. Alternatively,
you might run a websearch and find the local amateur radio club, check
its website to see if it lists local repeaters.

3. Run a websearch on the Internet Radio Linking Project to see
if there is a local node in your area. The IRLP uses amateur
repeaters linked worldwide via the internet, so it is possible to talk
to Australia with a 500mW HT. Note the unnecessary HF practices on a
VHF/UHF link.

4. Run a websearch on AMSAT, I think the website is amsat.org.
This website deals with satellites that carry amateur radio packages.
The up/down links are on VHF or UHF. Although the birds are within
range for only a few minutes at a time, and the frequencies change
during a pass because of the doppler effect, it makes for interesting
monitoring.

73 and
Good hunting.

4158. Re: Best Software for BC780?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 13:35:39 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <Lu5k7.25563$oc.4052779@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60577

I purchased several packages for my 780, but the best, IMHO, is TrunkStar780. It's not a hog on your PC's resources and it works very nicely. Check it out at www.scanstar.com I believe you can download a working demo version.

Paul, KC2FNC

"Agent" <davidostertag@noyoucannotsendmespam.home.com> wrote in message news:s770pt4u240fi7rpnkmch08h01qfvv2plb@4ax.com...
> Who makes it? Also, I have purchased a used copy of Scanpro. I haven't
> tried it out yet. Does anyone have any
> experiences/tips/warnings/comments good or bad on this software?
> Thanks
> Dave

4159. Re: 245xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 13:35:49 UTC
From: mitbr <mitbr@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <Vu5k7.5472$ld2.239101@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 60576

Other than a problem with the radio you might check to see if the local
trunked system you listen to has changed its set up such as smart zone ect.
Tim
KG4JJS
Please visit my Tampa Bay Radio Page
http://home.earthlink.net/~mitbr/Mi01000.htm
"michaeldr1" <michaeldr1@efortress.com> wrote in message
news:9mpuli$e0n$1@news.formus.pl...
> I have a Unident 245xlt scanner that is doing something very odd. When I
> first turn it on, after being off for a few hours, it will scan for a few
> seconds then go into search mode. This has just recently started and I
would
> like to know how to stop it. Thanks for any info.
>
>
>

4160. Re: ham radio listening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 13:41:26 UTC
From: dinoal7lz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901094126.14708.00006878@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 60578

From my older copy of the Repeater Directory:

Virginia Beach
146.895
146.970
147.045
147.375
Norfolk
145.170
147.075
146.70
145.330

Just to name a few....

GL --- Dino KL0S/4 in Yorktown, VA

4161. Re: Weird noise audible on many trunked channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 14:09:51 UTC
From: Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com>
Message-ID: <qqq1ptkv27gk9uncdm8pccjjpnnab2dsad@4ax.com>
Source record: 60579

I was looking at that BC780XLT as well, and it certainly does appear to be a really nice one. But because I like being able to have something to listen to while I'm in my car (and I do drive plenty), I had to go for a handheld model.

So the BC245XLT will get rid of this weird noise I'm hearing on my BC220XLT, right?

Also, I have a question about what you said just below about the BC245XLT trunking 2 systems or doing conventional and 1 trunk. Do you mean that when the scanner has trunked frequencies programmed into its memory, it can only do 2 different types of systems at once or 1 conventional and 1 trunked (regardless of type) or what?

Tom Riggs wrote:
>
>Very good, The BC780XLT is a great table top model that will do several
>trunk systems and conventional at the same time. The BC245XLT will trunk
>two systems or do conventional and one trunk system. The first 245's out
>had some long delays built in. The delay was removed in the later units.
>
>> >Sounds to me like a GE Ericson trunked radio system control channel.
>>
>> In that case, what are the chances of me successfully getting rid of
>> that noise simply by getting myself a BC245XLT?
>>
>> Jughead

4162. Re: Air Collision

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 14:18:50 UTC
From: sascheffey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901101850.12985.00000459@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 60580

Or he didn't catch it at all. This is a story that came out several days ago, (National). The person doesn't give much detail about what he heard on the scanner. Trolling for sympathy? Looking to fit in with the NG in some twisted way?

4163. Re: 245xlt question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 14:36:39 UTC
From: Martin Lambert <lambertmartin@videotron.ca>
Message-ID: <Vm6k7.19336$W52.866915@weber.videotron.net>
Source record: 60581

Is it searching in trunk mode ?
If so, then try to press to data button to change the search mode.

"michaeldr1" <michaeldr1@efortress.com> wrote in message
news:9mpuli$e0n$1@news.formus.pl...
> I have a Unident 245xlt scanner that is doing something very odd. When I
> first turn it on, after being off for a few hours, it will scan for a few
> seconds then go into search mode. This has just recently started and I
would
> like to know how to stop it. Thanks for any info.
>
>

4164. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 15:08:33 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B90FA71.3DA2C4E@yahoo.com>
Source record: 60582

Pro 2042?? The scanner with the cheap plastic and humming noise in the
154-157 mhz. area. Wanna bet?? The 2006 scanner is still the best non
trunking scanner bar none.

Fart Eddie wrote:
>
> I'll offer $10 and not a cent more. That scanner really won't hold a candle
> to the PRO2042 but, heck, I need something cheap as a backup.
>
> "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> news:Gn2i7.84007$A47.40850121@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > Therefore, over $500.00 price is quite fair. I will now entertain bids.
> > Start the bidding.
> >
> > -jim
> >
> >
> > "dontask" <alwayschanging@myname.com> wrote in message
> > news:9m9ja7eod1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > >
> > > "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> > > news:kyIh7.83585$A47.39946412@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > > > This is a NEW NEVER USED in the box 2006. Where else can you find a
> new
> > > > 2006? It sold for over $350.00 new.
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > > actually the cost was $599 at one time, I saw it in an old Radio shack
> > > catalog
> > > If you bought one for less than $200 you were very lucky! that's what
> they
> > > sell for on ebay, in average, cheesey condition, reeking of smoke, with
> > > cheese whiz all over the buttons, and the display semi-dim. "Excellent
> > > Shape!"
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

4165. Re: ham radio listening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 15:26:46 UTC
From: pasitrep@pa-sitrep.com
Message-ID: <3b90fd17.36209511@news.city-net.com>
Source record: 60574

On Sat, 01 Sep 2001 02:35:03 GMT, "Heather and Greg"
<heatherandgreg@home.com> wrote:

>I have a pro 2042 with an RS discone in the attic. I would like to broaden
>what I listen to which includes Ham transmissions. I live in Virginia Beach
>and listen to the military aviation frequently, but cannot find any ham
>freqs. Can anyone help me on this issue. I also have a pro 94 that keeps
>me busy with trunking, but I am considering getting into amateur radio so I
>would really like to hear what goes on so I can make that decision.
>Thanks
>Greg

Scan 144.000 - 148.000 MHz. That's the Amateur Radio 2 meter band and
where most all of the local action is. It shouldn't take you long to
find your area's local 2 meter repeaters.

I have a page that explains repeater offsets and PL tones here:
http://www.pa-sitrep.com/ploffset.htm

And if you're interested in getting into Ham Radio, check out this
address:
http://www.pa-sitrep.com/amat.htm

That address has basic info on getting started in Ham Radio and links
to every site you need to visit to prepare for your Technician Class
test.

Go for it!

-Dave
KB3FXI

4166. Antenna grounding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 16:31:47 UTC
From: Tom Needham <tneedham@acd.net>
Message-ID: <_38k7.1923$lJ2.116569@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 60583

It seems that this was covered earlier, but here it goes...
I am running an Icom IC-R7100 with a discone antenna at 30 ft.
Since a recent lightning ground-strike, I have renewed interest in the
area of grounding.

Where is the most effective point for grounding?
The antenna doesn't have a convenient spot for attachment,
but the reciever does.
Will it affect the reception?

Tom

--
Reply to: Group, or tneedham@spleen.acd.net
Remove my spleen to reply. (please be gentle)
Home page: http://userdata.acd.net/tneedham/default.htm
Jeep stuff: http://userdata.acd.net/tneedham/jeep.html
It aint much, but it's mine.

4167. Personal opinion on AOR AR3000A

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 17:06:00 UTC
From: J&D Schnoor <schnoor@wavetech.net>
Message-ID: <Yz8k7.170$Hx1.57244@news7.onvoy.net>
Source record: 60584

I bought one used several years ago. It was a great radio. I sold it a while back and probably got as much as I paid for it. No, it won't trunk-track and it doesn't have PL/DPL decode, but it is a great radio.

4168. Re: AOR Out of Country Purchase ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 17:59:48 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9mr7gq028d1@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60586

if they do charge anything at all. it would be either PST or GST. if you lived in canada you would pay both. but if you lived elsewhere. you may not pay any or just one or the other. I forget right now if they do charge for outa town tax. In Ontario one is 8% and the other is 7% if I remember right. the rest of Canada is 7% GST and 7% PST on 1 dollar. so 1.14 canadian on 1 dollar.

"Ken McKenzie" <donot@forget.your.towel> wrote in message news:3b8fbf6b$1@news1...
> You might try http://www.radioworld.ca/index.html
> They are in Toronto. As to taxes, there should be any Canadian ones if the
> shipment goes out of Canada.
>
> "John P Russo" <jprusso@acsu.buffalo.edu> wrote in message
> news:3B8F8DC2.EF0B00B8@acsu.buffalo.edu...
> > Hello scanner fans..
> >
> > If I want to buy a new AOR 8200 (unblocked) do I need to order from an
> > out of the country supplier ??
> >
> > Any recommendations.
> >
> > Maybe from Canada or do they have stiff taxes ..?
> >
> > thanks John
> >
>
>

4169. Re: Newbie - Will cellular phone tower be a problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 18:01:36 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9mr7k6028ji@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60587

I agree on those pager ones. The never seem to let them be filtered out of anything. My old town where I lived. The damn pager repeater was splattered everywhere. 146.82 and elsewhere.

"MercenaryPGP" <fbyvgba-QRYRGR@cnpvsvp-GUVF.arg> wrote in message news:nk10ptcc3ka2vm9v1dqbjv89a4v049edk0@4ax.com...
> atari1980@hotmail.com (tl) wrote:
>
> >Thanks to all who responded to my original post. I have my scanner
> >now, and it seems to me that the tower is not giving any interference.
> > Of course, being new to scanning, it is difficult for me to know how
> >interference would affect what I'm hearing (or not hearing). Police,
> >fire and other miscellaneous frequencies come through very clear. I
> >will keep my eyes on this newsgroup to learn more.
>
> There is a pager tower only a few hundred feet from where I am and it
> often interferes with many frequencies on several bands on both my
> scanner radios and my 2 metre handheld.

4170. Re: Antenna grounding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 18:05:27 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <Hr9k7.28577$oc.4501502@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60585

Ground the mast that the antenna is mounted to. Run a heavy COPPER wire (8 gauge) in as straight a run as possible (no sharp bends) to ground. Drive an 8 foot ground rod into the ground so that the top is a few inches below grade. Use the proper connector to attach the wire to the ground rod. All of this is available at an electrical supply house or Home Depot. (Don't use the aluminum ground wire that Radio Shack sells.) Grounding will not affect reception. If you really want to protect your radio, disconnect the antenna and pull the power plug when not in use.

I took an old metal chassis and installed various connectors on it. The connectors are all grounded to the chassis, and the chassis is connected to a cold water pipe as well as my outdoor ground rod. During the summer months, I disconnect my antennas from my radios and connect them to my grounded chassis box when not in use.

Art Harris

"Tom Needham" <tneedham@acd.net> wrote in message
news:_38k7.1923$lJ2.116569@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> It seems that this was covered earlier, but here it goes...
> I am running an Icom IC-R7100 with a discone antenna at 30 ft.
> Since a recent lightning ground-strike, I have renewed interest in the
> area of grounding.
>
> Where is the most effective point for grounding?
> The antenna doesn't have a convenient spot for attachment,
> but the reciever does.
> Will it affect the reception?
>
> Tom
>
> --
> Reply to: Group, or tneedham@spleen.acd.net
> Remove my spleen to reply. (please be gentle)
> Home page: http://userdata.acd.net/tneedham/default.htm
> Jeep stuff: http://userdata.acd.net/tneedham/jeep.html
> It aint much, but it's mine.
>
>
>
>

4171. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 18:38:14 UTC
From: exitusn@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28746-3B912B16-143@storefull-215.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 60588

This must be a typo!!! $5.00 or 50.00...
HA HA HA.

Have a nice day.

http://community.webtv.net/exitusn/lookingatyou

4172. VACATION HELP...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 19:04:36 UTC
From: exitusn@webtv.net
Message-ID: <28747-3B913144-42@storefull-215.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 60589

Going to philadelphia Pa. next week, Need information on police and other good frequencies in the area. Thank you.

4173. Re: FS Alinco DX-70 TH 100 watts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 20:22:09 UTC
From: fmaxsc@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3b914347.617741569@nntp.ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 60594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

You're pretty crude with the name calling ... hope scanning is all you
do!

Fred

On Thu, 23 Aug 2001 17:23:24 +1000, "Ralf RF" <ralf_rf@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>This is alt radio.scanner, dick head!
>"Dick" <grburke@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:eHig7.106$ZT.155891@news1.news.adelphia.net...
>>
>> Have for sale one Alinco DX-70 TH for $450.00.Never mobile.Email me if
>> interested.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

4174. Re: VACATION HELP...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 20:34:52 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901163452.01552.00002378@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 60590

DUDE..IM NEAR THERE..TRY :

155.475
155.760
154.785
160.180 (MEDICAL)
33.70 (FIRE/EMS)
866.2625 -TRUNKED
866.8875- TRUNKED
867.6625
864.8875

4175. Re: Weird noise audible on many trunked channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 20:36:16 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901163616.01552.00002379@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 60591

YA MIGHT CHECK, TO SEE IF, YOUR AREA, DIDNT GO DIGITAL..OOPS!!!

4176. Re: Cellular Images

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 20:37:47 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901163747.01552.00002380@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 60592

MORE THAN LIKELY, THATS 'ONLY' GMRS HANDHELD RADIOS, OR FAMILY-SERVICE
RADIO'S!! NO CELLULAR,IN THAT RANGE.., THO SOME FOLKS ABUSE PUBLIC SVC.
RADIO'S, BY TRANSMITTIN TO HOME AND STUFF.

4177. Re: controversial teen site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 20:39:58 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010901163958.01552.00002381@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 60593

LOL..NO, THATS JUST ME, BLOWIN OFF SOME STEAM, AT THESE LOSERS..'SORRY' IF I OFFENDED YA..GEEZ, MAYBE WE SHOULD STRING ME UP ER SOMETHIN', THE WAY YOU'RE TALKIN...J/K....LATER (-:

4178. Re: Antenna grounding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 21:37:17 UTC
From: Uncle Lud <unclelud@myexcel.com>
Message-ID: <tp2l0ocm4e437e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60595

I Grounded mine next to my dog house and he hasnt been the same ever since?
Tom Needham <tneedham@acd.net> wrote in message
news:_38k7.1923$lJ2.116569@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> It seems that this was covered earlier, but here it goes...
> I am running an Icom IC-R7100 with a discone antenna at 30 ft.
> Since a recent lightning ground-strike, I have renewed interest in the
> area of grounding.
>
> Where is the most effective point for grounding?
> The antenna doesn't have a convenient spot for attachment,
> but the reciever does.
> Will it affect the reception?
>
> Tom
>
> --
> Reply to: Group, or tneedham@spleen.acd.net
> Remove my spleen to reply. (please be gentle)
> Home page: http://userdata.acd.net/tneedham/default.htm
> Jeep stuff: http://userdata.acd.net/tneedham/jeep.html
> It aint much, but it's mine.
>
>
>
>

4179. Re: Lehi, UT trunked repeaters

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 21:42:10 UTC
From: bhall7@email.com
Message-ID: <dc0c38eb.0109011342.53aa3b6b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60596

Visit the site:

http://n7olq.home.att.net/Radio/frequencies.htm

And click on the UCAN link to find a list of TGs. The list is already
very outdated however. I just leave my scanner in search mode on to
scan the whole system because there are always new changes and I want
to hear everything. It is nice to know what you're listening to,
though.

-Brian

"Brandon" <brandon@mail2.sandlot.com> wrote in message
news:<_2%i7.2916$Fv3.276996@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> This may be slightly off topic, but has anyone discovered a complete channel
> layout for Provo PD and FD? I have seen a few talkgroups popup on the
> system which I did not see on the site. I'd also be interested in any
> updated UCAN talkgroups lists. I have one that was sent to me in April, but
> just as with many new systems, a lot of new talkgroups have shown up.
>
> Thanks

4180. Radio Clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 22:02:04 UTC
From: RICK <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <wVck7.76100$hm3.4706141@news1.cableinet.net>
Source record: 60447
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

Any one know of a radio club I can join in or near St Helens (Merseyside
UK) ???

THANKS
RICK

--
---
http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/North_West_Scanning_Group
http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/Shop_Watch
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CITIZEN_BAND_RADIO_UK
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Manchester_airport
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/yupiteru_mvt7000

4181. Frequency Madness - How do you sort you channels ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 22:10:36 UTC
From: "Richard F. Jr." <blademan@nni.com>
Message-ID: <tp2n7g48qeucf8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60448
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Hello to all,
Just recently got my 1st trunking scanner, RS Pro-92, I love it...
But the dumb question is, how do you manage your frequency's.
Yes, I know how to program it, and use the banks, just wanted some input on the
best way to group frequency's for my local aria, I would like to monitor about 4 local county's
as most of there systems over-lap, normal, as well as 800MHz, and one system is EFJ 800MHz.

So far I got about 400 frequency's covering fire, EMS, police, and some local stuff.

What are your views on this subject ?

Rich

4182. Frequency Madness - How do you sort you channels ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-01 22:17:46 UTC
From: "Richard F. Jr." <blademan@nni.com>
Message-ID: <tp2nkss43nve4c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60449

Hello to all,
Just recently got my 1st trunking scanner, RS Pro-92, I love it...
But the dumb question is, how do you manage your frequency's.
Yes, I know how to program it, and use the banks, just wanted some input on the
best way to group frequency's for my local aria, I would like to monitor about 4 local county's
as most of there systems over-lap, normal, as well as 800MHz, and one system is EFJ 800MHz.

So far I got about 400 frequency's covering fire, EMS, police, and some local stuff.

What are your views on this subject ?

Rich

4183. Re: Regarding discone antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 00:09:41 UTC
From: mike-g7bnf <mike-g7bnf@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <XLek7.25946$%P3.272954@news11-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 60450
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

the majority of figures which relate to a connectors ability to operate at 'x' freq refer to transmitting of a signal using it , not reception.
the majority of pl259's are 50/75ohm imp so will happily work. however when we start to talk in terms of GHz, then it will not be as good as say N type. but as long a good coax is used (which is VERY important) the diff between connectors for RX is very small. the majority of problems at higher freqs come from cripped connectors (of alsorts), if u can solder them. tarnish produces amazing attenuation above about 300MHz.

mike de g7bnf
mike-g7bnf@ntlworld.com

"Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote in message news:totr6aa0bvin32@corp.supernews.com...
> FYI - the AOR DA3000, good to 2GHz, has a TNC connector at the antenna (ok -
> aerial across the pond), but comes with RG-58U coax with a TNC at one end,
> and a BNC at the other, so what the hell difference does the TNC, SO239, or
> Type N make at the antenna, unless you're going to run RG-8 (at the absolute
> minimum) down to the radio, to another type N??? When you get to 99% of the
> scanners on the market, you're going to adapt down to a BNC, and most likely
> use some cheap shit coax getting there.... Look at the total picture, then
> ask just how important that one connection is! Either do the whole thing to
> the letter, or just accept you're going to have a technically mediocore
> system (which most of us do)..... I stuck a TNC/SO236 adapter on my brand
> spanking new DA3000, because I knew unless I did the rest, it wasn't going
> to accomplish much just to change the cable connector on some mediocore
> Beldon 9258 coax that already had a PL-259. But it was better than the
> RG-58U that came with the antenna, so-o-o-o.....
> Cheers n beers y'all
> Don
>
> Coast Station <coaststation@go.com> wrote in message
> news:5a77581d.0108301648.3cbf348a@posting.google.com...
> > Currently I'm using the Diamond D-130J discone antenna. The antenna is
> > regarded to be one of the best discone around. The problem with the
> > antenna is that it terminates with PL 259 connector. PL 259 is only
> > good for up to around 300 Mhz. Logically I think discone like Icom

> > AH-7000 is better because it terminates with the N connector. N
> > connector is good for up to 11 Gigahetz. I think D-130J won't give
> > optimum reception on UHF spectrum.
> >
> >
> >
> > Coast Station.
>
>

4184. Re: Weird noise audible on many trunked channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 01:16:37 UTC
From: Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com>
Message-ID: <q123pt86gfrjl6ap0qdltr579s36v1pl3m@4ax.com>
Source record: 60451

PVILLE666 wrote:

>YA MIGHT CHECK, TO SEE IF, YOUR AREA, DIDNT GO DIGITAL..OOPS!!!

Why would they have gone digital if I can still hear everything just fine even with my NON-TRUNK TRACKING BC220XLT?

Orange County and Los Angeles County police and sheriff departments may have gone digital already, but nobody of interest in my county has gone digital - at least yet.

If the Riverside County sheriffs or even any of the local police departments had gone digital by now, I wouldn't be picking them up right now.

Jughead

4185. FS: Pro26 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 02:05:32 UTC
From: Gene Protas <protas@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3B9193B8.E60FFFAA@flash.net>
Source record: 60452
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

```
For Sale:
Pro26 200 Channel handheld scanner.
Excellent Condition
25mhz-1.3ghz coverage.
Virtual Downconversion Mod
$200 Shipped CONUS
```

4186. Re: Weird noise audible on many trunked channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 03:09:41 UTC
From: Jim Bo <jbollit@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3B91A2F5.7BF0D4E1@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 60453

Jug,

I have a 780 and have the same problem on the Riverside system.

It is a problem with the Riverside system.

Jim
*

Jughead wrote:

> I was looking at that BC780XLT as well, and it certainly does appear
> to be a really nice one. But because I like being able to have
> something to listen to while I'm in my car (and I do drive plenty), I
> had to go for a handheld model.
>
> So the BC245XLT will get rid of this weird noise I'm hearing on my
> BC220XLT, right?
>
> Also, I have a question about what you said just below about the
> BC245XLT trunking 2 systems or doing conventional and 1 trunk. Do you
> mean that when the scanner has trunked frequencies programmed into its
> memory, it can only do 2 different types of systems at once or 1
> conventional and 1 trunked (regardless of type) or what?
>
> Tom Riggs wrote:
> >
> >Very good, The BC780XLT is a great table top model that will do several
> >trunk systems and conventional at the same time. The BC245XLT will trunk
> >two systems or do conventional and one trunk system. The first 245's out
> >had some long delays built in. The delay was removed in the later units.
> >
> >> >Sounds to me like a GE Ericson trunked radio system control channel.
> >>
> >> In that case, what are the chances of me successfully getting rid of
> >> that noise simply by getting myself a BC245XLT?
> >>
> >> Jughead

4187. Re: Weird noise audible on many trunked channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 03:15:03 UTC
From: Jim Bo <jbollit@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3B91A437.3B371123@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 60454

Jug,

I have a 780 and have the same problem on the Riverside system.

It is a problem with the Riverside system.

Jim
*

Jughead wrote:

> I was looking at that BC780XLT as well, and it certainly does appear
> to be a really nice one. But because I like being able to have
> something to listen to while I'm in my car (and I do drive plenty), I
> had to go for a handheld model.
>
> So the BC245XLT will get rid of this weird noise I'm hearing on my
> BC220XLT, right?
>
> Also, I have a question about what you said just below about the
> BC245XLT trunking 2 systems or doing conventional and 1 trunk. Do you
> mean that when the scanner has trunked frequencies programmed into its
> memory, it can only do 2 different types of systems at once or 1
> conventional and 1 trunked (regardless of type) or what?
>
> Tom Riggs wrote:
> >
> >Very good, The BC780XLT is a great table top model that will do several
> >trunk systems and conventional at the same time. The BC245XLT will trunk
> >two systems or do conventional and one trunk system. The first 245's out
> >had some long delays built in. The delay was removed in the later units.
> >
> >> >Sounds to me like a GE Ericson trunked radio system control channel.
> >>
> >> In that case, what are the chances of me successfully getting rid of
> >> that noise simply by getting myself a BC245XLT?
> >>
> >> Jughead

4188. CLEVELAND AIR SHOW FREQ'S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 03:26:20 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <20010901232620.27103.00011645@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 60455

HERE'S A FEW.....MORE TO COME

452.9625 MHZ FM OPERATIONS

452.9375 MHZ FM OPERATIONS

275.350 MHZ AM BLUE ANGELS

122.925 MHZ AM STUNT PLANES

4189. Re: BC-200XLT Disc tap problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 04:01:34 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b91ae96.23313897@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 60456
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Turn off HTML

On Sat, 01 Sep 2001 19:44:28 -0600, Jon Van Allen
wrote:

>
>
>According to several different sources of info, I tapped a friend's
>
BC-200XLT for a discriminator tap to run Trunker:
>

>
BC-200XLT Chip TK-10421M-2
>IC-401 Pin 11
>
I have double checked the connections, it does not drive my data
>
slicer, which works fine with other radios around here.
>
The scanner works fine otherwise, decent sensitivity, etc. The
>
problem is definitely in the BC-200, maybe I'm not on the right pin
>
or right chip or whatever.
>
Anyone have any experience with the BC-200? I'd appreciate any
>
help or suggestions.
>
Thanks,
>
Jon
>

4190. Re: Frequency Madness - How do you sort you channels ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 05:15:52 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <T9jk7.1$aw3.1594@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 60457

Rich,

I don't have that high a level of activity in my area, and the only trunking system I listen to is the Okla. Highway Patrol, and their trunking system isn't state-wide yet, so here's what I do, for what it's worth. Bank 1 is the main dispatch freqs for all the LE agencies in the area, with the priority set to the local PD. Bank 2 has the local city freqs. Bank 3 has the fire freqs for the area. Bank 4 has the EMA freqs. Banks 5-10 are dedicated to each agency in my area. For instance, Bank 5 is the sheriff/county, Bank 6 is the local state university, Banks 7 and 8 are the OHP - low band and trunked. Bank 9 is the area security agencies and Bank 10 is aviation. Banks 2-6 has frequencies in addition to the main channels which are in Bank 1. I normally monitor Bank 1, and switch the other banks on when additional depth is needed. For instance, the LE agencies in Oklahoma are conducting a holiday weekend "push" to reduce auto fatalities, so I have Banks 1, 2, 5, 7, & 8 on right now. During severe wx I'll listen to Banks 1, 4, 5, and maybe 7 & 8. On football game days I listen to Banks 1,2, 6, & 7. Each bank has it's own assignable priority channel, and I vary these to reflect the activity occurring. By always monitoring Bank 1, the "catchall" bank, I'm generally assured of not missing anything that might come up. Clear as mud??

Mark
K5MAR

"Richard F. Jr." <blademan@nni.com> wrote in message
news:tp2nkss43nve4c@corp.supernews.com...
> Hello to all,
> Just recently got my 1st trunking scanner, RS Pro-92, I love it...
> But the dumb question is, how do you manage your frequency's.
> Yes, I know how to program it, and use the banks, just wanted some input
on
> the
> best way to group frequency's for my local aria, I would like to monitor
> about 4 local county's
> as most of there systems over-lap, normal, as well as 800MHz, and one
system
> is EFJ 800MHz.
>
> So far I got about 400 frequency's covering fire, EMS, police, and some
> local stuff.
>
> What are your views on this subject ?
>
> Rich

>
>

4191. Need advise...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 09:05:17 UTC
From: Ed Ortiz <eortiz@donotspamix.net>
Message-ID: <tp3slaao66715a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60458

Going to New York and then driving to Binghamtom. Was wondeirng if anybody that is familiar with the area can advise me what PD/DPS or PD freq's should I listen too in that area. I will be taking my portable(pro-94) and want to program if possible before leaving.

Thanks in advance for any help you can provide!

Ed

4192. Re: Radio Clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 10:00:13 UTC
From: spikemike@blueyonder.co.uk
Message-ID: <Xns91106FCBCC76Dspikemikeblueyonderc@194.117.133.24>
Source record: 60459
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

"RICK" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in
<wVck7.76100$hm3.4706141@news1.cableinet.net>:

> Any one know of a radio club I can join in or near St Helens (Merseyside
> UK) ???
>
If you go into your local library, and go to the Electronics/Radio section
there will be a book by the RSGB called a call book, this has all the info
you would need, loads of contacts and groups

4193. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 10:09:54 UTC
From: "Robert D. Baker" <rdbake@prtcnet.org>
Message-ID: <9mt1b8$pi2$1@nd.eastky.net>
Source record: 60460

nixx wrote in message <3b8f80ab.51475426@news-server>...
>I know you old hands get tired of this, but....
>
>I bought a Pro-91 off ebay for monitoring race freqs (now I have a
>Pro-40 for sale REALLY cheap) and now I'm hooked on listening to the
>county trunked broadcasts (5-7 miles from the repeater, downhill),
>using the stock duck. I also enjoy listening to air, and I naively
>hooked coax to my old tv antenna and mounted it vertically in the
>basement. It does get quite a bit of traffic. Now, I want more.
>Being a miserly bastard (and wishing to experiment), I would really
>like to build my own outdoor antenna, so I did a google search for
>scanner antennas, and am more confused than ever. Hence:
>
>Am I stupid to attempt to build my own?

Not at all, if you're the least bit handy. You can come up with
stuff better than commercial.

If I buy one, will it improve
>my 800 trunked stuff? Which one should I buy?

I can't speak to trunked as I don't listen (none in my area), but if
you build (or buy) an antenna designed for it, you should see some
improvement over a broadband antenna.

>I think I understand that an antenna is somewhat customized for the
>freq range.

That's correct. Do some searches for antennas in general, and you'll
find the formulas that relate length to frequency. Basically, as the
frequency goes up, the antenna gets shorter; antennas for around 800mhz are
fairly small, light and easy to handle.

Could I/should I mount two antennas and have a a/b switch
>to go from air to 800?

Sure - there'll be some signal loss at the switch, though. You can
also just switch connectors as needed.

>Finally, is there a way to combine these ideas with an antenna that
>will xmit and receive cb?

Not in any sort of graceful or efficient manner...;) ;)

Why doesn't my scanner have CB capability?

Probably not an often-requested feature. I'm on my 4th scanner, and
it's the first one I've seen with CB. (it's a bc7880).

tt
rdb

4194. Re: Radio Clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 10:29:55 UTC
From: "M.J.Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <T1AGHDAjogk7Ew1s@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 60465
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

In article <Xns91106FCBCC76Dspikemikeblueyonderc@194.117.133.24>,
Michael Hunter <spikemike@blueyonder.co.uk> writes
>"RICK" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in
><wVck7.76100$hm3.4706141@news1.cableinet.net>:
>
>> Any one know of a radio club I can join in or near St Helens (Merseyside
>> UK) ???
>>
>If you go into your local library, and go to the Electronics/Radio section
>there will be a book by the RSGB called a call book, this has all the info
>you would need, loads of contacts and groups

Is Liverpool too far?

Mike
--
M.J.Powell

4195. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 11:14:37 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <morton@whoville.com>
Message-ID: <xwok7.489$CR2.788040@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 60461

The 2042 is far superior in every measure of a scanner that to say otherwise marks you as a know-nothing. Yeah, I wanna bet. Put up or shut up.

"Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3B90FA71.3DA2C4E@yahoo.com...
> Pro 2042?? The scanner with the cheap plastic and humming noise in the
> 154-157 mhz. area. Wanna bet?? The 2006 scanner is still the best non
> trunking scanner bar none.
>
> Fart Eddie wrote:
> >
> > I'll offer $10 and not a cent more. That scanner really won't hold a candle
> > to the PRO2042 but, heck, I need something cheap as a backup.
> >
> > "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> > news:Gn2i7.84007$A47.40850121@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > > Therefore, over $500.00 price is quite fair. I will now entertain bids.
> > > Start the bidding.
> > >
> > > -jim
> > >
> > >
> > > "dontask" <alwayschanging@myname.com> wrote in message
> > > news:9m9ja7eod1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > >
> > > > "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:kyIh7.83585$A47.39946412@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > > > > This is a NEW NEVER USED in the box 2006. Where else can you find a
> > new
> > > > > 2006? It sold for over $350.00 new.
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > actually the cost was $599 at one time, I saw it in an old Radio shack
> > > > catalog
> > > > If you bought one for less than $200 you were very lucky! that's what
> > they
> > > > sell for on ebay, in average, cheesey condition, reeking of smoke, with

> > > > cheese whiz all over the buttons, and the display semi-dim.
"Excellent
> > > > Shape!"
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >

4196. Re: Antenna grounding

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 12:31:48 UTC
From: Tom Needham <tneedham@acd.net>
Message-ID: <5Fpk7.60$aw3.65632@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 60462

Funny you should mention it....
A few years ago, (and again last week) our wellhead was struck.

It didn't occur to me then, but one of our dogs is extremely nervous
in storms now.

He used to like to sit in the rain, too bad the pen is 12 feet or so
from the well.....

--

Reply to: Group, or tneedham@spleen.acd.net
Remove my spleen to reply. (please be gentle)
Home page: http://userdata.acd.net/tneedham/default.htm
Jeep stuff: http://userdata.acd.net/tneedham/jeep.html
It aint much, but it's mine.

"Uncle Lud" <unclelud@myexcel.com> wrote in message
news:tp2l0ocm4e437e@corp.supernews.com...
> I Grounded mine next to my dog house and he hasnt been the same ever
since?

4197. BC780 Software,BEST?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 13:24:21 UTC
From: Richard Valentine <rwv@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B923379.617B11F6@home.com>
Source record: 60463

I haven't seen mention ScanCat/ScanCat Gold, for the BC780XLT. Seems full featured with nice bandscope and flexible database import. Link: http://www.grove-ent.com/SFT2W.html
Also haven't heard much about software at 78xlt.net. I always see Scanstar and Trunkscan posts...still wonder what the concensus is. Anyone with experience using one or multiples of these, with pros/cons, appreciated. * I agree with someone who said that reading: this or that "suks", or the other is "good",, is well and good but doesn't give you much of a - review - to go on. Elaborations welcome! :)
thanks,
Rit.

4198. Digital 800/900mhz Trunking -Secure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 13:30:15 UTC
From: David M Schertzer <schertzer@ns.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <jnc4pt08oqciph2jpnhhpct6au41bht9uo@4ax.com>
Source record: 60464

Any scanner on the market that will do this? The RCMP have switched
to a NEW sytem here...Motorola Astro radios....thanks

4199. Re: BC-200XLT Disc tap problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 14:33:04 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b924288.1440112@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 60466
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Well, no one can read the damn thing
Turn off HTML and maybe you'll get some help

On Sat, 01 Sep 2001 22:20:37 -0600, Jon Van Allen
wrote:

>
>
>Now that was helpful... NOT
>

>
Bruce Markowitz wrote:
>
Turn off HTML
>
On Sat, 01 Sep 2001 19:44:28 -0600, Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
>
wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>According to several different sources of info, I tapped a friend's
>
>
BC-200XLT for a discriminator tap to run Trunker:
>
>

>
>
BC-200XLT
>Chip TK-10421M-2
>
>IC-401 Pin 11
>
>
I have double checked the connections, it does not drive my
>data
>
>
slicer, which works fine with other radios around here.

>
>
The scanner works fine otherwise, decent sensitivity, etc. The
>
>
problem is definitely in the BC-200, maybe I'm not on the right
>pin
>
>
or right chip or whatever.
>
>
Anyone have any experience with the BC-200? I'd appreciate any
>
>
help or suggestions.
>
>
Thanks,
>
>
Jon
>
>

>
>

4200. FS OPTOELECTRONICS SCOUT ebay ends sun.eve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 14:38:05 UTC
From: mallen <elyps@go.co>
Message-ID: <Ovrk7.988$A24.154995@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 60467

FS (no reserve) on eBay Item # 1268927671 ENDS 8 pm (eastern time) on
SUNDAY. http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1268927671

OPTOELECTRONICS SURVEILLANCE SCOUT MODEL 40 w/charger & manuals
Check it out and good luck!!! Mark

4201. Re: Radio Clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 15:25:16 UTC
From: RICK <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <wbsk7.81731$hm3.5164768@news1.cableinet.net>
Source record: 60468
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

Depends which bit of Liverpool, I will try the Library I think and have a look at that book.

THANKS
TO ONE AND ALL
RICK

--
---
http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/North_West_Scanning_Group
http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/Shop_Watch
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CITIZEN_BAND_RADIO_UK
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Manchester_airport
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/yupiteru_mvt7000

"M.J.Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk> wrote in message
news:T1AGHDAjogk7Ew1s@pickmere.demon.co.uk...
> In article <Xns91106FCBCC76Dspikemikeblueyonderc@194.117.133.24>,
> Michael Hunter <spikemike@blueyonder.co.uk> writes
> >"RICK" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in
> ><wVck7.76100$hm3.4706141@news1.cableinet.net>:
> >
> >> Any one know of a radio club I can join in or near St Helens
(Merseyside
> >> UK) ???
> >>
> >If you go into your local library, and go to the Electronics/Radio
section
> >there will be a book by the RSGB called a call book, this has all the
info
> >you would need, loads of contacts and groups
>
> Is Liverpool too far?
>
> Mike
> --
> M.J.Powell

4202. What to listen to at the Indianapolis F1 Grand prix at the end of this month?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 17:20:56 UTC
From: Austin Powers <austinpowers@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <3b926ac6_1@Usenet.com>
Source record: 60469
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

If I took my scanner, could anyone tell me of any relevant frequencies to
listen to?

Thanks,

Peter

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----
http://www.usenet.com

4203. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 17:33:15 UTC
From: Craig Davidson <nospam@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <v3uk7.2032$ln4.167518@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 60470

In my humble opinion there is a $20 radio shack scanner antenna that works great for everything above 140 MHz. The Catalog number is 20-176. There is a web page at:

http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F001%5F002%5F007%5F000&product%5Fid=20%2D176

For you Hams out there this antenna also transmits well on 2 meters and 440 MHz.

This is the best antenna (price vs performance) you can get for all frequencies. A $200 discone antenna only gives 10 percent better performance. If you want significant gain then you need to go to an antenna custom built for one single frequency of interest.

Also, CB and Aircraft frequencies are Amplitude Modulated (AM). Many inexpensive scanners only have a Frequency Modulation (FM) receiver so they couldn't receive CB or Air signals even if you could tune to those frequencies.

Have fun, Good scanning!

Craig Davidson

Robert D. Baker wrote:
>
>nixx wrote:
>>I know you old hands get tired of this, but....
>>
>>I bought a Pro-91 off ebay for monitoring race freqs (now I have a
>>Pro-40 for sale REALLY cheap) and now I'm hooked on listening to the
>>county trunked broadcasts (5-7 miles from the repeater, downhill),
>>using the stock duck. I also enjoy listening to air, and I naively
>>hooked coax to my old tv antenna and mounted it vertically in the
>>basement. It does get quite a bit of traffic. Now, I want more.
>>Being a miserly bastard (and wishing to experiment), I would really
>>like to build my own outdoor antenna, so I did a google search for
>>scanner antennas, and am more confused than ever. Hence:
>>
>>Am I stupid to attempt to build my own?
>
> Not at all, if you're the least bit handy. You can come up with
>stuff better than commercial.
>
>If I buy one, will it improve

>>my 800 trunked stuff? Which one should I buy?
>
> I can't speak to trunked as I don't listen (none in my area), but
if
>you build (or buy) an antenna designed for it, you should see some
>improvement over a broadband antenna.
>
>>I think I understand that an antenna is somewhat customized for the
>>freq range.
>
> That's correct. Do some searches for antennas in general, and
you'll
>find the formulas that relate length to frequency. Basically, as the
>frequency goes up, the antenna gets shorter; antennas for around 800mhz are
>fairly small, light and easy to handle.
>
> Could I/should I mount two antennas and have a a/b switch
>>to go from air to 800?
>
> Sure - there'll be some signal loss at the switch, though. You can
>also just switch connectors as needed.
>
>>Finally, is there a way to combine these ideas with an antenna that
>>will xmit and receive cb?
>
> Not in any sort of graceful or efficient manner...;) ;)
>
>
> Why doesn't my scanner have CB capability?
>
> Probably not an often-requested feature. I'm on my 4th scanner, and
>it's the first one I've seen with CB. (it's a bc7880).
>
>tt
>rdb
>
>
>
>

4204. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 17:33:33 UTC
From: Craig Davidson <nospam@earthlink.com>
Message-ID: <N3uk7.2035$ln4.179743@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 60471

In my humble opinion there is a $20 radio shack scanner antenna that works great for everything above 140 MHz. The Catalog number is 20-176. There is a web page at:

http://www.radioshack.com/product.asp?catalog%5Fname=CTLG&category%5Fname=CTLG%5F001%5F002%5F007%5F000&product%5Fid=20%2D176

For you Hams out there this antenna also transmits well on 2 meters and 440 MHz.

This is the best antenna (price vs performance) you can get for all frequencies. A $200 discone antenna only gives 10 percent better performance. If you want significant gain then you need to go to an antenna custom built for one single frequency of interest.

Also, CB and Aircraft frequencies are Amplitude Modulated (AM). Many inexpensive scanners only have a Frequency Modulation (FM) receiver so they couldn't receive CB or Air signals even if you could tune to those frequencies.

Have fun, Good scanning!

Craig Davidson

Robert D. Baker wrote:
>
>nixx wrote:
>>I know you old hands get tired of this, but....
>>
>>I bought a Pro-91 off ebay for monitoring race freqs (now I have a
>>Pro-40 for sale REALLY cheap) and now I'm hooked on listening to the
>>county trunked broadcasts (5-7 miles from the repeater, downhill),
>>using the stock duck. I also enjoy listening to air, and I naively
>>hooked coax to my old tv antenna and mounted it vertically in the
>>basement. It does get quite a bit of traffic. Now, I want more.
>>Being a miserly bastard (and wishing to experiment), I would really
>>like to build my own outdoor antenna, so I did a google search for
>>scanner antennas, and am more confused than ever. Hence:
>>
>>Am I stupid to attempt to build my own?
>
> Not at all, if you're the least bit handy. You can come up with
>stuff better than commercial.
>
>If I buy one, will it improve

>>my 800 trunked stuff? Which one should I buy?
>
> I can't speak to trunked as I don't listen (none in my area), but
if
>you build (or buy) an antenna designed for it, you should see some
>improvement over a broadband antenna.
>
>>I think I understand that an antenna is somewhat customized for the
>>freq range.
>
> That's correct. Do some searches for antennas in general, and
you'll
>find the formulas that relate length to frequency. Basically, as the
>frequency goes up, the antenna gets shorter; antennas for around 800mhz are
>fairly small, light and easy to handle.
>
> Could I/should I mount two antennas and have a a/b switch
>>to go from air to 800?
>
> Sure - there'll be some signal loss at the switch, though. You can
>also just switch connectors as needed.
>
>>Finally, is there a way to combine these ideas with an antenna that
>>will xmit and receive cb?
>
> Not in any sort of graceful or efficient manner...;) ;)
>
>
> Why doesn't my scanner have CB capability?
>
> Probably not an often-requested feature. I'm on my 4th scanner, and
>it's the first one I've seen with CB. (it's a bc7880).
>
>tt
>rdb
>
>
>
>

4205. Re: Radio Clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 19:17:46 UTC
From: "M.J.Powell" <mike@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Message-ID: <ttuJhEAaXok7EwSU@pickmere.demon.co.uk>
Source record: 60478
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

In article <wbsk7.81731$hm3.5164768@news1.cableinet.net>, RICK
<ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk> writes
>Depends which bit of Liverpool, I will try the Library I think and have a
>look at that book.

I only mentioned it because there is a very good club at Liverpool.

Mike
--
M.J.Powell

4206. FS: BC855XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 19:56:58 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <eawk7.121$4C2.1743@news>
Source record: 60472
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I still have this scanner for sale. I'm looking to trade or will take cash.
I want to geta trunker. This is an 800 mhz with the 100 channel mod and open
800mhz mod. $150 us

--
Sure I'll get a life,
Just as soon as I download one!

4207. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 20:32:32 UTC
From: Tom Needham <tneedham@acd.net>
Message-ID: <xHwk7.1668$aw3.170645@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 60474

The Diamond is great, but I am somewhat frugal. (read as cheap-ass)

This is what I ended up with:
http://www.cis.net/~kingpop/Superdiscone.htm

Mine is mounted at 30 ft, and it seems to work well with my Icom IC-R7100.

Tom

--

Reply to: Group, or tneedham@spleen.acd.net
Remove my spleen to reply. (please be gentle)
Home page: http://userdata.acd.net/tneedham/default.htm
Jeep stuff: http://userdata.acd.net/tneedham/jeep.html
It aint much, but it's mine.

"Stuart G." <scanning@ecdcrew.com> wrote in message
news:tov7n8karfup60@corp.supernews.com...
> As for the Antenna
>
> Buy this, and don't even think about it:
>
> Diamond D-130J
>
> Good, no GREAT for general freq. scanning
>
> Radio Shack also has a discone for $60.00, so if you can't get the best
> (Diamond D-130J) go for the Radio Shack.....
>
>
> "nixx" <nixx@sanclemente.com> wrote in message
> news:3b8f80ab.51475426@news-server...
> > I know you old hands get tired of this, but....
> >
> > I bought a Pro-91 off ebay for monitoring race freqs (now I have a
> > Pro-40 for sale REALLY cheap) and now I'm hooked on listening to the
> > county trunked broadcasts (5-7 miles from the repeater, downhill),
> > using the stock duck. I also enjoy listening to air, and I naively
> > hooked coax to my old tv antenna and mounted it vertically in the
> > basement. It does get quite a bit of traffic. Now, I want more.
> > Being a miserly bastard (and wishing to experiment), I would really
> > like to build my own outdoor antenna, so I did a google search for
> > scanner antennas, and am more confused than ever. Hence:
> >
> > Am I stupid to attempt to build my own? If I buy one, will it improve

> > my 800 trunked stuff? Which one should I buy?
> >
> > I think I understand that an antenna is somewhat customized for the
> > freq range. Could I/should I mount two antennas and have a a/b switch
> > to go from air to 800?
> >
> > Finally, is there a way to combine these ideas with an antenna that
> > will xmit and receive cb? Why doesn't my scanner have CB capability?
>
>

4208. Frequencies for Mojave National Preserve

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 20:33:17 UTC
From: bellp400@msn.com
Message-ID: <35ba9d22.0109021233.4e7ea4d1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60473

Does anyone know what frequencies the National Park Service uses in the Mojave National Preserve?

Thanks.

4209. Re: BC-200XLT Disc tap problem

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 21:04:26 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b929e3f.1151947@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 60475
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

It STILL is!
And I still can't make any sense of your posting because of it

On Sun, 02 Sep 2001 09:17:18 -0600, Jon Van Allen
wrote:

>
>
>I didn't realize HTML was on, OKAY???
>
Crimony, some people are such goddamn busy bodies...
>

>
Bruce Markowitz wrote:
>
Well, no one can read the damn thing
>
Turn off HTML and maybe you'll get some help
>
On Sat, 01 Sep 2001 22:20:37 -0600, Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
>
wrote:
>
>
>
>
>
>Now that was helpful... NOT
>
>

>
>
Bruce Markowitz wrote:
>
>
Turn off HTML
>
>
On Sat, 01 Sep 2001 19:44:28 -0600, Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
>
>
wrote:

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>According to several different sources of info, I tapped a
>friend's
>
>
>
BC-200XLT for a discriminator tap to run Trunker:
>
>
>

>
>
>
BC-200XLT
>
>Chip TK-10421M-2
>
>
>IC-401 Pin 11
>
>
>
I have double checked the connections, it does not
>drive my
>
>data
>
>
>
slicer, which works fine with other radios around
>here.
>
>
>
The scanner works fine otherwise, decent sensitivity,
>etc. The
>
>
>
problem is definitely in the BC-200, maybe I'm
>not on the right
>

>pin
>
>
>
or right chip or whatever.
>
>
>
Anyone have any experience with the BC-200? I'd
>appreciate any
>
>
>
help or suggestions.
>
>
>
Thanks,
>
>
>
Jon
>
>
>

>
>
>
>

>
>

4210. Re: BC780 Software,BEST?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 21:15:13 UTC
From: Ender <SBender@home.com>
Message-ID: <ao75pt8obv6mo6of19a46638fel8de6a2n@4ax.com>
Source record: 60476

<snip>
>you much of a - review - to go on. Elaborations welcome! :)
>thanks,
>Rit.

I'm sure you will get a wide variety of responses. I have used WinScan (full version), TrunkStar780 (full version), ARC780 (full version), and Andy's Program with my BC780XLT. Far and away my favorite of this bunch is TrunkStar. It is extremely easy to use to set up your banks, it sets up trunking systems easily, manipulates your databases efficiently with intuitive cut/copy/paste functions, and has an easy to use control interface for those times that you want to control the scanner from your computer. It takes a scanner that has a fairly high learning curve and puts all its features out there for you to handle easily.

ARC780 works fine for data entry, as does Andy's Program. I had problems with data corruption when using WinScan. It had a tendency to spray the alpha numeric entries into frequency fields and other such garbage. I ended up clearing the memory of the BC780XLT to restore operation and then reloading my banks with TrunkStar and haven't looked back.

Good luck,

Ender

Remove the SB from my return email to reply by mail.

4211. Re: Radio Clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 21:30:21 UTC
From: iain-double-barreled-smith@thebnp.org
Message-ID: <3b929e63.4079092@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 60477
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 02 Sep 2001 10:00:13 GMT, spikemike@blueyonder.co.uk (Michael Hunter)
wrote:

>"RICK" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in
><wVck7.76100$hm3.4706141@news1.cableinet.net>:
>
>> Any one know of a radio club I can join in or near St Helens (Merseyside
>> UK) ???
>>
>If you go into your local library, and go to the Electronics/Radio section
>there will be a book by the RSGB called a call book, this has all the info
>you would need, loads of contacts and groups

It's now called a 'Yearbook'.

Nothing specifically listed for St. Helens.

Warrington; Widnes & Runcorn; Two in Wigan;
Two in Preston; Oldham; Kirkby/Maghull; Darwen;
Two in Bolton; Accrington;

I'm not going to post contact details for these. If you
indicate which ones may be of interest, I'll post details.

Be aware these are amateur radio clubs and as such may not
have a lot of interest in scanning.

J+

4212. WTB PRO-53

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 21:56:36 UTC
From: John Strand <w6ibl@ncinternet.net>
Message-ID: <3b92abe3$0$9051@wodc7nh0.news.uu.net>
Source record: 60480

Want to buy Pro-53 (crystal type) scanner.

Thanks

John

w6ibl@ncinternet.net

4213. Re: Newbie - Will cellular phone tower be a problem?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 21:57:15 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010902175715.17422.00003463@nso-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 60479

In article <nk10ptcc3ka2vm9v1dqbjv89a4v049edk0@4ax.com>,
fbyvgba-QRYRGR@cnpvsvp-GUVF.arg (MercenaryPGP) writes:

>There is a pager tower only a few hundred feet from where I am and it
>often interferes with many frequencies on several bands on both my
>scanner radios and my 2 metre handheld.
>

The transmitter is desensing the inputs of your radios. I would suggest trying
a band-pass filter at the input of your radios, for what bands you are
interested in listening/operating on.

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4214. Re: What to listen to at the Indianapolis F1 Grand prix at the end of this month?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 22:05:00 UTC
From: RMJ Motorsport <RMJ-MOTORSPORTS@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <g2yk7.23090$l56.3227096166@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 60481
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

Good luck with the privacy of the F-1 teams. We will bring ours as well but I would guess it will be scrambled to the hills.

Race control should be ok and the stewards always have good info on penalties.

Have a good time, I for sure will.

RJ

"Austin Powers" <austinpowers@pobox.com> wrote in message news:3b926ac6_1@Usenet.com...
> If I took my scanner, could anyone tell me of any relevant frequencies to
> listen to?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Peter
>
>
>
> Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services
> --
> ** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
> --
> http://www.usenet.com

4215. Re: Beware of Auburn Metrology Labs Inc.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 22:13:40 UTC
From: John Strand <w6ibl@ncinternet.net>
Message-ID: <3b92afe3$0$9050@wodc7nh0.news.uu.net>
Source record: 60482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Another perspective:

I have dealt with Mr. Knowles and his companies for the last 16 years on a fairly regular basis. I have always found them to be responsive, competent, and responsible. Hopefully, whatever went wrong was the exception rather than the rule.

Just my opinion.....

John Strand W6IBL

"Donald E. Smith" <desmith@innercite.com> wrote in message news:3B897BB9.285D4598@innercite.com...
> I too, am in the repair business and do not take lightly the ethics of repair.
> They had the equipment for almost a year and never even opened it up. They never
> responded to my requests for an update or sent me any kind of communications
> regarding the status of its repair. If you or I ever ran our business that way
> we would not be able to enjoy our good reputations. Don
>
> Neville Y wrote:
>
> > Sorry Donald, I really do not understand your need to express your views
> > here. As I read your post you paid $110 when they estimated "under $300". We
> > have your side of the story and I do believe you version, but I think it is
> > fair to have the other side. I am involved in servicing and while perhaps
> > 95% of all repairs are straightforward, some do go wrong. I'm not really
> > trying to give excuses, but some of the problems I have encountered include.
> > Leaving a message at the contact phone number regarding repair and they do
> > not pass it on (they will never admit this later).
> > Wrong phone number supplied.
> >
> > No response to phone calls (or message left). Please understand I may have
> > 50 repairs at any one time and I can not call each client 5 times daily
> > (work it out).

> > Email can be impossible. I often receive over 300 messages per day. How
can
> > I read or replay to them all and still have time to repair your radio?
> >
> > I have also hung up on callers. Some can never see reason.
> > No doubt others have had bad servicing experiences, but many will have
good
> > ones. We will always hear the complaints.
> >
> > Best Regards
> > Neville
> >
> > "Donald E. Smith" <desmith@innercite.com> wrote in message
> > news:3B7C1DDB.53B4193B@innercite.com...
> > > This is a warning notice to all in radio land about a test equipment
> > > company located in Auburn, KY. They are Auburn Metrological Labs at
> > > 12345 Bowling Green Road, Auburn KY. 42206. Their number is 270
> > > 542-6000.
> > >
> > > Based on information given to me by their General Manager, Ken
Knowles,
> > > they would be able to repair my Cushman CE-50 that was having a
receive
> > > problem. It generated fine and all pll were locking fine. They gave
me
> > > an estimate of under $300.00 with a turnaround of about one week. I
> > > sent it to them for repair on February 24, 2001 based on this
> > > information. After a couple of months went by without even an
> > > acknowledgment of receiving it, I tried to contact them by telephone
and
> > > email. Still I received no information on it and after numerous
emails
> > > and a couple of letters, one being a legal demand letter, the unit
> > > showed up at my door with a 110.00 COD charge. After contacting the
> > > company I was advised that Ken Knowles was on vacation and the service
> > > manager was arrogant, rude and even hung up on me. If you value your
> > > equipment and it is in need of repairs or calibration, PLEASE do Not
> > > send it to them. You will regret it.
> > >
> > > My options, against them, are not exhausted but I would rather have
> > > never had the problem to begin with. It has the tendency to taint all
> > > repair facilities. This outfit was recommended by a person from one
of
> > > the amateur news groups. I hope I have prevented any grief for others
> > > by this post. Thanks for listening to my ranting. 73's Don Smith
> > > KB6GQA
> > >
>

4216. Can I scan fire in carlsbad, ca, san diego bc780xlt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-02 23:01:48 UTC
From: farcaster@zdnetonebox.com
Message-ID: <c5b64fee.0109021501.54274e14@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60483

Trying to find out if it's possible to use trunking scanner bc780xlt
to listen and track north san diego county fire in carlsab california.
Tnx

what is digital in sd and what isn't?

4217. FS: Bearcat 220 (Older model)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 00:38:11 UTC
From: Louis Albert <lalbert@uakron.edu>
Message-ID: <3B92CF03.974720CD@uakron.edu>
Source record: 60484

Bearcat 220 Channel crystalless scanner - aircraft- marine- public service..

Low Band 32-50MHz
Aircraft 118-136MHz
Amateur 144-148MHz
High Band 148-174HMz
UHF Band 420.440-470MHz
UHF-T Band 470,0125-512.45MHz

Visually about 8, electrically It does work! I checked it with a 2 m and a 440 repeater and they worked. How much better I don't know.

Asking $35.00 or offer

I think I bought this scanner in the middle '70s but I'm not sure.

Louis WA8PHD
mailto:lalbert@uakron.edu
http://www.ifip.com/ (my page)

4218. Looking For Eastern Pa. Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 01:15:05 UTC
From: nvavro@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3b92daa4.4839664@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 60485

Hi; Looking to find Eastern Pa. Only freqs. and private channels.
Interested in the unpublished ones or ones that are not specific to
that service. Nick

4219. Re: What to listen to at the Indianapolis F1 Grand prix at the end of this month?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 01:24:27 UTC
From: Jay J <jay___j@hotmail.com.NOSPAM>
Message-ID: <fZAk7.149631$oh1.61961058@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 60486
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

I brought mine last year, but no luck....

First off, if you can find an updated frequency list you've luck is so good
that you should run right out and buy a lottery ticket ;-)

Second, even if you can get a few frequencies, they scramble everything! The
best you can hope for is the race broadcast and the tracks safety crew, but
I wasn't even able to tun that in last year :-(

Best to leave it at home...

"RMJ Motorsport" <RMJ-MOTORSPORTS@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:g2yk7.23090$l56.3227096166@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> Good luck with the privacy of the F-1 teams. We will bring ours as well
but
> I would guess it will be scrambled to the hills.
>
> Race control should be ok and the stewards always have good info on
> penalties.
>
> Have a good time, I for sure will.
>
> RJ
>
>
> "Austin Powers" <austinpowers@pobox.com> wrote in message
> news:3b926ac6_1@Usenet.com...
> > If I took my scanner, could anyone tell me of any relevant frequencies
to
> > listen to?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Peter
> >
> >
> >
> > Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services
> > --
> > ** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
> > --
> > http://www.usenet.com
>

>

4220. Re: Bearcat 895 help please..

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 01:45:49 UTC
From: webmaster@scanatlanta.com
Message-ID: <3b92e086.1233073@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 60487

One thing that you can do is if you know their call sign, go to the
FCC database and do a search there!

http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

/\/\|k.e

webmaster@scanatlanta.com
www.ScanAtlanta.com

On Sat, 01 Sep 2001 04:02:34 GMT, "Larry Cohen" <ppcdoc@home.com>
wrote:

>My police dept has rearranged/added freqs a few months ago and I lost the
>ability to receive 2 precincts and tac traffic. I had 8 channels that did it
>all before. I"m pretty sure the range is between 856 and 861 MHz. How do I
>set up the scanner to build a trunking channel list so that all the traffic
>is placed in A?
>Please email footdoc@home.com as I don't frequent the newgroups often..
>Thanks!
>
>

4221. Re: ham radio listening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 02:10:58 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b92df77.271666798@news.erols.com>
Source record: 60488

Hi there,

Dave had good advise. I'd like to comment on
what you might hear. Although there are groups in
Ham that like to work on Emerg. Preparedness Drills
and such, the great majority of all Ham comms are:
1. Ragchewing
2. DX'ing
3. Contesting
Probably in that order.

Ragchewing is basically shooting the breeze.
you could hear anything when 2 Hams start ragchewing.
Since you and I both live in VA you might appreciate the
best QSO (two-way radio contact) I ever had was a
couple years ago when I started a ragchew with a German
Ham who lived in Costa Rica. He wanted to know if I'd
ever heard of Virginia's famous "Beale Treasure " story.
When we clicked on that the QSO lasted 2+ hours on
the 17M band from Costa Rica to Northern VA. Turns
out he moved to CR because he's a treasure hunter and
was after loot on Cocas Is. (the "Treasure Is." of real
history). We've been friends ever since . Though neither
of us found any treasure ! <grin> Most ragchews are
just about each other's rigs and so forth, but every now
and then you connect.

DX'ing is a term derived from the old morse code days
and means distant communications or, to go for. seek out
the most rare or distant QSO's possible. It's loads of fun.
I've hit Antartica 3 times, including the US base at Geographic
S. Pole. Comms here are quick signal reports and sign off to
grab the next ham elsewhere. Virtual real-time logging of such
DX is now available on the internet, allowing you to use your
PC to jump to the hot spots.

Contesting is a solo or group/club activity that is grabbing
the fastest for the mostest. I don't do it and care for it but many
do. You're liable to need a big rig to be competitive at contesting.

All 3 of these activities are technically available on VHF/UHF
but notice that all my examples are HF only. Most Hams today
enter using VHF/UHF as that's where your Technician priviledges
land you. But I urge you to check out some of the HF Ham Bands
to really appreciate the possiblities as a Ham. By the way, if your
Heather is considering this hobby too be warned: When it comes

to gathering QSO's she's gonna leave you in the dust! Ladies aren't that common and always get fast responses. (and I've never heard a rude one). Try listening to 10, 12,15,17M bands during day and 20, 40, 80M at nite - especially 20M. 20M at nite goes world-wide.
Hope all this blather was a help and not a bore.

Andrew/KG4JBM

4222. Re: Schematic for GE "Searcher 2+2"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 02:21:13 UTC
From: John KB5AG <jblack3@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <tOBk7.219618$J37.56484241@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 60489

Still looking for a schematic of the GE 7-2975A Searcher 2+2 AM/FM
radio/Scanner.
Anybody?

Thanks,

John KB5AG

4223. How does a bc200xlt really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 02:35:20 UTC
From: David Trickle <gumby1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3b92edb8$0$42883$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 60491

I have a couple of BC200xlt's that are broke. I have the schematics for them but I am having trouble understanding them. The block diagram that I have is really a mess and does not really match the schematic that came with it. I am looking for information on the individual circuits that make up this unit. Can anyone help me out.

Thanks David

4224. Re: Weird noise audible on many trunked channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 02:35:39 UTC
From: Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com>
Message-ID: <a2r5pt86pt6kus9m3ej1pc55dndrub9la8@4ax.com>
Source record: 60490

Great! :-(

So I'll anxiously wait for my BC245XLT to come in, but I won't expect
it to get rid of that noise in that case.

What part of the area are you in anyway? I'm down in the Menifee
Valley (off I-215 north of Murrieta).

Jughead

Jim Bo wrote:

>Jug,
>
>I have a 780 and have the same problem on the Riverside system.
>
>It is a problem with the Riverside system.
>
>Jim
>*
>
>Jughead wrote:
>
>> I was looking at that BC780XLT as well, and it certainly does appear
>> to be a really nice one. But because I like being able to have
>> something to listen to while I'm in my car (and I do drive plenty), I
>> had to go for a handheld model.
>>
>> So the BC245XLT will get rid of this weird noise I'm hearing on my
>> BC220XLT, right?
>>
>> Also, I have a question about what you said just below about the
>> BC245XLT trunking 2 systems or doing conventional and 1 trunk. Do you
>> mean that when the scanner has trunked frequencies programmed into its
>> memory, it can only do 2 different types of systems at once or 1
>> conventional and 1 trunked (regardless of type) or what?
>>
>> Tom Riggs wrote:
>> >
>> >Very good, The BC780XLT is a great table top model that will do several
>> >trunk systems and conventional at the same time. The BC245XLT will trunk
>> >two systems or do conventional and one trunk system. The first 245's out
>> >had some long delays built in. The delay was removed in the later units.
>> >
>> >> >Sounds to me like a GE Ericson trunked radio system control channel.
>> >>

>> >> In that case, what are the chances of me successfully getting rid of
>> >> that noise simply by getting myself a BC245XLT?

4225. Re: What to listen to at the Indianapolis F1 Grand prix at the end of this month?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 02:39:11 UTC
From: Austin Powers <austinpowers@pobox.com>
Message-ID: <3b92ed9c_1@Usenet.com>
Source record: 60492
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

Would you, by any chance, have the race control or stewards frequencies, and
if so would you post them?

Thanks.

"RMJ Motorsport" <RMJ-MOTORSPORTS@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:g2yk7.23090$l56.3227096166@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> Good luck with the privacy of the F-1 teams. We will bring ours as well
but
> I would guess it will be scrambled to the hills.
>
> Race control should be ok and the stewards always have good info on
> penalties.
>
> Have a good time, I for sure will.
>
> RJ
>
>
> "Austin Powers" <austinpowers@pobox.com> wrote in message
> news:3b926ac6_1@Usenet.com...
> > If I took my scanner, could anyone tell me of any relevant frequencies
to
> > listen to?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Peter
> >
> >
> >
> > Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services
> > --
> > ** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
> > --
> > http://www.usenet.com
>
>

Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services

** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
----

http://www.usenet.com

4226. Re: Can I scan fire in carlsbad, ca, san diego bc780xlt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 03:01:20 UTC
From: Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com>
Message-ID: <u9r5pt08g3hk6fqu3hq6odcipo0vvmalmr@4ax.com>
Source record: 60493

Roger wrote:

>Trying to find out if it's possible to use trunking scanner bc780xlt
>to listen and track north san diego county fire in carlsab california.
>Tnx
>
>what is digital in sd and what isn't?

I could be mistaken, but I thought all of the digital systems were running on the 800 and/or 900 band only.

I assume the actual name of the agency you are asking about is the North County Fire Protection District? If so, according to the FCC's website, all of their radios operate in the VHF-Hi band. The only exception is the callsign WPJY683, which works on the UHF band.

If you need their frequencies, just go to the following web site:

http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts

When you get there, click on the "Licensee/State" link and enter "North County Fire Protection District" verbatim in the Licensee Name block, then select CA and hit the OK button.

Once you're there, you will see that they have 9 different callsigns in use (ignore the Salinas locations - they aren't related to the ones you are interested in).

But to make things easier for you, here are all of the frequencies you will need:

150.805
153.815
153.89
154.235
154.205
154.25
154.265
154.28
154.295
154.31
154.355
154.385
154.415
154.43
460.5375
460.5625

465.5375
465.5625

I seriously doubt that any of these are digital, so you should be able to pick them up without any trouble. Enjoy!

Jughead

4227. Re: What to listen to at the Indianapolis F1 Grand prix at the end of this month?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 03:01:21 UTC
From: BobT <x@screw.the.spammers.com>
Message-ID: <5oCk7.3107$ln4.277218@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 60494
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

"Jay J" <jay___j@hotmail.com.NOSPAM> wrote in message
news:fZAk7.149631$oh1.61961058@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com...
> I brought mine last year, but no luck....
[snip]

Thanks. I posted a similar question on ras.f1 last year and had some (I think) Austrian moron flaming away that all F1 teams were/are in the clear, why the hell didn't I know what I was talking about, etc. (a normal risk of ras.*).

I'd take an ordinary AM/FM, since there likely will be US radio coverage available. There was last year.

4228. Help the Newbie: Antennas (Part 2)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 03:09:50 UTC
From: nixx@sanclemente.com
Message-ID: <3b92ea73.53144462@news-server>
Source record: 60495

Ok, thanks for all the advice. Here's what I've done so far: I took the TV antenna out of the basement and mounted it on the chimney (nothing to lose, I'll mount something there anyway) using good coax and am getting great results on both air and trunk.

Or am I?

I've read articles on antennas that have led me to think this (I'm looking for corrections here). If I am after broadband stuff, I should go with a discone of some sort. If I want to get distance (but narrower freq range), I need to have a Yagi-type thing. One article pointed out the holes in the TV antenna coverage, and the direction problem. Example: now I have Yagi pointed north (vertical) somehow, so I can get air from 35 to 40 miles away. South, about 15 to 20, but spotty. Trunk is only north, in my home county. 2 meter stuff has been maxed at 30 miles, but to the south. If I search the air band, I get non-stop action (I've charted over 100 different freqs in one afternoon). I live in a rural area with big cities 30 mi N and S.

Questions: How can I tell which direction this thing is pointing (mounted vertically)? Is this a decent setup (I know I have loss on the f connector to twin-lead to the antenna), or am I missing it all? Is there any way to test antennas other than expensive test equipment or seat of the pants? I think I understand the relationship between the antenna and the freq, at least on J-poles, but how does this transfer to a Yagi? Can I modify it to get even better reception between 119 and 136 (for example)?

4229. Re: What to listen to at the Indianapolis F1 Grand prix at the end of this month?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 03:27:40 UTC
From: "Marc P. Gregoire" <mgregoir@gmx.net>
Message-ID: <3B92F80A.EE0448BC@gmx.net>
Source record: 60496
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

> If I took my scanner, could anyone tell me of any relevant frequencies to
> listen to?

These pages have all of the knowledge you should need:

http://www.bommeltje.nl/scanners/form1-2001.shtml
http://www.bommeltje.nl/scanners/english/f1-findfreq.shtml
--
MPG

4230. Re: What to listen to at the Indianapolis F1 Grand prix at the end of this month?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 03:35:29 UTC
From: "Marc P. Gregoire" <mgregoir@gmx.net>
Message-ID: <3B92F9E0.7E319515@gmx.net>
Source record: 60397
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

<snip>

Also, only Ferrari and McLaren use scrambled radio transmissions.
--
MPG

4231. Re: What to listen to at the Indianapolis F1 Grand prix at the end of this month?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 03:42:25 UTC
From: nixx@sanclemente.com
Message-ID: <3b92f7c4.56553554@news-server>
Source record: 60398

Currently, only Ferrari and possibly McLaren uses encrypted radios.
I've been working on lists of frequencies since Australia, and there
is a Yahoo group that is possibly the best source for current scanner
freqs. You can contact them at f1scanner@hotmail.com. I will be
continuing to work on frequencies, and will post them as the race gets
closer, and after Saturday qualifying when I get home.

BTW, we listened to the teams at the CART, ALMS and Trans Am races at
Mid-Ohio last month, and it was great. It ain't just for NASCAR!

On Sun, 2 Sep 2001 10:20:56 -0700, "Austin Powers"
<austinpowers@pobox.com> wrote:

>If I took my scanner, could anyone tell me of any relevant frequencies to
>listen to?
>
>Thanks,
>
>Peter
>
>
>
> Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services
>--
> ** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
>--
> http://www.usenet.com

4232. Re: Can I scan fire in carlsbad, ca, san diego bc780xlt?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 03:53:19 UTC
From: farcaster@zdnetonebox.com
Message-ID: <c5b64fee.0109021953.5105058d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60399

carlsbad, california, united states

4233. Vancouver, B.C. Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 04:31:49 UTC
From: "D.M." <bbford@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3b9307b6$0$21738@fountain.mindlink.net>
Source record: 60400

I am looking for any information on the police frequencies in Vancouver,
B.C.
There used to be several between 142 and 143mhz, but they moved some time
ago.
Anyone have any information?

4234. Re: CLEVELAND AIR SHOW FREQ'S

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 05:16:23 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B931227.D3875696@mitre.org>
Source record: 60401

Thanks for the fast up date on the air show. I'll be at the airshow:
Brunswick Naval Air Station in Maine Saturday, September 22,2001
for the Blue Angels. The 101st Airborne "Screaming Eagles" jump team
will be there. I don't have their frequencies should be around 123-124
Mhz.
THanks Teeavve...again for the great frequency list. Jim

Teeavee wrote:
>
> HERE'S A FEW......MORE TO COME
>
> 452.9625 MHZ FM OPERATIONS
>
> 452.9375 MHZ FM OPERATIONS
>
> 275.350 MHZ AM BLUE ANGELS
>
> 122.925 MHZ AM STUNT PLANES

4235. CHP freqs - need any or have any I don't have?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 05:31:09 UTC
From: Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com>
Message-ID: <rms5pt83tjv2g177d38g6dai2j2878c0aq@4ax.com>
Source record: 60402

I was already aware of the fact that the CHP had added 39MHz frequencies to its arsenal, but no sites out on the Net seem to know about just how common the 39MHz freqs are starting to become.

So out of pure interest in CHP happenings, I decided to do some research using the FCC's database. I always wondered why the only time I could ever seem to pick anything up from the CHP is when I'm really close to a patrol unit that happens to be transmitting on a freq I already knew about or when I pass within a few miles of one of their repeater sites. I searched for all Public Safety-allocated frequencies in California and came up with the following freqs (along with base station locations). Also, there are at least a few 39MHz freqs being very actively used here in Southern Cal, which I found through this FCC database research. I picked up quite a bit of data from the database files and copied it straight across from the FCC's website to an Excel file. There are over 2,300 combinations of frequencies, callsigns, and transmitter sites that I know about. If you have any additional freqs or info to add, please point it out here in these groups. I'm also looking for any additional station numbers that aren't already known about at the following URLs:

http://www.tstonramp.com/~salamone/scanner.htm
http://www.socalscanner.com/

Both appear to be missing a lot of stations and I'd love to be able to find a list of stations with unit callsigns somehow. Not even the CHP site seems to include any information on this. Does anybody know where I can obtain this info from?

Also, if anybody here would like to take a look at my Excel file (not really elaborate but it has probably all of the info any scanner nut would really need to monitor CHP), I've posted it to the following URL:

http://surfree.homestead.com/jughead/files/CHP_scanning.zip

I'm trying to create a complete list of station numbers for this spreadsheet, so if anybody can give me any info I don't already have, I'd greatly appreciate it. Also, if anybody can provide me with a complete list of the CHP's 9-, 10-, 11-, 12-, etc codes, I'd greatly appreciate it. The sites I know about show only the most often used codes, but there are times like tonight when I hear a code that I can't find and wouldn't mind knowing what they're saying. I'm eventually going to add these codes to another worksheet in that file.

Jughead

4236. Trade AR8000 for telescope

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 05:48:37 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B931926.8BE111D5@citlink.net>
Source record: 60403

<<<<<<<<Wanted telescope>>>>>>
I would like to trade an AR8000 scanner (unblocked cell and military)no
gaps to 1.3ghz.Also have Jav-232 computer
interface and new(surveilance enhanced)Scancat Gold version 8,02
software to control the scanner with your computer.
Serious offers only.This setup is worth around $800 or so US And I will
be willing to add cash for a really good scope
Mail me with you offers

4237. Re: AOR AR8200 Series II

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 06:22:48 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B93212A.C9E3E888@citlink.net>
Source record: 60404

I had one.Glitches and problems galore.I sent it back to the factory 3 times and the last time I demanded my money back.Which they did.I got an 8000 and like it

Wallace Comer wrote:

> Anyone have one of these for sale ?

4238. Re: Javiation buyers beware!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 06:25:52 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B9321E3.196B1DAF@citlink.net>
Source record: 60405

I also think Javiation is a good company.I have found them to be excellant to deal with and I only had one problem with a piece of equipt. from them and they shipped me a replacement before I could return the defective one

PS wrote:

> I have dealt with Javiation several times and have never had a problem with
> product or service. I refered several friends and they too have had good
> service and results! Jonathan has been more than helpful on several
> occasions!

4239. Re: Any live internet broadcasts?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 06:29:24 UTC
From: "Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B9322B7.24C9B617@citlink.net>
Source record: 60406

Download the Radio Destiny receiver at radiodestiny.com then mail me and I
will let you listen till your hearts content

Jason wrote:

> I was wondering if anyones scanner was hooked up to the internet in real
> time so i could hear it? I am considering getting a scanner but i am not
> sure if it will be entertaining enough. Does anyone know of a site with a
> scanner hooked up to a police station or something?
> Thanks

4240. Re: Weird noise audible on many trunked channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 07:14:39 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B932DDF.E758B93B@mitre.org>
Source record: 60407

This sounds like control channel data burst or digital voice, that may
be sharing the trunking frequencies after the analog conversation is
over. It also could be data bursts for water, electrical, gas or sewage
flow meters. The State Police Motorola 800 Mhz trunking system around
Boston, Ma has tons of talk groups devoted to utility meter telemetry
data. With out a trunk tracking radio it's hard to tell what this is. If
you trunk track, you can lock out this type of data and just listen to
analog traffic. What kind of trunk system/freqs. is the wave file form
Is it a EF Johnson LTR system? If so, this in fact may be control
channel data burst associated with the channel switching. I've never
worked EF Johnson, may be some one else can help out on this. I use a
PR0-90 Radio Shack Trunk Tracker to listen to Motorola trunking in New
England have a GE Ericsson system programmed in conventional mode
for Manchester NH it's 800 MHZ and the GE Ericsson VHF system for
Goffstown NH. I recommend up grading to a trunk tracker, BC 245 XLT
Radio Shack PRO-94A or PRO-92 A or B. THis should help get more out
of all the turning in the county.
Jim

Jugged wrote:
>
> I typically like to monitor any and all trunked networks found in my
> county (Riverside, CA) with my BC220XLT. I've noticed that a lot of
> the active frequencies I monitor tend to have some weird garbage sound
> included. This noise usually comes up right after many of the
> transmissions I hear on the affected frequencies.
>
> I've listened to many trunked channels with this radio before -
> including when I lived in the neighboring San Bernardino County - and
> can't recall having ever experienced this before moving out this way.
> This junk noise becomes active on frequencies that I want to monitor
> more than others, but I can't get rid of it. It will sometimes cut off
> after several seconds, but then has a habit of coming back frequently
> before the 2-second delay hits. In other words, there are a lot of
> times when I end up wasting precious seconds of time hearing this junk
> when I could be finding another active conversation on another
> channel.
>
> I made a recording of this noise and have posted it to the Web. If any
> of you would like to hear this irritating noise, you can download the
> WAV file (just over 400KB in file size). from the following URL:
>
> http://surfree.homestead.com/jughead/files/trunknoise.wav
>

> I'd really appreciate getting some input on this because it's gotten
> to the point that I'm VERY tempted to head over to Uniden's website
> and order myself a new BC245XLT so I can have something more suitable
> for monitoring trunked networks - particularly in hopes that the
> BC245XLT might actually keep this "problem" quiet.
>
> Jughead

4241. Test Equipment???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 08:11:19 UTC
From: David Trickle <gumby1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3b933c79$0$42877$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 60408

Anyone have any old stuff they want to get rid of? I am looking for a
Signal generator (rf) and a good soldering station.

David

4242. Re: What to listen to at the Indianapolis F1 Grand prix at the end of this month?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 09:27:34 UTC
From: CFster <cfster@home.com>
Message-ID: <a2Ik7.302325$v5.30466117@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com>
Source record: 60409
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

Well, I visited a booth on Georgetown Ave outside the track on Sat. - they
told me to come back on Sun. morning and they would have updated
frequencies. So I did and they gave me a complete list. However, I found
most channels to be garbled static and a few were in different languages.
Mostly I just listed to race control and the telecasts.
Maybe they gave me bogus frequencies or maybe they're all scrambled, I don't
know. If somebody has better info I'm interested too.

CFster

"Austin Powers" <austinpowers@pobox.com> wrote in message
news:3b926ac6_1@Usenet.com...
> If I took my scanner, could anyone tell me of any relevant frequencies to
> listen to?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Peter
>
>
>
> Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services
> --
> ** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
> --
> http://www.usenet.com

4243. Re: Trade AR8000 for telescope

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 11:54:13 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <morton@whoville.com>
Message-ID: <FbKk7.839$CR2.1273993@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 60410

This may already be old news to you, but www.astromart.com is a good place
to find used telescopes and related stuff.

"Arthur R. Howard" <whtmtnman@citlink.net> wrote in message
news:3B931926.8BE111D5@citlink.net...
> <<<<<<<<Wanted telescope>>>>>>
> I would like to trade an AR8000 scanner (unblocked cell and military)no
> gaps to 1.3ghz.Also have Jav-232 computer
> interface and new(surveilance enhanced)Scancat Gold version 8,02
> software to control the scanner with your computer.
> Serious offers only.This setup is worth around $800 or so US And I will
> be willing to add cash for a really good scope
> Mail me with you offers
>

4244. FS: Nice REALISTIC PRO-40

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 12:32:50 UTC
From: WA2BAH@webtv.net
Message-ID: <14533-3B937872-132@storefull-127.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 60412

Radio Shack Realistic PRO-40 "Hyperscan" handheld scanner with
batteries, charger and extension speaker - $125 plus small shipping fee
from Schenectady, NY

LIST OF ITEMS FOR SALE:
http://sdstudio.freeyellow.com/page3.html

4245. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 12:43:16 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <0DD7285F85EB5686.0E3EBBA5481B70DA.2B47B292677CCFC1@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60411

On Fri, 31 Aug 2001 12:38:39 GMT, nixx@sanclemente.com (nixx) wrote:

>I know you old hands get tired of this, but....
>
[snip]

I know this may sound hoakey, but if you buy a set of amplified
television "rabbit ears", they may do the trick quite nicely.

It is important that you get a pair with adjustable gain, such as
from 1 to 15 dB.

I saw a flyer the other day from Radio Shack where
they had one for around $16.

-Art

4246. Re: BC780 Software,BEST?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 13:12:23 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <0EDDFDF0F90B582F.32B5942E33E77DEC.9249D861ADB44C8B@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60413

On Sun, 02 Sep 2001 21:15:13 GMT, Ender <SBender@home.com> wrote:

><snip>

I have a related question.

Can data files be passed from one copy of TrunkStar
to another?

I was wondering if there were users groups for this and
similar software.

Say you lived in say Washington D.C,. someone may have
an "image file" with all the trunk stuff already worked out that
they may share. You could use this file to essentially clone
their scanner setup.

Thanks

4247. Re: Schematic for GE "Searcher 2+2"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 13:16:09 UTC
From: Radiohead <Radiohead@zorch.com>
Message-ID: <9oLk7.1603$m5.1691578@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 60414

Sams Scanner-Monitor Servicing Data volume SD-13 covers the 7-2975A.
Although the book will probably cost more than the radio is worth, you can
get one here: http://www.kenselectronics.com/lists/sams.htm

"John KB5AG" <jblack3@nc.rr.com> wrote in message
news:tOBk7.219618$J37.56484241@typhoon.southeast.rr.com...
>
> Still looking for a schematic of the GE 7-2975A Searcher 2+2 AM/FM
> radio/Scanner.
> Anybody?
>
> Thanks,
>
> John KB5AG

4248. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas (Part 2)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 13:44:36 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9n01ft$4liu9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60418

"nixx" <nixx@sanclemente.com> wrote in message
news:3b92ea73.53144462@news-server...
> Ok, thanks for all the advice. Here's what I've done so far: I took
> the TV antenna out of the basement and mounted it on the chimney
> (nothing to lose, I'll mount something there anyway) using good coax
> and am getting great results on both air and trunk.
>
> Or am I?

If you're hearing what you want to hear, I'd say you're getting good results.

However, with a directional antenna, unless everything you want to hear is in one direction away from you, I'd either use a rotator with it or switch to an omnidirectional antenna. You have to decide on the tradeoff between having the high gain of a yagi antenna vs. being able to hear signals from all directions.

On a yagi antenna such as a TV antenna, the pointed end or end with shorter elements is the "front" of the antenna. This is the end you want to point toward the signal you want to receive. As far as the length of the elements, I don't think you need to modify the antenna in any way to increase performance. You probably won't notice any improvement at all if you changed the length of the elements. A TV antenna is a wideband receiving antenna anyway, designed to pick up TV signals from low-VHF to UHF. It's well suited to scanner use if you mount it vertically, which you have.

One more comment: For listening to air band, you probably don't want a directional antenna since aircraft will be at all directions from your location. Reception of aircraft from hundereds of miles away with even a modest antenna isn't unusual since most aircraft are flying around 30,000 feet and have a vast range. Hearing the ground stations is a different matter, since normal line-of-sight rules apply.

Tom

4249. Re: What to listen to at the Indianapolis F1 Grand prix at the end of this month?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 13:46:12 UTC
From: "Mark R. Hourigan" <KC4HYF@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B9389A2.B8949298@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 60415
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

I am not sure how accurate this list is, but maybe it is a starting point. I
go to the Brickyard 400 each year but haven't been able to verify this.
If you find any info I would appreciate if you would e-mail me.

Indianapolis Motor Speedway

450.3500 ESPN
455.7625 ESPN Media Link
472.3875 ESPN2 Broadcast
461.4000 Firestone Tire Company
154.6000 Indianapolis Motor Speedway
157.6350 Indianapolis Motor Speedway Spectator Medical
450.8375 Indianapolis Motor Speedway Indy PA System
455.1375 Indianapolis Motor Speedway Indy PA System
453.3125 Indianapolis Motor Speedway Track Cleanup Crew
455.8375 Indianapolis Motor Speedway Indy Radio
462.9500 Indianapolis Motor Speedway Grounds Medical
463.1000 Indianapolis Motor Speedway Track Medical Crew
463.2125 Indianapolis Motor Speedway Grounds
463.5875 Indianapolis Motor Speedway
464.1125 Indianapolis Motor Speedway
464.3375 Indianapolis Motor Speedway
466.0625 Indianapolis Motor Speedway
466.4375 Indianapolis Motor Speedway
466.4875 Indianapolis Motor Speedway Infield Traffic
467.9500 Indianapolis Motor Speedway Input to 462.9500
469.9125 Indianapolis Motor Speedway
151.6250 USAC
464.5500 USAC
935.1375 USAC Channel 1
935.1500 USAC Channel 2-Scoring

Austin Powers wrote:

> If I took my scanner, could anyone tell me of any relevant frequencies to
> listen to?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Peter
>
> Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services
> --

> ** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
> --
> http://www.usenet.com

--

Check out my webpage at:

http://home.att.net/~kc4hyf

4250. Re: Test Equipment???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 13:46:51 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9n01k3$4hk8o$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60419

"David Trickle" <gumby1@core.com> wrote in message
news:3b933c79$0$42877$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> Anyone have any old stuff they want to get rid of? I am looking for a
> Signal generator (rf) and a good soldering station.
>
> David
>

Visit any hamfests in your area. I've seen both items at numerous hamfests.

Tom

4251. Re: What to listen to at the Indianapolis F1 Grand prix at the end of this month?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 13:49:10 UTC
From: "F. Yaskin" <F.Yaskin@Worldnet.ATT.NET>
Message-ID: <qTLk7.9779$KV3.763126@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 60416
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

Oh, please. Were you really there?

Every team was monitorable last year except Ferrari and McLaren.
They are the only teams that scramble.

The problem was SO much interesting stuff it was hard to choose what to
listen to.
Here is the list I started with. Not all were correct, but close enough
to begin with.
It was a entire season out of date when I got it and STILL was 80%
accurate for the USGP.

DRIVER Team Frequency

1 Mika Hakkinen McLaren 414.1750
2 David Coulthard Mclaren 415.2375
3 Michael Schumacher Ferrari 458.2125
4 Reubens Barrichello Ferrari 458.7000
5 Heinz-Harald Frentzen Jordan 456.3875
6 Jarno Trulli Jordan 456.8625
7 Eddie Irvine Jaguar 454.5625
8 Johnny Herbert Jaguar 454.6875
10 Jenson Button Williams 169.4900
11 Giancarlo Fisichella Benneton 458.0875
12 Alexander Wurz Benneton 458.9000
16 Pedro Diniz Sauber 460.6500
18 Pedro De La Rosa Arrows 165.8375
19 Jos Verstappen Arrows 166.8525
20 Marc Gene Minardi 452.6750
21 Gaston Mazzacane Minardi 453.7000
22 Jaques Villenneuve BAR 457.8875
23 Ricardo Zonta BAR 453.9500

Race Control Facilities Management Medical

166.5875 166.6500 165.2125
166.0250 166.6750 166.3125
166.4875 166.5875 166.6500
166.6500 165.2625
165.2250
166.0375

Cranes & Rescue Engineering

165.3375 165.2125
165.4750 165.2625
165.7125 166.3125

"Jay J" <jay___j@hotmail.com.NOSPAM> wrote in message
news:fZAk7.149631$oh1.61961058@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com...
| I brought mine last year, but no luck....
|
| First off, if you can find an updated frequency list you've luck is so
good
| that you should run right out and buy a lottery ticket ;-)
|
| Second, even if you can get a few frequencies, they scramble
everything! The
| best you can hope for is the race broadcast and the tracks safety
crew, but
| I wasn't even able to tun that in last year :-(
|
| Best to leave it at home...
|
|
| "RMJ Motorsport" <RMJ-MOTORSPORTS@prodigy.net> wrote in message
| news:g2yk7.23090$l56.3227096166@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
| > Good luck with the privacy of the F-1 teams. We will bring ours as
well
| but
| > I would guess it will be scrambled to the hills.
| >
| > Race control should be ok and the stewards always have good info on
| > penalties.
| >
| > Have a good time, I for sure will.
| >
| > RJ
| >
| >
| > "Austin Powers" <austinpowers@pobox.com> wrote in message
| > news:3b926ac6_1@Usenet.com...
| > > If I took my scanner, could anyone tell me of any relevant
frequencies
| to
| > > listen to?
| > >
| > > Thanks,
| > >
| > > Peter
| > >
| > >
| > >
| > > Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services
| > > --

```
| > > ** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
| > > ------------------------------------------------------------
| > > http://www.usenet.com
| >
| >
|
|
```

4252. Re: F/S AOR 8000 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 13:50:12 UTC
From: ThangFish <spammers_really_DO_suck@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3b938a95_1@news.shreve.net>
Source record: 60417
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Any idea how much $470 AUS is in $US?

--
TF

Put my handle in front of the domain name to email.

"DigitalEbola" <digitalebola@iPrimus.com.au> wrote in message
news:3b88e168@news.iprimus.com.au...
> For Sale, AR 8000 handheld scanner
>
> http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/rev8000.htm
>
>
> $470 AUS - Not Neg
>
> Comes with car charger, wall charger, leather case, origional antenna,
box,
> and manual.
>
> email me for further information (Geniune Inquiries only please)
>
>
> anthony@clickfromreality.com
>
>
> Introduced in late 1994, the AR8000 took the scanning world by store.
Never
> before had such performance and features been packed into a single radio
> that you could hold in the palm of your hand. Dubbed 'The New Concept',
AOR
> raised the playing field to a much higher level and eclipsed every other
> radio receiver on the market with the introduction of the AR8000.
> The AR8000 came with 1000 channels in 20 banks. It boasted 20 search banks
> with 1000 search-skip frequencies. It had auto-store, two VFOs, an S-meter
> and could be controlled by computer. As if that weren't enough, it offered
> users the ability to tweak lots of receive options and allowed for
> alpha-numeric tags to be added to all memory channels and search banks!
>
> Due to the 1997 Gingrich fiasco, the FCC recently revoked the
certification
> for the AR8000 since it was too easy for people to reprogram the radio's
> bandplan thereby opening up the taboo cellular telephone bands. Never mind

> that this capability had been there for nearly two and a half years. Now
> with the AR8000 gone, many people are selling theirs for a premium. The
rest
> of us wait to see what AOR replaces this great radio with.
>
> What follows are my personal impressions regarding the features and
> performance of this radio. This, in no way, should be viewed as an
> endorsement to purchase this radio nor is it intended to discourage anyone
> from buying it. I have no personal or business relations with AOR Company
> Limited. These are simply thoughts offered to my fellow hobbyists who may
be
> interested in purchasing this particular radio.
>
> I simply ask that this information be kept intact. A lot of hard work and
> effort has gone into the testing and writing of this review. I would
> appreciate it if every effort is made to keep this document whole with me
as
> the original author.
>
> And if you want to share your thoughts about this review with me or have
> further questions, feel free to send e-mail.
>
> Thank you and enjoy!
>
>
>

4253. don't know any call signs...any other tips,

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 14:20:59 UTC
From: Larry Cohen <ppcdoc@home.com>
Message-ID: <flMk7.149459$k7.37828255@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 60420

like exactly HOW to search for and program trunk traffic?...thanks
"b7spectra" <webmaster@scanatlanta.com> wrote in message
news:3b92e086.1233073@news.mindspring.com...
> One thing that you can do is if you know their call sign, go to the
> FCC database and do a search there!
>
> http://svartifoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/index.hts
>
> /\/\|k.e
>
> webmaster@scanatlanta.com
> www.ScanAtlanta.com
>
> On Sat, 01 Sep 2001 04:02:34 GMT, "Larry Cohen" <ppcdoc@home.com>
> wrote:
>
> >My police dept has rearranged/added freqs a few months ago and I lost the
> >ability to receive 2 precincts and tac traffic. I had 8 channels that did
it
> >all before. I"m pretty sure the range is between 856 and 861 MHz. How do
I
> >set up the scanner to build a trunking channel list so that all the
traffic
> >is placed in A?
> >Please email footdoc@home.com as I don't frequent the newgroups often..
> >Thanks!
> >
> >
>

4254. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas (Part 2)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 15:05:09 UTC
From: oldtimeradio@nospam.net
Message-ID: <1q67ptg2rqre6tdn04c3skpem44scd0tks@4ax.com>
Source record: 60421

On Mon, 03 Sep 2001 03:09:50 GMT, nixx@sanclemente.com (nixx) wrote:

..... (I'm looking for corrections here). ......

If I want to get distance (but narrower freq range), I need to have a
Yagi-type thing...........

You can also get distance (ie, gain) and still have wide frequency
coverage (and no gaps) with a log periodic design like the KMA-Rover.
(kmaantennas.com)

otr

4255. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas (Part 2)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 15:08:39 UTC
From: nixx@sanclemente.com
Message-ID: <3b939b13.12938511@news-server>
Source record: 60422

On Mon, 3 Sep 2001 08:44:36 -0500, "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>"nixx" <nixx@sanclemente.com> wrote in message
>news:3b92ea73.53144462@news-server...
>> Ok, thanks for all the advice. Here's what I've done so far: I took
>> the TV antenna out of the basement and mounted it on the chimney
>> (nothing to lose, I'll mount something there anyway) using good coax
>> and am getting great results on both air and trunk.
>>
>> Or am I?
>
>If you're hearing what you want to hear, I'd say you're getting good
>results.
>
>However, with a directional antenna, unless everything you want to hear is
>in one direction away from you, I'd either use a rotator with it or switch
>to an omnidirectional antenna. You have to decide on the tradeoff between
>having the high gain of a yagi antenna vs. being able to hear signals from
>all directions.
>
>On a yagi antenna such as a TV antenna, the pointed end or end with shorter
>elements is the "front" of the antenna. This is the end you want to point
>toward the signal you want to receive. As far as the length of the
>elements, I don't think you need to modify the antenna in any way to
>increase performance. You probably won't notice any improvement at all if
>you changed the length of the elements. A TV antenna is a wideband
>receiving antenna anyway, designed to pick up TV signals from low-VHF to
>UHF. It's well suited to scanner use if you mount it vertically, which you
>have.
>
>One more comment: For listening to air band, you probably don't want a
>directional antenna since aircraft will be at all directions from your
>location. Reception of aircraft from hundereds of miles away with even a
>modest antenna isn't unusual since most aircraft are flying around 30,000
>feet and have a vast range. Hearing the ground stations is a different
>matter, since normal line-of-sight rules apply.
>
>Tom

Thanks for the clarification, Tom. I figured the pointy end of the
Yagi was the front, but what happens when you mount vertically? Is
either broad side of the antenna now the "front", or does it receive
equally from each side? I've read about the rotor thing, rotating the

vertical antenna, but that means one side is stronger, right?

As far as hearing what I want to hear, I have no benchmark to compare my reception with. I can't tell if I'm receiving well or not.

4256. Re: Weird noise audible on many trunked channels

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 15:20:41 UTC
From: Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com>
Message-ID: <1g77ptkgfjauc0u5ohmpahkk6bqslao990@4ax.com>
Source record: 60424

Jim Mac Donald wrote:

>This sounds like control channel data burst or digital voice, that may
>be sharing the trunking frequencies after the analog conversation is
>over.

Interesting. With Jim Bo mentioning he hears the same thing even with his trunk tracking 780, I'd begin to wonder if this is, indeed what's going on. But isn't Astro the only system that handles digital radios right now?

>What kind of trunk system/freqs. is the wave file form
>Is it a EF Johnson LTR system? If so, this in fact may be control
>channel data burst associated with the channel switching. I've never
>worked EF Johnson, may be some one else can help out on this.

It's an Ericsson EDACS Wide Area system. These are all analog aren't they?

>I recommend up grading to a trunk tracker, BC 245 XLT Radio Shack
>PRO-94A or PRO-92 A or B. THis should help get more out of all the
>turning in the county.

Well, I already ordered myself a BC245XLT on Friday night. You should never suggest Radio Shack to me <g>. I have a Pro-60 that I bought for the sole purpose of monitoring military aircraft. That thing is such a pain in the butt to program when compared to my BC220XLT. Its sound quality also sucks compared to my 220 (put some headphones on and you'll hear it out of only one ear with the Pro-60 but both with the 220). But now I can't wait for the BC245XLT to come in so I can just use my PC to program the scanner.

Jughead

4257. Re: What to listen to at the Indianapolis F1 Grand prix at the end of this month?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 15:22:19 UTC
From: nixx@sanclemente.com
Message-ID: <3b959dc3.13626256@news-server>
Source record: 60423

On Mon, 03 Sep 2001 13:49:10 GMT, "F. Yaskin"
<F.Yaskin@Worldnet.ATT.NET> wrote:

>Oh, please. Were you really there?
>
>Every team was monitorable last year except Ferrari and McLaren.
>They are the only teams that scramble.
>
>The problem was SO much interesting stuff it was hard to choose what to
>listen to.
>Here is the list I started with. Not all were correct, but close enough
>to begin with.
>It was a entire season out of date when I got it and STILL was 80%
>accurate for the USGP.
>
>
>
>
># DRIVER Team Frequency
>
> 1 Mika Hakkinen McLaren 414.1750
> 2 David Coulthard Mclaren 415.2375
> 3 Michael Schumacher Ferrari 458.2125
> 4 Reubens Barrichello Ferrari 458.7000
> 5 Heinz-Harald Frentzen Jordan 456.3875
> 6 Jarno Trulli Jordan 456.8625
> 7 Eddie Irvine Jaguar 454.5625
> 8 Johnny Herbert Jaguar 454.6875
>10 Jenson Button Williams 169.4900
>11 Giancarlo Fisichella Benneton 458.0875
>12 Alexander Wurz Benneton 458.9000
>16 Pedro Diniz Sauber 460.6500
>18 Pedro De La Rosa Arrows 165.8375
>19 Jos Verstappen Arrows 166.8525
>20 Marc Gene Minardi 452.6750
>21 Gaston Mazzacane Minardi 453.7000
>22 Jaques Villenneuve BAR 457.8875
>23 Ricardo Zonta BAR 453.9500
>
>
>Race Control Facilities Management Medical
>
>166.5875 166.6500 165.2125
>166.0250 166.6750 166.3125

>166.4875 166.5875 166.6500
>166.6500 165.2625
>165.2250
>166.0375
>
>Cranes & Rescue Engineering
>
>165.3375 165.2125
>165.4750 165.2625
>165.7125 166.3125

Added to this is the most current freqs I've seen, from the British GP, from the Yahoo F1 scanner group. Incidentally, there was a report that McLaren is now in the clear, but hard to find.

British GP 2001, Silverstone July 13-15, 2001 [Thanks to Alan & Gav]

Repeater Mobile User
Unknown 168.60000 Unknown - Possibly Ralf Schumacher
Unknown 169.48750 Juan-Pablo Montoya, Williams-BMW
164.33125 170.72500 Ralf Schumacher, Williams-BMW
166.85625 171.45625 Jos Verstappen, Arrows
165.84375 170.71875 Enrique Bernoldi, Arrows
452.06250 Unknown David Coulthard, McLaren
452.22500 Unknown Unknown
452.42500 Unknown Unknown
452.58750 Unknown Unknown
453.46250 Unknown Unknown
453.68750 Unknown Unknown
453.70000 Unknown Olivier Panis, BAR-Honda or Tarso Marques, European Minardi

453.73750 Unknown Eddie Irvine, Jaguar
453.75000 Unknown Pedro de la Rosa, Jaguar
454.15000 Unknown Fernando Alonso, European Minardi
456.21250 Unknown Unknown
456.42500 Unknown Unknown
456.45000 Unknown Unknown
456.61250 Unknown Jacques Villeneuve, BAR-Honda
457.22500 Unknown Unknown
457.75000 467.75000 Giancarlo Fisichella, Benetton-Renault

458.08750 468.08750 Jenson Button, Benetton-Renault

Unknown 460.36250 Luciano Burti, Prost
Unknown 466.51250 Heinz-Harald Frentzen, Jordan-Honda

4258. Re: New in Box PRO-2006 For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 17:42:45 UTC
From: Prozac Pete <syd@netexecutive.com>
Message-ID: <9n0feo$32$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 60425

Strange!! My 2042 never hums, or sings the anthem in the 154-157 range. Mind you, it picks up marine band in that range, and I have heard a sailor singing once or twice, but no humming anywhere. Bollocks, maybe I should send mine back and get them to send me one that Hums.
Prozac Pete

Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3B90FA71.3DA2C4E@yahoo.com...
> Pro 2042?? The scanner with the cheap plastic and humming noise in the
> 154-157 mhz. area. Wanna bet?? The 2006 scanner is still the best non
> trunking scanner bar none.
>
> Fart Eddie wrote:
> >
> > I'll offer $10 and not a cent more. That scanner really won't hold a candle
> > to the PRO2042 but, heck, I need something cheap as a backup.
> >
> > "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> > news:Gn2i7.84007$A47.40850121@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > > Therefore, over $500.00 price is quite fair. I will now entertain bids.
> > > Start the bidding.
> > >
> > > -jim
> > >
> > >
> > > "dontask" <alwayschanging@myname.com> wrote in message
> > > news:9m9ja7eod1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > >
> > > > "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:kyIh7.83585$A47.39946412@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > > > > This is a NEW NEVER USED in the box 2006. Where else can you find a
> > new
> > > > > 2006? It sold for over $350.00 new.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > actually the cost was $599 at one time, I saw it in an old Radio shack
> > > catalog
> > > If you bought one for less than $200 you were very lucky! that's what

> > they
> > > > sell for on ebay, in average, cheesey condition, reeking of smoke,
with
> > > > cheese whiz all over the buttons, and the display semi-dim.
"Excellent
> > > > Shape!"
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.273 / Virus Database: 143 - Release Date: 16/08/01

4259. Houston Railroad Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 18:19:57 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010903141957.06048.00001726@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 60427

Here are some railroad frequencies for Houston, TX, for those who are
interested in railroad scanning.
All frequencies in MHz.

Legend: Railroad Name (reporting marks) -- division name if applicable
Legend: AAR channel # -- frequency -- subdivision/point name(s) if applicable

Paul.

Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway Company (BNSF) -- Houston Division

30 -- 160.560 -- Baytown Sub
92 -- 161.490 -- Houston/Galveston Sub

Galveston Railway (GVSR)

39 -- 160.695
83 -- 161.355

Houston Belt & Terminal Railway (HBT)

28 -- 160.530
38 -- 160.680

Port Terminal Railway Association (PTRA)

40 -- 160.710
49 -- 160.845
64 -- 161.070
69 -- 161.145

Union Pacific Railroad (UP) -- Houston Division
Note: not all frequencies are used on all subdivisions at all times.

10 -- 160.260 -- Avoyelles Sub (Kansas City Southern)
14 -- 160.320 -- Lufkin Sub
17 -- 160.365 -- Beaumont Sub, Galveston Sub, Glidden Sub, Houston East Belt
Sub, Strang Sub
20 -- 160.410 -- Angleton Sub, Baytown Sub, Brownsville Sub, Glidden Sub,
Harlingen Sub, Kosmos Sub, Livonia Sub, Palestine Sub

24 -- 160.470 -- Alexandria Sub, Baytown Sub, Glidden Sub, Livonia Sub
27 -- 160.515 -- Anchorage Sub, Beaumont Sub, Lake Charles Sub, Livonia Sub
33 -- 160.605 -- Houston Mobile Phone Patch
37 -- 160.665 -- Coleto Creek Sub, Glidden Sub, Port Lavaca Sub, Terminal Sub
40 -- 160.710 -- Avondale Sub
42 -- 160.740 -- Settegast Yard
44 -- 160.770 -- Glidden Sub, Houston East Belt Sub, Houston West Belt Sub, Terminal Sub
51 -- 160.875 -- Lafayette Sub
54 -- 160.920 -- Avoyelles Sub (Illinois Central)
59 -- 160.995 -- Strang Sub, Terminal Sub
67 -- 161.115 -- Alexandria Sub, Anchorage Sub, Livonia Yard
72 -- 161.190 -- Glidden Sub
77 -- 161.265 -- Alexandria Sub, Livonia Sub
78 -- 161.280 -- Strang Yard
86 -- 161.400 -- Baytown Sub, Englewood Yard
89 -- 161.445 -- Beaumont Sub, Bryan Sub, Eureka Sub, Galveston Sub, Navasota Sub, Palestine Sub
90 -- 161.460 -- Henderson Sub, Palestine Sub
96 -- 161.550 -- Wharton Sub

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4260. Re: ham radio listening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 18:19:58 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010903141958.06048.00001727@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 60426

In article <3b92df77.271666798@news.erols.com>, cathexis@erols.com (Set'em up Joe) writes:

> Dave had good advise. I'd like to comment on
>what you might hear. Although there are groups in
>Ham that like to work on Emerg. Preparedness Drills
>and such, the great majority of all Ham comms are:
>1. Ragchewing
>2. DX'ing
>3. Contesting
>Probably in that order.

Oh, but of course. Why do you think we are called "hams?" :)

Ragchewing is what most of us do, and many of us are into DXing. And yes, the emergency preparedness drills are vitally important to help us keep our emergency communications skills honed to a fine point.

There is also the public relations aspect. Many Amateur Radio clubs provide communications for public service events, such as bike rides, marathons, air shows, etc.

During a natural disaster, it is not unusual for one to hear emergency communications on several frequencies at once. We did so here in Houston when we were hit by Tropical Storm Allison.

Paul L. Gray, Jr., N0JAA
3-year Director, Brazos Balley Amateur Radio Club

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4261. Re: BC780 Software,BEST?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 18:53:12 UTC
From: Ender <SBender@home.com>
Message-ID: <a1k7ptoc5shgnmthte190u1h420h3jjegj@4ax.com>
Source record: 60428

On Mon, 03 Sep 2001 09:12:23 -0400, The_AntiArt
<The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> wrote:

>On Sun, 02 Sep 2001 21:15:13 GMT, Ender <SBender@home.com> wrote:
>
>><snip>
>
>I have a related question.
>
>Can data files be passed from one copy of TrunkStar
>to another?
<snip>
>Say you lived in say Washington D.C,. someone may have
>an "image file" with all the trunk stuff already worked out that
>they may share. You could use this file to essentially clone
>their scanner setup.

Yes the data files can be exchanged with other users. They are stored
with a format extension of *swg. If you join the following user
group:

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/TrunkStar780

... and enter the files area, you will find the beginnings of an
archive of user frequency databases from around the country. Even if
none of the locations are of interest to you, the files show different
methods for organizing the banks and setting preferences. Examination
of any trunked systems that people have saved there can answer
questions about the various ways to accomplish trunk scanning and how
to organize talk groups and setup such things as universal i-call
monitoring. I like seeing how others have setup their receivers.

Ender

Remove the SB from my return email to reply by mail.

4262. Re: F/S AOR 8000 Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 19:14:12 UTC
From: matt weber <mattheww50@home.com>
Message-ID: <6jl7pt0tb68ril9q566a98qqi9embsbnnu@4ax.com>
Source record: 60429
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

On Mon, 3 Sep 2001 09:50:12 -0400, "ThangFish"
<spammers_really_DO_suck@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Any idea how much $470 AUS is in $US?
About 250USD

4263. Re: BC780 Software,BEST?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 20:10:11 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <4136A6F20DFE34CC.AFF85C88CD2B204B.3220DE86C1C4CBF4@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60430

On Mon, 03 Sep 2001 18:53:12 GMT, Ender <SBender@home.com> wrote:

[snip]

Thanks

-Art

4264. FORTRADE PRO-2040

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 20:11:47 UTC
From: Bob N2BR <brradioman@charter.net>
Message-ID: <tp7p0imghtfp25@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60431

ForTrade Radio Shack PRO-2040 100 channel programble scanner UHF/VHF
Airbands 800 mhz 900 mhz Cell block Works Great have manual
For Trade Let me know what you got i might be intrested in

****
Amateur Radio Station N2BR
Bobby Raymer
****
The 2 and 6 Meter SSB Club Link
http://clubs.yahoo.com/clubs/thetwoandsixmeterradioclub
****
N2BR Webpage http://www.hfradio.org/n2br

Email brradioman@charter.net
****

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.274 / Virus Database: 144 - Release Date: 8/23/01

4265. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas (Part 2)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 20:38:14 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <WSRk7.64685$oc.9244000@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60432

"nixx" <nixx@sanclemente.com> wrote in message
news:3b939b13.12938511@news-server...
> I figured the pointy end of the
> Yagi was the front, but what happens when you mount vertically?

Whether mounted for vertical or horizontal polarization, the antenna will receive best in the direction of the shortest element. In general, it will receive worst off the sides. Reception off the back of the antenna (longest element) is usually better than off the sides.

When you say you mounted it vertically, I assume you mean with the boom horizontal and the elements vertical.

Art Harris N2AH

4266. RS Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 21:19:02 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <vpSk7.119362$54.5468103@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 60433

Radio Shack's new catalog is out but they're charging for it. I thought they would have learnt their lesson from a few years back when they tried that...

Roland, NK2U

4267. Trouble shooting scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 22:25:20 UTC
From: David Trickle <gumby1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3b940416$0$93672$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 60434

I am looking for a book or manual that would help me troubleshoot my scanner. Is thier such a thing?

David

4268. Re: What to listen to at the Indianapolis F1 Grand prix at the end of this month?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 22:33:05 UTC
From: Jay J <jay___j@hotmail.com.NOSPAM>
Message-ID: <ByTk7.153546$oh1.63495340@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 60435
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

>However, I found most channels to be garbled static and a few were in
different languages.<
>Mostly I just listed to race control and the telecasts. Maybe they gave me
bogus frequencies or<
>maybe they're all scrambled, I don't know. If somebody has better info I'm
interested too.<

That was pretty much what I experienced, however a BIG thank you to F.
Yaskin and Mark P Gregoire for the lists and website info! Hopefully those
numbers will still be up to date in another month?!

I did a LOT of searching on the internet before last years USGP, and while I
was able to find a lot of sites that listed the teams frequencies, none of
them were up to date.

Here in the USA, most CART, IRL and NASCAR events have a few different
vendors renting scanners for the weekend, and at these booths/trailers you
can buy (usually for $5 US) an updated frequency list for the weekends
event, but I never saw a "track scan" or "racing radios" trailer at the USGP
last year. Not sure which booth on Georgetown Road you found your list but
would be curious to know if it was one of the "regular" scanner rental
trailers or some privateer?

Regards,
Jay J
Dallas TX.

"CFster" <cfster@home.com> wrote in message
news:a2Ik7.302325$v5.30466117@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com...
> Well, I visited a booth on Georgetown Ave outside the track on Sat. - they
> told me to come back on Sun. morning and they would have updated
> frequencies. So I did and they gave me a complete list. However, I found
> most channels to be garbled static and a few were in different languages.
> Mostly I just listed to race control and the telecasts.
> Maybe they gave me bogus frequencies or maybe they're all scrambled, I
don't
> know. If somebody has better info I'm interested too.
>
> CFster
>
> "Austin Powers" <austinpowers@pobox.com> wrote in message
> news:3b926ac6_1@Usenet.com...
> > If I took my scanner, could anyone tell me of any relevant frequencies

to
> > listen to?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > Peter
> >
> >
> >
> > Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services
> > ----------
> > ** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
> > ----------
> > http://www.usenet.com
>
>

4269. Re: What to listen to at the Indianapolis F1 Grand prix at the end of this month?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 22:33:57 UTC
From: Jay J <jay___j@hotmail.com.NOSPAM>
Message-ID: <pzTk7.153549$oh1.63496458@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 60436
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

Where did you get your list? Is it up to date?

"F. Yaskin" <F.Yaskin@Worldnet.ATT.NET> wrote in message
news:qTLk7.9779$KV3.763126@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Oh, please. Were you really there?
>
> Every team was monitorable last year except Ferrari and McLaren.
> They are the only teams that scramble.
>
> The problem was SO much interesting stuff it was hard to choose what to
> listen to.
> Here is the list I started with. Not all were correct, but close enough
> to begin with.
> It was a entire season out of date when I got it and STILL was 80%
> accurate for the USGP.
>
>
>
> # DRIVER Team Frequency
>
> 1 Mika Hakkinen McLaren 414.1750
> 2 David Coulthard Mclaren 415.2375
> 3 Michael Schumacher Ferrari 458.2125
> 4 Reubens Barrichello Ferrari 458.7000
> 5 Heinz-Harald Frentzen Jordan 456.3875
> 6 Jarno Trulli Jordan 456.8625
> 7 Eddie Irvine Jaguar 454.5625
> 8 Johnny Herbert Jaguar 454.6875
> 10 Jenson Button Williams 169.4900
> 11 Giancarlo Fisichella Benneton 458.0875
> 12 Alexander Wurz Benneton 458.9000
> 16 Pedro Diniz Sauber 460.6500
> 18 Pedro De La Rosa Arrows 165.8375
> 19 Jos Verstappen Arrows 166.8525
> 20 Marc Gene Minardi 452.6750
> 21 Gaston Mazzacane Minardi 453.7000
> 22 Jaques Villenneuve BAR 457.8875
> 23 Ricardo Zonta BAR 453.9500
>
>
> Race Control Facilities Management Medical
>

> 166.5875 166.6500 165.2125
> 166.0250 166.6750 166.3125
> 166.4875 166.5875 166.6500
> 166.6500 165.2625
> 165.2250
> 166.0375
>
> Cranes & Rescue Engineering
>
> 165.3375 165.2125
> 165.4750 165.2625
> 165.7125 166.3125
>
>
>
> "Jay J" <jay___j@hotmail.com.NOSPAM> wrote in message
> news:fZAk7.149631$oh1.61961058@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com...
> | I brought mine last year, but no luck....
> |
> | First off, if you can find an updated frequency list you've luck is so
> good
> | that you should run right out and buy a lottery ticket ;-)
> |
> | Second, even if you can get a few frequencies, they scramble
> everything! The
> | best you can hope for is the race broadcast and the tracks safety
> crew, but
> | I wasn't even able to tun that in last year :-(
> |
> | Best to leave it at home...
> |
> |
> | "RMJ Motorsport" <RMJ-MOTORSPORTS@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> | news:g2yk7.23090$l56.3227096166@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
> | > Good luck with the privacy of the F-1 teams. We will bring ours as
> well
> | but
> | > I would guess it will be scrambled to the hills.
> | >
> | > Race control should be ok and the stewards always have good info on
> | > penalties.
> | >
> | > Have a good time, I for sure will.
> | >
> | > RJ
> | >
> | >
> | > "Austin Powers" <austinpowers@pobox.com> wrote in message
> | > news:3b926ac6_1@Usenet.com...
> | > > If I took my scanner, could anyone tell me of any relevant

> frequencies
> | to
> | > > listen to?
> | > >
> | > > Thanks,
> | > >
> | > > Peter
> | > >
> | > >
> | > >
> | > > Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services
> | > > ----------
> | > > ** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
> | > > ----------
> | > > http://www.usenet.com
> | >
> | >
> |
> |
>
>

4270. Car Scanner Booster - Incoming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 23:01:29 UTC
From: ML <maillist@brunerhaus.com>
Message-ID: <tp82ugbnoga9a9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60437

I am new to scanners for cars. I have a Bearcat car scanner for standard frequencies and a generic antenna for it.

Is there any kind of booster so I can get much better signals for listening? I do not want to get one that is very tall since this is on my car. I have seen new ones that police use for their radios that are about 2-4 inches high but can't locate what they are.

Thanks.. NB

4271. Re: How does a bc200xlt really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 23:23:17 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <AAADF998D6702900.74632A5C9F7E8E42.3EF7611CAA45D577@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60438

On Sun, 2 Sep 2001 21:35:20 -0500, "David Trickle" <gumby1@core.com>
wrote:

>I have a couple of BC200xlt's that are broke. I have the schematics for
>them but I am having trouble understanding them. The block diagram that I
>have is really a mess and does not really match the schematic that came with
>it. I am looking for information on the individual circuits that make up
>this unit. Can anyone help me out.
>
>Thanks David
>

What kind of problems are you having with the radios
(i.e. what doesn't work)?

4272. BC80XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-03 23:28:40 UTC
From: "r.emmanuelli" <r.emmanuelli@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <ImUk7.7189$Op6.1303526@typhoon1.gnilink.net>
Source record: 60439

I am testing a new BC80XLT scanner. I'm happy with the police and fire freq's I'm getting. I don't know much about these; and I notice that some of you have scanners hooked up to your pc's. Is the BC80XLT worth keeping?, and if not which scanners would be good for my pc?
thanks,
rick

4273. Re: RS Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 00:00:06 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <aQUk7.68883$oc.9572931@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60440

The bastards! The last time they tried that, I walked in asked for a catalog, quickly said thanks, and walked out. Actually, I can't remember the last time I bought anything at Shadio Rack.

Art Harris N2AH

"Roland Stiner" <nk2u@1stconnect.com> wrote in message news:vpSk7.119362$54.5468103@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Radio Shack's new catalog is out but they're charging for it. I thought
> they would have learnt their lesson from a few years back when they tried
> that...
>
> Roland, NK2U
>
>
>
>

4274. FDNY Radio plan question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 00:05:15 UTC
From: jayg359@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <20010903200515.01529.00006709@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 60441

Hello. Do you have any ideas what PL the new UHF FDNY radios are using? I wanted to program them using a PL if possible so the interference is as minimal as possible., or are they using everything CSQ??

Thanks for your help!

Jay Goldmark, NYS EMT-D
Captain, Woodmere FD Rescue

"Enjoy Life, this is not a dress rehearsal !!!"

(remove NOSPAM to reply)

4275. Re: How does a bc200xlt really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 00:34:25 UTC
From: David Trickle <gumby1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3b94223b$0$12831$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 60442

The first problem is scanner powers up I can input frequencies and I can get the squelch to work. It scans OK but it will not receive. (the back light does light)

The second one will not squelch and back light does not work. also no receive.

David
The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> wrote in message news:AAADF998D6702900.74632A5C9F7E8E42.3EF7611CAA45D577@lp.airnews.net...
> On Sun, 2 Sep 2001 21:35:20 -0500, "David Trickle" <gumby1@core.com>
> wrote:
>
> >I have a couple of BC200xlt's that are broke. I have the schematics for
> >them but I am having trouble understanding them. The block diagram that
I
> >have is really a mess and does not really match the schematic that came
with
> >it. I am looking for information on the individual circuits that make up
> >this unit. Can anyone help me out.
> >
> >Thanks David
> >
>
> What kind of problems are you having with the radios
> (i.e. what doesn't work)?

4276. Re: Car Scanner Booster - Incoming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 01:38:19 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B94308B.CB7C49AD@mitre.org>
Source record: 60443

Try a Radio Shack Mag Mount scanner antenna, this may need an adapter
for the co-ax connector on the antenna end-to the bearcat antenna jack.
Radio Shack has all the adapters you'll need. If you don't want a"mag
Ring" stain on the car's finish, then get a bolt on trunk"lip mount"
scanner antenna. A good antenna mounted outside the vehicle will
improve the radio's reception.
Jim
ML wrote:
>
> I am new to scanners for cars. I have a Bearcat car scanner for standard
> frequencies and a generic antenna for it.
>
> Is there any kind of booster so I can get much better signals for listening?
> I do not want to get one that is very tall since this is on my car. I have
> seen new ones that police use for their radios that are about 2-4 inches
> high but can't locate what they are.
>
> Thanks.. NB

4277. Re: RS Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 01:51:30 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B9433A2.2CF32282@mitre.org>
Source record: 60444

The catalogs usually have a coupon GOOD FOR the price of the catalog,
so on the next purchase you get your money back. I've gone in
bought the catalog turned around found something in it and used the
coupon right away to make a purchase, getting the price of the catalog
back. So what's the problem don't you need some co-ax or connectors,
how about batteries. One pack of NiMH AA cells or a pre paid phone card
could wash the price of the catalog, getting the purchase price back.
I have shopped at Radio Shack sense I was 10 years old and love the
the catalogs. Don't get one every year but glad they are there.
Jim
Harris wrote:
>
> The bastards! The last time they tried that, I walked in asked for a
> catalog, quickly said thanks, and walked out. Actually, I can't remember the
> last time I bought anything at Shadio Rack.
>
> Art Harris N2AH
>
> "Roland Stiner" <nk2u@1stconnect.com> wrote in message
> news:vpSk7.119362$54.5468103@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > Radio Shack's new catalog is out but they're charging for it. I thought
> > they would have learnt their lesson from a few years back when they tried
> > that...
> >
> > Roland, NK2U
> >
> >
> >
> >

4278. Global AT-2000 SWL Antenna Tuner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 02:02:11 UTC
From: Victor and Lisbeth Trucco <trucco@hevanet.com>
Message-ID: <tp8dhkblk6q0e5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60445

Selling unused Global AT-2000 Antenna Tuner imported from the U.K. by Waters and Stanton. Paid $135. Well constructed. Feels and looks of quality. Tuner is in the box with the instruction sheet. $85 shipped anywhere in the U.S.

Thanks,

Victor Trucco
Portland, Oregon

4279. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas (Part 2)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 02:26:27 UTC
From: nixx@sanclemente.com
Message-ID: <3b943852.14257454@news-server>
Source record: 60446

On Mon, 03 Sep 2001 20:38:14 GMT, "Harris" <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
wrote:

>
>"nixx" <nixx@sanclemente.com> wrote in message
>news:3b939b13.12938511@news-server...
>> I figured the pointy end of the
>> Yagi was the front, but what happens when you mount vertically?
>
>Whether mounted for vertical or horizontal polarization, the antenna will
>receive best in the direction of the shortest element. In general, it will
>receive worst off the sides. Reception off the back of the antenna (longest
>element) is usually better than off the sides.
>
>When you say you mounted it vertically, I assume you mean with the boom
>horizontal and the elements vertical.
>
>Art Harris N2AH

Yes, I did mount it vertically (elements vertical). Not to belabor
this point, but every article I have read regarding the use of a TV
antenna for scanners suggests the use of a rotor to rotate the antenna
around the long axis (using a upside-down L mount). If the antenna is
directional, and has poorer reception off to the sides, why is the
rotor necessary? It would seem to me that you could just fasten it to
the mast directly and go with it.

4280. trunking newbie please help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 02:46:27 UTC
From: rex <rex_dickens@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <7gXk7.246872$Jg.30293047@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 60497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I am wanting to listen to trunked systems i have a pro-2052. I don't have the frequencies, but am wanting to find them. I have looked on the net for them but can't find what i want. Does anyone have a page that has .wav files of what the data channel and other channels sound like in convential scanning mode? If they do that would help me out. thanks

4281. Re: Car Scanner Booster - Incoming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 03:18:27 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2001.09.03.23.18.24.498.3253@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 60498

In <tp82ugbnoga9a9@corp.supernews.com>, ML wrote:

> I am new to scanners for cars. I have a Bearcat car scanner for
> standard frequencies and a generic antenna for it.
>
> Is there any kind of booster so I can get much better signals for
> listening? I do not want to get one that is very tall since this is on
> my car. I have seen new ones that police use for their radios that are
> about 2-4 inches high but can't locate what they are.
>
> Thanks.. NB

Try to figure out what you are mostly going to listen to and then find an antenna cut for that freq range. For example, for VHF-hi a ham 2M/70cm antenna would probably do a good job. For 800MHz and the like a cellular phone antenna may do a good job for you.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.10.0.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.0f1

4282. What replaced the 2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 03:27:46 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2001.09.03.23.27.43.167.3253@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 60499

Was it the 2035 followed by the 2042? I just don't recall now!

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.10.0.90cvs running on Mandrake Linux 8.0f1

4283. Re: What replaced the 2006?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 03:38:33 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010903233833.00352.00004210@mb-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 60500

2035

Rob Mills ~~~

I never met a radio I didn't like - Will Rogers
(You don't know, He might have)

4284. Re: ham radio listening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 05:02:32 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b945f5c.369958526@news.erols.com>
Source record: 60501

On 03 Sep 2001 18:19:58 GMT, n0jaa@aol.compost (Amateur Radio Station N0JAA) wrote:

>In article <3b92df77.271666798@news.erols.com>, cathexis@erols.com (Set'em up
>Joe) writes:
>
>> Dave had good advise. I'd like to comment on
>>what you might hear. Although there are groups in
>>Ham that like to work on Emerg. Preparedness Drills
>>and such, the great majority of all Ham comms are:
>>1. Ragchewing
>>2. DX'ing
>>3. Contesting
>>Probably in that order.
>
>Oh, but of course. Why do you think we are called "hams?" :)
>
>Ragchewing is what most of us do, and many of us are into DXing. And yes, the
>emergency preparedness drills are vitally important to help us keep our
>emergency communications skills honed to a fine point.
>
>There is also the public relations aspect. Many Amateur Radio clubs provide
>communications for public service events, such as bike rides, marathons, air
>shows, etc.
>
>During a natural disaster, it is not unusual for one to hear emergency
>communications on several frequencies at once. We did so here in Houston when
>we were hit by Tropical Storm Allison.

Thx. for a nice follow-up. Re: Emerg. Comms, I've heard that Hams were also very important after Hurricaine Andrew of a few years ago in fla. Apparently, some neighborhoods were out of touch for several *days* after storm and Hams were only link. Many think what they thought in fla; "Oh, I'll just use my cell-phone." They forgot that the same storm that blew down their telephone poles would be the same storm that blew down the cellular towers, leaving many customers high and dry. Become a Ham. Food for thought.

Andrew
>
>
>Paul L. Gray, Jr., N0JAA
>3-year Director, Brazos Balley Amateur Radio Club
>
>
>

>"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."
>
>
>

4285. Radio Topic on Art Bell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 05:04:36 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b94606a.370228844@news.erols.com>
Source record: 60502

Hey People,

Thur./Fri. show of Art Bell is gonna do:
(begin paste)

>>> Guest: Andrew Yoder
Andrew Yoder is a hobby radio enthusiast, activist and author. His book publishing credits include the latest edition of The Complete Shortwave Listener's Handbook, Pirate Radio: The Incredible Saga of America's Underground, Illegal Broadcasters, Pirate Radio Operations. Book: Pirate Radio Stations: Tuning in to Underground Broadcasts in the Air and Online

Website: www.hobbybroadcasting.com

check it out!

Andrew

4286. Re: Radio Topic on Art Bell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 05:40:12 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B9468F8.AE1D1806@home.com>
Source record: 60503

He also got busted for pirate broadcasting several years ago, & I think
he ended up whining like a baby about the ordeal/fine.

Set'em up Joe wrote:
>
> Hey People,
>
> Thur./Fri. show of Art Bell is gonna do:
> (begin paste)
>
> >>> Guest: Andrew Yoder
> Andrew Yoder is a hobby radio enthusiast, activist and author. His book
> publishing credits include the latest edition of The Complete Shortwave
> Listener's Handbook, Pirate Radio: The Incredible Saga of America's Underground,
> Illegal Broadcasters, Pirate Radio Operations. Book: Pirate Radio Stations:
> Tuning in to Underground Broadcasts in the Air and Online
>
> Website: www.hobbybroadcasting.com
>
> check it out!
>
> Andrew

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

4287. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas (Part 2)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 05:52:29 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <oTZk7.302$uz4.8669@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 60504

Well, unless you are lucky enough to have all the agencies you want to listen to in a single direction from your house, then you need a rotator to point the antenna in the proper direction for the agencies that you're trying to hear. As an example, where I live in Oklahoma, Oklahoma City is 50 miles to the south-southwest of me, Tulsa is 50 miles to the east. Pointing a directional antenna at either one will place the other off the side, and null them out. So I would need a rotator to aim the antenna, depending on which city I was interested in at the time.

Mark
K5MAR

"nixx" <nixx@sanclemente.com> wrote in message
news:3b943852.14257454@news-server...
> On Mon, 03 Sep 2001 20:38:14 GMT, "Harris" <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"nixx" <nixx@sanclemente.com> wrote in message
> >news:3b939b13.12938511@news-server...
> >> I figured the pointy end of the
> >> Yagi was the front, but what happens when you mount vertically?
> >
> >Whether mounted for vertical or horizontal polarization, the antenna will
> >receive best in the direction of the shortest element. In general, it
will
> >receive worst off the sides. Reception off the back of the antenna
(longest
> >element) is usually better than off the sides.
> >
> >When you say you mounted it vertically, I assume you mean with the boom
> >horizontal and the elements vertical.
> >
> >Art Harris N2AH
>
> Yes, I did mount it vertically (elements vertical). Not to belabor
> this point, but every article I have read regarding the use of a TV
> antenna for scanners suggests the use of a rotor to rotate the antenna
> around the long axis (using a upside-down L mount). If the antenna is
> directional, and has poorer reception off to the sides, why is the
> rotor necessary? It would seem to me that you could just fasten it to
> the mast directly and go with it.
>
>

4288. Re: Car Scanner Booster - Incoming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 06:30:52 UTC
From: sky444nospam@mint.net
Message-ID: <3b94713d.132246522@news.mint.net>
Source record: 60505

I am going to get some flack from the group maybe,but the cell "look alike" that Rad Shack sells (Through the glass) works like a charm......big difference in any mobile (and handhelds) I have had in my vehicle....I'm saying about fifteen miles + ,doesn't sound like a lot but it really is when typical scanner reception is "line of sight" and we have some hilly roads up where I live and get even good reception in and out of the dips.......just a thought...good luck..there are some super mobile scanner antennas out there but for cosmetics like you're asking,this might do ya well.....

On Mon, 3 Sep 2001 19:01:29 -0400, "ML" <maillist@brunerhaus.com> wrote:

>I am new to scanners for cars. I have a Bearcat car scanner for standard
>frequencies and a generic antenna for it.
>
>Is there any kind of booster so I can get much better signals for listening?
>I do not want to get one that is very tall since this is on my car. I have
>seen new ones that police use for their radios that are about 2-4 inches
>high but can't locate what they are.
>
>Thanks.. NB
>
>

4289. AOR 8000 Scanner cable CC8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 10:19:20 UTC
From: fredo <fredo@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <3B94AAA8.2B7CDAD3@nospam.com>
Source record: 60509
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

anyone know where to get the programming cable for the AOR 8000 in aus?
and how much $ should expect?
DSE price is $217, bloody hell!
thanks

4290. Re: How does a bc200xlt really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 12:03:30 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <D45F3EB994222BD8.5EDD6CAC300D0A29.151AFEC0064841A8@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60507

On Mon, 3 Sep 2001 19:34:25 -0500, "David Trickle" <gumby1@core.com>
wrote:

>The first problem is scanner powers up I can input frequencies and I can get
>the squelch to work. It scans OK but it will not receive. (the back light
>does light)
>
>The second one will not squelch and back light does not work. also no
>receive.
>
[snip]

It appears you have a known, common problem with BC200XLT scanners.
Most likely the problem is that a transistor (Q201) has failed. Go to
the following website "Repair Tips For Bearcat Scanner Radios". Scroll
down to the section "Uniden/Bearcat BC200XLT Portable - Loss of
Audio and Dial Lamp":

http://www.bithose.com/serfaq/REPAIR/F_BC_Repair.html

Alternately, I think Uniden repairs scanners with this problem
for a flat fee (was around $50).

4291. Re: Radio Topic on Art Bell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 12:03:33 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <19BA91366433A844.1C929D0DF6A5501B.6287BBC1C5CD8DB2@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60508

On Tue, 04 Sep 2001 05:40:12 GMT, Tim <nightwatch01@home.com> wrote:

>He also got busted for pirate broadcasting several years ago, & I think
>he ended up whining like a baby about the ordeal/fine.
>
[snip]

"Whining like a baby". Who do you mean, Yoder or Arty?
Arty whines a lot. :-)

4292. HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 12:56:31 UTC
From: Daniel Davison <danield@academy.co.uk>
Message-ID: <tp9js754q1l7af@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60510

Hi,

If there is anybody on this group that doesn't have access to HF, and you're inetersted in Oceanic ATC,

goto http://www.air.academy.co.uk:8080/ramgen/encoder/test.rm

The frequency is 5649Khz. It is on most of the time, but is in test phase. During the day it's not very good,

but springs to life around 10 pm UK time.

Regards,

Daniel.....

4293. FS: NICE RADIO SHACK PRO-2037

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 13:28:52 UTC
From: WA2BAH@webtv.net
Message-ID: <8755-3B94D714-108@storefull-124.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 60514

Radio Shack model PRO-2037 "Hyperscan" triple conversion, direct entry, programmable AM/FM scanner in good condition - $100 plus small shipping fee from Schenectady, NY

LIST OF ITEMS FOR SALE: (revised 9/3/01)
http://sdstudio.freeyellow.com/page3.html

4294. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas (Part 2)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 13:35:10 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9n2la1$4omks$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60511

<oldtimeradio@nospam.net> wrote in message
news:1q67ptg2rqre6tdn04c3skpem44scd0tks@4ax.com...
> On Mon, 03 Sep 2001 03:09:50 GMT, nixx@sanclemente.com (nixx) wrote:

> If I want to get distance (but narrower freq range), I need to have a
> Yagi-type thing...........
>
> You can also get distance (ie, gain) and still have wide frequency
> coverage (and no gaps) with a log periodic design like the KMA-Rover.
> (kmaantennas.com)

A Yagi antenna is a beam antenna that is effecient in receiving signals from one direction. However, they don't necessarily have to have a narrower frequency range. Many, such as your standard TV antenna, are wideband. A log-periodic Yagi is another example of a wideband beam. In fact, quite a few TV antennas are log-periodic Yagis. They will work well as scanner beams when turned vertical. I don't think you need to worry about any gaps with a TV antenna, since they will still pick up frequencies outside the TV channels. However, the antenna you mentioned will probably work better.

Tom

4295. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas (Part 2)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 13:40:10 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9n2lje$4sqol$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60512

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:oTZk7.302$uz4.8669@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Well, unless you are lucky enough to have all the agencies you want to
> listen to in a single direction from your house, then you need a rotator
to
> point the antenna in the proper direction for the agencies that you're
> trying to hear. As an example, where I live in Oklahoma, Oklahoma City is
> 50 miles to the south-southwest of me, Tulsa is 50 miles to the east.
> Pointing a directional antenna at either one will place the other off the
> side, and null them out. So I would need a rotator to aim the antenna,
> depending on which city I was interested in at the time.
>

Which is why I use an omnidirectional antenna. I guess the optimal scanner antenna would be, say, four log-periodics facing north, south, east, & west. Hopefully they would have enough beamwidth to pick up a station that's, say, southeast. But in practice this would be a very bulky antenna, destined to come down in the next windstorm or until your wife gets tired of looking at it.

Tom

4296. Re: Trouble shooting scanner?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 13:43:03 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9n2loq$4qr7p$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60513

"David Trickle" <gumby1@core.com> wrote in message
news:3b940416$0$93672$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> I am looking for a book or manual that would help me troubleshoot my
> scanner. Is thier such a thing?

It depends on the brand of scanner, and how in-depth of troubleshooting you
want to go.

Tom

4297. Pennsylvania E-Mail List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 14:27:31 UTC
From: pasitrep@pa-sitrep.com
Message-ID: <3b94e4c8.292132188@news.city-net.com>
Source record: 60506

PA-SitRep
Pennsylvania [Emergency] Situation Report E-Mail List

The PA-SitRep mailing list is a free communications tool that will enable you to monitor and report on major emergency situations throughout the State of Pennsylvania including weather emergencies, natural disasters, environmental disasters and civil disturbances.

The list is extremely low volume because messages to the list are limited only to those containing verified emergency situation reports of Major Import within the State of Pennsylvania.

The list is run from a private server at my expense, so there are no advertisements necessary or permitted. Rumors, hearsay, unconfirmed and or inaccurate reports and chat are strictly prohibited.

Subscribers do not have to be members of any specific emergency services organizations to join the PA-SitRep mailing list. ANYONE willing and able to comply with the posting guidelines is welcome.

The list protocol for posting messages is designed to cater to those who use digital cell phones or alphanumeric pagers for text messaging. The first paragraph of the messages posted to the list are brief summaries limited to 100 characters, followed by a more detailed situation report.

For more information, or if you'd like to subscribe, please visit the following web address:

http://www.pa-sitrep.com/pasitrep.htm

Thanks. And we'll look forward to your participation.

www.PA-SitRep.com

4298. Re: Radio Topic on Art Bell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 16:19:31 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B94FF0C.96F65F44@home.com>
Source record: 60515

Andrew Yoder.

The_AntiArt wrote:
>
> On Tue, 04 Sep 2001 05:40:12 GMT, Tim <nightwatch01@home.com> wrote:
>
> >He also got busted for pirate broadcasting several years ago, & I think
> >he ended up whining like a baby about the ordeal/fine.
> >
> [snip]
>
> "Whining like a baby". Who do you mean, Yoder or Arty?
> Arty whines a lot. :-)

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

4299. Re: Radio Clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 17:00:38 UTC
From: spikemike@blueyonder.co.uk
Message-ID: <Xns9112B71388648spikemikeblueyonderc@194.117.133.24>
Source record: 60517
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

iain-double-barreled-smith@thebnp.org (J+) wrote in
<3b929e63.4079092@news.freeserve.net>:

>On Sun, 02 Sep 2001 10:00:13 GMT, spikemike@blueyonder.co.uk (Michael
>Hunter) wrote:
>
>> "RICK" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in
>> <wVck7.76100$hm3.4706141@news1.cableinet.net>:
>>
>>> Any one know of a radio club I can join in or near St Helens
>>> (Merseyside UK) ???
>>>
>> If you go into your local library, and go to the Electronics/Radio
>> section there will be a book by the RSGB called a call book, this has
>> all the info you would need, loads of contacts and groups
>
>It's now called a 'Yearbook'.
>
>Nothing specifically listed for St. Helens.
>
>Warrington; Widnes & Runcorn; Two in Wigan;
>Two in Preston; Oldham; Kirkby/Maghull; Darwen;
>Two in Bolton; Accrington;
>
>I'm not going to post contact details for these. If you
>indicate which ones may be of interest, I'll post details.
>
>Be aware these are amateur radio clubs and as such may not
>have a lot of interest in scanning.

I would suggest that the majority of Radio clubs are VERY interested in
scanning,swl etc. At my club in the north east(and I am sure at the
majority), we are interested in all aspects of radio..from broadcast thro
to cb,scanning and of course all aspects of amateur radio......hope you
find what you are looking for...

Michael_South Shields

4300. Re: Belgian scanner freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 17:14:25 UTC
From: Max Thum <max@bundespest.de>
Message-ID: <Xns9112C3B5F8C7Ebundespest@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 60516
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,fr.rec.radio,rec.radio.scanner

"Charly" <ultra@web.net> schrieb in
news:3b8ffce3$0$15704@news2.zeelandnet.nl:

> http://www.geocities.com/belgascan/

| This page is not available.
| We're sorry, but this page is currently unavailable for viewing.
| If this site belongs to you, please read this help page for more
| information and assistance.
| For general questions see our main help area, or search for other
| member pages.

4301. New URL Belgian freq. page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 17:36:50 UTC
From: Charly <ultra@web.net>
Message-ID: <3b9510e0$0$43518@news2.zeelandnet.nl>
Source record: 60518
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,fr.rec.radio,rec.radio.scanner

Due technical problems with the geocities site, the Belgian scannerfrequency
site has moved to:
http://rainbow.mybravenet.com/belgascan/

4302. Got it fixed!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 18:00:08 UTC
From: Larry Cohen <ppcdoc@home.com>
Message-ID: <IE8l7.155673$k7.39073103@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 60519

found out the city added 10 freqs in the 868 range that I didn't have
programmed...works again....
"Larry Cohen" <ppcdoc@home.com> wrote in message
news:u5Zj7.137746$k7.35051456@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> My police dept has rearranged/added freqs a few months ago and I lost the
> ability to receive 2 precincts and tac traffic. I had 8 channels that did
it
> all before. I"m pretty sure the range is between 856 and 861 MHz. How do
I
> set up the scanner to build a trunking channel list so that all the
traffic
> is placed in A?
> Please email footdoc@home.com as I don't frequent the newgroups often..
> Thanks!
>
>

4303. Re: Radio Clubs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 18:34:26 UTC
From: iain-double-barreled-smith@thebnp.org
Message-ID: <3b95186a.8691066@news.freeserve.net>
Source record: 60520
Cross-posted to: radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

On Tue, 04 Sep 2001 17:00:38 GMT, spikemike@blueyonder.co.uk (Michael Hunter)
wrote:

>I would suggest that the majority of Radio clubs are VERY interested in
>scanning,swl etc. At my club in the north east(and I am sure at the
>majority), we are interested in all aspects of radio..from broadcast thro
>to cb,scanning and of course all aspects of amateur radio......hope you
>find what you are looking for...

Last time I was a club member, we didn't allow CB-ers to be
members, and scanning wasn't invented.

Possibly club members have more catholic tastes these days.

J+

4304. mods for rs 2045 & 2048

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 19:34:57 UTC
From: Rick <wrcgeh@fidnet.com>
Message-ID: <3b951e6c_2@skycache-news.fidnet.com>
Source record: 60521

Any mods for these units
thanks in advance

4305. Cows eat poop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 19:37:19 UTC
From: Thor <man@arms.com>
Message-ID: <tpabe9oj5po244@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60522

On hot June Days.

4306. Re: VACATION HELP...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 19:39:33 UTC
From: Thor <man@arms.com>
Message-ID: <tpabiggl5vnl9b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60523

YAYA, VACATION TO PHILI! WOOHOO!
"otr driver" <exitusn@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:28747-3B913144-42@storefull-215.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Going to philadelphia Pa. next week, Need information on police and
> other good frequencies in the area. Thank you.
>

4307. Sussex Airshow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 20:27:06 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <uOal7.14323$xA5.1655516@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 60524

Supposedly Sussex Airport is up for sale...if one of your buddies has the program, check out pg. 33. There's an "airport for sale" ad from a guy named "Paul" (as in Paul Styger, owner of Sussex?). The phone number given correlates with Sussex Airport's phone number...If the airport sells, the show is over.

4308. Re: mods for rs 2045 & 2048

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 20:36:45 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <morton@whoville.com>
Message-ID: <xXal7.1197$CR2.1971647@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 60525

Yes.

"Rick" <wrcgeh@fidnet.com> wrote in message
news:3b951e6c_2@skycache-news.fidnet.com...
> Any mods for these units
> thanks in advance
>
>

4309. Regency

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 21:05:10 UTC
From: Jim <jimnshel@jimnshel.org>
Message-ID: <ambl7.37225$MK5.22800019@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 60526

Looking for schematics for my Regency Touch K100.....where can I obtain these???

Jim

4310. Re: VACATION HELP...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 21:17:22 UTC
From: exitusn@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25713-3B9544E2-243@storefull-216.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 60530

I'M ON MY WAY!!! BY YALL...

Have a nice day.

http://community.webtv.net/exitusn/lookingatyou

4311. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas (Part 2)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 21:22:29 UTC
From: nixx@sanclemente.com
Message-ID: <3b954312.1416332@news-server>
Source record: 60527

On Tue, 4 Sep 2001 00:52:29 -0500, "M. Schneider"
<markinokla@juno.com> wrote:

>Well, unless you are lucky enough to have all the agencies you want to
>listen to in a single direction from your house, then you need a rotator to
>point the antenna in the proper direction for the agencies that you're
>trying to hear. As an example, where I live in Oklahoma, Oklahoma City is
>50 miles to the south-southwest of me, Tulsa is 50 miles to the east.
>Pointing a directional antenna at either one will place the other off the
>side, and null them out. So I would need a rotator to aim the antenna,
>depending on which city I was interested in at the time.
>
>Mark
>K5MAR

Mark, I know you're right. My only question (I'm trying hard to be
clear, so bear with me) is the direction of strength for a TV antenna
when you mount it vertically. I can understand the concept if the
antenna is mounted horizontally, then the pointy end is "hot" and gets
pointed towards the signal source.

But, if you have this thing pointed "hot" end up towards the sky, then
what the devil difference does it make how you rotate it, or even if
you do? That leads me to think that one side of the the "flat" end
(the side that normally faces the sky/ground in a TV app) is "hotter"
than the other. Am I making even a little sense here?

Please understand, I know y'all are right in advising me to get a
discone, or whatever. But, this TV antenna is now stuck "cold" end
down in an unused chimney (!), not even on a mast, and I get non-stop
air and really clear trunking from my home county. Since I apparently
can't test this thing to see how efficient it is, my only hope is to
buy a $40-100 discone and just pray that it works better. If it
doesn't, then I'll really feel (even more) stupid.

Dave

4312. Re: Radio Topic on Art Bell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 21:42:05 UTC
From: "D. V Brown" <dvbrown5@home.com>
Message-ID: <NUbl7.81911$K6.32991646@news2>
Source record: 60528

Hey,

I noticed once in one of his studio cam shots he has a Pro-2006 in his
shack.

"Set'em up Joe" <cathexis@erols.com> wrote in message
news:3b94606a.370228844@news.erols.com...
> Hey People,
>
> Thur./Fri. show of Art Bell is gonna do:
> (begin paste)
>
> >>> Guest: Andrew Yoder
> Andrew Yoder is a hobby radio enthusiast, activist and author. His book
> publishing credits include the latest edition of The Complete Shortwave
> Listener's Handbook, Pirate Radio: The Incredible Saga of America's
Underground,
> Illegal Broadcasters, Pirate Radio Operations. Book: Pirate Radio
Stations:
> Tuning in to Underground Broadcasts in the Air and Online
>
> Website: www.hobbybroadcasting.com
>
>
> check it out!
>
> Andrew
>

4313. Re: RS Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 21:43:42 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010904174342.05130.00002953@nso-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 60529

In article <vpSk7.119362$54.5468103@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>, "Roland Stiner" <nk2u@1stconnect.com> writes:

>Radio Shack's new catalog is out but they're charging for it. I thought
>they would have learnt their lesson from a few years back when they tried
>that...
>

That's funny. For the last three years I have not had to pay for one of their catalogs.

Of course, it does help to know someone who works there!

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4314. Ottawa Scanner Online Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 23:25:41 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <Vpdl7.96463$n75.23896860@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 60531
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I will be testing my scanner broadcast here tonight. Let me know how it sounds. Tues Sept 4 7:30 pm - 8:30 pm EST

http://24.42.207.208/radiodestiny.rdl

4315. Re: Sussex Airshow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 23:28:14 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <isdl7.14338$xA5.1706510@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 60532

Sorry about that guys...that was meant to be an e-mail for someone.

4316. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas (Part 2)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 23:51:49 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9n3pgu$57ap9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60533

"nixx" <nixx@sanclemente.com> wrote in message
news:3b954312.1416332@news-server...
>
> But, if you have this thing pointed "hot" end up towards the sky, then
> what the devil difference does it make how you rotate it, or even if
> you do? That leads me to think that one side of the the "flat" end
> (the side that normally faces the sky/ground in a TV app) is "hotter"
> than the other. Am I making even a little sense here?

OK, it appears to me that your antenna is pointing straight up in the air, which would work great if the signals you want to hear are in space. By vertically, we mean the elements must point up & down, not the boom. When you look at it from the ground, it should look something like this:

```
| |
| | | |
| | | | | | |
---------------- Signal source -->
| | | | | | |
| | | |
| |

Ground
|
\|/
V
```

The beam should be mounted horizontally, and the elements pointing up & down. The beam should be pointed toward the area you want to listen to. The rotator will allow you to turn the beam and aim it at the point of interest.

Tom

4317. Re: Cows eat poop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 23:53:08 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9n3ph1$57ap9$2@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60534

"Thor" <man@arms.com> wrote in message
news:tpabe9oj5po244@corp.supernews.com...
> On hot June Days.
>
>

Thanks for this enlightenment. My life is complete now.

Tom

4318. Re: Sussex Airshow

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-04 23:56:35 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9n3psb$53lur$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60535

"TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message
news:uOal7.14323$xA5.1655516@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Supposedly Sussex Airport is up for sale...if one of your buddies has the
> program, check out pg. 33. There's an "airport for sale" ad from a guy
> named "Paul" (as in Paul Styger, owner of Sussex?). The phone number given
> correlates with Sussex Airport's phone number...If the airport sells, the
> show is over.

You could buy it and hold your own airshows...

4319. Cleveland Ohio PD back in clear?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 01:04:16 UTC
From: Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9n3u16$s63$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 60536

I heard a rumor today that the Clevland PD is rebroadcasting in clear audio in the lower 300MHz range somewhere. I cannot confirm this yet, but will be searching that band to see what I hear. Unusual since it's usually just military and TV broadcasts.

They used to be normal analog in the 450MHz range a few years ago, but since have gone to 800MHz trunked, w/digital audio, impossible to scan (unless you like digital hash).

I know that if the 800MHz system goes down, departments usually fall-back to their "old" repeater systems until the trunker system is fixed. But, this sounds different.

Anyone else heard this rumor?

Mike

4320. Re: RS Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 01:37:46 UTC
From: Steve Phipps <sphipps@clandjop.com>
Message-ID: <tpb0f7dj4juh65@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60537

At least this year they are not including those dorky scanner wand things.
They probably have to charge to make up for the hideous loss they took on
those last year...

SP

"Roland Stiner" <nk2u@1stconnect.com> wrote in message
news:vpSk7.119362$54.5468103@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Radio Shack's new catalog is out but they're charging for it. I thought
> they would have learnt their lesson from a few years back when they tried
> that...

4321. Re: Cows eat poop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 01:38:50 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010904213850.06048.00001785@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 60538

In article <tpabe9oj5po244@corp.supernews.com>, "Thor" <man@arms.com> writes:

> On hot June Days.

In the middle of a thunderstorm.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4322. Re: HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 01:38:52 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010904213852.06048.00001786@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 60540

In article <tp9js754q1l7af@corp.supernews.com>, "Daniel Davison"
<danield@academy.co.uk> writes:

>If there is anybody on this group that doesn't have access to HF, and you're
>inetersted in Oceanic ATC,
>
>goto http://www.air.academy.co.uk:8080/ramgen/encoder/test.rm
>
>The frequency is 5649Khz. It is on most of the time, but is in test phase.
>During the day it's not very good,

Yes, this is because propagation on and around that frequency is slim to none.
The Maximum UF during the day for shortwave/utility is around 18 MHz or so,
with the Minimum UF usually around 9 or 10 MHz. It doesn't come down until
after local sunset.

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4323. Re: Radio Topic on Art Bell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 01:38:53 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010904213853.06048.00001787@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 60539

In article <3B9468F8.AE1D1806@home.com>, Tim <nightwatch01@home.com> writes:

>He also got busted for pirate broadcasting several years ago, & I think
>he ended up whining like a baby about the ordeal/fine.

Good for him! Serves him right for broadcasting without a license.

If he really wanted to be a pirate broadcaster, then what he SHOULD have done
was rent a boat and sail into international waters.

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4324. Radio Shack: A Shadow of Its Former Self (Was: Re: RS Catalog)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 01:38:54 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010904213854.06048.00001788@nso-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 60541

Flowing Voltage
Subject: Radio Shack: A Shadow of Its Former Self

The only real problem I have with Radio Shack these days is that it is not a "true" radio store anymore.
During the 70's and 80's, Radio Shack was a real (at least in my opinion) hobbyist's store. It was no problem to purchase piece parts, kits, perf boards, soldering irons and etc. and the only brand that they sold was their own lines (Realistic, Archer, Optimus, etc.).

Nowadays, Radio Shack has evolved to become a shadow of its former self. Kits are almost nonexistant. Remember the Science Fair experiment kits? My mom gave me one for Christmas one year, and that fueled my interest in electronics. I rarely ever see them now.

Their inventory of piece parts has dwindled to maybe half of what it once was. It is now easier to buy piece parts from DigiKey or Mouser than it is to go to a Radio Shack and get what you need.

Do you remember when your local Radio Shack was staffed with a sales staff who were Amateur Radio Operators or who otherwise knew something about electronics? Nowadays you're lucky if you are helped by a sales person who knows what a resistor is!

Now, it seems that Radio Shack's main emphasis is cellular phones, with a sideline into TVs and stereos. I suppose that Radio Shack feels it needs to further evolve with the current economy. That's all well and good; but at the same time, they have virtually alienated the people who used to be their bread and butter: the electronics experimenters.

The opinions expressed here are mine, and do not necessarily reflect the opinions of anyone else.

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4325. Re: RS Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 01:43:55 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B95835B.E874B7FC@mitre.org>
Source record: 60542

I just went in to BUY a Radio Shack catalog, they said I would have to
pay for the catalog... They asked my name, to ring the catalog sale up
on the computer cash register.

When my name came up on the computer, he told me the catalog would
be "FREE", because may name is in the computer.

Again buy some batteries, blank video tape, the last thing I purchased
would be several SO 259 connectors, My name gets dropped from the
computer from year to year, when no purchases are made. It's a good
thing to be in the computer, so mailings of sales flyers are sent and
now the catalog is FREE! If your name is in the point of sale computer.
Jim Mac Doand
Roland Stiner wrote:
>
> Radio Shack's new catalog is out but they're charging for it. I thought
> they would have learnt their lesson from a few years back when they tried
> that...
>
> Roland, NK2U

4326. Re: trunking newbie please help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 01:53:19 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B95858F.36D4F63B@mitre.org>
Source record: 60543

Try this site:

<http://www.strongsignals.net>

go to the trunking boards and click SITE LINKS and look up
trunkng frequencies in your area.
Just remember to follow the manual for selecting trunking mode
for your PRO-2052 BEFORE entering the trunking frequencies
The PRO-2052 or any hobby trunking radio will not work correctly
if the mode I.E.: Motorola or GE Ericsson (EDASE) is not enter into
the bank that is the bank has to be set up for the trunking system
before entering the frequencies.

rex wrote:
>
> I am wanting to listen to trunked systems i have a pro-2052. I don't have
> the frequencies, but am wanting to find them. I have looked on the net for
> them but can't find what i want. Does anyone have a page that has .wav
> files of what the data channel and other channels sound like in convential
> scanning mode? If they do that would help me out. thanks

4327. Regency MX-5500 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 02:16:47 UTC
From: steve <f1doc@tampabay.rr.com>
Message-ID: <jWfl7.47243$8c3.9108931@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 60544

Hi everyone! Do any of you have the manual for the Regency MX-5500 scanner?
Any tips for using it??

--Steve

4328. Re: trunking newbie please help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 02:18:02 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B958B5A.EB002A9A@mitre.org>
Source record: 60545

If you check out the listing at the top of the trunking board for
your radio the PRO-2052 it may be capable of working directly through
a computer via serial port and Radio Shack may sell a cable and software
and under software control the PRO-2052 may be able to do conventional
and truntracking at the same time.
If there are trunking systems in your area, listed on the data bases
at the strong signals trunking board try programing in the frequencies,
using the correct trunking mode THEN LISTEN IN CONVENTIONAL mode,
manually step through the bank of frequencies until you hear a loud
DATA sound and this will be a control cannel.
This will allow you to hear what a control channel sounds like and you
will know that the system is up and your PRO-2052 ,if set up rigth,
should be able to trunk track it.
Another fun way to get new systems is search for control channel
frequencies. Then go to strongsignals.net and click on the FREQUENCY
BOARD scroll down to the bottom and click on F. C. C. site
and look up the frequency and cross reference it to the call sign to get
the rest of the frequencies that got with the control channel.
Once you have listened live, over the air to some control channels
you will be able to tell them apart.

Remember GE Ericsson trunking systems HAVE TO BE ENTERED IN CORRECT
ORDER, that is follow the numbering system that you will find in
front of each GE Ericsson frequency in the data base lists.
This is tough when trying to work a system form the F. C. C. database
they do not have the trunking order listed, It's better to stick
with other data bases for GE Ericsson systems that have the numbering
order.
Jim

Jim Mac Donald wrote:
>
> Try this site:
>
> <http://www.strongsignals.net>
>
> go to the trunking boards and click SITE LINKS and look up
> trunkng frequencies in your area.
> Just remember to follow the manual for selecting trunking mode
> for your PRO-2052 BEFORE entering the trunking frequencies
> The PRO-2052 or any hobby trunking radio will not work correctly
> if the mode I.E.: Motorola or GE Ericsson (EDASE) is not enter into
> the bank that is the bank has to be set up for the trunking system
> before entering the frequencies.
>

> rex wrote:
> >
> > I am wanting to listen to trunked systems i have a pro-2052. I don't have
> > the frequencies, but am wanting to find them. I have looked on the net for
> > them but can't find what i want. Does anyone have a page that has .wav
> > files of what the data channel and other channels sound like in convential
> > scanning mode? If they do that would help me out. thanks

4329. Re: Vancouver, B.C. Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 04:27:46 UTC
From: Legend56BC <panel56@intergate.ca>
Message-ID: <tpbadrj8qb90df@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60546

I think Vancouver and other parts of the lower mainland went to a new high tech system,can't remember the name of it but they are going through one central service.I was still able to get Langley and some out lying areas on my old scanner last winter....Just waiting for my BC895XLT to come and will try again...
Paul

--
"Ok Honey I'll do it right after this Race"
Pauls Cyber Garage Sale!
http://communities.msn.ca/StuffForSaleMainlyChevy/homepage
http://modena.intergate.ca/personal/panel56/
"D.M." <bbford@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3b9307b6$0$21738@fountain.mindlink.net...
> I am looking for any information on the police frequencies in Vancouver,
> B.C.
> There used to be several between 142 and 143mhz, but they moved some time
> ago.
> Anyone have any information?
>
>
>

4330. Re: Radio Shack: A Shadow of Its Former Self (Was: Re: RS Catalog)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 04:34:35 UTC
From: cathexis@erols.com
Message-ID: <3b95a8d1.31499025@news.erols.com>
Source record: 60297

On 05 Sep 2001 01:38:54 GMT, n0jaa@aol.compost (Amateur Radio Station N0JAA) wrote:

>Flowing Voltage
>Subject: Radio Shack: A Shadow of Its Former Self
>
>
>The only real problem I have with Radio Shack these days is that it is not a
>"true" radio store anymore.
>During the 70's and 80's, Radio Shack was a real (at least in my opinion)
>hobbyist's store. It was no problem to purchase piece parts, kits, perf
>boards, soldering irons and etc. and the only brand that they sold was their
>own lines (Realistic, Archer, Optimus, etc.).
>
>Nowadays, Radio Shack has evolved to become a shadow of its former self. Kits
>are almost nonexistant. Remember the Science Fair experiment kits? My mom gave
>me one for Christmas one year, and that fueled my interest in electronics. I
>rarely ever see them now.
>
>Their inventory of piece parts has dwindled to maybe half of what it once was.
>It is now easier to buy piece parts from DigiKey or Mouser than it is to go to
>a Radio Shack and get what you need.
>
>Do you remember when your local Radio Shack was staffed with a sales staff who
>were Amateur Radio Operators or who otherwise knew something about electronics?
> Nowadays you're lucky if you are helped by a sales person who knows what a
>resistor is!
>
>Now, it seems that Radio Shack's main emphasis is cellular phones, with a
>sideline into TVs and stereos. I suppose that Radio Shack feels it needs to
>further evolve with the current economy. That's all well and good; but at the
>same time, they have virtually alienated the people who used to be their bread
>and butter: the electronics experimenters.
>
>
>The opinions expressed here are mine, and do not necessarily reflect the
>opinions of anyone else.
>
>
>Paul.

Agreed. Was surprised to find my local comp. store, "Micro-Center"
had a better tools section than RS (except for soldering irons). Much
better. Electronics are becoming increasingly a disposable commodity
and therefore there's no need for repair or kits. Hence, no market. Sad

but true. Worse, what components that are on boards out there are so miniturized they're basically un-usable elsewhere. Manufactures would tell us this is the price for our modern toys. I'm not sure I agree and when (or if) common electronics ever become burdened with Clipper Chips or Censorship-type Big Brother devices then people will pay the price for their apathy. Better shut up , getting too paranoid for most I think.

Andrew
>
>
>
>
>"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."
>
>
>

4331. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas (Part 2)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 05:35:43 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <HJil7.13$3t5.9434@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 60298

Dave,

Tom has pretty well nailed it, with diagrams no less! What we had here is a failure to communicate. When you said pointy end, you were talking about the ends of the elements, we were talking about the end with the shorter elements. Reception is broadside to the elements (rods), and is directional in the direction of the shorter elements. If the antenna is shaped in a "V" then the open end of the V is pointed at the signal source. Think of a comb. If you lay a comb flat on the ground, the comb is horizontally polarized, and the narrow end is the direction of the source. If you stand the comb vertically on it's long side, then it is vertically polarized, the narrow end still points to the source. If you replace the comb with yagi (beam) antenna, you feed one end with the coax and the other end is pointed at the source. If it's a log periodic, such as a TV antenna, the longer elements are at the back of the antenna, and the end with the shorter elements is pointed at the signal source.

Hope we've explained this better to you. Sorry I don't have any fancy diagrams, not as talented as Tom.

Mark
K5MAR

"Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:9n3pgu$57ap9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "nixx" <nixx@sanclemente.com> wrote in message
> news:3b954312.1416332@news-server...
> >
> > But, if you have this thing pointed "hot" end up towards the sky, then
> > what the devil difference does it make how you rotate it, or even if
> > you do? That leads me to think that one side of the the "flat" end
> > (the side that normally faces the sky/ground in a TV app) is "hotter"
> > than the other. Am I making even a little sense here?
>
> OK, it appears to me that your antenna is pointing straight up in the air,
> which would work great if the signals you want to hear are in space. By
> vertically, we mean the elements must point up & down, not the boom. When
> you look at it from the ground, it should look something like this:
>
> | |
> | | | |
> | | | | | | |
> ---------------- Signal source -->
> | | | | | | |

> | | | |
> | |
>
> Ground
> |
> \|/
> V
>
> The beam should be mounted horizontally, and the elements pointing up &
> down. The beam should be pointed toward the area you want to listen to.
> The rotator will allow you to turn the beam and aim it at the point of
> interest.
>
> Tom
>
>
>
>

4332. Frequencies for East Houston Repeaters that Houston Area SKYWARN uses.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 06:00:25 UTC
From: db77619@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905020025.18566.00002696@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 60299

I am a SKYWARN Spotter in Jefferson County, TX.
I need frequencies for Amateur Repeaters used by the Houston Area SKYWARN Spotters in East Houston.
Does anyone know what they are?
I want to program them into my scanner so I can monitor them so I will be able to find out in advance if and what type of severe weather is headed towards me.
Your help is greatly appreciated.

4333. What is meant by the term "Tornado Communications"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 06:07:55 UTC
From: db77619@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905020755.18566.00002697@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 60300

What is meant by the term "Tornado Communications"?
I have the Directory of Survival Communications Frequencies(Kneitel), and some of the states in tornado alley like Oklahoma and Kansas have a couple of listings of frequencies under Tornado Communications. Can anyone tell me what these frequencies are for and what Tornado Communications means?
Your help will be greatly appreciated.

4334. Re: Radio Topic on Art Bell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 06:16:34 UTC
From: bellp400@msn.com
Message-ID: <35ba9d22.0109042216.2cd8c549@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60301

The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> wrote in message
news:<19BA91366433A844.1C929D0DF6A5501B.6287BBC1C5CD8DB2@lp.airnews.net>...

what freqs does Art use to talk to Space Aliens?

4335. Re: What is meant by the term "Tornado Communications"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 06:30:10 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9n4gjk01f63@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60302

what are the frequencies that you have listed. Just curious?

"Db77619" <db77619@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010905020755.18566.00002697@mb-fk.aol.com...
> What is meant by the term "Tornado Communications"?
> I have the Directory of Survival Communications Frequencies(Kneitel), and
some
> of the states in tornado alley like Oklahoma and Kansas have a couple of
> listings of frequencies under Tornado Communications. Can anyone tell me
what
> these frequencies are for and what Tornado Communications means?
> Your help will be greatly appreciated.

4336. Re: Digital 800/900mhz Trunking -Secure?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 07:53:08 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B95D9E4.590BCBCB@mitre.org>
Source record: 60303

In Monitoring Times, the TRACKING THE TRUNKS author mentioned
than Canada required a LICENSE or permit to even own a Digital Radio.
At this time no hobby radios are available to work digital or Motorola
Astro digital radios. If you can afford $3700 for a system radio and
find someone in the business to sell it to you... this is the only way
Some test gear selling for $20000 may be able to track digital voice,
but postings I've seen mention the system owner would have to proved
the software to load into the test gear, before it will go digital.
Jim

David M Schertzer wrote:
>
> Any scanner on the market that will do this? The RCMP have switched
> to a NEW sytem here...Motorola Astro radios....thanks

4337. trunking for tinker afb, ok frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 08:11:50 UTC
From: rex <rex_dickens@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <a7ll7.255634$Jg.31640451@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 60304
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

i'm wanting to track these frequencies, i'm not sure if i have all of them. I'm not sure if they are motorala type I or II. Is there an easy way to tell. Also after i program them in and start the search it searches for the data channel, it finds one, but i wondered if that was the correct one. So I removed that one from the list and started again, it found another one, i did this a few times and found that it has 5 frequencies that it liked as a data channel. I have a pro-2052 and in the manual it says it only uses the first one it finds, is it possible that all 5 are data channels. I also don't know any of the ID and/or subfleets(if type I) nothing apears and i don't hear anything when i hit search. Thanks for helping, i'm new and still trying to learn.

4338. Re: RS Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 10:10:39 UTC
From: Garvin@Truss.net
Message-ID: <1ez84x7.1qfllt81dm9id2N%Garvin@Truss.net>
Source record: 60305
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Jon Van Allen wrote:

>
>
> They didn't charge me when I picked mine up a few days ago.
>
Jon
>

>
Roland Stiner wrote:
>
Radio Shack's new catalog is out but they're charging
> for it. I thought
>
they would have learnt their lesson from a few years back when they
> tried
>
that...
>
Roland, NK2U

>

Lose the HTML please....this is what it looks like to most of us....

4339. Re: Cows eat poop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 11:00:39 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B9605D5.5AD733EE@home.com>
Source record: 60306

> So do dogs, and they are not to picky on the time of season.

Mike k8MB

4340. Orange Book of Scanner Repair

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 12:11:17 UTC
From: David Trickle <gumby1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3b9617aa$0$18889$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 60307

I am looking for a used copy of the book called Orange Book of Scanner Repair. If you have one that you can let go of please let me know.

David

4341. Re: Radio Shack: A Shadow of Its Former Self (Was: Re: RS Catalog)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 14:18:07 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <vcccptsas6ag66ar9qcfnhsgg2sj89821a@4ax.com>
Source record: 60308

On 05 Sep 2001 01:38:54 GMT, n0jaa@aol.compost (Amateur Radio Station N0JAA) wrote:

>The only real problem I have with Radio Shack these days is that it is not a
>"true" radio store anymore.
>During the 70's and 80's, Radio Shack was a real (at least in my opinion)
>hobbyist's store. It was no problem to purchase piece parts, kits, perf
>boards, soldering irons and etc. and the only brand that they sold was their
>own lines (Realistic, Archer, Optimus, etc.).

No, it wasn't. I remember Radio Shack in those years. They sold "cute" stuff that barely worked and was incredibly obsolete. The P-Box stuff was junk. I know. I built a few when I was 10 and 11 years old. P boxes were awful because the plastic was too cheesy. There was no phenolic board anywhere. Frankly, I think it sucked.

>Nowadays, Radio Shack has evolved to become a shadow of its former self. Kits
>are almost nonexistant. Remember the Science Fair experiment kits? My mom gave
>me one for Christmas one year, and that fueled my interest in electronics. I
>rarely ever see them now.

You can buy parts there. That's a start. I wish the folks that chose what parts to retail weren't so far behind the times, but it's better than nothing. In any case, I remember the frustration of not having the parts I really needed even back then. It seems their supply chain knows what folks want, but they're quite late at packaging these thing and putting them on the shelf. They've never been good at it, IMHO.

>Their inventory of piece parts has dwindled to maybe half of what it once was.
>It is now easier to buy piece parts from DigiKey or Mouser than it is to go to
>a Radio Shack and get what you need.

There were very little room for the Digi-Key and Mouser type operations in those days. An Allied catalog was better, but it was still a much slower operation.

>Do you remember when your local Radio Shack was staffed with a sales staff who
>were Amateur Radio Operators or who otherwise knew something about electronics?
> Nowadays you're lucky if you are helped by a sales person who knows what a
>resistor is!

That's not what I remember. The ones I used to know in the 1970s could barely tie their shoes. The dweebs in the 1980s were no better. Radio Shack never paid their sales staff squat. You get what you pay for.

>Now, it seems that Radio Shack's main emphasis is cellular phones, with a
>sideline into TVs and stereos. I suppose that Radio Shack feels it needs to
>further evolve with the current economy. That's all well and good; but at the
>same time, they have virtually alienated the people who used to be their bread
>and butter: the electronics experimenters.

And there are fewer and fewer of us around. The field isn't growing
like it did in the 1960s and 1970s. What would you have them do: grow
and morph or die?

Radio Shack is a business. You're looking at the past with rosy eyed
glasses IMNSHO. If they have a product you like at a price you're
willing to pay, buy it. If not, look elsewhere. You have options
today that you didn't have 30 years ago. Don't cry about the past
--look at what you've got and get on with your life.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

4342. Re: Radio Topic on Art Bell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 14:32:28 UTC
From: mike@nospam.com
Message-ID: <pldcptomp7qv5ka20sso7u5a8p3pbvkc9b@4ax.com>
Source record: 60309

On 4 Sep 2001 23:16:34 -0700, bellp400@msn.com (Tradewind) wrote:

>The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> wrote in message
news:<19BA91366433A844.1C929D0DF6A5501B.6287BBC1C5CD8DB2@lp.airnews.net>...
>
>what freqs does Art use to talk to Space Aliens?

The freqs are on some of the cb channels, you can hear space aliens
there all the time.

4343. Re: Regency MX-5500 manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 15:41:12 UTC
From: nascar24rulz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905114112.20718.00000036@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 60310

Hey Steve, I see you found the newsgroup I was talking about

george

4344. Re: RS Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 15:41:59 UTC
From: nascar24rulz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905114159.20718.00000037@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 60311

i got mine for free

4345. PRO-2046 MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 15:44:58 UTC
From: nascar24rulz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905114458.20718.00000038@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 60312

Any mods for the Pro-2046?

Thanks

4346. TAMPA BAY frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 15:46:48 UTC
From: nascar24rulz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905114648.20718.00000039@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 60313

Looking for freqs for Pinellas and Hillsborough counties, including Macdill

Thanks

4347. Re: What is meant by the term "Tornado Communications"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 16:13:04 UTC
From: mikea@mikea.ath.cx
Message-ID: <kasl7.1802$o97.424710242@newssvr16.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 60314

Db77619 <db77619@aol.com> wrote:
: What is meant by the term "Tornado Communications"?
: I have the Directory of Survival Communications Frequencies(Kneitel), and some
: of the states in tornado alley like Oklahoma and Kansas have a couple of
: listings of frequencies under Tornado Communications. Can anyone tell me what
: these frequencies are for and what Tornado Communications means?
: Your help will be greatly appreciated.

I live in Norman, OK, and work in OK City, both in the middle of
Tornado Alley. I strongly suspect that "Tornado Communications"
frequencies are for use in or after a disaster, and/or possibly
by spotters, trackers, and the like.

--
Basically, no matter what you compare spammers to,
that object will be insulted.
-- Chris Pickett, in a.s.r

4348. Re: PRO-2046 MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 16:50:36 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <morton@whoville.com>
Message-ID: <wJsl7.1842$CR2.2432712@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 60315

Yeah. You can add cell capability, 1000 more channels, and increase the scan speed 300 percent.

"Nascar24Rulz" <nascar24rulz@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010905114458.20718.00000038@mb-fo.aol.com...
> Any mods for the Pro-2046?
>
> Thanks

4349. Re: help in kansas

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 17:56:19 UTC
From: marsgal42@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d42b5a72.0109050956.1d21082b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60316
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner

reo7661 <ROLBERDING@prodigy.net> wrote in message news:<3B9580D6.427BB4A1@prodigy.net>...

> i'm 16 yaers old. i just got my scanner about 2 weeks ago but already

> have heard lots of police, fire and ems stuff. im looking for more. i

> have spent about the last week looking for different frequencies in my

> area(wichita). Yet i cant seem to find any. my main search area is

> school buses and the local mall security. i would also like to find

> other security freqs. for hotels and such. again i have looked

> diligently and have come up with almost nothing except for cordless

> phones(which is very cool in my opnion) any way my e-mail is

> flipteam15@hotmail.com so either send all your good info there or post

> it, PLEASE. also lemme know if you live in wichita and have a scanner,

> we can share local info and maybe even become good friends. Who knows.

There are more appropriate groups for such a question,
which is why I've directed followups to rec.radio.scanner.
Not that you can't receive stuff from space on a scanner
(you can), but that's not your interest.

For obvious reasons, people using radio for security
purposes aren't going to go out of their way to publicize
the frequencies they use. You might consult one of the
scanner directories, or the magazines. You may end up
scanning promising frequency ranges from end to end (the
antennas on the radios will tell you which ones). I've
found very interesting stuff this way...

Laura Halliday VE7LDH "Que les nuages soient notre
Grid: CN89lg pied a terre..."
ICBM: 49 16.57 N 123 0.24 W - Hospital/Shafte

4350. Re: Cows eat poop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 19:48:03 UTC
From: Wayne Farmer <WayneOHere@compuserve.com>
Message-ID: <9n6qte$3ff$1@suaar1aa.prod.compuserve.com>
Source record: 60333

"Thor" <man@arms.com> wrote in message news:tpabe9oj5po244@corp.supernews.com...
> On hot June Days.

What frequency do they transmit on?

I want to cowlibrate my scanner but they keep mooving to a new bank.

4351. Neat Scanner Resource

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 20:13:57 UTC
From: crbbooks@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905161357.05002.00000101@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 60317

Eqpt modifications, freq guides, lots more!:www.CRBbooks.com

4352. Re: RS Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 20:15:36 UTC
From: jason.mangiafico@verizon.net
Message-ID: <9n6157$5gas8$6@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60318

normally the radio shack employees are SUPPOSED to charge you for the catalogs, no matter what year it happens to be. but there are some generous employees out there that just give them away for free. and some choose to charge you for it.

I personally have walked into rat shack in the past to get catalogs. some of the time, I have to pay for it, and other times I get a free one, but with a free one, the coupon on the front is peeled off and put into the trash.

but wait! catalogs are still free! if you know where to get one. I found this one out in the past couple of years. just send an email to support@tandy.com and request a catalog with you snail mail addy. Then they will mail you one. You have to put in your request every time a new catalog comes out; they don't maintain any sort of mailing list. If their supplies have run out, you will receive a catalog when the NEXT new one comes out.

4353. Motorla MT2000 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 21:07:27 UTC
From: Steve <spell189@excite.com>
Message-ID: <tpd50h3uvhtic2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60319

Could someone direct me to where I can find this information...

I am making a list of frequencies to give to the person who is programming some Motorola MT2000's we have ordered. I do not have the manual in front of me.

Does anyone know how many characters can be programmed in the Alpha display window of the radio?

Doe sanyone know if there are .PDF manuals for this radio on the web anywhere?

Thank You,
Steve

4354. Re: DFW (WBAP) Traffic ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 21:20:50 UTC
From: mss_n-o.s-p-a-m@email.com
Message-ID: <3b9696d3_2@newsa.ev1.net>
Source record: 60320
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

From a mailing list I am on:
(8/16/2001)

> What Stations are not "Airborne"?

The ONLY stations that are airborne and that take "live"
reports from the choppers or airplane is K-104, KRNB, KLTY,
KSCS, WBAP, KRLD, KLUV, KVIL, CH 4 TV, CH 8 TV , AND CH 11 TV.
Mix 102.9 (SkyMix 1) reports are pre-recorded and played back
later. Everybody else is in our studio or at the station itself.

> Does KPLX really fly?

At the present time, NO. However, they are an affiliate of
Traffic Dot Com (our competitor). Traffic Dot Com has a couple
of Cessna's they fly around during morning and afternoon drive,
but all they are doing right now is "spotting" (there are no
reporters on board). I believe they use 122.875 & 123.45 to
pass info. Their affiliates are primarily the Susquehanna
stations, SO FAR.

In this past, the Wolf/KLIF copter was owned by Susquehanna
Broadcasting and they footed the bill for maintenance and
upkeep. In the days of Tim Hart, this was the norm, and they
also paid his salary. Then along came Justin and they paid for
his salary and the chopper too. But somewhere in the mix,
Susquehanna decided they did not want to pay for the chopper
and threw the cost of upkeep over to Traffic Dot Com. They
only kept the chopper for a very short period of time before
determining the cost factor was just too high for upkeep, as
well as paying pilots salaries. So NOW, they don't have a
chopper, and Justin is NOT flying (but sitting in a studio
with a chopper sound effect going in the background). BUT,
that's the magic of radio...It SOUNDS likes he's puttering
all over the Metro skies, but he's not....:)

And as long as the listeners THINK he is, well...job done.

<name and citu deleted>

In article <3B7DC156.A810FD3E@yahoo.com>, Robert Heft <rpheft@yahoo.com>
wrote:
>What has happened to the WBAP traffic frequency? It has been very quite
>lately & if I listen to 820, the traffic reports sound like they are
>coming from a studio?
>

>I miss Hal & Dicks comments in the AM...
>
>Thanks,
>\s\ Robert
>

Remove _n-o.s-p-a-m to email

4355. Re: Motorla MT2000 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 21:28:40 UTC
From: webmaster@scanatlanta.com
Message-ID: <3b9698e8.165693139@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 60321

6 on the top, 14 on the front. Depends on what type it is.

/\/\|k.e

On Wed, 5 Sep 2001 14:07:27 -0700, "Steve" <spell189@excite.com>
wrote:

>Could someone direct me to where I can find this information...
>
>I am making a list of frequencies to give to the person who is programming
>some Motorola MT2000's we have ordered. I do not have the manual in front of
>me.
>
>Does anyone know how many characters can be programmed in the Alpha display
>window of the radio?
>
>Doe sanyone know if there are .PDF manuals for this radio on the web
>anywhere?
>
>Thank You,
>Steve
>
>

4356. bearcat 210xw

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 21:39:10 UTC
From: Toby <r812@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <886dpt0bco77f2rg3lsf9sdnun6ijar41q@4ax.com>
Source record: 60322

I bought a Uniden bearcat BC210XW at a household sale. Can anyone
tell me how to program it or tell me how I might get a manual on it.
Thanks, Rick

4357. <<<<<<< Here's the deal >>>>>>>>>

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 22:02:01 UTC
From: C0NTR0L <C0NTR0L@C0NTR0L.C0NTR0L>
Message-ID: <thxl7.37644$xb.19375597@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 60323

-I know very little abut scanners.
-I want to get a scanner.
-Mostly to listen to stuff I'm not suppose to hear.
-Looking to spend, hmm, $50 to $300 I guess.
-I hear that some scanners block some frequencies so I am looking for a way to solder some wires or something to enable full scanning range or maybe somehow get a scanner shipped from Canada.
-Reason behind all this: I was bored one time so what I did on my 900 MHz Panasonic phone is i press the locate/intercom button that lets you find the phone if you lost it in your house. Then i pressed talk so I can communicate to the base. Then I took the battery out of the phone causing the base to start scanning for the phone. In this process i picked up a conversion which was about some pissed off girl who just got dumped by her boy friend (talking to her friend). LOL, I wish I can do this more often but it only happen one more time and the conversation was in Chinese this time.

4358. Re: Radio Shack: A Shadow of Its Former Self (Was: Re: RS Catalog)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 22:43:22 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010905184322.08060.00000177@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 60324

> A Shadow of Its Former Self
>

...and growing dimmer

>Do you remember when your local Radio >Shack was staffed with a sales staff
who
>were Amateur Radio Operators or who ?otherwise knew something about
>electronics?

...and could speak English?

>Now, it seems that Radio Shack's main emphasis is cellular phones, with a
>sideline into TVs and stereos.

Exactly correct, and MAINLY cell phones and digital satellite systems....
>they have virtually alienated the people >who used to be their bread
>and butter: the electronics >experimenters.

Along with Hams, scanner buffs, SWL listeners, and CBers...

I believe MY opinions reflect those of alot here to darn lazy to chime in!

Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

4359. Re: Cows eat poop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 23:10:18 UTC
From: DeWayne <ke9k@home.com>
Message-ID: <uhyl7.37796$xb.19474824@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 60325

Well I thought about going to McDonald's but on second
thought...

> On hot June Days.
>
>

4360. Re: RS Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 23:44:29 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <wIyl7.248423$rV6.11473226@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 60326

They didn't charge me when I picked mine up a few days ago.

Could be it was just that branch (Port Authority Bus Terminal in Manhattan.)
I'll try another store.

Roland, NK2U

4361. Re: RS Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 23:45:41 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <CJyl7.248424$rV6.11473350@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 60327

>Mine cost $2.95. No coupons this year either.

That's what they told me. I told them to stick it.

Roland, NK2U

4362. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas (Part 2)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-05 23:50:39 UTC
From: nixx@sanclemente.com
Message-ID: <3b96b7e7.742999@news-server>
Source record: 60328

>OK, it appears to me that your antenna is pointing straight up in the air,
>which would work great if the signals you want to hear are in space. By
>vertically, we mean the elements must point up & down, not the boom. When
>you look at it from the ground, it should look something like this:
>
>| |
>| | | |
>| | | | | | |
>---------------- Signal source -->
>| | | | | | |
>| | | |
>| |
>
> Ground
> |
> \|/
> V
>
>The beam should be mounted horizontally, and the elements pointing up &
>down. The beam should be pointed toward the area you want to listen to.
>The rotator will allow you to turn the beam and aim it at the point of
>interest.
>
>Tom

A huge thanks, Tom and Mr. Schneider! Man do I feel stupid. I just
couldn't understand the entire concept, but now it makes sense. Now,
I can't wait to get the whole thing fixed right to see what I can pick
up.

4363. value PRO-2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 02:33:51 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@home.com>
Message-ID: <jgBI7.38201$xb.19805161@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 60329

i have a like new,,radio shack PRO-2045
box,manual power cube,antenna..excellent shape

can someone tell me the value,,thinking of selling or trading

monte k9dzd@home.com

4364. Re: Help the Newbie: Antennas (Part 2)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 02:40:52 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9n6nn1$5qlb9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60330

Gives a whole new meaning to "vertical" doesn't it?

Tom

4365. Re: Regarding discone antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 03:16:48 UTC
From: Amish Man <amish@home.com>
Message-ID: <AUBI7.175174$EP6.50350685@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 60331
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

you are not transmitting so any losses seen will be negligible
"Coast Station" <coaststation@go.com> wrote in message
news:5a77581d.0108311300.875b6f8@posting.google.com...
> "Don Parker" <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote in message
news:<totr6aa0bvin32@corp.supernews.com>...
> > FYI - the AOR DA3000, good to 2GHz, has a TNC connector at the antenna
(ok -
> > aerial across the pond), but comes with RG-58U coax with a TNC at one
end,
> > and a BNC at the other, so what the hell difference does the TNC, SO239,
or
> > Type N make at the antenna, unless you're going to run RG-8 (at the
absolute
> > minimum) down to the radio, to another type N??? When you get to 99% of
the
> > scanners on the market, you're going to adapt down to a BNC, and most
likely
> > use some cheap shit coax getting there.... Look at the total picture,
then
> > ask just how important that one connection is! Either do the whole
thing to
> > the letter, or just accept you're going to have a technically mediocore
> > system (which most of us do)..... I stuck a TNC/SO236 adapter on my
brand
> > spanking new DA3000, because I knew unless I did the rest, it wasn't
going
> > to accomplish much just to change the cable connector on some mediocore
> > Beldon 9258 coax that already had a PL-259. But it was better than the
> > RG-58U that came with the antenna, so-o-o-o.....
> > Cheers n beers y'all
> > Don
>
>
>
> I'm talking about the antenna only, not the coax that comes
> with it. My views is base on logic and theory, whether that thing
> would work in the real world remains to be seen. Currently I'm using
> LMR-400 coax with the D-130J and I would use the LMR-400 too if I'm
> using the AH-7000. And the BNC connector that comes with the scanner
> is good up to 4 Gigahetz, in theory.
>
>
> Coast Station.

4366. Re: Motorla MT2000 Question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 03:19:04 UTC
From: The Executioner <judge@jury.com>
Message-ID: <IWBI7.97832$A47.51498256@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 60332

I believe the limit is 6...I just sold mine...

4367. What makes a scanner work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 03:33:50 UTC
From: David Trickle <gumby1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3b96ef6b$0$10963$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 60334

I have a bearcat 200 xlt. I have the schematic but I do not know what some of the circuits do. Ex: Window circuit, PLL circuit. Is thier a site that explains scanner circuits.

Thanks David

4368. Value of 2042 with euro OS-535 board?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 03:46:48 UTC
From: Chris <noone@nowhere.com>
Message-ID: <9n6rhm$a94$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 60335

I am moving soon, need cash. I am considering parting with my Pro-2042. It is in flawless condition, has protective film on the screen, no scratches. It is a US model, no cell coverage by itself. It has a european OS-535 board installed, so it can get cell frequencies when under computer control. It would be sold with probe 5 on original disk with full probe manual. The scanner would not come with an antenna. That shouldn't be a problem, since most entusiasts have their own, or can get a replacement one for it for a few bucks. It also has a discriminator tap (1/4 inch phono plug). On my system, under Probe, it successfully scans at 115 channels per second with only the weakest frequencies being skipped.

Anyway, to my point. How much should I sell this for? I'm considering throwing it on Ebay. Any takers here?

Reply to group or mak-ron@rcn.com WITHOUT the "-".

4369. Radio Shack Pro-2050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 04:38:07 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <P4DI7.108166$n75.26221634@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 60336
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am wondering about this radio, apparently it is on sale for $299 at radio shack. ($CDN) It is a trunk tracking radio, I am just wondering how well it would work around the Ottawa, ON region and does it have the pl tone board in it and if it doesn't can one be put in.

Randy

4370. Re: What is meant by the term "Tornado Communications"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 05:36:24 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <mQDl7.15$3E6.5075@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 60337

I also live in Oklahoma, Post the frequencies and any other information given, such as city, agency, etc. and I'm sure one of us can identify and explain their use. Quite possibly they are used for wx net operations. Example: 151.445 Oklahoma County (Repeater out) Used during severe wx for observations. This is a very good frequency for central Oklahoma, as the spotters will range out to 100 miles or so, tracking the weather.

Mark
K5MAR

"Db77619" <db77619@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010905020755.18566.00002697@mb-fk.aol.com...
> What is meant by the term "Tornado Communications"?
> I have the Directory of Survival Communications Frequencies(Kneitel), and some
> of the states in tornado alley like Oklahoma and Kansas have a couple of
> listings of frequencies under Tornado Communications. Can anyone tell me what
> these frequencies are for and what Tornado Communications means?
> Your help will be greatly appreciated.

4371. Re: RS Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 05:44:47 UTC
From: cougercat <felix1063@home.com>
Message-ID: <j3EI7.43577$MK5.25420957@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 60338

They have been charging for their catalog all over Seattle. Talked to a store manager and he said that the store gets "points" for selling these catalogs.

--jj
"Roland Stiner" <nk2u@1stconnect.com> wrote in message news:wIyl7.248423$rV6.11473226@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> They didn't charge me when I picked mine up a few days ago.
>
> Could be it was just that branch (Port Authority Bus Terminal in Manhattan.)
> I'll try another store.
>
> Roland, NK2U
>
>
>

4372. Las Vegas Hotel/Casino Frequencies...got 'em?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 06:42:41 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906024241.12161.00000074@nso-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 60339

I know this has appeared several times on the list. I even downloaded them on my home computer. But I don't have 'em on my laptop, so could y'all help out?

Specifically, looking for hotel/casino security frequencies for the various gaming establishments. Have scanners, will travel...

4373. test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 06:47:41 UTC
From: Dudley <dudleydort@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <h_El7.38794$xb.20252301@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 60340

test

4374. Re: Radio Shack Pro-2050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 07:58:09 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B972C91.5CFA65CE@mitre.org>
Source record: 60342
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

The PRO-2050 is a base model of the PRO-90 Uniden BC 235 XLT.
It will trunk track one (1) Motorola 800 MHZ system at a time.
it can not trunk tack the one Motorola 800 MHZ system and do
conventional together at the same time.
If you already have conventional scanners and only need to
trunk track ONE MOTOROLA system this radio is ok.
The PRO-2052 will trunk track Motorola and GE ERICSSON or conventional
and under software control the PRO-2052 can trunk track and work
conventional at the same time but your married to the computer.
They do not have PL capability. The PRO-2067 anfd PRO-92 DO HAVE
PL and DPL capability and instant tone squelch search/display.
computer upload / download and trunk tracks Motorola, GE ERICSSON and
EF Johnson systems and conventional at the same time.
The Uniden made PRO-2050, PRO-90, PRO-91, PRO 2066 PRO-94/94a PRO-2052
have banks of talkgroups that can be locked out. The GRE made PRO-2067
and PRO-92 PRO-92A/B have 100 talk groups per bank that can not be
broken up. The Unidens scan at 100/ch sec. the GRE's scan at 25/ch sec.
Hope this helps For much more information try radioshack.com or
<http://www.strongsignals.net> from GRE radios <http://www.pro-92.com>

Champ wrote:
>
> I am wondering about this radio, apparently it is on sale for $299 at radio
> shack. ($CDN) It is a trunk tracking radio, I am just wondering how well
> it would work around the Ottawa, ON region and does it have the pl tone
> board in it and if it doesn't can one be put in.
>
> Randy

4375. Yet to buy a scanner....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 09:16:33 UTC
From: "A. A." <aa@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9n7ert$9fj10@rain.i-cable.com>
Source record: 60343

OK, i may sound funny, but well, while just searching the groups i happen to see the radio scanner group. curiously i read few of its messages and it seems interesting to listen police, fire and other dept. i am sll new to it.

i understand you nedd scanner and then you should know the freq. but is it difficult to operate the scanner. is it that simple that you input the freq. in the scanner and there you go listen all the interesting stuff.

which scanner is good at moderate price say 200-300 dollars?

thanks

4376. Re: What is meant by the term "Tornado Communications"?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 10:28:05 UTC
From: Garvin@Truss.net
Message-ID: <1ez9xd5.566kh325hocuN%Garvin@Truss.net>
Source record: 60344

Mike Andrews <mikea@mikea.ath.cx> wrote:

> Db77619 <db77619@aol.com> wrote: : What is meant by the term "Tornado
> Communications"? : I have the Directory of Survival Communications
> Frequencies(Kneitel), and some : of the states in tornado alley like
> Oklahoma and Kansas have a couple of : listings of frequencies under
> Tornado Communications. Can anyone tell me what : these frequencies are
> for and what Tornado Communications means? : Your help will be greatly
> appreciated.
>
> I live in Norman, OK, and work in OK City, both in the middle of Tornado
> Alley. I strongly suspect that "Tornado Communications" frequencies are
> for use in or after a disaster, and/or possibly by spotters, trackers, and
> the like.

Maybe they are ham radio Skywarn freqencies? Mostly in the 2 meter
band.

"Fred"

4377. Re: What makes a scanner work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 12:15:27 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <6F4D49EF03BD75AF.9F9E87520A690E1A.3894C41582626E47@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60345

On Wed, 5 Sep 2001 22:33:50 -0500, "David Trickle" <gumby1@core.com>
wrote:

>I have a bearcat 200 xlt. I have the schematic but I do not know what some
>of the circuits do. Ex: Window circuit, PLL circuit. Is thier a site that
>explains scanner circuits.
>
>Thanks David
>

First, I hope you read my response and the URL I gave you regarding
the common No Dial Lamp/No Audio problem in the BC200XLT.

Secondly, (and I'm not trying to be a smart ass here) I would
recommend that you look into your local community college adult
education program.

First I would look at taking a course in basic electronics, then more
advanced courses in troubleshooting, etc. (You essentially would
be training to become an electronics technician.) That is the kind of
basic knowledge you will need, such as how a PLL (Phase Locked
Loop) circuit functions.

If you are still in high school, they may offer electronic in a
Vo-Tech for free.

4378. Re: TAMPA BAY frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 12:55:05 UTC
From: Email to above or see post sig for address! <NKCIUNVRRHFD@spammotel.com>
Message-ID: <tfseptkfdpsjmkuqf25ke04508bp78bmh8@4ax.com>
Source record: 60346

On 05 Sep 2001 15:46:48 GMT, nascar24rulz@aol.com (Nascar24Rulz) wrote:

>Looking for freqs for Pinellas and Hillsborough counties, including Macdill

Here is info for the area:

Notes:
1) Monitoring in the are will require a trunking capable unit.
2) No Federal information available, look into the FLACOM group at Yahoo!
3) Pinellas in the process of moving to a SmartZone system and will eventually swallow up the St. Pete system. Will have a 3 site system, North, South & Countywide. Many of these changes have been occurring recently.
4) Clearwaters EDACS system **may** disappear in the future as well, and PD/FD are the only users since DPW went Nextel.
5) Tampa City is GLORIOUSLY & WISELY chosen NOT to be part of the Trunking/Digital disaster. VHF & UHF analog. Has 800Mhz channels licensed, only 1 of which I have heard active for DPW in analog conventional. (They may loose them in the FCC audit under way, since they have not built the system they were licensed for.)
6) Only need to listen to the Hills. A system at this time since the B never was brought into to use.

Tampa City
Police

453.550 94.8dispatch west and downtown
453.700 94.8 dispatch east
453.750 94.8 tac west
453.800 94.8 info
453.850 94.8 dectectives
453.875 94.8 tac west
453.325 94.8 car/car
453.500 94.8 car/car
460.55 Raymond James Stadium Details

Fire:
154.430 88.5 Dispatch
154.220 88.5 Fireground

151.145 151.220 153.830 154.280
153.920- Hillsb. Tac 5
154.250- Hillsb. Fireground
154.340- County Mutual aid

Airport:
453.400/458.400 114.8 Airport Police
460.100/465.100

Airport operations USES NEXTEL! Has THEIR OWN SITE ON THE PROPERTY! Most of the units can only communicate via this cell/site on Nexcrap. Others can use normal Nexcrap operations.

Tampa International (TPA)

UNICOM: 122.95
ATIS: 126.45(ARR)
128.475(DEP)
WX ASOS: PHONE 813-873-7228
TAMPA GROUND: 121.7
269.4
121.35
TAMPA TOWER: 119.5
269.4
119.05
TAMPA APPROACH: 118.15(001-150)
118.8(220-360)
119.65(151-219)
269.1(220-360)
285.625(001-150)
353.575(151-219)
353.75
TAMPA DEPARTURE: 118.15(001-150)
118.8(220-360)
119.65(151-219)
269.1(220-360)
285.625(001-150)
353.575(151-219)
353.75
CLEARANCE DELIVERY: 133.6
CLASS B: 119.65(151-219)
119.9(001-150)
125.3(220-360)
290.3(001-150)
316.05(220-360)
353.575(151-219)
EMERG: 121.5
243.0
FINAL-CTL IC: 118.5

Police
453.400/458.400 114.8 Airport Police
460.100/465.100
Airlines
461.2125/466.2125 - Southwest Airlines
461.5125/466.5125 - Southwest Airlines
460.725/465.725 - United Airlines
460.800/465.800 - TWA
460.650/465.650 - CONTINENTAL AIRLINES
460.675/465.675 - CONTINENTAL AIRLINES
460.850/465.850 - CONTINENTAL AIRLINES

460.775/465.775 - American Airlines
461.1875/466.1875 - American Airlines
463.4125/468.4125 - American Airlines
464.5375/469.5375 - American Airlines
460.750/465.750 - Delta Airlines
460.7125/465.7124 - JetBlue Airways
460.875/465.875 - Northwest Airlines
460.875/465.875 - America West
460.875/465.875 - USAirways
453.475/458.475 - HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY AVIATION AUTHORITY
458.0375 - HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY AVIATION AUTHORITY/Alphatronics
458.0625 - HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY AVIATION AUTHORITY/Alphatronics
464.5875/469.5875 - HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY AVIATION AUTHORITY/Alphatronics
856.7625/811.7625- HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY AVIATION AUTHORITY - Operations

Note: Tampa Airport Authority is using Nextels (unmonitorable) for on site communications. Has Nextel site on the airport property to use with their Nextels. Most radios tied to this site, but some are permitted normal Nextel operations.

Peter O. Knight (TPF)

CTAF: 122.8 UNICOM: 122.8 TAMPA APPROACH: 119.9
TAMPA DEPARTURE: 119.9 CLEARANCE DELIVERY: 119.8(IFR)

Vandenberg Executive (X16)

CTAF: 122.7
UNICOM: 122.7
TAMPA APPROACH: 119.9
TAMPA DEPARTURE: 119.9

MacDill Air Force Base (MCF)

ATIS: 133.825 270.1
MAC DILL GROUND: 121.65 [0600-2200]
275.8 [0600-2200]
MAC DILL TOWER: 123.7 [0600-2200]
294.7 [0600-2200]
TAMPA APPROACH: 135.5
TAMPA DEPARTURE: 118.8(RWY 22)
134.25(RWY 4)
CP: 132.775
311.0
PMSV: 344.6
RDR: 134.1X
318.1X
324.5X
337.4X
339.1X
359.3X
379.8X

Hills. Co.:

System: Hillsborough County, Florida (A System)
County: Hillsborough
Type: G.E. Ericsson A System
Use: Hillsborough County Sheriff, Fire Departments & EMS

Frequencies:
Logical Channel Numbering:

866.3750 (1) 866.7000 (2) 867.9125 (3) 868.2750 (4) 868.6000 (5)
868.8250 (6) 866.1250 (7) 866.4125 (8) 866.7250 (9) 866.2500 (10)
866.5625 (11) 866.8125 (12) 867.3125 (13) 868.2500 (14) 868.5750 (15)
867.0375 (16) 868.1000 (17) 868.3000 (18) 868.6875 (19) 867.6500 (20)

Fire Operations:
Fire Hillsboro Co. Fire/ALS to Hospital 05-001
Fire Hillsboro Co. Fire/EMS (Dispatch) 04-036
Fire Hillsboro Co. Fire/EMS (Dispatch Patch) 15-156
Fire Hillsboro Co. Fire/Rescue (Agency Call) 04-000
Fire Hillsboro Co. Fire (Crisis Channel) 04-032
Fire Hillsboro Co. Fire (Landing Zone) 04-101
Fire Hillsboro Co. Fire (MedCom) 05-100
Fire Hillsboro Co. Fire (Supervisors) 04-052
Fire Hillsboro Co. Fire (Tac #1) 04-041
Fire Hillsboro Co. Fire (Tac #2) 04-042
Fire Hillsboro Co. Fire (Tac #3) 04-043
Fire Hillsboro Co. Fire (Tac #3 Patch) 15-143
Fire Hillsboro Co. Fire (Tac #4) 04-044
Fire Hillsboro Co. Fire (Tac #5) 04-045
Fire Hillsboro Co. Fire (Tac #6) 04-046
Fire Hillsboro Co. Fire (Tac #7) 04-047

154.130 Paging

Police Operations:
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff (Administration) 02-035
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff (Crime Prevention) 02-085
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff District #1 (Dispatch) 02-021
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff District #1 (Info) 02-023
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff District #1 (Streets) 02-022
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff District #1 (Tactical) 02-041
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff District #2 (Dispatch) 02-024
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff District #2 (Info) 02-026
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff District #2 (Streets) 02-025
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff District #2 (Tactical) 02-042
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff District #3 (Dispatch) 02-027
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff District #3 (Info) 02-031
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff District #3 (Streets) 02-030
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff District #3 (Tactical) 02-043
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff District #4 (Dispatch) 02-032
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff District #4 (Info) 02-034
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff District #4 (Streets) 02-033

Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff District #4 (Tactical) 02-044
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff Emergency Response 02-141
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff Executive Staff 02-081
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff Executive Staff (Fleet) 02-080
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff Internal Affairs 02-084
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff Law Enf (Agency Call) 02-000
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff Legal Advisor 02-082
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff Media Affairs 02-083
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff Organized Crime 02-065
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff Organized Crime Info 02-066
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff (Patrol Fleet Call) 02-020
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff (Supervisors) 02-037
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff Tatical (Fleet Call) 02-040
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff Tactical (Information) 02-045
Sheriff Hillsboro Co. Sheriff (Warrant Checks) 02-036

Other Operations:
Disaster Disaster (Fleet Call) 03-000
Disaster Disaster (Team Supervisor) 03-017
Disaster Team #1 03-001
Disaster Team #2 03-002
Disaster Team #3 03-003
Disaster Team #4 03-004
Disaster Team #5 03-005
Disaster Team #6 03-006
Disaster Team #7 03-007
Disaster Team #8 03-010
Disaster Team #9 03-011
Disaster Team #10 03-012
Disaster Team #11 03-013
Disaster Team #12 03-014
Disaster Team #13 03-015
Disaster Team #14 03-016
Event Special Events (Channel #1) 03-021
Event Special Events (Channel #2) 03-022
Event Special Events (Channel #3) 03-023
Event Special Events (Channel #4) 03-024
Event Special Events (Channel #5) 03-025
Event Special Events (Channel #6) 03-026
Event Special Events (Channel #7) 03-027
Event Special Events (Channel #8) 03-030
Event Special Events (Channel #9) 03-031
Event Special Events (Channel #10) 03-032
Event Special Events (Channel #11) 03-033
Event Special Events (Channel #12) 03-034
Event Special Events (Channel #13) 03-035
Event Special Events (Channel #14) 03-036
Event Special Events (Fleet Call) 03-020
Event Special Events (Supervisor) 03-037

System: Hillsboro County, Florida (B System)
County: Hillsborough
Type: G.E. Ericsson
Use: Hillsborough County Sheriff, Fire Department & EMS

Frequencies:
866.2250 (1) 866.7500 (2) 867.0625 (3) 868.5250 (4) 868.7125 (5)
868.1250 (7)

HILLSBOROUGH COUNTY
STATION LOCATION ADDRESS
1 Progress Village 3302 S. 78th St.
2 Lithia 6726 Lithia-Pinecrest Rd.
3 Summerfield 11101 Big Bend Rd.
4 Armwood 11826 State Road 92
6 Henderson Road 10100 Henderson Rd.
7 South Brandon 122 W. Bloomingdale Ave.
8 Sundance 602 Lightfoot Rd.
9 Sabal Park 3225 N. Falkenburg Rd.
10 Armdale 8430 N. Grady Ave.
11 Brandon 117 Ridgewood Ave.
12 Gibsonton 8612 Gibsonton Dr.
13 Gunn Highway 7502 Gunn Highway
14 North Hillsborough 1404 E.131st. Ave.
15 Palm River 715 S. 58th St.
16 Riverview 9205 Kevin Dr.
17 Ruskin 101 First Ave. N.E.
18 Seffner-Mango 1706 S. Kingsway Rd.
19 Carrollwood 13201 N. Dale Mabry Highway
20 West Hillsborough 7020 W. Hillsborough Ave.
21 Thonotosassa 11641 Flint Ave.
22 Wimauma 1120 Seventh St.
23 Dover 3138 Sydney-Dover Rd.
24 Lutz 129 Lutz-Lake Fern Rd.
25 Springhead 4503 Coronet Rd.
26 Cork-Knights 5302 W. Thonotosassa Rd.
27 Bloomingdale 4705 E. Bloomingdale Ave.
28 Ed Powers 114 Pebble Beach Blvd.
29 Apollo Beach 626 Golf & Sea Blvd.
30 Midway 2426 Charlie Taylor Rd.
31 Town and Country 8901 Memorial Highway (West Hillsboro VFD)
32 East Lake 5808 Harney Rd.
33 Falkenburg 850 S. Falkenburg Rd.
34 Van Dyke 6415 Van Dyke Rd.
35 West Chase 401 Countryway Blvd.
36 Valrico 3210 S. 78th St

Pinellas Co.:

System: Clearwater, City of
County: Pinellas
Type: G.E. Ericsson

Use: Clearwater Police Department

Frequencies:
Logical Channel Numbering:
854.9625 (1) 855.7125 (2) 855.9625 (3) 856.4375 (4) 857.4375 (5)
857.9875 (6) 858.4375 (7) 858.9875 (8) 859.4375 (9) 860.4375 (10)
855.4875 (11)

Police Operations:
Police Clearwater Police (Agency Call) 02-000
Police Clearwater Police (Alpha) F-49 02-091
Police Clearwater Police (Beach Operations - F-15) 02-025
Police Clearwater Police (BOLO Tac #1) 02-045
Police Clearwater Police (BOLO Tac #2) 02-046
Police Clearwater Police (BOLO Tac #3) 02-047
Police Clearwater Police (Bravo) F-50 02-092
Police Clearwater Police (Car to Car - East) F-14 02-024
Police Clearwater Police (Car to Car - West) F-10 02-032
Police Clearwater Police (Channel #10) 02-032
Police Clearwater Police (East Dispatch - F13) 02-023
Police Clearwater Police (Hostage Negotiators) 02-065
Police Clearwater Police (Information - F12) 02-022
Police Clearwater Police (Information - F16) 02-026
Police Clearwater Police (Investigations) 02-041
Police Clearwater Police (Law Enforcement #1) 02-085
Police Clearwater Police (Law Enforcement #2) 02-086
Police Clearwater Police (Law Enforcement #3) 02-087
Police Clearwater Police (Patrol #3/SO Patch) F-48 02-090
Police Clearwater Police (Patrol Tac #2) F-17 02-027
Police Clearwater Police (Patrol Tac #3) F-18 02-030
Police Clearwater Police (Patrol Tac #4) F-19 02-031
Police Clearwater Police (Staff) F-41 02-081
Police Clearwater Police (Supervisors) F-42 02-082
Police Clearwater Police (SWAT) F-34 02-064
Police Clearwater Police (Training #1) F-43 02-083
Police Clearwater Police (Training #2) F-44 02-084
Police Clearwater Police (Vice Operations) 02-062
Police Clearwater Police (Vice Tac) 02-063
Police Clearwater Police (West Dispatch - F11) 02-021

Other Operations:
Lifeguard Clearwater (Lifeguards) 10-042

Public Works has all MOVED TO NEXTEL!

System: Pinellas County, Florida
County: Pinellas
Type: II (SmartZone in the process of being installed)

Use: Pinellas County Sheriff Fire, EMS, Animal Control, Largo Police,
Pinellas Park Police, Bellair Police, Tarpon Springs Policle,
Saint Petesburg Beach Police, Teasure Island Police and

Sunstar Ambulance

Frequencies:
System #1:
856.2375 856.4625 856.7375 856.9625 857.2375
857.4625 857.7375 857.9625 858.2375 858.4625
858.7375 858.9625 859.2375 859.4625 859.7375
859.9625 860.2375 860.4625 860.7375 860.9625

System #2:
866.0875 866.1625 866.2000 866.3000 866.3375
866.5375 866.5875 866.9000 867.0875 867.1500
868.0750 868.1625 868.2000 868.3500 868.3750
868.4125 868.6250 868.6625 868.6250 868.9125

Fire Operations:
Fire Pinellas County Fire Dispatch (1 Alpha) 11712
Fire Pinellas County Fire Dispatch (1 Bravo) 11744
Fire Pinellas County Fire North Tac (1 Charlie) 11776
Fire Pinellas County Fire North Incid. (1 Delta) 11808
Fire Pinellas County Fire Mid County (1 Echo) 11840
Fire Pinellas County Fire Mid County (1 Foxtrot) 11872
Fire Pinellas County Fire Incidents (1 Golf) 11904
Fire Pinellas County Fire Tac (1 Hotel) 11936
Fire Pinellas County Fire Tac (1 India) 11968
Fire Pinellas County Fire Tac (1 Juliet) 12320
Fire Pinellas County Fire Tac (1 Kilo) 12352
Fire Pinellas County Fire Admin. (3 Alpha) 12000
Fire Pinellas County Fire Admin. (3 Bravo) 12032
Fire Pinellas County Fire Admin. (3 Charlie) 12064
Fire Pinellas County Fire Admin. (3 Delta) 12096
Fire Pinellas County Fire Admin. (3 Echo) 12128
Fire Pinellas County Fire Admin. (3 Foxtrot) 12160
Fire Pinellas County Fire Admin. (3 Golf) 12192

PINELLAS COUNTY FIRE DISPATCH CODES:

Signal 1 Medical emergency Signal 7 Marine response
Signal 2 Single engine response Signal 8 Air transport upgrade
Signal 3 Vehicle accident Signal 9 Extrication upgrade
Signal 4 Odor of smoke Signal 12 Transformer/Tree fire
Signal 5 Fire alarm Alert 1 Aircraft possible problem
Signal 6 Haz-Mat Alert 3 Aircraft pilot distress call
Alert 5 Aircraft down
PINELLAS COUNTY
STATION LOCATION ADDRESS
1 St. Petersburg FD
2 St. Petersburg FD
3 St. Petersburg FD 2701 5th Ave. S.
4 St. Petersburg FD 2501 4th St. N.
5 St. Petersburg FD 1001 16th St. S.
6 St. Petersburg FD 901 49th St. N.

7 St. Petersburg FD 6975 9th St. N.
8 St. Petersburg FD 4701 9th St. S.
9 St. Petersburg FD 475 66th St. N.
10 St. Petersburg FD 2800 30th Ave N.
11 St. Petersburg FD 5150 31st St. S.
12 St. Petersburg FD 1651 Bayou Grande Blvd.
13 St. Petersburg FD
17 Gulfport FD 5314 24th Ave. S.
18 Lealman Fire & Rescue 4017 56th Ave. N.
19 Kenneth City FD 4600 58th St. N.
20 South Pasedena FD 911 Oleander Way S.
22 St. Petersburg Beach FD 1950 Pass-A-Grille Way
23 St. Petersburg Beach FD 7301 Gulf Blvd. (HQ)
24 Treasure Island FD 180 108th Ave.
25 Madeira Beach FD 300 Municipal Dr.
26 Redington Beach? 101 164th Ave.
28 Indian Rocks 15501 94th Ave. N.
30 West Pinellas Park Starkey Rd.
31 Seminole 13091 88th Ave. N.
32 Walsingham
33 Pinellas Park FD Sta.1 5000 82nd Ave.
34 Pinellas Park FD Sta.2
35 Pinellas Park FD Sta.3
36 Pinellas Park FD Sta.4
37 Largo FD 2905 West Bay Dr.
38 Largo FD 7630 Ulmerton Rd.
39 Largo FD 12398 134th Ave. N.
40 Largo FD 2990 Whitney Rd.
41 Largo FD 250 Cleveland Ave. SW
42 Largo FD 115 Belcher Rd. N.
43 Belleair Bluffs FD 682 Indian Rocks Rd.
44 Belleair FD
45 Clearwater FD Sta.1 610 Franklin St.
46 Clearwater FD Sta.2 534 Mandalay Ave.
47 Clearwater FD Sta.3 1460 Lakeview Ave.
48 Clearwater FD Sta.4 709 Belcher Rd.
49 Clearwater FD Sta.5 520 Sky Harbor Dr.
50 Clearwater FD Sta.6 2681 Countryside Blvd.
52 Safety Harbor FD Sta.1 700 Main St.
53 Safety Harbor FD Sta.2 13095 McMullen Booth Rd.
54 Oldsmar FD 101 State St.
56 East Lake FD 1933 East Lake Rd.
57 East Lake FD 3375 Tarpon Lake Blvd.
58 East Lake FD 3280 Keystone Rd.
60 Dunedin FD Sta.1 1046 Virginia St.
61 Dunedin FD Sta.2 1941 Ed Eckert Dr.
62 Dunedin FD Sta.3 2833 Belcher Rd.
65 Palm Harbor FD 250 West Lake Rd.
66 Palm Harbor FD 1123 Illinois Ave.
67 Palm Harbor FD 2300 Glen Eages Pkwy.

68 Palm Harbor FD 3007 Alternate 19N
69 Tarpon Springs FD 325 Lemon St.
70 Tarpon Springs FD 1025 Gulf Rd.

EMS Operations:
EMS Pinellas County Fire Med Com (4 Alpha) 12480
EMS Pinellas County Fire Med Com (4 Bravo) 12512
EMS Pinellas County Fire Med Com (4 Charlie) 12544
EMS Pinellas County Fire Med Com (5 Alpha) 11232
EMS Pinellas County Fire Med Com (5 Bravo) 11264
EMS Pinellas County Fire Med Com (5 Charlie) 11296
EMS Pinellas County Fire Med Com (5 Delta) 11328
EMS Pinellas County Fire Med Com (5 Echo) 11360
EMS Pinellas County Fire Med Com (5 Foxtrot) 11392
EMS Pinellas County Fire Med Com (5 Golf) 11424
EMS Sunstar (Channel 5-A) 11232
EMS Sunstar (Channel 5-B) 11264
EMS Sunstar (Channel 5-C) 11296
EMS Sunstar (Channel 5-D) 11328
EMS Sunstar (Channel 5-E) 11360
EMS Sunstar (Channel 5-F) 11392
EMS Sunstar (Channel 5-G) 11424

SUNSTAR AMBULANCE EMERGENCY MEDICAL CODES:
CODE 0 Non-Medical Transport CODE 4 Private Emergency
CODE 1 Route Call CODE 5 Fire/PD Immediate
CODE 2 Private Immediate CODE 6 Round Trip
CODE 3 Fire/PD Emergency Call CODE 7 Dead Person

Police Operations:
Patrol Florida Highway Patrol (Channel #1) 14912
Patrol Florida Highway Patrol (Channel #2) 14944
Patrol Florida Highway Patrol (Channel #3) 14976
Patrol Florida Highway Patrol (Channel #4) 15008
Police Bay Pines VA Police 9248
Police Bellair Police (A) 9088
Police Bellair Police (B) 9120
Police Clearwater Police (A) 9920
Police Clearwater Police (B) 9952
Police Florida Department of Law Enforcement 16320
Police Gulfport Police (Channel #1) 9312
Police Gulfport Police (Channel #2) 9344
Police Indian Shores Police 9440
Police Kenneth City Police (Channel A) 9568
Police Kenneth City Police (Channel B) 9600
Police Largo Police (Car to car) 9824
Police Largo Police (Channel #4) 9760
Police Largo Police (Channel #5) 9792
Police Largo Police (Dispatch) 9696
Police Largo Police (Information) 9728
Police Pinellas Park Police (Dispatch) 8768

Police Pinellas Park Police (Channel #2) 8800
Police Pinellas Park Police (Channel #3) 8832
Police Pinnelas Park Police (Channel #4) 8864
Police Redington Park PD (Channel #3) 9440
Police Saint Petersburg Beach Police (Channel #1) 10144
Police Saint Petersburg Beach Police (Channel #2) 10176
Police Tarpon Springs Police (Channel A) 8192
Police Tarpon Springs Police (Channel B) 8224
Police Treasure Island Police (Channel #1) 10272
Police Treasure Island Police (Channel #2) 10304
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (Airport Ops #1) 14624
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (Airport Ops #2) 14656
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (CID) 13984
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (CID) 14304
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (CID - Channel A) 14048
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (CID - Channel B) 14080
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (CID - Channel C) 14112
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (CID - Channel D) 14144
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (CID - Channel E) 14176
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (CID - Channel F) 14208
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (CID - Channel G) 14240
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (CID/Surveillance) 13088
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (CID/Surveillance) 13120
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (Civil Service) 13440
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (Patrol 1) 12832
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (Patrol 2) 12864
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (Patrol 3) 12896
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (Patrol 4) 12928
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (Patrol 5) 12960
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff 12953
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (Patrol B-1) 13760
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (Patrol B-2) 13792
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (Patrol B-3) 13824
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (Patrol B-4) 13856
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (Patrol B-5) 13472
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (Forensics) 13664
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (L.E. 1) 8352
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (L.E. 2) 8384
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (L.E. 3) 8416
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (L.E. 4/Pursuit) 8480
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (Negotiation Team) 14496
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (Ops #1) 14400
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (Ops #2) 14432
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (Comm / Maint) 8736
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (S.E. 1) 8256
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (S.E. 2) 8288
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (S.E. 3) 8320
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (SRO) 13312
Sheriff Pinellas County Sheriff (SWAT) 14368

Other Operations:
Animal Pinellas County Animal Control (Channel A) 26169
Animal Pinellas County Animal Control (Channel B) 26368
Attorney Florida State Attorney (Channel #1) 16032
Attorney Florida State Attorney (Channel #2) 16064
Attorney Florida State Attorney (Channel #3) 16096
Attorney Florida State Attorney (Channel #4) 16128
Schools Pinellas County Schools (Police) 9184
Works Pinellas County Public Works 200 Series

Largo PD uses clear speech, with a few exceptions:
Code 1-Not used
Code 2-Use caution
Code 2G-Gang related
Code 2M-Mentally ill person
Code 3-Emergency situation exists
Code 4-No assistance required
Code 5-Confidential information
Code 6M-Misdemeanor warrant
Code 6M/DL-Suspended/Revoked drivers license
Code 6F-Felony warrant
Code 7-Out of service
Code 8-Improper radio procedures
Code 9-No backup available/needed

They also use disposition codes to close-out calls when they are clear
from a call. Some of those are:
x1-Incident report
x2-Supplement report
x3-Handled by volunteers
x4-Handled by code enforcement
x5-Handled by officer
x6-Gone on arrival
x7-Unfounded
x14-Citation issued
x15-Warning issued
x28-Referred to other agency

Sometimes you might hear an officer something other things...
5404-driving under the influence
650-drugs

TROPICANA FIELD ST PETERSBURG 463.6375 D-712 SECURITY REPEATER F1
TROPICANA FIELD ST PETERSBURG 467.1125 D-712 CONTROL F2
TROPICANA FIELD ST PETERSBURG 461.5875 D-712 SECURITY F3
TROPICANA FIELD ST PETERSBURG 463.3125 SECURITY F4
TROPICANA FIELD ST PETERSBURG 464.6250 D-754 OPERATIONS/EMS
TROPICANA FIELD ST PETERSBURG 464.3250 D-731 PARKING
TROPICANA FIELD ST PETERSBURG 461.0375 D-116 MAINTENANCE
TROPICANA FIELD ST PETERSBURG 467.0375 CONCESSION
TROPICANA FIELD ST PETERSBURG 175.0000 CSQ WIRELESS MIKE

System: Saint Petersburg, City of
County: Pinellas
Type: II

Use: Saint Petersburg Police

Frequencies:
856.2125 856.7125 856.9375 857.2125 857.7125
857.9375 858.2125 858.7125 858.9375 859.2125
859.7125 859.9375 860.2125 860.7125 860.9375

Police Operations:
Police Saint Petersburg Police (Channel #1) 8224
Police Saint Petersburg Police (Channel #2) 8256
Police Saint Petersburg Police (Channel #3) 8288
Police Saint Petersburg Police (Delta) 8320
Police Saint Petersburg Police (Echo) 8352
Police Saint Petersburg Police (Foxtrot) 8384
Police Saint Petersburg Police (Gulf) 8416
Police Saint Petersburg Police (Hotel) 8448
Police Saint Petersburg Police (India) 8480
Police Saint Petersburg Police (Juliet) 8512
Police Saint Petersburg Police (Kilo) 8544
Police Saint Petersburg Police (Lima) 8864
Police Saint Petersburg Police (Special Events #4) 8576
Police Saint Petersburg Police 8608
Police Saint Petersburg Police 8968
Police Saint Petersburg Police 8736
Police Saint Petersburg Police (Narcotics) 8928

Other Operations:
Engineering Saint Petersburg Engineering Department 300 Series
Works Saint Petersburg Public Works 400 Series

"The only thing I have to fear is tyranny." Jeanne D'Arc

"Love is a dangerous angel"

AOSC#: FL-41-1184
The Gulf Coast & Central Florida Scanner Frequency Page
http://www.gccflscan.f2s.com
Mansota FL Scanner Mailing List -
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/mansotaflscan
Join the Radio Scanner Web Ring - Find a scanner web site quickly!
http://wpascanner.home.dyndns.org/scnrng.htm

Just say NO to Peking 2008 Olymics NO! ! SUPPORT A US BOYCOTT!

You SPAM me, I report YOU! It's that simple!

4379. Re: value PRO-2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 14:22:00 UTC
From: MaCalester <Far@way.com>
Message-ID: <tpf1mv1pcbc6d7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60347

I'll give you $50 for it.

"Monte Keller" <k9dzd@home.com> wrote in message
news:jgBl7.38201$xb.19805161@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> i have a like new,,radio shack PRO-2045
> box,manual power cube,antenna..excellent shape
>
> can someone tell me the value,,thinking of selling or trading
>
> monte k9dzd@home.com
>
>
>

4380. Re: Cows eat poop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 15:47:12 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tpf6j18575ttad@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60348

Many, many moons ago, a vet brought his electronic bull stimulator into our two way shop (don't ask why he thought they had something in common...), that has a probe to stick in the south end of a north bound bull, and you can figure out the rest. Why they can't just go into the bathroom and do it in a jar, like everyone else - but, I regress - In the course of going through the manual, we learned that the asshole impedance of a bull is ~300 ohms!! Now you can sleep better, knowing this invaluable piece of information.....
Cheers n beers y'all..
Don

Thor <man@arms.com> wrote in message
news:tpabe9oj5po244@corp.supernews.com...
> On hot June Days.
>
>

4381. Re: What to listen to at the Indianapolis F1 Grand prix at the end of this month? - f1data.pdf (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 17:04:11 UTC
From: nunya <nunya@biznezz.net>
Message-ID: <pvafpt8trcsc2hhjet3d7tn0o05ek36qii@4ax.com>
Source record: 60349
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

Jay:

I'll be there as well and have been poking around a bit. The best doc
I found was here:

http://home.earthlink.net/~dougfisher/other.html#_Auto_Racing

If you have a BC-245XLT he's even got the data file to program your
scanner for you!

Dan B.
MN

On Mon, 03 Sep 2001 22:33:05 GMT, "Jay J" <jay___j@hotmail.com.NOSPAM>
wrote:

>>However, I found most channels to be garbled static and a few were in
>different languages.<
>>Mostly I just listed to race control and the telecasts. Maybe they gave me
>bogus frequencies or<
>>maybe they're all scrambled, I don't know. If somebody has better info I'm
>interested too.<
>
>
>That was pretty much what I experienced, however a BIG thank you to F.
>Yaskin and Mark P Gregoire for the lists and website info! Hopefully those
>numbers will still be up to date in another month?!
>
>I did a LOT of searching on the internet before last years USGP, and while I
>was able to find a lot of sites that listed the teams frequencies, none of
>them were up to date.
>
>Here in the USA, most CART, IRL and NASCAR events have a few different
>vendors renting scanners for the weekend, and at these booths/trailers you
>can buy (usually for $5 US) an updated frequency list for the weekends
>event, but I never saw a "track scan" or "racing radios" trailer at the USGP
>last year. Not sure which booth on Georgetown Road you found your list but
>would be curious to know if it was one of the "regular" scanner rental
>trailers or some privateer?
>
>Regards,
>Jay J
>Dallas TX.
>

>
>"CFster" <cfster@home.com> wrote in message
>news:a2Ik7.302325$v5.30466117@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com...
>> Well, I visited a booth on Georgetown Ave outside the track on Sat. - they
>> told me to come back on Sun. morning and they would have updated
>> frequencies. So I did and they gave me a complete list. However, I found
>> most channels to be garbled static and a few were in different languages.
>> Mostly I just listed to race control and the telecasts.
>> Maybe they gave me bogus frequencies or maybe they're all scrambled, I
>don't
>> know. If somebody has better info I'm interested too.
>>
>> CFster
>>
>> "Austin Powers" <austinpowers@pobox.com> wrote in message
>> news:3b926ac6_1@Usenet.com...
>> > If I took my scanner, could anyone tell me of any relevant frequencies
>to
>> > listen to?
>> >
>> > Thanks,
>> >
>> > Peter
>> >
>> >
>> >
>> > Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services
>> > --
>> > ** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
>> > --
>> > http://www.usenet.com
>>
>>
>

4382. Re: Las Vegas Hotel/Casino Frequencies...got 'em?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 17:16:44 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906131644.18143.00000200@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 60350

In article <ntrept0u0mr8r4j53b0kee6t7jclaif943@4ax.com>, Email to above or see post sig for address! <KXDPHYAXUJTB@spammotel.com> writes:

>Caveat Emptor: CASINOS DO NOT LIKE RADIOS in the Casino/Gaming area!

Good advice. Best way to scan is from your hotel room. Use an external antenna, if needed, for adequate reception. I have found the standard RS mag mount scanner antenna, stuck on a metal object (like your room safe) will do wonders in these situations.

4383. Re: Las Vegas Hotel/Casino Frequencies...got 'em?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 18:20:36 UTC
From: snoopy@seashell.sasquatch.com
Message-ID: <wv9n148chaj.fsf@seashell.sasquatch.com>
Source record: 60351

I just got back from there. about the only place to use scanners is in
the room and maybe poolside. ABSOLUTELY NOT in the casinos!! It will
not be a good experience for you.

--Stan

toomanyradios@aol.com (TooManyRadios) writes:

>
> In article <ntrept0u0mr8r4j53b0kee6t7jclaif943@4ax.com>, Email to
> above or see post sig for address! <KXDPHYAXUJTB@spammotel.com>
> writes:
>
> >Caveat Emptor: CASINOS DO NOT LIKE RADIOS in the Casino/Gaming area!
>
> Good advice. Best way to scan is from your hotel room. Use an
> external antenna, if needed, for adequate reception. I have found the
> standard RS mag mount scanner antenna, stuck on a metal object (like
> your room safe) will do wonders in these situations.

4384. Virtual downconversion ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 19:22:59 UTC
From: Bob <bigbadwolf.1@netzero.com>
Message-ID: <n2QI7.167366$k7.40856160@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 60352
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Where can I find more information on virtual downconversion ??

Can someone explain to how a person owning a Pro-2026 is able to receive cell phone conversations on 462.950 or 472.260 ??

4385. Re: Las Vegas Hotel/Casino Frequencies...got 'em?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 20:18:44 UTC
From: Hymen Roth <Hymen@Roth.net>
Message-ID: <tpfmr08gnsgq6a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60353

Why press your luck?

HY

<myemail@goes.here.com> wrote in message
news:jtjfpt09rirvvk709lduofss53kerh9pu2@4ax.com...
> How will it not? Did they rough you up?
>
> A hidden radio with a earpiece they can't tell. Just don't
> gamble with it.
>
> >It will
> >not be a good experience for you.
> >
> >--Stan
>

4386. Icom R7000 Scanner Receiver $600

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 20:58:25 UTC
From: SwA <steveaaronNOSPAM@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tpfouu664tp2b9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60354
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Icom Scanner for sale. This scanner receives from 25mhz to 2 gig with a small gap in the middle. This is a large serious type model. Originally sold for almost two thousand dollars. Currently these scanners sell on Ebay for up to 800US depending on shape. Because we are in Canada I would have to go through too much paper work to send to the US so I will sell it here. I have contacted two Communication stores in Toronto to sell these to them they said the would sell this in there shop for $750 to $850 but only offer me $525.00 to 600.00 trade in value. Needless to say I prefer cash instead of trade in value so that is why I am trying the news groups. I will only ship to Canadian addresses if nessesary or if you are in my area I can arrange for delivery at an extra cost to the purchaser. I can also email pictures to you if requested.

For more info please email me.

steveaaronNOSPAM@hotmail.com

Please remove NOSPAM from address before responding.

4387. Re: Cows eat poop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 21:10:06 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <OCRI7.18517$Qt3.275064@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 60355

Yeah, but isn't that only cat poop they eat? :)

Ryan

"Mike Burch" <libertybell7@home.com> wrote in message
news:3B9605D5.5AD733EE@home.com...
>
>
> > So do dogs, and they are not to picky on the time of season.
>
> Mike k8MB
>
>

4388. Re: Best Software for BC780?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 21:46:40 UTC
From: Insight <insight@inmymind.gap>
Message-ID: <Xns9114AA745F168insight@216.166.62.32>
Source record: 60356

I've read ScanPro's web page about their software making the 780 a 10,000 channel scanner, including more search groups than come from the factory, etc.

I've been leery of the software because of these claims and the low price.

Can you give us a rundown of your experience with these features.

TIA.

insight

lonnie@lonniesplace.com (Lonnie) wrote in news:3b905cb9.12721486@anonymous.anonymousnewsfeed.com:

>
> I have been using the scanpro780 for a few weeks and it is great, It
> takes a few seconds to load each bank but it is very easy to use.
> I got it at a hamfest but it is also at www.bc780xlt.net
> I am thinking of getting the frequency logger program, I used the 900
> number for uniden and now I have 300 channels of mostly crap, maybe
> the logger will sort it out. Will let everyone know how it works.

4389. scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 21:54:14 UTC
From: known@msn.com
Message-ID: <1a81d61e.0109061354.3ebaf1a2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60357

R/S PRO 62 EXCELLENT SHAPE 200 CHANNEL 100.00 SHIPPED

4390. ACARS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 22:32:05 UTC
From: Joseph <jgruber@helios.acomp.usf.edu>
Message-ID: <FPSI7.41483$aZ.12016421@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 60358
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am new to scanning & I have heard of ACARS. I got AirNav's ACARS decoder so I just need to know what frequencies to listen on. I've gotten some of the internet but I'm not sure if they are working because I'm not hearing anything. So what are some frequencies & what does it sound like??

BTW, I have a SportCat/BearCat 180

Thanks,
Joe

4391. Re: ACARS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-06 23:57:47 UTC
From: Daniel Davison <danield@academy.co.uk>
Message-ID: <tpg3e62mt64dfd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60359
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You won't hear anything much, only squeaks and clicks, in quite short bursts. Hook up your scanner to the PC, start the software and wait. I get quite good results in the UK.

Daniel.....
(http://www.air.academy.co.uk)

"Joseph" <jgruber@helios.acomp.usf.edu> wrote in message news:FPSl7.41483$aZ.12016421@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> I am new to scanning & I have heard of ACARS. I got AirNav's ACARS decoder
> so I just need to know what frequencies to listen on. I've gotten some of
> the internet but I'm not sure if they are working because I'm not hearing
> anything. So what are some frequencies & what does it sound like??
>
> BTW, I have a SportCat/BearCat 180
>
> Thanks,
> Joe
>
>

4392. Re: ACARS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 00:09:55 UTC
From: Daniel Davison <danield@academy.co.uk>
Message-ID: <tpg42lhf1g3600@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60360
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

I nicked this from a web site for you......

131.550 Primary Channel worldwide

129.125 Additional channel for USA & Canada
130.025 Secondary channel for USA and Canada
130.425 Additional channel for USA
130.450 Additional channel for USA & Canada
131.125 Additional channel for USA
131.450 Primary channel for Japan
131.475 Air Canada company channel
131.525 European secondary
131.725 Primary channel in Europe
136.700 Additional channel for USA
136.750 Additional channel for USA
136.800 Additional channel for USA
136.900 European secondary
136.925 ARINC European Channel
136.85 SITA Canadian Frequency

"Joseph" <jgruber@helios.acomp.usf.edu> wrote in message
news:FPSl7.41483$aZ.12016421@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> I am new to scanning & I have heard of ACARS. I got AirNav's ACARS
decoder
> so I just need to know what frequencies to listen on. I've gotten some of
> the internet but I'm not sure if they are working because I'm not hearing
> anything. So what are some frequencies & what does it sound like??
>
> BTW, I have a SportCat/BearCat 180
>
> Thanks,
> Joe
>
>

4393. Re: Vancouver, B.C. Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 00:18:18 UTC
From: Mike <lostinsydney@bigpond.com>
Message-ID: <ToFI7.1163$c5.4858@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 60341

Vancouver PD, Fire and other areas in the GVRD are using the edacs
(pro-voice) and are very digitial !! I think the EHS are analog so good
luck.

Mike

"Legend56BC" <panel56@intergate.ca> wrote in message
news:tpbadrj8qb90df@corp.supernews.com...
> I think Vancouver and other parts of the lower mainland went to a new high
> tech system,can't remember the name of it but they are going through one
> central service.I was still able to get Langley and some out lying areas
on
> my old scanner last winter....Just waiting for my BC895XLT to come and
will
> try again...
> Paul
>
> --
> "Ok Honey I'll do it right after this Race"
> Pauls Cyber Garage Sale!
> http://communities.msn.ca/StuffForSaleMainlyChevy/homepage
> http://modena.intergate.ca/personal/panel56/
> "D.M." <bbford@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3b9307b6$0$21738@fountain.mindlink.net...
> > I am looking for any information on the police frequencies in Vancouver,
> > B.C.
> > There used to be several between 142 and 143mhz, but they moved some
time
> > ago.
> > Anyone have any information?
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4394. Re: Yet to buy a scanner....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 00:18:49 UTC
From: not4u2no <etphone@home.com>
Message-ID: <9n93ml$d49$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 60361

read a book at your local library, if they have one in Libia...

"A. A." <aa@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:9n7ert$9fj10@rain.i-cable.com...
> OK, i may sound funny, but well, while just searching the groups i happen
to
> see the radio scanner group. curiously i read few of its messages and it
> seems interesting to listen police, fire and other dept. i am sll new to
it.
>
> i understand you nedd scanner and then you should know the freq. but is it
> difficult to operate the scanner. is it that simple that you input the
freq.
> in the scanner and there you go listen all the interesting stuff.
>
> which scanner is good at moderate price say 200-300 dollars?
>
> thanks
>
>

4395. Washington D.C.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 01:03:24 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <w1Vl7.4351$tb.988641@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60362

Does anyone know if the Wash D.C. police are trunked yet? I've found regular frequencies and a set of trunked frequencies that are "For Future Use", but the page didn't specify when they were going trunked. I'll be visiting D.C. in a few weeks, so if anyone can direct me to a good freq resource, I'd appreciate it.

Thanks

Paul W.
NJ

4396. Re: TAMPA BAY frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 01:05:09 UTC
From: stevewfd@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010906210509.22585.00000357@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 60363

>
>Looking for freqs for Pinellas and Hillsborough counties, including Macdill
>

TRY
http://www.cityfreq.com/fl/
and look up what you want

STEPHEN WOLF

4397. Re: ACARS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 01:54:35 UTC
From: GER <grenner@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <vNVI7.2287$CB3.365826@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 60365
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In the US the primary is 131.55. Make sure the squelch is all the way off on your scanner and you are hooked into the line in jack of your sound card. It does work on the mic in jack but not as well.

Listen to 131.55 with the squelch off for a while and you should hear these little very short burst type noises. It also helps to be close to your local airport.

The AirNav decoder is the best I have found, getting the most messages without error. Also, on the lower right side of the computer screen you should see the signal strength meter measuring the sound coming into the sound card. If this is not moving check you connections, or under file in the program check the start stop choice and your sound card settings.

Greg
"Joseph" <jgruber@helios.acomp.usf.edu> wrote in message
news:FPSl7.41483$aZ.12016421@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> I am new to scanning & I have heard of ACARS. I got AirNav's ACARS
decoder
> so I just need to know what frequencies to listen on. I've gotten some of
> the internet but I'm not sure if they are working because I'm not hearing
> anything. So what are some frequencies & what does it sound like??
>
> BTW, I have a SportCat/BearCat 180
>
> Thanks,
> Joe
>
>

4398. Re: Radio Shack Pro-2050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 02:21:54 UTC
From: Kane <dwc_vancouver@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <6bWl7.7344$C57.835126@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 60366
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That radio should work around Ottawa no problem. I have a bc200xltr that suits my needs quite well for at home. I use my FT-50 for a lot of portable scanning due to the fact is has a built in digital voice recorder.. its a nice toy thats for sure... Here is a frequency list for Ottawa if you need it:

http://home.achilles.net/~dhoisak/freq/ottawa.html

"Champ" <Champ_not@home.com> wrote in message
news:P4Dl7.108166$n75.26221634@news4.rdc1.on.home.com...
> I am wondering about this radio, apparently it is on sale for $299 at
radio
> shack. ($CDN) It is a trunk tracking radio, I am just wondering how well
> it would work around the Ottawa, ON region and does it have the pl tone
> board in it and if it doesn't can one be put in.
>
> Randy
>
>

4399. Re: Vancouver, B.C. Police

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 02:33:24 UTC
From: Kane <dwc_vancouver@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <UlWl7.7425$C57.838861@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 60368

Yeah unfortunatly I think they are using AEGIS encryption on top of EDACS digital.. Its quite a shame i think. I used to hear some good action on those old vhf frequencies.

"Mike" <lostinsydney@bigpond.com> wrote in message news:ToFl7.1163$c5.4858@newsfeeds.bigpond.com...
> Vancouver PD, Fire and other areas in the GVRD are using the edacs
> (pro-voice) and are very digitial !! I think the EHS are analog so good
> luck.
>
> Mike
>
>
> "Legend56BC" <panel56@intergate.ca> wrote in message
> news:tpbadrj8qb90df@corp.supernews.com...
> > I think Vancouver and other parts of the lower mainland went to a new high
> > tech system,can't remember the name of it but they are going through one
> > central service.I was still able to get Langley and some out lying areas
> on
> > my old scanner last winter....Just waiting for my BC895XLT to come and
> will
> > try again...
> > Paul
> >
> > --
> > "Ok Honey I'll do it right after this Race"
> > Pauls Cyber Garage Sale!
> > http://communities.msn.ca/StuffForSaleMainlyChevy/homepage
> > http://modena.intergate.ca/personal/panel56/
> > "D.M." <bbford@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:3b9307b6$0$21738@fountain.mindlink.net...
> > > I am looking for any information on the police frequencies in Vancouver,
> > > B.C.
> > > There used to be several between 142 and 143mhz, but they moved some
> time
> > > ago.
> > > Anyone have any information?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

>
>

4400. Motorola GP63

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 02:35:30 UTC
From: Kirk <ff93@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3B98328E.50B68310@optonline.net>
Source record: 60367

Does anyone know where I can get a Motorola GP 63?
--
Take Care,
Kirk

4401. Re: How does a bc200xlt really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 02:41:42 UTC
From: Kane <dwc_vancouver@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <GtWl7.7486$C57.842535@news1.telusplanet.net>
Source record: 60369

At least your 200xlt actually works.. mine wont even power up.
"David Trickle" <gumby1@core.com> wrote in message
news:3b92edb8$0$42883$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> I have a couple of BC200xlt's that are broke. I have the schematics for
> them but I am having trouble understanding them. The block diagram that I
> have is really a mess and does not really match the schematic that came
with
> it. I am looking for information on the individual circuits that make up
> this unit. Can anyone help me out.
>
> Thanks David
>
>

4402. Re: Radio Shack Pro-2050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 03:16:15 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <3_Wl7.116351$n75.27660863@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 60370
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That site is totally outdated, you should try
http://www.iprimus.ca/~ebowlby great site and local. also check out our
group http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ottawascan

Kane <dwc_vancouver@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:6bWl7.7344$C57.835126@news1.telusplanet.net...
> That radio should work around Ottawa no problem. I have a bc200xltr that
> suits my needs quite well for at home. I use my FT-50 for a lot of
portable
> scanning due to the fact is has a built in digital voice recorder.. its a
> nice toy thats for sure... Here is a frequency list for Ottawa if you need
> it:
>
> http://home.achilles.net/~dhoisak/freq/ottawa.html
>
>
> "Champ" <Champ_not@home.com> wrote in message
> news:P4Dl7.108166$n75.26221634@news4.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > I am wondering about this radio, apparently it is on sale for $299 at
> radio
> > shack. ($CDN) It is a trunk tracking radio, I am just wondering how
well
> > it would work around the Ottawa, ON region and does it have the pl tone
> > board in it and if it doesn't can one be put in.
> >
> > Randy
> >
> >
>
>

4403. Binghamton NY Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 04:18:54 UTC
From: jayg359@aol.comNOSPAM
Message-ID: <20010907001854.20777.00000499@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 60371

Anyone have any information on frequencys and pl's for Binghamton area- I am going to be there for a few days and want to keep up on the local events - PD, FD, Colleges, etc.... are all appreciated!

thanks
Jay Goldmark, NYS EMT-D
Captain, Woodmere FD Rescue

"Enjoy Life, this is not a dress rehearsal !!!"

(remove NOSPAM to reply)

4404. Re: Las Vegas Hotel/Casino Frequencies...got 'em?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 04:38:21 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9n9iv1$687a9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60372

"Hymen Roth" <Hymen@Roth.net> wrote in message
news:tpfmr08gnsgq6a@corp.supernews.com...
> Why press your luck?
>

He is going to Las Vegas, isn't he?

Tom

4405. Any info on how to modify a AOR 2500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 04:38:31 UTC
From: Mike Corsino <mcorsino@prtc.net>
Message-ID: <WtVl7.257754$rV6.11810249@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 60364

I need help on how to modify an AOR 2500 scanner to receive full 800 mhz coverage

4406. Re: Virtual downconversion ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 05:07:12 UTC
From: ZOOM <kandrparker@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <JwYI7.30189$836.3505303@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 60373
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It's the other way around on a image! i.e some scanners will hear cell on 1200Mhz due to an image being produced that is able to get through the pass band of the receiver.

No cell heard on 400mhz unless he is using a converter. Not possible!

"Bob" <bigbadwolf.1@netzero.com> wrote in message news:n2Ql7.167366$k7.40856160@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> Where can I find more information on virtual downconversion ??
>
> Can someone explain to how a person owning a Pro-2026 is able to receive
> cell phone conversations on 462.950 or 472.260 ??
>
>
>

4407. Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 05:16:27 UTC
From: scottp@%HASH%.nospam.us.com
Message-ID: <5hlgpt8qraj80smigd2uj15fvb0vk04rit@4ax.com>
Source record: 60374

I recommend not to do business with:
http://www3.sympatico.ca/ChanLiYen/Cordless/list.htm
in buying a cell phone jammer.
I paid through PayPal for one in early July. It is early September
now. I filed a dispute with PayPal but they could not recover the
money. The seller seems to have gone quiet after a few email
exchanges.

Regards, Scott

4408. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 05:30:59 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B985B8A.9CFA9388@home.com>
Source record: 60375

I and anyone else that uses cellular phones should be happy that you
apparently got ripped off.

scottp@%HASH%.nospam.us.com wrote:
>
> I recommend not to do business with:
> http://www3.sympatico.ca/ChanLiYen/Cordless/list.htm
> in buying a cell phone jammer.
> I paid through PayPal for one in early July. It is early September
> now. I filed a dispute with PayPal but they could not recover the
> money. The seller seems to have gone quiet after a few email
> exchanges.
>
> Regards, Scott

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

4409. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 05:58:57 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9n9nh201f30@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60376

cells are ok to use. but it is a piss off when people use them while driving, eating at a restaurant, and even a theater or another quiet place. that is what friggin vibrate is for. Most people talk loud on a cell too. as if the other user could not use them. I do use a cell phone. but there are appropriate times and unappropriate times.

"Tim" <nightwatch01@home.com> wrote in message news:3B985B8A.9CFA9388@home.com...
> I and anyone else that uses cellular phones should be happy that you
> apparently got ripped off.
>
> scottp@%HASH%.nospam.us.com wrote:
> >
> > I recommend not to do business with:
> > http://www3.sympatico.ca/ChanLiYen/Cordless/list.htm
> > in buying a cell phone jammer.
> > I paid through PayPal for one in early July. It is early September
> > now. I filed a dispute with PayPal but they could not recover the
> > money. The seller seems to have gone quiet after a few email
> > exchanges.
> >
> > Regards, Scott
>
> --
> Nightwatch01@home.com

4410. Re: Virtual downconversion ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 06:09:41 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9n9o5601fpa@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60378
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I know the one scanner I did have. forsome reason had images spattered everywhere. it was a RS handheld or it was a uniden handheld. I forget which one. I had annoying cell calls on 30 Mhz area. 1?0 Mhz and in the 400 without a downconverter. I brought the radio back after a few days and said it was too hard to use with cells spattered everywhere. why not just buy a EU or canadian scanner. solves your prob down-converting.

"ZOOM" <kandrparker@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:JwYl7.30189$836.3505303@news20.bellglobal.com...
> It's the other way around on a image! i.e some scanners will hear cell on
> 1200Mhz due to an image being produced that is able to get through the
pass
> band of the receiver.
>
> No cell heard on 400mhz unless he is using a converter. Not possible!
>
> "Bob" <bigbadwolf.1@netzero.com> wrote in message
> news:n2Ql7.167366$k7.40856160@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> > Where can I find more information on virtual downconversion ??
> >
> > Can someone explain to how a person owning a Pro-2026 is able to
receive
> > cell phone conversations on 462.950 or 472.260 ??
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4411. Re: Yet to buy a scanner....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 06:24:40 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B986828.7C7CE4B0@mitre.org>
Source record: 60377

Try looking up information at the following sites;
<http://www.grove-ent.com>

<http://www.crbbook.com>

<http://www.scannermaster.com>

<http://www.strongsignals.net>

If there are trunking systems in your area get a trunk tracker,for
around $200-400, otherwise a $100-$200 conventional radios will work
O. K. Check out this info to see if scanners are legal in the country
where you live or travel: <http://www.strongsignals.net/htm/laws.htm>
Jim

"A. A." wrote:
>
> OK, i may sound funny, but well, while just searching the groups i happen to
> see the radio scanner group. curiously i read few of its messages and it
> seems interesting to listen police, fire and other dept. i am sll new to it.
>
> i understand you nedd scanner and then you should know the freq. but is it
> difficult to operate the scanner. is it that simple that you input the freq.
> in the scanner and there you go listen all the interesting stuff.
>
> which scanner is good at moderate price say 200-300 dollars?
>
> thanks

4412. Re: Las Vegas Hotel/Casino Frequencies...got 'em?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 07:31:09 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com>
Message-ID: <1J_l7.8298$E25.1519649@typhoon.san.rr.com>
Source record: 60379

<myemail@goes.here.com> wrote in message
news:jtjfpt09rirvvk709lduofss53kerh9pu2@4ax.com...
> How will it not? Did they rough you up?

How hard is this to guess? Casino gets mad at you, you get tossed out on your keister. And they warn all other casinos. Go home, you're not wanted around Vegas anymore.

> A hidden radio with a earpiece they can't tell. Just don't
> gamble with it.
>
> >It will
> >not be a good experience for you.

4413. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 12:05:05 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907080505.20655.00000657@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 60380

>but it is a piss off when people use them while
>driving, eating at a restaurant, and even a theater or another quiet place.

But who decides when and where to jam others' phone calls ... or ... who can make a phone call, and who can't?

If we follow the law, the F.C.C. says all devices that create interference cellular communications are illegal (not type-accepted).

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

4414. Re: How does a bc200xlt really work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 12:19:14 UTC
From: David Trickle <gumby1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3b98bc85$0$18886$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 60381

If you want to get rid of it I would like it for parts. Send me an email.

Thanks David
Kane <dwc_vancouver@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:GtWl7.7486$C57.842535@news1.telusplanet.net...
> At least your 200xlt actually works.. mine wont even power up.
> "David Trickle" <gumby1@core.com> wrote in message
> news:3b92edb8$0$42883$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> > I have a couple of BC200xlt's that are broke. I have the schematics for
> > them but I am having trouble understanding them. The block diagram that
I
> > have is really a mess and does not really match the schematic that came
> with
> > it. I am looking for information on the individual circuits that make
up
> > this unit. Can anyone help me out.
> >
> > Thanks David
> >
> >
>
>

4415. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 12:33:18 UTC
From: David Trickle <gumby1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3b98bfd0$0$18889$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 60383

Hey Jammers, I hope the next call you Jam is a cellular 911 call requesting an Ambulance for your daughter that was just involved in a major car creck!!!!

David Trickle,
Stevens Point Wisconsin

Jeff Multer <jamulter@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010907080505.20655.00000657@mb-fo.aol.com...
> >but it is a piss off when people use them while
> >driving, eating at a restaurant, and even a theater or another quiet place.
>
> But who decides when and where to jam others' phone calls ... or ... who can
> make a phone call, and who can't?
>
> If we follow the law, the F.C.C. says all devices that create interference
> cellular communications are illegal (not type-accepted).
>
> Jeff Multer
> Fort Mill, S.C.
>
>
>

4416. Re: Las Vegas Hotel/Casino Frequencies...got 'em?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 12:35:34 UTC
From: snoopy@seashell.sasquatch.com
Message-ID: <wv9lmjrch61.fsf@seashell.sasquatch.com>
Source record: 60382

You got it, Skip! If you've got nothing better to do than listen to a scanner while you're gambling, you deserve what you get. If you have an earphone stuck in your ear and walking around a casino, you're a threat to their security. Look at it from their point of view. All the security problems i've wittnesed, security comes out of nowhere and the suspect just disappears from the floor. It's kinda incredible really.

--snoopy

"Skip Sanders" <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com> writes:

>
>
> <myemail@goes.here.com> wrote in message
> news:jtjfpt09rirvvk709lduofss53kerh9pu2@4ax.com...
> > How will it not? Did they rough you up?
>
> How hard is this to guess? Casino gets mad at you, you get tossed out on
> your keister. And they warn all other casinos. Go home, you're not
> wanted around Vegas anymore.
>
> > A hidden radio with a earpiece they can't tell. Just don't
> > gamble with it.
> >
> > >It will
> > >not be a good experience for you.
>
>

4417. Re: ACARS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 13:12:08 UTC
From: Heather and Greg <heatherandgreg@home.com>
Message-ID: <Il3m7.8897$NK.801497@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 60384
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Finally figured out how to post correctly. Anyways, I downloaded wacars and have been trying to pick stuff up. I get all kinds of raw data, but I have only one aircraft I.D. Any suggestions on how to fine tune and can I decipher the raw data in some way?
Thank you
Greg
P.s. I am on the east coast right next to Oceana master jet base and am wondering if Giantkiller or the base itself may be interrupting the signal.
"Joseph" <jgruber@helios.acomp.usf.edu> wrote in message
news:FPSl7.41483$aZ.12016421@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> I am new to scanning & I have heard of ACARS. I got AirNav's ACARS decoder
> so I just need to know what frequencies to listen on. I've gotten some of
> the internet but I'm not sure if they are working because I'm not hearing
> anything. So what are some frequencies & what does it sound like??
>
> BTW, I have a SportCat/BearCat 180
>
> Thanks,
> Joe
>
>

4418. Re: ACARS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 13:28:17 UTC
From: rickgarrett80@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010907092817.04019.00000075@nso-fd.aol.com>
Source record: 60385

In article <FPSl7.41483$aZ.12016421@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>, "Joseph"
<jgruber@helios.acomp.usf.edu> writes:

>I am new to scanning & I have heard of ACARS. I got AirNav's ACARS decoder
>so I just need to know what frequencies to listen on

Is the AirNav decoder a sound card decoder? Is it downloadable, and where do I
get it?
\
Thanks,
Rick N9GSU

4419. Re: Binghamton NY Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 14:04:11 UTC
From: RCassetty <rcassetty@stny.com>
Message-ID: <tphkua2atr3p58@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60386

I don't know if this is going to work but here it goes...

Police
155.655 - State Police - F-1 (Troop C - Base)
154.950 - State Police - F-1 (Troop C - Mobile)
154.665 - State Police - F-2 (Unit-to-Unit)
154.695 - State Police - F-3 (Statewide Alerts/Emergencies)
155.565 - State Police - F-4 (Criminal Investigation/Tactical)
155.370 - State Police - F-5 (Statewide Police Channel)
155.475 - State Police - F-6 (Nationwide Intersystem)
155.070 - State Police
154.800 - County Sheriff - F-1 (Dispatch)
158.730 - County Sheriff - Unit-to-Unit
159.210 - County Sheriff - Tac-1
155.370 - County Sheriff - Statewide Police Channel
158.850 - Binghamton Police - F-1
155.535 - Binghamton Police - Narcotics/Investigations
154.800 - Binghamton Police - County Sheriff's Channel
155.370 - Binghamton Police - Statewide Police Channel
155.010 - Binghamton Police
453.100 - Binghamton Police
155.130 - Endicott Police
154.800 - Endicott Police - County Sheriff's Channel
155.370 - Endicott Police - Statewide Police Channel
155.430 - Johnson City Police
155.310 - Vestal Town Police - F-1
155.370 - Vestal Town Police - Statewide Police Channel
159.090 - Vestal Town Police
158.910 - Vestal Town Police
159.030 - Vestal Town Police

Fire

33.90 - Countywide Fire Communications - F-1 (Dispatch)(PL 91.5)
33.94 - Countywide Fire Communications - F-2 (PL 91.5)
33.98 - Countywide Fire Communications - F-3 (PL 91.5)
33.82 - Countywide Fire Communications - F-4 (PL 91.5)
45.88 - Countywide Fire Communications - Intercounty Mutual Aid
460.575 - Binghamton Fire Department - F-1 (PL 162.2)
154.370 - Endicott Fire Department - F-1
154.310 - Endicott Fire Department
460.625 - Johnson City Fire Department - F-1
465.525 - Johnson City Fire Department
154.310 - Vestal Fire District - F-1
153.770 - Vestal Fire District - F-2

153.890 - Vestal Fire District
154.355 - Vestal Fire District
453.050 - Vestal Fire District
154.370 - West Corners Fire District - F-1
154.190 - West Corners Fire District - F-2

Ambulances/Hospitals/Medical
155.160 - Broome Volunteer Emergency Squad (PL 103.5)
155.205 - Harpurs Ferry Student Volunteer Ambulance
155.220 - Superior Ambulance Service (Private/Paid)
150.790 - Union Volunteer Emergency Squad Tac
155.175 - Union Volunteer Emergency Squad (PL 103.5)
155.235 - Vestal Volunteer Emergency Squad
155.340 - Countywide E.M.S. - Hospital 1
155.400 - Countywide E.M.S. - Hospital 2
155.280 - Countywide E.M.S. - Hospital 3
155.715 - Countywide E.M.S. - MCI

These are the most active freqs here.
Most county wide ops will be heard on 33.90 and 154.800
The city of Binghamton uses 460.575 and 158.850
Johnson City uses 460.625 and 155.430
Endicott uses 154.370 and 155.130
Vestal uses 154.310 and 155.235
Union EMS uses 155.175
Them main Hospital freq is 155.340

The PL's listed above are accurate but not all agencies use them so if you have a scanner with CTCSS on the receive you may want to disable it. My RELM MS-200 has it and I had to disable the CTCSS so I could hear some of the activity. My UVES Portable with open receive would pick up the signal but the RELM would not until I turned the CTCSS off.

These 12 frequencie will net you 95% of the traffic in Broome County.

What brings you to our area?

Bob C.
Binghamton, Ny

"Capt. Jay Goldmark" <jayg359@aol.comNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:20010907001854.20777.00000499@mb-fo.aol.com...
> Anyone have any information on frequencys and pl's for Binghamton area- I
am
> going to be there for a few days and want to keep up on the local events -
PD,
> FD, Colleges, etc.... are all appreciated!
>
> thanks
> Jay Goldmark, NYS EMT-D
> Captain, Woodmere FD Rescue
>

> "Enjoy Life, this is not a dress rehearsal !!!"
>
> (remove NOSPAM to reply)
>

4420. Re: Virtual downconversion ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 14:04:15 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <s3jhpts0d87kbmhqggnt8cpm6p980nubk3@4ax.com>
Source record: 60387
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 06 Sep 2001 19:22:59 GMT, "Bob" <bigbadwolf.1@netzero.com>
wrote:

>Where can I find more information on virtual downconversion ??
>
> Can someone explain to how a person owning a Pro-2026 is able to receive
>cell phone conversations on 462.950 or 472.260 ??

Virtual downconversion? I think you've been talking to somebody who
is making up terms as he goes along.

However, if you were intent on picking up Cell Phone calls, know that
there is an interesting phenomenon called inter-modulation distortion,
or IMD. If you had a very strong, unmodulated signal at, say 450 MHz,
then other signals from 880 MHz might mix (distort) with that strong
carrier and then produce image products at 430 MHz.

In fact, situations like this occur all the time. The scanner antenna
jack usually isn't all that well filtered. It often will admit
carrier frequencies from multiple bands. Put one really strong signal
around four or five hundred MHz and you could easily start hearing IMD
products of cellular telephone conversations.

The recent anti-cellular reception regulations from the FCC alluded to
such spurious signal scanning by essentially outlawing manufacture of
double conversion, 10.7 MHz IF scanners. There was some discussion of
minimum IMD standards, but that never materialized.

However, I still suggest that if you want to receive 800 and 900 MHz
frequencies on your scanner, that you use a downconverter ahead of
your scanner. It will result in less garbage to dig through, and it
will also sound much cleaner.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

4421. Re: ACARS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 15:14:24 UTC
From: Heather and Greg <heatherandgreg@home.com>
Message-ID: <kv5m7.8909$NK.824015@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 60388
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Me again. The only transmissions I receive are from ground stations?!? I
don't get it.
"Heather and Greg" <heatherandgreg@home.com> wrote in message
news:II3m7.8897$NK.801497@news1.rdc1.va.home.com...
> Finally figured out how to post correctly. Anyways, I downloaded wacars
and
> have been trying to pick stuff up. I get all kinds of raw data, but I
have
> only one aircraft I.D. Any suggestions on how to fine tune and can I
> decipher the raw data in some way?
> Thank you
> Greg
> P.s. I am on the east coast right next to Oceana master jet base and am
> wondering if Giantkiller or the base itself may be interrupting the
signal.
> "Joseph" <jgruber@helios.acomp.usf.edu> wrote in message
> news:FPSl7.41483$aZ.12016421@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> > I am new to scanning & I have heard of ACARS. I got AirNav's ACARS
> decoder
> > so I just need to know what frequencies to listen on. I've gotten some
of
> > the internet but I'm not sure if they are working because I'm not
hearing
> > anything. So what are some frequencies & what does it sound like??
> >
> > BTW, I have a SportCat/BearCat 180
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Joe
> >
> >
>
>

4422. BC-200 disc tap

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 15:46:14 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <3B98EBC6.80E97778@qwest.net>
Source record: 60389
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can anyone who has successfully done a discriminator tap on
a BC-200 please tell me where to make the tap. IC-401 pin 11
does not work.

Thanks,
Jon

4423. Re: What to listen to at the Indianapolis F1 Grand prix at the end of this month? - f1data.pdf (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 17:04:40 UTC
From: - <psleprob@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3B98FE01.D7C62651@hotmail.com>
Source record: 60390
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.autos.sport.f1,rec.radio.scanner

Would the kind person who e-mailed me the updates for the F1 frequency
list please resend your message? I accidentally deleted it!

Please just resend the message to the address you used before.

Thanks,
Doug

nunya wrote:
>
> Jay:
>
> I'll be there as well and have been poking around a bit. The best doc
> I found was here:
>
> http://home.earthlink.net/~dougfisher/other.html#_Auto_Racing
>
> If you have a BC-245XLT he's even got the data file to program your
> scanner for you!
>
> Dan B.
> MN
>
> On Mon, 03 Sep 2001 22:33:05 GMT, "Jay J" <jay___j@hotmail.com.NOSPAM>
> wrote:
>
> >>However, I found most channels to be garbled static and a few were in
> >different languages.<
> >>Mostly I just listed to race control and the telecasts. Maybe they gave me
> >bogus frequencies or<
> >>maybe they're all scrambled, I don't know. If somebody has better info I'm
> >interested too.<
> >
> >
> >That was pretty much what I experienced, however a BIG thank you to F.
> >Yaskin and Mark P Gregoire for the lists and website info! Hopefully those
> >numbers will still be up to date in another month?!
> >
> >I did a LOT of searching on the internet before last years USGP, and while I
> >was able to find a lot of sites that listed the teams frequencies, none of
> >them were up to date.
> >
> >Here in the USA, most CART, IRL and NASCAR events have a few different

> >vendors renting scanners for the weekend, and at these booths/trailers you
> >can buy (usually for $5 US) an updated frequency list for the weekends
> >event, but I never saw a "track scan" or "racing radios" trailer at the USGP
> >last year. Not sure which booth on Georgetown Road you found your list but
> >would be curious to know if it was one of the "regular" scanner rental
> >trailers or some privateer?
> >
> >Regards,
> >Jay J
> >Dallas TX.
> >
> >
> >"CFster" <cfster@home.com> wrote in message
> >news:a2Ik7.302325$v5.30466117@news1.rdc1.ct.home.com...
> >> Well, I visited a booth on Georgetown Ave outside the track on Sat. - they
> >> told me to come back on Sun. morning and they would have updated
> >> frequencies. So I did and they gave me a complete list. However, I found
> >> most channels to be garbled static and a few were in different languages.
> >> Mostly I just listed to race control and the telecasts.
> >> Maybe they gave me bogus frequencies or maybe they're all scrambled, I
> >don't
> >> know. If somebody has better info I'm interested too.
> >>
> >> CFster
> >>
> >> "Austin Powers" <austinpowers@pobox.com> wrote in message
> >> news:3b926ac6_1@Usenet.com...
> >> > If I took my scanner, could anyone tell me of any relevant frequencies
> >to
> >> > listen to?
> >> >
> >> > Thanks,
> >> >
> >> > Peter
> >> >
> >> >
> >> >
> >> > Posted Via Usenet.com Premium Usenet Newsgroup Services
> >> > --
> >> > ** SPEED ** RETENTION ** COMPLETION ** ANONYMITY **
> >> > --
> >> > http://www.usenet.com
> >>
> >>
> >

4424. Updated Scanning Database

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 17:17:24 UTC
From: - <psleprob@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3B990101.5B4C9D33@hotmail.com>
Source record: 60391

Uploadable data files and documentation for areas such as Orange County and New York City have been added to the site. Additionally, a few of the other files have had minor revisions.

The Formula 1 file has been updated to include more suggestions for alternate frequencies. I will try to update it more as the GP gets closer. *Verified* data submissions are welcome.

We are still looking for people to submit their 245xlt data files (along with brief documentation) to our database! We still need major cities like:

Houston
Las Vegas
Los Angeles
Denver
Summit Skiing Area of Colorado
Santa Fe
Green Bay
Cleveland
St. Louis
Etc., Etc., Etc.

This is an 'all volunteer' effort. Thanks!

http://home.earthlink.net/~dougfisher/scanner.html

4425. Re: RS Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 17:59:36 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammer.net>
Message-ID: <bV7m7.37528$ZJ.802282@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 60392

This seems to come up every year and I have yet to pay for
a catalog. I believe it is up to the store. I just got 2 today
at no charge by asking. I keep one at home and at work.

While I am not a great fan of Radio Shack's prices they
do have some parts that come in handy for projects that I
would otherwise have to mail order. They also have some
great prices on closeout items. I have gotten several things
that were not advertised on closeout simply by asking. A
few items that I can think of are a PRO-2066 for $97.00,
4 project cases (nice ones) for $0.99 each and Auto Hump
Mount for $0.99. I did not need to hump mount at the time
but it came in handy mounting my brother's Kenwood mobile
later.

Just my 2 cents.

David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

"Roland Stiner" <nk2u@1stconnect.com> wrote in message
news:vpSk7.119362$54.5468103@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> Radio Shack's new catalog is out but they're charging for it. I thought
> they would have learnt their lesson from a few years back when they tried
> that...
>
> Roland, NK2U

4426. Re: ACARS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 19:33:44 UTC
From: "b.b" <hbruch@wanadoo.nl>
Message-ID: <9nb7g2$5og$1@scavenger.euro.net>
Source record: 60393
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Joseph" <jgruber@helios.acomp.usf.edu> schreef in bericht
news:FPSl7.41483$aZ.12016421@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> I am new to scanning & I have heard of ACARS. I got AirNav's ACARS
decoder
> so I just need to know what frequencies to listen on. I've gotten some of
> the internet but I'm not sure if they are working because I'm not hearing
> anything. So what are some frequencies & what does it sound like??
>
> BTW, I have a SportCat/BearCat 180
>
> Thanks,
> Joe

listen to the sound on this URL:
http://home.wanadoo.nl/hbruch/radio/wacuit.html
it is in dutch-languages click on "ACARS-geluid" and you hear a sample of
ACARS sound. Also some logs (WACARS)

4427. Re: ACARS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 20:01:57 UTC
From: Ryan <giggsy@starmail.com>
Message-ID: <9nb948$f04$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 60394
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi
before you can get Wacars to decode anything, you must first load in the
data bases.
open Wacars, goto Options, database files and click on OK.
exit Wacars and start it again.
Try different sound settings in the sound menu. ie 44 or 32.
also in options click on error checking and set it to no checks.
A very good source of updated databases for Wacars is at
http://home.kabelfoon.nl/~pdw/alp/

regards
PP

Heather and Greg <heatherandgreg@home.com> wrote in message
news:kv5m7.8909$NK.824015@news1.rdc1.va.home.com...
> Me again. The only transmissions I receive are from ground stations?!? I
> don't get it.
> "Heather and Greg" <heatherandgreg@home.com> wrote in message
> news:II3m7.8897$NK.801497@news1.rdc1.va.home.com...
> > Finally figured out how to post correctly. Anyways, I downloaded wacars
> and
> > have been trying to pick stuff up. I get all kinds of raw data, but I
> have
> > only one aircraft I.D. Any suggestions on how to fine tune and can I
> > decipher the raw data in some way?
> > Thank you
> > Greg
> > P.s. I am on the east coast right next to Oceana master jet base and am
> > wondering if Giantkiller or the base itself may be interrupting the
> signal.
> > "Joseph" <jgruber@helios.acomp.usf.edu> wrote in message
> > news:FPSl7.41483$aZ.12016421@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> > > I am new to scanning & I have heard of ACARS. I got AirNav's ACARS
> > decoder
> > > so I just need to know what frequencies to listen on. I've gotten
some
> of
> > > the internet but I'm not sure if they are working because I'm not
> hearing
> > > anything. So what are some frequencies & what does it sound like??
> > >
> > > BTW, I have a SportCat/BearCat 180
> > >
> > > Thanks,

> > > Joe
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.276 / Virus Database: 145 - Release Date: 03/09/01

4428. Re: ACARS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 21:35:48 UTC
From: Heather and Greg <heatherandgreg@home.com>
Message-ID: <U4bm7.9801$NK.896268@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 60395
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I finally got it figured out. I manipulated the scanner volume and squelch
and am now getting plenty of info. Now I just need to translate. . .and I
took latin in college. This stuff is tough
"b.b" <hbruch@wanadoo.nl> wrote in message
news:9nb7g2$5og$1@scavenger.euro.net...
>
> "Joseph" <jgruber@helios.acomp.usf.edu> schreef in bericht
> news:FPSl7.41483$aZ.12016421@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> > I am new to scanning & I have heard of ACARS. I got AirNav's ACARS
> decoder
> > so I just need to know what frequencies to listen on. I've gotten some
of
> > the internet but I'm not sure if they are working because I'm not
hearing
> > anything. So what are some frequencies & what does it sound like??
> >
> > BTW, I have a SportCat/BearCat 180
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Joe
>
> listen to the sound on this URL:
> http://home.wanadoo.nl/hbruch/radio/wacuit.html
> it is in dutch-languages click on "ACARS-geluid" and you hear a sample
of
> ACARS sound. Also some logs (WACARS)
>
>
>
>

4429. Re: ACARS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 21:41:14 UTC
From: Heather and Greg <heatherandgreg@home.com>
Message-ID: <_9bm7.9848$NK.898016@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 60396
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks for the info fellows!

"Heather and Greg" <heatherandgreg@home.com> wrote in message
news:U4bm7.9801$NK.896268@news1.rdc1.va.home.com...
> I finally got it figured out. I manipulated the scanner volume and
squelch
> and am now getting plenty of info. Now I just need to translate. . .and I
> took latin in college. This stuff is tough
> "b.b" <hbruch@wanadoo.nl> wrote in message
> news:9nb7g2$5og$1@scavenger.euro.net...
> >
> > "Joseph" <jgruber@helios.acomp.usf.edu> schreef in bericht
> > news:FPSl7.41483$aZ.12016421@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> > > I am new to scanning & I have heard of ACARS. I got AirNav's ACARS
> > decoder
> > > so I just need to know what frequencies to listen on. I've gotten
some
> of
> > > the internet but I'm not sure if they are working because I'm not
> hearing
> > > anything. So what are some frequencies & what does it sound like??
> > >
> > > BTW, I have a SportCat/BearCat 180
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > > Joe
> >
> > listen to the sound on this URL:
> > http://home.wanadoo.nl/hbruch/radio/wacuit.html
> > it is in dutch-languages click on "ACARS-geluid" and you hear a
sample
> of
> > ACARS sound. Also some logs (WACARS)
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4430. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 22:27:38 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <44E919C4B15A5456.CD31783A31DF2AE5.F27F4A9966A09240@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60247

On Fri, 07 Sep 2001 05:30:59 GMT, Tim <nightwatch01@home.com> wrote:

>I and anyone else that uses cellular phones should be happy that you
>apparently got ripped off.
>

There are a number of plans for similar devices out here that you
can build yourself.

A friend has a "jammer" that is built into a mini box with a short
duckie antenna. It is basically a sweep generator as I understand
it and only outputs a hundred milliwatts or so. This is just enough to
"jam" cell phone calls in about a 30 plus foot radius.

It's nice when we eat in a restaurant with them. He just whips it
out, and then no loud, obnoxious cell phone jerks can be heard
making "big business deals" during the entire meal.

4431. Re: ACARS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 22:44:27 UTC
From: Joseph <jgruber@helios.acomp.usf.edu>
Message-ID: <f5cm7.47869$aZ.13563319@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 60248
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've let my scanner scan all those frequencies that were posted earlier for US ACARS. However, I have heard very few beeps & clicks but nothing is being decoded in WACARS. Are these channels busy usually & I'm just not hearing them?? Should I get a better antenna?

Thanks,

"Heather and Greg" <heatherandgreg@home.com> wrote in message news:_9bm7.9848$NK.898016@news1.rdc1.va.home.com...
> Thanks for the info fellows!
>
> "Heather and Greg" <heatherandgreg@home.com> wrote in message
> news:U4bm7.9801$NK.896268@news1.rdc1.va.home.com...
> > I finally got it figured out. I manipulated the scanner volume and
> squelch
> > and am now getting plenty of info. Now I just need to translate. . .and
> I
> > took latin in college. This stuff is tough
> > "b.b" <hbruch@wanadoo.nl> wrote in message
> > news:9nb7g2$5og$1@scavenger.euro.net...
> > >
> > > "Joseph" <jgruber@helios.acomp.usf.edu> schreef in bericht
> > > news:FPSl7.41483$aZ.12016421@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> > > > I am new to scanning & I have heard of ACARS. I got AirNav's ACARS
> > > decoder
> > > > so I just need to know what frequencies to listen on. I've gotten
> some
> > of
> > > > the internet but I'm not sure if they are working because I'm not
> > hearing
> > > > anything. So what are some frequencies & what does it sound like??
> > > >
> > > > BTW, I have a SportCat/BearCat 180
> > > >
> > > > Thanks,
> > > > Joe
> > >
> > > listen to the sound on this URL:
> > > http://home.wanadoo.nl/hbruch/radio/wacuit.html
> > > it is in dutch-languages click on "ACARS-geluid" and you hear a
> sample
> > of
> > > ACARS sound. Also some logs (WACARS)
> > >

> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
>

4432. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 23:31:47 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <zMcm7.264815$rV6.12091031@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 60249

> I recommend not to do business with:
> http://www3.sympatico.ca/ChanLiYen/Cordless/list.htm
> in buying a cell phone jammer.

It's illegal to use in the USA anyway.

Roland, NK2U

4433. Re: ACARS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-07 23:59:12 UTC
From: Daniel Davison <danield@academy.co.uk>
Message-ID: <tpinqlaf4cr3e6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60250
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is your squelch turned completely off ?

Daniel ....

"Joseph" <jgruber@helios.acomp.usf.edu> wrote in message
news:f5cm7.47869$aZ.13563319@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> I've let my scanner scan all those frequencies that were posted earlier
for
> US ACARS. However, I have heard very few beeps & clicks but nothing is
> being decoded in WACARS. Are these channels busy usually & I'm just not
> hearing them?? Should I get a better antenna?
>
> Thanks,
>
> "Heather and Greg" <heatherandgreg@home.com> wrote in message
> news:_9bm7.9848$NK.898016@news1.rdc1.va.home.com...
> > Thanks for the info fellows!
> >
> > "Heather and Greg" <heatherandgreg@home.com> wrote in message
> > news:U4bm7.9801$NK.896268@news1.rdc1.va.home.com...
> > > I finally got it figured out. I manipulated the scanner volume and
> > squelch
> > > and am now getting plenty of info. Now I just need to translate. .
.and
> I
> > > took latin in college. This stuff is tough
> > > "b.b" <hbruch@wanadoo.nl> wrote in message
> > > news:9nb7g2$5og$1@scavenger.euro.net...
> > > >
> > > > "Joseph" <jgruber@helios.acomp.usf.edu> schreef in bericht
> > > > news:FPSl7.41483$aZ.12016421@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> > > > > I am new to scanning & I have heard of ACARS. I got AirNav's
ACARS
> > > > decoder
> > > > > so I just need to know what frequencies to listen on. I've gotten
> > some
> > > of
> > > > > the internet but I'm not sure if they are working because I'm not
> > > hearing
> > > > > anything. So what are some frequencies & what does it sound
like??
> > > > >
> > > > > BTW, I have a SportCat/BearCat 180
> > > > >

> > > > > Thanks,
> > > > > Joe
> > > > >
> > > > > listen to the sound on this URL:
> > > > > http://home.wanadoo.nl/hbruch/radio/wacuit.html
> > > > > it is in dutch-languages click on "ACARS-geluid" and you hear a
> > sample
> > > > of
> > > > > ACARS sound. Also some logs (WACARS)
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> >

4434. Re: ACARS??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 01:06:47 UTC
From: Heather and Greg <heatherandgreg@home.com>
Message-ID: <Haem7.10289$NK.961415@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 60251
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

It takes a bit of finesse on the volume control. Make sure the squelch is
off and manipulate the volume control so that you don't see anything on the
sig meter until a burst comes through. You should see, at that point, if
you use wacars, a green bar that extends halfway through the window. If it
is a strong signal then it will be picked up on the ID window. Even if it
is not, it will be picked up in Raw Data.
"Daniel Davison" <danield@academy.co.uk> wrote in message
news:tpinqlaf4cr3e6@corp.supernews.com...
> Is your squelch turned completely off ?
>
> Daniel ....
>
> "Joseph" <jgruber@helios.acomp.usf.edu> wrote in message
> news:f5cm7.47869$aZ.13563319@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> > I've let my scanner scan all those frequencies that were posted earlier
> for
> > US ACARS. However, I have heard very few beeps & clicks but nothing is
> > being decoded in WACARS. Are these channels busy usually & I'm just not
> > hearing them?? Should I get a better antenna?
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > "Heather and Greg" <heatherandgreg@home.com> wrote in message
> > news:_9bm7.9848$NK.898016@news1.rdc1.va.home.com...
> > > Thanks for the info fellows!
> > >
> > > "Heather and Greg" <heatherandgreg@home.com> wrote in message
> > > news:U4bm7.9801$NK.896268@news1.rdc1.va.home.com...
> > > > I finally got it figured out. I manipulated the scanner volume and
> > > squelch
> > > > and am now getting plenty of info. Now I just need to translate. .
> > .and
> > > I
> > > > took latin in college. This stuff is tough
> > > > "b.b" <hbruch@wanadoo.nl> wrote in message
> > > > news:9nb7g2$5og$1@scavenger.euro.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > "Joseph" <jgruber@helios.acomp.usf.edu> schreef in bericht
> > > > > news:FPSl7.41483$aZ.12016421@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
> > > > > > I am new to scanning & I have heard of ACARS. I got AirNav's
> > ACARS
> > > > > decoder
> > > > > > so I just need to know what frequencies to listen on. I've

gotten
> > > some
> > > > of
> > > > > > the internet but I'm not sure if they are working because I'm
not
> > > > hearing
> > > > > > anything. So what are some frequencies & what does it sound
> like??
> > > > > >
> > > > > > BTW, I have a SportCat/BearCat 180
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Thanks,
> > > > > > Joe
> > > > > >
> > > > > listen to the sound on this URL:
> > > > > http://home.wanadoo.nl/hbruch/radio/wacuit.html
> > > > > it is in dutch-languages click on "ACARS-geluid" and you hear a
> > > sample
> > > > of
> > > > > ACARS sound. Also some logs (WACARS)
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
>
>

4435. Re: Cleveland Ohio PD back in clear?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 02:11:29 UTC
From: chief305@webtv.net
Message-ID: <27657-3B997E51-63@storefull-103.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 60256

Hi,
The old CPD 460 and 155 Mhz. system and infastructure are totally shut down. The different receiver / transmit sites (terminal tower,crystal towers,Baldwin,CMHA Wilson towers,CMHA W.98 Detroit,Metro Hosp.St Alexis Hosp.JFK HS,Gilmore tower,Schaff [torn down] West Tech) have been powered down,and are in the process of being disposed of. I have no info on the 300 Mhz rumor, I would not put any money on it.

Dave
TC

4436. Thunderbirds, Souix City, IA Sun. 9/9/2001 Freqs ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 02:35:46 UTC
From: BGODWIN@nospamkc.rr.com
Message-ID: <3b998118.3126446@news-server>
Source record: 60253

A little help if you please. I'm looking for a current
list of Thunderbirds frequencies. They're in Souix City Sun and I
look forward to using my scanner. It looks like there are a lot of
lists on the internet, but I see a lot of discrepancies and old info
and undated information.

Below is a listing of what I have compiled, any links or known
differences to this would be appreciated.

"Freq","Descrip","Descrip2","Descrip3","Group"

66.90," Ground Support NBFM",,,
114.95,"Thunderbirds",,,"Air Show"
118.10,"Thunderbirds","Operatons",,"Air Show"
118.20,"Thunderbirds","Operations",,"Air Show"
120.45,"Thunderbirds","Operations",,"Air Show"
123.40,"Thunderbirds","Airshow Common",,"Air Show"
123.45,"Thunderbirds","Air to air",,"Air Show"
123.54,"0 air show control",,,
124.92,"Thunderbirds",,,"Air Show"
124.925," air to air",,,
124.93,"THUNDERBIRDS"," UNKNOWN DESIG",,"Aircraft"
124.935,,,
126.20," tower",,,
134.10,"Thunderbirds","Operatons",,"Air Show"
138.87,"Thunderbirds","Operations",,"Air Show"
138.875,,,,
140.00,"Thunderbirds","Operations",,"Air Show"
141.30,"Thunderbirds","Operations",,"Air Show"
141.85,"THUNDERBIRDS"," AIR/AIR"," LEADER","Aircraft"
141.85,"Thunderbirds","Victor 1 (Primary)",,"Air Show"
142.00,"Thunderbirds",,,"Air Show"
143.10,"Thunderbirds","Ground Operations",,"Air Show"
143.58,"Thunderbirds",,,"Air Show"
143.85,"Thunderbirds","Victor 2 (Secondary)",,"Air Show"
148.17," other fq reported",,,
148.175
148.55,"Thunderbirds","Operations",,"Air Show"
148.85,"Thunderbirds","Operations",,"Air Show"
235.25,"THUNDERBIRDS"," GROUND"," SHOW START","Aircraft"
236.55,"THUNDERBIRDS"," AIR/AIR",,"Aircraft"
236.60,"THUNDERBIRDS"," AIR/AIR",,"Aircraft"
241.40,"THUNDERBIRDS"," AIR/AIR","solo 5-6","Aircraft"
250.85," REPORTED LEADER CUES [Team Leader]
273.50,"THUNDERBIRDS"," AIR/AIR",,"Aircraft"

```
283.50,"REPORTED F3 Solo 5-6",,,
294.70,"THUNDERBIRDS"," AIR/AIR",,"Aircraft"
295.70,"
295.70,"REPORTED F1",,,
319.70," reported operations",,,
322.30,"THUNDERBIRDS"," AIR/AIR",,"Aircraft"
322.60,"THUNDERBIRDS"," AIR/AIR",,"Aircraft"
322.95,"THUNDERBIRDS"," AIR/AIR"," SECOND TEAM","Aircraft"
382.90," REPORTED F6 [air to air tactical]",,,
383.90," Air to Air [UHF]",,,
392.90," reported operations",,,
394.00," REPORTED F7 [air to air tactical]",,,
413.00,"THUNDERBIRDS"," GROUND CREW",,"Aircraft"
413.02,"THUNDERBIRDS"," GROUND CREW",,"Aircraft"
413.075" ""
413.10,"THUNDERBIRDS"," GROUND CREW",,"Aircraft"
413.15," "" """,,,
413.275," """,,,
413.35," "" """,,,
413.40," "" """,,,
```

Remove nospam for e-mail response

4437. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 02:43:38 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907224338.20537.00000357@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 60254

Thanks for the INFO. Scott...!

Sorry that happened to ya, man! Sympatico sucks, by the way..THOSE GUYS HOST SPAM FLUNKIES, AND ALL THAT PORNO-THIEVERY CRAP...

COUPLA 'BAD' EXPERIENCES WITH THAT DOMAIN!!!

I WAS YOU, I'D GET HIS IP #, TRACE EM DOWN...MUCH EASIER TO FIND..TRY USING NETLAB.EXE, OR SAM SPADE FOR WINDOWS..THEN CORNER EM..LATER DUDE!

4438. Re: Any info on how to modify a AOR 2500

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 02:45:49 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010907224549.20537.00000358@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 60255

1.)TRY RESETTING THE CPU

2.)TRY WWW.MODS.DK ,AND LOOK FOR MOD'S

NOT-SURE IF THAT ONE IS LISTED..MIGHT BE THO!

4439. can you pick up cellphones on a uniden bearcat bc80xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 02:47:57 UTC
From: ROY <dennis1@charter.net>
Message-ID: <tpj0amktjc8p25@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60252

can you pick up cellphones on a uniden bearcat bc80xlt

email me and let me know dennis1@charter.net

4440. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 02:52:10 UTC
From: BGODWIN@nospam.com
Message-ID: <3b99881b.4921741@news-server>
Source record: 60257

Damm good for you. Maybe I will decide to shoot your ass with a 357
because I don't like the way you look at the lady talkin on her cell
phone.

>I DECIDE!
>
>When the bitch behind me in a car is swerving around cuz
>she's flapping her fat-ass mouth on the phone, I will jam
>her ass till the sun don't shine!
>
>>But who decides when and where to jam others' phone calls ... or ... who can
>>make a phone call, and who can't?
>

Remove nospam for e-mail response

4441. SUFFOLK COUNTY TRUNKED 800.. HOW MANY CHANNELS IS THE SYSTEM?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 04:44:33 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3b99a2a2.425603@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 60258

Hey all,
does anyone know how many channel the suffolk system.. is NOT
including the 800 mhz conventional channels they are licensed for i
think there is about 5 or so...
thanks gig@i-2000.com

4442. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 04:57:33 UTC
From: Richard Ness <richard@nospam.nessnet.com>
Message-ID: <tpj99vtdq31v10@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60259

There is no "smart" use for a jammer.
I hope the FCC nails anyone that uses one.
I'll be right there to report any jammer to them.

<nospam@here.com> wrote in message
news:8prhptc1bo7r85d2qsclpn8p3fqqfgfj01@4ax.com...
> They only jam a small radius buddy - chill will ya.
>
> Use it smartly and there's no problems.
>
> My jamming some bitch yakking while shes driving might save
> your daughters life! Ever thought about that?
>
> Jamming saves lives!
>
>
> >Hey Jammers, I hope the next call you Jam is a cellular 911 call
requesting
> >an Ambulance for your daughter that was just involved in a major car
> >creck!!!!
> >
> >David Trickle,
> >Stevens Point Wisconsin
>

4443. FS: IcomR2 US Version

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 04:59:39 UTC
From: scantexas@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <884cb013.0109072059.241aa452@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60260

I have a R2 for sale in excellent condition, with the box and manual and software but no cable for 140.00 OBO Shipped priority mail to US with delivery confirmation. please email me directly at gregg@alemy.com

thanks

4444. pro 2040

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 05:06:46 UTC
From: JoJo <jojobois@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <r5ajptcda56kqc7vlgo781dihee7pcls0e@4ax.com>
Source record: 60261

can a Po 2040 receive PL?

4445. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 05:09:44 UTC
From: Richard Ness <richard@nospam.nessnet.com>
Message-ID: <tpja0qrhin0g9b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60262

I hope I run into your friend sometime.
I'll introduce him to the FCC with the knowledge
that the FCC nails him to the wall.

>
> It's nice when we eat in a restaurant with them. He just whips it
> out, and then no loud, obnoxious cell phone jerks can be heard
> making "big business deals" during the entire meal.

4446. For Sale, AOR 8000 Handheld Scanner. GOING CHEAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 06:08:30 UTC
From: digitalebola <anthony@clickfromreality.com>
Message-ID: <3b99b66e@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 60263
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

> For Sale,
>
> 1 x AOR 8000 Scanner. Very Very Good Condition, and comes with Power
> charger, Car charger, Leather Carry Case, Antenna, manual, origional Box.
>
> Frequency range goes up to 1.9ghZ, with NO_GAPS and Most modes.
>
> 1000 memories etc,
>
> I would like to sell the scanner because i need the money.. I still use
the
> scanner, and love it, however i need the cash.
>
> Thats why im selling for only $450 (Not Neg).
>
> I know its worth more than that, so please dont email me with silly
offers.
>
>
> I will post internationally, and would prefer payments to be made via
> direct debit.
>
> contact me on:
>
> anthony@clickfromreality.com

4447. Re: WIDEBAND ANTENNA QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 07:31:02 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3B99C935.C937E128@pacbell.net>
Source record: 60264

Gee, there's a lot of silly responses to this request. The Nevada double discone (bicone) will only have a slight advantage over the D-130 discone in gain but there probably within 2dB of each other. A properly designed discone has about an 8 to 1 frequency range. The D-130 I had was a real antenna from about 120 to 960mhz, with the whip resonating at about 50mhz. This is from mechanical and network analyzer measurements. The claims of 25 to 1300mhz is a dream, if not an outright lie. I have not measured a Nevada for frequency response but similar commercial bicone versions are only rated for about half the frequency range of a discone. The If the person asking is not in an RF congested city, a good wide band pre-amp at the D-130 discone will make a very noticeable difference in weak signal reception. Especially if the feed line is long and/or lossy. If the reception is centered around common public service frequencies there are several vertical gain antennas tuned for the public service bands which work noticeably better than a discone. Check Grove, Universal Radio, etc. for info on other antennas and pre-amps. Some gain type verticals are made by Antenna Specialists, Austin and others.
http://www.grove-ent.com/antennas.html
http://www.universal-radio.com/

4448. Re: 2 GHz Police radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 07:42:23 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3B99CBDF.2A3FC649@pacbell.net>
Source record: 60265

Police radar guns require a separate license from the voice radios. The radar freqs are at 10.525ghz and above.

4449. Re: can you pick up cellphones on a uniden bearcat bc80xlt

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 10:05:00 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <g3mm7.3780$CR2.3799335@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 60266

If you can't hear them, I'd guess that you can't pick them up. Just a wild guess, though. You might want to line your hat with tinfoil and see if that improves reception.

"ROY" <dennis1@charter.net> wrote in message news:tpj0amktjc8p25@corp.supernews.com...
> can you pick up cellphones on a uniden bearcat bc80xlt
>
>
> email me and let me know dennis1@charter.net
>
>

4450. scanner repair book

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 10:37:56 UTC
From: David Trickle <gumby1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3b99f64c$0$18886$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 60267

I am looking for a USED copy of the Orange book of scanner reapir. Does anyone have one they want to get rid of?

4451. FOR SALE AOR-8000---> GOOD PRICE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 11:07:48 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908070748.20520.00000418@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 60268

I HAVE AN 'EARLIER' USA MODEL AOR-8000, WITH JAV-232 PC INTERFACE AND SOFTWARE...WILL SELL FOR 275.00$

NO NEGOTIATING..THIS IS A TOP-OF-THE-LINE RIG..SERIOUS INQUIRIES 'ONLY'!!!!

E-MAIL ME, AT jsterling27@hotmail.com

4452. Re: Virtual downconversion ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 12:14:41 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <RYnm7.42767$tb.3995162@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60269
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I had a cheap scanner once that did this (actually in my case it was around 440 to 445 MHz.)

Here's what's going on. Normally, the incoming signal is "mixed" with the local oscillator in your scanner to convert the signal to the scanner's i.f. frequency. A typical first i.f. frequency in a cheap scanner is 10.7 MHz. The local oscillator (LO) is tunable and can be either 10.7 MHz above or below the desired signal.

If it's a low side LO and you want to hear a signal at 445 MHz, the LO will be tuned to 434.3 MHz (445 - 10.7 = 434.3). Now the 2nd harmonic of that 434.3 LO is 868.6 MHz. If that is not filtered, and a cell signal is picked up by your antenna at 879.3 MHz, the 2nd harmonic of your LO (868.6 MHz) mixes with the 879.3 MHz cell signal to produce a 10.7 MHz signal that is processed by your scanners i.f. circuitry.

Art Harris N2AH

"Bob" <bigbadwolf.1@netzero.com> wrote in message
news:n2Ql7.167366$k7.40856160@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> Where can I find more information on virtual downconversion ??
>
> Can someone explain to how a person owning a Pro-2026 is able to receive
> cell phone conversations on 462.950 or 472.260 ??
>
>
>

4453. WTB: 800mhz scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 12:48:27 UTC
From: redbone1@swbell.net
Message-ID: <d917db5a.0109080448.5dbe85e2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60270

I am looking for a pre April 1994 scanner that is capable of 800 mHZ.
If anyone has something that is hand held or table top unit for sale
please send me your asking price , model number and shipping charges
to zip code 77701.

Thanks in advance Gary
Please reply to :
gkander@swbell.net

4454. AusComms Email List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 13:28:52 UTC
From: AusComms <no_email@auscomms.list>
Message-ID: <s2pm7.3830$c5.30214@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 60271

What Is AusComms?

AusComms is an email list open for all persons involved or interested
in Radio Communications within Australia.
AusComms is not associated or affiliated with service, mag or group.
it is everyday people who wish to discuss communications related
issues via the medium of email.

Topics of discussion may be: (But not limited to)

- CB, Amateur, Scanning, Commercial
- Shortwave Listening, Marine & Aviation
- Special interest areas (Such as microwave communications)
- Project design & discussion
- Major incidents been received (Hijaking, Bomb Threats etc)
- Issues relating to radio communications (Band Allocations etc)
- Utility, Pirate, Clandestine & Numbers stations
- Frequency information
- Buy, Sell, Swap (At your own risk!)
- Personal reviews & info on communications equipment

To subscribe to the AusComms list:

TO: majordomo@qth.net
SUBJECT: (Leave this field blank)
BODY: subscribe radio

- Do not add any text to the subject line
- Do not use 'signature' footers
- Do not use 'html' or 'rich text' for subscribing and/or posting

Shortly after your initial subscribe email, you will be sent an
authourisation email, which contains a unique code.
You must follow the instructions in this email and reply to same
in order for your subscribe attempt to be completed.
This removes any possibility of others subscribing you to the list.

Please note if you were previously subscribed to this list whilst it
was used for Radiomag, your address details have been removed
from this lists database and moved over to the Yahoogroups list.
If you wish to participate on AusComms, you MUST re-subscribe.

List sponsored by Al Waller: www.qsl.net

4455. Mumbai and Delhi frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 14:05:39 UTC
From: (unknown)
Message-ID: <3b9a2598.433714@news.pix.za>
Source record: 60272

I travel to these 2 cities quite often. Does anyone have any
interesting frequencies or know where I could find on the www?

Thanks

Noel.

4456. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 14:12:05 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <2272C8C4AE398055.B325D5875DECB7E3.D2588C00AA6E6289@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60273

On Sat, 08 Sep 2001 04:36:03 GMT, Never anonymous Bud
<thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote:

>The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
>>It's nice when we eat in a restaurant with them. He just whips it
>>out, and then no loud, obnoxious cell phone jerks can be heard
>>making "big business deals" during the entire meal.
>
>A) What you're doing is illegal, and
>
>B) It's STUPID, as you never know what kind of important call
> you might be interfering with.

I didn't say I did it, I said a friend of ours has such a contraption.
We simply reaped the benefits of it.

As for "important" calls, there are house phones and pay
phones in restaurants.

4457. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 14:12:43 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <D6A66320AA86253F.FDE3A4E7F6FAC4D9.CE35412899E50576@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60274

On Fri, 7 Sep 2001 22:09:44 -0700, "Richard Ness"
<richard@nospam.nessnet.com> wrote:

>I hope I run into your friend sometime.
>I'll introduce him to the FCC with the knowledge
>that the FCC nails him to the wall.
>
>>
>> It's nice when we eat in a restaurant with them. He just whips it
>> out, and then no loud, obnoxious cell phone jerks can be heard
>> making "big business deals" during the entire meal.

We will just have to agree to disagree.

4458. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 14:25:30 UTC
From: toomanyradios@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908102530.18143.00000412@nso-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 60275

In article <5hlgpt8qraj80smigd2uj15fvb0vk04rit@4ax.com>,
scottp@%HASH%.nospam.us.com writes:

>I recommend not to do business with:
>http://www3.sympatico.ca/ChanLiYen/Cordless/list.htm
>in buying a cell phone jammer.
>I paid through PayPal for one in early July. It is early September
>now. I filed a dispute with PayPal but they could not recover the
>money. The seller seems to have gone quiet after a few email
>exchanges.

You're complaining about a deal gone bad, involving an illegal item which you
attempted to purchase.

Unreal.

Kind of like the dope addict who goes to the police, wanting to file charges
because his dealer gave him "bad stuff."

The world gets stupider every day.

4459. Log Periodic Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 14:52:26 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010908105226.17892.00000182@nso-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 60276

I have seen many mentions of the log periodic antenna. I do not understand them, however. Just what exactly IS a "log periodic antenna" and does it work?

Paul, N0JAA

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4460. ACARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 16:07:02 UTC
From: mleach@gfn.org
Message-ID: <e7c777c5.0109080807.3d952d52@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60277

Where Can I pick up ACARS decoding software?

mike-

4461. Re: ACARS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 16:22:20 UTC
From: Daniel Davison <danield@academy.co.uk>
Message-ID: <tpkhdupot9te30@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60278

Mike,

Click on the link below, that is where you will find it !

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Cockpit/9870/acars.html

Regards,

Daniel.................
(http://www.air.academy.co.uk)

"m.Leach" <mleach@gfn.org> wrote in message
news:e7c777c5.0109080807.3d952d52@posting.google.com...
> Where Can I pick up ACARS decoding software?
>
> mike-

4462. Pro-26 Cell Mod Will it Work

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 16:23:08 UTC
From: Uncle Lud <unclelud@myexcel.com>
Message-ID: <tpkh78k6a1cud4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60279

I found this site
> http://www.mods.dk/mods.php3?model=pro-26&radio=radio_shack#1814
> How do I know if this works? . . . I mean I can allready scan the area
> 360.2375 - 360.2375 in the same mode "NFM" in 5k steps. . . . . What
would
> I hear that I can't now? Thanks Jon

4463. forsale: motorola trunking portables

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 16:36:43 UTC
From: J <oaktownboy@home.com>
Message-ID: <vOrm7.24031$at.4404993@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 60280

forsale

motorola stx 821 does motorola trunking type 1,ii,iii and smartnet
with good battery and rubberduck
alpha numeric screen
scans
does 16 different systems with 16 ids in each or conventional mode
850-870 mhz

200.00US shipping included

motorola gtx

does motorola type i,ii,iii
have up to 16 different systems with 16 ids in each system
or conventional mode
scans
alpha numeric screen
with goodbattery pack, rubberduck and wall charger

175.00US includes shipping

edacs portable
model: MP-A
800 mhz
16 systems, 16 ids per system
or conventional mode
with good battery,charger and rubberduck
scans
alpha numeric screen

400.00US includes shipping

handmic for stx821 30.00US
charger for stx821 30.00US

4 motorola portables
all work
2 mx340 2 ch UHF 5 watts with battery and antenna
2 mx350 10ch UHF 5 watts with battery and antenna
with 2 redicode microphones
75.00US for the whole package
(includes shipping)
heavyduty drop in chargers 30.00US each

thanks
oaktownboy@home.com

4464. Re: For Sale, AOR 8000 Handheld Scanner. GOING CHEAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 16:54:19 UTC
From: Ryan <giggsy@starmail.com>
Message-ID: <9ndifr$ac9$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 60281
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Are we talking $450 USA , Canada or Australian.
Ryan

digitalebola <anthony@clickfromreality.com> wrote in message
news:3b99b66e@news.iprimus.com.au...
> > For Sale,
> >
> > 1 x AOR 8000 Scanner. Very Very Good Condition, and comes with Power
> > charger, Car charger, Leather Carry Case, Antenna, manual, origional
Box.
> >
> > Frequency range goes up to 1.9ghZ, with NO_GAPS and Most modes.
> >
> > 1000 memories etc,
> >
> > I would like to sell the scanner because i need the money.. I still use
> the
> > scanner, and love it, however i need the cash.
> >
> > Thats why im selling for only $450 (Not Neg).
> >
> > I know its worth more than that, so please dont email me with silly
> offers.
> >
> >
> > I will post internationally, and would prefer payments to be made via
> > direct debit.
> >
> > contact me on:
> >
> > anthony@clickfromreality.com
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.276 / Virus Database: 145 - Release Date: 03/09/01

4465. Re: For Sale, AOR 8000 Handheld Scanner. GOING CHEAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 17:27:31 UTC
From: Nick <notknown@here.com>
Message-ID: <9ndkgb$23e$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 60282
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Look at the address it was sent from, BIG clue.

"Ryan" <giggsy@starmail.com> wrote in message
news:9ndifr$ac9$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
> Are we talking $450 USA , Canada or Australian.
> Ryan
>
>
> digitalebola <anthony@clickfromreality.com> wrote in message
> news:3b99b66e@news.iprimus.com.au...
> > > For Sale,
> > >
> > > 1 x AOR 8000 Scanner. Very Very Good Condition, and comes with Power
> > > charger, Car charger, Leather Carry Case, Antenna, manual, origional
> Box.
> > >
> > > Frequency range goes up to 1.9ghZ, with NO_GAPS and Most modes.
> > >
> > > 1000 memories etc,
> > >
> > > I would like to sell the scanner because i need the money.. I still
use
> > the
> > > scanner, and love it, however i need the cash.
> > >
> > > Thats why im selling for only $450 (Not Neg).
> > >
> > > I know its worth more than that, so please dont email me with silly
> > offers.
> > >
> > >
> > > I will post internationally, and would prefer payments to be made via
> > > direct debit.
> > >
> > > contact me on:
> > >
> > > anthony@clickfromreality.com
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> ---

> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.276 / Virus Database: 145 - Release Date: 03/09/01
>
>

4466. Re: Log Periodic Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 18:17:01 UTC
From: oldtimeradio@nospam.net
Message-ID: <q4okpt08dhsiv3tdhre4adoreooog1beov@4ax.com>
Source record: 60283

On 08 Sep 2001 14:52:26 GMT, n0jaa@aol.compost (Amateur Radio Station N0JAA) wrote:

>I have seen many mentions of the log periodic antenna. I do not understand >them, however. Just what exactly IS a "log periodic antenna" and does it work? >Paul, N0JAA

They work and work well. I use the both the KMA4113 and KMA Rover (kmaantennas.com). A log periodic is a series of dipoles each fed 180 degrees out of phase to the one next to it. Somewhere within the design range there will be a dipole or two that is close to resonance for the frequency you're interested in. These will resonate, while a couple elements behind will act something like reflectors and a couple in front will act something like directors. This "active zone" will travel along the antenna, depending on the frequency you're using. A wide coverage antenna like my KMA4113 (50-1300 MHz) will have about the same gain as a 3 or 4 element yagi. A log periodic that covers a very narrow frequency range (maybe 10 MHz) will have about as much gain as the same length yagi. (I've used one of these too.) One antenna with one feed line, and nothing to adjust, is the big advantage. If mounted for vertical polarization you will need to use a non-metallic mast..... or as in the case of my Rover, attach the mast at the rear of the antenna

otr

4467. aor8000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 18:26:22 UTC
From: Veteran <veteran@otenet.gr>
Message-ID: <9ndo0g$c8o$1@usenet.otenet.gr>
Source record: 60284

anybody received sat images with an aor 8000 ??

4468. FA: Radio Shack PRO-2034 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 18:29:09 UTC
From: Richard Smith <rsmith@NOSPAMplaneteer.com>
Message-ID: <Vrtm7.50938$w75.21515534@news3.rdc2.on.home.com>
Source record: 60285
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.marketplace,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner

Hello there,

I have an excellent condition Radio Shack PRO-2034 scanner up for auction on Ebay. The radio is complete and in the original box etc. Here is the link:

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1271242863

Thanks for looking,

Richard.

4469. Re: PRO-2046 MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 18:38:05 UTC
From: dreamgurl2go@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908143805.18255.00001172@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 60286

how do you do these mods?

4470. Re: Log Periodic Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 18:58:24 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16040a2a91469dee98972f@news>
Source record: 60287

In article <20010908105226.17892.00000182@nso-mo.aol.com>,
n0jaa@aol.compost says...
> log periodic antenna
>
log-periodic (LP) antenna: A broadband, multi element, unidirectional, narrow-beam antenna that has impedance and radiation characteristics that are regularly repetitive as a logarithmic function of the excitation frequency. Note: The length and spacing of the elements of a log-periodic antenna increase logarithmically from one end to the other. Synonym log-periodic array.

periodic antenna: An antenna that has an approximately constant input impedance over a narrow range of frequencies. Note: An example of a periodic antenna is a dipole array antenna. Synonym resonant antenna.

4471. River Frequencies - WATERCOM ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 20:41:26 UTC
From: Mac <mckee@deltacomm.com>
Message-ID: <Wnvm7.63$7d.12228@newshog.newsread.com>
Source record: 60288

I need some help in developing a list of the 217-219 MHz voice frequencies used by the WATERCOM system on the Mississippi, Ohio, Illinois, and the Gulf Intercoastal Waterway. I'm a river-rat who does not live near the rivers, and such a list would improve my marine scanning when traveling in river country. The FCC database shows the 54 base stations in the system, but licenses them for any frequency in the band. So the FCC can't be used as a source of detailed data.

Each base station has a control channel that is on all the time and is therefore very easy to find using a scanner's search function. However, the voice channels are much harder to locate because they only come on when there is traffic. The system is full-duplex FM. The base stations operate in the 217-218 MHz band and clearly repeat the transmissions from the towboats. The boats transmit in the 219-220 Mhz band - exactly 2 Mhz higher than the corresponding base station. Each base station is connected into the telephone system at the station site, and the system provides fully-automated, high-quality communications between the boats and any land-line telephone. I believe that the system can also be used for data communications - probably on the same channels as used for voice?

If you live near these rivers and know of (or can search out) the WATERCOM frequencies in use in your area I would appreciate a reply. I will combine the frequency data that I receive with the FCC station location information and periodically post the results to the group.

Mac
mckee@deltacomm.com

4472. Re: Virtual downconversion ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 21:42:57 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <Bhwm7.54272$tb.4943392@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60289
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"ZOOM" <kandrparker@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:JwYl7.30189$836.3505303@news20.bellglobal.com...
> It's the other way around on a image! i.e some scanners will hear cell on
> 1200Mhz due to an image being produced that is able to get through the
pass
> band of the receiver.
>
> No cell heard on 400mhz unless he is using a converter. Not possible!
>

Yes, it is possible. It's not an image. (Why do people assume every spurious response in a receiver is an image?)

It's caused by a wide open front end, and a first local oscillator synthesizer with a potent, unfiltered 2nd harmonic. See my post below for details.

Art Harris, N2AH

4473. FS: Radio Shack Low-Band Discone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 23:26:59 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <7Pxm7.12401$Uf1.1060539@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 60290

Bought brand new 6 months ago. Never been used outdoors! Assembled in spare bedroom. Mint condition. With original packing, instructions, and every single nut!

Price is $25.00 plus shipping

4474. Re: Virtual downconversion ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-08 23:40:50 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3B9AAC80.8CD09CEE@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 60291
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Harris wrote:
>
> snip
>
> It's caused by a wide open front end, and a first local oscillator
> synthesizer with a potent, unfiltered 2nd harmonic. See my post below for
> details.
>
> Art Harris, N2AH

Hmmm, of course, the front end of most receivers is a mixer, and the purpose of a mixer is to be non-linear.
So, even if the local oscillator output was a perfect, pure, unadulterated, sine wave, the mixer would immediately generate all the local oscillator harmonics. That is what it was designed to do.

It takes in two, or more, frequencies, and generates all possible sum and difference frequencies of all possible harmonics of the two (or more) frequencies. Even if the front end was very tightly closed, there is a limit as to how much you can attenuate a very strong, undesired, signal. And there is nothing to prevent the detection of a signal when

n x Flo (+/-) Fcell = Fif.

Walt

4475. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 00:04:08 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9neblr$6t0rq$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60292

"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010908102530.18143.00000412@nso-fo.aol.com...

> Kind of like the dope addict who goes to the police, wanting to file
charges
> because his dealer gave him "bad stuff."
>
> The world gets stupider every day.

Actually, I believe that's happened before. I've heard of instances just
like that happening. I also remember watching the show "Cops" where a woman
complained to the police officer that there were so many drug dealers
around, and showed him some drugs that she bought. Since she was in
possession, she went to jail.

Tom

4476. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 00:30:07 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010908203007.22118.00000116@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 60293

In article <zMcm7.264815$rV6.12091031@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>, "Roland Stiner" <nk2u@1stconnect.com> writes:

>It's illegal to use in the USA anyway.

This is true. FCC regulations make it illegal to maliciously interfere with licensed, ongoing communications. While the cell phone user is NOT licensed, the cell phone system operator IS.

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4477. Houston Railroad Frequencies (Revised)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 00:35:52 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010908203552.22118.00000117@nso-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 60294

Last week, I posted a list of railroad frequencies for Houston, TX. I listed
the incorrect frequency for the BNSF Houston/Galveston Sub. Here is the list
again, with the corrected frequency (161.190 MHz).

Paul.

Subject: Houston Railroad Frequencies
From: n0jaa@aol.compost (Amateur Radio Station N0JAA)
Date: 03 Sep 2001 18:19:57 GMT

Here are some railroad frequencies for Houston, TX, for those who are
interested in railroad scanning.
All frequencies in MHz.

Legend: Railroad Name (reporting marks) -- division name if applicable
Legend: AAR channel # -- frequency -- subdivision/point name(s) if applicable

Paul.

Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway Company (BNSF) -- Houston Division

30 -- 160.560 -- Baytown Sub
72 -- 161.190 -- Houston/Galveston Sub

Galveston Railway (GVSR)

39 -- 160.695
83 -- 161.355

Houston Belt & Terminal Railway (HBT)

28 -- 160.530
38 -- 160.680

Port Terminal Railway Association (PTRA)

40 -- 160.710
49 -- 160.845
64 -- 161.070
69 -- 161.145

Union Pacific Railroad (UP) -- Houston Division
Note: not all frequencies are used on all subdivisions at all times.

10 -- 160.260 -- Avoyelles Sub (Kansas City Southern)
14 -- 160.320 -- Lufkin Sub
17 -- 160.365 -- Beaumont Sub, Galveston Sub, Glidden Sub, Houston East Belt Sub, Strang Sub

20 -- 160.410 -- Angleton Sub, Baytown Sub, Brownsville Sub, Glidden Sub, Harlingen Sub, Kosmos Sub, Livonia Sub, Palestine Sub

24 -- 160.470 -- Alexandria Sub, Baytown Sub, Glidden Sub, Livonia Sub
27 -- 160.515 -- Anchorage Sub, Beaumont Sub, Lake Charles Sub, Livonia Sub
33 -- 160.605 -- Houston Mobile Phone Patch
37 -- 160.665 -- Coleto Creek Sub, Glidden Sub, Port Lavaca Sub, Terminal Sub
40 -- 160.710 -- Avondale Sub
42 -- 160.740 -- Settegast Yard
44 -- 160.770 -- Glidden Sub, Houston East Belt Sub, Houston West Belt Sub, Terminal Sub

51 -- 160.875 -- Lafayette Sub
54 -- 160.920 -- Avoyelles Sub (Illinois Central)
59 -- 160.995 -- Strang Sub, Terminal Sub
67 -- 161.115 -- Alexandria Sub, Anchorage Sub, Livonia Yard
72 -- 161.190 -- Glidden Sub
77 -- 161.265 -- Alexandria Sub, Livonia Sub
78 -- 161.280 -- Strang Yard
86 -- 161.400 -- Baytown Sub, Englewood Yard
89 -- 161.445 -- Beaumont Sub, Bryan Sub, Eureka Sub, Galveston Sub, Navasota Sub, Palestine Sub

90 -- 161.460 -- Henderson Sub, Palestine Sub
96 -- 161.550 -- Wharton Sub

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4478. Re: Virtual downconversion ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 00:37:15 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <%Qym7.61093$tb.5216373@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60295
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Generating all possible N x M products is not what a good mixer is designed to do. A high quality double-balanced mixer will attenuate the higher order products. But remember, the mixer is only part of the front end.

I used the term "wide open" front end to mean there is no preselector filter in the front end of inexpensive scanners, not that the front end is physically wide open.

In scanners such as the Radio Shack PRO-2004/5/6, a series of preselector filters ahead of the mixer prevent out of band signals from reaching the mixer. The appropriate preselector filter is selected by the microprocessor as the LO is tuned.

Also, the first IF in those scanners is around 600 MHz and they are triple conversion.

Yes, mixers are nonlinear devices; but a good quality double-balanced mixer combined with a well designed frequency plan can minimize the ill effects of unwanted N x M products. Good practice usually dictates adding a low pass filter between the LO and the mixer.

Art Harris

"Walt Bertram" <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:3B9AAC80.8CD09CEE@worldnet.att.net...

> Hmmm, of course, the front end of most receivers is a mixer, and the
> purpose of a mixer is to be non-linear.
> So, even if the local oscillator output was a perfect, pure,
> unadulterated, sine wave, the mixer would immediately generate all the
> local oscillator harmonics. That is what it was designed to do.
>
> It takes in two, or more, frequencies, and generates all possible sum
> and difference frequencies of all possible harmonics of the two (or
> more) frequencies. Even if the front end was very tightly closed,
> there is a limit as to how much you can attenuate a very strong,
> undesired, signal. And there is nothing to prevent the detection of a
> signal when
>
> n x Flo (+/-) Fcell = Fif.
>
> Walt

4479. Re: Radio Shack: A Shadow of Its Former Self (Was: Re: RS Catalog)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 00:37:53 UTC
From: Michael Rathbun <mdr+rrs@HONet.com>
Message-ID: <13242FDBC650596E.E5851DECB51796BD.794975898FF9E8F6@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60296

In rec.radio.scanner on 05 Sep 2001 01:38:54 GMT,
n0jaa@aol.compost (Amateur Radio Station N0JAA) wrote:

>Do you remember when your local Radio Shack was staffed with a sales staff who
>were Amateur Radio Operators or who otherwise knew something about electronics?

Yep.

I was one of the hams (WA0PZI) on staff at the RS store in downtown Kansas City some decades back. Before that I was at Burstein-Applebee (about the time they imploded) and when I got back from Viet-Nam I worked for a while at Henshaw's (ditto).

B-A and H were Overtaken By Events, as RS has been and will be. The sad fact is that you cannot show a profit selling the parts and other items that the "electronics experimenter" will use at retail if you devote _any_ human attention to the sale. There are a number of reasons for this -- some of it related to the fact that you will find it almost impossible nowadays to locate an old-style Filling Station (petrol sales point) that still has a knowledgeable mechanic and a grease rack.

In an earlier era, sales of vacuum tubes and other consumables made up a large portion of the margin of any electronics sales operation, in the same way that TBA and tune-up labor furnished the lion's share of income for a gas station.

Technology marched on, and changed all of that forever. Gas stations now make their money from the convenience store operation -- doing oil changes and tune-ups on anything less than an assembly-line basis is an excellent way to go broke. Don't get me started on the small electronic appliance repair business landscape -- you can't make a living there if you are both small and honest.

Meanwhile, try translating the prices of the individual transistors, chokes, capacitors, resistors and other parts on offer then into today's dollars, and you will see that the cost structure is remarkably different. The price of the 2N107 and 2N170 transistors that I used to build my very first semiconductor project, reckoned in 2001 currency, was more than $20.00 each. At those prices, DESCO could afford to have a counter salesman on duty to handle sales of individual parts to a kid who rode across Denver on his bicycle to purchase these treasures. (And the Philipinization of US society had not gone so far back in 1961 that working the counter at DESCO was not an honorable job.)

> Nowadays you're lucky if you are helped by a sales person who knows what a
>resistor is!

But why would anybody who knows which end of the resistor is the cathode be willing to work for the hourly wage a RS store can offer? Would you? Especially if you could get five times that amount working in some other area?

Throwing rocks at the local Radio Shack store is a popular hobby in this froup and others such as rec.radio.shortwave, but if you sit down with _accurate_ figures and a spreadsheet for a while, you will conclude that it is only through heroic effort that your local RS provides you with any clue at all.

>Now, it seems that Radio Shack's main emphasis is cellular phones, with a
>sideline into TVs and stereos.

Those items have the margin to keep the doors open and the lights on and the employees paid. Individual parts, phenolic boards and other fiddly bits don't. Hiring people who know a lot about the subject in that environment is only possible during a profound general depression in which clerking at Radio Shack is the only job somebody with advanced electronic knowledge can get.

(Hmm... stay tuned, I might have to go see if RS needs any help.)

mdr (KL7F)
--
"Honest folk do not wear masks when they enter a bank."
-- Unspiek, Baron Bodissey

4480. Mods Pro 2050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 00:42:57 UTC
From: nghtrain1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010908204257.01033.00000660@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 60197

Can someone tell me if this can be unlocked, and if so---where i can get the information Thanks.

4481. Re: RS Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 04:20:13 UTC
From: Dwayne H <Dubbin@nospam.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1604b8e17b32cd61989695@news.tol.sbcglobal.net>
Source record: 60198

My dad and I both got ours and didnt have to pay a dime. All they wanted
was to update our name and address in there puters. She said it would
cost if we didnt update.

In article <vpSk7.119362$54.5468103@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>,
nk2u@1stconnect.com says...
> Radio Shack's new catalog is out but they're charging for it. I thought
> they would have learnt their lesson from a few years back when they tried
> that...
>
> Roland, NK2U
>
>
>
>
>

--
==========
Dwayne

Saw it!
Wanted it!
Threw a fit!
Got it!

4482. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 07:01:30 UTC
From: GH <gdh154@att.net>
Message-ID: <3B9B13CA.63240ABA@att.net>
Source record: 60199

Are you from Texas?
I understand it takes 4 to 6 weeks to get a Texas Drivers License when you already have one in another state.
But only 2 weeks to get a gun license.
And there are no insurance companies that will cover glass on your auto there.

Hmmm!
GH

BadBrad wrote:

> Damm good for you. Maybe I will decide to shoot your ass with a 357
> because I don't like the way you look at the lady talkin on her cell
> phone.
>
> >I DECIDE!
> >
> >When the bitch behind me in a car is swerving around cuz
> >she's flapping her fat-ass mouth on the phone, I will jam
> >her ass till the sun don't shine!
> >
> >>But who decides when and where to jam others' phone calls ... or ... who can
> >>make a phone call, and who can't?
> >
>
> Remove nospam for e-mail response

4483. INFO wanted, motorola gp300 5-tone programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 08:09:16 UTC
From: Ian <yobbo70@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <MsFm7.1839$8f7.5133@news1.rochd1.qld.optushome.com.au>
Source record: 60200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.mods

Can someone tell me the procedure for programming a "out of band freq" into
a motorola gp300 5-tone, cheers Ian

4484. a good place for radio mods.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 08:13:53 UTC
From: harvey <harvey.jones@btinternet.com>
Message-ID: <9nf89p$39q$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 60201

but not the pro2046 sorry i tryed
http://www.mods.dk/

4485. Re: RS Catalog

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 09:06:09 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3B9B3101.A40FC3CA@mitre.org>
Source record: 60202

The scanner wands are probably already in place,from last year I
noticed the bar codes in the 2002 Radio Shack catalog. May be the bar
codes are for "in store" use by sales associates, so they can get
information or do direct ordering. From the net, for customers who do
not own a computer of have a scanner wand.
I'm glad the local store is around so radios will be available and
parts, theirs plenty of peg hooks and blister packs of hobby parts
in the stores. Who else has this selection and a 800 number and web site
to back them up.
Jim

Steve Phipps wrote:
>
> At least this year they are not including those dorky scanner wand things.
> They probably have to charge to make up for the hideous loss they took on
> those last year...
>
> SP
>
> "Roland Stiner" <nk2u@1stconnect.com> wrote in message
> news:vpSk7.119362$54.5468103@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com...
> > Radio Shack's new catalog is out but they're charging for it. I thought
> > they would have learnt their lesson from a few years back when they tried
> > that...

4486. Re: For Sale, AOR 8000 Handheld Scanner. GOING CHEAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 09:34:44 UTC
From: digitalebola <anthony@clickfromreality.com>
Message-ID: <3b9b3844@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 60203
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

australian sorry, so thats about emm.. 225 us?

"Ryan" <giggsy@starmail.com> wrote in message
news:9ndifr$ac9$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
> Are we talking $450 USA , Canada or Australian.
> Ryan
>
>
> digitalebola <anthony@clickfromreality.com> wrote in message
> news:3b99b66e@news.iprimus.com.au...
> > > For Sale,
> > >
> > > 1 x AOR 8000 Scanner. Very Very Good Condition, and comes with Power
> > > charger, Car charger, Leather Carry Case, Antenna, manual, origional
> Box.
> > >
> > > Frequency range goes up to 1.9ghZ, with NO_GAPS and Most modes.
> > >
> > > 1000 memories etc,
> > >
> > > I would like to sell the scanner because i need the money.. I still
use
> > the
> > > scanner, and love it, however i need the cash.
> > >
> > > Thats why im selling for only $450 (Not Neg).
> > >
> > > I know its worth more than that, so please dont email me with silly
> > offers.
> > >
> > >
> > > I will post internationally, and would prefer payments to be made via
> > > direct debit.
> > >
> > > contact me on:
> > >
> > > anthony@clickfromreality.com
> >
> >
> >
>
>
> ---

> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.276 / Virus Database: 145 - Release Date: 03/09/01
>
>

4487. Re: PRO-2046 MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 11:36:28 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <0vIm7.4165$CR2.4364978@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 60204

By another scanner! I can't believe the number of people here asking for mods for scanners that can't be modded, at least for cell, which is all these guys seem to think is important.

"Dreamgurl2go" <dreamgurl2go@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010908143805.18255.00001172@mb-md.aol.com...
> how do you do these mods?

4488. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 13:40:10 UTC
From: Agent <davidostertag@noyoucannotsendmespam.home.com>
Message-ID: <61rmptglibltni0mf8jjlq102u4ap17els@4ax.com>
Source record: 60205

They had one recently (in Jacksonville, FL) where a guy went to the
cops when a guy stole his $10 he was going to buy crack with.
Dave

On Sat, 8 Sep 2001 19:04:08 -0500, "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>"TooManyRadios" <toomanyradios@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010908102530.18143.00000412@nso-fo.aol.com...
>
>> Kind of like the dope addict who goes to the police, wanting to file
>charges
>> because his dealer gave him "bad stuff."
>>
>> The world gets stupider every day.
>
>Actually, I believe that's happened before. I've heard of instances just
>like that happening. I also remember watching the show "Cops" where a woman
>complained to the police officer that there were so many drug dealers
>around, and showed him some drugs that she bought. Since she was in
>possession, she went to jail.
>
>Tom
>

4489. Re: For Sale, AOR 8000 Handheld Scanner. GOING CHEAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 14:14:56 UTC
From: Ryan <giggsy@starmail.com>
Message-ID: <9nftgjmep1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 60207
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi
That would make it ?142.17p UK Pounds
thats a good price. I will get back to you on this.
Ryan

digitalebola <anthony@clickfromreality.com> wrote in message
news:3b9b3844@news.iprimus.com.au...
> australian sorry, so thats about emm.. 225 us?
>
>
> "Ryan" <giggsy@starmail.com> wrote in message
> news:9ndifr$ac9$1@neptunium.btinternet.com...
> > Are we talking $450 USA , Canada or Australian.
> > Ryan
> >
> >
> > digitalebola <anthony@clickfromreality.com> wrote in message
> > news:3b99b66e@news.iprimus.com.au...
> > > > For Sale,
> > > >
> > > > 1 x AOR 8000 Scanner. Very Very Good Condition, and comes with Power
> > > > charger, Car charger, Leather Carry Case, Antenna, manual, origional
> > Box.
> > > >
> > > > Frequency range goes up to 1.9ghZ, with NO_GAPS and Most modes.
> > > >
> > > > 1000 memories etc,
> > > >
> > > > I would like to sell the scanner because i need the money.. I still
> use
> > > the
> > > > scanner, and love it, however i need the cash.
> > > >
> > > > Thats why im selling for only $450 (Not Neg).
> > > >
> > > > I know its worth more than that, so please dont email me with silly
> > > offers.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > I will post internationally, and would prefer payments to be made
via
> > > > direct debit.
> > > >

> > > > contact me on:
> > > >
> > > > anthony@clickfromreality.com
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.276 / Virus Database: 145 - Release Date: 03/09/01
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.276 / Virus Database: 145 - Release Date: 03/09/01

4490. ICOM PCR-100 hiss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 14:42:43 UTC
From: pop <sumpin@doughnuts.com>
Message-ID: <7eLm7.416$fS2.64682@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 60208

Any easy way to reduce the annoying hiss in the headphones on this puppy?
It's very hard to wear headphones for any length of time.

4491. Replacement Parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 15:15:23 UTC
From: hyperss@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909111523.02212.00000637@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 60209

Does anyone know where to get replacement parts for a Bearcat 760XLT?
I lost the plate that covers the preamp on the underside of the unit.
Is the 760XLT worth fixing or just getting a new one.

HYPERSS

4492. Website with Belgian freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 15:32:05 UTC
From: Charly <ultra@web.net>
Message-ID: <3b9b8b19$0$78190@news2.zeelandnet.nl>
Source record: 60210
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,fr.rec.radio,rec.radio.scanner

You can find a website with Belgian frequencies on
http://rainbow.mybravenet.com/belgascan/
Free membership Belgian scannerclub. For more info: Belgascan@hotmail.com

4493. Sean Sweetman Trade

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 16:01:50 UTC
From: Philip Knode <pknode@home.com>
Message-ID: <OnMm7.188072$k7.43569855@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 60211

Sean have you received the ar8k yet haven't gotten the 2042 or 2500,what went wrong when I email you it keeps bouncing back.Phil

4494. Re: Replacement Parts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 16:34:13 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010909123413.02069.00000603@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 60212

HEY,

GET A NEW ONE....., TRY CBSHOP.COM..THEY GOT LATEST BC HANDHELD...GOOD PRICES,
TOO!

4495. FA: PRO-2006 !!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 16:43:14 UTC
From: Truman <nn4c@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <CZMm7.93146$ZJ.1102212@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 60213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1273607602

- Truman, NN4C

4496. Re: INFO wanted, motorola gp300 5-tone programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 16:47:48 UTC
From: P Hartley <Hartleyp@tesco.net>
Message-ID: <9ng6k5rvq1@epos.tesco.net>
Source record: 60214
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.mods

What sort of freq do you want ?
How far out of band ?
Programming of this type of radio is done via a RIB (radio interface box)
box and PC with software. The radio is only designed to work within the
frequency range stated on the set.

Ian wrote in message ...
>Can someone tell me the procedure for programming a "out of band freq" into
>a motorola gp300 5-tone, cheers Ian
>
>

4497. Pennsylvania [Emergency] Situation Report E-Mail List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 16:49:42 UTC
From: pasitrep@pa-sitrep.com
Message-ID: <3b9b9d84.61794522@news.city-net.com>
Source record: 60206

PA-SitRep
Pennsylvania [Emergency] Situation Report E-Mail List

The PA-SitRep mailing list is a free communications tool that will enable you to monitor and report on major emergency situations throughout the State of Pennsylvania including weather emergencies, natural disasters, environmental disasters and civil disturbances.

The list is extremely low volume because messages to the list are limited only to those containing verified emergency situation reports of Major Import within the State of Pennsylvania.

The list is run from a private server at my expense, so there are no advertisements necessary or permitted. Rumors, hearsay, unconfirmed and or inaccurate reports and chat are strictly prohibited.

Subscribers do not have to be members of any specific emergency services organizations to join the PA-SitRep mailing list. ANYONE willing and able to comply with the posting guidelines is welcome.

The list protocol for posting messages is designed to cater to those who use digital cell phones or alphanumeric pagers for text messaging. The first paragraph of the messages posted to the list are brief summaries limited to 100 characters, followed by a more detailed situation report.

For more information, or if you'd like to subscribe, please visit the following web address:

http://www.pa-sitrep.com/pasitrep.htm

Thanks. And we'll look forward to your participation.

www.PA-SitRep.com

4498. Re: WIDEBAND ANTENNA QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 17:09:47 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <915nptsb90huit64eij99n91bjr8uhg7oo@4ax.com>
Source record: 60215

Mike <km6ab@pacbell.net> wrote:

> Gee, there's a lot of silly responses to this request. The Nevada double
> discone (bicone) will only have a slight advantage over the D-130
> discone in gain but there probably within 2dB of each other. A properly
> designed discone has about an 8 to 1 frequency range. The D-130 I had
> was a real antenna from about 120 to 960mhz, with the whip resonating at
> about 50mhz. This is from mechanical and network analyzer measurements.
> The claims of 25 to 1300mhz is a dream, if not an outright lie.

Mike, I'm shocked -- SHOCKED! -- that an antenna manufacturer would
stoop to misleading advertising. :-)

Okay, you are quite right about the gain figure but I believe that
letting yourself be nickel-and-dimed to death on gain or losses -- on
antennas or feedline or whatever -- *does* add up.

> I have
> not measured a Nevada for frequency response but similar commercial
> bicone versions are only rated for about half the frequency range of a
> discone.

Do you have figures/graphs/plots for these? Just interested, because
I'd like to see the formal results of a test on a bicone.

> The If the person asking is not in an RF congested city, a good
> wide band pre-amp at the D-130 discone will make a very noticeable
> difference in weak signal reception. Especially if the feed line is long
> and/or lossy.

That's good only if three conditions are true: 1) that the preamp is
mounted at the antenna end of the feedline, 2) that the preamp has
a lower noise figure than the ambient noise, and 3) that the preamp
is sufficiently immune to IMD. People put repeater towers in the
darndest (rural) places, too... I'm dealing with such an issue
right now in, of all places, a radio quiet zone!

> If the reception is centered around common public service
> frequencies there are several vertical gain antennas tuned for the
> public service bands which work noticeably better than a discone. Check
> Grove, Universal Radio, etc. for info on other antennas and pre-amps.
> Some gain type verticals are made by Antenna Specialists, Austin and
> others.
> http://www.grove-ent.com/antennas.html
> http://www.universal-radio.com/

True, but off the resonant/design frequencies these will behave much
worse than a discone or bicone.

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"Nature abhors a vacuum tube." -- J. R. Pierce, Bell Labs, c. 1940

4499. NEED AOR AR-1000 schematics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 19:56:26 UTC
From: FJose <eb5agv@ctv.es>
Message-ID: <3B9BC96A.F0FFA804@ctv.es>
Source record: 60216
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Hello!

In order to fix my unit, I am in need of the AOR AR-1000 schematics.
Please, if someone has them, let me know by direcet e-mail.

Thanks!

JOSE

--
---
73 EB5AGV / EC5AAU - JOSE V. GAVILA
La Canyada - Valencia (SPAIN)

EB5AGV Vintage Radio Site: http://www.geocities.com/eb5agv
European Boatanchors List: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/euro_ba_swap

4500. WTB:Scanner with s-meter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 21:10:28 UTC
From: sky444nospam@mint.net
Message-ID: <3b9bdb58.27007958@news.mint.net>
Source record: 60217

looking for one of the following scanners with the s-meter mod done.

PRO-2006,2005,2022,2032,2037

By s-meter mod I mean with the 1/8th plug in the back or front that
you can plug an external meter into...thanks ,please e-mail model and
asking price to:sky444@mint.net

4501. Any MilComms In NYC Area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 22:34:28 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <U7Sm7.2755$n5.856380@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 60218
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Anyone in the NYC area know of any milcomms that can be heard? Also, if anyone is interested, I found some NYC ARTCC freqs that receive a reasonable amount of activity: 134.6, 133.475, 125.325. Any help would be appreciated.

4502. bc780xlt frequency logging

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 23:21:24 UTC
From: Johnny <johnnybg301@home.com>
Message-ID: <9ngthk$21ld$1@news.futuresouth.com>
Source record: 60219

FYI, if you dont have 780 software already they are offering
a free frequency logger if you get the software at www.bc780xlt.net
You can use a regular serial cable extension too so you dont have
to buy the cable there either.

4503. Re: INFO wanted, motorola gp300 5-tone programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 23:44:26 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3B9BFEDA.D9F9AD2B@pacbell.net>
Source record: 60220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.mods

If you go to http://www.batlabs.com/gp300.html, you will find the following info and more. It's a great site for Motorola info.

Out of Band Information

To program frequencies outside of the rated bandsplit in the GP300/P110/GP350 is very simple, follow the listed steps:

Hold the shift key down while entering the frequency you want
You must release the shift key when you enter the decimal point
You must fill the entire field-use zeros(while holding down shift)
When you are done hit enter like normal and the frequency you entered will appear in regular decimal like any other frequency.

4504. Re: Any MilComms In NYC Area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 23:46:31 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <rbTm7.84792$tb.7347989@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60221
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Lots of Military aviation stuff in the 225 to 400 MHz range. Not really in NYC but over the waters south of LI and east of NJ. Want some freqs?

Also some activity from Ft Monmouth(sp?).

Art Harris

"TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message news:U7Sm7.2755$n5.856380@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> Anyone in the NYC area know of any milcomms that can be heard? Also, if
> anyone is interested, I found some NYC ARTCC freqs that receive a reasonable
> amount of activity: 134.6, 133.475, 125.325. Any help would be appreciated.
>
>

4505. Re: ICOM PCR-100 hiss

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-09 23:57:58 UTC
From: Mark <mtaustin@onr.com>
Message-ID: <amTm7.237813$g_3.51097465@typhoon.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 60222

Try a differant pair of headphones. Varied impedence may make the
difference. Also there is an software switch to select between line/speaker.
Might try playing with that.

mark

4506. Re: Boss fucking her cute new secretary in strange pose !

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 01:14:19 UTC
From: RG <prieye@NOJUNKmediaone.net>
Message-ID: <LtUm7.18240$bl4.3602696@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 60223

Hey Bud ! How do you know it's a lame site ? wink wink Lazy Bones
"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:ro3optsdja0e265jet9e9guknfakof2d2h@4ax.com...
> maxwiwyk@yahoo.com opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
> >Survivor 3 sneak preview clips.
>
>
> Nope, just the newest PornDialer trojan
>
> THIS one will cost you $$45, for a week access to this lame site.
>
> You can NOT dispute the charge, and you get charged the full $45
> even if you only use 1 minute on the site.
>
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

4507. Re: INFO wanted, motorola gp300 5-tone programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 01:31:36 UTC
From: Ian <yobbo70@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <YJUm7.1934$8f7.5655@news1.rochd1.qld.optushome.com.au>
Source record: 60224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.mods

Hi Mike, unfortunately the batlabs site does not work any more, and the "out of band" procedure is diffrent between a 5-tone and a standard gp300, the shift key trick does not work on a 5-tone, it is a completely diffrent software, thanks anyway Ian
Mike <km6ab@pacbell.net> wrote in message news:3B9BFEDA.D9F9AD2B@pacbell.net...
> If you go to http://www.batlabs.com/gp300.html, you will find the
> following info and more. It's a great site for Motorola info.
>
> Out of Band Information
>
> To program frequencies outside of the rated bandsplit in the
> GP300/P110/GP350 is very simple, follow the listed steps:
>
> Hold the shift key down while entering the frequency you want
> You must release the shift key when you enter the decimal point
> You must fill the entire field-use zeros(while holding down shift)
> When you are done hit enter like normal and the frequency you
> entered will appear in regular decimal like any other
> frequency.
>
>

4508. Re: Virtual downconversion ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 01:47:32 UTC
From: Bob <bigbadwolf.1@netzero.com>
Message-ID: <UYUm7.190787$k7.44075859@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 60225
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

So, my question now is, do you believe this person had a "Modified" Pro-2026
to be able to receive cell phone conversations on 462.950 or 472.260
frequencies ??

Or was this situation caused by IMD (inter-modulation distortion) ??

I'm trying to decipher if this person's scanner was modified or not....

Thanks,

"Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
news:s3jhpts0d87kbmhqggnt8cpm6p980nubk3@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 06 Sep 2001 19:22:59 GMT, "Bob" <bigbadwolf.1@netzero.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Where can I find more information on virtual downconversion ??
> >
> > Can someone explain to how a person owning a Pro-2026 is able to
receive
> >cell phone conversations on 462.950 or 472.260 ??
>
> Virtual downconversion? I think you've been talking to somebody who
> is making up terms as he goes along.
>
> However, if you were intent on picking up Cell Phone calls, know that
> there is an interesting phenomenon called inter-modulation distortion,
> or IMD. If you had a very strong, unmodulated signal at, say 450 MHz,
> then other signals from 880 MHz might mix (distort) with that strong
> carrier and then produce image products at 430 MHz.
>
> In fact, situations like this occur all the time. The scanner antenna
> jack usually isn't all that well filtered. It often will admit
> carrier frequencies from multiple bands. Put one really strong signal
> around four or five hundred MHz and you could easily start hearing IMD
> products of cellular telephone conversations.
>
> The recent anti-cellular reception regulations from the FCC alluded to
> such spurious signal scanning by essentially outlawing manufacture of
> double conversion, 10.7 MHz IF scanners. There was some discussion of
> minimum IMD standards, but that never materialized.
>
> However, I still suggest that if you want to receive 800 and 900 MHz
> frequencies on your scanner, that you use a downconverter ahead of
> your scanner. It will result in less garbage to dig through, and it

> will also sound much cleaner.
>
> 73,
>
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"

4509. Re: Cleveland Ohio PD back in clear?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 02:31:28 UTC
From: Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9nh9u0tfk2@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 60226

Correct. A few years ago they went to a trunked, digital system. So even if you could follow the trunking (which you actually probably could with a trunk-following scanner), all you'd get as far as audio is digital hash (noise).

Drat.

"Erik" <not@this.address.com> wrote in message news:3b9c1a9c.2797669@news-central.giganews.com...
> Does this mean it's impossible to scan Cleveland police? Sounds like
> it...

4510. Re: Log Periodic Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 03:13:43 UTC
From: Brian Short <k7on@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.160640313379a2fb98968a@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 60227

Any antenna book should describe the LPDA. The ARRL Handbook and
the ARRL Antenna Book certainly do.

The LPDA is generally used when frequency agility is more important
than absolute maximum gain/directivity etc for a given size array.

The LPDA generally covers a broad range of frequencies, though
will have less gain on a particular frequency than a yagi of
similar size. Like a yagi, it is a directional antenna comprising
multiple elements. Unlike a yagi, all elements in a LPDA are
"driven" if you will. That is all elements are electrically
connected to the transmission line, not just parasitically excited.

Anyway, look in any antenna book.

In article <20010908105226.17892.00000182@nso-mo.aol.com>,
n0jaa@aol.compost says...
> I have seen many mentions of the log periodic antenna. I do not understand
> them, however. Just what exactly IS a "log periodic antenna" and does it work?

--
--
k7on@earthlink.net >or< http://www.qsl.net/k7on/
--
The Boulder Pledge by Roger Ebert, University of Colorado :

Under no circumstances will I ever purchase anything offered to
me as the result of an unsolicited e-mail message. Nor will I
forward chain letters, petitions, mass mailings, or virus warnings
to large numbers of others. This is my contribution to the survival
of the online community.
--

4511. Re: ** ELECTRONICS ONLINE BELOW WHOLESALE **

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 03:16:33 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010909231633.07922.00003406@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 60231

In article <9ngdsi$17e$6@mochi.lava.net>, "Martin" <martin2140us@yahoo.com>
writes:

>No memberships to buy. No minimum orders. Simply go online and choose from
>thousands of name brand items you love and buy now. Only now you can buy
>them BELOW WHOLESALE and have them shipped to your door. :-)
>jewelry, watches, gifts, furniture, computers, household accessories,
>cutlery, knifes, swords, health and beauty aids, gold, silver, IT'S ALL
>HERE. And it's all BELOW WHOLESALE. This is the perfect place for online
>auctioneers to purchase items for online resale!
>http://www.samuikey.com

Yeah, right. What's the catch?

You're not one of those guys who operates out of alleys with a briefcase, are
you?

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4512. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 03:16:34 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010909231634.07922.00003408@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 60229

In article <3B9B13CA.63240ABA@att.net>, GH <gdh154@att.net> writes:

>I understand it takes 4 to 6 weeks to get a Texas Drivers License when you
>already
>have one in another state.

Not hardly. I moved to Texas from Colorado in '97, and I got my driver's
license in two hours.

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4513. Re: PRO-2046 MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 03:16:34 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010909231634.07922.00003407@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 60230

In article <0vIm7.4165$CR2.4364978@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>, "Fart Eddie"
<davlin@tiac.net> writes:

>By another scanner! I can't believe the number of people here asking for
>mods for scanners that can't be modded, at least for cell, which is all
>these guys seem to think is important.

Yes, and they also don't seem to get the fact that monitoring cell phone
transmissions is against the law in the USA unless they are in law enforcement;
and THEN they have to have a court order.

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4514. Re: Mods Pro 2050

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 03:16:35 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010909231635.07922.00003409@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 60228

In article <20010908204257.01033.00000660@mb-fb.aol.com>, nghtrain1@aol.com (NGHTRAIN1) writes:

>Can someone tell me if this can be unlocked, and if so---where i can get the
>information Thanks.
>
>
>

Unlocked for what?

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4515. Re: Radio Shack: A Shadow of Its Former Self (Was: Re: RS Catalog)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 03:16:36 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010909231636.07922.00003410@nso-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 60232

In article <13242FDBC650596E.E5851DECB51796BD.794975898FF9E8F6@lp.airnews.net>,
Michael Rathbun <mdr+rrs@HONet.com> writes:

>>Do you remember when your local Radio Shack was staffed with a sales staff
>who
>>were Amateur Radio Operators or who otherwise knew something about
>electronics?
>
>Yep.
>
>I was one of the hams (WA0PZI) on staff at the RS store in downtown Kansas
>City
>some decades back. Before that I was at Burstein-Applebee (about the time
>they
>imploded) and when I got back from Viet-Nam I worked for a while at Henshaw's
>(ditto).
>

You have some interesting viewpoints, and they are well taken. It is true that no one can make a living on selling piece parts anymore. I think you can thank the throw-away industry for that, at least in part.

I know of one way we can solve that: let's bring back vacuum-tube technology! :)

Seriously, though, our economy has affected the electronics industry quite a bit. Parts are not profitable anymore, and that hits us experimentors in the pocket book. Oh well, I guess we'll have to keep cannibalizing our old boat-anchors for the foreseeable future! At least we still have places like DigiKey and Mouser. Even if you DO have to buy in bulk.

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4516. Re: Log Periodic Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 03:24:27 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1605d2463de2fef198973a@news>
Source record: 60233

Good discrption Brian. One comment on the gain comment. You are right
but on uhf/VHF logs do give reasonable gain figures though certainly
lower than a single band antenna of similar size array. A great
broadband antenna if you have the room and are willing to use a
directional antenna.

In article <MPG.160640313379a2fb98968a@news.earthlink.net>,
k7on@earthlink.net says...
>
> Any antenna book should describe the LPDA. The ARRL Handbook and
> the ARRL Antenna Book certainly do.
>
> The LPDA is generally used when frequency agility is more important
> than absolute maximum gain/directivity etc for a given size array.
>
> The LPDA generally covers a broad range of frequencies, though
> will have less gain on a particular frequency than a yagi of
> similar size. Like a yagi, it is a directional antenna comprising
> multiple elements. Unlike a yagi, all elements in a LPDA are
> "driven" if you will. That is all elements are electrically
> connected to the transmission line, not just parasitically excited.
>
> Anyway, look in any antenna book.
>
> In article <20010908105226.17892.00000182@nso-mo.aol.com>,
> n0jaa@aol.compost says...
> > I have seen many mentions of the log periodic antenna. I do not understand
> > them, however. Just what exactly IS a "log periodic antenna" and does it work?
>
>
>

4517. Re: Radio Shack: A Shadow of Its Former Self (Was: Re: RS Catalog)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 03:34:53 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010909233453.20671.00000348@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 60234

> Before that I was at Burstein-Applebee

Now your talking, the good ol days.

Rob Mills ~~~

RX-320, NC-140, BC-895XLT, Pro-91

4518. Re: Virtual downconversion ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 03:59:14 UTC
From: "Mark R. Smith" <mark1smi@hotpop.com>
Message-ID: <mark1smi-9C8E67.20591409092001@news.cwnet.com>
Source record: 60235
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <UYUm7.190787$k7.44075859@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>,
"Bob" <bigbadwolf.1@netzero.com> wrote:

> So, my question now is, do you believe this person had a "Modified" Pro-2026
> to be able to receive cell phone conversations on 462.950 or 472.260
> frequencies ??
>
Here's a possibility... I have a GRE Super Converter 8001. It converts
the 800 Mhz band down to 400 Mhz. 810 Mhz - 910 Mhz Input to 410 Mhz -
510 Mhz output. ANY scanner which receives the 400 Mhz band can receive
celular WITHOUT being modified! It runs off a 9 volt battery or AC
adapter. Comes with it's own antenna and plugs into the back of the
radio. I've had it about 12 years. Neat little toy but not for sale.
I would be surprised if they are still made.

Mark

--
Mark R. Smith
Davis, Ca. USA
mark1smi@hotpop.com

4519. DTMF on air freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 13:14:00 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9niebv$7o7dp$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60236
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all,

Every so often I'll hear some DTMF (touch-tone) tones on aircraft frequencies, for instance 130.175. Are these used for phone patches, or possibly for some sort of remote operation at small airports? For example, at our small municipal airport, a pilot just clicks his mike three times on the airport's frequency to turn the landing lights on at night. I wonder if these DTMF tones have a similar function?

Tom

4520. Re: ** ELECTRONICS ONLINE BELOW WHOLESALE **

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 13:42:48 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9nig1l$7fkdf$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60237

"Amateur Radio Station N0JAA" <n0jaa@aol.compost> wrote in message
news:20010909231633.07922.00003406@nso-cr.aol.com...
> Yeah, right. What's the catch?
>
> You're not one of those guys who operates out of alleys with a briefcase,
are
> you?

Never buy electronics from a guy wearing asbestos gloves.

Tom

4521. Re: ** ELECTRONICS ONLINE BELOW WHOLESALE **

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 14:16:17 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.16066b0aff3fedaf98973b@news>
Source record: 60238

In article <9nig1l$7fkdf$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>, n2uhc@yahoo.com
says...
>
> "Amateur Radio Station N0JAA" <n0jaa@aol.compost> wrote in message
> news:20010909231633.07922.00003406@nso-cr.aol.com...
> > Yeah, right. What's the catch?
> >
> > You're not one of those guys who operates out of alleys with a briefcase,
> are
> > you?
>
> Never buy electronics from a guy wearing asbestos gloves.

I heard the same about you Tom.

Did you ever settle that screw job you gave the guy with your Icom?

4522. Re: DTMF on air freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 14:43:37 UTC
From: FRW <f.wijnja@home.nl.nospam>
Message-ID: <Om4n7.31910$U31.3944602@zwoll1.home.nl>
Source record: 60239
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

look at
www.airnavsystems.com
here is the right information...
fr
Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com> schreef in berichtnieuws
9niebv$7o7dp$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
> Hi all,
>
> Every so often I'll hear some DTMF (touch-tone) tones on aircraft
> frequencies, for instance 130.175. Are these used for phone patches, or
> possibly for some sort of remote operation at small airports? For
example,
> at our small municipal airport, a pilot just clicks his mike three times
on
> the airport's frequency to turn the landing lights on at night. I wonder
if
> these DTMF tones have a similar function?
>
> Tom
>
>

4523. AUCTION: RS PRO 2006 w/CE-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 14:45:54 UTC
From: Waremonger <waremonger@msn.com>
Message-ID: <Cm4n7.11558$d86.878500@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 60240

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1272732874

http://www.comtronics.net/0_oview.htm

4524. Re: Virtual downconversion ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 16:28:43 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <94qpptc8ocmebt1d7ubn1ihq7sk1u4jqpg@4ax.com>
Source record: 60241
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 10 Sep 2001 01:47:32 GMT, "Bob" <bigbadwolf.1@netzero.com>
wrote:

>So, my question now is, do you believe this person had a "Modified" Pro-2026
>to be able to receive cell phone conversations on 462.950 or 472.260
>frequencies ??
>
> Or was this situation caused by IMD (inter-modulation distortion) ??
>
>I'm trying to decipher if this person's scanner was modified or not....

Not having seen it, or known what the environment he was scanning in
was like, I have to say "I don't know."

Mind you, if anyone really wants to set up a scanner for 800 MHz, it's
as easy as setting up your scanner for channel 3 or 4 and using a
cable-TV box or a VCR tuner to down-convert.

Frankly, I think the folks that make this stuff up are sticking their
heads in the sand if they think "blocking" the micro-processors of
scanners will keep a determined individual from monitoring cellular
telephone calls.

73,

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

4525. Re: AUCTION: RS PRO 2006 w/CE-232

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 17:13:23 UTC
From: "R. Scott" <kf7qz@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B9CF4B3.BE0B9B31@yahoo.com>
Source record: 60242

<snicker>

Waremonger wrote:
>
> http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1272732874
>
> http://www.comtronics.net/0_oview.htm

4526. PRO-2037 QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 18:01:33 UTC
From: Ambrose <ambrose@intac.com>
Message-ID: <3B9CFFFD.F3F9A359@intac.com>
Source record: 60243

Anyone know who makes a computer interface for this model ? my unit has a black potted module, with no info on it. I'd like to find software for this. Thanks.

4527. Re: Cows eat poop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 18:13:10 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B9D02B5.1BC7395C@home.com>
Source record: 60244

Ryan KC8PMX wrote:

> Yeah, but isn't that only cat poop they eat? :)
>
> Actually my dogs eat their own. I guess they just want to run it
> through one more time to make sure. :-).

4528. Re: Radio Shack: A Shadow of Its Former Self (Was: Re: RS Catalog)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 18:35:30 UTC
From: Michael Rathbun <mdr+rrs@HONet.com>
Message-ID: <588F68DB8F29FDFB.E0A97C4027F25D8A.B94A8477A1A2E139@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60245

In rec.radio.scanner on 10 Sep 2001 03:34:53 GMT,
jrobmills@aol.comrx320 (J Rob) wrote:

>> Before that I was at Burstein-Applebee
>
>Now your talking, the good ol days.

Heh. I was on the parts counter at the Brookside store in Kansas City when Jerry Burstein and his finance wizards decided that the margin on parts was too small (this was in 1966) and so they

o hiked the prices in the stores by five to fifty percent compared to the mail-order catalog; and
o removed all catalogs from the retail stores and replaced them with photo-copied versions ("counter books") in which (nearly all of) the prices had been whited out; you were required to find the part number in the counter book and then look up the new price in a separate loose-leaf binder.

A couple of days after this misbegotten bozocity was implemented, we had to call an ambulance for an elderly long-time customer who became so furious that he required medical attention. "You'd never get away with this if Applebee was still alive!" were his parting words.

(Of course, the white-out brigade inevitably missed a few of the prices, and any number of walk-in customers tried to insist on getting the price in the "counter book". Some of us just rang them up at the old price and kicked in the difference out of our own pockets.)

mdr
--
"Honest folk do not wear masks when they enter a bank."
-- Unspiek, Baron Bodissey

4529. Re: Dogs Jews Sea Monkeys & Aryan Brothers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 19:26:45 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010910152645.00158.00000156@nso-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 60246

What does this have to do with radios or radio scanning?

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4530. Re: Any MilComms In NYC Area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 20:01:05 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <5_8n7.9992$A5.1944610@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 60147
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Trouble is, I only have a BC245XLT, which poses problems. But thanks for the reply.

4531. Computer control Question - 2006/OS456Lite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 20:27:29 UTC
From: Sandlapper <bbutler@home.com>
Message-ID: <Rm9n7.196397$k7.44821162@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 60148

I've been tried a couple of demo programs before buying softwary for my 2006/OS456L setup. The last two I tried could not communicate with the scanner...the error msg said something to the effect - "UNABLE TO COMMUNICATE WITH RADIO - ENGINE OPEN".....Any idea what needs to be corrected? Software? Hardware?

Thanks,

Sandlapper

4532. Re: INFO wanted, motorola gp300 5-tone programming

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 21:27:15 UTC
From: Ian <yobbo70@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <Tean7.2060$8f7.6132@news1.rochd1.qld.optushome.com.au>
Source record: 60149
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,alt.ham-radio.mods

Batlabs is back! and problem solved!
Ian <yobbo70@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:YJUm7.1934$8f7.5655@news1.rochd1.qld.optushome.com.au...
> Hi Mike, unfortunately the batlabs site does not work any more, and the
"out
> of band" procedure is diffrent between a 5-tone and a standard gp300, the
> shift key trick does not work on a 5-tone, it is a completely diffrent
> software, thanks anyway Ian
> Mike <km6ab@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:3B9BFEDA.D9F9AD2B@pacbell.net...
> > If you go to http://www.batlabs.com/gp300.html, you will find the
> > following info and more. It's a great site for Motorola info.
> >
> > Out of Band Information
> >
> > To program frequencies outside of the rated bandsplit in the
> > GP300/P110/GP350 is very simple, follow the listed steps:
> >
> > Hold the shift key down while entering the frequency you want
> > You must release the shift key when you enter the decimal point
> > You must fill the entire field-use zeros(while holding down shift)
> > When you are done hit enter like normal and the frequency you
> > entered will appear in regular decimal like any other
> > frequency.
> >
> >
>
>

4533. F/S Pristine Pro 2005 scanner w/cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 22:12:55 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B9D3B7E.4F541D47@yahoo.com>
Source record: 60150
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Scanner is extremely clean. Non smoker. Scanner looks identical to new. Speed and cell mod done. Comes complete in the box with manual and antenna. Works perfect. Backlight is extremely bright and has dimmer switch. Price is $210 shipped UPS in lower 48 OR $215 by Paypal. I can have it out the next day. Please email me if interested. No trades please. thanks

4534. Re: Dogs Jews Sea Monkeys & Aryan Brothers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 22:35:39 UTC
From: "Abraham H. Foxman" <adlmedia@adl.org>
Message-ID: <%ebn7.86850$ju1.2722432@amsnews02.chello.com>
Source record: 60151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Amateur Radio Station N0JAA" <n0jaa@aol.compost> wrote in message
news:20010910152645.00158.00000156@nso-mn.aol.com...
"BERNARDZ" <BERNARDZMUSIC@iname.COM> wrote in message
news:MPG.16073966c29b87a98a406@NEWS...
| This is probably the most ridiculous thing I've heard in my life. Can
| you post the news article URL (from a reputable site) outlining the
| Aryan beliefs of their "adopted" son?

Will the San Francisco Chronicle do?

http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/chronicle/archive/2001/09/08/MN14488
43.DTL

http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/chronicle/archive/2001/09/06/MN16462
8.DTL

| Connecting Jews to Nazis in a supportive way is just silly.....as well
| as obscene. Shame on you.

No it's all true.

http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/chronicle/archive/2001/09/01/MN19935
.DTL

"Nice Jewish Girl From Brooklyn"

Woman's bail cut in half in dog case
Knoller no more risk than Noel, judge says

Jaxon Van Derbeken, Chronicle Staff Writer Saturday, September 1, 2001

San Francisco -- In a surprise move, a San Francisco judge cut bail in half
yesterday for a woman facing second-degree murder charges in the dog-mauling death
of her neighbor.

Superior Court Judge James Warren reduced Marjorie Knoller's bail to $1 million from
the $2 million originally set when she was jailed in March along with her husband,
Robert Noel.

Warren said that while Knoller may be facing more serious charges than Noel -- who
is still being held on $1 million bond on manslaughter charges -- she is no more of
a risk to jump bail.

The ruling followed a daylong, often heated hearing in which prosecutors argued that
the accused couple had strong ties to the "vile, vicious, murderous" Aryan
Brotherhood prison gang. Warren kept details of prosecutors' accusations secret to
avoid adding to pretrial publicity surrounding the case.

In arguing against cutting Knoller's bail, the prosecution also said that the couple are flight risks and that Knoller had done nothing to help Diane Whipple as she lay dying in her Pacific Heights apartment building after the dog attack.

The defense countered that the prosecution was "cruel" for suggesting that Knoller let Whipple die after the Jan. 26 attack by the Presa Canario dog that Noel and Knoller had been keeping.

The defense stressed that both defendants had an unblemished reputation and strong ties to the community. Far from letting Whipple die, they said, Knoller had acted heroically.

In the end, Warren acknowledged prosecution arguments about the risks involved but ordered the bail reduced based on his theory of equal flight risk.

Prosecutors had no comment on the ruling, citing Warren's gag order in the case. But a private attorney suing the couple for wrongful death was puzzled by the decision.

"It doesn't make sense. . . . I don't understand it," said Michael Cardoza, who along with his client, Sharon Smith, attended the first part of the hearing.

It is unclear whether Knoller can raise even the reduced bail sum.

"I hope so," said Knoller's attorney, Nedra Ruiz, who added that she disagreed with the judge and said that bail of $1 million is "unnecessary" because of no flight risk.

"She's stunned; she feels she deserves release on her own recognizance," Ruiz said about her client's reaction.

At the hearing, Ruiz described Knoller as a "nice Jewish girl from Brooklyn" with ties to the community and no criminal record.

"There's nothing in this evidence to show she was anything but brave in the face of a tragedy that would strike most people speechless, that might dement a person," Ruiz told Warren.

Later she said Knoller "put her body on (Whipple) to protect her from this crazed, damned dog."

Prosecutor James Hammer bristled at the suggestion that Knoller valiantly fought to protect Whipple's life.

"If Marjorie Knoller's such a hero, why didn't she give first aid to Diane Whipple while she was dying?" Hammer said. "If Marjorie Knoller's such a hero, why didn't she call 911? And if Marjorie Knoller's such a hero, why did she go on national television and blame Diane Whipple for her own death?"

When he again stressed Knoller's failure to help Whipple, Knoller shouted, "I did too, you son of a bitch!" That brought a threat from the judge to remove her from court.

Much of the testimony in the hearing concerned the alleged ties the accused may have to the Aryan Brotherhood, in part through a Pelican Bay State Prison inmate they adopted.

The judge appeared to agree with the prosecution that there was cause for concern, saying, "The court does find that Aryan Brotherhood is a danger, that people are in danger and that witnesses in this case are in danger. . . . The Aryan Brotherhood is potentially a resource of some value to the defense."

He also noted prosecutors' argument on the couple's alleged flight risk. Prosecutors pointed out that the couple drove at high speeds while being followed by police after testifying before a grand jury that would later indict them.

That was not enough, however, for Warren to order Knoller's bail kept at $2 million.

E-mail Jaxon Van Derbeken at jvanderbeken@sfchronicle.com.

4535. Good Place to buy NiMH AA with solder tabs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-10 23:59:09 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b9d5328.7948925@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 60152

Anyone got a place? Need to rebuild some bearcat battery packs

4536. Re: Cleveland Ohio PD back in clear?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 00:13:35 UTC
From: teeavee@aol.comGETLOST
Message-ID: <20010910201335.17711.00001715@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 60153

Where would someone buy such a radio??..And who would program it.....Im mildy interested,,,Thanks

4537. Re: Good Place to buy NiMH AA with solder tabs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 00:26:46 UTC
From: Ambrose <ambrose@intac.com>
Message-ID: <3B9D5A46.EAE46047@intac.com>
Source record: 60154

try www.cheapbatteries.com , if it's not listed, I bet they can find
them.

Bruce Markowitz wrote:

> Anyone got a place? Need to rebuild some bearcat battery packs

4538. Re: Dogs Jews Sea Monkeys & Aryan Brothers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 00:27:53 UTC
From: Train <likeicare@all.com>
Message-ID: <dUcn7.94898$tb.9617857@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60155
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

<snipped it all>
<un-crossposted it all>
What the hell was all this cross posted shit?
Train

4539. WTB "Zapper"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 00:39:42 UTC
From: John Strand <w6ibl@ncinternet.net>
Message-ID: <3b9d5e29$0$28691@wodc7nh0.news.uu.net>
Source record: 60156

Want to buy reasonably-priced radar "zapper." This was marketed a few years ago as a portable wideband microwave source.....currently out of production.

Thanks

John

4540. Re: Dogs Jews Sea Monkeys & Aryan Brothers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 00:53:13 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3b9d50c1_5@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 60157
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Train" <likeicare@all.com> wrote in message
news:dUcn7.94898$tb.9617857@news02.optonline.net...
> <snipped it all>
> <un-crossposted it all>
> What the hell was all this cross posted shit?
> Train

Correct. Racists hide behind the cross.

Stan,
http://stansqncrs.8m.com/

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4541. Re: Cleveland Ohio PD back in clear?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 01:18:50 UTC
From: Mike Mayer <mayermh@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9njp58ggj1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 60160

Yes, even Chicago is easy to listen to, in the clear. In fact, they share some of the OLD Cleveland 450-range frequencies.

Yeah, east and southeast Cleveland suburbs are real yawners (Solon for one). Brother lives in Rocky River on the West Side and he says due to MDT's, the River cops are rarely ever talking much over the air. So, aside from trunking and digital audio, it's also the MDT's that are taking away from the sport of scanning.

Mike

"Erik" <not@this.address.com> wrote in message news:3b9d656e.1070911@news-central.giganews.com...
> On Mon, 10 Sep 2001 04:39:42 GMT, Never anonymous Bud
> <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote:
>
>
> >Which doesn't mean you CAN'T listen to them,
> >just that you have to buy a commercial radio
> >and get someone to program it for you.
> >
>
> Yes, but now that's out of the scanning realm. Where would you get
> such a radio, and how do you even know which one to get?
>
> It's hard to believe a relatively small PD like Cleveland already went
> digital. Can't you freely scan larger cities like NY, LA, and Dallas?
> Correct me if I'm wrong here.
>
> Guess I'll have to keep scanning the (relatively uninteresting) east
> side. Sigh...

4542. Re: Fire just reported in Cajon Pass, CA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 01:22:50 UTC
From: Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com>
Message-ID: <9rpqpt0v5f65sp012q68m88l54joejf210@4ax.com>
Source record: 60158

Hey all,

I just posted this one at ARS, but forgot to crosspost here to RRS. In addition to what you see here, you can pick up more of the air comms for this fire on 123.075

Jughead

>Just heard the call go out for several air tankers, a couple of
>helicopters, and trucks while monitoring 171.475 (US Forestry Service
>Fire - San Bernardino, CA).
>
>If anybody in the area wants to monitor any of this, most of the
>ground traffic is on 171.475. The frequencies the aircraft/helicopters
>have been instructed to use are169.15 and 168.2. I'm also hearing
>helicopter-to-ground transmissions on 171.475 as I type this.
>
>Exact coordinates of the fire are N34ï¿½19'48.72" W117ï¿½ 30' 47.87"
>
>I haven't looked at a map yet, but it sounds like the fire is
>extremely close to the I-15 freeway as I heard one of the engineers
>suggest a couple of minutes ago that the CHP completely close the
>southbound lanes.
>
>
>
>Jughead

4543. Pro-2052 software help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 01:56:42 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <uben7.531$4C2.8934@news>
Source record: 60159

I need to know the best software for the 2052.I have never used software w/
a scanner.Also can I buy a cable to hook it to the computer from radio shack
stores or is it only available online? Any other opinions or suggestions on
this would help too!
Thanks
Rob

--
Sure I'll get a life,
Just as soon as I download one!

4544. Bermuda freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 01:58:26 UTC
From: Yagahokin <webmaster@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <3b9d6fcd$0$19384@wodc7nh6.news.uu.net>
Source record: 60161

Anyone have any idea of the freqs for Bermuda emergency services ??? I
can't find a thing !

Yag

4545. Re: Looking For Eastern Pa. Freqs.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 02:07:02 UTC
From: nvavro@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3b9d6f5d.15601185@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 60162

Thanks J. Johnson. Looking forward to doing so. Obliged. Nick

4546. Need FRS & GMRS Radio Freqs. Please!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 02:08:06 UTC
From: nvavro@ptd.net
Message-ID: <3b9d6f9e.15666575@news.ptd.net>
Source record: 60163

[No readable article body recovered]

4547. Re: ** ELECTRONICS ONLINE BELOW WHOLESALE **

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 02:10:56 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9njrr6$8beoa$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60164

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.16066b0aff3fedaf98973b@news...
>
>
> Did you ever settle that screw job you gave the guy with your Icom?

That was settled a long time ago.

4548. Re: ** ELECTRONICS ONLINE BELOW WHOLESALE **

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 02:14:14 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1607134dee6da42498973d@news>
Source record: 60165

In article <9njrr6$8beoa$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>, n2uhc@yahoo.com
says...
>
> "Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
> news:MPG.16066b0aff3fedaf98973b@news...
> >
> >
> > Did you ever settle that screw job you gave the guy with your Icom?
>
> That was settled a long time ago.
>
>
>

Glad to hear it Tom.

4549. Re: Pro-2052 software help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 02:25:13 UTC
From: AdMan <phildahlnospam@itctel.com>
Message-ID: <dCen7.221$mz2.43618@news7.onvoy.net>
Source record: 60166

Here are a couple of links to try. I don't own this scanner, but have read these websites. Hope these are helpful. I think you can also order software directly from RS. There new catelog has more information about it.

http://www.pro2052.com/main.html
http://www.mghusa.com/pozilla/winscanrs.htm

"Rob Crandall" <crandall@ee.net> wrote in message news:uben7.531$4C2.8934@news...
> I need to know the best software for the 2052.I have never used software w/
> a scanner.Also can I buy a cable to hook it to the computer from radio shack
> stores or is it only available online? Any other opinions or suggestions on
> this would help too!
> Thanks
> Rob
>
> --
> Sure I'll get a life,
> Just as soon as I download one!
>
>

4550. Can anyone get into www.airnavsystems.com?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 02:29:04 UTC
From: jacjohn <jacjohn@whidbey.net>
Message-ID: <tpqtlprsk2vsc9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60167

I'm having trouble accessing airnavsystems.com. I'm trying to download their Airnav suite 1.3.

Thanks

John Y.

4551. FS:PRO-2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 02:46:54 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@home.com>
Message-ID: <yWen7.52641$xb.28574899@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 60168

i have a like new,radio shack,
PRO 2045,,box,manual,antenna,power cube,,,excellent condition

price 125.00 shipped

monte k9dzd@home.com

4552. Re: Pro-2052 software help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 02:55:42 UTC
From: michael agner <ka3jjz@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3B9D7D2D.EA0895DB@erols.com>
Source record: 60169

Rob Crandall wrote:

> I need to know the best software for the 2052.I have never used software w/
> a scanner.Also can I buy a cable to hook it to the computer from radio shack
> stores or is it only available online? Any other opinions or suggestions on
> this would help too!
> Thanks
> Rob
>
> --
> Sure I'll get a life,
> Just as soon as I download one!

I would also take a look at the BC245exlt Quick Programmer at:
http://www.freqofnature.com/ . Despite it's name, it lists the PRO2052 as
among the radios it supports. Also try Scanner Master's package at:

http://www.scannermaster.com/products/software/winrs/index.php

and Scancat at : http://www.scancat.com

Trunkmax Pro also supports it, but the server is evidently down as I type
this. In any case, the URL is http://www.trunkmaxpro.com/

good luck and 73s Mike ka3jjz@erols.com

4553. I need a freq counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 03:04:54 UTC
From: David Trickle <gumby1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3b9d80bf$0$42874$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 60170

I am looking for a Frequency Counter. I have only about $50.00 that I can spend. I know this means I wont get much but if you have an old one that you could let go of please let me know.

Thanks David

4554. Re: WIDEBAND ANTENNA QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 03:46:16 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3B9D8908.B2FE931A@pacbell.net>
Source record: 60171

Eric,
Here is closest thing I can find to the Nevada antenna for specs. I wouldn't mind having a Nevada for testing if I could find one for a fair price. http://www.rfcomm.harris.com/defense-comm/aa/rf-9070.pdf
Mike.

4555. Scan setting on an Icom IC-R2 ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 04:35:12 UTC
From: bucky mulligan <ohmwork@spots.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <3B9D9480.B7AABBE6@spots.ab.ca>
Source record: 60172

I need help programming my IC-R2 to scan between two frequencies. An example would be, say 50 mhz to 200 mhz. How do I set it up to scan continously between these two (or any other) frequencies? I have no problems with the regular band scan, but can not set it up for programmed band edges.
Any comments welcome..

mike II

4556. Bah. Air Nav is buggin' me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 04:48:19 UTC
From: jacjohn <jacjohn@whidbey.net>
Message-ID: <tpr5quo4dvrm57@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60173

Hi all,

Ok, I got myself a copy of AirNav 3.1. I also have the Air Nav ACARS decoder installed. I have the ACARS decoder set up to run inside Air Nav 3.1. Here is my problem. Say there is an aircraft (N46758 for example..) in the ACARS decoder box, and I want to track it on the map. HOW ON EARTH DO I DO IT!? =) The tutorials weren't helpful at all.

Thanks in advance.

John Y.

4557. Re: Log Crew Sees Monkeys And Their Brothers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 05:37:04 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2165-3B9DA300-205@storefull-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 60174

In other news, a log crew building cabins spotted a group of female monkeys and said hello to the crew and introduced their brothers, who were well built monkeys and offered to help the log crew build some cabins, but the log crew politely declined;) (Silliness always dispels evil, Nyuk Nyuk)

4558. Re: Dogs, Jujubes, Feeding Monkeys, Flying Feathers...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 05:49:51 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2165-3B9DA5FF-209@storefull-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 60175

A day in the life of a pet store worker.

4559. New URL North Alabama Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 06:32:13 UTC
From: northalabamascan <northalabamascan@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <01c13a8a$f141be40$a9326420@highcg>
Source record: 60176

The North Alabama Scan page is now available at http://www.auite.com/scan/

It features frequencies for Huntsville, Alabama and surrounding area.

4560. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 08:01:03 UTC
From: GH <gdh154@att.net>
Message-ID: <3B9DC4C3.D4FDB51D@att.net>
Source record: 60177

Well, that means a relative lied to me or ther friend lied to them.
That is who told me that story.
Sorry.
GH

Amateur Radio Station N0JAA wrote:

> In article <3B9B13CA.63240ABA@att.net>, GH <gdh154@att.net> writes:
>
> >I understand it takes 4 to 6 weeks to get a Texas Drivers License when you
> >already
> >have one in another state.
>
> Not hardly. I moved to Texas from Colorado in '97, and I got my driver's
> license in two hours.
>
> Paul.
>
> "We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4561. Re: WIDEBAND ANTENNA QUESTION

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 08:34:58 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <e79rptoge4c7ib8n9siug1jmuc6rc3kjop@4ax.com>
Source record: 60178

Mike <km6ab@pacbell.net> wrote:

> Eric,
> Here is closest thing I can find to the Nevada antenna for specs. I
> wouldn't mind having a Nevada for testing if I could find one for a fair
> price. http://www.rfcomm.harris.com/defense-comm/aa/rf-9070.pdf
> Mike.

Thanks for the pointer. The sales brochure isn't much, but it is a
starting point...

...but what constitutes "fair price" in your mind? The Nevada sells
for $120 at RadioBooks. The AOR discone (claimed coverage: 25M-2G!)
sells for $129 at Grove. They also carry a Diamond for $100.
Universal sells the Diamond for $80, the AOR for $130 and an ICOM for
$150.

I guess what I'm saying is that they aren't exactly out of line, and
if they were poorly built I wouldn't use or recommend one. Since I
can't machine the "hub" myself, doing a "one-off" is out of the
question in terms of both time and money, so I can't complain too
much.

That said, it sure seems that ALL commercial hobbiest antennas are
overpriced, what with some wire SWL antennas selling for over $100!!

Eric

--
Eric F. Richards, efricha@dimensional.com
"This book reads like a headache on paper."
www.cnn.com/2001/CAREER/readingup/08/14/getting.things.done/index.html

4562. Re: Computer control Question - 2006/OS456Lite

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 12:25:08 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <Eonn7.4770$CR2.5524815@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 60179

Assuming the serial cable is good...
Make sure you know which comm port your serial cable is plugged into. It's easier than it ought to be to confuse port 1 and 2
Check the comm port is enabled in your control panel (look at properties for comm port in the device manager/system control panel)
Set the software to communicate through the port the cable is plugged into
Check the speed for the comm port is the same in the software settings
Check the speed for the comm port is equal to the speed of the Opto (9600 baud usually, but it could be higher depending on the dip switches on the Opto board)

If you're running a DOS program and Windows ME, the comm ports might not work reliably (I had that problem, any way).

If all you have tried are demo programs, then you haven't tried the program that you actually ought to buy: Probe 6 (which is not available as a demo).

"Sandlapper" <bbutler@home.com> wrote in message news:Rm9n7.196397$k7.44821162@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> I've been tried a couple of demo programs before buying softwary for my
> 2006/OS456L setup. The last two I tried could not communicate with the
> scanner...the error msg said something to the effect - "UNABLE TO
> COMMUNICATE WITH RADIO - ENGINE OPEN".....Any idea what needs to be
> corrected? Software? Hardware?
>
> Thanks,
>
> Sandlapper
>
>

4563. looking for product...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 12:54:18 UTC
From: Larry Cohen <ppcdoc@home.com>
Message-ID: <_Pnn7.960$xB1.157231@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 60180

that sends scanner signal to car radio...about 30 bux.
pls email the product name to me...thanks
ppcdoc@home.com

4564. Re: ** ELECTRONICS ONLINE BELOW WHOLESALE **

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 13:12:50 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9nl2ki$8ignh$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60181

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message
news:MPG.1607134dee6da42498973d@news...
> In article <9njrr6$8beoa$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>, n2uhc@yahoo.com
> says...

>
> Glad to hear it Tom.

And by the way, it was no screw job. Just a misunderstanding.

Tom

4565. WORLD TRADE CENTER TOWERS AND PENTAGON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 14:16:58 UTC
From: thepolishdude@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5a04c827.0109110616.3b380c08@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60182
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

One World Trade Center Tower supposedly has C O L L A P S E D.

For some specs on the TOWERS visit the following web page:

http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/buildingbig/wonder/structure/world_trade.html

4566. CLEVELAND OHIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 14:38:42 UTC
From: thepolishdude@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5a04c827.0109110638.a7bbfe0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60183
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Some high rise buildings in my HOMETOWN of CLEVELAND OHIO are being evacuated as a P R E C A U T I O N to P R E V E N T a New York City senario.

4567. Re: WORLD TRADE CENTER TOWERS AND PENTAGON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 14:43:51 UTC
From: Kaylene Griffith <kagriffith@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <9nl7ou$8a7hf$1@ID-84017.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60184
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

better make that 2 towers, and a big chunk of Pentagon, looking at my TV screen..... what a mess!
Kay
--
I only lost my mind, not my memory... (DAPAN)

Polish Dude <thepolishdude@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:5a04c827.0109110616.3b380c08@posting.google.com...
> One World Trade Center Tower supposedly has C O L L A P S E D.
>
> For some specs on the TOWERS visit the following web page:
>
> http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/buildingbig/wonder/structure/world_trade.html

4568. Re: WORLD TRADE CENTER TOWERS AND PENTAGON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 14:48:35 UTC
From: Maverick <c17clan@aol.com>
Message-ID: <7vpn7.1139$xB1.221779@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 60186
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Somebody is going to pay! I will not stand by and let my country go
under attack. WE need to define this tragedy and punish those who are
responsible.

Maverick.
"Kaylene Griffith" <kagriffith@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
news:9nl82h$7gfpa$1@ID-84017.news.dfncis.de...
> ....and another plane crash near Pittsburg airport :(
> Kay
>
> --
> I only lost my mind, not my memory... (DAPAN)
>
>
> Kaylene Griffith <kagriffith@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
> news:9nl7ou$8a7hf$1@ID-84017.news.dfncis.de...
> > better make that 2 towers, and a big chunk of Pentagon, looking at my TV
> > screen..... what a mess!
> > Kay
> > --
> > I only lost my mind, not my memory... (DAPAN)
> >
> >
> > Polish Dude <thepolishdude@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:5a04c827.0109110616.3b380c08@posting.google.com...
> > > One World Trade Center Tower supposedly has C O L L A P S E D.
> > >
> > > For some specs on the TOWERS visit the following web page:
> > >
> > > http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/buildingbig/wonder/structure/world_trade.html
> >
> >
>
>

4569. Re: WORLD TRADE CENTER TOWERS AND PENTAGON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 14:48:57 UTC
From: Kaylene Griffith <kagriffith@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <9nl82h$7gfpa$1@ID-84017.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60185
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

....and another plane crash near Pittsburg airport :(
Kay

--
I only lost my mind, not my memory... (DAPAN)

Kaylene Griffith <kagriffith@ozemail.com.au> wrote in message
news:9nl7ou$8a7hf$1@ID-84017.news.dfncis.de...
> better make that 2 towers, and a big chunk of Pentagon, looking at my TV
> screen..... what a mess!
> Kay
> --
> I only lost my mind, not my memory... (DAPAN)
>
>
> Polish Dude <thepolishdude@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:5a04c827.0109110616.3b380c08@posting.google.com...
> > One World Trade Center Tower supposedly has C O L L A P S E D.
> >
> > For some specs on the TOWERS visit the following web page:
> >
> > http://www.pbs.org/wgbh/buildingbig/wonder/structure/world_trade.html
>
>

4570. FEMA Freq's???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 15:50:06 UTC
From: Richard Chyzy <rjchyzy@home.com>
Message-ID: <3b9e3209$0$10599$45beb828@newscene.com>
Source record: 60187

Hi, does anyone have the fema freq's for illinois????...thanks

4571. DCA Freq

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 15:52:20 UTC
From: Gary and Georgette <gstein@us.nospamhsanet.net>
Message-ID: <tpscqaenccokcd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60188

125.650 is the frequency being used by the air force guarding the skies over Washington DC.

Gary

4572. Amazed :-((((

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 16:16:09 UTC
From: FJose <eb5agv@ctv.es>
Message-ID: <3B9E38C9.245E8FF0@ctv.es>
Source record: 60190

My sincere condolences to all the USA people from Spain

I am amazed... this is incredible.

JOSE

--
---
73 EB5AGV / EC5AAU - JOSE V. GAVILA
La Canyada - Valencia (SPAIN)

EB5AGV Vintage Radio Site: http://www.geocities.com/eb5agv

European Boatanchors List: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/euro_ba_swap

4573. NYC police & emer. freqs. going crazy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 16:16:45 UTC
From: Phil & Alyce <pal531@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9nld4j$i96$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 60189

Lots of 10-13 calls, officers trapped below the rubble, people hurt
everywhere.
This is an awful, terrible tradgedy.
Our thoughts, prayers, and sympathies are with the victims and their
families.

Phil

4574. Re: Bermuda freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 16:51:37 UTC
From: John Arduino <john.arduino@compaq.com>
Message-ID: <3B9E4119.F4C7A3AF@compaq.com>
Source record: 60191

Hi,

It appears that they are a "Trunked" system on 800MHz!!

Yagahokin wrote:

> Anyone have any idea of the freqs for Bermuda emergency services ??? I
> can't find a thing !
>
> Yag

4575. Plane Crash/attack in Pennsylvania

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 16:52:33 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3B9E4151.FB3AC466@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 60192

Does anyone have a better location of the 767 Crash in Somerset County
Pennsylvania? I have relatives in the general area and trying to learn
more accuratly where the plane crashed.
George
http://www.Licensed4Fun.com
--

____
I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
____

4576. Re: Plane Crash/attack in Pennsylvania

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 17:08:51 UTC
From: jmr@nauticom.net
Message-ID: <3B9E4523.D4D9E370@nauticom.net>
Source record: 60193

Somerset is located about 2 hrs. drive east across the PA turnpike from PGH
at exit 10. Somerset is a country community.

I believe the town nearest the crash is Stoystown which is about 20 miles
north east of the town of Somerset.

I hope this helps.

GeorgeF wrote:

> Does anyone have a better location of the 767 Crash in Somerset County
> Pennsylvania? I have relatives in the general area and trying to learn
> more accuratly where the plane crashed.
> George
> _____

4577. National Guard on street in NYC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 17:18:35 UTC
From: Pete <pcarter400@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <LHrn7.478$lE3.42345@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 60194
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

CNN reporting National guard is being deployed in NYC as I type this.

Curfew declared hours of night in all 5 Boroughs of NYC

Is this the preclude to Martial law?

4578. Re: Plane Crash/attack in Pennsylvania

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 17:35:19 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3B9E4B57.5444CDF7@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 60195

Hi
Thanks for the info, I know exactly were Somerset is (I grew up in Bedford).
Thanks,
George
http://www.Licensed4Fun.com

_____
I Made eBay My $175,000 a Year Career!
I'm Retired at age 36 and Enjoying Life!
--> http://www.licensed4fun.com/ebay.htm <--
_____

4579. Re: WTB "Zapper"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 17:48:14 UTC
From: Frizz <kg4ivt@hotmail.spamsucks.leaveme.alone.com>
Message-ID: <y7sn7.268639$J37.70185264@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 60196

If you find one or find plans on how to make one, let me know as well.
Thanks!

"John Strand" <w6ibl@ncinternet.net> wrote in message
news:3b9d5e29$0$28691@wodc7nh0.news.uu.net...
> Want to buy reasonably-priced radar "zapper." This was marketed a few
years
> ago as a portable wideband microwave source.....currently out of
production.
>
> Thanks
>
> John
>
>
>

4580. Re: National Guard on street in NYC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 18:02:44 UTC
From: "Clyde R. Shappee" <clydes@world.std.com>
Message-ID: <3B9E51C4.A7FB7E1A@world.std.com>
Source record: 60097
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I heard a broadcast on 7.415 MHz. Don't know the program but the commentator stated that marshal law has been declared across the US, but that it has not been broadcast yet by the news services.

I don't know if I believe it.

Clyde

Pete wrote:

> CNN reporting National guard is being deployed in NYC as I type this.
>
> Curfew declared hours of night in all 5 Boroughs of NYC
>
> Is this the preclude to Martial law?

4581. Arabs and Turks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 18:09:25 UTC
From: Noise <noiseblanker@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9nlk2b$50c$1@merki.connect.com.au>
Source record: 60098

What can I say, they are the low life of the world, down with all middle eastern scum

4582. To our friends in the USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 18:14:03 UTC
From: Ryan <giggsy@starmail.com>
Message-ID: <9nlk8ijaa1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 60099

I and I would imagine all of the members of this NG, offer our most sincere condolences to you and your country at this terrible time. Our thoughts and prayers are with you all
R

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.276 / Virus Database: 145 - Release Date: 03/09/01

4583. Re: Vultures already auctioning off WTC dust and debris.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 18:36:19 UTC
From: go4tcross@cs.communicate
Message-ID: <20010911143619.23548.00001115@mb-ca.news.cs.com>
Source record: 60100

>Anyone know if this is a federal offence to sell those
>items? That debris should be considered evidence and thos
>epeople shouldn't be selling it on ebay!

Should be illegal. It is evidence, and federal property too.

Luke Hoffmann
Go4tcross@cs.com

4584. World Trade Disaster Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 19:08:53 UTC
From: njscan <njscan@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <9jtn7.2646$f7.559353@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60101

?As the tragedy of the World Trade Center terrorist attack unfolds, many scanner listeners have
been wondering what frequencies are being used. In short, virtually every frequency is active! You
may wish to check out this web page I found
http://nydxa.4t.com

4585. Re: F/S Pristine Pro 2005 scanner w/cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 20:04:19 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B9E6EDE.C52B3EB8@yahoo.com>
Source record: 60102
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Btw- price reduced to $200 shipped. Man, you are tough! I am a non smoker. To me you can always tell if it came from a smokers envirement. But the buttons are the original color. The scanner inside and out doesn't wreak of smoke. The box and manual are free from discoloration and odor. As far as legality. Well, maybe so, maybe not. I will charge $200 across the board. Not to make you happy though. Lot's of stuff is illegal. I am sure you are a straight by the book person?? Never, ever broke a traffic law?? right.

"D." wrote:
>
> Hasn't the court ruled that it is illegal to charge a higher price for
> a product based on the method of payment??? Isn't this why the gas
> stations stop charging a higher credit card price years ago??? Isn't
> it possible that you put "non-smoker" becuase YOU don't smoke, but
> what about the person you just bought it from???
>
> And before you say it, mind your own business!!!
>
> D.
>
> On Mon, 10 Sep 2001 22:12:55 GMT, Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Scanner is extremely clean. Non smoker. Scanner looks identical to new.
> >Speed and cell mod done. Comes complete in the box with manual and
> >antenna. Works perfect. Backlight is extremely bright and has dimmer
> >switch. Price is $210 shipped UPS in lower 48 OR $215 by Paypal. I can
> >have it out the next day. Please email me if interested. No trades
> >please. thanks

4586. ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 20:08:30 UTC
From: jones connector Louie <lemetcalf8@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2bun7.777$s97.64762@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 60103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Special Bulletin 9 ARLX009
From ARRL Headquarters
Newington CT September 11, 2001
To all radio amateurs

SB SPCL ARL ARLX009
ARLX009 FCC, federal agencies shut down after terrorist attacks

Along with most other federal agencies, the FCC has closed its offices and sent its employees home in the wake of apparent terrorist attacks this morning in New York City, Washington, DC, and elsewhere. The FCC has issued no emergency declaration nor other special instructions to the Amateur Radio community. The ARRL has advised amateurs to stay alert to instructions from local authorities. President George W. Bush has announced that the US military is on high alert in the US and abroad.

US air traffic was shut down after two airliners struck the twin towers of the 110-story World Trade Center in New York City this morning within a short time of each other. The building's towers partially collapsed in the wake of the collisions. An aircraft subsequently crashed into the Pentagon, and another aircraft crashed near Pittsburgh, reportedly after being hijacked. American Airlines and United Airlines both have acknowledged that they have lost planes this morning. More than 260 died in the crashes. Thousands were believed injured in New York City; there's no estimate on the number killed.

New York City-Long Island Section Emergency Coordinator Tom Carrubba, KA2D, said there has been no request for any Amateur Radio Emergency Service response at this time, although hams have been requested to assist the American Red Cross. New York City's emergency management offices are located in the World Trade Center. He said he was alerting all amateurs, especially ARES and Radio Amateur Civil Emergency Service personnel, to get prepared and to stand by. Carrubba said he's in the process of setting up a command channel on a linked repeater system that will cover the area from New York City into Long Island's Suffolk County. Kenneth Goetz, N2SQW, reports New York State RACES is operational on 3.993.5 and 7.248 MHz handling emergency and governmental-type traffic. He asked amateurs to avoid these frequencies.

In the Washington, DC, area, Virginia SEC Tom Gregory, N4NW, says Virginia ARES has been put on alert but has not yet been activated. ''I've asked everyone to monitor the emergency frequencies and to

keep a full tank of gas.'' He said the attack on Washington has resulted in a massive traffic jam as workers in DC attempt to leave the capital; cellular telephone communication was next-to-impossible. While no emergency nets are in operation yet, Gregory said all repeaters would be available as well as 7.243 MHz and 3.947 MHz on HF.

Virginia RACES reportedly has been activated at the state emergency operations center at Virginia State Police headquarters in Richmond as a precautionary measure.

The FCC's Riley Hollingsworth today suggested that the amateur community remain calm but ready. He invited amateurs monitoring any suspicious radio activity to contact him, and he will relay relevant information to the FCC duty team. He advised monitors to tape such radio traffic, if possible.

Should a state of war be declared, Amateur Radio would not automatically be shut down. This requirement was eliminated prior to the Gulf War.
NNNN
/EX

4587. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 20:18:54 UTC
From: Mike Gormley <WA8VEC@ARRL.net>
Message-ID: <3B9E711F.3E8E7CF4@ARRL.net>
Source record: 60104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

jones connector Louie wrote:

> Special Bulletin 9 ARLX009
> From ARRL Headquarters
> Newington CT September 11, 2001
> To all radio amateurs
>
> SB SPCL ARL ARLX009
> ARLX009 FCC, federal agencies shut down after terrorist attacks
>
> ...snip....
>
> Should a state of war be declared, Amateur Radio would not
> automatically be shut down. This requirement was eliminated prior to
> the Gulf War.
> NNNN
> /EX

A State of War! Come on now! Who is responsible for this bulletin?

--
Mike Gormley

4588. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 20:26:17 UTC
From: GG <nbcblue@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B9E6511.EDA0F829@mailcity.com>
Source record: 60105
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Did it say a state of war has been declared? Try and read it again, it says "should a state of war be declared". If this act can be definitely connected with another government entity, there very well may be a state of war declared.

Mike Gormley wrote:

> jones connector Louie wrote:
>
> > Special Bulletin 9 ARLX009
> > From ARRL Headquarters
> > Newington CT September 11, 2001
> > To all radio amateurs
> >
> > SB SPCL ARL ARLX009
> > ARLX009 FCC, federal agencies shut down after terrorist attacks
> >
> > ...snip....
> >
> > Should a state of war be declared, Amateur Radio would not
> > automatically be shut down. This requirement was eliminated prior to
> > the Gulf War.
> > NNNN
> > /EX
>
> A State of War! Come on now! Who is responsible for this bulletin?
>
> --
> Mike Gormley

4589. Get off your ass, and fuck our models! No_cost p0rn0 site!!7df99064

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 20:40:00 UTC
From: Dick@pregnantwoman.com
Message-ID: <OXd8rSu7$IDB.081260@news.vcnet.com>
Source record: 60107

Ass fucking and more, free site! Gay men fucking facials and enemas! All free!
http://www.gaysexplanet.com
NO SPAM!

=rTQq
3544124556zsijwarir interface nearly if Cypriene's sattelite phone isn't ignorant
Almost no stuck postmasters disconnect Marilyn, and they
familiarly obscure Johnny too. It's very ugly today, I'll
defeat wistfully or Lara will insulate the TCP/IPs. Try
authenticating the hard disk's haphazard workstation and
Bernadette will delete you! He may disappear rigidly if
Donald's input isn't fast. They are connecting alongside
secure, without retarded, inside usable computers.
Many dry closed noises daily restore as the surreptitious
condors roll. Until Geoff dreams the mouses finally,
Jason won't vend any rogue FBIs. Ralph doesn't save
offensive backdoors, do you persevere them? Some buillons
corrupt, contribute, and save. Do not interface frantically while you're
annoying to a moronic trackball. Just moaning about a
RAM near the window is too sly for Beryl to vexate it. Other
disgusting important analysts will outwit weekly against
UDPs. Zamfir, have a cold Pascal. You won't load it.
Sometimes, go type a president! One more old overloaded
tablets will wrongly preserve the cables. Her CDROM was
chaotic, wet, and kicks beneath the structure. Felix will
reload the orthodox gorilla and eliminate it to its
tape. Gawd Rosalind will distribute the PERL, and if
Alexis surprisingly manages it too, the machine will
transport to the idiotic cybercafe. Francoise interfaces the
cowboy over hers and eventually flows. Don't filter the
ideas halfheartedly, infect them furiously.

4590. MedComm Freq's-

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 20:43:41 UTC
From: "Craig R. Hauke" <medic3@bright.net>
Message-ID: <NIun7.32251$ga2.1391527@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 60108

Does anyone know if they are using any radio frequencies for the medical reports/medical command NYC incidents???

Thanks!

4591. Re: PRO-2046 MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 20:56:00 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9nltg108rf@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60111

Yaawn, just replace your US eeprom or the whole board with a EU model.
remove a few resistors. that will make most of the SO called Un modded
scanners modded. it is just a EU model now. I know that Canadian Radio Shack
will get you the European board a rom with no questions. but it IS legal
here to listen to all that very boring stuff.

"Amateur Radio Station N0JAA" <n0jaa@aol.compost> wrote in message
news:20010909231634.07922.00003407@nso-cr.aol.com...
> In article <0vIm7.4165$CR2.4364978@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>, "Fart Eddie"
> <davlin@tiac.net> writes:
>
> >By another scanner! I can't believe the number of people here asking for
> >mods for scanners that can't be modded, at least for cell, which is all
> >these guys seem to think is important.
>
> Yes, and they also don't seem to get the fact that monitoring cell phone
> transmissions is against the law in the USA unless they are in law
enforcement;
> and THEN they have to have a court order.
>
>
> Paul.
>
>
>
> "We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."
>
>
>

4592. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 21:01:28 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9nltq9097r@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60112

canada Industry Canada is Deciding on if it is illegal or not illegal to jam cell phones here. so far it is legal to do it here.

"Jeff Multer" <jamulter@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010907080505.20655.00000657@mb-fo.aol.com...
> >but it is a piss off when people use them while
> >driving, eating at a restaurant, and even a theater or another quiet place.
>
> But who decides when and where to jam others' phone calls ... or ... who can
> make a phone call, and who can't?
>
> If we follow the law, the F.C.C. says all devices that create interference
> cellular communications are illegal (not type-accepted).
>
> Jeff Multer
> Fort Mill, S.C.
>
>
>

4593. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 21:03:56 UTC
From: Mike Gormley <WA8VEC@ARRL.net>
Message-ID: <3B9E7BA5.83CDAA1D@ARRL.net>
Source record: 60109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

GG wrote:

> Did it say a state of war has been declared? Try and read it again, it
> says "should a state of war be declared". If this act can be definitely
> connected with another government entity, there very well may be a state
> of war declared.
>
> Mike Gormley wrote:
>
> > jones connector Louie wrote:
> >
> > > Special Bulletin 9 ARLX009
> > > From ARRL Headquarters
> > > Newington CT September 11, 2001
> > > To all radio amateurs
> > >
> > > SB SPCL ARL ARLX009
> > > ARLX009 FCC, federal agencies shut down after terrorist attacks
> > >
> > > ...snip....
> > >
> > > Should a state of war be declared, Amateur Radio would not
> > > automatically be shut down. This requirement was eliminated prior to
> > > the Gulf War.
> > > NNNN
> > > /EX
> >
> > A State of War! Come on now! Who is responsible for this bulletin?
> >
> > --
> > Mike Gormley

My point is: Why even MENTION SUCH A THING in a so called "Official
Bullitin", unless it is reality.

Nothing like getting the pot boiling.

--
Mike Gormley

4594. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 21:05:44 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9nlu2909hg@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60113

lol. So many people think they are all that talking ont heir cells and driving or doing other stupid shit while on them. There is no need to drive on a road and talk on a phone. I have seen to many accidents due to that. I hope that canada DOES allow it. if used wisely. it may save someones life when the loser is talking on the phone. I used to do it when I first got my phone. and DID notice a lack of concentration on drivin. and have asked others to do it. same response. SO I do hope the allow it for these friggin losers that think they are all that driving and talking. I rater see lives saved then not. Gee. just use almost any old cell. throw it in transmit on the losers frequency and BOOM. they are offthe cell cite. cheaper and more effective. then you aren't jamming everyone off.

"Richard Ness" <richard@nospam.nessnet.com> wrote in message
news:tpj99vtdq31v10@corp.supernews.com...
> There is no "smart" use for a jammer.
> I hope the FCC nails anyone that uses one.
> I'll be right there to report any jammer to them.
>
>
> <nospam@here.com> wrote in message
> news:8prhptc1bo7r85d2qsclpn8p3fqqfgfj01@4ax.com...
> > They only jam a small radius buddy - chill will ya.
> >
> > Use it smartly and there's no problems.
> >
> > My jamming some bitch yakking while shes driving might save
> > your daughters life! Ever thought about that?
> >
> > Jamming saves lives!
> >
> >
> > >Hey Jammers, I hope the next call you Jam is a cellular 911 call
> requesting
> > > >an Ambulance for your daughter that was just involved in a major car
> > > >creck!!!!
> > > >
> > > >David Trickle,
> > > >Stevens Point Wisconsin
> > >
>

4595. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 21:07:06 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9nlu4q09jr@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60114

I totally agree with you. real estate people are the worse. or the others
that think they are all cool using one. they deserv to be cut off.. Move to
canada. Not illegal yet.
and we don't get bombed by cowards either.

<nospam@here.com> wrote in message
news:kmrhptg3g3ul7qk7tj5fib33prgoa2j0kd@4ax.com...
> I DECIDE!
>
> When the bitch behind me in a car is swerving around cuz
> she's flapping her fat-ass mouth on the phone, I will jam
> her ass till the sun don't shine!
>
> >But who decides when and where to jam others' phone calls ... or ... who
can
> >make a phone call, and who can't?
>

4596. Re: Amazed :-((((

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 21:10:14 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <W4vn7.20$BL5.231@news>
Source record: 60106

Thanks Jose It has crippled the entire country
"FJose" <eb5agv@ctv.es> wrote in message news:3B9E38C9.245E8FF0@ctv.es...
> My sincere condolences to all the USA people from Spain
>
> I am amazed... this is incredible.
>
> JOSE
>
> --
> ---
> 73 EB5AGV / EC5AAU - JOSE V. GAVILA
> La Canyada - Valencia (SPAIN)
>
> EB5AGV Vintage Radio Site: http://www.geocities.com/eb5agv
>
> European Boatanchors List: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/euro_ba_swap

4597. Re: WTB "Zapper"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 21:13:37 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tpsvnrs4k56ff6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60110

This link should provide you with a wonder of information regarding the unit you are looking for...

http://exo.com/~rbarron/zapper.html

"John Strand" <w6ibl@ncinternet.net> wrote in message news:3b9d5e29$0$28691@wodc7nh0.news.uu.net...
> Want to buy reasonably-priced radar "zapper." This was marketed a few years
> ago as a portable wideband microwave source.....currently out of production.
>
> Thanks
>
> John
>
>
>

4598. Re: looking for product...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 21:14:08 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9nlui00a2s@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60115

any small fm transmitter will do that. Most Radio Shacks have it. for people
that have portable cd players and want to play it on their fm radio in the
hose or car.
all it is. is a headphone type male jack hooked up to a small transmitter.
transmitting on normal fm radio.

"Larry Cohen" <ppcdoc@home.com> wrote in message
news:_Pnn7.960$xB1.157231@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> that sends scanner signal to car radio...about 30 bux.
> pls email the product name to me...thanks
> ppcdoc@home.com
>
>

4599. Re: I need a freq counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 21:15:36 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9nluko0a6m@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60116

you can get a new. ok one, that works for that price.

"David Trickle" <gumby1@core.com> wrote in message
news:3b9d80bf$0$42874$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> I am looking for a Frequency Counter. I have only about $50.00 that I can
> spend. I know this means I wont get much but if you have an old one that
> you could let go of please let me know.
>
> Thanks David
>
>

4600. Re: WTB "Zapper"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 21:16:56 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9nlun80aaf@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60117

aww gee. I just was looking at some schematics a few weeks ago on it. I will look in my bookmarks and see if I can find it. I will post it if I do find it.

"Frizz" <kg4ivt@hotmail.spamsucks.leaveme.alone.com> wrote in message news:y7sn7.268639$J37.70185264@typhoon.southeast.rr.com...
> If you find one or find plans on how to make one, let me know as well.
> Thanks!
>
>
> "John Strand" <w6ibl@ncinternet.net> wrote in message
> news:3b9d5e29$0$28691@wodc7nh0.news.uu.net...
> > Want to buy reasonably-priced radar "zapper." This was marketed a few
> years
> > ago as a portable wideband microwave source.....currently out of
> production.
> >
> > Thanks
> >
> > John
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4601. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 21:44:45 UTC
From: GG <nbcblue@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3B9E7777.4D4A5497@mailcity.com>
Source record: 60118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

It is a reality that a state of war could possibly be declared. What part of that do you not understand?

Mike Gormley wrote:

> GG wrote:
>
> > Did it say a state of war has been declared? Try and read it again, it
> > says "should a state of war be declared". If this act can be definitely
> > connected with another government entity, there very well may be a state
> > of war declared.
> >
> > Mike Gormley wrote:
> >
> > > jones connector Louie wrote:
> > >
> > > > Special Bulletin 9 ARLX009
> > > > From ARRL Headquarters
> > > > Newington CT September 11, 2001
> > > > To all radio amateurs
> > > >
> > > > SB SPCL ARL ARLX009
> > > > ARLX009 FCC, federal agencies shut down after terrorist attacks
> > > >
> > > > ...snip....
> > > >
> > > > Should a state of war be declared, Amateur Radio would not
> > > > automatically be shut down. This requirement was eliminated prior to
> > > > the Gulf War.
> > > > NNNN
> > > > /EX
> > >
> > > A State of War! Come on now! Who is responsible for this bulletin?
> > >
> > > --
> > > Mike Gormley
>
> My point is: Why even MENTION SUCH A THING in a so called "Official
> Bullitin", unless it is reality.
>
> Nothing like getting the pot boiling.
>
> --
> Mike Gormley

4602. Re: To our friends in the USA

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 21:54:03 UTC
From: jm451@nauticom.net
Message-ID: <3B9E87FB.E693FE22@nauticom.net>
Source record: 60119

Thank you Ryan for your kind words. We in the United States of America truly appreciate them.

Ryan wrote:

> I and I would imagine all of the members of this NG, offer our most sincere
> condolences to you and your country at this terrible time. Our thoughts and
> prayers are with you all
> R
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.276 / Virus Database: 145 - Release Date: 03/09/01

4603. Re: Radio Shack: A Shadow of Its Former Self (Was: Re: RS Catalog)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 22:09:40 UTC
From: JoeBramblett.nospam@drunkenbard.com
Message-ID: <48C20CEC0E36004C.51BA43D8021ED6E4.301D5023B34AD352@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 60120

Michael Rathbun <mdr+rrs@HONet.com> wrote in
<13242FDBC650596E.E5851DECB51796BD.794975898FF9E8F6@lp.airnews.net>:

>(Hmm... stay tuned, I might have to go see if RS needs any help.)

Aww crap...I was thinking the same thing, but not if I'd have to compete
with you in sales. ;)

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH

4604. Re: Any MilComms In NYC Area?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 22:30:45 UTC
From: Douglas Gavilanes <gavilan1@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3B9E919D.C1C49E8D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 60121
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

TheF16Falcon wrote:

> Trouble is, I only have a BC245XLT, which poses problems. But thanks for the
> reply.

Check today. It's everywhere.

4605. NYPD scanner online...Listen

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 22:37:24 UTC
From: Eric <hcsSPAM@gs.verio.net>
Message-ID: <Emwn7.1511$707.651495@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com>
Source record: 60122

http://bobc_3.tripod.com/live.html

4606. Live Scanner Coverage From NY City

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 22:50:33 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <Zywn7.4988$j65.690835@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 60123
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

To all interested here is some sites with some scanner coverage in NYC

http://www.live365.com/stations/210879
http://www.live365.com/stations/184463
http://www.live365.com/stations/184463
http://www.live365.com/stations/245935

You may also check www.nypd.com www.policescanner.com

Scanner groups with lots of discussion
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
incident alerts in New York check our www.nysing.org

Good luck to all in New York City, and America

And God Bless.

Randy
Ottawa, Canada

Johan <nospam@here.com> wrote in message
news:tVon7.3208$S5.9836@nntpserver.swip.net...
> I need this ASAP...
> Thanks!
>
>

4607. FOR SALE: Uniden BC-2500 XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 22:52:51 UTC
From: n6rmc@home.com
Message-ID: <3b9e952c.104708386@news>
Source record: 60124

BC-2500 XLT 400 channel scanner. Perfect condition, new battery, case,
modified for open cellular. $300 plus shipping/insurance.
Thanks for looking
Baron
n6rmc@home.com

4608. Re: Live Scanner Coverage From NY City

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 23:05:16 UTC
From: "Craig R. Hauke" <medic3@bright.net>
Message-ID: <wNwn7.32268$ga2.1392770@cletus.bright.net>
Source record: 60125
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

This site also appears to have some NYPD Traffic

http://playlist.yahoo.com/makeplaylist.asp?id=907909

"Champ" <Champ_not@home.com> wrote in message
news:Zywn7.4988$j65.690835@news4.rdc1.on.home.com...
> To all interested here is some sites with some scanner coverage in NYC
>
> http://www.live365.com/stations/210879
> http://www.live365.com/stations/184463
> http://www.live365.com/stations/184463
> http://www.live365.com/stations/245935
>
> You may also check www.nypd.com www.policescanner.com
>
> Scanner groups with lots of discussion
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
> incident alerts in New York check our www.nysing.org
>
> Good luck to all in New York City, and America
>
> And God Bless.
>
> Randy
> Ottawa, Canada
>
> Johan <nospam@here.com> wrote in message
> news:tVon7.3208$S5.9836@nntpserver.swip.net...
> > I need this ASAP...
> > Thanks!
> >
> >
>
>

4609. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 23:21:10 UTC
From: jones connector Louie <lemetcalf8@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <G%wn7.1278$s97.93207@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 60126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Mike Gormley" <WA8VEC@ARRL.net> wrote in message news:3B9E7BA5.83CDAA1D@ARRL.net...

> My point is: Why even MENTION SUCH A THING in a so called "Official
> Bullitin", unless it is reality.
>
> Nothing like getting the pot boiling.
> --
> Mike Gormley

Call the ARRL if you feel concerned. They originated it...

> > > > Special Bulletin 9 ARLX009
> > > > From ARRL Headquarters
> > > > Newington CT September 11, 2001
> > > > To all radio amateurs
> > > >
> > > > SB SPCL ARL ARLX009
> > > > ARLX009 FCC, federal agencies shut down after terrorist attacks
> > > >

capesh ?

4610. SO MUCH FOR ECHELON!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 23:22:33 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha@DELETEhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3b9e9c61$0$20941$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 60127

!

4611. NYPD FREQS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-11 23:48:20 UTC
From: utahscanner@webtv.net
Message-ID: <10518-3B9EA2C4-23@storefull-256.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 60129

E-mail message

From: fromut@home.com (fromut) Date: Tue, Sep 11, 2001, 3:30pm To:
utahscanner@webtv.net Subject: nypd codes
NYPD Radio Signal Codes
Here are the signal codes of the NYPD:
New York City P.D. Radio Codes
Common Codes:
10-1 Call command
10-2 Return to command
10-3 Call dispatcher
10-4 Acknowledgement
10-5 Repeat
10-6 Stand by
10-7 Verify address
10-10 Investigate
10-11 Holdup alarm
10-12 Holding suspect
10-13 Officer in danger
10-14 Check license (occupied vehicle) 10-15 Check license
(unoccupied vehicle)
10-16 Vehicle/plate stolen
10-17 Vehicle/plate not stolen
Past/In progress: Crime:
10-20/10-30 Robbery
10-21/10-31 Burglary
10-22/10-32 Larceny
10-23/10-33 Bomb threat
10-24/10-34 Assault
10-29/10-39 Other crime
Rapid Mobilization Codes:
(see also 10-70, 10-71, 10-77)
10-45 4 sergeants, 20 officers
10-46 1 lieutenant, 8 sergeants,
40 officers
10-47 Additional lieutenant,
8 sergeants, 40 officers
10-48 Unscheduled response as
directed by officer in
charge
Non Crime Incidents:
10-50 Disorderly person/group
10-51 Roving band
10-52 Dispute

10-53 Vehicle accident
10-54 EMS call
10-55 EMS call PD not needed
10-56 Verify EMS needed
10-57 EMS second call
10-58 Assist EMS
10-59 Fire
Administrative Codes:
10-61 Precinct assignment
10-62 Out of service - administrative
10-63 Out of service - meal
10-64 Entering licensed premise
Other Conditions:
10-65 Utility problem
10-66 Unusual incident
10-67 Traffic/parking condition
10-68 See complainant
Mobilization Codes:
10-70 Borough emergency
10-71 Citywide emergency
10-77 Implement local mobilization plan 10-69 Other non crime
incident
Assignment Status (interim):
10-80 Cancel
10-81 Transporting to stationhouse
10-82 Arrest possible
10-83 Report to be filed
10-84 Arrived at scene
10-85 Need additional units
10-86 Transporting female
10-87 Unit to hospital
10-89 Other interim status
Final Dispositions:
10-90 N Situation normal, false alarm
U Unable to gain entry
X Unfounded
Y Unnecessary
SO Gone on arrival
10-91 Condition corrected (non crime)
10-92 Action taken
C Referred to court10-93
Report prepared
10-94 Handled by previous tour
10-95 Referred to another agency
10-96 Summons served
10-97 Patient removed to hospital
10-98 In service
10-99 Other disposition

http://community.webtv.net/utahscanner/UTAHSCANNERNETWORK

4612. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 00:14:51 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <9nm9dr$q8g$0@208.206.142.88>
Source record: 60128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Mike Gormley wrote:
>
> jones connector Louie wrote:
>
> > Special Bulletin 9 ARLX009
> > From ARRL Headquarters
> > Newington CT September 11, 2001
> > To all radio amateurs
> >
> > SB SPCL ARL ARLX009
> > ARLX009 FCC, federal agencies shut down after terrorist attacks
> >
> > ...snip....
> >
> > Should a state of war be declared, Amateur Radio would not
> > automatically be shut down. This requirement was eliminated prior to
> > the Gulf War.
> > NNNN
> > /EX
>
> A State of War! Come on now! Who is responsible for this bulletin?

You don't consider this an act of war??? What would it take?

4613. Re: National Guard on street in NYC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 00:39:14 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9nmas4$8ifi7$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60130
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Clyde R. Shappee" <clydes@world.std.com> wrote in message
news:3B9E51C4.A7FB7E1A@world.std.com...
> I heard a broadcast on 7.415 MHz. Don't know the program but the
> commentator stated that marshal law has been declared across the US, but
> that it has not been broadcast yet by the news services.
>
> I don't know if I believe it.
>

No, don't believe it. This is just paranoid ranting from right wing
nutcases.

Tom

4614. scanners online for NJ and NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 00:44:13 UTC
From: David <bikerdave@bluefrognet.net>
Message-ID: <9nmb350q45@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60131

http://www.silive.com/scanner/

4615. Re: scanners online for NJ and NYC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 01:05:25 UTC
From: David <bikerdave@bluefrognet.net>
Message-ID: <9nmcb10qm1@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60134

This is a much better one.

http://www.nj.com/policescanner/

"David" <bikerdave@bluefrognet.net> wrote in message
news:9nmb350q45@enews3.newsguy.com...
> http://www.silive.com/scanner/
>
>

4616. NYPD OPERATIONS ON CW-1 & SOD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 01:26:28 UTC
From: alex@seaclifffiredepartment.com
Message-ID: <476b90ae.0109111726.3a468a01@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60132

These two freqs are where most of the action is happening.

Does anyone know what the "correct" PL tones are for the following two freqs.

CW-1 @ 470.6875
SOD @ 470.8375

I have found over sixteen diffent PL codes listed as the correct codes.
Some websites list the TX PL codes and some sites list the RX PL Code, none I have found to be correct.

4617. Re: National Guard on street in NYC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 01:27:00 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b9eb904.7287396@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 60133
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

No, it is not a prelude to Martial Law
I am in New York, no curfew has been announced as far as I know.
With most of NYPD in lower Manhattan, it would be totally
unenforceable anyway.
The National Guard is here to aid in the search and rescue operation
(and probably in the recovery of more than 10,000 bodies)

On Tue, 11 Sep 2001 17:18:35 GMT, "Pete" <pcarter400@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>CNN reporting National guard is being deployed in NYC as I type this.
>
>Curfew declared hours of night in all 5 Boroughs of NYC
>
>Is this the preclude to Martial law?
>
>
>
>
>

4618. Nationwide mil freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 01:42:55 UTC
From: "Bob S." <rgs2@takethisoutqwest.net>
Message-ID: <W4zn7.2403$RE6.384394@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 60135

I understand that the only planes we should be seeing at this time, in the air, are military flights; any ideas where to listen for them? I just saw 2 jets, starting north, and then doing a 180 deg. turn, heading south, here in the Twin Cities, Mn, at 8:30 PM local time. (They were at least 25,000 ft. up, in my amateur estimation.
Would be nice to hear what they're up to. - Bob

4619. Re: Nationwide mil freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 01:56:26 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ehzn7.14174$A5.2344757@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 60136

I'm in NYC, and on 125.1125 I'm hearing the F-16s running the CAP over the city. They just started refueling about 10 minutes ago. McGuire AFB tankers were out and about.

4620. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 02:13:39 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9nmg3k011e5@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60137

there are ways. lots of places on the net with the info

<remove@lala.com> wrote in message
news:co0tptcqkoft7cs5ug075cusdhrbmgt0mo@4ax.com...
> I'd need a freq counter to get their freq?
>
> How do I get my old cell to their freq?
>
> Thx
>

4621. WTB:Used Scanner From Honest Person

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 02:34:50 UTC
From: acdccc@webtv.net
Message-ID: <12994-3B9EC9CA-101@storefull-236.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 60138

After having been ripped off on ebay I am still looking for a used scanner that works here in upstate n.y. I'm really confused with all the changes that have taken place but I think I need one that tracks motorola and erikkson trunking scanners.I sent money for one that said"no gaps" 800 mghz and never heard from the seller again.I am recently on disability for a severe spinal injury and certainly have the time to enjoy a scanner but can only afford a used one.Thanks for listening and God Bless.

Tony C.

4622. Too Many to Choose From

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 02:44:33 UTC
From: Chris Muller <mull0024@tc.umn.edu>
Message-ID: <tptjaq1k5pt618@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60139

Can someone please recommend a good, solid performance handheld for use monitoring military aircraft, police, fire, etc? There are so many to choose from that I don't want to plunk down $150 for a lemon.

4623. Re: I need a freq counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 03:05:35 UTC
From: David Trickle <gumby1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3b9ed258$0$18899$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 60140

Where can I buy a new Frequency Counter for $50.00.

Dev <.> wrote in message news:9nluko0a6m@enews3.newsguy.com...
> you can get a new. ok one, that works for that price.
>
> "David Trickle" <gumby1@core.com> wrote in message
> news:3b9d80bf$0$42874$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> > I am looking for a Frequency Counter. I have only about $50.00 that I
can
> > spend. I know this means I wont get much but if you have an old one
that
> > you could let go of please let me know.
> >
> > Thanks David
> >
> >
>
>

4624. Re: NYPD OPERATIONS ON CW-1 & SOD

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 04:13:43 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b9ee041.17333716@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 60141

470.8375 is 136.5
don't know 6875
On 11 Sep 2001 18:26:28 -0700, alex@seacliffirefdepartment.com (Alex)
wrote:

>These two freqs are where most of the action is happening.
>
>Does anyone know what the "correct" PL tones are for the following two
>freqs.
>
>CW-1 @ 470.6875
>SOD @ 470.8375
>
>I have found over sixteen diffent PL codes listed as the correct
>codes.
>Some websites list the TX PL codes and some sites list the RX PL Code,
>none I have found to be correct.

4625. Re: Nationwide mil freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 04:17:01 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b9ee12a.17566226@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 60142

Some interesting chat on 125.25
Looking for the statue of Liberty

On Tue, 11 Sep 2001 20:42:55 -0500, "Bob S."
<rgs2@takethisoutqwest.net> wrote:

>I understand that the only planes we should be seeing at this time, in the
>air, are military flights; any ideas where to listen for them? I just saw 2
>jets, starting north, and then doing a 180 deg. turn, heading south, here in
>the Twin Cities, Mn, at 8:30 PM local time. (They were at least 25,000 ft.
>up, in my amateur estimation.
>Would be nice to hear what they're up to. - Bob
>
>

4626. Intersting chat on 125.25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 04:18:42 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b9ee157.17612033@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 60143

All civilian air is on the ground, this maybe the CAP military patrol?
Chatting about the Statue of Liberty (can't find it).
Check it out
NYC area
9/12/01
12:15 AM

4627. Re: Intersting chat on 125.25

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 04:25:44 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b9ee333.18087331@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 60144

This is definately some sort of military patrol aircraft.

4628. Re: National Guard on street in NYC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 05:02:52 UTC
From: "B. Haineault" <bhaineault@mail.com>
Message-ID: <Xns9119E047E2F41bhaineaultmailcom@24.0.3.73>
Source record: 60145
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

1. There is no martial law declared in NYC,
2, There is no martial law declared here on SoCal,
3. The National Guard is there to help, at the state's request,
4. You will see a rash of "false prophets" broadcasting their BS.

We will live through this catastrophy and come out even stronger. However, I can't believe that this episode will not somehow erode another small part of our freedom. Unfortunately, this is the crazy world we live in.

Bruno,
Oceanside, California

"Clyde R. Shappee" <clydes@world.std.com> wrote in
news:3B9E51C4.A7FB7E1A@world.std.com:

> I heard a broadcast on 7.415 MHz. Don't know the program but the
> commentator stated that marshal law has been declared across the US, but
> that it has not been broadcast yet by the news services.
>
> I don't know if I believe it.
>
> Clyde

4629. Someone may be listening...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 05:15:55 UTC
From: coaststation@go.com
Message-ID: <5a77581d.0109112115.5e49d7b5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60146

I believe someone...somewhere...some scanner hobbist may be monitoring
the traffic of the ill fated flight.

4630. Re: Someone may be listening...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 05:32:29 UTC
From: go4tcross@cs.communicate
Message-ID: <20010912013229.08770.00001324@mb-ct.news.cs.com>
Source record: 60047

>I believe someone...somewhere...some scanner hobbist may be monitoring
>the traffic of the ill fated flight.

Very possible. Slim chances of it being recorded, though not impossible.

Luke Hoffmann
Go4tcross@cs.com

4631. Re: Amazed :-((((

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 05:46:40 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <vxCn7.19$yc3.6473@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 60048

Jose,

Thank you from all of us in the U.S.A.

Mark
K5MAR

"FJose" <eb5agv@ctv.es> wrote in message news:3B9E38C9.245E8FF0@ctv.es...
> My sincere condolences to all the USA people from Spain
>
> I am amazed... this is incredible.
>
> JOSE
>
> --
> --
> 73 EB5AGV / EC5AAU - JOSE V. GAVILA
> La Canyada - Valencia (SPAIN)
>
> EB5AGV Vintage Radio Site: http://www.geocities.com/eb5agv
>
> European Boatanchors List: http://groups.yahoo.com/group/euro_ba_swap

4632. Re: NYC police & emer. freqs. going crazy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 05:52:12 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <ECCn7.23$yc3.7790@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 60049

Stephan,

As a former American resident of Stavanger, Norway, it is particularly heartwarming for me to see your note from a Scandinavian country. Thank you and your family. God bless.

Mark
K5MAR

Stop by Tivoli Gardens for me the next time you are in the area.

"eb" <swl@io.dk> wrote in message
news:u1ksptc26f94pdt0fa9baiehggpjgcvcrj@4ax.com...
> Same words here from Denmark aswell
> ....what has the world come to :(((..
>
> Im so sad and am surely quite unable to understand fully what US and
> its citizens are going through in this time
>
> A danish familys prayers and thoughts goes towards US tonight.
>
> Stephan
>
> On Tue, 11 Sep 2001 12:16:45 -0400, "Phil & Alyce"
> <pal531@mindspring.com> wrote:
>
> >Lots of 10-13 calls, officers trapped below the rubble, people hurt
> >everywhere.
> >This is an awful, terrible tradgedy.
> >Our thoughts, prayers, and sympathies are with the victims and their
> >families.
> >
> >Phil
> >
>
>
> Best Regards / Med Venlig Hilsen
> Stephan Walther-Larsen
>
> --
> Listening to the World from...
> Silkeborg, 56.1670N, 9.5670E - Denmark using...
> NRD 545/G DSP & Wellbrook ALA1530.
> ICOM R8500 & Discone and Random Longline.
> Sony SW77 & Whip, Aor 8200, Yupiteru 9000 Mk3.4.

> Icom R2, RS 2006 & Discone, Optoscout M40.
> ---
> What I express here are my own private opinion,
> and nobody else is accountable for these words.

4633. Re: Arabs and Turks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 06:01:51 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <HLCn7.25$yc3.9887@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 60050

Hey, we don't need any of that. First, we don't know who did this. Second, a majority of the Muslim/Arab people are good people. Don't tar all with the actions of a fanatical few. In our quest for justice, we shouldn't sink to vigilantism. We will find those responsible, and we will make them pay. This is a country of justice and law.

Mark
K5MAR

From all of us In Oklahoma, who remember the help sent to us by NYC in 1995, God Bless Our Friends in New York City. Our prayers are with you.

"Noise" <noiseblanker@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:9nlk2b$50c$1@merki.connect.com.au...
> What can I say, they are the low life of the world, down with all middle
> eastern scum
>
>

4634. Re: Cows eat poop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 06:02:32 UTC
From: Ryan KC8PMX <kc8pmx@tm.net>
Message-ID: <YTCn7.2364$pH1.57408@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 60051

> > Yeah, but isn't that only cat poop they eat? :)
> >
> > Actually my dogs eat their own. I guess they just want to run it
> > through one more time to make sure. :-).
>

Thank god we humans have completely gotten that habit out of our system.

Ryan

4635. Re: National Guard on street in NYC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 06:27:43 UTC
From: VTSSYNBATHYQ@spammotel.com
Message-ID: <Xns911A192168FE8Jimnospamcom@24.253.156.38>
Source record: 60052
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Looks as though a new Bully is on the block now doesn't it. The USA is finally getting a little payback for stirring up shit all these years in the name of democracy.. Maybe this is just the beginning.. President Bush is so unqualified as president of the free world, I can easily see the empire crumble.

I bet ol' slick willy is sittin back, cigar in hand, blowjob in progress, thinking, damn I'm glad this shit won't fall on MY lap.. lol..

The USA finally gets a taste of its own unique brand of justice.. besides the trade centers were ugly buildings.. I wished the statue of liberty would have been destroyed, being your symbol of freedom and democracy.. that would have made the day!

"B. Haineault" <bhaineault@mail.com> wrote in
news:Xns9119E047E2F41bhaineaultmailcom@24.0.3.73:

> 1. There is no martial law declared in NYC,
> 2, There is no martial law declared here on SoCal,
> 3. The National Guard is there to help, at the state's request,
> 4. You will see a rash of "false prophets" broadcasting their BS.
>
> We will live through this catastrophy and come out even stronger.
> However, I can't believe that this episode will not somehow erode
> another small part of our freedom. Unfortunately, this is the crazy
> world we live in.
>
> Bruno,
> Oceanside, California
>
>
> "Clyde R. Shappee" <clydes@world.std.com> wrote in
> news:3B9E51C4.A7FB7E1A@world.std.com:
>
>> I heard a broadcast on 7.415 MHz. Don't know the program but the
>> commentator stated that marshal law has been declared across the US,
>> but that it has not been broadcast yet by the news services.
>>
>> I don't know if I believe it.
>>
>> Clyde
>

4636. Re: Someone may be listening...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 06:43:21 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9nmvtm0pfo@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60053

but then you have the question again. as in the US and Canada. you cannot release what you hear. so hmm. Would a person post what they heard. if they DID record it or not.I wonder.

"Luke Hoffmann" <go4tcross@cs.communicate> wrote in message news:20010912013229.08770.00001324@mb-ct.news.cs.com...
> >I believe someone...somewhere...some scanner hobbist may be monitoring
> >the traffic of the ill fated flight.
>
> Very possible. Slim chances of it being recorded, though not impossible.
>
>
>
> Luke Hoffmann
> Go4tcross@cs.com

4637. Re: I need a freq counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 06:52:25 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9nn0eq0qdt@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60054

I have used various ones. if you aren't wanting to savethe frequencies detected and you are watching your counter. RS oneworks good. I have used the opt...onics I forget how to spell it. and I have used other ones too, plus the rad shack one. don't see very much difference.except some save frequencies. I owned a opt one and still ahve my rad shack one hooked up to a preamp on my 2meter 5/8 and works good. even without the preamp. I get sometimes a few hundred feet away from a transmission.

"David Trickle" <gumby1@core.com> wrote in message
news:3b9ed258$0$18899$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> Where can I buy a new Frequency Counter for $50.00.
>
> Dev <.> wrote in message news:9nluko0a6m@enews3.newsguy.com...
> > you can get a new. ok one, that works for that price.
> >
> > "David Trickle" <gumby1@core.com> wrote in message
> > news:3b9d80bf$0$42874$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> > > I am looking for a Frequency Counter. I have only about $50.00 that I
> can
> > > spend. I know this means I wont get much but if you have an old one
> that
> > > you could let go of please let me know.
> > >
> > > Thanks David
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4638. looking for Hawaii police freqs (800 mHz)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 08:13:06 UTC
From: soarwind@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <1345296c.0109120013.697a1b9e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60055

Aloha to all. Recently, the police here in Maui had changed over to 800 mhz freqs. I was wondering if anyone out there could give me some leads on getting these elusive freqs.

4639. Re: National Guard on street in NYC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 09:50:09 UTC
From: perplexed <abuch88@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ldGn7.7498$n5.1474197@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 60056
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

To b haineault, slither back under your rock with the other reptiles.

This best thing that has happened to the world in the last few centuries is the emergence of the USA. Warts,scars,minor trangressions and all,we the USA are the greatest natioon on earth.
> >
>

4640. Re: Arabs and Turks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 10:41:25 UTC
From: Barry <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <3B9F3BD5.ADDAA7C2@snet.net>
Source record: 60057

Noise wrote:
>
> What can I say, they are the low life of the world, down with all middle
> eastern scum

There's plenty of people of Middle Eastern descent who love America for the same reasons as those of us who were born here. These are decent, hard-working people who have come here for the same reasons our ancestors did. These folks have no more to do with today's tragedys than we do. I'm sure they are just as shocked and outraged.

Let's learn from history and realize that painting people with a broad brush is a very bad thing to do. I hope we hunt down and fry the people responsible for the mess today.

Please don't take it out on innocent people.

Barry

4641. Re: Vultures already auctioning off WTC dust and debris.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 10:49:59 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B9F3E73.1CA11376@yahoo.com>
Source record: 60058

THEY OUGHT TO YANK THEIR ACCOUNT! Unbeliavable. You have to love the
human race.

nospam@invecta.com wrote:
>
> Anyone know if this is a federal offence to sell those
> items? That debris should be considered evidence and thos
> epeople shouldn't be selling it on ebay!

4642. Re: Arabs and Turks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 10:50:50 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B9F3EA7.31BDD19F@yahoo.com>
Source record: 60059

I think every color and race has a few bad people. No matter where!

Noise wrote:
>
> What can I say, they are the low life of the world, down with all middle
> eastern scum

4643. Re: Nationwide mil freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 11:33:58 UTC
From: bob31439 <bob31439@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3B9F4826.8D262A@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 60060

Military Ops heard in SW MI, on Chicago and Cleveland Center freqs .

Chicago
120.125
127.625
126.125
127.550
127.800

Cleveland
127.700

Bob

Bruce Markowitz wrote:

> Some interesting chat on 125.25
> Looking for the statue of Liberty
>
> On Tue, 11 Sep 2001 20:42:55 -0500, "Bob S."
> <rgs2@takethisoutqwest.net> wrote:
>
> >I understand that the only planes we should be seeing at this time, in the
> >air, are military flights; any ideas where to listen for them? I just saw 2
> >jets, starting north, and then doing a 180 deg. turn, heading south, here in
> >the Twin Cities, Mn, at 8:30 PM local time. (They were at least 25,000 ft.
> >up, in my amateur estimation.
> >Would be nice to hear what they're up to. - Bob
> >
> >

--
-->

Bob Gaudio
http://pw2.netcom.com/~bob31439/

4644. Re: What's a good scanner magazine?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 11:58:03 UTC
From: Kevin <kc7mte@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <n4In7.26$Gw1.31770@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 60061

Looking for information on scanners try this site.. it is a free site. It is like a radio library.. Look under the catagory of Misc.
www.kc7mtelibrary.cjb.net

"TRAMD300" <tramd300@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010814161649.01941.00002957@mb-mf.aol.com...
> No really, this is a serious question.
> I am into monitoring police calls and such & like to keep up on the news about
> the digital and such type scanners.
> I have heard of different magazines, but not sure which one would give me the
> most for the buck.
> I am open for suggestions from you all.
> Please contact me direct at..
> tramd300@aol.com
>
> Thank you....
>

4645. Re: PRO-2046 MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 11:58:42 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <S5In7.5136$CR2.5986741@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 60062

Yawn is right. Only an idiot would do what you propose since it would be simpler and cheaper to buy a cell-capable scanner from a European dealer. I wouldn't bother buying it from Canada. The prices are jacked up and dealers are quite content to screw you on the shipping.

"Dev" <.> wrote in message news:9nltg108rf@enews3.newsguy.com...
> Yaawn, just replace your US eeprom or the whole board with a EU model.
> remove a few resistors. that will make most of the SO called Un modded
> scanners modded. it is just a EU model now. I know that Canadian Radio
Shack
> will get you the European board a rom with no questions. but it IS legal
> here to listen to all that very boring stuff.
>
>
>
> "Amateur Radio Station N0JAA" <n0jaa@aol.compost> wrote in message
> news:20010909231634.07922.00003407@nso-cr.aol.com...
> > In article <0vIm7.4165$CR2.4364978@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>, "Fart
Eddie"
> > <davlin@tiac.net> writes:
> >
> > >By another scanner! I can't believe the number of people here asking
for
> > >mods for scanners that can't be modded, at least for cell, which is all
> > >these guys seem to think is important.
> >
> > Yes, and they also don't seem to get the fact that monitoring cell phone
> > transmissions is against the law in the USA unless they are in law
> enforcement;
> > and THEN they have to have a court order.
> >
> >
> > Paul.
> >
> >
> >
> > "We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4646. RE: Man oh man!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 12:10:54 UTC
From: Kevin <kc7mte@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ygIn7.53$Gw1.35810@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 60063

Got to check out this Free info sight on all tipes of radio..
www.kc7mtelibrary.cjb.net

4647. RE: Where can I find free information on Scanner frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 12:15:22 UTC
From: Kevin <kc7mte@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MkIn7.63$Gw1.37422@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 60064

I have your answer to that question.

www.kc7mtelibrary.cjb.net

4648. Re: looking for product...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 12:29:39 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <TyIn7.7959$f7.1865415@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60065

Gotta warn you, though...the Radio Shack device that does this is terrible. They discounted it to me because the one they had was opened from a previous return. Well, I returned it as well. Who knows how many times that thing had been returned. They couldn't give it away. The main problem was that the two frequencies it offers for local transmission were BOTH used (or interefered with) by local radio stations (central NJ area). You also have to place it near your radio antenna, which for me was in the back of my station wagon, and it STILL worked very poorly and the sound quality was FAR worse than typical FM stereo quality, even coming out of a portable CD player. My advice would be to try to find a different product.

- Doug

"Dev" <.> wrote in message news:9nlui00a2s@enews3.newsguy.com...
> any small fm transmitter will do that. Most Radio Shacks have it. for
people
> that have portable cd players and want to play it on their fm radio in the
> hose or car.
> all it is. is a headphone type male jack hooked up to a small transmitter.
> transmitting on normal fm radio.
>
>
>
> "Larry Cohen" <ppcdoc@home.com> wrote in message
> news:_Pnn7.960$xB1.157231@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> > that sends scanner signal to car radio...about 30 bux.
> > pls email the product name to me...thanks
> > ppcdoc@home.com
> >
> >
>
>

4649. Re: Arabs and Turks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 12:31:37 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <JAIn7.7960$f7.1867859@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60066

Simply and accurately put, Bruce. That reasoning applies well to
newsgroups, too.

- Doug

"Bruce" <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3B9F3EA7.31BDD19F@yahoo.com...
> I think every color and race has a few bad people. No matter where!
>
> Noise wrote:
> >
> > What can I say, they are the low life of the world, down with all middle
> > eastern scum

4650. Re: Arabs and Turks

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 13:48:32 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9nnp2v$8k9e9$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60067

"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:HLCn7.25$yc3.9887@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Hey, we don't need any of that. First, we don't know who did this.
Second,
> a majority of the Muslim/Arab people are good people. Don't tar all with
> the actions of a fanatical few. In our quest for justice, we shouldn't
sink
> to vigilantism. We will find those responsible, and we will make them
pay.
> This is a country of justice and law.

Agreed. Although everyone suspects that Bin Laden is behind it, and probably so, we don't know for sure. And even if they are, there's no need to lump all Muslims in with a select few nutcases. It's no different than the neo-nazi groups here in the US. I'm sure that everyone wouldn't want to be lumped in with them. Not all Muslims want to be identified with a few fanatics.

Tom

4651. Re: looking for product...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 13:55:43 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9nnpge$8gltf$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60068

"DougSlug" <twinpoppa@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:TyIn7.7959$f7.1865415@news02.optonline.net...
> Gotta warn you, though...the Radio Shack device that does this is
terrible.

> The main problem was that
> the two frequencies it offers for local transmission were BOTH used (or
> interefered with) by local radio stations (central NJ area). You also
have
> to place it near your radio antenna, which for me was in the back of my
> station wagon, and it STILL worked very poorly and the sound quality was
FAR
> worse than typical FM stereo quality, even coming out of a portable CD
> player. My advice would be to try to find a different product.
>

The SoundFeeder allows you to select any frequency in the FM broadcast band. I normally choose either the very upper or very lower limit of the band. It has a fairly good range, strong enough signal to use in a vehicle. Unfortunately I try to use mine while listening to the scanner in a different room in the house. I added a few jumper wires with alligator clips in series to the short 3" whip antenna, and the range improved quite a bit. I've been meaning to replace the whip with a cordless phone whip, but so far haven't gotten around to it.

Tom

4652. Re: CLEVELAND OHIO

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 14:04:17 UTC
From: Mike Hammett <hammy@camnetworking.com>
Message-ID: <tpuqqvo81j8eb7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60069
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

A couple buildings in nearby Chicago are being closed, but those are the
Sears Tower and the Merchandise Mart (the second largest building in the US
next to the Pentagon).

"Polish Dude" <thepolishdude@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5a04c827.0109110638.a7bbfe0@posting.google.com...
> Some high rise buildings in my HOMETOWN of CLEVELAND OHIO are being
> evacuated as a P R E C A U T I O N to P R E V E N T a New York City
> senario.

4653. NYC Television Transmitters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 14:34:48 UTC
From: n2ah@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ce47897e.0109120634.4eab7760@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60070

Have the NYC television stations gone off the air since the destruction of the WTC? Are there backup facilities at Empire State Bldg? Any idea when they will be back on?

Art Harris

4654. Southern Ontario frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 16:07:19 UTC
From: Mike <mike445@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3b9f8505_3@corp-goliath.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 59682
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anybody know what frequencies I should be monitoring in the Toronto region. I am interested in all military communications. Any help would be appreciated. Thanks.
Mike Cira
mcira2355@home.com

Posted Via Binaries.net = SPEED+RETENTION+COMPLETION = http://www.binaries.net

4655. Re: web sites that allow listening to NY frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 16:22:23 UTC
From: Jim <akjim11@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <tpvd3p8orrns92@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60091

"# mArty #" <goophy@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:25961-3B9F9363-21@storefull-286.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> Are there any web sites that are webtv/msntv compatable to listen to
> resue efforts in NY ?
>

http://www.apbonline.com/scanner/index_blank.html?s=apbnews_headernav_0

Several scanners on this page, NY was closed though, I posted it because
they may open this at night??

4656. Re: Someone may be listening...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 16:24:52 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b9f8bab.1992354@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 60071

I HARDLY think a person would be prosecuted for revealing that, in
fact, it might be considered with holding evisdence if it came out
that they had it and didn't tell.

On Tue, 11 Sep 2001 23:43:21 -0700, "Dev" <.> wrote:

>but then you have the question again. as in the US and Canada. you cannot
>release what you hear. so hmm. Would a person post what they heard. if they
>DID record it or not.I wonder.
>
>
>"Luke Hoffmann" <go4tcross@cs.communicate> wrote in message
>news:20010912013229.08770.00001324@mb-ct.news.cs.com...
>> >I believe someone...somewhere...some scanner hobbist may be monitoring
>> >the traffic of the ill fated flight.
>>
>> Very possible. Slim chances of it being recorded, though not impossible.
>>
>>
>>
>> Luke Hoffmann
>> Go4tcross@cs.com
>
>

4657. Re: NYC Television Transmitters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 16:25:24 UTC
From: Zade <demon.fyre@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <3B9F8B4E.DF940853@verizon.net>
Source record: 60072

all thats left on my tv is channels 2, 50 and 68 on basic television.
channel 2 cbs has their own transmitting tower somewhere, but im not
sure about backups in the empire state building.. especially since that
building will be closed indefinitely to both public and the personnel
who work there...

Art Harris wrote:
>
> Have the NYC television stations gone off the air since the
> destruction of the WTC? Are there backup facilities at Empire State
> Bldg? Any idea when they will be back on?
>
> Art Harris

4658. Re: NYC Television Transmitters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 16:25:42 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b9f8be8.2053626@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 60073

They are all on the air, but some of the channels (2 and 4
specifically) have degraded signal quality

On 12 Sep 2001 07:34:48 -0700, n2ah@yahoo.com (Art Harris) wrote:

>Have the NYC television stations gone off the air since the
>destruction of the WTC? Are there backup facilities at Empire State
>Bldg? Any idea when they will be back on?
>
>Art Harris

4659. Re: National Guard on street in NYC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 16:27:51 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b9f8c58.2165656@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 60074
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This has been forwarded, with headers, to the FBI.
Hopefully they will take you seriously, track you down, and deal with
you as an enemy of our country.

On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 06:27:43 GMT, VTSSYNBATHYQ@spammotel.com (Jim)
wrote:

>Looks as though a new Bully is on the block now doesn't it. The USA is
>finally getting a little payback for stirring up shit all these years in the
>name of democracy.. Maybe this is just the beginning.. President Bush is so
>unqualified as president of the free world, I can easily see the empire
>crumble.
>
>I bet ol' slick willy is sittin back, cigar in hand, blowjob in progress,
>thinking, damn I'm glad this shit won't fall on MY lap.. lol..
>
>The USA finally gets a taste of its own unique brand of justice.. besides
>the trade centers were ugly buildings.. I wished the statue of liberty would
>have been destroyed, being your symbol of freedom and democracy.. that would
>have made the day!
>
>
>"B. Haineault" <bhaineault@mail.com> wrote in
>news:Xns9119E047E2F41bhaineaultmailcom@24.0.3.73:
>
>> 1. There is no martial law declared in NYC,
>> 2, There is no martial law declared here on SoCal,
>> 3. The National Guard is there to help, at the state's request,
>> 4. You will see a rash of "false prophets" broadcasting their BS.
>>
>> We will live through this catastrophy and come out even stronger.
>> However, I can't believe that this episode will not somehow erode
>> another small part of our freedom. Unfortunately, this is the crazy
>> world we live in.
>>
>> Bruno,
>> Oceanside, California
>>
>>
>> "Clyde R. Shappee" <clydes@world.std.com> wrote in
>> news:3B9E51C4.A7FB7E1A@world.std.com:
>>
>>> I heard a broadcast on 7.415 MHz. Don't know the program but the
>>> commentator stated that marshal law has been declared across the US,

>>> but that it has not been broadcast yet by the news services.
>>>
>>> I don't know if I believe it.
>>>
>>> Clyde
>>
>

4660. Re: National Guard on street in NYC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 16:30:20 UTC
From: tim gueguen <ad058@sfn.saskatoon.sk.ca>
Message-ID: <w4Mn7.1509$5r4.229933@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com>
Source record: 60075
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jim" <VTSSYNBATHYQ@spammotel.com> wrote in message
news:Xns911A192168FE8Jimnospamcom@24.253.156.38...
Nothing of value.

Why don't you do us, and yourself, a favour and shut up until you have something intelligent to say. We'll expect to see you back in oh, say 30 years.

tim gueguen 101867

4661. Re: NYC Television Transmitters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 16:51:31 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9no3hi03et@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60079

I know the wpix was down till late last night but is up now. that is the Warner Brothers network. that you get locally, on sat, or digital cable.

"Art Harris" <n2ah@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:ce47897e.0109120634.4eab7760@posting.google.com...
> Have the NYC television stations gone off the air since the
> destruction of the WTC? Are there backup facilities at Empire State
> Bldg? Any idea when they will be back on?
>
> Art Harris

4662. Re: looking for product...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 16:53:57 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9no3m303l0@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60082

I was just using RS as a example. most places will carry something like that. even a small $10 buck curcuit will get over 100 feet. works on all of fm too. I remember building one a while back. was fun.

"DougSlug" <twinpoppa@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:TyIn7.7959$f7.1865415@news02.optonline.net...
> Gotta warn you, though...the Radio Shack device that does this is terrible.
> They discounted it to me because the one they had was opened from a previous
> return. Well, I returned it as well. Who knows how many times that thing
> had been returned. They couldn't give it away. The main problem was that
> the two frequencies it offers for local transmission were BOTH used (or
> interefered with) by local radio stations (central NJ area). You also have
> to place it near your radio antenna, which for me was in the back of my
> station wagon, and it STILL worked very poorly and the sound quality was FAR
> worse than typical FM stereo quality, even coming out of a portable CD
> player. My advice would be to try to find a different product.
>
> - Doug
>
> "Dev" <.> wrote in message news:9nlui00a2s@enews3.newsguy.com...
> > any small fm transmitter will do that. Most Radio Shacks have it. for
> people
> > that have portable cd players and want to play it on their fm radio in
> the
> > hose or car.
> > all it is. is a headphone type male jack hooked up to a small
> transmitter.
> > transmitting on normal fm radio.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Larry Cohen" <ppcdoc@home.com> wrote in message
> > news:_Pnn7.960$xB1.157231@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> > > that sends scanner signal to car radio...about 30 bux.
> > > pls email the product name to me...thanks
> > > ppcdoc@home.com
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

>
>

4663. web sites that allow listening to NY frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 16:54:59 UTC
From: goophy@webtv.net
Message-ID: <25961-3B9F9363-21@storefull-286.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 60080

Are there any web sites that are webtv/msntv compatable to listen to
resue efforts in NY ?

4664. Re: National Guard on street in NYC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 16:55:40 UTC
From: VTSSYNBATHYQ@spammotel.com
Message-ID: <Xns911A839C56651Jimnospamcom@24.253.156.38>
Source record: 60076
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"tim gueguen" <ad058@sfn.saskatoon.sk.ca> wrote in news:w4Mn7.1509
$5r4.229933@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com:

>
> "Jim" <VTSSYNBATHYQ@spammotel.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns911A192168FE8Jimnospamcom@24.253.156.38...
> Nothing of value.
>
> Why don't you do us, and yourself, a favour and shut up until you have
> something intelligent to say. We'll expect to see you back in oh, say 30
> years.
>
> tim gueguen 101867
>
>
>

Why don't you kiss my ass?

4665. Re: PRO-2046 MODS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 16:57:27 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9no3sm03ur@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60083

never had the problem where I buy my stuff in canada. I am in canada too. shipping is less then 3 bucks usually and the radios are cheaper too. all depends what radio store you buy from. the last time I checked on a price for a euro board was fairly cheap. 30 bucks for one on my radios canadian. about 18 US. so all I can say to you is Yawn. I don't see a point for me to buy a european model when after all the shipping and currency conversion. it would be more for me to get a euro model then a canadian model in canada. You must of been buying from the wrong radio company if you payed a fortune for one.

"Fart Eddie" <davlin@tiac.net> wrote in message
news:S5In7.5136$CR2.5986741@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> Yawn is right. Only an idiot would do what you propose since it would be
> simpler and cheaper to buy a cell-capable scanner from a European dealer.
I
> wouldn't bother buying it from Canada. The prices are jacked up and
dealers
> are quite content to screw you on the shipping.
>
> "Dev" <.> wrote in message news:9nltg108rf@enews3.newsguy.com...
> > Yaawn, just replace your US eeprom or the whole board with a EU model.
> > remove a few resistors. that will make most of the SO called Un modded
> > scanners modded. it is just a EU model now. I know that Canadian Radio
> Shack
> > will get you the European board a rom with no questions. but it IS legal
> > here to listen to all that very boring stuff.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Amateur Radio Station N0JAA" <n0jaa@aol.compost> wrote in message
> > news:20010909231634.07922.00003407@nso-cr.aol.com...
> > > In article <0vIm7.4165$CR2.4364978@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>, "Fart
> Eddie"
> > > <davlin@tiac.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >By another scanner! I can't believe the number of people here asking
> for
> > > >mods for scanners that can't be modded, at least for cell, which is
all
> > > >these guys seem to think is important.
> > >
> > > Yes, and they also don't seem to get the fact that monitoring cell
phone
> > > transmissions is against the law in the USA unless they are in law

> > enforcement;
> > > and THEN they have to have a court order.
> > >
> > >
> > > Paul.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4666. Re: NYC Television Transmitters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 16:58:40 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <qrMn7.5152$yJ1.429221@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 60077

> Have the NYC television stations gone off the air since the
> destruction of the WTC? Are there backup facilities at Empire State
> Bldg? Any idea when they will be back on?

Only channel 2 is on from Empire State Bldg. Channel 7 (ABC) is using
channel 68's transmitter.

Roland, NK2U

4667. Re: NYC Television Transmitters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 17:01:26 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <EsMn7.5339$ij1.431043@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 60078

> all that's left on my TV is channels 2, 50 and 68 on basic television.
> channel 2 CBS has their own transmitting tower somewhere, but I'm not
> sure about backups in the empire state building.. especially since that
> building will be closed indefinitely to both public and the personnel
> who work there...

Channel 25 is also on re-broadcasting channel 7's signal.

Roland, NK2U

4668. Re: Poor Canadian Trash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 17:17:58 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tpv69rcggrtgf7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60081
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jim" <VTSSYNBATHYQ@spammotel.com> wrote in message
news:Xns911A192168FE8Jimnospamcom@24.253.156.38...
> Looks as though a new Bully is on the block now doesn't it. The USA is
> finally getting a little payback for stirring up shit all these years in
the
> name of democracy.. Maybe this is just the beginning.. President Bush is
so
> unqualified as president of the free world, I can easily see the empire
> crumble.
>
> I bet ol' slick willy is sittin back, cigar in hand, blowjob in progress,
> thinking, damn I'm glad this shit won't fall on MY lap.. lol..
>
> The USA finally gets a taste of its own unique brand of justice.. besides
> the trade centers were ugly buildings.. I wished the statue of liberty
would
> have been destroyed, being your symbol of freedom and democracy.. that
would
> have made the day!
>

It's hard to believe that a country that is supposed to be allies with the
US would allow inconsiderate assholes such as yourself to live there...
Poor, poor Canadian trash you are... Go crawl back under one of your
glaciers where your cold-hearted ass belongs!!!

Rogers@Home Pr (NETBLK-ON-ROG-13-1PR-1)
1 Mount Pleasant Road
Toronto, ON M4Y 2Y5
CA

Netname: ON-ROG-13-1PR-1
Netblock: 24.102.5.0 - 24.102.5.255

Coordinator:
Network Security, Fraud (AD30-ARIN) abuse@rogers.home.net
(416) 935-4729

4669. Re: National Guard on street in NYC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 17:49:59 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <A7Nn7.257$yc3.144528@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 60084
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

To all the others on these NGs, I apologize in advance.

Jim,

Go to hell!

Mark

From all of us In Oklahoma, who remember the help sent to us by NYC in 1995,
God Bless Our Friends in New York City. Our prayers are with you.

"Jim" <VTSSYNBATHYQ@spammotel.com> wrote in message
news:Xns911A192168FE8Jimnospamcom@24.253.156.38...
> Looks as though a new Bully is on the block now doesn't it. The USA is
> finally getting a little payback for stirring up shit all these years in
the
> name of democracy.. Maybe this is just the beginning.. President Bush is
so
> unqualified as president of the free world, I can easily see the empire
> crumble.
>
> I bet ol' slick willy is sittin back, cigar in hand, blowjob in progress,
> thinking, damn I'm glad this shit won't fall on MY lap.. lol..
>
> The USA finally gets a taste of its own unique brand of justice.. besides
> the trade centers were ugly buildings.. I wished the statue of liberty
would
> have been destroyed, being your symbol of freedom and democracy.. that
would
> have made the day!
>
>
> "B. Haineault" <bhaineault@mail.com> wrote in
> news:Xns9119E047E2F41bhaineaultmailcom@24.0.3.73:
>
> > 1. There is no martial law declared in NYC,
> > 2, There is no martial law declared here on SoCal,
> > 3. The National Guard is there to help, at the state's request,
> > 4. You will see a rash of "false prophets" broadcasting their BS.
> >
> > We will live through this catastrophy and come out even stronger.
> > However, I can't believe that this episode will not somehow erode
> > another small part of our freedom. Unfortunately, this is the crazy
> > world we live in.
> >

> > Bruno,
> > Oceanside, California
> >
> >
> > "Clyde R. Shappee" <clydes@world.std.com> wrote in
> > news:3B9E51C4.A7FB7E1A@world.std.com:
> >
> >> I heard a broadcast on 7.415 MHz. Don't know the program but the
> >> commentator stated that marshal law has been declared across the US,
> >> but that it has not been broadcast yet by the news services.
> >>
> >> I don't know if I believe it.
> >>
> >> Clyde
> >
>

4670. Re: Too Many to Choose From

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 18:03:34 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B9FA413.EA9C2144@yahoo.com>
Source record: 60085

Used Bearcat 3000xlt or 245xlt would be my choice.

Chris Muller wrote:
>
> Can someone please recommend a good, solid performance handheld for use
> monitoring military aircraft, police, fire, etc? There are so many to
> choose from that I don't want to plunk down $150 for a lemon.

4671. Re: Too Many to Choose From

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 18:04:09 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B9FA435.6A5F49D6@yahoo.com>
Source record: 60086

Do you need trunking?

Chris Muller wrote:
>
> Can someone please recommend a good, solid performance handheld for use
> monitoring military aircraft, police, fire, etc? There are so many to
> choose from that I don't want to plunk down $150 for a lemon.

4672. Re: value PRO-2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 18:07:49 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B9FA512.5F470E7F@yahoo.com>
Source record: 60087

Ebay value- $125 Newsgroup value $90-100.

Monte Keller wrote:
>
> i have a like new,,radio shack PRO-2045
> box,manual power cube,antenna..excellent shape
>
> can someone tell me the value,,thinking of selling or trading
>
> monte k9dzd@home.com

4673. trunk system announced? how long?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 18:11:12 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3B9FA5DB.141A4460@yahoo.com>
Source record: 60088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.rec.scanner

Omaha announced this spring it is setting up a trunking system. Anyone have an idea how long I can expect them to get the system in place and switched over? A year or two?

4674. FS:Radio Shack PRO 2067 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 18:36:02 UTC
From: Chuck Costa <cvc@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <mWNn7.3230$L%5.2540984@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 60089
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

PRO 2067 Trunk Tracker scanner purchased new
2 months ago. Box manual and all accesories included as new. $200 shipped

Chuck K6CVC
cvc@bigfoot.com

4675. Re: F/S Pristine Pro 2005 scanner w/cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 19:15:56 UTC
From: Jeff Singer <js@reallybig.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16097de28962f8289896b4@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 60090
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Truth is,

Consumer protection laws do NOT apply to private transactions.

If you decide to make it easier for everyone by using PayPal, and PayPal is going to charge you a fee for their services, you are certainly entitled to pass that expense along, or even charge $100 more if you feel like it.

BTW, why are you selling?

Thanks,

Jeff Singer

> Btw- price reduced to $200 shipped. Man, you are tough! I am a non
> smoker. To me you can always tell if it came from a smokers envirement.
> But the buttons are the original color. The scanner inside and out
> doesn't wreak of smoke. The box and manual are free from discoloration
> and odor. As far as legality. Well, maybe so, maybe not. I will charge
> $200 across the board. Not to make you happy though. Lot's of stuff is
> illegal. I am sure you are a straight by the book person?? Never, ever
> broke a traffic law?? right.
>
> "D." wrote:
> >
> > Hasn't the court ruled that it is illegal to charge a higher price for
> > a product based on the method of payment??? Isn't this why the gas
> > stations stop charging a higher credit card price years ago??? Isn't
> > it possible that you put "non-smoker" becuase YOU don't smoke, but
> > what about the person you just bought it from???
> >
> > And before you say it, mind your own business!!!
> >
> > D.
> >
> > On Mon, 10 Sep 2001 22:12:55 GMT, Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Scanner is extremely clean. Non smoker. Scanner looks identical to new.
> > >Speed and cell mod done. Comes complete in the box with manual and
> > >antenna. Works perfect. Backlight is extremely bright and has dimmer
> > >switch. Price is $210 shipped UPS in lower 48 OR $215 by Paypal. I can
> > >have it out the next day. Please email me if interested. No trades
> > >please. thanks

>

4676. Re: National Guard on street in NYC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 19:40:55 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3b9fb9b8.13783011@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 60092
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

I would love to. Tell me where you are, I'll be right over.

On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 16:55:40 GMT, VTSSYNBATHYQ@spammotel.com (Jim)
wrote:

>"tim gueguen" <ad058@sfn.saskatoon.sk.ca> wrote in news:w4Mn7.1509
>$5r4.229933@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com:
>
>>
>> "Jim" <VTSSYNBATHYQ@spammotel.com> wrote in message
>> news:Xns911A192168FE8Jimnospamcom@24.253.156.38...
>> Nothing of value.
>>
>> Why don't you do us, and yourself, a favour and shut up until you have
>> something intelligent to say. We'll expect to see you back in oh, say 30
>> years.
>>
>> tim gueguen 101867
>>
>>
>>
>
>Why don't you kiss my ass?

4677. Jersey City Medical Center system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 20:26:58 UTC
From: chief@nbvfc.org
Message-ID: <539597ba.0109121226.47f68a3a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60093
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

would someone please provide the details of
JCMC's trunked system? I can't seem to find
this info anywhere.

4678. Civil Unrest In Paterson, NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 20:37:13 UTC
From: Hangar 18 <radios@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B9FC730.CDC43B06@home.com>
Source record: 60094

I just heard that there are some people acting up against Americans in Paterson, NJ, to the point where some people are leaving the city for their safety.

Anyone have freqs for Paterson, NJ ?

4679. Discone question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 20:37:18 UTC
From: Greg <king.frost@home.com>
Message-ID: <7ohvptstgc8jqgkcd4b4lcoi2eeerli2m2@4ax.com>
Source record: 60095

I was looking at some antennas at radio shack the other day and saw their discone thing. Looks like it'd pick up a bit more than what I get with the standard one on my bc780xlt.

I've got a question - do they have to be mounted outdoors? Or could I set one up in the attic? It would certainly be a lot easier, and I'd only have to drill 1 hole to put the cable though.

How much less reception would I get? Any ideas?

4680. FS:Radio Shack PRO-2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 20:54:28 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@home.com>
Message-ID: <8YPn7.60355$xb.31021735@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 60096

i have a like new, radio shack PRO-2045..
with ,box,manual,antenna,power cube,,,
excellent condition..

price 115.00 shipped

might trade on dualband 144/440 handheld
or,pro-92

monte k9dzd@home.com

4681. Re: F/S Pristine Pro 2005 scanner w/cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 21:07:51 UTC
From: noemailplz@verizon.net
Message-ID: <9noir7$8s1q1$24@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 59947
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

quoting:
>> Price is $210 shipped UPS in lower 48 OR $215 by Paypal

pay pal don't like that.

4682. Re: Live Scanner Coverage From NY City

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 21:12:40 UTC
From: Chris <n8cop@home.com>
Message-ID: <cdQn7.99326$JN6.17007291@news1.rdc1.mi.home.com>
Source record: 59948

Thanks for those links Champ..... i'm listening to the FD talking about another building that is about to collapse. Sad but interesting.

Chris

4683. Re: Can anyone get into www.airnavsystems.com?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 21:13:46 UTC
From: noemailedreplysplz@verizon.net
Message-ID: <9noj69$8s1q1$25@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 59949

Working fine over here... downloaded all three files 6 minutes with DSL.

In article <tpqtlprsk2vsc9@corp.supernews.com>, jacjohn@whidbey.net says...
>
>I'm having trouble accessing airnavsystems.com. I'm trying to download their
>Airnav suite 1.3.
>
>Thanks
>
>John Y.
>
>

4684. Re: Civil Unrest In Paterson, NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 21:45:33 UTC
From: Phil & Alyce <pal531@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9nokp2$e9b$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 59950

"Hangar 18" <radios@home.com> wrote in message
news:3B9FC730.CDC43B06@home.com...
> I just heard that there are some people acting up against Americans in
> Paterson, NJ, to the point where some people are leaving the city for
> their safety.
>
> Anyone have freqs for Paterson, NJ ?

I did not believe this at first, but now this is the second time I've read
this.
Where did you get this info from?

Paterson PD
460.300
460.2750
460.250
460.225

Phil

4685. Re: Civil Unrest In Paterson, NJ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 22:25:43 UTC
From: Hangar 18 <radios@home.com>
Message-ID: <3B9FE09E.B7419998@home.com>
Source record: 59951

A friend's daughter lives there; a suggestion from a local police officer was to leave town for awhile.

Phil & Alyce wrote:

> "Hangar 18" <radios@home.com> wrote in message
> news:3B9FC730.CDC43B06@home.com...
> > I just heard that there are some people acting up against Americans in
> > Paterson, NJ, to the point where some people are leaving the city for
> > their safety.
> >
> > Anyone have freqs for Paterson, NJ ?
>
> I did not believe this at first, but now this is the second time I've read
> this.
> Where did you get this info from?
>
> Paterson PD
> 460.300
> 460.2750
> 460.250
> 460.225
>
> Phil

4686. Ecoute de portable avec un scanner ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 22:54:33 UTC
From: Fred266 <alfred.scheel1@libertysurf.fr>
Message-ID: <9nookb$cn2$1@news4.isdnet.net>
Source record: 59952

Peut on ?couter les communications des portables avec un scanner ?
Fred

4687. Re: Someone may be listening...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 23:06:13 UTC
From: Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com>
Message-ID: <ihqvpt8fff20da9a0ckebn5i2a92frhgjn@4ax.com>
Source record: 59954

Dev wrote:

>but then you have the question again. as in the US and Canada. you cannot
>release what you hear. so hmm. Would a person post what they heard. if they
>DID record it or not.I wonder.

That law only applies to monitoring of cellular and cordless phone transmissions. There is no prohibition against recording and propogating what is heard on the civil aviation band.

If there were, don't you think all of those Real Audio or other streaming audio broadcasts airing traffic at various airports would have been ordered to C&D (cease & desist) a long time ago?

Jughead

4688. Re: looking for product...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 23:10:17 UTC
From: Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com>
Message-ID: <lmqvpt8kg5qaab8a8o36g5khqornhc13vl@4ax.com>
Source record: 59955

DougSlug wrote:

>Gotta warn you, though...the Radio Shack device that does this is terrible.
>They discounted it to me because the one they had was opened from a previous
>return. Well, I returned it as well. Who knows how many times that thing
>had been returned. They couldn't give it away. The main problem was that
>the two frequencies it offers for local transmission were BOTH used (or
>interefered with) by local radio stations (central NJ area). You also have
>to place it near your radio antenna, which for me was in the back of my
>station wagon, and it STILL worked very poorly and the sound quality was FAR
>worse than typical FM stereo quality, even coming out of a portable CD
>player. My advice would be to try to find a different product.

Well, I don't know how many of you actually have cassette decks in the
dashboard of your vehicles, but for those of you who do still have
one, this is what has always worked great for me.

Just go out and get one of those standard CD-to-cassette adapters many
stores sell. These are the cassettes with a wire running from it to
the headphone output of a portable CD player. Just throw the cassette
part into your deck and hook the other end up to the headphone jack of
your scanner.

You'll now have a simple way of listening to your scanner with your
car's audio system. No need to worry about any of those FM modulator
issues (like interference from licensed stations, etc.).

Jughead

4689. WTB Trunking scanner FS BC855XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 23:32:38 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <qgSn7.95$BL5.1085@news>
Source record: 59953
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm in need of a trunking scanner. I have a BC855XLT that I can throw in on the trade. I am selling my 855xlt. It's 800mhz cell mod and 100 channel mod done. I had a done deal and got screwed out of it! Anybody that just bought a Pro 2052 or is getting ready to should email me and I'll let them know the kind of person they are buying from.

--
Sure I'll get a life,
Just as soon as I download one!

4690. Re: Ecoute de portable avec un scanner ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-12 23:47:12 UTC
From: noemailedreplysplz@verizon.net
Message-ID: <9nos5v$9aj69$6@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 59956

english translation:

subject: Listens of portable with a scanner?

Can one listen to the communications of the portables with a scanner?

In article <9nookb$cn2$1@news4.isdnet.net>, alfred.scheel1@libertysurf.fr
says...
>
>Peut on ?couter les communications des portables avec un scanner ?
>Fred
>
>

4691. PRO-60 Key Pad probelm(s)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 00:50:31 UTC
From: foxdbeach@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010912205031.05475.00000172@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 59957

To the list: Is there a history of keypad problems wity the PRO-60? I have seem to have lost the bottom numerical row which is the ZERO, DECIMAL POINT, and worse of all "ENTER"! HELP. anyone w/a suggestion, please e-mail me direct.
Thanks!
Dennis Fox
foxdbeach@aol.com
K1DMF
Hillsboro Beach, Fl.

4692. Re: FS:Radio Shack PRO-2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 01:31:22 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@home.com>
Message-ID: <K%Tn7.60770$xb.31403099@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 59958

the pro-2045 also has the built in tone board

monte

"Monte Keller" <k9dzd@home.com> wrote in message
news:8YPn7.60355$xb.31021735@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> i have a like new, radio shack PRO-2045..
> with ,box,manual,antenna,power cube,,,
> excellent condition..
>
> price 115.00 shipped
>
> might trade on dualband 144/440 handheld
> or,pro-92
>
> monte k9dzd@home.com
>
>
>

4693. Re: Jersey City Medical Center system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 01:36:42 UTC
From: Ed Angiolillo <TrekGVH92@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BA00D6E.416412F9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 59959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

try this site:
<www.fordyce.org/scanning/index.html>

Tom Kaminski wrote:

> would someone please provide the details of
> JCMC's trunked system? I can't seem to find
> this info anywhere.

--
The Check is in the Mail
I'll Still Love You in the Morning
The Doctor Says It Isn't Contagious
Gary Condit is Innocent!

4694. Re: Discone question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 02:12:03 UTC
From: ISLD_trader <isld_trader@this.ng>
Message-ID: <120920011910037885%isld_trader@this.ng>
Source record: 59960

I have had the second generation RS discone 20-043 for a long time here north of SF mounted outside about 50 ft. up in the clear on a hill with a clear view of SF and the Bay Area and it is my worst performing antenna. If you are thinking about getting a lot of signal out of a very small and cheap antenna I highly recommend the RS 20-176A. It is about $20.00 and about 20" high and it greatly outperforms the RS discone IMO. I have the 20-176A hooked up to my BC 780XLT and it works extremely well. I actually bought 2 more as back-up units for the future since they are so cheap. Make sure you get good coax, especially for a long run. Actually, I am using RS quad-shield RG-6 and the results are very good. I would not recommend an attic installation for this antenna. You should be able to find a place outside for it since it is very small and most people will not even notice it.

Good luck.

In article <7ohvptstgc8jqgkcd4b4lcoi2eeerli2m2@4ax.com>, Greg <king.frost@home.com> wrote:

> I was looking at some antennas at radio shack the other day and saw
> their discone thing. Looks like it'd pick up a bit more than what I
> get with the standard one on my bc780xlt.
>
> I've got a question - do they have to be mounted outdoors? Or could I
> set one up in the attic? It would certainly be a lot easier, and I'd
> only have to drill 1 hole to put the cable though.
>
> How much less reception would I get? Any ideas?

--

4695. WTC Disaster Frequency Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 02:19:26 UTC
From: njscan <njscan@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <OIUn7.20670$f7.3055386@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59961

?To those of you who are wondering about the WTC disaster, check out this page. Lists of active
frequencies and it appears to be updated very often. http://nydxa.4t.com

4696. Re: web sites that allow listening to NY frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 02:37:37 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <RZUn7.17730$j65.2719741@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 59962

APBNEWS.COM has been shut down for months, there is NO Audio on that site.

Jim <akjim11@(remove)yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:tpvd3p8orrns92@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "# mArty #" <goophy@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:25961-3B9F9363-21@storefull-286.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > Are there any web sites that are webtv/msntv compatable to listen to
> > resue efforts in NY ?
> >
>
> http://www.apbonline.com/scanner/index_blank.html?s=apbnews_headernav_0
>
> Several scanners on this page, NY was closed though, I posted it because
> they may open this at night??
>
>

4697. Re: Someone may be listening...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 03:35:09 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9np9bs1300t@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59965

but I mean. like they all have been saying on our canadian channels that
these people we calling for help on their cells.
so was it a cell company monitoring these cell calls. or was a scanner user.
I could never figure that out when they said that.

"Jughead" <jugheadx@xisp.com> wrote in message
news:ihqvpt8fff20da9a0ckebn5i2a92frhgjn@4ax.com...
> Dev wrote:
>
> >but then you have the question again. as in the US and Canada. you cannot
> >release what you hear. so hmm. Would a person post what they heard. if
they
> >DID record it or not.I wonder.
>
> That law only applies to monitoring of cellular and cordless phone
> transmissions. There is no prohibition against recording and
> propogating what is heard on the civil aviation band.
>
> If there were, don't you think all of those Real Audio or other
> streaming audio broadcasts airing traffic at various airports would
> have been ordered to C&D (cease & desist) a long time ago?
>
>
>
> Jughead

4698. Re: Someone may be listening...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 03:35:09 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9npe3c01vtq@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59970

but I mean. like they all have been saying on our canadian channels that
these people we calling for help on their cells.
so was it a cell company monitoring these cell calls. or was a scanner user.
I could never figure that out when they said that.

"Jughead" <jugheadx@xisp.com> wrote in message
news:ihqvpt8fff20da9a0ckebn5i2a92frhgjn@4ax.com...
> Dev wrote:
>
> >but then you have the question again. as in the US and Canada. you cannot
> >release what you hear. so hmm. Would a person post what they heard. if
they
> >DID record it or not.I wonder.
>
> That law only applies to monitoring of cellular and cordless phone
> transmissions. There is no prohibition against recording and
> propogating what is heard on the civil aviation band.
>
> If there were, don't you think all of those Real Audio or other
> streaming audio broadcasts airing traffic at various airports would
> have been ordered to C&D (cease & desist) a long time ago?
>
>
>
> Jughead

4699. Fed broadcasts online audio NYC WTC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 03:35:37 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <dQVn7.18320$j65.2844443@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 59963
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Is there any sites out there that are broadcasting the fed. channels.
besides the regular pd, and fire that we have been hearing.

--
Live Scanner Audio Online
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

4700. Crystal type scanners.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 03:40:25 UTC
From: jmcleod326@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010912234025.21150.00000191@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 59964

Just received my shipment of "Xtals" from R/S (Radio Shack) for my Pro-10 Scanner.......... Hot Dam, it works great. (They (xtals) also worked in my old Pro-48!)
Yes! Your right, it makes it a dedicated type scanner, but it free's up my two programables for searching.
Radio Shack, "Thanks for stocking these items!"

4701. Re: web sites that allow listening to NY frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 05:06:32 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <s9Xn7.18956$j65.2997021@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 59966

Hmm, I donn't know, I guess it does work then, I haven't really checked it out much. It never seems updated

--
Live Scanner Audio Online
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
t.w<illegible>* <t ,<illegible>*.<illegible>@,<illegible>oucan@,bitemyarse.com<illegible>rr<illegible>.org> wrote in message
news:JsWn7.4782$707.2564926@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com...
> x-no-archive: yes
>
> "Champ" <Champ_not@home.com> wrote in message
> news:RZUn7.17730$j65.2719741@news4.rdc1.on.home.com...
> > APBNEWS.COM has been shut down for months, there is NO Audio on that
> site.
>
> ummmmm, you're wrong. policescanner.com was having server problems, so I
> went over to APB and listened to NYPD there with no problems.
>
>

4702. Re: Someone may be listening...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 05:08:24 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <cbXn7.18968$j65.2999507@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 59967

You ever think that it was the person on the other end that heard the call.
I mean, they would tell everyone that people from inside would make the
calls if they could.

Think about it. It probably wasn't a scanner user hearing these and
mentioning it to they media.

--
Live Scanner Audio Online
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
Dev <.> wrote in message news:9np9bs1300t@enews4.newsguy.com...
> but I mean. like they all have been saying on our canadian channels that
> these people we calling for help on their cells.
> so was it a cell company monitoring these cell calls. or was a scanner
user.
> I could never figure that out when they said that.
>
> "Jughead" <jugheadx@xisp.com> wrote in message
> news:ihqvpt8fff20da9a0ckebn5i2a92frhgjn@4ax.com...
> > Dev wrote:
> >
> > >but then you have the question again. as in the US and Canada. you
cannot
> > >release what you hear. so hmm. Would a person post what they heard. if
> they
> > >DID record it or not.I wonder.
> >
> > That law only applies to monitoring of cellular and cordless phone
> > transmissions. There is no prohibition against recording and
> > propogating what is heard on the civil aviation band.
> >
> > If there were, don't you think all of those Real Audio or other
> > streaming audio broadcasts airing traffic at various airports would
> > have been ordered to C&D (cease & desist) a long time ago?
> >
> >
> >
> > Jughead
>
>

4703. Live Washington Police scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 05:13:34 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <2gXn7.19001$j65.3006650@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 59968
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.live365.com/stations/245935

--
Live Scanner Audio Online
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

4704. Another Washington Live scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 05:17:24 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <EjXn7.19025$j65.3011540@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 59969
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.live365.com/stations/112320

--
Live Scanner Audio Online
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

4705. Re: Poor Canadian Trash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 06:35:48 UTC
From: Chris Deruyte <chrisderuyte@otn.net>
Message-ID: <3BA053C4.17AF7B77@otn.net>
Source record: 59971
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

It won't be long and his full name, address et cetera will appear here.
He won't be the first that it happens to. But one of the most deserving.

In all my travels in Canada, I never met anyone even slightly as unpleasant (to
put it nicely).
Just a lot of genuinely friendly and kind people, minding their own business
and helping others out when they need it. Guess there's one in every crowd.

Power House wrote:

> "Jim" <VTSSYNBATHYQ@spammotel.com> wrote in message
> news:Xns911A192168FE8Jimnospamcom@24.253.156.38...
> > Looks as though a new Bully is on the block now doesn't it. The USA is
> > finally getting a little payback for stirring up shit all these years in
> the
> > name of democracy.. Maybe this is just the beginning.. President Bush is
> so
> > unqualified as president of the free world, I can easily see the empire
> > crumble.
> >
> > I bet ol' slick willy is sittin back, cigar in hand, blowjob in progress,
> > thinking, damn I'm glad this shit won't fall on MY lap.. lol..
> >
> > The USA finally gets a taste of its own unique brand of justice.. besides
> > the trade centers were ugly buildings.. I wished the statue of liberty
> would
> > have been destroyed, being your symbol of freedom and democracy.. that
> would
> > have made the day!
> >
>
> It's hard to believe that a country that is supposed to be allies with the
> US would allow inconsiderate assholes such as yourself to live there...
> Poor, poor Canadian trash you are... Go crawl back under one of your
> glaciers where your cold-hearted ass belongs!!!
>
> Rogers@Home Pr (NETBLK-ON-ROG-13-1PR-1)
> 1 Mount Pleasant Road
> Toronto, ON M4Y 2Y5
> CA
>
> Netname: ON-ROG-13-1PR-1
> Netblock: 24.102.5.0 - 24.102.5.255
>

> Coordinator:
> Network Security, Fraud (AD30-ARIN) abuse@rogers.home.net
> (416) 935-4729

4706. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 06:56:29 UTC
From: Roger Halstead <rdhalste@tm.net>
Message-ID: <xMYn7.2499$pH1.93412@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 59972
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

"Mike Gormley" <WA8VEC@ARRL.net> wrote in message
news:3B9E7BA5.83CDAA1D@ARRL.net...
> GG wrote:
>
> > Did it say a state of war has been declared? Try and read it again, it
> > says "should a state of war be declared". If this act can be definitely
> > connected with another government entity, there very well may be a state
> > of war declared.
> >
> > Mike Gormley wrote:
> >
> > > jones connector Louie wrote:
> > >
> > > > Special Bulletin 9 ARLX009
> > > > From ARRL Headquarters
> > > > Newington CT September 11, 2001
> > > > To all radio amateurs
> > > >
> > > > SB SPCL ARL ARLX009
> > > > ARLX009 FCC, federal agencies shut down after terrorist attacks
> > > >
> > > > ...snip....
> > > >
> > > > Should a state of war be declared, Amateur Radio would not
> > > > automatically be shut down. This requirement was eliminated prior to
> > > > the Gulf War.
> > > > NNNN
> > > > /EX
> > >
> > > A State of War! Come on now! Who is responsible for this bulletin?
> > >
> > > --
> > > Mike Gormley
>
> My point is: Why even MENTION SUCH A THING in a so called "Official
> Bullitin", unless it is reality.

Probably because several of our leading congressmen and Senators already
brought the subject up (In interviews on TV).
They called the attacks "Acts of War" and said that "should" we be able to
trace the actions to a specific country there is that possibility. (Or to a
country giving safe haven to those behind the attacks)

The President even made the statement that the US (NATO has agreed to treat it as an attack on all NATO nations) that any nation harbouring the individual or group responsible would be treated the same as that individual or group.

>

So there is a sound basis for including that in the bulletin.

--
Roger Halstead (K8RI)
WWW.RogerHalstead.com
N833R, World's Oldest Debonair? (S# CD-2)

> Nothing like getting the pot boiling.
>
>
>
> --
> Mike Gormley
>
>

4707. Re: F/S Pristine Pro 2005 scanner w/cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 10:45:10 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3BA08ED5.12CCAE5E@yahoo.com>
Source record: 59973
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

My wife works for Paypal here for 2 years. Paypal could care less.
Selling the 2005 only because I have a 2006 and got a 780xlt. One has to
go as I don't need 3 of these. Perfect condition though.

JM wrote:
>
> quoting:
> >> Price is $210 shipped UPS in lower 48 OR $215 by Paypal
>
> pay pal don't like that.

4708. Any recordings exist of first call WTC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 12:12:14 UTC
From: James <james@myself.com>
Message-ID: <kn1o7.11037$c5.99069@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 59974

[No readable article body recovered]

4709. Re: looking for product...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 12:49:46 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9nqa0j$90s0v$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 59975

"Jughead" <jugheadx@xisp.com> wrote in message
news:lmqvpt8kg5qaab8a8o36g5khqornhc13vl@4ax.com...

> Just go out and get one of those standard CD-to-cassette adapters many
> stores sell. These are the cassettes with a wire running from it to
> the headphone output of a portable CD player. Just throw the cassette
> part into your deck and hook the other end up to the headphone jack of
> your scanner.
>

I bought one of those, but the cassette deck in my pickup kept
auto-reversing for some reason. So I took it back to Walmart and bought the
SoundFeeder. At least I can use the Soundfeeder at home as well as mobile.

Tom

4710. Re: Jersey City Medical Center system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 12:52:03 UTC
From: chief@nbvfc.org
Message-ID: <539597ba.0109130452.344ab5e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Ed Angiolillo <TrekGVH92@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3BA00D6E.416412F9@earthlink.net>...
> try this site:
> <www.fordyce.org/scanning/index.html>
>
> Tom Kaminski wrote:
>
> > would someone please provide the details of
> > JCMC's trunked system? I can't seem to find
> > this info anywhere.

nope - he doesn't have Hudson county, or Essex or Bergen.

4711. Re: Someone may be listening...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 12:54:10 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9nqa8r$92bll$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 59977

"Jughead" <jugheadx@xisp.com> wrote in message
news:ihqvpt8fff20da9a0ckebn5i2a92frhgjn@4ax.com...
> Dev wrote:
>
> >but then you have the question again. as in the US and Canada. you cannot
> >release what you hear. so hmm. Would a person post what they heard. if
they
> >DID record it or not.I wonder.
>
> That law only applies to monitoring of cellular and cordless phone
> transmissions. There is no prohibition against recording and
> propogating what is heard on the civil aviation band.
>

Actually, the Communications Act of 1934 prohibits revealing communications you overhear to another person. The only services it doesn't apply to is broadcast, amateur, and CB radio transmissions. But as far as I know nobody has ever been prosecuted for telling someone else what they heard on their scanner. Of course, there was the highly-publicized Newt Gingrich cell phone conversation that was recorded by those two nitwits in Florida, but I believe they were charged with wiretapping charges instead of under the Communications Act.

Tom

4712. Re: Someone may be listening...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 12:55:24 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9nqab4$96435$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 59978

"Dev" <.> wrote in message news:9npe3c01vtq@enews1.newsguy.com...
> but I mean. like they all have been saying on our canadian channels that
> these people we calling for help on their cells.
> so was it a cell company monitoring these cell calls. or was a scanner
user.
> I could never figure that out when they said that.

That information could have come from the 911 center or emergency workers.
Or perhaps that info was given out over the police radio and overheard on a
scanner.

Tom

4713. Re: looking for product...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 13:12:05 UTC
From: "(Will Blaylock) see tag below for email" <user@spamfree.org>
Message-ID: <51c1qtcc7o2vjidaosipsqjdsh3i6qjmt9@4ax.com>
Source record: 59979

Wal-Mart has a great device that worked all of the way from Salt Lake
to Las Vegas via Ely, Eurika, Winemuka and a trip past area 51 and lt
needed to be retuned only once along the way...

"DougSlug" <twinpoppa@hotmail.com> wrote:

>Gotta warn you, though...the Radio Shack device that does this is terrible.
>They discounted it to me because the one they had was opened from a previous
>return. Well, I returned it as well. Who knows how many times that thing
>had been returned. They couldn't give it away. The main problem was that
>the two frequencies it offers for local transmission were BOTH used (or
>interefered with) by local radio stations (central NJ area). You also have
>to place it near your radio antenna, which for me was in the back of my
>station wagon, and it STILL worked very poorly and the sound quality was FAR
>worse than typical FM stereo quality, even coming out of a portable CD
>player. My advice would be to try to find a different product.
>
> - Doug
>
>"Dev" <.> wrote in message news:9nlui00a2s@enews3.newsguy.com...
>> any small fm transmitter will do that. Most Radio Shacks have it. for
>people
>> that have portable cd players and want to play it on their fm radio in the
>> hose or car.
>> all it is. is a headphone type male jack hooked up to a small transmitter.
>> transmitting on normal fm radio.
>>
>>
>>
>> "Larry Cohen" <ppcdoc@home.com> wrote in message
>> news:_Pnn7.960$xB1.157231@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
>> > that sends scanner signal to car radio...about 30 bux.
>> > pls email the product name to me...thanks
>> > ppcdoc@home.com
>> >
>> >
>>
>>
>

Allow your children the opportunity to grow up without government intervention.
POLICE YOUR OWN CHILDREN BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT BRAINWASHES THEM!
KEEP **OUR** internet FREE from censorship.

W. A. Blaylock
http://www.xmission.com/~wblalok
wblalok at xmission dot com
p.o. box 526092 Salt Lake, UT 84152

4714. Re: Can anyone get into www.airnavsystems.com?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 13:15:32 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tq1cf78ac2kdea@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59980

I downloaded the stuff too, but it refuses to install. Keeps giving me errors every time, telling me that it can't install this file, or that file... Really pissing me off... Maybe I'll try to download again...

"JM" <noemailedreplysplz@verizon.net> wrote in message news:9noj69$8s1q1$25@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de...
> Working fine over here... downloaded all three files 6 minutes with DSL.
>
>
> In article <tpqtlprsk2vsc9@corp.supernews.com>, jacjohn@whidbey.net says...
> >
> >I'm having trouble accessing airnavsystems.com. I'm trying to download their
> >Airnav suite 1.3.
> >
> >Thanks
> >
> >John Y.
> >
> >
>

4715. Re: Poor Canadian Trash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 13:30:04 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tq1daenk08r134@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59982
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Chris Deruyte" <chrisderuyte@otn.net> wrote in message
news:3BA053C4.17AF7B77@otn.net...
> It won't be long and his full name, address et cetera will appear here.
> He won't be the first that it happens to. But one of the most deserving.
>
> In all my travels in Canada, I never met anyone even slightly as
unpleasant (to
> put it nicely).
> Just a lot of genuinely friendly and kind people, minding their own
business
> and helping others out when they need it. Guess there's one in every
crowd.
>

A funny thing happened this morning... I receive an email containing a copy of a news story... It has the complete opossite view of this ass... Check it out... I can agree with a lot of it, and it reflects the feeling one Canadian, who truely is on the side of the US...

America: The Good Neighbor.

Widespread but only partial news coverage was given recently to a remarkable editorial broadcast from Toronto by Gordon Sinclair, a Canadian television commentator. What follows is the full text of his Trenchant remarks as printed in the Congressional Record:

This Canadian thinks it is time to speak up for the Americans as the most generous and possibly the least appreciated people on all the earth.

Germany, Japan and, to a lesser extent, Britain and Italy were lifted out of the debris of war by the Americans who poured in billions of dollars and forgave other billions in debts. None of these countries is today paying even the interest on its remaining debts to the United States.

When France was in danger of collapsing in 1956, it was the Americans who propped it up, and their reward was to be insulted and swindled on the streets of Paris. I was there. I saw it.

When earthquakes hit distant cities, it is the United States that hurries in to help. This spring, 59 American communities were flattened by tornadoes. Nobody helped.

The Marshall Plan and the Truman Policy pumped billions of dollars into discouraged countries. Now newspapers in those countries are writing about the decadent, warmongering Americans.

I'd like to see just one of those countries that is gloating over the erosion of the United States dollar build its own airplane. Does any other country in the world have a plane to equal the Boeing Jumbo Jet, the Lockheed Tri-Star, or the Douglas DC10?

If so, why don't they fly them? Why do all the International lines except Russia fly American Planes? You talk about Japanese technocracy, and you get radios. You talk about German technocracy, and you get automobiles. You talk about American technocracy, and you find men on the moon - not once, but several times - and safely home again.

You talk about scandals, and the Americans put theirs right in the store window for everybody to look at. Even their draft-dodgers are not pursued and hounded. They are here on our streets, and most of them, unless they are breaking Canadian laws, are getting American dollars from ma and pa at home to spend here.

When the railways of France, Germany and India were breaking down through age, it was the Americans who rebuilt them. When the Pennsylvania Railroad and the New York Central went broke, nobody Loaned them an old caboose. Both are still broke.

I can name you 5000 times when the Americans raced to the help of other people in trouble. Can you name me even one time when someone else raced to the Americans in trouble? I don't think there was outside help even during the San Francisco earthquake.

Our neighbors have faced it alone, and I'm one Canadian who is damned tired of hearing them get kicked around. They will come out of this thing with their flag high. And when they do, they are entitled to thumb their nose at the lands that are gloating over their present troubles. I hope Canada is not one of those."

Stand proud, America!
Wear it proudly!!

> Power House wrote:
>
> > "Jim" <VTSSYNBATHYQ@spammotel.com> wrote in message
> > news:Xns911A192168FE8Jimnospamcom@24.253.156.38...
> > > Looks as though a new Bully is on the block now doesn't it. The USA is
> > > finally getting a little payback for stirring up shit all these years
in
> > the
> > > name of democracy.. Maybe this is just the beginning.. President Bush
is
> > so
> > > unqualified as president of the free world, I can easily see the
empire
> > > crumble.
> > >
> > > I bet ol' slick willy is sittin back, cigar in hand, blowjob in
progress,

> > > thinking, damn I'm glad this shit won't fall on MY lap.. lol..
> > >
> > > The USA finally gets a taste of its own unique brand of justice..
besides
> > > the trade centers were ugly buildings.. I wished the statue of liberty
> > would
> > > have been destroyed, being your symbol of freedom and democracy.. that
> > would
> > > have made the day!
> > >
> >
> > It's hard to believe that a country that is supposed to be allies with
the
> > US would allow inconsiderate assholes such as yourself to live there...
> > Poor, poor Canadian trash you are... Go crawl back under one of your
> > glaciers where your cold-hearted ass belongs!!!
> >
> > Rogers@Home Pr (NETBLK-ON-ROG-13-1PR-1)
> > 1 Mount Pleasant Road
> > Toronto, ON M4Y 2Y5
> > CA
> >
> > Netname: ON-ROG-13-1PR-1
> > Netblock: 24.102.5.0 - 24.102.5.255
> >
> > Coordinator:
> > Network Security, Fraud (AD30-ARIN) abuse@rogers.home.net
> > (416) 935-4729
>

4716. Canadian Tribute to the United States...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 13:48:36 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tq1ed5dr9gqga8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59983
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

I received this in my email this morning and thought that I would share it with my fellow hobbyist...

America: The Good Neighbor.

Widespread but only partial news coverage was given recently to a remarkable editorial broadcast from Toronto by Gordon Sinclair, a Canadian television commentator. What follows is the full text of his Trenchant remarks as printed in the Congressional Record:

This Canadian thinks it is time to speak up for the Americans as the most generous and possibly the least appreciated people on all the earth.

Germany, Japan and, to a lesser extent, Britain and Italy were lifted out of the debris of war by the Americans who poured in billions of dollars and forgave other billions in debts. None of these countries is today paying even the interest on its remaining debts to the United States.

When France was in danger of collapsing in 1956, it was the Americans who propped it up, and their reward was to be insulted and swindled on the streets of Paris. I was there. I saw it.

When earthquakes hit distant cities, it is the United States that hurries in to help. This spring, 59 American communities were flattened by tornadoes. Nobody helped.

The Marshall Plan and the Truman Policy pumped billions of dollars into discouraged countries. Now newspapers in those countries are writing about the decadent, warmongering Americans.

I'd like to see just one of those countries that is gloating over the erosion of the United States dollar build its own airplane. Does any other country in the world have a plane to equal the Boeing Jumbo Jet, the Lockheed Tri-Star, or the Douglas DC10?

If so, why don't they fly them? Why do all the International lines except Russia fly American Planes? You talk about Japanese technocracy, and you get radios. You talk about German technocracy, and you get automobiles. You talk about American technocracy, and you find men on the moon - not once, but several times - and safely home again.

You talk about scandals, and the Americans put theirs right in the store window for everybody to look at. Even their draft-dodgers are not pursued and hounded. They are here on our streets, and most of them, unless they are breaking Canadian laws, are getting American dollars from ma and pa at home to spend here.

When the railways of France, Germany and India were breaking down through age, it was the Americans who rebuilt them. When the Pennsylvania Railroad and the New York Central went broke, nobody Loaned them an old caboose. Both are still broke.

I can name you 5000 times when the Americans raced to the help of other people in trouble. Can you name me even one time when someone else raced to the Americans in trouble? I don't think there was outside help even during the San Francisco earthquake.

Our neighbors have faced it alone, and I'm one Canadian who is damned tired of hearing them get kicked around. They will come out of this thing with their flag high. And when they do, they are entitled to thumb their nose at the lands that are gloating over their present troubles. I hope Canada is not one of those."

Stand proud, America!
Wear it proudly!!

4717. Re: WTC Disaster Frequency Page

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 14:23:10 UTC
From: Phil & Alyce <pal531@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <9nqfb5qtp1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 59984

"njscan" <njscan@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:OIUn7.20670$f7.3055386@news02.optonline.net...
> ?To those of you who are wondering about the WTC disaster, check out this
> page. Lists of active
> frequencies and it appears to be updated very often. http://nydxa.4t.com
>
>
You're right, that is a pretty good site.
Thanks,

Phil

4718. Re: ARRL BULLETIN 9 (please read)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 14:29:55 UTC
From: CAM <Cecil.A.Moore@IEEE.org>
Message-ID: <3BA0C2E3.4A1435B6@IEEE.org>
Source record: 59985
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner

Roger Halstead wrote:
> They called the attacks "Acts of War" and said that "should" we be able to
> trace the actions to a specific country there is that possibility.

I heard on the Art Bell show last night that Sept. 11 is Muhammad's
birthday. Could that be true?
--
cheers, Cecil

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

4719. Re: Poor Canadian Trash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 15:11:14 UTC
From: Mark Holden <msholden@ct2.nai.net>
Message-ID: <3BA0CC92.3FE7BBED@ct2.nai.net>
Source record: 59986
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Where someone is from has nothing to do with their posts being obnoxious.

Jim is just a troll, saying the most outrageous things he can think of,
possibly because that's the best way he knows to attract attention to himself.

If you happen to find a message he's posted that you're certain violates the
TOS for his ISP, by all means report him.

But otherwise, if we all ignore him, he'll eventually get bored and try to find
someone else to annoy.

4720. Re: Power House is a F%$K-Up > was Poor Canadian Trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 15:21:36 UTC
From: ---Bill--- <"take out the NO in the reply to address"@silly.net>
Message-ID: <3BA0CF00.850FF1D2@silly.net>
Source record: 59987
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim wrote:
>
>>s.. America is a nation of arrogant thieves, and was
> built on the basis of stolen property, and slavery.

Hey Jim. Why not show a bit of conviction and exercise a personal
boycott of all American goods and services? You can start with your
US-based "@-home" ISP. Hypocrite.

--
Bill M
Vieques, PR

4721. FS: Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 15:50:38 UTC
From: katzdave@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010913115038.25494.00000043@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 59988

1 BC760XLT 100 Channel
1 BC200XLT 200 Channel with carrying case & extra NiCad battery pack.
1 BC200XLT 200 Channel with carrying case & extra battery pack for NiCad or AA batteries)
1 Radio Shack Pro 60 200 Channel Triple Conversion

All scanners have the manuals are in good condition. $125.00 each (or all four for $450.00) If interested, email me at katzdave@aol.com.

4722. Re: Someone may be listening...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 15:58:41 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9nqkrd01g20@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59992

Actually. I have never heard of any canadian prosecuted for it yet. I do know that we can listen but not record a transmission. But many do it here in canada and no one really cares yet. as I say yet. :)

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:gch1qtssnld0v0lg55l8ome27n11ea8681@4ax.com...
> "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
> >Actually, the Communications Act of 1934 prohibits revealing communications
> >you overhear to another person. The only services it doesn't apply to is
> >broadcast, amateur, and CB radio transmissions.
>
> But that was changed by the ECPA of 1986, then further modified in 1994,
> and THAT change removed the prohibition against 'divulging', as interpreted
> by a Federal Appeals Court Judge.
>
>
>
>
>
> To reply by email, remove the XYZ.
>
> Lumber Cartel (tinlc) #2063. Spam this account at your own risk.
>
> It's your SIG, say what you want to say....

4723. Re: National Guard on street in NYC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 16:03:56 UTC
From: perplexed <abuch88@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MN4o7.14585$A5.2755220@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 59989
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"perplexed" <abuch88@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:ldGn7.7498$n5.1474197@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> To b haineault and the newsgroup:
> > >
> Sincerest regretsmy denigration of your character was a terrible and
greivious error. My remarks should have been directed at the "Jim" who sent
a scurrious message of hate against the USA. >
>
>

4724. Chaos In NY... NY Online Scanner Broadcasts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 16:13:09 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tq1ms961dt5j03@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59990
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have been listening to the NY Scanner, that is re-broadcast online, for about 2 hours, and there have been about 15 bomb threats called in, in that time. Empire State Building, 110 Plaza, Maces (Spelling?), Grand Central Station, Time Square, you name it, and it's on there.... I'd have to say that a lot of the Citizens of the US are about as sick as the terrorists! What a nightmare! I can't believe how sick these people are! They need all the help and cooperation they can get in this terrible tragedy over there in NY, and these people pull bull-shit like this... They have the officers and everyone else running there asses off dealing with all this shit, when they should be helping out at the disaster site... I am EXTREMELY disappointed in my fellow Americans! What a disgustingly sick world we live in... I have no words... It's is just sick beyond anything imaginable... How could ANYBODY be so despicable! I am just sickened...

4725. Re: Nationwide mil freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 16:16:05 UTC
From: Greg <king.frost@home.com>
Message-ID: <nrm1qtg18q680qki70h28id3k8n7m1l6de@4ax.com>
Source record: 59991

On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 07:33:58 -0400, bob31439 <bob31439@ix.netcom.com>
wrote:

>Military Ops heard in SW MI, on Chicago and Cleveland Center freqs .
>
>Chicago
>120.125
>127.625
>126.125
>127.550
>127.800

Hmmm interesting, I have been hearing a chatter on 127.625 from here
in Rockford, IL this morning. The plane seems to have a call sign of
Lifeguard (something). Air national guard, perhaps?

4726. Pennsylvania [Emergency] Situation Report E-Mail List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 16:24:07 UTC
From: pasitrep@pa-sitrep.com
Message-ID: <3ba0dd94.230902539@news.city-net.com>
Source record: 59981

vvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvv
Please forgive the off-subject but we need more eyes
and ears out there than we ever did before.

Please consider joining the list and helping out.
^^

PA-SitRep
Pennsylvania [Emergency] Situation Report E-Mail List

The PA-SitRep mailing list is a free communications
tool that will enable you to monitor and report on
major emergency situations throughout the State of
Pennsylvania including weather emergencies,
natural disasters, environmental disasters, civil
disturbances and threats of terrorism.

The list is extremely low volume because messages to
the list are limited only to those containing verified
emergency situation reports of Major Import within the
State of Pennsylvania.

The list is run from a private server at my expense, so
there are no advertisements necessary or permitted.
Rumors, hearsay, unconfirmed and or inaccurate
reports and chat are strictly prohibited.

Subscribers do not have to be members of any
specific emergency services organizations to join
the PA-SitRep mailing list. ANYONE willing and
able to comply with the posting guidelines is
welcome.

The list protocol for posting messages is designed
to cater to those who use digital cell phones or
alphanumeric pagers for text messaging. The first
paragraph of the messages posted to the list are
brief summaries limited to 100 characters, followed
by a more detailed situation report.

For more information, or if you'd like to subscribe,
please visit the following web address:

http://www.pa-sitrep.com/pasitrep.htm

Thanks. And we'll look forward to your participation.

www.PA-SitRep.com

4727. Re: Power House is a F%$K-Up > was Poor Canadian Trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 16:48:52 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tq1ov6nkp73k4d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Look pal, if you have a problem don't try and push it off on me... Everything that was posted was said by one of your FELLOW CANADIANS... You have a problem with the words in it, you had better look to your own country.... I said that I agreed with MOST, didn't say all.... And I never said that the US is perfect... It is FAR from it... But then again, I would say your Country isn't so great either... Reflecting back on the previous post... Has _YOUR_ _COUNTRY_ _EVER_ helped out the US in a time of need?? What is _YOUR_ _COUNTRY_ doing to help out the US right now? Yea, that's what I though...

My post of your ISP information was not an indication of a violation of your TOS.. Nowhere did I indicate, imply, or say that... It was meant to show you that even though you are posting anonymously, you can still be found... It was done as proof to your location, i.e. Canada. It was also done to show that even though you feel so shitty towards the American people, you seem not to have a problem with using an ISP based right here in the US... How interesting... As someone else put it, your nothing but a hypocrite, and , in my own words, an ignorant bastard...

>
> First off. addressing the scumbag that posted the message, fuck you, perfect
> example of arrogance that gets your country killed. America has always been
> quick to take the credit for things they should be sharing the credit for,
> look at WW2, the Manhatten project, if you think the bomb was solely
> designed by Americans, you truly are fucked, enter the Brits, Canadians,
> fuck even German defects.. America is a nation of arrogant thieves, and was
> built on the basis of stolen property, and slavery. Oh yeah that's pride.To
> the asshole that posted network information for Rogers above... Since when
> is speaking my opinion a violation of my terms of service.. i'm not even
> inciting hate, thats what the Americans do (er Hal Turner, and the likes),
> all I did is point out, that America is finally starting to pay their Karma
> debt for all the times they should have left things well alone.. (er Viet
> Nam, Kuwait (oh wait, no that was about American Oil Greed sorry), and all
> the other times USA has supplied troops and or arms for small countries to
> fight each other into the ground.. , there has been no fraud and no abuse of
> service, if you do not like what I have to say, use your kill filters, or
> shut the fuck up.. your choice. You seem like an arrogant fuck, why don't
> you become a US citizen, you'd make a great new yorker.

>
> Americans are big on freedom of expression.. well so am I. To all those
> opposed, kiss my ass. For the most part America, only involves America,
when
> they see a future opportunity to call in a solid... don't piss in my ear
and
> tell me they send troops and supplies out of the goodness of their
hearts..
> Americans are greedy, they act as greedy act...

4728. UNITED WE STAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 17:20:36 UTC
From: thepolishdude@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5a04c827.0109130920.11d841d5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59994
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Date: 9/13/01 12:31PM
Subject: Fw: U.S. Pride Day!

FW: U.S. Pride Day!

Subject: FW: U.S. Pride Day!

Tomorrow is U.S. Pride Day. Everyone wear U.S. colors. Try to be wearing as much red white and blue as you can. Send this message to as many other people as you know. Let's get the whole country into it. At least send it to 10 other people.

They are asking people to drive with their headlights ON in a show of solidarity and in remembrance of those who lost their lives ..... please pass the word along.

thanks to all....

> Subject:
>
>
> Flags Across America
> > >
> > > To show those terrorists that we Americans stick together, FRIDAY
> > > SEPTEMBER 14 is "Flags Across America." All Americans are asked to
> > display
> > >
> > > the American flag either in their homes or cars. Let's keep the
meaning
> of
> > > UNITED in "United States". Pass this onto as many people as you know.
> > >
> > > THANK YOU.
>

Date: 9/13/01 8:09AM
Subject: FW: candle lighting

> Friday Night at 7:00 p.m. step out your door, stop your car, or step
> > out of
> > your establishment and light a candle. We will show the world that
> > Americans
> > are strong and united together against terrorism. Please pass this to
> > everyone on your e-mail list. We need to reach everyone across the
> > United
> > States quickly.

> >
> > The message: WE STAND UNITED - WE WILL NOT TOLERATE TERRORISM.
> >
> > We need press to cover this-- we need the world to see.

4729. Re: UNITED WE STAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 17:21:44 UTC
From: %
Message-ID: <3ba0eafd.8208297@news.fsj.net>
Source record: 59995
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

i would like to comply ,
but i don't know 10 americans

On 13 Sep 2001 10:20:36 -0700, thepolishdude@yahoo.com (Polish Dude)
wrote:

>Date: 9/13/01 12:31PM
>Subject: Fw: U.S. Pride Day!
>
>FW: U.S. Pride Day!
>
>
>
>
>Subject: FW: U.S. Pride Day!
>
>Tomorrow is U.S. Pride Day. Everyone wear U.S. colors. Try to be wearing
>as much red white and blue as you can. Send this message to as many other
>people as you know. Let's get the whole country into it. At least send it
>to 10 other people.
>
>They are asking people to drive with their headlights ON in a show of
>solidarity and in remembrance of those who lost their lives ..... please
>pass the word along.
>
>thanks to all....
>
>> Subject:
>>
>>
>> Flags Across America
>> > >
>> > > To show those terrorists that we Americans stick together, FRIDAY
>> > > SEPTEMBER 14 is "Flags Across America." All Americans are asked to
>> > display
>> > >
>> > > the American flag either in their homes or cars. Let's keep the
>meaning
>> of
>> > > UNITED in "United States". Pass this onto as many people as you know.
>> > >
>> > > THANK YOU.
>>
>

>Date: 9/13/01 8:09AM
>Subject: FW: candle lighting
>
>
>
>> Friday Night at 7:00 p.m. step out your door, stop your car, or step
>> > out of
>> > your establishment and light a candle. We will show the world that
>> > Americans
>> > are strong and united together against terrorism. Please pass this to
>> > everyone on your e-mail list. We need to reach everyone across the
>> > United
>> > States quickly.
>> >
>> > The message: WE STAND UNITED - WE WILL NOT TOLERATE TERRORISM.
>> >
>> > We need press to cover this-- we need the world to see.

4730. Re: National Guard on street in NYC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 17:26:33 UTC
From: VTSSYNBATHYQ@spammotel.com
Message-ID: <Xns911B88D9F42CAJimnospamcom@24.253.156.38>
Source record: 59996
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

scosgt@worldnet.att.net (Bruce Markowitz) wrote in
news:3b9f8c58.2165656@news-server.optonline.net:

> This has been forwarded, with headers, to the FBI.
> Hopefully they will take you seriously, track you down, and deal with
> you as an enemy of our country.
>
> On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 06:27:43 GMT, VTSSYNBATHYQ@spammotel.com (Jim)
> wrote:
>
>>Looks as though a new Bully is on the block now doesn't it. The USA is
>>finally getting a little payback for stirring up shit all these years
>>in the name of democracy.. Maybe this is just the beginning.. President
>>Bush is so unqualified as president of the free world, I can easily see
>>the empire crumble.
>>
>>I bet ol' slick willy is sittin back, cigar in hand, blowjob in
>>progress, thinking, damn I'm glad this shit won't fall on MY lap..
>>lol..
>>
>>The USA finally gets a taste of its own unique brand of justice..
>>besides the trade centers were ugly buildings.. I wished the statue of
>>liberty would have been destroyed, being your symbol of freedom and
>>democracy.. that would have made the day!
>>
>>
>>"B. Haineault" <bhaineault@mail.com> wrote in
>>news:Xns9119E047E2F41bhaineaultmailcom@24.0.3.73:
>>
>>> 1. There is no martial law declared in NYC,
>>> 2, There is no martial law declared here on SoCal,
>>> 3. The National Guard is there to help, at the state's request,
>>> 4. You will see a rash of "false prophets" broadcasting their BS.
>>>
>>> We will live through this catastrophy and come out even stronger.
>>> However, I can't believe that this episode will not somehow erode
>>> another small part of our freedom. Unfortunately, this is the crazy
>>> world we live in.
>>>
>>> Bruno,
>>> Oceanside, California
>>>
>>>

>>> "Clyde R. Shappee" <clydes@world.std.com> wrote in
>>> news:3B9E51C4.A7FB7E1A@world.std.com:
>>>
>>>> I heard a broadcast on 7.415 MHz. Don't know the program but the
>>>> commentator stated that marshal law has been declared across the US,
>>>> but that it has not been broadcast yet by the news services.
>>>>
>>>> I don't know if I believe it.
>>>>
>>>> Clyde
>>>
>>
>
>

Go for it, I'm not the enemy, my country is in the sad position of allying with the Yanks..

Truth is truth, you are pissed off because the truth this time is ugly.

4731. Re: Power House is a F%$K-Up > was Poor Canadian Trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 17:29:00 UTC
From: VTSSYNBATHYQ@spammotel.com
Message-ID: <Xns911B894491FEEJimnospamcom@24.253.156.38>
Source record: 59997
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

---Bill--- <"take out the NO in the reply to address"@silly.net> wrote in
news:3BA0CF00.850FF1D2@silly.net:

> Jim wrote:
>>
>>>s.. America is a nation of arrogant thieves, and was
>> built on the basis of stolen property, and slavery.
>
>
> Hey Jim. Why not show a bit of conviction and exercise a personal
> boycott of all American goods and services? You can start with your
> US-based "@-home" ISP. Hypocrite.
>

@home is strictly the content provider, the infrastructure lies locally, and
yes I had the service before the pos @home came aboard, their contract is up
before long, and we won't deal in @home.

4732. Re: Power House is a F%$K-Up > was Poor Canadian Trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 17:30:18 UTC
From: VTSSYNBATHYQ@spammotel.com
Message-ID: <Xns911B897C9F51CJimnospamcom@24.253.156.38>
Source record: 59998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Power House" <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET> wrote in
news:tq1ov6nkp73k4d@corp.supernews.com:

> Look pal, if you have a problem don't try and push it off on me...
> Everything that was posted was said by one of your FELLOW CANADIANS...
> You have a problem with the words in it, you had better look to your
> own country.... I said that I agreed with MOST, didn't say all....
> And I never said that the US is perfect... It is FAR from it... But
> then again, I would say your Country isn't so great either...
> Reflecting back on the previous post... Has _YOUR_ _COUNTRY_ _EVER_
> helped out the US in a time of need?? What is _YOUR_ _COUNTRY_ doing to
> help out the US right now? Yea, that's what I though...
>
> My post of your ISP information was not an indication of a violation of
> your TOS.. Nowhere did I indicate, imply, or say that... It was meant
> to show you that even though you are posting anonymously, you can still
> be found... It was done as proof to your location, i.e. Canada. It was
> also done to show that even though you feel so shitty towards the
> American people, you seem not to have a problem with using an ISP based
> right here in the US... How interesting... As someone else put it, your
> nothing but a hypocrite, and , in my own words, an ignorant bastard...
>
>>
>> First off. addressing the scumbag that posted the message, fuck you,
>> perfect example of arrogance that gets your country killed. America
>> has always been quick to take the credit for things they should be
>> sharing the credit for, look at WW2, the Manhatten project, if you
>> think the bomb was solely designed by Americans, you truly are fucked,
>> enter the Brits, Canadians, fuck even German defects.. America is a
>> nation of arrogant thieves, and was built on the basis of stolen
>> property, and slavery. Oh yeah that's pride.To the asshole that posted
>> network information for Rogers above... Since when is speaking my
>> opinion a violation of my terms of service.. i'm not even inciting
>> hate, thats what the Americans do (er Hal Turner, and the likes), all
>> I did is point out, that America is finally starting to pay their
>> Karma debt for all the times they should have left things well alone..
>> (er Viet Nam, Kuwait (oh wait, no that was about American Oil Greed
>> sorry), and all the other times USA has supplied troops and or arms
>> for small countries to fight each other into the ground.. , there has
>> been no fraud and no abuse of service, if you do not like what I have
>> to say, use your kill filters, or shut the fuck up.. your choice. You
>> seem like an arrogant fuck, why don't you become a US citizen, you'd
>> make a great new yorker.

>>
>> Americans are big on freedom of expression.. well so am I. To all
>> those opposed, kiss my ass. For the most part America, only involves
>> America, when they see a future opportunity to call in a solid...
>> don't piss in my ear and tell me they send troops and supplies out of
>> the goodness of their hearts.. Americans are greedy, they act as
>> greedy act...
>
>
>

Again.. ISP Local, content provider foreign. :)

4733. Re: F/S Pristine Pro 2005 scanner w/cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 17:50:15 UTC
From: Jeff Singer <js@reallybig.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.160abb473f2199309896b5@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 59999
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am not "hobnobbing." You ASKED a question ("Hasn't the court ruled...?"). I simply responded with the correct answer. If you have other issues with the seller and have nothing better to do than try to offend folks like me who you've never had any dealings with, perhaps you need to do a little more homework yourself.

Jeff

In article <2591qt888bd4koqotgf53i0e3s8r31vpmq@4ax.com>, 1@2.com says...
> Why don't you just tell him to bend over, and kiss him directly,
> you'll probably get a better price! If you did your homework instead
> of hobnobbing, you'd find that buying, selling, and questionable
> advertising is his part time business.
>
> D.
>

>
> >Truth is,
> >
> >Consumer protection laws do NOT apply to private transactions.
> >
> >If you decide to make it easier for everyone by using PayPal, and PayPal
> >is going to charge you a fee for their services, you are certainly
> >entitled to pass that expense along, or even charge $100 more if you feel
> >like it.
> >
> >BTW, why are you selling?
> >
> >Thanks,
> >
> >Jeff Singer
> >
> >> Btw- price reduced to $200 shipped. Man, you are tough! I am a non
> >> smoker. To me you can always tell if it came from a smokers envirement.
> >> But the buttons are the original color. The scanner inside and out
> >> doesn't wreak of smoke. The box and manual are free from discoloration
> >> and odor. As far as legality. Well, maybe so, maybe not. I will charge
> >> $200 across the board. Not to make you happy though. Lot's of stuff is
> >> illegal. I am sure you are a straight by the book person?? Never, ever
> >> broke a traffic law?? right.
> >>
> >> "D." wrote:
> >> >

> >> > Hasn't the court ruled that it is illegal to charge a higher price for
> >> > a product based on the method of payment??? Isn't this why the gas
> >> > stations stop charging a higher credit card price years ago??? Isn't
> >> > it possible that you put "non-smoker" becuase YOU don't smoke, but
> >> > what about the person you just bought it from???
> >> >
> >> > And before you say it, mind your own business!!!
> >> >
> >> > D.
> >> >
> >> > On Mon, 10 Sep 2001 22:12:55 GMT, Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >
> >> > >Scanner is extremely clean. Non smoker. Scanner looks identical to new.

4734. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 18:33:42 UTC
From: David Heath <theath3740@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BA12935.88CFAEDB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 60000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

The solution to Osama Bin Laden is to seek that cowardly bastard out and kill him and anyone and I mean anyone that has anything to do with him and his fucked up beliefs! That includes any country that would harbor that maniac. He should not live another day free after this shit he has pulled. We need not to hesitate to go over there and take care of business and thats a fact. And anyone here in the United States that thinks we should let by gones be by gones needs to get the fuck out!!

Helen & Bob wrote:

> "Talysman the Ur-Beatle" <talysman@globalsurrealism.com> wrote in message
> news:49699b6a.0109110950.2e3c992b@posting.google.com...
>
> Let me see if I've got this right, some embassies in Africa were blown up by this
> Osama Bin Laden guy who is rumored to be hanging out in Afghanistan so President
> Blow-Job strafed the place with Cruise Missiles murdering many civilians. Many
> militants over on that side of the world didn't like that response and were so
> pissed off that they were willing to give their lives to strike back at the
> American government. So they come over here and turn Boeing jets into cruise
> missiles and succeed in striking at the heart of the American government.
>
> Now basically the same evil, bloodthirsty clowns in the U.S. Government want to
> strike back with bombs again. Insanity is doing the same thing over and over again
> and expecting a different result.
>
> Perhaps they are not insane though? The FBI and Pentagon as well as the
> intelligence agencies are always asking for more money and this bombing has them
> all running around with their hat out asking for more with the implicit threat
> that we had all better pay up or there will be more terror. The National Media is
> on TV urging everything from bombing other countries to giving the FBI total power
> to do what they want! Well, in addition to this being criminal behavior (terror
> and EXTORTION) it is also a false problem.
>
> Osama and his crew do not want anything that we have and we do not want anything
> that he and his people have. There is no natural conflict between us, we do not
> even live on the same side of planet Earth. This entire corrupt conflict revolves
> around Israel and several government agencies that are totalitarian by nature
> (Pentagon, FBI and CIA). Eisenhower warned us all about the Military Industrial
> Complex on his way out as President. We have not listened. The mad boys of Ruby
> Ridge and Waco are salivating in public with their machine guns and making
> outrageous demands. The FBI has effectively shut down all air traffic even though
> the threat has clearly passed. The FBI is destroying the American economy. They
> have demanded to the FAA that an FBI agent be on every plane even though there are
> about 10,000 active FBI agents (far too many) and 35,000-40,000 flights per day in
> America (when the FBI allows the airlines to operate).

>
> Take a good look at the head of the Pentagon, Donald "Twitchy" Rumsfeld who got
> his start as a hard charging Young Republican in the Nixon Watergate
> administration. He was trained under the paranoid Nixon-Kissinger "Coup D'etat"
> administration. You might recall that Nixon/Kissinger thought that overthrowing
> the obscure government of Chile would help win the "Cold War". Those two thought
> that a Coup D'etat was the solution to any problem. These are Rumsfeld's teachers
> and "Twitchy" Rumsfeld has that same glint in his eye and a heavy influence upon
> America's number one dolt George Bush Jr.
>
> Remember all that controversy that has surrounded the FBI for many years now? That
> vanished fast on September 11, 2001 did it not? You should be worried about that
> if you value your freedom.
>
> America is still here. We are still free. That's more than the Chinese can say,
> literally because the Chinese can't read this newsgroup, they can't post here.
> Their government has reduced the Internet to an intranet. Almost the entire
> Internet is firewalled off from the millions of Chinese who are online. It can
> happen here. All it takes is your support of the Military Industrial Complex or
> your apathy as it takes over our lives.
>
> Soldiers on every corner and warrantless searches are next to ensure our "safety"
> Our "news" broadcasts are already Orwellian. Rally around the flag to defend
> against what the American Government, the Military Industrial Complex and Israel
> created and you will lose it all.
>
> The solution to our Osama problem is diplomacy. We have no conflict that cannot be
> solved. The oil producing Arab nations need to do business with us so we have a
> natural alliance with them, not antipathy. The conflict is Israel and neither they
> nor we need Israel.

4735. Re: Canadian Tribute to the United States...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 18:45:07 UTC
From: no@thankyou.com
Message-ID: <3ba0f84b.155636122@news.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 60001
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 08:48:36 -0500, "Power House"
<MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET> wrote:

>I received this in my email this morning and thought that I would share it
>with my fellow hobbyist...
>
>
>America: The Good Neighbor.
>
> Widespread but only partial news coverage was given recently to a
>remarkable editorial broadcast from Toronto by Gordon Sinclair, a Canadian
>television commentator. What follows is the full text of his Trenchant
>remarks as printed in the Congressional Record:
>
> This Canadian thinks it is time to speak up for the Americans as the most
>generous and possibly the least appreciated people on all the earth.
>
> Germany, Japan and, to a lesser extent, Britain and Italy were lifted out
>of the debris of war by the Americans who poured in billions of dollars and
>forgave other billions in debts.

Great Britain will still be paying the American government Lend-Lease
payments until the year 2006. Source: The Ministry of Defence

Great Britain paid dearly, with an entire Empire that had lasted
several hundred years, and then The United States picked right up
where they left off.

> None of these countries is today paying
>even the interest on its remaining debts to the United States.

See above.
>
> When France was in danger of collapsing in 1956, it was the Americans who
>propped it up, and their reward was to be insulted and swindled on the
>streets of Paris. I was there. I saw it.

In the Suez Crisis, the American Government refused to help, after
repeated requests from two NATO allies.

>
> When earthquakes hit distant cities, it is the United States that hurries
>in to help. This spring, 59 American communities were flattened by
>tornadoes. Nobody helped.

Medecin Sans Frontier, Canadians should know what that means.

The INTERNATIONAL Red Cross

and as to no help being sent, rescue workers are regularly told that
the USA needs no help. They are the self-styled leaders of the free
world, they are the last superpower, they rip up international
treaties if they dont fit, they station troops on allies soil in
occupation. They listen in on our phone calls and electronic documents
and place defence missile systems on thier soil only for the
protection of the USA, making targets out of their allies, and STILL
we stand united with America in it's time of need, it is right and
just to do so, an outrage has been committed, and we are with you
America.

Bad Aibling (moving to Hungary after massive German protest)
Menwith Hill
Chicksands (yes there are still Americans there)
>
> The Marshall Plan and the Truman Policy pumped billions of dollars into
>discouraged countries. Now newspapers in those countries are writing about
>the decadent, warmongering Americans.

The Marshall Plan and Truman Doctrine, were direct results of an
Anti-Communist fear, also, Britain and France propped up West Germany
as well.

>
> I'd like to see just one of those countries that is gloating over the
>erosion of the United States dollar build its own airplane. Does any other
>country in the world have a plane to equal the Boeing Jumbo Jet, the
>Lockheed Tri-Star, or the Douglas DC10?

Yes, it is called Airbus, I believe the Fokker company also still
builds planes as does British Aerospace. Britain also developed the
Harrier Jump Jet, the mainstay of the U.S. Marine's air support, Idont
know the details of the deal with McDonnel Douglas, but I think it was
in their favour.

>
> If so, why don't they fly them? Why do all the International lines except
>Russia fly American Planes? You talk about Japanese technocracy, and you get
>radios. You talk about German technocracy, and you get automobiles. You talk
>about American technocracy, and you find men on the moon - not once, but
>several times - and safely home again.

Sputnik
Yuri Gagarin

Both firsts

ArianeSpace
ESA
Matra Marconi Aerospace
Dornier

all non-American

> You talk about scandals, and the Americans put theirs right in the store
>window for everybody to look at.

Kennedy Assaination papers not released until 2035
Echelon
UKUSA

>Even their draft-dodgers are not pursued
>and hounded. They are here on our streets, and most of them, unless they are
>breaking Canadian laws, are getting American dollars from ma and pa at home
>to spend here.

Funny, I thought Canada had it's own Dollar.

> When the railways of France, Germany and India were breaking down through
>age, it was the Americans who rebuilt them.

The British built the first Indian Railway, large parts are still
working 120 years later. Oh, and they invented it too.

>When the Pennsylvania Railroad
>and the New York Central went broke, nobody Loaned them an old caboose. Both
>are still broke.

There used to be British Rail before Thatcher adopted a "Free Market
Economy", I wonder where she got the idea?

>
> I can name you 5000 times when the Americans raced to the help of other
>people in trouble. Can you name me even one time when someone else raced to
>the Americans in trouble? I don't think there was outside help even during
>the San Francisco earthquake.

Ah, the perils of isolationism.

>
> Our neighbors have faced it alone, and I'm one Canadian who is damned
>tired of hearing them get kicked around. They will come out of this thing
>with their flag high. And when they do, they are entitled to thumb their
>nose at the lands that are gloating over their present troubles. I hope
>Canada is not one of those."

The civilised world stands united. It is not a time for blame and
recrimination, but I do hope it stops Americans funding the IRA now.
>
>Stand proud, America!
>Wear it proudly!!
>
>
>
>

4736. 4 sale Icom R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 19:02:46 UTC
From: Ryan <giggsy@starmail.com>
Message-ID: <9nqvrd$t8g$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 60002

I am selling my R-10, it is in excellent condition and has PC interface,
manual (copy) charger and nicads. I am looking for offers around £150.00 +
postage etc
regards
V

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.276 / Virus Database: 145 - Release Date: 03/09/01

4737. Re: Discone question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 19:08:18 UTC
From: Ryan <giggsy@starmail.com>
Message-ID: <9nr05psp1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 60003

Hi
I have a discone in my attic and find it works BETTER than outside.
It picks up far less static
V

Greg <king.frost@home.com> wrote in message
news:7ohvptstgc8jqgkcd4b4lcoi2eeerli2m2@4ax.com...
> I was looking at some antennas at radio shack the other day and saw
> their discone thing. Looks like it'd pick up a bit more than what I
> get with the standard one on my bc780xlt.
>
> I've got a question - do they have to be mounted outdoors? Or could I
> set one up in the attic? It would certainly be a lot easier, and I'd
> only have to drill 1 hole to put the cable though.
>
> How much less reception would I get? Any ideas?

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.276 / Virus Database: 145 - Release Date: 03/09/01

4738. 4 sale Icom R10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 19:11:23 UTC
From: Ryan <giggsy@starmail.com>
Message-ID: <9nr0bi$22f$1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 60004

I am selling my Icom R10, it comes with PC interface, manual(copy) charger
and ni-cads.
It is in pristine condition.
I am looking for offers around the ?150,00p + shipping etc
V

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.276 / Virus Database: 145 - Release Date: 03/09/01

4739. Re: Canadian Tribute to the United States...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 19:20:44 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <3BA1070C.90E6F721@qwest.net>
Source record: 60005
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Notice this asshole/coward/idiot/troll hides behind a fake
name and ID. Your opinion doesn't count, coward.

"Just this guy." wrote:
>
> On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 08:48:36 -0500, "Power House"
> <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET> wrote:
>
> >I received this in my email this morning and thought that I would share it
> >with my fellow hobbyist...
> >
> >
> >America: The Good Neighbor.
> >
> > Widespread but only partial news coverage was given recently to a
> >remarkable editorial broadcast from Toronto by Gordon Sinclair, a Canadian
> >television commentator. What follows is the full text of his Trenchant
> >remarks as printed in the Congressional Record:
> >
> > This Canadian thinks it is time to speak up for the Americans as the most
> >generous and possibly the least appreciated people on all the earth.
> >
> > Germany, Japan and, to a lesser extent, Britain and Italy were lifted out
> >of the debris of war by the Americans who poured in billions of dollars and
> >forgave other billions in debts.
>
> Great Britain will still be paying the American government Lend-Lease
> payments until the year 2006. Source: The Ministry of Defence
>
> Great Britain paid dearly, with an entire Empire that had lasted
> several hundred years, and then The United States picked right up
> where they left off.
>
> > None of these countries is today paying
> >even the interest on its remaining debts to the United States.
>
> See above.
> >
> > When France was in danger of collapsing in 1956, it was the Americans who
> >propped it up, and their reward was to be insulted and swindled on the
> >streets of Paris. I was there. I saw it.
>
> In the Suez Crisis, the American Government refused to help, after

> repeated requests from two NATO allies.
>
> >
> > When earthquakes hit distant cities, it is the United States that hurries
> >in to help. This spring, 59 American communities were flattened by
> >tornadoes. Nobody helped.
>
> Medecin Sans Frontier, Canadians should know what that means.
>
> The INTERNATIONAL Red Cross
>
> and as to no help being sent, rescue workers are regularly told that
> the USA needs no help. They are the self-styled leaders of the free
> world, they are the last superpower, they rip up international
> treaties if they dont fit, they station troops on allies soil in
> occupation. They listen in on our phone calls and electronic documents
> and place defence missile systems on thier soil only for the
> protection of the USA, making targets out of their allies, and STILL
> we stand united with America in it's time of need, it is right and
> just to do so, an outrage has been committed, and we are with you
> America.
>
> Bad Aibling (moving to Hungary after massive German protest)
> Menwith Hill
> Chicksands (yes there are still Americans there)
> >
> > The Marshall Plan and the Truman Policy pumped billions of dollars into
> >discouraged countries. Now newspapers in those countries are writing about
> >the decadent, warmongering Americans.
>
> The Marshall Plan and Truman Doctrine, were direct results of an
> Anti-Communist fear, also, Britain and France propped up West Germany
> as well.
>
> >
> > I'd like to see just one of those countries that is gloating over the
> >erosion of the United States dollar build its own airplane. Does any other
> >country in the world have a plane to equal the Boeing Jumbo Jet, the
> >Lockheed Tri-Star, or the Douglas DC10?
>
> Yes, it is called Airbus, I believe the Fokker company also still
> builds planes as does British Aerospace. Britain also developed the
> Harrier Jump Jet, the mainstay of the U.S. Marine's air support, Idont
> know the details of the deal with McDonnel Douglas, but I think it was
> in their favour.
>
> >
> > If so, why don't they fly them? Why do all the International lines except
> >Russia fly American Planes? You talk about Japanese technocracy, and you get
> >radios. You talk about German technocracy, and you get automobiles. You talk

> >about American technocracy, and you find men on the moon - not once, but
> >several times - and safely home again.
>
> Sputnik
> Yuri Gagarin
>
> Both firsts
>
> ArianeSpace
> ESA
> Matra Marconi Aerospace
> Dornier
>
> all non-American
>
> > You talk about scandals, and the Americans put theirs right in the store
> >window for everybody to look at.
>
> Kennedy Assaination papers not released until 2035
> Echelon
> UKUSA
>
> >Even their draft-dodgers are not pursued
> >and hounded. They are here on our streets, and most of them, unless they are
> >breaking Canadian laws, are getting American dollars from ma and pa at home
> >to spend here.
>
> Funny, I thought Canada had it's own Dollar.
>
> > When the railways of France, Germany and India were breaking down through
> >age, it was the Americans who rebuilt them.
>
> The British built the first Indian Railway, large parts are still
> working 120 years later. Oh, and they invented it too.
>
> >When the Pennsylvania Railroad
> >and the New York Central went broke, nobody Loaned them an old caboose. Both
> >are still broke.
>
> There used to be British Rail before Thatcher adopted a "Free Market
> Economy", I wonder where she got the idea?
>
> >
> > I can name you 5000 times when the Americans raced to the help of other
> >people in trouble. Can you name me even one time when someone else raced to
> >the Americans in trouble? I don't think there was outside help even during
> >the San Francisco earthquake.
>
> Ah, the perils of isolationism.
>

> >
> > Our neighbors have faced it alone, and I'm one Canadian who is damned
> >tired of hearing them get kicked around. They will come out of this thing
> >with their flag high. And when they do, they are entitled to thumb their
> >nose at the lands that are gloating over their present troubles. I hope
> >Canada is not one of those."
>
> The civilised world stands united. It is not a time for blame and
> recrimination, but I do hope it stops Americans funding the IRA now.
> >
> >Stand proud, America!
> >Wear it proudly!!
> >
> >
> >
> >

4740. Re: National Guard on street in NYC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 19:33:49 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tq22fek2fu7fbf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 60006
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

He can go by smell..... The sweet one is his ass!!

Stagger Lee <SLee@houston-hunks.com> wrote in message
news:JimAtNoSpam.trolls.on.and.on@houston-hunks.com...
>
> In article <Xns911A839C56651Jimnospamcom@24.253.156.38>, Jim wrote:
> :
> : Why don't you kiss my ass?
>
> Probably because he can't tell it from your face.

4741. Re: Canadian Tribute to the United States...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 19:36:39 UTC
From: no@thankyou.com
Message-ID: <3ba107ca.159602706@news.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 60007
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 13:20:44 -0600, Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
wrote:

>Notice this asshole/coward/idiot/troll hides behind a fake
>name and ID. Your opinion doesn't count, coward.
>

Coward? for speaking my mind. Thank you. I am Just this Guy, and if
you had any idea of how to use the internet, as the NSA obviously
does, that's the NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY of the United States of
America, you would know that ALL email and newsgroup traffic is logged
for triggerwords. As I have now mentioned THE NSA, and three of their
covert listening stations, another one being the CIA base in ALICE
SPRINGS AUSTRALIA I know that their computers have logged this message
in FORT MEADE MARYLAND, and they have also logged your response.

Here's some more for them:

KH-1
TSR
LOCKHEED
RATHEYON
PENTAGON

It WAS supposed to be common sense, but well, Fuck it. My phone s
already tapped by the USA and in the event of nuclear war my house is
in the blast radius of FOURTEEN AMERICAN AIRBASES. I live in Britain,
and no, I don't think much of my own government, but when our Prime
Minister (who I didn't vote for) says we stand shoulder to shoulder
with America I BELIEVE HE IS RIGHT, and at 11 o'clock GMT tomorrow
morning iI will be observing the three minute silence requested by the
very much maligned European Union. We still stand by America, but it
does not mean we like everything the administration does, and the
hearts of British people go out to those bereaved.

Stand firm, we are with you.

ANON.

4742. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 19:42:22 UTC
From: Keith Grossman <keithgrossman@nospam.home.com>
Message-ID: <y_7o7.62359$xb.32673496@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 60008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Helen & Bob" <chil-out@ix.netcom.com>, posting from somewhere in Europe, wrote:

[snipped some long rambling disertation designed to do nothing but thinly veil the poster's anti-Semitism]

> The conflict is Israel and neither they nor we need Israel.

blah, blah, blah, blah, blah...

Yet another anonymous troll climbs out of the sewer to express views he's unwilling to put his name to.

4743. Re: Canadian Tribute to the United States...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 19:49:54 UTC
From: no@thankyou.com
Message-ID: <3ba10d49.161010290@news.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 60009
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 19:36:39 GMT, no@thankyou.com (Just this guy.)
wrote:
Come on now! where is the response? I at least expected to get
something back. How about people in Boston giving money to NORAID, so
they can buy semtex (oops, triggerword!) for the IRA to blow up
children? or are these guys freedom fighters?

4744. Re: web sites that allow listening to NY frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 19:56:16 UTC
From: D_F <Dee@Fragger.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.160ad967982e2b3c989680@news.mindspring.com>
Source record: 60010

www.nypd.com

In article <25961-3B9F9363-21@storefull-286.iap.bryant.webtv.net>,
goophy@webtv.net says...
> Are there any web sites that are webtv/msntv compatable to listen to
> resue efforts in NY ?
>
>

4745. Re: F/S Pristine Pro 2005 scanner w/cell

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 20:14:43 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3BA11453.CB3EA04C@yahoo.com>
Source record: 60011
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That's interesting. I have never had any complaints on any item I have sold or bought. Perfect 89 on Ebay. Have several other referances besides that-never a complaint. "d" if you have a complaint wih me on an item you purchased please email me and I will make it right. Don't know what that could be??

"D." wrote:
>
> Why don't you just tell him to bend over, and kiss him directly,
> you'll probably get a better price! If you did your homework instead
> of hobnobbing, you'd find that buying, selling, and questionable
> advertising is his part time business.
>
> D.
>
> On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 19:15:56 GMT, Jeff Singer <js@reallybig.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Truth is,
> >
> >Consumer protection laws do NOT apply to private transactions.
> >
> >If you decide to make it easier for everyone by using PayPal, and PayPal
> >is going to charge you a fee for their services, you are certainly
> >entitled to pass that expense along, or even charge $100 more if you feel
> >like it.
> >
> >BTW, why are you selling?
> >
> >Thanks,
> >
> >Jeff Singer
> >
> >> Btw- price reduced to $200 shipped. Man, you are tough! I am a non
> >> smoker. To me you can always tell if it came from a smokers envirement.
> >> But the buttons are the original color. The scanner inside and out
> >> doesn't wreak of smoke. The box and manual are free from discoloration
> >> and odor. As far as legality. Well, maybe so, maybe not. I will charge
> >> $200 across the board. Not to make you happy though. Lot's of stuff is
> >> illegal. I am sure you are a straight by the book person?? Never, ever
> >> broke a traffic law?? right.
> >>
> >> "D." wrote:

> >> >
> >> > Hasn't the court ruled that it is illegal to charge a higher price for
> >> > a product based on the method of payment??? Isn't this why the gas
> >> > stations stop charging a higher credit card price years ago??? Isn't
> >> > it possible that you put "non-smoker" becuase YOU don't smoke, but
> >> > what about the person you just bought it from???
> >> >
> >> > And before you say it, mind your own business!!!
> >> >
> >> > D.
> >> >
> >> > On Mon, 10 Sep 2001 22:12:55 GMT, Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
> >> > wrote:
> >> >
> >> > >Scanner is extremely clean. Non smoker. Scanner looks identical to new.
> >> > >Speed and cell mod done. Comes complete in the box with manual and
> >> > >antenna. Works perfect. Backlight is extremely bright and has dimmer
> >> > >switch. Price is $210 shipped UPS in lower 48 OR $215 by Paypal. I can
> >> > >have it out the next day. Please email me if interested. No trades
> >> > >please. thanks
> >>

4746. Re: UNITED WE STAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 20:15:22 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9nr444$95fof$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60012
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

"Polish Dude" <thepolishdude@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5a04c827.0109130920.11d841d5@posting.google.com...
>
> Tomorrow is U.S. Pride Day. Everyone wear U.S. colors. Try to be wearing
> as much red white and blue as you can. Send this message to as many other
> people as you know. Let's get the whole country into it. At least send
it
> to 10 other people.
>

Also, I would urge everyone to fly the flag outside your house. If you have
a flagpole, please fly the flag at half-mast out of respect for those who
have lost their lives.

Tom

4747. Re: UNITED WE STAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 20:17:55 UTC
From: %
Message-ID: <3ba1145c.6435434@news.fsj.net>
Source record: 60013
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 15:15:22 -0500, "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>
>"Polish Dude" <thepolishdude@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:5a04c827.0109130920.11d841d5@posting.google.com...
>>
>> Tomorrow is U.S. Pride Day. Everyone wear U.S. colors. Try to be wearing
>> as much red white and blue as you can. Send this message to as many other
>> people as you know. Let's get the whole country into it. At least send
>it
>> to 10 other people.
>>
>
>Also, I would urge everyone to fly the flag outside your house. If you have
>a flagpole, please fly the flag at half-mast out of respect for those who
>have lost their lives.
>
>Tom
>
>
ok , up goes my canadian flag

4748. Re: Vultures already auctioning off WTC dust and debris.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 20:26:37 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9nr57q$9ij38$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60016

"t.w���*" <t ,�w��*.�@,�oucan@,bitemyarse.com���rr�.org> wrote in message
news:lnMn7.3778$707.1709344@news2.rdc2.tx.home.com...
> x-no-archive: yes
>
> <nospam@invecta.com> wrote in message
> news:oglspt8d6o7usn7mn4h1n4qvlmjtvqd2nc@4ax.com...
> > Anyone know if this is a federal offence to sell those
> > items? That debris should be considered evidence and thos
> > epeople shouldn't be selling it on ebay!
>
> I see that Ebay has yanked most of the WTC auctions.

But not before they used the "Buy it now" option ebay has, to directly sell the item at the going price without waiting for the auction to end. So in other words, they got to close the deal before the auction was yanked.

> These damn fuckers
> should be shot. How dare they exploit a National emergency!

Can't agree with you more. So should the people buying the stuff.

Tom

4749. Re: UNITED WE STAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 20:28:54 UTC
From: Barry <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <3BA11706.5F9AE277@snet.net>
Source record: 60014
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

% wrote:
>
> ok , up goes my canadian flag

There's nothing wrong with flying your Canadian flag at half mast if you
feel so inclined. <G>

Barry

4750. Re: UNITED WE STAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 20:31:00 UTC
From: no@thankyou.com
Message-ID: <3ba11742.163562830@news.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 60019
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 16:28:54 -0400, Barry <nospam@snet.net> wrote:

>
>
>% wrote:
>>
>> ok , up goes my canadian flag
>
>There's nothing wrong with flying your Canadian flag at half mast if you
>feel so inclined. <G>
>
>Barry

Just to let you know, all Union flags in Britain are at half-mast.

4751. Re: UNITED WE STAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 20:33:14 UTC
From: %
Message-ID: <3ba117f1.7352744@news.fsj.net>
Source record: 60017
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 16:28:54 -0400, Barry <nospam@snet.net> wrote:

>
>
>% wrote:
>>
>> ok , up goes my canadian flag
>
>There's nothing wrong with flying your Canadian flag at half mast if you
>feel so inclined. <G>
>
>Barry

there's nothing wrong with anything i do if i feel so inclined

4752. Re: Power House is a F%$K-Up > was Poor Canadian Trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 20:34:21 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9nr57s$9ij38$2@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60018
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jim" <fuckyou.powerhouse@WTClevelled.com> wrote in message
news:Xns911B696444541Jimnospamcom@24.253.156.38...

> You seem like an arrogant fuck

There's the pot calling the kettle black...

Tom

4753. FS: ICOM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 20:38:14 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3BA1184A.CF4AF099@home.com>
Source record: 60020

I have for sale an absolutely mint Icom IC-R100 Communications
Receiver. I have the box, owners manual with warranty card and all
unused original accessories with mounting bracket. I also have the
original sales brochure.
$425.00 plus shipping

4754. Re: Discone question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 20:39:39 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9nr5hn$9888t$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 60021

"Greg" <king.frost@home.com> wrote in message
news:7ohvptstgc8jqgkcd4b4lcoi2eeerli2m2@4ax.com...
>
> I've got a question - do they have to be mounted outdoors? Or could I
> set one up in the attic? It would certainly be a lot easier, and I'd
> only have to drill 1 hole to put the cable though.
>
> How much less reception would I get? Any ideas?

The trick is to get it up as high as possible, with as short a run of coax as possible. Whether on a tall tower or in your attic, it will work fine. There are a lot of scanner listeners with antennas mounted in attics. The only downside I can think of would be any close proximity to electical wires, but electrical noise won't affect VHF/UHF that much anyway. On the plus side, you won't have to worry about what the weather might be doing to your antenna.

Tom

4755. Re: Canadian Tribute to the United States...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 20:41:57 UTC
From: no@thankyou.com
Message-ID: <3ba11850.163832688@news.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 60015
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 19:49:54 GMT, no@thankyou.com (Just this guy.)
wrote:

We have been listening to NYPD scanners via the internet over the past
few days. I want to say how I wish I too could applaud the courageous
acts of all the emergency services in the US, but this will have to
do.

NYPD
FDNY
Port Authority
Washington FD
Washington PD

and all other services.

You have our respect, and support.

4756. Re: Power House is a F%$K-Up > was Poor Canadian Trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 21:07:41 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3BA1201D.45EF@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 60023
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim wrote:
>
> "Power House" <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET> wrote in
> news:tq1daenk08r134@corp.supernews.com:
>
> > "Chris Deruyte" <chrisderuyte@otn.net> wrote in message
> > news:3BA053C4.17AF7B77@otn.net...
> >> It won't be long and his full name, address et cetera will appear
> >> here. He won't be the first that it happens to. But one of the most
> >> deserving.
> >>
> >> In all my travels in Canada, I never met anyone even slightly as
> >> unpleasant (to put it nicely).
> >> Just a lot of genuinely friendly and kind people, minding their own
> >> business and helping others out when they need it. Guess there's one
> >> in every crowd.
> >>
> >
> > A funny thing happened this morning... I receive an email containing a
> > copy of a news story... It has the complete opossite view of this
> > ass... Check it out... I can agree with a lot of it, and it reflects
> > the feeling one Canadian, who truely is on the side of the US...
> >
> >
> > America: The Good Neighbor.
> >
> > Widespread but only partial news coverage was given recently to a
> > remarkable editorial broadcast from Toronto by Gordon Sinclair, a
> > Canadian television commentator. What follows is the full text of his
> > Trenchant remarks as printed in the Congressional Record:
> >
> > This Canadian thinks it is time to speak up for the Americans as the
> > most
> > generous and possibly the least appreciated people on all the earth.
> >
> > Germany, Japan and, to a lesser extent, Britain and Italy were lifted
> > out
> > of the debris of war by the Americans who poured in billions of dollars
> > and forgave other billions in debts. None of these countries is today
> > paying even the interest on its remaining debts to the United States.
> >
> > When France was in danger of collapsing in 1956, it was the Americans
> > who

> > propped it up, and their reward was to be insulted and swindled on the
> > streets of Paris. I was there. I saw it.
> >
> > When earthquakes hit distant cities, it is the United States that
> > hurries
> > in to help. This spring, 59 American communities were flattened by
> > tornadoes. Nobody helped.
> >
> > The Marshall Plan and the Truman Policy pumped billions of dollars
> > into
> > discouraged countries. Now newspapers in those countries are writing
> > about the decadent, warmongering Americans.
> >
> > I'd like to see just one of those countries that is gloating over the
> > erosion of the United States dollar build its own airplane. Does any
> > other country in the world have a plane to equal the Boeing Jumbo Jet,
> > the Lockheed Tri-Star, or the Douglas DC10?
> >
> > If so, why don't they fly them? Why do all the International lines
> > except
> > Russia fly American Planes? You talk about Japanese technocracy, and
> > you get radios. You talk about German technocracy, and you get
> > automobiles. You talk about American technocracy, and you find men on
> > the moon - not once, but several times - and safely home again.
> >
> > You talk about scandals, and the Americans put theirs right in the
> > store
> > window for everybody to look at. Even their draft-dodgers are not
> > pursued and hounded. They are here on our streets, and most of them,
> > unless they are breaking Canadian laws, are getting American dollars
> > from ma and pa at home to spend here.
> >
> > When the railways of France, Germany and India were breaking down
> > through
> > age, it was the Americans who rebuilt them. When the Pennsylvania
> > Railroad and the New York Central went broke, nobody Loaned them an old
> > caboose. Both are still broke.
> >
> > I can name you 5000 times when the Americans raced to the help of
> > other
> > people in trouble. Can you name me even one time when someone else
> > raced to the Americans in trouble? I don't think there was outside help
> > even during the San Francisco earthquake.
> >
> > Our neighbors have faced it alone, and I'm one Canadian who is damned
> > tired of hearing them get kicked around. They will come out of this
> > thing with their flag high. And when they do, they are entitled to
> > thumb their nose at the lands that are gloating over their present
> > troubles. I hope Canada is not one of those."
> >

> > Stand proud, America!
> > Wear it proudly!!
> >
> >
> >
> >> Power House wrote:
> >>
> >> > "Jim" <VTSSYNBATHYQ@spammotel.com> wrote in message
> >> > news:Xns911A192168FE8Jimnospamcom@24.253.156.38...
> >> > > Looks as though a new Bully is on the block now doesn't it. The
> >> > > USA is finally getting a little payback for stirring up shit all
> >> > > these years in the name of democracy.. Maybe this is just the
> >> > > beginning.. President Bush is so unqualified as president of the
> >> > > free world, I can easily see the empire crumble.
> >> > >
> >> > > I bet ol' slick willy is sittin back, cigar in hand, blowjob in
> >> > > progress, thinking, damn I'm glad this shit won't fall on MY lap..
> >> > > lol..
> >> > >
> >> > > The USA finally gets a taste of its own unique brand of justice..
> >> > > besides the trade centers were ugly buildings.. I wished the
> >> > > statue of liberty would have been destroyed, being your symbol of
> >> > > freedom and democracy.. that would have made the day!
> >> > >
> >> >
> >> > It's hard to believe that a country that is supposed to be allies
> >> > with the US would allow inconsiderate assholes such as yourself to
> >> > live there... Poor, poor Canadian trash you are... Go crawl back
> >> > under one of your glaciers where your cold-hearted ass belongs!!!
> >> >
> >> > Rogers@Home Pr (NETBLK-ON-ROG-13-1PR-1)
> >> > 1 Mount Pleasant Road
> >> > Toronto, ON M4Y 2Y5
> >> > CA
> >> >
> >> > Netname: ON-ROG-13-1PR-1
> >> > Netblock: 24.102.5.0 - 24.102.5.255
> >> >
> >> > Coordinator:
> >> > Network Security, Fraud (AD30-ARIN) abuse@rogers.home.net
> >> > (416) 935-4729
> >>
> >
> >
> >
>
> First off. addressing the scumbag that posted the message, fuck you, perfect
> example of arrogance that gets your country killed. America has always been
> quick to take the credit for things they should be sharing the credit for,
> look at WW2, the Manhatten project, if you think the bomb was solely

> designed by Americans, you truly are fucked, enter the Brits, Canadians,
> fuck even German defects.. America is a nation of arrogant thieves, and was
> built on the basis of stolen property, and slavery. Oh yeah that's pride.To
> the asshole that posted network information for Rogers above... Since when
> is speaking my opinion a violation of my terms of service.. i'm not even
> inciting hate, thats what the Americans do (er Hal Turner, and the likes),
> all I did is point out, that America is finally starting to pay their Karma
> debt for all the times they should have left things well alone.. (er Viet
> Nam, Kuwait (oh wait, no that was about American Oil Greed sorry), and all
> the other times USA has supplied troops and or arms for small countries to
> fight each other into the ground.. , there has been no fraud and no abuse of
> service, if you do not like what I have to say, use your kill filters, or
> shut the fuck up.. your choice. You seem like an arrogant fuck, why don't
> you become a US citizen, you'd make a great new yorker.
>
> Americans are big on freedom of expression.. well so am I. To all those
> opposed, kiss my ass. For the most part America, only involves America, when
> they see a future opportunity to call in a solid... don't piss in my ear and
> tell me they send troops and supplies out of the goodness of their hearts..
> Americans are greedy, they act as greedy act...

HEY JIMMY BOY,

If Canada is so perfect, why did they exile the Acadians in 1755 and
still
refuse to give an apoligy? Louis Real was murdered by a vengeful court
system
because he was metis. For property stolen when the "Derangement
Acadien"
you should look back at Canadian History. Talk about "Thieves"!!! WE
WANT
our ancestors lands in New Brunswick and Nova Scotia returned post
haste!!!

you are a troll and frankly if Canada had a position for national
village
idiot, you'd be the poster boy!!

BTW-are you happy about the events in NYC and Washington, D.C.? sounds
like you wish you could join the bash America League.

As a Canadian-American, I am ashamed of jerk like you.

Martin

4757. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 21:08:35 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3BA111EB.622F8C5A@hotmail.com>
Source record: 60022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb

More liberal pacifists crap. It's pacifists like you and Clinton that have created the situations that allow things like this to happen. The people who did this are fanatics, they do not understand diplomacy, they only understand violence and must be dealt with accordingly.

Helen & Bob wrote:

>
> The solution to our Osama problem is diplomacy. We have no conflict that cannot be
> solved. The oil producing Arab nations need to do business with us so we have a
> natural alliance with them, not antipathy. The conflict is Israel and neither they
> nor we need Israel.

4758. Re: Power House is a F%$K-Up > was Poor Canadian Trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 21:24:24 UTC
From: no@thankyou.com
Message-ID: <3ba122bc.166501516@news.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 60024
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 16:07:41 -0500, Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
wrote:

>HEY JIMMY BOY,
>
>If Canada is so perfect, why did they exile the Acadians in 1755 and
>still
>refuse to give an apoligy? Louis Real was murdered by a vengeful court
>system
>because he was metis. For property stolen when the "Derangement
>Acadien"
>you should look back at Canadian History. Talk about "Thieves"!!! WE
>WANT
>our ancestors lands in New Brunswick and Nova Scotia returned post
>haste!!!

He made some shitty comments, and no nation has a perfect history, but
I think the "Native Americans" have got a better case for ancestral
lands. Now is probably not a good time, but this is still free speech.
What is their response to all this, does anyone know?

>you are a troll and frankly if Canada had a position for national
>village
>idiot, you'd be the poster boy!!
>
>BTW-are you happy about the events in NYC and Washington, D.C.? sounds
>like you wish you could join the bash America League.
>
>As a Canadian-American, I am ashamed of jerk like you.

What is a Canadian-American? I ask without irony, I just never heard
of that before.
>
>Martin

4759. drive thru frequencies????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 21:40:17 UTC
From: ofctaz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010913174017.05546.00000339@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 60025

where can i get drive thru frequencies??

4760. Re: Canadian Tribute to the United States...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 21:45:31 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <3BA128FA.8F2412DB@qwest.net>
Source record: 60026
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

You contradict yourself big time by acknowledging you are being
monitored. You have NO credibility with anyone here when you hide
behind a fake ID and trash Sinclair's statement (which, by the way,
was actually originally written in the early 70's) and since "they"
already know who you are, why bother with the fake ID? The only
person you are fooling is yourself.

"Just this guy." wrote:
>
> On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 13:20:44 -0600, Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
> wrote:
>
> >Notice this asshole/coward/idiot/troll hides behind a fake
> >name and ID. Your opinion doesn't count, coward.
> >
>
> Coward? for speaking my mind. Thank you. I am Just this Guy, and if
> you had any idea of how to use the internet, as the NSA obviously
> does, that's the NATIONAL SECURITY AGENCY of the United States of
> America, you would know that ALL email and newsgroup traffic is logged
> for triggerwords. As I have now mentioned THE NSA, and three of their
> covert listening stations, another one being the CIA base in ALICE
> SPRINGS AUSTRALIA I know that their computers have logged this message
> in FORT MEADE MARYLAND, and they have also logged your response.
>
> Here's some more for them:
>
> KH-1
> TSR
> LOCKHEED
> RATHEYON
> PENTAGON
>
> It WAS supposed to be common sense, but well, Fuck it. My phone s
> already tapped by the USA and in the event of nuclear war my house is
> in the blast radius of FOURTEEN AMERICAN AIRBASES. I live in Britain,
> and no, I don't think much of my own government, but when our Prime
> Minister (who I didn't vote for) says we stand shoulder to shoulder
> with America I BELIEVE HE IS RIGHT, and at 11 o'clock GMT tomorrow
> morning iI will be observing the three minute silence requested by the
> very much maligned European Union. We still stand by America, but it
> does not mean we like everything the administration does, and the
> hearts of British people go out to those bereaved.

>
> Stand firm, we are with you.
>
> ANON.

4761. The pot calling the kettle black?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 21:51:09 UTC
From: Heather and Greg <heatherandgreg@home.com>
Message-ID: <hT9o7.8756$N3.807216@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 60027

If a person is reading in this newsgroup, excuse my ignorance, they are either scanner or ham radio enthusiasts. . . RIGHT? Stating that ham's are old folks just chatting or that these radios are now somehow obsolete seems a bit ignorant to me. Tell the Armed Forces that conventional radio is no longer needed and I guarantee several pilots will say otherwise!
Greg

"Bill Turner" <w7ti@dslextreme.com> wrote in message news:kso1qtku5se1gl7b1itn3vc8io67g0oln9@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 01:35:36 GMT, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com> wrote:
>
> >Oh really. Just look back at all the times when ham radio was the *only*
> >communications available and not just locally but internationally.
>
> _____
>
> That's my point exactly, JJ. Ham radio USED to be a valuable tool in an
> emergency. Back when there were no cell phones, long distance was
> unreliable and expensive and local authorities had little disaster
> training and/or equipment, ham radio had a useful function.
>
> Time has moved on and pretty much passed us by. Each of us only so much
> time, energy and money to spend. Let's spend it where it will do the
> most good. Get involved with your local emergency people, your local
> search and rescue, volunteer fire department, Red Cross or wherever your
> interests lie. If your local disaster communication equipment isn't up
> to snuff, get involved. Go to city council meetings and demand
> improvement. Pass out leaflets at the next bond election. Demand that
> opposition to cell towers cease. Insist on more cell towers in remote
> areas. I could go on and on, but I hope you get the point.
>
> We pay our emergency services people to do a job; lets do all we can to
> see they have the resources they need so they do not have to depend on
> some unknown quantity of volunteers.
>
> Bill, W7TI

4762. Re: Canadian Tribute to the United States...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 22:05:10 UTC
From: no@thankyou.com
Message-ID: <3ba12bc2.168810907@news.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 60028
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 15:45:31 -0600, Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
wrote:

>You contradict yourself big time by acknowledging you are being
>monitored. You have NO credibility with anyone here when you hide
>behind a fake ID and trash Sinclair's statement (which, by the way,
>was actually originally written in the early 70's) and since "they"
>already know who you are, why bother with the fake ID? The only
>person you are fooling is yourself.
>
>

The one who nobody is fooling is me.
The main reason I use an anonymous ID is so that reactionary people
who have little or no grasp of geopolitic know who am, such as
yourself.

I also do not run around with my ID card -printed on my t-shirt.

1. Because we don't have ID's
2.. I don't want every lunatic opposed to my views attacking me (do
you see a parallel with current events?)
3. I can't stop the NSA from monitoring me, but I can stop idiots from
filling my email inbox with hate mail. (do you get it yet?)

This discussion is now over.

4763. Re: Discone question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 22:16:41 UTC
From: Greg <king.frost@home.com>
Message-ID: <t0c2qtc58edjjn9jf9004c4k9o0me3ti94@4ax.com>
Source record: 60029

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 15:39:39 -0500, "Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
wrote:

>The trick is to get it up as high as possible, with as short a run of coax
>as possible. Whether on a tall tower or in your attic, it will work fine.
>There are a lot of scanner listeners with antennas mounted in attics. The
>only downside I can think of would be any close proximity to electical
>wires, but electrical noise won't affect VHF/UHF that much anyway. On the
>plus side, you won't have to worry about what the weather might be doing to
>your antenna.

Shouldn't be a problem with electric wires - in our neighborhood they
are all underground.

4764. Re: Chaos In NY... NY Online Scanner Broadcasts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 22:32:04 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3ba13323.24028834@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 60030
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

A lot of this is suspicious packages and suspicious activity
Law Enforcement is being VERY careful, and there is a lot of paranoia
out there right now.
This is not necessarily the work of sick people, just a bad
circumstance.

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 11:13:09 -0500, "Power House"
<MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET> wrote:

>I have been listening to the NY Scanner, that is re-broadcast online, for
>about 2 hours, and there have been about 15 bomb threats called in, in that
>time. Empire State Building, 110 Plaza, Maces (Spelling?), Grand Central
>Station, Time Square, you name it, and it's on there.... I'd have to say
>that a lot of the Citizens of the US are about as sick as the terrorists!
>What a nightmare! I can't believe how sick these people are! They need all
>the help and cooperation they can get in this terrible tragedy over there in
>NY, and these people pull bull-shit like this... They have the officers and
>everyone else running there asses off dealing with all this shit, when they
>should be helping out at the disaster site... I am EXTREMELY disappointed
>in my fellow Americans! What a disgustingly sick world we live in... I
>have no words... It's is just sick beyond anything imaginable... How could
>ANYBODY be so despicable! I am just sickened...
>
>
>
>

4765. Re: Any recordings exist of first call WTC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 22:33:33 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3ba13380.24121984@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 60031

The video tape made by the fire officer of the first plane hitting the tower has the fire officer calling it in. How is that for a first call? Sound AND video (and horror).

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 22:12:14 +1000, "James" <james@myself.com> wrote:

>
>
>

4766. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 22:35:37 UTC
From: troll_of_sweden@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <b9bd845d.0109131435.b835dae@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Yup. And while we are at it let's kill North Korean Commies, Vietnamese
Gooks, Rwanda Cannibals, Colombia Narco Lords, Peruvian Trotskists,
Olster Separatists and Chechen Terrorists..

Oh..Wait.. We already tried that.. Should be all done and kosher by now??

David Heath <theath3740@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3BA12935.88CFAEDB@earthlink.net>...
> The solution to Osama Bin Laden is to seek that cowardly bastard out and kill him and
> anyone and I mean anyone that has anything to do with him and his fucked up beliefs!
> That includes any country that would harbor that maniac. He should not live another
> day free after this shit he has pulled. We need not to hesitate to go over there and
> take care of business and thats a fact. And anyone here in the United States that
> thinks we should let by gones be by gones needs to get the fuck out!!
>
> Helen & Bob wrote:
>
> > "Talysman the Ur-Beatle" <talysman@globalsurrealism.com> wrote in message
> > news:49699b6a.0109110950.2e3c992b@posting.google.com...
> > >
> > Let me see if I've got this right, some embassies in Africa were blown up by this
> > Osama Bin Laden guy who is rumored to be hanging out in Afghanistan so President
> > Blow-Job strafed the place with Cruise Missiles murdering many civilians. Many
> > militants over on that side of the world didn't like that response and were so
> > pissed off that they were willing to give their lives to strike back at the
> > American government. So they come over here and turn Boeing jets into cruise
> > missiles and succeed in striking at the heart of the American government.
> > >
> > Now basically the same evil, bloodthirsty clowns in the U.S. Government want to
> > strike back with bombs again. Insanity is doing the same thing over and over again
> > and expecting a different result.
> > >
> > Perhaps they are not insane though? The FBI and Pentagon as well as the
> > intelligence agencies are always asking for more money and this bombing has them
> > all running around with their hat out asking for more with the implicit threat
> > that we had all better pay up or there will be more terror. The National Media is
> > on TV urging everything from bombing other countries to giving the FBI total power
> > to do what they want! Well, in addition to this being criminal behavior (terror
> > and EXTORTION) it is also a false problem.
> > >
> > Osama and his crew do not want anything that we have and we do not want anything
> > that he and his people have. There is no natural conflict between us, we do not
> > even live on the same side of planet Earth. This entire corrupt conflict revolves
> > around Israel and several government agencies that are totalitarian by nature

> > (Pentagon, FBI and CIA). Eisenhower warned us all about the Military Industrial
> > Complex on his way out as President. We have not listened. The mad boys of Ruby
> > Ridge and Waco are salivating in public with their machine guns and making
> > outrageous demands. The FBI has effectively shut down all air traffic even though
> > the threat has clearly passed. The FBI is destroying the American economy. They
> > have demanded to the FAA that an FBI agent be on every plane even though there are
> > about 10,000 active FBI agents (far too many) and 35,000-40,000 flights per day in
> > America (when the FBI allows the airlines to operate).
> >
> > Take a good look at the head of the Pentagon, Donald "Twitchy" Rumsfeld who got
> > his start as a hard charging Young Republican in the Nixon Watergate
> > administration. He was trained under the paranoid Nixon-Kissinger "Coup D'etat"
> > administration. You might recall that Nixon/Kissinger thought that overthrowing
> > the obscure government of Chile would help win the "Cold War". Those two thought
> > that a Coup D'etat was the solution to any problem. These are Rumsfeld's teachers
> > and "Twitchy" Rumsfeld has that same glint in his eye and a heavy influence upon
> > America's number one dolt George Bush Jr.
> >
> > Remember all that controversy that has surrounded the FBI for many years now? That
> > vanished fast on September 11, 2001 did it not? You should be worried about that
> > if you value your freedom.
> >
> > America is still here. We are still free. That's more than the Chinese can say,
> > literally because the Chinese can't read this newsgroup, they can't post here.
> > Their government has reduced the Internet to an intranet. Almost the entire
> > Internet is firewalled off from the millions of Chinese who are online. It can
> > happen here. All it takes is your support of the Military Industrial Complex or
> > your apathy as it takes over our lives.
> >
> > Soldiers on every corner and warrantless searches are next to ensure our "safety"
> > Our "news" broadcasts are already Orwellian. Rally around the flag to defend
> > against what the American Government, the Military Industrial Complex and Israel
> > created and you will lose it all.
> >
> > The solution to our Osama problem is diplomacy. We have no conflict that cannot be
> > solved. The oil producing Arab nations need to do business with us so we have a
> > natural alliance with them, not antipathy. The conflict is Israel and neither they
> > nor we need Israel.

4767. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 22:38:03 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba12585_4@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 60033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

A "faceless coward" whines and lies (for instance, they didn't hit the heart of our government, only the heart of our military and financial institutions):

> "Talysman the Ur-Beatle" <talysman@globalsurrealism.com> wrote in message
> news:49699b6a.0109110950.2e3c992b@posting.google.com...
>
> Let me see if I've got this right, some embassies in Africa were blown up by this
> Osama Bin Laden guy who is rumored to be hanging out in Afghanistan so President
> Blow-Job strafed the place with Cruise Missiles murdering many civilians. Many
> militants over on that side of the world didn't like that response and were so
> pissed off that they were willing to give their lives to strike back at the
> American government. So they come over here and turn Boeing jets into cruise
> missiles and succeed in striking at the heart of the American government.
>
> Now basically the same evil, bloodthirsty clowns in the U.S. Government want to
> strike back with bombs again. Insanity is doing the same thing over and over again
> and expecting a different result.
>
> Perhaps they are not insane though? The FBI and Pentagon as well as the
> intelligence agencies are always asking for more money and this bombing has them
> all running around with their hat out asking for more with the implicit threat
> that we had all better pay up or there will be more terror. The National Media is
> on TV urging everything from bombing other countries to giving the FBI total power
> to do what they want! Well, in addition to this being criminal behavior (terror
> and EXTORTION) it is also a false problem.
>
> Osama and his crew do not want anything that we have and we do not want anything
> that he and his people have. There is no natural conflict between us, we do not

> even live on the same side of planet Earth. This entire corrupt conflict
revolves
> around Israel and several government agencies that are totalitarian by
nature
> (Pentagon, FBI and CIA). Eisenhower warned us all about the Military
Industrial
> Complex on his way out as President. We have not listened. The mad boys of
Ruby
> Ridge and Waco are salivating in public with their machine guns and making
> outrageous demands. The FBI has effectively shut down all air traffic even
though
> the threat has clearly passed. The FBI is destroying the American economy.
They
> have demanded to the FAA that an FBI agent be on every plane even though
there are
> about 10,000 active FBI agents (far too many) and 35,000-40,000 flights
per day in
> America (when the FBI allows the airlines to operate).
>
> Take a good look at the head of the Pentagon, Donald "Twitchy" Rumsfeld
who got
> his start as a hard charging Young Republican in the Nixon Watergate
> administration. He was trained under the paranoid Nixon-Kissinger "Coup
D'etat"
> administration. You might recall that Nixon/Kissinger thought that
overthrowing
> the obscure government of Chile would help win the "Cold War". Those two
thought
> that a Coup D'etat was the solution to any problem. These are Rumsfeld's
teachers
> and "Twitchy" Rumsfeld has that same glint in his eye and a heavy
influence upon
> America's number one dolt George Bush Jr.
>
> Remember all that controversy that has surrounded the FBI for many years
now? That
> vanished fast on September 11, 2001 did it not? You should be worried
about that
> if you value your freedom.
>
> America is still here. We are still free. That's more than the Chinese can
say,
> literally because the Chinese can't read this newsgroup, they can't post
here.
> Their government has reduced the Internet to an intranet. Almost the
entire
> Internet is firewalled off from the millions of Chinese who are online. It
can
> happen here. All it takes is your support of the Military Industrial
Complex or

> your apathy as it takes over our lives.
>
> Soldiers on every corner and warrantless searches are next to ensure our
"safety"
> Our "news" broadcasts are already Orwellian. Rally around the flag to
defend
> against what the American Government, the Military Industrial Complex and
Israel
> created and you will lose it all.
>
> The solution to our Osama problem is diplomacy. We have no conflict that
cannot be
> solved. The oil producing Arab nations need to do business with us so we
have a
> natural alliance with them, not antipathy. The conflict is Israel and
neither they
> nor we need Israel.
>
>
>

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4768. Re: Chaos In NY... NY Online Scanner Broadcasts...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 22:45:07 UTC
From: ACH <nospam@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <TFao7.10429$gU.3057786@news1.rdc1.md.home.com>
Source record: 60034
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Also,

alot of it is dogs mistakenly barking. The empire state building and, just now, the capitol, were erroneous dog alerts.

"Bruce Markowitz" <scosgt@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message news:3ba13323.24028834@news-server.optonline.net...
> A lot of this is suspicious packages and suspicious activity
> Law Enforcement is being VERY careful, and there is a lot of paranoia
> out there right now.
> This is not necessarily the work of sick people, just a bad
> circumstance.
>
> On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 11:13:09 -0500, "Power House"
> <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET> wrote:
>
> >I have been listening to the NY Scanner, that is re-broadcast online, for
> >about 2 hours, and there have been about 15 bomb threats called in, in
that
> >time. Empire State Building, 110 Plaza, Maces (Spelling?), Grand Central
> >Station, Time Square, you name it, and it's on there.... I'd have to say
> >that a lot of the Citizens of the US are about as sick as the terrorists!
> >What a nightmare! I can't believe how sick these people are! They need
all
> >the help and cooperation they can get in this terrible tragedy over there
in
> >NY, and these people pull bull-shit like this... They have the officers
and
> >everyone else running there asses off dealing with all this shit, when
they
> >should be helping out at the disaster site... I am EXTREMELY
disappointed
> >in my fellow Americans! What a disgustingly sick world we live in... I
> >have no words... It's is just sick beyond anything imaginable... How
could
> >ANYBODY be so despicable! I am just sickened...
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

4769. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 23:08:27 UTC
From: Darkhorse <Darkhorse_50@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9nre98$1u$1@news5.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 60035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"David Heath" <theath3740@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3BA12935.88CFAEDB@earthlink.net...
> The solution to Osama Bin Laden is to seek that cowardly bastard out and
kill him and
> anyone and I mean anyone that has anything to do with him and his fucked up
beliefs!
> That includes any country that would harbor that maniac. He should not live
another
> day free after this shit he has pulled. We need not to hesitate to go over
there and
> take care of business and thats a fact. And anyone here in the United States
that
> thinks we should let by gones be by gones needs to get the fuck out!!
>
> Helen & Bob wrote:
>
Does that mean we should kill any Americans who have anything to do with the
IRA?

4770. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 23:27:19 UTC
From: chuck cheese <chuck@cheese.net>
Message-ID: <rhbo7.41210$f7.4569518@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 60036
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

I just wanted to take this moment to tell you to
Fuck Yourself.

It's a shame that a clueless individual such as yourself
has an internet connection

"Helen & Bob" <chil-out@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:ie6o7.130696$ju1.3839085@amsnews02.chello.com...
>
> "Talysman the Ur-Beatle" <talysman@globalsurrealism.com> wrote in message
> news:49699b6a.0109110950.2e3c992b@posting.google.com...
>
> Let me see if I've got this right, some embassies in Africa were blown up
by this
> Osama Bin Laden guy who is rumored to be hanging out in Afghanistan so
President
> Blow-Job strafed the place with Cruise Missiles murdering many civilians.
Many
> militants over on that side of the world didn't like that response and
were so
> pissed off that they were willing to give their lives to strike back at
the
> American government. So they come over here and turn Boeing jets into
cruise
> missiles and succeed in striking at the heart of the American government.
>
> Now basically the same evil, bloodthirsty clowns in the U.S. Government
want to
> strike back with bombs again. Insanity is doing the same thing over and
over again
> and expecting a different result.
>
> Perhaps they are not insane though? The FBI and Pentagon as well as the
> intelligence agencies are always asking for more money and this bombing
has them
> all running around with their hat out asking for more with the implicit
threat
> that we had all better pay up or there will be more terror. The National
Media is
> on TV urging everything from bombing other countries to giving the FBI
total power
> to do what they want! Well, in addition to this being criminal behavior
(terror
> and EXTORTION) it is also a false problem.

>
> Osama and his crew do not want anything that we have and we do not want
anything
> that he and his people have. There is no natural conflict between us, we
do not
> even live on the same side of planet Earth. This entire corrupt conflict
revolves
> around Israel and several government agencies that are totalitarian by
nature
> (Pentagon, FBI and CIA). Eisenhower warned us all about the Military
Industrial
> Complex on his way out as President. We have not listened. The mad boys of
Ruby
> Ridge and Waco are salivating in public with their machine guns and making
> outrageous demands. The FBI has effectively shut down all air traffic even
though
> the threat has clearly passed. The FBI is destroying the American economy.
They
> have demanded to the FAA that an FBI agent be on every plane even though
there are
> about 10,000 active FBI agents (far too many) and 35,000-40,000 flights
per day in
> America (when the FBI allows the airlines to operate).
>
> Take a good look at the head of the Pentagon, Donald "Twitchy" Rumsfeld
who got
> his start as a hard charging Young Republican in the Nixon Watergate
> administration. He was trained under the paranoid Nixon-Kissinger "Coup
D'etat"
> administration. You might recall that Nixon/Kissinger thought that
overthrowing
> the obscure government of Chile would help win the "Cold War". Those two
thought
> that a Coup D'etat was the solution to any problem. These are Rumsfeld's
teachers
> and "Twitchy" Rumsfeld has that same glint in his eye and a heavy
influence upon
> America's number one dolt George Bush Jr.
>
> Remember all that controversy that has surrounded the FBI for many years
now? That
> vanished fast on September 11, 2001 did it not? You should be worried
about that
> if you value your freedom.
>
> America is still here. We are still free. That's more than the Chinese can
say,
> literally because the Chinese can't read this newsgroup, they can't post
here.
> Their government has reduced the Internet to an intranet. Almost the

entire
> Internet is firewalled off from the millions of Chinese who are online. It
can
> happen here. All it takes is your support of the Military Industrial
Complex or
> your apathy as it takes over our lives.
>
> Soldiers on every corner and warrantless searches are next to ensure our
"safety"
> Our "news" broadcasts are already Orwellian. Rally around the flag to
defend
> against what the American Government, the Military Industrial Complex and
Israel
> created and you will lose it all.
>
> The solution to our Osama problem is diplomacy. We have no conflict that
cannot be
> solved. The oil producing Arab nations need to do business with us so we
have a
> natural alliance with them, not antipathy. The conflict is Israel and
neither they
> nor we need Israel.
>
>
>

4771. Re: Canadian Tribute to the United States...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 23:35:29 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9nrfin0v4o@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60039
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

ooOOOo. bog words for a small troll that tries to be anonymous. remember your ISP still gets traced back to you eventually. even if you are using other proxies. so why not just jump and make love to the wind. Oh and I will try to be cool and controlling like you.

This Discussion is over.

Why did you even start a comment to this discussion if you wanted it over. I think you have smoked too much crack or something.

"Just this guy." <no@thankyou.com> wrote in message news:3ba12bc2.168810907@news.ntlworld.com...
> On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 15:45:31 -0600, Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
> wrote:
>
> >You contradict yourself big time by acknowledging you are being
> >monitored. You have NO credibility with anyone here when you hide
> >behind a fake ID and trash Sinclair's statement (which, by the way,
> >was actually originally written in the early 70's) and since "they"
> >already know who you are, why bother with the fake ID? The only
> >person you are fooling is yourself.
> >
> >
>
> The one who nobody is fooling is me.
> The main reason I use an anonymous ID is so that reactionary people
> who have little or no grasp of geopolitic know who am, such as
> yourself.
>
> I also do not run around with my ID card -printed on my t-shirt.
>
> 1. Because we don't have ID's
> 2.. I don't want every lunatic opposed to my views attacking me (do
> you see a parallel with current events?)
> 3. I can't stop the NSA from monitoring me, but I can stop idiots from
> filling my email inbox with hate mail. (do you get it yet?)
>
> This discussion is now over.
>

4772. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 23:35:46 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba1330b_1@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 60037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"chuck cheese" <chuck@cheese.net> wrote in message
news:rhbo7.41210$f7.4569518@news02.optonline.net...
> I just wanted to take this moment to tell you to
> Fuck Yourself.
>
> It's a shame that a clueless individual such as yourself
> has an internet connection
>
>
> "Helen & Bob" <chil-out@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:ie6o7.130696$ju1.3839085@amsnews02.chello.com...

He's not clueless, he's just pretending to be clueless.

Stan

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4773. Re: Poor Canadian Trash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-13 23:49:21 UTC
From: marsgal42@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d42b5a72.0109131549.36358ac2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 60038
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

"Power House" <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET> wrote in message
news:<tq1daenk08r134@corp.supernews.com>...

> America: The Good Neighbor.

>

> Widespread but only partial news coverage was given recently to a

> remarkable editorial broadcast from Toronto by Gordon Sinclair, a Canadian

> television commentator. What follows is the full text of his Trenchant

> remarks as printed in the Congressional Record:

>

> This Canadian thinks it is time to speak up for the Americans as the most

> generous and possibly the least appreciated people on all the earth...

I've seen this several times in the last couple of days.
It was originally broadcast on 5 June 1973. Mr. Sinclair
himself died in 1984.

It's interesting, and I always respected Mr. Sinclair,
but I wish people would stop pretending it's current.
It isn't.

Laura Halliday VE7LDH "Que les nuages soient notre
Grid: CN89lg pied a terre..."
ICBM: 49 16.57 N 123 0.24 W - Hospital/Shafte

4774. Re: Canadian Tribute to the United States...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 00:20:25 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9nri6v02the@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 60040
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

oops bog, I mean big
"Dev" <.> wrote in message news:9nrfin0v4o@enews3.newsguy.com...
> ooOOOo. bog words for a small troll that tries to be anonymous. remember
> your ISP still gets traced back to you eventually. even if you are using
> other proxies. so why not just jump and make love to the wind. Oh and I
will
> try to be cool and controlling like you.
>
> This Discussion is over.
>
> Why did you even start a comment to this discussion if you wanted it over.
I
> think you have smoked too much crack or something.
>
> "Just this guy." <no@thankyou.com> wrote in message
> news:3ba12bc2.168810907@news.ntlworld.com...
> > On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 15:45:31 -0600, Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >You contradict yourself big time by acknowledging you are being
> > >monitored. You have NO credibility with anyone here when you hide
> > >behind a fake ID and trash Sinclair's statement (which, by the way,
> > >was actually originally written in the early 70's) and since "they"
> > >already know who you are, why bother with the fake ID? The only
> > >person you are fooling is yourself.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > The one who nobody is fooling is me.
> > The main reason I use an anonymous ID is so that reactionary people
> > who have little or no grasp of geopolitic know who am, such as
> > yourself.
> >
> > I also do not run around with my ID card -printed on my t-shirt.
> >
> > 1. Because we don't have ID's
> > 2.. I don't want every lunatic opposed to my views attacking me (do
> > you see a parallel with current events?)
> > 3. I can't stop the NSA from monitoring me, but I can stop idiots from
> > filling my email inbox with hate mail. (do you get it yet?)
> >
> > This discussion is now over.
> >

>
>

4775. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 00:36:35 UTC
From: Chuck McHugh <qmv@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <nico7.149524$aZ.22491319@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 60041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Helen&Bob, get a Reality check!!!!!

Helen & Bob <chil-out@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:ie6o7.130696$ju1.3839085@amsnews02.chello.com...
>
> "Talysman the Ur-Beatle" <talysman@globalsurrealism.com> wrote in message
> news:49699b6a.0109110950.2e3c992b@posting.google.com...
>
> Let me see if I've got this right, some embassies in Africa were blown up
by this
> Osama Bin Laden guy who is rumored to be hanging out in Afghanistan so
President
> Blow-Job strafed the place with Cruise Missiles murdering many civilians.
Many
> militants over on that side of the world didn't like that response and
were so
> pissed off that they were willing to give their lives to strike back at
the
> American government. So they come over here and turn Boeing jets into
cruise
> missiles and succeed in striking at the heart of the American government.
>
> Now basically the same evil, bloodthirsty clowns in the U.S. Government
want to
> strike back with bombs again. Insanity is doing the same thing over and
over again
> and expecting a different result.
>
> Perhaps they are not insane though? The FBI and Pentagon as well as the
> intelligence agencies are always asking for more money and this bombing
has them
> all running around with their hat out asking for more with the implicit
threat
> that we had all better pay up or there will be more terror. The National
Media is
> on TV urging everything from bombing other countries to giving the FBI
total power
> to do what they want! Well, in addition to this being criminal behavior
(terror
> and EXTORTION) it is also a false problem.
>
> Osama and his crew do not want anything that we have and we do not want
anything

> that he and his people have. There is no natural conflict between us, we
do not
> even live on the same side of planet Earth. This entire corrupt conflict
revolves
> around Israel and several government agencies that are totalitarian by
nature
> (Pentagon, FBI and CIA). Eisenhower warned us all about the Military
Industrial
> Complex on his way out as President. We have not listened. The mad boys of
Ruby
> Ridge and Waco are salivating in public with their machine guns and making
> outrageous demands. The FBI has effectively shut down all air traffic even
though
> the threat has clearly passed. The FBI is destroying the American economy.
They
> have demanded to the FAA that an FBI agent be on every plane even though
there are
> about 10,000 active FBI agents (far too many) and 35,000-40,000 flights
per day in
> America (when the FBI allows the airlines to operate).
>
> Take a good look at the head of the Pentagon, Donald "Twitchy" Rumsfeld
who got
> his start as a hard charging Young Republican in the Nixon Watergate
> administration. He was trained under the paranoid Nixon-Kissinger "Coup
D'etat"
> administration. You might recall that Nixon/Kissinger thought that
overthrowing
> the obscure government of Chile would help win the "Cold War". Those two
thought
> that a Coup D'etat was the solution to any problem. These are Rumsfeld's
teachers
> and "Twitchy" Rumsfeld has that same glint in his eye and a heavy
influence upon
> America's number one dolt George Bush Jr.
>
> Remember all that controversy that has surrounded the FBI for many years
now? That
> vanished fast on September 11, 2001 did it not? You should be worried
about that
> if you value your freedom.
>
> America is still here. We are still free. That's more than the Chinese can
say,
> literally because the Chinese can't read this newsgroup, they can't post
here.
> Their government has reduced the Internet to an intranet. Almost the
entire
> Internet is firewalled off from the millions of Chinese who are online. It
can

> happen here. All it takes is your support of the Military Industrial
Complex or
> your apathy as it takes over our lives.
>
> Soldiers on every corner and warrantless searches are next to ensure our
"safety"
> Our "news" broadcasts are already Orwellian. Rally around the flag to
defend
> against what the American Government, the Military Industrial Complex and
Israel
> created and you will lose it all.
>
> The solution to our Osama problem is diplomacy. We have no conflict that
cannot be
> solved. The oil producing Arab nations need to do business with us so we
have a
> natural alliance with them, not antipathy. The conflict is Israel and
neither they
> nor we need Israel.
>
>
>
>

4776. Re: Canadian Tribute to the United States...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 00:39:53 UTC
From: no@thankyou.com
Message-ID: <3ba14e36.177630699@news.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 60043
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 16:35:29 -0700, "Dev" <.> wrote:

>ooOOOo. bog words for a small troll that tries to be anonymous. remember
>your ISP still gets traced back to you eventually. even if you are using
>other proxies. so why not just jump and make love to the wind. Oh and I will
>try to be cool and controlling like you.
>

Whats's a bog word?

Did you read my posts? or were you too busy being arrogant?

Even if you hate the rest of the world for daring to ever criticise
suicidal American foreign policy, we will still support you.

Even if you resort to name-calling, we will still support you.

Even if you come from Dicksville Nebraska and have never been out of
your country, and have no idea about anthing at all, we will still
support you

Even if your nation has been sanctioning terrorist support groups
(NORAID), we will still support you.

Even if you continue to station your unwanted troops on our soil,
we will still support you.

Even if you commission a lunatic non-working counterproductive missile
system on our territory making our civilians your terrorist targets,
we will still support you.

Even if you post racist stereotypical dogma on your internet groups,
we will still support you.

We'll invoke article 5 of the NATO charter in your honour

We will play the American national anthem outside our seat of monarchy

We will have every flag in Britain at half-mast, as a sign of respect
to you.

We will cancel our sporting events as a sign of respect

We will honour athree minute silence to honour the dead in New York
and Washington

We will mourn the 100-500 British who died with their American
colleagues in the WTC.

And what will you do?

Probably not even comprehend one single word of any of this at all,
and see it as some kind of attack.

We will still love you. :-)

ANONYMOUS

4777. Re: UNITED WE STAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 00:43:52 UTC
From: Filipina Single Ladies <FilipinaSingleLadies@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <cpco7.490462$T97.62158148@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 60042
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

We have added a new link to our web site. It is simply
labeled "9-11-2001" and contains a link to a PowerPoint
presentation of dramatic photos of that tragic day.

-- Filipina Single Ladies

FilipinaSingleLadies@bigfoot.com

http://www.GEM-Enterprises.27south.com/FilipinaSingleLadies

"Polish Dude" <thepolishdude@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5a04c827.0109130920.11d841d5@posting.google.com...
Date: 9/13/01 12:31PM
Subject: Fw: U.S. Pride Day!

Subject: FW: U.S. Pride Day!

Tomorrow is U.S. Pride Day. Everyone wear U.S. colors. Try
to be wearing
as much red white and blue as you can. Send this message to
as many other
people as you know. Let's get the whole country into it.
At least send it
to 10 other people.

They are asking people to drive with their headlights ON in
a show of
solidarity and in remembrance of those who lost their lives
..... please
pass the word along.

thanks to all....

4778. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 01:07:56 UTC
From: raisplin@rcn.com
Message-ID: <raisplin-1309012107560001@207-172-165-139.s139.tnt1.nywnj.ny.dialup.rcn.com>
Source record: 60044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

In article <ie6o7.130696$ju1.3839085@amsnews02.chello.com>, "Helen & Bob"
<chil-out@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

> "Talysman the Ur-Beatle" <talysman@globalsurrealism.com> wrote in message
> news:49699b6a.0109110950.2e3c992b@posting.google.com...
<SNIP>

> The solution to our Osama problem is diplomacy. We have no conflict that
cannot be
> solved. The oil producing Arab nations need to do business with us so we
have a
> natural alliance with them, not antipathy. The conflict is Israel and
neither they
> nor we need Israel.

the solution to our Osama bin Laden problem is to eradicate him from the
face of the earth

--
[K]rak
The perfect 50 jump wonder to elect to the BOD!

4779. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 01:09:03 UTC
From: john <prof@teamfunnel.com>
Message-ID: <3BA158AF.C6495437@teamfunnel.com>
Source record: 60045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"[K]rak" wrote:
>
>
> the solution to our Osama bin Laden problem is to eradicate him from the
> face of the earth
>

Yes it is. I doubt it will be easy.

> --
> [K]rak
> The perfect 50 jump wonder to elect to the BOD!

4780. Re: Discone question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 01:22:15 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3BA15BC5.A3ED699F@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 60046

It is quite likely that the discone is providing TOO MUCH signal to
the BC780. Picking up all the SF TV and FM stations that it sees
"line of sight", and sending hugh, overloading signals to the radio
set. Most modern scanners are designed to work with the tiny "rubber
duck" or "back of the set" antennas, and are quickly overloaded when
connected to a high, outdoor antenna.

What happens if you mount the RS 20-176A up on the hill where the
discone is? I would suggest that in those conditions the RS 20-176A
will also overload the BC780 and give poor performance.

Walt

ISLD_trader wrote:
>
> I have had the second generation RS discone 20-043 for a long time here
> north of SF mounted outside about 50 ft. up in the clear on a hill with
> a clear view of SF and the Bay Area and it is my worst performing
> antenna. If you are thinking about getting a lot of signal out of a
> very small and cheap antenna I highly recommend the RS 20-176A. It is
> about $20.00 and about 20" high and it greatly outperforms the RS
> discone IMO. I have the 20-176A hooked up to my BC 780XLT and it works
> extremely well. I actually bought 2 more as back-up units for the
> future since they are so cheap. Make sure you get good coax,
> especially for a long run. Actually, I am using RS quad-shield RG-6
> and the results are very good. I would not recommend an attic
> installation for this antenna. You should be able to find a place
> outside for it since it is very small and most people will not even
> notice it.
>
> Good luck.
>
> In article <7ohvptstgc8jqgkcd4b4lcoi2eeerli2m2@4ax.com>, Greg
> <king.frost@home.com> wrote:
>
> > I was looking at some antennas at radio shack the other day and saw
> > their discone thing. Looks like it'd pick up a bit more than what I
> > get with the standard one on my bc780xlt.
> >
> > I've got a question - do they have to be mounted outdoors? Or could I
> > set one up in the attic? It would certainly be a lot easier, and I'd
> > only have to drill 1 hole to put the cable though.
> >
> > How much less reception would I get? Any ideas?
>

> --

4781. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 01:29:17 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba14da7_3@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

> > the solution to our Osama bin Laden problem is to eradicate him from the
> > face of the earth
>
> Yes it is. I doubt it will be easy.

Like I said earlier - we're gonna have to take the Talbian out to do it.
Fine with me.

Stan

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4782. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 01:30:01 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba14dd2_4@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59898
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

```
A right-wing extremist censor-nazi whines -
> "Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net> wrote:
> > "chuck cheese" <chuck@cheese.net> wrote in message
> > news:rhbo7.41210$f7.4569518@news02.optonline.net...
> > > I just wanted to take this moment to tell you to
> > > Fuck Yourself.
> > >
> > > It's a shame that a clueless individual such as yourself
> > > has an internet connection
> > >
> > >
> > > "Helen & Bob" <chil-out@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > news:ie6o7.130696$ju1.3839085@amsnews02.chello.com...
> >
> > He's not clueless, he's just pretending to be clueless.
> >
> > Stan
> >
> Don't fuel the obvious attempt to flood one of these cross-posted groups
in
> this post, with responses to this silly shit !
>
> --
> -Moparholic

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---
```

4783. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 01:40:19 UTC
From: chuck cheese <chuck@cheese.net>
Message-ID: <7edo7.42379$f7.4881785@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Most likely I believe the US would sieze control
of Afghanistan.

Then Helen and Bob could take thier time to
use diplomacy and reason with any remaining terrorists.

> Like I said earlier - we're gonna have to take the Talbian out to do it.
> Fine with me.
>
>
> Stan
>
>
>
> ---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4784. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 01:48:56 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba15241_4@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

```
<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com>, censor-nazi X cross +- posts -
> "Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net> wrote:
> > A right-wing extremist censor-nazi whines -
> > > Don't fuel the obvious attempt to flood one of these cross-posted
> > > groups
> > in
> > > this post, with responses to this silly shit !
> >
> And right here is the culprit..
>
> Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net
>
> --
> -Moparholic

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---
```

4785. Re: Poor Canadian Trash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 01:51:28 UTC
From: Michael Black <blackm00@gloria.cam.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.10.10109132143360.30107-100000@gloria.cam.org>
Source record: 59905
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

On 13 Sep 2001, Laura Halliday wrote:

> I've seen this several times in the last couple of days.
> It was originally broadcast on 5 June 1973. Mr. Sinclair
> himself died in 1984.
>
> It's interesting, and I always respected Mr. Sinclair,
> but I wish people would stop pretending it's current.
> It isn't.
>
I consider the message a virus, because it's popping up everywhere.
I certainly am curious about how it was introduced at this time.

They played the original broadcast today here in Montreal, on
CJAD. Gord Sinclair (jr), who is no longer so junior, is the
news director there. Apparently he was getting something like
"20 emails an hour" about the old editorial. It's not clear
whether people had old memories, or if the email was the
effect of the message showing up on the internet. I had just
finished posting a response about this to one newsgroup this
afternoon, when they announced on CJAD that they'd be playing
the editorial. Perhaps they've got it up on the website,
http://www.cjad.com

I did a search on deja/google to see how widespread the
"virus" is (it had hit a lot of the newsgroups I read
regularly) and it is pretty widespread. According to
one message in an email abuse newsgroup, the editorial
has actually been "adjusted" this time around. I haven't
bothered checking to see if there is some change from
the original.

I just went to yahoo and entered "Gordon Sinclair" and
I see there's now a news story about the message making
it's rounds on the internet. Somehow, I expect to see
that same story in the paper tomorrow morning.

Michael VE2BVW

4786. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 01:54:21 UTC
From: john <prof@teamfunnel.com>
Message-ID: <3BA1634D.6033ACDA@teamfunnel.com>
Source record: 59901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Never Falls wrote:
>
> > > the solution to our Osama bin Laden problem is to eradicate him from the
> > > face of the earth
> >
> > Yes it is. I doubt it will be easy.
>
> Like I said earlier - we're gonna have to take the Talbian out to do it.
> Fine with me.
>
> Stan
>

How do you know that he's still in Afghanistan? The bugger's a
billionaire, he has the resources to be anywhere (you can buy a lot of
friends and bribe a lot of others for a billion).

> ---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4787. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 02:08:22 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba156d3_3@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

> > > > the solution to our Osama bin Laden problem is to eradicate him from
the
> > > > face of the earth
> > >
> > > Yes it is. I doubt it will be easy.
> >
> > Like I said earlier - we're gonna have to take the Talbian out to do it.
> > Fine with me.
> >
> > Stan
> >
>
> How do you know that he's still in Afghanistan? The bugger's a
> billionaire, he has the resources to be anywhere (you can buy a lot of
> friends and bribe a lot of others for a billion).

You think he's gonna get on a commercial airplane at this time? ;-)

Stan

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4788. Re: Canadian Tribute to the United States...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 02:19:13 UTC
From: Jon <anywhere@earth.net>
Message-ID: <UOdo7.552$x62.408134@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 59904
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

rotflmao!

This is too funny.

"Just this guy." <no@thankyou.com> wrote in message
news:3ba14e36.177630699@news.ntlworld.com...
> On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 16:35:29 -0700, "Dev" <.> wrote:
>
> >ooOOOo. bog words for a small troll that tries to be anonymous. remember
> >your ISP still gets traced back to you eventually. even if you are using
> >other proxies. so why not just jump and make love to the wind. Oh and I
will
> >try to be cool and controlling like you.
> >
>
> Whats's a bog word?
>
> Did you read my posts? or were you too busy being arrogant?
>
> Even if you hate the rest of the world for daring to ever criticise
> suicidal American foreign policy, we will still support you.
>
> Even if you resort to name-calling, we will still support you.
>
> Even if you come from Dicksville Nebraska and have never been out of
> your country, and have no idea about anthing at all, we will still
> support you
>
> Even if your nation has been sanctioning terrorist support groups
> (NORAID), we will still support you.
>
> Even if you continue to station your unwanted troops on our soil,
> we will still support you.
>
> Even if you commission a lunatic non-working counterproductive missile
> system on our territory making our civilians your terrorist targets,
> we will still support you.
>
> Even if you post racist stereotypical dogma on your internet groups,
> we will still support you.
>
> We'll invoke article 5 of the NATO charter in your honour
>
> We will play the American national anthem outside our seat of monarchy

>
> We will have every flag in Britain at half-mast, as a sign of respect
> to you.
>
> We will cancel our sporting events as a sign of respect
>
> We will honour athree minute silence to honour the dead in New York
> and Washington
>
> We will mourn the 100-500 British who died with their American
> colleagues in the WTC.
>
>
> And what will you do?
>
> Probably not even comprehend one single word of any of this at all,
> and see it as some kind of attack.
>
> We will still love you. :-)
>
> ANONYMOUS

4789. A message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 02:19:25 UTC
From: chuck cheese <chuck@cheese.net>
Message-ID: <NOdo7.42857$f7.4980088@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

This is an excerpt from an excellent article in The Miami Herald
today...

We'll go forward from this moment. It's my job to have
something to say. They pay me to provide words that help make sense of
that which troubles the American soul. But in this moment of airless
shock when hot tears sting disbelieving eyes, the only thing I can find
to say, the only words that seem to fit, must be addressed to the
unknown author of this suffering.

You monster. You beast. You unspeakable ########.

What lesson did you hope to teach us by your coward's
attack on our World Trade Center, our Pentagon, us? What was it you
hoped we would learn? Whatever it was, please know that you failed. Did
you want us to respect your cause? You just damned your cause. Did you
want to make us fear? You just steeled our resolve. Did you want to tear
us apart? You just brought us together.
Let me tell you about my people. We are a vast and
quarrelsome family, a family rent by racial, social, political and class
division, but a family nonetheless. We're frivolous, yes, capable of
expending tremendous emotional energy on pop cultural minutiae -- a
singer's revealing dress, a ball team's misfortune, a cartoon mouse.
We're wealthy, too, spoiled by the ready availability of trinkets and
material goods, and maybe because of that, we walk through life with a
certain sense of blithe entitlement. We are fundamentally decent, though
-- peace-loving and compassionate. We struggle to know the right thing
and to do it. And we are, the overwhelming majority of us, people of
faith, believers in a just and loving God.

Some people -- you, perhaps -- think that any or all of
this makes us weak. You're mistaken. We are not weak. Indeed, we are
strong in ways that cannot be measured by arsenals.

IN PAIN

Yes, we're in pain now. We are in mourning and we are in
shock. We're still grappling with the unreality of the awful thing you
did, still working to make ourselves understand that this isn't a
special effect from some Hollywood blockbuster, isn't the plot
development from a Tom Clancy novel. Both in terms of the awful scope of
their ambition and the probable final death toll, your attacks are
likely to go down as the worst acts of terrorism in the history of the
United States and, probably, the history of the world. You've
bloodied us as we have never been bloodied before. But there's a gulf of

difference between making us bloody and making us fall. This is the lesson Japan was taught to its bitter sorrow the last time anyone hit us this hard, the last time anyone brought us such abrupt and monumental pain. When roused, we are righteous in our outrage, terrible in our force. When provoked by this level of barbarism, we will bear any suffering, pay any cost, go to any length, in the pursuit of justice. I tell you this without fear of contradiction. I know my people, as you, I think, do not. What I know reassures me. It also causes me to tremble with dread of the future. In the days to come, there will be recrimination and accusation, fingers pointing to determine whose failure allowed this to happen and what can be done to prevent it from happening again. There will be heightened security, misguided talk of revoking basic freedoms. We'll go forward from this moment sobered, chastened, sad. But determined, too. Unimaginably determined.

THE STEEL IN US

You see, the steel in us is not always readily apparent. That aspect of our character is seldom understood by people who don't know us well. On this day, the family's bickering is put on hold. As Americans we will weep, as Americans we will mourn, and as Americans, we will rise in defense of all that we cherish.
So I ask again: What was it you hoped to teach us? It occurs to me that maybe you just wanted us to know the depths of your hatred. If that's the case, consider the message received. And take this message in exchange:

You don't know my people. You don't know what we're capable of. You don't know what you just started.

But you're about to learn.

4790. Re: Canadian Tribute to the United States...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 02:46:11 UTC
From: cbblackops@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <a593cbc5.0109131846.1e1d5348@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59906
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.noraid.com/florida/Holocaust.htm

4791. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 02:53:21 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3ba17083.39743339@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 59907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Christ, when did your psychiatrist let you out of the rubber room.

On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 17:42:38 GMT, "Helen & Bob"
<chil-out@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>
>"Talysman the Ur-Beatle" <talysman@globalsurrealism.com> wrote in message
>news:49699b6a.0109110950.2e3c992b@posting.google.com...
>
>Let me see if I've got this right, some embassies in Africa were blown up by this
>Osama Bin Laden guy who is rumored to be hanging out in Afghanistan so President
>Blow-Job strafed the place with Cruise Missiles murdering many civilians. Many
>militants over on that side of the world didn't like that response and were so
>pissed off that they were willing to give their lives to strike back at the
>American government. So they come over here and turn Boeing jets into cruise
>missiles and succeed in striking at the heart of the American government.
>
>Now basically the same evil, bloodthirsty clowns in the U.S. Government want to
>strike back with bombs again. Insanity is doing the same thing over and over again
>and expecting a different result.
>
>Perhaps they are not insane though? The FBI and Pentagon as well as the
>intelligence agencies are always asking for more money and this bombing has them
>all running around with their hat out asking for more with the implicit threat
>that we had all better pay up or there will be more terror. The National Media is
>on TV urging everything from bombing other countries to giving the FBI total power
>to do what they want! Well, in addition to this being criminal behavior (terror
>and EXTORTION) it is also a false problem.
>
>Osama and his crew do not want anything that we have and we do not want anything
>that he and his people have. There is no natural conflict between us, we do not
>even live on the same side of planet Earth. This entire corrupt conflict revolves
>around Israel and several government agencies that are totalitarian by nature
>(Pentagon, FBI and CIA). Eisenhower warned us all about the Military Industrial
>Complex on his way out as President. We have not listened. The mad boys of Ruby
>Ridge and Waco are salivating in public with their machine guns and making
>outrageous demands. The FBI has effectively shut down all air traffic even though
>the threat has clearly passed. The FBI is destroying the American economy. They
>have demanded to the FAA that an FBI agent be on every plane even though there are
>about 10,000 active FBI agents (far too many) and 35,000-40,000 flights per day in
>America (when the FBI allows the airlines to operate).
>
>Take a good look at the head of the Pentagon, Donald "Twitchy" Rumsfeld who got
>his start as a hard charging Young Republican in the Nixon Watergate

>administration. He was trained under the paranoid Nixon-Kissinger "Coup D'etat"
>administration. You might recall that Nixon/Kissinger thought that overthrowing
>the obscure government of Chile would help win the "Cold War". Those two thought
>that a Coup D'etat was the solution to any problem. These are Rumsfeld's teachers
>and "Twitchy" Rumsfeld has that same glint in his eye and a heavy influence upon
>America's number one dolt George Bush Jr.
>
>Remember all that controversy that has surrounded the FBI for many years now? That
>vanished fast on September 11, 2001 did it not? You should be worried about that
>if you value your freedom.
>
>America is still here. We are still free. That's more than the Chinese can say,
>literally because the Chinese can't read this newsgroup, they can't post here.
>Their government has reduced the Internet to an intranet. Almost the entire
>Internet is firewalled off from the millions of Chinese who are online. It can
>happen here. All it takes is your support of the Military Industrial Complex or
>your apathy as it takes over our lives.
>
>Soldiers on every corner and warrantless searches are next to ensure our "safety"
>Our "news" broadcasts are already Orwellian. Rally around the flag to defend
>against what the American Government, the Military Industrial Complex and Israel
>created and you will lose it all.
>
>The solution to our Osama problem is diplomacy. We have no conflict that cannot be
>solved. The oil producing Arab nations need to do business with us so we have a
>natural alliance with them, not antipathy. The conflict is Israel and neither they
>nor we need Israel.
>
>
>

4792. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 03:00:45 UTC
From: Zane <zgorove@erols.com>
Message-ID: <xpeo7.118053$aB5.2619375@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 59908
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Bomb them into the stone ages. I must say at the risk of someone calling me a nazi or a jew calling me an anti -semite(sp?)This entire issue revolves around the fact that we support Israel as they import people from around the world and force Palestinians out of their homes.I think if someone forced me off my land and treated me the way the Israelis treat them I would rebel.Don't think I am justifying terrorism,just another angle of thought.
"Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net> wrote in message news:3ba156d3_3@news.newzpig.com...
> > > > > the solution to our Osama bin Laden problem is to eradicate him from
> the
> > > > > face of the earth
> > > >
> > > > Yes it is. I doubt it will be easy.
> > >
> > > Like I said earlier - we're gonna have to take the Talbian out to do it.
> > > Fine with me.
> > >
> > > Stan
> > >
> >
> > How do you know that he's still in Afghanistan? The bugger's a
> > billionaire, he has the resources to be anywhere (you can buy a lot of
> > friends and bribe a lot of others for a billion).
>
> You think he's gonna get on a commercial airplane at this time? ;-)
>
>
> Stan
>
>
>
> ---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4793. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 03:06:08 UTC
From: And Another <part95@home.comm>
Message-ID: <Aueo7.8218$5A3.2788630@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 59909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Your not even in the USA what would you know!

"Helen & Bob" <chil-out@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:ie6o7.130696$ju1.3839085@amsnews02.chello.com...
>
> "Talysman the Ur-Beatle" <talysman@globalsurrealism.com> wrote in message
> news:49699b6a.0109110950.2e3c992b@posting.google.com...
>
> Let me see if I've got this right, some embassies in Africa were blown up
by this
> Osama Bin Laden guy who is rumored to be hanging out in Afghanistan so
President
> Blow-Job strafed the place with Cruise Missiles murdering many civilians.
Many
> militants over on that side of the world didn't like that response and
were so
> pissed off that they were willing to give their lives to strike back at
the
> American government. So they come over here and turn Boeing jets into
cruise
> missiles and succeed in striking at the heart of the American government.
>
> Now basically the same evil, bloodthirsty clowns in the U.S. Government
want to
> strike back with bombs again. Insanity is doing the same thing over and
over again
> and expecting a different result.
>
> Perhaps they are not insane though? The FBI and Pentagon as well as the
> intelligence agencies are always asking for more money and this bombing
has them
> all running around with their hat out asking for more with the implicit
threat
> that we had all better pay up or there will be more terror. The National
Media is
> on TV urging everything from bombing other countries to giving the FBI
total power
> to do what they want! Well, in addition to this being criminal behavior
(terror
> and EXTORTION) it is also a false problem.
>
> Osama and his crew do not want anything that we have and we do not want
anything

> that he and his people have. There is no natural conflict between us, we
do not
> even live on the same side of planet Earth. This entire corrupt conflict
revolves
> around Israel and several government agencies that are totalitarian by
nature
> (Pentagon, FBI and CIA). Eisenhower warned us all about the Military
Industrial
> Complex on his way out as President. We have not listened. The mad boys of
Ruby
> Ridge and Waco are salivating in public with their machine guns and making
> outrageous demands. The FBI has effectively shut down all air traffic even
though
> the threat has clearly passed. The FBI is destroying the American economy.
They
> have demanded to the FAA that an FBI agent be on every plane even though
there are
> about 10,000 active FBI agents (far too many) and 35,000-40,000 flights
per day in
> America (when the FBI allows the airlines to operate).
>
> Take a good look at the head of the Pentagon, Donald "Twitchy" Rumsfeld
who got
> his start as a hard charging Young Republican in the Nixon Watergate
> administration. He was trained under the paranoid Nixon-Kissinger "Coup
D'etat"
> administration. You might recall that Nixon/Kissinger thought that
overthrowing
> the obscure government of Chile would help win the "Cold War". Those two
thought
> that a Coup D'etat was the solution to any problem. These are Rumsfeld's
teachers
> and "Twitchy" Rumsfeld has that same glint in his eye and a heavy
influence upon
> America's number one dolt George Bush Jr.
>
> Remember all that controversy that has surrounded the FBI for many years
now? That
> vanished fast on September 11, 2001 did it not? You should be worried
about that
> if you value your freedom.
>
> America is still here. We are still free. That's more than the Chinese can
say,
> literally because the Chinese can't read this newsgroup, they can't post
here.
> Their government has reduced the Internet to an intranet. Almost the
entire
> Internet is firewalled off from the millions of Chinese who are online. It
can

> happen here. All it takes is your support of the Military Industrial
Complex or
> your apathy as it takes over our lives.
>
> Soldiers on every corner and warrantless searches are next to ensure our
"safety"
> Our "news" broadcasts are already Orwellian. Rally around the flag to
defend
> against what the American Government, the Military Industrial Complex and
Israel
> created and you will lose it all.
>
> The solution to our Osama problem is diplomacy. We have no conflict that
cannot be
> solved. The oil producing Arab nations need to do business with us so we
have a
> natural alliance with them, not antipathy. The conflict is Israel and
neither they
> nor we need Israel.
>
>
>

4794. Re: Power House is a F%$K-Up > was Poor Canadian Trash

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 03:14:31 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3BA17612.70DC@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 59910
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Just this guy. wrote:
>
> On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 16:07:41 -0500, Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
> wrote:
>
> >HEY JIMMY BOY,
> >
> >If Canada is so perfect, why did they exile the Acadians in 1755 and
> >still
> >refuse to give an apoligy? Louis Real was murdered by a vengeful court
> >system
> >because he was metis. For property stolen when the "Derangement
> >Acadien"
> >you should look back at Canadian History. Talk about "Thieves"!!! WE
> >WANT
> >our ancestors lands in New Brunswick and Nova Scotia returned post
> >haste!!!
>
> He made some shitty comments, and no nation has a perfect history, but
> I think the "Native Americans" have got a better case for ancestral
> lands. Now is probably not a good time, but this is still free speech.
> What is their response to all this, does anyone know?
>
> >you are a troll and frankly if Canada had a position for national
> >village
> >idiot, you'd be the poster boy!!
> >
> >BTW-are you happy about the events in NYC and Washington, D.C.? sounds
> >like you wish you could join the bash America League.
> >
> >As a Canadian-American, I am ashamed of jerk like you.
>
> What is a Canadian-American? I ask without irony, I just never heard
> of that before.

Happy to illustrate, you see, at age 9 my mother died and I was sent off
by my dad from Memphis, TN to Ontario, Canada to live with my Aunt and
Uncle
and they kept me for many years. Little did I know that I had been
adopted
by them to make the border crossing and paperwork issues easier to
handle.
I found out only several years ago about this and how it conferred

Canadian Citizenship. I am a dual citizen so I am sensitive to the issues of both nations, sadly, I am disappointed by those persons in the States who do not know Canadians and Canada very well. I am also saddened
by those Canadians who think all there is to the USA is Hollywood, Big Cars and Red Necks.

Red Green and Possum Lodge is really not that far away from Hee-Haw and Nashvile. When the culture minister of Canada worries about Culture, I say lets export Hockey, Molson, Wheat, Very Good TV shows (This hour has 22 minutes is a prime example) as well as the word "Centre". My wife is Acadian (Cajun of Louisiana) in an ironic twist of fate, my late father's
second wife was French Acadian and I learned to speak French since I live in Louisiana and was are as French Canadian as the Maritimes. I speak on the Ham Radio to my French Canadian Friends and the heritage is the same since here in Louisiana we are closer to the Maritimes than the the other states where English is the heritage. Our Cable TV has some Canadian Channels and I watch Canadian TV since some programmmes
have better stuff than American TV out of New Orleans.

Our Anglo Saxon heritage doesn't allow for much in the way of divergent culture development since the North American Continent developed only 2 different Countries, A British styled Canada and an English Speaking Republic. They mirror each other and should be kept seperate to allow for the development of new ideas to be tested and if they are successful,
exported to each other. The major problem is the difference in the population
numbers and how that affects marketing stratigies and political philosophies.

We are both elected democracies bathed in freedom and with Law based in Magna Carta
and dignity of Man we will try to correct those injustices, right the wrongs
and put each barrel standing on it own bottom, fairly.

Martin

4795. Re: Any recordings exist of first call WTC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 03:31:43 UTC
From: go4tcross@cs.communicate
Message-ID: <20010913233143.18646.00000260@mb-ci.news.cs.com>
Source record: 59911

>The video tape made by the fire officer of the first plane hitting the
>tower has the fire officer calling it in. How is that for a first
>call? Sound AND video (and horror).

I found that video and downloaded it. I had thought that NOBODY had video of it, because there was no REASON to tape the WTC.

Luke Hoffmann
Go4tcross@cs.com

4796. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 04:50:00 UTC
From: axe@mindless.com
Message-ID: <b9855f0.0109132050.9539a34@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

> Bomb them into the stone ages. I must say at the risk of someone calling me
> a nazi or a jew calling me an anti -semite(sp?)This entire issue revolves

You can not do that to people (Rwanda, Chechnya, Afganistan..) who
never came out of it. That's the problem.

4797. Re: Discone question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 05:59:06 UTC
From: isld_trader@the.isld.ecn
Message-ID: <isld_trader-1309012159070001@209-162-50-32.thegrid.net>
Source record: 59913

Walt,

Thanks for your comments. I have the 20-176A up about 15 ft. higher than the RS discone on a different structure. The 20-176A is the primary antenna that I use with the BC 780XLT and I have experienced very little overload on the frequencies that I monitor. I also have a scantenna on the same mast below the 20-176A for use with my PRO-2042/OS535 setup. I find the scantenna to be the best performing antenna that I currently use; everything comes in extremely loud and clear. Besides buying two spare 20-176A antennas I also bought two 20-042 antennas when they were on closeout for $9.99. I do not see any difference between the scantenna and the 20-042 except that I paid a lot more for the scantenna from Grove.

The best RS antenna I ever used was the big 20-014 ground plane but mine was slowly destroyed by the weather since it was so exposed and I can no longer find one. That is why I now buy a couple extra of any antenna that I find to work well. The problem with the RS discone from my experience is not from signal overload but underperforming by not pulling in the signals like my other antennas do. Of course I know that the discone is designed to work over a wide spectrum and will not perform as well as an antenna designed for a particular frequency or range, but the 20-176A and the scantenna are also designed for a wide frequency range and IMO they perform better for me where I live. I have been into scanning for about 5 years and have an AR8000, PRO-26, BC235XLT, and an ICOM IC-2SRA besides the BC 780XLT and PRO-2042 and I have tested all of my radios on various frequencies with many different antennas at the same time and I just have not found the RS discone to perform as well as most of the other antennas I have used in the past.

Good luck.

In article <3BA15BC5.A3ED699F@worldnet.att.net>, Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> It is quite likely that the discone is providing TOO MUCH signal to
> the BC780. Picking up all the SF TV and FM stations that it sees
> "line of sight", and sending hugh, overloading signals to the radio
> set. Most modern scanners are designed to work with the tiny "rubber
> duck" or "back of the set" antennas, and are quickly overloaded when
> connected to a high, outdoor antenna.
>
> What happens if you mount the RS 20-176A up on the hill where the
> discone is? I would suggest that in those conditions the RS 20-176A
> will also overload the BC780 and give poor performance.
>
> Walt

>
> ISLD_trader wrote:
> >
> > I have had the second generation RS discone 20-043 for a long time here
> > north of SF mounted outside about 50 ft. up in the clear on a hill with
> > a clear view of SF and the Bay Area and it is my worst performing
> > antenna. If you are thinking about getting a lot of signal out of a
> > very small and cheap antenna I highly recommend the RS 20-176A. It is
> > about $20.00 and about 20" high and it greatly outperforms the RS
> > discone IMO. I have the 20-176A hooked up to my BC 780XLT and it works
> > extremely well. I actually bought 2 more as back-up units for the
> > future since they are so cheap. Make sure you get good coax,
> > especially for a long run. Actually, I am using RS quad-shield RG-6
> > and the results are very good. I would not recommend an attic
> > installation for this antenna. You should be able to find a place
> > outside for it since it is very small and most people will not even
> > notice it.
> >
> > Good luck.
> >
> > In article <7ohvptstgc8jqgkcd4b4lcoi2eeerli2m2@4ax.com>, Greg
> > <king.frost@home.com> wrote:
> >
> > > I was looking at some antennas at radio shack the other day and saw
> > > their discone thing. Looks like it'd pick up a bit more than what I
> > > get with the standard one on my bc780xlt.
> > >
> > > I've got a question - do they have to be mounted outdoors? Or could I
> > > set one up in the attic? It would certainly be a lot easier, and I'd
> > > only have to drill 1 hole to put the cable though.
> > >
> > > How much less reception would I get? Any ideas?
> >
> > --

4798. Re: Canadian Tribute to the United States...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 06:27:47 UTC
From: no@thankyou.com
Message-ID: <3ba1a35d.199429925@news.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 59914
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

On 13 Sep 2001 19:46:11 -0700, cbblackops@yahoo.com (Frenchy) wrote:

>http://www.noraid.com/florida/Holocaust.htm
http://www.victims.org.uk/america.html

4799. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 07:19:04 UTC
From: raisplin@rcn.com
Message-ID: <raisplin-1409010319040001@207-172-171-187.s441.tnt1.nywnj.ny.dialup.rcn.com>
Source record: 59915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.sport.cricket

Obliterate the fuckers! head on a stake in the town square!

In article <gHho7.5789$0a1.118357@amsnews02.chello.com>, "Larry R"
<futures@primus.ca> wrote:

> "Ashland Henderson" <maceanruig@astound.net> wrote in message
> news:441d41d1.0109110718.3dabf534@posting.google.com...
>
> On the Bombings
> Noam Chomsky
>
> The terrorist attacks were major atrocities. In scale they may not reach
> the level of many others, for example, Clinton's bombing of the Sudan
> with no credible pretext, destroying half its pharmaceutical supplies
> and killing unknown numbers of people (no one knows, because the US
> blocked an inquiry at the UN and no one cares to pursue it). Not to
> speak of much worse cases, which easily come to mind. But that this was
> a horrendous crime is not in doubt. The primary victims, as usual, were
> working people: janitors, secretaries, firemen, etc. It is likely to
> prove to be a crushing blow to Palestinians and other poor and oppressed
> people. It is also likely to lead to harsh security controls, with many
> possible ramifications for undermining civil liberties and internal
> freedom.
>
> The events reveal, dramatically, the foolishness of the project of
> "missile defense." As has been obvious all along, and pointed out
> repeatedly by strategic analysts, if anyone wants to cause immense
> damage in the US, including weapons of mass destruction, they are highly
> unlikely to launch a missile attack, thus guaranteeing their immediate
> destruction. There are innumerable easier ways that are basically
> unstoppable. But today's events will, very likely, be exploited to
> increase the pressure to develop these systems and put them into place.
> "Defense" is a thin cover for plans for militarization of space, and
> with good PR, even the flimsiest arguments will carry some weight among
> a frightened public.
>
> In short, the crime is a gift to the hard jingoist right, those who hope
> to use force to control their domains. That is even putting aside the
> likely US actions, and what they will trigger -- possibly more attacks
> like this one, or worse. The prospects ahead are even more ominous than
> they appeared to be before the latest atrocities.
>
> As to how to react, we have a choice. We can express justified horror;
> we can seek to understand what may have led to the crimes, which means

> making an effort to enter the minds of the likely perpetrators. If we
> choose the latter course, we can do no better, I think, than to listen
> to the words of Robert Fisk, whose direct knowledge and insight into
> affairs of the region is unmatched after many years of distinguished
> reporting. Describing "The wickedness and awesome cruelty of a crushed
> and humiliated people," he writes that "this is not the war of democracy
> versus terror that the world will be asked to believe in the coming
> days. It is also about American missiles smashing into Palestinian homes
> and US helicopters firing missiles into a Lebanese ambulance in 1996 and
> American shells crashing into a village called Qana and about a Lebanese
> militia - paid and uniformed by America's Israeli ally - hacking and
> raping and murdering their way through refugee camps." And much more.
> Again, we have a choice: we may try to understand, or refuse to do so,
> contributing to the likelihood that much worse lies ahead.

--
[K]rak
The perfect 50 jump wonder to elect to the BOD!

4800. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 07:27:47 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba1a1ab_2@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59916
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Zane" <zgorove@erols.com> wrote in message
news:xpeo7.118053$aB5.2619375@news.easynews.com...
> Bomb them into the stone ages. I must say at the risk of someone calling
me
> a nazi or a jew calling me an anti -semite(sp?)This entire issue revolves
> around the fact that we support Israel as they import people from around
the
> world and force Palestinians out of their homes.I think if someone forced
me
> off my land and treated me the way the Israelis treat them I would
> rebel.Don't think I am justifying terrorism,just another angle of
> thought.

Make no mistake about it - this terrorist attack was specificly designed to
force the US to severe ties with Israel.

Stan "one" Rosenthal
http://stansqncrs.8m.com/

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4801. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 07:57:12 UTC
From: Jeff <toursound@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <sLio7.6663$IE3.725948@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 59917
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Larry R" <futures@primus.ca> wrote in message
news:gHho7.5789$0a1.118357@amsnews02.chello.com...
>
> "Ashland Henderson" <maceanruig@astound.net> wrote in message
> news:441d41d1.0109110718.3dabf534@posting.google.com...
>
> On the Bombings
> Noam Chomsky
>
> The terrorist attacks were major atrocities. In scale they may not reach
> the level of many others, for example, Clinton's bombing of the Sudan
> with no credible pretext, destroying half its pharmaceutical supplies
> and killing unknown numbers of people (no one knows, because the US
> blocked an inquiry at the UN and no one cares to pursue it). Not to
> speak of much worse cases, which easily come to mind. But that this was
> a horrendous crime is not in doubt. The primary victims, as usual, were
> working people: janitors, secretaries, firemen, etc. It is likely to
> prove to be a crushing blow to Palestinians and other poor and oppressed
> people. It is also likely to lead to harsh security controls, with many
> possible ramifications for undermining civil liberties and internal
> freedom.
>
> The events reveal, dramatically, the foolishness of the project of
> "missile defense." As has been obvious all along, and pointed out
> repeatedly by strategic analysts, if anyone wants to cause immense
> damage in the US, including weapons of mass destruction, they are highly
> unlikely to launch a missile attack, thus guaranteeing their immediate
> destruction. There are innumerable easier ways that are basically
> unstoppable. But today's events will, very likely, be exploited to
> increase the pressure to develop these systems and put them into place.
> "Defense" is a thin cover for plans for militarization of space, and
> with good PR, even the flimsiest arguments will carry some weight among
> a frightened public.
>
> In short, the crime is a gift to the hard jingoist right, those who hope
> to use force to control their domains. That is even putting aside the
> likely US actions, and what they will trigger -- possibly more attacks
> like this one, or worse. The prospects ahead are even more ominous than
> they appeared to be before the latest atrocities.
>
> As to how to react, we have a choice. We can express justified horror;
> we can seek to understand what may have led to the crimes, which means
> making an effort to enter the minds of the likely perpetrators. If we

> choose the latter course, we can do no better, I think, than to listen
> to the words of Robert Fisk, whose direct knowledge and insight into
> affairs of the region is unmatched after many years of distinguished
> reporting. Describing "The wickedness and awesome cruelty of a crushed
> and humiliated people," he writes that "this is not the war of democracy
> versus terror that the world will be asked to believe in the coming
> days. It is also about American missiles smashing into Palestinian homes
> and US helicopters firing missiles into a Lebanese ambulance in 1996 and
> American shells crashing into a village called Qana and about a Lebanese
> militia - paid and uniformed by America's Israeli ally - hacking and
> raping and murdering their way through refugee camps." And much more.
> Again, we have a choice: we may try to understand, or refuse to do so,
> contributing to the likelihood that much worse lies ahead.
>
I understand that freedom of speech extends to the internet and beyond,
but... what was the point of writing this message? Do you seek to downplay
the hurt and devistation of tuesday with events from the US military's past?
Please, if you feel you need to celebrate this tragedy as a triumph in the
eyes of people the US has hurt in the past, please celebrate it to yourself.
By and large, people around the world see these acts of horror soely as
that, horrific acts of violence. Let us grieve for those people that are
victims of this event, don't try to downplay what has happened.
Thanks

4802. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 09:27:49 UTC
From: YourBuddy! <YourBuddy99@steve.chaney.is.a.big.fat.retard.com>
Message-ID: <p4ko7.150395$aZ.23007339@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 59918
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"chuck cheese" <chuck@cheese.net> wrote in message
news:7edo7.42379$f7.4881785@news02.optonline.net...
> Most likely I believe the US would sieze control
> of Afghanistan.

The Soviets tried that, remember? Ten years and tens of thousands of dead Soviet soldiers and they got thumped. Do you think the US has the stomach for a protracted ground war? Nope.

4803. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 09:30:56 UTC
From: YourBuddy! <YourBuddy99@steve.chaney.is.a.big.fat.retard.com>
Message-ID: <k7ko7.150419$aZ.23008455@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 59919
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net> wrote in message
news:3ba1a1ab_2@news.newzpig.com...
> "Zane" <zgorove@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:xpeo7.118053$aB5.2619375@news.easynews.com...
> > Bomb them into the stone ages. I must say at the risk of someone calling
> me
> > a nazi or a jew calling me an anti -semite(sp?)This entire issue
revolves
> > around the fact that we support Israel as they import people from around
> the
> > world and force Palestinians out of their homes.I think if someone
forced
> me
> > off my land and treated me the way the Israelis treat them I would
> > rebel.Don't think I am justifying terrorism,just another angle of
> > thought.
>
> Make no mistake about it - this terrorist attack was specificly designed
to
> force the US to severe ties with Israel.
>
Why should we have ties with Israel anyway? They are NOT good allies. They continue to use too much force against the Palestinians, exascerbating the conflict. Ariel Sharon has been lobbying for the release of Jonathan Pollard, who spied on the US for Israel. Good allies don't do that. Not to mention Israel's transfer of military technology to China and North Korea.....

4804. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 10:57:02 UTC
From: David Heath <theath3740@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BA20FD5.87032D88@earthlink.net>
Source record: 59920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

We are not living in the past dipshit. This is the present and what those maniacs did to the USA will not go unpunished! If we need to go to war to prove we wont stand for this then thats exactly what we need to do. Anything less will not cut it!!
But for now we need to put all of our efforts in praying for the victims and their families.....David

Larry R wrote:

> "Ashland Henderson" <maceanruig@astound.net> wrote in message
> news:441d41d1.0109110718.3dabf534@posting.google.com...
>
> On the Bombings
> Noam Chomsky
>
> The terrorist attacks were major atrocities. In scale they may not reach
> the level of many others, for example, Clinton's bombing of the Sudan
> with no credible pretext, destroying half its pharmaceutical supplies
> and killing unknown numbers of people (no one knows, because the US
> blocked an inquiry at the UN and no one cares to pursue it). Not to
> speak of much worse cases, which easily come to mind. But that this was
> a horrendous crime is not in doubt. The primary victims, as usual, were
> working people: janitors, secretaries, firemen, etc. It is likely to
> prove to be a crushing blow to Palestinians and other poor and oppressed
> people. It is also likely to lead to harsh security controls, with many
> possible ramifications for undermining civil liberties and internal
> freedom.
>
> The events reveal, dramatically, the foolishness of the project of
> "missile defense." As has been obvious all along, and pointed out
> repeatedly by strategic analysts, if anyone wants to cause immense
> damage in the US, including weapons of mass destruction, they are highly
> unlikely to launch a missile attack, thus guaranteeing their immediate
> destruction. There are innumerable easier ways that are basically
> unstoppable. But today's events will, very likely, be exploited to
> increase the pressure to develop these systems and put them into place.
> "Defense" is a thin cover for plans for militarization of space, and
> with good PR, even the flimsiest arguments will carry some weight among
> a frightened public.
>
> In short, the crime is a gift to the hard jingoist right, those who hope
> to use force to control their domains. That is even putting aside the
> likely US actions, and what they will trigger -- possibly more attacks
> like this one, or worse. The prospects ahead are even more ominous than

> they appeared to be before the latest atrocities.
>
> As to how to react, we have a choice. We can express justified horror;
> we can seek to understand what may have led to the crimes, which means
> making an effort to enter the minds of the likely perpetrators. If we
> choose the latter course, we can do no better, I think, than to listen
> to the words of Robert Fisk, whose direct knowledge and insight into
> affairs of the region is unmatched after many years of distinguished
> reporting. Describing "The wickedness and awesome cruelty of a crushed
> and humiliated people," he writes that "this is not the war of democracy
> versus terror that the world will be asked to believe in the coming
> days. It is also about American missiles smashing into Palestinian homes
> and US helicopters firing missiles into a Lebanese ambulance in 1996 and
> American shells crashing into a village called Qana and about a Lebanese
> militia - paid and uniformed by America's Israeli ally - hacking and
> raping and murdering their way through refugee camps." And much more.
> Again, we have a choice: we may try to understand, or refuse to do so,
> contributing to the likelihood that much worse lies ahead.

4805. Re: The pot calling the kettle black?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 11:08:47 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <3zlo7.6483$CR2.6967686@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 59921

This bit of wisdom comes to your from a guy who can't even figure out how to get his own e-mail/Usenet account. Shit buddy, go get Heather. She's gotta be a lot smarter than you.

"Heather and Greg" <heatherandgreg@home.com> wrote in message news:hT9o7.8756$N3.807216@news1.rdc1.va.home.com...
> If a person is reading in this newsgroup, excuse my ignorance, they are
> either scanner or ham radio enthusiasts. . . RIGHT? Stating that ham's are
> old folks just chatting or that these radios are now somehow obsolete seems
> a bit ignorant to me. Tell the Armed Forces that conventional radio is no
> longer needed and I guarantee several pilots will say otherwise!
> Greg
>
>
>
> "Bill Turner" <w7ti@dslextreme.com> wrote in message
> news:kso1qtku5se1gl7b1itn3vc8io67g0oln9@4ax.com...
> > On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 01:35:36 GMT, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com> wrote:
> >
> > >Oh really. Just look back at all the times when ham radio was the *only*
> > >communications available and not just locally but internationally.
> >
> > _____
> >
> > That's my point exactly, JJ. Ham radio USED to be a valuable tool in an
> > emergency. Back when there were no cell phones, long distance was
> > unreliable and expensive and local authorities had little disaster
> > training and/or equipment, ham radio had a useful function.
> >
> > Time has moved on and pretty much passed us by. Each of us only so much
> > time, energy and money to spend. Let's spend it where it will do the
> > most good. Get involved with your local emergency people, your local
> > search and rescue, volunteer fire department, Red Cross or wherever your
> > interests lie. If your local disaster communication equipment isn't up
> > to snuff, get involved. Go to city council meetings and demand
> > improvement. Pass out leaflets at the next bond election. Demand that
> > opposition to cell towers cease. Insist on more cell towers in remote
> > areas. I could go on and on, but I hope you get the point.
> >
> > We pay our emergency services people to do a job; lets do all we can to
> > see they have the resources they need so they do not have to depend on

> > some unknown quantity of volunteers.
> >
> > Bill, W7TI
>
>

4806. Re: Discone question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 11:22:07 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <zLlo7.48028$f7.5675145@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59922

I've used both the discone and the 20-043 ground plane. I didn't observe much difference in the 140-175 MHz spectrum. However, the discone definitely outperforms in the 225-400 MHz Mil Aviation band. It also does a better job in the FM broadcast band and at 800 MHz.

Art Harris N2AH

"ISLD_trader" <isld_trader@this.ng> wrote in message news:120920011910037885%isld_trader@this.ng...
> I have had the second generation RS discone 20-043 for a long time here
> north of SF mounted outside about 50 ft. up in the clear on a hill with
> a clear view of SF and the Bay Area and it is my worst performing
> antenna. If you are thinking about getting a lot of signal out of a
> very small and cheap antenna I highly recommend the RS 20-176A. It is
> about $20.00 and about 20" high and it greatly outperforms the RS
> discone IMO. I have the 20-176A hooked up to my BC 780XLT and it works
> extremely well. I actually bought 2 more as back-up units for the
> future since they are so cheap. Make sure you get good coax,
> especially for a long run. Actually, I am using RS quad-shield RG-6
> and the results are very good. I would not recommend an attic
> installation for this antenna. You should be able to find a place
> outside for it since it is very small and most people will not even
> notice it.
>
>
> Good luck.
>
>
>
>
> In article <7ohvptstgc8jqgkcd4b4lcoi2eeerli2m2@4ax.com>, Greg
> <king.frost@home.com> wrote:
>
> > I was looking at some antennas at radio shack the other day and saw
> > their discone thing. Looks like it'd pick up a bit more than what I
> > get with the standard one on my bc780xlt.
> >
> > I've got a question - do they have to be mounted outdoors? Or could I
> > set one up in the attic? It would certainly be a lot easier, and I'd
> > only have to drill 1 hole to put the cable though.
> >
> > How much less reception would I get? Any ideas?
>
> --

4807. R7000 and Optolinx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 12:10:31 UTC
From: Peter Brown <sydptu@yahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <Xsmo7.6926$Tv6.35554@news1.rdc1.nsw.optushome.com.au>
Source record: 59923

Does anyone have any clues on how to make the R7000 talk to the Optolinx, I have the R7000 remote control software. HELP!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

4808. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 12:45:35 UTC
From: Kenneth Vaughan <kenneth.vaughan@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <PZmo7.1598$lx5.92647@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

this chomsky guy is an idiot,if we had had a missile defense system in
place,we could have blown the airplanes out of the sky in a milisecond,long
before scrambled fighters could have reached them,and we would have averted
a horrible tragedy. this guy is a dum-ass. elpasogunslinger
Larry R <futures@primus.ca> wrote in message
news:gHho7.5789$0a1.118357@amsnews02.chello.com...
>
> "Ashland Henderson" <maceanruig@astound.net> wrote in message
> news:441d41d1.0109110718.3dabf534@posting.google.com...
>
> On the Bombings
> Noam Chomsky
>
> The terrorist attacks were major atrocities. In scale they may not reach
> the level of many others, for example, Clinton's bombing of the Sudan
> with no credible pretext, destroying half its pharmaceutical supplies
> and killing unknown numbers of people (no one knows, because the US
> blocked an inquiry at the UN and no one cares to pursue it). Not to
> speak of much worse cases, which easily come to mind. But that this was
> a horrendous crime is not in doubt. The primary victims, as usual, were
> working people: janitors, secretaries, firemen, etc. It is likely to
> prove to be a crushing blow to Palestinians and other poor and oppressed
> people. It is also likely to lead to harsh security controls, with many
> possible ramifications for undermining civil liberties and internal
> freedom.
>
> The events reveal, dramatically, the foolishness of the project of
> "missile defense." As has been obvious all along, and pointed out
> repeatedly by strategic analysts, if anyone wants to cause immense
> damage in the US, including weapons of mass destruction, they are highly
> unlikely to launch a missile attack, thus guaranteeing their immediate
> destruction. There are innumerable easier ways that are basically
> unstoppable. But today's events will, very likely, be exploited to
> increase the pressure to develop these systems and put them into place.
> "Defense" is a thin cover for plans for militarization of space, and
> with good PR, even the flimsiest arguments will carry some weight among
> a frightened public.
>
> In short, the crime is a gift to the hard jingoist right, those who hope
> to use force to control their domains. That is even putting aside the
> likely US actions, and what they will trigger -- possibly more attacks
> like this one, or worse. The prospects ahead are even more ominous than
> they appeared to be before the latest atrocities.

>
> As to how to react, we have a choice. We can express justified horror;
> we can seek to understand what may have led to the crimes, which means
> making an effort to enter the minds of the likely perpetrators. If we
> choose the latter course, we can do no better, I think, than to listen
> to the words of Robert Fisk, whose direct knowledge and insight into
> affairs of the region is unmatched after many years of distinguished
> reporting. Describing "The wickedness and awesome cruelty of a crushed
> and humiliated people," he writes that "this is not the war of democracy
> versus terror that the world will be asked to believe in the coming
> days. It is also about American missiles smashing into Palestinian homes
> and US helicopters firing missiles into a Lebanese ambulance in 1996 and
> American shells crashing into a village called Qana and about a Lebanese
> militia - paid and uniformed by America's Israeli ally - hacking and
> raping and murdering their way through refugee camps." And much more.
> Again, we have a choice: we may try to understand, or refuse to do so,
> contributing to the likelihood that much worse lies ahead.
>
>
>
>
>
>

4809. Re: UNITED WE STAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 12:55:13 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9nsuml$9kus7$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 59925
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

<%> wrote in message news:3ba1145c.6435434@news.fsj.net...

> >Also, I would urge everyone to fly the flag outside your house. If you
have
> >a flagpole, please fly the flag at half-mast out of respect for those who
> >have lost their lives.
> >
> >Tom
> >
> >
> ok , up goes my canadian flag

That's why I said "flag" instead of "American flag." I believe everyone
should fly the flag of their country. And if you want to fly it at
half-mast out of respect, then that's great.

Tom

4810. Re: Discone question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 12:59:51 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhc@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <9nsuvb$9u0so$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 59926

"Greg" <king.frost@home.com> wrote in message
news:t0c2qtc58edjjn9jf9004c4k9o0me3ti94@4ax.com...
>
> Shouldn't be a problem with electric wires - in our neighborhood they
> are all underground.

What I had in mind was the electrical wiring in the attic, to provide electricity for ceiling lights in different rooms, etc. But, as I said, I don't think it will matter much since electrical noise doesn't affect VHF/UHF like it does MF or HF. The only other concern would be safety, but the wires in the attic should be sufficiently insulated. If not, then you have other problems, such as a fire hazard.

Tom

4811. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 13:09:22 UTC
From: axe@mindless.com
Message-ID: <b9855f0.0109140509.6debc719@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59927
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

> > Again, we have a choice: we may try to understand, or refuse to do so,
> > contributing to the likelihood that much worse lies ahead.
> >
> I understand that freedom of speech extends to the internet and beyond,
> but... what was the point of writing this message? Do you seek to downplay
> the hurt and devistation of tuesday with events from the US military's past?
> Please, if you feel you need to celebrate this tragedy as a triumph in the
> eyes of people the US has hurt in the past, please celebrate it to yourself.
> By and large, people around the world see these acts of horror soely as
> that, horrific acts of violence. Let us grieve for those people that are
> victims of this event, don't try to downplay what has happened.
> Thanks

By and large people of the world do see it as a horrific acts of violence, and that did not downplay it a bit. It tried to make you think a bit beyond knee-jerk reaction, and to put it in a wider context then "bomb the fuckers to the stone age". It obviously failed.

4812. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 13:41:44 UTC
From: laSkydiver <kflan@home.com>
Message-ID: <3BA20921.3BC11C58@home.com>
Source record: 59928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Thanks guys! I had forgotten that people rarely understand Chomsky and usually misunderstand him. Your response actually proves his argument about a missle defense system.

To put it another way: Who would decide which commercial aircraft are 'off-course' or deemed a 'threat'? Would you fly in the united states if the government could arbitrarily decide that a minority of citizens [passengers] were expendable?

-Ken Flanagan

Kenneth Vaughan wrote:
>
> this chomsky guy is an idiot,if we had had a missile defense system in
> place,we could have blown the airplanes out of the sky in a milisecond,long
> before scrambled fighters could have reached them,and we would have averted
> a horrible tragedy. this guy is a dum-ass. elpasogunslinger
> Larry R <futures@primus.ca> wrote in message
> news:gHho7.5789$0a1.118357@amsnews02.chello.com...
> >
> > "Ashland Henderson" <maceanruig@astound.net> wrote in message
> > news:441d41d1.0109110718.3dabf534@posting.google.com...
> >
> > On the Bombings
> > Noam Chomsky
> >
> > The terrorist attacks were major atrocities. In scale they may not reach
> > the level of many others, for example, Clinton's bombing of the Sudan
> > with no credible pretext, destroying half its pharmaceutical supplies
> > and killing unknown numbers of people (no one knows, because the US
> > blocked an inquiry at the UN and no one cares to pursue it). Not to
> > speak of much worse cases, which easily come to mind. But that this was
> > a horrendous crime is not in doubt. The primary victims, as usual, were
> > working people: janitors, secretaries, firemen, etc. It is likely to
> > prove to be a crushing blow to Palestinians and other poor and oppressed
> > people. It is also likely to lead to harsh security controls, with many
> > possible ramifications for undermining civil liberties and internal
> > freedom.
> >
> > The events reveal, dramatically, the foolishness of the project of
> > "missile defense." As has been obvious all along, and pointed out
> > repeatedly by strategic analysts, if anyone wants to cause immense
> > damage in the US, including weapons of mass destruction, they are highly
> > unlikely to launch a missile attack, thus guaranteeing their immediate

> > destruction. There are innumerable easier ways that are basically
> > unstoppable. But today's events will, very likely, be exploited to
> > increase the pressure to develop these systems and put them into place.
> > "Defense" is a thin cover for plans for militarization of space, and
> > with good PR, even the flimsiest arguments will carry some weight among
> > a frightened public.
> >
> > In short, the crime is a gift to the hard jingoist right, those who hope
> > to use force to control their domains. That is even putting aside the
> > likely US actions, and what they will trigger -- possibly more attacks
> > like this one, or worse. The prospects ahead are even more ominous than
> > they appeared to be before the latest atrocities.
> >
> > As to how to react, we have a choice. We can express justified horror;
> > we can seek to understand what may have led to the crimes, which means
> > making an effort to enter the minds of the likely perpetrators. If we
> > choose the latter course, we can do no better, I think, than to listen
> > to the words of Robert Fisk, whose direct knowledge and insight into
> > affairs of the region is unmatched after many years of distinguished
> > reporting. Describing "The wickedness and awesome cruelty of a crushed
> > and humiliated people," he writes that "this is not the war of democracy
> > versus terror that the world will be asked to believe in the coming
> > days. It is also about American missiles smashing into Palestinian homes
> > and US helicopters firing missiles into a Lebanese ambulance in 1996 and
> > American shells crashing into a village called Qana and about a Lebanese
> > militia - paid and uniformed by America's Israeli ally - hacking and
> > raping and murdering their way through refugee camps." And much more.
> > Again, we have a choice: we may try to understand, or refuse to do so,
> > contributing to the likelihood that much worse lies ahead.
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

4813. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 14:02:56 UTC
From: john <prof@teamfunnel.com>
Message-ID: <3BA20E10.42C3CA4@teamfunnel.com>
Source record: 59929
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Never Falls wrote:
>
> > > > > the solution to our Osama bin Laden problem is to eradicate him from
> the
> > > > > face of the earth
> > > > >
> > > > Yes it is. I doubt it will be easy.
> > > >
> > > Like I said earlier - we're gonna have to take the Talbian out to do it.
> > > Fine with me.
> > > >
> > > Stan
> > > >
> >
> > How do you know that he's still in Afghanistan? The bugger's a
> > billionaire, he has the resources to be anywhere (you can buy a lot of
> > friends and bribe a lot of others for a billion).
>
> You think he's gonna get on a commercial airplane at this time? ;-)
>
> Stan
>
> ---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

No - but he can afford a fleet of his own planes, helicopters,
whatever. What makes you think the Afghan borders are well sealed? What
makes you think he was there on Tuesday, or last week?

4814. Re: NYC Television Transmitters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 14:50:19 UTC
From: "diz@spacelab.net" <diz>
Message-ID: <c664qt4hfc5060mhf9hp381sgos7pjvitj@4ax.com>
Source record: 59930

I'm only getting 25 with any viewable picture. Where do you guys live
to get 2? I'm below 14th. Do you think the commercial networks will
be in service any time soon?

On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 12:58:40 -0400, "Roland Stiner"
<nk2u@1stconnect.com> wrote:

>> Have the NYC television stations gone off the air since the
>> destruction of the WTC? Are there backup facilities at Empire State
>> Bldg? Any idea when they will be back on?
>
>Only channel 2 is on from Empire State Bldg. Channel 7 (ABC) is using
>channel 68's transmitter.
>
>Roland, NK2U
>
>

4815. FDNY freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 15:55:06 UTC
From: tremors834@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914115506.18801.00000532@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 59931

Anyone have the frequency that the F.D.N.Y. rescuers are using at the World Trade Center Collapse site?

4816. FA:Mint PRO-2032 (Yes)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 16:00:15 UTC
From: sky444nospam@mint.net
Message-ID: <3ba22a31.273465060@news.mint.net>
Source record: 59932

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1275106466

4817. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 16:11:11 UTC
From: Prasenjit Mitra <prasen9@Sole.Stanford.EDU>
Message-ID: <Pine.GSO.3.94.1010914085813.5201A-100000@Sole.Stanford.EDU>
Source record: 59934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

On Fri, 14 Sep 2001, Jeff wrote:

> "Larry R" <futures@primus.ca> wrote in message
> news:gHho7.5789$0a1.118357@amsnews02.chello.com...
> >
> > "Ashland Henderson" <maceanruig@astound.net> wrote in message
> > news:441d41d1.0109110718.3dabf534@posting.google.com...
> >
> > On the Bombings
> > Noam Chomsky
> >
> > The terrorist attacks were major atrocities. In scale they may not reach
> > the level of many others, for example, Clinton's bombing of the Sudan
> > with no credible pretext, destroying half its pharmaceutical supplies
> > and killing unknown numbers of people (no one knows, because the US
> > blocked an inquiry at the UN and no one cares to pursue it). Not to
> > speak of much worse cases, which easily come to mind. But that this was
> > a horrendous crime is not in doubt. The primary victims, as usual, were
> > working people: janitors, secretaries, firemen, etc. It is likely to
> > prove to be a crushing blow to Palestinians and other poor and oppressed
> > people. It is also likely to lead to harsh security controls, with many
> > possible ramifications for undermining civil liberties and internal
> > freedom.
> >
> > The events reveal, dramatically, the foolishness of the project of
> > "missile defense." As has been obvious all along, and pointed out
> > repeatedly by strategic analysts, if anyone wants to cause immense
> > damage in the US, including weapons of mass destruction, they are highly
> > unlikely to launch a missile attack, thus guaranteeing their immediate
> > destruction. There are innumerable easier ways that are basically
> > unstoppable. But today's events will, very likely, be exploited to
> > increase the pressure to develop these systems and put them into place.
> > "Defense" is a thin cover for plans for militarization of space, and
> > with good PR, even the flimsiest arguments will carry some weight among
> > a frightened public.
> >
> > In short, the crime is a gift to the hard jingoist right, those who hope
> > to use force to control their domains. That is even putting aside the
> > likely US actions, and what they will trigger -- possibly more attacks
> > like this one, or worse. The prospects ahead are even more ominous than
> > they appeared to be before the latest atrocities.
> >
> > As to how to react, we have a choice. We can express justified horror;

> > we can seek to understand what may have led to the crimes, which means
> > making an effort to enter the minds of the likely perpetrators. If we
> > choose the latter course, we can do no better, I think, than to listen
> > to the words of Robert Fisk, whose direct knowledge and insight into
> > affairs of the region is unmatched after many years of distinguished
> > reporting. Describing "The wickedness and awesome cruelty of a crushed
> > and humiliated people," he writes that "this is not the war of democracy
> > versus terror that the world will be asked to believe in the coming
> > days. It is also about American missiles smashing into Palestinian homes
> > and US helicopters firing missiles into a Lebanese ambulance in 1996 and
> > American shells crashing into a village called Qana and about a Lebanese
> > militia - paid and uniformed by America's Israeli ally - hacking and
> > raping and murdering their way through refugee camps." And much more.
> > Again, we have a choice: we may try to understand, or refuse to do so,
> > contributing to the likelihood that much worse lies ahead.
> >
> I understand that freedom of speech extends to the internet and beyond,
> but... what was the point of writing this message? Do you seek to downplay
> the hurt and devistation of tuesday with events from the US military's past?
> Please, if you feel you need to celebrate this tragedy as a triumph in the
> eyes of people the US has hurt in the past, please celebrate it to yourself.
> By and large, people around the world see these acts of horror soely as
> that, horrific acts of violence. Let us grieve for those people that are
> victims of this event, don't try to downplay what has happened.

I do not think that the intention is to downplay what has happened.
It is one of the worst atrocities committed on humanity and the world
would have been better off without it. I had friends working in the
Trade Center who luckily escaped by the skin of their teeth. In order
to make sure that such an event does not happen in the future, one
has to really think out the reasons why this happened. At least part
of the reason is atrocities committed by the US in different middle
eastern countries in the last 20 years. It is important to be careful
not to repeat the mistakes of the past. If today the US goes and
just bombs Afghan civilian targets (like in Sudan in 1998), numerous
innocent people will die. Think about the child who sees his father
a peasant die in front of his eyes when an american bomber plane
bombs his neighbourhood. Inevitably, some people will escape. This
child more likely than not will enrol in another terrorist camp to
avenge the death of his innocent father. As much as the "nuke them
all" camp likes to think, you cannot really nuke them all, without
turning it into another world war, even then you cannot get them all.
Rest assured, they will come back to haunt you in 10 more years.
Violence begets violence. On the other hand you cannot let the
terrorists go scott free. The appropriate response is to understand
that flawed foreign policies result in large domestic casualties and
change them and to "attack" the terrorists and regimes that harbour
them with a carefully thought out plan. Blanket bombing of Afghanistan
will almost surely not kill Bin Laden or the Taliban but just
create more enemies but intelligent operations can get them all.

And oh yes, it would do a world of good to take out all terrorist
operations *before* they come to haunt you, instead of only getting
Bin Laden. Imho the Taleban and the Pakistani terrorist camps are
a greater threat than bin laden. If you take out the safe havens
running such operations will be harder. The point is that it is
not easy to find and eliminate such operators, so intelligent operations
are needed. It is pointless to eliminate pharmaceutical factories
while the real terrorists are untouched.

-Prasenjit
> Thanks
>
>
>
>
>

4818. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 16:16:29 UTC
From: Keith Grossman <keithgrossman@nospam.home.com>
Message-ID: <x3qo7.66282$xb.34373911@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 59933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Axe" <axe@mindless.com> wrote:
>
> By and large people of the world do see it as a horrific acts of
> violence, and that did not downplay it a bit. It tried to make you
> think a bit beyond knee-jerk reaction, and to put it in a wider
> context then "bomb the fuckers to the stone age". It obviously
> failed.

Maybe it failed because it's a bullshit sentiment from some ultra left-wing pacifist? I've seen reference to
this article and a couple of others all over the internet and when I first read the articles themselves, I was
half expecting them to start calling the president and generals from WWII war criminals for attacking Germany.

Where do you draw the line?

Keith Grossman
D17016

4819. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 16:19:15 UTC
From: "Noshi. T." <noshitohyeah@yeahsports.com>
Message-ID: <3BA22E03.C341103C@yeahsports.com>
Source record: 59936
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Never Falls wrote:

> "Zane" <zgorove@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:xpeo7.118053$aB5.2619375@news.easynews.com...
> > Bomb them into the stone ages. I must say at the risk of someone calling
> me
> > a nazi or a jew calling me an anti -semite(sp?)This entire issue revolves
> > around the fact that we support Israel as they import people from around
> the
> > world and force Palestinians out of their homes.I think if someone forced
> me
> > off my land and treated me the way the Israelis treat them I would
> > rebel.Don't think I am justifying terrorism,just another angle of
> > thought.
>
> Make no mistake about it - this terrorist attack was specificly designed to
> force the US to severe ties with Israel.
>

hmmmm....educate me...

4820. Re: Any recordings exist of first call WTC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 16:22:26 UTC
From: Randy <rleclaire@ottawaxpress.com>
Message-ID: <e7qo7.31310$BS1.3448136@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 59935

Where can you download this, is it available using morpheus. If so what do
I type in for key words.

Randy
randy_xpress@yahoo.com

"Luke Hoffmann" <go4tcross@cs.communicate> wrote in message
news:20010913233143.18646.00000260@mb-ci.news.cs.com...
> >The video tape made by the fire officer of the first plane hitting the
> >tower has the fire officer calling it in. How is that for a first
> >call? Sound AND video (and horror).
>
> I found that video and downloaded it. I had thought that NOBODY had video
of
> it, because there was no REASON to tape the WTC.
>
>
>
> Luke Hoffmann
> Go4tcross@cs.com

4821. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 16:41:08 UTC
From: Ulrich Schuetz <Ulrich-Schuetz@t-online.de>
Message-ID: <3BA23323.4FDABEEB@t-online.de>
Source record: 59937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

I understand that you're angry, we all are, but there's one thing you are missing here: The attacks have been conducted by people who lived a normal life, in the US and Europe. They are married, go to work, it might be one of your friends, the postman or the waiter in your favorite restaurant. And, there are still others living among us until someone 'wakes' them up, maybe even after years. We have just learned that those 'sleepers' are able to kill hundrets or thousands of people by turning a jet into a weapon with minimal resources: a flight training and a knife. That's new and it's frighting and it will change the world. Every little fundementalist group in the world, islamic and others, could have this potential and you won't be able to kill them all. I think, those in charge should very well consider this before starting any kind of reaction...Again, this will change politics, it will change the world.
Ulli

David Heath wrote:

> The solution to Osama Bin Laden is to seek that cowardly bastard out and kill him and
> anyone and I mean anyone that has anything to do with him and his fucked up beliefs!
> That includes any country that would harbor that maniac. He should not live another
> day free after this shit he has pulled. We need not to hesitate to go over there and
> take care of business and thats a fact. And anyone here in the United States that
> thinks we should let by gones be by gones needs to get the fuck out!!
>
> Helen & Bob wrote:
>
> > "Talysman the Ur-Beatle" <talysman@globalsurrealism.com> wrote in message
> > news:49699b6a.0109110950.2e3c992b@posting.google.com...
> > >
> > Let me see if I've got this right, some embassies in Africa were blown up by this
> > Osama Bin Laden guy who is rumored to be hanging out in Afghanistan so President
> > Blow-Job strafed the place with Cruise Missiles murdering many civilians. Many
> > militants over on that side of the world didn't like that response and were so
> > pissed off that they were willing to give their lives to strike back at the
> > American government. So they come over here and turn Boeing jets into cruise
> > missiles and succeed in striking at the heart of the American government.
> > >
> > Now basically the same evil, bloodthirsty clowns in the U.S. Government want to
> > strike back with bombs again. Insanity is doing the same thing over and over again
> > and expecting a different result.
> > >
> > Perhaps they are not insane though? The FBI and Pentagon as well as the
> > intelligence agencies are always asking for more money and this bombing has them
> > all running around with their hat out asking for more with the implicit threat
> > that we had all better pay up or there will be more terror. The National Media is
> > on TV urging everything from bombing other countries to giving the FBI total power

> > to do what they want! Well, in addition to this being criminal behavior (terror
> > and EXTORTION) it is also a false problem.
> >
> > Osama and his crew do not want anything that we have and we do not want anything
> > that he and his people have. There is no natural conflict between us, we do not
> > even live on the same side of planet Earth. This entire corrupt conflict revolves
> > around Israel and several government agencies that are totalitarian by nature
> > (Pentagon, FBI and CIA). Eisenhower warned us all about the Military Industrial
> > Complex on his way out as President. We have not listened. The mad boys of Ruby
> > Ridge and Waco are salivating in public with their machine guns and making
> > outrageous demands. The FBI has effectively shut down all air traffic even though
> > the threat has clearly passed. The FBI is destroying the American economy. They
> > have demanded to the FAA that an FBI agent be on every plane even though there are
> > about 10,000 active FBI agents (far too many) and 35,000-40,000 flights per day in
> > America (when the FBI allows the airlines to operate).
> >
> > Take a good look at the head of the Pentagon, Donald "Twitchy" Rumsfeld who got
> > his start as a hard charging Young Republican in the Nixon Watergate
> > administration. He was trained under the paranoid Nixon-Kissinger "Coup D'etat"
> > administration. You might recall that Nixon/Kissinger thought that overthrowing
> > the obscure government of Chile would help win the "Cold War". Those two thought
> > that a Coup D'etat was the solution to any problem. These are Rumsfeld's teachers
> > and "Twitchy" Rumsfeld has that same glint in his eye and a heavy influence upon
> > America's number one dolt George Bush Jr.
> >
> > Remember all that controversy that has surrounded the FBI for many years now? That
> > vanished fast on September 11, 2001 did it not? You should be worried about that
> > if you value your freedom.
> >
> > America is still here. We are still free. That's more than the Chinese can say,
> > literally because the Chinese can't read this newsgroup, they can't post here.
> > Their government has reduced the Internet to an intranet. Almost the entire
> > Internet is firewalled off from the millions of Chinese who are online. It can
> > happen here. All it takes is your support of the Military Industrial Complex or
> > your apathy as it takes over our lives.
> >
> > Soldiers on every corner and warrantless searches are next to ensure our "safety"
> > Our "news" broadcasts are already Orwellian. Rally around the flag to defend
> > against what the American Government, the Military Industrial Complex and Israel
> > created and you will lose it all.
> >
> > The solution to our Osama problem is diplomacy. We have no conflict that cannot be
> > solved. The oil producing Arab nations need to do business with us so we have a
> > natural alliance with them, not antipathy. The conflict is Israel and neither they
> > nor we need Israel.

4822. Re: Discone question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 17:03:39 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <LLqo7.49233$f7.6081947@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59938

Attic installation is always a compromise. Height is everything at VHF/UHF. Roof or chimney mounting could get you an additional 20 feet or so of altitude which will definitely help. If you must put it in the attic, at least make sure you don't have foil backed insulation in the ceiling.

Art Harris N2AH

"Greg" <king.frost@home.com> wrote in message news:7ohvptstgc8jqgkcd4b4lcoi2eeerli2m2@4ax.com...
> I was looking at some antennas at radio shack the other day and saw
> their discone thing. Looks like it'd pick up a bit more than what I
> get with the standard one on my bc780xlt.
>
> I've got a question - do they have to be mounted outdoors? Or could I
> set one up in the attic? It would certainly be a lot easier, and I'd
> only have to drill 1 hole to put the cable though.
>
> How much less reception would I get? Any ideas?

4823. Re: NYC Television Transmitters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 17:09:24 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <8Rqo7.49269$f7.6087396@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59939

My mom lives in Nassau county. She's getting a very weak signal from Channel 2. She says Channel 11 came back on yesterday. Channel 25 is very good, and Channel 21 on Long Island is carrying WNBC/WNET/BBC at variuos times.

What amazes me is that if you visit the websites of any of the TV stations, they don't even mention that they are off the air!

<diz> wrote in message news:c664qt4hfc5060mhf9hp381sgos7pjvitj@4ax.com...
> I'm only getting 25 with any viewable picture. Where do you guys live
> to get 2? I'm below 14th. Do you think the commercial networks will
> be in service any time soon?
>
>
> On Wed, 12 Sep 2001 12:58:40 -0400, "Roland Stiner"
> <nk2u@1stconnect.com> wrote:
>
> >> Have the NYC television stations gone off the air since the
> >> destruction of the WTC? Are there backup facilities at Empire State
> >> Bldg? Any idea when they will be back on?
> > >
> > >Only channel 2 is on from Empire State Bldg. Channel 7 (ABC) is using
> > >channel 68's transmitter.
> > >
> > >Roland, NK2U
> > >
> > >
>

4824. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 17:33:46 UTC
From: JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <_bro7.1850$lx5.112530@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59940
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

How quickly could one determine a flight is off course? You are aware, I hope, that passive radar is relatively obsolete. Airplanes use transponders nowadays. Switching off the transponder simply makes the plane 'vanish' from the radar. Is it a failure of the transponder or a hijacked airliner? How quickly do (can) you respond?

The original message about 'atrocities' committed by the US simply ignores the attacks the US has suffered overseas. If a loose cannon were loose in the White House, you might see a few missiles loosed towards the guilty area - not a reasonable response in a civilized world. Unfortunately, I feel that the U.S. no longer has much choice other than to undertake a massive invasion of any country proven (beyond reasonable doubt) to be harboring people responsible for the deaths of many thousands. This will probably involve a house by house, building by building search - which means (likely) sniper fire - which means a response such as a rocket launcher. My guess is that no one has seen anything yet. This has to be stopped - now - before more countries in the civilized world suffer such fates. We have seen Lockerby - and others. Until we are all safe, none are safe. This will likely be a war and an invasion of one or more countries. This, unfortunately, will result in civilian deaths - yet will HAVE to happen. The evil MUST end.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"IaSkydiver" <kflan@home.com> wrote in message
news:3BA20921.3BC11C58@home.com...
> Thanks guys! I had forgotten that people rarely understand Chomsky and
> usually misunderstand him. Your response actually proves his argument
> about a missle defense system.
>
> To put it another way: Who would decide which commercial aircraft are
> 'off-course' or deemed a 'threat'? Would you fly in the united states
> if the government could arbitrarily decide that a minority of citizens
> [passengers] were expendable?
>
> -Ken Flanagan
>
> Kenneth Vaughan wrote:
> >
> > this chomsky guy is an idiot,if we had had a missile defense system in
> > place,we could have blown the airplanes out of the sky in a
milisecond,long
> > before scrambled fighters could have reached them,and we would have

averted
> > a horrible tragedy. this guy is a dum-ass. elpasogunslinger
> > Larry R <futures@primus.ca> wrote in message
> > news:gHho7.5789$0a1.118357@amsnews02.chello.com...
> > >
> > > "Ashland Henderson" <maceanruig@astound.net> wrote in message
> > > news:441d41d1.0109110718.3dabf534@posting.google.com...
> > >
> > > On the Bombings
> > > Noam Chomsky
> > >
> > > The terrorist attacks were major atrocities. In scale they may not
reach
> > > the level of many others, for example, Clinton's bombing of the Sudan
> > > with no credible pretext, destroying half its pharmaceutical supplies
> > > and killing unknown numbers of people (no one knows, because the US
> > > blocked an inquiry at the UN and no one cares to pursue it). Not to
> > > speak of much worse cases, which easily come to mind. But that this
was
> > > a horrendous crime is not in doubt. The primary victims, as usual,
were
> > > working people: janitors, secretaries, firemen, etc. It is likely to
> > > prove to be a crushing blow to Palestinians and other poor and
oppressed
> > > people. It is also likely to lead to harsh security controls, with
many
> > > possible ramifications for undermining civil liberties and internal
> > > freedom.
> > >
> > > The events reveal, dramatically, the foolishness of the project of
> > > "missile defense." As has been obvious all along, and pointed out
> > > repeatedly by strategic analysts, if anyone wants to cause immense
> > > damage in the US, including weapons of mass destruction, they are
highly
> > > unlikely to launch a missile attack, thus guaranteeing their immediate
> > > destruction. There are innumerable easier ways that are basically
> > > unstoppable. But today's events will, very likely, be exploited to
> > > increase the pressure to develop these systems and put them into
place.
> > > "Defense" is a thin cover for plans for militarization of space, and
> > > with good PR, even the flimsiest arguments will carry some weight
among
> > > a frightened public.
> > >
> > > In short, the crime is a gift to the hard jingoist right, those who
hope
> > > to use force to control their domains. That is even putting aside the
> > > likely US actions, and what they will trigger -- possibly more attacks
> > > like this one, or worse. The prospects ahead are even more ominous
than

> > > they appeared to be before the latest atrocities.
> > >
> > > As to how to react, we have a choice. We can express justified horror;
> > > we can seek to understand what may have led to the crimes, which means
> > > making an effort to enter the minds of the likely perpetrators. If we
> > > choose the latter course, we can do no better, I think, than to listen
> > > to the words of Robert Fisk, whose direct knowledge and insight into
> > > affairs of the region is unmatched after many years of distinguished
> > > reporting. Describing "The wickedness and awesome cruelty of a crushed
> > > and humiliated people," he writes that "this is not the war of
democracy
> > > versus terror that the world will be asked to believe in the coming
> > > days. It is also about American missiles smashing into Palestinian
homes
> > > and US helicopters firing missiles into a Lebanese ambulance in 1996
and
> > > American shells crashing into a village called Qana and about a
Lebanese
> > > militia - paid and uniformed by America's Israeli ally - hacking and
> > > raping and murdering their way through refugee camps." And much more.
> > > Again, we have a choice: we may try to understand, or refuse to do so,
> > > contributing to the likelihood that much worse lies ahead.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

4825. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 17:47:19 UTC
From: "Noshi. T." <noshitohyeah@yeahsports.com>
Message-ID: <3BA242A7.FFBD8377@yeahsports.com>
Source record: 59941
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

ok.. educate me on this one..
I thought that you could still be detected on radar even with the transponder off..
only you couldnt get important specifics such as altitude, flight path etc..??????

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:

> How quickly could one determine a flight is off course? You are aware, I
> hope, that passive radar is relatively obsolete. Airplanes use transponders
> nowadays. Switching off the transponder simply makes the plane 'vanish'
> from the radar. Is it a failure of the transponder or a hijacked airliner?
> How quickly do (can) you respond?
>

4826. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 18:16:09 UTC
From: john <prof@teamfunnel.com>
Message-ID: <3BA24969.A65C29D6@teamfunnel.com>
Source record: 59942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Noshi. T." wrote:
>
> ok.. educate me on this one..
> I thought that you could still be detected on radar even with the transponder
> off..
> only you couldnt get important specifics such as altitude, flight path
> etc..??????
>

Civilian ATC radar gives a primary response even if the xponder is off.
It only has azimuth information, no ID or altitude. Military radars
will also track altitude.

4827. Re: Any recordings exist of first call WTC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 18:40:44 UTC
From: j999w@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914144044.25361.00000251@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 59943

It's on the CNN web page, probably others as well.

jw

4828. FA: PRO-2042 with EXPORT OS-535 & Probe 6.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 18:53:44 UTC
From: helrunar@nc.rr.com
Message-ID: <Ymso7.3897$4W6.796460@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 59946

```
-----BEGIN PGP SIGNED MESSAGE-----
Hash: SHA1

http://page.auctions.yahoo.com/auction/55192165

-----BEGIN PGP SIGNATURE-----
Version: PGPfreeware 6.5.8 for non-commercial use <http://www.pgp.com>

iQA/AwUBO6JTRUetAC9/wLehEQJBnACfVNrqK2k1OyrfrkqnEoG864YaQN8AnRzz
fRPS5y6d/F7LnZnkXN3AZ3X0
=g8CJ
-----END PGP SIGNATURE-----
```

4829. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 19:04:43 UTC
From: "Noshi. T." <noshitohyeah@yeahsports.com>
Message-ID: <3BA254CB.B4C77732@yeahsports.com>
Source record: 59945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

john wrote:

> "Noshi. T." wrote:
> >
> > ok.. educate me on this one..
> > I thought that you could still be detected on radar even with the transponder
> > off..
> > only you couldnt get important specifics such as altitude, flight path
> > etc..??????
> >
>
> Civilian ATC radar gives a primary response even if the xponder is off.
> It only has azimuth information, no ID or altitude. Military radars
> will also track altitude.

in short.. I was right then..
wowohohoh
:-)

4830. nEW gROUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 19:23:26 UTC
From: RICK <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <OOso7.14121$N01.793323@news1.cableinet.net>
Source record: 59944
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UBC9000XLT

This group is intended for discussion on the use of the Uniden Bearcat 9000
XLT desk top scanner

Enjoy
RICK

---
http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/North_West_Scanning_Group
http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/Shop_Watch
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CITIZEN_BAND_RADIO_UK
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Manchester_airport
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/yupiteru_mvt7000

4831. Radio Shack scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 20:03:46 UTC
From: jaypeggin@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010914160346.18724.00000611@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 59847

Been thinking about a new hand held scanner.Any idea what radio shack portables would be a good buy. Anyone own one out there that can give me a performance report. Thanks alot
Dan in NY
jaypeggin@aol.com

4832. NYC Mil Aviation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 20:06:38 UTC
From: Arthur Harris <n2ah@spam.optonline.net>
Message-ID: <irto7.50279$f7.6329951@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59852

Listening to 225.800 MHz right now (4PM ET).

4833. Need Help Picking Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 20:14:06 UTC
From: nospam@spamfree.net
Message-ID: <3ba26124.21171542@news.azalea.net>
Source record: 59848

I've been away from the scanning scene for eons, and in looking for a new receiver this week I realized that I haven't a clue what I'm looking at, what capabilities I want, etc.

After reading numerous reviews, and a few FAQs (that left my cerebellum winded) I seem to be a LITTLE closer to a choice. I've narrowed it down to the following receivers, and I'm not really sure of the differences between them:

ICOM IC-R2
Alinco DJ-X2T
Yaesu VR-500

I'm also considering the ICOM IC-R3, and wondering if it is worth the extra $$ for the "gee-whiz" features.

Any information/experience/etc. would be greatly appreciated!

TIA

4834. RS PRO 2006 FS-perfect condition

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 20:16:09 UTC
From: dbaker@cfl.rr.com
Message-ID: <b8effb43.0109141216.6747723e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59850

Hi...the Nazi's at ebay cancelled my auction for my PRO-2006, so I am offering it here...unit is in perfect condition, comes with pull up antenna and manual, and has been sitting in my guest bedroom/office for it's whole life...I don't smoke, so there is no smoke residue whatsoever on the unit. Unit has no gaps in coverage, if you know what I mean.

$300.00 shipped by FedEx Ground anywhere in lower 48 US states. I still have the picture I used for ebay (grrrr.....I get mad when I think of those bastards)at the following URL, if you want to look at the unit:

http://www.unrealpimps.org/charlotte/Scanner.jpg

My wife has a PayPal account, as well as BillPoint, so we can do it that way if you want...or you can send a check or money order...if you use a check, I'll need to wait for it to clear before I ship the unit.

I'm selling because I have one of the new Uniden TrunkTracker II units, and I seem to enjoy listening to our local trunked public safety system a lot more...plus, I could use the money!

Call or email with any questions,

David Baker
dbaker@cfl.rr.com
Merritt Island, FL
work 877-480-8164 (toll free)
cell 321-431-7197

4835. RS PRO 2006-Excellent!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 20:20:13 UTC
From: dbaker@cfl.rr.com
Message-ID: <b8effb43.0109141220.1efc1a11@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59851

Hi...the Nazi's at ebay cancelled my auction for my PRO-2006, so I am offering it here...unit is in perfect condition, comes with pull up antenna and manual, and has been sitting in my guest bedroom/office for it's whole life...I don't smoke, so there is no smoke residue whatsoever on the unit. Unit has no gaps in coverage, if you know what I mean.

$300.00 shipped by FedEx Ground anywhere in lower 48 US states. I still have the picture I used for ebay (grrrr.....I get mad when I think of those bastards)at the following URL, if you want to look at the unit:

http://www.unrealpimps.org/charlotte/Scanner.jpg

My wife has a PayPal account, as well as BillPoint, so we can do it that way if you want...or you can send a check or money order...if you use a check, I'll need to wait for it to clear before I ship the unit.

I'm selling because I have one of the new Uniden TrunkTracker II units, and I seem to enjoy listening to our local trunked public safety system a lot more...plus, I could use the money!

Call or email with any questions,

David Baker
dbaker@cfl.rr.com
Merritt Island, FL
work 877-480-8164 (toll free)
cell 321-431-7197

4836. Re: Discone question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 20:37:40 UTC
From: Frizz <kg4ivt@hotmail.spamsucks.leaveme.alone.com>
Message-ID: <oUto7.282742$J37.73563459@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 59853

Just to add to this, I had a discone in my attic and I still do. I get OK results with it since I am in somewhat of a high area of my neighborhood. I used as a 2m ham antenna for a while too and it worked pretty well.

"Greg" <king.frost@home.com> wrote in message news:7ohvptstgc8jqgkcd4b4lcoi2eeerli2m2@4ax.com...
> I was looking at some antennas at radio shack the other day and saw
> their discone thing. Looks like it'd pick up a bit more than what I
> get with the standard one on my bc780xlt.
>
> I've got a question - do they have to be mounted outdoors? Or could I
> set one up in the attic? It would certainly be a lot easier, and I'd
> only have to drill 1 hole to put the cable though.
>
> How much less reception would I get? Any ideas?

4837. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 20:40:49 UTC
From: john <prof@teamfunnel.com>
Message-ID: <3BA26B51.73A25D4E@teamfunnel.com>
Source record: 59854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

kripps wrote:
>
> I guess I have to cancel my trek through the Khyber pass!. Not too fond of
> bombs in my dinner
>
> Cheers
>
> Noshi. T. <noshitohyeah@yeahsports.com> wrote in message
> news:3BA254CB.B4C77732@yeahsports.com...
> > john wrote:
> >
> > > "Noshi. T." wrote:
> > > >
> > > > ok.. educate me on this one..
> > > > I thought that you could still be detected on radar even with the
> transponder
> > > > off..
> > > > only you couldnt get important specifics such as altitude, flight path
> > > > etc..??????
> > > >
> > >
> > > Civilian ATC radar gives a primary response even if the xponder is off.
> > > It only has azimuth information, no ID or altitude. Military radars
> > > will also track altitude.
> >
> > in short.. I was right then..
> > wowohohoh
> > :-)
> >

True Story

In March 2000 Kevin Uliassi was attempting a solo flight around the
world in a balloon. I was on the ground crew and responsible primarily
for position plotting and web site updates. About 8 days into the
flight it seemed that he would fly across southern Afghanistan. Since
US has no diplomatic relations with the Taliban it was impossible even
to ask for overflight clearance. Of course, a balloon doesn't steer too
well either.

So our flight director called the State Department. We were told that
"they have Migs" and wouldn't hesitate to shoot down the balloon.
"How high is he flying?" said the guy at State. "29,000ft".

"They have no radar" said State. "The only way they know he's coming is if you tell them".

So for 10 hours we stopped position reporting on the web site due to "server problems". When the server came back up he had passed Afghanistan and was over Pakistan.

4838. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 21:17:24 UTC
From: kripps <oriole1@localnet.com>
Message-ID: <tq4p6unqp4m1f2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59849
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

I guess I have to cancel my trek through the Khyber pass!. Not too fond of bombs in my dinner

Cheers

Noshi. T. <noshitohyeah@yeahsports.com> wrote in message news:3BA254CB.B4C77732@yeahsports.com...
> john wrote:
>
> > "Noshi. T." wrote:
> > >
> > > ok.. educate me on this one..
> > > I thought that you could still be detected on radar even with the transponder
> > > off..
> > > only you couldnt get important specifics such as altitude, flight path
> > > etc..??????
> > >
> >
> > Civilian ATC radar gives a primary response even if the xponder is off.
> > It only has azimuth information, no ID or altitude. Military radars
> > will also track altitude.
>
> in short.. I was right then..
> wowohohoh
> :-)
>

4839. radiocollari

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 21:29:05 UTC
From: CURI <"non valido"@xxx.com>
Message-ID: <9ntsoqpfm1@serv1.iunet.it>
Source record: 59855

ciao,
su quale frequenza si basani i radiocollari?

vi ringrazio

4840. Re: Any recordings exist of first call WTC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 22:05:59 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3ba27e94.1183305@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 59856

I don't know how to save it.
It is not the full video, later on you see the fire Officer pick up
his handset and call it in over fire freq.
How do you DL or save it from CNN? All I could do was view it.

On 14 Sep 2001 03:31:43 GMT, go4tcross@cs.communicate (Luke Hoffmann)
wrote:

>>The video tape made by the fire officer of the first plane hitting the
>>tower has the fire officer calling it in. How is that for a first
>>call? Sound AND video (and horror).
>
>I found that video and downloaded it. I had thought that NOBODY had video of
>it, because there was no REASON to tape the WTC.
>
>
>
>Luke Hoffmann
>Go4tcross@cs.com

4841. Re: NYC Mil Aviation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 22:09:32 UTC
From: Arthur Harris <n2ah@spam.optonline.net>
Message-ID: <wevo7.50595$f7.6528027@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59857

Also 295.800 and 271.000 MHz.

<n2ah@spam.optonline.net> wrote in message
news:irto7.50279$f7.6329951@news02.optonline.net...
> Listening to 225.800 MHz right now (4PM ET).
>
>

4842. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 22:47:01 UTC
From: M16@StarBand.net
Message-ID: <Xns911CA0A0D746CFrog207Junocom@148.78.248.75>
Source record: 59858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

>Yup. And while we are at it let's kill North Korean Commies, Vietnamese
>Gooks, Rwanda Cannibals, Colombia Narco Lords, Peruvian Trotskists,
>Olster Separatists and Chechen Terrorists..
>
>Oh..Wait.. We already tried that.. Should be all done and kosher by
>now??
>

Half-assed measures don't count. Full measures do, like with Hitler.

Ted

4843. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 22:49:33 UTC
From: baden <bchant@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3BA28A57.FA7B0157@optushome.com.au>
Source record: 59859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Keith Grossman wrote:

> "Axe" <axe@mindless.com> wrote:
> >
> > By and large people of the world do see it as a horrific acts of
> > violence, and that did not downplay it a bit. It tried to make you
> > think a bit beyond knee-jerk reaction, and to put it in a wider
> > context then "bomb the fuckers to the stone age". It obviously
> > failed.
>
> Maybe it failed because it's a bullshit sentiment from some ultra left-wing pacifist?
I've seen reference to
> this article and a couple of others all over the internet and when I first read the
articles themselves, I was
> half expecting them to start calling the president and generals from WWII war criminals
for attacking Germany.
>
> Where do you draw the line?
>
> Keith Grossman
> D17016

Its a chicken and egg thing. Why do you think up to 24 guys were willing to kill themselves
to take out america's
symbols of commerce and defence? They didn't just wake up one day and say "Hey what the
hell lets go kill
ourselves and a whole bunch of other people." They have grievances - a long history of
grievances against the
west stretching back to...well as far as you like...the crusades...the destruction of the
ottoman empire, etc,
etc.

Not that I would suggest for one second their grievances justify what they did. The thing
is to short-circuit the
violence, otherwise anything the US does is just going to raise another army of suicide
bombers.

Another half-baked cruise missile attack is pointless. They either need to send in the FBI
arrest Bin Ladin (if
it really was him) and totally avoid any collateral damage or they need to go in with a
sledge hammer and so
totally destroy anyone who has anything to do with terrorism that it will take generations
for them to get back
to strength.

And maybe nothing the US does will make any difference. It is really hard to see anything but negatives coming
out of whatever action they take, and that is why we need to understand everything we can about the terrorists
and their motivations before the west acts. Otherwise instead of stopping the violence we will just accelerate
it.

As to Germany, if the allies had acted with restraint like Wilson wanted them to at the end of WWI there might
never have been a WWII. The Versai treaty was the foundation stone for WWII.

I'd prefer a softly softly approach whose main concern was to prevent future bombings than to get "revenge" in
this case, otherwise we'll just be setting fire to the souls of another generation of potential suicide bombers.
If we go in hard and without due consideration the horrific scenes of NY and Washington will assuredly be
repeated.

cheers,

Baden

4844. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 23:09:58 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba27e7a_2@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

> > Make no mistake about it - this terrorist attack was specificly designed
> to
> > force the US to severe ties with Israel.
> >
> Why should we have ties with Israel anyway?

They're our friends.

> They are NOT good allies.

"Everything you know is wrong, BLACK IS WHITE UP IS DOWN and SHORT IS
LONG" - Al

> They
> continue to use too much force against the Palestinians, exascerbating the
> conflict.

They will continue to defend themselves, like us.

> Ariel Sharon has been lobbying for the release of Jonathan
> Pollard, who spied on the US for Israel. Good allies don't do that. Not to
> mention Israel's transfer of military technology to China and North
> Korea.......

Ariel Sharon is an extreme right-winger, and in the game with the
terrorists. Just like our extreme right-wingers in this country.

Stan

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4845. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 23:12:06 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba27efb_3@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Kenneth Vaughan" <kenneth.vaughan@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:PZmo7.1598$lx5.92647@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> if we had had a missile defense system in
> place,we could have blown the airplanes out of the sky in a
milisecond,long
> before scrambled fighters could have reached them,and we would have
averted
> a horrible tragedy.

But we would *not* have used it against planes carrying civilians.

Stan

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4846. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 23:14:02 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba27f6f_4@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59862
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

> > You think he's gonna get on a commercial airplane at this time? ;-)
>
> No - but he can afford a fleet of his own planes, helicopters,
> whatever. What makes you think the Afghan borders are well sealed? What
> makes you think he was there on Tuesday, or last week?

And if he had planes and helicoptors in Afghanistan, that proves he was harbored there.

Stan

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4847. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 23:17:23 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba28037_3@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

A "home-boy", "Keith Grossman" <keithgrossman@nospam.home.com> whines-
> > By and large people of the world do see it as a horrific acts of
> > violence, and that did not downplay it a bit. It tried to make you
> > think a bit beyond knee-jerk reaction, and to put it in a wider
> > context then "bomb the fuckers to the stone age". It obviously
> > failed.
>
> Maybe it failed because it's a bullshit sentiment from some ultra
left-wing pacifist?

No.

Stan

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4848. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 23:18:06 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba28063_5@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

> > Make no mistake about it - this terrorist attack was specificly designed
to
> > force the US to severe ties with Israel.
>
> hmmmm....educate me...

I'm not a history teacher. Go educate yourself.

Stan

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4849. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 23:23:03 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba2818c_2@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

> What I want to ask all the pacifists out there is what it would take
> to have you defend youselves.

I've been ready for America to go after the terrorists for years now.

Stan, pacifict

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4850. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-14 23:46:45 UTC
From: John Cawston <rewarewa@ihug.co.nz>
Message-ID: <3BA296E4.B2E86B46@ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 59866
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Darkhorse wrote:

> "David Heath" <theath3740@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3BA12935.88CFAEDB@earthlink.net...
> > The solution to Osama Bin Laden is to seek that cowardly bastard out and
> kill him and
> > anyone and I mean anyone that has anything to do with him and his fucked up
> beliefs!
> > That includes any country that would harbor that maniac. He should not live
> another
> > day free after this shit he has pulled. We need not to hesitate to go over
> there and
> > take care of business and thats a fact. And anyone here in the United States
> that
> > thinks we should let by gones be by gones needs to get the fuck out!!
> >
> > Helen & Bob wrote:
> >
> Does that mean we should kill any Americans who have anything to do with the
> IRA?

A palpable hit, my friend.

Implicit in Bush's seeking of support around the world to stop terrorism is American action to stop financial support from the US to the IRA.

He may not realise this little point yet, but seeing as he's asked France, Canada and other countries to destroy known cells of insurgents in those countries, I think this little fact about the IRA might be politely mentioned.

JC

4851. Re: Radio Shack scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 00:34:20 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <gmxo7.200$BL5.2387@news>
Source record: 59867

I just bought a 200 channel Pro-76 and I love it! Only goes up to 512mhz.
But how many of us only have one or even two scanners?
Rob
"Jaypeggin" <jaypeggin@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010914160346.18724.00000611@mb-ch.aol.com...
> Been thinking about a new hand held scanner.Any idea what radio shack
portables
> would be a good buy. Anyone own one out there that can give me a
performance
> report. Thanks alot
> Dan in NY
> jaypeggin@aol.com

4852. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 01:00:35 UTC
From: Wilkinson <maverick@hal-pc.org>
Message-ID: <3BA2A833.D9C4EE32@hal-pc.org>
Source record: 59868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Good post. Really good.
Wendy W.

baden wrote:

> Keith Grossman wrote:
>
> > "Axe" <axe@mindless.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > By and large people of the world do see it as a horrific acts of
> > > violence, and that did not downplay it a bit. It tried to make you
> > > think a bit beyond knee-jerk reaction, and to put it in a wider
> > > context then "bomb the fuckers to the stone age". It obviously
> > > failed.
> > >
> > Maybe it failed because it's a bullshit sentiment from some ultra left-wing pacifist? I've seen reference to
> > this article and a couple of others all over the internet and when I first read the articles themselves, I was
> > half expecting them to start calling the president and generals from WWII war criminals for attacking Germany.
> >
> > Where do you draw the line?
> >
> > Keith Grossman
> > D17016
>
> Its a chicken and egg thing. Why do you think up to 24 guys were willing to kill themselves to take out america's
> symbols of commerce and defence? They didn't just wake up one day and say "Hey what the hell lets go kill
> ourselves and a whole bunch of other people." They have grievances - a long history of grievances against the
> west stretching back to...well as far as you like...the crusades...the destruction of the ottoman empire, etc,
> etc.
>
> Not that I would suggest for one second their grievances justify what they did. The thing is to short-circuit the
> violence, otherwise anything the US does is just going to raise another army of suicide bombers.
>
> Another half-baked cruise missile attack is pointless. They either need to send in the FBI arrest Bin Ladin (if

> it really was him) and totally avoid any collateral damage or they need to go in with a sledge hammer and so
> totally destroy anyone who has anything to do with terrorism that it will take generations for them to get back
> to strength.
>
> And maybe nothing the US does will make any difference. It is really hard to see anything but negatives coming
> out of whatever action they take, and that is why we need to understand everything we can about the terrorists
> and their motivations before the west acts. Otherwise instead of stopping the violence we will just accelerate
> it.
>
> As to Germany, if the allies had acted with restraint like Wilson wanted them to at the end of WWI there might
> never have been a WWII. The Versai treaty was the foundation stone for WWII.
>
> I'd prefer a softly softly approach whose main concern was to prevent future bombings than to get "revenge" in
> this case, otherwise we'll just be setting fire to the souls of another generation of potential suicide bombers.
> If we go in hard and without due consideration the horrific scenes of NY and Washington will assuredly be
> repeated.
>
> cheers,
>
> Baden

4853. Re: R7000 and Optolinx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 01:25:43 UTC
From: "David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammer.net>
Message-ID: <m5yo7.3006$P46.105053@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 59869

If the R7000 has a CI-V port, then go to the following location on my web site:

http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis/trunker.htm

In the "Trunker Hardware Setup" diagram, cables "A" and "C" should provide
you commuications with the R7000.

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

"Peter Brown" <sydptu@yahoo.com.au> wrote in message
news:Xsmo7.6926$Tv6.35554@news1.rdc1.nsw.optushome.com.au...
Does anyone have any clues on how to make the R7000 talk to the Optolinx, I have the R7000
remote control software. HELP!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

4854. Re: NYC Mil Aviation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 03:00:30 UTC
From: bite me <bite@me.net>
Message-ID: <ivzo7.52083$f7.7115395@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59870

282.3 pretty active

"Arthur Harris" <n2ah@spam.optonline.net> wrote in message
news:wevo7.50595$f7.6528027@news02.optonline.net...
> Also 295.800 and 271.000 MHz.
>
> <n2ah@spam.optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:irto7.50279$f7.6329951@news02.optonline.net...
> > Listening to 225.800 MHz right now (4PM ET).
> >
> >
>
>

4855. Re: Value of Pro-2022?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 03:11:37 UTC
From: bite me <bite@me.net>
Message-ID: <JFzo7.52091$f7.7140131@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59871

$75 - $125

"Larry Weil" <kc1ih@mediaone.net> wrote in message
news:kc1ih-6A0E2C.21301114092001@news.remarq.com...
> How much would I expect to get for a pro-2022 (full 800 coverage) if I
> sold it at a hamfest next month?
>
> --
> Larry Weil
> Lake Wobegone, NH

4856. Re: FDNY freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 03:13:01 UTC
From: njscan <njscan@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <1Hzo7.52093$f7.7143116@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59872

Try http://nydxa.4t.com

"Tremors834" <tremors834@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010914115506.18801.00000532@mb-ch.aol.com...
> Anyone have the frequency that the F.D.N.Y. rescuers are using at the
World
> Trade Center Collapse site?

4857. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 03:23:05 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba2bc57_5@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

If you haven't figured it out by now, terrorists are enemies of peace.

Stan
+- +- +- +-
"Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net> wrote in message news:...
> > What I want to ask all the pacifists out there is what it would take
> > to have you defend youselves.
>
> I've been ready for America to go after the terrorists for years now.
>
>
> Stan, pacifict
>
>

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4858. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 03:23:54 UTC
From: bite me <bite@me.net>
Message-ID: <eRzo7.52100$f7.7166423@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

We are not the Soviets, weapons and times are
different. Air superiority is a given.
A relentless bombing campain, carpet bombing
some areas would likely. Remember the ground
war with IRAQ ?

I

"YourBuddy!" <YourBuddy99@steve.chaney.is.a.big.fat.retard.com> wrote in
message news:p4ko7.150395$aZ.23007339@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com...
>
> "chuck cheese" <chuck@cheese.net> wrote in message
> news:7edo7.42379$f7.4881785@news02.optonline.net...
> > Most likely I believe the US would sieze control
> > of Afghanistan.
>
> The Soviets tried that, remember? Ten years and tens of thousands of dead
> Soviet soldiers and they got thumped. Do you think the US has the stomach
> for a protracted ground war? Nope.
>
>

4859. Re: drive thru frequencies????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 03:24:31 UTC
From: jason.mangiafico@verizon.net
Message-ID: <9nuhlf$a0elv$6@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 59874

my local dunkin' doughnuts is on 33.6000mhz. I hear people ordering coffee
in the morning. some with a half sugar.

In article <20010913174017.05546.00000339@mb-mk.aol.com>, ofctaz@aol.com
says...
>
>where can i get drive thru frequencies??

4860. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 03:24:41 UTC
From: Kenneth Vaughan <kenneth.vaughan@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <ZRzo7.20362$Uf1.1808741@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

baden wrote"the west is responsible for the crusades" uhh.....check your dates...this west(america) didnt exist then.....where did you people go to school... ? D.A.U?? (DUMB-ASS UNIVERSITY) ELPASOGUNSLINGER
Wilkinson <maverick@hal-pc.org> wrote in message news:3BA2A833.D9C4EE32@hal-pc.org...
> Good post. Really good.
> Wendy W.
>
> baden wrote:
>
> > Keith Grossman wrote:
> >
> > > "Axe" <axe@mindless.com> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > By and large people of the world do see it as a horrific acts of
> > > > violence, and that did not downplay it a bit. It tried to make you
> > > > think a bit beyond knee-jerk reaction, and to put it in a wider
> > > > context then "bomb the fuckers to the stone age". It obviously
> > > > failed.
> > > >
> > > Maybe it failed because it's a bullshit sentiment from some ultra left-wing pacifist? I've seen reference to
> > > this article and a couple of others all over the internet and when I first read the articles themselves, I was
> > > half expecting them to start calling the president and generals from WWII war criminals for attacking Germany.
> > > >
> > > Where do you draw the line?
> > > >
> > > Keith Grossman
> > > D17016
> > >
> > Its a chicken and egg thing. Why do you think up to 24 guys were willing to kill themselves to take out america's
> > symbols of commerce and defence? They didn't just wake up one day and say "Hey what the hell lets go kill
> > ourselves and a whole bunch of other people." They have grievances - a long history of grievances against the
> > west stretching back to...well as far as you like...the crusades...the destruction of the ottoman empire, etc,
> > etc.
> >
> > Not that I would suggest for one second their grievances justify what

they did. The thing is to short-circuit the
> > violence, otherwise anything the US does is just going to raise another
army of suicide bombers.
> >
> > Another half-baked cruise missile attack is pointless. They either need
to send in the FBI arrest Bin Ladin (if
> > it really was him) and totally avoid any collateral damage or they need
to go in with a sledge hammer and so
> > totally destroy anyone who has anything to do with terrorism that it
will take generations for them to get back
> > to strength.
> >
> > And maybe nothing the US does will make any difference. It is really
hard to see anything but negatives coming
> > out of whatever action they take, and that is why we need to understand
everything we can about the terrorists
> > and their motivations before the west acts. Otherwise instead of
stopping the violence we will just accelerate
> > it.
> >
> > As to Germany, if the allies had acted with restraint like Wilson wanted
them to at the end of WWI there might
> > never have been a WWII. The Versai treaty was the foundation stone for
WWII.
> >
> > I'd prefer a softly softly approach whose main concern was to prevent
future bombings than to get "revenge" in
> > this case, otherwise we'll just be setting fire to the souls of another
generation of potential suicide bombers.
> > If we go in hard and without due consideration the horrific scenes of NY
and Washington will assuredly be
> > repeated.
> >
> > cheers,
> >
> > Baden
>

4861. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 03:35:00 UTC
From: Kenneth Vaughan <kenneth.vaughan@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <E%zo7.20379$Uf1.1811815@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

SWITCHING OFF THE TRANSPONDER MAKES THE PLANE DISSAPPEAR FROM RADAR???COOL
,TOO BAD THEY DIDNT KNOW THAT BEFORE THEY SPENT 1 BILLION DEVELOPING STEALTH
TECHNOLOGY.I DIDNT KNOW WE HAD STEALTH 747 PASSENGER PLANES!!!! look here
dummy...switching off the transponder only turns off the planes ability to
report its vector,heading,altitude etc...not its relative position.and by
the way,planes have radios,a plane that goes off course,and wont answer the
radio......well if i was a betting man in las vegas...id bet that plane was
hi-jacked....wouldnt you. elpasogunslinger
JAMES HAMPTON <j.r.hampton@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:_bro7.1850$lx5.112530@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> How quickly could one determine a flight is off course? You are aware, I
> hope, that passive radar is relatively obsolete. Airplanes use
transponders
> nowadays. Switching off the transponder simply makes the plane 'vanish'
> from the radar. Is it a failure of the transponder or a hijacked
airliner?
> How quickly do (can) you respond?
>
> The original message about 'atrocities' committed by the US simply ignores
> the attacks the US has suffered overseas. If a loose cannon were loose in
> the White House, you might see a few missiles loosed towards the guilty
> area - not a reasonable response in a civilized world. Unfortunately, I
> feel that the U.S. no longer has much choice other than to undertake a
> massive invasion of any country proven (beyond reasonable doubt) to be
> harboring people responsible for the deaths of many thousands. This will
> probably involve a house by house, building by building search - which
means
> (likely) sniper fire - which means a response such as a rocket launcher.
My
> guess is that no one has seen anything yet. This has to be stopped -
now -
> before more countries in the civilized world suffer such fates. We have
> seen Lockerby - and others. Until we are all safe, none are safe. This
> will likely be a war and an invasion of one or more countries. This,
> unfortunately, will result in civilian deaths - yet will HAVE to happen.
> The evil MUST end.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "IaSkydiver" <kflan@home.com> wrote in message
> news:3BA20921.3BC11C58@home.com...

> > Thanks guys! I had forgotten that people rarely understand Chomsky and
> > usually misunderstand him. Your response actually proves his argument
> > about a missle defense system.
> >
> > To put it another way: Who would decide which commercial aircraft are
> > 'off-course' or deemed a 'threat'? Would you fly in the united states
> > if the government could arbitrarily decide that a minority of citizens
> > [passengers] were expendable?
> >
> > -Ken Flanagan
> >
> > Kenneth Vaughan wrote:
> > >
> > > this chomsky guy is an idiot,if we had had a missile defense system in
> > > place,we could have blown the airplanes out of the sky in a
> milisecond,long
> > > before scrambled fighters could have reached them,and we would have
> averted
> > > a horrible tragedy. this guy is a dum-ass. elpasogunslinger
> > > Larry R <futures@primus.ca> wrote in message
> > > news:gHho7.5789$0a1.118357@amsnews02.chello.com...
> > > >
> > > > "Ashland Henderson" <maceanruig@astound.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:441d41d1.0109110718.3dabf534@posting.google.com...
> > > >
> > > > On the Bombings
> > > > Noam Chomsky
> > > >
> > > > The terrorist attacks were major atrocities. In scale they may not
> reach
> > > > the level of many others, for example, Clinton's bombing of the
Sudan
> > > > with no credible pretext, destroying half its pharmaceutical
supplies
> > > > and killing unknown numbers of people (no one knows, because the US
> > > > blocked an inquiry at the UN and no one cares to pursue it). Not to
> > > > speak of much worse cases, which easily come to mind. But that this
> was
> > > > a horrendous crime is not in doubt. The primary victims, as usual,
> were
> > > > working people: janitors, secretaries, firemen, etc. It is likely to
> > > > prove to be a crushing blow to Palestinians and other poor and
> oppressed
> > > > people. It is also likely to lead to harsh security controls, with
> many
> > > > possible ramifications for undermining civil liberties and internal
> > > > freedom.
> > > >
> > > > The events reveal, dramatically, the foolishness of the project of
> > > > "missile defense." As has been obvious all along, and pointed out

> > > > repeatedly by strategic analysts, if anyone wants to cause immense
> > > > damage in the US, including weapons of mass destruction, they are
> highly
> > > > unlikely to launch a missile attack, thus guaranteeing their
immediate
> > > > destruction. There are innumerable easier ways that are basically
> > > > unstoppable. But today's events will, very likely, be exploited to
> > > > increase the pressure to develop these systems and put them into
> place.
> > > > "Defense" is a thin cover for plans for militarization of space, and
> > > > with good PR, even the flimsiest arguments will carry some weight
> among
> > > > a frightened public.
> > > >
> > > > In short, the crime is a gift to the hard jingoist right, those who
> hope
> > > > to use force to control their domains. That is even putting aside
the
> > > > likely US actions, and what they will trigger -- possibly more
attacks
> > > > like this one, or worse. The prospects ahead are even more ominous
> than
> > > > they appeared to be before the latest atrocities.
> > > >
> > > > As to how to react, we have a choice. We can express justified
horror;
> > > > we can seek to understand what may have led to the crimes, which
means
> > > > making an effort to enter the minds of the likely perpetrators. If
we
> > > > choose the latter course, we can do no better, I think, than to
listen
> > > > to the words of Robert Fisk, whose direct knowledge and insight into
> > > > affairs of the region is unmatched after many years of distinguished
> > > > reporting. Describing "The wickedness and awesome cruelty of a
crushed
> > > > and humiliated people," he writes that "this is not the war of
> democracy
> > > > versus terror that the world will be asked to believe in the coming
> > > > days. It is also about American missiles smashing into Palestinian
> homes
> > > > and US helicopters firing missiles into a Lebanese ambulance in 1996
> and
> > > > American shells crashing into a village called Qana and about a
> Lebanese
> > > > militia - paid and uniformed by America's Israeli ally - hacking and
> > > > raping and murdering their way through refugee camps." And much
more.
> > > > Again, we have a choice: we may try to understand, or refuse to do
so,

> > > > contributing to the likelihood that much worse lies ahead.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
>
>

4862. Re: radiocollari

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 03:41:51 UTC
From: jason.mangiafico@verizon.net
Message-ID: <9nuilu$a0elv$7@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 59878

english translation:

hello,
on which frequency basani the radiocollari?

you ringrazio

In article <9ntsoqpfm1@serv1.iunet.it>, nonvalido@xxx.com says...
>
>ciao,
>su quale frequenza si basani i radiocollari?
>
>vi ringrazio
>
>

4863. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 03:48:45 UTC
From: Kenneth Vaughan <kenneth.vaughan@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <xcAo7.20401$Uf1.1812517@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

i dont understand why some of you are so afraid to have a missile defense system? defense???get it?? not offensive system.a defensive system shouldnt ruffle anybodys feathers.we shouldnt have to ask for permission to defend our selve either.isnt that silly....hey mister russian....can i pleeeees have the permission to protect my own....pleeeees????some say it wont work.well.....weve come a long way since the sixties buddy,most scientists already agree its very do-able...it just requires the will to do so.remember,this is america...weve been pretty good over the last 200 years at getting shit done and making it work when we want to.....one last thing,some say it wont get all the missiles that come in.....well....lets say that (1) 20 -megaton device will vaporize 10 million people.....and lets say that 10 missiles are fired.....and 9 get through.....and 90 million people are vaporized.....but you save 10 million people. 10 million saved. .....10 MILLION SAVED.....SOUNDS WORTHWHILE TO ME.
ELPASOGUNSLINGER
IaSkydiver <kflan@home.com> wrote in message
news:3BA20921.3BC11C58@home.com...
> Thanks guys! I had forgotten that people rarely understand Chomsky and
> usually misunderstand him. Your response actually proves his argument
> about a missle defense system.
>
> To put it another way: Who would decide which commercial aircraft are
> 'off-course' or deemed a 'threat'? Would you fly in the united states
> if the government could arbitrarily decide that a minority of citizens
> [passengers] were expendable?
>
> -Ken Flanagan
>
> Kenneth Vaughan wrote:
> >
> > this chomsky guy is an idiot,if we had had a missile defense system in
> > place,we could have blown the airplanes out of the sky in a
milisecond,long
> > before scrambled fighters could have reached them,and we would have
averted
> > a horrible tragedy. this guy is a dum-ass. elpasogunslinger
> > Larry R <futures@primus.ca> wrote in message
> > news:gHho7.5789$0a1.118357@amsnews02.chello.com...
> > >
> > > "Ashland Henderson" <maceanruig@astound.net> wrote in message
> > > news:441d41d1.0109110718.3dabf534@posting.google.com...
> > >
> > > On the Bombings

> > > Noam Chomsky
> > >
> > > The terrorist attacks were major atrocities. In scale they may not
reach
> > > the level of many others, for example, Clinton's bombing of the Sudan
> > > with no credible pretext, destroying half its pharmaceutical supplies
> > > and killing unknown numbers of people (no one knows, because the US
> > > blocked an inquiry at the UN and no one cares to pursue it). Not to
> > > speak of much worse cases, which easily come to mind. But that this
was
> > > a horrendous crime is not in doubt. The primary victims, as usual,
were
> > > working people: janitors, secretaries, firemen, etc. It is likely to
> > > prove to be a crushing blow to Palestinians and other poor and
oppressed
> > > people. It is also likely to lead to harsh security controls, with
many
> > > possible ramifications for undermining civil liberties and internal
> > > freedom.
> > >
> > > The events reveal, dramatically, the foolishness of the project of
> > > "missile defense." As has been obvious all along, and pointed out
> > > repeatedly by strategic analysts, if anyone wants to cause immense
> > > damage in the US, including weapons of mass destruction, they are
highly
> > > unlikely to launch a missile attack, thus guaranteeing their immediate
> > > destruction. There are innumerable easier ways that are basically
> > > unstoppable. But today's events will, very likely, be exploited to
> > > increase the pressure to develop these systems and put them into
place.
> > > "Defense" is a thin cover for plans for militarization of space, and
> > > with good PR, even the flimsiest arguments will carry some weight
among
> > > a frightened public.
> > >
> > > In short, the crime is a gift to the hard jingoist right, those who
hope
> > > to use force to control their domains. That is even putting aside the
> > > likely US actions, and what they will trigger -- possibly more attacks
> > > like this one, or worse. The prospects ahead are even more ominous
than
> > > they appeared to be before the latest atrocities.
> > >
> > > As to how to react, we have a choice. We can express justified horror;
> > > we can seek to understand what may have led to the crimes, which means
> > > making an effort to enter the minds of the likely perpetrators. If we
> > > choose the latter course, we can do no better, I think, than to listen
> > > to the words of Robert Fisk, whose direct knowledge and insight into
> > > affairs of the region is unmatched after many years of distinguished
> > > reporting. Describing "The wickedness and awesome cruelty of a crushed

> > > and humiliated people," he writes that "this is not the war of
democracy
> > > versus terror that the world will be asked to believe in the coming
> > > days. It is also about American missiles smashing into Palestinian
homes
> > > and US helicopters firing missiles into a Lebanese ambulance in 1996
and
> > > American shells crashing into a village called Qana and about a
Lebanese
> > > militia - paid and uniformed by America's Israeli ally - hacking and
> > > raping and murdering their way through refugee camps." And much more.
> > > Again, we have a choice: we may try to understand, or refuse to do so,
> > > contributing to the likelihood that much worse lies ahead.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

4864. Re: Need Help Picking Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 03:59:06 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3BA2D20A.37A73991@mitre.org>
Source record: 59880

First, do you have any trunking radio systems in your area, if
so a Uniden or Radio Shack trunk tracker may be a more versatile
choice. If all the action in town is conventional then the small
hand helds are great. Check out the trunking frequencies on
the STRONG SIGNALS web site trunking board.
They are at the SITE LINKS at the top header of the board. And
there are Trunking Radio Reviews also.
Jim
<http://www.strongsignals.net>

HuhWuh wrote:
>
> I've been away from the scanning scene for eons, and in looking for a
> new receiver this week I realized that I haven't a clue what I'm
> looking at, what capabilities I want, etc.
>
> After reading numerous reviews, and a few FAQs (that left my
> cerebellum winded) I seem to be a LITTLE closer to a choice. I've
> narrowed it down to the following receivers, and I'm not really sure
> of the differences between them:
>
> ICOM IC-R2
> Alinco DJ-X2T
> Yaesu VR-500
>
> I'm also considering the ICOM IC-R3, and wondering if it is worth the
> extra $$ for the "gee-whiz" features.
>
> Any information/experience/etc. would be greatly appreciated!
>
> TIA

4865. Re: Any recordings exist of first call WTC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 04:44:18 UTC
From: go4tcross@cs.communicate
Message-ID: <20010915004418.07477.00000173@mb-fb.news.cs.com>
Source record: 59881

>
>Where can you download this, is it available using morpheus. If so what do
>I type in for key words.
>
>Randy
>randy_xpress@yahoo.com
>
Not sure if Morpheus has it. I got it from Kazaa, from www.kazaa.com. I just used the words "World Trade Center" in a search for all media, and I got lots of pictures and clips. This particualr clip was recorded from CNN. It shows the airliner coming from behind one building and crashing into the tower. A few seconds later, you can hear the boom from the explosion.

>"Luke Hoffmann" <go4tcross@cs.communicate> wrote in message
>news:20010913233143.18646.00000260@mb-ci.news.cs.com...
>> >The video tape made by the fire officer of the first plane hitting the
>> >tower has the fire officer calling it in. How is that for a first
>> >call? Sound AND video (and horror).
>>
>> I found that video and downloaded it. I had thought that NOBODY had video
>of
>> it, because there was no REASON to tape the WTC.
>>
>>
>>
>> Luke Hoffmann
>> Go4tcross@cs.com
>
>

Luke Hoffmann
Go4tcross@cs.com

4866. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 04:46:13 UTC
From: baden <bchant@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3BA2DDEF.421B172@optushome.com.au>
Source record: 59882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Kenneth Vaughan wrote:

> baden wrote"the west is responsible for the crusades" uhh.....check your
> dates...this west(america) didnt exist then......where did you people go to
> school... ? D.A.U?? (DUMB-ASS UNIVERSITY) ELPASOGUNSLINGER
> Wilkinson <maverick@hal-pc.org> wrote in message

Doesn't western europe count as the west anymore? It always has in any history book I ever read. The west didn't come into being when the first shipload of pilgrims hit north america you know. Western civilisation is just a tad older than that.

cheers,

Baden

>
> news:3BA2A833.D9C4EE32@hal-pc.org...
> > Good post. Really good.
> > Wendy W.
> >
> > baden wrote:
> >
> > > Keith Grossman wrote:
> > >
> > > > "Axe" <axe@mindless.com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > By and large people of the world do see it as a horrific acts of
> > > > > violence, and that did not downplay it a bit. It tried to make you
> > > > > think a bit beyond knee-jerk reaction, and to put it in a wider
> > > > > context then "bomb the fuckers to the stone age". It obviously
> > > > > failed.
> > > >
> > > > Maybe it failed because it's a bullshit sentiment from some ultra
> left-wing pacifist? I've seen reference to
> > > > this article and a couple of others all over the internet and when I
> first read the articles themselves, I was
> > > > half expecting them to start calling the president and generals from
> WWII war criminals for attacking Germany.
> > > >
> > > > Where do you draw the line?
> > > >
> > > > Keith Grossman
> > > > D17016
> > >

> > > Its a chicken and egg thing. Why do you think up to 24 guys were willing
> to kill themselves to take out america's
> > > symbols of commerce and defence? They didn't just wake up one day and
> say "Hey what the hell lets go kill
> > > ourselves and a whole bunch of other people." They have grievances - a
> long history of grievances against the
> > > west stretching back to...well as far as you like...the crusades...the
> destruction of the ottoman empire, etc,
> > > etc.
> > >
> > > Not that I would suggest for one second their grievances justify what
> they did. The thing is to short-circuit the
> > > violence, otherwise anything the US does is just going to raise another
> army of suicide bombers.
> > >
> > > Another half-baked cruise missile attack is pointless. They either need
> to send in the FBI arrest Bin Ladin (if
> > > it really was him) and totally avoid any collateral damage or they need
> to go in with a sledge hammer and so
> > > totally destroy anyone who has anything to do with terrorism that it
> will take generations for them to get back
> > > to strength.
> > >
> > > And maybe nothing the US does will make any difference. It is really
> hard to see anything but negatives coming
> > > out of whatever action they take, and that is why we need to understand
> everything we can about the terrorists
> > > and their motivations before the west acts. Otherwise instead of
> stopping the violence we will just accelerate
> > > it.
> > >
> > > As to Germany, if the allies had acted with restraint like Wilson wanted
> them to at the end of WWI there might
> > > never have been a WWII. The Versai treaty was the foundation stone for
> WWII.
> > >
> > > I'd prefer a softly softly approach whose main concern was to prevent
> future bombings than to get "revenge" in
> > > this case, otherwise we'll just be setting fire to the souls of another
> generation of potential suicide bombers.
> > > If we go in hard and without due consideration the horrific scenes of NY
> and Washington will assuredly be
> > > repeated.
> > >
> > > cheers,
> > >
> > > Baden
> >

4867. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 04:49:02 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba2cdf3_3@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"baden" <bchant@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:3BA2DDEF.421B172@optushome.com.au...
> Doesn't western europe count as the west anymore?

No.

Stan

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4868. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 05:07:48 UTC
From: skycam@pdq.net
Message-ID: <ED589D482DCEEBB8.1D66D0657D13FB64.7D4047E71141EAE5@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 59884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

On Sat, 15 Sep 2001 03:23:54 GMT, "bite me" <bite@me.net> wrote:

>We are not the Soviets, weapons and times are
>different. Air superiority is a given.
>A relentless bombing campain, carpet bombing
>some areas would likely. Remember the ground
>war with IRAQ ?
>

True, we are not the Soviets but that makes little difference. Don't get me wrong, I want to see the people who are responsible for the horror in NYC and DC to swing as much as anyone but Afghanistan is a tough nut to crack and a protracted ground war will be fraught with death on both sides. I don't doubt this country's resolve but it's not going to be like Iraq.

First off, no one, NO ONE has taken Afghanistan since Alexander the Great and he was passing through-he didn't try to hold it. The British tried and couldn't-not for long in any case (much of their control was based on payoffs to the local chiefs) -beyond the Kyber Pass-it was really in the hands of the local Badmashi. The British retreat out of Afghanistan in the early 1840's is a testimonial to how tough the land is -especially during a Winter campaign- and how advantageous it is for defenders or for guerilla ops. The Soviet campaigns in the early 80's speaks for itself.

Second, the terrain is NOT Iraq-it is more akin to the Rockies and the locals know the ground. We don't.

Third, unlike Iraq, the locals are mostly armed with nothing larger than carry stuff which means our satellites are going to not have much to look at and predict what is going to be where so we can hit it.

Fourth, (just a guess) but at minimum I would say three helicopter borne infantry divisions for a start with as many people in support and it's feasible that we will have Kabul and the immediate surrounding area but, still-we will take casualties.

Fifth, we are capable of taking Kabul and toppling their government but still, prying the locals out of the hills will not be like Iraq.

Again-I do not doubt America's resolve not do I doubt the abilities of our armed forces but I do doubt that an Afghan winter offensive will be anything at all like Iraq.

Finally, if there is a place called hell, it is reserved for those who
brought death and destruction to New York and Washington.

...bsrp
...jlk

4869. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 05:09:30 UTC
From: Kenneth Vaughan <kenneth.vaughan@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <eoBo7.2580$lx5.171322@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

well then...why did they attack america instead of western europe? the two
are not the same thing. elpasogunslinger
baden <bchant@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:3BA2DDEF.421B172@optushome.com.au...
>
>
> Kenneth Vaughan wrote:
>
> > baden wrote"the west is responsible for the crusades" uhh.....check your
> > dates...this west(america) didnt exist then......where did you people go
to
> > school... ? D.A.U?? (DUMB-ASS UNIVERSITY) ELPASOGUNSLINGER
> > Wilkinson <maverick@hal-pc.org> wrote in message
>
> Doesn't western europe count as the west anymore? It always has in any
history
> book I ever read. The west didn't come into being when the first shipload
of
> pilgrims hit north america you know. Western civilisation is just a tad
older
> than that.
>
> cheers,
>
> Baden
>
>
> >
> > news:3BA2A833.D9C4EE32@hal-pc.org...
> > > Good post. Really good.
> > > Wendy W.
> > >
> > > baden wrote:
> > >
> > > > Keith Grossman wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > "Axe" <axe@mindless.com> wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > By and large people of the world do see it as a horrific acts of
> > > > > > violence, and that did not downplay it a bit. It tried to make
you
> > > > > > think a bit beyond knee-jerk reaction, and to put it in a wider
> > > > > > context then "bomb the fuckers to the stone age". It obviously

> > > > > > failed.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Maybe it failed because it's a bullshit sentiment from some ultra
> > left-wing pacifist? I've seen reference to
> > > > > > this article and a couple of others all over the internet and when
I
> > first read the articles themselves, I was
> > > > > > half expecting them to start calling the president and generals
from
> > WWII war criminals for attacking Germany.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Where do you draw the line?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Keith Grossman
> > > > > > D17016
> > > > > >
> > > > > Its a chicken and egg thing. Why do you think up to 24 guys were
willing
> > to kill themselves to take out america's
> > > > > symbols of commerce and defence? They didn't just wake up one day
and
> > say "Hey what the hell lets go kill
> > > > > ourselves and a whole bunch of other people." They have grievances -
a
> > long history of grievances against the
> > > > > west stretching back to...well as far as you like...the
crusades...the
> > destruction of the ottoman empire, etc,
> > > > > etc.
> > > > >
> > > > > Not that I would suggest for one second their grievances justify
what
> > they did. The thing is to short-circuit the
> > > > > violence, otherwise anything the US does is just going to raise
another
> > army of suicide bombers.
> > > > >
> > > > > Another half-baked cruise missile attack is pointless. They either
need
> > to send in the FBI arrest Bin Ladin (if
> > > > > it really was him) and totally avoid any collateral damage or they
need
> > to go in with a sledge hammer and so
> > > > > totally destroy anyone who has anything to do with terrorism that it
> > will take generations for them to get back
> > > > > to strength.
> > > > >
> > > > > And maybe nothing the US does will make any difference. It is really
> > hard to see anything but negatives coming
> > > > > out of whatever action they take, and that is why we need to

understand
> > everything we can about the terrorists
> > > > and their motivations before the west acts. Otherwise instead of
> > stopping the violence we will just accelerate
> > > > it.
> > > >
> > > > As to Germany, if the allies had acted with restraint like Wilson
wanted
> > them to at the end of WWI there might
> > > > never have been a WWII. The Versai treaty was the foundation stone
for
> > WWII.
> > > >
> > > > I'd prefer a softly softly approach whose main concern was to
prevent
> > future bombings than to get "revenge" in
> > > > this case, otherwise we'll just be setting fire to the souls of
another
> > generation of potential suicide bombers.
> > > > If we go in hard and without due consideration the horrific scenes
of NY
> > and Washington will assuredly be
> > > > repeated.
> > > >
> > > > cheers,
> > > >
> > > > Baden
> > >
>

4870. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 05:09:30 UTC
From: Wilkinson <maverick@hal-pc.org>
Message-ID: <3BA2E28A.48D9AECC@hal-pc.org>
Source record: 59886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Well, ya know -- I think that western Europe could still be considered the western world. Especially if you're referring to the middle ages, from the point of view of the middle east. Just a thought there. We're not the ONLY west out there.

Wendy W.

Kenneth Vaughan wrote:

> baden wrote"the west is responsible for the crusades" uhh.....check your
> dates...this west(america) didnt exist then......where did you people go to
> school... ? D.A.U?? (DUMB-ASS UNIVERSITY) ELPASOGUNSLINGER
> Wilkinson <maverick@hal-pc.org> wrote in message
> news:3BA2A833.D9C4EE32@hal-pc.org...
> > Good post. Really good.
> > Wendy W.
> >
> > baden wrote:
> >
> > > Keith Grossman wrote:
> > >
> > > > "Axe" <axe@mindless.com> wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > By and large people of the world do see it as a horrific acts of
> > > > > violence, and that did not downplay it a bit. It tried to make you
> > > > > think a bit beyond knee-jerk reaction, and to put it in a wider
> > > > > context then "bomb the fuckers to the stone age". It obviously
> > > > > failed.
> > > >
> > > > Maybe it failed because it's a bullshit sentiment from some ultra
> left-wing pacifist? I've seen reference to
> > > > this article and a couple of others all over the internet and when I
> first read the articles themselves, I was
> > > > half expecting them to start calling the president and generals from
> WWII war criminals for attacking Germany.
> > > >
> > > > Where do you draw the line?
> > > >
> > > > Keith Grossman
> > > > D17016
> > >
> > > Its a chicken and egg thing. Why do you think up to 24 guys were willing
> to kill themselves to take out america's
> > > symbols of commerce and defence? They didn't just wake up one day and

> say "Hey what the hell lets go kill
> > > ourselves and a whole bunch of other people." They have grievances - a
> long history of grievances against the
> > > west stretching back to...well as far as you like...the crusades...the
> destruction of the ottoman empire, etc,
> > > etc.
> > >
> > > Not that I would suggest for one second their grievances justify what
> they did. The thing is to short-circuit the
> > > violence, otherwise anything the US does is just going to raise another
> army of suicide bombers.
> > >
> > > Another half-baked cruise missile attack is pointless. They either need
> to send in the FBI arrest Bin Ladin (if
> > > it really was him) and totally avoid any collateral damage or they need
> to go in with a sledge hammer and so
> > > totally destroy anyone who has anything to do with terrorism that it
> will take generations for them to get back
> > > to strength.
> > >
> > > And maybe nothing the US does will make any difference. It is really
> hard to see anything but negatives coming
> > > out of whatever action they take, and that is why we need to understand
> everything we can about the terrorists
> > > and their motivations before the west acts. Otherwise instead of
> stopping the violence we will just accelerate
> > > it.
> > >
> > > As to Germany, if the allies had acted with restraint like Wilson wanted
> them to at the end of WWI there might
> > > never have been a WWII. The Versai treaty was the foundation stone for
> WWII.
> > >
> > > I'd prefer a softly softly approach whose main concern was to prevent
> future bombings than to get "revenge" in
> > > this case, otherwise we'll just be setting fire to the souls of another
> generation of potential suicide bombers.
> > > If we go in hard and without due consideration the horrific scenes of NY
> and Washington will assuredly be
> > > repeated.
> > >
> > > cheers,
> > >
> > > Baden
> >

4871. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 05:13:34 UTC
From: "P.G. Felton" <felton@princeton.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA2E37D.E5EB10E0@princeton.edu>
Source record: 59887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Never Falls wrote:

> "Zane" <zgorove@erols.com> wrote in message
> news:xpeo7.118053$aB5.2619375@news.easynews.com...
> > Bomb them into the stone ages. I must say at the risk of someone calling
> me
> > a nazi or a jew calling me an anti -semite(sp?)This entire issue revolves
> > around the fact that we support Israel as they import people from around
> the
> > world and force Palestinians out of their homes.I think if someone forced
> me
> > off my land and treated me the way the Israelis treat them I would
> > rebel.Don't think I am justifying terrorism,just another angle of
> > thought.
>
> Make no mistake about it - this terrorist attack was specificly designed to
> force the US to severe ties with Israel.
>

Well if it's bin Laden it's more likely to be to sever ties with Saudi Arabia!

Phil.

4872. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 05:34:54 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-6E67FE.01345415092001@[207.35.177.134]>
Source record: 59888

In article <PZmo7.1598$lx5.92647@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Kenneth Vaughan" <kenneth.vaughan@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> if we had had a missile defense system in
> place,we could have blown the airplanes out of the sky in a
> milisecond,long
> before scrambled fighters could have reached them,and we would have
> averted
> a horrible tragedy. this guy is a dum-ass.

I'd like to hear your responses to some points.

1. The national missile defense program is designed to stop ballistic missiles. An airplane flying at office-tower heights has nothing in common with a ballistic missle. Neither the missile-tracking sensors nor the weapons used to knock down the incoming missiles are designed to track or target aircraft. How would NMD stop the planes?

2. Even if it could shoot down an aircraft, someone somewhere would have to decide to shoot it down. No matter what weapon was used, how long do you think it would take for that to be authorized? Who would authorize it? Shooting down a airliner with civilian passengers over a densely populated city is not something that can be decided in a matter of minutes. Would there have been time to authorize it before the other plane hit?

3. "This guy" suggests that before the United States goes and makes more enemies in the world, it thinks first about how it got into this mess in the first place. I agree that what happened in abhorently awful; I believe that the U.S. should not show weakness at this time. But have you never heard of learning from your mistakes? That's not "dum" -- it's exactly what's needed. Chomsky is trying to keep those past mistakes in mind while the country thinks about what to do next. If you read what he says carefully, he is not against retaliation - he is merely against continuing to make the same mistakes that got the country to where it is.

-A

4873. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 05:37:24 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-667E7A.01372415092001@[207.35.177.134]>
Source record: 59889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

In article <3BA20FD5.87032D88@earthlink.net>, David Heath
<theath3740@earthlink.net> wrote:

> We are not living in the past dipshit.

The *whole point* of what Chomsky had to say is that we are most definitely living in the *results* of past actions. Only a "dipshit" would charge ahead with a kneejerk reaction without thinking about how past kneejerk reactions have brought us to where we are today. Don't be one of those dipshits.

-A

4874. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 05:57:22 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-D08FF7.01572215092001@[207.35.177.134]>
Source record: 59890

In article
<xcAo7.20401$Uf1.1812517@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Kenneth
Vaughan" <kenneth.vaughan@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> i dont understand why some of you are so afraid to have a missile defense
> system? defense???get it?? not offensive system.a defensive system
> shouldnt ruffle anybodys feathers.we shouldnt have to ask for permission
> to defend our selve either.isnt that silly....hey mister russian....can
> i pleeeees have the permission to protect my own....pleeeees????

The reason NMD requires permission is that the United States, among
other countries, explicitly agreed not to build defenses against
ballistic missiles under the Anti-Ballistic Missile Treaty. You don't
believe in keeping your word or honoring contracts?

Furthermore, the reason a defensive system can upset other countries is
that it negates their offensive weapons. That sounds logical, sure. But
the entire Cold War was based on the race to invalidate the other guys'
weapons and keep building new ways to kill each other. NMD will merely
drive other nations with ballistic and nuclear weapons (China, Korea,
etc) to get back into the business of researching new weapons.

Let's say you and I both have knives. Neither of us feels like attacking
the other, because neither of us has any particular advantage. We're at
peace. No one else is coming along with knives either. Why then would
you go and put on armor that protects against knives? Every other guy is
going to go and get guns. You're more vulnerable now, not less.

People against NMD have long argued that the U.S. is infinitessimally
less likely to come under ballistic missile attack than it is to suffer
from acts of conventional terrorism. They were very clearly right.

> it just requires the will to do so.

And a ton of money, and it will piss off a lot of countries. I wouldn't
mind spending all that money if there was a reasonable chance of the
U.S. getting attacked by something NMD could stop, but I have not been
persuaded that there is. On the other hand, pissing off a lot of
countries is *exactly* why there are people like Osama bin Laden out
there and *exactly* why terrorists attack American targets all the time.

> lets say that (1) 20 -megaton device will vaporize 10 million people....

Let's say that your worst enemies don't have 20-megaton rockets but
instead use pocket-knives and boxcutters to hijack airliners and fly
them into buildings ... now what?

-A

4875. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 06:11:09 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-1C3D31.02110915092001@[207.35.177.134]>
Source record: 59891

In article <eRzo7.52100$f7.7166423@news02.optonline.net>, "bite me" <bite@me.net> wrote:

> We are not the Soviets, weapons and times are
> different. Air superiority is a given.
> A relentless bombing campain, carpet bombing
> some areas would likely. Remember the ground
> war with IRAQ ?

I hate to burst your bubble, but a U.S. invasion of Afghanistan would look a lot like Vietnam.

1. The Soviets had perfectly good soldiers and equipment.

2. They also had air superiority.

3. Relentless bombing and carpet bombing would be nowhere near as effective in that terrain as it was in the Gulf for the same reasons it didn't work in Vietnam.

4. The ground war with Iraq was against mostly concentrated military formations, not rebels hiding in villages with AK-47s.

-A

4876. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 06:37:11 UTC
From: Larry de Silva <larrydesilva@ozemail.com.au>
Message-ID: <QECo7.5885$iH4.402427@ozemail.com.au>
Source record: 59892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"baden" <bchant@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
news:3BA28A57.FA7B0157@optushome.com.au...
>
>
> Keith Grossman wrote:
>
> > "Axe" <axe@mindless.com> wrote:
> > >
> > > By and large people of the world do see it as a horrific acts of
> > > violence, and that did not downplay it a bit. It tried to make you
> > > think a bit beyond knee-jerk reaction, and to put it in a wider
> > > context then "bomb the fuckers to the stone age". It obviously
> > > failed.
> >
> > Maybe it failed because it's a bullshit sentiment from some ultra
left-wing pacifist? I've seen reference to
> > this article and a couple of others all over the internet and when I
first read the articles themselves, I was
> > half expecting them to start calling the president and generals from
WWII war criminals for attacking Germany.
> >
> > Where do you draw the line?
> >
> > Keith Grossman
> > D17016
>
> Its a chicken and egg thing. Why do you think up to 24 guys were willing
to kill themselves to take out america's
> symbols of commerce and defence? They didn't just wake up one day and say
"Hey what the hell lets go kill
> ourselves and a whole bunch of other people." They have grievances - a
long history of grievances against the
> west stretching back to...well as far as you like...the crusades...the
destruction of the ottoman empire, etc,
> etc.
>
> Not that I would suggest for one second their grievances justify what they
did. The thing is to short-circuit the
> violence, otherwise anything the US does is just going to raise another
army of suicide bombers.
>
> Another half-baked cruise missile attack is pointless. They either need to
send in the FBI arrest Bin Ladin (if

> it really was him) and totally avoid any collateral damage or they need to go in with a sledge hammer and so
> totally destroy anyone who has anything to do with terrorism that it will take generations for them to get back
> to strength.
>
> And maybe nothing the US does will make any difference. It is really hard to see anything but negatives coming
> out of whatever action they take, and that is why we need to understand everything we can about the terrorists
> and their motivations before the west acts. Otherwise instead of stopping the violence we will just accelerate
> it.
>
> As to Germany, if the allies had acted with restraint like Wilson wanted them to at the end of WWI there might
> never have been a WWII. The Versai treaty was the foundation stone for WWII.
>
> I'd prefer a softly softly approach whose main concern was to prevent future bombings than to get "revenge" in
> this case, otherwise we'll just be setting fire to the souls of another generation of potential suicide bombers.
> If we go in hard and without due consideration the horrific scenes of NY and Washington will assuredly be
> repeated.

Again, well spoken Baden. Good stuff.

Larrikin

>
> cheers,
>
> Baden
>
>

4877. Re: Poor Canadian Trash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 06:53:39 UTC
From: say@sfu.ca
Message-ID: <nMbzevmnKPsl-pn2-dGy1LF0IZwkZ@s39-annex8k1.dialin.sfu.ca>
Source record: 59893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest

```
, Michael Black <blackm00@gloria.cam.org> wrote:
> On 13 Sep 2001, Laura Halliday wrote:
> > I've seen this several times in the last couple of days.
> > It was originally broadcast on 5 June 1973. Mr. Sinclair
> > himself died in 1984.
> >
> > It's interesting, and I always respected Mr. Sinclair,
> > but I wish people would stop pretending it's current.
> > It isn't.
> >
> I consider the message a virus, because it's popping up everywhere.
> I certainly am curious about how it was introduced at this time.
>
> They played the original broadcast today here in Montreal, on
> CJAD. Gord Sinclair (jr), who is no longer so junior, is the
> news director there. Apparently he was getting something like
> "20 emails an hour" about the old editorial. It's not clear
> whether people had old memories, or if the email was the
> effect of the message showing up on the internet.....
```

Sinclair, Gordon Allan, journalist, author, radio commentator, television panelist (b at Toronto 3 June 1900; d there 17 May 1984). He began a long and often controversial career when he joined the Toronto Star in 1922 after a modest education and various dead-end jobs. During the Depression when the country yearned for release, Sinclair, on assignment for the Star, transported his readers to faraway places, from war-torn China to Africa. He began his radio career on 6 June 1944 when he broadcast the news of the D-Day invasion over CFRB, Toronto. He continued to broadcast news and comment, his own feature, "Let's Be Personal" and "Showbiz," and he was an original panelist on the CBC's enduring FRONT PAGE CHALLENGE. He gained international recognition in 1973 when he broadcast on CFRB an essay that became known as "The Americans. " It was subsequently recorded with a musical background and became so popular that Sinclair was revered by Americans, including Richard Nixon, John Wayne and Ronald Reagan. He was author of several books including Footloose in India, Cannibal Quest, Khyber Caravan and Will the Real Gordon Sinclair Please Stand Up?
Author: BOB HESKETH
Suggested Reading: Scott Young, Gordon Sinclair: A Life ... And Then Some (1987).

The 1999 Canadian Encyclopedia: World Edition Copyright © 1998 by
McClelland & Stewart Inc.

And he was an old curmudgeon then when most
rational Canadians had written him off.

|-_,-~-___···__·_·_·_·_·_·_·_·_·_·_·_·_·
For real Dxing, see http://www.asahi-net.or.jp/~vz6g-iwt/index.html

4878. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 07:41:56 UTC
From: Keith Grossman <keithgrossman@nospam.home.com>
Message-ID: <8DDo7.68607$xb.35774823@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 59894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net> came out of hiding to expound:
> >
> > Maybe it failed because it's a bullshit sentiment from some ultra
> left-wing pacifist?
>
> No.

Yes, absolutely.

I just noticed that this thread has been crossposted to several newsgroups. I am posting from the
rec.skydiving newsgroup and it's really very obvious that we have nothing in common so could you all just
exclude our ng from your discussions from now on? Cool.

Oh, and you folks and Norm Chomsky might not want to go suckin' each other's dicks just yet either because
despite all of your bullshit rhetoric, we are about to get violent.

Keith Grossman
D17016

4879. CP Rail squelch codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 08:29:00 UTC
From: Pat Deethardt <deethardt@tomah.com>
Message-ID: <deethardt-57DDAD.03281915092001@news.charter.net>
Source record: 59895

Anybody know if CP Rail uses any type of squelch codes, or do they just use carrier squelch? I'm mostly interested in their Wisconsin operations, but other areas would be useful too.

Thanks,

Pat

4880. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 09:15:04 UTC
From: Phil Wise <philwise@paradise.net.nz>
Message-ID: <IMEo7.8623$ww1.817981@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 59896
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Keith Grossman" <keithgrossman@nospam.home.com> wrote in message
news:8DDo7.68607$xb.35774823@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> "Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net> came out of hiding to expound:
> > >
> > > Maybe it failed because it's a bullshit sentiment from some ultra
> > left-wing pacifist?
> > >
> > No.
> >
> Yes, absolutely.
>
> I just noticed that this thread has been crossposted to several
newsgroups. I am posting from the
> rec.skydiving newsgroup and it's really very obvious that we have nothing
in common so could you all just
> exclude our ng from your discussions from now on? Cool.
>
> Oh, and you folks and Norm Chomsky might not want to go suckin' each
other's dicks just yet either because
> despite all of your bullshit rhetoric, we are about to get violent.

The glee in this last remark takes the gloves created by respect for the innocent dead off. How can you be so excited about adding to them?

Embrace violence of the sort that created this mess, yeah. Ignore basic history from one of America's greatest intellectuals (yes, Mr Chomski), and with it the chance to learn the odd lesson, pull your heads out of the sand. Prefer to believe that America is a peaceful nation (you've been fighting between two and four wars at a time since 1990), and that this assault came out of nowhere.

America is enormously powerful. It has the power to grant the terrorists their wish, and start world war three, where they can finally unite the islamic world against the west (believe me, the Islamic world as a whole would prefer to avoid this, but world war one started in a similar fashion). America also has the power to spare the world this fate. I say this unequivocally, as a man with children: if world war three starts over this, it will be the fault of the US. They had all the choices. They will have given a fanatical fringe element exactly what they want, and sucked us all into something terrible as a result. So ignore history. Let the violence begin. Insult people who disagree with you, all in the name of freedom and righteous anger. Please let Bush's advisors be smarter than this.

Now back to your recklessness.

>
> Keith Grossman
> D17016
>
>
>

4881. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 09:39:17 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba311f6_1@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

> well then...why did they attack america instead of western europe?

They *do* attack Western (and Eastern) Europe.

Stan

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4882. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 09:40:08 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba31229_5@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

> > Make no mistake about it - this terrorist attack was specificly designed
to
> > force the US to severe ties with Israel.
>
> Well if it's bin Laden it's more likely to be to sever ties with Saudi
Arabia!

I doubt it.

Stan

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4883. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 09:42:36 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba312bd_3@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59799
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

> > > Maybe it failed because it's a bullshit sentiment from some ultra
> > left-wing pacifist?
> >
> > No.
>
> Yes, absolutely.

'Garbage'.

> I just noticed that this thread has been crossposted to several
newsgroups. I am posting from the
> rec.skydiving newsgroup and it's really very obvious that we have nothing
in common so could you all just
> exclude our ng from your discussions from now on? Cool.
>
> Oh, and you folks and Norm Chomsky might not want to go suckin' each
other's dicks just yet either because
> despite all of your bullshit rhetoric, we are about to get violent.

"Racing cars, hit and run" - Camel

Stan,
http://camel.4mg.com/

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4884. Initial 2-hours of WTC attack fire traffic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 10:36:11 UTC
From: Ron Britvich <ron@askearth.com>
Message-ID: <vaGo7.877$yI2.258605172@twister2.starband.net>
Source record: 59800

Does anyone know of a Manhattan FDNY dispatch archive of the initial couple of hours of fire traffic for the WTC attack? I'm very curious as to the timing of the alarms and any other interesting radio traffic.

Thanks in advance

4885. Re: NYC Mil Aviation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 11:23:39 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <%SGo7.57720$f7.7677823@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59801

"bite me" <bite@me.net> wrote in message
news:ivzo7.52083$f7.7115395@news02.optonline.net...
> 282.3 pretty active
>

Yes, 282.3 is an FAA high altitude Mil Air Traffic Control freq for JFK.
It's based in Matawan, NJ.

From about 2PM to after 8PM yesterday (Friday), I was hearing all kinds of stuff related to the Presidents visit to NYC. There were AWACS ("Bandsaw Lima" and "Bandsaw Mike") overseeing a variety of Tankers ("Fueler 91" etc.) and Fighters (F16s with callsigns like "Remit 63" "Tops 41" etc.). Their mission was to escort Air Force One from Washington D.C. to McQuire AFB, and then escort Marine One from McQuire to lower Manhattan.

The most active freqs were: 225.8, 271.0, 295.8, 263.0, and 263.6

For most of the time, the aircraft were just "orbiting" and watching their fuel levels while GW was on the ground. Several air refueling operations were conducted. When Marine One took off from Manhattan, there were three decoy helocopters with it. The escorts were having trouble figuring which one was their "package."

4886. FS: NEW SONY ICF_SC1PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 11:45:35 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3BA33E70.5404DC67@home.com>
Source record: 59802

I have for sale a new Sony ICF-SC1PC hand held scanner. I bought this
radio to take on business trips and never did have a chance to take it
with me. This scanner covers 25 to 1300 MHz continuous but excludes
cellular frquencies. It has 300 memory channels and is pc programable
with the included software and accessories. I am the original owner and
bought this brand new.
I am asking $150.00 plus shipping from 48026.

4887. FS: ICOM IC-R100 COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 11:48:49 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3BA33F31.935DDEED@home.com>
Source record: 59803

I have for sale a mint Icom IC-R100 Communications Receiver. This radio has never been mobile and I am a non smoker. I have the box, owners manual, sales brochure and all included accessories. The R100 covers 0.1-800MHz in the AM, FM and wide FM modes.
I am asking $425.00 plus shipping from 48026.

4888. Re: NYC Mil Aviation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 12:36:18 UTC
From: bite me <bite@me.net>
Message-ID: <6XHo7.58404$f7.7754476@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59804

Interesting. I had heard when the president was
leaving. One of the pilots said "The Package is
leaving".

I will check my frequencies and add any you have listed that i don't have
programmed.

"Harris" <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com> wrote in message
news:%SGo7.57720$f7.7677823@news02.optonline.net...
>
> "bite me" <bite@me.net> wrote in message
> news:ivzo7.52083$f7.7115395@news02.optonline.net...
> > 282.3 pretty active
> >
>
> Yes, 282.3 is an FAA high altitude Mil Air Traffic Control freq for JFK.
> It's based in Matawan, NJ.
>
> From about 2PM to after 8PM yesterday (Friday), I was hearing all kinds of
> stuff related to the Presidents visit to NYC. There were AWACS ("Bandsaw
> Lima" and "Bandsaw Mike") overseeing a variety of Tankers ("Fueler 91"
etc.)
> and Fighters (F16s with callsigns like "Remit 63" "Tops 41" etc.). Their
> mission was to escort Air Force One from Washington D.C. to McQuire AFB,
and
> then escort Marine One from McQuire to lower Manhattan.
>
> The most active freqs were: 225.8, 271.0, 295.8, 263.0, and 263.6
>
> For most of the time, the aircraft were just "orbiting" and watching their
> fuel levels while GW was on the ground. Several air refueling operations
> were conducted. When Marine One took off from Manhattan, there were three
> decoy helocopters with it. The escorts were having trouble figuring which
> one was their "package."
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4889. Re: NEW SONY ICF_SC1PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 13:16:58 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <exIo7.6831$CR2.7501417@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 59805

Alas, when Sony discontinued that scanner, it wasn't difficult to pick one up for $100 new. I'm in the same position as you, having bought one when it was selling for considerably more. I've decided just to hang onto mine and keep it for emergency use, say, when the power goes out or in the event of an impending blizzard and I want to monitor road or weather conditions.

"Dean Kastoris" <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message news:3BA33E70.5404DC67@home.com...
> I have for sale a new Sony ICF-SC1PC hand held scanner. I bought this
> radio to take on business trips and never did have a chance to take it
> with me. This scanner covers 25 to 1300 MHz continuous but excludes
> cellular frquencies. It has 300 memory channels and is pc programable
> with the included software and accessories. I am the original owner and
> bought this brand new.
> I am asking $150.00 plus shipping from 48026.

4890. Re: Cleveland Ohio PD back in clear?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 13:40:05 UTC
From: chief305@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26063-3BA35A35-32@storefull-106.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 59809

There is still some interesting listening in Cleveland:
453.500- RTA PD
453.675-Cleve.Bd of Edu.Security Ch.5
453.600-" " " " " 3
453.250- Cuy. County Protective Services
453.775- CMHA PD Ch 1
151.160-Metro Parks
151.190- " "
151.310- " "
151.460- " "
461.075- Cleve Clinic PD
464.875-Tower City Security (shared freq.)
159.090-CCC Police
423.050-Sheriff-Ch.1
423.175-" " 2
423.300-" " 3
423.875- N.Randall/Warrensville Ch.1
424.000- " " " " 2
423.475- " " " " 4
424.725-Jacobs Field -CPD
154.935- Ohio LEERN (Law Enf. Emerg.Rad.Net.)

Dave

CPD TC

4891. Re: NYC Mil Aviation

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 13:40:16 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4TIo7.15747$n5.2427064@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 59806

Actually, the fighters that escorted the president from McGuire were F-15s.
They flying really low over my house. The F-16s from the Vermont ANG were
maintaining their patrol at 25,000 to 27,000 feet over NYC airspace. They
had a few tankers with them as well.

4892. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 13:57:04 UTC
From: bite me <bite@me.net>
Message-ID: <Q6Jo7.58443$f7.7866432@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59807

I have to disagree. Afghanistan through its wars
have a country that is wore torn. The infrastructure
is in rubbles. The Taliban are hated by it's own
people.

This country is ripe for the taken.....

"Alasdair McKie" <amckie@ottawa.com> wrote in message
news:amckie-1C3D31.02110915092001@[207.35.177.134]...
> In article <eRzo7.52100$f7.7166423@news02.optonline.net>, "bite me"
> <bite@me.net> wrote:
>
> > We are not the Soviets, weapons and times are
> > different. Air superiority is a given.
> > A relentless bombing campain, carpet bombing
> > some areas would likely. Remember the ground
> > war with IRAQ ?
>
> I hate to burst your bubble, but a U.S. invasion of Afghanistan would
> look a lot like Vietnam.
>
> 1. The Soviets had perfectly good soldiers and equipment.
>
> 2. They also had air superiority.
>
> 3. Relentless bombing and carpet bombing would be nowhere near as
> effective in that terrain as it was in the Gulf for the same reasons it
> didn't work in Vietnam.
>
> 4. The ground war with Iraq was against mostly concentrated military
> formations, not rebels hiding in villages with AK-47s.
>
> -A

4893. Full 800 band Pro 43 for sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 14:01:33 UTC
From: Larry Cohen <ppcdoc@home.com>
Message-ID: <1bJo7.16244$xB1.4143532@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 59808

Like new condition...
Email me directly with inquiries....don't post them here...
Larry
ppcdoc@home.com

4894. BC245 Scanner Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 15:01:15 UTC
From: "diz@spacelab.net" <diz>
Message-ID: <q6r6qtk5i18h9ej9vsvc1673ersbj93i0j@4ax.com>
Source record: 59810

I want to hook my 245 to the computer and would like to know if anyone could recommend software for it. Freeware if possible, but anything else worth getting would be appreciated. Thanks

4895. Re: NEW SONY ICF_SC1PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 15:07:52 UTC
From: Barry <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <3BA36EC8.214C594@snet.net>
Source record: 59811

Fart Eddie wrote:
>
> Alas, when Sony discontinued that scanner, it wasn't difficult to pick one
> up for $100 new. I'm in the same position as you, having bought one when it
> was selling for considerably more. I've decided just to hang onto mine and
> keep it for emergency use, say, when the power goes out or in the event of
> an impending blizzard and I want to monitor road or weather conditions.
>
> "Dean Kastoris" <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message
> news:3BA33E70.5404DC67@home.com...
> > I have for sale a new Sony ICF-SC1PC hand held scanner. I bought this
> > radio to take on business trips and never did have a chance to take it
> > with me. This scanner covers 25 to 1300 MHz continuous but excludes
> > cellular frquencies. It has 300 memory channels and is pc programable
> > with the included software and accessories. I am the original owner and
> > bought this brand new.
> > I am asking $150.00 plus shipping from 48026.

Since all the closeouts are long gone, I don't think his asking price is
all that unreasonable. The same thing happens to Radio Shack
scanners. Radio Shack blows out discontinued radios for 25% of the
original price. Once they're gone, the used price seems to head back up
quite a bit. In reality, it makes no difference if you paid full price
or blowout price when you sell something used.

Barry

4896. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 15:29:31 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3BA3656C.663657EF@hotmail.com>
Source record: 59812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Switching off the transponder DOES NOT make an aircraft 'vanish' from radar. When the transponder is switched off all ATC sees is just a blip with no identification. When the transponders were switched off, the aircraft deviated from their flight plans, and ATC could not raise any communications, that should have spelled hijack in big red letters in ATC. We see another failure in air traffic safety because of the lackadaisical attitude that has been the norm for the FAA for quite some time now.

JAMES HAMPTON wrote:

> How quickly could one determine a flight is off course? You are aware, I
> hope, that passive radar is relatively obsolete. Airplanes use transponders
> nowadays. Switching off the transponder simply makes the plane 'vanish'
> from the radar. Is it a failure of the transponder or a hijacked airliner?
> How quickly do (can) you respond?

4897. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 15:39:04 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3BA367A9.47339B97@hotmail.com>
Source record: 59813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

More pacifists bull crap. I guess you just want to set around and do nothing until your children are murdered by another attack by these radical extremist? They only understand violence and the only way to respond to them is in kind. Violence is all they understand. You cannot reason with unreasonable people. You think the attacks on the WTC and the Pentagon were bad, you haven't seen anything yet unless the one behind Ben Laden and the terrorist are killed, and that would be Saddam Hussein.

Phil Wise wrote:

>
>
> The glee in this last remark takes the gloves created by respect for the
> innocent dead off. How can you be so excited about adding to them?
>
> Embrace violence of the sort that created this mess, yeah. Ignore basic
> history from one of America's greatest intellectuals (yes, Mr Chomski), and
> with it the chance to learn the odd lesson, pull your heads out of the sand.
> Prefer to believe that America is a peaceful nation (you've been fighting
> between two and four wars at a time since 1990), and that this assault came
> out of nowhere.
>
> America is enormously powerful. It has the power to grant the terrorists
> their wish, and start world war three, where they can finally unite the
> islamic world against the west (believe me, the Islamic world as a whole
> would prefer to avoid this, but world war one started in a similar fashion).
> America also has the power to spare the world this fate. I say this
> unequivocally, as a man with children: if world war three starts over this,
> it will be the fault of the US. They had all the choices. They will have
> given a fanatical fringe element exactly what they want, and sucked us all
> into something terrible as a result. So ignore history. Let the violence
> begin. Insult people who disagree with you, all in the name of freedom and
> righteous anger. Please let Bush's advisors be smarter than this.
>
> Now back to your recklessness.
>
> >
> > Keith Grossman
> > D17016
> >
> >
> >

4898. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 15:45:15 UTC
From: Richard McCollum <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <fIKo7.2957$lx5.217503@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"JJ" <radiobuff@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3BA3656C.663657EF@hotmail.com...
>
> JAMES HAMPTON wrote:
>
> > How quickly could one determine a flight is off course? You are aware,
I
> > hope, that passive radar is relatively obsolete. Airplanes use
transponders
> > nowadays. Switching off the transponder simply makes the plane 'vanish'
> > from the radar. Is it a failure of the transponder or a hijacked
airliner?
> > How quickly do (can) you respond?

> Switching off the transponder DOES NOT make an aircraft 'vanish' from
radar.
> When the transponder is switched off all ATC sees is just a blip with no
> identification. When the transponders were switched off, the aircraft
deviated
> from their flight plans, and ATC could not raise any communications, that
should
> have spelled hijack in big red letters in ATC. We see another failure in
air
> traffic safety because of the lackadaisical attitude that has been the
norm for
> the FAA for quite some time now.

What I don't know about ATC would fill volumes but I recall a story of an Air Canada 757 when they were new and the fuel guages did not work. Canada had gone metric so the guy dipping the tanks (legal, fuel guages are not required) converted to kiligrams and left the plane with half the fuel load for Toronto-Vancouver. When it ran out over Manitoba and the electrics stopped, the propellor-generator gizmo lowered out of the nose did not do a whole lot and the transponder failed. This resulted in the plane dropping off radar on five of six radars at Winnipeg. The plane ultimately landed safely at an old RCAF strip with an Armco barrier across it to prevent drag racing.

The radar in question was due for removal in two weeks and the pilot was the Canadian glider champion. Sounds like a minor miracle.

Seems kind of silly to ignore blips from non-transpondered planes; might it be possible that only some viewers get the raw stuff. Damfino.

Dick

4899. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend / What are we gonna do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 15:52:15 UTC
From: frost <nospam_sixfrost@yahoo.com_loddi_doddi_we_like_to_party>
Message-ID: <3BA37B34.3DCCCB22@yahoo.com_loddi_doddi_we_like_to_party>
Source record: 59815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Jerry, I agree with you on many points.

Afghanistan was for my Russian people what Vietnam was for my American people. Soviets had the edge for the first year with their helicopters, which gave them air superiority. But then the US gave the mujahedeen a wonder toy, the Stinger missile. The war was lost. It cost many lives, nothing was accomplished. Same can happen if war is started again. Yes we will have the firepower, but every kid is your enemy that will shoot you in the back when you turn it. The support of the locals, the terrain, the faith, and the fact that they have nothing to lose but much to gain, even if they die, will play a major factor.

I see two solutions, both of which are VERY costly. Eradicate them all, or rehabilitate them all. Only if you change these peoples minds (which I think is impossible) you may accomplish something. It's easier to destroy, start a holocaust, eradicate with prejudice. The Jihad has been going on for 2 thousand years! It's been around much longer then the US and most of the world. Nothing can stop it. These people are 300-400 years behind culturally, it's impossible to bargain with them, try to deal with them. Their faith tells them to kill and to die for Allah is the goal. We call them cowards. They die KNOWING that they were heroes to their faith, KNOWING that what they did was right, KNOWING they were going to their heaven. How can you change that?? We are coming to a day when they will carry a small nuclear device in their back pack to the key targets and take out whole cities instead of a block, kill millions instead of thousands. Will you and I be one of those? May be, may be not, but our kids will be. Bin Laden said that every American citizen is a fair target, civilian or not in his Fatwah. He declared a war on us back in 96 and made it clearer in 98! It's time to take serious action and strike back.

"Jerry K." wrote:
>
> On Sat, 15 Sep 2001 03:23:54 GMT, "bite me" <bite@me.net> wrote:
>
> >We are not the Soviets, weapons and times are
> >different. Air superiority is a given.
> >A relentless bombing campain, carpet bombing
> >some areas would likely. Remember the ground
> >war with IRAQ ?
> >
>
> True, we are not the Soviets but that makes little difference. Don't
> get me wrong, I want to see the people who are responsible for the

> horror in NYC and DC to swing as much as anyone but Afghanistan is a
> tough nut to crack and a protracted ground war will be fraught with
> death on both sides. I don't doubt this country's resolve but it's
> not going to be like Iraq.
>
> First off, no one, NO ONE has taken Afghanistan since Alexander the
> Great and he was passing through-he didn't try to hold it. The
> British tried and couldn't-not for long in any case (much of their
> control was based on payoffs to the local chiefs) -beyond the Kyber
> Pass-it was really in the hands of the local Badmashi. The British
> retreat out of Afghanistan in the early 1840's is a testimonial to how
> tough the land is -especially during a Winter campaign- and how
> advantageous it is for defenders or for guerilla ops. The Soviet
> campaigns in the early 80's speaks for itself.
>
> Second, the terrain is NOT Iraq-it is more akin to the Rockies and the
> locals know the ground. We don't.
>
> Third, unlike Iraq, the locals are mostly armed with nothing larger
> than carry stuff which means our satellites are going to not have much
> to look at and predict what is going to be where so we can hit it.
>
> Fourth, (just a guess) but at minimum I would say three helicopter
> borne infantry divisions for a start with as many people in support
> and it's feasible that we will have Kabul and the immediate
> surrounding area but, still-we will take casualties.
>
> Fifth, we are capable of taking Kabul and toppling their government
> but still, prying the locals out of the hills will not be like Iraq.
>
> Again-I do not doubt America's resolve not do I doubt the abilities of
> our armed forces but I do doubt that an Afghan winter offensive will
> be anything at all like Iraq.
>
> Finally, if there is a place called hell, it is reserved for those who
> brought death and destruction to New York and Washington.
>
> ...bsrp
> ...jlk

--
Michael Shatalov
USPA B-24090
82nd 1995-99
ii

"There is no free fall from the sky only freedom to open your parachute"

4900. FDNY UHF FREQS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 16:27:50 UTC
From: wnyf76@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010915122750.06923.00000369@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 59816

Can anyone advise which of the new UHF Handie Talkie freqs are being used
and what the PL's are if any,

4901. This may sound wierd but....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 16:47:06 UTC
From: bite me <bite@me.net>
Message-ID: <eCLo7.61101$f7.8144673@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59817
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Since any form of a homosexual act is forbidden
in Islam....

If it's possible to have bin laden and his followers
raped in the ass and broadcasted by satellite throughout
the globe his own people will shun and try to kill them.

I know it's strange.. But come on.. Its BRILLIANT!

4902. Re: This may sound wierd but....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 17:10:22 UTC
From: Rene <r.berkel@hetnet.nl>
Message-ID: <eELfangPBHA.335@net005s.hetnet.nl>
Source record: 59819
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

```
Bin Laden is a homosexual so wat is the problem, they are afread for wat the
are themself
"bite me" <bite@me.net> schreef in bericht
news:eCLo7.61101$f7.8144673@news02.optonline.net...
> Since any form of a homosexual act is forbidden
> in Islam....
>
> If it's possible to have bin laden and his followers
> raped in the ass and broadcasted by satellite throughout
> the globe his own people will shun and try to kill them.
>
> I know it's strange.. But come on.. Its BRILLIANT!
>
>
```

4903. Re: This may sound wierd but....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 17:13:08 UTC
From: "diz@spacelab.net" <diz>
Message-ID: <pu27qtcpnibuuksgvtpal3islhu2rvhes2@4ax.com>
Source record: 59818
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

Geez, if we could a pig to do the deed...

On Sat, 15 Sep 2001 16:47:06 GMT, "bite me" <bite@me.net> wrote:

>Since any form of a homosexual act is forbidden
>in Islam....
>
>If it's possible to have bin laden and his followers
>raped in the ass and broadcasted by satellite throughout
>the globe his own people will shun and try to kill them.
>
>I know it's strange.. But come on.. Its BRILLIANT!
>

4904. Re: This may sound wierd but....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 17:13:12 UTC
From: Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca>
Message-ID: <3BA38C28.382F070B@utoronto.ca>
Source record: 59820
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

```
bite me wrote:
>
> Since any form of a homosexual act is forbidden
> in Islam....
>
> If it's possible to have bin laden and his followers
> raped in the ass and broadcasted by satellite throughout
> the globe his own people will shun and try to kill them.
>
> I know it's strange.. But come on.. Its BRILLIANT!

It's your idea, so it's up to you ...

--
Michael Moore
```

4905. Re: BC245 Scanner Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 17:40:22 UTC
From: DJ6JZ <DJ6JZ@compuserve.de>
Message-ID: <3ba3a1dd_2@news.arcor-ip.de>
Source record: 59828

<diz> schrieb in im Newsbeitrag:
q6r6qtk5i18h9ej9vsvc1673ersbj93i0j@4ax.com...
> I want to hook my 245 to the computer and would like to know if anyone
> could recommend software for it. Freeware if possible, but anything
> else worth getting would be appreciated. Thanks

Search for
245
at http://www.radio-portal.org
and limit the search to the category "Software".

Regards,
Willi

4906. Re: RS PRO 2006-Excellent!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 18:09:44 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Message-ID: <IPMo7.5280$Sy1.4481056@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 59821

David,

Why are you giving it away? It sold for over $300.00 new! You should get at least $450.00.

-jim

"David Baker" <dbaker@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message news:b8effb43.0109141220.1efc1a11@posting.google.com...
> Hi...the Nazi's at ebay cancelled my auction for my PRO-2006, so I am
> offering it here...unit is in perfect condition, comes with pull up
> antenna and manual, and has been sitting in my guest bedroom/office
> for it's whole life...I don't smoke, so there is no smoke residue
> whatsoever on the unit. Unit has no gaps in coverage, if you know what
> I mean.
>
> $300.00 shipped by FedEx Ground anywhere in lower 48 US states. I
> still have the picture I used for ebay (grrrr.....I get mad when I
> think of those bastards)at the following URL, if you want to look at
> the unit:
>
> http://www.unrealpimps.org/charlotte/Scanner.jpg
>
> My wife has a PayPal account, as well as BillPoint, so we can do it
> that way if you want...or you can send a check or money order...if you
> use a check, I'll need to wait for it to clear before I ship the unit.
>
> I'm selling because I have one of the new Uniden TrunkTracker II
> units, and I seem to enjoy listening to our local trunked public
> safety system a lot more...plus, I could use the money!
>
> Call or email with any questions,
>
> David Baker
> dbaker@cfl.rr.com
> Merritt Island, FL
> work 877-480-8164 (toll free)
> cell 321-431-7197

4907. Re: RS PRO 2006-Excellent!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 18:18:49 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010915141849.16026.00000306@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 59822

>Why are you giving it away? It sold for over $300.00 new! You should get at
>least $450.00.

He is not giving it away. $300.00 is an average, acceptable price for this
scanner.

Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

4908. Re: This may sound wierd but....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 18:19:43 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010915141943.16026.00000307@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 59823

>If it's possible to have bin laden and his followers
>raped in the ass and broadcasted by satellite throughout
>the globe his own people will shun and try to kill them.

This makes it obvious why we are doomed in this country...
Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

4909. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 18:21:24 UTC
From: Toll Free <tollfree@bigradios.com>
Message-ID: <tq771aqhc5ncf1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Actually, it has been proven that they aren't done yet.

So don't be so quick to condemn them.... I would venture to guess that we will be seeing
more terrorist attacks before it is all over.

I pray I'm wrong, however.

TollHolio

"Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net> wrote in message news:3ba12585_4@news.newzpig.com...
> A "faceless coward" whines and lies (for instance, they didn't hit the heart
> of our government, only the heart of our military and financial
> institutions):
> > "Talysman the Ur-Beatle" <talysman@globalsurrealism.com> wrote in message
> > news:49699b6a.0109110950.2e3c992b@posting.google.com...
> >
> > Let me see if I've got this right, some embassies in Africa were blown up
> by this
> > Osama Bin Laden guy who is rumored to be hanging out in Afghanistan so
> President
> > Blow-Job strafed the place with Cruise Missiles murdering many civilians.
> Many
> > militants over on that side of the world didn't like that response and
> were so
> > pissed off that they were willing to give their lives to strike back at
> the
> > American government. So they come over here and turn Boeing jets into
> cruise
> > missiles and succeed in striking at the heart of the American government.
> >
> > Now basically the same evil, bloodthirsty clowns in the U.S. Government
> want to
> > strike back with bombs again. Insanity is doing the same thing over and
> over again
> > and expecting a different result.
> >
> > Perhaps they are not insane though? The FBI and Pentagon as well as the

> > intelligence agencies are always asking for more money and this bombing
> has them
> > all running around with their hat out asking for more with the implicit
> threat
> > that we had all better pay up or there will be more terror. The National
> Media is
> > on TV urging everything from bombing other countries to giving the FBI
> total power
> > to do what they want! Well, in addition to this being criminal behavior
> (terror
> > and EXTORTION) it is also a false problem.
> >
> > Osama and his crew do not want anything that we have and we do not want
> anything
> > that he and his people have. There is no natural conflict between us, we
> do not
> > even live on the same side of planet Earth. This entire corrupt conflict
> revolves
> > around Israel and several government agencies that are totalitarian by
> nature
> > (Pentagon, FBI and CIA). Eisenhower warned us all about the Military
> Industrial
> > Complex on his way out as President. We have not listened. The mad boys
of
> Ruby
> > Ridge and Waco are salivating in public with their machine guns and
making
> > outrageous demands. The FBI has effectively shut down all air traffic
even
> though
> > the threat has clearly passed. The FBI is destroying the American
economy.
> They
> > have demanded to the FAA that an FBI agent be on every plane even though
> there are
> > about 10,000 active FBI agents (far too many) and 35,000-40,000 flights
> per day in
> > America (when the FBI allows the airlines to operate).
> >
> > Take a good look at the head of the Pentagon, Donald "Twitchy" Rumsfeld
> who got
> > his start as a hard charging Young Republican in the Nixon Watergate
> > administration. He was trained under the paranoid Nixon-Kissinger "Coup
> D'etat"
> > administration. You might recall that Nixon/Kissinger thought that
> overthrowing
> > the obscure government of Chile would help win the "Cold War". Those two
> thought
> > that a Coup D'etat was the solution to any problem. These are Rumsfeld's
> teachers

> > and "Twitchy" Rumsfeld has that same glint in his eye and a heavy
> influence upon
> > America's number one dolt George Bush Jr.
> >
> > Remember all that controversy that has surrounded the FBI for many years
> now? That
> > vanished fast on September 11, 2001 did it not? You should be worried
> about that
> > if you value your freedom.
> >
> > America is still here. We are still free. That's more than the Chinese
can
> say,
> > literally because the Chinese can't read this newsgroup, they can't post
> here.
> > Their government has reduced the Internet to an intranet. Almost the
> entire
> > Internet is firewalled off from the millions of Chinese who are online.
It
> can
> > happen here. All it takes is your support of the Military Industrial
> Complex or
> > your apathy as it takes over our lives.
> >
> > Soldiers on every corner and warrantless searches are next to ensure our
> "safety"
> > Our "news" broadcasts are already Orwellian. Rally around the flag to
> defend
> > against what the American Government, the Military Industrial Complex
and
> Israel
> > created and you will lose it all.
> >
> > The solution to our Osama problem is diplomacy. We have no conflict that
> cannot be
> > solved. The oil producing Arab nations need to do business with us so we
> have a
> > natural alliance with them, not antipathy. The conflict is Israel and
> neither they
> > nor we need Israel.
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>
> ---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4910. Uniden Bearcat 200XLT 4 Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 18:31:38 UTC
From: GB McCrary <n4jkn@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <e8No7.167581$8c3.24186327@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 59825

Uniden Bearcat 200XLT Scanner. Cheap. Click below to view/bid on it.
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1274904407

4911. Re: This may sound wierd but....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 18:33:18 UTC
From: Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <58No7.7643$UE4.940112@nnrp5-w.sbc.net>
Source record: 59826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

```
Michael Moore <m.moore@utoronto.ca> wrote in message
news:3BA38C28.382F070B@utoronto.ca...
> bite me wrote:
> >
> > Since any form of a homosexual act is forbidden
> > in Islam....
> >
> > If it's possible to have bin laden and his followers
> > raped in the ass and broadcasted by satellite throughout
> > the globe his own people will shun and try to kill them.
> >
> > I know it's strange.. But come on.. Its BRILLIANT!

It's called prison.
>
> It's your idea, so it's up to you ...

Prison with cameras.

>
>
> --
> Michael Moore
```

4912. Re: nEW gROUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 18:39:27 UTC
From: jm415@nauticom.net
Message-ID: <3BA3A05F.91649F4C@nauticom.net>
Source record: 59827
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks Rick for the heads up. I have the 9000 working at this time. It's a pretty good scanner.

RICK wrote:

> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/UBC9000XLT
>
> This group is intended for discussion on the use of the Uniden Bearcat 9000
> XLT desk top scanner
>
> Enjoy
> RICK
>
> ---
> http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/North_West_Scanning_Group
> http://www.groups.yahoo.com/group/Shop_Watch
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/CITIZEN_BAND_RADIO_UK
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Manchester_airport
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/yupiteru_mvt7000

4913. Re: This may sound wierd but....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 18:47:08 UTC
From: tim gueguen <ad058@sfn.saskatoon.sk.ca>
Message-ID: <MmNo7.4821$5r4.1156326@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com>
Source record: 59829
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"bite me" <bite@me.net> wrote in message
news:eCLo7.61101$f7.8144673@news02.optonline.net...
> Since any form of a homosexual act is forbidden
> in Islam....
>
> If it's possible to have bin laden and his followers
> raped in the ass and broadcasted by satellite throughout
> the globe his own people will shun and try to kill them.
>
> I know it's strange.. But come on.. Its BRILLIANT!
>
Its silly. If the Western powers could get their hands on him they'd have
far better things to do with him, like putting him on trial for murder.

tim gueguen 101867

4914. Bell Walkie Talkies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 20:16:48 UTC
From: Robin Orr <rhorr@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <QGOo7.21239$Uf1.1902359@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59831

Can I listen to the Bell Mod. FS2000? If so, what frequencies do I use?
What do the initials "CTCSS" stand for, please?
Thank you....

4915. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 20:21:16 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba3a86b_1@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"JJ" <radiobuff@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3BA367A9.47339B97@hotmail.com...
> More pacifists bull crap.

I don't think Keith is a real pacifict, I think he's a pretennabee (tm).

Stan, pacifict

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4916. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 20:24:27 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba3a92b_4@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Toll Free" <tollfree@bigradios.com> wrote in message
news:tq771aqhc5ncf1@corp.supernews.com...
> Actually, it has been proven that they aren't done yet.
>
> So don't be so quick to condemn them.... I would venture to guess that we
> will be seeing
> more terrorist attacks before it is all over.

Duh!

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4917. Re: NEW SONY ICF_SC1PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 21:00:43 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <%jPo7.7258$CR2.7689540@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 59833

Hmm, the price you paid for something has no bearing on what you sell it for used? Tut, tut, my good man. Of course it does. The reasons are so apparent that I won't waste time explaining it to you.

I never said they price was unreasonable, although I wouldn't pay it. If I were in the market for that scanner, I would try to find it for less than what's he asking because I know there are X number of people who have purchased it for a lower price. Even if they were to ask what they paid for the scanner, I would still be able to buy for $50 less than this particular one.

Common sense.

"Barry" <nospam@snet.net> wrote in message news:3BA36EC8.214C594@snet.net...
>
> Since all the closeouts are long gone, I don't think his asking price is
> all that unreasonable. The same thing happens to Radio Shack
> scanners. Radio Shack blows out discontinued radios for 25% of the
> original price. Once they're gone, the used price seems to head back up
> quite a bit. In reality, it makes no difference if you paid full price
> or blowout price when you sell something used.
>
> Barry

4918. Re: RS PRO 2006-Excellent!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 21:02:33 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <JIPo7.7259$CR2.7690098@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 59834

If someone pays $450 for that scanner it is because he's an idiot and the guy who sells it to him is a con artist.

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message news:IPMo7.5280$Sy1.4481056@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> David,
>
> Why are you giving it away? It sold for over $300.00 new! You should get at
> least $450.00.
>
> -jim
>
>
> "David Baker" <dbaker@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:b8effb43.0109141220.1efc1a11@posting.google.com...
> > Hi...the Nazi's at ebay cancelled my auction for my PRO-2006, so I am
> > offering it here...unit is in perfect condition, comes with pull up
> > antenna and manual, and has been sitting in my guest bedroom/office
> > for it's whole life...I don't smoke, so there is no smoke residue
> > whatsoever on the unit. Unit has no gaps in coverage, if you know what
> > I mean.
> >
> > $300.00 shipped by FedEx Ground anywhere in lower 48 US states. I
> > still have the picture I used for ebay (grrrr.....I get mad when I
> > think of those bastards)at the following URL, if you want to look at
> > the unit:
> >
> > http://www.unrealpimps.org/charlotte/Scanner.jpg
> >
> > My wife has a PayPal account, as well as BillPoint, so we can do it
> > that way if you want...or you can send a check or money order...if you
> > use a check, I'll need to wait for it to clear before I ship the unit.
> >
> > I'm selling because I have one of the new Uniden TrunkTracker II
> > units, and I seem to enjoy listening to our local trunked public
> > safety system a lot more...plus, I could use the money!
> >
> > Call or email with any questions,
> >
> > David Baker
> > dbaker@cfl.rr.com
> > Merritt Island, FL
> > work 877-480-8164 (toll free)

> > cell 321-431-7197
>
>

4919. 900MHz Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 21:48:49 UTC
From: Bob <rwscull@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <51Qo7.9912$IE3.1115384@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 59835

Greetings,
I am able to monitor my local school district school buses on 935.3625 but I also pick up some local businesses on this freq also. Is this part of a 900MHz trunked system or do I need to use a PL on this freq? If it is part of a 900MHz trunked system would anyone know what the other freqs in this type of system would be and do I need an input freq? Any help would be appreciated.

Thanks,
Bob

4920. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 22:12:07 UTC
From: Keith Grossman <keithgrossman@nospam.home.com>
Message-ID: <XmQo7.71165$xb.37080024@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 59836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net> wrote:
>
> > More pacifists bull crap.
>
> I don't think Keith is a real pacifict, I think he's a pretennabee (tm).

Actually, JJ was responding to Phil Wise who was responding to me.

I assure you...I am not a pacifist in any sense of the word (although I do like "pretennabee").

Keith Grossman
D17016

4921. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 22:18:51 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba3c3fa_4@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Keith Grossman" <keithgrossman@nospam.home.com> wrote in message
news:XmQo7.71165$xb.37080024@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> "Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net> wrote:
> >
> > > More pacifists bull crap.
> >
> > I don't think Keith is a real pacifict, I think he's a pretennabee (tm).
>
> Actually, JJ was responding to Phil Wise who was responding to me.
>
> I assure you...I am not a pacifist in any sense of the word (although I do
like "pretennabee").
>
> Keith Grossman
> D17016

So you're a war-monger? Aren't all war-mongers pretennabees?

Stan

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4922. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 22:21:08 UTC
From: Jumper <skydive17@home.com>
Message-ID: <ovQo7.18394$0%.1116188@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 59838
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

> > Another half-baked cruise missile attack is pointless. They either need to send in the FBI arrest Bin Ladin (if

The FBI can't even get their Top 10 areested in the US. What makes you think they can find a man half a world away?

4923. Re: NEW SONY ICF_SC1PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 22:29:06 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3ba3d59d.8760730@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 59839

$150 is not bad, it is really a great radio. I like it the best of all
the ones I have

On Sat, 15 Sep 2001 13:16:58 GMT, "Fart Eddie" <davlin@tiac.net>
wrote:

>Alas, when Sony discontinued that scanner, it wasn't difficult to pick one
>up for $100 new. I'm in the same position as you, having bought one when it
>was selling for considerably more. I've decided just to hang onto mine and
>keep it for emergency use, say, when the power goes out or in the event of
>an impending blizzard and I want to monitor road or weather conditions.
>
>"Dean Kastoris" <n8ihs@home.com> wrote in message
>news:3BA33E70.5404DC67@home.com...
>> I have for sale a new Sony ICF-SC1PC hand held scanner. I bought this
>> radio to take on business trips and never did have a chance to take it
>> with me. This scanner covers 25 to 1300 MHz continuous but excludes
>> cellular frquencies. It has 300 memory channels and is pc programable
>> with the included software and accessories. I am the original owner and
>> bought this brand new.
>> I am asking $150.00 plus shipping from 48026.
>
>

4924. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 22:32:59 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba3c74a_2@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Jumper" <skydive17@home.com> wrote in message
news:ovQo7.18394$0%.1116188@news1.busy1.on.home.com...
>
> > > Another half-baked cruise missile attack is pointless. They either
need
> to send in the FBI arrest Bin Ladin (if
>
>
> The FBI can't even get their Top 10 areested in the US. What makes you
> think they can find a man half a world away?

Bin Ladin was #1 on the FBI's most wanted list - when the attack occured.

Stan

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4925. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 22:34:20 UTC
From: Keith Grossman <keithgrossman@nospam.home.com>
Message-ID: <MHQo7.71183$xb.37122242@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 59841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net> wrote:
>
> So you're a war-monger? Aren't all war-mongers pretennabees?

I wouldn't say a war-monger; just someone who believes that some things are worth fighting for.

Keith Grossman
D17016

4926. Re: Radio Shack scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 22:42:51 UTC
From: David Lloyd <"dlloyd his.comp">
Message-ID: <3ba3da63_1@vienna7.his.com>
Source record: 59843

I certainly have two. I have one that i've had about 10 years. The AC adapter has a short in the power prong. It's a Realistic 10ch scanner. I think it does 8 channels a minute. *grin*

My new one is a PRO91. I totally love it. I forgot how fast it scans. I'm still trying to get used to having banks of channels. But that has been nice too, I can switch on off groups. For instannce, I have a Police, Fire, Other group and if one is too noisy, turn it off. Helpful when those times of months they are doing 10,000 traffic details or miscellaneous sick person calls.

One of the features of this scanner--which i'm not sure I like yet--is the priority button. I have 5 groups and one channel per group can be set as a priority, which it checks frequently and if it finds it's receiving, it will switch channels on you.

Eventually, our county is going to 800mHz trunked. Should be interesting when they do. I haven't even looked at the part of the book yet.

After Tuesday's events, I'm soo glad I kept my old scanner. There was just too much traffic for one.

What is the most number of scanners anyone has? I would be interseted to know. I think the max one could listen to is three, without a lot of experience. I had on our local news radio, two scanners. My ears/brain hurt that day. (as well as my heart) My mom was glued to the TV.. her eyes hurt. Totally different sensation.

David
Maryland

4927. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 22:46:51 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba3ca8a_3@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

> > So you're a war-monger? Aren't all war-mongers pretennabees?
>
> I wouldn't say a war-monger; just someone who believes that some things
are worth fighting for.

Well I'm a peacenic/pacifist, and this one is *CERTAINLY* worth fighting
for.

Stan

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4928. Re: Initial 2-hours of WTC attack fire traffic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 22:47:14 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3ba3d9d7.9842676@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 59844

These taps may or may not ever be released. It may be considered too
painful for the families

On Sat, 15 Sep 2001 10:36:11 GMT, "Ron Britvich" <ron@askearth.com>
wrote:

>Does anyone know of a Manhattan FDNY dispatch archive of the initial couple
>of hours of fire traffic for the WTC attack? I'm very curious as to the
>timing of the alarms and any other interesting radio traffic.
>
>Thanks in advance
>
>

4929. Re: nEW gROUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 22:51:02 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010915185102.21606.00000574@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 59845

Ewwww.. A bearcat??ya wanna 'REAL' SCANNER--->
GET THE YUPITERU -7100.., TBHIS THING ROCKS.... .500-1600 MHZ...NO-GAPS!!

4930. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 23:03:15 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-F7D301.19031515092001@[207.35.177.134]>
Source record: 59846

In article <Q6Jo7.58443$f7.7866432@news02.optonline.net>, "bite me"
<bite@me.net> wrote:

> I have to disagree. Afghanistan through its wars
> have a country that is wore torn. The infrastructure
> is in rubbles. The Taliban are hated by it's own
> people.

A war-torn country is easy to occupy? Really. Tell that to U.S. soldiers
who went to Rwanda.

What does a ruined infrastructure have to do with it? The people the
U.S. would be fighting in Afghanistan don't need infrastructure to shoot
you with a rifle as you walk through their tent village in the middle of
frickin' nowhere, which is the kind of war you'd be looking at. Once
again you make it sound like you're dealing with some kind of organized
military outift (which requires infrastructure of some kind). You're not.

The people of Afghanistan may not be fond of the Taliban, but they'd be
absolutely beside themselves with rage if U.S. soldiers started turning
up on their doorsteps. You think the people of Afghanistan would be your
friends if you invaded them?

> This country is ripe for the taken.....

That's what they thought about Vietnam.

-A

4931. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 23:14:46 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-2883E1.19144615092001@[207.35.177.134]>
Source record: 59747

In article <3BA367A9.47339B97@hotmail.com>, JJ <radiobuff@hotmail.com>
wrote:

> More pacifists bull crap. I guess you just want to set around and do
> nothing
> until your children are murdered by another attack by these radical
> extremist?

What's interesting about this response (and so many like it) is that nowhere does Chomsky suggest that the U.S. should "sit around and do nothing." Nor is he pacifist. All he suggests is that past episodes of the U.S. attacking foreign nations has given birth to generation after generation of people who hate the country and would love to pull off revenge attacks of their own, like we saw this past week. He's not saying the U.S. should turn the other cheek, he's saying think carefully about how you try to solve the problem, and don't make the same mistakes that got us where we are today.

I don't believe the U.S. should show weakness right now - take action, by all means. But be smart about it. That's all I'm saying, and it was all Chomsky was saying too.

-A

4932. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 23:23:33 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-90573A.19233315092001@[207.35.177.134]>
Source record: 59748

In article <fIKo7.2957$lx5.217503@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

> What I don't know about ATC would fill volumes but I recall a story of an
> Air Canada 757 when they were new and the fuel guages did not work.

Nit pick: it was a 767.

> Seems kind of silly to ignore blips from non-transpondered planes; might
> it be possible that only some viewers get the raw stuff. Damfino.

Most ATC installations in North America have the ability to toggle
between transponder and primary (or raw) radar data. They could have
followed the flights if they wanted to, and we have no reason to believe
they didn't track them all the way to the end.

-A

4933. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 23:25:04 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-03953E.19250415092001@[207.35.177.134]>
Source record: 59749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

In article <3BA3656C.663657EF@hotmail.com>, JJ <radiobuff@hotmail.com>
wrote:

> When the transponders were switched off, the aircraft
> deviated
> from their flight plans, and ATC could not raise any communications, that
> should
> have spelled hijack in big red letters in ATC.

We have absolutely no reason to believe that the people tracking all
four hijacked flights didn't immediately, or very quickly, realize what
was happening. But what do you do then?

Let's say you think the plane's been hijacked. In almost every case of
hijacking in modern history, the people who took control of the planes
were not interested in dying. They wanted to go somewhere, or keep
hostages as bargaining chips. Even if ATC realized what was happening,
what would they do about it? Call people, talk to authorities, try to
figure out what they want, where they're going, etc. The last thing any
reasonable person would have assumed is that they were planning to crash
the planes. Furthermore, even if you KNEW that, what would you do? How
would you handle a situation that, for these people, has never happened
before?

I can't figure out why people are dumping on ATC as if they could
somehow have averted the tragedies by magically landing the planes with
a special button on their radar consoles.

-A

4934. Pro 2006 REPAIR ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 23:27:23 UTC
From: michael abbey <m.abbey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <vtRo7.21579$Uf1.1920372@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59750

Does anybody have a source for replacement of the illumination in my older,
but nice, two Pro-2006 units.
Thank you
Mike

4935. Re: Pro 2006 REPAIR ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 23:35:11 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010915193511.12367.00000586@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 59751

>Does anybody have a source for replacement of the illumination in my older, but nice, two Pro-2006 units.<

http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/Backlight.html

Rob Mills ~~~

RX-320, NC-140, BC-895XLT, Pro-91

4936. Re: This may sound wierd but....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 23:51:32 UTC
From: Martin <mdwade@mobiletel.com>
Message-ID: <3BA3E984.6501@mobiletel.com>
Source record: 59752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

```
Michael Moore wrote:
>
> bite me wrote:
> >
> > Since any form of a homosexual act is forbidden
> > in Islam....
> >
> > If it's possible to have bin laden and his followers
> > raped in the ass and broadcasted by satellite throughout
> > the globe his own people will shun and try to kill them.
> >
> > I know it's strange.. But come on.. Its BRILLIANT!
>
> It's your idea, so it's up to you ...
>
> --
> Michael Moore
Sorry, our village idiot is loose again.
```

4937. Scanner repair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-15 23:56:43 UTC
From: David Trickle <gumby1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3ba3ec1c$0$18894$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 59753

Is the Orange book of scanner repair any good?

4938. Data signal.....identifying wav's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 00:07:55 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9o0q770pid@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59754
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I was wondering if anyone knew of a webpage that is still up online. All my old links are either for some reason outdated or down. I knew of some sites where you could listen to the data signals, These were wav files to help you identify what type you were hearing on your scanner or ham radio. I apreciate the info if anyone remembers any sites.

Thanks a bunch

Dev

4939. Re: NEW SONY ICF_SC1PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 00:39:08 UTC
From: Barry <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <3BA3F4AC.F635B1AF@snet.net>
Source record: 59755

Fart Eddie wrote:
>
> Hmm, the price you paid for something has no bearing on what you sell it for
> used? Tut, tut, my good man. Of course it does. The reasons are so apparent
> that I won't waste time explaining it to you.

The price ANY _one individual_ pays for an item has little to do with the actual _market value_. Not what I sell it for, as I can choose to sell it below market value, or I can sell it under market value unknowingly.

I bought a Garmin GPS III+ at an Office Depot going out of business sale for a bit over $99. The same GPS still sells daily for $290 (TVNAV)-425 (Jensen). The sale units are long gone. Does that mean my used GPS is worth less than the guy's who paid $300 for his. I think not, there are both _worth_ exactly the same on the used market, if they are in comparable condition. Price paid by the individual has little to do with the grand scale of things.

Simply because you, one individual, can find an anecdotal example of another unit selling for less, does not mean the value of a used item changes by all that much. Of course, if you can find 100 for less, that's a different story. Want more examples?

Barry

FWIW, I also bought my Pro-2006 for under $125 on closeout. Does that mean it's not worth the same $250-300 or so all the rest of the 2006's are fetching used? <G> I have never paid more than $125 for any Radio Shack scanner, and I have nevr sold a used Radio Shack scanner for less than 50% more than I paid for it.

4940. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 00:42:35 UTC
From: john <prof@teamfunnel.com>
Message-ID: <3BA3F57B.299A60D7@teamfunnel.com>
Source record: 59756
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Jumper wrote:
>
> > > Another half-baked cruise missile attack is pointless. They either need
> to send in the FBI arrest Bin Ladin (if
>
> The FBI can't even get their Top 10 areested in the US. What makes you
> think they can find a man half a world away?

Ummm. If they'd arrested them, they wouldn't be on the top 10. As long
as there's 10 criminals left, there will be a top 10.

4941. Technical hope for WTC possible survivors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 00:57:21 UTC
From: Joe Leikhim <jleikhim@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <3BA3F8F0.1C567D53@nettally.com>
Source record: 59759

See the link, below;

I am surprised they didn't think of this before. Most transceivers,
pagers and cellphones have low power local oscillator emissions that can
travel a surprising distance, even when simply turned on.

http://dailynews.yahoo.com/h/nm/20010915/ts/attack_wert_dc_1.html

They should calculate the possible LO freq's for the NYFD handie-talkies
and start listening.

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
Jleikhim@nettally.com

http://www.ibiblio.org/jimmy/mcguinn/9000e.ram

"tv dinner by the pool,
i'm so glad i finished school" -F.Zappa 1967

"The Revolution will NOT be televised" -Gil Scott Heron

"Whats he building in there?"- Tom Waits

"The large print giveth
and the small print taketh away..." Tom Waits.

4942. Re: Pro 2006 REPAIR ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 01:00:35 UTC
From: michael abbey <m.abbey@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <TQSo7.26202$KV3.2184645@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59757

J Rob wrote in message <20010915193511.12367.00000586@mb-cc.aol.com>...
>>Does anybody have a source for replacement of the illumination in my
older,
>but nice, two Pro-2006 units.<
>
>http://home.cfl.rr.com/scan/Backlight.html

>Rob Mills ~~~
>RX-320, NC-140, BC-895XLT, Pro-91

Thank you Rob for the quick and excellent contact.

I forgot to include my problems of only having one hand. I was hopeing to
find a source of service I could mail the 2 Pro-2006 units to.?

Mike
2 -Pro-2006, Pro-70, Kenwood R-2000, Sony SW7600G, 2-RX-375, Grundig 205,
RX320.....

4943. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend / What are we gonna do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 01:09:20 UTC
From: axe@mindless.com
Message-ID: <b9855f0.0109151709.11784c58@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

> Afghanistan was for my Russian people what Vietnam was for my American
> people. Soviets had the edge for the first year with their helicopters,
> which gave them air superiority. But then the US gave the mujahedeen a
> wonder toy, the Stinger missile. The war was lost. It cost many lives,

Yup. About $3B worth of equiment was channeled to guerilla groups -
lot of it,
if not most of them through Bin Laden. CIA invented "Jihad" as a nice
tool for a proxy war.

> I see two solutions, both of which are VERY costly. Eradicate them all,
> or rehabilitate them all. Only if you change these peoples minds (which
> I think is impossible) you may accomplish something. It's easier to
> destroy, start a holocaust, eradicate with prejudice. The Jihad has
> been going on for 2 thousand years! It's been around much longer then

Russian learned some lessons by the third time - and effectively nuked
Chechen cities of the face of the Earth. Then gathered those chechens
who can be bargained with - and gave them positions in administration,
and some money to spend.
They still taking casualties everyday - remote controled mine
made out of a pager, sometimes. Then an RPG grenade. Then everybody
gone.

The good part is - even for 400 years behind - they are not "holy
warriors"
Chief mullah of taliban - after ordering public stoning of women
noticed
outside the house sent his girls to Pakistan english language school.
This bstards just want power - and are paid by Saudi Arabia and
Pakistan,
and use people trained by CIA, like Bin Laden. That mean - we do have
a leverage on them. Just like chechens just want money and control -
not
really a holy war.

If waging a war can be useful - it would be against Pakistan and Saudi
Arabia. And of course killing off all Ladens.

> the US and most of the world. Nothing can stop it. These people are
> 300-400 years behind culturally, it's impossible to bargain with them,
> try to deal with them. Their faith tells them to kill and to die for
> Allah is the goal. We call them cowards. They die KNOWING that they

They call U.S. cowards; From Bin Laden 99 interview (check
http://www.esquire.com):
"After leaving Afghanistan, the Muslim fighters headed for Somalia and
prepared for a long battle, thinking that the Americans were like the
Russians," bin Laden said. "The youth were surprised at the low morale
of the American soldiers and realized more than before that the
American soldier was a paper tiger and after a few blows ran in
defeat. And America forgot all the hoopla and media propaganda . . .
about being the world leader and the leader of the New World Order,
and after a few blows they forgot about this title and left, dragging
their corpses and their shameful defeat."

> were heroes to their faith, KNOWING that what they did was right,
> KNOWING they were going to their heaven. How can you change that?? We
> are coming to a day when they will carry a small nuclear device in their
> back pack to the key targets and take out whole cities instead of a
> block, kill millions instead of thousands. Will you and I be one of
> those? May be, may be not, but our kids will be. Bin Laden said that
> every American citizen is a fair target, civilian or not in his Fatwah.
> He declared a war on us back in 96 and made it clearer in 98! It's time
> to take serious action and strike back.

Hm. I talked to quite a few of my friends in Moscow in the recent
days -
some of them went through Afganistan. Many of their friends were
killed with american weapons there. Surprisingly - all they say to
U.S. "Good Luck,
you will need it" At least this time nobody will ship boxes of
antihelicopter
missles to Taliban. Except maybe for Pakistan and Saudi Arabia.

4944. Images of Support From Australia

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 02:04:04 UTC
From: Peter Brown <sydptu@yahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <oMTo7.8061$Tv6.42075@news1.rdc1.nsw.optushome.com.au>
Source record: 59760
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.aviation,aus.radio.scanner,rec.photo.digital,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

This WWW site has some photos showing the support that Australians are feeling in the wake of the tragedy in America. Why not have a look at http://www.cops.aust.com and select the Images from outside the US Consulate, Sydney Australia link, God bless America

4945. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 02:23:10 UTC
From: "P.G. Felton" <felton@princeton.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA40D07.159B5137@princeton.edu>
Source record: 59762
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Kenneth Vaughan wrote:

> well then...why did they attack america instead of western europe? the two
> are not the same thing. elpasogunslinger
> baden <bchant@optushome.com.au> wrote in message
> news:3BA2DDEF.421B172@optushome.com.au...
> >

Apparently you are unaware that they were thwarted in their attempt to attack
the
European parliament with Sarin by the German security authorities?

Phil.

4946. Re: Technical hope for WTC possible survivors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 02:25:18 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3ba40ce3.22913214@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 59761
Body handling: HTML body converted to readable text

Problem is, just because the cell phone or pager is on, does not mean
the owner is alive.
God Bless America

On Sat, 15 Sep 2001 20:57:21 -0400, Joe Leikhim
wrote:

>
>--------------E470597A40BAD608DF58A54A
>Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>
>See the link, below;
>
>I am surprised they didn't think of this before. Most transceivers,
>pagers and cellphones have low power local oscillator emissions that can
>travel a surprising distance, even when simply turned on.
>
>http://dailynews.yahoo.com/h/nm/20010915/ts/attack_wert_dc_1.html
>
>They should calculate the possible LO freq's for the NYFD handie-talkies
>and start listening.
>
>--
>Joe Leikhim K4SAT
>Jleikhim@nettally.com
>
>http://www.ibiblio.org/jimmy/mcguinn/9000e.ram
>
>"tv dinner by the pool,
>i'm so glad i finished school" -F.Zappa 1967
>
>"The Revolution will NOT be televised" -Gil Scott Heron
>
>"Whats he building in there?"- Tom Waits
>
>"The large print giveth
> and the small print taketh away..." Tom Waits.
>
>
>--------------E470597A40BAD608DF58A54A
>Content-Type: text/html; charset=us-ascii
>Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
>

>
>
>See the link, below;
>
I am surprised they didn't think of this before. Most transceivers,
>pagers and cellphones have low power local oscillator emissions that can
>travel a surprising distance, even when simply turned on.
>
http://dailynews.yahoo.com/h/nm/20010915/ts/attack_wert_dc_1.html
>
They should calculate the possible LO freq's for the NYFD handie-talkies
>and start listening.
>
--
>
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
>
Jleikhim@nettally.com
>
http://www.ibiblio.org/jimmy/mcguinn/9000e.ram
>
"tv dinner by the pool,
>
i'm so glad i finished school" -F.Zappa 1967
>
"The Revolution will NOT be televised" -Gil Scott Heron
>
"Whats he building in there?"- Tom Waits
>
"The large print giveth
>
and the small print taketh away..." Tom Waits.
>

>
>--------------E470597A40BAD608DF58A54A--
>

4947. Re: Cleveland Ohio PD back in clear?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 02:33:41 UTC
From: paul and jacki <jacki2@gwis.com>
Message-ID: <3BA40F85.72B2@gwis.com>
Source record: 59763

Here are a few more (non-digital) Cleveland area freq's:

Lifeflight: 155.385
Norfolk Southern Rail Police: 161.205, 160.560, 160.685
Liquor Control: 151.235
Intercity: 155.370
Highway Patrol: 44.980 (base)
44.820 (cars)

Paul

4948. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 02:36:45 UTC
From: "P.G. Felton" <felton@princeton.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA41036.97C43F65@princeton.edu>
Source record: 59764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Alasdair McKie wrote:

> In article <3BA3656C.663657EF@hotmail.com>, JJ <radiobuff@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> > When the transponders were switched off, the aircraft
> > deviated
> > from their flight plans, and ATC could not raise any communications, that
> > should
> > have spelled hijack in big red letters in ATC.
>
> We have absolutely no reason to believe that the people tracking all
> four hijacked flights didn't immediately, or very quickly, realize what
> was happening. But what do you do then?
>
> Let's say you think the plane's been hijacked. In almost every case of
> hijacking in modern history, the people who took control of the planes
> were not interested in dying. They wanted to go somewhere, or keep
> hostages as bargaining chips. Even if ATC realized what was happening,
> what would they do about it? Call people, talk to authorities, try to
> figure out what they want, where they're going, etc. The last thing any
> reasonable person would have assumed is that they were planning to crash
> the planes. Furthermore, even if you KNEW that, what would you do? How
> would you handle a situation that, for these people, has never happened
> before?

So ATC have two rogue planes heading into incredibly busy airspace at unknown
altitude and unpredictable heading, I'm sure their first priority was to get
the thousands of
people on all the other planes in the vicinity of those two planes out of
harm's way.
Although they did also get word to the military so that fighters were
scrambled

Phil.

>
> I can't figure out why people are dumping on ATC as if they could
> somehow have averted the tragedies by magically landing the planes with
> a special button on their radar consoles.
>
> -A

4949. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 02:51:25 UTC
From: h_laws@bigpond.com
Message-ID: <3ba41399.7204999@news.bigpond.com>
Source record: 59765
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

On Sat, 15 Sep 2001 19:42:35 -0500, john <prof@teamfunnel.com> wrote:

>
>
>Jumper wrote:
>>
>> > > Another half-baked cruise missile attack is pointless. They either need
>> to send in the FBI arrest Bin Ladin (if
>>
>> The FBI can't even get their Top 10 areested in the US. What makes you
>> think they can find a man half a world away?
>
>Ummm. If they'd arrested them, they wouldn't be on the top 10. As long
>as there's 10 criminals left, there will be a top 10.

It's when it gets down to 6 year old shoplifters that you know things
are quiet.

4950. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 02:54:45 UTC
From: h_laws@bigpond.com
Message-ID: <3ba4142b.7351387@news.bigpond.com>
Source record: 59766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

On Sat, 15 Sep 2001 19:25:04 -0400, Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
wrote:
>We have absolutely no reason to believe that the people tracking all
>four hijacked flights didn't immediately, or very quickly, realize what
>was happening. But what do you do then?
>
>Let's say you think the plane's been hijacked. In almost every case of
>hijacking in modern history, the people who took control of the planes
>were not interested in dying. They wanted to go somewhere, or keep
>hostages as bargaining chips. Even if ATC realized what was happening,
>what would they do about it? Call people, talk to authorities, try to
>figure out what they want, where they're going, etc. The last thing any
>reasonable person would have assumed is that they were planning to crash
>the planes. Furthermore, even if you KNEW that, what would you do? How
>would you handle a situation that, for these people, has never happened
>before?
>
I understand that fighters were sent after one of the later planes but
they didn't have time to catch up (and I'd have hated to have been the
person who had to fire on a commercial flight)

4951. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 03:17:05 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba409e0_5@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59767
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Mad Hamish" <h_laws@bigpond.com> wrote in message
news:3ba41399.7204999@news.bigpond.com...
> On Sat, 15 Sep 2001 19:42:35 -0500, john <prof@teamfunnel.com> wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > >Jumper wrote:
> > >>
> > >> > > Another half-baked cruise missile attack is pointless. They either
need
> > >> to send in the FBI arrest Bin Ladin (if
> > >>
> > >> The FBI can't even get their Top 10 areested in the US. What makes you
> > >> think they can find a man half a world away?
> > >
> > >Ummm. If they'd arrested them, they wouldn't be on the top 10. As long
> > >as there's 10 criminals left, there will be a top 10.
>
> It's when it gets down to 6 year old shoplifters that you know things
> are quiet.

:-) You know that pot smokers will be on that list first.

Stan

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4952. FA: Optoelectronics Scout

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 03:29:53 UTC
From: Truman <nn4c@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <60Vo7.3712$896.40439@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 59768
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1275567786

4953. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 03:39:42 UTC
From: raisplin@rcn.com
Message-ID: <raisplin-1509012339420001@207-172-194-146.s146.tnt3.nywnj.ny.dialup.rcn.com>
Source record: 59769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

In article <3ba3c3fa_4@news.newzpig.com>, "Never Falls"
<sigother@netzero.net> wrote:

> "Keith Grossman" <keithgrossman@nospam.home.com> wrote in message
> news:XmQo7.71165$xb.37080024@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> > "Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net> wrote:
> > >
> > > > More pacifists bull crap.
> > >
> > > I don't think Keith is a real pacifict, I think he's a pretennabee (tm).
> >
> > Actually, JJ was responding to Phil Wise who was responding to me.
> >
> > I assure you...I am not a pacifist in any sense of the word (although I do
> like "pretennabee").
> >
> > Keith Grossman
> > D17016
>
> So you're a war-monger? Aren't all war-mongers pretennabees?
>
>
> Stan

If some pacifists are pretennabees and all war-mongers are pretenabees
then which is true

a) All war-mongers are pacifists
b) Some pacifists are war-mongers
c) No pacifists are war-mongers

(hell i think I just screwed that up, but you all get the gist of the
problem...heh heh, well anyone that has ever taken a standardized test
anyway)

--
[K]rak
The perfect 50 jump wonder to elect to the BOD!

4954. Re: Technical hope for WTC possible survivors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 03:43:28 UTC
From: Jim <Jspaz@i-55.com>
Message-ID: <3BA41FE0.F4F74040@i-55.com>
Source record: 59770

Problem now is that enough time has passed that most batteries
will have run down by now. Most of the two way radio batteries
will only make it one day. Maybe two at the most. After that,
the normal receive drain will kill them.

Jim

Joe Leikhim wrote:
>
> See the link, below;
>
> I am surprised they didn't think of this before. Most
> transceivers, pagers and cellphones have low power local
> oscillator emissions that can travel a surprising distance,
> even when simply turned on.
>
> http://dailynews.yahoo.com/h/nm/20010915/ts/attack_wert_dc_1.html
>
> They should calculate the possible LO freq's for the NYFD
> handie-talkies and start listening.
>
> --
> Joe Leikhim K4SAT
> Jleikhim@nettally.com
>
> http://www.ibiblio.org/jimmy/mcguinn/9000e.ram
>
> "tv dinner by the pool,
> i'm so glad i finished school" -F.Zappa 1967
>
> "The Revolution will NOT be televised" -Gil Scott Heron
>
> "Whats he building in there?"- Tom Waits
>
> "The large print giveth
> and the small print taketh away..." Tom Waits.
>

4955. Re: This may sound wierd but....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 04:14:06 UTC
From: go4tcross@cs.communicate
Message-ID: <20010916001406.18679.00000590@mb-ci.news.cs.com>
Source record: 59772

>
>Since any form of a homosexual act is forbidden
>in Islam....
>
>If it's possible to have bin laden and his followers
>raped in the ass and broadcasted by satellite throughout
>the globe his own people will shun and try to kill them.
>
>I know it's strange.. But come on.. Its BRILLIANT!
>

And sick...

Who would be willing to do that anyway?

Luke Hoffmann
Go4tcross@cs.com

4956. Re: FS: Pro64

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 04:16:17 UTC
From: victor salvaggio <victors@fast.net>
Message-ID: <3BA42791.47F926D7@fast.net>
Source record: 59771

did u sell the pro 64......mny tnx, victor, k3vay

ScanTexas wrote:

> I have a Pro64 for sale in great shape, I am asking 100.00 shipped
> priority mail to US
>
> Email me at gregg@alemy.com if interested

4957. Re: Pro 2006 REPAIR ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 04:21:41 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010916002141.19646.00000551@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 59774

Just curious, did you check with RS to see if they still had the back lights for the 06? I ordered one for mine less than a year ago and they still had them. I was going to order one of the ones shown at that web site for my 04 but my wife solved that problem for me, she knocked it off a table and it's now DOA. Oh well, I spend more time with the RX320 any way. >grin<

Rob Mills ~~~

RX-320, NC-140, BC-895XLT, Pro-91

4958. Re: ham radio listening

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 04:25:42 UTC
From: victor salvaggio <victors@fast.net>
Message-ID: <3BA429C6.E47194BC@fast.net>
Source record: 59773

sony 2010,get one.....73, k3vay

Heather and Greg wrote:

> I have a pro 2042 with an RS discone in the attic. I would like to broaden
> what I listen to which includes Ham transmissions. I live in Virginia Beach
> and listen to the military aviation frequently, but cannot find any ham
> freqs. Can anyone help me on this issue. I also have a pro 94 that keeps
> me busy with trunking, but I am considering getting into amateur radio so I
> would really like to hear what goes on so I can make that decision.
> Thanks
> Greg

4959. Re: RS PRO 2006-Excellent!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 05:25:00 UTC
From: Jim Wayda <jwayda@killspam.home.com>
Message-ID: <MIWo7.1$oD.13674@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 59775

I have a new in the box 2006 that has never been used. I will take bids over $500.00.

"Fart Eddie" <davlin@tiac.net> wrote in message news:JlPo7.7259$CR2.7690098@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> If someone pays $450 for that scanner it is because he's an idiot and the
> guy who sells it to him is a con artist.
>
> "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> news:IPMo7.5280$Sy1.4481056@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > David,
> >
> > Why are you giving it away? It sold for over $300.00 new! You should get
> at
> > least $450.00.
> >
> > -jim
> >
> >
> > "David Baker" <dbaker@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:b8effb43.0109141220.1efc1a11@posting.google.com...
> > > Hi...the Nazi's at ebay cancelled my auction for my PRO-2006, so I am
> > > offering it here...unit is in perfect condition, comes with pull up
> > > antenna and manual, and has been sitting in my guest bedroom/office
> > > for it's whole life...I don't smoke, so there is no smoke residue
> > > whatsoever on the unit. Unit has no gaps in coverage, if you know what
> > > I mean.
> > >
> > > $300.00 shipped by FedEx Ground anywhere in lower 48 US states. I
> > > still have the picture I used for ebay (grrrr.....I get mad when I
> > > think of those bastards)at the following URL, if you want to look at
> > > the unit:
> > >
> > > http://www.unrealpimps.org/charlotte/Scanner.jpg
> > >
> > > My wife has a PayPal account, as well as BillPoint, so we can do it
> > > that way if you want...or you can send a check or money order...if you
> > > use a check, I'll need to wait for it to clear before I ship the unit.
> > >
> > > I'm selling because I have one of the new Uniden TrunkTracker II
> > > units, and I seem to enjoy listening to our local trunked public
> > > safety system a lot more...plus, I could use the money!
> > >

> > > Call or email with any questions,
> > >
> > > David Baker
> > > dbaker@cfl.rr.com
> > > Merritt Island, FL
> > > work 877-480-8164 (toll free)
> > > cell 321-431-7197
> >
> >
>
>

4960. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 05:35:28 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba42a4e_4@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59776
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

A total moron whines:
> In article <3ba3c3fa_4@news.newzpig.com>, "Never Falls"
> <sigother@netzero.net> wrote:
>
> > "Keith Grossman" <keithgrossman@nospam.home.com> wrote in message
> > news:XmQo7.71165$xb.37080024@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> > > "Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net> wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > More pacifists bull crap.
> > > >
> > > > I don't think Keith is a real pacifict, I think he's a pretennabee
(tm).
> > >
> > > Actually, JJ was responding to Phil Wise who was responding to me.
> > >
> > > I assure you...I am not a pacifist in any sense of the word (although
I do
> > like "pretennabee").
> > >
> > > Keith Grossman
> > > D17016
> >
> > So you're a war-monger? Aren't all war-mongers pretennabees?
> >
> >
> > Stan
>
> If some pacifists are pretennabees and all war-mongers are pretenabees
> then which is true
>
> a) All war-mongers are pacifists
> b) Some pacifists are war-mongers
> c) No pacifists are war-mongers
>
> (hell i think I just screwed that up, but you all get the gist of the
> problem...heh heh, well anyone that has ever taken a standardized test
> anyway)
>
> --
> [K]rak
> The perfect 50 jump wonder to elect to the BOD!

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4961. Re: This may sound wierd but....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 05:45:25 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha61@DELETEhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3ba43c37$0$30143$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 59777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

He'd probably enjoy a good buggering, therefore it's not really punishment
is it???

Mike

bite me <bite@me.net> wrote in message
news:eCLo7.61101$f7.8144673@news02.optonline.net...
> Since any form of a homosexual act is forbidden
> in Islam....
>
> If it's possible to have bin laden and his followers
> raped in the ass and broadcasted by satellite throughout
> the globe his own people will shun and try to kill them.
>
> I know it's strange.. But come on.. Its BRILLIANT!
>
>

4962. Re: R7000 and Optolinx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 07:09:21 UTC
From: Peter Brown <sydptu@yahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <BeYo7.8333$Tv6.43122@news1.rdc1.nsw.optushome.com.au>
Source record: 59778

Thanks that worked a treat!!!!
"David M. Hitchner" <biteme@spammer.net> wrote in message
news:m5yo7.3006$P46.105053@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com...

If the R7000 has a CI-V port, then go to the following location on my web site:

http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis/trunker.htm

In the "Trunker Hardware Setup" diagram, cables "A" and "C" should provide
you commuications with the R7000.

--
David M. Hitchner - K5DMH - nospam@bellsouth.net
Baton Rouge, LA

Baton Rouge Area Scanning
http://personal.lig.bellsouth.net/lig/k/d/kd5eis

ASDS - Anti-Spam Defense System - Do not Auto-Reply
Use my callsign in e-mail address above for replies.

Artificial Intelligence is no match for Natural Stupidity!

"Peter Brown" <sydptu@yahoo.com.au> wrote in message
news:Xsmo7.6926$Tv6.35554@news1.rdc1.nsw.optushome.com.au...
Does anyone have any clues on how to make the R7000 talk to the Optolinx, I have the R7000
remote control software. HELP!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

4963. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 07:45:25 UTC
From: "P.G. Felton" <felton@princeton.edu>
Message-ID: <3BA4588E.529ACC6@princeton.edu>
Source record: 59779
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Never Falls wrote:

> > > Make no mistake about it - this terrorist attack was specificly designed
> to
> > > force the US to severe ties with Israel.
> >
> > Well if it's bin Laden it's more likely to be to sever ties with Saudi
> Arabia!
>
> I doubt it.
>

I agree that it isn't likely to happen, but that is bin Laden's goal!

Phil.

4964. Re: Technical hope for WTC possible survivors

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 07:59:16 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <BD7C4540DB71A62E.136CCDBD2DF76C8E.92D3646CCA532695@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 59780

On Sat, 15 Sep 2001 22:43:28 -0500, Jim <Jspaz@i-55.com> wrote:

>Problem now is that enough time has passed that most batteries
>will have run down by now. Most of the two way radio batteries
>will only make it one day. Maybe two at the most. After that,
>the normal receive drain will kill them.

Still, it's definitely something to keep in mind for future
avalanches, earthquakes, etc.

The equipment shouldn't be terribly hard to make. It would be
worthwhile to make one or two sniffers a standard item for fire and
rescue agencies.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH

4965. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 08:10:07 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba44e8c_3@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"P.G. Felton" <felton@princeton.edu> wrote in message
news:3BA4588E.529ACC6@princeton.edu...

How long have you worked (for the "vast right-wing conspiracy") at
Princeton?

> Never Falls wrote:
>
> > > > Make no mistake about it - this terrorist attack was specificly
designed
> > to
> > > > force the US to severe ties with Israel.
> > >
> > > Well if it's bin Laden it's more likely to be to sever ties with Saudi
> > Arabia!
> >
> > I doubt it.
>
> I agree that it isn't likely to happen, but that is bin Laden's goal!
>
> Phil. (the creole)

I don't know, Saudia Arabia left him with plenty of money when they exiled
him.

Stan

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4966. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend / What are we gonna do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 08:39:50 UTC
From: oldkeykollekter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b71d3652.0109160039.4a902ac2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Jews employed terror tactics and assasination prior to the establishment of Israel, and afterward in removing arabs from desireable lands. Menahem Begin, among other Jewish "freedom fighters" was wanted for murder by the British Mandate Authorities after his involvement with the bombing of the King David Hotel. In case you forgot, a boatload of British officers were blown up along with Jewish hotel workers. When Begin was told how many Jews died he stated "it can't be helped". When Begin went on a state visit to the UK in the 70's they had to quash the warrant just so he wouldn't be arrested at Heathrow.

In 1967, when it suited Israel to do so, they attacked an unarmed US Navy intel ship some miles off Haifa in International waters. A lot of American sailors were murdered by Israel in a lengthy torpedo boat attack and strafing/rocketing by IDF aircraft. Israel refused to pay off in compensation for this murder and mayhem until 1989, and negotiated to pay us a fraction of the actual damages only after we started balking at more arms subsidies.

In 1982, while Israel occupied parts of Lebanon, Israeli soldiers, under Ariel Sharon, allowed Lebanese Christian milita forces into Palestinian refugee camps at Sabra and Chatilla. A wink and a nod from Sharon allowed the militia to massacre hundreds of kids, women and old people. this was after the PLO fighters were evacuated from Lebanon.
Wonder why the US Marines were truck-bombed in '83 in Lebanon? It is called payback. The arabs see America as Israel's handler. Israel uses that perception at times to strike fear into arabs.

I do not give the Arabs a pass at all, all hands are bloody. I am not against any of these groups of people. They ALL have the right to exist.

Finally, ANYBODY who cheers at mass murder is a barbarian.

4967. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 08:44:11 UTC
From: oldkeykollekter@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b71d3652.0109160044.64b53ad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Jews employed terror tactics and assasination prior to the establishment of Israel, and afterward in removing arabs from desireable lands. Menahem Begin, among other Jewish "freedom fighters" was wanted for murder by the British Mandate Authorities after his involvement with the bombing of the King David Hotel. In case you forgot, a boatload of British officers were blown up along with Jewish hotel workers. When Begin was told how many Jews died he stated "it can't be helped". When Begin went on a state visit to the UK in the 70's they had to quash the warrant just so he wouldn't be arrested at Heathrow.

In 1967, when it suited Israel to do so, they attacked an unarmed US Navy intel ship some miles off Haifa in International waters. A lot of American sailors were murdered by Israel in a lengthy torpedo boat attack and strafing/rocketing by IDF aircraft. Israel refused to pay off in compensation for this murder and mayhem until 1989, and negotiated to pay us a fraction of the actual damages only after we started balking at more arms subsidies.

In 1982, while Israel occupied parts of Lebanon, Israeli soldiers, under Ariel Sharon, allowed Lebanese Christian milita forces into Palestinian refugee camps at Sabra and Chatilla. A wink and a nod from Sharon allowed the militia to massacre hundreds of kids, women and old people. this was after the PLO fighters were evacuated from Lebanon.
Wonder why the US Marines were truck-bombed in '83 in Lebanon? It is called payback. The arabs see America as Israel's handler. Israel uses that perception at times to strike fear into arabs.

I do not give the Arabs a pass at all. All hands are bloody. I am not against any of those groups of people. They ALL have the right to exist.

Finally, ANYBODY who cheers at mass murder is a barbarian.

4968. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend / What are we gonna do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 08:52:46 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba4588c_1@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Whatever happened in the past - "two wrongs don't make a right".

Stan

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

4969. Alaska

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 09:10:47 UTC
From: Curtis C Bross <tynstar91@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <tq8r4etf8sfn85@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59785

Anyone have any of the Freqencies for the Fairbanks area?

4970. Re: Poor Canadian Trash...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 09:17:39 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tq8rl0mnrrh410@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Terribly sorry... I had no idea it was not current... Guess that goes with what you said though... People send it around as if it is current, so others reading and receiving it think it is... Thanks for the enlightenment concerning the time frame here....

"Laura Halliday" <marsgal42@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:d42b5a72.0109131549.36358ac2@posting.google.com...
> "Power House" <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET> wrote in message news:<tq1daenk08r134@corp.supernews.com>...
>
>
> > America: The Good Neighbor.
>
> >
>
> > Widespread but only partial news coverage was given recently to a
>
> > remarkable editorial broadcast from Toronto by Gordon Sinclair, a Canadian
>
> > television commentator. What follows is the full text of his Trenchant
>
> > remarks as printed in the Congressional Record:
>
> >
>
> > This Canadian thinks it is time to speak up for the Americans as the most
>
> > generous and possibly the least appreciated people on all the earth...
>
> I've seen this several times in the last couple of days.
> It was originally broadcast on 5 June 1973. Mr. Sinclair
> himself died in 1984.
>
> It's interesting, and I always respected Mr. Sinclair,
> but I wish people would stop pretending it's current.
> It isn't.
>
> Laura Halliday VE7LDH "Que les nuages soient notre
> Grid: CN89lg pied a terre..."
> ICBM: 49 16.57 N 123 0.24 W - Hospital/Shafte

4971. Re: drive thru frequencies????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 09:23:03 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tq8rv67t4uc063@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59787

Check out this link...

http://pages.prodigy.net/hounddog/fastfood.txt

"OfcTaz" <ofctaz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010913174017.05546.00000339@mb-mk.aol.com...
> where can i get drive thru frequencies??

4972. Re: BC245 Scanner Software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 09:29:54 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tq8sc02va9l8d6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59788

Check out this website...

http://iquebec.ifrance.com/senss/

This is the Piece of software I use... It's pretty nice, and I have not had
any problems with it (crashes, etc.). It's simply called BC245 Interface,
and had recently have a new version released (V1.95). It has a lot of
features, and a pretty simple interface, and the best part, it's Freeware...

<diz> wrote in message news:q6r6qtk5i18h9ej9vsvc1673ersbj93i0j@4ax.com...
> I want to hook my 245 to the computer and would like to know if anyone
> could recommend software for it. Freeware if possible, but anything
> else worth getting would be appreciated. Thanks

4973. Re: This may sound wierd but....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 11:06:10 UTC
From: Mike Alpha <mike_alpha61@DELETEhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3ba48767$0$30139$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 59789
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

He'd probably enjoy a good buggering, therefore it's not really punishment
is it???

Mike

bite me <bite@me.net> wrote in message
news:eCLo7.61101$f7.8144673@news02.optonline.net...
> Since any form of a homosexual act is forbidden
> in Islam....
>
> If it's possible to have bin laden and his followers
> raped in the ass and broadcasted by satellite throughout
> the globe his own people will shun and try to kill them.
>
> I know it's strange.. But come on.. Its BRILLIANT!
>
>

4974. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 12:45:11 UTC
From: No Fear <nofear@home.comm>
Message-ID: <r91p7.19388$5A3.5741962@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 59790
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

W
"Mad Hamish" <h_laws@bigpond.com> wrote in message
> I understand that fighters were sent after one of the later planes but
> they didn't have time to catch up (and I'd have hated to have been the
> person who had to fire on a commercial flight)

Would you rather be the guy who cleans up the thousands dead at the WTC?

4975. Re: NEW SONY ICF_SC1PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 13:32:01 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <IR1p7.7366$CR2.8019372@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 59791

Of course, you think you know what you're talking about, but what you think
you know and what is reality are unfortunately some distance apart. It
doesn't seem make much sense for me to disprove your "theory." I doubt that
you would even understand that you're flat out wrong anyway.

"Barry" <nospam@snet.net> wrote in message
news:3BA3F4AC.F635B1AF@snet.net...
>
>
> Fart Eddie wrote:
> >
> > Hmm, the price you paid for something has no bearing on what you sell it
for
> > used? Tut, tut, my good man. Of course it does. The reasons are so
apparent
> > that I won't waste time explaining it to you.
>
>
> The price ANY _one individual_ pays for an item has little to do with
> the actual _market value_. Not what I sell it for, as I can choose to
> sell it below market value, or I can sell it under market value
> unknowingly.
>
> I bought a Garmin GPS III+ at an Office Depot going out of business sale
> for a bit over $99. The same GPS still sells daily for $290
> (TVNAV)-425 (Jensen). The sale units are long gone. Does that mean my
> used GPS is worth less than the guy's who paid $300 for his. I think
> not, there are both _worth_ exactly the same on the used market, if they
> are in comparable condition. Price paid by the individual has little to
> do with the grand scale of things.
>
> Simply because you, one individual, can find an anecdotal example of
> another unit selling for less, does not mean the value of a used item
> changes by all that much. Of course, if you can find 100 for less,
> that's a different story. Want more examples?
>
> Barry
>
> FWIW, I also bought my Pro-2006 for under $125 on closeout. Does that
> mean it's not worth the same $250-300 or so all the rest of the 2006's
> are fetching used? <G> I have never paid more than $125 for any Radio
> Shack scanner, and I have nevr sold a used Radio Shack scanner for less
> than 50% more than I paid for it.

4976. Re: RS PRO 2006-Excellent!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 13:34:20 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <wT1p7.7367$CR2.8019619@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 59792

You still trying to sell that scanner at the ridiculously inflated price?
What? Couldn't find a sucker when tried this con a few weeks back?

Anyone can buy the scanner--mint--for $300 and if one is patient, can
probably get it for $250.

"Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
news:MIWo7.1$oD.13674@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> I have a new in the box 2006 that has never been used. I will take bids
over
> $500.00.
>
>
> "Fart Eddie" <davlin@tiac.net> wrote in message
> news:JlPo7.7259$CR2.7690098@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> > If someone pays $450 for that scanner it is because he's an idiot and
> the
> > guy who sells it to him is a con artist.
> >
> > "Jim Wayda" <jwayda@killspam.home.com> wrote in message
> > news:IPMo7.5280$Sy1.4481056@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com...
> > > David,
> > >
> > > Why are you giving it away? It sold for over $300.00 new! You should
get
> > at
> > > least $450.00.
> > >
> > > -jim
> > >
> > >
> > > "David Baker" <dbaker@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:b8effb43.0109141220.1efc1a11@posting.google.com...
> > > > Hi...the Nazi's at ebay cancelled my auction for my PRO-2006, so I
am
> > > > offering it here...unit is in perfect condition, comes with pull up
> > > > antenna and manual, and has been sitting in my guest bedroom/office
> > > > for it's whole life...I don't smoke, so there is no smoke residue
> > > > whatsoever on the unit. Unit has no gaps in coverage, if you know
what
> > > > I mean.
> > > >
> > > > $300.00 shipped by FedEx Ground anywhere in lower 48 US states. I
> > > > still have the picture I used for ebay (grrrr.....I get mad when I
> > > > think of those bastards)at the following URL, if you want to look at

> > > > the unit:
> > > >
> > > > http://www.unrealpimps.org/charlotte/Scanner.jpg
> > > >
> > > > My wife has a PayPal account, as well as BillPoint, so we can do it
> > > > that way if you want...or you can send a check or money order...if
you
> > > > use a check, I'll need to wait for it to clear before I ship the
unit.
> > > >
> > > > I'm selling because I have one of the new Uniden TrunkTracker II
> > > > units, and I seem to enjoy listening to our local trunked public
> > > > safety system a lot more...plus, I could use the money!
> > > >
> > > > Call or email with any questions,
> > > >
> > > > David Baker
> > > > dbaker@cfl.rr.com
> > > > Merritt Island, FL
> > > > work 877-480-8164 (toll free)
> > > > cell 321-431-7197
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4977. FT: Sangean 505 for Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 14:51:00 UTC
From: "D. Schopp" <dschopp@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <o%2p7.1747$Md5.210790@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 59793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I have a mint condition Sangean 505 SW radio, with all original accessories, manual, and the box it came in, that I would like to trade for a handheld scanner.

Scanners that I would be interested in trading for are:

Pro 60
Pro 64
Pro 67
Pro 94

Or any other 200 channel or greater hand held scanner with 800 mHz coverage.

Thanks for reading

4978. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 15:49:53 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3BA4BBAE.343DE3F5@mailcity.com>
Source record: 59794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

If the plane disappeared from radar it is because it dropped to an altitude that the radar could no longer see it, not because the transponder was not working.

Richard McCollum wrote:

> What I don't know about ATC would fill volumes but I recall a story of an
> Air Canada 757 when they were new and the fuel guages did not work. Canada
> had gone metric so the guy dipping the tanks (legal, fuel guages are not
> required) converted to kiligrams and left the plane with half the fuel load
> for Toronto-Vancouver. When it ran out over Manitoba and the electrics
> stopped, the propellor-generator gizmo lowered out of the nose did not do a
> whole lot and the transponder failed. This resulted in the plane dropping
> off radar on five of six radars at Winnipeg. The plane ultimately landed
> safely at an old RCAF strip with an Armco barrier across it to prevent drag
> racing.
>
> The radar in question was due for removal in two weeks and the pilot was the
> Canadian glider champion. Sounds like a minor miracle.
>
> Seems kind of silly to ignore blips from non-transpondered planes; might it
> be possible that only some viewers get the raw stuff. Damfino.
>
> Dick

4979. Military callsigns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 16:05:09 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <V44p7.21409$A5.3740328@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 59795
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I'm in NYC listening to McGuire approach (120.25) and hearing callsigns like "9-0-Papa" and "8-2-Alpha Fox." Are these military callsigns?

4980. Re: Frequencies for Cruise Line

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 16:51:20 UTC
From: carbpow@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <eb354161.0109160851.4f101546@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59796

Alfredo@dontemail.me (Alfredo Tomato) wrote in message
news:<3b882533.9470089@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>...
> I went on a cruise earlier this week out of L.A. to Ensenada.
> I took my Icom R2. (my wife and kids came along also...)
> I was on a Carnival ship. I did listen to the RCCL ship that
> was pulling into port. Mostly the crew and officers setting lines
> on the dock.
> My cabin was down in the lower levels of the ship and I couldn't
> pick up much other than FRS that was close.
> I scanned a lot, but never could find freqs that the crew would use.
> I was able to listen to lots of interesting talk while in port on the
> marine freqs. The Port Authority was busy controling ships that
> were coming in and out of the harbor.
> I suggest you do a Google search, you might find something there.
> You can also try these. Have fun.
> Al
>
> Marine Freqs and misc cruise ship.
>
> 156.025 156.975 151.7450
> 156.050 157.000 151.9250
> 156.075 157.025 154.5150
> 156.100 157.050 154.5400
> 156.125 157.075 156.2750
> 156.150 157.100 156.8000
> 156.175 157.125 156.9000
> 156.200 157.150 461.3000
> 156.225 157.175 461.6500
> 156.275 157.250 462.1750
> 156.300 157.275 464.2700
> 156.325 157.300 466.3000
> 156.350 157.325 466.3875
> 156.375 157.350 466.6500
> 156.400 157.375 467.7500
> 156.425 157.400 468.3250
> 156.450 157.425 468.3375
> 156.475 161.800 469.9500
> 156.500 161.825 472.5375
> 156.525 161.850
> 156.550 161.875
> 156.575 161.900
> 156.600 161.925
> 156.625 161.950
> 156.650 161.975

> 156.675 162.000
> 156.700 457.525
> 156.725 457.550
> 156.750 457.575
> 156.800 457.600
> 156.850 467.750
> 156.875 467.775
> 156.900 467.800
> 156.925 467.825
> 156.950
>
> On Sat, 25 Aug 2001 19:38:02 GMT, "Rich Byrne" <n2ukb@optonline.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >--
> >Looking for operating frequencies for Celebrity Cruise Lines. Leaving for
> >Cruise next week for Bermuda.(09-01)
> >
> >Thanks
> >Rich/Denville, NJ
> >
> >
Don't know exact freq. but RCCL uses marine VHF channel 62 for
ship-tender and shoreside communications away from the US. Shipboard
communication is uhf and some FM. Enjoy!

4981. Re: This may sound wierd but....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 18:11:45 UTC
From: Retro <Back_In_The_Day@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <tq9qsflnp6rfea@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I don't think putting him on trial is on the minds of any real american. I
for one will not be satisfied with anything less than his death.

"tim gueguen" <ad058@sfn.saskatoon.sk.ca> wrote in message
news:MmNo7.4821$5r4.1156326@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com...
>
> "bite me" <bite@me.net> wrote in message
> news:eCLo7.61101$f7.8144673@news02.optonline.net...
> > Since any form of a homosexual act is forbidden
> > in Islam....
> >
> > If it's possible to have bin laden and his followers
> > raped in the ass and broadcasted by satellite throughout
> > the globe his own people will shun and try to kill them.
> >
> > I know it's strange.. But come on.. Its BRILLIANT!
> >
> Its silly. If the Western powers could get their hands on him they'd have
> far better things to do with him, like putting him on trial for murder.
>
> tim gueguen 101867
>
>

4982. Upgrade Uniden 200xlt battery questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 18:44:47 UTC
From: ps <nospam@noway.nohow>
Message-ID: <3BA4F31E.FC8094CB@noway.nohow>
Source record: 59698
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I would like to upgrade the original battery in my Uniden Bearcat 200XLT. The original battery pack is a model BP200, with a 600mAh 7.2v Ni-Cad battery.

I'm thinking about replacing only the battery with a 7.2v 1600-1700mAh NiMH battery, using the original BP200 "case" and internal charging circuit. (Battery can be found at http://www.racescanners.com/2001catalog.pdf)

Has anyone done this? Any installation problems? Will the original wall charger and internal charging ciruit work with the larger battery?

Thanks,
Pedro
Rochester, MN

4983. Radio NewYork Intnl. w/ John P. LIVE TONITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 19:35:10 UTC
From: selenium rectifier <jofanbreez30@unill.edu>
Message-ID: <O97p7.2279$Md5.263969@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 59699
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Just a reminder that tonight (every Sunday night)
from 8:00 PM Eastern time to Midnite is Radio
NewYork International with John P. Lightning.
Program is done LIVE from Brooklyn NYC and
is quite good. John P. Lightning and his crew put
on a great program.

WBCQ - 7.415 mHz shortwave live
radio starting at 8:00 PM Eastern Sunday.

I also understand that you can also hear RNYI on the Net but I don't have the URL.
If anyone has the infor for RNYI via Real Audio please post the same !

4984. Re: WTB:Used Scanner From Honest Person

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 20:28:54 UTC
From: Worez_Magic <worez_magic-del-@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <aY7p7.16$Tu4.373@ratbert.tds.net>
Source record: 59700

Let me get this straight... You are looking for an honest person in Usenet?
Try your local pawn shop instead, and good luck!

Tony Deb <acdccc@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:12994-3B9EC9CA-101@storefull-236.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> After having been ripped off on ebay I am still looking for a used
> scanner that works here in upstate n.y. I'm really confused with all the
> changes that have taken place but I think I need one that tracks
> motorola and erikkson trunking scanners.I sent money for one that
> said"no gaps" 800 mghz and never heard from the seller again.I am
> recently on disability for a severe spinal injury and certainly have the
> time to enjoy a scanner but can only afford a used one.Thanks for
> listening and God Bless.
>
> Tony C.
>

4985. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 20:41:44 UTC
From: No Fear <nofear@home.comm>
Message-ID: <c88p7.19815$5A3.6284584@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 59701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

<Moparholic@hotmail.com> wrote in message while stroking his manhood.
> >
> > Would you rather be the guy who cleans up the thousands dead at the WTC?
> >
> You are one sick mother fucker !
> -Moparholic

What is so sick about that, would you have rather been the guy who had to
shoot the hijacked plane down, and kill a few hundred or have the
to be the guy at Ground Zero where thousands are dead. Kiss my Ass you will
look for any opportunity to say something to me. You were not there nor do I
see you volunteering to be there so stfu!

4986. Re: This may sound wierd but....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 21:16:53 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <20541-3BA516C5-68@storefull-107.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 59705

Nor should you be completely satisfied after his death either. As much as I want him wiped out too, his death will not stop terrorist acts against the U.S. or it's interests abroad in the future, there's always another nutcase waiting in the wings that our government helped 'create' or supported in the past like Saddam and Bin Laden to fight bigger enemies who now are on our 'side', now THAT'S weird!

4987. Re: CP Rail squelch codes

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 21:29:26 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010916172926.14611.00000392@nso-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 59704

In article <deethardt-57DDAD.03281915092001@news.charter.net>, Pat Deethardt <deethardt@tomah.com> writes:

>Anybody know if CP Rail uses any type of squelch codes, or do they just
>use carrier squelch? I'm mostly interested in their Wisconsin
>operations, but other areas would be useful too.
>

I'm not aware of any railroads that use squelch codes. They only use the standard carrier squelch.
If you're looking for frequencies, let me suggest going to "www.railfan.net". There are lots of frequencies posted there by clubs and enthusiasts.

You might also repost your question in "rec.railroad." Someone there might have some info.

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4988. Re: Any recordings exist of first call WTC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 21:29:27 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010916172927.14611.00000393@nso-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 59702

In article <20010913233143.18646.00000260@mb-ci.news.cs.com>,
go4tcross@cs.communicate (Luke Hoffmann) writes:

>I found that video and downloaded it. I had thought that NOBODY had video of
>it, because there was no REASON to tape the WTC.
>

My understanding was that a country singer (can't remember who) was going to do some shots for a music video, but decided to postpone it. However, some of the video crew was still in NYC, and they just happened to be in the right place when the first aircraft hit.

Paul.

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4989. Re: Nationwide mil freqs?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 21:29:28 UTC
From: n0jaa@aol.compost
Message-ID: <20010916172928.14611.00000394@nso-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 59703

In article <nrm1qtg18q680qki70h28id3k8n7m1l6de@4ax.com>, Greg
<king.frost@home.com> writes:

>Hmmm interesting, I have been hearing a chatter on 127.625 from here
>in Rockford, IL this morning. The plane seems to have a call sign of
>Lifeguard (something). Air national guard, perhaps?
>

No, "Lifeguard" usually refers to a medivac helicopter or air ambulance.
Examples would be "Lifeflight," "Flight for Life," etc. These are operated by
civilian hospitals and use the callsign "Lifeguard" and the last two or three
numbers of the aircraft registration number.

73,
Paul N0JAA

"We have captured lightning and used it to teach sand how to think."

4990. Re: WTB:Used Scanner From Honest Person

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 22:07:14 UTC
From: Paul P <splicer65@go.com>
Message-ID: <mo9p7.307943$VV1.22860477@bin1.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 59706

I hope that you are aware that E-bay does have a degree of fraud protection
http://pages.ebay.com/help/community/insurance.html

> Tony Deb <acdccc@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:12994-3B9EC9CA-101@storefull-236.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > After having been ripped off on ebay I am still looking for a used
> > scanner that works here in upstate n.y. I'm really confused with all the
> > changes that have taken place but I think I need one that tracks
> > motorola and erikkson trunking scanners.I sent money for one that
> > said"no gaps" 800 mghz and never heard from the seller again.I am
> > recently on disability for a severe spinal injury and certainly have the
> > time to enjoy a scanner but can only afford a used one.Thanks for
> > listening and God Bless.
> >
> > Tony C.

4991. Re: Data signal.....identifying wav's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 22:18:21 UTC
From: Rob Ramm <therammerNoSpam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <419aqtksh3rpf8ol312lj4ri72ip1r93kj@4ax.com>
Source record: 59707
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Dev. I use this web page a lot when trying to identify signals with
my M8000 decoder.

It has all the main ones that you normally hear on shortwave and I
always wondered what they were till I started to decode them.

http://www.wunclub.com/sounds/index.html

Rob
therammerNoSpam@hotmail.com

remove "NoSpam" when you email me
On Sat, 15 Sep 2001 17:07:55 -0700, "Dev" <.> wrote:

>I was wondering if anyone knew of a webpage that is still up online. All my
>old links are either for some reason outdated or down. I knew of some sites
>where you could listen to the data signals, These were wav files to help you
>identify what type you were hearing on your scanner or ham radio. I
>apreciate the info if anyone remembers any sites.
>
>Thanks a bunch
>
>Dev
>

4992. wtd: bc100xlt diagram

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 22:38:23 UTC
From: a2k@ripco.com
Message-ID: <9o39kvsou1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 59708

need a copy or scan of the low battery voltage circuit of this model.
it shuts down at 8 volts..

--

4993. Re: WTB:Used Scanner From Honest Person

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 22:40:44 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <MT9p7.7560$CR2.8249328@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 59709

Yeah, whatever you do, don't buy a scanner from that Jim Wayadayada guy. He seems rather sneaky. He's asking $200 more for his scanner than anyone else would expect to get!

"Worez_Magic" <worez_magic-del-@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:aY7p7.16$Tu4.373@ratbert.tds.net...
> Let me get this straight... You are looking for an honest person in Usenet?
> Try your local pawn shop instead, and good luck!
>
>
> Tony Deb <acdccc@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:12994-3B9EC9CA-101@storefull-236.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > After having been ripped off on ebay I am still looking for a used
> > scanner that works here in upstate n.y. I'm really confused with all the
> > changes that have taken place but I think I need one that tracks
> > motorola and erikkson trunking scanners.I sent money for one that
> > said"no gaps" 800 mghz and never heard from the seller again.I am
> > recently on disability for a severe spinal injury and certainly have the
> > time to enjoy a scanner but can only afford a used one.Thanks for
> > listening and God Bless.
> >
> > Tony C.
> >
>
>

4994. Re: Data signal.....identifying wav's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 22:47:55 UTC
From: Heather and Greg <heatherandgreg@home.com>
Message-ID: <v_9p7.17646$N3.2161920@news1.rdc1.va.home.com>
Source record: 59710
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I am interested in decoders but can't afford the m8000. Any cheaper ones
out there?

Greg

"Rob Ramm" <therammerNoSpam@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:419aqtksh3rpf8ol312lj4ri72ip1r93kj@4ax.com...
>
> Hi Dev. I use this web page a lot when trying to identify signals with
> my M8000 decoder.
>
> It has all the main ones that you normally hear on shortwave and I
> always wondered what they were till I started to decode them.
>
> http://www.wunclub.com/sounds/index.html
>
> Rob
> therammerNoSpam@hotmail.com
>
> remove "NoSpam" when you email me
> On Sat, 15 Sep 2001 17:07:55 -0700, "Dev" <.> wrote:
>
> >I was wondering if anyone knew of a webpage that is still up online. All
my
> >old links are either for some reason outdated or down. I knew of some
sites
> >where you could listen to the data signals, These were wav files to help
you
> >identify what type you were hearing on your scanner or ham radio. I
> >apreciate the info if anyone remembers any sites.
> >
> >Thanks a bunch
> >
> >Dev
> >
>

4995. Speech Inversion Descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 23:33:23 UTC
From: ctpds49@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010916193323.18724.00001241@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 59712

Speech Inversion is accomplished by mixing the speech spectrum
(typically 300-3000 Hz) with a tone (commonly 3500 Hz) to produce some
audio frequencies (3800-6500 Hz) then filtering to 3200-500 Hz
constituting the speech inversion.

Voice Frequency Inversion scrambling is descrambled
with a demodulator IC. If the filtering is lite,
it will decode some variable split band as well.

hometown.aol.com/ctpds49/index.html

4996. Re: nEW gROUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-16 23:36:48 UTC
From: Daniel <daniel54@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3ba53783$1@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 59713
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

Wanted to join your group, after an hour of stuffing around with
yahoo.....getting a email which i can sign in on but it refuses to let me
sign up for a group, i gave up
Is there any way of getting this group posted to my normal email account?.
I have verified all my email addresses in yahoo etc, i can sign in, as soon
as i try and join the group it says that my username etc is not recognised

4997. Re: Data signal.....identifying wav's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 00:01:16 UTC
From: Ralph Mowery <rmowery@dialpoint.net>
Message-ID: <3BA53D4C.83CD944A@dialpoint.net>
Source record: 59711
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

How about the sound card in your computer ?

Go here for free and sharewear programs.

http://www.muenster.de/%7Ewelp/sb.htm

Most of the programs will also transmitt but you don't have to use it.
All you have to do is plug the sound card input to the speaker of your
receiver.

Heather and Greg wrote:
>
> I am interested in decoders but can't afford the m8000. Any cheaper ones
> out there?
>
> G

4998. Good Newbie Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 00:06:22 UTC
From: benarden@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010916200622.10734.00000550@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 59714

Hi:

Don't know what to buy; or where; interested in Coast guard /
Aircraft transmissions

Small a plus;
> $ 100 possible??

Thanks

Ben

4999. Re: AOR 8000 Scanner cable CC8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 00:09:12 UTC
From: Digitaleb0la <digitalebola@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3ba5400d@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 59715
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

do you have any further information on this? Im also looking for a cable, but am a little scared about opening up my scanner to mod. I am good with electronics, but my scanner is worth like $450 so yah..

If you are in VIC, would u mod it for $$$?

"breach" <Use-Author-Address-Header@[127.1]> wrote in message news:200109050449.VAA21236@taxismtp2.alchemyfx.com...
> On 04 Sep 2001, fredo wrote in aus.radio.scanner:
> >anyone know where to get the programming cable for the AOR 8000 in aus?
> >and how much $ should expect?
>
> Have a search on the net for info on how to
> make one and/or mod your scanner to take a
> cable of your own design. I did that, very
> easy, works a treat.
>
> G

5000. Re: Data signal.....identifying wav's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 01:22:32 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9o3iv202343@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59716
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

thanks for the reply. I am lostening to them. still not sure what it is. I know it has to somehting to do with the horizon here. and satalite. cause it will phase in and out. Sometimes during the phase of the transmission. it will be on another frequency sorta like how gps works. this only a 15k bandwidth andis only between 137.2400Mhz to say um 138 Mhz. 138 is too high. but give or take. 10 - 50 Khz.
I will go thru the rest of the audio and see. I am just in the proccess of moving the radio and ham radio stuff to another computer and comport. etc. I really appreciate the reply. Due to all my original links being dead now. Thanks again :)

Dev

"Rob Ramm" <therammerNoSpam@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:419aqtksh3rpf8ol312lj4ri72ip1r93kj@4ax.com...
>
> Hi Dev. I use this web page a lot when trying to identify signals with
> my M8000 decoder.
>
> It has all the main ones that you normally hear on shortwave and I
> always wondered what they were till I started to decode them.
>
> http://www.wunclub.com/sounds/index.html
>
> Rob
> therammerNoSpam@hotmail.com
>
> remove "NoSpam" when you email me
> On Sat, 15 Sep 2001 17:07:55 -0700, "Dev" <.> wrote:
>
> >I was wondering if anyone knew of a webpage that is still up online. All my
> >old links are either for some reason outdated or down. I knew of some sites
> >where you could listen to the data signals, These were wav files to help you
> >identify what type you were hearing on your scanner or ham radio. I
> >apreciate the info if anyone remembers any sites.
> >
> >Thanks a bunch
> >
> >Dev
> >
>

5001. Re: FDNY UHF FREQS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 01:49:51 UTC
From: njscan <njscan@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <3Fcp7.74549$f7.10964332@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59717

Go to http://nydxa.4t.com
Use the WTC link

"WNYF76" <wnyf76@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010915122750.06923.00000369@mb-fo.aol.com...
> Can anyone advise which of the new UHF Handie Talkie freqs are being used
> and what the PL's are if any,

5002. Re: Upgrade Uniden 200xlt battery questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 02:07:39 UTC
From: noemailedreplysplz@verizon.net
Message-ID: <9o3ltb$aitgs$5@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 59718
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes, that can be done. There is only a problem if you had a fast charger.
But these are often of the slow type, so it is just fine. The differences
are it will take 2.5 to 3 times longer to charge because of the increased
battery capacity, and it will last that much longer, too. I am also aware
that there are mods for some scanners that allow you to increase the charging
current into the battey so it will charger a little faster.

In article <3BA4F31E.FC8094CB@noway.nohow>, nospam@noway.nohow says...
>
>I would like to upgrade the original battery in my Uniden Bearcat
>200XLT. The original battery pack is a model BP200, with a 600mAh 7.2v
>Ni-Cad battery.
>
>I'm thinking about replacing only the battery with a 7.2v 1600-1700mAh
>NiMH battery, using the original BP200 "case" and internal charging
>circuit. (Battery can be found at
>http://www.racescanners.com/2001catalog.pdf)
>
>Has anyone done this? Any installation problems? Will the original
>wall charger and internal charging ciruit work with the larger battery?
>
>Thanks,
>Pedro
>Rochester, MN
>

5003. Re: NYC Television Transmitters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 02:09:05 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <uTcp7.26048$yJ1.1723523@e420r-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 59719

> I'm only getting 25 with any viewable picture. Where do you guys live
> to get 2? I'm below 14th. Do you think the commercial networks will
> be in service any time soon?

2 is great in NJ and 4 is back as well as 7 and 11 and 13. Some of the stations are broadcasting from the Alpine tower in NJ (Armstrong's FM tower!)

Roland, NK2U

5004. Re: NYC Television Transmitters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 02:10:43 UTC
From: Roland Stiner <nk2u@1stconnect.com>
Message-ID: <DTcp7.8759$im.673640@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 59720

> What amazes me is that if you visit the websites of any of the TV stations,
> they don't even mention that they are off the air!

What's even more disgusting is that Steve Jacobson, N2SJ who is their engineer, is not even listed on WPIX's page as missing and presumed dead! They're like, hey, everything's fine here!

Roland, NK2U

5005. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 02:20:26 UTC
From: Nomen Nescio <nobody@dizum.com>
Message-ID: <d9871be576a13ef37604a1122f781ed4@dizum.com>
Source record: 59721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"David Heath" <theath3740@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3BA12935.88CFAEDB@earthlink.net...
> The solution to Osama Bin Laden is to seek that cowardly bastard out and
> kill him and
> anyone and I mean anyone that has anything to do with him and his fucked
> up beliefs!
> That includes any country that would harbor that maniac. He should not
> live another
> day free after this shit he has pulled. We need not to hesitate to go
> over there and
> take care of business and thats a fact. And anyone here in the United
> States that
> thinks we should let by gones be by gones needs to get the fuck out!!

That is where the diplomacy comes in. Bin Laden or his surrogates in Arab governments have to be negotiated with. Bin Laden is expecting military strikes from the U.S. This is what he wants. The strikes will give him and his kind more warriors bent on destruction. Maybe next time it will be bio-warfare and over a million dead bodies instead of a building?

The Muslims know that they can not tolerate "Western values" in their religion but there is a large space between their countries and the West (I know about the Muslims in southern Europe and the proximity of Turkey to Europe but the Muslims have much room to live). The problem is Israel, there is no getting away from that. Israel is like a malignancy in the Middle East, it does not rest, it constantly tries to metastasize ("Jewish settlements"). If the "West" was the problem and not Israel then why don't the Muslims bomb Britain, France and Germany?

Only the French have been bombed by Muslims and that was because of Algeria and the bombings were by Algerians. That is the "Vietnam" for the French. The only Muslim bombing in Germany was by Libyans against U.S. military personnel in Germany.

Israel is the problem.

America is a sad place, so much promise and potential but it has been hijacked by the Zionists for their genocidal cause in Israel and the surrounding countries. The map that the Zionists are working from shows Egypt and Jordan as part of Israel.

Less than 5% of Americans (which includes the 2% of Americans who are Jews) know of the Nazi-Zionist alliance that created Israel. Americans are very immature politically and are kept that way through a massive propaganda system that is very much like what George Orwell described in "1984".

General Wesley Clark is a Zionist Jew (this has been revealed in the "American" media -that he's a Jew, not the Zionist part of the story- but is still largely unknown and people will think you are a crank for referring to him as a Jew because of his physical appearance). The New York Times is owned and run by Zionist Jews as is the Washington Post. William Kristol, Editor of the Rupert Murdoch "conservative" publication "The Weekly Standard" is a Zionist Jew. Rupert Murdoch himself may be a Zionist Jew as well because Zionists would be willing to recognize him as such (http://www.geocities.com/CapitolHill/Parliament/3975/newshist.htm).

Pat Robertson and Jerry Falwell are not Jews but they are strong supporters of Zionists, both politically and monetarily.

Zionists want all Jews in Israel, including "Jews" who are not considered Jews by the Rabbis, people with a Jewish great grandparent for instance. The Zionists understand that even Synagogue Jews will not go to Israel without someone pointing a gun at them and ordering them onto the plane.

> Helen & Bob wrote:
>
> > "Talysman the Ur-Beatle" <talysman@globalsurrealism.com> wrote in message
> > news:49699b6a.0109110950.2e3c992b@posting.google.com...
> >
> > Let me see if I've got this right, some embassies in Africa were blown
> > up by this
> > Osama Bin Laden guy who is rumored to be hanging out in Afghanistan so
> > President
> > Blow-Job strafed the place with Cruise Missiles murdering many
> > civilians. Many
> > militants over on that side of the world didn't like that response and
> > were so
> > pissed off that they were willing to give their lives to strike back at the
> > American government. So they come over here and turn Boeing jets into cruise
> > missiles and succeed in striking at the heart of the American government.
> >
> > Now basically the same evil, bloodthirsty clowns in the U.S. Government
> > want to
> > strike back with bombs again. Insanity is doing the same thing over and
> > over again
> > and expecting a different result.
> >
> > Perhaps they are not insane though? The FBI and Pentagon as well as the
> > intelligence agencies are always asking for more money and this bombing
> > has them
> > all running around with their hat out asking for more with the implicit
> > threat
> > that we had all better pay up or there will be more terror. The
> > National Media is
> > on TV urging everything from bombing other countries to giving the FBI
> > total power
> > to do what they want! Well, in addition to this being criminal behavior
> > (terror

> > and EXTORTION) it is also a false problem.
> >
> > Osama and his crew do not want anything that we have and we do not want
> > anything
> > that he and his people have. There is no natural conflict between us,
> > we do not
> > even live on the same side of planet Earth. This entire corrupt
> > conflict revolves
> > around Israel and several government agencies that are totalitarian by
> > nature
> > (Pentagon, FBI and CIA). Eisenhower warned us all about the Military
> > Industrial
> > Complex on his way out as President. We have not listened. The mad boys
> > of Ruby
> > Ridge and Waco are salivating in public with their machine guns and making
> > outrageous demands. The FBI has effectively shut down all air traffic
> > even though
> > the threat has clearly passed. The FBI is destroying the American
> > economy. They
> > have demanded to the FAA that an FBI agent be on every plane even
> > though there are
> > about 10,000 active FBI agents (far too many) and 35,000-40,000 flights
> > per day in
> > America (when the FBI allows the airlines to operate).
> >
> > Take a good look at the head of the Pentagon, Donald "Twitchy" Rumsfeld
> > who got
> > his start as a hard charging Young Republican in the Nixon Watergate
> > administration. He was trained under the paranoid Nixon-Kissinger "Coup
> > D'etat"
> > administration. You might recall that Nixon/Kissinger thought that
> > overthrowing
> > the obscure government of Chile would help win the "Cold War". Those
> > two thought
> > that a Coup D'etat was the solution to any problem. These are
> > Rumsfeld's teachers
> > and "Twitchy" Rumsfeld has that same glint in his eye and a heavy
> > influence upon
> > America's number one dolt George Bush Jr.
> >
> > Remember all that controversy that has surrounded the FBI for many
> > years now? That
> > vanished fast on September 11, 2001 did it not? You should be worried
> > about that
> > if you value your freedom.
> >
> > America is still here. We are still free. That's more than the Chinese
> > can say,
> > literally because the Chinese can't read this newsgroup, they can't
> > post here.

> > Their government has reduced the Internet to an intranet. Almost the entire
> > Internet is firewalled off from the millions of Chinese who are online.
> > It can
> > happen here. All it takes is your support of the Military Industrial
> > Complex or
> > your apathy as it takes over our lives.
> >
> > Soldiers on every corner and warrantless searches are next to ensure
> > our "safety"
> > Our "news" broadcasts are already Orwellian. Rally around the flag to defend
> > against what the American Government, the Military Industrial Complex
> > and Israel
> > created and you will lose it all.
> >
> > The solution to our Osama problem is diplomacy. We have no conflict
> > that cannot be
> > solved. The oil producing Arab nations need to do business with us so
> > we have a
> > natural alliance with them, not antipathy. The conflict is Israel and
> > neither they
> > nor we need Israel.
>

5006. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 02:49:02 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba554c7_5@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

> Israel is the problem.

Israel has never flown a commercial aircraft into a loaded building.

Stan

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

5007. Re: Data signal.....identifying wav's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 03:58:15 UTC
From: Rob Ramm <therammerNoSpam@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <ovsaqtkdoe7ln6so0jlnbr4ilr2f5t662t@4ax.com>
Source record: 59723
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Yes there are cheaper decoders. It all depends though what you want to decode. There seems to be a lot of rtty, and ARQ-E3 lately and also some Piccalo. Probabley due to the increased military traffic. I suggest looking on Universal Radio website, they have a lot of used gear, but be sure not to buy anything that is obsolite. If you want to decode pagers, You will probably have to buy it from a canadian source unless you are a government organization as I think it is illegal in the U.S. to sell decoding equipment like that.

On Sun, 16 Sep 2001 22:47:55 GMT, "Heather and Greg"
<heatherandgreg@home.com> wrote:

>I am interested in decoders but can't afford the m8000. Any cheaper ones
>out there?
>
>Greg
>
>
>"Rob Ramm" <therammerNoSpam@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:419aqtksh3rpf8ol312lj4ri72ip1r93kj@4ax.com...
>>
>> Hi Dev. I use this web page a lot when trying to identify signals with
>> my M8000 decoder.
>>
>> It has all the main ones that you normally hear on shortwave and I
>> always wondered what they were till I started to decode them.
>>
>> http://www.wunclub.com/sounds/index.html
>>
>> Rob
>> therammerNoSpam@hotmail.com
>>
>> remove "NoSpam" when you email me
>> On Sat, 15 Sep 2001 17:07:55 -0700, "Dev" <.> wrote:
>>
>> >I was wondering if anyone knew of a webpage that is still up online. All
>my
>> >old links are either for some reason outdated or down. I knew of some
>sites
>> >where you could listen to the data signals, These were wav files to help
>you
>> >identify what type you were hearing on your scanner or ham radio. I
>> >apreciate the info if anyone remembers any sites.
>> >

>> >Thanks a bunch
>> >
>> >Dev
>> >
>>
>

5008. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 04:28:43 UTC
From: Alasdair McKie <amckie@ottawa.com>
Message-ID: <amckie-AE66E6.00284317092001@[207.35.177.134]>
Source record: 59724

In article <3ba554c7_5@news.newzpig.com>, "Never Falls"
<sigother@netzero.net> wrote:

> > Israel is the problem.
>
> Israel has never flown a commercial aircraft into a loaded building.

That's a pretty short-sighted answer, don't you think? WHY did someone fly a commercial aircraft into a loaded building? Try to look beyond your nose -- there are reasons behind things. The attack was no random act of violence - the people responsible were motivated by SOMETHING. What do you suppose that something is? It may or may not be the relationship between U.S. and Israel, I don't know. But just because someone from nation X wasn't flying the planes doesn't mean nation X isn't part of the bigger problem that led to the attack in the first place.

-A

5009. Re: This may sound wierd but....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 04:38:07 UTC
From: go4tcross@cs.communicate
Message-ID: <20010917003807.25378.00000704@mb-ct.news.cs.com>
Source record: 59725

How about tying him to the ground. Then have each of the victim's family members and close friends insert a rusty needle into his flesh...

Luke Hoffmann
Go4tcross@cs.com

5010. Re: Any recordings exist of first call WTC ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 04:41:17 UTC
From: go4tcross@cs.communicate
Message-ID: <20010917004117.25378.00000706@mb-ct.news.cs.com>
Source record: 59726

>
>My understanding was that a country singer (can't remember who) was going to
>do
>some shots for a music video, but decided to postpone it. However, some of
>the
>video crew was still in NYC, and they just happened to be in the right place
>when the first aircraft hit.

Looked like an ametuer camera. The person filming, at one point, rapidly zooms in and out. Not sure why. But it doesn't affect the vdeo much, still got all of it.

There was a firefighter in uniform in the clip, just before the person apparently saw and\or heard the airliner and panned up towards the tower.

Luke Hoffmann
Go4tcross@cs.com

5011. What's the DIODE for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 05:23:37 UTC
From: Greg Hall <ghall1@neb.rr.com>
Message-ID: <tNfp7.323994$Jg.43792991@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 59727

I have some basic electronic knowledge, but still have a queston I need answered.

Last week, my mobile scanner kept blowing the fuse. After checking the scanner over, there was a diode 1N4003 first thing across the + and - terminals where the DC comes into the circuit board. I found it to be shorted in both directions. After removing it (and before replacing it) I tried the scanner to make sure it wouldn't blow the fuse and it was working properly.

I replaced the 1N4003 with a 1N4001 diode and everything appears back to normal. Is the diode's only purpose to protect against backward wiring, or does it serve some other purpose? Also, the 1N4001 should be good enough for a 12V scanner, shouldn't it?

Thanks.

Greg

5012. Re: Cleveland Ohio PD back in clear? Then what's this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 06:08:33 UTC
From: BikerDude <GoSpamSomeonelse@OK.com>
Message-ID: <urgp7.481$k35.20724@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 59728

You can listen to Cleveland PD scanner traffic @
http://www.cleveland.com/policescanner/

If they have a trunked digital system, who is broadcasting this, and how ?

"Erik" <see@the.bottom.com> wrote in message
news:3b9e9320.12770083@news-central.giganews.com...
> I pick up a lot of activity up and down the I-271 corridor, but yeah,
> most are boring, routine traffic stops. Actually, South Euclid can
> get pretty interesting at times, but that's about it. Mentor is pure
> suburban hell - teen traffic stops all weekend! :-p
>
> I didn't know Rocky River used MDT's (or any other locality, for that
> matter). Know any frequencies offhand?

5013. Re: This may sound wierd but....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 06:09:53 UTC
From: VTSSYNBATHYQ@spammotel.com
Message-ID: <Xns911F1624C2449Jimnospamcom@24.253.156.38>
Source record: 59729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

"Retro" <Back_In_The_Day@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:tq9qsflnp6rfea@corp.supernews.com:

> I don't think putting him on trial is on the minds of any real
> american. I for one will not be satisfied with anything less than his
> death.

his death won't cleanse your bloodlust, because he's not scared to be
killed.. he WANTS to be a martyr.. so future generations will carry HIS
bloodlust... no amount of torture on this sick prick will be enough for you
all.. did you all feel THAT much better when Tim Mcveigh was put down, sure
you knew he was gone.. but did the revenge equal out... not at all.

>
> "tim gueguen" <ad058@sfn.saskatoon.sk.ca> wrote in message
> news:MmNo7.4821$5r4.1156326@news1.rdc1.mb.home.com...
>>
>> "bite me" <bite@me.net> wrote in message
>> news:eCLo7.61101$f7.8144673@news02.optonline.net...
>> > Since any form of a homosexual act is forbidden
>> > in Islam....
>> >
>> > If it's possible to have bin laden and his followers
>> > raped in the ass and broadcasted by satellite throughout
>> > the globe his own people will shun and try to kill them.
>> >
>> > I know it's strange.. But come on.. Its BRILLIANT!
>> >
>> Its silly. If the Western powers could get their hands on him they'd
>> have far better things to do with him, like putting him on trial for
>> murder.
>>
>> tim gueguen 101867
>>
>>
>
>
>

5014. Re: What's the DIODE for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 06:13:14 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9o440102uh8@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59731

that is mostly what a diode does. makes it flow in one direction and not the other. there are other purposes but. that is what that purpose was for. Besides a Light Emitted Diode (LED). does sorta the same thing too.

"Greg Hall" <ghall1@neb.rr.com> wrote in message news:tNfp7.323994$Jg.43792991@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
> I have some basic electronic knowledge, but still have a queston I need
> answered.
>
> Last week, my mobile scanner kept blowing the fuse. After checking the
> scanner over, there was a diode 1N4003 first thing across the + and -
> terminals where the DC comes into the circuit board. I found it to be
> shorted in both directions. After removing it (and before replacing it) I
> tried the scanner to make sure it wouldn't blow the fuse and it was
working
> properly.
>
> I replaced the 1N4003 with a 1N4001 diode and everything appears back to
> normal. Is the diode's only purpose to protect against backward wiring,
or
> does it serve some other purpose? Also, the 1N4001 should be good enough
> for a 12V scanner, shouldn't it?
>
> Thanks.
>
> Greg
>
>

5015. Re: nEW gROUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 06:14:10 UTC
From: Matt <nospam@home.com>
Message-ID: <Swgp7.38469$N01.2553805@news1.cableinet.net>
Source record: 59730
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

"Daniel" <daniel54@iprimus.com.au> wrote in message
news:3ba53783$1@news.iprimus.com.au...

Get someone you know who subscribes to create a mail message rule that once the email has entered their inbox, it can be directly sent to you. Posting from yourself will prove a bit more difficult. Far easier to create an ID for yourself.

Matt

5016. Re: Cows eat poop

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 08:06:22 UTC
From: BikerDude <GoSpamSomeonelse@OK.com>
Message-ID: <V9ip7.492$k35.21125@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 59732

This thread reminds me of the movie 'Jay & Silent Bob Strike Back' :

www.moviepoopshoot.com

Posted by Jay and Silent Bob:

In Reply to: Jay & Silent Bob lick balls - Darth Randal 15:25:37

All you motherfuckers are gonna pay, You are the ones who are the
ball-lickers. We're gonna fuck your mothers while you watch and cry like
little bitches. Once we get to Hollywood and find those Miramax fucks who
are making that movie, we're gonna make 'em at our shit, then shit out our
shit, then eat their shit which is made up of our shit that we made 'em eat.
Then you're all fucking next.

Love,

Jay and Silent Bob

"Mike Burch" <libertybell7@home.com> wrote in message
news:3B9D02B5.1BC7395C@home.com...

>
>
> Ryan KC8PMX wrote:
>
> > Yeah, but isn't that only cat poop they eat? :)
> >
> > Actually my dogs eat their own. I guess they just want to run it
> > through one more time to make sure. :-).
>

5017. Re: AOR 8000 Scanner cable CC8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 08:23:27 UTC
From: scrapps@start.com.au
Message-ID: <8c7e75f9.0109170023.64c0f3e0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59733
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

fredo <fredo@nospam.com> wrote in message news:<3B94AAA8.2B7CDAD3@nospam.com>...
> anyone know where to get the programming cable for the AOR 8000 in aus?
> and how much $ should expect?
> DSE price is $217, bloody hell!
> thanks

Try here for that programming cable
http://www.accesscomms.com.au/AOR.htm

5018. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 09:26:02 UTC
From: h_laws@bigpond.com
Message-ID: <3ba5c165.2184656@news.bigpond.com>
Source record: 59734
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

On Sun, 16 Sep 2001 12:45:11 GMT, "No Fear" <nofear@home.comm> wrote:

>W
>"Mad Hamish" <h_laws@bigpond.com> wrote in message
>> I understand that fighters were sent after one of the later planes but
>> they didn't have time to catch up (and I'd have hated to have been the
>> person who had to fire on a commercial flight)
>
>Would you rather be the guy who cleans up the thousands dead at the WTC?
>
As an abstract idea 200 fatalities on my hands would be better than
4000 or so that someone else caused.

Doesn't mean I'd sleep well.

5019. Re: What's the DIODE for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 10:20:28 UTC
From: Steve Hanis <wxfreak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <M7kp7.326490$Jg.43959243@typhoon.kc.rr.com>
Source record: 59735

"Greg Hall" <ghall1@neb.rr.com> wrote in message
news:tNfp7.323994$Jg.43792991@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
Also, the 1N4001 should be good enough
> for a 12V scanner, shouldn't it?

As long as the fuse is the right size fast blow.
Just be glad the diode didn't burn open then the radio is unprotected.
It did it's job.

5020. Re: nEW gROUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 11:37:05 UTC
From: RICK <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <Bflp7.39953$N01.2702725@news1.cableinet.net>
Source record: 59736
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

If you get to the group front page click JOIN GROUP in the top right corner.

HTH
RICK

"Daniel" <daniel54@iprimus.com.au> wrote in message
news:3ba53783$1@news.iprimus.com.au...
> Wanted to join your group, after an hour of stuffing around with
> yahoo.....getting a email which i can sign in on but it refuses to let me
> sign up for a group, i gave up
> Is there any way of getting this group posted to my normal email account?.
> I have verified all my email addresses in yahoo etc, i can sign in, as
soon
> as i try and join the group it says that my username etc is not recognised
>
>

5021. Discone connected to Yupiteru MVT7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 12:22:44 UTC
From: marcje01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ca10ea14.0109170422.dd67d7c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59737
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi,

I've bought a discone antenna for my Yupiteru MVT 7100.
I knew when I bought the discone that I could get
problems with intermodulation because the antenna input
can be overloaded.

On some frequencies in the VHF/UHF range this indeed
occurs. I receive some FM broadcast stations. But when
I use the attenuator on my Yupiteru, it's gone, as
expected. I have a much better reception now.

However, the following seems strange to me:
I also here shortwave radiostations on some frequencies
in the VHF range.
I've used low loss coax cable and I'm 100% sure the
shielding is correctly grounded. Since the discone is
therefore the only 'receiving element', why do I hear
short wave stations? I would expect this when I connect
e.g. a long wire as antenna, but not with the discone.
After all, it's too short for a SW antenna.

Could it be that the shield of the coax cable is the
source of this? Any hints/tips?
Or is it just overloading the input comparable to the
FM broadcast stations (this would mean that the discone
receives SW much better than the standard telescopic
antenna)?

Thanks in advance,
/Marc

5022. 780 Won't follow trunk data channel move. Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 12:32:30 UTC
From: "news2.bellatlantic.net" <bosco@aol.com>
Message-ID: <y3mp7.232$gr3.255626@typhoon2.gnilink.net>
Source record: 59738

I have a Uniden 780 that I've programmed to monitor an Erickson EDACs system. It works fine if I manually step to the control data channel, select trunking, and then hit scan. If the data channel shifts to another frequency, the scanner stops scanning talkgroups.

Any idea why? I used Trunkstar to program the scanner, and set up the bank as an EDACs wide before downloading the frequencies.

Thanks

5023. Re: Upgrade Uniden 200xlt battery questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 13:12:12 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <5F98DAB466EC8D8F.2C1214BD6D90818C.AB0CAEAD7B8AC6CD@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 59739

On Sun, 16 Sep 2001 13:44:47 -0500, ps <nospam@noway.nohow> wrote:

>I would like to upgrade the original battery in my Uniden Bearcat
>200XLT. The original battery pack is a model BP200, with a 600mAh 7.2v
>Ni-Cad battery.
[snip]

I would recommend a simple rebuild of the BP200 with NiMH cells.
Two good companies that do this at reasonable prices are:

www.nicdlady.com

www.primecell.com

5024. Re: Discone connected to Yupiteru MVT7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 14:01:03 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3BA6021D.EF695DC9@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59740
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

I have a discone which was stored hanging from the floor joists in the cellar. On an impulse I connected it to my PCR1000. Amazingly, it gets better reception on SW than the teleoscoping antenna, but not as good as a long wire antenna. Was listening to the BBC on 6.795 MHz last night here in NJ with this discone antenna mounted below ground level.

Walt

Marc wrote:
>
> Hi,
>
> I've bought a discone antenna for my Yupiteru MVT 7100.
> I knew when I bought the discone that I could get
> problems with intermodulation because the antenna input
> can be overloaded.
>
> On some frequencies in the VHF/UHF range this indeed
> occurs. I receive some FM broadcast stations. But when
> I use the attenuator on my Yupiteru, it's gone, as
> expected. I have a much better reception now.
>
> However, the following seems strange to me:
> I also here shortwave radiostations on some frequencies
> in the VHF range.
> I've used low loss coax cable and I'm 100% sure the
> shielding is correctly grounded. Since the discone is
> therefore the only 'receiving element', why do I hear
> short wave stations? I would expect this when I connect
> e.g. a long wire as antenna, but not with the discone.
> After all, it's too short for a SW antenna.
>
> Could it be that the shield of the coax cable is the
> source of this? Any hints/tips?
> Or is it just overloading the input comparable to the
> FM broadcast stations (this would mean that the discone
> receives SW much better than the standard telescopic
> antenna)?
>
> Thanks in advance,
> /Marc

5025. Re: Speech Inversion Descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 14:34:13 UTC
From: "Per H. Nielsen" <phn@dkscan.dkx>
Message-ID: <FRnp7.5341$lk7.111952@news.get2net.dk>
Source record: 59741

"t.jwï¿½ï¿½ï¿½*T" <tï¿½,ï¿½jwï¿½ï¿½*.ï¿½ï¿½@,ï¿½oucan@,bitemyarse.comï¿½ï¿½ï¿½rrï¿½.org>
writes:

>Why do you consider him to be spamming? He is talking about a topic that is
>not OT here.

He has been posting that same information repeatedly for years
in this and other newsgroups. He is trying to promote his commercial
product. That is spam.

--
Per H. Nielsen <phn@dkscan.dkx>
My Danish Scanner Pages: http://www.dkscan.dk
To reply to this change .dkx to .dk in my address.

5026. Re: nEW gROUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 15:51:11 UTC
From: RICK <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <PZop7.41235$N01.2830469@news1.cableinet.net>
Source record: 59742
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

Did you get in to it ???

I can sub you direct.

RICK

"RICK" <ricksvill@blueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:Bflp7.39953$N01.2702725@news1.cableinet.net...
> If you get to the group front page click JOIN GROUP in the top right
corner.
>
> HTH
> RICK
>
> "Daniel" <daniel54@iprimus.com.au> wrote in message
> news:3ba53783$1@news.iprimus.com.au...
> > Wanted to join your group, after an hour of stuffing around with
> > yahoo.....getting a email which i can sign in on but it refuses to let
me
> > sign up for a group, i gave up
> > Is there any way of getting this group posted to my normal email
account?.
> > I have verified all my email addresses in yahoo etc, i can sign in, as
> soon
> > as i try and join the group it says that my username etc is not
recognised
> >
> >
>
>

5027. Re: WTB:Used Scanner From Honest Person

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 16:27:39 UTC
From: jm1497@nauticom.net
Message-ID: <3BA6247B.E1A988C9@nauticom.net>
Source record: 59743

I personally take exception to your comment Worez. This newsgroup and others
are loaded with honest people.

"God Bless America"

Worez_Magic wrote:

> Let me get this straight... You are looking for an honest person in Usenet?
> Try your local pawn shop instead, and good luck!
>
> Tony Deb <acdccc@webtv.net> wrote in message
> news:12994-3B9EC9CA-101@storefull-236.iap.bryant.webtv.net...
> > After having been ripped off on ebay I am still looking for a used
> > scanner that works here in upstate n.y. I'm really confused with all the
> > changes that have taken place but I think I need one that tracks
> > motorola and erikkson trunking scanners.I sent money for one that
> > said"no gaps" 800 mghz and never heard from the seller again.I am
> > recently on disability for a severe spinal injury and certainly have the
> > time to enjoy a scanner but can only afford a used one.Thanks for
> > listening and God Bless.
> >
> > Tony C.
> >

5028. Re: Discone connected to Yupiteru MVT7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 16:57:11 UTC
From: Maz <systematic@SPAMFREEntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <7Xpp7.15833$QV4.354789@news11-gui.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 59744
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

i found that even using a wide band telescopic, if i ran a length of feeder
(7 metres of RG8 patch cable) from the scanner to the antenna that i got a
bit of breakthru from SW broadcast above 30 Mhz. I also got much improved HF
and less noise pick-up but i would guess that the wide-band nature of the
antenna means that the impedance across all ranges will not be completely
balanced, so this could cause the cable shielding to act as an antenna.
Use a high pass filter with a cutoff point around 30 Mhz should take care of
most of the HF breakthru.
HTH
Maz
"Marc" <marcje01@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ca10ea14.0109170422.dd67d7c@posting.google.com...
> Hi,
>
> I've bought a discone antenna for my Yupiteru MVT 7100.
> I knew when I bought the discone that I could get
> problems with intermodulation because the antenna input
> can be overloaded.
>
> On some frequencies in the VHF/UHF range this indeed
> occurs. I receive some FM broadcast stations. But when
> I use the attenuator on my Yupiteru, it's gone, as
> expected. I have a much better reception now.
>
> However, the following seems strange to me:
> I also here shortwave radiostations on some frequencies
> in the VHF range.
> I've used low loss coax cable and I'm 100% sure the
> shielding is correctly grounded. Since the discone is
> therefore the only 'receiving element', why do I hear
> short wave stations? I would expect this when I connect
> e.g. a long wire as antenna, but not with the discone.
> After all, it's too short for a SW antenna.
>
> Could it be that the shield of the coax cable is the
> source of this? Any hints/tips?
> Or is it just overloading the input comparable to the
> FM broadcast stations (this would mean that the discone
> receives SW much better than the standard telescopic
> antenna)?
>
> Thanks in advance,
> /Marc

5029. Re: AOR 8000 Scanner cable CC8200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 17:31:46 UTC
From: Ryan <giggsy@starmail.com>
Message-ID: <9o5bvvcan1@plutonium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 59745
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi
What is the mod that you want to do?
Ryan

"Digitaleb0la" <digitalebola@iprimus.com.au> wrote in message
news:3ba5400d@news.iprimus.com.au...
> do you have any further information on this? Im also looking for a cable,
> but am a little scared about opening up my scanner to mod. I am good with
> electronics, but my scanner is worth like $450 so yah..
>
> If you are in VIC, would u mod it for $$$?
>
>
> "breach" <Use-Author-Address-Header@[127.1]> wrote in message
> news:200109050449.VAA21236@taxismtp2.alchemyfx.com...
> > On 04 Sep 2001, fredo wrote in aus.radio.scanner:
> > >anyone know where to get the programming cable for the AOR 8000 in aus?
> > >and how much $ should expect?
> >
> > Have a search on the net for info on how to
> > make one and/or mod your scanner to take a
> > cable of your own design. I did that, very
> > easy, works a treat.
> >
> > G
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.276 / Virus Database: 145 - Release Date: 03/09/01

5030. For Sale: Realistic PRO-2004 with HB232 Computer Interface $400 O.B.O.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 17:41:00 UTC
From: halligan@mediaone.net
Message-ID: <7490b6ed.0109170941.358afd44@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59746
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For Sale: Realistic PRO-2004 Scanner with External HB232
computer-control interface. Pictures available on request. Detailed
information follows:

The Radio: Radio is in very good condition. It has been through
numerous modifications:

1. Full coverage restoration.
2. Increase from 300 to 400 channel capacity.
3. Capability of 36 independent "banks" of 400 channels each,
controlled by toggle switches in rear of radio. Only one 400 channel
"bank" can be
scanned at a given time but it affords for numerous different scanning
schemes selected by the switch positions.
4. Pushbutton control on rear of radio to select/deselect keyboard
beeps.
5. Removal of 120 volt power transformer to accomodate interface and
HB232 makings, radio runs on 12 volts only.
6. Front mounted large signal meter. Mounted to the left of the volume
control. As a result the headphone jack is not available.
7. FM discriminator tap on rear of scanner for data decoding.
8. Radio did suffer the infamous PRO-2004 "poor solder" syndrome and
has had such problems repaired.
9. Blue keyboard overlay exhibits 40 channel per bank setup.
10. LCD display is in good shape.
11. Radio has always been in an indoor environment; never used in a
mobile capacity.

The HB232 Interface: Mounted in 8"W x 6"D x 3"H project box. Connects
to radio through short DB25 male cable to DB25 connector mounted
vertically on rear of radio. Connects to computer via DB9 Female
cable. Original HB232 software burned onto CD will be included, as
well as the DEMO version of Redshift, a Windows 95/98/ME software
package for the 2004/HB232 combo.

Miscellaneous: PRO-2004 Owner's manual and PRO-2004 service manual.
Pictures are available on request and will be e-mailed to you
directly. Power supply is NOT included; I run the radio directly from
a custom power setup in my shack. There was never a "wall wort" type
supply included with this radio and as previously mentioned the 120V
transformer was removed to accomodate the DB25 connector and internal
makings of the HB232 interface. The power supply is a standard coaxial
type and is readily available for cheap $$ at Radio Shack.

Reason for selling: Not seeing much use in my shack these days; I'm gathering money for other radio purchases.

Price: $400 O.B.O., including UPS COD shipping.

Method of payment: Advance payment by POSTAL money order only, or UPS COD with POSTAL money order only.

Please do not reply here, if interested E-Mail me at halligan@mediaone.net

Thanks for reading.

Scott

5031. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend / What are we gonna do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 17:47:41 UTC
From: timf1982@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <d66282c7.0109170947.2e52fea5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net> wrote in message
news:<3ba4588c_1@news.newzpig.com>...
> Whatever happened in the past - "two wrongs don't make a right".
>
>
> Stan
>
>
>
> ---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

Straw man arguments

5032. Cobra ESD 9200 detector false radar?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 17:48:53 UTC
From: dannykewl@eudoramail.com
Message-ID: <88191b89.0109170948.49bc3145@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59648

Hi. I have a Cobra ESD 9200 radar detector which seems to work good except during the day when the sun is out. During this time, when I get on the freeway (city and suburban), my detector goes off with one after another laser alert.I don't know what lasr band, the manual says there's three laser bands, and everytime the "L" on the front of the detector lights up and I hear the same "phaser" sound. The manual claims there are no laser alerts. If I take the detector out of the bottom of the windshield, and put it up high, on a sunvisor, the laser alerts are at a minimum. I don't get the false laser alerts at night. I also tried the detector in several cars and vans and still get the false lasers, what could be causing this. I live in the Cleveland, Ohio area if this has any bearing. TIA. Sorry but this is the closest Usenet group I could find for this type of question, there seems to be no detector groups.

Dan

5033. Re: Cobra ESD 9200 detector false radar?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 18:54:40 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9o5gls010rc@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59650

get a Uniden radar detector. laser is just a IR beam (Infrared). like what your video machine/satalite/tv remotes use. but on a different frequency. you could stick one of the tv or such remote in front of the radar detector and sometimes will go off. anyways. I had a old detector a year or so back and Laser used to go off due to ignition noise. was weird but true. other stupid radar detectors could make it go off too. I know a few in canada that buy the sopposedly best and or expensive ones. all most of them do is cause other detectors to be false detected. I do know cobra and BEL have a habit of making my and other unidens go off. but. sun shouldn't really do it.to my petite knowledge. try another detector and see. or return that one for a exchange if it is still new. just remember cops don't use laser in their car. and speeding IS stupid. cops use Ka or K in their car.

"Dan" <dannykewl@eudoramail.com> wrote in message
news:88191b89.0109170948.49bc3145@posting.google.com...
> Hi. I have a Cobra ESD 9200 radar detector which seems to work good
> except during the day when the sun is out. During this time, when I
> get on the freeway (city and suburban), my detector goes off with one
> after another laser alert.I don't know what lasr band, the manual says
> there's three laser bands, and everytime the "L" on the front of the
> detector lights up and I hear the same "phaser" sound. The manual
> claims there are no laser alerts. If I take the detector out of the
> bottom of the windshield, and put it up high, on a sunvisor, the laser
> alerts are at a minimum. I don't get the false laser alerts at night.
> I also tried the detector in several cars and vans and still get the
> false lasers, what could be causing this. I live in the Cleveland,
> Ohio area if this has any bearing. TIA. Sorry but this is the closest
> Usenet group I could find for this type of question, there seems to be
> no detector groups.
>
> Dan

5034. Receiver for-sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 19:06:17 UTC
From: BF <boyd@humboldt1.com>
Message-ID: <41D4052A40961D53.CA80E3524BA339A1.3213CAF423432F7D@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 59649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

Bearcat DX-1000 General Coverage Shortwave Receiver For-Sale.
It is in Excitant conation. (Digital Readout)

Bearcat DX-1000. Monitors Shortwave Broadcast: Hams, Military,
Marine, Am Broadcast, Citizens Band, Search & Rescue, Aircraft,
Overseas Telephone, Government, Press, Aircraft Nav Beacons,
Coast Gaurd. 10 KHz - 30 MHz Coverage With 10 Channel
Microprocessor Controlled Memory. All-Mode Am,FM,LSB,USB & CW.
Has all Filters 2.5 KC, 6 KC, 12 KC. Also I can send you a JPG
of the receiver. Chech out address below for picture.

http://www.rigpix.com/bearcat/dx1000.htm

$250.00 or offer.

Reply to: boyd@humboldt1.com

5035. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 20:48:31 UTC
From: Livendive <livendive@aol.com>
Message-ID: <9o5oci$b54ls$1@ID-75676.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 59651
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Phil Wise" <philwise@paradise.net.nz> wrote in message
news:lMEo7.8623$ww1.817981@news02.tsnz.net...
> I say this
> unequivocally, as a man with children: if world war three starts over
this,
> it will be the fault of the US. They had all the choices.

Who chose to attack us? That was our choice?

As a man not of the US, I guess it's to be expected that you will blame
everything on us. Understand this though, we don't have all the choices.
We didn't choose to be attacked and if it comes to world war three, your
(and every other) country will still have a choice to make...whether to join
us or oppose us.

Blues,
Dave
A man, with a child, who sadly believes that a massively violent response
against all terrorists and the nations who support them is the best way to
protect her freedom and future.

5036. Re: Cobra ESD 9200 detector false radar? (Oops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 21:07:47 UTC
From: dannykewl@eudoramail.com
Message-ID: <88191b89.0109171307.4f523372@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59652

In my post I stated "The manual
claims there are no laser alerts.", this should read "The manual
claims there are no FALSE laser alerts."

dannykewl@eudoramail.com (Dan) wrote in message
news:<88191b89.0109170948.49bc3145@posting.google.com>...
> Hi. I have a Cobra ESD 9200 radar detector which seems to work good
> except during the day when the sun is out. During this time, when I
> get on the freeway (city and suburban), my detector goes off with one
> after another laser alert.I don't know what lasr band, the manual says
> there's three laser bands, and everytime the "L" on the front of the
> detector lights up and I hear the same "phaser" sound. The manual
> claims there are no laser alerts. If I take the detector out of the
> bottom of the windshield, and put it up high, on a sunvisor, the laser
> alerts are at a minimum. I don't get the false laser alerts at night.
> I also tried the detector in several cars and vans and still get the
> false lasers, what could be causing this. I live in the Cleveland,
> Ohio area if this has any bearing. TIA. Sorry but this is the closest
> Usenet group I could find for this type of question, there seems to be
> no detector groups.
>
> Dan

5037. Re: RS PRO 2006-Excellent!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 21:14:33 UTC
From: David Baker <dbaker@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <dbaker-4E977E.17143817092001@newsr1-qfe0.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 59653

In article <20010915141849.16026.00000306@mb-mv.aol.com>,
offdan43@aol.com (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:

> >Why are you giving it away? It sold for over $300.00 new! You should get at
> >least $450.00.
>
> He is not giving it away. $300.00 is an average, acceptable price for this
> scanner.
>
> Dan
>
> "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

Mind if I step in here? (As the original poster...)

I am selling it because, quite simply, with two kids in college, I need
the money and already have another scanner that I listen to more. I
didn't realize that I was giving it away...I guess I thought 300 dollars
seemed to be what they were selling for, especially in really good
condition like this one is.

Let me repeat, it's a non-smoking, non-mobile 2006 with the cellular
modification, with antenna and manual.

Thanks,

David Baker
Merritt Island, FL
321-452-1260
dbaker@cfl.rr.com
321-431-7197 (cell)

5038. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 21:17:41 UTC
From: Livendive <livendive@aol.com>
Message-ID: <9o5q3q$b4ok9$1@ID-75676.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 59655
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Richard McCollum" <rmccollOMA@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:fIKo7.2957
> What I don't know about ATC would fill volumes but I recall a story of an
> Air Canada 757 when they were new and the fuel guages did not work.
Canada
> had gone metric so the guy dipping the tanks (legal, fuel guages are not
> required) converted to kiligrams and left the plane with half the fuel
load
> for Toronto-Vancouver. When it ran out over Manitoba and the electrics
> stopped, the propellor-generator gizmo lowered out of the nose did not do
a
> whole lot and the transponder failed. This resulted in the plane dropping
> off radar on five of six radars at Winnipeg. The plane ultimately landed
> safely at an old RCAF strip with an Armco barrier across it to prevent
drag
> racing.
>
> The radar in question was due for removal in two weeks and the pilot was
the
> Canadian glider champion. Sounds like a minor miracle.

That was the Gimli Glider.
See http://www.frontier.net/~wadenelson/successstories/gimli.html for a
better description.

Blues,
Dave

5039. Re: 780 Won't follow trunk data channel move. Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 21:26:42 UTC
From: Tom Riggs <thriggs@mail.netusa1.net>
Message-ID: <3BA66A92.F360C741@mail.netusa1.net>
Source record: 59654

It sounds like you don't have the frequencies in the correct 'LCN' logical channel number order. They aren nececssarilly in frequency order. look for the agency in one of the web pages that publish that stuff. Here are a few.
http://www.trunkedradio.net/
http://www.trunktracker.com/states/database.html
http://gtrac.ztn.net/

"news2.bellatlantic.net" wrote:

> I have a Uniden 780 that I've programmed to monitor an Erickson EDACs
> system. It works fine if I manually step to the control data channel,
> select trunking, and then hit scan. If the data channel shifts to another
> frequency, the scanner stops scanning talkgroups.
>
> Any idea why? I used Trunkstar to program the scanner, and set up the bank
> as an EDACs wide before downloading the frequencies.
>
> Thanks

5040. Re: 780 Won't follow trunk data channel move. Why?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 21:36:48 UTC
From: Barry Adair <barry@adair.net>
Message-ID: <Q1up7.5204$JE.2225000622@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 59656

I have a Pro-2066 that I keep in the car, I work security patrol at night, and have some goof off time so I go to the Waffle House for coffee, mine stops trunking on the Waffle House parking lot, so I think it is a dead spot, and not receiving the data channel
"Tom Riggs" <thriggs@mail.netusa1.net> wrote in message news:3BA66A92.F360C741@mail.netusa1.net...
> It sounds like you don't have the frequencies in the correct 'LCN' logical
> channel number order. They aren nececssarilly in frequency order. look for the
> agency in one of the web pages that publish that stuff. Here are a few.
> http://www.trunkedradio.net/
> http://www.trunktracker.com/states/database.html
> http://gtrac.ztn.net/
>
> "news2.bellatlantic.net" wrote:
>
> > I have a Uniden 780 that I've programmed to monitor an Erickson EDACs
> > system. It works fine if I manually step to the control data channel,
> > select trunking, and then hit scan. If the data channel shifts to another
> > frequency, the scanner stops scanning talkgroups.
> >
> > Any idea why? I used Trunkstar to program the scanner, and set up the bank
> > as an EDACs wide before downloading the frequencies.
> >
> > Thanks
>

5041. Re: RS PRO 2006-Excellent!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 22:55:34 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <Gbvp7.7831$CR2.8780630@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 59657

Your price is fine. The guy who says you're giving it away is some idiot who has been quite unsuccessful (over several weeks) peddling his scanner for $500.

"David Baker" <dbaker@cfl.rr.com> wrote in message news:dbaker-4E977E.17143817092001@newsr1-qfe0.tampabay.rr.com...
> In article <20010915141849.16026.00000306@mb-mv.aol.com>,
> offdan43@aol.com (Daniel M. Conti) wrote:
>
> > >Why are you giving it away? It sold for over $300.00 new! You should get at
> > >least $450.00.
> >
> > He is not giving it away. $300.00 is an average, acceptable price for this
> > scanner.
> >
> > Dan
> >
> > "The idle brain is the Devil's playground"
>
> Mind if I step in here? (As the original poster...)
>
> I am selling it because, quite simply, with two kids in college, I need
> the money and already have another scanner that I listen to more. I
> didn't realize that I was giving it away...I guess I thought 300 dollars
> seemed to be what they were selling for, especially in really good
> condition like this one is.
>
> Let me repeat, it's a non-smoking, non-mobile 2006 with the cellular
> modification, with antenna and manual.
>
> Thanks,
>
> David Baker
> Merritt Island, FL
> 321-452-1260
> dbaker@cfl.rr.com
> 321-431-7197 (cell)

5042. bc895 trunkstar software

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-17 23:55:57 UTC
From: scanman51@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <39c55a66.16869307@news.optonline.net>
Source record: 59673

Is there a ver. of trunkstar for the bc-895,if so does anyone have a copy of the demo ver.

Thanks

5043. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend / What are we gonna do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 00:27:10 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba68504_1@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Poohbear continues to whine:
> > Whatever happened in the past - "two wrongs don't make a right".
>
> Straw man arguments

Garbage.

Stan

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

5044. Re: Speech Inversion Descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 00:39:40 UTC
From: No Fear <nofear@home.comm>
Message-ID: <gJwp7.24877$5A3.7840816@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 59659

I found it informative, since I have not been here before to see his other posts.

"Per H. Nielsen" <phn@dkscan.dkx> wrote in message
news:FRnp7.5341$lk7.111952@news.get2net.dk...
> "t.jw���*T" <t ,�jw��*.�T@,�oucan@,bitemyarse.com���rr�.org> writes:
>
> >Why do you consider him to be spamming? He is talking about a topic that
is
> >not OT here.
>
> He has been posting that same information repeatedly for years
> in this and other newsgroups. He is trying to promote his commercial
> product. That is spam.
>
> --
> Per H. Nielsen <phn@dkscan.dkx>
> My Danish Scanner Pages: http://www.dkscan.dk
> To reply to this change .dkx to .dk in my address.

5045. Re: RS PRO 2006-Excellent!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 01:47:31 UTC
From: offdan43@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010917214731.06969.00001076@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 59660

David,

Disregard the silly remarks form the other boobs here. $300.00 is a fair price for on ein the condition you've described. You should be able to sell it with no problem.
Dan

"The idle brain is the Devil's playground"

5046. FS/Swap Pro2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 02:18:27 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <T9yp7.499464$EF2.68258984@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 59661

Have excellent 2006, never touched, looks and works fine, bright display,
sorry no box, $285 or swap for/toward gen. coverage solid state HF xcvr., or
late model dual band 2m/440 mobile, ???.
email replies please.

5047. Re: Cobra ESD 9200 detector false radar?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 03:10:17 UTC
From: John KB5AG <jblack3@nc.rr.com>
Message-ID: <tWyp7.296308$J37.77997413@typhoon.southeast.rr.com>
Source record: 59662

I'd be willing to bet that a Cobra detector IS a Uniden.

"Dev" <.> wrote in message news:9o5gls010rc@enews3.newsguy.com...
> get a Uniden radar detector. laser is just a IR beam (Infrared). like what
> your video machine/satalite/tv remotes use. but on a different frequency.
> you could stick one of the tv or such remote in front of the radar
detector
> and sometimes will go off. anyways. I had a old detector a year or so back
> and Laser used to go off due to ignition noise. was weird but true. other
> stupid radar detectors could make it go off too. I know a few in canada
that
> buy the sopposedly best and or expensive ones. all most of them do is
cause
> other detectors to be false detected. I do know cobra and BEL have a habit
> of making my and other unidens go off. but. sun shouldn't really do it.to
my
> petite knowledge. try another detector and see. or return that one for a
> exchange if it is still new. just remember cops don't use laser in their
> car. and speeding IS stupid. cops use Ka or K in their car.
>
> "Dan" <dannykewl@eudoramail.com> wrote in message
> news:88191b89.0109170948.49bc3145@posting.google.com...
> > Hi. I have a Cobra ESD 9200 radar detector which seems to work good
> > except during the day when the sun is out. During this time, when I
> > get on the freeway (city and suburban), my detector goes off with one
> > after another laser alert.I don't know what lasr band, the manual says
> > there's three laser bands, and everytime the "L" on the front of the
> > detector lights up and I hear the same "phaser" sound. The manual
> > claims there are no laser alerts. If I take the detector out of the
> > bottom of the windshield, and put it up high, on a sunvisor, the laser
> > alerts are at a minimum. I don't get the false laser alerts at night.
> > I also tried the detector in several cars and vans and still get the
> > false lasers, what could be causing this. I live in the Cleveland,
> > Ohio area if this has any bearing. TIA. Sorry but this is the closest
> > Usenet group I could find for this type of question, there seems to be
> > no detector groups.
> >
> > Dan
>
>

5048. need opinion on Rs pro94 vs new pro93 please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 03:38:44 UTC
From: turridro22@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010917233844.18715.00001718@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 59663

Both are on sale for $199. I want it for trunked freqs. The 94 is last yrs model...1000 channels but not computer conrollable or downloadable.
the 93 has 300 channel memory but is capable to be computer controlled and to have freqs downloaded. The 94 scans at a slightly faster rate.

5049. Re: forsale: motorola trunking portables

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 03:42:29 UTC
From: J <oaktownboy@home.com>
Message-ID: <Fozp7.20254$0%.1812794@news1.busy1.on.home.com>
Source record: 59664

the stx821 has been sold thanks to all replys

oaktownboy@home.com> wrote in message
news:vOrm7.24031$at.4404993@news1.busy1.on.home.com...
> forsale
>
> motorola stx 821 does motorola trunking type 1,ii,iii and smartnet
> with good battery and rubberduck
> alpha numeric screen
> scans
> does 16 different systems with 16 ids in each or conventional mode
> 850-870 mhz
>
>
> 200.00US shipping included
>
>
> motorola gtx
>
> does motorola type i,ii,iii
> have up to 16 different systems with 16 ids in each system
> or conventional mode
> scans
> alpha numeric screen
> with goodbattery pack, rubberduck and wall charger
>
> 175.00US includes shipping
>
>
> edacs portable
> model: MP-A
> 800 mhz
> 16 systems, 16 ids per system
> or conventional mode
> with good battery,charger and rubberduck
> scans
> alpha numeric screen
>
>
> 400.00US includes shipping
>
>
> handmic for stx821 30.00US
> charger for stx821 30.00US

>
>
> 4 motorola portables
> all work
> 2 mx340 2 ch UHF 5 watts with battery and antenna
> 2 mx350 10ch UHF 5 watts with battery and antenna
> with 2 redicode microphones
> 75.00US for the whole package
> (includes shipping)
> heavyduty drop in chargers 30.00US each
>
>
>
>
>
> thanks
> oaktownboy@home.com
>
>
>

5050. Hand held speaker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 03:57:46 UTC
From: Brian <n_00@ninn.net>
Message-ID: <tqdhn551gta020@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59665

Hi there....

Curious to is if anyone has found a good speaker for a hand held scanner.
Kind of like a shoulder speaker.

The one at radio shack sucks, and you can barely hear off of it.

Thanks

5051. Re: Data signal.....identifying wav's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 04:08:17 UTC
From: Tom <nospam@newsranger.com>
Message-ID: <RMzp7.3754$p77.11327@www.newsranger.com>
Source record: 59666
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

For anyone who is interested, here is another really good site for data signal wav's, especially the ones you'll find in the VHF and UHF bands:
http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Cockpit/2800/digital/index.htm

In article <419aqtksh3rpf8ol312lj4ri72ip1r93kj@4ax.com>, Rob Ramm says...
>
>
>Hi Dev. I use this web page a lot when trying to identify signals with
>my M8000 decoder.
>
>It has all the main ones that you normally hear on shortwave and I
>always wondered what they were till I started to decode them.
>
>http://www.wunclub.com/sounds/index.html
>
>Rob
>therammerNoSpam@hotmail.com
>
>remove "NoSpam" when you email me
>On Sat, 15 Sep 2001 17:07:55 -0700, "Dev" <.> wrote:
>
>>I was wondering if anyone knew of a webpage that is still up online. All my
>>old links are either for some reason outdated or down. I knew of some sites
>>where you could listen to the data signals, These were wav files to help you
>>identify what type you were hearing on your scanner or ham radio. I
>>apreciate the info if anyone remembers any sites.
>>
>>Thanks a bunch
>>
>>Dev
>>
>

5052. Re: Recommend against this seller for cell phone jammer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 05:47:47 UTC
From: den73740@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010918014747.23738.00001209@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 59667

>> I DECIDE!
>>
>> When the bitch behind me in a car is swerving around cuz she's flapping her fat-ass mouth on the phone,

Must be nice to be omniscient, is it her kids with an emergency, is she a surgeon being called in. You just want the power to terminate people's calls in your pathetic life , safety is not your primary concern.

>>> I will jam her ass till the sun don't shine!

I don't think cell phones are your real problem

Dennis

5053. Re: Data signal.....identifying wav's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 05:54:37 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9o6n900167c@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59668
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I thank you for the reply too. :) Almost got alltheones that were on that other page now. I can't even say how thankful I am. Still a mystery what that sound is. There used to be a site that had fax wav's. that is the only thing I can think of. being on 137 and phasing. not acars. thanks again

Dev

"Tom" <nospam@newsranger.com> wrote in message news:RMzp7.3754$p77.11327@www.newsranger.com...
> For anyone who is interested, here is another really good site for data signal
> wav's, especially the ones you'll find in the VHF and UHF bands:
> http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/Cockpit/2800/digital/index.htm
>
> In article <419aqtksh3rpf8ol312lj4ri72ip1r93kj@4ax.com>, Rob Ramm says...
> >
> >
> >Hi Dev. I use this web page a lot when trying to identify signals with
> >my M8000 decoder.
> >
> >It has all the main ones that you normally hear on shortwave and I
> >always wondered what they were till I started to decode them.
> >
> >http://www.wunclub.com/sounds/index.html
> >
> >Rob
> >therammerNoSpam@hotmail.com
> >
> >remove "NoSpam" when you email me
> >On Sat, 15 Sep 2001 17:07:55 -0700, "Dev" <.> wrote:
> >
> >>I was wondering if anyone knew of a webpage that is still up online. All my
> >>old links are either for some reason outdated or down. I knew of some sites
> >>where you could listen to the data signals, These were wav files to help you
> >>identify what type you were hearing on your scanner or ham radio. I
> >>apreciate the info if anyone remembers any sites.
> >>
> >>Thanks a bunch
> >>
> >>Dev
> >>

> >
>
>

5054. Re: SO MUCH FOR ECHELON!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 07:28:18 UTC
From: Zombie Wolf <zmbwf@acadia.net>
Message-ID: <tqdtqumcgpfnf6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59669

Why do you say this ? If the NSA did, indeed, monitor the traffic during this attack, do you really think they are going to release it to the news agencies and public ? Guess again..... NSA is one of the most secretive organizations in the world, and everything they gather is highly classified.... They have a built - in aversion to releasing monitored data.

"Mike Alpha" <mike_alpha@DELETEhotmail.com> wrote in message news:3b9e9c61$0$20941$7f31c96c@news01.syd.optusnet.com.au...
> !
>
>

5055. Re: What's the DIODE for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 09:34:33 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <JyEp7.7628$RS3.4901911@news1.elcjn1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 59670

I would put a 1N4003 back in. It has a higher current rating than the
1N4001.
If you use the 1N4001 and a 10A fuse, you'll fry the radio if you acidently
reverse
polority when connecting power...
And only use the reccomended fuse rating...

Jay

"Greg Hall" <ghall1@neb.rr.com> wrote in message
news:tNfp7.323994$Jg.43792991@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
> I have some basic electronic knowledge, but still have a queston I need
> answered.
>
> Last week, my mobile scanner kept blowing the fuse. After checking the
> scanner over, there was a diode 1N4003 first thing across the + and -
> terminals where the DC comes into the circuit board. I found it to be
> shorted in both directions. After removing it (and before replacing it) I
> tried the scanner to make sure it wouldn't blow the fuse and it was
working
> properly.
>
> I replaced the 1N4003 with a 1N4001 diode and everything appears back to
> normal. Is the diode's only purpose to protect against backward wiring,
or
> does it serve some other purpose? Also, the 1N4001 should be good enough
> for a 12V scanner, shouldn't it?
>
> Thanks.
>
> Greg
>
>

5056. Re: NEW SONY ICF_SC1PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 11:09:40 UTC
From: John Parks <jparks27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9o7a0l$c5r$1@bob.news.rcn.net>
Source record: 59671

me being a baseball card collector.......the item is only worth as much as someone is willing to pay for it....I might have a card that's "worth" 3k$. but if the highest someone wants to buy it for is 500$ than it's only worth 500$....it doesnt matter wether I found it laying on the side of the road....or if I bought it for 5k$ a yr ago...if I paid that amount than I would want to recoup part of it....but if noone wants to buy it at that price IT"S NOT WORTH THAT PRICE..If he can find someone to pay 500$ for the scanner...I"m sure he can.......(I know a few STUPID drug dealers that think they are illegal.......program just FD freqs and sell it to them :-p easy money) BTW..coming from personal ezperience.....there is always someone out there willing to pay more:-p
Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net> wrote in message
news:lR1p7.7366$CR2.8019372@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> Of course, you think you know what you're talking about, but what you think
> you know and what is reality are unfortunately some distance apart. It
> doesn't seem make much sense for me to disprove your "theory." I doubt that
> you would even understand that you're flat out wrong anyway.
>
>
> "Barry" <nospam@snet.net> wrote in message
> news:3BA3F4AC.F635B1AF@snet.net...
> >
> >
> > Fart Eddie wrote:
> > >
> > > Hmm, the price you paid for something has no bearing on what you sell it
> for
> > > used? Tut, tut, my good man. Of course it does. The reasons are so
> apparent
> > > that I won't waste time explaining it to you.
> >
> >
> > The price ANY _one individual_ pays for an item has little to do with
> > the actual _market value_. Not what I sell it for, as I can choose to
> > sell it below market value, or I can sell it under market value
> > unknowingly.
> >
> > I bought a Garmin GPS III+ at an Office Depot going out of business sale
> > for a bit over $99. The same GPS still sells daily for $290
> > (TVNAV)-425 (Jensen). The sale units are long gone. Does that mean my
> > used GPS is worth less than the guy's who paid $300 for his. I think

> > not, there are both _worth_ exactly the same on the used market, if they
> > are in comparable condition. Price paid by the individual has little to
> > do with the grand scale of things.
> >
> > Simply because you, one individual, can find an anecdotal example of
> > another unit selling for less, does not mean the value of a used item
> > changes by all that much. Of course, if you can find 100 for less,
> > that's a different story. Want more examples?
> >
> > Barry
> >
> > FWIW, I also bought my Pro-2006 for under $125 on closeout. Does that
> > mean it's not worth the same $250-300 or so all the rest of the 2006's
> > are fetching used? <G> I have never paid more than $125 for any Radio
> > Shack scanner, and I have nevr sold a used Radio Shack scanner for less
> > than 50% more than I paid for it.
>
>

5057. FS: unblocked pcr-1000 w/DSP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 12:33:26 UTC
From: Sputnik <sputnik@unix01.voicenet.com>
Message-ID: <qaHp7.14$Fa4.1498@news2.voicenet.com>
Source record: 59672

Forsale unblocked PCR-1000 with DSP installed complete with box and manual

$500+shipping

5058. Re: SO MUCH FOR ECHELON!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 15:14:25 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3BA764BB.78EFAC52@home.com>
Source record: 59675

Zombie Wolf wrote:
>
> Why do you say this ? If the NSA did, indeed, monitor the traffic during
> this attack, do you really think they are going to release it to the news
> agencies and public ? Guess again..... NSA is one of the most secretive
> organizations in the world, and everything they gather is highly
> classified....

Well then, it sure is wonderful to have 'Zombie Wolf' offering his
expert commentary to enlighten us.

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

5059. FS:RS Pro-2045

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 16:16:52 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@home.com>
Message-ID: <UrKp7.81499$xb.42428986@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 59676

i have a like new,radio shack,PRO-2045,with tone board
has box,manual,antenna,and,power cube
excellent condition

price 125.00

might trade on dualband handheld

monte k9dzd@home.com

5060. Re: Radio NewYork Intnl. w/ John P. LIVE TONITE

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 16:35:11 UTC
From: Skid and Jos Schermerhorn <sscherme@capecod.net>
Message-ID: <3BA777BF.22944596@capecod.net>
Source record: 59677
Cross-posted to: alt.fan.art-bell,alt.fan.rush-limbaugh,alt.radio.talk,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

Try this:
http://beta.profusion.com/searchresults.asp?queryterm=WBCQ&AGT=ProFusion&S=5%2C0%2C10&auto=auto&autonum=3&RPP175=2&Category=175%2C175%2C99%2Csponsored&OB175=result-rank&Category=1%2C1%2C98%2Cuser&Category=245%2C245%2C0%2Cxchg&SHW245=0&Category=6%2C6%2C0%2Chorizontal&SHW6=0&Category=172%2C172%2C0%2Chorizontal&SHW172=0&Category=96%2C96%2C0%2Chorizontal&SHW96=0&setime=10

selenium rectifier wrote:

> Just a reminder that tonight (every Sunday night)
> from 8:00 PM Eastern time to Midnite is Radio
> NewYork International with John P. Lightning.
> Program is done LIVE from Brooklyn NYC and
> is quite good. John P. Lightning and his crew put
> on a great program.
>
> WBCQ - 7.415 mHz shortwave live
> radio starting at 8:00 PM Eastern Sunday.
>
> I also understand that you can also hear RNYI on the Net but I don't have the URL.
> If anyone has the infor for RNYI via Real Audio please post the same !

5061. Re: SO MUCH FOR ECHELON!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 16:38:12 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.161118466327c601989780@news>
Source record: 59678

In article <3BA764BB.78EFAC52@home.com>, nightwatch01@home.com says...
> Zombie Wolf wrote:
> >
> > Why do you say this ? If the NSA did, indeed, monitor the traffic during
> > this attack, do you really think they are going to release it to the news
> > agencies and public ? Guess again..... NSA is one of the most secretive
> > organizations in the world, and everything they gather is highly
> > classified....
>
> Well then, it sure is wonderful to have 'Zombie Wolf' offering his
> expert commentary to enlighten us.

Seemed like a clear and logical explanation Tim but go ahead and point
out the part you found to be "commentary".

Or was that just a petty cheap shot?

5062. Pennsylvania [Emergency] Situation Report E-Mail List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 17:17:12 UTC
From: pasitrep@pa-sitrep.com
Message-ID: <3ba7818c.85744578@news.city-net.com>
Source record: 59674

vvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvvv
Please forgive the off-subject but we need more eyes
and ears out there than we ever did before.

Please consider joining the list and helping out.
^^

PA-SitRep
Pennsylvania [Emergency] Situation Report E-Mail List

The PA-SitRep mailing list is a free communications
tool that will enable you to monitor and report on
major emergency situations throughout the State of
Pennsylvania including weather emergencies,
natural disasters, environmental disasters, civil
disturbances and threats of terrorism.

The list is extremely low volume because messages to
the list are limited only to those containing verified
emergency situation reports of Major Import within the
State of Pennsylvania.

The list is run from a private server at my expense, so
there are no advertisements necessary or permitted.
Rumors, hearsay, unconfirmed and or inaccurate
reports and chat are strictly prohibited.

Subscribers do not have to be members of any
specific emergency services organizations to join
the PA-SitRep mailing list. ANYONE willing and
able to comply with the posting guidelines is
welcome.

The list protocol for posting messages is designed
to cater to those who use digital cell phones or
alphanumeric pagers for text messaging. The first
paragraph of the messages posted to the list are
brief summaries limited to 100 characters, followed
by a more detailed situation report.

For more information, or if you'd like to subscribe,
please visit the following web address:

http://www.pa-sitrep.com/pasitrep.htm

Thanks. And we'll look forward to your participation.

www.PA-SitRep.com

5063. Re: Cleveland Ohio PD back in clear? Then what's this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 17:32:09 UTC
From: thepolishdude@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5a04c827.0109180932.4a66a56a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Somebody nice enough to rebroadcasts the transmissions that is all.
Don't forget. C.F.D. rebroadcasts their base to mobile dispatches on
their old Command Channel which was C.F.D.'s Channel #5. I forget the
exact frequency though. It was a midband V.H.F. frequency in the 150
- 160 megacycle range.
I do not know, for sure, if they still do this.
I thought that Mentor P.D. would have a Talkgroup on the Lake County
Motorola Type I Analoug Trunked System and not their own frequncies.

Former West Side OF Cleveland Resident. Now living in Strongsville.
Man I need to move back to where their is some life and activity.
There is absolutely nothing out here. I don't mean frequency wise. I
mean sociablly wise. All you have is that RIch Man's Mall. Good
grief.

I even have to get a trunked scanner just to listen.

"BikerDude" <GoSpamSomeonelse@OK.com> wrote in message
news:<urgp7.481$k35.20724@eagle.america.net>...
> You can listen to Cleveland PD scanner traffic @
> http://www.cleveland.com/policescanner/
>
> If they have a trunked digital system, who is broadcasting this, and how ?
>
> "Erik" <see@the.bottom.com> wrote in message
> news:3b9e9320.12770083@news-central.giganews.com...
> > I pick up a lot of activity up and down the I-271 corridor, but yeah,
> > most are boring, routine traffic stops. Actually, South Euclid can
> > get pretty interesting at times, but that's about it. Mentor is pure
> > suburban hell - teen traffic stops all weekend! :-p
> >
> > I didn't know Rocky River used MDT's (or any other locality, for that
> > matter). Know any frequencies offhand?

5064. Anyone know the different codes for the Boarder?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 17:34:49 UTC
From: FeeD_BaK <feed_bak@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <7uLp7.28546$Z2.393012@nnrp1.uunet.ca>
Source record: 59679
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

E.g.: Code 1 on this vehicle

5065. AWACS over NYC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 17:43:50 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qJLp7.29146$A5.4454186@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 59681
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I've read some posts on the 'Net claiming that people heard radio comms on 125.125 of F-16s talking with AWACS aircraft. I did hear F-16 activity on 125.125, but can anyone verify hearing any AWACS comms?

5066. Re: AWACS over NYC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 18:36:31 UTC
From: Harris <aharris@bookworm.suffolk.lib.ny.us>
Message-ID: <PuMp7.7159$ym4.290247@iad-read.news.verio.net>
Source record: 59683
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In rec.radio.scanner TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote:
> I've read some posts on the 'Net claiming that people heard radio comms on
> 125.125 of F-16s talking with AWACS aircraft. I did hear F-16 activity on
> 125.125, but can anyone verify hearing any AWACS comms?

Yes, but not on 125.125. I heard Bandsaw Lima and Bandsaw Mike last Friday
when the president was in town. Active freqs were 225.8, 271.0, 295.8.

I heard only one tactical VHF freq, which I don't have handy at the
moment. Possibly around 134 MHz.

Check the usual NORAD ("Huntress") freqs.

Art

5067. Re: AWACS over NYC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 18:58:20 UTC
From: TheF16Falcon <thef16falcon@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <gPMp7.26836$n5.3403716@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 59684
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Thanks for the info Art.

5068. Re: Southern Ontario frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 19:26:42 UTC
From: Randy <rleclaire@ottawaxpress.com>
Message-ID: <WbNp7.14052$386.1614628@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 59685
Cross-posted to: rec.aviation.military,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

try http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanont lots of info on what your looking
for here

Randy

"Mike" <mike445@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3b9f8505_3@corp-goliath.newsgroups.com...
> Does anybody know what frequencies I should be monitoring in the Toronto
> region. I am interested in all military communications. Any help would
be
> appreciated. Thanks.
> Mike Cira
> mcira2355@home.com
>
>
>

--
> Posted Via Binaries.net = SPEED+RETENTION+COMPLETION =
http://www.binaries.net

5069. FS: Kenwood TH-D7A Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 19:51:48 UTC
From: Garr <garr_1099@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3ba7a5d6$1@rpc1284.daytonoh.ncr.com>
Source record: 59479
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have the following accessories for sale

1) Kenwood case for this radio from Kenwood
2) Garmin GPS Cable
3) PG-4W PC Cable
4) Boom Mic
5) Extra battery
6) Kenwood In-Depth Manual on CD from Kenwood

Prefer to sell as a package but will entertain others.

Email if interested

5070. Re: UNITED WE STAND

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 20:08:47 UTC
From: thepolishdude@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5a04c827.0109181208.42cfa473@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59686
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.family

```
% wrote in message news:<3ba117f1.7352744@news.fsj.net>...
> On Thu, 13 Sep 2001 16:28:54 -0400, Barry <nospam@snet.net> wrote:
>
> >
> >
> >% wrote:
> >>
> >> ok , up goes my canadian flag
> >
> >There's nothing wrong with flying your Canadian flag at half mast if you
> >feel so inclined. <G>
> >
> >Barry
>
>
>
> there's nothing wrong with anything i do if i feel so inclined

Yep. that is coll beans with me too, dude!!!!
```

5071. Programming: PC ->scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 20:20:18 UTC
From: 7om <cylent@chemist.foo.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.16114d41a0ca4cfd9896a4@news.bremtn1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 59687
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hi all,

Looking for sites/info on writing pc programs to control scanners. TIA.

5072. Re: What's the DIODE for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 20:29:23 UTC
From: Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <b7Op7.9174$ww1.867857@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 59688

Hi Greg
No other purpose. The diode is there to prevent incorrect polarity connection. If you connected the power ie. +12v to -12v then without the diode serious damage to your scanner could occur. Your 1N4001 will be just fine.

Regards
Neville

"Greg Hall" <ghall1@neb.rr.com> wrote in message news:tNfp7.323994$Jg.43792991@typhoon.kc.rr.com...
> I have some basic electronic knowledge, but still have a queston I need
> answered.
>
> Last week, my mobile scanner kept blowing the fuse. After checking the
> scanner over, there was a diode 1N4003 first thing across the + and -
> terminals where the DC comes into the circuit board. I found it to be
> shorted in both directions. After removing it (and before replacing it) I
> tried the scanner to make sure it wouldn't blow the fuse and it was working
> properly.
>
> I replaced the 1N4003 with a 1N4001 diode and everything appears back to
> normal. Is the diode's only purpose to protect against backward wiring, or
> does it serve some other purpose? Also, the 1N4001 should be good enough
> for a 12V scanner, shouldn't it?
>
> Thanks.
>
> Greg
>
>

5073. Re: Speech Inversion Descrambling

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 20:43:25 UTC
From: "Volker Tonn [odo]" <screwdriver@mailberlin.net>
Message-ID: <3BA7B1ED.EE35C085@mailberlin.net>
Source record: 59689

No Fear wrote:

> I found it informative, since I have not been here before to see his other
> posts.

If this was posted by answering a question on possibilities listening to scrambled/inverted transmissions this posting would be acceptable. Otherwise ALL commercial postings to newsgroups is spam and should be reported by many to the ISP.

There is software to do this inversion with a soundcard for FREE. Do a search on a programm named "invert". Works VERY nice. Have no URL at hand :-(

odo

5074. FS: ICOM IC-R100 COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 21:40:30 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3BA7BE53.6A497A0E@home.com>
Source record: 59690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I have for sale a mint Icom IC-R100 Communications Receiver. This radio has never been mobile and I am a non smoker. I have the box, owners manual, sales brochure and all included accessories. The R100 covers 0.1-800MHz and 900-1856MHz in the AM, FM and wide FM modes.
I am asking $425.00 plus shipping from 48026.

5075. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend / What are we gonna do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 23:14:12 UTC
From: Winsor Naugler III <dogyks@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9o8klbvob1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 59691
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net> wrote in message
news:<3ba4588c_1@news.newzpig.com>...
> Whatever happened in the past - "two wrongs don't make a right".
>
"Two wrongs don't make a right - but three do." Deteriorata ca. 1975

5076. Re: FS/Swap Pro2006

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-18 23:53:27 UTC
From: Bill <wdecker1@rochester.rr.com>
Message-ID: <X7Rp7.510900$T97.66840839@typhoon.nyroc.rr.com>
Source record: 59692

Z wrote in message <179eqtcviiapfs1sii4880mbvajl7bn759@4ax.com>...
>If it's never been touched... HOW"D IT GET OUT THE BOX?!?!?
>
I guess I have to explain the obvious, NEVER MODIFIED.

5077. AR5000+3 ant programming problem???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 00:20:52 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tqfp5atbc2tlb6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Anyone else seen a problem programming the AOR AR5000+3 antenna selection from the PC?? Works perfect from the radio, either manually or auto choice, with or without the AS5000 switch - so up to 4 ant can be controlled. But when using Butel memory manager, everything works great - except ant selection!!! It loads ok when toggling through the bank list on download, but after every channel, the antenna setting is kicked back to default "ant 1", then the next channel loads ok, ditto, ditto..... You can load a single channel ok via the virtual vfo, and it stays in memory like it's supposed to, and the last channel loaded from a bank download is good UNTIL you change something, then it too kicks back to ant 1. IF power is cycled after the last channel downloads, before anything else is touched, it is locked into memory, but only the last ch loaded..... Strange, er what????????????? Butel is working on it, too, but just wondered if anyone else has seen anything like this.....
Cheers n beers y'all..
Don

5078. Re: What's the DIODE for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 00:34:18 UTC
From: Radiohead <Radiohead@zorch.com>
Message-ID: <4KRp7.2368$m5.2326116@newsfeed1.thebiz.net>
Source record: 59694

Wrong. The only difference is the voltage rating and that does not matter in this application.

"CrazyC" <crazy__c@hot_mail.com> wrote in message news:JyEp7.7628$RS3.4901911@news1.elcjn1.sdca.home.com...
> I would put a 1N4003 back in. It has a higher current rating than the
> 1N4001.
> If you use the 1N4001 and a 10A fuse, you'll fry the radio if you acidently
> reverse
> polority when connecting power...
> And only use the reccomended fuse rating...
>
> Jay

5079. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend / What are we gonna do?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 01:16:51 UTC
From: Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3ba7e222_1@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Winsor Naugler III" <dogyks@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:9o8klbvob1@nntp9.atl.mindspring.net...
> "Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net> wrote in message
> news:<3ba4588c_1@news.newzpig.com>...
> > Whatever happened in the past - "two wrongs don't make a right".
> >
> "Two wrongs don't make a right - but three do." Deteriorata ca. 1975

I'm gonna stick with the older saying (and my parody of it - 'Two wrongs
don't make a right, but they make a right-winger!')

Stan

---< This article was posted through www.newzpig.com >---

5080. converted BC200xlt BC100XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 02:54:58 UTC
From: bhall7@email.com
Message-ID: <dc0c38eb.0109181854.53fd483c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

BC100XLT converted to BC200XLT, 100-channel same features as 200XLT.
Way cheaper than a 200xlt. 800 mHz coverage

lookup item no. 1275411508 on e - b a y

5081. FEMA Online Audio??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 03:12:31 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <z2Up7.77496$j65.14614382@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 59597
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Does anyone know if anyone is broadcasting FEMA op's in NYC online from any shortwave or scanner?

--
Live Scanner Audio Online
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

5082. Re: Alaska

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 03:18:15 UTC
From: Tweety Howland <Tweety@the_feeder.chirp>
Message-ID: <tqg3jcfon5t09b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59598

"Curtis C Bross" <tynstar91@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:tq8r4etf8sfn85@corp.supernews.com...
> Anyone have any of the Freqencies for the Fairbanks area?
>
>

http://www.kl7kc.com/~kl7kc/Fairbanks_scanner.html

Tweety

5083. Toledo Helicopter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 04:13:29 UTC
From: kekemazz <kekemazz@accesstoledo.com>
Message-ID: <tqg6t4ppn2vbc4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59599

Toledo,Ohio Police dept. received a new helicopter. Does anyone know what frequency their on?

5084. FDNY-Manhatten (TheBravest.com)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 04:54:22 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_not@home.com>
Message-ID: <2yVp7.77847$j65.14837511@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 59600
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have been listening to the fire radio online for the past 15 mins. and barely any traffic. Any guess as to why there is nothing on? They finally turned it back on after a week of chaos. But I have only heard a few words so for.

Randy
Ottawa, Canada
--
Live Scanner Audio Online
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

5085. Re: nEW gROUP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 05:48:21 UTC
From: Daniel <daniel54@iprimus.com.au>
Message-ID: <3ba8319e@news.iprimus.com.au>
Source record: 59601
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,free.uk.scanner-radio,rec.radio.scanner

I finally got in.....I just had to let it sit 2 days!!
Thanks everyone for your help and offer of redirection,
Daniel

5086. Re: Toledo Helicopter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 06:08:59 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3BA8366B.901EFEDC@home.com>
Source record: 59602

This is a wild guess, but perchance they mostly use Toledo,Ohio Police
dept. channels?

Plus 123.025 or 123.050MHz AM & the appropriate ATC freqs.

kekemazz wrote:
>
> Toledo,Ohio Police dept. received a new helicopter. Does anyone know what
> frequency their on?

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

5087. Re: need opinion on Rs pro94 vs new pro93 please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 06:10:46 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2735-3BA836E6-316@storefull-105.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 59603

I don't even understand why the '93 is only a dual trunking scanner. RS already HAS a dual trunking scanner. I thought the new scanner would at LEAST be an 'upgraded' '92 multi-trunking unit, which apparently is not the case.

5088. Re: AWACS over NYC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 07:02:35 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <diXp7.19$7m1.24682@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 59604
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Can't confirm any comms, (live in Okla), but I do know the AWACS were flying missions to the coast out of Tinker AFB, which is 60 miles south of me.

Mark

"TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message news:qJLp7.29146$A5.4454186@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> I've read some posts on the 'Net claiming that people heard radio comms on
> 125.125 of F-16s talking with AWACS aircraft. I did hear F-16 activity on
> 125.125, but can anyone verify hearing any AWACS comms?
>
>

5089. Re: FDNY-Manhatten (TheBravest.com)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 12:24:33 UTC
From: "rodney.weekes" <rodney.weekes@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <a80q7.872$TU5.231018@news6-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 59605
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

try this site bobc_3.tripod.com its in real player better than media
player, click on ny world trade centre fire scanner

"Champ" <Champ_not@home.com> wrote in message
news:2yVp7.77847$j65.14837511@news4.rdc1.on.home.com...
> I have been listening to the fire radio online for the past 15 mins. and
> barely any traffic. Any guess as to why there is nothing on? They
finally
> turned it back on after a week of chaos. But I have only heard a few
words
> so for.
>
>
> Randy
> Ottawa, Canada
> --
> Live Scanner Audio Online
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio
>
>

5090. Re: converted BC200xlt BC100XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 14:14:59 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <3B73D6AD746161B0.478D39107F67A027.547198E2320C48C1@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 59606

On 18 Sep 2001 19:54:58 -0700, bhall7@email.com (BHall) wrote:

>BC100XLT converted to BC200XLT, 100-channel same features as 200XLT.
>Way cheaper than a 200xlt. 800 mHz coverage
>
> lookup item no. 1275411508 on e - b a y

I looked at the radio on E-Bay, but this one confuses me.

This radio can be had used for $100 to $125 (and sometimes
much less) any day of the week. It also has 200 channels.

Why go to all the trouble to "convert" a BC100XLT?

Just curious.

5091. Re: converted BC200xlt BC100XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 15:10:02 UTC
From: John P Vassel <john@vassel.net>
Message-ID: <3ba8b54b$0$1527$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>
Source record: 59607
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:ju1hqt4dqg8lctmiga3f098e7p1486p7aq@4ax.com...
> bhall7@email.com (BHall) opened the coffin lid and croaked...
>
> >BC100XLT converted to BC200XLT, 100-channel same features as 200XLT.
> >Way cheaper than a 200xlt. 800 mHz coverage
>
>
> I'd LOVE to know how you got Uniden to sell you the 800mhz board
> that's needed to do this.

I was sitting here thinking the exact same thing.
I've seen where it attaches.... but that's not the only piece to the puzzle.

John

5092. FOR SALE: UNIDEN BC 147XLT PROGRAMMABLE SCANNER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 16:09:59 UTC
From: r8500@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010919120959.07024.00001489@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 59608

Uniden BC 147XLT 16 ch. base/mobile programmable scanner in excellent working order. Covers VHF low, VHF high, UHF and T band. Features one touch weather channel access, priority channel monitoring, and individual channel lock out. Has a small bit of "sticky tape" residue on bottom corners but otherwise looks great. Comes with AC wall wart, telescoping whip antenna, and original box & manual. $25.00 plus shipping from zip 84404, and payment must be received within 10 days. David, R8500@aol.com.

5093. Re: need opinion on Rs pro94 vs new pro93 please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 16:12:17 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3BA8C3DF.C9F9A2B7@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59609

And why in the world would they drop (as reported) CTCSS support?

And why in the world would they drop from 500 to 300 memory channels?

Looks like the PRO93 is just a dumbed down, cheapened down, scanner.
In no way a replacement for the PRO92.

Walt

Vic Martinez wrote:
>
> I don't even understand why the '93 is only a dual trunking scanner. RS
> already HAS a dual trunking scanner. I thought the new scanner would at
> LEAST be an 'upgraded' '92 multi-trunking unit, which apparently is not
> the case.

5094. Re: Data signal.....identifying wav's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 16:50:46 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <G14q7.83099$xb.44238979@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 59610
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

-If you are haring data-like signals between 137-138 Mhz and they arent pager images you are probably hearing weather FAX signals off NOAA satellites. You may trouble decoding them on some radios as they are fairly wide-bandwidth signals.

In article <9o0q770pid@enews1.newsguy.com>, "Dev" <.> wrote:

> I was wondering if anyone knew of a webpage that is still up online. All my
> old links are either for some reason outdated or down. I knew of some sites
> where you could listen to the data signals, These were wav files to help you
> identify what type you were hearing on your scanner or ham radio. I
> apreciate the info if anyone remembers any sites.
>
> Thanks a bunch
>
> Dev
>
>

5095. Re: drive thru frequencies????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 16:54:06 UTC
From: Kim and Ted Moran <tandkmoran@home.com>
Message-ID: <O44q7.83109$xb.44241635@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 59611

Many have switched to 900 Mhz freqs, try this page:

http://www.theramp.net/shabec/drive.htm

In article <20010913174017.05546.00000339@mb-mk.aol.com>, ofctaz@aol.com (OfcTaz) wrote:

> where can i get drive thru frequencies??

5096. LNIB UNIDEN 245XLT+Extras

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 17:18:19 UTC
From: John Miller <bfdp1@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <_p4q7.327$GX6.285736@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 59612

I have a Uniden 245 scanner (new in 8-01) with heavy-duty leather carry case and swivel. 1600 ma nickle metal hydride battery. Original 600ma uncharged battery and wall charger also. All papers, box, receipt, manuals, freq guide, etc.

$195+ $ 10 insured/shipping.

5097. Eugene Oregon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 17:26:04 UTC
From: Clarke's Discount <clarkeseugene@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <3BA8D52C.FAE019E8@qwest.net>
Source record: 59613

I am new to this but I have recently purchased a Uniden BC80XLT to get my feet wet. I have a few frequencies for the Eugene area but I would be interested if anymore might have a more complete listing. Also, what would be considered a good upgrade that is still easy to use? Thanks!

5098. Re: Data signal.....identifying wav's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 18:04:17 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9oamcv022e7@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59614
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I thought they were wefax. and I do know they aren't pagers cause of the data sound. It does remind me of fax. but wefax sounds different then this. The page that had these fax transmissionson is gone now. oh well. I may just post a smallpeice of wav. Just curios.since this is what ham/scanner hobby is. by the time I even thing I am getting reception. it changes frequency or phases bad. the images are nothing. oh well

"Kim and Ted Moran" <tandkmoran@home.com> wrote in message news:G14q7.83099$xb.44238979@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> -If you are haring data-like signals between 137-138 Mhz and they arent
> pager images you are probably hearing weather FAX signals off NOAA
> satellites. You may trouble decoding them on some radios as they are fairly
> wide-bandwidth signals.
>
> ----------
> In article <9o0q770pid@enews1.newsguy.com>, "Dev" <.> wrote:
>
>
> > I was wondering if anyone knew of a webpage that is still up online. All my
> > old links are either for some reason outdated or down. I knew of some sites
> > where you could listen to the data signals, These were wav files to help you
> > identify what type you were hearing on your scanner or ham radio. I
> > apreciate the info if anyone remembers any sites.
> >
> > Thanks a bunch
> >
> > Dev
> >
> >

5099. Re: Need Help Picking Receiver

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 19:02:22 UTC
From: mirage95es@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010919150222.10739.00001033@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 59616

I'm getting my icom R-2 in the mail tomorrow...can't wait! The R-3 is nice indeed, but I've heard the battery gets sucked dry in no time. As for your other choices..I don't know much about them. The R-2 is the best bang for the buck I think.

5100. PL-Tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 19:04:50 UTC
From: Joe User <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <9oaprf$h0p$1@tribune.mayo.edu>
Source record: 59615

I've been lurking around and have heard the use of PL-Tones when accessing certain frequencies....when would I use them and how do I know WHEN to use them?

I have an ICOM-w32a, that I am trying to use to scan with. Is it possible to access police frequencies at 800mHz with this thing?

TIA!

Joe

5101. Re: What's the DIODE for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 19:27:59 UTC
From: Skweezieweezie <noway@thistime.now>
Message-ID: <3l6q7.7133$KD2.3329799@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 59617

Why would you EVER use a 10A fuse for a scanner?
I'm pretty sure that a '4003 has a 600V PIV rating while
a '4001 is only 200V. They both have a 1Amp current rating.

--

skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

5102. Re: PL-Tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 19:53:53 UTC
From: Bob Javits <rjavits@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <lJ6q7.251$z7.518529@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59618

You use PL tones only to lock out certain stations when more than one transmitter shares a frequency... for example, my local police here in north jersey use some of the same frequencies as the nassau county police on long island.

This causes interference, and so our police us a PL tone so that our cars do not have to listen to their transmissions all day. Our police desk transmits a PL tone so that our cars hear him.

Hope this helps... sorry but i have not details on the 32A

bob wb2aiu

5103. WTB:Broken scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 20:06:31 UTC
From: Monte Keller <k9dzd@home.com>
Message-ID: <bV6q7.83182$xb.44418122@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 59619

im looking for a few winter projects..
prefer scanners with 800MHZ

also looking for broken ham gear

monte k9dzd@home.com

5104. Re: Military callsigns

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 20:19:39 UTC
From: Jim Chandler <n427c@gte.net>
Message-ID: <3BA8FEA3.3016AD2D@gte.net>
Source record: 59622
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner
Body handling: Binary attachment payload not displayed: smime.p7s

No. They are the last three digits/letters of civilian private aircraft. The last three are used to speed up radio communications. Thus, 90papa is used instead of something like november 56490 papa. Try saying that fast three times.

TheF16Falcon wrote:

> I'm in NYC listening to McGuire approach (120.25) and hearing callsigns like
> "9-0-Papa" and "8-2-Alpha Fox." Are these military callsigns?

[One or more binary attachments are retained only in the source text.]

5105. St. Louis

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 20:23:06 UTC
From: markinillinois@webtv.net
Message-ID: <15519-3BA8FEAA-31@storefull-118.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 59621

Are there any internet scanner groups for the St. Louis area and/or Southern Illinois? "St. Louis Scanner Enthusiasts" & "Riverbend Scanner" are the only ones that I'm familiar with and both appear to now be non-existent.

Mark Holmes
Marion, IL

==========
Aircraft Listener & Airplane Watcher

Scanning the Skies from Southern Illinois
==========

5106. Radio Shack 20-043 vs. AOR DA3000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 20:41:35 UTC
From: Stephen Waits <steve@waits.net>
Message-ID: <3BA902F8.1E3C381@waits.net>
Source record: 59620

Hi all,

For a full range of monitoring from VHF up, including aero and mil-aero,
public service trunked, which do you think is best, and why?

This is for an attic installation.

--Steve

5107. BC780 reception question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 21:50:06 UTC
From: chuck cheese <chuck@cheese.net>
Message-ID: <iq8q7.292$z7.670178@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59623

Hi everybody,

I have kind of a strange problem. I have a few different roof mounted antennas and and a few different scanners.

I find with the BC780 my reception improves in the VHF air bands if I touch the back of the unit and i'm naked wearing just my cock ring. It doesn't matter which antenna I use. This only happens with the 780 not my other radios.

Any ideas ?

Thanks

5108. Re: PL-Tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 23:31:36 UTC
From: Joe User <joe@user.com>
Message-ID: <9ob9fb$lv0$1@tribune.mayo.edu>
Source record: 59624

Thanks...that helps a lot!

How do you figure out what PL tones are being played?

joe

"Bob Javits" <rjavits@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:lJ6q7.251$z7.518529@news02.optonline.net...
> You use PL tones only to lock out certain stations when more than one
> transmitter shares a frequency... for example, my local police here in
> north jersey use some of the same frequencies as the nassau county police
on
> long island.
>
> This causes interference, and so our police us a PL tone so that our cars
do
> not have to listen to their transmissions all day. Our police desk
> transmits a PL tone so that our cars hear him.
>
> Hope this helps... sorry but i have not details on the 32A
>
> bob wb2aiu
>
>

5109. programming pro92 pl tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-19 23:36:19 UTC
From: JoJo <jojobois@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <l3biqtgfe7r6kigu4rqahrle6shi7ovijk@4ax.com>
Source record: 59625

Hello, any help appreciated. Recently bought a pro92,
have pl freqs. and have programmed them in but still
have not been able to receive "coded" messages, what
am i doing wrong. have them on pl mode, I am really confused,
alot of talk about pl tones and such but no directions on actually
proramming these frequencies. Thank you for any hints on what
i should do.

5110. Re: WTB:Broken scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 00:32:15 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tqiebqr0h1fa0c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59626

I have an old Uniden Bearcat 20/20 with a cracked circuit board... I fixed it once by jumpering the circuit traces with solder (Just a cheap, quick repair), and it worked fine for a while. Now it is intermittent again.. Would probably work if you soldered in actual wires across the broken traces, but I'm not going to bother with it... It is the display board that is cracked. I'm sure there are other circuits on that board as well, but I know for sure that it controls the display...

If you are interested, let me know by replying to the group...

"Monte Keller" <k9dzd@home.com> wrote in message news:bV6q7.83182$xb.44418122@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> im looking for a few winter projects..
> prefer scanners with 800MHZ
>
> also looking for broken ham gear
>
> monte k9dzd@home.com
>
>
>

5111. Re: BC780 reception question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 00:36:11 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tqiej4rg8gk260@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59627

Put the cock ring around the antenna outside. This should make the reception better all the time. By doing this, you won't have to stand around naked, in front of the window, touching the back of the scanner any more... Besides, I hear your neighbors are getting pretty disgusted by the site of your naked body anyway.. I think they would appreciate it...

"chuck cheese" <chuck@cheese.net> wrote in message news:iq8q7.292$z7.670178@news02.optonline.net...
> Hi everybody,
>
> I have kind of a strange problem. I have a few different
> roof mounted antennas and and a few different
> scanners.
>
> I find with the BC780 my reception improves in the VHF
> air bands if I touch the back of the unit and i'm naked
> wearing just my cock ring. It doesn't matter which
> antenna I use. This only happens with the 780
> not my other radios.
>
> Any ideas ?
>
> Thanks
>
>

5112. Re: PL-Tones?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 01:20:32 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3BA9445F.7E7D1992@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59628

A good scanner which supports CTCSS, like the PRO92, will display any
PL or DPL tone being received. You can then program the code into the
scanner.

Walt

PS: DPL is a digital code system sometimes used as an alternative to
the PL tone code system.

Joe User wrote:
>
> Thanks...that helps a lot!
>
> How do you figure out what PL tones are being played?
>
> joe
>
> "Bob Javits" <rjavits@optonline.net> wrote in message
> news:lJ6q7.251$z7.518529@news02.optonline.net...
> > You use PL tones only to lock out certain stations when more than one
> > transmitter shares a frequency... for example, my local police here in
> > north jersey use some of the same frequencies as the nassau county police
> on
> > long island.
> >
> > This causes interference, and so our police us a PL tone so that our cars
> do
> > not have to listen to their transmissions all day. Our police desk
> > transmits a PL tone so that our cars hear him.
> >
> > Hope this helps... sorry but i have not details on the 32A
> >
> > bob wb2aiu
> >
> >

5113. Re: programming pro92 pl tones

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 01:26:41 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3BA945CF.3AB6054E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59629

Maybe you have the wrong PL tones?

Un-program all the PL tones you entered. Watch the display when a
transmission is heard, and, if the MODE is set to PL or DPL, the
correct PLtines'codes will be displayed. Watch for a long enough
period so you will catch ALL such tones/codes which are being used.

Also, the bank must be CLOSED if you expect a non-programmed tone/code
to be locked out. If the bank is OPEN, you will hear all such coded
transmissions.

Walt

JoJo wrote:
>
> Hello, any help appreciated. Recently bought a pro92,
> have pl freqs. and have programmed them in but still
> have not been able to receive "coded" messages, what
> am i doing wrong. have them on pl mode, I am really confused,
> alot of talk about pl tones and such but no directions on actually
> proramming these frequencies. Thank you for any hints on what
> i should do.

5114. BC235 Repair Schematic Needed

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 01:38:05 UTC
From: Jonathan Olson <jaolson@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <1Mbq7.1273$Hx1.102337@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 59630

I am looking for a repair schematic for a BC235 scanner to troubleshoot an internal charger problem. If anyone has such available, please advise directly to me via email.

Thanks,
Jonathan Olson, KG4KPP
Wendell NC

5115. BC245XTL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 02:02:08 UTC
From: R&D Smith <rdsmith@recorder.ca>
Message-ID: <6obq7.84$8V1.3573896@news.ripnet.com>
Source record: 59631

I have just bought this new scanner and im a new user, well kinda, im used to the old easy to use kinda scanners with not so many features... can anyone tell me how to use the trunk tracking and stuff and i have my local police station programmed in and the OPP but i can never hear any of my 100 channels programmed except for the stupid taxi cab co. and its scanning all the other channels also but i dont know why it isnt picking anything up, my local PD says they are digital does that make a difference the freq. is something like 142.935 not sure why i cant here them..

Please help

Thanks
Matt

5116. Re: BC780 reception question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 02:07:42 UTC
From: Kevin <det963@bellatlantic.net>
Message-ID: <Obcq7.563$Dy.96474@typhoon1.gnilink.net>
Source record: 59632

You should get great reception on the 800 Mhz bands with the size of your antenna...

5117. Want: book for Bearcat DX-1000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 03:33:00 UTC
From: BF <boyd@humboldt1.com>
Message-ID: <9obnn7$9lp@library2.airnews.net>
Source record: 59633
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am looking for the Owners Manual for the Bearcat DX-1000
Communications Receiver. That was made in the mid 80s.
Thanks,
Boyd W6DSB

Reply to: boyd@humboldt1.com

5118. Re: AWACS over NYC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 03:45:00 UTC
From: zane <zgorove>
Message-ID: <0Ddq7.793$3J3.26865@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 59634
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I had allot of activity talking to "Bandsaw"over D.C. I did not realize what
it was until later.Operating on 234.2 257.8.I am not positive about the
decimal.There were a couple of other frequencies they went to get a clear
signal.
"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote in message
news:diXp7.19$7m1.24682@newsfeed.slurp.net...
> Can't confirm any comms, (live in Okla), but I do know the AWACS were
flying
> missions to the coast out of Tinker AFB, which is 60 miles south of me.
>
> Mark
>
> "TheF16Falcon" <thef16falcon@si.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:qJLp7.29146$A5.4454186@typhoon.nyc.rr.com...
> > I've read some posts on the 'Net claiming that people heard radio comms
on
> > 125.125 of F-16s talking with AWACS aircraft. I did hear F-16 activity
on
> > 125.125, but can anyone verify hearing any AWACS comms?
> >
> >
>
>

5119. Freqs for UPS hub in Rockford IL?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 04:34:32 UTC
From: Greg <king.frost@home.com>
Message-ID: <fdsiqtogq86s64hapadi5831uh33hmtq13@4ax.com>
Source record: 59635

I seem to remember having the frequencies a while back, can't find them again for the life of me!

5120. Re: What's the DIODE for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 07:47:13 UTC
From: CrazyC <crazy__c@hot_mail.com>
Message-ID: <5ahq7.10832$RS3.6221311@news1.elcjn1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 59636

Ok, so I got the voltage rating reversed with the current rating :-)
As a tech, I see what people put in their radios. Some guy even had a 30A
one time...
Also, I've worked on a few where people have hooked up 110vAC to the 12vDC
input - what a mess...

I'm just saying that he should use the the part that the manufacure
originaly had in...
otherwise, they would have put in a 1N4001 - had to be a reason...

"Skweezieweezie" <noway@thistime.now> wrote in message
news:3l6q7.7133$KD2.3329799@news1.epix.net...
> Why would you EVER use a 10A fuse for a scanner?
> I'm pretty sure that a '4003 has a 600V PIV rating while
> a '4001 is only 200V. They both have a 1Amp current rating.
>
> --
>
> skweezieweezie
>
> ` X o'
> -----ooo-0-ooo-----
> ~
>
>
>
>
>

5121. Pro-94 for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 07:47:50 UTC
From: John <bronxpoet@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Gahq7.575750$ai2.44043478@bin2.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 59637

Hey Guys

I'm not sure if this should go here but, I have a brand new Pro-94 for sale. It's A week old if that much, the scanner is in excellent condition, box, manuals, antenna. I'm asking for $150 Money Order only. I've done a few sales via the internet so I need a contact number to reach you. If you cant provide a number please don't read further. If you're looking for a great, clean scanner, with low usage please contact me at my email address so I don't take up bandwidth. If you're from New York City even better.

Thanks

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.280 / Virus Database: 147 - Release Date: 9/13/01

5122. REQ: Colorado Springs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 08:37:19 UTC
From: sascheffey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010920043719.16140.00001151@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 59638

Looking for PD, FD, MED freqs for Colorado Springs and Peterson AFB. The numerous sites that I have checked come up empty. Any suggestions?

5123. Handheld Scanners with Computer Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 11:56:41 UTC
From: Chris Muller <mull0024@tc.umn.edu>
Message-ID: <tqjmma31u6voaa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59639

Are there any handhelds out there with computer interfaces and the ability
to scan military aviation (225-400) ?

5124. Re: AWACS over NYC?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 12:39:51 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010920083951.20927.00001326@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 59640

I'm local to the NYC area, 12 miles west of Manhattan, and have heard what sounded like logistical support comms on 125.125, but only the first day or so subsequent to the raid. There is an obvious military presence in NYC airspace, most notable during hours of darkness when up to 7 aircraft (one heavy jet and 6 smaller ones) can be seen on clear nights engaged in constant airborne vigil, doing laps all night long. They are small and high, therefore, hard to see once the sky lightens-up in the morning.

God Bless Us All.

They have broken our hearts, but never our spirits.

Tom KB2FIK
Tbone107@aol.com

5125. Re: Radio Shack 20-043 vs. AOR DA3000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 13:56:52 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tqjtbb60ip3p92@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59641

AOR is twice the price, but twice the quality!! Elements are cut to cover a wider consistent bandwidth, not to mention at least three times the diameter which also helps for broader bandwidth, and comes with 50' of coax. AOR takes a TNC connector, while the R/S takes a PL-259 (I used an adapter on the AOR, since I already had coax ran, with a PL-259 on it). If you want the best specs on the AOR, and a accurate picture, go to www.aoruk.com. For some odd reason, 95% of the pictures shown around about show the 3000 looking like the atypical discone - it's not..
I have both, and the AOR consistently out performs the R/S most of the time, but some bands are a draw. For UHF >800 I have a home brew 5/8 wave g/p with 6' of coax, just sitting on top a bookcase, that waxes them both (a beam & rotor in the attic are next for uhf [:->)!
Don

Stephen Waits <steve@waits.net> wrote in message
news:3BA902F8.1E3C381@waits.net...
>
> Hi all,
>
> For a full range of monitoring from VHF up, including aero and mil-aero,
> public service trunked, which do you think is best, and why?
>
> This is for an attic installation.
>
> --Steve

5126. New URL North Alabama Scan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 16:02:32 UTC
From: northalabamascan <northalabamascan@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <sqoq7.2940$3d2.261693@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59642
Cross-posted to: hsv.general,rec.radio.scanner

Attention Scanner Buffs:

The North Alabama Scan page is now available at http://www.auite.com/scan/

It features police, fire, and ambulance frequencies for Huntsville, Alabama
and
Madison, Marshall, Morgan, Jackson, and Limestone Counties.

5127. Re: Pro-94 for Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 16:12:30 UTC
From: John
Message-ID: <Ozoq7.341621$VV1.24863924@bin1.nnrp.aus1.giganews.com>
Source record: 59643

Hmmmmmmmmmmm, I'm not sure maybe I will do that. Id actually like a VR-120
so who knows

"Darrin" <darrin99@nj.rr.com> wrote in message
news:otgjqt0g1en00pcqcekb7nhg2d9m47ccjj@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 20 Sep 2001 07:47:50 GMT, "John" <bronxpoet@hotmail.com>
> wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > >Hey Guys
> > >
> > >I'm not sure if this should go here but, I have a brand new Pro-94 for
sale.
> > >It's A week old if that much, the scanner is in excellent condition, box,
> > >manuals, antenna. I'm asking for $150 Money Order only. I've done a few
> > >sales via the internet so I need a contact number to reach you. If you
cant
> > >provide a number please don't read further. If you're looking for a
great,
> > >clean scanner, with low usage please contact me at my email address so I
> > >don't take up bandwidth. If you're from New York City even better.
>
> One question... If the scanner is "brand new, one week old" why won't
> you just return it for the full purchase price??
>

Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.280 / Virus Database: 147 - Release Date: 9/11/01

5128. Re: Cleveland Ohio PD back in clear? Then what's this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 16:23:34 UTC
From: thepolishdude@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5a04c827.0109200823.3854872d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

thepolishdude@yahoo.com (Polish Dude) wrote in message
news:<5a04c827.0109180932.4a66a56a@posting.google.com>...
> Somebody nice enough to rebroadcasts the transmissions that is all.
> Don't forget. C.F.D. rebroadcasts their base to mobile dispatches on
> their old Command Channel which was C.F.D.'s Channel #5. I forget the
> exact frequency though. It was a midband V.H.F. frequency in the 150
> - 160 megacycle range.
> I do not know, for sure, if they still do this.
> I thought that Mentor P.D. would have a Talkgroup on the Lake County
> Motorola Type I Analoug Trunked System and not their own frequncies.
>
> Former West Side OF Cleveland Resident. Now living in Strongsville.
> Man I need to move back to where their is some life and activity.
> There is absolutely nothing out here. I don't mean frequency wise. I
> mean sociablly wise. All you have is that RIch Man's Mall. Good
> grief.
>
> I even have to get a trunked scanner just to listen.
>
>
>
> "BikerDude" <GoSpamSomeonelse@OK.com> wrote in message
news:<urgp7.481$k35.20724@eagle.america.net>...
> > You can listen to Cleveland PD scanner traffic @
> > http://www.cleveland.com/policescanner/
> >
> > If they have a trunked digital system, who is broadcasting this, and how ?
> >
> > "Erik" <see@the.bottom.com> wrote in message
> > news:3b9e9320.12770083@news-central.giganews.com...
> > > I pick up a lot of activity up and down the I-271 corridor, but yeah,
> > > most are boring, routine traffic stops. Actually, South Euclid can
> > > get pretty interesting at times, but that's about it. Mentor is pure
> > > suburban hell - teen traffic stops all weekend! :-p
> > >
> > > I didn't know Rocky River used MDT's (or any other locality, for that
> > > matter). Know any frequencies offhand?

Chances are they could broadcast them in the 800 band, they might even
use a cell phone connection, which thakns to the CTIA, is illegal to
listen to.

Just as a warning, I believe that M.D.T.s are illegal to listen to
also.

If you do not hear any data type transmissions on there regular channel then they must use a differernet frequency.

Good luck

5129. Which Handheld ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 16:42:20 UTC
From: Dudley <dudleydort@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <M%oq7.85610$xb.45963717@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 59645

I have a 780xlt and a Pro 2027. I was taking the 780 in and out of the car and am getting tired of that. I think it is time to get a handheld. What is the best one to get?

73's W9DUD

5130. What new scanner to buy?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 17:24:05 UTC
From: jolliffe <jolliffe@aiov.com>
Message-ID: <3BAA2635.7FEB25DC@aiov.com>
Source record: 59548

I current have a BC200XLT and I am thinking about buying something
"better". I have been looking at the BC895XLT as a base mobile unit.
Is there an equivalent handheld, programable unit?
I also am interested in the Icom R-3, totally different but has wide
coverage and the TV feature might be useful. Any opinions?

Thanks,

Rich

5131. mobile antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 17:28:12 UTC
From: zane <zgorove>
Message-ID: <LGpq7.37699$3J3.525697@news.easynews.com>
Source record: 59646

What is a good mobile scanner antenna for my aor 8000?

5132. Re: mobile antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 17:40:05 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <3BAA29F5.54F87ABE@qwest.net>
Source record: 59547

A lot of people put Nil-Jon at the top of the heap:
http://www.nil-jonantennas.net/

Jon

"zane
>
> What is a good mobile scanner antenna for my aor 8000?

5133. Re: NYC Television Transmitters?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 18:36:01 UTC
From: n2ah@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ce47897e.0109201036.64d2c488@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59549

"Roland Stiner" <nk2u@1stconnect.com> wrote in message
news:<DTcp7.8759$im.673640@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>...
> > What amazes me is that if you visit the websites of any of the TV
> stations,
> > they don't even mention that they are off the air!
>
> What's even more disgusting is that Steve Jacobson, N2SJ who is their
> engineer, is not even listed on WPIX's page as missing and presumed dead!
> They're like, hey, everything's fine here!
>

About a week ago, I visited the WPIX-TV website and sent them an
inquiry about their over the air transmissions (i.e., are they on the
air?, what location?, future plans, etc.). Below is the "reply" I
received today.

Dear Viewer,

Thank you very much for your email. We will forward your comment or
question to the correct personnel, and you may receive a response
should your email warrant. Unfortunately, we receive so much email
here at
WB11 that sometimes we can't get to responding to it all. So, if you
don't
hear from us, it doesn't mean we're ignoring you, it just means we're
busy
taking care all of the things about which viewers have written.

Thanks again, and write back whenever you feel like you have something
to say.

Yours,
WB11
WPIX-TV New York

5134. Re: Handheld Scanners with Computer Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 18:43:55 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <LNqq7.1181$xG6.392401@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 59550

Yes.

"Chris Muller" <mull0024@tc.umn.edu> wrote in message
news:tqjmma31u6voaa@corp.supernews.com...
> Are there any handhelds out there with computer interfaces and the ability
> to scan military aviation (225-400) ?
>
>

5135. Newbie looking for advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 18:47:13 UTC
From: prs51@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <853b164.0109201047.42994611@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59551

I am looking for a handheld scanner for use in the San Francisco, California area as an emergency radio. Specifically, I'd like coverage of the police & fire frequencies (do they use "trunking??" do I need this feature?) and the AM/FM commercial broadcast bands. A big plus would be coverage of the HF bands for BBC coverage and/or commercial broadcast TV Audio.

Any recomendations are appreciated :-) Also, are there other bands or features I should look for? Are there any sites with table comparisons of different models of scanners?

Thanks again,

Eric

5136. Re: Handheld Scanners with Computer Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 19:02:35 UTC
From: Chris Muller <mull0024@tc.umn.edu>
Message-ID: <tqkfl9e8db69c9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59552

Great!

"Fart Eddie" <davlin@tiac.net> wrote in message
news:LNqq7.1181$xG6.392401@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net...
> Yes.
>
> "Chris Muller" <mull0024@tc.umn.edu> wrote in message
> news:tqjmma31u6voaa@corp.supernews.com...
> > Are there any handhelds out there with computer interfaces and the
ability
> > to scan military aviation (225-400) ?
> >
> >
>
>

5137. Re: What's the DIODE for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 19:08:51 UTC
From: Skweezieweezie <noway@thistime.now>
Message-ID: <79rq7.7165$KD2.3379606@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 59553

Yep, I understand you on that one.
"Well, the 1amp fuse blew, so I put a 4 in and that
blew, then I put a 7 in and that one blew out, too.
I found that 10 would hold, but my radio no workee no more!"

--

skweezieweezie

` X o'
-----ooo-0-ooo-----
~

5138. Re: Handheld Scanners with Computer Interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 21:10:20 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <3BAA5B3C.6A46B92F@qwest.net>
Source record: 59554

Yes there are many models that will tune mil air. I won't try
to list them all but here are a few current and older models:
AOR-8000, AOR-8200, Icom R2, Sony ICF-SC1 PC, Alinco DJ-X2000

Check http://www.strongsignals.net for more info.

Jon

Chris Muller wrote:
>
> Are there any handhelds out there with computer interfaces and the ability
> to scan military aviation (225-400) ?

5139. NJ State Police & 245xlt ??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 21:37:38 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010920173738.02159.00000275@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 59555

Thanks for reading. I'm looking or help getting my BC245XLT to RX the NJSP, not all barracks, just the Totowa barracks for I-280 and the Bloomfield barracks for the Garden State Parkway. The NJSP are on an 800 trunked system, the scanner is new, and I miss the old days of "program and listen"...this TrunkTracking stuff has me dazed and confused. I'm a PO in a nearby municipality through which both 280 and the GSP pass. Also, one neighboring town went to 800 trunked, and they visit quite often, unfortunately they get lost because the fun-loving children in my town have swiped a lot of the street signs, so when they call for help, it would be nice to hear them too. I've always like the heads-up of knowing what they have coming our way, and I finally stepped-up from my 100XLT to the 245. The frequencies are known, but all this talkgroup and fleetmap stuff has me feeling like an idiot. Anyone with programming help and an anti-idiot solution is very much appreciated.

Thanks,
Tom KB2FIK
Tbone107@aol.com

5140. Re: gizmos on-board?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 23:04:10 UTC
From: Jamie Hanrahan <jeh@cmkrnl.com>
Message-ID: <9jokqt4f179mt0h9tediohp2j8rmds2rsi@4ax.com>
Source record: 59556
Cross-posted to: rec.travel.air,rec.radio.scanner,sci.geo.satellite-nav

On Thu, 20 Sep 2001 20:18:58 GMT, Dima Volodin <dvv@dvv.org> wrote:

>Michael Voight wrote:
>
>> Dima Volodin wrote:
>> >
>> > I love to have little gizmos with me on board of an airplane,
>> > namely an airband scanner and a GPS receiver. Does anybody
>> > know if it might be a problem for me from now on?
>> >
>>
>> They were not approved in the US before last week, and they are stilled
>> not approved in the US this week. So, nothing changed.
>
>Exactly what wasn't and isn't approved? I've never heard that either were
>ever banned by anyone. Could you give me any references, please?

This has been extensively discussed here before. Quite recently in fact.

Briefly, anything that isn't explicitly approved by the airline or by the
FAR is banned. Here's the relevant reg:

TITLE 14--AERONAUTICS AND SPACE

PART 121--OPERATING REQUIREMENTS: DOMESTIC, FLAG, AND SUPPLEMENTAL
OPERATIONS

Subpart K--Instrument and Equipment Requirements

Sec. 121.306 Portable electronic devices.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (b) of this section, no person
may operate, nor may any operator or pilot in command of an aircraft
allow the operation of, any portable electronic device on any U.S.-
registered civil aircraft operating under this part.

(b) Paragraph (a) of this section does not apply to--

(1) Portable voice recorders;

(2) Hearing aids;

(3) Heart pacemakers;

(4) Electric shavers; or

(5) Any other portable electronic device that the part 119
certificate holder has determined will not cause interference with the

navigation or communication system of the aircraft on which it is to be used.

(c) The determination required by paragraph (b)(5) of this section shall be made by that part 119 certificate holder operating the particular device to be used.

[Doc. No. FAA-1998-4954, 64 FR 1080, Jan. 7, 1999]

This by the way is from http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/get-cfr.cgi?TITLE=14&PART=121&SECTION=306&YEAR=2000&TYPE=TEXT , just in case anyone wants to make sure that I'm not making this up. If you want to see more context, try this link: http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_00/14cfr121_00.html and click on the "121.306" link from there.

Now the logic there is a bit tricky. Paragraph (a) starts out by banning ALL "portable electronic devices" (PEDs). Then paragraph (b) allows a few explicitly, and then adds clause (b)(5), which allows the "part 119 certificate holder" to add things to the "approved" list.

The "part 119 certificate holder" is the airline -- see http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx_00/14cfr119_00.html (that's part 119).

So, unless the airline you're flying on explicitly states that the gadget in question is ok to use, it isn't.

(Airborne cellphone use is ALSO banned by FCC regs, because it MAY cause problems for the ground-based part of the system... which assumes that if you're in one cell you're rather farther from other cells, which can therefore reuse the same channel you're on. The fact that cellphones do occasionally work from the air does not prove that they always will or will never cause such problems.)

Now. Until recently, at least, Delta specifically approved the use of both VHF scanners and GPS receivers -- see http://www.delta.com/travel/trav_serv/bag_info/Portable_Electronics/index.jsp .

The last time I flew on US Airways, they allowed them also, by exclusion: Their inflight mag said that you couldn't use portable TVs, radio transmitters, or remote controlled toys, but that:

"other portable electronic devices may be used except during taxi, takeoff, and landing, when they must be turned off and properly stowed. In addition, cellular telephones may only be used while the aircraft is parked at the gate and must be stowed for taxi."

I can't find their PED rules on their web site. I suppose I could scan and post the relevant page from the inflight mag...

I swear that I remember seeing a provision allowing scanners in Alaska Airlines's inflight mag, but I haven't found it (nor any statement at all about PEDs) in there recently. It might have been on the late, lamented Reno Air.

Most other airlines I've been on seem to be more restrictive, listing radios and sometimes even explicitly mentioning scanners in their "banned" list.

So, where does this leave you? You may not have "heard" that these things were banned, but that doesn't mean anything -- if an airline doesn't want to allow something, they don't have to say it is NOT allowed; all they have to do is NOT list it as "allowed to be used".

AA recently (no, not within the last week) added GPS receivers to their "explicitly banned" list, not that that was legally necessary, but I guess the FAs needed a bit of printed word to point to as authority when passengers tried to claim "it's not a radio".

--- jeh

5141. Re: What's the DIODE for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 23:14:22 UTC
From: Jamie Hanrahan <jeh@cmkrnl.com>
Message-ID: <4utkqt0q0f1m3t0mh94s3rn0o8h6qa77o6@4ax.com>
Source record: 59557

On Thu, 20 Sep 2001 07:47:13 GMT, "CrazyC" <crazy__c@hot_mail.com> wrote:
>"Skweezieweezie" <noway@thistime.now> wrote in message
>news:3l6q7.7133$KD2.3329799@news1.epix.net...
>> Why would you EVER use a 10A fuse for a scanner?
>> I'm pretty sure that a '4003 has a 600V PIV rating while
>> a '4001 is only 200V. They both have a 1Amp current rating.
>
>Ok, so I got the voltage rating reversed with the current rating :-)
>As a tech, I see what people put in their radios. Some guy even had a 30A
>one time...
>Also, I've worked on a few where people have hooked up 110vAC to the 12vDC
>input - what a mess...
>
>I'm just saying that he should use the the part that the manufacure
>originaly had in...
>otherwise, they would have put in a 1N4001 - had to be a reason...

The "reason" might simply be "we got a great deal on these 1N4003's in
production quantities, so that's what we used." That sort of thing
happens all the time.

--- jeh

5142. Re: Cobra ESD 9200 detector false radar? (Oops)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-20 23:56:50 UTC
From: Markeaux <markeaux@YANKTHISyahoo.com>
Message-ID: <dnvq7.4363$O61.110147@news20>
Source record: 59558

Most probably the laser detection subsystem is malfunctioning, I would send
it off for repair with a complete detail of your observations.

"Dan" <dannykewl@eudoramail.com> wrote in message
news:88191b89.0109171307.4f523372@posting.google.com...
: In my post I stated "The manual
: claims there are no laser alerts.", this should read "The manual
: claims there are no FALSE laser alerts."
:
: dannykewl@eudoramail.com (Dan) wrote in message
news:<88191b89.0109170948.49bc3145@posting.google.com>...
: > Hi. I have a Cobra ESD 9200 radar detector which seems to work good
: > except during the day when the sun is out. During this time, when I
: > get on the freeway (city and suburban), my detector goes off with one
: > after another laser alert.I don't know what lasr band, the manual says
: > there's three laser bands, and everytime the "L" on the front of the
: > detector lights up and I hear the same "phaser" sound. The manual
: > claims there are no laser alerts. If I take the detector out of the
: > bottom of the windshield, and put it up high, on a sunvisor, the laser
: > alerts are at a minimum. I don't get the false laser alerts at night.
: > I also tried the detector in several cars and vans and still get the
: > false lasers, what could be causing this. I live in the Cleveland,
: > Ohio area if this has any bearing. TIA. Sorry but this is the closest
: > Usenet group I could find for this type of question, there seems to be
: > no detector groups.
: >
: > Dan

5143. winscan with windows me???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 00:18:30 UTC
From: Bruce Romero <bromero152@home.com>
Message-ID: <qHvq7.86011$xb.46488853@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 59559

will this software not work with in windows me? I have installed it and can not get it to work..thanks

5144. Re: winscan with windows me???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 01:07:08 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <#nawUojQBHA.1400@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 59560

works for me....but then too, I'm blind.

"Bruce Romero" <bromero152@home.com> wrote in message
news:qHvq7.86011$xb.46488853@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> will this software not work with in windows me? I have installed it and
can
> not get it to work..thanks
>
>
>

5145. Re: BC245XTL

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 01:10:56 UTC
From: newknews <newknews@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <ewboYqjQBHA.1700@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 59561

get a hold of winScan for the 245xlt. it will do it for you.
then you get great stuff to play with and look at and then you can even talk to it with it's "remind me I'm blind" soft-ware will come in Handy. get it? Handy?

oh i/m on a roll....

"R&D Smith" <rdsmith@recorder.ca> wrote in message news:6obq7.84$8V1.3573896@news.ripnet.com...
> I have just bought this new scanner and im a new user, well kinda, im used
> to the old easy to use kinda scanners with not so many features... can
> anyone tell me how to use the trunk tracking and stuff and i have my local
> police station programmed in and the OPP but i can never hear any of my 100
> channels programmed except for the stupid taxi cab co. and its scanning all
> the other channels also but i dont know why it isnt picking anything up, my
> local PD says they are digital does that make a difference the freq. is
> something like 142.935 not sure why i cant here them..
>
> Please help
>
> Thanks
> Matt
>
>

5146. Re: Eugene Oregon

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 01:34:21 UTC
From: Clarke's Discount <clarkeseugene@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <3BAA991C.6759AB23@qwest.net>
Source record: 59562

excellent information...thank you very much!

Keith wrote:

> Clarke's Discount wrote:
>
> >I am new to this but I have recently purchased a Uniden
> >BC80XLT to get my feet wet. I have a few frequencies for the
> >Eugene area but I would be interested if anymore might have
> >a more complete listing. Also, what would be considered a
> >good upgrade that is still easy to use? Thanks!
>
> http://members.dsl-only.net/~varine/scanner.htm
>
> --
> Best Regards,
>
> Keith
> ==
> http://strongsignals.com/ Find Windows freeware!
> "War is the remedy that our enemy has chosen.
> And I say let us give them all they want."
> General Sherman
> ==

5147. WTC Radio response

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 03:03:29 UTC
From: fireboss <fireboss@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <3BAAAE01.E3B6DF3E@uswest.net>
Source record: 59563
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://www.motorola.com/response/

Home - About Motorola - Products and Services - Shop

Updated 20 September 2001
All of us continue to be deeply affected by the tragic events of September 11. Nevertheless, it is critical that we help each other get through this tragedy and help the world recover.

Motorola Emergency and Customer Response

Motorola is continuing extensive efforts to globally expedite new shipments and meet numerous customer requests for emergency help to support police, fire, ambulance and other emergency services in the affected areas.

Motorola business and logistic teams and manufacturing facilities worldwide will remain on full alert and will continue to give priority to public safety emergency orders as needed.

To date, Motorola has delivered at least 86 trucks with communications equipment to field teams and customers in the New York City area, Virginia and Maryland. In addition, seven planes carried Motorola equipment into the affected areas at the height of these disasters.

Additionally, three major communications systems were delivered, including a 900 MHz ï¿½trailerï¿½ radio system and an 800 MHz ï¿½trailerï¿½ radio system in New York City, and an 800 MHz ï¿½trailerï¿½ system which is being used at the plane crash site in Pennsylvania. A fourth major system, an 800 MHz, 15-channel communications system, was delivered to New York to provide back-up communications for emergency services. Motorola workers produced the system, which typically takes about three weeks to assemble, in 30 hours for shipment to the emergency areas.

Approximately 9,500 of our portable radios, 16,000 batteries and 3,000 chargers have been delivered so far. Further, about 120 base stations, and nearly 5,000 pieces of miscellaneous accessories, such as cabling and antennae equipment, have been delivered. Motorola has also delivered 700 Iridium satellite telephones to emergency agencies in the New York City area. We also provided 1,000 cellular

phones to a major insurance company for its policyholders in the affected areas.

Motorola has also donated 10,000 iDEN multi-function phones to various federal, state and local government agencies, plus about 88,000 batteries, chargers and other accessories. Nextel will provide the phone service.

The Motorola Foundation will donate $1 million in support of relief efforts, dispersed to: New York Police, Fire & Rescue; the Federal Employee Education and Assistance Fund (World Trade Center / Pentagon Fund); General Relief Fund in New York; Abilities, Inc.; and Employee Match to the One Motorola Responds Fund.

We all have the opportunity to redefine what it means to be heroic. We must focus our energies on collaboration and reconstruction. We need heroes and heroines of epic proportion working to strengthen the world one step at a time.

Christopher B. Galvin
Chairman and Chief Executive Officer
Motorola, Inc.

ï¿½ Copyright 1994-2001 Motorola, Inc.
All Rights Reserved.
Home | Terms of Use | Privacy Practices
| Contact Us

5148. WTC Rescue efforts

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 03:09:19 UTC
From: fireboss <fireboss@uswest.net>
Message-ID: <3BAAAF5F.6D9D199B@uswest.net>
Source record: 59564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

http://www.bdcast.com/news/wtc/New_York.html

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE

September 17, 2001

BROADCAST ELECTRONICSï¿½ EQUIPMENT BEING
USED
IN SEARCH FOR WORLD TRADE CENTER
SURVIVORS

After receiving a call from Motorola to assist in the rescue mission at the World Trade Center, a team of engineers led by Jerry Westberg modified a BE AM-500A this past Friday evening, September 14, then drove it to Motorolaï¿½s facility in Chicago late that night.

This highly modified broadcast transmitter retuned to a VLF frequency is being used to search for trapped survivors/victims at the WTC. Keep in mind, this is VERY experimental and is one of several other options being used. (A couple hams thought this up....)

All WTC employees received smart cards last August, which operate on some VLF channels. Normally, the wall packs emit only a few mW of power at 125kHz to reach the card at a distance of one foot to three feet, and the smart cards emit a code back at 187.5kHz.

The theory is the higher power transmitter will allow that signal to penetrate the rubble and activate those cards that are in the rubbleï¿½either in the pocket/purse of the holder or their desk. Another experimental high sensitivity receiver and loop antenna will be used with the decoder to listen for the cards and pinpoint their location. Digging can then focus on that area first.

It is estimated that the system can see a card through several layers of walls and floor decks. More testing is being done.

As of 10:00 AM this morning, Motorolaï¿½s corporate jet flew the transmitter to Motorolaï¿½s facility in New York, where it will be demonstrated for the Port Authority. They hope to have the transmitter at ground zero by this afternoon. If one person is found alive as a result of this, all the hours

of hard work that were put in will be far beyond well worth the effort.

This technology could be a possible breakthrough in general for search and rescue missions where the cardholder is not visible, but near by (i.e. - lost at sea, in a forest, smoky fire, building failure, landslide, flood, earthquake, mine collapse...etc).

About Broadcast Electronics

Headquartered in Quincy, Illinois, Broadcast Electronics, Inc. is a global leader in the design, manufacture and sales of broadcast studio systems, radio frequency (ï¿½RFï¿½) transmitters and remote broadcasting equipment for the worldwide radio market. The company was founded in 1959 and has been a pioneer in the development of many leading technologies for the radio broadcast market.
For more information, visit www.bdcast.com.

Contact information:

Tim Bealor
Director, RF Systems
(217) 224-9600, ext. 255
Email: tbealor@bdcast

5149. Re: *Close* the Mexican Border

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 05:53:03 UTC
From: Stan Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3baac5d1_1@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59565
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

I believe that chello.com is an overseas server. Indeed, it looks like the poset went through Denmark, Belgium and Canada before it got to the States.

Stan
+- +- +- +-
From: "Jeb Bush" <fl_governor@eog.state.fl.us>
Newsgroups:
rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.spor
t.cricket
Subject: Re: *Close* the Mexican Border
Lines: 120
Organization: State of Florida
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 5.50.4522.1200
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V5.50.4522.1200
X-Inktomi-Trace: amsink 1001038728 6522 24.132.46.237 (21 Sep 2001 02:18:48
GMT)
Message-ID: <esxq7.64740$dy3.758208@amsnews03.chello.com>
Date: Fri, 21 Sep 2001 02:18:50 GMT
NNTP-Posting-Host: 212.83.64.219
X-Complaints-To: abuse@chello.com
X-Trace: amsnews03.chello.com 1001038730 212.83.64.219 (Fri, 21 Sep 2001
04:18:50 MET DST)
NNTP-Posting-Date: Fri, 21 Sep 2001 04:18:50 MET DST
Path:
news.newzpig.com!newsfeed.newzpig.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeeds.belnet.be!
news.belnet.be!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.
de!t-online.de!newsrouter.chello.at!amsnews01.chello.com!amsnews03.chello.co
m.POSTED!not-for-mail
Xref: 64.251.72.5 rec.radio.scanner:226642 rec.radio.cb:260161
alt.fan.britney-spears:65006 rec.skydiving:318945 rec.sport.cricket:404548
+- +- +- +-

5150. Re: Discone connected to Yupiteru MVT7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 06:09:14 UTC
From: marcje01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ca10ea14.0109202209.2e1292af@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59566
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Maz,

That HPF sounds like a possible solution. Do you know if there are any plans/calculations online for such a filter? I would like to build one myself, so that I can also experiment a little bit.

I've built filters with OPAMPs but this was just for LF applications and is of course not applicable to HF filters.

Cheers,
/Marc

"Maz" <systematic@SPAMFREEntlworld.com> wrote in message news:<7Xpp7.15833$QV4.354789@news11-gui.server.ntli.net>...
> i found that even using a wide band telescopic, if i ran a length of feeder
> (7 metres of RG8 patch cable) from the scanner to the antenna that i got a
> bit of breakthru from SW broadcast above 30 Mhz. I also got much improved HF
> and less noise pick-up but i would guess that the wide-band nature of the
> antenna means that the impedance across all ranges will not be completely
> balanced, so this could cause the cable shielding to act as an antenna.
> Use a high pass filter with a cutoff point around 30 Mhz should take care of
> most of the HF breakthru.
> HTH
> Maz

5151. Re: *Close* the Mexican Border

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 07:00:57 UTC
From: Stan Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3babbd04_3@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59579
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket,rec.music.country.western

> Path:
>
news.newzpig.com!newsfeed.newzpig.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeeds.belnet.be!
>
news.belnet.be!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.
>
de!t-online.de!newsrouter.chello.at!amsnews01.chello.com!amsnews03.chello.co
> m.POSTED!not-for-mail
> Xref: 64.251.72.5 rec.radio.scanner:226642 rec.radio.cb:260161
> alt.fan.britney-spears:65006 rec.skydiving:318945 rec.sport.cricket:404548

What country is .at? It certainly would figure if it's Austria.

Stan

5152. Re: What's the DIODE for?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 08:37:34 UTC
From: Dave Turner <dmturner@primenet.com>
Message-ID: <jrulqtkemajid8imi2fplp0avhrnspbao3@4ax.com>
Source record: 59567

"CrazyC" <crazy__c@hot_mail.com> wrote:

>I'm just saying that he should use the the part that the manufacure
>originaly had in...
>otherwise, they would have put in a 1N4001 - had to be a reason...

The reason is that 50 volts is too low for a 12 volt automobile.
Intel describes The Automotive Environment in their application note:
AP-125. Transients up can be 200 volts and more.

See:
http://www.tiac.net/users/rdh/http/Urq/rt02.txt

Regards,

Dave

5153. Fwd: Which Handheld ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 10:27:09 UTC
From: Garvin@Truss.net
Message-ID: <1f01tpu.18n8bqwe01gb8N%Garvin@Truss.net>
Source record: 59568

------- Begin Forwarded Message -------

Subject: Which Handheld ?
From: Dudley <dudleydort@bigfoot.com>
Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2001 16:42:20 GMT

I have a 780xlt and a Pro 2027. I was taking the 780 in and out of the car
and am getting tired of that. I think it is time to get a handheld. What is
the best one to get?

73's W9DUD

Well I have and use a Handspring Visor deluxe that I really like a lot...

"Fred"

5154. Re: Discone connected to Yupiteru MVT7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 12:47:49 UTC
From: Maz <systematic@SPAMFREEntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <pFGq7.9356$o%6.1496201@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 59569
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Marc
the only one i could find but i'm sure there are more:
http://www.uksmg.org/braidfilter.htm
I'm sure there are a few freeware filter calculator /designer progs out
there... try some amateur radio sites.
HTH
Maz

"Marc" <marcje01@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ca10ea14.0109202209.2e1292af@posting.google.com...
> Hi Maz,
>
> That HPF sounds like a possible solution. Do you know if there are any
> plans/calculations online for such a filter? I would like to build one
> myself, so that I can also experiment a little bit.
>
> I've built filters with OPAMPs but this was just for LF applications and
is
> of course not applicable to HF filters.
>
> Cheers,
> /Marc
>
> "Maz" <systematic@SPAMFREEntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:<7Xpp7.15833$QV4.354789@news11-gui.server.ntli.net>...
> > i found that even using a wide band telescopic, if i ran a length of
feeder
> > (7 metres of RG8 patch cable) from the scanner to the antenna that i got
a
> > bit of breakthru from SW broadcast above 30 Mhz. I also got much
improved HF
> > and less noise pick-up but i would guess that the wide-band nature of
the
> > antenna means that the impedance across all ranges will not be
completely
> > balanced, so this could cause the cable shielding to act as an antenna.
> > Use a high pass filter with a cutoff point around 30 Mhz should take
care of
> > most of the HF breakthru.
> > HTH
> > Maz

5155. Re: Which Handheld ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 13:11:04 UTC
From: Dudley <dudleydort@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <I%Gq7.87594$xb.47531472@news1.mntp1.il.home.com>
Source record: 59570

Good one Fred!

Thanks for your Input.
"Fred Garvin" <Garvin@Truss.net> wrote in message
news:1f01tpu.18n8bqwe01gb8N%Garvin@Truss.net...
> ------- Begin Forwarded Message -------
>
> Subject: Which Handheld ?
> From: Dudley <dudleydort@bigfoot.com>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner
> Date: Thu, 20 Sep 2001 16:42:20 GMT
>
> I have a 780xlt and a Pro 2027. I was taking the 780 in and out of the car
> and am getting tired of that. I think it is time to get a handheld. What
is
> the best one to get?
>
> 73's W9DUD
>
> Well I have and use a Handspring Visor deluxe that I really like a lot...
>
> "Fred"

5156. sky spy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 14:24:37 UTC
From: haro <haroa3@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <F4Iq7.1797$cZ6.98315@news1.cableinet.net>
Source record: 59571

Hi has any one got a copy of skyspy I could try?

haroa3@blueyonder.co.uk

5157. moding corless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 16:00:30 UTC
From: kilomit@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <501fd2a.0109210800.12df8527@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59572

I'm thinking about buying a cheap cordless phone and modifying it so I can use it as a sort of wireless modem. All I really need it to do is to relay the dial tone b/t the laptop and base station.

5158. Re: moding corless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 17:17:03 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <jCKq7.1654$xG6.898465@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 59573

Do you really think it would be worth the trouble? Do you want to do this because your PC is not close to a telephone jack? If that's the reason, there are other ways of getting a connection from here to there. I suspect it wouldn't be all that reliable or secure either.

"kilomit" <kilomit@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:501fd2a.0109210800.12df8527@posting.google.com...
> I'm thinking about buying a cheap cordless phone and modifying it so I
> can use it as a sort of wireless modem. All I really need it to do is
> to relay the dial tone b/t the laptop and base station.

5159. Re: sky spy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 17:32:32 UTC
From: Tim <nightwatch01@home.com>
Message-ID: <3BAB7991.1338360B@home.com>
Source record: 59574

> Hi has any one got a copy of skyspy I could try?

Not I, why?

Bye

> haroa3@blueyonder.co.uk

--
Nightwatch01@home.com

5160. Want to Buy: 800 Mhz. Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 19:14:26 UTC
From: redbone1@swbell.net
Message-ID: <d917db5a.0109211114.2ed00b6d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59575

Hello and Greetings to all.....I would like to know if any one has any
of the following 800 Mhz. scanners for sale. I would like to have one
with a manufacture date prior to April 1994. Please e mail your
asking price that includes shipping to zip code 77701.

Please reply to:
redbone1@swbell.net
casey30@aol.com

Thanks..........ReDbOnE

Uniden BC - 220 Uniden BC - 220XLT Uniden BC -
200
Uniden BC - 860 Uniden BC - 2500 Uniden BC
- 205
Uniden BC - 3000 Uniden BC - 800 Uniden BC
- 760
Uniden BC - 9000 Uniden BC - 800XLT Uniden BC -
950

Icom IC - R1 Icom IC - R100 Icom IC
- R7000
Icom IC - R7100

AOR AR 1000 AOR AR 8000 Regency MX
- 7000

Shinwa SR-001

Radio Shack or Realistic Pro - 25
Radio Shack or Realistic Pro - 26
Radio Shack or Realistic Pro - 51
Radio Shack or Realistic Pro - 2030
Radio Shack or Realistic Pro - 2040

Radio Shack or Realistic Pro - 34
Radio Shack or Realistic Pro - 2022
Radio Shack or Realistic Pro - 43
Radio Shack or Realistic Pro - 46
Radio Shack or Realistic Pro - 2004

Radio Shack or Realistic Pro - 2005
Radio Shack or Realistic Pro - 2006
Radio Shack or Realistic Pro - 2026
Radio Shack or Realistic Pro - 39

5161. Re: converted BC200xlt BC100XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 19:52:29 UTC
From: bhall7@email.com
Message-ID: <dc0c38eb.0109211152.571001e7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59576
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

"John P Vassel" <john@vassel.net> wrote in message
news:<3ba8b54b$0$1527$2c3e98f8@news.voyager.net>...
> "Never anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
> news:ju1hqt4dqg8lctmiga3f098e7p1486p7aq@4ax.com...
> > bhall7@email.com (BHall) opened the coffin lid and croaked...
> >
> > >BC100XLT converted to BC200XLT, 100-channel same features as 200XLT.
> > >Way cheaper than a 200xlt. 800 mHz coverage
> >
> >
> > I'd LOVE to know how you got Uniden to sell you the 800mhz board
> > that's needed to do this.
>
>
> I was sitting here thinking the exact same thing.
> I've seen where it attaches.... but that's not the only piece to the puzzle.
>
> John

I bought it from some dude on eBay and that's as much information I
have. If you do a search on eBay for completed items you can see that
others have sold as well. I'm not sure how he did it, but he did it.

-Brian

5162. Charleston SC Police and EMS Truck ID's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 20:21:31 UTC
From: Maverick <c17clan@aol.com>
Message-ID: <fjNq7.43458$xB1.11256200@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 59577

I'm looking for the id codes for the various Police and EMS and even county trunking. I have a Radio Shack trunking scanner and would like to be able to program the names and such to the id's and be able to just monitor one particular group. If you have these or some of them please post them or email them to me. Also does anyone know what the Freqs might be for the Charleston AFB Security Police?

Thanks,
Maverick

5163. newbie scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 22:05:22 UTC
From: "James M. McNamara" <eeoyore@slic.com>
Message-ID: <TGOq7.240$wF5.163990@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 59578

I posted this @ other newsgroup and didn't get a response
so I thought I'd give this group a try.

I have a BC780XLT Trunk Tracker III digital scanner and am
trying to listen to fire, rescue, and state police. I can listen to
some conversations on the scanner but in the middle of the conversation
they switch and go elsewhere. Can someone give me some tips.
I'm mostly interested in the state police. I can't figure out why I
can here the dispatchers and not the car. The local troopers
headquarters is in Raybrook NY.

Thanks
jim

5164. Re: *Close* the Mexican Border

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-21 23:32:27 UTC
From: TY <skydivers@net1plus.com>
Message-ID: <3BABCE61.4FEF3560@net1plus.com>
Source record: 59580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket,rec.music.country.western

Stan Never Falls wrote:

> > Path:
> >
> news.newzpig.com!newsfeed.newzpig.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeeds.belnet.be!
> >
> news.belnet.be!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.
> >
> de!t-online.de!newsrouter.chello.at!amsnews01.chello.com!amsnews03.chello.co
> > m.POSTED!not-for-mail
> > Xref: 64.251.72.5 rec.radio.scanner:226642 rec.radio.cb:260161
> > alt.fan.britney-spears:65006 rec.skydiving:318945 rec.sport.cricket:404548
>
> What country is .at? It certainly would figure if it's Austria.

I think Australia is .au

5165. Re: *Close* the Mexican Border

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 00:01:45 UTC
From: Sideband <sideband@spam.me.not>
Message-ID: <3BABD59E.A453615D@spam.me.not>
Source record: 59581
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket,rec.music.country.western

TY wrote:
> I think Australia is .au

You're right...

but they said Austria, not Australia. You know.. that country just south
of Germany?

And I do believe Austria is .at.. but I could be wrong.

-SSB

5166. Re: *Close* the Mexican Border

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 00:04:42 UTC
From: TY <skydivers@net1plus.com>
Message-ID: <3BABD5F0.F29FAC68@net1plus.com>
Source record: 59582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket,rec.music.country.western

Sideband wrote:

> TY wrote:
> > I think Australia is .au
>
> You're right...
>
> but they said Austria, not Australia. You know.. that country just south
> of Germany?
>
> And I do believe Austria is .at.. but I could be wrong.
>

Duh! LOL Sorry. Been a long day. :o)

And yes, I do know where Austria is. I hope to go skiing there some day.

5167. Newark, NJ EMS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 00:06:32 UTC
From: Wes <wes@rew.com>
Message-ID: <cCQq7.1200$ei.234287@news1.rdc1.nj.home.com>
Source record: 59583

http://uh-ems.org

5168. Military frequencys in AZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 00:29:15 UTC
From: JA Moran <jamoran@analon.com>
Message-ID: <3BABDB5B.9389F914@analon.com>
Source record: 59585

anyone have or want to trade military frequencies for Arizona or CA

--
**----800-873-5884----We're Unix - can't read Microsoft Attatchments!!
JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) |http://www.analon.com
|
--Custom Built Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting ASCII is
OK!
Unix is Stable!..Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE! Cig Smoking is
Deadly!
--

5169. Re: Freq's used by Osama Bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 00:30:23 UTC
From: Frank Bals <fjb@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <zYQq7.5289$3d2.417461@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59584

I don't know yet. I haven't been able to get close enough to him with my frequency counter!

"Black Out" <Black Out@spamblock.home.net> wrote in message news:apknqt8vpvp3n7ais1pfanilitrv2u5dv3@4ax.com...
> News file videos of Osama Bin Laden talking on a two-way radio.
> The antenna appears to be 18" to 24" inches long.
>
> what frequencies could Osama Bin Laden be using?

5170. Re: FS: ICOM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 00:34:16 UTC
From: Bruce Cox <peacox@gloryroad.net>
Message-ID: <3BABDC88.1AD3CE0@gloryroad.net>
Source record: 59586

Have you sold this radio yet? pcox

Dean Kastoris wrote:

> I have for sale an absolutely mint Icom IC-R100 Communications
> Receiver. I have the box, owners manual with warranty card and all
> unused original accessories with mounting bracket. I also have the
> original sales brochure.
> $425.00 plus shipping

5171. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 00:55:31 UTC
From: Jay in the Mojave <jay123a@qnet.com>
Message-ID: <3BABE183.77CBB175@qnet.com>
Source record: 59587
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Hello JJ: Technically incorrect again, as seen with your posts over and over.

I suggest more reading on the subject.

Have a nice day.

Jay in the Mojave

JJ wrote:
>
> If the plane disappeared from radar it is because it dropped to an altitude that
> the radar could no longer see it, not because the transponder was not working.
>
> Richard McCollum wrote:
>
> > What I don't know about ATC would fill volumes but I recall a story of an
> > Air Canada 757 when they were new and the fuel guages did not work. Canada
> > had gone metric so the guy dipping the tanks (legal, fuel guages are not
> > required) converted to kiligrams and left the plane with half the fuel load
> > for Toronto-Vancouver. When it ran out over Manitoba and the electrics
> > stopped, the propellor-generator gizmo lowered out of the nose did not do a
> > whole lot and the transponder failed. This resulted in the plane dropping
> > off radar on five of six radars at Winnipeg. The plane ultimately landed
> > safely at an old RCAF strip with an Armco barrier across it to prevent drag
> > racing.
> >
> > The radar in question was due for removal in two weeks and the pilot was the
> > Canadian glider champion. Sounds like a minor miracle.
> >
> > Seems kind of silly to ignore blips from non-transpondered planes; might it
> > be possible that only some viewers get the raw stuff. Damfino.
> >
> > Dick

5172. Re: *Close* the Mexican Border

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 01:07:57 UTC
From: Stan Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3babd47b_5@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59588
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket,rec.music.country.western

"TY" <skydivers@net1plus.com> wrote in message
news:3BABCE61.4FEF3560@net1plus.com...
>
>
> Stan Never Falls wrote:
>
> > > Path:
> > >
> >
news.newzpig.com!newsfeed.newzpig.com!sunqbc.risq.qc.ca!newsfeeds.belnet.be!
> > >
> >
news.belnet.be!fr.clara.net!heighliner.fr.clara.net!newsfeed01.sul.t-online.
> > >
> >
de!t-online.de!newsrouter.chello.at!amsnews01.chello.com!amsnews03.chello.co
> > > m.POSTED!not-for-mail
> > > Xref: 64.251.72.5 rec.radio.scanner:226642 rec.radio.cb:260161
> > > alt.fan.britney-spears:65006 rec.skydiving:318945
rec.sport.cricket:404548
> >
> > What country is .at? It certainly would figure if it's Austria.
>
> I think Australia is .au

So Austria is .at?

5173. FS: Scanner Crystals

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 01:44:00 UTC
From: cozyoak@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010921214400.00887.00000124@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 59589

I have LOTS of scanner crystals for sale. For a current list of what I have go to the following website.

<A HREF="http://hometown.aol.com/cozyoak/myhomepage/sale.html">Click Here To See Crystal List.</A>

Cost of each crystal is $2.50 "shipping is included in price"

Jim Peters
Portland, Oregon
cozyoak@aol.com

5174. Re: Freq's used by Osama Bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 01:46:40 UTC
From: xiralos <xiralos@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <44Sq7.13357$RS3.7282302@news1.elcjn1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 59590

Sorry but he just went digital, can't monitor him on a scanner anymore.

"Black Out" <Black Out@spamblock.home.net> wrote in message
news:apknqt8vpvp3n7ais1pfanilitrv2u5dv3@4ax.com...
> News file videos of Osama Bin Laden talking on a two-way radio.
> The antenna appears to be 18" to 24" inches long.
>
> what frequencies could Osama Bin Laden be using?

5175. Re: Freq's used by Osama Bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 01:47:16 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010921214716.07762.00000052@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 59591

>News file videos of Osama Bin Laden talking on a two-way radio.The antenna appears to be 18" to 24" inches long.<

Don't think that's new video, think they have been showing that film about as long as Bin Laden has been making headlines. I've noticed that antenna in the past. Suspect it's low vhf but also suspect he changes frequently.

Rob Mills ~~~

RX-320, NC-140, BC-895XLT, Pro-91

5176. Re: Freq's used by Osama Bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 01:53:27 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010921215327.07769.00000073@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 59592

>Suspect it's low vhf but

or it's a CB walkie talkie and he's on 19 with the rest of the idiot's. <grin>

5177. Re: newbie scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 02:38:10 UTC
From: ledfoot02@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010921223810.22525.00000299@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 59593

I need to know what freq. they are on 800Mhz or 400.mhz

5178. Re: Freq's used by Osama Bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 03:42:02 UTC
From: "D. V Brown" <dvbrown5@home.com>
Message-ID: <eMTq7.123090$K6.53809715@news2>
Source record: 59594

I do not know about the radio.
But I do listen to his cordless phone conversations.

"Black Out" <Black Out@spamblock.home.net> wrote in message
news:apknqt8vpvp3n7ais1pfanilitrv2u5dv3@4ax.com...
> News file videos of Osama Bin Laden talking on a two-way radio.
> The antenna appears to be 18" to 24" inches long.
>
> what frequencies could Osama Bin Laden be using?

5179. Re: moding corless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 03:48:28 UTC
From: "D. V Brown" <dvbrown5@home.com>
Message-ID: <gSTq7.123099$K6.53818730@news2>
Source record: 59595

This is interesting but I have no idea how to go about it.
I wonder what the baud would be like between the base and the handset.

"kilomit" <kilomit@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:501fd2a.0109210800.12df8527@posting.google.com...
> I'm thinking about buying a cheap cordless phone and modifying it so I
> can use it as a sort of wireless modem. All I really need it to do is
> to relay the dial tone b/t the laptop and base station.

5180. how good are portable wideband receivers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 04:09:01 UTC
From: turridro22@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922000901.28703.00000160@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 59596

I'd like to get a small wideband receiver like the icom r2 or the r10 or one of the Yaesus. Is it true that they are poor on shortwave even with an external long wire? Please advise.

5181. Re: how good are portable wideband receivers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 04:28:38 UTC
From: Eric Ferguson <GEFERG@prodigy.net>
Message-ID: <WrUq7.43265$Xr3.2294949126@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 59498

Well, my little Yaesu VR-500 seems to do well with a Radio Shack extendable antenna and a Diamond VHF/UHF antenna. Haven't been able to find a long wire to hook up to. But with the Diamond was able to pick up WWVH in my church's rec hall. Is this any help?

5182. Re: converted BC200xlt BC100XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 04:30:24 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@nospam.njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2001.09.22.00.30.21.184.7029@nospam.njmc.com>
Source record: 59497
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.swap

In <dc0c38eb.0109211152.571001e7@posting.google.com>, BHall wrote:

>
> I bought it from some dude on eBay and that's as much information I
> have. If you do a search on eBay for completed items you can see that
> others have sold as well. I'm not sure how he did it, but he did it.
>
> -Brian

Does it actually receive anything in the 800 MHz range? I mean making it scan that range may be easy, however actually hearing something and knowing it is an actual 800MHz transmission is quite another thing.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.10.0.91cvs running on Mandrake Linux 7.2

5183. Re: Freq's used by Osama Bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 05:08:34 UTC
From: Mike <km6ab@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3BAC1CD2.83BCA684@pacbell.net>
Source record: 59499

I hear he uses 147.435mhz and 462.675mhz.....

5184. Re: Freq's used by Osama Bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 05:54:39 UTC
From: t1000@webtv.net
Message-ID: <2479-3BAC279F-218@storefull-104.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 59500

....and I have a bridge to sell you in Brooklyn.

5185. Re: moding corless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 06:01:28 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9oh95f0kri@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59501

if you do, do it. you may be able to make it like a wireless network in a way. but you may be able to do it. may have to open up the bandwith a bit. and such. but. never know. try it and see. I know the before modems were very popular. I know you were able to use your ear piece and mic for rx and tx. but max bandwidth was 2400 baud. maybe if you found a discriminator point. I forget what the other word is for discriminator tap. for the rx. and un filter the mic. you may get faster speed.

Dev

"kilomit" <kilomit@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:501fd2a.0109210800.12df8527@posting.google.com...
> I'm thinking about buying a cheap cordless phone and modifying it so I
> can use it as a sort of wireless modem. All I really need it to do is
> to relay the dial tone b/t the laptop and base station.

5186. Re: sky spy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 08:47:26 UTC
From: haro <haroa3@blueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <yeYq7.6287$cZ6.648263@news1.cableinet.net>
Source record: 59502

I want it ok
"Tim" <nightwatch01@home.com> wrote in message
news:3BAB7991.1338360B@home.com...
> > Hi has any one got a copy of skyspy I could try?
>
> Not I, why?
>
> Bye
>
> > haroa3@blueyonder.co.uk
>
> --
> Nightwatch01@home.com

5187. Re: how good are portable wideband receivers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 09:40:30 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922054030.29140.00000122@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 59503

SOMETIMES, THATS TRUE.., BUT I HAVE AN ICOM R-1 HANDHELD.., AND HEAR TONS OF TRAFFIC, ON SW, LW..., BUT IT DEPENDS ON YOUR ANTENNA, MAINLY, CHIEF!

IT HAS TO BE FOR THAT BAND.., UNLESS YA BUY A 'SPECIALTY' ONE..

'D SUGGEST A WATSON HIGH-GAINER, FOR MAXIMUM RECEPTION!

5188. Re: newbie scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 09:44:15 UTC
From: Fred <vger099@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3BAC5D6C.7C0505ED@swbell.net>
Source record: 59505

2 problems
1. sounds like they are on a trunking system. my trunking scanner has to be programmed for the trunking system I want to hear. It was more trouble to program than I cared to invest. Check your manual.

2. dispatcher may be closer and/or transmitting at higher power than mobile units.

Fred

"James M. McNamara" wrote:

> I posted this @ other newsgroup and didn't get a response
> so I thought I'd give this group a try.
>
> I have a BC780XLT Trunk Tracker III digital scanner and am
> trying to listen to fire, rescue, and state police. I can listen to
> some conversations on the scanner but in the middle of the conversation
> they switch and go elsewhere. Can someone give me some tips.
> I'm mostly interested in the state police. I can't figure out why I
> can here the dispatchers and not the car. The local troopers
> headquarters is in Raybrook NY.
>
> Thanks
> jim

5189. Re: Freq's used by Osama Bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 09:44:43 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922054443.29140.00000123@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 59504

Loks like an ICOM, or a GE Portable he's using, to me.

Maybe 800 MHZ Freq., as in FROM 800-806, Public-Service band, not reachable, thru most scanner/Receivers,BUT THAT WOULD BE LOCAL, I.E., MOUNTAINS in afghanistan, or--->

Lower Band, for Distance...., perhaps a Military 30-32 MHZ., in 20 or 40 khz. stepped Frequencies, for Longer distance(s)!

5190. Re: Freq's used by Osama Bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 09:45:27 UTC
From: Fred <vger099@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3BAC5DB4.E412EB4D@swbell.net>
Source record: 59506

even if you could get him, do you understand arabic?

Black Out wrote:

> News file videos of Osama Bin Laden talking on a two-way radio.
> The antenna appears to be 18" to 24" inches long.
>
> what frequencies could Osama Bin Laden be using?

5191. Re: Radio Shack scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 10:24:04 UTC
From: Fred <vger099@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <3BAC66C1.E38C36D@swbell.net>
Source record: 59507

I have the PRO91 also. Works great but I could have saved some money and got a non trunking unit. We have trunking systems being used here in St. Louis but it's a major pain to program so I don't use it. Don't spend the money for a trunking unit unless you are into this hardcore. I'm more of a casual listener.

Fred

Jaypeggin wrote:

> Been thinking about a new hand held scanner.Any idea what radio shack portables
> would be a good buy. Anyone own one out there that can give me a performance
> report. Thanks alot
> Dan in NY
> jaypeggin@aol.com

5192. Re: how good are portable wideband receivers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 11:37:08 UTC
From: Wayne Barnhart <wb7whi@home.com>
Message-ID: <EJ_q7.26613$QK.21559062@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 59508

I use an R10 and it sole depends on the antenna for shortwave and hambands

Wayne

--
Wayne WB7WHI
Spokane, Wa.

"Turridro22" <turridro22@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010922000901.28703.00000160@mb-fs.aol.com...
> I'd like to get a small wideband receiver like the icom r2 or the r10 or
one of
> the Yaesus. Is it true that they are poor on shortwave even with an
external
> long wire? Please advise.

5193. Re: how good are portable wideband receivers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 11:37:57 UTC
From: Wayne Barnhart <wb7whi@home.com>
Message-ID: <pK_q7.26614$QK.21559959@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 59509

any more information on this watson high-gainer?

Wayne

--
Wayne WB7WHI
Spokane, Wa.

"PVILLE666" <pville666@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010922054030.29140.00000122@mb-mr.aol.com...
> SOMETIMES, THATS TRUE.., BUT I HAVE AN ICOM R-1 HANDHELD.., AND HEAR TONS
OF
> TRAFFIC, ON SW, LW..., BUT IT DEPENDS ON YOUR ANTENNA, MAINLY, CHIEF!
>
> IT HAS TO BE FOR THAT BAND.., UNLESS YA BUY A 'SPECIALTY' ONE..
>
> 'D SUGGEST A WATSON HIGH-GAINER, FOR MAXIMUM RECEPTION!

5194. For Sale: HandHeld EDACS Radio 800MHz IPE 200

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 12:23:17 UTC
From: McGuyver <mcguyver@cfl.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Vo%q7.212711$8c3.34216862@typhoon.tampabay.rr.com>
Source record: 59510
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,misc.industry.utilities.electric,rec.ham-radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have a MINT IPE 200 Ericsson Handheld EDACS Radio with new battery and charger / antenna. Unit works flawlessly, battery hold great charge. photo upon request. Unit has nice LCD readout with DTMF keypad... Model IPE- 200 DTMF 800 .6W KRD 103 124/ 2

Best offer over $300, will consider trades for R10 unblocked or Yaesu FT-50r
photo at
http://home.cfl.rr.com/mcguyver/EDACS.jpg

mcguyver@cfl.rr.com

5195. Looking for freqs in VT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 12:23:30 UTC
From: inkaddict@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922082330.12374.00000195@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 59511

Hi just moved up to Morrisville VT and would like to know if anyone has any freqs. for around here. I looked in police call but that hasn't turned up much.
Thanks
Bob

5196. Re: This may sound wierd but....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 13:02:03 UTC
From: Danny R Barnes <DannyBarnes@EV1.Net>
Message-ID: <3BAC8BCB.27DF0A96@EV1.Net>
Source record: 59512
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I vote to send bill clinton, the ex-pres commie.

bite me wrote:

> Since any form of a homosexual act is forbidden
> in Islam....
>
> If it's possible to have bin laden and his followers
> raped in the ass and broadcasted by satellite throughout
> the globe his own people will shun and try to kill them.
>
> I know it's strange.. But come on.. Its BRILLIANT!

--
"Why do liberals think it's better for a
woman to be raped and murdered than for
a raping murderer to be shot?"

5197. Re: Charleston SC Police and EMS Truck ID's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 13:10:05 UTC
From: jamulter@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922091005.20414.00000311@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 59513

> I'm looking for the id codes for the various Police and EMS and even county trunking
(for Charleston, SC).

Subscribe to the Charleston-Scan list on Yahoogroups.com. You'll find frequencies / talkgroups / information for the city, county and SCANA trunked systems and sites.
Some of the members are mil-air enthusiasts, and there is also information on Beaufort NAS and Charleston AFB.

Paul is the Charleston-Scan list owner. His personal site has alot of the information above, including a link to subscribe to the list:

http://www.webgroomers.com/chs-scan/index.html

(the above URL address should be one, continuous line)

Jeff Multer
Fort Mill, S.C.

5198. Re: Freq's used by Osama Bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 13:29:12 UTC
From: Jeff Gaines <jeff@jgaines.co.uk>
Message-ID: <2g4pqt8c8gaom9h901kli79onpjfsbl4hq@4ax.com>
Source record: 59514

On Fri, 21 Sep 2001 23:57:30 GMT, Black Out <Black
Out@spamblock.home.net> wrote:

>News file videos of Osama Bin Laden talking on a two-way radio.
>The antenna appears to be 18" to 24" inches long.
>
>what frequencies could Osama Bin Laden be using?

He's probably listening to the 1420.40575 MHz hydrogen line in
anticipation...

5199. Re: Speech Inversion Descrambling - invert.zip (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 13:34:31 UTC
From: "Volker Tonn [odo]" <screwdriver@mailberlin.net>
Message-ID: <3BAC9367.241B4ADF@mailberlin.net>
Source record: 59515

RF Burn wrote:

[fullquote snipped...]

Anything else to say?
:-)

odo

5200. Re: how good are portable wideband receivers?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 13:56:14 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <2M0r7.26442$z7.5918422@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59516

I can receive plenty of short wave with the RS center-loaded telescopic whip (20-006) and with some different dipole and long wire setups with my Yaesu VR-500. I have also used it to decode amateur RTTY using the sound card with a long wire antenna. If short wave is your real interest, the VR-500 is NOT the best choice, but it receives about as well as my Grundig Porsche shortwave radio, and it offers the flexibility for both different antenna arrangements, it has an attenuator function for when you're using long wires, and it has very fine frequency resolution (down to 50 Hz steps), SSB decoding and a CW filter for listening to the HF amateur activity. The IC-R2 doesn't do SSB, but it's a lot cheaper and an excellent radio overall.

- Doug

"Turridro22" <turridro22@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010922000901.28703.00000160@mb-fs.aol.com...
> I'd like to get a small wideband receiver like the icom r2 or the r10 or
one of
> the Yaesus. Is it true that they are poor on shortwave even with an
external
> long wire? Please advise.

5201. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 14:20:09 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922102009.05780.00000230@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 59518

THE E.C.P.A. ACT, (NEWT GINGRICH)..THNAK YOU VERY MUCH...IMPOSES 'SEVERE'
PENALTIES, ON MONITORING/DIVULGENCE, AND "EVEN" POSSESSSING A NON-FCC TYPE
-APPROVED DEVICE...

5202. Re: Speech Inversion Descrambling - invert.zip (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 14:21:39 UTC
From: "Volker Tonn [odo]" <screwdriver@mailberlin.net>
Message-ID: <3BAC9E73.AA4B0043@mailberlin.net>
Source record: 59517

RF Burn wrote:

> actually I posted invert.zip, but it looks as if my server does not
> allow binary postings to a non binary group.

...and thats for good reason.
Do post in plain text only to the usenet please. No HTML and no
attachments please. Maybe anyone has a URL to post it here.

thanks,
odo

5203. Re: newbie scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 14:23:31 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922102331.05780.00000232@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 59519

YES...FIRST....PROGRAM-IN 'ALL' OF THE FREQ'S , USED IN THAT BAND...,(KEEP IN SAME SCAN BANK).. THEN...MAKE SURE, DELAY ISN'T ON...,
..WORKS GREAT FOR MY PRO-94!

5204. Re: Freq's used by Osama Bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 14:34:15 UTC
From: scosgt@worldnet.att.net
Message-ID: <3baca0c9.663176@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 59520

He is listening to NOAA weather radio.
Forecast for Afghanistan is 40,000 degrees F

On Fri, 21 Sep 2001 23:57:30 GMT, Black Out <Black
Out@spamblock.home.net> wrote:

>News file videos of Osama Bin Laden talking on a two-way radio.
>The antenna appears to be 18" to 24" inches long.
>
>what frequencies could Osama Bin Laden be using?

5205. FS or Trade Motorola Radios

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 15:11:47 UTC
From: Stacey Smith <yecats6569@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <oW1r7.8820$V9.932095@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 59522
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.vhf-uhf,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

2ea uhf ht1000 16ch $275ea or $500 for both
1ea uhf m120 2ch 45 watt $175
1ea vhf m120 2ch 45 watt $175
1ea uhf sm50 2ch 25 watt $ 125

will trade for car audio equipment, vhf gp68 or cp50, digital camera, or you can make offer on all of the equipment or part.

5206. LNIB Uniden 245+Extras FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 15:14:38 UTC
From: John Miller <bfdp1@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <7U1r7.360$8W.120544@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 59521

I have a Uniden 245 scanner (new in 8-01) with heavy-duty leather carry case and swivel. 1600 ma nickle metal hydride battery. Original 600ma uncharged battery and wall charger also. All papers, box, receipt, manuals, freq guide, etc.

$195+ $ 10 insured/shipping.

5207. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 17:07:25 UTC
From: Tim <hnet11@home.com>
Message-ID: <hz3r7.26848$QK.21962973@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 59523
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Not only did the FCC make it illegal but you can't buy a radio in the US capable of receiving cell freqs unless you have a special permit. But they are sold in other countries around the world.

From what I understand, Ebay doesn't allow modified or modifyable radios to be auctioned as well.

Quite frankly, phone conversations are mostly boring but about 12 years ago I heard this hot little neighbor gal next door to me having phone sex. It was pretty intense.

> As far as scanners in the United States go, the FCC has made it
> illegal to intentionally monitor *any* cordless/cell phone freqs. etc!
>
> X
>

5208. FS: Optoelectronics Active Preselector

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 17:10:06 UTC
From: Greg Ottria <Greg@Ottria.net>
Message-ID: <OB3r7.33984$L%5.24371334@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 59524
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.amateur.swap,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Unit is in new condition and just received a new NiCad battery pack and firmware update from Opto. Below is the link to Opto's site from more info.

http://www.optoelectronics.com/aps105.htm

Opto's sale price is $799
Asking $450

Greg Ottria KE6PTP
San Leandro California

5209. Re: This may sound wierd but....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 17:10:37 UTC
From: AC Smith <chevyorange2@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <B7D2141D.2655%chevyorange2@yahoo.com>
Source record: 59525
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

> From: Danny R Barnes <DannyBarnes@EV1.Net>
> Newsgroups: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner
> Date: Sat, 22 Sep 2001 08:02:03 -0500
> Subject: Re: This may sound wierd but....
>
> I vote to send bill Clinton, the ex-pres commie.

Along with George Senior 'cause he didn't finish the job.

5210. Re: moding corless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 17:14:18 UTC
From: Tim <hnet11@home.com>
Message-ID: <KF3r7.26864$QK.21976378@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 59526

Get or build a pbx simulator if you want to simulate dial tone but it's not necessary as modems can be programmed to blind dial. All you really need to do is simulate the 9vdc off hook voltage. A 9v battery works well for this.

"kilomit" <kilomit@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:501fd2a.0109210800.12df8527@posting.google.com...
> I'm thinking about buying a cheap cordless phone and modifying it so I
> can use it as a sort of wireless modem. All I really need it to do is
> to relay the dial tone b/t the laptop and base station.

5211. Icom R10 4 sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 17:15:42 UTC
From: "R.S" <rsesq@btopenworld.com>
Message-ID: <9oih09$6if$1@uranium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 59527

Immaculate condition Icom IC-R10 for sale
Comes with antenna, ni-cads, charger, belt-clip and manual, (manual is a copy).Also included is the PC clone cable and R-10 software for windows
Radio is in PERFECT working order and in excellent condition. European model (unblocked)
£170.00p inc UK postage. No Offers
Would trade for Alinco DJ-X10

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.281 / Virus Database: 149 - Release Date: 18/09/01

5212. Re: Freq's used by Osama Bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 17:33:48 UTC
From: WXFREQRS <wxfreqrs@datasync.com>
Message-ID: <3BACCB7C.79172083@datasync.com>
Source record: 59528

DONT have to
RECORD IT and send off for translation
don

Fred wrote:

> even if you could get him, do you understand arabic?
>
> Black Out wrote:
>
> > News file videos of Osama Bin Laden talking on a two-way radio.
> > The antenna appears to be 18" to 24" inches long.
> >
> > what frequencies could Osama Bin Laden be using?

--
LETS ROLL

http://www.powmiaff.org/blackout.html The internet BLACKOUT PROJECT
http://www.angelfire.com/ms2/paswx for graphical presentation of my wx
station (LIVE) well almost
http://www.wunderground.com/weatherstation/WXDailyHistory.asp?ID=KMSPASCA1
text on wxsta
http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Valley/6430/ my home page
http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Lane/8309/ DARLA's Christmas Page
kb5fhx(MSNIM)
115064609 (ICQ)

5213. Re: Speech Inversion Descrambling - invert.zip (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 17:33:57 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9oihnq01ao9@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59530

invert is ok. but I like invert2. and there are other names for it. I prefer it waaay more then the smaller sized invert. the invert2 is um. 1.5 megs I think.

"Volker Tonn [odo]" <screwdriver@mailberlin.net> wrote in message news:3BAC9E73.AA4B0043@mailberlin.net...
> RF Burn wrote:
>
> > actually I posted invert.zip, but it looks as if my server does not
> > allow binary postings to a non binary group.
>
> ...and thats for good reason.
> Do post in plain text only to the usenet please. No HTML and no
> attachments please. Maybe anyone has a URL to post it here.
>
> thanks,
> odo
>

5214. Re: newbie scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 17:40:14 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9oii3j01b82@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59532

no offence. but for the last year. I have always noticed you TYPING like that. very hard to read. hehe. but anyways. you may not have the trunking option set right. or as pville says. program all the freqs that company uses. usually 24 channels or so. sometimes more sometimes less.

"PVILLE666" <pville666@aol.com> wrote in message news:20010922102331.05780.00000232@mb-mf.aol.com...
> YES...FIRST....PROGRAM-IN 'ALL' OF THE FREQ'S , USED IN THAT BAND...,(KEEP IN
> SAME SCAN BANK).. THEN...MAKE SURE, DELAY ISN'T ON...,
> ..WORKS GREAT FOR MY PRO-94!

5215. Re: sky spy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 17:42:30 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3BACCD84.C3EAD94B@home.com>
Source record: 59529

> Hi,

What does that software do? Thanks Mike

5216. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 17:44:50 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9oiic801bju@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59533
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

exactly what he said. in canada it is not illegal to listen. once you tape.
you need permission form both parties. recording I think is almost the same
law everywhere. but listeningmay be different.

"X" <y@z.com> wrote in message
news:606pqt4f40du7bden7djit43dldorevj9i@4ax.com...
> You're mixing apples and oranges. Taping conversations; such as,
> telephone calls, private meetings and private conversations come under
> the wire tap laws. You have one-party states and two party states.
> In one-party states (Oregon, Pennsylvania, Virginia, DC, etc), only
> one party *involved* in the conversation needs to know that it is
> being taped for it to be legal. In two-party states (Maryland, and
> others), *ALL* parties involved in the conversation *must* know that
> it's being taped for it to be legal.... ask Linda Tripp.
>
> As far as scanners in the United States go, the FCC has made it
> illegal to intentionally monitor *any* cordless/cell phone freqs. etc!
>
> X
>
>
> On Sat, 22 Sep 2001 03:05:46 -0700, .l. <.l.@.l.> wrote:
>
> >>>>Danny wrote:
> >>>>And is it really illegal to listen to cordless phone conversations in
the
> >>>>U.S.? I'd imagine if just listening were illegal-they'd block out
those
> >>>>frequencies in the 46.610 range.
> >
> >>>.l. wrote:
> >>>It didn't use to be, but it is now, as of a few years ago, thanks to
> >>>the greedy cellular industry. They also managed to get laws passed
> >>>that got the cellular freqs blocked from scanners. However, they
> >>>don't much care about cordless phone freqs on scanners. Those phones
> >>>aren't part of their business.
> >
> >>EEng wrote:
> >>It is not illegal to listen to any cordless/wireless service.
> >>Cordless/wireless is the airway and public domain UNLESS that wireless
> >>service is proprietary. Since telephones, cell or not are not
> >>proprietary, they are public domain. What is not permissable is to use
> >>taped information in court, but it is not illegal. In the state of

> >>Oregon, it is completely legal to tape any phone conversation WITHOUT
> >>informing the other party. Oregon works on the theory that you have
> >>NO right to expect security in a public communication device.
> >
> >You obviously don't know what you're talking about. Go ask in one of
> >the scanner newsgroups, they'll set you straight.
> >(Ok, set this EEng guy straight, all you scanner listeners)
>

5217. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 17:47:32 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9oiih901bqr@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59534
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

so what are you talking about. cordless or cell. you were saying cells are illegal. but you listen to cordless ? I am lost on your post. but. EBAY will not even allow canadian radios. Some loser from one of the news groups told ebay about my pcr-100 being canadian and what that meant. They deleted it right away. Move to a true free country if you want to listen to anything you want. except decoding encrypted signals.

"Tim" <hnet11@home.com> wrote in message
news:hz3r7.26848$QK.21962973@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com...
> Not only did the FCC make it illegal but you can't buy a radio in the US
> capable of receiving cell freqs unless you have a special permit. But
they
> are sold in other countries around the world.
>
> From what I understand, Ebay doesn't allow modified or modifyable radios
to
> be auctioned as well.
>
> Quite frankly, phone conversations are mostly boring but about 12 years
ago
> I heard this hot little neighbor gal next door to me having phone sex. It
> was pretty intense.
>
>
>
> > As far as scanners in the United States go, the FCC has made it
> > illegal to intentionally monitor *any* cordless/cell phone freqs. etc!
> >
> > X
> >
>
>
>

5218. Re: Charleston SC Police and EMS Truck ID's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 17:52:49 UTC
From: Maverick <c17clan@aol.com>
Message-ID: <Rd4r7.46477$xB1.12217914@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 59531

Cool I'll go and check it out!

Maverick
"Jeff Multer" <jamulter@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010922091005.20414.00000311@mb-ch.aol.com...
> > I'm looking for the id codes for the various Police and EMS and even
county
> trunking
> (for Charleston, SC).
>
> Subscribe to the Charleston-Scan list on Yahoogroups.com. You'll find
> frequencies / talkgroups / information for the city, county and SCANA
trunked
> systems and sites.
> Some of the members are mil-air enthusiasts, and there is also information
on
> Beaufort NAS and Charleston AFB.
>
> Paul is the Charleston-Scan list owner. His personal site has alot of the
> information above, including a link to subscribe to the list:
>
> http://www.webgroomers.com/chs-scan/index.html
>
> (the above URL address should be one, continuous line)
>
> Jeff Multer
> Fort Mill, S.C.
>

5219. Re: Freq's used by Osama Bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 18:34:23 UTC
From: KOBL <OIJ@KOBL.com>
Message-ID: <PQ4r7.15467$RS3.7861522@news1.elcjn1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 59535

Laden was a part of the Freedom Fighters fighthing the Russians. At that
time
I had herd the Freedom Fighters where using Amatuer Radios & business
radios.
The radio in the photo looks like an Amatuer Radio witha long 2m/440 whip.

"J Rob" <jrobmills@aol.comrx320> wrote in message
news:20010921214716.07762.00000052@mb-cf.aol.com...
> >News file videos of Osama Bin Laden talking on a two-way radio.The
antenna
> appears to be 18" to 24" inches long.<
>
> Don't think that's new video, think they have been showing that film about
as
> long as Bin Laden has been making headlines. I've noticed that antenna in
the
> past. Suspect it's low vhf but also suspect he changes frequently.
>
>
>
> Rob Mills ~~~
>
> RX-320, NC-140, BC-895XLT, Pro-91
>
>
>
>

5220. Re: Speech Inversion Descrambling - invert.zip (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 19:36:22 UTC
From: "Volker Tonn [odo]" <screwdriver@mailberlin.net>
Message-ID: <3BACE836.4702BFD1@mailberlin.net>
Source record: 59536

Dev wrote:

> invert is ok. but I like invert2. and there are other names for it. I prefer
> it waaay more then the smaller sized invert. the invert2 is um. 1.5 megs I
> think.

For I have no use for these programs as speech inversion is very nearly not in
use in germany I have not testet the invert and invert2 progs.
But if you have a URL it would be nice to give it to the community.

odo

5221. BC200XLT wont Work HELP!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 20:48:15 UTC
From: David Trickle <gumby1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3bacf9ee$0$93671$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 59537

I have a BC200XLT that scans Ok. I can input Frequencies OK. If I turn the squelch control I can hear the noise. I can not receive my local traffic. The PD and Fire are in the 155 Mhz range (of cource). I hear nothing. Another scanner right next to it will pick up these frequencies. I have put the scanner in the test mode so some channels have weird frequencies in them and I have heard squelch on a few of those channels and at one time I even heard a voice. What should I be looking at. I do have the schematic and I do know a LITTLE about electronics. Please do not refer me to the online tips for the BC200XLT.I have read them all. The problem with the loss of audio and dial lamp (Q201 being the problem) is not the problem. I have audio and the back light works. If you have any ideas drop me a message. If you would like to e-mail me feel free. dtrickle@coredcs.com Thanks for at least reading this message.

5222. FS: ICOM IC-R100 COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 21:36:53 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3BAD0374.D9A4C0A0@home.com>
Source record: 59538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

I have for sale a mint Icom IC-R100 Communications Receiver. This radio has never been mobile and I am a non smoker. I have the box, owners manual, sales brochure and all included accessories. The R100 covers 0.1-800MHz and 900-1856MHz in the AM, FM and wide FM modes.
I am asking $425.00 plus shipping from 48026.

5223. San Luis Obispo County Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 21:39:24 UTC
From: Mlew750 <mlew750@tcsn.net>
Message-ID: <ka9r7.4519$xK3.110891@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 59541

Could anyone please post these freqs please..or email them to me
mlew750@tcsn.net
thank you :)

5224. FS: ICOM IC-R100 COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 21:50:39 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3BAD06AE.193630B4@home.com>
Source record: 59539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have for sale a mint Icom IC-R100 Communications Receiver. This radio has never been mobile and I am a non smoker. I have the box, owners manual, sales brochure and all included standard accessories. The R-100 covers 0.1-800MHz in the AM, FM and FM wide modes.
I am asking $425.00 plus shipping from 48026.

5225. test Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-22 23:16:06 UTC
From: av8tor@licensed4fun.com
Message-ID: <WY8r7.43724$Ah.2411806756@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 59540
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

In article <9oiih901bqr@enews3.newsguy.com>, . says...
>
>so what are you talking about. cordless or cell. you were saying cells are
>illegal. but you listen to cordless ? I am lost on your post. but. EBAY will
>not even allow canadian radios. Some loser from one of the news groups told
>ebay about my pcr-100 being canadian and what that meant. They deleted it
>right away. Move to a true free country if you want to listen to anything
>you want. except decoding encrypted signals.
>
>"Tim" <hnet11@home.com> wrote in message
>news:hz3r7.26848$QK.21962973@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com...
>> Not only did the FCC make it illegal but you can't buy a radio in the US
>> capable of receiving cell freqs unless you have a special permit. But
>they
>> are sold in other countries around the world.
>>
>> From what I understand, Ebay doesn't allow modified or modifyable radios
>to
>> be auctioned as well.
>>
>> Quite frankly, phone conversations are mostly boring but about 12 years
>ago
>> I heard this hot little neighbor gal next door to me having phone sex. It
>> was pretty intense.
>>
>>
>>
>> > As far as scanners in the United States go, the FCC has made it
>> > illegal to intentionally monitor *any* cordless/cell phone freqs. etc!
>> >
>> > X
>> >
>>
>>
>>

5226. Watson W889 Antenna for auction on EBAY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 01:18:28 UTC
From: Dave Staples <dasflies1@home.com>
Message-ID: <ELar7.40717$5A3.14076740@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 59542

Im auctioning a Watson 889 antenna on ebay.
This antenna is about one month old and is in very good condition.
The bidding starts at 25.00
Have Fun!
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1277768807

Thanks
Dave Staples
dasflies1@home.com

5227. Re: Freq's used by Osama Bin Laden

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 01:19:25 UTC
From: noemailedreplysplz@verizon.net
Message-ID: <9ojdat$cd1kb$10@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 59543

`□ □□□□□ □□□□ □□ □□□ □□□ □□□□□□□□□□ □□□□□`

```
In article <3BACCB7C.79172083@datasync.com>, wxfreqrs@datasync.com says...
>
>DONT have to
>RECORD IT and send off for translation
>don
>
>
>Fred wrote:
>
>> even if you could get him, do you understand arabic?
>>
>> Black Out wrote:
>>
>> > News file videos of Osama Bin Laden talking on a two-way radio.
>> > The antenna appears to be 18" to 24" inches long.
>> >
>> > what frequencies could Osama Bin Laden be using?
>
>--
>LETS ROLL
>
>http://www.powmiaff.org/blackout.html The internet BLACKOUT PROJECT
>http://www.angelfire.com/ms2/paswx for graphical presentation of my wx
>station (LIVE) well almost
>http://www.wunderground.com/weatherstation/WXDailyHistory.asp?ID=KMSPASCA1
>text on wxsta
>http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Valley/6430/ my home page
>http://www.geocities.com/Heartland/Lane/8309/ DARLA's Christmas Page
>kb5fhx(MSNIM)
>115064609 (ICQ)
>
>
```

5228. Watson W889 Antenna

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 01:38:40 UTC
From: Dave Staples <dasflies1@home.com>
Message-ID: <A2br7.40757$5A3.14100085@news1.rdc2.pa.home.com>
Source record: 59544

I am auctioning a Watson 889 antenna on EBAY
This antenna is about one month old and is in very good condition.
Bidding starts at 25.00
HAVE FUN!
http://www.live365.com/cgi-bin/play.pls?addr=166.90.143.145:13248&file=filen
ame.pls

Thanks
David Staples
dasflies1@home.com

5229. Re: moding corless

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 03:11:49 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3BAD52F2.A8B25879@home.com>
Source record: 59545

Fart Eddie wrote:

> Do you really think it would be worth the trouble?

Yeah, I agree. Just get a really long silver satin cord and forget about it. I bet you can turn your creativity toward something else. Just my opinion of course. :-). Mike K8MB

>
>
>

5230. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 03:15:06 UTC
From: EEng@nunyabiz.net
Message-ID: <1okqqtg4j7l5qnl2vf4b3ajokebpqtdte4@4ax.com>
Source record: 59546
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sat, 22 Sep 2001 03:05:46 -0700, .l. <.l.@.l.> wrote:

>>>>Danny wrote:
>>>>And is it really illegal to listen to cordless phone conversations in the
>>>>U.S.? I'd imagine if just listening were illegal-they'd block out those
>>>>frequencies in the 46.610 range.
>
>>>.l. wrote:
>>>It didn't use to be, but it is now, as of a few years ago, thanks to
>>>the greedy cellular industry. They also managed to get laws passed
>>>that got the cellular freqs blocked from scanners. However, they
>>>don't much care about cordless phone freqs on scanners. Those phones
>>>aren't part of their business.
>
>>EEng wrote:
>>It is not illegal to listen to any cordless/wireless service.
>>Cordless/wireless is the airway and public domain UNLESS that wireless
>>service is proprietary. Since telephones, cell or not are not
>>proprietary, they are public domain. What is not permissable is to use
>>taped information in court, but it is not illegal. In the state of
>>Oregon, it is completely legal to tape any phone conversation WITHOUT
>>informing the other party. Oregon works on the theory that you have
>>NO right to expect security in a public communication device.
>
>You obviously don't know what you're talking about. Go ask in one of
>the scanner newsgroups, they'll set you straight.
>(Ok, set this EEng guy straight, all you scanner listeners)

TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION

CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents

Subpart A--General

Sec. 15.9 Prohibition against eavesdropping.

Except for the operations of law enforcement officers conducted
under lawful authority, no person shall use, either directly or
indirectly, a device operated pursuant to the provisions of this part
for the purpose of overhearing or recording the private conversations
of others unless such use is authorized by all of the parties engaging
in the conversation.

This would appear to be a sweeping rule, however several States have wording in their laws that amend this FCC Ruling by giving provisos. For the State of Oregon, that proviso is that Oregon is considered a One Party State and is defined as meaning that only ONE of the participants of a phone call must acknowledge and give consent to recording of the conversation, generally conceived as the party placing the call, as opposed to a Two Party state in which all participants must give consent. In this case, the FCC Law regarding eavesdropping is only enacted if NEITHER party is aware of taping or if the phone call crosses state lines in which case the stricter of the states through which the call is conducted becomes jurisdictional. In Oregons case, a 1968 and 1979 law claim the State of Oregon is an ALL Party state meaning all parties must give consent, however in 1999 this ruling was overturned in the Supreme Court of the State of Oregon in Salem, Oregon as being a violation of the State Charter. In any event, in several court cases regarding taping of phone calls (WestLaw), the court found that for the party initiating the call, no law exists to prohibit that party from taping the conversation provided it is local within the state, while at the same time stating that the receiving party must give information stating that the call may be monitored. This covers all hard wired and wireless telephone devices only.

This next section speaks specifically to the manufacture, sale, or modification of scanning receivers as it relates to cell phones. Note that it specifically calls out the receiver manufacturing specifications as it relates to the ability to modify by a user. Nowhere does it make statement that a user may not listen to cell phone calls using older receivers that are able to scan those bands. In these instances, I would agree that FCC 15.9 (above) would apply due to no party involved in the call being made aware. This would certainly constitute a violation of Federal law in this case. However the point being made is that the FCC does not make law regarding the use of existing scanners that do not have those bands blocked prior to establishing new build regulations. Therefore, while it is not illegal to use a scanner that can scan cell phone bands (pre-existing devices only, not modified) it IS illegal under Federal and FCC law to TAPE the call if NEITHER PARTY of the call is aware.

In that respect (scanners) I was incorrect; nonetheless, in the State of Oregon, as long as the party intitiating the call is the one who does the taping, it is legal without informing the receiving party. Any attorney will verify this.

On the same note, using sweeping statements such as "You don't know what you're talking about" is a generality that is offensive. My business is a recognized FCC Compliance Facility which means we conduct FCC testing on various devices for industry compliance and are ourselves required to complete and pass rigid FCC Technical Expertise Compliance Testing on an annual basis. This does not cover FCC Consumer Use Law. Obviously, we must know something about what we're

talking about. Next time, try using "you're wrong on this one"
instead. We all make mistakes, that doesn't mean we have zero
knowledge about the topic.

TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION

CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION

PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents

Subpart B--Unintentional Radiators

Sec. 15.121 Scanning receivers and frequency converters used with
scanning receivers.

(a) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, scanning
receivers and frequency converters designed or marketed for use with
scanning receivers, shall:
(1) Be incapable of operating (tuning), or readily being altered
by
the user to operate, within the frequency bands allocated to the
Cellular Radiotelephone Service in part 22 of this chapter (cellular
telephone bands). Scanning receivers capable of ``readily being
altered
by the user'' include, but are not limited to, those for which the
ability to receive transmissions in the cellular telephone bands can
be
added by clipping the leads of, or installing, a simple component such
as a diode, resistor or jumper wire; replacing a plug-in semiconductor
chip; or programming a semiconductor chip using special access codes
or
an external device, such as a personal computer. Scanning receivers,
and
frequency converters designed for use with scanning receivers, also
shall be incapable of converting digital cellular communication
transmissions to analog voice audio.
(2) Be designed so that the tuning, control and filtering
circuitry
is inaccessible. The design must be such that any attempts to modify
the
equipment to receive transmissions from the Cellular Radiotelephone
Service likely will render the receiver inoperable.
(b) Except as provided in paragraph (c) of this section, scanning
receivers shall reject any signals from the Cellular Radiotelephone
Service frequency bands that are 38 dB or higher based upon a 12 dB
SINAD measurement, which is considered the threshold where a signal
can
be clearly discerned from any interference that may be present.
(c) Scanning receivers and frequency converters designed or
marketed
for use with scanning receivers, are not subject to the requirements
of

paragraphs (a) and (b) of this section provided that they are manufactured exclusively for, and marketed exclusively to, entities described in 18 U.S.C. 2512(2), or are marketed exclusively as test equipment pursuant to Sec. 15.3(dd).
(d) Modification of a scanning receiver to receive transmissions from Cellular Radiotelephone Service frequency bands will be considered
to constitute manufacture of such equipment. This includes any individual, individuals, entity or organization that modifies one or more scanners. Any modification to a scanning receiver to receive transmissions from the Cellular Radiotelephone Service frequency bands voids the certification of the scanning receiver, regardless of the date
of manufacture of the original unit. In addition, the provisions of Sec. 15.23 shall not be interpreted as permitting modification of a scanning receiver to receiver Cellular Radiotelephone Service transmissions.
(e) Scanning receivers and frequency converters designed for use with scanning receivers shall not be assembled from kits or marketed in
kit form unless they comply with the requirements in paragraph (a) through (c) of this section.
(f)(1) Scanning receivers shall have a label permanently affixed to
the product, and this label shall be readily visible to the purchaser at
the time of purchase. The label shall read as follows:
WARNING: MODIFICATION OF THIS DEVICE TO RECEIVE CELLULAR RADIOTELEPHONE SERVICE SIGNALS IS PROHIBITED UNDER FCC RULES AND FEDERAL LAW.
(2) ``Permanently affixed'' means that the label is etched, engraved, stamped, silkscreened, indelibly printed or otherwise permanently marked on a permanently attached part of the equipment or on
a nameplate of metal plastic or other material fastened to the equipment
by welding, riveting, or permanent adhesive. The label shall be designed
to last the expected lifetime of the equipment in the environment in which the equipment may be operated and must not be readily detachable.
The label shall not be a stick-on, paper label.

[64 FR 22561, Apr. 27, 1999]

[[Page 717]]

5231. Looking for active military freqs in SE TX area.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 03:32:13 UTC
From: db77619@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922233213.11771.00000207@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 59447

I am looking for active military freqs in the SE TX area.
Mostly ones related to "Homeland Defense".
I live near Houston,TX(75 miles East of) and have a hard time picking up Ellington ANGB. I have also tried the usual military UHF aero freqs, but don't hear anything.
PLEASE tell me some ACTIVE frequencies I can program into my scanner and listen to.
Thanks.

5232. 900 Mhz Cordless Phone Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 03:38:23 UTC
From: db77619@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010922233823.11771.00000208@mb-ci.aol.com>
Source record: 59448

Can someone tell me the rest of the freqs for the AT&T model 9311 900 Mhz cordless phone? Preferably the base frequencies.
I just recently bought this phone brand new and searched the 900 Mhz CT band w/ my scanner and have the first frequency. Of course I didn't want to fool around w/ using my scanner to find the other 29 freqs!
Please help.
Thanks.

5233. SWAP:Pro 2032 MINT(yes!!) for 10 meter rig

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 05:54:52 UTC
From: sky444nospam@mint.net
Message-ID: <3bad7a42.54263148@news.mint.net>
Source record: 59450

MINT PRO-2032(manual,box,antenna,etc.) with YES on the particular
800mhz frequencies for a HR2510,2600,RCI 2950,etc.....looking for a
MINT 2970...can add cash for right deal on the
2970.......thanks....sky444@mint.net

p.s. might trade for other rigs ,e-mail with offers if interested...

5234. mounting a mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 06:27:42 UTC
From: Enos <lavitaguasto@zdnetonebox.com>
Message-ID: <3BAD80DC.F9C8C06A@zdnetonebox.com>
Source record: 59451

I have a radio shack mobile scanner and a new full size silverado. I'd like to mount the scanner on the floor, but I can't find anyone that makes brackets. Any clues out there?

Thanks for any assistance

--

Ginsberg's Theorem:
1. You can't win.
2. You can't break even.
3. You can't quit the game.

5235. Re: BC200XLT wont Work HELP!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 07:21:32 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010923032132.19622.00000314@mb-mo.aol.com>
Source record: 59452

OK...SOME ADVICE FOR YOU, FIRST!

BEARCAT'S ARE "NOT" SCANNERS.., THEY'RE TOYS!!

IF YOU WANT A RELIABLE SCANNER, BUY YUPITERU, AOR, EVEN EARLIER VERSIONS OF
RADIO-SHACKS, ARE BETTER THAN BEARCAT GARBAGE!!

SECONDLY:
BEARCAT
'S SUFFER FROM 'HORRIBLE' SIGNAL OVERLOAD

THIRD:

THROW THE BEARCAT AWAY..PUT IT ON EBAY FOR SALE.., BUT GET A 'REAL' SCANNER..,
ORRY JUST MY EXPERIENCE!!

5236. Re: *Close* the Mexican Border

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 07:55:32 UTC
From: Columba Bush <columba@eog.state.fl.us>
Message-ID: <Uzgr7.29827$QK.23443235@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 59453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Jeb Bush" <fl_governor@eog.state.fl.us> wrote in message
news:esxq7.64740$dy3.758208@amsnews03.chello.com...
|

Jeb you dummy, you forget to tell your gringos about Azatlan! My peoples they turn America into Yugoslavia. You must warn them!

http://www.aztlan.net/

| "Josey" <joseyrose1@yahoo.com> wrote in message
| news:kCMo7.38530$i96.1237774550@newssvr15.news.prodigy.com...
|
| The September 18, 2001 Los Angeles Times had information that no other "newspaper" has
| yet reported.
|
| "Mexican immigration officials said they had taken into custody a man identified as
| Abdel Salem Imal, 26, near the Piedras Negras border crossing (about 100 miles
| south-west from San Antonio) for carrying apparently false identification indicating
| Brazilian nationality. Police searching Imal's baggage became suspicious after finding
| telephone numbers for Afghanistan, New York, Canada and Germany and separate
| identification showing a different name, Imad Mohammed Abed."
|
| http://www.latimes.com/news/nationworld/nation/la-000074973sep18.story
|
| The reporting of news about who the FBI is seeking and where they came from has been
| something worse than sketchy. That shouldn't be a surprise as these facts are directly
| at odds with the policy of the American two party fraud that has been perpetrated upon
| the American people. If the truth of how these animals got into the country is ever
| focused upon the "open borders" policy that has invited the world to invade will have
to
| end. This would also end the central long term goal of the shadowy "New World Order"
| that was proclaimed by George Bush Sr. back in 1991.
|
| There is further evidence of a possible Mexican entry by the terrorists as well. The
| same Los Angeles Times article reports the arrest of two others in Dallas:
|
| "The FBI office in Dallas reported Monday that two men, Mohammed Abdo and Anwar Al
| Marabi, were arrested over the weekend on immigration violations and were now being
| questioned in connection with the attacks."
|
| Of course no further information is available and you'll have a frustrating time
| locating even this scrap of fact if you look somewhere other than the Los Angeles
Times
| (which did not give any notation as to the geographical proximity of the largely

unheard
| of border crossing known as "Piedras Negras" to San Antonio). However, there was an
| article on the 18th of September in the Washington Post by Mary Beth Sheridan. This
was
| about U.S. Immigration policy and was less than favorable toward the backlash that may
| be coming, but which the Post, Times (both L.A. and N.Y.) and the bogus flag waving TV
| media are profoundly opposed to. Let's examine some of the nuggets of wisdom culled
from
| this piece:
|
| "Fifteen of the 19 hijackers came into the country on tourist or business visas,
| according to the U.S. official who did not want to be identified. Also, at least one
of
| the terrorists entered on an M-1 vocational-training visa, apparently to attend flight
| school, the official said. There was no information on how the other three arrived.
|
| FBI Director Robert S. Mueller III told reporters last week that some of the hijackers
| were "out of status," a phrase meaning that they had either stayed beyond the limits
of
| their visas or sneaked into the country. He provided no further details.
|
| In addition, 49 people who are related in some way to the investigation are in custody
| for possible immigration violations, the Department of Justice announced yesterday.
|
| "We're going to have to do a better job of making sure we know who comes into the
| country, how long they're supposed to be here and whether they've left or not," said
| Rep. Lamar S. Smith (R-Tex.), a member of the House immigration subcommittee.
|
| In addition, he said, Congress needs to improve the system to ensure that visitors
| eventually return home. He noted that about 40 percent of all undocumented immigrants
| are people who came to the United States with visas but didn't leave when the visas
| expired.
|
| The number of people entering the United States to study, visit or work for short
| periods has soared in recent years. From fiscal 1981 until 1998, the latest data
| available, the number of annual admissions of visitors with visas nearly tripled to
| about 30 million, according to INS data."
|
| http://www.washingtonpost.com/wp-dyn/articles/A46769-2001Sep17.html
|
| Clearly the entry point in the future for these monsters of Islam will be through
| Mexico. Canada will tighten up its immigration laws in light of what has happened
| whether that is from domestic embarrassment or pressure from Washington. Mexico though
| cannot do anything about who gets into their country. This "Abdel Salem Imal" would
not
| have been detained if not for the world wide scale of revulsion and the high level of
| consciousness that exists about the attack. Mexico is so ridiculous that a factory
| worker in an "American" automobile assembly plant that once paid American workers $30
an
| hour now pays Mexicans $5 per DAY. A police officer in Mexico does not make anymore

than
| that and most make less. Under normal circumstances this "Abdel Salem Imal" could have
| given the immigration police there (probably making $20 per WEEK!) the equivalent of
$5
| and been on his way to America. These terrorists were paying $15,000 each to learn to
| fly a plane plus their rent in nice middle class enclaves throughout America, all this
| while not working a job to pay for any of this. Mexico is much cheaper to live.
| Assembling "safe houses" and erecting an "underground railroad" through Mexico to
| America is relatively simple and quite cheap for these wealthy, sophisticated
characters
| that are willing to work fulltime to make themselves into a human missile.
|
| America may secure its airports to the point where this cannot happen again but these
| terrorists will not go home or stay there because they cannot steal a jet plane. The
| truck bomb that destroyed the Federal building in Oklahoma City is thought to have
cost
| less than $5,000 to assemble. That is one third the cost of training one human missile
| to fly a jet plane. Imagine two hundred of these guys each driving a Ryder truck into
| several American cities next September 11, 2002? The last truck bomb detonated in the
| now obliterated World Trade Center almost took it out. Imagine four Ryder trucks
rolling
| into an underground garage, one loaded with the ammonium nitrate explosive and the
other
| three loaded floor to ceiling with 55 gallon drums of gasoline. The first truck parks
in
| the center on the top floor of the garage just underneath the first floor of the
| building. The other three trucks then park along side the bomb and one behind. They
then
| gather together like four musketeers for Islam, yell "God is great!" and boooom! A
| wicked, life destroying ball of fire goes straight up through several floors of the
| skyscraper and likely three hours later the building collapses with most people still
| trapped inside.
|
| Sure there is a little wooden gate and a couple of "security" guards at the entrance
to
| the garage but is that going to stop four guys trying to blow themselves up?
|
| It is also important to remember that this is the most rudimentary bomb that could be
| assembled and these individuals have much better capabilities. Many have enough
| education to manufacture a large quantity of C-4 plastic explosive and to acquire
| flammable materials that produce temperatures several thousand degrees higher than
| gasoline or jet fuel.
|
| What about biological agents and nerve gas? These are things which are not beyond
their
| capabilities to produce.
|
| In short, if they can get into America and stay here for months or longer than they
will
| strike again in the future. It is no longer theory, they now have experience. Close

```
the
| border with Mexico, seal it with troops or there will be more lethal incidents in the
| future.
|
|
|
```

5237. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 08:07:41 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9ok4u102r2u@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59454
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

huh ?

> You will find very few cell capable receivers for sale in Canada!

I have seen many. I don't bother listening to them. but I do know there is
more then a few. most 2001 models still are capable. are you sure you aren't
just buying from radio shack ?
I prefer living here in canada anyways

"ZOOM" <kandrparker@sympatico.ca> wrote in message
news:tmdr7.39617$Zb4.4383857@news20.bellglobal.com...
> You will find very few cell capable receivers for sale in Canada! Yes
it's
> illegal to listen even here in Canada. The law however is somewhat in a
> grey area here. Frankly if listening to other peoples' cell calls do
> something for you then go ahead. As long as you don't record and use the
> info for some sinister purpose, no one will be the wiser. Eventually all
> cells will be digital and no one will be able to decode them unless
someone
> comes out with a decription algorythm. But with all the different
companies
> out there, it may be difficult to stay on top!
>
> "Dev" <.> wrote in message news:9oiic801bju@enews3.newsguy.com...
> > exactly what he said. in canada it is not illegal to listen. once you
> tape.
> > you need permission form both parties. recording I think is almost the
> same
> > law everywhere. but listeningmay be different.
> >
> > "X" <y@z.com> wrote in message
> > news:606pqt4f40du7bden7djit43dldorevj9i@4ax.com...
> > > You're mixing apples and oranges. Taping conversations; such as,
> > > telephone calls, private meetings and private conversations come under
> > > the wire tap laws. You have one-party states and two party states.
> > > In one-party states (Oregon, Pennsylvania, Virginia, DC, etc), only
> > > one party *involved* in the conversation needs to know that it is
> > > being taped for it to be legal. In two-party states (Maryland, and
> > > others), *ALL* parties involved in the conversation *must* know that
> > > it's being taped for it to be legal.... ask Linda Tripp.
> > >
> > > As far as scanners in the United States go, the FCC has made it
> > > illegal to intentionally monitor *any* cordless/cell phone freqs. etc!
> > >

> > > X
> > >
> > >
> > > On Sat, 22 Sep 2001 03:05:46 -0700, .l. <.l.@.l.> wrote:
> > >
> > > >>>>Danny wrote:
> > > >>>>And is it really illegal to listen to cordless phone conversations
> in
> > the
> > > >>>>U.S.? I'd imagine if just listening were illegal-they'd block out
> > those
> > > >>>>frequencies in the 46.610 range.
> > > >
> > > >>>.l. wrote:
> > > >>>It didn't use to be, but it is now, as of a few years ago, thanks
to
> > > >>>the greedy cellular industry. They also managed to get laws
passed
> > > >>>that got the cellular freqs blocked from scanners. However, they
> > > >>>don't much care about cordless phone freqs on scanners. Those
phones
> > > >>>aren't part of their business.
> > > >
> > > >>EEng wrote:
> > > >>It is not illegal to listen to any cordless/wireless service.
> > > >>Cordless/wireless is the airway and public domain UNLESS that
wireless
> > > >>service is proprietary. Since telephones, cell or not are not
> > > >>proprietary, they are public domain. What is not permissable is to
use
> > > >>taped information in court, but it is not illegal. In the state of
> > > >>Oregon, it is completely legal to tape any phone conversation
WITHOUT
> > > >>informing the other party. Oregon works on the theory that you
have
> > > >>NO right to expect security in a public communication device.
> > > >
> > > >You obviously don't know what you're talking about. Go ask in one of
> > > >the scanner newsgroups, they'll set you straight.
> > > >(Ok, set this EEng guy straight, all you scanner listeners)
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

5238. Re: Speech Inversion Descrambling - invert.zip (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 08:12:23 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9ok56r02rb2@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59455

I was going to post it on alt.binaries.radio-scanner. but I for some reason cannot post binary. I will retry.

"Volker Tonn [odo]" <screwdriver@mailberlin.net> wrote in message news:3BACE836.4702BFD1@mailberlin.net...
> Dev wrote:
>
> > invert is ok. but I like invert2. and there are other names for it. I prefer
> > it waaay more then the smaller sized invert. the invert2 is um. 1.5 megs I
> > think.
>
> For I have no use for these programs as speech inversion is very nearly not in
> use in germany I have not testet the invert and invert2 progs.
> But if you have a URL it would be nice to give it to the community.
>
> odo
>
>

5239. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 08:46:33 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Jjhr7.741$T24.1733193@typhoon.san.rr.com>
Source record: 59456
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

<EEng@nunyabiz.net> wrote in message
news:1okqqtg4j7l5qnl2vf4b3ajokebpqtdte4@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 22 Sep 2001 03:05:46 -0700, .l. <.l.@.l.> wrote:
>
> >>>>Danny wrote:
> >>>>And is it really illegal to listen to cordless phone conversations in
the
> >>>>U.S.? I'd imagine if just listening were illegal-they'd block out
those
> >>>>frequencies in the 46.610 range.
> >>EEng wrote:
> >>It is not illegal to listen to any cordless/wireless service.
>
>
> TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
>
> CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
>
> PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents

The CFR is all very well, but it's not the law that prohibits cellular and
cordless listening in the US.

You want 18 USC Sec. 2511, the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, which
directly prohibits monitoring cellular, cordless, and some other things.

See: http://uscode.house.gov/usc.htm for a searchable version of the USC.
Search on electronic and communications and privacy and cellular, or just
directly search for title 18, section 2511.

The FCC regulations are simply implimentations of that act, to stop you from
manufacturing a receiver that is designed to monitor cellular.

Yes, the FCC has clarified that 'modifying' a scanner to receive cellular is
'manufacturing' within this rule, and a violation.

5240. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 09:55:42 UTC
From: EEng@nunyabiz.net
Message-ID: <p8crqt439q319mgvjs3u20qo67fgst9loc@4ax.com>
Source record: 59457
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 08:46:33 GMT, "Skip Sanders"
<skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com> wrote:

>
><EEng@nunyabiz.net> wrote in message
>news:1okqqtg4j7l5qnl2vf4b3ajokebpqtdte4@4ax.com...
>> On Sat, 22 Sep 2001 03:05:46 -0700, .l. <.l.@.l.> wrote:
>>
>> >>>>Danny wrote:
>> >>>>And is it really illegal to listen to cordless phone conversations in
>the
>> >>>>U.S.? I'd imagine if just listening were illegal-they'd block out
>those
>> >>>>frequencies in the 46.610 range.
>> >>EEng wrote:
>> >>It is not illegal to listen to any cordless/wireless service.
>>
>>
>> TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
>>
>> CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
>>
>> PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents
>
>The CFR is all very well, but it's not the law that prohibits cellular and
>cordless listening in the US.
>
>You want 18 USC Sec. 2511, the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, which
>directly prohibits monitoring cellular, cordless, and some other things.
>
>See: http://uscode.house.gov/usc.htm for a searchable version of the USC.
>Search on electronic and communications and privacy and cellular, or just
>directly search for title 18, section 2511.
>
>The FCC regulations are simply implimentations of that act, to stop you from
>manufacturing a receiver that is designed to monitor cellular.
>
>Yes, the FCC has clarified that 'modifying' a scanner to receive cellular is
>'manufacturing' within this rule, and a violation.
>
If you read it carefully, you'll see that it specifically details that
USE of the content is illegal, not merely listening in, so I'll say
once more, it is not illegal to listen. Qualifying that, it IS

illegal to convey the content in any manner.

EEng.

5241. Re: mounting a mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 09:57:31 UTC
From: Barry Adair <barry@adair.net>
Message-ID: <fmir7.4196$e37.1040598089@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 59458

```
Velcro, works great anywhere you decide to mount it
"Enos" <lavitaguasto@zdnetonebox.com> wrote in message
news:3BAD80DC.F9C8C06A@zdnetonebox.com...
> I have a radio shack mobile scanner and a new full size silverado. I'd
> like to mount the scanner on the floor, but I can't find anyone that
> makes brackets. Any clues out there?
>
> Thanks for any assistance
>
>
> --
> ---------------------------
> Ginsberg's Theorem:
> 1. You can't win.
> 2. You can't break even.
> 3. You can't quit the game.
> ---------------------------
```

5242. Re: Speech Inversion Descrambling - invert.zip (0/1)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 10:13:08 UTC
From: "Volker Tonn [odo]" <screwdriver@mailberlin.net>
Message-ID: <3BADB5B4.3A727949@mailberlin.net>
Source record: 59459

Dev wrote:

> I was going to post it on alt.binaries.radio-scanner. but I for some reason
> cannot post binary. I will retry.

There are many ISPs and newsservers who are not supporting binary groups at all
because of too much traffic they have to pay for. So all 3 newsservers I have
access to do not support any binary groups :-((

odo

5243. Re: WORLD TRADE CENTER TOWERS AND PENTAGON

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 11:19:21 UTC
From: Tom <nospam-cybernaut@mailbox.gr>
Message-ID: <9okgb2$l2o$1@ulysses.noc.ntua.gr>
Source record: 59460
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

Many innocent Americans die like so many innocent Iraquians like so many innocent Serbians like so many innocent Albanians etc.
My friend the only responsible for this shambles is US foreign policy and don't forget that Bin Landen and his soldiers trained by US Army to fight Russians in
Afganistan some years ago...

Maverick <c17clan@aol.com> wrote in message news:7vpn7.1139$xB1.221779@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> Somebody is going to pay! I will not stand by and let my country go
> under attack. WE need to define this tragedy and punish those who are
> responsible.

5244. Trade Sangean 505 SW Radio for Pro 60

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 13:27:53 UTC
From: "D. Schopp" <dschopp@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <trlr7.8629$W83.810303@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 59461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have a like new Sangean 505 Shortwave radio that I would like to swap for a Radio Shack Pro 60 hand held scanner. Will also consider swapping for other hand held scanners.

The 505 is like new with all original accessories - manual and carrying case. It will be shipped in the original box.

Some of the features of the 505 - 45 memories, 18 SW, 9 MW, 9 FM, and 9 LW. BFO for listening to ssb transmissions. Lighted display, dual clocks, 1 kHz tuning, tuning knob, seek and scan tuning, and FM stereo. Uses 4 XAA batteries which last forever.

For a review of the 505 go to: http://www.rnw.nl/realradio/ats505.html

5245. Re: 900 Mhz Cordless Phone Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 15:09:34 UTC
From: Dupanous Judanovich <dupajuda@email.com>
Message-ID: <OWmr7.125209$K6.55787615@news2>
Source record: 59462

```
Cordless Telephones

043.7200----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
043.7400----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
043.8200----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
043.8400----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
043.9200----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
043.9600----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
044.1200----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
044.1600----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
044.1800----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
044.2000----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
044.3200----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
044.3600----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
044.4000----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
044.4600----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
044.4800----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
046.6100----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
046.6300----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
046.6700----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
046.7100----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
046.7300----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
046.7700----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
046.8300----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
046.8700----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
046.9300----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
046.9700----CORDLESS (CORDLESS TELEPHONE)
902.1000----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 01 - HANDSET 926.1000)
902.1300----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 02 - HANDSET 926.1300)
902.1600----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 03 - HANDSET 926.1600)
902.1900----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 04 - HANDSET 926.1900)
902.2200----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 05 - HANDSET 926.2200)
902.2500----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 06 - HANDSET 926.2500)
902.2800----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 07 - HANDSET 926.2800)
902.3100----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 08 - HANDSET 926.3100)
902.3400----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 09 - HANDSET 926.3400)
902.3700----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 10 - HANDSET 926.3700)
902.4000----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 11 - HANDSET 926.4000)
902.4300----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 12 - HANDSET 926.4300)
902.4600----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 13 - HANDSET 926.4600)
902.4900----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 14 - HANDSET 926.4900)
902.5200----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 15 - HANDSET 926.5200)
902.5500----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 16 - HANDSET 926.5500)
902.5800----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 17 - HANDSET 926.5800)
```

902.6100----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 18 - HANDSET 926.6100)
902.6400----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 19 - HANDSET 926.6400)
902.6700----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 20 - HANDSET 926.6700)
902.7000----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 21 - HANDSET 926.7000)
902.7300----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 22 - HANDSET 926.7300)
902.7600----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 23 - HANDSET 926.7600)
902.7900----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 24 - HANDSET 926.7900)
902.8200----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 25 - HANDSET 926.8200)
902.8500----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 26 - HANDSET 926.8500)
902.8800----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 27 - HANDSET 926.8800)
902.9100----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 28 - HANDSET 926.9100)
902.9400----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 29 - HANDSET 926.9400)
902.9700----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 30 - HANDSET 926.9700)
903.0000----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 31 - HANDSET 927.0000)
903.0300----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 32 - HANDSET 927.0300)
903.0600----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 33 - HANDSET 927.0600)
903.0900----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 34 - HANDSET 927.0900)
903.1200----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 35 - HANDSET 927.1200)
903.1500----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 36 - HANDSET 927.1500)
903.1800----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 37 - HANDSET 927.1800)
903.2100----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 38 - HANDSET 927.2100)
903.2400----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 39 - HANDSET 927.2400)
903.2700----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 40 - HANDSET 927.2700)
903.3000----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 41 - HANDSET 927.3000)
903.3300----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 42 - HANDSET 927.3300)
903.3600----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 43 - HANDSET 927.3600)
903.3900----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 44 - HANDSET 927.3900)
903.4200----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 45 - HANDSET 927.4200)
903.4500----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 46 - HANDSET 927.4500)
903.4800----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 47 - HANDSET 927.4800)
903.5100----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 48 - HANDSET 927.5100)
903.5400----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 49 - HANDSET 927.5400)
903.5700----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 50 - HANDSET 927.5700)
903.6000----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 51 - HANDSET 927.6000)
903.6300----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 52 - HANDSET 927.6300)
903.6600----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 53 - HANDSET 927.6600)
903.6900----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 54 - HANDSET 927.6900)
903.7200----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 55 - HANDSET 927.7200)
903.7500----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 56 - HANDSET 927.7500)
903.7800----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 57 - HANDSET 927.7800)
903.8100----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 58 - HANDSET 927.8100)
903.8400----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 59 - HANDSET 927.8400)
903.8700----CORDLESS (PANASONIC KX-T9000 - 60 - HANDSET 927.8700)
905.6000----CORDLESS (VTECH TROPEZ DX900 - 01 - HANDSET 925.5000)
905.7000----CORDLESS (VTECH TROPEZ DX900 - 02 - HANDSET 925.6000)
905.8000----CORDLESS (VTECH TROPEZ DX900 - 03 - HANDSET 925.7000)
905.9000----CORDLESS (VTECH TROPEZ DX900 - 04 - HANDSET 925.8000)
906.0000----CORDLESS (VTECH TROPEZ DX900 - 05 - HANDSET 925.9000)
906.1000----CORDLESS (VTECH TROPEZ DX900 - 06 - HANDSET 926.0000)
906.2000----CORDLESS (VTECH TROPEZ DX900 - 07 - HANDSET 926.1000)

906.3000----CORDLESS (VTECH TROPEZ DX900 - 08 - HANDSET 926.2000)
906.4000----CORDLESS (VTECH TROPEZ DX900 - 09 - HANDSET 926.3000)
906.5000----CORDLESS (VTECH TROPEZ DX900 - 10 - HANDSET 926.4000)
906.6000----CORDLESS (VTECH TROPEZ DX900 - 11 - HANDSET 926.5000)
906.7000----CORDLESS (VTECH TROPEZ DX900 - 12 - HANDSET 926.6000)
906.8000----CORDLESS (VTECH TROPEZ DX900 - 13 - HANDSET 926.7000)
906.9000----CORDLESS (VTECH TROPEZ DX900 - 14 - HANDSET 926.8000)
907.0000----CORDLESS (VTECH TROPEZ DX900 - 15 - HANDSET 926.9000)
907.1000----CORDLESS (VTECH TROPEZ DX900 - 16 - HANDSET 927.0000)
907.2000----CORDLESS (VTECH TROPEZ DX900 - 17 - HANDSET 927.1000)
907.3000----CORDLESS (VTECH TROPEZ DX900 - 18 - HANDSET 927.2000)
907.4000----CORDLESS (VTECH TROPEZ DX900 - 19 - HANDSET 927.3000)
907.5000----CORDLESS (VTECH TROPEZ DX900 - 20 - HANDSET 927.4000)
925.9750----900 MHZ CORDLESS
926.0250----900 MHZ CORDLESS
926.0750----900 MHZ CORDLESS
926.1250----900 MHZ CORDLESS
926.1750----900 MHZ CORDLESS
926.2250----900 MHZ CORDLESS
926.2750----900 MHZ CORDLESS
926.3250----900 MHZ CORDLESS
926.3750----900 MHZ CORDLESS
926.4250----900 MHZ CORDLESS
926.4750----900 MHZ CORDLESS
926.5250----900 MHZ CORDLESS
926.5750----900 MHZ CORDLESS
926.6250----900 MHZ CORDLESS
926.6750----900 MHZ CORDLESS
926.7250----900 MHZ CORDLESS
926.7750----900 MHZ CORDLESS
926.8250----900 MHZ CORDLESS
926.8875----900 MHZ CORDLESS
927.0875----900 MHZ CORDLESS
927.9875----900 MHZ CORDLESS
927.0375----900 MHZ CORDLESS
927.0875----900 MHZ CORDLESS
927.1375----900 MHZ CORDLESS
927.1875----900 MHZ CORDLESS
927.2375----900 MHZ CORDLESS
927.2875----900 MHZ CORDLESS
927.3375----900 MHZ CORDLESS
927.3875----900 MHZ CORDLESS
927.4375----900 MHZ CORDLESS
927.4875----900 MHZ CORDLESS
927.5375----900 MHZ CORDLESS
927.5875----900 MHZ CORDLESS
927.6375----900 MHZ CORDLESS
927.6875----900 MHZ CORDLESS
927.7375----900 MHZ CORDLESS
927.7875----900 MHZ CORDLESS

927.8375----900 MHZ CORDLESS
927.8875----900 MHZ CORDLESS
927.9375----900 MHZ CORDLESS

"Db77619" <db77619@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010922233823.11771.00000208@mb-ci.aol.com...
> Can someone tell me the rest of the freqs for the AT&T model 9311 900 Mhz
> cordless phone? Preferably the base frequencies.
> I just recently bought this phone brand new and searched the 900 Mhz CT
band w/
> my scanner and have the first frequency. Of course I didn't want to fool
around
> w/ using my scanner to find the other 29 freqs!
> Please help.
> Thanks.

5246. Trade Sangean 505 SW Radio for Handheld Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 15:13:33 UTC
From: "D. Schopp" <dschopp@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <x_mr7.10263$Hx1.943203@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 59463

I have a like new Sangean 505 Shortwave radio that I would like to swap for a Radio Shack Pro 60 hand held scanner.
Will also consider swapping for other hand held scanners.

The 505 is like new with all original accessories - manual and carrying case. It will be shipped in the original box.

Some of the features of the 505 - 45 memories, 18 SW, 9 MW, 9 FM, and 9 LW. BFO for listening to ssb transmissions. Lighted display, dual clocks, 1 kHz tuning, tuning knob, seek and scan tuning, and FM stereo.

For a review of the 505 go to: http://www.rnw.nl/realradio/ats505.html

5247. Re: BC200XLT wont Work HELP!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 15:24:58 UTC
From: lbrcly@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010923112458.07923.00000368@mb-cs.news.cs.com>
Source record: 59464

>IF YOU WANT A RELIABLE SCANNER, BUY YUPITERU, AOR, EVEN EARLIER VERSIONS OF
>RADIO-SHACKS, ARE BETTER THAN BEARCAT GARBAGE!!

MOST OF RADIO SHACKS SCANNERS ARE MADE BY UNIDEN!!!!!!!
ARE YOU SAYING THE BC-780XLT IS GARBAGE TOO??????????

5248. programming instructions for pro-28 handheld?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 16:15:55 UTC
From: ameijers <aemeijers@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <%Unr7.7149$3d2.615261@bgtnsc06-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59465
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I picked up one of these antiques at the auction yesterday- probably paid too much, but that is a different sob story.

Anyway, RS's web page for manuals is down for the last day, at least, and I am itching to try it out. Before I spend too many hours trying to reverse-engineer how to program it, does anyone have a pointer to a FAQ or mirror site with the steps?

Replies via e-mail also, please- my newsfeed is less than reliable.

andy meijers sends....

5249. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 16:21:52 UTC
From: ZOOM <kandrparker@sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <tmdr7.39617$Zb4.4383857@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 59449
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You will find very few cell capable receivers for sale in Canada! Yes it's illegal to listen even here in Canada. The law however is somewhat in a grey area here. Frankly if listening to other peoples' cell calls do something for you then go ahead. As long as you don't record and use the info for some sinister purpose, no one will be the wiser. Eventually all cells will be digital and no one will be able to decode them unless someone comes out with a decription algorythm. But with all the different companies out there, it may be difficult to stay on top!

"Dev" <.> wrote in message news:9oiic801bju@enews3.newsguy.com...
> exactly what he said. in canada it is not illegal to listen. once you tape.
> you need permission form both parties. recording I think is almost the same
> law everywhere. but listeningmay be different.
>
> "X" <y@z.com> wrote in message
> news:606pqt4f40du7bden7djit43dldorevj9i@4ax.com...
> > You're mixing apples and oranges. Taping conversations; such as,
> > telephone calls, private meetings and private conversations come under
> > the wire tap laws. You have one-party states and two party states.
> > In one-party states (Oregon, Pennsylvania, Virginia, DC, etc), only
> > one party *involved* in the conversation needs to know that it is
> > being taped for it to be legal. In two-party states (Maryland, and
> > others), *ALL* parties involved in the conversation *must* know that
> > it's being taped for it to be legal.... ask Linda Tripp.
> >
> > As far as scanners in the United States go, the FCC has made it
> > illegal to intentionally monitor *any* cordless/cell phone freqs. etc!
> >
> > X
> >
> >
> > On Sat, 22 Sep 2001 03:05:46 -0700, .l. <.l.@.l.> wrote:
> >
> > >>>>Danny wrote:
> > >>>>And is it really illegal to listen to cordless phone conversations in
> the
> > >>>>U.S.? I'd imagine if just listening were illegal-they'd block out
> those
> > >>>>frequencies in the 46.610 range.
> > > >

> > >>>.l. wrote:
> > >>>It didn't use to be, but it is now, as of a few years ago, thanks to
> > >>>the greedy cellular industry. They also managed to get laws passed
> > >>>that got the cellular freqs blocked from scanners. However, they
> > >>>don't much care about cordless phone freqs on scanners. Those phones
> > >>>aren't part of their business.
> > >
> > >>EEng wrote:
> > >>It is not illegal to listen to any cordless/wireless service.
> > >>Cordless/wireless is the airway and public domain UNLESS that wireless
> > >>service is proprietary. Since telephones, cell or not are not
> > >>proprietary, they are public domain. What is not permissable is to use
> > >>taped information in court, but it is not illegal. In the state of
> > >>Oregon, it is completely legal to tape any phone conversation WITHOUT
> > >>informing the other party. Oregon works on the theory that you have
> > >>NO right to expect security in a public communication device.
> > >
> > >You obviously don't know what you're talking about. Go ask in one of
> > >the scanner newsgroups, they'll set you straight.
> > >(Ok, set this EEng guy straight, all you scanner listeners)
> >
>
>

5250. Re: mounting a mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 17:28:37 UTC
From: Mark Elie <melie@cybertours.com>
Message-ID: <3bae1b75_1@news.cybertours.com>
Source record: 59466
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I have had good results using a cellular phone articulated stalk type of mount. Just attach the bracket that came with the scanner to the top bracket of the phone mount then screw the phone mount to the floor. After that just use the adjustment points on the stalk to angle the radio to a convenient viewing position.

Regards
Mark

"Barry Adair" <barry@adair.net> wrote in message
news:fmir7.4196$e37.1040598089@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> Velcro, works great anywhere you decide to mount it
> "Enos" <lavitaguasto@zdnetonebox.com> wrote in message
> news:3BAD80DC.F9C8C06A@zdnetonebox.com...
> > I have a radio shack mobile scanner and a new full size silverado. I'd
> > like to mount the scanner on the floor, but I can't find anyone that
> > makes brackets. Any clues out there?
> >
> > Thanks for any assistance
> >
> >
> > --
> > ---------------------------
> > Ginsberg's Theorem:
> > 1. You can't win.
> > 2. You can't break even.
> > 3. You can't quit the game.
> > ---------------------------
>
>

5251. Re: mounting a mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 18:21:52 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <tqsa1j6ept2me7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59467
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Try these URL's for at least some ideas - I'm sure nothing here
is cheap, though...

http://www.gamberjohnson.com/

http://www.ram-mount.com/

http://www.gallsinc.com/

Dennis
Putnam Co NY

==========

"Mark Elie" <melie@cybertours.com> wrote in message
news:3bae1b75_1@news.cybertours.com...
> I have had good results using a cellular phone articulated stalk type of
> mount. Just attach the bracket that came with the scanner to the top
bracket
> of the phone mount then screw the phone mount to the floor. After that
just
> use the adjustment points on the stalk to angle the radio to a convenient
> viewing position.
>
> Regards
> Mark
>
> "Barry Adair" <barry@adair.net> wrote in message
> news:fmir7.4196$e37.1040598089@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com...
> > Velcro, works great anywhere you decide to mount it
> > "Enos" <lavitaguasto@zdnetonebox.com> wrote in message
> > news:3BAD80DC.F9C8C06A@zdnetonebox.com...
> > > I have a radio shack mobile scanner and a new full size silverado.
I'd
> > > like to mount the scanner on the floor, but I can't find anyone that
> > > makes brackets. Any clues out there?
> > >
> > > Thanks for any assistance
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > ---------------------------
> > > Ginsberg's Theorem:
> > > 1. You can't win.
> > > 2. You can't break even.

> > > 3. You can't quit the game.
> > > ---------------------------
> >
> >
>
>

5252. Saving Battery power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 19:52:18 UTC
From: Larry Cohen <ppcdoc@home.com>
Message-ID: <S3rr7.50513$xB1.13374471@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 59468

with my bearcat 235 connected to a cassette player emulator to use the car speakers instead of the internal speaker, how much, if any, battery will I save?

5253. Re: Newbie looking for advice

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 20:08:33 UTC
From: trading22@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <f1f3e978.0109231208.fade6fe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59469

Eric,

Given your specific requirements, you need a Storm Tracker scanner -
For anyone who travels the roads, getting up to date weather and
highway information is crucial to your safety. This scanner (from
Uniden Bearcat) is pre-programmed with 1,583 frequencies covering all
50 states and Canada. Continually scan all seven NOAA weather
frequencies, local police and highway patrol, local news media
coverage, and D.O.T. coverage. With its priority scan feature, you?ll
be alerted to transmissions (via an audible and visual alarm) from
"mobile extender" radios in highway patrol vehicles, regardless of
what form of speed monitoring they?re using. With the severe weather
alert, you?ll know ahead of time if there?s any impending weather in
your immediate area. Interested in traffic reports? Monitor news
frequencies to hear traffic information between radio updates. Bad
winter weather? Listen to state road repair, snow clearing and weigh
station crews for the trouble spots that may lie ahead. The unit
includes a "quick-clip release" dual suction windshield mount,
cigarette lighter adapter plug, hard-wired power cord, 360 degree high
gain flexible rubber antenna and window mount antenna for extended
range.

http://www.qksrv.net/click-749737-514626

---
prs51@hotmail.com (Eric) wrote in message
news:<853b164.0109201047.42994611@posting.google.com>...
> I am looking for a handheld scanner for use in the San Francisco,
> California area as an emergency radio. Specifically, I'd like coverage
> of the police & fire frequencies (do they use "trunking??" do I need
> this feature?) and the AM/FM commercial broadcast bands. A big plus
> would be coverage of the HF bands for BBC coverage and/or commercial
> broadcast TV Audio.
>
> Any recomendations are appreciated :-) Also, are there other bands or
> features I should look for? Are there any sites with table comparisons
> of different models of scanners?
>
> Thanks again,
>
> Eric

5254. Marine Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 20:43:09 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <xPrr7.1186$4Y1.769310@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 59470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Hi all.
I am looking for a decent small size Marine radio that I can use on my aluminum boat.I don't want an ht,as I already have one.I want a mobile style and looking for one that is cheap or you no longer need.The ht one I have is more of a nuisense and gets in the way most of the time.Let me know what you got and your best deal shipped to 01930.Thanks for any help.

5255. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 20:47:01 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <9Trr7.6423$xG6.1990622@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 59472
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

You clearly have no idea what you're talking about. EBay routinely has
sellers remove cell-phone capable radions from auction.

Here is what they say in their seller policy:

"Scanners - Generally, scanning receivers and radios may be listed on eBay.
However, you may not list any scanning receiver or radio that receives
cellular transmissions or has "full 800mz" coverage. "

Now shut the hell up and stop acting like a know it all.

"Never Anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:h9csqtg4udplupv44g3t66vog8u1fki8b5@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 22 Sep 2001 17:07:25 GMT, "Tim" <hnet11@home.com> wrote:
>
> >From what I understand, Ebay doesn't allow modified or modifyable radios
to
> >be auctioned as well.
>
> You understand wrong.
>
>
>
>
>

5256. Re: Canadian Tribute to the United States... CB

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 20:49:52 UTC
From: Dave or Debby <truth@planetc.com>
Message-ID: <3bae4b81@news.planetc.com>
Source record: 59471
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

This was origionally put out by Byron McGreggor, CKLW 800 am Windsor, around
1972. They played it a lot then, with music in the background.
Dave!

"Power House" <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET> wrote in message
news:tq1ed5dr9gqga8@corp.supernews.com...
> I received this in my email this morning and thought that I would share it
> with my fellow hobbyist...
>
>
> America: The Good Neighbor.
>
> Widespread but only partial news coverage was given recently to a
> remarkable editorial broadcast from Toronto by Gordon Sinclair, a Canadian
> television commentator. What follows is the full text of his Trenchant
> remarks as printed in the Congressional Record:
>
> This Canadian thinks it is time to speak up for the Americans as the
most
> generous and possibly the least appreciated people on all the earth.
>
> Germany, Japan and, to a lesser extent, Britain and Italy were lifted
out
> of the debris of war by the Americans who poured in billions of dollars
and
> forgave other billions in debts. None of these countries is today paying
> even the interest on its remaining debts to the United States.
>
> When France was in danger of collapsing in 1956, it was the Americans
who
> propped it up, and their reward was to be insulted and swindled on the
> streets of Paris. I was there. I saw it.
>
> When earthquakes hit distant cities, it is the United States that
hurries
> in to help. This spring, 59 American communities were flattened by
> tornadoes. Nobody helped.
>
> The Marshall Plan and the Truman Policy pumped billions of dollars into
> discouraged countries. Now newspapers in those countries are writing about
> the decadent, warmongering Americans.
>
> I'd like to see just one of those countries that is gloating over the

> erosion of the United States dollar build its own airplane. Does any other
> country in the world have a plane to equal the Boeing Jumbo Jet, the
> Lockheed Tri-Star, or the Douglas DC10?
>
> If so, why don't they fly them? Why do all the International lines
except
> Russia fly American Planes? You talk about Japanese technocracy, and you
get
> radios. You talk about German technocracy, and you get automobiles. You
talk
> about American technocracy, and you find men on the moon - not once, but
> several times - and safely home again.
>
> You talk about scandals, and the Americans put theirs right in the store
> window for everybody to look at. Even their draft-dodgers are not pursued
> and hounded. They are here on our streets, and most of them, unless they
are
> breaking Canadian laws, are getting American dollars from ma and pa at
home
> to spend here.
>
> When the railways of France, Germany and India were breaking down
through
> age, it was the Americans who rebuilt them. When the Pennsylvania Railroad
> and the New York Central went broke, nobody Loaned them an old caboose.
Both
> are still broke.
>
> I can name you 5000 times when the Americans raced to the help of other
> people in trouble. Can you name me even one time when someone else raced
to
> the Americans in trouble? I don't think there was outside help even during
> the San Francisco earthquake.
>
> Our neighbors have faced it alone, and I'm one Canadian who is damned
> tired of hearing them get kicked around. They will come out of this thing
> with their flag high. And when they do, they are entitled to thumb their
> nose at the lands that are gloating over their present troubles. I hope
> Canada is not one of those."
>
> Stand proud, America!
> Wear it proudly!!
>
>
>
>

5257. Re: San Luis Obispo County Freqs

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 21:05:53 UTC
From: Fred Garvin <five_hole35@Shotmail.com>
Message-ID: <R8sr7.47521$Ed3.9646708@typhoon.we.rr.com>
Source record: 59473

http://www.geocities.com/CapeCanaveral/6298/

"Mlew750" <mlew750@tcsn.net> wrote in message
news:ka9r7.4519$xK3.110891@e420r-atl2.usenetserver.com...
> Could anyone please post these freqs please..or email them to me
> mlew750@tcsn.net
> thank you :)
>
>
>

5258. Re: Radio Shack 20-043 vs. AOR DA3000?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 21:11:35 UTC
From: Don Parker <tazman@cox-internet.com>
Message-ID: <tqsju4mkhrbmbf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59474

You really should forward this information to the design engineers at AOL (and several others), who OBVIOUSLY wasted their time with this antenna, because Radio Shack has perfected the discone, and it's all a figment of the users IMAGINATION that the AOL blows the R/S away (for the most part), since all the parameters are etched in stone..... (;->))=
Cheers & beers y'all!
Don

Never Anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:m0csqtordcogooa9ig9pdtnt20t77dui8u@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 20 Sep 2001 08:56:52 -0500, "Don Parker"
> <tazman@cox-internet.com> wrote:
>
> >AOR is twice the price, but twice the quality!! Elements are cut to cover a
> >wider consistent bandwidth,
>
> A discone, by it's design, has a 10:1 freq range.
> NOTHING you do will change that.
>
> > not to mention at least three times the diameter
> >which also helps for broader bandwidth,
>
> The diameter of the radials has nothing to do with bandwidth.
>
> It does, however, make the antenna more sturdy.
>
> >and comes with 50' of coax.
>
> But not good enough coax for 800 mhz and higher freqs.
>
> >AOR takes a TNC connector, while the R/S takes a PL-259
>
> A PL-239 is usually easier for people to install, and sturdier.
> And it makes almost no different for receiving.
>
>
>
>
>
>

5259. Why cannot post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 21:11:53 UTC
From: ET Design <etdesign@shentel.net>
Message-ID: <tqsk0stdvslve4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59475

Why do I get msg, "...not commercial group..." when I try to post a for sale to this group? I see other for sales how come? Help pls. Tnx Ed

5260. Re: mounting a mobile scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 21:12:23 UTC
From: V65MagnaFan <jsturm@home.com>
Message-ID: <Xesr7.37586$g45.16942329@news3.rdc2.on.home.com>
Source record: 59476

Both Durham Radio and Radioworld, in the Toronto area have an inexpensive mount, so any dedicated radio store in the states must have it also.

You get two triangular metal mounts. The top part of each mount attaches to the scanner's bracket holes in the side of its case. A bracket secures the bottom of the scanner case. The isoceles side of the metal triangle has a toothed design that grips the carpet. It holds the scanner at a 45 degree angle so that the control surface and read-out point up at a useful angle. The mount was cheap. And you don't have to drill anything.

"Enos" <lavitaguasto@zdnetonebox.com> wrote in message
news:3BAD80DC.F9C8C06A@zdnetonebox.com...
> I have a radio shack mobile scanner and a new full size silverado. I'd
> like to mount the scanner on the floor, but I can't find anyone that
> makes brackets. Any clues out there?
>
> Thanks for any assistance
>
>
> --
> ---------------------------
> Ginsberg's Theorem:
> 1. You can't win.
> 2. You can't break even.
> 3. You can't quit the game.
> ---------------------------

5261. FA: UNIDEN BC 200XLT Bearcat Scanner BC200xlt 800Mhz YES

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 21:13:49 UTC
From: Feline Technologies <nukingcats@_REMOVETHIS_home.com>
Message-ID: <hgsr7.50081$aZ6.12479198@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 59477
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

Howdy,

For auction, an Oldie, yet a Goodie!! currently $25.00
http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1277433732 --
UNIDEN BC 200XLT Bearcat Scanner

Closes in 3 days and No Reserve. Thanks for looking. I do have other
auctions.

Adam / kc7ljq
nukingcats (at) home (dot) com

5262. FS: Realistic Pro-39 200-channel scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 21:27:04 UTC
From: dss@wongfaye.com
Message-ID: <96d7a6a7.0109231327.827af26@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59478

One of the Radio Shack's classics.
Date code is 3A3
What's included: Scanner, extendable antenna, I will even include the batteries I was using. Manual is available at radioshack.com
Frequency Coverage:
30-50 MHz.50-54 MHz.108-136.975 MHz.137-144 MHz.144-148 MHz.148-174 MHz.380-450 MHz.450-470 MHz.470-512 MHz.806-823.9375 MHz.851-868.9375 MHz.896-960 MHz
Condition: It's in a good condition. It has some scratches due to its use over time. I put it to a good workout. It seems to work fine. You may need to press the program button a little bit harder than the other buttons but besides that it seems to work fine.
Capabilities: I was able to pick up the cell phone "images" in the 852-866 MHz range. It can pick up cordless in the 43-47 MHz range using the included extendable antenna.
Price: $130. I will pay the shipping. I prefer paypal but will also accept money order.
Email me at dss@wongfaye.com if interested. Have plenty of ebay feedbacks.
The picture is located at http://www.geocities.com/cjenson10/pro39.GIF

5263. Re: Saving Battery power?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 21:42:01 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <tqslq8pa5r2166@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59480

You should save some - you'd probably have to have the radio
volume pretty high to hear it from its own speaker in a noisy
environment like a car, while you could have the volume set pretty
low running it thru the car speakers and raising it by the car stereo
volume. I would think much of the power is used by driving the
internal speaker, and the more you turn it up, the more consumed.
Of course, you should also be able to plug the scanner into the lighter
with an adapter and not use the batteries at all in the car.

Dennis
Putnam Co NY

==========

"Larry Cohen" <ppcdoc@home.com> wrote in message
news:S3rr7.50513$xB1.13374471@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> with my bearcat 235 connected to a cassette player emulator to use the
car
> speakers instead of the internal speaker, how much, if any, battery will I
> save?
>
>

5264. Does anyone have a book on scanner repair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 22:23:44 UTC
From: David Trickle <gumby1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3bae61b5$0$35755$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 59481

I have heard of a book called "The Orange Book of Scanner Repair". Does anyone have one? I want to know if it is worth buying? Drop me an email dtrickle@coredcs.com

Thanks

5265. DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 22:39:33 UTC
From: Troy <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3bae64b6$0$18606$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 59482
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Hi all,
I am considering buying a DJ-X2000. Since I have never owned an Alinco unit before, I would appreciate any comment on their equipment, and this radio in particular.

I intend to use it mainly for the VHF and UHF airbands, so I would appreciate any comment on the units performance, i.e. selectivity and sensitivity, on these frequencies.

As always, many thanks in advance

Troy

5266. Code 11??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-23 22:56:49 UTC
From: Mark Elie <melie@cybertours.com>
Message-ID: <3bae68b4_1@news.cybertours.com>
Source record: 59484

Is anyone in the NG familiar with a Code 11? I heard that code used in conjunction with a "10-55 with PI" call the other night.

Regards
Mark

5267. Free: PRO-2046 box / packing / manual

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 00:59:04 UTC
From: ninja_500r@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <tqt1aqpsd5sf69@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59483

FREE: Realistic PRO-2046 box, packing, and all original documentation. Scanner was sold long ago, but just found the box in storage. I hate to throw it out if someone can use it. First E-mail reply to ninja_500r@hotmail.com gets it. New owner pays $6 Priority Mail shipping change to get it to ya.

Thanks,
Steve
ninja_500r@hotmail.com

5268. Re: Why cannot post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 02:32:03 UTC
From: noemailedreplysplz@verizon.net
Message-ID: <9om5v3$e3uci$10@ID-21921.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 59485

According to the recent usenet police, this is a "discussion" type of newsgroup. Although I've personally read the charter to this NG, and it does indeed say that this NG is intended to be a discussion newsgroup. I would avoid the newsgroup police and post to rec.radio.swap . People have argued over this, that "It doesn't say to NOT to do it", or the spammers' eye view of "everyone just use filters.". I know that the charters for NG's have been written at the time of NG creation. The chartors are written by the creator, and the creator writes how he wants his NG to be like. The creator does not think into the future when his NG will be used inappropriately, he just writes the charter to how he wants his NG to be like. So the statement "It doesn't say to NOT to do it." just doesn't apply. Because of the recent NG police watching over this NG, I would save myself a canned warning letter from my ISP, and post to rec.radio.swap .

In article <tqsk0stdvslve4@corp.supernews.com>, etdesign@shentel.net says...
>
>Why do I get msg, "...not commercial group..." when I try to post a for sale
>to this group? I see other for sales how come? Help pls. Tnx Ed
>
>

5269. Pro-93 stats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 03:00:54 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010923230054.15422.00000422@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 59487

Anyone have a definite release date for this model? I bought a Pro-92 and the reception (particulary for the 800 Motorola trunked system) was very weak. I am hoping (due to several bad reviews) that RS has made improvements to this Pro-93.
Eric G.
one can have all the intelligence in the world, but with the lack of common sense, makes one just plain DUMB

5270. Re: Why cannot post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 03:11:01 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BAEA467.E30E3F3A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 59488

"John k." wrote:

> According to the recent usenet police, this is a "discussion" type of
> newsgroup. Although I've personally read the charter to this NG, and it does
> indeed say that this NG is intended to be a discussion newsgroup. I would
> avoid the newsgroup police and post to rec.radio.swap . People have argued
> over this, that "It doesn't say to NOT to do it", or the spammers' eye view
> of "everyone just use filters.". I know that the charters for NG's have been
> written at the time of NG creation. The chartors are written by the creator,
> and the creator writes how he wants his NG to be like. The creator does not
> think into the future when his NG will be used inappropriately, he just
> writes the charter to how he wants his NG to be like. So the statement "It
> doesn't say to NOT to do it." just doesn't apply. Because of the recent NG
> police watching over this NG, I would save myself a canned warning letter
> from my ISP, and post to rec.radio.swap .
>
> In article <tqsk0stdvslve4@corp.supernews.com>, etdesign@shentel.net says...
> >
> >Why do I get msg, "...not commercial group..." when I try to post a for sale
> >to this group? I see other for sales how come? Help pls. Tnx Ed
> >
> >

Since "John k." is obviously using an invalid ID and return e-mail address,
I'd take whatever advice he has to share with a LARGE grain of salt! There never
has been a prohibition of private for sale or for auction notices in this news
group until a bunch of self appointed news group busybodies, envious of others
who have something to sell and basically antithetical to the idea of private
bargain and sale transactions, began meddling by spreading misinformation to
various users ISP's. If any of you so harassed can determine from your ISP the
actual identity of the individual(s) making these complaints a number of users
would be interested in learning who it is with a view to making a cyberstalking
complaint to the appropriate service providers.

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'53", W 90ï¿½22'32", 680'
On the sunrise side of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

5271. Re: Code 11??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 03:13:24 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <oxxr7.179$0b.4836@news>
Source record: 59486

In my town a code 10-11 is call in person.Couldv'e been nothing
"Mark Elie" <melie@cybertours.com> wrote in message
news:3bae68b4_1@news.cybertours.com...
> Is anyone in the NG familiar with a Code 11? I heard that code used in
> conjunction with a "10-55 with PI" call the other night.
>
> Regards
> Mark
>
>

5272. Re: Code 11??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 03:45:53 UTC
From: ZZZmark@whiskey-creek.net
Message-ID: <3baeac70.50879675@news.atl.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 59489

On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 18:56:49 -0400, "Mark Elie" <melie@cybertours.com>
wrote:

>Is anyone in the NG familiar with a Code 11? I heard that code used in
>conjunction with a "10-55 with PI" call the other night.
>
Which is probably a vehicle accident with Personal Injury.

Could be coroner, seargent, inspector, tow truck....

Mark

whiskey-creek.net

The truth as I perceive it to be.
Your perception may be different.

Triple Z is spam control

5273. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 04:01:20 UTC
From: Tim <hnet11@home.com>
Message-ID: <keyr7.31623$QK.25170925@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 59490
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

http://home.flash.net/~av8tor/radios/ebayedt.htm

"Never Anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:h9csqtg4udplupv44g3t66vog8u1fki8b5@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 22 Sep 2001 17:07:25 GMT, "Tim" <hnet11@home.com> wrote:
>
> >From what I understand, Ebay doesn't allow modified or modifyable radios
to
> >be auctioned as well.
>
> You understand wrong.
>
>
>
>
>

5274. Re: Does anyone have a book on scanner repair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 04:21:39 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010924002139.28712.00000593@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 59491

>I have heard of a book called "The Orange Book of Scanner Repair"<

I really expected to come up empty but got 7 hits in the northernlight.com
search engine including this one,
www.topsecretbooks.com/orange.html

Rob Mills ~~~

RX-320, NC-140, BC-895XLT, Pro-91

5275. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 04:47:02 UTC
From: Skip Sanders <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com>
Message-ID: <aVyr7.1857$T24.2430392@typhoon.san.rr.com>
Source record: 59492
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

<EEng@nunyabiz.net> wrote in message
news:p8crqt439q319mgvjs3u20qo67fgst9loc@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 08:46:33 GMT, "Skip Sanders"
> <skipsand@REMOVEsan.rr.com> wrote:
>
> >
> ><EEng@nunyabiz.net> wrote in message
> >news:1okqqtg4j7l5qnl2vf4b3ajokebpqtdte4@4ax.com...
> >> On Sat, 22 Sep 2001 03:05:46 -0700, .l. <.l.@.l.> wrote:
> >>
> >> >>>>Danny wrote:
> >> >>>>And is it really illegal to listen to cordless phone conversations
in
> >the
> >> >>>>U.S.? I'd imagine if just listening were illegal-they'd block out
> >those
> >> >>>>frequencies in the 46.610 range.
> >> >>EEng wrote:
> >> >>It is not illegal to listen to any cordless/wireless service.
> >>
> >>
> >> TITLE 47--TELECOMMUNICATION
> >>
> >> CHAPTER I--FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
> >>
> >> PART 15--RADIO FREQUENCY DEVICES--Table of Contents
> >
> >The CFR is all very well, but it's not the law that prohibits cellular
and
> >cordless listening in the US.
> >
> >You want 18 USC Sec. 2511, the Electronic Communications Privacy Act,
which
> >directly prohibits monitoring cellular, cordless, and some other things.
> >
> >See: http://uscode.house.gov/usc.htm for a searchable version of the
USC.
> >Search on electronic and communications and privacy and cellular, or
just
> >directly search for title 18, section 2511.
> >
> >The FCC regulations are simply implimentations of that act, to stop you
from

> >manufacturing a receiver that is designed to monitor cellular.
> >
> >Yes, the FCC has clarified that 'modifying' a scanner to receive cellular
is
> >'manufacturing' within this rule, and a violation.
> >
> If you read it carefully, you'll see that it specifically details that
> USE of the content is illegal, not merely listening in, so I'll say
> once more, it is not illegal to listen. Qualifying that, it IS
> illegal to convey the content in any manner.
>
> EEng.
>

<sigh> I've read it, which you apparently haven't, very well. I realize it's rather impenetrable, especially on a screen instead of printed out where you can follow the nested clauses, EEng, but yes, it DOES prohibit you monitoring, listening, intercepting, at all. First section: You are prohibited from INTERCEPTING, regardless of what you do with it. That only affects whether you get only a fine, or a fine or jail time, in the penalty area. If what you do meets any of a) thru e), you're in violation. They're all seperate violations, you don't have to do both a) and b), etc.

---

(1) Except as otherwise specifically provided in this chapter any

person who -

(a) intentionally intercepts, endeavors to intercept, or procures any other person to intercept or endeavor to
^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^
intercept, any wire, oral, or electronic communication;

(b) intentionally uses, endeavors to use, or procures any other person to use or endeavor to use any electronic, mechanical, or other device to intercept any oral communication when -

(i) such device is affixed to, or otherwise transmits a signal through, a wire, cable, or other like connection used in wire communication; or

(ii) such device transmits communications by radio, or interferes with the transmission of such communication; or

(iii) such person knows, or has reason to know, that such device or any component thereof has been sent through the mail or transported in interstate or foreign commerce; or

(iv) such use or endeavor to use

(A) takes place on the premises of any business or other commercial establishment the operations of which affect interstate or foreign commerce; or

(B) obtains or is for the purpose of obtaining information relating to the operations of any business or other commercial establishment the operations of which affect interstate or foreign commerce; or

(v) such person acts in the District of Columbia, the Commonwealth of Puerto Rico, or any territory or possession of the United States;

(c) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any other person the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication, knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained through the interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication in violation of this subsection;

(d) intentionally uses, or endeavors to use, the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication, knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained through the interception of a wire, oral, or electronic communication in violation of this subsection; or

(e)(i) intentionally discloses, or endeavors to disclose, to any other person the contents of any wire, oral, or electronic communication, intercepted by means authorized by sections 2511(2)(a)(ii), 2511(2)(b)-(c), 2511(2)(e), 2516, and 2518 of this chapter,

(ii) knowing or having reason to know that the information was obtained through the interception of such a communication in connection with a criminal investigation,

(iii) having obtained or received the information in connection with a criminal investigation, and

(iv) with intent to improperly obstruct, impede, or interfere with a duly authorized criminal investigation,

shall be punished as provided in subsection (4) or shall be subject to suit as provided in subsection (5).

5276. where to buy a descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 04:51:05 UTC
From: mikmd9@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924005105.01479.00000535@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 59493

i was wondering where i can buy a descrambler or a kit to build one
and information on how they work
mikmd9@aol.com

5277. Frequencies Just North of Kansas City, Missouri

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 04:59:05 UTC
From: ke4yqz@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <3bae6ac8.88186360@news.earthlink.net>
Source record: 59494

There have been several messages left here in the past on what is there to listen to here in the Clay County/Liberty Missouri/North Kansas City area. I've never posted it before, but here is what I have on my scanners, and what they are primarily used for. I hope someone gains some knowledge by this message, and has fun using these frequencies as well. There is only one frequency I have in my banks that so far has never been used, but I continue to listen anyway because many counties here in Missouri _DO_ use this frequency for its stated purpose - 156.1500. Everything that I have read indicates that this frequency is used for the County Sheriff's Private Dispatch. The Clay County Sheriff's Office nor the Liberty Police Department uses this frequency, but I continue to listen "Just in Case" as well as when I'm traveling in and around the KCMO area.

Good Signals and Enjoy

Roger D. Cravens, Jr.
Liberty, Missouri
==========
---[Liberty/Clay County, Missouri]---
---[Master List, Last Update: 09/07/2001]---
---[800 MHz Frequencies Not Trunked]---
---[Call Signs (North & South): KLD836 (S) KLD837 (N)]---

I use the following list and information on all of my scanners.

Scanner Ident Use
------------------ ------------------------
(1) BC-200 Hand-Held/Mobile
(2) BC-245 Hand-Held/Mobile
(3) BC-780 Base
(4) Pro-2067 Mobile
(5) Pro-2006 Base
(6) Pro-2026 Stand-By Base/Stand-By Mobile

Scanner Bank #1 on my BC-780 is used for listening to Kansas City, Missouri frequencies on their trunked system.

Programmed In Scanner Banks #2 & #3 on my BC-780:

Programmed in Scanner Bank Number 0 on my BC-780 is for Kansas City, Kansas.

The weigh stations mentioned here are the North and South trucking weigh stations located on I-35 North and South approxmately half way between Liberty and Kearney.

042.0600 P KA5824 Weigh Stations at 22-Mile Marker North and South
042.1200 P KA5824 Weigh Stations at 22-Mile Marker North and South

042.2200 P KA5824 Weigh Stations at 22-Mile Marker North and South
042.2400 P KA5824 Weigh Stations at 22-Mile Marker North and South
042.2600 P KA5824 Weigh Stations at 22-Mile Marker North and South
042.3200 P KA5824 Weigh Stations at 22-Mile Marker North and South
042.3800 P KA5824 Weigh Stations at 22-Mile Marker North and South
044.8600 P KA5824 Missouri Hiway Patrol - Mobile Units - Unknown Use
045.0600 P KA5824 Missouri Hiway Patrol - Mobile Units - Unknown Use
122.7000 I WGQ8 Clay County, Regional Airport (Located in Mosby)
150.9950 L KDB474 Clay County, Road & Bridge (Repeater Output)
151.3100 P WPLV846 Missouri State Hiway Patrol (Clay County)
151.6850 M WPAN369 City of Liberty, Hospital
153.8750 P WPNR605 Missouri State Hiway Patrol (Clay County)
154.1750 F WQU470 Fire & Rescue (Located in Mosby)
154.2800 F KIZ311 Liberty Fire Department (Statewide Mutual Aid)
154.4000 F KIZ311 Clay County/Liberty Fire Department
154.7100 F KIZ311 Liberty Fire Department
154.7250 P State of Missouri - Supports County Emergencies
154.7400 P KLK658 City of Liberty, Police
154.8000 P KLK658 City of Liberty, Police (Primary Dispatch)
154.8600 P KLK658 City of Liberty, Police
154.9650 L KRJ858 Clay County, Office of Emergency Management
155.0850 L KLK659 City of Liberty, Police (Public Works Secondary)
155.3400 M KDX974 KC Hospital Association/Missouri Statewide HEAR
155.3700 P KLK658 Clay County Sheriff/City Police Statewide Inter-City
155.4450 P Governor's VIP Security For Visitors
155.4750 P KLK658 Liberty/Clay County/Statewide Mutual Aid
155.6550 P WXY478 Clay County Sheriff Secondary Disptch (and Kearney)
155.7000 P KAB360 Clay County Sheriff (Primary Dispatch)
155.7300 P KLK658 Clay County Sheriff/City Police/Statewide Sheriff's Net
155.7400 P KLK658 City of Liberty, Police
155.7750 L WXB875 Clay County Parks, Recreation Historic Sites
156.1500 P County Sheriff's "Private" Dispatch (Not Confirmed)
159.1350 L KDB474 Clay County Road & Bridge (Repeater Input)
159.8250 P WPKH795 Clay County Sheriff (Simplex)
451.3500 L KNAH850 Clay County, Board of Education
452.0500 L KNAH850 Clay County, Board of Education
452.1250 L KNAH850 Clay County, Board of Education
456.1125 I KA87113 Clay County, Board of Education
461.2125 M WPIZ301 City of Liberty, Liberty Public Schools & School Busses
462.0750 L KNAH850 Clay County, Board of Education
462.1000 M WPAN369 City of Liberty, Hospital
462.8125 L WPIZ301 City of Liberty, Liberty Acadamy
464.1500 L KNAH850 Clay County, Board of Education
464.8125 M WPIZ301 City of Liberty, Liberty Public Schools & School Busses
467.0750 L KNAH850 Clay County, Board of Education
467.1000 M WPAN369 City of Liberty, Hospital
469.1500 L KNAH850 Clay County, Board of Education
469.8125 L WPIZ301 City of Liberty, Liberty Public Schools & School Busses

These 800MHz frequencies are not trucked, neither do they have radio traffic on these either. I've been monitoring these since I moved back to Liberty in December and I have yet to hear one work of Liberty/Clay County radio traffic. I've even checked the FCC's database of frequencies and by using the Call Sign of these frequencies, I was unable to identify what they are used for. As soon as I find out, I'll post that information here.

If anyone else out there happens to know what they are used for, I'm all ears - please share that information. They may be for sometime in the future when either Liberty or Clay County figures out what they are going to do with these. In the meantime, don't waste your precious channel spacing try to find out - up until now, it has been totally wasted channels.

866.0125 L WPNX338 City of Liberty Local Government (Nation-Wide)
866.5125 L WPNX338 City of Liberty Local Government (Nation-Wide)
866.9875 L WPNX338 City of Liberty Local Government (Liberty)
867.0125 L WPNX338 City of Liberty Local Government (Nation-Wide)
867.3125 L WPNX338 City of Liberty Local Government (Nation-Wide)
867.5125 L WPNX338 City of Liberty Local Government (Liberty)
867.5625 L WPNX338 City of Liberty Local Government (Nation-Wide)
867.8750 L WPNX338 City of Liberty Local Government (Nation-Wide)
868.0125 L WPNX338 City of Liberty Local Government (Liberty)

=-=-=-

5278. Re: newbie scanner question

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 05:11:57 UTC
From: Jughead <jugheadx@xisp.com>
Message-ID: <v5gtqt0snkiq3ct2h05slfflb7dgp53r24@4ax.com>
Source record: 59495

There could also be other problems involved if he's trying to monitor
somebody on an EDACS trunked system. If it's EDACS, you not only need
to have all of the freqs programmed, but you even need to have them
programmed in a specific order (some even have blank channels that
cannot be ignored).

Dev wrote:

>no offence. but for the last year. I have always noticed you TYPING like
>that. very hard to read. hehe. but anyways. you may not have the trunking
>option set right. or as pville says. program all the freqs that company
>uses. usually 24 channels or so. sometimes more sometimes less.
>
>
>"PVILLE666" <pville666@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20010922102331.05780.00000232@mb-mf.aol.com...
>> YES...FIRST....PROGRAM-IN 'ALL' OF THE FREQ'S , USED IN THAT BAND...,(KEEP
>IN
>> SAME SCAN BANK).. THEN...MAKE SURE, DELAY ISN'T ON...,
>> ..WORKS GREAT FOR MY PRO-94!
>

5279. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 05:51:50 UTC
From: EEng@nunyabiz.net
Message-ID: <oaitqto14bod5nrha3qpf7lssstkhuh2i6@4ax.com>
Source record: 59496
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 09:50:40 -0700, .l. <.l.@.l.> wrote:

>On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 02:55:42 -0700, EEng@nunyabiz.net wrote:
>>If you read it carefully, you'll see that it specifically details that
>>USE of the content is illegal, not merely listening in, so I'll say
>>once more, it is not illegal to listen. Qualifying that, it IS
>>illegal to convey the content in any manner.
>
>You *still* don't know what you're talking about.
>Read my lips: IT IS ILLEGAL TO LISTEN ALSO!!!!!

Well I'll tell you what, you re-read all the sections that apply to
this topic and you'll see that they all talk about conveying the
content, whether by mouth, by pen and paper, or by tape or any other
method of conveyance as being illegal (besides manufacturing rules).
They do not stipulate that the mere listening is illegal. Now, since
you insist on becoming insulting rather than having a normal
conversation, you go on my kill list.

EEng

5280. Re: Discone connected to Yupiteru MVT7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 06:59:45 UTC
From: marcje01@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <ca10ea14.0109232259.6fd8c112@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59397
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Maz,

Checked that page. Seems like it could do the job.
Since they talk about a '6 meter' filter, I reckon that the cut off
point is around 50 MHz.

I found a site with highpass and lowpass filters where the values of
the components can be calculated online:
http://members.aol.com/maxfro/private/butter.html

However, the difference with these circuits and the one of the
6 meter filter, is that for the filters on the above mentioned page,
ground is just throughconnected. The 6 meter filter you refer to has
also a capacitor in the ground connection, which means that the
shield of the coax is basically disconnected from the scanner for
low frequencies.

If the shield of my coax cable is indeed working as a 'antenna', then
I think I need to build a filter like the 6 meter filter. With a 'normal'
LPF, the coax shield is still connected to my scanner.....

Did you build this filter, or now somone that has experience with it?

Thanks,
/Marc

"Maz" <systematic@SPAMFREEntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:<pFGq7.9356$o%6.1496201@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>...
> Hi Marc
> the only one i could find but i'm sure there are more:
> http://www.uksmg.org/braidfilter.htm
> I'm sure there are a few freeware filter calculator /designer progs out
> there... try some amateur radio sites.
> HTH
> Maz
>
> "Marc" <marcje01@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:ca10ea14.0109202209.2e1292af@posting.google.com...
> > Hi Maz,
> >
> > That HPF sounds like a possible solution. Do you know if there are any
> > plans/calculations online for such a filter? I would like to build one
> > myself, so that I can also experiment a little bit.
> >
> > I've built filters with OPAMPs but this was just for LF applications and

> is
> > of course not applicable to HF filters.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > /Marc
> >
> > "Maz" <systematic@SPAMFREEntlworld.com> wrote in message
> news:<7Xpp7.15833$QV4.354789@news11-gui.server.ntli.net>...
> > > i found that even using a wide band telescopic, if i ran a length of
> feeder
> > > (7 metres of RG8 patch cable) from the scanner to the antenna that i got
> a
> > > bit of breakthru from SW broadcast above 30 Mhz. I also got much
> improved HF
> > > and less noise pick-up but i would guess that the wide-band nature of
> the
> > > antenna means that the impedance across all ranges will not be
> completely
> > > balanced, so this could cause the cable shielding to act as an antenna.
> > > Use a high pass filter with a cutoff point around 30 Mhz should take
> care of
> > > most of the HF breakthru.
> > > HTH
> > > Maz

5281. Re: DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 08:39:02 UTC
From: proma1 <proma1@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <mjCr7.1017$KP.182404@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 59398
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Hi Troy,i did get your personal email btw,and will mail you personally soon,as i do more playing around.

as stated in previous postings in regard to the AOR8200 posts furter down,i will be specific to vhf/uhf airband,or rather mainly vhf

vhf airband have running most of the time at home,and it goes very well,shame about the scan speed,but not a real problem being slow,as with many scanners the way you program can affect scan speed,and on banks where i have gone in freq order it def seems faster.

i have a variety of cut and wideband "rubber duck" type antennas and i have used my tv active aerial and also my active aerial up in the loft,although until last night i was using the active with no power.

copy is generally clean with little breakthrough until you get to using the active aerials without the use of attenuation,an active aerial puts so much into the signal you have to attenuate,on some freqs 10 db is fine others 20 db,but when doing this it totally cleans the signal up,and now i can get ground tx from one NATS relay site and that includes Luton radar and Stansted radar that normally i cannot pick up by other means,as with a radio like i would expect for this price,there are other ways of cleaning up a freq to improve on reception in regard to single freq transmissions as in AM airband as follows.

tun into published freq,ie for me Essex radar 120.625,reset the step size to 50 hz,open squelch and just retune slowly as you would for sideband,and watch for the strongest peak on signal meter,and then store that to memory.

remember ATC relay stations are offset slightly anyway,and very rare to find any transmitter 100%,so always worth a play around,also of course initially reset the squelch just to the threshold,and then see how far you can go before ground transmissions are lost.

uhf airband so far i have only tried at airshows,as finding the time for milair is not always possible the times i work,but will report back.

but try one out,hopefully your local dealer will let you buy one,and if you think it is deaf or for whatever reason doews not do the job,then try for a refund or swap,a good dealer has to accept not all radios are going to do the job the way you want it.

as for other functions and thoughts on the ALINCO,then for those interested read the mails regarding this radio i posted on subject header "AOR8200" further down.

but i am very happy with this unit.

the radio is not as sensitive as some,at home using the supllied rubber is in most cases a waste of time,i would hope thst at the very least you use a more longer type rubber duck or banded/telescopic or of course a more potent aerial outside or in loft,if you do then this radio excels in all areas,out and about with sometimes my stubby aerial,in high rf areas it does whats required,it monitors those local signals i am after,without any real problems of pager interference and if there is then i can use various methods to clean the signal,then when i get back indoors connect up to something better,and it does the job again.

regards

--
PROMA1
Paul Wey

Scanning Author

www.geocities.com/proma1_1999

http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk

Troy <troyrow@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
news:3bae64b6$0$18606$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> Hi all,
> I am considering buying a DJ-X2000. Since I have never owned an Alinco
unit
> before, I would appreciate any comment on their equipment, and this radio
in
> particular.
>
> I intend to use it mainly for the VHF and UHF airbands, so I would
> appreciate any comment on the units performance, i.e. selectivity and
> sensitivity, on these frequencies.
>
> As always, many thanks in advance
>
> Troy
>
>

5282. Re: Discone connected to Yupiteru MVT7100

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 12:38:38 UTC
From: Maz <systematic@SPAMFREEntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <TOFr7.2321$KP.461355@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>
Source record: 59399
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Hi Marc
I havent tried this filter so i've no idea how effective it is.
Fortunately i've not had enough of a breakthru problem to warrant building
it. However it is fairly common cicuit as ive come across it in a few
publications. Being a ham design it will handle being used with a
transmitter. I take it you only need it for receiving so you could try
substituting the coils for axial-lead inductors.
Sorry i cant be of more help
Maz

"Marc" <marcje01@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ca10ea14.0109232259.6fd8c112@posting.google.com...
> Hi Maz,
>
> Checked that page. Seems like it could do the job.
> Since they talk about a '6 meter' filter, I reckon that the cut off
> point is around 50 MHz.
>
> I found a site with highpass and lowpass filters where the values of
> the components can be calculated online:
> http://members.aol.com/maxfro/private/butter.html
>
> However, the difference with these circuits and the one of the
> 6 meter filter, is that for the filters on the above mentioned page,
> ground is just throughconnected. The 6 meter filter you refer to has
> also a capacitor in the ground connection, which means that the
> shield of the coax is basically disconnected from the scanner for
> low frequencies.
>
> If the shield of my coax cable is indeed working as a 'antenna', then
> I think I need to build a filter like the 6 meter filter. With a 'normal'
> LPF, the coax shield is still connected to my scanner.....
>
> Did you build this filter, or now somone that has experience with it?
>
> Thanks,
> /Marc
>
> "Maz" <systematic@SPAMFREEntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:<pFGq7.9356$o%6.1496201@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com>...
> > Hi Marc
> > the only one i could find but i'm sure there are more:
> > http://www.uksmg.org/braidfilter.htm

> > I'm sure there are a few freeware filter calculator /designer progs out
> > there... try some amateur radio sites.
> > HTH
> > Maz
> >
> > "Marc" <marcje01@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:ca10ea14.0109202209.2e1292af@posting.google.com...
> > > Hi Maz,
> > >
> > > That HPF sounds like a possible solution. Do you know if there are any
> > > plans/calculations online for such a filter? I would like to build one
> > > myself, so that I can also experiment a little bit.
> > >
> > > I've built filters with OPAMPs but this was just for LF applications
and
> > is
> > > of course not applicable to HF filters.
> > >
> > > Cheers,
> > > /Marc
> > >
> > > "Maz" <systematic@SPAMFREEntlworld.com> wrote in message
> > news:<7Xpp7.15833$QV4.354789@news11-gui.server.ntli.net>...
> > > > i found that even using a wide band telescopic, if i ran a length of
> > feeder
> > > > (7 metres of RG8 patch cable) from the scanner to the antenna that i
got
> > a
> > > > bit of breakthru from SW broadcast above 30 Mhz. I also got much
> > improved HF
> > > > and less noise pick-up but i would guess that the wide-band nature
of
> > the
> > > > antenna means that the impedance across all ranges will not be
> > completely
> > > > balanced, so this could cause the cable shielding to act as an
antenna.
> > > > Use a high pass filter with a cutoff point around 30 Mhz should take
> > care of
> > > > most of the HF breakthru.
> > > > HTH
> > > > Maz

5283. Re: where to buy a descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 12:49:17 UTC
From: oldtimeradio <otr@otr.net>
Message-ID: <aqauqtcmd3uojkkj96o8mbjk2n2ntqbsgo@4ax.com>
Source record: 59400

If it's simple audio inversion, there is a free program that can be downloaded from the internet that will do it. ..... it uses your sound card..... A Google search should find it. If not, post the question here again and I'll dig through my bookmarks and see if I can find it.
otr

On 24 Sep 2001 04:51:05 GMT, mikmd9@aol.com (MikMd9) wrote:

>i was wondering where i can buy a descrambler or a kit to build one
>and information on how they work
>mikmd9@aol.com

5284. Re: Why cannot post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 12:54:59 UTC
From: Larry Cohen <ppcdoc@home.com>
Message-ID: <D2Gr7.54297$xB1.14355468@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 59401

Dan...
not so sure my harrasser was 'self appointed'. The email complaint letter to me was addressed to my 3 @home user names, 2 of which I forgot I even had, so I presume it was an official Nazi! I have demanded that the Police show me the exact source that specifically forbids a 'for sale' post to this NG. Their initial reference that I went to showed me NOTHING! I still await specifics from them. They actually threatened to TERMINATE my ISP service if I violate this 'rule' again, after my ONE violation of a so-far non existant rule...
So I expect from the @Home gestappo either a definite reference OR an apology....won't hold my breath on either!
"Dan Morisseau" <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:3BAEA467.E30E3F3A@earthlink.net...
> "John k." wrote:
>
> > According to the recent usenet police, this is a "discussion" type of
> > newsgroup. Although I've personally read the charter to this NG, and it does
> > indeed say that this NG is intended to be a discussion newsgroup. I would
> > avoid the newsgroup police and post to rec.radio.swap . People have argued
> > over this, that "It doesn't say to NOT to do it", or the spammers' eye view
> > of "everyone just use filters.". I know that the charters for NG's have been
> > written at the time of NG creation. The chartors are written by the creator,
> > and the creator writes how he wants his NG to be like. The creator does not
> > think into the future when his NG will be used inappropriately, he just
> > writes the charter to how he wants his NG to be like. So the statement "It
> > doesn't say to NOT to do it." just doesn't apply. Because of the recent NG
> > police watching over this NG, I would save myself a canned warning letter
> > from my ISP, and post to rec.radio.swap .
> >
> > In article <tqsk0stdvslve4@corp.supernews.com>, etdesign@shentel.net says...
> > >
> > >Why do I get msg, "...not commercial group..." when I try to post a for

sale
> > >to this group? I see other for sales how come? Help pls. Tnx Ed
> > >
> > >
>
> Since "John k." is obviously using an invalid ID and return e-mail
address,
> I'd take whatever advice he has to share with a LARGE grain of salt! There
never
> has been a prohibition of private for sale or for auction notices in this
news
> group until a bunch of self appointed news group busybodies, envious of
others
> who have something to sell and basically antithetical to the idea of
private
> bargain and sale transactions, began meddling by spreading misinformation
to
> various users ISP's. If any of you so harassed can determine from your
ISP the
> actual identity of the individual(s) making these complaints a number of
users
> would be interested in learning who it is with a view to making a
cyberstalking
> complaint to the appropriate service providers.
>
>
>
> --
> Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38°34'53", W 90°22'32", 680'
> On the sunrise side of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
> Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
> ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
> "Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"
>
>

5285. Re: FS: Kenwood TH-D7A Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 13:51:45 UTC
From: Robert Stamper <rstamper@us-it.net>
Message-ID: <3BAF3A71.581FBF50@us-it.net>
Source record: 59402
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

How much for all ?

Bob Stamper

Garr wrote:
>
> I have the following accessories for sale
>
> 1) Kenwood case for this radio from Kenwood
> 2) Garmin GPS Cable
> 3) PG-4W PC Cable
> 4) Boom Mic
> 5) Extra battery
> 6) Kenwood In-Depth Manual on CD from Kenwood
>
> Prefer to sell as a package but will entertain others.
>
> Email if interested

5286. Re: FS: Kenwood TH-D7A Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 13:51:50 UTC
From: Robert Stamper <rstamper@us-it.net>
Message-ID: <3BAF3A76.F5053DD4@us-it.net>
Source record: 59403
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

How much for all ?

Bob Stamper

Garr wrote:
>
> I have the following accessories for sale
>
> 1) Kenwood case for this radio from Kenwood
> 2) Garmin GPS Cable
> 3) PG-4W PC Cable
> 4) Boom Mic
> 5) Extra battery
> 6) Kenwood In-Depth Manual on CD from Kenwood
>
> Prefer to sell as a package but will entertain others.
>
> Email if interested

5287. Re: White Women Enslaved in Israel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 15:56:07 UTC
From: Shalom Peres <Shalom@Israel.org>
Message-ID: <rIIr7.34128$QK.26084744@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 59404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"CFB1" <cfb1@prodigy.net> wrote in message
news:3BABC2D2.7F53554A@prodigy.net...

Sex slavery thriving in Holy Land
By Megan Goldin NEVE TIRZA PRISON, ISRAEL

Christina, an 18-year-old university student from Moldova, has been bought and sold so many times she has lost count.

Christina, who declined to give her real name, studied classics and anthropology and played basketball as a hobby before she was lured from a rural town in one of Europe's poorest countries to sex slavery in Israel.

She is not alone.

Hundreds of thousands of 'Christinas' have been bought like merchandise, beaten, raped and chained in Western brothels in a 21st century form of slavery.

Christina received top marks in her anthropology studies but couldn't scrape together enough money to pay for photocopies, let alone buy textbooks.

Her dire economic situation made her fair game for women hired by criminal gangs to lure young, naive girls from Moldova and other financially-strapped Eastern European countries into prostitution with promises of large sums of money.

"I never thought I would actually have to do it," Christina said in bewilderment. "I thought once I arrived I would find a way to escape and find other work, as a waitress or something."

Christina was flown to Egypt where along with 20 other Moldovan and Russian women aged between about 18 and 24, she was escorted across the Sinai desert into southern Israel by a Bedouin smuggler.

They walked over dunes, eventually crawling under a barbed-wire border fence in the middle of the night.

Rolls of money changed hands between the Bedouin and the Russian-speaking men who bought the women. Christina doesn't know how much they paid, but the market price for a woman like her in Israel is around $8,000.

Frightened, an illegal alien, unfamiliar with Hebrew or Israeli geography, Christina had no real hope of escaping.

Instead she was taken to a brothel in northern Israel where she was forced to have sex with around 15 men every day, raped, beaten and threatened with

death if she ran away.

Eventually she did and is now a witness in a court case against the pimp who bought and mistreated her under a new Israeli law that makes human trafficking punishable by up to 16 years in prison.

"It's very easy. You just put them on a plane, walk them through the desert and you have slaves," said lawyer Nomi Levenkron, who represents women like Christina who are locked in a special wing of the Neve Tirza prison near Tel Aviv while they wait to testify or to be deported for entering Israel illegally.

Sex slavery, or white slavery as it was called in the 19th century, is almost as old as prostitution itself. But it has had a sudden resurgence since the collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991 devastated many eastern European economies.

Women who earn around $20 a month in Moldova are promised $1,000 a month abroad to work as prostitutes. It is a tempting offer for young women with bleak futures in their home countries or burdened with supporting a large family.

Sometimes the women don't know they are being sent to work as prostitutes and are told they will be waitresses or secretaries. Others are simply kidnapped.

Almost always they are trafficked by the local Mafia, frequently on a forged passport and threatened that if they don't behave, their family back home will suffer the consequences.

"They come from a place with no money, a very poor family in a poor village, looking for a better future," said Levenkron, a legal adviser to the Hotline for Migrant Workers, the only organization in Israel that helps former sex-slaves.

"These women are so na&#65533;ve, they don't realize people are lying to them," she said.

Israel is a popular destination for the human trade. It is not difficult to smuggle and hide Russian-speaking women in a country where almost a million people originate from the former Soviet Union.

"It's very easy for them to disappear between all the Russian-speaking women in Israel," Levenkron said. "It's very easy for traffickers to bring women here and when you have the supply, you have the demand."

A recent U.S. State Department report on human trafficking put Israel on a list of countries where the phenomenon is rampant. It has until 2003 to implement "minimum standards for the elimination of trafficking" or face stiff economic sanctions.

According to Zahava Gal-On, who heads an Israeli parliamentary committee on trafficking, that means deterring traffickers with hefty prison sentences instead of a few months in jail, the usual punishment handed down by Israeli

courts.

It also means putting women like Christina in safe houses instead of jail, thereby encouraging them to stay and testify.

In the past the victim has been locked up, sometimes for as long as six months, while the pimp is let off with a small fine or a brief stay in jail although under the law, it is the brothel owner, not the woman, who has committed a crime.

Like most former sex-slaves, Christina is penniless. Those lucky enough to be paid a paltry sum, usually a few dollars, by their pimps save the money to buy themselves freedom from their brothel-prisons. Often they are sold before they can do so.

"They are slaves and slaves of the worst kind," Levenkron said. "They are disposable people because it's so easy to buy a person."

Human trafficking is becoming a modern day scourge, said Tal Raviv, an advocate for the International Organization for Migration who works in Kosovo where trafficking is widespread.

"This has become a huge phenomena in the last decade," said Raviv during a visit to Israel. "The estimates are between half a million to 700,000 women trafficked every year just to the West, but the global figures are for millions."

Law enforcement officials say human trafficking is almost as rampant as drug and weapons smuggling. Many of the women being trafficked are forced to work in brothels.

In Africa and Asia, men are often sent to sweatshops and children forced to work in cocoa plantations in West Africa. Some of those trafficked are slated to be unwilling donors for black market organ transplants, Raviv said.

"Trafficking people is much easier than trafficking drugs and weapons," she said.

"It's easier because if you find drugs or the arms, there is no question something illegal has happened. But if you find a person with a passport, how do you know this person is not traveling out of their own free will?"

Raviv says the only difference between the 21st century version of slavery and that of the plantations in the Americas some 200 years ago is that today slavery is illegal.

"When you buy and sell a person, then it means a person is merchandise and that is slavery," Raviv said. "The person has no freedom, no control over his or her fate."

Reuters

http://metimes.com/2K1/issue2001-37/reg/sex_slavery_thriving.htm

5288. Re: White Women Enslaved in Israel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 16:49:11 UTC
From: David TK Hayes <tkhayes@skydivecity.com>
Message-ID: <buJr7.395$SV1.170744@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net>
Source record: 59405
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Don't forget that child sex and slavery is very common in Nepal, Thailand, and many parts of SE Asia. And that 35000 children starve to death in the world every day. Our problems seem pretty minor sometimes, but perhaps it is a good thing that we are suddenly awakened to all the nasty stuff that really is going on out there.

TK

"Shalom Peres" <Shalom@Israel.org> wrote in message
news:rIIr7.34128$QK.26084744@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com...
> "CFB1" <cfb1@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:3BABC2D2.7F53554A@prodigy.net...
>
> Sex slavery thriving in Holy Land
> By Megan Goldin NEVE TIRZA PRISON, ISRAEL
>
>
> Christina, an 18-year-old university student from Moldova, has been bought
> and sold so many times she has lost count.
>
> Christina, who declined to give her real name, studied classics and
> anthropology and played basketball as a hobby before she was lured from a
> rural town in one of Europe's poorest countries to sex slavery in Israel.
>
> She is not alone.
>
> Hundreds of thousands of 'Christinas' have been bought like merchandise,
> beaten, raped and chained in Western brothels in a 21st century form of
> slavery.
>
> Christina received top marks in her anthropology studies but couldn't
scrape
> together enough money to pay for photocopies, let alone buy textbooks.
>
> Her dire economic situation made her fair game for women hired by criminal
> gangs to lure young, naive girls from Moldova and other
financially-strapped
> Eastern European countries into prostitution with promises of large sums
of
> money.
>
> "I never thought I would actually have to do it," Christina said in
> bewilderment. "I thought once I arrived I would find a way to escape and

> find other work, as a waitress or something."
>
> Christina was flown to Egypt where along with 20 other Moldovan and
Russian
> women aged between about 18 and 24, she was escorted across the Sinai
desert
> into southern Israel by a Bedouin smuggler.
>
> They walked over dunes, eventually crawling under a barbed-wire border
fence
> in the middle of the night.
>
> Rolls of money changed hands between the Bedouin and the Russian-speaking
> men who bought the women. Christina doesn't know how much they paid, but
the
> market price for a woman like her in Israel is around $8,000.
>
> Frightened, an illegal alien, unfamiliar with Hebrew or Israeli geography,
> Christina had no real hope of escaping.
>
> Instead she was taken to a brothel in northern Israel where she was forced
> to have sex with around 15 men every day, raped, beaten and threatened
with
> death if she ran away.
>
> Eventually she did and is now a witness in a court case against the pimp
who
> bought and mistreated her under a new Israeli law that makes human
> trafficking punishable by up to 16 years in prison.
>
> "It's very easy. You just put them on a plane, walk them through the
desert
> and you have slaves," said lawyer Nomi Levenkron, who represents women
like
> Christina who are locked in a special wing of the Neve Tirza prison near
Tel
> Aviv while they wait to testify or to be deported for entering Israel
> illegally.
>
> Sex slavery, or white slavery as it was called in the 19th century, is
> almost as old as prostitution itself. But it has had a sudden resurgence
> since the collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991 devastated many eastern
> European economies.
>
> Women who earn around $20 a month in Moldova are promised $1,000 a month
> abroad to work as prostitutes. It is a tempting offer for young women with
> bleak futures in their home countries or burdened with supporting a large
> family.
>

> Sometimes the women don't know they are being sent to work as prostitutes
> and are told they will be waitresses or secretaries. Others are simply
> kidnapped.
>
> Almost always they are trafficked by the local Mafia, frequently on a
forged
> passport and threatened that if they don't behave, their family back home
> will suffer the consequences.
>
> "They come from a place with no money, a very poor family in a poor
village,
> looking for a better future," said Levenkron, a legal adviser to the
Hotline
> for Migrant Workers, the only organization in Israel that helps former
> sex-slaves.
>
> "These women are so na&#65533;ve, they don't realize people are lying to them,"
she
> said.
>
> Israel is a popular destination for the human trade. It is not difficult
to
> smuggle and hide Russian-speaking women in a country where almost a
million
> people originate from the former Soviet Union.
>
> "It's very easy for them to disappear between all the Russian-speaking
women
> in Israel," Levenkron said. "It's very easy for traffickers to bring women
> here and when you have the supply, you have the demand."
>
> A recent U.S. State Department report on human trafficking put Israel on a
> list of countries where the phenomenon is rampant. It has until 2003 to
> implement "minimum standards for the elimination of trafficking" or face
> stiff economic sanctions.
>
> According to Zahava Gal-On, who heads an Israeli parliamentary committee
on
> trafficking, that means deterring traffickers with hefty prison sentences
> instead of a few months in jail, the usual punishment handed down by
Israeli
> courts.
>
> It also means putting women like Christina in safe houses instead of jail,
> thereby encouraging them to stay and testify.
>
> In the past the victim has been locked up, sometimes for as long as six
> months, while the pimp is let off with a small fine or a brief stay in
jail

> although under the law, it is the brothel owner, not the woman, who has
> committed a crime.
>
> Like most former sex-slaves, Christina is penniless. Those lucky enough to
> be paid a paltry sum, usually a few dollars, by their pimps save the money
> to buy themselves freedom from their brothel-prisons. Often they are sold
> before they can do so.
>
> "They are slaves and slaves of the worst kind," Levenkron said. "They are
> disposable people because it's so easy to buy a person."
>
> Human trafficking is becoming a modern day scourge, said Tal Raviv, an
> advocate for the International Organization for Migration who works in
> Kosovo where trafficking is widespread.
>
> "This has become a huge phenomena in the last decade," said Raviv during a
> visit to Israel. "The estimates are between half a million to 700,000
women
> trafficked every year just to the West, but the global figures are for
> millions."
>
> Law enforcement officials say human trafficking is almost as rampant as
drug
> and weapons smuggling. Many of the women being trafficked are forced to
work
> in brothels.
>
> In Africa and Asia, men are often sent to sweatshops and children forced
to
> work in cocoa plantations in West Africa. Some of those trafficked are
> slated to be unwilling donors for black market organ transplants, Raviv
> said.
>
> "Trafficking people is much easier than trafficking drugs and weapons,"
she
> said.
>
> "It's easier because if you find drugs or the arms, there is no question
> something illegal has happened. But if you find a person with a passport,
> how do you know this person is not traveling out of their own free will?"
>
> Raviv says the only difference between the 21st century version of slavery
> and that of the plantations in the Americas some 200 years ago is that
today
> slavery is illegal.
>
> "When you buy and sell a person, then it means a person is merchandise and
> that is slavery," Raviv said. "The person has no freedom, no control over
> his or her fate."

>
> Reuters
>
> http://metimes.com/2K1/issue2001-37/reg/sex_slavery_thriving.htm
>
>
>
>
>
>

5289. Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 17:14:43 UTC
From: Al
Message-ID: <3baf69fa.226772034@news.newnorth.net>
Source record: 59406

Test

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5290. this crap is allowed on this NG but

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 18:18:50 UTC
From: Larry Cohen <ppcdoc@home.com>
Message-ID: <eOKr7.55120$xB1.14543836@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 59407
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

my 'for sale' post for a scanner gets me grief from the @Home Nazis...I
don't get it...!
"Shalom Peres" <Shalom@Israel.org> wrote in message
news:rIIr7.34128$QK.26084744@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com...
> "CFB1" <cfb1@prodigy.net> wrote in message
> news:3BABC2D2.7F53554A@prodigy.net...
>
> Sex slavery thriving in Holy Land
> By Megan Goldin NEVE TIRZA PRISON, ISRAEL
>
>
> Christina, an 18-year-old university student from Moldova, has been bought
> and sold so many times she has lost count.
>
> Christina, who declined to give her real name, studied classics and
> anthropology and played basketball as a hobby before she was lured from a
> rural town in one of Europe's poorest countries to sex slavery in Israel.
>
> She is not alone.
>
> Hundreds of thousands of 'Christinas' have been bought like merchandise,
> beaten, raped and chained in Western brothels in a 21st century form of
> slavery.
>
> Christina received top marks in her anthropology studies but couldn't
scrape
> together enough money to pay for photocopies, let alone buy textbooks.
>
> Her dire economic situation made her fair game for women hired by criminal
> gangs to lure young, naive girls from Moldova and other
financially-strapped
> Eastern European countries into prostitution with promises of large sums
of
> money.
>
> "I never thought I would actually have to do it," Christina said in
> bewilderment. "I thought once I arrived I would find a way to escape and
> find other work, as a waitress or something."
>
> Christina was flown to Egypt where along with 20 other Moldovan and
Russian
> women aged between about 18 and 24, she was escorted across the Sinai

desert
> into southern Israel by a Bedouin smuggler.
>
> They walked over dunes, eventually crawling under a barbed-wire border
fence
> in the middle of the night.
>
> Rolls of money changed hands between the Bedouin and the Russian-speaking
> men who bought the women. Christina doesn't know how much they paid, but
the
> market price for a woman like her in Israel is around $8,000.
>
> Frightened, an illegal alien, unfamiliar with Hebrew or Israeli geography,
> Christina had no real hope of escaping.
>
> Instead she was taken to a brothel in northern Israel where she was forced
> to have sex with around 15 men every day, raped, beaten and threatened
with
> death if she ran away.
>
> Eventually she did and is now a witness in a court case against the pimp
who
> bought and mistreated her under a new Israeli law that makes human
> trafficking punishable by up to 16 years in prison.
>
> "It's very easy. You just put them on a plane, walk them through the
desert
> and you have slaves," said lawyer Nomi Levenkron, who represents women
like
> Christina who are locked in a special wing of the Neve Tirza prison near
Tel
> Aviv while they wait to testify or to be deported for entering Israel
> illegally.
>
> Sex slavery, or white slavery as it was called in the 19th century, is
> almost as old as prostitution itself. But it has had a sudden resurgence
> since the collapse of the Soviet Union in 1991 devastated many eastern
> European economies.
>
> Women who earn around $20 a month in Moldova are promised $1,000 a month
> abroad to work as prostitutes. It is a tempting offer for young women with
> bleak futures in their home countries or burdened with supporting a large
> family.
>
> Sometimes the women don't know they are being sent to work as prostitutes
> and are told they will be waitresses or secretaries. Others are simply
> kidnapped.
>
> Almost always they are trafficked by the local Mafia, frequently on a

forged
> passport and threatened that if they don't behave, their family back home
> will suffer the consequences.
>
> "They come from a place with no money, a very poor family in a poor
village,
> looking for a better future," said Levenkron, a legal adviser to the
Hotline
> for Migrant Workers, the only organization in Israel that helps former
> sex-slaves.
>
> "These women are so na&#65533;ve, they don't realize people are lying to them,"
she
> said.
>
> Israel is a popular destination for the human trade. It is not difficult
to
> smuggle and hide Russian-speaking women in a country where almost a
million
> people originate from the former Soviet Union.
>
> "It's very easy for them to disappear between all the Russian-speaking
women
> in Israel," Levenkron said. "It's very easy for traffickers to bring women
> here and when you have the supply, you have the demand."
>
> A recent U.S. State Department report on human trafficking put Israel on a
> list of countries where the phenomenon is rampant. It has until 2003 to
> implement "minimum standards for the elimination of trafficking" or face
> stiff economic sanctions.
>
> According to Zahava Gal-On, who heads an Israeli parliamentary committee
on
> trafficking, that means deterring traffickers with hefty prison sentences
> instead of a few months in jail, the usual punishment handed down by
Israeli
> courts.
>
> It also means putting women like Christina in safe houses instead of jail,
> thereby encouraging them to stay and testify.
>
> In the past the victim has been locked up, sometimes for as long as six
> months, while the pimp is let off with a small fine or a brief stay in
jail
> although under the law, it is the brothel owner, not the woman, who has
> committed a crime.
>
> Like most former sex-slaves, Christina is penniless. Those lucky enough to
> be paid a paltry sum, usually a few dollars, by their pimps save the money

> to buy themselves freedom from their brothel-prisons. Often they are sold
> before they can do so.
>
> "They are slaves and slaves of the worst kind," Levenkron said. "They are
> disposable people because it's so easy to buy a person."
>
> Human trafficking is becoming a modern day scourge, said Tal Raviv, an
> advocate for the International Organization for Migration who works in
> Kosovo where trafficking is widespread.
>
> "This has become a huge phenomena in the last decade," said Raviv during a
> visit to Israel. "The estimates are between half a million to 700,000
women
> trafficked every year just to the West, but the global figures are for
> millions."
>
> Law enforcement officials say human trafficking is almost as rampant as
drug
> and weapons smuggling. Many of the women being trafficked are forced to
work
> in brothels.
>
> In Africa and Asia, men are often sent to sweatshops and children forced
to
> work in cocoa plantations in West Africa. Some of those trafficked are
> slated to be unwilling donors for black market organ transplants, Raviv
> said.
>
> "Trafficking people is much easier than trafficking drugs and weapons,"
she
> said.
>
> "It's easier because if you find drugs or the arms, there is no question
> something illegal has happened. But if you find a person with a passport,
> how do you know this person is not traveling out of their own free will?"
>
> Raviv says the only difference between the 21st century version of slavery
> and that of the plantations in the Americas some 200 years ago is that
today
> slavery is illegal.
>
> "When you buy and sell a person, then it means a person is merchandise and
> that is slavery," Raviv said. "The person has no freedom, no control over
> his or her fate."
>
> Reuters
>
> http://metimes.com/2K1/issue2001-37/reg/sex_slavery_thriving.htm
>

>
>
>
>
>

5291. Re: Cleveland Ohio PD back in clear? Then what's this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 20:02:25 UTC
From: chief305@webtv.net
Message-ID: <26107-3BAF9151-134@storefull-107.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 59408

The old FD ch.5 freq. was 153.830. the transmitters are still in place,but are not operating.. (east side of the Lorain-Carnegie bridge) The FD is getting ready to move to the 3rd district police headquarters.(19th and Payne) That is where all public safety dispatchers are located.

Dave

5292. FS: Pro 26 w/ Virtual downconversion

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 20:55:20 UTC
From: Gene Protas <protas@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3BAF9C27.2E50DC1B@flash.net>
Source record: 59409
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

FOR SALE:

Pro 26 - 200 Channel Handheld Scanner. 25mhz-1.3ghz coverage. Virtual Downconversion Mod. Excellent Condition. $175 Delivered within CONUS.

5293. Re: Pro-93 stats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 21:22:06 UTC
From: lbrcly@cs.com
Message-ID: <20010924172206.24909.00000627@mb-mb.news.cs.com>
Source record: 59410

>Anyone have a definite release date for this model? I bought a Pro-92 and
>the
>reception (particulary for the 800 Motorola trunked system) was very weak.

RS catalog says oct. 15 2001. I bought a pro-92 last month and i'm satisfied with the 800 mhz. performance on mine. It's hard to imagine they vary that much.

5294. MURS Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 22:01:54 UTC
From: tbone107@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924180154.25616.00000361@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 59411

Hi. I'm looking for the 4(?) MURS frequencies, the old business "Color Dot" frequencies. I know one is 154.600. Does anyone have the others handy? These are the ones recently opened-up to the general public on a no-license-needed basis. I could dig through a pile of "PopComm"'s or "Monitoring Times", but don't know how far back to go. I'm hoping someone has them right there in their head or on their desk. Thanks.

Tom KB2FIK
Tbone107@aol.com

5295. Re: this crap is allowed on this NG but

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 22:05:40 UTC
From: voobner@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <fbc7a2.0109241405.30a4294f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59412
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Larry Cohen" <ppcdoc@home.com> wrote in message
news:<eOKr7.55120$xB1.14543836@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>...
> my 'for sale' post for a scanner gets me grief from the @Home Nazis...I
> don't get it...!

The outlaw CBers in rec.radio.cb share your pain. They cry because
they want to sell illegal equipment and not be 'dissed' for it. They
often cite 'bigger crimes' as the reason they should be allowed to
break laws.

-Aaron-

5296. Re: Looking for freqs in VT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 22:25:50 UTC
From: Justin Wolcott <Phreak480@erols.com>
Message-ID: <3BAFB2EE.B61DB08E@erols.com>
Source record: 59413

try this site

http://www.fordyce.org/scanning/frequencies/Vermont/index.html

Larry Weil wrote:

> In article <20010922082330.12374.00000195@mb-mn.aol.com>,
> inkaddict@aol.com (Ink addict) wrote:
>
> > Hi just moved up to Morrisville VT and would like to know if anyone has any
> > freqs. for around here. I looked in police call but that hasn't turned up
> > much.
>
> You may find that the frequencies in use are assigned on a county-wide
> basis in rural area. You may find that some frequencies listed for the
> county seat of your county are actually being used by your local police.
>
> --
> Larry Weil
> Lake Wobegone, NH

5297. Re: MURS Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-24 22:39:43 UTC
From: DougSlug <twinpoppa@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <PCOr7.46446$z7.11172230@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59414

151.820
151.880
151.940
154.570 (blue dot)
154.600 (green dot)

"Tbone107" <tbone107@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010924180154.25616.00000361@mb-cr.aol.com...
> Hi. I'm looking for the 4(?) MURS frequencies, the old business "Color
Dot"
> frequencies. I know one is 154.600. Does anyone have the others handy?
These
> are the ones recently opened-up to the general public on a
no-license-needed
> basis. I could dig through a pile of "PopComm"'s or "Monitoring Times",
but
> don't know how far back to go. I'm hoping someone has them right there in
their
> head or on their desk. Thanks.
>
> Tom KB2FIK
> Tbone107@aol.com

5298. Re: this crap is allowed on this NG but

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 01:18:30 UTC
From: Larry Cohen <ppcdoc@home.com>
Message-ID: <GXQr7.56688$xB1.14971238@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 59415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

```
The legality of any item is not the issue...posting an item 'for sale' on
THIS NG is..
"Aaron H. Voobner" <voobner@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:fbc7a2.0109241405.30a4294f@posting.google.com...
> "Larry Cohen" <ppcdoc@home.com> wrote in message
news:<eOKr7.55120$xB1.14543836@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>...
> > my 'for sale' post for a scanner gets me grief from the @Home Nazis...I
> > don't get it...!
>
> The outlaw CBers in rec.radio.cb share your pain. They cry because
> they want to sell illegal equipment and not be 'dissed' for it. They
> often cite 'bigger crimes' as the reason they should be allowed to
> break laws.
>
> -Aaron-
```

5299. Re: White Women Enslaved in Israel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 01:38:50 UTC
From: Stan Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3bafd02b_2@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59416
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"David TK Hayes" <tkhayes@skydivecity.com> wrote in message
news:buJr7.395$SV1.170744@paloalto-snr1.gtei.net...
> Don't forget that child sex and slavery is very common in Nepal, Thailand,
> and many parts of SE Asia. And that 35000 children starve to death in the
> world every day. Our problems seem pretty minor sometimes, but perhaps it
> is a good thing that we are suddenly awakened to all the nasty stuff that
> really is going on out there.

You actually believe that garbage? LOL!

Stan

5300. AT&T 9311 Cordless Phone Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 01:49:40 UTC
From: db77619@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924214940.20490.00000603@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 59417

Does anyone have a list of frequencies for the AT&T 9311 900 Mhz Cordless Phone(Base Frequencies)?
We just recently purchased one brand new.
I have the first frequency and need the other 29. I found the first frequency by searching the 900 Mhz Cordless phone band. I don't seem to have enough time to search for the others.
Thanks in advance.

5301. Re: Why cannot post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 01:57:33 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3BAFE4AF.BE218E29@earthlink.net>
Source record: 59418

Larry Cohen wrote:

> Dan...
> not so sure my harrasser was 'self appointed'. The email complaint letter to
> me was addressed to my 3 @home user names, 2 of which I forgot I even had,
> so I presume it was an official Nazi! I have demanded that the Police show
> me the exact source that specifically forbids a 'for sale' post to this NG.
> Their initial reference that I went to showed me NOTHING! I still await
> specifics from them. They actually threatened to TERMINATE my ISP service
> if I violate this 'rule' again, after my ONE violation of a so-far non
> existant rule...
> So I expect from the @Home gestappo either a definite reference OR an
> apology....won't hold my breath on either!

The "self appointed" individual I was referring to was the jerk who made a complaint to your ISP ("@home"). I doubt that the people at "@home" just happened to notice this and take action on their own. No, so twit wrote and told them that what had done was wrong and they blindly took his word for it. If you can find out who did it, let us know on this news group and we can write that person's ISP and get him for cyberstalking.

--
Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38ï¿½34'53", W 90ï¿½22'32", 680'
On the sunrise side of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
"Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"

5302. Re: Why cannot post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 02:38:22 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <b_Rr7.518$r41.230078@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 59419

Larry,
Given the number of flames, BS, spam, and outright crap posted to these NGs from people with @home.com addresses, I think you'd be better off with another server, anyway. I'd be embarrassed to have a home.com address, myself. Tell them either to substantiate their charges or apologize, or you'll switch servers and get everybody you know to do likewise. Hit them where it hurts, in the pocketbook.

BTW, got one of those consumer watchdogs at one of your local TV stations? If so, call them and complain about home.com's tactics. A piece on the air will really make them worry.

Mark

"Larry Cohen" <ppcdoc@home.com> wrote in message
news:D2Gr7.54297$xB1.14355468@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com...
> Dan...
> not so sure my harrasser was 'self appointed'. The email complaint letter
to
> me was addressed to my 3 @home user names, 2 of which I forgot I even had,
> so I presume it was an official Nazi! I have demanded that the Police
show
> me the exact source that specifically forbids a 'for sale' post to this
NG.
> Their initial reference that I went to showed me NOTHING! I still await
> specifics from them. They actually threatened to TERMINATE my ISP service
> if I violate this 'rule' again, after my ONE violation of a so-far non
> existant rule...
> So I expect from the @Home gestappo either a definite reference OR an
> apology....won't hold my breath on either!
> "Dan Morisseau" <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3BAEA467.E30E3F3A@earthlink.net...
> > "John k." wrote:
> >
> > > According to the recent usenet police, this is a "discussion" type of
> > > newsgroup. Although I've personally read the charter to this NG, and
it
> does
> > > indeed say that this NG is intended to be a discussion newsgroup. I
> would
> > > avoid the newsgroup police and post to rec.radio.swap . People have
> argued
> > > over this, that "It doesn't say to NOT to do it", or the spammers' eye
> view

> > > of "everyone just use filters.". I know that the charters for NG's
have
> been
> > > written at the time of NG creation. The chartors are written by the
> creator,
> > > and the creator writes how he wants his NG to be like. The creator
does
> not
> > > think into the future when his NG will be used inappropriately, he
just
> > > writes the charter to how he wants his NG to be like. So the
statement
> "It
> > > doesn't say to NOT to do it." just doesn't apply. Because of the
> recent NG
> > > police watching over this NG, I would save myself a canned warning
> letter
> > > from my ISP, and post to rec.radio.swap .
> > >
> > > In article <tqsk0stdvslve4@corp.supernews.com>, etdesign@shentel.net
> says...
> > > >
> > > >Why do I get msg, "...not commercial group..." when I try to post a
for
> sale
> > > >to this group? I see other for sales how come? Help pls. Tnx Ed
> > > >
> > > >
> >
> > Since "John k." is obviously using an invalid ID and return e-mail
> address,
> > I'd take whatever advice he has to share with a LARGE grain of salt!
There
> never
> > has been a prohibition of private for sale or for auction notices in
this
> news
> > group until a bunch of self appointed news group busybodies, envious of
> others
> > who have something to sell and basically antithetical to the idea of
> private
> > bargain and sale transactions, began meddling by spreading
misinformation
> to
> > various users ISP's. If any of you so harassed can determine from your
> ISP the
> > actual identity of the individual(s) making these complaints a number of
> users
> > would be interested in learning who it is with a view to making a

> cyberstalking
> > complaint to the appropriate service providers.
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Dan Morisseau, N7ZXL - N 38°34'53", W 90°22'32", 680'
> > On the sunrise side of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
> > Watkins Johnson HF-1000: 60' Random Wire & Palomar Loop
> > ICOM R-7000/Optocom: Discone
> > "Political correctness is nothing more than tyranny with manners"
> >
> >
>
>

5303. Re: Value of Pro-2022?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 02:42:21 UTC
From: Richard T Paquette <r.paquette@snet.net>
Message-ID: <3BAFF03E.C659CAE@snet.net>
Source record: 59420

I was wondering. I have a pro 2022 but does not have 800 coverage. What is the date code on yours?

5304. Who's using radios on GROUND ZERO??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 02:56:37 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <FnSr7.47648$z7.11800585@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59421

Can anyone confirm any search and recovery traffic? Are'nt the men reporting thier findings while still underground via radio?? Would this be FDNY? FEMA? NYPD?

Also can anyone confirm any FBI activity reguarding WTC.

There should be a lot of desaster related traffic, but I'm not even getting anything interesting from District 1. What should I be scanning?

5305. Re: White Women Enslaved in Israel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 02:59:56 UTC
From: Madhusudan Singh <ar@sc.hc.de>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.33.0109242252130.23707-100000@cello.eecs.umich.edu>
Source record: 59422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

> > really is going on out there.
>
> You actually believe that garbage? LOL!
>
>
> Stan

Before dismissing anything as garbage you might also want to take a look
at :

http://www.sptimesrussia.com/secur/348-349/russian-sex.html

http://www.anti-slavery.org/pages/updates/usslave.html

I agree. This is garbage indeed.

5306. Re: White Women Enslaved in Israel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 03:08:27 UTC
From: Stan Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3bafe529_1@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59423
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Madhusudan Singh" <ar@sc.hc.de> wrote in message
news:Pine.LNX.4.33.0109242252130.23707-100000@cello.eecs.umich.edu...

How long have you worked (for the "vast right-wing conspiracy") at
University of Michigan?

> Before dismissing anything as garbage you might also want to take a look
> at :
>
> http://www.sptimesrussia.com/secur/348-349/russian-sex.html
>
> http://www.anti-slavery.org/pages/updates/usslave.html

LOL! Like net resources are usually accurate? And what planet do you live
on?

Stan

5307. Re: Pro-93 stats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 03:25:52 UTC
From: emoney250@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010924232552.01388.00000599@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 59424

For years we all did without CTCSS codes and such.....who really needs them (only the hard core scanner people, and that's fine).
Eric G.
one can have all the intelligence in the world, but with the lack of common sense, makes one just plain DUMB

5308. Who's on 169.975MHz in New York??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 04:13:20 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <AvTr7.48632$z7.11917842@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59425

Any ideas as to who is keeping soooo quiet on 169.750MHz? It sounds like law enforcement, but who??

Has anyone had any luck with counters near FED buildings? I did in NYPD Hq, but I doubt I could get in a Federal building anymore.

Tips on confirming local FED FQs?

5309. Re: Pro-93 stats

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 04:40:59 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3BB00ADA.95ED430B@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59426

For years, CTCSS was not commonly used, and we suffered without it.
But as the frequencies have become more crowded and overloaded, the
agencies using those frequencies have found it to be to their
advantage to implement CTCSS.

So it is used, it is available, and it is a simple, inexpensive
addition to common scanners, why should the scanner users be deprived
of it because some marketing nerd made a mistake. When scanners
without CTCSS do not sell as well as those with it, RS will see the
error of their ways.

After seeing what it can do to relieve the nuisance of distant,
undesired, agencies breaking squelch, I will never buy another scanner
without it!

RS take note!!

Walt

"Eric G." wrote:
>
> For years we all did without CTCSS codes and such.............who really needs
> them (only the hard core scanner people, and that's fine).
> Eric G.
> one can have all the intelligence in the world, but with the lack of common
> sense, makes one just plain DUMB

5310. Re: DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 05:40:44 UTC
From: Troy <troyrow@optusnet.com.au>
Message-ID: <3bb018ee$0$32418$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 59427
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Thanks for the response Paul. I await your direct e-mail.

Troy

"proma1" <proma1@ntlworld.com> wrote in message
news:mjCr7.1017$KP.182404@news2-win.server.ntlworld.com...
> Hi Troy,i did get your personal email btw,and will mail you personally
> soon,as i do more playing around.
>
> as stated in previous postings in regard to the AOR8200 posts furter
down,i
> will be specific to vhf/uhf airband,or rather mainly vhf
>
>
>
> vhf airband have running most of the time at home,and it goes very
> well,shame about the scan speed,but not a real problem being slow,as with
> many scanners the way you program can affect scan speed,and on banks where
i
> have gone in freq order it def seems faster.
>
>
> i have a variety of cut and wideband "rubber duck" type antennas and i
have
> used my tv active aerial and also my active aerial up in the loft,although
> until last night i was using the active with no power.
>
>
> copy is generally clean with little breakthrough until you get to using
the
> active aerials without the use of attenuation,an active aerial puts so
much
> into the signal you have to attenuate,on some freqs 10 db is fine others
20
> db,but when doing this it totally cleans the signal up,and now i can get
> ground tx from one NATS relay site and that includes Luton radar and
> Stansted radar that normally i cannot pick up by other means,as with a
radio
> like i would expect for this price,there are other ways of cleaning up a
> freq to improve on reception in regard to single freq transmissions as in
AM
> airband as follows.
>
>

>
> tun into published freq,ie for me Essex radar 120.625,reset the step size
to
> 50 hz,open squelch and just retune slowly as you would for sideband,and
> watch for the strongest peak on signal meter,and then store that to
memory.
>
> remember ATC relay stations are offset slightly anyway,and very rare to
find
> any transmitter 100%,so always worth a play around,also of course
initially
> reset the squelch just to the threshold,and then see how far you can go
> before ground transmissions are lost.
>
> uhf airband so far i have only tried at airshows,as finding the time for
> milair is not always possible the times i work,but will report back.
>
> but try one out,hopefully your local dealer will let you buy one,and if
you
> think it is deaf or for whatever reason doews not do the job,then try for
a
> refund or swap,a good dealer has to accept not all radios are going to do
> the job the way you want it.
>
>
>
> as for other functions and thoughts on the ALINCO,then for those
interested
> read the mails regarding this radio i posted on subject header "AOR8200"
> further down.
>
> but i am very happy with this unit.
>
> the radio is not as sensitive as some,at home using the supllied rubber is
> in most cases a waste of time,i would hope thst at the very least you use
a
> more longer type rubber duck or banded/telescopic or of course a more
potent
> aerial outside or in loft,if you do then this radio excels in all
areas,out
> and about with sometimes my stubby aerial,in high rf areas it does whats
> required,it monitors those local signals i am after,without any real
> problems of pager interference and if there is then i can use various
> methods to clean the signal,then when i get back indoors connect up to
> something better,and it does the job again.
>
>
>
> regards
>

>
> --
> PROMA1
> Paul Wey
>
> Scanning Author
>
> www.geocities.com/proma1_1999
>
> http://groups.yahoo.com/group/scanpromauk
>
>
>
>
> Troy <troyrow@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
> news:3bae64b6$0$18606$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> > Hi all,
> > I am considering buying a DJ-X2000. Since I have never owned an Alinco
> unit
> > before, I would appreciate any comment on their equipment, and this
radio
> in
> > particular.
> >
> > I intend to use it mainly for the VHF and UHF airbands, so I would
> > appreciate any comment on the units performance, i.e. selectivity and
> > sensitivity, on these frequencies.
> >
> > As always, many thanks in advance
> >
> > Troy
> >
> >
>
>

5311. Re: Silencing Noisy Neighbors Once and For all.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 05:43:22 UTC
From: EEng@nunyabiz.net
Message-ID: <uu50rtkke194l40h9nk9klq3nvgl35ogi5@4ax.com>
Source record: 59428
Cross-posted to: alt.dss.hack,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

On Mon, 24 Sep 2001 09:32:49 -0700, chupame <chupame@mi.pito> wrote:

>On Sun, 23 Sep 2001 22:51:50 -0700, EEng@nunyabiz.net wrote:
>>>You *still* don't know what you're talking about.
>>>Read my lips: IT IS ILLEGAL TO LISTEN ALSO!!!!!
>
>>Well I'll tell you what, you re-read all the sections that apply to
>>this topic and you'll see that they all talk about conveying the
>>content, whether by mouth, by pen and paper, or by tape or any other
>>method of conveyance as being illegal (besides manufacturing rules).
>>They do not stipulate that the mere listening is illegal. Now, since
>>you insist on becoming insulting rather than having a normal
>>conversation, you go on my kill list.
>>EEng
>
>Kill filtering me won't change the fact that you *still* don't know
>what you are talking about. You're wrong and you don't want to hear
>that you're wrong. Don't listen to *me*, listen to the posters in the
>SCANNER groups, they know more about this stuff than you and me.
>They already set you straight, but you hate to admit that you're
>wrong.
>
>BTW, I've insulted you? You have got to be kidding. I'll insult you
>now, though: WHAT A WUSS YOU ARE!!!
>
>
First of all, I'm not in your scanner NG. You've cross posted to I
don't know how many. Second, if you carefully read all the statutes
and laws, they repeatedly deal with the manufacture of current
systems. Some also make pointed reference to the legality of
listening in. They stipulate recording and making use of that
content. In the very few that mention listening, aka eavesdropping,
they are very specific about the conveyance of content. They mention
recording by any means, repeating by any means. They do not stipulate
listening EXCEPT to talk about the difference between One party and
Two party States. I already said that in that context, using a
scanner to listen to others in a conversation you are not part of is
illegal and I admitted I was incorrect on that point. What more do I
have to say and why would you insist on beating that dead horse? Are
you expecting me to repeat what I already said and beg your
forgiveness? I admit when I'm wrong. I did so like a man, now you
insist on continuing it? That's juvenile. By the way, how about
keeping your topics in your own channel and stop cross posting to

everyone. Goodbye. I will not address this issue again and all of you from alt.scanners or whereever you are owe the other NGs that are NOT about scanners an apology for wasting their time.

EEng

5312. RS CATALOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 06:11:03 UTC
From: erzog <erzog@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3BB04A4D.BB31DE2E@hotmail.com>
Source record: 59429

As far as I know to sell the catalog is under discretion of each store

5313. What Happened to Milair Yahoo Club ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 10:48:47 UTC
From: cathexis@your.haterols.com
Message-ID: <3bb0604d.69137754@news.erols.com>
Source record: 59430

Greetings,

Does anybody know what happened to the Yahoo Club Milair ??
All links to it, it's associated web-site, and e-mails to the founder fail. If
Larry Fowler read this or anybody knows please respond. Certain e-mails
from this site were hugely helpful in getting me going in milair.

KG4JBM

Andrew

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

5314. Re: DJ-X2000

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 11:14:33 UTC
From: Paul <not@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <9opouo$sj5$1@neptunium.btinternet.com>
Source record: 59431
Cross-posted to: aus.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

"Troy" <troyrow@optusnet.com.au> wrote in message
news:3bb018ee$0$32418$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au...
> Thanks for the response Paul. I await your direct e-mail.
>
> Troy
>

Watch him he's on commission!

5315. Re: Does anyone have a book on scanner repair?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 11:54:53 UTC
From: David Trickle <gumby1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3bb07150$0$12820$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 59432

Anyone have a used copy I could get?
David Trickle <gumby1@core.com> wrote in message
news:3bae61b5$0$35755$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> I have heard of a book called "The Orange Book of Scanner Repair". Does
> anyone have one? I want to know if it is worth buying? Drop me an email
> dtrickle@coredcs.com
>
> Thanks
>
>

5316. found it...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 12:33:25 UTC
From: Larry Cohen <ppcdoc@home.com>
Message-ID: <pQ_r7.59490$xB1.15447451@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 59433

and it's been returned..absolutely terrible...never heard such alternator whine/crackles before...same thing in my wife's car....both run perfectly with NO known electrical problems...
"Tom Sevart" <n2uhc@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:9nnpge$8gltf$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de...
>
> "DougSlug" <twinpoppa@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:TyIn7.7959$f7.1865415@news02.optonline.net...
> > Gotta warn you, though...the Radio Shack device that does this is
> terrible.
>
> > The main problem was that
> > the two frequencies it offers for local transmission were BOTH used (or
> > interefered with) by local radio stations (central NJ area). You also
> have
> > to place it near your radio antenna, which for me was in the back of my
> > station wagon, and it STILL worked very poorly and the sound quality was
> FAR
> > worse than typical FM stereo quality, even coming out of a portable CD
> > player. My advice would be to try to find a different product.
> >
>
> The SoundFeeder allows you to select any frequency in the FM broadcast band.
> I normally choose either the very upper or very lower limit of the band. It
> has a fairly good range, strong enough signal to use in a vehicle.
> Unfortunately I try to use mine while listening to the scanner in a
> different room in the house. I added a few jumper wires with alligator
> clips in series to the short 3" whip antenna, and the range improved quite a
> bit. I've been meaning to replace the whip with a cordless phone whip, but
> so far haven't gotten around to it.
>
> Tom
>
>

5317. Re: WTB Alinco battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 12:52:11 UTC
From: Robyn <robynsjw@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <250920010752175217%robynsjw@yahoo.com>
Source record: 59434

In article <3BAFA729.C57F9A8F@centurytel.net>, Harry
<HGK@centurytel.net> wrote:

> Where can I obtain an Alinco EDH-16 dry cell battery case?
> TIA
> Harry

Search for BATTERIES on Yahoo, go to their ADVANCED SEARCH link, right by the SEARCH button. I only found 2 or 3 places that carried them last year when I was looking for them. Maybe, just maybe, one of the places was batteries.com. You might also look for a BATTERIES R US, or something like that in your area yellow pages, it's a place that will replace battery packs in almost anything they can open up, they may know of someplace that handles the dry-cell cases.

Good luck!

- Robyn

5318. Re: What Happened to Milair Yahoo Club ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 13:20:59 UTC
From: "Eric F. Richards" <efricha@flatland.dimensional.com>
Message-ID: <%w%r7.763$mr3.26770@wormhole.dimensional.com>
Source record: 59435

Reticulum <cathexis@your.haterols.com> wrote:
> Greetings,

> Does anybody know what happened to the Yahoo Club Milair ??
> All links to it, it's associated web-site, and e-mails to the founder fail. If
> Larry Fowler read this or anybody knows please respond. Certain e-mails
> from this site were hugely helpful in getting me going in milair.

The listowner shut it down after the WTC attack. He felt that
posting military activity in time of war was inappropriate.

Agree or disagree, he is the listowner and he can shut it down
if he so chooses.

Eric
--
Eric F. Richards
efricha@dimensional.com
"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
- Dilbert

5319. 25 live scanners on line on new website

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 13:28:28 UTC
From: PI6ALK ATV repeater <info@pi6alk.nl>
Message-ID: <9oq0ps$2bg7$1@scavenger.euro.net>
Source record: 59436
Cross-posted to: nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

De nieuwe site voor live scanners kan worden gevonden op:
The new site for live scanners can be found at:

http://www.mxstreamplanet.com

De lijst wordt dagelijks aangevuld met nieuwe scanners
The list will be updated on a daily basis with new scanners

5320. Re: What Happened to Milair Yahoo Club ???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 14:04:55 UTC
From: cathexis@your.haterols.com
Message-ID: <3bb08ec1.81031560@news.erols.com>
Source record: 59437

Thanks Eric. I can understand, but I wish he'd at least left
his e-mail active because he was so very helpful.

>
>The listowner shut it down after the WTC attack. He felt that
>posting military activity in time of war was inappropriate.
>
>Agree or disagree, he is the listowner and he can shut it down
>if he so chooses.
>
>Eric
>--
>Eric F. Richards
>efricha@dimensional.com
>"The weird part is that I can feel productive even when I'm doomed."
> - Dilbert

Andrew

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

5321. FS: Kenwood TH-D7A(g) w/Accessories

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 15:00:18 UTC
From: Garr <garr_1099@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3bb09c03$1@rpc1284.daytonoh.ncr.com>
Source record: 59438
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.equipment,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I have the following accessories for sale
0) Kenwood Th-D7A(g)
1) Kenwood case for this radio from Kenwood(SC-40)
2) Garmin GPS Cable
3) PG-4W PC Cable
4) Boom Mic(EMC-3)
5) Extra battery (BT-11)
6) Kenwood In-Depth Manual on CD from Kenwood

Radio and Accessories are all in excellent condition. $365.00 Shipped with Insurance

Email if interested

5322. Need help with PRO-2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 15:16:05 UTC
From: bones@graveyard.com
Message-ID: <3bb01f7d.330863@news1.newscene.com>
Source record: 59439

Hello,
I have the Radio Shack PRO-2005 scanner the back light is not working
can anyone let me know where to look for this problem I don't have any
paper work it.
Thanks
Bud.

5323. Re: looking for product...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 15:31:02 UTC
From: "Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH" <kd5nrh@kd5nrh.net>
Message-ID: <D46733D21ED2E910.649CB31599A83E30.FCA5187E69B97C47@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 59440

On Tue, 11 Sep 2001 14:14:08 -0700, "Dev" <.> wrote:

>any small fm transmitter will do that. Most Radio Shacks have it. for people
>that have portable cd players and want to play it on their fm radio in the
>hose or car.

My hose doesn't have a radio...is this a common option? ;)

>all it is. is a headphone type male jack hooked up to a small transmitter.
>transmitting on normal fm radio.

Wasn't there somebody making one of these that actually hooked up
inline between the antenna and radio? Seems to me that it would be
less likely to get outside interference that way. If I could find one
like that, it would be preferable, IMO, to have a selector switch
and/or a mixer on the dash controlling what feeds it, than to have all
my gear running to Rat Shack external speakers that will soon be
competing with each other for the limited mounting space.

Joe Bramblett, KD5NRH

5324. Did anybody hear of this one yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 16:08:00 UTC
From: thepolishdude@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5a04c827.0109250808.78c3879c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59441
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

This came to me through e-mail. I do NOT know how true this is. So please be very careful.

Date: 9/25/01 9:08AM
Subject: FW: VOTE virus

Apparently, there is another new virus going around that comes as an e-mail
asking you to vote on whether we should go to war because of the World Trade
Center attacks. The attachment will be called WTC.EXE.

DON'T OPEN IT. DELETE IT. I hope we have learned the lesson from the NIMDA
virus from last week.

Norton doesn't have the virus files yet to detect and protect against this
yet, so use some common sense when opening e-mails. The e-mail may come from
someone you know, but that is how some viruses work.

As soon as new virus files are on the web-site, we will send them out.

Thanks.

5325. Re: Did anybody hear of this one yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 16:18:30 UTC
From: David <david.obrien@t-online.de>
Message-ID: <9oqaop$8eu$04$1@news.t-online.com>
Source record: 59442
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

Yes, I saw it on TV today. Seems to delete your hard disk. So DON'T OPEN
THIS .EXE FILE!!!!!!!

Bye
David

5326. Re: Did anybody hear of this one yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 16:22:03 UTC
From: %
Message-ID: <3bb0af1b.4492032@news.fsj.net>
Source record: 59443
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

On Tue, 25 Sep 2001 18:18:30 +0200, "David" <david.obrien@t-online.de>
wrote:

>Yes, I saw it on TV today. Seems to delete your hard disk. So DON'T OPEN
>THIS .EXE FILE!!!!!!!
>
>Bye
>David
>
>
good thing i got 3 hardrives

5327. Re: Did anybody hear of this one yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 16:29:16 UTC
From: Cyberwize <cyberwize@Valkyrie.Net>
Message-ID: <3bb0b115_2@athena.netset.com>
Source record: 59444
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

It is true.
So far as I know 2 different firms say two different things.
One says it will mass delete html and dll files or all files
The other say it trys to reformat the hard drive.

Beware.

Lord Cyberwize

"Polish Dude" <thepolishdude@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5a04c827.0109250808.78c3879c@posting.google.com...
> This came to me through e-mail. I do NOT know how true this is. So
> please be very careful.
>
> Date: 9/25/01 9:08AM
> Subject: FW: VOTE virus
>
>
>
>
> Apparently, there is another new virus going around that comes as an
> e-mail
> asking you to vote on whether we should go to war because of the World
> Trade
> Center attacks. The attachment will be called WTC.EXE.
>
> DON'T OPEN IT. DELETE IT. I hope we have learned the lesson from the
> NIMDA
> virus from last week.
>
> Norton doesn't have the virus files yet to detect and protect against
> this
> yet, so use some common sense when opening e-mails. The e-mail may
> come from
> someone you know, but that is how some viruses work.
>
> As soon as new virus files are on the web-site, we will send them out.
>
> Thanks.

5328. Re: 11.175mhz. Stop the Nostradamus Stuff. Educate yourself..read the book..not the emails.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 17:58:30 UTC
From: thepolishdude@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5a04c827.0109250958.54532867@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59445
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

If this is Part II (2, two, too) then can somebody please e-mail Part I

to me @ the following two e-mail addresses:

thepolishdude@yahoo.com

thepolishdude@excite.com

Thank you very much.

"Martin F. O'Rourke" <greymax@erols.com> wrote in message
news:<3BB03621.4DE7B4BE@erols.com>...
> I've heard all of this nonsense too. Thank you for calling what it is. We went
> through the same thing when President Kennedy was murdered. Many years ago my
> dad got interested in N. when he heard that N. had predicted the Second World
> War, and went on to talk about he atomic bomb, Neptune and Pluto were
> mentioned. He never could find the passages as quoted, again someone
> paraphrased and many went bonkers over it.
>
> Valkrie wrote:
>
> > Please find the attached for your education.
> >
> > Rumor Watch: Terrorist Attacks on U.S.
> >
> > Part 2: Did Nostradamus Predict the Tragedy?
> >
> > I have no doubt that some will
> > seriously claim that the 16th-century
> > prophet Nostradamus predicted the
> > World Trade Center and Pentagon attacks in his writings —
> > such claims follow virtually every modern disaster — but
> > various "quatrains" already being circulated under Nostradamus'
> > name are demonstrably bogus.
> >
> > First example:
> >
> > "In the City of God there will be a great thunder,
> > Two brothers torn apart by Chaos, while the
> > fortress endures, the great leader will succumb",
> > The third big war will begin when the big city is burning"
> >
> > - Nostradamus 1654

> >
> > Unfortunately, Nostradamus died in 1566, so it's rather unlikely
> > he wrote this passage in 1654. The quatrain is not to be found
> > in his published oeuvre. It's a hoax.
> >
> > The text apparently originated on a Web page entitled "A
> > Critical Analysis of Nostradamus," written several years ago by
> > a student named Neil Marshall. Marshall made up the quatrain
> > to demonstrate — quite ironically, in light of how it is now
> > being misused — that the writings of Nostradamus are so
> > cryptic that they can be interpreted to mean almost anything.
> >
> > Two metal birds?
> >
> > An interesting variant of the faux prophecy was posted in the
> > newsgroup soc.culture.palestine under the heading "They
> > followed his prediction":
> >
> > In the City of God there will be a great
> > thunder, Two brothers torn apart by
> > Chaos,while the fortress endures, the great
> > leader will succumb'The third big war will
> > begin when the big city is burning'-
> > Nostradamus 1654 ...on the 11 day of the 9
> > month that...two metal birds would crash
> > into two tall statues...in the new city..and
> > the world will end soon after" "From the
> > book of Nostradamus"
> >
> > Again, this text cannot be found in Nostradamus' writings. It's a hoax.
> >
> > Third variant, circulating by email:
> >
> > Subject: Re: Nostradamus
> >
> > Century 6, Quatrain 97
> >
> > Two steel birds will fall from the sky on the
> > Metropolis. The sky will burn at forty-five degrees latitude.
> > Fire approaches the great new city
> >
> > [New York City lies between 40-45 degrees]
> > Immediately a huge, scattered flame leaps up.
> > Within months, rivers will flow with blood.
> > The undead will roam earth for little time.
> >
> > This is a revision of an actual verse by Nostradamus. The original is
> > typically translated this way:
> >
> > The sky will burn at forty-five degrees latitude,

> > Fire approaches the great new city
> > Immediately a huge, scattered flame leaps up
> > When they want to have verification from the Normans.
> >
> > Nostradamus never mentioned "two steel birds," nor did he write that "the
> > undead will roam the earth." New York City lies at exactly 40 degrees, 42
> > minutes, 51 seconds north latitude. While it isn't false to say it lies
> > "between 40-45 degrees," it's imprecise, misleading and an obvious ploy to
> > make the prophecy seem relevant.
> >
> > A third big war?
> >
> > Variant #4, circulating by email:
> >
> > Nostradamus' prediction on WW3:
> >
> > "In the year of the new century and nine months,
> > From the sky will come a great King of Terror...
> > The sky will burn at forty-five degrees.
> > Fire approaches the great new city..."
> >
> > "In the city of york there will be a great collapse,
> > 2 twin brothers torn apart by chaos
> > while the fortress falls the great leader will succumb
> > third big war will begin when the big city is burning"
> >
> > - NOSTRADAMUS
> >
> > He said this will be bigger than the
> > previous two. 2001 is the first year of the
> > new century and this is the 9th month. New
> > York is located at the 41st degree Latitude.
> >
> > In this case, a very few words actually written by Nostradamus have been
> > creatively enhanced by person(s) unknown.
> > Notice the addition of a reference to a "third big war" to the passage
> > already circulating. Pure bunk.
> >
> > NDS wrote in message ...
> > >
> > >11.175 usb.
> > >Andrews airbase to mainsail.
> > >
> > >Intersting email I just recived...
> > >
> > >Look what Nostradamus predicted!
> > >
> > >> > > > > "In the year of the new century and nine months,
> > >> > > > > From the sky will come a great King of Terror...
> > >> > > > > The sky will burn at forty-five degrees.

> > >> > > > > Fire approaches the great new city..."
> > >> > > > >
> > >> > > > > "In the city of york there will be a great collapse, 2 twin
> brothers
> torn
> > >> > > > > apart by chaos while the fortress falls, the great leader will
> succumb.
> > >> > > > > the third big war will begin when the big city is burning" -
> > >> > > > > NOSTRADAMUS - 1654 AD
> > >> > > > > >
> > >> > > > > > NB.
> > >> > > > > >
> > >> > > > > > * 2001 is the first year of the new century and this is the 9th
> month.
> > >> > > > > > * New York is located at the 45th Latitude
> > >> > > > > > * He also said that this will be the third World War and it would
> be
> > >> > > > > bigger than the last two wars.
> > >> > > > > > * Hope he is wrong, but judging from his predictions of Hitler,
> > >> > > > > Napoleon, and Pearl Harbor etc.
> > >
> > >
> > >

5329. Re: AT&T 9311 Cordless Phone Frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 18:15:38 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9oqh9l01e9k@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59347

then buy a frequency counter.
900 Mhz cordless's freq change from one manufacturer to the next. but mose start around 902 and end at 906 about. for both sides of the conversation. but most still keep the 12.5 Khz steps. Some I have seen not. so. as there was someone who posted you some freqs. I forget where it was. probably in alt.radio.scanner. it is probably easier to scan them out. or use a frequency counter.

"Db77619" <db77619@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20010924214940.20490.00000603@mb-cn.aol.com...
> Does anyone have a list of frequencies for the AT&T 9311 900 Mhz Cordless
> Phone(Base Frequencies)?
> We just recently purchased one brand new.
> I have the first frequency and need the other 29. I found the first
frequency
> by searching the 900 Mhz Cordless phone band. I don't seem to have enough
time
> to search for the others.
> Thanks in advance.

5330. Re: Did anybody hear of this one yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 18:32:25 UTC
From: John Miller <jsm@n4vu.com>
Message-ID: <9oqilu$bc0$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 59446
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

"Cyberwize" <cyberwize@Valkyrie.Net> wrote in message
news:3bb0b115_2@athena.netset.com...
> So far as I know 2 different firms say two different things.
> One says it will mass delete html and dll files or all files
> The other say it trys to reformat the hard drive.

Details here:

http://msn.zdnet.com/zdfeeds/msncobrand/reviews/0%2C13828%2C2813458-hud00025
hm3%2C00.html
--
John Miller

5331. Re: Who's on 169.975MHz in New York??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 18:58:45 UTC
From: ryannosaurus <killingfloor658@icqmail.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.161a73c1218866b8989822@News.CIS.DFN.DE>
Source record: 59348

There was little doubt the rec.radio.scanner med cabinet had been
pilfered when Viper56-FW said:

> Any ideas as to who is keeping soooo quiet on 169.750MHz? It sounds like law
> enforcement, but who??

That's a BLM freq, usually associated with fire air-ground ops out west.
I'd heard they pulled most of their Law Enforcement folks from out here
in the west to support the disaster effort, so that may be who you're
hearing.

>
> Has anyone had any luck with counters near FED buildings? I did in NYPD Hq,
> but I doubt I could get in a Federal building anymore.
>
> Tips on confirming local FED FQs?
>
>
>

--
radio k-ry now beaming subliminal messages from mp3.com
http://stations.mp3s.com/stations/222/kry.html

5332. Re: Need help with PRO-2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 19:02:49 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <tx4s7.8467$xG6.2933228@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 59349

Probably needs a new display. I have no idea if you can still buy one but if I were you, I'd start at the Tandy site and download the manual and parts list for the scanner.

"Reaper" <bones@graveyard.com> wrote in message news:3bb01f7d.330863@news1.newscene.com...
> Hello,
> I have the Radio Shack PRO-2005 scanner the back light is not working
> can anyone let me know where to look for this problem I don't have any
> paper work it.
> Thanks
> Bud.
>

5333. Re: Who's on 169.975MHz in New York??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 20:15:43 UTC
From: ryannosaurus <killingfloor658@icqmail.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.161a85ce7003c810989829@News.CIS.DFN.DE>
Source record: 59350

There was little doubt the rec.radio.scanner med cabinet had been
pilfered when ryannosaurus said:
> There was little doubt the rec.radio.scanner med cabinet had been
> pilfered when Viper56-FW said:
>
> > Any ideas as to who is keeping soooo quiet on 169.750MHz? It sounds like law
> > enforcement, but who??
>
> That's a BLM freq, usually associated with fire air-ground ops out west.
> I'd heard they pulled most of their Law Enforcement folks from out here
> in the west to support the disaster effort, so that may be who you're
> hearing.

whoops, that was inre to 169.750, that you mentioned in the body, not
169.975.

169.975 I see listed as USFS Toiyabe National Forest, but also is marked
as "classified" in the consolidated freq list I have.

>
>
>
> >
> > Has anyone had any luck with counters near FED buildings? I did in NYPD Hq,
> > but I doubt I could get in a Federal building anymore.
> >
> > Tips on confirming local FED FQs?
> >
> >
> >
>
>

--
radio k-ry now beaming subliminal messages from mp3.com
http://stations.mp3s.com/stations/222/kry.html

5334. Re: Chomsky Speaks on Bombings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 20:25:15 UTC
From: BikerDude <GoSpamSomeonelse@OK.com>
Message-ID: <oK5s7.110$Cu2.4911@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 59351
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

Bushs' advisors are all former military staff. That scenario is unlikely.

"Phil Wise" <philwise@paradise.net.nz> wrote in message
news:lMEo7.8623$ww1.817981@news02.tsnz.net...
>
> "Keith Grossman" <keithgrossman@nospam.home.com> wrote in message
> news:8DDo7.68607$xb.35774823@news1.mntp1.il.home.com...
> > "Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net> came out of hiding to expound:
> > > >
> > > > Maybe it failed because it's a bullshit sentiment from some ultra
> > > left-wing pacifist?
> > >
> > > No.
> >
> > Yes, absolutely.
> >
> > I just noticed that this thread has been crossposted to several
> newsgroups. I am posting from the
> > rec.skydiving newsgroup and it's really very obvious that we have
nothing
> in common so could you all just
> > exclude our ng from your discussions from now on? Cool.
> >
> > Oh, and you folks and Norm Chomsky might not want to go suckin' each
> other's dicks just yet either because
> > despite all of your bullshit rhetoric, we are about to get violent.
>
> The glee in this last remark takes the gloves created by respect for the
> innocent dead off. How can you be so excited about adding to them?
>
> Embrace violence of the sort that created this mess, yeah. Ignore basic
> history from one of America's greatest intellectuals (yes, Mr Chomski),
and
> with it the chance to learn the odd lesson, pull your heads out of the
sand.
> Prefer to believe that America is a peaceful nation (you've been fighting
> between two and four wars at a time since 1990), and that this assault
came
> out of nowhere.
>
> America is enormously powerful. It has the power to grant the terrorists
> their wish, and start world war three, where they can finally unite the
> islamic world against the west (believe me, the Islamic world as a whole

> would prefer to avoid this, but world war one started in a similar
fashion).
> America also has the power to spare the world this fate. I say this
> unequivocally, as a man with children: if world war three starts over
this,
> it will be the fault of the US. They had all the choices. They will have
> given a fanatical fringe element exactly what they want, and sucked us all
> into something terrible as a result. So ignore history. Let the violence
> begin. Insult people who disagree with you, all in the name of freedom
and
> righteous anger. Please let Bush's advisors be smarter than this.
>
> Now back to your recklessness.
>
>
>
> >
> > Keith Grossman
> > D17016
> >
> >
> >
>
>

5335. Re: Did anybody hear of this one yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 21:31:23 UTC
From: Podgee&#65533; <peter_alexander@dingoblue.net.au>
Message-ID: <3bb0f95e$0$32433$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 59352
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

```
it might del all 3 hrad drive
<%> wrote in message news:3bb0af1b.4492032@news.fsj.net...
> On Tue, 25 Sep 2001 18:18:30 +0200, "David" <david.obrien@t-online.de>
> wrote:
>
> >Yes, I saw it on TV today. Seems to delete your hard disk. So DON'T OPEN
> >THIS .EXE FILE!!!!!!!
> >
> >Bye
> >David
> >
> >
> good thing i got 3 hardrives
```

5336. Re: RS CATALOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 22:24:25 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <tu7s7.3275$w7.879806@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59353

Yes, they ask you if you'd like a catalog and slip it into the bag and ring
up the price unless you catch them at it. Bad business practice.

PJ

"erzog" <erzog@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3BB04A4D.BB31DE2E@hotmail.com...
> As far as I know to sell the catalog is under discretion of each store
>

5337. Re: Who's using radios on GROUND ZERO??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 22:30:45 UTC
From: "Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net>
Message-ID: <pA7s7.3322$w7.889074@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59354

Scan these freqs and you'll hear lots of things happening in NYC:

470.8125
470.7375
470.6875
470.8375

These are NOT trunked, BTW.

Paul (in NJ)

"Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com> wrote in message
news:FnSr7.47648$z7.11800585@news02.optonline.net...
> Can anyone confirm any search and recovery traffic? Are'nt the men
reporting
> thier findings while still underground via radio?? Would this be FDNY?
FEMA?
> NYPD?
>
> Also can anyone confirm any FBI activity reguarding WTC.
>
> There should be a lot of desaster related traffic, but I'm not even
getting
> anything interesting from District 1. What should I be scanning?
>
>

5338. Police Call 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-25 23:35:11 UTC
From: KOBL <OIJ@KOBL.com>
Message-ID: <Pw8s7.32753$6c5.1327523@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 59355

Is Police Call 2002 on CD-ROM worth buying?

Thanks!

5339. Re: Need help with PRO-2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 00:00:40 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <tr26l2eau15140@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59356

Bud, between the lines is a cut & paste from this newsgroup
from 2 years ago:
==

As long as you don't need an EL larger than 1.7"x 3.75", then I've
found a source...

Details on the EL kit are located here:

http://www.microstru.com/ELexp-kit.html

The kit is $22.95 and includes the EL and different color lenses.
If you just want the white EL panel, it sells for $15.95.

For pricing and info on ordering, follow this link:

http://www.microstru.com/EL-Lamps.html

While I haven't ordered any yet, I will this weekend. I saw their
ad in Nuts & Volts magazine. I have no affiliation with their
company.

Robert
http://dune.globe-net.net/~rc123/Robert/

==

Den6N
Putnam Co NY

.....
.....

> "Reaper" <bones@graveyard.com> wrote in message
> news:3bb01f7d.330863@news1.newscene.com...
> > Hello,
> > I have the Radio Shack PRO-2005 scanner the back light is not working
> > can anyone let me know where to look for this problem I don't have any
> > paper work it.
> > Thanks
> > Bud.
> >
>
>

5340. Re: Why cannot post

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 00:59:03 UTC
From: Neville Y <scubadiver@bigfoot.com>
Message-ID: <XJ9s7.10683$ww1.953781@news02.tsnz.net>
Source record: 59358

Hey its getting close to December.
> HOHOHOHOHOHOHOHOHOHOHOHOHOHOHO !

"Never Anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:md52rtgr09f29e5a9mbvqsosiekcnurdga@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 25 Sep 2001 01:57:33 GMT, Dan Morisseau
> <dpmorisseau3@earthlink.net> wrote:
>
> > let us know on this news group and we can write that
> >person's ISP and get him for cyberstalking.
>
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA !
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

5341. Re: RS CATALOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 00:59:30 UTC
From: Barry <nospam@snet.net>
Message-ID: <3BB12877.FED315DA@snet.net>
Source record: 59357

"Paul J. Wolsko" wrote:
>
> Yes, they ask you if you'd like a catalog and slip it into the bag and ring
> up the price unless you catch them at it. Bad business practice.
>
> PJ
>
> "erzog" <erzog@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3BB04A4D.BB31DE2E@hotmail.com...
> > As far as I know to sell the catalog is under discretion of each store
> >

A local store simply handed one to me. I had not shopped there in two
or three years.

Barry

5342. FS: BC200XLT Full Coverage 800MHZ Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 01:31:12 UTC
From: Gene Protas <protas@flash.net>
Message-ID: <3BB12FA4.F9DBA4DD@flash.net>
Source record: 59359
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

For Sale:

Uniden BC200XLT 200 Channel handheld scanner. In absolutely new condition (still has protective plastic lens cover). Currently unmodified. Capable of full 800mhz coverage with very simple modification. Scanner complete with case, rechargeable battery, antenna, ac adapter/charger. $150 shipped CONUS

5343. Re: Did anybody hear of this one yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 01:55:58 UTC
From: "Mark R. Smith" <mark1smi@hotpop.com>
Message-ID: <mark1smi-E0D449.18555825092001@news.cwnet.com>
Source record: 59360
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

In article <3bb0af1b.4492032@news.fsj.net>, % wrote:

> On Tue, 25 Sep 2001 18:18:30 +0200, "David" <david.obrien@t-online.de>
> wrote:
>
> >Yes, I saw it on TV today. Seems to delete your hard disk. So DON'T OPEN
> >THIS .EXE FILE!!!!!!!
> >
> >Bye
> >David
> >
> >
> good thing i got 3 hardrives
Great thing I have an Apple and 3 harddrives

--
Mark R. Smith
Davis, Ca. USA
mark1smi@hotpop.com

5344. Re: RS CATALOG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 01:59:21 UTC
From: "(Will Blaylock) see tag below for email" <user@spamfree.org>
Message-ID: <ihd2rtsh6dd21gb5832rg57f7i84csntkp@4ax.com>
Source record: 59361

"Paul J. Wolsko" <pwolsko@optonline.net> wrote:

>Yes, they ask you if you'd like a catalog and slip it into the bag and ring
>up the price unless you catch them at it. Bad business practice.
>
>PJ
>
>
>"erzog" <erzog@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:3BB04A4D.BB31DE2E@hotmail.com...
>> As far as I know to sell the catalog is under discretion of each store

I have not had a charge added for three catalogs one for home and two
for work all from seperate stores, YMMV
>>
>

Allow your children the opportunity to grow up without government intervention.
POLICE YOUR OWN CHILDREN BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT BRAINWASHES THEM!
KEEP **OUR** internet FREE from censorship.

W. A. Blaylock
http://www.xmission.com/~wblalok
wblalok at xmission dot com
p.o. box 526092 Salt Lake, UT 84152

5345. The Shadow Side of Scanning War

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 02:08:29 UTC
From: cathexis@your.haterols.com
Message-ID: <3bb132ec.13126537@news.erols.com>
Source record: 59362

There's the standard milair comms and then there's the fringe.
I'm looking for the U-2 and SR-71 freqs. that may be out there. We can assume that the U-2's are likely to be out of Incerlik, Turkey or Peshawar Pak. as the bases are there. This probably means VHF/UHF and scrambled. And way far away for us. Flights may originate from Edwards or Nellis which leaves this Easterner SOL. But true high fliers may have to resort to HF, especially if they're crossing Russia which may be implied in Putin's comments about "sharing intelligence."

But then we get to the fringe, the un-proven, the,.....plain weird?
I'm talking about all the rumors and supposed sightings of Aurora and other Black Craft (including pilotless) that have been discussed from Av.Week to Art Bell to the ng's and beyond. I've known more than one who's wondered what the heck that really was that went by almost too high to see and faster than a bat outa hell. And hey, wouldn't this be a great time for all those Area 51 saucers and projects to come up out of the Nevada desert and do some ass-whomping on Afganistan??

Now please, I'm not trying to draw flames, I'm just asking for comments on whether "mundane" freqs like U-2 and SR-71 are knowable. Also curious to speculate on what freqs might be used if some of the wilder stuff is flying around up there. I am not trying to come across as a kook. Instead I'm suggesting that everyone expects to SEE such things but as scanners we ought to be trying to HEAR them which (if they were real) would be a whole lot more likely. Thoughts???

Andrew

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

5346. Re: White Women Enslaved in Israel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 02:23:28 UTC
From: Shalom Peres <Shalom@Israel.org>
Message-ID: <A_as7.39761$QK.29034553@news1.sttln1.wa.home.com>
Source record: 59363
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

"Stan Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net> wrote in message
news:3bafd02b_2@news.newzpig.com...

The White slavery in Israel has gone on for at least two decades and was covered up entirely for more than a decade by all Western media sources who were quite aware of it. The "Russian Mafia" is still described by Western media sources as "Russian" with no mention that it is composed of Jews. This is done not for the bogus reasons given when confronted but because international crime-the mafia-is a Jewish controlled phenomenon. Visit the FBI website and peruse the "Most Wanted" lists. Jews are quite prevalent. They launder the money for Columbian drug lords and import weapons from Russia for the various communist rebel groups fighting the Columbian government and before long U.S. soldiers. When they are discovered and arrest warrants issued they flee for Israel where the Israeli government plays dumb, pretending that they are not in the country. Then these criminals train other Jews and send them out to continue the enterprise.

Most of the Ecstasy is manufactured in Israel and then smuggled to Europe and America.

It's also interesting to note that in the article the Israeli government is holding these raped and beaten women-many of whom were kidnapped and brought to Israel-in prison! When they are done with their bogus show trial of the Jew pimps, rapists and kidnappers they say without embassassment that these despoiled women will be deported back to the impoverished countries where they came from. Forget about becoming Israeli citizens and getting a real job. Israel is an explicitly racist state and these women are considered to be animals (goyim) by the racist, Zionist state of Israel.

5347. Re: The Shadow Side of Scanning War

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 02:29:05 UTC
From: neilyx <neilyx@nova.org>
Message-ID: <9orehh$ne8@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 59364

Would you recognize them if you heard them?

--

Neil
"Reticulum" <cathexis@your.haterols.com> wrote in message
news:3bb132ec.13126537@news.erols.com...
>
>
>
> There's the standard milair comms and then there's the fringe.
> I'm looking for the U-2 and SR-71 freqs. that may be out there. We can
> assume that the U-2's are likely to be out of Incerlik, Turkey or Peshawar
> Pak. as the bases are there. This probably means VHF/UHF and scrambled.
> And way far away for us. Flights may originate from Edwards or Nellis
which
> leaves this Easterner SOL. But true high fliers may have to resort to HF,
> especially if they're crossing Russia which may be implied in Putin's
comments
> about "sharing intelligence."
>
> But then we get to the fringe, the un-proven, the,.....plain weird?
> I'm talking about all the rumors and supposed sightings of Aurora and
other
> Black Craft (including pilotless) that have been discussed from Av.Week
to
> Art Bell to the ng's and beyond. I've known more than one who's wondered
> what the heck that really was that went by almost too high to see and
faster
> than a bat outa hell. And hey, wouldn't this be a great time for all those
Area
> 51 saucers and projects to come up out of the Nevada desert and do some
> ass-whomping on Afganistan??
>
> Now please, I'm not trying to draw flames, I'm just asking for comments
> on whether "mundane" freqs like U-2 and SR-71 are knowable. Also curious
to
> speculate on what freqs might be used if some of the wilder stuff is
flying
> around up there. I am not trying to come across as a kook. Instead I'm
> suggesting that everyone expects to SEE such things but as scanners we
ought to
> be trying to HEAR them which (if they were real) would be a whole lot
more
> likely. Thoughts???

>
>
> Andrew
>
> Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

5348. Re: White Women Enslaved in Israel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 02:36:58 UTC
From: Stan Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3bb12f46_5@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

A "homey" (posting from home.com) whines:
>organizaton - "Jews for Aryans"

There's no such thing as a Jew for Aryans.

[KILO-BS-SNIP!]

Stan

5349. AOL removing posts from this NG?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 02:42:02 UTC
From: db77619@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925224202.20546.00000663@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 59366

Is Aol removing posts from this NG? I had to post my message twice already and now I see that it's gone again. My post was relevant to this NG.
I will see about posting again, and if they remove it again I'm packing up and leaving this NG.

5350. Re: AOL removing posts from this NG?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 02:55:51 UTC
From: go4tcross@cs.communicate
Message-ID: <20010925225551.11778.00000672@mb-ci.news.cs.com>
Source record: 59367

>
>Is Aol removing posts from this NG? I had to post my message twice already
>and
>now I see that it's gone again. My post was relevant to this NG.
>I will see about posting again, and if they remove it again I'm packing up
>and
>leaving this NG.

Not sure. What kind of postings?

There have been many off-topic an irrelevant posts, so that is probably not it.

I've had a few of mine go MIA in other NG's.

Luke Hoffmann
Go4tcross@cs.com

~New generation of virus not spread by Outlook.~
~Microsoft baffled.~

It's not delivery, it's Di ... ...
It's French.

5351. Re: The Shadow Side of Scanning War

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 03:15:36 UTC
From: mc <mc@scrumg.com>
Message-ID: <sLbs7.7309$w7.1555095@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59368

radio silence is crucial for spy craft......

Reticulum <cathexis@your.haterols.com> wrote in message
news:3bb132ec.13126537@news.erols.com...
>
>
>
> There's the standard milair comms and then there's the fringe.
> I'm looking for the U-2 and SR-71 freqs. that may be out there. We can
> assume that the U-2's are likely to be out of Incerlik, Turkey or Peshawar
> Pak. as the bases are there. This probably means VHF/UHF and scrambled.
> And way far away for us. Flights may originate from Edwards or Nellis
which
> leaves this Easterner SOL. But true high fliers may have to resort to HF,
> especially if they're crossing Russia which may be implied in Putin's
comments
> about "sharing intelligence."
>
> But then we get to the fringe, the un-proven, the,.....plain weird?
> I'm talking about all the rumors and supposed sightings of Aurora and
other
> Black Craft (including pilotless) that have been discussed from Av.Week
to
> Art Bell to the ng's and beyond. I've known more than one who's wondered
> what the heck that really was that went by almost too high to see and
faster
> than a bat outa hell. And hey, wouldn't this be a great time for all those
Area
> 51 saucers and projects to come up out of the Nevada desert and do some
> ass-whomping on Afganistan??
>
> Now please, I'm not trying to draw flames, I'm just asking for comments
> on whether "mundane" freqs like U-2 and SR-71 are knowable. Also curious
to
> speculate on what freqs might be used if some of the wilder stuff is
flying
> around up there. I am not trying to come across as a kook. Instead I'm
> suggesting that everyone expects to SEE such things but as scanners we
ought to
> be trying to HEAR them which (if they were real) would be a whole lot
more
> likely. Thoughts???
>
>

> Andrew
>
> Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

5352. Need Frequencies For AT&T 9311 900 Mhz Cordless Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 03:38:56 UTC
From: db77619@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925233856.20587.00000752@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 59369

(Posted for the 3rd time) Does anyone have the base frequencies for the AT&T 9311 900 Mhz Cordless Phone?
Thanks In Advance.

5353. Re: AOL removing posts from this NG?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 03:39:34 UTC
From: jrobmills@aol.comrx320
Message-ID: <20010925233934.12422.00000922@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 59370

>Is Aol removing posts from this NG?

Nope. If you read a post and do not mark it un read You will not see it the next time you vist the news group. To read messages that have been marked "read" click on the "list all" button and you will find your lost message.

Rob Mills ~~~

RX-320, NC-140, BC-895XLT, Pro-91

5354. Re: The Shadow Side of Scanning War

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 03:44:46 UTC
From: db77619@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925234446.20587.00000754@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 59371

Try getting a copy of Ton Kneitel's Top Secret Frequency Guide.
One place that you can check is CRB Research Books. I bought several books from them.

5355. Re: where to buy a descrambler

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 03:47:13 UTC
From: db77619@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010925234713.20587.00000756@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 59372

Try Panaxis Productions

5356. Re: Need Frequencies For AT&T 9311 900 Mhz Cordless Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 04:20:04 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3BB15773.4555F22E@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59373

We have seen this twice before, and it's been answered many times!

Db77619 wrote:
>
> (Posted for the 3rd time) Does anyone have the base frequencies for the AT&T
> 9311 900 Mhz Cordless Phone?
> Thanks In Advance.

5357. Possible to change Freq. of BC 220 XLT ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 05:05:57 UTC
From: Kapp2000 <Kapp2000@si.rr.com>
Message-ID: <Vmds7.54134$n5.6082309@typhoon.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 59374

Can the Frequencies on the BC 220xlt be change to find ones that do not come in already. I need to get the freq. range of 398.605 up to 399.030. If it can not be done, I guess the only other way is to buy a scanner that does, what is the cheapest scanner out that can pick these freq. up. Thanks for the time.

Peter

5358. Re: Did anybody hear of this one yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 05:25:15 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9oroh60prc@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59375
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

great thing I used windows rarely, Linux and unix is the way to go. or
apple.

<%> wrote in message news:3bb0af1b.4492032@news.fsj.net...
> On Tue, 25 Sep 2001 18:18:30 +0200, "David" <david.obrien@t-online.de>
> wrote:
>
> >Yes, I saw it on TV today. Seems to delete your hard disk. So DON'T OPEN
> >THIS .EXE FILE!!!!!!!
> >
> >Bye
> >David
> >
> >
> good thing i got 3 hardrives

5359. Re: AOL removing posts from this NG?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 06:28:18 UTC
From: "alan m.vigeant" <alaininvc@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3BB17582.8CF75624@netscape.net>
Source record: 59391

better you should leave AOL. I did. There was so much on AOL, almost too
much. It's not called "Internet in a can" for nothing...

Db77619 wrote:
>
> Is Aol removing posts from this NG? I had to post my message twice already and
> now I see that it's gone again. My post was relevant to this NG.
> I will see about posting again, and if they remove it again I'm packing up and
> leaving this NG.

5360. Opto Scout and 2.4 Ghz phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 06:28:49 UTC
From: Rob <rb357@REMOVEbellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3BB175A1.3119@REMOVEbellsouth.net>
Source record: 59376

Hey,

I have the early version of the Optoelectronics Scout frequency counter, the one that went all the way up to 2.8 Gigahertz. I've tried to use it to scan a 2.4 Gig cordless multi-line phone made by Siemens but it doesn't register.

When I hold it near the antenna (unit or base...the units don't actually have external antennas) the signal strength meter kind of immediately goes all the way up, but it doesn't light fully it just flickers or pulses and is very weakly lit.

Is this phone digital or otherwise encoded for the 2 handsets and multiple lines?

Thanks, Rob

5361. Re: Need help with PRO-2005

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 07:56:13 UTC
From: mikmd9@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926035613.07359.00000718@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 59377

go to radio shack.com you can any parts
you need to fix it

5362. Re: Military frequencys in AZ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 08:15:38 UTC
From: Viper56-FW <junk@gordonvideo.com>
Message-ID: <K8gs7.9617$w7.1951722@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59378

```
Do you have Luke AFB??
"JA Moran" <jamoran@analon.com> wrote in message
news:3BABDB5B.9389F914@analon.com...
> anyone have or want to trade military frequencies for Arizona or CA
>
>
> --
> **----800-873-5884----We're Unix - can't read Microsoft Attatchments!!
> JOHN/PHX (jamoran@analon.com / analon1@yahoo.com) |http://www.analon.com
> |
> --Custom Built Computer Systems, Networks, and Consulting ASCII is
> OK!
> Unix is Stable!..Don't go FROM DOT-COM to DOT-GONE! Cig Smoking is
> Deadly!
> --
>
>
```

5363. Re: OT: Public Aid and Child Support

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 13:33:27 UTC
From: Roger N <regor@onemain.com>
Message-ID: <fLks7.1452$Ri3.738893@nntp1.onemain.com>
Source record: 59379

OT stands for OFF TOPIC. Some people that pay child support also have scanners. Although they are not related, neither are most of the topics that are listened to on the scanner. I have owned a scanner for over 6 months now and I have never picked up on a conversation about scanners.

"Never Anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:dam2rtk8m243gse2lpq6ehio7rnksrgent@4ax.com...
> On Tue, 25 Sep 2001 20:59:21 -0500, "Roger N" <regor@onemain.com>
> wrote:
>
> >The Illinois Department of Public Aid
>
>
> Is NOT an appropriate topic for this newsgroup.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

5364. Re: The Shadow Side of Scanning War

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 13:43:32 UTC
From: Jake Brodsky <frussle@erols.com>
Message-ID: <pdm3rtcj9ekgc4val48lpuej0dj4ug0ujn@4ax.com>
Source record: 59380

Believe it or not, the SR-71 pilots use standard aviation frequencies when arriving or departing US airspace (which ends at flight level 600, or roughly 60,000 feet).

The call sign in the past has been something like "Aspen-20".

I was told by a Denver Center Air Traffic Controller that they're supposed to announce their altitude as an offset from some secret reference, such as "Angels-200" so that a casual listener would never be quite sure how high they are.

I have no idea what other spy-planes do...

Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
"Beware of the massive impossible!"

5365. Re: Opto Scout and 2.4 Ghz phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 13:49:10 UTC
From: Greg Ottria <Greg@Ottria.net>
Message-ID: <q1ls7.49641$L%5.33179189@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 59381

I think that phone uses the Spred Spectrum which means the scout can't pick
it up.

"Rob" <rb357@REMOVEbellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:3BB175A1.3119@REMOVEbellsouth.net...
> Hey,
>
> I have the early version of the Optoelectronics Scout frequency counter,
> the one that went all the way up to 2.8 Gigahertz. I've tried to use it
> to scan a 2.4 Gig cordless multi-line phone made by Siemens but it
> doesn't register.
>
> When I hold it near the antenna (unit or base...the units don't actually
> have external antennas) the signal strength meter kind of immediately
> goes all the way up, but it doesn't light fully it just flickers or
> pulses and is very weakly lit.
>
> Is this phone digital or otherwise encoded for the 2 handsets and
> multiple lines?
>
> Thanks, Rob
>

5366. Re: How about a list of appropriate songs ??? WAS->Re: PC "patriots" ban songs from airwaves

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 14:02:26 UTC
From: thepolishdude@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5a04c827.0109260602.4e2a4632@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59382
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner

Works for me.

"Kilo Charlie Eight Papa Mike X-Ray" <kc8pmx@tm.net> wrote in message
news:<NNIr7.3645$pH1.563787@monger.newsread.com>...
> Instead of talking about an alleged list of banned songs..... how about a
> list of songs that are appropriate and symbolic in light of the 9-11-01
> event. Let us know why the song has relevance.....
>
> For example: Mariah Carey's "Hero" for ALL of the Emergency Services
> personell
>
> Ryan

5367. Re: Code 11??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 15:04:31 UTC
From: "R.U.Amused?" <r.u.amused@spamsuxhome.com>
Message-ID: <38ms7.49748$L%5.33270340@news1.rdc1.sfba.home.com>
Source record: 59383

Where I work, Code 11 is call information (i.e. address, nature, etc.).
10-55 is a coroners case, and I am not sure what P.I. is (penetrating
instrument?).

JT

"Mark Elie" <melie@cybertours.com> wrote in message
news:3bae68b4_1@news.cybertours.com...
> Is anyone in the NG familiar with a Code 11? I heard that code used in
> conjunction with a "10-55 with PI" call the other night.
>
> Regards
> Mark
>
>

5368. Re: Code 11??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 15:14:54 UTC
From: oldtimeradio <otr@otr.net>
Message-ID: <5vr3rtg94i8fgntjuovtlacqirdetm5qg1@4ax.com>
Source record: 59384

Sounds like what I hear as "10-50 PI" Which is auto accident with
Personal Injury. 10-50 PD would be with Property Damage only. The
Code could be a call for ambulance or as the other guy said it could
have been a call for the coroner if it was certain death.

otr

On Wed, 26 Sep 2001 15:04:31 GMT, "R.U.Amused?"
<r.u.amused@spamsuxhome.com> wrote:

>Where I work, Code 11 is call information (i.e. address, nature, etc.).
>10-55 is a coroners case, and I am not sure what P.I. is (penetrating
>instrument?).
>
>JT
>
>"Mark Elie" <melie@cybertours.com> wrote in message
>news:3bae68b4_1@news.cybertours.com...
>> Is anyone in the NG familiar with a Code 11? I heard that code used in
>> conjunction with a "10-55 with PI" call the other night.
>>
>> Regards
>> Mark
>>
>>
>

5369. GSM???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 15:23:54 UTC
From: hprice100@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3bb1c86e.1274317@news.intnet.mu>
Source record: 59385

I was told that there is a new scanner out that can pick up GSM signals. Is this true? Can anyone tell me which model it is?

Thanks

Harry

5370. Re: GSM???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 15:57:46 UTC
From: "Per H. Nielsen" <phn@dkscan.dkx>
Message-ID: <_Vms7.12155$lk7.186433@news.get2net.dk>
Source record: 59386

hprice100@hotmail.com (Harry Price) writes:

>I was told that there is a new scanner out that can pick up GSM
>signals. Is this true?

No.

5371. Re: AOL removing posts from this NG?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 16:12:45 UTC
From: jimday82@msn.com
Message-ID: <908caf23.0109260812.401f043@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59387

jrobmills@aol.comrx320 (J Rob) wrote in message
news:<20010925233934.12422.00000922@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> >Is Aol removing posts from this NG?
>
> Nope. If you read a post and do not mark it un read You will not see it the
> next time you vist the news group. To read messages that have been marked
> "read" click on the "list all" button and you will find your lost message.
>
> Rob Mills ~~~
>
> RX-320, NC-140, BC-895XLT, Pro-91

Haha..You mean people still use AOL?

5372. Oh, here we go again with another one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 16:22:42 UTC
From: thepolishdude@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5a04c827.0109260822.3955e354@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59388
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Date: 9/25/01 10:12PM
Subject: FW: A new virus
Subject: FW: Fw: VIRUS WARNING
Virus Warning DO NOT OPEN "NEW PICTURES OF FAMILY"
This looks like a bad one that's coming. Forward this to others.
Please read and forward to everyone you know.....
DO NOT OPEN "NEW PICTURES OF FAMILY" It is a virus that will
erase your whole "C" drive. It will come to you in the form of an
E-Mail from a familiar person. I repeat a friend sent it to me, but called
& warned me before I opened it. He was not so lucky and now he can't even
start his computer! Forward this to everyone in your address book. I
would rather receive this 25 times than not at all. Also: Intel announced
that a new and very destructive virus was discovered recently. If you
receive an email called "FAMILY PICTURES," do not open it. Delete it right
away!
This virus removes all dynamic link libraries (.dll files) from your
computer. Your computer will not be able to boot up.

5373. Re: Cleveland Ohio PD back in clear? Then what's this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 17:18:20 UTC
From: thepolishdude@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <5a04c827.0109260918.4b071608@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59389
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

chief305@webtv.net (David Williams) wrote in message
news:<26107-3BAF9151-134@storefull-107.iap.bryant.webtv.net>...
> The old FD ch.5 freq. was 153.830. the transmitters are still in
> place,but are not operating.. (east side of the Lorain-Carnegie bridge)
> The FD is getting ready to move to the 3rd district police
> headquarters.(19th and Payne) That is where all public safety
> dispatchers are located.
>
> Dave

Here is something interesting: The Data frequency transmitts in the
clear.
That means that you can pick up all the Talk Groups but you will not
know as to which Talk Group goes with what service.

5374. Re: Oh, here we go again with another one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 17:44:38 UTC
From: Dick Hertz <dick.hertz@tip.com>
Message-ID: <260920011244383478%dick.hertz@tip.com>
Source record: 59390
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In article <5a04c827.0109260822.3955e354@posting.google.com>, Polish
Dude <thepolishdude@yahoo.com> wrote:
Good thing I don't have any of these!

> This virus removes all dynamic link libraries (.dll files) from your
> computer. Your computer will not be able to boot up.

5375. Re: GSM???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 18:25:25 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9ot67t02dvd@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59393

gsm sopposedly has forsurely been crack. but I have to go to one of my links
if I find it again for more info.

"Harry Price" <hprice100@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3bb1c86e.1274317@news.intnet.mu...
> I was told that there is a new scanner out that can pick up GSM
> signals. Is this true? Can anyone tell me which model it is?
>
> Thanks
>
> Harry

5376. scanner or transceiver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 18:40:20 UTC
From: Bearcat <Bearcat@astound.net>
Message-ID: <3BB22114.579494F8@astound.net>
Source record: 59392

which would be better on hf? the reason I ask is if I can get a hf
tranceiver cheaper than a hf scanner, and both have same capabilities,
then I'll go with the transceiver.
All comments welcomed.
thanks
Randy

5377. Re: Oh, here we go again with another one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 18:55:46 UTC
From: Pete Stephenson <pete@stop.mail-abuse.org>
Message-ID: <pete-5FE6A7.11554526092001@localhost>
Source record: 59395
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

In article <5a04c827.0109260822.3955e354@posting.google.com>,
thepolishdude@yahoo.com (Polish Dude) wrote:

> I repeat a friend sent it to me, but called
> & warned me before I opened it. He was not so lucky and now he can't even
> start his computer! Forward this to everyone in your address book.

Well, how would he know what the specific virus was called if it erased
his computer? Most people don't remember the subjects of emails.

> I would rather receive this 25 times than not at all.

I wouldn't.

> Also: Intel announced
> that a new and very destructive virus was discovered recently. If you

And what, pray tell, is Intel doing making virus announcements? I'd
believe it if NAI, Symantec, or F-Secure made such an annoucement, but
Intel makes chips and doesn't track viruses.

> receive an email called "FAMILY PICTURES," do not open it. Delete it right
> away!

Has anyone every gotten an email with a subject as outlined in the
"virus warning" emails? I never have.

> This virus removes all dynamic link libraries (.dll files) from your
> computer. Your computer will not be able to boot up.

Thank goodness for the MacOS, eh?

--
Pete Stephenson
HeyPete.com

5378. Re: scanner or transceiver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 19:08:47 UTC
From: Glenn <glenn.hansen@usa.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.161bc781cbc9bcb29897b0@news>
Source record: 59394

In article <3BB22114.579494F8@astound.net>, Bearcat@astound.net
says...
> which would be better on hf? the reason I ask is if I can get a hf
> tranceiver cheaper than a hf scanner, and both have same capabilities,
> then I'll go with the transceiver.
> All comments welcomed.

What models are you considering? What are you using for a comparison?

When you say hf scanner are you talking about a scanner that also
covers vhf/uhf along with hf?

5379. Re: Oh, here we go again with another one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 20:25:57 UTC
From: David Schofield <davesc@clara.net>
Message-ID: <1001535891.82379.1@eurus.uk.clara.net>
Source record: 59396
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Oh well i guess i'd just boot up my Linux partition instead copy off all my important stuff from the windows drive, reinstall Windoze and wait for the next virus :))

Thanks Linus Torvalds at least your system works!!

5380. Re: Oh, here we go again with another one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 20:37:45 UTC
From: John Miller <jsm@n4vu.com>
Message-ID: <9otecb$9bj$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 59297
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

"Polish Dude" <thepolishdude@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:5a04c827.0109260822.3955e354@posting.google.com...
<snip>
> Virus Warning DO NOT OPEN "NEW PICTURES OF FAMILY"
<snip>

In the words of Symantec, makers of Norton Anti-Virus,

"Please ignore any messages regarding [the Family Pictures] hoax and do not pass on messages. Passing on messages about the hoax only serves to further propagate it."

Details here:

http://www.symantec.com/avcenter/venc/data/family.pictures.html

--
John Miller
N4VU

5381. Re: Oh, here we go again with another one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 21:30:11 UTC
From: Ken Roberts <kenr55@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <4yMs7.45244$Dz6.972921@e3500-atl2.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 59342
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Whenever you see an e-mail message warning you of a virus, and they tell you how bad it is, and to forward the warning to everyone in your address book, it's usually a hoax.

--
Ken Roberts
kenr55@bellsouth.net

Polish Dude <thepolishdude@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:5a04c827.0109260822.3955e354@posting.google.com...
> Date: 9/25/01 10:12PM
> Subject: FW: A new virus
> Subject: FW: Fw: VIRUS WARNING
> Virus Warning DO NOT OPEN "NEW PICTURES OF FAMILY"
> This looks like a bad one that's coming. Forward this to others.
> Please read and forward to everyone you know......
> DO NOT OPEN "NEW PICTURES OF FAMILY" It is a virus that will
> erase your whole "C" drive. It will come to you in the form of an
> E-Mail from a familiar person. I repeat a friend sent it to me, but called
> & warned me before I opened it. He was not so lucky and now he can't even
> start his computer! Forward this to everyone in your address book. I
> would rather receive this 25 times than not at all. Also: Intel announced
> that a new and very destructive virus was discovered recently. If you
> receive an email called "FAMILY PICTURES," do not open it. Delete it right
> away!
> This virus removes all dynamic link libraries (.dll files) from your
> computer. Your computer will not be able to boot up.

5382. mobile scanner gets a hum when I accelerate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 21:36:54 UTC
From: quickdrawf150@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <5b71d1ee.0109261336.74ab34af@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59298

I have a RS PRO-2066 which WAS on a bracket near the floor of my truck. Put it into the dash a few weeks ago and now have a hum which is there--and it increases in pitch as I accelerate. The only difference between before and now is that instead of using the speaker on the unit as I did when it was mounted in the bracket, I have an external speaker mounted so I can hear transmissions instead of the sound going to the middle of the dash. Any suggestions as to what is causing the hum and how I can eliminate it?

5383. Re: mobile scanner gets a hum when I accelerate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 21:54:00 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tr4jnd5k2kbga5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59299

Is the frame of the unit still grounded some how, as it most likely was when you were using the bracket mount? Might just be as easy as attaching a ground wire to the framework/case...

"Adam" <quickdrawf150@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:5b71d1ee.0109261336.74ab34af@posting.google.com...
> I have a RS PRO-2066 which WAS on a bracket near the floor of my
> truck. Put it into the dash a few weeks ago and now have a hum which
> is there--and it increases in pitch as I accelerate. The only
> difference between before and now is that instead of using the speaker
> on the unit as I did when it was mounted in the bracket, I have an
> external speaker mounted so I can hear transmissions instead of the
> sound going to the middle of the dash. Any suggestions as to what is
> causing the hum and how I can eliminate it?

5384. Re: How about a list of appropriate songs ??? WAS->Re: PC "patriots" ban songs from airwaves

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 22:23:44 UTC
From: Crys_H <clarisse@ihug.co.nz>
Message-ID: <9otkaq$34n$1@lust.ihug.co.nz>
Source record: 59300
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner

Nah, "Killing Of An Arab by The Cure"

Even-though this is not meant as a racsist song, if the foo shits
(Spoonerism)

Crys_H
June20

5385. Re: Cleveland Ohio PD back in clear? Then what's this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 22:28:48 UTC
From: "Rich Carlson, N9JIG" <rich@n9jig.com>
Message-ID: <rich-BF3FAC.17284826092001@news.theramp.net>
Source record: 59301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In article <5a04c827.0109260918.4b071608@posting.google.com>,
thepolishdude@yahoo.com (Polish Dude) wrote:

>
> Here is something interesting: The Data frequency transmitts in the
> clear.
> That means that you can pick up all the Talk Groups but you will not
> know as to which Talk Group goes with what service

In an Astro system that contains any analog talkgroups the control data will be analog, thus able to be decoded by current edition Trunktrackers and the various trunked data decoding programs.

Many Astro systems are set up this way. In the Chicago area a regional dispatch service has an Astro system with several analog talkgroups in use by Public Works and Street Department personell. This allows the use of cheaper, non-digital radios for less demanding applications.

Since we all know that Astro (as well as it's cousin APCO25) is not encryption then "In the clear" might be a bit misleading...

--
Rich Carlson, N9JIG
Illinois Highways and Scanner Page
http://www.n9jig.com

5386. Re: mobile scanner gets a hum when I accelerate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 22:33:07 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2001.09.26.18.38.08.101.6868@njmc.com>
Source record: 59302

In <5b71d1ee.0109261336.74ab34af@posting.google.com>, Adam wrote:

> mounted so I can hear transmissions instead of the sound going to the
> middle of the dash. Any suggestions as to what is causing the hum and
> how I can eliminate it?

Any hum that varies in pitch when accelerating is most likely coming from the alternator. As someone else pointed out, grounding your rig could help resolve the problem. Otherwise take a look some noise proofing methods used by hams and cd'ers for years. I think a small amount of capacitance across the power leads or one at the truck's coil were common, however I don't recall the exact method.

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.10.0.91cvs running on Mandrake Linux 7.2

5387. Re: GSM???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 22:34:18 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2001.09.26.18.39.18.814.6868@njmc.com>
Source record: 59303

In <9ot67t02dvd@enews2.newsguy.com>, Dev wrote:

> sopposedly has forsurely

what?

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.10.0.91cvs running on Mandrake Linux 7.2

5388. Re: OT: Public Aid and Child Support

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 22:35:13 UTC
From: Roger N <regor@onemain.com>
Message-ID: <aGss7.52921$rB6.1653437@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 59304

Oh, so according to you, people that use the scanner newsgroup should only discuss scanners, is this correct? There's been some virus warnings, how do these relate to scanners? What about discussing the World Trade Center bombing, does that have to do with scanners? Are you the moderator of this newsgroup? Most newsgroup policies hold that off topic posts should contain OT in the subject line. If you are the moderator of this newsgroup and OT posts are not allowed, please let me know, I will apologize and will never post an OT post here again.

If you are not the moderator of this newsgroup, why do you think your judgement is correct re: OT being inappropriate and being worse than OT posts without OT in the subject line?

I don't know about this newsgroup, but in others OT in the subject line is the appropriate way to discuss off topic subjects.

Scanner buffs should be able to discuss what they want, if it is of no interest to you, mark it read and go on. The majority of the posts are not something I'm interested in but that doesn't mean others should not discuss what they want. But, there are a few posts on here that discuss what I'm interested in or what I have question on.

Are you the one that was reporting abuse for the FA eBay ads?

"Never Anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:ik14rt0ga6lv43eg7o9dkboshcfaho16ia@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 26 Sep 2001 08:33:27 -0500, "Roger N" <regor@onemain.com>
> wrote:
>
> >OT stands for OFF TOPIC.
>
>
> Putting OT as a subject does NOT make it appropriate,
> in fact it makes it WORSE, as it's KNOWINGLY off-topic.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

5389. Re: mobile scanner gets a hum when I accelerate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 22:46:52 UTC
From: Den6N <djmr26@bestweb.net>
Message-ID: <tr4mmfa0mn7o44@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59305

It could very well be from your fuel pump(s) - I saw a product
once that one wired into the fuel pump circuit to kill noise. I
also recall a BIG problem with Ford Taurus fuel pumps several
years ago, where they disturbed CB's and many other electronics
because they threw off so much RFI. Is your truck a Ford? Maybe
it's got the same pump(s). [many F.I. vehicles have 2 fuel pumps]

Dennis
Putnam Co NY

==========

"Adam" <quickdrawf150@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:5b71d1ee.0109261336.74ab34af@posting.google.com...
> I have a RS PRO-2066 which WAS on a bracket near the floor of my
> truck. Put it into the dash a few weeks ago and now have a hum which
> is there--and it increases in pitch as I accelerate. The only
> difference between before and now is that instead of using the speaker
> on the unit as I did when it was mounted in the bracket, I have an
> external speaker mounted so I can hear transmissions instead of the
> sound going to the middle of the dash. Any suggestions as to what is
> causing the hum and how I can eliminate it?

5390. Descrambler??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 23:19:51 UTC
From: David Trickle <gumby1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3bb26357$0$12817$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 59306

Is thier a kit you can buy to make a descrambler?

5391. SCANCAT GOLD.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-26 23:28:32 UTC
From: gig@i-2000.com
Message-ID: <3bb265aa.776838@news-server.optonline.net>
Source record: 59307

hey all.
just waiting for my new copy of scancat gold to come in the
mail...(actually it will be on thurs..)
Got sic of winscan..... wish me luk
Gig.

5392. Re: AOL removing posts from this NG?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 00:16:34 UTC
From: Garvin@Truss.net
Message-ID: <1f0cvbb.14dy2o91wk6girN%Garvin@Truss.net>
Source record: 59308

Db77619 <db77619@aol.com> wrote:

> Is Aol removing posts from this NG? I had to post my message twice already and
> now I see that it's gone again. My post was relevant to this NG.
> I will see about posting again, and if they remove it again I'm packing up and
> leaving this NG.

Pack up and leave AOL, it sucks to high heaven and the real internet is
MUCH faster than that POS middleman known as AOL.

5393. Re: AOL removing posts from this NG?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 00:43:23 UTC
From: cathexis@your.haterols.com
Message-ID: <3bb27507.95598331@news.erols.com>
Source record: 59309

The poster who gave who advise on checking your reader
was probably right on. We hate to admit it but Users are Lusers more
often than software is bogus.

That being said, AOL really is for Lusers. I know you might feel
insulted by now but the others are right. Take the suckie out your mouth,
resist urge to suck thumb instead, leave the diapers at home 'cause you
can learn to hold it, don't ask mom to tie your shoes 'cause it can be done
by your self, and well,.......Grow up and kiss that luser service GOODBYE.
I promise you'll feel better real soon.
Andrew

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

5394. Re: Descrambler??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 00:47:26 UTC
From: Power House <MyEmail@PrivateBox.NET>
Message-ID: <tr4ttg29uk5ce5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59311

Sure is... Otherwise, you can feed your scanner audio through your computer, and use some software to descramble it. Note, however, that the only type of descrambling either the software or the kit/unit will do is speech inversion. If this fits your needs, let me know, and I'll dig up some links for both....

"David Trickle" <gumby1@core.com> wrote in message news:3bb26357$0$12817$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> Is thier a kit you can buy to make a descrambler?
>
>

5395. Re: How about a list of appropriate songs ??? WAS->Re: PC "patriots" ban songs from airwaves

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 00:47:42 UTC
From: cathexis@your.haterols.com
Message-ID: <3bb27662.95944789@news.erols.com>
Source record: 59310
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.flame_fest,soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner

Ballad of the Green Berets

Do not Forsake me, O my darling

Big Bad John

Corney?? Yes, but they're good and you know it.
Don't be enslaved by U-2 - istic liberal crap. You
don't need to atone for shit ! Be proud.

Andrew

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

5396. Re: WTB Alinco battery

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 01:03:07 UTC
From: wierdperson333@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926210307.20546.00000807@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 59312

<< Where can I obtain an Alinco EDH-16 dry cell battery case? >>
i would imagine that you could purchase one from Grove Online.
www.grove-ent.com
i bought an alkaline battery case from them.

5397. Re: drive thru frequencies????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 01:07:00 UTC
From: wierdperson333@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926210700.20555.00000917@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 59313

you can get various drive tru freq's from www.stupidscannertricks.com i think..
but if you have the time, just scan the 30mHz band and you'll find them.
have fun!

5398. Re: MURS Frequencies?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 01:10:53 UTC
From: wierdperson333@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926211053.20563.00000958@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 59314

i pulled this out of monitoring times nov 2000 isue..
the MURS freqs are:
151.820, 151.880, 151.940, 154.570,154.600

5399. Re: Descrambler??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 01:43:16 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9otvta05te@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59317

as I think you asked before. got various replies. but I am going to ask the question. to descramble what. there are many circuits to descramble. but what do you want to descramble. may make life easier.

"David Trickle" <gumby1@core.com> wrote in message news:3bb26357$0$12817$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com...
> Is thier a kit you can buy to make a descrambler?
>
>

5400. Re: mobile scanner gets a hum when I accelerate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 01:46:16 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9ou02r064p@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59315

is it a highpitch humm or a lower type one. most common is the alternator one. sometimes happens when you have a ground loop. That means that your antenna may be grounded but not the radio anymore. Sounds like a grounding problem and you are receiving alternator RF.
but. you may get away with re-grounding it to the body of the vehicle.

"Adam" <quickdrawf150@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:5b71d1ee.0109261336.74ab34af@posting.google.com...
> I have a RS PRO-2066 which WAS on a bracket near the floor of my
> truck. Put it into the dash a few weeks ago and now have a hum which
> is there--and it increases in pitch as I accelerate. The only
> difference between before and now is that instead of using the speaker
> on the unit as I did when it was mounted in the bracket, I have an
> external speaker mounted so I can hear transmissions instead of the
> sound going to the middle of the dash. Any suggestions as to what is
> causing the hum and how I can eliminate it?

5401. Re: scanner or transceiver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 01:48:47 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9ou07g06b0@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59316

and how are you getting this. most sell to anyone. but are you actually a ham that is licenced. I don't really care myself. I usually tx on vhf. but if it has the same capabilities. why not go with the tranceiver. Just in case you want to have a ham licence. + if it is only for base station. I like tranceivers more. but. everyone has their own opinion

"Glenn" <glenn.hansen@usa.net> wrote in message news:MPG.161bc781cbc9bcb29897b0@news...
> In article <3BB22114.579494F8@astound.net>, Bearcat@astound.net
> says...
> > which would be better on hf? the reason I ask is if I can get a hf
> > tranceiver cheaper than a hf scanner, and both have same capabilities,
> > then I'll go with the transceiver.
> > All comments welcomed.
>
>
> What models are you considering? What are you using for a comparison?
>
> When you say hf scanner are you talking about a scanner that also
> covers vhf/uhf along with hf?
>
>
>

5402. Re: AOL removing posts from this NG?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 01:50:20 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9ou0ae06ea@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59318

I just got to laugh. hehe. msn punning aol. My oh my.

"Bill" <ka4drw@no.spam.juno.com> wrote in message
news:kjd4rt8o7f69vcbsqcvrdo4m408h87k54o@4ax.com...
> On 26 Sep 2001 09:12:45 -0700, jimday82@msn.com (Jim) wrote:
>
> >Haha..You mean people still use AOL?
>
> Yeah, 31 million of them worldwide!
> An MSN user has no room to be throwing
> stones at AOL or its users.
>

5403. wwf frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 02:14:15 UTC
From: chevysno1@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <360461b3.0109261814.3809798f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59319

Here is a list of frequencies the wwf used at the wright state nutter center near dayton,ohio. 460.5875, 458.350-camera crew?, 469.550-talking about setting up a camera around the limo, 457.800wwf crew, and these wireless mics heard during the show, 196.130,205.205,538.860 was used by the ring announcers and the wwf wrestlers.

5404. Re: AOL removing posts from this NG?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 02:30:26 UTC
From: go4tcross@cs.communicate
Message-ID: <20010926223026.09536.00000864@mb-fn.news.cs.com>
Source record: 59320

>>Haha..You mean people still use AOL?
>
>Yeah, 31 million of them worldwide!
>An MSN user has no room to be throwing
>stones at AOL or its users.

So cheesy, no wonder it's #1!

Luke Hoffmann
Go4tcross@cs.com

~New generation of virus not spread by Outlook.~
~Microsoft baffled.~

It's not delivery, it's Di ... ...
It's French.

5405. ATF Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 03:13:57 UTC
From: ledfoot02@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926231357.16665.00001789@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 59321

Can Anyone point me in the right direction for ATF freq in PA.
Ledfoot

5406. Freq's around your house?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 03:35:50 UTC
From: scannerfreq1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010926233550.20587.00000879@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 59322

Are you intrested in getting all the frequencies listed in the FCC - ULS Database
around your house or any address!

For $5 I will run a radial search (1-5 miles) and send a list of frequencies complete with callsigns and DBA names back to you in HTML format.

E-mail me for more details: scannerfreq1@aol.com

Serious Inq's only - All information will be held in strict confidence

5407. Re: Who's using radios on GROUND ZERO?? Live Online Audio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 03:41:42 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@home.com>
Message-ID: <Wdxs7.138130$j65.30924552@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 59323

Is there anyone posting live audio coverage of this anywhere on the net. If
so can you post it to http://groups.yahoo.com/group/livescanneraudio

Thanks,

Randy

Paul J. Wolsko <pwolsko@optonline.net> wrote in message
news:pA7s7.3322$w7.889074@news02.optonline.net...
> Scan these freqs and you'll hear lots of things happening in NYC:
>
> 470.8125
> 470.7375
> 470.6875
> 470.8375
>
> These are NOT trunked, BTW.
>
> Paul (in NJ)
>
>
>
>
> "Viper56-FW" <junk@gordonvideo.com> wrote in message
> news:FnSr7.47648$z7.11800585@news02.optonline.net...
> > Can anyone confirm any search and recovery traffic? Are'nt the men
> reporting
> > thier findings while still underground via radio?? Would this be FDNY?
> FEMA?
> > NYPD?
> >
> > Also can anyone confirm any FBI activity reguarding WTC.
> >
> > There should be a lot of desaster related traffic, but I'm not even
> getting
> > anything interesting from District 1. What should I be scanning?
> >
> >
>
>

5408. Scanner vs. receivers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 04:04:30 UTC
From: Leonard Bauer <l_bauer@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3BB2A546.FB4E1B8F@mindspring.com>
Source record: 59324

If I got a icom r10 or Yaesu Vr-500 or a bearcat handheld scanner will these radio's provide the same freg for scanning.

What is the difference between a scanner or receiver and which brand is recommended.
Thank you,
Lenny

5409. Re: Oh, here we go again with another one.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 05:22:51 UTC
From: "(Will Blaylock) see tag below for email" <user@spamfree.org>
Message-ID: <nod5rtcocoi107417ab2vd0179jg12jjch@4ax.com>
Source record: 59325
Cross-posted to: soc.penpals,rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk

Pete Stephenson <pete@stop.mail-abuse.org> wrote:

>In article <5a04c827.0109260822.3955e354@posting.google.com>,
> thepolishdude@yahoo.com (Polish Dude) wrote:

[deletia]

>
>> Also: Intel announced
>> that a new and very destructive virus was discovered recently. If you
>
>And what, pray tell, is Intel doing making virus announcements? I'd
>believe it if NAI, Symantec, or F-Secure made such an annoucement, but
>Intel makes chips and doesn't track viruses.

Can we get this tidbit printed on T-shirts and given out at Walmart,
Kmart, Shopco, et al I would even pay for a pile of those shirts if
I thought it would work <sigh>

>
>> This virus removes all dynamic link libraries (.dll files) from your
>> computer. Your computer will not be able to boot up.
>
>Thank goodness for the MacOS, eh?

or Linux or anything 'differnt' (sic)

Thanks for a great reply that took the word out of my fingers!!

Allow your children the opportunity to grow up without government intervention.
POLICE YOUR OWN CHILDREN BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT BRAINWASHES THEM!
KEEP **OUR** internet FREE from censorship.

W. A. Blaylock
http://www.xmission.com/~wblalok
wblalok at xmission dot com
p.o. box 526092 Salt Lake, UT 84152

5410. Re: Initial 2-hours of WTC attack fire traffic

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 08:46:38 UTC
From: BikerDude <GoSpamSomeonelse@OK.com>
Message-ID: <oHBs7.375$Cu2.22027@eagle.america.net>
Source record: 59326

A firemen video shows that firemen working just blocks from the WTC actually
witnessed the first attack and rushed to the scene.

"Ron Britvich" <ron@askearth.com> wrote in message
news:vaGo7.877$yI2.258605172@twister2.starband.net...
> Does anyone know of a Manhattan FDNY dispatch archive of the initial
couple
> of hours of fire traffic for the WTC attack? I'm very curious as to the
> timing of the alarms and any other interesting radio traffic.
>
> Thanks in advance
>
>

5411. Re: ATTN PA cell phone owners: please join the PA [Emergency] SitRep list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 11:54:10 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927075410.07266.00000815@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 59327

THIS SOUNDS LIKE SALES/MARKETING BS TO ME!!!

SPAM NOT WELCOME IN THIS NG..THNAK YOU!

5412. Re: BC200XLT wont Work HELP!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 11:59:06 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927075906.07266.00000816@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 59328

EXACTLY..., !!!

I DONT BUY ANY PRODUCT, THAT 1ST. OF ALL..'ONLY' POWERS FROM NI-CAD JUNK

..AND IS MORE OF A RACE/ENTERTAINMENT GENRE'...

THE SERIOUS SCANNER ENTHUSIAST BUYS AOR/YUPITERU/RADIO-SHACKS..

WHY YOU ASK??

BECAUSE YOUR NOT BOUND BY RESTRICTIVE POSER-SOURCES...'HORRIBLE'
SIGNAL-OVERLOADS..(BEARCAT'S ARE NOTRIOUS FOR THAT, YA KNOW!!), AND "SUBJECT"
PERFORMANCE!

I HAD A 2500 XLT HANDHELD..WORST $390.00 I EVER SPENT.., MY FIRST WAS A BC-60 ,
SAME THING..AWFUL PERFORMANCE..

SORRY, JUST STATIN THE TRUTH (-:

GOD-BLESS-AMERICA!! (-:

5413. Re: AOL removing posts from this NG?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 12:50:05 UTC
From: jimday82@msn.com
Message-ID: <908caf23.0109270450.2532e072@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59329

```
"Dev" <.> wrote in message news:<9ou0ae06ea@enews3.newsguy.com>...
> I just got to laugh. hehe. msn punning aol. My oh my.
>
> "Bill" <ka4drw@no.spam.juno.com> wrote in message
> news:kjd4rt8o7f69vcbsqcvrdo4m408h87k54o@4ax.com...
> > On 26 Sep 2001 09:12:45 -0700, jimday82@msn.com (Jim) wrote:
> >
> > >Haha..You mean people still use AOL?
> >
> > Yeah, 31 million of them worldwide!
> > An MSN user has no room to be throwing
> > stones at AOL or its users.
> >

I'm sorry you are stuck with AOL...and I guess you didn't know that
MSN is a direct connection to the internet and not all that "middleman
bullshit" for "end users" who are clueless without a "welcome menu".
You'll be much happier with a REAL ISP than AOL...Oh well, your loss.
-Jim
```

5414. Re: Descrambler??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 12:53:30 UTC
From: Mike Burch <libertybell7@home.com>
Message-ID: <3BB32149.9619685A@home.com>
Source record: 59330

> That's what I want to know. What is the objective?

5415. Re: BC200XLT wont Work HELP!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 14:11:53 UTC
From: Mike Burgess <nospam@lineone.net>
Message-ID: <RRH2WIApOzs7EwH0@btinternet.com>
Source record: 59331

In article <20010927075906.07266.00000816@mb-mk.aol.com>, PVILLE666
<pville666@aol.com> writes
>EXACTLY..., !!!
>
> I DONT BUY ANY PRODUCT, THAT 1ST. OF ALL..'ONLY' POWERS FROM NI-CAD JUNK
>
>..AND IS MORE OF A RACE/ENTERTAINMENT GENRE'...
>
>THE SERIOUS SCANNER ENTHUSIAST BUYS AOR/YUPITERU/RADIO-SHACKS..

Wonder who makes some of the Radio Shack scanners?
Uniden, same folks that make the BC200XLT :-)

--
Mike Burgess : University of Life...
Slough, Berkshire, UK : If it ain't broke
: don't fix it !

5416. FS: Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 14:30:12 UTC
From: katzdave@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20010927103012.05888.00000849@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 59332

BC200XLT 200 channel with extra NiCad battery pack, antenna, charger, carrying case and manual.

BC200XLT 200 channel with extra battery pack for NiCad or AA batteries, antenna, charger, carrying case and manual.

BC760XLT 100 channel with antenna and manual.

Radio Shack Pro 60 200 channel triple conversion with antenna and manual.

Note: These scanners are not trunk tracking scanners.

$125.00 each (or all 4 for $400.00)

If interested, email me at katzdave@aol.com

5417. Excellent Frequency List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 15:43:11 UTC
From: scannerfreq1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927114311.16492.00000969@mb-fb.aol.com>
Source record: 59333

Excellent Frequency List

Do you want a List of Frequencies
That originate around your home?
Any address can be done.

I can get you that list!

I live just outside Cleveland and ran the list, I received over 4,000 freq's.
Many of which I was not aware of.
Many businesses, schools, malls.

E-mail me if intrested, $5 for complete list, 5 mile radius
(any bigger the file gets too large, If you really want bigger I can try!)

Scannerfreq1@aol.com

5418. Re: Police Call 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 16:30:12 UTC
From: Randy <rleclaire@voir/ottawaxpress.com>
Message-ID: <%nIs7.20941$aP1.2926589@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 59334

Do you think the would have made the damn thing if the company thought it wasn't worth it.

Think about.

"KOBL" <OIJ@KOBL.com> wrote in message news:Pw8s7.32753$6c5.1327523@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com...
> Is Police Call 2002 on CD-ROM worth buying?
>
> Thanks!
>
>

5419. Annoying beep on Pro-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 16:59:47 UTC
From: "David J. Licciardello, DVM" <equinedr@nexet.net>
Message-ID: <3bb35891_1@corp.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 59335

Is there a way to turn off the beep that occurs after a transmission?. It
does it when its scanning freq's entered, and drives me crazy.
Thanks

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5420. Re: Excellent Frequency List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 17:31:17 UTC
From: jr127@nauticom.net
Message-ID: <3BB36265.79757391@nauticom.net>
Source record: 59336

Frequencies are public information. Why should we pay you?

ScannerFreq1 wrote:

> Excellent Frequency List
>
> Do you want a List of Frequencies
> That originate around your home?
> Any address can be done.
>
> I can get you that list!
>
> I live just outside Cleveland and ran the list, I received over 4,000 freq's.
> Many of which I was not aware of.
> Many businesses, schools, malls.
>
> E-mail me if intrested, $5 for complete list, 5 mile radius
> (any bigger the file gets too large, If you really want bigger I can try!)
>
> Scannerfreq1@aol.com

5421. Re: Excellent Frequency List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 19:08:37 UTC
From: scanner-man <scanner@scan.net>
Message-ID: <h7u6rtc6bl6s4hsrdt414ma73f9t87touc@4ax.com>
Source record: 59337

Or you can send me $5 and I'll send you the info I copy from
http://www.cityfreq.com/

scanner

On Thu, 27 Sep 2001 12:16:14 -0400, X <youre@kidding.com> wrote:

>On 27 Sep 2001 15:43:11 GMT, scannerfreq1@aol.com (ScannerFreq1)
>wrote:
>
>>E-mail me if intrested, $5 for complete list, 5 mile radius
>>(any bigger the file gets too large, If you really want bigger I can try!)
>>
>>Scannerfreq1@aol.com
>
>
>..... OR ..... we can just subscribe to Percon, or buy one of
>their old CD's (less that the original $35) and do the same thing
>WHENEVER we want or WHEREVER we want, and AS MUCH AS we want!!!
>
>X

5422. Re: AOL removing posts from this NG?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 19:15:25 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9ovthk03014@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59338

I would not even use MSN. why be stuck with microsoft more then you have to be.

"Bill" <ka4drw@no.spam.juno.com> wrote in message news:q0m6rts3bk02c7o068p3nt9jbrmtkdmarb@4ax.com...
> On 27 Sep 2001 05:50:05 -0700, jimday82@msn.com (Jim) wrote:
> >I'm sorry you are stuck with AOL...and I guess you didn't know that
> >MSN is a direct connection to the internet and not all that "middleman
> >bullshit"
>
> AOL uses a TCP/IP stack just like everybody else that on the net.
> they're newsreader sucks but you can use Agent from their server
> if you want.
>
> > for "end users" who are clueless without a "welcome menu".
>
> Many AOL users are clueless, but ya gotta start somewhere...many
> anti-aol type are clueless too: they don't know just how "direct" the
> AOL connection is to the net and they don't know that AOL supports the
> use of many net tools just like other ISPs.
>
> >You'll be much happier with a REAL ISP than AOL...Oh well, your loss.
>
> If and when they learn what they need to know maybe many of them will,
> others just might want to be appliance operators, AOL server them for
> their purposes.
>
> Bill

5423. F/S Pro 2005 scanner in box -clean

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 20:16:39 UTC
From: Bruce <bruceinomaha@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3BB389EC.4850CEE0@yahoo.com>
Source record: 59339
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For sale. Pro 2005 scanner with manual, box, antenna. Receives cell. Display light is bright. Has dimmer switch. Scanner is extremely clean in a non smoking enviroment. Doesn't show any wear. Like new. Price is $200 shipped UPS to your door packed well. Postal money orders or Paypal please. No trades please. I have referances.

5424. PRO-92 Cable Adapter / Batteries? (PART NUMBERS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 20:21:39 UTC
From: Jeff Woertz <jwoertz@bergeys.com>
Message-ID: <tr72jmfnsbqqbf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59340
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Hello-

I just purchased the PC connection cable for the PRO-92 and was wondering if anyone has the Radio Shack part number for the 2.5mm to 3.5mm adapter plug, which is needed to complete the connection?

Also, can anyone recommend a part number for some rechargeable batteries for the PRO-92 ?

Thanks!

5425. Re: BC200XLT wont Work HELP!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 20:23:39 UTC
From: a2k@ripco.com
Message-ID: <9p01sb$5jt$1@gail.ripco.com>
Source record: 59341

Lbrcly (lbrcly@cs.com) wrote:
: >IF YOU WANT A RELIABLE SCANNER, BUY YUPITERU, AOR, EVEN EARLIER VERSIONS OF
: >RADIO-SHACKS, ARE BETTER THAN BEARCAT GARBAGE!!

: MOST OF RADIO SHACKS SCANNERS ARE MADE BY UNIDEN!!!!!!!
: ARE YOU SAYING THE BC-780XLT IS GARBAGE TOO??????????

where I live the 895 and even the 780 get overload,that makes it junk..
the only band that works well is 800mhz trunking the rest stinks!

--

5426. WTB Texas2Way 4 level interface

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 21:26:41 UTC
From: Clifton Turner <kf5oj@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <7IMs7.23688$2H2.1894074@e3500-atl1.usenetserver.com>
Source record: 59343

```
WTB Texas2Way 4 level interface

Clifton
```

5427. Re: PRO-92 Cable Adapter / Batteries? (PART NUMBERS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 22:07:20 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3BB3A317.C2EC431F@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59344
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

Where did you purchase this cable? What cable is it? The "PC
connection cable for the PRO-92" does not require any adapters.

Go to any RS store and ask for four (4) Nickel Metal Hydride AA
batteries.

Walt

Jeff Woertz wrote:
>
> Hello-
>
> I just purchased the PC connection cable for the PRO-92 and was wondering
> if anyone has the Radio Shack part number for the 2.5mm to 3.5mm adapter
> plug, which is needed to complete the connection?
>
> Also, can anyone recommend a part number for some rechargeable batteries for
> the PRO-92 ?
>
> Thanks!

5428. Re: Receiver for-sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 22:28:45 UTC
From: Jeff Krauss <jeff@krauss.ws>
Message-ID: <3BB3A7DE.3516C079@krauss.ws>
Source record: 59345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.scanner

BF wrote:

> Bearcat DX-1000 General Coverage Shortwave Receiver For-Sale.
> It is in Excitant conation. (Digital Readout)
>
> Bearcat DX-1000. Monitors Shortwave Broadcast: Hams, Military,
> Marine, Am Broadcast, Citizens Band, Search & Rescue, Aircraft,
> Overseas Telephone, Government, Press, Aircraft Nav Beacons,
> Coast Gaurd. 10 KHz - 30 MHz Coverage With 10 Channel
> Microprocessor Controlled Memory. All-Mode Am,FM,LSB,USB & CW.
> Has all Filters 2.5 KC, 6 KC, 12 KC. Also I can send you a JPG
> of the receiver. Chech out address below for picture.
>
> http://www.rigpix.com/bearcat/dx1000.htm
>
> $250.00 or offer.
>
> Reply to: boyd@humboldt1.com

5429. Re: Annoying beep on Pro-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 22:35:06 UTC
From: neilyx <neilyx@nova.org>
Message-ID: <9p09iq$c0o@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 59346

Mine doesn't do that, except on a trunk system that I was working.
Once I set it up for trunking, the beeps were minimized.

--

Neil
"David J. Licciardello, DVM" <equinedr@nexet.net> wrote in message
news:3bb35891_1@corp.newsgroups.com...
> Is there a way to turn off the beep that occurs after a transmission?. It
> does it when its scanning freq's entered, and drives me crazy.
> Thanks
>
>
>
>
> -----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
> http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

5430. Re: White Women Enslaved in Israel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 22:42:09 UTC
From: nospam@home.terminal.dk
Message-ID: <slrn9r7aq0.46n.nospam@home.terminal.dk>
Source record: 59247
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

On Wed, 26 Sep 2001 02:23:28 GMT,
Shalom Peres <Shalom@Israel.org> wrote:
>
> "Stan Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net> wrote in message
> news:3bafd02b_2@news.newzpig.com...
>
> The White slavery in Israel has gone on for at least two decades and was covered up
> entirely for more than a decade by all Western media sources who were quite aware of it.
...

This does not only happen in Israel, it happens in all of eastern
AND western Europe as well. OVer half the prostitutes in Denmark are
foreigners by now, and too scared that they would be sent back home
to help the police.

Their prostitution is not the problem. It is the symptom of poor
countries.

> The "Russian Mafia" is still described by Western media sources as "Russian" with no
> mention that it is composed of Jews. ...

Now we have some racism. No matter what religion or color, it is
CRIMINALS doing the drug and slave traffic'ing.

And no, I am not jew, colored, muslim or anything like that. I am
ateist with a christian value background, and according to a little
dark skinned dark haired guy I knew, who was member of the danish
nazist party,my racial traits places me as a supreme human being.

I live in a country where the general income level is high enough
that we don't need a common enemy to blame for not getting a job
from sitting in front of the TV drinking beer and wanking off all
day. You need to get out. If you can't find a job, create your own
business - That is what you probably blame the jews for doing.
Here in Denmark, we actually like the immigrants/refugees who opens
their own business instead of staying on social welfare.

>... Israel is an explicitly racist state and these women
> are considered to be animals (goyim) by the racist, Zionist state of Israel.

Have you seen how arab countries treat asians, even asian muslims ?
The treat them worse than slaves.

The world is complicated. And don't see a symptom as the a sickness
in itself.

5431. Re: mobile scanner gets a hum when I accelerate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 22:49:59 UTC
From: quickdrawf150@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <5b71d1ee.0109271449.7dbf5a6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59248

thanks for the input thus far. answers to your questions:

the hum is low pitched, but it grows higher as the RPM's increase.

the frame of the unit was not grounded previously, so that shouldn't be an issue. if it is, instruct me how to properly ground it to avoid the ground-loop effect.

the antenna is the same one as before.

the truck is a ford.

the CB in the truck does not have this problem with a hum and it's wiring is routed the same as the scanner.

thanks for your help.

5432. Re: Descrambler??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 23:05:05 UTC
From: ledfoot02@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927190505.22453.00002251@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 59249

If you are looking for a speach descrambler there is a company call Ramsey I don't know the web address they sell it in a kit form

5433. Re: Excellent Frequency List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 23:28:12 UTC
From: scannerfreq1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927192812.13890.00000981@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 59250

Sure you can subscribe to percon for $24 for 6 months or $45 for one year.
Also this is a better list than that I have gotten off of the per-con database.

Of course frequencies are public information. but they are not always sent
directly to you in a nice list.

I knew there would be those of you that would not like the fact that I would
like $5 for my time. For those I say your not the person who might be
intrested in this list. If you are happy with your old per-con databases
enjoy. For those who would like a good current list around your area then all
I am asking is for $5 for my time.

I have been a scanner and shortave listener for 15 years and after printing
this list I actually found more frequencies that I did not know about before.

I am not forcing anybody to do this... If you are intrested e-mail me...If not
keep spending your money on old outdated books and CD's.

Thanks for listening - Scannerfreq1

5434. Re: Excellent Frequency List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-27 23:33:22 UTC
From: scannerfreq1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010927193322.13890.00000984@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 59251

Hey by the way, I just went to cityfreq.com and looked up my city.

Guess what they still have the old low band frequencies listed for the police and fire...

well they are both on 400 mhz. now.

I seriously hope that is not your main reference for frequencies.

5435. Re: mobile scanner gets a hum when I accelerate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 00:05:46 UTC
From: Dev <.>
Message-ID: <9p0ehu09um@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59252

try a wire that is grounded. or a "-" from your wire harness. if it goes away then it is a ground problem. can always try as others said a capacitor. or a filter. but low. could be a ground problem. I have the same problems some day with my scanner too. next day it is gone. I shook my coax and such. never had it happen. still don't know what has caused it. but my 2 meter mobile has no problem. hope you find the problem.

Dev

"Adam" <quickdrawf150@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:5b71d1ee.0109271449.7dbf5a6@posting.google.com...
> thanks for the input thus far. answers to your questions:
>
> the hum is low pitched, but it grows higher as the RPM's increase.
>
> the frame of the unit was not grounded previously, so that shouldn't
> be an issue. if it is, instruct me how to properly ground it to avoid
> the ground-loop effect.
>
> the antenna is the same one as before.
>
> the truck is a ford.
>
> the CB in the truck does not have this problem with a hum and it's
> wiring is routed the same as the scanner.
>
> thanks for your help.

5436. Re: Did anybody hear of this one yet?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 00:23:43 UTC
From: Brianz <noone@here.com>
Message-ID: <9p0g2p$c1m$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 59253
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner,soc.penpals

According to information from the f-secure website this worm spreads via email address book and deletes certain directories from your hard drive; mostly anti virus stuff; ie norton mcaffee etc.

"Dev" <.> wrote in message news:9oroh60prc@enews2.newsguy.com...
> great thing I used windows rarely, Linux and unix is the way to go. or
> apple.
>
> <%> wrote in message news:3bb0af1b.4492032@news.fsj.net...
> > On Tue, 25 Sep 2001 18:18:30 +0200, "David" <david.obrien@t-online.de>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Yes, I saw it on TV today. Seems to delete your hard disk. So DON'T
OPEN
> > >THIS .EXE FILE!!!!!!!
> > >
> > >Bye
> > >David
> > >
> > >
> > good thing i got 3 hardrives
>
>

5437. Re: OT: Public Aid and Child Support

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 00:54:29 UTC
From: Roger N <regor@onemain.com>
Message-ID: <tPPs7.2131$hv6.554491@nntp2.onemain.com>
Source record: 59254

"Never Anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:2ae5rtgqlo54t1vr12ch0nav173u11acru@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 26 Sep 2001 17:35:13 -0500, "Roger N" <regor@onemain.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Oh, so according to you, people that use the scanner newsgroup should
only
> >discuss scanners, is this correct?
>
>
> Well, DUH ! !

Sorry, I didn't realize you were this groups moderator deciding what can and can not be discussed here. You need to get busy, it looks like 20-25% of the posts on here are not scanner related.

Here's an example of your logic applied to different subjects:

They shouldn't have signs cautioning for dangerous situations, it makes it even worse because they knew there was a dangerous situation.

Very similar to your reasoning:

OT in the subject line makes it worse because it shows the poster knew it was off topic.

My subject line had OT in it so people that didn't want to read off topic posts could just skip it. I was trying to be as considerate as possible by only posting a short summary in the newsgroup and including a link to a more detailed account. I guess you weren't bright enough to skip off topic posts if you didn't want to read them.

If you're against OT posts, why would you make 3 OT replies?

You should get a transciever instead of a scanner, that way you could tell people what they can discuss. I heard some ladies on a ham radio talking about what they ate for breakfast, you need to get on to them. Go get'em Barney Fife!

5438. Re: BC200XLT wont Work HELP!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 00:58:49 UTC
From: Roger N <regor@onemain.com>
Message-ID: <3TPs7.64718$rB6.2018735@nntp3.onemain.com>
Source record: 59255

Get em Barney Fife, make'em turn the Caps Lock Off. You don't allow that stuff in your newsgroup!

"Never Anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message news:8617rtkc1bhcbo2b2ookl406igaj0h31lo@4ax.com...
> On 27 Sep 2001 11:59:06 GMT, pville666@aol.com (PVILLE666) wrote:
>
> >THE SERIOUS SCANNER ENTHUSIAST BUYS AOR/YUPITERU/RADIO-SHACKS..
>
>
> Again I ask, WHICH AOR or Yuperitu radios do Trunktracking??
>
> And what difference is there between a BC-235 and a Pro90,
> and the same question regarding other RS models MADE by Uniden??
>
> >WHY YOU ASK??
>
>
> I ask WHY you post in all caps??
>
>
>
>
>

5439. Re: Police Call 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 01:51:07 UTC
From: KOBL <OIJ@KOBL.com>
Message-ID: <flQs7.14$Xk4.8880@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 59256

Well Randy, They made Police Call 1999 on CD-ROM
and it was not that good.

I'm thinking and that's why I'm asking.

"Randy" <rleclaire@voir/ottawaxpress.com> wrote in message
news:%nIs7.20941$aP1.2926589@news20.bellglobal.com...
> Do you think the would have made the damn thing if the company thought it
> wasn't worth it.
>
> Think about.
>
> "KOBL" <OIJ@KOBL.com> wrote in message
> news:Pw8s7.32753$6c5.1327523@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com...
> > Is Police Call 2002 on CD-ROM worth buying?
> >
> > Thanks!
> >
> >
>
>

5440. Re: Excellent Frequency List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 01:52:41 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <222C209E0CD4FD9B.AC0C23354F370DE0.96E29B3A91D13675@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 59257

On Thu, 27 Sep 2001 12:16:14 -0400, X <youre@kidding.com> wrote:

>On 27 Sep 2001 15:43:11 GMT, scannerfreq1@aol.com (ScannerFreq1)
>wrote:
>
>>E-mail me if intrested, $5 for complete list, 5 mile radius
>>(any bigger the file gets too large, If you really want bigger I can try!)
>>
>>Scannerfreq1@aol.com
>
>
>..... OR ..... we can just subscribe to Percon, or buy one of
>their old CD's (less that the original $35) and do the same thing
>WHENEVER we want or WHEREVER we want, and AS MUCH AS we want!!!
>
>X

Where can I get the Percon CD?

Thanks

5441. Re: Police Call 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 02:09:05 UTC
From: Champ <Champ_Is_Not@home.com>
Message-ID: <5ZQs7.144459$j65.32609027@news4.rdc1.on.home.com>
Source record: 59258

I know I shouldn't say something, I am in Canada, and it is no use here. I was just teasin with yaa.

hehehe *L* :)

KOBL <OIJ@KOBL.com> wrote in message news:fIQs7.14$Xk4.8880@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com...
> Well Randy, They made Police Call 1999 on CD-ROM
> and it was not that good.
>
> I'm thinking and that's why I'm asking.
>
>
> "Randy" <rleclaire@voir/ottawaxpress.com> wrote in message
> news:%nIs7.20941$aP1.2926589@news20.bellglobal.com...
> > Do you think the would have made the damn thing if the company thought it
> > wasn't worth it.
> >
> > Think about.
> >
> > "KOBL" <OIJ@KOBL.com> wrote in message
> > news:Pw8s7.32753$6c5.1327523@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com...
> > > Is Police Call 2002 on CD-ROM worth buying?
> > >
> > > Thanks!
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

5442. Re: PRO-92 Cable Adapter / Batteries? (PART NUMBERS)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 06:17:03 UTC
From: Stephen Janney <stevej99@home.com>
Message-ID: <qe58rt4ifpabaie8kdrjfem1jtbmiorj03@4ax.com>
Source record: 59259
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

That's correct the cable does not require any adapter if you bought it
from GRE.

You may be thinking of the AC Power Cord which does require an adapter
to fit the 92.

On Thu, 27 Sep 2001 22:07:20 GMT, Walt Bertram
<wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote:

>
Where did you purchase this cable? What cable is it? The "PC
connection cable for the PRO-92" does not require any adapters.

Go to any RS store and ask for four (4) Nickel Metal Hydride AA
batteries.

Walt

Jeff Woertz wrote:
>
> Hello-
>
> I just purchased the PC connection cable for the PRO-92 and was wondering
> if anyone has the Radio Shack part number for the 2.5mm to 3.5mm adapter
> plug, which is needed to complete the connection?
>
> Also, can anyone recommend a part number for some rechargeable batteries for
> the PRO-92 ?
>
> Thanks!

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5443. Re: ATF Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 07:07:31 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3BB421B3.CBB1FD95@mitre.org>
Source record: 59260

Try this web site, look up airports in your area. The Approch /
Departure freq. are ATC <http://www.airnav.com/>
Have fun Jim

Ledfoot02 wrote:
>
> Can Anyone point me in the right direction for ATF freq in PA.
> Ledfoot

5444. FS: Realistic Pro-2022

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 08:51:22 UTC
From: tonycor1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928045122.17392.00000984@mb-fu.aol.com>
Source record: 59261

This is a 200 channel base unit with cell mod. Excellent condition. $175 OBO.
Please email if interested: tonycor1@aol.com

5445. Re: Excellent Frequency List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 11:47:11 UTC
From: jmcr@nauticom.net
Message-ID: <3BB4633E.78B74E4@nauticom.net>
Source record: 59262

I reviewed my comment and I didn't say anything about the per-con site. Why would I pay them when i'm not going to pay "U"? All one has to do in sit in front of their computer and do a little searching. Heck, your just a pup at 15 years, there are thousands of us out there with over 30 years at this. For myself, I started back in 1963. It doesn't matter how many years one has enjoyed the hobby, it's what they have learned and enjoyed about it. I like sharing my experiences and knowledge with anyone that needs assistance. By the way, that's at NO Charge. Enjoy the hobby, share.

ScannerFreq1 wrote:

> Sure you can subscribe to percon for $24 for 6 months or $45 for one year.
> Also this is a better list than that I have gotten off of the per-con database.
>
> Of course frequencies are public information. but they are not always sent
> directly to you in a nice list.
>
> I knew there would be those of you that would not like the fact that I would
> like $5 for my time. For those I say your not the person who might be
> intrested in this list. If you are happy with your old per-con databases
> enjoy. For those who would like a good current list around your area then all
> I am asking is for $5 for my time.
>
> I have been a scanner and shortave listener for 15 years and after printing
> this list I actually found more frequencies that I did not know about before.
>
> I am not forcing anybody to do this... If you are intrested e-mail me...If not
> keep spending your money on old outdated books and CD's.
>
> Thanks for listening - Scannerfreq1

5446. Re: BC200XLT wont Work HELP!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 11:50:12 UTC
From: David Trickle <gumby1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3bb464dd$0$15759$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 59263

Nothing like stay on track!!!! Can someone help me with my scanner not
working?
Never Anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:ljv7rtc7sh6h2o152umh3qakj891b9h6ed@4ax.com...
> On Thu, 27 Sep 2001 19:58:49 -0500, "Roger N" <regor@onemain.com>
> wrote:
>
> >Get em Barney Fife, make'em turn the Caps Lock Off.
>
> I never asked any such thing. I simply asked WHY he's doing it.
> There are some very good reasons for it, but just as often, there
> is no good reason at all.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

5447. Re: ATF Freq.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 13:21:46 UTC
From: cdgordon@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928092146.03018.00001606@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 59264

I would assume that it is Alcohol, Tobacco, and Firearms that you are looking for. Vast majority of traffic is encrypted or Nextel. Try federal vhf 162-173 area or federal uhf 400-420 area. expect encrypted traffic.

c

5448. Re: BC200XLT wont Work HELP!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 14:00:21 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928100021.10545.00001010@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 59266

RIGHT..'ONLY' AFTER, RS LOST THEIR FCC-TYPE'd right's, for PRODUCING "QUALITY" RECEIVERS???

BEARCATS ARE JUNK.., ILL SELL YOU A YUPITERU-7100(CONTINUOUS COVERAGE .100-1300).., ONLY BETTER 1 IS THE RS PRO-43.., IF YA'D LIKE TO COMPARE!

NO OFFENSE , INTENDED FOR BEARCAT OWNERS.., BUT , THERE'S BETTER ON THE MARKET.., TRY THIS ONE:

GO TO EBAY.., AND YOU'LL SEE 6:1 RATIO, BEARCATS BEING SOLD, THAN ANY OTHER SCANNER'S!! WONDER WHY......

BECAUSE THEY'RE A 'NOVICE' PIECE OF RADIO-EQUIPMENT.

5449. Re: Annoying beep on Pro-94

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 14:01:55 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928100155.10545.00001011@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 59267

I BELIEVE..YOU HOLD DOWN 1 OF THE KEYS , WHEN TURNING IT ON..., I THINK IT'S THE LOCKOUT KEY.., BUT EXPERIMENT WITH IT! MIGHT BE THE LIGHT KEY, ALSO!!

5450. Re: Excellent Frequency List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 15:34:30 UTC
From: cathexis@your.haterols.com
Message-ID: <3bb49489.234757220@news.erols.com>
Source record: 59269

Well, my question would not be to slam you for what some could get for free.
Rather I'm curious about *active* vs. assigned freqs.

Case in point; The McDonald's Corp. uses a range of freqs. nationwide. But
that doesn't mean all of them are used in your particular area. Even regional
lists are not right on. I found my local McD's freqs. on the web, but had to
wade through a list to find the ones for my actual local McD's.

So let's try a challenge; My QTH is Fairfax City, VA. Zip 22030. My callsign is
KG4JBM so you can get everything off that if you need more info.

CHALLENGE IS:

There are 3 McD's (2 with Drive-thrus) and 1 Burger King and 1 Wendy's
in my hometown. Both the BK and Wendy's have drive-thrus. All are within
3 miles of my QTH. What are the Drive-thru freqs. (where Joe Public orders
his food) of at least 3 of the 4 Drive-thrus ??

Get it right and I will admit it here in public and send you my five dollars.
No out-to-get-you attitude on my part. Just a Challenge.
Andrew

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

5451. Re: ATTN PA cell phone owners: please join the PA [Emergency] SitRep list

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 16:28:07 UTC
From: pasitrep@pa-sitrep.com
Message-ID: <3bb4a516.266154880@news.city-net.com>
Source record: 59265

On 27 Sep 2001 11:54:10 GMT, pville666@aol.com (PVILLE666) wrote:

>THIS SOUNDS LIKE SALES/MARKETING BS TO ME!!!
>
>
>SPAM NOT WELCOME IN THIS NG.

Go to my site and see if you can find an advertisement anywhere.

You should check things out before you shoot your mouth off.

I run the www.PA-SitRep.com page and the PA-SitRep mail list at my own expense and the list is free to join to anyone willing to abide by the list rules and posting protocol. I pay for the server space and mail list out of my own pocket so that I can avoid any advertisements or marketing ANYWHERE on the page or the list.

>.THNAK YOU!

That should be "THANK YOU". And you're welcome.

Dave (KB3FXI)
www.PA-SitRep.com Website and List owner

5452. How does Spread Spectrum work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 16:33:13 UTC
From: Rob <rb357@REMOVEbellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3BB4A648.378B@REMOVEbellsouth.net>
Source record: 59270

Greg Ottria wrote:
>
> I think that phone uses the Spred Spectrum which means the scout can't pick
> it up.

How does spread spectrum work?

Thanks, Rob (remove in email)

5453. Pennsylvania Amateur Emergency Net notification delivery system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 17:02:42 UTC
From: pasitrep@pa-sitrep.com
Message-ID: <3bb4ad26.268219774@news.city-net.com>
Source record: 59268

I own and operate www.PA-SitRep.com and the PA-SitRep (Pennsylvania [Emergency] Situation Report) e-mail list. I am opening the list for an additional function for hams: Amateur Radio Emergency Net Activation notification.

Subscriptions to the list are free, subscribers may unsubscribe at any time and the list contains no spam, chat or advertisements. List traffic is limited to ONLY major emergency situation reports within the State of Pennsylvania and the list traffic is extremely low volume. I am opening the list to all HAMS within the State of PA and offering Net Controls the ability to notify all list members of Pennsylvania EMERGENCY Net Activations via the mail list to the list subscribers' digital cell phones or their home computers.

The PA-SitRep list message posting protocol caters to the limited character capacity of digital cell phones and text pagers by limiting the first portion of the posted message to 100 characters or less. Information on how to use your digital cell phone for alphanumeric messaging is available on my site at the following address:

http://www.pa-sitrep.com/cell.htm

If the need arises to call up an Emergency Net within the State of PA, the net control could simply post a message to the list. Here's an example:

07/21/01 14:30 WPA: TORNADO WARNING - SKYWARN NET ACTIVATED ON 149.090

Within about 5 - 60 seconds after sending the message to the list, all list subscribers with digital cell phones (and home PC e-mail users) will receive the message. It's an easy and free way to reach multiple users instantly via e-mail to cell phone. Additionally, all subscribers will receive the emergency situation reports that are posted to the list.

Information regarding the list is available at:
http://www.pa-sitrep.com/pasitrep.htm

To subscribe, visit:
http://pa-sitrep.com/mailman/listinfo/pa_pa-sitrep.com

Please consider the use of the list and any questions or comments may be e-mailed to:
pasitrep@pa-sitrep.com

Thanks very much and we'll look forward to your participation.

-Dave (KB3FXI)
www.PA-SitRep.com

5454. Re: How does Spread Spectrum work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 17:21:18 UTC
From: "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.05.10109281114001.18040-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>
Source record: 59271

Spread Spectrum operates usually by "Frequency Hopping," that is, the transmitter and receiver jump between different frequencies several times per second (it would still work if it went slower than that because you are usually transmitting human voice conversations, and if you could only hear such a conversation 1 second out of every 10 then the dialogue would be unintelligible) and both transmitter and receiver know a "key" which indicates which frequencies will be used and when. This is sometimes called "Code Division Multiple Access" or "CDMA." The general principle is called "Frequency Division Multiplexing" and trunked radio systems operate in this fashion. The reason it is called "trunking" is that several different private conversations can be held on one frequency but within a "band" on that frequency, e.g. 1.25 MHz on either side of 900 MHz.

On Fri, 28 Sep 2001, Rob wrote:

> Greg Ottria wrote:
> >
> > I think that phone uses the Spred Spectrum which means the scout can't pick
> > it up.
>
> How does spread spectrum work?
>
> Thanks, Rob (remove in email)
>
>
>

5455. Re: scanner or transceiver?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 19:36:12 UTC
From: Harris <n2ah@spam.sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <Mi4t7.30057$w7.5764468@news02.optonline.net>
Source record: 59272

"Scanners" that cover hf through UHF tend to perform marginally at hf. On the other hand, some of the better hf receivers are as good if not better than some tranceivers. Depends on what you want to do. If you want to listen to Shortwave broadcasts in AM, a receiver with selectable wide/narrow selectivity would be an advantage.

If you are planning to get a ham license to operate on hf, a transceiver with general coverage receive is the way to go (but expect to pay >$1500). Otherwise, an hf receiver makes more sense. Many transceivers operate off 12V and require a separate power supply.

Be careful when you say "same capabilites." Just because two radios cover the same frequencies doesn't mean their performance is the same.

Art Harris, N2AH

"Bearcat" <Bearcat@astound.net> wrote in message
news:3BB22114.579494F8@astound.net...
> which would be better on hf? the reason I ask is if I can get a hf
> tranceiver cheaper than a hf scanner, and both have same capabilities,
> then I'll go with the transceiver.
> All comments welcomed.
> thanks
> Randy
>

5456. Re: Bill Cheek is still dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 20:40:53 UTC
From: jeff krauss <jkrauss@cpcug.org>
Message-ID: <3BB4E120.1E3054F4@cpcug.org>
Source record: 59273
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

"K S ï¿½ F (The Famous!!)" wrote:

> How interesting that the person you refer to (who wrote the e-mail pointing
> the finger
> at other's for e-mail bombs & forgery) was kicked off of his ISP & two
> different e-mail
> lists for similar type of inappropriate actions, then later he was charged
> in federal
> courts for illegal actions.
>
> "patterns do emerge"
>
> <malexder@aol.com> wrote
> > http://www.grove-ent.com/images/billcheek.jpg
> > With the passing of Bill Cheek at noon on Saturday, July 22, 2000, a
> chapter
> > of radio closes.
> >
> >
> >
> > From: Bill Cheek (bcheek@san.rr.com)
> > Subject: Coming Reign of Terror
> > Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner
> > View complete thread (19 articles)
> > Date: 1998/07/05
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > To the RRS and ARS newsgroups:
> >
> > Simple. I just turned somebody's lights out
> > for an indeterminant, but probably long
> > period of time.
> >
> > I've done it before and it kills his soul'
> > eats him up; activates his ulcers and piles.
> >
> > He responds with a blitzkrieg of forgeries,
> > e-mail bombs, and posts from a dozen of his
> > aka's. I am usually not the only one on
> > his target list. He singles out others for
> > these attacks, too.

> >
> > So be forewarned and forearmed. It is EASY to
> > tell forgeries. When I post to the newsgroup,
> > the PATH line of MY posts will always end with
> >
> > !newshub.cts.com!relief.cts.com!not-for-mail
> >
> > If it ends with anything else, it ain't me.
> > Furthermore, legitimate headers from MY posts
> > will also contain the following entries:
> >
> > NNTP-Posting-Host: wagasa.cts.com
> > Mime-Version: 1.0
> > Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> > Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> > X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451
> > Cache-Post-Path: wagasa.cts.com!unknown@dt022nec.san.rr.com
> > X-CTS-Authuser: bcheek@cts.com
> > Xref: proxye1.san.rr.com rec.radio.scanner:109982 alt.radio.scanner:27389
> >
> >
> > Furthermore, any legitimate e-mails that I send
> > out will have the following lines in the headers:
> >
> >
> > Received: by mail2.san.rr.com for wcheeks1
> > (with Cubic Circle's cucipop (v1.21 1997/08/10) DAY MO DATE TIME YEAR)
> > X-From_: bcheek@san.rr.com DAY MO DATE TIME YEAR
> > Received: from proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com (root@proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com
> > [204.210.0.10])
> > by mail-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with ESMTP id MAA12362
> > for <you@your.domain.com>; DAY DATE MO YEAR TIME
> > Received: from dr (dt022nec.san.rr.com [204.210.11.236])
> > by proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with SMTP id MAA18261
> > for <you@your.domain.com>; DAY DATE MO YEAR TIME
> > Message-Id: <numbers.and.letters@proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com>
> > Return-Receipt-To: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > Reply-To: <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > From: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > To: <you@your.domain.com>
> > Subject: Header Test
> > Date: DAY DATE MO YEAR TIME
> > X-Priority: 1 (Highest)
> > X-MSMail-Priority: High
> > X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook 8.5, Build 4.71.2173.0
> > Importance: High
> > Disposition-Notification-To: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
> > Content-Type: text
> >

> > If an e-mail header ain't pretty much exactly like the
> > above, it ain't from ME, boys..... If ever there is a
> > question, send me a civil e-mail to: bcheek@san.rr.com
> > and then check out the header of my reply.
> >
> > The person whose lights I just turned out is given
> > to sending large e-mail bombs to people IN MY NAME.
> > Beware, if you get an unsolicited e-mail "from me"
> > that has a large file attachment. Just delete it
> > before you download it. I will not send anyone
> > unsolicited files, so there's a clue right there.
> >
> > In a word, the person whose lights I just turned
> > out WILL go on a rampage and while I will be a
> > prime target, so will some of you....just so he can
> > say it wasn't HIM. But it is.....
> >
> > Protect yourselves. And if and when anything does
> > happen, I urge you to publicly discuss it and cite
> > as many of the details as you can. There are people
> > on this newsgroup who are skilled at ferreting out
> > the sources and causes of these kinds of issues.
> >
> > Now....shall WE get back to scanners and scanning?
> >
> > THAT's my choice anyway, for as long as it doesn't
> > prove futile. It will take a lot of other choices
> > to dispel that futility. And each choice is an
> > individual one. Despite that this is a "newsgroup",
> > WE are not a "group". WE are only a mass of individuals.
> >
> > I don't think it gets any better than that. Every
> > choice remains an individual one; and the solutions
> > to ALL problems, perceived and real, lay in control
> > of your own computers and control of your own software.
> > Once you are in control of your hardware and software,
> > then it is possible for us to act and function as a
> > group. But again, this is a matter of a LOT of choices.
> >
> > Enough to constitute a quorum anyway.......
> >
> > Scanners and scanning coming up at 11.
> >
> > -- ----
> > Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
> > COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
> > Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
> > bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
> > http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
> > -- ---

> >
> >
> >
> > ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the
> eb -----
> > http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+
> groups
> > NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other posts
> > made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
> abuse@newsone.net

5457. Need help finding re.radio.scanner FAQ / charter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 20:43:58 UTC
From: Feline Technologies <nukingcats@_REMOVETHIS_home.com>
Message-ID: <ii5t7.73309$aZ6.17045435@news1.rdc1.az.home.com>
Source record: 59274

Hello,

Are there any moderators / founders of this group who can help a new person find the rules / FAQ's of this newsgroup. Any help would be great.

Thanks a bunch.

Adam / kc7ljq
nukingcats (at) home (dot) com

5458. 150 ch trunktracer

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 20:45:27 UTC
From: john sanders <jdsand@cowtown.net>
Message-ID: <tr9o8l400ste82@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59275

have a rsdio shack pro-91 150 ch hh truncktracker like new $125.00

5459. FS: ICOM IC-R100 COMMUNICATIONS RECEIVER

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 20:54:14 UTC
From: Dean Kastoris <n8ihs@home.com>
Message-ID: <3BB4E261.87F0A4C@home.com>
Source record: 59276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.swap

I have for sale a mint Icom IC-R100 Communications Receiver. This radio has never been mobile and I am a non smoker. I have the box, owners manual, sales brochure and all included standard accessories. The R-100 covers 0.1-800MHz in the AM, FM and FM wide modes.
I am asking $425.00 plus shipping from 48026.

5460. LNIB Uniden BC-245XLT + Extras FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 21:23:31 UTC
From: John Miller <bfdp1@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <eS5t7.1396$j66.227804@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 59277

New in 7-01 245 portable, 1600ma nickle metal hydride battery, unused OEM
600ma nicad battery and wall charger. Heavy duty leather carrying case with
belt swivel.
All manuals, freq guides, receipt,boxes.

$ 195+ ship from 83713

JM
Boise, Idaho

5461. Re: Bill Cheek is still dead

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 22:55:20 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <sd7t7.12000$xG6.4573123@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 59278
Cross-posted to:
alt.law-enforcement,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,misc.survivalism

Hey moron, let sleeping dogs lie.

"jeff krauss" <jkrauss@cpcug.org> wrote in message
news:3BB4E120.1E3054F4@cpcug.org...
>
>
> "K S ? F (The Famous!!)" wrote:
>
> > How interesting that the person you refer to (who wrote the e-mail
pointing
> > the finger
> > at other's for e-mail bombs & forgery) was kicked off of his ISP & two
> > different e-mail
> > lists for similar type of inappropriate actions, then later he was
charged
> > in federal
> > courts for illegal actions.
> >
> > "patterns do emerge"
> >
> > <malexder@aol.com> wrote
> > > http://www.grove-ent.com/images/billcheek.jpg
> > > With the passing of Bill Cheek at noon on Saturday, July 22, 2000, a
> > chapter
> > > of radio closes.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > From: Bill Cheek (bcheek@san.rr.com)
> > > Subject: Coming Reign of Terror
> > > Newsgroups: rec.radio.scanner, alt.radio.scanner
> > > View complete thread (19 articles)
> > > Date: 1998/07/05
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > To the RRS and ARS newsgroups:
> > >
> > > Simple. I just turned somebody's lights out
> > > for an indeterminant, but probably long
> > > period of time.

> > >
> > > I've done it before and it kills his soul'
> > > eats him up; activates his ulcers and piles.
> > >
> > > He responds with a blitzkrieg of forgeries,
> > > e-mail bombs, and posts from a dozen of his
> > > aka's. I am usually not the only one on
> > > his target list. He singles out others for
> > > these attacks, too.
> > >
> > > So be forewarned and forearmed. It is EASY to
> > > tell forgeries. When I post to the newsgroup,
> > > the PATH line of MY posts will always end with
> > >
> > > !newshub.cts.com!relief.cts.com!not-for-mail
> > >
> > > If it ends with anything else, it ain't me.
> > > Furthermore, legitimate headers from MY posts
> > > will also contain the following entries:
> > >
> > > NNTP-Posting-Host: wagasa.cts.com
> > > Mime-Version: 1.0
> > > Content-Type: text/plain; charset=us-ascii
> > > Content-Transfer-Encoding: 7bit
> > > X-Newsreader: Forte Agent 1.5/32.451
> > > Cache-Post-Path: wagasa.cts.com!unknown@dt022nec.san.rr.com
> > > X-CTS-Authuser: bcheek@cts.com
> > > Xref: proxye1.san.rr.com rec.radio.scanner:109982
alt.radio.scanner:27389
> > >
> > >
> > > Furthermore, any legitimate e-mails that I send
> > > out will have the following lines in the headers:
> > >
> > >
> > > Received: by mail2.san.rr.com for wcheeks1
> > > (with Cubic Circle's cucipop (v1.21 1997/08/10) DAY MO DATE TIME
YEAR)
> > > X-From_: bcheek@san.rr.com DAY MO DATE TIME YEAR
> > > Received: from proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com (root@proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com
> > > [204.210.0.10])
> > > by mail-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with ESMTP id MAA12362
> > > for <you@your.domain.com>; DAY DATE MO YEAR TIME
> > > Received: from dr (dt022nec.san.rr.com [204.210.11.236])
> > > by proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com (8.8.7/8.8.8) with SMTP id MAA18261
> > > for <you@your.domain.com>; DAY DATE MO YEAR TIME
> > > Message-Id: <numbers.and.letters@proxyb1-atm.san.rr.com>
> > > Return-Receipt-To: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > > Reply-To: <bcheek@san.rr.com>

> > > From: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > > To: <you@your.domain.com>
> > > Subject: Header Test
> > > Date: DAY DATE MO YEAR TIME
> > > X-Priority: 1 (Highest)
> > > X-MSMail-Priority: High
> > > X-Mailer: Microsoft Outlook 8.5, Build 4.71.2173.0
> > > Importance: High
> > > Disposition-Notification-To: "Bill Cheek" <bcheek@san.rr.com>
> > > X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V4.72.3110.3
> > > Content-Type: text
> > >
> > > If an e-mail header ain't pretty much exactly like the
> > > above, it ain't from ME, boys..... If ever there is a
> > > question, send me a civil e-mail to: bcheek@san.rr.com
> > > and then check out the header of my reply.
> > >
> > > The person whose lights I just turned out is given
> > > to sending large e-mail bombs to people IN MY NAME.
> > > Beware, if you get an unsolicited e-mail "from me"
> > > that has a large file attachment. Just delete it
> > > before you download it. I will not send anyone
> > > unsolicited files, so there's a clue right there.
> > >
> > > In a word, the person whose lights I just turned
> > > out WILL go on a rampage and while I will be a
> > > prime target, so will some of you....just so he can
> > > say it wasn't HIM. But it is.....
> > >
> > > Protect yourselves. And if and when anything does
> > > happen, I urge you to publicly discuss it and cite
> > > as many of the details as you can. There are people
> > > on this newsgroup who are skilled at ferreting out
> > > the sources and causes of these kinds of issues.
> > >
> > > Now....shall WE get back to scanners and scanning?
> > >
> > > THAT's my choice anyway, for as long as it doesn't
> > > prove futile. It will take a lot of other choices
> > > to dispel that futility. And each choice is an
> > > individual one. Despite that this is a "newsgroup",
> > > WE are not a "group". WE are only a mass of individuals.
> > >
> > > I don't think it gets any better than that. Every
> > > choice remains an individual one; and the solutions
> > > to ALL problems, perceived and real, lay in control
> > > of your own computers and control of your own software.
> > > Once you are in control of your hardware and software,
> > > then it is possible for us to act and function as a

> > > group. But again, this is a matter of a LOT of choices.
> > >
> > > Enough to constitute a quorum anyway.....
> > >
> > > Scanners and scanning coming up at 11.
> > >
> > > ---------- ----
> > > Bill Cheek - President/CEO/Publisher \ /
> > > COMMtronics Engineering/World Scanner Report \/
> > > Box 262478 ~ San Diego ~ CA ~ 92196-2478 /\
> > > bcheek@san.rr.com ftp://204.210.11.204 / \
> > > http://ourworld.compuserve.com/homepages/bcheek / \
> > > ---------- ---
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > ----- Posted via NewsOne.Net: Free (anonymous) Usenet News via the
> > eb -----
> > > http://newsone.net/ -- Free reading and anonymous posting to 60,000+
> > groups
> > > NewsOne.Net prohibits users from posting spam. If this or other
posts
> > > made through NewsOne.Net violate posting guidelines, email
> > abuse@newsone.net
>

5462. Re: Need help finding re.radio.scanner FAQ / charter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 22:59:32 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <oh7t7.12003$xG6.4575062@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 59280

Rules? Moderators? Founders? FAQs? What are those? I don't think there has ever been any of those things.

"Feline Technologies" <nukingcats@_REMOVETHIS_home.com> wrote in message news:ii5t7.73309$aZ6.17045435@news1.rdc1.az.home.com...
> Hello,
>
> Are there any moderators / founders of this group who can help a new person
> find the rules / FAQ's of this newsgroup. Any help would be great.
>
> Thanks a bunch.
>
> Adam / kc7ljq
> nukingcats (at) home (dot) com
>
>
>

5463. Re: OT: Public Aid and Child Support

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 23:01:05 UTC
From: "Mark S. D'Ottavio" <wirehead@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <3BB50130.F315D61F@pacbell.net>
Source record: 59279

Roger,

These groups may of help to you:

alt.support.single-parents
alt.support.parents.with-custody
alt.support.divorce

Many of us have had challenges surrounding child support, public aid and such however, qualifying a post with OT doesn't justify
such. Perhaps you can find satisfaction in the above forums.

Roger N wrote:

> "Never Anonymous Bud" <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
> news:2ae5rtgqlo54t1vr12ch0nav173u11acru@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 26 Sep 2001 17:35:13 -0500, "Roger N" <regor@onemain.com>
> > wrote:
> >
> > >Oh, so according to you, people that use the scanner newsgroup should
> only
> > >discuss scanners, is this correct?
> >
> >
> > Well, DUH ! !
>
> Sorry, I didn't realize you were this groups moderator deciding what can and
> can not be discussed here. You need to get busy, it looks like 20-25% of
> the posts on here are not scanner related.
>
> Here's an example of your logic applied to different subjects:
>
> They shouldn't have signs cautioning for dangerous situations, it makes it
> even worse because they knew there was a dangerous situation.
>
> Very similar to your reasoning:
>
> OT in the subject line makes it worse because it shows the poster knew it
> was off topic.
>
> My subject line had OT in it so people that didn't want to read off topic
> posts could just skip it. I was trying to be as considerate as possible by
> only posting a short summary in the newsgroup and including a link to a more
> detailed account. I guess you weren't bright enough to skip off topic posts
> if you didn't want to read them.
>

> If you're against OT posts, why would you make 3 OT replies?
>
> You should get a transciever instead of a scanner, that way you could tell
> people what they can discuss. I heard some ladies on a ham radio talking
> about what they ate for breakfast, you need to get on to them. Go get'em
> Barney Fife!

5464. Re: Need help finding re.radio.scanner FAQ / charter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 23:06:40 UTC
From: John Miller <jsm@n4vu.com>
Message-ID: <9p2vq5$99j$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 59281

"Feline Technologies" <nukingcats@_REMOVETHIS_home.com> wrote in message
news:ii5t7.73309$aZ6.17045435@news1.rdc1.az.home.com...
> Are there any moderators / founders of this group who can help a new
person
> find the rules / FAQ's of this newsgroup. Any help would be great.

Here's a start:

http://www.faqs.org/faqs/radio/personal-intro/

http://www.landfield.com/usenet/news.announce.newgroups/rec/rec.radio.scanne
r

--
John Miller

5465. Re: SCANCAT GOLD.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 23:55:27 UTC
From: TrUmPi <blokspam@nomail.com>
Message-ID: <9p32lp$4e$1@cyan.nl.gxn.net>
Source record: 59282

you need it, scancat is a ripp off

GaijinGig <gig@i-2000.com> wrote in message
news:3bb265aa.776838@news-server.optonline.net...
> hey all.
> just waiting for my new copy of scancat gold to come in the
> mail...(actually it will be on thurs..)
> Got sic of winscan..... wish me luk
> Gig.

5466. Bearcat sc150 quits unexpectedly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-28 23:59:45 UTC
From: juan <yes@yessiree.bob>
Message-ID: <yes-773C64.20030028092001@news-central.giganews.com>
Source record: 59283

Hi
We just replaced the battery pack after 2-3 years thinking that it would fix the problem but it does not. Even when we use the power plug, it runs for a minute or two, the battery light flashes as though it's low on juice, and the screen goes blank (scanner turns off). We're ready to call the 1-800 number but I would prefer to get info from you guys/gals first off. Can anyone help?

Helga

5467. BCat 895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 00:18:18 UTC
From: Pete <pjd805@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3BB5134A.8615A9FA@mindspring.com>
Source record: 59284

For those who are familiar with this model:
- What are the pros & cons?
- Is there another brand that's comparable??

Thanks,
Pete

5468. Re: Pennsylvania Amateur Emergency Net notification delivery system

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 00:20:08 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928202008.07307.00001075@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 59285

YOU WANNA SELL ME A CELLPHONE??

5469. Re: Bearcat sc150 quits unexpectedly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 00:25:12 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928202512.07307.00001076@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 59286

Sounds Like the Batterys are dead! Try this:
Leave radio on, UNTIL COMPLETELY DEAD...THEN,
CHARGE THE BATTERIES, FOR THE MAXIMUM TIME, then try it again. A common problem w/ Ni-Cads, are, they have to be "FULLY" Discharged, at least 1 time, before they'll retain a Charge!!

Another reason I wont use Ni-Cads, by the way..., you have a common problem, to a lot of Bearcat owners.., happened to my 2500, and 60-xlt, mor ethan once!! I hope i helped ya, if not.., try BUYING NEW BATTERIES, THEN MAKING SURE YOU FULLY DISCHARGE EM, THEN RE-CHARGE EM, MAX.! BYE (-:

5470. Re: How does Spread Spectrum work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 00:31:46 UTC
From: Rob <rb357@REMOVEbellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <3BB51672.5BAD@REMOVEbellsouth.net>
Source record: 59287

Thanks for the info. So why does this prevent my Opto Scout from reading
the frequencies being used?

Is there a freq. counter or scanner that can receive these frequencies?

Rob (remove in email)

Ian W. Douglas wrote:
>
> Spread Spectrum operates usually by "Frequency Hopping," that is, the
> transmitter and receiver jump between different frequencies several times
> per second (it would still work if it went slower than that because you
> are usually transmitting human voice conversations, and if you could only
> hear such a conversation 1 second out of every 10 then the dialogue would
> be unintelligible) and both transmitter and receiver know a "key" which
> indicates which frequencies will be used and when. This is sometimes
> called "Code Division Multiple Access" or "CDMA." The general principle
> is called "Frequency Division Multiplexing" and trunked radio systems
> operate in this fashion. The reason it is called "trunking" is that
> several different private conversations can be held on one frequency but
> within a "band" on that frequency, e.g. 1.25 MHz on either side of 900
> MHz.

5471. Re: Bearcat sc150 quits unexpectedly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 00:37:35 UTC
From: juan <yes@yessiree.bob>
Message-ID: <yes-44B21D.20405728092001@news-central.giganews.com>
Source record: 59288

In article <yes-773C64.20030028092001@news-central.giganews.com>,
juan <yes@yessiree.bob> wrote:

> Hi
> We just replaced the battery pack after 2-3 years thinking that it would
> fix the problem but it does not. Even when we use the power plug, it
> runs for a minute or two, the battery light flashes as though it's low
> on juice, and the screen goes blank (scanner turns off). We're ready to
> call the 1-800 number but I would prefer to get info from you guys/gals
> first off. Can anyone help?
>
> Helga

Thannks-These are new batteries!!! just opened the package tonight. I
think the only way I can do a total recharge is to plug the power plug
into the "power-in" plug on the scanner becasue there is no other way to
charge the batteries...right?

5472. Re: BCat 895XLT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 00:42:24 UTC
From: ppcdoc <ppcdoc@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <QN8t7.7877$Pr1.2189405@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 59289

I have one and it's great for tracking, once you learn how!!! Good for
setting up scan lists and easily switching or mixing them..It does NOT work
with GE systems (?EDACCS??) or something like that...
"Pete" <pjd805@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:3BB5134A.8615A9FA@mindspring.com...
>
> For those who are familiar with this model:
> - What are the pros & cons?
> - Is there another brand that's comparable??
>
> Thanks,
> Pete
>
>
>

5473. Re: How does Spread Spectrum work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 01:12:21 UTC
From: "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.05.10109281902460.22048-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>
Source record: 59290

The answer, as best as I can say it, is that your Opto Scout tunes into a certain frequency and stays there, just like an AM radio in your car, etc. The scanner does not know the code used to control the frequency hopping, and cannot figure it out on its own. It scans sequentially up and down within the range you specify. All a scanner does is hunt up and down the "dial" as if it had a tuning knob with a motor on it, and the "motor" stops when the signal strength is big enough. Some later model cars have "scanners" in them, but just in the standard broadcast band. If several conversations are happening on the same frequency band, your scanner will not differentiate between each conversation and the result to you, the listener, will be gibberish. This is the whole point, that is, security to any punk, drug dealer, etc. who has a cell phone--and where would we be without that??

There are "trunk tracking" scanners out there which can lock into trunked radio system frequency hopping transmissions, but the algorithms used to control such hopping appear, so far as I can tell, to have passed into the public domain--unlike cell phone CDMA algorithms.

Feel free to ask more questions if you wish and I will do my best to answer them. My knowledge of this subject is quite limited, otherwise I would be listening in on cell phone calls right now!

Regards,

Ian D.

On Fri, 28 Sep 2001, Rob wrote:

>
> Thanks for the info. So why does this prevent my Opto Scout from reading
> the frequencies being used?
>
> Is there a freq. counter or scanner that can receive these frequencies?
>
> Rob (remove in email)
>
>
> Ian W. Douglas wrote:
> >
> > Spread Spectrum operates usually by "Frequency Hopping," that is, the
> > transmitter and receiver jump between different frequencies several times
> > per second (it would still work if it went slower than that because you
> > are usually transmitting human voice conversations, and if you could only
> > hear such a conversation 1 second out of every 10 then the dialogue would
> > be unintelligible) and both transmitter and receiver know a "key" which

> > indicates which frequencies will be used and when. This is sometimes
> > called "Code Division Multiple Access" or "CDMA." The general principle
> > is called "Frequency Division Multiplexing" and trunked radio systems
> > operate in this fashion. The reason it is called "trunking" is that
> > several different private conversations can be held on one frequency but
> > within a "band" on that frequency, e.g. 1.25 MHz on either side of 900
> > MHz.
>
>

5474. Re: How does Spread Spectrum work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 01:58:06 UTC
From: "Al, N2NKB"
Message-ID: <OU9t7.14572$W8.1216044@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59291

An anecdote-
The famous actress Hedi Lammar invented spread spectrum.
Al

Ian W. Douglas wrote in message ...
>
>The answer, as best as I can say it, is that your Opto Scout tunes into a
>certain frequency and stays there, just like an AM radio in your car, etc.
>The scanner does not know the code used to control the frequency hopping,
>and cannot figure it out on its own. It scans sequentially up and down
>within the range you specify. All a scanner does is hunt up and
>down the "dial" as if it had a tuning knob with a motor on it, and the
>"motor" stops when the signal strength is big enough. Some later model
>cars have "scanners" in them, but just in the standard broadcast band. If
>several conversations are happening on the same frequency band, your
>scanner will not differentiate between each conversation and the result to
>you, the listener, will be gibberish. This is the whole point, that is,
>security to any punk, drug dealer, etc. who has a cell phone--and where
>would we be without that??
>
>There are "trunk tracking" scanners out there which can lock into trunked
>radio system frequency hopping transmissions, but the algorithms used to
>control such hopping appear, so far as I can tell, to have passed into the
>public domain--unlike cell phone CDMA algorithms.
>
>Feel free to ask more questions if you wish and I will do my best to
>answer them. My knowledge of this subject is quite limited, otherwise I
>would be listening in on cell phone calls right now!
>
>Regards,
>
>Ian D.
>
>On Fri, 28 Sep 2001, Rob wrote:
>
>>
>> Thanks for the info. So why does this prevent my Opto Scout from reading
>> the frequencies being used?
>>
>> Is there a freq. counter or scanner that can receive these frequencies?
>>
>> Rob (remove in email)
>>
>>

>> Ian W. Douglas wrote:
>> >
>> > Spread Spectrum operates usually by "Frequency Hopping," that is, the
>> > transmitter and receiver jump between different frequencies several times
>> > per second (it would still work if it went slower than that because you
>> > are usually transmitting human voice conversations, and if you could only
>> > hear such a conversation 1 second out of every 10 then the dialogue would
>> > be unintelligible) and both transmitter and receiver know a "key" which
>> > indicates which frequencies will be used and when. This is sometimes
>> > called "Code Division Multiple Access" or "CDMA." The general principle
>> > is called "Frequency Division Multiplexing" and trunked radio systems
>> > operate in this fashion. The reason it is called "trunking" is that
>> > several different private conversations can be held on one frequency but
>> > within a "band" on that frequency, e.g. 1.25 MHz on either side of 900
>> > MHz.
>>
>>
>

5475. Re: wwf frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 02:41:21 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2001.09.28.22.46.40.981.12824@njmc.com>
Source record: 59292

In <360461b3.0109261814.3809798f@posting.google.com>, mike myers wrote:

> Here is a list of frequencies the wwf used at the wright state nutter
> center near dayton,ohio. 460.5875, 458.350-camera crew?, 469.550-talking
> about setting up a camera around the limo, 457.800wwf crew, and these
> wireless mics heard during the show, 196.130,205.205,538.860 was used by
> the ring announcers and the wwf wrestlers.

Pretty cool - anything good on the freqs? Script-related stuff?

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.10.0.91cvs running on Mandrake Linux 7.2

5476. Re: BC200XLT wont Work HELP!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 03:16:37 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010928231637.05755.00001204@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 59293

well..I HAVE A YUPITERU MVT-7100....5-1300 MHZ, UN-BLOCKED..THAT DOES VERY WELL, THANK YOU VERY MUCH! I HAVE AN AOR-8000... .5-1900 MHZ SCANNER..TOP OF THE LINE..., AND BOTH..PICK UP SAME FREQUENCIES, AS ANY TRUNK TRACKER.., AND DONT BE FOOLED...THATS A 'GIMMICK'!!

THOSE TRUNKED FREQUENCIES ARE 'NOT' BLOCKED!! I HAVE AUTO-SORT, ON BOTH OF MY RADIO'S, WHICH LISTS FREQ'S IN NUMERICAL ORDER, AND WITH DELAY OFF..I STILL HEAR THEM!!

Point Being------>MORE$$$ SPENT=MORE FREQ'S RECEIVED, BETTER PERFORMANCE.

5477. Re: How does Spread Spectrum work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 03:22:28 UTC
From: matt weber <matthеww50@home.com>
Message-ID: <gifartgaat68eot60vkd71jh1if73knod6@4ax.com>
Source record: 59294

On Fri, 28 Sep 2001 11:33:13 -0500, Rob <rb357@REMOVEbellsouth.net>
wrote:

>Greg Ottria wrote:
>>
>> I think that phone uses the Spred Spectrum which means the scout can't pick
>> it up.
>
>How does spread spectrum work?
>
>Thanks, Rob (remove in email)

The signal is modulated by a 'spreading

5478. Bearcat 300 Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 05:44:51 UTC
From: Rob Crandall <crandall@ee.net>
Message-ID: <nddt7.114$2k3.776@news>
Source record: 59295
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner

I came across a bearcat 300 anybody know about its capabilities? Freq coverage and anything else?

--
Sure I'll get a life,
Just as soon as I download one!

5479. Re: Bearcat sc150 quits unexpectedly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 06:02:57 UTC
From: "M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com>
Message-ID: <dmdt7.17$Q84.9229@newsfeed.slurp.net>
Source record: 59296

Check your wall wart (AC adapter or power plug). It has probably died. I saw the same symptoms about a year ago with a friend's SC150. Mine has a no-load output of 16.40 volts. You can get a replacement at Radio Shack, just take the old one in to match the ratings when selecting a replacement.

Mark

"juan" <yes@yessiree.bob> wrote in message news:yes-773C64.20030028092001@news-central.giganews.com...
> Hi
> We just replaced the battery pack after 2-3 years thinking that it would
> fix the problem but it does not. Even when we use the power plug, it
> runs for a minute or two, the battery light flashes as though it's low
> on juice, and the screen goes blank (scanner turns off). We're ready to
> call the 1-800 number but I would prefer to get info from you guys/gals
> first off. Can anyone help?
>
> Helga

5480. Re: Bearcat sc150 quits unexpectedly

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 10:25:52 UTC
From: juan <yes@yessiree.bob>
Message-ID: <yes-87572A.06291529092001@news-central.giganews.com>
Source record: 59197

In article <dmdt7.17$Q84.9229@newsfeed.slurp.net>,
"M. Schneider" <markinokla@juno.com> wrote:

> Check your wall wart (AC adapter or power plug). It has probably died. I
> saw the same symptoms about a year ago with a friend's SC150. Mine has a
> no-load output of 16.40 volts. You can get a replacement at Radio Shack,
> just take the old one in to match the ratings when selecting a replacement.
>
> Mark
>
> "juan" <yes@yessiree.bob> wrote in message
> news:yes-773C64.20030028092001@news-central.giganews.com...
> > Hi
> > We just replaced the battery pack after 2-3 years thinking that it would
> > fix the problem but it does not. Even when we use the power plug, it
> > runs for a minute or two, the battery light flashes as though it's low
> > on juice, and the screen goes blank (scanner turns off). We're ready to
> > call the 1-800 number but I would prefer to get info from you guys/gals
> > first off. Can anyone help?
> >
> > Helga
>
>

I will run over to Radio Shack today to give a try...thanks

5481. Re: Excellent Frequency List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 13:05:11 UTC
From: scannerfreq1@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010929090511.20490.00001364@mb-cn.aol.com>
Source record: 59198

Hey I will concede the active vs. assigned argument.

As far as paying for the list I am amazed that a $5 list is a sin but their are books and cd's that cost much more and cover way more than you need. In my experience once you buy the books the info is outdated before the book hits the shelf.

Enjoy your scanning - Scannerfreq1

5482. FS: PRO-2050 TrunkTracker $100 + Shipping

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 13:53:23 UTC
From: halligan@mediaone.net
Message-ID: <7490b6ed.0109290553.4fc5ee41@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

I have for sale a Radio Shack PRO-2050 TrunkTracker. Radio is in "used" condition. Some scratches on display. Body of radio has hole in each side as radio was mounted in a mobile environment. Operating condition is 100% sound. Trying to sell to gather money for new radio purchases. This radio is currently at work collecting dust in my shack. :). I have the original box and manual.

Asking $100 PLUS UPS ground shipping. Payable in advance or COD with Postal Money Order only. Please contact me at halligan@mediaone.net if interested. Thanks for reading.

Scott

5483. FS: PRO-2004 w/HB232 interface and many mods $350

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 13:57:27 UTC
From: halligan@mediaone.net
Message-ID: <7490b6ed.0109290557.62c8ca29@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59200
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

For Sale: Realistic PRO-2004 Scanner with External HB232 computer-control interface. Pictures available on request. Detailed information follows:

The Radio: Radio is in very good condition. It has been through numerous modifications:

1. Full coverage restoration.
2. Increase from 300 to 400 channel capacity.
3. Capability of 36 independent "banks" of 400 channels each, controlled by toggle switches in rear of radio. Only one 400 channel "bank" can be scanned at a given time but it affords for numerous different scanning schemes selected by the switch positions.
4. Pushbutton control on rear of radio to select/deselect keyboard beeps.
5. Removal of 120 volt power transformer to accomodate interface and HB232 makings, radio runs on 12 volts only.
6. Front mounted large signal meter. Mounted to the left of the volume control. As a result the headphone jack is not available.
7. FM discriminator tap on rear of scanner for data decoding.
8. Radio did suffer the infamous PRO-2004 "poor solder" syndrome and has had such problems repaired.
9. Blue keyboard overlay exhibits 40 channel per bank setup.
10. LCD display is in good shape.
11. Radio has always been in an indoor environment; never used in a mobile capacity.

The HB232 Interface: Mounted in 8"W x 6"D x 3"H project box. Connects to radio through short DB25 male cable to DB25 connector mounted vertically on rear of radio. Connects to computer via DB9 Female cable. Original HB232 software burned onto CD will be included, as well as the DEMO version of Redshift, a Windows 95/98/ME software package for the 2004/HB232 combo.

Miscellaneous: PRO-2004 Owner's manual and PRO-2004 service manual. Pictures are available on request and will be e-mailed to you directly. Power supply is NOT included; I run the radio directly from a custom power setup in my shack. There was never a "wall wort" type supply included with this radio and as previously mentioned the 120V transformer was removed to accomodate the DB25 connector and internal makings of the HB232 interface. The power supply is a standard coaxial type and is readily available for cheap $$ at Radio Shack.

Reason for selling: Not seeing much use in my shack these days; I'm gathering money for other radio purchases.

Price: $350 O.B.O., including UPS COD shipping.

Method of payment: Advance payment by POSTAL money order only, or UPS COD with POSTAL money order only.

Please do not reply here, if interested E-Mail me at halligan@mediaone.net

Thanks for reading.

Scott

5484. Re: SR-71s Still Flying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 14:10:09 UTC
From: neilyx <neilyx@nova.org>
Message-ID: <9p4ko1$o63@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 59201

I thought they retired those a few years ago since they
were so expensive to operate. NASA got some for it's
purposes. Others went to museums.

--
Neil

"Jake Brodsky" <frussle@erols.com> wrote in message
news:pdm3rtcj9ekgc4val48lpuej0dj4ug0ujn@4ax.com...
> Believe it or not, the SR-71 pilots use standard aviation frequencies
> when arriving or departing US airspace (which ends at flight level
> 600, or roughly 60,000 feet).
>
> The call sign in the past has been something like "Aspen-20".
>
> I was told by a Denver Center Air Traffic Controller that they're
> supposed to announce their altitude as an offset from some secret
> reference, such as "Angels-200" so that a casual listener would never
> be quite sure how high they are.
>
> I have no idea what other spy-planes do...
>
>
> Jake Brodsky, AB3A mailto:frussle@erols.com
> "Beware of the massive impossible!"

5485. Re: Police Call 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 14:16:23 UTC
From: neilyx <neilyx@nova.org>
Message-ID: <9p4l3n$o5s@dispatch.concentric.net>
Source record: 59202

Haven't seen the 2002 version, yet. I got the 2000 version.
I guess it has the same stuff as the book. I got some good
trunking info out of the book. Didn't have the CD with me
at the time. For the non-trunked they have a lot that is not
used or maybe used for data.

I hear it's supposed to be out 01 OCT.

--

Neil
"KOBL" <OIJ@KOBL.com> wrote in message
news:Pw8s7.32753$6c5.1327523@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com...
> Is Police Call 2002 on CD-ROM worth buying?
>
> Thanks!
>
>

5486. Re: ISRAEL is NOT Our Friend

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 14:23:16 UTC
From: dkelly <dwkelly@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <9p79d9$t85$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 59168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

-----Original Message-----
Sent: Friday, September 28, 2001 1:33 PM
Subject: Forwarding a Very Important Message

>>There's an important point in the power of press,
specifically the power of CNN. All around the world we are subjected to >>3
or 4 huge news distributors, and one of them - as you well know - is CNN.
Very well, I guess all of you have been seeing (just as I've been) images
from this company. In Particular, one set of images caught my attention: the
Palestinians celebrating the bombing, out on the streets, eating celebration
sweets and making funny faces for the camera.
Well, THOSE IMAGES WERE SHOT BACK IN 1991!!! Those are images of
Palestinians celebrating the invasion of Kuwait! t's simply unacceptable
that a super-power of communications as CNN uses images which do not
correspond to the reality in talking about so serious of an issue.
> >>
> >>
> >>At the BBC here, we have these footages on
videotapes
recorded in 1991,
> >>with the very same images. But now, think for a
moment
about the impact of such images. Your people are hurt,
emotionally fragile, and this kind of broadcast has very
high possiblity of causing waves of anger and rage against
the
> Palestinians.
> >>
> >>It's simply irresponsible to show images such as
those.
> >>
> >>Russell Grossman | Head of Internal
Communication | BBC

> >>
> >>Third Floor | London Broadcasting House | LONDON
W1A
1AA
> >
> >
> >--
>

Winsor Naugler III <dogyks@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:9o256a$n58$1@slb1.atl.mindspring.net...
> "dweebo Spanka!" <oldkeykollekter@yahoo.com> wrote:
> >
> >
> <snip>
> >
> >I am not
> > against any of those groups of people. They ALL have the right to exist.
> >
> Or to a swift, sure death, as the case may be.
> >
> > Finally, ANYBODY who cheers at mass murder is a barbarian.
>
>
> Blue skies,
>
> CONAN
>
>

5487. Re: BC200XLT wont Work HELP!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 14:36:32 UTC
From: David Trickle <gumby1@core.com>
Message-ID: <3bb5dd3e$0$12818$272ea4a1@news.execpc.com>
Source record: 59203

Dude, will you quit responding to this message. I am looking for help
fixing my scanner. I do not care what you think of the quality of it. I
have one it is broke and I want to fix it, SO DEAL WITH IT!! If anyone can
offer any help with my original message please feel free to respond.
Never Anonymous Bud <thekat@san.rxyzr.com> wrote in message
news:irnart8o2ai7j1st6onc35tspha8nshs2m@4ax.com...
> On 29 Sep 2001 03:16:37 GMT, pville666@aol.com (PVILLE666) wrote:
>
> >well..I HAVE A YUPITERU MVT-7100....5-1300 MHZ, UN-BLOCKED..THAT DOES
VERY
> >WELL, THANK YOU VERY MUCH! I HAVE AN AOR-8000... .5-1900 MHZ SCANNER..TOP
OF
> >THE LINE..., AND BOTH..PICK UP SAME FREQUENCIES, AS ANY TRUNK TRACKER..,
AND
> >DONT BE FOOLED...THATS A 'GIMMICK'!!
>
>
> Have you ever listed to a busy multi-channel trunked system with a
> CONVENTIONAL radio??
>
> Obviously not.
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

5488. FA:PRO 2022

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 14:57:17 UTC
From: steve <stevejfranklin@home.com>
Message-ID: <3BB5E0F0.60A61CEA@home.com>
Source record: 59204

Unit in working condition, and with scan step changes for 800 MHz band.

http://cgi.ebay.com/aw-cgi/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=1279635265

5489. Re: How does Spread Spectrum work?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 16:39:19 UTC
From: "Ian W. Douglas" <idouglas@calcna.ab.ca>
Message-ID: <Pine.A41.4.05.10109291038580.31208-100000@srv1.calcna.ab.ca>
Source record: 59205

P.S. All a frequency counter will tell you (if you can get close enough to the transmitter you are investigating) is 1) whether it is transmitting, and 2) what frequency it is transmitting on (as long as the bandwidth is narrow enough in a certain time period, controlled by the "gate speed" on the counter). It is then up to you to guess whether the message being transmitted on the carrier wave (the frequency of which the counter has told you) is being sent via AM, FM, "public domain" trunked radio, or the cellular variety of CDMA. If it is the first two, then the priciest piece of hardware you need in order to get the voice, etc. message out of the signal would be a scanner--perhaps even an ordinary AM or FM radio. The third type of signal requires more-expensive-but-still-legal trunked radio scanners to listen in on, and you can buy such scanners off the shelf at Radio Shack. If the signal is of the fourth type (this includes some of the later model cordless phones), and if you are like me, then you are right back in the woods!!

TTYL

Ian D.

On Fri, 28 Sep 2001, Rob wrote:

>
> Thanks for the info. So why does this prevent my Opto Scout from reading
> the frequencies being used?
>
> Is there a freq. counter or scanner that can receive these frequencies?
>
> Rob (remove in email)
>
>
> Ian W. Douglas wrote:
> >
> > Spread Spectrum operates usually by "Frequency Hopping," that is, the
> > transmitter and receiver jump between different frequencies several times
> > per second (it would still work if it went slower than that because you
> > are usually transmitting human voice conversations, and if you could only
> > hear such a conversation 1 second out of every 10 then the dialogue would
> > be unintelligible) and both transmitter and receiver know a "key" which
> > indicates which frequencies will be used and when. This is sometimes
> > called "Code Division Multiple Access" or "CDMA." The general principle
> > is called "Frequency Division Multiplexing" and trunked radio systems
> > operate in this fashion. The reason it is called "trunking" is that
> > several different private conversations can be held on one frequency but
> > within a "band" on that frequency, e.g. 1.25 MHz on either side of 900

> > MHz.
>
>

5490. Re: Bearcat 300 Info

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 17:02:50 UTC
From: The_AntiArt <The_AntiArt@Hoax.Land>
Message-ID: <50DD47BB3DA96D4C.6D4EBF270C05E7C3.368BC736207E1A74@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 59207

On Sat, 29 Sep 2001 05:44:51 GMT, "Rob Crandall" <crandall@ee.net>
wrote:

>I came across a bearcat 300 anybody know about its capabilities? Freq
>coverage and anything else?

I have one still in occasional use after over 20 years! Here is a
quote regarding this scanner from the review FAQ that used to be
regularly posted in this group (Note: This scanner does not cover
800 MhZ band.):

"BC300 (Electra): 1979 vintage. 50 channel top of the line
scanner. Service Search feature contains 11 ROM banks of
preprogrammed channels See US patent 4,270,217, granted May
26, 1981. Switching power supply failure noted in early
units due to insufficient capacitance - component value was
changed in newer units. Schematics show at least 100
components changed between earliest and later units. Preset
squelch pot, mounted internally on circuit board,
misadjusted in new units - adjustment usually required after
burn-in period. Good sensitivity. Built in clock. I leave
it on 24 hours a day. This is a favorite. See US patent
4,409,688, granted 11/11/83 for further insight."

-Art

5491. is this possible??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 17:03:01 UTC
From: ppcdoc <ppcdoc@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <99nt7.11798$Pr1.2895787@news1.rdc1.tn.home.com>
Source record: 59206

In Motorola II Trunktracking, is it possible to identify/track an individual user's ID with a scanner? My 235 and 895 do not, as far as I know. They are just capable of ID groups of 5 digits. A policeman told me his dad has a scanner that displays his son's individual radio ID of 6 or 7 digits when he keys the mike, the number that is affixed to the police radio. Bull or fact??? If fact, what kind of scanner can do this????

5492. Re: White Women Enslaved in Israel

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 18:00:54 UTC
From: Stan Never Falls <sigother@netzero.net>
Message-ID: <3bb5fc3b_1@news.newzpig.com>
Source record: 59208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.cb,alt.fan.britney-spears,rec.skydiving,rec.sport.cricket

> > "Stan Never Falls" <sigother@netzero.net> wrote in message
> > news:3bafd02b_2@news.newzpig.com...
> >
> > The White slavery in Israel has gone on for at least two decades and was
covered up
> > entirely for more than a decade by all Western media sources who were
quite aware of it.

No I didn't.

Stan

5493. Re: Need Frequencies For AT&T 9311 900 Mhz Cordless Phone

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 19:35:57 UTC
From: 0880@iname.com
Message-ID: <700e61c7.0109291135.aaa58fe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59209

Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:<3BB15773.4555F22E@worldnet.att.net>...
> We have seen this twice before, and it's been answered many times!
>
> Db77619 wrote:
> >
> > (Posted for the 3rd time) Does anyone have the base frequencies for the AT&T
> > 9311 900 Mhz Cordless Phone?
> > Thanks In Advance.

Apparently not.....

5494. Re: Freq's around your house?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 19:46:46 UTC
From: jacjohn <jacjohn@whidbey.net>
Message-ID: <trc98ifrqkksa5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59210

Couldn't you just go to the FCC frequency search or something like that and do it for free?

John Y.

5495. Re: This may sound wierd but....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 19:54:50 UTC
From: Eric Cooper <ejcooper2001@home.com>
Message-ID: <eGpt7.21288$8a.10658838@news1.rsm1.occa.home.com>
Source record: 59211
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.scanner

I vote to send you two assinine neo-nazi posters

5496. Re: wwf frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 20:37:10 UTC
From: chevysno1@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <360461b3.0109291237.170468bd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59213

we heard wwf people in the back setting up matches for the evening,
who was coming out next, everything they were doing we heard it.

5497. Re: SR-71s Still Flying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 20:38:41 UTC
From: cathexis@your.haterols.com
Message-ID: <3bb6257b.337414236@news.erols.com>
Source record: 59212

On 29 Sep 2001 14:10:09 GMT, "neilyx" <neilyx@nova.org> wrote:

>
>I thought they retired those a few years ago since they
>were so expensive to operate. NASA got some for it's
>purposes. Others went to museums.
>
>--

God, don't you wish you could get one as surplus<grin> ?

Anyway, so what? NASA has performed numerous military missions
in its lifetime. STS-36 as an example. (I'm showing off here as I went
to H.S. with the mission specialist Pierre Thout.) In fact, recently de-
classified material on "Operation Corona" (from the Discovery Ch.
of all places) has shown that even those early flights with dogs and
chimps were really cover stories for intel ops. utilizing early satellite
photos.

But an even more interesting question would be; If the SR-71 is now
"junk", what's taking its' place?? The gov't anounced several years
ago its intention to change its' sat. program from large expensive
platforms to many, many smaller, cheaper vehicles. This apparently is
still going forward. But the whole argument for U-2's and SR-71's was
that sats are forever prisoners of their orbital data where as aircraft
could be directed anywhere.

The two basic theories put out by rags like Pop. Sci. and Avi. Week.
are: 1. The Aurora Program. 2. Pilotless hi-G manuever craft.
The Aurora appears to be what was in the sixties called the " X-30",
I believe and was several generations beyond the Shuttle which was
"X- 26" (?? Not sure, help me out). Basically Aurora is a space shuttle
capable of take off and landing without large, disposable, expensive
"booster rockets" like the Shuttle now uses. Best evidence for the
Aurora is a throwaway mention is '84 (?) budget and even better that,...
although Area-51 has been much quieter supposedly, the runway is shown
by public domain sat photos to have been lengthened by 6 miles!! Total
length is like 12 or 18 miles. The longest on earth !! Nothing known needs
it. Why was it built???

The pilotless craft have less evidence but it's interesting to note that they
are basically what you and I would call UFO's. Hence, the interest in them.
It should be noted there is lots of military interest in pilotless craft but
most are small RC "toys" that benefit from modern high-tech.

Bottom line is always assume the Gov't is 10 years ahead of what you think you know and then be prepared to be surprised if you learn the truth. If any of these craft are real they would be the ultimate in our aviation inventory and hence, the ultimate in milair scanning to snag one. I have no proof or special knowledge one way or the other. but I have theories and I feel certain that my goverment does a much better job of insuring domestic tranquility than we ever find out about and I think that Bin Laden doesn't have a rat's ass chance in Hell if we're prepared to really go after him with our hands untied. You don't need to be deaf, blind and ignorant to be loyal.

Reticulum
Andrew

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

5498. Re: Cleveland Ohio PD back in clear? Then what's this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 21:00:33 UTC
From: chief305@webtv.net
Message-ID: <5789-3BB64481-2@storefull-107.iap.bryant.webtv.net>
Source record: 59214

Cleveland.com purchased their own radio, it is programmed with out hang time channel delays. There is also a 1.5 minute delay from real time. (the time it takes for the audio to travel through the ISP to your computer.)

Dave

CPD/TC

5499. European air frequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 22:59:26 UTC
From: "P@ddy" <shaw@pointnet.com>
Message-ID: <3bb651d1$0$71613@news2.zeelandnet.nl>
Source record: 59215
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,fr.rec.radio,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

See http://rainbow.mybravenet.com/belgascan/air.html

5500. Belgian scannerfrequencies

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 23:04:28 UTC
From: "P@ddy" <shaw@pointnet.com>
Message-ID: <3bb652ff$0$71613@news2.zeelandnet.nl>
Source record: 59216
Cross-posted to:
alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,de.alt.radio-scanner,fr.rec.radio,nl.radio.amateur,nl.radio.scanners,rec.radio.scanner

You find them all on http://rainbow.mybravenet.com/belgascan/

5501. Re: Excellent Frequency List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 23:19:50 UTC
From: Steve Fleckenstein <spfleck@citlink.net>
Message-ID: <qGst7.87$iH2.4888@news-west.eli.net>
Source record: 59217

Why would I even care to listen to drive up windows of fast food joints ? I can think of better things to listen to.

Steve
N2UBP

5502. New Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-29 23:50:36 UTC
From: Jerry <list-owner@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <qfncrt8sko2jlvranvm8l0ig8oapsfevr9@4ax.com>
Source record: 59218

I have set up a list for those interested,
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/Signal_Intelligence

-------- Original Message --------
Subject: Amateur Radio Operators engaging in signal intelligence

Date: Fri, 28 Sep 2001 14:42:40 -0500

From: GREG DEAN GDEAN@DEM.STATE.IN.US

Reply-To: The INTELST Information-Sharing

Forum INTELST@PENTAGON-HQDADSS.ARMY.MIL

To: INTELST@PENTAGON-HQDADSS.ARMY.MIL

Attack on America: How to Find Terrorists and Spies on the Air - Part 2

Ham radio operators across the nation and around the world continue
to look for ways to help in the United States war against terrorism.

Some are still providing communications in the New York City area
Others have headed the call by FCC Special Counsel Riley Hollingsworth to
watch the radio spectrum and report suspicious transmissions.

Last week, monitoring specialist Joe Schroeder, W9JUV, gave us a bit of
insight into what to listen for on the high frequency bands. Joe is
back with a look at the spectrum above 50 MHz and the way that terrorists
cells might try to use it: FRS probably not an option because there are
just to many people on it.

There's not so much the chance of being overheard and having the whistle
blown.

More likely, some kid is going to inadvertently break in and break up
the communications. Especially in a mall or some downtown area.

CB at 27 MHz is also a no. I would also say that the ham bands are not a
good alternative because there is to much of an opportunity for radio

amateurs to come across such communications and recognize that it is not
normal ham radio talk. What hoes on in the Amateur VHF and UHF bands is
so normal sounding that many hams readily recognize all of the voices. If
there was something abnormal going on it would stick out much more than
on an HF band like 20 or 40 meters.

One thing Riley Hollingsworth suggested was to tape any suspicious
communications. If you do, we would suggest using fresh tape and also
using a direct connection to your recorder from your station receiver.

This will eliminate the chance of room noise obscuring what you are trying to record.

And, if you do happen across something that needs to be reported probably the best place to forward it is directly to the Federal Bureau of Investigation. The FBI has set up a toll free number for leads or other information on the terrorist attack. It's at: (866) 483-5137

5503. Henderson, NV Police Frequencies Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 00:05:58 UTC
From: Ballzo <ballzo66@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.161fbf55ce7955bb989680@news.lvcm.com>
Source record: 59219

Anyone have these avialble?

I just moved tho the area... Can't find any available.

Any help much appreciated.

Thanks,

Ballzo

5504. Re: SCANCAT GOLD.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 01:48:59 UTC
From: Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com>
Message-ID: <fducrt8akiirg6cqgossloi6voh0o015e1@4ax.com>
Source record: 59220

"TrUmPi" <blokspam@nomail.com> wrote:

>you need it, scancat is a ripp off

Hey TrUmPi,

You're an asshole. ScanCat is not a ripp off.
You were just too stupid to use it.

monty

5505. Trunking Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 01:50:34 UTC
From: Joe Leikhim <jleikhim@nettally.com>
Message-ID: <3BB67A69.5C93C02D@nettally.com>
Source record: 59221

I haven't been following the scanner models lately, which base type scanners follow Motorola trunking via the control channel data? How about EDACS control channel data. Any comments about best performing models?

--
Joe Leikhim K4SAT
Jleikhim@nettally.com

http://www.ibiblio.org/jimmy/mcguinn/9000e.ram

"tv dinner by the pool,
i'm so glad i finished school" -F.Zappa 1967

"The Revolution will NOT be televised" -Gil Scott Heron

"Whats he building in there?"- Tom Waits

"The large print giveth
and the small print taketh away..." Tom Waits.

5506. Re: Henderson, NV Police Frequencies Wanted

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 02:56:02 UTC
From: Stug <stug@none.com>
Message-ID: <jd2drt4rpn7o71df8b0fse20lutne99gk2@4ax.com>
Source record: 59222

Its a trunked system so you will need a trunck tracker to follow the
conversation.

Here is the link you need

http://www.trunkedradio.net/cgi-bin/display.pl?sid=669

On Sat, 29 Sep 2001 17:05:58 -0700, Ballzo <ballzo66@hotmail.com>
wrote:

>
>Anyone have these avialble?
>
>I just moved tho the area... Can't find any available.
>
>Any help much appreciated.
>
>Thanks,
>
>Ballzo

Posted Via Uncensored-News.Com - Still Only $9.95 - http://www.uncensored-news.com
With NINE Servers In California And Texas - The Worlds Uncensored News Source

5507. Re: FS: PRO-2004 w/HB232 interface and many mods $350

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 03:00:06 UTC
From: John LeMay <jlemay@njmc.com>
Message-ID: <pan.2001.09.29.23.05.36.42.12824@njmc.com>
Source record: 59223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner

In <7490b6ed.0109290557.62c8ca29@posting.google.com>, Scott Halligan wrote:

> 3. Capability of 36 independent "banks" of 400 channels each,

Wow. Do that math and then try to fill up those channels!

--
John LeMay Jr.
Senior Enterprise Consultant
NJMC, LLC.

Sent with PAN 0.10.0.91cvs running on Mandrake Linux 7.2

5508. Re: New Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 03:12:02 UTC
From: cathexis@your.haterols.com
Message-ID: <3bb685c2.10617250@news.erols.com>
Source record: 59224

A worthy idea. Some suggestions and comments if you don't mind.
I'd also like a copy of Part 1 of this.

First, it would be good if the Commish would make it clear that in this time of crisis Hams and others are freed from the usual threat of prosecution and/or seizure of equip. or license if they can demonstrate at least good intentions. A formal premission would be re-assuring.

Second, is this extended to non-ham bands such as scanners are often used for? What about "unintentional" monitoring of Cell-freqs.? If pertinent info is forwarded to FBI.etc. will the party that sends it be free from having their non-blocked scanner consficated and themselves prosecuted ? More than remarks by the Commish are needed.

Third. It's easy enough to escape detection by most Hams or Scanners , just speak in Arabic, There's already some Russkies rag-chewing every nite on 17M and 40M too. Not to mention the Hispanic chit-chat. They all sound like they're running FT-1000's at 1500W+ and you wonder how many actually have a ticket. Regardless, suspect that translating Arabic is a job for Sigint, not Hams, Scanners.

So where does that leave us?? Well, if I were a No-Good-Nik I'd be thinking whatever I used would need DX, Power, and ability to Duck and Run. To me that is easy choice. Pirate Radio. You can find web sites that'll teach you how. No license needed. You can step on or in-between comm'l and Gov't freqs. and at 0400Z who's to know? If you can get a Comm'l pilot's license you sure as hell can learn to throw a Pirate Station together and use PGP-protected e-mail to arrange the sked.

Then again, why not just rely on PGP to begin with?? Example; I have a friend who recently returned from 2 years as Peace Corps in Morroco. They stayed in a small towm, several thous. pop. No local radio or TV. But there was an E-CAFE installed in their 2nd year there. Go figure !! and yes, the locals are learning to use it. Alahu Akbar, Baby.

Bottom line: Happy to help out. Will report anything suspicious and will make an effort to take a pause from chasing DX once in a while to do some scanning. In the meantime however, would suggest that Intel had their chance at this guy. Now it's time for some 7.62mm Full Metal Jacket. Hopefully in LARGE numbers. How to sort them out?? Easy. Start at North end and march to South end. Kill everyone who raises a gun, refuses to surrender, tries to run, or refers to the USA while using harsh or colorful language.

No disrespect to you personally, just my 2cents worth. Hope to hear back from you.

Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

5509. Regarding 800Mhz on the Pro-35

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 05:44:50 UTC
From: thacker411@ameritech.net
Message-ID: <8146b947.0109292144.40ac6df2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59225

For those of you who do not know out there, that in fact 800 Mhz is possible with the Pro-35. Although you cannot physically do any modifications inside the case to achieve this, you can however purchase a "GRE Super Converter" (I'm not sure if you can get these anymore). I've got one on my Pro-35 and it's been running great for years. You have to occasionally change the 9-volt battery inside, but all that takes is removing 2 screws. With the converter attached between your antenia and the unit, set your scanner to scan between 410 and 512 Mhz and BANG! 800 Mhz.

5510. Re: is this possible??

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 05:50:34 UTC
From: Jim Mac Donald <jmacdon@mitre.org>
Message-ID: <3BB6B2AA.94D214AA@mitre.org>
Source record: 59226

His Dad may have a system transceiver that will display the individual
units designation. Or a scanner and computer running one of the trunking
diagnostic hobby programs, this might have that capability.
Jim
ppcdoc wrote:
>
> In Motorola II Trunktracking, is it possible to identify/track an individual
> user's ID with a scanner? My 235 and 895 do not, as far as I know. They
> are just capable of ID groups of 5 digits. A policeman told me his dad has a
> scanner that displays his son's individual radio ID of 6 or 7 digits when he
> keys the mike, the number that is affixed to the police radio. Bull or
> fact??? If fact, what kind of scanner can do this????

5511. Tampa Police freqs help

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 07:08:39 UTC
From: nascar24rulz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010930030839.27749.00000915@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 59227

When listening to tampa pd, how do you know what the other channels are...say for example...an officer requests another one to go to channel 8...how do you know or find this channel? On the police call CD, a certain freq does not show when you search the p for police, but it shows up on the local. What is the difference?...do most police department use local gov freqs for the additional channels such as chan 8? I would like to have all the freqs that tampa pd uses.

TIA

5512. Pro 92 900 mhz trunk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 08:16:41 UTC
From: tomcatgbmi@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010930041641.22234.00000685@mb-ck.aol.com>
Source record: 59228

does the Pro 92 track 900 mhz systems?

5513. FT Uniden SW Radio for Trunk Tracker

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 10:30:48 UTC
From: "D. Schopp" <dschopp@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <svCt7.6739$b66.596143@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 59229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap,alt.radio.scanner

I have a Uniden CR-2021 SW radio that I would like to trade for a 1st generation trunk tracker hand held scanner - BC 235, Pro 90 or Pro 91. The Uniden is 6 to 7 cosmetically, some scratches and nicks on the case but all the features work and reception is very good on all bands. Listed below are the features of the CR 2021 radio from dxing. com. Radio comes with copy of manual.

General Coverage Communications Receiver Rating (1-5): ***(3)
Made In: Taiwan 1983-1984 Voltages: 120 VAC 11-16 VDC
Coverage: 150-30000 kHz Readout: Digital LCD 1.
Modes: AM/SSB-CW Selectivity: 3/2.5 kHz
Circuit: Triple Conversion Physical: 13x6.5x3.5" 5 Lbs.
Features: &#65533;" Head. Jack, S/Battery Indicator, Attenuator, BFO, Tone, 3 Position RF Gain, Sleep Timer, Two Tuning Steps, Carry Handle, Record Jack, Sweep, Antenna Trimmer, Keypad, 12 Memories, Dial Lamp, Dial Lamp Switch, Antenna Terminals.
Accessories:
New Price: $150-170 Used Price: $100-130
Comments: The CR-2021 can store six FM stations and six non-FM stations for a total of twelve. Operates from six C cells and two AA cells. The Realistic DX-400 is similar, but features an analog S-Meter rather than a LED S-Indicator.

5514. software icom r10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 11:59:47 UTC
From: sid kl 301 <m.nolsen@chello.nl>
Message-ID: <TODt7.1627824$ef.48637977@Flipper>
Source record: 59230
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

has anyone windows software or knows where to download software to program my icom r10?

5515. Re: BC200XLT wont Work HELP!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 12:19:49 UTC
From: pville666@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010930081949.29028.00001282@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 59231

EXCUSE ME.., YOU WANTED ADVICE???

I GAVE YOU THE BEST POSSIBLE...

TAKE CARE.., I TOLD YOU IN MESSAGE, IT WASNT ANYTHING AGAINST THE PRODUCT , OR ITS OWNER(S)..., WOW...., I SEE YOUR INTELLECT, IS IN LINE, WITH YOUR CHOICE OF PRODUCT..LATER!!

5516. Re: SCANCAT GOLD.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 12:38:43 UTC
From: Fart Eddie <davlin@tiac.net>
Message-ID: <nnEt7.14190$xG6.5365960@typhoon.ne.mediaone.net>
Source record: 59232

I know how to use it and I have to agree with him: It's a mediocre,
butt-ugly piece of crap software.

"Monty Python" <radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote in message
news:fducrt8akiirg6cqgossloi6voh0o015e1@4ax.com...
"TrUmPi" <blokspam@nomail.com> wrote:

>you need it, scancat is a ripp off

Hey TrUmPi,

You're an asshole. ScanCat is not a ripp off.
You were just too stupid to use it.

monty

5517. FT - Uniden SW Radio for Hand Held TT

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 13:02:20 UTC
From: "D. Schopp" <dschopp@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <wJEt7.6852$b66.611254@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 59233

I have a Uniden CR-2021 SW radio that I would like to trade for a 1st generation trunk tracker hand held scanner - BC 235, Pro 90 or Pro 91. The Uniden is 6 to 7 cosmetically, some scratches and nicks on the case but all the features work and reception is very good on all bands.

Listed below are the features of the CR 2021 radio from dxing. com. Radio comes with copy of manual and AC power cord.

General Coverage Communications Receiver Rating (1-5): ***(3) Made In:
Taiwan 1983-1984 Voltages: 120 VAC 11-16 VDC
Coverage: 150-30000 kHz Readout: Digital LCD 1
Modes: AM/SSB-CW Selectivity: 3/2.5 kHz
Circuit: Triple Conversion Physical: 13x6.5x3.5" 5 Lbs.
Features: &#65533;" Head. Jack, S/Battery Indicator, Attenuator, BFO, Tone, 3
Position RF Gain, Sleep Timer, Two Tuning Steps, Carry Handle, Record Jack,
Sweep, Antenna Trimmer, Keypad, 12 Memories, Dial Lamp, Dial Lamp Switch,
Antenna Terminals.

Comments: The CR-2021 can store six FM stations and six non-FM stations for a total of twelve. Operates from six C cells and two AA cells.

5518. Re: Excellent Frequency List

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 13:37:40 UTC
From: Stephen Janney <stevej99@home.com>
Message-ID: <jv7ert8dita748q5cgnfp0vb468kuucr09@4ax.com>
Source record: 59234

The same reason you listen to any other freq...interesting
conversations...especially when you have a couple young girls who
think no guys are listening ;)

On Sat, 29 Sep 2001 23:19:50 GMT, "Steve Fleckenstein"
<spfleck@citlink.net> wrote:

>Why would I even care to listen to drive up windows of fast food joints ? I
>can think of better things to listen to.
>
>Steve
>N2UBP
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5519. Re: Pro 92 900 mhz trunk

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 13:41:47 UTC
From: Stephen Janney <stevej99@home.com>
Message-ID: <d88ertg88eka48bhvbobuismc5r4fsb1i2@4ax.com>
Source record: 59235

yes

On 30 Sep 2001 08:16:41 GMT, tomcatgbmi@aol.com (tomcatgbmi) wrote:

>does the Pro 92 track 900 mhz systems?

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
Check out our new Unlimited Server. No Download or Time Limits!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 19 Different Servers! ==-----

5520. Pro 2067 Question. When I turn the scanner on }?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 15:06:47 UTC
From: "Chad C. Graybill" <chadg@epix.net>
Message-ID: <byGt7.9646$KD2.3877580@news1.epix.net>
Source record: 59236

Bought a pro 2067 scanner for our new fire engine. Hooked it up.
When I turn it on, it reads Welcome dual trunking etc. then it automatically goes to bank 00 channel 00 and stays their. It will not start scanning until I hit the "scan" button. Is there a way to automatically have the scanner starting scanning at startup??? Or is this unusual for this unit?
Thanks in advance.
Chad Graybill
Bart, PA
chadg@epix.net

5521. Re: Pro 2067 Question. When I turn the scanner on }?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 15:55:16 UTC
From: Walt Bertram <wbertram@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3BB74064.90FF4118@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59237

Unfortunately, that is the way the PRO-2067 and companion hand held
PRO-92 work. There is no known way to have them power up in the
scanning mode.

Walt

"Chad C. Graybill" wrote:
>
> Bought a pro 2067 scanner for our new fire engine. Hooked it up.
> When I turn it on, it reads Welcome dual trunking etc. then it automatically
> goes to bank 00 channel 00 and stays their. It will not start scanning
> until I hit the "scan" button. Is there a way to automatically have the
> scanner starting scanning at startup??? Or is this unusual for this unit?
> Thanks in advance.
> Chad Graybill
> Bart, PA
> chadg@epix.net

5522. Re: software icom r10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 17:14:35 UTC
From: H <hford@networks218.freeserve.co.uk>
Message-ID: <9p7jtt$688$1@news7.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 59238
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

Try posting on alt.binaries.radio-scanner news group.

Happy scanning
H
www.southcheshirescanning.freeserve.co.uk

"sid kl 301" <m.nolsen@chello.nl> wrote in message
news:TODt7.1627824$ef.48637977@Flipper...
>
> has anyone windows software or knows where to download software to program
> my icom r10?
>
>

5523. Re: New Group

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 17:27:10 UTC
From: cathexis@your.haterols.com
Message-ID: <3bb755b3.63858256@news.erols.com>
Source record: 59239

Hey Troll,

Toss that flame-bait where the sun don't shine.
You are hereby kill-filed.

Adios

>Ira Rectum wrote:
>>Kill everyone who...tries to run, or refers to the USA while using
>>harsh or colorful language.
>
>>Ira Rectum
>
>

Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

5524. Re: SR-71s Still Flying?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 17:30:11 UTC
From: cathexis@your.haterols.com
Message-ID: <3bb7560f.63950264@news.erols.com>
Source record: 59240

On Sun, 30 Sep 2001 09:23:10 -0700, DO <djo@pacifier.com> wrote:

>As recently as 1998 I had a friend who was an SR71 mechanic. They
>were still in use at that time.
>

Good to know, I'd say. Probably a whole lot easier to keep some
some in reserve somewhere than to build UFO's. <Big Grin>
Reticulum

Remove "your.hat" when replying via e-mail

5525. Fs: Icom R-10 Scanner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 18:03:32 UTC
From: Andy Brinkley <andy@brinkleyelectronics.com>
Message-ID: <3BB7574B.BF923A44@brinkleyelectronics.com>
Source record: 59241

I have for sale (1) ICOM R-10 handheld Scanner, is in like new condition (9.5+). Complete with rubber duck antenna, belt clip, instruction manual (never opened - still in sealed plastic) , battery charger, nicad rechargeable batteries, original box.

This radio covers from DC to daylight, never carried, was used as a base scanner. Radio is guaranteed not to be DOA, works 100% other than the nicads which probably need to be replaced with Ni-Mh batteries if you are going to carry it much.

$225.00, includes shipping to lower 48 states.

Andy

NC Certified Firefighter III / Instructor II / EMT-A
FCC Licensed Technician / Amateur Call NC4AB
http://www.brinkleyelectronics.com

--
Andy

NC Certified Firefighter III / Instructor II / EMT-A
FCC Licensed Technician / Amateur Call NC4AB
http://www.brinkleyelectronics.com

5526. Alert Monitor Tone Reeds For Sale

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 19:19:33 UTC
From: rsmtmn@aol.com
Message-ID: <20010930151933.00123.00001513@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 59242

Motorola Alert Monitor Tone Reeds for sale (top), and on the bottom I have some that I need.

I have two types that I'm selling.
For Motorola Tone Alerting Receivers.
MO3CNB series. Has handle on side of radio and was used by many fire departments. Came in Two-Tone (2 tone reed) and Quik-Call (4 tone reed) models.
KLN6209A/TLN-6709B

code	freq.	quanity
111	349.0 Hz	2
112	368.5 Hz	1
115	433.7 Hz	1
120	569.1 Hz	14
121	600.9 Hz	15
122	634.5 Hz	6
123	669.9 Hz	14
124	707.3 Hz	10
125	746.8 Hz	22
126	788.5 Hz	6
127	832.5 Hz	17
128	879.0 Hz	12
129	928.1 Hz	12
147	470.5 Hz	2
150	553.9 Hz	13
151	584.8 Hz	15
152	617.4 Hz	12
153	651.9 Hz	8
154	688.3 Hz	18
155	726.8 Hz	19
156	767.4 Hz	13
157	810.2 Hz	9
158	855.5 Hz	7
159	903.2 Hz	14
190	1122.5 Hz	7
191	1153.4 Hz	9
192	1185.2 Hz	8
193	1217.8 Hz	5
194	1251.4 Hz	7
195	1285.8 Hz	7
196	1321.2 Hz	1
197	1357.6 Hz	4
198	1395.0 Hz	6

199 1433.4 Hz 3
JQA 2175.0 Hz 2
SP 1200.0 Hz 5
DZ 384.6 Hz 2
EZ 426.6 Hz 1
KB 767.4 Hz 3
KZ 716.1 Hz 2
LZ 794.3 Hz 1
PZ 1084.0 Hz 2

Motorola Minitor (belt) Pager.
KLN-6202B
code freq. quanity
---- ---- -------
GZ 524.8 Hz 10
MZ 881.0 Hz 10

----------I Need The Following Tone Reeds----------
KLN-6209A/TLN-6709B
code freq.
---- ----
G13 697.5 Hz
NB 1047.5 Hz

KLN-6202B
code freq.
---- ----
CZ 346.7 Hz
NB 1047.5 Hz
G13 697.5 Hz

Dan
rsmtmn@aol.com

5527. WTB: Broken Pro-2004

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 19:23:07 UTC
From: Jon Van Allen <kf7yn@qwest.net>
Message-ID: <3BB7711B.321BC693@qwest.net>
Source record: 59243
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I need a Pro-2004 with a good CPU section, other functions
not a concern.

Email me if you can help.

Thanks,
Jon

5528. Misc FS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 21:47:39 UTC
From: BF <boyd@humboldt1.com>
Message-ID: <8B2DB41B1A8FE82F.E313C5B59D2171EC.75E0A84599AF451A@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 59244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner

Diamond SWR/Watt meter SX-100
SWR/Power Meter 1.6MHz-60MHz
30, 300, 3000 Watt AVD & PEP
(new in Box)------------------------- $45.00

Sure 444 Desk Mic --------------- $35.00

Ascom VHF Test Meter
SWR & Power 25 & 50 Watt ---- $35.00

ECG Digital Capacitance Meter
Model CX-920 1 pf to 2000uf
in 8 ranges (new in Box) ---------- $55.00

4- 811 Tubes ----------------------- $25.00

6 6HF5 Tubes, 4 nos 2 used ---- $30.00

PRO-37 Hand Held Scanner 200 CH
With Hyper Scan. Antenna, Wall Apt.
& Book, Cell Unlocked, Very Good
Condition.
-- $100.00

(JPG on request)

Reply to: boyd@humboldt1.com

Boyd

5529. Wtd.Drop in Charger

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 22:08:57 UTC
From: Dick <grburke@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ZJMt7.1020$8R.705388@news1.news.adelphia.net>
Source record: 59245
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.swap

I am looking for a drop in charger for the Motorola Radius SP21.Also extra
battery if you got one.Let me know what you got and how much.Email me.Thanks

---
Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.281 / Virus Database: 149 - Release Date: 9/18/2001

5530. Re: SCANCAT GOLD.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 22:57:45 UTC
From: Monty Python <radioman@thebigdial.com>
Message-ID: <39vertkrorvm4d8duvcsmp6vdovmqfp2hk@4ax.com>
Source record: 59246

Hey you old fart ... the only thing
butt-ugly is your old lady.

monty

"Fart Eddie" <davlin@tiac.net> wrote:

>I know how to use it and I have to agree with him: It's a mediocre,
>butt-ugly piece of crap software.
>
>"Monty Python" <radioman@thebigdial.com> wrote in message
>news:fducrt8akiirg6cqgossloi6voh0o015e1@4ax.com...
>"TrUmPi" <blokspam@nomail.com> wrote:
>
>>you need it, scancat is a ripp off
>
>Hey TrUmPi,
>
>You're an asshole. ScanCat is not a ripp off.
>You were just too stupid to use it.
>
>monty
>
>
>

5531. Re: Trunking Scanners

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 23:21:58 UTC
From: Brandon <demo@mail2.sandlot.com>
Message-ID: <qONt7.12557$Xk4.790249@news1.rdc1.sdca.home.com>
Source record: 59147

The Uniden BC780XLT can follow MOT systems using the control channel. I am not aware of any scanners that can do it with EDACS systems.

"Joe Leikhim" <jleikhim@nettally.com> wrote in message news:3BB67A69.5C93C02D@nettally.com...
> I haven't been following the scanner models lately, which base type
> scanners follow Motorola trunking via the control channel data? How
> about EDACS control channel data. Any comments about best performing
> models?
>
> --
> Joe Leikhim K4SAT
> Jleikhim@nettally.com

5532. WTB: Sony SC1PC

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 23:22:26 UTC
From: Bruce Markowitz <scosgt@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <SONt7.22741$W8.1449152@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 59148

Need in mint condition. Must be in box with manual, AC, and computer cable
Lt me know what you have
Thanks

5533. PLEASE HELP-TWO WAY RADIO STUFF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 23:30:37 UTC
From: WebGod@aol.com
Message-ID: <b70d4df9.0109301530.34946c76@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59149

I am looking for a two way radio that gets a "wide" range of frequencies. I used to own an Alinco DJ-X2000 Scanner that was excellent, but it lacked what I needed. I am currently looking for a two-way radio, that can get frequencies of that caliber. I know it is impossible for a scanner to have mods to do this, so I am asking, is there a two-way radio transmitter or transceiver that is cabable of doing this? I am looking for handheld. I realize this may be against some people's views, but I assure you my use of such an item is completley harmless. Thank you for your time and please respond if you have any information that could help me.

5534. Re: software icom r10

Newsgroup: rec.radio.scanner
Date: 2001-09-30 23:55:35 UTC
From: Max Imo <not@this.com>
Message-ID: <3bb7b179$0$1522$f5f63664@news.tdin.com>
Source record: 59150
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.scanner,alt.radio.scanner.uk,rec.radio.scanner

http://www.digital-laboratory.de/

Look in the software section

Max

"sid kl 301" <m.nolsen@chello.nl> wrote in message
news:TODt7.1627824$ef.48637977@Flipper...
>
> has anyone windows software or knows where to download software to program
> my icom r10?
>
>